

ABAXIS INC
Form 10-K
June 14, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

- ☒ **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010**
- ☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**Commission File Number 000-19720
ABAXIS, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California
*(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)*

3240 Whipple Road, Union City, California
(Address of principal executive offices)

77-0213001
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

94587
(Zip code)

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
(510) 675-6500**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, no par value	The NASDAQ Stock Market, Inc.

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Edgar Filing: ABAXIS INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☒ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of Abaxis as of September 30, 2009, the last business day of the second fiscal quarter, was \$281,668,000 based upon the closing sale price reported for such date on the NASDAQ Global Market. For purposes of this disclosure, 11,489,000 shares of common stock held by persons who hold more than 5% of the outstanding shares of registrant's common stock and shares held by executive officers and directors of the registrant have been excluded because such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily conclusive for any other purpose and exclusion of such shares should not be construed to indicate that any such person possesses the power, direct or indirect, to direct or cause the direction of the management or policies of the registrant or that such person is controlled by or under common control with the registrant.

As of June 9, 2010, there were 22,287,000 shares of the Registrant's common stock outstanding.

Abaxis, Inc.
Annual Report on Form 10-K
For The Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	3
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	14
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	26
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	26
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	27
<u>Item 4. Removed and Reserved</u>	27
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	27
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	29
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	30
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	52
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	54
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	83
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	83
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	85
<u>PART III</u>	
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	85
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	88
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	106
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	108
<u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	109
<u>PART IV</u>	
<u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	110
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	112
<u>Signatures</u>	115
<u>EX-10.23</u>	
<u>EX-10.24</u>	
<u>EX-10.25</u>	
<u>EX-10.26</u>	
<u>EX-21.1</u>	
<u>EX-23.1</u>	
<u>EX-31.1</u>	

EX-31.2

EX-32.1

EX-32.2

Table of Contents

PART I

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Sections 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended that reflect Abaxis' current view with respect to future events and financial performance. In this report, the words will, anticipates, believes, expects, intends, plans, future, projects, estimates, should, might, and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to those discussed below, that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical results or those anticipated. Such risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the market acceptance of our products and the continuing development of our products, regulatory clearance and approvals required by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and other government approvals, risks associated with manufacturing and distributing our products on a commercial scale, free of defects, risks related to the introduction of new instruments manufactured by third parties, risks associated with entering the human diagnostic market on a larger scale, risks related to the protection of Abaxis' intellectual property or claims of infringement of intellectual property asserted by third parties, risks involved in carrying of inventory, risks associated with the ability to attract, train and retain competent sales personnel, general market conditions and competition.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. Abaxis assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statements as circumstances change. Readers are advised to read this Annual Report on Form 10-K in its entirety, paying careful attention to the risk factors set forth in this and other reports or documents filed by Abaxis from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), particularly the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and any current reports on Form 8-K, copies of which may be obtained from Abaxis or from the SEC at its website at www.sec.gov.

Item 1. *Business*

GENERAL

Abaxis, Inc. (Abaxis, us or we) develops, manufactures, markets and sells portable blood analysis systems for use in the human or veterinary patient-care setting to provide clinicians with rapid blood constituent measurements. Abaxis was incorporated in California in 1989. Our principal offices are located at 3240 Whipple Road, Union City, California 94587. Our telephone number is (510) 675-6500 and our Internet address is www.abaxis.com. Our common stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol ABAX.

OUR INDUSTRY: IN VITRO DIAGNOSTIC TESTING

We believe that a key element of the patient-centered, cost-constrained health care system in the current year and beyond will be the availability of blood analysis systems in the patient care setting that are easily and reliably operated by caregivers and that provide accurate, real time results to enable rapid clinical decisions. The optimal system uses whole blood, has built-in calibration and quality control, provides quick turnaround time, is portable and is low cost. In addition, the optimal near-patient system should be easy to use by people with no special training and capable of transmitting test results instantly to caregivers and patient information management systems.

We have developed a blood analysis system incorporating all of these criteria into a 5.1 kilogram (11.2 pounds) portable analyzer and a series of menu-specific, multi-test single-use reagent discs. The system is essentially a compact portable laboratory that can be easily located near the patient. Each reagent disc is pre-configured with

multiple analytes and contains all the reagents necessary to perform a fixed menu of tests. Taking the system to the patient care site instead of shipping the sample to a central laboratory makes blood testing and analysis as easy as measuring the patient's blood pressure, temperature, and heart rate and eliminates the necessity of multiple visits to the doctor's office. Additional advantages of near-patient testing include increasing practice efficiencies and throughput, as well as eliminating errors from sample handling, transcription and transportation. We have adapted this blood analysis system in both the human medical and veterinary markets in order to bring the same advantages to all health care professionals and patients.

Table of Contents**ABAXIS PRODUCTS**

We manage our business in two operating segments, based on the products sold by market and customer group: (i) the medical market and (ii) the veterinary market. Revenues in the medical market accounted for 19%, 23% and 23% of our total revenues for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Revenues in the veterinary market accounted for 74%, 70% and 71% of our total revenues for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. See Note 15, Segment Reporting Information, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional financial information about our segments.

Point-of-Care Blood Chemistry Analyzer

Our primary product is a blood analysis system, consisting of a compact portable analyzer and a series of single-use plastic discs, called reagent discs, containing all the chemicals required to perform a panel of up to 14 tests on human patients and 13 tests on veterinary patients. The system can be operated with minimal training and performs multiple routine tests on whole blood, serum or plasma samples. The system provides test results in approximately 12 minutes with the precision and accuracy equivalent to a clinical laboratory analyzer. We manufacture the system in our manufacturing facility in Union City, California and we market our blood chemistry analyzers in both the medical market and in the veterinary market, as described below.

Medical Market: We currently market the blood analysis system in the medical market under the name Piccolo® Xpress. Through October 2006, we marketed the blood analysis system in the medical market as the Piccolo®, now referred to as the Piccolo Classic. We continue to support and service our current population of Piccolo Xpress and Piccolo Classic chemistry analyzers.

Veterinary Market: We currently market the blood analysis system in the veterinary market under the name VetScan VS2®. Through March 2006, we marketed the blood analysis system in the veterinary market as the VetScan®, now referred to as the VetScan Classic. We continue to support and service our current population of VetScan VS2 and VetScan Classic chemistry analyzers.

Reagent Discs

The reagent discs used with the blood chemistry analyzers are designed to handle almost all technical steps of blood chemistry testing automatically. The discs first separate a whole blood sample into plasma and blood cells, meter the required quantity of plasma and diluent, mix the plasma and diluent, and deliver the mixture to the reagent chambers, called cuvettes, along the disc perimeter. The diluted plasma dissolves and mixes with the reagent beads initiating the chemical reactions, which are monitored by the analyzer. The discs are 8-cm diameter, single-use devices constructed from three ultrasonically welded injection-molded plastic parts. The base and the middle piece create the chambers, cuvettes and passageways for processing the whole blood and mixing plasma with diluent and reagents. The top piece, referred to as the bar code ring, is imprinted with bar codes that contain disc-specific calibration information. In the center of the disc is a plastic diluent container sealed with polyethylene-laminated foil. Spherical lyophilized reagent beads are placed in the cuvettes during disc manufacturing. Upon completion of the analysis, used discs may be placed back into their foil pouches to minimize human contact with blood prior to proper disposal.

To perform a panel of tests, the operator collects a blood sample, then transfers the sample into the reagent disc. The operator places the disc into the analyzer drawer, and enters patient, physician, and operator information. The analyzer spins the disc to separate cells from plasma, meters and mixes plasma with diluent, distributes diluted plasma to the cuvettes, and monitors chemical reactions. In approximately 12 minutes, results are printed or can be transmitted to a patient data management system for inclusion in the patient's medical record. A computer port enables transmission of patient results to external computers for patient data management.

Table of Contents

We offer our blood analysis system with a total of 29 diagnostic tests. Our test methods are as follows:

Test Methods

Alanine aminotransferase
Albumin
Alkaline phosphatase
Amylase
Aspartate aminotransferase
Bile acids
C-reactive protein
Calcium
Canine heartworm antigen
Chloride
Creatine kinase
Creatinine
Direct bilirubin
Gamma glutamyltransferase
Glucose

ALT
ALB
ALP
AMY
AST
BA
CRP
CA
CHW
CL-
CK
CRE
DBIL
GGT
GLU

Test Methods

High-density lipoprotein cholesterol
Lactate dehydrogenase
Magnesium
Phosphorous
Potassium
Sodium
Thyroxine
Total bilirubin
Total carbon dioxide
Total cholesterol
Total protein
Triglycerides
Urea nitrogen
Uric acid

HDL
LD
MG
PHOS
K+
NA+
T₄
TBIL
tCO₂
CHOL
TP
TRIG
BUN
UA

Twenty-one of these tests are marketed for both the medical and veterinary markets. The tests for BA, CHW and T₄ are marketed exclusively in the veterinary market. The tests for CRP, DBIL, HDL, LD and TRIG are marketed exclusively in the medical market. We market our reagent products by configuring these 29 test methods in panels that are designed to meet a variety of clinical diagnostic needs. We offer 14 multi-test reagent disc products in the medical market and 9 multi-test reagent disc products in the veterinary market.

The reagent discs offered with our Piccolo chemistry analyzers are as follows:

Piccolo Panels

Basic Metabolic Panel (CLIA waived)
Basic Metabolic Panel Plus
Comprehensive Metabolic Panel (CLIA waived)

Electrolyte Panel (CLIA waived)
General Chemistry 6 (CLIA waived)
General Chemistry 13 (CLIA waived)

Hepatic Function Panel
Kidney Check (CLIA waived)(1)
Lipid Panel (CLIA waived)

Lipid Panel Plus (CLIA waived)

Liver Panel Plus (CLIA waived)
MetLyte 8 Panel (CLIA waived)
MetLyte Plus CRP(1)

Description of the Test Panels

BUN, CA, CL-, CRE, GLU, K+, NA+, tCO₂.
BUN, CA, CL-, CRE, GLU, K+, LD, MG, NA+, tCO₂.
ALB, ALP, ALT, AST, BUN, CA, CL-, CRE, GLU, K+, NA+, TBIL, tCO₂, TP.
CL-, K+, NA+, tCO₂.
ALT, AST, BUN, CRE, GGT, GLU.
ALB, ALP, ALT, AMY, AST, BUN, CA, CRE, GGT, GLU, TBIL, TP, UA.
ALB, ALP, ALT, AST, DBIL, TBIL, TP.
BUN, CRE.
CHOL, CHOL/HDL RATIO, HDL, LDL, TRIG, VLDL.
ALT, AST, CHOL, CHOL/HDL RATIO, GLU, HDL, LDL, TRIG, VLDL.
ALB, ALP, ALT, AMY, AST, GGT, TBIL, TP.
BUN, CK, CL-, CRE, GLU, K+, NA+, tCO₂.
BUN, CK, CL-, CRE, CRP, GLU, K+, NA+, tCO₂.

Renal Function Panel (CLIA waived)

ALB, BUN, CA, CL⁻, CRE, GLU, K⁺, NA⁺, PHOS,
tCO₂.

CLIA waived means the FDA has granted our application to classify the product as having waived status with respect to the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) of 1988. See Government Regulation in this section for additional information on CLIA.

(1) The panel is offered only on our Piccolo Xpress.

Table of Contents

The reagent discs offered with our VetScan chemistry analyzers are as follows:

VetScan Profile	Description of the Test Panels
Avian/Reptilian Profile Plus	ALB, AST, BA, CA, CK, GLOB, GLU, K+, NA+, PHOS, TP, UA.
Canine Heartworm Antigen Test Kit including Canine Wellness Profile(1)	ALB, ALP, ALT, BUN, CA, CRE, CHW, GLU, GLOB, PHOS, TBIL, TP.
Comprehensive Diagnostic Profile	ALB, ALP, ALT, AMY, BUN, CA, CRE, GLOB, GLU, K+, NA+, PHOS, TBIL, TP.
Critical Care Plus	ALT, BUN, CL-, CRE, GLU, K+, NA+, tCO ₂ .
Equine Profile Plus	ALB, AST, BUN, CA, CK, CRE, GGT, GLOB, GLU, K+, NA+, TBIL, tCO ₂ , TP.
Large Animal Profile	ALB, ALP, AST, BUN, CA, CK, GGT, GLOB, MG, PHOS, TP.
Mammalian Liver Profile	ALB, ALP, ALT, BA, BUN, CHOL, GGT, TBIL.
Prep Profile II	ALP, ALT, BUN, CRE, GLU, TP.
Thyroxine (T ₄) / Cholesterol Profile	CHOL, T ₄ .

(1) The panel is offered only on our VetScan VS2.

Hematology

In September 2007, we introduced a veterinary hematology instrument under the name VetScan HM5. The VetScan HM5 offers a 22-parameter complete blood count (CBC) analysis, including a five-part differential cell counter specifically designed for veterinary applications. In May 2004, we introduced a veterinary hematology instrument that offers an 18-parameter CBC analysis, including a three-part white blood cell differential, marketed originally as the VetScan HMII, and is now referred to as the VetScan HM2. We currently purchase the hematology instruments from Diatron Medical Instruments PLC. of Budapest, Hungary. Through April 2004, we marketed a veterinary hematology instrument under the name VetScan HMT. We continue to support and service our current population of VetScan HM5, VetScan HM2, VetScan HMII and VetScan HMT hematology instruments. We also market reagent kits to be used with our hematology instruments which we currently purchase from three suppliers: Clinical Diagnostic Solutions, Inc., Diatron Medical Instruments PLC. and Mallinckrodt Baker BV.

Coagulation

In January 2009, we introduced a veterinary coagulation analyzer under the name VetScan VSpro. The VetScan VSpro assists in the diagnosis and evaluation of suspected bleeding disorders, toxicity/poisoning, evaluation of Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation, hepatic disease and monitoring therapy and progression of disease states. The point-of-care coagulation analyzer is offered with a combination assay (PT/aPTT test cartridge) for canine testing. We currently purchase the coagulation analyzers and coagulation reagents from Scandinavian Micro Biodevices APS of Farum, Denmark.

Canine Heartworm Rapid Test

In January 2009, we introduced a canine heartworm rapid test under the name VetScan Canine Heartworm Rapid Test. The VetScan Canine Heartworm Rapid Test is a highly sensitive and specific test for the detection of *Dirofilaria immitis* in canine whole blood, serum or plasma. The lateral flow immunoassay technology in the canine heartworm rapid tests provides immediate results.

Table of Contents

i-STAT

In fiscal 2010, we introduced the i-STAT® 1 handheld instrument (i-STAT 1 analyzer) and associated consumables for blood gas, electrolyte, basic blood chemistry and immunoassay testing in the animal health care market worldwide. We started marketing and sales activities of the i-STAT cartridges in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. In the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we started marketing and sales activities of the i-STAT instrument. We launched an Abaxis-branded version of the i-STAT 1 instrument as part of our VetScan line in the third quarter of fiscal 2010. We currently purchase the i-STAT instrument and related consumables from Abbott Point of Care Inc. in North America.

Orbos Process

The dry reagents used in our reagent discs are produced using a proprietary technology called the Orbos® Discrete Lyophilization Process (the Orbos process). This process allows the production of a precise amount of active chemical ingredient in the form of a soluble bead. The Orbos process involves flash-freezing a drop of liquid reagent to form a solid bead and then freeze-drying the bead to remove water. The Orbos beads are stable in dry form and dissolve rapidly in aqueous solutions. We believe that the Orbos process has broad applications in products where delivery of active ingredients in a stable, pre-metered format is desired. We have licensed the technology underlying the Orbos process to various third parties. Additionally, we have a supply contract with Becton, Dickinson and Company for products using the Orbos process. Revenues from these arrangements, however, are unpredictable. We continue to explore potential applications with other companies, although there can be no assurance that we will be able to develop any new applications for the Orbos process.

Future Products

We continue to develop new products that we believe will provide further opportunities for growth in the human medical and veterinary markets. Development of tests for other disc products will be targeted at specific applications based on fulfilling clinical needs.

CUSTOMERS AND DISTRIBUTION

We market and sell our products worldwide by maintaining direct sales forces and through independent distributors. Our sales force is primarily located in the United States. In July 2008, our sales office in Darmstadt, Germany was incorporated as our wholly-owned subsidiary, Abaxis Europe GmbH, to market, promote and distribute diagnostic systems for medical and veterinary uses. Abaxis Europe GmbH provides customer support in a timely manner in response to the growing and increasingly diverse services needs of customers in the European market. Sales and marketing expenses were \$30.1 million, \$24.7 million and \$23.7 million, or 24%, 23% and 24% of our total revenues, in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. See Note 16, Revenues by Product Category and Geographic Region and Significant Concentrations, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional financial information by geographic area.

Customers

Depending on the needs of a customer segment, we sell our point-of-care blood analyzer products and reagent discs either directly or through distributors. In the delivery of human or veterinary care, there are many kinds of providers and a multitude of sites where Abaxis products could be used as an alternative to relying on a central laboratory for blood test information, as described below.

Medical Market

We believe that our Piccolo chemistry analyzer, consisting of a menu of 30 reagent test results (includes four calculated tests), is suitable for a wide variety of the human medical market segments. These market segments include military installations (ships, field hospitals and mobile care units), physicians office practices across all specialties, urgent care, outpatient and walk-in clinics (free-standing or hospital-connected), health screening operations, home care providers (national, regional or local), nursing homes, ambulance companies, oncology treatment clinics, dialysis centers, pharmacies, hospital labs and blood draw stations.

Table of Contents

Veterinary Market

We believe that our veterinary reagent product offerings meet a substantial part of the clinical diagnostic needs of veterinarians and the research marketplace. Potential customers for our VetScan products include companion animal hospitals, animal clinics with mixed practices of small animals, birds and reptiles, equine and bovine practitioners, veterinary emergency clinics, veterinary referral hospitals, universities, government, pharmaceutical companies, biotechnology companies and private research laboratories.

Distribution Within North America

Medical Market

We sell our human-oriented products directly to those customers who serve large human patient populations with employed caregivers such as the military, hospitals and managed care organizations. As a result of health care reform, we anticipate a consolidation of providers with more centralized purchasing of medical products based on the standardization of care and the use of patient outcome studies to influence purchase decisions. We plan to achieve our direct sales objectives by employing highly skilled sales specialists and sales teams to work closely with providers in performing studies to show that the use of the Piccolo blood chemistry analyzer, rather than laboratory alternatives, can assist in providing better outcomes at a lower cost.

Distribution alternatives in the human medical market can contribute to identifying potential customers and introducing the product, but often need the support of our personnel in completing the sale. Product distributors are generally of two types: (i) companies that primarily serve hospitals, clinics and health maintenance organizations (HMOs), (ii) companies that provide the daily supplies needed by office-based physicians. Both segments support their customers by using multiple warehouses and extensive transportation systems. Large distributors with local and regional companies can service the office-based physicians market segment as well. In the human medical market, these national firms sell thousands of products, including furniture, capital equipment, surgical instruments and a myriad of consumables.

We are currently exploring distribution alternatives and may enter into arrangements, where appropriate. We entered into formal distribution agreements with the following distributors to sell and market Piccolo chemistry analyzers and medical reagent discs: Henry Schein's Medical Group, McKesson Medical-Surgical Inc., and PSS World Medical, Inc. We are also currently pursuing direct medical sales, where appropriate.

Veterinary Market

Veterinarians are served typically by local distributors, some with national affiliations. We work with various independent distributors to sell our instruments and consumable products. In the United States, we have primarily regional distributors, which includes, among others, American Veterinary Supply Corp., DVM Resources, IVESCO LLC, Lextron Animal Health, Merritt Veterinary Supplies, Inc., Nelson Laboratories, Northeast Veterinary Supply, Penn Veterinary Supply, Inc., TW Medical Veterinary Supply and Western Medical Supply, Inc. In addition to selling through distributors, we directly supply our VetScan products to Veterinary Centers of America (VCA), a large veterinary hospital chain. In fiscal 2010, one distributor in the United States veterinary market, DVM Resources, accounted for 10% of our total worldwide revenues. We depend on our distributors to assist us in promoting our products in the veterinary market, and accordingly, if one or more of our distributors were to stop selling our products in the future, we may experience a temporary sharp decline or delay in our sales revenue until our customers identify another distributor or purchase products directly from us.

We also sell our veterinary products to distributors located in Canada. Our distributors in Canada include the following: Associated Veterinary Purchasing, Aventix, CDMV, Distribution Vie et Sante, Midwest Veterinary Distribution Cooperative Limited, Vet Novations, Veterinary Purchasing Company Limited and Western Drug Distribution Center Limited.

We intend to enter into arrangements with additional veterinary distributors within North America as well as pursue direct veterinary sales, where appropriate.

Table of Contents

Distribution Outside of North America

Our international sales and marketing objectives include identifying and defining the market segments in each country by product and then focusing on specific objectives for each segment in each country. These specific objectives include modification and expansion of distribution and distributor training and monitoring to ensure the attainment of sales goals.

We currently have distributors for our products in the following foreign countries: Afghanistan, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Germany, Hong Kong, India, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Korea, Macao, the Netherlands, New Zealand, the Philippines, Portugal, Romania, Russia, Singapore, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, United Arab Emirates and the United Kingdom. Our distributor in each of these countries is responsible for obtaining the necessary approvals to sell our new and existing products.

Revenues in Europe accounted for 15%, 13% and 13% of our total revenues for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Revenues in Asia Pacific and rest of the world accounted for 4%, 4% and 3% of our total revenues for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

We plan to continue to enter into additional distributor relationships to expand our international distribution base and solidify our international presence.

MANUFACTURING

We manufacture our Piccolo and VetScan chemistry analyzers from our facility located in Union City, California. The VetScan HM2 and HM5 are manufactured by Diatron Medical Instruments PLC. in Budapest, Hungary and are purchased by us as a completed instrument. The VetScan VS*pro* and cartridges are manufactured by Scandinavian Micro Biodevices APS in Farum, Denmark and are purchased by us as completed products. The i-STAT 1 analyzers and cartridges are manufactured by Abbott Point of Care Inc. in North America and are purchased by us as completed products.

Our Piccolo products are regulated under the 1976 Medical Device Amendments to the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, which is administered by the FDA. To produce and commercially ship Piccolo products, we must have a license to manufacture medical products in the State of California, where we conduct our principal manufacturing activities, and be registered by the FDA as a medical device manufacturer. Current Good Manufacturing Practice requirements are set forth in the 21 CFR 820 Quality System Regulation. These requirements regulate the methods used in, and the facilities and controls used for the design, manufacture, packaging, storage, installation and servicing of our medical devices intended for human use. Our manufacturing facility is subject to periodic inspections. In addition, various state regulatory agencies may regulate the manufacture of our products. To date, we have complied with the following federal, state, local and international regulatory requirements:

In April 2001, the State of California Food and Drug Branch granted our manufacturing facility in compliance status, based on the regulations for Good Manufacturing Practices for medical devices.

In May 2001, the State of California Food and Drug Branch granted licensing for our manufacturing facility in Union City, California.

In May 2002, we received our ISO 9001 certification, expanding our compliance with international quality standards.

Edgar Filing: ABAXIS INC - Form 10-K

In December 2003, we received ISO 13485 Quality System certification as required by the 2003 European In Vitro Device Directive. This certified our quality system specifically to medical devices.

In both March 2003 and September 2005, the FDA conducted a facility inspection and verified our compliance with the 21 CFR 820 Regulation.

In August 2008, the FDA conducted an additional facility inspection to verify our compliance with 21 CFR 820 Regulation.

In October 2009 and November 2006, we received our recertification to the ISO 13485:2003 Quality System Standard for medical devices.

Table of Contents

In October 2009, we received a United States Veterinary Biologics Establishment License from the United States Department of Agriculture.

For our VetScan systems, we are not required to comply with all of the FDA government regulations applicable to the human medical market when manufacturing the VetScan products, however, we intend that all of our manufacturing operations will be compliant with the Quality System Regulation to help ensure product quality and integrity regardless of end use or patient.

In addition to the development of standardized manufacturing processes and quality control programs for the entire manufacturing process, our manufacturing activities are concentrated in the following three primary areas:

Point-of-Care Blood Chemistry Analyzer: The analyzer used in the Piccolo and VetScan systems employs a variety of components designed or specified by us, including a variable speed motor, microprocessors, a liquid crystal display, a printer, a spectrophotometer and other electronic components. These components are manufactured by several third-party vendors that have been qualified and approved by us and then assembled by our contract manufacturers. The components are assembled at our facility in Union City into the finished product and completely tested to ensure that the finished product meets product specifications. The analyzer uses technologically-advanced components, many of which are available only from single source vendors. Currently, we purchase technologically advanced components from a single source supplier, including components from Hamamatsu Corporation and UDT Sensors (a division of OSI Optoelectronics). We do not have supply agreements with any of these companies and they are not contractually obligated to continue supplying us with components in the quantities or at the prices that such companies have historically provided.

Reagent Discs: The molded plastic discs used in the manufacture of the reagent disc are manufactured to our specifications by established injection-molding manufacturers. To achieve the precision required for accurate test results, the discs must be molded to very strict tolerances. To date, we have only qualified two manufacturers, C. Brewer & Co. and Nypro, Inc. to mold the discs. We do not have supply agreements with either of these companies and they are under no contractual obligation to continue supplying us with discs either in the quantities or at the prices that such companies have done historically. We are also working with our suppliers to improve yields and increase capacity on the existing production molds. While we have increased the number of disc molding tools to strengthen and better protect our line of supply, an inability by our injection-molding manufacturers to supply sufficient discs would have a material adverse impact on our results of operations. We assemble the reagent discs by using the molded plastic discs, loading the disc with reagents and then ultrasonically welding together the top and bottom pieces.

Reagent Beads: The reagent discs contain diluent and all the dry reagent chemistry beads necessary to perform blood analyses. We purchase chemicals from third-party suppliers and formulate the raw materials, using proprietary processes, into beads at the proper concentration and consistency to facilitate placement in the reagent disc and provide homogeneous dissolution and mixing when contacted by the diluted plasma. We are dependent on the following companies who are our single source providers of one or more chemicals that we use in the reagent production process: Amano Enzyme USA Co., Ltd., Genzyme Corporation, Kikkoman Corporation Biochemical Division, Microgenics Corporation, Roche Molecular Biochemicals of Roche Diagnostics Corporation, a division of F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Ltd., SA Scientific Co., Sigma Aldrich Inc. and Toyobo Specialties (formerly Shinko American Inc.). We do not have supply agreements with any of these companies and they are under no contractual obligation to continue supplying us in the quantities or at the price such companies have done historically. Although we believe all of the chemicals provided by these companies would be readily available elsewhere and we continue to evaluate vendor sources to protect and improve our lines of supply, the loss of any of these companies as a supplier could materially adversely affect our

manufacturing activities and results of operations.

We generally operate with a limited order backlog because our products are typically shipped shortly after orders are received. As a result, product sales in any quarter are generally dependent on orders booked and shipped in that quarter.

Table of Contents

MATERIAL RELATIONSHIPS WITH SUPPLIERS AND OTHER THIRD PARTIES

Diatron Messtechnik GmbH. In our November 2003 original equipment manufacturing (OEM) agreement with Diatron Messtechnik GmbH (Diatron), we acquired the exclusive right to distribute Diatron s veterinary hematology instruments in Australia, Canada, Japan, New Zealand and the United States. The Diatron hematology instruments are currently supplied by Diatron Medical Instruments PLC. Following a prior amendment, in February 2008, the terms of the OEM agreement, with respect to the purchase commitments, were revised. Under the amended OEM agreement, we committed to purchase a minimum number of hematology instruments through fiscal 2009. In August 2008, we entered into a purchase order with scheduled shipping terms through January 2009 for the remaining number of hematology instruments to be purchased under the amended OEM agreement. Since August 2008, we have operated entirely on a purchase order basis with Diatron.

Scandinavian Micro Biodevices APS. In October 2008, we entered into an OEM agreement with Scandinavian Micro Biodevices APS (SMB) of Denmark to purchase coagulation analyzers and coagulation cartridges. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, we started marketing the products and, upon achievement of certain milestones by SMB outlined in the agreement, we will be subject to the minimum purchase commitments under the OEM agreement. These milestones have not yet been met and we are currently purchasing coagulation analyzers and coagulation cartridges on a purchase order basis, but all such purchases will count towards the minimum purchase obligations if and when they are triggered.

Inverness Medical Switzerland GmbH. Effective January 2009, we entered into a license agreement with Inverness Medical Switzerland GmbH (Inverness). Under our license agreement, we licensed co-exclusively certain worldwide patent rights related to lateral flow immunoassay technology in the field of animal health diagnostics in the professional marketplace. The license agreement provides that Inverness shall not grant any future rights to any third parties under its current lateral flow patent rights in the animal health diagnostics field in the professional marketplace. The license agreement enables us to develop and market products under rights from Inverness to address animal health and laboratory animal research markets.

In exchange for the license rights, we (i) paid an up-front license fee of \$5.0 million to Inverness in January 2009, (ii) agreed to pay royalties during the term of the agreement, based solely on sales of products in a jurisdiction country covered by valid and unexpired claims in that jurisdiction under the licensed Inverness patent rights, and (iii) agreed to pay a yearly minimum license fee of between \$500,000 to \$1.0 million per year, which fee will be creditable against any royalties due during such calendar year. The royalties, if any, are payable through the date of the expiration of the last valid patent licensed under the agreement that includes at least one claim in a jurisdiction covering products we sell in that jurisdiction. The yearly minimum fees are payable starting in fiscal 2011 for so long as we desire to maintain exclusivity under the agreement.

Abbott Point of Care Inc. In May 2009, we entered into an exclusive agreement with Abbott Point of Care Inc., granting us the right to sell and distribute Abbott s i-STAT® 1 handheld instrument (i-STAT 1 analyzer) and associated consumables for blood gas, electrolyte, basic blood chemistry and immunoassay testing in the animal health care market worldwide. Our right to sell and distribute these products was initially non-exclusive, but became exclusive in all countries of the world, except for Japan, on November 1, 2009. Our rights in Japan remain non-exclusive for the term of the agreement. The initial term of the agreement ends on December 31, 2014, and after this initial term, our agreement continues automatically for successive one-year periods unless terminated by either party. We are subject to minimum purchase and minimum sales requirement if we want to maintain as an exclusive distributor of the related products.

DVM Resources. DVM Resources, one of our distributors of veterinary products in the United States, accounted for 10%, 10% and 12% of our total worldwide revenues in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

COMPETITION

Competition in the human and veterinary diagnostic markets is intense. Blood analysis is a well-established field in which there are a number of competitors that have substantially greater financial resources and larger, more established marketing, sales and service organizations than we do. We compete primarily with the following

Table of Contents

organizations: commercial clinical laboratories, hospitals clinical laboratories and manufacturers of bench top multi-test blood analyzers and other testing systems that health care providers can use on-site.

Historically, hospitals and commercial laboratories perform most of the human diagnostic testing, and veterinary specialized commercial laboratories perform most of the veterinary medical testing. We have identified five principal factors that we believe customers typically use to evaluate our products and those of our competitors. These factors are as follows: (i) range of tests offered; (ii) the immediacy of results; (iii) cost effectiveness; (iv) ease of use and (v) reliability of results. We believe that we compete effectively on each of these factors except for the range of tests offered. Clinical laboratories are effective at processing large panels of tests using skilled technicians and complex equipment. While our current offering of reagent discs cannot provide the same broad range of tests, we believe that in certain markets, our products provide a sufficient breadth of test menus to compete successfully with clinical laboratories given the advantages of our products with respect to the other four factors.

Our principal competitors in the human diagnostic market are Alere (formerly Inverness Medical Technologies), Alfa Wassermann S.P.A., i-STAT Corporation (which was purchased by Abbott Laboratories), Johnson & Johnson (including its subsidiary, Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics, Inc.), Kodak (DT60 analyzer), Polymedco, Inc. and F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. (Reflotron system). Our principal competitors in the veterinary diagnostic market are Idexx Laboratories, Inc. and Heska Corporation. Many of our competitors have significantly larger product lines to offer and greater financial and other resources than we do. In particular, many of our competitors have large sales forces and well-established distribution channels. Consequently, we are developing our distribution network and expanding our direct sales force in order to compete in these markets.

GOVERNMENT REGULATION

U.S. Food and Drug Administration Clearance

Our Piccolo products are medical devices subject to regulation by the FDA, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA. Medical devices, to be commercially distributed in the United States, must receive either 510(k) premarket clearance or Premarket Approval (PMA) from the FDA pursuant to the FDCA prior to marketing. Devices deemed to pose relatively less risk are placed in either class I or II, which generally requires the manufacturer to submit a premarket notification requesting permission for commercial distribution; this is known as 510(k) clearance. Most lower risk, or class I, devices are exempted from this requirement. Devices deemed by the FDA to pose the greatest risk, such as life-sustaining, life supporting or implantable devices, or devices deemed not substantially equivalent to a previously 510(k) cleared device or a preamendment class III device for which PMA applications have not been called, are placed in class III requiring PMA approval. The FDA has classified our Piccolo products as class I or class II devices, depending on their specific intended uses and indications for use.

510(k) Clearance Pathway. To obtain 510(k) clearance, a manufacturer must submit a premarket notification demonstrating that the proposed device is substantially equivalent in intended use, principles of operation, and technological characteristics to a previously 510(k) cleared device or a device that was in commercial distribution before May 28, 1976 for which the FDA has not called for submission of PMA applications. The FDA's 510(k) clearance pathway usually takes from three to six months, but it can last longer.

After a device receives 510(k) clearance, any modification that could significantly affect its safety or effectiveness, or that would constitute a major change in its intended use, requires a new 510(k) clearance or could require a PMA approval. The FDA requires each manufacturer to make this determination in the first instance, but the FDA can review any such decision. If the FDA disagrees with a manufacturer's decision not to seek a new 510(k) clearance, the agency may retroactively require the manufacturer to seek 510(k) clearance or PMA approval. The FDA also can require the manufacturer to cease marketing and/or recall the modified device until 510(k) clearance or PMA approval

is obtained, to redesign the device or to submit new data or information to the FDA. Products marketed following the FDA clearance also are subject to significant postmarket requirements.

As of March 31, 2010, we have received the FDA premarket clearance for our Piccolo chemistry analyzer and 26 reagent tests that we have on 14 reagent discs. We are currently developing additional tests which we will have to clear with the FDA through the 510(k) notification procedures. These new test products are crucial for our continued success in the human medical market. If we do not receive 510(k) clearance for a particular product, we will not be

Table of Contents

able to market that product in the United States until we provide additional information to the FDA and gain premarket clearance. The inability to market a new product during this time could harm our future sales.

APHIS Licensure of Veterinary Biologics

On October 8, 2009, Abaxis announced it received APHIS licensure of its canine heartworm antigen (CHW) test utilizing a rotor-based assay system consisting of eleven other important canine health determinations. The CHW diagnostic product is regulated as a veterinary biologic by the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) under the Virus, Serum, and Toxin Act of 1913. Veterinary biologics are licensed as are their manufacturing facilities. Products are subject to extensive testing to establish their purity, safety, potency, and efficacy. Licensed biologics are also required to be prepared in accordance with a filed Outline of Production, among other requirements. Failure to comply with APHIS licensure or post-marketing approval requirements can result in the inability to obtain product or establishment licenses or cause the revocation or suspension of such licenses.

We are currently developing additional tests that will be subject to APHIS licensure as veterinary biologics. If we do not receive licensure, we will not be able to market that product in the United States, which could also harm our sales.

