HOPFED BANCORP INC Form 10-Q August 11, 2014 Table of Contents

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2014

OR

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 000-23667

## HOPFED BANCORP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

## Edgar Filing: HOPFED BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 61-1322555 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4155 Lafayette Road, Hopkinsville, Kentucky42240(Address of principal executive offices)(Zip Code)Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (270) 885-1171

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past ninety days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Date File required and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (subsection 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated file or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer , large accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule12b-2 of the Exchange Act: (Check one)

Non-accelerated filer "Smaller reporting company filer "Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange	Large accelerated filer "	Accelerated filer	Х
Act). It's $Act Act Act Act Act Act Act Act Act Act$			

As of August 5, 2014, the Registrant had outstanding 7,319,136 shares of the Registrant s Common stock.

# CONTENTS

# HOPFED BANCORP, INC.

		<u>PAGE</u>
<u>PART I.</u>	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
The unauc	dited consolidated condensed financial statements of the Registrant and its wholly owned	
subsidiari	es are as follows:	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Consolidated Condensed Statements of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2014 (unaudited) and	
	December 31, 2013	2
	Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for the Three and Six-Month Periods Ended June	
	30, 2014, and June 30, 2013 (unaudited)	4
	Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three and	
	Six-Month Periods Ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 (unaudited)	6
	Consolidated Condensed Statements of Stockholders Equity for the Six-Month Periods Ended	
	June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013 (unaudited)	7
	Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the Six-Month Periods Ended June 30,	
	2014, and June 30, 2013 (unaudited)	9
	Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements	10
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	42
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	54
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	55
<u>PART II</u>	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	55
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	56
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	56
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	56
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	56
Item 5.	Other Information	57
Item 6.	Exhibits	57
<u>SIGNATU</u>	URES	58

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## **Item 1. Financial Statements**

# HOPFED BANCORP, INC.

## **Consolidated Condensed Statements of Financial Condition**

# (Dollars in Thousands)

	June 30, 2014 (unaudited)				
Assets					
Cash and due from banks	\$	24,125	37,229		
Interest-earning deposits		5,766	18,619		
Cash and cash equivalents		29,891	55,848		
Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost		4,428	4,428		
Securities available for sale		331,639	318,910		
Loans held for sale		464	510,910		
Loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses of \$8,353 at June 30,		101			
2014, and \$8,682 at December 31, 2013		537,763	543,632		
Accrued interest receivable		4,518	5,233		
Real estate and other assets owned		1,490	1,674		
Bank owned life insurance		9,837	9,677		
Premises and equipment, net		22,896	23,108		
Deferred tax assets		1,942	4,610		
Intangible asset		65	130		
Other assets		5,013	6,399		
Total assets	\$	949,946	973,649		
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity					
Liabilities:					
Deposits:					
Non-interest-bearing	\$	103,550	105,252		
Interest-bearing checking		194,659	183,643		
Savings and money market		93,939	92,106		
Time deposits		351,121	381,996		
		<b>540 0</b> (0)			
Total deposits		743,269	762,997		
Federal Home Loan Bank advances		40,776	46,780		
Repurchase agreements		51,125	52,759		
Subordinated debentures		10,310	10,310		
Advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance		723	521		
Dividends payable		308	326		

Accrued expenses and other liabilities	3,475	4,239
Total liabilities	849,986	877,932

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

## HOPFED BANCORP, INC.

### **Consolidated Condensed Statements of Financial Condition, Continued**

### (Dollars in Thousands)

	e 30, 2014 naudited)	December 31, 2013
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share; authorized - 500,000 shares; no		
shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013.		
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; authorized 15,000,000 shares;		
7,947,525 issued and 7,395,285 outstanding at June 30, 2014, and		
7,927,287 issued and 7,447,903 outstanding at December 31, 2013	\$ 79	79
Additional paid-in-capital	58,367	58,302
Retained earnings	45,382	44,694
Treasury stock- common (at cost, 552,240 shares at June 30, 2014, and		
479,384 shares at December 31, 2013)	(6,767)	(5,929)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes	2,899	(1,429)
Total stockholders equity	99,960	95,717
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 949,946	973,649

The consolidated condensed statement of financial condition at December 31, 2013, has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements as of that date but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements.

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

# HOPFED BANCORP, INC.

# **Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income**

# (Dollars in Thousands)

# (Unaudited)

	For the Three M Ended J	une 30,	For the Six Month Period Ended June 30,		
Interest income:	2014	2013	2014	2013	
Loans receivable	¢ (502	6.676	12.920	12 559	
	\$ 6,503	6,676	12,830	13,558	
Securities available for sale taxable	1,694	1,764	3,473	3,596	
Securities available for sale nontaxable	531	547	1,075	1,132	
Interest-earning deposits	6	7	14	13	
Total interest income	8,734	8,994	17,392	18,299	
Interest expense:					
Deposits	1,488	1,936	2,959	3,982	
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	428	446	862	890	
Repurchase agreements	245	230	494	472	
Subordinated debentures	193	182	377	364	
Total interest expense	2,354	2,794	4,692	5,708	
Net interest income	6,380	6,200	12,700	12,591	
Provision for loan losses	(261)	406	119	782	
Net interest income after provision for loan losses	6,641	5,794	12,581	11,809	
Non-interest income:					
Service charges	848	937	1,626	1,790	
Merchant card income	276	259	535	482	
Mortgage origination revenue	133	212	191	412	
Gain on sale of securities	241	789	254	1,416	
Income from bank owned life insurance	66	87	161	162	
Financial services commission	168	347	374	644	
Other operating income	213	197	402	405	
Total non-interest income	1,945	2,828	3,543	5,311	

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

# HOPFED BANCORP, INC.

### **Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income, Continued**

# (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Amounts)

## (Unaudited)

	I	For the Three Month Periods Ended June 30,			ix Month ods une 30,
		2014	2013	2014	2013
Non-interest expenses:					
Salaries and benefits	\$	3,692	3,714	7,487	7,562
Occupancy		808	882	1,717	1,727
Data processing		736	646	1,464	1,296
Bank franchise tax		398	147	644	289
Intangible amortization		33	48	65	97
Professional services		341	549	628	942
Deposit insurance and examination		183	179	380	411
Advertising		341	308	655	641
Postage and communications		140	139	283	278
Supplies		158	93	303	229
Loss on real estate owned		102	12	125	47
Real estate owned		92	32	222	108
Other operating		423	375	798	771
Total non-interest expense		7,447	7,124	14,771	14,398
Income before income tax		1,139	1,498	1,353	2,722
Income tax expense		214	332	74	572
Net income	\$	925	1,166	1,279	2,150
Net income per share:					
Basic	\$	0.13	0.16	0.17	0.29
Diluted	\$	0.13	0.16	0.17	0.29
Dividend per share	\$	0.04	0.02	0.08	0.04
Weighted average shares outstanding basic	7,	,376,726	7,488,906	7,396,627	7,488,788
Weighted average shares outstanding diluted	7,	,376,726	7,488,906	7,396,627	7,488,788

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

## HOPFED BANCORP, INC.

#### **Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

## (Dollars in Thousands)

# (Unaudited)

	For the	Three	For th	e Six
	Month I	Periods	Month 1	Periods
	Ended J	une 30,	Ended J	une 30,
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Net income	\$ 925	1,166	1,279	2,150
Other comprehensive income, net of tax:				
Unrealized gain (loss) on investment securities available for sale, net of tax				
effect of (\$1,340) and \$3,405 for the three month periods ended June 30,				
2014, and June 30, 2013, respectively; and (\$2,260) and \$4,003 for the six				
month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, respectively;	2,601	(6,610)	4,387	(7,771)
Unrealized gain on derivatives, net of tax effect of (\$31) and (\$40) for the				
three month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, respectively;				
and of (\$56) and (\$72) for the six month periods ending June 30, 2014, and				
June 30, 2013, respectively;	60	77	109	140
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, net of tax effect				
of \$82 and \$268 for the three month periods ended June 30, 2014, and				
June 30, 2013, respectively; and \$86 and \$482 the six month periods ended				
June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, respectively;	(159)	(521)	(168)	(935)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$3,427	(5,888)	5,607	(6,416)

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

## HOPFED BANCORP, INC.

#### Consolidated Condensed Statement of Stockholders Equity

# For the Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2014

## (Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Amounts)

## (Unaudited)

						1	Accumulated Other	
	Shares			Additional		Treasury C	omprehensive	e Total
	Common	Cor	nmon	Capital	Retained	Stock	Income	Stockholders
	Stock	St	ock	Surplus	Earnings	Common	(Loss)	Equity
Balance at December 31, 2013	7,447,903	\$	79	58,302	44,694	(5,929)	(1,429)	95,717
Restricted stock awards	20,238							
Repurchase of treasury stock	(72,856)					(838)		(838)
Consolidated net income					1,279			1,279
Compensation expense,								
restricted stock awards				65				65
Net change in unrealized gain								
on securities available for sale,								
net of income taxes of \$2,173							4,219	4,219
Net change in unrealized loss								
on derivatives, net of income								
taxes of \$56							109	109
Cash dividend to common								
stockholders					(591)			(591)
Balance June 30, 2014	7,395,285	\$	79	58,367	45,382	(6,767)	2,899	99,960

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

## HOPFED BANCORP, INC.

## Consolidated Condensed Statement of Stockholders Equity

# For the Six Month Period Ended June 30, 2013

# (Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Amounts)

# (Unaudited)

	Shar	res	C	ommon/	Additiona	1	Transury	A Treasury	ccumulated Other	l Total
	Common	Preferred				Retained	Stock	-		tockholders
	Stock	Stock			·		Preferred			Equity
Balance at December 31, 2012	7,502,812	18,400	\$ 79	556	76,288	41,829	(18,400)	(5,076)	9,723	104,999
Restricted stock awards	227									
Consolidated net income						2,150				2,150
Compensation expense, restricted stock awards					47					47
Net change in unrealized gain on securities available for sale, net of income tax benefit of					.,					.,
\$4,485 Net change in unrealized loss on derivatives, net of income									(8,706)	(8,706)
taxes of \$72									140	140
Repurchase of warrant				(556)	299					(257)
Cash dividend to common stockholders						(300)				(300)
Balance June 30, 2013	7,503,039	18,400	\$ 79		76,634	43,679	(18,400)	(5,076)	1,157	98,073

Table of Contents

# Edgar Filing: HOPFED BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

# HOPFED BANCORP, INC.

## **Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows**

## (Dollars in Thousands)

# (Unaudited)

	For the Six Periods Ende 2014	x Month ed June 30, 2013
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 4,477	4,484
Cash flows from investing activities		
Proceeds from sales, calls and maturities of securities available for sale	52,414	73,375
Purchase of securities available for sale	(59,556)	(69,182)
Net (increase) decrease in loans	5,560	(4,672)
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets	249	462
Purchase of premises and equipment	(508)	(74)
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,841)	(91)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net increase (decrease) in demand deposits	(1,702)	343
Net decrease in time and other deposits	(18,026)	(17,544)
Increase in advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance	202	301
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	15,000	8,000
Repayment of advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	(21,004)	(5,973)
Net increase (decrease) in repurchase agreements	(1,634)	3,564
Cash used to repurchase warrant		(257)
Cash used to repurchase treasury stock	(838)	
Dividends paid on common stock	(591)	(300)
Net cash used in financing activities	(28,593)	(11,866)
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(25,957)	(7,473)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	55,848	37,176
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 29,891	29,703
Supplemental disclosures of Cash Flow Information:		
Interest paid	\$ 4,752	5,852
Income taxes paid		495

# Edgar Filing: HOPFED BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Supplemental disclosures of non-cash investing and financing activities:			
Loans charged off	\$	588	2,370
Foreclosures and in substance foreclosures of loans during period	\$	190	593
Net unrealized gains (losses) on investment securities classified as available for sale	\$	6,392	(13,191)
Increase (decrease) in deferred tax asset related to unrealized gains on investments	(\$	2,173)	4,485
Dividends declared and payable	\$	308	150
Issue of unearned restricted stock	\$	235	2

See accompanying Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements

## NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (1) BASIS OF PRESENTATION

HopFed Bancorp, Inc. (the Company ) was formed at the direction of Heritage Bank USA Inc, formerly Hopkinsville Federal Savings Bank (the Bank ), to become the holding company of the Bank upon the conversion of the Bank from a federally chartered mutual savings bank to a federally chartered stock savings bank. The conversion was consummated on February 6, 1998. The Company s primary assets are the outstanding capital stock of the converted Bank, and its sole business is that of the converted Bank.

