

Nalco Holding CO  
Form 10-Q  
November 02, 2006

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

---

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission File No. 001-32342

---

NALCO HOLDING COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

16-1701300  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

1601 West Diehl Road  
Naperville, IL 60563-1198  
(630) 305-1000

(Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number, Including Area Code,  
of Registrant's Principal Executive Offices)

Not applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of “accelerated filer and large accelerated filer” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer                      Accelerated filer                      Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12-b-2 of the Exchange Act).    Yes        No

As of October 31, 2006, the number of shares of the registrant’s common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding was 143,040,860 shares.

---

---

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q  
NALCO HOLDING COMPANY  
Quarter Ended September 30, 2006

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I.	Financial Information	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets – September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations – Three months and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005	4
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – September 30, 2006	5
Item 2.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	13
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	27
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	27
Part II.	Other Information	
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	28
Item 6.	Exhibits	28
	Signature	29

Table of Contents

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements  
 Nalco Holding Company and Subsidiaries  
 Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets  
 (dollars in millions)

	(Unaudited) September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 42.9	\$ 30.8
Accounts receivable, less allowances of \$20.3 in 2006 and \$16.6 in 2005	665.3	622.3
Inventories:		
Finished products	261.7	242.6
Materials and work in process	76.8	70.6
	338.5	313.2
Prepaid expenses, taxes and other current assets	63.6	83.1
Total current assets	1,110.3	1,049.4
Property, plant, and equipment, net	733.1	755.3
Intangible assets:		
Goodwill	2,257.3	2,196.7
Other intangibles, net	1,183.2	1,227.5
Other assets	309.1	323.5
Total assets	\$ 5,593.0	\$ 5,552.4
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 271.0	\$ 285.4
Short-term debt	141.7	22.6
Other current liabilities	280.2	235.2
Total current liabilities	692.9	543.2
Other liabilities:		
Long-term debt	3,060.0	3,244.2
Deferred income taxes	334.7	353.0
Accrued pension benefits	393.5	416.4
Other liabilities	279.8	278.9
Minority interest	14.1	11.2
Shareholders' equity	818.0	705.5
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 5,593.0	\$ 5,552.4

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Nalco Holding Company and Subsidiaries  
 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations  
 (Unaudited)  
 (dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

	Three Months ended September 30, 2006	Three Months ended September 30, 2005	Nine Months ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months ended September 30, 2005
Net sales	\$ 915.4	\$ 834.9	\$ 2,655.8	\$ 2,448.8
Operating costs and expenses:				
Cost of product sold	502.3	457.9	1,469.0	1,351.0
Selling, administrative, and research expenses	277.5	252.3	823.6	773.3
Amortization of intangible assets	17.6	20.3	52.4	61.4
Business optimization expenses	3.0	3.5	8.6	21.3
Total operating costs and expenses	800.4	734.0	2,353.6	2,207.0
Operating earnings	115.0	100.9	302.2	241.8
Other income (expense), net	0.1	(0.1)	(0.6)	(3.6)
Interest income	2.4	2.1	6.4	6.2
Interest expense	(68.9)	(65.8)	(203.3)	(192.7)
Earnings before income taxes and minority interests	48.6	37.1	104.7	51.7
Income tax provision	16.2	18.1	38.5	24.7
Minority interests	(1.7)	(1.6)	(5.2)	(4.4)
Net earnings	\$ 30.7	\$ 17.4	\$ 61.0	\$ 22.6
Net earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.16
Diluted	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.42	\$ 0.15
Weighted-average shares outstanding (millions):				
Basic	143.0	141.7	142.9	141.7
Diluted	146.6	146.6	146.6	146.6

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-Q

Nalco Holding Company and Subsidiaries  
 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
 (Unaudited)  
 (dollars in millions)

	Nine Months ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months ended September 30, 2005
Operating activities		
Net earnings	\$ 61.0	\$ 22.6
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	97.5	100.0
Amortization	52.4	61.4
Amortization of deferred financing costs and accretion of senior discount notes	32.5	30.1
Other, net	(20.7)	13.1
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	(19.8)	(55.1)
Net cash provided by operating activities	202.9	172.1
Investing activities		
Purchase price adjustment on acquisition of Ondeo Nalco Group	—	(3.2)
Additions to property, plant, and equipment, net	(59.6)	(45.3)
Other, net	2.0	0.9
Net cash used for investing activities	(57.6)	(47.6)
Financing activities		
Changes in short-term debt, net	29.3	1.7
Proceeds from long-term debt	—	24.1
Repayments of long-term debt	(159.5)	(130.8)
Other, net	(3.4)	(5.6)
Net cash used for financing activities	(133.6)	(110.6)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	0.4	(0.5)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	12.1	13.4
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	30.8	33.3
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 42.9	\$ 46.7

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

September 30, 2006

### 1. Description of Business and Change in Ownership

#### Description of Business

Nalco Holding Company and subsidiaries (the Company) is engaged in the worldwide manufacture and sale of highly specialized service chemical programs. This includes production and service related to the sale and application of chemicals and technology used in water treatment, pollution control, energy conservation, oil production and refining, steelmaking, papermaking, mining, and other industrial processes.

#### Change in Ownership

On November 4, 2003, our indirect subsidiary, Nalco Holdings LLC (the Buyer), a newly-formed entity controlled by affiliates of The Blackstone Group, L.P., Apollo Management, L.P., and The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (collectively, the Sponsors), pursuant to a Stock Purchase Agreement, as amended, with Suez S.A. (Suez or Seller) and certain of its affiliates, acquired the net assets of Ondo Nalco Group for \$4,127.1 million, including direct costs of the acquisition of \$125.6 million, excluding assumed debt of \$30.2 million, and subject to certain closing and post-closing adjustments (the Acquisition).

### 2. Basis of Presentation

These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated and combined financial statements and notes thereto included in the Annual Report for Nalco Holding Company and subsidiaries for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. Management believes these financial statements include all normal recurring adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and results of operations of the Company. Operating results for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2006.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year data to conform to the current year presentation which had no effect on net earnings reported for any period.

5

### 3. Debt

Debt consists of the following:

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
(dollars in millions)		
Short-term		

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-Q

Checks outstanding and bank overdrafts	\$ 26.2	\$ 17.9
Notes payable to banks	0.9	0.9
Current maturities of long-term debt	17.4	3.8
Securitized trade accounts receivable facility	97.2	—
	\$ 141.7	\$ 22.6
Long-term		
Securitized trade accounts receivable facility	\$ —	\$ 75.3
Term loan A, due November 2009	78.0	107.6
Term loan B, due November 2010	957.0	1,081.0
Senior notes, due November 2011	918.2	900.7
Senior subordinated notes, due November 2013	718.2	700.7
Unsecured notes, due May 2008	27.8	27.8
Senior discount notes, due February 2014	377.3	353.5
Other	0.9	1.4
	3,077.4	3,248.0
Less: Current portion	17.4	3.8
	\$ 3,060.0	\$ 3,244.2

4. Shareholders' Equity

Shareholders' equity consists of the following:

	September 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
(dollars in millions, except per share amounts)		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; authorized 100,000,000 shares; none issued	\$ —	\$ —
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share; authorized 500,000,000 shares; 143,040,860 and 142,737,451 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively	1.4	1.4
Additional paid-in capital	740.5	739.1
Accumulated deficit	(54.1)	(115.1)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	130.2	80.1
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 818.0	\$ 705.5

In November 2004, a warrant to purchase, for \$0.01 per share, up to 6,191,854 shares of Nalco Holding Company common stock was issued as part of a dividend to Nalco LLC, the Company's sole stockholder on the record date of the dividend. Nalco LLC exercised warrants to acquire 303,409 shares of common stock during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. At September 30, 2006, up to 4,814,363 shares of common stock could be purchased by Nalco LLC under the warrant, subject to certain vesting conditions.

