COOPER INDUSTRIES LTD Form 10-Q November 06, 2008

10-Q SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES þ **EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarter ended September 30, 2008

OR

o TRANSITION RE	EPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE AC'	Γ OF 1934
For the transition period from _	to
	Commission File Number <u>1-31330</u>
	Cooper Industries, Ltd.
	(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Bermuda 98-0355628

(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

600 Travis, Suite 5600 Houston, Texas 77002

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(713) 209-8400

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report.) Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer b Accelerated Filer o

Non-Accelerated Filer o

Smaller Reporting Company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes o No b

Number of registrant s common stock outstanding as of September 30, 2008 was 173,313,839 Class A common shares that are held by the public and 30,935,967 Class A common shares and 109,620,258 Class B common shares that are held by the issuer s wholly-owned subsidiaries.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

- Item 1. Financial Statements
- Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
- Item 4. Controls and Procedures

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

- Item 1A. Risk Factors
- Item 2. Changes in Securities, Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities
- Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities
- <u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>
- Item 6. Exhibits

Signatures

Exhibit Index

EX-12.1

EX-31.1

EX-31.2

EX-32.1

EX-32.2

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION Item 1. Financial Statements COOPER INDUSTRIES, LTD. CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS (unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2008		2007		2008		2007
		((in mill	ions, exc	ept per	ıta)		
Revenues	\$ 1	1,727.7	\$ 1	1,501.3	\$ 4	4,998.1	\$ 4	4,359.0
Cost of sales		1,170.0	1	1,008.1	•	3,355.7	2	2,937.6
Selling and administrative expenses		307.8		276.7		923.7		801.2
Operating earnings		249.9		216.5		718.7		620.2
Income from Belden agreement				23.5				26.8
Interest expense, net		17.3		12.3		50.5		38.1
Income from continuing operations before income taxes		232.6		227.7		668.2		608.9
Income taxes expense		43.4		55.8		163.7		95.9
Income from continuing operations Income related to discontinued operations, net of		189.2		171.9		504.5		513.0
income taxes		16.6				16.6		
Net income	\$	205.8	\$	171.9	\$	521.1	\$	513.0
Net income per common share: Basic:								
Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	\$	1.09 .10	\$.94	\$	2.88 .10	\$	2.80
Net income	\$	1.19	\$.94	\$	2.98	\$	2.80
Diluted: Income from continuing operations	\$	1.08	\$.93	\$	2.85	\$	2.75
Income from discontinued operations		.09				.09		
Net income	\$	1.17	\$.93	\$	2.94	\$	2.75
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$.25	\$.21	\$.75	\$.63

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

COOPER INDUSTRIES, LTD. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	September 30, 2008 (unaudited)	December 31, 2007 (Note 1)
ASSETS	(ın r	millions)
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 260.7	\$ 232.8
Investments	35.6	93.7
Receivables	1,197.6	1,048.6
Inventories	716.1	643.7
Current discontinued operations receivable	158.5	
Deferred income taxes and other current assets	168.4	284.2
Total current assets	2,536.9	2,303.0
Restricted cash		290.1
Property, plant and equipment, less accumulated depreciation	749.1	719.8
Goodwill	2,654.4	2,540.3
Long-term discontinued operations receivable	174.8	
Other noncurrent assets	392.3	280.3
Total assets	\$ 6,507.5	\$ 6,133.5
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Short-term debt	\$ 32.9	\$ 256.1
Accounts payable	588.7	533.1
Accrued liabilities	592.7	566.7
Current discontinued operations liability	50.7	179.1
Current maturities of long-term debt		100.1
Total current liabilities	1,265.0	1,635.1
Long-term debt	1,207.5	909.9
Postretirement benefits other than pensions	79.8	81.4
Long-term discontinued operations liability	772.6	330.0
Deferred income taxes and other long-term liabilities	235.4	335.2
Total liabilities	3,560.3	3,291.6
Common stock, \$.01 par value	1.7	1.8
Capital in excess of par value		85.7
Retained earnings	3,055.6	2,835.1
Accumulated other nonowner changes in equity	(110.1)	(80.7)
Total shareholders equity	2,947.2	2,841.9

Total liabilities and shareholders equity

\$6,507.5 \$

6,133.5

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

-3-

COOPER INDUSTRIES, LTD. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

	Nine Months End September 30, 2008 20 (in millions)				
Cash flows from operating activities: Net income Less: Income related to discontinued operations	\$ 521.1 (16.6)	\$ 513.0			
Income from continuing operations	504.5	513.0			
Adjustments to reconcile to net cash provided by operating activities: Depreciation and amortization	106.8	87.7			
Deferred income taxes Excess tax benefits from stock options and awards Changes in assets and liabilities: (1)	1.7 (8.6)	5.5 (19.6)			
Receivables Inventories Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Other assets and liabilities, net	(123.4) (53.6) 43.5 98.9	(161.9) (11.2) 36.5 13.3			
Net cash provided by operating activities	569.8	463.3			
Cash flows from investing activities: Proceeds from short-term investments Proceeds from cash restricted for business acquisitions Capital expenditures Cash paid for acquired businesses Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment and other	56.4 290.1 (95.5) (270.8) (0.9)	(91.0) (194.5) 0.8			
Net cash used in investing activities	(20.7)	(284.7)			
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from issuance of debt Debt issuance costs Proceeds from debt derivatives Repayments of debt Dividends Purchase of common shares Excess tax benefits from stock options and awards Proceeds from exercise of stock options	297.6 (0.6) 0.5 (380.0) (126.9) (325.2) 8.6 17.0	306.7 (2.7) 10.0 (303.0) (116.2) (274.8) 19.6 54.7			
Net cash used in financing activities	(509.0)	(305.7)			
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(12.2)	11.0			
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	27.9	(116.1)			

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period

232.8

423.5

Cash and cash equivalents, end of period

\$ 260.7

\$ 307.4

(1) Net of the effects of acquisitions and translation.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

-4-

COOPER INDUSTRIES, LTD. NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Note 1. Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation - The consolidated financial statements of Cooper Industries, Ltd., a Bermuda company (Cooper), have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. The financial information presented as of any date other than December 31 has been prepared from the books and records without audit. Financial information as of December 31 has been derived from Cooper s audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by generally accepted accounting principles. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial information for the periods indicated, have been included. For further information regarding Cooper s accounting policies, refer to the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes for the year ended December 31, 2007 included in Part IV of Cooper s 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 157 clarifies the principle that fair value should be based on the assumptions market participants would use when pricing assets or liabilities and establishes a hierarchy that prioritizes the information used to develop those assumptions. SFAS No. 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. On February 12, 2008, the FASB delayed the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). For Cooper, this action defers the effective date for those assets and liabilities until January 1, 2009. Cooper is currently evaluating the impact of these deferred provisions on its consolidated financial statements. The implementation of SFAS No. 157 as of January 1, 2008 for assets and liabilities not subject to the deferral described above did not have a material impact on the Company s results of operations, financial position or cash flows. See Note 12 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS No. 159). SFAS No. 159 permits companies to choose to measure many eligible recognized financial assets and financial liabilities, financial instruments and certain other eligible items at fair value that are not otherwise required to be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. For Cooper, the Statement was effective January 1, 2008. The implementation of SFAS No. 159 as of January 1, 2008 did not have any impact on the Company s results of operations, financial position or cash flows as Cooper did not elect to measure any eligible items at fair value that were not already required to be measured at fair value.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141(R), *Business Combinations* (SFAS No. 141(R)). SFAS No. 141(R) provides enhanced guidance related to the measurement of identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed and disclosure of information related to business combinations and their effect on the Company. This Statement, together with the International Accounting Standards Board s (IASB) IFRS 3, *Business Combinations*, completes a joint effort by the FASB and IASB to improve financial reporting about business combinations and promotes the international convergence of accounting standards. For Cooper, SFAS No. 141(R) applies prospectively to business combinations completed on or after January 1, 2009 and is not subject to early adoption. Cooper is currently evaluating the potential impact of SFAS No. 141(R) on business combinations and related valuations.

-5-

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidating Financial Statements* (SFAS No. 160). SFAS No. 160 provides enhanced guidance related to the disclosure of information regarding noncontrolling interests in a subsidiary and their effect on the Company. This Statement, together with the IASB s IAS 27, *Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements*, concludes a joint effort by the FASB and IASB to improve the accounting for and reporting of noncontrolling interests in consolidated financial statements and promotes international convergence of accounting standards. For Cooper, SFAS No. 160 is effective in 2009. Cooper is currently evaluating the impact of this Statement on its consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (SFAS No. 161). SFAS No. 161 requires entities to provide greater transparency about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. For Cooper, SFAS No. 161 is effective in 2009. Cooper is currently evaluating the impact of this Statement on the disclosures to its consolidated financial statements.

Note 2. Stock-Based Compensation

Cooper has a share-based compensation plan known as the Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan). The Plan provides for the granting of stock options, performance-based share awards and restricted stock units. The Plan was updated in April 2008 to increase by 7 million the number of authorized shares available under the Plan, to extend the term of the Plan from November 7, 2010 to November 7, 2015 and for certain other matters. Since the original Plan s inception in 1996, the aggregate number of shares authorized under the Plan is 41 million. As of September 30, 2008, 8,117,236 shares were available for future grants under the Plan. Of the total shares available for future grants, 3,090,498 are available for grants of performance-based shares and restricted stock units. Total compensation expense for all share-based compensation arrangements under the Plan was \$28.6 million and \$29.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The total income tax benefit recognized in the income statement for all share-based compensation arrangements under the Plan was \$9.9 million and \$10.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, Cooper granted 1,936,450 stock option awards, 586,160 performance-based shares and 149,480 restricted stock units.

Note 3. Acquisitions

Cooper completed two acquisitions during the nine months ended September 30, 2008. These acquisitions were selected because of their strategic fit with existing Cooper businesses or were new strategic lines that were complementary to Cooper s operations. Approximately two-thirds of the revenues of these businesses are outside of the United States.

In February 2008, Cooper completed the acquisition of MTL Instruments Group plc (MTL). MTL is a leader in the development and supply of electronic instrumentation and protection equipment for use in hazardous environments. The total purchase price, including assumed debt, was approximately \$325 million. The MTL acquisition resulted in the recognition of a preliminary estimate of goodwill of \$175.6 million, primarily related to the future earnings and cash flow potential from MTL s worldwide customer base. The MTL acquisition is included in the Electrical Products segment.

Total cash paid for the two acquisitions was \$261.2 million, net of cash acquired, including acquisition costs. The acquisitions resulted in the recognition of preliminary estimated aggregate goodwill of \$176.3 million.

-6-

Table of Contents

Cooper makes an initial allocation of the purchase price as of the date of acquisition, based on its understanding of the fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired. In the months after the closing of the transaction, Cooper obtains additional information about the assets and liabilities acquired, and finalizes allocation of the purchase price.

The following table summarizes the aggregate estimated preliminary fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at the acquisition date for the acquisitions completed during the nine months ended September 30, 2008:

	MTL	Other	Total
		(in millions)	
Receivables	\$ 46.4	\$ 0.7	\$ 47.1
Inventories	32.2	0.4	32.6
Property, Plant and Equipment	41.7	1.5	43.2
Goodwill	175.6	0.7	176.3
Other intangible assets	100.5	0.4	100.9
Accounts payable	(12.4)	(0.2)	(12.6)
Short-term debt	(56.6)		(56.6)
Other assets and liabilities, net	(69.6)	(0.1)	(69.7)
Net cash consideration	\$ 257.8	\$ 3.4	\$ 261.2

During 2007, Cooper completed thirteen acquisitions. Six of the acquisitions, representing approximately 28% of the cash consideration, were outside of the United States. All of these acquired businesses are included in the Electrical Products segment.