Clinical Laboratory Improvements Act Regulations

Our Piccolo products are also affected by the CLIA of 1988. The CLIA are intended to insure the quality and reliability of all medical testing in the United States regardless of where the tests are performed. The current CLIA regulations divide laboratory tests into three categories: waived, moderately complex and highly complex. Many of the tests performed using the Piccolo system are in the moderately complex category. This category requires that any location in which testing is performed be certified as a laboratory. Hence, we can only sell some Piccolo products to customers who meet the standards of a laboratory. To receive laboratory certification, a testing facility must be certified by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. After the testing facility receives a laboratory certification, it must then meet the CLIA regulations. Because we can only sell some Piccolo products to testing facilities that are certified laboratories, the market for some products is correspondingly constrained.

We can currently offer the following Piccolo reagent discs as waived tests to the medical market: Basic Metabolic Panel, Comprehensive Metabolic Panel, Electrolyte Panel, General Chemistry 6, General Chemistry 13, Kidney Check, Lipid Panel, Lipid Panel Plus, Liver Panel Plus, MetLyte 8 Panel and Renal Function Panel. Waived status permits untrained personnel to run the Piccolo chemistry analyzer using these tests; thus, extending the sites (doctors offices and other point-of-care environments) that can use the Piccolo chemistry analyzer. Although we are engaged in an active program to test and apply for CLIA waivers for additional analytes, we cannot assure you that we will successfully receive CLIA waived status from the FDA for other products. Consequently, for the reagent discs that have not received CLIA waived status, the market for our Piccolo products may be confined to those testing facilities that are certified as laboratories and our growth can be limited accordingly.

Other Regulations

We are subject to a variety of federal, state, local and international regulations regarding the manufacture and sale of our diagnostic products. In addition, as we continue to sell in foreign markets, we may have to obtain additional governmental clearances in those markets. Foreign certifications that we have received include the following, among others:

In December 2003, we received certification from the British Standards Institute to the ISO 13485:1996 Quality System standard for medical devices. This quality system certification, along with successful completion of product testing to 2003 European standards and the translation of Piccolo product documentation

into the required languages, enabled us to meet the compliance requirements of the CE Mark and the 2003 European In Vitro Device Directive.

In September 2005, we received the Canadian Medical Device Conformity Assessment System stamp on our ISO 13485 certificate to signify compliance with Health Canada regulations.

Table of Contents

In March 2006, we received our certification to the 2003 version of the ISO 13485 Quality System standard for medical devices.

As we continue to sell in foreign markets, we may have to obtain additional governmental clearances in those markets. The government regulations for our medical and veterinary products vary. We cannot predict what impact, if any, such current or future regulatory changes would have on our business.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Research and development activities are focused on the following: developing new immunoassay tests, clinical trials, preparation of submission for CLIA waived status on new test methods and product improvements and optimization and enhancement of existing products. Our research and development expenses, which consist of salaries and benefits, consulting expenses and materials were \$10.7 million, \$8.4 million and \$7.0 million, or 9%, 8% and 7% of our total revenues, in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

PATENTS AND PROPRIETARY TECHNOLOGIES

We have pursued the development of a patent portfolio to protect our proprietary technology. Our policy is to file patent applications to protect technology, inventions and improvements that are important to the development of our business. We also rely upon trade secrets, know-how, continuing technological innovations and licensing opportunities to develop and maintain competitive position. As of March 31, 2010, 47 patent applications have been filed on our behalf with the United States Patent and Trademark Office, of which 30 patents have been issued and 29 patents are currently active. Our active U.S. patents have expiration dates ranging from June 2010 to January 2024. In addition, we have 27 issued and active international patents and 8 international applications pending.

EMPLOYEES

As of March 31, 2010, we employed 354 full-time employees distributed across the following divisions: 40 in research and development; 138 in manufacturing operations; and 176 in sales, general and administrative. None of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement and we consider our relations with our employees to be good.

INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO INVESTORS

We make available, free of charge on or through our Internet address located at www.abaxis.com our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. In addition, copies of our reports, proxy statements and other information filed electronically with the SEC may be accessed at <http://www.sec.gov>. The public may also read and copy any materials filed with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. This information may also be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330, by sending an electronic message to the SEC at publicinfo@sec.gov or by sending a fax to the SEC at 1-202-777-1027.

Item 1A. *Risk Factors*

RISK FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT OUR PERFORMANCE

Our future performance is subject to a number of risks. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business could be harmed and the trading price of our common stock could decline. In evaluating our business, you should carefully consider the following risks in addition to the other information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We note these factors for investors as permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. It is not possible to predict or identify all such factors and, therefore, you should not consider the following risks to be a complete statement of all the potential risks or uncertainties that we face.

Table of Contents

We are not able to predict sales in future quarters and a number of factors affect our periodic results, which makes our quarterly operating results less predictable.

We are not able to accurately predict our sales in future quarters. Our revenue in the medical and veterinary markets is derived primarily by selling to distributors who resell our products to the ultimate user. While we are better able to predict sales of our reagent discs, as we sell these discs primarily for use with blood chemistry analyzers that we sold in prior periods, we generally are unable to predict with much certainty sales of our blood chemistry analyzers, as we typically sell our blood chemistry analyzers to new users. Accordingly, our sales in any one quarter are not indicative of our sales in any future period.

We generally operate with a limited order backlog, because we ship our products shortly after we receive the orders from our customers. As a result, our product sales in any quarter are generally dependent on orders that we receive and ship in that quarter. We base our expense levels, which are to a large extent fixed, in part on our expectations as to future revenues. We may be unable to reduce our spending in a timely manner to compensate for any unexpected revenue shortfall. As a result, any such shortfall would immediately materially and adversely impact our operating results and financial condition.

The sales cycle for our products can fluctuate, which may cause revenue and operating results to vary significantly from period to period. We believe this fluctuation is due primarily to (i) seasonal patterns in the decision making processes by our independent distributors and direct customers, (ii) inventory or timing considerations by our distributors and (iii) on the purchasing requirements of the U.S. Military to acquire our products. Accordingly, we believe that period to period comparisons of our results of operations are not necessarily meaningful.

In the future, our periodic operating results may vary significantly depending on, but not limited to, a number of factors, including:

- new product announcements made by us or our competitors;
- changes in our pricing structures or the pricing structures of our competitors;
- our ability to develop, introduce and market new products on a timely basis;
- our manufacturing capacities and our ability to increase the scale of these capacities;
- the mix of product sales between our blood chemistry analyzers and our reagent disc products;
- the amount we spend on research and development; and
- changes in our strategy.

We would fail to achieve anticipated revenue if the market does not accept our products.

We believe that our core compact blood chemistry analyzer product differs substantially from current blood chemistry analyzers on the market. Our primary competition is from centralized laboratories that offer a greater number of tests than our products, but do so at a greater overall cost and require more time. We also compete with other point-of-care analyzers that cost more, require more maintenance and offer a narrower range of tests. However, these point-of-care analyzers are generally marketed by larger companies which have greater resources for sales and marketing, in addition to a recognized brand name and established distribution relationships.

In the human medical market, we have relatively limited experience in large-scale sales of our Piccolo blood chemistry analyzers. Although we believe that our blood chemistry analyzers offer consumers many advantages, including substantial cost savings according to our analyses, in terms of implementation of the actual product, these advantages involve changes to current standard practices, such as using large clinical laboratories that will require changes in both the procedures and mindset of care providers. The human medical market in particular is highly regulated, structured, difficult to penetrate and often slow to adopt new product offerings. If we are unable to convince large numbers of medical clinics, hospitals and other point-of-care environments of the benefits of our Piccolo blood chemistry analyzers and our other products, we will suffer lost sales and could fail to achieve anticipated revenue.

Table of Contents

Historically, in the veterinary market, we have marketed our VetScan systems through both direct sales and distribution channels to veterinarians. We continue to develop new animal blood tests to expand our product offerings and we cannot be assured that these tests will be accepted by the veterinary market.

We rely on patents and other proprietary information, the loss of which would negatively affect our business.

As of March 31, 2010, 47 patent applications have been filed on our behalf with the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), of which 30 patents have been issued and 29 patents are currently active. Additionally, we have filed several international patent applications covering the same subject matter as our domestic applications. The patent position of any medical device manufacturer, including us, is uncertain and may involve complex legal and factual issues. Consequently, we may not be issued any additional patents, either domestically or internationally. Furthermore, our patents may not provide significant proprietary protection because there is a chance that they will be circumvented or invalidated. We cannot be certain that we were the first creator of the inventions covered by our issued patents or pending patent applications, or that we were the first to file patent applications for these inventions, because (1) the USPTO maintains all patent applications that are not filed in any foreign jurisdictions in secrecy until it issues the patents (unless a patent application owner files a request for publication) and (2) publications of discoveries in the scientific or patent literature tend to lag behind actual discoveries by several months. We may have to participate in interference proceedings, which are proceedings in front of the USPTO, to determine who will be issued a patent. These proceedings could be costly and could be decided against us.

We also rely upon copyrights, trademarks and unpatented trade secrets. Others may independently develop substantially equivalent proprietary information and techniques that would undermine our proprietary technologies. Further, others may gain access to our trade secrets or disclose such technology. Although we require our employees, consultants and advisors to execute agreements that require that our corporate information be kept confidential and that any inventions by these individuals are property of Abaxis, there can be no assurance that these agreements will provide meaningful protection or adequate remedies for our trade secrets in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of such information. The unauthorized dissemination of our confidential information would negatively impact our business.

We must increase sales of our Piccolo and VetScan products or we may not be able to increase profitability.

As of March 31, 2010, we had retained earnings of \$22.1 million. Our ability to continue to be profitable and to increase profitability will depend, in part, on our ability to increase our sales volumes of our Piccolo and VetScan products. Increasing the sales volume of our products will depend upon, among other things, our ability to:

- continue to improve our existing products and develop new and innovative products;
- increase our sales and marketing activities;
- effectively manage our manufacturing activities; and
- effectively compete against current and future competitors.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully increase our sales volumes of our products to sustain or increase profitability.

We must continue to develop our sales, marketing and distribution experience in the human diagnostic market or our business will not grow.

Although we have gained experience marketing our VetScan products in the veterinary diagnostic market, we have limited sales, marketing and distribution experience with our Piccolo chemistry analyzers in the human diagnostic market. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that:

we will be able to establish and maintain effective distribution arrangements in the human diagnostic market;

Table of Contents

any distribution arrangements that we are able to establish will be successful in marketing our products; or

the costs associated with sales, marketing and distributing our products will not be excessive.

Should we fail to effectively develop our sales, marketing and distribution efforts, our growth will be limited and our results of operations will be adversely affected.

We must effectively train and integrate the members of our sales team in order to achieve our anticipated revenue or expand our business.

Many of our sales personnel directly involved in the sales and marketing activities of our products have been employed by us for a limited period of time. In addition, we experience significant turnover in our sales and marketing personnel. If we are to increase our direct sales, particularly in the human medical market, we will need to train new sales personnel and supervise our sales team closely. We also will continue hiring additional sales personnel. If we are unable to retain our existing personnel, or attract and train additional qualified personnel, our growth in the medical market may be limited due to our lack of resources to market our products.

We need to successfully manufacture and market additional reagent discs for the human diagnostic market if we are to compete in that market.

We have developed a blood analysis system that consists of a portable blood analyzer and single-use reagent discs. Each reagent disc performs a series of standard blood tests. We believe that it is necessary to develop additional series of reagent discs with various tests for use with the Piccolo and VetScan chemistry analyzers. Historically, we have developed reagent discs suitable for the human medical and veterinary diagnostic markets. We have received 510(k) clearances from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for 26 test methods in the human medical market. These tests are included in standard tests for which the medical community receives reimbursements from third-party payors such as health maintenance organizations (HMOs) and Medicare. We may not be able to successfully manufacture or market these reagent discs. Our failure to meet these challenges will materially adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

We rely primarily on distributors to sell our products and we rely on sole distributor arrangements in a number of countries. Our failure to successfully develop and maintain these relationships could adversely affect our business.

We sell our medical and veterinary products primarily through a limited number of distributors. As a result, we are dependent upon these distributors to sell our products and to assist us in promoting and creating a demand for our products. We operate on a purchase order basis with the distributors and the distributors are under no contractual obligation to continue carrying our products. Further, many of our distributors may carry our competitors' products, and may promote our competitors' products over our own products.

We depend on a number of distributors in North America who distribute our VetScan products. Our largest distributor in North America, DVM Resources, accounted for 10% our total worldwide revenues for both fiscal 2010 and 2009. We depend on our distributors to assist us in promoting our products in the veterinary market, and accordingly, if one or more of our distributors were to stop selling our products in the future, we may experience a temporary sharp decline or delay in our sales revenue until our customers identify another distributor or purchase products directly from us.

In the United States medical market, we depend on a few distributors for our Piccolo products. We entered into formal distribution agreements with the following distributors to sell and market Piccolo chemistry analyzers and medical

reagent discs: Henry Schein's Medical Group, McKesson Medical-Surgical Inc., and PSS World Medical, Inc. We depend on these distributors to assist us in promoting market acceptance of our Piccolo chemistry analyzers.

Internationally, we rely on only a few distributors for our products in both the medical and veterinary diagnostic markets. In October 2007, following the termination of our prior distributor agreement with T. Chatani & Co., Ltd., we signed an exclusive distribution agreement with Central Scientific Commerce, Inc. (CSC) to distribute the complete line of our medical and veterinary products in Japan. In the third quarter of fiscal 2008, CSC

Table of Contents

began the process of registering our instruments, the VetScan VS2, VetScan HM5 and Piccolo Xpress in Japan. The registration process was completed in the first quarter of fiscal 2009, and consequently, CSC can begin to import and market our instruments along with our reagent discs and kits. However, we cannot assure you that our new distribution relationship with CSC will be as successful as our prior distribution arrangement, or at all. Furthermore, an inability of, or any delays by, our distributor in receiving the necessary approvals for our new or other products can adversely impact our revenues in Japan.

We currently rely on distributors that carry either our medical or veterinary products in the following countries: Afghanistan, Australia, Austria, Bahrain, Belgium, Canada, Czech Republic, Denmark, France, Germany, Hong Kong, India, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Korea, Macao, the Netherlands, New Zealand, the Philippines, Portugal, Romania, Russia, Singapore, South Africa, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, the United Arab Emirates, the United Kingdom and the United States. Our distributors in each of these countries are responsible for obtaining the necessary approvals to sell our new and existing products. These distributors may not be successful in obtaining proper approvals for our new and existing products in their respective countries, and they may not be successful in marketing our products. We plan to continue to enter into additional distributor relationships to expand our international distribution base and solidify our international presence. However, we may not be successful in entering into additional distributor relationships on favorable terms, or at all. In addition, our distributors may terminate their relationship with us at any time. Historically, we have experienced a high degree of turnover among our international distributors. This turnover makes it difficult for us to establish a steady distribution network overseas. Consequently, we may not be successful in marketing our Piccolo and VetScan products internationally.

We depend on limited or sole suppliers for several key components in our products, many of whom we have not entered into contractual relationships with and failure of our suppliers to provide the components to us could harm our business.

We use several key components that are currently available from limited or sole sources as discussed below:

Reagent Discs: Two injection-molding manufacturers, C. Brewer & Co. and Nypro, Inc., currently make the molded plastic discs which, when loaded with reagents and welded together, form our reagent disc products. We believe that only a few manufacturers are capable of producing these discs to the narrow tolerances that we require. To date, we have only qualified these two manufacturers to manufacture the molded plastic discs.

Reagent Chemicals: We currently depend on the following single source vendors for some of the chemicals that we use to produce the dry reagent chemistry beads that are either inserted in our reagent discs or sold as stand-alone products: Amano Enzyme USA Co., Ltd., Genzyme Corporation, Kikkoman Corporation Biochemical Division, Microgenics Corporation, Roche Molecular Biochemicals of Roche Diagnostics Corporation, a division of F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Ltd., SA Scientific Co., Sigma Aldrich Inc. and Toyobo Specialties (formerly Shinko American Inc.).

Blood Chemistry Analyzer Components: Our blood analyzer products use several technologically-advanced components that we currently purchase from a limited number of vendors, including certain components from a single-source supplier, including components from Hamamatsu Corporation and UDT Sensors (a division of OSI Optoelectronics). Our analyzers also use a printer that is primarily made by Seiko North America Corporation. The loss of the supply of any of these components could force us to redesign our blood chemistry analyzers.

Hematology Instruments and Reagents: Our hematology instruments are manufactured by Diatron Medical Instruments PLC. in Hungary and are purchased by us as a completed instrument. In addition, to date, we have qualified only three suppliers to produce the reagents for our hematology instruments: Clinical Diagnostic

Solutions, Inc., Diatron Medical Instruments PLC. and Mallinckrodt Baker BV.

Coagulation Analyzers and Cartridges: Our coagulation analyzers and cartridges are manufactured by Scandinavian MicroBiodevices APS in Denmark and are purchased by us as completed products.

i-STAT Analyzers and Cartridges: Our i-STAT 1 analyzers and cartridges are manufactured by Abbott Point of Care Inc. in North America and are purchased by us as completed products.

Table of Contents

We primarily operate on a purchase order basis with suppliers of our molded plastic reagent discs, reagent chemicals, blood chemistry analyzer components and hematology instruments and hematology reagents, and, therefore, these suppliers are under no contractual obligation to supply us with their products or to do so at specified prices. Although we believe that there may be potential alternate suppliers available for these critical components, to date we have not qualified additional vendors beyond those referenced above and cannot assure you we would be able to enter into arrangements with additional vendors on favorable terms, or at all.

Because we are dependent on a limited number of suppliers and manufacturers for critical components to our products, we are particularly susceptible to any interruption in the supply of these products or the viability of our assembly arrangements. The loss of any one of these suppliers or a disruption in our manufacturing arrangements could materially adversely affect our business and financial condition.

We may not be able to compete effectively with larger, more established entities or their products, or with future organizations or future products, which could cause our sales to decline.

Blood analysis is a well-established field in which there are a number of competitors that have substantially greater financial resources and larger, more established marketing, sales and service organizations than we do. We compete with the following organizations:

commercial clinical laboratories;

hospitals clinical laboratories; and

manufacturers of bench top multi-test blood analyzers and other testing systems that health care providers can use on-site (a listing of our competitors is listed below).

Historically, hospitals and commercial laboratories perform most of the human diagnostic testing, and veterinary specialized commercial laboratories perform most of the veterinary medical testing. We have identified five principal factors that we believe customers typically use to evaluate our products and those of our competitors. These factors include:

range of tests offered;

immediacy of results;

cost effectiveness;

ease of use; and

reliability of results.

We believe that we compete effectively on each of these factors except for the range of tests offered. Clinical laboratories are effective at processing large panels of tests using skilled technicians and complex equipment. While our current offering of reagent discs cannot provide the same broad range of tests, we believe that in certain markets, our products provide a sufficient breadth of test menus to compete successfully with clinical laboratories given the advantages of our products with respect to the other four factors. In addition, we cannot assure you that we will continue to be able to compete effectively on cost effectiveness, ease of use, immediacy of results or reliability of results. We also cannot assure you that we will ever be able to compete effectively on the basis of range of tests

offered.

Competition in the human and veterinary diagnostic markets is intense. Our principal competitors in the human diagnostic market are Alere (formerly Inverness Medical Technologies), Alfa Wassermann S.P.A., i-STAT Corporation (which was purchased by Abbott Laboratories), Johnson & Johnson (including its subsidiary, Ortho-Clinical Diagnostics, Inc.), Kodak (DT60 analyzer), Polymedco, Inc. and F. Hoffman-La Roche (Reflotron system). Our principal competitors in the veterinary diagnostic market are Idexx Laboratories, Inc. and Heska Corporation. Many of our competitors have significantly larger product lines to offer and greater financial and other resources than we do. In particular, many of our competitors have large sales forces and well-established distribution channels. Consequently, we must develop our distribution channels and significantly expand our direct sales force in order to compete in these markets.

Table of Contents

Changes in third-party payor reimbursement regulations can negatively affect our business.

By regulating the maximum amount of reimbursement they will provide for blood testing services, third-party payors, such as HMOs, pay-per-service insurance plans, Medicare and Medicaid, can indirectly affect the pricing or the relative attractiveness of our human testing products. For example, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (the CMS) set the level of reimbursement of fees for blood testing services for Medicare beneficiaries. If third-party payors decrease the reimbursement amounts for blood testing services, it may decrease the amount that physicians and hospitals are able to charge patients for such services. Consequently, we would need to charge less for our products. If the government and third-party payors do not provide for adequate coverage and reimbursement levels to allow health care providers to use our products, the demand for our products will decrease.

We are subject to numerous governmental regulations and regulatory changes are difficult to predict and may be damaging to our business.

Need for Government Regulation for Our Products

Our Piccolo products are medical devices subject to regulation by the FDA, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or FDCA. Medical devices, to be commercially distributed in the United States, must receive either 510(k) premarket clearance or Premarket Approval (PMA) from the FDA pursuant to the FDCA prior to marketing. Devices deemed to pose relatively less risk are placed in either class I or II, which generally requires the manufacturer to submit a premarket notification requesting permission for commercial distribution; this is known as 510(k) clearance. Most lower risk, or class I, devices are exempted from this requirement. Devices deemed by the FDA to pose the greatest risk, such as life-sustaining, life supporting or implantable devices, or devices deemed not substantially equivalent to a previously 510(k) cleared device or a preamendment class III device for which PMA applications have not been called, are placed in class III requiring PMA approval. The FDA has classified our Piccolo products as class I or class II devices, depending on their specific intended uses and indications for use.

510(k) Clearance Pathway. To obtain 510(k) clearance, a manufacturer must submit a premarket notification demonstrating that the proposed device is substantially equivalent in intended use, principles of operation, and technological characteristics to a previously 510(k) cleared device or a device that was in commercial distribution before May 28, 1976 for which the FDA has not called for submission of PMA applications. The FDA's 510(k) clearance pathway usually takes from three to six months, but it can last longer.

After a device receives 510(k) clearance, any modification that could significantly affect its safety or effectiveness, or that would constitute a major change in its intended use, requires a new 510(k) clearance or could require a PMA approval. The FDA requires each manufacturer to make this determination in the first instance, but the FDA can review any such decision. If the FDA disagrees with a manufacturer's decision not to seek a new 510(k) clearance, the agency may retroactively require the manufacturer to seek 510(k) clearance or PMA approval. The FDA also can require the manufacturer to cease marketing and/or recall the modified device until 510(k) clearance or PMA approval is obtained, to redesign the device or to submit new data or information to the FDA. Products marketed following the FDA clearance also are subject to significant postmarket requirements.

As of March 31, 2010, we have received the FDA premarket clearance for our Piccolo chemistry analyzer and 26 reagent tests that we have on 14 reagent discs. We are currently developing additional tests which we will have to clear with the FDA through the 510(k) notification procedures. These new test products are crucial for our continued success in the human medical market. If we do not receive 510(k) clearance for a particular product, we will not be able to market that product in the United States until we provide additional information to the FDA and gain premarket clearance. The inability to market a new product during this time could harm our future sales.

APHIS Licensure of Veterinary Biologics

On October 8, 2009, Abaxis announced it has received APHIS licensure of its canine heartworm antigen (CHW) test utilizing a rotor-based assay system consisting of eleven other important canine health determinations. The CHW diagnostic product is regulated as a veterinary biologic by the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) under the Virus, Serum, and Toxin Act of 1913. Veterinary biologics are licensed as are their manufacturing facilities. Products are subject to extensive testing to establish their purity, safety, potency,

Table of Contents

and efficacy. Licensed biologics are also required to be prepared in accordance with a filed Outline of Production, among other requirements. Failure to comply with APHIS licensure or post-marketing approval requirements can result in the inability to obtain product or establishment licenses or cause the revocation or suspension of such licenses.

We are currently developing additional tests that will be subject to APHIS licensure as veterinary biologics. If we do not receive licensure, we will not be able to market that product in the United States, which could also harm our sales.

Need to Comply with Manufacturing Regulations

The 1976 Medical Device Amendment also requires us to manufacture our Piccolo products in accordance with Good Manufacturing Practices guidelines. Current Good Manufacturing Practice requirements are set forth in the 21 CFR 820 Quality System Regulation. These requirements regulate the methods used in, and the facilities and controls used for the design, manufacture, packaging, storage, installation and servicing of our medical devices intended for human use. Our manufacturing facility is subject to periodic inspections. In addition, various state regulatory agencies may regulate the manufacture of our products. To date, we have complied with the following federal, state, local and international regulatory requirements:

In April 2001, the State of California Food and Drug Branch granted our manufacturing facility in compliance status, based on the regulations for Good Manufacturing Practices for medical devices.

In May 2001, the State of California Food and Drug Branch granted licensing for our manufacturing facility in Union City, California.

In May 2002, we received our ISO 9001 certification, expanding our compliance with international quality standards.

In December 2003, we received ISO 13485 Quality System certification as required by the 2003 European In Vitro Device Directive. This certified our quality system specifically to medical devices.

In both March 2003 and September 2005, the FDA conducted a facility inspection and verified our compliance with the 21 CFR 820 Regulation.

In August 2008, the FDA conducted an additional facility inspection to verify our compliance with 21 CFR 820 Regulation.

In October 2009 and November 2006, we received our recertification to the ISO 13485:2003 Quality System Standard for medical devices.

In October 2009, we received a United States Veterinary Biologics Establishment License from the United States Department of Agriculture.

We cannot assure you that we will successfully pass the latest FDA inspection or any re-inspection by the FDA or the State of California. In addition, we cannot assure you that we can comply with all current or future government manufacturing requirements and regulations. If we are unable to comply with the regulations, or if we do not pass routine inspections, our business and results of operations will be materially adversely affected.

Effects of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments on Our Products

Our Piccolo products are also affected by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (the CLIA) of 1988. The CLIA are intended to insure the quality and reliability of all medical testing in the United States regardless of where the tests are performed. The current CLIA regulations divide laboratory tests into the following three categories:

waived;

moderately complex; and

highly complex.

Table of Contents

Many of the tests performed using the Piccolo chemistry analyzer are in the moderately complex category. This category requires that any location in which testing is performed be certified as a laboratory. Hence, we can only sell some Piccolo products to customers who meet the standards of a laboratory. To receive laboratory certification, a testing facility must be certified by the CMS. After the testing facility receives a laboratory certification, it must then meet the CLIA regulations. Because we can only sell some Piccolo products to testing facilities that are certified laboratories, the market for some products is correspondingly constrained.

We can currently offer the following Piccolo reagent discs as waived tests to the medical market: Basic Metabolic Panel, Comprehensive Metabolic Panel, Electrolyte Panel, General Chemistry 6, General Chemistry 13, Kidney Check, Lipid Panel, Lipid Panel Plus, Liver Panel Plus, MetLyte 8 Panel and Renal Function Panel. Waived status permits untrained personnel to run the Piccolo chemistry analyzer using these tests; thus, extending the sites (doctors offices and other point-of-care environments) that can use the Piccolo chemistry analyzer. Although we are engaged in an active program to test and apply for CLIA waivers for additional analytes, we cannot assure you that we will successfully receive CLIA waived status from the FDA for other products. Consequently, for the reagent discs that have not received CLIA waived status, the market for our Piccolo products may be confined to those testing facilities that are certified as laboratories and our growth can be limited accordingly.

Need to Comply with Various Federal, State, Local and International Regulations

Federal, state, local and international regulations regarding the manufacture and sale of health care products and diagnostic devices may change. In addition, as we continue to sell in foreign markets, we may have to obtain additional governmental clearances in those markets. Foreign certifications that we have received include the following, among others:

In December 2003, we received certification from the British Standards Institute to the ISO 13485:1996 Quality System standard for medical devices. This quality system certification, along with successful completion of product testing to 2003 European standards and the translation of Piccolo product documentation into the required languages, enabled us to meet the compliance requirements of the CE Mark and the 2003 European In Vitro Device Directive.

In September 2005, we received the Canadian Medical Device Conformity Assessment System stamp on our ISO 13485 certificate to signify compliance with Health Canada regulations.

In March 2006, we received our certification to the 2003 version of the ISO 13485 Quality System Standard for medical devices.

In October 2009, we received our recertification to the ISO 13485:2003 Quality System Standard for medical devices.

We cannot predict what impact, if any, such current or future regulatory changes would have on our business. We may not be able to obtain regulatory clearances for our products in the United States or in foreign markets, and the failure to obtain these regulatory clearances will materially adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Although we believe that we will be able to comply with all applicable regulations of the FDA and of the State of California, including the Quality System Regulation, current regulations depend on administrative interpretations. Future interpretations made by the FDA, CMS or other regulatory bodies may adversely affect our business.

We have incurred and may continue to incur, in future periods, significant share-based compensation charges which may adversely affect our reported financial results.

In accordance with Accounting Standards Codification 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation, issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, we measure all share-based payments to employees, using a fair-value-based method and we record such expense in our results of operations. The fair value of restricted stock unit awards used in our expense recognition method is measured based on the number of shares granted and the closing market price of our common stock on the date of grant. Such value is recognized as an expense, net of an estimated forfeiture rate, over the corresponding requisite service period. Since fiscal 2007, we have granted restricted stock

Table of Contents

unit awards annually to employees based on the following time-based vesting schedule over a four-year period: five percent vesting after the first year; additional ten percent after the second year; additional 15 percent after the third year; and the remaining 70 percent after the fourth year of continuous employment. Since we began granting restricted stock units as part of our share-based compensation program in fiscal 2007, share-based compensation expense related to restricted stock units had a material impact on our earnings per share and on our consolidated financial statements and we expect that it will continue to adversely impact our reported results of operations, particularly in the fourth year of vesting for the restricted stock unit awarded to employees. As of March 31, 2010, our unrecognized compensation expense related to restricted stock unit awards granted to employees and directors to date totaled \$12.9 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average service period of 1.89 years.

We depend on key members of our management and scientific staff and, if we fail to retain and recruit qualified individuals, our ability to execute our business strategy and generate sales would be harmed.

We are highly dependent on the principal members of our management and scientific staff. The loss of any of these key personnel, including in particular Clinton H. Severson, our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of our Board of Directors, might impede the achievement of our business objectives. We may not be able to continue to attract and retain skilled and experienced marketing, sales and manufacturing personnel on acceptable terms in the future because numerous medical products and other high technology companies compete for the services of these qualified individuals. We currently do not maintain key man life insurance on any of our employees.

We may inadvertently produce defective products, which may subject us to significant warranty liabilities or product liability claims and we may have insufficient product liability insurance to pay material uninsured claims.

Our business exposes us to potential warranty and product liability risks which are inherent in the testing, manufacturing and marketing of human and veterinary medical products. We strive to apply sophisticated methods to raw materials and produce defect-free medical test equipment. Although we have established procedures for quality control on both the raw materials that we receive from suppliers and our manufactured final products, these procedures may prove inadequate to detect a defect that occurs in limited quantities, that we have not anticipated or otherwise. Our Piccolo and VetScan chemistry analyzers may be unable to detect all errors which could result in the misdiagnosis of human or veterinary patients.

Should we inadvertently manufacture and ship defective products, we may be subject to substantial claims under our warranty policy or product liability laws. In addition, our policy is to credit medical providers for any defective product that we produce, including those reagent discs that are rejected by our Piccolo and VetScan chemistry analyzers. Therefore, even if a mass defect within a lot or lots of reagent discs were detected by our Piccolo and VetScan chemistry analyzers, the replacement of such reagent discs free of charge would be costly and could materially harm our financial condition. Further, in the event that a product defect is not detected in our Piccolo chemistry analyzer, our relatively recent expansion into the human medical market greatly increases the risk that the amount of damages involved with just one product defect would be material to our operations. We currently maintain limited product liability insurance that we believe is adequate for our current needs, taking into account the risks involved and cost of coverage. However, our product liability insurance and cash may be insufficient to cover potential liabilities. In addition, in the future the coverage that we require may be unavailable on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. Even with our current insurance coverage, a mass product defect, product liability claim or recall could subject us to claims above the amount of our coverage and would materially adversely affect our business and our financial condition.

We could fail to achieve anticipated revenue if we experience problems related to the manufacture of our blood chemistry analyzers.

We manufacture our blood chemistry analyzers at our manufacturing facility in Union City, California. During fiscal 2008, we experienced problems related to the manufacture of our new blood chemistry analyzer, which were primarily related to difficulties and delays in obtaining certain key components that we purchase from various

Table of Contents

suppliers. These manufacturing problems were primarily related to quality control issues for key components that we obtain from our suppliers and to design issues of the key components required in our blood chemistry analyzer. Our difficulties in obtaining an adequate amount of quality components for the manufacture of our blood chemistry analyzer had a materially adverse impact on our sales of VetScan chemistry analyzers in fiscal 2008. We believe that we have taken appropriate steps to resolve these issues, including securing quality parts from our suppliers, but there can be no assurance that our efforts to resolve these manufacturing difficulties will continue to prove to be successful or that similar supply problems will not arise in the future. If we are unable to prevent similar problems from occurring in the future, we may not be able to manufacture sufficient quantities to meet anticipated demand and, therefore, will not be able to effectively market and sell our blood chemistry analyzers; accordingly, our revenue and business would be materially adversely affected.

We are subject to increasingly complex requirements from recent legislation requiring companies to evaluate internal control over financial reporting.

Rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 require an assessment of internal control over financial reporting by our management and an attestation of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting by an independent registered public accounting firm. We have an ongoing program to perform the assessment, testing and evaluation to comply with these requirements and we expect to continue to incur significant expenses for Section 404 compliance on an ongoing basis.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of our fiscal years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009. Although we received an unqualified opinion on our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, and on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2010 and 2009, we cannot predict the outcome of our testing in future periods. In the event that our internal control over financial reporting is not effective as defined under Section 404, or any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or difficulties encountered in implementation could harm operating results or prevent us from accurately reporting financial results or cause a failure to meet our reporting obligations in the future. If management cannot assess internal control over financial reporting is effective, or our independent registered public accounting firm is unable to provide an unqualified attestation report on such assessment, investor confidence and our share value may be negatively impacted.

We may need additional funding in the future and these funds may not be available to us.

We believe that our existing capital resources, available line of credit and anticipated revenue from the sales of our products will be adequate to satisfy our currently planned operating and financial requirements through the next 12 months, although no assurances can be given. The terms of our line of credit contain a number of covenants concerning financial tests that we must meet, and these tests are more fully explained in Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Further, we expect to incur incremental additional costs to support our future operations, including:

further commercialization of our products and development of new test methods to allow us to further penetrate the human diagnostic market and the veterinary diagnostic market;

our need to acquire capital equipment for our manufacturing facilities, which includes the ongoing costs related to the continuing development of our current and future products;

research and design costs related to the continuing development of our current and future products; and

additional pre-clinical testing and clinical trials for our current and future products.

To the extent that our existing resources and anticipated revenue from the sale of our products are insufficient to fund our activities or if we are unable to meet the financial covenants of our line of credit, we may have to raise additional funds from the issuance of public or private securities. In the event that we cannot maintain compliance with these financial covenants, we may also be subject to increased interest rate expenses. We may not be able to raise additional funding, or if we are able to, we may not be able to raise funding on acceptable terms. We may also

Table of Contents

dilute then-existing shareholders if we raise additional funds by issuing new equity securities. Alternately, we may have to relinquish rights to certain of our technologies, products and/or sales territories if we are required to obtain funds through arrangements with collaborative partners. If we are unable to raise needed funds, we may be required to curtail our operations significantly. This would materially adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

We must comply with strict and potentially costly environmental regulations or we could pay significant fines.