6

5. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

The components of net periodic pension cost and the cost of other postretirement benefits for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

## Pension Benefits

	Three Months ended September 30, 2006	Three Months ended September 30, 2005	Nine Months ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months ended September 30, 2005
(dollars in millions)				
Service cost	\$ 7.7	\$ 7.9	\$ 22.3	\$ 21.3
Interest cost	10.8	10.8	31.9	30.3
Expected return on plan assets	(7.5)	(6.9)	(22.2)	(19.1)
Amortization of prior service cost	0.1	—	0.1	—
Recognized net actuarial loss	0.1	—	0.3	—
Settlement charge	—	—	0.4	—
Net periodic cost	\$ 11.2	\$ 11.8	\$ 32.8	\$ 32.5

## Other Postretirement Benefits

	Three Months ended September 30, 2006	Three Months ended September 30, 2005	Nine Months ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months ended September 30, 2005
(dollars in millions)				
Service cost	\$ 1.3	\$ 1.4	\$ 4.1	\$ 4.1
Interest cost	2.1	2.2	6.1	6.5
Amortization of prior service cost	(1.0)	(1.0)	(3.0)	(3.0)
Recognized net actuarial loss	(0.1)	—	(0.2)	—
Net periodic cost	\$ 2.3	\$ 2.6	\$ 7.0	\$ 7.6

## 6. Business Optimization Expenses

The Company has undertaken several initiatives to redesign and optimize its business and work processes. Business process optimization expenses, consisting mostly of employee severance and related costs, were \$8.6 million and \$21.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005, respectively.

## 7. Summary of Other Income (Expense)

The components of other income (expense), net for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, include the following:

	Three Months ended September 30, 2006	Three Months ended September 30, 2005	Nine Months ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months ended September 30, 2005
(dollars in millions)				



Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-Q

Franchise taxes	\$ (0.9)	\$ (0.6)	\$ (2.4)	\$ (1.9)
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries	0.5	0.3	3.1	1.1
Foreign currency exchange adjustments	0.3	1.9	(2.7)	3.3
Other	0.2	(1.7)	1.4	(6.1)
	\$ 0.1	\$ (0.1)	\$ (0.6)	\$ (3.6)

7

### 8. Income Taxes

The Company's effective income tax rate was 36.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The rate varies from the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the incremental tax on dividends received from non-U.S. subsidiaries, foreign taxes provided at other than the 35% U.S. statutory rate, U.S. state income taxes, nondeductible expenses, and other permanent differences.

The aforementioned items also contributed to the variation between the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate and the Company's effective income tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

The following is a reconciliation of the tax derived by applying the U.S. statutory tax rate to the earnings before income taxes and minority interest and comparing that to the recorded income tax provision:

	Three Months ended September 30, 2006	Three Months ended September 30, 2005	Nine Months ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months ended September 30, 2005
(dollars in millions)				
U.S. statutory tax rate	\$ 17.0	\$ 13.0	\$ 36.6	\$ 18.1
Other	(0.8)	5.1	1.9	6.6
Income tax provision	\$ 16.2	\$ 18.1	\$ 38.5	\$ 24.7

The Internal Revenue Service (the Service) is in the process of completing its examination of the consolidated federal income tax returns of the Company's subsidiary, Nalco Company, and Nalco Company's subsidiaries for the years 2003 and 2004. Recently, the Service informally advised the Company that it is considering the disallowance of federal tax deductions for a series of expenses, totaling \$152 million. These expenses relate to fees paid to the Sponsors and financial institutions for debt issuance and consulting services. The Company believes that the deductions as reflected in its audited financial statements are proper and will be sustained; accordingly, no reserve has been taken for these amounts. Nonetheless, should the Service prevail on the disallowance of any of these expenses, any incremental tax would offset existing net operating loss carryforwards, rather than require immediate cash payments, and a substantial portion of the disallowed tax benefits would be charged to goodwill, and not to the tax provision.

### 9. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-Q

Total comprehensive income (loss) and its components, net of related tax, for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, are as follows:

	Three Months ended September 30, 2006	Three Months ended September 30, 2005	Nine Months ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months ended September 30, 2005
(dollars in millions)				
Net earnings	\$ 30.7	\$ 17.4	\$ 61.0	\$ 22.6
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of income taxes:				
Derivatives	(0.6)	(0.4)	(1.2)	0.3
Foreign currency translation adjustments	3.1	3.9	51.3	(42.1)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 33.2	\$ 20.9	\$ 111.1	\$ (19.2)

10. Segment Information

The Company provides integrated water treatment and process improvement services for industrial and institutional applications, using technologically advanced solutions, combining chemical products and equipment, and consistent, reliable on-site service and expertise. These solutions and services enable the Company's customers to improve production yields, lower manufacturing costs, extend asset lives and maintain environmental standards at costs that represent a small share of their overall production expense.

8

10. Segment Information (continued)

The Company is organized based on the end markets it serves. The organization is comprised of the following reportable segments:

**Industrial and Institutional Services** — This segment serves the global water treatment and process chemical needs of the industrial, institutional, and municipal markets.

**Energy Services** — This segment serves the process chemicals and water treatment needs of the global petroleum and petrochemical industries in both upstream and downstream applications.

**Paper Services** — This segment serves the process chemicals and water treatment needs of the global pulp and paper industry.

**Other** — This segment serves the alternative channels to market, supply chain activities, and certain other operating expenses not allocated to a segment. It also includes the Company's subsidiary in India and the Katayama Nalco joint venture.

The Company evaluates the performance of its segments based on "direct contribution", which is defined as net sales, less cost of products sold (excluding variances to standard costs), selling and service expenses, marketing expenses,

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-Q

research expenses and “capital charges” directly attributable to each segment. Each segment is assessed an internal non-GAAP “capital charge” based on trade accounts receivable, inventories and equipment specifically identifiable to the segment. The capital charges included in each segment’s direct contribution are eliminated to arrive at the consolidated direct contribution for the Company. There are no intersegment revenues. The Company’s segment reporting was changed in the first quarter of 2006 to reflect the aforementioned capital charge in the reported direct contribution of each segment. Prior year data have been reclassified between segments to conform to the current year presentation.

Net sales by reportable segment were as follows:

	Three Months ended September 30, 2006	Three Months ended September 30, 2005	Nine Months ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months ended September 30, 2005
(dollars in millions)				
Industrial and Institutional Services	\$ 406.3	\$ 379.5	\$ 1,174.1	\$ 1,098.0
Energy Services	267.1	223.4	772.7	655.5
Paper Services	181.1	173.9	535.0	520.1
Other	60.9	58.1	174.0	175.2
Net sales	\$ 915.4	\$ 834.9	\$ 2,655.8	\$ 2,448.8

The following table presents direct contribution by reportable segment and reconciles the total segment direct contribution to earnings before income taxes and minority interests:

9

10. Segment Information (continued)

	Three Months ended September 30, 2006	Three Months ended September 30, 2005	Nine Months ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months ended September 30, 2005
(dollars in millions)				
Segment direct contribution:				
Industrial and Institutional Services	\$ 98.7	\$ 87.5	\$ 263.6	\$ 235.9
Energy Services	58.0	42.8	161.2	125.5
Paper Services	28.6	30.8	81.2	88.3
Other	(15.2)	(14.2)	(49.5)	(48.3)
Capital charge elimination	19.4	19.8	57.5	59.2
Total segment direct contribution	189.5	166.7	514.0	460.6
Expenses not allocated to segments:				
Administrative expenses	53.9	42.0	150.8	136.1
Amortization of intangible assets	17.6	20.3	52.4	61.4

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-Q

Business optimization expenses	3.0	3.5	8.6	21.3
Operating earnings	115.0	100.9	302.2	241.8
Other income (expense), net	0.1	(0.1)	(0.6)	(3.6)
Interest income	2.4	2.1	6.4	6.2
Interest expense	(68.9)	(65.8)	(203.3)	(192.7)
Earnings before income taxes and minority interests	\$ 48.6	\$ 37.1	\$ 104.7	\$ 51.7

Administrative expenses primarily represent the cost of support functions, including information technology, finance, human resources and legal, as well as expenses for support facilities, executive management and management incentive plans.

