

EGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORP  
Form 10-Q  
November 14, 2011  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**Form 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from            to

Commission File No. 001-35314

**eGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: EGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction)  
**77-0466366**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)  
of incorporation or organization)  
**1252 Borregas Avenue, Sunnyvale, CA**  
(Address of principal executive offices)  
**94089**  
(Zip Code)  
**(408) 636-4500**  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)  
**345 E. Middlefield, Mountain View, CA 94043**  
(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer ; accelerated filer and smaller reporting company , in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company   
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act): Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at November 8, 2011
Common Stock \$0.001 par value	24,340,353



**Table of Contents**

**eGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION**

**Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q**

**For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2011**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
PART I. <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	1
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	1
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011</u>	1
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	2
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u>	3
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	4
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	14
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	22
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	22
PART II. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	23
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	23
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	23
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	24
Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	24
Item 4. <u>(Removed and Reserved)</u>	24
Item 5. <u>Other Information</u>	24
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	24
<u>Signatures</u>	25

**Table of Contents****PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)****eGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)**

(in thousands)

	September 30, 2011	June 30, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 15,406	\$ 12,424
Short term investments		633
Current portion of restricted cash	37	39
Accounts receivable, net	4,674	8,197
Prepaid and other current assets	663	553
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>20,780</b>	<b>21,846</b>
Property and equipment, net	1,344	1,015
Goodwill	4,880	4,880
Restricted cash, net of current portion	1,000	
Other assets	456	483
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 28,460</b>	<b>\$ 28,224</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,318	\$ 924
Accrued compensation	1,958	3,279
Accrued liabilities	1,515	1,911
Current portion of deferred revenue	6,507	5,215
Current portion of capital lease obligation		28
Current portion of related party notes payable	5,131	4,975
Current portion of bank borrowings	1,667	1,667
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>18,096</b>	<b>17,999</b>
Deferred revenue, net of current portion	489	609
Bank borrowings, net of current portion	2,917	3,333
Other long term liabilities	256	271
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>21,758</b>	<b>22,212</b>
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 10 and 12)		
Stockholders equity :		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value authorized: 50,000 shares; outstanding: 24,340 shares as of September 30, 2011 and 24,062 shares as of June 30, 2011	24	24
Additional paid-in capital	325,731	325,569
Notes receivable from stockholders	(82)	(82)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(846)	(800)

Edgar Filing: EGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

Accumulated deficit	(318,125)	(318,699)
Total stockholders' equity	6,702	6,012
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 28,460	\$ 28,224

**See accompanying notes**

**to condensed consolidated financial statements**

**Table of Contents****eGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)**

(in thousands, except per share data)

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Revenue:</b>		
License	\$ 2,886	\$ 7,360
Recurring revenue	5,781	4,450
Professional services	1,717	1,276
<b>Total revenue</b>	<b>10,384</b>	<b>13,086</b>
Cost of license	(10)	14
Cost of recurring revenue	1,266	1,233
Cost of professional services	1,549	1,227
<b>Gross profit</b>	<b>7,579</b>	<b>10,612</b>
<b>Operating expenses:</b>		
Research and development	1,430	1,414
Sales and marketing	4,046	3,514
General and administrative	1,113	804
<b>Total operating expenses</b>	<b>6,589</b>	<b>5,732</b>
Income from operations	990	4,880
Interest expense, net	(175)	(276)
Other income / (expense), net	(210)	281
Income before income taxes	605	4,885
Income tax provision	(31)	(39)
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 574</b>	<b>\$ 4,846</b>
<b>Per share information:</b>		
Basic net income per common share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.22
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.22
Weighted average shares used in computing basic net income per common share	24,141	22,124
Weighted average shares used in computing diluted net income per common share	25,977	22,392

See accompanying notes

to condensed consolidated financial statements





**Table of Contents****eGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)**

(in thousands)

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net income	\$ 574	\$ 4,846
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	161	145
Stock-based compensation	130	54
Provisions for doubtful accounts	(35)	18
Accrued interest and amortization of discount on related party notes payable	156	274
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	3,926	(6,812)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(110)	(4)
Accounts payable	411	(11)
Accrued compensation	(1,290)	683
Accrued liabilities	(351)	(504)
Deferred revenue	781	535
Other long term liabilities	(6)	176
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	4,347	(600)
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment	(511)	(229)
Proceeds from sale of short-term investments	605	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	94	(229)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Payments on bank borrowings	(416)	(31)
Payments on capital lease obligations	(28)	(45)
Increase in restricted cash	(1,000)	
Payments to repurchase stock		(7)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	30	
Net cash used in financing activities	(1,414)	(83)
Effect of change in exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	(45)	(3)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2,982	(915)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	12,424	5,733
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 15,406	\$ 4,818
<b>Supplemental cash flow disclosures:</b>		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 36	\$ 3
Cash paid for taxes	\$ 31	\$ 43

See accompanying notes

Edgar Filing: EGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORP - Form 10-Q  
to condensed consolidated financial statements

**Table of Contents**

**eGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)**

**Note 1. Organization, Nature of Business and Basis of Presentation**

eGain Communications Corporation is one of the premier providers of cloud and on-premise customer interaction software for sales and service. For over a decade, eGain solutions have helped improve customer experience, grow sales, and optimize service processes across the web, social, and phone channels. Hundreds of global enterprises rely on eGain to transform fragmented sales engagement and customer service operations into unified Customer Interaction Hubs. The company has operations in the United States, United Kingdom, Netherlands, Ireland, Italy, Germany, and India.

We have prepared the condensed consolidated financial statements pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and included the accounts of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. Certain information and footnote disclosures, normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations although we believe that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information not misleading. In our opinion, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented.

These financial statements and notes should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. The condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2011 has been derived from audited financial statements as of that date but does not include all the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. The results of our operations for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the full fiscal year ending June 30, 2012. We have evaluated whether there were material subsequent events requiring recognition or disclosure, and there were none.

**Note 2. Software Revenue Recognition**

*Revenue Recognition*

We derive revenue from three sources: license fees, recurring revenue, and professional services. Recurring revenue includes hosting and software maintenance and support. Maintenance and support consists of technical support and software upgrades and enhancements. Professional services primarily consist of consulting, implementation services and training. Significant management judgments and estimates are made and used to determine the revenue recognized in any accounting period. Material differences may result in changes to the amount and timing of our revenue for any period if different conditions were to prevail. We present revenue net of taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities.

We apply the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification, or ASC 985-605, *Software Revenue Recognition*, to all transactions involving the licensing of software products. In the event of a multiple element arrangement for a license transaction, we evaluate the transaction as if each element represents a separate unit of accounting taking into account all factors following the accounting standards. We apply ASC 605, *Revenue Recognition*, for hosting transactions to determine the accounting treatment for multiple elements. We also apply ASC 605 for fixed fee arrangements in which we use the percentage of completion method to recognize revenue when reliable estimates are available for the costs and efforts necessary to complete the implementation services. When such estimates are not available, the completed contract method is utilized. Under the completed contract method, revenue is recognized only when a contract is completed or substantially complete.

When licenses are sold together with system implementation and consulting services, license fees are recognized upon shipment, provided that (i) payment of the license fees is not dependent upon the performance of the consulting and implementation services, (ii) the services are available from other vendors, (iii) the services qualify for separate accounting as we have sufficient experience in providing such services, have the ability to estimate cost of providing such services, and we have vendor-specific objective evidence of pricing, and (iv) the services are not essential to the functionality of the software.

We use signed software license and services agreements and order forms as evidence of an arrangement for sales of software, hosting, maintenance and support. We use signed engagement letters to evidence an arrangement for professional services.



---

## **Table of Contents**

### *License Revenue*

We recognize license revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the product has been delivered, no significant obligations remain, the fee is fixed or determinable, and collection of the resulting receivable is probable. In software arrangements that include rights to multiple software products and/or services, we use the residual method under which revenue is allocated to the undelivered elements based on vendor-specific objective evidence of the fair value of such undelivered elements. The residual amount of revenue is allocated to the delivered elements and recognized as revenue assuming all other criteria for revenue recognition have been met. Such undelivered elements in these arrangements typically consist of software maintenance and support, implementation and consulting services and, in some cases, hosting services.

Software is delivered to customers electronically or on a CD-ROM, and license files are delivered electronically. We assess whether the fee is fixed or determinable based on the payment terms associated with the transaction. We have standard payment terms included in our contracts. We assess collectability based on a number of factors, including the customer's past payment history and its current creditworthiness. If we determine that collection of a fee is not reasonably assured, we defer the revenue and recognize it at the time collection becomes reasonably assured, which is generally upon receipt of cash payment. If an acceptance period is required, revenue is recognized upon the earlier of customer acceptance or the expiration of the acceptance period.

