

LINDSAY CORP
Form 10-Q
January 06, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(MARK ONE)

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended November 30, 2010
OR**

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission File Number 1-13419

Lindsay Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

47-0554096

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

2222 N. 111th Street, Omaha, Nebraska

68164

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

402-829-6800

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act:

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐
(Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of January 3, 2011, 12,543,201 shares of the registrant's common stock were outstanding.

Lindsay Corporation
INDEX FORM 10-Q

Page No.

Part I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1 Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009 3

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, November 30, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2010 4

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009 5

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements 6-15

ITEM 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 16-20

ITEM 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 20

ITEM 4 Controls and Procedures 21

Part II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 Legal Proceedings 21

ITEM 1A Risk Factors 21

ITEM 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 21

ITEM 6 Exhibits 22

SIGNATURE 23

Exhibit 10.1

Exhibit 31.1

Exhibit 31.2

Exhibit 32.1

Table of Contents**Part I FINANCIAL INFORMATION****ITEM 1 *Financial Statements***

Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(unaudited)

| (in thousands, except per share amounts) | Three months ended November 30, | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Operating revenues | \$ 89,166 | \$ 85,970 |
| Cost of operating revenues | 64,943 | 60,166 |
| Gross profit | 24,223 | 25,804 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Selling expense | 7,018 | 5,523 |
| General and administrative expense | 8,031 | 7,336 |
| Engineering and research expense | 2,564 | 1,784 |
| Total operating expenses | 17,613 | 14,643 |
| Operating income | 6,610 | 11,161 |
| Other income (expense): | | |
| Interest expense | (186) | (461) |
| Interest income | 42 | 83 |
| Other income (expense), net | 111 | 145 |
| Earnings before income taxes | 6,577 | 10,928 |
| Income tax provision | 2,291 | 4,251 |
| Net earnings | \$ 4,286 | \$ 6,677 |
| Basic net earnings per share | \$ 0.34 | \$ 0.54 |
| Diluted net earnings per share | \$ 0.34 | \$ 0.53 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | 12,502 | 12,380 |
| Diluted effect of stock equivalents | 142 | 161 |

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| Weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution | 12,644 | 12,541 |
| Cash dividends per share | \$ 0.085 | \$ 0.080 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (\$ in thousands, except par values) | (Unaudited) November 30, 2010 | (Unaudited) November 30, 2009 | August 31, 2010 |
|--|--|--|--------------------|
| ASSETS | | | |
| Current Assets: | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 80,535 | \$ 91,750 | \$ 83,418 |
| Receivables, net of allowance of \$2,187, \$2,097 and \$2,244, respectively | 60,000 | 51,552 | 63,629 |
| Inventories, net | 53,147 | 44,327 | 45,296 |
| Deferred income taxes | 5,740 | 6,877 | 6,722 |
| Other current assets | 8,540 | 6,660 | 8,946 |
| Total current assets | 207,962 | 201,166 | 208,011 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 56,794 | 59,949 | 57,646 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 28,078 | 29,045 | 27,715 |
| Goodwill, net | 28,123 | 24,530 | 27,395 |
| Other noncurrent assets | 4,809 | 5,646 | 4,714 |
| Total assets | \$ 325,766 | \$ 320,336 | \$ 325,481 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 33,316 | \$ 26,291 | \$ 26,501 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 4,286 | 6,171 | 4,286 |
| Other current liabilities | 25,922 | 31,958 | 36,295 |
| Total current liabilities | 63,524 | 64,420 | 67,082 |
| Pension benefits liabilities | 6,344 | 6,407 | 6,400 |
| Long-term debt | 7,500 | 17,912 | 8,571 |
| Deferred income taxes | 11,461 | 10,510 | 10,816 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | 1,994 | 4,598 | 3,005 |
| Total liabilities | 90,823 | 103,847 | 95,874 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | | |
| Preferred stock, (\$1 par value, 2,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding) | | | |
| Common stock, (\$1 par value, 25,000,000 shares authorized, 18,241,649, 18,173,896 and 18,184,820 shares issued at November 30, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2010, respectively) | 18,242 | 18,174 | 18,185 |

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Capital in excess of stated value | 31,942 | 29,240 | 30,756 |
| Retained earnings | 273,494 | 255,273 | 270,272 |
| Less treasury stock (at cost, 5,698,448, 5,763,448 and 5,698,448 shares at November 30, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2010, respectively) | (90,961) | (91,998) | (90,961) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income, net | 2,226 | 5,800 | 1,355 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 234,943 | 216,489 | 229,607 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 325,766 | \$ 320,336 | \$ 325,481 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| | November 30, | |
| (\$ in thousands) | 2010 | 2009 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 4,286 | \$ 6,677 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,926 | 2,681 |
| Provision for uncollectible accounts receivable | 21 | 149 |
| Deferred income taxes | (78) | (447) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 933 | 613 |
| Gain on disposal of fixed assets | (33) | (92) |
| Other, net | (81) | (1) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Receivables | 4,429 | (7,813) |
| Inventories | (7,134) | 2,222 |
| Other current assets | 483 | (437) |
| Accounts payable | 6,550 | 5,916 |
| Other current liabilities | (8,350) | (3,452) |
| Current taxes payable | (812) | 4,276 |
| Other noncurrent assets and liabilities | (967) | (769) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 2,173 | 9,523 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment | (1,484) | (1,436) |
| Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment | 43 | 92 |
| Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired | (1,279) | (132) |
| Payment for settlement of net investment hedge | (734) | |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (3,454) | (1,476) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Issuance of common stock under stock compensation plans | (187) | (507) |
| Principal payments on long-term debt | (1,071) | (1,543) |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation | 609 | 310 |
| Dividends paid | (1,064) | (992) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (1,713) | (2,732) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | 111 | 506 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (2,883) | 5,821 |

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 83,418 | 85,929 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 80,535 | \$ 91,750 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Lindsay Corporation and Subsidiaries
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

(1) Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The condensed consolidated financial statements are presented in accordance with the requirements of Form 10-Q and do not include all of the disclosures normally required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for financial statements contained in Lindsay Corporation's (the Company) annual Form 10-K filing. Accordingly, these condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2010.

In the opinion of management, the condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company reflect all adjustments of a normal recurring nature necessary to present a fair statement of the financial position and the results of operations and cash flows for the respective interim periods. The results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of trends or results expected by the Company for a full year.

Notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements describe various elements of the financial statements and the accounting policies, estimates, and assumptions applied by management. While actual results could differ from those estimated by management in the preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements, management believes that the accounting policies, assumptions, and estimates applied promote the representational faithfulness, verifiability, neutrality, and transparency of the accounting information included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior financial statements and notes to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications were not material to the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

On November 3, 2010, the Company completed the acquisition of certain assets of WMC Technology Limited based in Feilding, New Zealand. The assets acquired primarily relate to technology that will enhance the Company's irrigation product offerings. Total consideration paid was \$1.3 million which was financed with cash on hand. The total purchase price has been preliminarily allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired based on fair value assessments. The resulting goodwill and intangible assets have been accounted for under FASB ASC 805, *Business Combinations*. The fair value assigned to the assets acquired is subject to revision as the Company completes its analysis of fair value. Management expects to complete its fair value analysis in the second quarter of its 2011 fiscal year.

