COMMUNITY BANKSHARES INC /SC/

Form 10-K March 30, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2006

Commission File No. 000-22054

COMMUNITY BANKSHARES, INC. (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

South Carolina (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

57-0966962 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

102 Founders Court, Orangeburg, SC 29118 (Address of principal executive offices, zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (803) 535-1060

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Common Stock, No Par Value

Name of each exchange on which registered American Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

[] Yes [X] No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

[] Yes [X] No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934 during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes [] No []

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated file. See definition of "accelerated

filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act: (Check one):

Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer [] Non-accelerated filer [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the $\mbox{registrant}$ is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

[] Yes [X] No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, June 30, 2006, was approximately \$53,738,000.

As of March 14, 2007, there were 4,466,772 shares of the registrant's common stock, no par value, outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Registrant's Proxy Statement for the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders - Part III

10-K TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I

Item 1	Business
Item 1A	Risk Factors
Item 1B	Unresolved Staff Comments
Item 2	Properties
Item 3	Legal Proceedings
Item 4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
	Part II
Item 5	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and
	Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities
Item 6	Selected Financial Data
Item 7	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of
	Operations
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
Item 8	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
	Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2006 and 2005
	Consolidated Statements of Income,
	Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity,
	Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows,
	Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
	Quarterly Data for 2006 and 2005
Item 9	Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants
1ccm y	on Accounting and Financial Disclosure
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures
Item 9A(T).	Controls and Procedures
ILEM JA(I).	CONCLOIS and Flocedules

Item	9B	Other Information
		Part III
Item	10	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance
Item	11	Executive Compensation
Item	12	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters
Item	13	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence
Item	14	Principal Accountant Fees and Services
		Part IV
Item	15	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

2

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Statements included in this report which are not historical in nature are intended to be, and are hereby identified as `forward-looking statements' for purposes of the safe harbor provided by Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Forward-looking statements include statements concerning plans, objectives, goals, strategies, future events or performance and underlying assumptions and other statements which are other than statements of historical facts. Such forward-looking statements may be identified, without limitation, by the use the of the words "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "plans," "predicts," "projects," and similar expressions. The Corporation's expectations, beliefs, estimates and projections are expressed in good faith and are believed by the Corporation to have a reasonable basis, including without limitation, management's examination of historical operating trends, data contained in the Corporation's records and other data available from third parties, but there can be no assurance that management's expectations, beliefs, estimates or projections will result or be achieved or accomplished. The Corporation cautions readers that forward-looking statements, including without limitation, those relating to the Corporation's recent and continuing expansion, its future business prospects, revenues, working capital, liquidity, capital needs, interest costs, income, and adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, are not guarantees of future performance, and are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements, due to several important factors herein identified, among others, and other risks and factors identified from time to time in the Corporation's reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited

- o the Corporation's growth and ability to maintain growth;
- o governmental monetary and fiscal policies, as well as legislative and regulatory changes;
- o the effect of interest rate changes on our level and composition of deposits, loan demand and the value of our loan collateral and securities;
- o the effects of competition from other financial institutions operating in the Corporation's market areas and elsewhere, including institutions operating locally, regionally, nationally and internationally;
- o failure of assumptions underlying the establishment of the allowance for loan losses, including the value of collateral securing loans; and

loss of consumer confidence and economic disruptions resulting from terrorist activities.

The Corporation undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

References to our Website Address

References to our website address throughout this Annual Report on Form 10-K and in any documents incorporated into this Form 10-K by reference are for informational purposes only, or to fulfill specific disclosure requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules or the American Stock Exchange listing standards. These references are not intended to, and do not, incorporate the contents of our website by reference into this Form 10-K or the accompanying materials.

3

PART I

Item 1. Business

Form of organization

Community Bankshares, Inc. (CBI or the Corporation) is a South Carolina corporation and a bank holding company. CBI commenced operations on July 1, 1993, upon effectiveness of the acquisition of the Orangeburg National Bank as a wholly-owned subsidiary. In June 1996 CBI acquired all the stock of Sumter National Bank. In July 1998 CBI acquired all the stock of Florence National Bank. In July 2002 CBI acquired by merger Ridgeway Bancshares, Inc., the parent company of the former Bank of Ridgeway.

Orangeburg National Bank was chartered in 1987 as a national bank, and operated from two offices located in Orangeburg, South Carolina. Sumter National Bank (the Sumter bank), a national bank, was chartered in 1996 and operated from two offices located in Sumter, South Carolina. Florence National Bank (the Florence bank), a national bank, was chartered in 1998 and operated from two offices located in Florence, South Carolina. Bank of Ridgeway (the Ridgeway bank), a South Carolina state-chartered bank organized in 1898, operated from one office in Ridgeway, one office in Winnsboro, and one office in Blythewood, South Carolina. In November 2001, CBI acquired all the common stock of Resource Mortgage Inc., a Columbia, South Carolina based mortgage company, and subsequently renamed it Community Resource Mortgage, Inc. (CRM).

In August 2006, Orangeburg National Bank's name was changed to Community Resource Bank, N.A. ("CRB" or the "Bank"), and in October 2006, the Sumter bank, the Florence bank and the Ridgeway bank were merged into CRB. As a result, the Corporation now consists of the holding Company (CBI), the bank subsidiary (CRB) and the mortgage company (CRM). Effective in January 2007 the operations of the mortgage company became a division of the Bank. The Bank plans to continue to conduct mortgage loan origination operations under the name "Community Resource Mortgage, a division of Community Resource Bank" (the "Mortgage Division"). CRM remains a separate corporate entity and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Corporation, but with only limited assets and activities. The Bank now operates in four geographical regions: Orangeburg, Sumter, Florence and the Midlands (Fairfield and Richland counties). The Mortgage Division operates in the Richland, Sumter and Charleston County areas of South Carolina, and in

the Mecklenburg County area of North Carolina. Customers now have access to services and information through nine branches, and management expects to have a tenth office open by the fourth quarter 2007.

Business of banking

Community Resource Bank, N.A. offers a full array of commercial bank services. Deposit services include business and personal checking accounts, NOW accounts, savings accounts, money market accounts, various term certificates of deposit, IRA accounts, and other deposit services. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insures deposits up to applicable limits. Most of the Bank's deposits are attracted from individuals and small to medium sized businesses.

The Bank offers secured and unsecured, short-to-intermediate term loans, with floating and fixed interest rates for commercial and consumer purposes. Consumer loans include car loans, home equity improvement loans secured by first and second mortgages, personal expenditure loans, education loans, and the like. Commercial loans include short-term unsecured loans, short and intermediate term real estate mortgage loans, loans secured by listed

4

stocks, loans secured by equipment, inventory, accounts receivable, and the like. The Bank does not and will not discriminate against any applicant for credit on the basis of race, color, creed, sex, age, marital status, familial status, handicap, or derivation of income from public assistance programs.

Other services offered by the Bank include safe deposit boxes, night depository service, VISA and Master Card brand credit cards (through a correspondent), tax deposits, sale of U.S. Treasury bonds, notes and bills and other U.S. government securities (through a correspondent), twenty-four hour automated teller service, and consumer and commercial Internet banking services. The Bank has ATMs that are part of the Star and Cirrus networks.

The Mortgage Division provides a wide variety of one to four family residential mortgage products in the Columbia, Sumter, and Charleston, South Carolina markets. During the first quarter of 2007, the Mortgage Division announced its intention to enter the Charlotte, North Carolina market.

Competition

The market for financial institutions in our various markets is highly competitive. Banks generally compete with other financial institutions through the banking services and products offered, the pricing of services, the level of service provided, the convenience and availability of services, and the degree of expertise and personal concern with which services are offered. The Bank encounters strong competition from most of the financial institutions in its market areas.

The primary market area for the Bank comprises generally the middle of the state and Pee Dee section of South Carolina and, with loan and mortgage production offices, also includes Charleston and York Counties in South Carolina, and Mecklenburg County in North Carolina. In the conduct of certain banking business, the Bank also competes with credit unions, consumer finance companies, insurance companies, money market mutual funds, and other financial institutions, many of which are not subject to the same degree of regulation and restrictions imposed upon the Bank. Many of these competitors have substantially greater resources and lending limits than the Bank and offer certain services, such as international banking and trust services, which the Bank does not

provide. The Bank believes, however, that its relatively small size and community banking orientation permits it to offer more personalized services than many of its competitors.

At June 30, 2006, the Bank was the 14th largest (of 101) FDIC insured financial institutions in the state of South Carolina. At that date the Bank had \$464 million in deposits, which represented .79% of the state's total FDIC insured deposits of \$59 billion. In Orangeburg County the Bank competed with eight other FDIC insured institutions, in Sumter County seven, in Florence County 18, in Richland County 16, and in Fairfield County three. At June 30, 2006, the Bank's Orangeburg offices had the second largest deposit share in Orangeburg; the Bank's Sumter offices had the third largest deposit base in Sumter; the Bank's Florence offices had the ninth largest deposit share in Florence; and the Bank's Midlands offices had the largest deposit share in Fairfield County.

The Mortgage Division provides services from offices in Richland, Sumter and Charleston Counties of South Carolina, and Mecklenburg County of North Carolina, where it competes with hundreds of financial institutions and mortgage originators.

5

Dependence on Major Customers

The Bank does not consider itself dependent on any single customer or small group of customers, either in the deposit or lending areas.

SUPERVISION AND REGULATION

Bank holding companies and banks are extensively regulated under federal and state law. To the extent that the following information describes statutory and regulatory provisions, it is qualified in its entirety by reference to such statutes and regulations. Any change in applicable law or regulation may have a material effect on the business of CBI and the Bank.

As discussed below under the caption "Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act", Congress has adopted extensive changes in the laws governing the financial services industry. Among the changes adopted are creation of the financial holding company, a new type of bank holding company with powers that greatly exceed those of standard holding companies, and creation of the financial subsidiary, a subsidiary that can be used by national banks to engage in many, though not all, of the same activities in which a financial holding company may engage. The legislation also establishes the concept of functional regulation whereby the various financial activities in which financial institutions engage are overseen by the regulator with the relevant regulatory experience. Accordingly, the following discussion relates to the supervisory and regulatory provisions that apply to CBI and the Bank as they currently operate.

Regulation of Bank Holding Companies

General

As a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act ("BHCA"), CBI is subject to the regulations of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve"). Under the BHCA, CBI's activities and those of its subsidiaries are limited to banking, managing or controlling banks, furnishing services to or performing services for its subsidiaries or engaging in any other activity which the Federal Reserve determines to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper

incident thereto. The BHCA prohibits CBI from acquiring direct or indirect control of more than 5% of the outstanding voting stock or substantially all of the assets of any bank or from merging or consolidating with another bank holding company without prior approval of the Federal Reserve. The BHCA also prohibits CBI from acquiring control of any bank operating outside the State of South Carolina unless such action is specifically authorized by the statutes of the state where the bank to be acquired is located.

Additionally, the BHCA prohibits CBI from engaging in or from acquiring ownership or control of more than 5% of the outstanding voting stock of any company engaged in a non-banking business unless such business is determined by the Federal Reserve to be so closely related to banking as to be properly incident thereto. The BHCA generally does not place territorial restrictions on the activities of such non-banking-related activities.

As discussed below under "Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act", a bank holding company that meets certain requirements may now qualify as a financial holding company and thereby significantly increase the variety of services it may provide and the investments it may make.

CBI is also subject to limited regulation and supervision by the South Carolina State Board of Financial Institutions (the "State Board"). A South Carolina bank holding company may be required to provide the State Board with

6

information with respect to the financial condition, operations, management and inter-company relationships of the holding company and its subsidiaries. The State Board also may require such other information as is necessary to keep itself informed about whether the provisions of South Carolina law and the regulations and orders issued thereunder by the State Board have been complied with, and the State Board may examine any bank holding company and its subsidiaries. Furthermore, pursuant to applicable law and regulations, the Company must receive approval of, or give notice to (as applicable) the State Board prior to engaging in the acquisition of banking or non-banking institutions or assets.

Obligations of Holding Company to its Subsidiary Banks

A number of obligations and restrictions are imposed on bank holding companies and their depository institution subsidiaries by Federal law and regulatory policies that are designed to reduce potential loss exposure to the depositors of such depository institutions and to the FDIC insurance funds in the event the depository institution is in danger of becoming insolvent or is insolvent. For example, under the policy of the Federal Reserve, a bank holding company is required to serve as a source of financial strength to its subsidiary depository institutions and to commit resources to support such institutions in circumstances where it might not do so absent such policy. In addition, the "cross-guarantee" provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, as amended ("FDIA"), require insured depository institutions under common control to reimburse the FDIC for any loss suffered or reasonably anticipated by the Deposit Insurance Fund of the FDIC as a result of the default of a commonly controlled insured depository institution or for any assistance provided by the FDIC to a commonly controlled insured depository institution in danger of default. The FDIC may decline to enforce the cross-guarantee provisions if it determines that a waiver is in the best interest of the Deposit Insurance Fund. The FDIC's claim for damages is superior to claims of stockholders of the insured depository institution or its holding company but is subordinate to claims of depositors, secured creditors and holders of subordinated debt (other

than affiliates) of the commonly controlled insured depository institutions.

The FDIA also provides that amounts received from the liquidation or other resolution of any insured depository institution by any receiver must be distributed (after payment of secured claims) to pay the deposit liabilities of the institution prior to payment of any other general or unsecured senior liability, subordinated liability, general creditor or stockholder. This provision gives depositors a preference over general and subordinated creditors and stockholders in the event a receiver is appointed to distribute the assets of the bank.

Any capital loans by a bank holding company to any of its subsidiary banks are subordinate in right of payment to deposits and to certain other indebtedness of such subsidiary bank. In the event of a bank holding company's bankruptcy, any commitment by the bank holding company to a federal bank regulatory agency to maintain the capital of a subsidiary bank will be assumed by the bankruptcy trustee and entitled to a priority of payment.

Under the National Bank Act, if the capital stock of a national bank is impaired by losses or otherwise, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") is authorized to require payment of the deficiency by assessment upon the bank's shareholders', pro rata, and to the extent necessary, if any such assessment is not paid by any shareholder after three months notice, to sell the stock of such shareholder to make good the deficiency.

7

Capital Adequacy Guidelines for Bank Holding Companies and Banks

The various federal bank regulators, including the Federal Reserve and the FDIC, have adopted risk-based and leverage capital adequacy guidelines assessing bank holding company and bank capital adequacy. These standards define what qualifies as capital and establish minimum capital standards in relation to assets and off-balance-sheet exposures, as adjusted for credit risks. The capital guidelines and CBI's capital position are summarized in Note 19 to the Financial Statements, contained elsewhere in this report. The Bank is considered well capitalized.

Failure to meet capital guidelines could subject the Bank to a variety of enforcement remedies, including the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC and a prohibition on the taking of brokered deposits.

The risk-based capital standards of both the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC explicitly identify concentrations of credit risk and the risk arising from non-traditional activities, as well as an institution's ability to manage these risks, as important factors to be taken into account by the agencies in assessing an institution's overall capital adequacy. The capital guidelines also provide that an institution's exposure to a decline in the economic value of its capital due to changes in interest rates be considered by the agencies as a factor in evaluating a bank's capital adequacy. The Federal Reserve Board also has recently issued additional capital guidelines for bank holding companies that engage in certain trading activities.

Payment of Dividends

CBI is a legal entity separate and distinct from the Bank. Most of the revenues of CBI result from dividends paid to CBI by the Bank. There are statutory and regulatory requirements applicable to the payment of dividends by subsidiary bank as well as by CBI to its shareholders.

Each national banking association is required by federal law to obtain

the prior approval of the OCC for the payment of dividends if the total of all dividends declared by the board of directors of such bank in any year will exceed the total of (i) such bank's net profits (as defined and interpreted by regulation) for that year plus (ii) the retained net profits (as defined and interpreted by regulation) for the preceding two years, less any required transfers to surplus. In addition, national banks can pay dividends only to the extent that retained net profits (including the portion transferred to surplus) exceed bad debts (as defined by regulation).

The payment of dividends by CBI and the Bank may also be affected or limited by other factors, such as the requirements to maintain adequate capital above regulatory guidelines. In addition, if, in the opinion of the applicable regulatory authority, a bank under its jurisdiction is engaged in or is about to engage in an unsafe or unsound practice (which, depending on the financial condition of the Banks, could include the payment of dividends), such authority may require, after notice and hearing, that such bank cease and desist from such practice. The OCC has indicated that paying dividends that deplete a national bank's capital base to an inadequate level would be an unsafe and unsound banking practice. The Federal Reserve, the OCC and the FDIC have issued policy statements, which provide that bank holding companies and insured banks should generally only pay dividends out of current operating earnings.

8

Certain Transactions by CBI with its Affiliates

Federal law regulates transactions among CBI and its affiliates, including the amount of the Banks' loans to or investments in nonbank affiliates and the amount of advances to third parties collateralized by securities of an affiliate. Further, a bank holding company and its affiliates are prohibited from engaging in certain tie-in arrangements in connection with any extension of credit, lease or sale of property or furnishing of services.

FDIC Insurance Assessments

The FDIC merged the Bank Insurance Fund and the Savings Association Insurance Fund to form the Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF") on March 31, 2006 in accordance with the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act of 2005. The FDIC maintains the DIF by assessing depository institutions an insurance premium. The amount each institution is assessed is based upon statutory factors that include the balance of insured deposits as well as the degree of risk the institution poses to the insurance fund. The FDIC uses a risk-based premium system that assesses higher rates on those institutions that pose greater risks to the DIF. Under the rule adopted by the FDIC in November 2006, beginning January 1, 2007, the FDIC will place each institution in one of four risk categories using a two-step process based first on capital ratios (the capital group assignment) and then on other relevant information (the supervisory group assignment). Beginning January 1, 2007, rates will range between 5 and 43 cents per \$100 in assessable deposits.

Regulation of the Bank

Community Resource Bank, N.A. is subject to regulation and examination by OCC bank examiners. In addition, the Bank is subject to various other state and federal laws and regulations, including state usury laws, laws relating to fiduciaries, consumer credit laws and laws relating to branch banking. The Bank's loan operations are subject to certain federal consumer credit laws and regulations promulgated thereunder, including, but not limited to: the federal Truth-In-Lending Act, governing disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers; the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, requiring financial institutions to

provide certain information concerning their mortgage lending; the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act, which prohibit discrimination on the basis of certain prohibited factors in extending credit; and the Fair Debt Collection Act, governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by collection agencies. The deposit operations of the Bank are subject to the Truth in Savings Act, requiring certain disclosures about rates paid on savings accounts; the Expedited Funds Availability Act, which deals with disclosure of the availability of funds deposited in accounts and the collection and return of checks by banks; the Right to Financial Privacy Act, which imposes a duty to maintain certain confidentiality of consumer financial records and the Electronic Funds Transfer Act and regulations promulgated thereunder, which govern automatic deposits to and withdrawals from deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of automated teller machines and other electronic banking services. The Bank is also subject to the Fair Credit Reporting Act, governing the use and provision of information to credit reporting agencies; the Bank Secrecy Act, dealing with, among other things, the reporting of certain currency transactions; and the USA PATRIOT Act, dealing with, among other things, requiring the establishment of anti-money laundering programs including standards for verifying customer information at account opening.

The Bank is subject to the requirements of the Community Reinvestment Act (the "CRA"). The CRA imposes on financial institutions an affirmative and ongoing obligation to meet the credit needs of their local communities,

9

including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods, consistent with the safe and sound operation of those institutions. Each financial institution's actual performance in meeting community credit needs is evaluated as part of the examination process, and also is considered in evaluating mergers, acquisitions and applications to open a branch or facility.

Other Safety and Soundness Regulations

Prompt Corrective Action. The federal banking agencies have broad powers under current federal law to take prompt corrective action to resolve problems of insured depository institutions. The extent of these powers depends upon whether the institutions in question are "well capitalized," "adequately capitalized," "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized" or "critically undercapitalized."

A bank that is "undercapitalized" becomes subject to provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act ("FDIA") restricting payment of capital distributions and management fees; requiring the bank's primary federal regulator to monitor the condition of the bank; requiring submission by the bank of a capital restoration plan; prohibiting the acceptance of employee benefit plan deposits; restricting the growth of the bank's assets and requiring prior approval of certain expansion proposals. A bank that is "significantly undercapitalized" is also subject to restrictions on compensation paid to senior management of the bank, and a bank that is "critically undercapitalized" is further subject to restrictions on the activities of the bank and restrictions on payments of subordinated debt of the bank. The purpose of these provisions is to require banks with less than adequate capital to act quickly to restore their capital and to have the bank's primary federal regulator move promptly to take over banks that are unwilling or unable to take such steps.

Brokered Deposits. Under current FDIC regulations, "well capitalized" banks may accept brokered deposits without restriction, "adequately capitalized" banks may accept brokered deposits with a waiver from the FDIC (subject to

certain restrictions on interest rates that may be paid on such deposits), while "undercapitalized" banks may not accept brokered deposits. The regulations provide that the definitions of "well capitalized", "adequately capitalized" and "undercapitalized" are the same as the definitions adopted by the agencies to implement the prompt corrective action provisions described in the previous paragraph.

Interstate Banking

Under the Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 ("Riegle-Neal"), CBI and any other adequately capitalized bank holding company located in South Carolina can acquire a bank located in any other state, and a bank holding company located outside South Carolina can acquire any South Carolina-based bank, in either case subject to certain deposit percentage and other restrictions. Riegle-Neal also provides that, in any state that has not previously elected to prohibit out-of-state banks from operating interstate branches within its territory, adequately capitalized and managed bank holding companies can consolidate their multistate bank operations into a single bank subsidiary and branch interstate through acquisitions. De novo branching by an out-of-state bank is permitted only if it is expressly permitted by the laws of the host state. The authority of a bank to establish and operate branches within a state will continue to be subject to applicable state branching laws. South Carolina law was amended, effective July 1, 1996, to permit such interstate branching but not de novo branching by an out-of-state bank.

