

PHH CORP
Form PRER14A
May 01, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

SCHEDULE 14A

**Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. 1)**

PRELIMINARY COPY SUBJECT TO COMPLETION

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the SEC Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

PHH CORPORATION
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

N/A
(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
 - (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

May , 2009

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) of PHH Corporation (the Company), which will be held at the Company s offices located at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054, on Wednesday, June 10, 2009, at 10:00 a.m., local time. Directions to the Annual Meeting location are provided on the last page of the accompanying Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on March 2, 2009 (the 2008 Annual Report).

At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will be asked to elect three Class I Directors to hold office until the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009, to approve the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan, including (i) an increase in the number of shares authorized for issuance under the plan from 7,500,000 shares to 12,050,000 shares and (ii) the material performance goals established under the plan for purposes of compliance with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, to approve an amendment to the Company s Charter to increase the Company s number of shares of authorized capital stock from 110,000,000 shares to 275,000,000 shares and the authorized number of shares of common stock from 108,910,000 shares to 273,910,000 shares, and to consider and vote upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting. The accompanying Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and 2008 Annual Report describes in more detail the business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting and provides other information concerning the Company of which you should be aware when you vote your shares.

YOUR VOTE IS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT REGARDLESS OF THE NUMBER OF SHARES YOU OWN. YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE USING THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD FOR EACH OF THE CLASS I DIRECTOR NOMINEES THAT ARE NAMED ON THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD AND THAT HAVE BEEN NOMINATED BY YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS. YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS ALSO STRONGLY URGES YOU TO DISCARD ANY GOLD PROXY CARD SENT TO YOU BY PENNANT CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC AND ITS AFFILIATES (PENNANT).

On March 11, 2009, Pennant Spinnaker Fund LP (together with Pennant Capital Management, LLC, Pennant Offshore Partners, Ltd., Pennant Onshore Partners, LP, Pennant Onshore Qualified, LP, Pennant Windward Fund, LP, Pennant Windward Fund, Ltd., Alan Fournier, Allan Z. Loren, and Gregory J. Parseghian, collectively, Pennant) provided notice to the Company that it intended to nominate two individuals for election to the Board of Directors at the Annual Meeting. On April 1, 2009, Pennant filed a preliminary proxy statement with the SEC nominating Messrs. Loren and Parseghian as directors. If you wish to vote your shares in support of the Board of Directors nominees, please vote using the enclosed WHITE proxy card, or vote by telephone or electronically via the Internet as instructed in these materials. The Board of Directors urges you not to sign, return or vote any gold proxy cards sent to you by Pennant. A submission of a Pennant gold proxy card will revoke your previously voted WHITE proxy card in support of the Board of Directors nominees. You can revoke any Pennant gold proxy card previously signed by you by completing, dating, signing and returning the WHITE proxy card in the enclosed envelope.

In order to ensure that your shares are represented at the Annual Meeting, whether you plan to attend or not, please vote in accordance with the enclosed instructions. You can vote your shares by telephone, electronically via the Internet or by completing and returning the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form. If you vote using the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form, you must sign, date and mail the proxy card or vote instruction form in the enclosed envelope. If you decide to attend the Annual Meeting and wish to modify your vote, you may revoke your proxy and vote in person at the meeting.

Table of Contents

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR REQUIRE ANY ASSISTANCE WITH VOTING YOUR SHARES, PLEASE CALL OUR PROXY SOLICITOR AS FOLLOWS:

**199 Water Street, 26th Floor
New York, NY 10038
(877) 278-9668 (Toll Free)
Banks and Brokerage Firms please call:
(212) 440-9800**

Admission to the Annual Meeting will be by admission ticket only. If you are a stockholder of record and plan to attend the Annual Meeting, retain the top portion of your proxy card as your admission ticket and bring it and a photo ID with you so that you may gain admission to the meeting. If your shares are held through a bank, broker or other nominee, please contact your nominee and request that the nominee obtain an admission ticket for you or provide you with evidence of your share ownership, which will gain you admission to the Annual Meeting.

The Board of Directors appreciates your time and attention in reviewing the accompanying Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and 2008 Annual Report. Thank you for your continued interest in PHH Corporation. We look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,

A.B. Krongard
Non-Executive Chairman of the Board

Terence W. Edwards
President and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

**PHH CORPORATION
3000 Leadenhall Road
Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054**

NOTICE OF 2009 ANNUAL MEETING

To Our Stockholders:

The 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of PHH Corporation (the Company) will be held at the Company's offices located at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054, on Wednesday, June 10, 2009, at 10:00 a.m., local time (the Annual Meeting), for the following purposes:

1. To elect three Class I Directors, each to serve until the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified, or until their earlier retirement or resignation;
2. To consider and vote upon a proposal to ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009;
3. To consider and vote upon a proposal to approve the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan, including (i) an increase in the number of shares authorized for issuance under the plan from 7,500,000 shares to 12,050,000 shares and (ii) the material performance goals established under the plan for purposes of compliance with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended;
4. To consider and vote upon a proposal to amend the Company's Articles of Amendment and Restatement (as amended) (the Charter) to increase the Company's number of shares of authorized capital stock from 110,000,000 shares to 275,000,000 shares and the authorized number of shares of common stock from 108,910,000 shares to 273,910,000 shares; and
5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on April 22, 2009 as the record date for the Annual Meeting. Only stockholders of record as of the close of business on the record date are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

By Order of the Board of Directors

William F. Brown
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

May , 2009

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE 2009 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON JUNE 10, 2009.

**THIS NOTICE OF 2009 ANNUAL MEETING, PROXY STATEMENT AND ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2008, ARE AVAILABLE ON THE INTERNET AT:
<http://ir.phh.com/phoenix.zhtml?c=187859&p=proxy>**

PLEASE VOTE YOUR SHARES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INSTRUCTIONS PROVIDED IN THE PROXY STATEMENT. IF VOTING USING THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD, PLEASE MARK, SIGN, DATE AND PROMPTLY RETURN THE WHITE PROXY CARD IN THE ENCLOSED REPLY ENVELOPE THAT IS FURNISHED FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE. THE ENVELOPE NEEDS NO POSTAGE IF MAILED WITHIN THE UNITED STATES.

Table of Contents

PRELIMINARY COPY SUBJECT TO COMPLETION

**PHH CORPORATION
3000 Leadenhall Road
Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054**

**PROXY STATEMENT FOR THE
2009 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

This Proxy Statement is being furnished to the holders of Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of PHH Corporation, a Maryland corporation (the "Company"), in connection with the solicitation by the Company's Board of Directors of proxies to be voted at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company (the "Annual Meeting") to be held at the Company's offices located at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, on Wednesday, June 10, 2009, at 10:00 a.m., local time, or at any postponement or adjournment of the Annual Meeting, for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting.

This Proxy Statement and the other proxy materials are being mailed to stockholders and are first being made available via the Internet on or about May 1, 2009. If a stockholder executes and returns the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form or submits vote instructions to us by telephone or via the Internet, the stockholder may nevertheless revoke their proxy at any time prior to its use by filing with the Secretary of the Company a written revocation or a duly executed proxy bearing a later date or by submitting revised vote instructions to us by telephone or via the Internet, in accordance with the instructions on the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form, as to how you would like your shares voted. A stockholder who attends the Annual Meeting in person may revoke his or her proxy at that time and vote in person if so desired.

Admission to the Annual Meeting will be by admission ticket only. If you are a stockholder of record and plan to attend the Annual Meeting, retain the top portion of your proxy card as your admission ticket and bring it and a photo ID with you so that you may gain admission to the meeting. If your shares are held through a bank, broker or other nominee, please contact your nominee and request that the nominee obtain an admission ticket for you or provide you with evidence of your share ownership, which will gain you admission to the Annual Meeting.

Unless revoked or unless contrary instructions are given, each proxy that is properly signed, dated and returned or authorized by telephone or via the Internet in accordance with the instructions on the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form prior to the start of the Annual Meeting will be voted as indicated on the proxy card or via telephone or the Internet and if no indication is made, each such proxy will be deemed to grant authority to vote, as applicable:

(1) Proposal 1: **FOR** the election of each of Messrs. Terence W. Edwards, a current director and the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, James O. Egan, a current director, and A.B. Krongard, a current director and the Company's non-executive Chairman of the Board of Directors, as Class I Directors, each to serve until the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified, or until their earlier death, retirement or resignation (the "Director Election Proposal");

(2) Proposal 2: **FOR** the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009 (the "Ratification of Auditors Proposal");

(3) Proposal 3: **FOR** the approval of the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan, including (i) an increase in the number of shares authorized for issuance under the plan from 7,500,000 shares to 12,050,000 shares and (ii) the material performance goals established under the plan for purposes of compliance with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Incentive Plan Proposal);

Table of Contents

(4) Proposal 4: **FOR** the approval of the amendment of the Company's Articles of Amendment and Restatement (as amended) (the Charter) to increase the Company's number of shares of authorized capital stock from 110,000,000 shares to 275,000,000 shares and the authorized number of shares of common stock from 108,910,000 shares to 273,910,000 shares (the Charter Amendment Proposal); and

(5) At the discretion of the persons named in the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form, on any other matter that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting.

THE COMPANY'S BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE COMPANY'S NOMINEES LISTED UNDER THE DIRECTOR ELECTION PROPOSAL, FOR THE RATIFICATION OF AUDITORS PROPOSAL, FOR THE INCENTIVE PLAN PROPOSAL AND FOR THE CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL USING THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>General Information About the 2009 Annual Meeting</u>	1
<u>Proposal 1 To elect three Class I Directors</u>	7
<u>Board of Directors</u>	8
<u>Committees of the Board</u>	11
<u>Director Compensation</u>	13
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	15
<u>Proposal 2 To ratify the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	19
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	20
<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	21
<u>Proposal 3 To approve the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan</u>	22
<u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u>	30
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	30
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	30
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	30
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	43
<u>Summary Compensation Table</u>	44
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	47
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End</u>	48
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	49
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	50
<u>Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation</u>	51
<u>Potential Payments Upon Termination of Employment or Change in Control</u>	52
<u>Proposal 4 To approve the amendment of the Company's Charter</u>	55
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	56
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	58
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	61
<u>Stockholder Proposals for 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders</u>	61
<u>Householding Information</u>	62
<u>Other Business</u>	62
<u>Appendix A PHH Corporation Independence Standards for Directors</u>	A-1
<u>Appendix B PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan</u>	B-1
<u>Appendix C Articles of Amendment</u>	C-1
<u>Appendix D Information Concerning Participants in the Company's Solicitation of Proxies</u>	D-1

Table of Contents

GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE 2009 ANNUAL MEETING

Why am I receiving these proxy materials?

You are receiving these proxy materials because our Board of Directors (the Board) is soliciting your proxy to cast your vote at the 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) of PHH Corporation, a Maryland corporation (we, our, us, PHH or the Company), and any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting. Proxy Statement, the accompanying Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting, our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) on March 2, 2009 (the 2008 Annual Report), and the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card or vote instruction form are being mailed to stockholders and are first being made available to stockholders via the Internet on or about May , 2009.

When and where is the Annual Meeting going to be held?

The Annual Meeting will be held at the Company's offices located at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, on Wednesday, June 10, 2009, at 10:00 a.m., local time. Registration and seating will begin at 9:00 a.m., local time.

What is the purpose of the Annual Meeting?

At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will vote on the matters described in the accompanying Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting and this Proxy Statement. The only matters expected to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting are (1) the Director Election Proposal, (2) the Ratification of Auditors Proposal, (3) the Incentive Plan Proposal and (4) the Charter Amendment Proposal.

On March 11, 2009, Pennant gave notice to the Company of its intention to nominate two individuals for election as Class I Directors of the Company in opposition to two of the individuals nominated by your Board of Directors. On April 1, 2009, Pennant filed a preliminary proxy statement nominating Mr. Allan Z. Loren and Mr. Gregory J. Parseghian for election as Directors in opposition to Messrs. Edwards and Krongard. The Pennant nominees have **NOT** been endorsed by your Board of Directors. The Company is not responsible for the accuracy of any information provided by or relating to Pennant contained in any proxy solicitation materials filed or disseminated by, or on behalf of, Pennant or any other statements that Pennant may otherwise make. We urge stockholders to discard any gold proxy card that is sent by Pennant.

What are the Board's recommendations for how I should vote my shares?

The Board recommends that you vote your shares using the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card as follows:

Proposal 1: **FOR** the election of each of Messrs. Terence W. Edwards, a current director and the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, James O. Egan, a current director, and A.B. Krongard, a current director and the Company's non-executive Chairman of the Board of Directors, as Class I Directors, each to serve until the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified, or until their earlier death, retirement or resignation;

Proposal 2: **FOR** the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009;

Proposal 3: **FOR** the approval of the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan; and

Proposal 4: **FOR** the approval of the amendment of the Company's Charter.

Who can attend the Annual Meeting?

Only stockholders of record as of the close of business on April 22, 2009, or their duly appointed proxies, may attend the Annual Meeting. Stockholders will be asked to present valid picture identification, such as a driver's license or passport. Please note that, if you hold your shares in street name (that is, through a bank, broker or other

Table of Contents

nominee), you must bring either a copy of the voting instruction card provided by your bank, broker or other nominee or a copy of a brokerage statement reflecting your stock ownership as of the record date.

Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted at the Annual Meeting. A list of stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be available for examination by any stockholder for any purpose germane to the Annual Meeting beginning ten days prior to the Annual Meeting during ordinary business hours at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054, the Company's principal place of business, and ending on the date of the Annual Meeting.

Do I need an admission ticket to attend the Annual Meeting?

Yes. Attendance at the Annual Meeting will be limited to stockholders of record as of the record date, their authorized representatives and our guests. Admission will be by admission ticket only. For registered stockholders, the top portion of the proxy card enclosed with the Proxy Statement will serve as an admission ticket. If you are a beneficial owner and hold your shares in street name, or through an intermediary, such as a bank, broker or other nominee, you should request an admission ticket from your bank, broker or other nominee or send a request in writing to PHH Corporation, Attention: Investor Relations, 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054, and include proof of ownership of PHH Corporation common stock, such as a bank or brokerage firm account statement or letter from the bank, broker or other nominee holding your stock, confirming your beneficial ownership. Stockholders who do not obtain admission tickets in advance of the Annual Meeting may obtain them on the date of the Annual Meeting at the registration desk upon verifying their stock ownership as of the record date. In accordance with our security procedures, all persons attending the Annual Meeting must present picture identification along with their admission ticket or proof of beneficial ownership in order to gain admission to the meeting. Admission to the Annual Meeting will be expedited if admission tickets are obtained in advance. Admission tickets may be issued to others at our discretion.

How many votes must be present at the Annual Meeting to constitute a quorum?

Stockholders holding a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock as of the record date, April 22, 2009, must be present, in person or by proxy, to constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting. As of the record date, there were 54,388,877 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding. Shares represented by abstentions and broker non-votes on any proposal to be acted upon by stockholders at the Annual Meeting will be treated as present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.

How many votes can be cast by all stockholders?

54,388,877 votes may be cast at the Annual Meeting. Each stockholder is entitled to cast one vote for each share of common stock held by such stockholder as of the record date. There is no cumulative voting and the holders of our common stock vote together as a single class.

What vote is needed for each of the proposals to be adopted?

Proposal 1 Director Election Proposal: Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast by stockholders of record as of the record date that are present at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, assuming a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. Under applicable Maryland law, abstentions and broker non-votes will count for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present at the meeting, but will not be counted in determining whether director nominees have received a plurality of votes cast and, therefore, will have no effect on the outcome of the vote. Because of Pennant's nomination of alternative director candidates in opposition to Messrs. Edwards and Krongard, there will be more nominees for election to Class I of the Board

of Directors than available positions. The three candidates with the highest number of **FOR** votes will be elected.

Proposal 2 Ratification of Auditors Proposal: Approval of the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009, requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the proposal by stockholders of record as of the record date that are present at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, assuming a quorum is present at the Annual

Table of Contents

Meeting. Under applicable Maryland law, abstentions and broker non-votes will count for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present at the meeting, but will not be counted as votes cast or shares voting on the proposal and will have no effect on the outcome of the vote.

Proposal 3 Incentive Plan Proposal: Approval of the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the proposal by stockholders of record as of the record date that are present at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, assuming a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. Under applicable Maryland law, abstentions and broker non-votes will count for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present at the meeting. Abstentions will not be counted as votes cast or shares voting on the proposal and will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal. Broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast or shares voting on the proposal and will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal, unless holders of a majority in interest of all securities entitled to vote on the proposal cast votes, in which event broker non-votes will not have any effect on the outcome of the vote.

Proposal 4 Charter Amendment Proposal: Approval of the amendment of the Company's Charter requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of all votes entitled to be cast on the proposal by stockholders of record as of the record date. Under applicable Maryland law, abstentions and broker non-votes will count for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present at the meeting, but will not be counted as votes cast or shares voting on the proposal and, therefore, will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal.

Other business: All other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the proposal by stockholders of record as of the record date that are present at the Annual Meeting, in person or by proxy, assuming a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. Under applicable Maryland law, abstentions and broker non-votes will count for the purpose of determining whether a quorum is present at the meeting, but will not be counted as votes cast or shares voting on the proposal and, therefore, will have the same effect as a vote against the proposal.

What is a broker non-vote?

Generally, a broker non-vote occurs when shares held by a bank, broker or other nominee for a beneficial owner are not voted with respect to a particular proposal because (i) the nominee has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner and (ii) the nominee lacks discretionary voting power to vote such shares. Under the rules of The New York Stock Exchange, LLC (the "NYSE"), a nominee does not have discretionary voting power with respect to non-routine matters.

In light of Pennant's nomination of competing candidates for the Board of Directors, this year's election of directors is expected to be considered a proposal on which brokers do not have discretionary authority to vote. Thus, if your shares are held in street name and you do not provide instructions as to how your shares are to be voted in the election of directors, your bank, broker or other nominee may not be able to vote your shares in the election of directors, and your shares may not be voted for any of the nominees. We urge you to provide instructions to your bank, broker or other nominee so that your votes may be counted on this important matter. You should vote your shares by following the instructions provided on the voting instruction card that you receive from your bank, broker or other nominee.

How do I vote?

You can vote in person or by valid proxy received by telephone, via the Internet or by mail. We urge you to vote by doing one of the following:

Vote by Telephone: You can vote your shares by calling the toll-free number indicated on your WHITE proxy using a touch-tone telephone card 24 hours a day. Easy-to-follow voice prompts enable you to vote your shares and confirm that your voting instructions have been properly recorded. If you are a beneficial owner, or you hold your shares in street name, please check your voting instruction card or contact your bank, broker or other nominee to determine whether you will be able to vote by telephone.

Table of Contents

Vote by Internet: You can also vote via the Internet by following the instructions on your **WHITE** proxy card. The website address for Internet voting is indicated on your **WHITE** proxy card. Internet voting is also available 24 hours per day. If you are a beneficial owner, or you hold your shares in street name, please check your voting instruction card or contact your bank, broker or other nominee to determine whether you will be able to vote via the Internet.

Vote by Mail: If you choose to vote by mail, complete, sign, date and return your **WHITE** proxy card in the postage-paid envelope provided. Please promptly mail your **WHITE** proxy card to ensure that it is received on or before June 9, 2009. Please also discard any gold proxy card that you may receive from Pennant.

The deadline for voting by telephone or electronically through the Internet is 11:59 p.m., local time, on June 9, 2009. If you have any questions or require any assistance with voting your shares, please call our proxy solicitor, Georgeson Inc., at **(877) 278-9668 or (212) 440-9800**.

The Board strongly urges you to **NOT** sign or return any gold proxy card that you may receive from Pennant, even as a protest. Withholding authority to vote for Pennant's nominees on a gold proxy card that Pennant may send you is not the same as voting for the persons nominated by your Board of Directors and will result in the revocation of any previous vote that you may have cast on the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card.

Can I change my vote?

Yes. A proxy may be revoked at any time prior to the voting at the Annual Meeting by submitting a later dated proxy (including a proxy authorization submitted by telephone or electronically through the Internet), by giving timely written notice of such revocation to our Corporate Secretary in advance of the Annual Meeting or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. However, if you hold shares in street name, you may not vote shares in person at the Annual Meeting unless you bring with you a legal proxy from the stockholder of record. If you have previously voted using a Pennant gold proxy card, you have every right to change your vote by executing the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card, by voting by telephone or through the Internet as described above, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person. Only the latest dated and properly executed or authorized proxy that you timely submit will be counted.

Could other matters be decided at the Annual Meeting?

The Board of Directors does not intend to bring any matter before the Annual Meeting other than those described in this Proxy Statement. If any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, the persons named in the enclosed proxy, or their duly appointed substitutes acting at the Annual Meeting, will be authorized to vote or otherwise act in respect of any such matters in their discretion.

How do participants in our employee savings plans vote?

For participants in the PHH Corporation Employee Savings Plan and the PHH Home Loans, LLC Employee Savings Plan (the Savings Plans) with shares of our common stock credited to their accounts, voting instructions for the trustees of the Savings Plans are also being solicited through this Proxy Statement. In accordance with the provisions of the Savings Plans, the respective trustees will vote shares of our common stock in accordance with instructions received from the plan participants to whose accounts such shares are credited. To the extent such instructions are not received prior to 11:59 p.m., local time, on June 9, 2009, the trustees of the Savings Plans will vote the shares with respect to which it has not received instructions proportionately in accordance with the votes received for shares which it has received instructions. Instructions given with respect to shares in accounts of the Savings Plans may be

changed or revoked only in writing, and no such instructions may be revoked after 11:59 p.m., local time, on June 9, 2009. Participants in the Savings Plans are not entitled to vote in person at the Annual Meeting. If a participant in the Savings Plans has shares of our common stock credited to his or her account and also owns other shares of our common stock in registered form or through a bank, broker or other nominee, he or she should receive a separate proxy card or vote instruction form for shares credited to his or her account in the Savings Plans and any other shares that he or she owns. All such proxy cards and vote instruction forms should be completed, signed and returned to ensure that votes are cast for all shares owned either directly or beneficially.

Table of Contents

What if I vote for some but not all of the proposals?

Shares of our common stock represented by proxies received by us (whether received through the return of the enclosed proxy card or received via telephone or the Internet) where the stockholder has provided voting instructions with respect to the proposals described in this Proxy Statement, including the Director Election Proposal, the Ratification of Auditors Proposal, the Incentive Plan Proposal, and the Charter Amendment Proposal, will be voted in accordance with the voting instructions so made. If your WHITE proxy card is properly executed and returned but does not contain voting instructions as to one or more of the proposals to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting, or if you give your proxy by telephone or via the Internet without indicating how you want to vote on each of the proposals to be voted upon at the Annual Meeting, your shares will be voted:

FOR the Director Election Proposal;

FOR the Ratification of Auditors Proposal;

FOR the Incentive Plan Proposal;

FOR the Charter Amendment Proposal; and

at the discretion of the persons named in the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form, on any other matter that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting.

If your shares are held in street name and you do not properly instruct your bank, broker or other nominee how to vote your shares, your bank, broker or other nominee may either use its discretion to vote your shares on matters deemed routine by the NYSE or may not vote your shares. For any matters deemed non-routine by the NYSE, your bank, broker or other nominee would not be able to vote your shares on such matters. We encourage you to provide instructions to your bank, broker or other nominee by carefully following the instructions provided to ensure that your shares are voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with your desires.

Who will pay for the cost of this proxy solicitation?

We will pay the cost of soliciting proxies on behalf of our Board of Directors. Our Directors, officers and employees may solicit proxies on behalf of the Company in person or by telephone, facsimile or electronically through the Internet, as described in pages 3-4 above. We have engaged Georgeson Inc. to assist us in the distribution and solicitation of proxies for a fee of up to \$225,000 plus expenses. We will also reimburse brokerage firms and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their expenses incurred in sending our proxy materials to beneficial owners of our common stock as of the record date.

Our total expenses, including those of Georgeson, related to the solicitation as a result of the potential proxy contest in excess of those expenses that we would normally spend for an annual meeting and excluding salaries and wages of our regular employees and officers, are expected to be approximately \$, of which approximately \$ has been spent to date.

Appendix D to this Proxy Statement sets forth information relating to our Director nominees as well as certain of our Directors, officers and employees who are considered participants in our solicitation under the rules of the SEC by reason of their position as directors or director nominees of the Company or because they may be soliciting proxies on our behalf. These persons will not receive any additional compensation for assisting in the solicitation, but may be reimbursed for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in connection with the solicitation.

Who will count and certify the vote?

Representatives of Corporate Election Services, an independent inspector of election and proxy tabulation firm, will count the votes and certify the voting results. The voting results will be published in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending June 30, 2009.

Table of Contents

How can I access the Company's proxy materials and 2008 Annual Report electronically?

Copies of the Notice of 2009 Annual Meeting, Proxy Statement and 2008 Annual Report, as well as other materials filed by the Company with the SEC, are available without charge to stockholders on our corporate website at www.phh.com or upon written request to PHH Corporation, Attention: Investor Relations, 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054. You can elect to receive future annual reports, proxy statements and other proxy materials electronically by marking the appropriate box on your proxy card or vote instruction form or by following the instructions provided if you vote by telephone or via the Internet.