On June 5, 2013, the Bank s legal name became Heritage Bank USA Inc. and the Bank was granted a commercial bank charter by the Kentucky Department of Financial Institutions (KDFI). On June 5, 2013, the Bank became subject to regulation by the KDFI and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). On the same day, HopFed Bancorp was granted a bank holding company charter by the Federal Reserve Bank of Saint Louis (FED) and as such regulated by the FED.

The Bank operates a mortgage division, Heritage Mortgage Services, in Clarksville, Tennessee with agents located in several of its markets. The Bank has a financial services division, Heritage Solutions, with offices in Murray, Kentucky, Kingston Springs, Tennessee, and Pleasant View, Tennessee. Heritage Solutions agents travel throughout western Kentucky and middle Tennessee offering fixed and variable annuities, mutual funds and brokerage services.

The accompanying unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted (GAAP) in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring accruals) necessary for fair representation have been included. The results of operations and other data for the six month period ended June 30, 2014, are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the entire fiscal year ending December 31, 2014.

The accompanying unaudited financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. The accounting policies followed by the Company are set forth in the Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Company s December 31, 2013, Consolidated Financial Statements.

## (2) INCOME PER SHARE

The following schedule reconciles the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted income per share (IPS) computations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013. Diluted common shares arise from the potentially dilutive effect of the Company s stock options and warrant outstanding.

	Three Month Periods Ended June 30,		
	2014	2013	
Basic IPS:			
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 925,000	\$1,166,000	
Average common shares outstanding	7,376,726	7,488,906	
Net income per share available to common shareholders, basic	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.16	
Diluted IPS			
Net income available to common stockholders	\$ 925,000	\$1,166,000	
Average common shares outstanding	7,376,726	7,488,906	
Dilutive effect of stock options			
Average diluted shares outstanding	7,376,726	7,488,906	
Net income per share available to common shareholders, diluted	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.16	

	Six Month Periods Ended June 30,		
	2014	2013	
Basic IPS:			
Net income available to common stockholders	\$1,279,000	\$2,150,000	
Average common shares outstanding	7,396,627	7,488,788	
Net income per share available to common shareholders, basic	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.29	
Diluted IPS			
Net income available to common stockholders	\$1,279,000	\$2,150,000	
Average common shares outstanding	7,396,627	7,488,788	
Dilutive effect of stock options			
Average diluted shares outstanding	7,396,627	7,488,788	

Net income per share available to common shareholders,		
diluted	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.29

### (3) STOCK COMPENSATION

The Company incurred compensation cost related to the HopFed Bancorp, Inc. 2004 Long Term Incentive Plan of \$35,000 and \$65,000 for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014, and \$25,000 and \$47,000 for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The Company issued 20,238 shares of restricted stock during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014. The Company issued 227 shares of restricted stock during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2013. The table below provides a detail of the Company s future compensation expense related to restricted stock vesting at June 30, 2014:

Year Ending	
	Future
December 31,	Expense
2014	\$ 95,260
2015	179,556
2016	126,526
2017	39,700
Total	\$ 441,042

The compensation committee may make additional awards of restricted stock, thereby increasing the future expense related to this plan. In addition, award vesting may be accelerated due to certain events as outlined in the restricted stock award agreement. Any acceleration of vesting will change the timing of, but not the aggregate amount of, compensation expense incurred.

## (4) SECURITIES

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluations. Consideration is given to (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

At June 30, 2014, the Company has 63 securities with unrealized losses. The carrying amount of securities and their estimated fair values at June 30, 2014, were as follows:

		June 30, 2014				
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains (Dollars in	Gross Unrealized Losses Thousands)	Estimated Fair Value		
Restricted:						
FHLB stock	\$ 4,428			4,428		
Available for sale:						
U.S. Treasury securities	\$ 3,973	5		3,978		
U.S. Agency securities	118,130	2,126	(1,210)	119,046		
Corporate bonds	2,000	5		2,005		
Taxable municipal bonds	14,119	333	(226)	14,226		
Tax free municipal bonds	61,918	3,643	(227)	65,334		
Trust preferred securities	1,600		(111)	1,489		
Mortgage-backed securities:			, ,			
GNMA	27,450	695	(101)	28,044		
FNMA	65,479	866	(749)	65,596		
FHLMC	1,142	38		1,180		
NON-AGENCY CMOs	10,811	4	(194)	10,621		
AGENCY CMOs	20,040	206	(126)	20,120		
	\$ 326,662	7,921	(2,944)	331,639		

The carrying amount of securities and their estimated fair values at December 31, 2013, was as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains (Dollars in	Gross Unrealized Losses Thousands)	Estimated Fair Value
Restricted:				
FHLB stock	\$ 4,428			4,428
Available for sale securities:				
U.S. Agency securities	\$ 120,608	1,856	(2,441)	120,023
Corporate bonds	2,000		(16)	1,984
Taxable municipal bonds	18,337	458	(738)	18,057
Tax free municipal bonds	64,291	2,066	(898)	65,459
Trust preferred securities	1,600		(111)	1,489
Mortgage-backed securities:				
GNMA	17,327	590	(142)	17,775
FNMA	70,104	526	(1,938)	68,692
FHLMC	1,301	35		1,336
SLMA CMOs	8,459		(374)	8,085
AGENCY CMOs	16,296	134	(420)	16,010
	\$ 320,323	5,665	(7,078)	318,910

The scheduled maturities of debt securities available for sale at June 30, 2014, were as follows:

		Estimated
	Amortize	d Fair
	Cost	Value
Due within one year	\$ 19	5 197
Due in one to five years	20,42	2 20,711
Due in five to ten years	42,11	9 42,850
Due after ten years	41,01	0 42,905
	103,74	6 106,663
Amortizing agency bonds	97,994	4 99,415
Mortgage-backed securities	124,92	2 125,561
Total securities available for sale	\$ 326,66	2 331,639

The scheduled maturities of debt securities available for sale at December 31, 2013, were as follows:

		ortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Due within one year	\$	501	505
Due in one to five years		12,630	12,954
Due in five to ten years		38,192	37,364
Due in more than ten years	2	49,284	49,314
	1(	00,607	100,137
Amortizing agency bonds	1(	06,229	106,875
Mortgage-backed securities	1	13,487	111,898
Total securities available for sale	\$ 32	20,323	318,910

The estimated fair value and unrealized loss amounts of temporarily impaired investments as of June 30, 2014, are as follows:

		han 12	12	1	T	4.1
	moi	nths	12 month	s or longer	10	otal
	Estimated	Unrealized	Estimated	Unrealized	Estimated	Unrealized
	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses
			(Dollars in	Thousands)	)	
Available for sale						
U.S. government and agency securities:						
Agency debt securities	\$ 9,500	(79)	37,734	(1,131)	47,234	(1,210)
Taxable municipals	571	(4)	7,595	(222)	8,166	(226)
Tax free municipals			7,242	(227)	7,242	(227)
Trust preferred securities			1,489	(111)	1,489	(111)
Mortgage-backed securities:						
GNMA	11,316	(88)	3,180	(13)	14,496	(101)
FNMA	2,922	(1)	27,806	(748)	30,728	(749)
NON-AGENCY CMOs	2,499	(49)	5,407	(145)	7,906	(194)
AGENCY CMOs	2,970	(10)	6,203	(116)	9,173	(126)
Total Available for Sale	\$29,778	(231)	96,656	(2,713)	126,434	(2,944)

The estimated fair value and unrealized loss amounts of temporarily impaired investments as of December 31, 2013, were as follows:

	Less than 12 months Estimated		12 months or longer		Total	
	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses Thousands)	Estimated Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Available for sale			(Donars III	Thousands)		
U.S. government and agency securities:						
Agency debt securities	\$ 44,968	(2,107)	6,793	(334)	51,761	(2,441)
Taxable municipal bonds	7,903	(660)	797	(78)	8,700	(738)
Tax free municipal bonds	9,848	(692)	3,720	(206)	13,568	(898)
Trust preferred securities			1,489	(111)	1,489	(111)
Commercial bonds	1,984	(16)			1,984	(16)
Mortgage-backed securities:						
GNMA	5,320	(128)	1,551	(14)	6,871	(142)
FNMA	42,464	(1,626)	6,746	(312)	49,210	(1,938)
NON-AGENCY CMOs	5,224	(374)			5,224	(374)
AGENCY CMOs	7,031	(223)	1,844	(197)	8,875	(420)
Total Available for Sale	\$124,742	(5,826)	22,940	(1,252)	147,682	(7,078)

The applicable dates for determining when securities are in an unrealized loss position are June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013. As such, it is possible that a security had a market value that exceeded its amortized cost on other days during the past twelve-month periods ended June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, but is in the Investments with an Unrealized Loss of less than 12 months category above.

As shown in the tables above, at June 30, 2014, the Company had approximately \$2.9 million in unrealized losses on \$126.4 million of securities. The unrealized losses associated with these investment securities are driven by changes in interest rates and the unrealized loss is recorded as a component of equity. These securities will continue to be monitored as a part of our ongoing impairment analysis, but are expected to perform even if the rating agencies reduce the credit rating of the bond issuers. Management evaluates the financial performance of the issuers on a quarterly basis to determine if it is probable that the issuers can make all contractual principal and interest payments. If a shortfall in future cash flows is identified, a credit loss will be deemed to have occurred and will be recognized as a charge to earnings and a new cost basis for the security will be established.

Because the Company currently does not intend to sell those securities that have an unrealized loss at June 30, 2014, and it is not more-likely-than-not that the Company will be required to sell the securities before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity, the Company does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2014.

