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## ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010
(In thousands, except per share amounts)

## ASSETS:

Cash and due from banks
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions
Total cash and cash equivalents
Trading securities
Securities available-for-sale, at estimated fair value (encumbered \$370,796

| June 30, | December 31, |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 2010 |

(Unaudited)
in 2011 and $\$ 275,694$ in 2010)
$1,212,319 \quad 1,244,313$
Securities held-to-maturity, at amortized cost (estimated fair value of
$\$ 4,629$ in 2011 and \$5,273 in 2010) (encumbered $\$ 0$ in 2011 and 2010)
Loans held-for-sale
4,421
5,060
Loans held-for-investment, net
125
1,170

Allowance for loan losses
902,564
827,591

Net loans held-for-investment
879,044
805,772
Accrued interest receivable
7,568
7,873
Bank owned life insurance
76,292
74,805
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, at cost } \quad 8,631 & 9,784\end{array}$
Premises and equipment, net
17,509
16,057
Goodwill
16,159
16,159
Other real estate owned
118
171
Other assets
18,039
18,056
Total assets
\$2,307,571
2,247,167

## LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY: <br> LIABILITIES:

| Deposits | $\$ 1,448,569$ | $1,372,842$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Borrowings | 444,522 | 391,237 |
| Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 1,869 | 693 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 14,440 | 85,678 |

Total liabilities
1,909,400
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:
Preferred stock, $\$ 0.01$ par value; $10,000,000$ shares authorized, none issued
or outstanding
Common stock, $\$ 0.01$ par value: 90,000,000 shares authorized, 45,632,611shares issued at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively,$42,370,928$ and 43,316,021 outstanding at June 30, 2011, and December 31,2010, respectively456456
Additional paid-in-capital ..... 207,686 ..... 205,863
Unallocated common stock held by employee stock ownership plan ..... $(14,896)$ ..... $(15,188)$
Retained earnings230,125222,655Accumulated other comprehensive income15,61110,910Treasury stock at cost; 3,261,683 and 2,316,590 shares at June 30, 2011,and December 31, 2010, respectively$(40,811)$$(27,979)$
Total stockholders equity 398,171 ..... 396,717
Total liabilities and stockholders equity \$2,307,571 ..... 2,247,167

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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| NORTHF <br> CONSOLIDATE <br> Three and six mon <br> (In thou | LD BANC TATEME <br> ended June <br> Unaudited) <br> ds, except | NC. <br> F INCOM <br> 11 , and 20 <br> a) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Three | ended | Six m | nded |
|  |  |  |  | 2010 |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | \$12,778 | 12,098 | \$25,252 | 22,391 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 8,675 | 8,244 | 17,092 | 17,308 |
| Other securities | 787 | 1,567 | 1,757 | 3,068 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of New York dividends | 121 | 63 | 230 | 158 |
| Deposits in other financial institutions | 77 | 60 | 105 | 114 |
| Total interest income | 22,438 | 22,032 | 44,436 | 43,039 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 3,270 | 3,382 | 6,287 | 7,334 |
| Borrowings | 3,339 | 2,733 | 6,549 | 5,239 |
| Total interest expense | 6,609 | 6,115 | 12,836 | 12,573 |
| Net interest income | 15,829 | 15,917 | 31,600 | 30,466 |
| Provision for loan losses | 1,750 | 2,798 | 3,117 | 4,728 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 14,079 | 13,119 | 28,483 | 25,738 |
| Non-interest income: |  |  |  |  |
| Fees and service charges for customer services | 743 | 630 | 1,437 | 1,289 |
| Income on bank owned life insurance | 746 | 514 | 1,487 | 937 |
| Gain on securities transactions, net | 839 | 529 | 2,644 | 1,145 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment losses on securities | (991) |  | $(1,152)$ |  |
| Portion recognized in other comprehensive income (before taxes) | 743 |  | 743 |  |
| Net impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings | (248) |  | (409) |  |
| Other | 110 | 193 | 140 | 218 |
| Total non-interest income | 2,190 | 1,866 | 5,299 | 3,589 |


| Non-interest expense: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Compensation and employee benefits | 5,048 | 4,208 | 10,210 | 8,999 |
| Director compensation | 372 | 372 | 771 | 769 |
| Occupancy | 1,327 | 1,185 | 2,819 | 2,379 |
| Furniture and equipment | 292 | 259 | 579 | 531 |
| Data processing | 662 | 651 | 1,334 | 1,268 |
| FDIC insurance | 400 | 455 | 860 | 885 |
| Professional fees | 628 | 475 | 1,068 | 854 |
| Other | 855 | 852 | 1,896 | 1,893 |
| Total non-interest expense | 9,584 | 8,457 | 19,537 | 17,578 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Income before income tax expense | 6,685 | 6,528 | 14,245 | 11,749 |
| Income tax expense | 2,338 | 2,342 | 4,928 | 4,182 |
| Net income | $\$ 4,347$ | 4,186 | $\$ 9,317$ | 7,567 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share | $\$ 0.11$ | 0.10 | $\$ 0.23$ | 0.18 |

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.


Treasury stock
(average cost of
$\$ 12.00$ per share)
$(5,347)$
$(5,347)$
Balance at
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { June 30, } 2010 & 45,632,611 & 456 & 204,326 & (15,514) & 218,156 & 17,428 & (25,113) & 399,739\end{array}$

Balance at
December 31, $2010 \quad 45,632,611 \quad 456 \quad 205,863 \quad(15,188) \quad 222,655 \quad 10,910 \quad(27,979) \quad 396,717$
Comprehensive income:
Net income 9,317 9,317
Change in
accumulated
comprehensive
income, net of tax
of $\$ 3,134 \quad 4,701 \quad 4,701$
Total
comprehensive
income 14,018
ESOP shares
allocated or
committed to be
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { released } & 102 & 292 & 394\end{array}$
Stock
compensation
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { expense } \quad 1,535 & 1,535\end{array}$
Additional tax
benefit on equity
awards 186
186
Exercise of stock
options
Dividends
declared (\$0.11
per share)
Treasury stock
(average cost of
$\$ 13.58$ per share)
$(12,838) \quad(12,838)$

Balance at
June 30, $2011 \quad 45,632,611 \quad \$ 456 \quad 207,686 \quad(14,896) \quad 230,125 \quad 15,611 \quad(40,811) \quad 398,171$
See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

## Table of Contents

## NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Six months ended June 30, 2011, and 2010
(Unaudited) (In thousands)

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 9,317 | 7,567 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 3,117 | 4,728 |
| ESOP and stock compensation expense | 1,929 | 1,909 |
| Depreciation | 1,008 | 852 |
| Amortization of premiums, and deferred loan costs, net of (accretion) of discounts, and deferred loan fees | 295 | 267 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 59 | 162 |
| Income on bank owned life insurance | $(1,487)$ | (937) |
| Gain on sale of premises and equipment and other real estate owned | (84) | (197) |
| Net gain on sale of loans held-for-sale | (15) | (2) |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held-for-sale | 5,484 | 334 |
| Origination of loans held-for-sale | $(4,424)$ | (580) |
| Gain on securities transactions, net | $(2,644)$ | $(1,145)$ |
| Net impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings | 409 |  |
| Net purchases of trading securities | (205) | (22) |
| Decrease in accrued interest receivable | 305 | 53 |
| Increase in other assets | $(2,084)$ | (200) |
| (Decrease) increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities | (491) | 296 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 10,489 | 13,085 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |
| Net increase in loans receivable | $(76,940)$ | $(45,166)$ |
| Redemptions (purchase) of Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, net | 1,153 | $(1,698)$ |
| Purchases of securities available-for-sale | $(342,901)$ | $(435,937)$ |
| Principal payments and maturities on securities available-for-sale | 198,444 | 235,647 |
| Principal payments and maturities on securities held-to-maturity | 641 | 913 |
| Proceeds from sale of securities available-for-sale | 114,446 | 96,082 |
| Purchase of bank owned life insurance |  | $(10,000)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of other real estate owned | 487 |  |
| Proceeds from the sale of premises and equipment |  | 394 |
| Purchases and improvements of premises and equipment | $(2,460)$ | $(1,960)$ |
| Net cash used in investing activities | $(107,130)$ | $(161,725)$ |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |
| Net increase in deposits | 75,728 | 63,810 |
| Dividends paid | $(1,846)$ | $(1,581)$ |
| Exercise of stock options | 5 | 137 |


| Purchase of treasury stock | $(12,838)$ | $(5,347)$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Additional tax benefit on equity awards | 186 | 231 |
| Increase in advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | 1,176 | $(102)$ |
| Repayments under capital lease obligations | 412,981 | $(91)$ |
| Proceeds from borrowings | $(359,594)$ | $(99,680$ |
| Repayments related to borrowings | 115,696 | 134,958 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities |  |  |
|  | 19,055 | $(13,682)$ |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 43,852 | 42,544 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period |  |  |
|  | 62,907 | 28,862 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period |  |  |
| Supplemental cash flow information: |  |  |
| Cash paid during the period for: | 12,586 | 12,543 |
| Interest | 6,129 | 5,528 |
| Income taxes |  | 1,416 |
| Other transactions: | 26 | 1,020 |
| Loans charged-off, net | 376 | 146 |
| Other real estate owned charged-off | $(70,747)$ |  |
| Transfers to other real estate owned |  |  |
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## NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC. Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

## Note 1 Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements are comprised of the accounts of Northfield Bancorp, Inc., and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Northfield Bank (the Bank ), and the Bank s wholly-owned significant subsidiaries, NSB Services Corp. and NSB Realty Trust (collectively, the Company ). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting solely of normal and recurring adjustments) necessary for the fair presentation of the consolidated financial condition and the consolidated results of operations for the unaudited periods presented have been included. The results of operations and other data presented for the three and six month period ended June 30, 2011, are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2011. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Certain information and note disclosures usually included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC ) for the preparation of interim financial statements. The consolidated financial statements presented should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes to consolidated financial statements included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, of Northfield Bancorp, Inc. as filed with the SEC.

## Note 2 Securities Available-for-Sale

The following is a comparative summary of mortgage-backed securities and other securities available-for-sale at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

|  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized cost | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { unrealized } \\ \text { gains } \end{gathered}$ | Gross unrealized losses | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { fair } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Pass-through certificates: |  |  |  |  |
| Government sponsored enterprises (GSE) | \$ 549,817 | 19,543 | 1 | 569,359 |
| Non-GSE | 9,787 |  | 743 | 9,044 |
| Real estate mortgage investment conduits (REMICs): |  |  |  |  |
| GSE | 475,168 | 4,763 | 527 | 479,404 |
| Non-GSE | 38,491 | 2,311 | 16 | 40,786 |
|  | 1,073,263 | 26,617 | 1,287 | 1,098,593 |
| Other securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Equity investments-mutual funds | 9,140 | 50 |  | 9,190 |
| Corporate bonds | 103,422 | 1,178 | 64 | 104,536 |
|  | 112,562 | 1,228 | 64 | 113,726 |
| Total securities available-for-sale | \$ 1,185,825 | 27,845 | 1,351 | 1,212,319 |
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|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized cost | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { unrealized } \\ \text { gains } \end{gathered}$ | Gross unrealized losses | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { fair } \\ & \text { value } \end{aligned}$ |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Pass-through certificates: |  |  |  |  |
| Government sponsored enterprises (GSE) | \$ 342,316 | 13,479 |  | 355,795 |
| Non-GSE | 27,801 | 814 | 737 | 27,878 |
| Real estate mortgage investment conduits (REMICs): |  |  |  |  |
| GSE | 622,582 | 3,020 | 3,525 | 622,077 |
| Non-GSE | 65,766 | 3,674 | 51 | 69,389 |
|  | 1,058,465 | 20,987 | 4,313 | 1,075,139 |
| Other securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Equity investments-mutual funds | 12,437 | 31 | 115 | 12,353 |
| GSE bonds | 34,988 | 45 |  | 35,033 |
| Corporate bonds | 119,765 | 2,146 | 123 | 121,788 |
|  | 167,190 | 2,222 | 238 | 169,174 |
| Total securities available-for-sale | \$ 1,225,655 | 23,209 | 4,551 | 1,244,313 |

The following is a summary of the expected maturity distribution of debt securities available-for-sale, other than mortgage-backed securities, at June 30, 2011 (in thousands):

|  |  | Estimated <br> fair |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| value |  |  |

Expected maturities on mortgage-backed securities may differ from contractual maturities as borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without penalties.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company had gross proceeds of $\$ 25.9$ million and $\$ 114.4$ million on sales of securities available-for-sale with gross realized gains of approximately $\$ 886,000$ and $\$ 2.5$ million, and gross realized losses of $\$ 0$ and $\$ 0$, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company had gross proceeds of $\$ 80.9$ million and $\$ 96.1$ million on sales of securities available-for-sale with gross realized gains of approximately $\$ 785,000$ and $\$ 1.0$ million, and gross realized losses of approximately $\$ 0$ and $\$ 0$, respectively. The Company recognized $\$(47,000)$ in losses and $\$ 139,000$ in gains on its trading securities portfolio during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. The Company recognized $\$(255,000)$ in losses and $\$ 90,000$ in gains on its trading securities portfolio during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. The Company recognized other-than-temporary impairment charges of $\$ 248,000$ and $\$ 409,000$ against earnings
during the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, related to one equity investment in a mutual fund and two private label mortgage-backed securities. The Company recognized the credit component of $\$ 248,000$ in earnings and the non-credit component of $\$ 743,000$ as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. The Company did not recognize any other-than-temporary impairment charges during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

Activity related to the credit component recognized in earnings on debt securities for which a portion of other-than-temporary impairment was recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, is as follows (in thousands):
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|  | Three months ended June 30, |  |  | Six months ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 330 | 176 | 330 | 176 |
| Additions to the credit component on debt securities in which other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized |  | 248 |  | 248 |  |
| Cumulative pre-tax credit losses, end of period | \$ | 578 | 176 | 578 | 176 |

Gross unrealized losses on mortgage-backed securities, equity investments, and corporate bonds available-for-sale, and the estimated fair value of the related securities, aggregated by security category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, were as follows (in thousands):

Mortgage-backed securities:
Pass-through certificates:
Government sponsored

| enterprises (GSE) | $\$ 1$ | 165 |  | 1 | 165 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non-GSE <br> Real estate mortgage <br> investment conduits | 289 | 3,354 | 454 | 5,689 | 743 | 9,043 |
| (REMICs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSE |  |  |  |  | 527 | 53,176 |
| Non-GSE <br> Corporate bonds | 527 | 53,176 | 16 | 1,031 | 16 | 1,031 |
|  | 64 | 13,760 |  |  | 64 | 13,760 |
| Total | $\$ 881$ | 70,455 | 470 | 6,720 | 1,351 | 77,175 |

Mortgage-backed securities:
Pass-through certificates:

| Non-GSE | \$ |  | 737 | 10,126 | 737 | 10,126 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REMICs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSE | 3,525 | 344,971 |  |  | 3,525 | 344,971 |
| Non-GSE |  |  | 51 | 1,238 | 51 | 1,238 |
| Corporate bonds | 123 | 13,880 |  |  | 123 | 13,880 |
| Equity Investments funds | 115 | 4,884 |  |  | 115 | 4,884 |


| Total | $\$ 3,763$ | 363,735 | 788 | 11,364 | 4,551 | 375,099 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Included in the above available-for-sale security amounts at June 30, 2011, was one pass-through non-GSE mortgage-backed security in a continuous unrealized loss position of greater than twelve months that was rated less than investment grade at June 30, 2011. The security had an estimated fair value of $\$ 5.7$ million (amortized cost of $\$ 6.1$ million), was rated Ca 2 , and had the following underlying collateral characteristics: $83 \%$ originated in 2004, and $17 \%$ originated in 2005. The rating of the security detailed above represents the lowest rating for the security received from the rating agencies of Moody s, Standard \& Poor s, and Fitch. The Company continues to receive principal and interest payments in accordance with the contractual terms of this security. Management has evaluated, among other things, delinquency status, location of collateral, estimated prepayment speeds, and the estimated default rates and loss severity in liquidating the underlying collateral for this security. As a result of management $s$ evaluation of this security, the Company recognized during the quarter ended June 30, 2011, other than temporary impairment of $\$ 593,000$. Since management does not have the intent to sell the security and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the security, before its anticipated recovery (which may be maturity), the credit component of $\$ 139,000$ was recognized in earnings, and the non credit component of $\$ 454,000$ was recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax.

