

SURMODICS INC  
Form 10-Q  
May 10, 2011

**Table of Contents**

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D. C. 20549  
FORM 10-Q**

**(Mark One)**

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2011**

**or**

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission File Number: 0-23837**

**SurModics, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MINNESOTA

(State of incorporation)

41-1356149

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

9924 West 74th Street

Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (952) 500-7000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated  
filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting  
company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting  
company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

The number of shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$.05 par value per share, outstanding as of May 1, 2011 was 17,516,828.



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	3
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	19
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	26
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	27

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	28
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	28
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	28
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	28
<u>Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)</u>	28
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	28
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	28

<b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>	29
--------------------------	----

<b><u>EXHIBIT INDEX TO FORM 10-Q</u></b>	30
--	----

<u>EX-10.3</u>
<u>EX-31.1</u>
<u>EX-31.2</u>
<u>EX-32.1</u>
<u>EX-32.2</u>

**Table of Contents****PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

## Item 1. Financial Statements

**SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

## Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>September 30, 2010</b>
<i>(in thousands, except share data)</i>		<i>(Unaudited)</i>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 15,494	\$ 11,391
Short-term investments	11,041	9,105
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$304 and \$461 as of March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2010, respectively	9,981	8,987
Inventories	3,084	3,047
Deferred tax asset	639	247
Prepays and other	1,314	4,701
Total current assets	\$ 41,553	\$ 37,478
Property and equipment, net	64,560	65,395
Long-term investments	33,436	36,290
Deferred tax asset	4,148	2,606
Intangible assets, net	14,483	15,257
Goodwill	8,010	8,010
Other assets, net	5,330	5,243
Total assets	\$ 171,520	\$ 170,279
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 2,208	\$ 3,341
Accrued liabilities:		
Compensation	2,013	930
Accrued other	3,293	1,753
Deferred revenue	1,543	562
Other current liabilities	1,177	1,061
Total current liabilities	10,234	7,647
Deferred revenue, less current portion	3,719	3,598
Other long-term liabilities	4,513	4,675
Total liabilities	\$ 18,466	\$ 15,920

Commitments and contingencies (Note 15)

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

Stockholders' Equity

Series A Preferred stock- \$.05 par value, 450,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding

Common stock- \$.05 par value, 45,000,000 shares authorized; 17,519,328 and 17,423,601 shares issued and outstanding

Additional paid-in capital

Accumulated other comprehensive income

Retained earnings

Total stockholders' equity

876	871
72,207	69,702
754	886
79,217	82,900

153,054	154,359
---------	---------

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity

\$ 171,520	\$ 170,279
------------	------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>		<b>Six Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
	<i>(unaudited)</i>		<i>(unaudited)</i>	
<i>(In thousands, except per share data)</i>				
Revenue				
Royalties and license fees	\$ 7,692	\$ 7,779	\$ 15,258	\$ 16,977
Product sales	5,818	5,269	10,610	9,817
Research and development	3,984	5,312	6,794	8,947
<b>Total revenue</b>	<b>17,494</b>	<b>18,360</b>	<b>32,662</b>	<b>35,741</b>
Operating costs and expenses				
Product costs	2,284	2,475	4,109	4,432
Customer research and development	5,031	4,783	9,762	8,106
Other research and development	3,269	4,565	5,401	9,284
Selling, general and administrative	4,868	4,109	10,082	8,723
Goodwill impairment charge			5,650	
Restructuring charges		1,306	1,236	1,306
Asset impairment charge		2,074		2,074
<b>Total operating costs and expenses</b>	<b>15,452</b>	<b>19,312</b>	<b>36,240</b>	<b>33,925</b>
<b>Income (loss) from operations</b>	<b>2,042</b>	<b>(952)</b>	<b>(3,578)</b>	<b>1,816</b>
Other income				
Investment income	166	281	351	578
Other income (loss), net	193	3	229	3
<b>Other income</b>	<b>359</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>580</b>	<b>581</b>
<b>Income (loss) before income taxes</b>	<b>2,401</b>	<b>(668)</b>	<b>(2,998)</b>	<b>2,397</b>
Income tax benefit (provision)	87	241	(685)	(907)
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ 2,488</b>	<b>\$ (427)</b>	<b>\$ (3,683)</b>	<b>\$ 1,490</b>
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.14	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.21)	\$ 0.09
Diluted net income (loss) per share	\$ 0.14	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.21)	\$ 0.09
Weighted average shares outstanding				
Basic	17,407	17,369	17,395	17,378
Dilutive effect of outstanding stock options and non-vested stock	64			23
<b>Diluted</b>	<b>17,471</b>	<b>17,369</b>	<b>17,395</b>	<b>17,401</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.





**Table of Contents****SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
	<i>(Unaudited)</i>	
<i>(in thousands)</i>		
Operating Activities		
Net (loss) income	\$ (3,683)	\$ 1,490
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	3,595	3,852
Gain on sales of investments	(209)	(3)
Amortization of premium on investments	52	68
Stock-based compensation	2,175	2,760
Goodwill impairment charge	5,650	
Restructuring charges		1,306
Asset impairment charge		2,074
Deferred taxes	(1,842)	856
Tax benefits from exercise of stock options	41	(90)
Change in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(994)	(1,485)
Inventories	(37)	18
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	311	(956)
Income taxes	4,857	(1,129)
Deferred revenue	1,101	3,573
Prepays and other	175	19
Net cash provided by operating activities	11,192	12,353
Investing Activities		
Purchases of property and equipment	(2,403)	(5,614)
Purchases of available-for-sale investments	(24,950)	(10,696)
Sales/maturities of investments	25,579	6,172
Payments related to prior business acquisitions	(5,650)	(750)
Other investing activities		(501)
Net cash used in investing activities	(7,424)	(11,389)
Financing Activities		
Tax benefit from exercise of stock options	(41)	90
Issuance of common stock	383	892
Repurchase of common stock		(2,032)
Purchase of common stock to pay employee taxes	(7)	(376)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	335	(1,426)

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

Net change in cash and cash equivalents	4,103	(462)
Cash and Cash Equivalents		
Beginning of period	11,391	11,636
End of period	\$ 15,494	\$ 11,174

Supplemental Information

Cash paid for income taxes	\$ (2,330)	\$ 1,180
Noncash transaction acquisition of property, plant, and equipment on account	\$ 281	\$ 195
Noncash transaction acquisition of intangible assets on account	\$	\$ 210

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

**SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries**  
**Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**  
**Period Ended March 31, 2011**  
**(Unaudited)**

**(1) Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( GAAP ) and reflect all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments, needed to fairly present the financial results for the periods presented. These financial statements include some amounts that are based on management s best estimates and judgments. These estimates may be adjusted as more information becomes available, and any adjustment could be significant. The impact of any change in estimates is included in the determination of earnings in the period in which the change in estimate is identified. The results of operations for the three-month and six-month periods ended March 31, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire 2011 fiscal year.

In accordance with the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, the Company has omitted footnote disclosures that would substantially duplicate the disclosures contained in the audited financial statements of the Company. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read together with the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2010, and footnotes thereto included in the Company s Form 10-K as filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission on December 14, 2010.

Subsequent events have been evaluated through the date the financial statements were issued.

**(2) Key Accounting Policies**

**Revenue recognition**

Revenue is recognized when all of the following criteria are met: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) shipment has occurred or delivery has occurred if the terms specify destination; (3) the sales price is fixed or determinable; and (4) collectability is reasonably assured. When there are additional performance requirements, revenue is recognized when all such requirements have been satisfied. Under revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables, the Company recognizes each separable deliverable as it is earned.

The Company s revenue is derived from three primary sources: (1) royalties and license fees from licensing its proprietary drug delivery and surface modification technologies to customers; (2) the sale of polymers and reagent chemicals, stabilization products, antigens, substrates and microarray slides to the diagnostics and biomedical research industries; and (3) research and development fees generated on customer projects.

*Royalties and licenses fees.* The Company licenses technology to third parties and collects royalties. Royalty revenue is generated when a customer sells products incorporating the Company s licensed technologies. Royalty revenue is recognized as licensees report it to the Company, and payment is typically submitted concurrently with the report. For stand-alone license agreements, up-front license fees are recognized over the term of the related licensing agreement. Minimum royalty fees are recognized in the period earned and collectability is reasonably assured.

Revenue related to a performance milestone is recognized upon the achievement of the milestone, as defined in the respective agreements and provided the following conditions have been met:

The milestone payment is non-refundable;

The milestone is achieved, involved a significant degree of risk, and was not reasonably assured at the inception of the arrangement;

Accomplishment of the milestone involved substantial effort;

The amount of the milestone payment is commensurate with the related effort and risk; and

A reasonable amount of time passed between the initial license payment and the first and subsequent milestone payments.

If these conditions have not been met, the milestone payment is deferred and recognized over the term of the agreement.

**Table of Contents**

*Product sales.* Product sales to third parties are recognized at the time of shipment, provided that an order has been received, the price is fixed or determinable, collectability of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured and returns can be reasonably estimated. The Company's sales terms provide no right of return outside of the standard warranty policy. Payment terms are generally set at 30-45 days.

