F5 NETWORKS INC
Form 10-Q
February 07, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2012

OR

¨ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from_____to_____

Commission File Number 000-26041

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

WASHINGTON	91-1714307
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

401 Elliott Avenue West

Seattle, Washington 98119

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

(206) 272-5555

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x	Accelerated filer ¨
Non-accelerated filer ¨ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ¨ No x

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant s common stock as of February 1, 2013 was 78,590,957.

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2012

Table of Contents

	Page
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	3
Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)	3
Consolidated Balance Sheets December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012	3
Consolidated Income Statements Three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011	4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income Three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011	5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011	6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	17
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	29
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	30
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	30
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	30
Item 1A. Risk Factors	30
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	30
Item 6. Exhibits	31
SIGNATURES	32
Exhibit Index	

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(unaudited, in thousands)

	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 184,563	$ 211,181
Short-term investments	333,174	320,970
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of $3,309 and $3,254	209,078	185,172
Inventories	18,723	17,410
Deferred tax assets	10,335	10,362
Other current assets	35,916	30,986
Total current assets	791,789	776,081
Property and equipment, net	62,026	59,604
Long-term investments	771,300	662,803
Deferred tax assets	36,234	35,478
Goodwill	348,239	348,239
Other assets, net	28,064	28,996
Total assets	$ 2,037,652	$ 1,911,201
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	$ 32,221	$ 27,026
Accrued liabilities	117,413	86,409
Deferred revenue	379,944	352,594
Total current liabilities	529,578	466,029
Other long-term liabilities	21,163	21,078
Deferred revenue, long-term	100,612	94,694
Total long-term liabilities	121,775	115,772
Commitments and contingencies (Note 5)		
Shareholders equity		
Preferred stock, no par value; 10,000 shares authorized, no shares outstanding		
Common stock, no par value; 200,000 shares authorized, 78,574 and 78,715 shares issued and outstanding	315,039	326,922
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(4,540)	(3,829)
Retained earnings	1,075,800	1,006,307
Total shareholders equity	1,386,299	1,329,400

Total liabilities and shareholders equity	$ 2,037,652	$ 1,911,201

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED INCOME STATEMENTS

(unaudited, in thousands, except per share data)

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Net revenues		
Products	$ 204,712	$ 196,554
Services	160,739	125,878
Total	365,451	322,432
Cost of net revenues		
Products	31,792	33,200
Services	29,093	22,406
Total	60,885	55,606
Gross profit	304,566	266,826
Operating expenses		
Sales and marketing	122,268	106,238
Research and development	48,541	39,122
General and administrative	24,673	21,677
Total	195,482	167,037
Income from operations	109,084	99,789
Other income, net	1,550	1,861
Income before income taxes	110,634	101,650
Provision for income taxes	41,141	35,158
Net income	$ 69,493	$ 66,492
Net income per share basic	$ 0.88	$ 0.84
Weighted average shares basic	78,789	79,272
Net income per share diluted	$ 0.88	$ 0.83
Weighted average shares diluted	79,278	79,822

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(unaudited, in thousands)

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Net income	$ 69,493	$ 66,492
Other comprehensive income:		
Foreign currency translation adjustment	(257)	(263)
Unrealized loss on securities, net of tax	(453)	(87)
Total other comprehensive income	(710)	(350)
Comprehensive income	$ 68,783	$ 66,142

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(unaudited, in thousands)

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Operating activities		
Net income	$ 69,493	$ 66,492
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Realized loss on disposition of assets and investments	26	579
Stock-based compensation	26,710	22,123
Provisions for doubtful accounts and sales returns	349	415
Depreciation and amortization	9,934	5,822
Deferred income taxes	(1,265)	(598)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(24,256)	(22,601)
Inventories	(1,313)	(344)
Other current assets	(4,979)	(3,879)
Other assets	428	562
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	36,411	26,576
Deferred revenue	33,268	36,732
Net cash provided by operating activities	144,806	131,879
Investing activities		
Purchases of investments	(313,114)	(262,499)
Maturities of investments	165,193	199,102
Sales of investments	23,020	1,886
Increase in restricted cash	(728)	(3)
Purchases of property and equipment	(7,788)	(5,857)
Net cash used in investing activities	(133,417)	(67,371)
Financing activities		
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	503	1,399
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options and purchases of stock under employee stock purchase plan	11,583	9,577
Repurchase of common stock	(50,000)	(34,473)
Net cash used in financing activities	(37,914)	(23,497)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(26,525)	41,011
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(93)	(307)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	211,181	216,784
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	$ 184,563	$ 257,488

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Description of Business

F5 Networks, Inc. (the Company) provides products and services to help companies manage their Internet Protocol (IP) traffic and file storage infrastructure efficiently and securely. The Company s application delivery networking products improve the performance, availability and security of applications on Internet-based networks. Internet traffic between network-based applications and clients passes through these devices where the content is inspected to ensure that it is safe and modified as necessary to ensure that it is delivered securely and in a way that optimizes the performance of both the network and the applications. The Company s storage virtualization products simplify and reduce the cost of managing files and file storage devices, and ensure fast, secure, easy access to files for users and applications. With the purchase of Traffix Communication Systems Ltd. (Traffix Systems) in February 2012, the Company acquired a line of Diameter signaling products that enable full connectivity, enhanced scalability, and comprehensive control for telecommunications operators. These products enable operators to control their signaling networks effectively in the migration to next-generation networks and in future expansion of their subscriber bases and service portfolios. The Company also offers a broad range of services that include consulting, training, maintenance and other technical support services.

Basis of Presentation

The year-end condensed consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In the opinion of management, the unaudited consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for their fair statement in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements have been condensed or omitted in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information included in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

Certain prior year amounts, specifically relating to cash flows in connection with the disposition of investments, have been reclassified from sales of investments to maturities of investments to conform to the current year presentation in the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. There was no change to the net cash used in investing activities as a result of this reclassification. This reclassification did not affect total revenue, operating income or net income.

Revenue Recognition

The Company sells products through distributors, resellers, and directly to end users. Revenue is recognized provided that all of the following criteria have been met:

Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists. Evidence of an arrangement generally consists of a purchase order issued pursuant to the terms and conditions of a distributor, reseller or end user agreement.

Delivery has occurred. The Company uses shipping or related documents, or written evidence of customer acceptance, when applicable, to verify delivery or completion of any performance terms.

The sales price is fixed or determinable. The Company assesses whether the sales price is fixed or determinable based on payment terms associated with the transaction and whether the sales price is subject to refund or adjustment.

Collectability is reasonably assured. The Company assesses collectability primarily based on the creditworthiness of the customer as determined by credit checks and related analysis, as well as the Customer s payment history.

Revenue from the sale of products is generally recognized when the product has been shipped and the customer is obligated to pay for the product. When rights of return are present and the Company cannot estimate returns, revenue is recognized when such rights of return lapse. In certain regions where the Company does not have the ability to reasonably estimate returns, the Company defers

Table of Contents

revenue on sales to its distributors until information is received from the channel partner indicating that the product has been sold to the end-user customer. Payment terms to domestic customers are generally net 30 days to net 45 days. Payment terms to international customers range from net 30 days to net 120 days based on normal and customary trade practices in the individual markets. The Company offers extended payment terms to certain customers, in which case, revenue is recognized when payments are due.

Revenues for post-contract customer support (PCS) are recognized on a straight-line basis over the service contract term. PCS includes a limited period of telephone support updates, repair or replacement of any failed product or component that fails during the term of the agreement, bug fixes and rights to upgrades, when and if available. Consulting services are customarily billed at fixed hourly rates, plus out-of-pocket expenses, and revenues are recognized when the consulting has been completed. Training revenue is recognized when the training has been completed.

The majority of the Company s products are hardware appliances which contain software essential to the overall functionality of the products. Hardware appliances are generally sold with PCS and on occasion, with consulting and/or training services. Arrangement consideration in such multiple element transactions is allocated to each element based on a fair value hierarchy, where the selling price for an element is based on vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE), if available, third-party evidence (TPE), if available and VSOE is not available; or the best estimate of selling price (BESP), if neither VSOE or TPE is available.

For sales of nonessential and stand-alone software, the Company allocates revenue for arrangements with multiple elements based on software revenue recognition guidance. Software revenue recognition guidance requires revenue earned on software arrangements involving multiple elements to be allocated to each element based on the relative fair values of those elements. The fair value of an element must be based on VSOE. Where fair value of delivered elements is not available, revenue is recognized on the residual method based on the fair value of undelivered elements. If evidence of fair value of one or more undelivered elements does not exist, all revenue is deferred and recognized at the earlier of the delivery of those elements or the establishment of fair value of the remaining undelivered elements.

The Company establishes VSOE for its products, PCS, consulting and training services based on the sales price charged for each element when sold separately. The sales price is discounted from the applicable list price based on various factors including the type of customer, volume of sales, geographic region and program level. The Company s list prices are generally not fair value as discounts may be given based on the factors enumerated above. The Company uses historical sales transactions to determine whether VSOE can be established for each of the elements. In most instances, VSOE of fair value is the sales price of actual standalone (unbundled) transactions within the past 12 month period, when a substantial majority of transactions are priced within a narrow range, which the Company has determined to be plus or minus 15% of the median sales price.

The Company believes that the VSOE of fair value of training and consulting services is represented by the billable rate per hour, based on the rates charged to customers when they purchase standalone training or consulting services. The price of consulting services is not based on the type of customer, volume of sales, geographic region or program level.

The Company is typically not able to determine TPE for its products or services. TPE is determined based on competitor prices for similar elements when sold separately. Generally, the Company s go-to-market strategy differs from that of other competitive products or services in its markets and the Company s offerings contain a significant level of differentiation such that the comparable pricing of products with similar functionality cannot be obtained. Furthermore, the Company is unable to reliably determine the selling prices on a stand-alone basis of similar products offered by its competitors.