In addition to the one pass-through non-GSE mortgage-backed security discussed above, the Company had one additional private label security that was rated less than investment grade at June 30, 2011. The security, had an estimated fair value of $\$ 3.4$ million (amortized cost of $\$ 3.6$ million), was rated CC, and was supported by collateral which was originated in 2006. The rating of the security detailed above represents the lowest rating for the security received from the rating agencies of Moody s, Standard \& Poor s, and Fitch. The Company continues to receive principal and interest payments in accordance with the contractual terms of this security. Management has evaluated, among other things, delinquency status, location of collateral, estimated prepayment speeds, and the
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estimated default rates and loss severity in liquidating the underlying collateral for this security. As a result of management $s$ evaluation of this security, the Company recognized during the quarter ended June 30, 2011, other than temporary impairment of $\$ 398,000$. Since management does not have the intent to sell the security and it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the security, before its anticipated recovery (which may be maturity), the credit component of $\$ 109,000$ was recognized in earnings, and the non credit component of $\$ 289,000$ was recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax.

The Company held one REMIC non-GSE mortgage-backed security that was in a continuous unrealized loss position of greater than twelve months, and three corporate bonds, two pass-through GSE mortgage-backed securities, and five REMIC mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by GSEs, that were in an unrealized loss position of less than twelve months, and rated investment grade at June 30, 2011. The declines in value relate to the general interest rate environment and are considered temporary. The securities cannot be prepaid in a manner that would result in the Company not receiving substantially all of its amortized cost. The Company neither has an intent to sell, nor is it more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell, the securities before the recovery of their amortized cost basis or, if necessary, maturity.

The fair values of our investment securities could decline in the future if the underlying performance of the collateral for the collateralized mortgage obligations or other securities deteriorates and our credit enhancement levels do not provide sufficient protections to our contractual principal and interest. As a result, there is a risk that significant other-than-temporary impairments may occur in the future given the current economic environment.
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Note 3 Net Loans Held-for-Investment
Net loans held-for-investment are as follows (in thousands):

|  | June 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Real estate loans: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |
| Commercial mortgage | $\$ 335,485$ | 339,321 |
| One- to- four family residential mortgage | 74,825 | 78,032 |
| Construction and land | 28,078 | 35,054 |
| Multifamily | 358,130 | 283,588 |
| Home equity and lines of credit | 30,195 | 28,125 |
| Total real estate loans | 826,713 | 764,120 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 13,974 | 17,020 |
| Insurance premium loans | 59,037 | 44,517 |
| Other loans | 1,700 | 1,062 |
|  |  |  |
| Total commercial and industrial, insurance premium, and other loans | 74,711 | 62,599 |
|  |  | 826,719 |
| Total loans held-for-investment | 901,424 | 826,740 |
| Deferred loan cost, net | 902,564 | 872 |
| Loans held-for-investment, net | $(23,520)$ | 827,591 |
| Allowance for loan losses | $\$ 879,044$ | 805,772 |
| Net loans held-for-investment |  |  |

Loans held-for-sale amounted to $\$ 125,000$ and $\$ 1.2$ million at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. All loans held for sale are one- to four-family residential mortgage loans.

The Company does not have any lending programs commonly referred to as subprime lending. Subprime lending generally targets borrowers with weakened credit histories typically characterized by payment delinquencies, previous charge-offs, judgments, bankruptcies, or borrowers with questionable repayment capacity as evidenced by low credit scores or high debt-burden ratios.

The Company, through its principal subsidiary, the Bank, serviced $\$ 46.9$ million and $\$ 52.1$ million of loans at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively, for Freddie Mac. These one- to four-family residential mortgage real estate loans were underwritten to Freddie Mac guidelines and to comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws. At the time of the closing of these loans the Company owned the loans and subsequently sold them to Freddie Mac providing normal and customary representations and warranties, including representations and warranties related to compliance with Freddie Mac underwriting standards. At the time of sale, the loans were free from encumbrances except for the mortgages filed for by the Company which, with other underwriting documents, were subsequently assigned and delivered to Freddie Mac. At June 30, 2011, substantially all of the loans serviced for Freddie Mac were performing in accordance with their contractual terms and management believes that it has no material repurchase obligations associated with these loans. Servicing of loans for others does not have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

We provide for loan losses based on the consistent application of our documented allowance for loan loss methodology. Loan losses are charged to the allowance for loans losses and recoveries are credited to it. Additions to the allowance for loan losses are provided by charges against income based on various factors which, in our judgment, deserve current recognition in estimating probable losses. Loan losses are charged-off in the period the loans, or
portion thereof, are deemed uncollectible. Generally, the Company will record a loan charge-off (including a partial charge-off) to reduce a loan to the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, less cost to sell, for collateral dependent loans. We regularly review the loan portfolio and make adjustments for loan losses in order to maintain the allowance for loan losses in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ). The allowance for loan losses consists primarily of the following two components:
(1) Allowances are established for impaired loans (generally defined by the Company as non-accrual loans with an outstanding balance of $\$ 500,000$ or greater). The amount of impairment provided for as an allowance is represented by the deficiency, if any, between the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the original loan seffective interest rate or the underlying collateral value (less estimated costs to sell,) if the loan is collateral dependent, and the carrying value of the loan. Impaired
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loans that have no impairment losses are not considered for general valuation allowances described below.
(2) General allowances are established for loan losses on a portfolio basis for loans that do not meet the definition of impaired. The portfolio is grouped into similar risk characteristics, primarily loan type, loan-to-value, if collateral dependent, and internal credit risk ratings. We apply an estimated loss rate to each loan group. The loss rates applied are based on our cumulative prior two year loss experience adjusted, as appropriate, for the environmental factors discussed below. This evaluation is inherently subjective, as it requires material estimates that may be susceptible to significant revisions based upon changes in economic and real estate market conditions. Actual loan losses may be significantly more than the allowance for loan losses we have established, which could have a material negative effect on our financial results. Within general allowances is an unallocated reserve established to recognize losses related to the inherent subjective nature of the appraisal process and the internal credit risk rating process.
In underwriting a loan secured by real property, we require an appraisal of the property by an independent licensed appraiser approved by the Company s board of directors or an automated valuation model. The appraisal is subject to review by an independent third party hired by the Company. We review and inspect properties before disbursement of funds during the term of a construction loan. Generally, management obtains updated appraisals when a loan is deemed impaired. These appraisals may be more limited than those prepared for the underwriting of a new loan. In addition, when the Company acquires other real estate owned, it generally obtains a current appraisal to substantiate the net carrying value of the asset.

The adjustments to our loss experience are based on our evaluation of several environmental factors, including: changes in local, regional, national, and international economic and business conditions and developments that affect the collectibility of our portfolio, including the condition of various market segments;
changes in the nature and volume of our portfolio and in the terms of our loans;
changes in the experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff;
changes in the volume and severity of past due loans, the volume of nonaccrual loans, and the volume and severity of adversely classified or graded loans;
changes in the quality of our loan review system;
changes in the value of underlying collateral for collateral-dependent loans;
the existence and effect of any concentrations of credit, and changes in the level of such concentrations; and
the effect of other external factors such as competition and legal and regulatory requirements on the level of estimated credit losses in our existing portfolio.
In evaluating the estimated loss factors to be utilized for each loan group, management also reviews actual loss history over an extended period of time as reported by the federal regulators for institutions both in our market area and nationally for periods that are believed to have experienced similar economic conditions.

We evaluate the allowance for loan losses based on the combined total of the impaired and general components. Generally when the loan portfolio increases, absent other factors, our allowance for loan loss methodology results in a higher dollar amount of estimated probable losses. Conversely, when the loan portfolio decreases, absent other factors, our allowance for loan loss methodology results in a lower dollar amount of estimated probable losses.
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Each quarter we evaluate the allowance for loan losses and adjust the allowance as appropriate through a provision for loan losses. While we use the best information available to make evaluations, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary if conditions differ substantially from the information used in making the evaluations. In addition, as an integral part of their examination process, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, as it relates to the Bank, and the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, as it relates to Northfield Bancorp, Inc, are subject to periodic examination, including review of our allowance for loan losses. Our regulators may require us to adjust the allowance based on their analysis of information available to them at the time of their examination. Our last examination, as of September 30, 2010, of Northfield Bancorp, Inc. and the Bank, were conducted by the Office of Thrift Supervision, our predecessor regulator.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses is as follows (in thousands):

|  | At or for the six months ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| Beginning balance | \$21,819 | 15,414 |
| Provision for loan losses | 3,117 | 4,728 |
| Charge-offs, net | $(1,416)$ | $(1,020)$ |
| Ending balance | \$23,520 | 19,122 |
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The following tables set forth activity in our allowance for loan losses, by loan type, for the six months ended June 30, 2011, and the year ended December 31, 2010, respectively. The following tables also detail the amount of loans held-for-investment, net of deferred loan fees and costs, that are evaluated individually, and collectively, for impairment, and the related portion of allowance for loan losses that is allocated to each loan portfolio segment, as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

June 30, 2011
Real Estate


Allowance for loan losses:

Beginning Balance,

| December 31, 2010 | $\$ 12,654$ | 570 | 1,855 | 5,137 | 242 | 719 | 111 | 28 | 503 | 21,819 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charge-offs | $(1,198)$ |  |  | $(25)$ |  | $(196)$ | $(26)$ |  |  | $(1,445)$ |
| Recoveries | 6 |  |  |  |  | 23 |  |  | 29 |  |
| Provisions | 1,884 | 161 | $(734)$ | 702 | 110 | 169 | 63 | 16 | 746 | 3,117 |

Ending Balance,

| June 30, 2011 | 13,346 | 731 | 1,121 | 5,814 | 352 | 715 | 148 | 44 | 1,249 | 23,520 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Ending balance,
June 30, 2011:
individually evaluated
for impairment
$\begin{array}{llll}2,325 & 369 & 128 & 122\end{array}$
2,944

Ending balance,
June 30, 2011: collectively evaluated $\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { for impairment } & 11,021 & 362 & 993 & 5,692 & 352 & 715 & 148 & 44 & 1,249 & 20,576\end{array}$

## Loans

## held-for-investment,

net:
Ending Balance, June 30, 2011
$335,520 \quad 74,915 \quad 28,097 \quad 358,887 \quad 30,431 \quad 13,977 \quad 59,037 \quad 1,700$
902,564

Ending Balance,
52,097 3,618 3,079 3,214
1,455
63,463
June 30, 2011
individually evaluated
for impairment
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## Ilowance for loan losses:

eginning Balance, December 31,

| 009 | \$ | 8,403 | 163 | 2,409 | 1,866 | 210 | 1,877 | 101 | 34 | 351 | 15,414 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 'harge-offs |  | (987) |  | (443) | $(2,132)$ |  | (36) | (101) |  |  | (3,699 |
| coveries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |
| rovisions |  | 5,238 | 407 | (111) | 5,403 | 32 | $(1,122)$ | 91 | (6) | 152 | 10,084 |
| inding Balance, December 31, 2010 |  | 12,654 | 570 | 1,855 | 5,137 | 242 | 719 | 111 | 28 | 503 | 21,819 |

inding balance, December 31, 2010:
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { adividually evaluated for impairment } & 2,129 & 369 & 36 & 121\end{array}$
2,655
inding balance, December 31, 2010:
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { ollectively evaluated for impairment } & 10,525 & 201 & 1,819 & 5,016 & 242 & 719 & 111 & 28 & 503 & 19,16\end{array}$

## oans held-for-investment, net:

inding balance, December 31, 2010 $\quad 339,259 \quad 78,109 \quad 35,077 \quad 284,199 \quad 28,337 \quad 17,032 \quad 44,517 \quad 1,061 \quad$ 827,591
inding balance, December 31, 2010:
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { adividually evaluated for impairment } & 51,324 & 1,750 & 4,562 & 5,083 & 500\end{array}$
63,219
inding balance, December 31, 2010:
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { ollectively evaluated for impairment } & \$ 287,935 & 76,359 & 30,515 & 279,116 & 28,337 & 16,532 & 44,517\end{array} \mathbf{1 , 0 6}$
The Company routinely monitors the credit quality of its loans. Credit quality is monitored by reviewing certain key credit quality indicators. Management has determined that loan-to-value ratios (at period end) and internally assigned credit risk ratings by loan type are the key credit quality indicators that best help management monitor the credit quality of the Company s loans. Loan-to-value (LTV) ratios used by management in monitoring credit quality are based on current period loan balances and original values at time of origination (unless a current appraisal has been obtained as a result of the loan being deemed impaired). In calculating the provision for loan losses, management has determined that commercial real estate loans and multifamily loans having loan-to-value ratios of less than $35 \%$, and one- to four-family loans having loan-to-value ratios of less than $60 \%$, require no allowance for loan losses at
each period end. If any such loans were to default, requiring the Company to repossess the collateral, no loss would be expected as the Company would be considered well secured.