The Riegle-Neal Act, together with legislation adopted in South Carolina, resulted in a number of South Carolina banks being acquired by large out-of-state bank holding companies. Size gives the larger banks certain

10

advantages in competing for business from larger customers. These advantages include higher lending limits and the ability to offer services in other areas of South Carolina and the region. As a result, the Bank does not generally attempt to compete for the banking relationships of large corporations, but concentrate their efforts on small to medium-sized businesses and on individuals. CBI believes its Bank has competed effectively in this market segment by offering quality, personal service.

Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act

The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, which makes it easier for affiliations between banks, securities firms and insurance companies to take place, became effective in March 2000. The Act removes Depression-era barriers that had separated banks and securities firms, and seeks to protect the privacy of consumers' financial information.

Under provisions of the legislation and regulations adopted by the appropriate regulators, banks, securities firms and insurance companies are able to structure new affiliations through a holding company structure or through a financial subsidiary. The legislation creates a new type of bank holding company called a "financial holding company" which has powers much more extensive than those of standard holding companies. These expanded powers include authority to engage in "financial activities," which are activities that are (1) financial in nature; (2) incidental to activities that are financial in nature; or (3) complementary to a financial activity and that do not impose a safety and soundness risk. Significantly, the permitted financial activities for financial holding companies include authority to engage in merchant banking and insurance activities, including insurance portfolio investing. A bank holding company can qualify as a financial holding company and expand the services it offers only if

all of its subsidiary depository institutions are well-managed, well-capitalized and have received a rating of "satisfactory" on their last Community Reinvestment Act examination.

The legislation also creates another new type of entity called a "financial subsidiary." A financial subsidiary may be used by a national bank or a group of national banks to engage in many of the same activities permitted for a financial holding company, though several of these activities, including real estate development or investment, insurance or annuity underwriting, insurance portfolio investing and merchant banking, are reserved for financial holding companies. A bank's investment in a financial subsidiary affects the way in which the bank calculates its regulatory capital, and the assets and liabilities of financial subsidiaries may not be consolidated with those of the bank. The bank must also be certain that its risk management procedures are adequate to protect it from financial and operational risks created both by itself and by any financial subsidiary. Further, the bank must establish policies to maintain the separate corporate identities of the bank and its financial subsidiary and to prevent each from becoming liable for the obligations of the other. The Bank has a financial subsidiary for the sale of securities and insurance products.

The Act also establishes the concept of "functional supervision," meaning that similar activities should be regulated by the same regulator. Accordingly, the Act spells out the regulatory authority of the bank regulatory agencies, the Securities and Exchange Commission and state insurance regulators so that each type of activity is supervised by a regulator with corresponding expertise. The Federal Reserve Board is intended to be an umbrella supervisor with the authority to require a bank holding company or financial holding company or any subsidiary of either to file reports as to its financial condition, risk management systems, transactions with depository institution subsidiaries and affiliates, and compliance with any federal law that it has authority to enforce.

Although the Act reaffirms that states are the regulators for insurance activities of all persons, including federally-chartered banks, the Act

11

prohibits states from preventing depository institutions and their affiliates from conducting insurance activities.

The Act also establishes a minimum federal standard of privacy to protect the confidentiality of a consumer's personal financial information and gives the consumer the power to choose how personal financial information may be used by financial institutions.

The Act and the regulations adopted pursuant to the Act create new opportunities for CBI to offer expanded services to customers in the future, though, except as noted above, CBI has not yet determined what the nature of the expanded services might be or when CBI might find it feasible to offer them. The Act has increased competition from larger financial institutions that are currently more capable than CBI of taking advantage of the opportunity to provide a broader range of services. However, CBI continues to believe that its commitment to providing high quality, personalized service to customers will permit it to remain competitive in its market area.

Fiscal and Monetary Policy

Banking is a business which depends to a large extent on interest rate differentials. In general, the difference between the interest paid by a bank on

its deposits and its other borrowings, and the interest received by a bank on its loans and securities holdings, constitutes the major portion of a bank's earnings. Thus, the earnings and growth of CBI are subject to the influence of economic conditions generally, both domestic and foreign, and also to the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States and its agencies, particularly the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve regulates the supply of money through various means, including open-market dealings in United States government securities, the discount rate at which banks may borrow from the Federal Reserve, and reserve requirements on deposits. The nature and timing of any changes in such policies and their impact on CBI cannot be predicted.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act, which was enacted in 2002, mandated extensive reforms and requirements for public companies. The SEC has adopted extensive new regulations pursuant to the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the SEC's new regulations have increased the Corporation's cost of doing business, particularly its fees for internal and external audit services and legal services, and the law and regulations are expected to continue to do so. However, the Corporation does not believe that it will be affected by Sarbanes-Oxley and the new SEC regulations in ways that are materially different or more onerous than those of other public companies of similar size and in similar businesses.

Legislative Proposals

Legislation which could significantly affect the business of banking is introduced in Congress from time to time. CBI cannot predict the future course of such legislative proposals or their impact on CBI should they be adopted.

Employees

At December 31, 2006 the Corporation employed 195 full time equivalent employees. Management believes that its employee relations are excellent.

12

Further Information

Further information about the business of the Corporation and the Banks is set forth in this Form 10-K under Item 7 - "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations."

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Risks Related to Our Industry

We are subject to governmental regulation which could change and increase our cost of doing business or have an adverse effect on our business.

We operate in a highly regulated industry and are subject to examination, supervision and comprehensive regulation by various federal and state agencies. Most of this regulation is designed to protect our depositors and other customers, not our shareholders. Our compliance with the requirements of these agencies is costly and may limit our growth and restrict certain of our activities, including, payment of dividends, mergers and acquisitions, investments, loans and interest rates charged, and locations of offices. We are also subject to capitalization guidelines established by federal authorities and our failure to meet those guidelines could result in limitations being imposed on our activities or, in an extreme case, in our bank's being placed in

receivership. We have also recently been subjected to the extensive and expensive requirements imposed on public companies by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and related regulations.

The laws and regulations applicable to the banking industry could change at any time, and we cannot predict the impact of these changes on our business or profitability. Because government regulation greatly affects the business and financial results of all commercial banks and bank holding companies, our cost of compliance could adversely affect our ability to operate profitably.

We are susceptible to changes in monetary policy and other economic factors which may adversely affect our ability to operate profitably.

Changes in governmental, economic and monetary policies may affect the ability of our bank to attract deposits and make loans. The rates of interest payable on deposits and chargeable on loans are affected by governmental regulation and fiscal policy as well as by national, state and local economic conditions. All of these matters are outside of our control and affect our ability to operate profitably.

Risks Related to Our Business

We depend on the services of a number of key personnel, and a loss of any of those personnel could disrupt our operations and result in reduced revenues.

The success of our business depends to a great extent on our customer relationships. Our growth and development to date have depended in large part on the efforts of our senior management team. A number of these senior officers have primary contact with our customers and are extremely important in maintaining personalized relationships with our customer base, a key aspect of our business strategy, and in increasing our market presence. The unexpected

13

loss of services of one or more of these key employees could have a material adverse effect on our operations and possibly result in reduced revenues if we were unable to find suitable replacements promptly.

We may be unable to successfully manage our sustained growth.

Our future profitability will depend in part on our ability to manage growth successfully. Our ability to manage growth successfully will depend on our ability to maintain cost controls and asset quality while attracting additional loans and deposits, as well as on factors beyond our control, such as economic conditions and interest rate trends. If we grow too quickly and are not able to control costs and maintain asset quality, growth could materially adversely affect our financial performance.

Our continued pace of growth or regulatory requirements may require us to raise additional capital in the future, but that capital may not be available when it is needed or be available on favorable terms.

We anticipate that our current capital resources will satisfy our capital requirements for the foreseeable future. Nevertheless, we may need to raise additional capital to support additional growth or to meet regulatory requirements. Our ability to raise additional capital, if needed, will depend, among other things, on conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside our control, and on our financial condition and performance. If we

cannot raise additional capital on acceptable terms when needed, our ability to further expand our operations through internal growth and acquisitions could be limited.

If our loan customers do not pay us as they have $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

Our principal revenue producing business is making loans. If the loans are not repaid, we will suffer losses. Even though we maintain an allowance for loan losses, the amount of the allowance may not be adequate to cover the losses we experience. We attempt to mitigate this risk by a thorough review of the creditworthiness of loan customers. Nevertheless, there is risk that our credit evaluations will prove to be inaccurate due to changed circumstances or otherwise.

We face strong competition from larger, more established competitors which may adversely affect our ability to operate profitably.

We encounter strong competition from financial institutions operating in our market areas. In the conduct of our business, we also compete with credit unions, insurance companies, money market mutual funds and other financial institutions, some of which are not subject to the same degree of regulation as we are. Many of these competitors have substantially greater resources and lending abilities than we have and offer services, such as investment banking, trust and international banking services that we do not provide. We believe that we have competed, and will continue to be able to compete, effectively with these institutions because of our experienced bankers and personalized service, as well as through loan participations and other strategies and techniques. However, we cannot promise that we are correct in our belief. If we are wrong, our ability to operate profitably may be negatively affected.

Technological changes affect our business, and we may have fewer resources than many of our competitors to invest in technological improvements.

The financial services industry continues to undergo rapid technological changes with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. In addition to enabling financial institutions to serve clients better, the effective use of technology may increase efficiency and may

14

enable financial institutions to reduce costs. Our future success may depend, in part, upon our ability to use technology to provide products and services that provide convenience to customers and to create additional efficiencies in our operations. We may need to make significant additional capital investments in technology in the future, and we may not be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services. Many of our competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements.

Our profitability and liquidity may be affected by changes in interest rates and economic conditions.

Our profitability depends upon our net interest income, which is the difference between interest earned on our interest-earning assets, such as loans and investment securities, and interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. Our net interest income will be adversely affected if market interest rates change such that the interest we pay on deposits and borrowings increases faster than the interest earned on loans and investments. Interest rates, and consequently our results of operations, are

affected by general economic conditions (domestic and foreign) and fiscal and monetary policies. Monetary and fiscal policies may materially affect the level and direction of interest rates. Beginning in June 2004 through June 2006, the Federal Reserve raised rates 17 times for a total increase of 4.25%. Although rates have not been increased since June 2006, the Federal Reserve may increase them at any time. Increases in interest rates generally decrease the market values of interest-bearing investments and loans held and therefore may adversely affect our liquidity and earnings. Increased interest rates also generally affect the volume of mortgage loan originations, the resale value of mortgage loans originated for resale, and the ability of borrowers to perform under existing loans of all types.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

Our common stock has a limited trading market, which may limit your ability to sell your stock.

Our common stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange under the symbol "SCB." Since January 1, 2006, the average weekly trading volume has been approximately 8,500 shares. Accordingly, a shareholder who wishes to sell a large number of shares may experience a delay in selling the shares or have to sell them at a lower price in order to sell them promptly.

We may issue additional securities, which could affect the market price of our common stock and dilute your ownership.

We may issue additional securities to raise additional capital, to support growth, or to make acquisitions. Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock, or the perception by the market that those sales could occur, could cause the market price of our common stock to decline or could make it more difficult for us to raise capital through the sale of common stock or to use our common stock in future acquisitions.

There is no guarantee we will continue to pay cash dividends in the future at the same or any level.

Declaration and payment of dividends are within the discretion of our board of directors. Our bank is currently our only source of funds with which to pay cash dividends. Our bank's declaration and payment of future dividends to us

15

is within the discretion of the bank's boards of directors, and is dependent upon its earnings, financial condition, its need to retain earnings for use in the business and any other pertinent factors. The bank's payment of dividends is also subject to various regulatory requirements and the ability of the bank's regulators to forbid or limit payment(s) of dividends.

Provisions in our articles of incorporation and South Carolina law may discourage or prevent takeover attempts, and these provisions may have the effect of reducing the market price for our stock.

Our articles of incorporation include several provisions that may have the effect of discouraging or preventing hostile takeover attempts, and therefore of making the removal of incumbent management difficult. The provisions include staggered terms for our board of directors and requirements of supermajority votes to approve certain business transactions. In addition, South Carolina law contains several provisions that may make it more difficult for a third party to acquire control of us without the approval of our board of directors, and may make it more difficult or expensive for a third party to

acquire a majority of our outstanding common stock. To the extent that these provisions are effective in discouraging or preventing takeover attempts, they may tend to reduce the market price for our stock.

Our common stock is not insured, so you could lose your total investment.

Our common stock is not a deposit, savings account or obligation of our bank and is not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or any other government agency. Should our business fail, you could lose your total investment.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable because the Registrant is not an accelerated filer, a large accelerated filer, or a well-known seasoned issuer.

Item 2. Description of Property

The Corporation owns approximately three acres of land located at 102 Founders Court in the northeast area of the City of Orangeburg on which it built a two story, 16,000 square foot corporate headquarters and operations center. The new building was completed during the fourth quarter of 2005, and the Corporation began operating from this facility in mid-January 2006.

The Corporation leases office space for its Chief Credit Officer and Director of Human Resources at 508 Hampton Street, Suite 203, Columbia, SC under the terms of a lease that expires in November, 2007. At the end of that period, the lease will automatically renew on a month-to-month basis.

The Bank owns land located at 1820 Columbia Road NE, in Orangeburg, South Carolina, where the Bank maintains an office in a one-story building of approximately 7,000 square feet, and land located at the corner of Glover and Broughton Streets, Orangeburg, SC where it operates a branch facility of approximately 6,500 square feet.

The Bank also owns property at 683 Bultman Drive in Sumter on which is located a one-story 6,500 square foot bank office building. The Bank leases property at 1135 West Liberty Street where it operates a branch office in a

16

one-story building of approximately 3,600 square feet. The land, approximately one acre, is leased under a non-cancellable operating lease for an initial term of twenty years, with four five-year renewal options. The Bank is responsible for property taxes and improvements.

The Bank leases approximately 1.7 acres of land located at 2009 Hoffmeyer Road in Florence, South Carolina for a banking office. The lease is for an initial term of ten years and provides for two ten year renewals and a final two year renewal. The Bank is responsible for property taxes and improvements. The Bank built a 7,500 square foot, one-story building for the office on the leased site. The Bank also leases approximately one quarter acre of land and a 2,000 square foot building at 812 Second Loop Road, Florence, SC for a branch office. The lease is for an initial term of five years and contains both early termination and renewal options. The Bank purchased a 1.1 acre lot in the 600 block of the Pamplico Highway in Florence which is intended for future development as a banking office.

The Bank also maintains offices in a two story building on a quarter

acre site at 100 S. Palmer Street in Ridgeway, a 1,900 square foot one story branch office on a one acre site at 610 West Moultrie Street in Winnsboro, SC. and, as of August 2006, leases approximately 7,000 square feet of a two-story 14,000 square foot office building located at 312 Blythewood Road in Blythewood, SC. The site previously used for the Blythewood branch operation is currently vacant and the Bank intends to sell it. The Bank has purchased a one acre parcel of land on Clemson Road in Columbia, SC on which it intends to build a 5,000 square foot branch office. This office is expected to be operational by the end of 2007.

CRM operates from leased offices located at 508 Hampton Street, Suite 201, Columbia, SC, 1135 West Liberty Street, Sumter, SC, and 1156 Bowman Road, Suite 103, Mount Pleasant, SC. The Hampton Street, Columbia office is leased under the terms of a five year lease. At the end of that period, the lease will automatically renew on a month-to-month basis. The Bank also leases space at 2109 South Boulevard, Suite 114, Charlotte, NC.

Information about future lease payments is included in Note 7 to the consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this report.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Company, the Bank and CRM are from time to time subject to legal proceedings in the ordinary course of their business. No proceedings were pending at December 31, 2006, that management believes are likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company or its subsidiaries.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted for a vote of the security holders during the fourth quarter of 2006.

17

PART II

The Corporation's shares of Common Stock are traded on the American Stock Exchange (the AMEX) under the ticker symbol SCB.

The following table summarizes the range of high and low prices for the Corporation's Common Stock as reported on the American Stock Exchange for each quarterly period over the last two years.

Quarter Ended		d	High	Low
March	31,	2006	\$ 17.20	\$ 15.02
June	30,	2006	\$ 16.40	\$ 14.90
September	30,	2006	\$ 17.69	\$ 15.45
December	31,	2006	\$ 17.00	\$ 15.65
March	31,	2005	\$ 18.59	\$ 16.45
June	30,	2005	\$ 18.50	\$ 15.91
September	30,	2005	\$ 17.95	\$ 16.45
December	31,	2005	\$ 18.24	\$ 16.60

During 2006, the Corporation had stock sales volume of 443,500 shares

compared with 324,300 shares the prior year.

There were 2,085 holders of record of the Corporation's Common Stock (no par value) as of March 15, 2007.

During 2006, the Corporation authorized and paid quarterly cash dividends totaling \$.44 per share. The total cost of these dividends was \$1,952,000 or 39.0% of net income. During 2005, the Corporation authorized and paid quarterly cash dividends totaling 40 cents per share. The total cost of these dividends was \$1,761,000 or 174.2% of net income. The dividend policy of the Corporation is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors and depends upon a number of factors, including earnings, financial condition, cash needs and general business conditions, as well as applicable regulatory considerations. Subject to ongoing review of these circumstances, the Board expects to maintain a reasonable, safe and sound dividend payment policy.

The current source of dividends to be paid by the Corporation is the dividends received from the Bank. Accordingly, the laws and regulations that govern the payment of dividends by national banking associations may restrict the Corporation's ability to pay dividends. National banks may pay dividends only out of present and past earnings and are subject to numerous limitations designed to ensure that banks have adequate capital to operate safely and soundly (See Item 1. Description of Business - Supervision and Regulation - Payment of Dividends, and Item 8 - Financial Statements and Supplementary Data - Note 19 - Regulatory Matters). As of December 31, 2006, the Bank could have declared additional dividends of up to \$5,606,000 without the approval of regulatory authorities. As of January 1, 2007, the dividend restrictions would have allowed the Bank to pay no more than approximately \$3,424,000 in dividends without the prior approval of regulators.

The information required by Item 201(d) of Regulation S-K is set forth in Item 12 of this Form 10-K. Except as previously reported in its Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2006, the Company did not sell any of its equity

18

securities during the year ended December 31, 2006 that were not registered under the Securities Act of 1933.

Neither the Corporation nor any "affiliated purchaser" as defined by 17 C.F.R. 240.10b-18(a)(3) purchased any shares of the Corporation's common stock during the fourth quarter of 2006.

Shareholder Return Performance Graph

The Company is required to provide its shareholders with a line graph comparing the Company's total cumulative shareholder return with a performance indicator of the overall stock market and either a published industry index or a Company-determined peer comparison. Shareholder return (measured through increases in stock prices and payment of dividends) is often a benchmark used in assessing corporate performance and the reasonableness of compensation paid to executive officers.

The performance graph presented below compares the Company's cumulative total return over the most recent five year period with the Russell 2000 Index (reflecting overall stock market performance for small cap stocks) and the SNL Southeast Banks Index (reflecting changes in overall stock market performance for a group of 142 banking companies headquartered in the Southeastern Region of the United States) and the Community Bankshares Peer Group consisting of the 32 publicly traded banks and thrift institutions headquartered in South Carolina.

Returns are shown on a total return basis, assuming reinvestment of dividends and a beginning stock price of \$100 per share. Values presented for the Company's stock are based on information compiled by SNL Financial, LC, which prepared the performance graph.

19

			Period	Ending
Index	12/31/01	12/31/02	12/31/03	12/3
Community Bankshares, Inc	100.00	127.56	154.38	150
Russell 2000	100.00	79.52	117.09	138
SNL Southeast Bank Index	100.00	110.46	138.72	164
Community Bankshares Peer Group*	100.00	120.62	162.82	190

*Community Bankshares Peer Group consists of the 32 publicly traded banks and thrifts headquatered in South Carolina.

This subsection "Shareholder Return Performance Graph" shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 except to the extent the Corporation specifically incorporates it therein by reference.

20

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following is a summary of the consolidated financial position and results of operations of the Corporation for the years ended December 31, 2002 through 2006.

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

			Years Ended Dec
	2006	2005	2004
INCOME STATEMENT DATA			
Net interest income	\$ 21 , 553	\$ 20,801	\$ 17 , 843
Provision for loan losses	2,950	9,637	5,102
Noninterest income	8,306	8,003	7,278
Noninterest expense	19,227	17,391	15 , 039
Net income	5,009	1,011	3,209
PER COMMON SHARE			
Net income - basic	\$ 1.13	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.74

Net income - diluted	1.11	0.22	0.72
Cash dividends	0.44	0.40	0.40
Book value	11.85	11.12	11.39
BALANCE SHEET DATA (YEAR END)			
Total assets	\$578 , 517	\$556 , 836	\$512 , 377
Loans held for sale	9,235	12,447	15 , 090
Loans, net	405,058	402,343	389 , 302
Deposits	483,621	464,209	423,458
Shareholders' equity	52,624	48,992	50 , 027
FINANCIAL RATIOS			
Return on average assets	0.89%	0.19%	0.67
Return on average equity	9.80%	1.94%	6.41
Net interest margin	4.08%	4.12%	3.98
OPERATIONS DATA			
Bank's branch offices	9	9	9
Mortgage loan offices	4	4	4
Employees (full-time equivalent)	195	194	182

21

INTRODUCTION

The discussion and data presented below analyze major factors and trends regarding the financial condition and results of operations of Community Bankshares Inc. and its subsidiaries for the three year period ended December 31, 2006. This information should be reviewed in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes contained elsewhere in this report.