Copies of our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Independence Standards for Directors, Code of Business Conduct for Directors, Code of Business Conduct for Employees, and the charters of each standing committee of our Board of Directors, including our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Corporate Governance Committee, and Finance and Risk Management Committee, are also available without charge to stockholders on our corporate website at www.phh.com or upon written request to PHH Corporation, Attention: Investor Relations, 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey 08054.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1 TO ELECT THREE CLASS I DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors has nominated Messrs. Terence W. Edwards, a current director and the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, James O. Egan, a current director, and A. B. Krongard, a current director and the Company's non-executive Chairman of the Board of Directors, for election at the 2009 Annual Meeting each to serve as Class I Directors until the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified, or until their earlier death, retirement or resignation. Mr. Egan was appointed to the Board of Directors on March 30, 2009, to fill the vacancy on the Board resulting from Mr. Francis J. Van Kirk's resignation as previously disclosed. We believe that the Board of Directors' slate of directors is very well qualified to perform the essential role of providing stewardship and guidance as the Company continues to execute its strategies for building long-term stockholder value.

Each nominee has consented to being named in this Proxy Statement and to serve if elected. Shares of our common stock represented by duly authorized proxies will be voted **FOR** Messrs. Edwards, Egan and Krongard.

Background of Dissident Nominees

On March 11, 2009, Pennant provided notice to the Company that it intended to nominate Mr. Gregory J. Parseghian and Mr. Allan Z. Loren for election to the Board of Directors of the Company in opposition to Messrs. Edwards and Krongard. During the months prior to receiving this formal notice, certain members of our senior management (Management) and Mr. Krongard met and held telephone conversations with representatives of Pennant regarding, among other things, Pennant's ideas for the Company, its views concerning executive compensation and shareholder communications and its desire to have representation on our Board.

In August 2008, during one such meeting, Pennant expressed its desire to Mr. Krongard to nominate a director to the Board and it identified Mr. Parseghian as a possible candidate. Mr. Krongard indicated he would be interested in meeting Mr. Parseghian. Over the course of the next several months, Mr. Krongard, in his capacity as Chairman of the Company's Corporate Governance Committee, and other members of our Management met with Pennant's representatives several times to discuss Mr. Parseghian, the only one of the Pennant nominees to the Board who had been identified prior to the formal notice received by the Company from Pennant on March 11, 2009. In November, 2008, following Mr. Krongard's request made in August, 2008, Mr. Krongard and Ms. Anne D. Logan, another independent director, met personally with Mr. Parseghian to consider his nomination. During a follow up discussion with Pennant, Mr. Krongard expressed to Pennant's representatives his concerns and those of several other independent Board members over Mr. Parseghian's past involvement with and the circumstances surrounding his departure from The Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) and that the Board was considering other candidates for possible nomination to the Board.

In addition to the foregoing meetings and telephone conferences, certain members of our Management and our legal counsel engaged in telephone conferences with representatives of Pennant during this period. These telephone conferences covered a number of topics including discussions regarding possible alternatives to a contested election of directors.

On April 1, 2009, as discussed above, Pennant filed a preliminary proxy statement nominating Messrs. Loren and Parseghian for election as Directors in opposition to Messrs. Edwards and Krongard. The Board of Directors urges you not to sign or return any gold proxy card sent to you by Pennant.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH OF MESSRS. EDWARDS, EGAN AND KRONGARD USING THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD. UNLESS MARKED TO THE CONTRARY, WHITE PROXY CARDS RECEIVED BY THE COMPANY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE ELECTION OF MESSRS. EDWARDS, EGAN AND KRONGARD.

Our Board of Directors believes that Messrs Edwards, Egan and Krongard are well-suited by qualification and experience to serve on our Board for a three-year term and that their election will assist our Board in performing its stewardship function in the best interests of all stockholders. Biographical information about each of them is set forth below.

Table of Contents

Our Board believes that Mr. Edwards' responsibilities as PHH's most senior executive and his familiarity with all aspects of our business, as well as his 25-year career in positions of significant responsibility with our prior parent company Cendant Corporation including his nine years as the chief executive officer of Cendant's mortgage subsidiary (our predecessor) enable him to continue to play an important role on the Board, five of the seven current members of which are not employees and are independent (see Independence of the Board of Directors).

Our Board believes that Mr. Egan's 26 years of practice with two independent public accounting firms, including senior leadership positions with both, and his ten years of experience as managing director of an alternative asset management firm the portfolio of which included real estate investments, enable him to contribute significantly to our Board by chairing our Audit Committee and serving on our Finance and Risk Management Committee.

Our Board believes that Mr. Krongard has played, and will if re-elected continue to play, a significant leadership and mentorship role in PHH through his positions as non-executive Chairman of our Board and as Chairman of our Corporate Governance Committee since the Cendant spin-off four years ago and that his prior experience both as a senior official at the Central Intelligence Agency for six years and as a senior officer at two national investment banking firms for many years before that provides him with broad insight into our industry and economy to complement his familiarity with our business.

Further biographical information about each of Messrs Edwards, Egan and Krongard is set forth below.

Table of Contents**BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Our Board of Directors currently consists of seven members. The principal occupations of, and certain other information regarding, each of the Class I Director nominees and our other incumbent Directors, as of April 22, 2009, are set forth below. During 2008, our Board of Directors held eight meetings and each Director attended at least 75% of the meetings held by the Board of Directors during the period in which each such Director served as a member of the Board of Directors. All Directors are expected to attend each regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Directors, as well as each annual meeting of our stockholders (subject to certain limited exceptions). All of our Directors that were serving as Directors on June 11, 2008, attended the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on June 11, 2008.

Nominees to Serve as Class I Directors Term Expires in 2012

Terence W. Edwards, 53, serves as our President and Chief Executive Officer, a position he has held since February 2005. Prior to our spin-off from Cendant Corporation (our former parent company, now known as Avis Budget Group, Inc., referred to herein as Cendant) on January 31, 2005 (the Spin-Off), Mr. Edwards served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Cendant Mortgage Corporation (Cendant Mortgage, now known as PHH Mortgage Corporation (PHH Mortgage)) since February 1996, and as such, was responsible for overseeing its entire mortgage banking operations. From 1995 to 1996, Mr. Edwards served as Vice President of Investor Relations and Treasurer and was responsible for investor, banking and rating agency relations, financing resources, cash management, pension investment management and internal financial structure. Mr. Edwards joined us in 1980 as a treasury operations analyst and has held positions of increasing responsibility, including Director, Mortgage Finance and Senior Vice President, Secondary Marketing.

A.B. Krongard, 72, currently serves as non-executive Chairman of the Board of Directors and as Chairman of our Corporate Governance Committee and our Executive Committee and has served in such capacities since the Spin-Off. Since December 2004, Mr. Krongard has been pursuing personal interests. From March 2001 until December 2004, Mr. Krongard served as Executive Director of the Central Intelligence Agency. From February 1998 until March 2001, Mr. Krongard served as Counselor to the Director of Central Intelligence. Mr. Krongard previously worked in various capacities at Alex. Brown, Incorporated (Alex. Brown). In 1991, Mr. Krongard was elected as Chief Executive Officer of Alex. Brown and assumed the additional duties of Chairman of the Board of Alex. Brown in 1994. Upon the merger of Alex. Brown with Bankers Trust Corporation (Bankers Trust) in September 1997, Mr. Krongard became Vice Chairman of the Board of Bankers Trust and served in such capacity until joining the Central Intelligence Agency. Since July 2005, Mr. Krongard has served as a member of the Board of Directors of Under Armour, Inc. and currently serves as Lead Director and Chairman of its Audit Committee. Under Armour, Inc. files reports pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Mr. Krongard also serves as a director of Iridium Holdings, LLC, a global satellite communications company, and the law firm DLA Piper.

James O. Egan, 60, has served as a Director since March 2009. Mr. Egan served as a Managing Director of Investcorp International, Inc., an alternative asset management firm specializing in private equity, hedge fund offerings and real estate and technology investments, from 1998 through 2008. Mr. Egan was the partner-in-charge, M&A Practice, U.S. Northeast Region for KPMG LLP from 1997 to 1998 and served as the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Riverwood International, Inc. from 1996 to 1997. Mr. Egan began his career with

PricewaterhouseCoopers (formerly Coopers & Lybrand) in 1971 and served as partner from 1982 to 1996 and a member of the Board of Partners from 1995 to 1996.

Table of Contents

Continuing Class II Directors Term Expires in 2010

George J. Kilroy, 61, serves as President and Chief Executive Officer of PHH Vehicle Management Services Group LLC (PHH Arval), a position he has held since March 2001. Mr. Kilroy is responsible for the management of PHH Arval. From May 1997 to March 2001, Mr. Kilroy served as Senior Vice President, Business Development and was responsible for new client sales, client relations and marketing for PHH Arval 's United States operations. Mr. Kilroy joined PHH Arval in 1976 as an Account Executive in the Truck and Equipment Division and has held positions of increasing responsibility, including head of Diversified Services and Financial Services.

Ann D. Logan, 54, has served as a Director since January 31, 2005. Since July 2000, Ms. Logan has worked with various non-profit organizations. Ms. Logan was an Executive Vice President at the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) from January 1993 to July 2000. Ms. Logan ran the single-family mortgage business at Fannie Mae from 1998 to 2000 and was the Chief Credit Officer from 1993 to 1998. From 1989 to 1993, Ms. Logan was a Senior Vice President in charge of Fannie Mae 's Northeast Regional Office in Philadelphia. Prior to joining Fannie Mae, Ms. Logan was Assistant Vice President at Standard & Poor 's Corporation in New York. From 1976 to 1980, Ms. Logan worked for the U.S. Senate Judiciary Committee and served as the Committee Staff Director in 1980.

Continuing Class III Directors Term Expires in 2011

James W. Brinkley, 72, has served as a Director since January 31, 2005. In December 2005, Mr. Brinkley became Vice Chairman of Smith Barney 's Global Private Client Group following Citigroup Inc. 's acquisition of Legg Mason Wood Walker, Incorporated (LMWW). Mr. Brinkley served as a Director of Legg Mason, Inc., a holding company that, through its subsidiaries, provides financial services to individuals, institutions, corporations, governments and government agencies since its formation in 1981. Mr. Brinkley has served as a Senior Executive Vice President of Legg Mason, Inc. since December 1983. Mr. Brinkley became Chairman of LMWW, Legg Mason Inc. 's principal brokerage subsidiary, in February 2004. Mr. Brinkley previously served as LMWW 's Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer from July 2003 through February 2004, as its President from 1985 until July 2003 and as its Chief Operating Officer from February 1998 until July 2003.

Jonathan D. Mariner, 54, has served as a Director since January 31, 2005. Mr. Mariner has been the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Major League Baseball since January 2004. From March 2002 to January 2004, Mr. Mariner served as the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Major League Baseball. From December 2000 to March 2002, Mr. Mariner served as the Chief Operating Officer of Charter Schools U.S.A., a charter school development and management company. Mr. Mariner was the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Florida Marlins Baseball Club from February 1992 to December 2000.

Former Class I Director

Francis J. Van Kirk, 60, served as a Director from July 1, 2005 through March 30, 2009. Since November 2005, Mr. Van Kirk has been a partner with Heidrick & Struggles, an international executive search and leadership consulting services company. Prior to joining Heidrick & Struggles, Mr. Van Kirk served as the Managing Partner of the Philadelphia office of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP from 1996 through June 2005. In this role, Mr. Van Kirk oversaw the integration and coordination of PricewaterhouseCoopers' lines of service and industry groups to ensure seamless service to its clients. Mr. Van Kirk began his career with PricewaterhouseCoopers in 1971 as a Staff Auditor and was employed in positions of increasing responsibility during his 35-year career with that firm.

Table of Contents

Independence of the Board of Directors

Under the rules of the NYSE, our Board of Directors is required to affirmatively determine which Directors are independent and to disclose such determination in our annual report to stockholders and in our proxy statement for each annual meeting of stockholders. Our Board of Directors has reviewed each Director's relationships with us in conjunction with our previously adopted categorical Independence Standards for Directors (the "Independence Standards") and Section 303A of the NYSE's Listed Company Manual (the "NYSE Listing Standards"). A copy of our categorical Independence Standards is attached to this Proxy Statement as Appendix A and is available on our corporate website at www.phh.com under the heading "Investor Relations" Corporate Governance. A copy of our Independence Standards is also available to stockholders upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054 (telephone number: 1-866-PHH-INFO or 1-856-917-1PHH). Our Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that each of our current non-employee Directors and Director nominees Messrs. Brinkley, Egan, Krongard and Mariner and Ms. Logan is independent within the meaning of our categorical Independence Standards and the NYSE Listing Standards and has no material relationship with us or any of our subsidiaries, either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us. Our Board has also determined that Messrs. Edwards and Kilroy, who serve as executive officers, are not independent Directors. Accordingly, more than two-thirds of the members of our Board of Directors are independent as required by our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Non-Executive Chairman of the Board

Mr. Krongard serves as non-executive Chairman of the Board of Directors. In such capacity, Mr. Krongard leads all meetings of our Board of Directors at which he is present, but does not serve as an employee or corporate officer. The non-executive Chairman of the Board serves on appropriate committees as requested by the Board of Directors, sets meeting schedules and agendas and manages information flow to the Board of Directors to assure appropriate understanding of, and discussion regarding matters of interest or concern to the Board of Directors. The non-executive Chairman of the Board also has such additional powers and performs such additional duties consistent with organizing and leading the actions of the Board of Directors as the Board of Directors may from time-to-time prescribe. Mr. Krongard is Chairman of our Executive Committee and our Corporate Governance Committee.

Table of Contents

COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD

The Board of Directors has a standing Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Corporate Governance Committee, Finance and Risk Management Committee and Executive Committee. Each such committee consists solely of Directors who have been affirmatively determined to be independent within the meaning of the NYSE Listing Standards and the Company's Independence Standards. Each of these Committees operates pursuant to a written charter approved by the Board of Directors and each such charter is available on our corporate website at www.phh.com under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance. A copy of each committee charter is also available to stockholders upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054 (telephone number: 1-866-PHH-INFO or 1-856-917-1PHH). In addition, the Board of Directors has a standing Executive Committee which may take certain actions on behalf of the Board of Directors when the Board is not in session.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee assists our Board of Directors in the oversight of the integrity of our financial statements, our independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence, the performance of our independent registered public accounting firm and our internal audit function, and our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. The Audit Committee also oversees our corporate accounting and reporting practices by:

meeting with our financial management and independent registered public accounting firm to review our financial statements, quarterly earnings releases and financial data;

appointing and pre-approving all services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm that will audit our financial statements;

reviewing the selection of the internal auditors that provide internal audit services;

reviewing the scope, procedures and results of our audits; and

evaluating our key financial and accounting personnel.

The Audit Committee is a separately-designated standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act. The Audit Committee operates pursuant to a written charter that is available on our corporate website at www.phh.com under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance.

The Audit Committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Egan (Chair) and Mariner and Ms. Logan. Our Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Egan and Mariner qualify as audit committee financial experts within the meaning of applicable SEC rules and are independent Directors under the Independence Standards and the NYSE Listing Standards. During 2008, the Audit Committee met thirteen times and each member of the Audit Committee attended at least 75% of the meetings held by the Audit Committee during the period in which each such member served as a member of the Audit Committee.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee determines and approves all elements of compensation for our Chief Executive Officer and senior management; reviews and approves our compensation strategy, including the elements of total

compensation for senior management; reviews and approves the annual bonus and long-term bonus incentive plans, and reviews and grants equity awards for our employees. The Compensation Committee also assists us in developing compensation and benefit strategies to attract, develop and retain qualified employees. See Executive Compensation for additional information regarding the process for the determination and consideration of executive compensation. The Compensation Committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Brinkley (Chair) and Krongard and Ms. Logan. During 2008, the Compensation Committee met eleven times and each member of the Compensation Committee attended at least 75% of the meetings held by the Compensation Committee during the period in which each such member served as a member of the Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance Committee

The Corporate Governance Committee's responsibilities with respect to its governance function include considering matters of corporate governance and reviewing and revising our Board of Directors' Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Directors and our Code of Conduct for employees and officers. The Corporate Governance Committee identifies, evaluates and recommends nominees for our Board of Directors for each annual meeting (see Corporate Governance - Nomination Process and Qualifications for Director Nominees below); evaluates the composition, organization and governance of our Board of Directors and its committees, and develops and recommends corporate governance principles and policies applicable to us. The Committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Krongard (Chair), Brinkley and Mariner. During 2008, the Corporate Governance Committee met three times and each member of the Corporate Governance Committee attended at least 75% of the meetings held by the Corporate Governance Committee during the period in which each such member served as a member of the Corporate Governance Committee.

Finance and Risk Management Committee

The Finance and Risk Management Committee was formed on February 27, 2008 to assist our Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the assessment of our overall capital structure and its impact on the generation of appropriate risk adjusted returns, as well as the existence, operation and effectiveness of our risk management programs, policies and practices. The Finance and Risk Management Committee is currently comprised of Ms. Logan (Chair) and Messrs. Egan and Krongard. During 2008, the Finance and Risk Management Committee met seven times and each member of the Finance and Risk Management Committee attended at least 75% of the meetings held by the Finance and Risk Management Committee during the period in which each such member served as a member of the Finance and Risk Management Committee.

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee may generally exercise all of the powers of our Board of Directors when the Board is not in session, including, subject to certain limitations, the power to authorize the issuance of stock, except that the Executive Committee has no power to alter, amend or repeal our by-laws or any resolution or resolutions of the Board of Directors, declare any dividend or make any other distribution to our stockholders, appoint any member of the Executive Committee or take any other action which legally may be taken only by the full Board of Directors. The Executive Committee is currently comprised of Messrs. Krongard (Chair), Edwards and Kilroy. During 2008, the Executive Committee did not meet.

Table of Contents**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

The Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for reviewing and recommending to the Board of Directors the compensation of our non-employee Directors. Members of our Board of Directors who are also our officers or employees do not receive any additional compensation for serving as a Director. The following table sets forth our non-employee Director compensation schedule for 2008:

	Compensation
Annual Non-Executive Chairman of the Board Retainer	\$ 170,000
Annual Non-Executive Board Member Retainer	120,000
New Director Equity Grant ⁽¹⁾	60,000
Audit Committee Chair Stipend	20,000
Audit Committee Member Stipend	12,000
Compensation Committee Chair Stipend	15,000
Compensation Committee Member Stipend	10,000
Corporate Governance Committee Chair Stipend	9,000
Corporate Governance Committee Member Stipend	7,000
Finance and Risk Management Committee Chair Stipend ⁽²⁾	17,500
Finance and Risk Management Committee Member Stipend ⁽²⁾	11,000

(1) At the end of the first calendar quarter following the date that a non-employee Director first commences service as a member of the Board of Directors, such non-employee Director is granted restricted stock units under the PHH Corporation 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan with an aggregate fair market value of approximately \$60,000 with cash being paid in lieu of any fractional restricted stock units. Each such restricted stock unit is immediately vested and non-forfeitable and represents the right to receive one share of the Company's common stock on the one year anniversary date following the date such Director's service as a member of the Board of Directors terminates for any reason. During 2008, no non-employee Directors first commenced service on the Board of Directors.

(2) The Finance and Risk Management Committee was formed on February 27, 2008, at which time the Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Corporate Governance Committee, established the annual stipends for participation on such committee.

Non-employee Director compensation is paid in arrears in four equal quarterly installments at the end of each calendar quarter (each payment date, a "Fee Payment Date") and are paid half in restricted stock units that are issued under our 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the "Director RSUs") and, unless deferred as described below, half in cash. Each Director RSU represents the right to receive one share of our common stock upon settlement of such Director RSU. Director RSUs are immediately vested and are settled in shares of our common stock one year after the Director is no longer a member of the Board. Director RSUs may not be sold or otherwise transferred for value prior to the Director's termination of service on the Board.

The number of Director RSUs granted to each non-employee Director on each Fee Payment Date that is attributable to the portion of the compensation that is payable in the form of Director RSUs is determined by dividing one-half of the total dollar amount of compensation that is payable to each such non-employee Director on such Fee Payment Date by

the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE on such Fee Payment Date (or, if there was no trading of our common stock on the NYSE on such Fee Payment Date, the closing price of our common stock on the date last preceding such Fee Payment Date upon which our common stock was traded on the NYSE). Fractional Director RSUs are not granted and any fractional portion resulting from the foregoing calculation is paid in cash or deferred as described below.

A non-employee Director may elect to defer under the PHH Corporation Non-Employee Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan all or any portion of any compensation that would otherwise be paid to such non-employee Director in cash. If deferred, a Director is credited with additional Director RSUs with a fair market value on each applicable Fee Payment Date equal to the cash that such non-employee Director has elected to defer in lieu of such cash. Director RSUs that are received pursuant to elective deferrals of fees that are otherwise payable in cash are

Table of Contents

immediately vested and are settled in shares of our common stock 200 days after the Director is no longer a member of the Board.

We do not maintain a pension plan for non-employee Directors. Non-employee Directors did not receive any other form of compensation for 2008.

Director Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the compensation paid to or earned by each of our non-employee Directors during 2008:

Name	Fees		Non-Equity Deferred Compensation			All Other Compensation	Total
	Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Option Awards (\$)	Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation (\$)		
James W. Brinkley	71,055	70,945					142,000
James O. Egan ⁽¹⁾							
A.B. Krongard	99,132 ⁽²⁾	99,035 ⁽²⁾					198,167
Ann D. Logan	78,357	78,226					156,583
Jonathan D. Mariner	69,558	69,442					139,000
Francis J. Van Kirk ⁽³⁾	74,642	74,525					149,167

(1) Mr. Egan first commenced service on the Board of Directors on March 30, 2009.

(2) During 2008, Mr. Krongard elected to defer \$65,415 of the cash portion of his retainer and stipends pursuant to the Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan and received 4,519 Director RSUs in lieu of such cash. The \$65,415 that Mr. Krongard elected to defer in the form of Director RSUs is included in the Fees Earned or Paid in Cash column rather than the Stock Awards column under applicable SEC rules because such amount was earned in cash and then deferred into Director RSUs under the Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan.

(3) Mr. Van Kirk resigned from the Board of Directors on March 30, 2009.

(4) The amounts shown in this column reflect the expense amount recognized by us for financial statement reporting purposes in respect of awards to our non-employee Directors of restricted stock units during 2008. The aggregate grant date fair value of such restricted stock units is equal to the amounts reflected in this column. See Note 18, Stock-Based Compensation in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2008 Annual Report for the assumptions used in calculating our equity-based compensation expense.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Executive Sessions of Non-Management Directors

Executive sessions of non-management Directors without management present are held regularly by the Board of Directors and its Committees to discuss the criteria upon which the performance of the Chief Executive Officer and other senior executives is based, the performance of the Chief Executive Officer and other senior executives against such criteria, the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and other senior executives and any other relevant matters. Our Board of Directors has designated Mr. Krongard, our non-executive Chairman of the Board and Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee, as the presiding Director of executive sessions of the non-management Directors of the Board of Directors.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines to assist the Board of Directors in monitoring the effectiveness of decision-making, both at the Board of Directors and management levels and to enhance long-term stockholder value. The Corporate Governance Guidelines outline the following:

the responsibilities of the Board of Directors;

the composition of the Board of Directors, including the requirement that two-thirds of the Directors be independent within the meaning of the NYSE Listing Standards;

Director duties, tenure, retirement and succession;

conduct of Board of Directors and Committee meetings; and

the selection and evaluation of the Chief Executive Officer.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines are available on our corporate website at www.phh.com under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance. A copy of our Corporate Governance Guidelines is also available to stockholders upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054 (telephone number: 1-866-PHH-INFO or 1-856-917-1PHH).

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Directors

We are committed to conducting business in accordance with the highest standards of business ethics and complying with applicable laws, rules and regulations. In furtherance of this commitment, our Board of Directors promotes ethical behavior and has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Directors (the Directors Code) that is applicable to all of our Directors. The Directors Code provides, among other things:

guidelines for Directors with respect to what constitutes a conflict of interest between a Director's private interests and interests of PHH;

a set of standards that must be followed whenever we contemplate a business relationship between us and a Director;

restrictions on competition between our Directors and PHH and the use of our confidential information by Directors for their personal benefit; and

disciplinary measures for violations of the Directors Code and any other applicable rules and regulations.

The Directors Code is available on our corporate website at www.phh.com under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance. We will post any amendments to the Directors Code, or waivers of the provisions thereof, to our corporate website under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance. A copy of the Directors Code is also available to stockholders upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054 (telephone number: 1-866-PHH-INFO or 1-856-917-1PHH).

Table of Contents

Code of Conduct for Employees and Officers

Our Board of Directors has also adopted a Code of Conduct for Employees and Officers (the Employees and Officers Code) that is applicable to all of our officers and employees, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. The Employees and Officers Code provides, among other things:

guidelines for our officers and employees with respect to ethical handling of conflicts of interest, including examples of the most common types of conflicts of interest that should be avoided (e.g., receipt of improper personal benefits, having an ownership interest in other businesses that may compromise an officer's loyalty to us, obtaining outside employment with a competitor of ours, etc.);

a set of standards to promote full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in periodic reports required to be filed by us, including, for example, a specific requirement that all accounting records must be duly preserved and must accurately reflect our assets and liabilities;

a requirement to comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations;

guidance promoting prompt internal communication of any suspected violations of the Employees and Officers Code to the appropriate person or persons identified in the Employees and Officers Code; and

disciplinary measures for violations of the Employees and Officers Code and any other applicable rules and regulations.

The Employees and Officers Code is available on our corporate website at www.phh.com under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance. We will post any amendments to the Employees and Officers Code, or waivers of the provisions thereof for any of our executive officers, to our corporate website under the heading Investor Relations Corporate Governance. A copy of the Employees and Officers Code is also available to stockholders upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054 (telephone number: 1-866-PHH-INFO or 1-856-917-1PHH).