We are subject to stringent federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and policies that govern the use, generation, manufacture, storage, air emission, effluent discharge, handling and disposal of certain materials and wastes. In particular, we are subject to laws, rules and regulations governing the handling and disposal of biohazardous materials used in the development and testing of our products. Our costs to comply with applicable environmental regulations consist primarily of handling and disposing of human and veterinary blood samples for testing (whole blood, plasma, serum). Although we believe that we have complied with applicable laws and regulations in all material respects and have not been required to take any action to correct any noncompliance, we may have to incur significant costs to comply with environmental regulations if our manufacturing to commercial levels continues to increase. In addition, if a government agency determines that we have not complied with these laws, rules and regulations, we may have to pay significant fines and/or take remedial action that would be expensive and we do not carry environmental-related insurance coverage.

Our facilities and manufacturing operations are vulnerable to natural disasters and other unexpected losses. Any such losses or system failures or delays may harm our business.

Our success depends on the efficient and uninterrupted operation of our manufacturing operations, which are co-located with our corporate headquarters in Union City, California. A failure of manufacturing operations, be it in the development and manufacturing of our Piccolo or VetScan blood chemistry analyzers or the reagent discs used in the blood chemistry analyzers, could result in our inability to supply customer demand.

We do not have a backup facility to provide redundant manufacturing capacity in the event of a system failure. Accordingly, if our location in Union City, California experienced a system failure or regulatory problem that temporarily shuts down our manufacturing facility, our manufacturing ability would become unavailable until we were able to bring an alternative facility online, a process which could take several weeks or even months. These manufacturing operations are also vulnerable to damage from earthquakes, fire, floods, power loss, telecommunications failures, break-ins and similar events. Although we carry property and business interruption insurance, our coverage may not be adequate to compensate us for all losses that may occur. Additionally, our computer servers may be vulnerable to computer viruses, physical or electronic break-ins and similar disruptions.

Fluctuations in foreign exchange rates and the possible lack of financial stability in foreign countries could prevent overseas sales growth.

Our international sales are currently primarily U.S. dollar-denominated. As a result, an increase in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies could make our products less competitive in international markets. For our sales denominated in local currencies, we are subject to fluctuations in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and the particular local currency. Our operating results could also be adversely affected by the seasonality of international sales and the economic conditions of our overseas markets.

Our operating results could be materially affected by unanticipated changes in our tax provisions or exposure to additional income tax liabilities.

Our determination of our tax liability (like any company's determination of its tax liability) is subject to review by applicable tax authorities. Any adverse outcome of such a review could have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition. In addition, the determination of our provision for income taxes and other tax liabilities requires significant judgment including our determination of whether a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets is required. Although we believe our estimates and judgments are reasonable, the ultimate tax

Table of Contents

outcome may differ from the amounts recorded in our consolidated financial statements and may materially affect our financial results in the period or periods for which such determination is made.

Our stock price is highly volatile and investing in our stock involves a high degree of risk, which could result in substantial losses for investors.

The market price of our common stock, like the securities of many other medical products companies, fluctuates over a wide range, and will continue to be highly volatile in the future. During the quarter ended March 31, 2010, the closing sale prices of our common stock on the NASDAQ Global Market ranged from \$22.53 to \$28.38 per share and the closing sale price for our quarter ended March 31, 2010 was \$27.19 per share. During the last eight fiscal quarters ended March 31, 2010, our stock price closed at a high of \$30.48 per share on June 5, 2008 and a low of \$10.28 per share on October 27, 2008. Many factors may affect the market price of our common stock, including:

fluctuation in our operating results;

announcements of technological innovations or new commercial products by us or our competitors;

changes in governmental regulation in the United States and internationally;

prospects and proposals for health care reform;

governmental or third-party payors' controls on prices that our customers may pay for our products;

developments or disputes concerning our patents or our other proprietary rights;

product liability claims and public concern as to the safety of our devices or similar devices developed by our competitors; and

general market conditions.

Because our stock price is so volatile, investing in our common stock is highly risky. A potential investor must be able to withstand the loss of his entire investment in our common stock.

Our shareholders rights plan and our ability to issue preferred stock may delay or prevent a change of control of Abaxis.

Our shareholder rights plan, adopted by our board of directors on April 22, 2003, may make it more difficult for a third party to acquire, or discourage a third party from attempting to acquire control of, Abaxis. The shareholder rights plan could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

In addition, our board of directors has the authority to issue up to 5,000,000 shares of preferred stock and to determine the price, rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions, including voting rights, of those shares without any further vote or action by the shareholders, except to the extent required by NASDAQ rules. The issuance of preferred stock, while providing flexibility in connection with possible financings or acquisitions or other corporate purposes, could have the effect of making it more difficult for a third party to acquire a majority of our outstanding voting stock.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments*

Not applicable.

Item 2. *Properties*

We occupy approximately 91,124 square feet of office, research and development and manufacturing space in a building in Union City, California. Our original lease agreement commenced in January 2001 for ten years, with an option to extend the lease for five additional years. In March 2010, we amended our lease by extending the lease term until February 2021. Additionally, in our amended agreement, we will lease an additional 35,239 square feet of office space in a building in Union City, California. The lease of these additional premises is conditioned upon the effective termination of a lease agreement with an existing tenant. Starting April 2009, we also sublease

Table of Contents

approximately 25,705 square feet in Union City, California to support warehousing and distribution efforts pursuant to a sublease that expires in fiscal 2012. In Darmstadt, Germany, we lease approximately 8,900 square feet of office space. During fiscal 2010 we expanded our leased facilities in Darmstadt, Germany and extended the lease terms to fiscal 2015. We believe that our existing facilities are adequate to meet our current requirements, and that we will be able to obtain additional facilities space on commercially reasonable terms, if and when they are required.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

We are involved from time to time in various litigation matters in the normal course of business. While the outcome of these proceedings and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, we believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Item 4. *Removed and Reserved***PART II****Item 5. *Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*****Market Information for Common Stock**

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol ABAX. The following table sets forth the quarterly high and low intra-day per share sales prices for the common stock from April 1, 2008 through March 31, 2010 as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market:

	Prices			
	Fiscal 2010		Fiscal 2009	
	High	Low	High	Low
Quarter ended June 30	\$ 20.73	\$ 13.54	\$ 30.62	\$ 22.74
Quarter ended September 30	29.80	18.54	24.80	17.81
Quarter ended December 31	27.97	21.95	20.43	10.17
Quarter ended March 31	28.50	22.38	19.49	13.24

As of June 9, 2010, there were 22,287,000 shares of our common stock outstanding, held by 140 shareholders of record.

We did not repurchase any of our equity securities during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010.

Dividends

Under our debt agreements, we are restricted from paying aggregate cash dividends on our capital stock in excess of 50% of our net income on an annual basis. We have not paid cash dividends on our common stock and do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents**Stock Performance Graph¹**

The graph below compares the cumulative total shareholder return on an investment in our common stock, the Russell 2000 Index and the NASDAQ Medical Equipment Securities Index over the past five year period ended March 31, 2010. The shareholder return shown on the graph below is not necessarily indicative of future performance, and we do not make or endorse any predictions as to future shareholder returns.

The graph assumes the investment of \$100 on March 31, 2005 in our common stock, the Russell 2000 Index and the NASDAQ Medical Equipment Securities Index and assumes dividends, if any, are reinvested. No dividends have been declared on our common stock to date.

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN
Among Abaxis, Inc., the Russell 2000 Index
and the NASDAQ Medical Equipment Securities Index

	3/31/2005	3/31/2006	3/31/2007	3/31/2008	3/31/2009	3/31/2010
Abaxis, Inc.	\$ 100.00	\$ 256.27	\$ 275.37	\$ 261.81	\$ 194.80	\$ 307.23
Russell 2000	\$ 100.00	\$ 125.85	\$ 133.28	\$ 115.95	\$ 72.47	\$ 117.95
NASDAQ Medical Equipment Securities	\$ 100.00	\$ 132.59	\$ 135.15	\$ 138.94	\$ 78.15	\$ 138.63

¹ This section is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference in any filing of Abaxis under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in any such filing.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following selected consolidated financial data is qualified by reference to and should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and with the consolidated financial statements, related notes thereto and other financial information included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Year Ended March 31,				
	2010(1)	2009(1)	2008(1)	2007(1)	2006
	(In thousands, except per share data)				
Consolidated Statements of Income Data:					
Revenues	\$ 124,557	\$ 105,562	\$ 100,551	\$ 86,221	\$ 68,928
Cost of revenues	52,435	46,937	45,507	39,362	30,075
Gross profit	72,122	58,625	55,044	46,859	38,853
Operating expenses:					
Research and development	10,688	8,361	6,966	6,180	6,127
Sales and marketing	30,138	24,712	23,689	20,569	16,219
General and administrative	10,521	7,757	6,681	5,735	5,775
Total operating expenses	51,347	40,830	37,336	32,484	28,121
Income from operations	20,775	17,795	17,708	14,375	10,732
Interest and other income (expense), net	630	1,271	2,096	1,774	787
Income before income tax provision	21,405	19,066	19,804	16,149	11,519
Income tax provision	8,382	7,053	7,301	6,076	4,044
Net income	\$ 13,023	\$ 12,013	\$ 12,503	\$ 10,073	\$ 7,475
Net income per share:					
Basic net income per share	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.49	\$ 0.37
Diluted net income per share	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.35
Shares used in the calculation of net income per share:					
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	22,021	21,826	21,499	20,643	19,985
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	22,606	22,324	22,261	21,846	21,492

(1)

Edgar Filing: ABAXIS INC - Form 10-K

Includes share-based compensation for employee share-based awards in our consolidated statements of income in accordance with ASC 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation.

	2010	2009	As of March 31, 2008 (In thousands)	2007	2006
Consolidated Balance Sheets Data:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,857	\$ 49,237	\$ 17,219	\$ 10,183	\$ 10,164
Short-term investments	32,343	20,776	6,991	35,028	20,372
Working capital	89,327	101,815	52,500	74,517	49,949
Long-term investments	36,319	4,886	35,463		
Total assets	167,816	140,711	120,903	102,715	83,078
Non-current liabilities	1,682	2,270	2,161	2,167	1,679
Total shareholders' equity	147,119	126,892	104,649	87,812	71,038

Table of Contents

Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This discussion may contain forward-looking statements based upon current expectations that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results and the timing of selected events could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of several factors, including those set forth under Item 1A. Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Abaxis, Inc. develops, manufactures, markets and sells portable blood analysis systems for use in the human or veterinary patient-care setting to provide clinicians with rapid blood constituent measurements. Our primary product is a blood analysis system, consisting of a compact portable analyzer and a series of single-use plastic discs, called reagent discs, containing all the chemicals required to perform a panel of up to 14 tests on human patients and 13 tests on veterinary patients. We manufacture the system in our manufacturing facility in Union City, California and we market our blood chemistry analyzers in both the medical market and in the veterinary market, as described below.

Medical Market: We currently market the blood analysis system in the medical market under the name Piccolo® Xpress. Through October 2006, we marketed the blood analysis system in the medical market as the Piccolo®, now referred to as the Piccolo Classic. We continue to support and service our current population of Piccolo Xpress and Piccolo Classic chemistry analyzers.

Veterinary Market: We currently market the blood analysis system in the veterinary market under the name VetScan VS2®. Through March 2006, we marketed the blood analysis system in the veterinary market as the VetScan®, now referred to as the VetScan Classic. We continue to support and service our current population of VetScan VS2 and VetScan Classic chemistry analyzers.

In September 2007, we introduced a veterinary hematology instrument under the name VetScan HM5. The VetScan HM5 offers a 22-parameter complete blood count (CBC) analysis, including a five-part differential cell counter specifically designed for veterinary applications. In May 2004, we introduced a veterinary hematology instrument that offers an 18-parameter CBC analysis, including a three-part white blood cell differential, marketed originally as the VetScan HMII, and is now referred to as the VetScan HM2. We currently purchase the hematology instruments from Diatron Medical Instruments PLC. of Budapest, Hungary. Through April 2004, we marketed a veterinary hematology instrument under the name VetScan HMT. We continue to support and service our current population of VetScan HM5, VetScan HM2, VetScan HMII and VetScan HMT hematology instruments. We also market reagent kits to be used with our hematology instruments which we currently purchase from three suppliers: Clinical Diagnostic Solutions, Inc., Diatron Medical Instruments PLC. and Mallinckrodt Baker BV.

In July 2008, our sales office in Darmstadt, Germany was incorporated as Abaxis Europe GmbH to market, promote and distribute diagnostic systems for medical and veterinary uses. As a result, Abaxis Europe GmbH became a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abaxis. The subsidiary was formed to provide customer support in a timely manner in response to the growing and increasingly diverse services needs of customers in the European market.

In January 2009, we introduced a veterinary coagulation analyzer under the name VetScan VSpro. The VetScan VSpro assists in the diagnosis and evaluation of suspected bleeding disorders, toxicity/poisoning, evaluation of Disseminated Intravascular Coagulation, hepatic disease and monitoring therapy and progression of disease states. The point-of-care coagulation analyzer is offered with a combination assay (PT/aPTT test cartridge) for canine testing. We currently purchase the coagulation analyzers and coagulation cartridges from Scandinavian Micro Biodevices

APS of Farum, Denmark.

In January 2009, we introduced a canine heartworm rapid test under the name VetScan Canine Heartworm Rapid Test. The VetScan Canine Heartworm Rapid Test is a highly sensitive and specific test for the detection of *Dirofilaria immitis* in canine whole blood, serum or plasma. The lateral flow immunoassay technology in the canine heartworm rapid tests provides immediate results.

Table of Contents

In May 2009, we entered into an exclusive agreement with Abbott Point of Care Inc., granting us the right to sell and distribute Abbott's i-STAT® 1 handheld instrument (i-STAT 1 analyzer) and associated consumables for blood gas, electrolyte, basic blood chemistry and immunoassay testing in the animal health care market worldwide. Our right to sell and distribute these products was initially non-exclusive, but became exclusive in all countries of the world, except for Japan, on November 1, 2009. Our rights in Japan remain non-exclusive for the term of the agreement. The initial term of the agreement ends on December 31, 2014, and after this initial term, our agreement continues automatically for successive one-year periods unless terminated by either party. We started marketing and sales activities of the i-STAT cartridges in the first quarter of fiscal 2010. In the second quarter of fiscal 2010, we started marketing and sales activities of the i-STAT instrument. We launched an Abaxis-branded version of the i-STAT 1 instrument as part of our VetScan line in the third quarter of fiscal 2010.

Our sales for any future periods are not predictable with a significant degree of certainty, and may depend on a number of factors outside of our control, including but not limited to inventory or timing considerations by our distributors. We generally operate with a limited order backlog because our products are typically shipped shortly after orders are received. As a result, product sales in any quarter are generally dependent on orders booked and shipped in that quarter. Our expense levels, which are to a large extent fixed, are based in part on our expectations of future revenues. Accordingly, we may be unable to adjust spending in a timely manner to compensate for any unexpected revenue shortfall. As a result, any such shortfall would negatively affect our operating results and financial condition. In addition, our sales may be adversely impacted by pricing pressure from competitors. Our ability to be consistently profitable will depend, in part, on our ability to increase the sales volumes of our Piccolo and VetScan products and to successfully compete with other competitors. We believe that period to period comparisons of our results of operations are not necessarily meaningful indicators of future results.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES, ESTIMATES AND JUDGMENTS

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and revenues and expenses during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates and the sensitivity of these estimates to deviations in the assumptions used in making them. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. However, there can be no assurance that our actual results will not differ from these estimates.

We have identified the policies below as critical because they are not only important to understanding our financial condition and results of operations, but also because application and interpretation of these policies requires both judgment and estimates of matters that are inherently uncertain and unknown. Accordingly, actual results may differ materially from our estimates. The impact and any associated risks related to these policies on our business operations are discussed below. For a more detailed discussion on the application of these and other accounting policies, see the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Revenue Recognition and Deferred Revenue. Our primary customers are distributors and direct customers in both the medical and veterinary markets. Revenues from product sales, net of estimated sales allowances and rebates, are recognized when (i) evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) upon shipment of the products to the customer, (iii) the sales price is fixed or determinable and (iv) collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. Rights of return are not provided.

We recognize revenue associated with extended maintenance agreements ratably over the life of the contract. Amounts collected in advance of revenue recognition are recorded as a current or non-current liability based on the

time from the balance sheet date to the future date of revenue recognition. We provide incentives in the form of free goods or extended maintenance agreements to customers in connection with the sale of our instruments. Revenues from such sales are allocated separately to the instruments and incentives based on the relative fair value of each element. Revenues allocated to incentives are deferred until the goods are shipped to the customer or are recognized ratably over the life of the maintenance contract. At March 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the current portion of deferred

Table of Contents

revenue balances was \$1.2 million, \$1.0 million and \$807,000, respectively, and the non-current portion of deferred revenue balances was \$1.4 million, \$1.6 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. The fluctuation in balances is due to the types of customer incentives programs offered during the period and depends on when the free goods are shipped to the customer and the maintenance period of the maintenance agreements.

We periodically offer trade-in programs to customers for trading in an existing instrument to purchase a new instrument and we will either provide incentives in the form of free goods or reduce the sales price of the instrument. These incentives in the form of free goods are recorded according to the policies described above.

We periodically offer programs to customers whereby certain instruments are made available to customers for rent or evaluation basis. These programs typically require customers to purchase a minimum quantity of consumables during a specified period for which we recognize revenue on the related consumable according to the policies described above. Depending on the program offered, customers may purchase the instrument during the rental or evaluation period. Proceeds from such sale are recorded as revenue according to the policies described above. Rental income, if any, are also recorded as revenue according to the policies described above.

Royalties are typically based on licensees' net sales of products that utilize our technology and are recognized as earned in accordance with the contract terms when royalties from licensees can be reliably measured and collectibility is reasonably assured, such as upon the receipt of a royalty statement from the licensee. Our royalty revenue depends on the licensees' use of our technology, and therefore, may vary from period to period and impact our revenues during a quarter.

Distributor and Customer Rebates. We offer distributor pricing rebates and customer incentives from time to time. The distributor pricing rebates are offered to distributors upon meeting the sales volume requirements during a qualifying period. The distributor pricing rebates are recorded as a reduction to gross revenues during a qualifying period. Cash rebates are offered to distributors or customers who purchase certain products or instruments during a promotional period. Cash rebates are recorded as a reduction to gross revenues.

The distributor pricing rebate program is offered to distributors in the North America veterinary market, upon meeting the sales volume requirements of veterinary products during the qualifying period. Factors used in the rebate calculations include the identification of products sold subject to a rebate during the qualifying period and which rebate percentage applies. Based on these factors and using historical trends, adjusted for current changes, we estimate the amount of the rebate that will be paid and record the liability as a reduction to gross revenues when we record the sale of the product. Settlement of the rebate accruals from the date of sale ranges from one to three months after sale. Changes in the rebate accrual at each fiscal year end are based upon distributors meeting the purchase requirements during the quarter. Other rebate programs offered to distributors or customers vary from period to period in the medical and veterinary markets.

The following table summarizes the change in total accrued distributor and customer rebates (in thousands):

	Balance at Beginning of Year	Provisions	Payments	Balance at End of Year
Year Ended March 31, 2010	\$ 96	\$ 268	\$ (316)	\$ 48
Year Ended March 31, 2009	\$ 140	\$ 294	\$ (338)	\$ 96
Year Ended March 31, 2008	\$ 397	\$ 498	\$ (755)	\$ 140

Sales and Other Allowances. We estimate a provision for defective reagent discs as part of our sales allowances when we issue credits to customers for defective reagent discs. We also establish, upon shipment of our products to distributors, a provision for potentially defective reagent discs, based on estimates derived from historical experience. The provision for potentially defective reagent discs was recorded in sales allowances, using internal data available to estimate the level of inventory in the distribution channel, the lag time for customers to report defective reagent discs and the historical rates of defective reagent discs. Starting on July 1, 2007, the provision for potentially defective reagent discs is recorded as part of warranty reserves, instead of sales allowances, since we replace defective reagent discs rather than issue a credit to customers. Changes in our estimates for accruals related to provisions for defective reagent discs have not been material to our financial position or results of

Table of Contents

operations. In the future, the actual defective reagent discs may exceed our estimates, which could adversely affect our financial results.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts based on our assessment of the collectibility of the amounts owed to us by our customers. In determining the amount of the allowance, we make judgments about the creditworthiness of customers which is mostly determined by the customer's payment history and the outstanding period of accounts. We specifically identify amounts that we believe to be uncollectible and the allowance for doubtful accounts is adjusted accordingly. An additional allowance is recorded based on certain percentages of our aged receivables, using historical experience to estimate the potential uncollectible and our assessment of the general financial condition of our customer base. If our actual collections experience changes, revisions to our allowances may be required, which could adversely affect our operating income.

Fair Value Measurements. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure, establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between (1) market participant assumptions developed based on market data obtained from independent sources (observable inputs) and (2) an entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions developed based on the best information available in the circumstances (unobservable inputs). The fair value hierarchy consists of three broad levels, which gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. As of March 31, 2010, we used Level 1 assumptions for our cash equivalents, which are traded in an active market. The valuations are based on quoted prices of the underlying security that are readily and regularly available in an active market, and accordingly, a significant degree of judgment is not required.

Level 2: Directly or indirectly observable inputs as of the reporting date through correlation with market data, including quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and quoted prices in markets that are not active. Level 2 also includes assets and liabilities that are valued using models or other pricing methodologies that do not require significant judgment since the input assumptions used in the models, such as interest rates and volatility factors, are corroborated by readily observable data from actively quoted markets for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. As of March 31, 2010, we did not have any Level 2 financial assets or liabilities.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market data and require the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management's estimates of market participant assumptions. As of March 31, 2010, we did not have any Level 3 financial assets or liabilities.

Fair value is a market-based measure considered from the perspective of a market participant who holds the asset or owes the liability rather than an entity-specific measure. Therefore, even when market assumptions are not readily available, our own assumptions are developed to reflect those that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. At March 31, 2010, our short-term investments totaled \$32.3 million and our long-term investments totaled \$36.3 million, which were classified as held-to-maturity and carried at amortized cost.

Warranty Reserves. We provide for the estimated future costs to be incurred under our standard warranty obligation on our instruments. Our standard warranty obligation on instruments ranges from one to three years. The estimated contractual warranty obligation is recorded when the related revenue is recognized and any additional amount is recorded when such cost is probable and can be reasonably estimated. Cost of revenues reflects estimated warranty

expense for instruments sold in the current period and any adjustments in estimated warranty expense for the installed base under our standard warranty obligation based on our quarterly evaluation of service experience. While we engage in product quality programs and processes, including monitoring and evaluating the quality of our suppliers, our estimated accrual for warranty exposure is based on our historical experience as to product failures, estimated product failure rates, estimated repair costs, material usage and freight incurred in repairing the instrument after failure and known design changes under the warranty plan.

Table of Contents

Each quarter, we reevaluate our estimate of warranty reserves, including our assumptions. During fiscal 2010, we recorded an adjustment to pre-existing warranties of \$900,000, which reduced our warranty reserves and our cost of revenues, based on both a decrease in our historical experience as to product failures and our judgment of a decrease in estimated product failure rates of blood chemistry analyzers since we began taking steps to resolve manufacturing problems primarily from fiscal 2008 related to quality control for key components that we obtain from our suppliers and to design issues of the key components required.

Our current portion of warranty reserves of \$1.2 million as of March 31, 2010 and non-current portion of warranty reserves of \$160,000 as of March 31, 2010 reflects our estimate of warranty obligations based on the number of instruments in standard warranty, estimated product failure rates and estimated repair costs.

A provision for defective reagent discs is recorded when the related sale is recognized and any additional amount is recorded when such cost is probable and can be reasonably estimated, at which time they are included in cost of revenues. The warranty cost includes the replacement costs and freight of a defective reagent disc.

We review the historical warranty cost trends and analyze the adequacy of the ending accrual balance of warranty reserves each quarter. The determination of warranty reserves requires us to make estimates of the estimated product failure rate, expected costs to repair or replace the instruments and to replace defective reagent discs under warranty. If actual repair or replacement costs of instruments or replacement costs of reagent discs differ significantly from our estimates, adjustments to cost of revenues may be required. Additionally, if factors change and we revise our assumptions on the product failure rate of instruments or reagent discs, then our warranty reserves and cost of revenues could be materially impacted in the quarter of revision, as well as in following quarters.

Inventories. We state inventories at the lower of cost or market, cost being determined using standard costs which approximate actual costs using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. Inventories include material, labor and overhead. We establish provisions for excess, obsolete and unusable inventories after evaluation of future demand and market conditions. If future demand or actual market conditions are less favorable than those estimated by management or if a significant amount of the material were to become unusable, additional inventory write-downs may be required, which would have a negative effect on our operating income.

Valuation of Long-Lived Assets. We evaluate the carrying value of our long-lived assets, such as property and equipment and amortized intangible assets, whenever events or changes in business circumstances or our planned use of long-lived assets indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be fully recoverable or their useful lives are no longer appropriate. We look to current and future profitability, as well as current and future undiscounted cash flows, excluding financing costs, as primary indicators of recoverability. An impairment loss would be recognized when the sum of the undiscounted future net cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposal is less than the carrying amount. If impairment is determined to exist, any related impairment loss is calculated based on fair value and long-lived assets are written down to their respective fair values.

Income Taxes. We account for income taxes using the liability method under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts to be recovered.

We recognize and measure benefits for uncertain tax positions using a two-step approach. The first step is to evaluate the tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return by determining if the weight of evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon audit, including resolution of any related appeals or

litigation processes. For tax positions that are more likely than not to be sustained upon audit, the second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50 percent likely to be realized upon settlement. Significant judgment is required to evaluate uncertain tax positions. At March 31, 2010 and 2009, we had no uncertain tax positions. Our policy is to include interest and penalties related to gross unrecognized tax benefits within our provision for income taxes. For fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, we did not recognize any interest or

Table of Contents

penalties related to uncertain tax positions in the consolidated statements of income, and at March 31, 2010 and 2009, we had no accrued interest or penalties.

Share-Based Compensation Expense. We account for share-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation. We recognize share-based compensation expense, net of an estimated forfeiture rate, over the requisite service period of the award to employees and directors.

We did not grant stock options during fiscal 2010, 2009 or 2008. For stock options granted prior to March 31, 2006, we use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the fair value. Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of share-based awards requires highly subjective assumptions, including risk-free interest rate, expected stock price volatility, expected term and expected dividends.

For restricted stock units, share-based compensation expense is based on the fair value of our stock at the grant date. As a result, if factors change and we use different assumptions, our share-based compensation expense could be materially different in the future.

As required by fair value provisions of share-based compensation, employee share-based compensation expense recognized is calculated over the requisite service period of the awards and reduced for estimated forfeitures. The forfeiture rate is estimated based on historical data of our share-based compensation awards that are granted and cancelled prior to vesting and upon historical experience of employee turnover. Changes in estimated forfeiture rates and differences between estimated forfeiture rates and actual experience may result in significant, unanticipated increases or decreases in share-based compensation expense from period to period. To the extent we revise our estimate of the forfeiture rate in the future, our share-based compensation expense could be materially impacted in the quarter of revision, as well as in following quarters.

Share-based compensation expense resulted in a material impact on our earnings per share and on our consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008. The impact of share-based compensation expense on our consolidated financial results is disclosed in Note 11, Share-Based Compensation in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statement in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. As of March 31, 2010, our unrecognized compensation expense related to restricted stock unit awards granted to employees and directors to date totaled \$12.9 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average service period of 1.89 years. We expect that share-based compensation will materially impact our consolidated financial statements in the foreseeable future. Excluding forfeitures, we estimate expense recognition of restricted stock units over the requisite service period of the award, for awards granted and unvested as of March 31, 2010 as follows: \$5.4 million in fiscal 2011, \$5.0 million in fiscal 2012, \$3.8 million in fiscal 2013 and \$851,000 in fiscal 2014.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Abaxis develops, manufactures, markets and sells portable blood analysis systems for use in the human or veterinary patient-care setting to provide clinicians with rapid blood constituent measurements. We operate in two segments: (i) the medical market and (ii) the veterinary market. See Segment Results in this section for a detailed discussion.

Table of Contents**Total Revenues**

Revenues by Geographic Region and by Product Category. Revenues by geographic region based on customer location and revenues by product category during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 were as follows (in thousands, except percentages):

Revenues by Geographic Region	Year Ended March 31,			Change 2009 to 2010		Change 2008 to 2009	
	2010	2009	2008	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change
North America	\$ 101,391	\$ 87,801	\$ 83,830	\$ 13,590	15%	\$ 3,971	5%
Percentage of total revenues	81%	83%	84%				
Europe	18,547	14,045	13,472	4,502	32%	573	4%
Percentage of total revenues	15%	13%	13%				
Asia Pacific and rest of the world	4,619	3,716	3,249	903	24%	467	14%
Percentage of total revenues	4%	4%	3%				
Total revenues	\$ 124,557	\$ 105,562	\$ 100,551	\$ 18,995	18%	\$ 5,011	5%

Revenues by Product Category	Year Ended March 31,			Change 2009 to 2010		Change 2008 to 2009	
	2010	2009	2008	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change
Instruments	\$ 28,787	\$ 28,194	\$ 30,011	\$ 593	2%	\$ (1,817)	(6)%
Percentage of total revenues	23%	27%	30%				
Consumables	85,819	69,072	61,928	16,747	24%	7,144	12%
Percentage of total revenues	69%	65%	62%				
Other products	6,809	5,170	6,583	1,639	32%	(1,413)	(21)%
Percentage of total revenues	5%	5%	6%				
Product sales, net	121,415	102,436	98,522	18,979	19%	3,914	4%
Percentage of total revenues	97%	97%	98%				
Development and licensing revenue	3,142	3,126	2,029	16	1%	1,097	54%
Percentage of total revenues	3%	3%	2%				
Total revenues	\$ 124,557	\$ 105,562	\$ 100,551	\$ 18,995	18%	\$ 5,011	5%

Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009

North America. During fiscal 2010, total revenues in North America increased 15%, or \$13.6 million, as compared to fiscal 2009. The change in total revenues in North America was attributed to the following:

Sales of our Piccolo chemistry analyzers in North America (excluding the U.S. government) decreased 35%, or \$1.7 million, primarily due to inventory stock adjustments by distributors during the first nine months of fiscal

2010.

Sales of our Piccolo chemistry analyzers to the U.S. government decreased 45%, or \$957,000, primarily due to a decrease in the U.S. Military's needs for our products, primarily in the third quarter of fiscal 2010, which were not predictable.

Medical reagent discs sales in North America (excluding the U.S. government) increased 11%, or \$1.2 million, primarily due to an increase in units sold resulting from an expanded installed base of our Piccolo chemistry analyzers.

Sales of our VetScan chemistry analyzers in North America decreased 9%, or \$808,000, primarily due to lower average selling prices.

Table of Contents

Veterinary reagent discs sales in North America increased 11%, or \$4.3 million, primarily due to an increase in units sold resulting from an expanded installed base of our VetScan chemistry analyzers and higher average selling prices.

Total sales of our VetScan hematology instruments and hematology reagent kits in North America increased 6%, or \$660,000, primarily due to an increase in units of hematology reagent kits sold resulting from an expanded installed base of our VetScan hematology instruments.

Total sales from our original equipment manufacturer (OEM) supplied products increased \$9.5 million during fiscal 2010 in North America. Our OEM supplied products include our VetScan VS^{pro} coagulation analyzers and related consumables (launched in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009), i-STAT analyzers and related consumables (launched in fiscal 2010), and canine heartworm rapid tests (launched in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009).

Revenues from other products in North America increased 29%, or \$1.4 million. The net increase was primarily due to (a) a decrease in maintenance contracts offered to customers from time to time as incentives in the form of free goods in connection with the sale of our products, for which revenue is deferred and recognized ratably over the life of the maintenance contract and (b) an increase in demand for products using the Orbos® Discrete Lyophilization Process, which is strongly affected by customer demands.

Significant concentration. One distributor in the United States, DVM Resources, accounted for 10% of our total worldwide revenues during fiscal 2010.

Europe. During fiscal 2010, total revenues in Europe increased 32%, or \$4.5 million, as compared to fiscal 2009. The increase in total revenues in Europe was attributed primarily to the following:

Sales of our Piccolo chemistry analyzers in Europe increased 25%, or \$306,000, primarily due to our promotion strategy and increased sales to distributors.

Medical reagent discs sales in Europe increased 11%, or \$229,000, primarily due to higher average selling prices.

Sales of our VetScan chemistry analyzers in Europe increased 44%, or \$1.1 million, primarily due to our promotion strategy and increased marketing activities by our distributors.

Veterinary reagent discs sales in Europe increased 27%, or \$2.0 million, primarily due to an increase in units sold resulting from an expanded installed base of our VetScan chemistry analyzers.

Total sales of our VetScan hematology instruments and hematology reagent kits in Europe increased 60%, or \$426,000, primarily due to an increase in units of hematology instruments sold resulting from our promotion strategy for our VetScan hematology instruments.

Total sales from our OEM supplied products increased \$267,000 during fiscal 2010 in Europe. Our OEM supplied products include our i-STAT analyzers and related consumables (launched in fiscal 2010) and canine heartworm rapid tests (launched in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009).

Asia Pacific and rest of the world. During fiscal 2010, total revenues in Asia Pacific and rest of the world increased 24%, or \$903,000, as compared to fiscal 2009. The increase in total revenues in Asia Pacific and rest of the world was

attributed primarily to the following:

Total sales of our VetScan chemistry analyzers and veterinary reagent discs in Asia Pacific and rest of the world increased 16%, or \$398,000, primarily due to increased sales to various distributors.

Total sales from our OEM supplied products increased \$226,000 during fiscal 2010 in Asia Pacific and rest of the world. Our OEM supplied products include our VetScan *VSpro* coagulation analyzers and related consumables (launched in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009), i-STAT analyzers and related consumables (launched in fiscal 2010), and canine heartworm rapid tests (launched in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009).

Table of Contents

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008

North America. During fiscal 2009, total revenues in North America increased 5%, or \$4.0 million, as compared to fiscal 2008. Components of the change in North America were as follows:

Instruments. During fiscal 2009, total revenues from instruments, comprised of chemistry analyzers, hematology instruments and coagulation analyzers, sold in North America decreased 6%, or \$1.4 million, as compared to fiscal 2008. The primary factors of the change were as follows:

(i) Sales of our Piccolo chemistry analyzers in North America (excluding the U.S. government) decreased 32%, or \$2.3 million, primarily due to economic conditions and the resulting impact of reduced capital spending at the physician office level in the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2009. The decrease was partially offset by an increase in sales of our Piccolo chemistry analyzers to the U.S. government of 71%, or \$893,000, primarily due to an increase in the U.S. Military's needs for these products in the first and third quarters of fiscal 2009, which were not predictable.

(ii) Sales of our VetScan chemistry analyzers in North America increased 21%, or \$1.5 million, primarily due to (a) quality and reliability improvements on our VetScan chemistry analyzers and (b) a shift in our sales and marketing focus to our VetScan chemistry analyzers and reagent discs during fiscal 2009.

(iii) Sales of our hematology instruments in North America decreased 22%, or \$1.8 million, primarily due to a shift in our sales and marketing focus to our VetScan chemistry analyzers and reagent discs during fiscal 2009.

(iv) Sales of our coagulation analyzers in North America during fiscal 2009 were \$251,000. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, we launched the release of our VetScan VSpro.

Consumables. During fiscal 2009, total revenues from consumables, comprised of reagent discs, hematology reagent kits, coagulation reagents and canine heartworm rapid tests, sold in North America increased 12%, or \$5.9 million, as compared to fiscal 2008. The primary factors of the change were as follows:

(i) Medical reagent discs sales in North America (excluding the U.S. government) increased 35%, or \$3.0 million, primarily due to the expanded installed base of our Piccolo chemistry analyzers. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in medical reagent discs sold to the U.S. government of 11%, or \$215,000, primarily due to the U.S. Military's decreased needs for these products, which were not predictable.