When the Company is unable to establish selling price using VSOE or TPE, the Company uses BESP in its allocation of arrangement consideration. The objective of BESP is to determine the price at which the Company would transact a sale if the product or service were sold on a stand-alone basis. The Company is generally not able to establish VSOE for non-software product sales. Instead, the Company has been able to establish BESP through the list price, less a discount deemed appropriate to maintain a reasonable gross margin. Management regularly reviews the gross margin information. Non-software product BESP is determined through the Company s review of historical sales transactions within the past 12 month period. Additional factors considered in determining an appropriate BESP include, but are not limited to, cost of products, pricing practices, geographies, customer classes, and distribution channels.

The Company regularly validates the VSOE of fair value and BESP for elements in its multiple element arrangements. The Company accounts for taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities on a net basis and excluded from revenues.

Table of Contents

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess purchase price over the estimated fair value of net assets acquired as of the acquisition date. The Company tests goodwill for impairment on an annual basis and between annual tests when impairment indicators are identified, and goodwill is written down when impaired. Goodwill was recorded in connection with the acquisition of Traffix Systems in fiscal year 2012, Acopia Networks, Inc. in fiscal year 2007, Swan Labs, Inc. in fiscal year 2006, MagniFire Websystems, Inc. in fiscal year 2004 and uRoam, Inc. in fiscal year 2003. The Company performs its annual goodwill impairment test during the second fiscal quarter.

In September 2011, the FASB approved changes to the goodwill impairment guidance which are intended to reduce the cost and complexity of the annual impairment test. The changes provide entities the option to perform a qualitative assessment to determine whether further impairment testing is necessary. If an entity believes, as a result of its qualitative assessment, that it is more-likely-than-not (i.e. greater than 50% chance) that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the quantitative impairment test will be required. Otherwise, no further testing will be required.

The revised guidance includes examples of events and circumstances that might indicate that a reporting unit s fair value is less than its carrying amount. These include macro-economic conditions such as deterioration in the entity s operating environment or industry or market considerations; entity-specific events such as increasing costs, declining financial performance, or loss of key personnel; or other events such as an expectation that a reporting unit will be sold or a sustained decrease in the stock price on either an absolute basis or relative to peers.

The changes are effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. However, earlier adoption is permitted. The Company opted to early adopt this guidance for its annual goodwill impairment test performed in the second quarter of fiscal 2012.

If it is determined, as a result of the qualitative assessment, that it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, the provisions of authoritative guidance require that the Company perform a two-step impairment test on goodwill. The first step of the test identifies whether potential impairment may have occurred, while the second step of the test measures the amount of the impairment, if any. Impairment is recognized when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its fair value. For its annual goodwill impairment analysis, the Company operates under one reporting unit and determines the fair value of its reporting unit based on the Company s enterprise value. In March 2012, the Company completed a qualitative assessment of potential impairment indicators and concluded that it was more-likely-than-not that the fair value of its reporting unit exceeded its carrying amount. The Company also considered potential impairment indicators at December 31, 2012 and noted no indicators of impairment.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation using the straight-line attribution method for recognizing compensation expense. The Company recognized $26.7 million and $22.1 million of stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of December 31, 2012, there was $138.3 million of total unrecognized stock-based compensation cost, the majority of which will be recognized over the next two years. Going forward, stock-based compensation expenses may increase as the Company issues additional equity-based awards to continue to attract and retain key employees.

The Company issues incentive awards to its employees through stock-based compensation consisting of restricted stock units (RSUs). The value of RSUs is determined using the fair value method, which in this case, is based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of the Company s common stock on the date of grant.

The Company recognizes compensation expense for only the portion of restricted stock units that are expected to vest. Therefore, the Company applies estimated forfeiture rates that are derived from historical employee termination behavior. Based on historical differences with forfeitures of stock-based awards granted to the Company s executive officers and Board of Directors versus grants awarded to all other employees, the Company has developed separate forfeiture expectations for these two groups. The Company s estimated forfeiture rate in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013 is 5.3% for grants awarded to the Company s executive officers and Board of Directors, and 7.6% for grants awarded to all other employees. If the actual number of forfeitures differs from those estimated by management, additional adjustments to compensation expense may be required in future periods.

In November 2012, the Company granted 290,415 RSUs to certain current executive officers as part of the annual equity awards program. Fifty percent of the aggregate number of RSUs vest in equal quarterly increments over four years, until such portion of the grant is fully vested on November 1, 2016. One-eighth of the RSU grant, or a portion thereof, is subject to the Company achieving

Table of Contents

specified quarterly revenue and EBITDA goals during fiscal year 2013. In each case, 50% of the quarterly performance stock grant is based on achieving at least 80% of the quarterly revenue goal and the other 50% is based on achieving at least 80% of the quarterly EBITDA goal. The quarterly performance stock grant is paid linearly above 80% of the targeted goals. At least 100% of both goals must be attained in order for the quarterly performance stock grant to be awarded over 100%. Each goal is evaluated individually and subject to the 80% achievement threshold and 100% over-achievement threshold. The remaining 37.5% of this annual equity awards RSU grant shall be subject to quarterly performance based vesting for fiscal years 2014, 2015 and 2016 (12.5% in each period). The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors will set applicable performance targets and vesting formulas for each of these periods.

In November 2011, as part of the annual review of executive compensation by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors and a change in the grant date for the Company s annual equity awards program for the executive officers from August 1 to November 1, the Company granted 82,968 RSUs to certain current executive officers. Fifty percent of the aggregate number of RSUs vest in equal quarterly increments over three years, until such portion of the grant is fully vested on November 1, 2014. One-sixth of the RSU grant, or a portion thereof, was subject to the Company achieving specified quarterly revenue and EBITDA goals during fiscal year 2012. In each case, 50% of the quarterly performance stock grant is based on achieving at least 80% of the quarterly revenue goal and the other 50% is based on achieving at least 80% of the quarterly EBITDA goal. The quarterly performance stock grant is paid linearly above 80% of the targeted goals. At least 100% of both goals must be attained in order for the quarterly performance stock grant to be awarded over 100%. Each goal is evaluated individually and subject to the 80% achievement threshold and 100% over-achievement threshold. The remaining 33.33% of this annual equity awards RSU grant shall be subject to quarterly performance based vesting for fiscal years 2013 and 2014 (16.66% in each period). The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors will set applicable performance targets and vesting formulas for each of these periods.

In August 2011, the Company granted 170,390 RSUs to certain current executive officers as part of the annual equity awards program. Fifty percent of the aggregate number of RSUs granted as part of the annual equity awards program vest in equal quarterly increments over three years, until such portion of the grant is fully vested on August 1, 2014. One-sixth of the annual equity awards RSU grant, or a portion thereof, was subject to the Company achieving specified quarterly revenue and EBITDA goals during the period beginning in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2011 through the third quarter of fiscal year 2012. In each case, 50% of the quarterly performance stock grant is based on achieving at least 80% of the quarterly revenue goal and the other 50% is based on achieving at least 80% of the quarterly EBITDA goal. The quarterly performance stock grant is paid linearly above 80% of the targeted goals. At least 100% of both goals must be attained in order for the quarterly performance stock grant to be awarded over 100%. Each goal is evaluated individually and subject to the 80% achievement threshold and 100% over-achievement threshold. The remaining 33.33% of this annual equity awards RSU grant shall be subject to performance based vesting for each of the four quarter periods beginning with the fourth quarters of fiscal years 2012 and 2013 (16.66% in each period). The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors will set applicable performance targets and vesting formulas for each of these periods.

In August 2010, the Company granted 181,334 and 83,000 RSUs to certain current executive officers as part of the annual equity and retention awards programs, respectively. Fifty percent of the aggregate number of RSUs granted as part of the annual equity awards program vest in equal quarterly increments over three years, until such portion of the grant is fully vested on August 1, 2013. One-sixth of the annual equity awards RSU grant, or a portion thereof, was subject to the Company achieving specified quarterly revenue and EBITDA goals during the period beginning in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2010 through the third quarter of fiscal year 2011. In each case, 50% of the quarterly performance stock grant is based on achieving at least 80% of the quarterly revenue goal and the other 50% is based on achieving at least 80% of the quarterly EBITDA goal. The quarterly performance stock grant is paid linearly above 80% of the targeted goals. At least 100% of both goals must be attained in order for the quarterly performance stock grant to be awarded over 100%. Each goal is evaluated individually and subject to the 80% achievement threshold and 100% over-achievement threshold. The remaining 33.33% of this annual equity awards RSU grant shall be subject to performance based vesting for each of the four quarter periods beginning with the fourth quarters of fiscal years 2011 and 2012 (16.66% in each period). The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors will set applicable performance targets and vesting formulas for each of these periods. All RSUs granted as part of the retention awards program fully vest on August 1, 2013.

The Company recognizes compensation costs for awards with performance conditions when it concludes it is probable that the performance condition will be achieved. The Company reassesses the probability of vesting at each balance sheet date and adjusts compensation costs based on the probability assessment.

Table of Contents

Common Stock Repurchase

On October 25, 2011, the Company announced that its Board of Directors authorized an additional $200 million for its common stock share repurchase program. This new authorization is incremental to the existing $400 million program, initially approved in October 2010 and expanded in August 2011. Acquisitions for the share repurchase programs will be made from time to time in private transactions or open market purchases as permitted by securities laws and other legal requirements. The programs can be terminated at any time. As of February 1, 2013, the Company had repurchased and retired 9,763,717 shares at an average price of $68.73 per share and the Company had $128.5 million remaining to purchase shares as part of its repurchase programs.