*Research and development.* The Company performs third party research and development activities, which are typically provided on a time and materials basis. Generally, revenue for research and development is recorded as performance progresses under the applicable contract.

*Arrangements with multiple deliverables.* In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) amended the accounting standards for multiple deliverable revenue arrangements to:

- (i). provide updated guidance on whether multiple deliverables exist, how the deliverables in an arrangement should be separated, and how the consideration should be allocated;
- (ii). require an entity to allocate revenue in an arrangement using estimated selling prices (ESP) of deliverables if a vendor does not have vendor-specific objective evidence of selling price (VSOE) or third-party evidence of selling price (TPE); and
- (iii). eliminate the use of the residual method and require an entity to allocate revenue using the relative selling price method.

The Company enters into license and development arrangements that may consist of multiple deliverables that could include license to SurModics technology, research and development activities, manufacturing services, and product sales based on the needs of its customers. For example, a customer may enter into an arrangement to obtain a license to SurModics intellectual property which would also include research and development activities, and supply of products manufactured by SurModics. For these services provided, SurModics could receive upfront license fees upon signing of a contract and granting the license, fees for research and development activities as such activities are performed, milestone payments contingent upon advancement of the product through development and clinical stages to successful commercialization, fees for manufacturing services and supply of product, and royalty payments based on customer sales of product incorporating SurModics' technology.

Under the accounting guidance, the Company is still required to evaluate each deliverable in a multiple element arrangement for separability. The Company is then required to allocate revenue to each separate deliverable using a hierarchy of VSOE, TPE, or ESP. In many instances, the Company is not able to establish VSOE for all deliverables in an arrangement with multiple elements. This may be a result of the Company infrequently selling each element separately or having a limited history with multiple element arrangements. When VSOE cannot be established, the Company attempts to establish selling price of each element based on TPE. TPE is determined based on competitor prices for similar deliverables when sold separately.

When the Company is unable to establish selling price using VSOE or TPE, the Company uses ESP in its allocation of arrangement consideration. The objective of ESP is to determine the price at which the Company would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a stand-alone basis. ESP is generally used for highly customized offerings.

The Company determines ESP for undelivered elements by considering multiple factors including, but not limited to, market conditions, competitive landscape and past pricing arrangements with similar features. The determination of ESP is made through consultation with the Company's management, taking into consideration the marketing strategies for each business unit.

**New Accounting Pronouncements**

No other new accounting pronouncement issued or effective has had, or is expected to have, a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****(3) Fair Value Measurements**

The accounting guidance on fair value measurements defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The guidance is applicable for all financial assets and financial liabilities and for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at fair value, the Company considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and also considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions and risk of nonperformance.

*Fair Value Hierarchy*

Accounting guidance on fair value measurements requires that assets and liabilities carried at fair value be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

**Level 1** Quoted (unadjusted) prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

The Company's Level 1 asset consists of its investment in OctoPlus, N.V. (see Note 6 for further information). The fair market value of this investment is based on the quoted price of OctoPlus shares traded on the Euronext Amsterdam Stock Exchange.

**Level 2** Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

The Company's Level 2 assets consist of money market funds, U.S. Treasury securities, corporate bonds, municipal bonds, U.S. agency securities, agency and municipal securities, certain asset-backed securities and mortgage-backed securities. Fair market values for these assets are based on quoted vendor prices and broker pricing where all significant inputs are observable.

**Level 3** Unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include those whose fair value measurements are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar valuation techniques, as well as significant management judgment or estimation.

In valuing assets and liabilities, the Company is required to maximize the use of quoted market prices and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The Company did not significantly change its valuation techniques from prior periods.

*Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis*

In instances where the inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the fair value measurement has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular item to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, including the consideration of inputs specific to the asset or liability. The following table presents information about the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2011 (*in thousands*):

<b>Quoted Prices in Active Markets</b>	<b>Significant Other</b>	<b>Significant Unobservable</b>	<b>Total Fair Value as of March 31, 2011</b>
<b>for Identical Instruments (Level 1)</b>	<b>Observable Inputs (Level 2)</b>	<b>Inputs (Level 3)</b>	

Assets:

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

Cash equivalents	\$		\$	4,137	\$		\$	4,137
Available for sale debt securities								
US government obligations				26,426				26,426
Mortgage backed securities				4,635				4,635
Municipal bonds				2,694				2,694
Asset backed securities				1,334				1,334
Corporate bonds				6,317				6,317
Other assets		2,844						2,844
Total assets measured at fair value	\$	2,844	\$	45,543	\$		\$	48,387

Short-term investments disclosed in the condensed consolidated balance sheets include held-to-maturity investments totaling \$3.1 million as of March 31, 2011. Held-to-maturity investments are carried at an amortized cost.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents information about the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2010 (*in thousands*):

	<b>Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Instruments (Level 1)</b>	<b>Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)</b>	<b>Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</b>	<b>Total Fair Value as of September 30, 2010</b>
Assets:				
Cash equivalents	\$	\$ 10,128	\$	\$ 10,128
Available for sale debt securities				
US government obligations		25,626	704	26,330
Mortgage backed securities		4,757	69	4,826
Municipal bonds		3,150		3,150
Asset backed securities		1,113		1,113
Corporate bonds		5,852		5,852
Other assets	2,624			2,624
Total assets measured at fair value	\$ 2,624	\$ 50,626	\$ 773	\$ 54,023

*Changes in Level 3 Instruments Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis*

The following table is a reconciliation of financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) (*in thousands*):

	<b>Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Available-for-Sale Debt Securities U.S.</b>		
	<b>Government Obligations</b>	<b>Mortgage Backed</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance, December 31, 2010	\$ 695	\$ 685	\$ 1,380
Transfers into Level 3			
Transfers out of Level 3	(695)	(68)	(763)
Total realized and unrealized gains (losses):			
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)		3	3
Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements, net		(620)	(620)
Balance, March 31, 2011	\$	\$	\$

**Fair Value Measurements Using  
Significant  
Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)  
Six Months Ended March 31, 2011**



	<b>Available-for-Sale Debt Securities</b>		
	<b>U.S.</b>		
	<b>Government Obligations</b>	<b>Mortgage Backed</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance, September 30, 2010	\$ 704	\$ 69	\$ 773
Transfers into Level 3			
Transfers out of Level 3	(695)	(68)	(763)
Total realized and unrealized gains (losses):			
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	19	(1)	18
Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements, net	(28)		(28)
Balance, March 31, 2011	\$	\$	\$

**Table of Contents**

**Fair Value Measurements Using Significant  
Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)  
Three months ended March 31, 2010  
Available-for-Sale Debt  
Securities**

	U.S. government obligations	Mortgage Backed	Total
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 1,002	\$ 75	\$ 1,077
Transfers into Level 3		70	70
Total realized and unrealized gains (losses):			
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	(6)	3	(3)
Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements, net	(72)	(3)	(75)
Balance, March 31, 2010	\$ 924	\$ 145	\$ 1,069

**Fair Value Measurements Using Significant  
Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)  
Six months ended March 31, 2010  
Available-for-Sale Debt  
Securities**

	U.S. government obligations	Mortgage Backed	Total
Balance, September 30, 2009	\$ 1,130	\$ 73	\$ 1,203
Transfers into Level 3		148	148
Transfers out of Level 3	(36)	(73)	(109)
Total realized and unrealized gains (losses):			
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	(6)	3	(3)
Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements, net:	(164)	(6)	(170)
Balance, March 31, 2010	\$ 924	\$ 145	\$ 1,069

*Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis*

The Company's investments in non-marketable securities of private companies are accounted for using the cost method, as the Company does not exert significant influence over the investee's operating or financial activities. These investments, as well as held-to-maturity securities, are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis when they are deemed to be other-than-temporarily impaired. In determining whether a decline in value of non-marketable equity investments in private companies has occurred and is other-than-temporary, an assessment is made by considering available evidence, including the general market conditions in the investee's industry, the investee's product development status and subsequent rounds of financing and the related valuation and/or the Company's participation in such financings. The Company also assesses the investee's ability to meet business milestones and the financial condition and near-term prospects of the individual investee, including the rate at which the investee is using its cash and the investee's need for possible additional funding at a potentially lower valuation. The valuation methodology for determining the decline in value of non-marketable equity securities is based on inputs that require management judgment and are Level 3 inputs.

**(4) Investments**

Investments consist principally of U.S. government and government agency obligations and mortgage-backed securities and are classified as available-for-sale or held-to-maturity at March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2010. Available-for-sale investments are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses net of tax excluded from operations and reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity, except for other-than-temporary impairments, which are reported as a charge to current operations. A loss would be recognized when there is an other-than-temporary impairment in the fair value of any individual security classified as available-for-sale with the associated net unrealized loss reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income with a corresponding adjustment to other income (loss). This adjustment results in a new cost basis for the investment. Investments that management has the intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity and reported at amortized cost. If there is an other-than-temporary impairment in the fair value of any individual security classified as held-to-maturity, the Company will write down the security to fair value with a corresponding adjustment to other income (loss). Interest on debt securities, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, is included in other income (loss). Realized gains and losses from the sales of debt securities, which are included in other income (loss), are determined using the specific identification method.