(ii) Veterinary reagent discs sales in North America increased 5%, or \$2.0 million, primarily due to higher average selling prices during fiscal 2009.

(iii) Sales of hematology reagent kits in North America increased 21%, or \$722,000, primarily due to an expanded installed base of our hematology instruments.

(iv) Sales of our canine heartworm rapid tests in North America during fiscal 2009 were \$441,000. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, we launched the release of our canine heartworm rapid tests.

Other products. During fiscal 2009, total revenues from other products sold in North America decreased 24%, or \$1.6 million, as compared to fiscal 2008. The net decrease in other products was primarily due to (a) an increase in maintenance contracts offered to customers from time to time as incentives in the form of free goods in connection with the sale of our products, for which revenue is deferred and recognized ratably over the life of the maintenance contract and (b) a decrease in demand from Becton, Dickinson and Company for products using the Orbos Discrete

Lyophilization Process (the Orbos Process), which is seasonal.

Development and licensing. In fiscal 2009, total revenues from development and licensing in North America increased 54%, or \$1.1 million, as compared to fiscal 2008. The increase from development and licensing revenue is primarily due to a licensing agreement to Cepheid, related to our proprietary technology, the Orbos Process.

Significant concentration. One distributor in the United States, DVM Resources, accounted for 10% of our total worldwide revenues during fiscal 2009.

Table of Contents

Europe. During fiscal 2009, total revenues in Europe increased 4%, or \$573,000, as compared to fiscal 2008. Components of the change in Europe were as follows:

Instruments. During fiscal 2009, total revenues from instruments, comprised of chemistry analyzers, hematology instruments and coagulation analyzers, sold in Europe decreased 14%, or \$664,000, as compared to fiscal 2008. The primary factors of the change were as follows:

- (i) Sales of our Piccolo chemistry analyzers in Europe decreased 12%, or \$170,000, primarily due to requirements that we must meet to comply with local regulations of foreign countries and the strength of the U.S. dollar against the Euro currency.
- (ii) Sales of our VetScan chemistry analyzers in Europe decreased 12%, or \$334,000, primarily due to currency volatility.
- (iii) Sales of our hematology instruments in Europe decreased 26%, or \$163,000.

Consumables. During fiscal 2009, total revenues from consumables, comprised of reagent discs, hematology reagent kits, coagulation reagents and canine heartworm rapid tests, sold in Europe increased 12%, or \$1.1 million, as compared to fiscal 2008. The primary factors of the change were as follows:

- (i) Medical reagent discs sales in Europe increased 66%, or \$804,000, primarily due to the expanded installed base of our Piccolo chemistry analyzers.
- (ii) Veterinary reagent discs sales in Europe increased 3%, or \$239,000, primarily due to higher average selling prices during fiscal 2009.
- (iii) Sales of hematology reagent kits in Europe increased 18%, or \$36,000.

Other products. During fiscal 2009, total revenues from other products sold in Europe increased 229%, or \$158,000, as compared to fiscal 2008.

Asia Pacific and rest of the world. During fiscal 2009, total revenues in Asia Pacific and rest of the world increased 14%, or \$467,000, as compared to fiscal 2008. Components of the change in Asia Pacific and rest of the world were as follows:

Instruments. During fiscal 2009, total revenues from instruments, comprised of chemistry analyzers and hematology instruments, sold in Asia Pacific and rest of the world increased 25%, or \$280,000, as compared to fiscal 2008. The primary factors of the change were as follows:

- (i) Sales of our Piccolo chemistry analyzers in Asia Pacific and rest of the world increased 98%, or \$161,000, primarily due to increased sales to various distributors.
- (ii) Sales of our VetScan chemistry analyzers in Asia Pacific and rest of the world increased 27%, or \$134,000, primarily due to renewed distributor sales in Japan in fiscal 2009.
- (iii) Sales of our hematology instruments in Asia Pacific and rest of the world during fiscal 2009 were substantially the same as in fiscal 2008.

Consumables. During fiscal 2009, total revenues from consumables, comprised of reagent discs, hematology reagent kits, coagulation reagents and canine heartworm rapid tests, sold in Asia Pacific and rest of the world increased 8%, or \$175,000, as compared to fiscal 2008. The primary factors of the change were as follows:

(i) Medical reagent discs sales in Asia Pacific and rest of the world during fiscal 2009 were substantially the same as in fiscal 2008.

(ii) Veterinary reagent discs sales in Asia Pacific and rest of the world increased 5%, or \$90,000.

(iii) Sales of hematology reagent kits in Asia Pacific and rest of the world increased 56%, or \$76,000.

Other products. During fiscal 2009, total revenues from other products sold in Asia Pacific and rest of the world were substantially the same as in fiscal 2008.

Table of Contents**Segment Results*****Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009***

The following table presents revenues, cost of revenues, gross profit and percentage of revenues by operating segments for fiscal 2010 and 2009 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Year Ended March 31,		Percent		Change	
	2010	Percent of Revenues(1)	2009	Percent of Revenues(1)	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change
Revenues:						
Medical Market	\$ 24,176	100%	\$ 24,796	100%	\$ (620)	(3)%
Percentage of total revenues	19%		23%			
Veterinary Market	92,411	100%	74,046	100%	18,365	25%
Percentage of total revenues	74%		70%			
Other(2)	7,970		6,720		1,250	19%
Percentage of total revenues	7%		7%			
Total revenues	124,557		105,562		18,995	18%
Cost of revenues:						
Medical Market	10,704	44%	12,407	50%	(1,703)	(14)%
Veterinary Market	37,785	41%	31,052	42%	6,733	22%
Other(2)	3,946		3,478		468	13%
Total cost of revenues	52,435		46,937		5,498	12%
Gross profit:						
Medical Market	13,472	56%	12,389	50%	1,083	9%
Veterinary Market	54,626	59%	42,994	58%	11,632	27%
Other(2)	4,024		3,242		782	24%
Gross profit	\$ 72,122		\$ 58,625		\$ 13,497	23%

(1) The percentage reported is based on revenues by operating segment.

(2) Represents unallocated items, not specifically identified to any particular business segment.

Medical Market***Revenues for Medical Market Segment***

During fiscal 2010, total revenues in the medical market decreased 3%, or \$620,000, as compared to fiscal 2009. Components of the change were as follows:

Instruments. Total revenues from sales of our Piccolo chemistry analyzers decreased 26%, or \$2.3 million, during fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009. The decrease in revenues was primarily attributed to (a) a decrease in revenues in North America (excluding the U.S. government) of 35%, or \$1.7 million, primarily due to inventory stock adjustments by distributors during the first nine months of fiscal 2010, and (b) a decrease in sales to the U.S. government of 45%, or \$957,000, primarily due to a decrease in the U.S. Military's needs for our products, primarily in the third quarter of fiscal 2010, which were not predictable. The decrease in revenues was partially offset by an increase in sales in Europe by 25%, or \$306,000, primarily due to our promotion strategy and increased sales to distributors.

Consumables. Total revenues from medical reagent discs increased 10%, or \$1.5 million, during fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009. The increase in revenues was primarily attributed to (a) an increase in revenues in North America (excluding the U.S. government) of 11%, or \$1.2 million, primarily due to an increase in units sold

Table of Contents

resulting from an expanded installed base of our Piccolo chemistry analyzers, and (b) an increase in revenues in Europe of 11%, or \$229,000, primarily due to higher average selling prices.

Gross Profit for Medical Market Segment

Gross profit for the medical market segment increased 9%, or \$1.1 million, during fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009. Gross profit percentages for the medical market segment during fiscal 2010 and 2009 were 56% and 50%, respectively. In absolute dollars, the increase in gross profit for the medical market segment was primarily due to (a) lower manufacturing costs on Piccolo chemistry analyzers and medical reagent discs during fiscal 2010, and (b) an increase in units of medical reagent discs sold during fiscal 2010. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in units of Piccolo chemistry analyzers sold during fiscal 2010.

Veterinary Market

Revenues for Veterinary Market Segment

During fiscal 2010, total revenues in the veterinary market increased 25%, or \$18.4 million, as compared to fiscal 2009. Total revenues from veterinary instruments sold increased 15%, or \$2.8 million, during fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009. Total revenues from consumables in the veterinary market increased 28%, or \$15.3 million, during fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009. Components of the change were as follows:

Sales of our VetScan chemistry analyzers increased 4%, or \$455,000, during fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009. The changes were primarily attributed to (a) an increase in revenues in Europe of 44%, or \$1.1 million, primarily due to our promotion strategy and increased marketing activities by our distributors, and (b) an increase in revenues in Asia of 33%, or \$210,000, primarily due to increased sales to various distributors. The net increase in total revenues was partially offset by a decrease in revenues in North America by 9%, or \$808,000, primarily due to lower average selling prices.

Total revenues from veterinary reagent discs increased 13%, or \$6.5 million, during fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009. The increase in revenues was primarily attributed to (a) an increase in revenues in North America of 11%, or \$4.3 million, primarily due to an increase in units sold resulting from an expanded installed base of our VetScan chemistry analyzers and higher average selling prices, (b) an increase in revenues in Europe of 27%, or \$2.0 million, primarily due to an increase in units sold resulting from an expanded installed base of our VetScan chemistry analyzers, and (c) an increase in revenues in Asia Pacific and rest of the world of 10%, or \$188,000, primarily due to increased sales to various distributors.

Total sales of our VetScan hematology instruments and hematology reagent kits increased 10%, or \$1.2 million, primarily attributed to (a) an increase in revenues in North America of 6%, or \$660,000, primarily due to an increase in units of hematology reagent kits sold resulting from an expanded installed base of our VetScan hematology instruments, and (b) an increase in revenues in Europe of 60%, or \$426,000, primarily due to an increase in units sold resulting from our promotion strategy for our VetScan hematology instruments.

Total sales from our OEM supplied products increased \$10.0 million, during fiscal 2010, primarily in North America. Our OEM supplied products include our VetScan VSpro coagulation analyzers and related consumables (launched in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009), i-STAT analyzers and related consumables (launched in fiscal 2010), and canine heartworm rapid tests (launched in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009).

Gross Profit for Veterinary Market Segment

Gross profit for the veterinary market segment increased 27%, or \$11.6 million, during fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009. Gross profit percentages for the veterinary market segment during fiscal 2010 and 2009 were 59% and 58%, respectively. In absolute dollars, the increase in gross profit for the veterinary market segment was primarily due to (a) an increase in units of VetScan chemistry analyzers and veterinary reagent discs sold during fiscal 2010, (b) higher average selling prices of veterinary reagent discs sold during fiscal 2010, (c) an increase in units of VetScan hematology instruments and hematology reagent kits sold during fiscal 2010, (d) sales of our i-STAT analyzers and related consumables (launched in fiscal 2010) and sales of our canine heartworm rapid tests

Table of Contents

(launched in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009), and (e) lower manufacturing costs on VetScan chemistry analyzers and veterinary reagent discs and lower unit costs from suppliers of our hematology instruments during fiscal 2010. The increase was partially offset by lower average selling prices of VetScan chemistry analyzers sold during fiscal 2010.

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008

The following table presents revenues, cost of revenues, gross profit and percentage of revenues by operating segments for fiscal 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

		Year Ended March 31,			Change	
		Percent		Percent	Increase/	Percent
	2009	of	2008	of	(Decrease)	Change
		Revenues(1)		Revenues(1)		
Revenues:						
Medical Market	\$ 24,796	100%	\$ 22,764	100%	\$ 2,032	9%
Percentage of total revenues	23%		23%			
Veterinary Market	74,046	100%	71,091	100%	2,955	4%
Percentage of total revenues	70%		71%			
Other(2)	6,720		6,696		24	<1%
Percentage of total revenues	7%		6%			
Total revenues	105,562		100,551		5,011	5%
Cost of revenues:						
Medical Market	12,407	50%	11,340	50%	1,067	9%
Veterinary Market	31,052	42%	31,812	45%	(760)	(2)%
Other(2)	3,478		2,355		1,123	48%
Total cost of revenues	46,937		45,507		1,430	3%
Gross profit:						
Medical Market	12,389	50%	11,424	50%	965	8%
Veterinary Market	42,994	58%	39,279	55%	3,715	9%
Other(2)	3,242		4,341		(1,099)	(25)%
Gross profit	\$ 58,625		\$ 55,044		\$ 3,581	7%

(1) The percentage reported is based on revenues by operating segment.

(2) Represents unallocated items, not specifically identified to any particular business segment.

Medical Market*Revenues for Medical Market Segment*

During fiscal 2009, total revenues in the medical market increased 9%, or \$2.0 million, as compared to fiscal 2008. Components of the change were as follows:

Instruments. Total revenues from our Piccolo chemistry analyzers decreased 14%, or \$1.4 million, during fiscal 2009, as compared to fiscal 2008. We sold a total of 713 Piccolo chemistry analyzers during fiscal 2009, as compared to 811 Piccolo chemistry analyzers sold during fiscal 2008. The changes in revenues were attributed to (a) a decrease in revenues in North America (excluding the U.S. government) of 32%, or \$2.3 million, primarily due to economic conditions and the resulting impact of reduced capital spending at the physician office in the third and fourth quarters of fiscal 2009 and (b) a decrease in revenues in Europe of 12%, or \$170,000, primarily due to requirements that we must meet to comply with local regulations of foreign countries and the strength of the U.S. dollar against the Euro currency. The decrease in revenues was partially offset by (a) an increase in Piccolo chemistry analyzers sold to the U.S. government of 71%, or \$893,000, primarily due to an increase in the

Table of Contents

U.S. Military's needs for these products in the first and third quarters of fiscal 2009, which were not predictable, and (b) an increase in revenues in Asia Pacific and rest of the world of 98%, or \$161,000, primarily due to increased sales to various distributors.

Consumables. Total revenues from reagent discs sold in the medical market increased 30%, or \$3.6 million, during fiscal 2009, as compared to fiscal 2008. We sold 1.7 million medical reagent discs during fiscal 2009, as compared to 1.3 million medical reagent discs sold during fiscal 2008. The total increase in revenues from medical reagent discs was primarily attributed to the expanded installed base of our Piccolo chemistry analyzers and was comprised of (a) an increase in revenues in North America (excluding the U.S. government) of 35%, or \$3.0 million, and (b) an increase in revenues in Europe of 66%, or \$804,000. The increase in revenues was partially offset by a decrease in medical reagent discs sold to the U.S. government of 11%, or \$215,000, primarily due to the U.S. Military's decreased needs for these products, which were not predictable. Medical reagent discs sales in Asia Pacific and rest of the world during fiscal 2009 were substantially the same as in fiscal 2008.

Gross Profit for Medical Market Segment

Gross profit for the medical market segment increased 8%, or \$965,000, during fiscal 2009, as compared to fiscal 2008. Gross profit percentages for the medical market segment during fiscal 2009 and 2008 were 50%, respectively. In absolute dollars, the increase in gross profit for the medical market segment was primarily due to an increase in the sales volume of medical reagent discs in fiscal 2009, partially offset by a decrease in the sales volume of Piccolo chemistry analyzers in fiscal 2009.

Veterinary Market

Revenues for Veterinary Market Segment

During fiscal 2009, total revenues in the veterinary market increased 4%, or \$3.0 million, as compared to fiscal 2008. Components of the change were as follows:

Instruments. Total revenues from our veterinary instruments sold decreased 2%, or \$429,000, during fiscal 2009, as compared to fiscal 2008. We sold a total of 2,426 veterinary instruments, comprising of VetScan chemistry analyzers, hematology instruments and coagulation analyzers, during fiscal 2009, as compared to 2,332 veterinary instruments sold during fiscal 2008. The primary factors of the change were as follows:

(i) Sales of our VetScan chemistry analyzers increased 13%, or \$1.3 million, comprised of (a) an increase in revenues in North America of 21%, or \$1.5 million, primarily due to (1) quality and reliability improvements on our VetScan chemistry analyzers and (2) a shift in our sales and marketing focus to our VetScan chemistry analyzers and reagent discs during fiscal 2009; (b) an increase in revenues in Asia Pacific and rest of the world of 27%, or \$134,000, primarily due to renewed distributor sales in Japan. The increase in revenues was partially offset by a decrease in revenues in Europe of 12%, or \$334,000, primarily due to currency volatility.

(ii) Sales of our hematology instruments decreased 21%, or \$2.0 million, comprised of (a) a decrease in revenues in North America of 22%, or \$1.8 million, primarily due to a shift in our sales and marketing focus to our VetScan chemistry analyzers and reagent discs during fiscal 2009, and (b) a decrease in revenues in Europe of 26%, or \$163,000. Sales of our hematology instruments in Asia Pacific and rest of the world during fiscal 2009 were substantially the same as in fiscal 2008.

(iii) Sales of our coagulation analyzers during fiscal 2009 were \$254,000. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, we launched the release of our VetScan VSpro.

Consumables. Total revenues from consumables, comprised of reagent discs, hematology reagent kits, coagulation reagents and canine heartworm rapid tests, sold in the veterinary market increased 7%, or \$3.6 million, during fiscal 2009, as compared to fiscal 2008. The primary factors of the change were as follows:

(i) Total revenues from reagent discs sold in the veterinary market increased 5%, or \$2.3 million, during fiscal 2009, as compared to fiscal 2008. We sold 3.6 million veterinary reagent discs during both fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008, respectively. The increase in revenues from veterinary reagent discs was primarily attributed to higher average selling prices during fiscal 2009. The increase in revenues was comprised of (a) an increase in revenues in

Table of Contents

North America of 5%, or \$2.0 million, (b) an increase in revenues in Europe of 3%, or \$239,000, and (c) an increase in revenues in Asia Pacific and rest of the world of 5%, or \$90,000.

(ii) Total revenues from hematology reagent kits sold in the veterinary market increased 22%, or \$834,000, during fiscal 2009, as compared to fiscal 2008. The increase in revenues from hematology reagent kits was attributed to (a) an increase in revenues in North America of 21%, or \$722,000, primarily due to an expanded installed base of our hematology instruments, (b) an increase in revenues in Europe of 18%, or \$36,000, and (c) an increase in revenues in Asia Pacific and rest of the world of 56%, or \$76,000.

(iii) Sales of our canine heartworm rapid tests during fiscal 2009 were \$443,000, primarily due to the release of our canine heartworm rapid tests in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009.

Gross Profit for Veterinary Market Segment

Gross profit for the veterinary market segment increased 9%, or \$3.7 million, during fiscal 2009, as compared to fiscal 2008. Gross profit percentages for the veterinary market segment during fiscal 2009 and 2008 were 58% and 55%, respectively. In absolute dollars, the increase in gross profit for the veterinary market segment was primarily due to (a) higher average selling prices and lower unit costs on veterinary reagent discs sold during fiscal 2009 and (b) an increase in the sales volume of hematology reagents in fiscal 2009.

Cost of Revenues

The following sets forth, our cost of revenues for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Year Ended March 31,			Change 2009 to 2010		Change 2008 to 2009	
	2010	2009	2008	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change
Cost of revenues	\$ 52,435	\$ 46,937	\$ 45,507	\$ 5,498	12%	\$ 1,430	3%
Percentage of total revenues	42%	44%	45%				

Cost of revenues includes the costs associated with manufacturing, assembly, packaging, warranty repairs, test and quality assurance for our instruments and consumables and manufacturing overhead, including costs of personnel and equipment associated with manufacturing support.

Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009

The increase in cost of revenues, in absolute dollars, during fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, was primarily due to (a) sales from our OEM supplied products, which include our VetScan VSpro coagulation analyzers and related consumables, i-STAT analyzers and related consumables, and canine heartworm rapid tests during fiscal 2010, and (b) an increase in units of medical and veterinary reagent discs sold during fiscal 2010. The increase in cost of revenues was partially offset by (a) a decrease in units of Piccolo chemistry analyzers sold during fiscal 2010, (b) lower manufacturing costs on Piccolo and VetScan chemistry analyzers during fiscal 2010, (c) lower manufacturing costs on medical and veterinary reagent discs during fiscal 2010, and (d) lower unit costs from suppliers of our hematology instruments during fiscal 2010. As a percentage of total revenues, the decrease in cost of revenues during fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, was primarily due to lower manufacturing costs on Piccolo

and VetScan chemistry analyzers and medical and veterinary reagent discs during fiscal 2010. Lower manufacturing costs during fiscal 2010 were due to lower material costs from our suppliers, more efficient production lines and design changes. While we have an ongoing cost improvement program to reduce material and component costs and implementing design changes and process improvements, these cost reductions may be partially offset by increases in other manufacturing costs in subsequent periods.

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008

Cost of revenues in fiscal 2009 increased by 3%, or \$1.4 million, as compared to fiscal 2008, primarily due to the following: an increase in the sales volume of (a) VetScan chemistry analyzers, (b) medical reagent discs and

Table of Contents

(c) hematology reagent kits during fiscal 2009. The increase in cost of revenues was partially offset by a decrease in the sales volume of (a) Piccolo chemistry analyzers and (b) hematology instruments during fiscal 2009.

Gross Profit

The following sets forth, our gross profit for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Year Ended March 31,			Change 2009 to 2010		Change 2008 to 2009	
	2010	2009	2008	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change
Total gross profit	\$ 72,122	\$ 58,625	\$ 55,044	\$ 13,497	23%	\$ 3,581	7%
Total gross margin	58%	56%	55%				

Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009

Gross profit in fiscal 2010 increased by 23%, or \$13.5 million, as compared to fiscal 2009, primarily due to the following: (a) an increase in units of VetScan chemistry analyzers and medical and veterinary reagent discs sold during fiscal 2010, (b) higher average selling prices of veterinary reagent discs sold during fiscal 2010, (c) sales of our i-STAT analyzers and related consumables (launched in fiscal 2010) and sales of our canine heartworm rapid tests (launched in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009), (d) lower manufacturing costs on Piccolo and VetScan chemistry analyzers during fiscal 2010, (e) lower manufacturing costs on medical and veterinary reagent discs and (f) lower unit cost from suppliers of our hematology instruments during fiscal 2010. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in units of Piccolo chemistry analyzers sold during fiscal 2010. As a percentage, the increase in gross margin during fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, was primarily due to lower manufacturing costs on Piccolo and VetScan chemistry analyzers and medical and veterinary reagent during fiscal 2010 discs (as described above under Cost of Revenues).

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008

Gross profit in fiscal 2009 increased by 7%, or \$3.6 million, as compared to fiscal 2008, primarily due to the following: (a) an increase in the sales volume of medical reagent discs in fiscal 2009, (b) higher average selling prices and lower unit costs on veterinary reagent discs sold during fiscal 2009, and (c) an increase in the sales volume of hematology reagents in fiscal 2009. The increase in gross profit was partially offset by a decrease in the sales volume of Piccolo chemistry analyzers during fiscal 2009.

Operating Expenses***Research and Development***

The following sets forth, our research and development expenses for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Change 2009 to 2010		Change 2008 to 2009	
Year Ended March 31,	Increase/	Percent	Increase/	Percent

	2010	2009	2008	(Decrease)	Change	(Decrease)	Change
Research and development	\$ 10,688	\$ 8,361	\$ 6,966	\$ 2,327	28%	\$ 1,395	20%
Percentage of total revenues	9%	8%	7%				

Research and development expenses consist of personnel costs (including salaries, benefits and share-based compensation expense), consulting expenses and materials and related expenses associated with the development of new tests and test methods, clinical trials, product improvements and optimization and enhancement of existing products.

Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009

Research and development expenses in fiscal 2010 increased by 28%, or \$2.3 million, as compared to fiscal 2009. Research and development expenses in fiscal 2010 related primarily to new product development and enhancement of existing products and clinical trials. Research and development expenses are based on the project

Table of Contents

activities planned and the level of spending depends on budgeted expenditures. The projects primarily relate to new product development in both the medical and veterinary markets and costs related to compliance with FDA regulations and clinical trials. Share-based compensation expense during fiscal 2010 and 2009 was \$849,000 and \$240,000, respectively.

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008

Research and development expenses in fiscal 2009 increased by 20%, or \$1.4 million, as compared to fiscal 2008. Research and development expenses in fiscal 2009 related primarily to new product development and enhancement of existing products and clinical trials. Research and development expenses are based on the project activities planned and the level of spending depends on budgeted expenditures. The projects primarily relate to new product development in both the medical and veterinary markets and costs related to compliance with FDA regulations and clinical trials. Share-based compensation expense during fiscal 2009 and 2008 was \$240,000 and \$153,000, respectively.

We anticipate the dollar amount of research and development expenses to increase in fiscal 2011 from fiscal 2010 but remain consistent as a percentage of total revenues, as we complete new products for both the medical and veterinary markets. There can be no assurance, however, that we will undertake such research and development activities in future periods or, if we do, that such activities will be successful.

Sales and Marketing

The following sets forth, our sales and marketing expenses for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Year Ended March 31,			Change 2009 to 2010		Change 2008 to 2009	
	2010	2009	2008	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change
Sales and marketing expenses	\$ 30,138	\$ 24,712	\$ 23,689	\$ 5,426	22%	\$ 1,023	4%
Percentage of total revenues	24%	23%	24%				

Sales and marketing expenses consist of personnel costs (including salaries, benefits and share-based compensation expense), commissions and travel-related expenses for personnel engaged in selling, costs associated with advertising, lead generation, marketing programs, trade shows and services related to customer and technical support.

Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009

Sales and marketing expenses in fiscal 2010 increased by 22%, or \$5.4 million, as compared to fiscal 2009. The increase was primarily related to higher personnel-related costs to support the growth in both our medical and veterinary markets. Share-based compensation expense during fiscal 2010 and 2009 was \$1.3 million and \$508,000, respectively.

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008

Sales and marketing expenses in fiscal 2009 increased by 4%, or \$1.0 million, as compared to fiscal 2008. The increase was primarily related to higher personnel-related costs to support the growth in both our medical and veterinary markets. Share-based compensation expense during fiscal 2009 and 2008 was \$508,000 and \$325,000, respectively.

Table of Contents***General and Administrative***

The following sets forth, our general and administrative expenses for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Year Ended March 31, 2010 2009 2008			Change 2009 to 2010		Change 2008 to 2009	
				Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change
General and administrative expenses	\$ 10,521	\$ 7,757	\$ 6,681	\$ 2,764	36%	\$ 1,076	16%
Percentage of total revenues	8%	7%	7%				

General and administrative expenses consist of personnel costs (including salaries, benefits and share-based compensation expense), and expenses for outside professional services related to general corporate functions, including accounting, human resources and legal.

Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009

General and administrative expenses in fiscal 2010 increased by 36%, or \$2.8 million, as compared to fiscal 2009, primarily related to higher personnel-related costs, which includes (a) an increase in share-based compensation expense, which is based on the requisite service period of the award, and (b) an increase in bonus expenses based on the achievement of established goals during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010. The increase was partially offset by fees and costs related to pursuing strategic opportunities during fiscal 2009. Share-based compensation expense during fiscal 2010 and 2009 was \$2.9 million and \$843,000, respectively.

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008

General and administrative expenses in fiscal 2009 increased by 16%, or \$1.1 million, as compared to fiscal 2008, primarily related to (a) an increase in personnel-related costs, which includes share-based compensation expense and (b) fees and costs related to pursuing strategic opportunities in fiscal 2009. Share-based compensation expense during fiscal 2009 and 2008 was \$843,000 and \$503,000, respectively.

Interest and Other Income (Expense), Net

The following sets forth our interest and other income (expense), net for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Year Ended March 31, 2010 2009 2008			Change 2009 to 2010		Change 2008 to 2009	
				Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change	Increase/ (Decrease)	Percent Change
Interest and other income (expense), net	\$ 630	\$ 1,271	\$ 2,096	\$ (641)	(50)%	\$ (825)	(39)%

Interest and other income (expense), net consists primarily of interest earned on cash and cash equivalents, investments and foreign currency exchange gains and losses.

Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009

Interest and other income (expense), net in fiscal 2010 decreased by 50%, or \$641,000. The decrease in interest and other income (expense), net, in fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, was primarily attributed to lower interest yields in our investment portfolio during fiscal 2010.

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008

Interest and other income (expense), net in fiscal 2009 decreased by 39%, or \$825,000. The decrease in interest and other income (expense), net, in fiscal 2009, as compared to fiscal 2008, was primarily attributed to lower interest yields in our investment portfolio during fiscal 2009.

Table of Contents***Income Tax Provision***

The following sets forth, our income tax provision for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except percentages):

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Income tax provision	\$ 8,382	\$ 7,053	\$ 7,301
Effective tax rate	39%	37%	37%

Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009

For fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009, the income tax provisions were \$8.4 million, based on an effective tax rate of 39%, and \$7.1 million, based on an effective tax rate of 37%, respectively.

The increase in the effective tax rate during fiscal 2010, as compared to fiscal 2009, was primarily due to an increase in non-deductible compensation expense and a change in our investment portfolio, and partially offset by an increase in tax benefits for federal qualified production activities.

We expect our effective tax rate will be approximately 39% for federal, foreign and various state tax jurisdictions in fiscal 2011.

Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008

For fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008, the income tax provisions were \$7.1 million, based on an effective tax rate of 37%, and \$7.3 million, based on an effective tax rate of 37%, respectively. During fiscal 2009 and 2008, the effective tax rate included a tax benefit for tax-exempt investments and included a tax benefit for the federal research and development tax credit. During fiscal 2009, the effective tax rate also included a benefit for federal qualified production activities. Our effective tax rate of 37% in fiscal 2009, as compared to our effective tax rate of 37% in fiscal 2008, includes tax benefits from qualified production activities, partially offset by lower tax benefits from tax-exempt investments and from the federal research and development tax credit.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Total cash, cash equivalents and short-term and long-term investments at March 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were as follows (in thousands, except percentages):

	2010	March 31, 2009	2008
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,857	\$ 49,237	\$ 17,219
Short-term investments	32,343	20,776	6,991
Long-term investments	36,319	4,886	35,463
Total cash, cash equivalents and investments	\$ 96,519	\$ 74,899	\$ 59,673
Percentage of total assets	58%	53%	49%

Table of Contents**Cash Flow Changes**

Cash provided by (used in) during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 were as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 22,672	\$ 14,331	\$ 14,966
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(46,624)	10,673	(12,557)
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,565	7,066	4,627
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	7	(52)	
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (21,380)	\$ 32,018	\$ 7,036

At March 31, 2010, we had net working capital of \$89.3 million compared to \$101.8 million at March 31, 2009. Cash and cash equivalents at March 31, 2010 were \$27.9 million compared to \$49.2 million at March 31, 2009. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents during fiscal 2010 was primarily due to purchases of investments of \$73.6 million, partially offset by net cash provided by operating activities of \$22.7 million and proceeds from maturities and redemptions of investments of \$30.4 million.

In fiscal 2010 and 2009, the effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents and the net gain and loss of foreign exchange translation were presented in our consolidated statements of cash flows, resulting from the incorporation of our wholly-owned subsidiary, Abaxis Europe GmbH in fiscal 2009. Abaxis Europe GmbH maintains foreign currency denominated accounts.

Operating Activities

During fiscal 2010, we generated \$22.7 million in cash from operating activities compared to \$14.3 million in fiscal 2009. The cash provided by operating activities during fiscal 2010 was primarily the result of net income of \$13.0 million during fiscal 2010, adjusted for the effects of non-cash adjustments including depreciation and amortization of \$4.9 million and share-based compensation expense of \$5.3 million, partially offset by a decrease of \$2.1 million related to excess tax benefits from share-based awards.

Other changes in operating activities during fiscal 2010 were as follows:

(i) Receivables, net increased by \$2.0 million, from \$22.0 million at March 31, 2009 to \$24.0 million as of March 31, 2010, primarily due to higher sales in the last month of the quarter ended March 31, 2010.

(ii) Inventories increased by \$3.4 million, from \$15.7 million at March 31, 2009 to \$19.1 million as of March 31, 2010, primarily due to an increase in finished goods to support future demand and new sales of original equipment manufacturer (OEM) supplied products. OEM supplied products in inventories include the following: our VetScan VSprou coagulation analyzers and related consumables (launched in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009), i-STAT analyzers and related consumables (launched in fiscal 2010), and canine heartworm rapid tests (launched in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009).

(iii) Prepaid expenses increased by \$383,000, from \$957,000 at March 31, 2009 to \$1.3 million as of March 31, 2010, primarily due to the timing of payments.

(iv) Current net deferred tax assets decreased by \$903,000, from \$4.7 million at March 31, 2009 to \$3.8 million as of March 31, 2010, primarily as a result of the utilization of federal research and development tax credits carryovers, partially offset by an increase in other current deferred tax assets.

(v) Non-current net deferred tax assets increased by \$471,000, from \$2.5 million at March 31, 2009 to \$2.9 million as of March 31, 2010, primarily as a result of an increase in share-based compensation.

(vi) Accounts payable increased by \$5.4 million, from \$4.0 million at March 31, 2009 to \$9.4 million as of March 31, 2010, primarily due to the timing and payment of services and inventory purchases.

(vii) Accrued payroll and related expenses increased by \$1.9 million, from \$3.7 million at March 31, 2009 to \$5.6 million as of March 31, 2010, primarily due to accrued bonus as of March 31, 2010, which was based on the

Table of Contents

achievement of established quarterly net sales and quarterly pre-tax income goals during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010, compared to March 31, 2009, for which we did not achieve the established quarterly net sales and quarterly pre-tax income goals during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009.

(viii) Accrued taxes increased by \$366,000, from \$34,000 at March 31, 2009 to \$400,000 as of March 31, 2010, primarily due to income taxes payable by our subsidiary in Germany.

(ix) Total deferred revenue decreased by \$58,000, resulting from a decrease in the non-current portion of deferred revenue of \$191,000, from \$1.6 million at March 31, 2009 to \$1.4 million, partially offset by an increase in the current portion of deferred revenue of \$133,000, from \$1.0 million at March 31, 2009 to \$1.2 million as of March 31, 2010. Changes in balances are based on the maintenance contracts offered to customers from time to time as incentives in the form of free goods in connection with the sale of our products, for which revenue is deferred and recognized ratably over the life of the maintenance contract.

(x) Total warranty reserves decreased by \$954,000, resulting from a decrease in the current portion of warranty reserves of \$531,000, from \$1.7 million at March 31, 2009 to \$1.2 million as of March 31, 2010 and non-current portion of warranty reserves of \$423,000, from \$583,000 at March 31, 2009 to \$160,000 as of March 31, 2010. The net change in warranty reserves is primarily based on (a) the number of instruments in standard warranty, estimated product failure rates and estimated repair costs and (b) an estimate of defective reagent discs and replacement costs. During fiscal 2010, total warranty reserves for instruments decreased based on both our historical experience and estimated product failure rates of blood chemistry analyzers since we began taking steps to resolve manufacturing problems, primarily related to quality control for key components that we obtain from our suppliers and to design issues of the key components required.

We anticipate that we will incur incremental additional costs to support our future operations, including further additional pre-clinical testing and clinical trials for our current and future products; research and design costs related to the continuing development of our current and future products; and acquisition of capital equipment for our manufacturing facility, which includes the ongoing costs related to the continuing development of our current and future products.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities during fiscal 2010 totaled \$46.6 million, compared to net cash provided by investing activities of \$10.7 million during fiscal 2009. Changes in investing activities were as follows:

Investments. Cash used to purchase investments in asset-backed securities, certificates of deposits, corporate bonds, municipal bonds and U.S. agency securities totaled \$73.6 million during fiscal 2010. Cash provided by proceeds from maturities and redemptions of investments in asset-backed securities, certificates of deposits and U.S. agency securities totaled \$30.4 million during fiscal 2010.