The following table summarizes the aggregate estimated preliminary fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition for the acquisitions consummated during the year ended December 31, 2007:

	(in m	nillions)
Receivables	\$	58.0
Inventories		48.6
Property, Plant and Equipment		41.7
Goodwill		184.5
Other intangible assets		122.3
Accounts payable		(36.5)
Other assets and liabilities, net		(73.0)
Net cash consideration	\$	345.6

Cooper continues to evaluate the fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired and will adjust the allocations as additional information relative to the businesses becomes available for up to one year from the acquisition date. This includes finalization of amount by major asset class and weighted-average amortization period for other intangible assets acquired.

-7-

Table of Contents

The results of operations of the acquisitions are included in the consolidated income statement since the respective acquisition dates. The unaudited pro-forma data for the periods set forth below gives effect to the above noted acquisitions as if they had occurred at the beginning of the periods presented. This data is presented for informational purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved had the acquisitions been consummated as of that time:

	Three Months Ended			Nine Months Ended				
		Septer	mber 30),	September 30,			
		2008		2007		2008		2007
		((in milli	ions, excep	t per sh	nare amoun	its)	
Revenues	\$ 1	1,727.7	\$1	,594.6	\$5	5,017.4	\$4	,642.8
Income from continuing operations	\$	189.2	\$	170.8	\$	503.7	\$	509.1
Net income	\$	205.8	\$	170.8	\$	520.3	\$	509.1
Diluted earnings per share from continuing								
operations	\$	1.08	\$.92	\$	2.85	\$	2.73
Diluted earnings per share	\$	1.17	\$.92	\$	2.94	\$	2.73
Note 4. Inventories								

	September 30, 2008		ecember 31, 2007
	(in r	nillion	s)
Raw materials	\$ 241.5	\$	221.5
Work-in-process	191.7		178.8
Finished goods	452.4		396.2
Perishable tooling and supplies	14.0		15.0
	899.6		811.5
Allowance for excess and obsolete inventory	(80.2)		(77.9)
Excess of current standard costs over LIFO costs	(103.3)		(89.9)
Net inventories	\$ 716.1	\$	643.7

Note 5. Debt

At September 30, 2008, Cooper has \$32.9 million of short-term debt, of which \$10.0 million is U.S. commercial paper. In connection with the acquisition of MTL in February 2008, Cooper assumed short-term debt of approximately \$47.8 million which has subsequently been repaid and issued short-term loan notes of \$8.8 million. At September 30, 2008 Cooper has \$8.0 million of loan notes related to MTL included in short-term debt. At September 30, 2008 Cooper has U.S. committed credit facilities that total \$536 million, of which \$36 million matures in March 2009 and of which \$500 million matures in November 2009.

On March 27, 2008, Cooper US, Inc., a subsidiary of Cooper, issued \$300 million of 5.45% senior unsecured notes that mature on April 1, 2015. Payment of the notes is guaranteed by Cooper and certain of its principal operating subsidiaries. Proceeds from the financing were used to repay outstanding commercial paper. Combined with the debt issuance discount, underwriting commissions and interest rate hedges implemented in anticipation of the financing, the notes have an effective annual cost to Cooper of 5.56%.

Note 6. Shareholders Equity

At September 30, 2008, 173,313,839 Class A common shares, \$.01 par value were issued and outstanding (excluding 30,935,967 Class A common shares held by wholly-owned subsidiaries) compared to 179,453,923 Class A common shares, \$.01 par value (excluding 27,195,002 Class A common shares held by wholly-owned subsidiaries) at

December 31, 2007. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, Cooper issued 1,615,514 Class A common shares primarily in connection with employee incentive and

-8-

Table of Contents

benefit plans and Cooper s dividend reinvestment program. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, Cooper and its wholly-owned subsidiaries purchased 7,755,598 Class A common shares for \$325.2 million under the Company s share repurchase plans. The share purchases are recorded by Cooper s wholly-owned subsidiaries as an investment in its parent company that is eliminated in consolidation.

A wholly-owned subsidiary also owns all of the issued and outstanding Class B common shares. The subsidiary s investment in the Class B common shares is eliminated in consolidation. If at any time a dividend is declared and paid on the Class A common shares, a like dividend shall be declared and paid on the Class B common shares in an equal amount per share.

On February 12, 2008, Cooper s Board of Directors increased the annual dividend rate of Cooper s common stock by \$.16 per share to \$1.00. On February 12, 2008, Cooper s Board of Directors authorized the purchase of ten million shares of common stock in addition to the remaining November 2, 2004 authorization. In the nine months ended September 30, 2008, Cooper repurchased the 3 million shares intended to offset dilution from share issuances under equity compensation plans, as well as approximately 4.8 million additional shares under the Cooper Board of Directors authorizations discussed above. Cooper may continue to repurchase shares under this authorization from time to time during 2008. The decision whether to do so will be dependent on the favorability of market conditions, as well as potential cash requirements for acquisitions. As of September 30, 2008, 9,683,549 shares remain available to be repurchased under the authorizations by the Board of Directors. Subsequent to September 30, 2008 and through the date of this filing, Cooper had repurchased an additional 3.6 million shares for \$119.6 million.

Note 7. Segment Information

	Revenues							
		nths Ended aber 30,	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2008	2007	2008	2007				
		(in mi	llions)					
Electrical Products	\$ 1,526.0	\$ 1,301.9	\$4,397.6	\$3,784.8				
Tools	201.7	199.4	600.5	574.2				
Total revenues	\$ 1,727.7	\$1,501.3	\$4,998.1	\$4,359.0				

		Operating	g Earnings		
	Three 1	Months			
	En	ded	Nine Months Ended		
	Septem	ıber 30,	September 30,		
	2008	2007	2008	2007	
		(in mi	llions)		
Electrical Products	\$ 249.7	\$ 224.2	\$ 732.2	\$ 631.6	
Tools	24.1	22.0	56.0	65.4	
Segment operating earnings	273.8	246.2	788.2	697.0	
General Corporate expense	23.9	29.7	69.5	76.8	
Total operating earnings	249.9	216.5	718.7	620.2	
Income from Belden agreement		23.5		26.8	
Interest expense, net	17.3	12.3	50.5	38.1	
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	\$ 232.6	\$ 227.7	\$ 668.2	\$ 608.9	

The Tools segment operating earnings for the nine month period ended September 30, 2008 includes \$7.6 million in severance costs for downsizing an international facility. The Electrical Products segment operating earnings for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2008 includes a \$3.9 million curtailment loss as a result of ceasing future benefit accruals for two defined benefit plans in the U.K. General corporate expense includes \$6.4 million and \$8.8 million of incremental legal costs in the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007, respectively.

Note 8. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

	Pension Benefits									
	Three N	Months								
	End	ded	Nine Months Ende							
	Septem	ber 30,	September 30,							
	2008	2007	2008	2007						
		(in mi	llions)							
Components of net periodic benefit cost:										
Service cost	\$ 0.6	\$ 1.0	\$ 2.5	\$ 3.0						
Interest cost	10.4	10.2	31.5	30.6						
Expected return on plan assets	(12.3)	(12.8)	(37.3)	(38.4)						
Amortization of prior service cost	(0.7)	(0.5)	(1.8)	(1.5)						
Recognized actuarial loss	2.5	2.7	7.3	8.0						
Curtailment loss	3.9		3.9							
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 4.4	\$ 0.6	\$ 6.1	\$ 1.7						

		O Three M			emen	t Benefi	ts	
	Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	20	2008		007	2008		2007	
				(in mi	llions)		
Components of net periodic benefit cost:								
Interest cost	\$	1.3	\$	1.3	\$	3.9	\$	3.9
Amortization of prior service cost		(0.5)		(0.5)		(1.5)		(1.5)
Recognized actuarial gain		(0.6)		(0.6)		(1.8)		(1.8)
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	0.2	\$	0.2	\$	0.6	\$	0.6

Cooper recognized a curtailment loss in 2008 as a result of ceasing future benefit accruals for two defined benefit plans in the U.K.

Note 9. Income Taxes

The effective tax rate was 24.5% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 15.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Cooper reduced income tax expense by \$22.9 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 for discrete tax items primarily related to statute expirations, state tax settlements, and foreign taxes. As discussed below, the 2007 second quarter included a \$63.5 million reduction of income tax expense. The 2007 effective tax rate was also lower due to the income from the Belden agreement being taxed in a foreign jurisdiction at a significantly lower rate than the U.S. statutory rate. Excluding the discrete tax items and the income from the Belden agreement, Cooper s effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 was 28.0% and 27.2%, respectively. The increase in 2008 is primarily related to increased earnings in 2008 without a corresponding increase in projected tax benefits.

Table of Contents

The United States Internal Revenue Service (IRS) challenged Cooper's treatment of gains and interest deductions claimed on its 2000 through 2003 federal income tax returns, relating to transactions involving government securities. If the proposed adjustments were upheld, it would have required Cooper to pay approximately \$93.7 million in taxes plus accrued interest. During the second quarter of 2007, the IRS and Cooper finalized a settlement regarding these transactions.

On February 1, 2007, the IRS issued its examination report for the 2002-2004 tax years. In addition to the finding related to transactions involving government securities discussed above, the IRS challenged Cooper s treatment of certain interest payments made during these years to a subsidiary. If the proposed adjustments were upheld, it would have required Cooper to pay approximately \$140 million of federal withholding tax plus accrued interest. On May 2, 2007, the IRS issued a letter to Cooper accepting Cooper s position regarding treatment of these interest payments for the 2002 through 2004 tax years.

As a result of the settlements discussed above, Cooper recognized \$55.7 million of previously unrecognized tax benefits in the 2007 second quarter. A change in rates for the Texas margin tax and other developments in the 2007 second quarter represented the remaining \$7.8 million of income taxes expense reduction.

In June 2008, the German Tax Authorities issued a proposed audit finding related to a 2004 reorganization that was treated as a non-taxable event. Cooper believes that the reorganization was properly reflected on its German income tax returns in accordance with applicable tax laws and regulations in effect during the period involved. Cooper is preparing a response related to the proposed audit finding and will challenge the proposed finding vigorously. While the outcome of the proceedings with the German Tax Authorities cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes that it is more likely than not that its tax position related to the 2004 reorganization will prevail. If the proposed audit finding is upheld, it would require Cooper to pay approximately 58 million of German tax, which would be available for credit in the United States, plus accrued interest.

Cooper has unrecognized gross tax benefits of \$39.3 million at September 30, 2008. Approximately \$29.2 million of unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized, would favorably impact the effective tax rate. Cooper believes it is reasonably possible that additional tax benefits in the range of approximately \$1.0 to \$5.0 million could be recognized during the next 12 months as audits close and statutes expire.

During the second quarter of 2008, the IRS completed their examination of Cooper s 2005 and 2006 Federal income tax returns which had no material impact on the Company s financial statements. The Internal Revenue Service has begun an examination of Cooper s 2007 Federal income tax return. Also, Cooper is under examination by various United States State and Local taxing authorities, as well as various taxing authorities in other countries. Cooper is no longer subject to U.S. Federal income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2007, and with few exceptions, Cooper is no longer subject to State and Local, or non-U.S. income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 1999. Cooper fully cooperates with all audits, but defends existing positions vigorously. These audits are in various stages of completion. To provide for potential tax exposures, Cooper maintains a liability for unrecognized tax benefits, which management believes is adequate. The results of future audit assessments, if any, could have a material effect on Cooper s cash flows as these audits are completed.

-11-

Note 10. Net Income Per Common Share

	Three 1	Months		
	En	ded	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	Septem	ıber 30,		
	2008	2007	2008	2007
		(in mi	illions)	
Basic:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 189.2	\$ 171.9	\$ 504.5	\$ 513.0
Income from discontinued operations	16.6		16.6	
Net income applicable to common stock	\$ 205.8	\$ 171.9	\$ 521.1	\$ 513.0
Weighted average common shares outstanding	173.6	182.4	175.0	183.0
Diluted:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 189.2	\$ 171.9	\$ 504.5	\$ 513.0
Income from discontinued operations	16.6		16.6	
Net income applicable to common stock	\$ 205.8	\$ 171.9	\$ 521.1	\$ 513.0
Weighted average common shares outstanding Incremental shares from assumed conversions:	173.6	182.4	175.0	183.0
Options, performance-based stock awards and other employee awards	2.1	3.1	2.2	3.3
Weighted average common shares and common share equivalents	175.7	185.5	177.2	186.3

Options and employee awards are not considered in the calculations if the effect would be antidilutive.