Periodically, available-for-sale securities may be sold or the composition of the portfolio realigned to improve yields, quality or marketability, or to implement changes in investment or asset/liability strategy, including maintaining collateral requirements and raising funds for liquidity purposes. Additionally, if an available-for-sale security loses its investment grade or tax-exempt status, the underlying credit support is terminated or collection otherwise becomes uncertain based on factors known to management, the Company will consider selling the security, but will review each security on a case-by-case basis as these factors become known.

The carrying values of the Company s investment securities could decline in the future if the financial condition of issuers deteriorates and management determines it is probable that the Company will not recover the entire amortized cost bases of the securities. As a result, there is a risk that other-than-temporary impairment charges may occur in the future. There is also a risk that other-than-temporary impairment charges may occur in the future if management s intention to hold these securities to maturity and or recovery changes.

At June 30, 2014, securities with a book value of approximately \$185.7 million and a market value of approximately \$192.5 million were pledged to various municipalities for deposits in excess of FDIC limits as required by law. The Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati has issued letters of credit in the Bank s name totaling \$13.5 million secured by the Bank s loan portfolio to secure additional municipal deposits.

At June 30, 2014, securities with a book and market value of \$35.1 million were sold under agreements to repurchase from various customers. Furthermore, the Company has two wholesale repurchase agreements with third parties secured by investments with a combined book value of \$19.5 million and a market value of \$19.4 million. One repurchase agreement is in the amount of \$6.0 million and has a maturity of September 18, 2016, and is currently callable on a quarterly basis and has a fixed rate of interest of 4.36%. The second repurchase agreement, in the amount of \$10.0 million, has a maturity of September 5, 2014, is currently callable quarterly and has a fixed rate of interest of 4.28%.

## (5) LOANS

Set forth below is selected data relating to the composition of the loan portfolio by type of loan at June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013. At June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, there were no concentrations of loans exceeding 10% of total loans other than as disclosed below:

	June 30, 2014 Amount	June 30, 2014 Percent		nber 31, 2013 D Amount	December 31, 2013 Percent
		Dollars in thousa			
Real estate loans:		Donars in thousa	anus, ca	cept percentage	.3)
One-to-four family (closed end)					
first mortgages	\$ 151,917	27.8%	\$	155,252	28.1%
Second mortgages (closed end)	2,688	0.5%	-	3,248	0.6%
Home equity lines of credit	33,206	6.1%		34,103	6.2%
Multi-family	28,242	5.2%		29,736	5.4%
Construction	13,327	2.4%		10,618	1.9%
Land	29,579	5.4%		34,681	6.3%
Farmland	45,616	8.3%		51,868	9.4%
Non-residential real estate	157,795	28.9%		157,692	28.5%
Total mortgage loans	462,370	84.6%		477,198	86.4%
Consumer loans	15,564	2.9%		11,167	2.0%
Commercial loans	68,374	12.5%		64,041	11.6%
Total other loans	83,938	15.4%		75,208	13.6%
Total loans, gross	546,308	100.0%		552,406	100.0%
Deferred loan cost, net of fees	(192)			(92)	
Less allowance for loan losses	(8,353)			(8,682)	
Total loans	\$ 537,763		\$	543,632	
i otal ioalis	φ 337,703		ψ	545,052	

The Company assigns an industry standard NAICS code to each loan in the Company s portfolio. By assigning a standard code to each type of loan, management can more readily determine concentrations in risk by industry, location and loan type. This information is most useful when analyzing the Company s non-residential real estate loan portfolio. At June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, the Company s non-residential real estate loan portfolio was made up of the following loan types:

	June 30, 2014 (Dollars	December 31, 2013 in Thousands)
Land	\$ 29,579	34,681
Manufacturing	5,053	3,962
Professional, Technical	1,489	1,819
Retail Trade	11,491	10,916
Other Services	21,041	19,206
Finance & Insurance	2,615	1,862
Agricultural, Forestry, Fishing & Hunting	45,616	51,868
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	55,408	55,692
Wholesale Trade	20,824	21,852
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	3,698	3,015
Accommodations / Food Service	24,200	26,552
Healthcare and Social Assistance	6,473	6,862
Transportation & Warehousing	1,025	1,101
Information	2,205	2,390
Non-industry	2,042	2,101
Admin Support / Waste Mgmt	231	362
Total	\$232,990	244,241

The allowance for loan losses totaled \$8.4 million at June 30, 2014, and \$8.7 million at December 31, 2013, and \$9.4 million at June 30, 2013, respectively. The ratio of the allowance for loan losses to total loans was 1.53% at June 30, 2014, 1.57% at December 31, 2013, and 1.75% at June 30, 2013.

The following table indicates the type and level of non-accrual loans at the dates indicated below:

June 30, 2014	,	June 30, 2013
	(Donars in Thousand	8)
\$ 524	945	1,131
29	1	22
	2	37
	175	
1,217	1,218	2,255
6,520	6,546	7,055
13	703	781
1	13	11
431	463	520
	\$ 524 29 1,217 6,520 13 1	29   1     2   175     1,217   1,218     6,520   6,546     13   703     1   13

# Edgar Filing: HOPFED BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Total non-accrual loans

\$8,735 10,066 11,812

The following table provides a detail of the Company s activity in the allowance for loan loss account by loan type for the six month period ended June 30, 2014:

	Balance 0 12/31/2013	2014	2014	General Provision 2014 Thousands	2014	Ending Balance 6/30/2014
One-to-four family mortgages	\$ 2,048	(181)	12	105	(125)	1,859
Home equity line of credit	218	(20)	2	2	18	220
Junior liens	39		7	(16)	(7)	23
Multi-family	466			(159)		307
Construction	88	(10)	4			82
Land	1,305			(80)	(280)	945
Non-residential real estate	2,719			309	(171)	2,857
Farmland	510			275	32	817
Consumer loans	541	(196)	64	52	132	593
Commercial loans	748	(181)	51	(85)	117	650
Total	\$ 8,682	(588)	140	403	(284)	8,353

The following table provides a detail of the Company s activity in the allowance for loan loss account by loan type for the year ended December 31, 2013:

	Balance 12/31/2012	Charge off 2013	2013	General Provision 2013 Thousands)	Specific Provision 2013	Balance 12/31/2013
One-to-four family mortgages	\$ 2,490	(852)	329	(285)	366	2,048
Home equity line of credit	374	(22)	9	(88)	(55)	218
Junior liens	230	(119)	71	5	(148)	39
Multi-family	524	(38)	164	(20)	(164)	466
Construction	256			(168)		88
Land	2,184	(1,432)	9	(718)	1,262	1,305
Non-residential real estate	2,921	(1,041)	14	757	68	2,719
Farmland	712			(202)		510
Consumer loans	338	(649)	246	228	378	541
Commercial loans	619	(291)	32	437	(49)	748
Total	\$ 10,648	(4,444)	874	(54)	1,658	8,682

The table below presents currently performing, past due and non-accrual balances at June 30, 2014, by loan classification allocated between performing and non-performing:

				Impaired Loans			
		30 - 89		Currently			
	Currently	Days	Non-accrual	Special	Performing		
June 30, 2014	Performing	Past Due	Loans	Mention	Substandard Doubtful	Total	
			(Dollars	in Thous	ands)		
One-to-four family mortgages	\$ 145,897	669	524	204	4,623	\$151,917	
Home equity line of credit	32,561	33	29		583	33,206	
Junior liens	2,627			41	20	2,688	
Multi-family	23,093			2,928	2,221	28,242	
Construction	13,327					13,327	
Land	13,898	2,975	1,217	370	11,119	29,579	
Non-residential real estate	136,374	3,158	6,520	4,829	6,914	157,795	
Farmland	43,636		13	343	1,624	45,616	
Consumer loans	15,197	4	1		362	15,564	
Commercial loans	64,632	1,170	431	657	1,484	68,374	
Total	\$491,242	8,009	8,735	9,372	28,950	\$546,308	

The table below presents currently performing, past due and non-accrual balances at December 31, 2013, by loan classification allocated between performing and non-performing:

					Impaired Loans Currently	
		30 - 89				
	Currently	Days	Non-accrual	Special	Performing	
December 31, 2013	Performing	Past Due	Loans	Mention	SubstandarDoubtful	Total
	_		(Dollars	in Thousa	nds)	
One-to-four family mortgages	\$148,759	592	945	814	4,142	155,252
Home equity line of credit	33,369	93	1		640	34,103
Junior liens	3,126		2	43	77	3,248
Multi-family	29,736					29,736
Construction	10,443		175			10,618
Land	19,899		1,218	52	13,512	34,681
Non-residential real estate	142,701	343	6,546	515	7,587	157,692
Farmland	46,042		703	480	4,643	51,868
Consumer loans	10,493	234	13		427	11,167
Commercial loans	61,379	123	463	526	1,550	64,041
Total	\$ 505,947	1,385	10,066	2,430	32,578	552,406

All loans listed as 30-89 days past due and non-accrual are not performing as agreed. Loans listed as special mention, substandard and doubtful are paying as agreed. However, the customer s financial statements may indicate weaknesses in their current cash flow, the customer s industry may be in decline due to current economic conditions, collateral values used to secure the loan may be declining, or the Company may be concerned about the customer s future business prospects.

The Company does not originate loans it considers sub-prime and is not aware of any exposure to the additional credit concerns associated with sub-prime lending in either the Company s loan or investment portfolios. The Company does have a significant amount of construction and land development loans. Management reports to the Company s Board of Directors on the status of the Company s specific construction and development loans as well as the market trends in those markets in which the Company actively participates.

The Company s annualized net charge off ratios for six month periods ended June 30, 2014, June 30, 2013, and the year ended December 31, 2013, was 0.16%, 0.77% and 0.66%, respectively. The ratios of allowance for loan losses to non-accrual loans at June 30, 2014, June 30, 2013, and December 31, 2013, were 95.6%, 86.3%, and 139.0% respectively.

The determination of the allowance for loan losses is based on management s analysis, completed on a quarterly basis. Various factors are considered, including the market value of the underlying collateral, growth and composition of the loan portfolio, the relationship of the allowance for loan losses to outstanding loans, historical loss experience, delinquency trends and prevailing economic conditions. Although management believes its allowance for loan losses is adequate, there can be no assurance that additional allowances will not be required or that losses on loans will not be incurred.

The Company conducts annual reviews on all loan relationships above one million dollars to ascertain the borrowers continued ability to service their debt as agreed. In addition to the credit relationships mentioned above, management may classify any credit relationship once it becomes aware of adverse credit trends for that customer. Typically, the annual review consists of updated financial statements for borrowers and any guarantors, a review of the borrower s credit history with the Company and other creditors, and current income tax information.

As a result of this review, management will classify loans based on their credit risk. Additionally, the Company provides a risk grade for all loans past due more than sixty days. The Company uses the following risk definitions for risk grades:

**Satisfactory** loans of average strength having some deficiency or vulnerability to changing economic or industry conditions. These customers should have reasonable amount of capital and operating ratios. Secured loans may lack in margin or liquidity. Loans to individuals, perhaps supported in dollars of net worth, but with supporting assets may be difficult to liquidate.