Property and Equipment. Cash used to purchase property and equipment totaled \$3.4 million during fiscal 2010, primarily due to the following (a) support new product introduction, (b) increased capacity requirements in our production line and (c) increase in transfers of instruments from inventory and held for loan or evaluation or demonstration purposes to support our customer base. We anticipate that we will continue to purchase property and equipment necessary in the normal course of our business.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities during fiscal 2010 totaled \$2.6 million, primarily consisting of \$2.1 million related to excess tax benefits from share-based awards and \$915,000 related to proceeds from stock options exercised, partially offset by the payment of income withholding taxes of \$446,000 due upon vesting of restricted stock units.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

As of March 31, 2010, our contractual obligations for succeeding fiscal years are as follows (in thousands):

	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		2011	2012-2013	2014-2015	After 2015
Operating leases	\$ 11,919	\$ 1,141	\$ 2,175	\$ 2,128	\$ 6,475

Operating Leases. Operating lease obligations were comprised of our principal facility and various leased facilities and office equipment under operating lease agreements, which expire on various dates through fiscal 2021. In March 2010, we amended the terms on our operating lease on our principal facility in Union City, California from an expiration date of December 2010 to February 2021.

Purchase Commitments. In October 2008, we entered into an OEM agreement with Scandinavian Micro Biodevices APS (SMB) of Denmark to purchase coagulation analyzers and coagulation cartridges. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, we started marketing the products and, upon achievement of certain milestones by SMB outlined in the agreement, we will be subject to the minimum purchase commitments under the OEM agreement. These milestones have not yet been met and we are currently purchasing coagulation analyzers and coagulation cartridges on a purchase order basis, but all such purchases will count towards the minimum purchase obligations if and when they are triggered.

Patent Licensing Agreement. Effective January 2009, we entered into a license agreement with Inverness Medical Switzerland GmbH (Inverness). Under our license agreement, we licensed co-exclusively certain worldwide patent rights related to lateral flow immunoassay technology in the field of animal health diagnostics in the professional marketplace. The license agreement provides that Inverness shall not grant any future rights to any third parties under its current lateral flow patent rights in the animal health diagnostics field in the professional marketplace. The license agreement enables us to develop and market products under rights from Inverness to address animal health and laboratory animal research markets.

In exchange for the license rights, we (i) paid an up-front license fee of \$5.0 million to Inverness in January 2009, (ii) agreed to pay royalties during the term of the agreement, based solely on sales of products in a jurisdiction country covered by valid and unexpired claims in that jurisdiction under the licensed Inverness patent rights, and (iii) agreed to pay a yearly minimum license fee of between \$500,000 to \$1.0 million per year, which fee will be creditable against any royalties due during such calendar year. The royalties, if any, are payable through the date of the expiration of the last valid patent licensed under the agreement that includes at least one claim in a jurisdiction covering products we sell in that jurisdiction. The yearly minimum fees are payable starting in fiscal 2011 for so long as we desire to maintain exclusivity under the agreement.

Line of Credit. We have a line of credit with Comerica Bank-California which provides for borrowings of up to \$2.0 million. The line of credit may be terminated upon notification by either party and any outstanding balance is payable upon demand by Comerica Bank-California. At March 31, 2010, there was no amount outstanding under our line of credit. Further information on our line of credit, including the terms and conditions with respect to our loan covenants, is set forth in Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Contingencies

We are involved from time to time in various litigation matters in the normal course of business. While the outcome of these proceedings and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Table of Contents

Financial Condition

We anticipate that our existing capital resources, available line of credit and anticipated revenues from the sales of our products will be adequate to satisfy our currently planned operating and financial requirements through at least the next 12 months. Our future capital requirements will largely depend upon the increased market acceptance of our point-of-care blood analyzer products. However, our sales for any future periods are not predictable with a significant degree of certainty. Regardless, we may seek to raise additional funds to pursue strategic opportunities.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

For information with respect to recent accounting pronouncements and the impact of these pronouncements on our consolidated financial statements, see Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to the impact of interest rate changes with respect to our short-term and long-term investments and line of credit.

Our investment objective is to invest excess cash in cash equivalents and in various types of investments to maximize yields without significantly increased risk. At March 31, 2010, our short-term investments totaled \$32.3 million, consisting of certificates of deposits, corporate bonds and municipal bonds, and our long-term investments totaled \$36.3 million, consisting of certificates of deposits, corporate bonds, municipal bonds and U.S. agency securities.

Historically, our investment portfolio had included auction rate securities, which became illiquid as a result of the negative condition in the global credit markets. In September 2008, the bank where our auction rate securities were held, reached agreements with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, the State of Michigan Attorney General and the Michigan Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation regarding the repurchase of auction rate securities. In October 2008, we received a commitment from our bank to repurchase all of our remaining auction rate securities, which repurchases were completed in the third quarter of fiscal 2009. During fiscal 2009, we redeemed \$37.0 million of our auction rate securities at 100% of par value. As of March 31, 2010, we no longer hold any auction rate securities.

We have the ability to hold the investments classified as held-to-maturity in our investment portfolio at March 31, 2010 until maturity and therefore, we believe we have no material exposure to interest rate risk. A sensitivity analysis assuming a hypothetical 10% movement in interest rates applied to our total investment balances at March 31, 2010 indicated that such market movement would not have a material effect on our business, operating results or financial condition. We have not experienced any significant loss on our investment portfolio during fiscal 2010 and 2009.

For our line of credit, which provides for borrowings of up to \$2.0 million, the interest rate is equal to the bank's prime rate minus 0.25%, which totaled 3.00% at March 31, 2010. Consequently, an increase in the prime rate would expose us to higher interest expenses. A sensitivity analysis assuming a hypothetical 10% movement in the prime rate applied to our line of credit balance at March 31, 2010 indicated that such market movement would not have a material effect on our business, operating results or financial condition, as there was no amount outstanding on our line of credit at March 31, 2010.

As a matter of management policy, we do not currently enter into transactions involving derivative financial instruments. In the event we do enter into such transactions in the future, such items will be accounted for in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification 815, Derivatives and Hedging.

Table of Contents

Foreign Currency Rate Fluctuations

We operate primarily in the United States and a majority of our revenues, cost of revenues, operating expenses and capital purchasing activities are transacted in U.S. dollars. However, we are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on the hematology instruments and hematology reagent kits purchased from Diatron Messtechnik GmbH, which are primarily denominated in Euros.

In the first quarter of fiscal 2009, operations from our sales office in Darmstadt, Germany were stated in Euros and translated into U.S. dollars at the period-end exchange rates. In July 2008, the Germany sales office was incorporated as our wholly-owned subsidiary, Abaxis Europe GmbH, to market, promote and distribute diagnostic systems for medical and veterinary uses. Abaxis Europe GmbH's functional currency is in U.S. dollars. Foreign currency denominated account balances of our subsidiary are remeasured into U.S. dollars at the end-of-period exchange rates for monetary assets and liabilities, and historical exchange rates for nonmonetary assets. Accordingly, the effects of foreign currency transactions, and of remeasuring the financial condition into the functional currency, resulted in foreign currency gains and losses, which were included in Interest and other income (expense), net on our consolidated statements of income. For our sales denominated in local currencies, we are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations on revenue.

To the extent the U.S. dollar strengthens against the Euro currency, the translation of the foreign currency denominated transactions may result in reduced cost of revenues and operating expenses. Similarly, our cost of revenues and operating expenses will increase if the U.S. dollar weakens against the Euro currency.

Table of Contents

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

ABAXIS, INC.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Description	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	55
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	56
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended March 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	57
<u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended March 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	58
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended March 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	59
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	60

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of
Abaxis, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Abaxis, Inc. and its subsidiary (the Company) as of March 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended March 31, 2010. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index to this Annual Report on Form 10-K at Part IV Item 15(a) 2. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Abaxis, Inc. and its subsidiary as of March 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended March 31, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material aspects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated June 14, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc.

San Jose, California
June 14, 2010

Table of Contents

ABAXIS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	March 31,	
	2010	2009
	(In thousands, except share data)	
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,857	\$ 49,237
Short-term investments	32,343	20,776
Receivables (net of allowances of \$446 in 2010 and \$388 in 2009)	23,962	21,983
Inventories	19,067	15,735
Prepaid expenses	1,340	957
Net deferred tax assets, current	3,773	4,676
Total current assets	108,342	113,364
Long-term investments	36,319	4,886
Property and equipment, net	15,544	14,798
Intangible assets, net	4,600	5,175
Net deferred tax assets, non-current	2,935	2,464
Other assets	76	24
Total assets	\$ 167,816	\$ 140,711
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 9,404	\$ 3,963
Accrued payroll and related expenses	5,615	3,698
Accrued taxes	400	34
Other accrued liabilities	1,256	1,116
Deferred revenue	1,157	1,024
Warranty reserve	1,183	1,714
Total current liabilities	19,015	11,549
Non-current liabilities:		
Deferred rent	163	137
Deferred revenue	1,359	1,550
Warranty reserve	160	583
Total non-current liabilities	1,682	2,270
Commitments and contingencies (Note 9)		
Shareholders' equity:		

Edgar Filing: ABAXIS INC - Form 10-K

Preferred stock, no par value: 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding in 2010 and 2009

Common stock, no par value: 35,000,000 shares authorized; 22,112,000 and 21,933,000 shares issued and outstanding in 2010 and 2009, respectively

	125,050	117,846
--	---------	---------

Retained earnings	22,069	9,046
-------------------	--------	-------

Total shareholders' equity	147,119	126,892
----------------------------	---------	---------

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 167,816	\$ 140,711
--	------------	------------

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ABAXIS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands, except per share data)		
Revenues	\$ 124,557	\$ 105,562	\$ 100,551
Cost of revenues	52,435	46,937	45,507
Gross profit	72,122	58,625	55,044
Operating expenses:			
Research and development	10,688	8,361	6,966
Sales and marketing	30,138	24,712	23,689
General and administrative	10,521	7,757	6,681
Total operating expenses	51,347	40,830	37,336
Income from operations	20,775	17,795	17,708
Interest and other income (expense), net	630	1,271	2,096
Income before income tax provision	21,405	19,066	19,804
Income tax provision	8,382	7,053	7,301
Net income	\$ 13,023	\$ 12,013	\$ 12,503
Net income per share:			
Basic net income per share	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.58
Diluted net income per share	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.56
Shares used in the calculation of net income per share:			
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	22,021	21,826	21,499
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	22,606	22,324	22,261

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ABAXIS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Common Stock		Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Shareholder Equity	Comprehensive Income
	Shares	Amount	(In thousands, except share data)			
Balances at March 31, 2007	21,207,000	\$ 103,282	\$ (15,470)	\$	\$ 87,812	
Common stock issued under stock option exercises	483,000	3,128			3,128	
Common stock issued in settlement of restricted stock units, net of shares withheld for employee taxes	16,000	(110)			(110)	
Share-based compensation		1,122			1,122	
Excess tax benefits from share-based awards		1,609			1,609	
Components of comprehensive income:						
Net income			12,503		12,503	\$ 12,503
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on investments, net of tax				(1,415)	(1,415)	(1,415)
Comprehensive income						\$ 11,088
Balances at March 31, 2008	21,706,000	109,031	(2,967)	(1,415)	104,649	
Common stock issued under stock option exercises	194,000	636			636	
Common stock issued in settlement of restricted stock units, net of shares withheld for employee taxes	33,000	(281)			(281)	
Share-based compensation		1,749			1,749	
Excess tax benefits from share-based awards		6,711			6,711	
Components of comprehensive income:						
Net income			12,013		12,013	\$ 12,013
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on investments, net of tax				1,415	1,415	1,415
Comprehensive income						\$ 13,428

Edgar Filing: ABAXIS INC - Form 10-K

Balances at March 31, 2009	21,933,000	117,846	9,046	126,892	
Common stock issued under stock option exercises	128,000	915		915	
Common stock issued in settlement of restricted stock units, net of shares withheld for employee taxes	51,000	(446)		(446)	
Share-based compensation		5,398		5,398	
Excess tax benefits from share-based awards and other tax adjustments		1,337		1,337	
Components of comprehensive income:					
Net income			13,023	13,023	\$ 13,023
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on investments, net of tax					
Comprehensive income					\$ 13,023
Balances at March 31, 2010	22,112,000	\$ 125,050	\$ 22,069	\$ 147,119	

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ABAXIS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(In thousands)		
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$ 13,023	\$ 12,013	\$ 12,503
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	4,935	4,492	3,497
Investment premium amortization	211		
Loss on disposals of property and equipment	104	16	2
Loss on foreign exchange translation	60	137	
Share-based compensation expense	5,337	1,743	1,108
Excess tax benefits from share-based awards	(2,096)	(6,711)	(1,609)
Provision for deferred income taxes	1,245	4,813	6,400
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Receivables, net	(2,035)	(1,221)	(3,944)
Inventories	(5,068)	1,051	(5,572)
Prepaid expenses	(383)	(295)	894
Other assets	(52)	(21)	33
Accounts payable	5,436	(2,440)	(84)
Accrued payroll and related expenses	1,917	(576)	447
Accrued taxes	884	30	
Other accrued liabilities	140	479	503
Deferred rent	26	(149)	(105)
Deferred revenue	(58)	621	(208)
Warranty reserve	(954)	349	1,101
Net cash provided by operating activities	22,672	14,331	14,966
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchases of available-for-sale investments	(3,030)		(20,575)
Purchases of held-to-maturity investments	(70,562)	(32,950)	(21,167)
Proceeds from redemptions of available-for-sale investments	3,000	36,975	
Proceeds from maturities and redemptions of held-to-maturity investments	27,381	14,279	32,804
Purchases of property and equipment	(3,413)	(2,651)	(3,619)
Proceeds from disposals of property and equipment		20	
Purchase of intangible assets		(5,000)	
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(46,624)	10,673	(12,557)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock under stock plans, net	469	355	3,018
Excess tax benefits from share-based awards	2,096	6,711	1,609

Edgar Filing: ABAXIS INC - Form 10-K

Net cash provided by financing activities	2,565	7,066	4,627
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	7	(52)	
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(21,380)	32,018	7,036
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	49,237	17,219	10,183
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 27,857	\$ 49,237	\$ 17,219
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Cash paid for income taxes, net of refunds	\$ 6,349	\$ 1,491	\$ 319
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash flow information:			
Change in unrealized gain (loss) on investments, net of tax	\$	\$ 1,415	\$ (1,415)
Transfers of equipment between inventory and property and equipment, net	\$ 1,797	\$ 1,877	\$ 1,742
Net change in capitalized share-based compensation	\$ 61	\$ 6	\$ 14
Common stock withheld for employee taxes in connection with share-based compensation	\$ 446	\$ 281	\$ 110

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ABAXIS, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

NOTE 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Description of Business. Abaxis, Inc. (Abaxis, the Company or we), incorporated in California in 1989, develops, manufactures, markets and sells portable blood analysis systems for use in the human or veterinary patient-care setting to provide clinicians with rapid blood constituent measurements.

On July 1, 2008, our sales office in Darmstadt, Germany was incorporated as Abaxis Europe GmbH to market, promote and distribute diagnostic systems for medical and veterinary uses. Abaxis Europe GmbH, our wholly-owned subsidiary, was formed to provide customer support in a timely manner in response to the growing and increasingly diverse services needs of customers in the European market.

Principles of Consolidation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Abaxis and our wholly-owned subsidiary, Abaxis Europe GmbH. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Use of Estimates. The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires our management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Such management estimates include allowance for doubtful accounts, fair values of investments, sales and other allowances, valuation of inventory, fair values of purchased intangible assets, useful lives of intangible assets, income taxes, valuation allowance for deferred tax assets, share-based compensation and warranty reserves. Our management bases their estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Our actual results may differ materially from these estimates.

Certain Significant Risks and Uncertainties. We are subject to certain risks and uncertainties and believe that changes in any of the following areas could have a material adverse effect on our future financial position or results of operations: continued Food and Drug Administration compliance or regulatory changes; uncertainty regarding health care reforms; fundamental changes in the technology underlying blood testing; the ability to develop new products that are accepted in the marketplace; competition, including, but not limited to, pricing and products or product features and services; litigation or other claims against Abaxis; the adequate and timely sourcing of inventories; and the hiring, training and retention of key employees.

Reclassification. Certain reclassifications have been made to the consolidated financial statements of our fiscal years ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 to conform to current period presentation. These reclassifications did not result in any change in previously reported net income, total assets or shareholders' equity.

Cash and Cash Equivalents. Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments with original or remaining maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase that are readily convertible into cash. The fair value of these investments was determined by using quoted prices for identical investments in active markets which are measured at Level 1 inputs under Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. The carrying value of cash equivalents approximates fair value due to their relatively short-term nature.

Investments. We hold both short-term and long-term investments and our portfolio typically consists of certificates of deposits, corporate bonds, municipal bonds, and U.S. agency securities. Short-term investments have maturities of one year or less. All other investments with maturity dates greater than one year are classified as long-term. Our investments are accounted for as either available-for-sale or held-to-maturity. Investments classified as available-for-sale are reported at fair value at the balance sheet date, and temporary differences between cost and fair value are presented as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of any

Table of Contents

related tax effect, in shareholders' equity. Investments classified as held-to-maturity are based on the Company's positive intent and ability to hold to maturity and these investments are carried at amortized cost.

At March 31, 2008, our short-term investments totaled \$7.0 million, consisting of certificates of deposits and municipal bonds. At March 31, 2008, the fair value of our long-term investments totaled \$35.5 million, consisting of auction rate securities that were not liquid. In September 2008, the bank where our auction rate securities were held, reached agreements with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, the State of Michigan Attorney General and the Michigan Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation regarding the repurchase of auction rate securities. In October 2008, we received a commitment from our bank to repurchase all of our remaining auction rate securities, which repurchases were completed in the third quarter of fiscal 2009. During fiscal 2009, we redeemed \$37.0 million of our auction rate securities at 100% of par value by the issuer of the auction rate securities and in fiscal 2009, we adjusted an unrealized loss of \$1.4 million, net of related income taxes, that was recorded in other comprehensive income in fiscal 2008. Such adjustment in fiscal 2009 did not affect net income for the applicable accounting period.

Interest and realized gains and losses from investments are included in Interest and other income (expense), net, computed using the specific identification cost method. We assess whether an other-than-temporary impairment loss on our investments has occurred due to declines in fair value or other market conditions. Declines in fair value that are determined to be other-than-temporary, if any, are recorded as charges against Interest and other income (expense), net in the consolidated statements of income. We did not recognize any impairment loss on investments during fiscal 2010, 2009 or 2008.

Concentration of Credit Risk. Financial instruments that potentially subject us to a concentration of credit risk consist primarily of cash, cash equivalents, investments and receivables. Cash, cash equivalents and investments are placed with high quality financial institutions and are regularly monitored by management. These deposits are in excess of the amount of the insurance provided by the federal government on such deposits. To date, the Company has not experienced any losses on such deposits.

We sell our products to distributors and direct customers located primarily in Europe, Japan and North America. We monitor the credit status of our distributors and direct customers on an ongoing basis and generally do not require our customers to provide collateral for purchases on credit. Collection of receivables may be affected by changes in economic or other industry conditions and may, accordingly, impact our overall credit risk. At March 31, 2010 and 2009, one distributor in the United States accounted for 14% and 16%, respectively, of our total receivables balance.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts based on our assessment of the collectibility of the amounts owed to us by our customers. We consider the following in determining the level of allowance required: the customer's payment history, the age of the receivables, the credit quality of our customers, the general financial condition of our customer base and other factors that may affect the customers' ability to pay.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments. Financial instruments include cash, cash equivalents, investments, receivables, accounts payable and certain other accrued liabilities. The fair value of cash, cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and certain other accrued liabilities are valued at their carrying value, which approximates fair value due to their short maturities.

See Note 3, Fair Value Measurements for further information on fair value measurement of our financial and nonfinancial assets and liabilities.

Inventories. Inventories include material, labor and overhead, and are stated at the lower of standard cost (which approximates actual cost using the first-in, first-out method) or market. Provisions for excess, obsolete and unusable

inventories are made after management's evaluation of future demand and market conditions.

Table of Contents

Property and Equipment. Property and equipment are stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization is calculated using the straight-line method over the following estimated useful lives of the assets:

Asset Classification	Estimated Useful Life
Machinery and equipment	2-10 years
Furniture and fixtures	3-8 years
Computer equipment	2-7 years
Leasehold improvements	Shorter of estimated useful life or remaining lease term

Construction in progress primarily consists of purchased material used in the development of production lines. We did not capitalize interest on constructed assets during fiscal 2010 or 2009, due to immateriality.

Property and equipment includes instruments transferred from inventory and held for loan or evaluation or demonstration purposes to customers. Units held for loan, evaluation or demonstration purposes are carried at cost and depreciated over their estimated useful lives of three to five years. Depreciation expense related to loan, evaluation or demonstration units is recorded in cost of revenues or in the respective operating expense line based on the function and purpose for which it is being used. Proceeds from the sale of evaluation units are recorded as revenue.

Valuation of Long-Lived Assets. We evaluate the carrying value of our long-lived assets, such as property and equipment and amortized intangible assets, whenever events or changes in business circumstances or our planned use of long-lived assets indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be fully recoverable or their useful lives are no longer appropriate. We look to current and future profitability, as well as current and future undiscounted cash flows, excluding financing costs, as primary indicators of recoverability. An impairment loss would be recognized when the sum of the undiscounted future net cash flows expected to result from the use of the asset and its eventual disposal is less than the carrying amount. If impairment is determined to exist, any related impairment loss is calculated based on fair value and long-lived assets are written down to their respective fair values. We did not recognize any impairment charges on long-lived assets in fiscal 2010, 2009 or 2008.

Intangible Assets. Intangible assets, consisting of purchased patents and licenses, are presented at cost, net of accumulated amortization. The intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful life of ten years, which approximates the economic benefit.

Revenue Recognition and Deferred Revenue. Revenues from product sales, net of estimated sales allowances and rebates, are recognized when the following four criteria are met:

Evidence of an arrangement exists: Persuasive evidence of an arrangement with a customer that reflects the terms and conditions to deliver products must exist in order to recognize revenue.

Upon shipment of the products to the customer: Delivery is considered to occur at the time of shipment of products to a distributor or direct customer, as title and risk of loss have been transferred to the distributor or direct customer on delivery to the common carrier. Rights of return are not provided.

Fixed or determinable sales price: When the sales price is fixed or determinable that amount is recognized as revenue.

Collection is reasonably assured: Collection is deemed probable if a customer is expected to be able to pay amounts under the arrangement as those amounts become due. Revenue is recognized when the resulting receivable is reasonably assured.

We provide incentives in the form of free goods or extended maintenance agreements to customers in connection with the sale of our instruments. Revenues from such sales are allocated separately to the instruments and incentives based on the relative fair value of each element. Revenues allocated to incentives are deferred until the goods are shipped to the customer or recognized ratably over the life of the maintenance contract.

Table of Contents

We periodically offer trade-in programs to customers for trading in an existing instrument to purchase a new instrument and we will either provide incentives in the form of free goods or reduce the sales price of the instrument. These incentives in the form of free goods are recorded according to the policies described above.

Revenues associated with extended maintenance agreements are recognized ratably over the life of the contract. Amounts collected in advance of revenue recognition are recorded as a current or non-current liability based on the time from the balance sheet date to the future date of revenue recognition.

We periodically offer programs to customers whereby certain instruments are made available to customers for rent or evaluation basis. These programs typically require customers to purchase a minimum quantity of consumables during a specified period for which we recognize revenue on the related consumable according to the policies described above. Depending on the program offered, customers may purchase the instrument during the rental or evaluation period. Proceeds from such sale are recorded as revenue according to the policies described above. Rental income, if any, are also recorded as revenue according to the policies described above.

Royalties are typically based on licensees' net sales of products that utilize our technology and are recognized as earned in accordance with the contract terms when royalties from licensees can be reliably measured and collectibility is reasonably assured, such as upon the receipt of a royalty statement from the licensee.

Distributor and Customer Rebates. We periodically offer distributor pricing rebates to distributors upon meeting the sales volume requirements during a qualifying period. The distributor pricing rebates are recorded as a reduction to gross revenues during a qualifying period. We also periodically offer rebate programs to distributors or customers who purchase certain products or instruments during a promotional period. Cash rebates are recorded as a reduction to gross revenues.

Shipping and Handling. In a sale transaction we bill customers for shipping and handling costs and the amounts billed are classified as revenue. The costs of shipping products to customers are expensed as incurred and are included in cost of revenues.

Research and Development Costs. Research and development costs, including internally generated software costs, are expensed as incurred and include expenses associated with new product research and regulatory activities. Our products include certain software applications that are resident in the product. The costs to develop such software have not been capitalized as we believe our current software development processes are completed concurrent with the establishment of technological feasibility of the software.

Advertising Expenses. Costs of advertising, which are recognized as sales and marketing expenses, are generally expensed in the period incurred. Advertising expenses were \$2.3 million, \$1.8 million and \$2.2 million, for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Income Taxes. We account for income taxes using the liability method under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts to be recovered.

We recognize and measure benefits for uncertain tax positions using a two-step approach. The first step is to evaluate the tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return by determining if the weight of evidence indicates that it

is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained upon audit, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes. For tax positions that are more likely than not to be sustained upon audit, the second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50 percent likely to be realized upon settlement. Significant judgment is required to evaluate uncertain tax positions. At March 31, 2010 and 2009, we had no uncertain tax positions. Our policy is to include interest and penalties related to gross unrecognized tax benefits within our provision for income taxes. For fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, we did not recognize any interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions in the consolidated statements of income, and at March 31, 2010 and 2009, we had no accrued interest or penalties.

Table of Contents

Share-Based Compensation Expense. We account for share-based compensation in accordance with ASC 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation. We recognize share-based compensation expense, net of an estimated forfeiture rate, over the requisite service period of the award to employees and directors.

We did not grant stock options during fiscal 2010, 2009 or 2008. For stock options granted prior to March 31, 2006, we use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the fair value. Determining the appropriate fair value model and calculating the fair value of share-based awards requires highly subjective assumptions, including risk-free interest rate, expected stock price volatility, expected term and expected dividends.

For restricted stock units, share-based compensation expense is based on the fair value of our stock at the grant date.

As required by fair value provisions of share-based compensation, employee share-based compensation expense recognized is calculated over the requisite service period of the awards and reduced for estimated forfeitures. The forfeiture rate is estimated based on historical data of our share-based compensation awards that are granted and cancelled prior to vesting and upon historical experience of employee turnover.

Net Income Per Share. Basic net income per share is computed by dividing the net income attributable to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed by dividing the net income attributable to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares that would have been outstanding during the period assuming the issuance of common shares for all potential dilutive common shares outstanding using the treasury stock method. Dilutive potential common shares outstanding include outstanding stock options, restricted stock units and warrants.

Comprehensive Income. Comprehensive income (loss) generally represents all changes in shareholders' equity during a period, resulting from net income and transactions from non-owner sources. Comprehensive income consists of net income and the net-of-tax amounts for unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale investments (difference between the cost and fair market value). Comprehensive income and its components are reported in the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income.

Foreign Currency Translations. In July 2008, our sales office in Darmstadt, Germany was incorporated as Abaxis Europe GmbH, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Abaxis. The functional currency is the U.S. dollar for our international subsidiary. Foreign currency transactions of our subsidiary are remeasured into U.S. dollars at the end-of-period exchange rates for monetary assets and liabilities, and historical exchange rates for nonmonetary assets. Accordingly, the effects of foreign currency transactions, and of remeasuring the financial condition into the functional currency resulted in foreign currency gains and losses, which were included in Interest and other income (expense), net on the Consolidated Statements of Income and were insignificant for fiscal 2010 and 2009. Prior to July 2008, operations from our Germany sales office were stated in Euros and translated into U.S. dollars at the period-end exchange rates and foreign exchange translations were insignificant.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In October 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued amendments to the accounting standard addressing multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. The amendments provide guidance in addressing how to determine whether an arrangement involving multiple deliverables contains more than one unit of accounting, and how to allocate the consideration to each unit of accounting. In an arrangement with multiple deliverables, the delivered items shall be considered a separate unit of accounting if the delivered items have value to the customer on a stand-alone basis. Items have value on a stand-alone basis if they are sold separately by any vendor or the customer could resell the delivered items on a stand-alone basis; and if the arrangement includes a general right of return

relative to the delivered items, delivery or performance of the undelivered items is considered probable and substantially in the control of the vendor. This amendment is effective for the Company on April 1, 2011. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting these amendments on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the Codification) as the single source of authoritative United States generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) recognized by the FASB. The Codification does not change current U.S. GAAP, but is intended to simplify user access to all

Table of Contents

authoritative U.S. GAAP by providing all the authoritative literature related to a particular topic in one place. All existing accounting standard documents were superseded and all other accounting literature not included in the Codification were considered non-authoritative. While the adoption of the Codification as of September 30, 2009 and for the three month period then ended and all subsequent annual and interim periods changes how we reference accounting standards, the adoption did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In June 2009, the FASB issued the consolidation guidance for variable-interest entities to replace the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation for determining which enterprise, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity with an approach focused on identifying which enterprise has the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. These new standards will be effective for the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year 2011. We are currently assessing the potential impact, if any, these new standards may have on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On June 30, 2009, we adopted amendments to the accounting standard addressing subsequent events. The amendments provide guidance on the definition of what qualifies as a subsequent event—those events or transactions that occur following the balance sheet date, but before the financial statements are issued, or are available to be issued and requires companies to disclose the date through which subsequent events were evaluated and the basis for determining that date. This disclosure should alert all users of financial statements that a company has not evaluated subsequent events after that date in the set of financial statements being presented. In February 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2010-09, Subsequent Events—Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements (ASU 2010-09). The update removes the requirement for a Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filer to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. The change alleviates potential conflicts between ASC 855-10 Subsequent Events and the SEC's requirements. ASU 2010-09 is effective upon issuance of the final update. The Company adopted this statement upon its issuance. The adoption of this statement did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On June 30, 2009, we adopted amendments to the accounting standard addressing debt securities. The amendments provide guidance in determining whether impairments in debt securities are other than temporary, and modify the presentation and disclosures surrounding such instruments. The adoption of these amendments did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On June 30, 2009, we adopted amendments to the accounting standard addressing fair value of financial instruments in interim reporting periods. The amendments provide guidance on the disclosure requirements about fair value of financial instruments in interim reporting periods. Such disclosures were previously required only in annual financial statements. The adoption of these amendments did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On June 30, 2009, we adopted amendments to the accounting standard addressing estimating fair value. The amendments provide additional authoritative guidance to assist both issuers and users of financial statements in determining whether a market is active or inactive, and whether a transaction is distressed. The adoption of these amendments did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On April 1, 2009, we adopted amendments to the accounting standard addressing intangibles, goodwill and other assets. The amendments provide guidance to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under U.S. GAAP.

The adoption of these amendments did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

On April 1, 2009, we adopted amendments to the accounting standard addressing derivatives and hedging. The amendments change the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities, requiring enhanced disclosures about how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how instruments are accounted for under U.S. GAAP, and how derivatives and hedging activities affect an entity's financial position, financial

Table of Contents

performance and cash flows. The adoption of these amendments required additional disclosure only, and therefore did not have an impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

NOTE 2. INVESTMENTS

The following table summarizes short-term and long-term investments by major security type at March 31, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	March 31, 2010		
	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Fair Value
Short-term investments			
Held-to-maturity:			
Certificates of deposits	\$ 15,767	\$	\$ 15,767
Corporate bonds	10,549		10,549
Municipal bonds	6,027		6,027
Total short-term investments in held-to-maturity	\$ 32,343	\$	\$ 32,343
Long-term investments			
Held-to-maturity:			
Certificates of deposits	\$ 6,562	\$	\$ 6,562
Corporate bonds	5,720		5,720
Municipal bonds	3,407		3,407
U.S. agency securities	20,630		20,630
Total long-term investments in held-to-maturity	\$ 36,319	\$	\$ 36,319

	March 31, 2009		
	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain (Loss)	Fair Value
Short-term investments			
Held-to-maturity:			
Certificates of deposits	\$ 20,776	\$	\$ 20,776
Total short-term investments in held-to-maturity	\$ 20,776	\$	\$ 20,776
Long-term investments			
Held-to-maturity:			

Certificates of deposits	\$ 2,376	\$	\$ 2,376
Corporate bonds	2,510		2,510
Total long-term investments in held-to-maturity	\$ 4,886	\$	\$ 4,886

As of March 31, 2010 and 2009, we had no unrealized gain (loss) on investments, net of related income taxes.

The contractual maturities of short-term and long-term investments as of March 31, 2010 and 2009, are as follows (in thousands):

Investments	March 31, 2010 Fair Value	March 31, 2009 Fair Value
Due in less than one year	\$ 32,343	\$ 20,776
Due in 1 to 4 years	36,319	4,886
	\$ 68,662	\$ 25,662

Table of Contents**NOTE 3. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between (1) market participant assumptions developed based on market data obtained from independent sources (observable inputs) and (2) an entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions developed based on the best information available in the circumstances (unobservable inputs). The fair value hierarchy consists of three broad levels, which gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Directly or indirectly observable inputs as of the reporting date through correlation with market data, including quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and quoted prices in markets that are not active. Level 2 also includes assets and liabilities that are valued using models or other pricing methodologies that do not require significant judgment since the input assumptions used in the models, such as interest rates and volatility factors, are corroborated by readily observable data from actively quoted markets for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market data and require the use of significant management judgment. These values are generally determined using pricing models for which the assumptions utilize management's estimates of market participant assumptions.

The following table summarizes financial assets, measured at fair value on a recurring basis, by level within the fair value hierarchy as of March 31, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

As of March 31, 2010				
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1	Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2	Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3	Total
Assets				
Cash equivalents	\$ 4,618	\$	\$	\$ 4,618
Total assets at fair value	\$ 4,618	\$	\$	\$ 4,618

As of March 31, 2009		
Quoted Prices in		Significant

	Active Markets for Identical Assets Level 1	Significant Other Observable Inputs Level 2	Unobservable Inputs Level 3	Total
Assets				
Cash equivalents	\$ 43,322	\$	\$	\$ 43,322
Total assets at fair value	\$ 43,322	\$	\$	\$ 43,322

Our Level 1 financial assets are cash equivalents, comprised of money market mutual funds, which are highly liquid instruments with original or remaining maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase that are readily convertible into cash. The fair value of our Level 1 financial assets is based on quoted market prices of the underlying security. As of March 31, 2010 and 2009, we did not have any Level 2 or Level 3 financial assets or liabilities.

At April 1, 2008, we had Level 3 financial assets comprised of auction rate securities. At March 31, 2008, the par value of our investments in auction rate securities totaled \$37.0 million and the fair value of these auction rate securities was \$35.5 million. In September 2008, the bank where our auction rate securities were held, reached agreements with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, the State of Michigan Attorney General and the Michigan Office of Financial and Insurance Regulation regarding the repurchase of auction rate securities. In

Table of Contents

October 2008, we received a commitment from our bank to repurchase all of the remaining auction rate securities, which repurchases were completed in the third quarter of fiscal 2009. During fiscal 2009, we redeemed \$37.0 million of our auction rate securities at 100% of par value by the issuer of the auction rate securities.