The Company also maintains a credit risk rating system as part of the risk assessment of its loan portfolio. The Company s lending officers are required to assign a credit risk rating to each loan in their portfolio at origination. When the lending officer learns of important financial developments, the risk rating is reviewed accordingly, and adjusted if necessary. Monthly, management presents monitored assets to the loan committee. In addition, the Company engages a third party independent loan reviewer that performs semi-annual reviews of a sample of loans, validating the credit risk ratings assigned to such loans. The credit risk ratings play an important role in the establishment of the loan loss provision and in confirming the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. After determining the general reserve loss factor for each portfolio segment, the portfolio segment balance collectively evaluated for impairment is multiplied by the general reserve loss factor for the respective portfolio segment in order to determine the general reserve. Loans that have an internal credit rating of special mention or substandard are multiplied by a multiple of the general reserve loss factors for each portfolio segment, in order to determine the general reserve.
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When assigning a risk rating to a loan, management utilizes the Bank s internal nine-point credit risk rating system.

1. Strong
2. Good
3. Acceptable
4. Adequate
5. Watch
6. Special Mention
7. Substandard
8. Doubtful
9. Loss

Loans rated 1 through 5 are considered pass ratings. An asset is considered substandard if it is inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Substandard assets have well defined weaknesses based on objective evidence, and are characterized by the distinct possibility the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Assets classified as doubtful have all of the weaknesses inherent in those classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full highly questionable and improbable based on current circumstances. Assets classified as loss are those considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as assets is not warranted. Assets which do not currently expose the Company to sufficient risk to warrant classification in one of the aforementioned categories, but possess weaknesses, are required to be designated special mention.
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The following tables detail the recorded investment of loans held-for-investment, net of deferred fees and costs, by loan type and credit quality indicator at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010 (in thousands).

At June 30, 2011
Real Estate
Home
Equity
and Commercial
Construction Lines of and Insurance
One- to and

| Commercial |  | Four-Family |  | Land | Multifamily |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<35 \%$ | $\Rightarrow 35 \%$ | $<60 \%$ | $=>60 \%$ |  | $<35 \%$ |  |
| LTV | LTV | LTV | LTV | LTV | LTV |  |

ernal Risk Rating
ss
ecial Mention
bstandard
tal loans
dd-for-investment,
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\$ 35,028 & 300,492 & 48,255 & 26,660 & 28,097 & 21,353 & 337,534 & 30,431 & 13,977 & 59,037 & 1,700 & 902,56\end{array}$

At December 31, 2010
Real Estate
Home
Equity
and Commercial
Lines of and Insurance
Credit Industrial Premium Other Tota

| Commercial | Four-Family | Land | Multifamily |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<35 \%$ => $35 \%$ | < $60 \%=>60 \%$ |  | <35\% => 35\% |

Credit Industrial Premium Other
Tota

|  |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 32,779$ | 229,780 |
| 239 | 10,102 |
| 2,010 | 60,610 |


| 46,516 | 22,984 | 21,281 | 20,795 | 326,755 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 905 |  | 73 |  | 6,603 |
| 834 | 3,676 | 6,743 | 558 | 4,176 |


| 30,043 | 10,368 | 58,848 | 1,700 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 51 | 67 | 132 |  |
| 337 | 3,542 | 57 |  |
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These non-accrual amounts included loans deemed to be impaired of $\$ 47.8$ million and $\$ 52.0$ million at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. Loans on non-accrual status with principal balances less than $\$ 500,000$, and therefore not meeting the Company s definition of an impaired loan, amounted to $\$ 8.2$ million and $\$ 7.3$ million at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest were $\$ 2.0$ million and $\$ 1.6$ million at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively, and consisted of loans that are considered well secured and in the process of collection.
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The following tables set forth the detail, and delinquency status, of non-performing loans (non-accrual loans and loans past due 90 or more and still accruing), net of deferred fees and costs, at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010 (in thousands).

|  | At June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Non-Acc | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1g Loans } \\ & 90 \text { Days } \end{aligned}$ or |  | 90 Days <br> or <br> More <br> Past | Total Non- |
|  | 0-29 | 30-89 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Days | Days | More Past |  | Due and | Performing |
|  | Past Due | Past Due | Due | Total | Accruing | Loans |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTV < 35\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Mention | \$ |  | 218 | 218 |  | 218 |
| Substandard |  |  | 360 | 360 |  | 360 |
| Total |  |  | 578 | 578 |  | 578 |
| LTV => 35\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass |  |  |  |  | 496 | 496 |
| Substandard | 25,237 | 3,986 | 15,069 | 44,292 |  | 44,292 |
| Total | 25,237 | 3,986 | 15,069 | 44,292 | 496 | 44,788 |
| Total commercial | 25,237 | 3,986 | 15,647 | 44,870 | 496 | 45,366 |
| One-to-four family residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTV < 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Mention | 152 | 24 | 328 | 504 |  | 504 |
| Substandard |  |  | 415 | 415 |  | 415 |
| Total | 152 | 24 | 743 | 919 |  | 919 |
| LTV => 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Substandard |  | 388 | 1,343 | 1,731 |  | 1,731 |
| Total |  | 388 | 1,343 | 1,731 |  | 1,731 |
| Total one-to-four family residential | 152 | 412 | 2,086 | 2,650 |  | 2,650 |

Construction and land
Special Mention

| Substandard | 2,456 |  | 875 | 3,331 |  | 3,331 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total construction and land | 2,456 |  | 875 | 3,331 |  | 3,331 |
| Multifamily |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTV < 35\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Substandard |  |  | 558 | 558 |  | 558 |
| Total |  |  | 558 | 558 |  | 558 |
| LTV $=>35 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Substandard |  |  | 2,443 | 2,443 |  | 2,443 |
| Total |  |  | 2,443 | 2,443 |  | 2,443 |
| Total multifamily |  |  | 3,001 | 3,001 |  | 3,001 |
| Home equity and lines of credit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass |  |  |  |  | 1,491 | 1,491 |
| Substandard |  |  | 337 | 337 |  | 337 |
| Total home equity and lines of credit |  |  | 337 | 337 | 1,491 | 1,828 |
| Commercial and industrial loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Mention Substandard | 552 |  | 1,232 | 1,784 |  | 1,784 |
| Total commercial and industrial loans | 552 |  | 1,232 | 1,784 |  | 1,784 |
| Insurance premium loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Substandard |  |  | 57 | 57 |  | 57 |
| Total insurance premium loans |  |  | 57 | 57 |  | 57 |
| Total non-performing loans, June 30, 2011 | \$ 28,397 | 4,398 | 23,235 | 56,030 | 1,987 | 58,017 |
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|  | At December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Non-Acc | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ag Loans } \\ & 90 \text { Days } \end{aligned}$ or |  | 90 Days <br> or <br> More <br> Past | Total Non- |
|  | 0-29 | 30-89 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Days | Days | More Past |  | Due and | Performing |
|  | Due | Past Due | Due | Total | Accruing | Loans |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTV < 35\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Mention | \$ 29 |  |  | 29 |  | 29 |
| Total | 29 |  |  | 29 |  | 29 |
| LTV => 35\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Substandard | 13,650 | 15,050 | 17,659 | 46,359 |  | 46,359 |
| Total | 13,650 | 15,050 | 17,659 | 46,359 |  | 46,359 |
| Total commercial | 13,679 | 15,050 | 17,659 | 46,388 |  | 46,388 |
| One-to-four family residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTV < $60 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Mention |  | 179 | 99 | 278 | 86 | 364 |
| Substandard | 135 |  | 197 | 332 | 291 | 623 |
| Total | 135 | 179 | 296 | 610 | 377 | 987 |
| LTV => 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Substandard |  | 591 | 74 | 665 | 731 | 1,396 |
| Total |  | 591 | 74 | 665 | 731 | 1,396 |
| Total one-to-four family residential | 135 | 770 | 370 | 1,275 | 1,108 | 2,383 |
| Construction and land |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special Mention |  |  |  |  | 404 | 404 |
| Substandard | 2,152 | 1,860 | 1,110 | 5,122 |  | 5,122 |
| Total construction and land | 2,152 | 1,860 | 1,110 | 5,122 | 404 | 5,526 |

Multifamily
LTV < 35\%

| Substandard | 504 | 504 | 504 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  | 504 |  | 504 |
| LTV $=>35 \%$ |  |  |  | 504 |
| Special Mention <br> Substandard | 1,824 | 423 | 2,112 | 2,535 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| Total multifamily | 1,824 | 423 | 2,112 | 4,359 |

Home equity and lines of credit

| Substandard | 181 | 181 | 59 | 240 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total home equity and lines <br> of credit | 181 | 181 | 59 | 240 |

Commercial and industrial loans

| Pass |  |  | 38 | 38 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Special Mention | 100 | 100 |  | 100 |  |
| Substandard | 267 | 956 | 1,223 |  | 1,223 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total commercial and <br> industrial loans | 267 | 1,056 | 1,323 | 38 | 1,361 |

Insurance premium loans
Substandard
Total insurance premium loans

Total non-performing
loans, December 31, 2010
\$ 17,790
18,874
22,617
59,281
1,609
60,890
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The following tables set forth the detail and delinquency status of loans held-for-investment, net of deferred fees and costs, by performing and non-performing loans at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010 (in thousands).

|  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Performing (Accruing) Loans |  |  | Non- |  |
|  | 0-29 | 30-89 |  |  |  |
|  | Days | Days |  | Performing | Total Loans |
|  | Past Due | Past Due | Total | Loans | Receivable, net |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTV < 35\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | \$ 32,052 | 727 | 32,779 |  | 32,779 |
| Special Mention |  | 21 | 21 | 218 | 239 |
| Substandard |  | 1,650 | 1,650 | 360 | 2,010 |
| Total | 32,052 | 2,398 | 34,450 | 578 | 35,028 |
| LTV > 35\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 226,501 | 2,783 | 229,284 | 496 | 229,780 |
| Special Mention | 10,102 |  | 10,102 |  | 10,102 |
| Substandard | 13,947 | 2,371 | 16,318 | 44,292 | 60,610 |
| Total | 250,550 | 5,154 | 255,704 | 44,788 | 300,492 |
| Total commercial | 282,602 | 7,552 | 290,154 | 45,366 | 335,520 |
| One-to-four family residential |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 45,830 | 686 | 46,516 |  | 46,516 |
| Special Mention | 401 |  | 401 | 504 | 905 |
| Substandard | 132 | 287 | 419 | 415 | 834 |
| Total | 46,363 | 973 | 47,336 | 919 | 48,255 |
| LTV > 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 22,371 | 613 | 22,984 |  | 22,984 |
| Special Mention |  |  |  |  |  |
| Substandard | 1,945 |  | 1,945 | 1,731 | 3,676 |
| Total | 24,316 | 613 | 24,929 | 1,731 | 26,660 |
| Total one-to-four family residential | 70,679 | 1,586 | 72,265 | 2,650 | 74,915 |
| Construction and land |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 20,781 | 500 | 21,281 |  | 21,281 |
| Special Mention | 73 |  | 73 |  | 73 |
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| Substandard | 3,412 |  | 3,412 | 3,331 | 6,743 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total construction and land | 24,266 | 500 | 24,766 | 3,331 | 28,097 |
| Multifamily |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTV < 35\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 20,795 |  | 20,795 |  | 20,795 |
| Substandard |  |  |  | 558 | 558 |
| Total | 20,795 |  | 20,795 | 558 | 21,353 |
| LTV > 35\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 326,063 | 692 | 326,755 |  | 326,755 |
| Special Mention | 5,152 | 1,451 | 6,603 |  | 6,603 |
| Substandard | 172 | 1,561 | 1,733 | 2,443 | 4,176 |
| Total | 331,387 | 3,704 | 335,091 | 2,443 | 337,534 |
| Total multifamily | 352,182 | 3,704 | 355,886 | 3,001 | 358,887 |
| Home equity and lines of credit |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 28,458 | 94 | 28,552 | 1,491 | 30,043 |
| Special Mention | 51 |  | 51 |  | 51 |
| Substandard |  |  |  | 337 | 337 |
| Total home equity and lines of credit | 28,509 | 94 | 28,603 | 1,828 | 30,431 |
| Commercial and industrial loans |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 10,231 | 137 | 10,368 |  | 10,368 |
| Special Mention | 67 |  | 67 |  | 67 |
| Substandard | 1,758 |  | 1,758 | 1,784 | 3,542 |
| Total commercial and industrial |  |  |  |  |  |
| loans | 12,056 | 137 | 12,193 | 1,784 | 13,977 |
| Insurance premium loans |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 58,453 | 395 | 58,848 |  | 58,848 |
| Special Mention |  | 132 | 132 |  | 132 |
| Substandard |  |  |  | 57 | 57 |
| Total insurance premium loans | 58,453 | 527 | 58,980 | 57 | 59,037 |
| Other loans |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 1,631 | 69 | 1,700 |  | 1,700 |
| Total other loans | 1,631 | 69 | 1,700 |  | 1,700 |
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| $\$ 830,378$ | 14,169 | 844,547 | 58,017 | 902,564 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Performing (Accruing)$0-29$ |  | ans | Non- | Total Loans Receivable, net |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Days | 30-89 |  |  |  |
|  | Past | Days |  | Performing |  |
|  | Due | Past Due | Total | Loans |  |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTV < 35\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | \$ 24,823 | 3 | 24,826 |  | 24,826 |
| Special Mention | 1,068 | 516 | 1,584 | 29 | 1,613 |
| Substandard |  | 1,385 | 1,385 |  | 1,385 |
| Total | 25,891 | 1,904 | 27,795 | 29 | 27,824 |
| LTV > $35 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 242,131 | 6,628 | 248,759 |  | 248,759 |
| Special Mention | 11,670 | 438 | 12,108 |  | 12,108 |
| Substandard | 4,209 |  | 4,209 | 46,359 | 50,568 |
| Total | 258,010 | 7,066 | 265,076 | 46,359 | 311,435 |
| Total commercial | 283,901 | 8,970 | 292,871 | 46,388 | 339,259 |
| One-to-four family residential |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTV < 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 48,930 | 998 | 49,928 |  | 49,928 |
| Special Mention | 83 | 759 | 842 | 364 | 1,206 |
| Substandard |  |  |  | 623 | 623 |
| Total | 49,013 | 1,757 | 50,770 | 987 | 51,757 |
| LTV > 60\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 21,429 | 818 | 22,247 |  | 22,247 |
| Special Mention | 1,750 |  | 1,750 |  | 1,750 |
| Substandard | 959 |  | 959 | 1,396 | 2,355 |
| Total | 24,138 | 818 | 24,956 | 1,396 | 26,352 |
| Total one-to-four family residential | 73,151 | 2,575 | 75,726 | 2,383 | 78,109 |
| Construction and land |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 24,767 |  | 24,767 |  | 24,767 |
| Special Mention | 225 | 499 | 724 | 404 | 1,128 |
| Substandard | 4,060 |  | 4,060 | 5,122 | 9,182 |


