Main Street Capital CORP Form 10-Q August 07, 2009

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

| p QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECT EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the quarterly period endo OR | |
|--|--|
| o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTE EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from: Commission File Number Main Street Capital Company (Exact name of registrant as specific property). | to r: 00 <u>1-33723</u> orporation |
| Maryland | 41-2230745 |
| (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) |
| 1300 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 800 Houston, TX | 77056 |
| (Address of principal executive offices) (713) 350-6000 | (Zip Code) |

(Registrant s telephone number including area code)

n/a

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes þ No o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer b Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

The number of shares outstanding of the issuer s common stock as of August 6, 2009 was 10,683,214.

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION INDEX

<u>PART I</u> <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>

| Item | 1 | Fina | ncial | Stat | temen | te |
|------|----|------|-------|------|-------|----|
| пеш | Ι. | гша | пстат | Otal | ешеп | LS |

| Consolidated Balance Sheets June 30, 2009 (unaudited) and December 31, 2008 | 3 |
|--|----|
| Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) Three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 | 4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets (unaudited) Six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 | 5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) Six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 | 6 |
| Consolidated Schedule of Investments (unaudited) June 30, 2009 | 7 |
| Consolidated Schedule of Investments December 31, 2008 | 12 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) | 17 |
| Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 33 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 49 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 49 |
| <u>PART II</u> <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 49 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 49 |
| Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 50 |
| Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 50 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 51 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 52 |
| Exhibit 10.1 Exhibit 10.2 Exhibit 31.1 Exhibit 31.2 Exhibit 32.1 | |

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION Consolidated Balance Sheets

| ASSETS | June 30, 2009 (Unaudited) | D | December 31, 2008 |
|---|------------------------------|----|----------------------|
| | | | |
| Investments at fair value: | | | |
| Control investments (cost: \$60,258,932 and \$60,767,805 as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively) Affiliate investments (cost: \$38,181,968 and \$37,946,800 as of June 30, | \$ 63,886,735 | \$ | 65,542,608 |
| 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively) Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments (cost: \$9,995,553 and \$6,245,405 | 39,546,390 | | 39,412,695 |
| as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively) Investment in affiliated Investment Manager (cost: \$18,000,000 as of | 8,920,606 | | 5,375,886 |
| June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008) | 16,730,944 | | 16,675,626 |
| Total investments (cost: \$126,436,453 and \$122,960,010 as of June 30, | | | |
| 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively) Idle funds investments (cost: \$15,783,358 and \$4,218,704 as of June 30, | 129,084,675 | | 127,006,815 |
| 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively) | 15,783,358 | | 4,389,795 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 34,412,887 | | 35,374,826 |
| Deferred tax asset | 378,005 | | 1,121,681 |
| Other assets | 1,589,782 | | 1,100,922 |
| Deferred financing costs (net of accumulated amortization of \$1,158,091 | | | |
| and \$956,037 as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively) | 1,543,607 | | 1,635,238 |
| Total assets | \$ 182,792,314 | \$ | 170,629,277 |
| LIABILITIES | | | |
| SBIC debentures | ¢ 55,000,000 | ¢ | 55 000 000 |
| | \$ 55,000,000 | \$ | 55,000,000 |
| Interest payable Distribution payable | 1,110,068 | | 1,108,193 |
| Distribution payable | 1,319,823 | | 726,464 1,438,564 |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | 781,738 | | 1,438,304 |
| Total liabilities | 58,211,629 | | 58,273,221 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | |
| NET ASSETS | | | |
| | 105,586 | | 92,065 |

Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share (150,000,000 shares authorized; 10,558,632 and 9,206,483 issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively) Additional paid-in capital 120,424,435 104,467,740 Undistributed net realized income 2,065,469 3,658,495 Net unrealized appreciation from investments, net of income taxes 1,985,195 4,137,756 Total net assets 124,580,685 112,356,056 Total liabilities and net assets \$ 182,792,314 \$ 170,629,277 NET ASSET VALUE PER SHARE \$ 11.80 \$ 12.20

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

3

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

| | Three Months | | Six M | onths |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Ended J | June 30, | Ended J | une 30, |
| | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 |
| INVESTMENT INCOME: | | | | |
| Interest, fee and dividend income: | | | | |
| Control investments | \$ 1,831,201 | \$ 2,667,708 | \$ 3,833,821 | \$ 4,574,610 |
| Affiliate investments | 1,166,501 | 1,043,901 | 2,335,557 | 2,108,862 |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments | 258,218 | 313,548 | 396,173 | 899,190 |
| Total interest, fee and dividend income | 3,255,920 | 4,025,157 | 6,565,551 | 7,582,662 |
| Interest from idle funds and other | 344,150 | 151,754 | 626,944 | 621,615 |
| Total investment income EXPENSES: | 3,600,070 | 4,176,911 | 7,192,495 | 8,204,277 |
| Interest | (941,577) | (921,206) | (1,872,912) | (1,803,842) |
| General and administrative | (430,114) | (450,960) | (744,787) | (865,061) |
| Expenses reimbursed to affiliated Investment | | | | |
| Manager | (45,513) | (218,170) | (79,938) | (444,738) |
| Share-based compensation | (195,726) | | (391,452) | |
| Total expenses | (1,612,930) | (1,590,336) | (3,089,089) | (3,113,641) |
| NET INVESTMENT INCOME | 1,987,140 | 2,586,575 | 4,103,406 | 5,090,636 |
| NET REALIZED GAIN FROM INVESTMENTS: | | | | |
| Control investments | 98,050 | | 865,651 | |
| Affiliate investments | | 99,154 | | 710,404 |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments | 328,220 | | 454,843 | |
| Total net realized gain from investments | 426,270 | 99,154 | 1,320,494 | 710,404 |
| NET REALIZED INCOME | 2,413,410 | 2,685,729 | 5,423,900 | 5,801,040 |
| NET CHANGE IN UNREALIZED APPRECIATION (DEPRECIATION) FROM INVESTMENTS: | | | | |
| Control investments | 1,363,329 | (186,405) | (1,147,000) | 884,704 |
| Affiliate investments | 671,018 | (443,584) | (101,473) | (940,952) |
| Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments | 100,269 | 58,766 | (376,519) | 58,766 |
| Investment in affiliated Investment Manager | (283,277) | (234,733) | 55,318 | (464,462) |
| Total net change in unrealized appreciation | | | | |
| (depreciation) from investments | 1,851,339 | (805,956) | (1,569,674) | (461,944) |
| | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Main Street Capital CORP - Form 10-Q

| Income tax (provision) benefit | (| (525,612) | 2 | 2,608,324 | | (582,887) | 2,351,636 |
|--|------|-----------|------|-----------|----|-----------|-----------------|
| NET INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS | \$ 3 | ,739,137 | \$ 4 | 1,488,097 | \$ | 3,271,339 | \$ 7,690,732 |
| NET INVESTMENT INCOME PER SHARE BASIC AND DILUTED | \$ | 0.21 | \$ | 0.29 | \$ | 0.44 | \$ 0.57 |
| NET REALIZED INCOME PER SHARE BASIC AND DILUTED | \$ | 0.25 | \$ | 0.30 | \$ | 0.58 | \$ 0.65 |
| DIVIDENDS PAID PER SHARE | \$ | 0.38 | \$ | 0.35 | \$ | 0.75 | \$ 0.69 |
| NET INCREASE IN NET ASSETS RESULTING FROM OPERATIONS PER SHARE BASIC AND DILUTED | \$ | 0.39 | \$ | 0.50 | \$ | 0.35 | \$ 0.86 |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING BASIC AND DILUTED | 9 | ,520,314 | 8 | 3,959,718 | ! | 9,323,968 | 8,959,718 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

4

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets (Unaudited)

| | Common Number of Shares | Stock Par Value | Additional Paid-In Capital | Undistributed Net Realized Income | Net Unrealized Appreciation from Investments, Net of Income Taxes | Total Net Assets |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|------------------------------|
| Balances at December 31, 2007 Dividends to stockholders Net increase resulting | 8,959,718 | \$ 89,597 | \$ 104,076,033 | \$ 6,067,131 (6,182,205) | \$ 4,916,447 | \$115,149,208 (6,182,205) |
| from operations | | | | 5,801,040 | 1,889,692 | 7,690,732 |
| Balances at June 30, 2008 | 8,959,718 | \$ 89,597 | \$ 104,076,033 | \$ 5,685,966 | \$ 6,806,139 | \$116,657,735 |
| Balances at December 31, 2008 Dividend reinvestment Public offering of common stock, net of | 9,206,483 79,193 | \$ 92,065 791 | \$ 104,467,740 979,785 | \$ 3,658,495 | \$ 4,137,756 | \$ 112,356,056 980,576 |
| offering costs Share repurchase program | 1,437,500 (164,544) | 14,375 (1,645) | 16,200,919 (1,615,461) | | | 16,215,294 (1,617,106) |
| Share-based compensation Dividends to stockholders Net increase resulting | | | 391,452 | (7,016,926) | | 391,452 (7,016,926) |
| from operations | | | | 5,423,900 | (2,152,561) | 3,271,339 |
| Balances at June 30, 2009 | 10,558,632 | \$ 105,586 | \$ 120,424,435 | \$ 2,065,469 | \$ 1,985,195 | \$ 124,580,685 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

| | Six Months | | |
|--|----------------|---------------|--|
| | Ended June 30, | | |
| | 2009 | 2008 | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations: | \$ 3,271,339 | \$ 7,690,732 | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets resulting from operations to | | | |
| net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Net change in unrealized depreciation from investments | 1,569,674 | 461,944 | |
| Net realized gain from investments | (1,320,494) | (710,404) | |
| Accretion of unearned income | (309,996) | (595,189) | |
| Net payment-in-kind interest accrual | (291,475) | (223,439) | |
| Share-based compensation expense | 391,452 | | |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 202,054 | 138,580 | |
| Deferred taxes | 743,676 | (2,544,270) | |
| Changes in other assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Other assets | (527,092) | 386,256 | |
| Interest payable | 1,875 | | |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | (786,933) | (259,446) | |
| Deferred debt origination fees received | 79,017 | 377,366 | |
| | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 3,023,097 | 4,722,130 | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Investments in portfolio companies | (6,613,657) | (30,211,460) | |
| Investments in idle funds | (31,842,149) | , , , , | |
| Proceeds from idle funds investments | 20,517,609 | 24,063,261 | |
| Principal payments received on loans and debt securities | 4,778,280 | 5,747,542 | |
| Proceeds from sale of equity securities and related notes | | 846,277 | |
| | | · | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (13,159,917) | 445,620 | |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Share repurchase program | (1,617,106) | | |
| Proceeds from public offering of common stock | 16,345,401 | | |
| Dividends paid to stockholders | (5,842,991) | (6,182,205) | |
| Net change in DRIP deposit | 400,000 | (-, - ,, | |
| Payment of deferred loan costs and SBIC debenture fees | (110,423) | (16,394) | |
| • | (-, -, | (-)) | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 9,174,881 | (6,198,599) | |
| | | | |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (961,939) | (1,030,849) | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 35,374,826 | 41,889,324 | |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD | \$ 34,412,887 | \$ 40,858,475 | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements

6

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS June 30, 2009 (Unaudited)

| ortfolio Company/Type of Investment (1) (2) | Industry | Principal (6) | Cost (6) | Fair Valu |
|---|------------------------|---------------|--------------|-----------|
| ontrol Investments (3) | | | | |
| afé Brazil, LLC | Casual Restaurant | | | |
| % Secured Debt (Maturity April 20, 2011) | Group | \$ 2,625,000 | \$ 2,608,099 | |
| ember Units (7) (Fully diluted 42.3%) | | | 41,837 | |
| | | | 2,649,936 | 3,905,00 |
| BT Nuggets, LLC | Produces and Sells | | | |
| % Secured Debt (Maturity December 31, 2013) | IT Certification | 1,680,000 | 1,649,198 | 1,680,00 |
| % Secured Debt (Maturity March 31, 2012) | Training Videos | 915,000 | 915,000 | • |
| % Secured Debt (Maturity March 31, 2010) | | 60,000 | 60,000 | |
| ember Units (7) (Fully diluted 24.5%) | | | 299,520 | |
| | | | 2,923,718 | 4,045,00 |
| eres Management, LLC (Lambs) | Aftermarket Automoti | ve | | |
| % Secured Debt (Maturity May 31, 2013) | Services Chain | 2,400,000 | 2,374,909 | 2,374,90 |
| ember Units (Fully diluted 42.0%) | | | 1,200,000 | |
| ass B Member Units (Non-voting) | | | 151,688 | 151,68 |
| | | | 3,726,597 | 3,636,59 |
| ondit Exhibits, LLC | Tradeshow Exhibits/ | | | |
| % current / 5% PIK Secured Debt (Maturity July 1, 2013) | Custom Displays | 2,366,707 | 2,334,438 | |
| arrants (Fully diluted 28.1%) | | | 300,000 | |
| | | | 2,634,438 | 2,364,43 |
| ulf Manufacturing, LLC | Industrial Metal | | | |
| ime plus 1% Secured Debt (Maturity August 31, 2012) | Fabrication | 1,200,000 | 1,191,936 | 1,200,00 |
| % Secured Debt (Maturity August 31, 2012) | | 1,600,000 | 1,485,462 | 1,580,00 |
| ember Units (7) (Fully diluted 18.4%) | | | 472,000 | 1,710,00 |
| arrants (Fully diluted 8.4%) | | | 160,000 | 920,00 |
| | | | 3,309,398 | 5,410,00 |
| awthorne Customs & Dispatch Services, LLC | Transportation/ | | | |
| % Secured Debt (Maturity January 31, 2011) | Logistics | 900,000 | 883,503 | 883,50 |
| ember Units (7) (Fully diluted 27.9%) | - | | 375,000 | 740,00 |
| arrants (Fully diluted 16.5%) | | | 37,500 | 390,00 |
| | | | 1,296,003 | 2,013,50 |
| ydratec Holdings, LLC | Agricultural Services | | | |
| .5% Secured Debt (Maturity October 31, 2012) | | 3,400,000 | 3,349,977 | 3,349,97 |
| | | 4 =0 = 6 : : | 4 #04 6 * * | |

Table of Contents 13

1,595,244

1,581,911

1,581,91

ime plus 1% Secured Debt (Maturity October 31, 2012)

| ember Units (Fully diluted 80.6%) | | | 4,100,000 9,031,888 | 5,330,00 10,261,88 |
|--|------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| nsen Jewelers of Idaho, LLC | Retail Jewelry | | | |
| ime Plus 2% Secured Debt (Maturity November 14, 2011) | • | 1,044,000 | 1,033,108 | 1,045,06 |
| % current / 6% PIK Secured Debt (Maturity November 14, 2011) | | 1,035,280 | 1,020,201 | 1,036,51 |
| ember Units (7) (Fully diluted 24.3%) | | • | 376,000 | 290,00 |
| | | | 2,429,309 | 2,371,58 |
| APCO Precast, LLC | Precast Concrete | | | |
| % Secured Debt (Maturity February 1, 2013) | Manufacturing | 5,923,077 | 5,827,952 | 5,923,07 |
| ime Plus 2% Secured Debt (Maturity February 1, 2013) (8) | - | 3,384,615 | 3,358,833 | 3,384,61 |
| ember Units (7) (Fully diluted 35.3%) | | | 2,020,000 | 5,120,00 |
| | | | 11,206,785 | 14,427,68 |
| Mi Holdings, Inc. | Manufacturer of | | | |
| % Secured Debt (Maturity April 1, 2013) | Overhead Cranes | 6,342,000 | 6,293,092 | 6,293,09 |
| ommon Stock (Fully diluted 28.8%) | | • | 900,000 | 310,00 |
| | | | 7,193,092 | 6,603,09 |
| 7 | | | | |
| <i>'</i> | | | | |

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS June 30, 2009 (Unaudited)

| ortfolio Company/Type of Investment (1) (2) | Industry | Principal (6) | Cost (6) | Fair Value |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------------------------------|
| ontrol Investments (3) | | | | |
| uest Design & Production, LLC | Design and Fabrication | | | 1 |
| % Secured Debt (Maturity June 30, 2013) | of Custom Display | 600,000 | 465,060 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| % Secured Debt (Maturity June 30, 2013) | Systems | 2,000,000 | 2,000,000 | |
| Varrants (Fully diluted 40.0%) | | | 1,595,858 | |
| Varrants (Fully diluted 20.0%) | | | 40,000 | |
| | | | 4,100,918 | 2,000,000 |
| niversal Scaffolding & Equipment, LLC | Manufacturer of Scaffolding | | | ! |
| rime plus 1% Secured Debt (Maturity August 17, 2012) (8) | and Shoring Equipment | 841,750 | 836,066 | 836,060 |
| 8% current / 5% PIK Secured Debt (Maturity August 17, 2012) | | 3,377,176 | 3,331,101 | 750,000 |
| lember Units (Fully diluted 18.9%) | | | 992,063 | · |
| | | | 5,159,230 | |
| valco Supply, LLC | Farm and Ranch Supply | | | • |
| lember Units (Fully diluted 39.6%) | | | 905,743 | 1,570,000 |
| iegler s NYPD, LLC | Restaurant | | | • |
| rime plus 2% Secured Debt (Maturity October 1, 2013) (8) | | 600,000 | 594,734 | 594,734 |
| 3% current / 5% PIK Secured Debt (Maturity October 1, 2013) | | 2,772,960 | 2,737,143 | • |
| Varrants (Fully diluted 28.6%) | | • | 360,000 | |
| | | | 3,691,877 | · |
| ubtotal Control Investments | | | 60,258,932 | 63,886,73 |
| | | | | |