Note 11. Net Income and Other Nonowner Changes in Equity

The components of net income and other nonowner changes in equity, net of related taxes, were as follows:

	Three I	Months			
	End	ded	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	Septem	ber 30,			
	2008	2007	2008	2007	
		(in mi	llions)		
Net income	\$ 205.8	\$ 171.9	\$ 521.1	\$ 513.0	
Foreign currency translation gains (losses)	(56.8)	2.0	(33.1)	13.8	
Change in fair value of derivatives	(10.6)	3.4	(0.6)	12.3	
Net income and other nonowner changes in equity	\$ 138.4	\$ 177.3	\$ 487.4	\$ 539.1	

Note 12. Fair Value Measurement

Cooper enters into currency forward exchange contracts and commodity futures contracts and swaps to reduce the risks of adverse changes in currency exchange rates and commodity prices. Cooper entered into cross-currency swaps in 2005 to reduce the currency risk associated with an intercompany financing transaction. Cooper does not enter into speculative derivative transactions.

Table of Contents

As a result of having sales, purchases and certain intercompany transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currencies of Cooper s businesses, Cooper is exposed to the effect of currency exchange rate changes on its cash flows and earnings. Cooper enters into currency forward exchange contracts to hedge significant non-functional currency denominated transactions for periods consistent with the terms of the underlying transactions. Contracts generally have maturities that do not exceed one year. Cooper enters into commodity swaps to reduce the volatility of price fluctuations on a portion of up to eighteen months of certain forecasted material purchases.

During October 2005, Cooper entered into cross-currency swaps to effectively convert its newly issued \$325 million, 5.25% fixed-rate debt to 272.6 million of 3.55% fixed-rate debt. The \$325 million debt issuance proceeds were swapped to 272.6 million and lent through an intercompany loan to a non-U.S. subsidiary to partially fund repayment of the 300 million Euro bond debt that matured on October 25, 2005. The cross-currency swaps mature in November 2012.

As described in Note 1, Cooper adopted SFAS No. 157 effective January 1, 2008. SFAS No. 157 expands disclosure for each major asset and liability category measured at fair value on either a recurring or nonrecurring basis.

SFAS No. 157 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows: (Level 1) observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; (Level 2) inputs, other than quoted prices in active markets, that are observable either directly or indirectly; and (Level 3) unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which require the reporting entity to develop its own assumptions.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value are based on one or more of three valuation techniques described in SFAS No. 157. Valuation techniques utilized for each individual asset and liability category are referenced in the tables below. Where more than one technique is noted, individual assets or liabilities were valued using multiple techniques. The valuation techniques are as follows:

- (a) Market approach Prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities;
- (b) Income approach Techniques to convert future amounts to a single present amount based on market expectations (including present value techniques, option-pricing and excess earnings models);
- (c) Cost approach Amount that would be required to replace the service capacity of an asset (replacement cost). Assets and liabilities measured at fair value as of September 30, 2008 on a recurring basis are as follows:

Assets	Liabilities	
Significant	Significant	
other	other	
observable	observable	
inputs	inputs	Valuation
(Level 2)	(Level 2)	Technique
\$35.6	\$	(a)
39.2	(24.3)	(a)
	(8.0)	(a)
	(31.7)	(a)
	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant other other observable inputs inputs (Level 2) \$35.6 \$ 39.2 (24.3) (8.0)

There were no changes in our valuation techniques used to measure asset or liability fair values on a recurring basis in the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

-13-

Table of Contents

Note 13. Discontinued Operations Liability

In October 1998, Cooper sold its Automotive Products business to Federal-Mogul Corporation (Federal-Mogul). These discontinued businesses (including the Abex Friction product line obtained from Pneumo-Abex Corporation (Pneumo) in 1994) were operated through subsidiary companies, and the stock of those subsidiaries was sold to Federal-Mogul pursuant to a Purchase and Sale Agreement dated August 17, 1998 (1998 Agreement). In conjunction with the sale, Federal-Mogul indemnified Cooper for certain liabilities of these subsidiary companies, including liabilities related to the Abex Friction product line and any potential liability that Cooper may have to Pneumo pursuant to a 1994 Mutual Guaranty Agreement between Cooper and Pneumo. On October 1, 2001, Federal-Mogul and several of its affiliates filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy petition. The Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware confirmed Federal-Mogul s plan of reorganization and Federal-Mogul emerged from bankruptcy in December 2007. As part of Federal-Mogul s Plan of Reorganization, Cooper and Federal-Mogul reached a settlement agreement that was subject to approval by the Bankruptcy Court resolving Federal-Mogul s indemnification obligations to Cooper. As discussed further below, on September 30, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court issued its final ruling denying Cooper s participation in the proposed Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust resulting in implementation of the previously approved Plan B Settlement. As part of its obligation to Pneumo for any asbestos-related claims arising from the Abex Friction product line (Abex Claims), Cooper has rights, confirmed by Pneumo, to significant insurance for such claims. Based on information provided by representatives of Federal-Mogul and recent claims experience, from August 28, 1998 through September 30, 2008, a total of 145,474 Abex Claims were filed, of which 120,349 claims have been resolved leaving 25,125 Abex Claims pending at September 30, 2008. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, 1,931 claims were filed and 6,265 claims were resolved. Since August 28, 1998, the average indemnity payment for resolved Abex Claims was \$2,090 before insurance. A total of \$142.1 million was spent on defense costs for the period August 28, 1998 through September 30, 2008. Historically, existing insurance coverage has provided 50% to 80% of the total defense and indemnity payments for Abex Claims. However, insurance recovery is currently at a lower percentage (approximately 30%) due to exhaustion of primary layers of coverage and litigation with certain excess insurers.

2005 - 2007

In December 2005, Cooper reached an initial agreement in negotiations with the representatives of Federal-Mogul, its bankruptcy committees and the future claimants (the Representatives) regarding Cooper s participation in Federal Mogul s proposed 524(g) asbestos trust. By participating in this trust, Cooper would have resolved its liability for asbestos claims arising from Cooper s former Abex Friction Products business. The proposed settlement agreement was subject to court approval and certain other approvals. Future claims would have been resolved through the bankruptcy trust.

Although the final determination of whether Cooper would participate in the Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust was unknown, Cooper s management concluded that, at the date of the filing of its 2005 Form 10-K, the most likely outcome in the range of potential outcomes was a settlement approximating the December 2005 proposed settlement. Accordingly, the accrual for potential liabilities related to the Automotive Products sale and the Federal-Mogul bankruptcy was \$526.3 million at December 31, 2005. The December 31, 2005 discontinued operations accrual included payments to a 524(g) trust over 25 years that were undiscounted, and included \$215 million of insurance recoveries where insurance in place agreements, settlements or policy recoveries were probable.

Throughout 2006 and 2007, Cooper continued to believe that the most likely outcome in the range of potential outcomes was a revised settlement with Cooper resolving its asbestos obligations through participation in the proposed Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust. While the details of the proposed settlement agreement evolved during the on-going negotiations throughout 2006 and 2007, the underlying principles of the proposed settlement arrangements being negotiated principally included fixed payments to a 524(g) trust over 25 years that were subject to reduction for insurance proceeds received in the future.

-14-

Table of Contents

As a result of the then current status of settlement negotiations, Cooper recorded a \$20.3 million after-tax discontinued operations charge, net of an \$11.4 million income tax benefit, in the second quarter of 2006 to reflect the revised terms of the proposed settlement agreement at that time. The discontinued operations accrual was \$509.1 million and \$529.6 million as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and included payments to a 524(g) trust over 25 years that were undiscounted, and included insurance recoveries of \$230 million and \$239 million, respectively, where insurance in place agreements, settlements or policy recoveries were probable.

The U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware confirmed Federal-Mogul s plan of reorganization on November 8, 2007, and the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware affirmed the Bankruptcy Court s order on November 14, 2007. As part of its ruling, the Bankruptcy Court approved the Plan B Settlement between Cooper and Federal-Mogul, which would require payment of \$138 million to Cooper in the event Cooper s participation in the Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust is not approved for any reason, or if Cooper elected not to participate or to pursue participation in the trust. The Bankruptcy Court stated that it would consider approving Cooper s participation in the Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust at a later time, and that its order confirming the plan of reorganization and approving the settlement between Cooper and Federal-Mogul did not preclude later approval of Cooper s participation in the 524(g) trust. Accordingly, in an effort to continue working towards approval of Cooper s participation in the trust and to address certain legal issues identified by the Court, Cooper, Pneumo-Abex, Federal-Mogul, and other plan supporters filed the Modified Plan A Settlement Documents on December 13, 2007. The Modified Plan A Settlement Documents would have required Cooper to make an initial payment of \$248.5 million in cash to the Federal-Mogul trust upon implementation of Plan A with additional annual payments of up to \$20 million each due over 25 years. If the Bankruptcy Court had approved the modified settlement and that settlement was implemented, Cooper, through Pneumo-Abex LLC, would have continued to have access to Abex insurance policies. 2008

During the first quarter of 2008, the Bankruptcy Court concluded hearings on Plan A. On September 30, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court issued its ruling denying the Modified Plan A Settlement resulting in Cooper not participating in the Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust and instead proceeding with the Plan B Settlement that had previously been approved by the Bankruptcy Court. As a result of the Plan B Settlement, Cooper received in October 2008 the \$138 million payment, plus interest of \$3 million, from the Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy estate and will continue to resolve through the tort system the asbestos related claims arising from the Abex Friction product line that it had sold to Federal-Mogul in 1998. Additionally, under Plan B, Cooper has access to Abex insurance policies.

The accrual for potential liabilities related to the Automotive Products sale and the Federal-Mogul bankruptcy and a progression of the activity is presented in the following table assuming resolution through participation in the Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust up until September 30, 2008 when the accounting was revised to reflect the Plan B Settlement.

	Three I	Months			
	Enc	ded	Nine Months Ended		
	Septem	ber 30,	September 30,		
	2008	2007	2008	2007	
		(in mi	llions)		
Accrual at beginning of period (under Plan A)	\$ 521.3	\$ 543.0	\$ 509.1	\$ 529.6	
Indemnity and defense payments	(5.0)	(19.4)	(16.9)	(44.0)	
Insurance recoveries			25.4	39.3	
Other	(0.3)	(2.5)	(1.6)	(3.8)	
Accrual at end of period (under Plan A) *	\$ 516.0	\$ 521.1	\$ 516.0	\$ 521.1	

^{*} The \$516.0 million

liability reflects the estimated liability under Plan A immediately prior to revising the accounting on September 30, 2008 to reflect the Plan B Settlement.

-15-

Table of Contents

As a result of the September 30, 2008 Bankruptcy Court ruling discussed above, Cooper revised its accounting in the third quarter 2008 to reflect the separate assets and liabilities related to the on-going activities to resolve the potential asbestos related claims through the tort system. The following table presents the separate assets and liabilities under the Plan B settlement as reflected in the accompanying balance sheet as of September 30, 2008.

A aboutos lieleilites an alsoise	30,	tember , 2008 nillions)
Asbestos liability analysis:	\$	6.5
Liability for unpaid indemnity and defense costs incurred	Ф	
Liability for pending and future indemnity and defense costs		816.8
Total estimated asbestos liability at end of period	\$	823.3
Asbestos receivable analysis		
Receivable from Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy estate (received in Oct. 2008)	\$	141.0
Insurance receivable for previously paid claims and insurance settlements		72.7
Insurance available for pending and future claims		119.6
insurance available for pending and future claims		117.0
Total estimated asbestos receivable at end of period	\$	333.3
<u>*</u>		

Cooper recorded in the third quarter 2008 income from discontinued operations of \$16.6 million, net of a \$9.4 million income tax expense, to reflect the Plan B Settlement.