| Total construction and land | 29,052 | 499 | 29,551 | 5,526 | 35,077 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multifamily |  |  |  |  |  |
| LTV < 35\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 18,656 | 224 | 18,880 |  | 18,880 |
| Substandard |  |  |  | 504 | 504 |
| Total | 18,656 | 224 | 18,880 | 504 | 19,384 |
| LTV > 35\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 251,129 | 5,819 | 256,948 |  | 256,948 |
| Special Mention | 3,258 | 151 | 3,409 | 1,824 | 5,233 |
| Substandard | 99 |  | 99 | 2,535 | 2,634 |
| Total | 254,486 | 5,970 | 260,456 | 4,359 | 264,815 |
| Total multifamily | 273,142 | 6,194 | 279,336 | 4,863 | 284,199 |
| Home equity and lines of credit |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 27,780 | 262 | 28,042 |  | 28,042 |
| Special Mention | 55 |  | 55 |  | 55 |
| Substandard |  |  |  | 240 | 240 |
| Total home equity and lines of credit | 27,835 | 262 | 28,097 | 240 | 28,337 |
| Commercial and industrial loans |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 13,626 | 446 | 14,072 | 38 | 14,110 |
| Special Mention | 586 | 90 | 676 | 100 | 776 |
| Substandard | 923 |  | 923 | 1,223 | 2,146 |
| Total commercial and industrial loans | 15,135 | 536 | 15,671 | 1,361 | 17,032 |
| Insurance premium loans |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 43,728 | 421 | 44,149 |  | 44,149 |
| Special Mention |  | 239 | 239 |  | 239 |
| Substandard |  |  |  | 129 | 129 |
| Total insurance premium loans | 43,728 | 660 | 44,388 | 129 | 44,517 |
| Other loans |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pass | 959 | 102 | 1,061 |  | 1,061 |
| Total other loans | 959 | 102 | 1,061 |  | 1,061 |

Edgar Filing: Northfield Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| $\mathbf{\$ 7 4 6 , 9 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 6}, 701$ | $\mathbf{6 0 , 8 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 7 , 5 9 1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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The following tables summarize impaired loans as of June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

## With No Related Allowance Recorded:

Real estate loans:
Commercial
LTV => 35\%
$\begin{array}{lrr}\text { Special Mention } & \$ 1,791 & 1,791 \\ \text { Substandard } & 33,429 & 34,665\end{array}$
One-to-four family residential
LTV < 60\%
Pass $\quad 639 \quad 639$
Special Mention $152 \quad 152$
LTV $=>60 \%$
Substandard $\quad 1,077 \quad 1,077$
Construction and land
Substandard
1,146
1,326
Multifamily
LTV < 35\%
Substandard 491
LTV => 35\%
Substandard
1,561
1,561
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Commercial and industrial } & 42 & 42 \\ \text { Special Mention } & 95 & 95\end{array}$
Substandard 951
With an Allowance Recorded:
Real estate loans:
Commercial
LTV $=>35 \%$
Substandard
$17,411 \quad 18,749$
One-to-four family residential
LTV => 60\%
Special Mention $\quad 1,750 \quad 1,750$
Construction and land
Substandard
$1,860 \quad 2,529$
Multifamily
LTV => 35\%

| Substandard | 1,163 | 1,632 | (122) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total: |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans | 52,631 | 55,205 | $(2,325)$ |
| Commercial | 3,618 | 3,618 | $(369)$ |
| One-to-four family residential | 3,006 | 3,855 | $(128)$ |
| Construction and land | 3,215 | 3,684 | $(122)$ |
| Multifamily | 993 | 993 |  |
| Commercial and industrial loans | $\$ 63,463$ | 67,355 | $(2,944)$ |
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At December 31, 2010
Unpaid
Recorded Principal Investment Balance

Related Allowance

## With No Related Allowance Recorded:

Real estate loans:
Commercial
LTV < 35\%
Special Mention
\$ 661
661
LTV => 35\%
Special Mention $\quad 4,807 \quad 4,807$
Substandard
25,590 26,870
Construction and land
Substandard 2,152
2,416

Multifamily
LTV < 35\%
Substandard
LTV => 35\%
Special Mention
3,392
5,242

## With an Allowance Recorded:

Real estate loans:
Commercial
LTV => 35\%
Substandard
20,766 21,782
One-to-four family residential
LTV => 60\%
Special Mention $\quad 1,750 \quad 1,750$
Construction and land
Substandard
$2,410 \quad 3,079$
Multifamily
LTV => 35\%
Substandard $\quad 1,187 \quad 1,632$
Total:
Real estate loans
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Commercial } & 51,824 & 54,120\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { One-to-four family residential } & 1,750 & 1,750\end{array}$
Construction and land $\quad 4,562 \quad 5,495$
Multifamily $\quad$ 5,083 7,378
(121)
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$$
\$ 63,219 \quad 68,743
$$

Included in the table above at June 30, 2011, are loans with carrying balances of $\$ 33.5$ million that were not written down by either charge-offs or specific reserves in our allowance for loan losses. Included in the table above at December 31, 2010, are loans with carrying balances of $\$ 24.8$ million that were not written down by either charge-offs or specific reserves in our allowance for loan losses. Loans not written down by charge-offs or specific reserves at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, are considered to have sufficient collateral values, less costs to sell, supporting the carrying balances of the loans.

The average recorded balance of impaired loans for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, was $\$ 62.2$ million and $\$ 47.9$ million, respectively. The Company recorded $\$ 735,000$ and $\$ 1.6$ million of interest income on impaired loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to $\$ 743,000$ and $\$ 1.2$ million of interest income on impaired loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively.
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The following tables summarize loans that were modified in a troubled debt restructuring during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Six months ended June 30, 2011<br>Pre-Modification Post-Modification<br>Number Outstanding Outstanding<br>of Recorded Recorded<br>Relationships Investment Investment<br>(in thousands)

Troubled Debt Restructurings
Commercial real estate loans
Substandard
Construction and land
Substandard
One -to- four Family
Pass
Substandard
Commercial and industrial loans
Special Mention
Substandard
Total Troubled Debt Restructurings
$4 \$ 17,681$ 16,527 405 639 152 152

One commercial real estate loan amounting to $\$ 3.1$ million (pre-modification), which was supported by a retail center, was restructured during the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This loan was charged down by $\$ 1.1$ million as part of the restructuring. This loan also received a reduction to its interest rate. The second commercial real estate relationship consisted of five loans amounting to $\$ 8.3$ million (post-modification). Three of these five loans, or $\$ 3.1$ million (post-modification), were restructured during a prior quarter. The two additional loans, totaling $\$ 5.2$ million (post-modification), were restructured during the quarter ended March 31, 2011. This entire relationship included in the table above, received a reduction in rate and certain loan maturities in the relationship were extended. The third commercial real estate loan amounting to $\$ 2.5$ million was an owner occupied industrial building modified during the quarter ended June 30, 2011. This loan received a reduction to its interest rate. The fourth relationship amounting to $\$ 3.8$ million is supported by two properties which were comprised of an office building and a residential property modified during the quarter ended June 30, 2011. One of the loans received a reduction in the interest rate while the other loan was provided a forbearance agreement to allow the owner to liquidate the property.

The one construction and land loan amounting to $\$ 405,000$ received a forbearance agreement allowing the owner to liquidate the collateral was modified during the quarter ended June 30, 2011.

The three one -to- four family loans amounting to $\$ 791,000$ each received a temporary reduction in interest rate was modified during the quarter ended June 30, 2011.

The one commercial and industrial loan that was risk rated special mention was an unsecured line of credit in the amount of $\$ 43,000$ that matured during the quarter ended March 31, 2011. As the borrower was unable to repay the loan in full, the Company termed out the loan over five years at a reduced interest rate.

One commercial and industrial loan relationship that was risk rated substandard in the table above consisted of two loans amounting to $\$ 1.7$ million (pre-modification), which was supported by an office/warehouse, a commercial property, and a personal residence. This relationship was restructured to reduce the monthly payments for a 24 -month period. The interest rates were reduced on both loans for a 24 -month period, with no forgiveness of principal. The second commercial and industrial loan relationship that was restructured during the quarter ended March 31, 2011, consisted of one loan amounting to $\$ 90,000$ (pre-modification), secured by business assets. The Company provided the borrower with six months to pay interest only beginning February 2011, in order to allow the borrower time to sell
the business.
Management classifies all troubled debt restructurings as impaired loans. Impaired loans are individually assessed to determine that the loan s carrying value is not in excess of the estimated fair value of the collateral (less cost to sell), if the loan is collateral dependent, or the present value of the expected future cash flows, if the loan is not collateral dependent. Management performs a detailed evaluation of each impaired loan and generally obtains updated appraisals as part of the evaluation. In addition, management adjusts estimated fair values down to appropriately consider recent market conditions, our willingness to accept a lower sales price to effect a quick sale,
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and costs to dispose of any supporting collateral. Determining the estimated fair value of underlying collateral (and related costs to sell) can be difficult in illiquid real estate markets and is subject to significant assumptions and estimates. Management employs an independent third party expert in appraisal preparation and review to ascertain the reasonableness of updated appraisals. Projecting the expected cash flows under troubled debt restructurings is inherently subjective and requires, among other things, an evaluation of the borrower s current and projected financial condition. Actual results may be significantly different than our projections and our established allowance for loan losses on these loans, which could have a material effect on our financial results.

There have not been any loans that were restructured during the last twelve months that have subsequently defaulted during the current quarter ended June 30, 2011.
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## Note 4 Deposits

Deposits are as follows (in thousands):

|  | June 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2010 |  |  |, | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 111,413 |
| Non-interest-bearing demand | $\$ 18,942$ | 76,251 |
| Interest-bearing negotiable orders of withdrawal (NOW) | 87,221 | 632,143 |
| Savings-passbook, statement, tiered, and money market | 626,907 | 553,035 |
| Certificates of deposit | 615,499 |  |
|  | $\$ 1,448,569$ | $1,372,842$ |

Interest expense on deposit accounts is summarized for the periods indicated (in thousands):

|  | Three months ended <br> June 30, |  | Six months ended <br> June 30, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Negotiable order of withdrawal, savings-passbook, <br> statement, tiered, and money market | $\$ 1,164$ | 1,265 | 2,298 | 2,685 |
| Certificates of deposit | 2,106 | 2,117 | 3,989 | 4,649 |
|  | $\$ 3,270$ | 3,382 | 6,287 | 7,334 |

## Note 5 Equity Incentive Plan

The following table is a summary of the Company s stock options outstanding as of June 30, 2011, and changes therein during the three months then ended:

|  | Number of Stock Options | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value |  | Weighted Average <br> Exercise <br> Price |  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Contractual <br> Life <br> (years) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding- December 31, 2010 | 2,072,540 | \$ | 3.22 | \$ | 9.94 | 8.09 |
| Granted |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forfeited |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exercised | (640) |  | 3.22 |  | 9.94 |  |
| Outstanding- June 30, 2011 | 2,071,900 | \$ | 3.22 | \$ | 9.95 | 7.57 |
| Exercisable- June 30, 2011 | 839,320 | \$ | 3.22 | \$ | 9.94 | 7.53 |

Expected future stock option expense related to the non-vested options outstanding as of June 30, 2011, is $\$ 3.4$ million over an average period of 2.6 years.

## Table of Contents

The following is a summary of the status of the Company s restricted share awards as of June 30, 2011, and changes therein during the three months then ended.

|  | Number of | Weighted Average Grant |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares |  | ate |
|  | Awarded |  | Value |
| Non-vested at December 31, 2010 | 653,880 | \$ | 9.97 |
| Granted |  |  |  |
| Vested | $(165,050)$ |  | 9.96 |
| Forfeited |  |  |  |
| Non-vested at June 30, 2011 | 488,830 | \$ | 9.97 |

Expected future stock award expense related to the non-vested restricted share awards as of June 30, 2011, is $\$ 4.2$ million over an average period of 2.6 years.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded $\$ 775,000$ and $\$ 1.5$ million of stock-based compensation related to the above plans, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company recorded $\$ 723,000$ and $\$ 1.5$ million of stock-based compensation related to the above plans, respectively.

## Note 6 Fair Value Measurements

The following table presents the assets reported on the consolidated balance sheet at their estimated fair value as of June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, by level within the fair value hierarchy as required by the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC). Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1 Inputs Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.
Level 2 Inputs Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (for example, interest rates, volatilities, prepayment speeds, loss severities, credit risks and default rates) or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlations or other means.
Level 3 Inputs Significant unobservable inputs that reflect the Company s own assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.
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(in thousands)
Measured on a recurring basis:
Assets:
Investment securities:
Available-for-sale:
Mortgage-backed securities
GSE
Non-GSE
Corporate bonds
Equities
Total available-for-sale
Trading securities
Total

Measured on a non-recurring basis:
Assets:
Impaired loans:
Real estate loans:
Commercial mortgage (CRE)
One- to- four family residential mortgage
\$ 22,152
Construction and land
Multifamily
Total impaired loans
Other real estate owned (CRE)
Total
\$ 27,166 2011
-
1,381
2,474
1,041

Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using:
Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets
(Level 1) (Level 2) (Level 3)
Significant Other Observable Unobservable Inputs

Inputs
\$ 1,048,763
49,830
104,536
9,190
$1,212,319$

4,439
\$ 1,216,758
,

## 

13,629
1,048,763
49,830
104,536
9,190
9,190
1,203,129
4,439
1,203,129

June 30,

22,152
1,381
2,474
1,041
27,048
118
27,166

| Fair ValueMeasurements at <br> Using: |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Quoted |  |  |
| Prices | Significant |  |
| in Active | Other | Significant |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Observable <br> Inputs <br> (Level 2) | Unobservable <br> Inputs <br> (Level 3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Measured on a recurring basis: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  |  |  |  |  |
| GSE | \$ | 977,872 |  | 977,872 |  |
| Non-GSE |  | 97,267 |  | 97,267 |  |
| Corporate bonds |  | 121,788 |  | 121,788 |  |
| GSE bonds |  | 35,033 |  | 35,033 |  |
| Equities |  | 12,353 | 12,353 |  |  |
| Total available-for-sale |  | 1,244,313 | 12,353 | 1,231,960 |  |
| Trading securities |  | 4,095 | 4,095 |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 1,248,408 | 16,448 | 1,231,960 |  |
| Measured on a non-recurring basis: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impaired loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial mortgage | \$ | 26,951 |  |  | 26,951 |
| One- to four-family residential mortgage |  | 1,381 |  |  | 1,381 |
| Construction and land |  | 4,526 |  |  | 4,526 |
| Multifamily |  | 2,890 |  |  | 2,890 |
| Total impaired loans |  | 35,748 |  |  | 35,748 |
| Other real estate owned (CRE) |  | 171 |  |  | 171 |
| Total | \$ | 35,919 |  |  | 35,919 |

Available for Sale Securities: The estimated fair values for mortgage-backed, GSE and corporate securities are obtained from an independent nationally recognized third-party pricing service. The estimated fair
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values are derived primarily from cash flow models, which include assumptions for interest rates, credit losses, and prepayment speeds. Broker/dealer quotes are utilized as well when such quotes are available and deemed representative of the market. The significant inputs utilized in the cash flow models are based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company (Observable Inputs), and are therefore classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy. The estimated fair values of equity securities, classified as Level 1, are derived from quoted market prices in active markets. Equity securities consist of mutual funds. There were no transfers of securities between Level 1 and Level 2 during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Trading Securities: Fair values are derived from quoted market prices in active markets. The assets consist of publicly traded mutual funds.