Nomination Process and Qualifications for Director Nominees

The Board of Directors has established certain procedures and criteria for the selection of nominees for election to our Board of Directors. Pursuant to its charter, the Corporate Governance Committee is required to identify individuals qualified to become members of the Board, which shall be consistent with the Board's criteria for selecting new Directors. The committee considers criteria such as diversity, age, skills and experience so as to enhance the Board of Directors' ability to manage and direct our affairs and business, including, when applicable, to enhance the ability of Corporate Governance Committees of the Board to fulfill their duties and/or to satisfy any independence requirements imposed by law, regulation or NYSE requirement. The Corporate Governance Committee is also responsible for conducting a review of the credentials of individuals it wishes to recommend to the Board of Directors as a Director nominee, recommending Director nominees to the Board of Directors for submission for a stockholder vote at either an annual meeting of stockholders or at any special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose of electing Directors, reviewing the suitability for continued service as a Director of each Board member when his or her term expires and when he or she has a significant change in status, including but not limited to an employment change, and recommending whether such a Director should be re-nominated to the Board or continue as a Director.

Our amended and restated by-laws provide the procedure for stockholders to make Director nominations either at any annual meeting of stockholders or at any special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose of electing Directors. A stockholder who is both a stockholder of record on the date of notice as provided for in our amended and restated

by-laws and on the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to vote at such meeting and gives timely notice can nominate persons for election to our Board of Directors either for an annual meeting of stockholders or at any special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose of electing Directors. The notice must be delivered to or mailed and received by the Corporate Secretary at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054 (telephone number: 1-866-PHH-INFO or 1-856-917-1PHH):

in the case of an annual meeting, not later than the close of business on the 90th day nor earlier than the close of business on the 120th day prior to the first anniversary of the preceding year's annual meeting; provided,

Table of Contents

however, that in the event that the date of the annual meeting is advanced by more than 30 days or delayed by more than 60 days after such anniversary date, notice by the stockholder must be so delivered not earlier than the close of business on the 90th day prior to the date of such annual meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the 60th day prior to the date of such annual meeting or the tenth day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such annual meeting is first made, and

in the case of a special meeting of stockholders called for the purpose of electing Directors, not later than the close of business on the tenth day following the day on which notice of the date of the special meeting was sent or public announcement of the date of the special meeting was made, whichever first occurs.

The stockholder's notice to our Corporate Secretary must be in writing and include the following information, as more fully described in Section 1.10 of our amended and restated by-laws:

(i) as to each person whom the stockholder proposes to nominate for election as a Director (each, a Proposed Nominee):

all information relating to the Proposed Nominee that would be required to be disclosed in connection with solicitations of proxies for election of the Proposed Nominee pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act; and

a statement of the background and qualifications of each such Proposed Nominee;

(ii) as to the stockholder giving the notice of any Proposed Nominee and any Stockholder Associated Person (as defined in the amended and restated by-laws):

the class, series and number of all shares of stock or other securities of the Company or any affiliate of the Company (collectively, the Company Securities), if any, which are owned (beneficially or of record) by such stockholder, Proposed Nominee or Stockholder Associated Person, the date on which each such Company Security was acquired and the investment intent of such acquisition, and any short interest (including any opportunity to profit or share in any benefit from any decrease in the price of such stock or other security) in any Company Securities of any such person;

the nominee holder for, and number of, any Company Securities owned beneficially but not of record by such stockholder, Proposed Nominee or Stockholder Associated Person;

whether and the extent to which such stockholder, Proposed Nominee or Stockholder Associated Person, directly or indirectly, is subject to or during the last six months has engaged in any hedging, derivative or similar transactions with respect to any Company Securities; and

any interest, direct or indirect, of such stockholder, Proposed Nominee or Stockholder Associated Person, in the Company or any affiliate of the Company, other than an interest arising from the ownership of Company Securities;

(iii) as to the stockholder giving the notice, any Stockholder Associated Person with an interest or ownership referred to in (ii) above, and any Proposed Nominee, the name and address of such stockholder, as they appear on the Company's stock ledger, and the current name and business address, if different, of each such Stockholder Associated Person and any Proposed Nominee;

(iv) a representation that such stockholder intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the Proposed Nominee(s) in its notice, and

(v) any other information relating to such stockholder that would be required to be disclosed in connection with solicitations of proxies for election of the Proposed Nominee pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Exchange Act.

Such notice must be accompanied by a written consent of each Proposed Nominee to be named as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected. No person shall be eligible for election as a director of the Company unless nominated in accordance with the procedures set forth in our amended and restated by-laws. If the chairman of the meeting determines that a nomination was not made in accordance with the above-described procedures, the chairman of the meeting shall declare to the meeting that the nomination was defective and such defective

Table of Contents

nomination shall be disregarded. No adjournment or postponement of a meeting of stockholders shall commence a new period for the giving of notice of a stockholder proposal under our amended and restated by-laws.

Communication with Non-Management Directors

In accordance with our Corporate Governance Guidelines, all stockholder and interested party communications to any Director, the non-management Directors as a group or the Board of Directors shall be forwarded to the attention of the Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee, c/o the Corporate Secretary, 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054. The Corporate Secretary shall review all such stockholder and interested party communications and discard those which (i) are not related to our business or governance of our company, (ii) are commercial solicitations which are not relevant to the Board's responsibilities and duties, (iii) pose a threat to health or safety or (iv) the Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee has otherwise instructed the Corporate Secretary not to forward. The Corporate Secretary will then forward all relevant stockholder and interested party communications to the Chairman of the Corporate Governance Committee for review and dissemination.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 2 RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2009

The Audit Committee has appointed Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009. The submission of this matter for approval by stockholders is not legally required; however, the Board of Directors believes that such submission provides stockholders an opportunity to provide feedback to the Board of Directors on an important issue of corporate governance. If stockholders do not approve the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP, the selection of such firm as our independent registered public accounting firm will be reconsidered. In the event that Deloitte & Touche LLP is unable to serve as independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009 for any reason, the Audit Committee will appoint another independent registered public accounting firm. Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting, will be given an opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate stockholder questions regarding the Company.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2009 USING THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD. UNLESS MARKED TO THE CONTRARY, PROXIES RECEIVED BY THE COMPANY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE SELECTION OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

In connection with the preparation of the Company's consolidated financial statements for the period ended December 31, 2008, the Audit Committee:

Reviewed and discussed the Company's audited consolidated financial statements with management;

Discussed with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 61, as amended (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1. AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T; and

Received the written disclosures and the letter from Deloitte & Touche LLP required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding Deloitte & Touche LLP's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP their independence.

Based upon these reviews and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Company's audited consolidated financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2008, for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Date: February 25, 2009

Audit Committee of the Board of Directors

Francis J. Van Kirk (Chair)

Ann D. Logan

Jonathan D. Mariner

Table of Contents**PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**

Our Audit Committee is responsible for pre-approving all audit services and permitted non-audit services, including the fees and terms thereof, to be performed for us and our subsidiaries by our independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP (the Independent Auditor). The Audit Committee has adopted a pre-approval policy and implemented procedures that provide that all engagements of our Independent Auditor are reviewed and pre-approved by the Audit Committee, except for such services that fall within the *de minimis* exception for non-audit services described in Section 10A(i)(1)(B) of the Exchange Act that our Audit Committee approves prior to the completion of the audit. The pre-approval policy also permits the delegation of pre-approval authority to a member of the Audit Committee between meetings of the Audit Committee, and any such approvals are reviewed and ratified by the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, professional services were performed for us by Deloitte & Touche LLP, our Independent Auditor, pursuant to the oversight of our Audit Committee. Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting, will be given an opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and will be available to respond to appropriate stockholder questions regarding the Company.

Set forth below are the fees billed to us by Deloitte & Touche LLP, the member firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu and their respective affiliates. All fees and services were approved in accordance with the Audit Committee's pre-approval policy.

Fees by Type	Year Ended December 31,	
	2008	2007
	(In millions)	
Audit fees	\$ 7.8	\$ 7.8
Audit-related fees	0.6	0.9
Tax fees	0.5	0.6
All other fees	0.0	0.3
Total	\$ 8.9	\$ 9.6

Audit Fees. Audit fees primarily related to the annual audits of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Reports on Form 10-K and our internal control over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the reviews of the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and services provided in connection with regulatory and statutory filings.

Audit-Related Fees. Audit-related fees primarily related to audit fees for our employee benefit plans, comfort letters for registration statements and securitization transactions and agreed upon procedures.

Tax Fees. Tax fees related to tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007.

All Other Fees. All other fees primarily related to a terminated merger agreement with General Electric Capital Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Jade Merger Sub, Inc.

Table of Contents

**PROPOSAL 3 APPROVAL OF THE PHH CORPORATION
AMENDED AND RESTATED 2005 EQUITY AND INCENTIVE PLAN**

Introduction

On April 17, 2009, the Board adopted the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the Amended Plan), subject to the approval of the Amended Plan by the Company s stockholders at the Annual Meeting. The PHH Corporation 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the Prior Plan) was originally adopted by the Board and approved by our sole stockholder on January 14, 2005, in connection with the Spin-Off of the Company from Cendant Corporation. The provisions of the Prior Plan will continue to control with respect to any awards outstanding prior to stockholder approval of the Amended Plan, except with respect to the treatment of shares of stock surrendered or withheld as payment of the exercise price of an award or for withholding taxes (see Stock Available for Awards below), and the Amended Plan will apply with respect to awards issued after the date of stockholder approval. If we do not obtain stockholder approval of the Amended Plan, the Prior Plan will remain in effect; however, the Company will have limited ability to grant new awards under the Prior Plan. Accordingly, the Board recommends a vote **FOR** the approval of the Amended Plan using the enclosed **WHITE** proxy card. Unless marked to the contrary, proxies received by the Company will be voted **FOR** the approval of the Amended Plan.

The Amended Plan (1) increases by 4,550,000 shares the maximum number of shares that we may issue as awards; (2) clarifies the definition of pre-tax income of the Company or any subsidiary, division or business unit as a performance goal under the Amended Plan; (3) increases the maximum aggregate value of any payment subject to awards under the annual incentive program or stock-or cash-based awards other than stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock or restricted stock units from \$1 million to \$5 million; and (4) incorporates the other modifications and changes described below.

In order to comply with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), we are also required to disclose and obtain the approval of a majority of the stockholders of the material terms of the performance goals established under the Amended Plan in order for performance awards issued to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and our four most highly compensated officers other than our principal executive officer and principal financial officer (collectively, the covered employees) to qualify as performance-based compensation exempt from the \$1 million limit on tax deductibility under Code Section 162(m).

As of April 22, 2009 (the record date for the 2009 Annual Meeting), 400,534 shares remain available for grants of stock-based compensation awards. Stockholder approval of the Amended Plan and the proposed share increase will allow us to continue to provide equity incentives to executive officers, employees, non-employee directors and consultants of the Company and its subsidiaries, including performance awards that meet Code Section 162(m) requirements, thereby preserving our ability to receive tax deductions for those awards. We believe that these equity incentives are crucial to attracting and retaining highly qualified employees whose expertise is essential to our continued growth and success.

Description of the Amended Plan

General Summary

The following is a general summary of the Amended Plan, and is qualified in its entirety by the complete text of the Amended Plan, which is attached to this Proxy Statement as Appendix B. A copy of the Amended Plan is also available to stockholders upon request, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel,

New Jersey, 08054 (telephone number: 1-866-PHH-INFO or 1-856-917-1PHH).

Purposes of the Amended Plan

The Amended Plan is intended to afford incentives to non-employee directors, officers and other employees, advisors and consultants of the Company or any parent or subsidiary corporation to continue in their service to the Company. The Amended Plan provides for grants of stock options (including incentive stock options (ISOs)) and

Table of Contents

non-qualified stock options (NQSOs), stock appreciation rights (SARs), restricted stock, restricted stock units (RSUs) and other stock- or cash-based awards. The Company also intends that the Amended Plan comply with the requirements for performance-based compensation under Code Section 162(m) and the requirements of Code Section 409A with respect to awards treated as deferred compensation (see Restricted Stock Units below).

Administration

The Board has delegated authority to administer the Amended Plan to the Compensation Committee of the Board (the Committee). Subject to certain limitations (see Limitations on Individual Awards below), the Amended Plan gives the Committee the authority to grant awards, determine the recipients and timing of awards, the type and number of awards to be granted, the number of shares underlying awards and the terms, conditions, restrictions and performance criteria relating to awards. The Committee also has the authority to determine whether and to what extent an award may be settled, cancelled, forfeited, exchanged or surrendered, adjust terms and conditions of performance goals, construe and interpret the plan and any award, prescribe, amend and rescind rules and regulations relating to the Amended Plan, determine the terms and provisions of individual award agreements, and make all other decisions it determines to be advisable for the administration of the Amended Plan. The Committee may delegate its administrative duties to its members or to certain agents of the Company.

Eligibility

The Amended Plan permits grants of awards to non-employee directors, officers and other employees, advisors or consultants of the Company or any parent or subsidiary of the Company, as determined by the Committee. As of April 22, 2009, five non-employee directors and approximately 210 employees are eligible to receive awards under the Amended Plan.

Stock Available for Awards

The Prior Plan reserves a total of 7,500,000 shares for issuance pursuant to awards. As of April 22, 2009, 5,139,807 shares are subject to outstanding awards under the Prior Plan and 400,534 shares remain available for future grants under the Prior Plan. If the stockholders approve the Amended Plan and the proposed increase of 4,550,000 shares, a total of 4,950,534 shares will be available for future awards and no more than 2,250,000 shares may be issued pursuant to awards that are not stock options or SARs.

The Amended Plan provides that any shares subject to awards that are forfeited or cancelled, or that terminate or expire without a distribution of shares will, to the extent forfeited, cancelled, terminated or expired, again become available for awards under the Amended Plan other than ISOs (with each such share added back to the share reserve as one share). The Amended Plan also clarifies that shares subject to awards that are ultimately paid or settled in cash again become available for awards under the Amended Plan. However, shares of stock surrendered or withheld as payment of either the exercise price of an award or for withholding taxes will not be made available for future awards. All shares covered by an SAR, to the extent that it is ultimately exercised and settled in shares of stock, shall be considered issued or transferred pursuant to the Amended Plan. Upon the exercise of any award granted in tandem with any other award, the related award will be cancelled to the extent of the number of shares as to which the award is exercised, and such shares will no longer be available for awards under the Plan.

Repricing Prohibited

Neither the Board, the Committee nor any other person to whom the Committee delegates its authority may reprice or cancel and regrant any stock option or other type of award at a lower exercise, base or purchase price without obtaining stockholder approval.

Options Granted at Fair Market Value

Stock options may not be granted with an exercise price that is less than the fair market value of a share of our common stock on the grant date. Under the Prior Plan, unless the Committee determines otherwise, fair market value is defined based on the average of the high and low sales prices for the Company's stock on the New York Stock Exchange. As the Committee previously determined to define fair market value under the Prior Plan by

Table of Contents

reference to the closing sales price of the Company's stock on the New York Stock Exchange (or the immediately preceding date on which the Stock is traded, if the stock is not traded on the applicable date), the Amended Plan updates the definition of fair market value accordingly. The closing sales price of our common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on April 22, 2009 (the record date for the 2009 Annual Meeting) was \$17.68 per share.

Limitations on Individual Awards

In order to permit awards to qualify as performance-based compensation under Code Section 162(m), no employee may be granted awards in excess of the following limits:

No more than 1,000,000 shares of stock may be made subject to options or SARs to a single individual in a single calendar year;

No more than 1,000,000 shares of stock may be made subject to stock-based awards other than options and SARs (including restricted stock and RSUs or other stock-based awards) to a single individual in a single calendar year;

The maximum aggregate value of any payment made to a grantee under the Company's annual incentive program (see Annual Incentive Program below) or an award other than a stock option, SAR, restricted stock award or RSU (see Other Cash or Stock-Based Awards below) in any calendar year is \$5 million. The Board has determined that the increase in this amount from the \$1 million maximum under the Prior Plan is appropriate in order to ensure that the performance award provisions of the Amended Plan take into account future salary increases or changes in performance targets established by the Committee.

Section 422 of the Code requires that ISOs be granted only to employees. Any person who, at the time of grant, owns (or is deemed to own) stock possessing more than 10% of the total combined voting power of the Company, or any of its parent or subsidiary corporations, must be granted ISOs at an exercise price that is at least 110% of the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant, and the term of the option must not exceed five years. The aggregate fair market value, determined at the time of grant, of the shares of common stock with respect to which ISOs granted under the Amended Plan are exercisable for the first time by a grantee during any calendar year may not exceed \$100,000.

Terms of Awards

The following is a general description of the types and terms of awards that may be granted under the Amended Plan. Individual grants may have different terms and conditions, as determined by the Committee consistent with the provisions of the Amended Plan.

Stock Options

A stock option represents the right to purchase a share of common stock at a predetermined exercise price. The Committee, in its discretion, may grant ISOs or NQSOs to qualified participants. The Committee determines the terms of each option, provided that the exercise price may not be less than the fair market value per share on the grant date and the option term may not exceed ten years from the grant date. The exercise price may be paid in cash, by exchange of stock previously owned by the grantee for at least six months, by means of a broker cashless exercise procedure to the extent approved by the Committee and permitted by law, or by a combination of the above. An award agreement may also provide for payment of the exercise price by having the Company withhold shares with a fair market value equal to the exercise price. Generally, options may only be exercised while the grantee remains in service, although the Committee may accelerate vesting and extend the exercisability of options in the event of

specified terminations of employment or service. In no event, however, may the exercise period extend beyond the ten-year option term. Options and shares acquired upon exercise may also be subject to other conditions, including restrictions on transfer, as the Committee may determine or as may be required by applicable law.

Stock Appreciation Rights

A stock appreciation right entitles the recipient to receive a payment in cash or stock, as determined by the Committee at the date of grant, equal to the excess of (1) the fair market value per share of the Company's stock on

Table of Contents

the date of exercise over (2) the grant price per share of the SAR, which will not be less than the fair market value per share of the Company's stock on the grant date. In the case of an SAR granted in tandem with an option, the grant price will equal the exercise price of the underlying option, which will not be less than the fair market value per share of the Company's stock on the grant date. In the case of an SAR that is not granted in tandem with an option, the Committee determines the grant price, but the grant price will not be less than the fair market value per share of the Company's stock on the grant date. SARs are exercisable over the exercise period and subject to such terms and conditions as the Committee may determine; however, no SAR may be exercised more than ten years from the grant date or, for an SAR granted in tandem with an option, the expiration of the underlying option. The Committee may accelerate the exercisability of an SAR as it deems appropriate.

Restricted Stock

Restricted stock consists of shares of common stock that are awarded subject to restrictions on transferability and such other restrictions as the Committee may impose. These restrictions may lapse separately or in combination, at such times and under such circumstances as the Committee may determine. The Committee may also provide for restrictions to lapse based upon the attainment of performance goals (see Performance Awards and Performance Goals below). Except as otherwise determined in an individual award agreement, recipients of restricted stock have the same rights as stockholders, including the right to vote the shares and receive dividends in the form of cash or stock. Dividends on restricted stock are either paid at the dividend payment date or deferred for payment at a later date, as specified in the award agreement. However, dividends that vest based upon the attainment of performance goals are accumulated and paid only to the extent of the attainment of the underlying performance goals, as determined by the Committee. Stock distributed in connection with a stock split or stock dividend, and any other property distributed as a dividend, is generally subject to the same restrictions and risk of forfeiture as the original grant of restricted stock. Unless the Committee provides otherwise, restricted stock and any accrued but unpaid dividends are forfeited upon termination of service.

Restricted Stock Units

RSUs represent a right to receive shares of the Company's common stock or cash, as determined by the Committee at the date of grant, upon the expiration of a time period specified by the Committee. The Amended Plan amends the RSU definition to clarify that RSUs may be provided with dividend equivalent rights, as determined by the Committee and as provided in an individual Award Agreement or pursuant to the Amended Plan. The Committee may also place restrictions on RSUs that lapse based upon the attainment of performance goals (see Performance Awards and Performance Goals below). Upon termination of employment or service, all RSUs and any accrued but unpaid dividend equivalent rights are forfeited; however, the Committee may determine to waive restrictions or forfeiture conditions relating to RSUs upon termination under specified circumstances or otherwise. The Amended Plan specifies, however, that dividend equivalent rights paid on RSUs that vest based upon the attainment of performance goals are accumulated and paid only to the extent of the attainment of the underlying performance goals.

Non-Employee Director Compensatory Awards. The Company intends to compensate its non-employee directors, in part, by means of RSUs issued under the Amended Plan and payable in the form of Company common stock, unless the Committee or the Board determines otherwise on a prospective basis. The Amended Plan also clarifies, consistent with the Board's determination, that compensatory RSUs are awarded on the same quarterly dates that the Company pays non-employee directors their annual retainer and committee stipends and need not be evidenced by award agreements. The Company maintains separate book accounts in the name of each director for this purpose, and the director's account is credited with RSUs as of each applicable payment date. The number of RSUs is rounded down to the nearest whole number, and any fractional amounts are paid in cash. RSUs may have dividend equivalent rights credited and payable in the form of additional RSUs or cash, as determined prospectively by the Committee or the Board. RSUs credited to non-employee directors as compensatory awards are immediately vested and paid on the first

anniversary following the date of termination of Board service. No acceleration of payment is permitted except to the extent allowed under Code Section 409A.

Non-Employee Director Deferred Compensation Awards. The Amended Plan is also the source for RSUs issued pursuant to the PHH Corporation Non-Employee Directors Deferred Compensation Plan (the Deferred

Table of Contents

Compensation Plan), which permits non-employee directors to elect to receive a deferred payment of RSUs payable in stock (unless the Committee determines otherwise on a prospective basis) in lieu of a portion of their compensation that would otherwise be paid in cash. Each participating director must execute a deferred compensation agreement in accordance with the procedures established by the Company under the Deferred Compensation Plan and consistent with the requirements of Code Section 409A, setting forth the percentage of eligible fees to be deferred; once made, the deferral election remains in effect until changed by the participant, subject to the requirements of Code Section 409A. The RSUs are credited to separate book accounts established on behalf of participating directors on the dates the Company would otherwise pay their cash compensation, and fractional amounts are paid in cash. The RSUs have dividend equivalent rights that are credited and payable in the form of additional RSUs, as provided for under the Deferred Compensation Plan. The RSUs are immediately vested and become payable 200 days following the date of termination of Board service. Because the terms and conditions of the Deferred Compensation Plan control with respect to these RSUs, the Amended Plan does not require an award agreement to be issued with respect to such awards.

Other Stock or Cash-Based Awards

The Committee may grant other stock- or cash-based awards consistent with the purposes of the Amended Plan. Such awards may be granted contingent upon performance goals, provided the goals relate to periods of performance in excess of one calendar year, and any dividends or dividend equivalents payable with respect to such awards that vest based upon the attainment of performance goals are accumulated and paid only to the extent of attainment of the underlying performance goals. Payments may be decreased or, with respect only to grantees that are not covered employees for purposes of Code Section 162(m), increased, in the sole discretion of the Committee, based on factors it deems appropriate. No payment will be made to a covered employee prior to the certification by the Committee of the attainment of performance goals. The Committee may also establish additional rules applicable to other stock or cash based awards consistent with the requirements of Code Section 162(m).

Annual Incentive Program

The Amended Plan authorizes the Committee to grant awards to grantees under an annual incentive program, under such terms and conditions as the Committee determines to be consistent with the purposes of the Amended Plan, subject to the award limits set forth in the Amended Plan (see *Limitations on Individual Awards* above). Payments earned under the annual incentive program may be decreased or, with respect to any grantee who is not a covered employee, increased, in the sole discretion of the Committee, and based on factors it deems appropriate. No payment will be made to a covered employee prior to the certification by the Committee of the attainment of the performance goals relating to such awards, however. The Committee may establish additional rules applicable to an annual incentive program, to the extent consistent with Code Section 162(m).

Performance Awards and Performance Goals

Subject to the parameters described above (see *Limitations on Individual Awards* above), the Committee may grant performance awards, which are subject to the attainment of performance goals as determined by the Committee. Subject to stockholder approval, the Amended Plan provides that performance goals must be based on one or more of the following criteria: (i) pre-tax income or after-tax income; (ii) income or earnings including operating income, earnings before or after taxes, earnings before or after interest, depreciation, amortization, or extraordinary or special items; (iii) pre-tax income of the Company or any Subsidiary, or any division or business unit thereof, before or after non-controlling interest; (iv) net income excluding amortization of intangible assets, depreciation and impairment of goodwill and intangible assets and/or excluding charges attributable to the adoption of new accounting pronouncements; (v) earnings or book value per share (basic or diluted); (vi) return on assets (gross or net), return on investment, return on capital, or return on equity; (vii) return on revenues; (viii) cash flow, free cash flow, cash flow

return on investment (discounted or otherwise), net cash provided by operations, or cash flow in excess of cost of capital; (ix) economic value created; (x) operating margin or profit margin; (xi) stock price or total stockholder return; (xii) income or earnings from continuing operations; (xiii) cost targets, reductions and savings, expense management, productivity and efficiencies; and (xiv) strategic business criteria, consisting of one or more objectives based on meeting specified market penetration or market share, geographic business expansion,

Table of Contents

customer satisfaction, employee satisfaction, human resources management, supervision of litigation, information technology, and goals relating to divestitures, joint ventures and similar transactions.