Business of the Corporation

Community Bankshares Inc. is a bank holding company. In prior years, CBI owned four banking subsidiaries: Orangeburg National Bank, Sumter National Bank, Florence National Bank, and the Bank of Ridgeway; and a mortgage company subsidiary, Community Resource Mortgage, Inc. ("CRM"). In the August 2006, Orangeburg National Bank was renamed Community Resource Bank, N.A. ("CRB" or "the Bank") and, in October 2006, the other bank subsidiaries were merged into CRB. The executive management, item and data processing and other technical services previously provided by CBI for its subsidiaries are now provided by the same personnel, acting as a division of the Bank. While CRM continues to exist as a separate wholly-owned subsidiary, most of its activities are being conducted by the Bank as of January 2007. The accounting for the merger of these related entities was done in manner similar to a pooling of interest, in conformity with the requirements of FASB Statement 141. The consolidated financial report for 2006 represents the operations of the holding company, the bank and the mortgage company on a consolidated basis. Condensed parent-only financial statements are presented in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Community Resource Bank, N.A. is a national banking association that commenced operations in November 1987, under the name Orangeburg National Bank. It operates from two offices in Orangeburg, South Carolina, two offices in

Sumter, South Carolina, two offices in Florence, South Carolina, and one office in each of Ridgeway, Winnsboro and Blythewood, SC. The Bank provides a variety of commercial banking services in its community markets. Its primary customer markets are consumers and small to medium size businesses.

The Bank continues to conduct mortgage brokerage activities under the name "Community Resource Mortgage, a division of Community Resource Bank" (the "Mortgage Division"), and offers a variety of one to four family residential mortgage products, primarily for resale in the secondary market, from offices in Columbia, Sumter, Charleston in South Carolina, and Charlotte, North Carolina. The offices function as loan production offices for CRB. Community Resource Mortgage, Inc. continues to exist as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Corporation, but its activities currently are limited to servicing a small number and dollar amount of older real estate loans.

22

EARNINGS PERFORMANCE

2006 compared with 2005

For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Corporation recorded net income of \$5,009,000, an increase of \$3,998,000, or 395.5%, from net income of \$1,011,000 for 2005. Net income per share for 2006 was \$1.13 compared with \$.23 for 2005. Diluted net income per share was \$1.11 for 2006 compared with \$.22 for 2005. Return on average assets was .89% for 2006 compared with .19% for 2005 and return on average shareholders' equity was 9.80% for 2006 compared with 1.94% for 2005.

The change in net income for 2006 was affected primarily by the following factors:

- the 2006 loan loss provision expense decreased by \$6,687,000 from the 2005 amount, primarily due to improvements in credit quality achieved partially through improved underwriting, credit analysis and a redesigned loan approval system;
- o the sale of an \$8,162,000 portfolio of loans, mostly including potential problem loans, that resulted in the realization of a gain of \$514,000;
- o higher interest income brought about primarily by higher rates charged on loans and obtained from investments in taxable securities which was largely offset by higher interest expense resulting largely from higher rates paid for deposits and for long-term debt;
- o increased noninterest expenses reflecting the costs of consolidation of the Corporation's former banking subsidiaries under a single charter, including direct and ancillary expenses associated with changing the name of the banking subsidiary;
- o increased premises and fixed asset expense associated with the relocation of the Corporation's headquarters and Blythewood branch; and
- o increased other non-interest expense associated with the implementation of a new management information system.

Net interest income for 2006 was \$752,000 more than for 2005. Interest rate spread, the difference between the average yield earned on earning assets and the average rate paid for interest bearing liabilities was 13 basis points lower in 2006 than in 2005 and net yield on earning assets for 2006 decreased by 4 basis points to 4.08% compared with 2005.

2005 compared with 2004

For the year ended December 31, 2005, the Corporation recorded net income of \$1,011,000, a decrease of \$2,198,000, or 68.5\$, from net income of \$3,209,000 for 2004. Net income per share for 2005 was \$.23 compared with \$.74 for 2004. Diluted net income per share was \$.22 for 2005 compared with \$.72 for 2004. Return on average assets was .19% for 2005 compared with .67% for 2004. Return on average shareholders' equity was 1.94% for 2005 compared with 6.41% for 2004.

The change in net income for 2005 was affected primarily by the following factors:

- o the 2005 loan loss provision expense increased by \$4,535,000 over 2004 primarily due to credit quality issues centered mainly in one bank subsidiary;
- o mortgage loan brokerage income increased by \$571,000 due to an increase in residential mortgage loan production that resulted, in

23

- part, from modifying the mortgage subsidiary's loan origination and funding activities, and from a resurgence in demand for residential mortgage loans;
- noninterest expenses increased by \$2,352,000, primarily due to the hiring of additional corporate executive and administrative officers, and increased commission-based compensation paid by the mortgage subsidiary;
- o increased average holdings of loans and investments and higher yields associated with those instruments resulted in a \$6,732,000 increase in interest and dividend income;
- o interest expense increased by \$3,774,000 principally due to higher interest rates paid on time deposits; and
- o income tax expense was reduced by \$1,006,000 due to lower taxable income resulting from the net effect of the factors enumerated above.

Net interest income for 2005 increased by \$2,958,000 over the 2004 amount due to increases of \$6,134,000 and \$426,000 in interest income on loans and federal funds sold, respectively, and increases of \$3,438,000 and \$343,000 in interest expenses related to interest-bearing deposits and long-term debt, respectively. Interest expense for long-term debt increased in 2005 due to increases in the average amount of such debt outstanding and increased variable interest rates paid for \$10,000,000 of junior subordinated debt issued by the Corporation during 2004. The proceeds of this debt were used primarily to increase the capitalization of some of the former bank subsidiaries, to fund mortgage loan brokerage production and for other general corporate purposes.

Credit Quality Matters

The greatest single factor in the changing net income during the past three years was the provisions for loan losses. In 2006 the provision was \$2,950,000, in 2005 the provision was \$9,637,000 and in 2004 it was \$5,102,000. This compares to \$1,119,000 in 2003, \$1,033,000 in 2002 and \$650,000 in 2001.

More than 80% of the provisions made in 2005 and 2004 were attributable to credit quality issues at one of the Corporation's then subsidiary banks. The Corporation became aware of credit quality issues at that bank in mid-2004 in connection with the departure of a senior lending officer. Management initially became aware of a number of loans with significant issues and began a program to strengthen and collect those loans. That program was only partially successful

as some of the borrowers' financial conditions and value of collateral deteriorated during collection efforts. In 2004, the Corporation also undertook efforts to begin to strengthen its credit risk management system.

As part of the ongoing process of risk assessment and mitigation, management hired a Chief Credit Officer for the Corporation early in the second quarter of 2005. Also at that time management changed its outside independent loan review firm to gain greater resources and expertise. The changes allowed the Corporation to obtain the benefits of detailed, thorough reviews of a greater portion of its loan portfolio in a shorter time period than had previously been possible.

During 2005 the independent loan review firm reviewed all of the Corporation's loan relationships greater than \$400,000, approximately 40% of loans outstanding. Their review resulted in the movement of a significant number and dollar amount of loans into less favorable risk grades. In such cases, management also undertook a review of the value of any collateral securing the loans to estimate the net realizable value of the collateral in the event of a default.

24

In some cases, management concluded that it was probable that the Corporation would be unable to collect the amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreements and categorized those loans as impaired. In other cases, the downgraded loans were designated as potential problem loans. Although designation as a potential problem loan does not represent management's estimate that the Corporation will suffer a loss with respect to the loan, it does identify loans that merit close attention to reduce the risk of loss.

During 2006 the Board of Directors approved a new credit approval policy. The policy is designed to facilitate the efficient approval of conforming loans (loans that are within standard underwriting criteria) at the lowest organizational level possible, and it also provides for more rigorous review of loans that are non-conforming, usually larger and more complex requests. Larger requests, depending on their size, require approval from the bank President, Chief Credit Officer or the Senior Management Loan Committee. Loan requests greater than approximately 3.5% of capital, or insider related loans, require approval at the Board Loan Committee or Board of Directors level.

Also during 2006 management introduced an automated underwriting and decision making tool for consumer lending from Baker Hill, with credit scoring models supplied by Fair Isaac Corporation, a leading consumer credit underwriting authority. The system is designed to assure that all credit decisions are based on current and complete credit information, complete application data and thorough underwriting. This new process has improved the timeliness and quality of our decision making on consumer lending.

During late 2006, the independent loan review firm reviewed a large sample of the Corporation's loan relationships, aggregating over \$100 million, or approximately 24%, of loans outstanding. This review resulted in a minimal movement of accounts and dollars into less favorable risk grades.

The Corporation is required to estimate the collectibility of its loan portfolio as of each accounting period end and, as a result, provide an allowance for loan losses. The allowance for loan losses is increased by the provision for loan losses, which is charged to expense, and by any recoveries received on loans previously charged off. The allowance is decreased by deducting the amount of uncollectible loans charged off. The reviews referred to

above were factored into management's estimates of the probable losses inherent in the Corporation's loan portfolio at December 31, 2005 and 2006 and substantially impacted the amount of the allowance at each of those dates which, in turn, substantially impacted the very large provisions for loan losses recorded in 2005 and the large, but substantially reduced, provision in 2006.

At year end 2005, approximately 55% of the Corporation's impaired loans and 58% of the potential problem loans were associated with the same then bank subsidiary, although that bank accounted for only 29% of the Corporation's total loans. Over the course of 2005, management of the Corporation took a number of additional actions to improve credit risk management at that subsidiary. These included more aggressive review of maturing loans to improve the bank's collateral position and the borrowers' ability to service the debt; the hiring of an experienced special assets officer on a contract basis, under the supervision of the Chief Credit Officer, to work out problem loans; a more frequent level of loan review conducted by the external loan review firm; and a mandatory loan approval review of larger loan relationships conducted by the Chief Credit Officer as well as the Loan Committee of the bank. Management believes that this will result in a significant improvement in the early identification of problem and potential problem loans and it will also improve the quality of new loans.

25

At year end 2006, approximately 41% of the Corporation's impaired loans and 30% of the potential problem loans were associated with the same region's portfolio, although it accounted for only 22% of the Corporation's total loans and unfunded commitments. During the year management continued with the initiatives discussed above and also hired a new senior loan officer to bolster the region's lending staff. Management expects to see continued improvement in asset quality based on the impact of the initiatives begun in 2005 and 2006.

Additional information about the Corporation's provision for loan losses, allowance for loan losses, impaired loans and potential problem loans is discussed under the heading "Allowance for Loan Losses" below.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income, the difference between interest income earned and interest expense incurred, is the principal source of the Corporation's earnings. Net interest income is affected by changes in the levels of interest rates and by changes in the volume and mix of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities. Because longer-term rates were largely unaffected by the Federal Reserve Board's actions with respect to interest rates, especially those in the 15 to 30-year range which largely influences mortgage rates, a resurgence in mortgage loan demand that began during 2005 continued throughout much of 2006.

Beginning in 2000 and continuing until late in the second quarter of 2004, the Federal Reserve Board provided stimulus to the U.S. economy by first setting, and then maintaining, interest rates at low levels. The effects of these actions on the Corporation were varied. The Corporation's overall funding costs decreased during the period, but there were similar decreases in the yields realized on loans and investments. The mortgage subsidiary experienced extremely large volumes of originations and refinancing activity, which strained its ability both to fund and to process those transactions until the volume diminished in the fourth quarter of 2003. Beginning in mid-2004 and continuing through mid-2006, the Federal Reserve Board steadily increased certain short-term interest rates under its control, as it perceived an increasing risk from price inflation. These actions resulted in similar increases in other

market rates of interest, primarily in the short and intermediate terms up to about seven years. Longer term rates remained at approximately the same levels as previously. These actions affected CBI as follows: loan yields and interest costs increased as interest rates on loans with variable interest rates were reset and maturing time deposits and newly acquired time deposits were priced at current market levels; savings, NOW and money market rates were adjusted upward primarily in response to market competition for deposits; and borrowing costs increased as rates associated with those instruments moved higher.

Net interest income was \$21,553,000, \$20,801,000, and \$17,843,000 for 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. The amounts of interest income and interest expense increased significantly in both 2006 and 2005. Average earning assets and average interest bearing liabilities amounts also increased steadily over those two years.

The following table presents the average balance sheets, the average yield and the interest earned on earning assets, and the average rate and the interest expense on interest bearing liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004.

26

Average Balances, Y

			A	verage Bal	ances, 1
				Years E	nded Dec
		2006			2005
	_	Interest Income/ Expense	Yields/	Average Balances	Intere Income Expens
Assets		(Dollars	in thous	ands)	
Interest-bearing deposits with other banks Investment securities - taxable Investment securities - tax exempt (1) Federal funds sold Loans, including held for sale (1) (2) (3)	\$ 1,719 59,416 5,030 33,583 429,131	2,470 180	3.58% 4.47%	\$ 694 54,077 6,259 17,900 426,384	\$ 49 1,758 215 629 28,955
Total interest earning assets Cash and due from banks Allowance for loan losses Premises and equipment Intangible assets Other assets		37,054	7.01%	505,314 15,671 (5,402) 8,257 7,280 4,573	31,606
Total assets	\$560,261 ======			\$535,693 ======	
Liabilities and shareholders' equity Interest bearing deposits Interest bearing transaction accounts Savings	\$ 70,561 91,064 242,093	2,476		\$ 60,785 89,407 225,627	1,393 6,895
Total interest bearing deposits Short-term borrowings	403,718 13,680	13,238		375,819 8,584	

Long-term debt	28,342	1,863	6.57%	32,815	1,867
Total interest bearing liabilities	445,740	15,501	3.48%	417,218	10,805
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	60,099			64,339	
Other liabilities	3,315			2,116	
Shareholders' equity	51,107			52,020	
Total liabilities and					
shareholders' equity	\$560 , 261			\$535 , 693	
	======				
Interest rate spread (4)			3.53%		
on earning assets (5)		\$21 , 553	4.08%		\$20,801
		======			======

- (1) Interest income on tax-exempt loans and investment securities has not been calculated on a tax-equivalent basis.
- (2) Nonaccruing loans are included in the average balances and income from such loans is recognized on a cash basis.
- (3) Interest income includes immateral amounts of loan fees.
- (4) Total interest earning assets yield less total interest bearing liabilities rate.
- (5) Net yield equals net interest income divided by total interest earning assets.

27

For 2006, average loans, including loans held for sale increased by only \$2,747,000, or .6%, over the average amount for 2005. However, the average yield on loans increased by 85 basis points, resulting in an increase of \$3,830,000 in interest income on those assets. The average amounts invested in taxable investment securities during 2006 increased by \$5,339,000, or 9.9%, over the average amount of such investments for 2005. In addition, the average yield on those investments increased to 4.16% for 2006 from 3.25% for 2005. Consequently, interest income on taxable investment securities for 2006 increased by \$712,000. Similarly, the 2006 average amount of federal funds sold increased by \$15,683,000, or 87.6% over the 2005 average amount, and the yield earned in 2006 was 96 basis points higher than in 2005. Interest income on federal funds sold for 2006 increased by \$872,000. Average interest-bearing deposit accounts for 2006 were significantly higher than for 2005, with a growth rate of 7.4%. The rates paid for those deposits increased, also, and the rate differentials associated with savings and time deposits accounted for the majority of the \$4,498,000 increase in deposit interest expense for 2006.

During 2005, loans, including loans held for sale, grew \$17,692,000 over the amount as of December 31, 2004. Average loans including loans held for sale, however, were \$55,323,000 more in 2005 than in 2004 and represented almost all of the increase in average total interest earning assets during 2005. Deposit growth was robust in 2005, as well, with the 2005 year-end amount increasing by \$40,751,000 over the 2004 year-end, and the average amount of deposits increasing by \$53,196,000 for 2005 over the comparable 2004 amount. Deposits are generally obtained from within the Bank's market areas. The Bank began accepting Health Savings Account deposits in 2005 as an additional product offering, but the amounts of such deposits are not yet significant. Interest costs associated with deposits increased primarily due to increased market rates.

Time deposits are the largest category of the Corporation's deposit liabilities. Interest rates paid for such liabilities increased during 2006.

Accordingly, interest expense associated with those deposits increased to \$9,969,000 in 2006 from \$6,895,000 in 2004. The average rates paid for time deposits increased to 4.12% in 2006 from 3.06% in 2005 and 2.24% in 2004. Interest expenses for short-term borrowings more than doubled in 2006 from 2005 after being level compared with 2004, due to both increased rates and higher volumes. Long-term debt is composed of fixed rate advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta and \$10,300,000 of variable-rate junior subordinated debt. The subordinated debt reprices quarterly and is indexed to the three-month LIBOR rate plus 280 basis points.

The table "Volume and Rate Variance Analysis" provides a summary of changes in net interest income resulting from changes in volume and changes in rate. The changes in volume are the difference between the current and prior year's balances multiplied by the prior year's rate. The changes in rate are the difference between the current and prior year's rate multiplied by the prior year's balance.

As shown in the table, the increases in net interest income during 2006 and 2005 resulted primarily from higher volumes of earning assets. Increased interest income for 2006 caused by rate increases was offset to a large extent by rate increases on interest bearing liabilities.

28

Volume and Rate Variance Analysis

		2006 compared with 2005						
	7	Volume *		Volume *		Rate *	Τ:	 otal
Interest earning assets	-				(D	 ollars		
interest earning assets						!		
Interest-bearing deposits with other banks	\$	70	\$	(1)	\$	69		
<pre>Investment securities - taxable</pre>		187		525		712		
<pre>Investment securities - tax exempt</pre>		(44)		9		(35)		
Federal funds sold		665		207		872		
Loans, including held for sale		188		3,642		3,830		
Interest income		1,066		4,382	Ē	5,448		
Interest bearing liabilities								
Interest bearing deposits								
Interest bearing transaction accounts		82		259		341		
Savings		26		1,057	-	1,083		
Time deposits		534		2,540		3,074		
Total interest bearing deposits		642		3,856		4,498		
Short-term borrowings		139		63		202		
Long-term debt		(273)		269		(4)		
Total interest expense		508		4,188	4	4,696		
Net interest income	\$	558	\$	194	\$	752		
	==:	=====	==	=====	==:	=====		

* The rate/volume variance for each category has been allocated on a consistent basis between rate and volume variances based on the percentage of rate or volume variance to the sum of the two absolute variances except in categories having balances in only one period. In such cases, the entire variance is attributed to volume variance.

Although management currently expects that interest rates are more likely to decrease slightly in 2007, management has not presently identified any factors that it believes might cause interest rates to move significantly, either up or down, in a short period of time. At year-end 2006, management estimates that a 100 basis point shift in interest rates, in either direction, would have a minimal effect on the Bank's net interest income and net income. However, changes in interest rates that can significantly affect the Corporation, positively or negatively, are possible. In the absence of significant changes in market interest rate levels, any significant changes in net interest income during 2007 are expected to result from changes in the volumes of interest earning assets and liabilities. Management expects to continue using its marketing strategies to increase the Corporation's market share of both deposits and quality loans within its market areas. These strategies involve offering attractive interest rates and outstanding customer service.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses is charged to earnings based on management's continuing review and evaluation of the loan portfolio and its estimate of the adequacy of the related allowance for loan losses. Provisions for loan losses totaled \$2,950,000, \$9,637,000, and \$5,102,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Net charge-offs for 2006 were \$9,929,000, compared with \$2,038,000 and \$4,961,000 for 2005 and 2004, respectively.

As previously discussed, during the second half of 2004, management became aware of credit quality concerns relative to the loan portfolio of one of its then subsidiary banks and a \$3,435,000 real estate secured loan at another of its subsidiary banks. Consequently, during that period significant increases

29

were recorded in the Corporation's loan loss provision resulting in an overall provision of \$5,102,000 for the year.

In 2005, the Corporation's external loan review firm reviewed the larger loan relationships at the former subsidiary bank at which there were credit quality concerns about the loan portfolio. Based on those reviews, and further analysis and review conducted by management, significant increases were recorded in the Corporation's loan loss provision during the last two quarters of 2005. This resulted in a provision of \$9,637,000 for the year so that, in the opinion of management, the allowance for loan losses would be adequate to absorb the probable losses remaining in the loan portfolio.

In 2006, the loan loss provision was reduced significantly as a result of the initiatives begun in 2004 and improvements in problem loan administration during the year.

Management has made numerous improvements in the lending area, including new loan personnel, improved risk management systems, more extensive external loan review, redesigned loan approval processes, increased use of technology and more active management of problem and potential problem loans. In the opinion of management, absent unforeseen changes in the local or national

economies, these changes have significantly reduced the probability that loan loss provisions comparable to the levels recorded in 2004 and 2005 will be needed in the future.

See "Impaired Loans," "Potential Problem Loans," "Allowance for Loan Losses," and "The Application of Critical Accounting Policies" for further discussion of the loans and provisions for loan losses referenced above and a discussion of the methodology used and the factors considered by management in its estimate of the allowance for loan losses.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income for 2006 increased by \$303,000, or 3.8%, over the 2005 amount primarily as a result of a \$514,000 gain realized on the sale of approximately \$8,162,000 of loans.

Noninterest income for 2005 increased by \$725,000, or 10.0%, over the 2004 amount, primarily as a result of increased mortgage brokerage income. Interest rates associated with mortgage loans did not change significantly in 2005, and the demand for housing in the Corporation's market areas remained strong. Service charges on deposit accounts increased by \$158,000 in 2005 due to increased management oversight of fee waivers and changes in the fee structure.

Noninterest Expenses

Noninterest expenses for 2006 increased by \$1,836,000, or 10.6%, over the 2005 amount. An increase of \$1,288,000 in salaries and employee benefits was largely responsible for this increase. In addition, marketing and supplies expenses increased significantly as a result of the former Orangeburg bank's name change and the consolidation of the former banking subsidiaries into the Bank.