Table of Contents 15

8

Table of Contents

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS June 30, 2009 (Unaudited)

| Portfolio Company/Type of Investment (1) (2) | Industry | Principal (6) | Cost (6) | Fair Value |
|--|--|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Affiliate Investments (4) | | | | |
| Advantage Millwork Company, Inc. 12% Secured Debt (Maturity February 5, 2012) Warrants (Fully diluted 12.2%) | Manufacturer/Distributor of Wood Doors | 3,066,667 | 2,970,656 97,808 3,068,464 | 2,680,000 2,680,000 |
| American Sensor Technologies, Inc. Prime plus 0.5% Secured Debt (Maturity May 31, 2010) (8) Warrants (Fully diluted 19.6%) | Manufacturer of Commercial/ Industrial Sensors | 3,800,000 | 3,800,000 49,990 | 3,800,000 400,000 |
| Carlton Global Resources, LLC 13% PIK Secured Debt (Maturity November 15, 2011) Member Units (Fully diluted 8.5%) | Processor of Industrial Minerals | 4,791,944 | 3,849,990 4,655,836 400,000 | 4,200,000 |
| | | | 5,055,836 | |
| California Healthcare Medical Billing, Inc. 12% Secured Debt (Maturity October 17, 2013) Common Stock (Fully diluted 6.0%) Warrants (Fully diluted 12.0%) | Healthcare Services | 1,410,000 | 1,162,781 390,000 240,000 | 1,162,781 490,000 730,000 |
| | | | 1,792,781 | 2,382,781 |
| Houston Plating & Coatings, LLC Prime plus 2% Secured Debt (Maturity July 18, 2013) Member Units (7) (Fully diluted 11.1%) | Plating & Industrial Coating Services | 300,000 | 300,000 335,000 | 300,000 3,315,000 |
| | | | 635,000 | 3,615,000 |
| KBK Industries, LLC 14% Secured Debt (Maturity | Specialty Manufacturer of Oilfield and Industrial Products | 3,937,500 281,250 450,000 | 3,819,555 281,250 450,000 187,500 4,738,305 | 3,819,555 281,250 450,000 200,000 4,750,805 |

| Laurus Healthcare, LP 13% Secured Debt (Maturity May 7, 2012) Warrants (Fully diluted 17.5%) | Healthcare Facilities | 2,275,000 | 2,275,000 105,000 | 2,275,000 3,130,000 |
|---|--|-----------|----------------------|------------------------|
| | | | 2,380,000 | 5,405,000 |
| National Trench Safety, LLC 10% PIK Debt (Maturity April 16, 2014) Member Units (Fully diluted 11.7%) | Trench & Traffic Safety Equipment | 425,012 | 425,012 1,792,308 | 425,012 2,030,000 |
| | | | 2,217,320 | 2,455,012 |
| Olympus Building Services, Inc. 12% Secured Debt (Maturity March 27, 2014) Warrants (Fully diluted 13.5%) | Custodial/Facilities Services | 1,890,000 | 1,713,650 150,000 | 1,713,650 150,000 |
| | | | 1,863,650 | 1,863,650 |
| Pulse Systems, LLC Warrants (Fully diluted 7.4%) | Manufacturer of Components for Medical Devices | | 132,856 | 450,000 |
| Schneider Sales Management, LLC 13% Secured Debt (Maturity October 15, 2013) Warrants (Fully diluted 12.0%) | Sales Consulting and Training | 1,980,000 | 1,922,794 45,000 | 1,922,794 |
| | | | 1,967,794 | 1,922,794 |
| | 9 | | | |

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS June 30, 2009 (Unaudited)

| Portfolio Company/Type of Investment (1) (2) | Industry | Principal (6) | Cost (6) | Fair Value |
|--|---|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Affiliate Investments (4) | | | | |
| Vision Interests, Inc. 13% Secured Debt (Maturity June 5, 2012) Common Stock (Fully diluted 8.9%) Warrants (Fully diluted 11.2%) | Manufacturer/ Installer of Commercial Signage | 3,760,000 | 3,599,890 372,000 160,000 | 3,599,890 30,000 40,000 |
| | | | 4,131,890 | 3,669,890 |
| Walden Smokey Point, Inc. 14% current / 4% PIK Secured Debt (Maturity | Specialty Transportation/ Logistics | | | |
| December 30, 2013) Common Stock (Fully diluted 7.6%) | | 4,897,067 | 4,811,458 600,000 | 4,811,458 600,000 |
| | | | 5,411,458 | 5,411,458 |
| WorldCall, Inc. 13% Secured Debt (Maturity October 22, 2009) | Telecommunication/ Information Services | 646,225 | 639,993 | 640,000 |
| Common Stock (Fully diluted 9.9%) | | , - | 296,631 | 100,000 |
| | | | 936,624 | 740,000 |
| Subtotal Affiliate Investments | | | 38,181,968 | 39,546,390 |

Table of Contents 18

10

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS June 30, 2009 (Unaudited)

Principal

\$ 15,783,358 \$ 15,783,3

| Industry | Principal (6) | Cost (6) | Fair Valu |
|--|---|--|---|
| | | | |
| Audio Messaging Services | 3,444,000 | 3,189,851 215,040 | 3,189,8 215,0 |
| | | 3,404,891 | 3,404,8 |
| Hardwood Products | | 130,000 | 370,0 |
| Manufacturer of Utility Structures | 1,800,000 | 1,781,303 | 420,0 |
| Manages Substance Abuse Treatment Centers | 226,461 | 226,461 | 226,4 |
| Manufacturer of Specialty Cutting Tools and Punches | 951,021 3,550,000 | 949,254 3,503,644 4,452,898 | 949,2 3,550,0 4,499,2 |
| | | 9,995,553 | 8,920,6 |
| Asset Management | | 18,000,000 | 16,730,9 |
| | | \$ 126,436,453 | \$ 129,084,6 |
| Investments in High-Quality Debt Investments, Certificates of Deposit, and Diversified Bond Funds | \$ 2,955,268 1,881,368 | \$ 2,955,268 1,828,090 | \$ 2,955,2 1,828,0 |
| | 2,500,000 5,000,000 2,500,000 1,000,000 | 2,500,000 5,000,000 2,500,000 1,000,000 | 2,500,0 5,000,0 2,500,0 1,000,0 |
| | Audio Messaging Services Hardwood Products Manufacturer of Utility Structures Manages Substance Abuse Treatment Centers Manufacturer of Specialty Cutting Tools and Punches Asset Management Investments in High-Quality Debt Investments, Certificates of Deposit, and Diversified | Audio Messaging Services 3,444,000 Hardwood Products Manufacturer of Utility Structures 1,800,000 Manages Substance Abuse Treatment Centers 226,461 Manufacturer of Specialty Cutting Tools and Punches 951,021 3,550,000 Asset Management Investments in High-Quality Debt Investments, Certificates of Deposit, and Diversified 1,881,368 Bond Funds 2,500,000 5,000,000 5,000,000 2,500,000 | Audio Messaging Services 3,444,000 3,189,851 215,040 3,404,891 Hardwood Products 130,000 Manufacturer of Utility Structures 1,800,000 1,781,303 Manages Substance Abuse Treatment Centers 226,461 226,461 Manufacturer of Specialty Cutting Tools and Punches 951,021 949,254 3,550,000 3,503,644 4,452,898 9,995,553 Asset Management 18,000,000 \$126,436,453 Investments in High-Quality Debt Investments, Certificates of \$2,955,268 \$2,955,268 Deposit, and Diversified 1,881,368 1,828,090 Bond Funds 2,500,000 2,500,000 5,000,000 5,000,000 5,000,000 5,000,000 2,500,000 2,500,000 |

- (1) Debt investments are generally income producing. Equity and warrants are non-income producing, unless otherwise noted.
- (2) See Note C for summary geographic location of portfolio companies.
- (3) Controlled investments are defined by the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (1940 Act) as investments in which more than 25% of the voting securities are owned or where the ability to nominate greater than 50% of the board representation is maintained.
- (4) Affiliate investments are defined by the 1940 Act as investments in which between 5% and 25% of the voting securities are owned.
- (5) Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments are defined by the 1940 Act as investments that are neither Control Investments nor Affiliate Investments.
- (6) Principal is net of prepayments. Cost is net of prepayments and accumulated unearned income.
- (7) Income producing through payment of dividends or distributions.

(8) Subject to contractual minimum interest rates.

11

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS December 31, 2008

| Portfolio Company/Type of Investment (1) (2) | Industry | Principal (6) | Cost (6) | Fair Value |
|--|---|------------------------|--|--|
| Control Investments (3) | | | | |
| Café Brazil, LLC 12% Secured Debt (Maturity April 20, 2011) Member Units (7) (Fully diluted 42.3%) | Casual Restaurant Group | \$2,750,000 | \$ 2,728,113 41,837 | \$ 2,750,000 1,000,000 |
| | | | 2,769,950 | 3,750,000 |
| CBT Nuggets, LLC 14% Secured Debt (Maturity June 1, 2011) 10% Secured Debt (Maturity December 31, 2009) Member Units (7) (Fully diluted 29.1%) Warrants (Fully diluted 10.5%) | Produces and Sells IT Certification Training Videos | 1,680,000 150,000 | 1,642,518 150,000 432,000 72,000 | 1,680,000 150,000 1,625,000 500,000 |
| | | | 2,296,518 | 3,955,000 |
| Ceres Management, LLC (Lambs) 14% Secured Debt (Maturity May 31, 2013) Member Units (Fully diluted 42.0%) | Aftermarket Automotive Services Chain | 2,400,000 | 2,372,601 1,200,000 3,572,601 | 2,372,601 1,300,000 3,672,601 |
| C 14 E-1-1-14 II C | The dealers Ealthield | | 3,372,001 | 3,072,001 |
| Condit Exhibits, LLC 13% current / 5% PIK Secured Debt (Maturity July 1, | Tradeshow Exhibits/ Custom Displays | 2,308,073 | 2,273,194 | 2,273,194 |
| 2013) Warrants (Fully diluted 28.1%) | | | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| | | | 2,573,194 | 2,573,194 |
| Gulf Manufacturing, LLC Prime plus 1% Secured Debt (Maturity August 31, 2012) 13% Secured Debt (Maturity August 31, 2012) Member Units (7) (Fully diluted 18.6%) Warrants (Fully diluted 8.4%) | Industrial Metal Fabrication | 1,200,000 1,900,000 | 1,190,764 1,747,777 472,000 160,000 | 1,200,000 1,880,000 1,100,000 550,000 |
| | | | 3,570,541 | 4,730,000 |
| Hawthorne Customs & Dispatch Services, LLC 13% Secured Debt (Maturity January 31, 2011) Member Units (7) (Fully diluted 27.8%) Warrants (Fully diluted 16.5%) | Transportation/ Logistics | 1,200,000 | 1,171,988 375,000 37,500 | 1,171,988 435,000 230,000 |

| | | | 1,584,488 | 1,836,988 |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Hydratec Holdings, LLC | Agricultural Services | | | |
| 12.5% Secured Debt (Maturity October 31, 2012) Prime plus 1% Secured Debt (Maturity October 31, 2012) | . | 5,400,000 1,595,244 | 5,311,329 1,579,911 | 5,311,329 1,579,911 |
| Member Units (Fully diluted 60%) |) | 1,393,244 | 1,800,000 | 2,050,000 |
| | | | 8,691,240 | 8,941,240 |
| Janear Jamalana of Idaha, LLC | Datail Lauralus | | | |
| Jensen Jewelers of Idaho, LLC Prime Plus 2% Secured Debt (Maturity November 14, 2011) | Retail Jewelry | 1,044,000 | 1,030,957 | 1,044,000 |
| 13% current / 6% PIK Secured Debt (Maturity November 14, 2011) | | 1,004,591 | 986,980 | 1,004,591 |
| Member Units (7) (Fully diluted 24.3%) | | | 376,000 | 380,000 |
| | | | 2,393,937 | 2,428,591 |
| NAPCO Precast, LLC | Precast Concrete | | | |
| 18% Secured Debt (Maturity February 1, 2013) | Manufacturing | 6,461,538 | 6,348,011 | 6,461,538 |
| Prime Plus 2% Secured Debt (Maturity February 1, 2013) (8) | 1 | 3,692,308 | 3,660,945 | 3,692,308 |
| Member Units (7) (Fully diluted 36.1%) | | | 2,000,000 | 5,100,000 |
| | | | 12,008,956 | 15,253,846 |
| OMi Holdings, Inc. | Manufacturer of | | | |
| 12% Secured Debt (Maturity April 1, 2013) | Overhead Cranes | 6,660,000 | 6,603,400 | 6,603,400 |
| Common Stock (Fully diluted 28.8%) | | | 900,000 | 570,000 |
| | | | 7,503,400 | 7,173,400 |
| | | | | |

12

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS December 31, 2008

| ortfolio Company/Type of Investment (1) (2) | Industry | Principal (6) | Cost (6) | Fair Value |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------|
| ontrol Investments (3) | | | | |
| uest Design & Production, LLC | Design and Fabrication | | | |
| 0% Secured Debt (Maturity June 30, 2013) % Secured Debt (Maturity June 30, 2013) Varrants (Fully diluted 40.0%) Varrants (Fully diluted 20.0%) | of Custom Display Systems | 600,000 2,000,000 | 465,060 2,000,000 1,595,858 40,000 | 600,000 1,400,000 |
| | | | 4,100,918 | 2,000,000 |
| niversal Scaffolding & Equipment, LLC | Manufacturer of Scaffolding | | | |
| rime plus 1% Secured Debt (Maturity August 17, 2012) (8) | and Shoring Equipment | 881,833 | 875,072 | 875,072 |
| 3% current / 5% PIK Secured Debt (Maturity August 17, 2012) Tember Units (Fully diluted 18.4%) | 5 | 3,362,698 | 3,311,508 992,063 | 3,160,000 |
| | | | 5,178,643 | 4,035,072 |
| valco Supply, LLC Iember Units (Fully diluted 39.6%) | Farm and Ranch Supply | | 905,743 | 1,575,000 |
| iegler s NYPD, LLC | Casual Restaurant | | | |
| rime plus 2% Secured Debt (Maturity October 1, 2013) (8) | Group | 600,000 | 594,239 | 594,239 |
| 3% current / 5% PIK Secured Debt (Maturity October 1, 2013) | | 2,704,262 | 2,663,437 | 2,663,437 |
| Varrants (Fully diluted 28.6%) | | | 360,000 | 360,000 |
| | | | 3,617,676 | 3,617,676 |
| ubtotal Control Investments | | | 60,767,805 | 65,542,608 |

13

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS December 31, 2008

| Portfolio Company/Type of Investment (1) (2) | Industry | Principal (6) | Cost (6) | Fair Value |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Affiliate Investments (4) | | | | |
| Advantage Millwork Company, Inc. 12% Secured Debt (Maturity February 5, 2012) Warrants (Fully diluted 12.2%) | Manufacturer/Distributor of Wood Doors | 3,066,667 | 2,955,442 97,808 | 2,955,442 |
| | | | 3,053,250 | 2,955,442 |
| American Sensor Technologies, Inc. Prime plus 0.5% Secured Debt (Maturity May 31, 2010) (8) | Manufacturer of Commercial/ Industrial Sensors | 3,800,000 | 3,800,000 | 3,800,000 |
| Warrants (Fully diluted 20.0%) | | | 50,000 | 250,000 |
| | | | 3,850,000 | 4,050,000 |
| Carlton Global Resources, LLC 13% PIK Secured Debt (Maturity November 15 2011) | Processor of , Industrial Minerals | 4,791,944 | 4,655,836 | |
| Member Units (Fully diluted 8.5%) | | | 400,000 | |
| | | | 5,055,836 | |
| California Healthcare Medical Billing, Inc. 12% Secured Debt (Maturity October 17, 2013) Common Stock (Fully diluted 6%) Warrants (Fully diluted 12%) | Healthcare Services | 1,410,000 | 1,141,706 390,000 240,000 | 1,141,706 390,000 240,000 |
| | | | 1,771,706 | 1,771,706 |
| Houston Plating & Coatings, LLC Prime plus 2% Secured Debt (Maturity July 18, 2013) | Plating & Industrial Coating Services | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| Member Units (7) (Fully diluted 11.1%) | | | 210,000 | 2,750,000 |
| | | | 510,000 | 3,050,000 |
| KBK Industries, LLC 14% Secured Debt (Maturity January 23, 2011) 8% Secured Debt (Maturity March 1, 2010) 8% Secured Debt (Maturity March 31, 2009) Member Units (7) (Fully diluted 14.5%) | Specialty Manufacturer of Oilfield and Industrial Products | 3,937,500 468,750 450,000 | 3,787,758 468,750 450,000 187,500 | 3,937,500 468,750 450,000 775,000 |

| | | | 4,894,008 | 5,631,250 |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Laurus Healthcare, LP | Healthcare Facilities | | | |
| 13% Secured Debt (Maturity May 7, 2009) Warrants (Fully diluted 17.5%) | | 2,275,000 | 2,259,664 105,000 | 2,275,000 2,500,000 |
| | | | 2,364,664 | 4,775,000 |
| National Trench Safety, LLC | Trench & Traffic | | | |
| 10% PIK Debt (Maturity April 16, 2014) | Safety Equipment | 404,256 | 404,256 | 404,256 |
| Member Units (Fully diluted 11.7%) | | | 1,792,308 | 1,792,308 |
| | | | 2,196,564 | 2,196,564 |
| Pulse Systems, LLC | Manufacturer of | | | |
| 14% Secured Debt (Maturity June 1, 2009) Warrants (Fully diluted 7.4%) | Components for Medical Devices | 1,831,274 | 1,819,464 132,856 | 1,831,274 450,000 |
| warrants (Fairy analog 71176) | nacarear Bevices | | , | · |
| | | | 1,952,320 | 2,281,274 |
| Schneider Sales Management, LLC | Sales Consulting | | | |
| 13% Secured Debt (Maturity October 15, 2013) Warrants (Fully diluted 12.0%) | and Training | 1,980,000 | 1,909,972 45,000 | 1,909,972 45,000 |
| | | | 1,954,972 | 1,954,972 |
| Vision Interests, Inc. | Manufacturer/ | | | |
| 13% Secured Debt (Maturity June 5, 2012) | Installer of Commercial | 3,760,000 | 3,579,117 | 3,579,117 420,000 |
| Common Stock (Fully diluted 8.9%) Warrants (Fully diluted 11.2%) | Signage | | 372,000 160,000 | 420,000 |
| | | | 4,111,117 | 4,419,117 |

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS December 31, 2008

| Portfolio Company/Type of Investment (1) (2) | Industry | Principal (6) | Cost (6) | Fair Value |
|--|--|---------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Affiliate Investments (4) | | | | |
| Walden Smokey Point, Inc. 14% current / 4% PIK Secured Debt (Maturity December 30, 2013) | Specialty Transportation/ Logistics | 4,800,533 | 4,704,533 | 4,704,533 |
| Common Stock (Fully diluted 7.6%) | | | 600,000 | 600,000 |
| | | | 5,304,533 | 5,304,533 |
| WorldCall, Inc. | Telecommunication/ | (46.005 | (21.100 | C40,000 |
| 13% Secured Debt (Maturity October 22, 2009) Common Stock (Fully diluted 9.9%) | Information Services | 646,225 | 631,199 296,631 | 640,000 382,837 |
| | | | 927,830 | 1,022,837 |
| Subtotal Affiliate Investments | | | 37,946,800 | 39,412,695 |

15

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS December 31, 2008

| Principal | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| Industry | (6) | Cost (6) | Fair Value |
| | | | |
| Hardwood Products | | 130,000 | 490,00 |
| Manufacturer of Utility Structures | 1,800,000 | 1,781,303 | 500,00 |
| Manages Substance Abuse Treatment Centers | 226,589 | 226,589 | 226,58 |
| Manufacturer of Specialty Cutting Tools and Punches | 416,364 3,750,000 | 409,297 3,698,216 | 409,29 3,750,00 |
| | | 4,107,513 6,245,405 | 4,159,29 5,375,88 |
| Asset Management | | 18,000,000 | 16,675,62 |
| | | \$ 122,960,010 | \$ 127,006,81 |
| Investments in High-Quality Debt Investments and Diversified Bond Funds | \$ 1,218,704 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 | \$ 1,218,704 1,000,000 1,000,000 1,000,000 | \$ 1,218,70 1,000,00 1,086,51 1,084,57 |
| | Hardwood Products Manufacturer of Utility Structures Manages Substance Abuse Treatment Centers Manufacturer of Specialty Cutting Tools and Punches Asset Management Investments in High-Quality Debt Investments and | Hardwood Products Manufacturer of Utility Structures 1,800,000 Manages Substance Abuse Treatment Centers 226,589 Manufacturer of Specialty Cutting Tools and Punches 416,364 3,750,000 Asset Management Investments in High-Quality Debt Investments and Diversified Bond Funds \$1,218,704 1,000,000 1,000,0000 1,000,0000 1,000,000 | Industry (6) Cost (6) Hardwood Products 130,000 Manufacturer of Utility Structures 1,800,000 1,781,303 Manages Substance Abuse Treatment Centers 226,589 226,589 Manufacturer of Specialty Cutting Tools and Punches 416,364 409,297 3,750,000 3,698,216 Cutting Tools and Punches 416,364 4,107,513 4,107,513 Asset Management 18,000,000 3,245,405 Asset Management \$122,960,010 Investments in High-Quality Debt Investments and Diversified Bond Funds \$1,218,704 \$1,218,704 \$1,218,704 1,000,000 1,000,0000 1,000,0000 1,000,000 |

Table of Contents 28

\$ 4,218,704 \$ 4,389,79

- (1) Debt investments are generally income producing. Equity and warrants are non-income producing, unless otherwise noted.
- (2) See Note C for summary geographic location of portfolio companies.
- (3) Controlled investments are defined by the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (1940 Act), as investments in which more than 25% of the voting securities are owned or where the ability to nominate greater than 50% of the board representation is maintained.
- (4) Affiliate investments are defined by the 1940 Act as investments in which between 5% and 25% of the voting securities are owned.
- (5) Non-Control/Non-Affiliate investments are defined by the 1940 Act as investments that are neither Control Investments nor Affiliate Investments.
- (6) Principal is net of prepayments. Cost is net of prepayments and accumulated unearned income.
- (7) Income producing through payment of dividends or distributions.
- (8) Subject to contractual minimum interest rates.