The original cost, unrealized holding gains and losses, and fair value of available-for-sale investments as of March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2010 were as follows (*in thousands*):

10

---

**Table of Contents**

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>			
	<b>Original Cost</b>	<b>Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
U.S. government obligations	\$ 26,437	\$ 57	\$ (68)	\$ 26,426
Mortgage-backed securities	4,552	126	(43)	4,635
Municipal bonds	2,671	30	(7)	2,694
Asset-backed securities	1,348	4	(18)	1,334
Corporate bonds	6,303	16	(2)	6,317
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 41,311</b>	<b>\$ 233</b>	<b>\$ (138)</b>	<b>\$ 41,406</b>

	<b>September 30, 2010</b>			
	<b>Original Cost</b>	<b>Unrealized Gains</b>	<b>Unrealized Losses</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
U.S. government obligations	\$ 25,968	\$ 395	\$ (34)	\$ 26,329
Mortgage-backed securities	4,711	164	(48)	4,827
Municipal bonds	3,079	72		3,151
Asset-backed securities	1,146	8	(42)	1,112
Corporate bonds	5,828	24		5,852
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 40,732</b>	<b>\$ 663</b>	<b>\$ (124)</b>	<b>\$ 41,271</b>

The original cost and fair value of investments by contractual maturity at March 31, 2011 were as follows (*in thousands*):

	<b>Amortized Cost</b>	<b>Fair Value</b>
Debt securities due within:		
One year	\$ 7,956	\$ 7,971
One to five years	27,964	28,021
Five years or more	5,391	5,414
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 41,311</b>	<b>\$ 41,406</b>

The following table summarizes sales of available-for-sale securities for the three-month and six-month periods ended March 31, 2011 (*in thousands*):

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31, 2011</b>	<b>Six Months Ended March 31, 2011</b>
Proceeds from sales	\$ 23,380	\$ 24,580
Gross realized gains	\$ 210	\$ 212

Gross realized losses \$ (2) \$ (4)

At March 31, 2011, the amortized cost and fair market value of held-to-maturity debt securities was \$3.1 million. Investments in securities designated as held-to-maturity consist of tax-exempt municipal bonds with maturity dates of less than one year as of March 31, 2011. At September 30, 2010, the amortized cost and fair market value of held-to-maturity debt securities were \$4.1 million and \$4.3 million.

**Table of Contents****(5) Inventories**

Inventories are principally stated at the lower of cost or market using the specific identification method and include direct labor, materials and overhead. Inventories consisted of the following components (*in thousands*):

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>September 30, 2010</b>
Raw materials	\$ 1,047	\$ 1,140
Finished products	2,037	1,907
Total	\$ 3,084	\$ 3,047

**(6) Other Assets**

Other assets consist principally of strategic investments as follows (*in thousands*):

	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>September 30, 2010</b>
Investment in OctoPlus N.V.	\$ 2,843	\$ 2,624
Investment in Nexeon MedSystems	285	285
Investment in ThermopeutiX	1,185	1,185
Investment in Novocell	559	559
Other	458	590
Other assets	\$ 5,330	\$ 5,243

The Company accounts for most of its strategic investments under the cost method. The Company accounts for its investment in OctoPlus N.V. (OctoPlus) common stock, whose shares are traded on the Euronext Amsterdam Stock Exchange, as an available-for-sale investment. Available-for-sale investments are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of stockholders' equity, except for other-than-temporary impairments, which are reported as a charge to current operations, recorded in the other income (loss) section of the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The cost basis in the Company's investment in OctoPlus is \$1.7 million.

The Company recognized revenue of less than \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and recognized revenue of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million for the six-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, from activity with companies in which it had a strategic investment.

**(7) Intangible Assets**

Intangible assets consist principally of acquired patents and technology, customer relationships, licenses, and trademarks. The Company recorded amortization expense of \$0.4 million in each of the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010. The Company recorded amortization expenses of \$0.8 million for each of the six-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

Intangible assets consisted of the following (*in thousands*):

	<b>Useful life (in years)</b>	<b>March 31, 2011</b>	<b>September 30, 2010</b>
Customer list	9 11	\$ 8,657	\$ 8,657
Core technology	8 18	8,330	8,330

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

Patents and other	2	20	2,376	2,376
Trademarks			600	600
Less accumulated amortization of intangible assets			(5,480)	(4,706)
Intangible assets, net			\$ 14,483	\$ 15,257

**Table of Contents**

Based on the intangible assets in service as of March 31, 2011, estimated amortization expense for each of the next five fiscal years is as follows (*in thousands*):

Remainder of 2011	\$ 772
2012	1,544
2013	1,544
2014	1,544
2015	1,533
2016	1,395

Future amortization amounts presented above are estimates. Actual future amortization expense may be different, as a result of future acquisitions, impairments, changes in amortization periods, or other factors.

**(8) Goodwill**

The following table summarizes the changes in carrying amount of goodwill (*in thousands*):

Balance at September 30, 2010	\$ 8,010
Payments related to prior business acquisitions	5,650
Goodwill impairment	(5,650)
Balance at March 31, 2011	\$ 8,010

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of the acquired entities over the fair value assigned to the assets purchased and liabilities assumed in connection with the Company's acquisitions. The carrying amount of goodwill is evaluated annually, and between annual evaluations if events occur or circumstances change indicating that the carrying amount of goodwill may be impaired.

During the Company's fiscal 2010 annual test of goodwill impairment, the Company determined that goodwill related to the SurModics Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (SurModics Pharma) reporting unit was fully impaired and a non-cash goodwill impairment charge totaling \$13.8 million was recognized in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, two milestones were achieved associated with the July 2007 acquisition of SurModics Pharma and \$5.7 million of additional purchase price was recorded as an increase to goodwill. There have been no substantial changes in operating results for SurModics Pharma in the first quarter of fiscal 2011, and as such the Company concluded the goodwill associated with the milestone events was fully impaired, and a \$5.7 million non-cash goodwill impairment charge was recognized in the three months ended December 31, 2010.

**(9) Revolving Credit Facility**

In February 2011, the Company extended its two-year \$25.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility through March 2012 with a reduction in the credit facility to \$15 million. Borrowings under the credit facility, if any, will bear interest at a benchmark rate plus an applicable margin based upon the Company's funded debt to EBITDA ratio. As of March 31, 2011, the Company had no debt outstanding under the credit facility and was in compliance with all covenants. In connection with the credit facility, the Company is required to maintain certain financial and nonfinancial covenants. The Company was not in compliance with certain covenants in fiscal 2010; however, the Company obtained waivers for these covenant defaults as part of the credit facility extension completed in the second quarter of fiscal 2011.



**Table of Contents****(10) Stock-based Compensation**

The Company has stock-based compensation plans under which it grants stock options and restricted stock awards. Accounting guidance requires all share-based payments to be recognized as an operating expense, based on their fair values, over the requisite service period. The Company's stock-based compensation expenses were allocated as follows (*in thousands*):

	<b>Three months ended March 31,</b>		<b>Six months ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Product costs	\$ 52	\$ 31	103	\$ 66
Customer research and development	97	150	191	303
Other research and development	280	444	489	1,059
Selling, general and administrative	772	600	1,392	1,332
Total	\$ 1,201	\$ 1,225	\$ 2,175	\$ 2,760

As of March 31, 2011, approximately \$6.3 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested awards is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.8 years. The unrecognized compensation costs above exclude \$1.9 million associated with performance share awards that are currently not anticipated to be fully expensed because the performance conditions for certain award periods are not expected to be met.

*Stock Option Plans*

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the weighted average grant date fair value of stock options granted. The weighted average per share fair value of stock options granted during the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$4.84 and \$6.44, respectively. The weighted average per share fair value of stock options granted during the six-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$3.96 and \$6.91, respectively. The assumptions used as inputs in the model were as follows:

	<b>Three months ended March 31,</b>		<b>Six months ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Risk-free interest rates	1.9%	2.1%	1.5%	2.0%
Expected life (years)	4.8	4.8	4.8	4.8
Expected volatility	45.6%	41.4%	45.0%	41.4%
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	0%

The risk-free interest rate assumption was based on the U.S. Treasury's rates for U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds with maturities similar to those of the expected term of the award. The expected life of options granted is determined based on the Company's experience. Expected volatility is based on the Company's stock price movement over a period approximating the expected term. Based on management's judgment, dividend rates are expected to be zero for the expected life of the options. The Company also estimates forfeitures of options granted, which are based on historical experience.

The Company's Incentive Stock Options (ISO) are granted at a price of at least 100% of the fair market value of the common stock of the Company on the date of the grant or 110% with respect to optionees who own more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock. ISOs expire in seven years or upon termination of employment and are exercisable at a rate of 20% per year commencing one year after the date of grant. Nonqualified stock options are granted at fair market value on the date of grant. Nonqualified stock options expire in 7 to 10 years or upon termination of employment or service as a Board member. Nonqualified stock options granted prior to May 2008 generally become exercisable with respect to 20% of the shares on each of the first five anniversaries following the grant date, and nonqualified stock options granted subsequent to May 2008 generally become exercisable with respect

to 25% on each of the first four anniversaries following the grant date.