Asbestos Liability Estimate

As of September 30, 2008, Cooper estimates that the liability for pending and future indemnity and defense costs for the next 45 years will be \$816.8 million. The estimated liability is before any tax benefit and is not discounted as the timing of the actual payments is not reasonably predictable. However, a discounted value would likely be approximately 60% or less of the \$816.8 million liability recorded.

The methodology used to project Cooper s liability estimate relies upon a number of assumptions including Cooper s recent claims experience and declining future asbestos spending based on past trends and publicly available epidemiological data, changes in various jurisdictions, management s judgment about the current and future litigation environment, and the availability to claimants of other payment sources.

Abex discontinued using asbestos in the Abex Friction product line in the 1970 s and epidemiological studies that are publicly available indicate the incidence of asbestos-related disease is in decline and should continue to decline steadily. However, there can be no assurance that these studies, or other assumptions, will not vary significantly from the estimates utilized to project the undiscounted liability.

Although Cooper believes that its estimated liability for pending and future indemnity and defense costs represents the best estimate of its future obligation, Cooper utilized scenarios that it believed were reasonably possible that indicate a broader range of potential estimates from \$735 to \$950 million.

-16-

Table of Contents

Asbestos Receivable Estimate

As of September 30, 2008, Cooper, through Pneumo-Abex LLC, has access to Abex insurance policies with remaining limits on policies with solvent insurers in excess of \$750 million. Insurance recoveries reflected as receivables in the balance sheet include recoveries where insurance-in-place agreements, settlements or policy recoveries are probable. As of September 30, 2008, Cooper s receivable for recoveries of costs from insurers amounted to \$192.3 million, of which \$72.7 million relate to costs previously paid or insurance settlements. Cooper s arrangements with the insurance carriers defer certain amounts of insurance and settlement proceeds that Cooper is entitled to receive beyond twelve months. Approximately 90% of the \$192.3 million receivable from insurance companies at September 30, 2008 is due from domestic insurers whose AM Best rating is Excellent (A-) or better. The remaining balance of the insurance receivable has been significantly discounted to reflect management s best estimate of the recoverable amount.

Cooper believes that it is likely that additional insurance recoveries will be recorded in the future as new insurance-in-place agreements are consummated or settlements with insurance carriers are completed. However, extensive litigation with the insurance carriers may be required to receive those additional recoveries. *Critical Accounting Assumptions*

The amounts recorded by Cooper for its asbestos liability and related insurance receivables rely on assumptions that are based on currently known facts and strategy. Cooper s actual asbestos costs or insurance recoveries could be significantly higher or lower than those recorded if assumptions used in the estimation process vary significantly from actual results over time. Key variables in these assumptions include the number and type of new claims filed each year, the average indemnity and defense costs of resolving claims, and the resolution of on-going negotiations of additional settlement or coverage-in-place agreements with insurance carriers. Assumptions with respect to these variables are subject to greater uncertainty as the projection period lengthens. Other factors that may affect Cooper s liability and ability to recover under its insurance policies include uncertainties surrounding the litigation process from jurisdiction to jurisdiction and from case to case, reforms that may be made by state and federal courts, and the passage of state or federal tort reform legislation. Cooper will review these assumptions on a periodic basis to determine whether any adjustments are required to the estimate of its recorded asbestos liability and related insurance receivables.

From a cash flow perspective, Cooper management believes that the annual cash outlay for its potential asbestos liability, net of insurance recoveries, would not be material to Cooper s operating cash flow.

Note 14. Consolidating Financial Information

Cooper and certain of its principal operating subsidiaries (the Guarantors) fully and unconditionally guarantee, on a joint and several basis, the registered debt securities of Cooper Industries, LLC and Cooper US, Inc. The following condensed consolidating financial information is included so that separate financial statements of Cooper Industries, LLC, Cooper US, Inc. or the Guarantors are not required to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The consolidating financial statements present investments in subsidiaries using the equity method of accounting. Intercompany investments in the Class A and Class B common shares are accounted for using the cost method.

-17-

Consolidating Income Statements Three Months Ended September 30, 2008

(in millions)

D	Cooper	Cooper Industries, LLC	Cooper US, Inc.	Guarantors	Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Revenues	\$ (0.1)	\$ (0.1)	\$	\$ 1,020.0	\$ 811.9	\$ (104.2)	\$ 1,727.7
Cost of sales Selling and administrative	(0.1)	(0.1)	2.3	728.2	543.9	(104.2)	1,170.0
expenses Income from Belden agreement	2.4	3.4	19.5	145.0	141.5	(4.0)	307.8
Interest expense, net Equity in earnings of		4.0	13.2		0.1		17.3
subsidiaries, net of tax Intercompany income	250.2	13.3	149.0	23.1	171.7	(607.3)	
(expense)	(10.4)	(11.5)	30.5	(44.9)	72.0	(35.7)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations							
before income taxes Income tax expense	237.5	(5.5)	144.5	125.0	370.1	(639.0)	232.6
(benefit)		(7.1)	(27.3)	34.9	42.9		43.4
Income from continuing operations Income related to discontinued operations, net of	237.5	1.6	171.8	90.1	327.2	(639.0)	189.2
income taxes		16.6					16.6
Net income (loss)	\$ 237.5	\$ 18.2	\$ 171.8	\$ 90.1	\$ 327.2	\$ (639.0)	\$ 205.8

Consolidating Income Statements Three Months Ended September 30, 2007

(in millions)

	Cooper	Cooper Industries, LLC	Cooper US, Inc.	Gua	arantors	Other sidiaries	olidating stments	Total
Revenues	\$	\$	\$	\$	956.5	\$ 622.0	\$ (77.2)	\$ 1,501.3
Cost of sales Selling and administrative			1.0		664.2	420.1	(77.2)	1,008.1
expenses Income from Belden	1.8	8.9	17.1		140.2	108.7		276.7
agreement						23.5		23.5

Interest expense, net	(0.3)	5.6	8.1		(1.1)		12.3			
Equity in earnings of subsidiaries, net of tax	211.0	10.0	112.8	26.3	116.7	(476.8)				
Intercompany income (expense)	(8.8)	(9.2)	15.7	(39.6	70.6	(28.7)				
Income (loss) from continuing operations										
before income taxes	200.7	(13.7)	102.3	138.8	305.1	(505.5)	227.7			
Income tax expense (benefit)		(9.4)	(14.6)	42.4	37.4		55.8			
Income from continuing operations Income related to discontinued operations, net of income taxes	200.7	(4.3)	116.9	96.4	267.7	(505.5)	171.9			
Net income (loss)	\$ 200.7	\$ (4.3)	\$ 116.9	\$ 96.4	\$ 267.7	\$ (505.5)	\$ 171.9			
-18-										

Consolidating Income Statements Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008

(in millions)

D	Cooper	Cooper Industries, LLC	Cooper US, Inc.	Guarantors	Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Revenues	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2,945.1	\$ 2,344.2	\$ (291.2)	\$4,998.1
Cost of sales Selling and administrative	(0.2)	(0.3)	5.4	2,065.4	1,576.6	(291.2)	3,355.7
expenses Income from Belden agreement	7.9	9.4	62.6	432.4	424.6	(13.2)	923.7
Interest expense, net Equity in earnings of subsidiaries, net of		14.2	37.9		(1.6)		50.5
tax	649.7	25.9	380.3	88.3	416.4	(1,560.6)	
Intercompany income	015.7	23.9	300.3	00.5	110.1	(1,500.0)	
(expense)	(29.9)	(30.9)	89.1	(127.5)	203.4	(104.2)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations							
before income taxes	612.1	(28.3)	363.5	408.1	964.4	(1,651.6)	668.2
Income tax expense							
(benefit)		(20.4)	(52.9)	123.2	113.8		163.7
Income from							
continuing operations Income related to discontinued	612.1	(7.9)	416.4	284.9	850.6	(1,651.6)	504.5
operations, net of income taxes		16.6					16.6
Net income (loss)	\$ 612.1	\$ 8.7	\$ 416.4	\$ 284.9	\$ 850.6	\$ (1,651.6)	\$ 521.1

Consolidating Income Statements Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

(in millions)

	Cooper	Cooper Industries, LLC	Cooper US, Inc.	Guarantors	Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Revenues Cost of sales Selling and administrative	\$	\$ 0.1	\$ 1.8	\$ 2,818.1 1,967.8	\$ 1,741.2 1,168.2	\$ (200.3) (200.3)	\$4,359.0 2,937.6
expenses	7.2	16.6	51.6	410.7	315.1 26.8		801.2 26.8

Edgar Filing: COOPER INDUSTRIES LTD - Form 10-Q

Income from Belden agreement Interest expense, net Equity in earnings of subsidiaries, net of	(1.3)	28.0	15.5		(4.1)		38.1			
tax	628.6	31.0	319.5	71.4	396.6	(1,447.1)				
Intercompany income (expense)	(23.7)	(18.0)	44.1	(123.4)	207.0	(86.0)				
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes Income tax expense (benefit)	599.0	(31.7) (24.2)	294.7 (102.0)	387.6 119.2	892.4 102.9	(1,533.1)	608.9 95.9			
Income from continuing operations Income related to discontinued operations, net of income taxes	599.0	(7.5)	396.7	268.4	789.5	(1,533.1)	513.0			
Net income (loss)	\$ 599.0	\$ (7.5)	\$ 396.7	\$ 268.4	\$ 789.5	\$ (1,533.1)	\$ 513.0			
-19-										

Consolidating Balance Sheets September 30, 2008

(in millions)

	Cooper	Cooper Industries, LLC	Cooper US, Inc.	Guarantors	Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Cash and cash	Cooper	LLC	IIIC.	Guarantors	Subsidiaries	Adjustificitis	Total
equivalents Investments	\$	\$ 1.4	\$ 96.8 35.6	\$ 3.3	\$ 159.2	\$	\$ 260.7 35.6
Receivables Inventories Current discontinued operations			0.1	603.3 385.1	594.2 331.0		1,197.6 716.1
receivable Deferred income taxes and other		158.5					158.5
current assets		(0.4)	54.3	27.5	87.0		168.4
Total current assets		159.5	186.8	1,019.2	1,171.4		2,536.9
Property, plant and equipment, less accumulated							
depreciation Goodwill Investment in			60.8	324.3 1,263.5	364.0 1,390.9		749.1 2,654.4
subsidiaries Investment in	5,786.9	621.9	5,151.0	1,142.7	4,078.1	(16,780.6)	
parent Intercompany			3,022.7		312.7	(3,335.4)	
accounts receivable		822.3		1,450.7	941.0	(3,214.0)	
Intercompany notes receivable Long-term discontinued operations	5.4	24.0	1,358.1	0.2	4,515.4	(5,903.1)	
receivable Deferred income taxes and other		174.8					174.8
noncurrent assets		241.0	(15.6)	(97.4)	264.3		392.3
Total assets	\$5,792.3	\$ 2,043.5	\$9,763.8	\$ 5,103.2	\$ 13,037.8	\$ (29,233.1)	\$6,507.5
Short-term debt Accounts payable Accrued liabilities	\$ 43.5 10.9	\$ 6.7 32.4	\$ 10.0 22.8 78.4	\$ 256.0 249.0	\$ 22.9 259.7 222.0	\$	\$ 32.9 588.7 592.7