### 11. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock or resulted in the issuance of common stock.

Basic and diluted earnings per share were calculated as follows:

	Three Months ended September 30, 2006	Three Months ended September 30, 2005	Nine Months ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months ended September 30, 2005
(in millions)				
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share:				
Net earnings	\$ 30.7	\$ 17.4	\$ 61.0	\$ 22.6
Denominator for basic earnings per share – weighted average common shares outstanding	143.0	141.7	142.9	141.7
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Stock purchase warrant	3.6	4.9	3.7	4.9
Denominator for diluted earnings per share	146.6	146.6	146.6	146.6

### 12. Contingencies and Litigation

Various claims, lawsuits and administrative proceedings are pending or threatened against the Company and its subsidiaries, arising from the ordinary course of business with respect to commercial,

### 12. Contingencies and Litigation (continued)

contract, intellectual property, product liability, employee, environmental and other matters. Historically, these matters have not had a material impact on the consolidated financial position of the Company. However, the Company

cannot predict the outcome of any litigation or the potential for future litigation.

The Company has been named as a potentially responsible party (PRP) by the Environmental Protection Agency or state enforcement agencies at three pending waste sites where some financial contribution is or may be required. These agencies have also identified many other parties who may be responsible for clean up costs at these waste disposal sites. The Company is also remediating a small ground contamination it discovered at its plant in Colombia and cleaned a raw material spill at its plant near Botany, Australia. The Company's financial contribution to remediate these sites is not expected to be material. There has been no significant financial impact on the Company up to the present, nor is it anticipated that there will be in the future, as a result of these matters. The Company has made and will continue to make provisions for these costs if the Company's liability becomes probable and when costs can be reasonably estimated.

The Company's undiscounted reserves for known environmental clean up costs were \$2.0 million at September 30, 2006. These environmental reserves represent management's current estimate of its proportional clean-up costs (and the cost to remediate the Colombia site) and are based upon negotiation and agreement with enforcement agencies, its previous experience with respect to clean-up activities, a detailed review by the Company of known conditions, and information about other PRPs. They are not reduced by any possible recoveries from insurance companies or other PRPs not specifically identified. Although management cannot determine whether or not a material effect on future operations is reasonably likely to occur, given the evolving nature of environmental regulations, it believes that the recorded reserve levels are appropriate estimates of the potential liability. Although settlement will require future cash outlays, it is not expected that such outlays will materially impact the Company's liquidity position. Expenditures for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, relating to environmental compliance and clean up activities, were not significant.

The Company has been named as a defendant in lawsuits based on claimed involvement in the supply of allegedly defective or hazardous materials and the claimed presence of hazardous substances at its plants. The plaintiffs in these cases seek damages for alleged personal injury or potential injury resulting from exposure to our products or other chemicals. These matters have had a de minimis impact on the Company's business historically and the Company does not anticipate these matters to present any material risk to the Company's business in the future. Notwithstanding, the Company cannot predict the outcome of any such lawsuits or the involvement the Company might have in these matters in the future.

On November 2, 2005, the U.K. Health and Safety Executive ("HSE") sent notice that it intends to initiate legal proceedings against the Company's U.K. subsidiary under the Health and Safety at Work Act. The place of these proceedings is not indicated in the notice. This notice references a legionella outbreak from November 2003 that is claimed to have originated at cooling towers owned by one of the subsidiary's customers. The HSE indicates that the proceedings will relate to the cleaning of these cooling towers. The HSE has not initiated the legal proceedings mentioned in the notice, and the Company has not received any specific charges or claims for relief. The Company will defend and refute any contention that it has violated any law.

Beginning on May 16, 2003, the Company received subpoenas from the U.S. Department of Justice for documents and testimony relating to its storage of claimed hazardous materials, the claimed leakage of wastewater and other matters at its plant in Garyville, Louisiana. No charges or indictments have been filed, but the outcome of this investigation is unknown to the Company.

In the ordinary course of its business, the Company is also a party to a number of lawsuits and is subject to various claims relating to trademarks, employee matters, contracts, transactions, chemicals and other matters, the outcome of which, in the opinion of management, should not have a material

#### 12. Contingencies and Litigation (continued)

effect on the consolidated financial position of the Company. However, we cannot predict the outcome of any litigation or the potential for future litigation. Were an unfavorable ruling to occur, there exists the possibility of a material adverse impact on the results of operations for the period in which the ruling occurs. The Company maintains accruals where the outcome of the matter is probable and can be reasonably estimated.

#### 13. Guarantees

No significant guarantees were outstanding at September 30, 2006, other than subsidiary-related performance guarantees.

The Company had \$42.5 million of letters of credit outstanding at September 30, 2006.

#### 14. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. The interpretation clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements by prescribing a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. The effective date of FIN 48 is no later than fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is in the process of determining the effects, if any, that adoption of FIN 48 will have on its financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are effective for the Company as of the beginning of its fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. The Company is in the process of determining the effects, if any, that adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have on its financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans – an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R). SFAS No. 158 requires an employer to recognize in its statement of financial position an asset for a plan's overfunded status or a liability for a plan's underfunded status, measure a plan's assets and its obligations that determine its funded status as of the end of the employer's fiscal year, and recognize changes in the funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in the year in which the changes occur. Those changes will be reported in comprehensive income and as a separate component of shareholders' equity. SFAS No. 158 does not change the amount of net periodic benefit cost included in net earnings. The requirement to recognize the funded status of defined benefit postretirement plans is effective for the Company as of December 31, 2006. The requirement to measure plan assets and benefit obligations as of the end of the fiscal year is effective for the Company no later than December 31, 2008. Retrospective application of both the recognition and measurement date provisions of SFAS No. 158 is not permitted. Had the Company applied the recognition provisions of SFAS No. 158 as of December 31, 2005, accumulated other comprehensive income would have been reduced by an additional \$2.1 million. However, this impact could change materially as of December 31, 2006, due to changes in the discount rates used to measure plan obligations, the actual returns on plan assets, and other factors.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108 (SAB 108), Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial

Statements, to address diversity in practice in quantifying financial statement misstatements. SAB 108 provides interpretive guidance on the consideration of the

12

---

14. Recent Accounting Pronouncements (continued)  
effects of prior year misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements for the purpose of a materiality assessment. SAB 108 is effective for the Company in its year ended December 31, 2006, and allows a one-time transitional cumulative effect adjustment to retained earnings as of January 1, 2006 for errors that were not previously deemed material, but are material under the guidance in SAB 108. The Company is in the process of determining the effects, if any, that SAB 108 will have on its financial statements.

13

---

#### Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations  
On November 4, 2003, Nalco Holdings LLC, our subsidiary and a company formed by The Blackstone Group, Apollo Management, L.P. and GS Capital Partners (the "Sponsors"), purchased all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Ondeo Nalco Company (which is now known as Nalco Company) and the Nalco International SAS Subsidiaries, which had been operated as a single business unit, from subsidiaries of Suez S.A. (Suez). In the following discussion and analysis, we refer to this acquisition as the "Acquisition," and the term "Transactions" means, collectively, the Acquisition and the related financings to fund the Acquisition.

The statements in the discussion and analysis regarding industry outlook, our expectations regarding the performance of our business and the other non-historical statements in the discussion and analysis are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those contained in or implied by any forward-looking statements. You should read the following discussion together with the consolidated financial statements of Nalco Holding Company included elsewhere in this report.

"Safe Harbor" Statement Under Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

This Quarterly Report for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2006 (the "Quarterly Report") includes "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. These forward-looking statements include statements concerning our plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events, future revenue or performance, capital expenditures, financing needs, plans or intentions relating to acquisitions, business trends and other information that is not historical information. When used in this Quarterly Report, the words "estimates," "expects," "anticipates," "projects," "plans," "intends," "believes," "future or conditional verbs, such as "will," "should," "could" or "may," and variations of such words or similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements, including, without limitation, management's examination of historical operating trends and data are based upon our current expectations and various assumptions. Our expectations, beliefs and projections are expressed in good faith and we believe there is a reasonable basis for them. However, there can be no assurance that management's expectations, beliefs and projections will be

achieved.