Noninterest expense for 2005 increased by \$2,352,000 or 15.6% over the 2004 amount. Higher salaries and employee benefits expenses were largely responsible for this increase. These items increased by \$1,304,000, primarily due to the operation of an additional office of Florence National Bank in 2005, higher commissions and bonuses paid by the mortgage subsidiary, and the hiring of a new Chief Executive Officer, a Chief Credit Officer, and a Human Resources Director. Expenses related to premises and equipment increased in 2005 by \$250,000 over the 2004 amount due to higher depreciation expenses that resulted

30

from the additional office of Florence National Bank and equipment purchased and placed in service during the year. Construction of a new headquarters office and operations building was completed during the fourth quarter of 2005 and the building was placed in service in the first quarter of 2006.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense for 2006 was \$2,673,000, an increase of \$1,908,000 over the 2005 amount. Income tax expense for 2005 decreased to \$765,000 from \$1,771,000 for 2004. The decrease and subsequent increase in income tax expense amounts resulted directly from variations in the amounts of income before income taxes each year. The effective income tax rate (income tax expense divided by income before income taxes) was 34.8%, 43.1%, and 35.6% for 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The effective tax rate for 2005 was abnormally high because South Carolina required that each of the four former subsidiary banks file a separate income tax return. One of the subsidiary banks recorded a loss for 2005. South Carolina bank tax is based on a bank's net income for financial reporting

purposes but does not provide any offsetting benefit for operating losses.

INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO

The Corporation's investment portfolio consists primarily of short— and intermediate—term debt issues of government—sponsored enterprises such as the Federal Home Loan Bank, the Federal Farm Credit Bank and the Federal National Mortgage Association. Investment securities averaged \$64,446,000 in 2006, \$60,336,000 in 2005, and \$58,747,000 in 2004.

The table below summarizes the amortized cost and estimated fair value of the Corporation's investment portfolio for the past three years.

Securities Portolio Composition

			Decer	mber 31
	_	006		2005
	Amortized cost	Estimated fair value	Amortized cost	Est fai
Securities available-for sale				
Government-sponsored enterprises	\$82,145 3,032	\$81,739 3,044	\$55,781 3,754	\$54 3
Total available for sale	\$85,177 =====	\$84,783 =====	\$59 , 535	\$58 ===
Securities held-to-maturity States and political subdivisions	\$ 1,750 ======	\$ 1,750	\$ 1,850 ======	\$ 1

The following is a summary of maturities and weighted average yields of securities as of December 31, 2006:

31

Securities Portfolio Maturities and Yields

				D	ecember 31	2006
	Within One Year		Af One Thro Five	ugh	Five Th:	 fter e Years rough Years
	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
				(Doll	ars in tho	 (sands
Government-sponsored enterprises	\$11,159	3.46%	\$51 , 561	4.88%	\$18,203	4.96

States and political subdivisions (1)		3.80%	,	3.87%	1,858	
Mortgage-backed securities (2)		0.00%	806	3.02%		0.00
Total	\$11,461	3.47%	\$55 , 001	4.80%	\$20,061	4.83
	======		======		======	

- (1) Yields on tax-exempt securities of states and political subdivisions have not been calculated on a tax-equivalent basis.
- (2) Maturity category based on final stated maturity dates. Average maturity is expected to be substantially shorter because of the monthly return of principal on certain securities.

On an ongoing basis, management assigns securities upon purchase into one of two categories (available-for-sale or held-to-maturity) based on intent, taking into consideration other factors including expectations for changes in market rates of interest, liquidity needs, asset/liability management strategies, and capital requirements. The Corporation has never held securities for trading purposes. No transfers of available-for-sale or held-to-maturity securities to other categories were made in any of the years 2004 through 2006.

During 2006, the composition of the securities portfolio changed significantly. Most notably, the amount invested in securities at year-end 2006 was approximately \$26,000,000 more than the amount at the end of 2005. This increase resulted from growth in deposits which was not matched by loan growth. Interest rates associated with investment securities, particularly for those with maturities in the near- to mid-term, have become more attractive in recent years. The Bank purchased approximately \$43,449,000 of securities issued by government-sponsored enterprises throughout 2006.

During 2005, the composition of the securities portfolio was little changed. The amount of securities held at the end of 2005 was only \$3,155,000 more than the amount held at the end of 2004. Purchases for the securities accounts during 2005 were generally made to replace issues called or matured during the year. Securities purchased had maturities ranging from approximately two years to approximately eight years in the future.

During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Corporation sold investment securities for gross proceeds of \$0, \$4,412,000, and \$13,676,000, respectively. Realized (losses) and gains on those transactions were \$0, (\$10,000), and \$76,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. During 2006, a security that imposed an early redemption penalty on its issuer was redeemed early, and the Bank realized a gain of \$1,000 on that transaction. Securities may be sold to provide liquidity, to reduce interest rate risk, or for other reasons. There were no sales of held-to-maturity securities in any of the periods presented.

All mortgage-backed securities held by the Corporation were issued by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation, the Federal National Mortgage Association or the Government National Mortgage Association.

32

LOAN PORTFOLIO

Management believes the loan portfolio is adequately diversified. Nevertheless, the Bank considers that credit concentrations exist if the outstanding direct or indirect borrowings plus any unfunded commitments to the same, or similarly situated, loan customers exceed 25% of the Bank's capital. This concentration threshold as of December 31, 2006 was approximately \$13,400,000. While the Bank manages its loan portfolio generally to avoid loan

concentrations, as of December 31, 2006, the Bank had loans, or commitments to fund loans, totaling \$25,797,000, or 48% of the Bank's capital, outstanding to builders for construction of speculative 1-4 family residences. The Bank considers the risks associated with this concentration to be mitigated by a geographic dispersion of the properties throughout central South Carolina, a diversity of building contractors, relatively short maturities of the loans, and personnel experienced in construction loan administration. The Bank is aware that changes in the economy could have an adverse impact on the housing construction industry and on its customers' ability to service their debts. Accordingly, management intends to systematically reduce the overall levels of these loans.

The Bank's internal loan policy and bank regulatory guidance outline loan-to-value requirements for normal underwriting. On occasion, the Bank may make loans that exceed those parameters. As of December 31, 2006, \$24,835,000 of the Bank's loans, or 46% of the Bank's capital, had loan-to-value ratios that exceeded those guidelines. Generally, these loans were made to customers with better than average risk profiles. Loans with high loan-to-value ratios may increase the risk inherent in our loan portfolio. Management intends to reduce the overall level of these loans as expeditiously as possible.

The Corporation has a geographic concentration of loans within the Bank's market area because of the nature of its business. There are no other significant concentrations of loans in any particular individual, industry or groups of related individuals or industries and there are no foreign loans.

The following table shows the composition of the loan portfolio by category:

Loan Portfolio Composition

			Decemb
	2006	2005	200
		(I	 Dollars in
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 86,080	\$ 95,023	\$ 96,
Real estate - construction	40,541	37 , 923	29,
Real estate - mortgage	253,423	243 , 837	230,
Consumer installment	29 , 676	37,201	36,
Total loans - gross	\$409 , 720	\$413 , 984	 \$393 ,
	=======	=======	=====

Commercial, financial, and agricultural loans, primarily representing loans made to small and medium size businesses, may be made on either a secured or an unsecured basis. When taken, security usually consists of liens on inventories, receivables, equipment, and furniture and fixtures. Unsecured business loans are generally short-term with emphasis on repayment strengths and low debt-to-worth ratios. Commercial lending involves significant risk because repayment usually depends on the cash flows generated by a borrower's business, and debt service capacity can deteriorate because of downturns in national and

local economic conditions. The Bank's Loan Committee is responsible for overseeing the credit granting and monitoring processes. The Corporation's Chief Credit Officer has specific authority over significantly large loan requests.

Real estate loans consist of construction loans and other loans secured by mortgages. Because the Corporation's subsidiary is a community bank, real estate loans comprise the bulk of the loan portfolio. As shown in the preceding table, most of the growth in the loan portfolio since the end of 2002 has been in real estate loans. The Bank's Loan Policy generally limits loan-to-value ratios for real estate loans to approximately 80%.

The Bank generally does not compete with 15 and 30 year fixed rate secondary market mortgage interest rates, so it has elected to pursue the origination of mortgage loans that could easily be sold into the secondary mortgage market through its mortgage division. These loans are generally pre-qualified with various underwriters to facilitate the sales process. In 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Corporation sold \$238,033,000, \$213,195,000, and \$174,074,000, respectively, of such loans. The Bank may originate mortgage loans for its own loan portfolio, but such loans are usually for a shorter term than loans originated to sell and usually have a variable rate or the terms of the loans require that the interest rate be adjusted to the current market rate within a three to five year term.

Consumer installment loans to individuals are generally for personal, automobile, or household purposes and may be secured or unsecured.

Unsecured Loans

The Corporation does not aggressively seek to make unsecured loans, since these loans may be somewhat more risky than collateralized loans. There are, however, occasions when it is in the business interests of the Corporation to provide short-term, unsecured loans to its most credit-worthy customers. Unsecured loans accommodate the credit needs of those customers and provide the bank the opportunity to earn additional interest income through pricing commensurate with the loans' increased risk. As of December 31, 2006, the Corporation had approximately \$23,000,000, or 5.6% of its loan portfolio in unsecured loans, substantially unchanged from approximately \$23,000,000, or 5.6% of its loan portfolio, as of December 31, 2005. Such loans are made on the basis of management's evaluation of the customer's ability to repay and net worth.

As of December 31, 2006, unsecured loans totaling \$101,000 were included in nonaccrual loans and \$317,000 of such loans were included in potential problem loans.

Maturity and Interest Sensitivity Distribution of Loans

The following table sets forth the maturity and interest sensitivity distribution of the Corporation's loans, by type, as of December 31, 2006 as well as the type of interest requirement on loans due after one year.

34

De --After Within one year bu

	year	five
		(Doll
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 40,218	\$ 39,
Real estate	91,961	146,
Consumer installment	8,998	19,
Total	\$141,177	\$205,
	======	=====
Predetermined rate, maturity greater than one year	\$ -	\$157 ,
Variable rate or maturity within one year	\$141,177	\$ 47,

Loan Sale

During 2006, as part of its ongoing loan risk management and mitigation strategy, management considered the possibility of selling a portion of the Corporation's loan portfolio. Management determined that there was a secondary market demand for certain select, real estate secured credits that it might desire to sell. After some research, it was determined that there was approximately \$8.1 million in such loans, of which \$1.3 million were problem loans and the remaining \$6.8 million were potential problem loans.

In December 2006, with Board approval, this \$8,162,000 of loans was sold in a non-recourse transaction. Net of the fee for this transaction and the aggregate specific allocation for loan losses associated with the loans of \$1.5 million, the Corporation realized a gain on sale of \$514,000.

As discussed in the following section, the sale resulted in a substantial decrease in the aggregate amounts of problem and potential problem loans during the fourth quarter.

Impaired Loans, Other Nonperforming Loans and Potential Problem Loans

Impaired loans are those loans on which, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Corporation will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans which management has identified as impaired generally are nonaccrual loans. Loans that are 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest represent loans with significant performance issues, but where management believes that each loan's collateral position provides enough protection that the Bank expects full recovery of principal and interest on the loan. Following is a summary of the Corporation's nonaccrual and other nonperforming loans included in total loans at December 31 of each year shown:

35

			(Dollars i
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 4,714	\$11 , 651	\$ 4,9

2006

2005

Decembe

200

Accruing loans 90 days or more past due	232	729	1
Total	\$ 4,946	\$12,380	\$ 5,0
	======	======	=====
Total as a % of outstanding loans	1.21%	2.99%	1.
Impaired loans (included in non accrual)	\$ 4,714	\$11 , 651	\$ 4,9
Impaired loans a percentage of allowance	101.12%	100.09%	113.
for loan losses			

Gross income that would have been recorded for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, if nonaccrual loans had been performing in accordance with their original terms was approximately \$429,000, \$448,000, and \$63,000, respectively. No material amounts of cash basis interest income were recognized in 2006, 2005 and 2004 on non-accrual loans.

The Corporation's accounting policies on nonaccrual and impaired loans are discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements.

Potential problem loans, a lower level of concern than impaired and nonperforming loans, are defined as loans where information about the borrowers' possible credit problems causes management to have serious doubts about the borrowers' ability to comply with the present repayment terms and which may result in disclosure in the future as impaired or non-performing loans. The amount of potential problem loans does not represent management's estimate of potential losses since a significant portion of these loans are secured by real estate and other forms of collateral.

The following table is a summary of nonperforming loans and potential problem loans for each of the past eight quarters.

36

	Nonaccrual Loans	90 Days or More Past Due and Still Accuring	Total Nonperforming Loans 	of Total Loans
			(Dollars in	thousands)
December 31, 2004 Net change	\$ 4,941 118	\$ 137 215	\$ 5,078 333	1.29%
March 31, 2005	5,059 200	352 (9)	5,411 191	1.33%
June 30, 2005	5,259 4,954	343 74	5,602 5,028	1.35%
September 30, 2005 Net change	10,213 1,438	417 312	10,630 1,750	2.57%
December 31, 2005 Net change	11,651 3,128	729 949	12,380 4,077	2.99%
March 31, 2006	14,779 (3,628)	1,678 (1,476)	16,457 (5,104)	3.94%

June 30, 2006	11,151	202	11,353	2.71%
Net change	(2,483)	175	(2,308)	
September 30, 2006	8,668	377	9,045	2.19%
Net change	(3,954)	(145)	(4,099)	
December 31, 2006	\$ 4,714	\$ 232	\$ 4,946	1.21%
	=======	=======	=======	

Nonaccrual loans decreased by a net of \$6,937,000, or 59.5%, during 2006. Most of this decrease was accomplished through charge-off during 2006 of amounts previously recognized as nonaccrual loans.

During 2006, management focused on reviewing and establishing workout or collection plans or providing other credit enhancements for all significant nonperforming and potential problem loans. For some loans, that was not possible so management chose to charge-off the remaining balances.

During the second quarter of 2006, management settled a loan relationship of \$1,450,000\$ that was included in nonaccrual loans as of March 31, 2006, realizing a recovery of approximately \$350,000\$.

During the third quarter of 2006, approximately \$829,000 of loans were first recognized as nonaccrual loans. Of the \$2,483,000 net decrease in nonaccrual loans during the third quarter of 2006, approximately \$1,357,000 was attributable to write-downs or charge-offs. The remainder of the net decrease represents payments received, amounts refinanced with significant credit enhancements and returned to accruing status, and loans paid in full during the period.

During the fourth quarter of 2006, approximately \$1,455,000 of loans were first recognized as nonaccrual loans. In that same period \$651,000 was removed from nonaccrual status due to sales and workouts, \$1,293,000 was associated with the loan sale, and the remainder of the decrease was due to charge-offs.

37

Management will continue to monitor the levels of nonperforming loans and address the weaknesses in these credits to enhance the ultimate collection or recovery of these assets. Management considers the levels and trends in nonperforming assets and potential problem loans in determining how the provision and allowance for loan losses is estimated and adjusted. In the opinion of management, the Company's allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2006 is adequate to provide for probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio.

At December 31, 2006, the Corporation had identified \$15,391,000, or 3.76%, of the loan portfolio, as potential problem loans. This is a decrease of \$13,922,000, or 47.5%, from the amount as of December 31, 2005. Approximately \$6,800,000 of this decrease in potential problem loans was associated with the sale of approximately \$8,100,000 of potential problem loans during the fourth quarter of 2006. The amount of potential problem loans does not represent management's estimate of potential losses since a significant portion of these loans are secured by real estate and other forms of collateral. Approximately \$316,000 of potential problem loans were unsecured as of December 31, 2006.

Foreclosed Assets

Foreclosed assets were carried in the consolidated balance sheets at \$591,000, \$185,000, and \$252,000 as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Foreclosed assets are initially recorded at fair value, less estimated costs to sell, at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Loan losses arising from the acquisition of such property are charged against the allowance for loan losses as of that date. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of the new cost basis or fair value, less estimated costs to sell. Revenues and expenses from operations and changes in any subsequent valuation allowance are included in net foreclosed assets costs and expenses.

Special Assets Management

In late 2005, management hired an experienced Special Assets Officer on a contract basis. The initial term of his agreement with the Corporation has ended; however, he continues to work on an as-needed basis with the Corporation, under the direction and supervision of the Chief Credit Officer. His efforts during 2005 and 2006 were very helpful in reducing the aggregate level of problem loans through all appropriate banking and legal options.

In 2007, management plans to create and fill a new position in the credit administration area that will be dedicated to problem loan administration and collection. It is expected that this position will be helpful in continuing to reduce the levels of problem and potential problem loans and the amount of time required in this area from senior loan officers.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The table, "Analysis of the Allowance for Loan Losses," summarizes loan balances as of the end of each period indicated, averages for each period, changes in the allowance arising from mergers, charge-offs and recoveries by loan category, and additions to the allowance which have been charged to expense.

38

		Years End
	2006	2005
		 (Dollars
Total amount of loans outstanding at end of year		·
Average amount of loans outstanding	\$ 429,131	\$ 426,384 \$
Allowance for loan losses - January 1		
Changes incident to merger activities	-	-
contingencies to other liabilities		(305)
Loans charged-off Real estate	4,129 520	99 432

Credit cards and related plans		
Total charge-offs		
Recoveries Real estate Installment Credit cards and related plans	698 115 -	16 55 -
	623	136
Total recoveries		207
Net charge-offs	9,929	2,038
Provision for loan losses charged to expense	2,950	9,637
Allowance for loan losses - December 31	\$ 4,662	
Ratios		
Net charge-offs to average loans outstanding	2.31%	0.48%
Net charge-offs to loans outstanding at end of year	2.42%	0.49%
Allowance for loan losses to average loans	1.09%	2.73%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans at end of year	1.14%	
Net charge-offs to allowance for losses	212.98%	17.51%
Net charge-offs to provision for loan losses	336.58%	21.15%

A discussion of the allocation of the allowance for loan losses is set forth under the section "The Application of Critical Accounting Policies."

39

The Corporation operates a community bank in South Carolina under a national bank charter. Under the provisions of law and regulations governing banks, the bank's board of directors is responsible for determining the adequacy of its loan loss allowance. In addition, the bank is supervised and regularly examined by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC"), the primary regulator for national banks. As a normal part of a safety and soundness examination, bank examiners assess and comment on the adequacy of a bank's allowance for loan losses and may require that changes be made in the allowance.

It is the policy of the Corporation and its subsidiary bank to maintain an allowance for loan losses which achieves the following objectives:

- o Maintenance of the allowance at a level that is adequate to absorb all estimated inherent losses in the loan and lease portfolio and all unfunded and off balance sheet commitments;
- o Evaluation and calculation of the adequacy of the allowance with a sound and consistent analytical framework based on historical data adjusted for current conditions in conformity with generally accepted accounting practices and all applicable banking and regulatory guidance; and
- o Reflection in the allowance of all significant, existing conditions affecting the ability of borrowers to repay their obligations.

Management reviews its allowance for loan losses utilizing three broad loan categories: commercial, real estate and consumer installment loans. Within

these categories, the allowance for loan losses is composed of specific allocations and general loan pool amounts. Specific allocation is done for larger loans within each loan risk category. The commercial and real estate risk pools are driven by credit risk grade classification. The consumer loan pools are based on payment performance. The allowance assigned to these pools is based on historical losses for each of the pools and industry standards. Other factors considered are changes in policies and procedures, economic conditions, portfolio changes, lending personnel experience, trend analysis, credit concentrations, external factors, and the reports of the loan review system.

The loan risk grading system requires that lending officers assign a risk grade, on a loan-by-loan basis, considering information about the borrower's capacity to repay, collateral, payment history, and other known factors. Assigned risk grades are updated monthly for any known changes in circumstances affecting the borrower or the loan. The risk grading system is monitored on a continuing basis by management and the external credit review firm, which is independent of the lending function. During their 2006 review, the external credit review firm recommended relatively few loan risk grade changes to higher risk categories.

The following table presents the allocation of the Corporation's allowance for loan losses, as of December 31, 2002 through 2006, compared with the percentage of loans in the applicable categories to total loans.

40

			Decem
	2006	2005	
			(Dollars
Amount allocated to loan category			
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 1,050 3,076 536	\$ 6,333 4,831 477	\$
Total	\$ 4,662 ======	\$11,641 ======	 \$ ==
			Dece
	2006	2005	2
Percentage of loans in category			
Commercial, financial and agricultural	21.0% 71.8% 7.2%	23.0% 68.0% 9.0%	
Total	100.0%	100.0%	1 =

The following discussion presents specific factors that influenced management's judgment of the amounts of additions to the allowance through

provisions $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$ charged to operating expenses for each of the years presented in the table.

In 2002, \$1,033,000 was provided for loan losses due to growth in the loan portfolio totaling \$76,579,000, of which approximately \$44,078,000 was obtained in the merger with Ridgeway Bankshares, Inc. Furthermore, net charge-offs almost tripled compared with the prior year and an increase of \$5,827,000 was noted in the combined amounts of nonaccrual loans, accruing loans 90 or more days past due, and potential problem loans.

In 2003, \$1,119,000 was provided for loan losses, primarily due to growth in the loan portfolio and a significant increase in the amount of nonaccrual loans.

The \$5,102,000 and \$9,637,000 provisions for loan losses recorded for 2004 and 2005, respectively, resulted from the circumstances detailed above.

In 2006, \$2,950,000 was provided for loan losses, primarily due to identified weaknesses in the loan portfolio and recognized shortfalls in collateral values.