In fiscal 2010, we did not have any Level 3 financial assets or liabilities. The following table summarizes the changes in the beginning and ending balances in the carrying value associated with Level 3 financial assets for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009 (in thousands):

	Auction Rate Securities
Balance at April 1, 2008	\$ 35,463
Redemptions during the period	(36,975)
Transfers	
Total gain or loss (realized or unrealized):	
Included in earnings (loss)	
Included in other comprehensive income (loss)	1,512
Balance at March 31, 2009	\$

NOTE 4. INVENTORIES

Components of inventories at March 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

	March 31,	
	2010	2009
Raw materials	\$ 8,936	\$ 8,539
Work-in-process	3,421	2,592
Finished goods	6,710	4,604
Inventories	\$ 19,067	\$ 15,735

NOTE 5. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET

Property and equipment, net, at March 31, 2010 and 2009 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31,	
	2010	2009
Machinery and equipment	\$ 23,617	\$ 22,223
Furniture and fixtures	1,356	1,621
Computer equipment	2,179	2,353

Leasehold improvements	6,298	6,195
Construction in progress	4,840	3,376
	38,290	35,768
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(22,746)	(20,970)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 15,544	\$ 14,798

Depreciation and amortization expense for property and equipment amounted to \$4.4 million, \$4.3 million and \$3.4 million in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents**NOTE 6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS, NET**

Intangible assets, net, at March 31, 2010 and 2009 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value
Balance, March 31, 2010			
Licenses	\$ 5,000	\$ 625	\$ 4,375
Patents	750	525	225
Total purchased intangible assets	\$ 5,750	\$ 1,150	\$ 4,600
Balance, March 31, 2009			
Licenses	\$ 5,000	\$ 125	\$ 4,875
Patents	750	450	300
Total purchased intangible assets	\$ 5,750	\$ 575	\$ 5,175

In January 2009, we entered into a license agreement with Inverness Medical Switzerland GmbH (Inverness), pursuant to which we licensed co-exclusively certain worldwide patent rights. We paid a \$5.0 million up-front license fee to Inverness in January 2009, which was recorded as an intangible asset on the consolidated balance sheets. See Note 9 for additional information on our patent license agreement with Inverness.

Amortization expense for intangible assets, included in cost of revenues, amounted to \$575,000, \$200,000 and \$75,000 in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Based on our intangible assets subject to amortization as of March 31, 2010, the estimated amortization expense for succeeding years is as follows (in thousands):

	Estimated Future Annual Amortization Expense						
	Fiscal Year Ending March 31,						
	Total	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Thereafter
Amortization expense	\$ 4,600	\$ 575	\$ 575	\$ 575	\$ 500	\$ 500	\$ 1,875

NOTE 7. WARRANTY RESERVES

We provide for the estimated future costs to be incurred under our standard warranty obligation on our instruments and reagent discs.

Instruments. Our standard warranty obligation on instruments ranges from one to three years. The estimated contractual warranty obligation is recorded when the related revenue is recognized and any additional amount is recorded when such cost is probable and can be reasonably estimated. Cost of revenues reflects estimated warranty expense for instruments sold in the current period and any adjustments in estimated warranty expense for the installed base under our standard warranty obligation based on our quarterly evaluation of service experience. The estimated accrual for warranty exposure is based on historical experience as to product failures, estimated product failure rates,

estimated repair costs, material usage and freight incurred in repairing the instrument after failure and known design changes under the warranty plan.

During fiscal 2010, we recorded an adjustment to pre-existing warranties of \$900,000, which reduced our warranty reserves and our cost of revenues, based on both a decrease in our historical experience as to product failures and our judgment of a decrease in estimated product failure rates of blood chemistry analyzers.

Reagent Discs. We record a provision for defective reagent discs when the related sale is recognized and any additional amount is recorded when such cost is probable and can be reasonably estimated. The warranty cost includes the replacement costs and freight of a defective reagent disc. For fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, the provision for warranty expense related to replacement of defective reagent discs was \$192,000, \$425,000 and \$507,000, respectively. The balance of accrued warranty reserve related to replacement of defective reagent discs at March 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$349,000, \$450,000 and \$418,000, respectively, which was classified as a current liability on the consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

We evaluate our estimates for warranty reserves on an ongoing basis and believe we have the ability to reasonably estimate warranty costs. However, unforeseeable changes in factors may impact the estimate for warranty and such changes could cause a material change in our warranty reserve accrual in the period in which the change was identified.

The change in our accrued warranty reserve during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 is summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 2,297	\$ 1,948	\$ 847
Provision for warranty expense	762	1,558	2,036
Warranty costs incurred	(816)	(1,209)	(935)
Adjustment to pre-existing warranties	(900)		
Balance at end of period	1,343	2,297	1,948
Non-current portion of warranty reserve	160	583	729
Current portion of warranty reserve	\$ 1,183	\$ 1,714	\$ 1,219

NOTE 8. LINE OF CREDIT

We have a line of credit with Comerica Bank-California which provides for borrowings of up to \$2.0 million. The line of credit may be terminated upon notification by either party and any outstanding balance is payable upon demand by Comerica Bank-California. The line of credit bears interest at the bank's prime rate minus 0.25%, which totaled 3.00% at March 31, 2010, and is payable monthly. At March 31, 2010, of the \$2.0 million of borrowings available, \$97,000 was committed to secure a letter of credit for our facilities lease. In connection with our amended facilities lease agreement in March 2010, our obligation to provide a letter of credit on our facility terminated in April 2010. At March 31, 2010, there was no amount outstanding under our line of credit. The weighted average interest rates on our line of credit during fiscal 2010 and 2009 were 3.00% and 4.10%, respectively.

The line of credit agreement contains certain financial covenants, which are evaluated on a quarterly basis. At March 31, 2010, we were in compliance with each of these covenants. Included in these financial covenants, among other stipulations, are the following requirements:

We must have a minimum net income of \$25,000 before preferred stock dividends and accretion on preferred stock in any three quarters of a fiscal year, provided that any loss before preferred stock dividends and accretion on preferred stock incurred in the remaining quarter is not to exceed \$250,000.

We are required to be profitable, as defined, on a fiscal year to date basis beginning, with respect to the current fiscal year, with the six month period ended September 30, 2009 and to have net income before preferred stock dividends and accretion on preferred stock of at least \$1.2 million for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

We are required to comply with certain financial covenants as follows:

Financial Covenants

Requirements

Quick ratio, as defined

Not less than 2.00 to 1.00

Cash flow coverage, as defined

Not less than 1.25 to 1.00

Debt to net worth ratio, as defined

Not greater than 1.00 to 1.00

Tangible effective net worth, as defined

Not less than \$25.7 million

Borrowings under the line of credit are collateralized by our net book value of assets of \$147.1 million at March 31, 2010, including our intellectual property.

Table of Contents**NOTE 9. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

As of March 31, 2010, our contractual obligations for succeeding years are as follows (in thousands):

		Payments Due by Period					
				Due in Fiscal			
	Total	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Thereafter
Operating leases	\$ 11,919	\$ 1,141	\$ 1,109	\$ 1,066	\$ 1,063	\$ 1,065	\$ 6,475

Operating Leases. Our operating lease obligations were comprised of our principal facility and various leased facilities and office equipment under operating lease agreements, which expire on various dates through fiscal 2021. Rent expense under operating leases was \$1.4 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.3 million for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Our principal facility is under a non-cancelable operating lease agreement, which expires in fiscal 2021. In March 2010, we amended the terms of our lease agreement related to our principal facility in Union City, California, which includes extending the expiration date from December 2010 to February 2021. The monthly rental payments on the facility lease increase based on a predetermined schedule. We recognize rent expense on a straight-line basis over the life of the lease. In connection with our amended facilities lease agreement in March 2010, our obligation to provide a letter of credit, which was secured by our line of credit, on our facility terminated in April 2010. See Notes 8 and 18 for additional information.

Purchase Commitments. In October 2008, we entered into an original equipment manufacturing (OEM) agreement with Scandinavian Micro Biodevices APS (SMB) of Denmark to purchase coagulation analyzers and coagulation cartridges. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, we started marketing the products and, upon achievement of certain milestones by SMB outlined in the agreement, we will be subject to the minimum purchase commitments under the OEM agreement. These milestones have not yet been met and we are currently purchasing coagulation analyzers and coagulation cartridges on a purchase order basis, but all such purchases will count towards the minimum purchase obligations if and when they are triggered.

Patent Licensing Agreement. Effective January 2009, we entered into a license agreement with Inverness Medical Switzerland GmbH (Inverness). Under our license agreement, we licensed co-exclusively certain worldwide patent rights related to lateral flow immunoassay technology in the field of animal health diagnostics in the professional marketplace. The license agreement provides that Inverness shall not grant any future rights to any third parties under its current lateral flow patent rights in the animal health diagnostics field in the professional marketplace. The license agreement enables us to develop and market products under rights from Inverness to address animal health and laboratory animal research markets.

In exchange for the license rights, we (i) paid an up-front license fee of \$5.0 million to Inverness in January 2009, (ii) agreed to pay royalties during the term of the agreement, based solely on sales of products in a jurisdiction country covered by valid and unexpired claims in that jurisdiction under the licensed Inverness patent rights, and (iii) agreed to pay a yearly minimum license fee of between \$500,000 to \$1.0 million per year, which fee will be creditable against any royalties due during such calendar year. The royalties, if any, are payable through the date of the expiration of the last valid patent licensed under the agreement that includes at least one claim in a jurisdiction covering products we sell in that jurisdiction. The yearly minimum fees are payable starting in fiscal 2011 for so long as we desire to maintain exclusivity under the agreement.

Litigation. We are involved from time to time in various litigation matters in the normal course of business. We believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

NOTE 10. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

We have a tax deferred savings plan for the benefit of qualified employees. The plan is designed to provide employees with an accumulation of funds at retirement. Qualified employees may elect to have salary reduction contributions made to the plan on a bi-weekly basis. We may make quarterly contributions to the plan at the discretion of our Board of Directors either in cash or in common stock. Our matching contributions to the tax deferred savings plan totaled \$400,000, \$153,000 and \$288,000 in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In fiscal

Table of Contents

2010, 2009 and 2008, our matching contributions were made in cash. We did not have any matching contributions in the form of common stock in fiscal 2010, 2009 or 2008.

NOTE 11. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The following table summarizes total share-based compensation expense, net of tax, related to stock options and restricted stock units recorded in accordance with ASC 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation, for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, which is included in our consolidated statements of income (in thousands, except per share data):

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Cost of revenues	\$ 374	\$ 152	\$ 127
Research and development	849	240	153
Sales and marketing	1,255	508	325
General and administrative	2,859	843	503
Share-based compensation expense before income taxes	5,337	1,743	1,108
Income tax benefit	(1,741)	(704)	(442)
Total share-based compensation expense after income taxes	\$ 3,596	\$ 1,039	\$ 666
Net impact of share-based compensation on:			
Basic net income per share	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.03
Diluted net income per share	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.03

Share-based compensation has been classified in the consolidated statements of income or capitalized on the consolidated balance sheets in the same manner as cash compensation paid to employees. Capitalized share-based compensation costs at March 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$94,000, \$33,000 and \$27,000, respectively, which were included in inventories on our consolidated balance sheets.

Cash Flow Impact

The accounting standard with respect to share-based payment requires cash flows resulting from excess tax benefits to be classified as a part of cash flows from financing activities. Excess tax benefits are realized tax benefits from tax deductions for exercised stock options and vested restricted stock units in excess of the deferred tax asset attributable to share-based compensation expense for such share-based awards. Excess tax benefits are considered realized when the tax deductions reduce taxes that otherwise would be payable. Excess tax benefits classified as a financing cash inflow for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$2.1 million, \$6.7 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

Equity Compensation Plans

Our share-based compensation plans are described below.

2005 Equity Incentive Plan. Our 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (the *Equity Incentive Plan*) restated and amended our 1998 Stock Option Plan. The Equity Incentive Plan allows for the awards of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units, deferred compensation awards or other share-based awards to employees, directors and consultants. On October 28, 2008, our shareholders approved an amendment to the Equity Incentive Plan to increase the shares reserved for issuance under the Equity Incentive Plan by 500,000 shares. As of March 31, 2010, the Equity Incentive Plan provides for the issuance of a maximum of 5,386,000 shares, of which 454,000 shares of common stock were then available for future issuance.

Options granted to employees and directors generally expire ten years from the grant date. Options granted to employees generally become exercisable over a period of four years based on cliff-vesting terms and continuous employment. Options granted to non-employee directors generally become exercisable over a period of one year

Table of Contents

based on monthly vesting terms and continuous service. See the **Stock Options** section in this Note for additional information.

Restricted stock units awarded to employees generally vest over a period of four years and the awards may also be subject to accelerated vesting upon achieving certain performance-based milestones and continuous employment during the vesting period. Restricted stock units awarded to non-employee directors generally vest in full one year after the grant date based on continuous service. See the **Restricted Stock Units** section in this Note for additional information.

1992 Outside Directors Stock Option Plan. Under our 1992 Outside Directors Stock Option Plan (the **Directors Plan**), options to purchase shares of common stock were automatically granted, annually, to non-employee directors. Options under the Directors Plan were nonqualified stock options and were granted at the fair market value on the date of grant and expired ten years from the date of grant. Options granted to non-employee directors generally become exercisable over a period of one year based on monthly vesting terms and continuous service. The Directors Plan provided for the issuance of a maximum of 250,000 shares. As of March 31, 2010, all outstanding options under the Directors Plan were fully vested and fully exercisable and no shares of common stock were available for future issuance because the time period for granting options expired in accordance with the terms of the Directors Plan in June 2002.

Our current practice is to issue new shares of common stock from our authorized shares for share-based awards upon the exercise of stock options or vesting of restricted stock units.

Stock Options

Prior to April 1, 2006, we granted stock options to employees, with an exercise price equal to the closing market price of our common stock on the date of grant and with cliff-vesting terms over four years, conditional on continuous employment with the Company. In addition, prior to April 1, 2006, we granted stock options to non-employee directors with an exercise price equal to the closing market price of our common stock on the date of grant and became exercisable over a period of one year based on monthly vesting terms, conditional on continuous service to the Company. There were no stock options granted since the beginning of fiscal 2007 or during fiscal 2010.

We used the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the fair value of stock options granted prior to March 31, 2006. The fair value of each stock option granted was estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, based on a multiple option valuation approach. We have recognized compensation expense during the requisite service period of the stock option. As of March 31, 2010, we had no unrecognized compensation expense related to stock options granted.

Table of Contents***Stock Option Activity***

Stock option activity under all stock plans is summarized as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price per Share	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (In thousands)
Outstanding at March 31, 2007 (1,522,000 shares exercisable at a weighted average exercise price of \$7.69 per share)	1,577,000	\$ 7.82		
Granted				
Exercised	(483,000)	6.48		
Canceled or forfeited	(50,000)	20.71		
Outstanding at March 31, 2008 (1,026,000 shares exercisable at a weighted average exercise price of \$7.75 per share)	1,044,000	\$ 7.82		
Granted				
Exercised	(194,000)	3.27		
Canceled or forfeited	(2,000)	11.85		
Outstanding at March 31, 2009 (848,000 shares exercisable at a weighted average exercise price of \$8.86 per share)	848,000	\$ 8.86		
Granted				
Exercised	(128,000)	7.18		
Canceled or forfeited				
Outstanding at March 31, 2010	720,000	\$ 9.15	2.52	\$ 12,998
Vested and expected to vest at March 31, 2010	720,000	\$ 9.15	2.52	\$ 12,998
Exercisable at March 31, 2010	720,000	\$ 9.15	2.52	\$ 12,998

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the pre-tax intrinsic value, based on our closing stock price as of March 31, 2010, that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their stock options as of that date. Total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$2.2 million, \$2.9 million and \$9.8 million, respectively. Cash proceeds from stock options exercised during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$915,000, \$636,000 and \$3.1 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes information regarding stock options outstanding and stock options exercisable at March 31, 2010:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
	Outstanding	(Years)	per Share	Exercisable	per Share
\$ 3.00 - \$ 3.84	48,000	2.38	\$ 3.08	48,000	\$ 3.08
\$ 3.85 - \$ 3.85	139,000	3.06	3.85	139,000	3.85
\$ 4.18 - \$ 4.65	18,000	2.27	4.26	18,000	4.26
\$ 4.87 - \$ 4.87	206,000	1.07	4.87	206,000	4.87
\$ 5.27 - \$ 6.31	78,000	0.80	5.95	78,000	5.95
\$ 6.33 - \$18.98	69,000	4.33	12.77	69,000	12.77
\$19.12 - \$19.12	1,000	3.71	19.12	1,000	19.12
\$19.45 - \$19.45	2,000	4.22	19.45	2,000	19.45
\$21.45 - \$21.45	2,000	4.04	21.45	2,000	21.45
\$21.65 - \$21.65	157,000	4.05	21.65	157,000	21.65
\$ 3.00 - \$21.65	720,000	2.52	\$ 9.15	720,000	\$ 9.15

Restricted Stock Units

We grant restricted stock unit awards to employees and directors as part of our share-based compensation program which began in fiscal 2007. The restricted stock unit awards entitle holders to receive shares of common stock at the end of a specified period of time. Vesting for restricted stock unit awards is based on continuous employment or service of the holder. Upon vesting, the equivalent number of common shares are typically issued net of tax withholdings. If the vesting conditions are not met, unvested restricted stock unit awards will be forfeited. Generally, the restricted stock unit awards vest according to one of the following time-based vesting schedules:

Restricted stock unit awards to employees: Four-year time-based vesting as follows: five percent vesting after the first year; additional ten percent after the second year; additional 15 percent after the third year; and the remaining 70 percent after the fourth year of continuous employment with the Company.

Restricted stock unit awards to non-employee directors: 100 percent vesting after one year of continuous service to the Company.

Certain restricted stock unit awards granted to employees in fiscal 2007 may also be subject to accelerated vesting upon achieving certain performance-based milestones. Additionally, the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors (the "Compensation Committee"), in its discretion, may provide in the event of a change in control for the acceleration of vesting and/or settlement of the restricted stock unit held by a participant upon such conditions and to such extent as determined by the Compensation Committee. Our Board of Directors has adopted an executive change in control severance plan, which it may terminate or amend at any time, that provides that awards granted to executive

officers will accelerate fully on a change of control. The vesting of non-employee director awards granted under the Equity Incentive Plan automatically will also accelerate in full upon a change in control.

The fair value of restricted stock unit awards used in our expense recognition method is measured based on the number of shares granted and the closing market price of our common stock on the date of grant. Such value is recognized as an expense over the corresponding requisite service period. The share-based compensation expense is reduced for an estimate of the restricted stock unit awards that are expected to be forfeited. The forfeiture estimate is based on historical data and other factors, and compensation expense is adjusted for actual results. As of March 31, 2010, the total unrecognized compensation expense related to restricted stock unit awards granted amounted to \$12.9 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.89 years.

Table of Contents*Restricted Stock Unit Activity*

The following table summarizes restricted stock unit activity during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value(1)
Unvested at March 31, 2007	295,000	\$ 24.66
Granted	267,000	21.73
Vested(2)	(22,000)	25.06
Canceled or forfeited	(46,000)	23.11
Unvested at March 31, 2008	494,000	\$ 23.21
Granted	254,000	23.68
Vested(2)	(45,000)	23.34
Canceled or forfeited	(13,000)	20.09
Unvested at March 31, 2009	690,000	\$ 23.43
Granted	309,000	18.33
Vested(2)	(75,000)	23.72
Canceled or forfeited	(60,000)	23.56
Unvested at March 31, 2010	864,000	\$ 21.57

(1) The weighted average grant date fair value of restricted stock units is based on the number of shares and the closing market price of our common stock on the date of grant.

(2) The number of restricted stock units vested includes shares that we withheld on behalf of our employees to satisfy the statutory tax withholding requirements.

Total intrinsic value of restricted stock units vested during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$1.3 million, \$1.1 million and \$481,000, respectively. The total grant date fair value of restricted stock units vested during fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$1.8 million, \$1.1 million and \$544,000, respectively.

NOTE 12. COMMON STOCK

Stock Purchase Rights. On April 22, 2003, our Board of Directors approved the adoption of a Shareholder Rights Plan. Under the terms of the plan, shareholders of record on May 8, 2003, received one preferred stock purchase right for each outstanding share of common stock held. Each right entitled the registered holder to purchase from us one one-thousandth of a share of our Series RP Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value, at a price of \$24.00 per share and becomes exercisable when a person or group acquires 15% or more of our common stock without prior approval by the Board of Directors.

In addition, under certain conditions involving an acquisition or proposed acquisition, the rights permit the holders (other than the acquirer) to purchase our common stock at a 50% discount from the market price at that time, and in the event of certain business combinations, the rights permit the purchase of the common stock of an acquirer at a 50% discount from the market price at that time. Under certain conditions, the purchase rights may be redeemed by the Board of Directors in whole, but not in part, at a price of \$0.001 per right. The rights have no voting privileges and are attached to and automatically trade with our common stock.

Common Stock Warrants. As of March 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, there were no warrants outstanding to purchase shares of common stock. At March 31, 2007, there were warrants outstanding to purchase 65,000 shares of common stock at a weighted average exercise price of \$7.00 per share. The warrants were issued to purchasers of our Series E convertible preferred stock in fiscal 2003 and 2002. The warrants expired in April 2007.

Table of Contents**NOTE 13. NET INCOME PER SHARE**

The following is a reconciliation of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding used in calculating basic and diluted net income per share (in thousands, except share and per share data):

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Numerator:			
Net income	\$ 13,023	\$ 12,013	\$ 12,503
Denominator:			
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	22,021,000	21,826,000	21,499,000
Weighted average effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options	399,000	467,000	701,000
Restricted stock units	186,000	31,000	58,000
Warrants			3,000
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	22,606,000	22,324,000	22,261,000
Net income per share:			
Basic net income per share	\$ 0.59	\$ 0.55	\$ 0.58
Diluted net income per share	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.56

We excluded the following stock options and warrants from the computation of diluted weighted average shares outstanding because the exercise price of the stock options and warrants is greater than the average market price of our common stock during the period and, therefore, the inclusion of these stock options and warrants would be antidilutive to net income per share:

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Weighted average number of shares underlying antidilutive stock options and warrants		159,000	
Weighted average exercise price per share underlying antidilutive stock options and warrants	N/A	\$ 21.65	N/A

We excluded the following restricted stock units from the computation of diluted weighted average shares outstanding because the inclusion of these awards would be antidilutive to net income per share:

Year Ended March 31,		
2010	2009	2008

Weighted average number of shares underlying antidilutive restricted stock units	65,000	195,000	9,000
--	--------	---------	-------

Table of Contents**NOTE 14. INCOME TAXES**

The components of our income tax provision are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Current:			
Federal	\$ 5,353	\$ 1,000	\$ 368
State	1,448	1,204	533
Foreign	336	36	
Total current income tax provision	7,137	2,240	901
Deferred:			
Federal	1,296	4,794	5,838
State	(51)	19	562
Total deferred income tax provision	1,245	4,813	6,400
Total income tax provision	\$ 8,382	\$ 7,053	\$ 7,301

The components of our income before income tax provision are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
United States	\$ 20,264	\$ 18,941	\$ 19,804
Foreign	1,141	125	
Income before income tax provision	\$ 21,405	\$ 19,066	\$ 19,804

The income tax provision differs from the amount computed by applying the federal statutory income tax rate (35 percent) to income before income tax provision as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Income taxes at federal income tax rate	\$ 7,492	\$ 6,673	\$ 6,931
State income taxes, net of federal benefits	937	920	880
Non-deductible compensation	358	(5)	6
Research and development tax credits	(183)	(62)	(242)
Tax-exempt interest income	(14)	(241)	(336)

Edgar Filing: ABAXIS INC - Form 10-K

Qualified production activities income benefit	(274)	(51)	
Other	66	(181)	62
Total income tax provision	\$ 8,382	\$ 7,053	\$ 7,301

78

Table of Contents

Significant components of our net deferred tax assets are as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Deferred tax assets:			
Research and development tax credit carryforwards	\$ 665	\$ 2,417	\$ 3,007
Capitalized research and development	272	266	
Inventory reserves	308	297	364
Deferred revenue from extended maintenance agreements and warranty reserves	1,491	1,864	1,172
Accrued payroll and other accrued expenses	923	704	630
Share-based compensation	1,673	648	378
Alternative minimum tax credits	23	710	675
Depreciation	516		
Other	912	385	290
Total deferred tax assets	6,783	7,291	6,516
Deferred tax liabilities:			
Depreciation	\$	\$ (51)	\$ (145)
Other	(75)	(100)	(77)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(75)	(151)	(222)
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 6,708	\$ 7,140	\$ 6,294

A valuation allowance against deferred tax assets is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. As of March 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we did not have a valuation allowance.

During fiscal 2010, we recognized \$1.3 million of tax deductions related to share-based compensation in excess of recognized share-based compensation expense (excess benefits) which was recorded to shareholders' equity. We record excess benefits to shareholders' equity when the benefits result in a reduction in cash paid for income taxes. During fiscal 2010 we recorded an additional \$806,000 of excess tax benefits related to prior years' unrecognized excess tax benefits in shareholders' equity. At March 31, 2010, there are no unrecognized excess tax benefits.

As of March 31, 2010, we had no federal or California net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards. As of March 31, 2010, our California research and development tax credit carryforwards was \$1.0 million. The California research and development tax credit will carryforward indefinitely.

Our policy is to reinvest earnings of our foreign subsidiary unless such earnings are subject to U.S. taxation. As of March 31, 2010, the cumulative earnings upon which U.S. income taxes has not been provided is approximately \$315,000. The U.S. tax liability if the earnings were repatriated is approximately \$126,000.

During fiscal 2010, we did not recognize any interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits. We file income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, Germany and various state jurisdictions. We are not under

examination for any of these jurisdictions. We are subject to examination by U.S. federal and various state jurisdictions for fiscal years 1994 through 2001 and fiscal years 2003 through 2009 and in Germany for fiscal years 2009 through 2010.

NOTE 15. SEGMENT REPORTING INFORMATION

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by our chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance.

Table of Contents

Abaxis develops, manufactures, markets and sells portable blood analysis systems for use in the human or veterinary patient-care setting to provide clinicians with rapid blood constituent measurements. We identify our reportable segments as those customer groups that represent more than 10% of our combined revenue or gross profit or loss of all reported operating segments. We manage our business on the basis of the following two reportable segments: (i) the medical market and (ii) the veterinary market, which are based on the products sold by market and customer group. Each reportable segment has similar manufacturing processes, technology and shared infrastructures. The accounting policies for segment reporting are the same as for the Company as a whole. We do not segregate assets by segments since our chief operating decision maker does not use assets as a basis to evaluate a segment's performance.

Medical Market

In the medical market reportable segment, we serve a worldwide customer group consisting of military installations (ships, field hospitals and mobile care units), physicians office practices across all specialties, urgent care, outpatient and walk-in clinics (free-standing or hospital-connected), health screening operations, home care providers (national, regional or local), nursing homes, ambulance companies, oncology treatment clinics, dialysis centers, pharmacies, hospital labs and blood draw stations. The products manufactured and sold in this segment primarily consist of Piccolo chemistry analyzers and medical reagent discs.

Veterinary Market

In the veterinary market reportable segment, we serve a worldwide customer group consisting of companion animal hospitals, animal clinics with mixed practices of small animals, birds and reptiles, equine and bovine practitioners, veterinary emergency clinics, veterinary referral hospitals, universities, government, pharmaceutical companies, biotechnology companies and private research laboratories. The products manufactured and sold in this segment primarily consist of VetScan chemistry analyzers and veterinary reagent discs. We also sell OEM supplied products in this segment consisting of hematology instruments and related reagent kits, VetScan VS*pro* coagulation analyzers and related consumables, which we launched in our fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, canine heartworm rapid tests, which we launched in our fourth quarter of fiscal 2009, and i-STAT analyzers and related consumables, which we launched in our fiscal 2010.

The table below summarizes revenues, cost of revenues and gross profit from our two operating segments and from certain unallocated items for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands).

	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenues:			
Medical Market	\$ 24,176	\$ 24,796	\$ 22,764
Veterinary Market	92,411	74,046	71,091
Other(1)	7,970	6,720	6,696
Total revenues	124,557	105,562	100,551
Cost of revenues:			
Medical Market	10,704	12,407	11,340
Veterinary Market	37,785	31,052	31,812
Other(1)	3,946	3,478	2,355

Total cost of revenues	52,435	46,937	45,507
Gross profit:			
Medical Market	13,472	12,389	11,424
Veterinary Market	54,626	42,994	39,279
Other(1)	4,024	3,242	4,341
Gross profit	\$ 72,122	\$ 58,625	\$ 55,044

(1) Represents unallocated items, not specifically identified to any particular business segment.

Table of Contents**NOTE 16. REVENUES BY PRODUCT CATEGORY AND GEOGRAPHIC REGION AND SIGNIFICANT CONCENTRATIONS****Revenue Information**

The following is a summary of our revenues by product category (in thousands):

Revenues by Product Category	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Instruments(1)	\$ 28,787	\$ 28,194	\$ 30,011
Consumables(2)	85,819	69,072	61,928
Other products	6,809	5,170	6,583
Product sales, net	121,415	102,436	98,522
Development and licensing revenue	3,142	3,126	2,029
Total revenues	\$ 124,557	\$ 105,562	\$ 100,551

(1) Instruments include chemistry analyzers, hematology instruments, coagulation analyzers and i-STAT analyzers.

(2) Consumables include reagent discs, hematology reagent kits, coagulation cartridges, i-STAT cartridges and canine heartworm rapid tests.

The following is a summary of our revenues by geographic region based on customer location (in thousands):

Revenues by Geographic Region	Year Ended March 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
North America	\$ 101,391	\$ 87,801	\$ 83,830
Europe	18,547	14,045	13,472
Asia Pacific and rest of the world	4,619	3,716	3,249
Total revenues	\$ 124,557	\$ 105,562	\$ 100,551

Significant Concentrations

Revenues from significant customers as a percentage of total revenues were as follows:

Distributor	Geographical Location	Year Ended March 31,		
		2010	2009	2008

Walco International, Inc., d/b/a DVM Resources	United States	10%	10%	12%
--	---------------	-----	-----	-----

Substantially all of our long-lived assets are located in the United States.

Table of Contents**NOTE 17. SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY DATA (UNAUDITED)**

The following is a summary of unaudited quarterly data for fiscal 2010 and 2009 (in thousands, except per share data):

	Quarter Ended			
	June 30	September 30	December 31	March 31
Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2010:				
Revenues	\$ 29,625	\$ 30,262	\$ 31,005	\$ 33,665
Gross profit	\$ 17,155	\$ 17,851	\$ 18,296	\$ 18,820
Income tax provision	\$ 2,482	\$ 2,081	\$ 1,982	\$ 1,837
Net income	\$ 3,756	\$ 3,197	\$ 3,430	\$ 2,640
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.12
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.12
Fiscal Year Ended March 31, 2009:				
Revenues	\$ 24,572	\$ 27,688	\$ 26,964	\$ 26,338
Gross profit	\$ 13,503	\$ 15,342	\$ 15,105	\$ 14,675
Income tax provision	\$ 1,703	\$ 1,843	\$ 1,762	\$ 1,745
Net income	\$ 2,776	\$ 3,297	\$ 3,356	\$ 2,584
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.12
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.12

NOTE 18. SUBSEQUENT EVENT

Pursuant to the Fourth Amendment to our Lease Agreement with Whipple Road Holdings, LLC, SFP Crossroads, LLC and Woodstock Bowers, LLC (collectively, the Landlord), the Landlord agreed to lease us certain expansion premises consisting of approximately 35,239 rentable square feet in Union City, California (the Expansion Premises). In May 2010, our Landlord tendered possession of the Expansion Premises to us and accordingly, the monthly base rental rate for the Expansion Premises shall be abated for the first three (3) months after May 2010 and thereafter increase to \$0.800 per rentable square foot of the Expansion Premises (\$28,191.20 per month), which Base Rent for the Expansion Premises increases 3% on each anniversary of March 1 during the term of the lease.

Table of Contents

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

Not applicable.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated that the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the "Exchange Act"), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation, the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting based on criteria established in the framework in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, have concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of March 31, 2010.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risks that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Accordingly, even an effective system of internal control will provide only reasonable assurance that the objectives of the internal control system are met.

Attestation Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc., our independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an audit report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2010, which report is included elsewhere herein.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM ON
INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
of Abaxis, Inc.

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Abaxis, Inc. and its subsidiary (the Company) as of March 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Abaxis, Inc. and its subsidiary maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Abaxis, Inc. and its subsidiary as of March 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended March 31, 2010 and the related financial statement schedule and our report dated June 14, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc.

San Jose, California
June 14, 2010

Table of Contents**Item 9B. Other Information**

None.

PART III**Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

The following table sets forth information concerning the Company's executive officers and directors as of May 31, 2010.

Name	Age	Title
Clinton H. Severson	62	Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer
Richard J. Bastiani, Ph.D.(1)(2)(3)	67	Director
Henk J. Evenhuis(1)(2)(3)	67	Director
Prithipal Singh, Ph.D.(1)(2)(3)	71	Director
Ernest S. Tucker, III, M.D.(1)(2)(3)	77	Director
Alberto R. Santa Ines	63	Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance
Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D.	57	Chief Technology Officer
Donald P. Wood	58	Chief Operations Officer
Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D.	64	Vice President of Government Affairs and Vice President of Marketing for the Pacific Rim, Founder
Martin V. Mulroy	49	Vice President of Veterinary Sales and Marketing for North America
Brenton G.A. Hanlon(4)	64	Vice President of North American Medical Sales and Marketing
Achim Henkel	52	Managing Director of Abaxis Europe GmbH

(1) Member of the Audit Committee

(2) Member of the Compensation Committee (Mr. Evenhuis, Dr. Singh and Dr. Tucker became a member starting in October 2009.)

(3) Member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

(4) Mr. Hanlon resigned from the Board of Directors and each committee of the Board of Directors as of August 10, 2009, and was appointed as Vice President of North American Medical Sales and Marketing in September 2009.

Clinton H. Severson has served as the Company's President, Chief Executive Officer and one of our directors since June 1996. He was appointed Chairman of the Board in May 1998. Since November 2008, Mr. Severson served on the Board of Directors of Trinity Biotech (Nasdaq: TRIB), a biotechnology company. Since November 2006, Mr. Severson served on the Board of Directors of CytoCore, Inc. (OTCBB: CYOE.OB), a biotechnology company. From February 1989 to May 1996, Mr. Severson served as President and Chief Executive Officer of MAST Immunosystems, Inc., a privately-held medical diagnostic company. Mr. Severson was selected as a director because of his in-depth knowledge of the Company's operations, financial condition and strategy in his position as the

Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, as well as his extensive senior management experience in medical diagnostics and experience serving on the boards of various public and private companies.

Richard J. Bastiani, Ph.D. joined the Board of Directors in September 1995. Dr. Bastiani is currently retired and serves as Chairman of the Board of Directors of Response Biomedical Corporation (CDNX: RBM). From 1998 to 2005, Dr. Bastiani served as Chairman of the Board of Directors of ID Biomedical Corporation (Nasdaq: IDBE), after he was appointed to the Board of Directors of ID Biomedical Corporation in October 1996. Dr. Bastiani was President of Dendreon (Nasdaq: DNDN), a biotechnology company, from September 1995 to September 1998.

Table of Contents

From 1971 until 1995, Dr. Bastiani held a number of positions with Syva Company, a diagnostic company, including as President from 1991 until Syva was acquired by a subsidiary of Hoechst AG of Germany in 1995. Dr. Bastiani is also a member of the board of directors of three privately-held companies. Dr. Bastiani was selected as a director because of his extensive leadership experience in biotechnology companies and his in-depth knowledge of the Company's business, strategy and management team, as well as his experience serving as Chairman of the Compensation Committee and on the boards of various public and private companies.