Impaired Loans: At June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, the Company had impaired loans with outstanding principal balances of $\$ 30.0$ million and $\$ 38.4$ million, which were recorded at their estimated fair value of $\$ 27.0$ million and $\$ 35.7$ million, respectively. The Company recorded net impairment charges of $\$ 1.7$ million and $\$ 646,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 2011, and 2010, respectively. For purposes of estimating fair value of impaired loans, management utilizes independent appraisals, if the loan is collateral dependent, adjusted downward by management, as necessary, for changes in relevant valuation factors subsequent to the appraisal date, or the present value of expected future cash flows for non-collateral dependent loans and troubled debt restructurings.

Other Real Estate Owned: At June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, the Company had assets acquired through foreclosure, or deed in lieu of foreclosure, of $\$ 118,000$ and $\$ 171,000$, respectively, recorded at estimated fair value, less estimated selling costs when acquired, thus establishing a new cost basis. Fair value is generally based on independent appraisals. These appraisals include adjustments to comparable assets based on the appraisers market knowledge and experience, and are considered Level 3 inputs. When an asset is acquired, the excess of the loan balance over fair value, less estimated selling costs, is charged to the allowance for loan losses. If the estimated fair value of the asset declines, a write-down is recorded through non-interest expense. The valuation of foreclosed assets is subjective in nature and may be adjusted in the future because of changes in economic conditions. During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company transferred a loan with a principal balance of $\$ 422,000$ and an estimated fair value, less costs to sell, of $\$ 350,000$ to other real estate owned. The Company charged the $\$ 72,000$ excess of the loan balance over fair value, less estimated costs to sell, to the allowance for loan losses, utilizing Level 3 inputs during the three months ended March 31, 2011, the property was sold during the three months ended June 30, 2011. Subsequent valuation adjustments to other real estate owned (REO) totaled $\$ 0$ and $\$ 146,000$, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 reflecting continued deterioration in estimated fair values. Operating costs after acquisition are expensed.

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The FASB ASC Topic for Financial Instruments requires disclosure of the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis. The methodologies for estimating the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis are discussed above. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of other financial assets and financial liabilities not already discussed above:

## (a) Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Certificates of Deposit

Cash and cash equivalents are short-term in nature with original maturities of three months or less; the carrying amount approximates fair value. Certificates of deposit having original terms of six-months or less; carrying value generally approximates fair value. Certificates of deposit with an original maturity of six months or greater, the fair value is derived from discounted cash flows.

## (b) Securities (Held to Maturity)

The fair values for substantially all of our securities are obtained from an independent nationally recognized pricing service. The independent pricing service utilizes market prices of same or similar securities whenever such prices are available. Prices involving distressed sellers are not utilized in determining fair value. Where necessary, the independent third-party pricing service estimates fair value using models employing techniques such as discounted cash flow analyses. The assumptions
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used in these models typically include assumptions for interest rates, credit losses, and prepayments, utilizing market observable data where available.
(c) Federal Home Loan Bank of New York Stock

The fair value for Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock is its carrying value, since this is the amount for which it could be redeemed and there is no active market for this stock.

## (d) Loans

Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type such as residential mortgage, construction, land, multifamily, commercial and consumer. Each loan category is further segmented into amortizing and non-amortizing and fixed and adjustable rate interest terms and by performing and nonperforming categories. The fair value of loans is estimated by discounting the future cash flows using current prepayment assumptions and current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. This method of estimating fair value does not incorporate the exit price concept of fair value prescribed by the FASB ASC Topic for Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.

## (e) Deposits

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as non-interest-bearing demand deposits, savings, NOW and money market accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

## (f) Commitments to Extend Credit and Standby Letters of Credit

The fair value of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the present creditworthiness of the counterparties. For fixed-rate loan commitments, fair value also considers the difference between current levels of interest rates and the committed rates. The fair value of off-balance-sheet commitments is insignificant and therefore not included in the following table.

## (g) Borrowings

The fair value of borrowings is estimated by discounting future cash flows based on rates currently available for debt with similar terms and remaining maturity.

## (h) Advance Payments by Borrowers

Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance have no stated maturity; the fair value is equal to the amount currently payable.
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The estimated fair values of the Company s significant financial instruments at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, are presented in the following table (in thousands):

|  | June 30, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying value | Estimated Fair value | Carrying value | Estimated Fair value |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 62,907 | 62,907 | 43,852 | 43,852 |
| Trading securities | 4,439 | 4,439 | 4,095 | 4,095 |
| Securities available-for-sale | 1,212,319 | 1,212,319 | 1,244,313 | 1,244,313 |
| Securities held-to-maturity | 4,421 | 4,629 | 5,060 | 5,273 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, at cost | 8,631 | 8,631 | 9,784 | 9,784 |
| Net loans held-for-investment | 879,044 | 907,248 | 805,772 | 818,295 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$1,448,569 | 1,453,785 | 1,372,842 | 1,377,068 |
| Borrowings | 444,522 | 461,167 | 391,237 | 403,920 |
| Advance payments by borrowers | 1,869 | 1,869 | 693 | 693 |

## Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company s entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company s financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates are based on existing on- and off-balance-sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in the estimates.

## Note 7 Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. For purposes of calculating basic earnings per share, weighted average common shares outstanding excludes unallocated employee stock ownership plan (ESOP) shares that have not been committed for release and unvested restricted stock.

Diluted earnings per share is computed using the same method as basic earnings per share, but reflects the potential dilution that could occur if stock options and unvested shares of restricted stock were exercised and converted into common stock. These potentially dilutive shares are included in the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period using the treasury stock method. When applying the treasury stock method, we add: (1) the assumed proceeds from option exercises; (2) the tax benefit, if any, that would have been credited to additional paid-in capital assuming exercise of non-qualified stock options and vesting of shares of restricted stock; and (3) the average unamortized compensation costs related to unvested shares of restricted stock and stock options.
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We then divide this sum by our average stock price for the period to calculate assumed shares repurchased. The excess of the number of shares issuable over the number of shares assumed to be repurchased is added to basic weighted average common shares to calculate diluted earnings per share.

The following is a summary of the Company s earnings per share calculations and reconciliation of basic to diluted earnings per share for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands, except share data):


## Note 8 Stock Repurchase Program

On October 27, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a stock repurchase program pursuant to which the Company intends to repurchase up to $2,177,033$ shares, representing approximately $5 \%$ of its then outstanding shares. The timing of the repurchases will depend on certain factors, including but not limited to, market conditions and prices, the Company s liquidity and capital requirements, and alternative uses of capital. Any repurchased shares will be held as treasury stock and will be available for general corporate purposes. The Company will conduct such repurchases in accordance with a Rule 10b5-1 trading plan. As of June 30, 2011, a total of $1,127,096$ shares were purchased under this repurchase plan at a weighted average cost of $\$ 13.44$ per share.

## Note 9 Income Taxes

The Company files income tax returns in the United States federal jurisdiction and in the State of New Jersey. The Company s subsidiary files income tax returns in the State and City of New York, and the State of New Jersey. The State and City of New York are currently examining our subsidiary s tax returns filed for 2007, 2008, and 2009. The Company, and its subsidiary, are no longer subject to federal and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2007.

## Note 10 Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-02 amends Topic 310 and clarifies the guidance on a creditor s evaluation of whether it has granted a concession, and whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. The amendments in this update are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and should be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. As a result of applying these amendments, an entity may identify receivables that are newly considered impaired. For purposes of measuring impairment of those receivables, an entity should apply the amendments prospectively for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011. An entity should disclose the total amount of receivables and the allowance for credit losses as of the end of the period of adoption related to those receivables that are newly considered impaired under Section 310-10-35 for which impairment was previously measured under Subtopic 450-20, Contingencies Loss Contingencies. An entity should disclose the information required by paragraphs 310-10-50-33 through 50-34, which was deferred by Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-01, Receivables (Topic 310): Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20, for interim and
annual periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011. The Company has early adopted the requirements of this Accounting Standard Update as of March 31, 2011, and has provided the applicable disclosures as part of Note 3 to these condensed financial statements. The adoption of this Accounting Standard Update did not result in a material change to the Company s consolidated financial statements.
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Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-03, Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements, amends Topic 860 (Transfers and Servicing) where an entity may or may not recognize a sale upon the transfer of financial assets subject to repurchase agreements, based on whether or not the transferor has maintained effective control. In the assessment of effective control, Accounting Standard Update 2011-03 has removed the criteria that requires transferors to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee. Other criteria applicable to the assessment of effective control have not been changed. This guidance is effective for prospective periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is prohibited. We do not expect the adoption of this Accounting Standard Update to have a material effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs. ASU No. 2011-04 results in a consistent definition of fair value and common requirements for measurement of and disclosure about fair value between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ( IFRS ). The changes to U.S. GAAP as a result of ASU No. 2011-04 are as follows: (1) The concepts of highest and best use and valuation premise are only relevant when measuring the fair value of nonfinancial assets (that is, it does not apply to financial assets or any liabilities); (2) U.S. GAAP currently prohibits application of a blockage factor in valuing financial instruments with quoted prices in active markets. ASU No. 2011-04 extends that prohibition to all fair value measurements; (3) An exception is provided to the basic fair value measurement principles for an entity that holds a group of financial assets and financial liabilities with offsetting positions in market risk or counterparty credit risk that are managed on the basis of the entity s net exposure to either of those risks. This exception allows the entity, if certain criteria are met, to measure the fair value of the net asset or liability position in a manner consistent with how market participants would price the net risk position; (4) Aligns the fair value measurement of instruments classified within an entity s shareholders equity with the guidance for liabilities; and (5) Disclosure requirements have been enhanced for recurring Level 3 fair value measurements to disclose quantitative information about unobservable inputs and assumptions used, to describe the valuation processes used by the entity, and to describe the sensitivity of fair value measurements to changes in unobservable inputs and interrelationships between those inputs. In addition, entities must report the level in the fair value hierarchy of items that are not measured at fair value in the statement of condition but whose fair value must be disclosed. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-04 are effective for the Company s interim reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-04 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-05 allow an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. The statement(s) are required to be presented with equal prominence as the other primary financial statements. ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholders equity but does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-05 are effective for the Company s interim reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2011, with retrospective application required. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-05 is expected to result in presentation changes to the Company s statements of income and the addition of a statement of comprehensive income. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-05 will have no affect on the Company $s$ balance sheets.

## Note 11 Subsequent Events

On July 6, 2011, the Company provided notice to the manager of our premium finance division indicating that we are exercising our right to terminate the licensing agreement. We have been and continue to negotiate amendments to the licensing agreement. If we cannot reach an agreement there are two expected outcomes, either our existing premium finance loans will continue to receive contractual amortization until the loans are paid in full or we may sell
the portfolio. At June 30, 2011, these loans had a carrying value of $\$ 59.0$ million.
On August 5, 2011, Standard and Poor s lowered the United States of America s long-term sovereign credit rating from AAA to AA+. This downgrade could affect the stability of residential mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by government sponsored enterprises (GSEs). These factors could affect the liquidity or valuation of our current portfolio of residential mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by GSEs, and could result in our counterparties requiring additional collateral for our borrowings. Further, unless and until the current United States political, credit and financial market conditions have been sufficiently resolved, it may increase our future borrowing costs.
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## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report contains forward-looking statements, which can be identified by the use of words such as estimate, project, believe, intend, anticipate, plan, seek, and similar expressions. These forward looking statements include:
statements of our goals, intentions, and expectations;
statements regarding our business plans, prospects, growth, and operating strategies;
statements regarding the asset quality of our loan and investment portfolios; and
estimates of our risks and future costs and benefits.
These forward-looking statements are subject to significant risks, assumptions and uncertainties, including, among other things, the following important factors that could affect the actual outcome of future events: the effect of the current financial economic downturn on our loan portfolio, investment portfolio, and deposit and other customers;
significantly increased competition among depository and other financial institutions;
inflation and changes in the interest rate environment or other changes that reduce our interest margins or reduce the fair value of financial instruments;
general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas, that are worse than expected;
adverse changes in the securities markets;
legislative or regulatory changes that adversely affect our business;
our ability to enter new markets successfully and take advantage of growth opportunities, and the possible dilutive effect of potential acquisitions or de novo branches, if any;
changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits;
changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by bank regulatory agencies, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and other promulgating authorities;
inability of borrowers and/or third-party providers to perform their obligations to us;
the effect of recent governmental legislation restructuring the U.S. financial and regulatory system; the effect of developments in the secondary market affecting our loan pricing;
the level of future deposit insurance premiums; and
changes in our organization, compensation, and benefit plans.
Because of these and other uncertainties, our actual future results may be materially different from the results indicated by these forward-looking statements.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Note 1 to the Company s Audited Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2010, included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, as supplemented by this report, contains a summary of significant accounting policies. Various elements of these accounting policies, by their nature, are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. Certain assets are carried in the consolidated Balance Sheets at estimated fair value or the lower of cost or estimated fair value. Policies with respect to the methodologies used to determine the allowance for loan losses and judgments regarding the valuation of intangible assets and securities as well as the valuation allowance against deferred tax assets are the most critical accounting policies because they are important to the presentation of the Company s financial condition and results of operations, involve a higher degree of complexity, and require management to make difficult and subjective judgments which often require assumptions or estimates about highly uncertain matters. The use of different judgments, assumptions, and estimates could result in material differences in the results of operations or financial condition. These critical accounting policies and their application are reviewed periodically and, at least annually, with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. For a further discussion of the critical accounting policies of
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the Company, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2010.