(2) Net Earnings per Share

Basic net earnings per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net earnings per share is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding plus dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. Dilutive potential common shares consist of stock options and restricted stock units to the extent they are not anti-dilutive. Performance stock units are excluded from the calculation of dilutive potential common shares until the threshold performance conditions have been satisfied. At November 30, 2010, the threshold performance conditions for the Company's outstanding performance stock units that were granted on November 3, 2008, November 12, 2009 and November 1, 2010 had not been satisfied, resulting in the exclusion of 98,625 performance stock units from the calculation of diluted net earnings per share.

Employee stock options, nonvested shares and similar equity instruments granted by the Company are treated as potential common shares outstanding in computing diluted net earnings per share. The Company's diluted common shares outstanding reported in each period include the dilutive effect of restricted stock units, in-the-money options, and performance stock units for which threshold performance conditions have been satisfied and is calculated based on the average share price for each fiscal period using the treasury stock method. Under the treasury stock method, the amount the employee must pay for exercising stock options, the amount of compensation cost for future service that the Company has not yet recognized on share based awards, and the amount of excess tax benefits that would be recorded in additional paid-in capital when shares are issued and assumed to be used to repurchase shares.

There were 8,249 and 571 restricted stock units excluded from the calculation of diluted net earnings per share for the three months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, since their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

Table of Contents**(3) Comprehensive Income**

The accumulated other comprehensive income, net, shown in the Company's consolidated balance sheets includes the unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges, changes in the transition obligation and net actuarial losses from the defined benefit pension plan, and the accumulated foreign currency translation adjustment, net of hedging activities. The following table shows the difference between the Company's reported net earnings and its comprehensive income:

| \$ in thousands | Three months ended November 30, | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Comprehensive income: | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 4,286 | \$ 6,677 |
| Other comprehensive income ⁽¹⁾ : | | |
| Defined benefit pension plan, net of tax | 25 | 28 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges, net of tax | 102 | (167) |
| Foreign currency translation, net of hedging activities | 744 | 2,944 |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 5,157 | \$ 9,482 |

⁽¹⁾ Net of tax benefit \$77 and \$33 for the three months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(4) Income Taxes

It is the Company's policy to report income tax expense for interim periods using an estimated annual effective income tax rate. However, the tax effects of significant or unusual items are not considered in the estimated annual effective tax rate. The tax effects of such discrete events are recognized in the interim period in which the events occur.

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$2.3 million and \$4.3 million for the three months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The estimated effective tax rate used to calculate income tax expense before discrete items was 34.8% and 35.1% for the periods ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

For the three months ended November 30, 2010, the Company recorded no discrete items. For the three months ended November 30, 2009, the Company recorded a discrete item resulting in \$0.4 million of additional tax expense in the quarter relating to a tax ruling impacting the Company's French subsidiary.

(5) Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined by the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method for the Company's Lindsay, Nebraska inventory and two warehouses in Idaho and Texas. Cost is determined by the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method for inventory at the Company's Omaha, Nebraska warehouse, its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Barrier Systems, Inc. (BSI) and Watertronics, LLC, China and other non-U.S. warehouse locations. Cost is determined by the weighted average cost method for inventory at the Company's other operating locations in Washington State, France, Brazil, Italy and South Africa. At all locations, the Company reserves for obsolete, slow moving, and excess inventory by estimating the net realizable value based on the potential future use of such inventory.

| \$ in thousands | November 30, 2010 | November 30, 2009 | August 31, 2010 |
|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| | | | |
| Inventory: | | | |
| FIFO inventory | \$ 24,356 | \$ 32,828 | \$ 19,218 |
| LIFO reserves | (6,305) | (6,283) | (6,263) |
| LIFO inventory | 18,051 | 26,545 | 12,955 |

Edgar Filing: LINDSAY CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Weighted average inventory | 19,098 | 17,251 | 15,854 |
| Other FIFO inventory | 18,184 | 2,611 | 18,532 |
| Obsolescence reserve | (2,186) | (2,080) | (2,045) |
| Total inventories | \$ 53,147 | \$ 44,327 | \$ 45,296 |

Table of Contents

The estimated percentage distribution between major classes of inventory before reserves is as follows:

| | November 30, 2010 | November 30, 2009 | August 31, 2010 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Raw materials | 13% | 11% | 12% |
| Work in process | 7% | 8% | 8% |
| Finished goods and purchased parts | 80% | 81% | 80% |

(6) Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization, as follows:

| \$ in thousands | November 30, 2010 | November 30, 2009 | August 31, 2010 |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Operating property, plant and equipment: | | | |
| Land | \$ 2,777 | \$ 2,297 | \$ 2,757 |
| Buildings | 28,521 | 29,198 | 28,294 |
| Equipment | 67,226 | 63,222 | 66,754 |
| Other | 4,619 | 5,666 | 3,830 |
| Total operating property, plant and equipment | 103,143 | 100,383 | 101,635 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (60,249) | (56,667) | (58,429) |
| Total operating property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 42,894 | \$ 43,716 | \$ 43,206 |
| Property held for lease: | | | |
| Machines | 3,852 | 4,280 | 4,360 |
| Barriers | 16,633 | 16,756 | 16,215 |
| Total property held for lease | \$ 20,485 | \$ 21,036 | \$ 20,575 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (6,585) | (4,803) | (6,135) |
| Total property held for lease, net | \$ 13,900 | \$ 16,233 | \$ 14,440 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 56,794 | \$ 59,949 | \$ 57,646 |

Depreciation expense was \$2.2 million and \$2.0 million for the three months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(7) Credit Arrangements*Euro Line of Credit*

The Company's wholly-owned European subsidiary, Lindsay Europe, has an unsecured revolving line of credit with Societe Generale, a European commercial bank, under which it could borrow up to 2.3 million Euros, which equates to approximately USD \$3.0 million as of November 30, 2010, for working capital purposes (the Euro Line of Credit). There were no borrowings outstanding on the Euro Line of Credit as of November 30, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2010. Under the terms of the Euro Line of Credit, borrowings, if any, bear interest at a floating rate in effect from time to time designated by the commercial bank as the Euro Interbank Offered Rate plus 150 basis points (2.08% at November 30, 2010). Unpaid principal and interest is due by January 31, 2011, which is the termination date of the Euro Line of Credit. The Company's management expects to obtain a similar line of credit prior to termination.

BSI Term Note

The Company entered into an unsecured \$30.0 million Term Note and Credit Agreement, effective June 1, 2006, with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (the BSI Term Note) to partially finance the acquisition of BSI. Borrowings under the BSI Term Note bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 50 basis points. The Company has fixed the rate at 6.05% through an interest rate swap as described in Note 8, *Financial Derivatives*. Principal is repaid quarterly in equal payments of \$1.1 million over a seven-year period that began in September of 2006. The BSI Term Note is due June 10, 2013.