Henk J. Evenhuis joined the Board of Directors in November 2002. Mr. Evenhuis is currently retired. He served on the Board of Directors of Credence Systems Corporation (Nasdaq: CMOS), a semiconductor equipment manufacturer, from 1993 to 2008. Mr. Evenhuis served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Fair Isaac Corporation (NYSE: FIC), a global provider of analytic software products to the financial services, insurance and health care industries from October 1999 to October 2002. From 1987 to 1998, he was Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Lam Research Corporation (Nasdaq: LRCX), a semiconductor equipment manufacturer. Mr. Evenhuis was selected as a director because his financial expertise and prior senior leadership experience as a Chief Financial Officer at global technology companies, as well as his experience serving on the boards of various public companies, which provide a strong foundation to serve as Chairman of the Audit Committee.

Prithipal Singh, Ph.D. joined the Board of Directors in June 1992. Prior to retiring, Dr. Singh was the Founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of ChemTrak Inc. (Pink Sheets: CMTR) from 1988 to 1998. Prior to this, Dr. Singh was an Executive Vice President of Idetec Corporation from 1985 to 1988 and a Vice President of Syva Corporation from 1977 to 1985. Dr. Singh was selected as a director because his insight and experience in biotechnology companies through his prior executive leadership and management positions.

Ernest S. Tucker, III, M.D. joined the Board of Directors in September 1995. Dr. Tucker currently serves as a self-employed healthcare consultant after having retired as Chief Compliance Officer for Scripps Health in San Diego in September 2000, a position which he assumed in April 1998. Dr. Tucker was Chairman of Pathology at Scripps Clinic and Research Foundation from 1992 to 1998 and Chairman of Pathology at California Pacific Medical Center in San Francisco from 1989 to 1992. Dr. Tucker was selected as a director because of his prior leadership and management experience and in-depth knowledge in the medical profession and on the boards of various hospitals, colleges and foundations.

Alberto R. Santa Ines has served as the Company's Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance since April 2002. Mr. Santa Ines joined us in February 2000 as Finance Manager. In April 2001, Mr. Santa Ines was promoted to Interim Chief Financial Officer and Director of Finance, and in April 2002 he was promoted to his current position. From March 1998 to January 2000, Mr. Santa Ines was a self-employed consultant to several companies. From August 1997 to March 1998, Mr. Santa Ines was the Controller of Unisil (Pink Sheets: USIL), a semiconductor company. From April 1994 to August 1997, he was a Senior Finance Manager at Lam Research Corporation (Nasdaq: LRCX), a semiconductor equipment manufacturer.

Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D. has served as the Company's Chief Technology Officer since April 2008. Dr. Aron joined us in February 2000 as Vice President of Research and Development. From April 1998 to November 1999, Dr. Aron was Vice President of Engineering and Technology of Incyte Pharmaceuticals (Nasdaq: INCY), a genomic information company. From April 1996 to April 1998, Dr. Aron was Vice President of Research, Development and Engineering for Cardiogenesis Corporation (Nasdaq: CGCP), a manufacturer of laser-based cardiology surgical products.

Donald P. Wood has served as the Company's Chief Operations Officer since April 2009. Mr. Wood joined us in October 2007 as Vice President of Operations. From April 2003 to September 2007, Mr. Wood was the Vice President of Operations of Cholestech Corporation (Nasdaq: CTEC), a medical products manufacturing company which was subsequently acquired by Inverness Medical Innovations, Inc. in September 2007. From July 2001 to March 2003,

Mr. Wood served as Vice President of Bone Health, a business unit of Quidel Corporation, a manufacturing and marketer of point-of-care diagnostics, and was responsible for Bone Health Product Operations, Device Research and Development, and Sales and Marketing. He also served as Quidel's Vice President of Ultrasound Operations from August 1999 to July 2001. Prior to joining Quidel, Mr. Wood was the Director of

Table of Contents

Ultrasound Operations for Metra Biosystems Inc., a developer and manufacturing company of point-of-care products for osteoporosis, from July 1998 to August 1999 prior to Quidel's acquisition of Metra Biosystems Inc.

Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D., one of the Company's co-founders, is currently the Vice President of Government Affairs and Vice President of Marketing for the Pacific Rim. Dr. Ostoich has served as Vice President in various capacities at Abaxis since inception, including as Vice President of Research and Development, Senior Vice President of Research and Development, Vice President of Engineering and Instrument Manufacturing and Vice President of Marketing and Sales for the United States and Canada.

Martin V. Mulroy has served as the Company's Vice President of Veterinary Sales and Marketing for North America since May 2006. Mr. Mulroy joined us in November 1997 as the Northeast Regional Sales Manager. He was promoted to Eastern Area Director of Sales in December 1998 and, in January 2005, he was promoted to National Sales Director for the Domestic Veterinary market. From March 1996 to November 1997, Mr. Mulroy was Regional Sales Manager for BioCircuits Inc., an immunoassay company in the medical market. Mr. Mulroy was Regional Sales Manager from 1990 to 1992 and Field Operations Manager from 1992 to 1995 for MAST Immunosystems Inc., a privately-held medical diagnostic company.

Brenton G. A. Hanlon has served as the Company's Vice President of North American Medical Sales and Marketing since September 2009. Mr. Hanlon served on our Board of Directors from November 1996 through August 2009. From January 2001 to August 2009, Mr. Hanlon was President and Chief Executive Officer of Hitachi Chemical Diagnostics, a manufacturer of in vitro allergy diagnostic products. Concurrently, from December 1996 to August 2009, Mr. Hanlon was also President and Chief Operating Officer of Tri-Continent Scientific, a subsidiary of Hitachi Chemical, specializing in liquid-handling products and instrument components for the medical diagnostics and biotechnology industries. From 1989 to December 1996, Mr. Hanlon was Vice President and General Manager of Tri-Continent Scientific. Mr. Hanlon serves on the board of directors of two privately-held companies.

Achim Henkel has served as the Managing Director of the Company's European subsidiary since its incorporation in 2008. Mr. Henkel joined us in January 1998 as a consultant to build a European distribution network. From January 2000 to June 2008, Mr. Henkel was Sales and Marketing Manager for Europe, the Middle East and Africa. From October 1996 to December 1997, Mr. Henkel was a self-employed consultant to several companies. From January 1988 to September 1996, Mr. Henkel held a number of positions with Syva Diagnostics Germany, including as National Sales Manager from 1991 until Syva was acquired by a subsidiary of Hoechst AG in 1995. From 1982 to 1987, Mr. Henkel was regional sales manager for Hoechst AG, a German pharmaceutical company.

Term and Number of Directors

All of our directors hold office until the next annual meeting of shareholders of Abaxis and until their successors have been elected and qualified. Our Bylaws authorize our Board of Directors to fix the number of directors at not less than four or no more than seven. The number of directors of the Company is currently five.

Each of our executive officers serves at the discretion of the Board of Directors. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Identification of Audit Committee and Financial Expert

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors oversees Abaxis' corporate accounting, financial reporting process and systems of internal control and financial controls. The following outside directors comprise the Audit Committee: Mr. Evenhuis, Dr. Bastiani, Dr. Singh and Dr. Tucker. Mr. Evenhuis serves as Chairman of the Audit Committee.

The Board of Directors annually reviews the Nasdaq Stock Market, or NASDAQ, listing standards definition of independence for Audit Committee members and has determined that all members of our Audit Committee are independent (based on the requirements for independence set forth in Rule 4350(d)(2)(A)(i) and (ii) of the NASDAQ listing standards). Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, regulations require Abaxis to disclose whether a director qualifying as an audit committee financial expert serves on the Audit Committee. The Board of

Table of Contents

Directors has determined that Mr. Evenhuis qualifies as an audit committee financial expert, as defined in applicable SEC rules. The Board of Directors made a qualitative assessment of Mr. Evenhuis's level of knowledge and experience based on a number of factors, including his formal education and experience as a chief financial officer for public reporting companies.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our executive officers, directors and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of our equity securities to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC. Such persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms filed by such persons.

Based solely on our review of the copies of Forms 3, 4 and 5 and amendments thereto received by us, we believe that during the period from April 1, 2009 through March 31, 2010, our executive officers, directors and greater than 10% shareholders complied with all applicable filing requirements applicable to these executive officers, directors and greater than 10% shareholders, except with respect to the following late report filings: one late filing by Mr. Brenton Hanlon; four late filings by Mr. Achim Henkel and one late filing by Mr. Clinton Severson.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Abaxis has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all our executive officers, directors and employees, including without limitation our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller or persons performing similar functions. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on our website at www.abaxis.com under Investor Relations at Corporate Governance. If we make any amendments to the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or grant any waiver from a provision of the code to any executive officer or director, we will promptly disclose the nature of the amendment or waiver on our website. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding any amendment to, or waiver of, any provision of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics by disclosing such information on the same website. You may also request a copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics by contacting our investor relations department at investors@abaxis.com.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation*

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview

The goals of our executive compensation program are to attract, retain, motivate and reward executive officers who contribute to our success and to incentivize these executives on both a short-term and long-term basis to achieve our business objectives. This program combines cash and equity awards in the proportions that we believe will motivate our executive officers to increase shareholder value over the long-term.

Our executive compensation program is designed to achieve the following objectives:

to align our executive compensation with our strategic business objectives;

to align the interests of our executive officers with both short-term and long-term shareholder interests; and

to place a substantial portion of our executives' compensation at risk such that actual compensation depends on both overall company performance and individual performance.

Executive Compensation Program Objectives and Framework

Our executive compensation program has three primary components: (1) base salary, (2) annual cash incentive bonus and (3) equity grants. Base salaries for our executive officers are a minimum fixed level of compensation consistent with or below competitive market practice. Annual cash incentive bonuses awarded to our executive officers are intended to incentivize and reward achievement of financial, operating and strategic objectives during

Table of Contents

the fiscal year. Equity grants awarded to our executive officers are designed to ensure that incentive compensation is linked to our long-term company performance, promote retention and to align our executives' long-term interests with shareholders' long-term interests. Our executive officers' total potential cash compensation is heavily weighted toward annual cash incentive bonuses, because our Compensation Committee and Board of Directors believe this weighting best aligns the interests of our executive officers with that of shareholders generally.

Executive compensation is reviewed annually by our Compensation Committee and Board of Directors, and adjustments are made to reflect company objectives and competitive conditions. We also offer our executive officers participation in our 401(k) plan, health care insurance, flexible spending accounts and certain other benefits available generally to all full-time employees.

Role of Our Compensation Committee

Our Compensation Committee, which operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors, is primarily responsible for reviewing and recommending to the Board of Directors for approval the compensation arrangements for our executive officers and directors. In carrying out these responsibilities, the Compensation Committee shall review all components of executive officer and director compensation for consistency with the Compensation Committee's compensation philosophy as in effect from time to time. In connection with their review and recommendations, our Compensation Committee also considers the recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Clinton Severson. Our Compensation Committee gives considerable weight to Mr. Severson's recommendations because of his direct knowledge of each executive officer's performance and contribution to our financial performance. However, Mr. Severson does not participate in the determination of his own compensation. No other executive officers participate in the determination or recommendation of the amount or form of executive officer compensation, except the Company's Chief Financial Officer as discussed below. Our Compensation Committee does not delegate any of its functions in determining executive and/or director compensation. To date, our Compensation Committee has not established any formal policies or guidelines for allocating compensation between long-term and currently paid out compensation, cash and non-cash compensation, or among different forms of non-cash compensation.

Our Compensation Committee may discuss with our Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer our financial, operating and strategic business objectives, bonus targets or performance goals. The Compensation Committee reviews and determines the appropriateness of the financial measures and performance goals, as well as assesses the degree of difficulty in achieving specific bonus targets and performance goals. The Compensation Committee then presents its recommendation for executive compensation to the Board of Directors for final review and approval. Typically, these recommendations are made to our Board of Directors by the first quarter of the ensuing fiscal year.

From time to time, our Compensation Committee may engage an independent compensation advisor to obtain competitive compensation data. In March 2006, we retained the independent compensation consulting firm of Top Five Data Services, Inc. ("Top Five") to, among other things, help identify appropriate peer group companies and to obtain and evaluate executive compensation data for these companies, and took its recommendations into account in setting fiscal 2007 executive compensation. We did not engage another compensation consultant, or request additional recommendations from Top Five, in connection with our determination of fiscal 2010 or fiscal 2011 executive compensation because our Compensation Committee and Board of Directors determined that many of the recommendations made by Top Five with respect to fiscal 2007 continued to be relevant for fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2011. In May 2008, our Compensation Committee engaged an independent compensation consulting firm, Watson Wyatt, to prepare competitive benchmarking studies as to, and advise the Compensation Committee on long-term equity compensation for executives in similarly-situated companies. Our Compensation Committee and Board of Directors may engage compensation consultants in the future as they deem it to be necessary or appropriate.

Competitive Benchmarking

In April 2006, Top Five, in consultation with our Compensation Committee, compared our senior management compensation to the senior management compensation at a group of 19 companies (the Compensation Peer Group). This Compensation Peer Group represented similarly-situated medical device and diagnostic companies

Table of Contents

that were identified by Top Five as companies with similar financial growth and as competitors for executive talent. The following companies comprised the Compensation Peer Group:

Abiomed	Conceptus	Palomar Medical Technologies
Adeza Biomedical	Cutera	Surmodics
Angiodynamics	Digene	Thoratec
Aspect Medical Systems	Intralase	Vivus
ATS Medical	Kensey Nash	VNUS Medical Technologies
Biosite	Meridian Bioscience	
Cholestech	Orasure Technologies	

Top Five measured our relative performance against the Compensation Peer Group over one and three year periods based on the following three financial metrics:

total shareholder return;

revenue; and

EBITDA (earnings before income tax, depreciation and amortization).

The market data obtained regarding the Compensation Peer Group was considered by the Compensation Committee in its fiscal 2010 executive compensation decisions.

Compensation Determinations

The Compensation Committee did not target executive compensation in fiscal 2010 to any specific benchmarks against the Compensation Peer Group, but did generally target total compensation to be competitive with companies in the Compensation Peer Group with similar financial growth rates based on the compensation information for the Compensation Peer Group in fiscal 2006. However, our executive officers' total potential cash compensation is more heavily weighted toward annual cash incentive bonuses than most companies in the Compensation Peer Group. In addition to any competitive benchmarks the Compensation Committee deems relevant, the Compensation Committee also considers the recommendations from our Chief Executive Officer regarding the compensation of our executive officers who report directly to him. These recommendations generally include annual adjustments to compensation levels, an assessment of each executive officer's overall individual contribution, scope of responsibilities and level of experience.

Elements of Compensation**Base Salary**

We provide an annual base salary to each of our executive officers, including each of the named executive officers listed on the Summary Compensation Table below (the "Named Executive Officers"). Each base salary is reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee and adjusted for the ensuing year based on both (i) an evaluation of individual job performance during the prior year, and (ii) an evaluation of the compensation levels of similarly-situated executive officers at the Compensation Peer Group and in our industry generally.

In determining fiscal 2010 and 2011 base salaries for our Named Executive Officers, our Compensation Committee generally targeted salaries to be between the 25th and 50th percentile of the Compensation Peer Group. Our

Compensation Committee considered this 25th and 50th percentile range as a general guideline for the appropriate level of potential salaries, but did not attempt to specifically match this or any other percentile. Our Compensation Committee also considered the recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer regarding the compensation of each of the Named Executive Officers who reported directly to him. However, the Compensation Committee and our Board of Directors did not base their considerations on any single factor but rather considered a mix of factors and evaluated individual salaries against that mix.

Our Board of Directors set salaries for fiscal 2010 and 2011 after considering a peer company analysis of total compensation for executive officers prepared in April 2006 by Top Five and the recommendations of the Compensation Committee. In determining fiscal 2010 base salaries for our Named Executive Officers, our

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors not to increase executive base salaries for fiscal 2010 due to the global economic downturn, except for Mr. Wood, who received an increase of 5.3% in his base salary upon his promotion to Chief Operations Officer. For fiscal 2011, our Compensation Committee recommended that we increase base salaries in amounts designed to reward each of the Named Executive Officers for their performance in the prior year while maintaining base salaries at an appropriately competitive level. Our Compensation Committee did not use any specific formula based on the factors described above to determine the final base salary levels for each Named Executive Officer.

Based on the recommendations of the Compensation Committee, our Board of Directors approved the following base salaries (effective July 2009 for fiscal 2010 and July 2010 for fiscal 2011) for our Named Executive Officers:

Named Executive Officer	Fiscal 2010 Base Salary	Fiscal 2011 Base Salary
Clinton H. Severson	\$ 360,000	\$ 375,000
Alberto R. Santa Ines	\$ 200,000	\$ 208,000
Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D.	\$ 210,000	\$ 218,000
Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D.	\$ 210,000	\$ 218,000
Donald P. Wood	\$ 200,000	\$ 208,000

Fiscal 2010 and 2011 base salary increases for the Named Executive Officers were as follows:

Named Executive Officer	Fiscal 2010 Percent Increase in Base Salary	Fiscal 2011 Percent Increase in Base Salary
Clinton H. Severson	%	4.2%
Alberto R. Santa Ines	%	4.0%
Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D.	%	3.8%
Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D.	%	3.8%
Donald P. Wood	5.3%	4.0%

Annual Cash Incentive Bonus

Our annual cash incentive bonus program is an at-risk compensation arrangement designed to provide market competitive cash incentive opportunities that reward our executive officers for the achievement of key financial performance goals that we believe are important for us in creating long-term shareholder value. Most importantly, the program is structured to achieve our overall objective of tying this element of compensation to the attainment of company performance goals that will contribute to our financial success and create shareholder value.

Our annual cash incentive bonus paid to each executive officer, including each of our Named Executive Officers, is primarily based upon Abaxis achieving two equally-weighted financial performance goals, quarterly net sales and quarterly pre-tax income. Additionally, the bonus targets established by the Compensation Committee are set to be achievable, yet are at a level of difficulty which does not assure that the goals will be met. The bonus targets require executive officers to increase annual corporate financial performance during the applicable fiscal year, compared to our previous year's actual financial results. Accordingly, meeting the bonus targets, especially given the global business environment, requires executive officers to improve financial performance on a year-over-year basis and,

thus, a substantial portion of our executive officers' compensation is at risk if corporate financial results are not achieved during a particular fiscal year. In addition to meeting financial goals, we must not exceed a certain failure rate on our reagents discs in order for cash incentives to be paid to our executive officers. However, our Compensation Committee has the discretion to grant bonuses even if these performance goals are not met.

For fiscal 2010 and 2011, our Compensation Committee generally targeted total cash compensation to be at or above the 75th percentile of the Compensation Peer Group. Our Compensation Committee considered this 75th percentile target as a general guideline for the appropriate level of potential cash bonus compensation, but did not attempt to specifically match this or any other percentile. Due to the global economic downturn, the Compensation Committee recommended to our Board of Directors that for fiscal 2010 target bonuses, we maintain

Table of Contents

the target bonuses from fiscal 2009 for the Named Executive Officers. In April 2009, our Board of Directors approved the fiscal 2010 target bonus levels for our executive officers. The following table summarizes the fiscal 2010 target bonus amounts and the bonus amounts awarded for fiscal 2010 for our Named Executive Officers:

Named Executive Officer	Fiscal 2010 Target Bonus	Fiscal 2010 Bonus Awarded
Clinton H. Severson	\$ 525,000	\$ 611,600
Alberto R. Santa Ines	\$ 300,000	\$ 349,500
Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D.	\$ 300,000	\$ 349,500
Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D.	\$ 300,000	\$ 349,500
Donald P. Wood	\$ 300,000	\$ 349,500

Payment of the target bonus is equally weighted between achievement of our quarterly net sales performance goal and our quarterly pre-tax income performance goal. For fiscal 2010, bonuses were earned only if we achieved at least 90% of one or more of our pre-established quarterly net sales and/or quarterly pre-tax income goals. After the initial threshold is met, the amount of the target bonus paid is based on a sliding scale relative to the proportionate achievement of the performance goals. If we achieve 90% of only one performance goal, the payout would be limited to 25% of the aggregate target bonus. For each 1% above 90% of that performance goal, the payout would increase by 2.5% for the aggregate target bonus. The target bonus will be fully earned if at least 100% of both performance goals are achieved. For each 1% above 100% of a performance goal, the payout would increase by 1.5% for the aggregate target bonus. The maximum potential bonus payout is 200% of the target bonus, provided we achieve greater than 133% of at least one of the performance goals. Assuming targets are reached, the bonus payments are paid as follows: 15% of the applicable bonus amount for the first quarter, 25% in the second and third quarters, and 35% in the fourth quarter. At the end of the fourth quarter, the final amount of the bonus earned will be adjusted to reflect overall performance against the year. For the Named Executive Officers, the financial targets for fiscal 2010 were based on the company's total annual net sales of \$121.1 million and total annual pre-tax income goals of \$19.6 million. Based on these pre-established goals, our Named Executive Officers received 116.5% of their target bonus awards for fiscal 2010.

For fiscal 2011, our Compensation Committee recommended to our Board of Directors that we maintain the target bonuses from fiscal 2010 for the Named Executive Officers. The target bonus level for the Named Executive Officers is designed to maintain total compensation at an appropriately competitive level in the industry. In April 2010, our Board of Directors approved the fiscal 2011 target bonus levels for our executive officers. The following table summarizes the fiscal 2011 target bonus amounts for our Named Executive Officers:

Named Executive Officer	Fiscal 2011 Target Bonus
Clinton H. Severson	\$ 525,000
Alberto R. Santa Ines	\$ 300,000
Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D.	\$ 300,000
Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D.	\$ 300,000
Donald P. Wood	\$ 300,000

We expect payment of the target bonus, as identified above, to continue to be equally weighted at 50% for achievement of our quarterly net sales performance goal and 50% for achievement of our quarterly pre-tax income

performance goal. For fiscal 2011, bonuses will only be earned if we achieve at least 90% of one or more of our pre-established quarterly net sales and/or quarterly pre-tax income goals during fiscal 2011. After the initial threshold is met, the amount of the target bonus paid will be based on a sliding scale relative to the proportionate achievement of the performance goals. If we achieve 90% of only one performance goal, the payout would be limited to 25% of the aggregate target bonus. For each 1% above 90% of that performance goal, the payout would increase by 2.5% for the aggregate target bonus. The target bonus will be fully earned if at least 100% of both performance goals are achieved. For each 1% above 100% of a performance goal, the payout would increase by 1.5% for the aggregate target bonus. The maximum potential bonus payout is 200% of the target bonus, provided we achieve greater than 133% of at least one of the performance goals. Assuming targets are reached, we expect that the bonus payments will be paid as follows: 15% of the applicable bonus amount for the first quarter, 25% in the second and third

Table of Contents

quarters, and 35% in the fourth quarter. At the end of the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, the final payment will be adjusted to reflect overall performance against the year. Our Compensation Committee and Board of Directors have the discretion to adjust the parameters and performance goals for payment of these annual performance bonuses.

We do not currently have a formal policy regarding adjustments or recovery of awards or payments following a restatement of financial performance targets. In such a circumstance, the Compensation Committee would evaluate whether compensation adjustments were appropriate based upon the facts and circumstances surrounding the restatement.

Long-term Equity Incentive Compensation

Under our 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, we are permitted to award stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, performance shares, performance units, deferred compensation awards or other share-based awards. Beginning in fiscal 2007, we began granting restricted stock units to our executive officers in lieu of other forms of equity-based grants. Prior to fiscal 2007, equity-based grants to our executive officers comprised solely of stock options. Equity grants to our Named Executive Officers in fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2011 are discussed below. We do not currently have stock ownership guidelines for our executive officers.

Stock Options

Prior to fiscal 2007, a substantial portion of our executive compensation arrangement consisted of long-term incentive grants, comprising of stock options. We granted stock options with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our common stock on the grant date. Accordingly, our executive officers only realize actual compensation value if our shareholders realize value. In addition, we believe the stock options granted to executive officers created retention incentives as the stock options vested over a period of four years based on cliff-vesting terms only as long as executive officers remained an employee with us.

Restricted Stock Units

Fiscal 2010 Restricted Stock Unit Grants. In fiscal 2007, we granted restricted stock units with performance acceleration. Our Board of Directors believed that this form of long-term equity incentive will help ensure executive retention and more directly link executive pay to company financial performance. The four-year time-based vesting of the restricted stock units granted in fiscal 2007 accelerates if certain performance criteria are exceeded during the performance period. For a discussion of the performance criteria, see the table entitled Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End 2010 below. The Compensation Committee approves all restricted stock unit grants to our Named Executive Officers and other executive officers.

In April 2009, after considering an analysis of long-term equity incentives conducted by Watson Wyatt in fiscal 2009, for our Named Executive Officers and upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, our Board of Directors granted 55,000 restricted stock units to our Chief Executive Officer and 25,000 restricted stock units to each of our other Named Executive Officers. The value of these equity grants was approximately \$833,250 for our Chief Executive Officer and approximately \$378,750 for each of our other Named Executive Officers. The Compensation Committee believed that these grants of restricted stock units were appropriate based on our financial performance over the prior year. The four-year time-based vesting terms of the fiscal 2010 restricted stock unit awards are as follows:

five percent vesting after the first year of continuous employment;

additional ten percent after the second year of continuous employment;

additional 15 percent after the third year of continuous employment; and

the remaining 70 percent after the fourth year of continuous employment.

Time-based vesting terms is intended to provide retention for our executive officers as the awards vest based on continuous employment. Unlike the fiscal 2007 restricted stock units, these restricted stock units are not subject to performance-based acceleration. Our Compensation Committee believed that retention of the Named Executive Officers was key to our success and that these additional restricted stock units would be more likely, given the time-

Table of Contents

based vesting schedule of the restricted stock units, to maximize retention of our Named Executive Officers without performance-based acceleration milestones.

Fiscal 2011 Restricted Stock Unit Grants. In April 2010, after considering an analysis of total compensation for our Named Executive Officers and upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, our Board of Directors granted 55,000 restricted stock units to our Chief Executive Officer and 25,000 restricted stock units to each of our other Named Executive Officers. The Compensation Committee believed that these grants of restricted stock units were appropriate based on our financial performance over the prior year. The fiscal 2011 restricted stock unit awards vest, based on time-based vesting terms, in the same manner as the fiscal 2010 restricted stock unit awards discussed above. The fiscal 2011 restricted stock units are also not subject to performance-based acceleration. Our Compensation Committee believed that retention of the Named Executive Officers was key to our success and that these additional restricted stock units would be more likely, given the time-based vesting schedule of the restricted stock units, to maximize retention of our Named Executive Officers without performance-based acceleration milestones.

Other Compensation and Benefits

We do not provide any of our executive officers with any material perquisites. Currently, all benefits offered to our executive officers, including an opportunity to participate in our 401(k) plan, medical, dental, vision, life insurance, disability coverage and flexible spending accounts, are also available on a non-discriminatory basis to other full-time employees. We also provide vacation and other paid holidays to all full-time employees, including our Named Executive Officers.

Employment Agreements

In August 2005, we entered into an employment agreement with Clinton H. Severson, our President and Chief Executive Officer, which provides Mr. Severson with a severance payment equal to two years of salary, bonus and benefits if his employment with us is terminated for any reason other than cause. Certain severance benefits provided pursuant to the Severance Plan (described below in *Change in Control Agreements*) with respect to a change of control supersede those provided pursuant to the employment agreement. None of our other executives have employment agreements with us.

Change in Control Agreements

In July 2006, our Board of Directors, after considering a change of control program analysis from the Compensation Peer Group prepared by Top Five and upon the recommendation of our Compensation Committee, approved and adopted the Abaxis, Inc. Executive Change of Control Severance Plan (the *Severance Plan*). The Severance Plan was adopted by our Board of Directors to reduce the distraction of executives and potential loss of executive talent that could arise from a potential change of control. Participants in the Severance Plan include Abaxis senior managers who are selected by the Board of Directors. In December 2008, our Board of Directors amended the Severance Plan to ensure its compliance with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the *Code*) and designated the following current executive officers as participants in the Severance Plan: Clinton H. Severson, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer; Alberto R. Santa Ines, our Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance; Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D., our Chief Technology Officer; Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D., our Vice President of Government Affairs and Vice President of Marketing for the Pacific Rim; Donald P. Wood, our Chief Operations Officer; and Martin V. Mulroy, our Vice President of Veterinary Sales and Marketing for North America. In October 2009, our Board of Directors also designated the following executive officers as participants in the Severance Plan: Brenton G.A. Hanlon, our Vice President of Medical Sales and Marketing for North America and Achim Henkel, our Managing Director of Abaxis Europe GmbH.

The Severance Plan provides that upon the occurrence of a change of control a participant's outstanding stock option(s) and other unvested equity-based instruments will accelerate in full, and any such stock awards shall become immediately exercisable.

In addition, the Severance Plan provides that, if the participant's employment is terminated by us (or any successor of Abaxis) for any reason other than cause, death, or disability within 18 months following the change of

Table of Contents

control date and such termination constitutes a separation in service, the participant is eligible to receive severance benefits as follows:

on the 60th day after the termination date, a lump sum cash payment equal to two times the sum of the participant's annual base salary and the participant's target annual bonus amount for the year in which the change of control occurs;

payment of up to 24 months of premiums for medical, dental and vision benefits, provided, however, that if the participant becomes eligible to receive comparable benefits under another employer's plan, the Company's benefits shall be secondary to those provided under such other plan;

reimbursement, on a monthly basis, of up to 24 months of premiums for disability and life insurance benefits if the participant elects to convert his or her disability and/or life insurance benefits under the Company's plans into individual policies following termination; and

payment of an amount equal to any excise tax imposed under Section 4999 of the Code, provided, however, that payment of such amount is capped at \$1,000,000 per participant.

Payment of the foregoing severance benefits is conditioned upon the participant's execution of a valid and effective release of claims against us.

Tax Considerations

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

We have considered the provisions of Section 162(m) of the Code and related Treasury Regulations that restrict deductibility of executive compensation paid to our Named Executive Officers and our other executive officers holding office at the end of any year to the extent such compensation exceeds \$1,000,000 for any of such officers in any year and does not qualify for an exception under the statute or regulations. The Compensation Committee endeavors to maximize deductibility of compensation under Section 162(m) of the Code to the extent practicable while maintaining a competitive, performance-based compensation program. However, tax consequences, including tax deductibility, are subject to many factors (such as changes in the tax laws and regulations or interpretations thereof and the timing of various decisions by officers regarding stock options) which are beyond the control of both the Company and our Compensation Committee. In addition, our Compensation Committee believes that it is important to retain maximum flexibility in designing compensation programs that meet its stated business objectives. For these reasons, our Compensation Committee, while considering tax deductibility as a factor in determining compensation, will not limit compensation to those levels or types of compensation that will be deductible. Our Compensation Committee will continue to consider alternative forms of compensation, consistent with its compensation goals that preserve deductibility.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

No member of the Compensation Committee has ever been an executive officer or employee of the Company. None of the Company's executive officers currently serves, or has served during the last completed fiscal year, on the Compensation Committee or board of directors of any other entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of the Company's board of directors or compensation committee. For information with respect to related-person transactions involving members of the Compensation Committee, see Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT ⁽¹⁾

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the disclosures contained in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

Based upon this review and discussion with management, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010.

THE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Richard J. Bastiani, Ph.D., Chair
Henk Evenhuis
Prithipal Singh, Ph.D.
Ernest Tucker, M.D.

- (1) The material in this report is not soliciting material, is not deemed filed with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Abaxis under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in any such filing.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION****Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, the compensation awarded or paid to, or earned by, Abaxis Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers at March 31, 2010 (collectively, the Named Executive Officers).

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive	All Other Compensation	Total
						Plan Compensation		
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)(1)	(\$)	(\$)(2)	(\$)(3)	(\$)
Clinton H. Severson President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board	2010	360,000		833,250		611,626	12,168(4)	1,817,044
	2009	355,770		1,250,000		226,406	9,311(4)	1,841,487
	2008	336,500		1,056,500		456,000	11,535(4)	1,860,535
Alberto R. Santa Ines Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance	2010	200,000		378,750		349,500	11,456(5)	939,706
	2009	197,115		500,000		129,375	8,949(5)	835,439
	2008	183,846		422,600		261,250	10,972(5)	878,668
Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D. Chief Technology Officer	2010	210,000		378,750		349,500	22,471(6)	960,721
	2009	206,730		500,000		129,375	19,585(6)	855,690
	2008	192,077		422,600		261,250	20,753(6)	896,680
Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D. Vice President of Government Affairs and Vice President of Marketing for the Pacific Rim	2010	210,000		378,750		349,500	17,917(7)	956,167
	2009	208,654		500,000		129,375	14,552(7)	852,581
	2008	202,077		422,600		261,250	16,599(7)	902,526
Donald P. Wood Chief Operations Officer(8)	2010	196,923		378,750		349,500	17,934(9)	943,107

- (1) Awards consist of restricted stock units granted to the Named Executive Officer in the fiscal year specified. Amounts listed in this column represent the grant date fair value of the awards granted in the fiscal year indicated as computed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 718, Compensation-Stock Compensation (ASC 718). Amounts shown do not reflect whether the Named Executive Officer has actually realized a financial benefit from the awards (such as by vesting in a restricted stock unit award). For a discussion of the assumptions used in determining the fair value of awards of restricted stock units in the above table, see Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
- (2) Represents aggregate cash performance bonuses earned during each fiscal year based on achievement of corporate financial performance goals, as described under Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis above. These bonuses were paid in four quarterly installments within one month following the end

of the applicable quarter upon achieving the established quarterly net sales and quarterly pre-tax income goals for that quarter. Amounts do not include bonuses paid during a fiscal year, with respect to bonuses earned in a prior fiscal year.

- (3) Amounts listed are based upon our actual costs expensed in connection with such compensation.
- (4) In fiscal 2010, consists of \$4,769 in supplemental health plan expenses reimbursed by us, \$648 in group life insurance paid by us, \$626 in disability insurance premiums paid by us and \$6,125 in matching contributions made by us to Mr. Severson's 401(k) account. In fiscal 2009, consists of \$4,652 in supplemental health plan expenses reimbursed by us, \$648 in group life insurance paid by us, \$626 in disability insurance premiums paid by us and \$3,385 in matching contributions made by us to Mr. Severson's 401(k) account. In fiscal 2008, consists of \$4,378 in supplemental health plan expenses reimbursed by us, \$780 in group life insurance paid by

Table of Contents

us, \$752 in disability insurance premiums paid by us and \$5,625 in matching contributions made by us to Mr. Severson's 401(k) account.