## Overview

This overview highlights selected information and may not contain all the information that is important to you in understanding our performance during the period. For a more complete understanding of trends, events, commitments, uncertainties, liquidity, capital resources, and critical accounting estimates, you should read this entire document carefully, as well as our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Net income amounted to $\$ 4.3$ million and $\$ 9.3$ million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to $\$ 4.2$ million and $\$ 7.6$ million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. Basic and diluted earnings per share were $\$ 0.11$ and $\$ 0.23$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 0.10$ and $\$ 0.18$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, our return on average assets was $0.75 \%$ and $0.82 \%$, as compared to $0.80 \%$ and $0.74 \%$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, our return on average shareholders equity was $4.40 \%$ and $4.74 \%$, as compared to $4.23 \%$ and $3.86 \%$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

We increased our assets by $2.7 \%$ to $\$ 2.31$ billion at June 30, 2011, from $\$ 2.25$ billion at December 31, 2010. The increase in total assets reflected increases in loans held for investment, net, of $\$ 75.0$ million, or $9.1 \%$ and interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions of $\$ 18.2$ million, or $53.5 \%$ these significant increases were partially offset by decreases in our security portfolio. The increase in our total assets during 2011 was funded primarily by an increase in deposits and borrowings. Deposits increased $\$ 75.7$ million to $\$ 1.45$ billion at June 30, 2011, from $\$ 1.37$ billion at December 31, 2010. The increase in deposits was attributable to growth in transaction accounts and certificates of deposit issued by the Bank. Borrowed funds increased $\$ 53.3$ million to $\$ 444.5$ million at June 30, 2011, from \$391.2 million at December 31, 2010.

## Comparison of Financial Condition at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010

Total assets increased $\$ 60.4$ million, or $2.7 \%$, to $\$ 2.31$ billion at June 30, 2011, from $\$ 2.25$ billion at December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily attributable to increases in loans held for investment, net, of $\$ 75.0$ million, or $9.1 \%$ and interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions of $\$ 18.2$ million, or $53.5 \%$.

Cash and cash equivalents increased $\$ 19.1$ million, or $43.5 \%$, to $\$ 62.9$ million at June 30, 2011, from $\$ 43.9$ million at December 31, 2010. The Company routinely maintains liquid assets in interest-bearing accounts in other well-capitalized financial institutions.

Securities available-for-sale decreased $\$ 32.0$ million, or $2.6 \%$, to $\$ 1.21$ billion at June 30 , 2011, from $\$ 1.24$ billion at December 31, 2010. The decrease was primarily attributable to maturities and paydowns of $\$ 198.4$ million, and sales of $\$ 115.8$ million, partially offset by purchases of $\$ 273.4$ million and an increase of $\$ 7.9$ million in net unrealized gains.

Securities held-to-maturity decreased $\$ 639,000$, or $12.6 \%$, to $\$ 4.4$ million at June 30, 2011, from $\$ 5.1$ million at December 31, 2010. The decrease was attributable to maturities and paydowns during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

The Company s securities portfolio totaled $\$ 1.22$ billion at June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 1.25$ billion at December 31, 2010. At June 30, 2011, $\$ 1.05$ billion of the portfolio consisted of residential mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, or Ginnie Mae. The Company also held residential mortgage-backed securities not guaranteed by these three entities, referred to as private label securities. The private label securities had an amortized cost of $\$ 48.3$ million and an estimated fair value of $\$ 49.8$ million at June 30, 2011. These private label securities were in a net unrealized gain position of $\$ 1.5$ million at June 30, 2011, consisting of gross unrealized gains of $\$ 2.3$ million and gross unrealized losses of $\$ 759,000$. In addition to the above mortgage-backed securities, the Company held $\$ 104.5$ million in securities issued by corporate entities which were all rated investment grade at June 30, 2011, and $\$ 9.2$ million of equity investments in mutual funds, which focus on investments that qualify under the Community Reinvestment Act and money market mutual funds.

Of the $\$ 48.3$ million of private label securities, two securities with an estimated fair value of $\$ 9.0$ million (amortized cost of $\$ 9.8$ million) were rated less than investment grade at June 30, 2011. One of the two securities was rated CC and the other security was rated Caa 2 . The ratings of the securities detailed above represent the lowest
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rating for each security received from the rating agencies of Moody s, Standard \& Poor s, and Fitch. The Company continues to receive principal and interest payments in accordance with the contractual terms of these securities. Management has evaluated, among other things, delinquency status, location of collateral, estimated prepayment speeds, and the estimated default rates and loss severity in liquidating the underlying collateral for the securities rated rate below investment grade at June 30, 2011. As a result of management s evaluation of these securities, the Company recognized other-than-temporary impairment of $\$ 991,000$ on the securities rated below investment grade for the quarter ended June 30, 2011. Since management does not have the intent to sell the security, and believes it is more likely than not that the Company will not be required to sell the security, before its anticipated recovery, the credit component of $\$ 248,000$ was recognized in earnings for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, and the non-credit component of $\$ 743,000$ was recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax. All other losses within the Company s investment portfolio were deemed to be temporary at June 30, 2011, and as such, were recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax.

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company recognized an other-than-temporary impairment charge on an equity investment in a mutual fund. The investment had been in a continuous loss position for approximately ten months, and as a result of management $s$ evaluation of this security, the Company believed that the unrealized loss of $\$ 161,000$ was other-than-temporary, and as such, recognized this charge in earnings during the three months ended March 31, 2011. There was no further impairment during the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Loans held for investment, net, totaled $\$ 902.6$ million at June 30, 2011, as compared to $\$ 827.6$ million at December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily in multi-family real estate loans, which increased $\$ 74.5$ million, or $26.3 \%$, to $\$ 358.1$ million at June 30, 2011, from $\$ 283.6$ million at December 31, 2010. Insurance premium loans increased $\$ 14.5$ million, or $32.6 \%$, to $\$ 59.0$ million, and home equity loans increased $\$ 2.1$ million, or $7.4 \%$, to $\$ 30.2$ million at June 30, 2011. These increases were partially offset by decreases in commercial real estate, one-to-four family residential, land and construction, and commercial and industrial loans. Currently, management is focused on originating multi-family loans, with less emphasis on other loan types, considering risk profile, market demand, and related financial returns.

Bank owned life insurance increased $\$ 1.5$ million, or $2.0 \%$, from December 31, 2010 to June 30, 2011. The increase resulted from income earned on bank owned life insurance for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Federal Home Loan Bank of New York stock, at cost, decreased $\$ 1.2$ million, or $11.8 \%$, to $\$ 8.6$ million at June 30, 2011, from $\$ 9.8$ million at December 31, 2010. This decrease was attributable to a decrease in borrowings outstanding with the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York over the same time period.

Premises and equipment, net, increased $\$ 1.5$ million, or $9.0 \%$, to $\$ 17.5$ million at June 30, 2011, from $\$ 16.1$ million at December 31, 2010. This increase is primarily attributable to leasehold improvements made to new branches and the renovation of existing branches.

Other real estate owned decreased $\$ 53,000$, or $31.0 \%$, to $\$ 118,000$ at June 30, 2011, from $\$ 171,000$ at December 31, 2010. This decrease is attributable to the sales of several properties during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Other assets decreased $\$ 17,000$, or $0.1 \%$, to $\$ 18.0$ million at June 30 , 2011, from $\$ 18.1$ million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in other assets was attributable to a decrease in amounts due to us from taxing authorities, and a decrease in prepaid FDIC insurance premiums due to amortization related to the FDIC prepayment of insurance premiums that was made in 2009 partially offset by an increase in prepaid expenses.

The increase in deposits for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was due in part to an increase of certificates of deposit (issued by the Bank) of $\$ 92.7$ million, or $19.1 \%$ as compared to December 31, 2010. In addition, transaction accounts increased $\$ 18.5$ million, or $9.9 \%$, from December 31, 2010 to June 30, 2011. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of $\$ 5.2$ million in total savings deposits, and a decrease of $\$ 30.2$ million in short-term certificates of deposit originated through the CDARS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Network. Deposits originated through the CDARS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Network totaled $\$ 38.2$ million at June 30, 2011, and $\$ 68.4$ million at December 31, 2010. The Company utilizes the CDARS ${ }^{\circledR}$ Network as a cost effective alternative to other short-term funding sources.

Borrowings, consisting primarily of Federal Home Loan Bank advances and repurchase agreements, increased $\$ 53.3$ million, or $13.6 \%$, to $\$ 444.5$ million at June 30, 2011, from $\$ 391.2$ million at December 31, 2010.
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The increase in borrowings was primarily the result of the Company taking advantage of the current lower interest rate market to reduce interest rate risk, partially offset by maturities during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Accrued expenses and other liabilities decreased $\$ 71.2$ million, to $\$ 14.4$ million at June 30, 2011, from $\$ 85.7$ million at December 31, 2010. The decrease was primarily a result of a decrease in due to securities brokers.

Total stockholders equity increased by $\$ 1.5$ million to $\$ 398.2$ million at June 30, 2011, from $\$ 396.7$ million at December 31, 2010. The increase was primarily due to net income of $\$ 9.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, and an increase of $\$ 1.8$ million in additional paid-in capital primarily related to the recognition of compensation expense associated with equity awards, and an increase in accumulated other comprehensive income of $\$ 4.7$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. These increases were partially offset by $\$ 12.8$ million in stock repurchases and the payment of approximately $\$ 1.8$ million in cash dividends.

On October 27, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a stock repurchase program pursuant to which the Company intends to repurchase up to $2,177,033$ shares, representing approximately $5 \%$ of its then outstanding shares. The timing of the repurchases will depend on certain factors, including but not limited to, market conditions and prices, the Company s liquidity and capital requirements, and alternative uses of capital. Any repurchased shares will be held as treasury stock and will be available for general corporate purposes. The Company is conducting such repurchases in accordance with a Rule 10b5-1 trading plan. As of June 30, 2011, a total of $1,127,096$ shares were purchased under this repurchase plan at a weighted average cost of $\$ 13.44$ per share.

## Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Net income Net income increased $\$ 161,000$, or $3.9 \%$, to $\$ 4.3$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, as compared to $\$ 4.2$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, due primarily to an increase of $\$ 324,000$ in non-interest income, and a $\$ 1.0$ million decrease in the provision for loan losses, partially offset by a decrease in net interest income of $\$ 88,000$ and an increase of $\$ 1.1$ million in non-interest expense.

Interest income. Interest income increased $\$ 406,000$, or $1.8 \%$, to $\$ 22.4$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from $\$ 22.0$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The increase was primarily due to an increase in interest income on loans of $\$ 680,000$ and an increase in interest income on mortgage backed securities of $\$ 431,000$. The increase in interest income of loans and mortgage-backed securities can be attributed to an increase in the average balances of $\$ 119.1$ million and $\$ 239.6$ million, respectively. These increases in average balances were partially offset by decreases in the yield earned on loans and mortgage-backed securities of fifty-six basis points and sixty-four basis points, respectively. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of $\$ 780,000$ in interest income on other securities. The decrease in the interest income earned on other securities was primarily attributable to a decrease in the average balance of $\$ 136.2$ million.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased $\$ 494,000$, or $8.1 \%$, to $\$ 6.6$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, from $\$ 6.1$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The increase was comprised of an increase of $\$ 606,000$ in interest expense on borrowings, partially offset by a decrease in interest expense on deposits of $\$ 112,000$. The increase in interest expense on borrowings can be attributed to an increase in the average balances of borrowings of $\$ 179.8$ million or $56.0 \%$ from $\$ 320.8$ million for the three months ended June 30,2010 , as compared to $\$ 500.5$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, partially offset by a decrease in the cost of seventy-four basis points from $3.42 \%$ to $2.68 \%$. The decrease in interest expense on deposits can be attributed to a decrease in the cost of deposits of 7 basis points from $1.08 \%$ to $1.01 \%$, partially offset by the increase in average balance of interest bearing deposit accounts of $\$ 48.6$ million or $3.9 \%$ from $\$ 1.25$ billion for the three months ended June 30, 2010, to $\$ 1.30$ billion for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income decreased $\$ 88,000$, or $0.6 \%$, which is primarily attributable to a twenty-six basis point decrease in the net interest rate spread from $2.91 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2010, to $2.65 \%$ the three months ended June 30, 2011. Also the net interest margin decreased thirty-three basis points to $2.90 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to $3.23 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2010. The margin compressed due to a decrease in the average earning asset to average cost bearing liability ratio from $125.70 \%$ for the three months ended June 30, 2010, to $121.46 \%$ for the three months ending June 30, 2011 and the decrease in the net interest rate spread. The general decline in yields was due to the overall low interest rate environment and was driven by decreases in yields earned on mortgage-backed securities and loans, as principal repayments were reinvested
into lower yielding securities and loans.
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Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 1.8$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2011; a decrease of $\$ 1.0$ million, or $37.5 \%$, from the $\$ 2.8$ million provision recorded in the quarter ended June 30,2010 . The decrease in the provision for loan losses in the current quarter was due primarily to a shift in the composition of our loan portfolio to multi-family loans, which generally require lower general reserves than other commercial real estate loans, and decreased levels of delinquencies. During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded net charge-offs of $\$ 245,000$ compared to net charge-offs of $\$ 822,000$ for the quarter ended June 30, 2010.

Non-interest Income. Non-interest income increased $\$ 324,000$, or $17.4 \%$, to $\$ 2.2$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, as compared to $\$ 1.9$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. This increase was primarily a result of a $\$ 101,000$ increase in gains on security sales, with $\$ 886,000$ in gains on security sales for the current year quarter as compared to $\$ 785,000$ for the comparable quarter in 2010 , a $\$ 114,000$ increase in fees and service charges for customer services, a $\$ 208,000$ decrease in trading losses on securities maintained in the Company s deferred compensation plan, and a $\$ 232,000$ increase of income earned on bank owned life insurance, generated by increased cash surrender values, primarily resulting from higher levels of bank owned life insurance. The Company routinely sells securities when market pricing presents, in management $s$ assessment, an economic benefit that outweighs holding such securities, and when smaller balance securities become cost prohibitive to carry. These increases were partially offset by a $\$ 248,000$ other-than-temporary credit impairment charge recognized on two private label mortgage-backed securities, and a decrease of $\$ 83,000$ in other income.