No stock options were exercised during the three and six-month periods ended March 31, 2011. The total pre-tax intrinsic value of options exercised during the three-month period ended March 31, 2010 was \$69,000. During the six-month period ended March 31, 2010 the total pre-tax intrinsic value of options exercised was \$4,000. The intrinsic value represents the difference between the exercise price and the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the last day of the respective fiscal period end.

**Table of Contents***Restricted Stock Awards*

The Company has entered into restricted stock agreements with certain key employees, covering the issuance of common stock (Restricted Stock). Under accounting guidance these shares are considered to be non-vested shares. The Restricted Stock will be released to the key employees if they are employed by the Company at the end of the vesting period. The stock-based compensation table above includes Restricted Stock expenses of \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million during the three-month and six-month periods ended March 31, 2011, respectively, and \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million for the three-month and six-month periods ended March 31, 2010, respectively.

*Performance Share Awards*

The Company has entered into performance share agreements with certain key employees, covering the issuance of common stock (Performance Shares). The Performance Shares vest upon the achievement of all or a portion of certain performance objectives, which must be achieved during the performance period. Compensation is recognized in each period based on management's best estimate of the achievement level of the grants' specified performance objectives and the resulting vesting amounts. The Company recognized expenses of approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million related to Performance Shares for the three-month and six-month periods ended March 31, 2011, respectively. For the three-month period ended March 31, 2010, the Company did not recognize an expense and for the six-month period ended March 31, 2010, the Company recognized expenses of less than \$0.1 million.

*1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan*

Under the 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (Stock Purchase Plan), the Company is authorized to issue up to 400,000 shares of common stock. All full-time and part-time employees can choose to have up to 10% of their annual compensation withheld, with a limit of \$25,000, to purchase the Company's common stock at purchase prices defined within the provisions of the Stock Purchase Plan. As of March 31, 2011 and 2010, there were less than \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million of employee contributions, respectively, included in accrued liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. Stock compensation expense recognized related to the Stock Purchase Plan for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 totaled less than \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. Stock compensation expense for the six-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 totaled \$0.1 million in each period. The stock-based compensation table above includes the Stock Purchase Plan expenses.

**(11) Restructuring Charges**

The Company recorded total restructuring charges of approximately \$1.2 million in connection with the reorganization announced in October 2010. The charges for fiscal 2011 have been presented separately as restructuring charges in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. These pre-tax charges consisted of \$1.2 million of severance pay and benefit expenses and \$0.1 million of facility-related costs. The restructuring was expected to result in approximately \$3.0 to \$3.5 million in annualized cost savings. Cash payments associated with the fiscal 2011 restructuring event totaled \$1.1 million as of March 31, 2011, leaving a balance of \$0.1 million. There were also payments of \$0.1 million associated with facility-related costs in the period related to the fiscal 2009 and 2010 restructuring events. The remaining balance for all restructuring charges is expected to be paid within the next 33 months. The current portion totaling \$1.1 million is recorded as a current liability within other accrued liabilities and the long-term portion totaling \$0.1 million is recorded as a long-term liability within other long-term liabilities within the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The following table summarizes the restructuring accrual activity for the six-month period ended March 31, 2011 (*in thousands*):

	<b>Employee severance and benefits</b>	<b>Facility- related costs</b>	<b>Total</b>
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$ 4	\$ 1,179	\$ 1,183
Accruals during the period	1,174	62	1,236
Cash payments	(1,057)	(191)	(1,248)

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

Balance at March 31, 2011	\$	121	\$	1,050	\$	1,171
---------------------------	----	-----	----	-------	----	-------

**Table of Contents****(12) Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

The components of comprehensive income (loss) are as follows (*in thousands*):

	Three months ended		Six months ended	
	March 31,		March 31,	
	2011	2010	2011	2010
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,488	\$ (427)	\$ (3,683)	\$ 1,490
Other comprehensive income (loss):				
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities arising during the period, net of tax	183	(100)	(3)	(612)
Less reclassification adjustment for realized gains included in net income, net of tax	(129)	(2)	(129)	(2)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	54	(102)	(132)	(614)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 2,542	\$ (529)	\$ (3,815)	\$ 876

**(13) Income Taxes**

The Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, representing effective tax rates of negative 3.6% and positive 36.1%, respectively. The Company recorded income tax provisions of \$0.7 million and \$0.9 million for the six-month periods ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, representing effective tax rates of negative 22.8% and positive 37.8%, respectively. The difference between the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of 35% and the Company's effective tax rate reflects the non-deductible goodwill impairment for the three-and six-month periods ended March 31, 2011. For the three-and six-month periods ended March 31, 2010 the difference between the U.S. federal statutory rate and the Company's effective tax rate reflects state income taxes and other permanent items.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits including interest and penalties that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate as of March 31, 2011 and September 30, 2010, respectively, are \$1.8 million and \$1.9 million. Currently, the Company does not expect the liability for unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly in the next twelve months. Interest and penalties related to the unrecognized tax benefits are recorded in income tax expense.

The Company files income tax returns, including returns for its subsidiaries, in the United States (U.S.) federal jurisdiction and in various state jurisdictions. Uncertain tax positions are related to tax years that remain subject to examination. The Internal Revenue Service commenced an examination of the Company's U.S. income tax return for fiscal 2009 in the first quarter of fiscal 2011. U.S. tax returns for fiscal years ended September 30, 2007 and 2008 remain subject to examination by federal tax authorities. Tax returns for state and local jurisdictions for fiscal years ended September 30, 2003 through 2009 remain subject to examination by state and local tax authorities.

**(14) Operating Segments**

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance.

The Company manages its operations according to its three business units, as follows: (1) the Medical Device unit, which is comprised of surface modification coating technologies to improve access, deliverability, and predictable deployment of medical devices, as well as drug delivery coating technologies to provide site-specific drug delivery from the surface of a medical device. End markets include coronary, peripheral, and neuro-vascular, and urology, among others; (2) the Pharmaceuticals unit, which incorporates a broad range of drug delivery technologies for injectable therapeutics, including microparticles, nanoparticles, and implants addressing a range of clinical applications including ophthalmology, oncology, dermatology and neurology, among others. Based in Birmingham, Alabama, the Pharmaceuticals business includes the Company's current Good Manufacturing Practice (cGMP) manufacturing facility; and (3) the In Vitro Diagnostics unit, which consists of component products and technologies

for diagnostic test kits and biomedical research applications. Products include microarray slide technologies, protein stabilization reagents, substrates, and antigens.

The table below presents revenue and operating income (loss) from the business units, for the three-and six-month periods in fiscal 2011 and 2010, as follows (*in thousands*):

**Table of Contents**

	<b>Three months ended March 31,</b>		<b>Six months ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Revenue				
Medical Device	\$ 9,977	\$ 10,187	\$ 19,785	\$ 21,701
Pharmaceuticals	4,161	5,353	6,834	8,934
In Vitro Diagnostics	3,356	2,820	6,043	5,106
Total revenue	\$ 17,494	\$ 18,360	\$ 32,662	\$ 35,741

	<b>Three months ended March 31,</b>		<b>Six months ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Operating Income (Loss)				
Medical Device	\$ 4,685	\$ 4,419	\$ 10,369	\$ 9,962
Pharmaceuticals	(2,260)	(3,355)	(11,317)	(5,322)
In Vitro Diagnostics	1,178	766	1,897	1,360
Corporate	(1,561)	(2,782)	(4,527)	(4,184)
Total	\$ 2,042	\$ (952)	\$ (3,578)	\$ 1,816

	<b>Three months ended March 31,</b>		<b>Six months ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Depreciation and amortization				
Medical Device	\$ 418	\$ 551	\$ 833	\$ 1,097
Pharmaceuticals	1,008	1,192	2,015	2,037
In Vitro Diagnostics	199	210	398	417
Corporate	178	155	349	301
Total	\$ 1,803	\$ 2,108	\$ 3,595	\$ 3,852

Segment results above for the six-month period ended March 31, 2011 include goodwill impairment charges of \$5,650 in the Pharmaceuticals segment and restructuring charges of \$1,236 in Corporate.

Segment results above for the three-and six-month periods ended March 31, 2010 include an asset impairment charge of \$2,074 in the Pharmaceuticals segment and restructuring charges of \$1,306 in Corporate.

Corporate includes expenses for administrative corporate functions, such as executive, corporate accounting, legal, human resources and Board related, that have not been fully allocated to segments. Corporate also includes special charges, such as restructuring costs, which are not specific to a segment.

Asset information by segment is not presented in the table above because the Company does not provide our chief operating decision maker assets by segment, as the data is not readily available.

**(15) Commitments and Contingencies**

*Litigation.* From time to time, the Company has been, and may become, involved in various legal actions involving its operations, products and technologies, including intellectual property and employment disputes. The outcomes of these legal actions are not within the Company's complete control and may not be known for prolonged periods of time. In some actions, the claimants seek damages, as well as other relief, including injunctions barring the sale of

products that are the subject of the lawsuit, which, if granted, could require significant expenditures or result in lost revenues. The Company records a liability in the consolidated financial statements for these actions when a loss is known or considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. If the reasonable estimate of a known or probable loss is a range, and no amount within the range is a better estimate, the minimum amount of the range is accrued. If a loss is possible but not known or probable, and can be reasonably estimated, the estimated loss or range of loss is disclosed. In most cases, significant judgment is required to estimate the amount and timing of a loss to be recorded.