**Watch** loans are acceptable credits: (1) that need continual monitoring, such as out-of territory or asset-based loans (since the Bank does not have an asset-based lending department), or (2) with a marginal risk level to business concerns and individuals that; (a) have exhibited favorable performance in the past, though currently experiencing negative trends;

(b) are in an industry that is experiencing volatility or is declining, and their performance is less than industry norms; and (c) are experiencing unfavorable trends in their financial position, such as one-time net losses or declines in asset values. These marginal borrowers may have early warning signs of problems such as occasional overdrafts and minor delinquency. If considered marginal, a loan would be a watch until financial data demonstrated improved performance or further deterioration to a substandard grade usually within a 12-month period. In the table on page 25, Watch loans are included with satisfactory loans and classified as Pass.

**Other Loans Especially Mentioned** are currently protected but are potentially weak. These loans constitute an undue and unwarranted credit risk but not to the point of justifying a substandard classification. The credit risk may be relatively minor yet constitutes an unwarranted risk in light of the circumstances surrounding a specific loan. These credit weaknesses, if not checked or corrected, will weaken the loan or inadequately protect the Bank s credit position at some future date.

A **Substandard** loan is inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. The loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. This does not imply ultimate loss of the principal, but may involve burdensome administrative expenses and the accompanying cost to carry the credit. Examples of substandard loans include those to borrowers with insufficient or negative cash flow, negative net worth coupled with inadequate guarantor support, inadequate working capital, and/or significantly past-due loans and overdrafts.

A loan classified **Doubtful** has all the weaknesses inherent in a substandard credit except that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full (on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values) highly questionable and improbable. The possibility of loss is extremely high, but because of certain pending factors charge-off is deferred until its more exact status may be determined. Pending factors include proposed merger, acquisition, or liquidation procedures, capital injection, perfecting liens on additional collateral, and refinancing plans. The doubtful classification is applied to that portion of the credit in which the full collection of principal and interest is questionable.

A loan is considered to be impaired when management determines that it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all principal and interest payments due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The value of individually impaired loans is measured based on the present value of expected payments using the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Currently, it is management s practice to test all loans for impairment that are classified as substandard or doubtful with an outbalance of more than \$250,000. At June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, the Company s impaired loans totaled \$37.7 million, \$42.6 million and \$40.4 million, respectively. At June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, the Company s impaired loans totaled \$1.5 million, \$1.9 million and \$3.5 million, respectively.

A summary of the Company s loans, including their respective regulatory classification and their respective specific reserve at June 30, 2014, were as follows:

Specific Allowance

Allowance for

June 30, 2014	Pass	Special Mention S	Impaired Loans SubstandardDoubtful (Dollars in Tho	Total ousands)	Imp	for airment	forming Loans
One-to-four family mortgages	\$146,566	204	5,147	\$151,917	\$	303	\$ 1,555
Home equity line of credit	32,594		612	33,206			220
Junior liens	2,627	41	20	2,688			25
Multi-family	23,093	2,928	2,221	28,242			307
Construction	13,327			13,327			82
Land	16,873	370	12,336	29,579		491	452
Non-residential real estate	139,532	4,829	13,434	157,795		636	2,222
Farmland	43,636	343	1,637	45,616		33	783
Consumer loans	15,201		363	15,564		83	510
Commercial loans	65,802	657	1,915	68,374			651
Total	\$499,251	9,372	37,685	\$546,308	\$	1,546	\$ 6,807

A summary of the Company s loans and their respective reserve at December 31, 2013, were as follows:

					Specific	Allowance
					Allowance	for
		Special	Impaired Loans		for	Performing
	Pass	Mention S	SubstandardDoubtful	Total	Impairment	Loans
			(Dollars in Tho			
One-to-four family mortgages	\$149,351	814	5,087	155,252	597	1,451
Home equity line of credit	33,462		641	34,103		218
Junior liens	3,126	43	79	3,248		39
Multi-family	29,736			29,736		466
Construction	10,443		175	10,618		88
Land	19,899	52	14,730	34,681	771	534
Non-residential real estate	143,044	515	14,133	157,692	465	2,254
Farmland	46,042	480	5,346	51,868		510
Consumer loans	10,727		440	11,167	96	445
Commercial loans	61,502	526	2,013	64,041		748
Total	\$507,332	2,430	42,644	552,406	1,929	6,753

Impaired loans by classification type and the related valuation allowance amounts at June 30, 2014, were as follows:

	At Recorded Investment	t June 30, 20 Unpaid Principal Balance	Related	pe ended Jur Average Recorded	six month riod ne 30, 2014 Interest Income Recognized
		(Do	llars in thous	ands)	
Impaired loans with no recorded reserve:					
One-to-four family mortgages	\$ 3,261	3,261		2,319	83
Home equity line of credit	612	612		599	14
Junior liens	20	20		41	1
Multi-family	2,221	2,221		74	64
Construction				58	
Land	9,014	9,014		10,334	191
Farmland	1,331	1,331		5,921	71
Non-residential real estate	9,449	9,449		7,927	218
Consumer loans	31	31		34	1
Commercial loans	1,915	1,915		2,268	45
Total	\$ 27,854	27,854		29,575	688
Impaired loans with recorded reserve:					
One-to-four family mortgages	\$ 1,886	1,886	303	1,871	50
Home equity line of credit	. ,	,		,	
Junior liens					
Multi-family					
Construction					
Land	3,322	3,322	491	3,565	64
Farmland	306	306	33	1,221	17
Non-residential real estate	3,985	5,176	636	2,439	183
Consumer loans	332	332	83	439	
Commercial loans					
Total	\$ 9,831	11,022	1,546	9,535	314
Total impaired loans	\$ 37,685	38,876	1,546	39,110	1,002

Impaired loans by classification type and the related valuation allowance amounts at December 31, 2013, were as follows:

	At D	ecember 31,	2013		
		Unpaid		Average	Interest
	Recorded	Principal	Related	Recorded	Income
	Investment	Balance	Allowance		Recognized
		(Do	ollars in thous	ands)	
Impaired loans with no recorded reserve:					
One-to-four family mortgages	\$ 3,216	3,216		2,361	8
Home equity line of credit	641	641		564	3
Junior liens	79	79		239	1
Multi-family				990	
Construction	175	175		1,072	5
Land	10,882	12,315		10,668	186
Non-residential real estate	10,775	10,775		6,196	263
Farmland	5,346	5,346		6,955	149
Consumer loans	56	56		48	
Commercial loans	2,013	2,013		2,391	95
Total	\$ 33,183	34,616		31,484	710
Impaired loans with recorded reserve:					
One-to-four family mortgages	\$ 1,871	1,871	597	2,501	9
Home equity line of credit				279	
Junior liens				113	
Multi-family					
Construction				1,385	
Land	3,848	3,848	771	2,741	29
Non-residential real estate	3,358	4,222	465	2,243	111
Farmland				1,601	
Consumer loans	384	384	96	401	
Commercial loans				346	
Total	\$ 9,461	10,325	1,929	11,610	149
Total impaired loans	\$42,644	44,941	1,929	43,094	859

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

	Cor	nmorcial	Dev	Land elopment/ struction	,	mmercial Real Estate		sidential al Estate	Co	nsumar	ŗ	Fotal
June 30, 2014:	CO	miercia		isti uction		LState	NC	al Estate	Cu	iisuiitei		lutai
Allowance for loan losses:												
Ending allowance balance												
attributable to loans:												
Individually evaluated for												
impairment	\$		\$	491	\$	669	\$	303	\$	83	\$	1,546
Collectively evaluated for												
impairment		650		535		3,312		1,800		510		6,807
Total ending allowance balance	\$	650	\$	1,026	\$	3,981	\$	2,103	\$	593	\$	8,353
Loans:												
Loans individually evaluated for impairment	\$	1,915	\$	12,336	\$	17,292	\$	5,779	\$	363	\$	37,685
Loans collectively evaluated for impairment		66,459		30,570		214,361		182,032		15,201	5	08,623
Total ending loans balance	\$	68,374	\$	42,906	\$	231,653	\$	187,811	\$	15,564	\$ 5	46,308

	~		Dev	Land elopment/	/	mmercial Real	-	sidential Real	~			
	Con	nmercia	l Con	struction		Estate		Estate	Co	nsumer		Fotal
December 31, 2013:												
Allowance for loan losses:												
Ending allowance balance												
attributable to loans:												
Individually evaluated for												
impairment	\$		\$	771	\$	465	\$	597	\$	96	\$	1,929
Collectively evaluated for												
impairment		748		622		3,230		1,708		445		6,753
-												
Total ending allowance balance	\$	748	\$	1,393	\$	3,695	\$	2,305	\$	541	\$	8,682
C						,						
Loans:												
Loans individually evaluated for												
impairment	\$	2,013	\$	14,905	\$	19,479	\$	5,807	\$	440	\$	42,644
Loans collectively evaluated for		,		,		,		,			·	, -
impairment		62,028		30,394		219,817		186,796		10,727	5	509,762
mpunnen		02,020		20,371		217,017		100,770		10,727		.0,102

Table of Contents

On a periodic basis, the Bank may modify the terms of certain loans. In evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR), Financial Accounting Standards Board has issued Accounting Standards Update 310 (ASU 310), *A Creditor s Determination of Whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring*. In evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a TDR, the Bank must separately conclude that both of the following exist:

The restructuring constitutes a concession

The debtor is experiencing financial difficulties ASU 310 provides the following guidance for the Bank s evaluation of whether it has granted a concession as follows:

If a debtor does not otherwise have access to funds at a market interest rate for debt with similar risk characteristics as the restructured debt, the restructured debt would be considered a below market rate, which may indicate that the Bank may have granted a concession. In that circumstance, the Bank should consider all aspects of the restructuring in determining whether it has granted a concession, the creditor must make a separate assessment about whether the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties to determine whether the restructuring constitutes a TDR.

A temporary or permanent increase in the interest rate on a loan as a result of a restructuring does not eliminate the possibility of the restructuring from being considered a concession if the new interest rate on the loan is below the market interest rate for loans of similar risk characteristics.

A restructuring that results in a delay in payment that is insignificant is not a concession. However, the Bank must consider a variety of factors in assessing whether a restructuring resulting in a delay in payment is insignificant.

At June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, the Company has no loans classified as TDR s that are reported as performing on June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, respectively. For the six month period ended June 30, 2014, no loans were classified as TDR and no loans were added or removed from TDR status during the six month period ended June 30, 2014.

## (6) REAL ESTATE AND OTHER ASSETS OWNED

The Company s real estate and other assets owned represent properties and personal collateral acquired through customer loan defaults. The property is recorded at the lower of cost or fair value less estimated cost to sell and carrying cost at the date acquired. Any difference between the book value and estimated market value is recognized as a charge off through the allowance for loan loss account. Additional real estate owned and other asset losses may be determined on individual properties at specific intervals or at the time of disposal. In general, the Company will obtain a new appraisal on all real estate owned with a book balance in excess of \$250,000 on an annual basis. Additional losses are recognized as a non-interest expense.