Table of Contents*Snoline Term Note*

The Company's wholly-owned Italian subsidiary, Snoline S.P.A. (Snoline) had an unsecured \$13.2 million seven-year Term Note and Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. that was effective on December 27, 2006 (the Snoline Term Note). Borrowings under the Snoline Term Note were guaranteed by the Company and had interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 50 basis points. In connection with the Snoline Term Note, the Company entered into a cross currency swap transaction obligating the Company to make quarterly payments of 0.4 million Euros per quarter over the same seven-year period as the Snoline Term Note and to receive payments of \$0.5 million per quarter over a seven year period commencing March 27, 2007. This was approximately equivalent to converting the \$13.2 million seven-year Snoline Term Note into a 10.0 million Euro seven-year note at a fixed rate of 4.7% as described in Note 8, *Financial Derivatives*. On May 17, 2010, the Company repaid the \$7.1 million outstanding balance on the Snoline Term Note in its entirety.

Revolving Credit Agreement

The Company has an unsecured \$30.0 million Revolving Credit Note and Credit Agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (the Revolving Credit Agreement). The Company entered into the First Amendment to the Revolving Credit Agreement, effective January 23, 2010 in order to extend the Revolving Credit Agreement's termination date from January 23, 2010 to January 23, 2012. The Revolving Credit Agreement, as amended, is hereinafter referred to as the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement . The borrowings from the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement will primarily be used for working capital purposes and funding acquisitions. At November 30, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2010, there was no outstanding balance on the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement.

Borrowings under the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 120 basis points, subject to adjustment as set forth in the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement. Interest is paid on a monthly to quarterly basis depending on loan type. The Company also pays an annual commitment fee of 0.25% on the unused portion of the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement. Unpaid principal and interest is due by January 23, 2012, which is the termination date of the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement.

The BSI Term Note and the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement (collectively, the Notes) each contain the same covenants, including certain covenants relating to the Company's financial condition. These include maintaining a funded debt to EBITDA ratio, a fixed charge coverage ratio, and a current ratio (all as defined in the Notes) at specified levels. In connection with entering into the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement during the second quarter of fiscal 2010, these covenants for each of the Notes were modified, at the lender's request, by adding a tangible net worth requirement to the already existing covenants. Upon the occurrence of any event of default of these covenants specified in the Notes, including a change in control of the Company (as defined in the Notes), all amounts due thereunder may be declared to be immediately due and payable. At November 30, 2010, the Company was in compliance with these financial covenants.

Outstanding long-term debt consists of the following:

| \$ in thousands | November 30, 2010 | November 30, 2009 | August 31, 2010 |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| BSI Term Note | \$ 11,786 | \$ 16,072 | \$ 12,857 |
| Snoline Term Note | \$ | 8,011 | |
| Less current portion | \$ (4,286) | (6,171) | (4,286) |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 7,500 | \$ 17,912 | \$ 8,571 |

Interest expense was \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million for the three months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Principal payments due on long-term debt are as follows:

Due within:

| | |
|---------|-----------|
| 1 year | \$ 4,286 |
| 2 years | 4,286 |
| 3 years | 3,214 |
| | \$ 11,786 |

Table of Contents**(8) Financial Derivatives**

The Company uses certain financial derivatives to mitigate its exposure to volatility in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company uses these derivative instruments to hedge exposures in the ordinary course of business and does not invest in derivative instruments for speculative purposes. Each derivative is designated as a cash flow hedge, a hedge of a net investment, or remains undesignated. The Company records the fair value of these derivative instruments on the balance sheet. For those instruments that are designated as a cash flow hedge and meet certain documentary and analytical requirements to qualify for hedge accounting treatment, changes in the fair value for the effective portion are reported in other comprehensive income (OCI), net of related income tax effects, and are reclassified to the income statement when the effects of the item being hedged are recognized in the income statement. Changes in fair value of derivative instruments that qualify as hedges of a net investment in foreign operations are recorded as a component of accumulated currency translation adjustment in accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI), net of related income tax effects. Changes in the fair value of undesignated hedges are recognized currently in the income statement as other income (expense). All changes in derivative fair values due to ineffectiveness are recognized currently in income.

Financial derivatives consist of the following:

| Fair Values of Derivative Instruments | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Asset (Liability) Derivatives | | | | |
| | | November | November | August |
| \$ in thousands | Balance Sheet Location | 30, | 30, | 31, |
| | | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | Other current liabilities | \$ (27) | \$ | \$ (66) |
| Interest rate swap | Other current liabilities | (384) | (568) | (437) |
| | Other noncurrent | (392) | (721) | (484) |
| Interest rate swap | liabilities | | | |
| Cross currency swap | Other current liabilities | | (519) | |
| | Other noncurrent | | (1,071) | |
| Cross currency swap | liabilities | | | |
| Total derivatives designated as hedging instruments | | \$ (803) | \$ (2,879) | \$ (987) |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments: | | | | |
| Foreign currency option contract | Other current assets | \$ 7 | \$ | \$ 16 |
| Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | | \$ 7 | \$ | \$ 16 |

In addition, accumulated other comprehensive income included (gains) losses, net of related income tax effects of (\$0.6) million, \$0.7 million and \$(1.0) million at November 30, 2010 and 2009, and August 31, 2010, respectively, related to derivative contracts designated as hedging instruments.

Cash Flow Hedging Relationships

In order to reduce interest rate risk on the BSI Term Note, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. that is designed to convert the variable interest rate on the entire amount of this borrowing to a fixed rate of 6.05% per annum. Under the terms of the interest rate swap, the Company receives

variable interest rate payments and makes fixed interest rate payments on an amount equal to the outstanding balance of the BSI Term Note, thereby creating the equivalent of fixed-rate debt (see Note 7, *Credit Arrangements*). Changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap designated as a hedging instrument that effectively offset the variability of cash flows associated with variable-rate, long-term debt obligations are reported in AOCI, net of related income tax effects.

Similarly, the Company entered into a cross currency swap transaction with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. fixing the conversion rate of Euro to U.S. dollars for the Snoline Term Note at 1.3195 and obligating the Company to make quarterly payments of 0.4 million Euros per quarter over the same seven-year period as the Snoline Term Note and to receive payments of \$0.5 million per quarter. In addition, the variable interest rate was converted to a fixed rate of 4.7%. This was approximately equivalent to converting the \$13.2 million seven-year Snoline Term Note into a 10.0 million Euro seven-year term note at a fixed rate of 4.7%. Under the terms of the cross currency swap, the Company received variable interest rate payments and made fixed interest rate payments, thereby creating the equivalent of fixed-rate debt (see Note 7, *Credit Arrangements*). Changes in the fair value of the cross currency swap designated as a hedging instrument that effectively offset the hedged risks were reported in AOCI, net of related income tax effects. On May 17, 2010, in conjunction with repaying the Snoline Term Note, the Company exited the cross currency swap transaction with a zero fair value.