Performance goals may be expressed in terms of a specified level of performance, a particular criterion or in terms of a percentage increase or decrease in a particular criterion, and may be applied to one or more of the Company, a parent or subsidiary, a division or strategic business unit. Performance goals may include a threshold level of performance below which no payment will be made or no vesting will occur, levels of performance at which specified payments will be paid or specified vesting will occur, and a maximum level of performance above which no additional payment will be made or which will result in full vesting. Each performance goal is evaluated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, where applicable, and the Committee must certify attainment of the goal. The Committee may make equitable adjustments to performance goals in recognition of unusual or non-recurring events affecting the company or any parent or subsidiary or their financial statements, in response to changes in applicable laws or regulations, or to account for items of gain, loss or expense determined by the Committee to be extraordinary or unusual in nature, infrequent in occurrence or related to the disposal of a business segment or a change in accounting principles.

Effect of Certain Corporate Events

Equitable Adjustments. If the Committee determines that any dividend or other distribution (whether in the form of cash, stock or other property), recapitalization, stock split, reverse split, reorganization, merger, consolidation, spin-off, combination, repurchase, or share exchange, or similar corporate event, affects the stock in such a manner that adjustment is appropriate in order to prevent dilution or enlargement of the rights of grantees, the Committee will make equitable changes or adjustments as necessary or appropriate to the number, price and kind of shares of stock or other property that may be issued in connection with outstanding and subsequent awards (provided that adjustments to ISOs will be made in accordance with Code requirements) and the performance goals applicable to outstanding awards.

Change in Control. Unless otherwise determined by the Committee and provided for in an award agreement, in the event of a change in control, unvested awards become fully vested and exercisable, and restrictions applicable to awards granted under the Amended Plan lapse. Any performance conditions imposed with respect to awards are deemed to be fully achieved upon a change in control.

A change in control of the Company will occur if: (1) any person, as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act (other than (a) the Company, (b) any trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under an employee benefit plan of the Company, and (c) any corporation owned, directly or indirectly, by the stockholders of the Company in substantially the same proportions as their ownership of Stock), is or becomes the beneficial owner (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing 30% or more of the combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding voting securities (excluding any person who becomes such a beneficial owner in connection with a transaction immediately following which the individuals who comprise the Board immediately prior thereto constitute at least a majority of the Board, the entity surviving such transaction or, if the Company or the entity surviving the transaction is then a subsidiary, the ultimate parent thereof); (2) the following individuals cease for any reason to constitute a majority of the number of directors then serving: individuals who, on the Amended Plan's effective date, constitute the Board and any new director (other than a director whose initial assumption of office is in connection with an actual or threatened election contest, including but not limited to a consent solicitation, relating to the election of directors of the Company) whose appointment or election by the Board or nomination for election by the Company's stockholders was approved or recommended by a vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the directors then still in office who either were directors on the effective date or whose appointment, election or nomination for election was previously so approved or recommended; (3) there is consummated a merger or consolidation of the Company or any direct or indirect subsidiary of the Company with any

other corporation, other than a merger or consolidation immediately following which the individuals who comprise the Board immediately prior thereto constitute at least a majority of the Board, the entity surviving such merger or consolidation or, if the Company or the entity surviving such merger is then a subsidiary, the ultimate parent thereof; or (4) the stockholders of the Company approve a plan of complete liquidation of the Company or there is consummated an agreement for the sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company's assets (or any transaction having a similar

Table of Contents

effect), other than a sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company's assets to an entity, immediately following which the individuals who comprise the Board immediately prior thereto constitute at least a majority of the board of directors of the entity to which such assets are sold or disposed of or, if such entity is a subsidiary, the ultimate parent thereof.

A change in control will not occur, however, by virtue of (1) a public offering of the Company's equity securities or (2) the consummation of any transaction or series of integrated transactions immediately following which the stockholders immediately prior to such transaction or series of transactions continue to have substantially the same proportionate ownership in an entity which owns all or substantially all of the assets of the Company. The Amended Plan also updates the change in control definition to provide that, solely to the extent necessary to comply with the requirements of Code Section 409A, a change in control as defined above may occur only upon or as a result of a change in control that also qualifies as such for purposes of Code Section 409A.

Duration, Amendment and Termination

The Prior Plan was originally effective as of January 14, 2005 and the Amended Plan, if approved by the stockholders, will remain in effect until January 14, 2015, the tenth anniversary of the original effective date. No awards may be granted under the Amended Plan after the expiration date, but the expiration of the Amended Plan will not affect outstanding awards issued prior to the expiration date. The Board may amend or terminate the Amended Plan in whole or in part at any time and from time to time, subject to the requirement that any amendment that requires stockholder approval in order for the Amended Plan to continue to comply with Section 162(m) or any other law, regulation or stock exchange requirement must be approved by the requisite vote of the stockholders. No amendment or termination may adversely affect the rights of grantees without their consent.

Federal Income Tax Information

The following discussion is only a general summary of the federal income tax aspects of stock options granted under the Amended Plan, and does not discuss state, local or foreign taxes that may apply to such awards. Tax consequences may vary depending on particular circumstances, and administrative and judicial interpretations of the application of the federal income tax laws are subject to change.

Incentive Stock Options. A grantee recognizes no taxable income for regular income tax purposes as the result of the grant or exercise of an ISO. Grantees who do not dispose of their shares for at least two years following the date the ISO was granted or within one year following exercise of the ISO normally recognize a long-term capital gain or loss equal to the difference, if any, between the sale price and the purchase price of the shares. If a grantee satisfies both holding periods upon a sale of the shares, the Company will not be entitled to any federal income tax deduction. If a grantee disposes of the shares either within two years after the date of grant or within one year from the date of exercise (referred to as a "disqualifying disposition"), the difference between the fair market value of the shares on the exercise date and the option exercise price (not to exceed the gain realized on the sale if the disposition is a transaction with respect to which a loss, if sustained, would be recognized) is taxed as ordinary income at the time of disposition. Any gain in excess of that amount is treated as a capital gain. If a loss is recognized, it is a capital loss. A capital gain or loss is long-term if the grantee's holding period is more than 12 months. Any ordinary income recognized by the grantee upon the disqualifying disposition generally should be deductible by the Company for federal income tax purposes, except to the extent limited by applicable Code provisions.

Non-qualified Stock Options. NQSOs have no special tax status. A holder of these awards generally does not recognize taxable income as the result of the grant of such award. Upon exercise of a NQSO, the holder normally recognizes ordinary income in an amount equal to the difference between the exercise price and the fair market value of the shares on the exercise date. If the holder is an employee, such ordinary income generally is subject to

withholding of income and employment taxes. Upon the sale of stock acquired by the exercise of a NQSO, any gain or loss, based on the difference between the sale price and the fair market value on the exercise date, is taxed as capital gain or loss. A capital gain or loss is long-term if the holding period of the shares is more than 12 months. The Company generally should be entitled to a deduction equal to the amount of ordinary income recognized by the grantee as a result of the exercise of a NQSO, except to the extent limited by applicable Code provisions. No tax

Table of Contents

deduction is available to the Company with respect to the grant of a NQSO or the sale of the stock acquired pursuant to a NQSO.

Potential Limitation on Company Deductions. In accordance with applicable regulations issued under Code Section 162(m), compensation attributable to stock options qualifies as performance-based compensation, provided that: (1) the Amended Plan contains a per-employee limitation on the number of shares for which options may be granted during a specified period, (2) the per-employee limitation is approved by the stockholders, (3) the option is granted by a compensation committee comprised solely of outside directors (as defined in Code Section 162(m)) and (4) the exercise price of the option or right is not less than the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant. For these reasons, the Company's Plan provides for an annual per employee limitation as required under Code Section 162(m) (see *Limitations on Individual Awards* above) and the Committee is comprised solely of outside directors. Accordingly, options granted by the Committee should qualify as performance-based compensation for purposes of Code Section 162(m).

Awards Granted to Certain Persons

The Committee cannot currently determine with certainty the awards, if any, which would be granted out of the additional authorized shares under the Amended Plan as submitted for approval by the stockholders. The Committee has not granted any awards contingent upon stockholder approval of the Amended Plan. The Prior Plan authorizes sufficient shares to fund the equity awards granted in 2009 prior to the approval of the Amended Plan by the stockholders, and if stockholders do not approve the Amended Plan, the Prior Plan will continue in effect. The awards under the Amended Plan that would have been received by or allocated to each of our Named Executive Officers (as defined below under *Summary Compensation Table*), all executive officers as a group, all non-employee directors as a group, and our non-executive officer employees as a group for the year ended December 31, 2008, had the Amended Plan been in effect during such period would have been the same as the awards made to such persons under the Prior Plan as disclosed elsewhere in this Proxy Statement.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE PHH CORPORATION AMENDED AND RESTATED 2005 EQUITY AND INCENTIVE PLAN USING THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD. UNLESS MARKED TO THE CONTRARY, PROXIES RECEIVED BY THE COMPANY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE PHH CORPORATION AMENDED AND RESTATED 2005 EQUITY AND INCENTIVE PLAN.

Table of Contents**EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION**

The table below presents information as of December 31, 2008:

Plan Category	(a)	(b)	(c)
	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾	4,332,684 ⁽²⁾	\$ 18.91 ⁽³⁾	1,339,222
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders			
Total	4,332,684 ⁽²⁾	\$ 18.91 ⁽³⁾	1,339,222

(1) Equity compensation plans approved by security holders include the Prior Plan that was approved by our sole stockholder on January 14, 2005. See also Note 18, "Stock-Based Compensation" in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2008 Annual Report for more information.

(2) Includes 1,568,934 restricted stock units and 2,763,750 stock options.

(3) Because there is no exercise price associated with restricted stock units, restricted stock units described in Note 2 above are not included in the weighted-average exercise price calculation.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth below with management and, based on such review, recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth below be included in the Company's Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors

James W. Brinkley (Chair)

A.B. Krongard

Ann D. Logan

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Introduction

During 2007, we entered into a definitive agreement (the Merger Agreement) with General Electric Capital Corporation (GE) and GE s wholly-owned subsidiary, Jade Merger Sub, Inc., to be acquired (the Merger). The Merger Agreement was ultimately terminated on January 1, 2008, in accordance with its terms as previously disclosed. However, because of provisions contained in the Merger Agreement that restricted changes to the compensation of the Named Executive Officers in advance of the closing of the Merger, and in anticipation of the Merger occurring on or before December 31, 2007, compensation decisions during 2007 did not include a detailed evaluation of our compensation structure. Rather, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors instead focused on severance and retention matters in an effort to ensure the retention of key personnel through the anticipated closing date of December 31, 2007.

During the fourth quarter of 2007, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with management and the Committee s independent compensation consultants, began evaluating potential equity awards to executive officers

Table of Contents

and other employees in the event that the Merger was not consummated. The Compensation Committee reviewed the Company's equity awards since the Spin-Off, noting that no equity awards had been granted to employees since 2005 and that certain employees had been precluded from realizing the value of previously issued equity awards due to the imposition of a blackout period under Regulation BTR following the announcement of a delay in filing our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 (the "Blackout Period").

In light of these considerations, the Compensation Committee discussed increasing the size of equity-based awards during 2008 in order to address the lack of equity-based awards in 2006 and 2007 and to motivate and retain employees in the event that the Merger was not consummated. During 2008, following the termination of the Merger Agreement, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, in consultation with management and its compensation consultants, took actions designed to address the lack of equity awards in 2006 and 2007, to motivate and retain employees, and to align the interests of the Named Executive Officers with those of our stockholders.

Compensation Committee Oversight of Executive Compensation

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors is comprised of three independent, non-executive Directors Messrs. Brinkley (Chair) and Krongard and Ms. Logan and is responsible for overseeing our executive compensation policies, including evaluating and approving the compensation of our Named Executive Officers as listed in the Summary Compensation Table below. The Board of Directors has adopted a Compensation Committee Charter which sets forth the purpose, composition, authority and responsibilities of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee reviews and determines the base salary, annual and long-term incentive awards, equity awards and other compensation for each Named Executive Officer, including our President and Chief Executive Officer, and evaluates our compensation policies. The Compensation Committee also has the authority to engage and retain executive compensation consultants to assist with such evaluations.

Executive Compensation Objectives

The primary objective of our executive compensation policies is to attract, retain and motivate qualified executive officers to manage our business in order to maximize stockholder value. Our executive compensation policies are intended to facilitate the achievement of our short-term and long-term business strategies through aligning compensation with performance by:

- providing base salaries and other compensation that are competitive and designed to attract and retain executive talent;

- rewarding executive performance through variable, at-risk compensation that is dependent upon meeting specified performance targets; and

- aligning the interests of our executive officers with the interests of our stockholders by providing equity-based compensation as a component of total compensation.

The Compensation Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving the compensation for our Named Executive Officers and stock equity awards for all employees. The Compensation Committee does not rely upon a fixed formula or specific numerical criteria in determining each Named Executive Officer's total compensation or the allocation of compensation among the various components of compensation described below. Moreover, we do not have a specific policy for the allocation of compensation between short-term and long-term compensation or cash and equity compensation. Rather, the Compensation Committee exercises its business judgment in determining total compensation based upon the following criteria:

our long-term strategic objectives, financial and other performance criteria and individual performance goals;

the competitive compensation levels for executive officers at companies in similar businesses and/or of similar size;

the overall economic environment and industry conditions;

Table of Contents

unique circumstances impacting us and our executive officers, such as the termination of the Merger Agreement; and

the recommendations of executive compensation consultants.

Based upon its analysis of these criteria, the Compensation Committee determines each component of executive compensation (as discussed below) for the Named Executive Officers, taking into consideration the total compensation relative to the median for the Peer Group (as defined in [Benchmarking](#) below).

Role of Management in Executive Compensation Decisions

Generally, our Chief Executive Officer makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee as it relates to the compensation of our other executive officers. In addition, our executive officers, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice Presidents of Human Resources, provide input and make proposals regarding the design, operation, objectives and values of the various components of compensation in order to provide appropriate performance and retention incentives for key employees. These proposals may be made on the initiative of the Chief Executive Officer, the executive officers or upon the request of the Compensation Committee.

Executive Compensation Consultants

During 2007, the Compensation Committee retained Mercer Human Resource Consulting, Inc. ([Mercer](#)) to assist it with the evaluation of the Company's executive compensation. Mercer analyzed and provided comparative executive compensation data and compensation program proposals for the Compensation Committee's consideration in evaluating and setting the compensation of the Named Executive Officers and the overall structure of our compensation policies. Compensation decisions in 2007 did not, however, include a detailed evaluation of the compensation structure during 2007 due to the fact that the Merger was pending and the Merger Agreement contained customary restrictions on changing the compensation of the Named Executive Officers.

During 2008, the Compensation Committee engaged a new compensation consultant, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ([PwC](#)). During 2008, upon prior approval, PwC also provided certain other consulting services to management. The Compensation Committee does not believe that these other services compromised PwC's ability to provide the Compensation Committee with an independent perspective on executive compensation.

Based, in part, on recommendations from the Compensation Committee's prior consultant, Mercer, and, in part, on recommendations from PwC following PwC's analysis of the Company's compensation objectives and compensation structure, the Compensation Committee took various actions in 2008 designed to address the lack of equity awards in 2006 and 2007, to motivate and retain employees, and to align the interests of the Named Executive Officers with those of our stockholders. See [Benchmarking](#) and [Executive Compensation Decisions in 2007, 2008 and 2009](#) below for more information regarding the various actions taken by the Compensation Committee.

Benchmarking

During 2006, to ensure that we were competitive in attracting and retaining executive talent, we benchmarked our executive compensation against a peer group consisting of 14 companies in similar businesses, including mortgage, leasing and financial services companies, and/or of similar size based on total sales and total assets (the [Old Peer Group](#)). The Old Peer Group consisted of the following companies:

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form PRER14A

AMERCO
American Home Mortgage
Investment Corp.
Astoria Financial Corporation
CIT Group Inc.

Fiserv, Inc.
GATX Corp.
Golden West Financial Corp.
IndyMac Bancorp, Inc.
MGIC Investment Corp.

Radian Group, Inc.
Rent-A-Center, Inc.
Ryder System, Inc.
Sovereign Bancorp, Inc.
Westcorp, Inc.

During 2006, Mercer provided the Compensation Committee with executive compensation information for the Peer Group as well as survey data from multiple national compensation surveys (the Survey Data) in order to

Table of Contents

assist in the compensation evaluation due to the unique nature of our business segments and the lack of peer companies with a similar business segment mix for comparison. The Compensation Committee evaluated the base salary, short-term and long-term incentives and actual and target total compensation levels for the Peer Group and Survey Data, including the median and percentile ranges for each compensation component, for comparison with that of our Named Executive Officers. The Compensation Committee determined that total executive compensation for the Named Executive Officers should be targeted at or slightly above the median of the compensation of the Peer Group in order to be competitive with the compensation structure of the Peer Group and to attract and retain executive talent. These targets may be adjusted based upon the specific responsibilities, experience and performance of each Named Executive Officer as well as other factors in the Compensation Committee's discretion.

During 2007, the Compensation Committee did not undertake any additional benchmarking given the announcement of the Merger. However, during 2008, following the termination of the Merger Agreement, the Compensation Committee determined that use of the Old Peer Group for benchmarking purposes was no longer appropriate due to certain changes at some of the companies included in the Old Peer Group. Based, in part, upon the recommendations of PwC, the Compensation Committee approved a new peer group during 2008 for purposes of benchmarking our executive compensation (the New Peer Group). The New Peer Group consists of the following 9 companies that, at the time of the establishment of the New Peer Group, were direct competitors of the Company or that the Company competed with for executive talent or investor capital:

AMERCO	GATX Corp.	Radian Group, Inc.
Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.	IndyMac Bancorp, Inc.	Ryder System, Inc.
Flagstar Bancorp Inc.	MGIC Investment Corp.	Wright Express Corp.

Due to events occurring after the establishment of the New Peer Group, including the bankruptcy filing by IndyMac Bancorp, Inc. following the well-publicized seizure of IndyMac Bank, F.S.B. by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Compensation Committee may elect to revise the New Peer Group in the future to ensure the relevance of the entities included in the New Peer Group.

Components of Executive Compensation

The primary components of the executive compensation arrangements for our Named Executive Officers are base salaries, variable compensation programs and long-term incentive awards.

Base Salaries. The Compensation Committee is responsible for determining the base salary of our Chief Executive Officer and other Named Executive Officers, which includes the review and approval of annual adjustments to their base salaries. Base salaries are intended to provide a level of cash compensation that is externally competitive in relation to the responsibilities of the executive's position in order to attract and retain executive talent.

During 2007, the Compensation Committee did not undertake a detailed evaluation of the compensation structure of our Named Executive Officers due to the announcement of the Merger and certain restrictions upon compensation matters for the Named Executive Officers pursuant to the Merger Agreement. During 2008, the Compensation Committee evaluated salary levels based upon competitive compensation levels for companies in the New Peer Group, as well as consideration of the nature of each executive officer's position and the contribution, achievement, experience and tenure of each executive officer. No adjustments to the salary levels of the Named Executive Officers were made during 2007 or 2008. The Compensation Committee did, however, take various actions in respect of equity-based awards and severance agreements for certain of the Named Executive Officers. See Long-Term Incentive Awards and Executive Compensation Decisions in 2007, 2008 and 2009 below for more information regarding the various actions taken by the Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the annualized base salaries for the Named Executive Officers for 2008.

Name	Title	Annualized Base Salary for 2008
Terence W. Edwards	President and Chief Executive Officer	\$ 564,635
Sandra E. Bell	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	400,000 ⁽¹⁾
Clair M. Raubenstine	Former Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	1,000,000 ⁽²⁾
George J. Kilroy	Executive Vice President; President and Chief Executive Officer PHH Arval	450,000
Mark R. Danahy	Senior Vice President; President and Chief Executive Officer PHH Mortgage	325,000 ⁽³⁾
William F. Brown	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary; Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary PHH Mortgage	300,000

(1) Ms. Bell joined the Company October 13, 2008, and her annual base salary is \$400,000 per year.

(2) Mr. Raubenstine resigned from the Company effective October 31, 2008. Prior to his resignation, Mr. Raubenstine's annual base salary was \$1,000,000 per year.

(3) Effective January 1, 2009, Mr. Danahy's annual base salary was increased by \$50,000 to \$375,000.

Variable Compensation Programs. Our Named Executive Officers may receive additional cash compensation through participation in our annual management incentive plans for PHH, PHH Mortgage and PHH Arval (collectively, the MIPs) that are designed to motivate eligible recipients to achieve our short-term objectives. Generally, each executive officer, except for Mr. Raubenstine during the term of his employment, is eligible to receive an annual cash incentive payout calculated as a percentage of the executive officer's base salary and based upon the achievement of performance targets for consolidated results, operating segment results, individual executive officer performance and/or other performance targets established by the Compensation Committee in its discretion. The Compensation Committee also has discretion, when establishing performance targets and when determining whether annual performance targets have been met, to exclude the impact of certain extraordinary or unusual accounting adjustments, income items or expense items. In order to tie a greater percentage of our executive officer's compensation to the achievement of our annual performance objectives, the target payout percentage of base salary increases as an executive officer's duties and responsibilities within the Company increase.

The Compensation Committee generally sets the performance targets under the MIPs at levels that are considered to be challenging based on historical performance, industry and market conditions, and adjusts such targets each year to coincide with our overall strategy, performance targets and other factors. Since the Spin-Off, the Compensation Committee has established the performance targets for the Named Executive Officers and all MIP eligible employees based on the pre-tax income after minority interest for PHH, PHH Mortgage and/or PHH Arval. Our performance targets are intended to be attainable if our management team provides a strong performance as determined by the Compensation Committee. For the five years prior to 2008, the performance targets established for the MIPs for PHH Mortgage were exceeded in 2003 and 2005 and not achieved in 2004, 2006 and 2007, and the performance targets established for the MIPs for PHH Arval were met in 2003 and exceeded in 2004, 2005, 2006 and 2007. The performance targets for the PHH Corporate MIPs were exceeded in 2005 and not achieved in 2006. Due to the announcement of the Merger that was expected to close on or before December 31, 2007, the Compensation Committee did not approve a PHH Corporate MIP for 2007. See Executive Compensation Decisions in 2007, 2008

and 2009 below for more information.

During 2008, in consultation with management and PwC, the Compensation Committee approved the 2008 PHH Corporation Management Incentive Plan (the 2008 Corporate MIP), the 2008 PHH Arval Management Incentive Plan (the 2008 Fleet MIP) and the 2008 PHH Mortgage Management Incentive Plan (the 2008 Mortgage MIP) (together, the 2008 MIPs) and established performance targets under each of the 2008 MIPs. The performance targets for the 2008 Fleet MIP and 2008 Mortgage MIP were based on the pre-tax income after minority interest for the year ended December 31, 2008, for PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage, respectively. The performance target for the 2008 Corporate MIP was based 50% on the performance achieved under the 2008 Fleet MIP and 50% on the performance achieved under the 2008 Mortgage MIP.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the terms of the 2008 MIPs, in the event that the performance targets were achieved or exceeded, the participating Named Executive Officer would receive a cash payment in an amount equal to the Named Executive Officer's base salary multiplied by the target payout percentage for such Named Executive Officer multiplied by the percentage by which the performance target for such plan was met or exceeded. Messrs. Edwards and Brown were participants in the 2008 Corporate MIP, but were not participants in the 2008 Fleet MIP or 2008 Mortgage MIP. Mr. Kilroy was a participant in the 2008 Fleet MIP, but was not a participant in the 2008 Corporate MIP or 2008 Mortgage MIP. Mr. Danahy was a participant in the 2008 Mortgage MIP, but was not a participant in the 2008 Corporate MIP or 2008 Fleet MIP. Mr. Raubenstine was not a participant in any of the 2008 MIPs. For 2008, Ms. Bell was not a participant in any of the 2008 MIPs, but instead received a prorated bonus of \$87,671 in accordance with the previously disclosed terms of her employment upon hire.

The table below sets forth the threshold, target and maximum payout percentage of base salary for each of the Named Executive Officers that were participants in one of the 2008 MIPs. During 2007 and 2008, the Compensation Committee did not make any adjustments to the target payout percentages for the Named Executive Officers that were established in 2006.

Name	Applicable 2008 MIP Plan	Minimum Payout as Percentage of Base Salary	Threshold Payout as Percentage of Base Salary	Target Payout as Percentage of Base Salary	Maximum Payout as Percentage of Base Salary
Terence W. Edwards	2008 Corporate MIP	0%	100%	100%	162.50%
George J. Kilroy	2008 Fleet MIP	0%	100%	100%	125.00%
Mark R. Danahy	2008 Mortgage MIP	0%	75%	75%	150.00%
William F. Brown	2008 Corporate MIP	0%	50%	50%	81.25%

In 2009, the Compensation Committee reviewed the 2008 pre-tax income after minority interest for PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage and determined that the performance target under the 2008 Fleet MIP was exceeded and that the performance target under the 2008 Mortgage MIP had not been achieved. As a result, Mr. Kilroy received a payout of \$513,000 under the 2008 Fleet MIP, representing a payout of 114% of Mr. Kilroy's base salary, and Mr. Danahy did not receive any payout under the 2008 Mortgage MIP. Based solely on the performance achieved by PHH Arval under the 2008 Fleet MIP, Messrs. Edwards and Brown received payouts under the 2008 Corporate MIP of \$321,842 and \$85,500, respectively, representing payouts as a percentage of base salary of 57% and 28.5%, respectively. Participants in the 2008 Fleet MIP, 2008 Mortgage MIP and 2008 Corporate MIP other than Named Executive Officers received payouts equal to 117%, 50% and 58.5%, respectively, of their respective target payout percentages of base salary. In addition, in recognition of their individual efforts in 2008 and other relevant factors, the Compensation Committee approved discretionary cash bonuses to Messrs. Danahy and Brown in the amount of \$122,132 and \$37,500, respectively. See Summary Compensation Table below and Footnote 5 under Summary Compensation Table below for more information regarding the payouts under the 2008 Corporate MIP, 2008 Fleet MIP and 2008 Mortgage MIP.