*InnoRx, Inc.* In January 2005, the Company entered into a merger agreement whereby SurModics acquired all of the assets of InnoRx, Inc. (InnoRx), an early stage company developing drug delivery devices and therapies for the ophthalmology market. SurModics will be required to issue up to approximately 480,059 additional shares of its common stock to the stockholders of InnoRx upon the successful completion of the remaining development and commercial milestones involving InnoRx technology acquired in the transaction.

*SurModics Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* In July 2007, the Company acquired 100% of the capital stock of SurModics Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (SurModics Pharma) a drug delivery company that provides proprietary polymer-based technologies to companies developing pharmaceutical products. The sellers of SurModics Pharma are still eligible to receive up to \$2.9 million in additional consideration based on successful achievement of specified milestones through calendar 2011.

*PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc.* In November 2008, the Company's subsidiary SurModics Pharma acquired certain contracts and assets of PR Pharmaceuticals, to enhance its portfolio of drug delivery technologies for the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries. The



**Table of Contents**

sellers of PR Pharmaceuticals are still eligible to receive up to \$3.0 million in additional consideration based on successful achievement of specified milestones for successful patent issuances and product development.

*Alabama Jobs Commitment.* In April 2008, the Company purchased a 286,000 square foot office and warehouse facility to support cGMP needs of customers and the anticipated growth of the SurModics Pharma business. At the same time, SurModics Pharma entered into an agreement with various governmental authorities to obtain financial incentives associated with creation of jobs in Alabama. Some of the governmental agencies have recapture rights in connection with the financial incentives if a specific number of full-time employees are not hired by June 2012, with an extension to June 2013 if circumstances or events occur that are beyond the control of SurModics Pharma or could not have been reasonably anticipated by SurModics Pharma. As of March 31, 2011, SurModics Pharma has received \$1.7 million in connection with the agreement, and the Company has recorded the payment in other long-term liabilities.

**Table of Contents****Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

The following discussion and analysis provides information that we believe is useful in understanding our operating results, cash flows and financial condition. The discussion should be read in conjunction with both the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this Form 10-Q, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2010. This discussion contains various Forward-Looking Statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We refer readers to the statement entitled Forward-Looking Statements located at the end of Part I of this report.

**Overview**

SurModics is a leading provider of drug delivery and surface modification technologies to the healthcare industry. In October 2010, we announced a change in our organizational structure moving from a functional structure into one consisting of three business units: Medical Device, Pharmaceuticals, and In Vitro Diagnostics. We believe this structure improves the visibility, marketing and adoption of the Company's broad array of technologies within specific markets and helps our customers in the medical device, pharmaceutical and life science industries better solve unmet clinical needs.

The organizational change resulted in the Company presenting revenue and operating results as follows: (1) the Medical Device unit, which is comprised of surface modification coating technologies to improve access, deliverability, and predictable deployment of medical devices, as well as drug delivery coating technologies to provide site-specific drug delivery from the surface of a medical device. End markets include coronary, peripheral, and neuro-vascular, and urology, among others; (2) the Pharmaceuticals unit, which incorporates a broad range of drug delivery technologies for injectable therapeutics, including microparticles, nanoparticles, and implants addressing a range of clinical applications including ophthalmology, oncology, dermatology and neurology, among others. Based in Birmingham, Alabama, the Pharmaceuticals business includes our cGMP manufacturing facility; and (3) the In Vitro Diagnostics unit, which consists of component products and technologies for diagnostic test kits and biomedical research applications. Products include microarray slide technologies, protein stabilization reagents, substrates, and antigens.

The Company's revenue is derived from three primary sources: (1) royalties and license fees from licensing our proprietary drug delivery and surface modification technologies to customers; the vast majority (typically in excess of 90%) of revenue in the royalties and license fees category is in the form of royalties; (2) the sale of polymers and reagent chemicals, stabilization products, antigens, substrates and microarray slides to the diagnostics and biomedical research industry; and (3) research and development (R&D) fees generated on customer projects. Revenue fluctuates from quarter to quarter depending on, among other factors: our customers' success in selling products incorporating our technologies; the timing of introductions of licensed products by customers; the timing of introductions of products that compete with our customers' products; the number and activity level associated with customer development projects; the number and terms of new license agreements that are finalized; the value of reagent chemicals and other products sold to customers; and the timing of future acquisitions we complete, if any.

On October 5, 2009, we entered into a License and Development Agreement with F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Ltd. (Roche) and Genentech, Inc., a wholly owned member of the Roche Group (Genentech). Under the terms of the agreement, Roche and Genentech will have an exclusive license to develop and commercialize a sustained drug delivery formulation of Lucentis® (ranibizumab injection) utilizing SurModics' proprietary biodegradable microparticles drug delivery system. We received an up-front licensing fee of \$3.5 million and are eligible to receive potential payments of up to approximately \$200 million in fees and milestone payments in the event of the successful development and commercialization of multiple products, as well as payment for development work done on these products. Roche and Genentech will have the right to obtain manufacturing services from SurModics. In the event a commercial product is developed, we will also receive royalties on sales of such product. During fiscal 2010 and continuing into fiscal 2011, the focus of our development activities has changed, primarily as a result of technical issues experienced in the Lucentis® microparticle product development program. Such technical issues reflect the inherent challenges often experienced in the development of new or reformulated pharmaceutical products. We are continuing to collaborate with Genentech under our agreement on sustained drug delivery products utilizing our

proprietary biodegradable microparticle drug delivery system. However, the program remains subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including those detailed under the heading "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of the Company's 2010 Form 10-K.

In addition, in December 2010, we announced that the Board of Directors of the Company had authorized the Company to explore strategic alternatives for our Pharmaceuticals business, including a potential sale of that business. This decision by the Board reflects our focus on returning the Company to profitable growth, and our renewed commitment to pursuing growth opportunities and investments in our Medical Device and In Vitro Diagnostics businesses. We have retained Piper Jaffray & Co. as our financial advisor in connection with this process. We have made no decision to enter into any transaction regarding the Pharmaceuticals business, and there can be no assurance that we will enter into such a transaction in the future.

**Table of Contents****Overview of research and development activities**

We manage our customer-sponsored R&D programs ( Customer R&D ), based largely on the requirements of our customers. In this regard, our customers typically establish the various measures and metrics that are used to monitor a program's progress, including key deliverables, milestones, timelines, and an overall program budget. The customer is ultimately responsible for deciding whether to continue or terminate a program, and does so based on research results (relative to the above measures and metrics) and other factors, including their own strategic and/or business priorities. Customer R&D programs are mainly in our Medical Device and Pharmaceuticals segments and the processes do not differ significantly.

For our internal R&D programs (included in Other R&D ) in our three segments, we utilize R&D review committees to prioritize these programs based on a number of factors, including a program's strategic fit, commercial impact, potential competitive advantage, technical feasibility, and the amount of investment required. The measures and metrics used to monitor a program's progress varies based on the program, and typically includes many of the same factors discussed above with respect to our Customer R&D programs. We typically make decisions to continue or terminate a program based on research results (relative to the above measures and metrics) and other factors, including our own strategic and/or business priorities, and the amount of additional investment required.

With respect to cost components, R&D expenses in each of our three segments consist of labor, materials and overhead costs (utilities, depreciation, indirect labor, etc.) for both Customer R&D and Other R&D programs. We manage our R&D organization in a flexible manner, balancing workloads/resources between Customer R&D and Other R&D programs primarily based on the level of customer program activity. Therefore, costs incurred for Customer R&D and Other R&D can shift as customer activity increases or decreases. As a result of the recent economic conditions, some customers have delayed, slowed or cancelled development projects, which has affected the R&D expense mix between Customer R&D and Other R&D.

**Critical Accounting Policies**

Critical accounting policies are those policies that require the application of management's most challenging subjective or complex judgment, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods. Critical accounting policies involve judgments and uncertainties that are sufficiently likely to result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. For a detailed description of our critical accounting policies, see the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2010.

**Results of Operations Three Months Ended March 31**

**Revenue.** Revenue during the second quarter of fiscal 2011 was \$17.5 million, a decrease of \$0.9 million, or 5%, compared with the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The following table provides a summary of each operating segment's revenue with the narrative below the table providing additional explanation.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Increase (Decrease)</b>	<b>Change</b>
	<b>2011</b>	<b>March 31 2010</b>		
Revenue:				
Medical Device	\$ 9,977	\$ 10,187	\$ (210)	(2)%
Pharmaceuticals	4,161	5,353	(1,192)	(22)%
In Vitro Diagnostics	3,356	2,820	536	19%
Total revenue	\$ 17,494	\$ 18,360	\$ (866)	(5)%

*Medical Device.* Revenue in Medical Device was \$10.0 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, a decrease of 2% compared with \$10.2 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The decrease in total revenue reflects lower R&D and royalty revenue, partially offset by higher product sales and license fees. Growth in our royalty revenue from our hydrophilic coating license agreements was not strong enough to offset the decrease in royalty revenue from Cordis Corporation, as a result of 41% lower CYPHER® stent sales. R&D revenue decreased \$0.5 million in the

second quarter of fiscal 2011, as we completed work on a significant customer feasibility project, which is currently not anticipated to move to a further stage of development.