Current discontinued operations liability Current maturities of long-term debt		50.7					50.7
Total current liabilities	54.4	89.8	111.2	505.0	504.6		1,265.0
Long-term debt Intercompany		277.2	922.0	8.0	0.3		1,207.5
accounts payable Intercompany notes	9.7		3,204.3			(3,214.0)	
payable Long-term discontinued	1,042.6	844.1	1,810.0	1,742.0	464.4	(5,903.1)	
operations liability Deferred income		772.6					772.6
taxes and other long-term liabilities		44.4	49.8	45.6	175.4		315.2
Total liabilities	1,106.7	2,028.1	6,097.3	2,300.6	1,144.7	(9,117.1)	3,560.3
Class A common stock Class B common	2.0					(0.3)	1.7
stock Subsidiary common	1.1					(1.1)	
stock Capital in excess of			170.0		371.4	(541.4)	
par value	3,368.3		752.3	1,448.1	7,415.2	(12,983.9)	
Retained earnings Accumulated other non- owner changes	1,291.9	103.4	2,887.3	1,353.6	4,211.9	(6,792.5)	3,055.6
in equity	22.3	(88.0)	(143.1)	0.9	(105.4)	203.2	(110.1)
Total shareholders equity	4,685.6	15.4	3,666.5	2,802.6	11,893.1	(20,116.0)	2,947.2
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 5,792.3	\$ 2,043.5	\$ 9,763.8	\$ 5,103.2	\$ 13,037.8	\$ (29,233.1)	\$6,507.5
-20-							

Table of Contents

Consolidating Balance Sheets December 31, 2007

(in millions)

	Cooper	Cooper Industries, LLC	Cooper US, Inc.	Guarantors	Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
Cash and cash	Сооры	LLC	1110.	Guarantors	Substanties	rajustinents	10141
equivalents Investments	\$ 1.3	\$	\$ 23.1 93.7	\$ (1.1)	\$ 209.5	\$	\$ 232.8 93.7
Receivables	1.1		0.3	539.3	507.9		1,048.6
Inventories				346.2	297.5		643.7
Deferred income							
taxes and other							
current assets	0.7	119.2	64.3	44.8	55.2		284.2
Total current assets	3.1	119.2	181.4	929.2	1,070.1		2,303.0
Restricted cash					290.1		290.1
Property, plant and					2,0.1		2,0.1
equipment, less							
accumulated							
depreciation			63.9	326.9	329.0		719.8
Goodwill				1,249.7	1,290.6		2,540.3
Investment in	# 4 # O 4	600.0	4 ==0 =	4 020 0	2 = 2 = 2	(17.27.1.2)	
subsidiaries	5,158.1	620.3	4,779.5	1,030.8	3,785.5	(15,374.2)	
Investment in parent			2,872.2		312.7	(3,184.9)	
Intercompany			2,072.2		312.7	(3,104.7)	
accounts receivable		787.4		1,337.0	737.7	(2,862.1)	
Intercompany notes				,		, , ,	
receivable	162.4	25.8	1,155.2	0.3	4,422.1	(5,765.8)	
Other noncurrent							
assets		14.5	8.0	48.9	208.9		280.3
Total assats	¢ 5 222 6	¢ 1567.2	¢ 0 060 2	¢ 4022 8	\$ 12,446.7	¢ (27.197.0)	¢ 6 122 5
Total assets	\$ 5,323.6	\$ 1,567.2	\$ 9,060.2	\$ 4,922.8	\$ 12,446.7	\$ (27,187.0)	\$6,133.5
Short-term debt	\$	\$	\$ 228.7	\$	\$ 27.4	\$	\$ 256.1
Accounts payable	37.8	5.1	19.1	218.2	252.9		533.1
Accrued liabilities	6.2	39.0	72.2	261.5	187.8		566.7
Current							
discontinued							
operations liability		179.1					179.1
Current maturities							
of long-term debt		100.1					100.1
Total current							
liabilities	44.0	323.3	320.0	479.7	468.1		1,635.1
naomues	77.0	343.3	320.0	7/2./	400.1		1,033.1

34

Edgar Filing: COOPER INDUSTRIES LTD - Form 10-Q

Long-term debt		277.2	624.1	8.0	0.6		909.9	
Intercompany accounts payable Intercompany notes	34.8		2,826.1			(2,860.9)		
payable Long-term discontinued	815.0	692.6	1,869.0	1,741.8	647.2	(5,765.6)		
operations liability Deferred income taxes and other		330.0					330.0	
long-term liabilities		(86.1)	155.6	187.8	159.3		416.6	
Total liabilities	893.8	1,537.0	5,794.8	2,417.3	1,275.2	(8,626.5)	3,291.6	
Class A common stock	2.1					(0.3)	1.8	
Class B common						(0.0)	1.0	
stock	1.1					(1.1)		
Subsidiary common stock			170.0		371.4	(541.4)		
Capital in excess of								
par value	3,458.5		743.7	1,427.0	7,496.1	(13,039.6)	85.7	
Retained earnings Accumulated other	916.4	116.2	2,468.7	1,055.3	3,335.9	(5,057.4)	2,835.1	
non- owner changes in equity	51.7	(86.0)	(117.0)	23.2	(31.9)	79.3	(80.7)	
Total shareholders								
equity	4,429.8	30.2	3,265.4	2,505.5	11,171.5	(18,560.5)	2,841.9	
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$5,323.6	\$ 1,567.2	\$ 9,060.2	\$ 4,922.8	\$ 12,446.7	\$ (27,187.0)	\$ 6,133.5	
-21-								

Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008

(in millions)

Net cash provided by	Cooper	Cooper Industrie LLC		Guarantors	Other Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
(used in) operating activities	\$ (29.5	5) \$ (17.	7) \$ (26.8)	\$ 159.0	\$ 484.8	\$	\$ 569.8
Cash flows from investing activities: Proceeds from short-term							
investments Proceeds from cash restricted for			56.4				56.4
business acquisitions Capital expenditures Cash paid for			(11.9)	(37.4)	290.1 (46.2)		290.1 (95.5)
acquired businesses Investments in					(270.8)		(270.8)
affiliates Loans to affiliates Repayments of loans	(189.8	3)	(18.0) (568.2)	(3.3)	(751.3)	21.3 1,509.3	
from affiliates Dividends from	346.8	3 1.	8 358.4	0.1	590.4	(1,297.5)	
affiliates Proceeds from sales of property, plant and			96.1		8.0	(104.1)	
equipment and other			(3.3)	0.6	1.8		(0.9)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing							
activities	157.0) 1.	8 (90.5)	(40.0)	(178.0)	129.0	(20.7)
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from							
issuance of debt Debt issuance costs Proceeds from debt			297.6 (0.6)				297.6 (0.6)
derivatives Repayments of debt Borrowings from		(100.	0.5 0) (218.7)		(61.3)		0.5 (380.0)
affiliates Repayments of loans	1,083.7	7 151.	5 42.6	3.2	228.3	(1,509.3)	
to affiliates	(850.9	9)	(97.5)	(3.0)	(346.1)	1,297.5	

Other intercompany financing activities Dividends Dividends paid to affiliates	(2.2) (126.9) (104.1)	(34.	2) 3:	25.1	(114	l.8)	(187.1)	13.2 104.1	(126.9)
Purchase of common shares Excess tax benefits	(141.6)		(1)	83.6)					(325.2)
from stock options and awards Issuance of stock Proceeds from exercise of stock				8.6			21.3	(21.3)	8.6
options	13.2			17.0				(13.2)	17.0
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(128.8)	17.	3 19	91.0	(114	ł.6)	(344.9) (12.2)	(129.0)	(509.0) (12.2)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents,	(1.3)	1.		73.7		1.4	(50.3)		27.9
beginning of period	1.3			23.1	(1	.1)	209.5		232.8
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	\$ 1.	4 \$!	96.8 -22-	\$ 3	3.3 \$	\$ 159.2	\$	\$ 260.7

Table of Contents

Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

(in millions)

Net cash provided by	Cooper	Ind	ooper lustries, LLC	C	Cooper US, Inc	Gua	arantors	Other osidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Total
(used in) operating activities	\$ (19.7)	\$	(61.8)	\$	83.5	\$	135.1	\$ 326.2	\$	\$ 463.3
Cash flows from investing activities: Capital expenditures Cash paid for					(26.5)		(39.4)	(25.1)		(91.0)
acquired businesses Investments in							(75.0)	(119.5)		(194.5)
affiliates Loans to affiliates	(110.1)				(45.8) (355.1)		(17.1)	(859.3)	62.9 1,324.5	
Repayments of loans from affiliates	151.8							603.5	(755.3)	
Dividends from affiliates Proceeds from sale of					79.3		33.8	6.7	(119.8)	
property, plant and equipment and other							0.4	0.4		0.8
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	41.7				(348.1)		(97.3)	(393.3)	512.3	(284.7)
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from										
issuance of debt Debt issuance costs Proceeds from debt					300.0 (2.7)			6.7		306.7 (2.7)
derivatives Repayments of debt Borrowings from			(300.0)		10.0			(3.0)		10.0 (303.0)
affiliates	622.7		354.2		347.6				(1,324.5)	
Repayments of loans to affiliates	(416.4)				(337.2)			(1.7)	755.3	
Other intercompany financing Activities Dividends Dividends paid to	182.5 (116.2)		7.6		(177.0)		(36.2)	23.1		(116.2)
Dividends paid to affiliates	(86.0) (192.9)				(81.9)			(33.8)	119.8	(274.8)

Purchase of common shares Excess tax benefits from stock options and awards Issuance of stock Proceeds from exercise of stock options			19.6			62.9	(62.9)	19.6 54.7
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(6.3)	61.8	133.	1	(36.2)	54.2 11.0	(512.3)	(305.7) 11.0
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	15.7 11.5		(131.5		1.6 (2.8)	(1.9)		(116.1) 423.5
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 27.2	\$	\$	4 23-	\$ (1.2)	\$ 208.0	\$	\$ 307.4

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Results of Operations

Three Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared With Three Months Ended September 30, 2007

Income from continuing operations for the third quarter of 2008 was \$189.2 million on revenues of \$1,727.7 million compared with 2007 third quarter income from continuing operations of \$171.9 million on revenues of \$1,501.3 million. Third quarter 2008 diluted earnings per share from continuing operations were \$1.08 compared to \$.93 in the 2007 third quarter. The third quarter 2008 results include tax benefits from settlements and other discrete tax adjustments that increased earnings per share from continuing operations by \$.11 per share. The third quarter 2007 results from continuing operations included \$23.5 million income under an agreement with Belden and \$6.4 million (pre-tax) of expenses related to certain legal matters. The net of these items increased third quarter 2007 diluted earnings per share from continuing operations by \$.10 per share.

Revenues:

Revenues for the third quarter of 2008 increased 15% compared to the third quarter of 2007. The impact of acquisitions and currency translation increased reported revenues by slightly over 8% for the quarter.

Electrical Products segment revenues increased 17% compared to the third quarter of 2007. The impact of acquisitions increased revenues by over 8% for the quarter and favorable currency translation increased reported revenues nearly 1% for the quarter. The increase in revenues for Electrical Products segment reflects improvement in the industrial, utility and energy markets with international market initiatives providing further growth in the third quarter of 2008. The continued softness in the U.S. residential markets and slowing in selected European markets partially offset the segment s overall revenue growth.

Tools segment revenues for the third quarter of 2008 increased 1% from the third quarter of 2007. Excluding the effects of currency translation, revenues for the quarter were approximately 3% lower than 2007 third quarter on declining North American aerospace, retail and automotive results and weaker European demand partially offset by increased revenue in Asia and the rest of the world.

Costs and Expenses:

Cost of sales, as a percentage of revenues, was 67.7% for the third quarter of 2008 compared to 67.1% for the comparable 2007 quarter. The increase in the cost of sales was primarily related to mix of products sold, material price inflation and reduced production levels.