Earnings Per Share

Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common and dilutive common stock equivalent shares outstanding during the period. The Company s nonvested restricted stock awards and restricted stock units do not have nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Numerator		
Net income	$ 69,493	$ 66,492
Denominator		
Weighted average shares outstanding basic	78,789	79,272
Dilutive effect of common shares from stock options and restricted stock units	489	550
Weighted average shares outstanding diluted	79,278	79,822
Basic net income per share	$ 0.88	$ 0.84
Diluted net income per share	$ 0.88	$ 0.83

An immaterial amount of common shares potentially issuable from stock options for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, are excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because the exercise price was greater than the average market price of common stock for the respective period.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes certain changes in equity that are excluded from net income. Specifically, unrealized gains (losses) on securities and foreign currency translation adjustments are included in accumulated other comprehensive loss.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, *Comprehensive Income, Presentation of Comprehensive Income* (ASU 2011-05), which eliminates the option of presenting other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders equity and instead requires the entity to present other comprehensive income as either a single statement of comprehensive income combined with net income or as two separate but continuous statements. The amendments in this standard are to be applied retrospectively and are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted ASU 2011-05 in the first quarter of fiscal 2013. Although the new guidance amends the presentation of comprehensive income, there are no changes to the components that are recognized in net income or other comprehensive income under existing guidance.

In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, *Comprehensive Income, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05* (ASU 2011-12), which defers the changes in ASU 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments to other comprehensive income. No other requirements in ASU 2011-05 are affected by this deferral. Similar to ASU 2011-05, the Company adopted ASU 2011-12 in the first quarter of fiscal 2013. The adoption of ASU 2011-12 did not have an impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

2. Fair Value Measurements

In accordance with the authoritative guidance on fair value measurements and disclosure under GAAP, the Company determines fair value using a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity, and the reporting entity s own assumptions about market participant assumptions developed based on the best information available in the circumstances and expands disclosure about fair value measurements.

Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date, essentially the exit price.

The levels of fair value hierarchy are:

Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities at the measurement date that the Company has the ability to access.

Level 2: Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data available. These inputs reflect management s assumptions of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

Level 1 investments are valued based on quoted market prices in active markets and include the Company s cash equivalent investments. Level 2 investments, which include investments that are valued based on quoted prices in markets that are not active, broker or dealer quotations, actual trade data, benchmark yields or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency, include the Company s certificates of deposit, corporate bonds and notes, municipal bonds and notes, U.S. government securities and U.S. government agency securities. Fair values for the Company s level 2 investments are based on similar assets without applying significant judgments. In addition, all of the Company s level 2 investments have a sufficient level of trading volume to demonstrate that the fair values used are appropriate for these investments.

A financial instrument s level within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement. However, the determination of what constitutes observable requires significant judgment by the Company. The Company considers observable data to be market data which is readily available, regularly distributed or updated, reliable and verifiable, not proprietary, and provided by independent sources that are actively involved in the relevant market.

The Company s financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis subject to the disclosure requirements at December 31, 2012, were as follows (in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using			
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Securities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Fair Value at December 31, 2012
Cash equivalents		$ 20,459	$	$	$ 20,459
Short-term investments					
Available-for-sale securities	certificates of deposit		3,515		3,515
Available-for-sale securities	corporate bonds and notes		194,238		194,238
Available-for-sale securities	municipal bonds and notes		62,379		62,379
Available-for-sale securities	U.S. government agency securities		73,042		73,042
Long-term investments					
Available-for-sale securities	corporate bonds and notes		292,964		292,964
Available-for-sale securities	municipal bonds and notes		22,022		22,022
Available-for-sale securities	U.S. government securities		4,994		4,994

Available-for-sale securities	U.S. government agency securities		446,720		446,720
Available-for-sale securities	auction rate securities			4,600	4,600
Total		$ 20,459	$ 1,099,874	$ 4,600	$ 1,124,933

Table of Contents

The Company s financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis subject to the disclosure requirements at September 30, 2012, were as follows (in thousands):

		Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using			
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Securities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Fair Value at September 30, 2012
Cash equivalents		$ 35,658	$	$	$ 35,658
Short-term investments					
Available-for-sale securities	certificates of deposit		3,533		3,533
Available-for-sale securities	corporate bonds and notes		193,990		193,990
Available-for-sale securities	municipal bonds and notes		63,422		63,422
Available-for-sale securities	U.S. government agency securities		60,025		60,025
Long-term investments					
Available-for-sale securities	corporate bonds and notes		229,441		229,441
Available-for-sale securities	municipal bonds and notes		30,307		30,307
Available-for-sale securities	U.S. government securities		4,995		4,995
Available-for-sale securities	U.S. government agency securities		393,310		393,310
Available-for-sale securities	auction rate securities			4,750	4,750
Total		$ 35,658	$ 979,023	$ 4,750	$ 1,019,431

Due to the auction failures of the Company s auction rate securities (ARS) that began in the second quarter of fiscal year 2008, there are still no quoted prices in active markets for similar assets as of December 31, 2012. Therefore, the Company has classified its ARS as level 3 financial assets. The following table provides a reconciliation between the beginning and ending balances of items measured at fair value on a recurring basis in the table above that used significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) (in thousands):

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Balance, beginning of period	$ 4,750	$ 13,010
Total (losses) gains realized or unrealized:		
Included in other comprehensive income	(150)	54
Balance, end of period	$ 4,600	$ 13,064
Unrealized (losses) gains attributable to assets still held as of end of period	(150)	54

Financial assets are considered Level 3 when their fair values are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar techniques and at least one significant model assumption or input is unobservable or there is limited market activity such that the determination of fair value requires significant judgment or estimation. Level 3 investment securities primarily include certain ARS for which there was a decrease in the observation of market pricing. At December 31, 2012, the values of these securities were estimated primarily using discounted cash flow analysis that incorporated transaction details such as contractual terms, maturity, timing and amount of future cash flows, as well as assumptions about liquidity and credit valuation adjustments of marketplace participants at December 31, 2012. Significant fluctuations in any of these inputs in isolation would result in changes in the fair value of the Company s ARS.

Table of Contents

The Company uses the fair value hierarchy for financial assets and liabilities. The Company s non-financial assets and liabilities, which include goodwill, intangible assets, and long-lived assets, are not required to be carried at fair value on a recurring basis. These non-financial assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis when there is an indicator of impairment, and they are recorded at fair value only when impairment is recognized. The Company reviews goodwill and intangible assets for impairment annually, during the second quarter of each fiscal year, or as circumstances indicate the possibility of impairment. The Company monitors the carrying value of long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate its carrying amount may not be recoverable. During the three months ended December 31, 2012, the Company did not recognize any impairment charges related to goodwill, intangible assets, or long-lived assets.

3. Short-Term and Long-Term Investments

Short-term investments consist of the following (in thousands):

December 31, 2012	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Certificates of deposit	$ 3,513	$ 2	$	$ 3,515
Corporate bonds and notes	193,854	401	(17)	194,238
Municipal bonds and notes	62,278	113	(12)	62,379
U.S. government agency securities	73,045	15	(18)	73,042
	$ 332,690	$ 531	$ (47)	$ 333,174

September 30, 2012	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Certificates of deposit	$ 3,528	$ 5	$	$ 3,533
Corporate bonds and notes	193,548	482	(40)	193,990
Municipal bonds and notes	63,371	61	(10)	63,422
U.S. government agency securities	60,010	15		60,025
	$ 320,457	$ 563	$ (50)	$ 320,970

Long-term investments consist of the following (in thousands):

December 31, 2012	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
Corporate bonds and notes	$ 292,374	$ 896	$ (306)	$ 292,964
Municipal bonds and notes	21,969	59	(6)	22,022
Auction rate securities	5,000		(400)	4,600
U.S. government securities	4,985	9		4,994
U.S. government agency securities	446,416	394	(90)	446,720
	$ 770,744	$ 1,358	$ (802)	$ 771,300

September 30, 2012	Cost or Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value

Corporate bonds and notes	$ 228,438	$ 1,063	$ (60)	$ 229,441
Municipal bonds and notes	30,177	138	(8)	30,307
Auction rate securities	5,000		(250)	4,750
U.S. government securities	4,983	12		4,995
U.S. government agency securities	392,959	389	(38)	393,310
	$ 661,557	$ 1,602	$ (356)	$ 662,803

Table of Contents

The amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturities at December 31, 2012, by contractual years-to-maturity, are presented below (in thousands):

	Cost or Amortized Cost	Fair Value
One year or less	$ 332,690	$ 333,174
Over one year	770,744	771,300
	$ 1,103,434	$ 1,104,474

The following table summarizes investments that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months and those that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for more than 12 months as of December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Less Than 12 Months		12 Months or Greater		Total	
December 31, 2012	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
Corporate bonds and notes	$ 152,461	$ (320)	$ 3,006	$ (3)	$ 155,467	$ (323)
Municipal bonds and notes	18,351	(18)			18,351	(18)
Auction rate securities			4,600	(400)	4,600	(400)
U.S. government agency securities	153,556	(108)			153,556	(108)
Total	$ 324,368	$ (446)	$ 7,606	$ (403)	$ 331,974	$ (849)

The Company invests in securities that are rated investment grade or better. The unrealized losses on investments for the first three months of fiscal year 2013 were primarily caused by reductions in the values of the ARS due to the illiquid markets.

ARS are variable-rate debt securities. The Company limits its investments in ARS to securities that carry an AAA/A- (or equivalent) rating from recognized rating agencies and limits the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer. At the time of the Company s initial investment and at the date of this report, all ARS were in compliance with the Company s investment policy. In the past, the auction process allowed investors to obtain immediate liquidity if so desired by selling the securities at their face amounts. Liquidity for these securities has historically been provided by an auction process that resets interest rates on these investments on average every 7- 35 days. However, as has been reported in the financial press, the disruptions in the credit markets adversely affected the auction market for these types of securities. The Company does not intend to sell nor is it more likely than not that it will be required to sell these investments before their anticipated recovery.

4. Inventories

The Company outsources the manufacturing of its pre-configured hardware platforms to contract manufacturers, who assemble each product to the Company s specifications. As protection against component shortages and to provide replacement parts for its service teams, the Company also stocks limited supplies of certain key product components. The Company reduces inventory to net realizable value based on excess and obsolete inventories determined primarily by historical usage and forecasted demand. Inventories consist of hardware and related component parts and are recorded at the lower of cost or market (as determined by the first-in, first-out method).