DEPOSITS

The average deposits for the Corporation for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 are summarized below:

41

			Years Ended I	ecembe
	2	006	2005	
	Average balance	Average cost	Average balance	А
			(Dollars i	n thou
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 60,099	_	\$ 64,339	
Interest bearing transaction accounts	70,561	1.12%	60 , 785	
Savings - regular	20,327	0.77%	24,617	
Savings - money market	70,737	3.28%	64 , 790	
Time deposits less than \$100	147,227	4.11%	136,087	
Time deposits greater than \$100	94,866	4.12%	89,540	
Total average deposits	\$463,817		\$440 , 158	
	=======		=======	

Deposits are the primary source of funds for the Bank's lending and investing activities. Deposits are attracted principally from customers within the Bank's local market areas through the offering of a variety of products with varying features and by offering competitive interest rates.

At December 31, 2006 the Corporation had \$97,986,000 in certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more. Approximately \$34,821,000 mature within three months, \$28,307,000 mature over three through six months, \$29,668,000 mature

over six months through twelve months and \$5,190,000 mature after one year. This level of large time deposits, as well as the growth in other deposits, can be attributed to planned growth by management. The majority of time deposits \$100,000 and over is acquired within the Company's market areas in the ordinary course of business from customers with standing banking relationships. However, as of December 31, 2006, the Bank had \$1,015,000 in brokered certificates of deposit, all of which were issued in denominations of \$100,000 or over. The brokered certificates of deposit mature in the first quarter of 2007 and have an interest rate of 4.50%. It is a common industry practice not to consider time deposits of \$100,000 or more as core deposits since their retention can be influenced heavily by rates offered. Therefore, such deposits have the characteristics of shorter-term purchased funds. Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and over require that the Corporation achieve and maintain an appropriate matching of maturity distributions and a diversification of sources to achieve an appropriate level of liquidity.

SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

The Corporation's short-term borrowings may consist of federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase, which generally have maturities ranging from daily to no more than four days, and general purpose lines of credit payable. As of December 31, 2006, short-term borrowings consisted solely of securities sold under agreements to repurchase totaling \$12,948,000. These amounts are collateralized by investment securities and the interest rate is subject to change daily.

Summary information about total short-term borrowings is provided in the following table.

42

Balance outstanding at end of year	\$12 , 948
Weighted average interest rate at end of the period	4.00%
Interest expense	\$ 400
Maximum outstanding at any month-end during the period	\$14,058
Average outstanding during the period	\$13,680
Weighted average interest rate during the period	2.92%

LONG-TERM DEBT

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta ("FHLB"). As such, it has access to long-term borrowing from the FHLB. As of December 31, 2006, the Bank had borrowed a total of \$15,878,000 from the FHLB. The borrowings are secured by blanket liens on all qualifying first lien residential mortgage loans held by the Bank, specifically excluding such loans originated for resale on the secondary market.

2006

During the second quarter of 2004, the Corporation sponsored the creation of SCB Capital Trust I (the "Trust"). The Trust issued securities totaling \$10,310,000. The Trust invested the proceeds of its debt issuance by purchasing a like amount of junior subordinated debt issued by the Corporation. The amount of the Corporation's debt is includible in Tier 1 capital for purposes of computing the Corporation's regulatory required capital ratios.

RETURN ON EQUITY AND ASSETS

The following table shows the return on assets (net income divided by average total assets), return on equity (net income divided by average equity), dividend payout ratio (dividends declared per share divided by net income per share), and equity to assets ratio (average equity divided by average total assets) for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006 2005		2004
Return on assets (ROA)	0.89%	0.19%	0.67%
Return on equity (ROE)	9.80%	1.94%	6.41%
Dividend payout ratio	38.94%	173.91%	54.05%
Equity as a percent of assets	9.12%	9.71%	10.48%

LIQUIDITY

Liquidity is the ability to meet current and future obligations through liquidation or maturity of existing assets or the acquisition of additional liabilities. Adequate liquidity is necessary to meet the requirements of customers for loans and deposit withdrawals in a timely and economical manner. The most manageable sources of liquidity are composed of liabilities, with the primary focus of liquidity management being on the ability to attract deposits within the Bank's market area. Core deposits (total deposits less certificates

43

of deposit of \$100,000 or more) provide a relatively stable funding base. Certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more are generally more sensitive to changes in rates, so they must be monitored carefully. Asset liquidity is provided by several sources, including amounts due from banks, federal funds sold, and investments available-for-sale.

The Corporation maintains an available-for-sale investment securities portfolio. While investment securities purchased for this portfolio are generally purchased with the intent to be held to maturity, such securities are marketable and occasional sales may occur prior to maturity as part of the process of asset/liability and liquidity management. The Corporation also occasionally designates securities as held-to-maturity. Securities in that portion of the portfolio are generally not considered a primary source of liquidity. Management deliberately maintains a relatively short-term maturity schedule for its investments so that there is a continuing stream of maturing investments that enables the Corporation to supply liquidity to its loan portfolio and for customer withdrawals. In addition, to the extent that the Corporation must maintain continuing positions in investment securities due to pledging or other requirements, regular periodic maturities of investments helps to ensure that the Corporation invests funds throughout periods of changing rates which tends to mitigate the effects such changes have on the fair values

of investment securities.

The Corporation has substantially more liabilities maturing in the next 12 months than it has assets maturing in the same period. The Corporation also has legal obligations to extend credit pursuant to loan commitments, lines of credit and standby letters of credit which totaled \$21,833,000, \$49,104,000, and \$2,545,000, respectively, at December 31, 2006 (see Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements). However, based on its historical experience, and that of similar companies, the Corporation believes that it is unlikely that so many deposits would be withdrawn, without being replaced by other deposits, and extensions of credit would be required, that the Corporation would be unable to meet its liquidity needs with the proceeds of maturing assets, in the ordinary course of business.

The Corporation also maintains various federal funds lines of credit with correspondent banks and is able to borrow from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta and the Federal Reserve's discount window.

The Corporation, through the Bank, has a demonstrated ability to attract deposits from its market area. Deposits grew from \$255,433,000 as of December 31, 2001 to \$483,621,000 as of December 31, 2006, a five year compound growth rate of 13.6%. This consistently growing base of deposits is the major source of operating liquidity.

CAPITAL

Dividends

The Corporation exists as a legal entity distinct from its subsidiaries. Its main sources of revenues consist of service fees and dividends paid to it by the Bank. The Bank is subject to various laws and regulations that limit the amounts of dividends that it may pay. In addition, the Corporation and the Bank are each subject to regulatory minimum capital adequacy guidelines. These regulatory restrictions have not historically hindered the Corporation's or the Bank's ability to pay reasonable dividends and no such restrictions are anticipated in 2007.

44

During 2006, the Corporation received dividends from the Bank totaling \$2,480,000. Subject to restrictions imposed by federal regulations, the Board of Directors of the Bank could have declared additional dividends from retained earnings of up to approximately \$5,606,000 as of December 31, 2006. As of January 1, 2007, the effect of those restrictions was to further restrict the amount of dividends that the Bank could declare to \$3,424,000. The Corporation made dividend payments to shareholders of \$1,952,000, \$1,761,000 and \$1,744,000 during 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Capital Adequacy

The Federal Reserve and federal bank regulatory agencies have adopted risk-based capital standards for assessing the capital adequacy of bank holding companies and financial institutions. Under the risk-based capital requirements, the Corporation and the Bank are required to maintain a minimum ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets (including certain off-balance-sheet activities, such as letters of credit) of 8%. At least half of total capital must be composed of common equity, retained earnings and qualifying perpetual preferred stock and certain hybrid instruments, less certain intangibles ("Tier 1 Capital"). The remainder may consist of certain subordinated debt or hybrid

capital instruments, qualified preferred stock and a limited amount of the allowance for loan losses ("Tier 2 Capital," which, along with Tier 1 Capital, composes "Total Capital"). Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities generally are excluded from the calculation of the risk-based capital ratios. To be considered well-capitalized under the risk-based capital guidelines, a bank must maintain a total risk-weighted capital ratio of at least 10% and a Tier 1 risk-weighted ratio of at least 5%.

Each of the Federal bank regulatory agencies also has established minimum leverage capital requirements for banking organizations. Pursuant to these requirements, banking organizations generally must maintain a minimum ratio of Tier 1 Capital to adjusted average quarterly assets equal to from 4% to 5%, subject to federal bank regulatory evaluation of the institution's overall safety and soundness.

Federal regulators may categorize an institution as less than well-capitalized based on subjective criteria. Management believes that there are no conditions or events that would cause the Corporation's or the Bank's capital category to be other than resulting from meeting the minimum ratio requirements.

Under the risk-based capital standards and pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991, federal bank regulatory agencies are required to implement prescribed "prompt corrective actions" if an institution's capital position deteriorates to specified levels. The corrective actions become increasingly stringent as the capital position continues to deteriorate.

The Bank is considered to be "well capitalized" for regulatory purposes. Detailed information on the Corporation's and the Bank's capital positions can be found in Note 19 to the consolidated financial statements.

During the first quarter of 2004, the Corporation acquired \$10,310,000 in proceeds from the issuance of junior subordinated debt. Of this amount, \$3,000,000 was used to provide additional capital to two of the former bank subsidiaries, approximately \$1,400,000 was used to repay a short-term line of

45

credit of the mortgage subsidiary and approximately \$635,000 was used to repay the Corporation's short-term borrowings. The remainder is being used for the Corporation's general corporate purposes. Under current Federal Reserve policy, the Corporation is allowed to treat the junior subordinated debt, subject to certain limitations, as Tier 1 Capital for capital adequacy purposes.

INFLATION

The assets and liabilities of the Corporation are mostly monetary in nature. Accordingly, the financial results and operations of the Corporation are much more affected by changes in interest rates than changes in inflation. There is, however, a strong correlation between increasing inflation and increasing interest rates. The rate of inflation, as measured by the average change in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers, has been moderate over the past several years, about 3.2% in 2006, 3.4% in 2005 and 3.3% in 2004. Prospects appear reasonable for continued moderate inflation, despite risks related to energy prices and the political and military situation in the Middle East. Although inflation does not normally affect a financial institution as dramatically as it does businesses with large investments in plants and inventories, it does have an effect. During periods of high inflation there are

usually corresponding increases in the money supply and banks experience above-average growth in assets, loans, and deposits. General increases in the prices of goods and services also result in increased operating expenses. Further, inflation may adversely affect the Corporation's customers and indirectly affect the business of the Corporation.

OFF-BALANCE-SHEET ARRANGEMENTS, CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND CONTINGENT LIABILITIES AND COMMITMENTS

The Corporation presently only engages in limited off-balance sheet arrangements. Such arrangements are defined as potentially material transactions, agreements, or other contractual arrangements which the Corporation has entered into to which an entity unconsolidated with the registrant is a party and, under which the Corporation, whether or not it is a party to the arrangement, has, or in the future may have:

- o any obligation under a direct or indirect guarantee or similar arrangement;
- o a retained or contingent interest in assets transferred to an unconsolidated entity or similar arrangement that serves as credit, liquidity or market risk support to such entity for such assets;
- o derivatives, to the extent that the fair value thereof is not fully reflected as a liability or asset in the financial statements; or
- o any obligation, including a contingent obligation, arising out of a variable interest (as referenced in FASB Interpretation No. 46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (January 2003), as may be modified or supplemented), in an unconsolidated entity that is held by, and material to, the registrant, where such entity provides financing, liquidity, market risk or credit support to, or engages in leasing, hedging or research and development services with, the Corporation.

The Corporation's off-balance sheet arrangements presently include only commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Such instruments have elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet. The exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other parties to these instruments is represented by the contractual, or notional, amount of those instruments. Generally, the same credit policies used for on-balance sheet instruments, such as loans, are used in extending loan

46

commitments and letters of credit. The following table sets out the contractual or notional amounts of those arrangements:

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Dollars	in thousands)
Loan commitments	\$21,833 49,104 2,545	\$13,386 42,974 2,024

Loan commitments involve agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and some

involve payment of a fee. Many of the commitments are expected to expire without being fully drawn; therefore, the total amount of loan commitments does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Each customer's creditworthiness is evaluated on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if any, upon extension of credit is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower. Collateral held varies but may include commercial and residential real properties, accounts receivable, inventory and equipment.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. The credit risk involved in issuing standby letters of credit is the same as that involved in making loan commitments to customers.

As described under "Liquidity," management believes that its various sources of liquidity provide the resources necessary for the Bank to fund the loan commitments and to perform under standby letters of credit, if the need arises.

The Corporation's contractual obligations are summarized in the following table.

		De	December 31, 2	
	Total	Less than 1 Year	Over 1 to Years	
Contractual Obligations		(Do	ollarsin thou	
Time deposits Long-term debt Operating lease obligations	\$243,708 26,188 6,179	\$226,471 1,000 483	\$ 15,11 4,50 65	
Total	\$276 , 075	\$227 , 954	\$ 20,26	

In March 2007, the Bank entered into an agreement to construct a new branch banking office on Clemson Road in northeast Richland County for a cost of approximately \$800,000. Completion of the project is expected by the fourth quarter of 2007.

47

THE APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The consolidated financial statements are based on the selection and application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which require management to make estimates and assumptions about future events that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Future events and their effects cannot be determined with absolute certainty. Therefore, the determination of estimates requires the exercise of judgment. Actual results could differ from those estimates, and any such differences may be material to the financial statements. Management believes that the policy relating to the allowance for loan losses discussed in the section entitled "Allowance for Loan Losses" may involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity in its application and represents a critical accounting

policy used in the preparation of the Corporation's financial statements. In addition, the valuation of deferred tax assets represents a similar critical accounting policy. If different assumptions or conditions were to prevail, the results could be materially different from the reported results.

IMPACT OF RECENT ACCOUNTING CHANGES

Hybrid Financial Instruments - The provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 155 ("SFAS No. 155"), "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140," are effective January 1, 2007. SFAS No. 155 simplifies the accounting for certain financial instruments containing embedded derivatives. SFAS No. 155 allows fair value measurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." In addition, it amends SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" to allow a qualifying special-purpose entity to hold a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. The adoption of the Statement is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Servicing of Financial Assets - The provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 156 ("SFAS No. 156"), "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140" are effective January 1, 2007. This Statement potentially simplifies the accounting for separately recognized loan servicing assets and liabilities and any financial instruments used to hedge risks associated with those assets and liabilities. Under SFAS 156, separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities are accounted for initially at fair value, if practicable, and subsequently are accounted for either at fair value or amortized over the economic lives of the related loans. If the fair value method of subsequent valuation is elected, SFAS No. 156 permits income statement recognition of the potential offsetting changes in the fair values of the financial servicing rights and liabilities and the derivative instruments used to hedge them in the same accounting period. The Company currently has no separately recognized loan servicing rights or liabilities and adoption in 2007 is not expected to have any effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Fair Value Measurements - The provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157 ("SFAS No. 157"), "Fair Value Measurements," are effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 (January 1, 2008 for the Company). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value and establishes a framework for measuring fair value in GAAP. The Statement describes fair value as being based on a hypothetical transaction to sell an asset or transfer a liability at

48

a specific measurement date, as considered from the perspective of a market participant who holds the asset or owes the liability (an exit price perspective). In addition, fair value should be viewed as a market-based measurement, rather than an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, fair value should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, including all risks and restrictions that may be associated with that asset or liability. SFAS No. 157 does not amend the definition of fair value used in conjunction with Share-Based Payments accounted for under SFAS No. 123(R). The adoption of SFAS No. 157 in 2008 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - The provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48 ("FIN 48"), "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109," clarify the accounting for uncertainty in income tax positions. FIN 48 prescribes a two-step evaluation process that includes both a recognition threshold and a measurement attribute for tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective for the Company as of January 1, 2007. The adoption of FIN 48 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and rates. The Corporation's market risk arises principally from interest rate risk inherent in its lending, deposit and borrowing activities. Management actively monitors and manages its interest rate risk exposure. Although the Corporation manages other risks, such as credit quality and liquidity risk in the normal course of business, management considers interest rate risk to be its most significant market risk and this risk could potentially have the largest material effect on the Corporation's financial condition and results of operations. Other types of market risks such as foreign currency exchange risk and commodity price risk do not arise in the normal course of community banking activities.

Achieving consistent growth in net interest income is the primary goal of the Corporation's asset/liability function. The Corporation attempts to control the mix and maturities of assets and liabilities to achieve consistent growth in net interest income despite changes in market interest rates. The Corporation seeks to accomplish this goal while maintaining adequate liquidity and capital. The Corporation's asset/liability mix is sufficiently balanced so that the effect of interest rates moving in either direction is not expected to be material over time.

The Corporation's Asset/Liability Committee uses a simulation model to assist in achieving consistent growth in net interest income while managing interest rate risk. The model takes into account interest rate changes as well as changes in the mix and volume of assets and liabilities. The model simulates the Corporation's balance sheet and income statement under several different rate scenarios. The model's inputs (such as interest rates and levels of loans and deposits) are updated on a quarterly basis in order to obtain the most accurate forecast possible. The forecast presents information over a twelve-month period. It reports a base case in which interest rates remain flat and variations that occur when rates increase and decrease 100, 200 and 300 basis points. According to the model, as of December 31, 2006 the Corporation is positioned so that net interest income would decrease \$15,000 and net income would decrease \$11,000 if interest rates were to rise 100 basis points in the next twelve months. Conversely, net interest income would increase \$15,000 and net income would increase \$11,000 if interest rates were to decline 100 basis points. Computation of prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions, including relative levels of market interest

49

rates and loan prepayment, and should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results. Further, the computations do not contemplate any actions the Corporation could undertake in response to changes in interest rates or the effects of responses by others, including borrowers and depositors.

The following table summarizes the Corporation's interest sensitivity

position as of December 31, 2006.

Interest Sensitivity Analysis

	Within 3 months	Within 4-12 months	Within year
			(Dollars in t
Interest earning assets			
Interest-bearing deposits	\$ 1 , 926	\$ -	\$
Taxable investment securities	3,988	7,977	51,
Tax exempt investment securities	100	202	2,
Other investments	3,246	_	
Federal funds sold	29 , 102	_	
Loans held for sale (1)	9,235	_	
Loans (2)	172,166	42,009	156,
Total interest earning assets	219,763	50 , 188	210,
Interest bearing liabilities			
Savings	\$ 97,168	\$ -	\$
Interest bearing transaction accounts	81,052	_	Y
Time deposits <\$100	41,197	92,479	12,0
Time deposits > \$100	34,821	57,975	5,
Short-term borrowings	12,948	57 , 57.5	~ ,
Long-term debt	11,310	_	11,
Total interest bearing liabilities	278 , 496	 150,454	28,
-			
Interest sensitivity gap	\$ (58,733)	\$(100,266)	\$ 181,
Cumulative gap	\$ (58,733)	\$(158,999)	\$ 22,
RSA/RSL (3)	79%	33%	
Cumulative RSA/RSL (3)	79%	63%	

The above table reflects the balances of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities at the earlier of their repricing or maturity dates. Amortizing fixed rate loans are reflected at the scheduled maturity date. Variable rate amortizing loans are reflected at the earliest date at which they may be repriced contractually. Deposits in other banks and debt securities are reflected at each instrument's ultimate maturity date. Overnight federal funds sold are reflected as instantly repriceable. Interest bearing liabilities with no contractual maturity, such as savings deposits and interest bearing transaction accounts, are reflected in the earliest repricing period. Fixed rate time deposits are reflected at their maturity dates.

The static interest rate sensitivity gap position, while not a complete measure of interest sensitivity, is also reviewed periodically to provide insights related to the static repricing structure of the Bank's assets and liabilities. At December 31, 2006 on a cumulative basis through twelve months,

⁽¹⁾ Loans held for sale are reflected in the period of expected sale.

⁽²⁾ Excludes nonaccrual loans totaling \$4,714,000.

⁽³⁾ RSA- rate sensitive assets; RSL- rate sensitive liabilities

rate sensitive liabilities exceeded rate sensitive assets by \$158,999,000. This liability sensitive position is largely due to the assumption that the Bank's \$178,220,000 in interest bearing transaction accounts, savings accounts, and money market accounts will reprice within a year. This assumption may or may not be valid, since these accounts vary greatly in their sensitivity to interest rate changes in the market. Rising interest rates would be likely to diminish net interest income of banks in a liability sensitive position if the assumption is valid and in the absence of other factors which would be likely to occur.

The Market Risk table, which follows this discussion, shows the Corporation's financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. The Corporation uses certain assumptions to estimate fair values and expected maturities. For assets, expected maturities are based upon contractual maturity, projected repayments, and prepayment of principal and potential calls. For core deposits without contractual maturity (i.e., interest checking, savings and money market accounts), the table presents principal cash flows based on management's judgment concerning their most likely runoff. The actual maturities and runoff could vary substantially if future prepayments, runoff and calls differ from the Corporation's historical experience.

51

				December 3	•	
)06 Year- End	-				
P		2007		2009	2010	201
			(D	ollars in	 thousands))
Interest earning assets						
Interest-bearing deposits with other banks Investment securities Federal funds sold Loans held for sale Loans	4.63% 5.13% 7.52%	11,461 29,102 9,235	15,834 - -	9,681	, - -	
Interest bearing liabilities Savings Interest bearing transaction accounts Time deposits	1.03%		_	-	· _	\$
Total interest bearing deposits Short-term borrowings Long-term debt	4.00%	404,691 12,948 1,000	_	_	· —	7

52

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

COMMUNITY BANKSHARES, INC.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	PAGE
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	54
Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2006 and 2005	55
Consolidated Statements of Income, Years Ended December 31,	
2006, 2005, and 2004	56
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity,	
Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004	57
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, Years Ended December 31,	
2006, 2005, and 2004	58
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	59 - 93

53

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Shareholders and Board of Directors of Community Bankshares, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Community

Bankshares, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in shareholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Community Bankshares, Inc. and subsidiaries at December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2006, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Columbia, South Carolina March 29, 2007

s/ J. W. Hunt and Company LLP

54

COMMUNITY BANKSHARES, INC. CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

Assets

Cash and due from banks Federal funds sold Total cash and cash equivalents Interest-bearing deposits with other banks Securities available-for-sale Securities held-to-maturity (estimated fair value \$1,750 for 2006 and \$1,820 for 2005) Other investments Loans held for sale Loans, net of allowance for loan losses of \$4,662 for 2006 and \$11,641 for 2005 Premises and equipment - net Accrued interest receivable Net deferred income tax assets Goodwill Core deposit intangible assets

Prepaid expenses and other assets
Total assets
Liabilities
Deposits
Demand, noninterest-bearing
Savings
Other time deposits
Total deposits
Long-term debt
Accrued interest payable
Accrued expenses and other liabilities
Total liabilities
Commitments and contingent liabilities
Shareholders' equity Common stock - no par value, 12,000,000 authorized shares; issued and outstanding - 4,441,220 shares for 2006 and 4,404,303 shares for 2005
Retained earnings
Accumulated other complehensive income (1055)
Total shareholders' equity
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity
See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.
55
COMMUNITY BANKSHARES, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	Yea
	2006
Interest and dividend income	(Dollars in
Loans, including fees	\$ 32,785
Interest-bearing deposits with other banks Debt securities Taxable	118 2,316
Tax exempt Dividends	180 154

Federal funds sold	1,501
Total interest and dividend income	37,054
Interest expense	
Deposits Interest-bearing transaction accounts	793
Savings	2,476
Certificates of deposit of \$100 and over	3,911
Other time deposits	6 , 058
Total interest on deposits	13,238
Short-term borrowings	400
Long-term debt	1,863
Total interest expense	15,501
Net interest income	21,553
Provision for loan losses	2,950
Net interest income after provision	18,603
Noninterest income	
Service charges on deposit accounts	3,539
Mortgage brokerage income	3,518
Gains (losses) on sales of securities	1
Gains on sales of loans	514
Deposit box rent	55 22
Bank card fees	51
Other	606
Total noninterest income	8,306
Noninterest expenses	
Salaries and employee benefits	10,820
Premises and equipment	2,233
Marketing	537
Regulatory fees	313 640
Director fees	287
FDIC insurance	57
Other	4,340
Total noninterest expenses	19,227
Income before income taxes	7,682
Income tax expense	2,673
Net income	\$ 5,009 ======
Farnings per share	
Earnings per share Basic	\$ 1.13
Diluted	1.11

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

COMMUNITY BANKSHARES, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

net of income tax effects of \$0

	Comr		
	Number of Shares	Amount	Retain Earnin
		(Dollars in t	
Balance, January 1, 2004	4,331,460	\$ 29,402	\$ 18,6
Comprehensive income			
Net income	_	_	3,2
Unrealized net holding losses arising during the period, net of			
income tax effects of \$56	-	-	
net of income tax effects of \$28	_	_	
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	_	_	
Total comprehensive income	_	_	
Exercise of stock options	59,324	640	
Cash dividends (\$.40 per share)			(1,7
Balance, December 31, 2004	4,390,784	30,042	20,0
Comprehensive income			
Net income	_	_	1,0
Unrealized net holding losses arising during the period, net of			
income tax effects of \$253	-	_	
net of income tax effects of \$4	_	-	
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	_	-	
Total comprehensive income	-	-	
Sale of common stock	775	14	
Exercise of stock options	12,744	146	
Cash dividends (\$.40 per share)	_		(1,7
Balance, December 31, 2005	4,404,303	30,202	19 , 3
Comprehensive income			
Net income	-	_	5,0
Unrealized net holding gains arising			
during the period, net of			
income tax effects of \$169	_	_	
net of income tax effects of \$0	_	_	

Adjustment to initially apply SFAS No. 158, net of income taxes of \$47	-	-	
Total other comprehensive income	-	-	
Total comprehensive income	-	_	
Sale of common stock	1,000 35,917	16 385 -	(1,9
cash dividends (7.44 per share,			
Balance, December 31, 2006	4,441,220 ======	\$ 30,603 ======	\$ 22 , 3

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

57

COMMUNITY BANKSHARES, INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Operating activities
Net income Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities Provision for loan losses Depreciation Writedowns of other real estate Amortization of definite-lived purchased intangibles Deferred income taxes Securities accretion and premium amortization (Gain) loss on disposition of available-for-sale securities Gain on sale of loans other than loans held for sale Increase in accrued interest receivable Increase in accrued interest payable Losses (gains) on sale of foreclosed assets Increase in prepaid expenses and other assets Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses and other liabilities Originations of loans held for sale Proceeds of sales of loans held for sale
Net cash provided by operating activities

2006

\$ 5,0

2,9

2,1

(5 (6

(9

(234,8

13,1

(8) (43,4

17,7

7,1

Net increase in loans made to customers	(13,5)
Proceeds from sales of foreclosed assets	
Net cash used by investing activities	(34,2
Financing activities	
Net increase in deposits	19,4
	3,9
	(6,0
	(0,0
Exercise of stock options	
Cash dividends paid	(1,9
Net cash provided by financing activities	15,8
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(5,2
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning	51,9
Cash and cash equivalents, ending	\$ 46, ⁷
	ć 1F :
Cash payments for interest expense, including \$21 and \$12 capitalized	\$ 15,1
Cash payments for income taxes	-
Supplemental disclosures of non-cash investing activities	
Transfers of loans receivable to foreclosed assets	\$ 1,2
Net cash used by investing activities	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

58

COMMUNITY BANKSHARES, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION

Community Bankshares, Inc. (the "Corporation"), was organized under the laws of the State of South Carolina and was chartered as a business corporation on November 30, 1992. Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Bank Holding Company Act, an application was filed with and approved by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System for the Corporation to become a bank holding company by the acquisition of Orangeburg National Bank (ONB).

In June 1996, Sumter National Bank (SNB), and in July 1998, Florence National Bank (FNB), commenced operations in Sumter and Florence, South Carolina, respectively, following approval by the Comptroller of the Currency and other regulators. Upon completion of their organization, the common stock of SNB and FNB was acquired by the Corporation.

In November 2001, the Corporation acquired all the common stock of Resource Mortgage, Inc., a Columbia, South Carolina based mortgage brokerage company. The Corporation issued 95,454 shares of its common stock in exchange for 100% of the common stock of Resource Mortgage, Inc. The subsidiary was renamed Community

Resource Mortgage, Inc. (CRM).

In July 2002, Ridgeway Bancshares, Inc., the holding company for the Bank of Ridgeway (BOR), merged into the Corporation. The Corporation issued 1,000,000 shares of its common stock and paid \$4,000,000 cash in exchange for 100% of the common stock of Ridgeway Bancshares, Inc. The transaction was consummated on July 1, 2002.

In June, 2006, ONB's name was changed to Community Resource Bank, N.A. (CRB), and in October 2006, SNB, FNB and BOR were merged into CRB. In addition, most of the activities previously conducted by the holding company as a service center for the bank were moved into the bank as a division. As a result, the Corporation now consists of the holding Company (CBI), the bank subsidiary (CRB) and the mortgage company (CRM). In January 2007, most of the operations of the mortgage company were incorporated into the Bank, though CRM remains a separate subsidiary of the holding company with limited assets and activities.

CRB operates as a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Corporation with a separate Board of Directors and operating policies and it provides a variety of financial services to individuals and businesses throughout South Carolina. The primary deposit products are checking, savings and term certificate accounts. The primary lending products are consumer, commercial and mortgage loans.

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION - The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Corporation and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

59

USE OF ESTIMATES - The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the balance sheet and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of deferred tax assets.

SIGNIFICANT GROUP CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK - Most of the Corporation's activities are with customers located within South Carolina. Note 4 discusses the types of securities the Corporation purchases. Note 6 discusses the types of lending in which the Corporation engages. The Bank grants commercial, consumer and mortgage loans to customers throughout South Carolina. Although the Bank has a diversified loan portfolio, a substantial portion of its debtors' ability to honor their contracts is dependent upon the economies of various South Carolina communities and, as of December 31, 2006, there was a concentration in loans for the construction of speculative residential housing units and in high loan-to-value real estate loans.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, the Corporation has defined cash and cash equivalents as those amounts included in the balance sheets under the caption, "Cash and due from banks" and "Federal funds sold," all of which mature within ninety days.

INTEREST-BEARING DEPOSITS WITH OTHER BANKS - Interest-bearing deposits with other banks generally mature within one year and are carried at cost.

SECURITIES - Securities that management has both the ability and positive intent to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity and carried at cost, adjusted for amortization of premium and accretion of discounts using methods approximating the interest method. The Corporation has decided generally to avoid acquiring further held-to-maturity securities. Securities that may be sold prior to maturity for asset/liability management purposes, or that may be sold in response to changes in interest rates, changes in prepayment risk, increase in regulatory capital, or other similar factors, are classified as available-for-sale and are carried at estimated fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale are excluded from earnings and reported in other comprehensive income. Gains and losses on the sale of securities available-for-sale are recorded on the trade date and are determined using the specific identification method. Declines in the fair value of held-to-maturity and available-for-sale securities below their cost that are deemed to be other-than-temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses.

Interest and dividends on securities, including the amortization of premiums and the accretion of discounts, are reported in interest and dividends on securities.

No securities are being held for short-term resale; therefore, the Corporation does not currently use a trading account classification.

LOANS HELD FOR SALE - The Corporation originates loans held for sale to other financial institutions under commitments or other arrangements in place prior to loan origination. These loans are sold on a non-recourse basis. However, standard contract warranties and representations apply to these sales and the Corporation may from time to time be required to indemnify investors under those provisions. Loans originated and intended for sale are residential mortgage loans and are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value in the aggregate. Gains and losses, if any, on the sale of such loans are determined

60

using the specific identification method. All fees and other income from these activities are recognized in income when loan sales are completed.

LOANS - The Corporation grants mortgage, commercial and consumer loans to customers. The ability of the Corporation's debtors to honor their contracts is dependent upon the general economic conditions in its market areas. Loans receivable that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or pay-off generally are carried at principal amounts outstanding, increased or reduced by deferred net loan costs or fees and any unamortized purchase premiums or discounts. Interest income on loans is recognized using the interest method based upon the principal amounts outstanding. Loan origination and commitment fees and certain direct loan origination costs (principally salaries and employee benefits) are deferred and amortized as an adjustment of the related loan's yield. Generally, these amounts are amortized over the contractual life of the related loans or commitments.

The accrual of interest on mortgage and commercial loans is discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days delinquent unless the credit is well collateralized and in process of collection. Unsecured personal credit lines and certain consumer finance loans are typically charged off no later than the time the loan is 180 days delinquent.

Other consumer loans are typically charged off at the time the loan is 90 days delinquent. Generally, loans are placed on nonaccrual or charged off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful.

All interest accrued but not collected for loans that are placed on nonaccrual or charged off is reversed against interest income. The interest on these loans is accounted for on the cash basis or cost recovery method, until the loans qualify for return to accrual status. Loans are returned to accrual status only when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES - The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged against earnings as losses are estimated to have occurred. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

It is the policy of Corporation and its subsidiary bank to maintain an allowance for loan and lease losses which achieves the following objectives:

- o Maintenance of the allowance at a level that is adequate to absorb all estimated inherent losses in the loan portfolio and all unfunded and off balance sheet commitments;
- o Evaluation and calculation of the allowance with a sound and consistent analytical framework based on historical data adjusted for current conditions in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles and all applicable banking and regulatory guidance; and
- o Reflection in the allowance of all significant, existing conditions affecting the ability of borrowers to repay.

Management reviews its allowance for loan losses utilizing three broad loan categories: commercial, real estate and consumer installment loans. Within these categories, the allowance for loan losses is composed of specific allocations

61

and general loan pool amounts. Specific allocation is done for larger loans of each loan risk category. The commercial and real estate risk pools are driven by credit risk grade classification. The consumer loan pools are based on payment performance. The allowance assigned to these pools is based on historical losses for each of the pools and industry standards. Other factors considered are changes in policies and procedures, economic conditions, portfolio changes, lending personnel experience, trend analysis, credit concentrations, external factors, and the reports of the loan review system.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Corporation will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the known circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Corporation does not separately identify individual

consumer and residential loans for impairment disclosures.

DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS - Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended, requires that all derivatives be recognized as assets or liabilities in the balance sheet and measured at fair value. In April, 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." Among other requirements, this Statement provides that loan commitment contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 that relate to the origination of mortgage loans that will be held for sale shall be accounted for as derivative instruments by the issuer of the loan commitment. The Corporation issues mortgage loan rate lock commitments to potential borrowers to facilitate its origination of home mortgage loans that are intended to be sold. Between the time that the Corporation issues its commitments and the time that the loans close and are sold, the Corporation is subject to variability in the selling prices related to those commitments due to changes in market rates of interest. However, the Corporation offsets this variability through the use of so-called "forward sales contracts" to investors in the secondary market. Under these arrangements, an investor agrees to purchase the closed loans at a predetermined price. The Corporation generally enters into such forward sales contracts at the same time that rate lock commitments are issued. The forward sales contracts provide both specific underwriting guidelines and definitive price quotes. These arrangements effectively insulate the Corporation from the effects of changes in interest rates during the time that the commitments are outstanding, but the arrangements do not qualify, and are not designated, as fair value hedges. In keeping with SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin 105, no income is recognized as of the original commitment date on either the interest rate lock commitments or the forward sales contracts. Subsequently, changes in the fair values of the instruments are measured as of the end of each reporting period and the changes in the fair values represent the amounts of the derivative assets and liabilities. In addition, the changes in fair values of derivatives are recorded in the statement of income in net gains or losses on loans held for sale. Because the Corporation has effectively matched its forward sales contracts to investors and

62

rate lock commitments to potential borrowers, no net gains or losses due to changes in market interest rates have been recorded in the statement of income for 2006, 2005 or 2004.

Derivative financial instruments are written in amounts referred to as notional amounts. Notional amounts provide only the basis for calculating payments between counterparties and do not represent amounts to be exchanged between parties or a measure of financial risk. The table below presents the notional principal amounts of rate lock commitments and forward sales contracts as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the estimated fair values of those financial instruments included in other assets and liabilities in the balance sheets as of those dates.

> 2006 Estima Notional Amount Fair V (Do

 Γ

Commitments to originate loans to be held for sale	\$(12,86	8) \$ (
Forward sales commitments	12,86	8
Total	\$	- \$
	======	= =====

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION - Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended, encouraged all entities to adopt a fair value based method of accounting for employee stock compensation plans, whereby compensation cost was measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and recognized over the service period, which was usually the vesting period. However, it also allowed an entity to continue to measure compensation cost for those plans using the intrinsic value based method of accounting prescribed by Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," whereby compensation cost was the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of the stock at the grant date (or other measurement date) over the amount an employee was required to pay to acquire the stock. Stock options issued under the Corporation's stock option plans had no intrinsic value at the grant date, and under APB Opinion No. 25 no compensation cost was recognized for them. For 2005, the Corporation elected to continue with the accounting methodology in APB Opinion No. 25 and, as a result, provided pro forma disclosures of net income and earnings per share and other disclosures, as if the fair value based method of accounting had been applied. However, as required by revisions to SFAS No. 123 and Securities and Exchange Commission rules, the Corporation adopted the accounting methodology of SFAS No. 123(R) effective January 1, 2006. See "Accounting Changes - Share-Based Payment." No awards of stock options were made in 2006 and all pre-existing options awards were fully vested prior to the effective date of SFAS No. $123\,(\mathrm{R})$. Therefore, no compensation costs are included in any period.

Had compensation cost for the Corporation's stock option plans been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for awards under the plans consistent with the method prescribed by SFAS No. 123, the Corporation's net income and earnings per share would have been adjusted to the pro forma amounts indicated below:

63

	income, as reported
Pro	forma net income
Net	income per share, basic As reported

(Doll

	Pro forma
Net	income per share, assuming dilution
	As reported
	Pro forma

FORECLOSED ASSETS - Assets (primarily real estate and vehicles) acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at fair value, less estimated costs to sell, at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Loan losses arising from the acquisition of such property are charged against the allowance for loan losses as of that date. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of the new cost basis or fair value, less estimated costs to sell. Revenues and expenses from operations and changes in any subsequent valuation allowance are included in net foreclosed assets costs and expenses. The carrying value of foreclosed assets included in the balance sheets was \$591,000 and \$185,000 as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT - Premises and equipment are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation computed principally on the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Useful lives of assets are outlined below:

Buildings	32 – 40 years
Building components	5 - 30 years
Vault doors, safe deposit boxes, night depository, etc	32 - 40 years
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	5 - 25 years

Useful lives for leasehold improvements held under operating lease agreements are estimated at the lesser of the assets' estimated useful lives as set forth in the table above or the lease term, including certain renewals which are deemed probable at lease inception.

INCOME TAXES - Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are reflected at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets or liabilities are expected to be realized or settled. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes.

OFF-BALANCE-SHEET CREDIT RELATED FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS - In the ordinary course of business the Bank enters into commitments to extend credit and grant standby

64

letters of credit. Such off-balance-sheet $\,$ financial instruments are recorded in the consolidated financial statements when they are funded.

SEGMENTS - Community Bankshares, Inc. through its bank subsidiary provides a broad range of financial services to individuals and businesses in South Carolina. These services include demand, time, and savings deposits; lending services; ATM processing; and similar financial services. While the Corporation's decision makers monitor the revenue streams of the various financial products and services, operations are managed and financial performance is evaluated on a corporate-wide basis. Accordingly, the subsidiary operations are not considered by management to comprise more than one reportable operating segment.

COMPREHENSIVE INCOME - Accounting principles generally require that recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses be included in net income. Certain changes in assets and liabilities, such as unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale, are reported as a separate component of the equity section of the balance sheet. Such items, along with net income, are components of comprehensive income. Currently, the Corporation's only components of other comprehensive income (loss) are unrealized gains (losses) on securities available-for-sale and unrecognized net losses on pension funds resulting from the initial application of SFAS No. 158.

TRANSFERS OF FINANCIAL ASSETS - Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from the Corporation, (2) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (3) the Corporation does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

ACCOUNTING CHANGES

Hybrid Financial Instruments - The provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 155 ("SFAS No. 155"), "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140," are effective January 1, 2007. SFAS No. 155 simplifies the accounting for certain financial instruments containing embedded derivatives. SFAS No. 155 allows fair value measurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." In addition, it amends SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" to allow a qualifying special-purpose entity to hold a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. The adoption of the Statement is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Servicing of Financial Assets - The provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 156 ("SFAS No. 156"), "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140" are effective January 1, 2007. This Statement potentially simplifies the accounting for separately recognized loan servicing assets and liabilities and any financial instruments used to hedge risks associated with those assets and liabilities. Under SFAS 156, separately recognized servicing assets and

65

liabilities are accounted for initially at fair value, if practicable, and subsequently are accounted for either at fair value or amortized over the economic lives of the related loans. If the fair value method of subsequent valuation is elected, SFAS No. 156 permits income statement recognition of the potential offsetting changes in the fair values of the financial servicing rights and liabilities and the derivative instruments used to hedge them in the same accounting period. The Company currently has no separately recognized loan servicing rights or liabilities and adoption in 2007 is not expected to have any effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Fair Value Measurements - The provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157 ("SFAS No. 157"), "Fair Value Measurements," are effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 (January 1, 2008 for the Company). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value and establishes a framework

for measuring fair value in GAAP. The Statement describes fair value as being based on a hypothetical transaction to sell an asset or transfer a liability at a specific measurement date, as considered from the perspective of a market participant who holds the asset or owes the liability (an exit price perspective). In addition, fair value should be viewed as a market-based measurement, rather than an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, fair value should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability, including all risks and restrictions that may be associated with that asset or liability. SFAS No. 157 does not amend the definition of fair value used in conjunction with Share-Based Payments accounted for under SFAS No. 123(R). The adoption of SFAS No. 157 in 2008 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - The provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48 ("FIN 48"), "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109," clarify the accounting for uncertainty in income tax positions. FIN 48 prescribes a two-step evaluation process that includes both a recognition threshold and a measurement attribute for tax positions taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective for the Company as of January 1, 2007. The adoption of FIN 48 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

ADVERTISING COSTS - The cost of advertising is expensed as incurred.

OTHER - Certain amounts previously reported in the consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation and disclosure requirements. These reclassifications had no effect on previously reported net income or retained earnings.

NOTE 3 - CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS

The Bank is required to maintain average reserve balances with the Federal Reserve or in available cash. The average daily reserve balance requirements at December 31, 2006 and 2005 were approximately \$1,300,000 and \$3,942,000, respectively. At December 31, 2006 the Corporation had cash balances with unrelated correspondent banks, including bankers' banks, totaling approximately \$11,723,000, of which \$429,000 was fully insured by the FDIC.

66

NOTE 4 - SECURITIES

Securities consist of the following:

December 31,

2006

Gross Unrealized Unrealized Estimated

Amortized Holding Holding Fair Amorti Gains Losses Value

66

Cost

						(Dollars	in thousa
Securities available-for-sale							
Government-sponsored enterprises* States and political	\$82,145	\$	81	\$	487	\$81,739	\$55 , 78
subdivisions	3,032		13		1	3,044	3 , 75
Total securities available-for-sale	\$85,177 ======	\$ ===	94	\$ ===	488	\$84,783 ======	\$59 , 53
Securities held-to-maturity States and political							
subdivisions	\$ 1,750	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 1,750	\$ 1 , 85
	======	===	====	===	=====	======	

^{*} Government-sponsored enterprises consist of entities such as the Federal Home Loan Bank, Federal Farm Credit Bank and Federal National Mortgage Association.

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities at December 31, 2006 by contractual maturity are detailed below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

		ресе
	Available-for-sale	
	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value (Dollar
Securities		
Due within one year	\$11 , 550	\$11 , 461
Due after one through five years	55,237	55,001
Due after five through ten years	18,380	18,311
Due after ten years	10	10
Total	\$85 , 177	\$84,783
	======	======

67

The following tables provide information about the Corporation's securities holdings which were maintained in an unrealized loss position as of December 31, 2006 and 2005:

December 31, 2006

Continuously in Unrealized Loss Posi _____

Less than 12 Months 12 Months or mor

Fair Value

Estimated Unrealized Estimated Unreal Loss Fair Value

Description of Securities			((DOITAIS IN UNC	ousanus)
Government-sponsored enterprises	\$33 , 901 -	\$	164	\$25,374 1,279	\$
Total securities	\$33,901 =====		164	\$26,653 =====	\$ ====
				December 31,	
	Cor	ıtinu	ously ir	n Unrealized Lo	oss Posi
	Less than Estimated	Unr	realized	12 Months Estimated	or mor Unreal
	Fair Value	Τ.	10SS	Fair Value	
Description of Securities	Fair Value	_		Fair Value (Dollars in the	
Description of Securities Government-sponsored enterprises		_			

======

======

At December 31, 2006, the Corporation held 36 securities that had been in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and 35 securities that had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. At December 31, 2005, the Corporation held 20 securities that had been in an unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and 46 securities that had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more. In assessing whether securities that had been in an unrealized loss position for 12 months or more were other-than-temporarily impaired, the Corporation considered numerous factors about these securities, including the length of time of the impairment, near-term prospects for recovery, and the expectations for changes in interest rates. Unrealized losses reflected in this table generally are the result of interest rate changes that have occurred since the securities were purchased. The Corporation has the intent and ability to hold these securities until maturity and no loss is expected on any of these securities if they are held until their maturities. Accordingly, these losses are not considered other-than-temporary.

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, investment securities with a carrying value of \$38,921,000 and \$27,509,000, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits, repurchase agreements and for other purposes required and permitted by law.

For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, proceeds from sales of securities available-for-sale amounted to 0, 4, 412, 000, and 13, 676, 000, respectively. In 2006, the company realized a 1,000 gain on the call of a security at an amount in excess of par value. Gross realized gains from sales totaled 0, 0 and 0, and 0, respectively. Gross realized losses were 0,

68

\$10,000 and \$31,000, respectively. The tax benefit (provision) applicable to the net realized gains and losses amounted to \$0, \$4,000 and \$(26,000),

(Dollars in thousands)

======

respectively.

NOTE 5 - OTHER INVESTMENTS

Other investments consist of restricted stocks of the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta, and correspondent bankers' banks which are carried at cost. Management periodically evaluates these investments for impairment, with any appropriate downward adjustments being made when necessary.

NOTE 6 - LOANS

The following is a summary of loans by category:

	December 31,		
	2006	2005	
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Commercial, financial and agricultural Real estate- construction	\$ 86,080 40,541	\$ 95,023 37,923	
Real estate - mortgage	253,423	243,837	
Consumer installment	29 , 676	37 , 201	
Total	409,720 (4,662)	413,984 (11,641)	
Loans - net	\$ 405,058 ======	\$ 402,343	

Net deferred loan (fees) and costs of (104,000) and (25,000) were allocated to the various loan categories as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Overdrawn deposits totaling 665,000 and 382,000 have been reclassified as loan balances at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Gross proceeds from sales of mortgage loans originated for resale were approximately \$238,033,000, \$213,195,000, and \$174,074,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. Income from this activity is recognized as mortgage brokerage income.

Loans outstanding to directors, executive officers, principal holders of equity securities, or to any of their associates totaled \$4,051,000 at December 31, 2006 and \$7,071,000 at December 31, 2005. A total of \$3,069,000 in loans were made or added, while a total of \$6,089,000 were repaid or deducted during 2006. Related party loans are made on substantially the same terms, including interest rates and collateral, as those prevailing at the time for comparable transactions with unrelated persons and do not involve more than normal risk of collectibility. Changes in the composition of the board of directors or the group comprising executive officers also result in additions to or deductions from loans outstanding to directors, executive officers or principal holders of equity securities.

The Company generally does not engage in originating, holding, guaranteeing, servicing or investing in loans where the terms of the loan product may give

rise to a concentration of credit risk as that term is used in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, "Disclosures about Fair Values of Financial Instruments." However, at December 31, 2006, the Company had a concentration involving loans for the construction of speculative residential housing units totaling \$25,797,000 or 48% of capital, and in real estate loans with high loan-to-value ratios of \$24,835,000, or 46% of capital.

Changes in the allowance for loan losses were as follows:

	2006
Balance at January 1 Transfer of allowance for off-balance-sheet	\$ 11,641 -
Provision charged to expense	2 , 950
Recoveries	1,436
Charge-offs	(11,365)
Balance at December 31	\$ 4,662
	=======

December 31,

The following is summary information pertaining to impaired loans:

	2006	2005
(Do	llars in th	nousands)
Impaired loans without a valuation allowance	\$ -	\$ 2,283
-	4,714	
Total impaired loans	\$ 4,714	\$11,651
Allowance for loan losses on impaired loans at year end \dots	\$ 1,381	\$ 3,767
	======	======
Average total investment in impaired loans during the year .	\$ 9,828	\$ 7,725

No additional funds are irrevocably $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

Nonaccrual and past due loans at December 31, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Dollars ir	n thousands)
Nonaccrual loans	\$ 4,714 232	\$11,651 729

Yea

Total	\$ 4,946	\$12,380

Gross interest income that would have been recorded for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 if nonaccrual loans had been performing in accordance with their original terms was approximately \$429,000, \$448,000, and \$63,000, respectively. No material amounts of cash basis income were recognized on such loans during 2006, 2005 and 2004.

70

From time to time in the normal course of business, the Mortgage Division may be required to repurchase loans sold into the secondary market because of investor recourse rights. These recourse rights relate to early payment default, fraud in the origination of loan or defect in the documentation for the loan. Management has identified certain real estate-secured loans, with aggregate principal amounts outstanding of \$532,000 on which the investors have requested indemnification pursuant to their recourse rights. This amount does not represent management's estimate of anticipated loss and, at this time, management is in the process of determining a probable loss amount associated with these loans. During 2006, the Company recognized \$144,000 in recourse loan provision. Management will continue to regularly evaluate the estimated fair value of these loans, and other such loans that may come to the attention of management, and will make any appropriate accounting adjustment that may become necessary.

NOTE 7 - PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT; OPERATING LEASES

Premises and equipment at December 31, 2006 and 2005 consist of the following:

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Dollars in	thousands)
Land	\$ 2,808	\$ 1,952
Buildings and components	5,209	3,884
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	7,729	6,633
Construction in process - gross	7	2,432
Total	15 , 753	14,901
Less, accumulated depreciation	5,446	5,489
Premises and equipment - net	\$10,307	\$ 9,412
	======	======

Depreciation expense was approximately \$1,018,000, \$937,000, and \$926,000, for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004, respectively. During 2006 and 2005, the Corporation capitalized interest of \$21,000 and \$12,000, respectively, to construction in progress.

As of December 31, 2006 future minimum rent commitments under various non-cancelable operating leases are as follows:

Year	Amount
(Dollars in thousands)	
2007	\$ 483
2008	335
2009	277
2010	233
2011	236
Thereafter	4,571
Total	\$ 6,135

Total rent expense for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 was \$512,000, \$413,000, and \$308,000, respectively. Some leases provide for the payment of executory costs and contain options to renew; lease payments for such renewal periods are generally higher than during the original lease term.

NOTE 8 - INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Changes in the carrying amounts of goodwill for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 are as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2006 (Dollars in	2005 thousands)
Balance, beginning of year	\$4,321 - -	\$4,321 - -
Balance, end of year	\$4,321 =====	\$4,321 =====

Goodwill is tested for impairment annually. As of December 31, 2006 no impairment has been determined.

As part of the valuation of Ridgeway Bancshares, Inc. that was conducted by a third party firm in conjunction with its acquisition by the Company, a core deposit intangible was computed. Such amortizable intangible assets are evaluated annually to determine whether any revisions of their estimated useful lives are warranted. For the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, and 2004, no such revisions have resulted.

The following tables present the gross carrying amounts and accumulated amortization for the Corporation's amortizable intangible assets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, and the estimated amounts of amortization expense to be recognized for each of the five succeeding fiscal years, as of December 31, 2006 and 2005. Such assets are being amortized on a straight-line basis over fifteen years, a period which represents the expected runoff period of the deposits acquired.

2006

December 31,

	-		
	Gross		Gro
	Carrying	Accumulated	Carr
	Amount	Amortization	Amo
Amortizable intangible asset class		(Dollars in	 thousan
Core deposit intangible	\$3,698 =====	\$1,107 =====	\$3 , ===

Estimated amounts of amortization expense to be recognized in each of the next five succeeding years are:

		December 31,	
	2006		2005
Year	(Dollars in tho	usands)	
2006	\$ -	\$	246
2007	246		246
2008	246		246
2009	246		246
2010	246		246
2011	246		NA

NOTE 9 - DEPOSITS

At December 31, 2006, the scheduled maturities of certificates of deposit and other time deposits are as follows:

Year		Amount
	(Dollars in thousands)	
2007		\$ 226,471
2008		12,610
2009		2,501
2010		1,356
2011		751
Thereafter		19
	Total	\$ 243,708

Deposits of directors and officers and their related business interests totaled approximately \$4,033,000 and \$8,629,000 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

NOTE 10 - SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS

The Corporation's short-term borrowings generally consist of federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase. Federal funds

73

purchased and securities sold under agreements with customers to repurchase generally mature within one to four days from the transaction date. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase are reflected at the amount of cash received in connection with the transaction. The Corporation monitors the fair value of the underlying securities on a daily basis and it is the Bank's policy to maintain a collateral value greater than the principal and accrued interest of the transaction. All securities underlying these agreements are institution-owned securities.

Short-term borrowings are summarized as follows:

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Dollars in	thousands)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase Federal funds purchased Warehouse lines of credit Other short-term debt	\$12,948 - - -	\$ 5,372 421 1,182 2,000
Total	\$12,948 ======	\$ 8,975 =====

The following table summarizes information about short-term borrowings during each of the periods presented:

	Decem	nber 31,
	2006	2005
	(Dollars in	thousands)
Balance outstanding at end of year	4.00% \$ 400 \$14,058 \$13,680	\$ 8,975 4.26% \$ 198 \$ 8,975 \$ 8,584 2.31%

As of December 31, 2006, the Bank had unused credit availabilities under federal funds lines established with unrelated correspondent banks totaling \$38,300,000.

74

NOTE 11 - LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt is summarized as follows:

December 31,

	2006	2005
	(Dollars in	thousands)
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta to subsidiary banks, varying maturities to 2023		
with interest rates from 2.00% to 6.14%	\$15 , 878	\$21,886
Junior Subordinated Debt to Unconsolidated Trusts (1),	10,310	10,310
dated April 7 2004 maturing April 7 2024		
dated April 7, 2004, maturing April 7, 2034, with variable interest rate based on 3-month LIBOR		
Total long-term debt	\$26 , 188	\$32,196
	======	======

⁽¹⁾ Securities qualify as Tier 1 capital under the regulatory risk-based capital guidelines, subject to certain limitations.

Collateral for the Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta consists of blanket liens on the Bank's one-to-four family first lien residential mortgage loans and the Bank's stock in the FHLB. Such collateral was carried in the consolidated balance sheet at approximately \$76,694,000 and \$66,500,000 at December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Under the blanket lien agreements, the Bank had the ability to borrow additional funds approximating \$42,109,000 from the FHLB as of December 31, 2006. Any such borrowings would be subject to the FHLB's normal approval process and would be subject to interest rates established by the FHLB at the time of each such transaction. The FHLB may terminate the availability at any time.

On March 8, 2004, the Corporation sponsored the creation of a Delaware trust, SCB Capital Trust I (the "Trust"), and is the sole owner of the common securities issued by the Trust. The Trust is a variable interest entity under FIN 46R, but is not subject to consolidation by the Corporation since substantially all risk of loss has been transferred to other entities through the Trust's March 10, 2004 issuance of \$10,000,000 in floating rate capital securities. The proceeds of this issuance, and the amount of CBI's capital investment, were used to acquire \$10,310,000 principal amount of CBI's floating rate junior subordinated deferrable interest debt securities ("Debentures") due April 7, 2034, which securities, and the accrued interest thereon, now constitute the Trust's sole assets. The interest rate associated with the debt securities, and the distribution rate on the common securities of the Trust, was established initially at 3.91% and is adjustable quarterly at the three month LIBOR rate plus 280 basis points. The index rate (LIBOR) may not be lower than 1.11%. As of December 31, 2006, the interest rate associated with the debt was 8.17%. CBI may defer interest payments on the Debentures for up to twenty consecutive quarters, but not beyond the stated maturity date of the Debentures. In the event that such interest payments are deferred by CBI, the Trust may defer distributions on the common securities. In such an event, CBI would be restricted in its ability to pay dividends on its common stock and perform under other obligations that are not senior to the junior subordinated Debentures.

The Debentures are redeemable at par at the option of CBI, in whole or in part, on any interest payment date on or after April 7, 2009. Prior to that date, the

75

Debentures are redeemable at 105% of par upon the occurrence of certain events that would have a negative effect on the Trust or that would cause it to be required to be registered as an investment company under the Investment Company

Act of 1940 or that would cause trust preferred securities not to be eligible to be treated as Tier 1 capital by the Federal Reserve Board. Upon repayment or redemption of the Debentures, the Trust will use the proceeds of the transaction to redeem an equivalent amount of trust preferred securities and trust common securities. The Trust's obligations under the trust preferred securities are unconditionally guaranteed by CBI.

The Company's investment in the Trust is carried at cost in other assets and the debentures are included in long-term debt in the consolidated balance sheet.

Required future principal reductions of the Corporation's long-term debt are summarized as follows:

Year				I	Amount
				-	
	(Dollars	in	thousands)		
2007				ζ	1,000
2008					2,500
2009					2,000
2010					7,200
2011					_
Thereafter					13,488
	Total			\$	26 , 188
				==	

NOTE 12 - STOCK OPTIONS AND DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT SHARES

Under the Corporation's Dividend Reinvestment Plan, shareholders may reinvest all or part of their cash dividends in shares of common stock and also purchase additional shares of common stock. During the three-year period ended December 31, 2006 all shares purchased under this plan were purchased in the market by Registrar and Transfer Company, the plan administrator, not issued by the Corporation. At December 31, 2006, 624,665 common shares were reserved for issuance pursuant to the dividend reinvestment and additional stock purchase plan.

During 2001, the Corporation amended its 1997 Stock Option Plan (the "Plan") to increase by 200,000 shares the number of shares reserved for issuance upon exercise of options and to permit participation in the plan by non-employee directors. During 2003, the Corporation amended the Plan to increase by 300,000 shares the number of shares reserved for issuance upon exercise of employee incentive stock options. Under the Plan, as amended, up to 785,600 shares of common stock were authorized to be granted to selected officers, other employees, and non-employee directors of the Corporation and/or its subsidiaries pursuant to exercise of incentive and nonqualified stock options. Of such shares, 590,050 were reserved for issuance pursuant to exercise of nonqualified stock options. At December 31, 2006, 347,619 of the Corporation's authorized common shares remained reserved for future grant pursuant to the Plan.

The exercise price of any incentive option granted is equal to the fair value of the common stock on the date the option is granted. Nonqualified options can be issued for less than fair value; however, the Corporation has not elected to issue these options for less than fair value at the date of the grant.

A summary of the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$ status of options $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) =\left(1\right)$ is unconstant to the Plan is presented below:

				Years Ended	Dece
		2006		20	 05
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Intrinsic Value (000s)	Number of Shares	W A E P
Outstanding at beginning of year Granted Exercised Forfeited or expired Outstanding at end of year	512,073 - (35,917) (38,175) 437,981	\$ - \$10.72	\$ 1,069	482,817 45,500 (12,744) (3,500) 512,073	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$
Options exercisable at year end		\$14.41	\$ 1,069	512,073 512,073 ======	\$

The weighted average fair values of options granted each year are computed using the Black-Scholes option pricing model using the assumptions detailed below:

	Years	Ended Decembe	er 31,
	2006	 2005	2004
Weighted average fair value of options			
granted during the year	\$ -	\$ 2.33	\$ 4.74
Risk-free interest rate	NA	3.80%	3.74%
Expected life (years)	NA	3.00	7.00
Expected volatility	NA	18.75%	26.15%
Yield	NA	2.60%	2.18%

The following table summarizes information about the options outstanding:

			ecember 31, 200		
		Options Outstanding	j	Options Ex	
Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Outstanding	Av Ex P
\$ 7.62 to \$ 11.00 \$12.83 \$ 18.85	147,960 290,021 437,981	3.5 4.7 4.3	\$ 10.44 \$ 16.43 \$ 14.41	147,960 290,021 437,981	\$ \$ \$

Until January 1, 2006, the Corporation applied APB Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations in accounting for its stock-based compensation plans.

77

Accordingly, no compensation cost has previously been recognized. Effective January 1, 2006, the Corporation adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) using the modified prospective method. All option grants had fully vested prior to the implementation of SFAS 123(R). Consequently, because adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) under the modified prospective method requires the recognition of compensation costs related only to the future vesting of stock option awards, no compensation costs were included in the determination of income from operations, net income, or any earnings per share amounts for 2006.

NOTE 13 - INCOME TAXES

The Corporation files consolidated federal income tax returns on a calendar-year basis.

The provision for income taxes consists of the following:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Current.	(Dollars i	n thousands)	
Federal State	\$ 161 231	\$ 3,396 202	\$ 1,367 117
Total current	392	3 , 598	1,484
Deferred			
Federal	2,281 	(2,833)	287
Total income tax expense	\$ 2,673 ======	\$ 765 =====	\$ 1,771 ======

The provision for income taxes differs from that computed by applying federal statutory rates at 34% to income before income tax expense as indicated in the following summary:

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
	(Doll	ars in thous	sands)
Tax expense at statutory rate	\$ 2,611	\$ 603	\$ 1,693
income tax benefit	153	134	184
Tax-exempt interest income	(78)	(87)	(133)
core deposit intangibles	84	84	84
Other, net	(97)	31	(57)

Total \$ 2,673 \$ 765 \$ 1,771

78

Temporary differences, which give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities, are as follows:

	December 31,		
		2005	
	(Dollars in		
Deferred tax assets			
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 1 , 273	\$ 3,624	
Unrealized net holding losses on			
available-for-sale securities	130	299	
State net operating loss	162	109	
Other	210	155	
Gross deferred tax assets	1,775		
Valuation allowance	(162)	(109)	
Total	1,613		
Deferred tax liabilities			
Accelerated depreciation	348	363	
-	12	12	
Purchase adjustments - securities	14	24	
Purchase adjustments - loans	8	24	
Gross deferred tax liabilities	382	423	
Net deferred income tax assets	\$ 1,231 ======	\$ 3,655 =====	

The state of South Carolina has different tax rates and rules governing banks and regular corporations. The Corporation (holding company only) and the mortgage company (CRM) are regular corporations and file a consolidated state tax return separate from the state bank tax return filed by CRB. Accordingly, at December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, valuation allowances of \$162,000 and \$109,000 were established to offset deferred tax assets, which management does not consider likely to be realizable, arising from state net operating loss carryforwards of the Corporation (parent company only) and CRM. The Corporation had no available carrybacks, and realization of the remainder of the net operating loss deductions is dependent upon the Corporation (parent company only) and CRM having aggregate future taxable income. Management expects that the holding company and CRM have limited prospects to generate future taxable income. The state net operating loss carryforwards at December 31, 2006 total \$3,251,000 and expire as follows: 2024 - \$1,407,000; 2025 - \$763,000 and 2026 - \$1,081,000.

NOTE 14 - EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

The Corporation provides a defined contribution plan qualified under Internal Revenue Code Section 401(k). All employees who are eligible employees and who are age 21 or older may participate in the plan. Eligible employees are defined

as employees not represented by a bargaining unit which has bargained with the Corporation in good faith on the subject of retirement benefits.

A participant may elect to make tax deferred contributions up to a maximum of \$15,000 (plus \$5,000 catch-up contributions if they were at least 50 years old) in the 2006 plan year. The Corporation will make matching contributions on behalf of each participant for 100% of the elective deferral up to 3% of the participant's eligible compensation, which excludes incentive awards and bonuses, plus 50% of the incremental elective deferral up to 5% of the participant's eligible compensation, for a maximum matching contribution of 4%. The Corporation may also make additional contributions determined at the discretion of the Board of Directors.

79

The Corporation's contributions for 401(k) related profit sharing for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 totaled approximately \$291,000, \$174,000, and \$285,000, respectively. Since 2001, the senior officers of the Corporation have not participated in the profit sharing program.