Medical Device derives a substantial amount of revenue from royalties and license fees and product sales attributable to Cordis Corporation, a Johnson & Johnson company, on its CYPHER<sup>®</sup> Sirolimus-eluting Coronary Stent. The CYPHER<sup>®</sup> stent incorporates a proprietary SurModics polymer coating that delivers a therapeutic drug designed to reduce the occurrence of restenosis in coronary artery lesions. The CYPHER<sup>®</sup> stent faces continuing competition from Boston Scientific, Medtronic and Abbott Laboratories. Stents from these companies compete directly with the CYPHER<sup>®</sup> stent both domestically and internationally. For the last several years, royalty revenue and reagent product sales have decreased as a result of lower CYPHER<sup>®</sup> stent sales. We anticipate that royalty

**Table of Contents**

revenue from the CYPHER<sup>®</sup> stent will continue to decrease slightly in the remainder of fiscal 2011 until it reaches the minimum royalty levels per the license agreement with Cordis Corporation. We also receive a royalty on sales of delivery systems used to deliver the Medtronic Endeavor<sup>®</sup> and Endeavor<sup>®</sup> Resolute drug-eluting stents. These stent delivery systems incorporate our proprietary hydrophilic technology and are sold in the United States and internationally.

*Pharmaceuticals.* Pharmaceuticals revenue was \$4.2 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, a decrease of \$1.2 million, or 22%, compared with the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The decrease principally reflects a \$0.8 million decrease in R&D revenue, as well as lower product sales. While the Pharmaceuticals business unit continues to experience softness in the R&D environment, certain R&D customers have increased program activity in recent months. However, the increased R&D activity from existing and new customer programs was not sufficient to offset the decrease in activity from a particular customer program.

*In Vitro Diagnostics.* Revenue in In Vitro Diagnostics was \$3.3 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, an increase of 19% compared with \$2.8 million in the prior-year period. This increase was attributable to higher sales of our stabilization, microarray slides and BioFX branded products, partially offset by lower antigen sales.

**Product costs.** Product costs were \$2.3 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, compared with \$2.5 million in the prior-year period. The \$0.2 million decrease in product costs principally reflects the mix of products sold. Overall product margins averaged 61%, compared with 53% reported last year.

**Customer research and development expenses.** Customer R&D expenses were \$5.0 million, an increase of 5% compared with the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The increase principally reflects higher overhead costs associated with our Pharmaceuticals segment, as well as more overhead being allocated to Customer R&D in the second quarter of fiscal 2011. Customer R&D margins were negative 26%, compared with positive 10% in the second quarter of fiscal 2010.

**Other research and development expenses.** Other R&D expenses were \$3.3 million for the second quarter of fiscal 2011, a decrease of 28% compared with the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The decrease reflects \$0.5 million in lower labor costs resulting from the October 2010 organizational changes, \$0.3 million in lower material costs and \$0.5 million in lower overhead costs, as the Company has reduced the number of currently active internal R&D programs.

**Selling, general and administrative expenses.** Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$4.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2011, an increase of 18% compared with \$4.1 million in the prior-year period. The increase was primarily attributable to higher variable compensation costs and higher compensation expenses associated with our Board of Directors.

**Restructuring charges.** In March 2010, we announced an organizational change designed to support future growth by better meeting customer needs, leveraging our multiple competencies across the organization, and building on our pharmaceutical industry experience. As a result of the reorganization, we eliminated 11 positions, or approximately 4% of our workforce. These employee terminations occurred across various functions, and the reorganization plan was completed by the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The Company also announced that it was vacating its leased sales office in Irvine, California and a leased warehouse in Birmingham, Alabama, as part of the reorganization plan. The leased space was vacated by March 31, 2010. The restructuring was expected to result in approximately \$0.5 million to \$1.0 million in annualized cost savings.

SurModics recorded total restructuring charges of approximately \$1.3 million in connection with the fiscal 2010 reorganization. These pre-tax charges consisted of \$0.8 million of severance pay and benefit expense and \$0.5 million of facility-related costs.

**Asset impairment charge.** In the three months ended March 31, 2010, we recorded a \$2.1 million asset impairment charge associated with our facilities in Alabama.

**Other income, net.** Other income was \$0.4 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, compared with \$0.3 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. Income from investments was \$0.2 million, compared with \$0.3 million in the prior-year period. The decrease primarily reflects lower yields on our investment balances. In addition, the Company realized \$0.2 million in investment gains in the second quarter of fiscal 2011 within our investment portfolio.

**Income tax benefit.** The income tax provision was a benefit of \$0.1 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, compared with a benefit of \$0.2 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The effective tax rate was negative 3.6%, compared with positive 36.1% in the prior-year period. The reduction in effective tax rate was principally driven by our non-deductible goodwill impairment charge in fiscal 2011.

**Table of Contents****Segment Operating Results**

Operating income (loss) for each of our reportable segments is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Three months ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Operating Income (Loss)		
Medical Device	\$ 4,685	\$ 4,419
Pharmaceuticals	(2,260)	(3,355)
In Vitro Diagnostics	1,178	766
Corporate	(1,561)	(2,782)
Total	\$ 2,042	\$ (952)

*Medical Device.* Operating income was \$4.7 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, compared with \$4.4 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The increased operating income was driven by higher product margins and lower compensation costs resulting from our October and March 2010 reorganizations.

*Pharmaceuticals.* Operating loss was \$2.3 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, compared with a loss of \$3.4 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The second quarter of fiscal 2010 included an asset impairment charge of \$2.1 million associated with a facility that was held for sale. The operating loss for the second quarter of fiscal 2010, when excluding the asset impairment charge, was \$1.3 million. The increase in the operating loss in the fiscal 2011 period was primarily driven by the \$1.2 million decrease in revenue. The Pharmaceuticals segment operating costs are largely fixed in nature, especially related to the cGMP facility.

*In Vitro Diagnostics.* Operating income was \$1.2 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, compared with \$0.8 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The revenue increase of \$0.5 million compared to the prior-year period was the primary contributor to the operating income increase.

*Corporate.* Operating loss was \$1.6 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2011, compared with a loss of \$2.8 million in the second quarter of fiscal 2010. The second quarter of fiscal 2010 included a \$1.3 million restructuring charge. The operating loss for the second quarter of fiscal 2010, adjusted to exclude this restructuring charge, was \$1.5 million. The increase in operating loss for fiscal 2011 reflects higher variable compensation costs and costs associated with transitions on our Board of Directors.

**Results of Operations Six Months Ended March 31**

**Revenue.** Revenue for the first six months of fiscal 2011 was \$32.7 million, a decrease of \$3.1 million, or 9%, compared with the first six months of fiscal 2010. The following table provides a summary of each operating segment's revenue with the narrative below the table providing additional explanation.

<i>(Dollars in thousands)</i>	<b>Six Months Ended March</b>		<b>Increase (Decrease)</b>	<b>Change</b>
	<b>2011</b>	<b>31, 2010</b>		
Revenue:				
Medical Device	\$ 19,785	\$ 21,701	\$ (1,916)	(9)%
Pharmaceuticals	6,834	8,934	(2,100)	(24)%
In Vitro Diagnostics	6,043	5,106	937	18%
Total revenue	\$ 32,662	\$ 35,741	\$ (3,079)	(9)%

*Medical Device.* Revenue in Medical Device was \$19.8 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011, a decrease of 9% compared with \$21.7 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. The decrease in total revenue reflects lower R&D revenue and royalties and license fees, partially offset by higher product sales. Growth in our royalty revenue



from hydrophilic coating license agreements was not strong enough to offset the decrease in royalty revenue from Cordis Corporation, as a result of 40% lower CYPHER<sup>®</sup> stent sales. Medical Device R&D revenue decreased 48%, as a certain customer project was completed in the six months of fiscal 2011. We have seen increased R&D revenue from a variety of customers in fiscal 2011, however this improvement did not fully offset the impact of one particular R&D program which experienced a significant decrease in revenue. R&D revenue, when excluding this one particular customer, was flat on a six month comparison basis.

*Pharmaceuticals.* Pharmaceuticals revenue was \$6.8 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011, a decrease of \$2.1 million, or 24%, compared with the first six months of fiscal 2010. The decrease principally reflected lower R&D revenue, as well as lower

**Table of Contents**

product sales. While the Pharmaceuticals business unit continues to experience softness in the R&D environment, certain R&D customers have increased activity in recent months. However, the increased R&D activity from existing and new customer programs was not sufficient to offset the decrease in activity from a particular customer program.

*In Vitro Diagnostics.* Revenue in In Vitro Diagnostics was \$6.0 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011, an increase of 18% compared with \$5.1 million in the prior-year period. This increase was primarily attributable to higher sales of our BioFX branded products, stabilization and antigen products.

**Product costs.** Product costs were \$4.1 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011, compared with \$4.4 million in the prior-year period. The \$0.3 million decrease in product costs principally reflected the mix of products sold. Overall product margins averaged 61%, compared with 55% reported last year.