We periodically sell to resellers. License sales to resellers as a percentage of total revenue were approximately 1% for both quarters ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. Revenue from sales to resellers is generally recognized upon delivery to the reseller but depends on the facts and circumstances of the transaction, such as our understanding of the reseller's plans to sell the software, whether there are any return provisions, price protection or other allowances, the reseller's financial status and our past experience with the particular reseller. Historically sales to resellers have not included any return provisions, price protections, or other allowances.

### *Hosting Revenue*

Included in recurring revenue is revenue derived from our hosted service offerings. We recognize hosting services revenue ratably over the period of the applicable agreement as services are provided. Hosting agreements typically have an initial term of one or two years and automatically renew unless either party cancels the agreement. The majority of the hosting services customers purchase a combination of our hosting service and professional services. In some cases the customer may also acquire a license for our software.

We evaluate whether each of the elements in these arrangements represents a separate unit of accounting, as defined by ASC 605, using all applicable facts and circumstances, including whether (i) we sell or could readily sell the element unaccompanied by the other elements, (ii) the element has stand-alone value to the customer, and (iii) there is a general right of return. We use vendor specific objective evidence, or VSOE, of fair value for each of those units, when available. For revenue recognition with multiple-deliverable elements, in certain limited circumstances when vendor specific objective evidence of fair value does not exist, we apply the selling price hierarchy. We consider the applicability of ASC 985-605, on a contract-by-contract basis. In hosted term-based agreements, where the customer does not have the contractual right to take possession of the software, the revenue is recognized on a monthly basis over the term of the contract. Invoiced amounts are recorded in accounts receivable and in deferred revenue or revenue, depending on whether the revenue recognition criteria have been met. For professional services that we determine do not have stand-alone value to the customer, we recognize the services revenue ratably over the longer of the remaining contractual period or the average estimated life of the customer hosting relationship, once hosting has gone live or system ready. We currently estimate the life of the customer hosting relationship to be approximately 26 months, based on the average life of all hosting customer relationships.

We consider a software element to exist when we determine that the customer has the contractual right to take possession of our software at any time during the hosting period without significant penalty and can feasibly run the software on its own hardware or enter into another arrangement with a third party to host the software. Additionally, we have established vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value for the hosting and support elements of perpetual license sales, based on the prices charged when sold separately and substantive renewal terms. Accordingly, when a software element exists in a hosting services arrangements, license revenue for the perpetual software license element is determined using the residual method and is recognized upon delivery. Revenue for the hosting and support elements is recognized ratably over the contractual time period. Professional services are recognized as described below under Professional Services Revenue. If vendor-specific evidence of fair value cannot be established for the undelivered elements of an agreement, the entire amount of revenue from the arrangement is recognized ratably over the period that these elements are delivered.

**Table of Contents***Maintenance and Support Revenue*

Included in recurring revenue is revenue derived from maintenance and support services. We use vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value for maintenance and support to account for the arrangement using the residual method, regardless of any separate prices stated within the contract for each element. Maintenance and support revenue is recognized ratably over the term of the maintenance contract, which is typically one year. Maintenance and support is renewable by the customer on an annual basis. Maintenance and support rates, including subsequent renewal rates, are typically established based upon a specified percentage of net license fees as set forth in the arrangement.

*Professional Services Revenue*

Included in professional services revenue is revenue derived from system implementation, consulting and training. For license transactions, the majority of our consulting and implementation services qualify for separate accounting. We use vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value for the services to account for the arrangement using the residual method, regardless of any separate prices stated within the contract for each element. Our consulting and implementation service contracts are bid either on a fixed-fee basis or on a time-and-materials basis. Substantially all of our contracts are on a time-and-materials basis. For time-and-materials contracts, where the services are not essential to the functionality, we recognize revenue as services are performed. If the services are essential to functionality, then both the product license revenue and the service revenue are recognized under the percentage of completion method. For a fixed-fee contract we recognize revenue based upon the costs and efforts to complete the services in accordance with the percentage of completion method, provided we are able to estimate such cost and efforts.

For hosting arrangements, consulting and implementation services that do not qualify for separate accounting, we recognized the services revenue ratably over the estimated life of the customer hosting relationship.

Training revenue that meets the criteria to be accounted for separately is recognized when training is provided or, in the case of hosting, when the customer also has access to the hosting services.

**Note 3. Stock-Based Compensation**

The stock-based compensation expense in our condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 was \$130,000 and \$54,000, respectively.

Below is a summary of stock-based compensation included in the costs and expenses (unaudited, in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Cost of professional services	\$ 18	\$ 7
Research and development	23	15
Sales and marketing	43	11
General and administrative	46	21
<b>Total stock-based compensation expense</b>	<b>\$ 130</b>	<b>\$ 54</b>

We utilized the Black-Scholes valuation model for estimating the fair value of the stock-based compensation of options granted. All shares of our common stock issued pursuant to our stock option plans are only issued out of an authorized reserve of shares of common stock which were previously registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission on a registration statement on Form S-8. Options to purchase 203,300 and 23,400 shares of common stock were granted during the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, with a weighted-average fair value of \$2.41 and \$0.49, respectively, using the following assumptions:

**Three Months Ended  
September 30,**

Edgar Filing: EGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

	2011	2010
Dividend yield		
Expected volatility	85%	80%
Average risk-free interest rate	1.15%	1.55%
Expected life (in years)	4.50	4.50

**Table of Contents**

The dividend yield of zero is based on the fact that we have never paid cash dividends and have no present intention to pay cash dividends. We determined the appropriate measure of expected volatility by reviewing historic volatility in the share price of our common stock, as adjusted for certain events that management deemed to be non-recurring and non-indicative of future events. The risk-free interest rate is derived from the average U.S. Treasury Strips rate with maturities approximating the expected lives of the awards during the period, which approximate the rate in effect at the time of the grant.

We develop the estimate of the expected life based on the historical exercise behavior, and cancellations of all past option grants made by the company during the time period which its equity shares have been publicly traded, the contractual term of the option, the vesting period and the expected remaining term of the outstanding options.

Total compensation cost, net of forfeitures, of all options granted but not yet vested as of September 30, 2011 was \$660,000, which is expected to be recognized over the weighted average period of 1.39 years. There were 40,161 options exercised for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and no options exercised for three months ended September 30, 2010.

**Note 4. Net Income per Common Share**

Basic net income per common share is computed using the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding. In periods where net income is reported, the weighted average number of shares outstanding is increased by warrants and options in the money to calculate diluted net income per common share.

The following table represents the calculation of basic and diluted net income per common share (unaudited, in thousands, except per share data):

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Net income applicable to common stockholders	\$ 574	\$ 4,846
Basic net income per common share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.22
Weighted-average common shares used in computing basic net income per common share	24,141	22,124
Effect of dilutive options and warrants	1,836	268
Weighted-average common shares used in computing diluted net income per common share	25,977	22,392
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.22

Weighted average shares of stock options and warrants to purchase 265,698 and 3,513,258 shares of common stock for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were not included in the computation of diluted net income per common share due to their effect being anti-dilutive.

**Note 5. Comprehensive Income**

We report comprehensive income and its components in accordance with ASC 220, *Comprehensive Income*. Under the accounting standards, comprehensive income includes all changes in equity during a period except those resulting from investments by or distributions to owners. Comprehensive income was \$528,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 as compared to a comprehensive income of \$4.9 million for the comparable year-ago quarter. Accumulated other comprehensive loss presented in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets at September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 consist solely of accumulated foreign currency translation adjustments.

The table below summarizes the comprehensive income (unaudited, in thousands):



Edgar Filing: EGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
Net income	\$ 574	\$ 4,846
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(46)	12
Comprehensive income	\$ 528	\$ 4,858

**Table of Contents****Note 6. Segment Information**

We operate in one segment, the development, license, implementation and support of our customer interaction software solutions. Operating segments are identified as components of an enterprise for which discrete financial information is available and regularly reviewed by the Company's chief operating decision-makers in order to make decisions about resources to be allocated to the segment and assess its performance. Our chief operating decision-makers, under ASC 280, *Segment Reporting*, are our executive management team. Our chief operating decision-makers review financial information presented on a consolidated basis for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. Information relating to our geographic areas for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 is as follows (unaudited, in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Total Revenue:</b>		
North America	\$ 6,581	\$ 3,289
EMEA	3,770	9,774
Asia Pacific	33	23
	<b>\$ 10,384</b>	<b>\$ 13,086</b>
<b>Operating Income:</b>		
North America	\$ 1,204	\$ (565)
EMEA	726	6,257
Asia Pacific*	(940)	(812)
	<b>\$ 990</b>	<b>\$ 4,880</b>

\* Includes costs associated with corporate support.