- (5) In fiscal 2010, consists of \$4,419 in supplemental health plan expenses reimbursed by us, \$432 in group life insurance paid by us, \$480 in disability insurance premiums paid by us and \$6,125 in matching contributions made by us to Mr. Santa Ines' 401(k) account. In fiscal 2009, consists of \$4,652 in supplemental health plan expenses reimbursed by us, \$432 in group life insurance paid by us, \$480 in disability insurance premiums paid by us and \$3,385 in matching contributions made by us to Mr. Santa Ines' 401(k) account. In fiscal 2008, consists of \$4,490 in supplemental health plan expenses reimbursed by us, \$420 in group life insurance paid by us, \$437 in disability insurance premiums paid by us and \$5,625 in matching contributions made by us to Mr. Santa Ines' 401(k) account.
- (6) In fiscal 2010, consists of \$15,388 in supplemental health plan expenses reimbursed by us, \$454 in group life insurance paid by us, \$504 in disability insurance premiums paid by us and \$6,125 in matching contributions made by us to Dr. Aron's 401(k) account. In fiscal 2009, consists of \$14,959 in supplemental health plan expenses reimbursed by us, \$451 in group life insurance paid by us, \$502 in disability insurance premiums paid by us and \$3,673 in matching contributions made by us to Dr. Aron's 401(k) account. In fiscal 2008, consists of \$14,222 in supplemental health plan expenses reimbursed by us, \$444 in group life insurance paid by us, \$462 in disability insurance premiums paid by us and \$5,625 in matching contributions made by us to Dr. Aron's 401(k) account.
- (7) In fiscal 2010, consists of \$10,897 in supplemental health plan expenses reimbursed by us, \$454 in group life insurance paid by us, \$504 in disability insurance premiums paid by us and \$6,062 in matching contributions made by us to Dr. Ostoich's 401(k) account. In fiscal 2009, consists of \$10,599 in supplemental health plan expenses reimbursed by us, \$451 in group life insurance paid by us, \$502 in disability insurance premiums paid by us and \$3,000 in matching contributions made by us to Dr. Ostoich's 401(k) account. In fiscal 2008, consists of \$10,043 in supplemental health plan expenses reimbursed by us, \$444 in group life insurance paid by us, \$487 in disability insurance premiums paid by us and \$5,625 in matching contributions made by us to Dr. Ostoich's 401(k) account.
- (8) Mr. Wood was not a Named Executive Officer for fiscal 2008 or 2009.
- (9) In fiscal 2010, consists of \$10,897 in supplemental health plan expenses reimbursed by us, \$432 in group life insurance paid by us, \$480 in disability insurance premiums paid by us and \$6,125 in matching contributions made by us to Mr. Wood's 401(k) account.

Salary and Bonus in Proportion to Total Compensation. The following table sets forth the percentage of base salary and annual cash incentive bonus earned by each Named Executive Officer as a percentage of total compensation for fiscal 2010.

Named Executive Officer	Base Salary As a Percentage of Total Compensation	Annual Cash Incentive Bonus As a Percentage of Total Compensation
Clinton H. Severson	20%	34%
Alberto R. Santa Ines	21%	37%
Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D.	22%	36%

Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D.	22%	37%
Donald P. Wood	21%	37%

CEO Employment Agreement. In August 2005, we entered into an employment agreement with Clinton H. Severson, our President and Chief Executive Officer, which provides Mr. Severson with a severance payment equal to two years of salary, bonus and benefits if his employment with us is terminated for any reason other than cause. Certain severance benefits provided pursuant to the Severance Plan (described above in *Change of Control Agreements*) with respect to a change of control supersede those provided pursuant to the employment agreement. None of our other executives have employment agreements with us.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal 2010**

The following table sets forth the grants of plan-based awards to our Named Executive Officers during fiscal 2010.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts			Estimated Future Payouts			All Other	Grant
		Under Non-Equity Incentive			Under Equity			Stock	Date
		Threshold	Target	Maximum	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Awards:	Fair
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	Number	Value of
								of	Stock and
								Shares of	Option
								Stock or	Awards
								Units	(3)
								(#)(2)	
Clinton H. Severson	5/1/2009	131,250	525,000	1,050,000				55,000	833,250
Alberto R. Santa Ines	5/1/2009	75,000	300,000	600,000				25,000	378,750
Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D.	5/1/2009	75,000	300,000	600,000				25,000	378,750
Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D.	5/1/2009	75,000	300,000	600,000				25,000	378,750
Donald P. Wood	5/1/2009	75,000	300,000	600,000				25,000	378,750

- (1) Actual cash performance bonuses, which were approved by the Board of Directors upon recommendation by the Compensation Committee based on achievement of corporate financial performance goals for fiscal 2010, were paid in four quarterly installments within one month following the end of the applicable quarter upon achieving the established quarterly net sales and quarterly pre-tax income goals. Actual cash performance bonuses are shown in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table above.
- (2) Each of the equity-based awards reported in the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table was granted under, and is subject to, the terms of our 2005 Equity Incentive Plan. The time-based vesting schedule of restricted stock unit grants during fiscal 2010 is described above in Restricted Stock Units.
- (3) Represents the fair value of the restricted stock unit award on the date of grant, pursuant to ASC 718. See Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End 2010**

The following table shows, for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010, certain information regarding outstanding equity awards at fiscal year end for our Named Executive Officers.

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards		Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)(3)
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Exercise Price (\$)(2)	Option Expiration Date	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#)	
Clinton H. Severson	150,000		4.87	4/24/2011			
	10,417		3.85	4/22/2013			
	50,000(4)		21.65	4/20/2014			
						28,000(5)	761,320
						35,000(5)	951,650
						42,500(6)	1,155,575
						47,500(6)	1,291,525
						55,000(6)	1,495,450
Alberto R. Santa Ines	37,432		3.00	7/23/2012			
	25,000		3.85	4/22/2013			
	40,000(4)		21.65	4/20/2014			
						14,000(5)	380,660
						17,000(6)	462,230
						19,000(6)	516,610
						25,000(6)	679,750
Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D.	40,625		6.31	10/31/2010			
	4,500		5.47	7/24/2011			
	40,000(4)		21.65	4/20/2014			
						14,000(5)	380,660
						17,000(6)	462,230

				19,000(6)	516,610
				25,000(6)	679,750
Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D.	9,500	5.47	7/24/2011		
	40,000	3.85	4/22/2013		
	22,000(4)	21.65	4/20/2014		
				14,000(5)	380,660
				17,000(6)	462,230
				19,000(6)	516,610
				25,000(6)	679,750
Donald P. Wood				17,000(6)	462,230
				19,000(6)	516,610
				25,000(6)	679,750

- (1) Options granted to the Named Executive Officers expire ten years after the grant date. All options vest one-fourth on the first anniversary date of grant and vests at a rate of 1/48th for each full month thereafter, except as otherwise noted.
- (2) Represents the fair value of our common stock on the grant date of the option.
- (3) The value of the equity award is based on the closing price of our common stock of \$27.19 on March 31, 2010, as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.
- (4) These options were accelerated in full by our Board of Directors and became fully vested on December 5, 2005. However, pursuant to a lock-up and consent agreement entered into with each of our Named Executive Officers, these options may not be exercised prior to the date on which the exercise would have been permitted under the vesting schedule set forth in footnote 1, or earlier upon the Named Executive Officer's last day of employment or a change in control. On April 20, 2008, the restrictions under the lock-up and consent agreements expired and 100% of these shares became exercisable.

Table of Contents

- (5) The four-year time-based vesting terms of the restricted stock units is as follows, assuming continuous employment: five percent of the shares vest on April 25, 2007; ten percent of the shares vest on April 25, 2008; 15 percent of the shares vest on April 25, 2009; and 70 percent of the shares vest on April 25, 2010. Additionally, these restricted stock unit awards are also subject to accelerated vesting upon achieving the following performance-based milestones:

upon attainment of certain pre-tax income goals by March 31, 2007, vesting will accelerate to an aggregate of 25% within one year from grant date; by March 31, 2008, vesting will accelerate to an aggregate of 25% within two years from grant date; by March 31, 2009, vesting will accelerate to an aggregate of 30%, within three years of grant date; hence, meeting pre-tax income goals in each of the fiscal years ended March 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009 can result in a cumulative vesting of 80% over three years;

upon attainment of certain product development objectives prior to June 30, 2007, an additional vesting of 10% would be awarded;

upon satisfaction of certain regulatory requirements prior to March 31, 2008, an additional vesting of 10% would be awarded; or

upon attainment of a certain level of operating income per share for any fiscal year during the four-year vesting period, the restricted stock units will accelerate in full.

To date, none of the foregoing performance-based milestones required for acceleration has been achieved. In each case, vesting of the equity award is conditioned upon the Named Executive Officer's continuous employment through the applicable vesting date.

- (6) The four-year time-based vesting terms of the restricted stock units is as follows, assuming continuous employment: five percent of the shares vest after the first year; ten percent of the shares vest after the second year; 15 percent of the shares vest after the third year; and 70 percent of the shares vest after the fourth year.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal 2010

The following table shows all shares of common stock acquired upon exercise of stock options and value realized upon exercise, and all stock awards vested and value realized upon vesting, held by our Named Executive Officers during fiscal 2010.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares	Value Realized	Number of Shares	Value Realized
	Acquired on Exercise (#)	on Exercise (\$)(1)	Acquired on Vesting (#)	on Vesting (\$)(2)
Clinton H. Severson			21,000	320,725
Alberto R. Santa Ines			6,000	91,930
Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D.	12,484	259,103	6,000	91,930
Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D.	16,000	288,560	6,000	91,930

Donald P. Wood

3,000

62,800

- (1) The value realized equals the difference between the option exercise price and the fair market value of our common stock on the date of exercise, as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market, multiplied by the number of shares for which the option was exercised.
- (2) The value realized on vesting of restricted stock units equals the fair market value of our common stock on the settlement date, multiplied by the number of shares that vested.

Severance and Change in Control Agreements

Employment Agreement

In August 2005, we entered into an employment agreement with Clinton H. Severson, our President and Chief Executive Officer, which provides Mr. Severson with a severance payment equal to two years of salary, bonus and

Table of Contents

benefits if his employment with us is terminated for any reason other than cause. Certain severance benefits provided pursuant to the Severance Plan (described below in Executive Change of Control Severance Plan) with respect to a change of control supersede those provided pursuant to the employment agreement. None of our other executives have employment agreements with us.

Executive Change of Control Severance Plan

In July 2006, our Board of Directors, after considering a change of control program analysis from the Compensation Peer Group prepared by Top Five and upon the recommendation of our Compensation Committee, approved and adopted the Abaxis, Inc. Executive Change of Control Severance Plan (the Severance Plan). The Severance Plan was adopted by our Board of Directors to reduce the distraction of executives and potential loss of executive talent that could arise from a potential change of control. Participants in the Severance Plan include Abaxis senior managers who are selected by the Board of Directors. In December 2008, our Board of Directors amended the Severance Plan to ensure its compliance with Section 409A of the Code and designated the following current executive officers as participants in the Severance Plan: Clinton H. Severson, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer; Alberto R. Santa Ines, our Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance; Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D., our Chief Technology Officer; Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D., our Vice President of Government Affairs and Vice President of Marketing for the Pacific Rim; Donald P. Wood, our Chief Operations Officer; and Martin V. Mulroy, our Vice President of Veterinary Sales and Marketing for North America. In October 2009, our Board of Directors also designated the following executive officers as participants in the Severance Plan: Brenton G.A. Hanlon, our Vice President of Medical Sales and Marketing for North America and Achim Henkel, our Managing Director of Abaxis Europe GmbH.

The Severance Plan provides that upon the occurrence of a change of control a participant s outstanding stock option(s) and other unvested equity-based instruments will accelerate in full, and any such stock awards shall become immediately exercisable.

In addition, the Severance Plan provides that, if the participant s employment is terminated by us (or any successor of Abaxis) for any reason other than cause, death, or disability within 18 months following the change of control date and such termination constitutes a separation in service, the participant is eligible to receive severance benefits as follows:

on the 60th day after the termination date, a lump sum cash payment equal to two times the sum of the participant s annual base salary and the participant s target annual bonus amount for the year in which the change of control occurs;

payment of up to 24 months of premiums for medical, dental and vision benefits, provided, however, that if the participant becomes eligible to receive comparable benefits under another employer s plan, the Company s benefits shall be secondary to those provided under such other plan;

reimbursement, on a monthly basis, of up to 24 months of premiums for disability and life insurance benefits if the participant elects to convert his or her disability and/or life insurance benefits under the Company s plans into individual policies following termination; and

payment of an amount equal to any excise tax imposed under Section 4999 of the Code, provided, however, that payment of such amount is capped at \$1,000,000 per participant.

Payment of the foregoing severance benefits is conditioned upon the participant s execution of a valid and effective release of claims against us.

Incentive Plans

Under our 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, (the "2005 Plan"), in the event of a change in control, as such term is defined by the 2005 Plan, the surviving, continuing, successor or purchasing entity or its parent may, without the consent of any participant, either assume or continue in effect any or all outstanding options and stock appreciation rights or substitute substantially equivalent options or rights for its stock. Any options or stock appreciation rights which are not assumed or continued in connection with a change in control or exercised prior to the change in

Table of Contents

control will terminate effective as of the time of the change in control. Our Compensation Committee may provide for the acceleration of vesting of any or all outstanding options or stock appreciation rights upon such terms and to such extent as it determines. The 2005 Plan also authorizes our Compensation Committee, in its discretion and without the consent of any participant, to cancel each or any outstanding option or stock appreciation right upon a change in control in exchange for a payment to the participant with respect to each vested share (and each unvested share if so determined by our Compensation Committee) subject to the cancelled award of an amount equal to the excess of the consideration to be paid per share of common stock in the change in control transaction over the exercise price per share under the award. The Compensation Committee, in its discretion, may provide in the event of a change in control for the acceleration of vesting and/or settlement of any stock award, restricted stock unit award, performance share or performance unit, cash-based award or other share-based award held by a participant upon such conditions and to such extent as determined by our Compensation Committee. It is currently anticipated that awards granted to executive officers will accelerate fully on a change of control. The vesting of non-employee director awards granted under the 2005 Plan automatically will accelerate in full upon a change in control.

All outstanding stock options under our 1992 Outside Directors' Stock Option Plan (the "Directors Plan") are fully vested and no additional options will be granted under the Directors Plan. Our Directors Plan provides that, in the event of a transfer of control of the company, the surviving, continuing, successor or purchasing corporation or a parent corporation thereof, as the case may be, shall either assume our rights and obligations under stock option agreements outstanding under our option plans or substitute options for the acquiring corporation's stock for such outstanding options. Any options which are neither assumed by the acquiring corporation, nor exercised as of the date of the transfer of control, shall terminate effective as of the date of the transfer of control.

As described above, certain additional compensation is payable to a Named Executive Officer (i) if his employment was involuntarily terminated without cause, (ii) upon a change in control or (iii) if his employment was terminated involuntarily following a change in control. The amounts shown in the table below assume that such termination was effective as of March 31, 2010, and do not include amounts in which the Named Executive Officer had already vested as of March 31, 2010. The actual compensation to be paid can only be determined at the time of the change in control and/or a Named Executive Officer's termination of employment.

Table of Contents**Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control**

	Involuntary Termination without Cause(1)	Change in Control (No Termination)	Involuntary Termination without Cause Following a Change in Control(2)
Executive Benefits and Payments Upon Separation			
Clinton H. Severson			
Salary and bonus	\$ 1,853,077		\$ 1,853,077
Vesting of restricted stock units(3)		\$ 5,655,520	\$ 5,655,520
Health and welfare benefits(4)	\$ 12,086		\$ 12,086
Excise tax reimbursement(5)			\$ 62,436
Total	\$ 1,865,163	\$ 5,655,520	\$ 7,583,119
Alberto R. Santa Ines			
Salary and bonus			\$ 1,046,154
Vesting of restricted stock units(3)		\$ 2,039,250	\$ 2,039,250
Health and welfare benefits(4)			\$ 10,662
Excise tax reimbursement(5)			\$ 309,084
Total		\$ 2,039,250	\$ 3,405,150
Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D.			
Salary and bonus			\$ 1,068,462
Vesting of restricted stock units(3)		\$ 2,039,250	\$ 2,039,250
Health and welfare benefits(4)			\$ 32,692
Excise tax reimbursement(5)			\$ 105,715
Total		\$ 2,039,250	\$ 3,246,119
Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D.			
Salary and bonus			\$ 1,068,462
Vesting of restricted stock units(3)		\$ 2,039,250	\$ 2,039,250
Health and welfare benefits(4)			\$ 23,710
Excise tax reimbursement(5)			\$ 125,079
Total		\$ 2,039,250	\$ 3,256,501
Donald P. Wood			
Salary and bonus			\$ 1,015,045
Vesting of restricted stock units(3)		\$ 1,658,590	\$ 1,658,590
Health and welfare benefits(4)			\$ 23,618
Excise tax reimbursement(6)			\$ 362,570
Total		\$ 1,658,590	\$ 3,059,823

(1) Amounts relate to payments to Mr. Severson equal to two years of salary, bonus and benefits if his employment with us is terminated for any reason other than cause (as defined in Mr. Severson's employment agreement).

(2) Amounts assume that the Named Executive Officer was terminated without cause or due to constructive termination during the 18-month period following a change in control.

- (3) The value of the restricted stock unit assumes that the market price per share of our common stock on the date of termination of employment was equal to the closing price of our common stock of \$27.19 on March 31, 2010, as reported on the NASDAQ Global Select Market.
- (4) Health and welfare benefits include payment of 24 months of premiums for medical, dental, vision, disability and life insurance benefits.
- (5) For purposes of computing the excise tax reimbursement payments, base amount calculations are based on the Named Executive Officer's taxable wages for fiscal years 2006 through 2010.
- (6) For purposes of computing the excise tax reimbursement payments, base amount calculations are based on Mr. Wood's taxable wages for fiscal years 2008 through 2010, when he joined us in fiscal 2008.

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION****Director Compensation Table**

The table below summarizes the compensation paid to our non-employee directors for fiscal 2010.

Name(1)	Fees Earned or	Stock	Option	All Other	Total
	Paid in Cash (\$)	Awards \$(2)(3)	Awards \$(4)	Compensation (\$)	
Richard J. Bastiani, Ph.D.	23,000	33,330			56,330
Henk J. Evenhuis	27,000	33,330			60,330
Prithipal Singh, Ph.D.	21,000	33,330			54,330
Ernest S. Tucker, III, M.D.	22,000	33,330			55,330

- (1) Clinton H. Severson, our Chief Executive Officer and Director, is not included in this table as he is an employee of the Company and receives no compensation for his services as a director. The compensation received by Mr. Severson as an employee is shown in the Summary Compensation Table above.
- (2) Each non-employee director listed in the table above was granted an award of 2,200 restricted stock units on May 1, 2009 under our 2005 Plan. Amounts listed in this column represent the grant date fair value of the awards in accordance with ASC 718. Amounts shown do not reflect whether the non-employee director has actually realized a financial benefit from the awards (such as by vesting in a restricted stock unit award). For a discussion of the assumptions used in determining the fair value of awards of restricted stock units in the above table, see Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. No stock awards were forfeited by our non-employee directors during fiscal 2010, except for Mr. Hanlon, upon his resignation as director in August 2009.
- (3) As of March 31, 2010, each of our non-employee directors held 2,200 shares of unvested restricted stock units.
- (4) No options were awarded to our non-employee directors in fiscal 2010, 2009 or 2008. As of March 31, 2010, the non-employee directors held the following number of outstanding options: Dr. Bastiani, 20,000; Mr. Evenhuis, 18,000; Dr. Singh, 16,000; and Dr. Tucker, 8,000 shares.

Cash Compensation Paid to Board Members

During fiscal 2010, all non-employee directors received an annual retainer of \$12,000. The non-employee Chairs of our Audit Committee and Compensation Committee received an annual supplement of \$5,000 and \$2,000, respectively. Our non-employee directors each received \$1,250 per board meeting attended and \$1,000 per committee meeting attended. We also reimburse our non-employee directors for reasonable travel expenses incurred in connection with attending board and committee meetings. Directors who are employees receive no compensation for their service as directors.

Equity Compensation Paid to Board Members

Non-employee directors are eligible to receive awards under the 2005 Plan, but such awards are discretionary and not automatic. In fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, each non-employee director received an annual equity award of 2,200, 1,500 and 1,500, respectively, restricted stock units granted under the 2005 Plan. Each award of restricted stock units represents the right of the participant to receive, without payment of monetary consideration, on the vesting date, a number of shares of common stock equal to the number of units vesting on such date. Subject to the director's continued service with us through the applicable vesting date, each restricted stock unit award will vest in full 12 months after the grant date. Under the terms of the 2005 Plan, the vesting of each non-employee director restricted stock unit award will also be accelerated in full in the event of a change in control, as defined in the 2005 Plan.

Table of Contents**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of May 31, 2010 by (i) each of the Named Executive Officers in the Summary Compensation Table; (ii) each of our directors; (iii) all of our executive officers and directors as a group and (iv) four holders of at least five percent of our common stock. The persons named in the table have sole or shared voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws where applicable and to the information contained in the footnotes to this table.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Abaxis Common Stock Beneficially Owned (1)
Five Percent Holders:		
Brown Capital Management, Inc.(3)	2,525,782	11.3%
Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management, LLC(4)	1,743,638	7.8%
BlackRock, Inc.(5)	1,666,521	7.5%
Neuberger Berman Group LLC, Neuberger Berman LLC and Neuberger Berman Management LLC(6)	1,472,304	6.6%
Executive Officers:(2)		
Clinton H. Severson(7)	710,618	3.2%
Vladimir E. Ostoich, Ph.D.(8)	409,826	1.8%
Alberto R. Santa Ines(9)	139,441	*
Kenneth P. Aron, Ph.D.(10)	80,535	*
Donald P. Wood(11)	4,561	*
Outside Directors:(2)		
Richard J. Bastiani, Ph.D.(12)	83,700	*
Prithipal Singh, Ph.D.(13)	32,700	*
Henk J. Evenhuis(14)	24,700	*
Ernest S. Tucker, III, M.D.(15)	8,000	*
Executive officers and directors as a group (12 persons)(16)	1,573,706	6.9%

* Less than one percent.

(1) The percentages shown in this column are calculated based on 22,273,342 shares of common stock outstanding on May 31, 2010 and includes shares of common stock that such person or group had the right to acquire on or within sixty days after that date, including, but not limited to, upon the exercise of options.

(2) The business address of the beneficial owners listed is c/o Abaxis, Inc., 3240 Whipple Road, Union City, CA 94587.

(3) Based on information set forth in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 27, 2010 by Brown Capital Management, Inc., reporting sole power to vote and dispose of 1,138,734 and 2,525,782 shares, respectively. The business address for Brown Capital Management, Inc. is 1201 North Calvert Street, Baltimore, MD 21202.

- (4) Based on information set forth in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 9, 2010 by Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management, LLC, reporting sole power to vote and dispose of 1,743,638 shares. The business address for Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management, LLC is 1800 Avenue of the Stars, Second Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067.

Table of Contents

- (5) Based on information set forth in a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 29, 2010 by BlackRock, Inc., reporting sole power to vote and dispose of 1,666,521 shares. The business address for BlackRock, Inc. is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10022.
- (6) Based on information set forth in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on May 6, 2010 by Neuberger Berman Group LLC, reporting shared power to vote and dispose of 1,125,884 and 1,472,304 shares, respectively; by Neuberger Berman LLC, reporting shared power to vote and dispose of 1,125,884 and 1,472,304 shares, respectively; and by Neuberger Berman Management LLC, reporting shared power to vote and dispose of 1,117,300 shares. The business address for Neuberger Berman Group LLC, Neuberger Berman LLC and Neuberger Berman Management LLC is 605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158.
- (7) Includes:
- 500,201 shares held by Mr. Severson; and
- 210,417 shares subject to stock options exercisable by Mr. Severson within sixty days of May 31, 2010.
- (8) Includes:
- 172,993 shares held by Dr. Ostoich;
- 26,355 shares held by Dr. Ostoich's IRA;
- 22,400 shares held by Mrs. Ostoich's IRA;
- 116,578 shares held by the Vladimir Ostoich and Liliana Ostoich Trust Fund, for the benefit of Dr. Ostoich and his wife; and
- 71,500 shares subject to stock options exercisable by Dr. Ostoich within sixty days of May 31, 2010.
- (9) Includes:
- 37,009 shares held by Mr. Santa Ines; and
- 102,432 shares subject to stock options exercisable by Mr. Santa Ines within sixty days of May 31, 2010.
- (10) Includes:
- 26,035 shares held by Dr. Aron; and
- 54,500 shares subject to stock options exercisable by Dr. Aron within sixty days of May 31, 2010.
- (11) Includes:
- 4,561 shares held by Mr. Wood.
- (12) Includes:

63,700 shares held by Dr. Bastiani; and

20,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable by Dr. Bastiani within sixty days of May 31, 2010.

(13) Includes:

24,700 shares held by Dr. Singh; and

8,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable by Dr. Singh within sixty days of May 31, 2010.

(14) Includes:

6,700 shares held by Mr. Evenhuis; and

18,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable by Mr. Evenhuis within sixty days of May 31, 2010.

(15) Reflects:

8,000 shares subject to stock options exercisable by Dr. Tucker within sixty days of May 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

(16) Includes:

1,054,316 shares held by all executive officers and directors as a group; and

519,390 shares subject to stock options exercisable by all executive officers and directors as a group within sixty days of May 31, 2010.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

Abaxis has two equity incentive plans under which our equity securities are or have been authorized for issuance to our employees, directors and consultants: (i) the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2005 Plan"), which amended and restated the 1998 Stock Option Plan, and (ii) the 1992 Outside Directors' Stock Option Plan (the "Directors Plan"). Both the 2005 Plan and the Directors Plan have been approved by our shareholders. In June 2002, the time period for granting options under the Directors Plan expired in accordance with the terms of the plan.

From time to time we issue warrants to purchase shares of our common stock to non-employees, such as service providers and purchasers of our preferred stock. As of March 31, 2010, there were no warrants outstanding to purchase shares of common stock.

The following table provides aggregate information as of March 31, 2010 regarding outstanding options, unvested restricted stock units and shares reserved under our equity compensation plans.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan Category	Number of Securities	Weighted-Average	Number of Securities
	to be Issued Upon		Remaining Available
	Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans(1)
Equity compensation plans approved by our shareholders:			
2005 Equity Incentive Plan(2)	1,568,000	\$ 9.25(3)	454,000
1992 Outside Directors' Stock Option Plan	16,000	\$ 4.88	
Equity compensation plans not approved by our shareholders:			
Total:	1,584,000	\$ 9.15(3)	454,000

- (1) The shares are available for award grant purposes under the 2005 Plan and exclude shares listed under the column Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights.
- (2) The 2005 Plan amended and restated the 1998 Stock Option Plan in October 2005. To date, share-based awards granted under the 2005 Plan includes stock options and restricted stock units.
- (3) Excludes outstanding and unvested restricted stock unit awards, for which there is no exercise price.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence*

Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

During the fiscal year ended March 31, 2010, there was not, nor is there any currently proposed transaction or series of similar transactions to which Abaxis was or is to be a party in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000 and in which any executive officer, director or holder of more than 5% of any class of voting securities of Abaxis and members of that person's immediate family had or will have a direct or indirect material interest, other than as set forth in the Summary Compensation Table above.

Indemnification Agreements

We generally enter into indemnity agreements with our directors and certain of our executive officers. These indemnity agreements require us to indemnify these individuals to the fullest extent permitted by law.

Table of Contents**Related-Person Transactions Policy and Procedures**

Pursuant to the requirements set forth in the charter of our Audit Committee, our Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving any related-party transactions, after reviewing each such transaction for potential conflicts of interests and other improprieties. We do not have any additional written procedures governing the process for addressing related-person transactions. However, in approving or rejecting proposed transactions, our audit committee generally considers the relevant facts and circumstances available and deemed relevant, including, but not limited to the risks, costs and benefits to us, the terms of the transaction, the availability of other sources for comparable services or products, and, if applicable, the impact on a director's independence.

As required under the NASDAQ listing standards, a majority of the members of a listed company's Board of Directors must qualify as independent, as affirmatively determined by the Board of Directors. The Board consults with the Company's counsel to ensure that the Board's determinations are consistent with relevant securities and other laws and regulations regarding the definition of independent, including those set forth in the NASDAQ listing standards, as in effect time to time. Consistent with these considerations, after review of all relevant transactions or relationships between each director, or any of his or her family members, and the Company, its senior management, and its independent registered public accounting firm, the Board has affirmatively determined that the following four directors are independent directors within the meaning of the applicable NASDAQ listing standards: Mr. Evenhuis and Drs. Bastiani, Singh and Tucker. In making this determination, the Board found that none of the directors had a material or other disqualifying relationship with the Company. Mr. Severson, the Company's Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, is not an independent director by virtue of his employment with the Company.

Item 14. *Principal Accounting Fees and Services*

For the fiscal years ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, our independent registered public accounting firm, Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc. (formerly known as Burr, Pilger & Mayer LLP) billed the approximate fees set forth below. All fees included below were approved by the Audit Committee.

	Year Ended March 31,	
	2010	2009
Audit Fees(1)	\$ 670,000	\$ 636,000
Audit-Related Fees(2)	13,000	48,000
Tax Fees		
All Other Fees		
Total All Fees	\$ 683,000	\$ 684,000

(1) Audit fees represent fees for professional services provided in connection with the audit of our financial statements and review of our quarterly financial statements, including attestation services related to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Abaxis' tax deferral savings plan.

(2) Audit-related fees represent fees for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our financial statements and are not reported under Audit Fees. In fiscal 2010, these services include agreed-upon procedures. In fiscal 2009, these services include due diligence services pertaining to potential acquisitions.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy for the pre-approval of all audit and non-audit services to be performed for the Company by the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee has considered the role of Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc. in providing audit and audit-related services to Abaxis and has concluded that such services are compatible with Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc.'s role as Abaxis' independent registered public accounting firm.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. *Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules*

(a) The following financial statements, schedules and exhibits are filed as part of this report:

1. Financial Statements The Financial Statements required by this item are listed on the Index to Financial Statements in Part II, Item 8 of this report, which is incorporated by reference herein.

2. Financial Statement Schedules -

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves

Other financial statement schedules are not included because they are not required or the information is otherwise shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

3. Exhibits The exhibits listed on the accompanying Exhibit Index are filed as part of, or are incorporated by reference into, this report.

(b) See Item 15(a)(3) above.

(c) See Item 15(a)(2) above.

Table of Contents**Abaxis, Inc.****Schedule II****Valuation and Qualifying Accounts and Reserves
Years ended March 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008**

Description	Balance at Beginning of Year	Additions Charged to Expenses	Deductions from Reserves	Balance at End of Year
Total Reserve for Doubtful Accounts and Sales Allowances:				
Year ended March 31, 2010	\$ 388,000	\$ 302,000	\$ (244,000)	\$ 446,000
Year ended March 31, 2009	\$ 272,000	\$ 236,000	\$ (120,000)	\$ 388,000
Year ended March 31, 2008	\$ 542,000	\$ 165,000	\$ (435,000)	\$ 272,000

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

Exhibit No.	Description of Document
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1993 and incorporated herein by reference.)
3.2	By-laws (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in our Registration Statement No. 33-44326 on December 11, 1991 and incorporated herein by reference.)
3.3	Amendment to the By-laws (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Current Report on Form 8-K on July 30, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.)
4.1	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of March 29, 2002 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Current Report on Form 8-K on May 13, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.)
4.2	Abaxis, Inc. and Equiserve Trust Company, N.A. as Rights Agent, Rights Agreement, dated as of April 23, 2003 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Current Report on Form 8-K on May 16, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.)
4.3	Reference is made to Exhibit 3.1, Exhibit 3.2 and Exhibit 3.3.
10.1*	1989 Stock Option Plan, as amended and restated as the 1998 Stock Option Plan (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.2*	1992 Outside Directors Stock Option Plan and forms of agreement (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Def 14A Proxy Statement on August 10, 1992 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.3+	Licensing agreement between Abaxis, Inc. and Pharmacia Biotech, Inc., dated October 1, 1994 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 1994 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.4	Lease Agreement with Principal Development Investors, LLC, dated June 21, 2000 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Registration Statement on Form S-3 on January 10, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.5	Loan and Security Agreement with Comerica Bank California, dated March 13, 2002 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.6	First and Second Modification to Loan and Security Agreement with Comerica Bank California, dated March 29, 2002 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.7	Loan Revision/Extension Agreement with Comerica Bank California, dated March 29, 2002 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.8	Loan Revision/Extension Agreement with Comerica Bank California, dated September 23, 2002 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.9+	Letter Setting Forth Additional Terms of Relationship Between Abaxis, Inc. and Pharmacia Biotech, dated as of June 9, 1997 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.)

- 10.10+** Private Label Manufacturing and Supply Agreement by and between Diatron Messtechnik GmbH and Abaxis, Inc., dated November 13, 2003 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.)
- 10.11** Distribution Agreement by and between Scil Animal Care Company GmbH and Abaxis, Inc., dated September 1, 2001 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with Amendment Number One to our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2002, on December 24, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference.)

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description of Document
10.12	Loan and Security Agreement by and between Abaxis, Inc. and Comerica Bank California, dated as of September 8, 2003 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.13	First Modification to Business Loan Agreement with Comerica Bank California, dated September 15, 2004 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.14*	Employment Agreement with Mr. Clinton H. Severson, dated July 11, 2005 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2005 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.15*	2005 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended as of October 28, 2008 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Current Report on Form 8-K on November 3, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.16*	Abaxis, Inc. Executive Change of Control Severance Plan, as amended as of December 23, 2008 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.17+	Amendment, dated September 21, 2006, to the Private Label Manufacturing and Supply Agreement by and between Diatron Messtechnik GmbH and Abaxis, Inc., dated November 13, 2003 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.18+	Distribution Agreement by and between Walco International, Inc. (d/b/a DVM Resources) and Abaxis, Inc., dated April 1, 2006 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.19*	Fiscal 2011 Base Salary and Target Bonus for the Named Executive Officers (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Current Report on Form 8-K on May 4, 2010 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.20+	Addendum, dated February 28, 2008, to the Private Label Manufacturing and Supply Agreement by and between Diatron Messtechnik GmbH and Abaxis, Inc., dated November 13, 2003 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.21*	Form of Indemnification Agreement entered into by Abaxis, Inc. with each of its directors and executive officers (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.22+	License Agreement by and between Inverness Medical Switzerland GmbH and Abaxis, Inc., dated January 5, 2009 (Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as an exhibit with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2009 and incorporated herein by reference.)
10.23	First Amendment to Lease Agreement with Principal Development Investors, LLC, dated August 28, 2000
10.24	Second Amendment to Lease Agreement with Principal Development Investors, LLC, dated November 20, 2000
10.25	Third Amendment to Lease Agreement with Crossroads Technology Partners and Nearon Crossroads, LLC, as successors in interest to Principal Development Investors, LLC, dated April 10, 2002
10.26	Fourth Amendment to Lease Agreement with Whipple Road Holdings, LLC, SFP Crossroads, LLC and Woodstock Bowers, LLC, dated March 11, 2010
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Company

Edgar Filing: ABAXIS INC - Form 10-K

- 23.1** Consent of Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc., Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- 24.1** Power of Attorney. Reference is made to the Signature Page hereto.
- 31.1** Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2** Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Table of Contents

Exhibit No.	Description of Document
32.1#	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2#	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
+	Confidential treatment of certain portions of this agreement has been granted by the Securities and Exchange Commission.
*	Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
#	This certification accompanies the Form 10-K to which it relates, is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Registrant under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (whether made before or after the date of the Form 10-K), irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on June 14, 2010.

ABAXIS, INC.

By: /s/ Clinton H. Severson

Clinton H. Severson
Chairman of the Board, President and
Chief Executive Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENT, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Clinton H. Severson and Alberto R. Santa Ines, and each of them, acting individually, as his attorney-in-fact, each with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in connection therewith and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents, or any of them, or their or his substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Clinton H. Severson	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	June 14, 2010
Clinton H. Severson		
/s/ Alberto R. Santa Ines	Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	June 14, 2010
Alberto R. Santa Ines		
/s/ Richard J. Bastiani, Ph.D.	Director	June 14, 2010
Richard J. Bastiani, Ph.D.		
/s/ Henk J. Evenhuis	Director	June 14, 2010
Henk J. Evenhuis		

/s/ Prithipal Singh, Ph.D.	Director	June 14, 2010
Prithipal Singh, Ph.D.		
/s/ Ernest S. Tucker III	Director	June 14, 2010
Ernest S. Tucker III		