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands):

	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012
Finished goods	$ 14,596	$ 13,565

Raw materials	4,127	3,845
	$ 18,723	$ 17,410

Table of Contents

5. Commitments and Contingencies

Guarantees and Product Warranties

In the normal course of business to facilitate sales of its products, the Company indemnifies other parties, including customers, resellers, lessors, and parties to other transactions with the Company, with respect to certain matters. The Company has agreed to hold the other party harmless against losses arising from a breach of representations or covenants, or out of intellectual property infringement or other claims made against certain parties. These agreements may limit the time within which an indemnification claim can be made and the amount of the claim. The Company has entered into indemnification agreements with its officers and directors, and the Company s bylaws contain similar indemnification obligations to the Company s agents. It is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount under these indemnification agreements due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement.

The Company offers warranties of one year for hardware for those customers without service contracts, with the option of purchasing additional warranty coverage in yearly increments. The Company accrues for warranty costs as part of its cost of sales based on associated material product costs and technical support labor costs. Accrued warranty costs as of December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were not material.

Commitments

As of December 31, 2012, the company s principal commitments consisted of obligations outstanding under operating leases. The company leases its facilities under operating leases that expire at various dates through 2023. There have been no material changes in the company s principal lease commitments compared to those discussed in the Form 10-K.

The Company currently has arrangements with contract manufacturers and other suppliers for the manufacturing of its products. The arrangement with the primary contract manufacturer allows them to procure component inventory on the Company s behalf based on a rolling production forecast provided by the Company. The Company is obligated to the purchase of component inventory that the contract manufacturer procures in accordance with the forecast, unless they give notice of order cancellation in advance of applicable lead times. As of December 31, 2012, the Company was committed to purchase approximately $16.6 million of such inventory during the next 30 day period.

Legal Proceedings

The Company is not aware of any pending legal proceedings that, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, operating results, or financial condition. The Company may in the future be party to litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, including claims that we allegedly infringe upon third-party intellectual property rights. Such claims, even if not meritorious, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources.

6. Income Taxes

The effective tax rate was 37.2% and 34.6% for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in effective tax rate is primarily due to the expiration of the United States federal credit for Increasing Research Activities at December 31, 2011 and an increase in non-deductible stock-based compensation attributable to foreign based employees.

At December 31, 2012, the Company has classified approximately $5.4 million of unrecognized tax liabilities as a non-current liability. It is reasonably possible that the Company s existing liabilities for uncertain tax benefits may change within the next twelve months primarily due to the expiration of statutes of limitations. At this time the Company cannot reasonably estimate a range of potential changes in such benefits.

The Company recognizes interest and, if applicable, penalties for any uncertain tax positions. This interest and penalty expense will be a component of income tax expense. For the three months ended December 31, 2012, the Company accrued an immaterial amount of interest expense related to its liability for unrecognized tax benefits. All unrecognized tax benefits, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate.

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as the income tax of multiple state and foreign jurisdictions. The Company has concluded all U.S. federal income tax matters for fiscal years through September 30, 2008. Major jurisdictions where there are wholly owned subsidiaries of F5 Networks, Inc. which require income tax filings include the United Kingdom, Japan, Australia and Germany. The earliest periods open for review by local taxing authorities are fiscal years 2010 for the United Kingdom and Japan, and 2007 for Australia and Germany. Within the next four fiscal quarters, the statute of limitations will begin to close on the fiscal years ended 2008 and 2009 tax returns filed in various states and the fiscal year ended 2009 federal income tax return.

16

Table of Contents

7. Geographic Sales and Significant Customers

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available and evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision-maker, or decision-making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company does business in four main geographic regions: the Americas (primarily the United States); Europe, the Middle East, and Africa (EMEA); Japan; and the Asia Pacific region (APAC). The Company s chief operating decision-making group reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis accompanied by information about revenues by geographic region. The Company s foreign offices conduct sales, marketing and support activities. Revenues are attributed by geographic location based on the location of the customer. The Company s assets are primarily located in the United States and not allocated to any specific region. Therefore, geographic information is presented only for net revenue.

The following presents revenues by geographic region (in thousands):

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Americas:		
United States	$ 194,838	$ 170,986
Other	18,179	18,722
Total Americas	213,017	189,708
EMEA	82,858	68,072
Japan	18,043	20,202
Asia Pacific	51,533	44,450
	$ 365,451	$ 322,432

Three worldwide distributors of the Company s products accounted for 16.9%, 15.2%, and 11.0% of total net revenue for the three month period ended December 31, 2012. Two worldwide distributors of the Company s products accounted for 17.9% and 13.7% of total net revenue for the three month period ended December 31, 2011. Two worldwide distributors accounted for 11.2% and 10.3% of the Company s accounts receivable as of December 31, 2012. One worldwide distributors accounted for 15.6% of the Company s accounts receivable as of December 31, 2011. No other distributors accounted for more than 10% of total net revenue or receivables.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933. These statements include, but are not limited to, statements about our plans, objectives, expectations, strategies, intentions or other characterizations of future events or circumstances and are generally identified by the words expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, and similar expressions. These forwar statements are based on current information and expectations and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed under Item 1A. Risk Factors herein and in other documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We assume no obligation to revise or update any such forward-looking statements.

Overview

We are a global provider of appliances consisting of software and hardware and services that help companies efficiently and securely manage the delivery, optimization and security of application and data traffic on Internet-based networks, and to optimize the performance and utilization of data storage infrastructure and other network resources. We market and sell our products primarily through multiple indirect sales channels in the Americas (primarily the United States); Europe, the Middle East, and Africa (EMEA); Japan; and the Asia Pacific region (APAC). Enterprise customers (Fortune 1000 or Business Week Global 1000 companies) in the technology, telecommunications, financial services, transportation, education, manufacturing and health care industries, along with government customers, continue to make up the largest

percentage of our customer base.

Table of Contents

Our management team monitors and analyzes a number of key performance indicators in order to manage our business and evaluate our financial and operating performance on a consolidated basis. Those indicators include:

Revenues. The majority of our revenues are derived from sales of our application delivery networking (ADN) products including our high end VIPRION chassis and related software modules; BIG-IP Local Traffic Manager, BIG-IP Global Traffic Manager, BIG-IP Link Controller, BIG-IP Application Security Manager, BIG-IP Edge Gateway, BIG-IP WAN Optimization module, BIG-IP Access Policy Manager, WebAccelerator; FirePass SSL VPN appliance; Traffix diameter signaling products; and ARX file virtualization products. We also derive revenues from the sales of services including annual maintenance contracts, training and consulting services. We carefully monitor the sales mix of our revenues within each reporting period. We believe customer acceptance rates of our new products and feature enhancements are indicators of future trends. We also consider overall revenue concentration by customer and by geographic region as additional indicators of current and future trends.

Cost of revenues and gross margins. We strive to control our cost of revenues and thereby maintain our gross margins. Significant items impacting cost of revenues are hardware costs paid to our contract manufacturers, third-party software license fees, amortization of developed technology and personnel and overhead expenses. Our margins have remained relatively stable; however, factors such as sales price, product mix, inventory obsolescence, returns, component price increases and warranty costs could significantly impact our gross margins from quarter to quarter and represent significant indicators we monitor on a regular basis.

Operating expenses. Operating expenses are substantially driven by personnel and related overhead expenses. Existing headcount and future hiring plans are the predominant factors in analyzing and forecasting future operating expense trends. Other significant operating expenses that we monitor include marketing and promotions, travel, professional fees, computer costs related to the development of new products, facilities and depreciation expenses.

Liquidity and cash flows. Our financial condition remains strong with significant cash and investments and no long term debt. The increase in cash and investments for the first three months of fiscal year 2013 was primarily due to net income from operations, with operating activities providing cash of $144.8 million. This increase was partially offset by $50.0 million of cash used to repurchase outstanding common stock under our stock repurchase program in the first three months of fiscal year 2013. Going forward, we believe the primary driver of cash flows will be net income from operations. Capital expenditures for the first three months of fiscal year 2013 were comprised primarily of information technology infrastructure and equipment purchases to support the growth of our core business activities, and expenses related to the addition and expansion of our worldwide facilities. We will continue to evaluate possible acquisitions of, or investments in businesses, products, or technologies that we believe are strategic, which may require the use of cash.

Balance sheet. We view cash, short-term and long-term investments, deferred revenue, accounts receivable balances and days sales outstanding as important indicators of our financial health. Deferred revenues increased in the first quarter of fiscal year 2013 due to growth in the amount of annual maintenance contracts purchased on new products and maintenance renewal contracts related to our existing product installation base. Our days sales outstanding for the first quarter of fiscal year 2013 was 51.

Summary of Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our financial condition and results of operations requires us to make judgments and estimates that may have a significant impact upon our financial results. We believe that, of our significant accounting policies, the following require estimates and assumptions that require complex, subjective judgments by management, which can materially impact reported results: revenue recognition; reserve for doubtful accounts; reserve for product returns; reserve for warranties; accounting for income taxes; stock-based compensation; investments; goodwill impairment; and the fair value measurements of financial assets and liabilities. None of these accounting policies and estimates have significantly changed since our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012 (Form 10-K). Critical accounting policies and estimates are more fully described in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in the Form 10-K. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, related notes and risk factors included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Table of Contents

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(in thousands, except percentages)	
Net Revenues		
Products	$ 204,712	$ 196,554
Services	160,739	125,878
Total	$ 365,451	$ 322,432
Percentage of net revenues		
Products	56.0%	61.0%
Services	44.0	39.0
Total	100.0%	100.0%

Net revenues. Total net revenues increased 13.3% for the three months ended December 31, 2012, from the same period in the prior year. Overall revenue growth for the three months ended December 31, 2012 was primarily due to increased service and product revenues as a result of our increased installed base of products and increased demand for our core ADN products, including our application security products. International revenues represented 46.7% of total net revenues for the three months ended December 31, 2012, compared to 47.0% for the same period in the prior year. We expect international sales will continue to represent a significant portion of net revenues, although we cannot provide assurance that international revenues as a percentage of net revenues will remain at current levels.