Table of Contents

In order to reduce exposures related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the Company, at times, may enter into forward exchange or option contracts for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency for certain of its operations. This activity primarily relates to economically hedging against foreign currency risk in purchasing inventory, sales of finished goods, and future settlement of foreign denominated assets and liabilities. Changes in the fair value of the forward exchange contracts or option contracts designated as hedging instruments that effectively offset the hedged risks are reported in AOCI, net of related income tax effects. The Company had forward exchange contracts and option contracts with cash flow hedging relationships totaling less than \$0.1 million included in other current liabilities at November 30, 2010 and August 31, 2010. The Company had no forward exchange contracts or option contracts with cash flow hedging relationships outstanding at November 30, 2009.

| \$ in thousands | Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives Three months ended November 30, | |
|------------------------------------|--|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest rate swap | \$ 84 | \$ 64 |
| Cross currency swap | | (231) |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | 18 | |
| Total ¹ | \$ 102 | \$ (167) |

(1) Net of tax (benefit) expense of \$61 and (\$50) for the three months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

| \$ in thousands | Location of Loss Reclassified from AOCI into Income | Amount of (Loss) Reclassified from AOCI into Income Three months ended November 30, | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|----------|
| | | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest rate swap | Interest Expense | \$ (160) | \$ (236) |
| Cross currency swap | Interest Expense | | (142) |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | Revenue | (39) | |
| | | \$ (199) | \$ (378) |

| \$ in thousands | Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income (Ineffectiveness) | Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives (Ineffectiveness) Three months ended November 30, | |
|--------------------|--|--|---------|
| | | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest rate swap | Other income (expense) | \$ 11 | \$ (57) |

| | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------|----|----|----|------|
| Cross currency swap | Other income (expense) | | | | |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | Other income (expense) | | | | |
| | | \$ | 11 | \$ | (57) |

Table of Contents*Net Investment Hedging Relationships*

In order to reduce translation exposure resulting from translating the financial statements of its international subsidiaries into U.S. dollars, the Company, at times, utilizes Euro foreign currency forward contracts to hedge its Euro net investment exposure in its foreign operations. These foreign currency forward contracts qualify as a hedge of net investments in foreign operations. Changes in fair value of the net investment hedge contracts are reported in OCI as part of the currency translation adjustment, net of tax.

| | Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI related to Derivatives Three months ended November 30, 2010 2009 | |
|---|--|---------------|
| Foreign currency forward contracts ¹ | \$ | (430) \$ |

⁽¹⁾ Net of tax benefit of \$263 at November 30, 2010

At November 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company had no outstanding Euro foreign currency forward contracts with net investment hedging relationships. At August 31, 2010, the Company had one outstanding Euro foreign currency forward contract to sell 5.0 million Euro on November 24, 2010 at a fixed price of \$1.2581 USD per Euro. During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company settled a Euro foreign currency forward contract resulting in after-tax net losses of \$0.4 million which was included in OCI as part of a currency translation adjustment. There were no amounts recognized in OCI for the three months ended November 30, 2009. For the three months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, there were no amounts recorded in the consolidated statement of operations related to ineffectiveness of Euro foreign currency forward contracts. Accumulated currency translation adjustment in AOCI at November 30, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2010 reflected after-tax realized gains of \$1.1 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.6 million, net of related income tax effects of \$0.7 million, \$0.8 million and \$0.9 million, respectively, related to settled foreign currency forward contracts.

Subsequent to November 30, 2010, the Company entered into a Euro foreign currency forward contract to sell 5.0 million Euro on March 28, 2011 at a fixed price of \$1.3091 USD per Euro. This contract has been designated as a net investment hedge.

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments

In order to reduce exposures related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the Company, at times, may enter into forward exchange or option contracts for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency for certain of the Company's operations. This activity primarily relates to economically hedging against foreign currency risk in purchasing inventory, sales of finished goods, and future settlement of foreign denominated assets and liabilities. The Company may choose whether or not to designate these contracts as hedges. For those contracts not designated, changes in fair value are recognized currently in the income statement. At November 30, 2010, the Company had one option contract outstanding that was not designated as a hedging instrument. At November 30, 2009, the Company had no undesignated hedges outstanding.

| \$ in thousands | Location of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income | Amount Gain/(Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives Three months ended November 30, 2010 2009 | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|-------------|
| Foreign currency forward contracts | Operating revenues | \$ | (9) \$ |

Table of Contents**(9) Fair Value Measurements**

The Company follows the Financial Accounting Standards Board's guidance on fair value measurements which establishes the fair value hierarchy that prioritizes inputs to valuation techniques based on observable and unobservable data and categorizes the inputs into three levels, with the highest priority given to Level 1 and the lowest priority given to Level 3. The levels are described below.

Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Significant observable pricing inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date. Essentially, this represents inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Generally unobservable inputs, which are developed based on the best information available and may include the Company's own internal data.

The following table presents the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value based upon the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall, as of November 30, 2010:

| \$ in thousands | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 80,535 | \$ | \$ | \$ 80,535 |
| Derivative Assets | | 7 | | 7 |
| Derivative Liabilities | | (803) | | (803) |

The following table presents the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value based upon the level within the fair value hierarchy in which the fair value measurements fall, as of November 30, 2009:

| \$ in thousands | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 91,750 | \$ | \$ | \$ 91,750 |
| Derivative Assets | | | | |
| Derivative Liabilities | | (2,879) | | (2,879) |

The carrying amount of long-term debt (including current portion) was \$11.8 million as of November 30, 2010. The fair value of this debt at November 30, 2010 was estimated at \$11.5 million. Fair value of long-term debt (including current portion) is estimated by discounting the future estimated cash flows of each instrument at current market interest rates for similar debt instruments of comparable maturities and credit quality.

The Company also measures the fair value of certain assets on a non-recurring basis, generally quarterly, annually, or when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. These assets include fixed assets, goodwill, and other intangible assets. There were no required fair value adjustments for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis for the three months ended November 30, 2010 or 2009.

(10) Commitments and Contingencies

In 1992, the Company entered into a consent decree with the Environmental Protection Agency of the United States Government (the EPA) in which the Company committed to remediate environmental contamination of the groundwater that was discovered in 1982 through 1990 at and adjacent to its Lindsay, Nebraska facility (the site). The site was added to the EPA's list of priority superfund sites in 1989. Between 1993 and 1995, remediation plans for the site were approved by the EPA and fully implemented by the Company. Since 1998, the primary remaining contamination at the site has been the presence of volatile organic chemicals in the groundwater. The current remediation process consists of drilling wells into the aquifer and pumping water to the surface to allow these contaminants to be removed by aeration. In 2008, the Company and the EPA conducted a periodic five-year review of the status of the remediation of the contamination of the site. In response to the review, the Company and its environmental consultants have developed a remedial action work plan that will allow the Company and the EPA to better identify the boundaries of the contaminated groundwater and determine whether the contaminated groundwater is being contained by current and planned remediation methods. The Company accrues the anticipated cost of

remediation where the obligation is probable and can be reasonably estimated. During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company accrued incremental costs of \$0.7 million for additional environmental monitoring and remediation in connection with the current ongoing remedial action work plan. Amounts accrued in balance sheet liabilities related to the remediation actions were \$1.3 million, \$1.1 million and \$0.9 million at November 30, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2010, respectively. Although the Company has accrued all reasonably estimable costs of completing the actions defined in the current ongoing work plan agreed to between the Company and the EPA, it is possible that additional testing and additional environmental monitoring and remediation may be required in the future as part of the ongoing development and implementation of the EPA work plan, which could result in the recognition of additional related expenses.