Non-interest Expense. Non-interest expense increased $\$ 1.1$ million, or 13.3\%, for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, as compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2010, due primarily to compensation and employee benefits expense increasing $\$ 840,000$ which resulted primarily from increases in employees related to additional branch and operations personnel, and to a lesser extent, salary adjustments effective January 1, 2011. Occupancy expense increased $\$ 142,000$, or $12.0 \%$, over the same time period, primarily due to increases in rent and amortization of leasehold improvements relating to new branches and the renovation of existing branches. Professional fees increased $\$ 153,000$, over the same time period, primarily due to increased costs related to loan workouts.

Income Tax Expense. The Company recorded income tax expense of $\$ 2.3$ million for the quarters ended June 30, 2011, and 2010. The effective tax rate for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, was $35.0 \%$, as compared to $35.9 \%$ for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily a result of an increase in bank owned life insurance income.

## Table of Contents


Net interest income \$15,829 \$ 15,917

Net interest rate spread (2) Net interest-earning assets (3)

Net interest margin (4)
Average interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities
(1) Average yields and rates for the three months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, are annualized.
(2) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
(3) Net interest-earning assets represent total interest-earning assets less total interest-bearing liabilities.
(4) Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.
(5) Loans include non-accrual loans.
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## Comparison of Operating Results for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 and 2010

Net income Net income increased $\$ 1.8$ million, or $23.1 \%$, to $\$ 9.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to $\$ 7.6$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, due primarily to an increase of $\$ 1.7$ million in non-interest income, an increase in net interest income of $\$ 1.1$ million, and a $\$ 1.6$ million decrease in the provision for loan losses, partially offset by an increase of $\$ 2.0$ million in non-interest expense, and an increase of $\$ 746,000$ in income tax expense.

Interest income. Interest income increased $\$ 1.4$ million, or $3.2 \%$, to $\$ 44.4$ million for the six months ended June 30 , 2011, from $\$ 43.0$ million for the six months ended June 30 , 2010. The increase was primarily due to an increase in interest income on loans of $\$ 2.9$ million. The increase in interest income of loans can be attributed to an increase in the average balances of $\$ 113.1$ million partially offset by a decrease in the yield earned on loans of thirteen basis points. The increase in interest income earned on loans was partially offset by a decrease in interest income on mortgage-backed securities and other securities of $\$ 216,000$ and $\$ 1.3$ million, respectively. The decrease in interest income earned on mortgage-backed securities was primarily attributable to a decrease in the yield earned by eighty-one basis points partially offset by an increase in the average balance of $\$ 200.6$ million. The decrease in interest earned on other securities was primarily attributable to a decrease in the average balances of $\$ 106.2$ million partially offset by an increase of thirty-two basis points in the yield earned.

Interest expense. Interest expense increased $\$ 263,000$, or $2.1 \%$, to $\$ 12.8$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, from $\$ 16.6$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The increase was comprised of an increase of $\$ 1.3$ million in interest expense on borrowings, partially offset by a decrease in interest expense on deposits of $\$ 1.0$ million. The increase in interest expense on borrowings can be attributed to an increase in the average balances of borrowings of $\$ 180.0$ million or $56.9 \%$ from $\$ 316.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to $\$ 496.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, partially offset by a decrease in the cost of sixty-eight basis points from $3.34 \%$ to $2.66 \%$. The decrease in interest expense on deposits can be attributed to a decrease in the cost of deposits of nineteen basis points from $1.19 \%$ to $1.00 \%$, partially offset by the increase in average balance of interest bearing deposit accounts of $\$ 29.6$ million or $2.4 \%$ from $\$ 1.24$ billion for the six months ended June 30, 2010, to $\$ 1.27$ billion for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased $\$ 1.1$ million or $3.7 \%$ from $\$ 30.5$ million to $\$ 31.6$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. This is primarily attributable to the increase in average earning assets of $\$ 192.6$ million partially offset by a 10 basis point decrease in net interest rate spread from $2.80 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2010, to $2.70 \%$ the six months ended June 30, 2011. Net interest margin decreased eighteen basis points to $2.96 \%$ for the six months ended June 30,2011 , as compared to $3.14 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The margin compressed slightly due to a decrease in the average earning asset to average cost bearing liability ratio from $125.97 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2010, to $121.92 \%$ for the six months ending June 30, 2011. The general decline in yields was due to the overall low interest rate environment and was driven by decreases in yields earned on mortgage-backed securities and loans, as principal repayments were reinvested into lower yielding securities and loans.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses was $\$ 3.1$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011; a decrease of $\$ 1.6$ million, or $34.1 \%$, from the $\$ 4.7$ million provision recorded in the six months ended June $30,2010$. The decrease in the provision for loan losses in the current six months was due primarily to a shift in the composition of our loan portfolio to multi-family loans, which generally require lower general reserves than other commercial real estate loans, and decreased levels of delinquencies. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded net charge-offs of $\$ 1.4$ million compared to net charge-offs of $\$ 1.0$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Non-interest Income. Non-interest income increased $\$ 1.7$ million, or $47.7 \%$, to $\$ 5.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to $\$ 3.6$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. This increase was primarily a result of a $\$ 1.5$ million increase in gains on security sales, with $\$ 2.5$ million in gains on security sales for the current six months as compared to $\$ 1.1$ million for the comparable six months in 2010, a $\$ 148,000$ increase in fees and service charges for customer services, and a $\$ 550,000$ increase in income earned on bank owned life insurance, generated by increased cash surrender values, primarily resulting from higher levels of bank owned life insurance. The

Company routinely sells securities when market pricing presents, in management s assessment, an economic benefit that outweighs holding such securities, and when smaller balance securities become cost prohibitive to carry. These increases were partially offset by a $\$ 409,000$ other-than-temporary credit impairment charge recognized on two private label mortgage backed securities and an equity mutual fund and a decrease of $\$ 78,000$ in other income.
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Non-interest Expense. Non-interest expense increased $\$ 2.0$ million, or $11.1 \%$, for the six months ended June 30, 2011, as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2010, due primarily to compensation and employee benefits expense increasing $\$ 1.2$ million which resulted primarily from increases in employees related to additional branch and operations personnel, and to a lesser extent, salary adjustments effective January 1, 2011. Occupancy expense increased $\$ 440,000$, or $18.5 \%$, over the same time period, primarily due to increases in rent and amortization of leasehold improvements relating to new branches and the renovation of existing branches. Professional fees increased $\$ 214,000$, over the same time period, primarily due to increased costs related to loan workouts.

Income Tax Expense. The Company recorded income tax expense of $\$ 4.9$ million and $\$ 4.2$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2011, was $34.6 \%$, as compared to $35.6 \%$ for the six months ended June 30 , 2010. The decrease in the effective tax rate was primarily a result of an increase in bank owned life insurance income, partially offset by an increase in taxable income.
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Net interest income \$31,600 \$30,466

| Net interest rate spread (2) |  | 2.70 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net interest-earning assets |  |  |  |
| (3) | $\$$ | 386,837 |  |
|  |  | $\$$ | 403,852 |
| Net interest margin (4) <br> Average interest-earning <br> assets to interest-bearing <br> liabilities | $2.96 \%$ |  |  |

(1) Average yields and rates for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, are annualized.
(2) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.
(3) Net interest-earning assets represent total interest-earning assets less total interest-bearing liabilities.
(4) Net interest margin represents net interest income divided by average total interest-earning assets.
(5) Loans include non-accrual loans.
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## Asset Quality

Nonperforming loans totaled $\$ 58.0$ million ( $6.4 \%$ of total loans) as compared to $\$ 56.7$ million ( $6.6 \%$ of total loans) at March 31, 2011, $\$ 60.9$ million ( $7.4 \%$ of total loans) at December 31, 2010, $\$ 55.4$ million ( $6.9 \%$ of total loans) at September 30, 2010, and $\$ 51.5$ million ( $6.7 \%$ of total loans) at June 30, 2010. The following table also shows, for the same dates, troubled debt restructurings on which interest is accruing, and accruing loans delinquent 30 to 89 days (dollars in thousands).

|  |  | March | December | September |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 30, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-accruing loans | \$ 29,036 | 31,662 | 39,303 | 37,882 | 34,007 |
| Non-accruing loans subject to restructuring agreements | 26,994 | 24,136 | 19,978 | 17,261 | 17,417 |
| Total non-accruing loans | 56,030 | 55,798 | 59,281 | 55,143 | 51,424 |
| Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing | 1,987 | 876 | 1,609 | 248 | 77 |
| Total non-performing loans | 58,017 | 56,674 | 60,890 | 55,391 | 51,501 |
| Other real estate owned | 118 | 521 | 171 | 171 | 1,362 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 58,135 | 57,195 | 61,061 | 55,562 | 52,863 |
| Loans subject to restructuring agreements and still accruing | \$ 15,622 | 12,259 | 11,198 | 11,218 | 10,708 |
| Accruing loans 30 to 89 days delinquent | \$ 14,169 | 14,551 | 19,798 | 35,190 | 30,619 |

Total Non-Accruing Loans
Total non-accruing loans decreased $\$ 3.3$ million, to $\$ 56.0$ million at June 30, 2011, from $\$ 59.3$ million at December 31, 2010. This decrease was primarily attributable to the following loan types being returned to accrual status during the six months ended June 30, 2011: $\$ 1.8$ million of multifamily loans, $\$ 942,000$ of commercial real estate loans, and $\$ 332,000$ of one-to-four family residential loans. Loans returned to accrual status were current as to principal and interest, and factors indicating doubtful collection no longer existed, including the borrower s performance under the original loan terms for at least six months. Non-accrual loans also decreased as a result of a $\$ 612,000$ of pay-offs., the transfer of a $\$ 376,000$ commercial real estate loan to other real estate owned, an additional $\$ 1.4$ million of charge-offs being recorded on existing and new non-accrual loans, and principal pay-downs of approximately $\$ 2.6$ million. The above decreases in non-accruing loans during the six months ended June 30, 2011, were partially offset by the following loan types being placed on non-accrual status during the six months ended June 30, 2011: $\$ 1.9$ million of commercial real estate loans, $\$ 676,000$ of commercial and industrial loans, $\$ 405,000$ of construction and land loans, home equity loans of $\$ 155,000$, and $\$ 1.7$ million of one-to-four family loans. Delinquency Status of Total Non-accruing Loans

Generally, loans are placed on non-accrual status when they become 90 days or more delinquent, and remain on non-accrual status until they are brought current, have a minimum of six months of performance under the loan terms, and factors indicating reasonable doubt about the timely collection of payments no longer exist. Therefore, loans may be current in accordance with their loan terms, or may be less than 90 days delinquent, and still be in a non-accruing status.
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The following tables detail the delinquency status of non-accruing loans at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands).

|  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Days Past Due |  |  |  |
|  | 0 to 29 | 30 to 89 | 90 or more | Total |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ 25,237 | 3,986 | 15,647 | 44,870 |
| One -to- four family residential | 152 | 412 | 2,086 | 2,650 |
| Construction and land | 2,456 |  | 875 | 3,331 |
| Multifamily |  |  | 3,001 | 3,001 |
| Home equity and lines of credit |  |  | 337 | 337 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 552 |  | 1,232 | 1,784 |
| Insurance premium loans |  |  | 57 | 57 |
| Total non-accruing loans | \$ 28,397 | 4,398 | 23,235 | 56,030 |


|  | Days Past Due |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 0 to 29 | 30 to 89 | 90 or more | Total |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | $\$ 13,679$ | 15,050 | 17,659 | 46,388 |
| One -to- four family residential | 135 | 770 | 370 | 1,275 |
| Construction and land | 2,152 | 1,860 | 1,110 | 5,122 |
| Multifamily | 1,824 | 927 | 2,112 | 4,863 |
| Home equity and lines of credit |  |  | 181 | 181 |
| Commercial and industrial loans |  | 267 | 1,056 | 1,323 |
| Insurance premium loans |  |  | 129 | 129 |
|  | $\$ 17,790$ | 18,874 | 22,617 | 59,281 |

## Loans Subject to Restructuring Agreements

Included in non-accruing loans are loans subject to restructuring agreements totaling $\$ 27.0$ million and $\$ 20.0$ million at June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. At June 30, 2011, $\$ 25.5$ million, or $94.4 \%$ of the $\$ 27.0$ million were performing in accordance with their restructured terms.

The Company also holds loans subject to restructuring agreements, and still accruing, which totaled $\$ 15.6$ million and $\$ 11.2$ million at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. At June 30, 2011, $\$ 14.1$ million, or $90.0 \%$ of the $\$ 15.6$ million were performing in accordance with their restructured terms.

The following table details the amounts and categories of the loans subject to restructuring agreements by loan type as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands).

At June 30, 2011
Non-Accruing Accruing

At December 31, 2010
Non-Accruing Accruing
Troubled debt restructurings:
Real estate loans:

| Commercial | $\$ 22,998$ | $\$ 10,770$ | $\$ 13,138$ | $\$ 7879$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| One- to four-family residential | 498 | 2,388 |  | 1,750 |
| Construction and land | 2,456 |  | 4,012 |  |

Edgar Filing: Northfield Bancorp, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| Multifamily | 491 | 1,561 | 2,327 | 1,569 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial and industrial | 551 | 903 | 501 |  |
| Total | $\$ 26,994$ | $\$ 15,622$ | $\$ 19,978$ | $\$ 11,198$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing in accordance with restructured terms | $94.40 \%$ | $90.00 \%$ | $61.03 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |
|  | 44 |  |  |  |
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## Loans 90 Days or More Past Due and Still Accruing and Other Real Estate Owned

Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing increased to $\$ 378,000$ from $\$ 1.6$ million at December 31, 2010 to $\$ 2.0$ million at June 30, 2011. Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing at June 30, 2011, are considered well-secured and in the process of collection. Of the $\$ 2.0$ million, $\$ 1.5$ million made payments on July, 1, 2011, and $\$ 496,000$ was past maturity, paying interest in accordance with original loan terms, and in the process of renewal.