There are a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report. Additionally, important factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements we make in this Quarterly Report. As stated elsewhere in this Quarterly Report, such risks, uncertainties and other important factors include, among others:

- our substantial leverage;
- limitations on flexibility in operating our business contained in our debt agreements;
- increases in interest rates as a result of our variable rate indebtedness;
- pricing pressure from our customers;
- changes to, or elimination of, legal or regulatory requirements imposed upon our customers which could adversely affect portions of our business, such as that which serves the synthetic-fuel industry;
- technological change and innovation;
- risks associated with our non-U.S. operations;
- fluctuations in currency exchange rates;
- high competition in the markets in which we operate;
- adverse changes to environmental, health and safety regulations;
- operating hazards in our production facilities;

14

---

## Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

- inability to achieve expected cost savings;
- difficulties in securing the raw materials we use;
- our significant pension benefit obligations and the current underfunding in our pension plans;
- our ability to realize the full value of our intangible assets;
- our ability to attract and retain skilled employees, particularly research scientists, technical sales professionals and engineers;
- our ability to protect our intellectual property rights; and
- the possibility that our owners' interests will conflict with ours or yours.

There may be other factors that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. For further information regarding risk factors, please refer to Part I, Item 1A in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, Part II, Item 1A of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006, and Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report.

All forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf apply only as of the date of this Quarterly Report and are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements included in this Quarterly Report. We undertake no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements which may be made to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

### Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Free Cash Flow are measures used by management to measure operating



performance. Adjusted EBITDA is also used to determine our compliance with financial covenants and our ability to engage in certain activities such as incurring additional debt and making certain payments.

EBITDA is defined as net earnings plus interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. Adjusted EBITDA is defined as EBITDA further adjusted for certain cash and non-cash charges, as permitted under our senior discount note, senior note and senior subordinated note indentures and our senior credit facility. Free Cash Flow is defined as net cash provided by operating activities, less capital expenditures and minority interest charges.

We believe EBITDA is useful to the investors because it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of companies in our industry. We consider the inclusion of supplementary adjustments to EBITDA applied in presenting Adjusted EBITDA appropriate to provide additional information to investors to demonstrate compliance with our financing covenants. We believe Free Cash Flow provides investors with a measure of our ability to generate cash for the repayment of debt.

EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA and Free Cash Flow are not recognized terms under U.S. GAAP and do not purport to be alternatives to net earnings (loss) as an indicator of operating performance or to cash flows from operating activities as a measure of liquidity. The most direct comparable GAAP financial measures of each non-GAAP financial measure, as well as the reconciliation between each non-GAAP financial measure and the GAAP financial measure, are presented in the discussions of the non-GAAP financial measures below. Because not all companies use identical calculations, our measures may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies.

#### Executive Level Overview

Third quarter sales increased 9.7% to \$915.4 million, including 7.8% organic growth and 1.9% in favorable foreign currency translation rate changes, from prior-year revenues of \$834.9 million. Organic

15

---

#### Table of Contents

##### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

growth excludes the impacts of changes in foreign currency translation rates and acquisitions and divestitures. In addition to obtaining 3.8% price improvement, real sales growth — organic growth less price that simply passes on higher costs — was 4.0% which moves Nalco closer to our general expectations for 5% real growth in base business sales.

Energy Services again led Nalco's growth efforts, delivering its third straight quarter of 15% or better organic revenue growth, with 17.4% improvement in the quarter ended September 30. Strong product portfolios and substantial investments we made training new sales engineers and service technicians ahead of growth are key contributors to expansion in the Energy Services segment.

Our Downstream refinery and petrochemical business continues to grow at the nearly 15% organic rate delivered year-to-date. The Adomite well-service business was up just over 20% in the third quarter. While price was an important contributor to the organic sales increase, real growth was also ahead of the 11.3% average growth pace for rig counts in the quarter. Our Oil Field services business grew at a 20% organic pace in the quarter ended September 30, an acceleration from the 15% organic growth pace achieved through the first six months of 2006. This acceleration largely results from not repeating the closures of deepwater Gulf of Mexico platforms prior to and following hurricanes Katrina and Rita last year.

Price improvement played a role in the 250 basis points direct contribution margin expansion in Energy Services versus the prior year. In addition, segment results include an initial \$1.5 million business interruption insurance recovery from last year's hurricanes. We continue to work toward additional insurance recoveries. At its current pace, Energy Services should pass the \$1 billion mark in sales before year-end.

Industrial and Institutional Services (I&IS) sales grew 5.1% organically. Among the higher-growth regions and/or industries were: Asia/Pacific mining, global marine, North American chemical and food and beverage, Latin America, Eastern Europe, Africa and the Middle East. The chemical business in the United States benefited from Gulf Coast chemical plants being fully operational this year.

High oil prices in the early part of the third quarter contributed to a significant decline in synfuel sales. Those sales began to return in September as oil prices dropped under the \$70 per barrel mark. The I&IS business expanded its direct contribution margins in the quarter by 120 basis points compared to the prior year.

Paper Services sales increased 2.1% organically — on pace with year-to-date growth of 2.2%. All of the increase comes from price. Latin America grew nicely, Asia/Pacific improved and North America is nearly stable, but European paper declined both organically and in real terms. Paper Services direct contribution margin improved from first half results, but remains well below year-ago levels and even further behind what we consider normal performance levels.

Our price achievement in the Paper market is well below necessary levels given the pace of purchased material and freight cost increases since mid-2004. We recently announced additional price increases for the Paper Services segment.

Third quarter net earnings increased more than 75% to \$30.7 million from 2005 third quarter earnings of \$17.4 million. Diluted earnings per share were 21 cents compared to 12 cents in the prior-year period.

Adjusted EBITDA, which is used to determine compliance with the Company's debt covenants, increased 11.3% to \$183.1 million from \$164.5 million in the third quarter of 2005. During the third quarter, price contributed about \$31 million to improvement from the prior year, while purchased material and freight costs increased \$18 million over that same period. This is the first time that we have succeeded in reducing the lag between our price increases and cost increases to less than one quarter since mid-2004. The price-cost differential accounts for nearly all of our Adjusted EBITDA improvement.

16

---

## Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

We have made strong progress this year in developing the right structures for our business – minimizing the impact of double-taxation to which some of our earnings stream had been exposed in our immediate, post-LBO structure. We now estimate our effective income tax rate for the year to be 37.4%. Our third quarter rate of 33.3% includes some one-time impacts, so our year-to-date effective tax rate stands at 36.8%. The third quarter rate change from our estimated effective tax rate at the end of June 2006 added 2 cents to third quarter earnings per share. The effective tax rate improvements are expected to reduce cash tax obligations as well as income tax expense.

Free Cash Flow, which we define as cash flow from operations less net capital expenditures and minority interest charges, was \$117.5 million in the third quarter. With our Free Cash Flow in the quarter, we repaid \$114.0 million of Term Loan B debt in the quarter ended September 30. In addition, we more fully utilized our receivables

securitization facility to pay off the \$25 million that had been outstanding on our revolver at the end of the second quarter. Net cash provided by operating activities is reconciled to Free Cash Flow as follows:

	Three Months ended September 30, 2006	Three Months ended September 30, 2005
(dollars in millions)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 141.3	\$ 142.4
Minority interests	(1.7)	(1.6)
Additions to property, plant, and equipment, net	(22.1)	(20.3)
Free cash flow	\$ 117.5	\$ 120.5

Operating working capital continues to require a use of cash. While inventories and payables remained stable in the third quarter, we used additional working capital for receivables. We expect to end the year with working capital requiring a use of cash of somewhat more than the \$40 million previously estimated. However, we will drive improvement – primarily in payables and receivables – before year-end.

#### Year-to-date Results and Outlook

Through nine months, Nalco sales of \$2.66 billion are up 8.5% from \$2.45 billion in the first three quarters of 2005, including real growth of 3.7% and 4.5% price improvement. We expect to end the year above our 7% organic growth target.

Geographically, Latin America continues to be our fastest growth region in nominal terms, followed by Asia/Pacific, North America and then Europe, Africa and the Middle East. Year-to-date, currency translations boosted Latin American sales, but were drags in Europe and several Asian markets.