MAIN STREET CAPITAL CORPORATION

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

NOTE A ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

1. Organization

Main Street Capital Corporation (MSCC) was formed on March 9, 2007 for the purpose of (i) acquiring 100% of the equity interests of Main Street Mezzanine Fund, LP (the Fund) and its general partner, Main Street Mezzanine Management, LLC (the General Partner), (ii) acquiring 100% of the equity interests of Main Street Capital Partners, LLC (the Investment Manager), (iii) raising capital in an initial public offering, which was completed in October 2007 (the IPO), and (iv) thereafter operating as an internally managed business development company (BDC) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act). The transactions discussed above were consummated in October 2007 and are collectively termed the Formation Transactions. The term Main Street refers to the Fund and the General Partner prior to the IPO and to MSCC and its subsidiaries, including the Fund and the General Partner, subsequent to the IPO.

Immediately following the Formation Transactions, Main Street Equity Interests, Inc. (MSEI) was formed as a wholly owned consolidated subsidiary of MSCC. MSEI has elected for tax purposes to be treated as a taxable entity and is taxed at normal corporate tax rates based on its taxable income.

2. Basis of Presentation

Main Street s financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP). For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the consolidated financial statements of Main Street include the accounts of MSCC, the Fund, MSEI and the General Partner. The Investment Manager is accounted for as a portfolio investment (see Note D). Main Street s results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, and cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, and financial position as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, are presented on a consolidated basis. The effects of all intercompany transactions between Main Street and its subsidiaries have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period balances to conform with the current financial statement presentation, including the reclassification of MSCC shares of common stock repurchased under Main Street s share repurchase plan, which were formerly classified as treasury stock and are now reflected as a reduction of common stock and additional paid in capital in accordance with Maryland law.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Main Street are presented in conformity with U.S. GAAP for interim financial information and pursuant to the requirements for reporting on Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, certain disclosures accompanying annual financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP are omitted. In the opinion of management, the unaudited consolidated financial results included herein contain all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring accruals, considered necessary for the fair presentation of financial statements for the interim periods included herein. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results to be expected for the full year. Also, the unaudited financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2008. Financial statements prepared on a U.S. GAAP basis require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts and disclosures reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Such estimates and assumptions could change in the future as more information becomes known, which could impact the amounts reported and disclosed herein.

Under the investment company rules and regulations pursuant to Article 6 of Regulation S-X and the Audit and Accounting Guide for Investment Companies issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (the AICPA Guide), Main Street is precluded from consolidating portfolio company investments, including those in which it has a controlling interest, unless the portfolio company is another investment company. An exception to this general principle in the AICPA Guide occurs if Main Street owns a controlled operating company that provides all or substantially all of its services directly to Main Street or to an investment company of Main Street. None of the investments made by Main Street qualify for this exception. Therefore, Main Street s portfolio investments are carried on the balance sheet at fair value, as discussed further in Note B, with any adjustments to fair value recognized as Net

Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) from Investments on the Statement of Operations until the investment is disposed of, resulting in any gain or loss on exit being recognized as a Net Realized Gain (Loss) from Investments.

17

Portfolio Investment Classification

Main Street classifies its portfolio investments in accordance with the requirements of the 1940 Act. Under the 1940 Act, Control Investments are defined as investments in which Main Street owns more than 25% of the voting securities or has rights to maintain greater than 50% of the board representation. Under the 1940 Act, Affiliate Investments are defined as investments in which Main Street owns between 5% and 25% of the voting securities. Under the 1940 Act, Non-Control/Non-Affiliate Investments are defined as investments that are neither Control investments nor Affiliate investments. The Investment in affiliated Investment Manager represents Main Street s investment in a wholly owned investment manager subsidiary that is accounted for as a portfolio investment of Main Street.

NOTE B SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

1. Valuation of Investments

Main Street accounts for its portfolio investments at fair value. As a result, Main Street adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (FAS 157) in the first quarter of 2008. FAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the quality of inputs used to measure fair value and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. FAS 157 requires Main Street to assume that the portfolio investment is to be sold in the principal market to market participants, or in the absence of a principal market, in the most advantageous market, which may be a hypothetical market. Market participants are defined as buyers and sellers in the principal or most advantageous market that are independent, knowledgeable, and willing and able to transact. With the adoption of this statement, Main Street incorporated the income approach to estimate the fair value of its debt investments principally using a yield-to-maturity model. Prior to the adoption of FAS 157, Main Street reported unearned income as a single line item on the consolidated balance sheets and consolidated schedule of investments. Unearned income is no longer reported as a separate line and is now part of the investment portfolio cost and fair value on the consolidated balance sheets and the consolidated schedule of investments. This change in presentation had no impact on the overall net cost or fair value of Main Street s investment portfolio and had no impact on Main Street s financial position or results of operations.

Main Street s business plan calls for it to invest primarily in illiquid securities issued by private companies. These investments may be subject to restrictions on resale and will generally have no established trading market. As a result, Main Street determines in good faith the fair value of its portfolio investments pursuant to a valuation policy in accordance with FAS 157 and a valuation process approved by its Board of Directors and in accordance with the 1940 Act. Main Street reviews external events, including private mergers, sales and acquisitions involving comparable companies, and includes these events in the valuation process. Main Street s valuation policy is intended to provide a consistent basis for determining the fair value of the portfolio.

For valuation purposes, control investments are composed of equity and debt securities for which Main Street has a controlling interest in the portfolio company or has the ability to nominate a majority of the portfolio company s board of directors. Market quotations are generally not readily available for Main Street s control investments. As a result, Main Street determines the fair value of control investments using a combination of market and income approaches. Under the market approach, Main Street will typically use the enterprise value methodology to determine the fair value of these investments. The enterprise value is the fair value at which an enterprise could be sold in a transaction between two willing parties, other than through a forced or liquidation sale. Typically, private companies are bought and sold based on multiples of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, cash flows, net income, revenues, or in limited cases, book value. There is no single methodology for estimating enterprise value. For any one portfolio company, enterprise value is generally described as a range of values from which a single estimate of enterprise value is derived. In estimating the enterprise value of a portfolio company, Main Street analyzes various factors, including the portfolio company s historical and projected financial results. Main Street allocates the enterprise value to investments in order of the legal priority of the investments. Main Street will also use the income approach to determine the fair value of these securities, based on projections of the discounted future free cash flows that the portfolio company or the debt security will likely generate. The valuation approaches for Main Street s control investments estimate the value of the investment if it were to sell, or exit, the investment, assuming the highest and

best use of the investment by market participants. In addition, these valuation approaches consider the value associated with Main Street s ability to control the capital structure of the portfolio company, as well as the timing of a potential exit.

18

For valuation purposes, non-control investments are composed of debt and equity securities for which Main Street does not have a controlling interest in the portfolio company, or the ability to nominate a majority of the portfolio company s board of directors. Market quotations for Main Street s non-control investments are not readily available. For Main Street s non-control investments, Main Street uses a combination of market and income approaches to value its equity investments and the income approach to value its debt instruments. For non-control debt investments, Main Street determines the fair value primarily using a yield approach that analyzes the discounted cash flows of interest and principal for the debt security, as set forth in the associated loan agreements, as well as the financial position and credit risk of each of these portfolio investments. Main Street s estimate of the expected repayment date of a debt security is generally the legal maturity date of the instrument, as Main Street generally intends to hold its loans to maturity. The yield analysis considers changes in leverage levels, credit quality, portfolio company performance and other factors. Main Street will use the value determined by the yield analysis as the fair value for that security; however, because of Main Street s general intent to hold its loans to maturity, the fair value will not exceed the face amount of the debt security. A change in the assumptions that Main Street uses to estimate the fair value of its debt securities using the yield analysis could have a material impact on the determination of fair value. If there is deterioration in credit quality or a debt security is in workout status, Main Street may consider other factors in determining the fair value of a debt security, including the value attributable to the debt security from the enterprise value of the portfolio company or the proceeds that would be received in a liquidation analysis.

Due to the inherent uncertainty in the valuation process, Main Street s estimate of fair value may differ materially from the values that would have been used had a ready market for the securities existed. In addition, changes in the market environment, portfolio company performance and other events that may occur over the lives of the investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the valuations currently assigned. Main Street determines the fair value of each individual investment and records changes in fair value as unrealized appreciation or depreciation.

Main Street uses a standard investment rating system in connection with its investment oversight, portfolio management/analysis and investment valuation procedures. This system takes into account both quantitative and qualitative factors of the portfolio company and the investments held. Each quarter, Main Street estimates the fair value of each portfolio investment, and the Board of Directors of Main Street oversees, reviews and approves, in good faith, Main Street s fair value estimates consistent with the 1940 Act requirements.

Pursuant to its internal valuation process, Main Street performs valuation procedures on each portfolio company once a quarter. In addition to its internal valuation process, in arriving at estimates of fair value for portfolio companies, Main Street, among other things, consults with a nationally recognized independent advisor. The nationally recognized independent advisor is generally consulted relative to each portfolio investment at least once in every calendar year, and for new portfolio companies, at least once in the twelve-month period subsequent to the initial investment. In certain instances, Main Street may determine that it is not cost-effective, and as a result is not in its stockholders best interest, to consult with the nationally recognized independent advisor on one or more portfolio companies. Such instances include, but are not limited to, situations where the fair value of Main Street s investment in a portfolio company is determined to be insignificant relative to the total investment portfolio. Main Street consulted with its independent advisor in arriving at Main Street s determination of fair value on a total of 13 portfolio companies for the six months ended June 30, 2009, representing approximately 33% of the total portfolio investments at fair value as of June 30, 2009. Main Street consulted with its advisor relative to Main Street s determination of fair value on 4 and 9 portfolio investments for the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2009, respectively. The Board of Directors of Main Street has the final responsibility for reviewing and approving, in good faith, Main Street s estimate of the fair value for the investments.

Main Street believes its investments as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 approximate fair value as of those dates based on the market in which Main Street operates and other conditions in existence at those reporting periods.

2. Interest and Dividend Income

Interest and dividend income is recorded on the accrual basis to the extent amounts are expected to be collected. Dividend income is recorded as dividends are declared or at the point an obligation exists for the portfolio company to make a distribution. In accordance with Main Street s valuation policy, accrued interest and dividend income is

evaluated periodically for collectability. When a loan or debt security becomes 90 days or more past due, and if Main Street otherwise does not expect the debtor to be able to service all of its debt or other obligations, Main Street will generally place the loan or debt security on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan or debt security until the borrower has demonstrated the ability and intent to pay contractual amounts due. If a loan or debt security s status significantly improves regarding ability to service the debt or other obligations, or if a loan or debt security is fully impaired or written off, it will be removed from non-accrual status.

While not significant to its total portfolio, Main Street holds debt instruments in its portfolio that contain payment-in-kind (PIK) interest provisions. The PIK interest, computed at the contractual rate specified in each debt agreement, is added to the principal balance of the debt and is recorded as interest income. Thus, the actual collection of this interest may be deferred until the time of debt principal repayment.

As of June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, Main Street had one investment on non-accrual status, which comprised approximately 0.4% and 0.5%, respectively, of the core investment portfolio at fair value for the two periods then ended.

19

3. Fee Income Structuring and Advisory Services

Main Street may periodically provide services, including structuring and advisory services, to its portfolio companies. For services that are separately identifiable and evidence exists to substantiate fair value, income is recognized as earned, which is generally when the investment or other applicable transaction closes. Fees received in connection with debt financing transactions for services that do not meet these criteria are treated as debt origination fees and are accreted into interest income over the life of the financing.

4. Unearned Income Debt Origination Fees and Original Issue Discount

Main Street capitalizes upfront debt origination fees received in connection with financings and reflects such fees as unearned income netted against investments. Main Street will also capitalize and offset direct loan origination costs against the origination fees received. The unearned income from the fees, net of direct debt origination costs, is accreted into interest income based on the effective interest method over the life of the financing.

In connection with its debt investments, Main Street sometimes receives nominal cost warrants (nominal cost equity) that are valued as part of the negotiation process with the particular portfolio company. When Main Street receives nominal cost equity, Main Street allocates its cost basis in its investment between its debt securities and its nominal cost equity at the time of origination. Any resulting discount from recording the debt is reflected as unearned income, which is netted against the investment, and accreted into interest income based on the effective interest method over the life of the debt.

5. Share-Based Compensation

Main Street accounts for its share-based compensation plans using the fair value method, as prescribed by FAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment* (FAS 123R). Accordingly, for restricted stock awards, Main Street measures the grant date fair value based upon the market price of its common stock on the date of the grant and amortizes that fair value as share-based compensation expense over the requisite service period or vesting term.

6. Income Taxes

MSCC has elected and intends to qualify for the tax treatment applicable to regulated investment companies (RIC) under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), and, among other things, intends to make the required distributions to its stockholders as specified therein. In order to qualify as a RIC, MSCC is required to timely distribute to its stockholders at least 90% of investment company taxable income, as defined by the Code, each year. Depending on the level of taxable income earned in a tax year, MSCC may choose to carry forward taxable income in excess of current year distributions into the next tax year and pay a 4% excise tax on such income. Any such carryover taxable income must be distributed through a dividend declared prior to filing the final tax return related to the year which generated such taxable income.

MSCC s wholly owned subsidiary, MSEI, is a taxable entity which holds certain portfolio investments of Main Street. MSEI is consolidated with Main Street for U.S. GAAP reporting purposes, and the portfolio investments held by MSEI are included in Main Street s consolidated financial statements. The principal purpose of MSEI is to permit Main Street to hold equity investments in portfolio companies which are pass through entities for tax purposes in order to comply with the source income requirements contained in the RIC tax provisions. MSEI is not consolidated with Main Street for income tax purposes and may generate income tax expense as a result of its ownership of certain portfolio investments. This income tax expense, if any, is reflected in Main Street s Consolidated Statement of Operations.

MSEI uses the liability method in accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded for temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements, using statutory tax rates in effect for the year in which the temporary differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is provided against deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

20

Taxable income generally differs from net income for financial reporting purposes due to temporary and permanent differences in the recognition of income and expenses. Taxable income generally excludes net unrealized appreciation or depreciation, as investment gains or losses are not included in taxable income until they are realized.

7. Net Realized Gains or Losses from Investments and Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation or Depreciation from Investments

Realized gains or losses are measured by the difference between the net proceeds from the sale or redemption of an investment and the cost basis of the investment, without regard to unrealized appreciation or depreciation previously recognized, and includes investments written-off during the period net of recoveries. Net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation from investments reflects the net change in the valuation of the investment portfolio pursuant to Main Street s valuation guidelines and the reclassification of any prior period unrealized appreciation or depreciation on exited investments.

8. Concentration of Credit Risks

Main Street places its cash in financial institutions, and, at times, such balances may be in excess of the federally insured limit.

9. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value estimates are made at discrete points in time based on relevant information. These estimates may be subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Main Street believes that the carrying amounts of its financial instruments, consisting of cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate the fair values of such items. Idle funds investments consist primarily of short term investments in U.S. government agency securities, investments in high-quality debt investments, certificates of deposit, and diversified bond funds. The fair value determination for these investments primarily consists of Level 1 observable inputs. The SBIC debentures remain a strategic advantage due to their flexible structure, long-term duration, and low fixed interest rates. As of June 30, 2009, had Main Street adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (FAS 159) relating to accounting for debt obligations at their fair value, Main Street estimates the fair value of its SBIC debentures would be approximately \$46 million, or \$9 million less than the face value of the SBIC debentures. As of December 31, 2008, Main Street estimates the fair value of its SBIC debentures would be approximately \$43 million, or \$12 million less than the face value of the SBIC debentures.

10. Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In June 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities* (EITF 03-6-1). This FASB Staff Position (FSP) addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share (EPS). This FSP is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years. All prior-period EPS data presented will be adjusted retrospectively (including interim financial statements, summaries of earnings, and selected financial data) to conform to the provisions of this FSP. Early application is not permitted. On July 1, 2008, Main Street s Board of Directors approved the issuance of shares of restricted stock to Main Street employees and independent directors as discussed further in Note J. Main Street determined that these shares of restricted stock are participating securities prior to vesting. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, 255,645 shares of non-vested restricted stock have been included in Main Street s basic and diluted EPS computations.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset is Not Active* (FSP 157-3). FSP 157-3 provides an illustrative example of how to determine the fair value of a financial asset in an inactive market. The FSP does not change the fair value measurement principles set forth in FAS 157. Since adopting FAS 157 in January 2008, Main Street s practices for determining the fair value of its investment portfolio have been, and continue to be, consistent with the guidance provided in FSP 157-3. Therefore, Main Street s adoption of FSP 157-3 did not affect its practices for determining the fair value of its investment portfolio and did not have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly* (FSP 157-4) and FSP No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments* (FSP 107-1). Both FSPs are effective for reporting periods ending on or after June 15, 2009. Since adopting FAS 157 in January 2008, Main Street s practices for determining fair value and for disclosures about the fair value of its investment portfolio have been, and continue to be, consistent with the guidance provided in FSP 157-4 and FSP 107-1. Therefore, Main Street s adoption of both FSP 157-4 and FSP 107-1 did not affect its practices for determining the fair value of its investment portfolio and did not have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

21

In May 2009, the FASB issued FAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (FAS 165). FAS 165 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. FAS 165 includes a new required disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and is effective for interim periods or fiscal years ending after June 15, 2009. Main Street s adoption of FAS 165 did not have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations. In June 2009, the FASB issued FAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification TM and the Hierarchy of*

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles a replacement of FASB statement No. 162 (FAS 168). The Codification will become the source of authoritative GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. On the effective date of FAS 168, the Codification will supersede all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become nonauthoritative. FAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. FAS 168 is only expected to impact Main Street s disclosures by requiring Codification references.