A defined benefit pension plan covers the majority of the employees of the former Bank of Ridgeway. This plan was in place prior to the Corporation's acquisition of Ridgeway Bancshares, Inc. in 2002. Because there were no such plans for the Corporation's other subsidiaries, and there are no intentions to establish any other such plans, the Corporation froze benefit accruals and discontinued additional participation and voluntary contributions in the plan during 2003. Management has no immediate plans to formally terminate the plan and distribute its assets. The changes in the pension plan have been accounted for as curtailments in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 88. The following table shows the activity and status of that plan:

	As of December 31,		
		2005	2004
	(Dolla	rs in thou	
Change in Benefit Obligation			
Benefit obligation as of January 1	\$ 831	\$ 752	\$ 710
Service cost	_	_	_
Interest cost	38	46	46
Curtailments	_	_	_
Actuarial (gain) loss	20	42	106
Acquisition	_	_	_
Benefits paid	(220)	(9)	(110)
Benefit obligation as of December 31	669	831	752
Change in Plan Assets			
Fair value of plan assets as of January 1	795	694	656
Actual return (loss) on plan assets	57	50	88
Acquisition	_	-	_
Employer contributions	60	60	60
Benefits paid	(220)	(9)	(110)
Fair value of plan assets as of December 31	692	795	694
Funded Status of the Plan	23	(36)	(58)
Unrecognized transition obligation	_	_	_
Unrecognized net loss	143	142	101
Prepaid (accrued) benefit liability	\$ 166	\$ 106	\$ 43

	=====	=====	=====
Amount Recognized in the Consolidated			
Balance Sheets consists of:			
Prepaid (accrued) benefit cost	\$ 23	\$ 106	\$ 43
	=====	=====	=====

80

The actuarial assumptions used to determine the benefit obligation as of December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004 were as follows:

	December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
Pension Benefits Weighted Average Assumptions			
Discount rate	6.00%	6.00%	6.25%
Rate of compensation increase	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%

The components of net periodic pension cost were as follows:

	Years	Ended Decem	mber 31,
	2006	2005	2004
	(Dolla	rs in thous	ands)
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost			
Service cost	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Interest cost	38	46	46
Expected return on plan assets	(43)	(51)	(47)
Recognized net actuarial loss (gain)	-	_	-
Amortization of transition obligation	_	_	_
Amortization of unrecognized net loss	5	2	2
Curtailment (gain) loss	_	_	_
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ -	\$ (3)	\$ 1
	====	====	====

For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the assumptions used to determine net periodic pension cost were as follows:

Ž	Years Ende	ed Decemb	oer 31,
	2006	2005	2004
Pension Cost Weighted Average Assumptions			
Discount rate	6.00%	6.25%	6.25%
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets	7.25%	7.25%	7.25%
Rate of compensation increase	3.00%	3.00%	3.00%

81

The following table shows the incremental effects of initially adopting the provisions of SFAS No. 158 as of December 31, 2006:

	Incremental E on Indi Consc E
	Before Application of SFAS No. 158
	(1)
Prepaid pension assets/(Liability for pension benefits) Deferred income taxes Total assets Accumulated other comprehensive income Total shareholders' equity	\$ 166 1,184 578,613 (265) 52,720
The following table provides information about pension-related amounts flowing through or included in accumulated other comprehensive income during, or as of the end of, each of the years indicated.	
Amounts recognized in other comprehensive income during the period	
Net (gain) or loss arising during the period	
Amounts recognized in other comprehensive income at the end of the period Net (gain) or loss	
Amounts included in other comprehensive income at period end that are expected to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost in the nex succeeding year Net (gain) or loss	
Net transition asset or obligation	
Amount of any plan assets expected to be returned to the Corporation during the next 12-month period	
82	

As of December 31, 2006 and 2005, pension plan assets consisted primarily of the following:

> Percentage of Plan Assets at December 31,

Asset Category	2006	2005
Equities	50%	51%
Bonds	26%	24%
Cash	2%	0%
Stable value instruments	22%	25%
Total	100%	100%
	===	===

The plan did not hold any direct investment in the Corporation's common stock.

The Company expects to contribute \$90,000 to the pension plan in 2007.

Estimated future benefit payments are as follows:

Year	Amount	
	(Dollars in thousands)	
2007	\$ 195	
2008	_	
2009	170	
2010	_	
2011	12	
Years 2012 through 2016	411	

The Corporation maintains no other post-retirement or post-employment benefit plans.

NOTE 15 - OFF-BALANCE-SHEET COMMITMENTS

The Bank is party to credit-related financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets.

The Bank's exposure to credit loss is represented by the contractual notional amount of these commitments. The Bank generally uses the same credit policies in making these commitments as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

83

At December 31, 2006 and 2005, the following financial instruments were outstanding whose contract amounts represent credit risk:

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Dollars	in thousands)
Loan commitments Unfunded commitments under lines of credit Standby letters of credit	\$21,833 49,104 2,545	\$13,386 42,974 2,024

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as

there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Bank upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the borrower. Collateral held varies but may include personal residences, accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant, and equipment, and income-producing commercial properties.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those letters of credit are primarily issued to support private borrowing arrangements. All letters of credit are short-term guarantees. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loan facilities to customers. The Bank generally holds collateral supporting those commitments if deemed necessary. Since many of the standby letters of credit are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total letter of credit amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. To reduce credit risk related to the use of credit-related financial instruments, the Bank might deem it necessary to obtain collateral. The amount and nature of the collateral obtained is based on the Bank's credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral held varies but may include cash, securities, accounts receivable, inventory, property, plant and equipment and real estate.

In March 2007, the Bank entered into an agreement to construct a new branch banking office on Clemson Road in northeast Richland County for a cost of approximately \$800,000. Completion of the project is expected by the fourth quarter 2007.

NOTE 16 - EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share represent income available to common shareholders divided by the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per share reflect additional common shares that would have been outstanding if all dilutive potential stock options were exercised at the beginning of each year and the proceeds used to purchase shares of the Corporation's common stock at the average market price during the year. Dilutive potential common shares that may be issued by the Corporation relate solely to outstanding stock options.

84

Earnings per common share were computed based on the following:

Net income per share, basic	\$	1.13
Net income per share, assuming dilution		
Numerator - net income	\$	5 , 009
Denominator Weighted average common shares issued and outstanding Effect of dilutive stock options	•	132,480 72,041
Total shares	4,5	504,521
Net income per share, assuming dilution	\$ ====	1.11

NOTE 17 - FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The fair value of a financial instrument is the current amount that would be exchanged between willing parties, other than in a forced liquidation. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Corporation's various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. These techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument. SFAS No. 107, "Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments," as amended, excludes certain financial instruments and all non-financial instruments from its disclosure requirements. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented may not necessarily represent the underlying fair value of the Corporation.

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Corporation in estimating fair values of financial instruments as disclosed herein:

Cash and cash equivalents. The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents approximate fair values.

Interest-bearing deposits with other banks. The carrying amounts of interest-bearing deposits with banks approximate their fair values.

Securities available-for-sale and held-to-maturity. Fair values for securities are based on quoted market prices. The market values of state and local government securities are established with the assistance of an independent pricing service. The values are based on data which often reflect transactions of relatively small size and are not necessarily indicative of the value of the securities when traded in large volumes.

85

Other investments. Fair values of other investments, consisting of restricted securities, approximate the carrying amounts and are based on the redemption provisions of the issuers.

Loans held for sale. The carrying amounts approximate their fair values.

Loans. Fair values for performing loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with

similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality. Fair values for non-performing loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses or underlying collateral values, where applicable.

Accrued interest. The carrying amounts of accrued interest receivable and payable approximate fair value.

Deposits. The fair values disclosed for demand deposits are equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (that is, their carrying amounts). Fair values for certificates of deposit and other time deposits are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities of time deposits.

Short-term borrowings. The carrying amounts of federal funds purchased and borrowings under repurchase agreements approximate their fair values because of the associated variable interest rates.

Long-term debt. The fair value of fixed-rate long-term debt is estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the Corporation's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements. The fair value of variable-rate long-term debt is estimated at the carrying amount of the debt.

Off-balance-sheet commitments. Fair values for off-balance-sheet commitments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standings. The vast majority of loan commitments do not involve the charging of a fee, and costs associated with outstanding letters of credit are not material. For loan commitments and standby letters of credit, the committed interest rates are either variable or approximate current interest rates offered for similar commitments. Therefore, the estimated fair values of these off-balance-sheet commitments are nominal.

86

The estimated fair values and related carrying or notional amounts of the Corporation's financial instruments at December 31, 2006 and 2005, are as follows:

Decemb 2006 Estimated Carrying Amount Fair Value of Assets of Assets (Liabilities) (Liabilities) _____ (Dollars Cash and cash equivalents \$ 46,724 \$ 46,724 1,926 Interest bearing deposits with other banks 1,926 Securities available-for-sale 84,783 84,783 Securities held-to-maturity 1,750 1,750

Other investments	3 , 246	3,246
Loans held for sale	9,235	9,235
Loans, net	405,058	404,383
Accrued interest receivable	3,821	3,821
Deposits	(483,621)	(484,172)
Short-term borrowings	(12,948)	(12,948)
Long-term debt	(26,188)	(27,236)
Accrued interest payable	(1,578)	(1,578)
Off-balance-sheet commitments		
Loan commitments	\$ (21,833)	\$ -
Unfunded commitments under lines of credit	(49,104)	_
Standby letters of credit	(2,545)	-

NOTE 18 - CONTINGENCIES

The Corporation is subject at times to claims and lawsuits arising out of the normal course of business. As of December 31, 2006, no claims or lawsuits were pending or threatened which, in the opinion of management, are likely to have a material effect on the Corporation's consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 19 - REGULATORY MATTERS

The Bank is subject to dividend restrictions set forth by various banking regulators. Under such restrictions, the Bank may not, without prior approval, declare dividends in excess of the sum of the current year's earnings (as defined) plus the retained earnings (as defined) from the prior two years. In addition, dividends paid by the Bank to the Corporation would be prohibited if the effect thereof would cause the Bank's capital to be reduced below applicable minimum capital requirements. At December 31, 2006, the dividends that the Bank could declare without the approval of its primary bank regulator amounted to approximately \$5,606,000. The Bank is also restricted by law as to the amount it lends to any non-depository affiliate, including the Corporation and CRM. Such loans are subject to the requirements of Section 23A of the Federal Reserve Act including a general limitation to not more than 10% of capital and specified ratios of the fair market value of allowable collateral to loan amounts. There were no such loans outstanding during 2006.

87

The Corporation (on a consolidated basis) and the Bank are each subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material adverse effect on the Corporation's and the Bank's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Corporation and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of their assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors. Prompt corrective action provisions are not applicable to bank holding companies.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Corporation and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined), and of Tier 1 capital to

average assets (as defined). Management believes, as of December 31, 2006 and 2005, that the Corporation and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

As of December 31, 2006, the most recent notification from the FDIC categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the table. There are no conditions or events since the notifications that management believes have changed the Bank's category. The Corporation's and the Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios are presented in the following table.

88

	Actual		M Cap	
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	
December 31, 2006			(Dollars	
Consolidated Community Resource Bank	\$57,005	10.1%	\$22,62	
	49,238	8.8%	22,41	
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated	\$57,005	13.6%	\$16,75	
	49,238	11.8%	16,63	
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated	\$61,667	14.7%	\$33,50	
	53,885	13.0%	33,26	
December 31, 2005 Tier 1 Capital (to Average Assets) Consolidated ONB SNB FNB BOR	\$52,370	9.6%	\$21,88	
	18,008	8.2%	8,78	
	10,060	7.1%	5,70	
	7,155	8.9%	3,21	
	7,721	8.2%	3,78	
Tier 1 Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated ONB SNB FNB BOR	\$52,370	12.7%	\$16,43	
	18,008	11.1%	6,49	
	10,060	9.1%	4,41	
	7,155	10.1%	2,82	
	7,721	12.4%	2,48	
Total Capital (to Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated ONB SNB FNB BOR	\$57,592	14.0%	\$32,87	
	19,974	12.3%	12,99	
	11,518	10.4%	8,83	
	8,040	11.4%	5,65	
	8,501	13.7%	4,97	

89

NOTE 20 - CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Presented below are the condensed financial statements for Community Bankshares, Inc. (Parent Company only):

COMMUNITY BANKSHARES, INC. (PARENT COMPANY ONLY)

Condensed Balance Sheets Assets

Cash
Investment in banking subsidiaries
Investment in nonbanking subsidiaries
Securities available-for-sale, at fair value
Loans to nonbanking subsidiary
Premises and equipment - net
Goodwill
Other assets

Total assets

Liabilities
Short-term borrowings
Long-term debt
Other liabilities
Shareholders' equity

Total liabilities and shareholders' equity

90

Condensed Statements of Income Income

200

2,4

Edgar Filing: COMMUNITY BANKSHARES INC /SC/ - Form 10-K	
Interest income	2
Total income	2,9
Expenses Salaries and employee benefits Premises and equipment Supplies Directors' fees Interest expense Other expenses	8 2
Total expenses	1,1
Income before income taxes and equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries	1,8 (2 2,9
Net income	\$ 5,0 =====
91	
	2006
ensed Statements of Cash Flows Operating activities	
Net income	\$ 5 , 0

Conde

Net income
cash provided by operating activities
Equity in undistributed (earnings) loss
of subsidiaries
Depreciation and amortization
Decrease (increase) in other assets
Increase in other liabilities
Net cash provided by
operating activities
Investing activities
Net decrease (increase) in loans to nonbanking subsidiaries
Investment in SCB Capital Trust
Investments in banking subsidiaries
Investment in nonbanking subsidiary
Purchases of premises and equipment
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities
Financing activities
(Decrease) increase in short-term borrowings, net

(2,9

2,0

4,4

3,8

(7

8)

2,2

(2,0

Proceeds of issuing long-term debt Sale of common stock Exercise of stock options Cash dividends paid	3 (1 , 9
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	(3,5
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	3,1 1,3
Cash and cash equivalents, ending	\$ 4,5

92

NOTE 21 - QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

				rs Ended D	ecembe
		200	6		
	Fourth Quarter	Third Quarter	Second Quarter		Four Quar
Interest and dividend income	\$ 9,722 4,307	\$ 9,616 4,072			\$ 8, 3,
Net interest income		5,544 665	•	5,227 615	5, 6,
Net interest income after provision Noninterest income	2,354		2,060 - 4,632	1,933 1 4,627	(1, 4,
Income (loss) before income taxes Provision for income taxes		1,931 730	2,120 747	1,919 718	(3, (1,
Net income (loss)		\$ 1,201 =====			\$(2, ====
Earnings (loss) per share Basic	\$ 0.28 0.27	\$ 0.27 0.27	•	·	\$ (0 (0

In early 2005 management hired a Chief Credit Officer, enhanced its loan administration process and engaged the services of a new external loan review firm. The new loan review firm's initial series of reviews were completed during the second and third quarter of 2005. Based on those reviews, and further work conducted by management, significant increases were recorded in the

Corporation's loan loss provision during the last two quarters of 2005. Loan loss provision expense was significantly reduced during 2006 as a result of these and other initiatives. In the fourth quarter of 2006, the Bank recognized a gain of \$514,000 on the sale of \$8,100,000 from its loan portfolio.

93

There were no disagreements with or changes in accountants.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Based on the evaluation required by 17 C.F.R. Section 240.13a-15(b) or 240.15d-15(b) of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in 17 C.F.R. Sections 240.13a-15(e) or 240.15d-15(e)), the Corporation's chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that such controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this annual report, were effective.

No disclosure is required under 17 C.F.R. Section 229.308(a) or (b). There has been no change in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9A(T). Controls and Procedures

This item is not yet applicable.

Item 9B. Other Information

No information required to be disclosed in a report on Form 8-K during the fourth quarter of 2006 was not so disclosed.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information set forth under the captions "Management - Directors," "Management - Executive Officers," "Committees of the Board of Directors - Audit Committee" and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the Proxy Statement to be used in conjunction with the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "Proxy Statement"), which will be filed within 120 days of the Corporation's fiscal year end, is incorporated herein by reference.

Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Corporation's board of directors has determined that the Corporation does not have an "audit committee financial expert," as that term is defined by Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, serving on its audit committee. The Corporation's audit committee is a committee of directors who are independent of the Corporation and its management. After reviewing the experience and training of all of the

Corporation's independent directors, the board of directors has concluded that no independent director meets the SEC's very demanding definition. Therefore, it would be necessary to find a qualified individual willing to serve as both a director and member of the audit committee and have that person elected by the shareholders in order to have an "audit committee financial expert" serve on the Corporation's audit committee. The Corporation's audit committee is, however, authorized to use consultants to provide financial accounting expertise in any instance where members of the committee believe such assistance would be useful.

94

Accordingly, the Corporation does not believe that it needs to have an "audit committee financial expert" on its audit committee.

Code of Ethics

The Corporation has adopted a code of ethics (as defined by C.F.R. 229.406) that applies to its principal executive officer and principal financial officer. The code of ethics is posted on the Corporation's website at www.communitybanksharesinc.com.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information set forth under the caption "Management Compensation" in the 2007 Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference; provided, however, pursuant to the Instructions to Item 407(e)(5) of Regulation S-K the "Compensation Committee Report" shall be deemed to be "furnished" and not "filed" and will not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as a result of being so furnished.

The information set forth under the caption "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Equity Compensation Plan Information. The following table sets forth aggregated information as of December 31, 2006 about all of the Corporation's compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which equity securities of the Corporation are authorized for issuance.

		Weighted
	Number of securities to	exercise
	be issued upon exercise	outsta
	of outstanding options,	options,
	warrants and rights	and r
Stock option plan	(a)	(

Equity compensation plans approved by security holders 437,981

Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders NA

\$ 14

437,981 Total

The Corporation's 1997 Stock Option Plan, and issuance of up to 785,600 shares under that plan, have previously been approved by shareholders.

95

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

The information set forth under the captions "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" and "Governance Matters - Board Member Independence" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference. Each member of our Audit, Compensation and Governance and Nominating Committee is independent as defined in the American Stock Exchange's listing standards.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information set forth under the caption "Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Fees Billed by Independent Auditors" and "- Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditors" in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

96

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) (1) All financial statements:

Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Income, Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity, Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(2) Financial statement schedules:

Quarterly Data for 2006 and 2005

Exhibit No. Description

(from item 601 of S-K)

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation, as amended (incorporated by reference to exhibits filed in the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 1997).
- 3.2 Bylaws, as amended (incorporated by reference

\$ 14

Registrant's Form 8-K, filed February 4, 2005).

- Stock certificate (incorporated by reference to exhibits filed in the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-2, filed September 11, 1995, Commission File No. 33-96746).
- 10.1 1997 Stock Option Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to exhibits to Registrant's Form S-8 (File No. 333-118119)).
- 10.2 Lease for site of Florence National Bank (incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999).
- 10.3 Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2004, between Registrant and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 ("First Quarter 2004 Form 10-Q")).
- 10.4 Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, dated March 10, 2004, among the Trustees and Administrators named therein and SCB Capital Trust I (incorporated by reference to the First Quarter 2004 Form 10-Q).
- Guaranty Agreement, dated as of March 10, 2004, between Registrant and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to the First Quarter 2004 Form 10-Q).

97

- 10.6 Form of Employment Agreement between the Corporation and Samuel L. Erwin (incorporated by reference to the 2004 Form 10-K).
- 10.7 Form of Employment Agreement between the Corporation and each of William W. Traynham and Michael A Wolfe (incorporated by reference to the 2004 Form 10-K).
- Subsidiaries of the registrant (incorporated by reference to the 2004 Form 10-K).
- 23 Consent of J. W. Hunt and Company, LLP
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certifications of Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certifications of Chief Financial Officer
- 32 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 Certifications

98

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

DATED: March 26, 2007

By: s/ Samuel L. Erwin

Samuel L. Erwin

Chief Executive Officer

By s/ William W. Traynham, Jr.

William W. Traynham, Jr. Chief Financial Officer

99

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

s/ E. J. Ayers, Jr.

Date: March 26, 2007

E. J. Ayers, Jr., Director

s/Alvis J. Bynum

Date: March 26, 2007

Alvis J. Bynum, Director

Martha Rose C. Carson, Director

s/ Anna O. Dantzler

Date: March 26, 2007

Anna O. Dantzler, Director

s/ Thomas B. Edmunds

Date: March 26, 2007

Thomas B. Edmunds, Director

s/ Samuel L. Erwin

Date: March 26, 2007

Samuel L. Erwin, Director

s/ Charles E. Fienning

Date: March 26, 2007

Charles E. Fienning, Director

Date: March 26, 2007

s/ J. M. Guthrie

J. M. Guthrie, Director

s/ Richard L. Havekost Date: March 26, 2007

Richard L. Havekost, Director

s/ John V. Nicholson Date: March 26, 2007

John V. Nicholson, Director

s/ Samuel F. Reid, Jr. Date: March 26, 2007

Samuel F. Reid, Jr., Director

100

s/ Charles P. Thompson, Jr. Date: March 26, 2007

Charles P. Thompson, Jr., Director

Wm. Reynolds Williams, II, Director

101

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No. (from item 601 of S-K)	Description
3.1	Articles of Incorporation, as amended (incorporated by reference to exhibits filed in the Registrant's Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 1997).
3.2	Bylaws, as amended (incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 8-K, filed February 4, 2005).
4	Stock certificate (incorporated by reference to exhibits filed in the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-2, filed September 11, 1995, Commission File No. 33-96746).
10.1	1997 Stock Option Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to exhibits to Registrant's Form S-8 (File No. 333-118119)).
10.2	Lease for site of Florence National Bank (incorporated by reference to Registrant's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999).
10.3	Indenture, dated as of March 1, 2004, between Registrant and

Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to exhibits filed with Registrant's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004 ("First Quarter 2004 Form 10-Q")).

- 10.4 Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust, dated March 10, 2004, among the Trustees and Administrators named therein and SCB Capital Trust I (incorporated by reference to the First Quarter 2004 Form 10-Q).
- Guaranty Agreement, dated as of March 10, 2004, between Registrant and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (incorporated by reference to the First Quarter 2004 Form 10-Q).
- 10.6 Form of Employment Agreement between the Corporation and Samuel L. Erwin (incorporated by reference to the 2004 Form 10-K).
- 10.7 Form of Employment Agreement between the Corporation and each of William W. Traynham and Michael A Wolfe (incorporated by reference to the 2004 Form 10-K).
- Subsidiaries of the registrant (incorporated by reference to the 2004 Form 10-K).
- 23 Consent of J. W. Hunt and Company, LLP
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certifications of Chief Executive Officer
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certifications of Chief Financial Officer
- 32 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 Certifications

102