Our focus in the fourth quarter is on delivering a strong finish to 2006, making further progress toward targeted 5% real growth in our base business while continuing to obtain price. This outlook assumes that cost increases will moderate, and that our most concentrated efforts to obtain additional price will be in the Paper industry. Our oil field and chemical businesses in and around the Gulf of Mexico should improve in the fourth quarter, as a substantial number of Gulf platforms and nearby chemical plants did not reopen post-hurricanes until late in the fourth quarter 2005 and some well into 2006.

At \$61.0 million, September year-to-date net earnings are more than double September 2005 year-to-date net earnings of \$22.6 million. Diluted earnings per share stand at 42 cents compared to 15 cents for the first nine months of 2005.

Year-to-date adjusted EBITDA is up 10.7% to \$487.1 million from \$440.0 million, and remains on track to reach our 12% growth target for the year. We expect fourth quarter results to be modestly

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

ahead of the third quarter, providing the 3 percent sequential improvement needed to deliver on our targeted 12% growth in Adjusted EBITDA this year. This would put the fourth quarter 15% ahead of the same quarter of 2005. In addition to not repeating raw material cost spikes and sales losses from last year's hurricanes, we expect real growth, price and cost improvement programs to allow us to reach our Adjusted EBITDA target. Included in the forecast is an accrual for variable compensation programs in the fourth quarter, an increase of \$8 million compared to the same period of 2005. In the quarter ended September 30, our variable compensation accrual was an additional \$9 million above the same period of 2005.

Since costs began escalating in the third quarter of 2004, purchased material and freight increases have totaled about \$270 million across the Company. Price actions since that time have recovered about \$261 million. As a Company, we expect cumulative price capture to catch up to purchased material and freight cost increases by year-end.

While generally pleased with the performance of the business, we have fallen behind in achieving planned cost savings. Year-to-date cost savings stand at \$36 million compared to our full-year target of \$75 million. We are late generating savings from two major projects – a new order entry process and a new customer service center in Europe. While late, both of these projects are expected to hit their planned savings targets. It is very unlikely now that we will hit our \$75 million cost savings target, after delivering \$88 and \$89 million in the past two years, respectively.

Contributing to the cost impact is a series of investments we made in sales engineers and R&D to support high growth in Energy Services and developing countries that have already exceeded the \$17 million investment target we established at the beginning of the year.

Even with the underperformance on cost savings efforts, earnings per share are expected to end consistent with prior guidance as anticipated business process optimization charges of more than \$10 million for the year – much of which has already been incurred – are expected to offset the benefits of lower taxes compared to initial 2006 expectations. On balance, these impacts are likely to result in diluted earnings per share of about 65 cents. Included in this total, we expect a 1-cent per share benefit in the fourth quarter from a tax rate lower than the 40 percent previously expected. At year-end, we expect our annual effective tax rate to be at 37.4%. Going forward, we believe that our effective tax rate for 2006 of 37.4% should represent the upper limit of our rate. We continue to work on programs to make further improvements.

Year-to-date Free Cash Flow stands at \$138.1 million, and we have left our target unchanged for the year at \$170 million. While we expect some cash tax benefits from our reduced tax rate, these gains may be partly offset by expectations for higher-than-planned business process optimization expenses in the fourth quarter. Net cash provided by operating activities is reconciled to Free Cash Flow as follows:

	Nine Months ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months ended September 30, 2005
(dollars in millions)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 202.9	\$ 172.1
Minority interests	(5.2)	(4.4)
Additions to property, plant, and equipment, net	(59.6)	(45.3)
Free cash flow	\$ 138.1	\$ 122.4

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

Our capital expenditure program, about \$60 million through three quarters, would appear to be well below the \$105 million spend we projected this year. Last year, however, we spent roughly 40% of our capital in the fourth quarter. Heavy fourth quarter capital spending is typical of Nalco. A similar spend rate this year would put us at about \$100 million in capital expenditures, or very near to our expected spend level this year.

Results of Operations — Consolidated

Quarter Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to the Quarter Ended September 30, 2005

Net sales for the three months ended September 30, 2006 were \$915.4 million, a 9.7% increase from the \$834.9 million reported for the three months ended September 30, 2005. On an organic basis, which excludes the impacts of changes in foreign currency translation rates and acquisitions and divestitures, net sales were up 7.8%. Of this improvement, 3.8% was attributed to price increase, while the remaining 4.0% was driven by real growth.

Gross profit, defined as the difference between net sales and cost of product sold, of \$413.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 increased by \$36.1 million, or 9.6%, over the \$377.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. On an organic basis, gross profit increased by 7.7%. Contributing to the improvement was the impact of higher selling prices and sales volumes, partly offset by the effect of increased costs of raw and other purchased materials. An initial business interruption recovery of \$1.5 million related to last year's hurricanes was recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2006, which also contributed to the increase in gross profit. Gross profit margin for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was 45.1% compared to 45.2% for the year-ago period.

Selling, administrative, and research expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2006 of \$277.5 million increased \$25.2 million, or 10.0%, from \$252.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. On an organic basis, selling, administrative, and research expenses increased 8.2%. This was mostly attributable to higher salaries, employee incentive plan expenses, employee benefits, travel, and outside consulting related to our work process redesign initiatives and the rationalization of our legal entity structure.

Amortization of intangible assets was \$17.6 million and \$20.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The decrease was attributable to lower amortization of customer relationships, which are amortized using an accelerated method.

Business optimization expenses, representing mostly employee severance and related costs associated with the continuing redesign and optimization of business and work processes, were \$3.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, a \$0.5 million decrease from the \$3.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005.

Other income (expense), net favorably changed by \$0.2 million from the net expense of \$0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. This was largely the result of higher earnings of our affiliated entities.

Net interest expense, defined as the combination of interest income and interest expense, of \$66.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006 increased by \$2.8 million from the \$63.7 million reported for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The impact of higher interest rates on variable rate borrowings more than offset the impact of a lower average debt level compared to the year-ago period.

The effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2006 was 33.3%. The rate varies from the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the incremental tax on dividends received from non-U.S. subsidiaries, foreign taxes provided at other than the 35% U.S. statutory rate, U.S. state income taxes, nondeductible expenses, and other permanent differences.

19

---

## Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

The aforementioned items also contributed to the variation between the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate and the Company's effective income tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2005.

During the three months ended September 30, 2006, the income tax provision was favorably affected by a reduction in the estimate of the annual effective tax rate. The tax provision was also reduced by the recognition of certain discrete items in the quarter, which lowered the effective tax rate for the third quarter 2006 by 2.7 percentage-points.

Minority interest expense was \$0.1 million higher than the \$1.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005, which was due to mixed results for the Company's non-wholly owned subsidiaries.

### Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005

Net sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were \$2,655.8 million, an 8.5% increase from the \$2,448.8 million reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. On an organic basis, which excludes the impacts of changes in foreign currency translation rates and acquisitions and divestitures, net sales were up 8.2%. Of this improvement, 4.5% was attributed to price increase, while the remaining 3.7% was driven by real growth.

Gross profit, defined as the difference between net sales and cost of product sold, of \$1,186.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 increased by \$89.0 million, or 8.1%, over the \$1,097.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. On an organic basis, gross profit increased by 7.9%. Higher sales volume and the impact of higher selling prices, partly offset by the effect of increased costs of raw and other purchased materials, contributed to this change. The improvement was also partly attributable to an inventory write-off of \$9.0 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Also contributing to the improvement was an initial business interruption recovery of \$1.5 million related to last year's hurricanes that was recognized in 2006. Gross profit margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was 44.7% compared to 44.8% for the year-ago period.

Selling, administrative, and research expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 of \$823.6 million increased \$50.3 million, or 6.5%, from \$773.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. On an organic basis, selling, administrative, and research expenses increased 6.4%. This was mainly attributable to selling expense, which was primarily due to higher salaries, commission expenses, employee benefits, bad debts, and outside services. Administrative expense increased from the year-ago period due to higher employee incentive plan expenses, employee benefits, and outside consulting related to our work process redesign initiatives and the rationalization of our legal entity structure.