NOTE C FAIR VALUE HIERARCHY FOR PORTFOLIO AND IDLE FUNDS INVESTMENTS

In connection with valuing portfolio investments, Main Street adopted the provisions of FAS 157 in the first quarter of 2008. FAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the quality of inputs used to measure fair value, and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements. Main Street accounts for its portfolio investments at fair value.

Fair Value Hierarchy

In accordance with FAS 157, Main Street has categorized its portfolio investments, based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique, into a three-level fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical investments (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3).

Portfolio investments recorded on Main Street s balance sheet are categorized based on the inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

Level 1 Investments whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in an active market that Main Street has the ability to access (examples include investments in active exchange-traded equity securities and investments in most U.S. government and agency securities).

Level 2 Investments whose values are based on quoted prices in markets that are not active or model inputs that are observable either directly or indirectly for substantially the full term of the investment. Level 2 inputs include the following:

Quoted prices for similar assets in active markets (for example, investments in restricted stock); Quoted prices for identical or similar assets in non-active markets (for example, investments in thinly traded public companies);

Pricing models whose inputs are observable for substantially the full term of the investment (for example, market interest rate indices); and

Pricing models whose inputs are derived principally from, or corroborated by, observable market data through correlation or other means for substantially the full term of the investment.

Level 3 Investments whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. These inputs reflect management s own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the investment (for example, investments in illiquid securities issued by private companies).

As required by FAS 157, when the inputs used to measure fair value fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the level within which the fair value measurement is categorized is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. For example, a Level 3 fair value measurement may include inputs that are observable (Levels 1 and 2) and unobservable (Level 3). Therefore, gains and losses for such investments categorized within the Level 3 table below may include changes in fair value that are attributable to both observable inputs (Levels 1 and 2) and unobservable inputs (Level 3). Main Street conducts reviews of fair value hierarchy classifications on a quarterly basis. Changes in the observability of valuation inputs may result in a reclassification for certain investments.

As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, all of Main Street sidle funds investments consisted primarily of investments in high-quality debt investments, certificates of deposit, and diversified bond funds. The fair value determination for these investments primarily consisted of observable inputs. As a result, all of Main Street sidle funds investments were categorized as Level 1 as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, with a fair value of \$15,783,358 and \$4,389,795, respectively.

As of June 30, 2009, all of Main Street s portfolio investments consisted of illiquid securities issued by private companies. The fair value determination for these investments primarily consisted of unobservable inputs. As a result, all of Main Street s portfolio investments were categorized as Level 3. The fair value determination of each portfolio investment required one or more of the following unobservable inputs:

Financial information obtained from each portfolio company, including unaudited statements of operations and balance sheets for the most recent period available as compared to budgeted numbers;

Current and projected financial condition of the portfolio company;

Current and projected ability of the portfolio company to service its debt obligations;

Type and amount of collateral, if any, underlying the investment;

Current financial ratios (e.g., fixed charge coverage ratio, interest coverage ratio, and net debt/EBITDA ratio) applicable to the investment;

Current liquidity of the investment and related financial ratios (e.g., current ratio and quick ratio);

Pending debt or capital restructuring of the portfolio company;

Projected operating results of the portfolio company;

Current information regarding any offers to purchase the investment;

Current ability of the portfolio company to raise any additional financing as needed;

Changes in the economic environment which may have a material impact on the operating results of the portfolio company;

Internal occurrences that may have an impact (both positive and negative) on the operating performance of the portfolio company;

Qualitative assessment of key management;

Contractual rights, obligations or restrictions associated with the investment; and

Other factors deemed relevant.

The following table provides a summary of changes in fair value of Main Street s Level 3 portfolio investments for the six months ended June 30, 2009:

| | | | | | Net | Net | |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|------------|--|------------|
| | | | | | Changes | | |
| | | | | | from | Unrealized | |
| | December 31, | Accretion | | | | | June 30, |
| Type of | 2008 | of | Redemptions/ | New | Unrealized | Appreciation | 2009 |
| | | Unearned | Repayments | Investments | to | | |
| | | Chearnea | repuj memo | | | | |
| Investment | Fair Value | Income | (1) | (1) | | (Depreciation) | Fair Value |
| Investment Debt | Fair Value \$ 81,751,043 | Income | (1) | (1) | Realized | (Depreciation) \$ (2,934,390) | |
| | | Income | (1) | (1) | Realized | \$ (2,934,390) | |

Equity warrants Investment

Manager 16,675,626 55,318 16,730,944

\$ 127,006,815 \$ 309,697 \$ (6,592,629) \$ 9,759,376 \$ (927,709) \$ (470,875) \$ 129,084,675

(1) Includes the impact of non-cash conversions

Portfolio Investments

Main Street s portfolio investments principally consist of secured debt, equity warrants and direct equity investments in privately held companies. The debt investments are secured by either a first or second lien on the assets of the portfolio company, generally bear interest at fixed rates, and generally mature between five and seven years from the original investment. In most portfolio companies, Main Street also receives nominally priced equity warrants and/or makes direct equity investments, usually in connection with a debt investment.

23

As discussed further in Note D, the Investment Manager is a wholly owned subsidiary of MSCC. However, the Investment Manager is accounted for as a portfolio investment of Main Street, since it conducts a significant portion of its investment management activities for entities outside of MSCC and its subsidiaries. To allow for more relevant disclosure of Main Street s core investment portfolio, Main Street s investment in the Investment Manager has been excluded from the tables and amounts set forth in this Note C. Core portfolio investments, as used herein, refers to all of Main Street s portfolio investments excluding its investment in the Investment Manager.

Investment income, consisting of interest, dividends and fees, can fluctuate dramatically due to various factors, including repayment of a debt investment or sale of an equity interest. Revenue recognition in any given year could be highly concentrated among several portfolio companies. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, Main Street recorded investment income from one portfolio company in excess of 10% of total investment income. The investment income from that portfolio company represented approximately 11% of the total investment income for the period, principally related to interest income from debt investments in such company. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, Main Street recorded investment income from one portfolio company in excess of 10% of total investment income. The investment income from that portfolio company represented approximately 20% of the total investment income for the period, principally related to high levels of dividend income and transaction and structuring fees on the new investment in such company.

As of June 30, 2009, Main Street had debt and equity investments in 33 core portfolio companies with an aggregate fair value of \$112,353,731 and a weighted average effective yield on its debt investments of approximately 13.9%. Approximately 82% of Main Street s total core portfolio investments at cost were in the form of debt investments and 91% of such debt investments at cost were secured by first priority liens on the assets of Main Street s portfolio companies as of June 30, 2009. At June 30, 2009, Main Street had equity ownership in approximately 91% of its core portfolio companies and the average fully diluted equity ownership in those portfolio companies was approximately 24%. As of December 31, 2008, Main Street had debt and equity investments in 31 core portfolio companies with an aggregate fair value of \$110,331,189 and a weighted average effective yield on its debt investments of approximately 14.0%. The weighted average yields were computed using the effective interest rates for all debt investments at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, including amortization of deferred debt origination fees and accretion of original issue discount but excluding any debt investments on non-accrual status.

Summaries of the composition of Main Street s core investment portfolio at cost and fair value as a percentage of total core portfolio investments are shown in the following table:

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|------------------|----------|--------------|
| Cost: | 2009 | 2008 |
| First lien debt | 74.3% | 76.2% |
| Equity | 12.9% | 11.0% |
| Second lien debt | 7.3% | 7.4% |
| Equity warrants | 5.5% | 5.4% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|------------------|----------|--------------|
| Fair Value: | 2009 | 2008 |
| First lien debt | 63.7% | 67.0% |
| Equity | 18.2% | 15.7% |
| Equity warrants | 11.1% | 10.2% |
| Second lien debt | 7.0% | 7.1% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Table of Contents

The following table shows the core portfolio composition by geographic region of the United States at cost and fair value as a percentage of total core portfolio investments. The geographic composition is determined by the location of the corporate headquarters of the portfolio company.

| Cost: | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|-----------|------------------|----------------------|
| Southwest | 47.7% | 50.2% |
| West | 34.6% | 36.3% |
| Southeast | 8.0% | 5.1% |
| Northeast | 5.3% | 3.7% |
| Midwest | 4.4% | 4.7% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|-------------|----------|--------------|
| Fair Value: | 2009 | 2008 |
| Southwest | 55.8% | 56.0% |
| West | 29.8% | 31.1% |
| Northeast | 5.4% | 3.7% |
| Southeast | 4.8% | 4.1% |
| Midwest | 4.2% | 5.1% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Main Street s core portfolio investments are generally in lower middle-market companies conducting business in a variety of industries. Set forth below are tables showing the composition of Main Street s core portfolio investments by industry at cost and fair value as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|--------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Cost: | 2009 | 2008 |
| Industrial equipment | 11.4% | 12.0% |
| Precast concrete manufacturing | 10.3% | 11.3% |
| Custom wood products | 9.0% | 9.3% |
| Agricultural services | 8.3% | 8.3% |
| Professional services | 7.7% | 4.1% |
| Electronics manufacturing | 7.4% | 7.6% |
| Retail | 6.5% | 6.5% |
| Transportation/Logistics | 6.2% | 6.6% |
| Restaurant | 5.8% | 6.1% |
| Mining and minerals | 4.7% | 4.8% |
| Manufacturing | 4.4% | 4.7% |
| Health care products | 4.2% | 5.8% |
| Health care services | 4.1% | 4.2% |
| Metal fabrication | 3.1% | 3.4% |
| Equipment rental | 2.0% | 2.1% |
| Governmental services | 1.7% | 0.0% |
| Infrastructure products | 1.6% | 1.7% |
| Information services | 0.9% | 0.9% |

| Industrial services | 0.6% | 0.5% |
|---------------------|--------|--------|
| Distribution | 0.1% | 0.1% |
| | | |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

25

Table of Contents

| Fair Value: | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Precast concrete manufacturing | 12.9% | 13.7% |
| Agricultural services | 9.1% | 8.1% |
| Professional services | 8.3% | 5.4% |
| Industrial equipment | 7.3% | 10.2% |
| Health care services | 7.1% | 6.1% |
| Electronics manufacturing | 7.0% | 7.7% |
| Retail | 6.7% | 7.0% |
| Restaurant | 6.8% | 6.7% |
| Transportation/Logistics | 6.6% | 6.5% |
| Custom wood products | 6.3% | 6.8% |
| Metal fabrication | 4.8% | 4.3% |
| Health care products | 4.4% | 5.8% |
| Manufacturing | 4.2% | 5.1% |
| Industrial services | 3.2% | 2.8% |
| Equipment rental | 2.2% | 2.0% |
| Governmental services | 1.7% | 0.0% |
| Information services | 0.7% | 0.9% |
| Infrastructure products | 0.4% | 0.5% |
| Distribution | 0.3% | 0.4% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

At June 30, 2009, Main Street had one investment that was greater than 10% of its total core investment portfolio at fair value. That investment represented approximately 13% of the core portfolio at fair value. At December 31, 2008, Main Street had one investment that was greater than 10% of its total core investment portfolio at fair value. That investment represented approximately 14% of the core portfolio at fair value at December 31, 2008.

NOTE D WHOLLY OWNED INVESTMENT MANAGER

As part of the Formation Transactions, the Investment Manager became a wholly owned subsidiary of MSCC. However, the Investment Manager is accounted for as a portfolio investment of Main Street, since the Investment Manager is not an investment company and since it conducts a significant portion of its investment management activities for Main Street Capital II, LP (MSC II), a separate small business investment company (SBIC) fund, which is not part of MSCC or one of its subsidiaries. The Investment Manager receives recurring investment management fees from MSC II pursuant to a separate investment advisory agreement, paid quarterly, which currently total \$3.3 million per year, and the Investment Manager also receives other consulting or advisory fees from third parties (the External Services). The portfolio investment in the Investment Manager is accounted for using fair value accounting, with the fair value determined by Main Street and approved, in good faith, by Main Street s Board of Directors, based on the same valuation methodologies applied to determine the original \$18 million valuation. The valuation for the Investment Manager is based on the total estimated present value of the net cash flows received for the External Services, over the estimated dollar averaged life of the related investment advisory or consulting contract, and is also based on comparable public market transactions. The net cash flows utilized in the valuation of the Investment Manager exclude any revenues and expenses from MSCC and its subsidiaries but include the External Services and include an estimated allocation of costs related to providing services to MSC II and other third parties. Any change in fair value of the Investment Manager investment is recognized on Main Street s statement of operations as Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) in Investment in affiliated Investment Manager, with a corresponding increase (in the case of appreciation) or decrease (in the case of depreciation) to Investment in affiliated Investment Manager on Main Street s balance sheet. Main Street believes that the valuation for the Investment Manager will

generally decrease over the life of the investment advisory and consulting contracts with MSC II and other third parties, absent obtaining additional recurring cash flows from performing the External Services for other external investment entities or other third parties.

The Investment Manager has elected, for tax purposes, to be treated as a taxable entity and is taxed at normal corporate tax rates based on its taxable income. The taxable income of the Investment Manager may differ from its book income due to temporary book and tax timing differences, as well as permanent differences. The Investment Manager provides for any current taxes payable and deferred tax items in its separate financial statements.

26

MSCC has a support services agreement with the Investment Manager that is structured to provide reimbursement to the Investment Manager for any personnel, administrative and other costs it incurs in conducting its operational and investment management activities in excess of the fees received for the External Services. As a wholly owned subsidiary of MSCC, the Investment Manager manages the day-to-day operational and investment activities of MSCC and its subsidiaries, as well as the investment activities of MSC II. The Investment Manager pays personnel and other administrative expenses, except those specifically required to be borne by MSCC, which principally include direct costs that are specific to MSCC s status as a publicly traded entity. The expenses paid by the Investment Manager include the cost of salaries and related benefits, rent, equipment and other administrative costs required for day-to-day operations.

Pursuant to the support services agreement with MSCC, the Investment Manager is reimbursed by MSCC for its excess cash expenses associated with providing investment management and other services to MSCC and its subsidiaries, as well as MSC II and other third parties. Each quarter, as part of the support services agreement, MSCC makes payments to cover all cash expenses incurred by the Investment Manager, less the External Services fees that the Investment Manager receives from MSC II and other third parties pursuant to long-term investment advisory agreements and consulting agreements. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the expenses reimbursed by MSCC to the Investment Manager were \$79,938 and \$444,738, respectively.

In its separate stand alone financial statements as summarized below, the Investment Manager recognized an \$18 million intangible asset related to the investment advisory agreement with MSC II and consistent with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 54, Application of Pushdown Basis of Accounting in Financial Statements of Subsidiaries Acquired by Purchase (SAB 54). Under SAB 54, push-down accounting is required in purchase transactions that result in an entity becoming substantially wholly owned. In this case, MSCC acquired 100% of the equity interests in the Investment Manager. Because the \$18 million value attributed to MSCC s investment in the Investment Manager was derived from the long-term, recurring management fees under the investment advisory agreement with MSC II, the same methodology used to determine the \$18 million valuation of the Investment Manager was utilized to establish the push-down accounting basis for the intangible asset. The intangible asset is being amortized over the estimated economic life of the investment advisory agreement with MSC II. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Investment Manager recognized \$506,263 and \$576,879 in amortization expense associated with the intangible asset. Amortization expense is not included in the expenses reimbursed by MSCC to the Investment Manager based upon the support services agreement between the two entities since it is non-cash in nature.

Summarized financial information from the separate financial statements of the Investment Manager is as follows:

| | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------------|
| | (U | naudited) |
| ASSETS Cash Accounts receivable Accounts receivable MSCC | \$ 94,561 119,050 | \$ 20,772 17,990 302,633 |
| Intangible asset (net of accumulated amortization of \$1,680,471 and \$1,174,207 as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively) Deposits and other | 16,319,529 80,801 | 16,825,793 103,392 |
| Total assets | \$ 16,613,941 | \$ 17,270,580 |
| LIABILITIES Accounts payable MSCC Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ 294,229 144,755 | \$ 589,360 |

 Total liabilities
 438,984
 589,360

 Equity
 16,174,957
 16,681,220

 Total liabilities and equity
 \$ 16,613,941
 \$ 17,270,580

27

| | Three months ended June 30, 2009 2008 | | | * | Six months er 2009 | nded June 30, 2008 |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | | (Unau | dite | d) | (Unau | dited) |
| Management fee income from MSC II | \$ | 831,300 | \$ | 831,300 | \$ 1,662,600 | \$ 1,662,600 |
| Other management advisory and consulting fees | | 48,500 | | | 114,125 | |
| Total income | | 879,800 | | 831,300 | 1,776,725 | 1,662,600 |
| EXPENSES | | | | | | |
| Salaries, benefits and other personnel costs | | (707,760) | | (833,420) | (1,469,809) | (1,684,922) |
| Occupancy expense | | (97,468) | | (41,964) | (176,321) | (87,163) |
| Professional expenses | | (5,079) | | (3,869) | (12,632) | (5,199) |
| Amortization expense intangible asset | | (255,858) | | (290,941) | (506,263) | (576,879) |
| Other | | (115,006) | | (170,218) | (197,901) | (330,054) |
| Expense reimbursement from MSCC | | 45,513 | | 218,171 | 79,938 | 444,738 |
| Total net expenses | | (1,135,658) | | (1,122,241) | (2,282,988) | (2,239,479) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | (255,858) | \$ | (290,941) | \$ (506,263) | \$ (576,879) |

NOTE E SBIC DEBENTURES

SBIC debentures payable at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were \$55 million. SBIC debentures provide for interest to be paid semi-annually, with principal due at the applicable 10-year maturity date. The weighted average interest rate as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was 5.78%. The first principal maturity due under the existing SBIC debentures is in 2013, and the weighted average duration is approximately 5.9 years. The Fund is subject to regular compliance examinations by the Small Business Administration. There have been no historical findings resulting from these examinations.