Other real estate owned amounted to $\$ 118,000$ at June 30, 2011, as compared to $\$ 171,000$ at December 31, 2010. Delinquency Status of Accruing Loans 30-89 Days Delinquent

Loans 30 to 89 days delinquent and on accrual status at June 30, 2011, totaled $\$ 14.2$ million, a decrease of $\$ 5.6$ million, from the December 31, 2010 balance of $\$ 19.8$ million. The following tables set forth delinquencies for accruing loans by type and by amount at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands).

|  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { to } 89 \\ \text { Days } \end{gathered}$ | June 30, 2011 90 Days and Over |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ 7,552 | \$ | 496 | \$ 8,048 |
| One- to four-family residential | 1,586 |  |  | 1,586 |
| Construction and land | 500 |  |  | 500 |
| Multifamily | 3,704 |  |  | 3,704 |
| Home equity and lines of credit | 94 |  | 1,491 | 1,585 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 137 |  |  | 137 |
| Insurance premium loans | 527 |  |  | 527 |
| Other loans | 69 |  |  | 69 |
| Total delinquent accruing loans | \$ 14,169 | \$ | 1,987 | \$ 16,156 |


|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { to } 89 \\ \text { Days } \end{gathered}$ | 90 Days and |  | Total |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ 8,970 | \$ |  | \$ 8,970 |
| One- to four-family residential | 2,575 |  | 1,108 | 3,683 |
| Construction and land | 499 |  | 404 | 903 |
| Multifamily | 6,194 |  |  | 6,194 |
| Home equity and lines of credit | 262 |  | 59 | 321 |
| Commercial and industrial loans | 536 |  | 38 | 574 |
| Insurance premium loans | 660 |  |  | 660 |
| Other loans | 102 |  |  | 102 |
| Total delinquent accruing loans | \$ 19,798 | \$ | 1,609 | \$ 21,407 |

## Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the activity in our allowance for loan losses for the periods indicated.

|  | At or For the Six Months Ended June30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11 | 2010 |
|  | (in thousands) |  |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ | 21,819 | 15,414 |
| Charge-offs: |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | $(1,198)$ | (469) |
| One- to- four family residential |  |  |  |
| Construction and land |  |  | (443) |
| Multifamily |  | (25) | (32) |
| Home equity and lines of credit |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial loans |  | (196) | (36) |
| Insurance premium loans |  | (26) | (40) |
| Other loans |  |  |  |
| Total charge-offs |  | $(1,445)$ | $(1,020)$ |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate loans |  | 6 |  |
| Commercial and industrial loans |  | 23 |  |
| Total recoveries |  | 29 |  |
| Net charge-offs |  | $(1,416)$ | $(1,020)$ |
| Provisions (benefits) for loan losses: |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 1,884 | 3,961 |
| One- to- four family residential |  | 161 | 399 |
| Construction and land |  | (734) | 145 |
| Multifamily |  | 702 | 781 |
| Home equity and lines of credit |  | 110 | 53 |
| Commercial and industrial loans |  | 169 | (942) |
| Insurance premium loans |  | 63 | 63 |
| Other loans |  | 16 | 11 |
| Unallocated |  | 746 | 257 |
| Total provisions for loan losses |  | 3,117 | 4,728 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 23,520 | 19,122 |
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## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity. The overall objective of our liquidity management is to ensure the availability of sufficient funds to meet financial commitments and to take advantage of lending and investment opportunities. We manage liquidity in order to meet deposit withdrawals on demand or at contractual maturity, to repay borrowings as they mature, and to fund new loans and investments as opportunities arise.

Our primary sources of funds are deposits, principal and interest payments on loans and securities, borrowed funds, the proceeds from maturing securities and short-term investments, and to a lesser extent the proceeds from the sales of loans and securities and wholesale borrowings. The scheduled amortizations of loans and securities, as well as proceeds from borrowed funds, are predictable sources of funds. Other funding sources, however, such as deposit inflows and loan prepayments are greatly influenced by market interest rates, economic conditions, and competition. Northfield Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York (FHLB), which provides an additional source of short-term and long-term funding. Northfield Bank also has borrowing capabilities with the Federal Reserve on a short-term basis. The Bank s borrowed funds, excluding capitalized lease obligations, were $\$ 442.3$ million at June 30, 2011, at a weighted average interest rate of $2.91 \%$. A total of $\$ 58.0$ million of these borrowings will mature in less than one year. Borrowed funds, excluding capitalized lease obligations, were $\$ 389.3$ million at December 31, 2010. The Company has the ability to obtain additional funding from the FHLB and Federal Reserve Bank discount window of approximately $\$ 543.7$ million, utilizing unencumbered securities of $\$ 604.1$ million at June 30, 2011. The Company expects to have sufficient funds available to meet current commitments in the normal course of business.

Capital Resources. At June 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, Northfield Bank exceeded all regulatory capital requirements to which it is subject.

|  | Actual Ratio | Minimum <br> Required for <br> Capital <br> Adequacy <br> Purposes | Minimum Required to Be Well Capitalized under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of June 30, 2011: |  |  |  |
| Tangible capital to tangible assets | 13.57\% | 1.50\% | NA\% |
| Tier 1 capital (core) (to adjusted assets) | 13.57 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 27.51 | 8.00 | 10.00 |
| As of December 31, 2010 : |  |  |  |
| Tangible capital to tangible assets | 13.43\% | 1.50\% | NA\% |
| Tier 1 capital (core) (to adjusted assets) | 13.43 | 4.00 | 5.00 |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 27.39 | 8.00 | 10.00 |

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations
In the normal course of operations, the Company engages in a variety of financial transactions that, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, are not recorded in the financial statements. These transactions primarily relate to lending commitments.
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The following table shows the contractual obligations of the Company by expected payment period as of June 30, 2011:

| Contractual Obligation | Total | Less than <br> One Year | One to less <br> than Three <br> Years <br> (in thousands) | Three to <br> less than <br> Five Years | Five Years <br> and greater |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debt obligations (excluding <br> capitalized leases) <br> Commitments to originate | $\$ 442,300$ | 58,000 | 170,800 | 201,500 | 12,000 |
| loans <br> Commitments to fund unused <br> lines of credit | $\$ 52,803$ | 52,803 |  |  |  |

Commitments to originate loans and commitments to fund unused lines of credit are agreements to lend additional funds to customers as long as there have been no violations of any of the conditions established in the agreements (original or restructured). Commitments generally have a fixed expiration or other termination clauses which may or may not require payment of a fee. Since some of these loan commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, total commitments do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

As of June 30, 2011, we serviced $\$ 46.9$ million of loans for Freddie Mac. These one- to four-family residential mortgage real estate loans were underwritten to Freddie Mac guidelines and to comply with applicable federal, state, and local laws. At the time of the closing of these loans the Company owned the loans and subsequently sold them to Freddie Mac providing normal and customary representations and warranties, including representations and warranties related to compliance with Freddie Mac underwriting standards. At the time of sale, the loans were free from encumbrances except for the mortgages filed for by the Company which, with other underwriting documents, were subsequently assigned and delivered to Freddie Mac. At June 30, 2011, substantially all of the loans serviced for Freddie Mac were performing in accordance with their contractual terms and management believes that it has no material repurchase obligations associated with these loans.

For further information regarding our off-balance sheet arrangements and contractual obligations, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.
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## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

A majority of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. Consequently, our most significant form of market risk is interest rate risk. Our assets, consisting primarily of mortgage-related assets and loans, generally have longer maturities than our liabilities, which consist primarily of deposits and wholesale funding. As a result, a principal part of our business strategy involves managing interest rate risk and limiting the exposure of our net interest income to changes in market interest rates. Accordingly, our board of directors has established a management asset liability committee, comprised of our Treasurer, who chairs this Committee, our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Operating Officer/Chief Financial Officer, our Chief Lending Officer, and our Executive Vice President of Operations. This committee is responsible for, among other things, evaluating the interest rate risk inherent in our assets and liabilities, for recommending to the asset liability management committee of our board of director $s$ the level of risk that is appropriate given our business strategy, operating environment, capital, liquidity and performance objectives, and for managing this risk consistent with the guidelines approved by the board of directors.

We seek to manage our interest rate risk in order to minimize the exposure of our earnings and capital to changes in interest rates. As part of our ongoing asset-liability management, we currently use the following strategies to manage our interest rate risk:
originating commercial real estate loans and multifamily loans that generally tend to have shorter maturities and higher interest rates that generally reset at five years;
investing in shorter term investment grade corporate securities and mortgage-backed securities; and
obtaining general financing through lower-cost deposits and longer-term Federal Home Loan Bank advances and repurchase agreements.
Shortening the average term of our interest-earning assets by increasing our investments in shorter-term assets, as well as loans with variable interest rates, helps to better match the maturities and interest rates of our assets and liabilities, thereby reducing the exposure of our net interest income to changes in market interest rates.

Net Portfolio Value Analysis. We compute amounts by which the net present value of our assets and liabilities (net portfolio value or NPV ) would change in the event market interest rates changed over an assumed range of rates. Our simulation model uses a discounted cash flow analysis to measure the interest rate sensitivity of NPV. Depending on current market interest rates we estimate the economic value of these assets and liabilities under the assumption that interest rates experience an instantaneous and sustained increase of $100,200,300$, or 400 basis points, or a decrease of 100 and 200 basis points, which is based on the current interest rate environment. A basis point equals one-hundredth of one percent, and 100 basis points equals one percent. An increase in interest rates from $3 \%$ to $4 \%$ would mean, for example, a 100 basis point increase in the Change in Interest Rates column below.

Net Interest Income Analysis. In addition to NPV calculations, we analyze our sensitivity to changes in interest rates through our net interest income model. Net interest income is the difference between the interest income we earn on our interest-earning assets, such as loans and securities, and the interest we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. In our model, we estimate what our net interest income would be for a twelve-month period. Depending on current market interest rates we then calculate what the net interest income would be for the same period under the assumption that interest rates experience an instantaneous and sustained increase or decrease of $100,200,300$, or 400 basis points, or a decrease of 100 and 200 basis points, which is based on the current interest rate environment.

## Table of Contents

The table below sets forth, as of June 30, 2011, our calculation of the estimated changes in our NPV, NPV ratio, and percent change in net interest income that would result from the designated instantaneous and sustained changes in interest rates. Computations of prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions, including relative levels of market interest rates, loan prepayments and deposit decay, and should not be relied on as indicative of actual results (dollars in thousands).

| Change in | Estimated <br> Present | Estimated |  | NPV |  | Estimated <br> NPV/Present |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Rates | Value | Present Value | Estimated | Change In | Value of <br> Incomest <br> Assets | Percent |

The table above indicates that at June 30, 2011, in the event of a 300 basis point increase in interest rates, we would experience a 320 basis point decrease in NPV ratio ( $19.18 \%$ versus $15.98 \%$ ), and a $14.56 \%$ decrease in net interest income. In the event of a 200 basis point decrease in interest rates, we would experience a 40 basis point increase in NPV ratio ( $19.18 \%$ versus $19.58 \%$ ) and a $4.36 \%$ decrease in net interest income. Our policies provide that, in the event of a 300 basis point increase/decrease or less in interest rates, our net present value ratio should decrease by no more than 400 basis points and in the event of a 200 basis point increase/decrease, our projected net interest income should decrease by no more than $20 \%$. Additionally, our policy states that our net portfolio value should be at least $8.5 \%$ of total assets before and after such shock. At June 30, 2011, we were in compliance with all board approved policies with respect to interest rate risk management.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the methodologies used in determining interest rate risk through changes in NPV and net interest income. Modeling requires making certain assumptions that may or may not reflect the manner in which actual yields and costs respond to changes in market interest rates. In this regard, the NPV and net interest income information presented assume that the composition of our interest-sensitive assets and liabilities existing at the beginning of a period remains constant over the period being measured and assume that a particular change in interest rates is reflected uniformly across the yield curve regardless of the duration or repricing of specific assets and liabilities. Accordingly, although interest rate risk calculations provide an indication of our interest rate risk exposure at a particular point in time, such measurements are not intended to and do not provide a precise forecast of the effect of changes in market interest rates on our net interest income and will differ from actual results.
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## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of June 30, 2011. Based on that evaluation, the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2011, there were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company and subsidiaries are subject to various legal actions arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, the resolution of these legal actions is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial condition or results of operations.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

United States political, credit and financial market conditions may negatively affect or impair the value of our current portfolio of residential mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (GSEs).

As a result of the uncertain domestic political, credit and financial market conditions, investments in these types of financial instruments pose increased risks arising from liquidity and credit concerns. Given that future deterioration in the United States credit and financial markets is a possibility, no assurance can be made that losses or significant deterioration in the fair value of our investments will not occur. Currently we have approximately $\$ 1.05$ billion invested in residential mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by GSEs. On August 5, 2011, Standard and Poor s lowered the United States of America s long-term sovereign credit rating from AAA to AA+. This downgrade could affect the stability of residential mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by GSEs. These factors could affect the liquidity or valuation of our current portfolio of residential mortgage-backed securities issued or guaranteed by GSEs, and could result in our counterparties requiring additional collateral for our borrowings. Further, unless and until the current United States political, credit and financial market conditions have been sufficiently resolved, it may increase our future borrowing costs.

Except as disclosed above or in documents we have previously filed with the SEC, there have been no material changes to the risk factors set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, as filed with the SEC.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(a) Unregistered Sale of Equity Securities. There were no sales of unregistered securities during the period covered by this report.
(b) Use of Proceeds. Not applicable
(c) Repurchases of Our Equity Securities.

The following table shows the Company s repurchase of its common stock for each calendar month in the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Period
April 1, 2011, through April 30, 2011
May 1, 2011, through May 31, 2011
June 1, 2011, through June 30, 2011
Total
(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased
as Part of Publicly
Announced Plans
or Programs ${ }^{(1)}$ 274,043

$$
120,250
$$ 151,236 545,529

(d) Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet
Be Purchased Under Plans or Programs (1)

1,321,423
1,201,173
1,049,937
(1) On October 27, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company authorized a stock repurchase program pursuant to which the Company intends to repurchase up to $2,177,033$ shares, representing approximately $5 \%$ of its then outstanding shares. The timing of the repurchases will depend on certain factors, including but not limited to, market conditions and prices, the Company s liquidity and capital requirements, and
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alternative uses of capital. Any repurchased shares will be held as treasury stock and will be available for general corporate purposes. The Company is conducting such repurchases in accordance with a Rule 10b5-1 trading plan. As of June 30, 2011, under its current repurchase plan, the Company has repurchased 1,127,096 shares of its stock at an average price of $\$ 13.44$ per share. The Company has repurchased a total of $3,211,040$ shares of its common stock (under its current and prior repurchase plans) at an average price of $\$ 12.49$ per share.
ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES
None
ITEM 4. [REMOVED AND RESERVED]

## ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are included with this Form 10-Q and are listed on the Index to Exhibits immediately following the Signatures.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

NORTHFIELD BANCORP, INC.<br>(Registrant)

Date: August 9, 2011

/s/ John W. Alexander<br>John W. Alexander<br>Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer<br>/s/ Steven M. Klein<br>Steven M. Klein<br>Chief Operating Officer and Chief<br>Financial Officer (Principal Financial and<br>Accounting Officer)
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## INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit
Number Description
31.1 Certification of John W. Alexander, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a).
31.2 Certification of Steven M. Klein, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a).

32 Certification of John W. Alexander, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, and Steven M. Klein, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