Amortization of intangible assets was \$52.4 million and \$61.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The decrease was attributable to lower amortization of customer relationships, which are amortized using an accelerated method.

Business optimization expenses, representing mostly employee severance and related costs associated with the continuing redesign and optimization of business and work processes, were \$8.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, a \$12.7 million decrease from the \$21.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The nine months ended September 30, 2005 included a \$14.2 million charge to support a plan to delay our management structure and reduce costs in lower-performing business units.

Other income (expense), net favorably changed by \$3.0 million from the net expense of \$3.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. A \$2.4 million impairment loss on a business held for sale recognized in 2005 and a \$2.0 million increase in equity in earnings of unconsolidated subsidiaries contributed to the improvement. Partly offsetting these favorable year-over-year changes was an unfavorable change in foreign exchange gains and losses due to the weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the euro during 2006.

20

---

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

Net interest expense, defined as the combination of interest income and interest expense, of \$196.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 increased by \$10.4 million from the \$186.5 million reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

The impact of higher interest rates on variable rate borrowings more than offset the impact of a lower average debt level compared to the year-ago period.

The effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 was 36.8%. The rate varies from the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate of 35% primarily due to the incremental tax on dividends received from non-U.S. subsidiaries, foreign taxes provided at other than the 35% U.S. statutory rate, U.S. state income taxes, nondeductible expenses, and other permanent differences.

The aforementioned items also contributed to the variation between the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate and the Company's effective income tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the income tax provision was favorably affected by a reduction in the estimate of the annual effective tax rate. The tax provision was also reduced by the recognition of certain discrete items in the period, which lowered the effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 by 1.6 percentage-points.

Minority interest expense was \$0.8 million higher than the \$4.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005, reflecting improved results for the Company's non-wholly owned subsidiaries in Spain, Saudi Arabia, and Japan.

Results of Operations — Segment Reporting

Quarter Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to the Quarter Ended September 30, 2005

Net sales by reportable segment for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005 may be compared as follows:

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-Q

(dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended		% Change	Attributable to Changes in the Following Factors		
	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005		Currency Translation	Acquisitions/Divestitures	Organic
	Industrial & Institutional Services	\$406.3		\$379.5	7.1%	2.0%
Energy Services	267.1	223.4	19.6%	2.2%	—	17.4%
Paper Services	181.1	173.9	4.2%	2.1%	—	2.1%
Other	60.9	58.1	4.8%	(0.9)%	—	5.7%
Net sales	\$915.4	\$834.9	9.7%	1.9%	—	7.8%

The Industrial and Institutional Services division reported sales of \$406.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, a 7.1% increase over the \$379.5 million for the year-ago-period. Price increases accounted for approximately two-thirds of the 5.1% organic change. Double-digit real growth was reported by our Asian mining and global marine businesses, as well as in the emerging markets in Eastern Europe, the Middle East and Africa.

The Energy Services division reported sales of \$267.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, a 19.6% gain over the \$223.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2005. Organically, sales improved by 17.4%, with about three-fourths of this increase attributed to real growth. All businesses within Energy Services grew double digits in the quarter, with our Upstream oil field production and Downstream refinery and petrochemical businesses expanding at faster-than-market growth rates.

The Paper Services division reported sales of \$181.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, a 4.2% increase over the \$173.9 million reported for the third quarter of 2005.

21

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

The North American region reported positive organic growth as a result of price increases, while solid organic improvements in Latin America and Asia were mostly the result of real growth. The European region continues to struggle with customer closures and lost business due to the price-increase initiatives.

Direct contribution is defined as the difference between net sales and operating costs, including cost of product sold, selling and service expenses, marketing expenses, research expenses and capital charges. Direct contribution by reportable segment for the three months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005 may be compared as follows:

(dollars in millions)	Three Months Ended		% Change	Attributable to Changes in the Following Factors		
	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005		Currency Translation	Acquisitions/Divestitures	Organic
	Industrial & Institutional Services	\$ 98.7		\$ 87.5	12.8%	2.1%



Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-Q

Energy Services	58.0	42.8	35.5%	2.7%	—	32.8%
Paper Services	28.6	30.8	(6.9)%	1.9%	—	(8.8)%
Other	(15.2)	(14.2)	(6.9)%	(4.6)%	—	(2.3)%

Direct contribution of the Industrial and Institutional Services division was \$98.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, an increase of 12.8% over the \$87.5 million reported for the three months ended September 30, 2005. Sales growth accounted for the 10.7% organic improvement in direct contribution.

The Energy Services division reported direct contribution of \$58.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, a 35.5% increase over the \$42.8 million reported for the year-ago period. On an organic basis, direct contribution increased 32.8%. Higher sales volume accounted for most of the increase. Gross profit margins improved as planned price increases took effect. An initial business interruption insurance recovery of \$1.5 million related to last year's hurricanes was recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2006, which also contributed to the increase over the year-ago period.

The Paper Services division reported direct contribution of \$28.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006, a 6.9% decrease from the direct contribution reported for the three months ended September 30, 2005. Organically, direct contribution was down 8.8%, as costs of raw and other purchased materials continued to exceed price increases.

The direct contribution loss of \$15.2 million reported in "Other" for the three months ended September 30, 2006, represented an increase of \$1.0 million from the \$14.2 million direct contribution loss reported in the third quarter 2005.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2005

Net sales by reportable segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005 may be compared as follows:

(dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended		% Change	Attributable to Changes in the Following Factors		
	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005		Currency Translation	Acquisitions/ Divestitures	Organic
Industrial & Institutional Services	\$1,174.1	\$1,098.0	6.9%	0.3%	—	6.6%
Energy Services	772.7	655.5	17.9%	0.7%	—	17.2%
Paper Services	535.0	520.1	2.8%	0.6%	—	2.2%
Other	174.0	175.2	(0.7)%	(3.0)%	—	2.3%
Net sales	\$2,655.8	\$2,448.8	8.5%	0.3%	—	8.2%

22

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

Edgar Filing: Nalco Holding CO - Form 10-Q

The Industrial and Institutional Services division posted sales of \$1,174.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, an increase of 6.9% over the \$1,098.0 million for the year-ago-period. Price increases accounted for about three-fourths of the 6.6% organic increase in sales.

The Energy Services division reported sales of \$772.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, a 17.9% gain over the \$655.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Sales improved by 17.2% on an organic basis, with slightly more than two-thirds of this improvement attributed to real growth.

The Paper Services division posted sales of \$535.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, a 2.8% increase over the \$520.1 million reported for the year-ago period. The North American region reported positive organic growth as a result of price increases, while organic improvements in Latin America and Asia were primarily the result of real growth. These organic growth gains were partly offset by a decline in the European region.

The 2.3% organic growth in sales reported by the Other segment was attributable to price increases by our Integrated Channels business and real growth by our subsidiary in India.

Direct contribution by reportable segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005 may be compared as follows:

(dollars in millions)	Nine Months Ended		% Change	Attributable to Changes in the Following Factors		
	September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005		Currency Translation	Acquisitions/ Divestitures	Organic
Industrial & Institutional Services	\$263.6	\$235.9	11.7%	0.3%	—	11.4%
Energy Services	161.2	125.5	28.5%	0.9%	—	27.6%
Paper Services	81.2	88.3	(8.0)%	0.9%	—	(8.9)%
Other	(49.5)	(48.3)	(2.5)%	(1.7)%	—	(0.8)%

Direct contribution of the Industrial and Institutional Services division was \$263.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, an increase of 11.7% over the \$235.9 million reported for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. Organically, direct contribution improved 11.4%. The Industrial and Institutional Services division's success in implementing price increases and controlling operating expenses contributed to the period-on-period performance improvement.

The Energy Services division reported direct contribution of \$161.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, a 28.5% increase over the \$125.5 million reported for the prior year period. On an organic basis, direct contribution increased 27.6%. Higher sales volume and the impact of price increases accounted for most of the improvement. An initial business interruption insurance recovery of \$1.5 million related to last year's hurricanes recognized in 2006 also contributed to the increase.