Net product revenues increased 4.2% for the three months ended December 31, 2012, from the same period in the prior year. The increase in net product revenues for the three months ended December 31, 2012 was primarily due to an increase of $8.2 million in sales of our ADN products from the same period in the prior year. Sales of our ADN products represented 98.8% of product revenues for the three months ended December 31, 2012, compared to 98.7% of product revenues for the three months ended December 31, 2011.

Net service revenues increased 27.7% for the three months ended December 31, 2012, from the same period in the prior year. The increase in net service revenues was primarily due to increases in the purchase or renewal of maintenance contracts driven by additions to our installed base of products.

Avnet Technology Solutions, Ingram Micro, and Westcon, three of our worldwide distributors, accounted for 16.9%, 15.2%, and 11.0% of our total net revenue for the three months ended December 31, 2012, respectively. Avnet Technology Solutions and Ingram Micro accounted for 17.9% and 13.7% of our total net revenue for the three months ended December 31, 2011, respectively. Avnet Technology Solutions and Ingram Micro accounted for 11.2% and 10.3% of our accounts receivable as of December 31, 2012, respectively. Avnet Technology Solutions accounted for 15.6% of our accounts receivable as of December 31, 2011. No other distributors accounted for more than 10% of total net revenue or receivables.

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(in thousands, except percentages)	
Cost of net revenues and Gross Margin		
Products	$ 31,792	$ 33,200
Services	29,093	22,406
Total	60,885	55,606
Gross profit	$ 304,566	$ 266,826
Percentage of net revenues and Gross Margin (as a percentage of related net revenue)		
Products	15.5%	16.9%
Services	18.1	17.8

Total	16.7	17.2
Gross profit	83.3%	82.8%

Cost of net product revenues. Cost of net product revenues consist of finished products purchased from our contract manufacturers, manufacturing overhead, freight, warranty, provisions for excess and obsolete inventory and amortization expenses in connection with developed technology from acquisitions. Cost of net product revenues decreased 4.2% for the three months ended December 31, 2012, as compared to the same period in the prior year.

Table of Contents

Cost of net service revenues. Cost of net service revenues consist of the salaries and related benefits of our professional services staff, travel, facilities and depreciation expenses. For the three months ended December 31, 2012, cost of net service revenues as a percentage of net service revenues were 18.1%, compared to 17.8% for the three months ended December 31, 2011. Professional services headcount at the end of December 2012 increased to 701 from 538 at the end of December 2011. In addition, cost of net service revenues included stock-based compensation expense of $2.6 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012, compared to $2.2 million for the same period in the prior year.

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(in thousands, except percentages)	
Operating expenses		
Sales and marketing	$ 122,268	$ 106,238
Research and development	48,541	39,122
General and administrative	24,673	21,677
Total	$ 195,482	$ 167,037
Operating expenses (as a percentage of net revenue)		
Sales and marketing	33.5%	33.0%
Research and development	13.3	12.1
General and administrative	6.7	6.7
Total	53.5%	51.8%

Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expenses consist of salaries, commissions and related benefits of our sales and marketing staff, the costs of our marketing programs, including public relations, advertising and trade shows, travel, facilities, and depreciation expenses. Sales and marketing expenses increased 15.1% for the three months ended December 31, 2012, from the comparable period in the prior year. The increase in sales and marketing expense was primarily due to an increase of $11.7 million in

commissions and personnel costs. The increased commissions and personnel costs were driven primarily by growth in sales and marketing employee headcount and increased sales volume for the corresponding periods. Sales and marketing headcount at the end of December 2012 increased to 1,296 from 1,141 at the end of December 2011. Sales and marketing expense included stock-based compensation expense of $10.6 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012, compared to $9.1 million for the same period in the prior year. The increase in sales and marketing expense was also due to investments in marketing promotions and initiatives aimed at promoting our brand and creating market awareness of our technology and our products.

Research and development. Research and development expenses consist of the salaries and related benefits of our product development personnel, prototype materials and other expenses related to the development of new and improved products, facilities and depreciation expenses. Research and development expenses increased 24.1% for the three months ended December 31, 2012, from the comparable period in the prior year. The increase in research and development expense was primarily due to an increase of $7.4 million in personnel costs for the three months ended December 31, 2012, from the comparable period in the prior year. Research and development headcount at the end of December 2012 increased to 802 from 646 at the end of December 2011. Research and development expense included stock-based compensation expense of $7.8 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012, compared to $5.8 million for the same period in the prior year. We expect research and development expenses to remain consistent as a percentage of net revenue in the foreseeable future.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses consist of the salaries, benefits and related costs of our executive, finance, information technology, human resource and legal personnel, third-party professional service fees, bad debt charges, facilities and depreciation expenses. General and administrative expenses increased 13.8% for the three months ended December 31, 2012, from the comparable period in the prior year. The increase in general and administrative expense was primarily due to an increase of $1.5 million in personnel costs for the three months ended December 31, 2012, from the comparable period in the prior year. Stock-based compensation expense was $5.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012, compared to $4.7 million for the same period in the prior year. General and administrative headcount at the end of December 2012 increased to 328 from 291 at the end of December 2011.

Table of Contents

	Three months ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
	(in thousands, except percentages)	
Other income and income taxes		
Income from operations	$ 109,084	$ 99,789
Other income, net	1,550	1,861
Income before income taxes	110,634	101,650
Provision for income taxes	41,141	35,158
Net income	$ 69,493	$ 66,492
Other income and income taxes (as percentage of net revenue)		
Income from operations	29.9%	30.9%
Other income, net	0.4	0.6
Income before income taxes	30.3	31.5
Provision for income taxes	11.3	10.9
Net income	19.0%	20.6%

Other income, net. Other income, net, consists primarily of interest income and foreign currency transaction gains and losses. Other income, net, for the three months ended December 31, 2012, remained relatively consistent compared to the same period in the prior year.

Provision for income taxes. We recorded a 37.2% provision for income taxes for the three month period ended December 31, 2012. The increase in the effective tax rate compared to the three month period ended December 31, 2011 is primarily due to the expiration of the United States federal credit for Increasing Research Activities on December 31, 2011 and an increase in non-deductible stock-based compensation attributable to foreign based employees. On January 2, 2013, the President signed into law the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012. As part of that legislation, the United States federal credit for increasing research activities (the Credit) was extended retroactive to January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013. For financial reporting purposes, we will take into account the tax effects of this legislation, as it relates to the Credit, in the second quarter of our fiscal year 2013.

At December 31, 2012, there have been no material valuation allowances established on any of our deferred tax assets in any of the jurisdictions in which we operate because we believe that these assets are more likely than not to be realized. In making these determinations we have considered projected future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing the appropriateness of a valuation allowance. Our net deferred tax assets at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were $46.6 million and $43.8 million, respectively. Our worldwide effective tax rate may fluctuate based on a number of factors including variations in projected taxable income in the various geographic locations in which we operate, changes in the valuation of

our net deferred tax assets, resolution of potential exposures, tax positions taken on tax returns filed in the various geographic locations in which we operate, and the introduction of new accounting standards or changes in tax laws or interpretations thereof in the various geographic locations in which we operate. We have recorded liabilities to address potential tax exposures related to business and income tax positions we have taken that could be challenged by taxing authorities. The ultimate resolution of these potential exposures may be greater or less than the liabilities recorded which could result in an adjustment to our future tax expense.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and long-term investments totaled $1,289.0 million as of December 31, 2012 compared to $1,195.0 million as of September 30, 2012, representing an increase of $94.0 million. The increase was primarily due to cash provided by operating activities of $144.8 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012, which was partially offset by $50.0 million of additional cash required for the repurchase of outstanding common stock under our stock repurchase program. The increase in cash flow from operations for the first three months of fiscal year 2013 resulted from increased net income combined with changes in operating assets and liabilities, as adjusted for various non-cash items including stock-based compensation, depreciation and amortization charges. Based on our current operating and capital expenditure forecasts, we believe that our existing cash and investment balances, excluding auction rate securities (ARS), together with cash generated from operations should be sufficient to meet our operating requirements for at least the next twelve months.

Cash used in investing activities was $133.4 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012, compared to cash used in investing activities of $67.4 million for the same period in the prior year. Investing activities include purchases, sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities, business acquisitions, capital expenditures and changes in restricted cash requirements. The amount of cash used in investing activities for the three months ended December 31, 2012 was primarily due to the purchase of investments and capital expenditures related to maintaining our operations worldwide partially offset by the sales and maturity of investments.

Table of Contents

Cash used in financing activities was $37.9 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012, compared to cash used in financing activities of $23.5 million for the same period in the prior year. Our financing activities for the three months ended December 31, 2012 consisted primarily of cash required for the repurchase of outstanding common stock under our stock repurchase program of $50.0 million, partially offset by cash received from the exercise of employee stock options and stock purchases under our employee stock purchase plan of $11.6 million.

Obligations and Commitments

As of December 31, 2012, our principal commitments consisted of obligations outstanding under operating leases. We lease our facilities under operating leases that expire at various dates through 2023. There have been no material changes in our principal lease commitments compared to those discussed in the Form 10-K.

We outsource the manufacturing of our pre-configured hardware platforms to contract manufacturers who assemble each product to our specifications. Our agreement with our largest contract manufacturer allows them to procure component inventory on our behalf based upon a rolling production forecast. We are contractually obligated to purchase the component inventory in accordance with the forecast, unless we give notice of order cancellation in advance of applicable lead times. As of December 31, 2012, we were committed to purchase approximately $16.6 million of such inventory during the next 30 day period.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The anticipated impact of recent accounting pronouncements is discussed in Note 1 to the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Risk Factors that May Affect Future Results

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our business, operating results, financial performance and share price may be materially adversely affected by a number of factors, including but not limited to the following risk factors, any one of which could cause actual results to vary materially from anticipated results or from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by us in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or in other reports, press releases or other statements issued from time to time. Additional factors that may cause such a difference are set forth elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Our quarterly and annual operating results may fluctuate in future periods, which may cause our stock price to fluctuate

Our quarterly and annual operating results have varied significantly in the past and could vary significantly in the future, which makes it difficult for us to predict our future operating results. Our operating results may fluctuate due to a variety of factors, many of which are outside of our control, including the changing and recently volatile U.S. and global economic environment, which may cause our stock price to fluctuate. In particular, we anticipate that the size of customer orders may increase as we continue to focus on larger business accounts. A delay in the recognition of revenue, even from just one account, may have a significant negative impact on our results of operations for a given period. In the past, a majority of our sales have been realized near the end of a quarter. Accordingly, a delay in an anticipated sale past the end of a particular quarter may negatively impact our results of operations for that quarter, or in some cases, that fiscal year. Additionally, we have exposure to the credit risks of some of our customers and sub-tenants. Although we have programs in place that are designed to monitor and mitigate the associated risk, there can be no assurance that such programs will be effective in reducing our credit risks adequately. We monitor individual payment capability in granting credit arrangements, seek to limit the total credit to amounts we believe our customers can pay and maintain reserves we believe are adequate to cover exposure for potential losses. If there is a deterioration of a sub-tenant s or a major customer s creditworthiness or actual defaults are higher than expected, future losses, if incurred, could harm our business and have a material adverse effect on our operating results. Further, our operating results may be below the expectations of securities analysts and investors in future quarters or years. Our failure to meet these expectations will likely harm the market price of our common stock. Such a decline could occur, and has occurred in the past, even when we have met our publicly stated revenue and/or earnings guidance.

In addition to other risks listed in this Risk Factors section, factors that may affect our operating results include, but are not limited to:

- fluctuations in demand for our products and services due to changing market conditions, pricing conditions, technology evolution, seasonality, or other changes in the global economic environment;

22

Table of Contents

changes or fluctuations in sales and implementation cycles for our products and services;

reduced visibility into our customers spending and implementation plans;

reductions in customers budgets for data center and other IT purchases or delays in these purchases;

fluctuations in our gross margins, including the factors described herein, which may contribute to such fluctuations;

our ability to control costs, including operating expenses, the costs of hardware and software components, and other manufacturing costs;

our ability to develop, introduce and gain market acceptance of new products, technologies and services, and our success in new and evolving markets;

any significant changes in the competitive environment, including the entry of new competitors or the substantial discounting of products or services;

the timing and execution of product transitions or new product introductions, and related inventory costs;

variations in sales channels, product costs, or mix of products sold;

our ability to establish and manage our distribution channels, and the effectiveness of any changes we make to our distribution model;

the ability of our contract manufacturers and suppliers to provide component parts, hardware platforms and other products in a timely manner;

benefits anticipated from our investments in sales, marketing, product development, manufacturing or other activities;

changes in tax laws or regulations, or other accounting rules; and

general economic conditions, both domestically and in our foreign markets

Our success depends on our timely development of new products and features, market acceptance of new product offerings and proper management of the timing of the life cycle of our products

The application delivery networking and file virtualization markets are characterized by rapid technological change, frequent new product introductions, changes in customer requirements and evolving industry standards. Our continued success depends on our ability to identify and develop new products and new features for our existing products to meet the demands of these changes, and the acceptance of those products and features by our existing and target customers. If we are unable to identify, develop and deploy new products and new product features on a

timely basis, our business and results of operations may be harmed.

The current development cycle for our products is on average 12-24 months. The introduction of new products or product enhancements may shorten the life cycle of our existing products, or replace sales of some of our current products, thereby offsetting the benefit of even a successful product introduction, and may cause customers to defer purchasing our existing products in anticipation of the new products. This could harm our operating results by decreasing sales, increasing our inventory levels of older products and exposing us to greater risk of product obsolescence. We have also experienced, and may in the future experience, delays in developing and releasing new products and product enhancements. This has led to, and may in the future lead to, delayed sales, increased expenses and lower quarterly revenue than anticipated. Also, in the development of our products, we have experienced delays in the prototyping of our products, which in turn has led to delays in product introductions. In addition, complexity and difficulties in managing product transitions at the end-of-life stage of a product can create excess inventory of components associated with the outgoing product that can lead to increased expenses. Any or all of the above problems could materially harm our business and results of operations.

Our success depends on sales and continued innovation of our application delivery networking product lines

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 and the three months ended December 31, 2012, we derived approximately 98.6% and 98.8% of our net product revenues, respectively, or approximately 58.6% and 55.4% of our total net revenues, respectively, from sales of our application delivery networking (ADN) product lines. We expect to continue to derive a significant portion of our net

Table of Contents

revenues from sales of our ADN products in the future. Implementation of our strategy depends upon these products being able to solve critical network availability, performance, and security problems for our customers. If our ADN products are unable to solve these problems for our customers or if we are unable to sustain the high levels of innovation in our ADN product feature set needed to maintain leadership in what will continue to be a competitive market environment, our business and results of operations will be harmed.

We may not be able to compete effectively in the emerging application delivery networking and file virtualization markets

The markets we serve are new, rapidly evolving and highly competitive, and we expect competition to persist and intensify in the future. Our principal competitors in the application delivery networking market include Brocade Communications Systems, Inc., Cisco Systems, Inc., Citrix Systems, Inc., Radware Ltd. and A10 Networks. In the adjacent WAN Optimization Controller market, we

compete with Blue Coat Systems, Inc., Cisco, Citrix, Juniper Networks, Inc. and Riverbed Technology, Inc. In the file virtualization market, we compete with EMC Corporation. We expect to continue to face additional competition as new participants enter our markets. As we continue to expand globally, we may see new competitors in different geographic regions. In addition, larger companies with significant resources, brand recognition, and sales channels may form alliances with or acquire competing application delivery networking solutions from other companies and emerge as significant competitors. Potential competitors may bundle their products or incorporate an Internet traffic management or security component into existing products in a manner that discourages users from purchasing our products. Any of these circumstances may limit our opportunities for growth and negatively impact our financial performance.

The average selling price of our products may decrease and our costs may increase, which may negatively impact gross profits

It is possible that the average selling prices of our products will decrease in the future in response to competitive pricing pressures, increased sales discounts, new product introductions by us or our competitors or other factors. Therefore, in order to maintain our gross profits, we must develop and introduce new products and product enhancements on a timely basis and continually reduce our product costs. Our failure to do so will cause our net revenue and gross profits to decline, which will harm our business and results of operations. In addition, we may experience substantial period-to-period fluctuations in future operating results due to the erosion of our average selling prices.

It is difficult to predict our future operating results because we have an unpredictable sales cycle

Our products have a lengthy sales cycle and the timing of our revenue is difficult to predict. Historically, our sales cycle has ranged from approximately two to three months and has tended to lengthen as we have increasingly focused our sales efforts on the enterprise market. Also, as our distribution strategy has evolved into more of a channel model, utilizing value-added resellers, distributors and systems integrators, the level of variability in the length of sales cycle across transactions has increased and made it more difficult to predict the timing of many of our sales transactions. Sales of our products require us to educate potential customers in their use and benefits. Sales of our products are subject to delays from the lengthy internal budgeting, approval and competitive evaluation processes that large corporations and governmental entities may require. For example, customers frequently begin by evaluating our products on a limited basis and devote time and resources to testing our products before they decide whether or not to purchase. Customers may also defer orders as a result of anticipated releases of new products or enhancements by our competitors or us. As a result, our products have an unpredictable sales cycle that contributes to the uncertainty of our future operating results.

Our business may be harmed if our contract manufacturers are not able to provide us with adequate supplies of our products or if a single source of hardware assembly is lost or impaired

We outsource the manufacturing of our hardware platforms to third party contract manufacturers who assemble these hardware platforms to our specifications. We have experienced minor delays in shipments from contract manufacturers in the past. However, if we experience major delays in the future or other problems, such as inferior quality and insufficient quantity of product, any one or a combination of these factors may harm our business and results of operations. The inability of our contract manufacturers to provide us with adequate supplies of our products or the loss of one or more of our contract manufacturers may cause a delay in our ability to fulfill orders while we obtain a replacement manufacturer and may harm our business and results of operations. In particular, we currently subcontract manufacturing of our application delivery networking products to a single contract manufacturer with whom we do not have a long-term contract. If our arrangement with this single source of hardware assembly was terminated or otherwise impaired, and we were not able to engage another contract manufacturer in a timely manner, our business, financial condition and results of operation could be adversely affected.

If the demand for our products grows, we will need to increase our raw material and component purchases, contract manufacturing capacity and internal test and quality control functions. Any disruptions in product flow may limit our revenue, may harm our competitive position and may result in additional costs or cancellation of orders by our customers.

24

Table of Contents

Our business could suffer if there are any interruptions or delays in the supply of hardware components from our third-party sources

We currently purchase several hardware components used in the assembly of our products from a number of single or limited sources. Lead times for these components vary significantly. The unavailability of suitable components, any interruption or delay in the supply of any of these hardware components or the inability to procure a similar component from alternate sources at acceptable prices within a reasonable time, may delay assembly and sales of our products and, hence, our revenues, and may harm our business and results of operations.