**Customer research and development expenses.** Customer R&D expenses were \$9.8 million, an increase of 20% compared with the first six months of fiscal 2010. The increase principally reflected the fixed overhead costs attributable to our Alabama research and development operations, including our current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMP) manufacturing facility, which was in service for four months in fiscal 2010 as opposed to six months in fiscal 2011. In addition, Customer R&D expenses were higher because more overhead was being allocated to Customer R&D in the six months of fiscal 2011. The increase in new customer programs has also driven increased costs of validation and preventive maintenance activities at our cGMP facility. Customer R&D margins were negative 44%, compared with positive 9% in the first six months of fiscal 2010.

**Other research and development expenses.** Other R&D expenses were \$5.4 million for the first six months of fiscal 2011, a decrease of 42% compared with the first six months of fiscal 2010. The decrease was primarily a result of therapeutic grant income recognized (which was recorded as a reduction of expenses) of approximately \$0.8 million associated with awards received under the federal qualified therapeutic discovery project program, as well as the impact of lower labor costs resulting from the October 2010 organizational changes.

**Selling, general and administrative expenses.** Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$10.1 million for the six months ended March 31, 2011, an increase of 16% compared with \$8.7 million in the prior-year period. The increase was primarily attributable to non-recurring advisory services expenses related to the 2011 Annual Meeting of shareholders, and higher variable compensation costs and increased compensation costs associated with our Board of Directors.

**Goodwill impairment charge.** In the first six months of fiscal 2011, we recorded a \$5.7 million goodwill impairment charge associated with our SurModics Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (SurModics Pharma) reporting unit. Two milestone events were achieved during the six months associated with the July 2007 acquisition of SurModics Pharma, and \$5.7 million of additional purchase price was recorded as an increase to goodwill. During our annual test of goodwill impairment in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010, we determined the goodwill related to our SurModics Pharma reporting unit was fully impaired and we recognized a non-cash goodwill impairment charge totaling \$13.8 million. There have been no substantial changes in operating results for SurModics Pharma in fiscal 2011 when compared with fiscal 2010, and as such we concluded the goodwill associated with the milestone events was fully impaired. There may be additional earn-out milestone payments in the future, and if operations do not improve for the SurModics Pharma reporting unit, there could be additional goodwill impairments.

**Restructuring charges.** In October 2010, we announced initiatives to reduce our cost structure and renew our focus on business units to more closely match operations and cost structure with the current customer environment. As a result of the organization change, we eliminated 30 positions, or approximately 13% of our workforce. These employee terminations occurred across various functions, and the reorganization plan was completed by the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2011. The reorganization also resulted in SurModics vacating a leased production facility in Birmingham, Alabama and relocating the production activities to one of our owned facilities in Birmingham. The restructuring was expected to result in approximately \$3.0 million to \$3.5 million in annualized cost savings.

We recorded total restructuring charges of \$1.2 million in the six months ended March 31, 2011, in connection with the fiscal 2011 reorganization. These pre-tax charges consisted of \$1.2 million of severance pay and benefits expenses and less than \$0.1 million of facility-related costs. Costs totaling \$1.1 million have been paid, and we anticipate paying the remaining \$0.1 million within the next nine months.

In March 2010, we announced an organizational change designed to support future growth by better meeting customer needs, leveraging our multiple competencies across the organization, and building on our pharmaceutical industry experience.

SurModics recorded total restructuring charges of approximately \$1.3 million in the six months ended March 31, 2010, in connection with the fiscal 2010 reorganization. These pre-tax charges consisted of \$0.8 million of severance pay and benefits expenses and \$0.5 million of facility-related costs. The Company has paid \$1.0 million of the costs, and we anticipate paying the remaining \$0.3 million within the next 33 months.

**Table of Contents**

**Asset impairment charge.** In the six months ended March 31, 2010, we recorded a \$2.1 million asset impairment charge associated with our facilities in Alabama.

**Other income, net.** Other income was \$0.6 million in each of the six month periods of fiscal 2011 and 2010. Income from investments was \$0.4 million, compared with \$0.6 million in the prior-year period. The decrease primarily reflects lower yields on our investment balances. In addition, we recognized \$0.2 million in realized investment gains in the six months of fiscal 2011 within our investment portfolio.

**Income tax provision.** The income tax provision was \$0.7 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011, compared with \$0.9 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. The effective tax rate was negative 22.8%, compared with positive 37.8% in the prior-year period. The reduction in effective tax rate is principally driven by losses generated by non-deductible goodwill impairment charges.

**Segment Operating Results**

Operating income (loss) for each of our reportable segments is as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Six months ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Operating Income (Loss)		
Medical Device	\$ 10,369	\$ 9,962
Pharmaceuticals	(11,317)	(5,322)
In Vitro Diagnostics	1,897	1,360
Corporate	(4,527)	(4,184)
Total	\$ (3,578)	\$ 1,816

**Medical Device.** Operating income was \$10.4 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011, compared with \$10.0 million in the prior-year period. Our product margins improved compared with the prior-year period and Other R&D expenses decreased as a result of therapeutic grant income (which is recorded as a reduction of expenses) of approximately \$0.8 million associated with awards received under the federal qualified therapeutic discovery project program. Operating income also increased as a result of lower compensation costs resulting from our October and March 2010 reorganizations.

**Pharmaceuticals.** Operating loss was \$11.3 million for the first six months of fiscal 2011, compared with a loss of \$5.3 million in the prior-year period. The six months of fiscal 2011 included goodwill impairment charges of \$5.7 million while the six months of fiscal 2010 includes asset impairment charges of \$2.1 million. The operating loss for the six months of fiscal 2011 and 2010, adjusted to exclude the previously mentioned items, was \$5.7 million and \$3.2 million, respectively. The increase in fiscal 2011 operating losses was driven by a \$2.1 million decrease in revenue and two additional months of depreciation, as the cGMP facility was in service for four months in fiscal 2010. The Pharmaceuticals segment operating costs are largely fixed in nature, especially related to the cGMP facility.

**In Vitro Diagnostics.** Operating income was \$1.9 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011, compared with \$1.4 million in the prior-year period. The increase was driven by \$0.9 million in higher revenue.

**Corporate.** Operating loss was \$4.5 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011, compared with a loss of \$4.2 million in the prior-year period. Both periods included restructuring charges; when excluded, our adjusted operating losses were \$3.3 million and \$2.9 million for fiscal 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase is driven principally by non-recurring advisory services expenses related to the 2011 Annual Meeting of shareholders, higher variable compensation costs and costs associated with transitions on our Board of Directors.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

**Operating Activities.** As of March 31, 2011, we had working capital of \$31.3 million, of which \$26.5 million consisted of cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments. Working capital increased \$1.5 million from the September 30, 2010 level, driven principally by higher cash and short-term investment balances and accounts receivable balances, offset by a decrease in prepaid and other as the Company received a \$2.5 million income tax

refund in the six months ended March 31, 2011, as well as an increase in accrued compensation, accrued other liabilities and deferred revenue balances. Our cash and cash equivalents, short-term and long-term investments totaled \$60.0 million at March 31, 2011, an increase of \$3.2 million from \$56.8 million at September 30, 2010. Our investments principally consist of U.S. government and government agency obligations and investment grade, interest-bearing

**Table of Contents**

corporate debt securities with varying maturity dates, the majority of which are five years or less. Our policy requires that no more than 5% of investments be held in any one credit issue, excluding U.S. government and government agency obligations. The primary investment objective of the portfolio is to provide for the safety of principal and appropriate liquidity while meeting or exceeding a benchmark (Merrill Lynch 1-3 Year Government-Corporate Index) total rate of return. Management continues to direct its investment advisors to manage the investments primarily for the safety of principal for the foreseeable future as it assesses other investment opportunities and uses of its investments.

We had cash flows from operating activities of approximately \$11.2 million in the first six months of fiscal 2011, compared with \$12.4 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. The decrease compared with prior-year results primarily reflects lower operating results in fiscal 2011, as well as the fiscal 2010 receipt of a \$3.5 million up-front licensing fee from Genentech associated with a license and development agreement.

*Investing Activities.* We invested \$2.4 million in property and equipment in the first six months of fiscal 2011, compared with \$5.6 million in the prior-year period. The lower property and equipment investment in fiscal 2011 reflected a return to more historical investment levels. Fiscal 2010 investment reflects higher spending associated with the final phase of completion of the Birmingham, Alabama cGMP facility. In addition, fiscal 2011 included \$5.7 million in milestone payments while fiscal 2010 included a \$0.8 million milestone payment, both associated with the SurModics Pharmaceuticals acquisition in July 2007.

*Financing Activities.* In November 2007, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of \$35.0 million of the Company's common stock in open-market transactions, private transactions, tender offers, or other transactions. The repurchase authorization does not have a fixed expiration date. No shares were repurchased during the six months ended March 31, 2011 while the Company repurchased \$2.0 million in the first six months of fiscal 2010. Under the current authorization, we have \$5.3 million remaining available for share repurchases at March 31, 2011.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company had no debt outstanding under our \$15 million unsecured revolving credit facility. In connection with the credit facility, we are required to maintain certain financial and nonfinancial covenants. We were not in compliance with certain covenants in fiscal 2010; however, we obtained waivers for these covenants as part of the credit facility extension completed in the second quarter of fiscal 2011. The Company is in compliance with all covenants.