Long-Term Incentive Awards. The Compensation Committee administers our 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan, which provides for equity-based awards, including restricted stock units (RSUs) and options to purchase our common stock (Stock Options). The Compensation Committee considers equity-based awards to our Named Executive Officers an appropriate and effective method of retaining key management employees and aligning their interests with the interests of our stockholders. Eligibility for equity-based awards, the number of shares underlying each award and the

terms and conditions of each award, including any provisions for accelerated vesting based upon attainment of performance targets are determined by the Compensation Committee upon consultation with management and the Committee's compensation consultant.

On January 10, 2008, following the termination of the Merger Agreement, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with management and the Committee's compensation consultant, approved the award of RSUs to employees eligible to participate in the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan, including the Named Executive Officers employed by the Company on such date (the "2008 RSU Awards").

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the 2008 RSU Awards granted to the Named Executive Officers that were employed by the Company on January 10, 2008:

2008 RSU Awards

Named Executive Officer	Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying RSU Awards	Grant Date Fair Value of RSU Awards
Terence W. Edwards	1/10/2008	46,458	\$ 800,007
Clair M. Raubenstine	1/10/2008	14,518	250,000
George J. Kilroy	1/10/2008	37,021	637,502
Mark R. Danahy	1/10/2008	26,132	449,993
William F. Brown	1/10/2008	26,132	449,993

The 2008 RSU Awards vest ratably in two equal annual installments beginning January 10, 2012, with the potential acceleration of vesting of up to one-third of the total award on each of February 28, 2009, February 28, 2010, and February 28, 2011, provided that the Compensation Committee has approved the achievement of performance targets for the applicable fiscal year immediately preceding each such date. For Messrs. Edwards and Brown, the performance targets for their 2008 RSU Awards are based 50% on the performance achieved by PHH Arval and 50% on the performance achieved by PHH Mortgage. Accordingly, if both PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage meet their respective performance targets in respect of any accelerated vesting date, vesting of 1/3 of the total 2008 RSU Awards will be accelerated for Messrs. Edwards and Brown. If only PHH Arval or PHH Mortgage, but not both, meet their respective performance target in respect of any accelerated vesting date, vesting of only 1/6 of the total 2008 RSU Awards will be accelerated for Messrs. Edwards and Brown. For Messrs. Kilroy and Danahy, the performance targets for their 2008 RSU Awards are based 100% on the performance achieved by PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage, respectively. During the first quarter of 2009, the Compensation Committee determined that the performance target for 2008 was achieved for PHH Arval, but was not achieved for PHH Mortgage. As a result, vesting of 1/6 of the total 2008 RSU Awards for Messrs. Edwards and Brown and 1/3 of the total 2008 RSU Awards for Mr. Kilroy was accelerated on March 11, 2009, upon the approval of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee has not approved accelerated vesting of any portion of the 2008 RSU Awards held by Mr. Danahy. The 2008 RSU Awards granted to Mr. Raubenstine were forfeited on October 31, 2008, in connection with his resignation of employment.

During 2006 and 2007, the Compensation Committee did not make any grants of equity-based awards under the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan due to (i) the announcement of the Merger which was expected to close on or before December 31, 2007 and (ii) the delay in the filing of our financial statements with the SEC, which resulted in our Registration Statement on Form S-8 for our 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the Form S-8) not being effective until we became a current filer with the SEC on June 28, 2007. See Executive Compensation Decisions in 2007, 2008 and 2009 below for more information.

In June 2005, the Compensation Committee granted an award of RSUs (the 2005 RSU Awards) and Stock Options (the 2005 Stock Option Awards) to certain of the Named Executive Officers that were employed by the Company at that time. The Compensation Committee granted awards to Messrs. Danahy and Brown in the form of 2005 RSU Awards. For Messrs. Edwards and Kilroy, the Compensation Committee granted awards in the form of both 2005 RSU Awards and 2005 Stock Option Awards in order to further tie their compensation to the creation of stockholder value. At the date of grant, the 2005 RSU Awards and 2005 Stock Option Awards were scheduled to vest ratably, subject to continued employment, in three equal annual installments beginning June 28, 2009, with the potential

acceleration of vesting of 25% of the total 2005 RSU Awards and 25% of the total 2005 Stock Option Awards on each of June 28, 2006, June 28, 2007, June 28, 2008, and June 28, 2009, upon the achievement of certain performance targets established by the Compensation Committee for the applicable fiscal year immediately preceding each such date. The Compensation Committee establishes these performance targets annually for these awards and certain other equity-based awards with performance-based vesting that were converted from Cendant awards at the time of the Spin-Off. Performance targets for equity-based awards are generally determined in the same manner as performance targets for the various MIPs and, for 2007 and 2008, were based on pre-tax income after minority interest. See

Variable Compensation Programs above for additional information regarding establishing the performance targets and the difficulty in attaining such targets. The performance target for the 2005

Table of Contents

RSU Awards and 2005 Stock Option Awards was achieved for 2005, but was not achieved for 2006, 2007 or 2008. As a result, 25% of the total 2005 RSU Awards and 25% of the total 2005 Stock Option Awards vested on June 28, 2006, however, settlement of the portion of the 2005 RSU Awards that vested was deferred until January 8, 2008, due to the Blackout Period. Subject to continued employment, the unvested portion of the 2005 RSU Awards and 2005 Stock Option Awards will vest ratably in three equal annual installments beginning June 28, 2009.

Executive Compensation Decisions in 2007, 2008 and 2009

2007 Executive Compensation Decisions. During 2007, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with management and the Committee's compensation consultant, Mercer, considered the impact of the Merger on certain executive officers and the need to retain those executive officers through the effective time of the Merger. In June 2007, based on these considerations, in lieu of the adoption of a PHH Corporate MIP for 2007, the Compensation Committee approved a form of retention agreement (the Retention Agreement) in order to create an incentive for certain of our executive officers to remain employed with us through the earlier of the effective time of the Merger or December 31, 2007. The amount of the retention bonus equaled the executive officer's target payout that would have otherwise been established under a MIP expressed as a percentage of base salary, but would be prorated if the effective time of the Merger was prior to December 31, 2007. If a Termination Event (as defined below) occurred prior to the effective time of the Merger, the executive officer covered by a Retention Agreement would also receive the retention bonus. Mr. Brown was the only Named Executive Officer with whom we entered into a Retention Agreement and, pursuant to Mr. Brown's Retention Agreement, he was entitled to a retention bonus of \$150,000, equal to 50% of his base salary and subject to pro-ration as described above, provided that he continued his employment through the earlier of the effective time of the Merger or December 31, 2007. The full retention bonus for Mr. Brown was earned on December 31, 2007, and was paid in the first quarter of 2008.

During 2007, the Compensation Committee also approved severance arrangements for certain executive officers as permitted under the Merger Agreement (the 2007 Severance Agreement) that provided post-termination payments of severance to the executive officer in the event that one of the following termination events occurred on or prior to the first anniversary of the effective time of the Merger: (i) the involuntary termination of employment other than for cause or disability (as such terms are defined in the 2007 Severance Agreement) or (ii) the voluntary termination of employment as a result of (a) a change in the required location of the executive officer's employment in excess of 20 miles, (b) the material diminution of the executive officer's duties and responsibilities as of the date of the applicable 2007 Severance Agreement, subject to certain enumerated exceptions, or (c) a reduction in the executive officer's base salary or a material reduction in compensation opportunity as of the date of the applicable 2007 Severance Agreement. The amount of the post-termination payment for each Named Executive Officer that entered into a 2007 Severance Agreement was equal to two times the sum of the Named Executive Officer's base salary and target MIP payout amount. On June 13, 2007, we entered into 2007 Severance Agreements with Messrs. Kilroy and Brown to provide such severance benefits. In the event one of the foregoing termination events had occurred on or prior to the first anniversary of the effective time of the Merger, Messrs. Kilroy and Brown would have been entitled to receive \$1,800,000 and \$900,000, respectively, in a lump-sum payment, subject to certain conditions including, but not limited to, the execution of a general release of any claims against us and our affiliates.

In August 2007, the Compensation Committee also reviewed and approved the deferral of shares to be issued to the Named Executive Officers to satisfy the settlement of RSUs that vested during 2006 and 2007, which shares could not be issued during the Blackout Period until the earlier of the closing of the Merger or the expiration of the Blackout Period. These RSUs were settled on January 8, 2008. In addition, upon consideration of the impact of the ineffectiveness of the Form S-8 from March 2006 through June 2007 and the additional restrictions on executive officers to exercise Stock Options during the pendency of the Merger, the Compensation Committee, in August 2007, modified the terms of certain Stock Options that were converted from Cendant awards at the time of the Spin-Off and that were held by certain employees, including Mr. Brown, to extend the expiration date for such Stock Options until

the earlier of the closing of the Merger or 30 days after the date that the exercise of such Stock Options would not violate any applicable federal, state or local law. Following the termination of the Merger, the modified Stock Options held by Mr. Brown expired unexercised in 2008.

Table of Contents

During the fourth quarter of 2007, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with management and the Committee's compensation consultant, Mercer, began evaluating potential equity awards to executive officers and other employees in the event that the Merger was not consummated. The Compensation Committee reviewed the Company's equity-based awards since the Spin-Off, noting that no equity-based awards had been granted to employees since 2005 and that certain employees had been precluded from realizing the value of previously issued equity-based awards due to the Blackout Period and Merger. In light of these considerations, the Compensation Committee discussed awarding a larger number of equity-based awards during 2008 in order to address the lack of equity-based awards in 2006 and 2007 and to motivate and retain employees in the event that the Merger was not consummated.

2008 Executive Compensation Decisions. In January 2008, the Compensation Committee determined that the Merger had a significant impact on our ability to achieve the 2007 performance targets for certain outstanding RSUs and Stock Option awards. The Compensation Committee noted that, of the equity-based awards subject to annual performance targets, certain awards made in connection with the Spin-Off on February 1, 2005, in order to convert existing Cendant awards of stock options and restricted stock units originally granted in 2004 into awards under our 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the 2004 Conversion RSUs and together with the stock options, the 2004 Conversion Awards) were the only outstanding equity awards that would be forfeited in the event the annual performance targets for 2007 were not achieved. Certain other equity-based awards were subject to accelerated vesting in the event that we achieved performance targets for each applicable fiscal year. See Footnote 5 of the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below for more information regarding the 2004 Conversion RSUs. As a result of its consideration of the impact of the Merger and the recommendation of management and discussions with its compensation consultant, Mercer, the Compensation Committee modified the 2004 Conversion Awards during January 2008 for all recipients, including 2004 Conversion RSUs for Messrs. Edwards, Kilroy, Danahy and Brown, resulting in the vesting of 12.5% of the 2004 Conversion Awards as if the Company had achieved 100% of the performance targets applicable to such awards for fiscal year 2007, provided that they remained employed with us through the vesting date of April 27, 2008. As a result of this amendment, 5,908, 5,908, 3,250 and 2,068 shares vested on April 27, 2008 for Messrs. Edwards, Kilroy, Danahy and Brown, respectively. The remaining 2004 Conversion RSUs held by these Named Executive Officers were forfeited on April 27, 2008. See the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below and, in particular, Footnote 5 to the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table below for more information concerning the partial vesting of the 2004 Conversion RSUs.

In January 2008, the Compensation Committee also approved (i) the amendment and restatement of the 2007 Severance Agreement (the Restated Severance Agreement) for certain executive officers and (ii) the execution of new severance agreements (the New Severance Agreement, and together with the Restated Severance Agreement, the 2008 Severance Agreements) for certain other executive officers. On January 14, 2008, we entered into 2008 Severance Agreements with Messrs. Kilroy, Danahy and Brown. The 2008 Severance Agreements provide post-termination payments of severance in the event that one of the following termination events occurs on or before the first anniversary of the effective time of a change in control (as such term is defined in the 2008 Severance Agreements) of the Company that occurs on or before December 31, 2009: (i) the involuntary termination of employment other than for cause or disability (as such terms are defined in the 2008 Severance Agreements) or (ii) the voluntary termination of employment as a result of (a) a change in the required location of the executive officer's employment in excess of 50 miles, (b) the material diminution of the executive officer's duties and responsibilities as of the date of the applicable 2008 Severance Agreement, subject to certain enumerated exceptions, or (c) a reduction in the executive officer's base salary or a material reduction in compensation opportunity as of the date of the applicable 2008 Severance Agreement. The amount of the post-termination payment for each Named Executive Officer that entered into a 2008 Severance Agreement was intended to represent two times the sum of such Named Executive Officer's base salary and target MIP payout amount in effect as of the date of such 2008 Severance Agreement. In the event of a termination event (as such term is defined in the 2008 Severance Agreements) occurring on or before the first anniversary of the effective time of a change in control of the Company that occurs on or before December 31, 2009, Messrs. Kilroy, Danahy and Brown would be entitled to receive \$1,800,000, \$1,137,500 and \$900,000, respectively,

in a lump-sum payment, subject to certain conditions including, but not limited to, the execution of a general release of any claims against us and our affiliates.

Table of Contents

In February 2008, following a change in personnel at Mercer, the Compensation Committee undertook an evaluation of several executive compensation consultants. Following this evaluation, in February 2008, the Compensation Committee retained PwC to assist it with the evaluation of executive compensation and serve as the Committee's executive compensation consultant in 2008.

In March 2008, as discussed above under Variable Compensation Programs, the Compensation Committee established the 2008 MIPs and approved the performance targets for each of the 2008 MIPs, including the 2008 Corporate MIP, the 2008 Fleet MIP and the 2008 Mortgage MIP. The performance targets for the 2008 Fleet MIP and 2008 Mortgage MIP were based on the attainment of certain pre-tax income after minority interest targets for the year ending December 31, 2008, for PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage, respectively. The performance target for the 2008 Corporate MIP was based 50% on the performance achieved under the 2008 Fleet MIP and 50% on the performance achieved under the 2008 Mortgage MIP. Consistent with past practice, the performance targets for 2008 were intended to be attainable if our management team provided a strong performance as determined by the Compensation Committee. See Variable Compensation Programs above for more information regarding the 2008 MIPs.

In June 2008, following a review by PwC of the composition of peer companies utilized by the Committee in evaluating and benchmarking executive compensation, the Committee determined that the composition of peer companies previously utilized by the Committee in evaluating and benchmarking executive compensation was no longer appropriate due to certain changes at certain of those entities. Based in part on PwC's recommendations, the Committee approved a new peer group of companies for purposes of benchmarking our executive compensation. See Benchmarking above for further information concerning the changes to the peer group utilized by the Committee for executive compensation benchmarking purposes.

In June 2008, the Compensation Committee, in consultation with management and representatives of PwC, considered the financial impact upon certain employees of the ineffectiveness of the Form S-8 from March 2006 through June 2007 and the additional restrictions imposed on executive officers during the remainder of 2007 pertaining to the exercise of Stock Options that, taken together, effectively precluded such employees from exercising certain previously granted Stock Options prior to their stated expiration, notwithstanding that such Stock Options had previously been modified to extend the original expiration date. In recognition of the value that would have been received by such employees had they been permitted to exercise of such Stock Options during these periods, the Compensation Committee granted to these employees, including Mr. Brown, shares of our common stock under the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan with an aggregate grant date fair value that was intended to represent a portion of the value that would have otherwise been realized by such employees upon exercise of such expired Stock Options had such employees not been precluded from exercising such Stock Options during 2006 and 2007. In connection with these actions by the Committee, Mr. Brown was granted 9,447 shares of common stock on June 11, 2008, with an aggregate grant date fair value of \$169,290.24.

In October 2008, the Compensation Committee approved the terms and conditions of employment of Ms. Bell, including a Change in Control Severance Agreement with Ms. Bell. Ms. Bell's Change in Control Severance Agreement provides post-termination payments of severance in the event that one of the following termination events occurs within twelve months following the date of a change in control (as such term is defined in Ms. Bell's Change in Control Severance Agreement) of the Company that occurs on or before December 31, 2009: (i) the involuntary termination of employment of Ms. Bell other than for cause or disability (as such terms are defined in Ms. Bell's Change in Control Severance Agreement) or (ii) the voluntary termination of employment by Ms. Bell as a result of (a) a change in the required location of Ms. Bell's employment in excess of 50 miles, (b) the material diminution of Ms. Bell's duties and responsibilities as of the date of Ms. Bell's Change in Control Severance Agreement, subject to certain enumerated exceptions, or (c) a reduction in Ms. Bell's base salary or a material reduction in compensation opportunity for Ms. Bell as of the date of Ms. Bell's Change in Control Severance Agreement. In the event of a termination event (as such term is defined in Ms. Bell's Change in Control Severance Agreement) occurring within

twelve months following the date of a change in control of the Company that occurs on or before December 31, 2009, Ms. Bell would be entitled to receive \$1,600,000, in a lump-sum payment, subject to certain conditions including, but not limited to, the execution of a general release of any claims against us and our affiliates.

Table of Contents

During the third and fourth quarters of 2008, the Committee, with the assistance of PwC, undertook an evaluation of our equity-based award structure in an effort to design a 2009 equity-based award program that would take into consideration the substantial decline in the equity capital markets and the growing perception that recipients of equity-based compensation may ascribe less value to equity-based compensation as a result. The Committee also acknowledged that other companies had recently engaged in the practice of re-pricing of underwater stock options due to the substantial decline in the equity capital markets and the corresponding decline in previously granted stock options, however, the Committee ultimately declined to re-price any of the Company's previously granted stock option awards. The Committee discussed with PwC the desire to design a 2009 equity-based award program that would focus on managing shareholder dilution at an appropriate level, would deliver a higher level of perceived value to award recipients and would align the equity-based award structure with meaningful performance metrics.

2009 Executive Compensation Decisions. In the first quarter of 2009, the Committee continued its work with PwC, with input from management where the Committee deemed it appropriate, on the design of a 2009 equity-based award program that would be consistent with market-competitive practices and that would incentivize management to enhance stockholder value through the achievement of certain business objectives. Based, in part, on PwC's recommendations, the Committee awarded performance unit awards under the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan on March 25, 2009 (the 2009 Performance Units), to Messrs. Edwards, Kilroy, Danahy and Brown and Ms. Bell.

The following table sets forth the threshold, target and maximum number of shares issuable upon settlement of the 2009 Performance Units that were awarded to the Named Executive Officers employed by the Company on March 25, 2009:

2009 Performance Unit Awards

Name	Grant Date	Threshold Number of Shares Issuable	Target Number of Shares Issuable	Maximum Number of Shares Issuable
Terence W. Edwards	3/25/2009	22,500	45,000	54,000
Sandra E. Bell	3/25/2009	15,000	30,000	36,000
George J. Kilroy	3/25/2009	15,000	30,000	36,000
Mark R. Danahy	3/25/2009	15,000	30,000	36,000
William F. Brown	3/25/2009	8,361	16,722	20,066

Recipients of the 2009 Performance Units will earn shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the awards in accordance with the percentage by which the Company attains or exceeds the minimum threshold amount of cumulative PHH Corporation pre-tax net income after non-controlling interest (PTIANI) during the target measurement period of January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2011. The minimum threshold performance level required for a recipient of a 2009 Performance Unit to earn shares pursuant to such award is 50% of the target amount of cumulative PTIANI during the target measurement period (in which case, such recipient will earn 50% of the target level of shares awarded). Recipients may not earn more than 120% of the target level of shares subject to the award. The Committee has the authority and discretion to exclude the impact of certain extraordinary or unusual accounting adjustments or income/expense items from the calculation of PTIANI during the target measurement period that, in the discretion of the Committee, are reasonably considered to be outside of the control of management. Provided the requisite minimum threshold of PTIANI is satisfied, the 2009 Performance Units will be settled, and shares earned pursuant thereto will be issued, on or after January 1, 2012, and on or before April 30, 2012. No shares will be issued to any recipient of a 2009 Performance Unit whose employment terminates for any reason (other than for death or disability) before January 1, 2012. If a change in control of the Company (as defined in the 2005 Equity and Incentive

Plan) occurs during the target measurement period, the performance conditions contained in the 2009 Performance Unit awards will be deemed to be fully achieved and the target number of shares will then be issued to recipients of the 2009 Performance Unit awards that are employed on the date of the change in control.

On March 25, 2009, the Compensation Committee, based in part on PwC's recommendations, also granted non-qualified stock options under the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the "2009 Stock Options") to each of the Company's Named Executive Officers at an exercise price of \$16.548 per share, representing a 20% premium to the closing price of the Company's common stock on the NYSE on March 25, 2009. Subject to continued employment,

Table of Contents

the 2009 Stock Options vest ratably in three equal annual installments beginning March 25, 2010. If a change in control of the Company (as defined in the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan) occurs during the vesting period, the vesting conditions contained in the 2009 Stock Option awards will be deemed to be fully satisfied as of the date of such change in control and the 2009 Stock Options held by persons that are employed by us on the date of such change in control will become immediately exercisable.

The following table sets forth the 2009 Stock Options awarded to the Named Executive Officers that were employed by the Company on March 25, 2009:

2009 Stock Option Awards

Named Executive Officer	Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying 2009 Stock Options	Grant Date Fair Value of 2009 Stock Options
Terence W. Edwards	3/25/2009	51,993	\$ 339,514
Sandra E. Bell	3/25/2009	34,662	226,343
George J. Kilroy	3/25/2009	34,662	226,343
Mark R. Danahy	3/25/2009	34,662	226,343
William F. Brown	3/25/2009	19,320	126,160

In making the awards of 2009 Performance Units, 2009 Stock Options, the Compensation Committee took into consideration, among other things, the need to develop appropriate incentives for the Company's executive officers in light of recent developments in the industries in which the Company operates and in the global equity and credit markets, the lack of equity-based awards during 2006 and 2007 due to the Merger Agreement described above, the lack of base salary increases in 2007 and 2008, and changing compensation practices in the financial services and other industries against which the Company competes for executive talent, customers and capital. The Compensation Committee's objective in making these 2009 awards was to ensure that the compensation of our executive officers is consistent with market-competitive practices and creates appropriate incentives for management to enhance stockholder value through the achievement of certain business objectives without encouraging excessive risk taking that could place the Company's continued existence in jeopardy.

During 2009, the Compensation Committee determined that a mix of RSUs and stock options for the Named Executive Officers was appropriate to focus these individuals on a balance of both underlying financial success as well as share price appreciation. Granting performance-based RSUs is intended to focus these executives on creating financial success at the Company, with payment in equity continuing to focus those efforts on sustainable long-term success. Since these executives have the greatest impact on the Company's strategic direction, and share price appreciation, the Compensation Committee determined that granting a portion of the 2009 long-term incentive award in stock options, which only deliver value to the executives if the share price increases, was appropriate. The Compensation Committee was cognizant of the changes in the capital markets over the past year, and set the exercise price of the stock options at a 20% premium to the closing price on the NYSE of the Company's common stock on the date of grant in order to reflect the Committee's desire to provide value to the Named Executive Officers where they had an impact on increasing the Company's stock price based on successful results, and not merely due to a recovery of the equity capital markets.

During 2009, the Compensation Committee also discussed the value of Mr. Edwards' long-term incentive grant opportunity. The Committee believes it is important to provide market-competitive grant opportunities and that awards should ultimately be commensurate with performance. However, Mr. Edwards specifically requested that the Committee provide him with a below-market long-term incentive grant, based upon his desire to drive long-term incentive opportunity to the management team. The Committee agreed to Mr. Edwards' request and provided him with a below-market long-term incentive opportunity for 2009.

Retirement Benefits

Messrs. Edwards, Kilroy and Brown are participants in defined benefit plans that were available to all of our employees prior to the Spin-Off, including the PHH Corporation Pension Plan (the PHH Pension Plan) and PHH

Table of Contents

Corporation Retiree Medical Plan (the PHH Retiree Medical Plan) (collectively, the Retirement Plans). The benefits payable under these plans have been frozen for the Named Executive Officers and the other plan participants. See

Pension Benefits for more information regarding benefits available to the Named Executive Officers under these plans. In addition, all of our Named Executive Officers participate in the PHH Corporation Employee Savings Plan (the PHH Savings Plan) on the same basis as other employees. The PHH Savings Plan is a tax-qualified retirement savings plan that provides for employee contributions made on a pre-tax basis and matching contributions by us based on a portion of the employee s compensation contributed to the PHH Savings Plan up to the statutory limit. During 2008, the matching contribution percentage under the PHH Savings Plan was reduced effective January 1, 2009, from 6% to 4% of the employee s compensation contributed to the PHH Savings Plan up to the statutory limit. See All Other Compensation Table in Footnote 7 under Summary Compensation Table for more information regarding matching contributions to the PHH Savings Plan made on behalf of each Named Executive Officer.