Table of Contents**(11) Retirement Plan**

The Company has a supplemental non-qualified, unfunded retirement plan for six former employees. Plan benefits are based on the participant's average total compensation during the three highest compensation years of employment during the ten years immediately preceding the participant's retirement or termination. This unfunded supplemental retirement plan is not subject to the minimum funding requirements of ERISA. The Company has purchased life insurance policies on four of the participants named in this supplemental retirement plan to provide partial funding for this liability. Components of net periodic benefit cost for the Company's supplemental retirement plan include:

| \$ in thousands | Three months ended November 30, | |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net periodic benefit cost: | | |
| Interest cost | 84 | 88 |
| Net amortization and deferral | 41 | 45 |
| Total net periodic benefit cost | \$ 125 | \$ 133 |

(12) Warranties

The Company generally warrants its products against certain manufacturing and other defects. These product warranties are provided for specific periods and/or usage of the product. The accrued product warranty costs are for a combination of specifically identified items and other incurred, but not identified, items based primarily on historical experience of actual warranty claims. This reserve is classified within other current liabilities. The following tables provide the changes in the Company's product warranties:

| \$ in thousands | Three months ended November 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Warranties: | | |
| Product warranty accrual balance, beginning of period | \$ 1,862 | \$ 1,736 |
| Liabilities accrued for warranties during the period | 842 | 747 |
| Warranty claims paid during the period | (908) | (1,006) |
| Product warranty accrual balance, end of period | \$ 1,796 | \$ 1,477 |

(13) Industry Segment Information

The Company manages its business activities in two reportable segments:

Irrigation: This segment includes the manufacture and marketing of center pivot, lateral move, and hose reel irrigation systems as well as various water pumping stations and controls. The irrigation segment consists of seven operating segments that have similar economic characteristics and meet the aggregation criteria, including similar products, production processes, type or class of customer and methods for distribution.

Infrastructure: This segment includes the manufacture and marketing of moveable barriers, specialty barriers and crash cushions, providing outsource manufacturing services and the manufacturing and selling of large diameter steel tubing and railroad signals and structures. The infrastructure segment consists of three operating segments that have similar economic characteristics and meet the aggregation criteria.

The accounting policies of the two reportable segments are described in the Accounting Policies section of Note A to the consolidated financial statements contained in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2010. The Company evaluates the performance of its reportable segments based on segment sales, gross profit, and operating income, with operating income for segment purposes excluding unallocated corporate general and administrative expenses, interest income, interest expense, other income and expenses, and income taxes. Operating

income for segment purposes does include general and administrative expenses, selling expenses, engineering and research expenses and other overhead charges directly attributable to the segment. There are no inter-segment sales. Certain segment reporting prescribed by current accounting standards is not shown as this information cannot be reasonably disaggregated by segment and is not utilized by the Company's management.

Table of Contents

The Company had no single customer representing 10% or more of its total revenues during the three months ended November 30, 2010. For the three months ended November 30, 2009, more than 10% of the total revenues generated by the Company were realized from the Mexico City barrier project.

Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments is shown in the following table:

| \$ in thousands | Three months ended | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| | November 30, | | |
| | 2010 | | 2009 |
| Operating revenues: | | | |
| Irrigation | \$ 60,009 | \$ | 53,266 |
| Infrastructure | 29,157 | | 32,704 |
| Total operating revenues | \$ 89,166 | \$ | 85,970 |
| Operating income: | | | |
| Irrigation | \$ 5,901 | \$ | 6,744 |
| Infrastructure | 4,025 | | 7,685 |
| Segment operating income | 9,926 | | 14,429 |
| Unallocated general and administrative expenses | (3,316) | | (3,268) |
| Interest and other income, net | (33) | | (233) |
| Earnings before income taxes | \$ 6,577 | \$ | 10,928 |
| Total Capital Expenditures: | | | |
| Irrigation | \$ 856 | \$ | 521 |
| Infrastructure | 628 | | 915 |
| | \$ 1,484 | \$ | 1,436 |
| Total Depreciation and Amortization: | | | |
| Irrigation | \$ 1,352 | \$ | 1,109 |
| Infrastructure | 1,574 | | 1,572 |
| | \$ 2,926 | \$ | 2,681 |
| | | | |
| | November 30, 2010 | November 30, 2009 | August 31, 2010 |
| Total Assets: | | | |
| Irrigation | \$ 214,148 | \$ 203,489 | \$ 206,885 |
| Infrastructure | 111,618 | 116,847 | 118,596 |
| | \$ 325,766 | \$ 320,336 | \$ 325,481 |

(14) Share Based Compensation

The Company measures and recognizes compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors based on estimated fair values. The Company's current share-based compensation plan, approved by the stockholders of the Company, provides for awards of stock options, restricted shares, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights, performance shares and performance stock units to employees and non-employee directors of the Company. In connection with the restricted stock units and performance stock units, the Company is accruing compensation expense based on the estimated number of shares expected to be issued utilizing the most current information available to the Company at the date of the financial statements. Share-based compensation expense was \$0.9 million and \$0.6 million for the three months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company granted 25,022 restricted stock units and 27,932 performance stock units at a grant date price of \$56.46. The restricted stock units vest over a three-year period at approximately 33% per year. The performance stock units vest contingent upon meeting various performance goals. The performance goals are based upon a three-year revenue growth and a three-year average return on net assets over the performance period. The awards actually earned may range from zero to two hundred percent of the targeted number of performance stock units and will be paid in shares of common stock. Shares earned will be distributed upon vesting on the first day of November following the end of the three-year performance period. The Company is accruing compensation expense based on the estimated number of shares expected to be issued utilizing the most current information available to the Company at the date of the financial statements. If defined performance goals are not met, no compensation cost will be recognized and any previously recognized compensation expense will be reversed.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2 *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*
Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains not only historical information, but also forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Statements that are not historical are forward-looking and reflect expectations for future Company conditions or performance. In addition, forward-looking statements may be made orally or in press releases, conferences, reports, on the Company's worldwide web site, or otherwise, in the future by or on behalf of the Company. When used by or on behalf of the Company, the words expect, anticipate, estimate, believe, intend, will, and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. The entire section entitled Market Conditions and Fiscal 2011 Outlook should be considered forward-looking statements. For these statements, the Company claims the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Forward-looking statements involve a number of risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to those discussed in the Risk Factors section in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended August 31, 2010. Readers should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statement and should recognize that the statements are predictions of future results or conditions, which may not occur as anticipated. Actual results or conditions could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements and from historical results, due to the risks and uncertainties described herein, as well as others not now anticipated. The risks and uncertainties described herein are not exclusive and further information concerning the Company and its businesses, including factors that potentially could materially affect the Company's financial results, may emerge from time to time. Except as required by law, the Company assumes no obligation to update forward-looking statements to reflect actual results or changes in factors or assumptions affecting such forward-looking statements.

