ROYCE VALUE TRUST INC Form N-CSRS September 02, 2003

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

### **FORM N-CSR**

# CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number: 811-04875

Name of Registrant: Royce Value Trust, Inc.

Address of Registrant: 1414 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019

Name and address of agent for service: John E. Denneen, Esquire

1414 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10019

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (212) 486-1445

Date of fiscal year end: December 31

Date of reporting period: January 1, 2003 - June 30, 2003

Item 1: Reports to Shareholders

2003 Semiannual Report

THE ROYCE FUNDS

Value Investing In Small Companies For More Than 25 Years ROYCE VALUE TRUST

ROYCE MICRO-CAP TRUST

ROYCE FOCUS TRUST

www.roycefunds.com

### A Few Words On Closed-End Funds

Royce & Associates, LLC manages three closed-end funds: Royce Value Trust, the first small-cap value closed-end fund offering; Royce Micro-Cap Trust, the only micro-cap closed-end fund; and Royce Focus Trust, a closed-end fund that invests in a limited number of domestic companies.

A closed-end fund is an investment company whose shares are listed on a stock exchange or are traded in the over-the-counter market. Like all investment companies, including open-end mutual funds, the assets of a closed-end fund are professionally managed in accordance with the investment objectives and policies approved by the fund Board of Directors. A closed-end fund raises cash for investment by issuing a fixed number of shares through initial and other

public offerings which may include periodic rights offerings. Proceeds from the offerings are invested in an actively managed portfolio of securities. Investors wanting to buy or sell shares of a publicly traded closed-end fund after the offerings must do so on a stock exchange or the Nasdaq market, as with any publicly traded stock. This is in contrast to open-end mutual funds, where the fund sells and redeems its shares on a continuous basis.

# A CLOSED-END FUND OFFERS SEVERAL DISTINCT ADVANTAGES NOT AVAILABLE FROM AN OPEN-END FUND STRUCTURE

- Since a closed-end fund does not issue redeemable securities or offer its securities on a continuous basis, it does not need to liquidate securities or hold uninvested assets to meet investor demands for cash redemptions, as an open-end fund must.
- In a closed-end fund, not having to meet investor redemption requests or invest at inopportune times is ideal for value managers who attempt to buy stocks when prices are depressed and sell securities when prices are high.
- A closed-end fund may invest more freely in less liquid portfolio securities because it is not subject to potential stockholder redemption demands. This is particularly beneficial for Royce-managed closed-end funds, which invest in small- and micro-cap securities.
- The fixed capital structure allows permanent leverage to be employed as a means to enhance capital appreciation potential.
- Unlike open-end funds, our closed-end funds are able to distribute capital gains on a quarterly basis. Royce Value Trust and Royce Micro-Cap Trust have adopted a quarterly distribution policy for their common stock.

We believe that the closed-end fund structure is very suitable for the long-term investor who understands the benefits of a stable pool of capital.

# WHY DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT IS IMPORTANT

A very important component of an investor stotal return comes from the reinvestment of

distributions. By reinvesting distributions, our investors can maintain an undiluted investment in a Fund. To get a fair idea of the impact of reinvested distributions, please see the charts on pages  $\underline{13}$ ,  $\underline{15}$  and  $\underline{17}$ . For additional information on the Funds Distribution Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Options and the benefits for stockholders, see  $\underline{page 18}$ .

THE ROYCE FUNDS

### SEMIANNUAL REPORT REFERENCE GUIDE

For more than 25 years, our approach has focused on evaluating a company surrent worth our assessment of what we believe a knowledgeable buyer might pay to acquire the entire company, or what we think the value of the company should be in the stock market. This analysis takes into consideration a number of relevant factors, including the company future prospects. We select these securities using a risk-averse value approach, with the expectation that their market prices should increase toward our estimate of their current worth, resulting in capital appreciation for Fund investors.

Letter to Our Stockholders: The Market: Reloaded Small Almighty?	<u>2</u>
Small-Cap Market Cycle Performance	<u>10</u>
History Since Inception	11
Performance and Portfolio Review: Royce Value Trust, Royce Micro-Cap Trust and Royce Focus Trust	<u>12</u>
Distribution Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Options	<u>18</u>
Directors and Officers	<u>19</u>
Notes to Performance and Statistical Information	20

### **Schedules of Investments and Other Financial Statements**

21

Postscript: ValueBall <u>Inside Back Cover</u>

FUND	2ND QUARTER 2003 <u>*</u>	JAN-JUN 2003 <u>*</u>	1-YEAR	3-YEAR	5-YEAR	SINCE INCEPTION	INCEPTIOI DATE
Royce Value Trust Royce Micro-Cap	21.90%	13.77%	-4.01%	6.17%	6.51%	11.34%	11/26/86
Trust	27.45	19.32	-2.68	8.18	7.02	11.88	12/14/93
Royce Focus Trust Russell 2000	28.06 23.42	18.66 17.88	3.40 -1.64	11.07 -3.30	5.64 0.97	8.89	11/1/96 <u>*</u>

Charles M. Royce, President

We are often asked what role earnings play in our company valuations. Along with balance sheet and cash flow analysis, an examination of earnings is one of the key components in our stock selection process. In general, we think of

### LETTER TO OUR STOCKHOLDERS

### THE MARKET: RELOADED

A s any viewer of the film *The Matrix: Reloaded* can testify, perceptions are often mistaken and reality is not always what it appears to be. One needs to be careful not to confuse what might *feel* real with what actually *is* real. As experienced investors, we would never confuse a three-month market rally with a substantial recovery for equities, yet even we were stunned by the market reversal of direction during the opening half of 2003. It was a period marked by extreme events, both in the stock market and the wider world. Initially, the equity market eerily reflected the mood of the country. Information about the war was instantly mirrored in the movements of the market. Prices were wildly volatile, though mostly

<sup>\*</sup> Not annualized.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Date Royce & Associates, LLC assumed investment management responsibility.

earnings in two ways, each of which is highly important. First, we closely examine a company∏s earnings history. This tells us not only if a company has been good at making money, but also gives us insight into how it has fared when earnings were poor or non-existent. This kind of analysis is especially critical when looking at cyclical businesses, which often have variant earnings patterns more or less in line with their business cycle.

falling, in the weeks leading up to the invasion of Iraq, giving most equity securities negative first-quarter returns. Once the fighting began, equity prices stabilized until it looked for a brief moment as if [Shock and Awe] was not running as smoothly as planned, which sent prices plummeting once again. Shortly after victory seemed assured, stocks shared in the celebration by moving higher. With victory came the perception that the stock market had somehow righted itself after the long bear market. When several companies reported modest earnings growth around the time that Baghdad fell, the stage was set

(continued on page 4)

2 | The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003

for a full-scale rally. Prices continued to climb through April, and by mid-May some genuine momentum had been established. Like the omnipresent computer program that gives *The Matrix* its name, the market proved relentless and nearly impossible to stop once it was up and running again.

How does an intrepid investor make sense of the now reloaded stock market? The dramatic comeback of equities is surely welcome news, but with only a partial earnings recovery to support it, how much longer can it last? The investment mindset has shifted from the fatalistic attitude of the last few years (especially virulent from 2002 sthird quarter through this year s first) to a confident outlook that seemed to spring up as soon as spring began. The perception of many is that the stock market is in great shape again, the bear market is over and all is well. The reality may not be quite so fabulous. While we still believe that the October 2002 market bottoms should hold, we would offer the caveat that there are many stocks whose gains have outraced their underlying value in anticipation of earnings (or similar good news) that may not arrive for some time. On the whole, we think that the improved picture is encouraging, yet the gap between perception and reality can be quite costly in equity investing. Investors should bear in mind that market volatility remains a reality even in the midst of a rally.

We do not want to see a return to the often uninformed euphoria that characterized the late []90s, but the frantic pace of the current rally leads us to suspect that a certain amount of this kind of optimism may have crept back into buyers[] minds. A new form of speculation seems to be emerging in which investors unhappy with the limited return potential for bonds are therefore eager to purchase stocks on the idea that their returns are higher. We cannot think of a poorer reason to buy equities. More to the point, if bonds do poorly [] which we view as likely in a rising interest rate environment [] it is not necessarily a positive for stocks. Stocks have historically represented higher return potential than bonds, but the attendant risk is higher, too. We suspect that this latter point may be lost on investors reeling from the effects of years of falling interest rates and plummeting stock prices.

The perception of many is that the stock market is in great shape again, the bear market is over and all is well. The reality may not be guite so fabulous.

The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003 | 3

### These types of companies appeal to us because we are often able to buy them near the low point in the cycle, when negative sentiment is exaggerated. Negative earnings are not a deterrent as long as we think that a company has what it takes to recover and resume its growth. We generally look at normalized earnings over three years or more, which gives us a good idea of not only what a company∏s long-term earnings pattern has been, but its specific earnings□ history as well. We might discover that a particular company did not suffer earnings difficulties to the same degree as its competitors, or that it recovered quickly from a slowdown or cessation of earnings. How a business deals with adversity is very revealing.

### LETTER TO OUR STOCKHOLDERS

### **SMALL-CAPS GO WILD!**

While some people opted for the beach or the movie theater, many investors decided that small-cap and Technology stocks would be the hot summer destinations. The Russell 2000 finished the year-to-date period ended 6/30/03 up 17.9%, ahead of its large-cap counterpart the S&P 500, which finished the same period up 11.8%. The rally has thus far been especially kind to growth-oriented companies, as evidenced by the Nasdag Composite∏s strong showing year-to-date, up 21.5%. This stands to reason in part because many of the more speculative issues had been so severely punished throughout the long bear market. In fact, Technology∏s relative strength was apparent both in 2002 slate-year rally and in the early months of 2003. Of the three major stock indices, only the Nasdaq Composite emerged from the mostly dismal first quarter with a positive return. It was up 0.4% versus respective losses of 4.5% and 3.2% for the Russell 2000 and S&P 500.

What is less certain is how long the market∏s advance will hold up: Many Technology (and other) companies have shown improved earnings, but arguably not enough to support the gains that their increased share prices might (continued on page 6)

otherwise indicate. Simply because certain stocks lost money over an extended period of time does not mean that a proportionate recovery should be expected as the environment for equities begins to improve. This is especially the case for those securities that were grossly over-inflated prior to their descent. While it is not unusual in the early stages of a rally for an up market to operate on the inverted logic that what went down must come up, unimpeded progress is not the market shistorical norm.

Considering their own reputation for volatility (as well as frailty), small-cap stocks have shined in the current rally after weathering the bear market surprisingly well. From the October 2002 small-cap bottom, the Russell 2000 was up 38.6%, versus respective gains of 45.7% and 27.1% for the Nasdaq Composite and S&P **500.** In this year  $\square$ s second quarter, the Russell 2000 was up 23.4%, enjoying its best quarterly showing in nearly 16 years and its fourth best quarterly performance since its inception in 1979. The S&P 500 (+15.4%) had its best showing since the fourth guarter of 1998, while the Nasdag Composite (+21.0%) cruised to its best mark since 2001 ⊓s fourth quarter.

4 | The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003

### FINDING VALUE

Just as small-caps held their own through the bear market in defiance of conventional wisdom, small-cap value stocks have so far acquitted themselves well in the recent rally. Many market analysts hold the idea that value stocks should lag in vigorous up markets, at times becoming as lost as the tiny fish, Nemo, in the current animated film, *Finding Nemo*. While Nemo[s father needed help to search the ocean for his missing son, small-cap value companies did not vanish from the sea of equities, but instead swam near the front of the school, though small-cap growth took the lead. The Russell 2000 Growth Index stayed ahead of the Russell 2000 Value Index in both the second quarter and the year-to-date period ended 6/30/03. Small-cap growth was up 24.2% for the quarter and 19.3% year to date, while small-cap value was up 22.7% for the quarter and 16.5% year to date. It marked the third consecutive quarter in which growth outpaced value within small-cap. In fact, when small-cap value lost ground to growth in the more difficult first quarter, -5.1% versus -3.9%, it revealed the market strength shown by more growth-oriented companies before the current upswing was fully underway. As measured by the respective Russell 2000 Indices, growth has also had the performance edge from the small-cap market bottom on 10/9/02 through 6/30/03, returning 41.9% versus 35.5% for value.

The substantial breadth of the rally has allowed small-cap value returns to remain competitive even in the midst of recent outperformance by their growth cousins. The price of Technology and other growth stocks soared, but nearly all industries have been participating. **Small-cap value** scompetitiveness is a pleasant sequel to its strong performance in the recent bear market. When viewed over longer-term periods, small-cap value has generally outperformed small-cap growth. From the small-cap market peak on 3/9/00 through 6/30/03, the Russell 2000 Value Index was up 38.1% versus a decline of 55.2% for the Russell 2000 Growth Index. Russell small-cap value index also beat small-cap growth for the three-, five-, 10-, 15- and 20-year periods ended 6/30/03.

We use many different measures to determine company quality, generally beginning with strong balance sheets that show relatively little or no debt, solid long-term earnings histories and the proven ability to generate free cash flow. A company unrecognized asset values, future prospects for growth or turnaround potential following difficulties such as an earnings disappointment can also be critical factors as we search for value as diligently as Nemo sadd.

THE ROYCE FUNDS SEMIANNUAL REPORT 2003 | 5

During this process, we focus primarily on operating earnings which to us represent earnings in their purest form. This helps us to avoid the often confusing accounting practices of adjusting earnings for items such as interest expenses, gains from sales of business units and other non-recurring items. As long-term investors, we are

### LETTER TO OUR STOCKHOLDERS

### CHARLIE (AS IN ROYCE S) ANGELS

However, recent competitive returns versus growth and long-term outperformance by value would mean little if The Royce Funds themselves failed to hold up well both in the bear market and in the recent rally. Fortunately, overall performance of the Funds has been strong in the short term and reasonably solid over longer-term periods as well. It was somewhat surprising that in the midst of a strong rally, two portfolios ☐ Royce Micro-Cap and Focus Trust ☐ beat the Russell 2000 on both a net asset value (NAV) and

also interested in long-term expenses such as depreciation because they offer clues as to the sustainability of current earnings and what the effects have been on past earnings. Rather than buy stocks based on high current earnings or promising projected earnings, we want to know more about where a company has been because in our experience that s the best gauge of where a company is going. If business has been poor recently, we expect that our investigations will give us some idea of what the earnings might be under more

(continued on page 8)

6 | The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003

market price basis by turning in strong performances year-to-date through 6/30/03. In addition, all three closed-end Royce Funds then in existence outperformed the Russell 2000 for the three-, five-, 10-, 15-year, and since inception periods ended 6/30/03.

While we continue to believe that a performance discussion of the small-cap indices is relevant, it is also true that the depths of the bear market and the opening months of the rally blurred much of the line that separates value and growth stocks. Our own stance has always been that we are less interested in classifying stocks as growth or value than we are in trying to find what we think are terrific businesses trading for less than our estimate of their current worth. We use many different measures to determine company quality, generally beginning with strong balance sheets that show relatively little or no debt, solid long-term earnings histories and the proven ability to generate free cash flow. A company∏s unrecognized asset values, future prospects for growth or turnaround potential following difficulties such as an earnings disappointment can also be critical factors as we search for value as diligently as Nemo∏s dad.

Throughout the bear market, especially during the second half of 2002 and the first quarter of 2003, we found what we thought were extraordinary values in industries as diverse as telecommunications, insurance, drugs and biotech, oil and gas, and various consumer and industrial areas. We see a distinction between our style of value investing, in which we search high and low throughout the market for what we deem to be attractively undervalued small- and micro-cap companies, and value stocks, which are frequently defined in ways that we find unnecessarily narrow. Many portfolio holdings in the Technology and Health sectors, for example, may not fit strict definitions of value, but in our estimation we purchased strong businesses at deep discounts in both sectors. It pleases us that so many companies in a wide variety of industries have been reaping a fruitful harvest after a long and sometimes painful planting season. Our style of investing often requires considerable patience, and 2003 has so far provided an interesting combination of quicker-than-expected turnarounds and long-awaited rewards.

### 2 Fast, 2 Furious?

As of this writing, none of the major market indices has regained the peaks that they reached in March 2000. Even allowing for the recent rally, the past three years have been a trying period for investors. Although small-caps fared well relative to their larger counterparts, the recent decline was the worst for the Russell 2000

since the index\sinception in January 1979. When looking further back, and using the Center for Research in Securities Prices (CRSP) 6-10 index as a small-cap proxy, one finds that the recent bear market was the second worst for small-caps in the post World War II era (the worst took place between 1968 and 1974). Because significant down periods have often been followed by furious rallies, the Russell 2000∏s 38.6% return from the small-cap market bottom on 10/9/02 through 6/30/03 is not at all surprising. Since the inception of the Russell 2000, rallies from small-cap bottoms to their subsequent market peaks lasted an average of 29 months (see the table below), so we do not expect to see a new small-cap peak anytime soon.

<b>RUSSELL 2000 MARKET RA</b>	LLIES: 1979-2002 TROUGH-TO	-PEAK DURATION
DATE OF BOTTOM	DATE OF TOP	DURATION MONTHS
OF BOITOM	TOP	MONTHS
08/12/82	06/24/83	10
07/25/84	08/25/87	36
10/28/87	10/09/89	23
10/30/90	05/22/96	66
07/24/96	04/21/98	21
10/09/98	03/09/00	17
10/09/02	???	???
	Average:	29

THE ROYCE FUNDS SEMIANNUAL REPORT 2003 | 7

favorable circumstances. If recent earnings have been strong, we still need a sense of the company\\\ s history.

The second part of our earnings analysis results in a forecast that looks ahead two or three years. sometimes longer. This is especially crucial for a company that s just been through an earnings disappointment. Combining the two helps us to determine where we think the stock price may be headed. It gives us a sense of what we deem to be the company soverall earnings power. It s something of a hybrid of qualitative and quantitative analysis. It∏s certainly not a precise projection, although it takes factors into account such as return on invested capital, earnings history and the relative strength or weakness of

### LETTER TO OUR **STOCKHOLDERS**

### **Looking for Small-Cap**∏s **Next Peak**

It would also not surprise us to see the pace of the rally slacken substantially. We measure full market cycle periods from peak to peak. As of 6/30/03, the Russell 2000 was still off 22.6% from its previous peak on 3/9/00. In order to

an industry. Because ultimately we believe earnings and stock price are correlated, it∏s a critical step in the process. surpass this previous peak (thus completing the market cycle), the small-cap index would need to return more than 29% from the end of June onward. The critical question, then, is not whether the rally will continue  $\sqcap$  we believe that the market will almost certainly find a new peak  $\square$ but how long it will take for this new peak to be reached. Our belief is that this could take at least three to five years. Another issue worth considering is how much volatility will be involved in the remaining climb. The market may have made a hurried conclusion that the remaining ascent will be as smooth and quick as the early stages of the current rally. Our thought is that expectations for equities may have raced ahead of reality. As believers in the ubiquity of volatility, we see corrections as an inevitable part of small-cap∏s move to a new peak.

### **SMALL ALMIGHTY?**

The last three-and-a-half months have been enjoyable for most equity investors. Those of us who invest for the long term have been gratified to see a protracted season of purchasing at ever-dwindling prices yield to a fast, dynamic rally, even if we believe that investors perceptions are in advance of reality. Our suspicion is that a more widespread

8 | The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003

earnings and economic recovery is still ahead of us, and will not begin in earnest until probably 2004 or even early 2005. The stirrings of each are with us currently, which has helped the market to recover, but we do not think that we will see sustained, robust earnings or overall economic recovery for a while. We also think that we will see regular market leadership rotation between small- and large-cap for the next several years. However, considering their head start during the bear market, we believe that small-caps should finish the decade ahead of their larger siblings.

The rally has made it difficult to find value in the market. We have not been very aggressive buyers lately because so little looks attractive to us. However, there are always companies that, for one reason or another, are being penalized disproportionately. Recently, the number of companies that fit that description has been low, but they are out there and an increase in volatility should create greater buying opportunities. This supports our contention that careful stock picking should continue to bear fruit in the years ahead.

Our thought is that expectations for equities may have raced ahead of reality. As believers in the ubiquity of volatility, we see corrections as an inevitable part of small-caps∏ move to a new peak.

We appreciate your continued support.

Sincerely,

Charles M. Royce President

W. Whitney George Vice President

Jack E. Fockler, Jr. Vice President

July 31, 2003

P.S. This report\\( \) s section headings are variations on current summer movie offerings. In order of appearance, we used The Matrix: Reloaded, Rugrats Go Wild!, Finding Nemo, Charlie∏s Angels, 2 Fast, 2 Furious and Bruce Almighty.

THE ROYCE FUNDS SEMIANNUAL REPORT 2003 | 9

### SMALL-CAP MARKET CYCLE PERFORMANCE

Since the Russell 2000∏s inception in 1979, value has outperformed growth in five of the six full small-cap market

cycles (defined as a move of 15% from a previous peak or trough). The last small-cap market cycle (4/21/98  $\square$  3/9/00) was the exception. The current cycle represents what we believe is a return to a more historically typical performance pattern in that value has provided a significant advantage during the downturn (3/9/00  $\square$  10/9/02) and through June 30, 2003.

	DEAK-TO-DEAK	EAK-TO-TROUGE	ROUGH-TO-CURREN	EAK-TO-CUPPENE	TEAK-TO-CURREN
		3/9/00 <sub>10/9/02</sub>			4/21/98\(\pi\6/30/03
Russell 2000	26.3%	-44.1%	38.6%	-22.6%	-2.2%
Russell 2000 Value	-12.7	2.0	35.5	38.1	20.6
Russell 2000 Growth	64.8	-68.4	41.9	-55.2	-26.1
NAV CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN					
Royce Value Trust	10.0	-12.2	35.4	18.9	30.8
Royce Micro-Cap Trust	10.6	-13.6	42.2	22.2	35.2
Royce Focus Trust	-10.7	-4.9	42.6	35.7	21.2

**PEAK-TO-TROUGH:** Not only did value outperform growth (as measured by the Russell 2000 style indices), but it provided positive performance during the downdraft. All three Royce Funds outperformed the Russell 2000 in this period.

**TROUGH-TO-CURRENT:** Through June 30, 2003, growth led value during the rally from the October low. All Royce Funds posted total returns of more than 35% during this period, with Royce Micro-Cap Trust and Royce Focus Trust outperforming the Russell 2000.

**PEAK-TO-CURRENT:** From March 9, 2000 through June 30, 2003, value maintained a sizeable lead over growth. Again, all three Royce Funds held performance advantages over the Russell 2000 (-22.6%) and all provided positive performance. When current cycle returns are combined with those of the prior full market cycle, a period which includes both the pre-bubble rally and the ensuing bear market, value positive results compare favorably against growth negative results. During this period, all three Royce Funds outperformed the Russell 2000 and Russell 2000 Value returns.

10 | The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003

### HISTORY SINCE INCEPTION

The following table details the share accumulations by an initial investor in the Funds who reinvested all distributions (including fractional shares) and participated fully in primary subscriptions for each of the rights

offerings. Full participation in distribution reinvestments and rights offerings can maximize the returns available to a long-term investor. This table should be read in conjunction with the Performance and Portfolio Reviews of the Funds.

		AMOUNT	PURCHASE		NAV	MARKET
HISTO	<u>PRY</u>	INVESTED	PRICE*	<u>SHARES</u>	VALUE **	VALUE **
Royce Value	Trust					
11/26/86	Initial Purchase	\$ 10,000	\$ 10.000	1,000	\$ 9,280	\$ 10,000
10/15/87	Distribution \$0.30		7.000	42		
12/31/87	Distribution \$0.22		7.125	32	8,578	7,250
12/27/88	Distribution \$0.51		8.625	63	10,529	9,238
9/22/89	Rights Offering	405	9.000	45		
12/29/89	Distribution \$0.52		9.125	67	12,942	11,866
9/24/90	Rights Offering	457	7.375	62		
12/31/90	Distribution \$0.32	620	8.000	52	11,713	11,074
9/23/91	Rights Offering	638	9.375	68	17.010	15 607
12/31/91 9/25/92	Distribution \$0.61 Rights Offering	825	10.625 11.000	82 75	17,919	15,697
12/31/92	Distribution \$0.90	023	12.500	114	21,999	20,874
9/27/93	Rights Offering	1,469	13.000	113	21,999	20,074
12/31/93	Distribution \$1.15	1,403	13.000	160	26,603	25,428
10/28/94	Rights Offering	1,103	11.250	98	20,003	23, 123
12/19/94	Distribution \$1.05	_,	11.375	191	27,939	24,905
11/3/95	Rights Offering	1,425	12.500	114		,
12/7/95	Distribution \$1.29		12.125	253	35,676	31,243
12/6/96	Distribution \$1.15		12.250	247	41,213	36,335
	Annual distribution total					
1997	\$1.21		15.374	230	52,556	46,814
	Annual distribution total			2.47	- 4 04 0	47.500
1998	\$1.54		14.311	347	54,313	47,506
1999	Annual distribution total		12 616	201	60.652	E0 220
1999	\$1.37 Annual distribution total		12.616	391	60,653	50,239
2000	\$1.48		13.972	424	70,711	61,648
2000	Annual distribution total		13.372	727	70,711	01,040
2001	\$1.49		15.072	437	81,478	73,994
	Annual distribution total				<i>-,</i>	,
2002	\$1.51		14.903	494		
1/28/03	Rights Offering	5,600	10.770	520		
	Year-to-date distribution					
2003	total \$0.65		13.143	273		
6/30/03		\$16,322		5,995	\$85,848	\$89,565
Royce Micro-		¢ 7.500	d 7.500	1 000	¢ 7.250	¢ 7.500
12/14/93 10/28/94	Initial Purchase Rights Offering	\$ 7,500 1,400	\$ 7.500 7.000	1,000 200	\$ 7,250	\$ 7,500
12/19/94	Distribution \$0.05	1,400	6.750	9	9,163	8,462
12/7/95	Distribution \$0.36		7.500	58	11,264	10,136
12/6/96	Distribution \$0.80		7.625	133	13,132	11,550
12/5/97	Distribution \$1.00		10.000	140	16,694	15,593
12/7/98	Distribution \$0.29		8.625	52	16,016	14,129
12/6/99	Distribution \$0.27		8.781	49	18,051	14,769
12/6/00	Distribution \$1.72		8.469	333	20,016	17,026
12/6/01	Distribution \$0.57		9.880	114	24,701	21,924
	Annual distribution total					
2002	\$0.80		9.518	180		
	Year-to-date distribution					
2003	total \$0.47		8.523	127		
the state of the s						

Edgar Filing: ROYCE VALUE TRUST INC - Form N-CSRS

6/30/03		\$ 8,900		2,395	\$25,411	\$23,399
Royce Focus	Trust					
10/31/96 12/31/96	Initial Purchase	\$ 4,375	\$ 4.375	1,000	\$ 5,280 5,520	\$ 4,375 4,594
12/5/97 12/31/98	Distribution \$0.53		5.250	101	6,650 6,199	5,574 5,367
12/6/99	Distribution \$0.145		4.750	34	6,742	5,356
12/6/00	Distribution \$0.34		5.563	69	8,151	6,848
12/6/01	Distribution \$0.14		6.010	28	8,969	8,193
12/6/02	Distribution \$0.09		5.640	19		
6/30/03		\$ 4,375		1,251	\$ 9,307	\$ 8,469

<sup>\*</sup> Beginning with 1997 (RVT) and 2002 (OTCM) distribution, the purchase price on distributions is an average of the Fund still year distribution reinvestment cost.

THE ROYCE FUNDS SEMIANNUAL REPORT 2003 | 11

### **ROYCE VALUE TRUST**

AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RETURNS Through 6/30/03	
Second Quarter 2003*	21.90%
Jan-June 2003 <u>*</u>	13.77
1-Year	-4.01
3-Year	6.17
5-Year	6.51
10-Year	11.21
15-Year	11.86
Since Inception (11/26/86) *Not annualized.	11.34

RISK/RETURN COMPARISON 3-Year Period ended 6/30/03					
	Average Annual Total Return	Standard Deviation	Return Efficiency <u>*</u>		
Royce Value Trust (NAV)	6.2%	24.1	0.26		

### MANAGER DISCUSSION

Royce Value Trust∏s (RVT) diversified portfolio of small- and micro-cap stocks did well in 2003∏s first half. For the year-to-date period ended 6/30/03, the Fund was up 13.8% on a net asset value (NAV) basis and 18.4% on a market price basis, compared to respective returns of 17.9% and 12.9% for its small-cap benchmarks, the Russell 2000 and the S&P 600. After an underwhelming first quarter, RVT rebounded in the more bullish second quarter, up 21.9% on an NAV basis and 25.1% on a market price basis. Over longer-term periods, the Fund enjoyed a pronounced performance advantage over both the Russell 2000 and the S&P 600. From the small-cap market peak on 3/9/00 through 6/30/03, RVT was up 18.9% on an NAV basis versus a loss of 22.6% for the Russell 2000 and a gain of 1.6% for the S&P 600. The Fund outperformed each of its benchmarks on an NAV and market price basis for the three-, five-, 10-, 15-year and since inception (11/26/86) periods ended 6/30/03. RVT[]s average annual NAV total return since inception was 11.3%.

Holdings in Technology, the Fund slargest sector, made the most significant contribution to performance. While we do not shy away from Technology stocks in the Fund sportfolio, we are cautious about our purchases in the sector. Tech enjoys a well-deserved reputation as an historically volatile

<sup>\*\*</sup> Other than for initial purchase and 6/30/03, values are stated as of December 31 of the year indicated, after reinvestment of distributions.

S&P 600	2.4%	22.7	0.11
Russell 2000	-3.3%	22.8	-0.14

<sup>\*</sup>Return Efficiency is the average annual total return divided by the annualized standard deviation over a designated time period.

Over the last three years, Royce Value Trust has outperformed the S&P 600 and the Russell 2000 on both an absolute and a risk-adjusted basis.

**CALENDAR YEAR NAV TOTAL RETURNS** Year Year 2002 -15.6% 1994 0.1 2001 15.2 1993 17.3 2000 16.6 1992 19.3 1999 1991 11.7 38.4 1998 3.3 1990 -13.81997 27.5 1989 18.3 1996 15.5 1988 22.7 1995 21.1 1987 -7.7 area, but also as a fast-growing and dynamic one. Our goal when investing in Technology companies is to try to take advantage of some of the sector shigh growth while avoiding as much of the volatility as possible. We look for companies that possess strong balance sheets, earnings histories and the ability to generate free cash flow. In the latter stages of the bear market sincluding most of 2002 seven many of these less aggressive stocks took substantial lumps. Fortunately, many began to recover in October 2002.

While Tech stocks were the brightest stars, gains came from a variety of industries throughout the portfolio. We continue to hold a large position in E\*TRADE Group because we like the way in which the firm survived the internet stock bubble and expanded its online discount brokerage business into a full array of financial services. We first began to buy shares of FactSet Research Systems last year at prices that we thought were attractive. Increased revenues and rising earnings helped to boost the price of this leading provider of financial and economic information to the global investment community, but we are still holding a good-sized stake. Perceptron manufactures specialty electronic components as well as information-based process improvement solutions for various businesses. We like its niche business, low debt and growing profitability, characteristics that may have attracted investors to the stock in the second quarter. We are holding our shares for now. Insurance companies performed well as a group. We took some gains in medical malpractice specialist ProAssurance Corporation and in White Mountains Insurance Group, which provides property and casualty insurance, reinsurance and financial guaranty insurance. We also built our position in top holding, Erie Indemnity Company, the operator of Erie Insurance Exchange, which specializes in automotive, property and casualty insurance. Improved industry conditions helped its stock price to recover, although it has not yet regained its 2002 highs, which helps to explain why we have continued to buy shares.

### PERFORMANCE AND PORTFOLIO REVIEW

### **GOOD IDEAS THAT WORKED** Net Realized and Unrealized Gain Year-to-Date Through 6/30/03 Velcro Industries \$3,601,730 Keane 2.314.825 Marvel Enterprises 2,228,361 FactSet Research 2.209.200 **Systems** E\*TRADE Group 2,093,000

**Velcro Industries** □ This past April, we had an opportunity to sell our position at a substantial gain in this company, which is primarily involved in the manufacturing and marketing of hook and loop fasteners.

**Keane** ☐ We like the core business of this consulting, e-business

and information technology services firm. Although its year-to-date performance in 2003 has been encouraging, we are still waiting for our longer-term experience to

become profitable. Fortunately, we still like the firm s prospects.

### **GOOD IDEAS AT THE TIME** Net Realized and Unrealized Loss Year-to-Date Through 6/30/03 Allegiance Telecom \$1,488,021 Payless ShoeSource 1,300,264 Covance 861,223 **PXRE Group** 829,789 818.522 Α

Allegiance Telecom □ Our overall experience with this telecommunications service provider to small-and mid-sized businesses only became more disappointing as our hopes for a turnaround vanished when the firm recently filed for bankruptcy.

Payless ShoeSource [] Retracing our steps tells us that we probably began to buy this family footwear retailer too soon because inventory and expansion concerns have kept its price underfoot. Nonetheless, we still like its long-term prospects and have been increasing our stake.

PORTFOLIO DIAGNOSTICS  Median Market Capitalization	\$821 million
Weighted Average P/E Ratio	18.8x <u>*</u>
Weighted Average P/B Ratio	1.7x
Weighted Average Yield	0.7%
Fund Net Assets	\$859 million
Turnover Rate	12%
Net Leverage <sup>□</sup>	12%
Symbol - Market Price - NAV	RVT XRVTX

- \*Excludes 19% of portfolio holdings with zero or negative earnings as of 6/30/03.
- ☐ Net leverage is the percentage, in excess of 100%, of the total value of equity type investments, divided by net assets, excluding preferred stock.

divided by flet assets, excluding preferred s	LUCK.
<b>TOP 10 POSITIONS</b> % of Net Assets	
Erie Indemnity Company Cl. A	0.8%
Simpson Manufacturing	0.8
Keane	0.8
White Mountains Insurance Group	0.8
Arrow International	0.8
Florida Rock Industries	0.7
FactSet Research Systems	0.7
Ritchie Bros. Auctioneers	0.7

The regular reinvestment of distributions makes a difference! <sup>1</sup> Reflects the cumulative performance of an investment made by a stockholder who purchased one share at inception (\$10.00 IPO) and then reinvested all annual distributions as indicated, and participated

Reflects the actual market price of one share as it has traded on the

in the primary subscriptions of the Fund□s rights offerings.

NYSE.

Ash Grove Cement Company Cl. B		
Allied Waste Industries	0.7	

PORTFOLIO SECTOR BREAKDOWN % of Net Assets	
Technology	20.2%
Industrial Products	13.4
Industrial Services	13.4
Financial Intermediaries	9.3
Health	8.2
Consumer Products	7.0
Natural Resources	6.6
Financial Services	6.2
Consumer Services	5.1
Utilities	0.1
Miscellaneous	1.7
Bonds & Preferred Stocks	0.3
Treasuries, Cash & Cash Equivalents	8.5

CAPITAL STRUCTURE Publicly Traded Securities Outs at 6/30/03 at NAV or Liquidation	
48.8 million shares	\$699
of Common Stock	million
7.80% Cumulative Preferred Stock	\$60 million
7.30% Tax-Advantaged Cumulative Preferred Stock	\$100 million

The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003 | 13

### ROYCE MICRO-CAP TRUST

NAV AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL RET Through 6/30/03	TURNS
Second Quarter 2003*	27.45%
Jan - June 2003 <u>*</u>	19.32
1-Year	-2.68
3-Year	8.18
5-Year	7.02
Since Inception (12/14/93) *Not annualized.	11.88

RISK/RETURN 3-Year Period 6	COMPARISO ended 6/30/03	N	
	Average Annual Total Return	Standard Deviation	Return Efficiency <u>*</u>
Royce Micro-Cap Trust (NAV)	8.2%	26.2	0.31
Russell 2000	-3.3%	22.8	-0.14

<sup>\*</sup>Return Efficiency is the average annual total return divided by the annualized standard deviation over a designated time period.

Over the last three years, Royce Micro-Cap Trust has outperformed the Russell 2000 on both an absolute and a risk-adjusted basis.

### MANAGER DISCUSSION

Micro-cap stocks were among the big winners in the first half of 2003, which helped Royce Micro-Cap Trust∏s (OTCM) diversified portfolio of micro-cap companies to turn in a strong first-half performance. For the year-to-date period ended 6/30/03, the Fund was up 19.3% on a net asset value (NAV) basis and 22.2% on a market price basis, in both cases ahead of its small-cap benchmark, the Russell 2000, which was up 17.9% for the same **period.** OTCM∏s first-half performance was primarily the result of its strong second quarter in which it was up 27.5% on an NAV basis and 30.0% on a market price basis. We were even more pleased with the Fund∏s performances over longer-term periods. From the 3/9/00 small-cap peak through 6/30/03, OTCM was up 22.2% on an NAV basis and 35.0% on a market price basis versus a loss of 22.6% for the benchmark. The Fund outperformed the Russell 2000 for the three-year, five-year and since inception (12/14/93) periods ended 6/30/03 on both an NAV and market price basis. OTCM□s average annual NAV total return since inception was

A large portion of the Fund[s second-quarter recovery (and its strong year-to-date showing as well) can be attributed to holdings in Technology, its largest sector, and Health. Unlike Tech stocks, which were stirring back to life late in 2002 and earlier this year, the prices of many Health stocks in the market did not begin to get in shape until late March 2003. Aksys provides hemodialysis products and services for patients suffering from chronic kidney failure. We have been building a large position even as its price climbed in the spring because we like its core business. We took advantage of fast-rising prices to take gains in Martek Biosciences, a company that extracts fatty acids from fish and mixes it with baby formula because those fatty acids have been found to help in the development of human intelligence. Contact lens manufacturer Ocular Sciences enjoyed a healthy second quarter after its price slumped late in 2002 following negative earnings. Subsequent positive earnings helped its price to recover, though it is still shy of last year \s highs.

Sapient Corporation provides a range of business and technology consulting services. We like its core business and, while still not profitable, its price rose steadily in the second quarter. Centillium Communications, a firm that makes semiconductors for DSL systems, is a conservatively capitalized firm with a reasonably growing business. Its price soared in the recent rally, as DSL orders grew and profits rose. Although we still hold a good-sized position, we sold some shares of REMEC, a company that makes telecommunications infrastructure products and microwave electronic subsystems for various military applications. Improved sales gave a boost to the price of Excel Technology, a manufacturer of laser systems and electro-optical components for

scientific, industrial and medical applications.

In May, we sold our shares of LendingTree, an internet-based marketplace for consumers and lenders to collect, exchange and compare credit information, after it was announced that USA Interactive would be acquiring the company at a substantial premium. We also slightly trimmed our position in men s footwear manufacturer, Weyco Group, a long-time holding, when its price made upward strides earlier in the year.

CALENDAR YEAR NAV TOTA Year	L RETURNS OTCM
2002	-13.8%
2001	23.4
2000	10.9
1999	12.7
1998	-4.1
1997	27.1
1996	16.6
1995	22.9
1994	5.0

14 | The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003

### PERFORMANCE AND PORTFOLIO REVIEW

GOOD IDEAS THAT WORKED		
	Net Realized and Unrealized Gain Year-to-Date Through 6/30/03	
E-LOAN	\$1,599,907	
HomeFed Corporation	1,292,947	
Sapient Corporation	893,147	
REMEC	736,061	
Aceto	683,815	

**E-LOAN** □ We first began to buy shares of this online provider of consumer loans, mortgages, car loans, credit cards and small business loans late in 2001 because we liked the prospects for its business. It has become that rarest of gems, an internet company that has not simply survived, but thrived. We reduced our position in the

PORTFOLIO DIAGNOSTICS	
Median Market Capitalization	\$230 million
Weighted Average P/B Ratio	1.4x
Weighted Average Yield	0.4%
Fund Net Assets	\$237 million
Turnover Rate	11%
Net Leverage.	12%

spring.

**HomeFed Corporation** [] The price of this southern California-based real estate development company more than tripled from where we first began buying it a few years ago, as investors seemed to find value in its real estate activities in the San Diego area.

GOOD IDEAS AT THE TIME  Net Realized and Unrealized Loss  Year-to-Date Through 6/30/03		
On Assignment	\$596,640	
PRG-Schultz International	563,391	
Allegiance Telecom	504,321	
Syntel	460,066	
The Boyds Collection	440,720	

On Assianment □ We began to build our position in this medical staffing services company in the Fund∏s portfolio during the summer of 2002 because we liked its balance sheet and its excellent reputation. Its earnings have been disappointing as its industry continues to struggle, yet we remain hopeful for a turnaround.

**PRG-Schultz International** 

Business has been mostly poor for this provider of recovery audit services, but we added to our stake in this year sfirst half in the hope of an eventual turnaround owing to our belief in its core business.

Symbol - Market Price OTCM - NAV XOTCX

□Net leverage is the percentage, in excess of 100%, of the total value of equity type investments, divided by net assets, excluding preferred stock.

TOP 10 POSITIONS % of Net Assets	5
Seneca Foods	1.2%
Sapient Corporation	1.2
800 JR Cigar	1.1
HomeFed Corporation	1.0
Delta Apparel	1.0
Dension International ADR	1.0
Excel Technology	0.9
Young Innovations	0.9
Bonavista Petroleum	0.9
BHA Group Holdings	0.8

PORTFOLIO SECTOR BREAKDO % of Net Assets	WN
Technology	24.0%
Industrial Products	13.7
Industrial Services	12.2
Health	11.2
Consumer Products	9.0
Natural Resources	8.6
Financial Intermediaries	5.1
Consumer Services	4.5
Financial Services	1.6
Diversified Investment Companies	0.2

### The regular reinvestment of distributions makes a difference!

- Reflects the cumulative performance of an investment made by a stockholder who purchased one share at inception (\$7.50 IPO) and then reinvested distributions as indicated and participated in the primary subscription of the 1994 rights offering.
- Reflects the actual market price of one share as it has traded on the Nasdag.

Miscellaneous	2.9
Preferred Stocks	0.5
Treasuries, Cash & Cash Equivalents	6.5
CAPITAL STRUCTURE Publicly Traded Securities at 6/30/03 at NAV or Liq	<b>→</b>
18.5 million shares of Common Stock	\$197 million
7.75% Cumulative Preferred Stock	\$40 million

The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003 | 15

### **ROYCE FOCUS TRUST**

NAV AVERAGE ANNUAL TOTAL Through 6/30/03	. RETURNS
Second Quarter 2003 <u>*</u>	28.06%
Jan-June 2003 <u>*</u>	18.66
1-Year	3.40
3-Year	11.07
5-Year	5.64
Since Inception (11/1/96)	8.89

<sup>\*</sup>Not annualized.

RISK/RETURN COMPARISON 3-Year Period ended 6/30/03						
7	Average Annual Fotal Return	Standard Deviation	Return Efficiency <u>*</u>			
Royce Focus Trust (NAV)	11.1%	26.2	0.42			
Russell 2000	-3.3%	22.8	-0.14			

<sup>\*</sup>Return Efficiency is the average annual total return divided by the annualized standard deviation over a designated time period.

### MANAGER DISCUSSION

Royce Focus Trust∏s (FUND) concentrated portfolio of small- and micro-cap stocks did relatively well in an environment that showed great favor to micro-cap issues in the first half of 2003. The Fund finished the year-to-date period ended 6/30/03 up 18.7% on a net asset value (NAV) basis and 21.8% on a market price basis, in each case ahead of its small-cap benchmark, the Russell 2000, which was up 17.9% for the same period. The Fund[s first-half performance was strong on both an absolute and relative basis and was especially pleasing to us because we would typically not expect it to beat its benchmark in the early stages of a dramatic rally. We were also pleased with the Fund\(\pi\)s performance advantage over the Russell 2000 over longer-term periods. From the small-cap market peak on 3/9/00 through 6/30/03, the Fund was up 35.7% on an NAV basis and 47.5% on a market price basis versus a loss of 22.6% for the Russell 2000. The Fund also outperformed its benchmark on both an NAV and market price basis for the one-, three-, five-year and since inception (11/1/96) periods ended 6/30/03. **The** Fund[s average annual NAV total return since inception was 8.9%.

Holdings in most sectors and industries scored gains in the first half. In a market that was so good to Technology, as well as financial and investment management stocks, it would have been hard for online discount broker and banker E\*TRADE Group not to succeed. Although we initiated our position with a large purchase in 2001, we purchased some shares in 2002

Over the last three years, Royce Focus Trust has outperformed the Russell 2000 on both an absolute and a risk-adjusted basis.

when it was trading at close to book value and have watched its price more than double from that level. In our view, the company has room to grow before its stock would be overpriced. Insurance companies performed well as a group. We reduced our position in medical malpractice specialist ProAssurance Corporation as its price climbed during the spring, though we still hold a good-sized position. We also took some gains in property-casualty insurer, Zenith National Insurance, although based on our estimation of the firm strengths, we thought that it would have recovered sooner. We are still holding a large stake.

Cornell Companies, which provides privatized correctional, treatment and educational services outsourced by federal, state and local government agencies, successfully rehabilitated itself from management and accounting issues. Investors seemed to take notice of improved earnings and increased opportunities for privatization in a recessionary economy. Winnebago Industries is the kind of company that we feel is made for the Fund\(\partial\) portfolio. It has an established business with a history of strong earnings, free cash flow and talented management. We first March when its price fell to levels that we found attractive. If only every investment could turn around so quickly. Shortly after we began to purchase shares, its price began cruising upward. With an aging consumer market and an excellent reputation for high quality recreation vehicles, we like its prospects and are holding on to a large position. We also like the prospects for strong-performing, top-ten holding TSX Group, a Canadian firm that operates the Toronto Stock Exchange, the third largest exchange in North America, in addition to exchanges in Vancouver, Alberta and Winnipeg. We like the firm\s solid dividend and large amount of cash per share.

CALENDAR YEAR NAV TOTAL RET	FUND
2002	-12.5%
2001	10.0
2000	20.9
1999	8.7
1998	-6.8
1997	20.5

16 | The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003

# GOOD IDEAS THAT WORKED Net Realized and Unrealized Gain Year-to-Date Through 6/30/03 Endo Pharmaceuticals Holdings \$1,374,980 West Corporation 856,404 Cornell Companies 818,733 E\*TRADE Group (Bond) 690,000

E n d o Pharmaceuticals Holdings | We first bought shares of this pharmaceutical c o m p a n y t h a t specializes in pain management drugs last November. Its price continued to climb, and we took some gains in the spring.

West Corporation []
We first bought shares

of this telecommunications services company in February, when its price fell to a level that we found enticing. In April and May, we sold some shares as its price began to rise quickly, but are otherwise content to keep holding for now.

634,208

# GOOD IDEAS AT THE TIME Net Realized and Unrealized Loss Year-to-Date Through 6/30/03

TSX Group

rear to bate impagn 0/30/03	
Durect Corporation	\$318,944
Lightspan	279,556
Lincoln Electric Holdings	258,367
Monaco Coach	232,987
Natuzzi ADR	198,018

urect **Corporation** □ We initiated our position in May, although we have long admired the core product of h pharmaceuticals firm П matchstick-sized, implantable device for pain medication that lasts as long as 90 days. We are hopeful that the company∏s stock price can recover.

**Lightspan**  $\square$  The price of this educational software maker nearly dropped out in the first half, proving that not every Tech company was an  $\square A\square$  student in the first half. We are holding on to our shares for now.

PORTFOLIO DIAGNOSTI Median Market Capitalization	\$812 million
Weighted Average P/E Ratio	19.6x <u>*</u>
Weighted Average P/B Ratio	1.9x
Weighted Average Yield	0.5%
Fund Net Assets	\$89 million
Turnover Rate	34%
Net Leverage.□	8%
Symbol - Market Price - NAV	FUND XFUNX

\*Excludes 21% of portfolio holdings with zero or negative earnings as of 6/30/03.

Net leverage is the percentage, in excess of 100%, of the total value of equity type investments, divided by net assets, excluding preferred stock.

excluding preferred stock.	
TOP 10 POSITIONS % of Net Ass New Zealand Government Bond	5.7%
E*TRADE Group	4.8
Simpson Manufacturing	2.9
TSX Group	2.7
Florida Rock Industries	2.7
Goldcorp	2.4
Nu Skin Enterprises Cl. A	2.4
Lincoln Electric Holdings	2.3
Winnebago Industries	2.1
Endo Pharmaceuticals Holdings	1.9

PORTFOLIO SECTOR BREAKDOWN % of Net Assets

	Health	10.4
	Financial Intermediaries	9.3
<ul> <li>Royce &amp; Associates assumed investment management responsibility for the Fund on 11/1/96.</li> <li>Reflects the cumulative performance experience of a continuous common</li> </ul>	Industrial Products	9.1
stockholder who reinvested all distributions.	Industrial Services	7.1
3 Reflects the actual market price of one share as it has traded on the		
Nasdaq.	Consumer Products	6.2
	Consumer Services	5.2
	Financial Services	1.7

Technology

Bonds

Equivalents

**Natural Resources** 

CAPITAL STRUCTUR	RE
Publicly Traded Secur	rities Outstanding
at 6/30/03 at NAV or	Liquidation Value
9.2 million shares	
of Common Stock	\$69 million
7.45% Cumulative	
Preferred Stock	\$20 million

The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003 | 17

Treasuries, Cash & Cash

9.0

16.1

13.7%

12.2

### DISTRIBUTION REINVESTMENT AND CASH PURCHASE OPTIONS FOR COMMON STOCKHOLDERS

### WHY SHOULD I REINVEST MY DISTRIBUTIONS?

> By reinvesting distributions, a stockholder can maintain an undiluted investment in the Fund. The regular reinvestment of distributions has a significant impact on stockholder returns. In contrast, the stockholder who takes distributions in cash is penalized when shares are issued below net asset value to other stockholders.

### How does the reinvestment of distributions from the Royce closed-end funds work?

The Funds automatically issue shares in payment of distributions unless you indicate otherwise. The shares are issued at the lower of the market price or net asset value on the valuation date.

### How does this apply to registered stockholders?

If your shares are registered directly with a Fund, your distributions are automatically reinvested unless you have otherwise instructed the Funds□ transfer agent, EquiServe, in writing. A registered

stockholder also has the option to receive the distribution in the form of a stock certificate or in cash if EquiServe is properly notified.

### WHAT IF MY SHARES ARE HELD BY A BROKERAGE FIRM OR A BANK?

If your shares are held by a brokerage firm, bank, or other intermediary as the stockholder of record, you should contact your brokerage firm or bank to be certain that it is automatically reinvesting distributions on your behalf. If they are unable to reinvest distributions on your behalf, you should have your shares registered in your name in order to participate.

### WHAT OTHER FEATURES ARE AVAILABLE FOR REGISTERED STOCKHOLDERS?

The Distribution Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plans also allow registered stockholders to make optional cash purchases of shares of a Fund\( \) common stock directly through EquiServe on a monthly basis, and to deposit certificates representing your Fund shares with EquiServe for safekeeping. The Funds\( \) investment adviser is absorbing all commissions on optional cash purchases under the Plans through December 31, 2003.

### How do the Plans work for registered stockholders?

EquiServe maintains the accounts for registered stockholders in the Plans and sends written confirmation of all transactions in the account. Shares in the account of each participant will be held by EquiServe in non-certificated form in the name of the participant, and each participant will be able to vote those shares at a stockholder meeting or by proxy. A participant may also send other stock certificates held by them to EquiServe to be held in non-certificated form. There is no service fee charged to participants for reinvesting distributions. If a participant elects to sell shares from a Plan account, EquiServe will deduct a \$2.50 fee plus brokerage commissions from the sale transaction. If a nominee is the registered owner of your shares, the nominee will maintain the accounts on your behalf.

### How can I get more information on the Plans?

You can call an Investor Services Representative at (800) 221-4268 or you can request a copy of the Plan for your Fund from EquiServe. All correspondence (including notifications) should be directed to: [Name of Fund] Distribution Reinvestment and Cash Purchase Plan, c/o EquiServe, PO Box 43011, Providence, RI 02940-3011, telephone (800) 426-5523.

18 | The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003

### DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

All Directors and Officers may be reached c/o The Royce Funds, 1414 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10019

NAME AND POSITION: Charles M. Royce (63), Director\* and

President

**Term Expires:** 2003 **Tenure:** Since 1986 (RVT), 1993

(OTCM), 1996 (FUND)

No. of Funds Non-Royce Directorships: None

Overseen: 18

Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years:

NAME AND POSITION: David L. Meister (63), Director

**Term Expires:** 2003 **Tenure:** Since 1986 (RVT), 1993

(OTCM), 1996 (FUND)

No. of Funds Overseen: 18 Non-Royce Directorships: None President, Chief Investment Officer and Member of Board of Managers of Royce & Associates, LLC ([Royce]]), the Trust[]s and Chief Executive Officer of The Tennis Channel (since June 2000). Chief Executive Officer of Seniorlife.com (from

NAME AND POSITION: Mark R. Fetting (48), Director\*

Term Expires: 2004 No. of Funds Overseen: 18 Tenure: Since 2001
Non-Royce Directorships:
Director/Trustee of the registered investment companies constituting

the 22 Legg Mason Funds.

### Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years:

Executive Vice President of Legg Mason, Inc.; Member of Board of Managers of Royce; Division President and Senior Officer, Prudential Financial Group, Inc. and related companies, including Fund Boards and consulting services to subsidiary companies (from 1991 to 2000). Mr. Fetting[]s prior business experience includes having served as Partner, Greenwich Associates and Vice President, T. Rowe Price Group, Inc.

NAME AND POSITION: Donald R. Dwight (72), Director

**Term Expires:** 2005 **Tenure:** Since 1998

No. of Funds Overseen: 18 Non-Royce Directorships: None

Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years: President of Dwight Partners, Inc., corporate communications consultant; Chairman (from 1982 to March 1998) and Chairman Emeritus (since March 1998) of Newspapers of New England, Inc. Mr. Dwight[s prior experience includes having served as Lieutenant Governor of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, as President and Publisher of Minneapolis Star and Tribune Company, and as Trustee of the registered investment companies constituting the 94 Eaton Vance Funds.

NAME AND POSITION: Richard M. Galkin (65), Director Term Expires: 2004 Tenure: Since 1986 (RVT), 1993

(OTCM), 1996 (FUND)

No. of Funds Overseen: 18 Non-Royce Directorships: None

Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years: Private investor. Mr. Galkin prior business experience includes having served as President of Richard M. Galkin Associates, Inc., telecommunications consultants, President of Manhattan Cable Television (a subsidiary of Time, Inc.), President of Haverhills Inc. (another Time, Inc. subsidiary), President of Rhode Island Cable Television and Senior Vice President of Satellite Television Corp. (a subsidiary of Comsat).

NAME AND POSITION: Stephen L. Isaacs (63), Director

**Term Expires:** 2005 (RVT), 2005 (OTCM), (OTCM), 1006 (FUND)

2003 (FUND) (OTCM), 1996 (FUND)

No. of Funds Overseen: 18 Non-Royce Directorships: None

Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years: President of The Center for Health and Social Policy (since September 1996); Attorney and President of Health Policy Associates, Inc., consultants. Mr. Isaacs sprior business experience includes having served as Director of Columbia University Development Law and Policy Program and Professor at Columbia University (until August 1996).

NAME AND POSITION: William L. Koke (68), Director

Term Expires: 2003 (RVT), 2003 (OTCM), 2005 (FUND) Tenure: Since 2001 (RVT), 2001 (OTCM), 1997 (FUND)

No. of Funds Overseen: 18 Non-Royce Directorships: None Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years: Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The Tennis Channel (since June 2000). Chief Executive Officer of Seniorlife.com (from December 1999 to May 2000). Mr. Meister□s prior business experience includes having served as a consultant to the communications industry, President of Financial News Network, Senior Vice President of HBO, President of Time-Life Films and

 $\label{thm:major_league} \mbox{Head of Broadcasting for Major League Baseball}.$ 

NAME AND POSITION: G. Peter O
Brien (57), Director

**Term Expires:** 2003 **Tenure:** Since 2001

No. of Funds Non-Royce Directorships: None

Overseen: 18

**Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years:** Trustee of Colgate University; Director of Renaissance Capital Greenwich Funds; Vice President of Hill House, Inc.; Managing Director/Equity Capital Markets Group of Merrill Lynch & Co. (from 1971 to 1999).

NAME AND POSITION: John D. Diederich (52), Vice President

and Treasurer

Tenure: Since 1997

**Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years:** Managing Director, Chief Operating Officer and Member of Board of Managers of Royce (since October 2001); Director of Administration of the Funds since April 1993.

NAME AND POSITION: Jack E. Fockler, Jr. (44), Vice President Tenure: Since 1995 (RVT), 1995 (OTCM), 1996 (FUND)

**Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years:** Managing Director and Vice President of Royce, having been employed by Royce since October 1989.

NAME AND POSITION: W. Whitney George (45), Vice

President

**Tenure:** Since 1995 (RVT), 1995 (OTCM), 1996 (FUND)

**Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years:** Managing Director and Vice President of Royce, having been employed by Royce since October 1991.

**NAME AND POSITION:** Daniel A. O

Byrne (41), Vice President and Assistant Secretary

Tenure: Since 1994 (RVT), 1994 (OTCM), 1996 (FUND)

**Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years:** Vice President of Royce, having been employed by Royce since October 1986.

NAME AND POSITION: John E. Denneen (36), Secretary

Tenure: 1996-2001 and Since April 2002

**Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years:** General Counsel (Deputy General Counsel prior to 2003), Principal, Chief Compliance Officer and Secretary of Royce and Principal of Credit Suisse First Boston Private Equity (2001-2002).

### Principal Occupation(s) During Past Five Years:

Financial planner with Shoreline Financial Consultants. Mr. Koke sprior business experience includes having served as Director of Financial Relations of SONAT, Inc., Treasurer of Ward Foods, Inc. and President of CFC, Inc.

\*Interested Director.

THE ROYCE FUNDS SEMIANNUAL REPORT 2003 | 19

### Notes To Performance and Statistical Information

### **AUTHORIZED SHARE TRANSACTIONS**

Each of Royce Value Trust, Royce Micro-Cap Trust and Royce Focus Trust may repurchase up to 300,000 shares of its common stock and up to 10% of the issued and outstanding shares of each series of its preferred stock during the year ending December 31, 2003. Any such repurchases would take place at then prevailing prices in the open market or in other transactions. Common stock repurchases would be effected at a price per share that is less than the share share stock repurchases would be effected at a price per share that is less than the share sliquidation value.

Royce Value Trust, Royce Micro-Cap Trust and Royce Focus Trust are also authorized to offer their common stockholders an opportunity to subscribe for additional shares of their common stock through rights offerings at a price per share that may be less than the share step then current net asset value. The timing and terms of any such offerings are within each Board siscretion.

### Notes To Performance And Statistical Information

All performance information is presented on a total return basis and reflects the reinvestment of distributions. Past performance is no guarantee of future results or volatility. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate, so that shares may be worth more or less than their original cost when sold. The Royce Funds invest primarily in securities of small-cap and/or micro-cap companies that may involve considerably more risk than investments in securities of larger-cap companies. The thoughts expressed in this report concerning recent market movements and future prospects for small company stocks are solely the current opinion of Royce, and, of course, historical market trends are not necessarily indicative of future market movements. Statements regarding the future prospects for particular securities held in the Funds□ portfolios and Royce\s investment intentions with respect to those securities reflect Royce\s opinions as of June 30, 2003 and are subject to change at any time without notice. There can be no assurance that securities mentioned in this report will be included

in any Royce-managed portfolio in the future.

Standard deviation is a statistical measure within which a fund  $\square$ s total returns have varied over time. The greater the standard deviation, the greater a fund  $\square$ s volatility.

The Russell 2000, Russell 2000 Value, Russell 2000 Growth, Nasdaq Composite, and S&P 500 are unmanaged indices of domestic common stocks. CRSP (Center for Research in Security Pricing) divides the U.S. equity market into 10 deciles. Deciles 1-5 represent the largest domestic equity companies and deciles 6-10 represent the smallest. By way of comparison, the CRSP 1-5 would have similar capitalization parameters to the S&P 500 and the CRSP 6-10 would approximately match those of the Russell 2000. Returns for the market indices used in this report were based on information supplied to Royce by Frank Russell, CRSP and Morningstar. Royce has not independently verified the above described information. The Royce Funds is a service mark of The Royce Funds.

### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This material contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the  $\square$ Exchange Act $\square$ ), that involve risks and uncertainties, including, among others, statements as to:

- ♦ the Funds

  future operating results,
- ♦ the prospects of the Funds□ portfolio companies,
- the impact of investments that the Funds have made or may make,
- ♦ the dependence of the Funds□ future success on the general economy and its impact on the companies and industries in which the Funds invest, and
- ♦ the ability of the Funds□ portfolio companies to achieve their objectives.

This report uses words such as <code>[anticipates,[]][believes,[]][expects,[]][future,[]][intends,[]]</code> and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. Actual results may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements for any reason.

The Royce Funds have based the forward-looking statements included in this report on information available to us on the date of the report, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. Although The Royce Funds undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, you are advised to consult any additional disclosures that we may make through future stockholder communications or reports.

20 | The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003

ROYCE VALUE TRUST, INC.

### **S**CHEDULES OF **I**NVESTMENTS

# June 30, 2003 (unaudited)

COMMON STOCKS [] 91.2%					
	SHARES	VALUE		SHARES	VALUE
Consumer Products [] 7.0% Apparel and Shoes - 2.5%			Shuffle Master <u>a.d</u> TiVo <u>a</u>	5,000 17,000	\$ 146,950 209,950
Jones Apparel Group <u>a</u> K-Swiss Cl. A	81,500 119,000	\$ 2,384,690 4,107,880			4,163,495
Nautica Enterprises <u>a</u> Oshkosh B∏Gosh Cl. A	83,700 104,300	1,073,871 2,816,100	Restaurants/Lodgings - 1.4%		
Polo Ralph Lauren Cl. A Timberland Company Cl. A <u>a</u>	150,000 10,000	3,868,500 528,600	Benihana Cl. A <u>a.d</u> CEC Entertainment <u>a</u>	57,500 30,000	759,000 1,107,900
Weyco Group Wolverine World Wide	112,664 94,400	5,183,671 1,818,144	Four Seasons Hotels deliberation deliberatio	80,000 161,700	3,460,800 5,104,869
	3 1,100		Jack in the Box <u>a</u>	10,000	223,000
		21,781,456	Prime Hospitality <u>a,d</u> Ryan∏s Family Steak Houses	106,100	711,931
Collectibles - 0.2%			a,d - <del>-</del>	48,900	684,600
The Boyds Collection a	234,200	1,103,082			12,052,100
Enesco Group <u>a</u>	117,200	867,280	Retail Stores - 2.3%		
		1,970,362	Big Lots <u>a</u>	307,200	4,620,288
Food/Beverage/Tobacco -			Charming Shoppes a,d	803,400	3,992,898
0.6% 800 JR Cigar <u>a,e</u>	172,400	2,241,200	Claire s Stores Payless Shoe Source	127,700 289,600	3,238,472 3,620,000
Hain Celestial Group  Hershey Creamery	37,800 709	604,422 1,772,500	Stein Mart <u>a</u> Urban Outfitters <u>a,d</u>	192,800 83,800	1,154,872 3,008,420
Lancaster Colony	16,900	653,354	orban outlitters	03,000	3,000,420
					19,634,950
		5,271,476	Other Consumer Services -		
Home Furnishing/Appliances -			0.9%		
1.1% Bassett Furniture Industries	116,675	1,549,444	ITT Educational Services <u>a</u> Sotheby∏s Holdings Cl. A <u>a,d</u>	120,000 540,200	3,510,000 4,019,088
Falcon Products a.c	782,600	3,310,398	Strayer Education	10,000	794,500
La-Z-Boy <u>d</u> Lifetime Hoan <u>d</u>	68,200 295,327	1,526,316 2,250,392			8,323,588
Natuzzi ADR <u>b</u>	62,200	498,844	Total (Cost #42 102 506)		44 174 122
		9,135,394	<b>Total</b> (Cost \$42,102,506)		44,174,133
Publishing 0.5%			Financial Intermediaries  9.3% Panking 2.3%		
Publishing - 0.5% Martha Stewart Living	6.005	F0.045	Banking - 2.3%	105 501	4.040.005
Omnimedia Cl. A <u>a,d</u>	6,000	56,340	BOK Financial <a>e</a> Farmers & Merchants Bank of	125,561	4,842,888
Scholastic Corporation <u>a</u>	130,000	3,871,400	Long Beach First National Bank Alaska	1,266 2,130	4,665,210 3,197,130
		3,927,740	Mechanics Bank	200	3,500,000

			Mercantile Bankshares	20,000	787,600
Sports and Recreation - 0.7% Callaway Golf	35,000	462,700	NetBank Oriental Financial Group	70,000 79,750	921,200 2,048,777
Coachmen Industries	67,700	809,015	orientar i marielar Group	73,730	2,010,777
Fleetwood Enterprises a,d	234,300	1,733,820			19,962,805
Monaco Coach <sup>a</sup>	141,050	2,162,296			
Thor Industries	22,100	902,122	Insurance - 6.3%		
			Argonaut Group <u>a</u>	187,000	2,305,710
		6 060 053	Erie Indemnity Company	160.000	7 000 275
		6,069,953	Cl. A	169,900	7,008,375
Other Consumer Products -			Everest Re Group	12,600	963,900
1.4%			Fidelity National Financial	12,843	395,051
Blyth	54,700	1,487,840	First American	31,700	835,295
Burnham Corporation Cl. B	18,000	859,500	Leucadia National	51,500	1,911,680
Fossil <u>a</u>	15,000	353,400	Markel Corporation <u>a</u>	4,200	1,075,200
Lazare Kaplan International a	103,600	600,880	Montpelier Re Holdings <u>a</u>	53,000	1,674,800
Matthews International Cl. A Oakley <u>a</u>	196,000 243,100	4,852,960 2,861,287	NYMAGIC Navigators Group <u>a</u>	85,200 83,200	1,726,152 2,481,024
Scotts (The) Cl. A a	20,000	990,000	PICO Holdings a	154,300	2,005,900
			PMA Capital Cl. A d	231,700	2,912,469
		12,005,867	PXRE Group	176,551	3,495,710
			Philadelphia Consolidated	2= 222	
			Holding 2	35,000	1,414,000
<b>Total</b> (Cost \$42,721,425)		60,162,248	The Phoenix Companies <a href="#">d</a> <a href="#">ProAssurance Corporation</a>	81,900	739,557
			a	202,070	5,453,869
Consumer Services [] 5.1%			RLI	118,724	3,906,020
			Reinsurance Group of		
Leisure/Entertainment - 0.5%			America d	30,000	963,000
Ascent Media Group Cl. A a,d	380,900	472,316	Trenwick Group <u>a.d</u> Wesco Financial	212,260	65,801
Corus Entertainment Cl. B a,d Gemstar-TV Guide	22,000	370,920	Wesco Financial	9,850	3,073,200
International a	215,100	1,094,859			
Hasbro –	50,000	874,500			
Magna Entertainment Cl. A <u>a</u>	198,800	994,000			
			THE ROYCE FUNDS S	EMIANNIIAI RED	ORT 2003   21
			THE TWICE PUNDS OF	EMIANNUAL ILEP	OKI 2000   21

D	7	TRUST.	T
ROVCE	V A I I I H	PICT	

### **SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS**

### June 30, 2003 (unaudited)

	SHARES	VALUE		SHARES	VALUE
Financial Intermediaries (continued) Insurance (continued)			Affymetrix <sup>a,d</sup> Antigenics <sup>a,d</sup>	96,600 38,500	\$ 1,903,986 443,520

White Mountains					
Insurance Group d	16,900	\$ 6,675,500	Applera Corporation - Celera		
Zenith National Insurance d	106,900	3,046,650	Genomics Group <u>a</u>	199,200	2,055,744
		54,128,863	Biopure Corporation Cl. A <u>a,d</u> BioSource International <u>a</u>	43,200 1,600	263,952 11,040
Considire Brokers 0.60/			Celgene Corporation 2	40,000	1,216,000
Securities Brokers - 0.6% E*TRADE Group <u>a</u>	575,000	4,887,500	Cephalon <u>a</u> Cerus Corporation <u>a</u>	4,900 21,700	201,684 163,401
Knight Trading Group 2	115,000	715,300	Chiron Corporation 2	21,800	953,096
			DUSA Pharmaceuticals a	79,700	200,047
		5,602,800	Endo Pharmaceuticals Holdings <u>a</u>	120,000	2,030,400
			Genzyme Corporation -	120,000	2,030,400
Oth on Financial			General Division <u>a</u>	28,000	1,170,400
Other Financial Intermediaries - 0.1% Chicago Mercantile Exchange			Human Genome Sciences <u>a</u>	90,000	1,144,800
<u>d</u>	10,000	696,300	IDEC Pharmaceuticals a,d	28,100	955,400
			Invitrogen Corporation a	40,000	1,534,800
<b>Total</b> (Cost \$50,876,045)		80,390,768	Lexicon Genetics <u>a</u>	256,200	1,719,102
Financial Comicae D C 20/			Millennium Pharmaceuticals 2	50,000	786,500
Financial Services [] 6.2% Information and Processing -			Perrigo Company Shire Pharmaceuticals Group	169,900	2,657,236
2.1%			ADR a,b	20,853	410,804
BARRA a	42,200	1,506,540			
eFunds Corporation <u>a</u> FactSet Research	167,675	1,933,293			20,220,532
Systems d	140,000	6,167,000			
Fair Isaac	5,190	267,025	Health Services - 1.3%	0.705	100.760
Global Payments Moody∏s Corporation	61,500 50,000	2,183,250 2,635,500	Accredo Health <u>a</u> Albany Molecular Research <u>a</u>	8,705 65,000	189,769 981,500
National Processing a,d	20,000	321,600	First Consulting Group 2	315,900	1,475,253
SEI Investments	93,200	2,982,400	Gene Logic <u>a,d</u>	138,100	824,457
			Gentiva Health Services <a>e</a> Health Management Associate	30,150	271,350
		17,996,608	Cl. A	27,400	505,530
			PMPATH a,d	93,000	1,315,020
Insurance Brokers - 1.0%			Lincare Holdings <u>a</u>	24,600	775,146
Brown & Brown Crawford & Co. Cl. A	20,000 297,350	650,000 1,442,147	Manor Care <u>a</u> MedQuist <u>a</u>	58,300 73,893	1,458,083 1,495,594
Crawford & Co. Cl. B	75,300	369,723	On Assignment <sup>a</sup>	293,200	1,172,800
Gallagher (Arthur J.) &			_	100 100	
Company	106,200	2,888,640	Quovadx <u>a</u>	168,400	503,516
Hilb, Rogal & Hamilton	105,550	3,592,922			10 069 019
		8,943,432			10,968,018
		0,943,432	Personal Care - 0.6%		
Investment Management -					
2.7%	60.000	2.657.000	Ocular Sciences a,d	177,500	3,523,375
Affiliated Managers Group and Alliance Capital Management	60,000	3,657,000	Regis	57,200	1,661,660
Holding L.P.	139,000	5,073,500			
BKF Capital Group a	94,000	2,052,020			5,185,035
BlackRock Cl. A a,d	35,000	1,576,400	Surgical Products and		-
Eaton Vance	80,200	2,534,320	Surgical Products and Devices - 2.4%		
Federated Investors CI. B	35,000	959,700	Allied Healthcare Products a	60,000	214,200
Neuberger Berman <u>d</u>	105,000	4,190,550	Arrow International	151,100	6,671,065

Nuveen Investments Cl. A	119,200	3,247,008	CONMED Corporation a	38,500	703,010
naveen investments on 7	113,200		Datascope	34,000	1,009,460
		23,290,498	Diagnostic Products	25,000	1,026,250
			Haemonetics <u>a</u>	92,900	1,737,230
Other Financial Services -					
0.4% PRG-Schultz International a.d	284,200	1,676,780	Invacare	100,000 66,500	3,300,000 399,000
Van der Moolen Holding ADR	204,200	1,070,700	Novoste <u>a</u>	66,500	399,000
<u>b</u>	119,000	1,642,200	STERIS <u>a</u>	48,600	1,122,174
			Varian Medical Systems <u>a</u>	60,800	3,500,256
		3,318,980	Zoll Medical <u>a</u>	20,200	677,912
<b>Total</b> (Cost \$37,351,067)		53,549,518			20,360,557
Health 🛘 8.2%			<b>Total</b> (Cost \$60,623,736)		70,104,985
Commercial Services - 1.6%					
IDEXX Laboratories <u>a</u>	104,100	3,506,088	Industrial Products [] 13.4%	)	
PAREXEL International a,d	277,700	3,873,915	Building Systems and Components - 1.1%		
Pharmaceutical Product		3,0.0,023	2.270		
Development <u>a</u>	10,000	287,300	Decker Manufacturing	6,022	198,726
Quintiles Transnational a	130,300	1,848,957	Preformed Line Products Company	131,600	1,928,598
Sybron Dental Specialties a,d	21,000	495,600	Simpson Manufacturing a.d	190,400	6,968,640
The TriZetto Group <sup>a</sup>	190,200	1,148,808			
Young Innovations a	77,550	2,210,175			9,095,964
		13,370,843			
Drives and Biotoch 2 30/					
Drugs and Biotech - 2.3% Abgenix <sup>a,d</sup>	38,000	398,620			
	30,000	333,320			
22   The Royce Funds Semianni	ual Report 2	003			

T 1			
ROVCE	٨/	ALUE TRUST. ]	NIC
INUILE	v	ALUE IKUSI. I	LINU.

### SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

### June 30, 2003 (unaudited)

	SHARES	VALUE		SHARES	 VALUE
Industrial Products (continued) Construction Materials -			Diebold	100,000	\$ 4,325,000
1.8% Ash Grove Cement			IMPCO Technologies <u>a</u>	15,500	95,480
Company Cl. B	50,518	\$ 5,961,124	Kimball International Cl. B	334,880	5,224,128

ElkCorp <b>Florida Rock Industries</b> Oregon Steel Mills <u>a</u>	25,000 153,800 247,900	562,500 6,348,864 718,910	Maxwell Technologies a.d Myers Industries Peerless Mfg. a.c Quantum Fuel Systems	21,500 52,727 158,600	123,840 500,907 1,752,530
Synalloy Corporation a,c	345,000	1,873,350	Technologies Worldwide a.d	15,500	34,565
		15,464,748	Steelcase Cl. A d Trinity Industries d	82,500 20,000	970,200 370,200
Industrial Components - 1.8%			Trinity industries _	20,000	370,200
Bel Fuse Cl. A	53,200	1,090,600			21,421,802
Belden <u>d</u> C & D Technologies	95,800 50,000	1,522,262 718,000	<b>Total</b> (Cost \$83,669,214)		115,236,150
Donaldson Company	26,000	1,155,700	ι σται (σους φουγουσγεί τη		113,230,130
Kaydon Corporation Penn Engineering &	171,200	3,560,960	Industrial Services [] 13.4%		
Manufacturing Penn Engineering &	251,600	3,434,340	Advertising/Publishing - 0.7%		
Manufacturing Cl. A	77,600	942,064	Catalina Marketing a.d Cordiant Communications	60,000	1,059,000
PerkinElmer Powell Industries <u>a</u>	135,000 57,400	1,864,350 840,336	Group ADR 3.b Grey Global Group Interpublic Group of	100,000 3,817	28,000 2,948,671
Woodhead Industries	45,400	568,408	Companies <u>a</u>	155,000	2,073,900
		15,697,020			6,109,571
Machinery - 3.5% Cognex Corporation a Coherent a Federal Signal d Graco Lincoln Electric Holdings National Instruments ad Nordson Corporation Oshkosh Truck PAXAR Corporation a Woodward Governor  Paper and Packaging - 0.4% Peak International a Sealed Air a	163,400 233,700 58,600 26,550 237,880 41,100 172,200 13,000 370,100 83,600	3,651,990 5,534,016 1,029,602 849,600 4,855,131 1,552,758 4,106,970 771,160 4,071,100 3,594,800 30,017,127 1,816,972 1,620,440	Commercial Services - 5.0%  ABM Industries d  Allied Waste Industries d  Carlisle Holdings d Central Parking d Convergys Corporation d Core Laboratories d Cornell Companies d Covance d Hewitt Associates CI. A d Hudson Highland Group d iGATE Corporation d Iron Mountain d Korn/Ferry International d Learning Tree International d MPS Group d Manpower Metro One Telecommunications d Monster Worldwide d New Horizons Worldwide d	119,200 569,800 204,900 171,400 156,000 125,200 124,400 132,700 40,000 11,174 144,500 127,450 189,400 53,400 539,300 55,800 25,000 149,000 136,500	1,835,680 5,726,490 676,170 2,118,504 2,496,000 1,352,160 1,883,416 2,401,870 942,000 212,418 501,415 4,727,120 1,534,140 834,642 3,710,384 2,069,622 129,000 2,939,770 584,220
Pumps, Valves and Bearings - 0.7% Baldor Electric ConBraCo Industries Denison International ADR a.b Franklin Electric	62,900 7,630 79,400 23,600	1,295,740 587,510 1,528,450 1,313,340	RemedyTemp Cl. A a,d Renaissance Learning a,d Spherion Corporation a  TRC Companies a,d United Stationers a	78,500 10,000 109,000 53,000 23,000	724,555 219,000 757,550 782,280 831,910
NN	127,100	1,609,086	Wackenhut Corrections <u>a</u> Watson Wyatt & Company Holdings Cl. A <u>a</u>	21,100 45,000	289,281 1,043,100
		6,334,126	West Corporation a	75,000	1,998,750

Specialty Chemicals and Ma 1.2%	terials -				43,321,447
Arch Chemicals	38,200	729,620			
CFC International 3	122 500	666,000	Engineering and Construction		
CFC International <a> </a> Commercial Metals	123,500 5,000	666,900 88,950	- 0.5% EMCOR Group <sup>a</sup>	15,000	740,400
Hawkins	301,278	3,018,806	Jacobs Engineering Group <sup>a</sup>	20.000	843.000
MacDermid	211,631	5,565,895	McDermott International a Washington Group	71,000	449,430
			International <u>a</u>	100,000	2,196,000
		10,070,171			
					4,228,830
Textiles - 0.4%					
			Food/Tobacco Processors -		
Fab Industries <u>a</u>	209,800	1,930,160	0.9%		
Unifi <u>a</u>	285,100	1,767,620	Farmer Bros.	15,000	5,089,350
			MGP Ingredients	321,200	2,805,682
		3,697,780			
					7,895,032
Other Industrial Products -					
2.5% BHA Group Holdings	187,252	3,709,462			
Brady Corporation Cl. A	129,400	4,315,490			
,,	,	, = = ,			
			The Royce Funds S	emiannual Ref	PORT 2003   23

### ROYCE VALUE TRUST, INC.

### **SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS**

### June 30, 2003 (unaudited)

	SHARES	_	VALUE		SHARES	VALUE
Industrial Services (continued) Industrial Distribution -				Veritas DGC <u>a</u>	123,000	\$ 1,414,500
1.1%				Vintage Petroleum	48,300	544,824
Central Steel & Wire Ritchie Bros.	3,699	\$	1,387,125			
Auctioneers <u>a</u>	155,200		5,976,752			17,356,686
Strategic Distribution <sup>a</sup>	115,000		1,870,015			
_	,			Precious Metals and Mining - 0.7%		
			9,233,892	AngloGold ADR b,d	111,900	3,569,610
				Glamis Gold <sup>a</sup>	115,000	1,319,050
Printing - 1.5%				Gold Fields ADR b	57,800	704,004

Bowne & Co. Ennis Business Forms	383,100 62,700	4,991,793 912,285	MK Gold <u>a</u> Stillwater Mining <u>a</u>	517,900 60,000	393,604 308,400
Moore Wallace a New England Business Service	90,700 178,300	1,331,476 5,349,000			6,294,668
	170,500				
		12,584,554	Real Estate - 1.2%		
Transportation and			Alico	52,000	1,283,880
Logistics - 3.1%	100.000	2 000 000	Chelsea Property Group	55,000	2,217,050
Airborne AirNet Systems <u>a</u>	100,000 219,000	2,090,000 886,950	Consolidated-Tomoka Land Public Storage	13,564 45,000	340,728 1,524,150
Atlas Air Worldwide	210.000		_	422.400	
Holdings <u>a,d</u> Brink∏s Company (The)	210,000 137,278	308,700 2,000,140	Trammell Crow Company a	432,400	4,587,764
C. H. Robinson Worldwide	40,000	1,422,400			9,953,572
CNF	62,600	1,588,788			
Continental Airlines Cl. B a,d	150,000	2,245,500	<b>Total</b> (Cost \$41,719,336)		56,991,877
EGL <u>a,d</u> Forward Air <u>a,d</u>	198,525 148,000	3,017,580 3,754,760	Technology   20.2%		
Frozen Food Express	140,000	3,734,700	Technology   20.2 %		
Industries <u>a</u> Hub Group Cl. A <u>a</u>	306,635 77,000	968,967 676,060	Aerospace/Defense - 0.9% Curtiss-Wright d	58,300	3,684,560
Landstar System 2	33,800	2,124,330	Ducommun <u>a</u>	117,200	1,652,520
Patriot Transportation	126 200	2 042 207	Haylay Industrias 3	22.000	E 42, 260
Holding <u>a</u> UTI Worldwide	136,300 45,000	3,842,297 1,403,550	Herley Industries <u>a</u> Integral Systems <u>a</u>	32,000 74,800	543,360 1,487,024
		26,330,022			7,367,464
Other Industrial Services - 0.6%			Components and Systems - 5.4%		
Landauer	117,900	4,931,757	Adaptec <u>a,d</u>	99,500	774,110
Republic Services <u>a</u>	18,600	421,662	Advanced Digital Information a	79,000	789,210
		5,353,419	American Power Conversion Analogic Corporation	231,200 5,000	3,604,408 243,800
Total (Cost #00 769 912)		115 056 767	Catapult Communications a	75,100	797,562
<b>Total</b> (Cost \$90,768,813)		115,056,767	Dionex Corporation <u>a</u> Excel Technology <u>a</u>	89,000 168,500	3,537,750 3,846,855
Natural Resources 🛘 6.6%	)		Imation Corporation	35,700	1,350,174
Energy Services - 2.7% Carbo Ceramics d	105,600	3,933,600	InFocus Corporation <u>a</u> KEMET Corporation <u>a</u> , <u>d</u>	79,000 135,000	372,880 1,363,500
ENSCO International	6,443	173,317	Kronos a	35,850	1,821,538
Global Industries a	119,500	575,990	Methode Electronics Cl. A	50,000	537,500
Hanover Compressor Company <u>a</u>	175,000	1,977,500	Newport Corporation a.d	102,600	1,518,480
Helmerich & Payne	98,400	2,873,280	Pemstar <u>a,d</u>	220,000	921,800
Input/Output <u>a</u> Precision Drilling <u>a</u>	540,100 37,500	2,905,738 1,416,000	Perceptron <u>a</u> Radiant Systems <u>a</u>	397,400 47,500	2,384,400 320,150
TETRA Technologies <u>a</u>	49,000	1,452,850	Rainbow Technologies a	116,900	983,129
Tidewater Universal Compression	21,600	634,392	REMEC a,d	214,200	1,490,832
Holdings <u>a</u>	115,000	2,398,900	Scitex <u>a</u>	245,700	624,078
Willbros Group <u>a</u>	485,600	5,045,384	Storage Technology <u>a</u>	90,000	2,316,600
		23,386,951	Symbol Technologies TTM Technologies 2	304,900 280,500	3,966,749 1,315,545
			Technitrol a	285,900	4,302,795
			_		

7,480
9,375
1,700
L,717
7,364
9,901
5,504
1

24 | The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003

### ROYCE VALUE TRUST, INC.

### **SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS**

### June 30, 2003 (unaudited)

	SHARES	VALUE		SHARES	VALUE
<b>Technology (continued)</b> Distribution (continued)			ParthusCeva <u>a</u> Semitool <u>a</u>	31,666 50,000	\$ 258,078 246,500
Brightpoint <u>a</u>	11,286	\$ 138,818	Veeco Instruments a,d	65,000	1,106,950
Insight Enterprises <a>2</a> Plexus <a>2</a>	71,500 274,600	719,290 3,166,138			24,937,850
Tech Data <u>a</u>	200,500	5,355,355			
			Software - 1.9%		
		21,715,087	Adobe Systems	30,000	962,100
			ANSYS a	45,500	1,415,050
Internet Software and			_		
Services - 0.8%			Aspen Technology <u>a,d</u>	27,100	130,080
CNET Networks a	265,400	1,653,442	Autodesk	251,000	4,056,160
CryptoLogic <u>a</u>	202,000	1,510,960	Business Objects ADR a,b,d	25,500	559,725
CyberSource Corporation <u>a</u>	10,000	27,400	JDA Software Group <u>a</u>	149,900	1,677,381
DoubleClick <u>a</u>	166,700	1,541,975	MRO Software <u>a</u>	46,000	396,980
EarthLink <u>a</u>	122,700	968,103	MSC.Software <u>a,d</u>	42,600	287,124
Overture Services a,d	5,000	90,650	Macromedia <u>a</u>	61,600	1,296,064
RealNetworks <u>a</u>	85,400	579,012	Manugistics Group a,d	49,200	202,212
Satyam Computer					
Services ADR <u>b,d</u>	20,000	198,600	Novell <u>a</u>	146,000	449,680
Stamps.com <u>a</u>	80,300	385,440	Phoenix Technologies a,d	40,900	231,085
Vastera <u>a</u>	15,000	89,550	Progress Software <u>a</u>	50,500	1,046,865
			SPSS <u>a</u>	107,500	1,799,550
			Transaction Systems		
		7,045,132	Architects Cl. A <u>a</u>	237,300	2,126,208

IT Services - 4.3%					16,636,264
American Management Systems <u>a</u>	331,900	4,739,532			
Answerthink a	655,000	1,264,150	Telecommunication - 1.5%		
BearingPoint a	486,000	4,689,900	ADC Telecommunications a	113,000	263,064
CIBER a	70,000	491,400	ADTRAN a	40,000	2,040,800
Covansys Corporation a	251,600	772,412	Allegiance Telecom a,d	2,016,700	110,918
DiamondCluster	200.000	1 071 010	Assessment and	20.000	201 100
International Cl. A <u>a</u> Forrester Research <u>a</u>	288,900 91,500	1,071,819 1,496,940	Anaren a.d Andrew Corporation a	30,000 30,000	281,100 276,000
Gartner Cl. A a	166,000	1,258,280	Arris Group and	70,000	347,200
CGI Group Cl. A a	106,700	642,334	Comverse Technology a	30,000	450,900
Keane <sup>a</sup>	497,000	6,774,110	Globecomm Systems a	233,700	766,536
MAXIMUS a,d	113,000	3,122,190	IDT Corporation a,d	25,000	447,500
Perot Systems Cl. A <u>a</u>	165,100	1,875,536	IDT Corporation Cl. B <u>a</u>	40,000	704,000
QRS Corporation <u>a</u>	57,500	304,750	Inet Technologies <u>a</u>	65,000	648,050
Sapient Corporation <u>a</u>	1,124,400	3,114,588	Level 3 Communications a,d Liberty Satellite &	408,400	2,711,776
Syntel <u>a</u>	72,400	1,138,852	Technology Cl. A a,d	196,530	510,978
Unisys Corporation <sup>a</sup>	325,000	3,991,000	PECO II a	93,600	58,781
	0_0,000		Plantronics <sup>a</sup>	55,100	1,194,017
		36,747,793	Polycom a,d	37,000	512,820
			Sycamore Networks a	38,000	145,540
Semiconductors and Equipr	ment -		Sycamore weeworks _	30,000	143,340
2.9%			Time Warner Telecom Cl. A a	204,000	1,299,480
Artisan Components a	15,000	339,150			
BE Semiconductor	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,			
Industries <u>a</u>	58,000	310,300			12,769,460
Credence Systems <u>a</u>	10,600	89,782			
Cymer a,d	14,500	464,145	Total (Cost \$167,662,238)		173,613,750
DSP Group 2	115,000	2,475,950			
DuPont Photomasks a	35,000	659,050	Utilities  ☐ 0.1%		
Electroglas a,d	281,700	369,027	Southern Union a	10,000	169,400
Exar Corporation <u>a</u>	92,300	1,461,109			
Fairchild Semiconductor					
Cl. A <u>a</u>	183,000	2,340,570	<b>Total</b> (Cost \$132,500)		169,400
GlobespanVirata <u>a</u>	85,000	701,250			
Helix Technology <u>d</u>	51,900	686,637	Miscellaneous [] 1.7%		
Integrated Circuit Systems		4 0 40 0 0	<b>T</b> -1-1 (C+ #11 020 054)		
a,d 	135,000	4,243,050	<b>Total</b> (Cost \$11,930,854)		14,181,950
Intevac a,d	216,650	1,455,888			
Kulicke & Soffa Industries	105.000	676.062	TOTAL COMMON STOCKS		
Lattice Semiconductor •	105,800 264,000	676,062 2,172,720	TOTAL COMMON STOCKS (Cost \$629,557,734)		783,631,546
_			(COSE \$029,337,734)		763,031,340
Mentor Graphics a,d	225,700	3,268,136	DDEEEDDED STOCKS		
National Semiconductor a	43,200	851,904	PREFERRED STOCKS   0.1%		
National Semiconauctor _	45,200	031,304	Aristotle Corporation 11.00%		
Novellus Systems <u>a</u>	12,000	439,452	Conv.	4,800	33,888
NVIDIA Corporation <u>a</u>	14,000	322,140	SVB Capital I 8.25%	20,000	499,980
			TOTAL PREFERRED		
			STOCKS		F32.000
			(Cost \$531,005)		533,868
					00001 07

The Royce Funds Semiannual Report  $2003 \mid 25$ 

### ROYCE VALUE TRUST, INC.

### SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

### June 30, 2003 (unaudited)

	PRINCIPAL AMOUNT	VALUE		VALUE
CORPORATE BONDS [] 0.2%			REPURCHASE AGREEMENT [] 5.4%	
Dixie Group 7.00%			State Street Bank & Trust	
Conv. Sub. Deb. due	t 527,000	¢ 222.200	Company, 0.30% dated 6/30/03,	
5/15/12 Richardson Electronics 7.25%	\$ 537,000	\$ 322,200	due 7/1/03, maturity value \$46,399,387 (collateralized by U.S. Treasury Notes, 1.75% due	
Conv. Sub. Deb. due	1 210 000	1 001 500	12/31/04, valued at	
12/15/06	1,319,000	1,081,580	\$47,329,137) (Cart #46,200,000)	¢ 46.200.000
TOTAL CORPORATE			(Cost \$46,399,000)	\$ 46,399,000
BONDS				
(Cost \$1,544,984)		1,403,780	TOTAL INVESTMENTS [] 100.1%	
			(Cost \$705,485,847)	859,617,619
U.S. TREASURY OBLIGATI	ONS 🛛 3.2%			
U.S. Treasury Notes			LIABILITIES LESS CASH	(200,422)
5.625%, due 2/15/06	25,000,000	27,649,425	AND OTHER ASSETS ☐ (0.1)%	(396,423)
TOTAL U.S. TREASURY OF	BLIGATIONS		NET ASSETS ☐ 100.0%	\$ 859,221,196
(Cost \$27,453,124)		27,649,425		

a Non-income producing.

Bold indicates the Fund s largest 20 equity holdings in terms of June 30, 2003 market value.

**INCOME TAX INFORMATION:** The cost of total investments for Federal income tax purposes was \$709,157,702. At June 30, 2003, net unrealized appreciation for all securities was \$150,459,917, consisting of aggregate gross unrealized appreciation of \$228,856,672 and aggregate gross unrealized depreciation of \$78,396,755. The primary differences in book and tax basis cost is the timing of the recognition of losses on securities sold and amortization of discount for book and tax purposes.

b American Depository Receipt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> At June 30, 2003, the Fund owned 5% or more of the Company soutstanding voting securities thereby making the Company an Affiliated Company as that term is defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940.

d A portion of these securities were on loan at June 30, 2003. Total market value of loaned securities at June 30, 2003 was \$33,925,944.

e A security for which market quotations are no longer readily available represents 0.3% of net assets. This security has been valued at its fair value under procedures established by the Fund so Board of Directors.

New additions in 2003.

### THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

26 | The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003

### ROYCE VALUE TRUST, INC.

STATEMENT OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES	JUNE 30, 2003 (UNAUDITED)
ASSETS:	
Investments at value (identified cost \$659,086,847)	\$ 813,218,619
Repurchase agreement (at cost and value) Cash	46,399,000 126
Collateral from brokers on securities loaned	36,246,784
Receivable for investments sold	612,103
Receivable for dividends and interest	929,747
Total Assets	897,406,379
LIABILITIES:	
Payable for collateral on securities loaned	36,246,784
Payable for investments purchased	637,532
Payable for investment advisory fee Preferred dividends accrued but not yet declared	809,646 266,225
Accrued expenses	224,996
Total Liabilities	38,185,183
Net Assets	\$ 859,221,196
ANALYSIS OF NET ASSETS:	
PREFERRED STOCK: Par value of 7.80% Cumulative Preferred Stock ☐ \$0.001 per share; 2,400,000 sh Par value of 7.30% Tax-Advantaged Cumulative Preferred Stock ☐ \$0.001 per share;	
shares outstanding	4,000
Additional paid-in capital	159,993,600
Net Assets applicable to Preferred Stock at a liquidation value of \$25 per share	160,000,000
COMMON STOCK:	
Par value of Common Stock ☐ \$0.001 per share; 48,820,755 shares outstanding (	(150,000,000 shares
authorized)	48,821
Additional paid-in capital Accumulated net investment loss	566,557,501 (895,291)
Accumulated net investment loss Accumulated net realized gain on investments	15,009,715
Net unrealized appreciation on investments	154,131,809
	(35,631,359)

Net Assets applicable to Common Stock (net asset value per share [] \$14.32)	699,221,196
Net Assets	\$ 859,221,196

### THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

THE ROYCE FUNDS SEMIANNUAL REPORT 2003 | 27

### ROYCE VALUE TRUST, INC.

# SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2003 (UNAUDITED)

### **STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS**

INVESTMENT INCOME: Income:		
Dividends Interest	\$	2,629,376 851,553
Total income		3,480,929
Expenses: Investment advisory fees Stockholder reports Custody and transfer agent fees Administrative and office facilities expenses Directors Fees Professional fees Other expenses		4,482,527 176,214 104,025 58,433 55,391 46,220 70,077
Total expenses Fees waived by investment advisor		4,992,887 (616,667)
Net expenses		4,376,220
Net investment income (loss)		(895,291)
REALIZED AND UNREALIZED GAIN ON INVESTMENTS: Net realized gain on investments Net change in unrealized appreciation on investments		18,822,862 84,176,771
Net realized and unrealized gain on investments	1	102,999,633
NET INCREASE IN NET ASSETS FROM INVESTMENT OPERATIONS	\$ 1	102,104,342

### STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN NET ASSETS

	Six months ended June 30, 2003 (unaudited)	Year ended December 31, 2002
INVESTMENT OPERATIONS: Net investment loss Net realized gain on investments Net change in unrealized appreciation on investments	\$ (895,291) 18,822,862 84,176,771	\$ (583,347) 62,933,497 (156,381,089)
Net increase (decrease) in net assets from investment operations	102,104,342	(94,030,939)
DISTRIBUTIONS TO PREFERRED STOCKHOLDERS: Net investment income Net realized gain on investments Quarterly distributions **	[] [] (5,990,000)	(581,030) (11,398,970)
Total distributions to Preferred Stockholders	(5,990,000)	(11,980,000)
DISTRIBUTIONS TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS: Net investment income Net realized gain on investments Quarterly distributions *	[] [] (29,375,135)	(2,981,664) (58,496,049)
Total distributions to Common Stockholders	(29,375,135)	(61,477,713)
CAPITAL STOCK TRANSACTIONS: Proceeds from rights offering Reinvestment of distributions to Common Stockholders	54,505,909 17,200,457	39,123,307
Total capital stock transactions	71,706,366	39,123,307
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN NET ASSETS NET ASSETS: Beginning of period	138,445,573 720,775,623	(128,365,345) 849,140,968
End of period (including accumulated net investment loss of \$895,291 in 2003)	\$859,221,196	\$ 720,775,623

<sup>\*</sup> To be allocated to net investment income and capital gains at year-end.

### THE ACCOMPANYING NOTES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THESE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

28 | The Royce Funds Semiannual Report 2003