Electrical Products segment cost of sales, as a percentage of revenues, was 67.5% for the third quarter of 2008 compared to 66.6% for the third quarter of 2007. The increase in cost of sales as a percentage of revenues in comparison to the prior year third quarter was due to product mix, material price inflation and reduced leverage in certain facilities due to lower production volumes. Tools segment cost of sales, as a percentage of revenues, was 69.0% for the third quarter of 2008 compared to 70.1% for the third quarter of 2007. The decrease in the cost of sales percentage was due to product mix with a higher level of Professional Tools, productivity improvement initiatives and the impact of cost actions taken, including the previously announced downsizing of an international facility. This downsizing and related cash payments will be completed in 2008.

Selling and administrative expenses, as a percentage of revenues, for the third quarter of 2008 was 17.8% compared to 18.4% for the third quarter of 2007. The decrease in percentage is reflective of the higher revenue levels and cost reduction actions taken in 2008 partially offset by higher selling and administrative expenses for acquired companies.

-24-

Table of Contents

Electrical Products segment selling and administrative expenses, as a percentage of revenues for the third quarter of 2008, were 16.1% compared to 16.2% for the third quarter of 2007. The decrease in percentage reflects the higher overall percentages seen in the newly acquired operations, investment in resources to support international growth offset by leverage on higher revenues and cost control activities implemented in 2008.

Tools segment selling and administrative expenses, as a percentage of revenues for the third quarter of 2008, were 19.1% compared to 18.9% for the third quarter of 2007. The increase in selling and administrative expenses, as a percentage of revenues, reflects the impact of lower volumes partially offset by cost reduction actions.

Income of \$23.5 million from the Belden agreement was recognized in the 2007 third quarter. In 1993, Cooper completed an initial public offering of the stock of Belden, formerly a division of Cooper. Under the agreement, Belden and Cooper made an election that increased the tax basis of certain Belden assets. Belden is required to pay Cooper ninety percent of the amount by which Belden has actually reduced tax payments that would otherwise have been payable if the increase in the tax basis of assets had not occurred, as realized principally over fifteen years. If Belden does not have sufficient future taxable income, it is possible that Belden will not be able to utilize the tax deductions arising from the increase in the tax basis of the assets resulting in a tax loss carryforward. Belden is not obligated to pay Cooper until a tax loss carryforward is utilized. Belden can carry any loss forward twenty years to offset future taxable income. Cooper estimates that between \$40 and \$45 million in future payments potentially remain under the Belden agreement. The timing and ultimate receipt of future payments are contingent upon the ultimate taxable income Belden reports each year.

Net interest expense in the third quarter of 2008 increased \$5.0 million from the 2007 third quarter, primarily as a result of the March 27, 2008 issuance of \$300 million of senior unsecured notes and the utilization of debt financing to partially fund acquisitions and share repurchases. Average debt balances were \$1.33 billion and \$1.04 billion and average interest rates were 5.2% and 5.4% for the third quarter of 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Operating Earnings:

Electrical Products segment third quarter 2008 operating earnings increased 11% to \$249.7 million from \$224.2 million for the same quarter of last year. The increase resulted from higher revenues, incremental earnings from acquisitions, and execution on productivity improvement initiatives offset partially by unfavorable product mix and material price inflation.

Tools segment third quarter 2008 operating earnings were \$24.1 million compared to \$22.0 million in the third quarter of 2007. The increase is the result of favorable product mix and cost improvements from the downsizing of an international facility and productivity initiatives which were partially offset by higher material costs and the impact from lower volumes.

General Corporate expense decreased \$5.8 million to \$23.9 million for the third quarter of 2008 compared to \$29.7 million during the same period of 2007. The third quarter of 2007 results included \$6.4 million of incremental legal costs. Absent these items, general corporate expense increased by \$0.6 million primarily from normal inflation offset partially by cost reduction initiatives.

Income Taxes:

The effective tax rate was 18.7% for the third quarter of 2008 and 24.5% for the third quarter of 2007. Cooper reduced income tax expense by \$18.3 million during the three months ended September 30, 2008 for discrete tax items primarily related to statute expirations and state tax settlements. The third quarter

-25-

Table of Contents

2007 effective tax rate was lower due to the income from the Belden agreement being taxed in a foreign jurisdiction at a significantly lower rate than the U.S. statutory rate. Excluding the discrete tax items and the income from the Belden agreement, Cooper s effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 was 26.5% and 27.3%. This decrease is primarily related to the implementation of tax strategies that reduced the forecasted annual effective tax rate expected for 2008 to 28.0% resulting in the impact of this lower rate compared to the 28.7% effective tax rate recognized in the first six months of 2008 being reflected in the third quarter 2008 results.

Income Related to Discontinued Operations:

In the third quarter of 2008, Cooper recorded income from discontinued operations of \$16.6 million, net of a \$9.4 million income tax expense (or \$.09 per diluted share) related to its asbestos liability regarding the Automotive Products segment, which was sold in 1998. On September 30, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court denied the Modified Plan A Settlement resulting in Cooper not participating in the Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust. As a result of not participating in the trust, Cooper, has revised its accrual for the Pneumo-Abex asbestos liability and related insurance recoveries in the third quarter of 2008 based on resolution through the tort system. See Note 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared With Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007

Income from continuing operations for the first nine months of 2008 was \$504.5 million on revenues of \$4,998.1 million compared with 2007 first nine months income from continuing operations of \$513.0 million on revenues of \$4,359.0 million. First nine months diluted earnings per share from continuing operations were \$2.85 in 2008 compared to \$2.75 in 2007. First nine months 2008 income from continuing operations included severance costs associated with the downsizing of a Tools Segment international facility and the favorable impact of discrete tax items which increased reported income from continuing operations by \$17.4 million or \$.10 per share. First nine months 2007 income from continuing operations included \$8.8 million in incremental legal costs offset by \$26.8 million income from the Belden agreement and \$63.5 million of income tax adjustments that increased income from continuing operations by \$82.9 million or \$.44 per share.

Revenues:

Revenues for the first nine months of 2008 increased 15% compared to the first nine months of 2007. The impact of acquisitions and currency translation increased revenues by over 9%.

Electrical Products segment revenues for the first nine months of 2008 increased 16% compared to the first nine months of 2007. The impact of acquisitions increased revenue by slightly more than 8% and currency translation had approximately a 2% favorable effect on revenues in the first nine months of the year. Sales growth was a result of demand from the utility and industrial markets and international expansion. The soft U.S. residential markets and slower growth in certain European markets partially offset these gains.

Tools segment revenues for the first nine months of 2008 increased 5% compared to the first nine months of 2007. Favorable currency translation impact on revenues for the first nine months of 2008 accounted for all of the growth. Revenue growth in industrial markets was offset by weak demand for U.S. retail products.

Costs and Expenses:

Cost of sales, as a percentage of revenues, was 67.1% for the first nine months of 2008 compared to 67.4% for the comparable 2007 period. The decrease in the cost of sales percentage was primarily a result of fixed cost leverage on higher volume, favorable sales mix, pricing actions and benefits from productivity improvement initiatives partially offset by a \$7.6 million charge for downsizing a Tools segment international facility and material and other cost inflation.

-26-

Table of Contents

Electrical Products segment cost of sales, as a percentage of revenues, was 66.6% for the first nine months of 2008 compared to 67.2% for the first nine months of 2007. The decrease in the cost of sales percentage was primarily the result of productivity initiatives, favorable sales mix, production leverage on higher volume, and pricing actions partially offset by material and other cost inflation.

Tools segment cost of sales, as a percentage of revenues, was 70.8% for the first nine months of 2008 compared to 68.7% for the same period of 2007. The cost of sales percentage for the first nine months of 2008 includes the \$7.6 million impact of severance costs associated with the downsizing of an international facility, increased material costs, lower production volumes in selected operations offset by operating efficiency gains from productivity improvements and cost control measures.

Selling and administrative expenses, as a percentage of revenues, for the first nine months of 2008 were 18.5% compared to 18.4% for the first nine months of 2007. The increase is due to the impact of acquisitions and investment in international growth partially offset by incremental legal expenses of \$8.8 million in 2007, leverage on higher sales and cost reductions from productivity initiatives.

Electrical Products segment selling and administrative expenses, as a percentage of revenues, for the first nine months of 2008 were 16.8% compared to 16.1% for the first nine months of 2007. The increase in selling and administrative expenses percentage is primarily due to the impact of acquisitions, which generally have higher than average selling and administrative costs relative to sales, and the significant growth in international revenues, which generally have higher selling and administrative costs, partially offset by volume leverage and productivity initiatives.

Tools segment selling and administrative expenses, as a percentage of revenues, for the first nine months of 2008 were 19.8% compared to 19.9% for the first nine months of 2007. The benefits from productivity and cost reduction actions were offset by inflation.

Income from the Belden agreement was \$26.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. In 1993, Cooper completed an initial public offering of the stock of Belden, formerly a division of Cooper. Under the agreement, Belden and Cooper made an election that increased the tax basis of certain Belden assets. Belden is required to pay Cooper ninety percent of the amount by which Belden has actually reduced tax payments that would otherwise have been payable if the increase in the tax basis of assets had not occurred, as realized over principally fifteen years. If Belden does not have sufficient future taxable income, it is possible that Belden will not be able to utilize the tax deductions arising from the increase in the tax basis of the assets resulting in a tax loss carryforward. Belden is not obligated to pay Cooper until a tax loss carryforward is utilized. Belden can carry any loss forward twenty years to offset future taxable income. Cooper estimates that between \$40 and \$45 million in future payments potentially remain under the Belden agreement. The timing and ultimate receipt of future payments are contingent upon the ultimate taxable income Belden reports each year.

Net interest expense for the first nine months of 2008 increased \$12.4 million from the 2007 first nine months primarily as a result of higher average debt balances from the utilization of debt financing to partially fund acquisitions and share repurchases. Average debt balances were \$1.39 billion and \$1.05 billion and average interest rates were 5.2% and 5.7% for the first nine months of 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Operating Earnings:

Electrical Products segment first nine months 2008 operating earnings increased 16% to \$732.2 million from \$631.6 million for the same period of last year. The increase was primarily due to sales volume leverage, pricing actions, favorable sales mix, productivity initiatives and incremental earnings from acquisitions.

-27-

Table of Contents

Tools segment first nine months 2008 operating earnings decreased 14% to \$56.0 million compared to \$65.4 million in the same period of 2007. The decrease reflects the \$7.6 million in severance costs associated with the downsizing of an international facility and expenses to reduce the cost structure offset partially by cost productivity initiatives.

General Corporate expense decreased \$7.3 million to \$69.5 million during the first nine months of 2008 compared to \$76.8 million during the same period of 2007. This decrease is primarily related to incremental legal costs in 2007 of \$8.8 million.

Income Taxes:

The effective tax rate was 24.5% for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 15.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The rate change is primarily related to discrete items in 2008 and 2007. Cooper reduced 2008 income tax expense by \$22.9 million for discrete tax items primarily related to statute expirations, state tax settlements and foreign taxes while 2007 included a \$63.5 million reduction of income tax expense. Cooper finalized settlements with the Internal Revenue Service related to the 2000 through 2004 tax years in the 2007 second quarter. Previously unrecognized tax benefits of \$55.7 million were therefore recognized in 2007. A change in rates for the Texas margin tax and other developments in 2007 represented the remaining \$7.8 million of income tax expense reduction. The 2007 effective tax rate was also lower due to the income from the Belden agreement being taxed in a foreign jurisdiction at a significantly lower rate than the U.S. statutory rate. Excluding the discrete tax items and the income from the Belden agreement, Cooper s effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 was 28.0% and 27.2%. This increase is primarily related to increased earnings in 2008 without a corresponding increase in projected tax benefits.