In addition, identifiable tangible assets corresponding to our geographic areas are as follows (unaudited, in thousands):

	<b>September 30, 2011</b>	<b>June 30, 2011</b>
North America	\$ 14,916	\$ 15,854
EMEA	7,402	5,800
Asia Pacific	1,262	1,690
	<b>\$ 23,580</b>	<b>\$ 23,344</b>

The following table provides the revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, (unaudited, in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Revenue :</b>		
License	\$ 2,886	\$ 7,360
Hosting services	2,623	1,906
Maintenance and support services	3,158	2,544

Edgar Filing: EGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

Professional services	1,717	1,276
	\$ 10,384	\$ 13,086

For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, there was one customer that accounted for 18% and 55% of total revenue, respectively.

---

**Table of Contents****Note 7. Related Party Notes Payable**

On December 24, 2002, we entered into a note and warrant purchase agreement, as amended, or the 2002 Agreement, with Ashutosh Roy, our Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to which Mr. Roy made a loan to us evidenced by a subordinated secured promissory note and received warrants to purchase shares of our common stock in connection with such loan. The five year subordinated secured promissory note bore interest at an effective annual rate of 12% due and payable upon the term of such note. We had the option to prepay the note at any time subject to the prepayment penalties set forth in such note. On December 31, 2002, Mr. Roy loaned us \$2.0 million under the agreement and received warrants that allow him to purchase up to 236,742 shares of our common stock at an exercise price equal to \$2.11 per share. These warrants expired in December 2005. In connection with this loan, we recorded \$1.83 million in related party notes payable and \$173,000 of discount on the note related to the relative value of the warrants issued in the transaction that was amortized to interest expense over the five year life of the note.

On October 31, 2003, we entered into an amendment to the 2002 Agreement with Mr. Roy, pursuant to which he loaned to us an additional \$2.0 million evidenced by a subordinated secured promissory note, or the 2003 Note, and received additional warrants to purchase up to 128,766 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$3.88 per share. These warrants expired in October 2008. In connection with this additional loan, we recorded \$1.8 million in related party note payable and \$195,000 of discount on the note related to the relative value of the warrants issued in the transaction that was amortized to interest expense over the five year life of the note. These notes were amended and reinstated on June 29, 2007 and on September 24, 2008.

On March 31, 2004, we entered into a note and warrant purchase agreement with Mr. Roy, Oak Hill Capital Partners L.P., Oak Hill Capital Management Partners L.P., and FW Investors L.P., or the lenders, pursuant to which the lenders loaned to us \$2.5 million evidenced by secured promissory notes and received warrants to purchase shares of our common stock in connection with such loan. The secured promissory notes had a term of five years and bore interest at an effective annual rate of 12% due and payable upon the maturity of such notes. The warrants allowed the lenders to purchase up to 312,500 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share. These warrants expired in March 2007. We recorded \$2.3 million in related party notes payable and \$223,000 of discount on the notes related to the relative value of the warrants issued in the transaction that are being amortized to interest expense over the five year life of the notes. These notes were amended and restated on September 24, 2008.

On June 29, 2007, we amended and restated the 2002 and 2003 notes with Mr. Roy and he loaned to us an additional \$2.0 million evidenced by a subordinated secured promissory note, or the 2007 Note, and received additional warrants that allowed him to purchase up to 333,333 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$1.20 per share. The warrants expired in June 2010. In connection with this additional loan we recorded \$1.8 million in related party notes payable and \$187,000 discount on the notes related to the relative value of the warrants issued in the transaction that are being amortized to interest expense over the life of the note. In addition, the amendment extended the maturity date of the previous notes through March 31, 2009. This note was amended and restated on September 24, 2008.

On September 24, 2008, we entered into a Conversion Agreement and Amendment to Subordinated Secured Promissory Notes, as amended, or the Agreement, with the lenders. Immediately prior to the Agreement, the total outstanding indebtedness, including accrued interest, under the prior notes issued to the lenders, including the 2002, 2003 and 2007 Notes, as amended as applicable, equaled \$13.8 million. Pursuant to the Agreement and subject to the terms and conditions contained therein, we and the lenders have (i) converted a portion of the outstanding indebtedness under the prior notes equal to \$6.5 million into shares of our common stock at a price per share equal to \$0.95, or at a fair value of \$3.4 million, or the Note Conversion, and (ii) extended the maturity date of the remaining outstanding indebtedness of \$7.3 million to March 31, 2012, as well as the period for which interest shall accrue, or the Note Extension. In consideration for the Note Extension, the lenders received warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,525,515 shares of our common stock at a price per share equal to \$0.95 and as a result, we recorded \$272,000 of discount on the notes related to the relative value of the warrants issued in the transaction are being amortized to interest expense over the three year life of the note. The fair value of these warrants was determined using the Black-Scholes valuation method with the following assumptions: an expected life of three years, an expected stock price volatility of 80%, a risk free interest rate of 2.26%, and a dividend yield of 0%. In addition we recorded the \$3.1 million gain on the Note Conversion as a deemed contribution to capital since the lenders are related parties.

On June 30, 2011, and pursuant to the Agreement we paid in full all outstanding indebtedness, including interest, to Oak Hill Capital Partners L.P., Oak Hill Capital Management Partners L.P., and FW Investors L.P. and on September 7, 2011 they exercised 307,022 warrants on a cashless basis and received 238,393 shares of our common stock. In addition we made a partial payment to Mr. Roy for \$2.9 million including accrued interest against his notes. Mr. Roy also exercised his warrants to purchase 1,218,493 shares of our common stock in March 2011. As of September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011, the principal, net of discount, and interest due on the loans was \$5.1 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. The interest expense on related party notes was \$156,000 and \$274,000 for three months ended September 30, 2011, and 2010, respectively.



**Table of Contents****Note 8. Bank Borrowings**

On June 27, 2011, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement, or the Comerica Credit Facility, with Comerica Bank, or Comerica, as may be amended from time to time. Our obligations under the Comerica Credit Facility are secured by a lien on our assets. In addition, Mr. Roy has subordinated his security interests to those of Comerica pursuant to a Subordination Agreement dated as of June 27, 2011. The Comerica Credit Facility provides for the advance of up to the lesser of \$1.5 million under a revolving line of credit, or the sum of (i) 80% of certain qualified receivables, less (ii) the aggregate face amounts of any letter of credit issued and any outstanding obligations to Comerica. The revolving line of credit has a maturity date of June 27, 2012 and bears interest at a rate of prime plus 0.75% per annum. As of September 30, 2011 there was no outstanding balance under the revolving credit line. The Comerica Credit Facility also provides \$5.0 million to pay off existing obligations associated with our related parties, or the Comerica Term Loan, bears interest at a rate of prime plus 1.0% per annum, and is payable in 36 equal monthly payments of principal and interest. As of September 30, 2011 the amount outstanding under the Comerica Term Loan was \$4.6 million with an interest rate of 4.25%. There are a number of affirmative and negative covenants under the Comerica Credit Facility, with the primary covenants being that we are required to maintain a minimum cash balance of \$1.0 million and we must maintain liquidity to debt ratio of at least 1.50 to 1.00. If we fail to comply with our covenants, Comerica can declare any outstanding amounts immediately due and payable and stop extending credit to us. As of September 30, 2011 we were in compliance with the covenants. Additionally, we accounted for the \$1.0 million minimum cash balance as non-current restricted cash as the funds are not available for immediate withdraw or use and the term of the borrowing arrangement is more than 12 months. The Comerica Credit Facility also requires Mr. Roy's remaining related party debt to be repaid or converted to equity by the end of December 2011.

The following table summarizes debt maturities for the next five years and thereafter on an aggregate basis at September 30, 2011:

	<b>Bank Borrowings</b>
2012	\$ 1,250
2013	1,667
2014	1,667
2015	
2016	
Thereafter	
<b>Total Bank Borrowings</b>	<b>\$ 4,584</b>

**Note 9. Income Taxes**

Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method in accordance with ASC 740, *Income Tax*. Under this method, deferred tax liabilities and assets are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Based upon the weight of available evidence, which includes our historical operating performance and the reported cumulative net losses in all prior years, we have provided a full valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets except the deferred tax assets related to India as we believe it is more likely than not that those assets will be realized. Our provision consists of foreign and state income taxes. Our income tax rate differs from the statutory tax rates primarily due to the utilization of net operating loss carry-forwards which had previously been valued against.

The Company accounts for uncertain tax positions according to the provisions of ASC 740. ASC 740 contains a two-step approach for recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions. Tax positions are evaluated for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is probable that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation. Tax benefits are then measured as the largest amount which is more than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. The Company considers many factors when evaluating and estimating tax positions and tax benefits, which may require periodic adjustments and which may not accurately anticipate actual outcomes. No material changes have occurred in the Company's tax positions taken as of June 30, 2011 and the three months ended September 30, 2011.

