EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND Form N-CSR November 24, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Form N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: 811-21147

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Edgar Filing: EATON VANCE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL BOND FUND - Form N-CSR (Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

September 30

Date of Fiscal Year End

September 30, 2015

Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Municipal Bond Funds

Annual Report

September 30, 2015

Municipal (EIM) California (EVM) New York (ENX)

Commodity Futures Trading Commission Registration. Effective December 31, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) adopted certain regulatory changes that subject registered investment companies and advisers to regulation by the CFTC if a fund invests more than a prescribed level of its assets in certain CFTC-regulated instruments (including futures, certain options and swap agreements) or markets itself as providing investment exposure to such instruments. Each Fund has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term—commodity pool operator—under the Commodity Exchange Act. Accordingly, neither the Funds nor the adviser with respect to the operation of the Funds is subject to CFTC regulation. Because of its management of other strategies, each Fund—s adviser is registered with the CFTC as a commodity pool operator and a commodity trading advisor.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Annual Report September 30, 2015

Eaton Vance

Municipal Bond Funds

Table of Contents

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance	2
Performance and Fund Profile	
Municipal Bond Fund California Municipal Bond Fund New York Municipal Bond Fund	3 4 5
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	6
Financial Statements	7
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	34
Federal Tax Information	35
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	36
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	37
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	39
Management and Organization	42
Important Notices	44

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

Management s Discussion of Fund Performance

Economic and Market Conditions

As the fiscal year began on October 1, 2014, municipal bonds were well into a rally that continued for the first four months of the period ended September 30, 2015. U.S. 10-year Treasury and municipal rates hit their low for the period around the end of January 2015. After that, municipal returns turned negative as a flood of new issuance, along with modest outflows from municipal mutual funds, put downward pressure on bond prices. For the period as a whole, however, municipal bonds delivered positive performance as gains during the rally in the opening months of the period outweighed losses during the selloff in the period s final eight months.

Going into the period, investors anticipated rising interest rates, driven by what was expected to be the Federal Reserve Board s (the Fed s) first rate hike since 2006. But while the U.S. economy continued to experience moderate growth and low inflation, fixed-income investors became increasingly concerned about declining growth in the eurozone, Japan and China. The result was strong worldwide demand for U.S. Treasurys that pushed Treasury rates down in the early months of the period, with municipal rates following.

Beginning in February 2015, however, rates in the short end of the yield curve began to creep upward, as investors believed the Fed was getting closer to a rate hike. In the following months, as European interest rates rose from historic lows, yields on longer maturity Treasurys climbed as well.

In August 2015, China surprised the markets by devaluing its currency. Oil prices continued their fall, causing investors to question whether the Fed would raise rates in September as many had expected. After a number of U.S. economic numbers came in weaker than expected, the Fed decided not to take action at its September meeting. Against this backdrop, many asset classes experienced dramatic volatility in August and September, although the municipal market remained relatively calm.

For the one-year period as a whole, the municipal yield curve flattened. For AAA-rated⁷ issues, interest rates rose modestly in the one- to six-year part of the curve, while rates declined slightly in the seven- to 30-year part of the curve.

Fund Performance

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015, Municipal Bond Fund and New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at net asset value (NAV) outperformed the 4.44% return of the Funds benchmark, the Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index (the Index³, while California Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV performed in line with the Index.

The Funds overall strategy is to invest primarily in higher quality bonds (rated A or higher). In managing the Funds, management employs leverage through Residual Interest Bond (RIB) financing⁶ to seek to enhance the Funds tax-

exempt income. The use of leverage has the effect of achieving additional exposure to the municipal market, and thus magnifying a fund s exposure to its underlying investments in both up and down market environments. During this period of falling rates and rising prices in the medium- and long-maturity areas of the municipal yield curve, the use of leverage contributed to performance versus the Index which does not employ leverage for all three Funds.

Management hedges to various degrees against the greater potential risk of volatility caused by the use of leverage and investing in bonds at the long end of the yield curve, by using Treasury futures and/or interest-rate swaps. As a risk management tactic within the Funds overall strategy, interest rate hedging is intended to moderate performance on both the upside and the downside of the market. During this period of positive

performance by municipal bonds, the Funds Treasury futures hedge mitigated some of the upside and thus detracted modestly from the Funds performance relative to the unhedged Index.

Fund-specific Results

Eaton Vance Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 5.69%, outperforming the 4.44% return of the Index. The main contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, as mentioned earlier, an overweight and security selection in zero coupon bonds, and an overweight and security selection in the special tax sector. The chief detractors from performance relative to the Index were the Fund s hedging strategy, an underweight in BBB-rated bonds, and an underweight in the hospital sector, which was the best-performing sector in the Index during the period.

Eaton Vance California Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 4.46%, performing in line with the 4.44% return of the Index. Leverage contributed to Fund performance versus the Index during the period, as did an overweight in zero coupon bonds. In contrast, detractors from performance relative to the Index included the Fund shedging strategy, an underweight and security selection in the hospital sector, an underweight in BBB-rated bonds, and an overweight in pre-refunded bonds.

Eaton Vance New York Municipal Bond Fund shares at NAV returned 5.07%, outperforming the 4.44% return of the Index. Primary contributors to performance versus the Index included leverage, an overweight and security selection in the special tax sector, and security selection in AAA-rated bonds. Key detractors from performance versus the Index included the Fund shedging strategy, an underweight in the hospital sector, and an underweight in BBB-rated bonds.

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	5.69%	7.93%	5.89%
Fund at Market Price		6.14	4.45	4.99
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		4.44%	5.55%	5.02%

% Premium/Discount to NAV4

9.81%

Distributions ⁵	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.766
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.52%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	9.75%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	6.12%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	10.81%

% Total Leverage6

Residual Interest Bond (RIB) Financing 38.75%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund

performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	4.46%	6.57%	4.94%
Fund at Market Price		8.55	3.57	4.57
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		4.44%	5.55%	5.02%

% Premium/Discount to NAV4

8.57%

Distributions ⁵	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.684
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.38%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	10.96%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.88%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	11.98%

% Total Leverage⁶

RIB Financing	39.17%

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Performance^{2,3}

Portfolio Manager Craig R. Brandon, CFA

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	One Year	Five Years	Ten Years
Fund at NAV	08/30/2002	5.07%	6.39%	5.23%
Fund at Market Price		8.14	3.67	4.95
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		4.44%	5.55%	5.02%

% Premium/Discount to NAV4

9.61%

Distributions ⁵	
Total Distributions per share for the period	\$ 0.718
Distribution Rate at NAV	5.15%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV	9.98%
Distribution Rate at Market Price	5.70%
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price	11.04%