Income Related to Discontinued Operations:

In the third quarter of 2008, Cooper recorded income from discontinued operations of \$16.6 million, net of a \$9.4 million income tax expense (or \$.09 per diluted share) related to its asbestos liability regarding the Automotive Products segment, which was sold in 1998. On September 30, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court denied the Modified Plan A Settlement resulting in Cooper not participating in the Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust. As a result of not participating in the trust, in the third quarter of 2008, Cooper has revised its accrual for the Pneumo-Abex asbestos liability and related insurance recoveries based on resolution through the tort system. See Note 13 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity:

Cooper's operating working capital (defined as receivables and inventories less accounts payable) increased \$165.8 million during the first nine months of 2008. A \$149.0 million increase in receivables and a \$72.4 million increase in inventories, partially offset by a \$55.6 million increase in accounts payable, were driven by increased sales, the impact from acquisitions completed in the 2008 first quarter, and significant material inflation, partially offset by currency translation and the impact of strategic initiatives to improve productivity. Operating working capital turnover (defined as annualized revenues divided by average operating working capital) for the first nine months of 2008 of 5.4 turns improved from the 5.2 turns reported for the same period of 2007.

Cash provided by operating activities was \$569.8 million during the first nine months of 2008. This cash, plus \$297.5 million of net proceeds from issuances of debt, \$290.1 million of proceeds from cash previously restricted, \$56.4 million from redemption of short-term investments, and \$17.0 million of cash received from stock option exercises, was primarily used to fund capital expenditures of \$95.5 million, acquisitions of \$270.8 million, dividends of \$126.9 million, debt repayments of \$380.0 million and share purchases of \$325.2 million.

-28-

Table of Contents

Cash provided by operating activities was \$463.3 million for the first nine months of 2007. This cash, plus \$116.1 million of cash and cash equivalents, \$314.0 million of net proceeds from debt issuances and \$54.7 million of cash received from employee stock option exercise activity were primarily used to fund capital expenditures of \$91.0 million, acquisitions of \$194.5 million, dividends of \$116.2 million, debt repayments of \$303.0 million and share purchases of \$274.8 million.

As discussed in Note 13 of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, on September 30, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court denied the Modified Plan A Settlement resulting in Cooper not participating in the Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust. As a result, Cooper received in October 2008 the \$141 million payment, including interest, from the Federal-Mogul bankruptcy estate as provided in the previously approved Plan B settlement. This amount is included in the current discontinued operations receivable balance in the accompanying balance sheet at September 30, 2008. Cooper anticipates that the annual cash outlay for its potential asbestos liability, net of insurance recoveries, will average in the range of \$20 to \$30 million, although amounts will vary as the amount of the actual annual net cash outlay is not reasonably predictable.

Subsequent to September 30, 2008 and through the date of this filing, Cooper has repurchased an additional 3.6 million shares of Cooper common stock for approximately \$119.6 million under previously existing authorizations by the Board of Directors.

Historically, Cooper has relied on the commercial paper markets to fund its operations. Although recent distress in the financial markets has not had a significant impact on Cooper s financial position, results of operations or liquidity as of the date of this filing in 2008, management continues to monitor the financial markets and general global economic conditions. If further changes in financial markets or other areas of the economy adversely affect Cooper s access to the commercial paper markets, Cooper would expect to rely on a combination of available cash and existing committed credit facilities to provide short-term funding.

With the recent distress in the financial markets, the likelihood of a downturn in the global economies has significantly increased. Cooper s financial results and liquidity remained strong through the third quarter of 2008. However, it is likely that the markets that Cooper services will experience slower growth and in some cases declines over the next twelve months. While the length and depth of a downturn are not predictable, Cooper is proactively adjusting our cost structure. In this regard, in October 2008, Cooper announced it is implementing contingency plans that will reduce our cost structure and expects to take a restructuring charge in the fourth quarter of 2008 in the range of approximately \$20 to \$22 million related to reducing our workforce by over 1,000 employees. Cooper anticipates that the restructuring activities related to reductions in the workforce will be completed by the end of 2008 and the related cash payments will be completed in the first half of 2009. Cooper may also have charges in the fourth quarter of 2008 as it evaluates moving certain product lines and other restructuring.

Recent deterioration in the securities markets has impacted the value of the assets included in Cooper's defined benefit pension plan (the Plan), the effect of which has not been reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 based on the provisions of SFAS No. 158 that require plan assets and obligations to be re-measured at December 31, 2008. Should values not recover before December 31, 2008, the decline in fair value of the Plan would result in increased total pension costs for 2009 as compared to total pension costs expected during 2008. Further, the decline in fair value may result in additional cash contributions during 2009 in accordance with the U.S. Pension Protection Act of 2006 or other international retirement plan funding requirements.

Cooper currently anticipates that it will annually generate in excess of \$500 million in cash flow available for acquisitions, debt repayments and common stock repurchases.

-29-

Table of Contents

Capital Resources:

Cooper targets a 30% to 40% debt-to-total capitalization ratio. Excess cash flows are utilized to fund acquisitions or to purchase shares of Cooper common stock. Cooper s debt-to-total capitalization ratio was 29.6% at September 30, 2008, 30.8% at December 31, 2007 and 27.4% at September 30, 2007.

At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, Cooper had cash and cash equivalents of \$260.7 million and \$232.8 million, respectively and short-term investments of \$35.6 million and \$93.7 million, respectively. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, Cooper had short-term debt of \$32.9 million and \$256.1 million, respectively, including commercial paper of \$10.0 million and \$228.7 million, respectively. In connection with the acquisition of MTL in February 2008, Cooper assumed short-term debt of \$47.8 million which has subsequently been repaid and issued short-term loan notes of \$8.8 million. At September 30, 2008, Cooper has \$8.0 million of loan notes related to MTL included in short-term debt.

Cooper s practice is to back up its short-term debt balance with a combination of cash, cash equivalents, and committed credit facilities. At September 30, 2008, Cooper had \$536 million of committed credit facilities, \$36 million of which matures in March 2009 and \$500 million of which matures in November 2009. Short-term debt, to the extent not backed up by cash or short-term investments, reduces the amount of additional liquidity provided by the committed credit facilities.

The credit facility agreements are not subject to termination based on a decrease in Cooper s debt ratings or a material adverse change clause. The principal financial covenants in the agreements limit Cooper s debt-to-total capitalization ratio to 60% and require Cooper to maintain a minimum earnings before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization to interest ratio of 3 to 1. Cooper is in compliance with all covenants set forth in the credit facility agreements.

Cooper s access to the commercial paper markets could be adversely affected by a change in the credit ratings assigned to its commercial paper. Should Cooper s access to the commercial paper markets be adversely affected due to a change in its credit ratings, Cooper would rely on a combination of available cash and its committed credit facilities to provide short-term funding. The committed credit facilities do not contain any provision which makes their availability to Cooper dependent on Cooper s credit ratings.

The recent distress in the financial markets could result in the commercial paper markets not being conducive to the issuance of commercial paper or, if issued, the commercial paper may not be at reasonably attractive interest rates. See further discussion above under Liquidity.

On March 27, 2008, Cooper s wholly-owned subsidiary, Cooper US, Inc. issued \$300 million of senior unsecured notes due in 2015. The fixed rate notes have an interest coupon of 5.45% and are guaranteed by Cooper and certain of its principal operating subsidiaries. Proceeds from the financing were used to repay outstanding commercial paper. Combined with the debt issuance discount, underwriting commissions and interest rate hedges implemented in anticipation of the offering, the notes have an effective annual cost to Cooper of 5.56%.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations

As of September 30, 2008, there have been no material changes to Cooper s off-balance sheet arrangements and contractual obligations as described in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

-30-

Table of Contents

Backlog

Sales backlog represents the dollar amount of all firm open orders for which all terms and conditions pertaining to the sale have been approved such that a future sale is reasonably expected. Sales backlog by segment was as follows:

	Septem	September 30,		
	2008	2007		
	(in mil	llions)		
Electrical Products	\$ 773.9	\$ 640.7		
Tools	83.2	79.4		
	\$ 857.1	\$ 720.1		

Private Securities Litigation Reform Act Safe Harbor Statement

This Form 10-Q includes certain forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements reflect Cooper s expectations, objectives and goals with respect to future events and financial performance, and are based on assumptions and estimates which Cooper believes are reasonable. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, any statements regarding future revenues, cost and expenses, earnings, earnings per share, margins, cash flows, dividends and capital expenditures. Cooper wishes to caution readers not to put undue reliance on these statements and that actual results could differ materially from anticipated results. Important factors which may affect the actual results include, but are not limited to, political developments, market and economic conditions, changes in raw material, transportation, and energy costs, industry competition, the ability to execute and realize the expected benefits from strategic initiatives including revenue growth plans and cost-control and productivity improvement programs, the magnitude of any disruptions from manufacturing rationalizations and the implementation of the Enterprise Business System, changes in mix of products sold, mergers and acquisitions and their integration into Cooper, the timing and amount of any stock repurchases by Cooper, changes in financial markets including currency exchange rate fluctuations, changing legislation and regulations including changes in tax law, tax treaties or tax regulations, and the resolution of potential liabilities and insurance recoveries resulting from on-going Pneumo-Abex related asbestos claims. The forward-looking statements contained in this report are intended to qualify for the safe harbor provisions of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, Cooper s management, under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of Cooper s disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, Cooper s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures are effective. There have been no significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of this evaluation.

Cooper is executing a multi-year process of implementing an Enterprise Business System (EBS) globally. Implementing an EBS system on a global basis involves significant changes in business processes. The implementation is phased, which reduces the risks associated with making these changes. In addition, Cooper is taking the necessary steps to monitor and maintain appropriate internal controls during the implementations. As of September 30, 2008, approximately 90% of Cooper is revenues are on the global system.

-31-

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Discontinued Operations Liability

In October 1998, Cooper sold its Automotive Products business to Federal-Mogul Corporation (Federal-Mogul). These discontinued businesses (including the Abex Friction product line obtained from Pneumo-Abex Corporation (Pneumo) in 1994) were operated through subsidiary companies, and the stock of those subsidiaries was sold to Federal-Mogul pursuant to a Purchase and Sale Agreement dated August 17, 1998 (1998 Agreement). In conjunction with the sale, Federal-Mogul indemnified Cooper for certain liabilities of these subsidiary companies, including liabilities related to the Abex Friction product line and any potential liability that Cooper may have to Pneumo pursuant to a 1994 Mutual Guaranty Agreement between Cooper and Pneumo. On October 1, 2001, Federal-Mogul and several of its affiliates filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy petition. The Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware confirmed Federal-Mogul s plan of reorganization and Federal-Mogul emerged from bankruptcy in December 2007. As part of Federal-Mogul s Plan of Reorganization, Cooper and Federal-Mogul reached a settlement agreement that was subject to approval by the Bankruptcy Court resolving Federal-Mogul s indemnification obligations to Cooper. As discussed further below, on September 30, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court issued its final ruling denying Cooper s participation in the proposed Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust resulting in implementation of the previously approved Plan B Settlement. As part of its obligation to Pneumo for any asbestos-related claims arising from the Abex Friction product line (Abex Claims), Cooper has rights, confirmed by Pneumo, to significant insurance for such claims. Based on information provided by representatives of Federal-Mogul and recent claims experience, from August 28, 1998 through September 30, 2008, a total of 145,474 Abex Claims were filed, of which 120,349 claims have been resolved leaving 25,125 Abex Claims pending at September 30, 2008. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008, 1,931 claims were filed and 6,265 claims were resolved. Since August 28, 1998, the average indemnity payment for resolved Abex Claims was \$2,090 before insurance. A total of \$142.1 million was spent on defense costs for the period August 28, 1998 through September 30, 2008. Historically, existing insurance coverage has provided 50% to 80% of the total defense and indemnity payments for Abex Claims. However, insurance recovery is currently at a lower percentage (approximately 30%) due to exhaustion of primary layers of coverage and litigation with certain excess insurers.

2005 - 2007

In December 2005, Cooper reached an initial agreement in negotiations with the representatives of Federal-Mogul, its bankruptcy committees and the future claimants (the Representatives) regarding Cooper's participation in Federal Mogul's proposed 524(g) asbestos trust. By participating in this trust, Cooper would have resolved its liability for asbestos claims arising from Cooper's former Abex Friction Products business. The proposed settlement agreement was subject to court approval and certain other approvals. Future claims would have been resolved through the bankruptcy trust.