**Note 10. Commitments**

We generally warrant that the program portion of our software will perform substantially in accordance with certain specifications for a period up to one year from the date of delivery. Our liability for a breach of this warranty is either a return of the license fee or providing a fix, patch, work-around or replacement of the software.



---

## **Table of Contents**

We also provide standard warranties against and indemnification for the potential infringement of third party intellectual property rights to our customers relating to the use of our products, as well as indemnification agreements with certain officers and employees under which we may be required to indemnify such persons for liabilities arising out of their duties to us. The terms of such obligations vary. Generally, the maximum obligation is the amount permitted by law.

Historically, costs related to these warranties have not been significant. Accordingly, we have no liabilities recorded for these costs as of September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011. However we cannot guarantee that a warranty reserve will not become necessary in the future.

We have also agreed to indemnify our directors and executive officers for costs associated with any fees, expenses, judgments, fines and settlement amounts incurred by any of these persons in any action or proceeding to which any of those persons is, or is threatened to be, made a party by reason of the person's service as a director or officer, including any action by us, arising out of that person's services as our director or officer or that person's services provided to any other company or enterprise at our request.

### **Note 11. New Accounting Pronouncements**

In September 2011, *Financial Accounting Standard Board*, or FASB, issued *Accounting Standards Update*, or ASU 2011-08, *Testing Goodwill for Impairment*. This update is intended to simplify how entities, both public and nonpublic, test goodwill for impairment and permits an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount as a basis for determining whether it is necessary to perform the two-step goodwill impairment test described in ASC 350, *Intangibles-Goodwill and Other*. The more-likely-than-not threshold is defined as having a likelihood of more than 50%. The update is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011 (our fiscal year 2013); early adoption is permitted. We do not anticipate that this update will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income*, on comprehensive income presentation to allow an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income, and a total amount for comprehensive income. This update eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The amendments to the Codification in the ASU do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. This update should be applied retrospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Early adoption is permitted. We do not anticipate the adoption of this amendment to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-04, *Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS*, on fair value measurement, which is intended to create consistency between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendments include clarification on the application of certain existing fair value measurement guidance and expanded disclosures for fair value measurements that are estimated using significant unobservable (Level 3) inputs. The update should be applied prospectively. For public entities, the amendments are effective during interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011. Early application by public entities is not permitted. We are currently evaluating the requirements of this standard, but do not expect it to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In March 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-28, *When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts*, on goodwill and other intangible assets. The amendment modifies Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if there are qualitative factors indicating that it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. The qualitative factors are consistent with the existing guidance which requires goodwill of a reporting unit to be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. This amendment is effective for public entities for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this amendment did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, *Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*, on fair value measurement and disclosures which amends existing fair value measurements and disclosures, adding new requirements for disclosures for Levels 1 and 2, separate disclosures and purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements and clarification of existing fair value disclosures. The update is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the requirement to provide Level 3 activity of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a gross basis, which is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 (our fiscal year 2012); early adoption is permitted. We have made additional disclosures in footnote 13, as applicable for Level 1. We do



not have any Level 3 asset and liabilities.

**Table of Contents****Note 12. Litigation**

Beginning on October 25, 2001, a number of securities class action complaints were filed against us, and certain of our then officers and directors and underwriters connected with our initial public offering of common stock. The class actions were filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaints alleged generally that the prospectus under which such securities were sold contained false and misleading statements with respect to discounts and excess commissions received by the underwriters as well as allegations of "laddering" whereby underwriters required their customers to purchase additional shares in the aftermarket in exchange for an allocation of IPO shares. The complaints sought an unspecified amount in damages on behalf of persons who purchased the common stock between September 23, 1999 and December 6, 2000. Similar complaints were filed against 55 underwriters and more than 300 other companies and other individuals. The over 1,000 actions were consolidated into a single action called *In re Initial Public Offering Sec. Litig.* In 2003, we and the other issuer defendants (but not the underwriter defendants) reached an agreement with the plaintiffs to resolve the cases as to our liability and that of our officers and directors. The settlement involved no monetary payment or other consideration by us or our officers and directors and no admission of liability. On August 31, 2005, the Court issued an order preliminarily approving the settlement. On April 24, 2006, the Court held a public hearing on the fairness of the proposed settlement. Meanwhile the consolidated case against the underwriters proceeded. In October 2004, the Court certified a class. On December 5, 2006, however, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed, holding that the class certified by the District Court could not be certified. *In re Initial Public Offering Sec. Litig.*, 471 F.3d 24 (2d Cir. 2006), *modified* F 3d 70 (2d Cir. 2007). The Second Circuit's holding, while directly affecting only the underwriters, raised doubt as to whether the settlement class contemplated by the proposed issuer settlement could be approved. On June 25, 2007, the district court entered a stipulated order terminating the proposed issuer settlement. Thereafter pretrial proceedings resumed. In March 2009, all parties agreed on a new global settlement of the litigation; this settlement included underwriters as well as issuers. Under the settlement, the insurers would pay the full amount of settlement share allocated to us, and we would bear no financial liability. We, as well as the officer and director defendants, who were previously dismissed from the action pursuant to a stipulation, would receive complete dismissals from the case. On June 10, 2009, the Court entered an order granting preliminary approval of the settlement. On October 5, 2009, the Court issued an order finally approving the settlement. Starting on or about October 23, 2009, some would-be objectors to the certification of a settlement class (which occurred as part of the October 5, 2009 order) petitioned the Court for permission to appeal from the order certifying the settlement class, and on October 29 and November 2, 2009, several groups of objectors filed notices of appeal seeking to challenge the Court's approval of the settlement. On November 24, 2009, the Court signed, and on December 4, 2009, the Court entered final judgment pursuant to the settlement dismissing all claims involving us. The appeals remain pending and briefing on the appeals is set to begin in October 2010 and end in the spring of 2011. On October 7, 2010, lead plaintiffs and all but two of the objectors filed a stipulation pursuant to which these objectors withdrawing their appeals with prejudice. The remaining two objectors, however, are continuing to pursue their appeals and have filed their opening briefs. On December 8, 2010, plaintiffs moved to dismiss the appeals. On March 2, 2011, one of the two appellants, appearing pro se, filed a stipulated dismissal of his appeal with prejudice. On May 17, 2011, the Court of Appeals dismissed the appeals of two of the three remaining appellants, and directed the district court to determine whether the third and final appellant had standing. On August 25, 2011, the district court determined that the final appellant lacked standing. This litigation will be concluded unless that determination is successfully appealed. If the settlement and final judgment were to be overturned on appeal and litigation were to proceed, we believe that we have meritorious defenses to plaintiffs' claims and intend to defend the action vigorously. We have not accrued any liability in connection with this matter as we do not expect the outcome of this litigation to have a material impact on our financial condition.

In May 2010, Microlog Corporation filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court in the Eastern District of Texas, case number 6:10-CV-260 LED against a number of defendants, including several current and past eGain customers. LaQuinta Corporation, a named defendant in the Microlog case and a former eGain customer has subsequently filed a third party claim against us requesting indemnification from us in connection with the Microlog case. We filed a motion to dismiss this claim, which was denied by the court on September 29, 2011. In addition, the court denied LaQuinta Corporation's motion for summary judgment. We have filed our answer to LaQuinta Corporation third party claim and this matter is on-going.

From time to time, we are involved in legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business. We believe that the resolution of these matters will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

**Note 13. Fair Value Measurement**

ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures*, defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value of assets and liabilities, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the assets or liabilities in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Subsequent changes in fair value of these financial assets and liabilities are recognized in earnings or other comprehensive income when they occur. ASC 820 applies whenever other statements require or permit assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value.



**Table of Contents**

ASC 820 includes a fair value hierarchy, of which the first two are considered observable and the last unobservable, that is intended to increase the consistency and comparability in fair value measurements and related disclosures. Valuation techniques used to measure fair value must maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. Observable inputs reflect assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability based on market data obtained from independent sources while unobservable inputs reflect a reporting entity's pricing based upon their own market assumptions. The fair value hierarchy consists of the following three levels:

- Level 1 instrument valuations are obtained from real-time quotes for transactions in active exchange markets involving identical assets.
- Level 2 instrument valuations are obtained from readily-available pricing sources for comparable instruments.
- Level 3 instrument valuations are obtained without observable market value and require a high level of judgment to determine the fair value.

The following table summarizes the fair value hierarchy of our financial assets and liabilities measured (unaudited, in thousands):

	As of September 30, 2011		As of June 30, 2011	
	Level 1	Total Balance	Level 1	Total Balance
<b>Assets</b>				
Money market funds	\$ 8,002	\$ 8,002	\$ 9,543	\$ 9,543
Time deposits			633	633
Total Assets	\$ 8,002	\$ 8,002	\$ 10,176	\$ 10,176

The Company uses quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities to determine fair value of Level 1 investments.

As of September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011, we did not have any Level 2 or 3 assets or liabilities.

Our financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, investments, accounts receivable, accounts payable and debt. We do not have any derivative financial instruments. We believe the reported carrying amounts of these financial instruments approximate fair value, based upon their short-term nature and comparable market information available at the respective balance sheet dates.

**Note 14. Share Repurchase Program**

On September 14, 2009, we announced that our board of directors approved a repurchase program under which we may purchase up to 1,000,000 shares of our common stock. The duration of the repurchase program is open-ended. Under the program, we purchase shares of common stock from time to time through the open market and privately negotiated transactions at prices deemed appropriate by management. The repurchase is funded by cash on hand. For the three months ended September 30, 2011 there were no shares repurchased and we retired 13,190 of previously repurchased shares. For the three months ended September 30, 2010, we had repurchased and retired 114,136 shares at an average price of \$0.99. As of September 30, 2011, we had repurchased a total of 321,551 shares at an average price of \$1.19 per share under the share repurchase program.

**Table of Contents****Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

*This report on Form 10-Q and the documents incorporated herein by reference contain forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. These statements may be identified by the use of the words such as anticipates, believes, continue, could, would, estimates, expects, intends, may, might, plans, potential, should, or will and similar expressions or the negative of those terms. The forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, risks stemming from: our failure to compete successfully in the markets in which we do business; the adequacy of our capital resources and need for additional financing; continued lengthy and delayed sales cycles; the development and expansion of our strategic and third party distribution partnership and relationships with systems integrators; our ability to improve our current products; our ability to innovate and respond to rapid technological change and competitive challenges; legal and regulatory uncertainties and other risks related to protection of our intellectual property assets; our ability to anticipate our competitors; the operational integrity and maintenance of our systems; the uncertainty of demand for our products; the anticipated customer benefits from our products; the actual mix in new business between hosting and license transactions when compared with management's projections; the ability to continue increasing investment in sales and marketing; our ability to hire additional personnel and retain key personnel; our ability to manage our expenditures and estimate future expenses, revenue, and operational requirements; our ability to manage our business plans, strategies and outlooks and any business-related forecasts or projections; risks from our substantial international operations; our ability to manage future growth; the trading price of our common stock; and geographical and currency fluctuations and other risks discussed in Risk Factors in this report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in statements relating to our future plans, product releases, objectives, expectations and intentions, and other assumptions underlying or relating to any of these statements. These forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions and speak only as of the date hereof. We expressly disclaim any obligation or understanding to release publicly any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statements contained herein to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based unless required by law.*

All references to eGain, the Company, our, we or us mean eGain Communications Corporation and its subsidiaries, except where it is clear from the context that such terms mean only this parent company and excludes subsidiaries.

**Overview**

eGain Communications Corporation is one of the premier providers of cloud and on-premise customer interaction software for sales and service. For over a decade, eGain solutions have helped improve customer experience, grow sales, and optimize service processes across the web, social, and phone channels. Hundreds of global enterprises rely on eGain to transform fragmented sales engagement and customer service operations into unified Customer Interaction Hubs. The company has operations in the United States, United Kingdom, Netherlands, Ireland, Italy, Germany, and India.

**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations discuss our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires our management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expense during the reporting period. On an on-going basis, our management evaluates its estimates and judgments, including those related to revenue recognition, valuation allowance and accrued liabilities, long-lived assets and stock-based compensation. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We have reassessed the critical accounting policies as disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on September 27, 2011 and determined that there were no significant changes to our critical accounting policies in the three months ended September 30, 2011 except for recently adopted accounting guidance as discussed in Note 11, New Accounting Pronouncements to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

There have been no material changes to these estimates for the periods presented in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. For a detailed explanation of the judgments made in these areas, refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations within our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2011, which we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 27, 2011.



**Table of Contents****Results of Operations**

The following table sets forth certain items reflected in our condensed consolidated statements of operations expressed as a percent of total revenue for the periods indicated:

	<b>Three Months Ended September 30,</b>	
	<b>2011</b>	<b>2010</b>
<b>Revenue:</b>		
License	28%	56%
Recurring revenue	56%	34%
Professional services	16%	10%
<b>Total revenue</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
Cost of license	0%	0%
Cost of recurring revenue	12%	10%
Cost of professional services	15%	9%
<b>Gross margin</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>81%</b>
<b>Operating expenses:</b>		
Research and development	14%	11%
Sales and marketing	39%	27%
General and administrative	11%	6%
<b>Total operating expenses</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>44%</b>
<b>Income from operations</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>37%</b>

**Revenue**

Total revenue decreased 21% to \$10.4 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from \$13.1 million in the comparable year-ago quarter. The year-over-year decrease in revenue is attributable to a large one-time license fee received in the quarter ended September 30, 2010 that represented our largest license agreement to date. For the three months ended September 30, 2011, there was one customer that accounted for 18% of total revenue, and there was one customer that accounted for 55% of total revenue, in the comparable year-ago quarter. To measure the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuation, we recalculate current period results using the comparable prior period exchange rate. The impact of the foreign exchange fluctuation between the U.S. Dollar and the Euro and British Pound resulted in a net increase of \$118,000 in total revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the comparable year-ago quarter.

We are continuing to see increased interest in our customer interaction solutions but there remains a general unpredictability in the length of our current sales cycles, the timing of revenue recognition on more complex license transactions and seasonal buying patterns. Also, because we offer a hybrid delivery model, the mix of new hosting and license transactions in a quarter could also have an impact on our revenue in a particular quarter. We are continuing to see that the mix of license and hosting business fluctuate from quarter to quarter. The value of hosting transactions, as a percentage of combined new hosting business and new license and support business excluding the Cisco OEM agreement was 49% for the quarter ended September 30, 2011, compared to 12% for the comparable year-ago quarter. For license transactions, the license revenue amount is generally recognized in the quarter that delivery and acceptance of our software takes place whereas, for hosting transactions, hosting revenue is recognized ratably over the term of the hosting contract, which is typically one to two years. As a result, our total revenue may increase or decrease in future quarters as a result of the timing and mix of license and hosting transactions.

*License Revenue*

Edgar Filing: EGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended			
	2011	2010	Change	%
License	\$ 2,886	\$ 7,360	\$ (4,474)	(61)%
Percentage of total revenue	28%	56%		



**Table of Contents**

License revenue decreased 61% to \$2.9 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from \$7.4 million in the comparable year-ago quarter. The license revenue in the quarter included two major license transactions totaling approximately \$2.2 million compared to a large transaction of approximately \$7.0 million in the comparable year-ago quarter. The impact from the foreign currency fluctuations on license revenue was minimal for the quarter ended September 30, 2011. License revenue represented 28% and 56% of total revenue for the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Given the general unpredictability of the length of current sales cycles, the mix between recurring and license business, the uncertainty in the global economy and the recent volatility of the value of the British Pound and Euro in relation to the U.S. Dollar, license revenue may increase or decrease in future periods, but we anticipate total license revenue to increase in fiscal year 2012.

*Recurring Revenue*

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended			
	2011	2010	Change	%
Hosting	\$ 2,623	\$ 1,906	\$ 717	38%
Maintenance and support	3,158	2,544	614	24%
<b>Total recurring revenue</b>	<b>\$ 5,781</b>	<b>\$ 4,450</b>	<b>\$ 1,331</b>	<b>30%</b>
Percentage of total revenue	56%	34%		

Recurring revenue includes hosting and software maintenance and support revenue. Software maintenance and support revenue consists of technical support and software upgrades and enhancements. Recurring revenue increased 30% to \$5.8 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from \$4.5 million for the comparable year-ago quarter. Recurring revenue represented 56% and 34% of total revenue for the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Hosting revenue increased 38% to \$2.6 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from the comparable year-ago quarter. The positive impact from the foreign currency fluctuations on hosting revenue was \$38,000 for the quarter ended September 30 2011. The increase in hosting revenue was primarily due to the expansion within our current customer base and new hosting contracts totaling approximately \$3.4 million that were entered into in fiscal year 2011 and are recognized ratably over the contractual term.

Maintenance and support revenue increased 24% to \$3.2 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from \$2.5 million in the comparable year-ago quarter. Maintenance and support revenue was positively impacted by \$66,000 due to the strengthening of the British Pound against the U.S. Dollar for the quarter ended September 30 2011. The increase in maintenance and support revenue was primarily due to the maintenance and support associated with new license contracts totaling approximately \$16.2 million that were entered into in fiscal year 2011.

Excluding the impact from any future foreign currency fluctuations, we expect recurring revenue to increase or remain relatively constant in future periods.

*Professional Services Revenue*

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended			
	2011	2010	Change	%
Professional services	\$ 1,717	\$ 1,276	\$ 441	35%
Percentage of total revenue	16%	10%		

Professional services revenue increased 35% to \$1.7 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from \$1.3 million in the comparable year-ago quarter. The increase for the three months was primarily due to the increase in billable utilization and the timing of the completion of projects being delivered. The impact from the foreign currency fluctuations on professional services revenue was minimal for the quarter ended September 30, 2011. Professional services revenue represented 16% and 10% of total revenue for the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Excluding the impact from any future foreign currency fluctuations, we expect professional services revenue to increase in future periods.



**Table of Contents***Cost of Revenue*

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended			
	2011	2010	Change	%
Cost of revenue	\$ 2,805	\$ 2,474	\$ 331	13%
Percentage of total revenue	27%	19%		
Gross margin	73%	81%		

Total cost of revenue increased 13% to \$2.8 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from \$2.5 million in the comparable year-ago quarter. Total cost of revenue represented 27% and 19% of total revenue in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase was primarily due to (i) an increase of \$310,000 in personnel and personnel-related expenses; (ii) \$45,000 from the foreign exchange fluctuation between the U.S. Dollar, the Euro, British Pound and India Rupee; (iii) \$4,000 in outside consulting; offset by (iv) \$28,000 recurring related expenses. Gross margin for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 was 73% compared to 81% in the comparable year-ago quarter. The decrease in gross margin was primarily due to the decrease in the gross profit of the license revenue resulting from the decrease in the license revenue.

In order to better understand the changes within our cost of revenue and resulting gross profit, we have provided the following discussion of the individual components of our cost of revenue.

*Cost of License*

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended			
	2011	2010	Change	%
Cost of license	\$ (10)	\$ 14	\$ (24)	(171)%
Percentage of license revenue	0%	0%		
Gross margin	100%	100%		

Cost of license is the cost for third-party software embedded in our products. Total cost of license decreased by \$24,000 in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from the comparable year-ago quarter. Total cost of license as a percentage of total license revenue was approximately 0%, a gross margin of approximately 100% in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 as compared to approximately 0%, a gross margin of approximately 100% in the comparable year-ago quarter.

We anticipate cost of license to remain relatively constant as a percentage of total license revenue in future periods.

*Cost of Recurring Revenue*

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended			
	2011	2010	Change	%
Cost of recurring revenue	\$ 1,266	\$ 1,233	\$ 33	3%
Percentage of recurring revenue	22%	28%		
Gross margin	78%	72%		

Cost of recurring revenue includes personnel costs for our hosting services and maintenance and support. It also includes depreciation of capital equipment used in our hosted network, cost of support for the third-party software and lease costs paid to remote co-location centers. Total cost of recurring revenue increased 3% to \$1.3 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from \$1.2 million for the comparable year-ago quarter. The increase was primarily due to (i) an increase of \$22,000 in personnel and personnel-related expenses; (ii) \$22,000 from the foreign exchange fluctuation between the U.S. Dollar, the Euro, British Pound and India Rupee; offset by (iii) a decrease of \$4,000 in related recurring expenses; and (iv) a decrease of \$7,000 in outside consulting services. The gross margin for the quarter ending September 30, 2011 was 78% compared to gross margin of 72% in the comparable year-ago quarter. The increase in the gross margin was primarily due to 30% increase in the recurring revenue while the cost of recurring revenue remained relatively constant through improved cost efficiency.



**Table of Contents**

Excluding the impact from any future foreign currency fluctuations, we anticipate cost of recurring revenue to remain relatively constant or increase slightly in future periods.

*Cost of Professional Services*

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2011	2010	Change	%
Cost of professional services	\$ 1,549	\$ 1,227	\$ 322	26%
Percentage of professional service revenue	90%	96%		
Gross margin	10%	4%		

Cost of professional services includes personnel costs for implementation, consulting and training services. Total cost of professional services for the three months ended September 30, 2011 increased 26% to \$1.6 million, compared to \$1.2 million for the comparable year-ago quarter. The increase was primarily due to (i) an increase of \$288,000 in personnel and personnel-related expenses; (ii) \$22,000 from the foreign exchange fluctuation between the U.S. Dollar, the Euro, British Pound and India Rupee; (iii) \$11,000 in outside consulting services. The gross margin for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 was 10% compared to a gross margin of 4% in the comparable year-ago quarter. The increase in the gross margin was primarily due to improved utilization compared to the same quarter a year-ago.

Excluding the impact from any future foreign currency fluctuations, we anticipate cost of professional services to increase in future periods.

**Operating Expenses***Research and Development*

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2011	2010	Change	%
Research and development	\$ 1,430	\$ 1,414	\$ 16	1%
Percentage of total revenue	14%	11%		

Research and development expense primarily consists of compensation and benefits for our engineering, product management and development, and quality assurance personnel, fees for outside consultants and, to a lesser extent, occupancy costs and related overhead. Research and development costs remained relatively flat at \$1.4 million in the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. The slight fluctuation was primarily due to (i) an increase of \$68,000 in personnel and personnel-related expenses; (ii) \$5,000 from the foreign exchange fluctuation between the U.S. Dollar, the Euro, British Pound and India Rupee; offset by a decrease of \$58,000 in outside consulting services. Total research and development revenue as a percentage of total revenue was 14% and 11% for the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Excluding the impact from any future foreign currency fluctuations, we anticipate research and development expense to increase slightly in absolute Dollars in future periods.

*Sales and Marketing*

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2011	2010	Change	%
Sales	\$ 3,284	\$ 2,922	\$ 362	12%
Marketing	762	592	170	29%
Total sales and marketing	\$ 4,046	\$ 3,514	\$ 532	15%
Percentage of total revenue	39%	27%		

## Edgar Filing: EGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

Sales and marketing expense primarily consist of compensation and benefits for our sales, marketing and business development personnel, lead generation activities, advertising, trade show and other promotional costs and, to a lesser extent, occupancy costs and related overhead. Sales and marketing expense increased 15% to \$4.0 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from \$3.5 million in the comparable year-ago quarter. Total sales and marketing expense as a percentage of total revenue was 39% in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 compared to 27% in the comparable year-ago quarter.

**Table of Contents**

Total sales expense increased 12% to \$3.3 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from \$2.9 million in the comparable year-ago quarter. The increase was primarily due to (i) an increase of \$263,000 in personnel and personnel-related expense due to our expansion in sales team; (ii) \$63,000 in outside consulting services and (iii) \$33,000 from the foreign exchange fluctuation between the U.S. Dollar, the Euro, British Pound and India Rupee.

Total marketing expense increased 29% to \$762,000 in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from \$592,000 in the comparable year-ago quarter. The increase was primarily due to (i) \$114,000 in marketing program expense attributed to the expansion in sales team; (ii) \$57,000 in personnel and personnel-related expenses; (iii) \$5,000 from the foreign exchange fluctuation between the U.S. Dollar, the Euro, British Pound and India Rupee, offset by (iv) a \$3,000 decrease in outside consulting services.

Excluding the impact from any future foreign currency fluctuations and the change in sales commission, we anticipate sales and marketing expense to increase in future periods based upon our planned expansion of our worldwide sales team and planned investment in marketing and partner development.

*General and Administrative*

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended			
	2011	2010	Change	%
General and administrative	\$ 1,113	\$ 804	\$ 309	38%
Percentage of total revenue	11%	6%		

General and administrative expense primarily consist of compensation and benefits for our finance, human resources, administrative and legal services personnel, fees for outside professional services, provision for doubtful accounts and, to a lesser extent, occupancy costs and related overhead. Total general and administrative expense increased 38% to \$1.1 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 from \$804,000 in the comparable year-ago quarter. The increase was primarily due to (i) an increase of \$185,000 accounting and outside consulting expenses; (ii) \$158,000 in personnel and personnel-related expense related to the increase in headcount and compensation adjustment; (iii) 6,000 from the foreign exchange fluctuation between the U.S. Dollar, the Euro, British Pound and India Rupee; offset by (iv) a decrease of \$37,000 in legal expense; and (v) \$6,000 in bad debt expense. Total general and administrative expense as a percentage of total revenue was 11% and 6% for the quarters ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Excluding the impact from any future foreign currency fluctuations, we anticipate general and administrative expense to increase in future periods.

*Stock-Based Compensation*

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended			
	2011	2010	Change	%
Cost of professional services and recurring revenue	\$ 18	\$ 7	\$ 11	157%
Research and development	23	15	8	53%
Sales and marketing	43	11	32	291%
General and administrative	46	21	25	119%
<b>Total Stock-Based Compensation</b>	<b>\$ 130</b>	<b>\$ 54</b>	<b>\$ 76</b>	<b>141%</b>

Percentage of total revenue 1% 0%

Stock-based compensation expense includes the amortization of the fair value of share-based payments made to employees, directors and consultants, primarily in the form of stock options. The fair value of stock options granted is recognized as an expense as the underlying stock options vest. The stock-based compensation expense increased \$76,000 when compared to the comparable year-ago quarter.





**Table of Contents****Income from Operations**

(in thousands)	Three Months Ended September 30,			
	2011	2010	Change	%
Income from operations	\$ 990	\$ 4,880	\$ (3,890)	(80)%
Operating margin	9%	37%		

Income from operations was \$990,000 in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 compared to an operating income of \$4.9 million in the comparable year-ago quarter. We recorded a 9% operating margin in the quarter ended September 30, 2011, compared to a 37% operating margin in the comparable year-ago quarter. The decrease was primarily due (i) to a decrease in revenue by \$2.7 million net of the positive impact of approximately \$118,000 from the exchange rate changes between the British Pound and the U.S. Dollar, and an increase in total cost of revenue and operating expense of \$1.2 million. The increase in total cost and operating expense primarily consisted of (i) \$856,000 in personnel and personnel-related expense related to increase in headcount and compensation, (ii) \$114,000 in marketing program expense; (iii) \$130,000 in audit expense, (iv) \$96,000 from the foreign exchange fluctuation between the U.S. Dollar, the Euro, British Pound and India Rupee (v) \$61,000 in outside consulting expenses; offset by (i) a decrease of \$37,000 in legal and other expenses; and (ii) \$28,000 in related recurring expense.

**Interest Expense, Net**

Interest expense, net was \$175,000 in the quarter ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$276,000 in the comparable year-ago quarter. We expect the interest expense to continue to decrease in future periods.

**Other Income and Expense**

We recorded other expense of \$210,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 compared to other income of \$281,000 for the comparable year-ago quarter which included approximately \$141,000 of exchange rate loss on foreign accounts receivable.

**Income Tax Provision**

We recorded an income tax expense of \$31,000 for the quarter ended September 30, 2011 compared to \$39,000 for the comparable year-ago quarter. The income tax expenses recorded for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was primarily related to our foreign subsidiaries and state income taxes.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources***Overview*

As of September 30, 2011 our cash and cash equivalents were \$15.4 million and our working capital was \$2.7 million compared to cash and cash equivalents of \$12.4 million and our working capital of \$3.8 million as of June 30, 2011. As of September 30, 2011, our deferred revenue was \$7.0 million compared to \$5.8 million on June 30, 2011.

Based upon our current operating plan, we believe that existing capital resources will enable us to maintain current and planned operations for at least the next 12 months. From time to time, however, we may consider opportunities for raising additional capital and/or exchanging all or a portion of our existing debt for equity. We can make no assurances that such opportunities will be available to us or, if available, that they will be on economic terms we consider favorable.

If adequate funds are not available on acceptable terms, our ability to fund any potential expansion, take advantage of unanticipated opportunities, develop or enhance products or services, or otherwise respond to competitive pressures could be significantly limited. Our expectations as to our future cash flows and our future cash balances are subject to a number of assumptions, including assumptions regarding anticipated increases in our revenue, the mix of new hosting and license business, our ability to retain existing customers and customer purchasing and payment patterns, many of which are beyond our control.

## Edgar Filing: EGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

On September 24, 2008, we entered into a Conversion Agreement and Amendment to Subordinated Secured Promissory Notes, as amended, or the Agreement, with the lenders (See Note 7 Related Party Notes Payable to our condensed consolidated financial statements). Pursuant to the Agreement we and the lenders (i) converted a portion of the outstanding indebtedness under the prior notes equal to \$6.5 million into shares of our common stock, and (ii) extended the maturity date of the remaining outstanding indebtedness to March 31, 2012, as well as the period for which interest shall accrue, or the Note Extension. In consideration for the Note Extension, the lenders received warrants to purchase an aggregate of 1,525,515 shares of our common stock. On June 30, 2011, and pursuant to the Agreement we paid in full all outstanding indebtedness, including interest, to Oak Hill Capital Partners L.P., Oak Hill Capital Management Partners L.P., and FW Investors L.P. In addition we made a partial payment to Mr. Roy for \$2.9 million, including accrued interest, against his notes. Mr. Roy also exercised his warrants to purchase 1,218,493 shares of our common stock in March 2011. As of June 30, 2011, the principal, net of discount and interest due on the loans was \$5.0 million and warrants to purchase 307,022 shares of common stock, were vested and outstanding. As of September 30, 2011, the principal, net of discount and interest due on the loans was \$5.1 million, and there were no outstanding warrants to purchase shares of common stock.

---

**Table of Contents**

On June 27, 2011, we entered into a Loan and Security Agreement, or the Comerica Credit Facility, with Comerica Bank, or Comerica, as may be amended from time to time. Our obligations under the Comerica Credit Facility are secured by a lien on our assets. In addition, Mr. Roy has subordinated his security interests to those of the Comerica pursuant to a Subordination Agreement dated as of June 27, 2011. The Comerica Credit Facility provides for the advance of up to the lesser of \$1.5 million under a revolving line of credit, or the sum of (i) 80% of certain qualified receivables, less (ii) the aggregate face amounts of any letter of credit issued and any outstanding obligations to Comerica. The revolving line of credit has a maturity date of June 27, 2012 and bears interest at a rate of prime plus 0.75% per annum. As of September 30, 2011 there was no outstanding balance under the revolving credit line. The Comerica Credit Facility also provides \$5.0 million to pay off existing obligations associated with our related parties, or the Comerica Term Loan, bears interest at a rate of prime plus 1.0% per annum, and is payable in 36 equal monthly payments of principal and interest. As of September 30, 2011 the amount outstanding under the Comerica Term Loan was \$4.6 million with an interest rate of 4.25%. There are a number of affirmative and negative covenants under the Comerica Credit Facility, with the primary covenants being that we are required to maintain a minimum cash balance of \$1.0 million and we must maintain liquidity to debt ratio of at least 1.50 to 1.00. If we fail to comply with our covenants, Comerica can declare any outstanding amounts immediately due and payable and stop extending credit to us. As of September 30, 2011 we were in compliance with the covenants. Additionally, we accounted for the \$1.0 million minimum cash balance as non-current restricted cash as the funds are not available for immediate withdraw or use and the term of the borrowing arrangement is more than 12 months. The Comerica Credit Facility also requires Mr. Roy's remaining related party debt to be repaid or converted to equity by the end of December 2011.

*Cash Flows*

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$4.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$600,000 from the same period last year. Net cash provided by operating activities for the three months ended September 30, 2011 consisted primarily of a net income of \$574,000, plus non-cash expenses related to depreciation of \$161,000, stock-based compensation of \$130,000, accrued interest and amortization of discount on related party notes of \$156,000, and a \$3.4 million provided by operating activities from the net change in operating assets and liabilities.

The net change in operating assets and liabilities for the three months ended September 30, 2011 primarily consisted of an decrease in accounts receivable of \$3.9 million, increase in deferred revenue of \$781,000 and accounts payable of \$411,000. This was partially offset by a decrease of \$1.3 million in accrued compensation and \$351,000 in accrued liabilities. The decrease in accrued compensation was primarily related to the payout of employee accrued bonuses from fiscal year 2011. The increase in deferred revenue primarily attributed to the renewal of the maintenance and support of a large support of the license transaction entered into in the quarter ended September 30, 2011.

Net cash used in operating activities for the three months ended September 30, 2010 consisted primarily of a net income of \$4.8 million, plus non-cash expenses related to depreciation of \$145,000, stock-based compensation of \$54,000, provision for doubtful accounts of \$18,000, accrued interest and amortization of discount on related party notes of \$274,000, and a \$5.9 million used in operating activities from the net change in operating assets and liabilities.

The net change in operating assets and liabilities for the three months ended September 30, 2010 primarily consisted of an increase in accounts receivable of \$6.8 million and decrease in accrued liabilities of \$504,000. This was partially offset by an increase of \$683,000 in accrued compensation, \$535,000 in deferred revenue and \$176,000 in other long term liabilities. The increase in deferred revenue and accrued compensation, due to an increase in commission payable, was primarily related to the large license transaction entered into in the quarter ended September 30, 2010.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$94,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$229,000 for the same period last year. Cash provided by investing activities for the three months ended September 30, 2011 primarily included \$605,000 of the proceeds from sale of short-term investments offset by \$511,000 for the purchase of equipment and furniture for our new corporate headquarters, equipment for increased hosted customers and new employees. Cash used in investing activities for the three months ended September 30, 2010 included the purchase of equipment for increased hosted customers and new employees.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$1.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 compared to net cash used in financing activities of \$83,000 for the same period last year. Net cash used in financing activities for the three months ended September 30, 2011 primarily included an increase of \$1.0 million in restricted cash payment, repayment of \$416,000 of existing bank borrowings, \$28,000 for payment on capital leases, offset by net proceeds of \$30,000 on the exercise of stock options. Net cash used in financing activities for the three months ended September 30, 2010 included a repayment of \$31,000 of existing bank borrowings, \$45,000 for payment on capital leases and \$7,000 on the repurchase of our stock.



## **Table of Contents**

### *Commitments*

There was no significant change to our contractual obligations since June 30, 2011.

### *Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*

As of September 30, 2011, we had no off-balance-sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4) of SEC Regulation S-K, other than operating leases and co-location agreement that were included in our commitment schedule as disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011. There was no significant change since June 30, 2011.

### **Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk**

We develop products in the United States and India and sell these products internationally. Generally, sales are made in local currency. As a result, our financial results could be affected by factors such as changes in foreign currency exchange rates or weak economic conditions in foreign markets. Identifiable assets denominated in foreign currency at September 30, 2011 totaled approximately \$8.7 million. We do not currently use derivative instruments to hedge against foreign exchange risk. As such we are exposed to market risk from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates, principally from the exchange rate between the U.S Dollar and the Euro, British Pound and the India Rupee. During the three months ended September 30, 2011, there was no significant fluctuation in foreign currency exchange rates between the U.S. Dollar and the Euro and the British Pound and the India Rupee. If the U.S. Dollar strengthens in future periods, we may experience an adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

### **Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

**Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures.** We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Exchange Act, that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Our disclosure controls and procedures have been designed to meet reasonable assurance standards. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of September 30, 2011, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

**Changes in internal controls.** There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(d) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

**Table of Contents****PART II. OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

Beginning on October 25, 2001, a number of securities class action complaints were filed against us, and certain of our then officers and directors and underwriters connected with our initial public offering of common stock. The class actions were filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaints alleged generally that the prospectus under which such securities were sold contained false and misleading statements with respect to discounts and excess commissions received by the underwriters as well as allegations of laddering whereby underwriters required their customers to purchase additional shares in the aftermarket in exchange for an allocation of IPO shares. The complaints sought an unspecified amount in damages on behalf of persons who purchased the common stock between September 23, 1999 and December 6, 2000. Similar complaints were filed against 55 underwriters and more than 300 other companies and other individuals. The over 1,000 actions were consolidated into a single action called *In re Initial Public Offering Sec. Litig.* In 2003, we and the other issuer defendants (but not the underwriter defendants) reached an agreement with the plaintiffs to resolve the cases as to our liability and that of our officers and directors. The settlement involved no monetary payment or other consideration by us or our officers and directors and no admission of liability. On August 31, 2005, the Court issued an order preliminarily approving the settlement. On April 24, 2006, the Court held a public hearing on the fairness of the proposed settlement. Meanwhile the consolidated case against the underwriters proceeded. In October 2004, the Court certified a class. On December 5, 2006, however, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit reversed, holding that the class certified by the District Court could not be certified. *In re Initial Public Offering Sec. Litig.*, 471 F.3d 24 (2d Cir. 2006), *modified* 483 F.3d 70 (2d Cir. 2007). The Second Circuit's holding, while directly affecting only the underwriters, raised doubt as to whether the settlement class contemplated by the proposed issuer settlement could be approved. On June 25, 2007, the district court entered a stipulated order terminating the proposed issuer settlement. Thereafter pretrial proceedings resumed. In March 2009, all parties agreed on a new global settlement of the litigation; this settlement included underwriters as well as issuers. Under the settlement, the insurers would pay the full amount of settlement share allocated to us, and we would bear no financial liability. We, as well as the officer and director defendants, who were previously dismissed from the action pursuant to a stipulation, would receive complete dismissals from the case. On June 10, 2009, the Court entered an order granting preliminary approval of the settlement. On October 5, 2009, the Court issued an order finally approving the settlement. Starting on or about October 23, 2009, some would-be objectors to the certification of a settlement class (which occurred as part of the October 5, 2009 order) petitioned the Court for permission to appeal from the order certifying the settlement class, and on October 29 and November 2, 2009, several groups of objectors filed notices of appeal seeking to challenge the Court's approval of the settlement. On November 24, 2009, the Court signed, and on December 4, 2009, the Court entered final judgment pursuant to the settlement dismissing all claims involving us. The appeals remain pending and briefing on the appeals is set to begin in October 2010 and end in the spring of 2011. On October 7, 2010, lead plaintiffs and all but two of the objectors filed a stipulation pursuant to which these objectors withdrawing their appeals with prejudice. The remaining two objectors, however, are continuing to pursue their appeals and have filed their opening briefs. On December 8, 2010, plaintiffs moved to dismiss the appeals. On March 2, 2011, one of the two appellants, appearing pro se, filed a stipulated dismissal of his appeal with prejudice. On May 17, 2011, the Court of Appeals dismissed the appeals of two of the three remaining appellants, and directed the district court to determine whether the third and final appellant had standing. On August 25, 2011, the district court determined that the final appellant lacked standing. This litigation will be concluded unless that determination is successfully appealed. If the settlement and final judgment were to be overturned on appeal and litigation were to proceed, we believe that we have meritorious defenses to plaintiffs' claims and intend to defend the action vigorously. We have not accrued any liability in connection with this matter as we do not expect the outcome of this litigation to have a material impact on our financial condition.

In May 2010, Microlog Corporation filed a patent infringement lawsuit in the United States District Court in the Eastern District of Texas, case number 6:10-CV-260 LED against a number of defendants, including several current and past eGain customers. LaQuinta Corporation, a named defendant in the Microlog case and a former eGain customer has subsequently filed a third party claim against us requesting indemnification from us in connection with the Microlog case. We filed a motion to dismiss this claim, which was denied by the court on September 29, 2011. In addition, the court denied LaQuinta Corporation's motion for summary judgment. We have filed our answer to LaQuinta Corporation third party claim and this matter is on-going.

From time to time, we are involved in legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business. We believe that the resolution of these matters will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

In addition to other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing eGain. Additional risks and uncertainties not

## Edgar Filing: EGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

currently known to us or that we currently deem to be insignificant also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

**Table of Contents****Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

On September 14, 2009, we announced that our board of directors has approved a stock repurchase program under which we may purchase up to 1,000,000 shares of our common stock. The duration of the repurchase program is open-ended. Under the program, we can purchase shares of common stock from time to time through the open market and privately negotiated transactions at prices deemed appropriate by our management. Repurchases pursuant to our stock repurchase program could affect our stock price and increase its volatility. The existence of a stock repurchase program could also cause our stock price to be higher than it would be in the absence of such a program and could potentially reduce the market liquidity for our stock. Additionally, repurchases under our stock repurchase program will diminish our cash reserves, which could impact our ability to pursue possible future strategic opportunities and acquisitions and could result in lower overall returns on our cash balances. There can be no assurance that any stock repurchases will enhance stockholder value because the market price of our common stock may decline below the levels at which we repurchased shares of stock. Although our stock repurchase program is intended to enhance long-term stockholder value, short-term stock price fluctuations could reduce the program's effectiveness.

There were no repurchased shares of stock in the quarter ended September 30, 2011.

**Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

**Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)****Item 5. Other Information**

None.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

Exhibits No.	Description of Exhibits
31.1	Rule 13a-15(e)/15d-15(e) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Rule 13a-15(e)/15d-15(e) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18.U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 of Ashutosh Roy, Chief Executive Officer.*
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18.U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 of Eric Smit, Chief Financial Officer.*
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

\* The material contained in this exhibit is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing.

\*\*



## Edgar Filing: EGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORP - Form 10-Q

Pursuant to applicable securities laws and regulations, we are deemed to have complied with the reporting obligation relating to the submission of interactive data files in such exhibits and are not subject to liability under any anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws as long as we have made a good faith attempt to comply with the submission requirements and promptly amend the interactive data files after becoming aware that the interactive data files fail to comply with the submission requirements. Users of this data are advised that, pursuant to Rule 406T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed and otherwise are not subject to liability.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: November 14, 2011

eGAIN COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

By /s/ ERIC N. SMIT  
Eric N. Smit  
Chief Financial Officer

**(Duly Authorized Officer and  
Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)**

**Table of Contents**

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

31.1	Rule 13a-15(e)/15d-15(e) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Rule 13a-15(e)/15d-15(e) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18.U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 of Ashutosh Roy, Chief Executive Officer.*
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18.U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 of Eric Smit, Chief Financial Officer.*
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

\* The material contained in this exhibit is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference into any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language contained in such filing.

\*\* Pursuant to applicable securities laws and regulations, we are deemed to have complied with the reporting obligation relating to the submission of interactive data files in such exhibits and are not subject to liability under any anti-fraud provisions of the federal securities laws as long as we have made a good faith attempt to comply with the submission requirements and promptly amend the interactive data files after becoming aware that the interactive data files fail to comply with the submission requirements. Users of this data are advised that, pursuant to Rule 406T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed and otherwise are not subject to liability.