At June 30, 2014, December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2013, the Company had balances in other real estate owned and non-accrual loans consisting of the following:

une 30, 2014	December 31, 2013 (Dollars in Thousands)	June	30, 2013
\$ 356	350	\$	447
934	1,124		1,112
200	200		73
1,490	1,674		1,632
8,735	10,066		11,812
\$ 10,225	11,740	\$	13,444
1.08%	1.21%		1.42%
	\$ 356 934 200 1,490 8,735 \$ 10,225	(Dollars in Thousands)   \$ 356 350   934 1,124   200 200   1,490 1,674   8,735 10,066   \$ 10,225 11,740	(Dollars in Thousands)   \$ 356 350 \$   934 1,124 200 200   1,490 1,674 \$   8,735 10,066 \$   \$ 10,225 11,740 \$

The following is a summary of the activity in the Company s real estate and other assets owned for the six month period ending June 30, 2014:

			Activity D	U		
	Balance			Reduction in	Gain (Loss)	Balance
	12/31/2013	Foreclosures	Proceeds	Values	on Sale	6/30/2014
			(Dollars in	Thousands)		
One-to-four family mortgages	\$ 350	190	(178)	(5)	(1)	356
Land	1,124		(71)	(100)	(19)	934
Non-residential real estate	200					200
Total	\$1,674	190	(249)	(105)	(20)	1,490

The following is a summary of the activity in the Company s real estate and other assets owned for the year ended December 31, 2013:

	Activity During 2013						
				Reduction			
	Balance			in	Gain (Loss)	Balance	
	12/31/201	2 Foreclosures	Proceeds	Values	on Sale	12/31/2013	
			(Dollars in	Thousands)			
One-to-four family mortgages	\$ 258	1,052	(938)	(26)	4	350	
Construction	130		(110)	(110)	90		
Land	1,112	80		(68)		1,124	
Non-residential real estate	44	240	(60)	(11)	(13)	200	
Consumer assets	4	7	(5)	(4)	(2)		
Total	\$1,548	1,379	(1,113)	(219)	79	1,674	

## (7) INVESTMENTS IN AFFILIATED COMPANIES

Investments in affiliated companies accounted for under the equity method consist of 100% of the common stock of HopFed Capital Trust 1 ( Trust ), a wholly-owned statutory business trust. The Trust was formed on September 25, 2003. Summary financial information for the Trust follows (dollars in thousands):

Summary Statements of Financial Condition	At Ju	ne 30, 20 <b>A</b>	4 Decer	nber 31, 20	)13
Assets investment in subordinated debentures					
issued by HopFed Bancorp, Inc.	\$	10,310		10,310	
Liabilities					
Stockholder s equity trust preferred securities	5	10,000		10,000	
Common stock (100% Owned by HopFed					
Bancorp, Inc.)		310		310	
_					
Total stockholders equity	\$	10,310	\$	10,310	

## Summary Statement of Income

	111100 1110	nth Periods June 30,	5111101	nth Period June 30,
	2014	2013	2014	2013
Income interest income from subordinated debentures issued by HopFed Bancorp, Inc.	\$87	88	\$173	176
Net income	\$ 87	88	\$173	176

# Summary Statement of Stockholders Equity

	Trust Preferred Securities	Common Stock	Retained Earnings	Total Stockholders Equity
Beginning balances, December 31, 2013	\$ 10,000	310		10,310
Net income			173	173
Dividends:				
Trust preferred securities			(168)	(168)
Common paid to HopFed Bancorp, Inc.			(5)	(5)
Ending balances, June 30, 2014	\$ 10,000	310		10,310

#### (8) FAIR VALUE OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES

ASC 820-10, *Fair Value Measurements* defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about fair value. The statement establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable input and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value.

Level 1 is for assets and liabilities that management has obtained quoted prices (unadjusted for transaction cost) or identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the Company has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2 is for assets and liabilities in which significant unobservable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 is for assets and liabilities in which significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. The fair values of securities available for sale are determined by a matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique that is widely used in the industry to value debt securities without exclusively using quoted prices for the individual securities in the Company s portfolio but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted securities. Impaired loans are valued at the net present value of expected payments using the fair value of any assigned collateral. The values for bank owned life insurance are obtained from stated values from the respective insurance companies. The liability associated with the Company s derivative is obtained from a quoted value supplied by our correspondent banker. The value of real estate owned is obtained from appraisals completed on properties at the time of acquisition and annually thereafter.

# Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Recurring Basis

The assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2014, are summarized below:

Description	va coi balai	Total carrying lue in the nsolidated nce sheet at e 30, 2014	Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) (Dollars in Thousands)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets					
Available for sale securities	\$	331,639		330,150	1,489
Bank owned life insurance	\$	9,837		9,837	
Liabilities					
Interest rate swap	\$	585		585	

The assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2013, are summarized below:

Description	val cor balar	al carrying lue in the isolidated nee sheet at iber 31, 2013	Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) (Dollars in Thousands)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets					
Available for sale securities	\$	318,910		317,421	1,489
Bank owned life insurance	\$	9,677		9,677	
Liabilities					
Interest rate swap	\$	750		750	

The assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below for June 30, 2014:

Description	valı con: balan Ju	l carrying ue in the solidated ce sheet at une 30, 2014	Quoted Prices In Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) (Dollars in Thousands)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Uno I	gnificant bservable Inputs evel 3)		
Assets								
Other real estate and repossessed asset	\$	1,490			\$	1,490		
Impaired loans, net of reserve of \$1,546	\$	36,139			\$	36,139		
The assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below for December 31,								

Tl 2013:

		<b>Quoted Prices</b>	Significant		
	Total carrying	In Active	Other		
	value in the	Markets	Observable	Sig	gnificant
	consolidated	for	Inputs	Uno	bservable
	balance sheet at	Identical Assets	(Level	]	Inputs
Description	December 31, 2013	(Level 1)	2)	(L	evel 3)
		(Dollars			
		in			
		Thousands)			
Assets					
Other real estate and repossessed assets	\$1,674			\$	1,674
Impaired loans, net of reserve of \$1,929	\$40,715			\$	40,715

The table below includes a roll-forward of the consolidated condensed statement of financial condition items for the six month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, (including the change in fair value) for assets and liabilities classified by HopFed Bancorp, Inc. within level 3 of the valuation hierarchy for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. When a determination is made to classify an asset or liability within level 3 of the valuation hierarchy, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurement. However, since level 3 assets and liabilities typically include, in addition to the unobservable or level 3 components, observable components (that is components that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources), the gains and losses in the table below include changes in fair value due in part to observable factors that are part of the valuation methodology.

Six month period ended June 30,		2013 iabilities Other Assets Other Liabilities ollars in Thousands)
Fair value, January 1,	\$ 1,489	1,489
Change in unrealized losses included in other comprehensive income for assets and liabilities still held at June 30,		
Purchases, issuances and settlements, net		
Transfers in and/or out of Level 3		
Fair value, June 30,	\$ 1,489	1,489

The estimated fair values of financial instruments were as follows at June 30, 2014:

	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	In Act for	ted Prices ive Markets Identical Assets Level 1 s in Thousar	Observable Inputs Level 2	Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3
Financial Assets:						
Cash and due from banks	\$ 24,125	24,125	\$	24,125		
Interest-earning deposits	5,766	5,766		5,766		
Securities available for sale	331,639	331,639			330,150	1,489
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	4,428	4,428			4,428	
Loans held for sale	464	464				464
Loans receivable	537,763	541,610				541,610
Accrued interest receivable	4,518	4,518			4,518	
Bank owned life insurance	9,837	9,837			9,837	
Financial liabilities:						
Deposits	743,269	744,334			744,334	
Advances from borrowers for taxes and						
insurance	723	723			723	
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	40,776	44,189			44,189	
Repurchase agreements	51,125	51,690			51,690	
Subordinated debentures	10,310	10,099				10,099
Off-balance-sheet liabilities:						
Commitments to extend credit						
Commercial letters of credit						
Market value of interest rate swap	585	585			585	

The estimated fair values of financial instruments were as follows at December 31, 2013:

	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Quoted Prices In Active Market for Identical Assets Level 1 Dollars in Thousa	Observable Inputs Level 2	Significant Unobservable Inputs Level 3
Financial Assets:					
Cash and due from banks	\$ 37,229	37,229	\$ 37,229		
Interest-earning deposits	18,619	18,619	18,619		
Securities available for sale	318,910	318,910		317,421	1,489
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	4,428	4,428		4,428	
Loans receivable	543,632	546,319			546,319
Accrued interest receivable	5,233	5,233		5,233	
Bank owned life insurance	9,677	9,677		9,677	
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	762,997	763,605		763,605	
Advances from borrowers for taxes and					
insurance	521	521		521	
Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank	46,780	51,010		51,010	
Repurchase agreements	52,759	53,712		53,712	
Subordinated debentures	10,310	10,099			10,099
Off-balance-sheet liabilities:					
Commitments to extend credit					
Commercial letters of credit					
Market value of interest rate swap	750	750		750	

## (9) STOCK OPTIONS

At June 30, 2014, all stock options outstanding were issued under the HopFed Bancorp, Inc. 1999 Stock Option Plan and have expired.

## (10) DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

Under guidelines of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) ASC 815, *Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended, all derivative instruments are required to be carried at fair value on the consolidated statement of financial position. ASC 815 provides special hedge accounting provisions, which permit the change in fair value of the hedge item related to the risk being hedged to be recognized in earnings in the same period and in the same income statement line as the change in the fair value of the derivative.

A derivative instrument designated in a hedge relationship to mitigate exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges under ASC 815. Derivative instruments designated in a hedge relationship to mitigate exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Cash value hedges are accounted for by recording the fair value of the derivative instrument and the fair value related to the risk being hedged of the hedged asset or liability on the consolidated statement of financial position with corresponding offsets recorded in the consolidated statement of financial position.

The adjustment to the hedged asset or liability is included in the basis of the hedged item, while the fair value of the derivative is recorded as a freestanding asset or liability. Actual cash receipts or payments and related amounts accrued during the period on derivatives included in a fair value hedge relationship are recorded as adjustments to the income or expense recorded on the hedged asset or liability.

Under both the fair value and cash flow hedge methods, derivative gains and losses not effective in hedging the change in fair value or expected cash flows of the hedged item are recognized immediately in the income statement. At the hedge s inception and at least quarterly thereafter, a formal assessment is performed to determine whether changes in the fair values or cash flows of the derivative instrument has been highly effective in offsetting changes in the fair values or cash flows of the hedged items and whether they are expected to be highly effective in the future. If it is determined a derivative instrument has not been, or will not continue to be highly effective as a hedge, hedged accounting is discontinued. ASC 815 basis adjustments recorded on hedged assets and liabilities are amortized over the remaining life of the hedged item beginning no later than when hedge accounting ceases. There were no fair value hedging gains or losses, as a result of hedge ineffectiveness, recognized for the six month period ended June 30, 2014, or the year ended December 31, 2013.

In October of 2008, the Bank entered into an interest rate swap agreement for a term of seven years and an amount of \$10.0 million. The Bank will pay a fixed rate of 7.27% for seven years and receive an amount equal to the three-month London Interbank Lending Rate (LIBOR) plus 3.10%. The interest rate swap is classified as a cash flow hedge by the Bank and will be tested quarterly for effectiveness. At June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, the cost of the Bank to terminate the cash flow hedge was approximately \$585,000 and \$750,000, respectively.