The Paper Services division reported direct contribution of \$81.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, an 8.0% decrease from the \$88.3 million reported for the year-ago period. Organically, direct contribution was down 8.9%, as costs of raw and other purchased materials continued to exceed price increases. Due to tight controls on spending, operating expenses were flat on a year-over-year basis despite a significant increase in bad debts.

The direct contribution loss of \$49.5 million reported in "Other" for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, represented an increase of \$1.2 million from the \$48.3 million direct contribution loss reported for the nine months

ended September 30, 2005. Significant contributors to the year-over-year change include supply chain variances that benefited 2006 results and favorable adjustments in 2005 for commissions and insurance/legal claims that did not recur in 2006.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Operating activities. Historically, the Company's main source of liquidity has been its solid cash flow generated by operating activities. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, cash provided

23

---

### Table of Contents

#### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

by operating activities was \$202.9 million, an increase of \$30.8 million from the same period last year. The improvement was mainly the result of the increase in net earnings and not making any payments in 2006 for 2005 variable incentive plans. Partly offsetting these improvements were higher contributions to the principal U.S. pension plan and higher cash requirements for inventories and accounts payable.

Investing activities. Cash used for investing activities was \$57.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006, which was mostly attributable to net property additions of \$59.6 million.

Cash used for investing activities was \$47.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. This was mostly the result of net property additions of \$45.3 million.

Financing activities. Net cash used for financing activities totaled \$133.6 million and \$110.6 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which was mostly attributable to a net decrease in borrowings.

Since the Transactions, we have been highly leveraged. Our liquidity requirements are significant, primarily due to debt service requirements as well as research and development and capital investment. Our primary source of liquidity will continue to be cash flow generated from operations, but we also have availability under a \$250 million revolving credit facility and a \$100 million receivables facility, in each case subject to certain conditions. We believe that our financial position and financing structure will provide flexibility in worldwide financing activities and permit us to respond to changing conditions in credit markets.

Senior credit facilities. On November 4, 2003, we entered into senior credit facilities which provided for a revolving credit facility, a \$300 million six-year term loan A facility (which includes an €88.0 million tranche) which matures in November 2009 and a \$1,300 million seven-year term loan B facility which matures in November 2010. Borrowings under the senior credit facilities bear interest at a floating base rate plus an applicable margin. The applicable margin for borrowings under the revolving credit facility and the term loan A facility is 1.50% with respect to base rate borrowings and 2.50% with respect to LIBOR or Eurocurrency borrowings and may be reduced subject to our attaining certain leverage ratios. The applicable margin for borrowings under the term loan B facility is 0.75% with respect to base rate borrowings and 1.75% with respect to LIBOR or Eurocurrency borrowings. The applicable margin for borrowings under the term loan B facility is not subject to adjustment.

In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the senior credit facilities, we are required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders under the revolving credit facility in respect of the unutilized commitments at a rate

equal to 0.50%. We also pay customary letter of credit fees.

The term loan A facility will amortize each year in quarterly amounts at a rate of 5% per annum in year one, 10% per annum in year two, 15% per annum in year three, 20% per annum in year four and 25% per annum in each of years five and six.

The term loan B facility will amortize each year in an amount equal to 1% per annum in equal quarterly installments for the first six years and nine months, with the remaining amount payable on November 4, 2010.

At September 30, 2006, the outstanding balance of the term loan A and term loan B facilities was \$78.0 million and \$957.0 million, respectively.

Principal amounts outstanding under the revolving credit facility will be due and payable in full at maturity on November 4, 2009. At September 30, 2006, no borrowings were outstanding under the revolving credit facility.

The senior credit facilities contain a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict, subject to certain exceptions, our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries (including Nalco Company)

24

---

## Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

to sell assets, incur additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock, repay other indebtedness, pay dividends and distributions or repurchase certain capital stock, create liens on assets, make investments, loans or advances, make certain acquisitions, engage in mergers or consolidations, enter into sale and leaseback transactions, engage in certain transactions with affiliates, amend certain material agreements governing our indebtedness, change the business conducted by us and our subsidiaries (including Nalco Company) and enter into hedging agreements. In addition, the senior credit facilities require Nalco Company to maintain the following significant financial covenants: a maximum total leverage ratio, a minimum interest coverage ratio and a maximum capital expenditures limitation. We were in compliance with all covenants at September 30, 2006.

Senior notes, senior subordinated notes and senior discount notes. As part of the Transactions in November 2003, Nalco Company issued \$665 million aggregate principal amount of 7¾% U.S. dollar-denominated senior notes due 2011, €200 million aggregate principal amount of 7¾% euro-denominated senior notes due 2011, \$465 million aggregate principal amount of 8 7/8% U.S. dollar-denominated senior subordinated notes due 2013 and €200 million aggregate principal amount of 9% euro-denominated senior subordinated notes due 2013.

On January 21, 2004, our subsidiaries, Nalco Finance Holdings LLC and Nalco Finance Holdings Inc., issued \$694.0 million aggregate principal amount at maturity of 9.0% senior discount notes due 2014. Prior to February 1, 2009, interest will accrue on the notes in the form of an increase in the accreted value of such notes. The accreted value of each note will increase from the date of issuance until February 1, 2009 at a rate of 9.0% per annum, reflecting the accrual of non-cash interest, such that the accreted value will equal the principal amount at maturity on February 1, 2009. Cash interest payments on the notes will be due and payable beginning in 2009. Our primary source of liquidity for such payments will be cash flow generated from the operations of subsidiaries, including Nalco Holdings LLC and Nalco Company. However, the terms of Nalco Company's senior credit agreement fully prohibit Nalco Holdings LLC and our other subsidiaries from paying dividends or otherwise transferring assets to the issuers. In addition, the terms of certain of the indentures governing the existing senior notes and senior subordinated notes of Nalco Company

significantly restrict Nalco Company and our other subsidiaries from paying dividends, making distributions and otherwise transferring assets to the issuers of the senior discount notes. For example, the ability of Nalco Company to make such payments is governed by a formula based on 50% of its consolidated net income (which, as defined in such indentures, excludes impairment charges, amortization charges from purchase accounting and any after-tax extraordinary, unusual or nonrecurring gains and losses).

In addition, as a condition to making such payments to the issuers based on such formula, Nalco Holdings LLC must have an Adjusted EBITDA to interest expense ratio of at least 2.0 to 1 after giving effect to any such payments. Notwithstanding such restrictions, such indentures permit an aggregate of \$50.0 million of such payments to be made whether or not there is availability under the formula or the conditions to its use are met.

In December 2004, Nalco Finance Holdings LLC and Nalco Finance Holdings Inc. redeemed a portion of the senior discount notes with an accreted value of \$162.3 million using proceeds from the November 2004 initial public offering of common stock of Nalco Holding Company. After the partial redemption, the aggregate principal amount at maturity of the notes declined to \$460.8 million from \$694.0 million.

The indentures governing the senior notes, the senior subordinated notes and senior discount notes limit our ability and the ability of our restricted subsidiaries to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- pay dividends on or make other distributions or repurchase certain capital stock;
- make certain investments;

25

---

## Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

- enter into certain types of transactions with affiliates;
- limit dividends or other payments by our restricted subsidiaries;
- use assets as security in other transactions; and
- sell certain assets or merge with or into other companies.

Subject to certain exceptions, the indentures governing the senior notes, the senior subordinated notes, and the senior discount notes permit the Company and our restricted subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness, including secured indebtedness.

**Covenant compliance.** The breach of covenants in our senior credit agreement that are tied to ratios based on Adjusted EBITDA could result in a default under that agreement and the lenders could elect to declare all amounts borrowed due and payable. Any such acceleration would also result in a default under our indentures. Additionally, under our debt agreements, our ability to engage in activities such as incurring additional indebtedness, making investments and paying dividends is also tied to ratios based on Adjusted EBITDA.

Adjusted EBITDA is used to determine our compliance with many of the covenants contained in the indentures governing the notes and in our senior credit agreement. Adjusted EBITDA is defined as EBITDA further adjusted to exclude unusual items and other adjustments permitted in calculating covenant compliance under the indentures and our senior credit facility. We believe that the inclusion of supplementary adjustments to EBITDA applied in presenting Adjusted EBITDA are appropriate to provide additional information to investors to demonstrate compliance with our financing covenants.