Accounting Policies

In preparing the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, management must make a variety of decisions which impact the reported amounts and the related disclosures. These decisions include the selection of the appropriate accounting principles to be applied and the assumptions on which to base accounting estimates. In making these decisions, management applies its judgment based on its understanding and analysis of the relevant circumstances and the Company's historical experience.

The Company's accounting policies that are most important to the presentation of its results of operations and financial condition, and which require the greatest use of judgments and estimates by management, are designated as its critical accounting policies. See further discussion of the Company's critical accounting policies under Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the Company's year ended August 31, 2010. Management periodically re-evaluates and adjusts its critical accounting policies as circumstances change. There were no changes in the Company's critical accounting policies during the three months ended November 30, 2010.

Overview

Lindsay Corporation (Lindsay or the Company) is a leading designer and manufacturer of self-propelled center pivot and lateral move irrigation systems that are used principally in the agricultural industry to increase or stabilize crop production while conserving water, energy, and labor. The Company has been in continuous operation since 1955 and is one of the pioneers in the automated irrigation industry. In 2008, the Company entered the market for water pumping stations and controls which provides further opportunities for integration with irrigation control systems. The Company also manufactures and markets various infrastructure products, including moveable barriers for traffic lane management, crash cushions, road marking and other road safety devices. In addition, the Company's infrastructure segment produces large diameter steel tubing and railroad signals and structures, and provides outsourced manufacturing and production services for other companies. Industry segment information about Lindsay is included in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements.

Lindsay, a Delaware corporation, maintains its corporate offices in Omaha, Nebraska, USA. The Company's principal irrigation manufacturing facility is located in Lindsay, Nebraska, USA. The Company also has international sales and irrigation production facilities in France, Brazil, South Africa and China which provide it with important bases of operations in key international markets. Lindsay Europe SAS, located in La Chapelle, France, manufactures and

markets irrigation equipment for the European market. Lindsay America do Sul Ltda., located in Mogi Mirim, Brazil, manufactures and markets irrigation equipment for the South American market. Lindsay Manufacturing Africa, (PTY) Ltd., located in Paarl, South Africa, manufactures and markets irrigation equipment for the sub-Saharan Africa market. Lindsay (Tianjin) Industry Co., Ltd., located in Tianjin, China, manufactures and markets irrigation equipment for the Chinese market.

Table of Contents

Watertronics, located in Hartland, Wisconsin, designs, manufactures, and services water pumping stations and controls for the agriculture, golf, landscape and municipal markets.

Lindsay has three additional irrigation operating subsidiaries. Irrigation Specialists, Inc. (Irrigation Specialists) is a retail irrigation dealership based in Washington State that operates at three locations. Irrigation Specialists provides a strategic distribution channel in a key regional irrigation market. Lindsay Transportation, Inc. (LTI), located in Lindsay, Nebraska, primarily brokers delivery of irrigation equipment in the U.S. Digitec, Inc. (Digitec), located in Milford, Nebraska and Sioux Falls, South Dakota is an electronics research, development and manufacturing company. Digitec has been in business since 1987 and was acquired by the Company in August 2010.

Barrier Systems, Inc. (BSI), located in Vacaville, California, manufactures moveable barrier products, specialty barriers and crash cushions.

Snoline S.P.A. (Snoline), located in Milan, Italy, is engaged in the design, manufacture and sale of road marking and safety equipment for use on roadways.

Results of Operations**For the Three Months ended November 30, 2010 compared to the Three Months ended November 30, 2009**

The following section presents an analysis of the Company's operating results displayed in the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009. It should be read together with the industry segment information in Note 13 to the condensed consolidated financial statements:

| \$ in thousands | Three months ended November 30, | | Percent Increase (Decrease) |
|---------------------------------|--|-------------|--|
| | 2010 | 2009 | |
| Consolidated | | | |
| Operating revenues | \$ 89,166 | \$ 85,970 | 3.7% |
| Cost of operating revenues | \$ 64,943 | \$ 60,166 | 7.9% |
| Gross profit | \$ 24,223 | \$ 25,804 | (6.1)% |
| Gross margin | 27.2% | 30.0% | |
| Operating expenses (1) | \$ 17,613 | \$ 14,643 | 20.3% |
| Operating income | \$ 6,610 | \$ 11,161 | (40.8)% |
| Operating margin | 7.4% | 13.0% | |
| Interest expense | \$ (186) | \$ (461) | (59.7)% |
| Interest income | \$ 42 | \$ 83 | (49.4)% |
| Other income (expense), net | \$ 111 | \$ 145 | (23.4)% |
| Income tax provision | \$ 2,291 | \$ 4,251 | (46.1)% |
| Effective income tax rate | 34.8% | 38.9% | |
| Net earnings | \$ 4,286 | \$ 6,677 | (35.8)% |
| Irrigation Equipment Segment | | | |
| Segment operating revenues | \$ 60,009 | \$ 53,266 | 12.7% |
| Segment operating income (2) | \$ 5,901 | \$ 6,744 | (12.5)% |
| Segment operating margin (2) | 9.8% | 12.7% | |
| Infrastructure Products Segment | | | |
| Segment operating revenues | \$ 29,157 | \$ 32,704 | (10.8)% |
| Segment operating income (2) | \$ 4,025 | \$ 7,685 | (47.6)% |
| Segment operating margin (2) | 13.8% | 23.5% | |

(1) Includes \$3.3 million of unallocated general and administrative expenses for the each of the three months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(2) Excludes unallocated general and administrative expenses.

Table of Contents

Revenues

Operating revenues for the three months ended November 30, 2010 increased by \$3.2 million or 4% compared to the same prior year period. This increase is attributable to a 13% increase in irrigation equipment revenues partially offset by an 11% decrease in infrastructure product revenues.

U.S. irrigation equipment revenues for the three months ended November 30, 2010 of \$36.6 million increased \$4.3 million or 14% compared to the same prior year period. The increase in U.S. irrigation revenues is primarily due to an increase in the number of irrigation systems sold compared to the prior year's first fiscal quarter. Agricultural commodity prices remained strong with corn increasing 60%, soybeans increasing 25% and wheat up over 35% compared to the same time last year. The November update to the USDA projections for 2010 Net Farm Income indicates a 31% increase over 2009 and projects it to be the third highest on record. That, coupled with the rise in commodity prices has created positive economic conditions for U.S. farmers, enhancing their investment perspective. International irrigation equipment revenues for the three months ended November 30, 2010 of \$23.4 million increased \$2.4 million or 11% compared to the same prior year period. The increase in revenue was primarily due to higher export volume to the Latin America, Australia and New Zealand markets along with increased revenue from the Company's irrigation business units in China and Brazil.