## (11) REGULATORY CHANGES

On June 5, 2013, the Company announced that its wholly owned subsidiary, Heritage Bank, has completed its conversion from a federally chartered savings and loan to a state chartered commercial bank regulated by the Kentucky Department of Financial Institutions and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. In connection with the Bank s charter conversion, the Company has received approval from the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the Federal Reserve ) to convert our holding company from a savings and loan holding company to a bank holding company also with an effective date of June 5, 2013.

On July 2, 2013, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve Bank approved the final rule for BASEL III capital requirements for all commercial banks charted in the United States of America. The rule was subsequently approved by the FDIC on July 9, 2013. The rule will implement in the United States the Basel III regulatory capital reforms from the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision and certain changes required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act. Under the final rule, minimum requirements will increase for both the quantity and quality of capital held by banking organizations. Consistent with the international Basel framework, the rule includes a new minimum ratio of common equity tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of 4.5% and a common equity tier 1 capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets that will apply to all supervised financial institutions. The rule also raises the minimum ratio of tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4 percent to 6 percent and includes a minimum leverage ratio of 4 percent for all banking organizations. In addition, for the largest, most internationally active banking organizations, the final rule includes a new minimum supplementary leverage ratio that takes into account off-balance sheet exposures. The transition period for implementation of Basel III is January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of Basel III on our financial statements.

## (12) EFFECT OF NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

ASU 2011-11, *Balance Sheet (Topic 210)* Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities. ASU 2011-11 amends Topic 210, Balance Sheet, to require an entity to disclose both gross and net information about financial instruments, such as sales and repurchase agreements and reverse sale and repurchase agreements and securities borrowing/lending arrangements, and derivative instruments that are eligible for offset in the statement of financial position and/or subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement. ASU No. 2013-01, Balance Sheet (Topic 210) Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities, clarifies that ordinary trade receivables are not within the scope of ASU 2011-11. ASU 2011-11 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning on January 1, 2013, and did not have a material impact on the Company s financial statements.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards update No. 2011-05*. This update to Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) defers the requirement to present items that are reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to net income separately with their respective components of net income and other comprehensive income. The deferral supersedes only the paragraphs pertaining to how and where reclassification adjustments are presented. The amendments in this update were effective for public entities for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The implementation of ASU 2011-12 did not have a material impact on the Company s consolidated statement of comprehensive income.

ASU 2012-02, Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment. ASU 2012-02 give entities the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether the existence of events or circumstances leads to a determination that it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. If, after assessing the totality of events or circumstances, an entity determines it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired, then the entity must perform the quantitative impairment test. If, under the quantitative impairment test, the carrying amount of the intangible asset exceeds its fair value, an entity should recognize an impairment loss in the amount of that excess.

Permitting an entity to assess qualitative factors when testing indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment results in guidance that is similar to the goodwill impairment testing guidance in ASU 2011-08. ASU 2012-02 is effective for the Company s beginning January 1, 2013 (early adoption permitted) and is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company s financial statements.

ASU 2012-06, Business Combinations (Topic 805) Subsequent Accounting for an Indemnification Asset Recognized at the Acquisition Date as a Result of a Government-Assisted Acquisition of a Financial Institution (a consensus of the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force). ASU 2012-06 clarifies the applicable guidance for subsequently measuring an indemnification asset recognized as a result of a government-assisted acquisition of a financial institution.

Under ASU 2012-06, when a reporting entity recognizes an indemnification asset as a result of a government-assisted acquisition of a financial institution and, subsequently, a change in the cash flows expected to be collected on the indemnification asset occurs (as a result of a change in cash flows expected to be collected on the assets subject to indemnification), the reporting entity should subsequently account for the change in the measurement of the indemnification asset on the same basis as the change in the assets subject to indemnification. Any amortization of changes in value should be limited to the contractual term of the indemnification agreement (that is, the lesser of the term of the indemnification agreement and the remaining life of the indemnified assets). ASU 2012-06 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2013 and did not have a significant impact on the Corporation s financial statements.

ASU 2013-02, *Comprehensive Income (Topic 220) Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income*. ASU 2013-02 amends recent guidance related to the reporting of comprehensive income to enhance the reporting of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income. ASU 2013-02 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2013, and did not have a significant impact on the Company s financial statements.

In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-04, *Receivables-Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure*. These amendments are intended to clarify when a creditor should be considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan such that the loan should be derecognized and the real estate recognized. The amendments clarify that an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, and a creditor is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan, upon either: (1) the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property upon completion of residential foreclosure, or (2) the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. Additional disclosures about such activities are required by these amendments. The amendments in ASU 2014-04 become effective for public companies for annual periods and interim periods within those annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014, and early adoption is permitted. The Company is assessing the impact that these amendments will have on its financial position and results of operations, but does not currently anticipate that it will have a material impact.

On June 12, 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-11, which makes limited amendments to the guidance in ASC 860 on accounting for certain repurchase agreements (repos). ASU 2014-11 requires entities to account for repurchase-to-maturity transactions as secured borrowings (rather than as sales with forward repurchase agreements), (2) eliminates accounting guidance on linked repurchase financing transactions, and (3) expands disclosure requirements related to certain transfers of financial assets that are accounted for as sales and certain transfers (specifically, repos, securities lending transactions, and repurchase-to-maturity transactions) accounted for as secured borrowings.

ASU 2014-11 also amends ASC 860 to clarify that repos and securities lending transactions that do not meet all of the de-recognition criteria in ASC 860-10-40-5 should be accounted for as secured borrowings. In addition, the ASU provides examples of repurchase and securities lending arrangements that illustrate whether a transferror has maintained effective control over the transferred financial assets. For public business entities, the accounting changes are effective for the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2014. The Company is assessing the impact that these amendments will have on its financial position and results of operations.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies are not expected to have a material impact on the Company s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

## (13) Income Taxes

The Company and its subsidiaries file consolidated federal income tax returns and Tennessee excise tax returns. The Company and its non-bank subsidiaries filed consolidated Kentucky income tax returns. The Bank is exempt from Kentucky corporate income tax. The Company has no unrecognized tax benefits and has accrued any interest or penalties for uncertain tax positions.

The effective tax rate differs from the statutory federal rate of 35% and Tennessee excise rate of 6.50% due to investments in qualified municipal securities, bank owned life insurance, income apportioned to Kentucky and certain non-deductible expenses.

# Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies

The consolidated condensed financial statements as of June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, and for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, included herein have been prepared by the Company, without an audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in interim financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereon included in the Company s 2013 Annual Report to Stockholders on Form 10-K.

Certain of the Company s accounting policies are important to the portrayal of the Company s financial condition, since they require management to make difficult, complex or subjective judgments, some of which may relate to matters that are inherently uncertain. Estimates associated with these policies are susceptible to material changes as a result of changes in facts and circumstances. Facts and circumstances, which could affect these material judgments, include, but without limitation, changes in interest rates, in the performance of the economy or in the financial condition of borrowers. Management believes that its critical accounting policies include determining the allowance for loan losses, determining the fair value of securities and other financial instruments, and assessing other than temporary impairments of securities.

## Comparison of Financial Condition at June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013

At June 30, 2014, total assets declined \$23.7 million, to \$949.9 million as compared to \$973.6 million at December 31, 2013, due to lower levels of cash, time deposit and Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advances. Securities available for sale increased from \$318.9 million at December 31, 2013, to \$331.6 million at June 30, 2014. At June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, securities classified as available for sale had an amortized cost of \$326.7 million and \$320.3 million, respectively.

The Company s holdings of Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati (FHLB) stock, at cost was \$4.4 million at December 31, 2013, and June 30, 2014. Total Federal Home Loan Bank FHLB borrowings declined \$6.0 million, from \$46.8 million at December 31, 2013, to \$40.8 million at June 30, 2014. Total repurchase balances decreased from \$52.8 million at December 31, 2013, to \$51.1 million at June 30, 2014. Net loans totaled \$537.8 million and \$543.6 million at June 30, 2014, and December 31, 2013, respectively.

At June 30, 2014, deposits declined to \$743.3 million from \$763.0 million at December 31, 2013. At June 30, 2014, non-interest checking account balances are \$103.6 million, or 13.93% of total deposits as compared to \$105.3 million, or 13.79% of total deposits at December 31, 2013.

For the six month period ended June 30, 2014, interest bearing checking accounts and money market accounts increased by \$11.0 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, as compared to December 31, 2013. At June 30, 2014, time deposits were \$351.1 million, representing a \$30.9 million decline as compared to December 31, 2013. The average cost of all deposits during the six month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, was 0.78% and 1.04%, respectively. Management continually evaluates the investment alternatives available to customers and adjusts the pricing on its deposit products to more actively manage its funding costs while remaining competitive in its market area.

## Comparison of Operating Results for the Six Month Periods Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

**Net Income**. The Company s net income was \$1.3 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2014, as compared to net income of \$2.2 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2013. The decline in the Company s results for the six month period ended June, 2014, was partially the result of a \$1.2 million reduction in gains on the sale of available for sale securities.

**Net Interest Income**. Net interest income for the six month period ended June 30, 2014, was \$12.7 million, compared to \$12.6 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2013. The small increase in net interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to June 30, 2013, was due to a \$1.0 million decline in the total interest expenses. The decline in interest expense offset a \$900,000 decline in total interest income.

For the six months ended June 30, 2014, the average yield on loans was 4.79%, as compared to 5.16% for the six month period ended June 30 2013. For the six month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, income on taxable securities was \$3.5 million and \$3.6 million, respectively. For the six month period ending June 30, 2014, the tax equivalent yield on taxable and tax free securities were 2.63% and 4.82%, respectively, as compared to 2.53% and 4.56% for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

For the six month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, the Company s cost of interest bearing liabilities was 1.24% and 1.49%, respectively. The lower cost of interest bearing liabilities was the result of a \$51.9 million decline in average retail time deposits and the re-pricing of a significant percentage of the Company s time deposits in 2013. For the six month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, the Company s net interest margin was 3.01% and 2.94%, respectively.

Average Balances, Yields and Interest Expenses. The table on the next page summarizes the overall effect of changes in both interest rates and the average balances of interest earning assets and liabilities for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013. Yields on assets and cost of liabilities are derived by dividing income or expense by the average daily balances of interest earning assets and liabilities for the appropriate six-month periods.