% Total Leverage⁶

Fund Profile

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{7,8}

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and includes management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- The views expressed in this report are those of the portfolio manager(s) and are current only through the date stated at the top of this page. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance and the Fund(s) disclaim any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. This commentary may contain statements that are not historical facts, referred to as forward looking statements. The Fund s actual future results may differ significantly from those stated in any forward looking statement, depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions, the volume of sales and purchases of Fund shares, the continuation of investment advisory, administrative and service contracts, and other risks discussed from time to time in the Fund s filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- ² Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- ³ Performance results reflect the effects of leverage. Performance since inception for an index, if presented, is the performance since the Fund s or oldest share class inception, as applicable.
- ⁴ The shares of the Fund often trade at a discount or premium from their net asset value. The discount or premium of the Fund may vary over time and may be higher or lower than what is quoted in this report. For up-to-date premium/discount information, please refer to http://eatonvance.com/closedend.
- ⁵ The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be comprised of amounts characterized for federal income tax purposes as tax-exempt income, qualified and non-qualified ordinary dividends, capital gains and nondividend distributions, also known as return of capital. The Fund will determine the federal income tax character of distributions paid to a shareholder after the end of the calendar year. This is reported on the IRS form 1099-DIV and provided to the shareholder shortly after each year-end. For information about the tax character of distributions made in prior calendar years, please refer to Performance-Tax Character of Distributions on the Fund s webpage available at eatonvance.com.
 - The Funds distributions are determined by the investment adviser based on its current assessment of the Funds long-term return potential. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of distributions paid by the Fund could change. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, where applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rates will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- ⁶ Fund employs RIB financing. The leverage created by RIB investments provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short-term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets plus Floating Rate Notes.
- ⁷ Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. If securities are rated differently by the RATINGS agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings, which are subject to change, apply to the creditworthiness of the issuers of the underlying securities and not to the Fund or its shares. Credit ratings measure the quality of a bond based on the issuer s creditworthiness, with ratings ranging from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest based on S&P s measures. Ratings of BBB or higher by S&P or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment- grade quality. Credit ratings are based largely on the ratings agency s analysis at the time of rating. The rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a

reflection of the issuer s current financial condition and does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. Holdings designated as Not Rated are not rated by the national ratings agencies stated above.

⁸ The chart includes the municipal bonds held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds, consistent with the Portfolio of Investments.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 161.8%

		Amount		
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value
Education 15.2% California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ Connecticut Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Wesleyan University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (St. John s School), 5.25%, 9/1/33 Houston Higher Education Finance Corp., TX, (William Marsh Rice University), 5.00%, 5/15/35 ⁽¹⁾ Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/27 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Boston College), 5.50%, 6/1/30 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.50%, 11/15/36 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40 ⁽¹⁾ North Carolina Capital Facilities Finance Agency, (Duke University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽¹⁾ University of California, 5.25%, 5/15/39 University of California, Prerefunded to 5/15/19, 5.25%, 5/15/39 University of Colorado, (University Enterprise Revenue), 5.25%, 6/1/36 ⁽¹⁾	\$	9,750 14,700 3,985 15,000 5,810 8,325 2,000 8,790 15,300 13,500 3,730 720 10,000	\$	10,859,940 16,671,858 4,402,429 17,213,850 7,526,971 10,710,945 2,157,500 10,017,436 17,121,924 15,035,625 4,196,772 830,297 11,298,100
University of Massachusetts Building Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽¹⁾		14,175		15,876,283
			\$	143,919,930
Electric Utilities 4.2% Energy Northwest, WA, (Columbia Generating Station), 5.00%, 7/1/40 JEA St. Johns River Power Park System, FL, 4.00%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾ Pima County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Tucson Electric Power Co.), 5.25%, 10/1/40 South Carolina Public Service Authority, 5.50%, 1/1/38 Unified Government of Wyandotte County/Kansas City, KS, Board of Public Utilities, 5.00%, 9/1/36 Utility Debt Securitization Authority, NY, 5.00%, 12/15/35	\$	2,320 10,000 10,000 6,545 3,425 4,500	\$	2,634,592 10,155,900 10,991,700 7,313,187 3,751,094 5,214,195 40,060,668
Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.7% South Carolina Public Service Authority, Prerefunded to 1/1/19, 5.50%, 1/1/38 Security	\$	565 Principal	\$	649,004 Value

Amount

Principal

(000 s omitted)

Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued) Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., TX, (Scott & White Healthcare), Prerefunded to 8/15/20, 5.25%, 8/15/40 Tennessee School Bond Authority, Prerefunded to 5/1/18, 5.50%, 5/1/38	\$ 450 5,000	\$ \$	530,379 5,603,700 6,783,083
General Obligations 14.5% California, 5.00%, 12/1/30 California, 5.00%, 10/1/33 Chicago Park District, IL, (Harbor Facilities), 5.25%, 1/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ Clark County, NV, 5.00%, 7/1/33 Delaware Valley, PA, Regional Finance Authority, 5.75%, 7/1/32 Klein, TX, Independent School District, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/30 ⁽¹⁾ Mississippi, 5.00%, 10/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ New York, NY, 5.00%, 10/1/32 Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ Oregon, 5.00%, 8/1/36 Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, TX, 5.00%, 10/1/35 Washington, 4.00%, 7/1/28 ⁽¹⁾ Washington, 5.00%, 2/1/35 Washington, 5.00%, 2/1/35	\$ 7,390 18,815 8,320 4,500 3,000 2,000 10,000 12,075 10,000 6,750 2,000 7,500 10,000 15 18,250	\$ \$	8,687,536 21,780,620 8,803,725 5,095,035 3,673,590 2,281,620 11,481,600 13,719,856 11,397,000 7,776,473 2,301,660 8,700,675 10,868,100 17,162 20,880,190
Hospital 8.6% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39 Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/36 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/38 Knox County, TN, Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board, (Covenant Health), 0.00%, 1/1/41 Michigan Hospital Finance Authority, (Henry Ford Health System), 5.25%, 11/15/46	\$ 1,000 1,770 11,570 2,790 7,190 5,040 10,000 5,355	\$	1,130,170 1,986,931 12,821,295 3,207,719 7,559,206 1,654,531 2,797,300 5,532,090

7

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal	
		Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Hospital (continued) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Robert Wood Johnson University Hospital), 5.25%, 7/1/35 Ohio Higher Educational Facility Commission, (Cleveland Clinic Health System), 5.00%, 1/1/32 Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., TX, (Scott & White Healthcare), 5.25%, 8/15/40 West Virginia Hospital Finance Authority, (West Virginia United Health System Obligated Group), 5.375%, 6/1/38 Wisconsin Health & Educational Facilities Authority, (Ascension Health Alliance Senior Credit Group), 5.00%, 11/15/41 ⁽¹⁾	\$	4,385 10,950 5,655 7,605	\$ 4,963,864 12,329,262 6,323,082 8,515,775 12,669,550
			\$ 81,490,775
Industrial Development Revenue 0.5% Maricopa County Pollution Control Corp., AZ, (El Paso Electric Co.), 4.50%, 8/1/42	\$	4.245	\$ 4,350,234
Traincopa County Foliation Control Cosp., 112, (El Faso Electric Cos), 4.30%, of 1742	Ψ	7,273	, ,,,,,,
			\$ 4,350,234
Insured Education 3.0% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (College of the Holy Cross), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 9/1/32 Miami-Dade County, FL, Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Miami), (AMBAC), (BHAC), Prerefunded to 4/1/17,	\$	15,900	\$ 20,212,716
5.00%, 4/1/31		7,865	8,277,677
			\$ 28,490,393
Insured Electric Utilities 3.2% Louisiana Energy and Power Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 6/1/38 Mississippi Development Bank, (Municipal Energy), (XLCA), 5.00%, 3/1/41 Paducah, KY, Electric Plant Board, (AGC), 5.25%, 10/1/35 South Carolina Public Service Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38	\$	4,905 13,895 2,735 7,215	\$ 5,580,271 13,982,677 3,001,690 8,061,825
			\$ 30,626,463
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 4.9% American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc., OH, (Prairie State Energy Campus), (AGC), Prerefunded to 2/15/19, 5.75%, 2/15/39 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/26 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.25%, 10/1/27 Bossier City, LA, Utilities Revenue, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 10/1/18, 5.50%, 10/1/38	\$	5,000 3,185 1,985 3,170	\$ 5,799,350 3,599,082 2,243,070 3,605,558

Principal

Amount

Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded (continued)			
Highlands County, FL, Health Facilities Authority, (Adventist Health System), (NPFG), Prerefunded to			
11/16/15, 5.00%, 11/15/35	\$	3,795	\$ 3,818,681
Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District No. 46, (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 1/1/22 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), Prerefunded to		13,145	11,784,492
12/15/18, 5.50%, 12/15/34		1,875	2,149,294
South Carolina Public Service Authority, (BHAC), Prerefunded to		1,075	2,112,221
1/1/19, 5.50%, 1/1/38		625	717,925
Texas Transportation Commission, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), Escrowed to Maturity, 0.00%, 8/15/20		5,570	5,206,446
Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (MultiCare Health System), (AGC), Prerefunded to 8/15/19, 6.00%, 8/15/39		5,795	6,863,250
			\$ 45,787,148
Insured General Obligations 9.0% Chicago Park District, IL, (Limited Tax Park), (BAM), 5.00%, 1/1/39 Chicago Park District, IL, (Limited Tax Park), (BAM), 5.00%, 1/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ Cincinnati, OH, City School District, (AGM), (FGIC), 5.25%, 12/1/30 Clark County, NV, (AMBAC), 2.50%, 11/1/36 Frisco, TX, Independent School District, (AGM), (PSF Guaranteed), 2.75%, 8/15/39 Kane, Cook and DuPage Counties, IL, School District No. 46, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 1/1/22	\$	13,600 3,750 11,845 9,530 16,605	\$ 36,759 14,283,536 4,772,063 9,715,387 8,923,987 13,788,294 7,557,480
King County, WA, Public Hospital District No. 1, (AGC), 5.00%, 12/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ Palm Springs, CA, Unified School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/32		7,000 8,955	10,016,526
Port Arthur, TX, Independent School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 2/15/38 ⁽¹⁾		10,950	11,740,918
Yuma and La Paz Counties, AZ, Community College District, (Arizona Western College), (NPFG), 3.75%, 7/1/31		4,275	4,295,050
			\$ 85,130,000
Insured Hospital 12.4% Arizona Health Facilities Authority, (Banner Health), (BHAC), 5.375%, 1/1/32 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AGM), 5.05%, 8/15/38 ⁽¹⁾ Colorado Health Facilities Authority, (Catholic Health), (AGM), 5.10%, 10/1/41 ⁽¹⁾	\$	8,250 11,000 11,500	\$ 8,803,575 11,716,430 12,139,055

8

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal		
		Amount		
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value
Insured Hospital (continued) Illinois Finance Authority, (Children's Memorial Hospital), (AGC), 5.25%, 8/15/4f) Indiana Health and Educational Facility Finance Authority, (Sisters of St. Francis Health Services), (AGM), 5.25%, 5/15/41(1) Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities, (Iowa Health System), (AGC), 5.625%, 8/15/37 Maricopa County, AZ, Industrial Development Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/32 Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (LifeBridge Health), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/47(1) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Weridian Health System), Series V, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38(1) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Virtua Health), (AGC), 5.50%, 7/1/38 Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series C, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33(1) Washington Health Care Facilities Authority, (Providence Health Care), Series D, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/33(1)	\$	15,000 2,500 2,625 1,675 19,150 40 3,000 13,115 8,700 12,605	\$	16,098,900 2,653,650 2,961,735 1,780,073 19,639,282 42,390 3,179,280 14,500,600 9,586,527 13,902,673
			\$	117,004,170
Insured Industrial Development Revenue 1.1% Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc.), (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/39(1)	\$,	\$ \$	10,079,730 10,079,730
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.4% New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (School Facilities Construction), (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/34 San Diego County, CA, Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38(1) Tri-Creek Middle School Building Corp., IN, (AGM), 5.25%, 1/15/34(1)	\$	24,000 13,000	\$ \$	1,139,390 26,178,480 14,052,870 41,370,740
Insured Other Revenue 1.6% Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, TX, (AGM), (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34 New York, NY, Industrial Development Agency, (Yankee Stadium), (AGC), 7.00%, 3/1/49	\$	6,750	\$ \$	7,078,253 7,939,282 15,017,535
Security		Principal		Value
		Amount		

(000 s omitted) Insured Solid Waste 0.5% Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/24 \$ 3,148,001 2,760 Palm Beach County, FL, Solid Waste Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/26 1,575 1,783,325 \$ 4,931,326 Insured Special Tax Revenue 6.5% Alabama Public School and College Authority, (AGM), 2.50%, 12/1/27 15,975 \$ 15,536,966 Houston, TX, Hotel Occupancy Tax, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 9/1/24 18,035 13,178,535 Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 7.00%, (0.00% until 10/1/19), 10/1/39 15,000 15,999,600 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 28,945 4,476,344 Utah Transportation Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, (AGM), Prerefunded to 6/15/18, 4.75%, 6/15/32⁽¹⁾ 10,800 11,950,413 \$ 61,141,858 Insured Student Loan 0.7% \$ Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27 5,595 \$ 6,161,438 \$ 6,161,438 Insured Transportation 19.3% Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 4.75%, 1/1/34) 21,640 \$ 22,943,808 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/28 2,500 2,837,575 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.00%, 1/1/29 1,000 1,125,980 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30 1,800 2,031,930 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/31 1,570 1,767,946 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/32 1,015 1,147,275 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 1/1/33 1,299,074 1,150 Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39 8,080 9,057,599

E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21

E-470 Public Highway Authority, CO, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/39

Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35⁽¹⁾

Harris County, TX, Toll Road, Senior Lien, (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 8/15/33(1)

Manchester, NH, (Manchester-Boston Regional Airport), (AGM), 5.125%, 1/1/30

See Notes to Financial Statements.

10,200

25,000

7,800

6,710

20,995

8,939,076

8,214,500

8,325,876

7,123,806

22,906,588

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal	
		Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Insured Transportation (continued) Maryland Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/36 ⁽¹⁾ Metropolitan Washington, D.C., Airports Authority, (BHAC), 5.00%, 10/1/29 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (AGC), 5.50%, 12/15/38 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.50%, 1/1/29 North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (Triangle Expressway System), (AGC), 5.75%, 1/1/39 North Texas Tollway Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 1/1/48 ⁽¹⁾ Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/24 Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/25 Port Palm Beach District, FL, (XLCA), 0.00%, 9/1/26 San Joaquin Hills Transportation Corridor Agency, CA, (Toll Road Bonds), (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/15/25 Texas Transportation Commission, (Central Texas Turnpike System), (AMBAC), 0.00%, 8/15/20	\$	1,785 11,700 1,015 1,160 20,000 1,605 1,950 1,000 26,215 10,275	15,274,700 2,012,373 12,781,197 1,124,610 1,288,934 21,866,000 1,021,839 1,174,173 569,070 18,020,453 9,383,952
			,,
Insured Water and Sewer 14.7% Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (BHAC), 5.50%, 1/1/38 Chicago, IL, Wastewater Transmission Revenue, (NPFG), 0.00%, 1/1/23 DeKalb County, GA, Water and Sewer, (AGM), 5.25%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾ Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, (NPFG), 5.00%, 7/1/34 District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/1/34 ⁽¹⁾ Houston, TX, Utility System, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/33 ⁽¹⁾ Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/32 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AGM), 5.25%, 8/1/38 Massachusetts Water Resources Authority, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 4.00%, 8/1/40 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/32 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), (AGM), 5.00%, 7/1/37 New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.75%, 6/15/40 ⁽¹⁾	\$	2,060 13,670 10,000 2,505 8,500 27,570 5,540 1,070 9,095 2,615 2,240 2,730 2,240 9,500 Principal	\$ 2,208,547 10,216,958 12,001,800 2,513,166 9,271,375 29,695,645 7,121,836 1,382,558 9,143,749 2,912,561 2,494,889 2,993,145 2,443,661 10,591,835
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Insured Water and Sewer (continued) San Luis Obispo County, CA, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40 Seattle, WA, Drain and Wastewater Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 6/1/38(1)	\$	3,535 27,670	\$ 3,708,745 30,092,507

\$ 138,792,977

Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 3.5% Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., NY, 5.75%, 2/15/47 North Carolina, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/26 North Carolina, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/26(1) North Carolina, Limited Obligation Bonds, 5.00%, 5/1/30(1)	\$ 1,980 10 16,000 10,000	\$ 2,259,240 12,107 19,371,680 11,583,800
		\$ 33,226,827
Other Revenue 2.6% New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Building Aid Revenue, 5.00%, 7/15/36 ⁽¹⁾ Oregon Department of Administrative Services, Lottery Revenue, 5.25%, 4/1/30 Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corp. III, Gas Supply Revenue, 5.00%, 12/15/30	\$ 10,750 9,200 1,700	\$ 12,203,938 10,615,880 1,855,465
		\$ 24,675,283
Senior Living / Life Care 0.1% Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (Charlestown Community, Inc.), 6.125%, 1/1/30	\$ 1,175	\$ 1,309,514
		\$ 1,309,514
Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority, WA, Sales and Use Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/30 Central Puget Sound Regional Transit Authority, WA, Sales and Use Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/30(1) Connecticut, Special Tax Obligation, (Transportation Infrastructure), 5.00%, 1/1/31(1) Dallas Area Rapid Transit, TX, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 12/1/35 Dallas Area Rapid Transit, TX, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 12/1/36 New York Convention Center Development Corp., Hotel Occupancy Tax, 5.00%, 11/15/45(1) New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31 New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/34 New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/35	\$ 20 12,575 20,000 2,895 1,535 13,000 10,000 3,285 12,040	\$ 24,018 15,101,192 22,826,800 3,348,502 1,770,131 14,520,610 11,607,100 3,769,570 13,789,894

10

Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal		
		Amount		
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value
Special Tax Revenue (continued)				
New York, NY, Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/37 ⁽¹⁾	\$	20,000	\$	22,581,000
			\$	109,338,817
Transportation 11.1%				
Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/30	\$	3,205	\$	3,739,306
Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/31 Delaware River Port Authority of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 5.00%, 1/1/35		4,950 8,275		5,759,721 9,214,047
Los Angeles, CA, Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.25%, 5/15/28		3,285		3,835,106
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/32		4,380		5,116,628
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/38		4,640		5,369,501
Metropolitan Transportation Authority, NY, 5.25%, 11/15/40		4,735		5,316,837
Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.00%, 10/1/41		10,825 4,615		11,651,705 5,168,339
Miami-Dade County, FL, Aviation Revenue, 5.00%, 10/1/37 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation System), 5.00%, 12/15/24		10,000		10,631,800
Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/35		2,915		3,269,464
Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority, FL, 5.00%, 7/1/40		2,590		2,900,696
Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 6.00%, (0.00% until 12/1/15), 12/1/34		5,000		5,488,750
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 12/1/34 ⁽¹⁾		14,360		16,595,421
Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, 5.00%, 7/15/39		5,000		5,683,950
Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/33		5,000		5,436,850
			\$	105,178,121
Water and Sewer 7.9%	A	10.000	¢	11 705 100
California Department of Water Resources, (Central Valley Project), 5.25%, 12/1/35 ⁽¹⁾	\$	10,000	\$	11,785,100
Charleston, SC, Waterworks and Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/35 Chicago, IL, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/42		2,735 5,000		3,090,659 5,159,250
Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.00%, 7/1/32		1,070		1,140,534
Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39		1,965		2,121,041
Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/41		2,910		3,095,105
Honolulu, HI, City and County Wastewater System, 5.25%, 7/1/36(1)		9,750		11,032,807
King County, WA, Sewer Revenue, 5.00%, 1/1/34 ⁽¹⁾	Pr	10,000 incipal		11,324,700
	A	mount		
Security	(000 s	omitted)		Value

Water and Sewer (continued)

Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/34	\$ 1,445	\$ 1,636,217
Marco Island, FL, Utility System, 5.00%, 10/1/40	6,325	7,168,439
New York, NY, Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 6/15/31	10,000	11,504,800
Portland, OR, Water System, 5.00%, 5/1/36	5,385	6,023,123

\$ 75,081,775

Total Tax-Exempt Investments 161.8% (identified cost \$1,397,084,545)

\$ 1,529,651,981

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (61.8)%

\$ (584,172,680)

Net Assets 100.0% \$ 945,479,301

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BAM Build America Mutual Assurance Co.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

PSF Permanent School Fund XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

At September 30, 2015, the concentration of the Fund s investments in the various states and territories, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:

New York	12.3%
Texas	11.2%
Others, representing less than 10% individually	76.5%

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2015, 50.1% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.3% to 21.1% of total investments.

11

⁽¹⁾ Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 161.2%

		Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Education 15.4% California Educational Facilities Authority, (California Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 11/1/39 ⁽¹⁾ California Educational Facilities Authority, (Claremont McKenna College), 5.00%, 1/1/27 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/31 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvey Mudd College), 5.25%, 12/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Loyola Marymount University), 5.00%, 10/1/30 California Educational Facilities Authority, (Santa Clara University), 5.00%, 2/1/29 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of San Francisco), 6.125%, 10/1/36 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of Southern California), 5.25%, 10/1/39 California Educational Facilities Authority, (University of the Pacific), 5.00%, 11/1/30 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.00%, 10/1/35 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/26 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/27 California Municipal Finance Authority, (University of San Diego), 5.25%, 10/1/28	\$	10,000 2,680 550 940 1,375 3,630 650 6,200 1,790 1,175 800 2,270 2,395 2,520	\$ 11,278,800 2,913,776 632,902 1,067,906 1,541,086 4,079,612 788,964 6,886,464 2,019,603 1,350,439 910,408 2,671,994 2,801,456 2,933,834
			\$ 41,877,244
Electric Utilities 1.5% Southern California Public Power Authority, (Tieton Hydropower), 5.00%, 7/1/35 Vernon Electric System Revenue, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$	1,890 1,650	\$ 2,145,358 1,826,154 \$ 3,971,512
Escrowed / Prerefunded 0.3% Vernon Electric System Revenue, Prerefunded to 8/1/19, 5.125%, 8/1/21	\$	725	\$ 804,047 \$ 804,047
General Obligations 36.5% Burbank Unified School District, (Election of 2013), 4.00%, 8/1/31 ⁽¹⁾ California, 5.50%, 11/1/35 Security	\$	6,900 4,600 Principal	\$ 7,333,803 5,490,284 Value

Principal

Amoun

(000 s omitted)

General Obligations (continued) Contra Costa Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/38	\$	20	\$ 22,684
Contra Costa Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	Φ	9,750	11,058,645
Foothill-De Anza Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/36 ⁽¹⁾		10,000	11,256,900
Palo Alto, (Election of 2008),		10,000	11,230,900
5.00%, 8/1/40 ⁽¹⁾		7,020	8,013,541
Palomar Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/44 ⁽¹⁾		10,000	11,444,700
San Bernardino Community College District, 4.00%, 8/1/27 ⁽¹⁾		5,775	6,345,050
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2002), 5.00%, 8/1/32		1,375	1,584,096
San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), 5.00%, 8/1/31		2,545	2,948,332
San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District, (Election of 2004), Prerefunded to 8/1/17, 5.00%, 8/1/35		5,000	5,360,750
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/33		1,910	2,201,791
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/35		2,230	2,555,625
San Jose-Evergreen Community College District, (Election of 2010), 5.00%, 8/1/37 ⁽¹⁾		4,975	5,661,450
Torrance Unified School District, (Election of 2008), 5.00%, 8/1/35		7,500	8,568,375
Ventura County Community College District, 5.00%, 8/1/30 ⁽¹⁾		8,000	9,534,160
			\$ 99,380,186
Hospital 14.1%			
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/27	\$	1,750	\$ 1,977,798
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Catholic Healthcare West), 5.25%, 3/1/28	Ψ	550	617,408
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), 5.00%, 8/15/39		4,505	4,992,216
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/32		1,795	2,003,579
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (City of Hope), 5.00%, 11/15/35		1./23	
		2,565	2,847,483
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/33 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/37		2,565	2,847,483 5,082,202 2,359,140
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/33		2,565 4,480	5,082,202
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/33 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/37		2,565 4,480 2,100	5,082,202 2,359,140
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/33 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/37 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Sutter Health), 5.25%, 8/15/31 ⁽¹⁾		2,565 4,480 2,100 5,000	5,082,202 2,359,140 5,824,050

12

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments continued

	Princi Amo	
Security	(000 s omi	tted) Value
Hospital (continued) Washington Township Health Care District, 5.00%, 7/1/32 Washington Township Health Care District, 5.25%, 7/1/29		165 \$ 3,275,712 2005 3,013,444
Insured Education 3.3% California State University, (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/1/39(1)	\$ 8,	\$ 38,263,673 250 \$ 8,998,853 \$ 8,998,853
Insured Electric Utilities 12.8% Anaheim Public Financing Authority, (Electric System District), (BHAC), (NPFG), 4.50%, 10/1/32 ⁽¹⁾ Glendale, Electric System Revenue, (AGC), 5.00%, 2/1/31 Northern California Power Agency, (Hydroelectric), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/24 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (NPFG), 5.25%, 7/1/34 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AGM), 5.00%, 8/15/27 Sacramento Municipal Utility District, (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.25%, 7/1/24	2, 3, 1,	240 2,416,445 2000 2,206,400 3,516,403 000 1,111,400 4,792,320
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 8.5% Antelope Valley Community College District, (Election of 2004), (NPFG), Prerefunded to 8/1/17, 5.25%, 8/1/39 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (AGM), (FGIC), Prerefunded to 6/1/17, 5.00%, 6/1/32 East Bay Municipal Utility District, Water System Revenue, (NPFG), Prerefunded to 6/1/17, 5.00%, 6/1/32(1) Riverside Community College District, (Election of 2004), (AGM), (NPFG), Prerefunded to 8/1/17, 5.00%, 8/1/32 San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (BHAC), (NPFG), Prerefunded to 9/1/17, 5.00%, 9/1/38	6, 5,	\$ 34,942,766 175 \$ 4,538,142 370,896 500 6,987,890 6,175,206 5,153,417 \$ 23,225,551
Insured General Obligations 18.5% Burbank Unified School District, (Election of 1997), (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/21 Coast Community College District, (Election of 2002), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/34 Palm Springs Unified School District, (Election of 2008), (AGC), 5.00%, 8/1/33 San Diego Community College District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 5.00%, 8/1/32(1)	23, 4,	\$\frac{3}{3},638,138\$ \$\frac{8}{5}31,006\$ \$\frac{5}{0}0\$ \$\frac{5}{0}28,120\$ \$\frac{6}{5}21,632\$

San Diego Unified School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/22 San Diego Unified School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/23 San Juan Unified School District, (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/21		2,300 5,000 5,630 Principal	1,967,650 4,127,200 5,025,507
		Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Insured General Obligations (continued) San Mateo County, Community College District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/22 San Mateo County, Community College District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/23 San Mateo County, Community College District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/25 San Mateo Union High School District, (NPFG), 0.00%, 9/1/21	\$	4,840 4,365 3,955 5,240	\$ 4,230,354 3,640,454 3,059,469 4,681,835
			\$ 50,451,365
Insured Hospital 6.8% California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Cedars-Sinai Medical Center), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/34 California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 4/1/31 ⁽¹⁾ California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Kaiser Permanente), (BHAC), 5.00%, 3/1/41 ⁽¹⁾ California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Sutter Health), (AMBAC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 11/15/38 ⁽¹⁾	\$	2,205 10,000 3,500 2,000	\$ 2,218,098 10,537,000 3,558,170 2,093,120 \$ 18,406,388
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 4.0% San Diego County Water Authority, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 ⁽¹⁾	\$	10,000	\$ 10,907,700
			\$ 10,907,700
Insured Special Tax Revenue 6.0% Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/31 Hesperia Public Financing Authority, (Redevelopment and Housing Projects), (XLCA), 5.00%, 9/1/37 Pomona Public Financing Authority, (NPFG), 5.00%, 2/1/33 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	595 7,240 5,940 15,020	\$ 606,013 7,338,826 5,946,772 2,322,843 \$ 16,214,454
Insured Transportation 1.5% San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/33 San Jose, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.00%, 3/1/37	\$	1,885 2,040	\$ 1,962,794 2,126,516
			\$ 4,089,310
Insured Water and Sewer 2.6% Riverside, Water System Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/38 San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (BHAC), (NPFG), 5.00%, 9/1/38	\$	1,595 250	\$ 1,758,934 266,983

13

California Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal		
		Amount		
Security	(000	s omitted)		Value
Insured Water and Sewer (continued) San Luis Obispo County, (Nacimiento Water Project), (NPFG), 4.50%, 9/1/40 Santa Clara Valley Water District, (AGM), 3.75%, 6/1/28	\$	2,750 2,075	\$	2,885,162 2,092,409
			\$	7,003,488
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.1% California Public Works Board, 5.00%, 11/1/38	\$	2,565	\$ \$	2,909,172 2,909,172
Special Tax Revenue 10.2% Jurupa Public Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/1/30 Jurupa Public Financing Authority, 5.00%, 9/1/32 Riverside County Transportation Commission, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.25%, 6/1/39(1) San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 3/1/40 San Bernardino County Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 3/1/40(1) San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transportation District, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 7/1/36(1)	\$	625 625 6,285 5 10,375 6,250	\$ \$	706,500 700,344 7,293,857 5,836 12,108,662 7,016,812 27,832,011
Transportation 8.3% Bay Area Toll Authority, Toll Bridge Revenue, (San Francisco Bay Area), Prerefunded to 4/1/19, 5.25%, 4/1/29 ⁽¹⁾ Long Beach, Harbor Revenue, 5.00%, 5/15/27 Los Angeles Department of Airports, (Los Angeles International Airport), 5.00%, 5/15/35 ⁽¹⁾ San Francisco City and County Airport Commission, (San Francisco International Airport), 5.00%, 5/1/35 San Jose, Airport Revenue, 5.00%, 3/1/31	\$	6,500 1,960 7,500 2,190 1,750	\$	7,460,765 2,242,789 8,513,850 2,430,506 1,931,195 22,579,105
Water and Sewer 9.8% Beverly Hills Public Financing Authority, Water Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/37 ⁽¹⁾ Los Angeles, Wastewater System Revenue, 5.00%, 6/1/43 ⁽¹⁾ Security	\$ Pr	5,725 7,500 rincipal	\$	6,546,079 8,416,800 Value

Amount

(000 s omitted)

Water and Sewer (continued)

Orange County, Sanitation District Wastewater Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/35⁽¹⁾

\$ 10,000 \$ 11,754,000

26,716,879

Total Tax-Exempt Investments 161.2%

(identified cost \$406,695,046)

\$ 438,573,704

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (61.2)%

\$ (166,528,426)

Net Assets 100.0% \$ 272,045,278

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.
AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.
BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.
FGIC Financial Guaranty Insurance Company
NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

XLCA XL Capital Assurance, Inc.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by California municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2015, 39.7% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.1% to 19.2% of total investments.

14

⁽¹⁾ Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments

Tax-Exempt Investments 158.7%

	Principal				
		Amount			
Security	(000	s omitted))	Value	
Bond Bank 4.4% New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 11/15/33 New York Environmental Facilities Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/39	\$	5,000 3,360	:	5,877,350 3,801,034 9,678,384	
Education 29.6% Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/30 Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/33 Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), 5.00%, 9/1/34 Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), Series 2012, 5.00%, 9/1/32 Geneva Development Corp., (Hobart and William Smith Colleges), Series 2014, 5.00%, 9/1/32 Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/20 Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/31 Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/31 Hempstead Local Development Corp., (Adelphi University), 5.00%, 6/1/32 Madison County Capital Resource Corp., (Colgate University), 5.00%, 7/1/28 Madison County Capital Resource Corp., (Colgate University), 5.00%, 7/1/29 Monroe County Industrial Development Corp., (St. John Fisher College), 5.00%, 6/1/23 New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39 New York City Cultural Resource Trust, (The Juilliard School), 5.00%, 1/1/39(1) New York Dormitory Authority, (Columbia University), 5.00%, 7/1/37(1) New York Dormitory Authority, (Rochester Institute of Technology), 5.00%, 7/1/40 New York Dormitory Authority, (Rockefeller University), 5.00%, 7/1/40(1)	\$	200 105 200 1,330 200 760 950 800 300 550 300 405 240 10,000 10,000 5,700 10,000 2,000 500 2,700 Principal	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	228,308 118,754 225,450 1,486,262 226,498 880,255 1,111,291 881,064 329,415 659,901 356,115 462,826 265,274 1,053,100 1,477,200 6,481,242 1,152,200 2,260,520 559,540 3,021,516	
Security	(000)	Amount s omitted)		Value	
Education (continued) New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.00%, 7/1/26 New York Dormitory Authority, (Skidmore College), 5.25%, 7/1/30 New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), 5.50%, 7/1/40	\$	1,175 250 5,250		1,345,022 291,058 5,964,105	

Onondaga County Cultural Resources Trust, (Syracuse University), 5.00%, 12/1/38	3,305	3,752,431
		\$ 64,589,347
Electric Utilities 1.5% Utility Debt Securitization Authority, 5.00%, 12/15/33	\$ 2,895	\$ 3,377,539 \$ 3,377,539
Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.0%		φ 3,311,339
New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), Prerefunded to 5/1/17, 5.00%, 5/1/26	\$ 2,055	\$ 2,198,377 \$ 2,198,377
General Obligations 11.2% Long Beach City School District, 4.50%, 5/1/26 New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽¹⁾ New York City, 5.00%, 8/1/34 ⁽¹⁾ Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/35 Peekskill, 5.00%, 6/1/36	\$ 4,715 7,250 8,650 465 490	\$ 5,213,093 8,296,972 9,908,229 505,455 532,630 \$ 24,456,379
Hospital 9.3% New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.00%, 7/1/26 New York Dormitory Authority, (Highland Hospital of Rochester), 5.20%, 7/1/32 New York Dormitory Authority, (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center), 4.375%, 7/1/34(1) New York Dormitory Authority, (North Shore-Long Island Jewish Obligated Group), 5.00%, 5/1/20 Suffolk County Economic Development Corp., (Catholic Health Services of Long Island Obligated Group), 5.00%, 7/1/28	\$ 620 820 9,325 1,065 6,900	\$ 692,280 910,675 9,889,442 1,217,657 7,616,220
Housing 1.7% New York Housing Development Corp., 4.95%, 11/1/39 New York Mortgage Agency, 3.55%, 10/1/33	\$ 2,500 1,000	\$ 20,326,274 \$ 2,597,150 1,008,030 \$ 3,605,180

15

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Industrial Development Revenue 1.1% New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.25%, 10/1/35 New York Liberty Development Corp., (Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.), 5.50%, 10/1/37	\$ 490 1,440	\$ 580,527 1,758,874 \$ 2,339,401
Insured Education 18.2% New York Dormitory Authority, (City University), (AMBAC), 5.50%, 7/1/35 New York Dormitory Authority, (Educational Housing Services CUNY Student Housing), (AMBAC), 5.25%, 7/1/23 New York Dormitory Authority, (Fordham University), (AGC), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38(1) New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/34 New York Dormitory Authority, (Pratt Institute), (AGC), 5.125%, 7/1/39 New York Dormitory Authority, (St. John s University), (NPFG), Prerefunded to 7/1/17, 5.25%, 7/1/37 New York Dormitory Authority, (State University), (BHAC), 5.00%, 7/1/38(1) Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/36 Oneida County Industrial Development Agency, (Hamilton College), (NPFG), 0.00%, 7/1/37	\$ 925 1,750 10,750 1,555 2,405 3,750 8,500 5,555 8,455 4,000	\$ 1,089,400 2,006,183 11,710,512 1,713,035 2,643,215 4,051,838 9,259,475 2,413,092 3,344,037 1,509,960 \$ 39,740,747
Insured Electric Utilities 6.0% Long Island Power Authority, Electric System Revenue, (BHAC), 5.75%, 4/1/33 New York Power Authority, (BHAC), (NPFG), 4.50%, 11/15/47 ⁽¹⁾	\$ 5,000 7,210	\$ 5,717,950 7,394,143 \$ 13,112,093
Insured Escrowed / Prerefunded 4.4% Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 11/1/18, 5.125%, 11/1/23 Nassau County Sewer and Storm Water Finance Authority, (BHAC), Prerefunded to 11/1/18, 5.375%, 11/1/28 New York City, (AGM), Prerefunded to 4/1/16, 5.00%, 4/1/22 New York State Housing Finance Agency, (AGM), Prerefunded to 9/15/16, 5.00%, 3/15/37	\$ 300 3,835 2,250 2,415	\$ 339,810 4,373,089 2,305,057 2,524,424 \$ 9,542,380
Security	Principal	Value

Amoun

	(000 s	omitted)	ı	
Insured General Obligations 9.2%				
Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23	\$	2,290		2,560,083
Brentwood Union Free School District, (AGC), 5.00%, 11/15/24		2,390		2,707,774
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/20		200		221,690
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/21		200 200		220,456 219,892
East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/22 East Northport Fire District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/1/23		200		219,892
East Nottiport File District, (AGC), 4.30%, 11/1/23 Eastchester Union Free School District, (AGM), 4.00%, 6/15/23		175		186,812
Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/20		185		207,748
Freeport, (AGC), 5.00%, 10/15/21		195		217,809
Hoosic Valley Central School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 6/15/23		1,110		1,199,999
Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.15%, 6/1/23		820		878,056
Longwood Central School District, Suffolk County, (AGC), 4.25%, 6/1/24		860		923,511
Oyster Bay, (AGM), 4.00%, 8/1/28		4,585		4,925,253
Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/19		785		848,938
Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.50%, 11/15/20		825		892,196
Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/22		905		983,482
Wantagh Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.75%, 11/15/23		950		1,032,384
William Floyd Union Free School District, (AGC), 4.00%, 12/15/24		1,590		1,742,258
			\$ 2	0,187,637
Insured Hospital 2.1%				
New York Dormitory Authority, (Hudson Valley Hospital Center), (AGM), (BHAC), 5.00%, 8/15/36	\$	4,355	\$	4,638,554
			\$	4,638,554
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 2.4%				
Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/21	\$	1,490	\$	1,353,859
Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/23		1,090		926,020
Ulster County, Resource Recovery Agency, Solid Waste System, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 3/1/25		3,635		2,871,287
			\$	5,151,166
Insured Other Revenue 2.1%				
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, (BHAC), 5.50%, 7/15/38	\$	4,050	\$	4,510,080
			\$	4,510,080

16

New York Municipal Bond Fund

September 30, 2015

Portfolio of Investments continued

		Principal	
		Amount	
Security	(000	s omitted)	Value
Insured Special Tax Revenue 2.0% New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, (AMBAC), 5.50%, 4/1/20 Puerto Rico Infrastructure Financing Authority, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 7/1/36 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45	\$	2,175 3,000 6,705	\$ 2,582,182 652,230 1,036,928 \$ 4,271,340
Other Revenue 11.2% Battery Park City Authority, 5.00%, 11/1/34 Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., (Barclays Center), 0.00%, 7/15/31 New York Liberty Development Corp., (7 World Trade Center), 5.00%, 9/15/32 New York Transitional Finance Authority, (Building Aid), 5.00%, 7/15/32 ⁽¹⁾	\$	4,925 4,900 4,110 10,000	\$ 5,613,318 2,419,130 4,737,761 11,690,200 \$ 24,460,409
Special Tax Revenue 24.2% Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Dedicated Tax Fund, 5.00%, 11/15/31 ⁽¹⁾ New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 2/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ New York City Transitional Finance Authority, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽¹⁾ (2) New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 6/15/31 ⁽¹⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, Sales Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 3/15/34 New York Thruway Authority, Miscellaneous Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 4/1/26 Sales Tax Asset Receivables Corp., 5.00%, 10/15/30 ⁽¹⁾	\$	10,000 10,000 1,000 6,500 6,600 2,370 8,900	\$ 11,638,400 11,524,100 1,179,110 7,544,615 7,573,566 2,685,376 10,634,877
			\$ 52,780,044
Transportation 11.5% Metropolitan Transportation Authority, 5.25%, 11/15/38 Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/35 Nassau County Bridge Authority, 5.00%, 10/1/40 New York Thruway Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/37 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, 5.00%, 11/15/38(1)	\$	3,430 1,565 300 7,280 10,000	\$ 3,969,265 1,686,021 323,628 8,176,969 10,982,800
			\$ 25,138,683

Water and Sewer 5.6%

 Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/26
 \$ 755
 \$ 874,494

 Albany Municipal Water Finance Authority, 5.00%, 12/1/29
 500
 585,055

Principal

Amount

Security (000 s omitted) Value

Water and Sewer (continued)

New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/34 \$ 1,000 \$ 1,140,550 New York City Municipal Water Finance Authority, (Water and Sewer System), 5.00%, 6/15/44⁽¹⁾ 8,750 9,615,637

\$ 12,215,736

Total Tax-Exempt Investments 158.7%

(identified cost \$319,503,144)

\$ 346,319,750

Other Assets, Less Liabilities (58.7)% \$ (128,037,773)

Net Assets 100.0% \$ 218,281,977

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets.

AGC Assured Guaranty Corp.

AGM Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

AMBAC AMBAC Financial Group, Inc.

BHAC Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp.

NPFG National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.

The Fund invests primarily in debt securities issued by New York municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations may be affected by economic developments in a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at September 30, 2015, 29.2% of total investments are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percentage insured by an individual financial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 3.3% to 13.8% of total investments.

(1) Security represents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).

(2) Security (or a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is \$429,110.

17

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

Statements of Assets and Liabilities

	September 30, 2015					
Assets	Municipal Fund	California Fund		No	w York Fund	
Investments	runu	Ca	morma runu	110	w Tork Fullu	
Identified cost	\$ 1,397,084,545	\$	406,695,046	\$	319,503,144	
Unrealized appreciation	132,567,436		31,878,658		26,816,606	
Investments, at value	\$ 1,529,651,981	\$	438,573,704	\$	346,319,750	
Cash	\$	\$		\$	3,304,246	
Restricted cash*	928,000		488,000		205,000	
Interest receivable	18,982,247		5,164,326		4,351,162	
Receivable for investments sold			8,009,550			
Receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts	128,812		52,250		28,125	
Deferred debt issuance costs	338,125		112,132		24,600	
Due from broker for floating rate notes issued	10,400,000					
Total assets	\$ 1,560,429,165	\$	452,399,962	\$	354,232,883	
Liabilities						
Payable for floating rate notes issued	\$ 598,055,000	\$	175,185,000	\$	135,425,000	
Due to custodian	14,802,497	Ψ	4,491,339	Ψ	133,123,000	
Payable to affiliates:	- 1,41-, 17		., ., -,,			
Investment adviser fee	754,965		223,733		188,690	
Interest expense and fees payable	1,121,691		332,343		220,663	
Accrued expenses	215,711		122,269		116,553	
Total liabilities	\$ 614,949,864	\$	180,354,684	\$	135,950,906	
Net Assets	\$ 945,479,301	\$	272,045,278	\$	218,281,977	
Sources of Net Assets						
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized	\$ 681,683	\$	213,912	\$	156,586	
Additional paid-in capital	958,203,607		302,760,817		221,022,853	
Accumulated net realized loss	(146,798,638)		(64,699,449)		(31,451,954)	
Accumulated undistributed net investment income	1,467,917		2,250,747		1,878,214	
Net unrealized appreciation	131,924,732		31,519,251		26,676,278	
Net Assets	\$ 945,479,301	\$	272,045,278	\$	218,281,977	
Common Shares Outstanding	68,168,250		21,391,186		15,658,585	
Net Asset Value						
Net assets ÷ common shares issued and outstanding	\$ 13.87	\$	12.72	\$	13.94	

^{*} Represents restricted cash on deposit at the broker for open financial futures contracts.

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

Statements of Operations

	Year Ended September 30, 2015 Municipal							
Investment Income	Fund	Cal	ifornia Fund	Nev	York Fund			
Interest	\$ 65,402,326	\$	18,873,331	\$	14,641,777			
Total investment income	\$ 65,402,326	\$	18,873,331	\$	14,641,777			
	+,	•	,,	_	,,			
Expenses								
Investment adviser fee	\$ 9,327,592	\$	2,754,708	\$	2,349,903			
Trustees fees and expenses	68,000		24,286		19,161			
Custodian fee	317,137		117,332		94,595			
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees	20,077		18,180		18,566			
Legal and accounting services	146,719		95,931		85,668			
Printing and postage	70,285		20,359		18,265			
Interest expense and fees	3,602,484		1,090,079		816,990			
Miscellaneous	73,531		33,550		32,203			
Total expenses	\$ 13,625,825	\$	4,154,425	\$	3,435,351			
Deduct								
Reduction of custodian fee	\$ 2,175	\$	936	\$	576			
Total expense reductions	\$ 2,175	\$	936	\$	576			
Net expenses	\$ 13,623,650	\$	4,153,489	\$	3,434,775			
•	. , ,		, ,		, ,			
Net investment income	\$ 51,778,676	\$	14,719,842	\$	11,207,002			
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)								
Net realized gain (loss)								
Investment transactions	\$ (2,556,518)	\$	3,433,531	\$	1.395.875			
Extinguishment of debt	(177)		(20,339)	·	(24)			
Financial futures contracts	(1,900,690)		(1,126,749)		(414,174)			
Net realized gain (loss)	\$ (4,457,385)	\$	2,286,443	\$	981,677			
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	. () -) /	·	,, -		, , ,			
Investments	\$ 875,812	\$	(5,710,433)	\$	(2,342,498)			
Financial futures contracts	(1,018,324)	Ψ	(552,444)	Ψ	(222,222)			
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$ (142,512)	\$	(6,262,877)	\$	(2,564,720)			
Net realized and unrealized loss	\$ (4,599,897)	\$	(3,976,434)	\$	(1,583,043)			
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 47,178,779	\$	10,743,408	\$	9,623,959			

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

	Year Ended September 30, 2015										
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Municipal Fund	California Fund		California Fund		California Fund		California Fund		California Fund Nev	
From operations Net investment income Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt and financial	\$ 51,778,676	\$	14,719,842	\$	11,207,002						
futures contracts Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures	(4,457,385)		2,286,443		981,677						
contracts	(142,512)		(6,262,877)		(2,564,720)						
Net increase in net assets from operations Distributions to common shareholders	\$ 47,178,779	\$	10,743,408	\$	9,623,959						
From net investment income	\$ (52,218,244)	\$	(14,631,999)	\$	(11,244,698)						
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (52,218,244)	\$	(14,631,999)	\$	(11,244,698)						
Capital share transactions	_	_		_							
Cost of shares repurchased (See Note 5)	\$	\$	(87,649)	\$	(287,318)						
Net decrease in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	\$	(87,649)	\$	(287,318)						
Net decrease in net assets	\$ (5,039,465)	\$	(3,976,240)	\$	(1,908,057)						
Net Assets											
At beginning of year	\$ 950,518,766	\$	276,021,518	\$	220,190,034						
At end of year	\$ 945,479,301	\$	272,045,278	\$	218,281,977						
Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets											
At end of year	\$ 1,467,917	\$	2,250,747	\$	1,878,214						
·	. , . ,		,,	•	<i>),</i>						

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

Statements of Changes in Net Assets continued

	Year Ended September 30, 2014						
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	Municipal Fund	•		Ne	w York Fund		
From operations							
Net investment income	\$ 53,349,688	\$	15,088,430	\$	11,858,752		
Net realized gain (loss) from investment transactions, extinguishment of debt and financial							
futures contracts	190,580		(2,717,813)		(1,552,854)		
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments and financial futures							
contracts	111,749,614		31,277,572		20,855,535		
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$ 165,289,882	\$	43,648,189	\$	31,161,433		
Distributions to common shareholders							
From net investment income	\$ (52,218,243)	\$	(14,152,654)	\$	(10,885,152)		
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$ (52,218,243)	\$	(14,152,654)	\$	(10,885,152)		
Capital share transactions							
Cost of shares repurchased (See Note 5)	\$	\$	(3,881,323)	\$	(2,538,716)		
Net decrease in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	\$	(3,881,323)	\$	(2,538,716)		
Net increase in net assets	\$ 113,071,639	\$	25,614,212	\$	17,737,565		
Net Assets							
At beginning of year	\$ 837,447,127	\$	250,407,306	\$	202,452,469		
At end of year	\$ 950,518,766	\$	276,021,518	\$	220,190,034		
At the of year	\$ 750,510,700	Ψ	270,021,510	Ψ	220,170,034		
Accumulated undistributed net investment income included in net assets							
At end of year	\$ 659,752	\$	2,223,235	\$	1,851,579		
At thu of year	φ 039,132	Ф	4,443,433	Ф	1,031,3/9		

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

Statements of Cash Flows

	Year Ended September 30, 2015						
Cash Flows From Operating Activities	F	und	Ca	lifornia Fund	Ne	w York Fund	
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	47,178,779	\$	10,743,408	\$	9,623,959	
Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets from operations to net cash provided by operating							
activities:							
Investments purchased		(103,047,735)		(42,077,810)		(32,846,181)	
Investments sold		96,996,386		37,499,188		37,897,659	
Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount)		(2,907,243)		(656,687)		308,406	
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs		100,687		17,062		14,086	
Decrease in restricted cash		105,000		42,000		20,000	
Decrease (increase) in interest receivable		(79,821)		(27,232)		40,009	
Decrease in receivable for variation margin on open financial futures contracts		10,938		8,594		2,344	
Decrease in payable to affiliate for investment adviser fee		(8,835)		(2,291)		(4,589)	
Decrease in interest expense and fees payable		(49,284)		(9,859)		(2,052)	
Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses		(2,754)		2,808		(1,995)	
Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation from investments		(875,812)		5,710,433		2,342,498	
Net realized (gain) loss from investments		2,556,518		(3,433,531)		(1,395,875)	
Net realized loss on extinguishment of debt		177		20,339		24	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	39,977,001	\$	7,836,422	\$	15,998,293	
Cash Flows From Financing Activities							
Repurchase of common shares	\$		\$	(87,649)	\$	(287,318)	
Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments		(52,218,244)		(14,631,999)		(11,244,698)	
Proceeds from secured borrowings		37,460,000		21,500,000		14,675,000	
Repayment of secured borrowings		(52,835,000)		(29,815,000)		(21,920,000)	
Increase in due to custodian		14,802,497		4,491,339		, , , , ,	
Net cash used in financing activities	\$	(52,790,747)	\$	(18,543,309)	\$	(18,777,016)	
Net decrease in cash	\$	(12,813,746)	\$	(10,706,887)	\$	(2,778,723)	
Cash at beginning of year	\$	12,813,746	\$	10,706,887	\$	6,082,969	
Cash at end of year	\$		\$		\$	3,304,246	
Cumlamontal disabours of each flow information.							
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:	Ф	3,551,081	\$	1,082,876	¢	804.956	
Cash paid for interest and fees	\$	3,331,061	Ф	1,002,070	\$	004,930	

Municipal Bond Funds

September 30, 2015

Financial Highlights

	Municipal Fund Year Ended September 30,									
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011					
Net asset value Beginning of year	\$ 13.940	\$ 12.290	\$ 14.100	\$ 12.560	\$ 13.080					
Income (Loss) From Operations										
Net investment income ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0.760	\$ 0.783	\$ 0.768	\$ 0.763	\$ 0.878					
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)	(0.064)	1.633	(1.812)	1.584	(0.482)					
Total income (loss) from operations	\$ 0.696	\$ 2.416	\$ (1.044)	\$ 2.347	\$ 0.396					
Less Distributions										
From net investment income	\$ (0.766)	\$ (0.766)	\$ (0.766)	\$ (0.807)	\$ (0.916)					
Total distributions	\$ (0.766)	\$ (0.766)	\$ (0.766)	\$ (0.807)	\$ (0.916)					
Net asset value End of year	\$ 13.870	\$ 13.940	\$ 12.290	\$ 14.100	\$ 12.560					
Market value End of year	\$ 12.510	\$ 12.520	\$ 11.560	\$ 14.460	\$ 12.350					
Total Investment Return on Net Asset Value ⁽²⁾	5.69%	21.00%	(7.59)%	19.33%	3.89%					
Total Investment Return on Market Value(2)	6.14%	15.44								