Adjusted EBITDA is calculated as follows:

	Three Months ended September 30, 2006	Three Months ended September 30, 2005	Nine Months ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months ended September 30, 2005
(dollars in millions)				
Net earnings	\$ 30.7	\$ 17.4	\$ 61.0	\$ 22.6
Interest, net	66.5	63.7	196.9	186.5
Income tax provision	16.2	18.1	38.5	24.7
Depreciation	32.8	33.3	97.5	100.0
Amortization	17.6	20.3	52.4	61.4
EBITDA	\$ 163.8	\$ 152.8	\$ 446.3	\$ 395.2
Non-cash charges <sup>(1)</sup>	10.7	5.0	26.7	20.8
Business optimization expenses <sup>(2)</sup>	3.0	3.5	8.6	21.3
Unusual items <sup>(3)</sup>	6.8	4.3	9.5	6.8
Other adjustments <sup>(4)</sup>	(1.2)	(1.1)	(4.0)	(4.1)
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 183.1	\$ 164.5	\$ 487.1	\$ 440.0

(1) Non-cash charges are further detailed on the following table:

26

## Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

(dollars in millions)	Three Months ended September 30, 2006	Three Months ended September 30, 2005	Nine Months ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months ended September 30, 2005
Asset write-offs	\$ 0.3	\$ —	\$ 1.4	\$ 4.9
Profit sharing expense and 401(k)	7.0	1.1	18.8	10.9
Other funded by Suez	3.4	3.9	6.5	5.0
Non-cash charges	\$ 10.7	\$ 5.0	\$ 26.7	\$ 20.8

#### Profit Sharing and 401(k) Expense Funded by Suez

In conjunction with the Acquisition, we entered into an agreement with Suez whereby Suez will reimburse us for certain profit-sharing and 401(k) matching contributions made by us to the Profit-Sharing Trust.

Other

Other non-cash charges include the non-cash impact on earnings of our equity investments and minority interests. Non-cash charges also includes the non-cash portion of rent expense under the sublease that we entered into with Suez in conjunction with the Acquisition.

(2)Business optimization expenses include costs associated with the redesign and optimization of work processes. See note 6 to Item 1 for more information.

(3)Unusual items are further detailed on the following table:

(dollars in millions)	Three Months ended September 30, 2006	Three Months ended September 30, 2005	Nine Months ended September 30, 2006	Nine Months ended September 30, 2005
Pension settlement	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 0.4	\$ —
Loss (gain) on sales, net of expenses	1.7	2.6	2.3	3.7
Other unusual items	5.1	1.7	6.8	3.1
	\$ 6.8	\$ 4.3	\$ 9.5	\$ 6.8

(4)We are required to make adjustments to EBITDA for franchise taxes and 401(k) matching contributions. Our covenant levels and ratios for the four quarters ended September 30, 2006 are as follows:

	Covenant Level at September 30, 2006	Ratios
Senior credit facility <sup>(1)</sup>		
Minimum Adjusted EBITDA to cash interest ratio	1.75x	2.99x
Maximum net debt to Adjusted EBITDA ratio	6.00x	4.28x
Indentures <sup>(2)</sup>		
Minimum Adjusted EBITDA to fixed charge ratio required to incur additional debt pursuant to ratio provisions	2.00x	2.61x

(1)During 2006, our senior credit facility requires us to maintain an Adjusted EBITDA to cash interest ratio at a minimum of 1.75x and a net debt to Adjusted EBITDA ratio at a maximum of

27

## Table of Contents

### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (continued)

6.00x, in each case for the most recent four quarter period. Failure to satisfy these ratio requirements would constitute a default under the senior credit agreement. If our lenders failed to waive any such default, our repayment obligations under the senior credit agreement could be accelerated, which would also constitute a default under our indentures.

(2)Our ability to incur additional debt and make certain restricted payments under our indentures, subject to specified exceptions, is tied to an Adjusted EBITDA to fixed charge ratio of at least 2.0 to 1, except that we may incur certain debt and make certain restricted payments and certain permitted investments

without regard to the ratio, such as up to an aggregate principal amount of \$1,950 million (including \$1,035.0 million that was outstanding under our term loan facilities as of September 30, 2006) and investments in similar business and other investments equal to 6% of Nalco Holding Company consolidated assets.

Local lines of credit. Certain of our non-U.S. subsidiaries have lines of credit to support local requirements. As of September 30, 2006, the aggregate outstanding balance under these local lines of credit was approximately \$25.9 million. Certain of these lines of credit are equally and ratably secured with obligations under our senior credit facilities.

Receivables facility. Nalco Company entered into a receivables facility on June 25, 2004 that provides up to \$100 million in funding from a commercial paper conduit sponsored by JPMorgan Chase Bank, one of the lenders under Nalco Company's senior credit facilities, based on availability of eligible receivables and satisfaction of other customary conditions.

Availability of funding under the receivables facility depends primarily upon the outstanding trade accounts receivable balance from time to time. Aggregate availability is determined by using a formula that reduces the gross receivables balance by factors that take into account historical default and dilution rates, excessive concentrations and average days outstanding and the costs of the facility. Based on the terms of this facility and on the criteria described above, as of September 30, 2006, approximately \$183.2 million of our accounts receivable balance was considered eligible for financing under the program, of which approximately \$120.4 million would have been available for funding. As of September 30, 2006, we had \$97.2 million of outstanding borrowings under this facility.

This facility is treated as a general financing agreement resulting in the borrowings and related receivables being shown as liabilities and assets, respectively, on our consolidated balance sheet and the costs associated with the receivables facility being recorded as interest expense. The facility expires in June 2007.

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 14 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, included in Part I, Item 1, for information on recent accounting pronouncements.

#### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no material changes to the Company's exposures to market risk since December 31, 2005.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

##### (a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.

The Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period, have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2006.

28

---

#### Table of Contents

##### (b) Changes in internal controls over financial reporting.

There were no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the third quarter of 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal



controls over financial reporting.

## Part II. Other Information

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

Changes have occurred to the following risk factor that was included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005:

Our pension plans are currently underfunded and we may have to make significant cash payments to the plans, reducing the cash available for our business.

We sponsor various pension plans worldwide that are underfunded and require significant cash payments. For example, in 2004 and 2005, we contributed \$13.7 million and \$30.0 million, respectively, to our pension plans. We are required to contribute at least \$58.5 million to the U.S. pension plan in 2006. In early April 2006, we added \$45.0 million in funding to our first quarter 2006 U.S. pension plan contribution of \$13.5 million, completing our 2006 U.S. pension funding requirements ahead of schedule. We may also opt to make additional voluntary contributions to various pension plans worldwide in 2006.

As of December 31, 2005, our worldwide pension plans were underfunded by \$434.2 million (based on the actuarial assumptions used for purposes of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 87, Employers' Accounting for Pensions). Our U.S. pension plans are subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, or ERISA. Under ERISA, the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, or PBGC, has the authority to terminate an underfunded pension plan under certain circumstances. In the event our U.S. pension plans are terminated for any reason while the plans are underfunded, we will incur a liability to the PBGC that may be equal to the entire amount of the underfunding. Prior to the closing of the Acquisition, the PBGC requested and received information from us regarding our business, the Transactions and our pension plans. The PBGC took no further action with respect to their inquiry.

On August 17, 2006, the President signed into law new pension reform legislation — the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (PPA). The PPA affects defined benefit and defined contribution plans, the employers who sponsor such plans, and plan participants. The PPA will influence the amount and timing of our pension funding requirements, our decisions regarding pension funding, and the timing of payouts to those of our employees who are plan participants. The changes resulting from the PPA might impact our employees and influence their employment decisions.

### Item 6. Exhibits

(a) The following are included herein:

Exhibit 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer, Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 32.1 Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

SIGNATURE

The Company has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NALCO HOLDING COMPANY

/s/ BRADLEY J. BELL

Name: Bradley J. Bell

Title: Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer

Dated: November 2, 2006

30

---