Average balances for loans include loans classified as non-accrual, net of the allowance for loan losses. The table adjusts tax-free investment income by \$524,000 for June 30, 2014, and \$545,000 for June 30, 2013, for a tax equivalent rate using a cost of funds rate of 1.25% for June 30, 2014, and 1.50% for June 30, 2013. The table adjusts tax-free loan income by \$6,000 for the

six month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$4,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2013, respectively, for a tax equivalent rate using the same cost of funds rate:

	Average	Income and	Average	Average	Income and	Average
	Balance	Expense	Rates	Balance	Expense	Rates
	6/30/2014	6/30/2014	6/30/2014	6/30/2013	6/30/2013	6/30/2013
		(Table Amou	nts in Thousa	nds, Except	Percentages)	
Loans	\$ 535,830	12,836	4.79%	\$ 525,448	13,562	5.16%
Investments AFS taxable	264,596	3,473	2.63%	283,867	3,596	2.53%
Investment AFS tax free	66,303	1,599	4.82%	73,499	1,677	4.56%
Interest bearing deposits	11,225	14	0.25%	9,672	13	0.27%
Total interest earning assets	877,954	17,922	4.08%	892,486	18,848	4.22%
Other assets	81,908			79,201		
Total assets	\$959,862			\$ 971,687		
Interest bearing checking	189,518	682	0.72%	165,564	673	0.81%
Savings & MMDA	93,630	96	0.21%	83,367	70	0.17%
Retail time deposits	326,464	1,890	1.16%	378,326	2,877	1.52%
Brokered deposits	44,061	291	1.32%	46,390	362	1.56%
FHLB borrowings	43,775	862	3.94%	43,586	890	4.08%
Repurchase agreements	47,670	494	2.07%	40,595	472	2.33%
Subordinated debentures	10,310	377	7.31%	10,310	364	7.06%
Total interest bearing liabilities	755,428	4,692	1.24%	768,138	5,708	1.49%
Non-interest bearing deposits	101,987			93,857		
Other liabilities	4,525			4,944		
Stockholders equity	97,922			104,748		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 959,862			\$ 971,687		
Interest rate spread		13,230	2.84%		13,140	2.73%
Net interest margin		3.01%			2.94%	

**Interest Income**. For the six month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, the Company s total interest income was \$17.4 million and \$18.3 million, respectively. As the Company s loan demand remains soft, we continue to experience a decline in interest income on loans. For the six month period ended June 30, 2014, interest income on loans was \$12.8 million, a

\$728,000 decline as compared to the six month period ended June 30, 2013. The average balance of loans receivable increased from \$525.4 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2013, to \$535.8 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2014. The average yields on loans for the six month period ended June 30, 2014, was 4.79%, a 37 basis point decline in the average yield on loans as compared to the six month period ended June 30, 2013.

**Interest Expense**. Interest expense declined \$1.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the six month period ended June 30, 2013. The decline was attributable to the re-pricing of higher costing deposits, and a reduction in the average balance of time deposits and an increase in lower costing interest bearing checking accounts. The average cost of interest-bearing retail time deposits declined from 1.52% for the six month period ended June 30, 2013, to 1.16% for the six months ended June 30, 2014. Over the same period, the average balance of interest bearing retail time deposits declined \$51.8 million, from \$378.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, to \$326.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

The average cost of brokered deposits declined from 1.56% for the six months ended June 30, 2013, to 1.32% for the six months ended June 30, 2014. Over the same period, the average balance of brokered deposits declined \$2.3 million to \$44.1 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the six month period ended June 30, 2013. For the six month period ended June 30, 2014, the Company s total cost of deposits was 0.78% as compared to 1.04% for the six month period ended June 30, 2013.

The average balance of funds borrowed from the FHLB increased \$200,000, from \$43.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, to \$43.8 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2014. The average cost of borrowed funds from the FHLB were 4.08% for the six months ended June 30, 2013, and 3.94% for the six months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. The average balance of repurchase agreements increased from \$40.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, to \$47.7 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2014. The average cost of repurchase agreements was 2.33% for the six months ended June 30, 2013, and 2.07% for the six month period ended June 30, 2014.

**Provision for Loan Losses**. The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses based on management s evaluation of the risk inherent in its loan portfolio and the general economy. Such evaluation considers numerous factors including general economic conditions, loan portfolio composition and prior loss experience, the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral and other factors that warrant recognition in providing for an adequate loan loss allowance. The Company determined that an additional \$119,000 in provision for loan loss was required for the six month period ended June 30, 2014, compared to a \$782,000 provision for loan loss expense for the six month period ended June 30, 2013.

**Non-Interest Income**. There was a \$1.8 million decline in non-interest income in the six month period ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. The decline in non-interest income was largely the result of a \$1.2 million decline in gains realized on the sale of investments. For the six month period ended June 30, 2014, the Company earned \$191,000 in mortgage origination income as compared to \$412,000 during the six month period ended June 30, 2013.

The Company s income for services charges was \$1.6 million for the six month period ended June 30, 2014, compared to \$1.8 million for the same period in 2013. Likewise, the Company s financial services commission declined from \$644,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2013, to \$374,000 for the six month period ended June 30, 2014, due to the Company s sale of insurance assets in 2013.

**Non-Interest Expenses**. There was a \$400,000 increase in total non-interest expenses in the three-month period ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. The most significant change in non-interest expenses was a \$355,000 increase in bank franchise tax expense for the six month period ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the six month period ended June 30, 2013. The increase in bank franchise taxes was the result of the Company s recent charter conversion and changes in which type of investment securities may be used to reduce the Company s tax burden. For the six month period ended June 30, 2014, losses incurred on the sale of other assets owned and expenses incurred in the management of problem assets owned were \$125,000 and \$222,000, respectively, as compared to \$47,000 and \$108,000, respectively, for the six month period ended June 30, 2013. The increase is the result of legal expenses is involving customer bankruptcy filings.

**Income Taxes**. The effective tax rate for the six-month period ending June 30, 2014, and was 5.5% due to the level of tax free income and tax credits available to the Company. The effective tax rate for the six month period ended June 30, 2013, was 21.0%.

## Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Month Periods Ended June 30, 2014 and 2013.

**Net Income**. The Company s net income was \$925,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, as compared to net income of \$1.2 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2013. The decline in the Company s results for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, was partially the result of a \$883,000 reduction in non-interest income.

**Net Interest Income**. Net interest income for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, was \$6.4 million, compared to \$6.2 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2013. The increase in net interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to June 30, 2013, was due to a \$440,000 decline in the Company s total interest expense.

For the three months ended June 30, 2014, the average yield on loans was 4.83%, as compared to 5.06% for the three month period ended June 30, 2013. For the three month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, income on taxable investments were \$1.7 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. For the three month period ending June 30, 2014, the tax equivalent yield on taxable and tax free securities were 2.54% and 4.84%, respectively, as compared to 2.49% and 4.54% for the three-month period ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

For the three month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, the Company s cost of interest bearing liabilities was 1.26% and 1.47%, respectively. The lower cost of interest bearing liabilities was the result of a \$51.0 million decline in retail time deposits and the re-pricing of a significant percentage of the Company s time deposits in 2013. For the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, the Company s net interest margin was 3.02% and 2.90%, respectively.

Average Balances, Yields and Interest Expenses. The table on the next page summarizes the overall effect of changes in both interest rates and the average balances of interest earning assets and liabilities for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013. Yields on assets and cost of liabilities are derived by dividing income or expense by the average daily balances of interest earning assets and liabilities for the appropriate three-month periods.

Average balances for loans include loans classified as non-accrual, net of the allowance for loan losses. The table adjusts tax-free investment income by \$259,000 for June 30, 2014, and \$263,000 for June 30, 2013, for a tax equivalent rate using a cost of funds rate of 1.20% for June 30, 2014, and 1.50% for June 30, 2013. The table adjusts tax-free loan income by \$3,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, and \$2,000 for the three month period ended and June 30, 2013, respectively, for a tax equivalent rate using the same cost of funds rate:

	Average Balance 6/30/2014	Income and Expense 6/30/2014	Average Rates 6/30/2014	Average Balance 6/30/2013	Income and Expense 6/30/2013	Average Rates 6/30/2013
-		(Table Amou		-		<b>5</b> 060
Loans	\$ 538,895	6,506	4.83%	\$ 528,160	6,678	5.06%
Investments AFS taxable	266,815	1,694	2.54%	283,262	1,764	2.49%
Investment AFS tax free	65,323	790	4.84%	71,333	810	4.54%
Interest bearing deposits	9,899	6	0.24%	9,465	7	0.30%
Total interest earning assets	880,932	8,996	4.08%	892,220	9,259	4.15%
Other assets	76,307			73,757		
Total assets	\$957,239			\$ 965,977		
				1 )		
Retail time deposits	320,957	927	1.16%	371,908	1,378	1.48%
Brokered deposits	42,024	146	1.39%	45,688	178	1.56%
Savings & MMDA	93,932	54	0.23%	86,018	37	0.17%
Now accounts	194,863	361	0.74%	167,038	343	0.82%
FHLB borrowings	41,764	428	4.10%	43,612	446	4.09%
Repurchase agreements	45,997	245	2.13%	38,185	230	2.41%
Subordinated debentures	10,310	193	7.49%	10,310	182	7.06%
Total interest bearing liabilities	749,847	2,354	1.26%	762,759	2,794	1.47%
Non-interest bearing deposits	103,717			93,616		
Other liabilities	4,522			4,891		
Stockholders equity	99,153			104,711		
Total liabilities and stockholders	equity \$957,239			\$ 965,977		
Net interest income		6,642			6,465	
Interest rate spread			2.82%			2.68%
Net interest margin		3.02%			2.90%	

**Interest Income**. For the three month periods ended June 30, 2014, and June 30, 2013, the Company s total interest income was \$8.7 million and \$9.0 million, respectively. For the three month period ended June 30, 2014, interest income on loans was \$6.5 million, a \$173,000 decline as compared to the three month period ended June 30, 2013. The average balance of loans receivable increased from \$528.2 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2013, to \$538.9 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2013, to \$538.9 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2014. The ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities increased from 117.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2013, to 117.5% for the three months ended June 30, 2014.

**Interest Expense**. Interest expense declined \$440,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the three month period ended June 30, 2013. The decline was attributable to the re-pricing of higher costing deposits, and a reduction in the average balance of time deposits and an increase in lower costing interest bearing checking accounts. The average cost of interest-bearing retail time deposits declined from 1.48% for the three month period ended June 30, 2013, to 1.16% for the three months ended June 30, 2014. Over the same period, the average balance of interest bearing retail time deposits declined \$50.9 million, from \$371.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, to \$321.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2014.

The average balance cost of brokered deposits declined from 1.56% for the three months ended June 30, 2013, to 1.39% for the three months ended June 30, 2014. Over the same period, the average balance of brokered deposits declined \$3.7 million, to \$42.0 million, for the three month period ended June 30, 2014 as compared to the three month period ended June 30, 2013. For the three month period ended June 30, 2014, the Company s total cost of deposits was 0.79% as compared to 1.01% for the three month period ended June 30, 2013.

The average balance of funds borrowed from the FHLB declined by \$1.8 million, from \$43.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, to \$41.8 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2014. The average cost of borrowed funds from the FHLB was 4.09% for the three months ended June 30, 2013, and 4.10% for the three months ended June 30, 2014, respectively. The average balance of repurchase agreements increased from \$38.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2013, to \$46.0 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2014. The average cost of repurchase agreements was 2.41% for the three months ended June 30, 2013, and 2.13% for the three month period ended June 30, 2014.

**Provision for Loan Losses**. The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses based on management s evaluation of the risk inherent in its loan portfolio and the general economy. Such evaluation considers numerous factors including general economic conditions, loan portfolio composition and prior loss experience, the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral and other factors that warrant recognition in providing for an adequate loan loss allowance. The Company determined that it could record a \$261,000 reduction in the allowance for loan loss account during three month period ended June 30, 2014, compared to a \$406,000 provision for loan loss expense for the three month period ended June 30, 2013. The reduction in the allowance for loan loss account was the result of improved credit quality, enhanced economic trends, and lower levels of assets with specific reserves.