We do not have any other credit agreements and believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents and investments, together with cash flow from operations, will provide liquidity sufficient to meet the below stated needs and fund our operations for the next twelve months. There can be no assurance, however, that SurModics' business will continue to generate cash flows at current levels, and disruptions in financial markets may negatively impact our ability to access capital in a timely manner and on attractive terms, if at all. Our anticipated liquidity needs for the remainder of fiscal 2011 include, but are not limited to, the following: general capital expenditures in the range of \$1.5 million to \$3.0 million; contingent consideration payments of up to \$5.9 million based on achievement of certain business objectives, related to our acquisition of SurModics Pharma, as well as the purchase of certain assets from PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; and any amounts associated with the repurchase of common stock under the authorization discussed above. While the contingent consideration timing and amounts are uncertain, we anticipate the amounts could be paid through fiscal 2012.

*Customer Concentrations.* Our licensed technologies provide royalty revenue to SurModics, which represents the largest revenue stream to the Company. We have licenses with a diverse base of customers and certain customers have multiple products using our technology. While there has been a decline in royalty revenue from our largest customer, Cordis Corporation, a Johnson & Johnson company, we anticipate this royalty stream will reach the minimum level per the agreement within the next year and, compared with current levels, will not have a significant impact to the results of operations and cash flow. In addition, no other individual customer product using licensed technology constitutes more than 5% of SurModics' total revenue. Further, our licensing arrangements with many of our customers, including our significant customers, cover many licensed products that each separately generate royalty revenue. This situation reduces the potential risk to our operations that may result from reduced sales (or the termination of a license) of a single product for any specific customer.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

As of March 31, 2011, the Company did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements with any unconsolidated entities.

**Forward-Looking Statements**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Item 2, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements include expectations concerning our growth strategy, product development programs, future cash flow and sources of funding, short-term liquidity requirements, the impact of potential lawsuits or claims, and the impact of the Cordis and Genentech agreements, as well as other significant customer agreements. Without limiting the foregoing, words or phrases such as

**Table of Contents**

anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, forecast, intend, may, plan, possible, project, will generally identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements may also represent challenging goals for us. These statements, which represent the Company's expectations or beliefs concerning various future events, are based on current expectations that involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those of such forward-looking statements. We caution that undue reliance should not be placed on such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. Some of the factors which could cause results to differ from those expressed in any forward-looking statement are set forth under Part II, Item 1A of this Form 10-Q. We disclaim any intent or obligation to update publicly these forward-looking statements, whether because of new information, future events or otherwise.

Although it is not possible to create a comprehensive list of all factors that may cause actual results to differ from the Company's forward-looking statements, such factors include, among others:

our ability to successfully identify, negotiate, sign and close a potential strategic transaction related to our Pharmaceutical business;

the inability to realize the anticipated benefits of any potential transaction regarding our Pharmaceuticals business, if consummated, or of our other recent cost savings initiatives;

the potential adverse impact to our business as a result of our announcement to pursue strategic alternatives for our Pharmaceuticals business;

the Company's reliance on a small number of significant customers, which causes our financial results and stock price to be subject to factors affecting those significant customers and their products, the timing of market introduction of their or competing products, product safety or efficacy concerns and intellectual property litigation could adversely affect our growth strategy and the royalty revenue we derive;

general economic conditions which are beyond our control, including the impact of recession, business investment and changes in consumer confidence;

the Company's change in its organizational structure may not increase the number of market segments and applications that use its technologies;

a decrease in the Company's available cash or the value of its investment holdings could impact short-term liquidity requirements;

the difficulties and uncertainties associated with the lengthy and costly new product development and foreign and domestic regulatory approval processes, such as delays, difficulties or failures in achieving acceptable clinical results or obtaining foreign or FDA marketing clearances or approvals, which may result in lost market opportunities or postpone or preclude product commercialization by licensees;

the development of new products or technologies by competitors, technological obsolescence and other changes in competitive factors;

the Company's ability to successfully internally perform certain product development activities and governmental and regulatory compliance activities which the Company has not previously undertaken in any significant manner; and

other factors described below in **Risk Factors** and other sections of SurModics' Annual Report on Form 10-K, which you are encouraged to read carefully.



Many of these factors are outside the control and knowledge of the Company, and could result in increased volatility in period-to-period results. Investors are advised not to place undue reliance upon the Company's forward-looking statements and to consult any further disclosures by the Company on this subject in its filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

**Use of Non-GAAP Financial Information**

In addition to disclosing financial results in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, this report includes certain non-GAAP financial results including non-GAAP operating income (or loss). The Company believes that the use of non-GAAP measures provide meaningful insight into our operating performance excluding, for example, certain event-specific charges (including the restructuring charges incurred in connection with our March 2010 and October 2010 organizational changes, the non-recurring advisory service expense incurred in connection with our 2011 Annual Meeting of shareholders, and certain asset and goodwill impairment charges), and provide an alternative perspective of the Company's results of operations. The Company uses non-GAAP measures, including those set forth in this report, to assess our operating performance and to determine payout under our executive compensation programs. We believe that presentation of certain non-GAAP measures allows investors to review our results of operations from the same perspective as management and our board of directors. We believe certain non-GAAP measures facilitate investors' analysis and comparisons of our current results of operations and provide insight into the prospects of our future performance. We also believe that certain non-GAAP measures are useful to investors because they provide supplemental information that research analysts frequently use. The method we use to produce non-GAAP results is not in accordance with GAAP and may differ from the methods used by other companies. Non-GAAP results should not be regarded as a substitute for corresponding GAAP measures but instead should be utilized as a supplemental measure of operating performance in evaluating our business. Non-GAAP measures do have limitations in that they do not reflect certain items that may have a material impact upon our reported financial results. As such, these non-GAAP measures presented should be viewed in conjunction with both our financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP and the reconciliation of the supplemental non-GAAP financial measures to the comparable GAAP results provided for the specific periods presented, which are attached to this report.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

The Company's investment policy requires the Company to invest in high credit quality issuers and limits the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer. The Company's investments principally consist of U.S. government and government agency obligations and investment-grade, interest-bearing corporate debt securities with varying maturity dates, the majority of which are five years or less. Because of the credit criteria of the Company's investment policies, the primary market risk associated with these investments is interest rate risk. The Company does not use derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk or to speculate on future changes in interest rates. A one percentage point increase in interest rates would result in an approximate \$0.7 million decrease in the fair value of the Company's available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities as of March 31, 2011, but no material impact on the results of operations or cash flows. Management believes that a reasonable change in raw material prices would not have a material impact on future earnings or cash flows because the Company's inventory exposure is not material.

**Table of Contents**

Although we conduct business in foreign countries, all sales transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, we do not expect to be subject to material foreign currency risk with respect to future costs or cash flows from our foreign sales. To date, we have not entered into any foreign currency forward exchange contracts or other derivative financial instruments to hedge the effects of adverse fluctuations in foreign currency exchange.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer regarding the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Securities Exchange Commission rules and forms, and to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

**Changes in Internal Controls**

There was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents****PART II OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

There have been no material developments in the legal proceedings previously disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

In our report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 14, 2010, we identify under Item 1A important factors which could affect our financial performance and could cause our actual results for future periods to differ materially from our anticipated results or other expectations, including those expressed in any forward-looking statements made in this Form 10-Q.

There have been no material change in our risk factors subsequent to the filing of our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.**

None.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.**

None.

**Item 4. (Removed and Reserved).****Item 5. Other Information.**

None.

**Item 6. Exhibits.**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended December 31, 1999, SEC File No. 0-23837
3.2	Restated Bylaws of SurModics, Inc., as amended November 30, 2009 Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, SEC File No. 0-23837
10.1	First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of February 28, 2011, by and between SurModics, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Sole Lead Arranger and Administrative Agent incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 4, 2011, SEC File No. 0-23837
10.2	Agreement by and among SurModics, Inc. and the Ramius Group dated as of January 5, 2011 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 5, 2011, SEC File No. 0-23837
10.3*	Separation Agreement and Release by and between Eugene C. Rusch and SurModics, Inc. dated as of February 16, 2011**
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

\* Filed herewith

\*\* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

28

---

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

May 10, 2011

**SurModics, Inc.**

By: /s/ Philip D. Ankeny  
Philip D. Ankeny  
Senior Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer  
(duly authorized signatory and  
principal financial officer)

29

---

**Table of Contents**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549  
EXHIBIT INDEX TO FORM 10-Q  
For the Quarter Ended March 31, 2011  
SURMODICS, INC.**

<b>Exhibit</b>	<b>Description</b>
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended December 31, 1999, SEC File No. 0-23837
3.2	Restated Bylaws of SurModics, Inc., as amended November 30, 2009 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, SEC File No. 0-23837
10.1	First Amendment to Credit Agreement dated as of February 28, 2011, by and between SurModics, Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Sole Lead Arranger and Administrative Agent incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 4, 2011, SEC File No. 0-23837
10.2	Agreement by and among SurModics, Inc. and the Ramius Group dated as of January 5, 2011 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 5, 2011, SEC File No. 0-23837
10.3*	Separation Agreement and Release by and between Eugene C. Rusch and SurModics, Inc. dated as of February 16, 2011**
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2*	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

\* Filed herewith

\*\* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement