

BECTON DICKINSON & CO

Form 10-Q

August 10, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

**Commission file number 001-4802
Becton, Dickinson and Company**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New Jersey

22-0760120

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1 Becton Drive, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey 07417-1880

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(201) 847-6800

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: BECTON DICKINSON & CO - Form 10-Q

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class of Common Stock	Shares Outstanding as of June 30, 2009
Common stock, par value \$1.00	239,389,718

BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY
FORM 10-Q
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page Number
Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	5
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	34
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	34
<u>Part II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	35
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	36
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	36
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	36
<u>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	36
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	37
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	37
<u>Signatures</u>	38
<u>Exhibits</u>	39
<u>EX-31</u>	
<u>EX-32</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

Table of Contents

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 Thousands of dollars

	June 30, 2009 (Unaudited)	September 30, 2008
Assets		
Current Assets:		
Cash and equivalents	\$ 1,331,601	\$ 830,477
Short-term investments	420,359	199,942
Trade receivables, net	1,144,944	1,079,051
Inventories:		
Materials	171,258	162,726
Work in process	224,818	203,926
Finished products	810,931	713,774
	1,207,007	1,080,426
Prepaid expenses, deferred taxes and other	356,770	424,779
Assets held for sale	30,856	
Total Current Assets	4,491,537	3,614,675
Property, plant and equipment	5,978,641	5,797,995
Less allowances for depreciation and amortization	(3,196,140)	(3,053,521)
	2,782,501	2,744,474
Goodwill	610,782	625,768
Core and Developed Technology, Net	305,641	348,531
Other Intangibles, Net	99,066	89,675
Capitalized Software, Net	175,991	133,486
Other	383,469	356,334
Total Assets	\$ 8,848,987	\$ 7,912,943
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current Liabilities:		
Short-term debt	\$ 405,737	\$ 201,312
Payables and accrued expenses	1,236,320	1,215,267
Liabilities held for sale	4,405	
Total Current Liabilities	1,646,462	1,416,579

Edgar Filing: BECTON DICKINSON & CO - Form 10-Q

Long-Term Debt	1,483,560	953,226
Long-Term Employee Benefit Obligations	383,032	464,982
Deferred Income Taxes and Other	124,037	142,588
Commitments and Contingencies		
Shareholders' Equity:		
Common stock	332,662	332,662
Capital in excess of par value	1,465,823	1,359,531
Retained earnings	7,514,585	6,838,589
Deferred compensation	17,146	14,694
Common shares in treasury at cost	(3,898,023)	(3,532,398)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(220,297)	(77,510)
Total Shareholders' Equity	5,211,896	4,935,568
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 8,848,987	\$ 7,912,943

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

Thousands of dollars, except per share data

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Revenues	\$ 1,820,255	\$ 1,849,339	\$ 5,263,141	\$ 5,262,786
Cost of products sold	860,063	905,388	2,485,687	2,566,465
Selling and administrative	429,940	435,807	1,272,318	1,263,212
Research and development	98,489	99,928	294,391	287,169
Total Operating Costs and Expenses	1,388,492	1,441,123	4,052,396	4,116,846
Operating Income	431,763	408,216	1,210,745	1,145,940
Interest income	12,767	10,956	18,730	32,489
Interest expense	(11,288)	(9,017)	(26,607)	(27,455)
Other (expense) income, net	(4,247)	(1,285)	(538)	252
Income From Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes	428,995	408,870	1,202,330	1,151,226
Income tax provision	90,291	112,875	295,033	314,321
Income From Continuing Operations	338,704	295,995	907,297	836,905
Income from Discontinued Operations, net	2,323	1,094	7,086	7,916
Net Income	\$ 341,027	\$ 297,089	\$ 914,383	\$ 844,821
Basic Earnings per Share:				
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 1.41	\$ 1.21	\$ 3.77	\$ 3.42
Income from Discontinued Operations	0.01		0.03	0.03
Basic Earnings per Share (A)	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.22	\$ 3.80	\$ 3.46
Diluted Earnings per Share:				
Income from Continuing Operations	\$ 1.38	\$ 1.18	\$ 3.67	\$ 3.31
Income from Discontinued Operations	0.01		0.03	0.03

Edgar Filing: BECTON DICKINSON & CO - Form 10-Q

Diluted Earnings per Share	\$	1.39	\$	1.18	\$	3.70	\$	3.34
Dividends per Common Share	\$	0.330	\$	0.285	\$	0.990	\$	0.855

(A) Total per share amounts may not add due to rounding.

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

4

Table of Contents

BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Thousands of dollars

(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 914,383	\$ 844,821
Income from discontinued operations, net	(7,086)	(7,916)
Income from continuing operations	907,297	836,905
Adjustments to income from continuing operations to derive net cash provided by continuing operating activities, net of amounts acquired:		
Depreciation and amortization	352,246	352,936
Share-based compensation	78,984	80,526
Deferred income taxes	(21,627)	(10,766)
Change in working capital	(214,969)	(84,742)
Pension obligation	(75,909)	10,582
Other, net	22,126	19,863
Net Cash Provided by Continuing Operating Activities	1,048,148	1,205,304
Investing Activities		
Capital expenditures	(354,068)	(418,125)
Capitalized software	(81,183)	(31,009)
Purchases of investments, net	(223,064)	(50,170)
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash acquired		(41,394)
Other, net	(55,634)	(29,663)
Net Cash Used for Continuing Investing Activities	(713,949)	(570,361)
Financing Activities		
Change in short-term debt	1,605	(3,740)
Proceeds from long-term debt	736,207	
Payments of debt	(289)	(591)
Repurchase of common stock	(371,426)	(354,389)
Excess tax benefits from payments under share-based compensation plans	12,170	55,715
Dividends paid	(237,908)	(208,996)
Issuance of common stock and other, net	21,655	64,031
Net Cash Provided by (Used for) Continuing Financing Activities	162,014	(447,970)
Discontinued Operations		
Net cash provided by operating activities	9,778	24,857

Edgar Filing: BECTON DICKINSON & CO - Form 10-Q

Net cash used for investing activities	(127)	(286)
Net Cash Provided by Discontinued Operations	9,651	24,571
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and equivalents	(4,740)	12,882
Net increase in cash and equivalents	501,124	224,426
Opening Cash and Equivalents	830,477	511,482
Closing Cash and Equivalents	\$ 1,331,601	\$ 735,908

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

5

Table of Contents

BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Dollar and share amounts in thousands, except per share data

June 30, 2009

Note 1 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and, in the opinion of the management of the Company, include all adjustments which are of a normal recurring nature, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position and the results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. However, the financial statements do not include all information and footnotes required for a presentation in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included or incorporated by reference in the Company's 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the full year.

The Company evaluates subsequent events and the evidence they provide about conditions existing at the date of the balance sheet as well as conditions that arose after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued. The effects of conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet date are recognized in the financial statements. Events and conditions arising after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued are evaluated to determine if disclosure is required to keep the financial statements from being misleading. To the extent such events and conditions exist, disclosures are made regarding the nature of events and the estimated financial effects for those events and conditions. For purposes of preparing the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and the following notes to these financial statements, the Company evaluated subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued.

Note 2 Accounting Change

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles a Replacement of FASB Statement No. 162 (SFAS No. 168). This Standard establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (the Codification) as the official source of authoritative GAAP (other than guidance issued by the SEC) for all non-governmental entities. The Codification, which changes the referencing of financial standards, supersedes current authoritative guidance and is effective for interim or annual financial periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Codification is not intended to change or alter existing GAAP and it is not expected to result in a change in accounting practice for the Company.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, Subsequent Events (SFAS No. 165). SFAS No. 165 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued or are available to be issued.

Table of Contents

The Company adopted SFAS No. 165 on June 30, 2009. SFAS No. 165 did not impact the consolidated financial statements as a result of its adoption. The disclosures required under SFAS No. 165 are included in Note 1.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments (FSP 107-1), which amends SFAS No. 107, Fair Value Disclosures about Financial Instruments (SFAS No. 107) to require disclosure about fair value of financial instruments, including those not recognized on the statement of financial position at fair value, for interim reporting periods as well as in annual financial statements. The FSP is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company's adoption of FSP 107-1 on June 30, 2009 did not impact the consolidated financial statements. The disclosures required under FSP 107-1 are included in Note 12.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities-an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS No. 161). SFAS No. 161 amends and expands the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS No. 133). The Statement requires qualitative disclosures regarding how and why an entity uses derivative instruments as well as how these instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and its related interpretations. Entities are also required to provide tabular disclosures that quantify the effects derivative instruments and hedged items have on financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. The Company adopted SFAS No. 161 on March 31, 2009. SFAS No. 161 is a disclosure-only standard and, as such, did not impact the consolidated financial statements as a result of its adoption. The disclosures required under SFAS No. 161 are included in Note 11.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP, and expands disclosures relating to fair value measurements. In February 2008, the FASB deferred implementation of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities not measured at fair value on a recurring basis (at least annually) for one year. The Company implemented SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and liabilities, as well as other assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, on October 1, 2008. The effect of this adoption did not materially impact the Company's consolidated financial statements. The disclosures required under SFAS No. 157 are included in Note 12. The Company is assessing the impact of adopting SFAS No. 157 on October 1, 2009 for nonfinancial assets and liabilities measured on a nonrecurring basis.

Table of Contents**Note 3 Comprehensive Income**

Comprehensive income was comprised of the following:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net Income	\$ 341,027	\$ 297,089	\$ 914,383	\$ 844,821
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), Net of Tax				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	180,430	15,731	(103,564)	215,935
Benefit plans adjustment	3,097	1,830	9,291	5,492
Unrealized losses on investments, net of amounts reclassified net of amounts reclassified	(22)	(86)	(87)	(61)
Unrealized (losses) gains on cash flow hedges, net of amounts realized	(43,330)	6,904	(48,427)	8,696
	140,175	24,379	(142,787)	230,062
Comprehensive Income	\$ 481,202	\$ 321,468	\$ 771,596	\$ 1,074,883

Unrealized losses or gains on investments and cash flow hedges in comprehensive income have been adjusted to reflect any realized gains and recognized losses included in net income during the three and nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. The change in foreign currency translation adjustments for the three months ended June 30, 2009 is attributable to a weaker U.S. dollar versus European currencies. The change in foreign currency translation adjustments for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 is primarily attributable to a stronger U.S. dollar versus European and Latin American currencies at June 30, 2009, compared with a weaker U.S. Dollar against stronger European currencies at June 30, 2008.

Note 4 Earnings per Share

The weighted average common shares used in the computations of basic and diluted earnings per share (shares in thousands) were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Average common shares outstanding	240,109	244,273	240,923	244,478
Dilutive share equivalents from share-based plans	5,587	7,375	6,160	8,466
Average common and common equivalent shares outstanding assuming dilution	245,696	251,648	247,083	252,944

Note 5 Contingencies

The Company is named as a defendant in five purported class action suits brought on behalf of direct purchasers of the Company's products, such as distributors, alleging that the Company violated federal antitrust laws, resulting in the charging of higher prices for the Company's

Table of Contents

products to the plaintiff and other purported class members. The cases filed are as follows: *Louisiana Wholesale Drug Company, Inc., et. al. vs. Becton Dickinson and Company* (Civil Action No. 05-1602, U.S. District Court, Newark, New Jersey), filed on March 25, 2005; *SAJ Distributors, Inc. et. al. vs. Becton Dickinson & Co.* (Case 2:05-CV-04763-JD, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania), filed on September 6, 2005; *Dik Drug Company, et. al. vs. Becton, Dickinson and Company* (Case No. 2:05-CV-04465, U.S. District Court, Newark, New Jersey), filed on September 12, 2005; *American Sales Company, Inc. et. al. vs. Becton, Dickinson & Co.* (Case No. 2:05-CV-05212-CRM, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania), filed on October 3, 2005; and *Park Surgical Co. Inc. et. al. vs. Becton, Dickinson and Company* (Case 2:05-CV-05678- CMR, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania), filed on October 26, 2005. These actions have been consolidated under the caption *In re Hypodermic Products Antitrust Litigation*.

The Company is also named as a defendant in four purported class action suits brought on behalf of indirect purchasers of the Company's products, alleging that the Company violated federal and state antitrust laws, resulting in the charging of higher prices for the Company's products to the plaintiff and other purported class members. The cases filed are as follows: *Jabo's Pharmacy, Inc., et. al. v. Becton Dickinson & Company* (Case No. 2:05-CV-00162, U.S. District Court, Greenville, Tennessee), filed on June 7, 2005; *Drug Mart Tallman, Inc., et. al. v. Becton Dickinson and Company* (Case No. 2:06-CV-00174, U.S. District Court, Newark, New Jersey), filed on January 17, 2006; *Medstar v. Becton Dickinson* (Case No. 06-CV-03258-JLL (RJH), U.S. District Court, Newark, New Jersey), filed on May 18, 2006; and *The Hebrew Home for the Aged at Riverdale v. Becton Dickinson and Company* (Case No. 07-CV-2544, U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York), filed on March 28, 2007. A fifth purported class action on behalf of indirect purchasers *International Multiple Sclerosis Management Practice v. Becton Dickinson & Company* (Case No. 2:07-cv-10602, U.S. District Court, Newark, New Jersey), filed on April 5, 2007 was voluntarily withdrawn by the plaintiff.

The plaintiffs in each of the antitrust class action lawsuits seek monetary damages. All of the antitrust class action lawsuits have been consolidated for pre-trial purposes in a Multi-District Litigation (MDL) in Federal court in New Jersey.

On April 27, 2009, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the direct purchaser plaintiffs in these actions. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, which is subject to preliminary and final approval by the court following notice to potential class members, the Company will pay \$45,000 into a settlement fund in exchange for a release by all potential class members of the direct purchaser claims related to the products and acts enumerated in the Complaint, as well as a dismissal of the case with prejudice. The release would not cover potential class members that affirmatively opt out of the settlement. No settlement has been reached to date with the indirect purchaser plaintiffs in these cases, which will continue to the extent these cases relate to their claims. On May 7, 2009, certain indirect purchaser plaintiffs in the litigation, who are not parties to the settlement, filed a motion with the court seeking to enjoin the consummation of the settlement agreement on the grounds that, among other things, the court had not yet ruled on the issue of which plaintiffs have direct purchaser standing.

On June 6, 2006, UltiMed, Inc., a Minnesota company, filed suit against the Company in the U.S. District Court in Minneapolis, Minnesota (*UltiMed, Inc. v. Becton, Dickinson and Company* (06CV2266)). The plaintiff alleged, among other things, that the Company excluded the plaintiff

Table of Contents

from the market for home use insulin syringes by entering into anticompetitive contracts in violation of federal and state antitrust laws. The plaintiff sought money damages and injunctive relief. On January 6, 2009, the Company and UltiMed entered into a settlement agreement for this matter. Under the terms of the settlement, the Company paid \$750.

In June 2007, Retractable Technologies, Inc. (RTI) filed a complaint against the Company under the caption *Retractable Technologies, Inc. vs. Becton Dickinson and Company* (Civil Action No. 2:07-cv-250, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas). RTI alleges that the BD Integra™ syringes infringe patents licensed exclusively to RTI. In its complaint, RTI also alleges that the Company engaged in false advertising with respect to certain of the Company's safety-engineered products in violation of the Lanham Act; acted to exclude RTI from various product markets and to maintain its market share through, among other things, exclusionary contracts in violation of state and federal antitrust laws; and engaged in unfair competition. In January 2008, the court granted the Company's motion to sever the patent and non-patent claims into separate cases. The non-patent claims have been stayed, pending resolution of RTI's patent claims. The trial on the patent claims is currently scheduled to commence in October 2009. RTI seeks money damages and injunctive relief. On April 1, 2008, RTI filed a complaint against BD under the caption *Retractable Technologies, Inc. and Thomas J. Shaw v. Becton Dickinson and Company* (Civil Action No.2:08-cv-141, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Texas). RTI alleges that the BD Integra™ syringes infringe another patent licensed exclusively to RTI. RTI seeks money damages and injunctive relief. On August 29, 2008, the court ordered the consolidation of these two cases.

The Company, along with another manufacturer and several medical product distributors, is named as a defendant in two product liability lawsuits relating to healthcare workers who allegedly sustained accidental needlesticks, but have not become infected with any disease. Generally, these actions allege that healthcare workers have sustained needlesticks using hollow-bore needle devices manufactured by the Company and, as a result, require medical testing, counseling and/or treatment. In some cases, these actions additionally allege that the healthcare workers have sustained mental anguish. Plaintiffs seek money damages in all of these actions. The Company had previously been named as a defendant in nine similar suits relating to healthcare workers who allegedly sustained accidental needlesticks, each of which has either been dismissed with prejudice or voluntarily withdrawn. Regarding the two pending suits:

In Ohio, *Grant vs. Becton Dickinson et al.* (Case No. 98CVB075616, Franklin County Court), on September 21, 2006, the Ohio Court of Appeals reversed the trial court's grant of class certification. The matter had been remanded to the trial court for a determination of whether the class can be redefined. On March 6, 2009, the Company and the plaintiff entered into a settlement agreement, pursuant to which the Company paid \$600.

In South Carolina, a suit has been filed on behalf of an unspecified number of healthcare workers seeking class action certification in state court under the caption *Bales vs. Becton Dickinson et. al.* (Case No. 98-CP-40- 4343, Richland County Court of Common Pleas), filed on November 25, 1998. The Company continues to oppose class action certification in this case, including pursuing all appropriate rights of appeal.

The Company, along with a number of other manufacturers, was named as a defendant in approximately 524 product liability lawsuits in various state and Federal courts related to natural

Table of Contents

rubber latex gloves which the Company ceased manufacturing in 1995. Cases pending in Federal court are being coordinated under the matter *In re Latex Gloves Products Liability Litigation* (MDL Docket No. 1148) in Philadelphia, and analogous procedures have been implemented in the state courts of California, Pennsylvania, New Jersey and New York. Generally, these actions allege that medical personnel have suffered allergic reactions ranging from skin irritation to anaphylaxis as a result of exposure to medical gloves containing natural rubber latex. Since the inception of this litigation, 468 of these cases have been closed with no liability to the Company, and 46 cases have been settled for an aggregate de minimis amount.

On May 28, 2004, Therasense, Inc. (Therasense) filed suit against the Company (*Therasense, Inc. and Abbott Laboratories v. Nova Biomedical Corporation and Becton, Dickinson and Company* (Case Number: C 04-02123 WDA, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California)) asserting that the Company's blood glucose monitoring products (a product line no longer sold by the Company) infringe four Therasense patents and seeking money damages. On August 10, 2004, in response to a motion filed by Therasense in the U.S. District Court for the District of Massachusetts, the court transferred to the court in California an action previously filed by the Company against Therasense requesting a declaratory judgment that the Company's products do not infringe the Therasense patents and that the Therasense patents are invalid. On April 4, 2008, the Court granted the Company summary judgment with respect to two of the patents asserted against the Company, finding no infringement by the Company. On June 24, 2008, the Court ruled that a third patent asserted against the Company was invalid and unenforceable. On August 8, 2008, a jury delivered a verdict in the Company's favor, finding that the last of the four patents asserted against the Company was invalid. The plaintiffs have appealed these decisions.

On September 19, 2007, the Company was served with a qui tam complaint filed by a private party against the Company in the United States District Court, Northern District of Texas, alleging violations of the Federal False Claims Act (FCA) and the Texas False Claims Act (the TFCA) (*U.S. ex rel Fitzgerald v. BD et al.* (Civil Action No. 3:03-CV-1589, U.S. District Court, Northern District of Texas)). The suit alleges that a group purchasing organization's practices with its suppliers, including the Company, inflated the costs of healthcare reimbursement. Under the FCA, the United States Department of Justice, Civil Division has a certain period of time in which to decide whether to join the claim against the Company as an additional plaintiff; if it does not, the private plaintiff is free to pursue the claim on its own. A similar process is followed under the TFCA. To the Company's knowledge, no decision has yet been made by the Civil Division or the State of Texas whether to join this claim. In September 2008, the Court dismissed certain of the plaintiff's claims, but denied the Company's motion to dismiss with respect to other claims. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to each of the above-mentioned suits pending against the Company and is engaged in a vigorous defense of each of these matters.

The Company is also involved both as a plaintiff and a defendant in other legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business.

The Company is a party to a number of Federal proceedings in the United States brought under the Comprehensive Environment Response, Compensation and Liability Act, also known as Superfund, and similar state laws. The affected sites are in varying stages of development. In

Table of Contents

some instances, the remedy has been completed, while in others, environmental studies are commencing. For all sites, there are other potentially responsible parties that may be jointly or severally liable to pay all cleanup costs. Given the uncertain nature of litigation generally, the Company is not able in all cases to estimate the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of the litigation to which the Company is a party. In accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, the Company establishes accruals to the extent probable future losses are estimable (in the case of environmental matters, without considering possible third-party recoveries). In view of the uncertainties discussed above, the Company could incur charges in excess of any currently established accruals and, to the extent available, excess liability insurance. In the opinion of management, any such future charges, individually or in the aggregate, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated results of operations and consolidated cash flows.

Table of Contents**Note 6 Segment Data**

The Company's organizational structure is based upon its three principal business segments: BD Medical (Medical), BD Diagnostics (Diagnostics), and BD Biosciences (Biosciences). The Company evaluates segment performance based upon operating income. Segment operating income represents revenues reduced by product costs and operating expenses. The Company hedges against certain forecasted sales of U.S.-produced products sold outside the United States. Gains and losses associated with these foreign currency translation hedges are reported in segment revenues based upon their proportionate share of these international sales of U.S.-produced products. Financial information for the Company's segments was as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Revenues (A)				
Medical	\$ 968,671	\$ 998,148	\$ 2,725,347	\$ 2,790,439
Diagnostics	566,379	553,422	1,646,211	1,606,745
Biosciences	285,205	297,769	891,583	865,602
	\$ 1,820,255	\$ 1,849,339	\$ 5,263,141	\$ 5,262,786
Segment Operating Income				
Medical	\$ 303,663	\$ 283,904	\$ 811,111	\$ 791,088
Diagnostics	154,836	135,443	450,637	387,744
Biosciences	76,176	82,832	268,012	245,501
Total Segment Operating Income	534,675	502,179	1,529,760	1,424,333
Unallocated Items (B)	(105,680)	(93,309)	(327,430)(C)	(273,107)
Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Taxes	\$ 428,995	\$ 408,870	\$ 1,202,330	\$ 1,151,226

(A) *Intersegment revenues are not material.*

(B) *Includes primarily interest, net; foreign exchange; corporate expenses; and share-based compensation expense.*

(C) *Includes charge associated with*

*the pending
settlement with
the direct
purchaser
plaintiffs (which
includes BD's
distributors) in
certain antitrust
class actions.*

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Revenues by Organizational Units				
BD Medical				
Medical Surgical Systems	\$ 498,872	\$ 516,024	\$ 1,451,954	\$ 1,495,553
Diabetes Care	185,851	181,713	534,249	519,314
Pharmaceutical Systems	263,963	279,471	679,895	715,851
Ophthalmic Systems	19,985	20,940	59,249	59,721
	\$ 968,671	\$ 998,148	\$ 2,725,347	\$ 2,790,439
BD Diagnostics				
Preanalytical Systems	\$ 292,187	\$ 290,761	\$ 848,806	\$ 836,422
Diagnostic Systems	274,192	262,661	797,405	770,323
	\$ 566,379	\$ 553,422	\$ 1,646,211	\$ 1,606,745
BD Biosciences				
Cell Analysis	\$ 209,769	\$ 222,075	\$ 670,283	\$ 646,909
Discovery Labware	75,436	75,694	221,300	218,693
	\$ 285,205	\$ 297,769	\$ 891,583	\$ 865,602
	\$ 1,820,255	\$ 1,849,339	\$ 5,263,141	\$ 5,262,786

Table of Contents**Note 7 Share-Based Compensation**

The Company grants share-based awards under the 2004 Employee and Director Equity-Based Compensation Plan (the 2004 Plan), which provides long-term incentive compensation to employees and directors. The Company believes such awards align the interests of its employees and directors with those of its shareholders.

The fair value of share-based payments is recognized as compensation expense in net income. For the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, compensation expense charged to income was \$22,514 and \$22,233, respectively. For the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, compensation expense was \$78,984 and \$80,526, respectively.

Share-based compensation attributable to discontinued operations was not material.

The amount of unrecognized compensation expense for all non-vested share-based awards as of June 30, 2009 was approximately \$129,980, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average remaining life of approximately 2.1 years.

The fair values of stock appreciation rights granted during the annual share-based grants in November of 2008 and 2007, respectively, were estimated on the date of grant using a lattice-based binomial valuation model based on the following assumptions: risk-free interest rates of 2.73% and 3.83%, respectively; expected volatility of 28% and 27%, respectively; expected dividend yield of 2.11% and 1.35%, respectively; and expected life of 6.5 years for both periods.

Note 8 Benefit Plans

The Company has defined benefit pension plans covering substantially all of its employees in the United States and certain foreign locations. The Company also provides certain postretirement healthcare and life insurance benefits to qualifying domestic retirees. Other postretirement benefit plans in foreign countries are not material.

Net pension and postretirement cost included the following components for the three months ended June 30:

	Pension Plans		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Service cost	\$ 13,035	\$ 16,744	\$ 865	\$ 1,162
Interest cost	21,293	20,651	3,808	3,727
Expected return on plan assets	(20,646)	(24,635)		
Amortization of prior service cost	(279)	(288)	(116)	(1,558)
Amortization of loss (gain)	4,297	2,017	(36)	989
Net pension and postretirement cost	\$ 17,700	\$ 14,489	\$ 4,521	\$ 4,320

Table of Contents

Net pension and postretirement cost included the following components for the nine months ended June 30:

	Pension Plans		Other Postretirement Benefits	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Service cost	\$ 39,363	\$ 49,900	\$ 2,591	\$ 3,487
Interest cost	64,299	61,542	11,423	11,180
Expected return on plan assets	(62,348)	(73,415)		
Amortization of prior service cost	(841)	(858)	(347)	(4,674)
Amortization of loss (gain)	12,978	6,009	(109)	3,022
Settlements		746		
Net pension and postretirement cost	\$ 53,451	\$ 43,924	\$ 13,558	\$ 13,015

Postemployment benefit costs for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 were \$4,502 and \$5,941, respectively. For the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, postemployment benefit costs were \$13,505 and \$17,823, respectively.

Note 9 Divestitures

On July 8, 2009, the Company sold certain assets and liabilities related to the elastics and thermometer components of the Home Healthcare product line of the Medical segment to 3M Company for \$51,022, subject to post-closing adjustments. Concurrent with the sale, the Company intends to exit the remaining portion of the Home Healthcare product line. The results of operations associated with the Home Healthcare product line are reported as discontinued operations for all periods presented in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income. The assets and liabilities relating to the Home Healthcare product line sale are reported separately as Assets held for sale and Liabilities held for sale, respectively, in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2009. Assets held for sale included the following at June 30, 2009:

Inventory	\$ 15,265
Other current assets	7,592
Property, plant and equipment	1,374
Other intangibles, net	6,594
Other assets	31
Assets held for sale	\$ 30,856

Liabilities held for sale at June 30, 2009 include Current liabilities of \$4,405.

Table of Contents

Results of discontinued operations were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Revenues	\$ 20,798	\$ 18,687	\$ 52,214	\$ 61,895
Income from discontinued operations before income taxes	2,537	833	8,767	9,280
Less income tax provision (benefit)	214	(261)	1,681	1,364
Income from discontinued operations, net (A)	\$ 2,323	\$ 1,094	\$ 7,086	\$ 7,916

(A) For the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, includes \$(170) and \$(320), respectively associated with the blood glucose monitoring (BGM) product line sold in 2006. For the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, includes amounts associated with BGM of \$(207) and \$880, respectively.

Note 10 Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consisted of:

	June 30, 2009		September 30, 2008	
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Amortized intangible assets				
Core and developed technology	\$ 515,033	\$ 209,392	\$ 548,974	\$ 200,443
Patents, trademarks, and other	312,117	222,074	297,321	216,697

Edgar Filing: BECTON DICKINSON & CO - Form 10-Q

\$ 827,150 \$ 431,466 \$ 846,295 \$ 417,140

Unamortized intangible assets

Trademarks

\$ 9,023

\$ 9,051

Intangible amortization expense for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$11,946 and \$12,941, respectively. Intangible amortization expense for the nine months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$35,193 and \$37,905, respectively.

17

Table of Contents

Note 11 Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

Risk Exposures and Hedging Strategies

The Company adopted SFAS No. 161 on March 31, 2009. The Statement amends and expands the previous disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 133. SFAS No. 161 requires qualitative disclosures regarding how and why an entity uses derivative instruments as well as how these instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and its related interpretations. Entities are also required to provide tabular disclosures that quantify the effects derivative instruments and hedged items have on financial position, financial performance, and cash flows.

The Company is exposed to foreign currency exchange risk, interest rate risk and commodity price risk relating to its ongoing business operations. The Company has foreign currency exposures throughout Europe, Asia-Pacific, Canada, Japan and Latin America. Transactional currency exposures that arise from entering into transactions, generally on an intercompany basis, in non-hyperinflationary countries that are denominated in currencies other than the functional currency are mitigated primarily through the use of forward contracts and currency options. Hedges of the transactional foreign exchange exposures resulting primarily from intercompany payables and receivables do not qualify for hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133.

Currency exposure that arises from translating the worldwide results of operations, specifically sales, to the U.S. dollar at exchange rates that have fluctuated from the beginning of a reporting period are partially hedged using option and forward contracts. In accordance with SFAS No. 133, the Company designates forward and option contracts utilized to hedge these forecasted sales denominated in foreign currencies as cash flow hedges. The Company's option contracts expired in fiscal year 2008 and only forward contracts have been utilized to hedge forecasted sales for fiscal years 2009 and 2010.

The Company's primary interest rate exposure results from changes in short-term U.S. dollar interest rates. The Company's policy is to manage interest cost using a mix of fixed and floating rate debt and it manages debt and interest-bearing investments in tandem, since these items have an offsetting impact on interest rate exposure. The Company periodically utilizes interest rate swaps to maintain a balance between fixed and floating rate instruments. Under these interest rate swaps, the Company exchanges, at specified intervals, the difference between fixed and floating interest amounts calculated by reference to an agreed-upon notional principal amount. An interest rate swap currently maintained by the Company to hedge interest costs is designated as a fair value hedge under SFAS No. 133. The Company also manages risks associated with certain forecasted commodity purchases by using forward contracts. The Company has currently designated a commodity forward contract as a cash flow hedge of forecasted purchases of polyethylene.

Table of Contents*Cash Flow Hedging Strategy*

The Company hedges forecasted sales denominated in foreign currencies using forward and option contracts to protect against the reduction in value of forecasted foreign currency cash flows resulting from export sales. The Company's hedging program has been designed to offset movements of the U.S. dollar against other foreign currencies and the resulting changes in the present value of future foreign currency revenue, by either gains or losses in the fair value of foreign currency derivative contracts. The Company has also entered into a forward contract on ethane to manage the price risk associated with forecasted purchases of polyethylene used in the Company's manufacturing process. The objective of this hedge is to reduce the variability of cash flows associated with the forecasted purchases of polyethylene.

Changes in the effective portion of the fair value of the Company's forward and option contracts that are designated and qualify as cash flow hedges (i.e., hedging the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows that is attributable to a particular risk) are included in Other comprehensive income (loss) until the hedged transactions are reclassified in earnings. Once the hedged transaction occurs, the gain or loss on the contract is recognized from Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) to the same line associated with the forecasted transaction (e.g., in Revenues when the hedged transactions are forecasted sales denominated in foreign currencies, in Cost of products sold when hedged transactions are forecasted commodity purchases). The Company records the premium or discount of the forward contracts, which is included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness, to Revenues. At June 30, 2009, the Company expects to reclassify \$11,400, net of tax, of net losses on foreign currency exchange instruments from Accumulated other comprehensive income to earnings during the next 12 months due to actual and forecasted export sales. This net loss is comprised of a gain of \$8,271, net of tax, which will be reclassified to earnings in the fourth quarter of 2009, and a loss of \$19,671, net of tax, which will be reclassified to earnings in the first nine months of 2010. At June 30, 2009, the expected reclassification of net losses on the ethane forward contract from Accumulated other comprehensive income to Cost of products sold during the next 12 months is \$60.

The Company's policy is to manage interest cost using a mix of fixed and floating rate debt. Changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges (i.e., hedging the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows that is attributable to a particular risk) under SFAS No. 133 are offset by amounts recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). If interest rate derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are terminated, the balance in other comprehensive income (loss) attributable to those derivatives is reclassified into earnings over the remaining life of the hedged debt. The Company currently has no active or live interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133. The amounts, related to terminated interest rate swaps, that will be reclassified and recorded in Interest expense within the next 12 months is \$1,235, net of tax.

Fair Value Hedging Strategy

For interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges (i.e., hedges against the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset or a liability or an identified portion thereof that is attributable to a particular risk), changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps offset changes in the fair value of the fixed rate debt due to changes in market interest rates. The Company currently has one active or live interest rate swap that is classified as a fair value hedge under SFAS No. 133.

Table of Contents*Volume of Derivative Activity*

The total notional amount of the Company's outstanding foreign exchange contracts as of June 30, 2009 was \$2,026,243. As of June 30, 2009, the notional amount of the Company's commodity contract was 824,000 gallons of ethane. As of June 30, 2009 the total notional amount of the Company's outstanding interest rate swaps was \$200,000.

Risk Exposures Not Hedged

The Company purchases resins, which are oil-based components used in the manufacture of certain products. While the Company has been able to hedge certain purchases of polyethylene, the Company does not currently utilize any hedges to manage the risk exposures related to other resins. Significant increases in world oil prices that lead to increases in resin purchase costs could impact future operating results.

Effects on Consolidated Balance Sheets

The location and amounts of derivative instrument fair values in the consolidated balance sheet are segregated below between designated, qualifying SFAS No. 133 hedging instruments and ones that are not designated under SFAS No. 133 for hedge accounting.

	June 30, 2009 Fair Value	September 30, 2008 Fair Value
Asset derivatives-designated under SFAS No. 133		
Forward exchange contracts	\$ 14,480	\$ 61,906
Interest rate swap	2,477	5,372
Total asset derivatives-designated under SFAS No. 133	\$ 16,957	\$ 67,278
Asset derivatives-undesignated under SFAS No. 133		
Forward exchange contracts	\$ 11,476	\$ 16,431
Total asset derivatives (A)	\$ 28,433	\$ 83,709
Liability derivatives-designated under SFAS No. 133		
Forward exchange contracts	\$ 38,418	\$ 961
Commodity forward contracts	40	
Total liability derivatives-designated under SFAS No. 133	\$ 38,458	\$ 961
Liability derivatives-undesignated under SFAS No. 133		
Forward exchange contracts	\$ 12,950	\$ 28,686
Total liability derivatives (B)	\$ 51,408	\$ 29,647

(A) All asset derivatives are included in

*Prepaid
expenses,
deferred taxes
and other.*

*(B) All liability
derivatives are
included in
Payables and
accrued
expenses.*

Table of ContentsEffects onConsolidatedStatements of IncomeCash flow hedges

The location and amount of gains and losses on designated, qualifying SFAS No. 133 derivative instruments recognized in the consolidated statement of income for the three months ended June 30 consisted of:

Derivatives in SFAS No. 133 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives Three Months Ended June 30,		Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008		2009	2008
Forward exchange contracts	\$ (43,759)	\$ 5,319	Revenues	\$ 27,766	\$
Currency options		1,312	Revenues		(2,874)
Interest rate swaps	274	273	Interest expense	274	273
Commodity forward contracts	155		Cost of products sold	(107)	
Total	\$ (43,330)	\$ 6,904		\$ 27,933	\$ (2,601)

The location and amount of gains and losses on designated, qualifying SFAS No. 133 derivative instruments recognized in the consolidated statement of income for the nine months ended June 30 consisted of:

Derivatives in SFAS No. 133 Cash Flow Hedging Relationships	Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivatives Nine Months Ended June 30,		Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income	Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008		2009	2008
Forward exchange contracts	\$ (49,187)	\$ 5,319	Revenues	\$ 93,567	\$
Currency options		2,559	Revenues		(7,287)
Interest rate swaps	820	818	Interest expense	820	818
Commodity forward contracts	(60)		Cost of products sold	(169)	
Total	\$ (48,427)	\$ 8,696		\$ 94,218	\$ (6,469)

The Company's designated SFAS No. 133 derivative instruments are perfectly effective. As such, there were no gains or losses, related to hedge ineffectiveness or amounts excluded from hedge effectiveness testing, recognized immediately in income for the three-month and nine-month periods ending June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents*Fair value hedge*

The location and amount of gains or losses on the hedged fixed rate debt attributable to changes in the market interest rates and the offsetting gain (loss) on the related interest rate swap are as follows:

Income Statement Classification	Gain/(Loss) on Swap				Gain/(Loss) on Borrowings			
	Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,		Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008	2009	2008
Other (expense) income (A)	\$ (2,105)	\$ (4,900)	\$ (2,896)	\$ (159)	\$ 2,105	\$ 4,900	\$ 2,896	\$ 159

(A) *Changes in the fair value of the interest rate swap offset changes in the fair value of the fixed rate debt due to changes in market interest rates. There was no hedge ineffectiveness relating to this interest rate swap.*

Undesignated hedges

The location and amount of gains and losses recognized in income on derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133 were as follows:

Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments Under SFAS No. 133	Location of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivatives	Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative			
		Three Months Ended June 30,		Nine Months Ended June 30,	
		2009	2008	2009	2008
Forward exchange contracts (B)	Other (expense) income	\$ (21,868)	\$ 14,505	\$ 3,007	\$ 35,004

(B) *The gains and losses on forward contracts and currency options utilized to hedge the intercompany transactional foreign exchange*

*exposures are
largely offset by
gains and losses
on the underlying
hedged items in
Other
(expense) income.*

Note 12 Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurements

The Company adopted SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and liabilities on October 1, 2008. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 define fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. SFAS No. 157 requires the categorization of assets and liabilities within a three-level hierarchy based upon inputs used in measuring fair value. The Company adopted FSP 107-1 on June 30, 2009. This FSP amends SFAS No. 107 to require disclosure about fair value of financial instruments, including those not recognized on the statement of financial position at fair value, for interim reporting periods as well as in annual financial statements.

Table of Contents

The fair values of financial instruments carried at June 30, 2009 are classified in accordance with the SFAS No. 157 fair value hierarchy in the table below:

	Carrying Value	Basis of Fair Value Measurement		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets				
Cash and equivalents	\$ 573,259	\$ 573,259	\$	\$
Forward exchange contracts	25,956		25,956	
Interest rate swap	2,477		2,477	
Equity securities	98	98		
Total Assets	\$ 601,790	\$ 573,357	\$ 28,433	\$
Liabilities				
Forward exchange contracts	\$ 51,368	\$	\$ 51,368	\$
Commodity forward contracts	40		40	
Long-term debt	1,483,560		1,552,598	
Total Liabilities	\$ 1,534,968	\$	\$ 1,604,006	\$

The Company's cash and equivalents include money market fund balances. The fair values of these investments are based upon the quoted prices provided by the holding financial institutions. The Company's remaining cash equivalents and short-term investments are carried at cost, which approximates fair value. The Company measures the fair value of forward exchange contracts and currency options based upon observable inputs, specifically spot currency rates and forward currency prices for similar assets and liabilities. The fair value of forward commodity contracts and interest rate swaps are provided by the financial institutions that are counterparties to these arrangements. Equity securities are valued using unadjusted quoted prices from active markets, such as stock exchanges, with frequent transactions and sufficient volume to provide pricing on an ongoing basis. The fair value of long-term debt is based upon quoted prices in active markets for similar instruments.

In May 2009, the Company issued \$500,000 of 10-year 5% notes and \$250,000 of 30-year 6% notes. The net proceeds from these issuances are expected to be used for the repayment of \$200,000 in 7.15% notes, due October 1, 2009, and for general corporate purposes.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Company Overview

Becton, Dickinson and Company ("BD" or the "Company") is a medical technology company engaged principally in the development, manufacture and sale of a broad range of medical supplies, devices, laboratory equipment and diagnostic products used by healthcare institutions, life science researchers, clinical laboratories, industry and the general public. Our business consists of three worldwide business segments – BD Medical ("Medical"), BD Diagnostics ("Diagnostics") and BD Biosciences ("Biosciences"). Our products are marketed in the United States and internationally through independent distribution channels and directly to end-users by BD and independent sales representatives.

Financial Results

BD reported third quarter revenues of \$1.820 billion, representing a decrease of 1.6% from the same period a year ago, which reflected volume increases of approximately 4.3%, price increases of approximately 0.7%, and net unfavorable foreign currency translation of approximately 6.6%, after factoring in hedge gains. Our reported revenues reflect the effect current economic conditions are having on customer demand in certain areas of our business. Sales in the United States of safety-engineered devices in the third quarter of 2009 were \$273 million, representing a 4.5% increase from the prior year's period. International sales of safety-engineered devices of \$149 million in the third quarter of 2009 grew 4% above such sales in the prior year's period, and included an estimated 14% unfavorable impact due to foreign currency translation. Overall, third quarter international revenues were \$1.015 billion, representing a decrease of 5% as compared to the prior year's period, and included an estimated 11% unfavorable impact due to foreign currency translation, net of hedge gains.

Comparisons of income from continuing operations between 2009 and 2008 are affected by the following significant items that are reflected in our 2009 financial results:

During the third quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company recorded a tax benefit of \$20 million, or 8 cents diluted earnings per share from continuing operations for the three-month period, relating to various tax settlements in multiple jurisdictions.

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company recorded a charge of \$45 million, or 11 cents diluted earnings per share from continuing operations for the nine-month period, associated with the pending settlement with the direct purchaser plaintiffs (which includes BD's distributors) in certain antitrust class actions. Further discussion of these class actions is provided in Note 5 in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

As further discussed in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, we face currency exposure that arises from translating the results of our worldwide operations to the U.S. dollar at exchange rates that fluctuate from the beginning of the period. We purchase forward contracts to partially protect against adverse foreign exchange rate movements. Gains or losses on our derivative instruments are largely offset by the gains or losses on the underlying hedged transactions.

We do not enter into derivative instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Table of Contents

During the first nine months of fiscal year 2009, the U.S. dollar has strengthened against most foreign currencies, primarily the Euro, compared to rates from fiscal year 2008. The resulting unfavorable impact of foreign currency translation on revenues in the first nine months of 2009 was mitigated to an extent by hedge gains, recorded in revenues, resulting from our hedging activities. For further discussion refer to Note 11 in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. In addition, the strengthening of the U.S. dollar during the first quarter of 2009 reduced the carrying value of inventory sold outside the United States, resulting in lower cost of goods sold in the first quarter of 2009, which had a favorable impact on gross profit margin for the nine-month period reported. Our financial projections for 2009 discussed below are based on our foreign exchange rate assumptions. Further fluctuations in foreign exchange rates during 2009 could impact our financial results.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Revenues

Refer to Note 6 in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for segment financial data.

Medical Segment

Third quarter revenues of \$969 million represented a decrease of \$29 million, or 3%, over the prior year's quarter, including an estimated \$81 million, or 8%, unfavorable impact due to foreign currency translation, net of hedge gains. Worldwide sales growth was primarily due to sales of insulin delivery products, as well as safety-engineered and prefillable devices. Global sales of safety-engineered products were \$199 million, as compared with \$191 million in the prior year's quarter, and included a \$7 million unfavorable impact due to foreign currency translation. For the nine-month period ended June 30, 2009, global sales of safety-engineered products were \$575 million, as compared with \$557 million in the prior year's period, and included a \$16 million unfavorable impact due to foreign currency translation. Total BD Medical Segment revenues for the nine-month period ended June 30, 2009 decreased by 2% from the prior year's nine-month period, including a 6% unfavorable impact from foreign currency translation, net of hedge gains.

Diagnostics Segment

Third quarter revenues of \$566 million represented an increase of \$13 million, or 2%, over the prior year's quarter, including an estimated \$33 million, or 6%, unfavorable impact due to foreign currency translation, net of hedge gains. Global sales of safety-engineered products in the Preanalytical Systems unit totaled \$223 million, compared with \$213 million in the prior year's quarter, and included a \$13 million unfavorable impact due to foreign currency translation. Sales of safety-engineered devices, cancer diagnostics products and infectious disease testing systems, including flu-related products, contributed to revenue growth. For the nine-month period ended June 30, 2009, global sales of safety-engineered products in the Preanalytical Systems unit were \$642 million as compared with \$609 million in the prior year's period, and included a \$28 million unfavorable impact due to foreign currency translation. Total BD Diagnostics Segment revenues for the nine-month period ended June 30, 2009 increased by 2.5% from the prior year's nine-month period, including a 4% unfavorable impact from foreign currency translation, net of hedge gains.

Biosciences Segment

Third quarter revenues of \$285 million represented a decrease of \$13 million, or 4%, compared to the prior year's quarter, including an estimated \$9 million, or 3%, unfavorable impact due to foreign currency translation, net of hedge gains. Demand in the U.S. for capital equipment in the research and clinical segments continued to be impacted by funding constraints. The Company

Table of Contents

also began to experience weakening demand for instruments in Japan and certain parts of Europe during the quarter. For the nine-month period ended June 30, 2009, total BD Biosciences Segment revenues increased by 3% from the prior year's period, including a 1% unfavorable impact from foreign currency translation, net of hedge gains. Biosciences Segment revenues reflect a larger portion of our hedge gains, as further discussed in Note 6 in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Segment Operating Income*Medical Segment*

Segment operating income for the third quarter was \$304 million, or 31.3% of Medical revenues, compared with \$284 million, or 28.4% of segment revenues, in the prior year's quarter. Gross profit margin was higher than the third quarter of 2008 primarily due to favorable foreign currency translation, including hedge gains, as well as favorable resin prices compared with the prior year's period, partially offset by increased manufacturing start-up costs. See further discussion on gross profit margin below. Selling and administrative expense as a percentage of Medical revenues in the third quarter of 2009 was lower than the comparable amount in the third quarter of 2008, due to continued spending controls. Segment operating income for the nine-month period was \$811 million, or 29.8% of Medical revenues, compared with \$791 million, or 28.3% in the prior year's period.

Diagnostics Segment

Segment operating income for the third quarter was \$155 million, or 27.3% of Diagnostics revenues, compared with \$135 million, or 24.5% of segment revenues in the prior year's quarter. Gross profit margin was higher than the third quarter of 2008 due to relatively higher sales of products with higher gross margins, the favorable impact of foreign currency translation, including hedge gains, and reduced start-up costs, which were partially offset by increased costs of raw materials. See further discussion on gross profit margin below. Selling and administrative expense as a percentage of Diagnostics revenues in the third quarter of 2009 was lower than the comparable amount in the third quarter of 2008, due to continued spending controls. Segment operating income for the nine-month period was \$451 million, or 27.4% of Diagnostics revenues compared with \$388 million, or 24.1% in the prior year's period.

Biosciences Segment

Segment operating income for the third quarter was \$76 million, or 26.7% of Biosciences revenues, compared with \$83 million, or 27.8% of segment revenues, in the prior year's quarter. Gross profit margin decreased primarily due to plant restructuring costs, higher instrument costs due to lower manufacturing volumes, the unfavorable impact of relatively higher sales of products with lower gross margins, and an asset impairment charge. See further discussion on gross profit margin below. Selling and administrative expense as a percentage of Biosciences revenues for the quarter decreased compared with the prior year's quarter, as a result of continued spending controls. Segment operating income for the nine-month period was \$268 million, or 30.1% of Biosciences revenues, compared with \$246 million, or 28.4% in the prior year's period.

Table of Contents**Gross Profit Margin**

Gross profit margin was 52.8% for the third quarter, compared with 51.0% for the comparable prior year period. Gross profit margin in the third quarter of 2009 as compared with the prior year's period reflected an estimated favorable impact of 110 basis points, from both foreign currency translation and the gains resulting from the hedging of certain foreign currencies, in particular the Euro, as previously discussed above under Overview of Financial Results. Favorable purchase prices for raw materials, substantially resins, also improved gross profit margin in the third quarter by 100 basis points. These favorable impacts were partially offset by approximately 30 basis points related to increased manufacturing start-up costs and the unfavorable impact of relatively higher sales of products with lower gross margins (in particular from the Biosciences segment). Gross profit margin in the nine-month period of 2009 of 52.8% compared with the prior year's period of 51.2% reflected an estimated favorable impact of 190 basis points from both foreign currency translation and the hedging of certain foreign currencies. Partially offsetting these gains were increases in manufacturing start-up costs and the unfavorable impact of relatively higher sales of products with lower gross margins, aggregating approximately 30 basis points. We expect gross profit margin to increase by about 120 to 170 basis points in 2009 compared with 2008.

Selling and Administrative Expense

Selling and administrative expense was 23.6% of revenues for the third quarter and 24.2% for the nine-month period, compared with 23.6% and 24.0%, respectively, for the prior year's periods. Aggregate expenses for the current period reflect a favorable foreign exchange impact of \$30 million, offset by increases in base spending of \$24 million.

Aggregate expenses for the nine-month period reflected the \$45 million litigation charge previously discussed and \$32 million of increased net core spending. These increases were partially offset by \$68 million of favorable foreign exchange impacts. On a reported basis, selling and administrative expense as a percentage of revenues is expected to decrease by about 10 to 40 basis points in 2009 compared with 2008.

Research and Development Expense

Research and development expense was \$98 million, or 5.4% of revenues, for the third quarter, which was relatively flat compared with the prior year's amount of \$100 million, or 5.4% of revenues. Research and development expense was \$294 million, or 5.6% of revenues, for the nine-month period in the current year, compared with the prior year's amount of \$287 million, or 5.5% of revenues. The nine-month increase in research and development expenditures reflects increased spending for new programs in each of our segments. We anticipate research and development expense to be 5.6% to 5.8% of revenues for 2009.

Non-Operating Expense and Income

Interest income was \$13 million in the third quarter, compared with \$11 million in the prior year's period. The increase resulted from investment gains on assets relating to our deferred compensation plan, partially offset by lower investment rates. The related offsetting changes in the deferred compensation liability were recorded in selling and administrative expenses. Interest income was \$19 million in the nine-month period, compared with \$32 million in the prior year's period. The decrease resulted primarily from lower investment rates. Interest expense was \$11 million in the third quarter compared with \$9 million in the prior year's period. The increase reflects higher levels of debt, offset by lower interest rates on floating rate debt.

Table of Contents

Interest expense of \$27 million in the nine-month period was relatively flat compared with the prior year's period. Other (expense) income was \$(4) million in the third quarter and \$(1) million in the nine-month period, compared with \$(1) million and \$.3 million, respectively, in the prior year's periods.

Income Taxes

The income tax rate was 21.0% for the third quarter, compared with the prior year's rate of 27.6% and reflected a 4.8% benefit due to various tax settlements in multiple jurisdictions. The nine-month tax rate was 24.5% compared with the prior year's rate of 27.3%. The Company expects the reported tax rate for fiscal year 2009 to be about 25.4%.

Income from Continuing Operations and Diluted Earnings Per Share from Continuing Operations

Income from continuing operations and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations for the third quarter of 2009 were \$339 million and \$1.38, respectively. Income from continuing operations and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations for the prior year's third quarter were \$296 million and \$1.18, respectively. For the nine-month periods, income from continuing operations and diluted earnings per share from continuing operations were \$907 million and \$3.67, respectively, in 2009 and \$837 million and \$3.31, respectively, in 2008. The tax benefit discussed above increased the current quarter and nine-month period's income from continuing operations by \$20 million, or 8 cents per share, respectively. The litigation charge decreased the nine-month period's income from continuing operations and diluted earnings from continuing operations by \$28 million, or 11 cents per share.

Discontinued Operations

On July 8, 2009, we sold the assets associated with the Home Healthcare product line. The results of operations of the Home Healthcare product line have been classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented in the Consolidated Statements of Income. An estimated gain on sale of 5 cents diluted earnings per share from discontinued operations will be recorded in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009. See Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash generated from operations, along with available cash and cash equivalents, is expected to be sufficient to fund our normal operating needs, including capital expenditures, cash dividends and common stock repurchases in 2009. Net cash provided by continuing operating activities was \$1.048 billion during the first nine months of 2009, compared with \$1.205 billion in the same period in 2008. The decrease in cash provided by changes in working capital primarily reflects higher inventory levels.

Net cash used for continuing investing activities for the first nine months of the current year was \$714 million, compared with \$570 million in the prior year period. The increase in cash used for capital software investments is primarily related to our enterprise-wide program to upgrade our business information systems. The increase in cash used for purchases of investments is related to the temporary investment of proceeds from the long-term debt issuances discussed below. Capital expenditures were \$354 million in the first nine months of 2009 and \$418 million in the same period in 2008. We expect capital spending for fiscal year 2009 to be about \$600 million.

Table of Contents

Net cash provided by continuing financing activities for the first nine months of the current year was \$162 million, compared with net cash used for financing activities of \$448 million in the prior year period. Net cash provided by continuing financing activities for the nine-month period included proceeds from long-term debt issuances, as discussed below. For the first nine months of the current year, the Company repurchased \$371 million of its common stock, compared with approximately \$354 million of its common stock in the prior year period. At June 30, 2009, authorization to repurchase an additional 10.3 million common shares was in effect.

As of June 30, 2009, total debt of \$1.9 billion represented 26.5% of total capital (shareholders' equity, net non-current deferred income tax liabilities, and debt), versus 18.8% at September 30, 2008. In May 2009, we issued \$500 million of 10-year 5% notes and \$250 million of 30-year 6% notes. The net proceeds from these issuances are expected to be used for the repayment of \$200 million in 7.15% notes, due October 1, 2009, and for general corporate purposes. Short-term debt increased to 21% of total debt at the end of June 30, 2009, from 17% at September 30, 2008.

We have in place a commercial paper borrowing program that is available to meet our short-term financing needs, including working capital requirements. Borrowings outstanding under this program were \$200 million at June 30, 2009. We have available a \$1 billion syndicated credit facility with an expiration date in December 2012. This credit facility, under which there were no borrowings outstanding at June 30, 2009, provides backup support for our commercial paper program and can also be used for other general corporate purposes. This credit facility includes a single financial covenant that requires BD to maintain an interest expense coverage ratio (ratio of earnings before income taxes, depreciation and amortization to interest expense) of not less than 5-to-1 for the most recent four consecutive fiscal quarters. On the last eight measurement dates, this ratio has ranged from 23-to-1 to 32-to-1. In addition, we have informal lines of credit outside the United States.

Greek Government Receivables

Accounts receivable balances at June 30, 2009 include sales to government-owned or supported healthcare facilities in Greece of approximately \$42 million, net of reserves which were increased in the third quarter of 2009. These sales are subject to significant payment delays due to government funding and reimbursement practices. We understand that this is an industry-wide issue for suppliers to these facilities. If significant changes occur in the availability of government funding, we may not be able to collect on amounts due from these customers. We do not expect this concentration of credit risk to have a material adverse impact on our financial position or liquidity.

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

BD and its representatives may from time-to-time make certain forward-looking statements in publicly-released material, both written and oral, including statements contained in this report and filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and in our other reports to shareholders. Forward-looking statements may be identified by the use of words like plan, expect, believe, intend, will, anticipate, estimate and other words of similar conjunction with, among other things, discussions of future operations and financial performance, as well as our strategy for growth, product development, regulatory approvals, market position and expenditures. All statements that address operating performance or events or developments that we expect or anticipate will occur in the future including statements

Table of Contents

relating to volume growth, sales and earnings per share growth, and statements expressing views about future operating results are forward-looking.

Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations of future events. The forward-looking statements are, and will be, based on management's then-current views and assumptions regarding future events and operating performance, and speak only as of their dates. Investors should realize that if underlying assumptions prove inaccurate or unknown risks or uncertainties materialize, actual results could vary materially from our expectations and projections. Investors are therefore cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. Furthermore, we undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information, future events and developments or otherwise.

The following are some important factors that could cause our actual results to differ from our expectations in any forward-looking statements. The Risk Factors included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the 2008 fiscal year and Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009 also describe certain risks that could adversely affect our business, financial condition, operating results or cash flows.

The current economic downturn and continued instability in the global financial markets and the potential adverse effect on liquidity and capital resources for BD or its customers and suppliers, the cost of operating our business, the demand for our products and services, or the ability to produce our products. This includes the impact on developing countries and their demand for our products.

Regional, national and foreign economic factors, including inflation, deflation and fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates and the potential effect of such fluctuations on revenues, expenses and resulting margins, as well as competition in certain markets.

Fluctuations in the cost and availability of oil-based resins and other raw materials, as well as certain sub-assemblies and finished goods, and the ability to maintain favorable supplier arrangements and relationships (particularly with respect to sole-source suppliers) and the potential adverse effects of any disruption in the availability of such items.

We operate in a highly competitive environment. New product introductions by our current or future competitors (for example, new forms of drug delivery) could adversely affect our ability to compete in the global market. Patents attained by competitors, particularly as patents on our products expire, may also adversely impact our competitive position. Certain competitors have established manufacturing sites or have contracted with suppliers in low-cost manufacturing locations as a means to lower their costs. New entrants may also appear.

We sell certain products to pharmaceutical companies that are used to manufacture, or are sold with, products by such companies. As a result, fluctuations in demand for the products of these pharmaceutical companies could adversely affect our operating results.

Changes in domestic and foreign healthcare industry practices and regulations resulting in increased pricing pressures, including the continued consolidation among healthcare

Table of Contents

providers; trends toward managed care and healthcare cost containment; and government laws and regulations relating to sales and promotion, reimbursement and pricing generally.

The effects, if any, of governmental and media activities regarding the business practices of group purchasing organizations, which negotiate product prices on behalf of their member hospitals with BD and other suppliers.

Our ability to obtain the anticipated benefits of restructuring programs, if any, that we may undertake.

Our ability to implement the upgrade of our enterprise resource planning system. Any delays or deficiencies in the design and implementation of our upgrade could adversely affect our business.

Adoption of, or changes in, government laws and regulations affecting domestic and foreign operations, including those relating to trade, monetary and fiscal policies, taxation (including tax reforms proposed by the Obama administration that could adversely impact multinational corporations), environmental matters, sales practices, price controls, licensing and regulatory approval of new products, regulatory requirements for products in the postmarketing phase, or changes in enforcement practices with respect to any such laws and regulations. In particular, environmental laws, particularly with respect to the emission of greenhouse gases, are becoming more stringent throughout the world, which may increase our costs of operations or necessitate changes in our manufacturing plants or processes.

Fluctuations in U.S. and international governmental funding and policies for life sciences research.

Difficulties inherent in product development, including the potential inability to successfully continue technological innovation, complete clinical trials, obtain regulatory approvals in the United States and abroad, obtain coverage and adequate reimbursement for new products, or gain and maintain market approval of products, as well as the possibility of encountering infringement claims by competitors with respect to patent or other intellectual property rights, all of which can preclude or delay commercialization of a product.

Pending and potential litigation or other proceedings adverse to BD, including antitrust claims, product liability claims, patent infringement claims, and the availability or collectibility of insurance relating to any such claims.

The effects, if any, of adverse media exposure or other publicity regarding BD's business or operations.

Our ability to achieve the projected level or mix of product sales. Our earnings forecasts are generated based on such projected volumes and sales of many product types, some of which are more profitable than others.

The effect of market fluctuations on the value of assets in BD's pension plans and the possibility that BD may need to make additional contributions to the plans as a result of any decline in the value of such assets.

Table of Contents

Our ability to effect infrastructure enhancements and incorporate new systems technologies into our operations.

Product efficacy or safety concerns resulting in product recalls, regulatory action on the part of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (or foreign counterparts) or declining sales.

Political conditions in international markets, including civil unrest, terrorist activity, governmental changes, restrictions on the ability to transfer capital across borders and expropriation of assets by a government.

The effects of natural disasters, including the current influenza pandemic and other pandemic diseases, earthquakes, fire, or the effects of climate change on our ability to manufacture our products, particularly where production of a product line is concentrated in one or more plants, or on our ability to source components from suppliers that are needed for such manufacturing.

Our ability to penetrate developing and emerging markets, which also depends on economic and political conditions, and how well we are able to acquire or form strategic business alliances with local companies and make necessary infrastructure enhancements to production facilities, distribution networks, sales equipment and technology.

The impact of business combinations, including acquisitions and divestitures, both internally on BD and externally on the healthcare industry.

Issuance of new or revised accounting standards by the Financial Accounting Standards Board or the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The foregoing list sets forth many, but not all, of the factors that could impact our ability to achieve results described in any forward-looking statements. Investors should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all such factors and should not consider this list to be a complete statement of all potential risks and uncertainties.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no material changes in information reported since the end of the fiscal year ended September 30, 2008.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

An evaluation was carried out by BD's management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of BD's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of June 30, 2009. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures were, as of the end of the period covered by this report, effective. There were no other changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are involved, both as a plaintiff and a defendant, in various legal proceedings which arise in the ordinary course of business, including product liability and environmental matters as set forth in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Since March 31, 2009, the following developments have occurred with respect to the legal proceedings in which we are involved:

Antitrust Class Actions

As previously reported, BD has entered into a settlement agreement, subject to court approval, with the direct purchaser plaintiffs in the matter of *In re Hypodermic Products Antitrust Litigation*. On May 7, 2009, certain indirect purchaser plaintiffs in that litigation, who are not parties to the settlement, filed a motion with the court seeking to enjoin the consummation of that settlement agreement on the ground that, among other things, the court had not yet ruled on the issue of which plaintiffs have direct purchaser standing. The settlement requires court approval, as we previously reported, and the settlement agreement expressly provides for the court to rule on the issue of direct purchaser standing as a precondition to approval.

Oil-for-Food Programme

As was previously reported, Becton Dickinson France, S.A., a subsidiary of BD, was listed among approximately 2,200 other companies in an October 2005 report of the Independent Inquiry Committee (IIC) of the United Nations (UN) as having been involved in humanitarian contracts in which unauthorized payments were suspected of having been made to the Iraqi Government in connection with the UN 's Oil-for-Food Programme (the Programme). BD conducted an internal review and found no evidence that BD or any BD employee or representative of BD made, authorized, or approved improper payments to the Iraqi Government in connection with the Programme. In June 2009, the Belgian Federal Police interviewed a BD employee at the BD office in Belgium from where sales to Iraq under the Programme were processed and requested certain documentation relating to sales under the Programme.

Summary

Given the uncertain nature of litigation generally, BD is not able in all cases to estimate the amount or range of loss that could result from an unfavorable outcome of the litigation to which BD is a party. In accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, BD establishes accruals to the extent probable future losses are estimable (in the case of environmental matters, without considering possible third-party recoveries). In view of the uncertainties discussed above, BD could incur charges in excess of any currently established accruals and, to the extent available, excess liability insurance. In the opinion of management,

Table of Contents

any such future charges, individually or in the aggregate, could have a material adverse effect on BD's consolidated results of operations and consolidated cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors disclosed in Part 1, Item 1A, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the 2008 fiscal year and Part 1, Item 1A, of our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The table below sets forth certain information regarding our purchases of common stock of BD during the quarter ended June 30, 2009.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

For the three months ended	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (2)	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (2)
June 30, 2009				
April 1 - 30, 2009	3,779	\$ 66.97		10,705,914
May 1 - 31, 2009	452,179	\$ 66.44	450,000	10,255,914
June 1 - 30, 2009	23,243	\$ 67.75		10,255,914
Total	479,201	\$ 66.51	450,000	10,255,914

(1) Includes 27,990 shares purchased during the quarter in open market transactions by the trust relating to BD's Deferred Compensation Plan and 1996 Directors Deferral Plan, and 1,211 shares delivered to BD in connection with stock option exercises.

(2)

These repurchases were made pursuant to a repurchase program covering 10 million shares authorized by the Board of Directors of BD on July 24, 2007 (the 2007 Program). There is no expiration date for the 2007 Program. The Board authorized the repurchase of 10 million additional shares on November 24, 2008.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Item 5. Other Information

Supplemental information regarding BD's fiscal year 2009 results through June 30, 2009 (on a quarterly and nine-month basis) and certain projected results for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009 is available on the Investors page of our website, www.bd.com. Information contained on our website does not constitute a part of this Form 10-Q and is not incorporated by reference herein.

Item 6. Exhibits

- Exhibit 31 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to SEC Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

- Exhibit 32 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the U.S. Code of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

- Exhibit 101 The following materials from this report, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Becton, Dickinson and Company
(Registrant)

Dated: August 10, 2009

/s/ David V. Elkins
David V. Elkins
Executive Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer (Principal Financial
Officer)

/s/ Robert Oliynik
Robert Oliynik
Vice President and Controller
(Chief Accounting Officer)

38

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibits
31	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to SEC Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the U.S. Code.
101	The following materials from this report, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged as blocks of text.