Although the final determination of whether Cooper would participate in the Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust was unknown, Cooper s management concluded that, at the date of the filing of its 2005 Form 10-K, the most likely outcome in the range of potential outcomes was a settlement approximating the December 2005 proposed settlement. Accordingly, the accrual for potential liabilities related to the Automotive Products sale and the Federal-Mogul bankruptcy was \$526.3 million at December 31, 2005. The December 31, 2005 discontinued operations accrual included payments to a 524(g) trust over 25 years that were undiscounted, and included \$215 million of insurance recoveries where insurance in place agreements, settlements or policy recoveries were probable.

Throughout 2006 and 2007, Cooper continued to believe that the most likely outcome in the range of potential outcomes was a revised settlement with Cooper resolving its asbestos obligations through participation in the proposed Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust. While the details of the proposed settlement agreement evolved during the on-going negotiations throughout 2006 and 2007, the underlying principles of the proposed settlement arrangements being negotiated principally included fixed payments to a 524(g) trust over 25 years that were subject to reduction for insurance proceeds received in the future.

-32-

Table of Contents

As a result of the then current status of settlement negotiations, Cooper recorded a \$20.3 million after-tax discontinued operations charge, net of an \$11.4 million income tax benefit, in the second quarter of 2006 to reflect the revised terms of the proposed settlement agreement at that time. The discontinued operations accrual was \$509.1 million and \$529.6 million as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and included payments to a 524(g) trust over 25 years that were undiscounted, and included insurance recoveries of \$230 million and \$239 million, respectively, where insurance in place agreements, settlements or policy recoveries were probable.

The U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware confirmed Federal-Mogul s plan of reorganization on November 8, 2007, and the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware affirmed the Bankruptcy Court s order on November 14, 2007. As part of its ruling, the Bankruptcy Court approved the Plan B Settlement between Cooper and Federal-Mogul, which would require payment of \$138 million to Cooper in the event Cooper s participation in the Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust is not approved for any reason, or if Cooper elected not to participate or to pursue participation in the trust. The Bankruptcy Court stated that it would consider approving Cooper s participation in the Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust at a later time, and that its order confirming the plan of reorganization and approving the settlement between Cooper and Federal-Mogul did not preclude later approval of Cooper s participation in the 524(g) trust. Accordingly, in an effort to continue working towards approval of Cooper s participation in the trust and to address certain legal issues identified by the Court, Cooper, Pneumo-Abex, Federal-Mogul, and other plan supporters filed the Modified Plan A Settlement Documents on December 13, 2007. The Modified Plan A Settlement Documents would have required Cooper to make an initial payment of \$248.5 million in cash to the Federal-Mogul trust upon implementation of Plan A with additional annual payments of up to \$20 million each due over 25 years. If the Bankruptcy Court had approved the modified settlement and that settlement was implemented, Cooper, through Pneumo-Abex LLC, would have continued to have access to Abex insurance policies. 2008

During the first quarter of 2008, the Bankruptcy Court concluded hearings on Plan A. On September 30, 2008, the Bankruptcy Court issued its ruling denying the Modified Plan A Settlement resulting in Cooper not participating in the Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust and instead proceeding with the Plan B Settlement that had previously been approved by the Bankruptcy Court. As a result of the Plan B Settlement, Cooper received in October 2008 the \$138 million payment, plus interest of \$3 million, from the Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy estate and will continue to resolve through the tort system the asbestos related claims arising from the Abex Friction product line that it had sold to Federal-Mogul in 1998. Additionally, under Plan B, Cooper has access to Abex insurance policies.

The accrual for potential liabilities related to the Automotive Products sale and the Federal-Mogul bankruptcy and a progression of the activity is presented in the following table assuming resolution through participation in the Federal-Mogul 524(g) trust up until September 30, 2008 when the accounting was revised to reflect the Plan B Settlement.

	Three I	Months			
	Enc	Nine Mon	ths Ended		
	Septem	September 30,			
	2008	2007	2008	2007	
		(in mi	illions)		
Accrual at beginning of period (under Plan A)	\$ 521.3	\$ 543.0	\$ 509.1	\$ 529.6	
Indemnity and defense payments	(5.0)	(19.4)	(16.9)	(44.0)	
Insurance recoveries			25.4	39.3	
Other	(0.3)	(2.5)	(1.6)	(3.8)	
Accrual at end of period (under Plan A) *	\$ 516.0	\$ 521.1	\$ 516.0	\$ 521.1	

* The \$516.0 million

liability reflects the estimated liability under Plan A immediately prior to revising the accounting on September 30, 2008 to reflect the Plan B Settlement.

-33-

Table of Contents

As a result of the September 30, 2008 Bankruptcy Court ruling discussed above, Cooper revised its accounting in the third quarter 2008 to reflect the separate assets and liabilities related to the on-going activities to resolve the potential asbestos related claims through the tort system. The following table presents the separate assets and liabilities under the Plan B settlement as reflected in the accompanying balance sheet as of September 30, 2008.

A aboutou liabilita analysia	30,	tember 2008 nillions)		
Asbestos liability analysis: Liability for unpaid indemnity and defense costs incurred	\$	6.5		
• •	Ф	816.8		
Liability for pending and future indemnity and defense costs				
Total estimated asbestos liability at end of period	\$	823.3		
Asbestos receivable analysis				
Receivable from Federal-Mogul Bankruptcy estate (received in Oct. 2008)	\$	141.0		
Insurance receivable for previously paid claims and insurance settlements		72.7		
Insurance available for pending and future claims		119.6		
insurance available for pending and faculte elains		117.0		
Total estimated asbestos receivable at end of period	\$	333.3		
1				

Cooper recorded in the third quarter 2008 income from discontinued operations of \$16.6 million, net of a \$9.4 million income tax expense, to reflect the Plan B Settlement.

Asbestos Liability Estimate

As of September 30, 2008, Cooper estimates that the liability for pending and future indemnity and defense costs for the next 45 years will be \$816.8 million. The estimated liability is before any tax benefit and is not discounted as the timing of the actual payments is not reasonably predictable. However, a discounted value would likely be approximately 60% or less of the \$816.8 million liability recorded.

The methodology used to project Cooper s liability estimate relies upon a number of assumptions including Cooper s recent claims experience and declining future asbestos spending based on past trends and publicly available epidemiological data, changes in various jurisdictions, management s judgment about the current and future litigation environment, and the availability to claimants of other payment sources.

Abex discontinued using asbestos in the Abex Friction product line in the 1970 s and epidemiological studies that are publicly available indicate the incidence of asbestos-related disease is in decline and should continue to decline steadily. However, there can be no assurance that these studies, or other assumptions, will not vary significantly from the estimates utilized to project the undiscounted liability.

Although Cooper believes that its estimated liability for pending and future indemnity and defense costs represents the best estimate of its future obligation, Cooper utilized scenarios that it believed were reasonably possible that indicate a broader range of potential estimates from \$735 to \$950 million.

Asbestos Receivable Estimate

As of September 30, 2008, Cooper, through Pneumo-Abex LLC, has access to Abex insurance policies with remaining limits on policies with solvent insurers in excess of \$750 million. Insurance recoveries reflected as receivables in the balance sheet include recoveries where insurance-in-place agreements, settlements or policy recoveries are probable. As of September 30, 2008, Cooper s receivable for recoveries of costs from insurers amounted to \$192.3 million, of which \$72.7 million relate to costs previously paid or insurance settlements. Cooper s arrangements with the insurance carriers defer certain amounts of insurance and settlement proceeds that Cooper is entitled to receive beyond twelve months. Approximately 90% of the \$192.3 million receivable from insurance companies at September 30, 2008 is due from domestic insurers whose AM Best rating is Excellent (A-) or better. The

remaining balance of the insurance receivable has been significantly discounted to reflect management s best estimate of the recoverable amount.

-34-

Table of Contents

Cooper believes that it is likely that additional insurance recoveries will be recorded in the future as new insurance-in-place agreements are consummated or settlements with insurance carriers are completed. However, extensive litigation with the insurance carriers may be required to receive those additional recoveries. *Critical Accounting Assumptions*

The amounts recorded by Cooper for its asbestos liability and related insurance receivables rely on assumptions that are based on currently known facts and strategy. Cooper s actual asbestos costs or insurance recoveries could be significantly higher or lower than those recorded if assumptions used in the estimation process vary significantly from actual results over time. Key variables in these assumptions include the number and type of new claims filed each year, the average indemnity and defense costs of resolving claims, and the resolution of on-going negotiations of additional settlement or coverage-in-place agreements with insurance carriers. Assumptions with respect to these variables are subject to greater uncertainty as the projection period lengthens. Other factors that may affect Cooper s liability and ability to recover under its insurance policies include uncertainties surrounding the litigation process from jurisdiction to jurisdiction and from case to case, reforms that may be made by state and federal courts, and the passage of state or federal tort reform legislation. Cooper will review these assumptions on a periodic basis to determine whether any adjustments are required to the estimate of its recorded asbestos liability and related insurance receivables.

From a cash flow perspective, Cooper management believes that the annual cash outlay for its potential asbestos liability, net of insurance recoveries, would not be material to Cooper s operating cash flow.

Other Matters

Cooper is subject to various suits, legal proceedings and claims that arise in the normal course of business. While it is not feasible to predict the outcome of these matters with certainty, management is of the opinion that their ultimate disposition should not have a material adverse effect on Cooper s financial statements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in the risk factors previously disclosed in Cooper s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

-35-

Table of Contents

Item 2. Changes in Securities, Use of Proceeds and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table reflects activity related to equity securities purchased by Cooper during the three months ended September 30, 2008:

Purchases of Equity Securities

	Total Number of Shares	Average Price Paid per	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1) (2)	
Period	Purchased	Share	or Programs (1)		
As of 6/30/08			_	10,778,968	
7/01/08 7/31/08	996,646	\$ 38.27	996,646	9,782,322	
8/01/08 8/31/08	34,750	\$ 42.14	34,750	9,747,572	
9/01/08 9/30/08	64,023	\$ 42.00	64,023	9,683,549	
Total	1,095,419	\$ 38.61	1,095,419		

(1) On November 2,

2004, Cooper s

Board of

Directors

authorized the

repurchase of up

to ten million

additional

shares of

Cooper Class A

common stock.

On February 12,

2008, Cooper s

Board of

Directors

authorized the

authorized the

repurchase of

ten million

shares of

common stock

in addition to

the remaining

November 2,

2004

authorization,

which is

reflected in the

above table.

Cooper has also

announced that the Board authorized the repurchase of shares issued from time to time under its equity compensation plans, matched savings plan and dividend reinvestment plan in order to offset the dilution that results from issuing shares under these plans. For 2008, Cooper s current estimate is that 3 million shares will be issued under equity compensation plans, which is reflected in the above table.

As of the date of this filing in 2008, Cooper had repurchased the 3 million shares intended to offset dilution from share issuances under equity compensation plans, as well as approximately 8.4 million additional shares under the Cooper Board of Directors authorizations discussed above. Cooper

may continue to

repurchase

shares under

this

authorization

from time to

time during

2008. The

decision

whether to do so

will be

dependent on

the favorability

of market

conditions, as

well as potential

cash

requirements for

acquisitions.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Not applicable

Item 6. Exhibits

- 12.1 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges for the Calendar Years 2003 through 2007 and the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.
- 31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

-36

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Cooper Industries, Ltd.

(Registrant)

<u>Date: November 5, 2008</u> /s/ Terry A. Klebe

Terry A. Klebe, Senior Vice President

and

Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 5, 2008 /s/ Rick L. Johnson

Rick L. Johnson, Vice President,

Controller and

Chief Accounting Officer

-37-

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

Exhibit No.

- 12.1 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges for the Calendar Years 2003 through 2007 and the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.
- 31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.