Perquisites

We provide a limited number of perquisites to our Named Executive Officers, which the Compensation Committee believes are reasonable and consistent with our overall compensation program for executive officers and necessary to attract and retain executive talent. Our Named Executive Officers generally are provided with or have use of company vehicles, financial planning services and tax reimbursements on the foregoing perquisites. In addition, Messrs. Raubenstine and Kilroy received fuel costs and tax reimbursements thereon for their company vehicles.

During 2008, in connection with Ms. Bell s relocation from Ohio, we reimbursed or paid on behalf of Ms. Bell airfare, lodging, meals and car service costs to transport Ms. Bell to and from our New Jersey offices and Ms. Bell s residence in Ohio. We also provided Ms. Bell a tax gross-up on certain of these costs so that she incurred no additional taxes as a result of these payments. In addition, prior to his resignation during 2008, Mr. Raubenstine was required to split his time between our New Jersey and Maryland offices due to the nature of his position. While Mr. Raubenstine lived in the greater Philadelphia area, he spent more than 50% of his time in our Maryland offices and, therefore, was treated as being domiciled in Maryland for tax purposes. As a result, certain of his travel, meals and lodging expenses for performing services for us were not deductible business expenses and were recognized as compensation. Due to the frequent travel to both offices, we also provided Mr. Raubenstine with a car service. We reimbursed Mr. Raubenstine for these expenses and provided a tax gross-up so that he incurred no additional taxes as a result of these payments. See All Other Compensation Table in Footnote 7 under Summary Compensation Table below for more information regarding perquisites for each of the Named Executive Officers.

Change in Control and Other Severance Arrangements

We maintain severance policies that provide post-termination severance benefits in the event of a termination without cause for our executive officers, except to the extent such executive officers have waived their respective rights to severance benefits under the policy pursuant to separate individual severance agreements with such executive officers. The Compensation Committee has reviewed and approved 2008 Severance Agreements for certain executive officers and employees, including Messrs. Kilroy, Danahy and Brown. Pursuant to such 2008 Severance Agreements and subject to certain conditions set forth therein, Messrs. Kilroy, Danahy and Brown would be entitled to receive \$1,800,000, \$1,137,500, and \$900,000, respectively, in a lump-sum payment, in the event of their involuntary termination other than for cause or disability or their voluntary termination of employment as a result of relocation, elimination of duties or reduction in compensation, in each case, on or before the first anniversary of the effective time of a change in control (as defined in the respective severance agreements) of the Company that occurs on or before December 31, 2009. In addition, the Compensation Committee has reviewed and approved a Change in Control Severance Agreement for Ms. Bell. Pursuant to Ms. Bell s Change in Control Severance Agreement and subject to certain conditions set forth therein, Ms. Bell would be entitled to receive \$1,600,000 in a lump-sum payment in the event of her involuntary termination other than for cause or disability or her voluntary termination of employment as a

result of relocation, elimination of duties or reduction in compensation, in each case, within twelve months following a change in control (as defined in Ms. Bell's severance agreement) of the Company that occurs on or before December 31, 2009. We have not entered into any individual severance agreement with Mr. Edwards. However,

Table of Contents

Mr. Edwards and, under certain circumstances, Ms. Bell are entitled to certain post-termination severance benefits under our policy generally applicable to our executive officers, except to the extent such executive officers have waived their respective rights to severance benefits under the policy pursuant to separate individual severance agreements with such executive officers. In accordance with the Company's severance policy for executive officers, Mr. Edwards would be entitled to a lump-sum severance payment equal to 52 weeks of his base salary and \$7,500 in outplacement services in the event of a reduction in our workforce or the elimination or discontinuation of his position and, as of December 31, 2008, Ms. Bell would be entitled to a lump-sum severance payment equal to 26 weeks of her base salary and \$7,500 in outplacement services in the event of a reduction in our workforce or the elimination or discontinuation of her position prior to or in the absence of a change in control (as defined in Ms. Bell's Change in Control Severance Agreement) of the Company. In addition, all unvested equity-based awards granted to each of the Named Executive Officers under our 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan generally will become fully and immediately vested and, in the case of stock options, exercisable, upon the occurrence of a change in control transaction (as defined in the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan). See Potential Payments upon Termination of Employment or Change in Control below for additional information regarding payments in the event of a change in control or other termination of employment for each Named Executive Officer.

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

In accordance with Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, the deductibility for federal corporate income tax purposes of compensation paid to certain of our individual executive officers in excess of \$1 million in any year may be restricted. The Compensation Committee believes that it is in the best interests of our stockholders to comply with such tax law, while still maintaining the goals of our compensation programs. Accordingly, where it is deemed necessary and in our best interests to attract and retain the best possible executive talent and to motivate such executives to achieve the goals inherent in our business strategy, the Compensation Committee may approve compensation to executive officers that may exceed the limits of deductibility imposed by Section 162(m). In this regard, certain portions of compensation paid to the Named Executive Officers may not be deductible for federal income tax purposes under Section 162(m). Assuming approval of the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan by the Company's stockholders at the 2009 Annual Meeting, it is intended that such plan will be administered in compliance with Section 162(m).

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

The Compensation Committee is comprised entirely of outside directors within the meaning of the regulations under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, non-employee directors under SEC Rule 16b-3, and independent directors as affirmatively determined by the Board of Directors pursuant to the NYSE Listing Standards. The members of the Compensation Committee are the individuals named as signatories to the Compensation Committee Report set forth above under Compensation Committee Report. None of the members of the Compensation Committee are our former officers or employees.

Table of Contents**SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

The information below sets forth the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, our current and former Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers for the year ended December 31, 2008 (collectively referred to as our "Named Executive Officers"). The form and amount of the compensation paid or to be paid to our Named Executive Officers for the year ended December 31, 2008 was determined by the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors.

Name and Principal Position(s)	Year	Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Bonus (\$) ⁽²⁾	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽⁵⁾	Change in Pension Value and Non-qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$) ⁽⁶⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁷⁾	Total (\$)
George W. Edwards President and Chief Executive Officer	2008	564,635		407,332	135,515	321,842	30,494	67,045	1,526,823
	2007	564,635		79,880	148,091		2,544	48,940	844,050
	2006	564,635		234,757	210,487		13,771	62,485	1,086,135
Madra E. Bell Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2008	87,671	87,671		8,873			32,288	216,503
	2007								
	2006								
Mr. M. Raubenstine Former Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2008	753,846		81,325				708,396	1,543,567
	2007	1,000,000	36,809					91,990	1,128,799
	2006	853,846	213,191					54,302	1,121,339
George J. Kilroy Executive Vice President;	2008	450,000		402,089	67,441	513,000	45,397	36,130	1,514,027
	2007	450,000		55,833	67,441	521,550		27,568	1,122,392
	2006	438,461		185,793	83,316	267,461	10,236	17,285	1,002,556
Mr. PHH Arval Mark R. Danahy Senior Vice President;	2008	325,000	122,132	192,288	33,258			48,723	721,401
	2007	325,000		55,121	33,258			40,026	453,405
	2006	319,943		146,788	33,258			41,203	541,192
Mr. PHH George William F. Brown	2008	300,000	37,500	386,771	31,179	85,500	12,650	61,706	915,306

Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary	2007	300,000	150,000	49,305	149,743		42,396	691,4
	2006	293,846		123,188	31,179	1,403	42,003	491,6

- (1) There were no increases in annual salary for the Named Executive Officers in 2007 or 2008. For Ms. Bell and Mr. Raubenstine, amounts in this column for 2008 represent the salary paid to such persons during the period of their respective employment with the company during 2008.
- (2) For Ms. Bell, amounts in this column reflect a bonus for 2008 service equal to one times her base salary, prorated based on the start date of her employment. Ms. Bell's prorated bonus for 2008 was paid in the first quarter of 2009. For Messrs. Danahy and Brown, amounts in this column for 2008 reflect discretionary bonuses that were paid in the first quarter of 2009 in respect of 2008 service. During 2007, we entered into retention agreements with certain executive officers, including Mr. Brown that provided for retention payments equal to each person's respective MIP target payout level for 2007 payable on the earlier of the closing of the Merger or December 31, 2007. Because the retention payment was earned as of December 31, 2007, Mr. Brown's \$150,000 retention payment has been reflected as a 2007 bonus notwithstanding that Mr. Brown actually received such retention payment during the first quarter of 2008. During 2006, as an inducement to his employment, we agreed to award Mr. Raubenstine shares of our common stock with a value equivalent to \$250,000. During 2006, our intention was to make this grant in two equal installments: the first when we became current in our filing obligations with the SEC and were permitted to issue shares of our common stock from our 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan and the second on the later of February 23, 2007 or the date on which we became a current filer with the SEC. Due to the delay in the filing of our financial statements with the SEC and the announcement of the Merger, this stock award was never granted. In 2007, we and Mr. Raubenstine agreed to satisfy this arrangement through a cash payment of \$250,000 which was paid during 2007 upon the filing of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006. The amount in this column

Table of Contents

for Mr. Raubenstine for 2006 and 2007 reflects the proportion of the total amount of the bonus earned during 2006 and 2007, respectively, on a straight-line basis.

- (3) The amounts shown in this column reflect the expense amount (exclusive of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions) recognized by us for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to awards to our Named Executive Officers of equity-based compensation in the form of restricted stock units or shares of common stock. There were no awards of equity-based compensation made to our Named Executive Officers during 2006 or 2007. See [Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End](#) for more information regarding outstanding awards of equity-based compensation as of December 31, 2008. See also Note 18, [Stock-Based Compensation](#) in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2008 Annual Report for more information, including the assumptions used in calculating our equity-based compensation expense. During 2008, Messrs. Edwards, Raubenstine, Kilroy, Danahy and Brown forfeited restricted stock units representing 29,540, 14,518, 29,540, 16,247 and 10,339 shares, respectively. Notwithstanding the forfeiture by Mr. Raubenstine during 2008 of the restricted stock units awarded to him on January 10, 2008, the full compensation expense associated with this award is included in this column.
- (4) The amounts shown in this column reflect the expense amount (exclusive of estimated forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions) recognized by us for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to awards to our Named Executive Officers, or modifications of outstanding awards previously made to our Named Executive Officers, of equity-based compensation in the form of stock options. There were no awards of equity-based compensation made to our Named Executive Officers in 2006 or 2007. See [Grants of Plan-Based Awards](#) and [Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End](#) for more information regarding existing awards of equity-based compensation. See also Note 18, [Stock-Based Compensation](#) in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2008 Annual Report for more information, including the assumptions used in calculating our equity-based compensation expense.
- (5) For 2008, Messrs. Edwards and Brown were participants in the 2008 Corporate MIP, and Messrs. Kilroy and Danahy were participants in the 2008 Fleet MIP and 2008 Mortgage MIP, respectively. The performance targets for the 2008 Fleet MIP and 2008 Mortgage MIP were based on the pre-tax income after minority interest for PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage, respectively. The performance target for the 2008 Corporate MIP was based 50% on the performance achieved under the 2008 Fleet MIP and 50% on the performance achieved under the 2008 Mortgage MIP. Based on the results of PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage for 2008, the Compensation Committee determined that the performance target for the 2008 Mortgage MIP was not achieved and the performance target for the 2008 Fleet MIP was exceeded. As a result, Mr. Danahy did not receive any payment under the 2008 Mortgage MIP, and Mr. Kilroy received payment under the 2008 Fleet MIP in the amount of \$513,000. Messrs. Edwards and Brown received a partial payment under the 2008 Corporate MIP based upon the performance achieved under the 2008 Fleet MIP. During 2007, as a result of the announcement of the Merger with GE, the Compensation Committee did not approve a MIP for PHH Corporation and Messrs. Edwards, Raubenstine and Brown did not receive any MIP awards for 2007. See [Components of Executive Compensation Variable Compensation Programs](#) above for more information.
- (6) The amounts in this column reflect the change in the actuarial present value of the accumulated benefit under the PHH Pension Plan and PHH Retiree Medical Plan for each participating Named Executive Officer. Mr. Edwards is a participant in both the PHH Pension Plan and the PHH Retiree Medical Plan. Messrs. Kilroy and Brown are participants in the PHH Pension Plan. Ms. Bell and Messrs. Raubenstine and Danahy are not participants in either the PHH Pension Plan or the PHH Retiree Medical Plan. Each of the PHH Pension Plan and the PHH Retiree Medical Plan has been frozen and the final average compensation and years of service for each Named Executive Officer participating in the PHH Pension Plan is based on the years of service and compensation earned prior to October 31, 1999 (October 31, 2004 for Mr. Kilroy). During 2007, the change in the actuarial present value of the

accumulated benefit under the PHH Pension Plan for Mr. Edwards was a decline of \$3,577. During 2007, the change in the actuarial present value of the accumulated benefit under the PHH Retiree Medical Plan for Mr. Edwards was an increase of \$6,121. The aggregate net change for Mr. Edwards during 2007 in the actuarial present value of the accumulated benefit under the PHH Pension Plan and the PHH Retiree Medical Plan was \$2,544 and this amount is included in this column for 2007. During 2007, the change in the actuarial present value of the accumulated benefit under the PHH Pension Plan for Messrs. Kilroy and Brown was a decline of \$1,547 and \$3,424, respectively. Since the aggregate change for

Table of Contents

Messrs. Kilroy and Brown were negative, no amounts have been included in this column for 2007 for Messrs. Kilroy and Brown in accordance with applicable SEC rules under the Exchange Act. See Pension Benefits for additional information regarding the benefits accrued for each of these Named Executive Officers and Note 13, Pension and Other Post Employment Benefits in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2008 Annual Report for more information regarding the calculation of our pension costs.

(7) Amounts included in this column for 2008 are set forth in the following All Other Compensation table:

All Other Compensation

Name	Insurance Premiums (a)	401(k) Matching Contribution (b)	Financial Planning Services (c)	Company Car and Fuel (d)	Travel, Meals and Lodging (e)	Tax Gross-Up (f)	Other (g)	Total
Terence W. Edwards	\$ 17,871	\$ 13,800	\$ 10,840	\$ 12,436	\$	\$ 12,098	\$	\$ 67,045
Sandra E. Bell	2,675			1,708	15,217	12,688		32,288
Clair M. Raubenstine	2,540	13,800		12,006	22,196	19,561	638,293	708,396
George J. Kilroy	9,988	5,192		14,193		6,757		36,130
Mark R. Danahy	11,983	13,750	7,671	8,300		7,019		48,723
William F. Brown	17,097	13,308	8,165	11,880		11,256		61,706

==

- (a) Reflects the employer paid portion of insurance premiums paid for the Named Executive Officers pursuant to our group benefit plans, which are available to all salaried employees on a non-discriminatory basis and include medical, dental, life, accidental death and dismemberment, and short- and long-term disability insurance coverage.
- (b) Reflects the matching contribution made by us on behalf of each Named Executive Officer under the PHH Corporation Employee Savings Plan. Following the completion of one year of service with the company, matching contributions are available to all of our employees up to the amount of their voluntary contributions to the plan not to exceed the statutory limit, which was \$13,800 in 2008.
- (c) Reflects the value of financial planning services which were made available to the Named Executive Officers. We also provided a tax gross-up to our Named Executive Officers for this amount. See Footnote (f) below.
- (d) Reflects the value of the personal benefit received by each Named Executive Officer for the use of a company car and fuel, which values are based on our costs for such benefits. We also provided a tax gross-up to our Named Executive Officers for this amount. See Footnote (f) below.

- (e) For Ms. Bell, reflects the cost to the company of airfare, lodging, meals and car service costs to transport Ms. Bell to and from our New Jersey offices and Ms. Bell's residence in Ohio during 2008 in connection with her relocation. For Mr. Raubenstine, reflects the cost to the company of lodging, meals and car service costs to transport Mr. Raubenstine to and from our Maryland and New Jersey offices during 2008 as part of his employment. During 2008, Mr. Raubenstine split his time between our New Jersey and Maryland offices, but spent more than 50% of his time in our Maryland offices. While Mr. Raubenstine lives in the greater Philadelphia area, he was treated as being domiciled in Maryland for tax purposes due to the percentage of time that he worked in our Maryland offices. As a result, his normal travel, meals and lodging expenses for performing services for us in Maryland were not deductible business expenses and were recognized as compensation. We reimbursed Mr. Raubenstine for these expenses and provided a tax gross-up so that he incurred no additional taxes as a result of these payments. See Footnote (f) below.
- (f) Reflects the tax gross-up amounts paid during 2008 (i) in respect of financial planning and company car costs for Messrs. Edwards, Danahy and Brown, (ii) in respect of company car and fuel costs for Mr. Kilroy, (iii) in respect of costs for airfare, lodging, meals, car service and a company car for Ms. Bell and (iv) in respect of costs for lodging, meals, car service, a company car and fuel for Mr. Raubenstine.
- (g) Reflects cash payments to Mr. Raubenstine of \$500,000 for severance benefits, \$45,985 for accrued and unused vacation, and \$92,308 for short-term disability benefits.

Table of Contents**GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS**

The following table sets forth the grants of plan-based awards made during 2008:

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾			Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)		Underlying Options (#)		
Terence W. Edwards:	1/10/2008							46,458 ⁽²⁾			800,007
	1/10/2008							5,908 ⁽⁵⁾			101,736 ⁽⁵⁾
	3/18/2008	564,635	564,635	917,532							
Andrea E. Bell:	10/13/2008								50,000 ⁽³⁾	9.05	206,000
Clair M. Aubenstine:	1/10/2008							14,518 ⁽²⁾			250,000
George J. Kilroy:	1/10/2008							37,021 ⁽²⁾			637,502
	1/10/2008							5,908 ⁽⁵⁾			101,736 ⁽⁵⁾
	3/18/2008	450,000	450,000	562,500							
Mark R. Danahy:	1/10/2008							26,132 ⁽²⁾			449,993
	1/10/2008							3,250 ⁽⁵⁾			55,965 ⁽⁵⁾
	3/18/2008	243,750	243,750	487,500							
William F. Brown:	1/10/2008							26,132 ⁽²⁾			449,993
	1/10/2008							2,068 ⁽⁵⁾			35,611 ⁽⁵⁾
	3/18/2008	150,000	150,000	243,750							
	6/11/2008							9,447 ⁽⁴⁾			169,290

(1) For Messrs. Edwards and Brown, amounts represent awards under the 2008 Corporate MIP. For Mr. Kilroy, amounts represent an award under the 2008 Fleet MIP. For Mr. Danahy, amounts represent an award under the

2008 Mortgage MIP.

- (2) Represents awards of restricted stock units under the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the 2008 RSU Awards). These awards have service-based vesting conditions with potential accelerated vesting upon the achievement of certain performance targets established by the Compensation Committee. For Messrs. Edwards and Brown, the performance targets for their 2008 RSU Awards are based 50% on the performance achieved by PHH Arval and 50% on the performance achieved by PHH Mortgage. Accordingly, if both PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage meet their respective performance targets in respect of any accelerated vesting date, vesting of 1/3 of the total 2008 RSU Awards will be accelerated for Messrs. Edwards and Brown. If only PHH Arval or PHH Mortgage, but not both, meet their respective performance target in respect of any accelerated vesting date, vesting of only 1/6 of the total 2008 RSU Awards will be accelerated for Messrs. Edwards and Brown. For Messrs. Kilroy and Danahy, the performance targets for their 2008 RSU Awards are based 100% on the performance achieved by PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage, respectively. The performance target for 2008 was achieved for PHH Arval, but was not achieved for PHH Mortgage. As a result, vesting of 1/6 of the total 2008 RSU Awards for Messrs. Edwards and Brown and 1/3 of the total 2008 RSU Awards for Mr. Kilroy was accelerated on March 11, 2009, upon the approval of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee has not approved accelerated vesting of any portion of the 2008 RSU Awards held by Mr. Danahy. The 2008 RSU Awards for Mr. Raubenstine representing 14,518 shares were forfeited during 2008 in connection with his separation of employment.
- (3) Represents an award of stock options under the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan. This award includes service-based vesting criteria and, subject to continued employment, vests ratably in five equal annual installments beginning October 13, 2009.
- (4) Represents an award of shares of common stock under the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan. These shares were immediately vested upon grant. This award was made to Mr. Brown to compensate Mr. Brown for the unrealized value associated with a stock option award that expired during a Regulation BTR blackout period at a time when Mr. Brown was precluded from exercising the stock option.
- (5) Represents the number of shares underlying the 2004 Conversion RSUs that actually vested on April 27, 2008, following a modification of the 2004 Conversion RSUs as originally awarded. Pursuant to the terms of the

Table of Contents

original award agreements for the 2004 Conversion RSUs, either (i) 12.5% or 18.75% of the total award would vest on April 27, 2008 to the extent we achieved 100% or 150%, respectively, of the performance target for 2007 or (ii) 12.5% of the total award would be forfeited in the event that the performance targets for 2007 were not achieved. We did not achieve our performance target for 2007 which would have resulted in the forfeiture of the unvested portion of the shares underlying the 2004 Conversion RSUs on April 27, 2008. In January 2008, the Compensation Committee modified the 2004 Conversion RSUs to permit the vesting on April 27, 2008 of a portion of the 2004 Conversion RSUs, subject only to continued employment through April 27, 2008, without regard to the previously applicable 2007 performance targets. On April 27, 2008, the modified 2004 Conversion RSUs vested and the shares underlying the remaining unvested portion of the original 2004 Conversion RSUs were forfeited. The number of shares forfeited during 2008 related to the 2004 Conversion RSUs for Messrs. Edwards, Kilroy, Danahy and Brown was 29,540, 29,540, 16,247 and 10,339, respectively. See Executive Compensation Decisions in 2007, 2008 and 2009 for more information.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END

The following table sets forth the outstanding equity awards for each of our Named Executive Officers as of December 31, 2008:

Name	Option Awards				Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)		Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (1)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$)	Unearned Shares or Units	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number or Value of Payout
Terence W. Edwards:	183,045			20.22	1/13/2010	55,087	701,258		
	157,364			17.43	1/22/2012				
	20,355			12.48	4/22/2013				
		49,229(3)		20.78	3/3/2015				

Edgar Filing: PHH CORP - Form PRER14A

	6,257 ⁽⁴⁾	18,771 ⁽⁴⁾	24.99	6/28/2015		
Sandra E. Bell:		50,000 ⁽⁵⁾	9.05	10/13/2018		
Clair M. Raubenstine:						
George J. Kilroy:					41,805	532,178
		23,247 ⁽³⁾	20.78	3/3/2015		
	3,469 ⁽⁴⁾	10,405 ⁽⁴⁾	24.99	6/28/2015		
Mark R. Danahy:					33,335	424,354
	43,712		18.55	7/17/2011		
	35,844		17.43	1/22/2012		
		17,504 ⁽³⁾	20.78	3/3/2015		
William F. Brown:					32,885	418,626
	23,085		20.22	1/13/2010		
	24,916		17.43	1/22/2012		
		16,410 ⁽³⁾	20.78	3/3/2015		

(1) This column includes 2008 RSU Awards. These awards have service-based vesting conditions with potential accelerated vesting upon the achievement of certain performance targets established by the Compensation Committee. Subject to continued employment, the 2008 RSU Awards will vest ratably in two equal annual installments on January 10, 2012, and January 10, 2013, with the potential acceleration of vesting of up to 1/3 of the total award on each of February 28, 2009, February 28, 2010, and February 28, 2011, provided that the

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee has approved the achievement of performance targets for the applicable fiscal year immediately preceding each such date. For Messrs. Edwards and Brown, the performance targets for their 2008 RSU Awards are based 50% on the performance achieved by PHH Arval and 50% on the performance achieved by PHH Mortgage. Accordingly, if both PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage meet their respective performance targets in respect of any accelerated vesting date, vesting of 1/3 of the total 2008 RSU Awards will be accelerated for Messrs. Edwards and Brown. If only PHH Arval or PHH Mortgage, but not both, meet their respective performance target in respect of any accelerated vesting date, vesting of only 1/6 of the total 2008 RSU Awards will be accelerated for Messrs. Edwards and Brown. For Messrs. Kilroy and Danahy, the performance targets for their 2008 RSU Awards are based 100% on the performance achieved by PHH Arval and PHH Mortgage, respectively. The performance target for 2008 was achieved for PHH Arval, but was not achieved for PHH Mortgage. As a result, vesting of 1/6 of the total 2008 RSU Awards for Messrs. Edwards and Brown and 1/3 of the total 2008 RSU Awards for Mr. Kilroy was accelerated on March 11, 2009, upon the approval of the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee has not approved accelerated vesting of any portion of the 2008 RSU Awards held by Mr. Danahy. This column also includes awards of restricted stock units made on June 28, 2005, under the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the 2005 RSU Awards). At the date of grant, the 2005 RSU Awards were scheduled to vest ratably, subject to continued employment, in three equal annual installments beginning June 28, 2009, with the potential acceleration of vesting of 25% of the total award on each of June 28, 2006, June 28, 2007, June 28, 2008, and June 28, 2009, upon the achievement of performance targets for the applicable fiscal year immediately preceding each such date. The performance target was achieved for 2005, but was not achieved for 2006, 2007 or 2008. As a result, 25% of the total 2005 RSU Awards vested on June 28, 2006, however, settlement of the vested portion was deferred until January 8, 2008, due to a Regulation BTR blackout period. Subject to continued employment, the unvested portion of the 2005 RSU Awards included in this column will vest ratably in three equal annual installments beginning June 28, 2009.

- (2) Calculated using the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2008 (\$12.73 per share).
- (3) These stock options fully vested on March 3, 2009.
- (4) At the date of grant, these stock options were scheduled to vest ratably, subject to continued employment, in three equal annual installments beginning June 28, 2009, with the potential acceleration of vesting of 25% of the total award on each of June 28, 2006, June 28, 2007, June 28, 2008, and June 28, 2009, upon the achievement of performance targets for the applicable fiscal year immediately preceding each such date. The performance target was achieved for 2005, but was not achieved for 2006, 2007 or 2008. As a result, 25% of the total award of these stock options has vested. Subject to continued employment, the unvested portion of these stock options will vest ratably in three equal annual installments beginning June 28, 2009.
- (5) Subject to continued employment, these stock options will vest ratably in five equal annual installments beginning October 13, 2009.

OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED

The following table sets forth information regarding the number and value of shares of our common stock that vested and stock options that were exercised during 2008 for our Named Executive Officers:

Option Awards		Stock Awards	
Number of Shares	Value	Number of Shares	Value

Name	Acquired on Exercise (#)	Realized on Exercise (\$)	Acquired on Vesting (#)	Realized on Vesting (\$)
Terence W. Edwards			32,727	514,851
Sandra E. Bell				
Clair M. Raubenstein				
George J. Kilroy			29,441	465,298
Mark R. Danahy			17,917	281,921
William F. Brown			23,047	381,844

Table of Contents**PENSION BENEFITS**

The following table sets forth information relating to the PHH Pension Plan and the PHH Retiree Medical Plan, which are defined benefit plans adopted as of the Spin-Off. Both the PHH Pension Plan and the PHH Retiree Medical Plan have been frozen for all participants, including our Named Executive Officers that are participants in such plans, and no further benefits are accruing under such plans. The PHH Pension Plan and the PHH Retiree Medical Plan assumed all liabilities and obligations owed to participants that were actively employed by us at the time of the Spin-Off under the respective predecessor plans of Cendant Corporation, including Messrs. Edwards, Kilroy and Brown. Certain of our current and former employees, including Messrs. Raubenstine and Danahy and Ms. Bell, were not participants in the predecessor plans of Cendant Corporation and are not participants in the PHH Pension Plan or PHH Retiree Medical Plan.

Name	Plan Name	Number of Years of Credited Service (#) ⁽¹⁾	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)⁽²⁾	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
Terence W. Edwards	PHH Corporation Pension Plan	20.0	281,608	
Terence W. Edwards	PHH Corporation Retiree Medical Plan	20.0	30,129	
Sandra E. Bell	N/A			
Clair M. Raubenstine	N/A			
George J. Kilroy	PHH Corporation Pension Plan	28.1	818,799	
Mark R. Danahy	N/A			
William F. Brown	PHH Corporation Pension Plan	14.9	114,035	

(1) The number of years of credited service shown in this column is calculated based on the actual years of service with us for each Named Executive Officer through October 31, 1999, or, in the case of Mr. Kilroy, October 31, 2004.

(2) The valuations included in this column have been calculated as of December 31, 2008 assuming the Named Executive Officer will retire at the normal retirement age of 65 and using the interest rate and other assumptions as described in Note 15, Pension and Other Post Employment Benefits in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in the 2008 Annual Report.

No pension benefits were paid to the Named Executive Officers in 2008. Messrs. Edwards, Kilroy and Brown are eligible to receive a benefit under the PHH Pension Plan based on 2% of their final average cash compensation as of the date the plan was frozen with respect to such persons times their number of years of benefit service (up to a maximum of 30 years) measured as of the date the plan was frozen with respect to such persons minus 50% of their annualized primary Social Security benefit. For purposes of determining the participating Named Executive Officers benefits under the PHH Pension Plan, their final average compensation and years of benefit service was based on compensation and service earned prior to October 31, 1999 (October 31, 2004 for Mr. Kilroy). The participating

Named Executive Officers' benefits under the PHH Pension Plan and PHH Retiree Medical Plan were frozen as of October 31, 1999 (October 31, 2004 for Mr. Kilroy).

Table of Contents**NON-QUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION**

The table below sets forth information relating to the PHH Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Plan (the Deferred Compensation Plan) established by our Board of Directors in 1994 for specified executive officers at that time. The Deferred Compensation Plan was frozen to further participation in 1997 and Mr. Edwards is the only Named Executive Officer eligible to participate in the plan.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End (\$)
Terence W. Edwards			(128,944) ⁽¹⁾		435,564
Sandra E. Bell					
Clair M. Raubenstein					
George J. Kilroy					
Mark R. Danahy					
William F. Brown					

(1) The amounts reported in this table have been omitted from the Summary Compensation Table pursuant to the applicable SEC rules under the Exchange Act because the earnings were not above-market or preferential.

There were no contributions to, or distributions or withdrawals from, the Deferred Compensation Plan in 2008. The Deferred Compensation Plan is a non-qualified deferred compensation plan pursuant to which participants were previously permitted to defer up to 100% of their annual salary and any awards under a non-equity incentive plan. All deferrals by participants are 100% vested at all times. The Deferred Compensation Plan is unfunded for tax purposes and a bookkeeping account is maintained for each participant. Amounts deferred are credited with any associated earnings in accordance with hypothetical investment options elected by the participant from the investment options, including mutual funds and other funds, available under the PHH Savings Plan, except for the fund which invests in our common stock. Participants are entitled to a distribution under the Deferred Compensation Plan when they cease employment with us for any reason. Distributions may be made in lump-sum or in monthly, quarterly or annual installments for up to ten years at the election of the participant.

Table of Contents**POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT OR CHANGE IN CONTROL**

The following table sets forth the estimated payments and benefits that would be provided to each Named Executive Officer that was employed by us on December 31, 2008, pursuant to the terms of any contract, agreement, plan or arrangement that provides for such payments and benefits following, or in connection with, a termination of the Named Executive Officer, including by voluntary termination, involuntary termination not for cause, involuntary termination for cause, retirement, death, disability, or a change in control with or without a termination of the Named Executive Officer. For purposes of calculating the amounts in the table, we have assumed that the termination or change in control event took place on December 31, 2008. Mr. Raubenstine is not included in the table below because he was not employed by us on December 31, 2008. For purposes of calculating the value on such date of any equity-based awards in accordance with the SEC rules under the Exchange Act, we used the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2008, or \$12.73 per share. See the discussion that follows the table for additional information regarding the estimated payments and benefits.

Category and Description of Potential Payments	Voluntary Termination	Involuntary Termination Not for Cause	Involuntary Termination for Cause	Change in Control without Termination	Change in Control with Termination	Death	Disability	Retirement
Michael W. Edwards								
Base Salary	\$	\$ 572,135	\$	\$	\$ 572,135	\$	\$	\$
Accelerated Vesting of Awards				701,258	701,258	701,258	701,258	
Accelerated Payout of MIPs				917,532	917,532	321,842	321,842	
Retirement Plans								311,000
Accelerated Compensation	435,564	435,564	435,564		435,564	435,564	435,564	435,564
	\$ 435,564	\$ 1,007,699	\$ 435,564	\$ 1,618,790	\$ 2,626,489	\$ 1,458,664	\$ 1,458,664	747,000
Michael E. Bell								
Base Salary	\$	\$ 207,500	\$	\$	\$ 1,600,000	\$	\$	\$
Accelerated Vesting of Awards				184,000	184,000	184,000	184,000	
Accelerated Payout of MIPs								
Retirement Plans								
Accelerated Compensation								
	\$	\$ 207,500	\$	\$ 184,000	\$ 1,784,000	\$ 184,000	\$ 184,000	\$
George J. Kilroy								
Base Salary	\$	\$ 1,800,000	\$	\$	\$ 1,800,000	\$	\$	\$
Accelerated Vesting of Awards				532,178	532,178	532,178	532,178	
				562,500	562,500	513,000	513,000	

erated Payout of MIPs ment Plans red Compensation								818
	\$	\$ 1,800,000	\$	\$ 1,094,678	\$ 2,894,678	\$ 1,045,178	\$ 1,045,178	\$ 818
R. Danahy ance erated Vesting of Awards erated Payout of MIPs ment Plans red Compensation	\$	\$ 1,137,500	\$	\$	\$ 1,137,500	\$	\$	\$
				424,355	424,355	424,355	424,355	
				487,500	487,500			
	\$	\$ 1,137,500	\$	\$ 911,855	\$ 2,049,355	\$ 424,355	\$ 424,355	\$
m F. Brown ance erated Vesting of Awards erated Payout of MIPs ment Plans red Compensation	\$	\$ 900,000	\$	\$	\$ 900,000	\$	\$	\$
				418,626	418,626	418,626	418,626	
				243,750	243,750	85,500	85,500	114
	\$	\$ 900,000	\$	\$ 662,376	\$ 1,562,376	\$ 504,126	\$ 504,126	\$ 114

The amounts shown in the table above include estimates of what would be paid to the Named Executive Officers upon the occurrence of the specified event. The actual amounts to be paid to the Named Executive Officers can only be determined at the time of such event. We have included payments related to the Retirement Plans and the Deferred Compensation Plan in the table since these are frozen plans and are not available to all of our current employees. We have not included payments related to the Retirement Plans in the specified events other than the

Table of Contents

Retirement column, as these payments are not triggered by termination, death or disability of the Named Executive Officer or a change in control. These amounts would be payable to the Named Executive Officer at some time after the specified event once the minimum retirement age and other PHH Pension Plan requirements were met. In addition, the table does not include payments of life or disability insurance payable upon the death or disability of the Named Executive Officers as these benefits are available to all employees on the same basis.

Potential Payments and Benefits

Severance. We provide post-termination payments of salary or severance to our Named Executive Officers under a policy applicable to our executive officers in the event of a reduction in our workforce or the elimination or discontinuation of their position, except to the extent that our Named Executive Officers have waived their respective rights to such benefits pursuant to separate individual severance agreements with such Named Executive Officers. Pursuant to our policy and subject to the foregoing, the minimum severance is 26 weeks of base salary and the maximum severance is 52 weeks of base salary for the Named Executive Officers payable in a lump-sum amount. In addition, our severance policy applicable to our executive officers includes \$7,500 in outplacement services. These outplacement services may be declined by the Named Executive Officer in lieu of an equivalent cash payment. In June 2007, in connection with the Merger, we entered into 2007 Severance Agreements with Messrs. Kilroy and Brown that provide for enhanced post-termination payments in the event a termination event (as defined in the 2007 Severance Agreement) occurred on or prior to the first anniversary of the effective time of the Merger. In 2008, we entered into a 2008 Severance Agreement with Mr. Danahy and a Change in Control Severance Agreement with Ms. Bell and Restated Severance Agreements with Messrs. Kilroy and Brown. For Messrs. Kilroy, Danahy and Brown, their respective severance agreements provide for enhanced post-termination payments in the event a termination event (as defined in their respective severance agreements) occurs on or before the first anniversary of the effective time of a change in control (as defined in their respective severance agreements) that occurs on or before December 31, 2009. For Ms. Bell, her Change in Control Severance Agreement provides for enhanced post-termination payments in the event a termination event (as defined in her Change in Control Severance Agreement) occurs within twelve months following the date of a change in control (as defined in her Change in Control Severance Agreement) that occurs on or before December 31, 2009. The payment of benefits under each of the severance policy and the foregoing individual severance agreements is conditioned upon, among other things, the execution of a general release of claims such executive officer may have against us. See Change in Control and Other Severance Arrangements above for information regarding the 2008 Severance Agreements.

Accelerated Vesting of Stock Awards. All of the stock awards made to our Named Executive Officers have been granted under the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan and are subject to the vesting and other terms set forth in award agreements and the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan. Pursuant to the terms of the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan, in the event of a Change in Control (defined below), any Stock Option award carrying a right to exercise that was not previously vested and exercisable becomes fully vested and exercisable, and any restrictions, deferral limitations, payment conditions and forfeiture conditions for RSU and other equity-based awards lapse and such equity-based awards are deemed fully vested. In addition, any performance conditions imposed with respect to such equity-based awards are deemed to be fully achieved. Pursuant to the terms of the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan, a Change in Control is deemed to have occurred if:

any person, as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act (other than (i) us, (ii) any trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under one of our employee benefit plans and (iii) any corporation owned, directly or indirectly, by our stockholders in substantially the same proportions as their ownership of our common stock), is or becomes the beneficial owner (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, of our common stock representing 30% or more of the combined voting power of our then outstanding voting securities (excluding any person who becomes such a beneficial owner in connection with a transaction immediately following which the individuals who comprise our Board of Directors

immediately prior thereto constitute at least a majority of the Board of Directors of the entity surviving such transaction or, if we or the entity surviving the transaction is then a subsidiary, the ultimate parent thereof);

Table of Contents

the following individuals cease for any reason to constitute a majority of the number of Directors then serving: individuals who constitute the Board and any new Director (other than a Director whose initial assumption of office is in connection with an actual or threatened election contest, including but not limited to a consent solicitation, relating to the election of Directors) whose appointment or election by the Board or nomination for election by our stockholders was approved or recommended by a vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the Directors then still in office who either were Directors or whose appointment, election or nomination for election was previously so approved or recommended;

there is consummated a merger or consolidation of us or any of our direct or indirect subsidiaries with any other corporation, other than a merger or consolidation immediately following which the individuals who comprise our Board of Directors immediately prior thereto constitute at least a majority of the Board of Directors of the entity surviving such merger or consolidation or, if we or the entity surviving such merger is then a subsidiary, the ultimate parent thereof; or

our stockholders approve a plan of complete liquidation or there is consummated an agreement for the sale or disposition by us of all or substantially all of our assets (or any transaction having a similar effect), other than a sale or disposition by us of all or substantially all of our assets to an entity, immediately following which the individuals who comprise our Board of Directors immediately prior thereto constitute at least a majority of the Board of the entity to which such assets are sold or disposed of or, if such entity is a subsidiary, the ultimate parent thereof.

The amounts in the table are calculated using the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2008 and the number of Stock Options and RSUs used to calculate the amounts in the table are those unexercisable Stock Options and unvested RSUs that would become exercisable and vested as a result of the Change in Control event pursuant to the SEC rules under the Exchange Act.

Accelerated Payout of 2008 MIPs. For 2008, our short-term cash incentive plans for our executive officers are the 2008 MIPs, which are governed by the terms of the 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan and the respective 2008 MIPs. As of December 31, 2008, Messrs. Edwards and Brown were the only Named Executive Officers participating in the 2008 Corporate MIP. As of December 31, 2008, Mr. Kilroy was the only participating Named Executive Officer in the 2008 Fleet MIP and Mr. Danahy was the only Named Executive Officer participating in the 2008 Mortgage MIP. As discussed above with regard to equity-based awards, in the event of a Change in Control, the performance conditions imposed with respect to such 2008 MIP awards are deemed to be fully achieved and the target payout amount under each Named Executive Officer's respective 2008 MIP award will be deemed to be earned and payable to the each such Named Executive Officer. In the event of the death of a Named Executive Officer, the performance conditions under the 2008 MIPs are deemed to be fully achieved and the target payout amount, prorated according to the extent of time that the Named Executive Officer participated in their respective 2008 MIP during the performance period, is deemed earned and payable to such Named Executive Officer's estate. See Variable Compensation Programs and the Grants of Plan-Based Awards table above for information regarding the 2008 MIPs.

Retirement Plans. Messrs. Edwards, Kilroy and Brown are participants in the PHH Pension Plan and Mr. Edwards is a participant in the PHH Retiree Medical Plan. Each of these plans were available to all employees prior to 1999 on a non-discriminatory basis. Participants in the PHH Pension Plan are entitled to payments in the form of an annuity upon attaining retirement age. The amounts reflected in the table are based on the estimated present value on December 31, 2008, of the payout for each participating Named Executive Officer assuming he had attained the normal retirement age of 65. None of the participating Named Executive Officers, except for Mr. Kilroy, had attained the minimum retirement age under the PHH Pension Plan as of December 31, 2008. See the Pension Benefits table above for more information.

Deferred Compensation. Mr. Edwards is the only Named Executive Officer who is a participant in the Deferred Compensation Plan. Participants are entitled to a distribution under the Deferred Compensation Plan when they cease employment with us for any reason. Distributions may be made in a lump-sum payment or in monthly, quarterly or annual installments for up to ten years at the election of the participant. See the Non-qualified Deferred Compensation table above for more information.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 4 APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT OF THE COMPANY S CHARTER

On April 17, 2009, the Board of Directors approved a proposal to amend the Company s Charter, subject to stockholder approval, to increase the number of shares of authorized capital stock and the number of shares of authorized common stock.

Under the Company s existing Charter, the Company is currently authorized to issue 110,000,000 shares of stock, par value \$0.01 per share, consisting of 108,910,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and 1,090,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share. Pursuant to Article SIXTH of the Company s Charter and the Maryland General Corporation Law, the Board of Directors, with the approval of a majority of the entire Board of Directors and without action by the Company s stockholders, may amend the Company s Charter to increase or decrease the aggregate number of shares of stock of the Company or the number of shares of stock of any class that the Company has authority to issue.

Notwithstanding the ability of our Board of Directors to unilaterally amend the Company s Charter to increase the aggregate number of shares of stock of the Company pursuant to Article SIXTH of the Company s Charter and the Maryland General Corporation Law, the Board of Directors is seeking the approval by the Company s stockholders of an amendment to the Company s Charter to increase the aggregate number of shares of authorized capital stock from 110,000,000 shares, par value \$0.01 per share, to 275,000,000 shares, par value \$0.01 per share, which will be initially classified as 273,910,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and 1,090,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share. A copy of the proposed amendment to the Company s Charter is attached hereto as Appendix C and is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

Approval by the Company s stockholders of the Charter Amendment Proposal will permit the Board of Directors to authorize the issuance of up to an aggregate of 275,000,000, par value \$0.01 per share, of the Company at such prices and with such terms, including dividend or interest rates, conversion prices, voting rights, redemption prices, maturity dates, and similar matters, as determined by the Board of Directors. In addition to providing the Company with the ability to issue shares under the Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan, the availability of additional shares of capital stock would provide flexibility to issue shares of capital stock in connection with a variety of purposes, including but not limited to the following, if and when needed: possible acquisitions of other businesses, raising additional equity capital, stock splits or stock dividends, and general corporate purposes. The Company has no present intention to issue any shares of its capital stock for any of these purposes at this time.

Our stockholders will not have any preemptive rights with respect to the additional shares being authorized. No further approval by the Company s stockholders would be necessary prior to the issuance of any additional shares of capital stock, except as may be required by law or applicable NYSE rules. In certain circumstances, generally relating to the number of shares to be issued and the identity of the recipient, the rules of the NYSE require stockholder authorization in connection with the issuance of such additional shares. Subject to applicable law and the rules of the NYSE, our Board of Directors has the sole discretion to issue additional shares of capital stock and the Board of Directors does not intend to issue any stock except for reasons and on terms which our Board of Directors deems to be in the best interests of our stockholders. The issuance of any additional shares of capital stock may have the effect of diluting the percentage of stock ownership of our present stockholders.

As discussed above, the submission of this matter for approval by stockholders is not legally required; however, the Board of Directors believes that such submission provides stockholders an opportunity to provide feedback to the Board of Directors on an important issue concerning the capital stock of the Company. If stockholders do not approve the Charter Amendment Proposal, the Board of Directors may nevertheless take action to approve such an amendment

in the future, possibly without the prior approval of such action by the Company's stockholders, to the extent that the Board of Directors determines that it is necessary, appropriate or advisable to increase the aggregate number of shares that the Company is authorized to issue.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL USING THE ENCLOSED WHITE PROXY CARD. UNLESS MARKED TO THE CONTRARY, PROXIES RECEIVED BY THE COMPANY WILL BE VOTED FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE CHARTER AMENDMENT PROPOSAL.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Review and Approval of Related Person Transactions

We review any relationships or transactions in which we and our Directors and executive officers, or their immediate family members, are participants to determine whether these persons have a direct or indirect material interest. Our Directors Code and our Employees and Officers Code provide specific provisions regarding such relationships between our Directors and executive officers and us. The Corporate Governance Committee and the Corporate Compliance Officer review any such relationships identified under the Directors Code and the Employees and Officers Code, respectively, which are then reviewed and approved by the Board of Directors at least annually. The Directors Code sets forth the following guidelines for relationships that do not require Board approval:

the Director's sole interest in the arrangement is by virtue of his or her status as a director, executive officer and/or holder of less than 10% equity interest (other than a general partnership interest) in an entity with which we have concluded such an arrangement;

the arrangement involves payments to or from the entity that constitute less than the greater of \$1 million or 2% of the entity's consolidated gross revenues; and

the Director is not personally involved in (i) the negotiation and execution of the arrangement, (ii) performance of the services or provision of the goods or (iii) the monetary arrangement.

See Corporate Governance Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Directors and Code of Conduct for Employees and Officers on page 14 for more information. Our legal staff is responsible for the development and implementation of processes and controls, including regular director and officer questionnaires, to obtain information from the Directors and executive officers with respect to related person transactions. Based on the facts and circumstances identified through these information gathering processes, the Board of Directors determines whether the company or a related person has a direct or indirect material interest in any transactions identified.

Certain Business Relationships

A.B. Krongard, our non-executive Chairman of the Board, is also an outside director on the global Board of Directors for our principal outside law firm, DLA Piper. Our legal fees and disbursements paid to DLA Piper during 2008 were less than 0.1% of the firm's gross revenues for 2008.

James W. Brinkley, one of our Directors, became Vice Chairman of Smith Barney's Global Private Client Group following Citigroup's acquisition of LMWW in December 2005. We have certain relationships with the Corporate and Investment Banking segment of Citigroup, including financial services, commercial banking and other transactions. Citigroup is a lender, along with various other lenders, in several of our credit facilities. The fees paid to Citigroup, including interest expense, were approximately \$45 million for 2008, representing less than 0.1% of Citigroup's consolidated revenues for the year ended December 31, 2008. Citigroup Global Markets, Inc., J.P. Morgan Securities Inc. and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC were joint book-running managers for our offering of 4.00% Convertible Senior Notes due 2012 that closed on April 2, 2008. We used a portion of our net proceeds from the offering to reduce the principal balance under one of the credit facilities in which Citigroup is a lender. Our maximum indebtedness to Citigroup during 2008 was \$978 million, representing less than 0.1% of Citigroup's total consolidated assets as of December 31, 2008, and such indebtedness was incurred in the ordinary course of business upon terms, including interest rates and collateral, substantially the same as those prevailing at the time for comparable loans.

Mr. Brinkley's son, Douglas Brinkley, is a principal at Colliers Pinkard, a member firm of Colliers, which provides certain lease management services to us. The fees paid to Colliers during 2008 were approximately \$320,340, representing less than 0.1% of Colliers' global revenues.

Table of Contents

Bradford C. Burgess, who serves as a Director, Business Development at PHH Arval since 2001, is the son-in-law of George J. Kilroy, one of our Directors and President and Chief Executive Officer of PHH Arval. Mr. Burgess received compensation, including base and bonus payments, of \$161,015 for 2008 and was eligible to participate in employee benefit plans available to employees generally on a non-discriminatory basis. His compensation and benefits were commensurate with other employees in comparable positions at PHH Arval.

Indebtedness of Management

One or more of our mortgage lending subsidiaries has made, in the ordinary course of business, mortgage loans and/or home equity lines of credit to Directors and executive officers and their immediate families. Such mortgage loans and/or home equity lines of credit were made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral requirements, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with our other customers generally, and they did not involve more than the normal risk of collectibility or present other unfavorable features. Generally, we sell these mortgage loans and/or home equity lines of credit, soon after origination, into the secondary market in the ordinary course of business.

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of our outstanding common stock as of April 22, 2009, by those persons who are known to us to be beneficial owners of 5% or more of our common stock, by each of our Directors, by each of our current Named Executive Officers (as defined on page 44) and by our Directors, Director nominees and current Executive Officers as a group. As of April 22, 2009, there were 54,388,877 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding.

Name and Address	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾	Percent of Class
Principal Stockholders:		
Pennant Capital Management, LLC ⁽²⁾ 40 Main Street Chatham, NJ 07928	5,407,141	9.97%
Wellington Management Company, LLP ⁽³⁾ 75 State Street Boston, MA 02109	5,361,001	9.88%
BlackRock, Inc. ⁽⁴⁾ 40 East 52nd St. New York, NY 10022	5,273,322	9.67%
Third Point LLC ⁽⁵⁾ 390 Park Avenue New York, NY 10022	5,210,000	9.6%
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP ⁽⁶⁾ Palisades West, Building One 6300 Bee Cave Road Austin, TX 78746	3,578,659	6.6%
Elm Ridge Capital Management, LLC ⁽⁷⁾ 3 West Main Street, 3rd Floor Irvington, NY 10533	3,329,163	6.10%
Hotchkis and Wiley Capital Management, LLC ⁽⁸⁾ 725 South Figueroa Street, 39th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017	3,187,200	5.90%
Directors and Current Named Executive Officers:		
Terence W. Edwards ⁽⁹⁾ Sandra E. Bell	503,972	*
George J. Kilroy ⁽¹⁰⁾	65,552	*
Mark R. Danahy ⁽¹¹⁾	113,180	*
William F. Brown ⁽¹²⁾	85,180	*
James W. Brinkley ^{(13),(14)} James O. Egan ⁽¹⁴⁾ A.B. Krongard ⁽¹⁴⁾ Ann D. Logan ⁽¹⁴⁾ Jonathan D. Mariner ⁽¹⁴⁾	250	*

All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (12 persons)	837,289	1.54%
--	---------	-------

* Represents less than one percent.

- (1) Based upon information furnished to us by the respective stockholders or contained in filings made with the SEC. For purposes of this table, if a person has or shares voting or investment power with respect to any of our common stock, then such common stock is considered beneficially owned by that person under the SEC rules. Shares of our common stock beneficially owned include direct and indirect ownership of shares, stock options and restricted stock units granted to executive officers and director restricted stock units granted to our directors which are vested or are expected to vest within 60 days of April 22, 2009. Unless otherwise indicated

Table of Contents

in the table, the address of all listed stockholders is c/o PHH Corporation, 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mt. Laurel, New Jersey, 08054.

- (2) Based solely on a Schedule 13D/A filed with the SEC on March 9, 2009, Pennant Capital Management, LLC and certain of its affiliates (Pennant) reported aggregate beneficial ownership of approximately 9.97%, or 5,407,141 shares, of the Company s common stock as of March 4, 2009. Pennant reported that it possessed shared voting power over 5,407,141 shares and shared dispositive power over 5,407,141 shares. Pennant also reported that it did not possess sole voting or sole dispositive power over any shares beneficially owned.
- (3) Based solely on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 17, 2009, Wellington Management Company, LLP (Wellington) reported aggregate beneficial ownership of approximately 9.88%, or 5,361,001 shares, of the Company s common stock as of December 31, 2008. Wellington reported that it possessed shared voting power over 4,081,824 shares and shared dispositive power over 5,281,901 shares. Wellington also reported that it did not possess sole voting or sole dispositive power over any shares beneficially owned.
- (4) Based solely on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 10, 2009, BlackRock, Inc. and certain of its affiliates (BlackRock) reported aggregate beneficial ownership of approximately 9.67%, or 5,273,322 shares, of the Company s common stock as of December 31, 2008. BlackRock reported that it possessed shared voting power over 5,273,322 shares and shared dispositive power over 5,273,322 shares. BlackRock also reported that it did not possess sole voting or sole dispositive power over any shares beneficially owned.
- (5) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 5, 2009, Third Point LLC and certain of its affiliates (Third Point) reported aggregate beneficial ownership of approximately 9.6%, or 5,210,000 shares, of the Company s common stock as of January 5, 2009. Third Point reported that it possessed shared voting power over 5,210,000 shares and shared dispositive power over 5,210,000 shares. Third Point also reported that it did not possess sole voting or sole dispositive power over any shares beneficially owned.
- (6) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 9, 2009, Dimensional Fund Advisors LP and certain of its affiliates (DFA) reported aggregate beneficial ownership of approximately 6.6%, or 3,578,659 shares, of the Company s common stock as of December 31, 2008. DFA reported that it possessed sole voting power over 3,578,659 shares and sole dispositive power over 3,578,659 shares. DFA also reported that it did not possess shared voting or shared dispositive power over any shares beneficially owned.
- (7) Based solely on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 13, 2009, Elm Ridge Capital Management, LLC (Elm Ridge) reported aggregate beneficial ownership of approximately 6.1%, or 3,329,163 shares, of the Company s common stock as of December 31, 2008. Elm Ridge reported that it possessed shared voting power over 3,329,163 shares and shared dispositive power over 3,329,163 shares. Elm Ridge also reported that it did not possess sole voting or sole dispositive power over any shares beneficially owned.
- (8) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 13, 2009, Hotchkis and Wiley Capital Management, LLC (Hotchkis) reported aggregate beneficial ownership of approximately 5.9%, or 3,187,200 shares, of the Company s common stock as of December 31, 2008. Hotchkis reported that it possessed sole voting power over 2,304,200 shares and sole dispositive power over 3,187,200 shares. Hotchkis also reported that it did not possess shared voting or shared dispositive power over any shares beneficially owned.
- (9) Represents 87,722 shares of our common stock held directly and 416,250 shares of our common stock underlying stock options that are currently exercisable or that become exercisable within sixty days of April 22, 2009.

- (10) Represents 38,211 shares of our common stock held directly, 625 shares of our common stock held indirectly and 26,716 shares of our common stock underlying stock options that are currently exercisable or that become exercisable within sixty days of April 22, 2009.
- (11) Represents 16,120 shares of our common stock held directly and 97,060 shares of our common stock underlying stock options that are currently exercisable or that become exercisable within sixty days of April 22, 2009.

Table of Contents

- (12) Represents 20,769 shares of our common stock held directly and 64,411 shares of our common stock underlying stock options that are currently exercisable or that become exercisable within sixty days of April 22, 2009.
- (13) Represents 250 shares of our common stock held by Brinkley Investments, LLC, a partnership among Mr. Brinkley, his wife and his children.
- (14) Each non-employee Director has been granted Director RSUs that are immediately vested upon grant and that are settled in shares of our common stock either 200 days (in the case of elective deferrals of Director compensation) or one year (in the case of non-elective deferrals of Director compensation) after the Director is no longer a member of the Board of Directors. Each Director RSU represents the right to receive one share of our common stock upon settlement of such Director RSU. Director RSUs may not be sold or otherwise transferred for value, and Directors have no right to acquire the shares underlying Director RSUs, prior to the date that is either 200 days or one year, as applicable, after termination of service on the Board. As a result, the shares underlying Director RSUs have been omitted from the above table. As of April 22, 2009, Messrs. Brinkley, Egan, Krongard and Mariner and Ms. Logan held 16,824, 4,284, 35,081, 16,524 and 17,500 Director RSUs, respectively.

Table of Contents

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our executive officers and Directors, and any persons that beneficially own more than ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership on Forms 3, 4 and 5 with the SEC and the NYSE. To the Company's knowledge, based solely upon our review of Forms 3, 4 and 5 that have been filed with the SEC and written representations from our executive officers and Directors that no Forms 5 were required, we believe that all of our executive officers, Directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners complied with all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to them with respect to transactions during 2008, except for the failure to timely file one Form 4 pertaining to the forfeiture of restricted stock units on April 27, 2008 by each of Messrs. Edwards, Brown, Kilroy and Danahy. The failure to timely file such Form 4s was inadvertent and was discovered by the Company on March 13, 2009. Upon discovering the filing deficiency, Form 4s were promptly filed on March 13, 2009, to report the forfeitures.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2010 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

We provide stockholders with the opportunity, under certain circumstances and consistent with our amended and restated by-laws and the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, to participate in the governance of the Company by submitting proposals and director nominations for consideration at the Company's annual meeting of stockholders. Proposals from stockholders are given careful consideration by us in accordance with Rule 14a-8 promulgated under the Exchange Act (Rule 14a-8). For a proposal to be included in the Company's proxy statement and proxy card for the Company's 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, such proposal must comply with Rule 14a-8 and must be received by us in writing no later than December 31, 2009. Additionally, if the Company's 2009 Annual Meeting of Stockholders is held on June 10, 2009, as expected, any stockholder proposal or director nomination for the Company's 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders that is not intended for inclusion in the Company's proxy statement and proxy card in respect of such meeting will be considered untimely if it is received by us earlier than February 10, 2010 or after March 12, 2010. An untimely proposal may not be brought before or considered at our 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Any stockholder proposal or director nomination submitted must also be made in compliance with our amended and restated by-laws. For more information regarding our by-law procedures for director nominations, please refer to Corporate Governance Nomination Process and Qualifications for Director Nominees.

Proxies solicited by the Board of Directors for the Company's 2010 Annual Meeting of Stockholders may confer discretionary authority to vote on any untimely stockholder proposals or director nominations without express direction from stockholders giving such proxies. All stockholder proposals and director nominations must be addressed to the attention of the Company's Secretary at PHH Corporation, 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mount Laurel, New Jersey 08054. The chairman of the Company's annual meeting of stockholders may refuse to acknowledge the introduction of any stockholder proposal or director nomination not made in compliance with the foregoing procedures.

Table of Contents

HOUSEHOLDING INFORMATION

Stockholders that share the same address may not receive separate copies of proxy materials, unless we have received contrary instructions from such stockholders. This practice is known as "householding" and is intended to reduce the printing and postage costs associated with mailing duplicative sets of proxy materials to stockholders sharing the same address. If you are receiving multiple sets of our proxy materials and wish to receive only one set in the future, or if you are currently only receiving one set of our proxy materials and wish to receive separate sets of proxy materials for you and the other stockholders sharing your address, please notify us or your bank, broker or other nominee by indicating your preference on the enclosed proxy card or vote instruction form. We will deliver an additional copy of our proxy materials to you, without charge, upon written request sent to Investor Relations at PHH Corporation, 3000 Leadenhall Road, Mount Laurel, New Jersey 08054. Our proxy materials are also available on our website at <http://www.phh.com>.

OTHER BUSINESS

As of May 1, 2009, our Board of Directors is not aware of any other business to come before the meeting. However, if any additional matters are presented at the meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote in accordance with their judgment on those matters.

By Order of the Board of Directors

William F. Brown
Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

Table of Contents

Appendix A

PHH CORPORATION

INDEPENDENCE STANDARDS FOR DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines that contain director qualifications, including director independence. No director will be considered independent unless the Board affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with PHH Corporation or any of its subsidiaries (together, the Company), either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company. When making independence determinations, the Board will consider all relevant facts and circumstances, as well as all applicable legal and regulatory requirements, including NYSE corporate governance requirements and the rules and regulations of any other regulatory or self-regulatory body with jurisdiction over the Company. Notwithstanding the foregoing, none of the following relationships shall automatically disqualify any director or nominee from being considered independent :

(a) More than three years ago, (i) the director was employed by the Company, or (ii) an immediate family member of the director was employed by the Company as an executive officer;

(b) (i) During any twelve-month period during the preceding three years, the director has received, or has an immediate family member who has received, less than \$100,000 in direct compensation from the Company; or (ii) during any twelve-month period during the preceding three years the director has received, or has an immediate family member who has received, director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service); or (iii) more than three years ago, the director has received, or has an immediate family member who has received, any such compensation (including amounts over \$100,000 per year);

(c) The director or an immediate family member of the director is or was employed within the past three years as an executive officer of another organization for which any of the Company's present executive officers at the same time serves or served on that organization's board of directors (or similar body) or any committee thereof, except that the foregoing shall not apply to service by such executive officer on such organization's compensation committee;

(d) (i) The director is or was an employee, executive officer, partner (other than a limited partner) or significant equity holder of another organization that made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount which, in any single fiscal year, is less than the greater of \$1.0 million or 2% of such other organization's consolidated gross revenues, or (ii) an immediate family member of the director is or was an executive officer of another company that made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in an amount which, in any single fiscal year, is less than the greater of \$1.0 million or 2% of such other company's consolidated gross revenues;

(e) The director is or was an executive officer, partner or significant equity holder of another organization that is indebted to the Company, or to which the Company is or was indebted, and the total amount of indebtedness is 2% or less of the total consolidated assets of such organization; or

(f) The director is or was an executive officer, trustee or director of a foundation, university or other non-profit or charitable organization receiving grants, endowments or other contributions from the Company, in any single fiscal year, less than the greater of \$1.0 million or 2% of such charitable organization's consolidated gross revenues; or

(g) The director or an immediate family member of the director owns 10% or less of the equity of the Company or 5% or less of the equity of an organization that has a relationship with the Company.

In addition to these guidelines, members of certain committees of the Board, such as the Audit Committee, are subject to heightened standards of independence under various rules and regulations.

A-1

Table of Contents

For purposes of these guidelines: (1) compensation received by an immediate family member of a director for service as a non-executive employee of the Company shall not be considered in determining independence under (b), above; (2) in applying the test under (d), above, both the payments and the consolidated gross revenues to be measured shall be those reported in the last completed fiscal year and the look-back provisions shall apply solely to the financial relationship between the Company and the director or immediate family member's current employer and not to former employment of the director or immediate family member; (3) an immediate family member includes a person's spouse, parents, children, siblings, mothers and fathers-in-law, sons and daughters-in-law, brothers and sisters-in-law, and anyone (other than domestic employees) who shares such person's home, but in applying any lookback provisions, the Company will not consider individuals who are no longer immediate family members as a result of legal separation or divorce or those who have died or become incapacitated; (4) a significant equity holder of an organization will normally be considered a stockholder, limited partner or member owning 10% or more of the voting or equity interests in that organization; and (5) a director's service as a non-employee Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company shall not be deemed employment by the Company under (a) above.

Table of Contents

Appendix B

**PHH CORPORATION
AMENDED AND RESTATED
2005 EQUITY AND INCENTIVE PLAN
(Subject to Stockholder Approval)**

B-1

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
1. Purpose; Types of Awards; Construction	B-3
2. Definitions	B-3
3. Administration	B-6
4. Eligibility	B-7
5. Stock Subject to the Plan	B-7
6. Specific Terms of Awards	B-8
7. Change in Control Provisions	B-11
8. General Provisions	B-12

B-2

Table of Contents

PHH CORPORATION
AMENDED AND RESTATED
2005 EQUITY AND INCENTIVE PLAN

1. Establishment, Purpose; Types of Awards; Construction.

PHH Corporation (the **Company**) hereby establishes the PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the **Plan**), as set forth herein. The Plan is an amendment and restatement and continuation of the PHH Corporation 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan (the **Prior Plan**). The purposes of the Plan are to afford an incentive to non-employee directors, selected officers and other employees, advisors and consultants of the Company, or any Parent or Subsidiary of the Company that now exists or hereafter is organized or acquired, to continue as non-employee directors, officers, employees, advisors or consultants, as the case may be, to increase their efforts on behalf of the Company and its Subsidiaries and to promote the success of the Company's business. The Plan provides for the grant of Options (including incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options), stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other stock- or cash-based awards. The Plan is designed with the intention that Awards granted hereunder will comply with the requirements for performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the **Code**), and the Plan and Awards shall be interpreted in a manner consistent with such requirements. The Company also intends that the Plan comply with Section 409A of the Code and the Plan shall be so construed. The provisions of the Prior Plan continue to control with respect to any Awards outstanding thereunder prior to the date of stockholder approval of this Plan, including to the extent necessary to avoid establishment of a new measurement date for financial accounting purposes and to preserve the status of any options that are intended to qualify as incentive stock options within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code.

2. Definitions.

For purposes of the Plan, the following terms shall be defined as set forth below:

- (a) **Annual Incentive Program** means the program described in Section 6(c) hereof.
- (b) **Award** means any Option, SAR, Restricted Stock, Restricted Stock Unit or Other Stock-Based Award or Other Cash-Based Award granted under the Plan.
- (c) **Award Agreement** means any written agreement, contract, or other instrument or document evidencing an Award.
- (d) **Board** means the Board of Directors of the Company.
- (e) **Change in Control** means a change in control of the Company, which will be deemed to have occurred if:
 - (i) any person, as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act (other than (A) the Company, (B) any trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under an employee benefit plan of the Company, and (C) any corporation owned, directly or indirectly, by the stockholders of the Company in substantially the same proportions as their ownership of Stock), is or becomes the beneficial owner (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing 30% or more of the combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding voting securities (excluding any person who becomes such a beneficial owner in connection with a transaction immediately following which the individuals who comprise the Board immediately prior thereto constitute at least a majority of the Board, the entity surviving such transaction or, if the Company or the entity

surviving the transaction is then a subsidiary, the ultimate parent thereof);

(ii) the following individuals cease for any reason to constitute a majority of the number of directors then serving: individuals who, on the Effective Date, constitute the Board and any new director (other than a director whose initial assumption of office is in connection with an actual or threatened election contest, including but not limited to a consent solicitation, relating to the election of directors of the

B-3

Table of Contents

Company) whose appointment or election by the Board or nomination for election by the Company's stockholders was approved or recommended by a vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the directors then still in office who either were directors on the Effective Date or whose appointment, election or nomination for election was previously so approved or recommended;

(iii) there is consummated a merger or consolidation of the Company or any direct or indirect subsidiary of the Company with any other corporation, other than a merger or consolidation immediately following which the individuals who comprise the Board immediately prior thereto constitute at least a majority of the Board, the entity surviving such merger or consolidation or, if the Company or the entity surviving such merger is then a subsidiary, the ultimate parent thereof; or

(iv) the stockholders of the Company approve a plan of complete liquidation of the Company or there is consummated an agreement for the sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company's assets (or any transaction having a similar effect), other than a sale or disposition by the Company of all or substantially all of the Company's assets to an entity, immediately following which the individuals who comprise the Board immediately prior thereto constitute at least a majority of the board of directors of the entity to which such assets are sold or disposed of or, if such entity is a subsidiary, the ultimate parent thereof.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Change in Control shall not be deemed to have occurred by virtue of (x) a Public Offering or (y) the consummation of any transaction or series of integrated transactions immediately following which the holders of the Stock immediately prior to such transaction or series of transactions continue to have substantially the same proportionate ownership in an entity which owns all or substantially all of the assets of the Company immediately following such transaction or series of transactions. Solely to the extent necessary to comply with the requirements of Section 409A of the Code, a Change in Control as defined herein may occur only upon or as a result of a Change in Control that is also a change in control event, as defined in accordance with Section 1.409A-3(i)(5) of the Treasury Regulations.

(f) Committee means the committee established by the Board to administer the Plan, the composition of which shall at all times satisfy the provisions of Rule 16b-3 and Section 162(m) of the Code.

(g) Company means PHH Corporation, a Maryland corporation, or any successor corporation.

(h) Covered Employee shall have the meaning set forth in Section 162(m)(3) of the Code.

(i) Effective Date means, with respect to the Prior Plan, January 14, 2005, and, with respect to this Plan, the date of approval of the Plan by the stockholders of the Company.

(j) Exchange Act means the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended from time to time, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

(k) Fair Market Value means, with respect to Stock or other property, the fair market value of such Stock or other property determined by such methods or procedures as shall be established from time to time by the Committee. Unless otherwise determined by the Committee in good faith, the per share Fair Market Value of Stock as of a particular date shall mean (i) the closing sales price per share of Stock on the national securities exchange on which the Stock is principally traded (or, if there was no trading of the Stock on such date, the closing sales price for the last preceding date on which there was trading of the Stock on such exchange), or (ii) if the shares of Stock are then traded in an over-the-counter market, the average of the closing bid and asked prices for the shares of Stock in such over-the-counter market for the last preceding date on which there was a sale of such Stock in such market, or (iii) if the shares of Stock are not then listed on a national securities exchange or traded in an over-the-counter market, such

value as the Committee, in its sole discretion, shall determine.

(l) Grantee means a person who, as a non-employee director, officer or other employee of the Company or a Parent or Subsidiary of the Company, has been granted an Award under the Plan.

B-4

Table of Contents

- (m) ISO means any Option intended to be and designated as an incentive stock option within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code.
- (n) Long Term Incentive Program means the program described in Section 6(b) hereof.
- (o) Non-Employee Director means any director of the Company who is not also employed by the Company or any of its Subsidiaries.
- (p) NQSO means any Option that is not designated as an ISO.
- (q) Option means a right, granted to a Grantee under Section 6(b)(i), to purchase shares of Stock. An Option may be either an ISO or an NQSO, provided that ISOs may be granted only to employees of the Company or a Parent or Subsidiary of the Company.
- (r) Other Cash-Based Award means cash awarded under the Annual Incentive Program or the Long Term Incentive Program, including cash awarded as a bonus or upon the attainment of Performance Goals or otherwise as permitted under the Plan.
- (s) Other Stock-Based Award means a right or other interest granted to a Grantee under the Annual Incentive Program or the Long Term Incentive Program that may be denominated or payable in, valued in whole or in part by reference to, or otherwise based on, or related to, Stock, including but not limited to (i) unrestricted Stock awarded as a bonus or upon the attainment of Performance Goals or otherwise as permitted under the Plan, and (ii) a right granted to a Grantee to acquire Stock from the Company containing terms and conditions prescribed by the Committee.
- (t) Parent means a parent corporation, whether now or hereafter existing, as defined in Section 424(e) of the Code.
- (u) Performance Goals means performance goals based on one or more of the following criteria, determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles where applicable: (i) pre-tax income or after-tax income; (ii) income or earnings including operating income, earnings before or after taxes, earnings before or after interest, depreciation, amortization, or extraordinary or special items; (iii) pre-tax income of the Company or any Subsidiary, or any division or business unit thereof, before or after non-controlling interest; (iv) net income excluding amortization of intangible assets, depreciation and impairment of goodwill and intangible assets and/or excluding charges attributable to the adoption of new accounting pronouncements; (v) earnings or book value per share (basic or diluted); (vi) return on assets (gross or net), return on investment, return on capital, or return on equity; (vii) return on revenues; (viii) cash flow, free cash flow, cash flow return on investment (discounted or otherwise), net cash provided by operations, or cash flow in excess of cost of capital; (ix) economic value created; (x) operating margin or profit margin; (xi) stock price or total stockholder return; (xii) income or earnings from continuing operations; (xiii) cost targets, reductions and savings, expense management, productivity and efficiencies; and (xiv) strategic business criteria, consisting of one or more objectives based on meeting specified market penetration or market share, geographic business expansion, customer satisfaction, employee satisfaction, human resources management, supervision of litigation, information technology, and goals relating to divestitures, joint ventures and similar transactions. Where applicable, the Performance Goals may be expressed in terms of attaining a specified level of the particular criterion or the attainment of a percentage increase or decrease in the particular criterion, and may be applied to one or more of the Company or a Parent or Subsidiary of the Company, or a division or strategic business unit of the Company, all as determined by the Committee. The Performance Goals may include a threshold level of performance below which no payment will be made (or no vesting will occur), levels of performance at which specified payments will be paid (or specified vesting will occur), and a maximum level of performance above which no additional payment will be made (or at which full vesting will occur). Each of the foregoing Performance Goals

shall be evaluated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, where applicable, and shall be subject to certification by the Committee. The Committee shall have the authority to make equitable adjustments to the Performance Goals in recognition of unusual or non-recurring events affecting the Company or any Parent or Subsidiary of the Company or the financial statements of the Company or any Parent or Subsidiary of the Company, in response to changes in applicable laws or regulations, or to account for items of gain, loss or expense determined to be extraordinary or unusual in nature

B-5

Table of Contents

or infrequent in occurrence or related to the disposal of a segment of a business or related to a change in accounting principles.

(v) Plan means this PHH Corporation Amended and Restated 2005 Equity and Incentive Plan, as it may be amended from time to time.

(w) Plan Year means a calendar year.

(x) Public Offering means an offering of equity securities of the Company that is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(y) Restricted Stock means an Award of shares of Stock to a Grantee under Section 6(b)(iii) that may be subject to certain restrictions and to a risk of forfeiture.

(z) Restricted Stock Unit or RSU means a right granted to a Grantee under Section 6(b)(iv) to receive Stock or cash at the end of a specified period, which right may be conditioned on the satisfaction of specified performance or other criteria. To the extent provided in an Award Agreement or as otherwise set forth herein, RSUs may include dividend equivalent rights.

(aa) Rule 16b-3 means Rule 16b-3, as from time to time in effect promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission under Section 16 of the Exchange Act, including any successor to such Rule.

(bb) Securities Act means the Securities Act of 1933, as amended from time to time, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

(cc) Stock means shares of the common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of the Company.

(dd) Stock Appreciation Right or SAR means the right, granted to a Grantee under Section 6(b)(ii), to be paid an amount measured by the appreciation in the Fair Market Value of Stock from the date of grant to the date of exercise of the right.

(ee) Subsidiary means any corporation, limited partnership, limited liability company or other entity (other than the Company) in or of which the Company owns, directly or indirectly, at the time the relevant Award is granted, an equity interest possessing 50 percent or more of the total combined voting power of all equity interests of such entity; provided, however, that with respect to any Grantee who has participated in the Plan for a period of at least one year, if such Grantee is transferred by the Company or a Subsidiary to another entity in or of which the Company owns, directly or indirectly, less than 50 percent of the total combined voting power of all equity interests in or of such entity, such entity shall be treated as a Subsidiary solely for purposes of determining whether such Grantee has incurred a termination of employment with respect to any Awards outstanding as of the date of such transfer; and, provided further, that for purposes of ISOs granted pursuant to the Plan, the term Subsidiary means a subsidiary corporation, whether now or hereafter existing, as defined in Section 424(f) of the Code.

3. Administration.

The Plan shall be administered by the Board or by such Committee that the Board may appoint for this purpose. If a Committee is appointed to administer the Plan, all references herein to the Committee shall be references to such Committee. If no Committee is appointed by the Board to administer the Plan, all references herein to the Committee shall be references to the Board. The Committee shall have the authority in its discretion, subject to and not inconsistent with the express provisions of the Plan, to administer the Plan and to exercise all the powers and

authorities either specifically granted to it under the Plan or necessary or advisable in the administration of the Plan, including, without limitation, the authority to grant Awards; to determine the persons to whom and the time or times at which Awards shall be granted; to determine the type and number of Awards to be granted, the number of shares of Stock to which an Award may relate and the terms, conditions, restrictions and performance criteria relating to any Award; to determine Performance Goals no later than such time as required to ensure that an underlying Award which is intended to comply with the requirements of Section 162(m) of the Code so complies; and to determine whether, to what extent, and under what circumstances an Award may be settled, cancelled, forfeited, exchanged, or surrendered; to make adjustments in the terms and conditions of, and the Performance Goals (if any) included in,

B-6

Table of Contents

Awards; to construe and interpret the Plan and any Award or Loan; to prescribe, amend and rescind rules and regulations relating to the Plan; to determine the terms and provisions of the Award Agreements (which need not be identical for each Grantee); and to make all other determinations deemed necessary or advisable for the administration of the Plan. Notwithstanding the foregoing, neither the Board, the Committee nor their respective delegates shall have the authority to reprice (or cancel and regrant) any Option or, if applicable, other Award at a lower exercise, base or purchase price without first obtaining the approval of the Company's stockholders.

The Committee may appoint a chairperson and a secretary and