We are subject to governmental export and import controls that could subject us to liability or impair our ability to compete in international markets

Our products are subject to U.S. export controls and may be exported outside the U.S. only with the required level of export license or through an export license exception because we incorporate encryption technology into our products. In addition, various countries regulate the import of certain encryption technology and have enacted laws that could limit our ability to distribute our products or our customers ability to implement our products in those countries. Changes in our products or changes in export and import regulations may create delays in the introduction of our products in international markets, prevent our customers with international operations from deploying our products throughout their global systems or, in some cases, prevent the export or import of our products to certain countries altogether. Any change in export or import regulations or related legislation, shift in approach to the enforcement or scope of existing regulations or change in the countries, persons or technologies targeted by such regulations, could result in decreased use of our products by, or in our decreased ability to export or sell our products to, existing or potential customers with international operations. Any decreased use of our products or limitation on our ability to export or sell our products would likely adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

Reliance on shipments at the end of the quarter could cause our revenue for the applicable period to fall below expected levels

As a result of customer buying patterns and the efforts of our sales force and channel partners to meet or exceed their sales objectives, we have historically received a substantial portion of sales orders and generated a substantial portion of revenue during the last few weeks of each fiscal quarter. In addition, any significant interruption in our information technology systems, which manage critical functions such as order processing, revenue recognition, financial forecasts, inventory and supply chain management, and trade compliance reviews, could result in delayed order fulfillment and decreased revenue for that fiscal quarter. If expected revenue at the end of any fiscal quarter is delayed for any reason, including the failure of anticipated purchase orders to materialize, our third party contract manufacturers inability to manufacture and ship products prior to fiscal quarter-end to fulfill purchase orders received near the end of the fiscal quarter, our failure to manage inventory to meet demand, our inability to release new products on schedule, any failure of our systems related to order review and processing, or any delays in shipments based on trade compliance requirements, our revenue for that quarter could fall below our expectations, resulting in a decline in the trading price of our common stock.

We may not be able to adequately protect our intellectual property, and our products may infringe on the intellectual property rights of third parties

We rely on a combination of patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, and restrictions on disclosure of confidential and proprietary information to protect our intellectual property rights. Despite our efforts to protect our proprietary rights, unauthorized parties may attempt to copy or otherwise obtain and use our products or technology. Monitoring unauthorized use of our products is difficult, and we cannot be certain that the steps we have taken will prevent misappropriation of our technology, particularly in foreign countries where the laws may not protect our proprietary rights as fully as in the United States.

Our industry is characterized by the existence of a large number of patents and frequent claims and related litigation regarding patent and other intellectual property rights. In the ordinary course of our business, we are involved in disputes and licensing discussions with others regarding their claimed proprietary rights and cannot provide assurance that we will always successfully defend ourselves against such claims. We expect that infringement claims may increase as the number of products and competitors in our market increases and overlaps occur. Also, as we have gained greater visibility, market exposure and competitive success, we face a higher risk of being the subject of intellectual property infringement claims. If we are found to infringe the proprietary rights of others, or if we otherwise settle such claims, we could be compelled to pay damages or royalties and either obtain a license to those intellectual property rights or alter our products so that they no longer infringe upon such proprietary rights. Any license could be very expensive to obtain or may not be available at all. Similarly, changing our products or processes to avoid infringing upon the rights of others may be costly or impractical. In addition, we have initiated, and may in the future initiate, claims or litigation against third parties for infringement of our proprietary rights, or to determine the scope and validity of our proprietary rights or those of our competitors. Any of these claims, whether claims that we are infringing the proprietary rights of others, or vice versa, with or without

25

Table of Contents

merit, may be time-consuming, result in costly litigation and diversion of technical and management personnel or require us to cease using infringing technology, develop non-infringing technology or enter into royalty or licensing agreements. Further, our license agreements typically require us to indemnify our customers, distributors and resellers for infringement actions related to our technology, which could cause us to become involved in infringement claims made against our customers, distributors or resellers. Any of the above-described circumstances relating to intellectual property rights disputes could result in our business and results of operations being harmed.

We incorporate open source software into our products. Although we monitor our use of open source closely, the terms of many open source licenses have not been interpreted by U.S. courts, and there is a risk that such licenses could be construed in a manner that could impose unanticipated conditions or restrictions on our ability to commercialize our products. We could also be subject to similar conditions or restrictions should there be any changes in the licensing terms of the open source software incorporated into our products. In either event, we could be required to seek licenses from third parties in order to continue offering our products, to re-engineer our products or to discontinue the sale of our products in the event re-engineering cannot be accomplished on a timely or successful basis, any of which could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

Many of our products include intellectual property licensed from third parties. In the future, it may be necessary to renew licenses for third party intellectual property or obtain new licenses for other technology. These third party licenses may not be available to us on acceptable terms, if at all. The inability to obtain certain licenses, or litigation regarding the interpretation or enforcement of license rights and related intellectual property issues, could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. Furthermore, we license some third party intellectual property on a non-exclusive basis and this may limit our ability to protect our intellectual property rights in our products.

We may not be able to sustain or develop new distribution relationships, and a reduction or delay in sales to significant distribution partners could hurt our business

We sell our products and services through multiple distribution channels in the United States and internationally, including leading industry distributors, value-added resellers, systems integrators, service providers and other indirect channel partners. We have a limited number of agreements with companies in these channels, and we may not be able to increase our number of distribution relationships or maintain our existing relationships. Recruiting and retaining qualified channel partners and training them in our technologies requires significant time and resources. These channel partners may also market, sell and support products and services that are competitive with ours and may devote more resources to the marketing, sales and support of such competitive products. Our indirect sales channel structure could subject us to lawsuits, potential liability, and reputational harm if, for example, any of our channel partners misrepresent the functionality of our products or services to customers or violate laws or our corporate policies. If we are unable to establish or maintain our indirect sales channels, our business and results of operations will be harmed. In addition, two worldwide distributors of our products accounted for 30.9% of our total net revenue for fiscal year 2012. Two worldwide distributors of our products accounted for 28.8% of our total net revenue for fiscal year 2011. Three worldwide distributors of our products accounted for 43.1% of our total net revenue for the three months ended December 31, 2012. A substantial reduction or delay in sales of our products to these distribution partners, if not replaced by sales to other indirect channel partners and distributors, could harm our business, operating results and financial condition.

Undetected software or hardware errors or security vulnerabilities may harm our business and results of operations

Our products may contain undetected errors or defects when first introduced or as new versions are released. We have experienced these errors or defects in the past in connection with new products and product upgrades. We expect that these errors or defects will be found from time to time in new or enhanced products after commencement of commercial shipments. These problems may cause us to incur significant warranty and repair costs, divert the attention of our engineering personnel from our product development efforts and cause significant customer relations problems. We may also be subject to liability claims for damages related to product errors or defects. While we carry insurance policies covering this type of liability, these policies may not provide sufficient protection should a claim be asserted. A material product liability claim may harm our business and results of operations.

Our products must successfully operate with products from other vendors. As a result, when problems occur in a network, it may be difficult to identify the source of the problem. The occurrence of software or hardware problems, whether caused by our products or another vendor s products, may result in the delay or loss of market acceptance of our products. The occurrence of any of these problems may harm our business and results of operations.

Our products are used to manage critical applications and data for customers and third parties may attempt to exploit security vulnerabilities in our products. As we continue to focus on the development and marketing of security solutions, we become a bigger target for malicious computer hackers who wish to exploit security vulnerabilities in our products. These problems may cause us to

Table of Contents

incur significant remediation costs, divert the attention of our engineering personnel from our product development efforts and cause significant customer relations problems. Adverse publicity related to security vulnerabilities or damage to a customer s operations due to exploitation of security vulnerability in our products may harm our business and results of operations.

Any errors, defects or vulnerabilities in our products could result in:

- expenditures of significant financial and product development resources in efforts to analyze, correct, eliminate, or work-around errors and defects or to address and eliminate vulnerabilities;
- loss of existing or potential customers or channel partners;
- delayed or lost revenue;
- delay or failure to attain market acceptance;
- an increase in warranty claims compared with our historical experience, or an increased cost of servicing warranty claims, either of which would adversely affect our gross margins; and
- litigation, regulatory inquiries, or investigations that may be costly and harm our reputation.

We are dependent on various information technology systems, and failures of or interruptions to those systems could harm our business

Many of our business processes depend upon our information technology (IT) systems, the systems and processes of third parties, and on interfaces with the systems of third parties. For example, our order entry system provides information to the systems of our contract manufacturers, which enables them to build and ship our products. If those systems fail or are interrupted, or if our ability to connect to or interact with one or more networks is interrupted, our processes may function at a diminished level or not at all. This would harm our ability to ship products, and our financial results may be harmed.

In addition, reconfiguring our IT systems or other business processes in response to changing business needs may be time-consuming and costly. To the extent this impacted our ability to react timely to specific market or business opportunities, our financial results may be harmed.

Adverse general economic conditions or reduced information technology spending may adversely impact our business

A substantial portion of our business depends on the demand for information technology by large enterprise customers and service providers, the overall economic health of our current and prospective customers and the continued growth and evolution of the Internet. International, national, regional and local economic conditions, such as recessionary economic cycles, protracted economic slowdown or further deterioration of the economy could adversely impact demand for our products. The purchase of our products is often discretionary and may involve a significant commitment of capital and other resources. Continued weak economic conditions or a reduction in information technology spending even if economic conditions improve would likely result in longer sales cycles and reduced product sales, each of which would adversely impact our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our operating results are exposed to risks associated with international commerce

As our international sales increase, our operating results become more exposed to international operating risks. These risks include risks related to recessionary economic cycles or protracted slowdowns in economies outside the United States, foreign currency exchange rates, managing foreign sales offices, regulatory, political or economic conditions in specific countries, military conflict or terrorist activities, changes in laws and tariffs, inadequate protection of intellectual property rights in foreign countries, foreign regulatory requirements and natural disasters. We must hire and train experienced personnel to staff and manage our foreign operations. To the extent that we experience difficulties in recruiting,

training, managing, and retaining an international staff, and specifically staff related to sales management and sales personnel, we may experience difficulties in sales productivity in foreign markets. We also enter into strategic distributor and reseller relationships with companies in certain international markets where we do not have a local presence. If we are not able to maintain successful strategic distributor relationships internationally or recruit additional companies to enter into strategic distributor relationships, our future success in these international markets could be limited. Business practices in the international markets that we serve may differ from those in the United States and may require us in the future to include terms other than our standard terms in customer contracts, although to date we generally have not done so. To the extent that we may enter into customer contracts in the future that include non-standard terms related to payment, warranties, or performance obligations, our operating results may be adversely impacted. All of these factors could have a material adverse effect on our business. We intend to continue expanding into international markets. Sales outside of the Americas represented 42.4% and 41.2% of our net revenues for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and 41.7% for the three months ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Changes in governmental regulations could negatively affect our revenues

Our products are subject to various regulations promulgated by the United States and various foreign governments including, but not limited to, environmental regulations and regulations implementing export license requirements and restrictions on the import or export of some technologies, especially encryption technology. Changes in governmental regulation and our inability or failure to obtain required approvals, permits or registrations could harm our international and domestic sales and adversely affect our revenues, business and operations.