NOTE F INVESTMENT AND TREASURY CREDIT FACILITIES

On October 24, 2008, Main Street entered into a \$30 million, three-year investment credit facility (the Investment Facility) with Branch Banking and Trust Company (BB&T) and Compass Bank, as lenders, and BB&T, as administrative agent for the lenders. The purpose of the Investment Facility is to provide additional liquidity in support of future investment and operational activities. The Investment Facility allows for an increase in the total size of the facility up to \$75 million, subject to certain conditions, and has a maturity date of October 24, 2011. Borrowings under the Investment Facility bear interest, subject to Main Street s election, on a per annum basis equal to (i) the applicable LIBOR rate plus 2.75% or (ii) the applicable base rate plus 0.75%. Main Street will pay unused commitment fees of 0.375% per annum on the average unused lender commitments under the Investment Facility. The Investment Facility is secured by certain assets of MSCC, MSEI and the Investment Manager. The Investment Facility contains certain affirmative and negative covenants, including but not limited to: (i) maintaining a minimum liquidity of not less than 10% of the aggregate principal amount outstanding, (ii) maintaining an interest coverage ratio of at least 2.0 to 1.0, and (iii) maintaining a minimum tangible net worth. At June 30, 2009, Main Street had no borrowings outstanding under the Investment Facility, and Main Street was in compliance with all covenants of the Investment Facility.

On December 31, 2007, Main Street entered into a treasury-based credit facility (the Treasury Facility) among Main Street, Wachovia Bank, National Association and BB&T, as administrative agent for the lenders. The purpose of the Treasury Facility was to provide flexibility in the sizing of portfolio investments and to facilitate the growth of Main Street s investment portfolio. Under the Treasury Facility, the lenders had agreed to extend revolving loans to Main Street in an amount not to exceed \$100 million; however, due to the maturation of Main Street s investment portfolio and the additional flexibility provided by the Investment Facility, Main Street unilaterally reduced the Treasury Facility from \$100 million to \$50 million during October 2008. The Treasury Facility had a two-year term and bore

interest, at Main Street s option, either (i) at the LIBOR rate or (ii) at a published prime rate of interest, plus 0.25% in each case. The applicable interest rates under the Treasury Facility would have been increased by 0.15% if usage under the Treasury Facility was in excess of 50% of the days within a given calendar quarter. The Treasury Facility required payment of 0.15% per annum in unused commitment fees based on average daily unused balances under the facility. The Treasury Facility was secured by certain securities accounts maintained for Main Street by BB&T and was also guaranteed by Main Street s wholly-owned Investment Manager. The Treasury Facility contained certain affirmative and negative covenants, including but not limited to: (i) maintaining a cash collateral coverage ratio of at least 1.01 to 1.0, (ii) maintaining an interest coverage ratio of at least 2.0 to 1.0, and (iii) maintaining a minimum tangible net worth. At June 30, 2009, Main Street had no borrowings outstanding under the Treasury Facility, and Main Street was in compliance with all covenants of the Treasury Facility. On July 10, 2009, Main Street unilaterally terminated the Treasury Facility in order to eliminate the unused commitment fees that would have been paid under this facility over its remaining term.

28

NOTE G FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

| | Six | Months Ei | nded J | June 30, |
|--|-----|-----------|--------|----------|
| Per Share Data: | | 2009 | | 2008 |
| Net asset value at beginning of period | \$ | 12.20 | \$ | 12.85 |
| Net investment income (1) | | 0.44 | | 0.57 |
| Net realized gains (1) (2) | | 0.14 | | 0.08 |
| Net change in unrealized depreciation on investments (1) (2) | | (0.17) | | (0.05) |
| Income tax (provision) benefit (1) (2) | | (0.06) | | 0.26 |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations (1) | | 0.35 | | 0.86 |
| Net decrease in net assets from dividends to stockholders Other (3) | | (0.75) | | (0.69) |
| Net asset value at June 30, 2009 and 2008 | \$ | 11.80 | \$ | 13.02 |
| Market value at June 30, 2009 and 2008 | \$ | 13.69 | \$ | 11.82 |
| Shares outstanding at June 30, 2009 and 2008 | 10 | ,558,632 | 8 | ,959,718 |

- (1) Based on weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period.
- (2) Net realized gains, net change in unrealized appreciation or depreciation, and income taxes can fluctuate significantly from period to period.
- (3) Includes the impact of the different share amounts as a result of calculating certain per share data based on

the weighted average basic shares outstanding during the period and certain per share data based on the shares outstanding as of a period end or transaction date.

| | Ended June 30, | |
|--|----------------|---------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net assets at end of period | \$ 124,580,685 | \$116,657,735 |
| Average net assets | 114,658,227 | 115,704,161 |
| Average outstanding debt | 55,000,000 | 55,000,000 |
| Ratio of total expenses, excluding interest expense, to average net assets (1) | 1.06% | 1.13% |
| Ratio of total expenses to average net assets (1) | 2.69% | 2.69% |
| Ratio of net investment income to average net assets (1) | 3.58% | 4.40% |
| Total return based on change in net asset value (2) | 2.91% | 6.68% |

Six Months

(1) Not annualized.

(2) Total return

based on change

in net asset

value was

calculated using

the sum of

ending net asset

value plus

distributions to

stockholders

during the

period less

equity issuances

during the

period, as

divided by the

beginning net

asset value.

NOTE H DIVIDEND, DISTRIBUTIONS AND TAXABLE INCOME

In September 2008, Main Street announced that it would begin making dividend payments on a monthly, as opposed to a quarterly, basis beginning in October 2008. Main Street s Board of Directors declared and Main Street paid monthly dividends of \$0.125 per share for each month beginning January 2009 through June 2009, totaling \$6.8 million, or \$0.75 per share, to stockholders for the period. During May 2009, Main Street s Board of Directors declared \$1.3 million or \$0.125 per share for the July 2009 monthly dividend. For the six months ended June 30,

2009, the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets reflects dividends declared for the monthly dividends paid from February 2009 through July 2009, totaling \$7.0 million, or \$0.75 per share, to stockholders for the period. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, Main Street s Board of Directors declared quarterly dividends of approximately \$6.2 million or \$0.69 per common share.

29

The determination of the tax attributes for Main Street s distributions is made annually, based upon its taxable income for the full year and distributions paid for the full year. Therefore, a determination made on an interim basis may not be representative of the actual tax attributes of distributions for a full year. Main Street s estimates that the tax attributes of its distributions year-to-date as of June 30, 2009 consist substantially of ordinary income. There can be no assurance that this estimate is representative of the final tax attributes of Main Street s 2009 distributions to its stockholders. Ordinary dividend distributions from a RIC do not qualify for the 15% maximum tax rate on dividend income from domestic corporations and qualified foreign corporations, except to the extent that the RIC received the income in the form of qualifying dividends from domestic corporations and qualified foreign corporations (which Main Street did not receive during the year-to-date period of 2009).

MSCC has elected to be treated for federal income tax purposes as a RIC. As a RIC, MSCC generally will not pay corporate-level federal income taxes on any net ordinary income or capital gains that MSCC distributes to its stockholders as dividends. MSCC must distribute at least 90% of its investment company taxable income to qualify for pass-through tax treatment and maintain its RIC status. MSCC has distributed and currently intends to distribute sufficient dividends to qualify as a RIC. As part of maintaining RIC status, taxable income (subject to a 4% excise tax) pertaining to a given fiscal year may be distributed up to 12 months subsequent to the end of that fiscal year, provided such dividends are declared prior to the filing of MSCC s applicable federal income tax return.

One of MSCC s wholly owned subsidiaries, MSEI, is a taxable entity which holds certain portfolio investments for Main Street. MSEI is consolidated with Main Street for financial reporting purposes, and the portfolio investments held by MSEI are included in Main Street s consolidated financial statements. The principal purpose of MSEI is to permit Main Street to hold equity investments in portfolio companies which are pass through entities for tax purposes in order to comply with the source income requirements contained in the RIC tax provisions of the Code. MSEI is not consolidated with Main Street for income tax purposes and may generate income tax expense as a result of its ownership of various portfolio investments. This income tax expense, if any, is reflected in Main Street s Consolidated Statement of Operations.

Listed below is a reconciliation of Net Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets Resulting from Operations to taxable income and also to total distributions declared to common stockholders for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

| | Six Months E 2009 | nded June 30, 2008 |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | (estin | nated) |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | \$ 3,271,339 | \$ 7,690,732 |
| Share based compensation expense | 391,452 | |
| Net change in unrealized depreciation on investments | 1,569,674 | 461,944 |
| Income tax provision (benefit) | 582,887 | (2,351,636) |
| Pre-tax book income of taxable subsidiary, MSEI, not consolidated for tax | | |
| purposes | (623,926) | (1,073,542) |
| Book income and tax income differences, including debt origination, structuring | | |
| fees and realized gains | (75,272) | 1,643,899 |
| Taxable income | 5,116,154 | 6,371,397 |
| Taxable income earned in prior year and carried forward for distribution in current | | |
| year | 2,799,963 | 1,445,059 |
| Taxable income earned in current period and carried forward for distribution | (899,191) | (1,634,251) |
| Total distributions to common stockholders | \$ 7,016,926 | \$ 6,182,205 |

NOTE I DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT PLAN

Main Street s DRIP provides for the reinvestment of dividends on behalf of its stockholders, unless a stockholder has elected to receive dividends in cash. As a result, if Main Street declares a cash dividend, the company s stockholders who have not opted out of the DRIP by the dividend record date will have their cash dividend automatically reinvested into additional shares of MSCC common stock. Main Street has the option to satisfy the share requirements of the DRIP through the issuance of shares of common stock or through open market purchases of common stock by the DRIP plan administrator. Newly issued shares will be valued based upon the final closing price of MSCC s common stock on the valuation date determined for each dividend by Main Street s Board of Directors. Shares purchased in the open market to satisfy the DRIP requirements will be valued based upon the average price of the applicable shares purchased by the DRIP plan administrator, before any associated brokerage or other costs. Main Street s DRIP is administered by its transfer agent on behalf of Main Street s record holders and participating brokerage firms. Brokerage firms and other financial intermediaries may decide not to participate in Main Street s DRIP but may provide a similar dividend reinvestment plan.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, \$2.7 million of the total \$6.8 million in dividends paid to stockholders represented DRIP participation. During this period, Main Street satisfied the DRIP participation requirements with the purchase of 169,742 shares of common stock in the open market and the issuance of 79,193 new shares based upon the closing price on the day before the payment date. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, \$2.4 million of the total \$6.2 million distribution to stockholders represented DRIP participation and 174,781 shares of common stock were purchased in the open market to satisfy the DRIP participation requirements. The shares disclosed above relate only to Main Street s DRIP and exclude any activity related to broker-managed dividend reinvestment plans.

NOTE J SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Main Street accounts for its share-based compensation plans using the fair value method, as prescribed by FAS 123R. Accordingly, for restricted stock awards, Main Street measured the grant date fair value based upon the market price of its common stock on the date of the grant and will amortize this fair value to share-based compensation expense over the requisite service period or vesting term.

On July 1, 2008, Main Street s Board of Directors approved the issuance of 245,645 shares of restricted stock to Main Street employees pursuant to the Main Street Capital Corporation 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. These shares will vest over a four-year period from the grant date and will be expensed over the four-year service period starting on the grant date.

On July 1, 2008, a total of 20,000 shares of restricted stock was issued to Main Street s independent directors pursuant to the Main Street Capital Corporation 2008 Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock Plan. One-half of those shares vested immediately on the grant date, and the remaining half vested on the day immediately preceding the June 2009 annual meeting of stockholders. As a result, 50% of those shares were expensed during July 2008, and the remaining 50% were expensed over a one-year service period starting on the grant date and ending in June 2009.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, Main Street recognized total share-based compensation expense of \$391,452 related to the restricted stock issued to Main Street employees and Main Street s independent directors.

As of June 30, 2009, there was \$1,988,714 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to Main Street s non-vested restricted shares. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 3.0 years.

NOTE K STOCK OFFERING

In June 2009, Main Street completed a public stock offering consisting of the public offering and sale of 1,437,500 shares of common stock, including the underwriters—exercise of the over-allotment option, at a price to the public of \$12.10 per share. The offering resulted in total net proceeds of approximately \$16.2 million, after deducting underwriters—commissions and offering costs.

NOTE L EARNINGS PER SHARE

On January 1, 2009, Main Street adopted the provisions of FSP EITF 03-6-1. Based on this new staff position, Main Street included performance-based restricted stock in its calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share when it believes it is probable the performance criteria will be met and the forfeiture provisions have not lapsed.

NOTE M COMMITMENTS

At June 30, 2009, Main Street had three outstanding commitments to fund unused revolving loans for up to \$1,200,000 in total.

31

NOTE N SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW DISCLOSURES

Listed below are supplemental cash flow disclosures for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--|
| | 2009 | 2008 | |
| Interest paid | \$ 1,668,98 | 3 \$ 1,627,345 | |
| Taxes paid | \$ 381,53 | 3 \$ 310,000 | |
| Non-cash financing activities: | | | |
| Shares issued pursuant to the DRIP | \$ 980,57 | 6 \$ | |

NOTE O RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

We co-invested with MSC II in several existing portfolio investments prior to the IPO, but did not co-invest with MSC II subsequent to the IPO and prior to June 2008. In June 2008, we received exemptive relief from the SEC to allow us to resume co-investing with MSC II in accordance with the terms of such exemptive relief. MSC II is managed by the Investment Manager, and the Investment Manager is wholly owned by MSCC. MSC II is an SBIC fund with similar investment objectives to Main Street and which began its investment operations in January 2006. The co-investments among Main Street and MSC II had all been made at the same time and on the same terms and conditions. The co-investments were also made in accordance with the Investment Manager s conflicts policy and in accordance with the applicable SBIC conflict of interest regulations.

As discussed further in Note D to the accompanying consolidated financial statements, subsequent to the completion of the Formation Transactions, the Investment Manager is a wholly owned portfolio company of Main Street. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Investment Manager had a payable of \$294,229 and a receivable of \$302,633, respectively, with MSCC related to the funding of cash expenses required to support MSCC s business.

NOTE P SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 1, 2009, Main Street s Board of Directors approved the issuance of 99,312 shares of restricted stock to Main Street employees pursuant to the Main Street Capital Corporation 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. These shares will vest over a four-year period from the grant date and will be expensed over a four-year service period starting on the grant date.

On July 1, 2009, a total of 8,512 shares of restricted stock was issued to Main Street s independent directors pursuant to the Main Street Capital Corporation 2008 Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock Plan. These shares will vest on the day immediately preceding the next annual meeting at which Main Street stockholders elect directors, provided that these independent directors have been in continuous service as members of the Board through such date. As a result, these shares will be expensed over a one-year service period starting on the grant date.

On July 10, 2009, Main Street unilaterally terminated the Treasury Facility in order to eliminate the unused commitment fees that would have been paid under this facility over its remaining term. Main Street did not intend to renew the Treasury Facility at its maturity date of December 31, 2009 based upon the funding available from its recent follow-on equity offering, funding availability under its separate \$30 million, three-year Investment Facility, and additional funding capacity available through participation in the SBIC program.

Main Street has performed an evaluation of subsequent events through August 7, 2009, which is the date the financial statements were issued.

32

Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The information in this section contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Please see Risk Factors and Cautionary Statement Concerning Forward Looking Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed with the SEC on March 13, 2009, for a discussion of the uncertainties, risks and assumptions associated with these statements. You should read the following discussion in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes and other financial information included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

ORGANIZATION

Main Street Capital Corporation (MSCC) was formed on March 9, 2007 for the purpose of (i) acquiring 100% of the equity interests of Main Street Mezzanine Fund, LP (the Fund) and its general partner, Main Street Mezzanine Management, LLC (the General Partner), (ii) acquiring 100% of the equity interests of Main Street Capital Partners, LLC (the Investment Manager), (iii) raising capital in an initial public offering, which was completed in October 2007 (the IPO), and (iv) thereafter operating as an internally managed business development company (BDC) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act). The transactions discussed above were consummated in October 2007 and are collectively termed the Formation Transactions. Immediately following the Formation Transactions, Main Street Equity Interests, Inc. (MSEI) was formed as a wholly owned consolidated subsidiary of MSCC. MSEI has elected for tax purposes to be treated as a taxable entity and is taxed at normal corporate tax rates based on its taxable income. Unless otherwise noted or the context otherwise indicates, the terms we, us, our and Mastreet refer to MSCC and its subsidiaries, including the Fund, the General Partner and MSEI.

OVERVIEW

We are a principal investment firm focused on providing customized debt and equity financing to lower middle-market companies, which we generally define as companies with annual revenues between \$10 million and \$100 million that operate in diverse industries. We invest primarily in secured debt instruments, equity investments, warrants and other securities of lower middle-market companies based in the United States. Our principal investment objective is to maximize our portfolio s total return by generating current income from our debt investments and capital appreciation from our equity and equity-related investments, including warrants, convertible securities and other rights to acquire equity securities in a portfolio company. Our investments generally range in size from \$2 million to \$15 million.

Our investments are generally made through both MSCC and the Fund. Since the IPO, MSCC and the Fund have co-invested in substantially every investment we have made. MSCC and the Fund share the same investment strategies and criteria in the lower middle-market, although they are subject to different regulatory regimes. An investor s return in MSCC will depend, in part, on the Fund s investment returns as the Fund is a wholly owned subsidiary of MSCC.

We seek to fill the current financing gap for lower middle-market businesses, which, historically, have had limited access to financing from commercial banks and other traditional sources. Given the current credit environment, we believe the limited access to financing for lower middle market companies is even more pronounced. The underserved nature of the lower middle market creates the opportunity for us to meet the financing needs of lower middle-market companies while also negotiating favorable transaction terms and equity participations. Our ability to invest across a company s capital structure, from senior secured loans to equity securities, allows us to offer portfolio companies a comprehensive suite of financing solutions, or one stop financing. Providing customized, one stop financing solutions has become even more relevant to our portfolio companies in the current credit environment. We generally seek to partner directly with entrepreneurs, management teams and business owners in making our investments. Main Street believes that its core investment strategy has a lower correlation to the broader debt and equity markets.

Due to the uncertainties in the current economic environment and our desire to maintain adequate liquidity, we intend to be very selective in our near term portfolio growth. The level of new investment activity, and associated interest and fee income, will directly impact future investment income. In addition, the level of dividends paid by portfolio companies and the portion of our portfolio debt investments on non-accrual status will directly impact future investment income. While we intend to grow our portfolio and our investment income over the long-term, our growth

and our operating results may be more limited during depressed economic periods. However, we intend to appropriately manage our cost structure and liquidity position based on applicable economic conditions and our investment outlook. The level of realized gains or losses and unrealized appreciation or depreciation will also fluctuate depending upon portfolio activity and the performance of our individual portfolio companies. The changes in realized gains and losses and unrealized appreciation or depreciation could have a material impact on our operating results.

33

During 2008, we paid approximately \$1.425 per share in dividends. In December 2008, we declared monthly dividends for the first quarter of 2009 totaling \$0.375 per share representing a 10.3% increase from the dividends paid in the first quarter of 2008. In March 2009, we declared monthly dividends for the second quarter of 2009 totaling \$0.375 per share representing a 7.1% increase from the dividends paid in the second quarter of 2008. In May 2009, we declared monthly dividends for the third quarter of 2009 totaling \$0.375 per share representing a 4.2% increase from the dividends paid in the third quarter of 2008. Including the dividends declared for the third quarter of 2009, we will have paid approximately \$2.88 per share in cumulative dividends since our October 2007 initial public offering. For tax purposes, the monthly dividend paid in January 2009 was applied against the 2008 taxable income distribution requirements since it was declared and accrued prior to December 31, 2008. Excluding the impact for the tax treatment of the January 2009 dividend, we estimate that we generated undistributed taxable income (or spillover income) of approximately \$4 million, or \$0.43 per share, during 2008 that was carried forward toward distributions paid in 2009. For the 2009 calendar year, we estimate that we will pay dividends in the range of \$1.50 to \$1.65 per share representing an increase of 5.3% to 15.8% over the total dividends per share paid during calendar year 2008. The estimated range for total 2009 dividends is based upon projections of 2009 taxable income, anticipated 2009 portfolio activity, and the \$4 million of estimated 2008 spillover income that is utilized to pay dividends during 2009. During June 2009, Main Street completed a follow-on public stock offering consisting of the sale of 1,437,500 shares of common stock resulting in total net proceeds of approximately \$16.2 million, after deducting underwriters commissions and offering costs.

At June 30, 2009, we had \$50.2 million in cash and cash equivalents plus idle funds investments. During October 2008, we closed a \$30 million multi-year investment line of credit. Due to our existing cash, cash equivalents, idle fund investments and available leverage, we expect to have sufficient cash resources to support our investment and operational activities for the remainder of 2009 and through most of calendar year 2010. However, this projection will be impacted by, among other things, the pace of new and follow-on investments, debt repayments and investment redemptions, the level of cash flow from operations and cash flow from realized gains, and the level of dividends we pay in cash.

The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 enacted in February 2009 (the 2009 Stimulus Bill) contains several provisions applicable to Small Business Investment Company (SBIC) funds, including the Fund, our wholly owned subsidiary. One of the key SBIC-related provisions included in the 2009 Stimulus Bill increased the maximum amount of combined SBIC leverage (or SBIC leverage cap) to \$225 million for affiliated SBIC funds. The prior maximum amount of SBIC leverage available to affiliated SBIC funds was approximately \$137 million, as adjusted annually based upon changes in the Consumer Price Index. Due to the increase in the maximum amount of SBIC leverage available, we now have access to incremental SBIC leverage to support our future investment activities. Since the increase in the SBIC leverage cap applies to affiliated SBIC funds, we will allocate such increased borrowing capacity between the Fund and Main Street Capital II, LP (MSC II), an independently owned SBIC that is managed by the Investment Manager and therefore deemed to be affiliated for SBIC regulatory purposes. It is currently estimated that at least \$65 million of additional SBIC leverage is now accessible by Main Street for future investment activities, subject to the required capitalization of the Fund. Based upon the net proceeds from the June 2009 follow-on equity offering and existing cash and idle funds investments, Main Street estimates that it has the required capitalization to access most of the \$65 million in incremental SBIC leverage available under the provisions of the Stimulus Bill.

In our view, the SBIC leverage, including the increased capacity, remains a strategic advantage due to its long-term, flexible structure and a low fixed cost. The SBIC leverage also provides proper matching of duration and cost compared with our portfolio investments. The weighted average duration of our portfolio debt investments is approximately 3.2 years compared to a weighted average duration of 5.9 years for our SBIC leverage. Approximately 86% of portfolio debt investments bear interest at fixed rates which is also appropriately matched by the long-term, low cost fixed rates available through our SBIC leverage. In addition, we believe the embedded value of our SBIC leverage would be significant if we adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (FAS 159) relating to accounting for debt obligations at their fair value.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP). For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the consolidated financial statements of Main Street include the accounts of MSCC, the Fund, MSEI and the General Partner. The Investment Manager is accounted for as a portfolio investment. Main Street is results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, and cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, and financial positions as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 are presented on a consolidated basis. The effects of all intercompany transactions between Main Street and its subsidiaries have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Main Street are presented in conformity with U.S. GAAP for interim financial information and pursuant to the requirements for reporting on Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, certain disclosures accompanying annual financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP are omitted. In the opinion of our management, the unaudited consolidated financial results included herein contain all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring accruals considered necessary for the fair presentation of financial statements for the interim periods included herein. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results to be expected for the full year. Also, the unaudited financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with our audited financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2008. Financial statements prepared on a U.S. GAAP basis require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts and disclosures reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Such estimates and assumptions could change in the future as more information becomes known, which could impact the amounts reported and disclosed herein.

Under the investment company rules and regulations pursuant to Article 6 of Regulation S-X and the Audit and Accounting Guide for Investment Companies issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (the AICPA Guide), we are precluded from consolidating portfolio company investments, including those in which we have a controlling interest, unless the portfolio company is another investment company. An exception to this general principle in the AICPA Guide occurs if we own a controlled operating company that provides all or substantially all of its services directly to us, or to an investment company of ours. None of the investments made by us qualify for this exception. Therefore, our portfolio investments are carried on the balance sheet at fair value, as discussed further in Note B to our consolidated financial statements, with any adjustments to fair value recognized as Net Change in Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) from Investments on our Statement of Operations until the investment is disposed of, resulting in any gain or loss on exit being recognized as a Net Realized Gain (Loss) from Investments.

Portfolio Investment Valuation

The most significant estimate inherent in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements is the valuation of our portfolio investments and the related amounts of unrealized appreciation and depreciation. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, approximately 71% and 74%, respectively, of our total assets represented investments in portfolio companies valued at fair value (including the investment in the Investment Manager). We are required to report our investments at fair value. We adopted the provisions of FAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (FAS 157) in the first quarter of 2008. FAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, establishes a fair value hierarchy based on the quality of inputs used to measure fair value, and enhances disclosure requirements for fair value measurements.

Our business plan calls for us to invest primarily in illiquid securities issued by private companies. These investments may be subject to restrictions on resale and will generally have no established trading market. As a result, we determine in good faith the fair value of our portfolio investments pursuant to a valuation policy in accordance with FAS 157 and a valuation process approved by our Board of Directors and in accordance with the 1940 Act. We review external events, including private mergers, sales and acquisitions involving comparable companies, and include these events in the valuation process. Our valuation policy is intended to provide a consistent basis for determining the fair value of the portfolio.

For valuation purposes, control investments are composed of equity and debt securities for which we have a controlling interest in the portfolio company or have the ability to nominate a majority of the portfolio company s

board of directors. Market quotations are generally not readily available for our control investments. As a result, we determine the fair value of control investments using a combination of market and income approaches. Under the market approach, we will typically use the enterprise value methodology to determine the fair value of these investments. The enterprise value is the fair value at which an enterprise could be sold in a transaction between two willing parties, other than through a forced or liquidation sale. Typically, private companies are bought and sold based on multiples of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, cash flows, net income, revenues, or in limited cases, book value. There is no single methodology for estimating enterprise value. For any one portfolio company, enterprise value is generally described as a range of values from which a single estimate of enterprise value is derived. In estimating the enterprise value of a portfolio company, we analyze various factors, including the portfolio company s historical and projected financial results. We allocate the enterprise value to investments in order of the legal priority of the investments. We will also use the income approach to determine the fair value of these securities, based on projections of the discounted future free cash flows that the portfolio company or the debt security will likely generate. The valuation approaches for our control investments estimate the value of the investment if we were to sell, or exit, the investment, assuming the highest and best use of the investment by market participants. In addition, these valuation approaches consider the value associated with our ability to control the capital structure of the portfolio company, as well as the timing of a potential exit.

Table of Contents

For valuation purposes, non-control investments are composed of debt and equity securities for which we do not have a controlling interest in the portfolio company, or the ability to nominate a majority of the portfolio company s board of directors. Market quotations for our non-control investments are not readily available. For our non-control investments, we use a combination of the market and income approaches to value our equity investments and the income approach to value our debt instruments. For non-control debt investments, we determine the fair value primarily using a yield approach that analyzes the discounted cash flows of interest and principal for the debt security, as set forth in the associated loan agreements, as well as the financial position and credit risk of each of these portfolio investments. Our estimate of the expected repayment date of a debt security is generally the legal maturity date of the instrument, as we generally intend to hold our loans to maturity. The yield analysis considers changes in leverage levels, credit quality, portfolio company performance and other factors. We will use the value determined by the yield analysis as the fair value for that security; however, because of our general intent to hold our loans to maturity, the fair value will not exceed the face amount of the debt security. A change in the assumptions that we use to estimate the fair value of our debt securities using the yield analysis could have a material impact on the determination of fair value. If there is deterioration in credit quality or a debt security is in workout status, we may consider other factors in determining the fair value of a debt security, including the value attributable to the debt security from the enterprise value of the portfolio company or the proceeds that would be received in a liquidation analysis.

Due to the inherent uncertainty in the valuation process, our estimate of fair value may differ materially from the values that would have been used had a ready market for the securities existed. In addition, changes in the market environment, portfolio company performance and other events that may occur over the lives of the investments may cause the gains or losses ultimately realized on these investments to be different than the valuations currently assigned. We determine the fair value of each individual investment and record changes in fair value as unrealized appreciation or depreciation.

Revenue Recognition

Interest and Dividend Income

We record interest and dividend income on the accrual basis to the extent amounts are expected to be collected. Dividend income is recorded as dividends are declared or at the point an obligation exists for the portfolio company to make a distribution. In accordance with our valuation policy, we evaluate accrued interest and dividend income periodically for collectability. When a loan or debt security becomes 90 days or more past due, and if we otherwise do not expect the debtor to be able to service all of its debt or other obligations, we will generally place the loan or debt security on non-accrual status and cease recognizing interest income on that loan or debt security until the borrower has demonstrated the ability and intent to pay contractual amounts due. If a loan or debt security is status significantly improves regarding ability to service the debt or other obligations, or if a loan or debt security is fully impaired or written off, we will remove it from non-accrual status.

Fee Income

We may periodically provide services, including structuring and advisory services, to our portfolio companies. For services that are separately identifiable and evidence exists to substantiate fair value, income is recognized as earned, which is generally when the investment or other applicable transaction closes. Fees received in connection with debt financing transactions for services that do not meet these criteria are treated as debt origination fees and are accreted into interest income over the life of the financing.

Payment-in-Kind (PIK) Interest

While not significant to our total debt investment portfolio, we currently hold several loans in our portfolio that contain PIK interest provisions. The PIK interest, computed at the contractual rate specified in each loan agreement, is added to the principal balance of the loan and recorded as interest income. To maintain regulated investment company (RIC) tax treatment (as discussed below), this non-cash source of income will need to be paid out to stockholders in the form of distributions, even though we may not have collected the cash. We will stop accruing PIK interest and write off any accrued and uncollected interest when it is determined that PIK interest is no longer collectible.

Share-Based Compensation

We account for our share-based compensation plans using the fair value method, as prescribed by FAS No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment*. Accordingly, for restricted stock awards, we measured the grant date fair value based upon the market price of our common stock on the date of the grant and will amortize this fair value to share-based compensation expense over the requisite service period or vesting term.

Income Taxes

MSCC has elected and intends to qualify for the tax treatment applicable to a RIC under Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), and, among other things, intends to make the required distributions to our stockholders as specified therein. As a RIC, we generally will not pay corporate-level federal income taxes on any net ordinary income or capital gains that we distribute to our stockholders as dividends. Depending on the level of taxable income earned in a tax year, we may choose to carry forward taxable income in excess of current year distributions into the next tax year and pay a 4% excise tax on such income. Any such carryover taxable income must be distributed through a dividend declared prior to filing the final tax return related to the year which generated such taxable income.

MSCC s wholly owned subsidiary, MSEI, is a taxable entity which holds certain of our portfolio investments. MSEI is consolidated with Main Street for U.S. GAAP reporting purposes, and the portfolio investments held by MSEI are included in our consolidated financial statements. The principal purpose of MSEI is to permit us to hold equity investments in portfolio companies which are pass through entities for tax purposes in order to comply with the source income requirements contained in the RIC tax provisions. MSEI is not consolidated with Main Street for income tax purposes and may generate income tax expense as a result of MSEI s ownership of certain portfolio investments. This income tax expense, if any, is reflected in our consolidated statement of operations.

MSEI uses the liability method in accounting for income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded for temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the financial statements, using statutory tax rates in effect for the year in which the temporary differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is provided against deferred tax assets when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized.

PORTFOLIO COMPOSITION

Portfolio investments principally consist of secured debt, equity warrants and direct equity investments in privately held companies. The debt investments are secured by either a first or second lien on the assets of the portfolio company, generally bear interest at fixed rates, and generally mature between five and seven years from the original investment. In most portfolio companies, we also receive nominally priced equity warrants and/or make direct equity investments, usually in connection with a debt investment.

The Investment Manager is a wholly owned subsidiary of MSCC. However, the Investment Manager is accounted for as a portfolio investment of Main Street, since it conducts a significant portion of its investment management activities outside of MSCC and its subsidiaries. To allow for more relevant disclosure of our core investment portfolio, our investment in the Investment Manager has been excluded from the tables and amounts set forth below. Summaries of the composition of our core investment portfolio at cost and fair value as a percentage of total core portfolio investments are shown in the following table:

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|------------------|----------|--------------|
| Cost: | 2009 | 2008 |
| First lien debt | 74.3% | 76.2% |
| Equity | 12.9% | 11.0% |
| Second lien debt | 7.3% | 7.4% |
| Equity warrants | 5.5% | 5.4% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Table of Contents

| Fair Value: | June 30, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| First lien debt | 63.7% | 67.0% |
| Equity | 18.2% | 15.7% |
| Equity warrants | 11.1% | 10.2% |
| Second lien debt | 7.0% | 7.1% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

The following table shows the core portfolio composition by geographic region of the United States at cost and fair value as a percentage of total core portfolio investments. The geographic composition is determined by the location of the corporate headquarters of the portfolio company:

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|-----------|----------|--------------|
| Cost: | 2009 | 2008 |
| Southwest | 47.7% | 50.2% |
| West | 34.6% | 36.3% |
| Southeast | 8.0% | 5.1% |
| Northeast | 5.3% | 3.7% |
| Midwest | 4.4% | 4.7% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|-------------|----------|--------------|
| Fair Value: | 2009 | 2008 |
| Southwest | 55.8% | 56.0% |
| West | 29.8% | 31.1% |
| Northeast | 5.4% | 3.7% |
| Southeast | 4.8% | 4.1% |
| Midwest | 4.2% | 5.1% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

38

Table of Contents

Main Street s core portfolio investments are generally in lower middle-market companies conducting business in a variety of industries. Set forth below are tables showing the composition of Main Street s core portfolio by industry at cost and fair value as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

| | June 30 , | December 31, |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| Cost: | 2009 | 2008 |
| Industrial equipment | 11.4% | 12.0% |
| Precast concrete manufacturing | 10.3% | 11.3% |
| Custom wood products | 9.0% | 9.3% |
| Agricultural services | 8.3% | 8.3% |
| Professional services | 7.7% | 4.1% |
| Electronics manufacturing | 7.4% | 7.6% |
| Retail | 6.5% | 6.5% |
| Transportation/Logistics | 6.2% | 6.6% |
| Restaurant | 5.8% | 6.1% |
| Mining and minerals | 4.7% | 4.8% |
| Manufacturing | 4.4% | 4.7% |
| Health care products | 4.2% | 5.8% |
| Health care services | 4.1% | 4.2% |
| Metal fabrication | 3.1% | 3.4% |
| Equipment rental | 2.0% | 2.1% |
| Governmental services | 1.7% | 0.0% |
| Infrastructure products | 1.6% | 1.7% |
| Information services | 0.9% | 0.9% |
| Industrial services | 0.6% | 0.5% |
| Distribution | 0.1% | 0.1% |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

| | June 30, | December 31, |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Fair Value: | 2009 | 2008 |
| Precast concrete manufacturing | 12.9% | 13.7% |
| Agricultural services | 9.1% | 8.1% |
| Professional services | 8.3% | 5.4% |
| Industrial equipment | 7.3% | 10.2% |
| Health care services | 7.1% | 6.1% |
| Electronics manufacturing | 7.0% | 7.7% |
| Retail | 6.7% | 7.0% |
| Restaurant | 6.8% | 6.7% |
| Transportation/Logistics | 6.6% | 6.5% |
| Custom wood products | 6.3% | 6.8% |
| Metal fabrication | 4.8% | 4.3% |
| Health care products | 4.4% | 5.8% |
| Manufacturing | 4.2% | 5.1% |
| Industrial services | 3.2% | 2.8% |
| Equipment rental | 2.2% | 2.0% |
| Governmental services | 1.7% | 0.0% |
| Information services | 0.7% | 0.9% |

| Infrastructure products | 0.4% | 0.5% |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|
| Distribution | 0.3% | 0.4% |
| | | |
| | 100.0% | 100.0% |

39

Our core portfolio investments carry a number of risks including, but not limited to: (1) investing in lower middle-market companies which may have a limited operating history and financial resources; (2) holding investments that are not publicly traded and which may be subject to legal and other restrictions on resale; and (3) other risks common to investing in below investment grade debt and equity investments in private, smaller companies.

PORTFOLIO ASSET QUALITY

We utilize an internally developed investment rating system for our entire portfolio of investments. Investment Rating 1 represents a portfolio company that is performing in a manner which significantly exceeds expectations and projections. Investment Rating 2 represents a portfolio company that, in general, is performing above expectations. Investment Rating 3 represents a portfolio company that is generally performing in accordance with expectations. Investment Rating 4 represents a portfolio company that is underperforming expectations. Investments with such a rating require increased Main Street monitoring and scrutiny. Investment Rating 5 represents a portfolio company that is significantly underperforming. Investments with such a rating require heightened levels of Main Street monitoring and scrutiny and involve the recognition of significant unrealized depreciation on such investment.

The following table shows the distribution of our core investments on our 1 to 5 investment rating scale at fair value as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

| | June 30, 2009 | | | | Decemb | er 31, 2008 |
|--------------------------|---------------|-----------|------------------------|-------|----------|------------------------|
| | Inv | vestments | | Inv | estments | |
| | | at | Percentage of Total | | at | Percentage of Total |
| Investment Rating | Fa | ir Value | Portfolio | Fa | ir Value | Portfolio |
| | | | (Unau | dited | l) | |
| | | | (dollars in a | thous | ands) | |
| 1 | \$ | 27,368 | 24.4% | \$ | 27,523 | 24.9% |
| 2 | | 21,472 | 19.0% | | 23,150 | 21.0% |
| 3 | | 46,043 | 41.0% | | 53,123 | 48.1% |
| 4 | | 17,051 | 15.2% | | 6,035 | 5.5% |
| 5 | | 420 | 0.4% | | 500 | 0.5% |
| Totals | \$ | 112,354 | 100.0% | \$ | 110,331 | 100.0% |

Based upon our investment rating system, the weighted average rating of our portfolio as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, was approximately 2.5 and 2.4, respectively. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had one investment on non-accrual status. This investment comprised approximately 0.4% and 0.5%, respectively, of the core investment portfolio at fair value for each of the two periods presented above.