Infrastructure products segment revenues for the three months ended November 30, 2010 of \$29.2 million decreased \$3.5 million or 11% from the same prior year period. The prior year first fiscal quarter included approximately \$16.0 million of the \$20.0 million Mexico City barrier project, which was completed in the second fiscal quarter of that year. During the first fiscal quarter of 2011, the Company completed, shipped and recognized in revenue approximately one-half of a QuickChange Moveable Barrier® (QMB®) project on the east coast of the U.S., worth approximately \$15.0 million in total. The Company expects to ship the remainder of the project in the second fiscal quarter.

Gross Margin

Gross profit was \$24.2 million for the three months ended November 30, 2010 a decrease of \$1.6 million compared to the three months ended November 30, 2009. Gross margin was 27.2% for the three months ended November 30, 2010 compared to 30.0% for the same prior year period. The decrease in gross margin was primarily due to decreased revenues of higher margin QMB® product. Irrigation gross margins were essentially flat as compared to the same prior year period.

Operating Expenses

The Company's operating expenses of \$17.6 million for the three months ended November 30, 2010 were \$3.0 million higher compared the same prior year period. The increase in operating expenses included \$0.7 million of incremental expenses for additional environmental monitoring and remediation as part of ongoing development and implementation of the EPA work plan at our Lindsay, Nebraska facility. In addition, higher product development expenses, higher personnel related costs, sales commissions for QMB® projects and incremental expenses from Digitec Inc. which was acquired in August 2010, also contributed to this increase. The aggregate impact of these items resulted in additional expense of \$1.8 million. The increased operating expenses in the quarter primarily reflect growth initiatives for both business segments.

Interest, Other Income (Expense), net

Interest expense for the three months ended November 30, 2010 decreased by \$0.3 million compared to the same prior year period. The decrease in interest expense is primarily due to principal reductions on the Company's outstanding term notes.

Interest income and other income (expense), net for the three months ended November 30, 2010 were essentially flat compared to the same prior year period.

Income Taxes

The Company recorded income tax expense of \$2.3 million and \$4.3 million for the three months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The effective tax rate used to calculate income tax expense before discrete items was 34.8% and 35.1% for the three months ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. For the three months ended November 30, 2010, the Company recorded no discrete items. For the three months ended November 30, 2009, the Company recorded a discrete item of \$0.4 million additional expense relating to a tax ruling

impacting the Company's French subsidiary.

Net Earnings

Net earnings were \$4.3 million or \$0.34 per diluted share for the three months ended November 30, 2010 compared with \$6.7 million or \$0.53 per diluted share for the same prior year period.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company requires cash for financing its receivables and inventories, paying operating costs and capital expenditures, and for dividends. The Company meets its liquidity needs and finances its capital expenditures from its available cash and funds provided by operations along with borrowings under three credit arrangements that are described below. The Company believes that these resources are sufficient to meet its reasonably foreseeable cash requirements.

Table of Contents

The Company's cash and cash equivalents totaled \$80.5 million at November 30, 2010 compared with \$91.8 million at November 30, 2009 and \$83.4 million at August 31, 2010.

The Company currently maintains a bank line of credit with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. and another with Societe Generale to provide additional working capital or to fund acquisitions, if needed. The Company has an unsecured \$30.0 million Revolving Credit Note and Credit Agreement, as amended, with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (the "Amended Revolving Credit Agreement") which has a termination date of January 23, 2012. As of November 30, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2010, there were no outstanding balances on the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement.

Borrowings under the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 120 basis points, subject to adjustment as set forth in the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement. Interest is repaid on a monthly or quarterly basis depending on loan type. The Company also pays an annual commitment fee of 0.25% on the unused portion of the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement. Unpaid principal and interest is due by January 23, 2012, which is the termination date of the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement.

The Company's wholly-owned European subsidiary, Lindsay Europe, has an unsecured revolving line of credit with Societe Generale, a European commercial bank, under which it could borrow up to 2.3 million Euros, which equates to approximately \$3.0 million as of November 30, 2010, for working capital purposes (the "Euro Line of Credit"). At November 30, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2010, there were no borrowings outstanding under the Euro Line of Credit. Under the terms of the Euro Line of Credit, borrowings, if any, bear interest at a floating rate in effect from time to time designated by the commercial bank as the Euro Interbank Offered Rate plus 150 basis points (all inclusive, 2.1% at November 30, 2010). Unpaid principal and interest is due by January 31, 2011, which is the termination date of the Euro Line of Credit. The Company's management expects to obtain a similar line of credit prior to termination.

The Company entered into an unsecured \$30.0 million Term Note and Credit Agreement, each effective as of June 1, 2006, with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. (collectively, the "BSI Term Note") to partially finance the acquisition of BSI. Borrowings under the BSI Term Note bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR plus 50 basis points. However, this variable interest rate has been converted to a fixed rate of 6.05% through an interest rate swap agreement with the lender. Principal is repaid quarterly in equal payments of \$1.1 million over a seven-year period that commenced in September, 2006. The BSI Term Note is due in June of 2013.

The BSI Term Note and the Amended Revolving Credit Agreement (collectively, the "Notes") each contain the same covenants, including certain covenants relating to Lindsay's financial condition. These include maintaining a funded debt to EBITDA ratio, a fixed charge coverage ratio, a current ratio and a tangible net worth requirement (all as defined in the Notes) at specified levels. Upon the occurrence of any event of default of these covenants specified in the Notes, including a change in control of the Company (as defined in the Notes), all amounts due under the Notes may be declared to be immediately due and payable. At November 30, 2010, the Company was in compliance with all loan covenants.

Cash flows provided by operations totaled \$2.2 million during the three months ended November 30, 2010 compared to \$9.5 million provided by operations during the same prior year period. Cash provided by operations decreased \$7.4 million primarily due to an increase in cash used for working capital items and a decrease in net earnings.

Cash flows used in investing activities totaled \$3.5 million during the three months ended November 30, 2010 compared to cash flows used in investing activities of \$1.5 million during the same prior year period. The increase in the cash used was primarily due to the acquisition the assets of WMC Technology Limited in November 2010 and the settlement of a net investment hedge.

Cash flows used in financing activities totaled \$1.7 million during the three months ended November 30, 2010 compared to cash flows used in financing activities of \$2.7 million during the same prior year period. The decrease in cash used in financing activities was primarily due to a decrease of \$0.5 million of principal payments on long-term debt and a decrease of \$0.3 million upon issuance of common stock under stock compensation plans.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

There have been no material changes in the Company's contractual obligations and commercial commitments as described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2010.

Market Conditions and Fiscal 2011 Outlook

Agricultural commodity prices have increased compared to the same time last year, with corn increasing 60%, soybeans increasing 25% and wheat up over 35%. The November 2010 update for USDA projections for 2010 Net Farm Income indicates a 31% increase compared to 2009 estimates, which puts the projected 2010 Net Farm Income as the third highest on record. That, coupled with the rise in commodity prices has created positive economic conditions for U.S. farmers, enhancing their investment perspective. Management is optimistic that the improved farmer sentiment along with the currently strong order flow will result in improved year over year irrigation equipment revenues.