Changes in financial accounting standards may cause adverse unexpected revenue fluctuations and affect our reported results of operations

A change in accounting policies can have a significant effect on our reported results and may even affect our reporting of transactions completed before the change is effective. New pronouncements and varying interpretations of existing pronouncements have occurred with frequency and may occur in the future. Changes to existing rules, or changes to the interpretations of existing rules, could lead to changes in our accounting practices, and such changes could adversely affect our reported financial results or the way we conduct our business.

We may have exposure to greater than anticipated tax liabilities

Our provision for income taxes is subject to volatility and could be adversely affected by nondeductible stock-based compensation, changes in the research and development tax credit laws, earnings being lower than anticipated in jurisdictions where we have lower statutory rates and being higher than anticipated in jurisdictions where we have higher statutory rates, transfer pricing adjustments, not meeting the terms and conditions of tax holidays or incentives, changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities, changes in actual results versus our estimates, or changes in tax laws, regulations, accounting principles or interpretations thereof. In addition, like other companies, we may be subject to examination of our income tax returns by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service and other tax authorities. While we regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes from such examinations and the adequacy of our provision for income taxes, there can be no assurance that such provision is sufficient and that a determination by a tax authority will not have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Acquisitions, including our recent acquisition of Traffix Systems, present many risks and we may not realize the financial and strategic goals that are contemplated at the time of the transaction

With respect to our past acquisitions, as well as any other future acquisitions we may undertake, we may find that the acquired businesses, products or technologies do not further our business strategy as expected, that we paid more than what the assets are later worth or that economic conditions change, all of which may generate future impairment charges. Our acquisitions may be viewed negatively by customers, financial markets or investors. There may be difficulty integrating the operations and personnel of the acquired business, and we may have difficulty retaining the key personnel of the acquired business. We may have difficulty in integrating the acquired technologies or products with our existing product lines. Our ongoing business and management s attention may be disrupted or diverted by transition or integration issues and the complexity of managing geographically and culturally diverse locations. We may have difficulty maintaining uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies across locations. We may experience significant problems or liabilities associated with product quality, technology and other matters.

Our inability to successfully operate and integrate newly-acquired businesses appropriately, effectively and in a timely manner, or to retain key personnel of any acquired business, could have a material adverse effect on our ability to take advantage of further growth in demand for integrated traffic management and security solutions and other advances in technology, as well as on our revenues, gross margins and expenses.

Our success depends on our key personnel and our ability to hire, retain and motivate qualified sales and marketing, operations, product development and professional services personnel

Our success depends to a significant degree upon the continued contributions of our key management, product development, sales, marketing and finance personnel, many of whom may be difficult to replace. The complexity of our application delivery networking products and their integration into existing networks and ongoing support, as well as the sophistication of our sales and marketing effort, requires us to retain highly trained professional services, customer support and sales personnel. Competition for qualified professional services, customer support and sales personnel in our industry is intense because of the limited number of people available with the necessary technical skills and understanding of our products. Our ability to hire and retain these personnel may be

Table of Contents

adversely affected by volatility or reductions in the price of our common stock, since these employees are generally granted restricted stock units. The loss of services of any of our key personnel, the inability to retain and attract qualified personnel in the future or delays in hiring qualified personnel may harm our business and results of operations.

We face litigation risks

We are a party to lawsuits in the normal course of our business. Litigation in general, and intellectual property and securities litigation in particular, can be expensive, lengthy and disruptive to normal business operations. Moreover, the results of complex legal proceedings are difficult to predict. Responding to lawsuits has been, and will likely continue to be, expensive and time-consuming for us. An unfavorable resolution of these lawsuits could adversely affect our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Anti-takeover provisions could make it more difficult for a third party to acquire us

Our Board of Directors has the authority to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock and to determine the price, rights, preferences, privileges and restrictions, including voting rights, of those shares without any further vote or action by the shareholders. The rights of the holders of common stock may be subject to, and may be adversely affected by, the rights of the holders of any preferred stock that may be issued in the future. The issuance of preferred stock may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change of control of our company without further action by our shareholders and may adversely affect the voting and other rights of the holders of common stock. Further, certain provisions of our bylaws, including a provision limiting the ability of shareholders to raise matters at a meeting of shareholders without giving advance notice, may have the effect of delaying or preventing changes in control or management of our company, which could have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock. In addition, our articles of incorporation currently provide for a staggered board, which may make it more difficult for a third party to gain control of our Board of Directors. Similarly, state anti-takeover laws in the State of Washington related to corporate takeovers may prevent or delay a change of control of our company.

Our business is subject to the risks of earthquakes, fire, power outages, floods, and other catastrophic events, and to interruption by man-made problems such as terrorism

A significant natural disaster, such as an earthquake, fire, a flood, or significant power outage could have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, and financial condition. We have an administrative and product development office and a third party contract manufacturer located in the San Francisco Bay Area, a region known for seismic activity. In addition, natural disasters could affect our supply chain, manufacturing vendors, or logistics providers ability to provide materials and perform services such as manufacturing products or assisting with shipments on a timely basis. In the event our or our service providers information technology systems or manufacturing or logistics abilities are hindered by any of the events discussed above, shipments could be delayed, resulting in missed financial targets, such as revenue and shipment targets, for a particular quarter. In addition, cyber-attacks, acts of terrorism, or other geo-political unrest could cause disruptions in our business or the business of our supply chain, manufacturers, logistics providers, partners, or end-customers or the economy as a whole. Any disruption in the business of our supply chain, manufacturers, logistics providers, partners or end-customers that impacts sales at the end of a fiscal quarter could have a significant adverse impact on our quarterly results. All of the aforementioned risks may be further increased if the disaster recovery plans for us and our suppliers prove to be inadequate. To the extent that any of the above should result in delays or cancellations of customer orders, or the delay in the manufacture, deployment or shipment of our products, our business, financial condition and operating results would be adversely affected.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

At December 31, 2012, the fair value of our AAA/A- (or equivalent) rated municipal ARS was approximately $4.6 million. ARS are collateralized long-term debt instruments that provide liquidity through a Dutch auction process that resets the applicable interest rate at pre-determined intervals, typically every 7, 28 or 35 days. Based on our expected operating cash flows and our other sources of cash, we do not believe that any reduction in liquidity of our municipal ARS will have a material impact on our overall ability to meet our liquidity needs. We have no intent to sell, won t be required to sell, and believe we will hold these securities until recovery. We believe certain of these available-for-sale investments may remain illiquid for longer than twelve months and as a result, we have classified $5.0 million (par value) of securities as long-term as of December 31, 2012.

Management believes there have been no other material changes to our quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk during the three month period ended December 31, 2012, compared to those discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012.

29

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) are designed to ensure that required information is properly recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the required timeframe, as specified in the rules set forth by the SEC. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2012. Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2012.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) during the period covered by this quarterly report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are not aware of any pending legal proceedings that, individually or in the aggregate, would have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, or financial condition. We may in the future be party to litigation arising in the ordinary course of business, including claims that we allegedly infringe upon third-party intellectual property rights. Such claims, even if not meritorious, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Information regarding risk factors appears in Part I Item 2 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Risk Factors that May Affect Future Results. This information includes previously disclosed material changes to the risk factors set forth in Part I Item 1A of the Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On October 25, 2011, we announced that our Board of Directors authorized an additional $200 million for our common stock share repurchase program. This new authorization is incremental to the existing $400 million program, initially approved in October 2010 and expanded in August 2011. Acquisitions for the share repurchase programs will be made from time to time in private transactions or open market purchases as permitted by securities laws and other legal requirements. The programs can be terminated at any time. As of February 1, 2013, we had repurchased and retired 9,763,717 shares at an average price of $68.73 per share and we had $128.5 million remaining to purchase shares as part of our repurchase programs.

Shares repurchased and retired as of February 1, 2013 are as follows (in thousands, except shares and per share data):

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased per the Publicly Announced Plan	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plan

October 1, 2011	October 31, 2011		$		$ 366,038
November 1, 2011	November 30, 2011	179,000	$	106.05	179,000 $ 347,049
December 1, 2011	December 31, 2011	141,100	$	109.69	141,100 $ 331,566
January 1, 2012	January 31, 2012	58,706	$	121.49	58,706 $ 324,431
February 1, 2012	February 29, 2012	227,400	$	124.54	227,400 $ 296,103
March 1, 2012	March 31, 2012	118,000	$	125.72	118,000 $ 281,263
April 1, 2012	April 30, 2012		$		$ 281,263
May 1, 2012	May 31, 2012	332,000	$	121.79	332,000 $ 240,820
June 1, 2012	June 30, 2012	93,088	$	102.64	93,088 $ 231,263
July 1, 2012	July 31, 2012	43,500	$	91.65	43,500 $ 227,275
August 1, 2012	August 31, 2012	237,831	$	98.70	237,831 $ 203,793
September 1, 2012	September 30, 2012	232,418	$	96.91	232,418 $ 181,263
October 1, 2012	October 31, 2012		$		$ 181,263
November 1, 2012	November 30, 2012	322,187	$	87.58	322,187 $ 153,035
December 1, 2012	December 31, 2012	233,056	$	93.39	233,056 $ 131,263
January 1, 2013	January 31, 2013	15,000	$	105.75	15,000 $ 129,676
February 1, 2013		11,100	$	103.78	11,100 $ 128,524

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
31.1*	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2*	Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1*	Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

* Filed herewith.

** XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed herewith, is not a part of a registration statement or Prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on this 7th day of February, 2013.

F5 NETWORKS, INC.

By: /s/ ANDY REINLAND
Andy Reinland
Executive Vice President,
Chief Financial Officer
(principal financial officer)