In the event that the United States economy remains in a recession, it is likely that the financial results of small- to mid-sized companies, like those in which we invest, could experience deterioration, which could ultimately lead to difficulty in meeting their debt service requirements and an increase in defaults. In addition, the end markets for certain of our portfolio companies products and services have experienced, and continue to experience, negative economic trends. We are seeing reduced operating results at several portfolio companies due to the general economic difficulties. We expect the trend of reduced operating results to continue throughout 2009. Consequently, we can provide no assurance that the performance of certain of our portfolio companies will not be negatively impacted by these economic or other conditions, which could also have a negative impact on our future results.

Discussion and Analysis of Results of Operations Comparison of three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008

| | Thr | ee Month | s Ende | ed June | | | |
|--|-----|----------|--------|---------------------|--------|----------|----------|
| | | 30 |), | | | Net Char | nge |
| | 2 | 2009 | 2 | 2008 | Amount | | % |
| | | | (| (Unau dollars in | | ns) | |
| Total investment income | \$ | 3.6 | \$ | 4.2 | \$ | (0.6) | -14% |
| Total expenses | | (1.6) | | (1.6) | | (0.0) | 1% |
| Net investment income | | 2.0 | | 2.6 | | (0.6) | -23% |
| Total net realized gain from investments | | 0.4 | | 0.1 | | 0.3 | 330% |
| Net realized income | | 2.4 | | 2.7 | | (0.3) | -10% |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation | | | | | | | |
| (depreciation) from investments | | 1.8 | | (0.8) | | 2.6 | NM |
| Income tax benefit (provision) | | (0.5) | | 2.6 | | (3.1) | NM |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | \$ | 3.7 | \$ | 4.5 | \$ | (0.8) | -17% |

| | Thre | ee Month | s Ende | d June | | | |
|--|------|----------|--------|------------|--------|---------|----------|
| | | 3 | 0, | | | Net Cha | nge |
| | 2 | 009 | 2 | 800 | An | nount | % |
| | | | | (Unau | dited) | | |
| | | | (| dollars in | millio | ns) | |
| Net investment income | \$ | 2.0 | \$ | 2.6 | \$ | (0.6) | -23% |
| Share-based compensation expense | | 0.2 | | | | 0.2 | NM |
| Distributable net investment income (a) | | 2.2 | | 2.6 | | (0.4) | -16% |
| Total net realized gain from investments | | 0.4 | | 0.1 | | 0.3 | 330% |
| Distributable net realized income (a) | \$ | 2.6 | \$ | 2.7 | \$ | (0.1) | -3% |

(a) Distributable net investment income and distributable net realized income are net investment income and net realized income, respectively, as determined in accordance with

U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, excluding the impact of share-based compensation expense which is non-cash in nature. Main Street believes presenting distributable net investment income and distributable net realized income are useful and appropriate supplemental disclosures for analyzing its financial performance since share-based compensation does not require settlement in cash. However, distributable net investment income and distributable net realized income are non-GAAP measures and should not be considered as a replacement to net investment income, net realized income, and other earnings measures presented in accordance with GAAP. Instead,

distributable net investment income and distributable net realized income should be reviewed only in connection with such **GAAP** measures in analyzing Main Street s financial performance. A reconciliation of net investment income and net realized income in accordance with GAAP to distributable net investment income and distributable net realized income is presented in the table above.

Investment Income

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, total investment income was \$3.6 million, a \$0.6 million, or 14%, decrease over the \$4.2 million of total investment income for the three months ended June 30, 2008. This comparable period decrease was principally attributable to lower dividend income of \$0.8 million due to certain portfolio companies retaining their excess cash flow as additional cushion given reduced economic visibility and lower near-term earnings expectations, partially offset by higher interest income of \$0.2 million from idle funds investments.

Expenses

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, expenses totaled \$1.6 million, a 1% increase over total expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The increase in total expenses was primarily attributable to (i) \$0.2 million of share-based compensation expense related to non-cash amortization for restricted share grants made in July 2008, offset by a \$0.2 million decrease in general, administrative and other overhead expenses. The reduction in general, administrative and overhead costs primarily related to (i) lower accrued compensation expense given lower investment income levels, (ii) consulting fees received by the affiliated Investment Manager during the second quarter of 2009 and (iii) reduced costs for certain legal and administrative activities based upon developing internal resources to perform such activities.

Table of Contents

Distributable Net Investment Income

Distributable net investment income for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$2.2 million, or a 16% decrease, compared to distributable net investment income of \$2.6 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in distributable net investment income was primarily attributable to reduced levels of total investment income, partially offset by lower general, administrative and overhead expenses.

Net Investment Income

Net investment income for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$2.0 million, or a 23% decrease, compared to net investment income of \$2.6 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in net investment income was principally attributable to the decrease in total investment income as discussed above.

Distributable Net Realized Income

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, distributable net realized income was \$2.6 million, or a 3% decrease, over the distributable net realized income of \$2.7 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. This decrease in distributable net realized income was due to the lower level of distributable net investment income in the three months ended June 30, 2009, partially offset by an increase in the net realized gain during that period. For the three months ended June 30, 2009, the net realized gain from investments was \$0.4 million compared to net realized gains of \$0.1 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008. The net realized gain during the three months ended June 30, 2009 principally related to a \$0.3 million realized gain related to certain idle funds investments.

Net Realized Income

The lower net investment income in the three months ended June 30, 2009, partially offset by the higher net realized gain during that period, resulted in a \$0.3 million, or 10%, decrease in the net realized income for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared with the corresponding period in 2008.

Net Increase in Net Assets from Operations

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded a net change in unrealized appreciation in the amount of \$1.8 million, or a \$2.6 million increase, compared to the \$0.8 million net change in unrealized depreciation for the three months ended June 30, 2008. The \$1.8 million net change in unrealized appreciation for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was principally attributable to (i) unrealized appreciation on eleven investments in portfolio companies totaling \$3.9 million, partially offset by unrealized depreciation on six investments in portfolio companies totaling \$1.9 million and (ii) \$0.3 million in unrealized depreciation attributable to our investment in the affiliated Investment Manager. For the second quarter of 2009, we also recognized a net income tax provision of \$0.5 million principally related to deferred taxes on unrealized appreciation of equity investments held in our taxable subsidiary.

As a result of these events, our net increase in net assets resulting from operations during the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$3.7 million compared to a net increase in net assets resulting from operations of \$4.5 million during the three months ended June 30, 2008.

42

Table of Contents

Comparison of six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008

| | Si | ix Months 1 | Ende | ed June | | | |
|--|----|-------------|------|-------------|--------|----------|------|
| | | 30 |), | | | Net Char | nge |
| | | 2009 | | 2008 | Aı | nount | - % |
| | | | | (Unau | dited) | | |
| | | | | (dollars in | millio | ons) | |
| Total investment income | \$ | 7.2 | \$ | 8.2 | \$ | (1.0) | -12% |
| Total expenses | | (3.1) | | (3.1) | | 0.0 | -1% |
| Net investment income | | 4.1 | | 5.1 | | (1.0) | -19% |
| Total net realized gain from investments | | 1.3 | | 0.7 | | 0.6 | 86% |
| Net realized income | | 5.4 | | 5.8 | | (0.4) | -7% |
| Net change in unrealized appreciation | | | | | | | |
| (depreciation) from investments | | (1.5) | | (0.5) | | (1.0) | NM |
| Income tax benefit (provision) | | (0.6) | | 2.4 | | (3.0) | NM |
| Net increase in net assets resulting from operations | \$ | 3.3 | \$ | 7.7 | \$ | (4.4) | -57% |

| | Six | Months | Ended | June | | | |
|--|-----|--------|-------|------------|--------|----------|----------|
| | | 3 | 0, | | | Net Char | nge |
| | 2 | 009 | 2 | 008 | Ar | nount | % |
| | | | | (Unau | dited) | | |
| | | | (| dollars in | millio | ns) | |
| Net investment income | \$ | 4.1 | \$ | 5.1 | \$ | (1.0) | -19% |
| Share-based compensation expense | | 0.4 | | | | 0.4 | NM |
| Distributable net investment income (a) | | 4.5 | | 5.1 | | (0.6) | -12% |
| Total net realized gain from investments | | 1.3 | | 0.7 | | 0.6 | 86% |
| Distributable net realized income (a) | \$ | 5.8 | \$ | 5.8 | \$ | 0.0 | 0% |

(a) Distributable net investment income and distributable net realized income are net investment income and net realized income, respectively, as determined in accordance with U.S. generally

accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, excluding the impact of share-based compensation expense which is non-cash in nature. Main Street believes presenting distributable net investment income and distributable net realized income are useful and appropriate supplemental disclosures for analyzing its financial performance since share-based compensation does not require settlement in cash. However, distributable net investment income and distributable net realized income are non-GAAP measures and should not be considered as a replacement to net investment income, net realized income, and other earnings measures presented in accordance with

GAAP. Instead, distributable net

investment income and distributable net realized income should be reviewed only in connection with such **GAAP** measures in analyzing Main Street s financial performance. A reconciliation of net investment income and net realized income in accordance with GAAP to distributable net investment income and distributable net realized income is presented in the table above.

Investment Income

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, total investment income was \$7.2 million, a \$1.0 million, or 12%, decrease over the \$8.2 million of total investment income for the six months ended June 30, 2008. This comparable period decrease was principally attributable to (i) lower dividend income of \$0.8 million due to certain portfolio companies retaining their excess cash flow as additional cushion given reduced economic visibility and lower near-term earnings expectations and (ii) lower fee income of \$0.4 million due to slower portfolio growth given the uncertainty in the current economic environment; partially offset by higher interest income of \$0.2 million on higher average levels of portfolio debt investments.

Expenses

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, expenses totaled \$3.1 million, a 1% decrease over total expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in total expenses was primarily attributable to a \$0.5 million reduction in general, administrative and other overhead expenses. The reduction in general, administrative and overhead costs primarily related to (i) lower accrued compensation expense given lower investment income levels, (ii) consulting fees received by the affiliated Investment Manager during the first six months of 2009 and (iii) reduced costs for certain legal and administrative activities based upon developing internal resources to perform such activities. The decrease in general, administrative and other overhead expenses was partially offset by (i) \$0.4 million of share-based compensation expense related to non-cash amortization for restricted share grants made in July 2008, and (ii) \$0.1 million in interest expense principally related to unused commitment and other fees from the \$30 million investment credit facility entered into on October 24, 2008.

Table of Contents

Distributable Net Investment Income

Distributable net investment income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$4.5 million, or a 12% decrease, compared to distributable net investment income of \$5.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in distributable net investment income was primarily attributable to reduced levels of total investment income, partially offset by lower general, administrative and overhead expenses.

Net Investment Income

Net investment income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$4.1 million, or a 19% decrease, compared to net investment income of \$5.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The decrease in net investment income was principally attributable to the decrease in total investment income.

Distributable Net Realized Income

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, the net realized gain from investments was \$1.3 million, or an 86% increase, over the net realized gain of \$0.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The net realized gain during the six months ended June 30, 2009 principally included a \$0.7 million realized gain related to the partial exit of our equity investments in one portfolio company and a \$0.5 million realized gain related to certain idle funds investments. The lower level of distributable net investment income in the six months ended June 30, 2009, offset by the higher net realized gain during that period, resulted in no significant change for distributable net realized income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared with the corresponding period in 2008.

Net Realized Income

The lower net investment income in the six months ended June 30, 2009, partially offset by the higher net realized gain during that period, resulted in a \$0.4 million, or 7%, decrease in the net realized income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared with the corresponding period in 2008.

Net Increase in Net Assets from Operations

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded a net change in unrealized depreciation in the amount of \$1.5 million, or a \$1.0 million decrease, compared to the \$0.5 million net change in unrealized depreciation for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The \$1.5 million net change in unrealized depreciation for the first six months of 2009 was principally attributable to (i) \$1.1 million in accounting reversals of net unrealized appreciation attributable to the total net realized gain on the exit of the portfolio equity investments and idle funds investments discussed above, (ii) unrealized depreciation on thirteen investments in portfolio companies totaling \$5.5 million, partially offset by unrealized appreciation on ten investments in portfolio companies totaling \$5.0 million, and (iii) \$0.1 million in unrealized appreciation attributable to our investment in the affiliated Investment Manager based upon an increase in the contractual future cash flows from third party asset management and advisory activities. For the first six months of 2009, we also recognized a net income tax provision of \$0.6 million principally related to deferred taxes on unrealized appreciation of equity investments held in our taxable subsidiary.

As a result of these events, our net increase in net assets resulting from operations during the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$3.3 million compared to a net increase in net assets resulting from operations of \$7.7 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008.

44

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash Flows

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, we experienced a net decrease in cash and cash equivalents in the amount of \$1.0 million. During that period, we generated \$3.0 million of cash from our operating activities, primarily from distributable net investment income partially offset by decreases in accounts payable and increases in other assets. We used \$13.2 million in net cash from investing activities, principally including the funding of \$31.8 million for idle funds investments and the funding of \$6.6 million for new portfolio company investments, partially offset by \$20.5 million of cash proceeds from the sale of idle funds investments and \$4.8 million in cash proceeds from the repayment of debt investments. During the first six months of 2009, \$9.2 million in cash was provided by financing activities, which principally consisted of \$16.3 million in cash proceeds from a public stock offering, partially offset by \$5.8 million in dividends paid to stockholders and \$1.6 million in purchases of shares of our common stock as part of our share repurchase program.

For the six months ended June 30, 2008, we experienced a net decrease in cash and cash equivalents in the amount of \$1.0 million. During that period, we generated \$4.7 million of cash from our operating activities, primarily from distributable net investment income. We also generated \$0.4 million in net cash from investing activities, principally including the funding of new investments and several smaller follow-on investments for a total of \$30.2 million, offset by proceeds from the maturity of a \$24.1 million investment in idle funds investments, \$5.7 million in cash proceeds from repayment of debt investments and \$0.8 million of cash proceeds from the redemption and sale of equity investments. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, we used \$6.2 million in cash for financing activities, which principally consisted of dividends paid to stockholders.

Capital Resources

As of June 30, 2009, we had \$50.2 million in cash and cash equivalents plus idle funds investments, and our net assets totaled \$124.6 million. In June 2009, we completed a public stock offering consisting of the public offering and sale of 1,437,500 shares of common stock, including the underwriters—exercise of the over-allotment option, at a price to the public of \$12.10 per share, resulting in total net proceeds of approximately \$16.2 million, after deducting underwriters—commissions and offering costs.

On October 24, 2008, Main Street entered into a \$30 million, three-year investment credit facility (the Investment Facility) with Branch Banking and Trust Company (BB&T) and Compass Bank, as lenders, and BB&T, as administrative agent for the lenders. The purpose of the Investment Facility is to provide additional liquidity in support of future investment and operational activities. The Investment Facility allows for an increase in the total size of the facility up to \$75 million, subject to certain conditions, and has a maturity date of October 24, 2011. Borrowings under the Investment Facility bear interest, subject to Main Street s election, on a per annum basis equal to (i) the applicable LIBOR rate plus 2.75% or (ii) the applicable base rate plus 0.75%. Main Street will pay unused commitment fees of 0.375% per annum on the average unused lender commitments under the Investment Facility. The Investment Facility contains certain affirmative and negative covenants, including but not limited to: (i) maintaining a minimum liquidity of not less than 10% of the aggregate principal amount outstanding, (ii) maintaining an interest coverage ratio of at least 2.0 to 1.0, and (iii) maintaining a minimum tangible net worth. At June 30, 2009, Main Street had no borrowings outstanding under the Investment Facility, and Main Street was in compliance with all covenants of the Investment Facility.

Due to the Fund s status as a licensed SBIC, we have the ability to issue, through the Fund, debentures guaranteed by the Small Business Administration (the SBA) at favorable interest rates. Under the regulations applicable to SBICs, an SBIC can have outstanding debentures guaranteed by the SBA generally in an amount up to twice its regulatory capital, which effectively equates to the amount of its equity capital. Debentures guaranteed by the SBA have fixed interest rates that approximate prevailing 10-year Treasury Note rates plus a spread and have a maturity of ten years with interest payable semi-annually. The principal amount of the debentures is not required to be paid before maturity but may be pre-paid at any time. Debentures issued prior to September 2006 were subject to pre-payment penalties during their first five years. Those pre-payment penalties no longer apply to debentures issued after September 1, 2006. On June 30, 2009, we, through the Fund, had \$55 million of outstanding indebtedness guaranteed by the SBA, which carried an average fixed interest rate of approximately 5.8%. The first maturity related to the SBIC debentures

does not occur until 2013, and the weighted average duration is 5.9 years as of June 30, 2009.

The 2009 Stimulus Bill contains several provisions applicable to SBIC funds, including the Fund. One of the key SBIC-related provisions included in the 2009 Stimulus Bill increases the maximum amount of combined SBIC leverage (or SBIC leverage cap) to \$225 million for affiliated SBIC funds. The prior maximum amount of SBIC leverage available to affiliated SBIC funds was approximately \$137 million, as adjusted annually based upon changes in the Consumer Price Index. Due to the increase in the maximum amount of SBIC leverage available, we will now have access to incremental SBIC leverage to support our future investment activities. Since the increase in the SBIC leverage cap applies to affiliated SBIC funds, we will allocate such increased borrowing capacity between the Fund, our wholly owned SBIC subsidiary, and MSC II, an independently owned SBIC that is managed by Main Street and therefore deemed to be affiliated for SBIC regulatory purposes. It is currently estimated that at least \$65 million of the additional SBIC leverage from the Stimulus Bill is accessible by Main Street for future investment activities, subject to the required capitalization of the Fund. Based upon the net proceeds from the June 2009 follow-on equity offering and existing cash and idle funds investments, Main Street estimates that it has the required capitalization to access most of the \$65 million in incremental SBIC leverage available under the provisions of the Stimulus Bill.

Due to our existing cash and cash equivalents plus idle funds investments and the available borrowing capacity through both the SBIC program and the Investment Facility, we project that we will have sufficient liquidity to fund our investment and operational activities for the remainder of 2009 and through most of calendar year 2010. However, this projection will be impacted by, among other things, the pace of new and follow-on investments, debt repayments and investment redemptions, the level of cash flow from operations and cash flow from realized gains, and the level of dividends we pay in cash. We anticipate that we will continue to fund our investment activities through existing cash and cash equivalents plus idle funds investments and a combination of future debt and additional equity capital.

We intend to generate additional cash from future offerings of securities, future borrowings, repayments or sales of investments, and cash flow from operations, including income earned from investments in our portfolio companies and, to a lesser extent, from the temporary investments of cash in idle funds investments. Our primary uses of funds will be investments in portfolio companies, operating expenses and cash distributions to holders of our common stock. If our common stock trades below our net asset value per share, we will generally not be able to issue additional common stock at the market price unless our stockholders approve such a sale and our Board of Directors makes certain determinations. A proposal, approved by our stockholders at our June 2009 annual meeting of stockholders, authorizes us to sell shares of our common stock below the then current net asset value per share of our common stock in one or more offerings for a period of one year ending on June 10, 2010. We would need approval of a similar proposal by our stockholders to issue shares below the then current net asset value per share any time after June 10, 2010.

In order to satisfy the Code requirements applicable to a RIC, we intend to distribute to our stockholders substantially all of our taxable income, but we may also elect to periodically spillover certain excess undistributed taxable income from one tax year into the next tax year. In addition, as a BDC, we generally are required to meet a coverage ratio of total assets to total senior securities, which include borrowings and any preferred stock we may issue in the future, of at least 200%. This requirement limits the amount that we may borrow. In January 2008, we received exemptive relief from the SEC that permits us to exclude SBA-guaranteed debt issued by the Fund from our asset coverage ratio, which, in turn, enables us to fund more investments with debt capital.

On December 31, 2007, we entered into a Treasury Secured Revolving Credit Agreement (the Treasury Facility) among us, Wachovia Bank, National Association, and Branch Banking and Trust Company (BB&T), as administrative agent for the lenders. Under the Treasury Facility, the lenders agreed to extend revolving loans to us in an amount not to exceed \$100 million; however, due to the maturation of our investment portfolio and the additional flexibility provided by the Investment Facility, we unilaterally reduced the Treasury Facility from \$100 million to \$50 million during October 2008. The purpose of the Treasury Facility was to provide us flexibility in the sizing of portfolio investments and to facilitate the growth of our investment portfolio. The Treasury Facility had a two-year term and bore interest, at our option, either (i) at the LIBOR rate or (ii) at a published prime rate of interest, plus 25 basis points in either case. The applicable interest rates under the Treasury Facility would have been increased by 15 basis points if usage under the Treasury Facility was in excess of 50% of the days within a given calendar quarter. The Treasury Facility required payment of 15 basis points per annum in unused commitment fees based on the average daily unused balances under the facility. The Treasury Facility was secured by certain securities accounts maintained by BB&T and was also guaranteed by the Investment Manager. The Treasury Facility contained certain affirmative and negative covenants, including but not limited to: (i) maintaining a cash collateral coverage ratio of at least 1.01 to 1.0, (ii) maintaining an interest coverage ratio of at least 2.0 to 1.0, and (iii) maintaining a minimum tangible net worth. At June 30, 2009, we had no borrowings outstanding under the Treasury Facility, and Main Street was in compliance with all covenants of the Treasury Facility. On July 10, 2009, we unilaterally terminated the Treasury Facility in order to eliminate the unused commitment fees that would have been paid under this facility over its remaining term. We did not intend to renew the Treasury Facility at its maturity date of December 31, 2009 based upon the funding available from our recent public stock offering, funding availability under our separate \$30 million, three-year Investment Facility, and the increase in available leverage through the SBIC program as part of the 2009 Stimulus Bill.

46

Current Market Conditions

The United States economy continues to feel the impact of a multi-year recession. Many banks and others in the financial services industry reported significant write-downs in the fair value of their assets, which has led to the failure of a number of banks and investment companies, a number of distressed mergers and acquisitions, the government take-over of the nation s two largest government-sponsored mortgage companies, and the passage of the \$700 billion Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 in October 2008 and the \$787 billion 2009 Stimulus Bill. As the recession deepened, unemployment rose and consumer confidence declined, which led to significant reductions in spending by both consumers and businesses.

Although we have been able to secure access to additional liquidity, including our recent public stock offering, the \$30 million Investment Facility, and the increase in available leverage through the SBIC program as part of the 2009 Stimulus Bill, the current turmoil in the debt markets and uncertainty in the equity capital markets provides no assurance that debt or equity capital will be available to us in the future on favorable terms, or at all.

The deterioration in consumer confidence and a general reduction in spending by both consumers and businesses has had an adverse effect on a number of the industries in which some of our portfolio companies operate. In the event that the United States economy remains in a recession, the results of some of the lower middle-market companies like those in which we invest, will continue to experience deterioration, which could ultimately lead to difficulty in meeting their debt service requirements and an increase in their defaults. In addition, the end markets for certain of our portfolio companies products and services have experienced, and continue to experience, negative economic trends. We can provide no assurance that the performance of our portfolio companies will not be negatively impacted by economic or other conditions, which could have a negative impact on our future results.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In June 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities* (EITF 03-6-1). This FASB Staff Position (FSP) addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share (EPS). This FSP is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those years. All prior-period EPS data presented will be adjusted retrospectively (including interim financial statements, summaries of earnings, and selected financial data) to conform to the provisions of this FSP. Early application is not permitted. On July 1, 2008, our Board of Directors approved the issuance of shares of restricted stock to Main Street employees and Main Street s independent directors. We determined that these shares of restricted stock are participating securities prior to vesting. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, 255,645 shares of non-vested restricted stock have been included in our basic and diluted EPS computations.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset is Not Active* (FSP 157-3). FSP 157-3 provides an illustrative example of how to determine the fair value of a financial asset in an inactive market. The FSP does not change the fair value measurement principles set forth in FAS 157. Since adopting FAS 157 in January 2008, our practices for determining the fair value of our investment portfolio have been, and continue to be, consistent with the guidance provided in FSP 157-3. Therefore, our adoption of FSP 157-3 did not affect our practices for determining the fair value of our investment portfolio and did not have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 157-4, Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly (FSP 157-4) and FSP No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, Interim Disclosures About Fair Value of Financial Instruments (FSP 107-1). Both FSPs are effective for reporting periods ending on or after June 15, 2009. Since adopting FAS 157 in January 2008, our practices for determining fair value and for disclosures about the fair value of our investment portfolio have been, and continue to be, consistent with the guidance provided in FSP 157-4 and FSP 107-1. Therefore, our adoption of both FSP 157-4 and FSP 107-1 did not affect our practices for determining the fair value of our investment portfolio and did not have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In May 2009, the FASB issued FAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (FAS 165). FAS 165 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are

issued or are available to be issued. FAS 165 includes a new required disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and is effective for interim periods or fiscal years ending after June 15, 2009. Our adoption of FAS 165 did not have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

In June 2009, the FASB issued FAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification TM and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162* (FAS 168). The Codification will become the source of authoritative GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the SEC under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. On the effective date of FAS 168, the Codification will supersede all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become nonauthoritative. FAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. FAS 168 is only expected to impact our disclosures by requiring Codification references.

47

Inflation

Inflation has not had a significant effect on our results of operations in any of the reporting periods presented in this report. However, our portfolio companies have and may in the future experience the impacts of inflation on their operating results, including periodic escalations in their costs for raw materials and required energy consumption. *Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*

We may be a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financial needs of our portfolio companies. These instruments include commitments to extend credit and involve, to varying degrees, elements of liquidity and credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet. At June 30, 2009, we had three outstanding commitments to fund unused revolving loans for up to \$1,200,000 in total. *Contractual Obligations*

As of June 30, 2009, our future fixed commitments for cash payments on contractual obligations for each of the next five years and thereafter are as follows:

| | Total | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 and thereafter |
|---------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|----------|---------------------|
| | | | | (Unaudite | ed) | | |
| | | | (doll | lars in thou | isands) | | |
| SBIC debentures payable | \$ 55,000 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 4,000 | \$ 51,000 |
| Interest due on SBIC debentures | 19,919 | 1,603 | 3,179 | 3,179 | 3,188 | 3,179 | 5,591 |
| Total | \$ 74,919 | \$ 1,603 | \$ 3,179 | \$ 3,179 | \$ 3,188 | \$ 7,179 | \$ 56,591 |

MSCC is obligated to make payments under a support services agreement with the Investment Manager. Subsequent to the completion of the Formation Transactions and the IPO, the Investment Manager is reimbursed for its excess cash expenses associated with providing investment management and other services to MSCC and its subsidiaries, as well as MSC II and other third parties. Each quarter, as part of the support services agreement, MSCC makes payments to cover all cash expenses incurred by the Investment Manager, less the recurring management fees that the Investment Manager receives from MSC II pursuant to a long-term investment advisory services agreement and any other fees received from third parties for providing external services.

Related Party Transactions

We co-invested with MSC II in several existing portfolio investments prior to the IPO, but did not co-invest with MSC II subsequent to the IPO and prior to June 2008. In June 2008, we received exemptive relief from the SEC to allow us to resume co-investing with MSC II in accordance with the terms of such exemptive relief. MSC II is managed by the Investment Manager, and the Investment Manager is wholly owned by MSCC. MSC II is an SBIC fund with similar investment objectives to Main Street and which began its investment operations in January 2006. The co-investments among Main Street and MSC II had all been made at the same time and on the same terms and conditions. The co-investments were also made in accordance with the Investment Manager s conflicts policy and in accordance with the applicable SBIC conflict of interest regulations.

As discussed further in Note D to the accompanying consolidated financials statements, subsequent to the completion of the Formation Transactions, the Investment Manager is a wholly owned portfolio company of Main Street. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Investment Manager had a payable of \$294,229 and a receivable of \$302,633, respectively, with MSCC related to cash expenses required to support MSCC s business.

48

Table of Contents

Recent Developments

On July 1, 2009, our Board of Directors approved the issuance of 99,312 shares of restricted stock to Main Street employees pursuant to the Main Street Capital Corporation 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. These shares will vest over a four-year period from the grant date and will be expensed over a four-year service period starting on the grant date.

On July 1, 2009, a total of 8,512 shares of restricted stock was issued to our independent directors pursuant to the Main Street Capital Corporation 2008 Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock Plan. These shares will vest on the day immediately preceding the next annual meeting at which Main Street stockholders elect directors, provided that these independent directors have been in continuous service as members of the Board through such date. As a result, these shares will be expensed over a one-year service period starting on the grant date.

On July 10, 2009, we unilaterally terminated the Treasury Facility in order to eliminate the unused commitment fees that would have been paid under this facility over its remaining term. We did not intend to renew the Treasury Facility at its maturity date of December 31, 2009 based upon the funding available from our recent follow-on equity offering, funding availability under our separate \$30 million, three-year Investment Facility, and additional funding capacity available through participation in the SBIC program.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are subject to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates. Changes in interest rates may affect both our cost of funding and our interest income from portfolio investments and idle funds investments. Our risk management systems and procedures are designed to identify and analyze our risk, to set appropriate policies and limits and to continually monitor these risks. Our investment income will be affected by changes in various interest rates, including LIBOR and prime rates, to the extent of any debt investments that include floating interest rates. The significant majority of our debt investments are made with fixed interest rates for the term of the investment. However, as of June 30, 2009, approximately 14% of our debt investment portfolio (at cost) bore interest at floating rates with 68% of those debt investments (at cost) subject to contractual minimum rates. All of our current outstanding indebtedness is subject to fixed interest rates for the 10-year life of such debt. As of June 30, 2009, we had not entered into any interest rate hedging arrangements. At June 30, 2009, based on our applicable levels of floating-rate debt investments, a 1% change in interest rates would not have a material effect on our level of interest income from debt investments.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, our President and Chief Financial Officer, our Chief Compliance Officer and our Chief Accounting Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based on that evaluation, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, our President and Chief Financial Officer, our Chief Compliance Officer and our Chief Accounting Officer, have concluded that our current disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them of material information relating to us that is required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2009, that have materially affected, or are reasonable likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Although we may, from time to time, be involved in litigation arising out of our operations in the normal course of business or otherwise, we are currently not a party to any material pending legal proceedings.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There were no material changes from the risk factors as previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, that we filed with the SEC on March 13, 2009.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds Sales of Unregistered Securities

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we issued 79,193 shares of our common stock under our dividend reinvestment plan pursuant to an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933. The aggregate value for the shares of common stock sold under the dividend reinvestment plan was approximately \$1.0 million.

Purchases of Equity Securities

On November 13, 2008, we announced that our Board of Directors authorized our officers, in their discretion and subject to compliance with the 1940 Act and other applicable law, to purchase on the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, an amount up to \$5 million of the outstanding shares of our common stock at prices per share not to exceed our last reported net asset value per share. The share repurchase program is authorized to be in effect through the earlier of December 31, 2009 or such time as the approved \$5 million repurchase amount has been fully utilized. We can not assure you the extent that we will conduct open market purchases, and to the extent we do conduct open market purchases, we may terminate them at any time. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, we purchased 164,544 shares of our common stock for approximately \$1.6 million in the open market pursuant to the program. The following chart summarizes repurchases of our common stock under the program during the first six months of 2009.

| | | | | | | Maximum Number (or pproximate |
|---|------------------------------|----|--------------------|---|----|--|
| | | | | Total Number of Shares | | llar Value) of |
| | Total Number of Shares | | rage Price Paid | Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans |] | res that May Yet Be Purchased der the Plans or |
| Period | Purchased | pe | r Share | or Programs | | Programs |
| January 2009 February 2009 | 22,600 30,700 | \$ | 10.06 9.96 | 22,600 30,700 | | |
| March 2009 April 2009 May 2009 June 2009 | 111,244 | | 9.74 | 111,244 | | |
| Total | 164,544 | \$ | 9.83 | 164,544 | \$ | 3,051,888 |

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Our Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held on June 11, 2009, for the purpose of:

Proposal No. 1 Election of directors for a term of one year;

Proposal No. 2 Approval of a proposal to authorize us, with the approval of our Board of Directors, to sell shares of our common stock during the next twelve months at a price below our then current net asset value per share; and

Proposal No. 3 Ratification of the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2009.

There were no broker non-votes for proposal nos. 1 and 3. All three matters were approved.

All nominees for directors for a one-year term as listed in our 2009 proxy statement were elected by the following vote:

| DIRECTOR NOMINEES | FOR | WITHHELD |
|----------------------|-----------|----------|
| Michael Appling, Jr. | 8,554,897 | 55,104 |
| Joseph E. Canon | 8,552,534 | 57,467 |
| Arthur L. French | 8,545,947 | 64,054 |
| William D. Gutermuth | 8,553,914 | 56,087 |
| Vincent D. Foster | 8,515,077 | 94,924 |
| Todd A. Reppert | 8,546,919 | 63,082 |

50

Table of Contents

The proposal to authorize us, with the approval of our Board of Directors, to sell shares of our common stock during the next twelve months at a price below our then current net asset value per share was approved by the following vote:

| | | | | BROKER |
|----------------------|-----------|----------------|---------|---------------|
| | FOR | AGAINST | ABSTAIN | NON-VOTE * |
| All Stockholders | 6,267,741 | 202,625 | 34,481 | 2,105,154 |
| Excluding Affiliates | 3,321,094 | 202,625 | 34,481 | 2,105,154 |

The recommendation to ratify the appointment of Grant Thornton LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2009 was approved by the following vote:

| FOR | AGAINST | ABSTAIN |
|-----------|---------|---------|
| 8,573,850 | 21,561 | 14,588 |

* Broker

non-votes have

the effect of

voting against

this proposal.

Item 6. Exhibits

Listed below are the exhibits which are filed as part of this report (according to the number assigned to them in Item 601 of Regulation S-K):

| E 1914 | |
|-------------------|--|
| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
| 10.1 | Main Street Capital Corporation 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (revised on May 12, 2009). |
| 10.2 | First Amendment dated March 26, 2009 to Credit Agreement dated October 24, 2008 by and between Main Street Capital Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries as guarantors, Branch Banking and Trust Company and Compass Bank. |
| 10.3* | Amendment to Employment Agreement by and between Main Street Capital Corporation and Todd A. Reppert dated as of July 1, 2009 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Main Street s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 1, 2009 (File No. 1-33723). |
| 10.4* | Amendment to Employment Agreement by and between Main Street Capital Corporation and Rodger A. Stout dated as of July 1, 2009 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Main Street s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 1, 2009 (File No. 1-33723). |
| 10.5* | Amendment to Employment Agreement by and between Main Street Capital Corporation and Curtis L. Hartman dated as of July 1, 2009 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.6 to Main Street s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 1, 2009 (File No. 1-33723). |
| 10.6* | Amendment to Employment Agreement by and between Main Street Capital Corporation and Dwayne L. Hyzak dated as of July 1, 2009 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.8 to Main Street s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 1, 2009 (File No. 1-33723). |
| 10.7* | Amendment to Employment Agreement by and between Main Street Capital Corporation and |

Table of Contents 93

David L. Magdol dated as of July 1, 2009 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.10 to Main Street s

Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 1, 2009 (File No. 1-33723).

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350).
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350).
- * Exhibit previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, as indicated, and incorporated herein by reference.

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

51

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Main Street Capital Corporation

Date: August 7, 2009 /s/ Vincent D. Foster

Vincent D. Foster

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

(principal executive officer)

Date: August 7, 2009 /s/ Todd A. Reppert

Todd A. Reppert

President and Chief Financial Officer

(principal financial officer)

Date: August 7, 2009 /s/ Michael S. Galvan

Michael S. Galvan

Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer

(principal accounting officer)

Date: August 7, 2009 /s/ Rodger A. Stout

Rodger A. Stout

Senior Vice President-Finance &

Administration,

Chief Compliance Officer and Treasurer

52

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibit |
|-------------------|--|
| 10.1 | Main Street Capital Corporation 2008 Equity Incentive Plan (revised on May 12, 2009). |
| 10.2 | First Amendment dated March 26, 2009 to Credit Agreement dated October 24, 2008 by and between Main Street Capital Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries as guarantors, Branch Banking and Trust Company and Compass Bank. |
| 10.3* | Amendment to Employment Agreement by and between Main Street Capital Corporation and Todd A. Reppert dated as of July 1, 2009 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Main Street s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 1, 2009 (File No. 1-33723). |
| 10.4* | Amendment to Employment Agreement by and between Main Street Capital Corporation and Rodger A. Stout dated as of July 1, 2009 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Main Street s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 1, 2009 (File No. 1-33723). |
| 10.5* | Amendment to Employment Agreement by and between Main Street Capital Corporation and Curtis L. Hartman dated as of July 1, 2009 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.6 to Main Street s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 1, 2009 (File No. 1-33723). |
| 10.6* | Amendment to Employment Agreement by and between Main Street Capital Corporation and Dwayne L. Hyzak dated as of July 1, 2009 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.8 to Main Street s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 1, 2009 (File No. 1-33723). |
| 10.7* | Amendment to Employment Agreement by and between Main Street Capital Corporation and David L. Magdol dated as of July 1, 2009 (previously filed as Exhibit 10.10 to Main Street s Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 1, 2009 (File No. 1-33723). |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350). |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350). |

* Exhibit previously filed with the Securities and Exchange

Commission, as indicated, and incorporated herein by reference.

Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

53