In the infrastructure segment the Company continues to experience strong interest in its QMB® systems which provide a cost effective method for safely managing traffic congestion. For planning purposes, the Company maintains a list of potential projects in the moveable barrier product line for traffic mitigation that reflects solid interest. However, the outlook for infrastructure spending remains uncertain with a multi-year highway bill not expected until sometime in 2011 and global governmental budget constraints.

Table of Contents

As of November 30, 2010, the Company had an order backlog of \$59.7 million compared with \$36.1 million at November 30, 2009 and \$38.4 million at August 31, 2010. While the first fiscal quarter is typically not indicative of the irrigation equipment demand for the forthcoming selling season, the November 30, 2010 backlog represents a record first quarter backlog, in total and for irrigation. The infrastructure backlog is also higher compared to the same time last year. The Company's backlog can fluctuate from period to period due to the seasonality, cyclicity, timing and execution of contracts. Typically, the Company's backlog at any point in time usually represents only a portion of the revenue it expects to realize during the following three month period.

In the long term, the global drivers of increasing food production, improving water-use efficiency, expanding bio-fuel production, expanding interest in reducing environmental impacts and improving transportation infrastructure, continue to be positive drivers of demand for the Company's products. The Company's strong balance sheet has well-positioned the Company to invest in growth initiatives both organically and through acquisitions.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-13 (ASU 2009-13), which addresses the accounting for multiple-deliverable arrangements to enable vendors to account for products or services (deliverables) separately rather than as a combined unit. ASU 2009-13 is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company uses certain financial derivatives to mitigate its exposure to volatility in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company uses these derivative instruments to hedge exposures in the ordinary course of business and does not invest in derivative instruments for speculative purposes. The credit risk under these interest rate and foreign currency agreements is not considered to be significant.

The Company has its primary manufacturing operations in the United States, France, Brazil, Italy, South Africa and China. The Company has sold products throughout the world and purchases certain of its components from third-party international suppliers. Export sales made from the United States are principally U.S. dollar denominated. At times, export sales may be denominated in a currency other than the U.S. dollar. A majority of the Company's revenue generated from operations outside the United States is denominated in local currency. Accordingly, these sales are not typically subject to significant foreign currency transaction risk. The Company's most significant transactional foreign currency exposures are the Euro, the Brazilian real, the South African rand and the Chinese renminbi in relation to the U.S. dollar. Fluctuations in the value of foreign currencies create exposures, which can adversely affect the Company's results of operations.

In order to reduce exposures related to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the Company, at times, may enter into forward exchange or option contracts for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency for certain of our operations. This activity primarily relates to economically hedging against foreign currency risk in purchasing inventory, sales of finished goods, and future settlement of foreign denominated assets and liabilities. At November 30, 2010, the Company had outstanding forward exchange contracts with cash flow hedging relationships totaling less than \$0.1 million included in other current liabilities.

In order to reduce translation exposure resulting from translating the financial statements of its international subsidiaries into U.S. dollars, the Company, at times, utilizes Euro foreign currency forward contracts to hedge its Euro net investment exposure in its foreign operations. During the three months ended November 30, 2010, the Company terminated its one outstanding Euro foreign currency forward contract resulting in an after-tax net loss of \$0.5 million which was recognized in other comprehensive income as part of the currency translation adjustment, net of tax. The Company's foreign currency forward contract qualified as a hedge of net investments in foreign operations. In order to reduce interest rate risk on the \$30 million BSI Term Note, the Company has entered into an interest rate swap agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. that is designed to convert the variable interest rate on the entire amount of this borrowing to a fixed rate of 6.05% per annum. Under the terms of the interest rate swap, the Company receives variable interest rate payments and makes fixed interest rate payments on an amount equal to the outstanding balance of the BSI Term Note, thereby creating the equivalent of fixed-rate debt.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4 *Controls and Procedures*

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation under the supervision and the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, the CEO and CFO concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of November 30, 2010.

Additionally, the CEO and CFO determined that there has not been any change to the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Part II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 *Legal Proceedings*

In the ordinary course of its business operations, the Company is involved, from time to time, in commercial litigation, employment disputes, administrative proceedings, and other legal proceedings. None of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, is expected to have a material effect on the business or financial condition of the Company.

Environmental Matters

In 1992, the Company entered into a consent decree with the Environmental Protection Agency of the United States Government (the "EPA") in which the Company committed to remediate environmental contamination of the groundwater that was discovered in 1982 through 1990 at and adjacent to its Lindsay, Nebraska facility (the "site"). The site was added to the EPA's list of priority superfund sites in 1989. Between 1993 and 1995, remediation plans for the site were approved by the EPA and fully implemented by the Company. Since 1998, the primary remaining contamination at the site has been the presence of volatile organic chemicals in the groundwater. The current remediation process consists of drilling wells into the aquifer and pumping water to the surface to allow these contaminants to be removed by aeration. In 2008, the Company and the EPA conducted a periodic five-year review of the status of the remediation of the contamination of the site. In response to the review, the Company and its environmental consultants have developed a remedial action work plan that will allow the Company and the EPA to better identify the boundaries of the contaminated groundwater and determine whether the contaminated groundwater is being contained by current and planned remediation methods. The Company accrues the anticipated cost of remediation when the obligation is probable and can be reasonably estimated. During the first quarter of fiscal 2011, the Company accrued incremental costs of \$0.7 million for additional environmental monitoring and remediation in connection with the current ongoing remedial action work plan. Amounts accrued in balance sheet liabilities related to the remediation actions were \$1.3 million, \$1.1 million and \$0.9 million at November 30, 2010 and 2009 and August 31, 2010, respectively. Although the Company has accrued all reasonably estimable costs of completing the actions defined in the current ongoing work plan agreed to between the Company and the EPA, it is possible that additional testing and additional environmental monitoring and remediation may be required in the future as part of the ongoing development and implementation of the EPA work plan, which could result in the recognition of additional related expenses.

ITEM 1A *Risk Factors*

There have been no material changes in our risk factors as described in our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2010.

ITEM 2 *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds*

The Company made no repurchases of its common stock under the Company's stock repurchase plan during the quarter ended November 30, 2010; therefore, tabular disclosure is not presented. From time to time, the Company's Board of Directors has authorized the Company to repurchase shares of the Company's common stock. Under this share repurchase plan, the Company has existing authorization to purchase, without further announcement, up to 881,139 shares of the Company's common stock in the open market or otherwise.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6 *Exhibits*

- 3.1 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 14, 2006.
- 3.2 Restated By-Laws of the Company, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 6, 2007.
- 4.1 Specimen Form of Common Stock Certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended November 30, 2006.
- 10.1* Lindsay Corporation Management Incentive Plan ("MIP"), 2011 Plan Year. **
- 31.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 31.2* Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

* - filed herein

** - certain confidential portions of this Exhibit were omitted by means of redacting a portion of the text. This Exhibit has been filed separately with the Secretary of the Commission with the redacted text pursuant to the Company's application requesting confidential treatment under Rule 24B-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on this 5th day of January 2011.

LINDSAY CORPORATION

By: /s/ DAVID B. DOWNING

Name: David B. Downing

Title: *Chief Financial Officer and
President International Operations*