**Non-Interest Income**. There was a \$880,000 decline in non-interest income in the three month period ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. The decline in non-interest income was largely the result of a \$548,000 decline in gains realized on the sale of investments. For the three month period ended June 30, 2014, the Company earned \$133,000 in mortgage origination income as compared to \$212,000 during the three month period ended June 30, 2013. The Company s income for services charges was \$848,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, compared to \$937,000 for the same period in 2013. Likewise, the Company s financial services commission declined from \$347,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2013, to \$168,000 for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, due to the Company s sale of insurance assets in 2013.

**Non-Interest Expenses**. There was a \$323,000 increase in total non-interest expenses in the three-month period ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the same period in 2013. The most significant change in non-interest expenses was a \$251,000 increase in bank franchise tax expense for the three month period ended June 30, 2014, as compared to the three month period ended June 30, 2013. The increase in bank franchise taxes was the result of the Company s recent charter conversion and changes in which type of investment securities may be used to reduce the Company s tax burden. For the three month period ended June 30, 2014, losses incurred on the sale of other assets owned and expenses incurred in the management of problem assets owned were \$102,000 and \$92,000, respectively, as compared to \$12,000 and \$32,000, respectively, for the three month period ended June 30, 2013. The increase in losses on real estate owned is the result of a reduction in the carrying value of properties currently listed for sale by the Company.

**Income Taxes**. The effective tax rate for the three-month periods ending June 30, 2014, was 18.8%, resulting from a high level of tax free income and tax credits available to the Company. The effective tax rate for the three month period ended June 30, 2013, was 22.5%.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**. The Company has no business other than that of the Bank. Management believes that dividends that may be paid by the Bank to the Company will provide sufficient funds for its current needs. However, no assurance can be given that the Company will not have a need for additional funds in the future. The Bank is subject to certain regulatory limitations with respect to the payment of dividends to the Company. Currently, we are not required to seek approval for each cash common dividend payment to the Federal Reserve Bank or the Kentucky Department of Financial Institutions.

The Bank uses brokered deposits to supplement its asset liability need for longer term deposits at reasonable prices. In addition to the coupon rate listed below, brokered deposits carry an additional fee of approximately 0.25% that includes the cost of selling and servicing the deposits. The Company includes this cost as interest expense on its income statement and on its tables that provides the yields and cost of assets and liabilities for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2014.

At June 30, 2014, the Bank s brokered deposits consisted of the following:

Issue Date	Interest Rate	Balance	Maturity Date
8/11/2009	3.00%	5,095,000	8/11/2014
10/18/2013	0.30%	2,500,000	10/18/2014
7/9/2012	0.60%	3,159,000	1/9/2015
10/18/2013	0.35%	2,539,000	1/18/2015
7/27/2012	0.70%	3,590,000	7/27/2015
7/22/2013	0.65%	1,940,000	11/22/2015
12/21/2010	1.70%	805,000	12/21/2015
9/21/2012	0.60%	2,500,000	1/21/2016
7/9/2012	0.75%	2,309,000	3/9/2016
3/17/2011	2.25%	1,500,000	3/17/2016
7/22/2013	0.80%	2,000,000	7/22/2016
10/13/2011	1.35%	2,086,000	10/13/2016 <sup>(1)</sup>
3/9/2012	1.00%	3,044,000	12/9/2016(1)
7/9/2012	1.05%	1,446,000	1/9/2017 <sup>(1)</sup>
7/27/2012	0.75%	1,496,000	7/27/2017 <sup>(1)</sup>
1/3/2013	1.00%	3,030,000	1/3/2018
Total		\$39,039,000	

<sup>(1)</sup> Denotes brokered deposit with rising rate feature in which the Bank has a call option.

Presently, the Bank must satisfy three capital standards: a tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets ratio of 4.0%, a tier one capital to risk weighted asset ratio of 4.0%, and total capital to risk weighted assets ratio of 8.0%. At June 30, 2014, the Bank exceeded all regulatory capital requirements.

The table below presents certain information relating to the Company s and Bank s capital compliance at June 30, 2014:

	Actua	al	Minimum Require		Minimum To Capitalized Applica Regulatory P	l Under able
June 30, 2014	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio:						
Consolidated	\$107,195	11.34%	\$ 37,920	4.0%	\$ 47,400	5.0%
Heritage Bank	103,481	10.95%	37,806	4.0%	47,258	5.0%
Tier 1 Risk Based Capital Ratio:						
Consolidated	107,195	17.95%	24,005	4.0%	36,008	6.0%
Heritage Bank	103,481	17.34%	23,866	4.0%	35,799	6.0%
Total Risk Based Capital Ratio:						
Consolidated	114,664	19.20%	48,010	8.0%	60,013	10.0%
Heritage Bank	110,950	18.60%	47,732	8.0%	59,666	10.0%

Under Kentucky and federal banking regulations, the dividends the Bank can pay during any calendar year are generally limited to its profits for that year, plus its retained net profits for the two preceding years, less any required transfers to surplus or to fund the retirement of preferred stock or debt, absent approval of the respective state or federal banking regulators. FDIC regulations also require all insured depository institutions to remain in a safe and sound condition, as defined in regulations, as a condition of having federal deposit insurance.

At June 30, 2014, the Bank had no outstanding commitments to originate loans and undisbursed commitments on loans outstanding of \$23.0 million. Management believes that the Bank s sources of funds are sufficient to fund all of its outstanding commitments. Certificates of deposits scheduled to mature in one year or less from June 30, 2014, totaled \$151.0 million. Management believes that a significant percentage of such deposits will remain with the Bank.

The Bank s FHLB borrowings are secured by a blanket security agreement pledging the Bank s 1-4 family first mortgage loans and non-residential real estate loans. At June 30, 2014, the Bank has pledged all eligible 1-4 family first mortgages.

At June 30, 2014, the Bank has outstanding borrowings of \$40.8 million from the FHLB with maturities ranging from approximately 18 months to 4.5 years. A schedule of FHLB borrowings at June 30, 2014, is provided below:

C	Dutstanding			
	Balance	Rate	Maturity (Dollars in thousands	Note
	4,000	5.34%	03/17/16	
	7,000	4.25%	05/01/17	Quarterly callable
	10,000	4.56%	06/27/17	Quarterly callable
	10,000	4.26%	08/17/17	Quarterly callable
	9,776	3.13%	01/01/19	Monthly Principal Payments
	\$40,776	4.17%	3.25 years	Weighted average maturity

At June 30, 2014, the Bank had \$63.9 million in additional borrowing capacity with the FHLB which includes an overnight line of credit of \$30.0 million. The Bank has an \$8.0 million unsecured overnight borrowing capacity from a correspondent bank.

The Bank s exposure to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit and financial guarantees written is represented by the contractual notional amount of those instruments. The Bank uses the same credit policies in making these commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

At June 30, 2014, the Company had the following off-balance sheet commitments (in thousands):

Standby letters of credit	\$ 1,136
Unused home equity lines of credit	\$ 29,338
Unused commercial lines of credit	\$48,746
Unused unsecured personal lines of credit	\$ 22,111

## **Forward-Looking Statements**

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements. Additional written or oral forward-looking statements may be made by the Company from time to time in filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission or otherwise. The words believe, expect, seek, and intend and similar expressions identify forward-looking statement which speak only as of the date the statement is made. Such forward-looking statements are within the meaning of that term in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such statements may include, but are not limited to, projections of income or loss, expenditures, acquisitions, plans for future operations, financing needs or plans relating to services of the Company, as well as assumptions relating to the foregoing.

Forward-looking statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties, some of which cannot be predicted or quantified. Future events and actual results could differ materially from those set forth in, contemplated by or underlying the forward-looking statements. The Company does not undertake, and specifically disclaims, any obligation to publicly release the results of revisions, which may be made to forward-looking statements to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The actual results of the Company s asset liability management analysis are highly dependent on the prepayment speed of mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations. The United States Treasury s policy of purchasing longer dated Treasury bonds has the result of lowering mortgage loan rates, allowing more consumers to refinance their mortgages and pay-off their current mortgage, resulting in higher prepayment speeds on mortgage investment products.

The effects of rising interest rates are discussed throughout Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Actual results for the year ending December 31, 2014, will differ from simulations due to timing, magnitude, and the frequency or interest rate changes, market conditions, management strategies, and the timing of the Company s cash receipts and disbursements.

The Company s analysis at June 30, 2014, indicates that changes in interest rates are less likely to result in changes in the Company s annual net interest income. A summary of the Company s analysis at June 30, 2014, for the twelve month period ending June 30, 2015, is as follows:

	Down 1.00%	No change	Up 1.00%	Up 2.00%	Up 3.00%
	(Dollars In Thousands)				
Net interest income	\$27,013	\$ 27,011	\$ 26,741	\$ 26,531	\$ 26,431

# Item 4. Controls and Procedures Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

In accordance with Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ), an evaluation was carried out with the participation of the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the quarter ended June 30, 2014.

Based upon their evaluation of these disclosure controls and procedures, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the three months ended June 30, 2014, to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, was made known to them by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report on Form 10-Q was being prepared.

Any control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance that its objectives are achieved. The design of a control system inherently has limitations, including the controls cost relative to their benefits. Additionally, controls can be circumvented. No cost-effective control system can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud will be detected.

The Company is subject to Section 404 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Section 404 requires management to assess and report on the effectiveness of the Company s internal controls over financial reporting.

# **Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

There were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting during the Company s fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2014, that have materially affected, or are reasonable likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

# PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

# Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company currently has no material pending legal proceedings.

## **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

There have been no material changes to our risk factors as previously disclosed in Part 1, Item 1A of our annual report on Form 10K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

- (a) Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities. Not applicable
- (b) Use of Proceeds. Not applicable
- (c) Repurchase of Equity Securities

				Maximum Number of
			Total number	Shares that
			of shares	Yet may be
			Purchased	Purchased Under
	Total	Average	as part of	the Program
	Number of	Price Paid	Publically	at
	Shares	Per	Announced	the end of
Period	Purchased	Share	Programs	the period
April 1, 2014, to April 30, 2014	12,181	\$ 11.46	99,035	275,965
May 1, 2014, to May 31, 2014	16,902	\$ 11.44	115,937	259,063
June 1, 2014, to June 30, 2014	33,387	\$ 11.54	149,324	225,676
Total	62,470	\$ 11.49	149,324	225,676

# Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures Not Applicable

Item 5. Other Information None

## Item 6. Exhibits

31.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for John E. Peck, Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Billy C. Duvall, Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Certification Pursuant to Section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 for John E. Peck, Chief Executive Officer.
32.2	Certification Pursuant to Section 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 for Billy C. Duvall, Chief Financial Officer.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Definition Linkbase Document

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HOPFED BANCORP, INC.

Date: August 11, 2014

/s/ John E. Peck John E. Peck President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 11, 2014

/s/ Billy C. Duvall Billy C. Duvall Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer