

RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD

Form ARS

April 08, 2011

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File No. 001-14428

RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD.

(Exact Name Of Registrant As Specified In Its Charter)

Bermuda
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

Renaissance House, 12 Crow Lane, Pembroke HM 19 Bermuda

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(441) 295-4513

(Registrant's telephone number)

98-014-1974
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class
Common Shares, Par Value \$1.00 per share

Name of each exchange on which registered
New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Series C 6.08% Preference Shares, Par Value \$1.00 per share

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

Series D 6.60% Preference Shares, Par Value \$1.00 per share

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Act.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company, as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act. Large accelerated filer ☒, Accelerated filer ☐, Non-accelerated filer ☐, Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Yes ☐ No ☒

The aggregate market value of Common Shares held by nonaffiliates of the registrant at June 30, 2010 was \$2,825.2 million based on the closing sale price of the Common Shares on the New York Stock Exchange on that date.

The number of Common Shares outstanding at February 16, 2011 was 52,827,367.

The information required by Part III of this report, to the extent not set forth herein, is incorporated by reference to the registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed in respect of our 2011 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders.

Table of Contents

RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>		Page
ITEM 1.	<u>BUSINESS</u>	4
ITEM 1A.	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	32
ITEM 1B.	<u>UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS</u>	48
ITEM 2.	<u>PROPERTIES</u>	55
ITEM 3.	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	55
ITEM 4.	<u>SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS</u>	55
<u>PART II</u>		56
ITEM 5.	<u>MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER REPURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES</u>	56
ITEM 6.	<u>SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA</u>	59
ITEM 7.	<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	60
ITEM 7A.	<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	130
ITEM 8.	<u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA</u>	133
ITEM 9.	<u>CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE</u>	133
ITEM 9A.	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	134
ITEM 9B.	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	134
<u>PART III</u>		135
ITEM 10.	<u>DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	135
ITEM 11.	<u>EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	135
ITEM 12.	<u>SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS</u>	135
ITEM 13.	<u>CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE</u>	135
ITEM 14.	<u>PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES</u>	135
<u>PART IV</u>		136
ITEM 15.	<u>EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES</u>	136
<u>SIGNATURES</u>		142

Table of Contents

NOTE ON FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Forward-looking statements are necessarily based on estimates and assumptions that are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which, with respect to future business decisions, are subject to change. These uncertainties and contingencies can affect actual results and could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in any forward-looking statements made by, or on behalf of, us.

In particular, statements using words such as "may," "should," "estimate," "expect," "anticipate," "intends," "believe," "predict," "potential," or "could" import generally involve forward-looking statements. For example, we may include certain forward-looking statements in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" with regard to trends in results, prices, volumes, operations, investment results, margins, combined ratios, reserves, market conditions, risk management and exchange rates. This Form 10-K also contains forward-looking statements with respect to our business and industry, such as those relating to our strategy and management objectives, market standing and product volumes, insured losses from loss events, government initiatives and regulatory matters affecting the reinsurance and insurance industries.

In light of the risks and uncertainties inherent in all future projections, the inclusion of forward-looking statements in this report should not be considered as a representation by us or any other person that our objectives or plans will be achieved. Numerous factors could cause our actual results to differ materially from those addressed by the forward-looking statements, including the following:

we are exposed to significant losses from catastrophic events and other exposures that we cover, which we expect to cause significant volatility in our financial results from time to time;

the frequency and severity of catastrophic events or other events which we cover could exceed our estimates and cause losses greater than we expect;

the risk of the lowering or loss of any of the ratings of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. or of one or more of our subsidiaries or changes in the policies or practices of the rating agencies;

risks relating to the successful consummation of potential strategic transactions, including the pending sale of substantially all of our U.S.-based insurance operations to QBE Holdings, Inc. ("QBE") pursuant to the definitive stock purchase agreement we executed with QBE on November 18, 2010 (the "Stock Purchase Agreement"), including the risk that we are unable to complete such transactions, and the risk that consummation of the transaction may fail to materially enhance our financial results or position or to further our strategy;

risks associated with appropriately modeling, pricing for, and contractually addressing new or potential factors in loss emergence, such as the trend toward potentially significant global warming and other aspects of climate change which have the potential to adversely affect our business, which could cause us to underestimate our exposures and potentially adversely impact our financial results;

risks due to our dependence on a few insurance and reinsurance brokers for the preponderance of our revenue, a risk we believe is increasing as a larger portion of our business is provided by a small number of these brokers;

we operate in a highly competitive environment, which we expect to increase over time from new competition from non-traditional participants as capital markets products provide alternatives and replacements for our more traditional reinsurance and insurance products and as a result of consolidation in the (re)insurance industry;

the inherent uncertainties in our reserving process, including those related to the 2005, 2008 and 2010 catastrophes, which uncertainties could increase as the product classes we offer evolve over time;

risks relating to adverse legislative developments including the risk of passage of the House Bills (as defined herein), the risk of new legislation in Florida continuing to expand the reinsurance coverages offered by the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund (FHCF) and the insurance policies written by state-sponsored Citizens Property Insurance Corporation (Citizens), or failing to reduce such coverages or implementing new programs which reduce the size of the private market, and the risk that new, state-based or federal legislation will be enacted and adversely impact us;

Table of Contents

changes in insurance regulations in the U.S. or other jurisdictions in which we operate, including the risks that U.S. federal or state governments will take actions to diminish the size of the private markets in respect of the coverages we offer, the risk of potential challenges to the Company's claim of exemption from insurance regulation under certain current laws and the risk of increased global regulation of the insurance and reinsurance industry;

the passage of federal or state legislation subjecting Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd. (Renaissance Reinsurance) or our other Bermuda subsidiaries to supervision, regulation or taxation in the U.S. or other jurisdictions in which we operate, or increasing the taxation of business ceded to us;

a contention by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) that Renaissance Reinsurance, or any of our other Bermuda subsidiaries, is subject to U.S. taxation;

risks associated with implementing our business strategies and initiatives, including risks related to developing or enhancing the operations, controls and other infrastructure necessary in respect of our more recent, new or proposed initiatives;

the risk that there could be regulatory or legislative changes adversely impacting us, as a Bermuda-based company, relative to our competitors, or actions taken by multinational organizations having such an impact;

the risk that our customers may fail to make premium payments due to us (a risk that we believe has increased in certain of our key markets), as well as the risk of failures of our reinsurers, brokers or other counterparties to honor their obligations to us, including their obligations to make third party payments for which we might be liable;

risks associated with highly subjective judgments, such as valuing our more illiquid assets, and determining the impairments taken on our investments, which could impact our financial position or operating results;

risks associated with our investment portfolio, including the risk that investment managers may breach our investment guidelines, or the inability of such guidelines to mitigate risks arising out of the ongoing period of relative economic weakness;

risks associated with inflation, which could cause loss costs to increase, and impact the performance of our investment portfolio, thereby adversely impacting our financial position or operating results;

the risk we might be bound to policyholder obligations beyond our underwriting intent;

risks associated with counterparty credit risk, including with respect to reinsurance brokers, customers, agents, retrocessionaires, capital providers, parties associated with our investment portfolio and/or our energy trading business, and premiums and other receivables owed to us, which risks we believe continue to be heightened as a result of the ongoing period of relative economic weakness;

emerging claims and coverage issues, which could expand our obligations beyond the amount we intend to underwrite;

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

loss of services of any one of our key senior officers, or difficulties associated with the transition of new members of our senior management team;

the risk that ongoing or future industry regulatory developments will disrupt our business, or that of our business partners, or mandate changes in industry practices in ways that increase our costs, decrease our revenues or require us to alter aspects of the way we do business;

acts of terrorism, war or political unrest;

risks that the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act) may adversely impact our business, or significantly increase our operating costs;

operational risks, including system or human failures;

risks in connection with our management of third party capital;

changes in economic conditions, including interest rate, currency, equity and credit conditions which could affect our investment portfolio or declines in our investment returns for other reasons which could

Table of Contents

reduce our profitability and hinder our ability to pay claims promptly in accordance with our strategy, which risks we believe are currently enhanced in light of the ongoing period of relative economic weakness, both globally and in the U.S.;

risks relating to failure to comply with covenants in our debt agreements;

risks relating to the inability of our operating subsidiaries to declare and pay dividends to the Company;

risks that we may require additional capital in the future, particularly after a catastrophic event or to support potential growth opportunities in our business, which may not be available or may be available only on unfavorable terms;

risks associated with our increased allocation of capital to our weather and energy risk management operations, including the risks that these operations may give rise to unforeseen or unanticipated losses, as well as the possibility that the results of these operations do not meaningfully impact our financial results over time;

risks that certain of our new or potentially expanding business lines could have a significant negative impact on our financial results or cause significant volatility in our results for any particular period;

risks arising out of possible changes in the distribution or placement of risks due to increased consolidation of customers or insurance and reinsurance brokers, or from potential changes in their business practices which may be required by future regulatory changes; and

risks relating to changes in regulatory regimes and/or accounting rules, which could result in significant changes to our financial results, including but not limited to, the European Union directive concerning capital adequacy, risk management and regulatory reporting for insurers (Solvency II).

The factors listed above should not be construed as exhaustive. Certain of these risk factors and others are described in more detail in Item 1A. Risk Factors below. We undertake no obligation to release publicly the results of any future revisions we may make to forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this Form 10-K to RenaissanceRe or the Company mean RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and its subsidiaries, which principally include, but are not limited to, Renaissance Reinsurance, Glencoe Insurance Ltd. (Glencoe), Renaissance Trading Ltd. (Renaissance Trading), RenRe Energy Advisors Ltd. (REAL) and the Company's Lloyd's syndicate, RenaissanceRe Syndicate 1458 (Syndicate 1458).

We also underwrite reinsurance on behalf of joint ventures, principally including Top Layer Reinsurance Ltd. (Top Layer Re), recorded under the equity method of accounting, and DaVinci Reinsurance Ltd. (DaVinci). The financial results of DaVinci and DaVinci's parent company, DaVinciRe Holdings Ltd. (DaVinciRe), are consolidated in our financial statements. For your convenience, we have included a glossary beginning on page 49 of selected insurance and reinsurance terms. All dollar amounts referred to in this Form 10-K are in U.S. dollars unless otherwise indicated. Any discrepancies in the tables included herein between the amounts listed and the totals thereof are due to rounding.

GENERAL

RenaissanceRe was established in Bermuda in 1993 to write principally property catastrophe reinsurance and today is a leading global provider of reinsurance and insurance coverages and related services. Our aspiration is to be the world's best underwriter of high-severity, low frequency risks. Through our operating subsidiaries, we seek to produce superior returns for our shareholders by being a trusted, long-term partner to our customers, for assessing and managing risk, delivering responsive solutions, and keeping our promises. We accomplish this by leveraging our core capabilities of risk assessment and information management, and by investing in our capabilities to serve our customers across the cycles that have historically characterized our markets. Overall, our strategy focuses on superior risk selection, customer relationships and capital management. We provide value to our customers and joint venture partners in the form of financial security, innovative products, and responsive service. We are known as a leader in paying valid reinsurance claims promptly. We principally measure our financial success through long-term growth in tangible book value per common share plus the change in accumulated dividends, which we believe is the most appropriate measure of our Company's financial performance, and believe we have delivered superior performance in respect of this measure over time.

Our core products include property catastrophe reinsurance, which we primarily write through our principal operating subsidiary Renaissance Reinsurance, our Lloyd's syndicate, Syndicate 1458, and joint ventures, principally DaVinci and Top Layer Re; specialty reinsurance risks written through Renaissance Reinsurance, Syndicate 1458 and DaVinci; and other insurance products primarily written through Syndicate 1458. We believe that we are one of the world's leading providers of property catastrophe reinsurance. We also believe we have a strong position in certain specialty reinsurance lines of business. Our reinsurance and insurance products are principally distributed through intermediaries, with whom we seek to cultivate strong relationships.

Discontinued Operations

During the fourth quarter of 2010, we made the strategic decision to divest substantially all of our U.S.-based insurance operations in order to focus on the business encompassed within our Reinsurance and Lloyd's segments and our other businesses. Except as explicitly described as held for sale or as discontinued operations, and unless otherwise noted, all discussions and amounts presented herein relate to our continuing operations. See Note 3. Discontinued Operations in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information related to discontinued operations. All prior years presented have been reclassified to conform to this new presentation.

Table of Contents

On November 18, 2010, we entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with QBE to sell substantially all of our U.S.-based insurance operations, including our U.S. property and casualty business underwritten through managing general agents, our crop insurance business underwritten through Agro National Inc. (Agro National), our commercial property insurance operations and our claims operations. We have classified the assets and liabilities associated with this transaction as held for sale. The financial results for these operations have been presented as discontinued operations in our consolidated statements of operations. See Note 3. Discontinued Operations in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Consideration for the transaction is book value at December 31, 2010, for the aforementioned businesses, currently estimated to be \$283.4 million, payable in cash at closing and subject to adjustment for certain tax and other items. The transaction is expected to close in early 2011 and is subject to regulatory approvals and customary closing conditions.

Segments

As a result of the strategic decision to divest substantially all of our U.S.-based insurance operations noted above, we revised our reportable segments. As described in more detail below under Business Segments, our reportable segments include: (1) Reinsurance, which includes catastrophe reinsurance, specialty reinsurance and certain property catastrophe and specialty joint ventures, (2) Lloyd's, which includes reinsurance and insurance business written through Syndicate 1458, and (3) Insurance, which includes the Bermuda-based insurance operations of our former Insurance segment which are not being sold pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement with QBE. In addition, our Other category primarily includes our strategic investments, weather and energy risk management operations, investments unit, corporate expenses, capital servicing costs and noncontrolling interests. Previously, our Lloyd's unit was included in our Reinsurance segment and the underwriting results associated with our discontinued operations were included in our Insurance segment. All prior periods presented have been reclassified to conform to this new presentation.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, our Reinsurance, Lloyd's and Insurance segments accounted for 95.5%, 4.2% and 0.3%, respectively, of our total consolidated gross premiums written. We currently expect contributions from our Lloyd's segment to increase over time, on both an absolute and relative basis, although we cannot assure you we will succeed in meeting this goal. Financial data relating to our segments is included in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

CORPORATE STRATEGY

Our mission is to produce superior returns for our shareholders by being a trusted, long-term partner to our customers, for assessing and managing risk, delivering responsive solutions, and keeping our promises. Our aspiration is to be the world's best underwriter of high-severity, low frequency risks. Our vision is to be a leader in select financial services through our people and culture, expertise in risk, and passion for exceeding customers' expectations.

Since our inception, we have cultivated and endeavor to preserve certain competitive advantages that position us to fulfill our strategic objectives. We believe these competitive advantages include:

Superior Risk Selection. We seek to build a portfolio of risks that produces an attractive return on utilized capital. We develop a perspective on the risk in each business opportunity using both our underwriters' expertise and sophisticated risk selection techniques including computer models and databases, such as Renaissance Exposure Management System (REMS). We pursue a disciplined approach to underwriting and select only risks that we believe will produce a portfolio with an attractive return, subject to prudent risk constraints. We manage our portfolio of risks dynamically, both within sub-portfolios and across the Company.

Table of Contents

Superior Customer Relationships. We believe our modeling and technical expertise, and the risk management advice that we provide our customers, has enabled us to become a provider of first choice in many lines of business to our customers worldwide. We seek to offer stable, predictable, and consistent risk-based pricing and a prompt turnaround on our claims.

Superior Capital Management. We seek to write as much attractively priced business as is available to us and then manage our capital accordingly. We generally seek to raise capital when we forecast an increased demand in the market, at times by accessing capital through joint ventures or other structures, and seek to return capital to our shareholders or joint venture investors when the demand for our coverages appears to decline and when we believe a return of capital would be beneficial to our shareholders or joint venture investors. In using joint ventures, we intend to leverage our access to business and our underwriting capabilities on an efficient capital base, develop fee income, generate profit commissions and diversify our portfolio. We routinely evaluate and review potential joint venture opportunities and strategic investments.

We believe we are well positioned to fulfill these objectives by virtue of the experience and skill of our management team, our significant financial strength, and our strong relationships with brokers and customers. In addition, we believe our superior service, our proprietary modeling technology, and our extensive business relationships, which have enabled us to become a leader in the property catastrophe reinsurance market, will be instrumental in allowing us to achieve our strategic objectives. In particular, we believe our strategy, high performance culture, and commitment to our customers and joint venture partners permit us to differentiate ourselves by offering specialized services and products at times and in markets where capacity and alternatives may be limited.

BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Reinsurance Segment

Our Reinsurance segment is comprised of two main units: 1) property catastrophe reinsurance, primarily written through Renaissance Reinsurance and DaVinci, and 2) specialty reinsurance, primarily written through Renaissance Reinsurance and DaVinci. Our Reinsurance segment is managed by the Global Chief Underwriting Officer, who leads a team of underwriters, risk modelers and other industry professionals, who have access to our proprietary risk management, underwriting and modeling resources and tools. We believe the expertise of our underwriting and modeling team and our proprietary analytic tools, together with superior customer service, provide us with a significant competitive advantage.

Our portfolio of business has continued to be increasingly characterized by relatively large transactions with ceding companies with whom we do business, although no current relationship exceeds 10% of our gross premiums written. Accordingly, our gross premiums written are subject to significant fluctuations depending on our success in maintaining or expanding our relationships with these large customers. We market our reinsurance products worldwide exclusively through brokers, whose market has become extremely consolidated in recent years. In 2010, three brokerage firms accounted for 88.2% of our Reinsurance segment gross premiums written. We believe that recent market dynamics, and trends in our industry in respect of potential future consolidation, have increased our exposure to the risks of broker, client and counterparty concentration.

Table of Contents

The following table shows our total Reinsurance segment gross premiums written split between catastrophe and specialty reinsurance, respectively:

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2010	2009	2008
Renaissance catastrophe premiums	\$ 630,080	\$ 706,947	\$ 633,611
Renaissance specialty premiums	126,848	111,889	153,701
Total Renaissance premiums	756,928	818,836	787,312
DaVinci catastrophe premiums	364,153	389,502	361,010
DaVinci specialty premiums	2,538	2,457	6,069
Total DaVinci premiums	366,691	391,959	367,079
Total catastrophe unit premiums (1)	994,233	1,096,449	994,621
Total specialty unit premiums	129,386	114,346	159,770
Total Reinsurance segment premiums	\$ 1,123,619	\$ 1,210,795	\$ 1,154,391

(1) Total catastrophe premiums written includes \$9.5 million, \$12.7 million and \$5.7 million of gross premiums written assumed from our Insurance segment for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Property Catastrophe Reinsurance

We believe we are one of the largest providers of property catastrophe reinsurance in the world, based on our total catastrophe gross premiums written. Our principal property catastrophe reinsurance products include catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance and excess of loss retrocessional reinsurance as described below.

Catastrophe Excess of Loss Reinsurance. We principally write catastrophe reinsurance on an excess of loss basis, which means we provide coverage to our insureds when aggregate claims and claim expenses from a single occurrence of a covered peril exceed the attachment point specified in a particular contract. Under these contracts, we indemnify an insurer for a portion of the losses on insurance policies in excess of a specified loss amount, and up to an amount per loss specified in the contract. The coverage provided under excess of loss reinsurance contracts may be on a worldwide basis or limited in scope to selected geographic areas. Coverage can also vary from all property perils to limited coverage on selected perils, such as earthquake only coverage.

Excess of Loss Retrocessional Reinsurance. We also write retrocessional reinsurance contracts that provide property catastrophe coverage to other reinsurers or retrocedants. In providing retrocessional reinsurance, we focus on property catastrophe retrocessional reinsurance, which covers the retrocedant on an excess of loss basis when aggregate claims and claim expenses from a single occurrence of a covered peril and from a multiple number of reinsureds exceed a specified attachment point. The coverage provided under excess of loss retrocessional contracts may be on a worldwide basis or limited in scope to selected geographic areas. Coverage can also vary from all property perils to limited coverage on selected perils, such as earthquake only coverage. The information available to retrocessional underwriters concerning the original primary risk can be less precise than the information received from primary companies directly. Moreover, exposures from retrocessional business can change within a contract term as the underwriters of a retrocedant alter their book of business after retrocessional coverage has been bound.

Our property catastrophe reinsurance contracts are generally all risk in nature. Our most significant exposure is to losses from earthquakes and hurricanes and other windstorms, although we are also exposed to claims arising from other catastrophes, such as tsunamis, freezes, floods, fires, tornadoes, explosions and acts of terrorism in connection with the coverages we provide. Our predominant exposure under such coverage is to property damage. However, other risks, including business interruption and other non-property losses, may also be covered under our property reinsurance contracts when arising from a covered peril. We offer our coverages on a worldwide basis.

Table of Contents

Because of the wide range of possible catastrophic events to which we are exposed, including the size of such events and because of the potential for multiple events to occur in the same time period, our catastrophe reinsurance business is volatile and our results of operations reflect this volatility. Further, our financial condition may be impacted by this volatility over time or at any point in time. The effects of claims from one or a number of severe catastrophic events could have a material adverse effect on us. We expect that increases in the values and concentrations of insured property and the effects of inflation will increase the severity of such occurrences in the future.

Insurance-Linked Securities. We also invest in insurance-linked securities. Insurance-linked securities are generally privately placed fixed income securities as to which all or a portion of the repayment of the principal is linked to catastrophic events; for example, the occurrence of one or more hurricanes or earthquakes producing industry losses exceeding certain specified thresholds. We underwrite, model, evaluate and monitor these securities using similar tools and techniques used to evaluate our more traditional property catastrophe reinsurance business assumed. In addition, we may enter into derivative transactions, such as total return swaps, that are based on or referenced to underlying insurance-linked securities. Based on an evaluation of the specific features of each insurance-linked security, we account for these securities as reinsurance or at fair value, as applicable, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). In addition, in future periods we may utilize the growing market for insurance-linked securities to expand our ceded reinsurance buying if we find the pricing and terms of such coverage attractive.

We seek to moderate the volatility of our risk portfolio through superior risk selection, diversification and the purchase of retrocessional coverages and other protections. In furtherance of our strategy, we may increase or decrease our presence in the catastrophe reinsurance business based on market conditions and our assessment of risk-adjusted pricing adequacy. We frequently seek to purchase reinsurance or other protection for our own account to further reduce the financial impact that a large catastrophe or a series of catastrophes could have on our results.

As a result of our position in the market and reputation for superior customer relationships, we believe we have superior access to reinsurance business we view as desirable compared to the market as a whole. As described above, we use our proprietary underwriting tools and guidelines to attempt to construct an attractive portfolio from these opportunities. We dynamically model policy submissions against our current in-force underwriting portfolio, comparing our estimate of the modeled expected returns of the contract against the amount of capital that we allocate to the contract, based on our estimate of its marginal impact on our overall risk portfolio. At times, our approach to portfolio management has resulted and may result in the future in our having a relatively large market share of catastrophe reinsurance exposure in a particular geographic region, such as Florida, or to a particular peril, such as U.S. hurricane risk, where we believe supply and demand characteristics promote our providing significant capacity, or where the risks or class of risks otherwise adds efficiency to our portfolio. Conversely, from time to time we may have a disproportionately low market share in certain regions or perils where we believe our capital would be less effectively deployed.

Specialty Reinsurance

We write a number of lines of reinsurance other than property catastrophe, such as catastrophe exposed workers compensation, surety, terrorism, political risk, trade credit, medical malpractice, financial, mortgage guarantee, catastrophe-exposed personal lines property, casualty clash, certain other casualty lines and other specialty lines of reinsurance that we collectively refer to as specialty reinsurance. We believe that we are seen as a market leader in certain of these classes of business. As with our catastrophe business, our team of experienced professionals seek to underwrite these lines using a disciplined underwriting approach and sophisticated analytical tools. We are seeking to expand our specialty reinsurance operations over time, although we cannot assure you that we will do so, particularly in light of current and forecasted market conditions.

We generally target lines of business where we believe we can adequately quantify the risks assumed and where potential losses could be characterized as low frequency and high severity, similar to our catastrophe reinsurance coverages. We also seek to identify market dislocations and write new lines of business whose risk and return characteristics are estimated to exceed our hurdle rates. Furthermore, we also seek to manage the correlations of this business with our overall portfolio, including our aggregate exposure to single and aggregated catastrophe events. We believe that our underwriting and analytical capabilities have positioned us well to manage this business.

Table of Contents

We offer our specialty reinsurance products principally on an excess of loss basis, as described above with respect to our catastrophe reinsurance products, and also provide some proportional coverage. In a proportional reinsurance arrangement (also referred to as quota share reinsurance and pro-rata reinsurance), the reinsurer shares a proportional part of the original premiums and losses of the reinsured. The reinsurer pays the cedant a commission which is generally based on the cedant's cost of acquiring the business being reinsured (including commissions, premium taxes, assessments and miscellaneous administrative expenses) and may also include a profit factor. Our products frequently include tailored features such as limits or sub-limits which we believe help us manage our exposures. Any liability exceeding, or otherwise not subject to, such limits reverts to the cedant. As with our catastrophe reinsurance business, our specialty reinsurance frequently provides coverage for relatively large limits or exposures, and thus we are subject to potential significant claims volatility.

We generally seek to write significant lines on our specialty reinsurance treaties. As a result of our financial strength, we have the ability to offer significant capacity and, for select risks, we have made available significant limits. We believe these capabilities, the strength of our specialty reinsurance underwriting team, and our demonstrated ability and willingness to pay valid claims are competitive advantages of our specialty reinsurance business. While we believe that these and other initiatives will support growth in our specialty reinsurance unit, we intend to continue to apply our disciplined underwriting approach which, together with currently prevailing market conditions, is likely to temper such growth in current and near term-term periods.

Ventures

We pursue a number of other opportunities through our ventures unit, which has responsibility for managing our joint venture relationships, executing customized reinsurance transactions to assume or cede risk and managing certain investments directed at classes of risk other than catastrophe reinsurance. We also provide customized weather and energy risk management solutions to various customers on a worldwide basis.

Property Catastrophe Managed Joint Ventures. We actively manage property catastrophe-oriented joint ventures, which provide us with an additional presence in the market, enhance our client relationships and generate fee income and profit commissions. These joint ventures allow us to leverage our access to business and our underwriting capabilities on a larger capital base. Currently, our joint ventures include Top Layer Re and DaVinci. Renaissance Underwriting Managers, Ltd. (RUM), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, acts as the exclusive underwriting manager for each of these joint ventures.

DaVinci was established in 2001 and principally writes property catastrophe reinsurance and certain low frequency, high severity specialty reinsurance lines of business on a global basis. In general, we seek to construct for DaVinci a property catastrophe reinsurance portfolio with risk characteristics similar to those of Renaissance Reinsurance's property catastrophe reinsurance portfolio and certain lines of specialty reinsurance such as terrorism and catastrophe exposed workers' compensation. In accordance with DaVinci's underwriting guidelines, it can only participate in business that is underwritten by Renaissance Reinsurance. We maintain majority voting control of DaVinciRe and, accordingly, consolidate the results of DaVinciRe into our consolidated results of operations and financial position. We seek to manage DaVinci's capital efficiently over time in light of the market opportunities and needs we perceive and believe we are able to serve. Our ownership in DaVinciRe was 41.2% and 38.2% at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In January 2011, DaVinciRe redeemed shares from certain DaVinciRe shareholders, and as a result, our ownership interest in DaVinciRe increased to 44.0%. We expect our ownership in DaVinciRe to fluctuate over time.

Top Layer Re writes high excess non-U.S. property catastrophe reinsurance. Top Layer Re is owned 50% by State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company (State Farm) and 50% by Renaissance Reinsurance. State Farm provides \$3.9 billion of stop loss reinsurance coverage to Top Layer Re. We account for our equity ownership in Top Layer Re under the equity method of accounting and our proportionate share of its results is reflected in equity in (losses) earnings of other ventures in our consolidated statements of operations.

Ventures works on a range of other customized reinsurance transactions. For example, we have participated in and continuously analyze other attractive opportunities in the market for insurance-linked securities and derivatives. We believe our products contain a number of customized features designed to fit the needs of our partners, as well as our risk management objectives.

Table of Contents

Strategic Investments. Ventures also pursues strategic investments where, rather than assuming exclusive management responsibilities ourselves, we instead partner with other market participants. These investments are directed at classes of risk other than catastrophe, and at times may also be directed at non-insurance risks. We find these investments attractive both for their expected returns, and also because they provide us diversification benefits and information and exposure to other aspects of the market. Examples of these investments include our investments in Tower Hill Insurance Group, LLC (THIG), Tower Hill Claims Services, LLC (THCS) and Tower Hill Claims Management, LLC (THCM) (collectively, the Tower Hill Companies), which operate primarily in the State of Florida, Essent Group Ltd. (Essent) and Angus Fund L.P. (the Angus Fund). THIG is a managing general agency specializing in insurance coverage for site built and manufactured homes. THCS and THCM provide claim adjustment services through exclusive agreements with THIG. During the third quarter of 2008, we invested \$50.0 million in the Tower Hill Companies, representing a 25.0% ownership interest, to expand our core platforms by obtaining ownership in an additional distribution channel for the Florida homeowners market and to enhance our relationships with other stakeholders. Essent provides mortgage insurance and reinsurance coverage for mortgages located in the U.S.

Weather and Energy Risk Management Operations. We provide energy related risk management solutions and financial products primarily through Renaissance Trading and REAL and sell certain financial products primarily to address weather risks, and engage in certain weather, energy and commodity derivatives trading activities. Certain of these trading activities require the physical delivery of energy-related commodities, including natural gas. We expect that our participation will increase in the trading markets for securities and derivatives linked to energy, commodities, weather, other natural phenomena, and/or products or indices linked in part to such phenomena. While our activities focus on financial products that allow various energy, utility and other customers to manage their exposures to energy related commodities, we expect our own results in this area to potentially be volatile over time. As this unit grows, we intend to seek to continue to invest in operating and control environment systems and procedures, hire staff and develop and install management information and other systems. Accordingly, costs related to these operational investments increased in 2010 and may increase in the future.

Business activities that appear in our consolidated underwriting results, such as DaVinci and certain reinsurance transactions, are included in our Reinsurance segment results; the results of our investments, such as Top Layer Re and our weather and energy related activities and other ventures are included in the Other category of our segment results.

Lloyd's Segment

Our Lloyd's segment includes reinsurance and insurance business written through Syndicate 1458. Syndicate 1458 started writing certain lines of insurance and reinsurance business incepting on or after June 1, 2009. The syndicate was established to enhance our underwriting platform by providing access to Lloyd's extensive distribution network and worldwide licenses. RenaissanceRe Corporate Capital (UK) Limited (RenaissanceRe CCL), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, is the sole corporate member of Syndicate 1458. The results of Syndicate 1458 were not significant to our overall consolidated results of operations and financial position during 2009; however, we anticipate that Syndicate 1458's absolute and relative contributions to our consolidated results of operations may have a meaningful impact over time.

Syndicate 1458 generally targets lines of business where we believe we can adequately quantify the risks assumed and where potential losses could be characterized as low frequency and high severity, similar to our catastrophe and specialty reinsurance coverages. We also seek to identify market dislocations and to write new lines of business whose risk and return characteristics are estimated to exceed our hurdle rates. Furthermore, we seek to manage the correlations of this business with our overall portfolio, including our aggregate exposure to single and aggregated catastrophe events. We believe that our underwriting and analytical capabilities have positioned us well to manage this business.

We offer a range of insurance and reinsurance products including, but not limited to, direct and facultative property, property catastrophe, agriculture, medical malpractice, professional indemnity, political risk and trade credit. As with our catastrophe and specialty reinsurance business, we frequently provide coverage for relatively large limits or exposures, and thus we are subject to potential significant claims volatility.

Table of Contents

Insurance Segment

The Insurance segment includes the insurance policies previously written in connection with our Bermuda-based insurance operations which are not being sold pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement with QBE and have effectively been put into runoff. Our Insurance segment is managed by our Global Chief Underwriting Officer. The Bermuda-based insurance business is written by Glencoe, a Bermuda domiciled excess and surplus lines insurance company that is currently eligible to do business on an excess and surplus lines basis in 49 U.S. states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Although we are not actively underwriting new business in the Insurance segment, we may from time to time evaluate potential opportunities for the Insurance segment.

Other

Our Other category primarily includes the results of: (1) our share of strategic investments in certain markets we believe offer attractive risk-adjusted returns or where we believe our investment adds value, such as our investments in the Tower Hill Companies, Essent Group Ltd. and the Angus Fund, where, rather than assuming exclusive management responsibilities ourselves, we partner with other market participants; (2) our weather and energy risk management operations primarily through Renaissance Trading and REAL; (3) our investment unit which manages and invests the funds generated by our consolidated operations; and (4) corporate expenses, capital servicing costs and noncontrolling interests.

COMPETITION

The markets in which we operate are highly competitive, and we believe that competition is in general increasing and becoming more robust. Our competitors include independent reinsurance and insurance companies, subsidiaries and/or affiliates of globally recognized insurance companies, reinsurance divisions of certain insurance companies and domestic and international underwriting operations. As our business evolves over time we expect our competitors to change as well.

Hedge funds, investment banks, exchanges and other capital market participants continue to show interest in entering the reinsurance market, in light of the continuing recovery in the financial markets. In addition, we continue to anticipate further, and perhaps accelerating, growth in financial products such as exchange traded catastrophe options, insurance-linked securities, unrated privately held reinsurance companies providing collateralized reinsurance, catastrophe-linked derivative agreements and other financial products, intended to compete with traditional reinsurance. We believe that competition from non-traditional sources such as these will increase in the future. Many of these competitors have greater financial, marketing and management resources than we do. In addition, the tax policies of the countries where our customers operate, as well as government sponsored or backed catastrophe funds, affect demand for reinsurance, sometimes significantly. We are unable to predict the extent to which the foregoing new, proposed or potential initiatives may affect the demand for our products or the risks for which we seek to provide coverage.

RATINGS

Financial strength ratings are an important factor in respect of the competitive position of reinsurance and insurance companies. Rating organizations continually review the financial positions of our reinsurers and insurers. We continue to receive high claims-paying and financial strength ratings from A.M. Best Co. (A.M. Best), Standard & Poor's Rating Agency (S&P), Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) and Fitch Ratings Ltd. (Fitch). These ratings represent independent opinions of an insurer's financial strength, operating performance and ability to meet policyholder obligations, and are not an evaluation directed toward the protection of investors or a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any of our securities.

See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Capital Resources, Credit Ratings for the ratings of our principal operating subsidiaries and joint ventures by segment, as well as the enterprise risk management (ERM) rating of RenaissanceRe and details of recent ratings actions.

Table of Contents

UNDERWRITING AND ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT

Underwriting

Our primary underwriting goal is to construct a portfolio of reinsurance and insurance contracts and other financial risks that maximizes our return on shareholders' equity, subject to prudent risk constraints, and to generate long-term growth in tangible book value per common share plus the change in accumulated dividends. We assess each new (re)insurance contract on the basis of the expected incremental return relative to the incremental contribution to portfolio risk.

We have developed a proprietary, computer-based pricing and exposure management system, REMS[®]. Since inception, we have continued to invest in and improve REMS[®], incorporating our underwriting and modeling experience, adding proprietary software and a significant amount of new industry data. REMS[®] has analytic and modeling capabilities that help us to assess the risk and return of each incremental reinsurance contract in relation to our overall portfolio of reinsurance contracts. We combine the analyses generated by REMS[®] with other information available to us, including our own knowledge of the client submitting the proposed program, to assess the premium offered against the risk of loss and the cost of utilized capital which the program presents. We utilize a multiple model and multiple risk approach combining both probabilistic and deterministic techniques. The underlying risk models integrated into our underwriting and REMS[®] framework are a combination of internally constructed and commercially available models. We use commercially available natural hazard catastrophe models to assist with validating and stress testing our base model and REMS[®] results. We continually strive to improve our analytical techniques for both natural hazard and non-natural hazard models in REMS[®] and while our experience is most developed for analyzing natural hazard catastrophe risks, we continue to make significant advances in our capabilities for assessing non-natural hazards catastrophe risks.

We believe that REMS[®] is a robust underwriting and risk management system that has been successfully integrated into our business processes and culture. Before we bind a reinsurance risk, exposure data, historical loss information and other risk data is gathered from customers. Using a combination of proprietary software, underwriting experience, actuarial techniques and engineering expertise where appropriate, the exposure data is reviewed and augmented. We use this data as primary inputs into the REMS[®] modeling system as a base to create risk distributions to represent the risk being evaluated. We believe that the REMS[®] modeling system helps us to analyze each policy on a consistent basis, assisting our determination of what we believe to be an appropriate price to charge for each policy based upon the risk that is assumed. REMS[®] combines computer-generated statistical simulations that estimate event probabilities with exposure and coverage information on each client's reinsurance contract to produce expected claims for reinsurance programs submitted to us. Operationally, on a deal-by-deal basis, our models employ simulation techniques that have the ability to generate 40,000 years of loss activity. When deemed necessary, we stress test the 40,000 year simulations with simulations of up to 1,000,000 years. At a consolidated level, we routinely utilize simulations of 500,000 years to incorporate investment risk, expense risk and operational risk at a portfolio and risk assuming entity level. For natural hazards, we have modeled certain simulated events in excess of \$400 billion in insured industry losses. From this simulation, we generate a probability distribution of potential outcomes for each policy in our portfolio and for our total portfolio. In part, through the process described above and the utilization of REMS[®], we seek to compare our estimate of the expected returns in respect of a contract with the amount of capital that we notionally allocate to the contract based on our estimate of its marginal impact on our portfolio of risks. We have also customized REMS[®] by including additional perils, risks and geographic areas that may not be captured in commercially available models.

We periodically review the estimates and assumptions that are reflected in REMS[®] and our other tools. For example, in the second half of 2009 we assessed recent U.S. Geological Survey research updates and independently evaluated and revised our assumptions relating to U.S. earthquake risks. In 2005, we revised our assumptions on Atlantic basin hurricane frequency and severity. The publicly available commercial catastrophe models historically base their frequency and severity distributions on the last 100 years of hurricane activity, assuming that this time frame is an appropriate framework on which to base estimates of the hurricane risk to which the insurance industry is exposed. We currently do not believe, based on our review of the scientific literature, private research, and discussions with climatologists, meteorologists and other weather scientists, including those at Weather Predict, that the past 100 years of data is reflective of current climatological risks. In

Table of Contents

particular, we believe there has been an increase in the frequency and severity of hurricanes that have the potential to make landfall in the U.S., potentially as a result of decadal ocean water temperature cyclical trends, climate, or both, or other factors.

Our underwriters use this combination of our risk assessment and underwriting process, REMS® and other tools in their pricing decisions, which we believe provides them with several competitive advantages. These include the ability to:

simulate a range of potential outcomes that adequately represents the risk to an individual contract;

analyze the incremental impact of an individual reinsurance contract on our overall portfolio;

better assess the underlying exposures associated with assumed retrocessional business;

price contracts within a short time frame;

capture various classes of risk, including catastrophe and other insurance risks;

assess risk across multiple entities (including our various joint ventures) and across different components of our capital structure; and

provide consistent pricing information.

As part of our risk management process, we also use REMS® to assist us with the purchase of reinsurance coverage for our own account.

Our underwriting and risk management process, in conjunction with REMS®, quantifies and manages our exposure to claims from any single catastrophic event and the exposure to losses from a series of catastrophic events. As part of our pricing and underwriting process, we also assess a variety of other factors, including:

the reputation of the proposed cedant and the likelihood of establishing a long-term relationship with the cedant;

the geographic area in which the cedant does business and its market share;

historical loss data for the cedant and, where available, for the industry as a whole in the relevant regions, in order to compare the cedant's historical catastrophe loss experience to industry averages;

the cedant's pricing strategies; and

the perceived financial strength of the cedant and factors such as the cedant's historical record of making premium payments in full and on a timely basis.

In order to estimate the risk profile of each line of non-natural hazard reinsurance (i.e. lines of business within our specialty unit), we establish probability distributions and assess the correlations with the rest of our portfolio. In lines with catastrophe risk, such as excess workers

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

compensation and terrorism, we seek to directly leverage our skill in modeling for our property catastrophe reinsurance risks, and seek to appropriately estimate and manage the correlations between these specialty lines and our catastrophe reinsurance portfolio. For other classes of business, in which we believe we have little or no natural catastrophe exposure, and therefore less correlation with our property catastrophe reinsurance coverages, we derive probability distributions from a variety of underlying information sources, including recent historical experience, and the application of judgment as appropriate. The nature of some of these businesses lends itself less to the analysis that we use for our property catastrophe reinsurance coverages, reflecting both the nature of available exposure information, and the impact of human factors such as tort exposure. We produce probability distributions to represent our estimates of the related underlying risks which our products cover, which we believe helps us to make consistent underwriting decisions and to manage our total risk portfolio.

Table of Contents

Enterprise Risk Management

We believe that high-quality and effective risk management is best achieved through it being a shared cultural value throughout the organization. We have sought to develop and utilize a series of tools and processes that support a culture of risk management and to create a robust framework of ERM within our organization. We consider ERM to be a key process which is the responsibility of every individual within the Company. ERM is managed by our senior executive team under the oversight of our Board of Directors, and implemented by personnel from across our organization. We believe that ERM helps us to identify potential events that may affect us, to quantify, evaluate and manage the risks to which we are exposed, and to provide reasonable assurance regarding the achievement of our objectives. We believe that effective ERM can provide us with a significant competitive advantage. We also believe that effective ERM assists our efforts to minimize the likelihood of suffering financial outcomes in excess of the ranges which we have estimated in respect of specific investments, underwriting decisions, or other operating or business activities, although we do not believe this risk can be eliminated. We believe that our risk management tools support our strategy of pursuing opportunities and help us to identify opportunities that we believe to be the most attractive. In particular, we utilize our risk management tools to support our efforts to monitor our capital position, on a consolidated basis and for each of our major operating subsidiaries, and to allocate an appropriate amount of capital to support the risks that we have assumed in the aggregate and for each of our major operating subsidiaries. We believe that our risk management efforts are essential to our corporate strategy and our goal of achieving long-term growth in tangible book value per share plus the change in accumulated dividends for our shareholders.

Our ERM framework comprises three primary areas of focus, as set forth below:

- (1) *Assumed Risk.* We define assumed risk as activities where we deliberately take risk against the Company's capital base, including underwriting risks and other quantifiable risks such as credit risk and interest rate risk as they relate to investments, ceded reinsurance credit risk and strategic investment risk, each of which can be analyzed in substantial part through quantitative tools and techniques. Of these, we believe underwriting risk to be the most material to us. In order to understand, monitor, quantify and proactively assess underwriting risk, we seek to develop and deploy appropriate tools to, among other things, estimate the comparable expected returns on potential business opportunities, and estimate the impact that such incremental business could have on our overall risk profile. We use the tools and methods described above in Underwriting to seek to achieve these objectives.

Embedded within our consideration of assumed risk is our management of the Company's aggregate risk profile. In part through the utilization of REMS® and our other systems and procedures, we seek to analyze our in-force aggregate assumed risk portfolio on a daily basis. We believe this capability helps us to manage our aggregate exposures, as well as to rigorously analyze individual proposed transactions and evaluate them in the context of our in-force portfolio. This aggregation process captures line of business, segment and corporate risk profiles, calculates internal and external capital tests and explicitly models ceded reinsurance. Generally, additional data is added quarterly to our aggregate risk framework to reflect updated or new information or estimates relating to matters such as interest rate risk, credit risk, capital adequacy and liquidity. This information is used in day-to-day decision making for underwriting, investments and operations and is also reviewed quarterly from both a unit level and in respect of our consolidated financial position.

- (2) *Business Environment Risk.* We define this as the risk of changes in the business, political or regulatory environment that could negatively impact our short term or long-term financial results or the markets in which we operate. Accordingly, these risks are predominately extrinsic to the Company and in general, our ability to alter or eliminate these risks is limited. Rather, our efforts focus on monitoring developments, assessing potential impacts of any such changes, and investing in cost effective means to attempt to mitigate the consequences of and ensure compliance with any new requirements applicable to us.
- (3) *Operational Risk.* We believe we are subject to a number of additional risks arising out of operational, regulatory, and other matters. We define operational risk as the risk that we fail to create, manage, control or mitigate the people, processes, structures or functions required to execute our strategic and tactical plans and assemble an optimized portfolio of assumed risk, and to adjust to and comply with the evolving requirements of business environment risk applicable to us. In light of the rapid evolution

Table of Contents

of our markets, business environment, and business initiatives, we seek to continually invest in the tools, processes and procedures to cost-effectively mitigate our exposure to operational risk.

Identification and monitoring of business environment risk and operational risk is coordinated by senior personnel including our Chief Financial Officer (CFO), General Counsel and Chief Compliance Officer (CCO), Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer (CAO), Chief Administrative Officer, Chief Risk Officer (CRO) and Internal Audit, utilizing resources throughout the Company.

Although financial reporting is a key area of our focus, other operational risks are addressed through our disaster recovery program, human resource practices such as motivating and retaining top talent, our strict tax protocols and our legal and regulatory policies and procedures.

Controls and Compliance Committee. We believe that a key component of our current operational risk management platform is our Controls and Compliance Committee. The Controls and Compliance Committee is comprised of our CFO, CCO, CAO, Chief Administrative Officer, CRO, staff compliance professionals and representatives from our business units. The purpose of the Controls and Compliance Committee is to establish, assess the effectiveness of, and enforce policies, procedures and practices relating to accounting, financial reporting, internal controls, regulatory, legal, compliance and related matters, for ensuring compliance with applicable laws and regulations, the Company's Code of Ethics and Conduct (the Code of Ethics), and other relevant standards. In addition, the Controls and Compliance Committee is charged with reviewing certain transactions that potentially contain complex and/or significant underwriting, tax, legal, accounting, regulatory, reporting, reputational or compliance issues.

Ongoing Development and Enhancement. We frequently seek to accurately capture, reflect and categorize risks we monitor in part through quantitative risk distributions, even where we believe that such quantitative analysis is not as robust or well developed as our tools and models for measuring and evaluating other risks, such as catastrophe and market risks. We also seek to improve the methods by which we measure risks. We believe effective risk management is a core attribute of our culture and is a continual process that requires ongoing improvement and development. We seek from time to time to identify new best practices or additional developments both from within our industry and from other sectors. We believe that our ongoing efforts to embed ERM throughout our organization are important to our efforts to produce and maintain a competitive advantage to achieve our corporate goals.

GEOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN

Our exposures are generally diversified across geographic zones, but are also a function of market conditions and opportunities. The Company's largest exposure has historically been to the U.S. and Caribbean property catastrophe market, which represented 68.1% of the Company's gross premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2010. A significant amount of our U.S. and Caribbean premium provides coverage against windstorms, mainly U.S. Atlantic hurricanes, as well as earthquakes and other natural and man-made catastrophes.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the percentage of our gross premiums written allocated to the territory of coverage exposure:

Year ended December 31, (in thousands, except percentages)	2010		2009		2008	
	Gross Premiums Written	Percentage of Gross Premiums Written	Gross Premiums Written	Percentage of Gross Premiums Written	Gross Premiums Written	Percentage of Gross Premiums Written
Catastrophe						
U.S. and Caribbean	\$ 710,770	61.0%	\$ 815,840	66.4%	\$ 745,016	60.0%
Worldwide (excluding U.S.) (1)	113,270	9.7%	78,222	6.4%	75,489	6.1%
Worldwide	65,500	5.6%	92,586	7.5%	67,371	5.4%
Europe	59,480	5.1%	60,363	4.9%	72,153	5.8%
Australia and New Zealand	6,269	0.5%	5,293	0.4%	5,455	0.4%
Other	29,464	2.5%	31,495	2.6%	23,465	1.9%
Total catastrophe	984,753	84.4%	1,083,799	88.2%	988,949	79.6%
Specialty						
Worldwide	59,636	5.2%	68,704	5.6%	64,664	5.2%
U.S. and Caribbean	57,461	4.9%	39,712	3.2%	95,106	7.7%
Australia and New Zealand	8,934	0.8%	51			
Europe	2,786	0.2%	5,037	0.4%		
Other	569		842	0.1%		
Total specialty	129,386	11.1%	114,346	9.3%	159,770	12.9%
Total Reinsurance (2)	1,114,139	95.5%	1,198,145	97.5%	1,148,719	92.5%
Lloyd's						
U.S. and Caribbean	25,425	2.2%				
Worldwide	16,207	1.4%				
Europe	3,174	0.3%				
Worldwide (excluding U.S.) (1)	1,049	0.1%				
Australia and New Zealand	91					
Other	2,625	0.2%				
Total Lloyd's (3)	48,571	4.2%				
Insurance (4)	2,585	0.3%	30,736	2.5%	93,568	7.5%
Total gross premiums written	\$ 1,165,295	100.0%	\$ 1,228,881	100.0%	\$ 1,242,287	100.0%

(1) The category Worldwide (excluding U.S.) consists of contracts that cover more than one geographic region (other than the U.S.). The exposure in this category for gross premiums written to date is predominantly from Europe and Japan.

(2) Excludes \$9.5 million, \$12.7 million and \$5.7 million of gross premiums written assumed from our Insurance segment in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

(3) Excludes \$17.4 million and \$0.2 million of gross premiums written assumed from our Insurance segment and Reinsurance segment, respectively, in 2010.

(4) The category Insurance consists of contracts that are primarily exposed to U.S. risks.

RESERVES FOR CLAIMS AND CLAIM EXPENSES

We believe the most significant accounting judgment made by management is our estimate of claims and claim expense reserves. Claims and claim expense reserves represent estimates, including actuarial and statistical projections at a given point in time, of the ultimate settlement and administration costs for unpaid claims and claim expenses arising from the insurance and reinsurance contracts we sell. We establish our claims and claim expense reserves by taking claims reported to us by insureds and ceding companies, but which have not yet been paid (case reserves), adding the costs for additional case reserves (additional case reserves) which represent our estimates for claims previously reported to us which we believe may not be adequately reserved as of that date, and adding estimates for the anticipated cost of claims incurred but not yet reported to us (IBNR).

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our claims and claim expense reserves by line of business and split between case reserves, additional case reserves and IBNR at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

At December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Case Reserves	Additional Case Reserves	IBNR	Total
Catastrophe	\$ 173,157	\$ 281,202	\$ 163,021	\$ 617,380
Specialty	102,521	60,196	350,573	513,290
Total Reinsurance	275,678	341,398	513,594	1,130,670
Lloyd's	172	6,874	12,985	20,031
Insurance	40,943	3,317	62,882	107,142
Total	\$ 316,793	\$ 351,589	\$ 589,461	\$ 1,257,843
At December 31, 2009 (in thousands)				
Catastrophe	\$ 165,153	\$ 148,252	\$ 258,451	\$ 571,856
Specialty	119,674	101,612	382,818	604,104
Total Reinsurance	284,827	249,864	641,269	1,175,960
Insurance	76,489	3,658	88,326	168,473
Total	\$ 361,316	\$ 253,522	\$ 729,595	\$ 1,344,433

Our estimates of claims and claim expense reserves are not precise in that, among other matters, they are based on predictions of future developments and estimates of future trends and other variable factors. Some, but not all, of our reserves are further subject to the uncertainty inherent in actuarial methodologies and estimates. Because a reserve estimate is simply an insurer's estimate at a point in time of its ultimate liability, and because there are numerous factors which affect reserves and claims payments that cannot be determined with certainty in advance, our ultimate payments will vary, perhaps materially, from our estimates of reserves. If we determine in a subsequent period that adjustments to our previously established reserves are appropriate, such adjustments are recorded in the period in which they are identified. During the year ended December 31, 2010, changes to prior year estimated claims reserves increased our net income by \$302.1 million (2009 \$266.2 million, 2008 \$196.9 million), excluding the consideration of changes in reinstatement premium, profit commissions, redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe and income tax benefit (expense).

Our reserving methodology for each line of business uses a loss reserving process that calculates a point estimate for the Company's ultimate settlement and administration costs for claims and claim expenses. We do not calculate a range of estimates. We use this point estimate, along with paid claims and case reserves, to record our best estimate of additional case reserves and IBNR in our consolidated financial statements. Under GAAP, we are not permitted to establish estimates for catastrophe claims and claim expense reserves until an event occurs that gives rise to a loss.

Reserving for our reinsurance claims involves other uncertainties, such as the dependence on information from ceding companies, which among other matters, includes the time lag inherent in reporting information from the primary insurer to us or to our ceding companies and differing reserving practices among ceding companies. The information received from ceding companies is typically in the form of bordereaux, broker notifications of loss and/or discussions with ceding companies or their brokers. This information can be received on a monthly, quarterly or transactional basis and normally includes estimates of paid claims and case reserves. We sometimes also receive an estimate or provision for IBNR. This information is often updated and adjusted from time to time during the loss settlement period as new data or facts in respect of initial claims, client accounts, industry or event trends may be reported or emerge in addition to changes in applicable statutory and case laws.

Table of Contents

We recorded \$540.5 million of gross claims and claim expenses incurred in 2008 as a result of losses arising from hurricanes Gustav and Ike which struck the U.S. in 2008. In 2010, we recorded \$159.7 million, \$166.8 million and \$23.0 million of gross claims and claim expenses as a result of losses arising from the Chilean earthquake, the New Zealand earthquake and the Australian flooding, respectively. Our estimates of losses from these events are based on factors including currently available information derived from the Company's preliminary claims information from certain customers and brokers, industry assessments of losses from the events, proprietary models, and the terms and conditions of our contracts. The uncertainty of our estimates for these 2010 events is additionally impacted by the preliminary nature of the information available, the magnitude and relative infrequency of the events, the expected duration of the respective claims development period, inadequacies in the data provided thus far by industry participants and the potential for further reporting lags or insufficiencies (particularly in respect of the Chilean and New Zealand earthquakes (the 2010 earthquakes)); and in the case of the Australian flooding, significant uncertainty as to the form of the claims and legal issues including, but not limited to, the number, nature and fiscal periods of the loss events under the relevant terms of insurance contracts and reinsurance treaties. Given the magnitude and relatively recent occurrence of these events, and the continuing uncertainty relating to the large storms of 2005, especially hurricane Katrina, and those of 2008, meaningful uncertainty remains regarding total covered losses for the insurance industry and, accordingly, several of the key assumptions underlying our loss estimates. In addition, our actual net losses from these events may increase if our reinsurers or other obligors fail to meet their obligations.

Because of the inherent uncertainties discussed above, we have developed a reserving philosophy which attempts to incorporate prudent assumptions and estimates. While we have generally experienced favorable net development on prior year reserves in the last several years, there is no assurance that this will occur in future periods.

Our reserving techniques, assumptions and processes differ between our property catastrophe reinsurance, specialty reinsurance and insurance businesses within our Reinsurance and Lloyd's segment. Refer to our Claims and Claim Expense Reserves Critical Accounting Estimates discussion in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for more information on the risks we insure and reinsure, the reserving techniques, assumptions and processes we follow to estimate our claims and claim expense reserves, and our current estimates versus our initial estimates of our claims reserves, for each of these units.

The following table represents the development of our GAAP balance sheet reserves for December 31, 2000 through December 31, 2010. This table does not present accident or policy year development data. The top line of the table shows the gross reserves for claims and claim expenses at the balance sheet date for each of the indicated years. This represents the estimated amounts of claims and claim expenses arising in the current year and all prior years that are unpaid at the balance sheet date, including additional case reserves and IBNR reserves. The table also shows the re-estimated amount of the previously recorded reserves based on experience as of the end of each succeeding year. The estimate changes as more information becomes known about the frequency and severity of claims for individual years. The cumulative redundancy on net reserves represents the aggregate change to date from the indicated estimate of the gross reserve for claims and claim expenses, net of reinsurance recoverable on the second line of the table. The table also shows the cumulative net paid amounts as of successive years with respect to the net reserve liability. At the bottom of the table is a reconciliation of the gross reserve for claims and claim expenses to the net reserve for claims and claim expenses, the gross re-estimated liability to the net re-estimated liability for claims and claim expenses, and the cumulative redundancy on gross reserves.

Table of Contents

With respect to the information in the table below, it should be noted that each amount includes the effects of all changes in amounts for prior periods, including the effect of foreign exchange rates.

Year ended December 31, (in millions)	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Gross reserve for claims and claim expenses	\$ 298.2	\$ 502.7	\$ 747.9	\$ 924.4	\$ 1,295.0	\$ 2,381.4	\$ 1,811.0	\$ 1,717.2	\$ 1,758.8	\$ 1,344.4	\$ 1,257.8
Reserve for claims and claim expenses, net of reinsurance recoverable	\$ 217.3	\$ 346.2	\$ 595.0	\$ 810.6	\$ 1,099.2	\$ 1,742.2	\$ 1,591.3	\$ 1,609.5	\$ 1,565.2	\$ 1,260.3	\$ 1,156.1
1 Year Later	203.9	373.6	494.8	661.5	878.6	1,610.7	1,368.3	1,412.6	1,299.0	958.2	
2 Years Later	157.9	332.7	449.5	379.5	844.0	1,449.1	1,225.9	1,199.0	1,045.1		
3 Years Later	121.1	292.0	270.8	362.8	749.1	1,333.7	1,092.2	997.8			
4 Years Later	87.4	195.4	258.7	332.9	717.2	1,231.6	911.1				
5 Years Later	31.5	190.8	246.3	312.2	683.7	1,077.8					
6 Years Later	29.9	180.9	220.2	301.5	628.9						
7 Years Later	23.5	164.2	210.8	266.2							
8 Years Later	24.4	162.1	186.0								
9 Years Later	21.7	144.6									
10 Years Later	14.6										
Cumulative redundancy on net reserves	\$ 202.7	\$ 201.6	\$ 409.1	\$ 544.4	\$ 470.3	\$ 664.4	\$ 680.2	\$ 611.8	\$ 520.2	\$ 302.1	\$
Cumulative Net Paid Losses											
1 Year Later	\$ 4.6	\$ 91.6	\$ 81.1	\$ 58.0	\$ 302.8	\$ 354.8	\$ 247.6	\$ 337.1	\$ 191.5	\$ 182.8	\$
2 Years Later	(1.5)	155.9	85.3	100.6	370.8	548.4	435.8	469.5	369.1		
3 Years Later	1.6	111.4	113.0	107.5	395.7	712.6	529.5	553.0			
4 Years Later	(13.7)	123.2	91.8	96.4	446.8	782.9	569.4				
5 Years Later	(11.0)	102.1	85.9	129.8	472.7	812.0					
6 Years Later	(7.8)	105.8	102.8	136.1	482.7						
7 Years Later	(2.4)	116.9	109.6	137.3							
8 Years Later	3.2	116.4	103.0								
9 Years Later	4.6	110.3									
10 Years Later	0.5										
Gross reserve for claims and claim expenses	\$ 298.2	\$ 502.7	\$ 747.9	\$ 924.4	\$ 1,295.0	\$ 2,381.4	\$ 1,811.0	\$ 1,717.2	\$ 1,758.8	\$ 1,344.4	\$ 1,257.8
Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses	80.9	156.5	152.9	113.8	195.8	639.2	219.7	107.7	193.5	84.1	101.7
Net reserve for claims and claim expenses	\$ 217.3	\$ 346.2	\$ 595.0	\$ 810.6	\$ 1,099.2	\$ 1,742.2	\$ 1,591.3	\$ 1,609.5	\$ 1,565.3	\$ 1,260.3	\$ 1,156.1
Gross liability re-estimated	\$ 110.7	\$ 255.7	\$ 317.9	\$ 378.0	\$ 822.6	\$ 1,686.2	\$ 1,113.5	\$ 1,064.8	\$ 1,196.1	\$ 1,022.0	\$
Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses re-estimated	96.1	111.1	131.9	111.8	193.7	608.4	202.4	67.0	151.0	63.8	
Net liability re-estimated	\$ 14.6	\$ 144.6	\$ 186.0	\$ 266.2	\$ 628.9	\$ 1,077.8	\$ 911.1	\$ 997.8	\$ 1,045.1	\$ 958.2	\$
Cumulative redundancy on gross reserves	\$ 187.5	\$ 247.0	\$ 430.0	\$ 546.4	\$ 472.4	\$ 695.2	\$ 697.5	\$ 652.5	\$ 562.7	\$ 322.4	\$

Table of Contents

The following table presents an analysis of our paid, unpaid and incurred losses and loss expenses and a reconciliation of beginning and ending reserves for claims and claim expenses for the years indicated:

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2010	2009	2008
Net reserves as of January 1	\$ 1,260,334	\$ 1,565,230	\$ 1,609,498
Net incurred related to:			
Current year	431,476	195,518	678,383
Prior years	(302,131)	(266,216)	(196,885)
Total net incurred	129,345	(70,698)	481,498
Net paid related to:			
Current year	50,793	42,712	188,637
Prior years	182,754	191,486	337,129
Total net paid	233,547	234,198	525,766
Total net reserves as of December 31	1,156,132	1,260,334	1,565,230
Reinsurance recoverable as of December 31	101,711	84,099	193,546
Total gross reserves as of December 31	\$ 1,257,843	\$ 1,344,433	\$ 1,758,776

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the prior year favorable development of \$302.1 million (2009 \$266.2 million, 2008 \$196.9 million) included favorable development of \$286.0 million, \$0.2 million and \$15.9 million attributable to our Reinsurance, Lloyd's and Insurance segments, respectively (2009 \$249.5 million and \$16.7 million attributable to our Reinsurance and Insurance segments, respectively, 2008 \$188.1 million and \$8.8 million attributable to our Reinsurance and Insurance segments, respectively). Within our Reinsurance segment, our catastrophe unit and specialty unit experienced \$157.5 million and \$128.6 million, respectively, of favorable development on prior years' claims and claim expense reserves (2009 \$184.4 million and \$65.1 million, respectively, 2008 \$131.6 million and \$56.5 million, respectively).

Refer to Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Summary of Critical Accounting Estimates, Claims and Claim Expense Reserves for additional discussion regarding the Company's reserving methodologies, including key assumptions and sensitivity analysis. In addition, refer to Note 10. Reserve for Claims and Claim Expenses in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for discussion regarding the Company's accounting treatment and favorable development on prior years' net claims and claim expenses.

INVESTMENTS

Our investment guidelines stress preservation of capital, market liquidity, and diversification of risk. The large majority of our investments consist of highly rated fixed income securities. We also hold a significant amount of short term investments. Short term investments are managed as part of our investment portfolio and have a maturity of one year or less when purchased. In addition, we have an allocation to other investments, including hedge funds, private equity partnerships, senior secured bank loan funds and other investments. We may from time to time re-evaluate our investment guidelines and explore investment allocations to other asset classes, including making investments in equity securities. Our investments are subject to market-wide risks and fluctuations, as well as to risks inherent in particular securities.

Table of Contents

The table below shows the aggregate amounts of our invested assets:

At December 31, (in thousands, except percentages)	2010		2009	
U.S. treasuries	\$ 761,461	12.4%	\$ 861,888	14.3%
Agencies	216,963	3.6%	148,785	2.5%
Non-U.S. government (Sovereign debt)	184,387	3.0%	196,994	3.3%
FDIC guaranteed corporate	388,468	6.4%	847,585	14.1%
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	357,504	5.9%	248,746	4.1%
Corporate	1,512,411	24.7%	1,082,305	18.0%
Agency mortgage-backed	401,807	6.6%	370,846	6.2%
Non-agency mortgage-backed	34,149	0.6%	36,383	0.6%
Commercial mortgage-backed	219,440	3.6%	230,854	3.8%
Asset-backed	40,107	0.7%	92,509	1.5%
Total fixed maturity investments, at fair value (1)	4,116,697	67.5%	4,116,895	68.4%
Short term investments, at fair value	1,110,364	18.2%	943,051	15.7%
Other investments, at fair value	787,548	12.9%	858,026	14.3%
Total managed investment portfolio	6,014,609	98.6%	5,917,972	98.4%
Investments in other ventures, under equity method	85,603	1.4%	97,287	1.6%
Total investments	\$ 6,100,212	100.0%	\$ 6,015,259	100.0%

(1) Included in fixed maturity investments, at fair value at December 31, 2010 and 2009, are \$3,871.8 million and \$696.9 million, respectively, of fixed maturity investments designated as trading under ASC Topic 320 *Investments – Debt and Equity Securities*.

For additional information regarding the investment portfolio, refer to Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Summary of Results of Operations for 2010, 2009 and 2008, Liquidity and Capital Resources, Investments.

MARKETING

We believe that our modeling and technical expertise, the risk management advice that we provide to our customers, and our reputation for paying claims promptly has enabled us to become a provider of first choice in many lines of business to our customers worldwide. We market our products worldwide primarily through reinsurance brokers and we focus our marketing efforts on targeted brokers and partners. We believe that our existing portfolio of business is a valuable asset and, therefore, we attempt to continually strengthen relationships with our existing brokers and customers. We target prospects that are capable of supplying detailed and accurate underwriting data and that potentially add further diversification to our book of business.

We believe that primary insurers' and brokers' willingness to use a particular reinsurer is based not just on pricing, but also on the financial security of the reinsurer, its claim paying ability ratings and demonstrated willingness to promptly pay valid claims, the quality of a reinsurer's service, the reinsurer's willingness and ability to design customized programs, its long-term stability and its commitment to provide reinsurance capacity. We believe we have established a reputation with our brokers and customers for prompt response on underwriting submissions, fast claims payments and a reputation for providing creative solutions to our customers' needs. Since we selectively write large lines on a limited number of property catastrophe and specialty reinsurance contracts, we can establish terms and conditions on those contracts that are attractive in our judgment, make large commitments to the most attractive programs and provide superior client responsiveness. We believe that our willingness and ability to design customized programs and to provide advice on risk management has helped us to develop long-term relationships with brokers and customers.

Our brokers assess client needs and perform data collection, contract preparation and other administrative tasks, enabling us to market our products cost effectively by maintaining a smaller staff. We believe that by maintaining close relationships with brokers, we are able to obtain access to a broad range of potential reinsureds. In recent years, our distribution has become increasingly reliant on a small and relatively

decreasing number of such relationships reflecting consolidation in the broker sector. We expect this concentration to continue and perhaps

Table of Contents

increase. The following table shows the percentage of our Reinsurance segment gross premiums written generated through our largest brokers for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

Year ended December 31,	2010	2009	2008
Percentage of gross premiums written			
AON Benfield (1)	53.5%	58.7%	61.5%
Marsh Inc.	23.1%	20.9%	18.2%
Willis Group	11.6%	10.5%	8.9%
Total of largest brokers	88.2%	90.1%	88.6%
All others	11.8%	9.9%	11.4%
Total percentage of gross premiums written	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

(1) On November 11, 2008, AON Corporation completed its acquisition of Benfield Group Limited. Benfield Group Limited and AON Corporation accounted for 48.3% and 13.2% respectively, of gross premiums written in 2008. During 2010, our Reinsurance segment issued authorization for coverage on programs submitted by 41 brokers worldwide (2009 43 brokers). We received approximately 3,174 program submissions during 2010 (2009 approximately 3,109). Of these submissions, we issued authorizations for coverage for approximately 933 programs, or approximately 29% of the program submissions received (2009 approximately 891 programs, or approximately 29%).

Our Lloyd's segment received approximately 2,080 program submissions during 2010 (2009 approximately 456), from 38 different brokers worldwide (2009 28 brokers). Of these submissions, we issued authorizations for coverage for approximately 372 programs, or approximately 18% of the program submissions received (2009 approximately 55 programs, or approximately 12%).

New Business

For information related to New Business, refer to Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Overview.

EMPLOYEES

At February 16, 2011, we employed approximately 517 people worldwide (February 10, 2010 506, February 11, 2009 400). We believe our strong employee relations are among our most significant strengths. None of our employees are subject to collective bargaining agreements. We are not aware of any current efforts to implement such agreements at any of our subsidiaries. The Company has historically looked for opportunities to strengthen its operations during periods of softening markets in anticipation of improving market conditions, however, we may from time to time reevaluate our operational needs based on various factors, including the changing nature of such market conditions.

At February 16, 2011, approximately 204 employees were employed in the U.S.-based insurance operations expected to be sold in early 2011, and as a result we expect our overall headcount to decrease accordingly. However, we expect to continue to experience employee growth in the U.K. and other highly regulated markets, which will increase our compliance complexity and expenses, although we do not expect these increases to be material to the Company as a whole.

Table of Contents

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Our information technology infrastructure is important to our business. Our information technology platform, supported by a team of professionals, is currently principally located in our corporate headquarters and principal corporate offices in Bermuda. Additional information technology assets are maintained at the office locations of our operating subsidiaries. We have implemented backup procedures that seek to ensure that our key business systems and data are backed up, generally on a daily basis, and can be restored promptly if and as needed. In addition, we generally store backup information at off-site locations, in order to seek to minimize our risk of loss of key data in the event of a disaster.

We have implemented and periodically test our disaster recovery plans with respect to our information technology infrastructure. Among other things, our recovery plans involve arrangements with off-site, secure data centers in alternative locations. We believe we will be able to access our systems from these facilities in the event that our primary systems are unavailable due to a scenario such as a natural disaster.

REGULATION

U.S. Regulation

Dodd-Frank Act. On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Dodd-Frank Act which effects sweeping reforms of the financial services industries. The Dodd-Frank Act does not implement the federal regulation of insurance, but it does establish federal measures that will impact the U.S. insurance business and preempt certain state insurance measures. It may then lay the foundation for ultimately establishing some form of federal regulation of insurance in the future.

The Dodd-Frank Act establishes the Financial Services Oversight Council (the FSOC) to identify risks to the financial stability of the U.S., promote market discipline and respond to emerging threats to the financial stability of the U.S. The FSOC will determine whether the material financial distress or failure of a non-bank financial company, including insurance companies, would threaten the financial stability of the U.S. The FSOC's determination that a non-bank financial company is systemically significant will result in supervision by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve (the Federal Reserve) and the imposition of standards and supervision including stress tests, liquidity requirements, a resolution plan and enhanced public disclosures. The FSOC has released a proposed rule regarding its authority to require the supervision and regulation of systemically significant non-bank financial companies. A final rule and designations of systemically significant financial companies are expected later this year. The FSOC's recommendation of measures to address systemic risk in the insurance industry could affect our U.S.-based insurance and reinsurance operations as could a determination that we or our counterparties are systemically significant and subject to supervision by the Federal Reserve.

The Dodd-Frank Act also creates the first office in the Federal government focused on insurance the Federal Insurance Office (the FIO). Although the FIO has preemption authority over state insurance laws that conflict with certain international agreements, the Dodd-Frank Act does not grant the FIO general supervisory or regulatory authority over the business of insurance. Certain functions of the FIO relate to systemic risk. Specifically, the FIO is authorized to monitor the U.S. insurance industry and identify potential regulatory gaps that could contribute to systemic risk to the insurance industry and the U.S. financial system. In addition, the FIO shall recommend insurers for supervision by the FSOC.

With respect to certain aspects of international insurance regulations, the FIO will represent the U.S. at the International Association of Insurance Supervisors. The Dodd-Frank Act authorizes the Treasury Secretary and U.S. Trade Representative to enter into international agreements of mutual recognition regarding the prudential regulation of insurance (Covered Agreements). Significantly, the FIO is authorized to preempt state measures that (i) are inconsistent with a Covered Agreement and (ii) disfavor non-U.S. insurers subject to a Covered Agreement.

In furtherance of its duties to monitor the U.S. insurance business, represent the U.S. on an international stage and consult with the states on insurance regulation, the FIO is authorized to collect information from insurers and from state insurance regulators. The FIO will report to Congress annually on the insurance industry and any

Table of Contents

preemption actions regarding Covered Agreements. The FIO will also report to Congress no later than September 30, 2012 describing the breadth of the global reinsurance market and its critical role in supporting the U.S. insurance system. In addition, by January 2012, the FIO shall report to Congress on how to modernize and improve the system of insurance regulation in the U.S. including considerations of international coordination of insurance regulation. The potential impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on our U.S. cedants and on the U.S. treatment of global reinsurance matters is not clear at this time. We are monitoring developments at the FSOC and the FIO in connection with the possible impact on our U.S. insurance and reinsurance business.

The Dodd-Frank Act also provides for the specific preemption of certain state insurance laws in the areas of reinsurance and surplus insurance regulation described herein.

At this time, it is difficult to predict the extent to which the Dodd-Frank Act or the resulting regulations will impact our business. However, compliance with these new laws and regulations will result in additional costs, which may adversely impact our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity. Although we do not expect these costs to be material to us as a whole, we cannot be certain that this expectation will prove accurate or that the Dodd-Frank Act will not impact our business more adversely than we currently estimate.

Reinsurance Regulation. Our Bermuda-domiciled insurance operations and joint ventures principally consist of Renaissance Reinsurance, DaVinci, Top Layer Re and Glencoe. Renaissance Reinsurance, DaVinci and Top Layer Re are Bermuda-based companies that operate as reinsurers. Although none of these companies is admitted to transact the business of insurance in any jurisdiction except Bermuda, the insurance laws of each state of the U.S. regulate the sale of reinsurance to ceding insurers authorized in the state by non-admitted alien reinsurers, such as Renaissance Reinsurance or DaVinci, acting from locations outside the state. Rates, contract terms and conditions of reinsurance agreements generally are not subject to regulation by any governmental authority. A primary insurer ordinarily will enter into a reinsurance agreement, however, only if it can obtain credit for the reinsurance ceded on its statutory financial statements.

In general, regulators permit ceding insurers to take credit for reinsurance under the following circumstances if the contract contains certain minimum provisions: if the reinsurer is licensed or accredited, if the reinsurer is domiciled in a state with substantially similar regulatory requirements as the primary insurer's domiciliary jurisdiction and meets certain financial requirements, or if the reinsurance obligations are collateralized appropriately. Recently New York and Florida have changed their credit for reinsurance laws. For example, effective January 1, 2011, New York requires domestic ceding insurers to exercise prudent reinsurance credit risk management. For a New York domestic ceding insurer to exercise financial prudence when entering into any reinsurance arrangement, it must take into account the recoverability of future reinsurance proceeds and the security of a reinsurer. Domestic ceding insurers are also required to monitor reinsurance programs. New York law also establishes a basis for an unauthorized non-U.S. reinsurer to reduce its reinsurance collateral obligations based on a secure rating assigned by the New York Insurance Department. A similar provision was enacted in Florida.

The Dodd-Frank Act also addresses states' extraterritorial regulation of credit for reinsurance and the solvency regulation of U.S. reinsurers. The Dodd-Frank Act prohibits a state in which a U.S. ceding insurer is licensed, but not domiciled, from denying credit for reinsurance if the ceding insurer's domestic state recognizes credit for reinsurance for the insurer's ceded risk and is a state accredited by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (the NAIC) (or has substantially similar financial solvency requirements). With limited exceptions, the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act affecting reinsurance become effective July 21, 2011.

As alien companies, our Bermuda subsidiaries collateralize their reinsurance obligations to U.S. insurance companies. States are expected to change their credit for reinsurance laws to comply with Dodd-Frank Act requirements. Although these changes may benefit our Bermuda based reinsurers by prohibiting states' extraterritorial application of credit for reinsurance laws and streamlining the credit for reinsurance process, states may also impose heightened standards on U.S. ceding insurers' reinsurance selections which could have an adverse impact on our business. At this time, we are unable to determine the effect of changes in the U.S. reinsurance regulatory framework on our operations or financial condition.

Table of Contents

With some exceptions, the sale of insurance or reinsurance within a jurisdiction where the insurer is not admitted to do business is prohibited. None of Renaissance Reinsurance, DaVinci or Top Layer Re intends to maintain an office or to solicit, advertise, settle claims or conduct other insurance activities in any jurisdiction, other than Bermuda, where the conduct of such activities would require that each company be so admitted.

Excess and Surplus Lines Regulation. Glencoe, domiciled in Bermuda, is not licensed in the U.S. but is eligible to offer coverage in the U.S. exclusively in the surplus lines market. Glencoe is eligible to write surplus lines primary insurance in 49 U.S. states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands, and is subject to the surplus lines regulation and reporting requirements of the jurisdictions in which it is eligible to write surplus lines primary insurance. In accordance with certain provisions of the NAIC Nonadmitted Insurance Model Act, which provisions have been adopted by a number of states, Glencoe has established, and is required to maintain, a trust funded to a minimum amount as a condition of its status as an eligible, non-admitted insurer in the U.S. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, effective July 21, 2011, the states are required to amend their laws to provide that any insurer listed on the NAIC/IIQ Quarterly listing is eligible in the state as a surplus lines insurer. Glencoe is listed on the NAIC/IIQ Quarterly listing. Although surplus lines business is generally less regulated than the admitted market, strict regulations apply to surplus lines placements under the laws of every state, and the regulation of surplus lines insurance may undergo changes in the future.

In 2008, the Florida Supreme Court held that surplus lines insurers were subject to insurance law provisions governing policy delivery, policy forms, the payment of attorney fees and other matters; however, in 2009, the Florida legislature passed FL SB 1894 and HB 853 to clarify the limited applicability of Florida insurance law to surplus lines insurers (exempt from the provisions governing policy delivery, policy forms, etc.). This case could foreshadow more extensive oversight of surplus lines insurance by other jurisdictions. Any increase in our regulatory burden may impact our operations and ultimately could impact our financial condition as well.

Legislative and Regulatory Proposals. Government intervention in the insurance and reinsurance markets in the U.S. continues to evolve. Although U.S. state regulation is currently the primary form of regulation of insurance and reinsurance, in addition to changes brought about by the Dodd-Frank Act, Congress has considered over the past years various proposals relating to the creation of an optional federal charter, repeal of the insurance company antitrust exemption from the McCarran Ferguson Act, and tax law changes, including changes to increase the taxation of reinsurance premiums paid to off-shore affiliates with respect to U.S. risks. We are unable to predict what reforms will be proposed or adopted or the effect, if any, that such reforms would have on our operations and financial condition.

In 2007, Florida enacted legislation which enabled the FHCF to offer increased amounts of coverage in addition to the mandatory coverage amount, at below-market rates. Further, the legislation expanded the ability of the state-sponsored insurer, Citizens, to compete with private insurance companies, and other companies that cede business to us. This legislation reduced the role of the private insurance and reinsurance markets in Florida, a key target market of ours. In May 2009, the Florida legislature took steps to strengthen the financial condition of FHCF and Citizens, which a government-appointed task force determined to have been impaired by issues including the crisis in the credit markets, widespread rate inadequacy, and issues arising out of the application of discounts for housing retrofits and mitigation features. A bill was passed in 2009 permitting Citizens to raise its rates by up to 10% starting in 2010 and every year thereafter until its current shortfall is corrected and Citizens has sufficient funds to pay its claims and expenses. For 2010, the approved rate increase for Citizens was approximately 5%. This legislation also increased the rates charged by the FHCF for certain portions of its expanded coverage, and provided for incremental staged reductions in the amount of the expanded coverage layers.

It is possible that other states, particularly those with Atlantic or Gulf Coast exposures, may enact new or expanded legislation based on the earlier Florida precedent, or may otherwise enact legislation which would further diminish aggregate private market demand for our products. Alternatively, legislation adversely impacting the private markets could be enacted on a regional or Federal level. For example, in the past, federal bills have been proposed in Congress (and, in prior congressional sessions, passed by the House of Representatives) which would, if enacted, create a federal reinsurance backstop or guarantee mechanism for catastrophic risks, including those we currently insure and reinsure in the private markets. In 2009 the Catastrophe Obligation Guarantee Act was introduced in the Senate and House (S. 886) (the COGA) to federally guarantee bond issuances by certain government entities, potentially including the FHCF, the Texas Windstorm Insurance Association, the California Earthquake Authority, and others. Similar legislation was introduced in the House of

Table of Contents

Representatives. If enacted, this legislation (or legislation similar to these proposals, in import) would, we believe, likely contribute to growth of these state entities or to their inception or alteration in a manner adverse to us. While none of this legislation has been enacted to date, and although we believe such legislation would be vigorously opposed if introduced in 2011, if enacted these bills would likely further erode the role of private market catastrophe reinsurers and could adversely impact our financial results, perhaps materially. Moreover, we believe that numerous modeled potential catastrophes could exceed the actual or politically acceptable bonded capacity of Citizens and of the FHCF, which could lead either to a severe dislocation or the necessity of federal intervention in the Florida market, either of which would adversely impact the private insurance and reinsurance industry.

The potential for further expansion into additional insurance markets could expose us or our subsidiaries to increasing regulatory oversight, including the oversight of countries other than Bermuda and the U.S. However, we intend to continue to conduct our operations so as to minimize the likelihood that Renaissance Reinsurance, DaVinci, Top Layer Re, Glencoe, or any of our other Bermudian subsidiaries will become subject to direct U.S. regulation. In addition, as discussed above, REAL and Renaissance Trading are involved in certain commodities trading activities relating to weather, natural gas, heating oil, power, crude oil, agricultural commodities and cross-commodity structures. While REAL's and Renaissance Trading's operations currently are not subject to significant federal oversight, we are monitoring carefully new or revised legislation or regulation in the U.S. or otherwise, which could increase the regulatory burden and operating expenses of these operations. For example, certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act will establish greater oversight over derivatives trading and could impose restrictions on the Company's trading activities.

Bermuda Regulation

All Bermuda companies must comply with the provisions of the Companies Act 1981. In addition, the Insurance Act 1978, and related regulations (the Insurance Act), regulate the business of our Bermuda insurance, reinsurance and management company subsidiaries.

As a holding company, RenaissanceRe is not currently subject to the Insurance Act. However, the Insurance Act regulates the insurance and reinsurance business of our operating insurance companies. The Company's most significant operating subsidiaries include Renaissance Reinsurance and DaVinci which are registered as Class 4 general business insurers and Glencoe and Top Layer Re which are registered as Class 3A general business insurers under the Insurance Act. RUM is registered as an insurance manager.

The Insurance Act imposes solvency and liquidity standards as well as auditing and reporting requirements and confers on the Bermuda Monetary Authority (BMA) powers to supervise, investigate and intervene in the affairs of insurance companies. Significant requirements of the Insurance Act include the appointment of an independent auditor and loss reserve specialist (both of whom must be approved by the BMA), the filing of an annual financial return and provisions relating to the payment of distributions and dividends. In particular:

Class 3A and Class 4 general business insurers must prepare annual statutory financial statements which must be submitted as part of its statutory financial return no later than four months after the insurer's financial year end (unless specifically extended). The annual statutory financial statements give detailed information and analyses regarding premiums, claims, reinsurance, reserves and investments. The statutory financial return includes, among other items: a report of the approved independent auditor on the statutory financial statements; a declaration of statutory ratios; a solvency certificate; the statutory financial statements themselves; the opinion of the approved loss reserve specialist; and details concerning ceded reinsurance. The statutory financial statements and the statutory financial return do not form part of the public records maintained by the BMA.

In addition to preparing statutory financial statements, all Class 4 insurers must prepare financial statements in respect of their insurance business in accordance with GAAP or International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS).

Table of Contents

An insurer's statutory assets must exceed its statutory liabilities by an amount, greater than the prescribed minimum solvency margin, which varies with the category of its registration and net premiums written and loss reserves posted (Minimum Solvency Margin). The Minimum Solvency Margin that must be maintained by a Class 4 insurer is the greater of (i) \$100.0 million, or (ii) 50% of net premiums written (with a credit for reinsurance ceded not exceeding 25% of gross premiums) or (iii) 15% of net discounted aggregate loss and loss expense provisions and other insurance reserves. The Minimum Solvency Margin for a Class 3A insurer is the greater of (i) \$1.0 million, or (ii) 20% of the first \$6.0 million of net premiums written; if in excess of \$6.0 million, the figure is \$1.2 million plus 15% of net premiums written in excess of \$6.0 million, or (iii) 15% of net discounted aggregate loss and loss expense provisions and other insurance reserves.

In addition, each Class 4 insurer must maintain its capital at a level equal to its enhanced capital requirement (ECR) which is established by reference to the Bermuda Solvency Capital Requirement (BSCR) model. Alternatively, under the Insurance Act, insurers may, subject to the terms of the Insurance Act and to the BMA's oversight, elect to utilize an approved internal capital model to determine regulatory capital. In either case, the ECR shall at all times equal or exceed the Class 4 insurer's Minimum Solvency Margin and may be adjusted in circumstances where the BMA concludes that the insurer's risk profile deviates significantly from the assumptions underlying its ECR or the insurer's assessment of its risk management policies and practices used to calculate the ECR applicable to it. While not specifically referred to in the Insurance Act, the BMA has also established a target capital level (TCL) for each Class 4 insurer equal to 120% of its ECR. While a Class 4 insurer is not currently required to maintain its statutory capital and surplus at this level, the TCL serves as an early warning tool for the BMA and failure to maintain statutory capital at least equal to the TCL will likely result in increased BMA regulatory oversight.

An insurer engaged in general business is required to maintain the value of its relevant assets at not less than 75% of the amount of its relevant liabilities (Minimum Liquidity Ratio).

Both Class 3A and Class 4 insurers are prohibited from declaring or paying any dividends if in breach of the required Minimum Solvency Margin or Minimum Liquidity Ratio (the Relevant Margins) or if the declaration or payment of such dividend would cause the insurer to fail to meet the Relevant Margins. Where an insurer fails to meet its Relevant Margins on the last day of any financial year, it is prohibited from declaring or paying any dividends during the next financial year without the prior approval of the BMA. Further, a Class 4 insurer is prohibited from declaring or paying in any financial year dividends of more than 25% of its total statutory capital and surplus (as shown on its previous financial year's statutory balance sheet) unless it files (at least seven days before payment of such dividends) with the BMA an affidavit stating that it will continue to meet its Relevant Margins. Class 3A and Class 4 insurers must obtain the BMA's prior approval for a reduction by 15% or more of the total statutory capital as set forth in its previous year's financial statements. These restrictions on declaring or paying dividends and distributions under the Insurance Act are in addition to the solvency requirements under the Companies Act which apply to all Bermuda companies.

The BMA maintains supervision over the controllers (as defined herein) of all Bermuda registered insurers. Currently the Insurance Act states that no person shall become a controller of any description of a registered insurer unless he has first served the BMA notice in writing stating that he intends to become such a controller and the BMA has either, before the end of 45 days following the date of notification, provided notice to the proposed controller that it does not object to his becoming such a controller or the full 45 days has elapsed without the Authority filing an objection. A controller includes the managing director and chief executive of the registered insurer or its parent company; a 10%, 20%, 33% or 50% shareholder controller; and any person in accordance with whose directions or instructions the directors of the registered insurer or of its parent company are accustomed to act. In addition, all Bermuda insurers are also required to give the BMA written notice of the fact that a person has become, or ceased to be, a controller or officer of the registered insurer within 45 days of becoming aware of such fact. An officer in relation to a registered insurer includes a director, secretary, chief executive or senior executive by whatever name called.

Table of Contents

Where it appears to the BMA that a person who is a controller of any description of a registered person is not or is no longer a fit and proper person to be such a controller, it may serve him with a written notice of objection to his being such a controller of the registered person.

All Bermuda insurers will be required to comply with the BMA's Insurance Code of Conduct which establishes duties, requirements and standards to be complied with under the Insurance Act. The deadline for compliance with the Insurance Code of Conduct is currently anticipated to be effective July 1, 2011. Failure to comply with these requirements will be a factor taken into account by the BMA in determining whether an insurer is conducting its business in a sound and prudent manner under the Insurance Act.

In March 2010, the Insurance Act was amended to empower the BMA to exercise group-wide supervision. For purposes of the Insurance Act, an insurance group is defined as a group of companies that conducts exclusively, or mainly, insurance business. As group supervisor, the BMA will perform a number of supervisory functions including, among other things, carrying out a supervisory review and assessment of the insurance group and its compliance with the rules on solvency, risk concentration, intra-group transactions and good governance procedures; planning and coordinating, with other competent authorities, supervisory activities in respect of the insurance group; and coordinating enforcement actions to be taken against the insurance group or any of its members. In carrying out its functions, the BMA may make rules for assessing the financial situation and the solvency position of the insurance group and/or its members and for regulating intra-group transactions, risk concentration, governance procedures, risk management and regulatory reporting and disclosure.

If the BMA believes that an investigation is required in the interests of an insurer's policyholders or persons who may become policyholders, it may appoint an inspector who has extensive powers of investigation. If it appears to the BMA to be desirable in the interests of policyholders, the BMA may also exercise these powers in relation to holding companies, subsidiaries and other affiliates of insurers. If it appears to the BMA that there is a risk of an insurer becoming insolvent, or that the insurer is in breach of the Insurance Act or any conditions of its registration, the BMA may exercise extensive powers of intervention including directing the insurer not to take on any new insurance business or prohibiting the company from declaring and paying dividends or other distributions.

Under the provisions of the Insurance Act, the BMA may, from time to time, conduct on site visits at the offices of insurers it regulates.

The BMA may cancel an insurer's registration on certain grounds specified in the Insurance Act, including without limitation, (i) the failure of that insurer to comply with its obligations under the Insurance Act or (ii) the failure of that insurer in the opinion of the BMA to carry on its business in accordance with sound insurance principles.

U.K. Regulation

Lloyd's Regulation

General. The operations of RenaissanceRe Syndicate Management Ltd. ("RSML"), formerly known as Spectrum Syndicate Management Ltd., are franchised by Lloyd's. The Lloyd's Franchise Board was formally constituted on January 1, 2003. The Franchise Board is responsible for setting risk management and profitability targets for the Lloyd's market and operates a business planning and monitoring process for all syndicates. RSML's business plan for Syndicate 1458 requires annual approval by the Lloyd's Franchise Board including maximum underwriting capacity, and the Lloyd's Franchise Board may require changes to any business plan presented to it or additional capital to be provided to support the underwriting plan. Lloyd's also imposes various charges and assessments on its members. If material changes in the business plan for Syndicate 1458 were required by the Lloyd's Franchise Board, or if charges and assessments payable to Lloyd's by RenaissanceRe CCL were to increase significantly, these events could have an adverse effect on the operations and financial results of RSML. The Company has deposited certain assets with Lloyd's to support RenaissanceRe CCL's underwriting business at

Table of Contents

Lloyd's. Dividends from a Lloyd's managing agent and a Lloyd's corporate member can be declared and paid provided the relevant company has sufficient profits available for distribution.

By entering into a membership agreement with Lloyd's, RenaissanceRe CCL has undertaken to comply with all Lloyd's bye-laws and regulations as well as the provisions of the Lloyd's Acts and the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (the FSMA) that are applicable to it.

Capital Requirements. The underwriting capacity of a member of Lloyd's must be supported by providing a deposit (referred to as Funds at Lloyd's) in the form of cash, securities or letters of credit in an amount determined under the Individual Capital Adequacy regime of the U.K.'s Financial Services Authority (the FSA). The amount of such deposit is calculated for each member through the completion of an annual capital adequacy exercise. Under these requirements, Lloyd's must demonstrate that each member has sufficient assets to meet its underwriting liabilities plus a required solvency margin.

Restrictions. A Reinsurance to Close (RITC) in general is put in place after the third year of operations of a syndicate year of account. If the syndicate's managing agency concludes that an appropriate RITC cannot be determined or negotiated on commercially acceptable terms in respect of a particular underwriting year, it must determine that the underwriting year remain open and be placed into run-off. During this period there cannot be a release of the Funds at Lloyd's of a corporate member that is a member of that syndicate without the consent of Lloyd's and such consent will only be considered where the member has surplus Funds at Lloyd's.

The financial security of the Lloyd's market is regularly assessed by three independent rating agencies (A.M. Best, S&P and Fitch). A satisfactory credit rating issued by an accredited rating agency is necessary for Lloyd's syndicates to be able to trade in certain classes of business at current levels. RSML and RenaissanceRe CCL would be adversely affected if Lloyd's current ratings were downgraded.

Intervention Powers. The Council of Lloyd's has wide discretionary powers to regulate members' underwriting at Lloyd's. It may, for instance, change the basis on which syndicate expenses are allocated or vary the Funds at Lloyd's or the investment criteria applicable to the provision of Funds at Lloyd's. Exercising any of these powers might affect the return on the corporate member's participation in a given underwriting year. If a member of Lloyd's is unable to pay its debts to policyholders, such debts may be payable by the Lloyd's Central Fund, which in many respects acts as an equivalent to a state guaranty fund in the U.S. If Lloyd's determines that the Central Fund needs to be increased, it has the power to assess premium levies on current Lloyd's members. The Council of Lloyd's has discretion to call or assess up to 3% of a member's underwriting capacity in any one year as a Central Fund contribution.

Lloyd's approval is also required before any person can acquire control (as defined below in relation to the FSMA and giving prior notification to the FSA) of a Lloyd's managing agent or Lloyd's corporate member.

FSA Regulation

RSML's operations are regulated by the FSA as well as being franchised by Lloyd's of London. The FSA has substantial powers of intervention in relation to the Lloyd's managing agents, such as RSML, which it regulates, including the power to remove their authorization to manage Lloyd's syndicates. In addition, each year the FSA requires Lloyd's to satisfy an annual solvency test which measures whether Lloyd's has sufficient assets in the aggregate to meet all outstanding liabilities of its members, both current and run-off. If Lloyd's fails this test, the FSA may require Lloyd's to cease underwriting and/or its members to cease or reduce underwriting.

Lloyd's as a whole is authorized by the FSA and is required to implement certain rules prescribed by the FSA, pursuant to its powers under the Lloyd's Act 1982 relating to the operation of the Lloyd's market. Lloyd's prescribes, in respect of its managing agents and corporate members, certain minimum standards relating to their management and control, solvency and various other requirements. The FSA directly monitors Lloyd's managing agents' compliance with the systems and controls prescribed by Lloyd's. If it appears to the FSA that either Lloyd's is not fulfilling its delegated regulatory responsibilities or that managing agents are not complying with the applicable regulatory rules and guidance, the FSA may intervene at its discretion. Future regulatory

Table of Contents

changes or rulings by the FSA could impact RSML's business strategy or financial assumptions, possibly resulting in an adverse effect on RSML's financial condition and operating results.

Change of Control. The FSA regulates the acquisition of control of any Lloyd's managing agent which is authorized under the FSMA. Any company or individual that, together with its or his associates, directly or indirectly acquires 10% or more of the shares in a Lloyd's managing agent or its parent company, or is entitled to exercise or control the exercise of 10% or more of the voting power in such Lloyd's managing agent or its parent company, would be considered to have acquired control for the purposes of the relevant legislation, as would a person who had significant influence over the management of such Lloyd's managing agent or its parent company by virtue of his shareholding or voting power in either. A purchaser of 10% or more of RenaissanceRe's common shares or voting power would therefore be considered to have acquired control of RSML. Under the FSMA, any person or entity proposing to acquire control over a Lloyd's managing agent must give prior notification to the FSA of his or the entity's intention to do so. The FSA would then have sixty working days to consider the application to acquire control. Failure to make the relevant prior application could result in action being taken against RSML by the FSA. Lloyd's approval is also required before any person can acquire control (using the same definition as for the FSA) of a Lloyd's managing agent or Lloyd's corporate member.

Other Applicable Laws. Lloyd's worldwide insurance and reinsurance business is subject to various regulations, laws, treaties and other applicable policies of the European Union, as well as each nation, state and locality in which it operates. Material changes in governmental requirements and laws could have an adverse affect on Lloyd's and its member companies, including RSML and RenaissanceRe CCL.

Solvency II

Solvency II was adopted by the European Parliament in April of 2009. Implementation of Solvency II by the European Commission is expected to take effect January 1, 2013 in the European Union Member States, and will replace the current solvency requirements. Solvency II adopts a risk-based approach to insurance regulation. Its principal goals are to improve the correlation between capital and risk, effect group supervision of insurance and reinsurance affiliates, implement a uniform capital adequacy structure for insurers across the European Union Member States, establish consistent corporate governance standards for insurance and reinsurance companies, and establish transparency through standard reporting of insurance operations. Under Solvency II, an insurer's or reinsurer's capital adequacy in relation to various insurance and business risks may be measured with an internal model developed by the insurer or reinsurer and approved for use by the Member State's regulator or pursuant to a standard formula developed by the European Commission. It is anticipated that insurers or reinsurers with approved internal models will generally have lower capital needs. With respect to Syndicate 1458, implementation of Solvency II may require increases in capital and may negatively impact our financial results. Implementation of Solvency II will require us to utilize a significant amount of resources to ensure compliance. We are monitoring the ongoing legislative and regulatory steps following adoption of Solvency II. The principles, standards and requirements of Solvency II may also, directly or indirectly, impact the future supervision of additional operating subsidiaries of ours.

Environmental and Climate Change Matters

Our principal coverages and services relate to natural disasters and catastrophes, such as earthquakes or hurricanes. We believe, and believe the consensus view of current scientific studies substantiates, that changes in climate conditions, primarily global temperatures and expected sea levels, are likely to increase the severity, and possibly the frequency, of natural disasters and catastrophes relative to the historical experience over the past 100 years. We expect that trend will increase the risk of claims under our property and casualty lines of business, particularly with respect to properties located in coastal areas, among others. While a substantial portion of our coverages accordingly may be adversely impacted by climate change, we have taken certain measures, to the extent permissible by law and prevailing market conditions, to mitigate against such losses by giving consideration to these risks in our underwriting decisions. We continuously monitor and adjust, as we believe appropriate, our risk management models to reflect our judgment of how to interpret current developments and information such as the studies referred to above. However, it is possible that, even after these assessments, we will have underestimated the frequency or severity of hurricanes or other catastrophes. To the extent broad environmental factors, exacerbated by climate change or otherwise, lead to increases in likely insured losses, particularly if those losses exceed expectations and the prior estimates of market participants,

Table of Contents

regulators or other stakeholders, the markets and clients we serve may be disrupted and adversely impacted, and we may be adversely affected, directly or indirectly. Further, certain of our investments such as catastrophe-linked securities and property catastrophe managed joint ventures related to hurricane coverage, could also be adversely impacted by climate change.

An increasing number of federal, state, local and foreign government requirements and international agreements apply to environmental and climate change, in particular by seeking to limit or penalize the discharge of materials such as greenhouse gas (GHG) into the environment or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment. Although our operations are characterized by a small number of professional office facilities, and we have not been directly, materially impacted by these changes to date, it is our policy to monitor and seek to ensure compliance with these requirements, as applicable. We believe that, as a general matter, our policies, practices and procedures are properly designed to identify and manage environmental and climate-related risks, particularly the risks of potential financial liability in connection with our reinsurance, insurance and trading businesses. However, we believe that some risk of environmental damage is inherent in respect of any commercial operation, and may increase for us if our business continues to expand and diversify, including as a result of the possible expansion of the products and services offered by REAL, or by investments which we have made or may make through REAL or other subsidiaries. For example, our weather and energy risk management operations and our customers of such services could be impacted by climate change and increased GHG regulation. Likewise, certain of our investments may also be adversely affected by climate change and increased governmental regulation of, or international agreements pertaining to, GHG emissions. Moreover, our evaluation may be flawed or may reflect inaccurate or incomplete information, and it is possible our exposure to climate change or other environmental risks is greater than we have currently estimated.

At this time, we do not believe that any existing or currently pending climate change legislation, regulation, or international treaty or accord known to us would be reasonably likely to have a material effect in the foreseeable future on our business or on our results of operations, capital expenditures or financial position. However, it is possible that future developments, such as increasingly strict environmental laws and standards and enforcement policies, could give rise to more severe exposure, more costly compliance requirements, or otherwise bring into question our current policies and practices. In addition, it is possible that state insurance regulation could impact the ability of our customers, or of the Company, to manage property exposures in areas vulnerable to significant climate-driven losses. For example, if our customers or operations are unable to utilize actuarially sound, risk-based pricing, to modify policy terms if necessary to reflect changes in the underlying risks, or to otherwise manage exposures appropriately to reflect the risk of increased loss from both large scale natural catastrophes and smaller scale weather events, our markets, customers, or our own financial results may all be adversely affected. We will continue to monitor emerging developments in this area.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We maintain a website at <http://www.renre.com>. The information on our website is not incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K.

We make available, free of charge through our website, our financial information, including the information contained in our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish such material to, the SEC. We also make available, free of charge from our website, our Audit Committee Charter, Compensation/Governance Committee Charter, Corporate Governance Guidelines and Statement of Policies, and Code of Ethics. Such information is also available in print for any shareholder who sends a request to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., Attn: Office of the Corporate Secretary, P.O. Box HM 2527, Hamilton, HMGX, Bermuda. Reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) may also be viewed or obtained at the SEC Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. Information on the operation of the SEC Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers, including the Company, that file electronically with the SEC. The address of the SEC's website is <http://www.sec.gov>.

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Factors that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-K and other documents we file with the SEC include the following:

RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMPANY

Our exposure to catastrophic events and other exposures that we cover could cause our financial results to vary significantly from one period to the next.

Our largest product based on total gross premiums written is property catastrophe reinsurance. We also sell lines of specialty reinsurance products and insurance products that are exposed to catastrophe risk. We therefore have a large overall exposure to natural and man-made disasters, such as earthquakes, hurricanes, tsunamis, winter storms, freezes, floods, fires, tornados, hailstorms, drought and other natural or man-made disasters, such as acts of terrorism. As a result, our operating results have historically been, and we expect will continue to be, significantly affected by relatively few events of a large magnitude.

We expect claims from catastrophic events to cause substantial volatility in our financial results for any fiscal quarter or year; moreover, catastrophic claims could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Our ability to write new business could also be affected. We believe that increases in the value and geographic concentration of insured property, particularly along coastal regions, and the effects of inflation may continue to increase the severity of claims from catastrophic events in the future.

From time to time, we expect to have greater exposures in one or more specific geographic areas than our overall share of the worldwide market would otherwise suggest. Accordingly, when and if catastrophes occur in these areas, we may experience relatively more severe net negative impacts from such events than our competitors. In particular, the Company has historically had a relatively large percentage of its coverage exposures concentrated in the state of Florida.

Through Renaissance Trading and REAL, we sell certain financial products primarily to address weather risks, and engage in certain weather, energy and commodity derivatives trading activities. The trading markets for these derivatives are generally linked to energy and agriculture commodities, weather and other natural phenomena. We expect our results from these activities will be subject to volatility, both potentially as a result of the occurrence or non-occurrence of the event or events which might trigger counterparty payments under these contracts, and as a result of the potential for variance in the reportable fair value of these contracts between periods as a result of a wide number of potential factors. While our current portfolio of such derivative contracts is not material to our consolidated results taken as a whole, and is currently of comparably short duration, it is possible that our results from these activities will increase on an absolute or relative basis over time, and that the duration of the derivative contracts in this portfolio will lengthen in the future.

Our claims and claim expense reserves are subject to inherent uncertainties.

Our claims and claim expense reserves reflect our estimates, using actuarial and statistical projections at a given point in time, of our expectations of the ultimate settlement and administration costs of claims incurred. Although we use actuarial and computer models as well as historical reinsurance and insurance industry loss statistics, we also rely heavily on management's experience and judgment to assist in the establishment of appropriate claims and claim expense reserves. However, because of the many assumptions and estimates involved in establishing reserves, the reserving process is inherently uncertain. Our estimates and judgments are based on numerous factors, and may be revised as additional experience and other data become available and are reviewed, as new or improved methodologies are developed, as loss trends and claims inflation impact future payments, or as current laws or interpretations thereof change.

Our specialty reinsurance operations are expected to produce claims which at times can only be resolved through lengthy and unpredictable litigation. The measures required to resolve such claims, including the adjudication process, present more reserve challenges than property losses (which, on the whole, tend to be reported

Table of Contents

comparatively more promptly and to be settled within a relatively shorter period of time, although every catastrophic event is comprised of a unique set of circumstances). Actual net claims and claim expenses paid and reported may deviate, perhaps substantially, from the reserve estimates reflected in our financial statements.

We expect that some of our assumptions or estimates will prove to be inaccurate, and that our actual net claims and claim expenses paid and reported will differ, perhaps substantially, from the reserve estimates reflected in our financial statements. To the extent that our actual claims and claim expenses exceed our expectations, we would be required to increase claims and claim expense reserves. This would reduce our net income by a corresponding amount in the period in which the deficiency is identified. To the extent that our actual claims and claim expenses are lower than our expectations, we would be required to decrease claims and claim expense reserves and this would increase our net income.

Estimates of losses are based on a review of potentially exposed contracts, information reported by and discussions with counterparties, and our estimate of losses related to those contracts and are subject to change as more information is reported and becomes available.

As an example, our estimates of losses from catastrophic events, such as the Australian flooding, the 2010 earthquakes, 2008 hurricanes Gustav and Ike, and the 2005 hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma, are based on factors including currently available information derived from the Company's claims information from certain customers and brokers, industry assessments of losses from the events, proprietary models, and the terms and conditions of our contracts. Due to the size and unusual complexity of the legal and claims issues relating to these events, particularly the Australian flooding, the 2010 earthquakes and hurricanes Katrina and Ike, meaningful uncertainty remains regarding total covered losses for the insurance industry and, accordingly, several of the key assumptions underlying our loss estimates. In addition, actual losses from these events may increase if our reinsurers or other obligors fail to meet their obligations to us. Our actual losses from these events will likely vary, perhaps materially, from these current estimates due to the inherent uncertainties in reserving for such losses, including the nature of the available information, the potential inaccuracies and inadequacies in the data provided by customers and brokers, the inherent uncertainty of modeling techniques and the application of such techniques, the effects of any demand surge on claims activity and complex coverage and other legal issues.

A decline in the ratings assigned to our financial strength may adversely impact our business, perhaps materially so.

Third party rating agencies assess and rate the financial strength of reinsurers and insurers, such as Renaissance Reinsurance and certain of our other operating subsidiaries and joint ventures. These ratings are based upon criteria established by the rating agencies. Periodically, the rating agencies evaluate us and may downgrade or withdraw their financial strength ratings in the future if we do not continue to meet the criteria of the ratings previously assigned to us. The financial strength ratings assigned by rating agencies to reinsurance or insurance companies are based upon factors relevant to policyholders and are not directed toward the protection of investors.

These ratings are subject to periodic review and may be revised or revoked by the agencies which issue them. In addition, from time to time one or more rating agencies have effected changes in their capital models and rating methodologies, which have generally served to increase the amounts of capital required to support the ratings, and it is possible that legislation arising as a result of the financial crisis that preceded the ongoing period of relative economic weakness may result in additional changes.

Negative ratings actions in the future could have an adverse effect on our ability to fully realize the market opportunities we currently expect to participate in. In addition, it is increasingly common for our reinsurance contracts to contain provisions permitting our customers to cancel coverage pro-rata if our relevant operating subsidiary is downgraded below a certain rating level. Whether a client would exercise this right would depend, among other factors, on the reason for such a downgrade, the extent of the downgrade, the prevailing market conditions and the pricing and availability of replacement reinsurance coverage. Therefore, in the event of a downgrade, it is not possible to predict in advance the extent to which this cancellation right would be exercised, if at all, or what effect such cancellations would have on our financial condition or future operations, but such effect potentially could be material. To date, we are not aware that we have experienced such a cancellation.

Table of Contents

Our ability to compete with other reinsurers and insurers, and our results of operations, could be materially adversely affected by any such ratings downgrade. For example, following a ratings downgrade we might lose customers to more highly rated competitors or retain a lower share of the business of our customers.

For the current ratings of certain of our subsidiaries and joint ventures, refer to Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Liquidity and Capital Resources, Ratings for additional information.

The occurrence of any event, change or other circumstances that could give rise to the termination of the Stock Purchase Agreement with QBE could adversely affect our future business.

There are significant risks and uncertainties associated with the pending sale of substantially all of our U.S.-based insurance operations to QBE pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement. The occurrence of certain events, changes or any other circumstances could give rise to the termination of the Stock Purchase Agreement and cause the sale not to be completed. For instance, there is no assurance that the parties will receive the necessary state insurance regulatory approvals required to close the transaction. If the parties fail to obtain such approvals or to meet other conditions necessary to complete the sale as set forth in the Stock Purchase Agreement, we will not be able to close the transaction. Failure to complete the sale would prevent us from realizing its anticipated benefits to our business.

Our business could be adversely impacted by uncertainty related to the proposed sale of our U.S.-based insurance operations, whether or not the sale is completed.

Whether or not the sale of our U.S.-based insurance operations is completed, the announcement and pendency of the sale could impact our business, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and the success of the sale, including:

if we succeed in consummating the sale, while we are retaining the operations that have represented the predominant part of our historic net income, our resulting operations will have significantly reduced revenues, reduced assets and, to a degree, reduced risk diversification. It is possible that rating agencies, clients, brokers, investors or other stakeholders will view these changes to be more adverse, or our ability to mitigate related risks less effective, than we have estimated;

that the proposed sale disrupts our current business plans and operations;

our management's attention being directed toward the completion of the sale and transaction-related considerations and being diverted away from our day-to-day business operations and the execution of our current business plans; and

incurring transaction costs, such as legal, financing and accounting fees, and other costs, fees, expenses and charges related to the sale, whether or not the sale is completed.

Even if the sale is completed, achieving the anticipated benefits of the sale is subject to a number of uncertainties. There can be no assurance that we will realize the full benefits of strategic focus, enhanced business flexibility, cost savings and operating efficiencies that we currently expect from this transaction or that these benefits will be achieved within the anticipated time frame. Failure to achieve these anticipated benefits could result in increased costs and diversion of management's time and energy and could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Because we depend on a few insurance and reinsurance brokers in our Reinsurance segment for a preponderance of our revenue, loss of business provided by them could adversely affect us.

Our Reinsurance business markets insurance and reinsurance products worldwide exclusively through insurance and reinsurance brokers. Three brokerage firms accounted for 88.2% of our Reinsurance segment gross premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2010. Subsidiaries and affiliates of AON Benfield, Marsh Inc.

Table of Contents

and the Willis Group accounted for approximately 53.5%, 23.1% and 11.6%, respectively, of our Reinsurance segment gross premiums written in 2010.

The loss of a substantial portion of the business provided by our brokers would have a material adverse effect on us. Our ability to market our products could decline as a result of any loss of the business provided by these brokers and it is possible that our premiums written would decrease.

The emergence of matters which may impact certain of our coverages, such as the asserted trend toward potentially significant global warming and the ongoing period of relative economic weakness, could cause us to underestimate our exposures and potentially adversely impact our financial results, perhaps significantly.

In our Reinsurance business, we use analytic and modeling capabilities that help us to assess the risk and return of each reinsurance contract in relation to our overall portfolio of reinsurance contracts. See Item 1. Business, Underwriting and Enterprise Risk Management.

In general, our techniques for evaluating catastrophe risk are much better developed than those for other classes of risk in businesses that we have entered into more recently. Our models and databases may not accurately address the emergence of a variety of matters which might be deemed to impact certain of our coverages. Accordingly, our models may understate the exposures we are assuming and our financial results may be adversely impacted, perhaps significantly. These risks may increase if we succeed in increasing the contributions from our specialty reinsurance unit or from our Lloyd's segment, either on an absolute or relative basis.

We believe, and believe the consensus view of current scientific studies substantiates, that changes in climate conditions, primarily global temperatures and expected sea levels, are likely to increase the severity and possibly the frequency of natural catastrophes relative to the historical experience over the past 100 years. We expect that this may increase claims under our property and casualty lines of business, particularly with respect to properties located in coastal and flood-exposed areas, among others. Furthermore, certain energy and agriculture-related products that we offer could also be negatively impacted by dramatically changing climactic conditions. While we believe a substantial portion of our insureds may be adversely impacted by climate change, we have taken certain measures, to the extent permissible by law and prevailing market conditions, to mitigate against such losses by giving consideration to these risks in our underwriting decisions. We continuously monitor and adjust, as we believe appropriate, our risk management models to reflect our judgment of how to interpret current developments and information such as these studies. However, it is possible that, even after these assessments, we will have underestimated the scale of the risks, such as the frequency or severity of hurricanes or other catastrophes or may have failed to identify new or increased risks. To the extent broad environmental factors, exacerbated by climate change or otherwise, lead to increases in likely insured losses, particularly if those losses exceed expectations and the prior estimates of market participants, regulators or other stakeholders, the markets and clients we serve may be disrupted and adversely impacted, and we may be adversely affected, directly or indirectly. Further, certain of our investments such as insurance-linked securities and property catastrophe managed joint ventures related to hurricane coverage could also be adversely impacted by climate change.

The ongoing relative weakness in business and economic conditions generally or specifically in the principal markets in which we do business could adversely affect our business and operating results.

Although there have been some indicators of stabilization, the U.S. and numerous other leading markets around the world continue to experience significant recessionary conditions, and we believe meaningful risk remains of potential further deterioration in economic conditions, including substantial and continuing financial market disruptions. While many governments, including the U.S. federal government, have taken substantial steps to stabilize economic conditions in an effort to increase liquidity and capital availability, if economic conditions deteriorate further, the business environment in our principal markets would be further adversely affected, which accordingly could adversely affect demand for the products sold by us or our customers. Economic conditions could also be adversely affected by an increase in global political instability, which might impact the price of energy products, agricultural goods and other commodities, or otherwise harm the markets in which we participate. In addition, during an economic downturn we believe our consolidated credit risk, reflecting our counterparty dealings with agents, brokers, customers, retrocessionaires, capital providers and parties associated with our investment portfolio, among others, is likely to be increased.

Table of Contents

Some of our investments are relatively illiquid and are in asset classes that may experience significant market valuation fluctuations.

Although we invest primarily in highly liquid securities in order to ensure our ability to pay valid claims in a prompt manner, we do hold certain investments that may lack liquidity, such as our alternative investments, which include private equity investments, bank loan fund investments and insurance-linked securities. If we require significant amounts of cash on short notice in excess of our normal cash requirements or are required to post or return collateral in connection with our investment portfolio, we may have difficulty selling these investments in a timely manner, be forced to sell them for less than we otherwise would have been able to realize, or both.

At times, the reported value of our relatively illiquid types of investments and, our high quality, generally more liquid asset classes, do not necessarily reflect the lowest current market price for the asset. If we were forced to sell certain of our assets in the current market, there can be no assurance that we will be able to sell them for the prices at which we have recorded them and we may be forced to sell them at significantly lower prices.

A reduction in market liquidity may make it difficult to value certain of our securities as trading becomes less frequent. As such, valuations may include assumptions or estimates that may be more susceptible to significant period-to-period changes which could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

The determination of the impairments taken on our investments is highly subjective and could materially impact our financial position or results of operations.

The determination of the impairments taken on our investments varies by investment type and is based upon our periodic evaluation and assessment of known and inherent risks associated with the respective asset class. Such evaluations and assessments are revised as conditions change and new information becomes available. Management updates its evaluations regularly and reflects impairments in operations as such evaluations are revised. There can be no assurance that our management has accurately assessed the level of impairments taken in our financial statements. Furthermore, additional impairments may need to be taken in the future, which could materially impact our financial position or results of operations. Historical trends may not be indicative of future impairments.

A decline in our investment performance could reduce our profitability and hinder our ability to pay claims promptly in accordance with our strategy.

We have historically derived a significant portion of our income from our invested assets, which are comprised of, among other things, fixed maturity securities, such as bonds, asset-backed securities, mortgage-backed securities and investments in bank loan funds, hedge funds and private equity partnerships. Accordingly, our financial results are subject to a variety of investment risks, including risks relating to general economic conditions, market volatility, interest rate fluctuations, foreign currency risk, liquidity risk and credit and default risk. Additionally, with respect to certain of our investments, we are subject to pre-payment or reinvestment risk.

Our invested assets have grown over the years and have come to effect a comparably greater contribution to our financial results. Accordingly, a failure to successfully execute our investment strategy could have a material adverse effect on our overall results. In the event of a significant or total loss in our investment portfolio, the Company's ability to pay any claims promptly in accordance with our strategy could be adversely affected.

The market value of our fixed maturity investments is subject to fluctuation depending on changes in various factors, including prevailing interest rates and widening credit spreads.

Increases in interest rates could cause the market value of our investment portfolio to decrease, perhaps substantially. Conversely, a decline in interest rates could reduce our investment yield, which would reduce our overall profitability. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary policies, domestic and international economic and political conditions and other factors beyond our control. Any measures we take that are intended to manage the risks of operating in a changing interest rate environment may not effectively mitigate such interest rate sensitivity.

Table of Contents

A portion of our investment portfolio is allocated to other classes of investments which we expect to have different risk characteristics than our investments in traditional fixed maturity securities and short term investments. These other classes of investments include interests in alternative investment vehicles such as private equity partnerships, hedge funds, senior secured bank loan funds and catastrophe bonds and are recorded on our consolidated balance sheet at fair value. For the aforementioned classes of investments, the fair value of the assets comprising the portfolio of an investment vehicle, and likewise the net asset value of the investment vehicle itself, are generally established on the basis of the valuation criteria applied by the investment managers as set forth in the governing documents of such investment vehicles. Such valuations may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had ready markets existed for the shares, partnership interests, notes or other securities representing interests in the relevant investment vehicles. Interests in many of the investment classes described above are subject to restrictions on redemptions and sales which are determined by the governing documents and limit our ability to liquidate these investments in the short term. These classes of investments expose us to market risks including interest rate risk, foreign currency risk, equity price risk and credit risk. The performance of these classes of investments is also dependent on the individual investment managers and the investment strategies. It is possible that the investment managers will leave and/or the investment strategies will become ineffective or that such managers will fail to follow our investment guidelines. Any of the foregoing could result in a material adverse change to our investment performance, and accordingly adversely affect our financial results.

In addition to the foregoing, we may from time to time re-evaluate our investment approach and guidelines and explore investment opportunities in respect of other asset classes not previously discussed above, including, without limitation, by expanding our relatively small portfolio of direct investments in the equity markets. Any such investments could expose us to systemic and price volatility risk, interest rate risk and other market risks. Any investment in equity securities carries with it inherent volatility and there can be no assurance that such an investment will prove profitable and we could, in fact, lose the value of our investment. Accordingly, any such investment could impact our financial results, perhaps materially, over both the short and the long term.

We are exposed to counterparty credit risk, including with respect to reinsurance brokers.

In accordance with industry practice, we pay virtually all amounts owed on claims under our policies to reinsurance brokers, and these brokers, in turn, pay these amounts over to the insurers that have reinsured a portion of their liabilities with us (we refer to these insurers as ceding insurers). Likewise, premiums due to us by ceding insurers are virtually all paid to brokers, who then pass such amounts on to us. In many jurisdictions, if a broker were to fail to make such a payment to a ceding insurer, we would remain liable to the ceding insurer for the deficiency. Conversely, in many jurisdictions, when the ceding insurer pays premiums for these policies to reinsurance brokers for payment over to us, these premiums are considered to have been paid by the cedants and the ceding insurer will no longer be liable to us for those amounts, whether or not we have actually received the premiums. Consequently, in connection with the settlement of reinsurance balances, we assume a substantial degree of credit risk associated with brokers around the world.

We are also exposed to the credit risk of our customers, who, pursuant to their contracts with us, frequently pay us over time. Our premiums receivable at December 31, 2010 totaled \$322.1 million, and these amounts are generally not collateralized. To the extent such customers become unable to pay future premiums, we would be required to recognize a downward adjustment to our premiums receivable in our financial statements. We cannot assure you that all of such premiums will ever be collected or that additional amounts will not be required to be written down in 2011 or future periods.

As a result of the ongoing period of relative economic weakness, our consolidated credit risk, reflecting our counterparty dealings with agents, brokers, customers, retrocessionaires, capital providers, parties associated with our investment portfolio and others has increased, perhaps materially so.

Table of Contents

We are also exposed to counterparty credit risks in connection with our energy related trading business.

We undertake energy related trading activities through our operating subsidiaries, including Renaissance Trading and REAL, where counterparty credit risk becomes a relevant factor. These operating subsidiaries execute weather, energy and commodity derivative transactions whereby the value of the derivatives at any point in time is dependent upon not only the market but also the viability of the counterparty. The failure or perceived weakness of any of our counterparties has the potential to expose us to risk of loss in these situations. Although these operating subsidiaries have credit risk management policies and procedures, we cannot assure you that any of the policies or procedures will be effective. While many of the original trading positions established in our energy related trading business are partially or substantially hedged, the effectiveness of those hedges depends on the willingness and ability to pay of the parties with whom we establish the hedge positions. The failure of our policies and procedures, or the failure of one or more of our counterparties, could result in losses that substantially exceed our expectations and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

Retrocessional reinsurance may become unavailable on acceptable terms.

As part of our risk management, we buy reinsurance for our own account. This type of insurance when purchased to protect reinsurance companies is known as retrocessional reinsurance.

From time to time, market conditions have limited, and in some cases have prevented, insurers and reinsurers from obtaining reinsurance. Accordingly, we may not be able to obtain our desired amounts of retrocessional reinsurance. In addition, even if we are able to obtain such retrocessional reinsurance, we may not be able to negotiate terms as favorable to us as in the past. This could limit the amount of business we are willing to write, or decrease the protection available to us as a result of large loss events.

When we purchase reinsurance or retrocessional reinsurance for our own account, the insolvency, inability or reluctance of any of our reinsurers to make timely payments to us under the terms of our reinsurance agreements could have a material adverse effect on us. Generally, we believe that the willingness to pay of some reinsurers and retrocessionaires is declining, and that the overall industry ability to pay may be impacted by renewed weakness in the financial and credit markets. This risk may be more significant to us at present than at many times in the past. At December 31, 2010, we had recorded \$101.7 million of reinsurance recoverables, net of a valuation allowance of \$3.5 million for uncollectible recoverables. We cannot assure you that such recoverables will ever be collected or that additional amounts will not be required to be written down in 2011 or future periods. A large portion of our reinsurance recoverables are concentrated with a relatively small number of reinsurers. The risk of such concentration of retrocessional coverage may be increased by recent and future consolidation within the industry.

Emerging claim and coverage issues, or other litigation, could adversely affect us.

Unanticipated developments in the law as well as changes in social and environmental conditions could potentially result in unexpected claims for coverage under our insurance and reinsurance contracts. These developments and changes may adversely affect us, perhaps materially so. For example, we could be subject to developments that impose additional coverage obligations on us beyond our underwriting intent, or to increases in the number or size of claims to which we are subject. With respect to our specialty reinsurance operations, these legal, social and environmental changes may not become apparent until some point in time after their occurrence. For example, we could be deemed liable for losses arising out of a matter, such as the potential for industry losses arising out of an avian flu pandemic, that we had not anticipated or had attempted to contractually exclude. Moreover, irrespective of the clarity and inclusiveness of policy language, there can be no assurance that a court or arbitration panel will limit enforceability of policy language or not issue a ruling adverse to us. Our exposure to these uncertainties could be exacerbated by the increased willingness of some market participants to dispute insurance and reinsurance contract and policy wordings. Alternatively, potential efforts by us to exclude such exposures could, if successful, reduce the market's acceptance of our related products. The full effects of these and other unforeseen emerging claim and coverage issues are extremely hard to predict. As a result, the full extent of our liability under our coverages may not be known for many years after a contract is issued. Our exposure to this uncertainty will grow as our long-tail casualty businesses grow, because in these lines claims can typically be made for many years, making them more susceptible to these trends than our traditional catastrophe business, which is typically more short-tail. In addition, we could be adversely affected by the growing trend of plaintiffs targeting participants in the property-liability insurance industry in purported class

Table of Contents

action litigation relating to claim handling and other practices. While we continually seek to improve the effectiveness of our contracts and claims capabilities, we may fail to mitigate our exposure to these growing uncertainties.

We may be adversely impacted by inflation.

We monitor the risk that the principal markets in which we operate could experience increased inflationary conditions, which would, among other things, cause loss costs to increase, and impact the performance of our investment portfolio. The onset, duration and severity of an inflationary period cannot be estimated with precision.

Our utilization of third parties to support our business exposes us to operational and financial risks.

With respect to our Reinsurance operations we do not separately evaluate each primary risk assumed under our reinsurance contracts and, accordingly, like other reinsurers, are heavily dependent on the original underwriting decisions made by our ceding companies. We are therefore subject to the risk that our customers may not have adequately evaluated the risks to be reinsured, or that the premiums ceded to us will not adequately compensate us for the risks we assume, perhaps materially so.

The loss of key senior members of management could adversely affect us.

Our success has depended, and will continue to depend, in substantial part upon our ability to attract and retain our senior officers. The loss of services of members of senior management in the future, and the uncertain transition of new members of our senior management team, as applicable, may strain our ability to execute our strategic initiatives. The loss of one or more of our senior officers could adversely impact our business, by, for example, making it more difficult to retain customers or other business contacts whose relationship depends in part on the service of the departing officer. In general, the loss of the services of any members of our current senior management team may adversely affect our business, perhaps materially so. We do not currently maintain key man life insurance policies with respect to any of our employees.

In addition, our ability to execute our business strategy is dependent on our ability to attract and retain a staff of qualified underwriters and service personnel. The location of our global headquarters in Bermuda may impede our ability to recruit and retain highly skilled employees. Under Bermuda law, non-Bermudians (other than spouses of Bermudians, holders of Permanent Residents Certificates and holders of Working Residents Certificates) may not engage in any gainful occupation in Bermuda without a valid government work permit. Substantially all of our officers are working in Bermuda under work permits that will expire over the next three years. The Bermuda government could refuse to extend these work permits, which would adversely impact us. In addition, a Bermuda government policy limits the duration of work permits to a total of six years, which is subject to certain exemptions only for key employees. A work permit is issued with an expiry date (up to ten years) and no assurances can be given that any work permit will be issued or, if issued, renewed upon the expiration of the relevant term. If any of our senior officers or key contributors were not permitted to remain in Bermuda, or if we experience delays or failures to obtain permits for a number of our professional staff, our operations could be disrupted and our financial performance could be adversely affected as a result.

U.S. taxing authorities could contend that one or more of our Bermuda subsidiaries are subject to U.S. corporate income tax, as a result of changes in law or regulations, or otherwise.

If the IRS were to contend successfully that one or more of our Bermuda subsidiaries is engaged in a trade or business in the U.S., such subsidiary would, to the extent not exempted from tax by the U.S.-Bermuda income tax treaty, be subject to U.S. corporate income tax on that portion of its net income treated as effectively connected with a U.S. trade or business, as well as the U.S. corporate branch profits tax. Although we would vigorously contest such an assertion, if we were ultimately held to be subject to taxation, our earnings would correspondingly decline.

In addition, benefits of the U.S.-Bermuda income tax treaty which may limit any such tax to income attributable to a permanent establishment maintained by one or more of our Bermuda subsidiaries in the U.S. are only available to any of such subsidiaries if more than 50% of its shares are beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, by individuals who are Bermuda residents or U.S. citizens or residents. Our Bermuda subsidiaries may not be

Table of Contents

able to continually satisfy such beneficial ownership test or be able to establish it to the satisfaction of the IRS. Finally, it is unclear whether the U.S.-Bermuda income tax treaty (assuming satisfaction of the beneficial ownership test) applies to income other than premium income, such as investment income.

Changes in U.S. tax law or regulations could increase the costs of our products and services or otherwise reduce our profitability.

Congress is reported to be considering legislation relating to the tax treatment of offshore insurance that would adversely affect reinsurance between affiliates and offshore insurance and reinsurance more generally. In the immediately past Congressional session, U.S. Rep. Richard Neal introduced one such proposal. H.R. 3424 (the Neal Bill) would have provided that foreign insurers and reinsurers would be capped in deducting reinsurance premiums ceded from U.S. units to offshore affiliates. The Obama Administration included similar provisions in its formal 2010 and 2011 budgetary proposals. In the event the sale of substantially all of our U.S.-based insurance operations does not close, we believe that passage of such legislation could adversely affect us, perhaps materially. We could also be adversely impacted if final legislation actually enacted, if any, differs from the proposed language previously introduced or described.

In addition, in March 2009, U.S. Senator Carl Levin and Rep. Lloyd Doggett introduced legislation in the U.S. Senate and House, respectively, entitled the Stop Tax Haven Abuse Act (S. 506). If enacted, this legislation would, among other things, cause to be treated as a U.S. corporation for U.S. tax purposes generally, entities whose shares are publicly traded on an established securities market, or whose gross assets are \$50.0 million or more, if the management and control of such a corporation is, directly or indirectly, treated as occurring primarily within the U.S. The proposed legislation provides that a corporation will be so treated if substantially all of the executive officers and senior management of the corporation who exercise day-to-day responsibility for making decisions involving strategic, financial, and operational policies of the corporation are located primarily within the U.S. In addition, among other things, the legislation would establish presumptions for entities and transactions in jurisdictions deemed to be offshore secrecy jurisdictions and would provide a list of such jurisdictions. Rep. Doggett introduced the International Tax Competitiveness Act (H.R. 5328) in May 2010, and again in January 2011 (H.R. 62), containing similar provisions. To date, this legislation has not been approved by either the House of Representatives or the Senate. However, we can provide no assurance that this legislation or similar legislation will not ultimately be adopted. While we do not believe that the legislation would impact us, it is possible that an adopted bill would include additional or expanded provisions which could negatively impact us, or that the interpretation or enforcement of the current proposal, if enacted, would be more expansive or adverse than we currently estimate.

Regulatory challenges in the U.S. or elsewhere to our Bermuda operations claims of exemption from insurance regulation could restrict our ability to operate, increase our costs, or otherwise adversely impact us.

Renaissance Reinsurance, DaVinci and Top Layer Re are not licensed or admitted in any jurisdiction except Bermuda. Renaissance Reinsurance, Glencoe, DaVinci and Top Layer Re each conduct business only from their principal offices in Bermuda and do not maintain an office in the U.S. The insurance and reinsurance regulatory framework continues to be subject to increased scrutiny in many jurisdictions, including the U.S., various states within the U.S. and Europe. If our Bermuda insurance or reinsurance operations become subject to the insurance laws of any state in the U.S., we could face inquiries or challenges to the future operations of these companies.

Moreover, we could be put at a competitive disadvantage in the future with respect to competitors that are licensed and admitted in U.S. jurisdictions. Among other things, jurisdictions in the U.S. do not permit insurance companies to take credit for reinsurance obtained from unlicensed or non-admitted insurers on their statutory financial statements unless security is posted. Our contracts generally require us to post a letter of credit or provide other security after a reinsured reports a claim. In order to post these letters of credit, issuing banks generally require collateral. It is possible that the European Union or other countries might adopt a similar regime in the future, or that U.S. rules could be altered in a way that treats Bermuda-based companies disproportionately. Any such development, or if we are unable to post security in the form of letters of credit or trust funds when required, could significantly and negatively affect our operations.

Table of Contents

Glencoe is currently an eligible, non-admitted excess and surplus lines insurer in 49 U.S. states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands, and is subject to certain regulatory and reporting requirements of these jurisdictions. However, Glencoe is not admitted or licensed in any U.S. jurisdiction; moreover, Glencoe only conducts business from Bermuda. Accordingly, the scope of Glencoe's activities in the U.S. are limited, which could adversely affect its ability to compete. Although surplus lines business is generally less regulated than the admitted market, the regulation of surplus lines insurance may undergo changes in the future. Federal and/or state measures may be introduced and promulgated that could result in increased oversight and regulation of surplus lines insurance. Additionally, some recent and pending cases in Florida and California courts have raised potentially significant questions regarding surplus lines insurance in those states such as whether surplus lines insurers will be subject to policy form content, filing and approval requirements or additional taxes.

Our current or future business strategy could cause one or more of our currently unregulated non-insurance subsidiaries to become subject to some form of regulation. Any failure to comply with applicable laws could result in the imposition of significant restrictions on our ability to do business, and could also result in fines and other sanctions, any or all of which could adversely affect our financial results and operations.

We could be required to allocate considerable time and resources to comply with any new or additional regulatory requirements, and any such requirements may impact the operations of our insurance and/or non-insurance subsidiaries and ultimately could impact our financial condition as well. In addition, we could be adversely affected if a regulatory authority believed we had failed to comply with applicable law or regulation.

Operational risks, including systems or human failures, are inherent in business, including ours.

We are subject to operational risks including fraud, employee errors, failure to document transactions properly or to obtain proper internal authorization, failure to comply with regulatory requirements or obligations under our agreements, or information technology failures. Losses from these risks may occur from time to time and may be significant.

Our modeling, underwriting and information technology and application systems are critical to our success. Moreover, our proprietary technology and application systems have been an important part of our underwriting strategy and our ability to compete successfully. We have also licensed certain systems and data from third parties. We cannot be certain that we will have access to these, or comparable, service providers, or that our information technology or application systems will continue to operate as intended. While we have implemented disaster recovery and other business contingency plans, a defect or failure in our internal controls, information technology or application systems could result in reduced or delayed revenue growth, higher than expected losses, management distraction, or harm to our reputation. We believe appropriate controls and mitigation procedures are in place to prevent significant risk of defect in our internal controls, information technology and application systems, but internal controls provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance as to the absence of errors or irregularities and any ineffectiveness of such controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are exposed to risks in connection with our management of third party capital.

Our operating subsidiaries may owe certain legal duties and obligations to third party investors (including reporting obligations) and are subject to a variety of often complex laws and regulations relating to the management of third party capital. Compliance with some of these laws and regulations requires significant management time and attention. Although we seek to continually monitor our policies and procedures to attempt to ensure compliance, faulty judgments, simple errors or mistakes, or the failure of our personnel to adhere to established policies and procedures, could result in our failure to comply with applicable laws or regulations which could result in significant liabilities, penalties or other losses to the Company, and seriously harm our business and results of operations. In addition to the foregoing, our third party capital providers may redeem their interests in our joint ventures, which could materially impact the financial condition of such joint ventures, and could in turn materially impact our financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, we can provide no assurance that we may be able to attract and raise additional third party capital for our existing joint ventures or for potential new joint ventures and therefore we may forego existing and/or potential attractive fee income and other income generating opportunities.

Table of Contents

We may be adversely affected by foreign currency fluctuations.

Our functional currency is the U.S. dollar; however, as we expand geographically, an increasing portion of our premium is, and likely will be, written in currencies other than the U.S. dollar and a portion of our claims and claim expense reserves is also in non-U.S. dollar currencies. Moreover, we maintain a portion of our cash and investments in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Although we generally seek to hedge significant non-U.S. dollar positions, we may, from time to time, experience losses resulting solely from fluctuations in the values of these foreign currencies, which could cause our consolidated earnings to decrease. In addition, failure to manage our foreign currency exposures could cause our results of operations to be more volatile.

We may require additional capital in the future, which may not be available or only available on unfavorable terms.

We monitor our capital adequacy on a regular basis. The capital requirements of our business depend on many factors, including our ability to write new business successfully and to establish premium rates and reserves at levels sufficient to cover losses. Our ability to sell our reinsurance and insurance products is largely dependent upon the quality of our claims paying and financial strength ratings as evaluated by independent rating agencies. To the extent that our existing capital is insufficient to support our future operating requirements, we may need to raise additional funds through financings or limit our growth. While we do not currently expect to require additional external capital in the near term due to our strong current capital position, our operations are subject to the ever present potential for significant volatility in capital due to our exposure to potentially significant catastrophic events. Any further equity or debt financing, or capacity needed for letters of credit, if available at all, may be on terms that are unfavorable to us. Our ability to raise such capital successfully would depend upon the facts and circumstances at the time, including our financial position and operating results, market conditions, and applicable legal issues. If we are unable to obtain adequate capital if and when needed, our business, results of operations and financial condition would be adversely affected. In addition, in the future we may be unable to raise new capital for our managed joint ventures and other private alternative investment vehicles, which would reduce our future fee income and market capacity.

The covenants in our debt agreements limit our financial and operational flexibility, which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition.

We have incurred indebtedness, and may incur additional indebtedness in the future. At December 31, 2010, we had an aggregate of \$550.0 million of indebtedness outstanding and \$689.0 million of outstanding letters of credit. In addition, we have in place committed debt facilities which would permit us to borrow, subject to their respective terms and conditions, up to another \$160.0 million. Our indebtedness primarily consists of publicly traded notes and letter of credit and revolving credit facilities. For more details on our indebtedness, see Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Capital Resources .

The agreements covering our indebtedness, particularly our bank loans, contain covenants that limit our ability, among other things, to borrow money, make particular types of investments or other restricted payments, sell assets, merge or consolidate. These agreements also require us to maintain specific financial ratios. If we fail to comply with these covenants or meet these financial ratios, the lenders under our credit facilities could declare a default and demand immediate repayment of all amounts owed to them, cancel their commitments to lend or issue letters of credit, or both, and require us to pledge additional or a different type of collateral.

Because we are a holding company, we are dependent on dividends and payments from our subsidiaries.

As a holding company with no direct operations, we rely on investment income, cash dividends and other permitted payments from our subsidiaries to make principal and interest payments on our debt and to pay dividends to our shareholders. The holding company does not have any operations and from time to time may not have significant liquid assets. Bermuda law and various U.S. insurance regulations may limit the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends. If our subsidiaries are restricted from paying dividends to us, we may be unable to pay dividends or to repay our indebtedness.

Table of Contents

Solvency II could adversely impact our financial results and operations.

Solvency II, a European Union directive concerning the capital adequacy, risk management and regulatory reporting for insurers, which was adopted by the European Parliament in April of 2009, may adversely affect our (re)insurance businesses. Implementation of Solvency II by the European Commission is expected to take effect January 1, 2013 in the European Union Member States, and will replace the current solvency requirements. Solvency II adopts a risk-based approach to insurance regulation. Its principal goals are to improve the correlation between capital and risk, effect group supervision of insurance and reinsurance affiliates, implement a uniform capital adequacy structure for insurers across the European Union Member States, establish consistent corporate governance standards for insurance and reinsurance companies, and establish transparency through standard reporting of insurance operations. Under Solvency II, an insurer's or reinsurer's capital adequacy in relation to various insurance and business risks may be measured with an internal model developed by the insurer or reinsurer and approved for use by the Member State's regulator or pursuant to a standard formula developed by the European Commission. It is anticipated that insurers or reinsurers with approved internal models will generally have lower capital needs. With respect to Syndicate 1458, implementation of Solvency II may require increases in capital and may negatively impact our financial results. Implementation of Solvency II will require us to utilize a significant amount of resources to ensure compliance. The European Union is in the process of considering the Solvency II equivalence of Bermuda's insurance regulatory and supervisory regime. The European Union equivalence assessment considers whether Bermuda's regulatory regime provides a similar level of policyholder protection as provided under Solvency II. A finding that Bermuda's insurance regulatory regime is not equivalent to the European Union's Solvency II could have an adverse effect on our reinsurance operations in the European Union and on our group solvency calculations. Such a finding could also have adverse indirect commercial impacts on our operations. We are monitoring the ongoing legislative and regulatory steps following adoption of Solvency II. The principles, standards and requirements of Solvency II may also, directly or indirectly, impact the future supervision of additional operating subsidiaries of ours.

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (the Dodd-Frank Act) may adversely impact our business.

The U.S. Congress and the current administration have made, or called for consideration of, several additional proposals relating to a variety of issues with respect to financial regulation reform, including regulation of the over-the-counter derivatives market, the establishment of a single-state system of licensure for U.S. and foreign reinsurers, executive compensation and others. One of those initiatives, the Dodd-Frank Act, was signed into law by President Obama on July 21, 2010. The Dodd-Frank Act represents a comprehensive overhaul of the financial services industry within the United States, establishes the new federal Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (the BCFP), and will require the BCFP and other federal agencies to implement many new rules. At this time, it is difficult to predict the extent to which the Dodd-Frank Act or the resulting regulations will impact the Company's business. However, compliance with these new laws and regulations will result in additional costs, which may adversely impact the Company's results of operations, financial condition or liquidity. Although we do not expect these costs to be material to RenaissanceRe as a whole, we cannot assure you this expectation will prove accurate or that the Dodd-Frank Act will not impact our business more adversely than we currently estimate.

Acquisitions or strategic investments that we have made or may make could turn out to be unsuccessful.

As part of our strategy, we frequently monitor and analyze opportunities to acquire or make a strategic investment in new or other businesses that will not detract from our core Reinsurance operations. The negotiation of potential acquisitions or strategic investments as well as the integration of an acquired business or new personnel could result in a substantial diversion of management resources. Acquisitions could involve numerous additional risks such as potential losses from unanticipated litigation or levels of claims and inability to generate sufficient revenue to offset acquisition costs. Any failure by us to effectively limit such risks or implement our acquisitions or strategic investment strategies could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Some aspects of our corporate structure may discourage third party takeovers and other transactions or prevent the removal of our current board of directors and management.

Some provisions of our Amended and Restated Bye-Laws have the effect of making more difficult or discouraging unsolicited takeover bids from third parties or preventing the removal of our current board of directors and management. In particular, our Bye-Laws prohibit transfers of our capital shares if the transfer would result in a person owning or controlling shares that constitute 9.9% or more of any class or series of our shares. In addition, our Byelaws reduce the total voting power of any shareholder owning, directly or indirectly, beneficially or otherwise, as described in our Bye-laws, more than 9.9% of our common shares to not more than 9.9% of the total voting power of our capital stock unless otherwise waived at the discretion of the Board. The primary purpose of these provisions is to reduce the likelihood that we will be deemed a controlled foreign corporation within the meaning of the Internal Revenue Code for U.S. federal tax purposes. However, these provisions may also have the effect of deterring purchases of large blocks of common shares or proposals to acquire us, even if some or a majority of our shareholders might deem these purchases or acquisition proposals to be in their best interests.

In addition, our Bye-Laws provide for, among other things:

a classified Board, whose size is fixed and whose members may be removed by the shareholders only for cause upon a 66 ²/₃% vote;

restrictions on the ability of shareholders to nominate persons to serve as directors, submit resolutions to a shareholder vote and requisition special general meetings;

a large number of authorized but unissued shares which may be issued by the Board without further shareholder action; and

a 66 ²/₃% shareholder vote to amend, repeal or adopt any provision inconsistent with several provisions of the Bye-Laws.

These Bye-Law provisions make it more difficult to acquire control of us by means of a tender offer, open market purchase, proxy contest or otherwise. These provisions are designed to encourage persons seeking to acquire control of us to negotiate with our directors, which we believe would generally best serve the interests of our shareholders. However, these provisions could have the effect of discouraging a prospective acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of us. In addition, these Bye-Law provisions could prevent the removal of our current board of directors and management. To the extent these provisions discourage takeover attempts, they could deprive shareholders of opportunities to realize takeover premiums for their shares or could depress the market price of the shares.

In addition, similar provisions apply to our Lloyd's managing agent, whereby the FSA regulates the acquisition of control of any Lloyd's managing agent which is authorized under the FSMA. Any company or individual that, together with its or his associates, directly or indirectly acquires 10% or more of the shares in a Lloyd's managing agent or its parent company, or is entitled to exercise or control the exercise of 10% or more of the voting power in such Lloyd's managing agent or its parent company, would be considered to have acquired control for the purposes of the relevant legislation, as would a person who had significant influence over the management of such Lloyd's managing agent or its parent company by virtue of his shareholding or voting power in either.

Investors may have difficulties in serving process or enforcing judgments against us in the U.S.

We are a Bermuda company. In addition, certain of our officers and directors reside in countries outside the U.S. All or a substantial portion of our assets and the assets of these officers and directors are or may be located outside the U.S. Investors may have difficulty effecting service of process within the U.S. on our directors and officers who reside outside the U.S. or recovering against us or these directors and officers on judgments of U.S. courts based on civil liabilities provisions of the U.S. federal securities laws whether or not we appoint an agent in the U.S. to receive service of process.

Table of Contents

RISKS RELATED TO OUR INDUSTRY

The reinsurance and insurance businesses are historically cyclical and the pricing and terms for our products may decline, which would affect our profitability.

The reinsurance and insurance industries have historically been cyclical, characterized by periods of decreasing prices followed by periods of increasing prices. Reinsurers have experienced significant fluctuations in their results of operations due to numerous factors, including the frequency and severity of catastrophic events, perceptions of risk, levels of capacity, general economic conditions and underwriting results of other insurers and reinsurers. All of these factors fluctuate and may contribute to price declines generally in the reinsurance and insurance industries. Following an increase in capital in our industry after the 2005 catastrophe events and the subsequent period of substantial dislocation in the financial markets which has resulted in ongoing relative economic weakness, the reinsurance and insurance markets have experienced a prolonged period of generally softening markets.

The catastrophe-exposed lines in which we are a market leader are affected significantly by volatile and unpredictable developments, including natural and man-made disasters. The occurrence, or nonoccurrence, of catastrophic events, the frequency and severity of which are inherently unpredictable, affects both industry results and consequently prevailing market prices of our products.

We expect premium rates and other terms and conditions of trade to vary in the future. If demand for our products falls or the supply of competing capacity rises, our prospects for potential growth, due in part to our disciplined approach to underwriting, may be adversely affected. In particular, we might lose existing customers or decline business, which we might not regain when industry conditions improve.

In recent years, hedge funds and investment banks have been increasingly active in the reinsurance market and markets for related risks. While this trend has slowed during the ongoing period of relative economic weakness, we generally expect increased competition from a wider range of entrants over time. It is possible that such new or alternative capital could cause reductions in prices of our products. To the extent that industry pricing of our products does not meet our hurdle rate, we would generally expect to reduce our future underwriting activities thus resulting in reduced premiums and a reduction in expected earnings.

Recent or future legislation may decrease the demand for our property catastrophe reinsurance products and adversely affect our business and results of operations.

In 2007, the State of Florida enacted legislation to expand the FHCF's provision of below-market rate reinsurance to up to \$28.0 billion per season (the 2007 Florida Bill). In May of 2009, the Florida legislature enacted Bill No. CS/CS/CS/HB 1495 (the 2009 Bill), which will gradually phase out \$12.0 billion in optional reinsurance coverage under the FHCF over the next five years, reducing the coverage amount to approximately \$17.0 billion. The 2009 Bill similarly allows the state-sponsored property insurer, Citizens, to raise its rates up to 10% starting in 2010 and every year thereafter, until such time that it has sufficient funds to pay its claims and expenses. For 2010, the approved rate increase for Citizens was approximately 5%. This legislation also increased the rates charged by the FHCF for certain portions of its expanded coverage, and provided for incremental staged reductions in the amount of the expanded coverage layers. This legislation may, however, take several years to have a significant effect on the private market; moreover its impact may not be sufficient to restore stability to the Florida market in light of certain trends that are adversely impacting the stability of the local market participants, such as practices and findings relating to sinkhole claims, and the statutes of limitations on alleged windstorm claims from prior accident years.

The 2007 Florida Bill and other regulatory actions over this period may have contributed to instability in the Florida primary insurance market, where many insurers reported substantial and continuing losses in 2009 and 2010, each unusually low catastrophe years. Because of our position as one of the largest providers of catastrophe-exposed coverage, both on a global basis and in respect of the Florida market, the 2007 Florida Bill and the weakened financial position of Florida insurers may have a disproportionate adverse impact on us compared to other reinsurance market participants. In addition, it is possible that other regulatory or legislative changes in, or impacting, Florida could affect our ability to sell certain of our products and could therefore have a material adverse effect on our operations.

Table of Contents

It is also possible that other states, particularly those with Atlantic or Gulf Coast exposures, may enact new or expanded legislation based on the Florida precedent, or may otherwise enact legislation, which would further diminish aggregate private market demand for our products. Alternatively, legislation adversely impacting the private markets could be enacted on a regional or at the federal level. For example, in the past, federal bills have been proposed in Congress (and, in prior congressional sessions, passed by the House of Representatives) which would, if enacted, create a federal reinsurance backstop or guarantee mechanism for catastrophic risks, including those we currently insure and reinsure in the private markets. In 2009, the COGA was introduced in the Senate to federally guarantee bond issuances by certain government entities, potentially including the FHCF, the Texas Windstorm Insurance Association, the California Earthquake Authority, and others. Similar legislation was introduced in the House of Representatives. If enacted, this legislation (or legislation similar to these proposals in import) would, we believe, likely contribute to growth of these state entities or to their inception or alteration in a manner adverse to us. While none of this legislation has been enacted to date, and although we believe such legislation would be vigorously opposed if introduced in 2011, if enacted these bills would likely further erode the role of private market catastrophe reinsurers and could adversely impact our financial results, perhaps materially. Moreover, we believe that numerous modeled potential catastrophes could exceed the actual or politically acceptable bonded capacity of Citizens and of the FHCF, which could lead either to a severe dislocation or the necessity of federal intervention in the Florida market, either of which would adversely impact the private insurance and reinsurance industry.

Other political, regulatory and industry initiatives could adversely affect our business.

The insurance and reinsurance regulatory framework is subject to heavy scrutiny by the U.S. and individual state governments as well as an increasing number of international authorities. Government regulators are generally concerned with the protection of policyholders to the exclusion of other constituencies, including shareholders. Governmental authorities in both the U.S. and worldwide seem increasingly interested in the potential risks posed by the reinsurance industry as a whole, and to commercial and financial systems in general. While we do not believe these inquiries have identified meaningful new risks posed by the reinsurance industry, and we cannot predict the exact nature, timing or scope of possible governmental initiatives, we believe it is likely there will be increased regulatory intervention in our industry in the future. For example, the U.S. federal government has increased its scrutiny of the insurance regulatory framework in recent years (including as specifically addressed in the Dodd-Frank Act), and some state legislators have considered or enacted laws that will alter and likely increase state regulation of insurance and reinsurance companies and holding companies. Moreover, the NAIC, which is an association of the insurance commissioners of all 50 states and the District of Columbia and state insurance regulators, regularly reexamine existing laws and regulations.

For example, we could be adversely affected by proposals to:

provide insurance and reinsurance capacity in markets and to consumers that we target, such as the legislation enacted in Florida in 2007 or the proposed federal legislation described above;

expand the scope of coverage under existing policies for matters such as hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma, or such as a pandemic flu outbreak;

increasingly mandate the terms of insurance and reinsurance policies;

establish a new federal insurance regulator;

revise laws, regulations, or contracts under which we operate;

disproportionately benefit the companies of one country over those of another; or

repeal or diminish the insurance company antitrust exemption from the McCarran Ferguson Act.

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

We are incorporated in Bermuda and are therefore subject to changes in Bermuda law and regulation that may have an adverse impact on our operations, including imposition of tax liability or increased regulatory supervision

Table of Contents

or change in regulation. In addition, we are subject to changes in the political environment in Bermuda, which could make it difficult to operate in, or attract talent to, Bermuda. The Bermuda insurance and reinsurance regulatory framework recently has become subject to increased scrutiny in many jurisdictions, including in the U.S. and in various states within the U.S. We are unable to predict the future impact on our operations of changes in the laws and regulations to which we are or may become subject. Moreover, our exposure to potential regulatory initiatives could be heightened by the fact that our principal operating companies are domiciled in, and operate exclusively from, Bermuda. For example, Bermuda, a small jurisdiction, may be disadvantaged in participating in global or cross border regulatory matters as compared with larger jurisdictions such as the U.S. or the leading European Union countries. In addition, Bermuda, which is currently an overseas territory of the U.K., may consider changes to its relationship with the U.K. in the future. These changes could adversely affect Bermuda or the international reinsurance market focused there, either of which could adversely impact us commercially.

We operate in a highly competitive environment.

The reinsurance industry is highly competitive. We compete, and will continue to compete, with major U.S. and non-U.S. insurers and property catastrophe reinsurers, including other Bermuda-based reinsurers. Many of our competitors have greater financial, marketing and management resources than we do. Historically, periods of increased capacity levels in our industry generally have led to increased competition, and decreased prices for our products.

We believe that our principal competitors in the property catastrophe reinsurance market include other companies active in the Bermuda market, including Ace, Allied World, Alterra, Arch, Axis, Endurance, Everest Re, Flagstone, Montpelier Re, Partner Re, Platinum, Transatlantic, Validus, White Mountains and XL, as well as a growing number of private, unrated reinsurers offering predominately collateralized reinsurance. We also compete with certain Lloyd's syndicates active in the London market, as well as with a number of other industry participants, such as Berkshire, Chartis, Hannover Re, Ironshore, Munich Re Group and Swiss Re. As our business evolves over time, we expect our competitors to change as well. For example, following hurricane Katrina in August 2005, a significant number of new reinsurance companies were formed in Bermuda which have resulted in new competition, which may well continue in subsequent periods. Also, hedge funds and investment banks have shown an interest in entering the reinsurance market, either through the formation of reinsurance companies, or through the use of other financial products, such as catastrophe bonds, other insurance-linked securities and collateralized reinsurance investment funds. In addition, we may not be aware of other companies that may be planning to enter the reinsurance market or of existing companies that may be planning to raise additional capital. We cannot predict what effect any of these developments may have on our businesses.

Consolidation in the (re) insurance industry could adversely impact us.

We believe that several (re)insurance industry participants are seeking to consolidate. These consolidated entities may try to use their enhanced market power to negotiate price reductions for our products and services. If competitive pressures reduce our prices, we would expect to write less business. As the insurance industry consolidates, competition for customers will become more intense and the importance of acquiring and properly servicing each customer will become greater. We could incur greater expenses relating to customer acquisition and retention, further reducing our operating margins. In addition, insurance companies that merge may be able to spread their risks across a consolidated, larger capital base so that they require less reinsurance. The number of companies offering retrocessional reinsurance may decline. Reinsurance intermediaries could also continue to consolidate, potentially adversely impacting our ability to access business and distribute our products. We could also experience more robust competition from larger, better capitalized competitors. Any of the foregoing could adversely affect our business or our results of operation.

Table of Contents

The Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) and the European Union are considering measures that might increase our taxes and reduce our net income.

The OECD has published reports and launched a global dialogue among member and non-member countries on measures to limit harmful tax competition. These measures are largely directed at counteracting the effects of jurisdictions perceived by the OECD to be tax havens or to offer preferential tax regimes. In the OECD's report dated April 18, 2002 and updated as of June 2004 and November 2005 via a Global Forum, Bermuda was not listed as an uncooperative tax haven jurisdiction because it had previously committed to eliminate harmful tax practices and to embrace international tax standards for transparency, exchange of information and the elimination of any aspects of the regimes for financial and other services that attract business with no substantial domestic activity. We are not able to predict what changes will arise from the commitment or whether such changes will subject us to additional taxes.

Regulatory regimes and changes to accounting rules may adversely impact financial results irrespective of business operations.

Accounting standards and regulatory changes may require modifications to our accounting principles, both prospectively and for prior periods and such changes could have an adverse impact on our financial results. In particular, the SEC committed to announce during 2011 its intentions with respect to the ongoing discussions regarding the potential to either converge or transition to an international set of accounting standards that would be applied to financial statements filed with the SEC. Such changes, if ultimately adopted, could have a significant impact on our financial reporting, impacting key matters such as our loss reserving policies and premium and expense recognition. For example, the Financial Accounting Standards Board and the International Accounting Standards Board are considering adopting respective accounting standards that would require all reinsurance and insurance contracts to be accounted for under a new measurement basis, which standards are considered to be more closely related to fair value than the current measurement basis. We are currently evaluating how the above initiatives will impact us, including with respect to our loss reserving policy and the effect it might have on recognizing premium revenue and policy acquisition costs. Required modification of our existing principles, either with respect to these issues or other issues in the future, could have an impact on our results of operations, including changing the timing of the recognition of underwriting income, increasing the volatility of our reported earnings and changing our overall financial statement presentation and increasing our expenses in order to implement and comply with any new requirements.

Heightened scrutiny of issues and practices in the insurance industry may adversely affect our business.

Certain government authorities, including state officials in Florida, New York and Connecticut, have from time to time scrutinized and investigated a number of issues and practices within the insurance industry. It is possible such scrutiny could expand to include us in the future, and it is also possible that these investigations or related regulatory developments will mandate or otherwise give rise to changes in industry practices in a fashion that increases our costs or requires us to alter how we conduct our business.

We cannot predict the ultimate effect that these investigations, and any changes in industry practice, including future legislation or regulations that may become applicable to us, will have on the insurance industry, the regulatory framework, or our business.

As noted above, because we frequently assume the credit risk of the counterparties with whom we do business throughout our insurance and reinsurance operations, our results of operations could be adversely affected if the credit quality of these counterparties is severely impacted by the current investigations in the insurance industry or by changes to industry practices.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Table of Contents

GLOSSARY OF SELECTED INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE TERMS

Accident year	Year of occurrence of a loss. Claim payments and reserves for claims and claim expenses are allocated to the year in which the loss occurred for losses occurring contracts and in the year the loss was reported for claims made contracts.
Acquisition expenses	The aggregate expenses incurred by a company acquiring new business, including commissions, underwriting expenses, premium taxes and administrative expenses.
Additional case reserves	Additional case reserves represent management's estimate of reserves for claims and claim expenses that are allocated to specific contracts, less paid and reported losses by the client.
Attachment point	The dollar amount of loss (per occurrence or in the aggregate, as the case may be) above which excess of loss reinsurance becomes operative.
Bordereaux	A report providing premium or loss data with respect to identified specific risks. This report is periodically furnished to a reinsurer by the ceding insurers or reinsurers.
Bound	A (re)insurance policy is considered bound, and the (re)insurer responsible for the risks of the policy, when both parties agree to the terms and conditions set forth in the policy.
Broker	An intermediary who negotiates contracts of insurance or reinsurance, receiving a commission for placement and other services rendered, between (1) a policy holder and a primary insurer, on behalf of the insured party, (2) a primary insurer and reinsurer, on behalf of the primary insurer, or (3) a reinsurer and a retrocessionaire, on behalf of the reinsurer.
Capacity	The percentage of surplus, or the dollar amount of exposure, that an insurer or reinsurer is willing or able to place at risk. Capacity may apply to a single risk, a program, a line of business or an entire book of business. Capacity may be constrained by legal restrictions, corporate restrictions or indirect restrictions.
Case reserves	Loss reserves, established with respect to specific, individual reported claims.
Casualty insurance or reinsurance	Insurance or reinsurance that is primarily concerned with the losses caused by injuries to third persons and their property (in other words, persons other than the policyholder) and the legal liability imposed on the insured resulting there from. Also referred to as liability insurance.
Catastrophe	A severe loss, typically involving multiple claimants. Common perils include earthquakes, hurricanes, hailstorms, severe winter weather, floods, fires, tornadoes, explosions and other natural or man-made disasters. Catastrophe losses may also arise from acts of war, acts of

terrorism and political instability.

Catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance

A form of excess of loss reinsurance that, subject to a specified limit, indemnifies the ceding company for the amount of loss in excess of a specified retention with respect to an accumulation of losses resulting from a catastrophe.

Table of Contents

Catastrophe-linked securities; cat-linked securities	Cat-linked securities are generally privately placed fixed income securities where all or a portion of the repayment of the principal is linked to catastrophic events. This includes securities where the repayment is linked to the occurrence and/or size of, for example, one or more hurricanes or earthquakes, or other industry losses associated with these catastrophic events.
Cede; cedant; ceding company	When a party reinsures its liability with another, it cedes business and is referred to as the cedant or ceding company.
Claim	Request by an insured or reinsured for indemnification by an insurance company or a reinsurance company for losses incurred from an insured peril or event.
Claims made contracts	Contracts that cover claims for losses occurring during a specified period that are reported during the term of the contract.
Claims and claim expense ratio, net	The ratio of net claims and claim expenses to net premiums earned determined in accordance with either statutory accounting principles or GAAP.
Claim reserves	Liabilities established by insurers and reinsurers to reflect the estimated costs of claim payments and the related expenses that the insurer or reinsurer will ultimately be required to pay in respect of insurance or reinsurance policies it has issued. Claims reserves consist of case reserves, established with respect to individual reported claims, additional case reserves and IBNR reserves. For reinsurers, loss expense reserves are generally not significant because substantially all of the loss expenses associated with particular claims are incurred by the primary insurer and reported to reinsurers as losses.
Combined ratio	The combined ratio is the sum of the net claims and claim expense ratio and the underwriting expense ratio. A combined ratio below 100% generally indicates profitable underwriting prior to the consideration of investment income. A combined ratio over 100% generally indicates unprofitable underwriting prior to the consideration of investment income.
Crop insurance	Lines of insurance that provide coverage for risks including multi-peril crop, crop hail and other named peril agriculture risk management products.
Crop year	The annual period from July 1 of any year through June 30 of the following year and identified by reference to the year containing June.
Decadal	Refers to events occurring over a 10-year period, such as an oscillation whose period is roughly 10 years.
Deemed inuring reinsurance	A designation of other reinsurances which are first applied pursuant to the terms of the reinsurance agreement to reduce the loss subject to a particular reinsurance agreement. If the other reinsurances are to be disregarded as respects loss to that particular agreement, they

are said to inure only to the benefit of the reinsured.

Table of Contents

Excess and surplus lines reinsurance	Any type of coverage that cannot be placed with an insurer admitted to do business in a certain jurisdiction. Risks placed in excess and surplus lines markets are often substandard as respects adverse loss experience, unusual, or unable to be placed in conventional markets due to a shortage of capacity.
Excess of loss	Reinsurance or insurance that indemnifies the reinsured or insured against all or a specified portion of losses on underlying insurance policies in excess of a specified amount, which is called a level or retention. Also known as non-proportional reinsurance. Excess of loss reinsurance is written in layers. A reinsurer or group of reinsurers accepts a layer of coverage up to a specified amount. The total coverage purchased by the cedant is referred to as a program and will typically be placed with predetermined reinsurers in pre-negotiated layers. Any liability exceeding the outer limit of the program reverts to the ceding company, which also bears the credit risk of a reinsurer's insolvency.
Exclusions	Those risk, perils, or classes of insurance with respect to which the reinsurer will not pay loss or provide reinsurance, notwithstanding the other terms and conditions of reinsurance.
Frequency	The number of claims occurring during a given coverage period.
Funds at Lloyd's	Funds of an approved form that are lodged and held in trust at Lloyd's as security for a member's underwriting activities. They comprise the member's deposit, personal reserve fund and special reserve fund and may be drawn down in the event that the member's syndicate level premium trust funds are insufficient to cover his liabilities. The amount of the deposit is related to the member's premium income limit and also the nature of the underwriting account.
Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States	Also referred to as GAAP. Accounting principles as set forth in opinions of the Accounting Principles Board of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and/or statements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board and/or their respective successors and which are applicable in the circumstances as of the date in question.
Gross premiums written	Total premiums for insurance written and assumed reinsurance during a given period.
Incurred but not reported (IBNR)	Reserves for estimated losses that have been incurred by insureds and reinsureds but not yet reported to the insurer or reinsurer, including unknown future developments on losses that are known to the insurer or reinsurer.
Insurance-linked securities	Financial instruments whose values are driven by (re)insurance loss events. For the Company, insurance-linked securities are generally linked to property losses due to natural catastrophes.
International Financial Reporting Standards	Also referred to as IFRS. Accounting principles, standards and interpretations as set forth in opinions of the International Accounting Standards Board which are applicable in the circumstances as of the date in question.

Layer	The interval between the retention or attachment point and the maximum limit of indemnity for which a reinsurer is responsible.
-------	---

Table of Contents

Line	The amount of excess of loss reinsurance protection provided to an insurer or another reinsurer, often referred to as limit.
Line of business	The general classification of insurance written by insurers and reinsurers, e.g. fire, allied lines, homeowners and surety, among others.
Lloyd's	Depending on the context this term may refer to (a) the society of individual and corporate underwriting members that insure and reinsure risks as members of one or more syndicates (i.e. Lloyd's is not an insurance company); (b) the underwriting room in the Lloyd's building in which managing agents underwrite insurance and reinsurance on behalf of their syndicate members. In this sense Lloyd's should be understood as a market place; or (c) the Corporation of Lloyd's which regulates and provides support services to the Lloyd's market.
Loss; losses	An occurrence that is the basis for submission and/or payment of a claim. Whether losses are covered, limited or excluded from coverage is dependent on the terms of the policy.
Loss ratio	Net claims incurred expressed as a percentage of net earned premiums.
Loss reserve	For an individual loss, an estimate of the amount the insurer expects to pay for the reported claim. For total losses, estimates of expected payments for reported and unreported claims. These may include amounts for claims expenses.
Managing agent	An underwriting agent which has permission from Lloyd's to manage a syndicate and carry on underwriting and other functions for a member.
Net claims and claim expenses	The expenses of settling claims, net of recoveries, including legal and other fees and the portion of general expenses allocated to claim settlement costs (also known as claim adjustment expenses or loss adjustment expenses) plus losses incurred with respect to net claims.
Net premiums earned	The portion of net premiums written during or prior to a given period that was actually recognized as income during such period.
Net premiums written	Gross premiums written for a given period less premiums ceded to reinsurers and retrocessionaires during such period.
Non-proportional reinsurance	See Excess of loss.
Perils	This term refers to the causes of possible loss in the property field, such as fire, windstorm, collision, hail, etc. In the casualty field, the term hazard is more frequently used.

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Property insurance or reinsurance	Insurance or reinsurance that provides coverage to a person with an insurable interest in tangible property for that person's property loss, damage or loss of use.
Property per risk	Reinsurance on a treaty basis of individual property risks insured by a ceding company.

Table of Contents

Proportional reinsurance	A generic term describing all forms of reinsurance in which the reinsurer shares a proportional part of the original premiums and losses of the reinsured. (Also known as pro-rata reinsurance, quota share reinsurance or participating reinsurance.) In proportional reinsurance the reinsurer generally pays the ceding company a ceding commission. The ceding commission generally is based on the ceding company's cost of acquiring the business being reinsured (including commissions, premium taxes, assessments and miscellaneous administrative expense) and also may include a profit factor. See also Quota Share Reinsurance .
Quota share reinsurance	A form of proportional reinsurance in which the reinsurer assumes an agreed percentage of each insurance policy being reinsured and shares all premiums and losses according with the reinsured. See also Proportional Reinsurance .
Reinstatement premium	The premium charged for the restoration of the reinsurance limit of a catastrophe contract to its full amount after payment by the reinsurer of losses as a result of an occurrence.
Reinsurance	An arrangement in which an insurance company, the reinsurer, agrees to indemnify another insurance or reinsurance company, the ceding company, against all or a portion of the insurance or reinsurance risks underwritten by the ceding company under one or more policies. Reinsurance can provide a ceding company with several benefits, including a reduction in net liability on insurances and catastrophe protection from large or multiple losses. Reinsurance also provides a ceding company with additional underwriting capacity by permitting it to accept larger risks and write more business than would be possible without a concomitant increase in capital and surplus, and facilitates the maintenance of acceptable financial ratios by the ceding company. Reinsurance does not legally discharge the primary insurer from its liability with respect to its obligations to the insured.
Reinsurance to Close	Also referred to as a RITC, it is a contract to transfer the responsibility for discharging all the liabilities that attach to one year of account of a syndicate into a later year of account of the same or different syndicate in return for a premium.
Retention	The amount or portion of risk that an insurer retains for its own account. Losses in excess of the retention level are paid by the reinsurer. In proportional treaties, the retention may be a percentage of the original policy's limit. In excess of loss business, the retention is a dollar amount of loss, a loss ratio or a percentage.
Retrocessional reinsurance; Retrocessionaire	A transaction whereby a reinsurer cedes to another reinsurer, the retrocessionaire, all or part of the reinsurance that the first reinsurer has assumed. Retrocessional reinsurance does not legally discharge the ceding reinsurer from its liability with respect to its obligations to the reinsured. Reinsurance companies cede risks to retrocessionaires for reasons similar to those that cause primary insurers to purchase reinsurance: to reduce net liability on insurances, to protect against catastrophic losses, to stabilize financial ratios and to obtain additional underwriting capacity.
Risks	A term used to denote the physical units of property at risk or the object of insurance protection that are not perils or hazards. Also defined as chance of loss or uncertainty of loss.

Risks attaching contracts

Contracts that cover claims that arise on underlying insurance policies that incept during the term of the reinsurance contract.

Table of Contents

Solvency II	A modernized set of regulatory requirements for (re)insurance firms that operate in the European Union, currently expected to take effect January 1, 2013.
Specialty lines	Lines of insurance and reinsurance that provide coverage for risks that are often unusual or difficult to place and do not fit the underwriting criteria of standard commercial products carriers.
Statutory accounting principles	Recording transactions and preparing financial statements in accordance with the rules and procedures prescribed or permitted by Bermuda, U.S. state insurance regulatory authorities including the NAIC and/or in accordance with Lloyd's specific principles, all of which generally reflect a liquidating, rather than going concern, concept of accounting.
Stop loss	A form of reinsurance under which the reinsurer pays some or all of a cedant's aggregate retained losses in excess of a predetermined dollar amount or in excess of a percentage of premium.
Submission	An unprocessed application for (i) insurance coverage forwarded to a primary insurer by a prospective policyholder or by a broker on behalf of such prospective policyholder, (ii) reinsurance coverage forwarded to a reinsurer by a prospective ceding insurer or by a broker or intermediary on behalf of such prospective ceding insurer or (iii) retrocessional coverage forwarded to a retrocessionaire by a prospective ceding reinsurer or by a broker or intermediary on behalf of such prospective ceding reinsurer.
Syndicate	A member or group of members underwriting (re)insurance business at Lloyd's through the agency of a managing agent or substitute agent to which a syndicate number is assigned.
Treaty	A reinsurance agreement covering a book or class of business that is automatically accepted on a bulk basis by a reinsurer. A treaty contains common contract terms along with a specific risk definition, data on limit and retention, and provisions for premium and duration.
Underwriting	The insurer's or reinsurer's process of reviewing applications submitted for insurance coverage, deciding whether to accept all or part of the coverage requested and determining the applicable premiums.
Underwriting capacity	The maximum amount that an insurance company can underwrite. The limit is generally determined by a company's retained earnings and investment capital. Reinsurance serves to increase a company's underwriting capacity by reducing its exposure from particular risks.
Underwriting expense ratio	The ratio of the sum of the acquisition expenses and operational expenses to net premiums earned, determined in accordance with GAAP.
Underwriting expenses	The aggregate of policy acquisition costs, including commissions, and the portion of administrative, general and other expenses attributable to underwriting operations.

Unearned premium

The portion of premiums written representing the unexpired portions of the policies or contracts that the insurer or reinsurer has on its books as of a certain date.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We lease office space in Bermuda, which houses our executive offices and operations for our Reinsurance, Lloyd's and Insurance segments. In addition, certain U.S. based subsidiaries, including but not limited to, Renaissance Trading and REAL, lease office space in a number of U.S. states. Both our Reinsurance and Lloyd's segments also lease office space in Dublin, Ireland and London, U.K. The U.S.-based insurance operations being sold to QBE currently lease office space in a number of U.S. states, and we anticipate the termination or transfer of these leases in early 2011 in connection with the closing of the sale of our U.S.-based insurance operations. While we believe that for the foreseeable future our current office space is sufficient for us to conduct our operations, it is likely that we will expand into additional facilities and perhaps new locations to accommodate future growth. To date, the cost of acquiring and maintaining our office space has not been material to us as a whole.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We and our subsidiaries are subject to lawsuits and regulatory actions in the normal course of business that do not arise from or directly relate to claims on insurance policies. This category of business litigation may involve allegations of underwriting or claims-handling errors or misconduct, employment claims, regulatory activity or disputes arising from our business ventures. In addition, our operating subsidiaries are subject to claims litigation involving disputed interpretations of policy coverages. Generally, our primary insurance operations are subject to greater frequency and diversity of claims and claims-related litigation and, in some jurisdictions, may be subject to direct actions by allegedly injured persons or entities seeking damages from policyholders. These lawsuits, involving claims on policies issued by our subsidiaries which are typical to the insurance industry in general and in the normal course of business, are considered in our loss and loss expense reserves which are discussed in its loss reserves discussion. Any such litigation or arbitration contains an element of uncertainty, and we believe the inherent uncertainty in such matters may have increased recently and will likely continue to increase. Currently, we believe that no individual, normal course litigation or arbitration to which we are presently a party is likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, business or operations.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER REPURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES****PRICE RANGE OF COMMON SHARES**

Our common shares began publicly trading on June 27, 1995 on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol RNR. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low prices per share of our common shares as reported in composite New York Stock Exchange trading:

Period	Price Range of Common Shares	
	High	Low
<u>2010</u>		
First Quarter	\$ 57.36	\$ 50.81
Second Quarter	59.28	52.19
Third Quarter	60.30	54.69
Fourth Quarter	64.50	58.93
<u>2009</u>		
First Quarter	\$ 52.24	\$ 39.37
Second Quarter	52.65	43.10
Third Quarter	56.17	45.60
Fourth Quarter	57.37	50.46

On February 16, 2011, the last reported sale price for our common shares was \$69.76 per share and there were 280 holders of record of our common shares.

PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph compares the cumulative return on our common shares including reinvestment of our dividends on our common shares to such return for the S&P 500 Composite Stock Price Index (S&P 500) and S&P's Property-Casualty Industry Group Stock Price Index (S&P P/C), for the five-year period commencing January 1, 2006 and ending December 31, 2010, assuming \$100 was invested on January 1, 2006. Each measurement point on the graph below represents the cumulative shareholder return as measured by the last sale price at the end of each calendar year during the period from January 1, 2006 through December 31, 2010. As depicted in the graph below, during this period, the cumulative return was (1) 57.5% on our common shares; (2) 12.0% for the S&P 500; and (3) negative 15.2% for the S&P P/C.

Table of Contents

DIVIDEND POLICY

Historically, we have paid dividends on our common shares every quarter, and have increased our dividend during each of the fifteen years since our initial public offering. The Board of Directors declared regular quarterly dividends of \$0.25 per share during 2010 with dividend record dates of March 15, June 15, September 15 and December 15, 2010. The Board of Directors of RenaissanceRe declared regular quarterly dividends of \$0.24 per share during 2009 with dividend record dates of March 13, June 15, September 15 and December 15, 2009. On February 23, 2011, the Board of Directors approved an increased dividend of \$0.26 per common share, payable on March 31, 2011, to shareholders of record on March 15, 2011. The declaration and payment of dividends are subject to the discretion of the Board and depend on, among other things, our financial condition, general business conditions, legal, contractual and regulatory restrictions regarding the payment of dividends by us and our subsidiaries and other factors which the Board may in the future consider to be relevant.

ISSUER REPURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Company's share repurchase program may be effected from time to time, depending on market conditions and other factors, through open market purchases and privately negotiated transactions. On August 11, 2010, the Company approved an increase in its authorized share repurchase program to an aggregate amount of \$500.0 million. Unless terminated earlier by resolution of the Company's Board of Directors, the program will expire when the Company has repurchased the full value of the shares authorized. The table below details the repurchases that were made under the program during the three months ended December 31, 2010, and also includes other shares purchased which represents withholdings from employees surrendered in respect of withholding tax obligations on the vesting of restricted stock, or in lieu of cash payments for the exercise price of employee stock options.

Table of Contents

	Total shares purchased		Other shares purchased		Shares purchased under repurchase program		Dollar amount still available under repurchase program (in millions)
	Shares purchased	Average price per share	Shares purchased	Average price per share	Shares purchased	Average price per share	
Beginning dollar amount available to be repurchased							\$ 500.0
October 1 31, 2010	599	\$ 60.32	599	\$ 60.32		\$	
November 1 30, 2010	391,296	\$ 61.61	5,690	\$ 61.38	385,606	\$ 61.61	(23.8)
December 1 31, 2010	396,625	\$ 63.80	614	\$ 61.29	396,011	\$ 63.80	(25.3)
Total	788,520	\$ 62.71	6,903	\$ 61.28	781,617	\$ 62.72	\$ 450.9

In the future, the Company may adopt additional trading plans or authorize purchase activities under the remaining authorization, which the Board may increase in the future. See Note 14. Shareholders' Equity in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding our stock repurchase program.

Subsequent to December 31, 2010 and through February 22, 2011, the Company repurchased 1.6 million of its common shares at an aggregate cost of \$103.2 million at an average share price of \$65.59.

Table of Contents**ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following tables set forth our selected consolidated financial data and other financial information at the end of and for each of the years in the five-year period ended December 31, 2010. Comparative figures for 2007 and 2006 have not been reclassified for discontinued operations. See Note 3. Discontinued Operations in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding discontinued operations. The selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in this filing and all other information appearing elsewhere or incorporated into this filing by reference.

Year ended December 31, (in thousands, except share and per share data and percentages)	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Statement of Operations Data:					
Gross premiums written	\$ 1,165,295	\$ 1,228,881	\$ 1,242,287	\$ 1,809,637	\$ 1,943,647
Net premiums written	848,965	838,333	935,500	1,435,335	1,529,620
Net premiums earned	864,921	882,204	984,448	1,424,369	1,529,777
Net investment income	203,955	318,179	13,879	402,463	318,106
Net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments	144,444	93,679	11,462	26,806	11,937
Net other-than-temporary impairments	(829)	(22,450)	(214,897)	(25,513)	(46,401)
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	129,345	(70,698)	481,498	479,274	446,230
Acquisition expenses	94,961	104,150	141,616	254,930	280,697
Operational expenses	166,042	153,552	94,414	110,464	109,586
Underwriting income	474,573	695,200	266,920	579,701	693,264
Income from continuing operations	798,482	1,045,959	50,307	758,400	942,204
Income from discontinued operations	62,670	6,700	33,846	n/a	n/a
Net income	861,152	1,052,659	84,153	776,832	941,269
Net income (loss) available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders	702,613	838,858	(13,280)	569,575	761,635
Income (loss) from continuing operations available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share diluted	11.18	13.29	(0.75)	n/a	n/a
Net income (loss) available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share diluted	12.31	13.40	(0.21)	7.93	10.57
Dividends per common share	1.00	0.96	0.92	0.88	0.84
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	55,641	61,210	63,411	71,825	72,073
Return on average common equity	21.7%	30.2%	(0.5%)	20.9%	36.3%
Combined ratio	45.1%	21.2%	72.9%	59.3%	54.7%

At December 31,	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Balance Sheet Data:					
Total investments	\$ 6,100,212	\$ 6,015,259	\$ 5,833,816	\$ 6,634,348	\$ 6,342,805
Total assets	8,138,278	7,926,212	8,155,609	8,286,355	7,769,026
Reserve for claims and claim expenses	1,257,843	1,344,433	1,758,776	2,028,496	2,098,155
Unearned premiums	286,183	317,592	360,684	563,336	578,424
Debt	549,155	300,000	450,000	451,951	450,000
Capital leases	25,706	26,014	26,292	2,533	2,742
Subordinated obligation to capital trust					103,093
Preferred shares	550,000	650,000	650,000	650,000	800,000
Total shareholders' equity attributable to RenaissanceRe	3,936,325	3,840,786	3,032,743	3,477,503	3,280,497
Common shares outstanding	54,110	61,745	61,503	68,920	72,140
Book value per common share	\$ 62.58	\$ 51.68	\$ 38.74	\$ 41.03	\$ 34.38
Accumulated dividends	9.88	8.88	7.92	7.00	6.12

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Book value per common share plus accumulated dividends	\$	72.46	\$	60.56	\$	46.66	\$	48.03	\$	40.50
Change in book value per common share plus change in accumulated dividends		23.0%		35.9%		(3.3%)		21.9%		43.6%

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following is a discussion and analysis of our results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared with the year ended December 31, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2009, compared with the year ended December 31, 2008. The following also includes a discussion of our liquidity and capital resources at December 31, 2010. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this filing. This filing contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from the results described or implied by these forward-looking statements. See Note on Forward-Looking Statements.

OVERVIEW

RenaissanceRe was established in Bermuda in 1993 to write principally property catastrophe reinsurance and today is a leading global provider of reinsurance and insurance coverages and related services. Our aspiration is to be the world's best underwriter of high-severity, low frequency risks. Through our operating subsidiaries, we seek to produce superior returns for our shareholders by being a trusted, long-term partner to our customers, for assessing and managing risk, delivering responsive solutions, and keeping our promises. We accomplish this by leveraging our core capabilities of risk assessment and information management, and by investing in our capabilities to serve our customers across the cycles that have historically characterized our markets. Overall, our strategy focuses on superior risk selection, customer relationships and capital management. We provide value to our customers and joint venture partners in the form of financial security, innovative products, and responsive service. We are known as a leader in paying valid reinsurance claims promptly. We principally measure our financial success through long-term growth in tangible book value per common share plus the change in accumulated dividends, which we believe is the most appropriate measure of our Company's financial performance, and believe we have delivered superior performance in respect of this measure over time.

Since a substantial portion of the reinsurance and insurance we write provides protection from damages relating to natural and man-made catastrophes, our results depend to a large extent on the frequency and severity of such catastrophic events, and the coverages we offer to customers affected by these events. We are exposed to significant losses from these catastrophic events and other exposures that we cover. Accordingly, we expect a significant degree of volatility in our financial results and our financial results may vary significantly from quarter-to-quarter or from year-to-year, based on the level of insured catastrophic losses occurring around the world.

Our revenues are principally derived from three sources: 1) net premiums earned from the reinsurance and insurance policies we sell; 2) net investment income and realized and unrealized gains from the investment of our capital funds and the investment of the cash we receive on the policies which we sell; and 3) other income received from our joint ventures, advisory services, weather and energy risk management operations and various other items.

Our expenses primarily consist of: 1) net claims and claim expenses incurred on the policies of reinsurance and insurance we sell; 2) acquisition costs which typically represent a percentage of the premiums we write; 3) operating expenses which primarily consist of personnel expenses, rent and other operating expenses; 4) corporate expenses which include certain executive, legal and consulting expenses, costs for research and development, and other miscellaneous costs, including those associated with operating as a publicly traded company; 5) redeemable noncontrolling interest in DaVinciRe, which represents the interest of third parties with respect to the net income of DaVinciRe; and 6) interest and dividend costs related to our debt and preference shares. We are also subject to taxes in certain jurisdictions in which we operate; however, since the majority of our income is currently earned in Bermuda, a non-taxable jurisdiction, the tax impact to our operations has historically been minimal.

The operating results, also known as the underwriting results, of an insurance or reinsurance company are discussed frequently by reference to its net claims and claim expense ratio, underwriting expense ratio, and combined ratio. The net claims and claim expense ratio is calculated by dividing net claims and claim expenses incurred by net premiums earned. The underwriting expense ratio is calculated by dividing underwriting expenses (acquisition expenses and operational expenses) by net premiums earned. The combined ratio is the sum of the net claims and claim expense ratio and the underwriting expense ratio. A combined ratio below 100%

Table of Contents

generally indicates profitable underwriting prior to the consideration of investment income. A combined ratio over 100% generally indicates unprofitable underwriting prior to the consideration of investment income. We also discuss our net claims and claim expense ratio on an accident year basis. This ratio is calculated by taking net claims and claim expenses, excluding development on net claims and claim expenses from events that took place in prior fiscal years, divided by net premiums earned.

Discontinued Operations

On November 18, 2010, we entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with QBE to sell substantially all of our U.S.-based insurance operations, including our U.S. property and casualty business underwritten through managing general agents, our crop insurance business underwritten through Agro National, our commercial property insurance operations and our claims operations. The Company has classified the assets and liabilities associated with this transaction as held for sale and its financial results are reflected in our Consolidated Financial Statements as discontinued operations. See Note 3. Discontinued Operations in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Consideration for the transaction is book value at December 31, 2010, for the aforementioned businesses, currently estimated to be \$283.4 million, payable in cash at closing and subject to adjustment for certain tax and other items. The transaction is expected to close in early 2011 and is subject to regulatory approvals and customary closing conditions.

Segments

Our reportable segments include: (1) Reinsurance, (2) Lloyd's and (3) Insurance.

Reinsurance

Our Reinsurance segment has two main units:

- (1) Property catastrophe reinsurance, written for our own account, and for DaVinci, is our traditional core business. We believe we are one of the world's leading providers of this coverage, based on catastrophe gross premiums written. This coverage protects against large natural catastrophes, such as earthquakes, hurricanes and tsunamis, as well as claims arising from other natural and man-made catastrophes such as winter storms, freezes, floods, fires, wind storms, tornadoes, explosions and acts of terrorism. We offer this coverage to insurance companies and other reinsurers primarily on an excess of loss basis. This means that we begin paying when our customers' claims from a catastrophe exceed a certain retained amount.
- (2) Specialty reinsurance, written for our own account, and for DaVinci, covering certain targeted classes of business where we believe we have a sound basis for underwriting and pricing the risk that we assume. Our portfolio includes various classes of business, such as catastrophe exposed workers' compensation, surety, terrorism, political risk, trade credit, medical malpractice, financial, mortgage guarantee, catastrophe-exposed personal lines property, casualty clash, certain other casualty lines and other specialty lines of reinsurance that we collectively refer to as specialty reinsurance. We believe that we are seen as a market leader in certain of these classes of business. We are seeking to expand our specialty reinsurance operations over time, although we cannot assure you that we will do so, particularly in light of current and forecasted market conditions.

Lloyd's

Our Lloyd's segment includes insurance and reinsurance business written for our own account through Syndicate 1458. Syndicate 1458 started writing certain lines of insurance and reinsurance business incepting on or after June 1, 2009. The syndicate was established to enhance our underwriting platform by providing access to Lloyd's extensive distribution network and worldwide licenses. RenaissanceRe CCL, an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, is the sole corporate member of Syndicate 1458. The results of Syndicate 1458 were not significant to our overall consolidated results of operations and financial position during 2009; however, we expect its absolute and relative contributions to our consolidated results of operations to grow over time.

Table of Contents

Insurance

Our Insurance segment includes the insurance policies previously written in connection with our Bermuda-based insurance operations which were not included in the Stock Purchase Agreement with QBE. Our Insurance segment is managed by the Global Chief Underwriting Officer. The Bermuda-based insurance business is written by Glencoe, a Bermuda domiciled excess and surplus lines insurance company that is currently eligible to do business on an excess and surplus lines basis in 49 U.S. states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Although we are not actively underwriting new business in the Insurance segment, we may from time to time evaluate potential new business opportunities for our Insurance segment.

Other

Our Other category primarily includes the results of: (1) our share of strategic investments in certain markets we believe offer attractive risk-adjusted returns or where we believe our investment adds value, such as our investments in the Tower Hill Companies, Essent Group Ltd. and the Angus Fund, where, rather than assuming exclusive management responsibilities ourselves, we partner with other market participants; (2) our weather and energy risk management operations primarily through Renaissance Trading and REAL, (3) our investment unit which manages and invests the funds generated by our consolidated operations and (4) corporate expenses, capital services costs and noncontrolling interests.

New Business

From time to time we consider diversification into new ventures, either through organic growth, the formation of new joint ventures, or the acquisition of or the investment in other companies or books of business of other companies. This potential diversification includes opportunities to write targeted, additional classes of risk-exposed business, both directly for our own account and through possible new joint venture opportunities. We also regularly evaluate potential strategic opportunities that we believe might utilize our skills, capabilities, proprietary technology and relationships to support possible expansion into further risk-related coverages, services and products. Generally, we focus on underwriting or trading risks where reasonably sufficient data may be available, and where our analytical abilities may provide us a competitive advantage, in order for us to seek to model estimated probabilities of losses and returns in accordance with our approach in respect of our then current portfolio of risks.

We regularly review potential strategic transactions that might improve our portfolio of business, enhance or focus our strategies, expand our distribution or capabilities, or to seek other benefits. In evaluating potential new ventures or investments, we generally seek an attractive estimated return on equity, the ability to develop or capitalize on a competitive advantage, and opportunities which we believe will not detract from our core operations. While we regularly review potential strategic transactions and periodically engage in discussions regarding possible transactions, there can be no assurance that we will complete any such transactions or that any such transaction would be successful or materially enhance our results of operations or financial condition. We believe that our ability to potentially attract investment and operational opportunities is supported by our strong reputation and financial resources, and by the capabilities and track record of our ventures unit.

Risk Management

We seek to develop and effectively utilize sophisticated computer models and other analytical tools to assess and manage the risks that we underwrite and attempt to optimize our portfolio of reinsurance and insurance contracts and other financial risks. Our policies, procedures, tools and resources to monitor and assess our operational risks companywide, as well as our global enterprise-wide risk management practices, are overseen by our Chief Risk Officer, who reports directly to our Chief Financial Officer.

With respect to our Reinsurance operations, since 1993 we have developed and continuously seek to improve our proprietary, computer-based pricing and exposure management system, REMS[®]. We believe that REMS[®], as updated from time to time, is a more robust underwriting and risk management system than is currently commercially available elsewhere in the reinsurance industry and offers us a significant competitive advantage. REMS[®] was originally developed to analyze catastrophe risks, though we continuously seek ways to enhance the program in order to analyze other classes of risk.

Table of Contents**SUMMARY OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES****Claims and Claim Expense Reserves***General Description*

We believe the most significant accounting judgment made by management is our estimate of claims and claim expense reserves. Claims and claim expense reserves represent estimates, including actuarial and statistical projections at a given point in time, of the ultimate settlement and administration costs for unpaid claims and claim expenses arising from the insurance and reinsurance contracts we sell. We establish our claims and claim expense reserves by taking claims reported to us by insureds and ceding companies, but which have not yet been paid (case reserves), adding the costs for additional case reserves (additional case reserves) which represent our estimates for claims previously reported to us which we believe may not be adequately reserved as of that date, and adding estimates for the anticipated cost of IBNR.

The following table summarizes our claims and claim expense reserves by line of business and split between case reserves, additional case reserves and IBNR at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

At December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Case Reserves	Additional Case Reserves	IBNR	Total
Catastrophe	\$ 173,157	\$ 281,202	\$ 163,021	\$ 617,380
Specialty	102,521	60,196	350,573	513,290
Total Reinsurance	275,678	341,398	513,594	1,130,670
Lloyd s	172	6,874	12,985	20,031
Insurance	40,943	3,317	62,882	107,142
Total	\$ 316,793	\$ 351,589	\$ 589,461	\$ 1,257,843
At December 31, 2009 (in thousands)				
Catastrophe	\$ 165,153	\$ 148,252	\$ 258,451	\$ 571,856
Specialty	119,674	101,612	382,818	604,104
Total Reinsurance	284,827	249,864	641,269	1,175,960
Insurance	76,489	3,658	88,326	168,473
Total	\$ 361,316	\$ 253,522	\$ 729,595	\$ 1,344,433

Our estimates of claims and claim expense reserves are not precise in that, among other matters, they are based on predictions of future developments and estimates of future trends and other variable factors. Some, but not all, of our reserves are further subject to the uncertainty inherent in actuarial methodologies and estimates. Because a reserve estimate is simply an insurer's estimate at a point in time of its ultimate liability, and because there are numerous factors which affect reserves and claims payments that cannot be determined with certainty in advance, our ultimate payments will vary, perhaps materially, from our estimates of reserves. If we determine in a subsequent period that adjustments to our previously established reserves are appropriate, such adjustments are recorded in the period in which they are identified. During the year ended December 31, 2010, changes to prior year estimated claims reserves increased our net income by \$302.1 million (2009 \$266.2 million, 2008 \$196.9 million), excluding the consideration of changes in reinstatement premium, profit commissions, redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe and income tax benefit (expense).

Table of Contents

Our reserving methodology for each line of business uses a loss reserving process that calculates a point estimate for the Company's ultimate settlement and administration costs for claims and claim expenses. We do not calculate a range of estimates. We use this point estimate, along with paid claims and case reserves, to record our best estimate of additional case reserves and IBNR in our consolidated financial statements. Under GAAP, we are not permitted to establish estimates for catastrophe claims and claim expense reserves until an event occurs that gives rise to a loss.

Reserving for our reinsurance claims involves other uncertainties, such as the dependence on information from ceding companies, which among other matters, includes the time lag inherent in reporting information from the primary insurer to us or to our ceding companies and differing reserving practices among ceding companies. The information received from ceding companies is typically in the form of bordereaux, broker notifications of loss and/or discussions with ceding companies or their brokers. This information can be received on a monthly, quarterly or transactional basis and normally includes estimates of paid claims and case reserves. We sometimes also receive an estimate or provision for IBNR. This information is often updated and adjusted from time to time during the loss settlement period as new data or facts in respect of initial claims, client accounts, industry or event trends may be reported or emerge in addition to changes in applicable statutory and case laws.

We recorded \$540.5 million of gross claims and claim expenses incurred in 2008 as a result of losses arising from hurricanes Gustav and Ike which struck the U.S. in 2008. In 2010, we recorded \$159.7 million, \$166.8 million and \$23.0 million of gross claims and claim expenses as a result of losses arising from the Chilean earthquake, the New Zealand earthquake and the Australian flooding, respectively. Our estimates of losses from these events are based on factors including currently available information derived from the Company's preliminary claims information from certain customers and brokers, industry assessments of losses from the events, proprietary models, and the terms and conditions of our contracts. The uncertainty of our estimates for these 2010 events is additionally impacted by the preliminary nature of the information available, the magnitude and relative infrequency of the events, the expected duration of the respective claims development period, inadequacies in the data provided thus far by industry participants and the potential for further reporting lags or insufficiencies (particularly in respect of the 2010 earthquakes); and in the case of the Australian flooding, significant uncertainty as to the form of the claims and legal issues including, but not limited to, the number, nature and fiscal periods of the loss events under the relevant terms of insurance contracts and reinsurance treaties. Given the magnitude and relatively recent occurrence of these events, and the continuing uncertainty relating to the large storms of 2005, especially hurricane Katrina, and those of 2008, meaningful uncertainty remains regarding total covered losses for the insurance industry and, accordingly, several of the key assumptions underlying our loss estimates. In addition, our actual net losses from these events may increase if our reinsurers or other obligors fail to meet their obligations.

Because of the inherent uncertainties discussed above, we have developed a reserving philosophy which attempts to incorporate prudent assumptions and estimates, and we have generally experienced favorable net development on prior year reserves in the last several years. However, there is no assurance that this will occur in future periods.

Our reserving techniques, assumptions and processes differ between our catastrophe and specialty units within our Reinsurance segment. Following is a discussion of the risks we insure and reinsure, the reserving techniques, assumptions and processes we follow to estimate our claims and claim expense reserves, and our current estimates versus our initial estimates of our claims reserves, for each of these units.

Table of Contents

Reinsurance Segment

Property Catastrophe Reinsurance

Within our catastrophe unit, we principally write property catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance contracts to insure insurance and reinsurance companies against natural and man-made catastrophes. Under these contracts, we indemnify an insurer or reinsurer when its aggregate paid claims and claim expenses from a single occurrence of a covered peril exceed the attachment point specified in the contract, up to an amount per loss specified in the contract. Our most significant exposure is to losses from earthquakes and hurricanes and other windstorms, although we are also exposed to claims arising from other catastrophes, such as tsunamis, freezes, floods, fires, tornadoes, explosions and acts of terrorism. Our predominant exposure under such coverage is to property damage. However, other risks, including business interruption and other non-property losses, may also be covered under our property catastrophe reinsurance contracts when arising from a covered peril. Our coverages are offered on either a worldwide basis or are limited to selected geographic areas.

Coverage can also vary from all property perils to limited coverage on selected perils, such as earthquake only coverage. We also enter into retrocessional contracts that provide property catastrophe coverage to other reinsurers or retrocedants. This coverage is generally in the form of excess of loss retrocessional contracts and may cover all perils and exposures on a worldwide basis or be limited in scope to selected geographic areas, perils and/or exposures. The exposures we assume from retrocessional business can change within a contract term as the underwriters of a retrocedant may alter their book of business after the retrocessional coverage has been bound. We also offer dual trigger reinsurance contracts which require us to pay claims based on claims incurred by insurers and reinsurers in addition to the estimate of insured industry losses as reported by referenced statistical reporting agencies.

Our property catastrophe reinsurance business is generally characterized by loss events of low frequency and high severity. Initial reporting of paid and incurred claims in general, tends to be relatively prompt. We consider this business short-tail as compared to the reporting of claims for long-tail products, which tends to be slower. However, the timing of claims payment and reporting also varies depending on various factors, including: whether the claims arise under reinsurance of primary insurance companies or reinsurance of other reinsurance companies; the nature of the events (e.g., hurricanes, earthquakes or terrorism); the geographic area involved; post-event inflation which may cause the cost to repair damaged property to increase significantly from current estimates, or for property claims to remain open for a longer period of time, due to limitations on the supply of building materials, labor and other resources; complex policy coverage and other legal issues; and the quality of each client's claims management and reserving practices. Management's judgments regarding these factors are reflected in our claims reserve estimates.

Reserving for most of our property catastrophe reinsurance business does not involve the use of traditional actuarial techniques. Rather, claims and claim expense reserves are estimated by management after a catastrophe occurs by completing an in-depth analysis of the individual contracts which may potentially be impacted by the catastrophic event. The in-depth analysis generally involves: 1) estimating the size of insured industry losses from the catastrophic event; 2) reviewing our portfolio of reinsurance contracts to identify those contracts which are exposed to the catastrophic event; 3) reviewing information reported by customers and brokers; 4) discussing the event with our customers and brokers; and 5) estimating the ultimate expected cost to settle all claims and administrative costs arising from the catastrophic event on a contract-by-contract basis and in aggregate for the event. Once an event has occurred, during the then current reporting period we record our best estimate of the ultimate expected cost to settle all claims arising from the event. Our estimate of claims and claim expense reserves is then determined by deducting cumulative paid losses from our estimate of the ultimate expected loss for an event and our estimate of IBNR is determined by deducting cumulative paid losses, case reserves and additional case reserves from our estimate of the ultimate expected loss for an event. Once we receive a notice of loss or payment request under a catastrophe reinsurance contract, we are generally able to process and pay such claims promptly.

Because the events from which claims arise under policies written by our property catastrophe reinsurance business are typically prominent, public occurrences such as hurricanes and earthquakes, we are often able to use independent reports as part of our loss reserve estimation process. We also review catastrophe bulletins published by various statistical reporting agencies to assist us in determining the size of the industry loss,

Table of Contents

although these reports may not be available for some time after an event. In addition to the loss information and estimates communicated by cedants and brokers, we also use industry information which we gather and retain in our REMS® modeling system. The information stored in our REMS® modeling system enables us to analyze each of our policies in relation to a loss and compare our estimate of the loss with those reported by our policyholders. The REMS® modeling system also allows us to compare and analyze individual losses reported by policyholders affected by the same loss event. Although the REMS® modeling system assists with the analysis of the underlying loss and provides us with the information and ability to perform increased analysis, the estimation of claims resulting from catastrophic events is inherently difficult because of the variability and uncertainty associated with property catastrophe claims and the unique characteristics of each loss.

For smaller events including localized severe weather events such as windstorms, hail, ice, snow, flooding, freezing and tornadoes, which are not necessarily prominent, public occurrences, we initially place greater reliance on catastrophe bulletins published by statistical reporting agencies to assist us in determining what events occurred during the reporting period than we do for large events. This includes reviewing Catastrophe Bulletins published by Property Claim Services for U.S. catastrophes. We set our initial estimates of reserves for claims and claim expenses for these smaller events based on a combination of our historical market share for these types of losses and the estimate of the total insured industry property losses as reported by statistical reporting agencies, although we generally make significant adjustments based on our current exposure to the geographic region involved as well as the size of the loss and the peril involved. This approach supplements our approach for estimating losses for larger catastrophes, which as discussed above, includes discussions with brokers and ceding companies, reviewing individual contracts impacted by the event, and modeling the loss in our REMS® system. Approximately one year from the date of loss for these small events, we estimate IBNR for these events by using an actuarial technique. The actuarial technique is to use the paid Bornhuetter-Ferguson actuarial method in estimating IBNR. The paid Bornhuetter-Ferguson actuarial method loss development factors are selected based on a review of our historical experience and these factors are reviewed at least annually. There were no changes to the paid loss development factors over the last three years.

In general, our property catastrophe reinsurance reserves for our more recent reinsured catastrophic events are subject to greater uncertainty and, therefore, greater potential variability, and are likely to experience material changes from one period to the next. This is due to the uncertainty as to the size of the industry losses from the event, uncertainty as to which contracts have been exposed to the catastrophic event, uncertainty due to complex legal and coverage issues that can arise out of large or complex catastrophic events such as the events of September 11, 2001 and hurricane Katrina, and uncertainty as to the magnitude of claims incurred by our customers. As our property catastrophe reinsurance claims age, more information becomes available and we believe our estimates become more certain, although there is no assurance this trend will continue in the future. As seen in the Actual vs. Initial Estimated Property Catastrophe Reinsurance Claims and Claim Expense Reserve Analysis table below, 61.5% of our inception to date claims and claim expenses in our catastrophe unit were incurred in the 2004, 2005 and 2008 accident years, due principally to the losses from hurricanes Charley, Frances, Ivan, Jeanne, Katrina, Rita, Wilma, Gustav and Ike. Due to the size and complexity of the losses in these accident years, there still remains considerable uncertainty as to the ultimate settlement costs associated with these accident years.

In 2009, we reviewed our processes and methodology for estimating the ultimate expected cost to settle all claims arising from certain mature large U.S. hurricanes. During this process, we evaluated several actuarial methodologies including using paid loss development factors, reported loss development factors and ratios of IBNR to case reserves. In this review, among other things, we looked at our historical claims experience on these mature large U.S. hurricanes, the number of claims associated with these mature large U.S. hurricanes and available industry claims information on the same or similar events. We determined that the use of the reported loss development factor methodology for these mature large U.S. hurricanes would provide us with the best estimate of ultimate losses in respect of these events. Currently, we believe this approach is only applicable for the 2004 and 2005 large hurricanes as we believe that (i) these events have a large enough number of reported claims to be statistically sound, (ii) these events have available industry reported claims information to supplement our own historical reported loss information, and (iii) a sufficient amount of time has passed from the date of loss that the use of an actuarial method could assist in estimating the ultimate costs. We implemented this actuarial methodology in the fourth quarter of 2009 with respect to our 2004 and 2005 hurricane losses. In the future, we expect to evaluate applying this methodology to other mature large U.S. hurricanes as we deem appropriate. In implementing this actuarial technique, we adjusted our ultimate losses at December 31, 2009 on

Table of Contents

the 2004 hurricanes from 96.6% reported to 98.1% reported and from 93.6% reported to 95.8% reported for the 2005 hurricanes. The impact of these changes within our catastrophe unit was a decrease in ultimate losses on the 2004 hurricanes by \$12.3 million and by \$28.1 million for our 2005 hurricane losses. The net positive impact to our financial results was \$26.9 million after considering offsetting changes in reinsurance recoveries, reinstatement premiums, profit commissions and redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe. At December 31, 2010, we estimate our reported losses are 99.3% and 98.1% reported for the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, respectively.

Within our property catastrophe reinsurance business, we seek to review our claims and claim expense reserves quarterly. Our quarterly review procedures include identifying events that have occurred up to the latest balance sheet date, determining our best estimate of the ultimate expected cost to settle all claims and administrative costs associated with those new events which have arisen during the reporting period, reviewing the ultimate expected cost to settle claims and administrative costs associated with those events which occurred during previous periods, and considering new estimation techniques, such as additional actuarial methods or other statistical techniques, that can assist us in developing a best estimate. This process is judgmental in that it involves reviewing changes in paid and reported losses each period and adjusting our estimates of the ultimate expected losses for each event if there are developments that are different from our previous expectations. If we determine that adjustments to an earlier estimate are appropriate, such adjustments are recorded in the period in which they are identified. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, changes to our prior year estimated claims reserves in our catastrophe unit increased our net income by \$157.5 million, \$184.4 million and \$131.6 million, respectively, excluding the consideration of changes in reinstatement premium, profit commissions, redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe and income tax benefit (expense).

The favorable development on prior year reserves in 2010 within the Company's catastrophe unit of \$157.5 million was due to reductions of \$33.6 million to the estimated ultimate losses of mature, large, mainly international catastrophe events, combined with reductions in net ultimate losses associated with the 2005 Buncefield Oil Depot loss of \$27.4 million, the 2005 hurricanes of \$25.5 million, the 2008 hurricanes of \$10.9 million, European windstorm Klaus of \$8.0 million and the 2004 hurricanes of \$8.1 million, with the remainder due to a reduction in ultimate losses on a large number of relatively small catastrophes.

The favorable development within our catastrophe unit of \$184.4 million in 2009 was principally attributable to a reduction in ultimate net losses associated with the 2008 hurricanes of \$44.7 million; the 2005 hurricanes of \$25.5 million, the 2007 European windstorm Kyrill of \$16.7 million, the 2007 California wildfires of \$14.1 million, the 2007 flooding in the U.K. of \$14.6 million and the 2004 hurricanes of \$11.3 million, due to better than expected reported claims activity, and with respect to the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, the adoption of a new actuarial technique using reported loss development factors to estimate the ultimate losses for these events, as discussed in more detail above. The remaining favorable development within our catastrophe unit was due to a reduction of ultimate net losses on a variety of smaller catastrophes such as hail storms, winter freezes, floods, fires, tornadoes which occurred during the 2006 through 2008 accident years.

Actual Results vs. Initial Estimates

The table below summarizes our initial assumptions and changes in those assumptions for claims and claim expense reserves within our catastrophe unit. As discussed above, the key assumption in estimating reserves for our catastrophe unit is our estimate of ultimate claims and claim expenses. The table shows our initial estimates of ultimate claims and claim expenses for each accident year and how these initial estimates have developed over time. The initial estimate of accident year claims and claim expenses represents our estimate of the ultimate settlement and administration costs for claims incurred from catastrophic events occurring during a particular accident year, and as reported as of December 31 of that year. The re-estimated ultimate claims and claim expenses as of December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, represent our revised estimates as reported as of those dates. The cumulative favorable (adverse) development shows how our most recent estimates as reported at December 31, 2010 differ from our initial accident year estimates. Favorable development implies that our current estimates are lower than our initial estimates while adverse development implies that our current estimates are higher than our original estimates. Total reserves as of December 31, 2010 reflect the unpaid portion of our estimates of ultimate claims and claim expenses. The table is presented on a gross basis and therefore does not include the benefit of reinsurance recoveries. It also does not consider the impact of loss related premium or redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe.

Table of Contents*Actual vs. Initial Estimated Property Catastrophe Reinsurance Claims and Claim Expense Reserve Analysis*

(in thousands, except percentages)	Initial Estimate of Accident Year Claims and Claim Expenses	Re-estimated Claims and Claim Expenses as of December 31,				% Decrease (Increase) from Initial Ultimate	Claims and Claim Expense Reserves as of December 31, 2010	% of Claims and Claim Expenses Unpaid as of December 31, 2010
		2008	2009	2010	Cumulative Favorable (Adverse) Development			
Accident Year								
1994	\$ 100,816	\$ 137,396	\$ 138,107	\$ 137,135	\$ (36,319)	(36.0%)	\$ 521	0.4%
1995	72,561	64,086	61,393	61,348	11,213	15.5%	52	0.1%
1996	67,671	45,855	45,213	45,214	22,457	33.2%	23	0.1%
1997	43,050	7,203	9,046	9,046	34,004	79.0%	10	0.1%
1998	129,171	154,701	154,670	151,755	(22,584)	(17.5%)	458	0.3%
1999	267,981	207,884	208,367	199,097	68,884	25.7%	1,322	0.7%
2000	54,600	18,793	17,716	17,794	36,806	67.4%	98	0.6%
2001	257,285	220,220	219,875	212,678	44,607	17.3%	13,243	6.2%
2002	155,573	73,353	71,534	65,486	90,087	57.9%	1,081	1.7%
2003	126,312	76,736	75,958	68,892	57,420	45.5%	1,596	2.3%
2004	762,392	846,652	830,453	821,350	(58,958)	(7.7%)	12,031	1.5%
2005	1,473,974	1,380,484	1,348,146	1,283,225	190,749	12.9%	44,264	3.4%
2006	121,754	63,153	61,387	60,413	61,341	50.4%	3,522	5.8%
2007	245,892	210,447	151,956	150,809	95,083	38.7%	53,576	35.5%
2008	599,481	599,481	506,721	480,907	118,574	19.8%	144,425	30.0%
2009	90,800		90,800	53,991	36,809	40.5%	20,642	38.2%
2010	385,207			385,207			320,516	83.2%
	\$ 4,954,520	\$ 4,106,444	\$ 3,991,342	\$ 4,204,347	\$ 750,173	16.4%	\$ 617,380	14.7%

As quantified in the table above, since the inception of the Company in 1993, while we have experienced adverse development from time to time, on a cumulative basis we have experienced \$750.2 million of net favorable development on the run-off of our gross reserves within our catastrophe unit. This represents 16.4% of our initial estimated gross claims and claim expenses for accident years 2009 and prior of \$4.6 billion and is calculated based on our estimates of claims and claim expense reserves as of December 31, 2010, compared to our initial estimates of ultimate claims and claim expenses, as of the end of each accident year. As described above, given the complexity in reserving for claims and claims expenses associated with catastrophe losses for property catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance contracts, we have experienced development, both favorable and unfavorable, in any given accident year in amounts that exceed our inception to date percentage of 16.4%. For example, our 2005 accident year developed favorably by \$190.7 million, which is 12.9% better than our initial estimates of claims and claim expenses for the 2005 accident year as estimated as of December 31, 2005, while our 2004 accident year developed unfavorably by \$59.0 million, or negative 7.7%. On a net basis our cumulative favorable or unfavorable development is generally reduced by offsetting changes in our reinsurance recoverables, as well as changes to loss related premiums such as reinstatement premiums, and redeemable noncontrolling interest for changes in claims and claim expenses that impact DaVinciRe, all of which generally move in the opposite direction to changes in our ultimate claims and claim expenses.

The percentage of claims unpaid at December 31, 2010 for each accident year reflects both the speed at which claims and claim expenses for each accident year have been paid and our estimate of claims and claim expenses for that accident year. As seen above, claims and claim expenses for the 2004 accident year have to date been paid quickly compared to prior accident years. This is due to the fact that hurricanes Charley, Frances, Ivan and Jeanne which occurred in 2004 have been relatively rapid claims paying events. This is driven in part by the mix of our business in Florida, which primarily includes property catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance for personal lines property coverage, rather than commercial property coverage or retrocessional coverage, and the speed of the settlement and payment of claims by our underlying cedants. In contrast, our 2001 accident year, which includes losses from the events of September 11, 2001, and our 2005 accident year, which includes significant losses from hurricane Katrina, includes a higher mix of commercial business and retrocessional coverage where the underlying claims of our cedants tend to be settled and paid more slowly. In addition, claims from our underlying cedants for the 2001 and 2005 accident years are subject to more complex coverage and legal matters due to the complexity of the catastrophic events taking place in those years.

Sensitivity Analysis

The table below shows the impact on our ultimate claims and claim expenses, net income and shareholders' equity as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 of reasonably likely changes to our estimates of ultimate losses for claims and claim expenses incurred from catastrophic events within our property catastrophe

Table of Contents

reinsurance business unit. The reasonably likely changes are based on an historical analysis of the period-to-period variability of our ultimate costs to settle claims from catastrophic events, giving due consideration to changes in our reserving practices over time. In general, our claim reserves for our more recent catastrophic events are subject to greater uncertainty and, therefore, greater variability and are likely to experience material changes from one period to the next. This is due to the uncertainty as to the size of the industry losses from the event, uncertainty as to which contracts have been exposed to the catastrophic event, and uncertainty as to the magnitude of claims incurred by our clients. As our claims age, more information becomes available and we believe our estimates become more certain, although there is no assurance this trend will continue in the future. As a result, the sensitivity analysis below is based on the age of each accident year, our current estimated ultimate claims and claim expenses for the catastrophic events occurring in each accident year, and the reasonably likely variability of our current estimates of claims and claim expenses by accident year. The impact on net income and shareholders' equity assumes no increase or decrease in reinsurance recoveries, loss related premium or redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe.

Property Catastrophe Reinsurance Claims and Claim Expense Reserve Sensitivity Analysis

(in thousands, except percentages)	% Impact of Change				
	Ultimate Claims and Claim Expenses at December 31, 2010	\$ Impact of Change in Ultimate Claims and Claim Expenses at December 31, 2010	in Ultimate Claims and Claim Expenses at December 31, 2010	% Impact of Change on Net Income for the Year Ended December 31, 2010	% Impact of Change on Shareholders Equity at December 31, 2010
Higher	\$ 4,510,258	\$ 305,911	24.3%	(35.5%)	(7.8%)
Recorded	4,204,347				
Lower	\$ 3,898,436	\$ (305,911)	(24.3%)	35.5%	7.8%

We believe the changes we made to our estimated ultimate claims and claim expenses represent reasonably likely outcomes based on our experience to date and our future expectations. While we believe these are reasonably likely outcomes, we do not believe the reader should consider the above sensitivity analysis an actuarial reserve range. In addition, the sensitivity analysis only reflects reasonably likely changes in our underlying assumptions. It is possible that our estimated ultimate claims and claim expenses could be significantly higher or lower than the sensitivity analysis described above. For example, we could be liable for events for which we have not estimated claims and claim expenses or for exposures we do not currently believe are covered under our policies. These changes could result in significantly larger changes to our estimated ultimate claims and claim expenses, net income and shareholders' equity than those noted above. We also caution the reader that the above sensitivity analysis is not used by management in developing our reserve estimates and is also not used by management in managing the business.

Specialty Reinsurance

Within our specialty reinsurance business unit we write a number of reinsurance lines such as catastrophe exposed workers' compensation, surety, terrorism, political risk, trade credit, medical malpractice, catastrophe exposed personal lines property, casualty clash, property per risk, catastrophe exposed personal lines property and other specialty lines of reinsurance, which we collectively refer to as specialty reinsurance. We offer our specialty reinsurance products principally on an excess of loss basis, as described above with respect to our property catastrophe reinsurance products, and we also provide some proportional coverage. In a proportional reinsurance arrangement (also referred to as quota share reinsurance or pro-rata reinsurance), the reinsurer shares a proportional part of the original premiums and losses of the reinsured. We offer our specialty reinsurance products to insurance companies and other reinsurance companies and provide coverage for specific geographic regions or on a worldwide basis. We expanded our specialty reinsurance business in 2002 and have increased our presence in the specialty reinsurance market since that time.

Table of Contents

Our specialty reinsurance business can generally be characterized as providing coverage for low frequency and high severity losses, similar to our property catastrophe reinsurance business. As with our property catastrophe reinsurance business, our specialty reinsurance contracts frequently provide coverage for relatively large limits or exposures. As a result of the foregoing, our specialty reinsurance business is subject to significant claims volatility. In periods of low claims frequency or severity, our results will generally be favorably impacted while in periods of high claims frequency or severity our results will generally be negatively impacted.

Our processes and methodologies in respect of loss estimation for the coverages we offer through our specialty reinsurance operation differ from those used for our property catastrophe-oriented coverages. For example, our specialty reinsurance coverages are more likely to be impacted by factors such as long-term inflation and changes in the social and legal environment, which we believe gives rise to greater uncertainty in our claims reserves. Moreover, in reserving for our specialty reinsurance coverages we do not have the benefit of a significant amount of our own historical experience in certain of these lines and may have little or no related corporate reserving history in new lines. We believe this makes our specialty reinsurance reserving subject to greater uncertainty than our catastrophe unit.

When initially developing our reserving techniques for our specialty reinsurance coverages, we considered estimating reserves utilizing several actuarial techniques such as paid and reported loss development methods. We elected to use the Bornhuetter-Ferguson actuarial method because this method is appropriate for lines of business, such as our specialty reinsurance business, where there is a lack of historical claims experience. This method allows for greater weight to be applied to expected results in periods where little or no actual experience is available, and, hence, is less susceptible to the potential pitfall of being excessively swayed by one year or one quarter of actual paid and/or reported loss data. This method uses initial expected loss ratio expectations to the extent that losses are not paid or reported, and it assumes that past experience is not fully representative of the future. As the Company's reserves for claims and claim expenses age, and actual claims experience becomes available, this method places less weight on expected experience and places more weight on actual experience. We reevaluate our actuarial reserving techniques on a periodic basis.

The utilization of the Bornhuetter-Ferguson actuarial method requires us to estimate an expected ultimate claims and claim expense ratio and select an expected loss reporting pattern. We select our estimates of the expected ultimate claims and claim expense ratios and expected loss reporting patterns by reviewing industry standards and adjusting these standards based upon the terms of the coverages we offer. The estimated expected claims and claim expense ratio may be modified to the extent that reported losses at a given point in time differ from what would be expected based on the selected loss reporting pattern. Our estimate of IBNR is the product of the premium we have earned, the initial expected ultimate claims and claim expense ratio and the percentage of estimated unreported losses. In addition, certain of our specialty reinsurance coverages may be impacted by natural and man-made catastrophes. We estimate claim reserves for these losses after the event giving rise to these losses occur, following a process that is similar to our catastrophe unit described above.

Within our specialty reinsurance business, we seek to review substantially all of our claims and claim expense reserves quarterly. Typically, our quarterly review procedures include reviewing paid and reported claims in the most recent reporting period, reviewing the development of paid and reported claims from prior periods, and reviewing our overall experience by underwriting year and in the aggregate. We monitor our expected ultimate claims and claim expense ratios and expected loss reporting assumptions on a quarterly basis and compare them to our actual experience. These actuarial assumptions are generally reviewed annually, based on input from our actuaries, underwriters, claims personnel and finance professionals, although adjustments may be made more frequently if needed. Assumption changes are made to adjust for changes in the pricing and terms of coverage we provide, changes in industry standards, as well as our actual experience, to the extent we have enough data to rely on our own experience. If we determine that adjustments to an earlier estimate are appropriate, such adjustments are recorded in the period in which they are identified. During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, changes to our prior year estimated claims reserves in our specialty reinsurance unit increased our net income by \$128.6 million, \$65.1 million and \$56.5 million, respectively, excluding the consideration of changes in reinstatement premium, profit commissions, redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe and income tax benefit (expense).

Table of Contents

The favorable development within the Company's specialty unit in 2010 of \$128.6 million includes \$31.4 million associated with actuarial assumption changes made in 2010, principally in the Company's casualty clash and surety lines of business, and partially offset by an increase in reserves within the Company's workers compensation per risk line of business, as a result of revised initial expected loss ratios and loss development factors due to actual experience coming in better than expected: \$25.9 million due to a decrease in case reserves and additional case reserves, which are reserves established at the contract level for specific losses or large events; and the remainder due to reported losses coming in better than expected in 2010 on prior accident years events.

The favorable development within our specialty reinsurance unit of \$65.1 million in 2009 was principally attributable to lower than expected claims emergence on the 2005 through 2008 underwriting years of \$87.6 million which was driven by the application of our formulaic actuarial reserving methodology for this business with the reductions being due to actual paid and reported loss activity being more favorable to date than what was originally anticipated when setting the initial IBNR reserves, as well as \$10.0 million due to a reduction on one claim on a contract related to the 2005 hurricanes, and partially offset by a \$32.5 million increase in our estimated ultimate net losses on the 2008 Madoff matter.

Actual Results vs. Initial Estimates

The Actual vs. Initial Estimated Ultimate Claims and Claim Expense Ratio table below summarizes our key actuarial assumptions in reserving for our specialty reinsurance business. As noted above, the key actuarial assumptions include the estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios and the estimated loss reporting patterns. The table shows our initial estimates of the ultimate claims and claim expense ratio by underwriting year. The table shows how our initial estimates of these ratios have developed over time, with the re-estimated ratios reflecting a combination of the amount and timing of paid and reported losses compared to our initial estimates. The initial estimate is based on the actuarial assumptions that were in place at the end of that year. A decrease in the ultimate claims and claim expense ratio implies that our current estimates are lower than our initial estimates while an increase in the ultimate claims and claim expense ratio implies that our current estimates are higher than our initial estimates. The result would be a corresponding favorable impact on shareholders' equity and net income or a corresponding unfavorable impact on shareholders' equity and net income, respectively. The table also shows how our initial estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios have changed from one underwriting year to the next. The table below reflects a summary of the weighted average assumptions for all classes of business written within our specialty reinsurance unit. The table is presented on a gross loss basis and therefore does not include the benefit of reinsurance recoveries or loss related premium.

Actual vs. Initial Estimated Specialty Reinsurance Claims and Claim Expense Reserve Analysis – Estimated Ultimate Claims and Claim Expense Ratio

Underwriting Year	Initial Estimate	Estimated Ultimate Claims and Claim Expenses Ratio		
		December 31, 2008	Re-estimate at December 31, 2009	December 31, 2010
2002	77.2%	24.7%	22.4%	21.5%
2003	76.8%	30.3%	29.8%	28.1%
2004	78.2%	46.1%	41.1%	40.1%
2005	78.2%	42.4%	38.7%	31.6%
2006	76.6%	55.1%	47.9%	36.9%
2007	62.9%	73.9%	64.7%	55.5%
2008	57.9%	89.4%	97.5%	77.1%
2009	68.6%		57.4%	50.9%
2010	57.7%			84.1%

The table above shows our initial estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios for attritional losses for each new underwriting year within our specialty reinsurance unit as of the end of each calendar year. Until 2007, our initial estimated ultimate remained relatively constant between 76.6% in 2006 and 78.2% in 2004 and 2005. This reflects the fact that management had not made significant changes to its initial estimates of expected ultimate claims and claim expense ratios from one underwriting year to the next. The principal reason for the

Table of Contents

modest changes from one underwriting year to the next is that the mix of business has changed. For example, the mix of business for the 2007 through 2010 underwriting years have a lower initial expected ultimate claims and claim expense ratio than in prior years as it is more heavily weighted to business that is expected to produce a lower level of losses. The decrease in the initial estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratio from 2006 and prior to 2007 through 2010 also reflects assumption changes made for certain classes of business where our experience, and the industry experience in general, has been better than expected and, as a result, we decreased our initial estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratio for these classes of business. The decrease in the initial estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratio for 2010, compared to 2009, is principally due to a shift in the mix of business to lower expected loss ratio business, combined with shifts in our assumptions around modeled expected loss ratios and expected reporting patterns. The estimated ultimate net claims and claim expense ratio at December 31, 2010 of 84.1%, increased from the initial estimate of 57.6% primarily as a result of several relatively large claims incurred in 2010 including those associated with the Chilean earthquake, tropical cyclone Tasha, the Deepwater Horizon oil rig event and the Pacific Gas and Electric Co. explosion in San Francisco, California.

As each underwriting year has developed, our re-estimated expected ultimate claims and claim expense ratios have changed. In particular, our re-estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios decreased significantly from the initial estimates for the 2002 through 2006 underwriting years. This was principally due to our 2005 reserve review. During our 2005 reserve review, we further segmented the specialty business with the aim of grouping risks into more homogeneous categories which respond to the evolution of actual exposures. This became possible as the volume of this business increased over the three preceding years. This further segmentation required the selection of loss reporting patterns to be applied to these new groups. We also updated our assumptions for our original loss reporting patterns based on a combination of new industry information and actual experience accumulated over the three preceding years. The assumptions for the new loss reporting patterns were applied to all prior underwriting years. In addition, we made explicit allowances for commuted contracts whereas previously these were considered in the overall reserving assumptions. We also reviewed substantially all of our case reserves and additional case reserves. The result of the foregoing was a decrease in our specialty reinsurance re-estimated ultimate claims and claim expense reserves in 2005. Subsequent to this reserve review, the results of our specialty book of business have been mixed. The 2006 underwriting year includes favorable development as actual paid and reported losses during 2006 have overall been less than expected, which has resulted in a reduction in our expected ultimate claims and claim expense ratio for this year. However, the 2008 underwriting year has performed worse than expected and our current estimates are higher than our initial estimates. This is due in part to the losses in our casualty clash line of business in 2008, associated with exposure to the deterioration of the credit and capital markets in 2008 as well as the Madoff matter discovered in the fourth quarter of 2008. As noted above, our specialty reinsurance business is in general characterized by events of low frequency and high severity which results in actual experience that can be significantly better or worse than long-term trends or industry standards may imply.

As noted above, some of our specialty reinsurance contracts are exposed to net claims and claim expenses from large natural and man-made catastrophes. Net claims and claim expenses from these large catastrophes are reserved for after the events which gave rise to the claims in a manner which is consistent with our property catastrophe reinsurance reserving practices as discussed above. The large catastrophes occurring during the period from 2002 to 2010 impacting our specialty unit principally include hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma, which occurred in 2005. Our estimate of ultimate net claims and claim expenses from hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma, within our specialty reinsurance unit, net of reinsurance recoveries and assumed and ceded loss related premium, totaled \$98.8 million, \$77.1 million, \$73.1 million, \$73.1 million, \$63.1 million and \$57.8 million at December 31, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively.

Sensitivity Analysis

The table below quantifies the impact on our reserves for claims and claim expenses, net income and shareholders' equity as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 of reasonably likely changes to the actuarial assumptions used to estimate our December 31, 2010 claims and claim expense reserves within our specialty reinsurance business unit. The table quantifies reasonably likely changes in our initial estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios and estimated loss reporting patterns. The changes to the initial estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios represent percentage increases or decreases to our current estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios. The change to the reporting patterns represent claims reporting that is both faster and slower than our current estimated claims reporting patterns. The impact on net

Table of Contents

income and shareholders' equity assumes no increase or decrease in reinsurance recoveries, loss related premium or redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe.

Specialty Reinsurance Claims and Claim Expense Reserve Sensitivity Analysis

(in thousands,
except percentages)

Estimated Ultimate Claims and Claim Expense Ratio	Estimated Loss Reporting Pattern	\$ Impact of Change in Reserves for Claims and Claim Expenses at December 31, 2010	% Impact of Change in Reserves for Claims and Claim Expenses at December 31, 2010	% Impact of Change in Net Income for the Year Ended December 31, 2010	% Impact of Change in Shareholders' Equity at December 31, 2010
Increase expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Slower reporting	\$ 183,234	14.6%	(21.3%)	(4.7%)
Increase expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Expected reporting	87,686	7.0%	(10.2%)	(2.2%)
Increase expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Faster reporting	13,112	1.0%	(1.5%)	(0.3%)
Expected claims and claim expense ratio	Slower reporting	76,439	6.1%	(8.9%)	(1.9%)
Expected claims and claim expense ratio	Expected reporting				
Expected claims and claim expense ratio	Faster reporting	(59,659)	(4.7%)	6.9%	1.5%
Decrease expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Slower reporting	(30,357)	(2.4%)	3.5%	0.8%
Decrease expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Expected reporting	(87,686)	(7.0%)	10.2%	2.2%
Decrease expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Faster reporting	(132,430)	(10.5%)	15.4%	3.4%

We believe that ultimate claims and claim expense ratios 25.0 percentage points above or below our estimated assumptions constitute reasonably likely outcomes based on our experience to date and our future expectations. In addition, we believe that the adjustments that we made to speed up or slow down our estimated loss reporting patterns are reasonably likely changes. While we believe these are reasonably likely changes, we do not believe the reader should consider the above sensitivity analysis an actuarial reserve range. In addition, we caution the reader that the above sensitivity analysis only reflects reasonably likely changes. It is possible that our initial estimated claims and claim expense ratios and loss reporting patterns could be significantly different from the sensitivity analysis described above. For example, we could be liable for events which we have not estimated reserves for or for exposures we do not currently think are covered under our contracts. These changes could result in significantly larger changes to reserves for claims and claim expenses, net income and shareholders' equity than those noted above. We also caution the reader that the above sensitivity analysis is not used by management in developing our reserve estimates and is also not used by management in managing the business.

Lloyd's Segment

Within our Lloyd's segment, we write property catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance contracts to insure insurance and reinsurance companies against natural and man-made catastrophes, a number of specialty reinsurance lines and insurance policies and quota share reinsurance that involves understanding the characteristics of the underlying insurance policy.

We use the Bornhuetter-Ferguson actuarial method to estimate claims and claim expenses within our Lloyd's segment for our specialty reinsurance and insurance lines of business. The comments discussed above relating to our reserving techniques and processes for our specialty reinsurance unit apply to the specialty reinsurance and insurance lines of business within our Lloyd's segment. In addition, certain of our coverages may be impacted by natural and man-made catastrophes. We estimate claim reserves for these losses after the event giving rise to these losses occurs, following a process that is similar to our catastrophe unit as noted above.

Actual Results vs. Initial Estimates

The table below summarizes our initial assumptions and changes in those assumptions for claims and claim expense reserves within our Lloyd's segment associated with catastrophe losses. Similar to our catastrophe unit above, the key assumption in estimating reserves for catastrophe losses in our Lloyd's segment is our estimate of the ultimate claims and claim expenses. The table shows our initial estimates of ultimate claims and claim

Table of Contents

expenses for each accident year and how these initial estimates have developed over time. The initial estimate of accident year claims and claim expenses represents our estimate of the ultimate settlement and administration costs for claims incurred from catastrophic events occurring during a particular accident year, and as reported as of December 31 of that year. The re-estimated ultimate claims and claim expenses as of December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, represent our revised estimates as reported as of those dates. The cumulative favorable (adverse) development shows how our most recent estimates as reported at December 31, 2010 differ from our initial accident year estimates. Favorable development implies that our current estimates are lower than our initial estimates while adverse development implies that our current estimates are higher than our original estimates. Total reserves as of December 31, 2010 reflect the unpaid portion of our estimates of ultimate claims and claim expenses. The table is presented on a gross basis and therefore does not include the benefit of reinsurance recoveries or loss related premium such as reinstatement premium.

Actual vs. Initial Estimated Lloyd's Segment Property Catastrophe Reinsurance Claims and Claim Expense Reserve Analysis

Accident Year	Initial Estimate of Accident Year Claims and Claim Expenses	Re-estimated Claims and Claim Expenses as of December 31,			Cumulative Favorable (Adverse) Development	% Decrease (Increase) from Initial Ultimate	Claims and Claim Expense Reserves at December 31, 2010	% of Claims and Claim Expenses Unpaid at December 31, 2010
		2008	2009	2010				
2010	\$ 5,277	n/a	n/a	\$ 5,277	\$		\$ 5,277	100.0%

As shown in the table above, due to the fact that we commenced writing business within our Lloyd's segment in mid-2009 and the segment has only been impacted by one catastrophe, namely the New Zealand earthquake in 2010, the initial estimate of accident year claims and claim expenses is equal to the claims and claim expense at December 31, 2010 of \$5.3 million.

Actual vs. Initial Estimated Lloyd's Segment Specialty Reinsurance Claims and Claim Expense Reserve Analysis Estimated Ultimate Claims and Claim Expense Ratio

The Actual vs. Initial Estimated Ultimate Claims and Claim Expense Ratio table below summarizes our key actuarial assumptions in reserving for our specialty reinsurance and insurance lines of business in our Lloyd's segment. As noted above, the key actuarial assumptions include the estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios and the estimated loss reporting patterns. The table shows our initial estimates of the ultimate claims and claim expense ratio by underwriting year. The initial estimate is based on the actuarial assumptions that were in place at the end of that year. A decrease in the ultimate claims and claim expense ratio implies that our current estimates are lower than our initial estimates while an increase in the ultimate claims and claim expense ratio implies that our current estimates are higher than our initial estimates. The result would be a corresponding favorable impact on shareholders' equity and net income or a corresponding unfavorable impact on shareholders' equity and net income, respectively. The table below reflects a summary of the weighted average assumptions for all classes of specialty reinsurance business in our Lloyd's segment. The table is presented on a gross loss basis and therefore does not include the benefit of reinsurance recoveries or loss related premium such as reinstatement premium.

Underwriting Year	Estimated Ultimate Claims and Claim Expenses Ratio		
	Initial Estimate	December 31, 2008	December 31, 2010
2010	63.3%		62.7%

The table above shows our initial estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios for attritional losses for each new underwriting year within specialty insurance and reinsurance in our Lloyd's segment as of the end of each calendar year.

Table of Contents*Sensitivity Analysis*

The table below shows the impact on our ultimate claims and claim expenses, net income and shareholders' equity as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 of reasonably likely changes to our estimates of ultimate losses for claims and claim expenses incurred from catastrophic events associated with property catastrophe reinsurance business within our Lloyd's segment. The reasonably likely changes are based on a historical analysis of the period-to-period variability of our ultimate costs to settle claims from catastrophic events, giving due consideration to changes in our reserving practices over time. In general, our claim reserves for our more recent catastrophic events are subject to greater uncertainty and, therefore, greater variability and are likely to experience material changes from one period to the next. This is due to the uncertainty as to the size of the industry losses from the event, uncertainty as to which contracts have been exposed to the catastrophic event, and uncertainty as to the magnitude of claims incurred by our clients. As our claims age, more information becomes available and we believe our estimates become more certain, although there is no assurance this trend will continue in the future. As a result, the sensitivity analysis below is based on the age of each accident year, our current estimated ultimate claims and claim expenses for the catastrophic events occurring in each accident year, and the reasonably likely variability of our current estimates of claims and claim expenses by accident year.

Lloyd's Segment Property Catastrophe Reinsurance Claims and Claim Expense Reserve Sensitivity Analysis

(in thousands,
except percentages)

	Ultimate Claims and Claim Expenses at December 31, 2010	\$ Impact of Change in Ultimate Claims and Claim Expenses at December 31, 2010	% Impact of Change in Ultimate Claims and Claim Expenses at December 31, 2010	% Impact of Change on Net Income for the Year Ended December 31, 2010	% Impact of Change on Shareholders Equity at December 31, 2010
Higher	\$ 10,554	\$ 5,277	0.4%	(0.6%)	(0.1%)
Recorded	5,277				
Lower	\$	\$ (5,277)	(0.4%)	0.6%	0.1%

We believe the changes we made to our estimated ultimate claims and claim expenses represent reasonably likely outcomes based on our experience to date and our future expectations. While we believe these are reasonably likely outcomes, we do not believe the reader should consider the above sensitivity analysis an actuarial reserve range. In addition, the sensitivity analysis only reflects reasonably likely changes in our underlying assumptions. It is possible that our estimated ultimate claims and claim expenses could be significantly higher or lower than the sensitivity analysis described above. For example, we could be liable for events for which we have not estimated claims and claim expenses or for exposures we do not currently believe are covered under our policies. These changes could result in significantly larger changes to our estimated ultimate claims and claim expenses, net income and shareholders' equity than those noted above. We also caution the reader that the above sensitivity analysis is not used by management in developing our reserve estimates and is also not used by management in managing the business.

Table of Contents*Lloyd's Segment Specialty Reinsurance Claims and Claim Expense Reserve Sensitivity Analysis*

(in thousands, except percentages)

Estimated Ultimate Claims and Claim Expense Ratio	Estimated Loss Reporting Pattern	\$ Impact of Change in Reserves for Claims and Claim Expenses at December 31, 2010	% Impact of Change in Reserves for Claims and Claim Expenses at December 31, 2010	% Impact of Change in Net Income for the Year Ended December 31, 2010	% Impact of Change in Shareholders Equity at December 31, 2010
Increase expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Slower reporting	\$ 5,114	0.4%	(0.6%)	(0.1%)
Increase expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Expected reporting	3,246	0.3%	(0.4%)	(0.1%)
Increase expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Faster reporting	(246)			
Expected claims and claim expense ratio	Slower reporting	1,494	0.1%	(0.2%)	
Expected claims and claim expense ratio	Expected reporting				
Expected claims and claim expense ratio	Faster reporting	(2,794)	(0.2%)	0.3%	0.1%
Decrease expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Slower reporting	(2,125)	(0.2%)	0.2%	0.1%
Decrease expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Expected reporting	(3,246)	(0.3%)	0.4%	0.1%
Decrease expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Faster reporting	(5,342)	(0.4%)	0.6%	0.1%

We believe that ultimate claims and claim expense ratios 25.0 percentage points above or below our estimated assumptions constitute reasonably likely outcomes based on our experience to date and our future expectations. In addition, we believe that the adjustments that we made to speed up or slow down our estimated loss reporting patterns are reasonably likely changes. While we believe these are reasonably likely changes, we do not believe the reader should consider the above sensitivity analysis an actuarial reserve range. In addition, we caution the reader that the above sensitivity analysis only reflects reasonably likely changes. It is possible that our initial estimated claims and claim expense ratios and loss reporting patterns could be significantly different from the sensitivity analysis described above. For example, we could be liable for events which we have not estimated reserves for or for exposures we do not currently think are covered under our contracts. These changes could result in significantly larger changes to reserves for claims and claim expenses, net income and shareholders' equity than those noted above. We also caution the reader that the above sensitivity analysis is not used by management in developing our reserve estimates and is also not used by management in managing the business.

Insurance Segment

We define our Insurance segment to include underwriting that involves understanding the characteristics of the underlying insurance policy. Our principal contracts currently include insurance policies and quota share reinsurance with respect to risks including: 1) commercial property, which principally includes catastrophe-exposed commercial property products; 2) commercial multi-line, which includes commercial property and liability coverage, such as general liability, automobile liability and physical damage, building and contents, professional liability and various specialty products; and 3) personal lines property, which principally includes homeowners personal lines property coverage and catastrophe exposed personal lines property coverage.

We use the Bornhuetter-Ferguson actuarial method to estimate claims and claim expenses within our Insurance segment for our property and casualty insurance and quota share reinsurance business. The comments discussed above relating to our reserving techniques and processes for our specialty reinsurance unit within our Reinsurance segment also apply to our Insurance segment. In addition, certain of our coverages may be impacted by natural and man-made catastrophes. We estimate claim reserves for these losses after the event giving rise to these losses occurs, following a process that is similar to our catastrophe unit.

During the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, changes to our prior year estimated claims reserves in our Insurance segment increased our net income by \$15.9 million, \$16.7 million and \$8.8 million, respectively, excluding the consideration of changes in reinstatement

premiums and profit commissions.

Table of Contents

The favorable development on prior year reserves in 2010, 2009 and 2008 is primarily due to actual reported loss activity being more favorable to date than what was originally anticipated when setting the initial reserves, and in 2009, also due to \$2.1 million related to the adoption of a new actuarial technique using reported loss development factors to estimate the ultimate losses for the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, as discussed in more detail in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Summary of Critical Accounting Estimates, Claims and Claim Expense Reserves, Insurance .

Actual Results vs. Initial Estimates

The Actual vs. Initial Estimated Ultimate Claims and Claim Expense Ratio table below summarizes our key actuarial assumptions in reserving for our Insurance segment. As noted above, the key actuarial assumptions include the estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios and the estimated loss reporting patterns. The table shows our initial estimates of the ultimate claims and claim expense ratios by accident year. The table shows how our initial estimates of these ratios have developed over time with the re-estimated ratios reflecting a combination of the amount and timing of paid and reported losses compared to our initial estimates. The initial estimate is based on the actuarial assumptions that were in place at the end of that year. A decrease in the ultimate claims and claim expense ratio implies that our current estimates are lower than our initial estimates while an increase in the ultimate claims and claim expense ratio implies that our current estimates are higher than our initial estimates. The result would be a corresponding favorable impact on shareholders' equity and net income or a corresponding unfavorable impact on shareholders' equity and net income, respectively. The table also shows how our initial estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios have changed from one accident year to the next. The table below reflects a summary of the weighted average assumptions for all classes of business written within our Insurance segment. The table is presented on a gross loss basis and therefore does not include the benefit of reinsurance recoveries or loss related premium.

*Actual vs. Initial Estimated Insurance Segment Claims and Claim Expense Reserve Analysis Estimated Ultimate Claims and Claim Expense Ratio***Estimated Expected Ultimate Claims and Claim Expense Ratio**

Underwriting Year	Initial Estimate	December 31, 2008	Re-estimate at December 31, 2009	December 31, 2010
2003	55.3%	30.3%	30.6%	30.6%
2004	50.2%	48.5%	46.6%	45.1%
2005	45.0%	51.6%	47.5%	46.5%
2006	47.4%	40.6%	39.9%	36.6%
2007	45.7%	34.2%	27.0%	24.3%
2008	46.0%	78.1%	70.6%	68.0%
2009	53.0%		89.8%	66.7%
2010	57.9%			129.5%

The table above shows our initial estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios for attritional losses for each new underwriting year within our Insurance segment as of the end of each calendar year. Our initial estimated ultimate remained relatively constant between 2005 and 2008. This reflects the fact that management has not made significant changes to its estimated initial expected ultimate claims and claim expense ratio from one period to the next during that period. The principal reason for the changes from one year to the next, for example, the 2009 and 2010 underwriting years, is that the mix of business has changed. As each underwriting year has developed, our re-estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios have generally been reduced until recently. This reflects the impact of actual experience in our Insurance business where actual paid and reported losses to date for attritional losses are less than originally expected. For the years 2008 through 2010, our re-estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios increased from the initial estimate due to reported losses exceeding our initial estimate within our Insurance segment's commercial property line of business, combined with a relatively low level of net premiums earned during those periods for our commercial property line of business. As described above, under the Bornhuetter-Ferguson actuarial method less weight is placed on initial estimates and more weight is placed on actual experience as our claims and claim expense reserves age.

Table of Contents

As noted above, some of our Insurance contracts are exposed to claims and claim expenses from large natural and man-made catastrophes. Claims and claim expenses from these large catastrophes are reserved for after the event which gave rise to the claims in a manner which is consistent with our property catastrophe reinsurance reserving practices as discussed above. The large catastrophes occurring during the period from 2004 to 2008 principally include hurricanes Charley, Frances, Ivan and Jeanne in 2004, hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma in 2005, and hurricanes Gustav and Ike in 2008. Our ultimate claims and claim expenses from these events within our Insurance segment are shown in the table below.

Re-estimated Claims and Claim Expenses at								
(in thousands)								
Events (Accident Year)	Initial Estimate of Accident Year Claims and Claim Expenses	Re-estimated Claims and Claim Expenses at			Cumulative Favorable (Adverse) Development	% Decrease (Increase) from Initial Ultimate	Claims and Claim Expense Reserves at December 31, 2010	% of Claims and Claim Expenses Unpaid at December 31, 2010
		December 31, 2008	December 31, 2009	December 31, 2010				
Charley, Frances, Ivan and Jeanne (2004)	\$ 210,323	\$ 248,701	\$ 250,493	\$ 249,949	\$ (39,626)	(18.8%)	\$ 1,262	0.5%
Katrina, Rita and Wilma (2005)	311,312	298,121	295,765	297,596	13,716	4.4%	5,612	1.9%
Gustav and Ike (2008)	19,258	19,258	19,410	19,849	(591)	(3.1%)	7,334	36.9%
	\$ 540,893	\$ 566,080	\$ 565,668	\$ 567,394	\$ (26,501)	(4.9%)	\$ 14,208	2.5%

Sensitivity Analysis

The table below quantifies the impact on our reserves for claims and claim expenses, net income and shareholders' equity as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 of reasonably likely changes to the actuarial assumptions used to estimate our December 31, 2010 claims and claim expense reserves within our Insurance segment. The table quantifies reasonably likely changes in our initial estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios and estimated loss reporting patterns. The changes to the initial estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios represent percentage increases or decreases to our current estimated ultimate claims and claim expense ratios. The change to the reporting patterns represent claims reporting that is both faster and slower than our current estimated reporting patterns. The impact on net income and shareholders' equity assumes no increase or decrease in reinsurance recoveries or loss related premium and is before tax.

Insurance Claims and Claim Expense Reserve Sensitivity Analysis

(in thousands, except percentages)					
Estimated Ultimate Claims and Claim Expense Ratio	Estimated Loss Reporting Pattern	% Impact of Change			
		\$ Impact of Change in Reserves for Claims and Claim Expenses at December 31, 2010	% Impact of Change in Reserves for Claims and Claim Expenses at December 31, 2010	% Impact of Change in Net Income for the Year Ended December 31, 2010	% Impact of Change in Shareholders' Equity at December 31, 2010
Increase expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Slower reporting	\$ 51,933	4.1%	(6.0%)	(1.3%)
Increase expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Expected reporting	15,721	1.2%	(1.8%)	(0.4%)
Increase expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Faster reporting	(3,005)	(0.2%)	0.3%	0.1%

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Expected claims and claim expense ratio	Slower reporting	28,970	2.3%	(3.4%)	(0.7%)
Expected claims and claim expense ratio	Expected reporting				
Expected claims and claim expense ratio	Faster reporting	(14,980)	(1.2%)	1.7%	0.4%
Decrease expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Slower reporting	6,007	0.5%	(0.7%)	(0.2%)
Decrease expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Expected reporting	(15,721)	(1.2%)	1.8%	0.4%
Decrease expected claims and claim expense ratio by 25%	Faster reporting	(26,956)	(2.1%)	3.1%	0.7%

We believe that ultimate claims and claim expense ratios 25.0 percentage points above or below our estimated assumptions constitute reasonably likely outcomes based on our experience to date and our future expectations. In addition, we believe that the adjustments that we made to speed up or slow down our estimated loss reporting patterns are reasonably likely changes. While we believe these are reasonably likely changes, we do not believe the reader should consider the above sensitivity analysis an actuarial reserve range. In addition, we caution the reader that the above sensitivity analysis only reflects reasonably likely changes. It is possible that our initial estimated claims and claim

Table of Contents

expense ratios and loss reporting patterns could be significantly different from the sensitivity analysis described above. For example, we could be liable for events which we have not estimated reserves for or for exposures we do not currently think are covered under our contracts. These changes could result in significantly larger changes to our reserves for claims and claim expenses, net income and shareholders' equity than those noted above. We also caution the reader that the above sensitivity analysis is not used by management in developing our reserve estimates and is also not used by management in managing the business.

Reinsurance Recoverable

We enter into reinsurance agreements in order to help reduce our exposure to large losses and to help manage our risk portfolio. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the claims and claim expense reserves associated with the related assumed reinsurance. For multi-year retrospectively rated contracts, we accrue amounts (either assets or liabilities) that are due to or from assuming companies based on estimated contract experience. If we determine that adjustments to earlier estimates are appropriate, such adjustments are recorded in the period in which they are determined.

The estimate of reinsurance recoverable can be more subjective than estimating the underlying claims and claim expense reserves as discussed under the heading "Claims and Claim Expense Reserves" above. In particular, reinsurance recoverable may be affected by deemed inuring reinsurance, industry losses reported by various statistical reporting services, and other factors. Reinsurance recoverable on dual trigger reinsurance contracts require us to estimate our ultimate losses applicable to these contracts as well as estimate the ultimate amount of insured losses for the industry as a whole that will be reported by the applicable statistical reporting agency, as per the contract terms. In addition, the level of our additional case reserves and IBNR reserves has a significant impact on reinsurance recoverable. These factors can impact the amount and timing of the reinsurance recoverable to be recorded.

The majority of the balance we have accrued as recoverable will not be due for collection until some point in the future. The amounts recoverable ultimately collected are open to uncertainty due to the ultimate ability and willingness of reinsurers to pay our claims, for reasons including insolvency and elective run-off, contractual dispute and various other reasons. In addition, because the majority of the balances recoverable will not be collected for some time, economic conditions as well as the financial and operational performance of a particular reinsurer may change, and these changes may affect the reinsurer's willingness and ability to meet their contractual obligations to us. To reflect these uncertainties, we estimate and record a valuation allowance for potential uncollectible reinsurance recoverable which reduces reinsurance recoverable and net earnings.

We estimate our valuation allowance by applying specific percentages against each recovery based on our counterparty's credit rating. The percentages applied are based on historical industry default statistics developed by major rating agencies and are then adjusted by us based on industry knowledge and our judgment and estimates. We also apply case-specific valuation allowances against certain recoveries that we deem unlikely to be collected in full. We then evaluate the overall adequacy of the valuation allowance based on other qualitative and judgmental factors. The valuation allowance recorded against reinsurance recoverable was \$3.5 million at December 31, 2010 (2009: \$7.6 million). The reinsurers with the three largest balances accounted for 31.7%, 13.7% and 12.7%, respectively, of our reinsurance recoverable balance at December 31, 2010 (2009: 29.2%, 19.7% and 13.7%, respectively). The three largest company-specific components of the valuation allowance represented 57.0%, 24.9% and 3.7%, respectively, of our total valuation allowance at December 31, 2010 (2009: 29.8%, 26.3% and 12.3%, respectively).

Fair Value Measurements and Impairments

Fair Value

The use of fair value to measure certain assets and liabilities with resulting unrealized gains or losses is pervasive within our financial statements, and is a critical accounting policy and estimate for us. Fair value is defined under accounting guidance currently applicable to us to be the price that would be received upon the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between open market participants at the measurement date. We recognize the change in unrealized gains and losses arising from changes in fair value in our consolidated

Table of Contents

statements of operations, with the exception of changes in unrealized gains and losses on our fixed maturity investments available for sale, which are recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity.

Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* prescribes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to the respective valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize unadjusted quoted prices obtained from active markets for identical assets or liabilities for which we have access to. The fair value is determined by multiplying the quoted price by the quantity held by us;

Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals, broker quotes and certain pricing indices; and

Level 3 inputs are based on unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. In these cases, significant management assumptions can be used to establish management's best estimate of the assumptions used by other market participants in determining the fair value of the asset or liability.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the asset or liability. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and we consider factors specific to the asset or liability.

In order to determine if a market is active or inactive for a security, we consider a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the spread between what a seller is asking for a security and what a buyer is bidding for the same security, the volume of trading activity for the security in question, the price of the security compared to its par value (for fixed maturity investments), and other factors that may be indicative of market activity.

There have been no material changes in our valuation techniques in the period represented by these consolidated financial statements.

See Note 7. Fair Value Measurements in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about fair value measurements.

Table of Contents

Below is a summary of the assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and also represents the carrying amount of such assets and liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet:

December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Assets				
Fixed maturity investments	\$ 4,116,697	\$ 761,461	\$ 3,333,451	\$ 21,785
Short term investments	1,110,364		1,110,364	
Other investments	787,548		425,446	362,102
Other secured assets	14,250		14,250	
Other assets and (liabilities) (1)	63,019	(4,650)	51,170	16,499
	\$ 6,091,878	\$ 756,811	\$ 4,934,681	\$ 400,386
Percentage of total fair value assets and liabilities	100.0%	12.4%	81.0%	6.6%

(1) Other assets of \$3.9 million, \$51.3 million and \$31.5 million are included in Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3, respectively. Other liabilities of \$8.5 million, \$0.1 million and \$15.0 million are included in Level 1, Level 2 and Level 3, respectively.

As at December 31, 2010, we classified \$415.4 million and \$15.0 million of assets and liabilities, respectively, at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs. This represented 5.1% and 0.4% of our total assets and liabilities, respectively. Level 3 fair value measurements are based on valuation techniques that use at least one significant input that is unobservable. These measurements are made under circumstances in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. We use valuation models or other pricing techniques that require a variety of inputs including contractual terms, market prices and rates, yield curves, credit curves, measures of volatility, prepayment rates and correlations of such inputs, some of which may be unobservable, to value these Level 3 assets and liabilities. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment. In making the assessment, we considered factors specific to the asset or liability. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value of an asset or a liability may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety is classified is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the asset or liability.

Impairments

The amount and timing of asset impairment is subject to significant estimation techniques and asset impairment is a critical accounting estimate for us. The more significant impairment reviews we complete are for our fixed maturity investments available for sale, equity method investments and goodwill and other intangible assets as described in more detail below.

Fixed Maturity Investments Available For Sale

Pursuant to authoritative GAAP guidance effective April 1, 2009, our quarterly process for assessing whether declines in the fair value of our fixed maturity investments available for sale represent impairments that are other-than-temporary includes reviewing each fixed maturity investment available for sale that is impaired and determining: (i) if we have the intent to sell the debt security or (ii) if it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery; and (iii) whether a credit loss exists, that is, where we expect that the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected from the security are less than the amortized cost basis of the security.

Table of Contents

In assessing our intent to sell securities, our procedures may include actions such as discussing planned sales with our third party investment managers, reviewing sales that have occurred shortly after the balance sheet date, and consideration of other qualitative factors that may be indicative of our intent to sell or hold the relevant securities. The Company recognized a total of \$nil of other-than-temporary impairments due to our intent to sell these securities during the year ended December 31, 2010 (2009 \$1.3 million).

In assessing whether it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell a security before its anticipated recovery, we consider various factors including our future cash flow forecasts and requirements, legal and regulatory requirements, the level of our cash, cash equivalents, short term investments, fixed maturity investments trading and fixed maturity investments available for sale in an unrealized gain position, and other relevant factors. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized \$nil of other-than-temporary impairments due to required sales (2009 \$nil).

In evaluating credit losses, we consider a variety of factors in the assessment of a security including: (i) the time period during which there has been a significant decline below cost; (ii) the extent of the decline below cost and par; (iii) the potential for the security to recover in value; (iv) an analysis of the financial condition of the issuer; (v) the rating of the issuer; (vi) the implied rating of the issuer based on an analysis of option adjusted spreads; (vii) the absolute level of the option adjusted spread for the issuer; and (viii) an analysis of the collateral structure and credit support of the security, if applicable.

Once we determine that it is possible that a credit loss may exist for a security, we perform a detailed review of the cash flows expected to be collected from the issuer. We estimate expected cash flows by applying estimated default probabilities and recovery rates to the contractual cash flows of the issuer, with such default and recovery rates reflecting long-term historical averages adjusted to reflect current credit, economic and market conditions, giving due consideration to collateral and credit support, if applicable, and discounting the expected cash flows at the purchase yield on the security. In instances in which a determination is made that an impairment exists but we do not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery of its remaining amortized cost basis, the impairment is separated into: (i) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss; and (ii) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors. The amount of the other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss is recognized in earnings. The amount of the other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. For the year ended December 31, 2010, we recognized \$0.8 million and \$2 thousand of credit related other-than-temporary impairments which were recognized in earnings and other than-temporary impairments related to other factors which were recognized in other comprehensive income, respectively (2009 \$21.2 million and \$4.5 million, respectively). At December 31, 2010, our gross unrealized losses on fixed maturity investments available for sale totaled \$0.7 million.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, we started designating, upon acquisition, certain fixed maturity investments as trading, rather than as available for sale and, as a result, we recognized \$24.8 million of net unrealized gains on these securities in our consolidated statements of operations in 2010 (2009 net unrealized losses of \$10.8 million). We currently expect to continue to designate, in future periods, upon acquisition, certain fixed maturity investments as trading, rather than as available for sale, and, as a result, we currently expect our fixed maturity investments available for sale balance to decrease and our fixed maturity trading balance to increase over time, resulting in a reduction in other-than-temporary accounting judgments we make. This change will over time result in additional volatility in our net income (loss) in future periods as net unrealized gains and losses on these fixed maturity investments will be recorded currently in net income (loss), rather than as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders' equity.

Investments in Other Ventures, Under Equity Method

Investments in which we have significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee are classified as investments in other ventures, under equity method, and are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Under this method, we record our proportionate share of income or loss from such investments in our results for the period. Any decline in the value of investments in other ventures, under equity method, including goodwill and other intangible assets arising upon acquisition of the investee, considered by management to be other-than-temporary, is impaired and is reflected in our consolidated statements of

Table of Contents

operations in the period in which it is determined. As of December 31, 2010, we had \$85.6 million (2009 \$97.3 million) in investments in other ventures, under equity method on our consolidated balance sheets, including \$8.5 million of goodwill and \$29.7 million of other intangible assets (2009 \$8.5 million and \$35.3 million).

In determining whether an equity method investment is impaired, we look at a variety of factors including the operating and financial performance of the investee, the investee's future business plans and projections, recent transactions and market valuations of publicly traded companies where available, discussions with the investee's management, and our intent and ability to hold the investment until it recovers in value. In doing this, we make assumptions and estimates in assessing whether an impairment has occurred and if, in the future, our assumptions and estimates made in assessing the fair value of these investments change, this could result in a material decrease in the carrying value of these investments. This would cause us to write-down the carrying value of these investments and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations in the period the impairment charge is taken. During the year ended December 31, 2010, we recorded \$0.8 million (2009 \$nil, 2008 \$1.0 million) in other-than-temporary impairment charges related to investments in other ventures, under the equity method.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and other intangible assets acquired are initially recorded at fair value. Subsequent to initial recognition, finite lived other intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful life, subject to impairment, and goodwill and indefinite lived other intangible assets are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. If goodwill or other intangible assets are impaired, they are written down to their estimated fair values with a corresponding expense reflected in our consolidated statements of operations.

We test goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment in the fourth quarter of each year, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. For purposes of the annual impairment evaluation, goodwill is assigned to the applicable reporting unit of the acquired entities giving rise to the goodwill and other intangible assets and is tested based on the cash flows they produce. There are generally many assumptions and estimates underlying the fair value calculation. Principally, we identify the reporting unit or business entity that the goodwill or other intangible asset is attributed to, and review historical and forecasted operating and financial performance and other underlying factors affecting such analysis, including market conditions. Other assumptions used could produce significantly different results which may result in a change in the value of goodwill or our other intangible assets and related charge in our consolidated statements of operations. An impairment charge could be recognized in the event of a significant decline in the implied fair value of those operations where the goodwill or other intangible assets are applicable. As at December 31, 2010, excluding the amounts recorded in investments in other ventures, under equity method, as noted above, our consolidated balance sheets include \$8.2 million of goodwill (2009 \$8.2 million) and \$6.5 million of other intangible assets (2009 \$7.1 million). As part of the annual impairment evaluation noted above, no reporting units were identified to be at risk of failing step one of the process for recognition and measurement of an impairment loss in accordance with ASC Topic *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other*. Impairment charges were \$nil during 2010 (2009 \$nil, 2008 \$nil).

Premiums

We recognize premiums as revenue over the terms of the related contracts and policies. Our written premiums are based on policy and contract terms and include estimates based on information received from both insureds and ceding companies. The information received is typically in the form of bordereaux, broker notifications and/or discussions with ceding companies or their broker. This information can be received on a monthly, quarterly or transactional basis and normally includes estimates of written premium (including adjustment and reinstatement premium), earned premium, acquisition costs and ceding commissions.

We generally recognize premium on the date the contract is bound, even if the contract provides for an effective date prior to the date the contract is bound, thus preventing premature revenue recognition. The date the contract is bound is usually the date we are on risk for the policy. The date we are on risk is generally either the effective date of the contract if the slip is signed prior to the effective date of the contract or the date the reinsurance slip is signed if that occurs after the effective date of the contract. The signing of the reinsurance contract normally occurs after the date the slip is signed.

Table of Contents

We book premiums on non-proportional contracts in accordance with the contract terms. Premiums written on losses occurring contracts are typically earned over the contract period. Premiums on risks attaching contracts are either estimated or earned as reported by the cedants, which may be over a period more than twice as long as the contract period. For multi-year policies, only the initial annual premium is included as written at policy inception. The remaining annual premiums are included as written at each successive anniversary date within the multi-year term. Management is required to make estimates based on judgment and historical experience for periods during which information has not yet been received.

Since premiums for our Reinsurance segment are contractually driven and the reporting lag for such premiums is minimal, estimates for premiums written for this segment are usually not significant. The minimum and deposit premiums on excess policies are usually set forth in the language of the contract and are used to record premiums on these policies. Actual premiums are determined in subsequent periods based on actual exposures and any adjustments are recorded in the period in which they are identified. In recent periods, the complexity of estimating reinsurance premiums has been impacted by the financial difficulties being experienced in certain of our target markets, which has contributed to circumstances in which primary insurers have been unable to make, or have sought to defer, premium payments due to us. We cannot assure you that our estimates of the collectability of premiums are accurate or that these trends will not be accelerated in future periods.

Reinstatement premiums are estimated after the occurrence of a significant loss and are recorded in accordance with the contract terms based upon paid losses and case reserves. Reinstatement premiums are earned when written.

Ceded premiums are also recognized on the date the contract is bound and are deducted from gross premiums written, to arrive at net premiums written. Ceded premiums are earned over the terms of the related contracts and policies, and are reflected as a reduction to gross premiums earned to arrive at net premiums earned.

In our Insurance business, it is often necessary to estimate portions of premiums written from quota-share contracts and the related commission expense. Management estimates these amounts based on discussions with ceding companies, together with historical experience and judgment. Total premiums written estimated in our Insurance segment at December 31, 2010 were \$0.2 million (2009 \$5.6 million, 2008 \$12.9 million), and total estimated premiums earned were \$nil (2009 \$1.2 million, 2008 \$2.5 million). Total earned commissions estimated at December 31, 2010 were \$6.1 million (2009 \$3.2 million, 2008 \$2.4 million). Management tracks the actual premium received and commissions incurred and compares this to the estimates previously booked. Such estimates are subject to adjustment in subsequent periods when actual figures are recorded. To date, such subsequent adjustments have not been material.

Table of Contents**SUMMARY OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR 2010, 2009 AND 2008**

Year ended December 31,
(in thousands, except per share amounts and ratios)

	2010	2009	2008
Highlights			
Gross premiums written	\$ 1,165,295	\$ 1,228,881	\$ 1,242,287
Net premiums written	848,965	838,333	935,500
Net premiums earned	864,921	882,204	984,448
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	129,345	(70,698)	481,498
Underwriting income	474,573	695,200	266,920
Net investment income	203,955	318,179	13,879
Net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments	144,444	93,679	11,462
Net other-than-temporary impairments	(829)	(22,450)	(214,897)
Income from continuing operations	798,482	1,045,959	50,307
Income from discontinued operations	62,670	6,700	33,846
Net income	861,152	1,052,659	84,153
Net income (loss) available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders	702,613	838,858	(13,280)
Total assets	\$ 8,138,278	\$ 7,926,212	\$ 8,155,609
Total shareholders' equity attributable to RenaissanceRe	\$ 3,936,325	\$ 3,840,786	\$ 3,032,743

Per share data

Income (loss) from continuing operations available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share diluted	\$ 11.18	\$ 13.29	\$ (0.75)
Income from discontinued operations per common share diluted	1.13	0.11	0.54
Net income (loss) available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share diluted	\$ 12.31	\$ 13.40	\$ (0.21)
Dividends per common share	\$ 1.00	\$ 0.96	\$ 0.92
Book value per common share	\$ 62.58	\$ 51.68	\$ 38.74
Accumulated dividends per common share	9.88	8.88	7.92
Book value per common share plus accumulated dividends	\$ 72.46	\$ 60.56	\$ 46.66
Change in book value per common share plus change in accumulated dividends	23.0%	35.9%	(3.3%)

Key ratios

Net claims and claim expense ratio - current accident year	49.9%	22.2%	68.9%
--	-------	-------	-------

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Net claims and claim expense ratio	prior accident years	(34.9%)	(30.2%)	(20.0%)
Net claims and claim expense ratio	calendar year	15.0%	(8.0%)	48.9%
Underwriting expense ratio		30.1%	29.2%	24.0%
Combined ratio		45.1%	21.2%	72.9%
Return on average common equity		21.7%	30.2%	(0.5%)

We generated \$702.6 million of net income available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders in 2010, compared to \$838.9 million in 2009, a decrease of \$136.2 million. In 2008, we incurred a loss attributable to RenaissanceRe common shareholders of \$13.3 million. As a result of our net income available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders in 2010, we generated a 21.7% return on average common equity and our book value per common share increased from \$51.68 at December 31, 2009 to \$62.58 at December 31, 2010, a 23.0% increase, after considering the change in accumulated dividends paid to our common shareholders. In 2009 and 2008, we generated returns on average common equity of 30.2% and (0.5%), respectively, and increased

Table of Contents

(decreased) our book value per common share plus the change in accumulated dividends by 35.9% and (3.3%), respectively. During 2010, the most significant events affecting our financial performance on a comparative basis to 2009 include:

Lower Underwriting Income our underwriting income decreased \$220.6 million, primarily due to a \$200.0 million increase in net claims and claim expenses and a \$17.3 million decrease in net premiums earned. The \$220.6 million decrease in underwriting income and 23.9 percentage point increase in the combined ratio was driven by the comparably high level of insured catastrophes during 2010, compared to 2009, specifically the comparative impact of the 2010 earthquakes, which resulted in \$252.1 million of underwriting losses and increased our combined ratio by 32.0 percentage points in 2010, as described in more detail below. In addition, claims and claim expenses include \$302.1 million of favorable development on prior accident years due to reductions to our estimated ultimate losses in our catastrophe unit, combined with lower than expected loss emergence in our specialty unit and Insurance segment, as described in more detail below;

Lower Investment Results including a \$114.2 million decrease in net investment income, partially offset by a \$50.8 million increase in net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments and a \$21.6 million decrease in net other-than-temporary impairments, which collectively decreased our net income by \$41.8 million in 2010, compared to 2009. The decrease in our investment results was primarily due to lower total returns in the fixed maturity investments portfolio, lower returns in certain of the Company's non-investment grade allocations, which the Company includes in other investments, and partially offset by higher returns in the Company's hedge funds and private equity investments. The \$50.8 million increase in net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments is due in part to the fact that during the fourth quarter of 2009, we started designating, upon acquisition, certain fixed maturity investments as trading, rather than available for sale, and as a result, \$24.8 million of net unrealized gains on these securities are recorded in net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments in our consolidated statements of operations in 2010 rather than in accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity. The reduction in net other-than-temporary impairments was due in part to our adoption in the second quarter of 2009 of new guidance on the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments, as well as improving market conditions for our investments, and the designation upon acquisition, of a significant portion of our fixed maturity investments as trading, rather than as available for sale; and partially offset by

Lower Net Income Attributable to Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest - DaVinciRe our net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest - DaVinciRe decreased \$55.1 million principally due to a reduction in DaVinciRe's underwriting income, due to an increase in current accident year net claims and claim expenses primarily due to the 2010 earthquakes, which also impacted DaVinciRe and decreased its net income in 2010, and consequently decreased redeemable noncontrolling interest - DaVinciRe, combined with an increase in our ownership of DaVinciRe to 41.2% in 2010, compared to 38.2% in 2009; and

Increased Other Income other income increased \$39.3 million, to \$41.1 million in 2010, compared to 2009, primarily the result of: a \$15.8 million gain on the sale of our interest in ChannelRe in 2010; a \$10.1 million positive mark-to-market on the Platinum Underwriters Holdings Ltd. (Platinum) warrants, compared to \$5.0 million in 2009, due to the increase in the common share price of Platinum during 2010; a reduction in other losses associated with our weather-related and loss mitigation activities of \$11.1 million in 2010; a \$37.8 million improvement in other income associated with the fair value of the assumed and ceded reinsurance contracts accounted for at fair value or as deposits; and partially offset by a decrease of \$29.0 million in other income from our weather and energy risk management operations due to overall less favorable trading conditions experienced in 2010, compared to 2009.

Table of Contents

During 2009, the most significant events affecting our financial performance on a comparative basis to 2008 include:

Significantly Improved Investment Results our net investment income was \$318.2 million in 2009, a \$304.3 million increase from \$13.9 million in 2008, we generated \$93.7 million of net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments, an increase of \$82.2 million from \$11.5 million in 2008, and our net other-than-temporary impairments were \$22.4 million in 2009, a \$192.4 million decrease from \$214.9 million in 2008. Overall, our investment results increased by \$579.0 million to \$389.4 million in 2009, from negative \$189.6 million in 2008. The increase in our investment results was primarily due to higher total returns on certain non-investment grade allocations which are included in other investments, higher returns on hedge funds and private equity investments and the contraction of credit spreads on our fixed maturity investments. The reduction in net other-than-temporary impairments was due in part to our adoption in the second quarter of 2009 of new guidance on the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments (see Note 6. Investments in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information), as well as improving market conditions for investments;

Higher Underwriting Income our underwriting income increased \$428.3 million to \$695.2 million in 2009 and our combined ratio decreased 51.7 percentage points to 21.2% for 2009, compared to \$266.9 million of underwriting income and a combined ratio of 72.9% in 2008. The increase in our underwriting income and decrease in our combined ratio were principally driven by a decrease in current accident year net claims and claim expenses due to a comparably low level of insured catastrophes occurring during 2009, compared to 2008, specifically the comparative impact of hurricanes Gustav and Ike (see below for additional detail), which occurred during 2008 and resulted in \$373.4 million of underwriting losses and increased our combined ratio by 41.7 percentage points; and partially offset by

Higher Net Income Attributable to Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest DaVinciRe our net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe increased \$116.4 million to \$171.5 million in 2009, compared to \$55.1 million in 2008, principally due to the increase in net investment income and underwriting income as noted above which also impacted DaVinciRe and increased its net income in 2009 and consequently increased redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe.

Net Impact of Specific Events

Net negative impact includes the sum of estimates of net claims and claim expenses incurred, earned reinstatement premiums assumed and ceded, lost profit commissions, redeemable noncontrolling interest and for the New Zealand earthquake, equity in net claims and claim expenses of Top Layer Re. Our estimates of losses from the events noted below are based on industry insured loss estimates, market share analysis, the application of our modeling techniques, and a review of our in-force contracts. Given the relatively preliminary nature of the information available, the magnitude and relatively recent occurrence of the events noted below, the expected lengthy duration of the claims development period characteristic of earthquake events, and other factors and uncertainties inherent in loss estimation, meaningful uncertainty remains regarding losses from the events noted below and our actual ultimate net losses from these events will vary from these estimates, perhaps materially. Changes in these estimates will be recorded in the period in which they occur.

Table of Contents

The following is supplemental financial data regarding the net financial statement impact on our consolidated results for 2010 due to the 2010 earthquakes:

	Year ended December 31, 2010		
	New Zealand Earthquake	Chilean Earthquake	Total
(in thousands, except ratios)			
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	\$ (135,292)	\$ (129,770)	\$ (265,062)
Net reinstatement premiums earned	2,532	25,508	28,040
Lost profit commissions	(9,730)	(5,372)	(15,102)
Net impact on underwriting result	(142,490)	(109,634)	(252,124)
Equity in losses of Top Layer Re	(23,940)		(23,940)
Redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe	38,352	26,032	64,384
Net negative impact	\$ (128,078)	\$ (83,602)	\$ (211,680)
Percentage point impact on consolidated combined ratio	16.7	14.7	32.0
Net negative impact on Reinsurance segment underwriting result	\$ (137,283)	\$ (109,634)	\$ (246,917)
Net negative impact on Lloyd's segment underwriting result	(5,207)		(5,207)
Net negative impact on underwriting result	\$ (142,490)	\$ (109,634)	\$ (252,124)

The following is supplemental financial data regarding the net financial statement impact on our consolidated results for 2008 due to hurricanes Gustav and Ike:

	Year ended December 31, 2008		
	Gustav	Ike	Total
(in thousands, except ratios)			
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	\$ (70,174)	\$ (352,116)	\$ (422,290)
Net reinstatement premiums earned	8,821	44,784	53,605
Lost profit commissions	(1,901)	(2,789)	(4,690)
Net impact on underwriting result	(63,254)	(310,121)	(373,375)
Redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe	22,607	120,275	142,882
Net negative impact	\$ (40,647)	\$ (189,846)	\$ (230,493)
Percentage point impact on consolidated combined ratio	6.7	34.3	41.7

Table of Contents**Underwriting Results by Segment****Reinsurance Segment**

Below is a summary of the underwriting results and ratios for our Reinsurance segment followed by an analysis of our catastrophe unit and specialty reinsurance unit underwriting results and ratios for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

Reinsurance segment overview

Year ended December 31, (in thousands, except percentages)		2010	2009	2008
Gross premiums written (1)		\$ 1,123,619	\$ 1,210,795	\$ 1,154,391
Net premiums written		\$ 809,719	\$ 839,023	\$ 871,893
Net premiums earned		838,790	849,725	909,759
Net claims and claim expenses incurred		113,804	(87,639)	440,900
Acquisition expenses		77,954	78,848	105,437
Operational expenses		129,990	139,328	81,797
Underwriting income		\$ 517,042	\$ 719,188	\$ 281,625
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	current accident year	\$ 399,823	\$ 161,868	\$ 629,022
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	prior accident years	(286,019)	(249,507)	(188,122)
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	total	\$ 113,804	\$ (87,639)	\$ 440,900
Net claims and claim expense ratio	current accident year	47.7%	19.0%	69.1%
Net claims and claim expense ratio	prior accident years	(34.1%)	(29.3%)	(20.6%)
Net claims and claim expense ratio	calendar year	13.6%	(10.3%)	48.5%
Underwriting expense ratio		24.8%	25.7%	20.5%
Combined ratio		38.4%	15.4%	69.0%

(1) Includes gross premiums ceded from the Insurance segment to the Reinsurance segment of \$9.5 million, \$12.7 million and \$5.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Reinsurance Segment Gross Premiums Written Gross premiums written in our Reinsurance segment decreased \$87.2 million, or 7.2%, to \$1,123.6 million in 2010, compared to \$1,210.8 million in 2009. Excluding the impact of \$28.0 million of reinstatement premiums written in 2010 as a result of the 2010 earthquakes, gross premiums written in the catastrophe unit decreased \$130.3 million in 2010, or 11.9%, compared to 2009, due to the continued softening of market conditions in catastrophe exposed lines of business in the United States, combined with the non-renewal of several large programs that did not meet our underwriting requirements. Gross premiums written in the specialty unit increased \$15.0 million in 2010, or 13.2%, compared to 2009, principally due to the inception of several new contracts providing financial and credit reinsurance, and the non-renewal and portfolio transfer out of a quota share program in mid-2009 that did not meet our expectations and was included as negative gross premiums written in 2009.

Gross premiums written in our Reinsurance segment increased by \$56.4 million, or 4.9%, to \$1,210.8 million in 2009, compared to \$1,154.4 million in 2008, due to growth in gross premiums written in our catastrophe unit of \$101.8 million, which benefited from our ability and

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

determination to increase the capacity provided to our customers in light of, among other things, continuing attractive market conditions, the inception of several new programs, and \$32.0 million of gross premiums written by Timicuan Reinsurance II Ltd. ("Tim Re II"), a fully-collateralized joint venture established by us in 2009 for the 2009 U.S. hurricane season, partially offset by a

Table of Contents

decline in our specialty reinsurance premiums of \$45.4 million, as discussed below. Gross premiums written in our Reinsurance segment for 2008 includes \$58.4 million of loss related reinstatement premiums as a result of hurricanes Gustav and Ike.

Our Reinsurance segment premiums are prone to significant volatility due to the timing of contract inception and also due to the business being characterized by a relatively small number of relatively large transactions.

Gross Premiums Written by Geographic Region

The following is a summary of our gross reinsurance premiums written, excluding premiums assumed from our Insurance segment, allocated to the territory of coverage exposure:

Reinsurance segment gross premiums written

	2010		2009		2008	
	Gross Premiums Written	Percentage of Gross Premiums Written	Gross Premiums Written	Percentage of Gross Premiums Written	Gross Premiums Written	Percentage of Gross Premiums Written
Year ended December 31, (in thousands, except percentages)						
Catastrophe						
U.S. and Caribbean	\$ 710,770	63.8%	\$ 815,840	68.1%	\$ 745,016	64.8%
Worldwide (excluding U.S.) (1)	113,270	10.2%	78,222	6.6%	75,489	6.6%
Worldwide	65,500	5.9%	92,586	7.7%	67,371	5.9%
Europe	59,480	5.3%	60,363	5.1%	72,153	6.3%
Australia and New Zealand	6,269	0.6%	5,293	0.4%	5,455	0.5%
Other	29,464	2.6%	31,495	2.6%	23,465	2.0%
Total catastrophe	984,753	88.4%	1,083,799	90.5%	988,949	86.1%
Specialty						
Worldwide	59,636	5.3%	68,704	5.7%	64,664	5.6%
U.S. and Caribbean	57,461	5.1%	39,712	3.3%	95,106	8.3%
Australia and New Zealand	8,934	0.8%	51	0.0%		
Europe	2,786	0.3%	5,037	0.4%		
Other	569	0.1%	842	0.1%		
Total specialty	129,386	11.6%	114,346	9.5%	159,770	13.9%
Total Reinsurance (2)	\$ 1,114,139	100.0%	\$ 1,198,145	100.0%	\$ 1,148,719	100.0%

(1) The category Worldwide (excluding U.S.) consists of contracts that cover more than one geographic region (other than the U.S.). The exposure in this category for gross written premiums written to date is predominantly from Europe and Japan.

(2) Reinsurance segment gross premiums written excludes \$9.5 million, \$12.7 million and \$5.7 million of gross premiums written assumed from the Insurance segment in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Our property catastrophe reinsurance gross premiums written continue to be characterized by a large percentage of U.S. and Caribbean premium as we have found business derived from exposures in Europe and the rest of the world to be, in general, less attractive on a risk-adjusted basis during recent periods. A significant amount of our U.S. and Caribbean premium provides coverage against windstorms, mainly U.S. Atlantic

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

hurricanes, as well as earthquakes and other natural and man-made catastrophes.

Ceded Premiums Written

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)		2010	2009	2008
Ceded premiums written	Reinsurance segment	\$ 313,900	\$ 371,772	\$ 282,498

Due to the potential volatility of the property catastrophe reinsurance contracts which we sell, we purchase reinsurance to reduce our exposure to large losses and to help manage our risk portfolio. We use our REMS® modeling system to evaluate how each purchase interacts with our portfolio of reinsurance contracts we write, and with the other ceded reinsurance contracts we purchase, to determine the appropriateness of the pricing of each contract and whether or not it helps us to balance our portfolio of risks.

Table of Contents

Ceded premiums written decreased by \$57.9 million in 2010, compared to 2009, principally due to the non-renewal of Tim Re II, which the Company ceded \$32.0 million of assumed catastrophe premiums in 2009, combined with the Company's decision to reduce its reinsurance protection given the insufficiently priced coverage being available during 2010.

Ceded premiums written increased by \$89.3 million in 2009, compared to 2008, principally due to the Company electing to purchase additional reinsurance protection due to appropriately priced coverage being available during 2009, combined with the utilization in the 2009 U.S. hurricane season of a fully-collateralized joint venture, Tim Re II, pursuant to which \$32.0 million of assumed catastrophe reinsurance premium was fully ceded in 2009.

To the extent that appropriately priced coverage is available, we anticipate continued use of reinsurance to reduce the impact of large losses on our financial results and to manage our portfolio of risk; however, the buying of ceded reinsurance in our Reinsurance segment is based on market opportunities and is not based on placing a specific reinsurance program each year. In addition, in future periods we may utilize the growing market for insurance-linked securities to expand our ceded reinsurance buying if we find the pricing and terms of such coverages attractive.

Reinsurance Segment Underwriting Results The Reinsurance segment generated \$517.0 million of underwriting income and had a combined ratio of 38.4% in 2010, compared to \$719.2 million of underwriting income and a 15.4% combined ratio in 2009. The \$202.1 million decrease in underwriting income was primarily due to a \$238.0 million increase in current accident year net claims and claim expenses due to a comparably high level of insured catastrophes occurring in 2010 compared to 2009, specifically the comparative impact of the 2010 earthquakes noted below, which added \$259.9 million in net claims and claim expenses and 32.6 percentage points to the Reinsurance segment's combined ratio in 2010, and estimated ultimate claims and claims expenses related to tropical storm Tasha of \$18.1 million.

	Year ended December 31, 2010		
	New Zealand Earthquake	Chilean Earthquake	Total
(in thousands, except ratios)			
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	\$ (130,085)	\$ (129,770)	\$ (259,855)
Net reinstatement premiums earned	2,532	25,508	28,040
Lost profit commissions	(9,730)	(5,372)	(15,102)
Net impact on Reinsurance segment underwriting result	\$ (137,283)	\$ (109,634)	\$ (246,917)

Percentage point impact on Reinsurance segment combined ratio	16.6	15.4	32.6
---	------	------	------

Our underwriting results over the last three years have been, and may well continue to be, impacted by prior year reserve development. Our prior year reserves experienced \$286.0 million, \$249.5 million and \$188.1 million of net favorable development in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The favorable development on prior year reserves in 2010 included \$157.5 million related to our catastrophe reinsurance unit and \$128.6 million related to our specialty reinsurance unit. The favorable development within the catastrophe reinsurance unit was due to reductions of \$33.6 million to the estimated ultimate losses of mature, large, mainly international catastrophe events, combined with reductions in net ultimate losses associated with the 2005 Buncefield Oil Depot loss of \$27.4 million, the 2005 hurricanes of \$25.5 million, the 2008 hurricanes of \$10.9 million, European windstorm Klaus of \$8.0 million and the 2004 hurricanes of \$8.1 million, with the remainder due to a reduction in ultimate losses on a large number of relatively small catastrophes. The favorable development within the specialty unit includes \$31.4 million associated with actuarial assumption changes made in the first quarter of 2010, principally in the casualty clash and surety lines of business, and partially offset by an increase in reserves within the workers compensation per risk line of business, principally as a result of revised initial expected loss ratios and loss development factors due to actual experience coming in better than expected; \$25.9 million due to a decrease in case reserves and additional case reserves, which are reserves established at the contract level for specific losses or large events; and reported losses developing more favorably than expected in 2010 on prior accident years events.

Table of Contents

The favorable development in 2009 was the result of reductions in estimated ultimate losses on certain specific events within the catastrophe unit, and lower than expected claims emergence within our specialty unit, as discussed in more detail below. For 2008, the favorable prior year reserve development was principally the result of a reduction in ultimate net losses associated with the 2005 hurricanes, Katrina, Rita and Wilma.

Our Reinsurance segment generated \$719.2 million of underwriting income and reported a combined ratio of 15.4% in 2009, compared to \$281.6 million of underwriting income and a combined ratio of 69.0% in 2008, an increase of \$437.6 million in underwriting income and a 53.6 percentage point decrease in the combined ratio. The increase in underwriting income and decrease in the combined ratio were primarily due to a \$528.5 million decrease in net claims and claim expenses due to a comparably lower level of insured catastrophes occurring in 2009, compared to 2008, specifically the comparative impact of hurricanes Gustav and Ike (see below for additional detail), which added \$432.5 million in net claims and claim expenses and 46.6 percentage points to the Reinsurance segment's combined ratio in 2008, as detailed in the table below. In 2009, our Reinsurance segment generated a net claims and claim expenses ratio of negative 10.3%, an underwriting expense ratio of 25.7% and a combined ratio of 15.4%. Current accident year losses of \$161.9 million in 2009 were down \$467.2 million from \$629.0 million in 2008, principally due to the comparably low level of insured catastrophes occurring in 2009, compared to 2008, specifically the comparative impact of hurricanes Gustav and Ike as noted above and as detailed in the table below.

Following is supplemental financial data regarding the net financial statement impact on our Reinsurance segment results for 2008 due to hurricanes Gustav and Ike:

	Year ended December 31, 2008		
	Gustav	Ike	Total
(in thousands, except ratios)			
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	\$ (65,753)	\$ (366,771)	\$ (432,524)
Net reinstatement premiums earned	8,821	49,575	58,396
Lost profit commissions	(1,901)	(2,789)	(4,690)
Net impact on Reinsurance segment underwriting result	\$ (58,833)	\$ (319,985)	\$ (378,818)
Percentage point impact on Reinsurance segment combined ratio	6.8	39.0	46.6

Losses from our property catastrophe reinsurance and specialty reinsurance policies can be infrequent, but severe, as demonstrated by our 2008 results. During periods with low levels of property catastrophe loss activity, such as 2009, we have the potential to produce a low level of losses and a related increase in underwriting income. As described above, we believe there has been an increase in the frequency and severity of hurricanes that have the potential to make landfall in the U.S., potentially as a result of decadal ocean water temperature cyclical trends, a longer-term trend towards global warming, or both or other factors.

Our underwriting expenses consist of acquisition expenses and operational expenses. Acquisition expenses consist of the costs to acquire premiums and are principally comprised of broker commissions and excise taxes. Acquisition expenses are driven by contract terms and are normally a set percentage of premiums and, accordingly, these costs will normally move in line with the fluctuation in gross premiums earned. Our acquisition expense ratio was 9.3% in both 2010 and 2009. In 2009, the acquisition expense ratio of 9.3% was slightly lower than the 11.6% recorded in 2008, primarily as a result of higher profit commissions on ceded premiums earned during 2009.

Operating expenses consist primarily of salaries and other general and administrative expenses. For 2010, operating expenses decreased \$9.3 million, or 6.7%, to \$130.0 million, compared to \$139.3 million in 2009, primarily due to a change in our internal allocation of certain expenses. For 2009, our operating expenses increased \$57.5 million, or 70.3%, to \$139.3 million, compared to \$81.8 million in 2008, primarily as a result of an increase in our employee base and our strong financial results in 2009 which increased performance-related compensation costs, as well as the impact of the larger employee base on technology, occupancy, consulting and related general and administrative expenses. Our operating expense ratio may increase over time, as a result of factors including the absolute and comparative growth of our operating expenses, further refinements to internal expense allocations, and market trends and dynamics.

Table of Contents

We have entered into joint ventures and specialized quota share cessions of our book of business. In accordance with the joint venture and quota share agreements, we are entitled to certain profit commissions and fee income. We record these profit commissions and fees as a reduction in acquisition and operating expenses and, accordingly, these fees have reduced our underwriting expense ratios. These fees totaled \$56.5 million, \$70.0 million and \$47.8 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and resulted in a corresponding decrease to the Reinsurance segment underwriting expense ratio of 6.7%, 8.2% and 5.3% for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. In addition, we are entitled to certain fee income and profit commissions from DaVinci. Because the results of DaVinci, and its parent DaVinciRe, are consolidated in our results of operations, these fees and profit commissions are eliminated in our consolidated financial statements and are principally reflected in redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe. The net impact of all fees and profit commissions related to these joint ventures and specialized quota share cessions within our Reinsurance segment was \$91.6 million, \$124.0 million and \$77.3 million for the years ending December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Catastrophe

Below is a summary of the underwriting results and ratios for our catastrophe unit for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

Catastrophe unit overview

Year ended December 31, (in thousands, except percentages)	2010	2009	2008
Property catastrophe gross premiums written			
Renaissance	\$ 630,080	\$ 706,947	\$ 633,611
DaVinci	364,153	389,502	361,010
Total property catastrophe gross premiums written (1)	\$ 994,233	\$ 1,096,449	\$ 994,621
Net premiums written	\$ 685,393	\$ 732,886	\$ 712,341
Net premiums earned	721,419	705,598	717,570
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	153,290	(102,072)	372,760
Acquisition expenses	63,889	55,198	62,038
Operational expenses	104,535	103,040	62,626
Underwriting income	\$ 399,705	\$ 649,432	\$ 220,146
Net claims and claim expenses incurred current accident year	\$ 310,748	\$ 82,323	\$ 504,351
Net claims and claim expenses incurred prior accident years	(157,458)	(184,395)	(131,591)
Net claims and claim expenses incurred total	\$ 153,290	\$ (102,072)	\$ 372,760
Net claims and claim expense ratio current accident year	43.1%	11.7%	70.3%
Net claims and claim expense ratio prior accident years	(21.9%)	(26.2%)	(18.4%)
Net claims and claim expense ratio calendar year	21.2%	(14.5%)	51.9%
Underwriting expense ratio	23.4%	22.5%	17.4%
Combined ratio	44.6%	8.0%	69.3%

(1)

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Includes gross premiums written ceded from the Insurance segment to the catastrophe unit of \$9.5 million, \$12.7 million and \$5.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Catastrophe Reinsurance Gross Premiums Written In 2010, our catastrophe reinsurance gross premiums written decreased by \$102.2 million, or 9.3%, to \$994.2 million, compared to 2009. Excluding the impact of \$28.0 million of reinstatement premiums written in 2010 as a result of the 2010 earthquakes, gross premiums written in the catastrophe unit decreased \$130.3 million in 2010, or 11.9%, compared to 2009, due to the

Table of Contents

continued softening of market conditions in catastrophe exposed lines of business in the U.S., combined with the non-renewal of several large programs that did not meet our underwriting requirements.

In 2009, our catastrophe reinsurance gross premiums written increased by \$101.8 million, or 10.2%, to \$1,096.4 million, compared to 2008. The growth in our catastrophe reinsurance gross premiums written principally reflected our ability and determination to increase the capacity provided to our customers in light of, among other things, attractive market conditions for the 2009 underwriting year, the inception of several new programs, and \$32.0 million of gross premiums written by our Tim Re II joint venture established in 2009 for the 2009 underwriting year. Included in gross premiums written in 2008 was \$58.4 million of loss related reinstatement premiums as a result of hurricanes Gustav and Ike.

Our property catastrophe reinsurance gross premiums written continues to be characterized by a large percentage of U.S. and Caribbean premium, as we have found business derived from exposures in Europe or the rest of the world to be, in general, less attractive on a risk-adjusted basis during recent periods. A significant amount of our U.S. and Caribbean premium provides coverage against windstorms, mainly U.S. Atlantic hurricanes, as well as earthquakes and other natural and man-made catastrophes.

Catastrophe Reinsurance Underwriting Results Our catastrophe unit generated \$399.7 million of underwriting income in 2010, compared to \$649.4 million in 2009, a decrease of \$249.7 million. The decrease in underwriting income was due primarily to a \$255.4 million increase in net claims and claim expenses as a result of \$252.4 million of net claims and claim expenses related to the 2010 earthquakes and a \$10.2 million increase in underwriting expenses, and partially offset by a \$15.8 million increase in net premiums earned. Net premiums earned in 2010 included \$28.0 million of reinstatement premiums earned as a result of the 2010 earthquakes.

The 2010 earthquakes added 36.8 percentage points to the catastrophe unit's combined ratio for 2010 as detailed in the table below:

	Year ended December 31, 2010		
	New Zealand Earthquake	Chilean Earthquake	Total
(in thousands, except ratios)			
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	\$ (130,085)	\$ (122,270)	\$ (252,355)
Net reinstatement premiums earned	2,532	25,508	28,040
Lost profit commissions	(9,730)	(5,372)	(15,102)
Net impact on catastrophe unit underwriting result	\$ (137,283)	\$ (102,134)	\$ (239,417)
Percentage point impact on catastrophe unit combined ratio	19.3	16.7	36.8

In 2010, our catastrophe unit generated a net claims and claim expense ratio of 21.2%, an underwriting expense ratio of 23.4% and a combined ratio of 44.6%, compared to negative 14.5%, 22.5% and 8.0%, respectively, in 2009. The increase in our underwriting expense ratio by 0.9 percentage points was driven by an \$8.7 million increase in acquisition expenses, primarily as a result of lower profit commissions on ceded premiums earned as a result of the 2010 earthquakes, as shown in the table above.

In 2009, our catastrophe unit generated \$649.4 million of underwriting income and reported a combined ratio of 8.0%, compared to \$220.1 million of underwriting income and a combined ratio of 69.3% in 2008, an increase in underwriting income of \$429.3 million and a decrease in the combined ratio of 61.3 percentage points. The increase in underwriting income and decrease in the combined ratio in 2009 were primarily due to a decrease in net claims and claim expenses incurred of \$474.8 million, and partially offset by an increase in underwriting expenses of \$33.6 million, compared to 2008. In 2009, our catastrophe unit generated a net claims and claim expense ratio of negative 14.5%, an underwriting expense ratio of 22.5% and a combined ratio of 8.0%.

Table of Contents

compared to 51.9%, 17.4% and 69.3%, respectively, in 2008. Current accident year losses of \$82.3 million decreased \$422.0 million from \$504.4 million in 2008, principally due to the comparably low level of insured catastrophes during 2009, compared to 2008, specifically the comparative impact of hurricanes Gustav and Ike which resulted in \$432.5 million of net claims and claim expenses incurred in the catastrophe unit in 2008 and added 60.2 percentage points to the catastrophe unit's combined ratio as detailed in the table below. The 5.1 percentage point increase in our underwriting expense ratio in 2009, compared to 2008, was principally driven by an increase in operational expenses of \$40.4 million primarily as a result of an increase in our employee base which increased compensation and related operating expenses as discussed above, and partially offset by a \$6.8 million decrease in acquisition expenses, primarily as a result of higher profit commissions on ceded premiums earned.

Following is supplemental financial data regarding the net financial statement impact on our catastrophe unit results for 2008 due to hurricanes Gustav and Ike:

	Year ended December 31, 2008		
	Gustav	Ike	Total
(in thousands, except ratios)			
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	\$ (65,753)	\$ (366,771)	\$ (432,524)
Net reinstatement premiums earned	8,821	49,575	58,396
Lost profit commissions	(1,901)	(2,789)	(4,690)
Net impact on catastrophe unit underwriting result	\$ (58,833)	\$ (319,985)	\$ (378,818)
Percentage point impact on catastrophe unit combined ratio	8.7	50.2	60.2
During 2010, we experienced \$157.5 million of favorable development on prior year reserves due to reductions of			

\$33.6 million to the estimated ultimate losses of mature, large, mainly international catastrophe events, combined

with reductions in net ultimate losses associated with the 2005 Buncefield Oil Depot loss of \$27.4 million, the 2005 hurricanes of \$25.5 million, the 2008 hurricanes of \$10.9 million, European windstorm Klaus of \$8.0 million and the 2004 hurricanes of \$8.1 million, with the remainder due to a reduction in ultimate losses on a large number of relatively small catastrophes.

During 2009, our catastrophe unit experienced \$184.4 million of favorable development on prior year reserves principally due to a reduction in ultimate net losses associated with the 2008 hurricanes of \$44.7 million, the 2005 hurricanes of \$25.5 million, the 2007 European windstorm Kyrill of \$16.7 million, the 2007 California wildfires of \$14.1 million, the 2007 flooding in the U.K. of \$14.6 million and the 2004 hurricanes of \$11.3 million, due to better than expected reported claims activity, and with respect to the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, the adoption of a new actuarial technique using reported loss development factors to estimate the ultimate losses for these events, as discussed in more detail in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Summary of Critical Accounting Estimates, Claims and Claim Expense Reserves, Property Catastrophe Reinsurance. The remaining favorable development within our catastrophe unit in 2009 was due to a reduction of ultimate net losses on a variety of smaller catastrophes such as hail storms, winter freezes, floods, fires and tornadoes which occurred during the 2006 through 2008 accident years.

Table of Contents**Specialty**

Below is a summary of the underwriting results and ratios for our specialty reinsurance unit for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

Specialty unit overview

Year ended December 31, (in thousands, except percentages)	2010	2009	2008
Specialty gross premiums written			
Renaissance	\$ 126,848	\$ 111,889	\$ 153,701
DaVinci	2,538	2,457	6,069
Total specialty gross premiums written	\$ 129,386	\$ 114,346	\$ 159,770
Net premiums written	\$ 124,326	\$ 106,137	\$ 159,552
Net premiums earned	117,371	144,127	192,189
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	(39,486)	14,433	68,140
Acquisition expenses	14,065	23,650	43,399
Operational expenses	25,455	36,288	19,171
Underwriting income	\$ 117,337	\$ 69,756	\$ 61,479
Net claims and claim expenses incurred current accident year	\$ 89,075	\$ 79,545	\$ 124,671
Net claims and claim expenses incurred prior accident years	(128,561)	(65,112)	(56,531)
Net claims and claim expenses incurred total	\$ (39,486)	\$ 14,433	\$ 68,140
Net claims and claim expense ratio current accident year	75.9%	55.2%	64.9%
Net claims and claim expense ratio prior accident years	(109.5%)	(45.2%)	(29.4%)
Net claims and claim expense ratio calendar year	(33.6%)	10.0%	35.5%
Underwriting expense ratio	33.6%	41.6%	32.5%
Combined ratio	0.0%	51.6%	68.0%

Specialty Reinsurance Gross Premiums Written In 2010, gross premiums written in the specialty unit increased \$15.0 million in 2010, or 13.2%, compared to 2009, principally due to the inception of several new contracts providing financial and credit reinsurance, and the non-renewal and portfolio transfer out of a quota share program in mid-2009 that was included as negative gross premiums written in 2009.

In 2009, our specialty reinsurance gross premiums written decreased by \$45.4 million, or 28.4%, to \$114.3 million, compared to \$159.8 million in 2008, principally due to the non-renewal of several programs that did not meet our underwriting standards, combined with the non-renewal and portfolio transfer out of a catastrophe exposed homeowners personal lines property quota share contract during the second quarter of 2009.

Our specialty reinsurance premiums are prone to significant volatility as this business is characterized by a relatively small number of comparably large transactions.

Specialty Reinsurance Underwriting Results Our specialty reinsurance unit generated \$117.3 million of underwriting income in 2010, compared to \$69.8 million in 2009, an increase of \$47.6 million, primarily due to decreases of \$53.9 million and \$20.4 million in net claims and claim

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

expenses and underwriting expenses, respectively, and partially offset by a \$26.8 million decrease in net premiums earned. Current accident year losses in 2010 of \$89.1 million were up \$9.5 million from \$79.5 million in 2009, and include \$15.0 million of loss reserves established in 2010 associated with the Deepwater Horizon oil rig event. In 2010, our specialty reinsurance unit generated a net claims and claim expense ratio of negative 33.6%, an underwriting expense

Table of Contents

ratio of 33.6% and a combined ratio of 0.0%, compared to 10.0%, 41.6% and 51.6%, respectively, in 2009. The net claims and claim expense ratio of negative 33.6% in 2010 is driven by the favorable development on prior accident years of \$128.6 million exceeding current accident year claims of \$89.1 million. The 8.0 percentage point decrease in the specialty unit's underwriting expenses ratio in 2010, compared to 2009, is primarily due to the decrease in net premiums earned, combined with the non-renewal and portfolio transfer out of a catastrophe exposed personal lines property quota share contract, as discussed above, which carried higher acquisition costs than the business we wrote in 2010.

Our specialty reinsurance unit generated \$69.8 million of underwriting income and reported a 51.6% combined ratio in 2009, compared to \$61.5 million of underwriting income and a 68.0% combined ratio in 2008, an increase in underwriting income of \$8.3 million and a decrease in the combined ratio of 16.4 percentage points. The improved underwriting income was primarily due to a \$53.7 million decrease in net claims and claim expenses incurred and a \$2.6 million decrease in underwriting expenses and partially offset by a \$48.1 million decrease in net premiums earned. Current accident year losses in 2009 of \$79.5 million were down \$45.1 million from \$124.7 million in 2008, principally reflecting a lack of large losses in 2009 and lower net premiums earned. Although underwriting expenses decreased by \$2.6 million in 2009, our underwriting expense ratio increased 9.1 percentage points in 2009, principally due to the impact of lower net premiums earned and a higher operating expense base. Our operating expenses increased \$17.1 million in 2009 to \$36.3 million, compared to \$19.2 million in 2008, principally due to an increase in our employee base and our strong financial results in 2009, which resulted in increased compensation expenses, as well as an increase in related general and administrative operating expenses as a result of the higher head count. Our acquisition expense ratio decreased from 22.6% in 2008 to 16.4% in 2009, principally as a result of the non-renewal and portfolio transfer out of a catastrophe exposed homeowners personal lines property quota share contract which had a higher acquisition expense ratio relative to other programs in the specialty unit.

Our specialty reinsurance unit experienced \$128.6 million of net favorable development in 2010 and includes \$31.4 million associated with actuarial assumption changes made in the first quarter of 2010, principally in the casualty clash and surety lines of business, and partially offset by an increase in reserves within the workers compensation per risk line of business, principally as a result of revised initial expected loss ratios and loss development factors due to actual experience coming in better than expected; \$25.9 million due to a decrease in case reserves and additional case reserves, which are reserves established at the contract level for specific losses or large events; and reported losses coming in better than expected in 2010 on prior accident years events.

Our specialty reinsurance unit experienced favorable development on prior year reserves of \$65.1 million and \$56.5 million in 2009 and 2008, respectively. The favorable development within our specialty reinsurance unit of \$65.1 million in 2009 was principally attributable to lower than expected claims emergence on the 2005 through 2008 underwriting years of \$87.6 million which was driven by the application of our formulaic actuarial reserving methodology for this business with the reductions being due to actual paid and reported loss activity being more favorable to date than what was originally anticipated when setting the initial IBNR reserves, as well as \$10.0 million due to a reduction on one claim on a contract related to the 2005 hurricanes, and partially offset by a \$32.5 million increase in our estimated ultimate net losses on the 2008 Madoff matter. The favorable development on prior year reserves in 2008 was due to reported claim activity being less than expected.

Table of Contents***Lloyd's Segment***

Below is a summary of the underwriting results and ratios for our Lloyd's segment for the year ended December 31, 2010:

Lloyd's segment overview

Year ended December 31, (in thousands, except percentages)	2010
Lloyd's gross premiums written	
Specialty	\$ 34,065
Insurance	17,420
Catastrophe	14,724
Total Lloyd's gross premiums written (1)	\$ 66,209
Net premiums written	\$ 61,189
Net premiums earned	50,204
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	25,676
Acquisition expenses	10,784
Operational expenses	24,837
Underwriting loss	\$ (11,093)
Net claims and claim expenses incurred current accident year	\$ 25,873
Net claims and claim expenses incurred prior accident years	(197)
Net claims and claim expenses incurred total	\$ 25,676
Net claims and claim expense ratio current accident year	51.5%
Net claims and claim expense ratio prior accident years	(0.4%)
Net claims and claim expense ratio calendar year	51.1%
Underwriting expense ratio	71.0%
Combined ratio	122.1%

(1) Includes gross premiums written ceded from the Insurance segment to the Lloyd's segment and from the Reinsurance segment to the Lloyd's segment of \$17.4 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2010.

In 2009, we established Syndicate 1458, a Lloyd's syndicate, to start writing certain lines of insurance and reinsurance business. The syndicate was established to enhance our underwriting platform by providing access to Lloyd's extensive distribution network and worldwide licenses. Our Lloyd's segment reflects results principally from our subsidiary, Syndicate 1458, our corporate capital vehicle, RenaissanceRe CCL, prior to its inter-company cession of Syndicate 1458 business to Renaissance Reinsurance, and our managing agency, RSML. The results of our Lloyd's unit were not significant in 2009; however, we expect its absolute and relative contributions to our consolidated results of operations to grow over time.

Lloyd's Gross Premiums Written Gross premiums written in the Lloyd's segment in 2010 were \$66.2 million, and include \$34.1 million of specialty premiums, \$17.4 million of insurance premiums and \$14.7 million of property catastrophe premiums.

Table of Contents

Lloyd's Underwriting Results Our Lloyd's segment incurred an underwriting loss of \$11.1 million and had a combined ratio of 122.1% in 2010. Net claims and claim expenses for 2010 are comprised of incurred but not reported loss activity in the specialty and insurance lines of business and \$5.2 million of net claims and claim expenses related to the New Zealand earthquake. Operational expenses of \$24.8 million principally include compensation, systems, legal and related operating expenses.

Insurance segment

Below is a summary of the underwriting results and ratios for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 for our Insurance segment:

Insurance segment overview

Year ended December 31, (in thousands, except percentages)	2010	2009	2008
Gross premiums written	\$ 2,585	\$ 30,736	\$ 93,568
Net premiums written	\$ (21,943)	\$ (690)	\$ 63,607
Net premiums earned	\$ (24,073)	\$ 32,479	\$ 74,689
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	(10,135)	16,941	40,598
Acquisition expenses	6,223	25,302	36,179
Operational expenses	11,215	14,224	12,617
Underwriting loss	\$ (31,376)	\$ (23,988)	\$ (14,705)
Net claims and claim expenses incurred current accident year	\$ 5,780	\$ 33,650	\$ 49,361
Net claims and claim expenses incurred prior accident years	(15,915)	(16,709)	(8,763)
Net claims and claim expenses incurred total	\$ (10,135)	\$ 16,941	\$ 40,598
Net claims and claim expense ratio current accident year	NMF(1)	103.6%	66.1%
Net claims and claim expense ratio prior accident years	NMF(1)	(51.4%)	(11.7%)
Net claims and claim expense ratio calendar year	NMF(1)	52.2%	54.4%
Underwriting expense ratio	NMF(1)	121.7%	65.3%
Combined ratio	NMF(1)	173.9%	119.7%

(1) Not a meaningful figure (NMF) due to negative net premiums earned.

Insurance Segment Gross Premiums Written Gross premiums written in our Insurance segment decreased \$28.2 million to \$2.6 million in 2010, compared to \$30.7 million in 2009. The decrease in gross premiums written was primarily due to the non-renewal of the majority of the remaining in-force book of business. Gross premiums written in the Insurance segment can fluctuate significantly between quarters and between years based on several factors, including, without limitation, the timing of the inception or cessation of quota share reinsurance contracts, including whether or not the Company has portfolio transfers in, or portfolio transfers out, of quota share reinsurance contracts of in-force books of business. Although the Company is not actively underwriting new business in the Insurance segment, from time to time, it will evaluate potential new business opportunities for its Insurance segment.

Gross premiums written in our Insurance segment decreased \$62.8 million to \$30.7 million in 2009, compared to \$93.6 million in 2008. The decrease in gross premiums written was primarily due to our decision in late 2008 to terminate several program manager relationships and a

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

commercial property quota share contract as a result of the then softening market conditions and in the second quarter of 2009, to reduce our participation on a personal lines property quota share contract.

Table of Contents

Insurance Segment Underwriting Results Our Insurance segment incurred an underwriting loss of \$31.4 million in 2010, compared to an underwriting loss of \$24.0 million in 2009. The \$7.4 million increase in underwriting loss was principally due to a \$56.6 million decrease in net premiums earned, and partially offset by a \$27.1 million decrease in net claims and claim expenses incurred and a \$22.1 million decrease in underwriting expenses. The decrease in net premiums earned and underwriting expenses is due to the decrease in gross premiums written, noted above, combined with ceded premiums written being fully earned during the year as a result of the non-renewal of the previously in-force book of business, noted above. The Insurance segment experienced \$15.9 million of favorable development on prior year reserves in 2010, compared to \$16.7 million of favorable development in 2009, primarily due to actual reported loss activity being more favorable to date than what was originally anticipated when setting the initial reserves.

Our Insurance segment incurred an underwriting loss of \$24.0 million in 2009, compared to an underwriting loss of \$14.7 million in 2008, a decrease of \$9.3 million. In 2009, our Insurance segment generated a net claims and claim expense ratio of 52.2%, an underwriting expense ratio of 121.7% and a combined ratio of 173.9%, compared to 54.4%, 65.3% and 119.7%, respectively, in 2008. The Insurance segment's underwriting loss and corresponding increase in the segment's combined ratio was due primarily to a \$42.2 million decrease in net premiums earned, partially offset by a \$9.3 million decrease in underwriting expenses and a \$23.7 million decrease in net claims and claim expenses incurred, as a result of the comparably low level of catastrophes during 2009, compared to 2008. Net premiums written decreased \$64.3 million to negative \$0.7 million in 2009, compared to \$63.6 million in 2008 due to the decrease in gross premiums written. The Insurance segment underwriting results for 2009 were positively impacted by \$16.7 million of favorable development on prior year reserves, primarily due to actual reported loss activity being more favorable to date than what was originally anticipated when setting the initial reserves.

Net Investment Income

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2010	2009	2008
Fixed maturity investments	\$ 108,195	\$ 160,476	\$ 199,469
Short term investments	2,318	4,139	40,933
Other investments			
Hedge funds and private equity investments	64,419	18,279	(101,779)
Other	39,305	145,367	(117,867)
Cash and cash equivalents	277	600	5,951
	214,514	328,861	26,707
Investment expenses	(10,559)	(10,682)	(12,828)
Net investment income	\$ 203,955	\$ 318,179	\$ 13,879

Net investment income was \$204.0 million in 2010, compared to \$318.2 million in 2009. The \$114.2 million decrease in net investment income was principally driven by a \$106.1 million decrease from our other investments, primarily due to lower average invested assets in senior secured bank loan funds in 2010, compared to 2009, combined with a \$52.3 million decrease in net investment income from our fixed maturity investments due to lower yields during 2010, compared to 2009. Partially offsetting the decreases noted above, is net investment income from our hedge funds and private equity investments which increased \$46.1 million due to higher total returns, principally from private equity investments. Our hedge funds, private equity and other investments are accounted for at fair value with the change in fair value recorded in net investment income which included net unrealized gains of \$57.5 million in 2010, compared to \$88.5 million in 2009.

Net investment income for 2009 was \$318.2 million, compared to \$13.9 million in 2008. The \$304.3 million increase in net investment income was principally driven by a \$120.1 million increase in net investment income from our hedge funds and private equity investments from negative \$101.8 million in 2008 to \$18.3 million in 2009, and a \$263.2 million increase in net investment income from our senior secured bank loan funds and non-U.S. fixed income funds within our other investments, from negative \$117.9 million in 2008 to \$145.4 million in 2009, and partially offset by a \$39.0 million and \$36.8 million decrease in net investment income from

Table of Contents

our fixed maturity investments and short term investments, respectively, primarily due to lower yields due to the then current lower interest rate environment and lower average invested assets for our short term investments. Our hedge funds, private equity and other investments are accounted for at fair value with the change in fair value recorded in net investment income which included net unrealized gains of \$88.5 million in 2009, compared to \$259.4 million of net unrealized losses in 2008. Our net investment income for 2009 benefitted from the tightening of credit spreads, which resulted in increases in the fair value of many of our investments.

Declining interest rates and lower spreads in 2010 have lowered the yields at which we invest our assets relative to historical levels. We expect these developments, combined with the current composition of our investment portfolio and other factors, to put downward pressure on our net investment income for the near term.

Fixed Maturity Investments Net Realized and Unrealized Gains and Net Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2010	2009	2008
Gross realized gains	\$ 138,814	\$ 143,173	\$ 99,541
Gross realized losses	(19,147)	(38,655)	(88,079)
Net realized gains on fixed maturity investments	119,667	104,518	11,462
Net unrealized gains (losses) on fixed maturity investments, trading	24,777	(10,839)	
Net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments	\$ 144,444	\$ 93,679	\$ 11,462
Total other-than-temporary impairments	(831)	(26,968)	(214,897)
Portion recognized in other comprehensive income, before taxes	2	4,518	
Net other-than-temporary impairments	\$ (829)	\$ (22,450)	\$ (214,897)

Our investment portfolio is structured to preserve capital and provide us with a high level of liquidity. A large majority of our investments are invested in the fixed income markets and, therefore, our realized holding gains and losses on investments are highly correlated to fluctuations in interest rates. Therefore, as interest rates decline, we will tend to have realized gains from the turnover of our investment portfolio, and as interest rates rise, we will tend to have realized losses from the turnover of our investment portfolio.

During the fourth quarter of 2009, we started designating, upon acquisition, certain fixed maturity investments as trading, rather than as available for sale and, as a result, we recognized \$24.8 million of net unrealized gains on these securities in our consolidated statement of operations for 2010. We currently expect to continue to designate, in future periods, upon acquisition, certain fixed maturity investments as trading, rather than as available for sale, and, as a result, we currently expect our fixed maturity investments available for sale balance to decrease and our fixed maturity trading balance to increase over time, resulting in a reduction in other-than-temporary accounting judgments we make. This change will over time result in additional volatility in our net income (loss) in future periods as net unrealized gains and losses on these fixed maturity investments will be recorded in net income (loss), rather than as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders equity.

Net realized gains on fixed maturity investments were \$119.7 million in 2010, compared to \$104.5 million in 2009, an increase of \$15.1 million, as a result of a \$19.5 million decrease in gross realized losses and a \$4.4 million decrease in gross realized gains. Net other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings were \$0.8 million in 2010 compared to \$22.5 million for 2009. Net other-than-temporary impairments relate to our fixed maturity investments available for sale. Of the total other-than-temporary impairment charges in 2010, \$0.8 million was recognized in earnings and includes \$0.8 million for credit losses and \$nil for investments we intend to sell, and \$2 thousand related to other factors recorded as an unrealized loss in accumulated other comprehensive income. Under the new guidance which became effective in the second quarter of 2009, we recognize other-than-temporary impairments in earnings for impaired fixed maturity investments available for sale (i) for which we have the intent to sell the security or (ii) it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the security before its anticipated recovery and (iii) for those securities which have a credit loss.

Table of Contents

Net other-than-temporary impairments were \$22.5 million in 2009, compared to \$214.9 million in 2008. For the year ended December 31, 2008 and for the first three months of 2009, we recognized other-than-temporary impairments if we could not assert that we had the ability and intent to hold our securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value in accordance with authoritative literature. If the impairment was determined to be other-than-temporary, then an impairment loss was recognized in earnings equal to the entire difference between the security's amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. For the year ended December 31, 2008 and for the first three months of 2009, we recognized other-than-temporary impairments of \$214.9 million, and \$19.0 million, respectively. For the nine months ended December 31, 2009, under updated guidance for the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments which we adopted in the second quarter of 2009, we recorded \$8.0 million of total other-than-temporary impairments of which \$3.5 million was recognized in earnings and includes \$2.2 million for credit losses and \$1.3 million for investments we intend to sell, with the remaining \$4.5 million related to other factors recorded as an unrealized loss in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Equity in (Losses) Earnings of Other Ventures

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2010	2009	2008
Tower Hill Companies	\$ 1,151	\$ (2,083)	\$ 545
Top Layer Re	(12,103)	12,619	11,377
Other	(862)	440	1,681
Total equity in (losses) earnings of other ventures	\$ (11,814)	\$ 10,976	\$ 13,603

Equity in (losses) earnings of other ventures represents primarily our pro-rata share of the net (loss) income from our investments in the Tower Hill Companies and Top Layer Re. Equity in losses of other ventures was \$11.8 million in 2010, compared to equity in earnings of other ventures of \$11.0 million in 2009. The \$22.8 million decrease was primarily due to our equity in losses of Top Layer Re of \$12.1 million during 2010, as a result of Top Layer Re experiencing net claims and claim expenses related to the New Zealand earthquake.

Equity in earnings of other ventures in 2009 generated \$11.0 million in income, compared to \$13.6 million in 2008. The \$2.6 million decrease in equity in earnings of other ventures in 2009 compared to 2008 is primarily due to Starbound II becoming a consolidated entity effective August 1, 2008, which is included in other in the table above, combined with lower equity in earnings from the Tower Hill Companies.

The equity in earnings from the Tower Hill Companies is recorded one quarter in arrears.

Other Income

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2010	2009	2008
Gain on sale of ChannelRe	\$ 15,835	\$	\$
Mark-to-market on Platinum warrant	10,054	4,958	(538)
Weather and energy risk management operations	8,149	37,184	25,122
Assumed and ceded reinsurance contracts accounted for as derivatives and deposits	5,214	(32,635)	(11,273)
Weather-related and loss mitigation	1	(11,069)	(9,072)
Other	1,867	3,360	1,247
Total other income	\$ 41,120	\$ 1,798	\$ 5,486

Table of Contents

In 2010, we generated \$41.1 million of other income, compared to \$1.8 million in 2009. We sold our entire ownership interest in ChannelRe Holdings Ltd. (ChannelRe), a financial guaranty reinsurance company, for \$15.8 million in July 2010 and recorded other income of \$15.8 million as a result of the sale. We no longer have an ownership interest in ChannelRe and have no contractual obligations to provide capital or other financial support to ChannelRe. Other income attributable to our weather and energy risk management operations of \$8.1 million in 2010, decreased \$29.0 million, from other income of \$37.2 million in 2009, due to a combination of less favorable net positions in respect of certain weather outcomes, lower business volume and less liquidity in the markets in which we operate. Our assumed and ceded reinsurance contracts accounted for as derivatives and deposits generated \$5.2 million in other income in 2010, compared to an other loss of \$32.6 million in 2009, an improvement of \$37.8 million, primarily due to less ceded reinsurance contracts that were accounted for at fair value in 2010, compared to 2009.

In 2009, we generated \$1.8 million of other income compared to \$5.5 million in 2008. The \$3.7 million decrease in other income was primarily due to a \$21.4 million increase in other loss related to our assumed and ceded reinsurance contracts accounted for as derivatives and deposits, principally due to the inception of several new ceded contracts during the second quarter of 2009, for which the losses principally relate to the expiration of the contractual premium over the risk period and for which no recoveries have been estimated, and partially offset by a \$12.1 million increase in other income from our weather and energy risk management operations from \$25.1 million in 2008 to \$37.2 million in 2009.

Certain contracts we enter into and our weather and energy risk operations are based in part on proprietary weather forecasts provided by our Weather Predict subsidiary. The weather and energy risk operations in which we engage are both seasonal and volatile, and there is no assurance that our performance to date will be indicative of future periods. During 2010, we have allocated an increased amount of capital to our weather and energy risk management operations, and have offered certain new financial products within this group. Although there can be no assurances, it is possible that our results from these activities will increase on an absolute or relative basis over time. We have expanded our weather and energy risk management operations in the last several years to include weather contingent energy products and by increasing the size and volume of transactions with respect to our previously existing weather and energy risk management operations. The weather and energy risk management operations results include net realized and unrealized gains and losses on agreements with end users and net realized and unrealized gains and losses on hedging and trading activities. We are currently in the process of enhancing our weather and energy risk management infrastructure and operations to expand our participation in physical delivery and settlement of various of our energy products with our customers. These activities present certain operational as well as financial risks, which we seek to mitigate.

Corporate Expenses

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2010	2009	2008
Other corporate expenses	\$ 22,130	\$ 21,683	\$ 20,326
Internal review and external investigation related expenses	(1,994)	(9,025)	3,967
Total corporate expenses	\$ 20,136	\$ 12,658	\$ 24,293

Corporate expenses include certain executive, director, legal and consulting expenses, costs for research and development, and other miscellaneous costs, including those associated with operating as a publicly traded company. Corporate expenses were \$20.1 million in 2010, compared to \$12.7 million in 2009, with the increase primarily due to a reduction in the recognition of a corporate insurance recovery.

Table of Contents**Interest Expense and Preferred Share Dividends**

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2010	2009	2008
Interest			
DaVinciRe revolving credit facility	\$ 2,029	\$ 3,192	\$ 8,678
RenaissanceRe revolving credit facility		3,398	3,050
\$150 million 7.0% Senior Notes			5,688
\$100 million 5.875% Senior Notes	5,875	5,875	5,875
\$250 million 5.75% Senior Notes	11,373		
Other	2,552	2,646	1,342
Total interest expense	21,829	15,111	24,633
Preferred share dividends			
\$100 million 7.30% Series B Preference Shares	7,118	7,300	7,300
\$250 million 6.08% Series C Preference Shares	15,200	15,200	15,200
\$300 million 6.60% Series D Preference Shares	19,800	19,800	19,800
Total preferred share dividends	42,118	42,300	42,300
Total interest expense and preferred share dividends	\$ 63,947	\$ 57,411	\$ 66,933

During 2010, our interest expense increased by \$6.7 million to \$21.8 million, compared to \$15.1 million in 2009, primarily due to interest expense on the \$250.0 million of 5.75% Senior Notes which were issued by RRNAH on March 17, 2010, partially offset by reduced interest expense in respect of our revolving credit facility. On December 20, 2010, we redeemed all of our 7.30% Series B Preference Shares for \$100.0 million, plus accrued and unpaid dividends to December 20, 2010; see [Capital Resources](#) section below for additional detail.

During 2009, our interest expense decreased by \$9.5 million to \$15.1 million, compared to \$24.6 million in 2008, primarily as a result of the repayment at maturity of our 7.0% Senior Notes, which came due on July 15, 2008, and the decrease in interest expense associated with DaVinciRe's revolving credit facility due to a reduction in the average interest rates on the facility to approximately 1.3% during 2009, from 4.3% during 2008. Offsetting this decrease was an increase in interest expense on our revolving credit facility under which \$150.0 million was outstanding from July 15, 2008 until November 9, 2009, at which time we repaid the balance. The average interest rate on this borrowing from January 1, 2009 through November 9, 2009 was 2.6%, lower than the coupon rate on the 7.0% Senior Notes repaid at maturity in July 2008 with the proceeds from our revolving credit facility drawdown at that time.

Income Tax Benefit (Expense)

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2010	2009	2008
Income tax benefit (expense)	\$ 6,124	\$ (10,031)	\$ 180

We are subject to income taxes in certain jurisdictions in which we operate; however, since the majority of our income is currently earned in Bermuda, a non-taxable jurisdiction, the tax impact to our operations has historically been minimal. During 2010 and 2008, we generated an income tax benefit of \$6.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, which was principally the result of our U.S. operations incurring pretax losses, compared to an income tax expense of \$10.0 million in 2009, which was principally the result of our U.S. operations generating pretax income.

Table of Contents

For the years 2008 through 2010, we generated cumulative GAAP taxable income in our U.S. tax-paying subsidiaries. During 2008, our valuation allowance was reassessed and we now believe that it is more likely than not that we will continue to generate GAAP taxable income in our U.S. tax-paying insurance subsidiaries and therefore be able to recover all of our U.S. net deferred tax asset. As a result, our valuation allowance was reduced by \$1.7 million in 2008, and there was a corresponding decrease to income tax expense and increase to our net income. Our valuation allowance totaled \$3.5 and \$2.4 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The remaining valuation allowance as of December 31, 2010 relates exclusively to our operations in Ireland and the U.K. Our Ireland and U.K. operations have produced GAAP taxable losses and we currently do not believe it is more likely than not that we will generate GAAP taxable income from our subsidiaries in these operations and therefore do not believe that we will be able to recover our net deferred tax assets from these operations. We expect our consolidated effective tax rate to increase in the future, as our global operations outside of Bermuda expand. In addition, it is possible that we could be adversely affected by changes in tax laws, regulation, or enforcement, any of which could increase our effective tax rate more rapidly or steeply than we currently anticipate.

Net Income Attributable to Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest DaVinciRe

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2010	2009	2008
Net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe	\$ (116,421)	\$ (171,501)	\$ (55,133)

The net income attributed to the redeemable noncontrolling interest holders decreased \$55.1 million to \$116.4 million in 2010, compared to \$171.5 million in 2009, primarily due to the decreased profitability of DaVinciRe. The change in net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest was driven by DaVinciRe generating lower underwriting income in 2010, compared to 2009, principally due to the 2010 earthquakes and also due to an increase in our ownership of DaVinciRe to 41.2% in 2010, compared to 38.2% in 2009, as noted above.

In January 2011, DaVinciRe redeemed the shares of certain third party DaVinciRe shareholders. As a result of this transaction, our ownership interest in DaVinciRe has increased to 44.0% effective January 1, 2011. We expect our ownership in DaVinciRe to fluctuate over time.

Income from Discontinued Operations

Year ended December 31, (in thousands)	2010	2009	2008
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 62,670	\$ 6,700	\$ 33,846

Income from discontinued operations includes the financial results of substantially all of our U.S.-based insurance operations being sold to QBE pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement. Included in income from discontinued operations in 2010 is underwriting income of \$57.0 million, compared to \$1.9 million in 2009. The \$55.1 million increase in underwriting income is primarily attributable to strong underwriting results for the 2010 crop year.

Included in income from discontinued operations in 2009 is underwriting income of \$1.9 million, compared to \$23.7 million in 2008. The \$21.8 million decrease in underwriting income is due primarily to a \$10.8 million decrease in net premiums earned, an \$8.4 million increase in operational expenses and a \$13.7 million increase in acquisition expenses, partially offset by an \$11.0 million decrease in net claims and claim expenses as a result of the comparably low level of insured losses in 2009, compared to 2008. Included in the underwriting result for 2010, 2009 and 2008 was favorable (adverse) development on prior accident years of \$56.0 million, \$(21.7) million and \$37.9 million, respectively. The favorable development on prior accident years in 2010 was primarily related to the crop insurance line of business which experienced a decrease in the frequency and severity of reported loss activity in 2010 on the 2009 crop year. The adverse development on prior accident years in 2009 was primarily related to the crop insurance line of business which experienced an increase in the severity of reported loss activity in 2009 on the

2008 crop year.

Table of Contents

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Financial Condition

RenaissanceRe is a holding company, and we therefore rely on dividends from our subsidiaries and investment income to make principal and interest payments on our debt and to make dividend payments to our preference and RenaissanceRe common shareholders.

The payment of dividends by our subsidiaries is, under certain circumstances, limited under statutory regulations and insurance law, which require our insurance subsidiaries to maintain certain measures of solvency and liquidity. In addition, Bermuda regulations require approval from the BMA for any reduction of capital in excess of 15% of statutory capital, as defined in the Insurance Act. The Insurance Act also requires these Bermuda insurance subsidiaries of the Company to maintain certain measures of solvency and liquidity. At December 31, 2010, the statutory capital and surplus of our Bermuda insurance subsidiaries was \$3.3 billion (2009 \$3.3 billion) and the minimum amount required to be maintained under Bermuda law, the Minimum Solvency Margin, was \$483.3 million (2009 \$525.0 million). During 2010, Renaissance Reinsurance, DaVinciRe and the operating subsidiaries of RenRe Insurance Holdings Ltd. (RenRe Insurance) returned capital to our holding company, which included dividends declared and return of capital, net of capital contributions received, of \$513.1 million, \$nil and \$69.8 million, respectively (2009 \$781.8 million, \$nil and \$120.8 million, respectively).

Under the Insurance Act, Renaissance Reinsurance and DaVinci are classified as Class 4 insurers, and therefore must maintain capital at a level equal to its ECR which is established by reference to the BSCR model. The BSCR is a standard mathematical model designed to give the BMA more advanced methods for determining an insurer's capital adequacy. Underlying the BSCR is the belief that all insurers should operate on an ongoing basis with a view to maintaining their capital at a prudent level in excess of the minimum solvency margin otherwise prescribed under the Insurance Act. Alternatively, under the Insurance Act, insurers may, subject to the terms of the Insurance Act and to the BMA's oversight, elect to utilize an approved internal capital model to determine regulatory capital. In either case, the ECR shall at all times equal or exceed the Class 4 insurer's Minimum Solvency Margin and may be adjusted in circumstances where the BMA concludes that the insurer's risk profile deviates significantly from the assumptions underlying its ECR or the insurer's assessment of its risk management policies and practices used to calculate the ECR applicable to it. While not specifically referred to in the Insurance Act, the BMA has also established a TCL for each Class 4 insurer equal to 120% of its ECR. While a Class 4 insurer is not currently required to maintain its statutory capital and surplus at this level, the TCL serves as an early warning tool for the BMA and failure to maintain statutory capital at least equal to the TCL will likely result in increased BMA regulatory oversight. The Company is currently completing the 2010 BSCR for Renaissance Reinsurance and DaVinci, and at this time believes both companies will exceed the target level of required capital.

RenaissanceRe CCL and Syndicate 1458 are subject to regulation by the Council of Lloyd's. Syndicate 1458 is also subject to regulation by the FSA under the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000. Underwriting capacity of a member of Lloyd's must be supported by providing a deposit in the form of cash, securities or letters of credit, which are referred to as Funds at Lloyd's, in an amount determined by Lloyd's in relation to the member's underwriting capacity. This amount is determined by Lloyd's through application of a risk-based capital formula. At December 31, 2010, the Company maintained \$74.3 million and £15.0 million as a Funds at Lloyd's facility (2009 \$74.3 million and £15.0 million). In addition, the FSA requires Lloyd's syndicates to satisfy an annual solvency test and to maintain solvency on a continuous basis, which Syndicate 1458 was in compliance with at December 31, 2010.

In the aggregate, our operating subsidiaries have historically produced sufficient cash flows to meet their expected claims payments and operational expenses and to provide dividend payments to us. Our subsidiaries also maintain a concentration of investments in high quality liquid securities, which management believes will provide additional liquidity for extraordinary claims payments should the need arise. See Capital Resources section below.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows and Liquidity

During 2010, our cash and cash equivalents increased \$74.6 million, to \$277.7 million at December 31, 2010, compared to \$203.1 million at December 31, 2009, which excludes a decrease of \$3.9 million in cash and cash equivalents related to discontinued operations held for sale. The following discussion of our cash flows includes the results of operations and financial position of our discontinued operations held for sale at December 31, 2010, related to the sale of substantially all of our U.S.-based insurance operations.

Cash flows provided by operating activities. Cash flows provided by operating activities in 2010 were \$494.7 million, which consisted of, among other items, our net income of \$861.2 million, partially offset by a decrease in claims and claim expenses, net of \$159.9 million, net realized and unrealized investment gains on fixed maturity investments of \$151.2 million and unrealized gains included in net investment income of \$57.5 million related to our other investments. As discussed under Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Summary of Results of Operations for 2010, 2009 and 2008, we generated strong underwriting and investment results, which contributed to cash flows provided by operating activities. In addition, as noted above, claims and claim expenses, net decreased \$159.9 million in 2010, compared to 2009, primarily as a result of \$402.3 million of paid claims and claim expenses during 2010, partially offset by incurred claims and claim expenses of \$242.5 million. Our 2010 cash flows provided by operating activities were primarily used to support our financing activities as discussed below.

Cash flows provided by investing activities. During 2010, our cash flows provided by investing activities were \$108.6 million, which principally reflects our decision to decrease our allocation to other investments, specifically hedge funds, resulting in net sales of other investments of \$122.1 million. Our 2010 cash flows provided by investing activities were primarily used to support our financing and repurchase activities as discussed below.

Cash flows used in financing activities. Our cash flows used in financing activities in 2010 were \$531.6 million, primarily as a result of the repurchase of \$448.9 million of our common shares, the redemption of \$100.0 million of our 7.30% Series B Preference Shares as discussed below, the payment of \$55.9 million and \$42.1 million in dividends to our common and preferred shareholders, respectively, the repurchase of \$136.7 million of DaVinciRe shares of third party shareholders, and partially offset by the issuance of \$250.0 million of 5.75% Senior Notes for \$249.1 million.

We have generated cash flows from operations for the three year period between 2008 and 2010 significantly in excess of our operating commitments. However, because a large portion of the coverages we provide can produce losses of high severity and low frequency, it is not possible to accurately predict our future cash flows from operating activities. As a consequence, cash flows from operating activities may fluctuate, perhaps significantly, between individual quarters and years. Due to the magnitude and relatively recent occurrence of the 2010 earthquakes, and hurricanes Gustav and Ike during the third quarter of 2008, meaningful uncertainty remains regarding losses from these events and our actual ultimate net losses from these events may vary from preliminary estimates, perhaps materially. As a result, our cash flows from operations would be impacted accordingly.

Reserves for Claims and Claim Expenses

We believe the most significant accounting judgment made by management is our estimate of claims and claim expense reserves. Claims and claim expense reserves represent estimates, including actuarial and statistical projections at a given point in time, of the ultimate settlement and administration costs for unpaid claims and claim expenses arising from the insurance and reinsurance contracts we sell. We establish our claims and claim expense reserves by taking claims reported to us by insureds and ceding companies, but which have not yet been paid (case reserves), adding the costs for additional case reserves (additional case reserves) which represent our estimates for claims previously reported to us which we believe may not be adequately reserved as of that date, and adding estimates for the anticipated cost of claims incurred but not yet reported to us (IBNR).

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our claims and claim expense reserves by line of business and split between case reserves, additional case reserves and IBNR at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

At December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Case Reserves	Additional Case Reserves	IBNR	Total
Catastrophe	\$ 173,157	\$ 281,202	\$ 163,021	\$ 617,380
Specialty	102,521	60,196	350,573	513,290
Total Reinsurance	275,678	341,398	513,594	1,130,670
Lloyds	172	6,874	12,985	20,031
Insurance	40,943	3,317	62,882	107,142
Total	\$ 316,793	\$ 351,589	\$ 589,461	\$ 1,257,843
At December 31, 2009 (in thousands)				
Catastrophe	\$ 165,153	\$ 148,252	\$ 258,451	\$ 571,856
Specialty	119,674	101,612	382,818	604,104
Total Reinsurance	284,827	249,864	641,269	1,175,960
Insurance	76,489	3,658	88,326	168,473
Total	\$ 361,316	\$ 253,522	\$ 729,595	\$ 1,344,433

Our estimates of claims and claim expense reserves are not precise in that, among other matters, they are based on predictions of future developments and estimates of future trends and other variable factors. Some, but not all, of our reserves are further subject to the uncertainty inherent in actuarial methodologies and estimates. Because a reserve estimate is simply an insurer's estimate at a point in time of its ultimate liability, and because there are numerous factors which affect reserves and claims payments but cannot be determined with certainty in advance, our ultimate payments will vary, perhaps materially, from our estimates of reserves. If we determine in a subsequent period that adjustments to our previously established reserves are appropriate, such adjustments are recorded in the period in which they are identified. During the year ended December 31, 2010, changes to prior year estimated claims reserves increased our net income by \$302.1 million (2009 \$266.2 million, 2008 \$196.9 million) excluding the consideration of changes in reinstatement premium, profit commissions, redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe and income tax benefit (expense).

Our reserving methodology for each line of business uses a loss reserving process that calculates a point estimate for the Company's ultimate settlement and administration costs for claims and claim expenses. We do not calculate a range of estimates. We use this point estimate, along with paid claims and case reserves, to record our best estimate of additional case reserves and IBNR in our consolidated financial statements. Under GAAP, we are not permitted to establish estimates for catastrophe claims and claim expense reserves until an event occurs that gives rise to a loss.

Reserving for our reinsurance claims involves other uncertainties, such as the dependence on information from ceding companies, which among other matters, includes the time lag inherent in reporting information from the primary insurer to us or to our ceding companies and differing reserving practices among ceding companies. The information received from ceding companies is typically in the form of bordereaux, broker notifications of loss and/or discussions with ceding companies or their brokers. This information can be received on a monthly, quarterly or transactional basis and normally includes estimates of paid claims and case reserves. We sometimes also receive an estimate or provision for IBNR. This information is often updated and adjusted from time to time during the loss settlement period as new data or facts in respect of initial claims, client accounts, industry or event trends may be reported or emerge in addition to changes in applicable statutory and case laws.

Table of Contents

We recorded \$540.5 million of gross claims and claim expenses incurred in 2008 as a result of losses arising from hurricanes Gustav and Ike which struck the U.S. in 2008. In 2010, we recorded \$159.7 million, \$166.8 million and \$23.0 million of gross claims and claim expenses as a result of losses arising from the Chilean earthquake, the New Zealand earthquake and the Australian flooding, respectively. Our estimates of losses from these events are based on factors including currently available information derived from the Company's preliminary claims information from certain customers and brokers, industry assessments of losses from the events, proprietary models, and the terms and conditions of our contracts. The uncertainty of our estimates for these 2010 events is additionally impacted by the preliminary nature of the information available, the magnitude and relative infrequency of the events, the expected duration of the respective claims development period, inadequacies in the data provided thus far by industry participants and the potential for further reporting lags or insufficiencies (particularly in respect of the 2010 earthquakes); and in the case of the Australian flooding, significant uncertainty as to the form of the claims and legal issues including, but not limited to, the number, nature and fiscal periods of the loss events under the relevant terms of insurance contracts and reinsurance treaties. Given the magnitude and relatively recent occurrence of these events, and the continuing uncertainty relating to the large storms of 2005, especially hurricane Katrina, and those of 2008, meaningful uncertainty remains regarding total covered losses for the insurance industry and, accordingly, several of the key assumptions underlying our loss estimates. In addition, our actual net losses from these events may increase if our reinsurers or other obligors fail to meet their obligations.

Because of the inherent uncertainties discussed above, we have developed a reserving philosophy which attempts to incorporate prudent assumptions and estimates, and we have generally experienced favorable net development on prior year reserves in the last several years. However, there is no assurance that this will occur in future periods.

Our reserving techniques, assumptions and processes differ between our property catastrophe reinsurance and specialty reinsurance units within our Reinsurance segment and within our Lloyd's segment. Refer to our Claims and Claim Expense Reserves Critical Accounting Estimates discussion in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for more information on the risks we insure and reinsure, the reserving techniques, assumptions and processes we follow to estimate our claims and claim expense reserves, and our current estimates versus our initial estimates of our claims reserves, for each of these units.

Capital Resources

Our total capital resources at December 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

At December 31, (in thousands)	2010	2009
Common shareholders' equity	\$ 3,386,325	\$ 3,190,786
Preference shares	550,000	650,000
Total shareholders' equity attributable to RenaissanceRe	3,936,325	3,840,786
5.875% Senior Notes	100,000	100,000
5.750% Senior Notes	249,155	
RenaissanceRe revolving credit facility - borrowed		
RenaissanceRe revolving credit facility - unborrowed	150,000	345,000
DaVinciRe revolving credit facility - borrowed	200,000	200,000
DaVinciRe revolving credit facility - unborrowed		
Renaissance Trading credit facility - borrowed		
Renaissance Trading credit facility - unborrowed	10,000	10,000
Total capital resources	\$ 4,645,480	\$ 4,495,786

In 2010, our capital resources increased by \$149.7 million, principally due to our comprehensive income attributable to RenaissanceRe of \$723.1 million and the issuance of \$250.0 million of 5.75% Senior Notes for

Table of Contents

\$249.1 million during 2010, partially offset by the renewal of the RenaissanceRe revolving credit facility with a commitment amount of \$150.0 million, compared to the commitment amount of \$345.0 million which had previously been in effect, the redemption of \$100.0 million principal amount of our 7.30% Series B Preference Shares as discussed below, \$55.9 million of dividends on common shares, and \$460.4 million of common share repurchases during 2010, as discussed in more detail in Item 5 Issuer Repurchases of Equity Securities .

Preference Shares

In December 2006, we raised \$300.0 million through the issuance of 12 million Series D Preference Shares; in March 2004, we raised \$250.0 million through the issuance of 10 million Series C Preference Shares; and in February 2003, we raised \$100.0 million through the issuance of 4 million Series B Preference Shares. On November 17, 2010, we gave redemption notices to the holders of the 7.30% Series B Preference Shares to redeem such shares for \$25 per share. On December 20, 2010, we redeemed all of the issued and outstanding 7.30% Series B Preference Shares for \$100.0 million plus accrued and unpaid dividends thereon. The Series D and Series C Preference Shares may be redeemed at \$25 per share at our option on or after December 1, 2011 and March 23, 2009, respectively. Dividends on the Series D and Series C Preference Shares are cumulative from the date of original issuance and are payable quarterly in arrears at 6.60% and 6.08%, respectively, when, if, and as declared by the Board of Directors. If RenaissanceRe submits a proposal to our shareholders concerning an amalgamation or submits any proposal that, as a result of any changes to Bermuda law, requires approval of the holders of RenaissanceRe preference shares to vote as a single class, RenaissanceRe may redeem the Series D Preference Shares prior to December 11, 2011, at \$26 per share. The preference shares have no stated maturity and are not convertible into any other of our securities.

5.875% Senior Notes

In January 2003, we issued \$100.0 million of 5.875% Senior Notes due February 15, 2013, with interest on the notes payable on February 15 and August 15 of each year. The notes can be redeemed by us prior to maturity subject to payment of a make-whole premium. The notes, which are senior obligations, contain various covenants, including limitations on mergers and consolidations, restrictions as to the disposition of the stock of designated subsidiaries and limitations on liens of the stock of designated subsidiaries.

5.75% Senior Notes

On March 17, 2010, RRNAH issued \$250.0 million of 5.75% Senior Notes due March 15, 2020, with interest on the notes payable on March 15 and September 15 of each year. The notes, which are senior obligations, are guaranteed by RenaissanceRe and can be redeemed by RRNAH prior to maturity subject to payment of a make-whole premium. The documents governing the notes contain various covenants, including limitations on the ability of RRNAH and RenaissanceRe to merge, consolidate and transfer or lease their respective properties and assets as an entirety or substantially as an entirety, as well as restrictions on RRNAH and RenaissanceRe relating to the disposition of the stock of designated subsidiaries and the creation of liens on the stock of designated subsidiaries.

RenaissanceRe Revolving Credit Facility (the Credit Agreement)

Effective April 22, 2010, RenaissanceRe entered into a revolving credit agreement with various financial institutions parties thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as fronting bank, letter of credit administrator and administrative agent for the lenders thereunder, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as syndication agent. The Credit Agreement replaced the third amended and restated credit agreement, dated as of April 9, 2009, which expired by its terms on March 31, 2010.

The Credit Agreement provides for a revolving commitment to RenaissanceRe of \$150.0 million, including the issuance of letters of credit for the account of RenaissanceRe and RenaissanceRe's insurance subsidiaries of up to \$150.0 million and the issuance of letters of credit for the account of RenaissanceRe's non-insurance subsidiaries of up to \$50.0 million. RenaissanceRe has the right, subject to satisfying certain conditions, to increase the size of the facility to \$250.0 million. The scheduled commitment maturity date of the Credit Agreement is April 22, 2013.

Table of Contents

The Credit Agreement contains representations, warranties and covenants customary for bank loan facilities of this type. In addition to customary covenants which limit the ability of RenaissanceRe and its subsidiaries to merge, consolidate, enter into negative pledge agreements, sell, transfer or lease all or any substantial part of their respective assets, incur liens and declare or pay dividends under certain circumstances, the Credit Agreement also contains certain financial covenants. These financial covenants generally provide that consolidated debt to capital shall not exceed the ratio of 0.35:1 and that the consolidated net worth of RenaissanceRe and Renaissance Reinsurance shall equal or exceed \$2.1 billion and \$960.0 million, respectively. The foregoing net worth requirements are recalculated effective as of the end of each fiscal year, all as more fully set forth in the Credit Agreement.

Bilateral Letter of Credit Facility (Bilateral Facility)

Effective September 17, 2010, each of Renaissance Reinsurance, DaVinci and Glencoe (collectively, the Bilateral Facility Participants), entered into a secured letter of credit facility with Citibank Europe plc (CEP).

The Bilateral Facility provides a commitment from CEP to issue letters of credit for the account of one or more of the Bilateral Facility Participants and their respective subsidiaries in multiple currencies and in an aggregate amount of up to \$300.0 million. The Bilateral Facility terminates on December 31, 2012 and is evidenced by a Facility Letter and three separate Master Agreements between CEP and each of the Bilateral Facility Participants, as well as certain ancillary agreements.

Under the Bilateral Facility, each of the Bilateral Facility Participants is severally obligated to pledge to CEP at all times during the term of the Bilateral Facility certain securities with a collateral value (as determined as therein provided) that equals or exceeds 100% of the aggregate amount of its then-outstanding letters of credit. In the case of an event of default under the Bilateral Facility with respect to a Bilateral Facility Participant, CEP may exercise certain remedies with respect to such Bilateral Facility Participant, including terminating its commitment to such Bilateral Facility Participant under the Bilateral Facility and taking certain actions with respect to the collateral pledged by such Bilateral Facility Participant (including the sale thereof). In the Facility Letter, each of Renaissance Reinsurance, DaVinci and Glencoe makes, as to itself, representations and warranties that are customary for facilities of this type and severally agrees that it will comply with certain informational and other undertakings, including those regarding the delivery of quarterly and annual financial statements.

DaVinciRe Revolving Credit Facility

DaVinciRe is a party to a Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 5, 2006 (the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement), which provides for a revolving credit facility in an aggregate amount of up to \$200.0 million that matures on April 5, 2011. The term of the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement may be extended and the commitment amount may be increased to \$250.0 million, provided certain conditions are met. Effective as of March 9, 2010, DaVinciRe and the other parties to the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement entered into Amendment No. 1 to the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement (the Amendment). The Amendment provided for the release of certain collateral that was previously pledged by DaVinciRe in support of its obligations under the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement and the pledge by DaVinci of other collateral in substitution for the released collateral.

Interest rates are based on a spread above LIBOR, and averaged approximately 1.0% during 2010 (2009 1.3%). The DaVinciRe Credit Agreement requires DaVinciRe and DaVinci to maintain a minimum net worth of \$350.0 million and \$450.0 million, respectively, and requires DaVinciRe to maintain a debt to capital ratio of no greater than 30%. At December 31, 2010, \$200.0 million remained outstanding. Neither RenaissanceRe nor Renaissance Reinsurance is a guarantor of this facility and the lenders have no recourse against us or our subsidiaries other than DaVinciRe and DaVinci under the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Credit Agreement, a default by DaVinciRe on its obligations under the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement will not result in a default under the Credit Agreement.

Table of Contents

Our ability to renew the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement, and the terms of such renewal, if any, will depend on the facts and circumstances at the time, including the financial position and operating results of DaVinci and capital market conditions. In the event that we are unable to renew the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement at a reasonable price and otherwise on terms satisfactory to us or at all, or if we decide not to renew the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement in whole or in part, we may pursue alternative financing arrangements if required to meet the liquidity needs of DaVinci and DaVinciRe.

Principal Letter of Credit Facility

Effective April 22, 2010, RenaissanceRe and its affiliates, Renaissance Reinsurance, Renaissance Reinsurance of Europe, Glencoe and DaVinci (such affiliates, collectively, the **Account Parties**), entered into a Third Amended and Restated Reimbursement Agreement with various banks and financial institutions parties thereto (collectively, the **Banks**), Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as issuing bank, administrative agent and collateral agent for the Banks, and certain other agents (the **Reimbursement Agreement**). The Reimbursement Agreement amended and restated in its entirety the Second Amended and Restated Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of April 27, 2007.

The Reimbursement Agreement serves as our principal secured letter of credit facility and the commitments thereunder expire on April 22, 2013. As of December 31, 2010, the Reimbursement Agreement provided commitments from the Banks in an aggregate amount of \$1.0 billion. Effective as of February 15, 2011, we reduced the commitments under the Reimbursement Agreement from \$1.0 billion to \$700.0 million. The reduction was implemented in connection with a reassessment of the future collateral needs of the Account Parties, taking into account, among other things, their access to alternative sources of credit enhancement. If the sale of substantially all of our U.S.-based insurance operations to QBE pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement is consummated, we expect to reduce the commitments under the Reimbursement Agreement by an additional \$100.0 million to \$600.0 million. Prior to the expiration date set forth above and after giving effect to the full \$400.0 million reduction, the commitments of the Banks under the Reimbursement Agreement may be increased from time to time up to an aggregate amount not to exceed \$1.1 billion, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The Reimbursement Agreement contains representations, warranties and covenants in respect of RenaissanceRe and the Account Parties and Renaissance Investment Holdings Ltd. (**RIHL**), a subsidiary of RenaissanceRe, that are customary for facilities of this type, including customary covenants limiting the ability to merge, consolidate, sell, transfer or lease all or any substantial part of their respective assets. The Reimbursement Agreement also contains certain financial covenants that are customary for reinsurance and insurance companies in facilities of this type, which require RenaissanceRe and DaVinci to maintain a minimum net worth of \$1.75 billion and \$650.0 million, respectively. The foregoing net worth requirements are recalculated effective as of the end of each fiscal year, all as more fully set forth in the Reimbursement Agreement.

Under the Reimbursement Agreement, each Account Party is required to pledge eligible collateral having a value sufficient to cover all of its obligations under the Reimbursement Agreement, including reimbursement obligations for outstanding letters of credit issued for its account. Eligible collateral includes, among other things, redeemable preference shares issued to the Account Parties by RIHL. Each Account Party that pledges RIHL shares as collateral must maintain additional unpledged RIHL shares that have a net asset value at least equal to 15% of the outstanding RIHL shares pledged by such Account Party pursuant to the Reimbursement Agreement. In addition, RIHL shares having an aggregate net asset value equal to at least 15% of the net asset value of all outstanding RIHL shares must remain unencumbered.

Under the Second Amended and Restated RIHL Undertaking and Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2010, executed by RIHL in favor of the administrative agent on behalf of the Banks in connection with the Reimbursement Agreement (the **RIHL Agreement**), RIHL agrees, among other things, to guarantee payment of the obligations of the Account Parties under the Reimbursement Agreement on the terms and subject to the limitations more fully described in the RIHL Agreement.

Table of Contents

Letters of Credit

At December 31, 2010, we had \$586.6 million of letters of credit with effective dates on or before December 31, 2010 outstanding under the Reimbursement Agreement (defined above) and total letters of credit outstanding under all facilities of \$689.0 million.

Renaissance Reinsurance is also party to a collateralized letter of credit and reimbursement agreement in the amount of \$37.5 million that supports our Top Layer Re joint venture. Renaissance Reinsurance is obligated to make a mandatory capital contribution of up to \$50.0 million in the event that a loss reduces Top Layer Re's capital below a specified level.

Renaissance Trading Margin Facility and Guarantees

Renaissance Trading maintains a brokerage facility with a leading prime broker, which has an associated margin facility. This margin facility, which we believe allows Renaissance Trading to prudently manage its cash position related to its exchange traded products, is supported by a \$10.0 million guarantee issued by RenaissanceRe. Interest on amounts outstanding under this facility is at overnight LIBOR plus 75 basis points. At December 31, 2010, \$nil was outstanding under the facility.

At December 31, 2010, RenaissanceRe had provided guarantees in the amount of \$243.0 million to certain counterparties of the weather and energy risk operations of Renaissance Trading. In the future, RenaissanceRe may issue guarantees for other purposes or increase the amount of guarantees issued to counterparties of Renaissance Trading.

Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest - DaVinciRe

DaVinciRe shareholders are party to a shareholders agreement (the "Shareholders Agreement") which provides DaVinciRe shareholders, excluding us, with certain redemption rights, that enable each shareholder to notify DaVinciRe of such shareholder's desire for DaVinciRe to repurchase up to half of such shareholder's aggregate number of shares held, subject to certain limitations, such as limiting the aggregate of all share repurchase requests to 25% of DaVinciRe's capital in any given year and satisfying all applicable regulatory requirements. If total shareholder requests exceed 25% of DaVinciRe's capital, the number of shares repurchased will be reduced among the requesting shareholders pro-rata, based on the amounts desired to be repurchased. Shareholders desiring to have DaVinciRe repurchase their shares must notify DaVinciRe before March 1 of each year. The repurchase price will be based on GAAP book value as of the end of the year in which the shareholder notice is given, and the repurchase will be effective as of such date. Payment will be made by April 1 of the following year, following delivery of the audited financial statements for the year in which the repurchase was effective. The repurchase price is subject to a true-up for development on outstanding loss reserves after settlement of all claims relating to the applicable years.

Certain third party shareholders of DaVinciRe submitted repurchase notices on or before the required annual redemption notice date of March 1, 2009, in accordance with the Shareholders Agreement. The repurchase notices submitted on or before March 1, 2009 were for shares of DaVinciRe with a GAAP book value of \$173.6 million at December 31, 2009. Effective January 1, 2010, DaVinciRe redeemed the shares for \$173.6 million, less a \$17.6 million reserve holdback and, in a separate transaction, the Company sold a portion of its shares in DaVinciRe to a third party shareholder. Our ownership in DaVinciRe was 38.2% at December 31, 2009 (2008 - 22.8%) and subsequent to the above transactions, the Company's ownership interest in DaVinciRe increased to 41.2% effective January 1, 2010.

Certain third party shareholders of DaVinciRe submitted repurchase notices on or before the required annual redemption notice date of March 1, 2010, in accordance with the Shareholders Agreement. The repurchase notices submitted on or before March 1, 2010, were for shares of DaVinciRe with a GAAP book value of \$88.4 million at December 31, 2010. Furthermore, DaVinciRe resolved to return additional capital of \$86.6 million to the remaining shareholders, including the Company, after the receipt of the repurchase notices described above. Effective January 1, 2011, DaVinciRe redeemed the shares and returned additional capital for an aggregate of \$175.0 million, less a \$17.5 million reserve holdback. As a result of the above transactions, our ownership interest in DaVinciRe increased to 44.0% effective January 1, 2011.

Table of Contents

We expect our ownership in DaVinciRe to fluctuate over time.

In advance of the March 1, 2011 redemption notice date, and as of February 16, 2011, certain third party shareholders of DaVinciRe have submitted repurchase notices, in accordance with the Shareholders Agreement, for shares of DaVinciRe with a GAAP book value of \$22.8 million at December 31, 2010.

Ratings

Financial strength ratings are an important factor in respect of the competitive position of reinsurance and insurance companies. Rating organizations continually review the financial positions of our reinsurers and insurers. We continue to receive high claims-paying and financial strength ratings from A.M. Best, S&P, Moody's and Fitch. These ratings represent independent opinions of an insurer's financial strength, operating performance and ability to meet policyholder obligations, and are not an evaluation directed toward the protection of investors or a recommendation to buy, sell or hold any of our securities.

Presented below are the ratings of our principal operating subsidiaries and joint ventures by segment and the ERM rating of RenaissanceRe as of February 16, 2011.

February 16, 2011	A.M. Best	S&P (4)	Moody's	Fitch
REINSURANCE SEGMENT (1)				
Renaissance Reinsurance	A+	AA-	A1	A+
DaVinci	A	A+		
Top Layer Re	A+	AA		
Renaissance Europe	A+	AA-		
LLOYD'S SEGMENT				
RenaissanceRe Syndicate 1458				
Lloyd's Overall Market Rating (2)	A	A+		A+
INSURANCE SEGMENT (1)				
Glencoe	A	A+		
RENAISSANCERE (3)		Excellent		

(1) The A.M. Best, S&P, Moody's and Fitch ratings for the companies in the Reinsurance and Insurance segments reflect the insurer's financial strength rating.

(2) The A.M. Best, S&P and Fitch ratings for the Lloyd's Overall Market Rating represent its financial strength rating.

(3) The S&P rating for RenaissanceRe represents rating on its Enterprise Risk Management practices.

(4) The S&P ratings for the companies in the Reinsurance and Insurance segments reflect, in addition to the insurer's financial strength rating, the insurer's issuer credit rating.

A.M. Best. A+ is the second highest designation of A.M. Best's sixteen rating levels. A+ rated insurance companies are defined as Superior companies and are considered by A.M. Best to have a very strong ability to meet their obligations to policyholders. A is the third highest designation assigned by A.M. Best, representing A.M. Best's opinion that the insurer has an excellent ability to meet its ongoing obligations to policyholders.

On November 18, 2010, A.M. Best placed under review with negative implications the financial strength rating (the FSR) of A (Excellent) of certain insurance subsidiaries of RenRe Insurance, namely Glencoe. The rating action follows the public announcement that we entered into a definitive agreement with QBE to sell substantially all of our U.S.-based insurance operations. A.M. Best has stated that it expects the under review status will be resolved once the transaction noted above has closed and A.M. Best completes its analysis.

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

On January 29, 2009, A.M. Best affirmed the FSR of A+ (Superior) of Renaissance Reinsurance and Renaissance Reinsurance of Europe (Renaissance Europe). Additionally, the FSR of DaVinci was affirmed at A (Excellent). The outlook is stable for these ratings.

S&P. The AA range (AA+ , AA , AA-), which has been assigned by S&P to Renaissance Reinsurance, Renaissance Europe and Top Layer Re, is the second highest rating assigned by S&P, and indicates that S&P believes the insurers have very strong financial security characteristics, differing only slightly from those rated higher. S&P assigns an issuer credit rating to an entity which is an opinion on the credit worthiness of obligor with respect to a specific financial obligation.

Table of Contents

On November 18, 2010, following the public announcement that we entered into a definitive agreement with QBE to sell substantially all of our U.S.-based insurance operations, S&P has placed Glencoe's counterparty credit rating (CCR) of A+ on negative watch, until such time as S&P has evaluated the new strategic objectives and support to be provided to Glencoe from RenaissanceRe going forward.

On November 1, 2010, S&P revised its outlook on Top Layer to stable from negative and at the same time, affirmed Top Layer's CCR and FSR of AA.

On August 14, 2009, S&P initiated coverage on certain insurance subsidiaries of RenRe Insurance, namely, Glencoe, assigning a CCR and FSR of A+. The outlook is stable for this rating.

On January 21, 2009, S&P initiated coverage of Renaissance Europe, assigning a rating of AA- for both its CCR and FSR. The outlook is stable for this rating.

On December 13, 2007, S&P raised its CCR on RenaissanceRe to A from A-. At the same time, S&P raised its CCR and FSR on Renaissance Reinsurance to AA- from A+. In addition, S&P raised its CCR on DaVinci to A+ from A. The outlook is stable for these ratings.

In addition, S&P assesses companies' ERM practices, which is an opinion on the many critical dimensions of risk that determine overall creditworthiness. RenaissanceRe has been assigned an ERM rating of Excellent, which is the highest rating assigned by S&P, and indicates that S&P believes the Company has extremely strong capabilities to consistently identify, measure, and manage risk exposures and losses within the Company's predetermined tolerance guidelines.

Moody's. Moody's Insurance Financial Strength Ratings and Moody's Credit Ratings represent its opinions of the ability of insurance companies to pay punctually policyholder claims and obligations and senior unsecured debt instruments. Moody's believes that insurance companies rated A1, such as Renaissance Reinsurance, and companies rated A3, such as RenaissanceRe, offer good financial security. However, Moody's believes that elements may be present which suggest a susceptibility to impairment sometime in the future.

On November 18, 2010, following the public announcement that we entered into a definitive agreement with QBE to sell substantially all of our U.S. based insurance operations, Moody's affirmed the A1 insurance FSR of Renaissance Reinsurance. The outlook is stable for this rating.

Fitch. Fitch's Issuer Financial Strength (IFS) ratings provide an assessment of the financial strength of an insurance organization. Fitch believes that insurance companies rated A+, such as Renaissance Reinsurance, have Strong capacity to meet policyholders and contract obligations on a timely basis with a low expectation of ceased or interrupted payments.

On January 19, 2011, Fitch upgraded the IFS of Renaissance Reinsurance to A+ from A. The outlook is stable for this rating.

Lloyd's Overall Market Rating

A.M. Best, S&P and Fitch have each assigned an FSR to the Lloyd's overall market. The financial risks to policy holders of syndicates within the Lloyd's market are partially mutualized through the Lloyd's Central Fund, to which all underwriting members contribute. Because of the presence of the Lloyd's Central Fund, and the current legal and regulatory structure of the Lloyd's market, FSRs on individual syndicates are not appropriate. This reflects the fact that syndicates are groupings of one or more capital providers, managed on their behalf by a managing agent, and are not legal entities in themselves.

While the ratings of our principal operating subsidiaries and joint ventures within our Reinsurance segment remain among the highest in our business, adverse ratings actions could have a negative effect on our ability to fully realize current or future market opportunities. In addition, it is common for our reinsurance contracts to

Table of Contents

contain provisions permitting our customers to cancel coverage pro-rata if our relevant operating subsidiary is downgraded below a certain rating level. Whether a client would exercise this right would depend, among other factors, on the reason for such a downgrade, the extent of the downgrade, the prevailing market conditions and the pricing and availability of replacement reinsurance coverage. Therefore, in the event of a downgrade, it is not possible to predict in advance the extent to which this cancellation right would be exercised, if at all, or what effect such cancellations would have on our financial condition or future operations, but such effect potentially could be material. To date we are not aware that we have experienced such a cancellation. Our ratings are subject to periodic review and may be revised or revoked by the agencies which issue them.

None of our operating subsidiaries which conduct the trading activities of REAL are currently rated by any of the nationally recognized rating agencies.

Investments

The table below shows the aggregate amounts of our invested assets at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

At December 31, (in thousands, except percentages)	2010		2009	
U.S. treasuries	\$ 761,461	12.4%	\$ 861,888	14.3%
Agencies	216,963	3.6%	148,785	2.5%
Non-U.S. government (Sovereign debt)	184,387	3.0%	196,994	3.3%
FDIC guaranteed corporate	388,468	6.4%	847,585	14.1%
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	357,504	5.9%	248,746	4.1%
Corporate	1,512,411	24.7%	1,082,305	18.0%
Agency mortgage-backed	401,807	6.6%	370,846	6.2%
Non-agency mortgage-backed	34,149	0.6%	36,383	0.6%
Commercial mortgage-backed	219,440	3.6%	230,854	3.8%
Asset-backed	40,107	0.7%	92,509	1.5%
Total fixed maturity investments, at fair value (1)	4,116,697	67.5%	4,116,895	68.4%
Short term investments, at fair value	1,110,364	18.2%	943,051	15.7%
Other investments, at fair value	787,548	12.9%	858,026	14.3%
Total managed investment portfolio	6,014,609	98.6%	5,917,972	98.4%
Investments in other ventures, under equity method	85,603	1.4%	97,287	1.6%
Total investments	\$ 6,100,212	100.0%	\$ 6,015,259	100.0%

(1) Included in fixed maturity investments, at fair value at December 31, 2010 and 2009 are \$3,871.8 million and \$696.9 million, respectively, of fixed maturity investments designated as trading under ASC Topic 320, *Investments – Debt and Equity Securities*.

At December 31, 2010, we held investments totaling \$6.1 billion, compared to \$6.0 billion at December 31, 2009, with net unrealized appreciation included in accumulated other comprehensive income of \$19.4 million at December 31, 2010, compared to \$46.0 million at December 31, 2009. Our investment guidelines stress preservation of capital, market liquidity, and diversification of risk. Notwithstanding the foregoing, our investments are subject to market-wide risks and fluctuations, as well as to risks inherent in particular securities.

Because the reinsurance coverages we sell include substantial protection for damages resulting from natural and man-made catastrophes, we expect from time to time to become liable for substantial claim payments on short notice. Accordingly, our investment portfolio as a whole is structured to seek to preserve capital and provide a high level of liquidity which means that the large majority of our investment portfolio consists of highly rated fixed income securities, including U.S. treasuries, agencies, highly rated sovereign and supranational securities, high-grade corporate securities, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) guaranteed corporate securities and

Table of Contents

mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities. We also have an allocation to other investments, including hedge funds, private equity partnerships, senior secured bank loan funds and other investments. At December 31, 2010, these other investments totaled \$787.5 million, or 12.9%, of our total investments (2009 \$858.0 million or 14.3%).

At December 31, 2010, our fixed maturity investments and short term investment portfolio had a dollar-weighted average credit quality rating of AA (2009 AA). At December 31, 2010, our average yield to maturity on our fixed maturity investments and our short term investment portfolio was 2.1% (2009 2.3%). At December 31, 2010, our non-investment grade fixed maturity investments totaled \$125.2 million or 3.0% of our fixed maturity investments (2009 \$85.2 million or 2.1%, respectively). In addition, within our other investments category we have several funds that invest in non-investment grade fixed income securities and non-investment grade cat-linked securities. At December 31, 2010, the funds that invest in non-investment grade fixed income securities and non-investment grade cat-linked securities totaled \$331.2 million (2009 \$410.8 million).

As of December 31, 2010, we had \$1,110.4 million of short term investments (2009 \$943.1 million). Short term investments are managed as part of our investment portfolio and have a maturity of one year or less when purchased. Short term investments are carried at fair value. During 2010, we increased our allocation to short term investments by \$167.3 million, and decreased our allocation to other investments by \$70.5 million.

Our target benchmark portfolio for our fixed maturity investments and short term investments currently has a 3.2 year duration. Our duration at December 31, 2010 was 3.2 years (2009 2.6 years). From time to time, we may reevaluate the duration of our portfolio in light of the duration of our liabilities and market conditions.

As with other fixed income investments, the value of our fixed maturity investments will fluctuate with changes in the interest rate environment and when changes occur in the overall investment market and in overall economic conditions. Additionally, our differing asset classes expose us to other risks which could cause a reduction in the value of our investments. Examples of some of these risks include:

Changes in the overall interest rate environment can expose us to prepayment risk on our mortgage-backed investments. When interest rates decline, consumers will generally make prepayments on their mortgages and, as a result, our investments in mortgage-backed securities will be repaid to us more quickly than we might have originally anticipated. When we receive these prepayments, our opportunities to reinvest these proceeds back into the investment markets will likely be at reduced interest rates. Conversely, when interest rates increase, consumers will generally make fewer prepayments on their mortgages and, as a result, our investments in mortgage-backed securities will be repaid to us less quickly than we might have originally anticipated. This will increase the duration of our portfolio, which is disadvantageous to us in a rising interest rate environment.

Our investments in mortgage-backed securities are also subject to default risk. This risk is due in part to defaults on the underlying securitized mortgages, which would decrease the market value of the investment and be disadvantageous to us. Similar risks apply to other asset-backed securities in which we may invest from time to time.

Our investments in debt securities of other corporations are exposed to losses from insolvencies of these corporations, and our investment portfolio can also deteriorate based on reduced credit quality of these corporations. We are also exposed to widening credit spreads even if specific securities are not downgraded.

Our investments in asset-backed securities are subject to prepayment risks, as noted above, and to the structural risks of these securities. The structural risks primarily emanate from the priority of each security in the issuer's overall capital structure. We are also exposed to widening credit spreads.

Within our other investments category, we have several funds that invest in non-investment grade fixed income securities as well as securities denominated in foreign currencies. These investments expose us to losses from insolvencies and other credit-related issues. We are also exposed to fluctuations in

Table of Contents

foreign exchange rates that may result in realized losses to us if our exposures are not hedged or if our hedging strategies are not effective and also to widening of credit spreads.

The following table summarizes the fair value by contractual maturity of our fixed maturity investment portfolio at the dates indicated. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without penalty.

At December 31, (in thousands, except percentages)	2010		2009	
Due in less than one year	\$ 90,450	2.2%	\$ 67,038	1.6%
Due after one through five years	2,330,181	56.6%	2,765,888	67.2%
Due after five through ten years	827,981	20.1%	480,405	11.7%
Due after ten years	172,582	4.2%	72,972	1.8%
Mortgage-backed	655,396	15.9%	638,083	15.5%
Asset-backed	40,107	1.0%	92,509	2.2%
Total fixed maturity investments, at fair value	\$ 4,116,697	100.0%	\$ 4,116,895	100.0%

The following table summarizes the composition of the fair value of our fixed maturity investments at the dates indicated by ratings as assigned by S&P, or Moody's and/or other rating agencies when S&P ratings were not available.

At December 31, (in thousands, except percentages)	2010		2009	
AAA	\$ 2,531,922	61.5%	\$ 2,980,502	72.4%
AA	489,780	11.9%	483,338	11.7%
A	666,497	16.2%	387,526	9.4%
BBB	303,269	7.4%	180,352	4.4%
Non-investment grade and not rated	125,229	3.0%	85,177	2.1%
Total fixed maturity investments, at fair value	\$ 4,116,697	100.0%	\$ 4,116,895	100.0%

Our fixed maturity investments are classified as available for sale or trading and are reported at fair value. The net unrealized appreciation or depreciation on fixed maturity investments available for sale is included in accumulated other comprehensive income. The net unrealized gains (losses) on fixed maturity investments trading is included in net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments. Net investment income includes interest income together with amortization of market premiums and discounts and is net of investment management and custody fees. The amortization of premium and accretion of discount for fixed maturity investments is computed using the effective yield method. The fair values of our fixed maturity investments are based on quoted market prices, or when such prices are not available, by reference to broker or trader bid indications and/or internal pricing valuation techniques.

Realized gains or losses on the sale of investments are determined on the basis of the first in first out cost method and include adjustments to the cost basis of investments for declines in value that are considered to be other-than-temporary. Pursuant to authoritative guidance effective April 1, 2009, we revised our quarterly process for assessing whether declines in the fair value of our fixed maturity investments available for sale represent impairments that are other-than-temporary. The process now includes reviewing each fixed maturity investment available for sale that is impaired and determining: (i) if we have the intent to sell the debt security or (ii) if it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery; and (iii) whether a credit loss exists, that is, where we expect that the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected from the security are less than the amortized cost basis of the security. See Note 6. Investments in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information regarding other-than-temporary impairments.

Table of Contents

During 2010, we recorded \$0.8 million (2009 \$22.5 million, 2008 \$214.9 million) in net other-than-temporary impairment charges. Net other-than-temporary impairments decreased in 2010, compared to 2009 due to the designation, upon acquisition of our fixed maturity investments as trading, rather than as available for sale, and as a result, at December 31, 2010, our fixed maturity investments available for sale represented 5.9% of our total fixed maturity investments, compared to 83.1% at December 31, 2009. The significant decrease in net other-than-temporary impairments during 2009, compared to 2008, is due to a combination of improved economic conditions during 2009, and the adoption of new authoritative accounting guidance related to the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments adopted during the second quarter of 2009. The net other-than-temporary impairment charges in 2009 and 2008 were primarily due to widening credit spreads during the early part of 2009 and throughout 2008 as a result of the turmoil in the financial and capital markets. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, and the year ending December 31, 2008, we recognized impairment charges for principally all of our fixed maturity investments available for sale that were in an unrealized loss position at the end of each quarter as under prior authoritative accounting guidance we did not have the intent to hold them until they fully recovered in value. Credit-related impairment charges were \$0.8 million, \$2.2 million and \$8.3 million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and relate to impaired securities which we believe we would not be able to recover the full principal amount if held to maturity. At December 31, 2010, we held 22 fixed maturity investments available for sale securities that were in an unrealized loss position. At December 31, 2010 our gross unrealized losses on fixed maturity investments available for sale totaled \$0.7 million.

Table of Contents*Credit Rating and Yield to Maturity*

The following table summarizes the composition of the amortized cost and fair value of our fixed maturity investments, short term investments and other investments at the date indicated by ratings as assigned by S&P, or Moody's and/or other rating agencies when S&P ratings were not available, and the respective yield to maturity.

At December 31, 2010 (in thousands, except percentages)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Credit Rating (1)		AAA	AA	A	BBB	Non-Investment Grade	Not Rated
			% of Total Managed Investment Portfolio	Yield to Maturity						
Short term investments	\$ 1,110,364	\$ 1,110,364	18.4%	0.2%	\$ 1,098,644	\$ 5,254	\$ 301	\$	\$ 6,165	\$
		100.0%			98.9%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
Fixed maturity investments										
U.S. treasuries	764,807	761,461	12.7%	1.6%	761,461					
Agencies										
Fannie Mae & Freddie Mac	173,204	174,287	2.9%	0.7%	174,287					
Other agencies	43,199	42,676	0.7%	1.5%	42,676					
Total agencies	216,403	216,963	3.6%	0.8%	216,963					
Non-U.S. government (Sovereign debt)	181,066	184,387	3.1%	2.7%	96,611	25,615	17,207	26,075	16,071	2,808
FDIC guaranteed corporate	385,991	388,468	6.5%	0.6%	388,468					
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	354,726	357,504	5.9%	1.5%	316,900	36,021	4,583			
Corporate	1,496,599	1,512,411	25.1%	3.8%	112,916	425,462	612,314	257,292	99,518	4,909
Mortgage-backed securities										
Residential mortgage-backed securities										
Agency securities	403,914	401,807	6.7%	3.2%	401,807					
Non-agency securities	18,417	19,591	0.3%	4.0%	14,954			4,637		
Non-agency securities Alt A	12,298	14,558	0.2%	5.8%	12,635				1,923	
Total residential mortgage-backed securities	434,629	435,956	7.2%	3.3%	429,396			4,637	1,923	
	211,732	219,440	3.7%	3.4%	169,100	2,682	32,393	15,265		

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Commercial mortgage-backed securities										
Total mortgage-backed securities	646,361	655,396	10.9%	3.4%	598,496	2,682	32,393	19,902	1,923	
Asset-backed securities										
Student loans	32,234	33,056	0.6%	1.1%	33,056					
Auto	1,804	1,809	0.0%	0.7%	1,809					
Other	5,000	5,242	0.1%	0.8%	5,242					
Total asset-backed securities	39,038	40,107	0.7%	1.0%	40,107					
Total securitized assets	685,399	695,503	11.6%	3.2%	638,603	2,682	32,393	19,902	1,923	
Total fixed maturity investments	4,084,991	4,116,697	68.5%	2.6%	2,531,922	489,780	666,497	303,269	117,512	7,717
		100.0%			61.5%	11.9%	16.2%	7.4%	2.9%	0.1%
Other investments										
Private equity partnerships		347,556	5.7%							347,556
Senior secured bank loan funds		166,106	2.8%						166,106	
Catastrophe bonds		123,961	2.1%						123,961	
Non-U.S. fixed income funds		80,224	1.3%					46,854	33,370	
Hedge funds		41,005	0.7%							41,005
Miscellaneous other investments		28,696	0.5%					21,870		6,826
Total other investments		787,548	13.1%					68,724	323,437	395,387
Total managed investment portfolio		\$ 6,014,609	100.0%		\$ 3,630,566	\$ 495,034	\$ 666,798	\$ 371,993	\$ 447,114	\$ 403,104
		100.0%			60.4%	8.2%	11.1%	6.2%	7.4%	6.7%

(1) The credit ratings included in this table are those assigned by S&P. The Company has grouped short term investments with an A-1+ and A-1 short-term issue credit rating as AAA, short term investments with A-2 short-term issue credit rating as AA and short term investments with an A-3 short-term issue credit rating as A.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the composition of the fair value of our corporate fixed maturity investments at the date indicated by ratings as assigned by S&P, or Moody's and/or other rating agencies when S&P ratings were not available.

At December 31, 2010
(in thousands)

Sector	Total	AAA	AA	A	BBB	Non-Investment Grade	Not Rated
Financials	\$ 830,319	\$ 81,392	\$ 274,121	\$ 400,752	\$ 51,197	\$ 18,659	\$ 4,198
Industrial, utilities and energy	248,517		47,398	93,518	82,948	24,653	
Communications and technology	142,077	1,979		88,076	33,499	17,980	543
Consumer	114,237		33,629	17,846	41,506	21,101	155
Health care	67,540	1,496	50,002	6,201	2,051	7,790	
Basic materials	57,181			3,970	43,991	9,207	13
Other	52,540	28,049	20,312	1,951	2,100	128	

Total corporate fixed maturity investments,
at fair
value (1)

\$ 1,512,411 \$ 112,916 \$ 425,462 \$ 612,314 \$ 257,292 \$ 99,518 \$ 4,909

(1) Excludes FDIC guaranteed and non-U.S. government backed corporate fixed maturity investments, at fair value.

The following table summarizes the composition of the fair value of the fixed maturity investments and short term investments of our top ten corporate issuers at the date indicated.

At December 31, 2010
(in thousands)

Issuer	Total	Short term investments	Fixed maturity investments
Wells Fargo & Company	\$ 67,791	\$ 5,250	\$ 62,541
JP Morgan Chase & Co.	64,920		64,920
General Electric Company	64,830		64,830
Barclays PLC	52,548		52,548
Credit Suisse Group AG	51,906		51,906
Citigroup Inc.	41,873		41,873
Bank of America Corp.	41,298		41,298
Goldman Sachs Group Inc.	34,950		34,950
Morgan Stanley	32,287		32,287
Lloyds Banking Group PLC	26,939		26,939
Total (1)	\$ 479,342	\$ 5,250	\$ 474,092

(1)

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Excludes FDIC guaranteed and non-U.S. government-backed corporate fixed maturity investments, repurchase agreements and commercial paper, at fair value.

121

Table of Contents*Other Investments*

The table below shows our portfolio of other investments at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

At December 31, (in thousands)	2010	2009
Private equity partnerships	\$ 347,556	\$ 286,108
Senior secured bank loan funds	166,106	245,701
Catastrophe bonds	123,961	160,051
Non-U.S. fixed income funds	80,224	75,891
Hedge funds	41,005	54,163
Miscellaneous other investments	28,696	36,112
Total other investments	\$ 787,548	\$ 858,026

The fair value of certain of our fund investments, which principally include hedge funds, private equity partnerships, senior secured bank loan funds and non-U.S. fixed income funds, is generally established on the basis of the net valuation criteria established by the managers of such investments, if applicable. These net asset valuations are determined based upon the valuation criteria established by the governing documents of such investments. Such valuations may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had ready markets existed for the shares, partnership interests or notes. Many of our fund investments are subject to restrictions on redemptions and sales which are determined by the governing documents and limit our ability to liquidate these investments in the short term. In addition, due to a lag in reporting, some of our fund managers, fund administrators, or both, are unable to provide final fund valuations as of our current reporting date. In these circumstances, we estimate the fair value of these funds by starting with the prior month's or quarter's fund valuation, adjusting these valuations for capital calls, redemptions or distributions and the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, and then estimating the return for the current period. In circumstances in which we estimate the return for the current period, we use all credible information available to us. This principally includes preliminary estimates reported to us by our fund managers, obtaining the valuation of underlying portfolio investments where such underlying investments are publicly traded and therefore have a readily observable price, using information that is available to us with respect to the underlying investments, reviewing various indices for similar investments or asset classes, as well as estimating returns based on the results of similar types of investments for which we have reported results, or other valuation methods, as necessary. Actual final fund valuations may differ, perhaps materially so, from our estimates and these differences are recorded in the period they become known as a change in estimate. Our estimate of the fair value of catastrophe bonds are based on quoted market prices, or when such prices are not available, by reference to broker or underwriter bid indications.

Interest income, income distributions and realized and unrealized gains and losses on other investments are included in net investment income and resulted in \$103.7 million of net investment income for 2010 (2009 \$163.6 million, 2008 negative \$219.6 million). Of this amount, \$57.5 million relates to net unrealized gains (2009 \$88.5 million, 2008 net unrealized losses of \$259.4 million).

We have committed capital to private equity partnerships and other entities of \$699.7 million, of which \$488.5 million has been contributed at December 31, 2010. Our remaining commitments to these funds at December 31, 2010 totaled \$210.8 million. In the future, we may enter into additional commitments in respect of private equity partnerships or individual portfolio company investment opportunities.

Table of Contents*Measuring the Fair Value of Other Investments Using Net Asset Valuations*

The table below shows our portfolio of other investments measured using net asset valuations:

At December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Fair Value	Unfunded Commitments	Redemption Frequency	Redemption Notice Period
Private equity partnerships	\$ 347,556	\$ 193,588	See below	See below
Senior secured bank loan funds	166,106	17,215	See below	See below
Non-U.S. fixed income funds	80,224		Monthly, Bi-monthly	5 - 20 days
Hedge funds	41,005		Annually, Bi-annually	45 - 90 days
Total other investments measured using net asset valuations	\$ 634,891	\$ 210,803		

Private equity partnerships Included in our investments in private equity partnerships are alternative asset limited partnerships that invest in certain private equity asset classes including U.S. and global leveraged buyouts; mezzanine investments; distressed securities; real estate; oil, gas and power; and secondaries. The fair values of the investments in this category have been estimated using the net asset value per share of the investments. We generally have no right to redeem our interest in any of these private equity partnerships in advance of dissolution of the applicable partnership. Instead, the nature of these investments is that distributions are received by us in connection with the liquidation of the underlying assets of the applicable limited partnership. If these investments were held, it is estimated that the majority of the underlying assets of the limited partnerships would liquidate over 7 to 10 years from inception of the limited partnership.

Senior secured bank loan funds Our investment in senior secured bank loan funds includes funds that invest primarily in bank loans and other senior debt instruments. The fair values of the investments in this category have been estimated using the net asset value per share of the funds. Investments of \$158.4 million are redeemable, in whole or in part, on a monthly basis.

Previously, we generally had no right to redeem our investment in certain bank loan funds in advance of dissolution of the applicable funds. Instead, the nature of this investment was that distributions were received by us in connection with the liquidation of the underlying assets of the applicable fund. However, during 2010, the management of the senior secured bank loan funds which previously could generally not be redeemed restructured these investments to a fund structure which would liquidate in the near term, and we elected to transfer our investment to the new fund structure. Subsequently, the balance of our investment in the new structure has been liquidated and we have received \$100.8 million in distributions from the new fund structure.

We also have a \$7.7 million investment in a closed end fund which invests in loans. We have no unilateral right to redeem our investment in this fund.

Non-U.S. fixed income funds Our non-U.S. fixed income funds invest primarily in European high yield bonds and non-U.S. convertible securities. The fair values of the investments in this category have been estimated using the net asset value per share of the investments. Investments of \$46.9 million are redeemable, in whole or in part, on a bi-monthly basis. The remaining \$33.4 million can generally only be redeemed by us at a rate of 10% per month. The issuers of these securities may permit redemptions which exceed this amount, but they are not obliged to do so.

Hedge funds We invest in hedge funds that pursue multiple strategies. The strategies employed include, among others, the following: fundamentally driven long/short; event oriented; and private investments. The fair values of the investments in this category have been estimated using the net asset value per share of the investments. Included in our hedge funds is \$9.5 million of so called side pocket investments which are not redeemable at the option of the shareholder. As to each investment in a hedge fund that includes side pocket investments, if the investment is otherwise fully redeemed, we will still retain our interest in the side pocket investments until the underlying investments attributable to such side pockets are liquidated, realized or deemed realized at the discretion of the fund manager.

Table of Contents*Investments in Other Ventures, under Equity Method*

The table below shows our investments in other ventures, under equity method:

Year ended December 31,
(in thousands, except percentages)

	2010			2009		
	Investment	Ownership %	Carrying Value	Investment	Ownership %	Carrying Value
Tower Hill Companies	\$ 50,000	25.0%	\$ 38,431	\$ 50,000	25.0%	\$ 41,544
Top Layer Re	26,875	50.0%	14,844	13,125	50.0%	26,329
Tower Hill	10,000	28.6%	14,155	10,000	28.6%	14,437
Other	19,000	n/a	18,173	12,040	n/a	14,977
Total investments in other ventures, under equity method	\$ 105,875		\$ 85,603	\$ 85,165		\$ 97,287

On July 1, 2008, the Company invested \$50.0 million in the Tower Hill Companies representing a 25.0% equity ownership. Included in the purchase price was \$40.0 million of other intangibles and \$7.8 million of goodwill, which, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, are recorded as Investments in other ventures, under equity method rather than Goodwill and other intangibles on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

Our equity in earnings of the Tower Hill Companies are reported one quarter in arrears.

RIHL

RIHL, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, holds investment grade fixed maturity securities and short term investments and was formed to enhance administrative efficiency and take advantage of the increased benefits and reduced costs ordinarily associated with the management of large investment portfolios of different subsidiaries in the same group. In addition, the administrative efficiency afforded by the use of RIHL facilitates the establishment of one of our collateralized letter of credit facilities. Through RIHL, certain of our operating subsidiaries invest in a diversified portfolio of highly liquid debt securities which are recorded at fair value. RIHL has been assigned a rating of AA+/S2 by S&P and 100% of the securities held through RIHL have been assigned a rating of A or higher by nationally recognized rating agencies. We may redeem our interests in RIHL at the current net asset value no more frequently than monthly. Third party service providers perform custodial functions in respect of RIHL, including valuation of the investment assets held through RIHL. Currently, external investment managers manage the assets held through RIHL, pursuant to written investment guidelines.

Under the terms of certain reinsurance contracts, certain of our subsidiaries and joint ventures are required to provide letters of credit to reinsureds in respect of reported claims and/or unearned premiums. Our Reimbursement Agreement as of December 31, 2010, made available to certain of our operating subsidiaries and joint ventures letters of credit having an aggregate face amount not to exceed \$1.0 billion. As discussed above in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Capital Resources, the commitments under the Reimbursement Agreement were subsequently reduced to \$700.0 million effective February 15, 2011, and if the sale of substantially all of our U.S.-based insurance operations is consummated, we expect to reduce the commitments under the Reimbursement Agreement by an additional \$100 million to \$600 million. To support the Reimbursement Agreement, certain of our participating operating subsidiaries and joint ventures have pledged RIHL shares and other securities owned by them as collateral.

At February 16, 2010, we had \$606.1 million of letters of credit with effective dates on or before December 31, 2010 outstanding under the Reimbursement Agreement.

Table of Contents**Effects of Inflation**

The potential exists, after a catastrophe loss, for the development of inflationary pressures in a local economy. The anticipated effects on us are considered in our catastrophe loss models. Our estimates of the potential effects of inflation are also considered in pricing and in estimating reserves for unpaid claims and claim expenses. In addition, as summarized in Current Outlook below, it is possible that the risk of general economic inflation has increased which could, among other things, cause claims and claim expenses to increase and also impact the performance of our investment portfolio. The actual effects of this potential increase in inflation on our results cannot be accurately known until, among other items, claims are ultimately settled. The onset, duration and severity of an inflationary period cannot be estimated with precision.

Off-Balance Sheet and Special Purpose Entity Arrangements

At December 31, 2010, we have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined by Item 303(a)(4) of Regulation S-K.

Contractual Obligations

At December 31, 2010 (in thousands)	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Long term debt obligations (1)					
5.875% Senior Notes	\$ 112,490	\$ 5,875	\$ 106,615	\$	\$
5.75% Senior Notes	382,289	14,375	28,750	28,750	310,414
DaVinciRe revolving credit facility (2)	202,363	202,363			
Private equity and investment commitments (3)	210,803	210,803			
Operating lease obligations	36,233	8,834	13,560	9,053	4,786
Capital lease obligations	47,735	2,892	5,785	5,627	33,431
Other secured liabilities	14,000	14,000			
Payable for investments purchased	195,383	195,383			
Reserve for claims and claim expenses (4)	1,257,843	358,420	364,917	192,739	341,767
Total contractual obligations	\$ 2,459,139	\$ 1,012,945	\$ 519,627	\$ 236,169	\$ 690,398

(1) Includes contractual interest payments.

(2) The interest on this facility is based on a spread above LIBOR. We have reflected the interest due in 2011 based upon the current interest rate on the facility.

(3) The private equity and investment commitments do not have a defined contractual commitment date and we have therefore included them in the less than one year category.

(4) We caution the reader that the information provided above related to estimated future payment dates of our reserves for claims and claim expenses is not prepared or utilized for internal purposes and that we currently do not estimate the future payment dates of claims and claim expenses. Because of the nature of the coverages that we provide, the amount and timing of the cash flows associated with our policy liabilities will fluctuate, perhaps significantly, and therefore are highly uncertain. We have based our estimates of future claim payments upon benchmark industry payment patterns, drawing upon available relevant sources of loss and allocated loss adjustment expense development data. These benchmarks are revised periodically as new trends emerge. We believe that it is likely that this benchmark data will not be predictive of our future claim payments and that material fluctuations can occur due to the nature of the losses which we insure

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

and the coverages which we provide.

In certain circumstances, many of our contractual obligations may be accelerated to dates other than those reflected in the table, due to defaults under the agreements governing those obligations (including pursuant to cross-default provisions in such agreements) or in connection with certain changes in control of the Company, if applicable. In addition, in connection with any such default under the agreement governing these obligations, in certain circumstances, these obligations may bear an increased interest rate or be subject to penalties as a result of such a default.

Table of Contents

Current Outlook

General Economic Conditions

While economic conditions in the U.S. and certain of our other key markets improved somewhat in the second half of 2010, meaningful uncertainty remains regarding the strength, duration and comprehensiveness of any economic recovery. While we believe the U.S. federal monetary and tax actions taken towards the end of the year may support continued economic strengthening, we nonetheless also believe meaningful risk remains for continued uncertainty or disruptions in general economic conditions, including dislocations in the financial markets. Moreover, if economic growth continues, such growth may be only at a comparably suppressed rate for a relatively extended period of time. While many governments, including the U.S. federal government, took substantial steps in 2009 and 2010 to stabilize economic conditions, these stabilizing efforts have been coming to an end or are currently scheduled to cease in coming periods. Their cessation, or the roll-back of stabilizing initiatives currently in place, could give rise to increased uncertainty, to a slowing of growth or even deterioration of economic conditions. If the current economic conditions persist at their current levels or decline, demand for the products sold by us or our customers or our overall ability to write business at risk-adequate rates could weaken. In addition, persistent low levels of economic activity could adversely impact other areas of our financial performance, such as by contributing to unforeseen premium adjustments, mid-term policy cancellations or commutations, or asset devaluation. Any of the foregoing or other outcomes of a prolonged period of economic weakness could adversely impact our financial position or results of operations. In addition, during a period of extended economic weakness like the current one, we believe our consolidated credit risk, reflecting our counterparty dealings with customers, agents, brokers, retrocessionaires, capital providers and parties associated with our investment portfolio, among others, is likely to be increased. Various of these risks could materialize, and our financial results could be negatively impacted, even after the end of the economic downturn.

Moreover, we continue to monitor the risk that our principal markets will experience increased inflationary conditions, which would, among other things, cause costs related to our claims and claim expenses to increase, and impact the performance of our investment portfolio. The onset, duration and severity of an inflationary period cannot be estimated with precision.

Our catastrophe-exposed operations are subject to the ever-present potential for significant volatility in capital due primarily to our exposure to severe catastrophic events. Our specialty reinsurance portfolio is also exposed to emerging risks arising from the ongoing economic weakness, including with respect to a potential increase of claims in directors and officers, errors and omissions, surety, casualty clash and other lines of business.

Declining interest rates and lower spreads during 2010 lowered the yields at which we invest our assets relative to historical levels. We expect these developments, combined with the current composition of our investment portfolio and other factors, to continue to put downward pressure on our net investment income for the near term. In 2009 and 2010, our investment results benefited substantially from factors including spreads tightening and improving valuations at levels which we would not anticipate repeating in future periods. In addition to impacting our reported net income, potential future losses on our investment portfolio, including potential future mark-to-market results, would adversely impact our equity capital. Moreover, as we invest cash from new premiums written or reinvest the proceeds of invested assets that mature or that we choose to sell, the yield on our portfolio is comparably impacted by the prevailing environment of comparably low yields. While it is possible yields will improve in future periods, we currently expect the challenging economic conditions to persist and we are unable to predict with certainty when conditions will substantially improve, or the pace of any such improvement.

Market Conditions and Competition

With only four other years since 1851 having the level of named Atlantic windstorm formation as 2010, it proved to be an active year meteorologically, although these material tropical windstorms did not make significant landfall in the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf Coast regions and accordingly did not give rise to meaningful insured losses. This followed a similar industry outcome in 2009, in which U.S. coastal regions exposed to severe hurricane risk benefited from an unusually inactive year meteorologically in the Atlantic basin, which we believe was in part attributed to that year's El Niño effect. As a result, insured industry losses from Atlantic windstorms in each of 2009 and 2010 were relatively benign, particularly in the Eastern and Southeastern U.S. This was in contrast to

Table of Contents

2004, 2005 and 2008, for example, in which hurricanes Katrina, Rita, Wilma and Ike, among others, resulted in

substantial insured property losses. However, 2010 was an active year for large insured seismic events, with significant earthquakes in Chile and New Zealand giving rise to material insured industry losses, and additional earthquakes in Pakistan and, in particular, Haiti, though largely uninsured, causing extensive loss of life.

Despite these large seismic events, 2010 continued the trend toward increasingly ample supplies of capital, impacted by continuing recovery in the financial markets and in asset valuations, and a more positive perception of liquidity; decreasing demand, impacted by the same factors; and to a degree by exposure reduction. In addition, the competitive conditions in the markets we serve are believed to have been meaningfully impacted in 2009 by the U.S. federal government's financing and backstopping of certain large market participants, which are deemed by many market observers and participants to have contributed to inadequate pricing conditions in respect of certain lines and coverages, which conditions continued into 2010. While 2010 was also characterized on a full-year basis by meaningful returns of capital, both by us and by participants in our industry more generally, following the relatively strong rebound in the financial markets, overall industry capital levels continue to reflect ample supply.

As a result of these and other factors, the January 2011 renewal season reflected continued softening and uncertainty in the predominant part of the markets in which we focus, and we currently expect these conditions to continue throughout the remainder of 2011. Among other things, increased capital levels and appetite for risk among primary insurance companies may continue to lead to increased retentions, further impacting the reinsurance marketplace.

Despite these dynamics and trends, we believe that our strong relationships, and track record of superior claims paying ability and other client service, will enable us to compete for the business we find attractive. However, it is possible that industry pricing in our core product lines will decrease more rapidly than we anticipate, or that we will encounter more significant competitive barriers than we have in the past.

The market for our catastrophe reinsurance products is generally dynamic and volatile. The market dynamics noted above, increased or decreased catastrophe loss activity, and changes in the amount of capital in the industry can result in significant changes to the pricing, policy terms and demand for our catastrophe reinsurance products over a relatively short period of time. In addition, changes in state-sponsored catastrophe funds, or residual markets, which have generally grown dramatically in recent years, or the implementation of new government-subsidized or sponsored programs, can dramatically alter market conditions. We believe that the overall trend of increased frequency and severity of catastrophic U.S. Atlantic and Gulf Coast region storms experienced in recent years may continue for the foreseeable future. Increased understanding of the potential increase in frequency and severity of storms may contribute to increased demand for protection in respect of coastal risks which could impact pricing and terms and conditions in coastal areas over time. We do not believe that the comparably low level of weather-related losses incurred in 2009 and 2010, despite the active Atlantic basin activity in those years, is indicative of the likely level of such losses in future periods. Overall, we expect higher property loss cost trends, driven by increased severity and by the potential for increased frequency, to continue over time in the future. At the same time, certain markets we target are currently being impacted by fundamental weakness experienced by primary insurers, due to the ongoing economic dislocation and, in many cases, inadequate primary insurance rate levels. These conditions, which occurred in a period characterized by unusually low insured catastrophic losses, have contributed to certain publicly announced instances of insolvency, regulatory supervision and other regulatory actions, and have weakened the ability of certain carriers to invest in reinsurance and other protections for coming periods, and in some cases to meet their existing premium obligations. It is possible that these dynamics will continue in future periods.

In addition, we continue to explore potential strategic transactions or investments, and other opportunities, from time to time that are presented to us or that we originate. In evaluating these potential investments and opportunities, we seek to improve the portfolio optimization of our business as a whole, the opportunity to enhance our strategy, an attractive estimated return on equity in respect of investments, the ability to develop or capitalize on a competitive advantage, and opportunities that will not detract from our core operations.

Legislative and Regulatory Update

In April 2010, the U.S. House Financial Services Committee approved H.R. 2555, titled "The Homeowners Defense Act," by a vote of 39-26. Concurrently, the Financial Services Committee passed legislation which would expand the National Flood Insurance Program (the "NFIP") to cover damage to or loss of real or related personal

Table of Contents

property located in the U.S. arising from any windstorm (any hurricane, tornado, cyclone, typhoon, or other wind event) (this legislation, together with H.R. 2555, is referred to below as the House Bills). H.R. 2555 would, if enacted, provide for the creation of (i) a federal reinsurance catastrophe fund; (ii) a federal consortium to facilitate qualifying state residual markets and catastrophe funds in securing reinsurance; and (iii) a federal bond guarantee program for state catastrophe funds in qualifying state residual markets. While neither of the House Bills was ultimately passed in the 2010 Congress, members of both the House and Senate continue to express support for this legislation and it remains likely this legislation or similar legislation will be considered in 2011. If enacted, any of these bills, or legislation similar to these proposals, would, we believe, likely contribute to the growth of state entities offering below market priced insurance and reinsurance in a manner adverse to us and market participants more generally. While none of this legislation has been enacted to date, and although we believe such legislation will continue to be vigorously opposed, if adopted these bills would likely diminish the role of private market catastrophe reinsurers and could adversely impact our financial results, perhaps materially.

Throughout 2009 and into early 2010, Congress passed a series of short term extensions of the NFIP. In September 2010, Congress extended the program for a one year period; this extension is scheduled to expire September 30, 2011. According to public statements, both Congress and the Federal Emergency Management Agency plan to continue to explore the possibility of new legislation which might reshape the federal flood insurance program, perhaps substantially. Expansions or weakening of the NFIP program, or a failure to act on the expiring current program in a timely fashion, particularly if unanticipated by industry participants, could have dislocating impacts on the industry and our customers and potentially have an adverse impact on us.

In 2007, the State of Florida enacted legislation to expand the FHCF's provision of below-market rate reinsurance to up to \$28.0 billion per season (the 2007 Florida Bill). In May of 2009, the Florida legislature enacted Bill No. CS/HB 1495 (the 2009 Bill), which will gradually phase out \$12.0 billion in optional reinsurance coverage under the FHCF over the succeeding five years. The 2009 Bill increased the cost of the optional coverage, which is believed to have contributed to reductions in the amount of this coverage purchased by eligible insurers until the phase-out is complete. The 2009 Bill similarly allows the state-sponsored property insurer, Citizens, to raise its rates up to 10% starting in 2010 and every year thereafter, until such time that it has sufficient funds to pay its claims and expenses. For 2010, the approved rate increase for Citizens was approximately 5%. The rate increases and cut back on coverage by FHCF and Citizens are expected to support a relatively increased role of the private insurers in Florida, a market in which we have established substantial market share. This legislation may, however, take several years to have a significant effect on the private market; moreover, its impact may not be sufficient to restore stability to the Florida market in light of certain trends that are adversely impacting the stability of local market participants, such as practices and findings relating to sinkhole claims, and the statutes of limitations on alleged windstorm claims from prior accident years. These factors have contributed, for example, to a return to rapid growth in the policies issued by Citizens rather than by private market insurers, diminishing the market in turn for our own coverages. While legislation intended to ameliorate these and other issues for the Florida market is expected to be introduced in the 2011 session, there can be no assurance that any such legislation will be enacted or that it will indeed succeed in improving the strength and stability of the Florida market.

We believe the 2007 Florida Bill caused a substantial decline in the private reinsurance and insurance markets in and relating to Florida, and contributed to the decline in our property catastrophe gross premiums written in 2008 and 2007 as compared to 2006. The 2007 Florida Bill and other regulatory actions over this period may have contributed to instability in the Florida primary insurance market, where many insurers reported substantial and continuing losses in 2009 and 2010, each unusually low catastrophe years. Because of our position as one of the largest providers of catastrophe-exposed coverage, both on a global basis and in respect of the Florida market, the 2007 Florida Bill and the weakened financial position of Florida insurers may have a disproportionate adverse impact on us compared to other reinsurance market participants. In addition, it is possible that other regulatory or legislative changes in, or impacting Florida could affect our ability to sell certain of our products and could therefore have a material adverse effect on our operations.

In July 2009, U.S. Rep. Richard Neal introduced the Neal Bill, which provides that foreign insurers and reinsurers would be capped in deducting reinsurance premiums ceded from U.S. units to offshore affiliates. The Obama Administration included similar provisions in its formal 2010 and 2011 budgetary proposals. In the event the sale of substantially all of our U.S.-based insurance operations does not close, we believe that passage of such legislation could adversely affect us, perhaps materially.

Table of Contents

In March 2009, U.S. Senator Carl Levin and Rep. Lloyd Doggett introduced legislation in the U.S. Senate and House, respectively, entitled the Stop Tax Haven Abuse Act (S. 506). Rep. Doggett introduced similar legislation in 2010 and early 2011. If enacted, this legislation would, among other things, cause to be treated as a U.S. corporation for U.S. tax purposes generally, entities whose shares are publicly traded on an established securities market, or whose gross assets are \$50.0 million or more, if the management and control of such a corporation is, directly or indirectly, treated as occurring primarily within the U.S. The proposed legislation provides that a corporation will be so treated if substantially all of the executive officers and senior management of the corporation who exercise day-to-day responsibility for making decisions involving strategic, financial, and operational policies of the corporation are located primarily within the U.S. In addition, among other things, the legislation would establish presumptions for entities and transactions in jurisdictions deemed to be offshore secrecy jurisdictions and would provide a list of such jurisdictions. To date, this legislation has not been approved by either the House of Representatives or the Senate. However, we can provide no assurance that this legislation or similar legislation will not ultimately be adopted. While we do not believe that the legislation would impact us, it is possible that an adopted bill would include additional or expanded provisions which could negatively impact us, or that the interpretation or enforcement of the current proposal, if enacted, would be more expansive or adverse than we currently estimate.

In July 2010 the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law by President Obama. The Dodd-Frank Act represents a comprehensive overhaul of the financial services industry within the United States, establishes the new federal Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection (the BCFP), and will require the BCFP and other federal agencies to implement many new rules. At this time, it is difficult to predict the extent to which the Dodd-Frank Act or the resulting regulations will impact the Company's business. However, compliance with these new laws and regulations will result in additional costs, which may adversely impact the Company's results of operations, financial condition or liquidity. Even if we are not subject to additional regulation by the federal government, new or additional state, federal or international financial sector regulatory reform, including the Dodd-Frank Act, could have a significant impact on us. For example, legislative or regulatory changes, or their resultant impact on our market, could have an unexpected adverse effect on our customers, our competitive position or our rights as a creditor. Although we do not currently expect material adverse consequences to our business from the developments of which we are aware, we cannot assure you this expectation will prove accurate or that the Dodd-Frank Act or other developments will not impact our business more adversely than we currently estimate.

Recent Developments

On February 22, 2011, an earthquake with a magnitude of 6.3 on the Richter Scale struck the South Island of New Zealand near Christchurch (the February 2011 New Zealand earthquake) causing significant destruction. While reported to have been smaller in magnitude, the epicenter of the February 2011 New Zealand earthquake was both closer to Christchurch and shallower than the 7.1 magnitude earthquake that struck the region on September 4, 2010. These factors may contribute to significant insured economic losses in respect of this event. While one leading catastrophe modeling company has estimated that total insured losses from the February 2011 New Zealand earthquake will be between NZD \$5.0 billion and NZD \$11.5 billion, given the magnitude and recent occurrence of this event, there is a lack of data available from industry participants and clients, resulting in significant uncertainty with respect to potential insured losses from this event, and also with respect to our potential losses from this event.

Due to the preliminary nature of the available information as to the February 2011 New Zealand earthquake and the other factors described above, it is difficult at this time to provide an estimate of the financial impact of this event on the Company. At this time, we have not received meaningful loss or claims reports from brokers or clients. Based upon the current publicly available industry preliminary insured loss estimates, market share analysis, the application of our modeling techniques and a review of our in-force contracts, our current preliminary assessment is that the impact of the February 2011 New Zealand earthquake on our financial results (net of reinstatement premiums, retrocessional recoveries and noncontrolling interest) will be significant, and will likely be material. Losses from this event will be recorded in our first quarter 2011 results. Moreover, at this time it is too early to forecast what impacts, if any, the February 2011 New Zealand earthquake may have on future market conditions.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are principally exposed to five types of market risk: interest rate risk; foreign currency risk; credit risk; energy and weather-related risk; and equity price risk. The Company's guidelines permit investments in derivative instruments such as futures, forward contracts, options, swap agreements and other derivative contracts which may be used to assume risk or for hedging purposes.

Interest Rate Risk

Our investment portfolio includes fixed maturity investments and short term investments, whose fair values will fluctuate with changes in interest rates. We attempt to maintain adequate liquidity in our fixed maturity investments portfolio to fund operations, pay reinsurance and insurance liabilities and claims and provide funding for unexpected events. We seek to manage our interest rate risk in part by monitoring the duration and structure of our investment portfolio.

The aggregate hypothetical loss generated from an immediate adverse parallel shift in the treasury yield curve of 100 basis points would cause a decrease in market value of 2.1%, which equated to a decrease in market value of approximately \$109.8 million on a portfolio valued at \$5.2 billion at December 31, 2010. The foregoing reflects the use of an immediate time horizon, since this presents the worst-case scenario. Credit spreads are assumed to remain constant in these hypothetical examples.

We use interest rate futures within our portfolio of fixed maturity investments to manage our exposure to interest rate risk, which can include increasing or decreasing our exposure to this risk. At December 31, 2010, we had \$2.2 billion of notional long positions and \$209.1 million of notional short positions of primarily Eurodollar, U.S. Treasury and non-U.S. dollar futures contracts (2009 \$826.9 million and \$46.5 million, respectively). We account for these derivatives at fair value and record them in our consolidated balance sheet as other assets or other liabilities depending on the rights or obligations. The fair value of these derivatives as recognized in other assets and liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010, was \$2.5 million (2009 \$0.9 million) and \$0.7 million (2009 \$0.1 million), respectively. During 2010, we recorded losses of \$9.1 million (2009 gains of \$5.2 million, 2008 gains of \$12.4 million) in our consolidated statement of operations related to these derivatives. The aggregate hypothetical loss generated from an immediate upward parallel shift in the treasury yield curve of 100 basis points would cause a decrease in market value of our net position in these derivatives of approximately \$23.0 million at December 31, 2010. The foregoing reflects the use of an immediate time horizon, since this presents the worst-case scenario. Credit spreads are assumed to remain constant in these hypothetical examples.

Foreign Currency Risk

Our functional currency is the U.S. dollar. We write a portion of our business in currencies other than U.S. dollars and may, from time to time, experience foreign exchange gains and losses in our consolidated financial statements. All changes in exchange rates, with the exception of non-U.S. dollar denominated investments classified as available for sale, are recognized currently in our consolidated statements of operations.

Underwriting Operations Related Foreign Currency Contracts

Our foreign currency policy with regard to our underwriting operations is generally to hold foreign currency assets, including cash, investments and receivables that approximate the foreign currency liabilities, including claims and claim expense reserves and reinsurance balances payable. When necessary, we may use foreign currency forward and option contracts to minimize the effect of fluctuating foreign currencies on the value of non-U.S. dollar denominated assets and liabilities associated with our underwriting operations. At December 31, 2010, the Company had outstanding underwriting related foreign currency contracts of \$42.0 million in long positions and \$188.1 million in short positions, denominated in U.S. dollars (2009 \$81.0 million and \$81.0 million, respectively). Our foreign currency and option contracts are recorded at fair value, which is determined principally by obtaining quotes from independent dealers and counterparties. During 2010, we generated a gain of \$4.2 million (2009 loss of \$0.1 million, 2008 loss of \$21.4 million), on our foreign currency forward and option contracts related to our underwriting operations.

Table of Contents

Investment Portfolio Related Foreign Currency Forward Contracts

Our investment operations are exposed to currency fluctuations through our investments in non-U.S. dollar fixed maturity investments, short term investments and other investments. At December 31, 2010, our combined investment in these non-U.S. dollar investments was \$273.7 million. To economically hedge our exposure to currency fluctuations from these investments, we have entered into foreign currency forward contracts. Unrealized foreign exchange gains or losses arising from non-U.S. dollar investments classified as available for sale are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. Realized foreign exchange gains or losses from the sale of our non-U.S. dollar fixed maturity investments available for sale, realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains or losses from the sale of our non-U.S. dollar fixed maturity investments trading and other investments, and foreign exchange gains (losses) associated with our hedging of these non-U.S. dollar investments are recorded in net foreign exchange gains (losses) in our statements of operations. At December 31, 2010, we had outstanding investment portfolio related foreign currency contracts of \$69.2 million in long positions and \$281.0 million in short positions, denominated in U.S. dollars (2009 \$95.2 million and \$316.7 million, respectively). During 2010, we recorded a gain of \$20.1 million (2009 loss of \$6.4 million, 2008 gain of \$5.8 million) on our foreign currency forward contracts related to hedging our non-U.S. dollar investments. This was offset by a loss of \$17.8 million (2009 gain of \$5.5 million, 2008 loss of \$4.9 million) on our non-U.S. dollar denominated investments. In addition, we recorded a loss of \$2.8 million in accumulated other comprehensive income (2009 gain of \$2.6 million) related to the change in unrealized foreign exchange (losses) gains on non-U.S. dollar investments which are classified as available for sale. In the future, we may choose to increase our exposure to non-U.S. dollar investments.

Energy and Risk Management Operations Related Foreign Currency Contracts

Our energy and risk management operations are exposed to currency fluctuations through certain derivative transactions we enter into that are denominated in non-U.S. dollars. The Company may, from time to time, use foreign currency forward and option contracts to minimize the effect of fluctuating foreign currencies on the value of non-U.S. dollar denominated assets and liabilities associated with these operations. At December 31, 2010, the total notional amount in U.S. dollars of our energy and risk management operations related foreign currency contracts was \$10.0 million (2009 \$13.0 million). For the year ended December 31, 2010, we generated gains of \$0.5 million (2009 incurred losses of \$0.5 million, 2008 generated gains of \$0.1 million) on our foreign currency forward and option contracts related to our energy and risk management operations.

Credit Risk

Our exposure to credit risk is primarily due to our fixed maturity investments, short term investments, premiums receivable and prepaid reinsurance balances. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, our invested asset portfolio had a dollar weighted average rating of AA. From time to time, we purchase credit derivatives to hedge our exposures in the insurance industry, to assist in managing the credit risk associated with ceded reinsurance, or to assume credit risk. The fair value of the credit derivatives are determined using industry valuation models and we record them on our consolidated balance sheet as other assets or other liabilities depending on the rights or obligations. The fair value of these credit derivatives can change based on a variety of factors including changes in credit spreads, default rates and recovery rates, the correlation of credit risk between the referenced credit and the counterparty, and market rate inputs such as interest rates.

The fair value of these credit derivatives, as recognized in other assets in our balance sheet at December 31, 2010, was \$3.1 million (2009 other liabilities of \$0.5 million). During 2010, we recorded gains of \$1.3 million (2009 \$0.3 million, 2008 \$1.1 million) in our consolidated statement of operations from our credit derivative positions.

Table of Contents

Energy and Weather-Related Risk

Energy and Risk Management Operations

We regularly transact in certain derivative-based risk management products primarily to address weather and energy risks and engage in hedging and trading activities related to these risks. The trading markets for these derivatives are generally linked to energy and agriculture commodities, weather and other natural phenomena. The unit which conducts these activities also transacts business which contemplates the physical delivery of energy-related commodities, including natural gas. Currently, a significant percentage of our derivative-based risk management products are transacted on a dual-trigger basis combining weather or other natural phenomenon, with prices for commodities or securities related to energy or agriculture. The fair value of these contracts is obtained through the use of quoted market prices, or in the absence of such quoted prices, industry or internal valuation models. Generally, our current portfolio of such derivative contracts is of comparably short duration and such contracts are predominantly seasonal in nature. Over time, we currently expect that our participation in these markets, and the impact of these operations on our financial results, is likely to increase on both an absolute and relative basis. It is possible the duration of derivative contracts in this portfolio will lengthen in the future.

We use, among other things, value-at-risk (VaR) analysis to monitor the risks associated with our energy and weather derivatives trading portfolio. VaR is a tool that measures the potential loss that could occur if our trading positions were maintained over a defined period of time, calculated at a given statistical confidence level. Due to the seasonal nature of our energy and weather derivatives trading activities, the VaR is based on a rolling two season (one-year) holding period assuming no dynamic trading during the holding period. A 99% confidence level is used for the VaR analysis. A 99% confidence level implies that within a one-year period, the potential loss in our portfolio is not expected to exceed the VaR estimate in 99% of the possible modeled outcomes. In the remaining estimated 1% of the possible outcomes, the anticipated potential loss is expected to be higher than the VaR figure, and on average substantially higher.

The VaR model, based on a Monte Carlo simulation methodology, seeks to take into account correlations between different positions and potential for movements to offset one another within the portfolio. The expected value of the risk factors in our portfolio is generally obtained from exchange-traded futures markets. For most of the risk factors, the volatility is derived from exchange-traded options markets. For those risk factors for which exchange-traded options might not exist, the volatility is based on historical analysis matched to broker quotes from the over-the-counter market, where available. The joint distribution of outcomes is based on our estimate of the historical seasonal dependence among the underlying risk factors, scaled to the current market levels. We then estimate the expected outcomes by applying a Monte Carlo simulation to these risk factors. The joint distribution of the simulated risk factors is then filtered through the portfolio positions, and then the distribution of the outcomes is realized. The 99th percentile of this distribution is then calculated as the portfolio VaR. The major limitation of this methodology is that the market data used to forecast parameters of the model may not be an appropriate proxy of those parameters. The VaR methodology uses a number of assumptions, such as (i) risks are measured under average market conditions, assuming normal distribution of market risk factors, (ii) future movements in market risk factors follow estimated historical movements, and (iii) the assessed exposures do not change during the holding period. There is no guarantee that these assumptions will prove correct. We expect that, for any given period, our actual results will differ from our assumptions, including with respect to previously estimated potential losses and that such losses could be substantially higher than the estimated VaR.

At December 31, 2010, the estimated VaR for our portfolio of energy and weather-related derivatives, as described above, calculated at an estimated 99% confidence level, was \$46.2 million. The average, low and high amounts calculated by our VaR analysis during the year ended December 31, 2010 were \$23.0 million, \$0.4 million and \$52.7 million, respectively.

Cat-Linked Securities

In addition, we have entered into a credit derivatives agreement with respect to cat-linked securities whereby we have sold cat-linked securities with a par amount of \$14.0 million at December 31, 2010 (2009 \$27.5 million) to a bank, while retaining the underlying risk. The agreement allows us to repurchase these securities at par and obligates us to repurchase the securities under certain circumstances including catastrophe triggering events and

Table of Contents

events of default. As a result of this transaction, we are receiving the spread over LIBOR for each of the cat-linked securities subject to the credit derivatives agreement, less a financing fee. The credit derivatives agreement is accounted for at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in other income. We recognized \$1.2 million (2009 \$3.9 million, 2008 \$2.2 million) of other income in our consolidated statements of operations in 2009 from this transaction. A 10% decrease in the value of securities underlying the credit derivatives agreement would negatively impact our results by \$1.4 million.

Equity Price Risk

We are indirectly exposed to equity price risk through our investments in: 1) some hedge funds that have net long equity positions; 2) private equity partnerships whose exit strategies often depend on the equity markets; such investments totaled \$388.6 million at December 31, 2010 (2009 \$340.3 million); and 3) our investments in other ventures, under equity method, which totaled \$85.6 million at December 31, 2010 (2009 \$97.3 million). A hypothetical 10 percent decline in the prices of these hedge funds, private equity partnerships and investments in other ventures, under equity method, holding all other factors constant, would have resulted in a \$47.4 million decline in the fair value of these investments at December 31, 2010.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Reference is made to Item 15(a) of this Report for the Consolidated Financial Statements of RenaissanceRe and the Notes thereto, as well as the Schedules to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Internal Controls: We have designed various disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act), to help ensure that information required to be disclosed in our periodic Exchange Act reports, such as this annual report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on a timely and accurate basis. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed with the objective of ensuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to our senior management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that: (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the issuer; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the issuer are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the issuer; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Limitations on the effectiveness of controls: Our Board of Directors and management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, do not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting will prevent all errors and all fraud. Controls, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the controls are met. Further, we believe that the design of prudent controls must reflect appropriate resource constraints, such that the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all controls, there can be no absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, applicable to us have been or will be detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple errors or mistakes. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some individuals, by collusion of more than one person, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Evaluation: An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as required by Rules 13a-15(b) and 15d-15(b) of the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that, at December 31, 2010, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level in ensuring that information required to be disclosed in Company reports filed under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. There has been no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

This item is omitted because a definitive proxy statement that involves the election of directors will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A, which proxy statement is incorporated by reference.

RenaissanceRe has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to its directors and executive officers. The Code of Ethics is available free of charge on our website <http://www.renre.com>. We will also provide a printed version of the Code of Ethics to any shareholder who requests it. We intend to disclose any amendments to our Code of Ethics by posting such information on our website. As outlined in the Code of Ethics, any waivers of our Code of Ethics applicable to our directors, principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller and other executive officers who perform similar functions will be disclosed by filing a Form 8-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

This item is omitted because a definitive proxy statement that involves the election of directors will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A, which proxy statement is incorporated by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

This item is omitted because a definitive proxy statement that involves the election of directors will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A, which proxy statement is incorporated by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

This item is omitted because a definitive proxy statement that involves the election of directors will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A, which proxy statement is incorporated by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

This item is omitted because a definitive proxy statement that involves the election of directors will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the close of the fiscal year pursuant to Regulation 14A, which proxy statement is incorporated by reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Financial Statements, Financial Statement Schedules and Exhibits.

1. Financial Statements

The Consolidated Financial Statements of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and related Notes thereto are listed in the accompanying Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and are filed as part of this Form 10-K.

2. Financial Statement Schedules

The Schedules to the Consolidated Financial Statements of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. are listed in the accompanying Index to Schedules to Consolidated Financial Statements and are filed as a part of this Form 10-K.

3. Exhibits

3.1 Memorandum of Association. (1)

3.2 Amended and Restated Bye-Laws. (2)

3.3 Memorandum of Increase in Share Capital of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (3)

3.4 Specimen Common Share certificate. (1)

10.1 Form of Director Retention Agreement, dated as of November 8, 2002, entered into by each of the non-employee directors of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (4)

10.2 Further Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of February 19, 2009, between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Neill A. Currie. (8)

10.3 Amendment No. 1 to the Further Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated January 8, 2010, by and among RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Neill A. Currie. (9)

10.4 Employment Agreement, dated as of June 10, 2009, by and between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Jeffrey D. Kelly. (11)

10.5 Amendment No. 1 the Employment Agreement, dated January 8, 2010, by and among RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Jeffrey D. Kelly. (9)

10.6 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of July 19, 2006, between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and John D. Nichols, Jr. (10)

10.7 Separation, Consulting, and Release Agreement, dated January 11, 2010, by and between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and John D. Nichols, Jr. (9)

10.8 Separation, Consulting, and Release Agreement, dated January 11, 2010, by and between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and William J. Ashley. (9)

10.9 Sublease Agreement, dated as of July 19, 2006, between Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd. and John D. Nichols, Jr. (10)

10.10 Form of Employment Agreement for Executive Officers. (10)

10.11 Form of Amendment to Employment Agreement for Executive Officers. (13)

10.12 Form of Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement for Executive Officers. (7)

10.13 Form of Amendment No. 3 to the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for Executive Officers. (9)

Table of Contents

10.14	Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 9, 2009, by and among RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., various financial institutions parties thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as LC Issuer and Administrative Agent for the lenders, Citibank, N.A., as Syndication Agent, Barclays Bank PLC, The Bank of New York Mellon and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Co-Documentation Agents, and Banc of America Securities LLC and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers. (15)
10.15	First Amendment Agreement to the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of November 23, 2009, by and among RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., the lenders named therein and Bank of America, N.A., as LC Issuer and Administrative Agent for the lenders. (42)
10.16	Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 5, 2006, by and among DaVinciRe Holdings Ltd., the banks, financial institutions and other institutional lenders listed thereto (the Lenders), Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as sole lead arranger, book manager and syndication agent, and Citibank, N.A. as administrative agent for the Lenders. (16)
10.17	Amendment No. 1 to Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of March 9, 2010, among DaVinciRe Holdings Ltd., the banks, financial institutions and other institutional lenders listed thereto and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent for the lenders. (32)
10.18	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (18)
10.19	Amendment No. 1 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (19)
10.20	Amendment No. 2 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (19)
10.21	Amendment No. 3 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (20)
10.22	Amendment No. 4 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (40)
10.23	Amendment No. 5 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (37)
10.24	UK Schedule to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (20)
10.25	UK Sub-Plan to the RenaissanceRe Holdings 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (20)
10.26	Form of Option Grant Notice and Agreement pursuant to which option grants are made under the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (21)
10.27	Form of Restricted Stock Grant Notice and Agreement pursuant to which Restricted Stock grants are made under the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (21)
10.28	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2004 Stock Option Incentive Plan. (22)
10.29	Amendment No. 1 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2004 Stock Option Incentive Plan. (23)
10.30	Form of Option Agreement pursuant to which option grants are made under the RenaissanceRe Holdings 2004 Stock Option Incentive Plan to executive officers. (22)
10.31	Amended and Restated RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Non-Employee Director Stock Plan. (25)
10.32	Amendment No. 1 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Non-Employee Director Stock Plan. (25)
10.33	Amendment No. 2 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Non-Employee Director Stock Plan. (26)
10.34	Amendment No. 3 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Non-Employee Director Stock Plan. (31)
10.35	Form of Restricted Stock Grant Agreement for Directors. (5)
10.36	Form of Option Grant Agreement for Directors. (5)
10.37	Master Standby Letter of Credit Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of November 2, 2001, between Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd. and Fleet National Bank. Timicuan Reinsurance Ltd. has become a party to this agreement pursuant to an accession agreement. (27)
10.38	Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of 6.08% Series C Preference Shares. (29)
10.39	Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of 6.60% Series D Preference Shares. (30)

Table of Contents

10.40	Senior Indenture, dated as of July 1, 2001, between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., as Issuer, and Bankers Trust Company, as Trustee. (12)
10.41	Second Supplemental Indenture, by and between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (f/k/a Bankers Trust Company), dated as of January 31, 2003. (14)
10.42	Second Amended and Restated Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of April 27, 2007, by and among Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd., Renaissance Reinsurance of Europe, Glencoe Insurance Ltd., DaVinci Reinsurance Ltd., RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Issuing Bank, Administrative Agent, and Collateral Agent for the Lenders, certain Co-Syndication Agents, ING Bank N.V., as Documentation Agent, and certain Lenders party thereto. (17)
10.43	Master Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of April 29, 2009, by and between Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd. and Citibank Europe PLC. (20)
10.44	Pledge Agreement, dated as of April 29, 2009, by and between Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd. and Citibank Europe PLC. (20)
10.45	Agreement Regarding Use of Aircraft Interest, dated as of November 17, 2009, by and between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Neill A. Currie. (42)
10.46	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2010 Restricted Stock Unit Plan. (42)
10.47	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, pursuant to which restricted stock unit grants are made under the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2010 Restricted Stock Unit Plan. (42)
10.48	Senior Indenture, dated as of March 17, 2010, among RenRe North America Holdings Inc., as Issuer, RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., as Guarantor, and Deutsche Bank Trust Companies America, as Trustee. (33)
10.49	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 17, 2010, among RenRe North America Holdings Inc., as Insurer, RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., as Guarantor, and Deutsche Bank Trust Companies America, as Trustee. (33)
10.50	Senior Debt Securities Guarantee Agreement, dated as of March 17, 2010, between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., as Guarantor, and Deutsche Bank Trust Companies America, as Guarantee Trustee. (33)
10.51	Waiver Agreement, dated as of January 21, 2011, by and among RenRe North America Holdings Inc., RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as Trustee. (41)
10.52	Credit Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2010, by and among RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., as Borrower, the financial institutions parties thereto, as Lenders, and Bank of America, N.A., as Fronting Bank, LC Administrator and Administrative Agent. (34)
10.53	Amendment, Consent and Waiver to Credit Agreement, dated as of January 18, 2011, by and among RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., as Borrower, the financial institutions parties thereto, as Lenders, and Bank of America, N.A., as Fronting Bank, LC Administrator and Administrative Agent. (41)
10.54	Third Amended and Restated Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2010, by and among Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd., Renaissance Reinsurance of Europe, Glencoe Insurance Ltd., DaVinci Reinsurance Ltd., RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., the financial institutions parties thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as successor by merger to Wachovia Bank, National Association, as issuing bank, collateral agent and administrative agent. (34)
10.55	Amendment, Consent and Waiver to Third Amended and Restated Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of January 18, 2011, by and among Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd., Renaissance Reinsurance of Europe, Glencoe Insurance Ltd., DaVinci Reinsurance Ltd., RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., the financial institutions parties thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as issuing bank, collateral agent and administrative agent. (41)

Table of Contents

10.56	Second Amended and Restated RIHL Undertaking and Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2010, by RenaissanceRe Investment Holdings Ltd., in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (as successor by merger to Wachovia Bank, National Association), as Administrative Agent, and the other Lender Parties. (34)
10.57	Form of Letter Agreement with Neill A. Currie. (35)
10.58	Form of Letter Agreement with the Named Executive Officers. (35)
10.59	Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Grant Notice and Agreement pursuant to which performance-based restricted stock awards are made under the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2010 Performance-Based Equity Incentive Plan. (36)
10.60	Performance-Based Restricted Stock Grant Notice and Agreement under the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2010 Performance-Based Equity Incentive Plan, dated June 9, 2010, between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Neill A. Currie. (36)
10.61	Facility Letter, dated September 17, 2010, from Citibank Europe plc to Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd., DaVinci Reinsurance Ltd. and Glencoe Insurance Ltd. (38)
10.62	Insurance Letters of Credit Master Agreement, dated September 17, 2010, between Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd. and Citibank Europe plc. DaVinci Reinsurance Ltd. and Glencoe Insurance Ltd. have each entered into an agreement with Citibank Europe plc that is identical to the foregoing agreement, except with respect to party names. (38)
10.63	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 18, 2010, by and between RenRe North America Holdings Inc., and QBE Holdings Inc. (39)
21.1	List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young Ltd.
31.1	Certification of Neill A. Currie, Chief Executive Officer of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of Jeffrey D. Kelly, Chief Financial Officer of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification of Neill A. Currie, Chief Executive Officer of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Jeffrey D. Kelly, Chief Financial Officer of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
(1)	Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (Registration No. 33-70008) which was declared effective by the SEC on July 26, 1995.
(2)	Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2002, filed with the SEC on August 14, 2002.

Table of Contents

- (3) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 1998, filed with the SEC on May 14, 1998 (SEC File Number 000-26512)
- (4) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, filed with the SEC on March 31, 2003 (SEC File Number 001-14428)
- (5) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 27, 2006
- (6) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2007, filed with the SEC on May 2, 2007.
- (7) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 25, 2008.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 25, 2009.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 14, 2010.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on July 21, 2006, relating to certain events which occurred on July 19, 2006. Other than with respect to the Percent and Lump Sum Percent (as defined and disclosed in the Form 8-K) and matters such as names and titles, the employment agreements for Messrs. O Donnell and Ashley are identical to the form filed as Exhibit 10.9.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on June 15, 2009.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on July 17, 2001.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2008, filed with the SEC on May 2, 2008.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 31, 2003.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 14, 2009.
- (16) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 11, 2006, relating to certain events which occurred on April 5, 2006.
- (17) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 3, 2007.

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

- (18) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-90758) dated June 19, 2002.
- (19) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2007, filed with the SEC on May 2, 2007.
- (20) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009, filed with the SEC on May 1, 2009.
- (21) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004, filed with the SEC on November 9, 2004.
- (22) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on September 2, 2004.

Table of Contents

- (23) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, filed with the SEC on March 31, 2005 (SEC File Number 001-14428).
- (24) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-90758) dated June 19, 2002.
- (25) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2007, filed with the SEC on May 2, 2007.
- (26) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2008, filed with the SEC on October 30, 2008.
- (27) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, filed with the SEC on April 1, 2002.
- (28) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 4, 2003.
- (29) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 18, 2004.
- (30) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Form 8-A, filed with the SEC on December 14, 2006.
- (31) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed with the SEC on February 20, 2009.
- (32) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 11, 2010.
- (33) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 18, 2010.
- (34) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 27, 2010.
- (35) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on April 29, 2010.
- (36) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on June 11, 2010.
- (37) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on August 13, 2010.
- (38) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on September 23, 2010.

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

- (39) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 18, 2010.
- (40) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the Commission on April 8, 2010.
- (41) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 24, 2011.
- (42) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, filed with the SEC on February 19, 2010.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in Hamilton, Bermuda on February 24, 2011.

RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD.

/s/ Neill A. Currie
Neill A. Currie
President, Chief Executive Officer,
Director

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Neill A. Currie	President, Chief Executive Officer, Director	February 24, 2011
Neill A. Currie		
/s/ Jeffrey D. Kelly	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer	February 24, 2011
Jeffrey D. Kelly		
/s/ Mark A. Wilcox	Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer	February 24, 2011
Mark A. Wilcox		
/s/ W. James MacGinnitie	Chairman of the Board of Directors	February 24, 2011
W. James MacGinnitie		
/s/ Thomas A. Cooper	Director	February 24, 2011
Thomas A. Cooper		
/s/ David C. Bushnell	Director	February 24, 2011
David C. Bushnell		
/s/ James L. Gibbons	Director	February 24, 2011
James L. Gibbons		
/s/ Jean D. Hamilton	Director	February 24, 2011
Jean D. Hamilton		
/s/ Henry Klehm, III	Director	February 24, 2011
Henry Klehm, III		

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

/s/ Ralph B. Levy	Director	February 24, 2011
Ralph B. Levy		
/s/ Anthony M. Santomero	Director	February 24, 2011
Anthony M. Santomero		
/s/ Nicholas L. Trivisonno	Director	February 24, 2011
Nicholas L. Trivisonno		
/s/ Edward J. Zore	Director	February 24, 2011
Edward J. Zore		

Table of Contents

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
<u>Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	F-2
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-3
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	F-7
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	F-8
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u>	F-9
<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-10

Table of Contents

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management at RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (RenaissanceRe) is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. RenaissanceRe's internal control over financial reporting was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and to reflect management's judgments and estimates concerning effects of events and transactions that are accounted for or disclosed. There are inherent limitations to the effectiveness of any controls. Controls, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance that its objectives are met. No evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within RenaissanceRe have been detected.

Management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, assessed its internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on this assessment, management believes that RenaissanceRe maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010.

RenaissanceRe's effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, has been audited by Ernst & Young Ltd., the Independent Registered Public Accountants who also audited RenaissanceRe's consolidated financial statements. Ernst & Young Ltd.'s attestation report on the effectiveness of RenaissanceRe's internal control over financial reporting appears on page F-4.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS OF RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders' equity, comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Subsidiaries at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As described in Note 6, on April 1, 2009 the Company adopted FSP FAS 115-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* (Codified in FASB ASC Topic 320, Investments—Debt and Equity Securities).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated February 24, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young Ltd.

Hamilton, Bermuda

February 24, 2011

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS OF RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD.

We have audited RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Subsidiaries internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Subsidiaries management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders’ equity, comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010 of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Subsidiaries and our report dated February 24, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young Ltd.

Hamilton, Bermuda

February 24, 2011

Table of Contents**RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Balance Sheets****At December 31, 2010 and 2009**

(in thousands of United States Dollars, except per share amounts)

	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Assets		
Fixed maturity investments trading, at fair value (Amortized cost \$3,859,442 and \$707,732 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively) (Notes 6 and 7)	\$ 3,871,780	\$ 696,894
Fixed maturity investments available for sale, at fair value (Amortized cost \$225,549 and \$3,377,593 at December 31, 2010 and 2009 respectively) (Notes 6 and 7)	244,917	3,420,001
Short term investments, at fair value (Notes 6 and 7)	1,110,364	943,051
Other investments, at fair value (Notes 6 and 7)	787,548	858,026
Investments in other ventures, under equity method (Note 6)	85,603	97,287
 Total investments	 6,100,212	 6,015,259
Cash and cash equivalents	277,738	203,112
Premiums receivable	322,080	323,672
Prepaid reinsurance premiums (Note 8)	60,643	76,096
Reinsurance recoverable (Notes 8 and 10)	101,711	84,099
Accrued investment income	34,560	30,529
Deferred acquisition costs	35,648	39,068
Receivable for investments sold	99,226	7,431
Other secured assets (Notes 7 and 9)	14,250	27,730
Other assets	205,373	172,703
Goodwill and other intangible assets (Note 5)	14,690	15,306
Assets of discontinued operations held for sale (Note 3)	872,147	931,207
 Total assets	 \$ 8,138,278	 \$ 7,926,212
Liabilities, Noncontrolling Interests and Shareholders Equity		
Liabilities		
Reserve for claims and claim expenses (Note 10)	\$ 1,257,843	\$ 1,344,433
Unearned premiums	286,183	317,592
Debt (Note 11)	549,155	300,000
Reinsurance balances payable	318,024	384,361
Payable for investments purchased	195,383	59,236
Other secured liabilities (Notes 7 and 9)	14,000	27,500
Other liabilities	222,310	200,016
Liabilities of discontinued operations held for sale (Note 3)	598,511	665,641
 Total liabilities	 3,441,409	 3,298,779
 Commitments and Contingencies (Note 23)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe (Note 13)	757,655	786,647
 Shareholders Equity (Note 14)		
Preference Shares: \$1.00 par value 22,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2010 (2009 26,000,000 shares)	550,000	650,000
	54,110	61,745

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Common shares: \$1.00 par value 54,109,840 shares issued and outstanding at
December 31, 2010 (2009 61,744,857 shares)

Accumulated other comprehensive income	19,823	41,438
Retained earnings	3,312,392	3,087,603
<i>Total shareholders' equity attributable to RenaissanceRe</i>	3,936,325	3,840,786
Noncontrolling interest (Note 13)	2,889	
<i>Total shareholders' equity</i>	3,939,214	3,840,786
<i>Total liabilities, noncontrolling interests and shareholders' equity</i>	\$ 8,138,278	\$ 7,926,212

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

F-5

Table of Contents**RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Operations****For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008**

(in thousands of United States Dollars, except per share amounts)

	2010	2009	2008
Revenues			
Gross premiums written	\$ 1,165,295	\$ 1,228,881	\$ 1,242,287
Net premiums written (Note 8)	\$ 848,965	\$ 838,333	\$ 935,500
Decrease in unearned premiums	15,956	43,871	48,948
Net premiums earned (Note 8)	864,921	882,204	984,448
Net investment income (Note 6)	203,955	318,179	13,879
Net foreign exchange (losses) gains	(17,126)	(13,623)	2,600
Equity in (losses) earnings of other ventures (Note 6)	(11,814)	10,976	13,603
Other income	41,120	1,798	5,486
Net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments (Note 6)	144,444	93,679	11,462
Total other-than-temporary impairments	(831)	(26,968)	(214,897)
Portion recognized in other comprehensive income, before taxes	2	4,518	
Net other-than-temporary impairments (Note 6)	(829)	(22,450)	(214,897)
Total revenues	1,224,671	1,270,763	816,581
Expenses			
Net claims and claim expenses incurred (Note 10)	129,345	(70,698)	481,498
Acquisition expenses	94,961	104,150	141,616
Operational expenses	166,042	153,552	94,414
Corporate expenses	20,136	12,658	24,293
Interest expense (Note 11)	21,829	15,111	24,633
Total expenses	432,313	214,773	766,454
Income from continuing operations before taxes	792,358	1,055,990	50,127
Income tax benefit (expense) (Note 17)	6,124	(10,031)	180
Income from continuing operations	798,482	1,045,959	50,307
Income from discontinued operations (Note 3)	62,670	6,700	33,846
Net income	861,152	1,052,659	84,153
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests (Note 13)	(116,421)	(171,501)	(55,133)
Net income attributable to RenaissanceRe	744,731	881,158	29,020
Dividends on preference shares (Note 14)	(42,118)	(42,300)	(42,300)
Net income (loss) available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders	\$ 702,613	\$ 838,858	\$ (13,280)

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Income (loss) from continuing operations available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share basic	\$	11.28	\$	13.39	\$	(0.75)
Income from discontinued operations available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share basic		1.14		0.11		0.54
Net income (loss) available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share basic (Note 15)	\$	12.42	\$	13.50	\$	(0.21)
Income (loss) from continuing operations available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share diluted	\$	11.18	\$	13.29	\$	(0.75)
Income from discontinued operations available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share diluted		1.13		0.11		0.54
Net income (loss) available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share diluted (Note 15)	\$	12.31	\$	13.40	\$	(0.21)
Dividends per common share (Note 14)	\$	1.00	\$	0.96	\$	0.92

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity****For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008**

(in thousands of United States Dollars)

	2010	2009	2008
Preference shares			
Balance January 1	\$ 650,000	\$ 650,000	\$ 650,000
Repurchase of shares	(100,000)		
Balance December 31	550,000	650,000	650,000
Common shares			
Balance January 1	61,745	61,503	68,920
Repurchase of shares	(8,198)	(951)	(8,064)
Exercise of options and issuance of restricted stock awards (Note 20)	563	1,193	647
Balance December 31	54,110	61,745	61,503
Additional paid-in capital			
Balance January 1			107,867
Repurchase of shares	(30,284)	(36,455)	(131,328)
Change in redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe	5,200	896	
Exercise of options and issuance of restricted stock awards (Note 20)	25,084	35,559	23,461
Balance December 31			
Accumulated other comprehensive income			
Balance January 1	41,438	75,387	44,719
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of taxes (1)		(76,198)	
Change in net unrealized gains	(21,613)	46,767	30,668
Portion of other-than-temporary impairments recognized in other comprehensive income	(2)	(4,518)	
Balance December 31	19,823	41,438	75,387
Retained earnings			
Balance January 1	3,087,603	2,245,853	2,605,997
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of taxes (1)		76,198	
Net income	861,152	1,052,659	84,153
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests (Note 13)	(116,421)	(171,501)	(55,133)
Repurchase of shares	(421,888)	(13,566)	(289,014)
Dividends on common shares	(55,936)	(59,740)	(57,850)
Dividends on preference shares	(42,118)	(42,300)	(42,300)
Balance December 31	3,312,392	3,087,603	2,245,853

Noncontrolling interest (Note 13)	2,889		
<i>Total shareholders' equity</i>	\$ 3,939,214	\$ 3,840,786	\$ 3,032,743

- (1) Cumulative effect adjustment to opening retained earnings as of April 1, 2009, related to the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments, as required by Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic *Investments Debt and Equity Securities*.
See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

F-7

Table of Contents**RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income****For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008**

(in thousands of United States Dollars)

	2010	2009	2008
Comprehensive income			
Net income	\$ 861,152	\$ 1,052,659	\$ 84,153
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax			
Change in net unrealized gains	(25,040)	46,069	28,788
Portion of other-than-temporary impairments recognized in other comprehensive income	(2)	(4,518)	
Comprehensive income	836,110	1,094,210	112,941
Net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe	(116,532)	(171,501)	(55,133)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	111		
Other comprehensive loss attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe	3,427	698	1,880
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(112,994)	(170,803)	(53,253)
Comprehensive income attributable to RenaissanceRe	\$ 723,116	\$ 923,407	\$ 59,688
Disclosure regarding net unrealized gains			
Total realized and net unrealized holding gains on fixed maturity investments available for sale and net other-than-temporary impairments	\$ 58,284	\$ 130,179	\$ (175,646)
Net realized gains on fixed maturity investments available for sale (1)	(80,726)	(105,893)	(10,700)
Net other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings (2)	829	22,481	217,014
Change in net unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments available for sale	\$ (21,613)	\$ 46,767	\$ 30,668

(1) Included in net realized gains on fixed maturity investments available for sale is \$7.7 million, \$0.1 million and \$(0.8) million of net realized gains (losses) on fixed maturity investments available for sale included within the Company's discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

(2) Included in net other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings is \$nil, \$31 thousand and \$2.1 million of net other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings included within the Company's discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Subsidiaries****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008**

(in thousands of United States Dollars)

	2010	2009	2008
<i>Cash flows provided by operating activities</i>			
Net income	\$ 861,152	\$ 1,052,659	\$ 84,153
<i>Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities</i>			
Amortization, accretion and depreciation	59,719	9,213	(8,871)
Equity in undistributed losses (earnings) of other ventures	23,959	(592)	2,638
Net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments	(151,213)	(93,162)	(10,700)
Net other-than-temporary impairments	829	22,481	217,014
Net unrealized (gains) losses included in net investment income	(57,540)	(88,545)	259,398
Net unrealized gains included in other income	(12,337)	(20,378)	(4,537)
Change in:			
Premiums receivable	(23,215)	(24,197)	(90,555)
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	14,030	(3,833)	19,897
Deferred acquisition costs	10,479	20,034	22,308
Reserve for claims and claim expenses, net	(159,864)	(353,313)	15,857
Unearned premiums	(46,023)	(63,586)	(53,101)
Reinsurance balances payable	(29,432)	66,147	39,971
Other	4,176	65,961	52,404
<i>Net cash provided by operating activities</i>	494,720	588,889	545,876
<i>Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities</i>			
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments available for sale	3,751,669	10,036,434	11,403,443
Purchases of investments available for sale	(403,660)	(10,516,908)	(10,776,997)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments trading	7,795,587	61,218	
Purchases of investments trading	(11,122,823)	(845,466)	
Net (purchases) sales of short term investments	(26,752)	1,170,037	(350,794)
Net sales (purchases) of other investments	122,065	3,994	(218,263)
Net purchases of investments in other ventures	(1,915)	(3,000)	(37,372)
Net (purchases) sales of other assets	(5,561)	(19,385)	6,500
Net purchases of subsidiaries		(2,741)	(77,631)
<i>Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities</i>	108,610	(115,817)	(51,114)
<i>Cash flows used in financing activities</i>			
Dividends paid RenaissanceRe common shares	(55,936)	(59,740)	(57,850)
Dividends paid preference shares	(42,118)	(42,300)	(42,300)
RenaissanceRe common share repurchases	(448,882)	(50,972)	(428,406)
Net issuance (repayment) of debt	249,046	(150,000)	(1,951)
Redemption of 7.30% Series B preference shares	(100,000)		
Reverse repurchase agreement		(50,042)	50,000
Secured asset financing			(11,500)
Net third party DaVinciRe share repurchases	(136,702)	(132,718)	(56,451)
Third party investment in noncontrolling interest	3,000		

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

<i>Net cash used in financing activities</i>	(531,592)	(485,772)	(548,458)
Effect of exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash	(1,003)	(1,276)	(1,838)
<i>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</i>	70,735	(13,976)	(55,534)
<i>Net decrease (increase) in cash and cash equivalents of discontinued operations</i>	3,891	31,961	(16,465)
<i>Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year</i>	203,112	185,127	257,126
<i>Cash and cash equivalents, end of year</i>	\$ 277,738	\$ 203,112	\$ 185,127

See accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements

F-9

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2010

(amounts in tables expressed in thousands of United States (U.S.) dollars, except per share amounts)

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION

RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (RenaissanceRe), was formed under the laws of Bermuda on June 7, 1993. Together with its wholly owned and majority-owned subsidiaries and DaVinciRe (as defined below), which are collectively referred to herein as the Company , RenaissanceRe provides reinsurance and insurance coverages and related services to a broad range of customers.

Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd. (Renaissance Reinsurance), the Company s principal reinsurance subsidiary, provides property catastrophe and specialty reinsurance coverages to insurers and reinsurers on a worldwide basis.

The Company also manages property catastrophe and specialty reinsurance business written on behalf of joint ventures, which principally include Top Layer Reinsurance Ltd. (Top Layer Re), recorded under the equity method of accounting, and DaVinci Reinsurance Ltd. (DaVinci). Because the Company owns a noncontrolling equity interest in, but controls a majority of the outstanding voting power of, DaVinci s parent, DaVinciRe Holdings Ltd. (DaVinciRe), the results of DaVinci and DaVinciRe are consolidated in the Company s financial statements. Redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe represents the interests of external parties with respect to the net income and shareholders equity of DaVinciRe. Renaissance Underwriting Managers Ltd. (RUM), a wholly owned subsidiary, acts as exclusive underwriting manager for these joint ventures in return for fee-based income and profit participation.

RenaissanceRe Syndicate 1458 (Syndicate 1458) is the Company s Lloyd s syndicate which was licensed to start writing certain lines of insurance and reinsurance business effective June 1, 2009. RenaissanceRe Corporate Capital (UK) Limited (RenaissanceRe CCL), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, is Syndicate 1458 s sole corporate member and RenaissanceRe Syndicate Management Ltd. (RSML), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company from November 2, 2009, is the managing agent for Syndicate 1458.

The Company, through Renaissance Trading Ltd. (Renaissance Trading) and RenRe Energy Advisors Ltd. (REAL), transacts certain derivative-based risk management products primarily to address weather and energy risk and engages in hedging and trading activities related to those transactions.

On November 18, 2010, the Company entered into a definitive stock purchase agreement (the Stock Purchase Agreement) with QBE Holdings, Inc. (QBE) to sell substantially all of its U.S.-based insurance operations including its U.S. property and casualty business underwritten through managing general agents, its crop insurance business underwritten through Agro National Inc. (Agro National), its commercial property insurance operations and its claims operations. The Company has classified the assets and liabilities associated with this transaction as held for sale. The financial results for these operations have been presented in the Company s consolidated financial statements as discontinued operations for all periods presented. Refer to Note 3. Discontinued Operations , for more information. Insurance policies previously written in connection with the Company s Bermuda-based insurance operations not being sold pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement are included in the Company s continuing operations and are included in the Company s Insurance segment.

Table of Contents

NOTE 2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the U.S. (GAAP) and include the accounts of RenaissanceRe and its wholly owned and majority-owned subsidiaries and DaVinciRe. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated on consolidation. Except as discussed in Note 3. Discontinued Operations, and unless otherwise noted, the notes to the consolidated financial statements reflect the Company's continuing operations. Certain prior year comparatives have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

USE OF ESTIMATES IN FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported and disclosed amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. The major estimates reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements include, but are not limited to, the reserve for claims and claim expenses, reinsurance recoverables, including allowances for reinsurance recoverables deemed uncollectible, estimates of written and earned premiums, fair value, including the fair value of investments, financial instruments and derivatives, impairment charges and the Company's net deferred tax asset.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

The results of operations of substantially all of the Company's U.S.-based insurance operations are classified as held for sale and are reported as discontinued operations in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic *Discontinued Operations*. The consolidated financial statements and notes thereto are presented excluding the operations and cash flows of the discontinued operations from the continuing operations of the Company since the Company will not have any significant continuing involvement in the operations after the sale. The financial position and results of operations of discontinued operations are presented as single line items on the consolidated balance sheets and statements of operations, respectively. Certain prior year comparatives have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

PREMIUMS AND RELATED EXPENSES

Premiums are recognized as income, net of any applicable reinsurance or retrocessional coverage purchased, over the terms of the related contracts and policies. Premiums written are based on contract and policy terms and include estimates based on information received from both insureds and ceding companies. Subsequent differences arising on such estimates are recorded in the period in which they are determined. Unearned premiums represents the portion of premiums written that relate to the unexpired terms of contracts and policies in force. Amounts are computed by pro-rata methods based on statistical data or reports received from ceding companies. Reinstatement premiums are estimated after the occurrence of a significant loss and are recorded in accordance with the contract terms based upon paid losses and case reserves. Reinstatement premiums are earned when written.

Acquisition costs, consisting principally of commissions, brokerage and premium tax expenses incurred at the time a contract or policy is issued, are deferred and amortized over the period in which the related premiums are earned. Deferred policy acquisition costs are limited to their estimated realizable value based on the related unearned premiums. Anticipated claims and claim expenses, based on historical and current experience, and anticipated investment income related to those premiums are considered in determining the recoverability of deferred acquisition costs.

Table of Contents

CLAIMS AND CLAIM EXPENSES

The reserve for claims and claim expenses includes estimates for unpaid claims and claim expenses on reported losses as well as an estimate of losses incurred but not reported. The reserve is based on individual claims, case reserves and other reserve estimates reported by insureds and ceding companies as well as management estimates of ultimate losses. Inherent in the estimates of ultimate losses are expected trends in claim severity and frequency and other factors which could vary significantly as claims are settled. Also, during the past few years, the Company has increased its specialty reinsurance business, but does not have the benefit of a significant amount of its own historical experience in certain of these lines of business. Accordingly, the setting and reserving for incurred losses in these lines of business could be subject to greater variability.

Ultimate losses may vary materially from the amounts provided in the consolidated financial statements. These estimates are reviewed regularly and, as experience develops and new information becomes known, the reserves are adjusted as necessary. Such adjustments, if any, are reflected in the consolidated statements of operations in the period in which they become known and are accounted for as changes in estimates.

REINSURANCE

Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the claim liability associated with the reinsured policies. For multi-year retrospectively rated contracts, the Company accrues amounts (either assets or liabilities) that are due to or from assuming companies based on estimated contract experience. If the Company determines that adjustments to earlier estimates are appropriate, such adjustments are recorded in the period in which they are determined. Reinsurance recoverables on dual trigger reinsurance contracts require the Company to estimate its ultimate losses applicable to these contracts as well as estimate the ultimate amount of insured industry losses that will be reported by the applicable statistical reporting agency, as per the contract terms. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are recorded net of a valuation allowance for estimated uncollectible recoveries.

Assumed and ceded reinsurance contracts that lack a significant transfer of risk are treated as deposits.

INVESTMENTS, CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Fixed Maturity Investments

Investments in fixed maturities are classified as available for sale or trading and are reported at fair value. Investment transactions are recorded on the trade date with balances pending settlement reflected in the balance sheet as a receivable for investments sold or a payable for investments purchased. Net investment income includes interest and dividend income together with amortization of market premiums and discounts and is net of investment management and custody fees. The amortization of premium and accretion of discount for fixed maturity securities is computed using the effective yield method. For mortgage-backed securities and other holdings for which there is prepayment risk, prepayment assumptions are evaluated quarterly and revised as necessary. Any adjustments required due to the change in effective yields and maturities are recognized on a prospective basis through yield adjustments. Fair values of investments are based on quoted market prices, or when such prices are not available, by reference to broker or underwriter bid indications and/or internal pricing valuation techniques. The net unrealized appreciation or depreciation on fixed maturity investments available for sale is included in accumulated other comprehensive income. The net unrealized appreciation or depreciation on fixed maturity investments trading is included in net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments in the consolidated statement of operations. Realized gains or losses on the sale of investments are determined on the basis of the first in first out cost method and, for fixed maturity investments available for sale, include adjustments to the cost basis of investments for declines in value that are considered to be other-than-temporary.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Effective April 1, 2009

The Company recognizes other-than-temporary impairments in earnings for its impaired fixed maturity securities available for sale (i) for which the Company has the intent to sell the security or (ii) it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery and (iii) for those securities

Table of Contents

which have a credit loss. In assessing whether a credit loss exists, the Company compares the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected from the security with the amortized cost basis of the security. In instances in which a determination is made that an impairment exists but the Company does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery of its remaining amortized cost basis, the impairment is separated into (i) the amount of the total impairment related to the credit loss and (ii) the amount of the total impairment related to all other factors. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss is recognized in earnings. The amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. In periods after the recognition of other-than-temporary impairments on the Company's fixed maturity securities available for sale, the Company accounts for such securities as if they had been purchased on the measurement date of the other-than-temporary impairment at an amortized cost basis equal to the previous amortized cost basis less the other-than-temporary impairment recognized in earnings. For debt securities in which other-than-temporary impairments were recognized in earnings, the difference between the new amortized cost basis and the cash flows expected to be collected will be amortized into net investment income.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Process Prior to April 1, 2009

Under the pre-existing guidance, which was in effect for 2008 and the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company assessed, on a quarterly basis, whether declines in the fair value of its fixed maturity investments available for sale represented impairments that were other-than-temporary based on several factors. The factors the Company considered in the assessment of a security included: (i) the time period during which there had been a significant decline below cost; (ii) the extent of the decline below cost; (iii) the Company's intent and ability to hold the security; (iv) the potential for the security to recover in value; (v) an analysis of the financial condition of the issuer; and (vi) an analysis of the collateral structure and credit support of the security, if applicable. Where the Company determined that there was an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of the security, the cost of the security was written down to its fair value and the unrealized loss at the time of determination was reflected in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

The majority of the Company's fixed maturity investments available for sale are managed by external investment managers in accordance with specific investment mandates and guidelines. The investment managers are directed to manage the Company's investments to maximize total investment return in accordance with these investment mandates and guidelines. While the Company has adequate capital and liquidity to support its operations and to hold its fixed maturity investments available for sale which were in an unrealized loss position until they recover in value, the Company has not prohibited or restricted its investment managers from selling these investments and its investment managers actively trade the Company's investments. The Company was therefore unable to represent or certify that it had the intent or ability to hold these investments until they recovered in value. As a consequence, under the pre-existing guidance which was in effect for 2008 and the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company impaired essentially all of its fixed maturity investments available for sale that were in an unrealized loss position at each quarterly reporting date.

Short Term Investments and Cash and Cash Equivalents

Short term investments, which are managed as part of the Company's investment portfolio and have a maturity of one year or less when purchased, are carried at fair value. Cash equivalents include money market instruments with a maturity of ninety days or less when purchased.

Other Investments

Other investments are carried at fair value with interest and dividend income, income distributions and realized and unrealized gains and losses included in net investment income. The fair value of other investments is generally established on the basis of the net asset valuation criteria established by the managers of the investments. These net asset valuations are determined based upon the valuation criteria established by the governing documents of such investments. Many of the Company's other investments are subject to restrictions on redemptions or sales which are determined by the governing documents and limit the Company's ability to liquidate these investments in the short term. In addition, due to a lag in reporting, some of the Company's fund

Table of Contents

managers, fund administrators, or both, are unable to provide final fund valuations as of the Company's current reporting date. In these circumstances, the Company estimates the fair value of these funds by starting with the prior month's or quarter's fund valuation, adjusting these valuations for capital calls, redemptions or distributions and the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, and then estimating the return for the current period. In circumstances in which the Company estimates the return for the current period, it uses all credible information available. This principally includes preliminary estimates reported by its fund managers, obtaining the valuation of underlying portfolio investments where such underlying investments are publicly traded and therefore have a readily observable price, using information that is available to the Company with respect to the underlying investments, reviewing various indices for similar investments or asset classes, as well as estimating returns based on the results of similar types of investments for which the Company has reported results, or other valuation methods, as necessary. Actual final fund valuations may differ, perhaps materially so, from the Company's estimates and these differences are recorded in the period they become known as a change in estimate. The Company's estimate of the fair value of catastrophe bonds are based on quoted market prices, or when such prices are not available, by reference to broker or underwriter bid indications.

Investments in Other Ventures

Investments in which the Company has significant influence over the operating and financial policies of the investee are classified as investments in other ventures, under equity method, and are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Under this method, the Company records its proportionate share of income or loss from such investments in its results for the period. Any decline in value of investments in other ventures, under equity method considered by management to be other-than-temporary is charged to income in the period in which it is determined.

DERIVATIVES

The Company enters into derivative instruments such as futures, options, swaps, forward contracts and other derivative contracts in order to manage its foreign currency exposure, obtain exposure to a particular financial market, for yield enhancement, or for trading and speculation. The Company accounts for its derivatives in accordance with FASB ASC Topic *Derivatives and Hedging*, which requires all derivatives to be recorded at fair value on the Company's balance sheet as either assets or liabilities, depending on their rights or obligations, with changes in fair value reflected in current earnings. The Company does not currently apply hedge accounting. The fair value of the Company's derivatives are estimated by reference to quoted prices or broker quotes, where available, or in the absence of quoted prices or broker quotes, the use of industry or internal valuation models.

FAIR VALUE

The Company accounts for certain of its assets and liabilities at fair value in accordance with FASB ASC Topic *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*. The Company recognizes the change in unrealized gains and losses arising from changes in fair value in its statements of operations, with the exception of changes in unrealized gains and losses on its fixed maturity investments available for sale, which are recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity.

BUSINESS COMBINATIONS, GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The Company accounts for business combinations in accordance with FASB ASC Topic *Business Combinations*, and goodwill and other intangible assets that arise from business combinations in accordance with FASB ASC Topic *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other*. A purchase price that is in excess of the fair value of the net assets acquired arising from a business combination is recorded as goodwill, and is not amortized. Other intangible assets with a finite life are amortized over the estimated useful life of the asset. Other intangible assets with an indefinite useful life are not amortized.

Goodwill and other indefinite life intangible assets are tested for impairment on an annual basis or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. Definite life intangible assets are reviewed for indicators of impairment on an annual basis or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable, and tested for impairment if appropriate. For purposes of the annual impairment evaluation, goodwill is assigned to the

Table of Contents

applicable reporting unit of the acquired entities giving rise to the goodwill. Goodwill and other intangible assets recorded in connection with investments accounted for under the equity method, are recorded as Investments in other ventures, under equity method on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

The Company has established September 30 as the date for performing its annual impairment tests. If goodwill or other intangible assets are impaired, they are written down to their estimated fair value with a corresponding expense reflected in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

The Company accounts for its noncontrolling interest in the shareholders' equity section of the Company's consolidated balance sheet in accordance with FASB ASC Topic *Consolidations*, and presents such noncontrolling shareholders interest in the net assets of the subsidiary. Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests is presented separately in the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

In addition, the Company accounts for its redeemable noncontrolling interest in DaVinciRe in the mezzanine section of the Company's consolidated balance sheet in accordance with Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) guidance which is applicable to SEC registrants. The SEC guidance requires shares, not required to be accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC Topic *Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity*, and having redemption features that are not solely within the control of the issuer, to be classified outside of permanent equity in the mezzanine section of the balance sheet. Because the share classes related to the redeemable noncontrolling interest portion of DaVinciRe are not considered liabilities in accordance with FASB ASC Topic *Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity* and have redemption features that are not solely within the control of DaVinciRe, the redeemable noncontrolling interest in DaVinciRe is disclosed in the mezzanine section on the Company's consolidated balance sheet in accordance with the SEC guidance noted above. The SEC guidance does not impact the accounting for redeemable noncontrolling interest on the consolidated statements of operations; therefore, the provisions of FASB ASC Topic *Consolidation* with respect to the consolidated statements of operations still apply.

Refer to Note 13. Noncontrolling Interests for more information.

EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share are based on weighted average common shares and exclude any dilutive effects of options and restricted stock. Diluted earnings per share assumes the exercise of all dilutive stock options and restricted stock grants.

In June 2008, the FASB issued guidance to determine whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share under FASB ASC Topic *Earnings per Share*. The two-class method is used to determine earnings per share based on dividends declared on common stock and participating securities (i.e. distributed earnings) and participation rights of participating securities in any undistributed earnings. Unvested restricted stock granted by the Company to its employees is considered a participating security and the Company uses the two-class method to calculate its net income (loss) available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share basic and diluted.

FOREIGN EXCHANGE

The Company's functional currency is the U.S. dollar. Revenues and expenses denominated in foreign currencies are translated at the prevailing exchange rate at the transaction date. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated at exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet date, which may result in the recognition of exchange gains or losses which are included in the determination of net income.

Table of Contents

TAXATION

Income taxes have been provided in accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic *Income Taxes*, on those operations which are subject to income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities result from temporary differences between the amounts recorded in the consolidated financial statements and the tax basis of the Company's assets and liabilities. Such temporary differences are primarily due to net operating loss carryforwards and GAAP versus tax basis accounting differences relating to accrued expenses, investments and tax sharing obligations. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance against deferred tax assets is recorded if it is more likely than not that all, or some portion, of the benefits related to deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Uncertain tax positions are also accounted for in accordance with FASB ASC Topic *Income Taxes*. Uncertain tax positions must meet a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold to be recognized.

VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

The Company accounts for variable interest entities (VIE) in accordance with FASB ASC Topic *Consolidation*, which requires the consolidation of all VIE's by the primary beneficiary, that being the investor that has the power to direct the activities of the VIE and will absorb a majority of the VIE's expected losses or residual returns. The Company determines whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE by performing an analysis that principally considers: (i) the VIE's purpose and design, including the risks the VIE was designed to create and pass through to its variable interest holders; (ii) the VIE's capital structure; (iii) the terms between the VIE and its variable interest holders and other parties involved with the VIE; (iv) which variable interest holders have the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance; (v) which variable interest holders have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE; and (vi) related party relationships. The Company reassesses its initial evaluation of an entity as a VIE upon the occurrence of certain reconsideration events. The Company reassesses its determination of whether the Company is the primary beneficiary of a VIE upon changes in facts and circumstances that could potentially alter the Company's assessment.

Refer to Note 12. Variable Interest Entities for additional information.

NOTE 3. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

U.S.-Based Insurance Operations

On November 18, 2010, the Company entered into a Stock Purchase Agreement with QBE to sell substantially all of its U.S.-based insurance operations, including its U.S. property and casualty business underwritten through managing general agents, its crop insurance business underwritten through Agro National, its commercial property insurance operations and its claims operations. The Company has classified the assets and liabilities associated with this transaction as held for sale and the assets and liabilities have been recorded at the lower of the carrying value or fair value less costs to sell. The financial results for these operations have been presented as discontinued operations in the Company's consolidated statements of operations for all periods presented.

Consideration for the transaction is book value at December 31, 2010, for the aforementioned businesses, currently estimated to be \$283.4 million, payable in cash at closing and subject to adjustment for certain tax and other items. The transaction is expected to close in early 2011 and is subject to regulatory approvals and customary closing conditions.

Table of Contents

The Company has reclassified the assets and liabilities of the discontinued operations to assets of discontinued operations and liabilities of discontinued operations, respectively, on its consolidated balance sheets. Details of the assets, liabilities and shareholder's equity of discontinued operations held for sale at December 31, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

	December 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Assets of Discontinued Operations Held for Sale		
Fixed maturity investments trading, at fair value (Amortized cost \$157,744 and \$40,251 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively)	\$ 156,282	\$ 39,701
Fixed maturity investments available for sale, at fair value (Amortized cost \$529 and \$135,590 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively)	529	139,196
Short term investments, at fair value	59,594	59,255
Total investments	216,405	238,152
Cash and cash equivalents	53,713	57,604
Premiums receivable	290,962	266,155
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	17,179	15,756
Reinsurance recoverable	82,420	110,142
Accrued investment income	1,240	1,399
Deferred acquisition costs	15,743	22,802
Other assets	27,832	32,644
Goodwill and other intangibles	57,034	61,382
Amounts due from affiliates	109,619	125,171
Total assets of discontinued operations held for sale	\$ 872,147	\$ 931,207
Liabilities of Discontinued Operations Held for Sale		
Reserve for claims and claim expenses	\$ 274,189	\$ 357,573
Unearned premiums	114,443	129,057
Reinsurance balances payable	143,711	122,358
Other liabilities	66,168	56,653
Total liabilities of discontinued operations held for sale	\$ 598,511	\$ 665,641
Shareholder's Equity of Discontinued Operations Held for Sale		
Total shareholder's equity of discontinued operations held for sale	273,636	265,566
Total liabilities and shareholder's equity of discontinued operations held for sale	\$ 872,147	\$ 931,207

Table of Contents

The Company has reclassified the results of operations of the discontinued operations to income from discontinued operations in its consolidated statements of operations. Details of the income from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are as follows:

Year ended December 31,	2010	2009	2008
Revenues			
Gross premiums written	\$ 478,308	\$ 500,051	\$ 493,741
Net premiums written	\$ 290,188	\$ 368,064	\$ 418,120
Decrease (increase) in unearned premiums	16,037	23,548	(15,744)
Net premiums earned	\$ 306,225	\$ 391,612	\$ 402,376
Net investment income	5,082	5,802	10,352
Other income	5,811	223	4,766
Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on fixed maturity investments	6,769	(517)	(762)
Net other-than-temporary impairments		(31)	(2,117)
Total revenues	323,887	397,089	414,615
Expenses			
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	113,186	267,985	278,991
Acquisition expenses	68,777	85,625	71,937
Operational expenses	67,236	36,134	27,751
Corporate expenses	5,567	1,582	1,342
Total expenses	254,766	391,326	380,021
Income before taxes	69,121	5,763	34,594
Income tax (expense) benefit	(6,451)	937	(748)
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 62,670	\$ 6,700	\$ 33,846

As part of the Stock Purchase Agreement with QBE, the Company agreed to pay or otherwise reimburse QBE for certain expenses at a contractually agreed upon amount of \$10.0 million and this liability is included in total liabilities of discontinued operations held for sale and the related expense is included in income from discontinued operations. The Company has also incurred transaction-based expenses of \$4.6 million. As a result, the Company incurred a loss on disposal of \$9.5 million (net of a \$5.1 million income tax benefit), which is included in income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company is subject to a post-closing review following December 31, 2011 of the net reserve for claims and claim expenses for loss events occurring on or prior to December 31, 2010. Subsequent to the post-closing review, the Company is liable to pay, or otherwise reimburse QBE amounts up to \$10.0 million for net adverse development on prior accident years net claims and claim expenses. Conversely, if prior accident years net claims and claim expenses experience net favorable development, QBE is liable to pay, or otherwise reimburse the Company amounts up to \$10.0 million.

NOTE 4. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

Spectrum Partners Ltd. (Spectrum Partners)

On November 2, 2009, the Company acquired 100% of the outstanding and issued common shares of Spectrum Partners, the parent company and sole owner of Spectrum Syndicate Management Ltd. (Spectrum), now known as RSML, and Spectrum Insurance Services Ltd. Prior to acquiring the outstanding and issued common shares of Spectrum Partners, the Company had contracted with Spectrum to be the Lloyd's managing agent of Syndicate 1458. Spectrum Partners is based in London, United Kingdom (U.K.), and prior to the Company's acquisition, Spectrum was an independent Lloyd's managing agency that provided the requisite services mandated for entrants into the Lloyd's market. One of

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

the requirements to enter the Lloyd's market and establish an underwriting syndicate is to obtain the services of a Lloyd's managing agent. Generally, new entrants either

F-18

Table of Contents

solicit the services of a Lloyd's managing agency, such as Spectrum, or acquire an existing Lloyd's managing agent. As noted above, the Company initially contracted Spectrum to be the Lloyd's managing agent for Syndicate 1458, and ultimately made the decision to acquire Spectrum Partners to internalize these services. The total consideration paid by the Company was \$24.7 million, which included \$9.0 million of additional compensation amounts as determined in accordance with the terms of the purchase agreement. The additional amounts will be paid in cash and/or equity in accordance with the purchase agreement over four years from the date of acquisition and are accounted for as compensation costs in operating expenses, separate from the acquisition of the outstanding and issued common shares of Spectrum, as these amounts relate to agreements for the prior owners to continue providing services. In connection with the purchase, the Company recorded \$3.1 million of intangible assets and \$5.9 million of goodwill. The acquisition of Spectrum Partners expedited the Company's entrance into the Lloyd's market. Other factors that added to the value of Spectrum included its Lloyd's managing agency license, future revenue streams from existing customers, Lloyd's relationships and workforce. These factors resulted in a purchase price greater than the fair value of the net assets acquired and the recognition of goodwill and intangible assets. The acquisition of the net assets was accounted for using the purchase method in accordance with FASB ASC Topic *Business Combinations*.

The fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired and allocation of purchase price is summarized as follows:

Purchase price	\$ 15,728
Assets acquired	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,728
Accounts and notes receivable and prepaid expenses	1,522
Tangible assets acquired	9,250
Intangible asset Lloyd's managing agency license	1,867
Intangible asset Customer relationships	1,252
Intangible assets acquired	3,119
Liabilities acquired	
Accounts and notes payable	(1,671)
Deferred tax liability	(831)
Liabilities acquired	(2,502)
Excess purchase price Goodwill	\$ 5,861
Amounts determined to be a separate transaction (1)	\$ 8,964
Total consideration	\$ 24,692

(1) Represents stock and cash issued to the former owners for certain services in accordance with the purchase agreement. These amounts are payable over a four year period and are being expensed as incurred.

The Lloyd's managing agency license represents the value of the managing agency license with Lloyd's and is not subject to amortization, but will be reviewed for indicators of impairment on an annual basis or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. The Lloyd's managing agency license is considered to have an indefinite estimated useful life. The customer relationships represent the value of existing revenue streams generated by Spectrum and is estimated to have a useful life of six years. The customer relationships are being amortized over six years. During 2010, the Company recorded \$0.2 million (2009 \$35 thousand) of intangible asset amortization related to these intangibles.

Table of Contents

The estimated remaining amortization expense for intangible assets is as follows:

2011	\$ 209
2012	209
2013	209
2014	209
2015 and thereafter	172
Total remaining amortization expense	\$ 1,008
Indefinite lived	\$ 1,867

The operating results of Spectrum have been included in the consolidated financial statements from November 2, 2009, the date of acquisition. The impact on the Company's consolidated statements of operations was not material.

NOTE 5. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table shows an analysis of goodwill and other intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010:

	Goodwill and other intangibles		
	Goodwill	Other intangible assets	Total
Balance as of December 31, 2008			
Gross amount	\$ 2,299	\$ 9,880	\$ 12,179
Accumulated impairment losses and amortization		(3,857)	(3,857)
	2,299	6,023	8,322
Acquired during the year	5,861	3,119	8,980
Amortization		(1,996)	(1,996)
Impairment losses			
Balance as of December 31, 2009 (1)			
Gross amount	8,160	12,999	21,159
Accumulated impairment losses and amortization		(5,853)	(5,853)
	8,160	7,146	15,306
Acquired during the year			
Amortization		(616)	(616)
Impairment losses			
Balance as of December 31, 2010 (1)			
Gross amount	8,160	12,999	21,159
Accumulated impairment losses and amortization		(6,469)	(6,469)
	\$ 8,160	\$ 6,530	\$ 14,690

(1) Excludes goodwill and intangible assets of \$23.7 million and \$33.3 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010 associated with the assets of discontinued operations held for sale (2009 \$23.7 million and \$37.7 million, respectively). During 2009, the acquisitions of goodwill and other intangible assets relates to the Company's acquisition of all the outstanding and issued shares of Spectrum Partners.

F-20

Table of Contents

The following table shows an analysis of goodwill and other intangible assets included in investments in other ventures, under equity method for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010:

	Goodwill and other intangible assets included in investments in other ventures, under equity method		
	Goodwill	Other intangible assets	Total
Balance as of December 31, 2008			
Gross amount	\$ 8,477	\$ 44,323	\$ 52,800
Accumulated impairment losses and amortization		(2,980)	(2,980)
	8,477	41,343	49,820
Acquired during the year			
Amortization		(6,009)	(6,009)
Impairment losses			
Balance as of December 31, 2009			
Gross amount	8,477	44,323	52,800
Accumulated impairment losses and amortization		(8,989)	(8,989)
	8,477	35,334	43,811
Acquired during the year			
Amortization		(5,670)	(5,670)
Impairment losses			
Balance as of December 31, 2010			
Gross amount	8,477	44,323	52,800
Accumulated impairment losses and amortization		(14,659)	(14,659)
	\$ 8,477	\$ 29,664	\$ 38,141

The gross carrying value and accumulated amortization by major category of other intangible assets as of December 31, 2010, is shown below:

	Gross carrying value	Other intangible assets Accumulated amortization	Total
Customer relationships and customer lists	\$ 39,485	\$ (12,406)	\$ 27,079
Covenants not-to-compete	2,130	(761)	1,369
Patents and intellectual property	4,500	(845)	3,655
Software	8,730	(7,055)	1,675
Trademarks and trade names	610	(61)	549
Lloyd's managing agency license	1,867		1,867
	\$ 57,322	\$ (21,128)	\$ 36,194

Table of Contents

The useful life of intangible assets with finite lives ranges from three to 25 years, with a weighted-average amortization period of 12 years. Expected amortization of the intangible assets, including intangible assets recorded in investments in other ventures, under equity method, is shown below:

	Other intangibles	Other intangible assets included in investments in other ventures, under equity method	Total
2011	\$ 616	\$ 5,161	\$ 5,777
2012	616	4,653	5,269
2013	581	3,979	4,560
2014	415	3,305	3,720
2015 and thereafter	2,435	12,566	15,001
Total remaining amortization expense	\$ 4,663	\$ 29,664	\$ 34,327
Indefinite lived	1,867		1,867
Total	\$ 6,530	\$ 29,664	\$ 36,194

NOTE 6. INVESTMENTS*Fixed Maturity Investments Trading*

During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company started designating, upon acquisition, certain fixed maturity investments as trading, rather than as available for sale. The following table summarizes the fair value of fixed maturity investments trading at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

At December 31,	2010	2009
U.S. treasuries	\$ 761,461	\$ 280,524
Agencies	216,963	
Non-U.S. government (Sovereign debt)	157,867	18,773
FDIC guaranteed corporate	388,468	
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	356,119	
Corporate	1,476,029	296,628
Agency mortgage-backed	383,403	100,969
Non-agency mortgage-backed	5,765	
Commercial mortgage-backed	125,705	
Total fixed maturity investments trading	\$ 3,871,780	\$ 696,894

Table of Contents*Fixed Maturity Investments Available For Sale*

The following table summarizes the amortized cost, fair value and related unrealized gains and losses and non-credit other-than-temporary impairments of fixed maturity investments available for sale at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

At December 31, 2010	Amortized Cost	Included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income		Fair Value	Non-Credit Other-Than- Temporary Impairments (1)
		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses		
Non-U.S. government (Sovereign debt)	\$ 23,836	\$ 2,830	\$ (146)	\$ 26,520	\$
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	1,332	53		1,385	
Corporate	33,018	3,768	(404)	36,382	(1,818)
Agency mortgage-backed	17,159	1,245		18,404	
Non-agency mortgage-backed	24,972	3,452	(40)	28,384	(2,063)
Commercial mortgage-backed	86,194	7,570	(29)	93,735	
Asset-backed	39,038	1,124	(55)	40,107	(598)
Total fixed maturity investments available for sale	\$ 225,549	\$ 20,042	\$ (674)	\$ 244,917	\$ (4,479)

- (1) Represents the non-credit component of other-than-temporary impairments recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income since the adoption of guidance related to the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments under FASB ASC Topic *Financial Instruments - Debt and Equity Securities*, during the second quarter of 2009, adjusted for subsequent sales of securities. It does not include the change in fair value subsequent to the impairment measurement date.

At December 31, 2009	Amortized Cost	Included in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income		Fair Value	Non-Credit Other-Than- Temporary Impairments (1)
		Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses		
U.S. treasuries	\$ 584,016	\$ 36	\$ (2,688)	\$ 581,364	\$
Agencies	147,731	1,158	(104)	148,785	
Non-U.S. government (Sovereign debt)	170,090	8,688	(557)	178,221	(88)
FDIC guaranteed corporate	841,875	6,090	(380)	847,585	
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	248,888	1,557	(1,699)	248,746	
Corporate	760,088	30,031	(4,442)	785,677	(4,659)
Agency mortgage-backed	267,166	4,166	(1,455)	269,877	
Non-agency mortgage-backed	35,072	1,887	(576)	36,383	(2,949)
Commercial mortgage-backed	233,224	2,018	(4,388)	230,854	
Asset-backed	89,443	3,598	(532)	92,509	(1,531)
Total fixed maturity investments available for sale	\$ 3,377,593	\$ 59,229	\$ (16,821)	\$ 3,420,001	\$ (9,227)

- (1) Represents the non-credit component of other-than-temporary impairments recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income since the adoption of guidance related to the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments under FASB ASC Topic *Financial Instruments - Debt and Equity Securities*, during the second quarter of 2009, adjusted for subsequent sales of securities. It does not include the change in fair value subsequent to the impairment measurement date.

F-23

Table of Contents

Contractual maturities of fixed maturity investments are as follows. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

At December 31, 2010	Available for Sale		Trading		Total Fixed Maturity Investments	
	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
Due in less than one year	\$ 1,360	\$ 1,292	\$ 88,645	\$ 89,158	\$ 90,005	\$ 90,450
Due after one through five years	18,332	20,366	2,303,431	2,309,815	2,321,763	2,330,181
Due after five through ten years	22,952	24,830	793,154	803,151	816,106	827,981
Due after ten years	15,542	17,799	156,175	154,783	171,717	172,582
Mortgage-backed	128,325	140,523	518,037	514,873	646,362	655,396
Asset-backed	39,038	40,107			39,038	40,107
Total	\$ 225,549	\$ 244,917	\$ 3,859,442	\$ 3,871,780	\$ 4,084,991	\$ 4,116,697

Net Investment Income

The components of net investment income are as follows:

Year ended December 31,	2010	2009	2008
Fixed maturity investments	\$ 108,195	\$ 160,476	\$ 199,469
Short term investments	2,318	4,139	40,933
Other investments			
Hedge funds and private equity investments	64,419	18,279	(101,779)
Other	39,305	145,367	(117,867)
Cash and cash equivalents	277	600	5,951
	214,514	328,861	26,707
Investment expenses	(10,559)	(10,682)	(12,828)
Net investment income	\$ 203,955	\$ 318,179	\$ 13,879

Net realized gains on the sale of investments are determined on the basis of the first in, first out cost method and for fixed maturity investments available for sale include adjustments to the cost basis of investments for declines in value that are considered to be other-than-temporary. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Company started designating upon acquisition certain fixed maturity investments as trading. As a result, unrealized gains (losses) on fixed maturity investments designated as trading are recorded in net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on the Company's consolidated statement of operations. Unrealized gains (losses) on the Company's fixed maturity investments available for sale are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

Table of Contents

The Company's net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments and net other-than-temporary impairments are as follows:

Year ended December 31,	2010	2009	2008
Gross realized gains	\$ 138,814	\$ 143,173	\$ 99,541
Gross realized losses	(19,147)	(38,655)	(88,079)
Net realized gains on fixed maturity investments	119,667	104,518	11,462
Net unrealized gains (losses) on fixed maturity investments, trading	24,777	(10,839)	
Net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments	\$ 144,444	\$ 93,679	\$ 11,462
Total other-than-temporary impairments	(831)	(26,968)	(214,897)
Portion recognized in other comprehensive income, before taxes	2	4,518	
Net other-than-temporary impairments	\$ (829)	\$ (22,450)	\$ (214,897)

The following table provides an analysis of the length of time the Company's fixed maturity investments available for sale in an unrealized loss have been in a continual unrealized loss position.

	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Greater		Total	
At December 31, 2010	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Unrealized Losses
Non-U.S. government (Sovereign debt)	\$ 2,363	\$ (129)	\$ 291	\$ (17)	\$ 2,654	\$ (146)
Corporate	2,581	(285)	801	(119)	3,382	(404)
Non-agency mortgage-backed			1,645	(40)	1,645	(40)
Commercial mortgage-backed	2,199	(29)			2,199	(29)
Asset-backed	3,172	(39)	3,196	(16)	6,368	(55)
Total	\$ 10,315	\$ (482)	\$ 5,933	\$ (192)	\$ 16,248	\$ (674)

At December 31, 2010, the Company held 22 fixed maturity investments available for sale securities that were in an unrealized loss position for twelve months or greater and does not intend to sell these securities and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell these securities before the anticipated recovery of the remaining amortized cost basis. The Company performed reviews of its investments for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, in order to determine whether declines in the fair value below the amortized cost basis of its fixed maturity investments available for sale were considered other-than-temporary in accordance with the applicable guidance, as discussed below.

At December 31, 2010, \$1.3 billion of cash and investments at fair value were on deposit with, or in trust accounts for the benefit of various counterparties, including with respect to the Company's principal letter of credit facility. Of this amount, \$74.0 million is on deposit with, or in trust accounts for the benefit of, U.S. state regulatory authorities.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Process Prior to April 1, 2009

Under the pre-existing guidance, which was in effect for 2008 and the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company assessed, on a quarterly basis, whether declines in the fair value of its fixed maturity investments available for sale represented impairments that were other-than-temporary based on several factors. The factors the Company considered in the assessment of a security included: (i) the time period during which there had been a significant decline below cost; (ii) the extent of the decline below cost; (iii) the Company's intent and ability to

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

hold the security; (iv) the potential for the security to recover in value; (v) an analysis of the financial condition of the issuer; and (vi) an analysis of the collateral structure and credit support of the security, if applicable. Where the Company determined that there was an other-than-temporary decline in the fair value of the security, the cost of the security was written down to its fair value and the unrealized loss at the time of determination was reflected in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

F-25

Table of Contents

The majority of the Company's fixed maturity investments available for sale are managed by external investment managers in accordance with specific investment mandates and guidelines. The investment managers are directed to manage the Company's investments to maximize total investment return in accordance with these investment mandates and guidelines. While the Company has adequate capital and liquidity to support its operations and to hold its fixed maturity investments available for sale which were in an unrealized loss position until they recover in value, the Company has not prohibited or restricted its investment managers from selling these investments and its investment managers actively traded the Company's investments. The Company was therefore unable to represent or certify that it had the intent or ability to hold these investments until they recovered in value. As a consequence, under the pre-existing guidance, the Company impaired essentially all of its fixed maturity investments available for sale that were in an unrealized loss position at each quarterly reporting date. For the three months ended March 31, 2009 and the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company recorded other-than-temporary impairments of \$19.0 million and \$214.9 million, respectively. As of each of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, the Company had essentially no fixed maturity investments available for sale in an unrealized loss position.

Other-Than-Temporary Impairment Process Effective April 1, 2009

Pursuant to the guidance effective April 1, 2009, the Company revised its quarterly process for assessing whether declines in the fair value of its fixed maturity investments available for sale represent impairments that are other-than-temporary. The process now includes reviewing each fixed maturity investment available for sale that is impaired and determining: (i) if the Company has the intent to sell the debt security or (ii) if it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery; and (iii) whether a credit loss exists, that is, where the Company expects that the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected from the security are less than the amortized cost basis of the security.

In assessing the Company's intent to sell securities, the Company's procedures may include actions such as discussing planned sales with its third party investment managers, reviewing sales that have occurred shortly after the balance sheet date, and consideration of other qualitative factors that may be indicative of the Company's intent to sell or hold the relevant securities. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recognized \$nil other-than-temporary impairments due to the Company's intent to sell these securities as of December 31, 2010 (2009 \$1.3 million).

In assessing whether it is more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell a security before its anticipated recovery, the Company considers various factors including its future cash flow forecasts and requirements, legal and regulatory requirements, the level of its cash, cash equivalents, short term investments, fixed maturity investments trading and fixed maturity investments available for sale in an unrealized gain position, and other relevant factors. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recognized \$nil of other-than-temporary impairments due to required sales (2009 \$nil).

In evaluating credit losses, the Company considers a variety of factors in the assessment of a security including: (i) the time period during which there has been a significant decline below cost; (ii) the extent of the decline below cost and par; (iii) the potential for the security to recover in value; (iv) an analysis of the financial condition of the issuer; (v) the rating of the issuer; (vi) the implied rating of the issuer based on an analysis of option adjusted spreads; (vii) the absolute level of the option adjusted spread for the issuer; and (viii) an analysis of the collateral structure and credit support of the security, if applicable.

Once the Company determines that it is possible that a credit loss may exist for a security, the Company performs a detailed review of the cash flows expected to be collected from the issuer. The Company estimates expected cash flows by applying estimated default probabilities and recovery rates to the contractual cash flows of the issuer, with such default and recovery rates reflecting long-term historical averages adjusted to reflect current credit, economic and market conditions, giving due consideration to collateral and credit support, if applicable, and discounting the expected cash flows at the purchase yield on the security. In instances in which a determination is made that an impairment exists but the Company does not intend to sell the security and it is not more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell the security before the anticipated recovery of its remaining amortized cost basis, the impairment is separated into: (i) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss; and (ii) the amount of the total other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors. The amount of the other-than-temporary impairment related to the credit loss is recognized in earnings. The amount of the other-than-temporary impairment related to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recognized \$0.8 million of other-

Table of Contents

than-temporary impairments which were recognized in earnings and \$2 thousand, related to other factors which were recognized in other comprehensive income (2009 \$22.5 million and \$4.5 million, respectively, 2008 \$214.9 million and \$nil, respectively).

The following table provides a rollforward of the amount of other-than-temporary impairments related to credit losses recognized in earnings for which a portion of an other-than-temporary impairment was recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income for the year ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

Period ended December 31, (1)	2010	2009
Balance January 1 (2009 April 1)	\$ 9,987	\$ 10,620
Additions:		
Amount related to credit loss for which an other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized		3
Amount related to credit loss for which an other-than-temporary impairment was previously recognized	70	1,779
Reductions:		
Securities sold during the period	(6,959)	(2,415)
Securities for which the amount previously recognized in other comprehensive income was recognized in earnings, because the Company intends to sell the security or is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security		
Increases in cash flows expected to be collected that are recognized over the remaining life of the security		
Balance December 31	\$ 3,098	\$ 9,987

(1) Amounts for 2009 are for the nine months ended December 31, 2009, as updated guidance related to the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments under FASB ASC Topic *Financial Instruments - Debt and Equity Securities*, became effective April 1, 2009 for the Company.

Other Investments

The table below shows the Company's portfolio of other investments:

At December 31,	2010	2009
Private equity partnerships	\$ 347,556	\$ 286,108
Senior secured bank loan funds	166,106	245,701
Catastrophe bonds	123,961	160,051
Non-U.S. fixed income funds	80,224	75,891
Hedge funds	41,005	54,163
Miscellaneous other investments	28,696	36,112
Total other investments	\$ 787,548	\$ 858,026

Table of Contents

Interest income, income distributions and realized and unrealized gains and losses on other investments are included in net investment income and totaled \$103.7 million (2009 income of \$163.6 million, 2008 loss of \$219.6 million) of which \$57.5 million was related to net unrealized gains (2009 net unrealized gains of \$88.5 million, 2008 net unrealized loss of \$259.4 million).

The Company has committed capital to private equity partnerships and other entities of \$699.7 million, of which \$488.5 million has been contributed at December 31, 2010. The Company's remaining commitments to these funds at December 31, 2010 totaled \$210.8 million. In the future, the Company may enter into additional commitments in respect of private equity partnerships or individual portfolio company investment opportunities.

Investments in Other Ventures, under Equity Method

The table below shows the Company's portfolio of investments in other ventures, under equity method:

Year ended December 31,	2010			2009		
	Investment	Ownership %	Carrying Value	Investment	Ownership %	Carrying Value
Tower Hill Companies	\$ 50,000	25.0%	\$ 38,431	\$ 50,000	25.0%	\$ 41,544
Top Layer Re	26,875	50.0%	14,844	13,125	50.0%	26,329
Tower Hill	10,000	28.6%	14,155	10,000	28.6%	14,437
Other	19,000	n/a	18,173	12,040	n/a	14,977
Total investments in other ventures, under equity method	\$ 105,875		\$ 85,603	\$ 85,165		\$ 97,287

On July 1, 2008, the Company invested \$50.0 million in the Tower Hill Companies representing a 25.0% equity ownership. Included in the purchase price was \$40.0 million of other intangibles and \$7.8 million of goodwill, which, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, are recorded as Investments in other ventures, under equity method rather than Goodwill and other intangibles on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

The Company originally invested \$13.1 million and \$10.0 million in Top Layer Re and Tower Hill, respectively, representing a 50.0% and 28.6% ownership, respectively. In December 2010, the Company invested an additional \$13.8 million in Top Layer Re, maintaining the Company's 50.0% ownership interest.

The table below shows the Company's equity in (losses) earnings of other ventures, under equity method:

Year ended December 31,	2010	2009	2008
Tower Hill Companies	\$ 1,151	\$ (2,083)	\$ 545
Top Layer Re	(12,103)	12,619	11,377
Other	(862)	440	1,681
Total equity in (losses) earnings of other ventures	\$ (11,814)	\$ 10,976	\$ 13,603

Undistributed losses in the Company's investments in other ventures, under equity method were \$5.8 million at December 31, 2010. During 2010, the Company received \$17.9 million of dividends from its investments in other ventures, under equity method (2009 \$16.4 million, 2008 \$17.2 million).

The equity in earnings of the Tower Hill Companies are reported one quarter in arrears.

Table of Contents

NOTE 7. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The use of fair value to measure certain assets and liabilities with resulting unrealized gains or losses is pervasive within the Company's financial statements, and is a critical accounting policy and estimate for the Company. Fair value is defined under accounting guidance currently applicable to the Company to be the price that would be received upon the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between open market participants at the measurement date. The Company recognizes the change in unrealized gains and losses arising from changes in fair value in its consolidated statements of operations, with the exception of changes in unrealized gains and losses on its fixed maturity investments available for sale, which are recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders equity.

FASB ASC Topic *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* prescribes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to the respective valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Fair values determined by Level 1 inputs utilize unadjusted quoted prices obtained from active markets for identical assets or liabilities for which the Company has access. The fair value is determined by multiplying the quoted price by the quantity held by the Company;

Fair values determined by Level 2 inputs utilize inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals, broker quotes and certain pricing indices; and

Level 3 inputs are based on unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and include situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. In these cases, significant management assumptions can be used to establish management's best estimate of the assumptions used by other market participants in determining the fair value of the asset or liability.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the asset or liability. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and the Company considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

In order to determine if a market is active or inactive for a security, the Company considers a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the spread between what a seller is asking for a security and what a buyer is bidding for the same security, the volume of trading activity for the security in question, the price of the security compared to its par value (for fixed maturity investments), and other factors that may be indicative of market activity.

There have been no material changes in the Company's valuation techniques, nor have there been any transfers between Level 1 and Level 2, and Level 2 and Level 3, respectively, during the period represented by these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Below is a summary of the assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and also represents the carrying amount on the Company's consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

At December 31, 2010	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Fixed maturity investments				
U.S. treasuries	\$ 761,461	\$ 761,461	\$	\$
Agencies	216,963		216,963	
Non-U.S. government (Sovereign debt)	184,387		184,387	
FDIC guaranteed corporate	388,468		388,468	
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	357,504		357,504	
Corporate	1,512,411		1,490,626	21,785
Agency mortgage-backed	401,807		401,807	
Non-agency mortgage-backed	34,149		34,149	
Commercial mortgage-backed	219,440		219,440	
Asset-backed	40,107		40,107	
Total fixed maturity investments	4,116,697	761,461	3,333,451	21,785
Short term investments	1,110,364		1,110,364	
Other investments				
Private equity partnerships	347,556			347,556
Senior secured bank loan funds	166,106		158,386	7,720
Catastrophe bonds	123,961		123,961	
Non-U.S. fixed income funds	80,224		80,224	
Hedge funds	41,005		41,005	
Miscellaneous other investments	28,696		21,870	6,826
Total other investments	787,548		425,446	362,102
Other secured assets	14,250		14,250	
Other assets and (liabilities)				
Platinum warrants	44,925		44,925	
Weather and energy risk management operations	(1,780)	1,721		(3,501)
Assumed and ceded (re)insurance contracts	1,772			1,772
Derivatives	4,473	(1,772)	6,245	
Other	13,629	(4,599)		18,228
Total other assets and (liabilities)	63,019	(4,650)	51,170	16,499
	\$ 6,091,878	\$ 756,811	\$ 4,934,681	\$ 400,386

Table of Contents

December 31, 2009	Total	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Fixed maturity investments				
U.S. treasuries	\$ 861,888	\$ 861,888	\$	\$
Agencies	148,785		148,785	
Non-U.S. government (Sovereign debt)	196,994		196,994	
FDIC guaranteed corporate	847,585		847,585	
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	248,746		248,746	
Corporate	1,082,305		1,082,305	
Agency mortgage-backed	370,846		370,846	
Non-agency mortgage-backed	36,383		36,383	
Commercial mortgage-backed	230,854		230,854	
Asset-backed	92,509		92,509	
Total fixed maturity investments	4,116,895	861,888	3,255,007	
Short term investments	943,051		943,051	
Other investments				
Private equity partnerships	286,108			286,108
Senior secured bank loan funds	245,701		147,149	98,552
Catastrophe bonds	160,051		159,159	892
Non-U.S. fixed income funds	75,891		75,891	
Hedge funds	54,163		54,163	
Miscellaneous other investments	36,112		27,751	8,361
Total other investments	858,026		464,113	393,913
Other secured assets	27,730		27,730	
Other assets and (liabilities)				
Platinum warrants	34,871		34,871	
Weather and energy risk management operations	(8,080)	(3,032)		(5,048)
Assumed and ceded (re)insurance contracts	2,202			2,202
Derivatives	2,541	4,062	(1,521)	
Other	19,872			19,872
Total other assets and (liabilities)	51,406	1,030	33,350	17,026
	\$ 5,997,108	\$ 862,918	\$ 4,723,251	\$ 410,939

Fixed Maturity Investments

Fixed maturity investments included in Level 1 consist of the Company's investments in U.S. treasuries. Fixed maturity investments included in Level 2 are agencies, non-U.S. government, FDIC guaranteed corporate, non-U.S. government-backed corporate, corporate, agency mortgage-backed, non-agency mortgage-backed, commercial mortgage-backed and asset-backed fixed maturity investments.

The Company's fixed maturity investments portfolios are priced using broker quotations and pricing services, such as index providers and pricing vendors. The pricing vendors provide pricing for a high volume of liquid securities that are actively traded. For securities that do not trade on an exchange, the pricing services generally utilize market data and other observable inputs in matrix pricing models to determine prices. Prices are generally verified using third party data. Prices obtained from broker quotations are considered non-binding, however they are based on observable inputs and by observing secondary trading of similar securities obtained from active, non-distressed markets. The Company considers these Level 2 inputs as they are corroborated with other

Table of Contents

externally obtained information. The techniques generally used to determine the fair value of our fixed maturity investments are detailed below by asset class.

U.S. treasuries

At December 31, 2010, the Company's U.S. treasuries fixed maturity investments had a weighted average yield to maturity of 1.6%, a weighted average credit quality of AAA, and are primarily priced by pricing vendors. When pricing these securities, the vendor utilizes daily data from many real time market sources, including active broker dealers, as such, the Company considers its U.S. treasuries fixed maturity investments Level 1. All data sources are constantly reviewed for accuracy to ensure the most reliable price source is used for each issue and maturity date.

Agencies

At December 31, 2010, the Company's agencies fixed maturity investments had a weighted average yield to maturity of 0.8% and a weighted average credit quality of AAA. The issuers of the Company's agencies fixed maturity investments primarily consist of the Federal National Mortgage Association, the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and other agencies. Fixed maturity investments included in agencies are primarily priced by pricing vendors. When evaluating these securities, the vendor gathers information from market sources and integrate other observations from markets and sector news. Evaluations are updated by obtaining broker dealer quotes and other market information including actual trade volumes, when available. The dollar value for each security is individually computed using analytical models which incorporate option adjusted spreads and other daily interest rate data. The Company considers its agencies fixed maturity investments Level 2.

Non-U.S. government (Sovereign debt)

Non-U.S. government fixed maturity investments held by the Company at December 31, 2010, had a weighted average yield to maturity of 2.7% and a weighted average credit quality of AA. The issuers for securities in this sector are generally non-U.S. governments and agencies as well as supranational organizations. Securities held in these sectors are primarily priced by pricing vendors who employ proprietary discounted cash flow models to value the securities. Key quantitative inputs for these models are daily observed benchmark curves for treasury, swap and high issuance credits. The pricing vendor then applies a credit spread for each security which is developed by in-depth and real time market analysis. For securities in which trade volume is low, the pricing vendor utilizes data from more frequently traded securities with similar attributes. These models may also be supplemented by daily market and credit research for international markets. The Company considers its non-U.S. government fixed maturity investments Level 2.

FDIC guaranteed corporate

The Company's FDIC guaranteed corporate fixed maturity investments had a weighted average yield to maturity of 0.6% and a weighted average credit quality of AAA at December 31, 2010. The issuers consist of well known corporate issuers who participate in the FDIC program. The Company's FDIC guaranteed corporate fixed maturity investments are primarily priced by pricing vendors. When evaluating these securities, the vendor gathers information from market sources regarding the issuer of the security, obtain credit data, as well as other observations from markets and sector news. Evaluations are updated by obtaining broker dealer quotes and other market information including actual trade volumes, when available. The pricing vendor also considers the specific terms and conditions of the securities, including any specific features which may influence risk. Each security is individually evaluated using a spread model which is added to the U.S. treasury curve. The Company considers its FDIC guaranteed corporate fixed maturity investments Level 2.

Table of Contents

Non-U.S. government-backed corporate

Non-U.S. government-backed corporate fixed maturity investments are considered Level 2 by the Company and had a weighted average yield to maturity of 1.5% and a weighted average credit quality of AAA at December 31, 2010. Non-U.S. government-backed fixed maturity investments are primarily priced by pricing vendors who employ proprietary discounted cash flow models to value the securities. Key quantitative inputs for these models are daily observed benchmark curves for treasury, swap and high issuance credits. The pricing vendor then applies a credit spread for each security which is developed by in-depth and real time market analysis. For securities in which trade volume is low, the pricing vendor utilizes data from more frequently traded securities with similar attributes. These models may also be supplemented by daily market and credit research for international markets.

Corporate

At December 31, 2010, the Company's corporate fixed maturity investments had a weighted average yield to maturity of 3.8% and a weighted average credit quality of A, and principally consist of U.S. and international corporations. The Company's corporate fixed maturity investments are primarily priced by pricing vendors, and are primarily considered Level 2 by the Company. When evaluating these securities, the vendor gathers information from market sources regarding the issuer of the security, obtains credit data, as well as other observations from markets and sector news. Evaluations are updated by obtaining broker dealer quotes and other market information including actual trade volumes, when available. The pricing vendor also considers the specific terms and conditions of the securities, including any specific features which may influence risk. Each security is individually evaluated using a spread model which is added to the U.S. treasury curve.

The fair value of certain corporate fixed maturity investments are valued using internally developed models and are considered Level 3 by the Company. The internally developed models use a combination of quantitative and qualitative factors, which may include, but are not limited to, discounted cash flow analysis, financial statements, budgets and forecasts, capital transactions and third party valuations.

Agency mortgage-backed

At December 31, 2010, the Company's agency mortgage-backed fixed maturity investments included agency residential mortgage-backed securities with a weighted average yield to maturity of 3.2%, a weighted average credit quality of AAA and a weighted average life of 4.5 years. The majority of the Company's agency mortgage-backed fixed maturity investments held at December 31, 2010 are from vintage years 2010 and 2009. The Company's agency mortgage-backed fixed maturity investments are primarily priced by pricing vendors using a mortgage pool specific model which utilizes daily inputs from the active TBA market which is extremely liquid, as well as the U.S. treasury market. The vendor model also utilizes additional information, such as the weighted average maturity, weighted average coupon and other available pool level data which is provided by the sponsoring agency. Valuations are also corroborated with daily active market quotes. The Company considers its agency mortgage-backed fixed maturity investments Level 2.

Non-agency mortgage-backed

The Company's non-agency mortgage-backed fixed maturity investments include non-agency prime residential mortgage-backed and non-agency Alt-A fixed maturity investments, and considers these fixed maturity investments Level 2. The Company has no fixed maturity investments classified as sub-prime held in its fixed maturity investments portfolio. At December 31, 2010, the Company's non-agency prime residential mortgage-backed fixed maturity investments have a weighted average yield to maturity of 4.0%, a weighted average credit quality of AA, and a weighted average life of 3.3 years and are from vintage years 2005 and prior. The Company's non-agency Alt-A fixed maturity investments held at December 31, 2010 have a weighted average yield to maturity of 5.8%, a weighted average credit quality of AA, a weighted average life of 4.0 years, and are from vintage years 2006 and prior. Securities held in these sectors are primarily priced by pricing vendors using a mortgage pool specific model which utilizes daily inputs from the active TBA market which is extremely liquid, as well as the U.S. treasury market. The vendor model also utilizes additional information, such as the weighted average maturity, weighted average coupon and other available pool level data which is provided by the sponsoring agency. Valuations are also corroborated by daily active market quotes.

Table of Contents

Commercial mortgage-backed

The Company's commercial mortgage-backed fixed maturity investments held at December 31, 2010 have a weighted average yield to maturity of 3.4%, a weighted average credit quality of AA, a weighted average life of 3.2 years and are from vintage years 2008 and prior. Securities held in these sectors are primarily priced by pricing vendors and are considered Level 2 by the Company. The pricing vendor applies dealer quotes and other available trade information such as bid and offers, prepayment speeds which may be adjusted for the underlying collateral or current price data, the U.S. treasury curve, swap curve and TBA values as well as cash settlement. The model utilizes a single cash flow stream and computes both a yield to call and weighted average yield to maturity. The model generates a derived price for the bond by applying the most likely scenario.

Asset-backed

At December 31, 2010, the Company's asset-backed fixed maturity investments had a weighted average yield to maturity of 1.0%, a weighted average credit quality of AAA, a weighted average life of 4.7 years and are primarily from vintage year 2008. The underlying collateral for the Company's asset-backed fixed maturity investments primarily consists of student loans and other. Securities held in these sectors are primarily priced by pricing vendors and are considered Level 2 by the Company. The pricing vendor applies dealer quotes and other available trade information such as bids and offers, prepayment speeds which may be adjusted for the underlying collateral or current price data, the U.S. treasury curve, swap curve and TBA values as well as cash settlement. The model utilizes a single cash flow stream and computes both a yield to call and weighted average yield to maturity. The model generates a derived price for the bond by applying the most likely scenario.

Short term investments

Short term investments are considered Level 2 and fair values are generally determined using amortized cost which approximates fair value and, in certain cases, in a manner similar to the Company's fixed maturity investments noted above.

Other investments

Private equity partnerships

Included in the Company's investments in private equity partnerships at December 31, 2010 are alternative asset limited partnerships that invest in certain private equity asset classes including U.S. and global leveraged buyouts; mezzanine investments; distressed securities; real estate; oil, gas and power; and secondaries. The fair value of private equity partnership investments is based on net asset values obtained from the investment manager or general partner of the respective entity. The type of underlying investments held by the investee which form the basis of the net asset valuation include assets such as private business ventures, for which the Company does not have access, and as a result is unable to corroborate the fair value measurement and therefore requires significant management judgment to determine the underlying value of the private equity partnership and accordingly the fair value of the Company's investment in each private equity partnership is considered Level 3. The Company also considers factors such as recent financial information, the value of capital transactions with the partnership and management's judgment regarding whether any adjustments should be made to the net asset value. The Company regularly reviews the performance of its private equity partnerships directly with the fund managers.

Senior secured bank loan funds

At December 31, 2010, the Company's investments in senior secured bank loan funds include funds that invest primarily in bank loans and other senior debt instruments. The fair value of the Company's senior secured bank loan funds are estimated using the net asset value per share of the funds. Investments of \$158.4 million are redeemable, in whole or in part, on a monthly basis, and are valued at the net asset value of the fund and are considered Level 2.

The Company also has a \$7.7 million investment in a closed end fund which invests primarily in loans. The Company has no right to redeem its investment in this fund. The Company's investment in this fund is valued

Table of Contents

using monthly net asset valuations received from the investment manager. The lock up provisions in this fund result in a lack of current observable market transactions between the fund participants and the fund, and therefore, the Company considers the fair value of its investment in this fund to be determined using Level 3 inputs.

Catastrophe bonds

The Company's other investments include investments in catastrophe bonds which are recorded at fair value based on quoted market prices, or when such prices are not available, by reference to broker or underwriter bid indications. As such, the Company considers its catastrophe bonds Level 2.

Non-U.S. fixed income funds

The Company considers its investments in non-U.S. fixed income funds Level 2. The Company's non-U.S. fixed income funds invest primarily in European high yield bonds and non-U.S. convertible securities. The fair values of the investments in this category have been estimated using the net asset value per share of the investments which are provided by third parties such as the relevant investment manager or administrator, recent financial information issued by the applicable investee entity or available market data.

Hedge funds

The Company has investments in hedge funds that pursue multiple strategies. The strategies employed include, among others, the following: fundamentally driven long/short; event oriented; and private investments. The fair values of the Company's hedge funds have been estimated using the net asset value per share of the investments which are provided by third parties such as the relevant investment manager or administrator, recent financial information issued by the applicable investee entity or available market data to estimate fair value. The Company considers its hedge funds Level 2.

Other secured assets

Other secured assets represent contractual rights under a purchase agreement, contingent purchase agreement and credit derivatives agreement with a major bank to sell certain securities within the Company's catastrophe-linked securities portfolio. The Company's other secured assets are accounted for at fair value based on quoted market prices, or when such prices are not available, by reference to broker or underwriter bid indications. As such, the Company considers its catastrophe bonds Level 2.

Other assets and liabilities

Included in other assets and liabilities measured at fair value at December 31, 2010 is the Company's investment in a warrant to purchase 2.5 million common shares of Platinum Underwriters Holdings Ltd. (Platinum), estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model or the in-the-money value, the greater of which the Company considers the best estimate of the exit value of the warrant. The Company considers the fair value as Level 2 as the inputs to the option pricing models noted above, are based on observable market inputs. On January 20, 2011, the Company sold its warrant to Platinum for an aggregate of \$47.9 million. Other assets and liabilities also include the Company's weather and energy risk management operations, which principally includes certain derivative-based risk management products primarily to address weather and energy risks, and hedging and trading activities related to these risks. The trading markets for these derivatives are generally linked to energy and agriculture commodities, weather and other natural phenomena and the fair value of these contracts is obtained through the use of exchange traded market prices, or in the absence of such market prices, industry or internal valuation models, as such, these products are considered Level 1 and Level 3, respectively. The Company considers assumed and ceded (re)insurance contracts accounted for at fair value as Level 3, as the fair value of these contracts is obtained through the use of internal valuation models with the inputs to the internal valuation model based on proprietary data as observable market inputs are not available. In addition, other assets and liabilities include certain other derivatives entered into by the Company; the fair value of these transactions include the fair value of certain exchange traded foreign currency forward contracts which are considered Level 1, and the fair value of certain credit derivatives, determined using industry valuation models and considered Level 2, as the inputs to the valuation model are based on observable market inputs.

Table of Contents

Below is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances, for the periods shown, of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs. Interest and dividend income are included in net investment income and are excluded from the reconciliation.

		Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		
		Other investments	Other assets and (liabilities)	Total
Balance	January 1, 2009	\$ 382,080	\$ (28,474)	\$ 353,606
Total unrealized (losses) gains				
Included in net investment income		(10,356)		(10,356)
Included in other income (loss)			24,497	24,497
Total realized losses				
Included in net investment income				
Included in other income (loss)			(14,873)	(14,873)
Total foreign exchange gains (losses)		312	(54)	258
Net purchases, issuances, and settlements		21,877	35,930	57,807
Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3				
Balance	December 31, 2009	\$ 393,913	\$ 17,026	\$ 410,939

		Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		
		Fixed maturity investments, trading	Other investments	Other assets and (liabilities)
Balance	January 1, 2010	\$	\$ 393,913	\$ 17,026
Total unrealized gains (losses)				
Included in net investment income		574	29,659	30,233
Included in other income				(3,001)
Total realized gains				
Included in net investment income				
Included in other income				47,137
Total foreign exchange losses			(1,391)	(861)
Net purchases, issuances, and settlements		21,211	(60,079)	(43,802)
Net transfers in and/or out of Level 3				
Balance	December 31, 2010	\$ 21,785	\$ 362,102	\$ 16,499

Reinsurance Contracts Accounted for at Fair Value

The Company assumes and cedes certain reinsurance contracts that are accounted for at fair value under the fair value option. The fair value of these contracts is obtained through the use of internal valuation models. These contracts are recorded on the Company's balance sheet in other assets and other liabilities and totaled \$1.8 million and \$nil at December 31, 2010, respectively (2009 \$2.2 million and \$nil, respectively). During 2010, the Company recorded losses of \$2.9 million (2009 \$31.9 million, 2008 \$9.3 million) which are included in other income and represent changes in the fair value of these contracts.

Table of Contents*Weather and Energy Transactions Accounted for at Fair Value*

Through the business conducted by Renaissance Trading on a regular basis and otherwise from time to time, the Company enters into certain weather and energy insurance type contracts through its trading activities that it has elected to account for at fair value under the fair value option. These contracts are recorded on the Company's balance sheet in other assets and totaled \$44 thousand at December 31, 2010 (2009 \$0.5 million). During 2010, the Company recorded unrealized losses of \$0.5 million, which are included in other income and represent changes in the fair value of these contracts (2009 \$2.8 million, 2008 \$nil).

Senior Notes

In January 2003, RenaissanceRe issued \$100.0 million, which represents the carrying amount on the Company's consolidated balance sheet, of 5.875% Senior Notes due February 15, 2013, with interest on the notes payable on February 15 and August 15 of each year. At December 31, 2010, the fair value of the 5.875% Senior Notes was \$105.9 million (2009 \$103.7 million).

In March 2010, RenRe North America Holdings Inc. (RRNAH) issued \$250.0 million of 5.75% Senior Notes due March 15, 2020, with interest on the notes payable on March 15 and September 15 of each year. At December 31, 2010, the fair value of the 5.75% Senior Notes was \$252.4 million.

The fair value of RenaissanceRe's 5.875% Senior Notes and RRNAH's 5.75% Senior Notes is determined using indicative market pricing obtained from third-party service providers.

The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities

The Company has elected to account for certain assets and liabilities at fair value under FASB ASC Topic *Financial Instruments*. The Company has elected to use the guidance under FASB ASC Topic *Financial Instruments*, as it represents the most current authoritative GAAP. Below is a summary of the balances the Company has elected to account for at fair value:

At December 31,	2010	2009
Other investments	\$ 787,548	\$ 858,026
Other secured assets	\$ 14,250	\$ 27,730
Other assets	\$ 20,000	\$ 22,074

Included in net investment income for 2010 was \$57.5 million of net unrealized gains related to the changes in fair value of other investments (2009 \$88.5 million, 2008 losses of \$259.4 million). Net unrealized gains (losses) related to the changes in the fair value of other secured assets recorded in other income was \$41 thousand for 2010 (2009 \$1.4 million, 2008 \$(2.5) million). Net unrealized gains (losses) related to the changes in the fair value of other assets and liabilities recorded in other income was \$(2.2) million for 2010 (2009 \$(0.8) million, 2008 \$(0.1) million).

Measuring the Fair Value of Other Investments Using Net Asset Valuations

The table below shows the Company's portfolio of other investments measured using net asset valuations:

At December 31, 2010	Fair Value	Unfunded Commitments	Redemption Frequency	Redemption Notice Period
Private equity partnerships	\$ 347,556	\$ 193,588	See below	See below
Senior secured bank loan funds	166,106	17,215	See below	See below
Non-U.S. fixed income funds	80,224		Monthly, bi-monthly	5 - 20 days
Hedge funds	41,005		Annually, bi-annually	45 - 90 days

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Total other investments measured using net asset valuations	\$ 634,891	\$ 210,803
---	------------	------------

F-37

Table of Contents

Private equity partnerships Included in the Company's investments in private equity partnerships are alternative asset limited partnerships that invest in certain private equity asset classes including U.S. and global leveraged buyouts; mezzanine investments; distressed securities; real estate; oil, gas and power; and secondaries. The fair values of the investments in this category have been estimated using the net asset value per share of the investments. The Company generally has no right to redeem its interest in any of these private equity partnerships in advance of dissolution of the applicable partnership. Instead, the nature of these investments is that distributions are received by the Company in connection with the liquidation of the underlying assets of the applicable limited partnership. If these investments were held, it is estimated that the majority of the underlying assets of the limited partnerships would liquidate over 7 to 10 years from inception of the limited partnership.

Senior secured bank loan funds The Company's investment in senior secured bank loan funds includes funds that invest primarily in bank loans and other senior debt instruments. The fair values of the investments in this category have been estimated using the net asset value per share of the funds. Investments of \$158.4 million are redeemable, in whole or in part, on a monthly basis.

The Company also has a \$7.7 million investment in a closed end fund which invests in loans. The Company has no right to redeem its investment in this fund.

Non-U.S. fixed income funds The Company's non-U.S. fixed income funds invest primarily in European high yield bonds and non-U.S. convertible securities. The fair values of the investments in this category have been estimated using the net asset value per share of the investments. Investments of \$46.9 million are redeemable, in whole or in part, on a bi-monthly basis. The remaining \$33.4 million can generally only be redeemed by the Company at a rate of 10% per month. The issuers of these securities may permit redemptions which exceed this amount, but they are not obliged to do so.

Hedge funds The Company invests in hedge funds that pursue multiple strategies. The strategies employed include, among others, the following: fundamentally driven long/short; event oriented; and private investments. The fair values of the investments in this category have been estimated using the net asset value per share of the investments. Included in the Company's hedge funds is \$9.5 million of so called "side pocket" investments which are not redeemable at the option of the shareholder. As to each investment in a hedge fund that includes side pocket investments, if the investment is otherwise fully redeemed, the Company will still retain its interest in the side pocket investments until the underlying investments attributable to such side pockets are liquidated, realized or deemed realized at the discretion of the fund manager.

NOTE 8. CEDED REINSURANCE

The Company purchases reinsurance and other protection to manage its risk portfolio and to reduce its exposure to large losses. The Company currently has in place contracts that provide for recovery of a portion of certain claims and claim expenses, generally in excess of various retentions or on a proportional basis. In addition to loss recoveries, certain of the Company's ceded reinsurance contracts provide for recoveries of additional premiums, reinstatement premiums and for lost no-claims bonuses, which are incurred when losses are ceded to other reinsurance contracts. The Company remains liable to the extent that any reinsurance company fails to meet its obligations.

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth the effect of reinsurance and retrocessional activity on premiums written and earned and on net claims and claim expenses incurred for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

Year ended December 31,	2010	2009	2008
Premiums written			
Direct	\$ 9,133	\$ 469	\$ 1,462
Assumed	1,156,162	1,228,412	1,240,825
Ceded	(316,330)	(390,548)	(306,787)
Net premiums written	\$ 848,965	\$ 838,333	\$ 935,500
Premiums earned			
Direct	\$ 5,329	\$ 1,419	\$ 13,062
Assumed	1,191,375	1,270,553	1,296,662
Ceded	(331,783)	(389,768)	(325,276)
Net premiums earned	\$ 864,921	\$ 882,204	\$ 984,448
Claims and claim expenses			
Gross claims and claim expenses incurred	\$ 178,422	\$ (81,233)	\$ 590,198
Claims and claim expenses recovered	(49,077)	10,535	(108,700)
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	\$ 129,345	\$ (70,698)	\$ 481,498

The reinsurers with the three largest balances accounted for 31.7%, 13.7% and 12.7%, respectively, of the Company's reinsurance recoverable balance at December 31, 2010 (2009 29.2%, 19.7% and 13.7%, respectively). At December 31, 2010, the Company had a \$3.5 million valuation allowance against reinsurance recoverable (2009 \$7.6 million). The three largest company-specific components of the valuation allowance represented 57.0%, 24.9% and 3.7%, respectively, of the Company's total valuation allowance at December 31, 2010 (2009 29.8%, 26.3% and 12.3%, respectively).

NOTE 9. OTHER SECURED ASSETS AND OTHER SECURED LIABILITIES

Other secured assets and other secured liabilities represent contractual rights and obligations under a purchase agreement, contingent purchase agreement and credit derivatives agreement (collectively, the Agreements) with a major bank to sell certain securities within the Company's catastrophe-linked securities portfolio (Cat-Linked Securities). Under the terms of the Agreements, the Company sells its ownership interest in Cat-Linked Securities to the bank at par. During 2010, Cat-Linked Securities with a par amount of \$13.5 million matured (2009 \$49.9 million, 2008 \$29.0 million). The Agreements allow the Company to repurchase these securities at par and obligate the Company to repurchase the securities under certain circumstances including catastrophe triggering events and events of default. As a result of these transactions, the Company is receiving the spread over LIBOR on the remaining \$14.0 million of Cat-Linked Securities, less a financing fee.

The Company accounted for the sale of the Cat-Linked Securities under the Agreements as a secured borrowing with a pledge of collateral under the provisions of FASB ASC Topic *Transfers and Servicing*, and accordingly recognized no gain or loss upon the transaction date. The credit derivatives agreement is accounted for at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in other income. As a result of the Agreements, the Company recognized its Cat-Linked Securities as other secured assets which totaled \$14.3 million at December 31, 2010, representing the fair value of the pledged collateral and credit derivatives agreement, and recognized a \$14.0 million liability, representing its obligation to repurchase the Cat-Linked Securities at par. The Company recognized \$20 thousand (2009 \$3.9 million, 2008 \$2.2 million) of other income in its consolidated statements of operations from these transactions, representing the spread over LIBOR less the financing fee on the Cat-Linked Securities for the year ended December 31, 2010, inclusive of the change in the fair value of the credit derivatives agreement.

Under the terms of the Agreements, the Company may sell other catastrophe-linked securities.

F-39

Table of Contents**NOTE 10. RESERVE FOR CLAIMS AND CLAIM EXPENSES**

The Company uses statistical and actuarial methods to estimate ultimate expected claims and claim expenses. The period of time from the reporting of a loss to the Company and the settlement of the Company's liability may be many years. During this period, additional facts and trends will be revealed. As these factors become apparent, case reserves will be adjusted, sometimes requiring an increase or decrease in the overall reserves of the Company, and at other times requiring a reallocation of incurred but not reported (IBNR) reserves to specific case reserves or additional case reserves. These estimates are reviewed regularly, and such adjustments, if any, are reflected in the results of operations in the period in which they become known and are accounted for as changes in estimates. Adjustments to the Company's claims and claim expense reserves can impact current year net income by increasing net income if the estimates of prior year claims and claim expense reserves prove to be overstated or by decreasing net income if the estimates of prior year claims and claim expense reserves prove to be insufficient.

The Company's estimates of claims and claim expenses are also based in part upon the estimation of claims resulting from natural and man-made disasters such as hurricanes, earthquakes, tsunamis, winter storms, terrorist attacks and other catastrophic events. Estimation by the Company of claims resulting from catastrophic events is inherently difficult because of the potential severity of property catastrophe claims. Additionally, the Company has recently increased its specialty reinsurance business but does not have the benefit of a significant amount of its own historical experience in certain of these lines. Therefore, the Company uses both proprietary and commercially available models, as well as historical (re)insurance industry claims experience, for purposes of evaluating future trends and providing an estimate of ultimate claims costs.

Activity in the liability for unpaid claims and claim expenses is summarized as follows:

Year ended December 31,	2010	2009	2008
Net reserves as of January 1	\$ 1,260,334	\$ 1,565,230	\$ 1,609,498
Net incurred related to:			
Current year	431,476	195,518	678,383
Prior years	(302,131)	(266,216)	(196,885)
Total net incurred	129,345	(70,698)	481,498
Net paid related to:			
Current year	50,793	42,712	188,637
Prior years	182,754	191,486	337,129
Total net paid	233,547	234,198	525,766
Total net reserves as of December 31	1,156,132	1,260,334	1,565,230
Reinsurance recoverable as of December 31	101,711	84,099	193,546
Total gross reserves as of December 31	\$ 1,257,843	\$ 1,344,433	\$ 1,758,776

For the year ended December 31, 2010, the prior year favorable development of \$302.1 million included favorable development of \$286.0 million, \$0.2 million and \$15.9 million attributable to the Company's Reinsurance, Lloyd's and Insurance segments, respectively. Within the Company's Reinsurance segment, the catastrophe unit experienced \$157.5 million of favorable development on prior years' claims and claim expense reserves and the specialty reinsurance unit experienced \$128.6 million of favorable development on prior years' claims and claim expense reserves.

The favorable development within the Company's catastrophe reinsurance unit in 2010 was due to reductions of \$33.6 million to the estimated ultimate losses of mature, large, mainly international catastrophe events, combined with reductions in net ultimate losses associated with the 2005 Buncefield Oil Depot loss of \$27.4 million, the 2005 hurricanes of \$25.5 million, the 2008 hurricanes of \$10.9 million, European windstorm Klaus of \$8.0 million and the 2004 hurricanes of \$8.1 million, with the remainder due to a reduction in ultimate losses on a

Table of Contents

large number of relatively small catastrophes, all principally due to reported claims coming in less than expected. The favorable development within the Company's specialty unit includes \$31.4 million associated with actuarial assumption changes, principally in the Company's casualty clash and surety lines of business, and partially offset by an increase in reserves within the Company's workers compensation per risk line of business, principally as a result of revised initial expected loss ratios and loss development factors due to actual experience coming in better than expected; \$25.9 million due to a decrease in case reserves and additional case reserves, which are reserves established at the contract level for specific losses or large events; and reported losses coming in better than expected in 2010 on prior accident years events. The favorable development within the Company's Insurance segment on prior year reserves in 2010 was primarily due to actual reported loss activity being more favorable to date than what was originally anticipated when setting the initial reserves.

For the year ended December 31, 2009, the prior year favorable development of \$266.2 million included favorable development of \$249.5 million and \$16.7 million attributable to the Company's Reinsurance and Insurance segments, respectively. Within the Company's Reinsurance segment, the Company's catastrophe unit experienced \$184.4 million of favorable development on prior years' claims and claim expense reserves and its specialty reinsurance unit experienced \$65.1 million of favorable development on prior years' claims and claim expense reserves.

The favorable development within the Company's catastrophe unit of \$184.4 million in 2009 was principally attributable to a reduction in ultimate net losses associated with the 2008 hurricanes, Gustav and Ike (\$44.7 million); the 2005 hurricanes, Katrina, Rita and Wilma (\$25.5 million); the 2007 European windstorm Kyrill (\$16.7 million); the 2007 California wildfires (\$14.1 million); the 2007 flooding in the U.K. (\$14.6 million); and the 2004 hurricanes, Charley, Frances, Ivan and Jeanne (\$11.3 million), due to better than expected reported claims activity, and with respect of the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, the adoption of a new actuarial technique using reported loss development factors to estimate the ultimate losses for these events. The remaining favorable development within the Company's catastrophe unit was due to a reduction of ultimate net losses on a variety of smaller catastrophes such as hail storms, winter freezes, floods, fires and tornadoes which occurred during the 2006 through 2008 accident years. The favorable development within the Company's specialty reinsurance unit of \$65.1 million in 2009 was principally attributable to lower than expected claims emergence on the 2005 through 2008 underwriting years of \$87.6 million, which was driven by the application of the Company's formulaic actuarial reserving methodology for this business with the reductions being due to actual paid and reported loss activity being more favorable to date than what was originally anticipated when setting the initial IBNR reserves, \$10.0 million due to a reduction on one claim on a contract related to the 2005 hurricanes, and partially offset by a \$32.5 million increase in the Company's estimated ultimate net losses on the 2008 Madoff matter. The favorable development within the Company's Insurance segment of \$16.7 million in 2009 was principally driven by the application of the Company's formulaic actuarial reserving methodology for this business with the reductions being due to actual paid and reported loss activity being more favorable to date than what was originally anticipated when setting the initial IBNR reserves.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, the prior year favorable development of \$196.9 million included \$188.1 million attributable to the Company's Reinsurance segment and \$8.8 million attributable to the Company's Insurance segment. Within the Company's Reinsurance segment, the catastrophe reinsurance unit experienced \$131.6 million of favorable development on prior years' estimated ultimate claim reserves, principally as a result of a comprehensive review of the Company's expected ultimate net losses associated with the 2005 hurricanes, Katrina, Rita and Wilma. The Company's specialty reinsurance unit, within the Reinsurance segment, and its Insurance segment experienced \$56.5 million and \$8.8 million, respectively, of favorable development in 2008. The favorable development within the specialty reinsurance unit and Insurance segment was principally driven by the application of formulaic actuarial reserving methodology for these books of business with the reductions being due to actual paid and reported loss activity being more favorable to date than what was originally anticipated when setting the initial IBNR reserves.

Net claims and claim expenses incurred were reduced by \$0.2 million during 2010 (2009: \$3.3 million, 2008: \$1.9 million) related to income earned on assumed reinsurance contracts that were classified as deposit contracts with underwriting risk only. Other income was increased by \$8.1 million during 2010 (2009: reduced by \$0.7 million, 2008: reduced by \$1.9 million) related to premiums and losses incurred on assumed reinsurance contracts that were classified as deposit contracts with timing risk only. Aggregate deposit liabilities of \$52.1 million are included in reinsurance balances payable at December 31, 2010 (2009: \$63.9 million) and aggregate deposit assets of \$nil are included in other assets at December 31, 2010 (2009: \$nil) associated with these contracts.

Table of Contents

NOTE 11. DEBT

5.875% Senior Notes

In January 2003, the Company issued \$100.0 million, which represents the carrying amount on the Company's consolidated balance sheet, of 5.875% Senior Notes due February 15, 2013, with interest on the notes payable on February 15 and August 15 of each year. The notes can be redeemed by the Company prior to maturity subject to payment of a make-whole premium. The notes, which are senior obligations, contain various covenants, including limitations on mergers and consolidations, restrictions as to the disposition of the stock of designated subsidiaries and limitations on liens of the stock of designated subsidiaries.

5.75% Senior Notes

On March 17, 2010, RRNAH issued \$250.0 million of 5.75% Senior Notes due March 15, 2020, with interest on the notes payable on March 15 and September 15 of each year. The notes, which are senior obligations, are guaranteed by RenaissanceRe and can be redeemed by RRNAH prior to maturity subject to payment of a make-whole premium. The documents governing the notes contain various covenants, including limitations on the ability of RRNAH and RenaissanceRe to merge, consolidate and transfer or lease their respective properties and assets as an entirety or substantially as an entirety, as well as restrictions on RRNAH and RenaissanceRe relating to the disposition of the stock of designated subsidiaries and the creation of liens on the stock of designated subsidiaries.

RenaissanceRe Revolving Credit Facility (Credit Agreement)

Effective April 22, 2010, RenaissanceRe entered into a revolving credit agreement with various financial institutions parties thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as fronting bank, letter of credit administrator and administrative agent for the lenders thereunder, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as syndication agent. The Credit Agreement replaced the third amended and restated credit agreement, dated as of April 9, 2009, which expired by its terms on March 31, 2010.

The Credit Agreement provides for a revolving commitment to RenaissanceRe of \$150.0 million, including the issuance of letters of credit for the account of RenaissanceRe and RenaissanceRe's insurance subsidiaries of up to \$150.0 million and the issuance of letters of credit for the account of RenaissanceRe's non-insurance subsidiaries of up to \$50.0 million. RenaissanceRe has the right, subject to satisfying certain conditions, to increase the size of the facility to \$250.0 million. The scheduled commitment maturity date of the Credit Agreement is April 22, 2013.

The Credit Agreement contains representations, warranties and covenants customary for bank loan facilities of this type. In addition to customary covenants which limit the ability of RenaissanceRe and its subsidiaries to merge, consolidate, enter into negative pledge agreements, sell, transfer or lease all or any substantial part of their respective assets, incur liens and declare or pay dividends under certain circumstances, the Credit Agreement also contains certain financial covenants. These financial covenants generally provide that consolidated debt to capital shall not exceed the ratio of 0.35:1 and that the consolidated net worth of RenaissanceRe and Renaissance Reinsurance shall equal or exceed \$2.1 billion and \$960.0 million, respectively. The foregoing net worth requirements are recalculated effective as of the end of each fiscal year, all as more fully set forth in the Credit Agreement.

Bilateral Letter of Credit Facility (Bilateral Facility)

Effective September 17, 2010, each of Renaissance Reinsurance, DaVinci and Glencoe (collectively, the Bilateral Facility Participants), entered into a secured letter of credit facility with Citibank Europe plc (CEP). The Bilateral Facility provides a commitment from CEP to issue letters of credit for the account of one or more of the Bilateral Facility Participants and their respective subsidiaries in multiple currencies and in an aggregate

Table of Contents

amount of up to \$300.0 million. The Bilateral Facility terminates on December 31, 2012 and is evidenced by a Facility Letter and three separate Master Agreements between CEP and each of the Bilateral Facility Participants, as well as certain ancillary agreements.

Under the Bilateral Facility, each of the Bilateral Facility Participants is severally obligated to pledge to CEP at all times during the term of the Bilateral Facility certain securities with a collateral value (as determined as therein provided) that equals or exceeds 100% of the aggregate amount of its then-outstanding letters of credit. In the case of an event of default under the Bilateral Facility with respect to a Bilateral Facility Participant, CEP may exercise certain remedies with respect to such Bilateral Facility Participant, including terminating its commitment to such Bilateral Facility Participant under the Bilateral Facility and taking certain actions with respect to the collateral pledged by such Bilateral Facility Participant (including the sale thereof). In the Facility Letter, each of Renaissance Reinsurance, DaVinci and Glencoe makes, as to itself, representations and warranties that are customary for facilities of this type and severally agrees that it will comply with certain informational and other undertakings, including those regarding the delivery of quarterly and annual financial statements.

DaVinciRe Revolving Credit Facility

DaVinciRe is a party to a Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 5, 2006 (the "DaVinciRe Credit Agreement"), which provides for a revolving credit facility in an aggregate amount of up to \$200.0 million that matures on April 5, 2011. The term of the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement may be extended and the commitment amount may be increased to \$250.0 million, provided certain conditions are met. Effective as of March 9, 2010, DaVinciRe and the other parties to the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 5, 2006 (the "DaVinciRe Credit Agreement"), entered into Amendment No. 1 to the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement (the "Amendment"). The Amendment provided for the release of certain collateral that was previously pledged by DaVinciRe in support of its obligations under the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement and the pledge by DaVinci of other collateral in substitution for the released collateral. Interest rates are based on a spread above LIBOR, and averaged approximately 1.0% during 2010 (2009 1.3%). The DaVinciRe Credit Agreement requires DaVinciRe and DaVinci to maintain a minimum net worth of \$350.0 million and \$450.0 million, respectively, and requires DaVinciRe to maintain a debt to capital ratio of no greater than 30%. Neither RenaissanceRe nor Renaissance Reinsurance is a guarantor of this facility and the lenders have no recourse against RenaissanceRe or its subsidiaries other than DaVinciRe and DaVinci under the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Credit Agreement, a default by DaVinciRe on its obligations under the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement will not result in a default under the Credit Agreement. At December 31, 2010, \$200.0 million remained outstanding under the DaVinciRe Credit Agreement.

Principal Letter of Credit Facility

Effective April 22, 2010, RenaissanceRe and its affiliates, Renaissance Reinsurance, Renaissance Reinsurance of Europe, Glencoe Insurance Ltd. and DaVinci (such affiliates, collectively, the "Account Parties"), entered into a Third Amended and Restated Reimbursement Agreement with various banks and financial institutions parties thereto (collectively, the "Banks"), with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as issuing bank, administrative agent and collateral agent for the Banks, and certain other agents (the "Reimbursement Agreement"). The Reimbursement Agreement amended and restated in its entirety the Second Amended and Restated Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of April 27, 2007.

The Reimbursement Agreement serves as the Company's principal secured letter of credit facility and the commitments thereunder expire on April 22, 2013. As of December 31, 2010, the Reimbursement Agreement provided commitments from the Banks in an aggregate amount of \$1.0 billion. Effective February 15, 2011, the Company reduced the commitments under the Reimbursement Agreement from \$1.0 billion to \$700.0 million. The Banks' commitments may be increased up to an amount not to exceed \$1.2 billion, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions. The Reimbursement Agreement contains representations, warranties and covenants in respect of RenaissanceRe and the Account Parties and Renaissance Investment Holdings Ltd. ("RIHL") that are customary for facilities of this type, including customary covenants limiting the ability to merge, consolidate, sell, transfer or lease all or any substantial part of their respective assets. The Reimbursement Agreement also contains certain financial covenants that are customary for reinsurance and insurance companies in facilities of this type, which require RenaissanceRe and DaVinci to maintain a minimum net worth of \$1.75 billion and \$650.0 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

The foregoing net worth requirements are recalculated effective as of the end of each fiscal year, all as more fully set forth in the Reimbursement Agreement.

Under the Reimbursement Agreement, each Account Party is required to pledge eligible collateral having a value sufficient to cover all of its obligations under the Reimbursement Agreement, including reimbursement obligations for outstanding letters of credit issued for its account. Eligible collateral includes, among other things, redeemable preference shares issued to the Account Parties by RIHL, a subsidiary of RenaissanceRe. Each Account Party that pledges RIHL shares as collateral must maintain additional unpledged RIHL shares that have a net asset value at least equal to 15% of the outstanding RIHL shares pledged by such Account Party pursuant to the Reimbursement Agreement. In addition, RIHL shares having an aggregate net asset value equal to at least 15% of the net asset value of all outstanding RIHL shares must remain unencumbered.

Under the Second Amended and Restated RIHL Undertaking and Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2010, executed by RIHL in favor of the administrative agent on behalf of the Banks in connection with the Reimbursement Agreement (the "RIHL Agreement"), RIHL agrees, among other things, to guarantee payment of the obligations of the Account Parties under the Reimbursement Agreement on the terms and subject to the limitations more fully described in the RIHL Agreement.

Funds at Lloyd's Letter of Credit Facility

On April 26, 2010, Renaissance Reinsurance and CEP entered into an Amended and Restated Pledge Agreement (the "Pledge Agreement") in respect of its letter of credit facility with CEP which is evidenced by the Master Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of April 29, 2009, and provides for the issuance and renewal of letters of credit which are used to support business written by Syndicate 1458. Pursuant to the Pledge Agreement, Renaissance Reinsurance has agreed to pledge to CEP at all times during the term of the Reimbursement Agreement certain securities with a collateral value equal to 100% of the aggregate amount of the then-outstanding letters of credit issued under the Reimbursement Agreement.

Renaissance Trading Margin Facility

Renaissance Trading maintains a brokerage facility with a leading prime broker, which has an associated margin facility. This margin facility is supported by a \$10.0 million guarantee issued by RenaissanceRe. Interest on amounts outstanding under this facility is at overnight LIBOR plus 75 basis points. At December 31, 2010, \$nil was outstanding under the facility.

Guarantees

At December 31, 2010, RenaissanceRe had provided guarantees in the amount of \$243.0 million to certain counterparties of the weather and energy risk operations of Renaissance Trading. In the future, RenaissanceRe may issue guarantees for other purposes or increase the amount of guarantees issued to counterparties of Renaissance Trading.

Interest paid on the above debt totaled \$17.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 (2009 \$18.7 million, 2008 \$28.2 million).

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the Company's aggregate amount of maturities related to the Company's debt obligations reflected on its consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010:

Year ended December 31, 2010

2011	\$ 200,000
2012	
2013	100,000
2014	
2015	
After 2015	250,000
	\$ 550,000

NOTE 12. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES*Timicuan Reinsurance II Ltd. (Tim Re II)*

On May 29, 2009, Tim Re II, a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, sold \$49.5 million of non-voting Class B shares to external investors, and the Company invested an additional \$10.0 million in the non-voting Class B shares, representing a 16.8% ownership interest, providing Tim Re II with additional reinsurance capacity to accept property catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance business. Tim Re II is a Class 3 Bermuda domiciled reinsurer. The Company ceded a defined portfolio of property catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance contracts incepting June 1, 2009 to Tim Re II under a fully-collateralized facultative retrocessional reinsurance contract in return for a potential underwriting profit commission. The Class B shareholders participate in substantially all of the profits or losses of Tim Re II while the Class B shares remain outstanding. The Class B shares indemnify Tim Re II against losses relating to insurance risk and therefore these shares have been accounted for as prospective reinsurance under FASB ASC Topic *Financial Services – Insurance*. The sale of the Class B shares was considered a reconsideration event under FASB ASC Topic *Consolidation*. In accordance with the provisions of FASB ASC Topic *Consolidation*, Tim Re II was considered a VIE and the Company was considered the primary beneficiary. As a result, Tim Re II is consolidated by the Company and all significant inter-company transactions have been eliminated. The Class B share capital was invested by Tim Re II in short term investments and was pledged as collateral to the Company in support of obligations arising under the reinsurance contract. Tim Re II was required to repurchase the Class B shares subsequent to December 31, 2009, which was the end of the contract period. The Company ceded \$32.0 million of premium to Tim Re II under the facultative retrocessional excess of loss reinsurance contract through the period ended December 31, 2009. At December 31, 2009, the Company's consolidated balance sheet included assets and liabilities of \$65.8 million and \$72.3 million, respectively, related to Tim Re II, principally reflecting reinsurance underwriting balances and investments with respect to assets and amounts payable to Class B shareholders and a reserve for unearned premiums with respect to liabilities. Effective January 1, 2010, the Company repurchased all of the outstanding Class B shares for \$71.0 million, net of a \$15.7 million holdback. The \$15.7 million holdback was settled during 2010. Subsequent to the repurchase of the Class B shares by the Company, Tim Re II remains a consolidated subsidiary, but is no longer considered a variable interest entity.

Angus Fund L.P. (the Angus Fund)

In December 2010, REAL and RenRe Commodity Advisors Inc. (RRCA), both wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, formed the Angus Fund with other equity investors. REAL, the general partner of the Angus Fund, invested \$40 thousand in the Angus Fund, representing a 1.0% ownership interest at December 31, 2010, and RRCA, a limited partner, invested \$1.0 million in the Angus Fund, representing a 24.75% ownership interest at December 31, 2010. The Angus Fund was formed to provide capital to and make investments in companies primarily in the heating oil and propane distribution industries to supplement the Company's weather and energy risk management operations. The Angus Fund meets the definition of a VIE, therefore the Company evaluated its ownership in the Angus Fund to determine if it is the primary beneficiary. The Company has concluded it is the primary beneficiary of the Angus Fund as it has the power to direct, and has a more than insignificant economic

Table of Contents

interest in, the activities of the Angus Fund and as such, the financial position and results of operations of the Angus Fund are consolidated. The noncontrolling economic interest in the Angus Fund owned by third parties for the year ended December 31, 2010, is recorded in the consolidated statements of operations as noncontrolling interest. Refer to Note 13. Noncontrolling Interests for additional information.

NOTE 13. NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest DaVinciRe

In October 2001, the Company formed DaVinciRe and DaVinci with other equity investors. RenaissanceRe owns a noncontrolling economic interest in DaVinciRe; however, because RenaissanceRe controls a majority of DaVinciRe's outstanding voting rights, the consolidated financial statements of DaVinciRe are included in the consolidated financial statements of the Company. The portion of DaVinciRe's earnings owned by third parties for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 is recorded in the consolidated statements of operations as net income attributable to noncontrolling interests.

DaVinciRe shareholders are party to a shareholders agreement (the Shareholders Agreement) which provides DaVinciRe shareholders, excluding RenaissanceRe, with certain redemption rights that enable each shareholder to notify DaVinciRe of such shareholder's desire for DaVinciRe to repurchase up to half of such shareholder's initial aggregate number of shares held, subject to certain limitations, such as limiting the aggregate of all share repurchase requests to 25% of DaVinciRe's capital in any given year and satisfying all applicable regulatory requirements. If total shareholder requests exceed 25% of DaVinciRe's capital, the number of shares repurchased will be reduced among the requesting shareholders pro-rata, based on the amounts desired to be repurchased. Shareholders desiring to have DaVinciRe repurchase their shares must notify DaVinciRe before March 1 of each year. The repurchase price will be based on GAAP book value as of the end of the year in which the shareholder notice is given, and the repurchase will be effective as of such date. Payment will be made by April 1 of the following year, following delivery of the audited financial statements for the year in which the repurchase was effective. The repurchase price is subject to a true-up for development on outstanding loss reserves after settlement of all claims relating to the applicable years.

Certain third party shareholders of DaVinciRe submitted repurchase notices on or before the required annual redemption notice date of March 1, 2009, in accordance with the Shareholders Agreement. The repurchase notices submitted on or before March 1, 2009 were for shares of DaVinciRe with a GAAP book value of \$173.6 million at December 31, 2009. Effective January 1, 2010, DaVinciRe redeemed the shares for \$173.6 million, less a \$17.6 million reserve holdback and, in a separate transaction, the Company sold a portion of its shares in DaVinciRe to a third party shareholder. The Company's ownership in DaVinciRe was 38.2% at December 31, 2009 (2008 22.8%) and subsequent to the above transactions, the Company's ownership interest in DaVinciRe increased to 41.2% effective January 1, 2010.

Certain third party shareholders of DaVinciRe submitted repurchase notices on or before the required annual redemption notice date of March 1, 2010, in accordance with the Shareholders Agreement. The repurchase notices submitted on or before March 1, 2010, were for shares of DaVinciRe with a GAAP book value of \$88.4 million at December 31, 2010. Furthermore, DaVinciRe resolved to return additional capital of \$86.6 million to the remaining shareholders, including the Company, after the receipt of the repurchase notices described above. Effective January 1, 2011, DaVinciRe redeemed the shares and returned additional capital for an aggregate of \$175.0 million, less a \$17.5 million reserve holdback. As a result of the above transactions, the Company's ownership interest in DaVinciRe increased to 44.0% effective January 1, 2011.

In advance of the March 1, 2011 redemption notice date, certain third party shareholders of DaVinciRe have submitted repurchase notices, in accordance with the Shareholders Agreement, for shares of DaVinciRe with a GAAP book value of \$22.8 million at December 31, 2010. The Company expects its ownership in DaVinciRe to fluctuate over time.

Table of Contents

The activity in redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe is detailed in the table below for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

Year ended December 31,	2010	2009
Balance January 1	\$ 786,647	\$ 768,531
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of taxes (1)		42
Purchase of shares from redeemable noncontrolling interest	(142,097)	(152,729)
Comprehensive income:		
Net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest	116,532	171,501
Other comprehensive income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest	(3,427)	(698)
Balance December 31	\$ 757,655	\$ 786,647

(1) Cumulative effect adjustment to opening retained earnings as of April 1, 2009, related to the recognition and presentation of other-than-temporary impairments, as required by FASB ASC Topic *Investments Debt and Equity Securities*.
Noncontrolling Interest

The noncontrolling economic interest in the Angus Fund owned by third parties for the year ended December 31, 2010, is recorded in the consolidated statements of operation as noncontrolling interest. The Company expects its ownership in the Angus Fund to fluctuate over time.

The activity in noncontrolling interest is detailed in the table below for the year ended December 31, 2010:

Year ended December 31,	2010
Balance January 1	\$
Purchase of shares by noncontrolling interest	3,000
Comprehensive income:	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(111)
Other comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	
Balance December 31	\$ 2,889

NOTE 14. SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

The aggregate authorized capital of the Company is 325 million shares consisting of 225 million common shares and 100 million preference shares.

The following table is a summary of changes in common shares issued and outstanding:

Year ended December 31, (thousands of shares)	2010	2009	2008
--	------	------	------

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Issued and outstanding shares	January 1	61,745	61,503	68,920
Shares repurchased		(8,198)	(951)	(8,064)
Exercise of options and issuance of restricted stock awards		563	1,193	647
Issued and outstanding shares	December 31	54,110	61,745	61,503

F-47

Table of Contents

On August 11, 2010, the Company approved an increase in its authorized share repurchase program to an aggregate amount of \$500.0 million. Unless terminated earlier by resolution of the Company's Board of Directors, the program will expire when the Company has repurchased the full value of the shares authorized. The Company's decision to repurchase common shares will depend on, among other matters, the market price of the common shares and the capital requirements of the Company. During 2010, \$460.4 million of shares (2009 \$51.0 million, 2008 \$428.4 million) were repurchased under this program, including \$49.0 million subsequent to the \$500.0 million authorization noted above. Common shares repurchased by the Company are normally cancelled and retired. At December 31, 2010, \$450.9 million remained available for repurchase under the Board authorized share repurchase program. Dividends declared and paid on common shares amounted to \$1.00, \$0.96 and \$0.92 per common share for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, or \$55.9 million, \$59.7 million and \$57.9 million, respectively, on all common shares outstanding.

In December 2006, the Company raised \$300.0 million through the issuance of 12 million Series D Preference Shares at \$25 per share; in March 2004, the Company raised \$250.0 million through the issuance of 10 million Series C Preference Shares at \$25 per share; and in February 2003 the Company raised \$100.0 million through the issuance of 4 million Series B Preference Shares at \$25 per share. On November 17, 2010, the Company gave redemption notices to the holders of the 7.30% Series B Preference Shares to redeem such shares for \$25 per share. On December 20, 2010, the Company redeemed all of the issued and outstanding 7.30% Series B Preference Shares for \$100.0 million plus accrued and unpaid dividends thereon. The Series D and Series C Preference Shares may be redeemed at \$25 per share at the Company's option on or after December 1, 2011 and March 23, 2009, respectively. Dividends on the Series D and Series C Preference Shares are cumulative from the date of original issuance and are payable quarterly in arrears at 6.60% and 6.08%, respectively, when, if, and as declared by the Board of Directors. If the Company submits a proposal to its shareholders concerning an amalgamation or submits any proposal that, as a result of any changes to Bermuda law, requires approval of the holders of these preference shares to vote as a single class, the Company may redeem the Series D Preference Shares prior to December 1, 2011, at \$26 per share. The preference shares have no stated maturity and are not convertible into any other securities of the Company. Generally, the preference shares have no voting rights. Whenever dividends payable on the preference shares are in arrears (whether or not such dividends have been earned or declared) in an amount equivalent to dividends for six full dividend periods (whether or not consecutive), the holders of the preference shares, voting as a single class regardless of class or series, will have the right to elect two directors to the Board of Directors of the Company.

During 2010, the Company declared and paid \$42.1 million in preference share dividends (2009 \$42.3 million, 2008 \$42.3 million).

NOTE 15. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The Company accounts for its weighted average shares in accordance with FASB ASC Topic *Earnings per Share*. Basic earnings per common share is based on weighted average common shares and excludes any dilutive effects of stock options and restricted stock. Diluted earnings per common share assumes the exercise of all dilutive stock options and restricted stock grants.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

Year ended December 31, (thousands of shares)	2010	2009	2008
Numerator:			
Net income (loss) available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders	\$ 702,613	\$ 838,858	\$ (13,280)
Amount allocated to participating common shareholders (1)	(17,765)	(18,473)	59
Net income (loss) allocated to RenaissanceRe common shareholders	\$ 684,848	\$ 820,385	\$ (13,221)
Denominator:			
Denominator for basic income per RenaissanceRe common share			
Weighted average common shares	55,145	60,775	62,531
Per common share equivalents of employee stock options and restricted shares	496	435	880
Denominator for diluted income per RenaissanceRe common share			
Adjusted weighted average common shares and assumed conversions	55,641	61,210	63,411
Basic income (loss) per RenaissanceRe common share	\$ 12.42	\$ 13.50	\$ (0.21)
Diluted income (loss) per RenaissanceRe common share	\$ 12.31	\$ 13.40	\$ (0.21)

(1) Represents earnings attributable to holders of unvested restricted shares issued under the Company's 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan and for 2010, the 2010 Performance-Based Equity Incentive Plan.

NOTE 16. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS AND MAJOR CUSTOMERS

During 2010, the Company issued a \$5.0 million promissory note to Tower Hill Insurance Group, LLC ("THIG"). Interest is due quarterly and is accrued on the unpaid principal balance at LIBOR plus 6.0%. THIG can voluntarily prepay the loan in whole, or in part, plus accrued interest, without premium or penalty at any time. Included in other assets on the Company's consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2010 is the promissory note principal balance of \$5.0 million. Interest income earned on the promissory note is included in other income on the Company consolidated statements of operations.

The Company has entered into reinsurance agreements with certain subsidiaries and affiliates of Tower Hill and has also entered into reinsurance agreements with respect to business produced by Tower Hill Insurance. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company recorded \$29.7 million (2009 \$28.1 million, 2008 \$57.3 million) of gross premium written assumed from Tower Hill and its subsidiaries and affiliates. Gross premiums earned totaled \$38.4 million (2009 \$58.5 million, 2008 \$78.0 million) and expenses incurred were \$4.1 million (2009 \$14.3 million, 2008 \$29.2 million) for the year ended December 31, 2010. The Company had a net related outstanding receivable balance of \$14.9 million as of December 31, 2010 (2009 \$24.3 million).

Table of Contents

During 2008, the Company purchased \$3.5 million of intangible assets from an employee of the Company, including rights, title and interest in and to patents and patent technologies, inventions and trade names. These intangible assets were owned by the employee. As part of the purchase agreement, the Company paid a set price and agreed to pay additional amounts upon successful licensing, sale, or certain other monetization by the Company of the inventions. At December 31, 2010, the unamortized balance of these intangible assets amounted to \$3.1 million (2009 \$3.3 million).

During 2008, the Company invested \$6.0 million in Angus Partners LLC (Angus), representing a 40% equity interest, which is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. Angus provides commodity related risk management products to third party customers. The Company had an outstanding net liability position of \$0.9 million at December 31, 2010 (2009 \$4.8 million) related to certain derivative trades with Angus. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the Company generated other income of \$8.3 million (2009 generated other income of \$1.2 million, 2008 incurred an other loss of \$39.4 million) related to these trades.

During 2010, the Company received distributions from Top Layer Re of \$12.9 million (2009 \$11.5 million, 2008 \$15.1 million), and a management fee of \$3.3 million (2009 \$3.3 million, 2008 \$3.5 million). The management fee reimburses the Company for services it provides to Top Layer Re. In addition, during 2010, the Company contributed additional paid in capital of \$13.8 million to Top Layer Re.

During 2010, the Company received 88.2% of its Reinsurance segment gross premiums written (2009 90.1%, 2008 88.6%) from three brokers (2009 three, 2008 four). Subsidiaries and affiliates of AON Benfield, Marsh Inc., and the Willis Group accounted for approximately 53.5%, 23.1% and 11.6%, respectively, of gross premiums written for the Reinsurance segment in 2010 (2009 58.7%, 20.9% and 10.5%, respectively, 2008 61.5%, 18.2% and 8.9%, respectively).

NOTE 17. TAXATION

Under current Bermuda law, the Company and its Bermuda subsidiaries are not subject to any income or capital gains taxes. In the event that such taxes are imposed, the Company and its Bermuda subsidiaries would be exempted from any such tax until March 2016 pursuant to the Bermuda Exempted Undertakings Tax Protection Act 1966, and Amended Act of 1987.

RenRe North America Holdings Inc. (RenRe North America) and its subsidiaries are subject to income taxes imposed by U.S. federal and state authorities and file a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return. Should the U.S. subsidiaries pay a dividend to the Company, withholding taxes would apply to the extent of current year or accumulated earnings and profits. The Company also has operations in Ireland and the U.K. which are also subject to income taxes imposed by the respective jurisdictions in which they operate.

The Company is not subject to income taxation other than as stated above. There can be no assurance that there will not be changes in applicable laws, regulations or treaties, which might require the Company to change the way it operates or become subject to taxation.

The following is a summary of the Company's income from continuing operations before taxes allocated between U.S. and non-U.S. jurisdictions:

Year ended December 31,	2010	2009	2008
U.S. (domestic)	\$ (10,938)	\$ 17,692	\$ (6,822)
Non-U.S. (foreign)	803,296	1,038,298	56,949
Income from continuing operations before taxes	\$ 792,358	\$ 1,055,990	\$ 50,127

Table of Contents

Income tax (expense) benefit for 2010, 2009 and 2008 is comprised as follows:

Year ended December 31, 2010	Current	Deferred	Total
Total income tax benefit	\$ (1,384)	\$ 7,508	\$ 6,124

Year ended December 31, 2009

Total income tax expense	\$ 139	\$ (10,170)	\$ (10,031)
--------------------------	--------	-------------	-------------

Year ended December 31, 2008

Total income tax benefit	\$ 2,324	\$ (2,144)	\$ 180
--------------------------	----------	------------	--------

The Company's expected income tax provision computed on pre-tax income at the weighted average tax rate has been calculated as the sum of the pre-tax income in each jurisdiction multiplied by that jurisdiction's applicable statutory tax rate. Statutory tax rates of 35.0%, 12.5% and 28.0%, have been used for the U.S., Ireland and the U.K., respectively. A reconciliation of the difference between the provision for income taxes and the expected tax provision at the weighted average tax rate for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

Year ended December 31,	2010	2009	2008
Expected income tax benefit (expense)	\$ 5,647	\$ (5,834)	\$ 2,380
Transfer pricing adjustments	37	(2,830)	(1,995)
Change in valuation allowance	(1,175)	(979)	1,702
Non-deductible expenses	(28)	(65)	(129)
State income tax expense, net of federal benefit	(67)	(223)	
Other	1,710	(100)	(1,778)
Income tax benefit (expense)	\$ 6,124	\$ (10,031)	\$ 180

Table of Contents

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are presented below:

At December 31,	2010	2009
Deferred tax assets		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 3,471	\$ 2,362
Accrued expenses	4,427	2,922
Investments	4,209	1,245
	12,107	6,529
Deferred tax liabilities		
Tax sharing obligation	(8,744)	(12,088)
Amortization and depreciation	(418)	(179)
	(9,162)	(12,267)
Net deferred tax asset (liability) before valuation allowance	2,945	(5,738)
Valuation allowance	(3,537)	(2,362)
Net deferred tax liability	\$ (592)	\$ (8,100)

During 2010, the Company recorded a net increase to the valuation allowance of \$1.2 million (2009 \$1.0 million, 2008 net reduction of \$1.7 million). The Company's deferred tax asset relates primarily to net operating loss carryforwards and GAAP versus tax basis accounting differences relating to accrued expenses, investments and tax sharing obligations. The Company's U.S. operations generated cumulative GAAP taxable income for the three year periods ending December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Accordingly, the Company believes that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax asset will be realized with the exception of net operating loss carryforwards in Ireland and the U.K. for which a valuation allowance has been provided.

In Ireland, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards of \$13.3 million. Under applicable law, the Irish net operating losses carryforward for an indefinite period. In the U.K., the Company has net operating loss carryforwards of \$6.7 million. Under applicable law, the U.K. net operating losses carryforward for an indefinite period.

The Company made net payments for U.S. federal, Irish and U.K. income taxes of \$3.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 (2009 net refund of \$0.4 million, 2008 net payments of \$0.3 million).

The Company has no unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2010. Interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions, of which there have been none, would be recognized in income tax expense. Income tax returns filed for tax years 2007 through 2009, 2006 through 2009 and 2009, are open for examination by the Internal Revenue Service, Irish tax authorities and U.K. tax authorities, respectively.

Table of Contents**NOTE 18. GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION**

The following is a summary of the Company's gross premiums written allocated to the territory of coverage exposure:

Year ended December 31,	2010	2009	2008
Catastrophe			
U.S. and Caribbean	\$ 710,770	\$ 815,840	\$ 745,016
Worldwide (excluding U.S.) (1)	113,270	78,222	75,489
Worldwide	65,500	92,586	67,371
Europe	59,480	60,363	72,153
Australia and New Zealand	6,269	5,293	5,455
Other	29,464	31,495	23,465
Total catastrophe	984,753	1,083,799	988,949
Specialty			
Worldwide	59,636	68,704	64,664
U.S. and Caribbean	57,461	39,712	95,106
Australia and New Zealand	8,934	51	
Europe	2,786	5,037	
Other	569	842	
Total specialty	129,386	114,346	159,770
Total Reinsurance (2)	1,114,139	1,198,145	1,148,719
Lloyd's			
U.S. and Caribbean	25,425		
Worldwide	16,207		
Europe	3,174		
Worldwide (excluding U.S.) (1)	1,049		
Australia and New Zealand	91		
Other	2,625		
Total Lloyd's (3)	48,571		
Insurance (4)	2,585	30,736	93,568
Total gross premiums written	\$ 1,165,295	\$ 1,228,881	\$ 1,242,287

(1) The category "Worldwide (excluding U.S.)" consists of contracts that cover more than one geographic region (other than the U.S.). The exposure in this category for gross premiums written to date is predominantly from Europe and Japan.

(2) Excludes \$9.5 million, \$12.7 million and \$5.7 million of premium assumed from the Insurance segment for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

(3) Excludes \$17.4 million and \$0.2 million of gross premiums written assumed from our Insurance segment and Reinsurance segment, respectively, in 2010.

- (4) The category Insurance consists of contracts that are primarily exposed to U.S. risks.

F-53

Table of Contents

NOTE 19. SEGMENT REPORTING

The Company has three reportable segments: Reinsurance, Lloyd's and Insurance.

The Company's Reinsurance operations are comprised of: 1) property catastrophe reinsurance, primarily written through Renaissance Reinsurance and DaVinci; 2) specialty reinsurance, primarily written through Renaissance Reinsurance and DaVinci; and 3) certain property catastrophe and specialty joint ventures, as described herein. The Reinsurance segment is managed by the Global Chief Underwriting Officer, who leads a team of underwriters, risk modelers and other industry professionals, who have access to our proprietary risk management, underwriting and modeling resources and tools.

The Lloyd's segment includes reinsurance and insurance business written through Syndicate 1458. Syndicate 1458 started writing certain lines of insurance and reinsurance business incepting on or after June 1, 2009. The syndicate was established to enhance the Company's underwriting platform by providing access to Lloyd's extensive distribution network and worldwide licenses and is managed by the Chief Underwriting Officer Lloyd's. RenaissanceRe Corporate Capital (UK) Limited (RenaissanceRe CCL), an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, is the sole corporate member of Syndicate 1458. The results of Syndicate 1458 were not significant to the Company's overall consolidated results of operations and financial position during 2009 and as such have not be reclassified as a reportable segment for 2009.

The Insurance segment includes the operations of the Company's former Insurance segment that are not being sold pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement with QBE, as discussed in Note 1. Organization, . The Insurance segment is managed by the Global Chief Underwriting Officer. The Insurance business was written by Glencoe Insurance Ltd. (Glencoe). Glencoe is a Bermuda domiciled excess and surplus lines insurance company that is currently eligible to do business on an excess and surplus lines basis in 49 U.S. states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands.

The financial results of the Company's strategic investments, weather and energy risk management operations and noncontrolling interests are included in the Other category of the Company's segment results. Also included in the Other category of the Company's segment results are the Company's investments in other ventures, investments unit, corporate expenses and capital servicing costs.

The Company does not manage its assets by segment; accordingly, net investment income and total assets are not allocated to the segments.

Table of Contents

A summary of the significant components of the Company's revenues and expenses for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

Year ended December 31, 2010	Reinsurance	Lloyd's	Insurance	Eliminations (1)	Other	Total
Gross premiums written	\$ 1,123,619	\$ 66,209	\$ 2,585	\$ (27,118)	\$	\$ 1,165,295
Net premiums written	\$ 809,719	\$ 61,189	\$ (21,943)			\$ 848,965
Net premiums earned	\$ 838,790	\$ 50,204	\$ (24,073)			\$ 864,921
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	113,804	25,676	(10,135)			129,345
Acquisition expenses	77,954	10,784	6,223			94,961
Operational expenses	129,990	24,837	11,215			166,042
Underwriting income (loss)	\$ 517,042	\$ (11,093)	\$ (31,376)			474,573
Net investment income					203,955	203,955
Net foreign exchange losses					(17,126)	(17,126)
Equity in losses of other ventures					(11,814)	(11,814)
Other income					41,120	41,120
Net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments					144,444	144,444
Net other-than-temporary impairments					(829)	(829)
Corporate expenses					(20,136)	(20,136)
Interest expense					(21,829)	(21,829)
Income from continuing operations before taxes						792,358
Income tax benefit					6,124	6,124
Income from discontinued operations					62,670	62,670
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests					(116,421)	(116,421)
Dividends on preference shares					(42,118)	(42,118)
Net income available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders						\$ 702,613
Net claims and claim expenses incurred current accident year	\$ 399,823	\$ 25,873	\$ 5,780			\$ 431,476
Net claims and claim expenses incurred prior accident years	(286,019)	(197)	(15,915)			(302,131)
Net claims and claim expenses incurred total	\$ 113,804	\$ 25,676	\$ (10,135)			\$ 129,345
Net claims and claim expense ratio current accident year	47.7%	51.5%	NMF(2)			49.9%
Net claims and claim expense ratio prior accident years	(34.1%)	(0.4%)	NMF(2)			(34.9%)
	13.6%	51.1%	NMF(2)			15.0%

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Net claims and claim expense ratio calendar year				
Underwriting expense ratio	24.8%	71.0%	NMF(2)	30.1%
Combined ratio	38.4%	122.1%	NMF(2)	45.1%

(1) Represents \$9.5 million, \$17.4 million and \$0.2 million of gross premiums ceded from the Insurance segment to the Reinsurance segment, from the Insurance segment to the Lloyd's segment and from the Reinsurance segment to the Lloyd's segment, respectively.

(2) Not a meaningful figure (NMF) due to negative net premiums earned.

F-55

Table of Contents

Year ended December 31, 2009	Reinsurance	Insurance	Eliminations (1)	Other	Total
Gross premiums written	\$ 1,210,795	\$ 30,736	\$ (12,650)	\$	\$ 1,228,881
Net premiums written	\$ 839,023	\$ (690)			\$ 838,333
Net premiums earned	\$ 849,725	\$ 32,479			\$ 882,204
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	(87,639)	16,941			(70,698)
Acquisition expenses	78,848	25,302			104,150
Operational expenses	139,328	14,224			153,552
Underwriting income (loss)	\$ 719,188	\$ (23,988)			695,200
Net investment income				318,179	318,179
Net foreign exchange losses				(13,623)	(13,623)
Equity in earnings of other ventures				10,976	10,976
Other income				1,798	1,798
Net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments				93,679	93,679
Net other-than-temporary impairments				(22,450)	(22,450)
Corporate expenses				(12,658)	(12,658)
Interest expense				(15,111)	(15,111)
Income from continuing operations before taxes					1,055,990
Income tax expense				(10,031)	(10,031)
Income from discontinued operations				6,700	6,700
Income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe				(171,501)	(171,501)
Dividends on preference shares				(42,300)	(42,300)
Net income available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders					\$ 838,858
Net claims and claim expenses incurred current accident year	\$ 161,868	\$ 33,650			\$ 195,518
Net claims and claim expenses incurred prior accident years	(249,507)	(16,709)			(266,216)
Net claims and claim expenses incurred total	\$ (87,639)	\$ 16,941			\$ (70,698)
Net claims and claim expense ratio current accident year	19.0%	103.6%			22.2%
Net claims and claim expense ratio prior accident years	(29.3%)	(51.4%)			(30.2%)
Net claims and claim expense ratio calendar year	(10.3%)	52.2%			(8.0%)
Underwriting expense ratio	25.7%	121.7%			29.2%
Combined ratio	15.4%	173.9%			21.2%

(1) Represents premium ceded from the Insurance segment to the Reinsurance segment.

F-56

Table of Contents

Year ended December 31, 2008	Reinsurance	Insurance	Eliminations (1)	Other	Total
Gross premiums written	\$ 1,154,391	\$ 93,568	\$ (5,672)	\$	\$ 1,242,287
Net premiums written	\$ 871,893	\$ 63,607			\$ 935,500
Net premiums earned	\$ 909,759	\$ 74,689			\$ 984,448
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	440,900	40,598			481,498
Acquisition expenses	105,437	36,179			141,616
Operational expenses	81,797	12,617			94,414
Underwriting income (loss)	\$ 281,625	\$ (14,705)			266,920
Net investment income				13,879	13,879
Net foreign exchange gains				2,600	2,600
Equity in earnings of other ventures				13,603	13,603
Other income				5,486	5,486
Net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments				11,462	11,462
Net other-than-temporary impairments				(214,897)	(214,897)
Corporate expenses				(24,293)	(24,293)
Interest expense				(24,633)	(24,633)
Income from continuing operations before taxes					50,127
Income tax benefit				180	180
Income from discontinued operations				33,846	33,846
Net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe				(55,133)	(55,133)
Dividends on preference shares				(42,300)	(42,300)
Net loss attributable to RenaissanceRe common shareholders					\$ (13,280)
Net claims and claim expenses incurred current accident year	\$ 629,022	\$ 49,361			\$ 678,383
Net claims and claim expenses incurred prior accident years	(188,122)	(8,763)			(196,885)
Net claims and claim expenses incurred total	\$ 440,900	\$ 40,598			\$ 481,498
Net claims and claim expense ratio current accident year	69.1%	66.1%			68.9%
Net claims and claim expense ratio prior accident years	(20.6%)	(11.7%)			(20.0%)
Net claims and claim expense ratio calendar year	48.5%	54.4%			48.9%
Underwriting expense ratio	20.5%	65.3%			24.0%
Combined ratio	69.0%	119.7%			72.9%

(1) Represents premium ceded from the Insurance segment to the Reinsurance segment.

NOTE 20. STOCK INCENTIVE COMPENSATION AND EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

2001 Stock Incentive Plan and Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan

The Company has a stock incentive plan (the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan) under which all employees of the Company and its subsidiaries may be granted stock options and restricted stock awards. A stock option award under the Company s 2001 Stock Incentive Plan allows for the purchase of the Company s common shares at a price that is equal to the fair market value of the Company s common shares as of the grant effective date. Options to purchase common shares are granted periodically by the Board of Directors, generally vest over four years and generally expire ten years from the date of grant. Restricted common shares are granted periodically by the Board of Directors and generally vest ratably over a four year period. In addition, awards granted under the Company s prior 1993 stock incentive plan remain outstanding, with terms similar to the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. The Company has also established a Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan to issue stock options and shares of restricted stock to the Company s non-employee directors.

The Company s 2001 Stock Incentive Plan also allows for the issuance of share-based awards, the issuance of restricted common shares and shares tendered in connection with option exercises. For purposes of determining the number of shares reserved for issuance under the 2001 Stock Plan, shares tendered to or withheld by the Company in connection with certain option exercises will again be available for issuance.

Table of Contents

Premium Option Plan

In August 2004, the Company's shareholders approved the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2004 Stock Option Incentive Plan (the "Premium Option Plan") under which 6.0 million common shares were reserved for issuance upon the exercise of options granted under the Premium Option Plan. On August 15, 2007, the Company terminated the Premium Option Plan, such that no further option grants will be made thereunder. However, options outstanding at the time of the termination will, unless otherwise subsequently amended pursuant to the terms of the Premium Option Plan, remain outstanding and unmodified until they expire, subject to the terms of the Premium Option Plan and any applicable award agreement. The Premium Option Plan provides for, among other things, mandatory premium pricing such that options can generally only be issued thereunder with a strike price at a minimum of 150% of the fair market value on the date of grant, minimum 5-year cliff vesting (subject to waiver by the compensation committee of the Board of Directors), and no discretionary repricing. The Premium Option Plan includes a dividend protection feature that reduces the strike price for extraordinary dividends and a change in control feature that reduces the strike price based on a pre-established formula in the event of a change in control. Other terms are substantially similar to the 2001 Stock Incentive Plan.

2010 Cash Settled Restricted Stock Unit Plan

In 2010, the Company instituted a restricted stock unit plan (the "2010 Cash Settled Restricted Stock Unit Plan") allowing for the issuance of equity awards in the form of restricted stock units which will, subject to vesting requirements consistent with those utilized by the Company in respect of restricted shares, be settled in cash. Restricted stock units are liability awards with fair value measurement based on the market price of RenaissanceRe common stock at the end of each reporting period. Restricted share units are granted periodically by the Board of Directors and generally vest ratably over a four year period. During 2010, there were 900,000 restricted stock units reserved under the 2010 Restricted Stock Unit Plan.

2010 Performance-Based Equity Incentive Plan

In May 2010, the Company's shareholders approved the 2010 Performance-Based Equity Incentive Plan ("2010 Performance Plan") under which 750,000 shares have been reserved (the "Performance Shares"). The Compensation Committee determined that, beginning in 2010 with the Company's annual target-level incentive award grant cycle, 25% of the annual equity incentive award grants to each member of the Company's Executive Committee, which includes our Named Executive Officers excluding the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), will be subject to vesting conditions based on both continued service and the attainment of pre-established performance goals. If performance goals are achieved, the performance shares will vest up to a maximum of 250% of target. These grants vest ratably over a period of three years and are based on annual performance periods. The Performance Shares have a market condition which is the Company's total shareholder return relative to its peer group. Total shareholder return is based on the average closing share price over the 20 trading days preceding and including the start and end of the performance period.

The CEO received 100% of a special retention award in the form of Performance Shares in 2010. If performance goals are achieved, the Performance Shares for the CEO will vest up to a maximum of 175% of target. This grant vests over a period of four years and is based on annual performance periods.

The fair value of the Performance Shares is measured on the date of grant using a Monte Carlo simulation model which requires the same inputs underlying the Black-Scholes methodology, that being: share price; expected volatility; expected dividend yield; and risk-free interest rates, as shown in the table below. The total cost of the Performance Shares is determined on the grant date based on the fair value calculated by the Monte Carlo simulation model. The Company recognizes cost equal to fair value per Performance Share multiplied by the target number of Performance Shares on the grant date. The cost is then recognized over the requisite service period.

Table of Contents*Valuation Assumptions*

The fair value of each stock option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted average-assumptions for all awards issued in each respective year:

Year ended December 31,	Performance Shares		Option Grants	
	2010 (1)	2010 (2)	2009 (2)	2008
Expected volatility	36%	n/a	n/a	21%
Expected term (in years)	n/a	n/a	n/a	5
Expected dividend yield	2.0%	n/a	n/a	1.7%
Risk-free interest rate	0.19% 2.47%	n/a	n/a	2.5%

(1) The risk-free interest rate applied is specific to each tranche of Performance Shares.

(2) The Company did not grant any stock option awards during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Expected volatility: The expected volatility is estimated by the Company based on the Company's historical stock volatility.

Expected term: The expected term is estimated by looking at historical experience of similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the award, vesting schedules and expectations of future employee behavior as influenced by changes to the terms of their stock option awards.

Expected dividend yield: The expected dividend yield is estimated by reviewing the most recent dividend declared by the Board of Directors.

Risk-free interest rate: The risk free rate is estimated based on the yield on a U.S. treasury zero-coupon issue with a remaining term equal to the expected term of the stock option grants.

The fair value of restricted shares is determined based on the market value of the Company's shares on the grant date.

Under the fair value recognition provisions of FASB ASC Topic *Compensation - Stock Compensation*, the estimated fair value of employee stock options and other share-based payments, net of estimated forfeitures, is amortized as an expense over the requisite service period. When estimating forfeitures, the Company considers its historical forfeitures as well as expectations about employee behavior. The Company currently uses an 8% forfeiture rate.

Table of Contents

Summary of Stock Compensation Activity

The following is a summary of activity under the Company's existing stock compensation plans for the years ending December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010, respectively:

2001 Stock Incentive and Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plans

	Weighted options outstanding	Weighted average exercise price	Fair value of options	Weighted average remaining contractual life	Aggregate intrinsic value	Range of exercise prices
Balance, December 31, 2007	3,972,648	\$ 40.57			\$ 77,749.2	\$ 0.01 \$59.66
Options granted	800,230	\$ 53.69	\$ 9.94			\$ 50.71 \$53.86
Options forfeited	(56,457)	49.23				
Options expired	(145,124)	52.78				
Options exercised	(564,564)	25.18			\$ 9,946.6	
Balance, December 31, 2008	4,006,733	\$ 44.79		6.6	\$ 29,583.4	\$ 11.92 \$59.66
Options granted		\$	\$			\$
Options forfeited	(7,616)	51.26				
Options expired						
Options exercised	(426,138)	31.03			\$ 8,283.9	
Balance, December 31, 2009	3,572,979	\$ 46.42		5.9	\$ 24,891.0	\$ 12.40 \$59.66
Options granted		\$	\$			\$
Options forfeited	(35,942)	54.11				
Options expired	(42,029)	53.86				
Options exercised	(653,673)	41.77			\$ 10,490.5	
Balance, December 31, 2010	2,841,335	\$ 47.28		4.8	\$ 46,616.3	\$ 33.85 \$59.66
Total options exercisable at December 31, 2010	2,437,990	\$ 46.34		4.5	\$ 42,300.4	\$ 36.54 \$59.66

Premium Option Plan

	Weighted options outstanding	Weighted average exercise price	Fair value of options	Weighted average remaining contractual life	Aggregate intrinsic value	Range of exercise prices
Balance, December 31, 2007 (1)	3,774,000	\$ 82.34			\$	\$ 73.06 \$98.98
Options granted		\$				
Options forfeited						
Options expired						
Options exercised						

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Balance, December 31, 2008 (1)	3,774,000	\$	82.34		\$	\$ 73.06	\$98.98
Options granted		\$					
Options forfeited	(2,500,000)		86.61				
Options expired							
Options exercised							
Balance, December 31, 2009 (1)	1,274,000	\$	73.96		\$	\$ 73.06	\$74.24
Options granted		\$					
Options forfeited	(82,000)		74.24				
Options expired							
Options exercised							
Balance, December 31, 2010 (1)	1,192,000	\$	73.94		\$	\$ 73.06	\$74.24
Total options exercisable at December 31, 2010 (1)	1,192,000	\$	73.94	3.2	\$	\$ 73.06	\$74.24

(1) The Premium Option Plan was terminated, as to new issuances, at the August 2007 Board of Directors meeting and consequently, the shares available for grant under the plan are zero.

F-60

Table of Contents

2010 Cash Settled Restricted Stock Unit Plan and 2010 Performance-Based Equity Incentive Plan

	Cash Settled Restricted Stock Unit Plan	Performance Shares	
	Number of shares	Number of shares	Weighted average grant- dated fair value
Nonvested at December 31, 2009			\$
Awards granted	386,235	275,813	\$ 29.47
Awards vested			
Awards forfeited	(14,447)		
Nonvested at December 31, 2010	371,788	275,813	\$ 29.47
<i>Restricted Stock</i>			

	Employee restricted stock		Non-employee director restricted stock		Total restricted stock	
	Number of shares	Weighted average grant- dated fair value	Number of shares	Weighted average grant- dated fair value	Number of shares	Weighted average grant- dated fair value
Nonvested at December 31, 2007	863,132	\$ 47.11	45,203	\$ 48.65	908,335	\$ 47.19
Awards granted	437,250	\$ 51.19	23,585	\$ 53.00	460,835	\$ 51.28
Awards vested	(358,745)	46.62	(30,479)	48.65	(389,224)	46.78
Awards forfeited	(42,346)	49.61			(42,346)	49.61
Nonvested at December 31, 2008	899,291	\$ 49.17	38,309	\$ 51.33	937,600	\$ 49.26
Awards granted	919,481	\$ 44.67	24,981	\$ 44.03	944,462	\$ 44.65
Awards vested	(447,614)	47.53	(18,675)	49.98	(466,289)	47.63
Awards forfeited	(22,364)	49.25			(22,364)	49.25
Nonvested at December 31, 2009	1,348,794	\$ 46.64	44,615	\$ 47.81	1,393,409	\$ 46.68
Awards granted	284,873	\$ 55.80	23,327	\$ 56.15	308,200	\$ 55.83
Awards vested	(561,086)	46.81	(25,134)	49.46	(586,220)	46.92
Awards forfeited	(68,155)	49.89			(68,155)	49.89
Nonvested at December 31, 2010	1,004,426	\$ 48.93	42,808	\$ 51.38	1,047,234	\$ 49.03

Shares available for issuance under the Company's 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, Non-Employee Director Stock Incentive Plan, 2010 Performance Share Plan and 2010 Restricted Stock Unit Plan totaled 2.8 million at December 31, 2010. The total fair value of shares vested during the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$32.5 million (2009 \$21.5 million, 2008 \$18.6 million). Cash in the amount of \$1.1 million was received from employees as a result of employee stock option exercises during the year ended December 31, 2010 (2009 \$5.0 million, 2008 \$3.0 million). In connection with these exercises, there was no tax benefit realized by the Company. The Company issues new shares upon the exercise of an option.

The total stock compensation expense recognized in the Company's consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$33.8 million (2009 \$35.6 million, 2008 \$24.6 million). As of December 31, 2010, there was \$45.0 million of total unrecognized

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

compensation cost related to restricted stock awards, \$16.6 million related to restricted stock units and \$1.3 million related to stock options expense which will be recognized during the next 2.3, 3.0 years and 0.8 years, respectively.

All of the Company's employees are eligible for defined contribution pension plans. Contributions are primarily based upon a percentage of eligible compensation. The Company contributed \$3.2 million to its defined contribution pension plans in 2010 (2009 \$2.3 million, 2008 \$1.7 million).

F-61

Table of Contents

NOTE 21. STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS

Under the Insurance Act 1978, amendments thereto and Related Regulations of Bermuda (the "Insurance Act"), certain subsidiaries of the Company are required to prepare statutory financial statements and to file in Bermuda a statutory financial return. The Insurance Act also requires these Bermuda insurance subsidiaries of the Company to maintain certain measures of solvency and liquidity. At December 31, 2010, the statutory capital and surplus of our Bermuda insurance subsidiaries was \$3.3 billion (2009 \$3.3 billion) and the minimum amount required to be maintained under Bermuda law, the Minimum Solvency Margin, was \$483.3 million (2009 \$525.0 million). In addition, Renaissance Reinsurance and DaVinci are restricted as to the payment of dividends in the amount of 25% of the prior year's statutory capital and surplus, unless at least two members of the Board of Directors attest that a dividend in excess of this amount would not cause the company to fail to meet its relevant margins. During 2010, Renaissance Reinsurance and DaVinci declared aggregate cash dividends of \$513.1 million (2009 \$781.8 million) and \$3.1 million (2009 \$4.1 million), respectively.

Under the Insurance Act, Renaissance Reinsurance and DaVinci are classified as Class 4 insurers, and therefore must maintain capital at a level equal to its enhanced capital requirement ("ECR") which is established by reference to the Bermuda Solvency Capital Requirement ("BSCR") model. The BSCR is a standard mathematical model designed to give the Bermuda Monetary Authority ("BMA") more advanced methods for determining an insurer's capital adequacy. Underlying the BSCR is the belief that all insurers should operate on an ongoing basis with a view to maintaining their capital at a prudent level in excess of the minimum solvency margin otherwise prescribed under the Insurance Act. Alternatively, under the Insurance Act, insurers may, subject to the terms of the Insurance Act and to the BMA's oversight, elect to utilize an approved internal capital model to determine regulatory capital. In either case, the ECR shall at all times equal or exceed the Class 4 insurer's Minimum Solvency Margin and may be adjusted in circumstances where the BMA concludes that the insurer's risk profile deviates significantly from the assumptions underlying its ECR or the insurer's assessment of its risk management policies and practices used to calculate the ECR applicable to it. While not specifically referred to in the Insurance Act, the BMA has also established a target capital level ("TCL") for each Class 4 insurer equal to 120% of its ECR. While a Class 4 insurer is not currently required to maintain its statutory capital and surplus at this level, the TCL serves as an early warning tool for the BMA and failure to maintain statutory capital at least equal to the TCL will likely result in increased BMA regulatory oversight. The Company is currently completing the 2010 BSCR for Renaissance Reinsurance and DaVinci which must be filed with the BMA on or before April 30, 2011, and at this time believes both companies will exceed the target level of required capital.

Under the Act, Glencoe is classified as a Class 3A insurer and Glencoe is also eligible as an excess and surplus lines insurer in a number of states in the U.S. Under the various capital and surplus requirements in Bermuda and in these states, Glencoe is required to maintain a minimum amount of capital and surplus. In this regard, the declaration of dividends from retained earnings and distributions from additional paid-in capital are limited to the extent that the above requirement is met. During 2010, Glencoe declared aggregate cash dividends and returned capital of \$nil and \$nil, respectively (2009 \$nil and \$124.0 million, respectively).

The statutory capital of Syndicate 1458, known as Funds at Lloyd's (the "FAL"), is currently calculated using the internal Lloyd's risk-based capital model. In addition, if the FAL are not sufficient to cover all losses, the Lloyd's Central Fund provides an additional level of security for policyholders. At December 31, 2010, the FAL requirement set by Lloyd's for Syndicate 1458 is £60.7 million based on its business plan, approved on November 2010 (2009 £47.6 million based on its business plan, approved October 2009). Actual FAL posted for Syndicate 1458 at December 31, 2010 by RenaissanceRe CCL, is £64.6 million, supported 100% by letters of credit (2009 £61.0 million). Effective January 1, 2013, Syndicate 1458's capital requirements are expected to be driven by Solvency II requirements.

NOTE 22. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

The Company enters into derivative instruments such as futures, options, swaps, forward contracts and other derivative contracts primarily in order to manage its foreign currency exposure, obtain exposure to a particular financial market, for yield enhancement, or for trading and speculation. The Company accounts for its derivatives in accordance with FASB ASC Topic *Derivatives and Hedging*, which requires all derivatives to be recorded at fair

Table of Contents

value on the Company's balance sheet as either assets or liabilities, depending on the rights or obligations of the derivatives, with changes in fair value reflected in current earnings. The Company does not currently apply hedge accounting in respect of any positions reflected in its consolidated financial statements. The fair value of the Company's derivatives are estimated by reference to quoted prices or broker quotes, where available, or in the absence of quoted prices or broker quotes, the use of industry or internal valuation models. Where the Company has entered into master netting agreements with counterparties, or the Company has the legal and contractual right to offset positions, the derivative positions are generally netted by counterparty and are reported accordingly in other assets and other liabilities.

The table below shows the location on the consolidated balance sheets and fair value of the Company's principal derivative instruments:

At December 31,	Derivative Assets			
	2010		2009	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Interest rate futures	Other assets	\$ 2,459	Other assets	\$ 862
Foreign currency forward contracts (1)	Other assets	6,341	Other assets	
Foreign currency forward contracts (2)	Other assets		Other assets	3,292
Foreign currency forward contracts (3)	Other assets		Other assets	49
Credit default swaps	Other assets	3,064	Other assets	
Energy and weather contracts (4)	Other assets	17,925	Other assets	17,006
Platinum warrant	Other assets	44,925	Other assets	34,871
Total		\$ 74,714		\$ 56,080

At December 31,	Derivative Liabilities			
	2010		2009	
	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value	Balance Sheet Location	Fair Value
Interest rate futures	Other liabilities	\$ 719	Other liabilities	\$ 143
Foreign currency forward contracts (1)	Other liabilities		Other liabilities	776
Foreign currency forward contracts (2)	Other liabilities	3,141	Other liabilities	
Foreign currency forward contracts (3)	Other liabilities	44	Other liabilities	
Credit default swaps	Other liabilities		Other liabilities	549
Energy and weather contracts (4)	Other liabilities	15,013	Other liabilities	25,086
Total		\$ 18,917		\$ 26,554

(1) Contracts used to manage foreign currency risks in underwriting and non-investment operations.

(2) Contracts used to manage foreign currency risks in investment operations.

(3) Contracts used to manage foreign currency risks in energy and risk operations.

(4)

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Included in other assets is \$21.7 million of derivative assets (2009 \$22.7 million) and \$3.7 million of derivative liabilities (2009 \$5.7 million). Included in other liabilities is \$9.9 million of derivative assets (2009 \$55.9 million) and \$24.9 million of derivative liabilities (2009 \$81.0 million).

F-63

Table of Contents

The location and amount of the gain (loss) recognized in the Company's consolidated statements of operations related to its derivative instruments is shown in the following table:

Year ended December 31,	Location of gain (loss) recognized on derivatives	Amount of gain (loss) recognized on derivatives		
		2010	2009	2008
Interest rate futures	Net investment income	\$ (9,124)	\$ 5,173	\$ 12,391
Foreign currency forward contracts (1)	Net foreign exchange (losses) gains	4,242	(86)	(21,366)
Foreign currency forward contracts (2)	Net foreign exchange (losses) gains	20,111	(6,400)	5,784
Foreign currency forward contracts (3)	Net foreign exchange (losses) gains	498	(485)	62
Credit default swaps	Other income	1,265	312	1,148
Energy and weather contracts	Other income	28,976	52,294	33,681
Platinum warrant	Other income	10,054	4,958	(538)
Total		\$ 56,022	\$ 55,766	\$ 31,162

(1) Contracts used to manage foreign currency risks in underwriting and non-investment operations.

(2) Contracts used to manage foreign currency risks in investment operations.

(3) Contracts used to manage foreign currency risks in energy and risk operations.

Interest Rate Futures

The Company uses interest rate futures within its portfolio of fixed maturity investments to manage its exposure to interest rate risk, which can include increasing or decreasing its exposure to this risk. At December 31, 2010, the Company had \$2.2 billion of notional long positions and \$209.1 million of notional short positions of primarily Eurodollar and U.S. Treasury and non-U.S. dollar futures contracts (2009 \$826.9 million and \$46.5 million, respectively). The fair value of these derivatives is determined using exchange traded prices.

Foreign Currency Derivatives

The Company's functional currency is the U.S. dollar. The Company writes a portion of its business in currencies other than U.S. dollars and may, from time to time, experience foreign exchange gains and losses in the Company's consolidated financial statements. All changes in exchange rates, with the exception of non-U.S. dollar denominated investments classified as available for sale and non-monetary assets and liabilities, are recognized currently in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

Underwriting Operations Related Foreign Currency Contracts

The Company's foreign currency policy with regard to its underwriting operations is generally to hold foreign currency assets, including cash, investments and receivables that approximate the foreign currency liabilities, including claims and claim expense reserves and reinsurance balances payable. When necessary, the Company may use foreign currency forward and option contracts to minimize the effect of fluctuating foreign currencies on the value of non-U.S. dollar denominated assets and liabilities associated with its underwriting operations. At December 31, 2010, the Company had outstanding underwriting related foreign currency contracts of \$42.0 million in long positions and \$188.1 million in short positions, denominated in U.S. dollars (2009 \$81.0 million and \$81.0 million, respectively).

Investment Portfolio Related Foreign Currency Forward Contracts

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

The Company's investment operations are exposed to currency fluctuations through its investments in non-U.S. dollar fixed maturity investments, short term investments and other investments. To economically hedge its exposure to currency fluctuations from these investments, the Company has entered into foreign currency forward contracts. Foreign exchange gains (losses) associated with the Company's hedging of these non-U.S. dollar investments are recorded in net foreign exchange losses in its consolidated statements of operations. At December 31, 2010, the Company had outstanding investment portfolio related foreign currency contracts of

F-64

Table of Contents

\$69.2 million in long positions and \$281.0 million in short positions, denominated in U.S. dollars (2009 \$95.2 million and \$316.7 million, respectively).

Energy and Risk Operations Related Foreign Currency Contracts

The Company's energy and risk operations are exposed to currency fluctuations through certain derivative transactions it enters into that are denominated in non-U.S. dollars. The Company may, from time to time, use foreign currency forward and option contracts to minimize the effect of fluctuating foreign currencies on the value of non-U.S. dollar denominated assets and liabilities associated with these operations. At December 31, 2010, the total notional amount in U.S. dollars of the Company's energy and risk management operations related to foreign currency contracts was \$10.0 million (2009 \$13.0 million).

Credit Derivatives

The Company's exposure to credit risk is primarily due to its fixed maturity investments, short term investments, premiums receivable and prepaid reinsurance premiums. From time to time, the Company purchases credit derivatives to hedge its exposures in the insurance industry, to assist in managing the credit risk associated with ceded reinsurance, or to assume credit risk. The fair value of the credit derivatives is determined using industry valuation models. The fair value of these credit derivatives can change based on a variety of factors including changes in credit spreads, default rates and recovery rates, the correlation of credit risk between the referenced credit and the counterparty, and market rate inputs such as interest rates. At December 31, 2010, the Company had outstanding credit derivatives of \$15.0 million in long positions and \$118.0 million in short positions, denominated in U.S. dollars (2009 \$15.3 million and \$18.0 million, respectively).

Energy and Weather-Related Derivatives

The Company transacts in certain derivative-based risk management products primarily to address weather and energy risks and engages in hedging and trading activities related to these risks. The trading markets for these derivatives are generally linked to energy and agriculture commodities, weather and other natural phenomena. Currently, a significant percentage of the Company's derivative-based risk management products are transacted on a dual-trigger basis combining weather or other natural phenomenon, with prices for commodities or securities related to energy or agriculture. The fair value of these contracts is obtained through the use of quoted market prices, or in the absence of such quoted prices, industry or internal valuation models. Generally, the Company's current portfolio of such derivative contracts is of comparably short duration and such contracts are frequently seasonal in nature.

The Company had the following gross derivative contract positions outstanding relating to its energy and weather derivatives trading activities at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Year ended December 31,	Quantity (1)		Unit of measurement
	2010	2009	
Energy	136,767,119	46,014,916	One million British thermal units (MMBTUs)
Temperature	5,419,846	3,590,254	\$ per Degree Day Fahrenheit
Agriculture	260,000	6,325,000	Bushels
Temperature		1,786,800	\$ per Event
Precipitation		200,000	\$ per Event
Power		16,081,200	\$ per Event

(1) Represents the sum of gross long and gross short derivative contracts.

At December 31, 2010, RenaissanceRe had provided guarantees in the amount of \$243.0 million to certain counterparties of the weather and energy risk operations of Renaissance Trading. In the future, RenaissanceRe may issue guarantees for other purposes or increase the amount of guarantees issued to counterparties of Renaissance Trading.

Table of Contents

Platinum Warrant

The Company held a warrant, which expires on October 30, 2012, to purchase up to 2.5 million common shares of Platinum for \$27.00 per share. The Company has recorded its investment in the Platinum warrant at fair value. The fair value of the warrant is estimated using either the Black-Scholes option pricing model or the in-the-money value, the greater of which the Company considers the best estimate of the exit value of the warrant. On January 20, 2011, the Company sold its warrant to Platinum for an aggregate of \$47.9 million.

The Company is not aware of the existence of any credit-risk related contingent features that it believes would be triggered in its derivative instruments that are in a net liability position at December 31, 2010.

NOTE 23. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

Instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk consist principally of investments, including the Company's equity method investments, cash, premiums receivable and reinsurance balances. The Company limits the amount of credit exposure to any one financial institution and, except for U.S. Government securities, none of the Company's investments exceeded 10% of shareholders' equity at December 31, 2010. See Note 8. Ceded Reinsurance, for information with respect to reinsurance recoverable.

EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

The Board of Directors has authorized the execution of employment agreements between the Company and certain officers. These agreements provide for, among other things, severance payments under certain circumstances, as well as accelerated vesting of options and restricted stock grants, upon a change in control, as defined therein and by the Company's 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, Premium Option Plan and 2010 Performance-Based Equity Incentive Plan.

STOCK PURCHASE AGREEMENT

Pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, as discussed in Note 3 Discontinued Operations, the Company is subject to a post-closing review following December 31, 2011 of the net reserve for claims and claim expenses for loss events occurring on or prior to December 31, 2010. Subsequent to the post-closing review, the Company is liable to pay, or otherwise reimburse QBE amounts up to \$10.0 million for net adverse development on prior accident years net claims and claim expenses. Conversely, if prior accident years net claims and claim expenses experience net favorable development, QBE is liable to pay, or otherwise reimburse the Company amounts up to \$10.0 million.

LETTERS OF CREDIT AND OTHER COMMITMENTS

At December 31, 2010, the Company's banks have issued letters of credit of approximately \$591.5 million in favor of certain ceding companies. In connection with the Company's Top Layer Re joint venture, the Company has committed \$37.5 million of collateral to support a letter of credit and is obligated to make a mandatory capital contribution of up to \$50.0 million in the event that a loss reduces Top Layer Re's capital and surplus below a specified level. The letters of credit are secured by cash and investments of similar amounts. The Company's principal letter of credit facility contains certain financial covenants.

At December 31, 2010, the Company has provided guarantees in the amount of \$243.0 million to certain counterparties of the weather and energy risk management operations of Renaissance Trading.

On April 29, 2009, Renaissance Reinsurance entered into a Master Reimbursement Agreement (the Reimbursement Agreement) and a Pledge Agreement (the Pledge Agreement) with Citibank Europe PLC (CEP). The Reimbursement Agreement provides for the issuance and renewal of letters of credit by CEP from time to time in its sole discretion, which are used to support business written by Syndicate 1458, described

Table of Contents

above. Letter of credit fees will be payable pursuant to the terms of the Reimbursement Agreement. Two letters of credit in the amount of \$109.5 million and £25.0 million, respectively, were issued by CEP on April 29, 2009, having an expiration date of December 31, 2013. At December 31, 2010, these letters of credit amounted to \$74.3 million and £15.0 million, respectively. Pursuant to the Pledge Agreement, Renaissance Reinsurance has agreed to pledge and maintain certain securities with a collateral value equal to 75% of the aggregate amount of the then outstanding letters of credit. In respect of the 25% unsecured portion, Renaissance Reinsurance is required to comply with certain financial covenants, including maintaining a certain minimum financial strength rating, minimum net worth, and a maximum consolidated debt to capital ratio for the consolidated group. In the event Renaissance Reinsurance is unable to satisfy any of these financial covenants, it will be required to pledge additional collateral in respect of the unsecured portion.

PRIVATE EQUITY AND INVESTMENT COMMITMENTS

The Company has committed capital to private equity partnerships and other entities of \$699.7 million, of which \$488.5 million has been contributed at December 31, 2010. The Company's remaining commitments to these funds at December 31, 2010 totaled \$210.8 million. These commitments do not have a defined contractual commitment date.

INDEMNIFICATIONS AND WARRANTIES

In the ordinary course of its business, the Company may enter into contracts or agreements that contain indemnifications or warranties. Future events could occur that lead to the execution of these provisions against the Company. Based on past experience, management currently believes that the likelihood of such an event is remote.

OPERATING LEASES

The Company and its subsidiaries lease office space under operating leases which expire at various dates through 2019. Future minimum lease payments under existing operating leases are expected to be as follows:

Year ended December 31, 2010	Minimum lease payments
2011	\$ 8,834
2012	7,506
2013	6,054
2014	4,857
2015	4,196
After 2015	4,786
	\$ 36,233

Table of Contents**CAPITAL LEASES**

The Company's capital leases primarily related to office space in Bermuda which the Company commenced making lease payments on in July 2008. The initial lease term is for 20 years, with a bargain renewal option for an additional 30 years.

The future minimum lease payments of the Company's capital leases are detailed below, and relate principally to the transaction noted above, excluding the bargain renewal option.

Year ended December 31, 2010	Minimum lease payments
2011	\$ 2,892
2012	2,892
2013	2,893
2014	2,892
2015	2,735
After 2015	33,431
	\$ 47,735

LITIGATION

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to lawsuits and regulatory actions in the normal course of business that do not arise from or directly relate to claims on insurance policies. This category of business litigation may involve allegations of underwriting or claims-handling errors or misconduct, employment claims, regulatory activity or disputes arising from the Company's business ventures. The Company's operating subsidiaries are subject to claims litigation involving disputed interpretations of policy coverages. Generally, the Company's primary insurance operations are subject to greater frequency and diversity of claims and claims-related litigation and, in some jurisdictions, may be subject to direct actions by allegedly injured persons or entities seeking damages from policyholders. These lawsuits, involving claims on policies issued by the Company's subsidiaries which are typical to the insurance industry in general and in the normal course of business, are considered in its loss and loss expense reserves which are discussed in its loss reserves discussion. Any such litigation or arbitration contains an element of uncertainty, and the Company believes the inherent uncertainty in such matters may have increased recently and will likely continue to increase. Currently, the Company believes that no individual, normal course litigation or arbitration to which it is presently a party is likely to have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, business or operations.

Table of Contents**NOTE 24. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL RESULTS (UNAUDITED)**

	Quarter Ended March 31,		Quarter Ended June 30,		Quarter Ended September 30,		Quarter Ended December 31,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
Revenues								
Gross premiums written	\$ 516,011	\$ 547,783	\$ 506,540	\$ 555,267	\$ 111,543	\$ 125,606	\$ 31,201	\$ 225
Net premiums written	\$ 407,159	\$ 426,585	\$ 329,334	\$ 382,166	\$ 82,307	\$ 35,158	\$ 30,165	\$ (5,576)
(Increase) decrease in unearned premiums	(156,506)	(183,957)	(117,163)	(145,011)	130,048	171,164	159,577	201,675
Net premiums earned	250,653	242,628	212,171	237,155	212,355	206,322	189,742	196,099
Net investment income	65,709	41,082	26,173	112,567	59,570	105,231	52,503	59,299
Net foreign exchange (losses) gains	(11,342)	(10,155)	(609)	(4,162)	(529)	1,556	(4,646)	(862)
Equity in earnings (losses) of other ventures	2,156	1,736	3,160	5,432	(6,740)	4,331	(10,390)	(523)
Other (loss) income	(6,191)	(13,314)	(3,742)	(2,422)	25,021	11,368	26,032	6,166
Net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments	48,200	22,398	70,051	18,889	92,342	16,911	(66,149)	35,481
Total other-than-temporary impairments	(33)	(18,991)	(798)	(5,289)		(1,408)		(1,280)
Portion recognized in other comprehensive income, before taxes			2	3,456		1,062		
Net other-than-temporary impairments	(33)	(18,991)	(796)	(1,833)		(346)		(1,280)
Total revenues	349,152	265,384	306,408	365,626	382,019	345,373	187,092	294,380
Expenses								
Net claims and claim expenses incurred	97,340	26,664	(18,803)	(36,924)	77,936	(15,607)	(27,128)	(44,831)
Acquisition costs	26,435	26,989	23,580	28,037	26,143	22,608	18,803	26,516
Operational expenses	45,150	32,379	38,040	39,599	36,970	37,862	45,882	43,712
Corporate expenses	5,309	6,302	4,493	6,063	5,590	(4,600)	4,744	4,893
Interest expense	3,156	4,136	6,206	4,200	6,164	3,748	6,303	3,027
Total expenses	177,390	96,470	53,516	40,975	152,803	44,011	48,604	33,317
Income from continuing operations before taxes	171,762	168,914	252,892	324,651	229,216	301,362	138,488	261,063
Income tax benefit (expense)	2,963	(458)	958	(2,119)	2,399	(5,226)	(196)	(2,228)
Income from continuing operations	174,725	168,456	253,850	322,532	231,615	296,136	138,292	258,835
Net income (loss) from discontinued operations	11,447	(25,122)	18,881	8,874	21,234	10,761	11,108	12,187
Net income	186,172	143,334	272,731	331,406	252,849	306,897	149,400	271,022
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(10,550)	(35,475)	(51,915)	(49,652)	(37,524)	(37,694)	(16,432)	(48,680)
Net income attributable to RenaissanceRe	175,622	107,859	220,816	281,754	215,325	269,203	132,968	222,342
Dividends on preference shares	(10,575)	(10,575)	(10,575)	(10,575)	(10,575)	(10,575)	(10,393)	(10,575)

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Net income available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders

\$ 165,047	\$ 97,284	\$ 210,241	\$ 271,179	\$ 204,750	\$ 258,628	\$ 122,575	\$ 211,767
------------	-----------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------	------------

Income from continuing operations available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share basic

\$ 2.55	\$ 1.98	\$ 3.35	\$ 4.20	\$ 3.33	\$ 3.97	\$ 2.04	\$ 3.21
---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------

Income (loss) from discontinued operations available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share basic

0.20	(0.41)	0.34	0.15	0.40	0.18	0.21	0.20
------	--------	------	------	------	------	------	------

Net income available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share basic

\$ 2.75	\$ 1.57	\$ 3.69	\$ 4.35	\$ 3.73	\$ 4.15	\$ 2.25	\$ 3.41
---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------

Income from continuing operations available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share basic

\$ 2.54	\$ 1.98	\$ 3.32	\$ 4.18	\$ 3.31	\$ 3.94	\$ 2.02	\$ 3.18
---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------

Income (loss) from discontinued operations available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share basic

0.19	(0.41)	0.34	0.14	0.39	0.18	0.21	0.20
------	--------	------	------	------	------	------	------

Net income available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders per common share diluted

\$ 2.73	\$ 1.57	\$ 3.66	\$ 4.32	\$ 3.70	\$ 4.12	\$ 2.23	\$ 3.38
---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------	---------

Average shares outstanding basic

58,407	60,635	55,538	60,963	53,467	60,898	53,166	60,604
--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

Average shares outstanding diluted

58,887	60,989	56,044	61,322	53,965	61,367	53,667	61,161
--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------

Net claims and claim expense ratio

38.8%	11.0%	(8.9%)	(15.6%)	36.7%	(7.6%)	(14.3%)	(22.9%)
-------	-------	--------	---------	-------	--------	---------	---------

Underwriting expense ratio

28.6%	24.5%	29.1%	28.6%	29.7%	29.3%	34.1%	35.9%
-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

Combined ratio

67.4%	35.5%	20.2%	13.0%	66.4%	21.7%	19.8%	13.0%
-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

F-69

Table of Contents**NOTE 25. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION PROVIDED IN CONNECTION WITH OUTSTANDING DEBT OF SUBSIDIARIES**

The following tables present condensed consolidating balance sheets at December 31, 2010 and 2009, condensed consolidating statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, and condensed consolidating statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009, and 2008, respectively, for RenaissanceRe, RRNAH and RenaissanceRe's other subsidiaries. RRNAH is a wholly owned subsidiary of RenaissanceRe.

On March 17, 2010, RRNAH issued, and RenaissanceRe guaranteed, \$250.0 million of 5.75% Senior Notes due March 15, 2020, with interest on the notes payable on March 15 and September 15. The notes can be redeemed by RRNAH prior to maturity subject to payment of a make-whole premium. The notes, which are senior obligations, contain various covenants, including limitations on mergers and consolidations, restrictions as to the disposition of the stock of designated subsidiaries and limitations on liens of the stock of designated subsidiaries.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (Parent Guarantor)	RenRe North America Holdings Inc. (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Subsidiaries and Eliminations (Non-guarantor Subsidiaries) (1)	Consolidating Adjustments (2)	RenaissanceRe Consolidated
December 31, 2010					
Assets					
Total investments	\$ 517,640	\$ 12,560	\$ 5,570,012	\$	\$ 6,100,212
Cash and cash equivalents	3,414	3,940	270,384		277,738
Investments in subsidiaries	3,533,266	140,923		(3,674,189)	
Due from subsidiaries and affiliates	145,298			(145,298)	
Premiums receivable			322,080		322,080
Prepaid reinsurance premiums			60,643		60,643
Reinsurance recoverable			101,711		101,711
Accrued investment income	3,720	5	30,835		34,560
Deferred acquisition costs			35,648		35,648
Other assets	139,654	2,307	318,077	(126,499)	333,539
Assets of discontinued operations held for sale		872,147			872,147
Total assets	\$ 4,342,992	\$ 1,031,882	\$ 6,709,390	\$ (3,945,986)	\$ 8,138,278
Liabilities, Noncontrolling Interests and Shareholders' Equity					
Liabilities					
Reserve for claims and claim expenses	\$	\$	\$ 1,257,843	\$	\$ 1,257,843
Unearned premiums			286,183		286,183
Debt	377,512	374,196	200,000	(402,553)	549,155
Amounts due to subsidiaries and affiliates		843		(843)	
Reinsurance balances payable			318,024		318,024
Other liabilities	29,155	22,623	379,915		431,693
Liabilities of discontinued operations held for sale		598,511			598,511
Total liabilities	406,667	996,173	2,441,965	(403,396)	3,441,409
Redeemable noncontrolling interest - DaVinciRe			757,655		757,655
Shareholders' Equity					
Total shareholders' equity	3,936,325	35,709	3,509,770	(3,542,590)	3,939,214

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

<i>Total liabilities, noncontrolling interests and shareholders equity</i>	\$ 4,342,992	\$ 1,031,882	\$ 6,709,390	\$ (3,945,986)	\$ 8,138,278
---	--------------	--------------	--------------	----------------	--------------

(1) Includes all other subsidiaries of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and eliminations.

(2) Includes Parent Guarantor and Subsidiary Issuer consolidating adjustments.

F-70

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet December 31, 2009	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (Parent Guarantor)	RenRe North America Holdings Inc. (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Subsidiaries and Eliminations (Non-guarantor Subsidiaries) (1)	Consolidating Adjustments (2)	RenaissanceRe Consolidated
Assets					
Total investments	\$ 484,560	\$ 410	\$ 5,530,289	\$	\$ 6,015,259
Cash and cash equivalents	15,206	7,606	180,300		203,112
Investments in subsidiaries	3,310,916	104,431		(3,415,347)	
Due from subsidiaries and affiliates	182,565			(182,565)	
Premiums receivable			323,672		323,672
Prepaid reinsurance premiums			76,096		76,096
Reinsurance recoverable			84,099		84,099
Accrued investment income	1,727		28,802		30,529
Deferred acquisition costs			39,068		39,068
Other assets	17,199		210,837	(4,866)	223,170
Assets of discontinued operations held for sale		931,207			931,207
Total assets	\$ 4,012,173	\$ 1,043,654	\$ 6,473,163	\$ (3,602,778)	\$ 7,926,212
Liabilities, Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest and Shareholders Equity					
Liabilities					
Reserve for claims and claim expenses	\$	\$	\$ 1,344,433	\$	\$ 1,344,433
Unearned premiums			317,592		317,592
Debt	124,000	80,000	200,000	(104,000)	300,000
Amounts due to subsidiaries and affiliates	12,522	1,155		(13,677)	
Reinsurance balances payable			384,361		384,361
Other liabilities	34,865	15,138	236,749		286,752
Liabilities of discontinued operations held for sale		665,641			665,641
Total liabilities	171,387	761,934	2,483,135	(117,677)	3,298,779
Redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe			786,647		786,647
Shareholders Equity					
Total shareholders equity	3,840,786	281,720	3,203,381	(3,485,101)	3,840,786
Total liabilities, redeemable noncontrolling interest and shareholders equity	\$ 4,012,173	\$ 1,043,654	\$ 6,473,163	\$ (3,602,778)	\$ 7,926,212

(1) Includes all other subsidiaries of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and eliminations.

(2) Includes Parent Guarantor and Subsidiary Issuer consolidating adjustments.

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations For the year ended December 31, 2010	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (Parent Guarantor)	RenRe North America Holdings Inc. (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Subsidiaries and Eliminations (Non-guarantor Subsidiaries) (1)	Consolidating Adjustments (2)	RenaissanceRe Consolidated
Revenues					
Net premiums earned	\$	\$	\$ 864,921	\$	\$ 864,921
Net investment income	16,101	914	186,981	(41)	203,955
Net foreign exchange losses	(523)		(16,603)		(17,126)
Equity in losses of other ventures			(11,814)		(11,814)
Other income	631		40,489		41,120
Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) on fixed maturity investments	10,107	(2,432)	136,769		144,444
Net other-than-temporary impairments			(829)		(829)
Total revenues	26,316	(1,518)	1,199,914	(41)	1,224,671
Expenses					
Net claims and claim expenses incurred			129,345		129,345
Acquisition expenses			94,961		94,961
Operational expenses	(3,819)	5,014	164,847		166,042
Corporate expenses	13,022	199	6,915		20,136
Interest expense	15,464	14,518	1,510	(9,663)	21,829
Total expenses	24,667	19,731	397,578	(9,663)	432,313
Income (loss) before equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries and taxes	1,649	(21,249)	802,336	9,622	792,358
Equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries	744,492	(66,323)		(678,169)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes	746,141	(87,572)	802,336	(668,547)	792,358
Income tax (expense) benefit	(1,410)	20,733	(13,199)		6,124
Income (loss) from continuing operations	744,731	(66,839)	789,137	(668,547)	798,482
Income from discontinued operations		62,670			62,670
Net income (loss)	744,731	(4,169)	789,137	(668,547)	861,152
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests			(116,421)		(116,421)
Net income (loss) attributable to RenaissanceRe	744,731	(4,169)	672,716	(668,547)	744,731
Dividends on preference shares	(42,118)				(42,118)
Net income (loss) available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders	\$ 702,613	\$ (4,169)	\$ 672,716	\$ (668,547)	\$ 702,613

(1) Includes all other subsidiaries of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and eliminations.

(2) Includes Parent Guarantor and Subsidiary Issuer consolidating adjustments.

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (Parent Guarantor)	RenRe North America Holdings Inc. (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Subsidiaries and Eliminations (Non-guarantor Subsidiaries) (1)	Consolidating Adjustments (2)	RenaissanceRe Consolidated
For the year ended December 31, 2009					
Revenues					
Net premiums earned	\$	\$	\$ 882,204	\$	\$ 882,204
Net investment income	11,360	14	306,805		318,179
Net foreign exchange losses	(120)		(13,503)		(13,623)
Equity in earnings of other ventures			10,976		10,976
Other income	516		1,282		1,798
Net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments	3,010		90,669		93,679
Net other-than-temporary impairments	(904)		(21,546)		(22,450)
Total revenues	13,862	14	1,256,887		1,270,763
Expenses					
Net claims and claim expenses incurred			(70,698)		(70,698)
Acquisition expenses			104,150		104,150
Operational expenses	(6,962)	233	153,319	6,962	153,552
Corporate expenses	8,090	52	4,516		12,658
Interest expense	9,306	9,073	(3,268)		15,111
Total expenses	10,434	9,358	188,019	6,962	214,773
Income (loss) before equity in net income of subsidiaries and taxes	3,428	(9,344)	1,068,868	(6,962)	1,055,990
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	877,730	157		(877,887)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes	881,158	(9,187)	1,068,868	(884,849)	1,055,990
Income tax benefit (expense)		3,634	(13,665)		(10,031)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	881,158	(5,553)	1,055,203	(884,849)	1,045,959
Income from discontinued operations		6,700			6,700
Net income	881,158	1,147	1,055,203	(884,849)	1,052,659
Net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe			(171,501)		(171,501)
Net income attributable to RenaissanceRe	881,158	1,147	883,702	(884,849)	881,158
Dividends on preference shares	(42,300)				(42,300)
Net income available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders	\$ 838,858	\$ 1,147	\$ 883,702	\$ (884,849)	\$ 838,858

(1) Includes all other subsidiaries of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and eliminations.

(2) Includes Parent Guarantor and Subsidiary Issuer consolidating adjustments.

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (Parent Guarantor)	RenRe North America Holdings Inc. (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Subsidiaries and Eliminations (Non-guarantor Subsidiaries) (1)	Consolidating Adjustments (2)	RenaissanceRe Consolidated
For the year ended December 31, 2008					
Revenues					
Net premiums earned	\$	\$	\$ 984,448	\$	\$ 984,448
Net investment (loss) income	(1,745)	201	15,423		13,879
Net foreign exchange (losses) gains	(38)		2,638		2,600
Equity in earnings of other ventures			13,603		13,603
Other (loss) income	(4,634)		10,120		5,486
Net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments	701		10,761		11,462
Net other-than-temporary impairments	(4,578)		(210,319)		(214,897)
Total revenues	(10,294)	201	826,674		816,581
Expenses					
Net claims and claim expenses incurred			481,498		481,498
Acquisition expenses			141,616		141,616
Operational expenses	(8,733)	49	100,049	3,049	94,414
Corporate expenses	20,885		3,408		24,293
Interest expense	14,613	3,577	6,443		24,633
Total expenses	26,765	3,626	733,014	3,049	766,454
(Loss) income before equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries and taxes	(37,059)	(3,425)	93,660	(3,049)	50,127
Equity in net income (loss) of subsidiaries	66,079	(33,447)	33,846	(66,478)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before taxes	29,020	(36,872)	127,506	(69,527)	50,127
Income tax benefit (expense)		1,118	(938)		180
Income (loss) from continuing operations	29,020	(35,754)	126,568	(69,527)	50,307
Income from discontinued operations		33,846			33,846
Net income (loss)	29,020	(1,908)	126,568	(69,527)	84,153
Net income attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interest DaVinciRe			(55,133)		(55,133)
Net income (loss) attributable to RenaissanceRe	29,020	(1,908)	71,435	(69,527)	29,020
Dividends on preference shares	(42,300)				(42,300)
Net (loss) income (attributable) available to RenaissanceRe common shareholders	\$ (13,280)	\$ (1,908)	\$ 71,435	\$ (69,527)	\$ (13,280)

(1) Includes all other subsidiaries of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and eliminations.

(2) Includes Parent Guarantor and Subsidiary Issuer consolidating adjustments.

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (Parent Guarantor)	RenRe North America Holdings Inc. (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Subsidiaries and Eliminations (Non-guarantor Subsidiaries) (1)	RenaissanceRe Consolidated
For the year ended December 31, 2010				
Cash flows (used in) provided by operating activities				
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$ (112,852)	\$ (7,561)	\$ 615,133	\$ 494,720
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities				
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments available for sale	37,457	244,147	3,470,065	3,751,669
Purchases of investments available for sale	(240)	(246,570)	(156,850)	(403,660)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments trading	528,662		7,266,925	7,795,587
Purchases of investments trading	(610,276)		(10,512,547)	(11,122,823)
Net sales (purchases) of short term investments	16,339	(12,150)	(30,941)	(26,752)
Net (purchases) sales of other investments	(3,814)		125,879	122,065
Net purchases of investments in other ventures			(1,915)	(1,915)
Net purchases of other assets			(5,561)	(5,561)
Dividends and return of capital from subsidiaries	941,878	11,676	(953,554)	
Contributions to subsidiaries	(301,555)	(47,493)	349,048	
Due to (from) subsidiary	23,329	(312)	(23,017)	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	631,780	(50,702)	(472,468)	108,610
Cash flows (used in) provided by financing activities				
Dividends paid RenaissanceRe common shares	(55,936)			(55,936)
Dividends paid preference shares	(42,118)			(42,118)
RenaissanceRe common share repurchases	(448,882)			(448,882)
Return of additional paid in capital to parent company		(239,599)	239,599	
Net issuance (repayment) of debt	253,512	294,196	(298,662)	249,046
Redemption of 7.30% Series B preference shares	(100,000)			(100,000)
Third party investment in noncontrolling interest			3,000	3,000
Third party DaVinciRe share repurchases	(136,702)			(136,702)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(530,126)	54,597	(56,063)	(531,592)
Effect of exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash	(594)		(409)	(1,003)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(11,792)	(3,666)	86,193	70,735
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents of discontinued operations			3,891	3,891
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	15,206	7,606	180,300	203,112
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 3,414	\$ 3,940	\$ 270,384	\$ 277,738

(1) Includes all other subsidiaries of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and eliminations.

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (Parent Guarantor)	RenRe North America Holdings Inc. (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Subsidiaries and Eliminations (Non-guarantor Subsidiaries) (1)	RenaissanceRe Consolidated
For the year ended December 31, 2009				
Cash flows provided by operating activities				
<i>Net cash provided by operating activities</i>	\$ 32,589	\$ 2,887	\$ 553,413	\$ 588,889
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities				
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments available for sale	518,941		9,517,493	10,036,434
Purchases of investments available for sale	(477,412)		(10,039,496)	(10,516,908)
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments trading	22,308		38,910	61,218
Purchases of investments trading	(216,676)		(628,790)	(845,466)
Net sales of short term investments	61,842	2	1,108,193	1,170,037
Net (purchases) sales of other investments	(81,519)		85,513	3,994
Net purchases of investments in other ventures			(3,000)	(3,000)
Net purchases of other assets			(19,385)	(19,385)
Net purchases of subsidiaries			(2,741)	(2,741)
Dividends and return of capital from subsidiaries	838,809	9,304	(848,113)	
Contributions to subsidiaries	(248,589)	(8,752)	257,341	
Due (from) to subsidiary	(28,373)	388	27,985	
<i>Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities</i>	389,331	942	(506,090)	(115,817)
Cash flows used in financing activities				
Dividends paid common shares	(59,740)			(59,740)
Dividends paid preference shares	(42,300)			(42,300)
RenaissanceRe common share repurchases	(50,972)			(50,972)
Capital contributions		4,215	(4,215)	
Net repayment of debt	(126,000)	(6,000)	(18,000)	(150,000)
Reverse repurchase agreement			(50,042)	(50,042)
Third party DaVinciRe share repurchases	(132,718)			(132,718)
<i>Net cash used in financing activities</i>	(411,730)	(1,785)	(72,257)	(485,772)
Effect of exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash	(106)		(1,170)	(1,276)
<i>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents</i>	10,084	2,044	(26,104)	(13,976)
<i>Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents from discontinued operations</i>			31,961	31,961
<i>Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year</i>	5,122	5,562	174,443	185,127
<i>Cash and cash equivalents, end of year</i>	\$ 15,206	\$ 7,606	\$ 180,300	\$ 203,112

(1) Includes all other subsidiaries of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and eliminations.

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (Parent Guarantor)	RenRe North America Holdings Inc. (Subsidiary Issuer)	Other RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Subsidiaries and Eliminations (Non-guarantor Subsidiaries) (1)	RenaissanceRe Consolidated
For the year ended December 31, 2008				
Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities				
<i>Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities</i>	\$ 39,543	\$ (6,066)	\$ 512,399	\$ 545,876
Cash flows provided by (used in) investing activities				
Proceeds from sales and maturities of investments available for sale	511,628		10,891,815	11,403,443
Purchases of investments available for sale	(494,683)		(10,282,314)	(10,776,997)
Net sales (purchases) of short term investments	141,486	(24)	(492,256)	(350,794)
Net purchases of other investments	(25,900)		(192,363)	(218,263)
Net purchases of investments in other ventures			(37,372)	(37,372)
Net proceeds from other assets			6,500	6,500
Net purchases of subsidiaries			(77,631)	(77,631)
Dividends and return of capital from subsidiaries	403,948	2,450	(406,398)	
Contributions to subsidiaries	(233,560)	(144,376)	377,936	
Due to (from) subsidiary	138,377	251	(138,628)	
<i>Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities</i>	441,296	(141,699)	(350,711)	(51,114)
Cash flows (used in) provided by financing activities				
Dividends paid common shares	(57,850)			(57,850)
Dividends paid preference shares	(42,300)			(42,300)
RenaissanceRe common share repurchases	(428,406)			(428,406)
Capital contributions		74,760	(74,760)	
Net (repayment) drawdown of debt	150,000	68,000	(69,951)	148,049
Redemption of 7.0% Senior Notes	(150,000)			(150,000)
Reverse repurchase agreement			50,000	50,000
Secured asset financing			(11,500)	(11,500)
Net third party DaVinciRe share repurchases	43,549		(100,000)	(56,451)
<i>Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities</i>	(485,007)	142,760	(206,211)	(548,458)
Effect of exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash			(1,838)	(1,838)
<i>Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents</i>	(4,168)	(5,005)	(46,361)	(55,534)
<i>Net increase in cash and cash equivalents from discontinued operations</i>			(16,465)	(16,465)
<i>Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year</i>	9,290	10,567	237,269	257,126
<i>Cash and cash equivalents, end of year</i>	\$ 5,122	\$ 5,562	\$ 174,443	\$ 185,127

(1) Includes all other subsidiaries of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and eliminations.

NOTE 26. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent to December 31, 2010 and through February 22, 2011, the Company repurchased 1.6 million of its common shares at an aggregate cost of \$103.2 million at an average share price of \$65.59.

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Effective as of February 15, 2011, the Company reduced its commitments under the Reimbursement Agreement from \$1.0 billion to \$700.0 million. The reduction was implemented in connection with a reassessment of the future collateral needs of the Account Parties, taking into account, among other things, their access to alternative sources of credit enhancement.

F-77

Table of Contents

On February 22, 2011, an earthquake with a magnitude of 6.3 on the Richter Scale struck the South Island of New Zealand near Christchurch (the February 2011 New Zealand earthquake) causing significant destruction. While reported to have been smaller in magnitude, the epicenter of the February 2011 New Zealand earthquake was both closer to Christchurch and shallower than the 7.1 magnitude earthquake that struck the region on September 4, 2010. Given the magnitude and recent occurrence of this event, there is a lack of data available from industry participants and clients, resulting in significant uncertainty with respect to potential insured losses from this event, and also with respect to the Company's potential losses from this event.

Due to the preliminary nature of the available information as to the February 2011 New Zealand earthquake and the other factors described above, it is difficult at this time to provide an estimate of the financial impact of this event on the Company. At this time, the Company has not received meaningful loss or claims reports from brokers or clients. Based upon the current publicly available industry preliminary insured loss estimates, market share analysis, the application of the Company's modeling techniques and a review of the Company's in-force contracts, the Company's current preliminary assessment is that the impact of the February 2011 New Zealand earthquake on its financial results (net of reinstatement premiums, retrocessional recoveries and noncontrolling interest) will be significant, and will likely be material. Losses from this event will be recorded in the Company's first quarter 2011 results.

F-78

Table of Contents

RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO SCHEDULES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Pages
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Schedules</u>	S-2
I <u>Summary of Investments other than Investments in Related Parties</u>	S-3
II <u>Condensed Financial Information of Registrant.</u>	S-4
III <u>Supplementary Insurance Information</u>	S-7
IV <u>Reinsurance</u>	S-8
VI <u>Supplementary Insurance Information Concerning Property-Casualty Insurance Operations</u>	S-9

Schedules other than those listed above are omitted for the reason that they are not applicable.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS OF RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD.

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated February 24, 2011 included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in Item 15(a) (2) of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. These schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits.

In our opinion, the financial statement schedules referred to above, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Ernst & Young Ltd.

Hamilton, Bermuda

February 24, 2011

S-2

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE I****RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES****SUMMARY OF INVESTMENTS****OTHER THAN INVESTMENTS IN RELATED PARTIES****(THOUSANDS OF UNITED STATES DOLLARS)**

	Year ended December 31, 2010		
	Amortized Cost	Market Value	Amount at which shown in the Balance Sheet
<u>Type of investment:</u>			
Fixed maturity investments			
U.S. treasuries	\$ 764,807	\$ 761,461	\$ 761,461
Agencies	216,403	216,963	216,963
Non-U.S. government (Sovereign debt)	181,066	184,387	184,387
FDIC guaranteed corporate	385,991	388,468	388,468
Non-U.S. government-backed corporate	354,726	357,504	357,504
Corporate	1,496,599	1,512,411	1,512,411
Agency mortgage-backed	403,914	401,807	401,807
Non-agency mortgage-backed	30,715	34,149	34,149
Commercial mortgage-backed	211,732	219,440	219,440
Asset-backed	39,038	40,107	40,107
Total fixed maturity investments	\$ 4,084,991	4,116,697	4,116,697
Short term investments		1,110,364	1,110,364
Other investments		787,548	787,548
Investments in other ventures, under equity method		85,603	85,603
Total investments		\$ 6,100,212	\$ 6,100,212

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II****RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD.****CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT****RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD.****BALANCE SHEETS****AT DECEMBER 31, 2010 AND 2009****(PARENT COMPANY)****(THOUSANDS OF UNITED STATES DOLLARS)**

	At December 31,	
	2010	2009
Assets		
Fixed maturity investments trading, at fair value (Amortized cost \$254,317 and \$183,541 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively)	\$ 258,093	\$ 180,250
Fixed maturity investments available for sale, at fair value (Amortized cost \$nil and \$35,282 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively)		36,960
Short term investments, at fair value	157,952	174,291
Other investments	101,595	93,059
Total investments	517,640	484,560
Cash and cash equivalents	3,414	15,206
Investments in subsidiaries	3,533,266	3,310,916
Due from subsidiaries	23,167	46,496
Dividends due from subsidiaries	122,131	136,069
Accrued investment income	3,720	1,727
Other assets	139,654	17,199
Total Assets	\$ 4,342,992	\$ 4,012,173
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Liabilities		
Notes and bank loans payable	\$ 377,512	\$ 124,000
Contributions due to subsidiaries		12,522
Other liabilities	29,155	34,865
Total Liabilities	406,667	171,387
Shareholders' Equity		
Preference Shares: \$1.00 par value 22,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2010 (2009 26,000,000 shares)	550,000	650,000
Common Shares: \$1.00 par value 54,109,840 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2010 (2009 61,744,857 shares)	54,110	61,745
Accumulated other comprehensive income	19,823	41,438
Retained earnings	3,312,392	3,087,603
Total Shareholders' Equity	3,936,325	3,840,786

Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$ 4,342,992	\$ 4,012,173
--	--------------	--------------

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II****RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD.****CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT CONTINUED****RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD.****STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(PARENT COMPANY)****(THOUSANDS OF UNITED STATES DOLLARS)**

	Year ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Revenues			
Net investment income (loss)	\$ 16,101	\$ 11,360	\$ (1,745)
Net foreign exchange losses	(523)	(120)	(38)
Other income (loss)	631	516	(4,634)
Net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments	10,107	3,010	701
Total other-than-temporary impairments		(1,041)	(4,578)
Portion recognized in other comprehensive income, before taxes		137	
Net other-than-temporary impairments		(904)	(4,578)
Total revenues	26,316	13,862	(10,294)
Expenses			
Interest expense	15,464	9,306	14,613
Operating and corporate expenses	9,203	1,128	12,152
Total expenses	24,667	10,434	26,765
Income (loss) before equity in net income of subsidiaries and taxes	1,649	3,428	(37,059)
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	744,492	877,730	66,079
Income before taxes	746,141	881,158	29,020
Income tax expense	(1,410)		
Net income	744,731	881,158	29,020
Dividends on preference shares	(42,118)	(42,300)	(42,300)
Net income (loss) available (attributable) to RenaissanceRe common shareholders	\$ 702,613	\$ 838,858	\$ (13,280)

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE II****RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD.****CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT CONTINUED****RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD.****STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(PARENT COMPANY)****(THOUSANDS OF UNITED STATES DOLLARS)**

	Year ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
<i>Cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities:</i>			
Net income	\$ 744,731	\$ 881,158	\$ 29,020
Less: equity in net income of subsidiaries	744,492	877,730	66,079
	239	3,428	(37,059)
<i>Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities</i>			
Net unrealized (gains) losses included in net investment income (loss)	(4,462)	(190)	17,020
Net unrealized (gains) losses included in other income (loss)	(267)	(577)	3,866
Net realized and unrealized gains on fixed maturity investments	(10,107)	(3,010)	(701)
Net other-than-temporary impairments		904	4,578
Other	(98,255)	32,034	51,839
<i>Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities</i>	(112,852)	32,589	39,543
<i>Cash flows provided by investing activities:</i>			
Proceeds from maturities and sales of fixed maturity investments available for sale	37,457	518,941	511,628
Purchase of fixed maturity investments available for sale	(240)	(477,412)	(494,683)
Proceeds from maturities and sales of fixed maturity investments trading	528,662	22,308	
Purchase of fixed maturity investments trading	(610,276)	(216,676)	
Contributions to subsidiaries	(301,555)	(248,589)	(233,560)
Dividends and return of capital from subsidiaries	941,878	838,809	403,948
Net sales of short term investments	16,339	61,842	141,486
Net purchases of other investments	(3,814)	(81,519)	(25,900)
Due to (from) subsidiary	23,329	(28,373)	138,377
<i>Net cash provided by investing activities</i>	631,780	389,331	441,296
<i>Cash flows used in financing activities:</i>			
Dividends paid RenaissanceRe common shares	(55,936)	(59,740)	(57,850)
Dividends paid preference shares	(42,118)	(42,300)	(42,300)
RenaissanceRe common share repurchases	(448,882)	(50,972)	(428,406)
Redemption of 7.0% Senior Notes			(150,000)

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

Redemption of 7.30% Series D preference shares	(100,000)		
Issuance (repayment) of debt	253,512	(126,000)	150,000
Third party DaVinciRe share repurchases	(136,702)	(132,718)	43,549
<i>Net cash used in financing activities</i>	(530,126)	(411,730)	(485,007)
Effect of exchange rate changes on foreign currency cash	(594)	(106)	
<i>Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents</i>	(11,792)	10,084	(4,168)
<i>Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year</i>	15,206	5,122	9,290
<i>Cash and cash equivalents, end of year</i>	\$ 3,414	\$ 15,206	\$ 5,122

S-6

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE III****RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES****SUPPLEMENTARY INSURANCE INFORMATION****(THOUSANDS OF UNITED STATES DOLLARS)**

	December 31, 2010				Year ended December 31, 2010				
	Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	Future Policy Benefits, Losses, Claims and Loss Expenses	Unearned Premiums	Premium Revenue	Net Investment Income	Benefits, Claims, Losses and Settlement Expenses	Amortization of Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	Other Operating Expenses	Net Written Premiums
Reinsurance	\$ 31,685	\$ 1,130,670	\$ 264,113	\$ 838,790	\$	\$ 113,804	\$ 77,954	\$ 129,990	\$ 809,719
Lloyd's	3,585	20,031	21,162	50,204		25,676	10,784	24,837	61,189
Insurance	378	107,142	908	(24,073)		(10,135)	6,223	11,215	(21,943)
Other					203,955				
Total	\$ 35,648	\$ 1,257,843	\$ 286,183	\$ 864,921	\$ 203,955	\$ 129,345	\$ 94,961	\$ 166,042	\$ 848,965

	December 31, 2009				Year ended December 31, 2009				
	Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	Future Policy Benefits, Losses, Claims and Loss Expenses	Unearned Premiums	Premium Revenue	Net Investment Income	Benefits, Claims, Losses and Settlement Expenses	Amortization of Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	Other Operating Expenses	Net Written Premiums
Reinsurance	\$ 34,638	\$ 1,175,960	\$ 302,915	\$ 849,725	\$	\$ (87,639)	\$ 78,848	\$ 139,328	\$ 839,023
Insurance	4,430	168,473	14,677	32,479		16,941	25,302	14,224	(690)
Other					318,179				
Total	\$ 39,068	\$ 1,344,433	\$ 317,592	\$ 882,204	\$ 318,179	\$ (70,698)	\$ 104,150	\$ 153,552	\$ 838,333

	December 31, 2008				Year ended December 31, 2008				
	Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	Future Policy Benefits, Losses, Claims and Loss Expenses	Unearned Premiums	Premium Revenue	Net Investment Income	Benefits, Claims, Losses and Settlement Expenses	Amortization of Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	Other Operating Expenses	Net Written Premiums
Reinsurance	\$ 44,855	\$ 1,497,819	\$ 313,374	\$ 909,759	\$	\$ 440,900	\$ 105,437	\$ 81,797	\$ 871,893
Insurance	13,994	260,957	47,310	74,689		40,598	36,179	12,617	63,607
Other					13,879				
Total	\$ 58,849	\$ 1,758,776	\$ 360,684	\$ 984,448	\$ 13,879	\$ 481,498	\$ 141,616	\$ 94,414	\$ 935,500

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE IV****RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES****REINSURANCE****(THOUSANDS OF UNITED STATES DOLLARS)**

	Gross Amounts	Ceded to Other Companies	Assumed From Other Companies	Net Amount	Percentage of Amount Assumed to Net
Year ended December 31, 2010					
Property and liability premiums earned	\$ 5,329	\$ 331,783	\$ 1,191,375	\$ 864,921	138%
Year ended December 31, 2009					
Property and liability premiums earned	\$ 1,419	\$ 389,768	\$ 1,270,553	\$ 882,204	144%
Year ended December 31, 2008					
Property and liability premiums earned	\$ 13,062	\$ 325,276	\$ 1,296,662	\$ 984,448	132%

S-8

Table of Contents**SCHEDULE VI****RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES****SUPPLEMENTARY INSURANCE INFORMATION CONCERNING****PROPERTY/CASUALTY INSURANCE OPERATIONS****(THOUSANDS OF UNITED STATES DOLLARS)**

Affiliation with Registrant Consolidated Subsidiaries	Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	Reserves for Unpaid Claims and Claim Adjustment Expenses	Discount, if any, deducted	Unearned Premiums	Earned Premiums	Net Investment Income
Year ended December 31, 2010	\$ 35,648	\$ 1,257,843	\$	\$ 286,183	\$ 864,921	\$ 203,955
Year ended December 31, 2009	\$ 39,068	\$ 1,344,433	\$	\$ 317,592	\$ 882,204	\$ 318,179
Year ended December 31, 2008	\$ 58,849	\$ 1,758,776	\$	\$ 360,684	\$ 984,448	\$ 13,879

Affiliation with Registrant Consolidated Subsidiaries	Claims and Claim Adjustment Expenses Incurred Related to Current Year	Prior Year	Amortization of Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs	Paid Claims and Claim Adjustment Expenses	Net Premiums Written
Year ended December 31, 2010	\$ 431,476	\$ (302,131)	\$ 94,961	\$ 233,547	\$ 848,965
Year ended December 31, 2009	\$ 195,518	\$ (266,216)	\$ 104,150	\$ 234,198	\$ 838,333
Year ended December 31, 2008	\$ 678,383	\$ (196,885)	\$ 141,616	\$ 525,766	\$ 935,500

S-9

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
EXHIBITS
TO
FORM 10-K**

Annual Report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd.

Table of Contents

Exhibits

1. The Consolidated Financial Statements of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and related Notes thereto are listed in the accompanying Index to Consolidated Financial Statements and are filed as part of this Form 10-K.
2. The Schedules to the Consolidated Financial Statements of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. are listed in the accompanying Index to Schedules to Consolidated Financial Statements and are filed as a part of this Form 10-K.
3. Exhibits
 - 3.1 Memorandum of Association. (1)
 - 3.2 Amended and Restated Bye-Laws. (2)
 - 3.3 Memorandum of Increase in Share Capital of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (3)
 - 3.4 Specimen Common Share certificate. (1)
- 10.1 Form of Director Retention Agreement, dated as of November 8, 2002, entered into by each of the non-employee directors of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (4)
- 10.2 Further Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of February 19, 2009, between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Neill A. Currie. (8)
- 10.3 Amendment No. 1 to the Further Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated January 8, 2010, by and among RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Neill A. Currie. (9)
- 10.4 Employment Agreement, dated as of June 10, 2009, by and between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Jeffrey D. Kelly. (11)
- 10.5 Amendment No. 1 the Employment Agreement, dated January 8, 2010, by and among RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Jeffrey D. Kelly. (9)
- 10.6 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated as of July 19, 2006, between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and John D. Nichols, Jr. (10)
- 10.7 Separation, Consulting, and Release Agreement, dated January 11, 2010, by and between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and John D. Nichols, Jr. (9)
- 10.8 Separation, Consulting, and Release Agreement, dated January 11, 2010, by and between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and William J. Ashley. (9)
- 10.9 Sublease Agreement, dated as of July 19, 2006, between Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd. and John D. Nichols, Jr. (10)
- 10.10 Form of Employment Agreement for Executive Officers. (10)
- 10.11 Form of Amendment to Employment Agreement for Executive Officers. (13)
- 10.12 Form of Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement for Executive Officers. (7)
- 10.13 Form of Amendment No. 3 to the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement for Executive Officers. (9)
- 10.14 Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 9, 2009, by and among RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., various financial institutions parties thereto, Bank of America, N.A., as LC Issuer and Administrative Agent for the lenders, Citibank, N.A., as Syndication Agent, Barclays Bank PLC, The Bank of New York Mellon and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Co-Documentation Agents, and Banc of America Securities LLC and Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as Joint Lead Arrangers and Joint Book Managers. (15)

Table of Contents

10.15	First Amendment Agreement to the Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of November 23, 2009, by and among RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., the lenders named therein and Bank of America, N.A., as LC Issuer and Administrative Agent for the lenders. (42)
10.16	Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of April 5, 2006, by and among DaVinciRe Holdings Ltd., the banks, financial institutions and other institutional lenders listed thereto (the Lenders), Citigroup Global Markets Inc., as sole lead arranger, book manager and syndication agent, and Citibank, N.A. as administrative agent for the Lenders. (16)
10.17	Amendment No. 1 to Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of March 9, 2010, among DaVinciRe Holdings Ltd., the banks, financial institutions and other institutional lenders listed thereto and Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent for the lenders. (32)
10.18	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (18)
10.19	Amendment No. 1 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (19)
10.20	Amendment No. 2 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (19)
10.21	Amendment No. 3 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (20)
10.22	Amendment No. 4 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (40)
10.23	Amendment No. 5 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (37)
10.24	UK Schedule to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (20)
10.25	UK Sub-Plan to the RenaissanceRe Holdings 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (20)
10.26	Form of Option Grant Notice and Agreement pursuant to which option grants are made under the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (21)
10.27	Form of Restricted Stock Grant Notice and Agreement pursuant to which Restricted Stock grants are made under the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. (21)
10.28	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2004 Stock Option Incentive Plan. (22)
10.29	Amendment No. 1 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2004 Stock Option Incentive Plan. (23)
10.30	Form of Option Agreement pursuant to which option grants are made under the RenaissanceRe Holdings 2004 Stock Option Incentive Plan to executive officers. (22)
10.31	Amended and Restated RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Non-Employee Director Stock Plan. (25)
10.32	Amendment No. 1 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Non-Employee Director Stock Plan. (25)
10.33	Amendment No. 2 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Non-Employee Director Stock Plan. (26)
10.34	Amendment No. 3 to the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. Non-Employee Director Stock Plan. (31)
10.35	Form of Restricted Stock Grant Agreement for Directors. (5)
10.36	Form of Option Grant Agreement for Directors. (5)
10.37	Master Standby Letter of Credit Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of November 2, 2001, between Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd. and Fleet National Bank. Timicuan Reinsurance Ltd. has become a party to this agreement pursuant to an accession agreement. (27)
10.38	Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of 6.08% Series C Preference Shares. (29)
10.39	Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of 6.60% Series D Preference Shares. (30)
10.40	Senior Indenture, dated as of July 1, 2001, between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., as Issuer, and Bankers Trust Company, as Trustee. (12)
10.41	Second Supplemental Indenture, by and between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (f/k/a Bankers Trust Company), dated as of January 31, 2003. (14)

Table of Contents

10.42	Second Amended and Restated Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of April 27, 2007, by and among Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd., Renaissance Reinsurance of Europe, Glencoe Insurance Ltd., DaVinci Reinsurance Ltd., RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Issuing Bank, Administrative Agent, and Collateral Agent for the Lenders, certain Co-Syndication Agents, ING Bank N.V., as Documentation Agent, and certain Lenders party thereto. (17)
10.43	Master Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of April 29, 2009, by and between Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd. and Citibank Europe PLC. (20)
10.44	Pledge Agreement, dated as of April 29, 2009, by and between Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd. and Citibank Europe PLC. (20)
10.45	Agreement Regarding Use of Aircraft Interest, dated as of November 17, 2009, by and between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Neill A. Currie. (42)
10.46	RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2010 Restricted Stock Unit Plan. (42)
10.47	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, pursuant to which restricted stock unit grants are made under the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2010 Restricted Stock Unit Plan. (42)
10.48	Senior Indenture, dated as of March 17, 2010, among RenRe North America Holdings Inc., as Issuer, RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., as Guarantor, and Deutsche Bank Trust Companies America, as Trustee. (33)
10.49	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 17, 2010, among RenRe North America Holdings Inc., as Insurer, RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., as Guarantor, and Deutsche Bank Trust Companies America, as Trustee. (33)
10.50	Senior Debt Securities Guarantee Agreement, dated as of March 17, 2010, between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., as Guarantor, and Deutsche Bank Trust Companies America, as Guarantee Trustee. (33)
10.51	Waiver Agreement, dated as of January 21, 2011, by and among RenRe North America Holdings Inc., RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as Trustee. (41)
10.52	Credit Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2010, by and among RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., as Borrower, the financial institutions parties thereto, as Lenders, and Bank of America, N.A., as Fronting Bank, LC Administrator and Administrative Agent. (34)
10.53	Amendment, Consent and Waiver to Credit Agreement, dated as of January 18, 2011, by and among RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., as Borrower, the financial institutions parties thereto, as Lenders, and Bank of America, N.A., as Fronting Bank, LC Administrator and Administrative Agent. (41)
10.54	Third Amended and Restated Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2010, by and among Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd., Renaissance Reinsurance of Europe, Glencoe Insurance Ltd., DaVinci Reinsurance Ltd., RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., the financial institutions parties thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as successor by merger to Wachovia Bank, National Association, as issuing bank, collateral agent and administrative agent. (34)
10.55	Amendment, Consent and Waiver to Third Amended and Restated Reimbursement Agreement, dated as of January 18, 2011, by and among Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd., Renaissance Reinsurance of Europe, Glencoe Insurance Ltd., DaVinci Reinsurance Ltd., RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., the financial institutions parties thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as issuing bank, collateral agent and administrative agent. (41)
10.56	Second Amended and Restated RIHL Undertaking and Agreement, dated as of April 22, 2010, by RenaissanceRe Investment Holdings Ltd., in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (as successor by merger to Wachovia Bank, National Association), as Administrative Agent, and the other Lender Parties. (34)

Table of Contents

10.57	Form of Letter Agreement with Neill A. Currie. (35)
10.58	Form of Letter Agreement with the Named Executive Officers. (35)
10.59	Form of Performance-Based Restricted Stock Grant Notice and Agreement pursuant to which performance-based restricted stock awards are made under the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2010 Performance-Based Equity Incentive Plan. (36)
10.60	Performance-Based Restricted Stock Grant Notice and Agreement under the RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. 2010 Performance-Based Equity Incentive Plan, dated June 9, 2010, between RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. and Neill A. Currie. (36)
10.61	Facility Letter, dated September 17, 2010, from Citibank Europe plc to Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd., DaVinci Reinsurance Ltd. and Glencoe Insurance Ltd. (38)
10.62	Insurance Letters of Credit Master Agreement, dated September 17, 2010, between Renaissance Reinsurance Ltd. and Citibank Europe plc. DaVinci Reinsurance Ltd. and Glencoe Insurance Ltd. have each entered into an agreement with Citibank Europe plc that is identical to the foregoing agreement, except with respect to party names. (38)
10.63	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of November 18, 2010, by and between RenRe North America Holdings Inc., and QBE Holdings Inc. (39)
21.1	List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young Ltd.
31.1	Certification of Neill A. Currie, Chief Executive Officer of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of Jeffrey D. Kelly, Chief Financial Officer of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification of Neill A. Currie, Chief Executive Officer of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Jeffrey D. Kelly, Chief Financial Officer of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd., pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. (Registration No. 33-70008) which was declared effective by the SEC on July 26, 1995.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2002, filed with the SEC on August 14, 2002.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 1998, filed with the SEC on May 14, 1998 (SEC File Number 000-26512)
- (4) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002, filed with the SEC on March 31, 2003 (SEC File Number 001-14428)

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

- (5) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 27, 2006
- (6) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2007, filed with the SEC on May 2, 2007.

Table of Contents

- (7) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 25, 2008.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 25, 2009.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 14, 2010.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on July 21, 2006, relating to certain events which occurred on July 19, 2006. Other than with respect to the Percent and Lump Sum Percent (as defined and disclosed in the Form 8-K) and matters such as names and titles, the employment agreements for Messrs. O Donnell and Ashley are identical to the form filed as Exhibit 10.9.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on June 15, 2009.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on July 17, 2001.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2008, filed with the SEC on May 2, 2008.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 31, 2003.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 14, 2009.
- (16) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 11, 2006, relating to certain events which occurred on April 5, 2006.
- (17) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on May 3, 2007.
- (18) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-90758) dated June 19, 2002.
- (19) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2007, filed with the SEC on May 2, 2007.
- (20) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009, filed with the SEC on May 1, 2009.
- (21) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2004, filed with the SEC on November 9, 2004.

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

- (22) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on September 2, 2004.
- (23) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, filed with the SEC on March 31, 2005 (SEC File Number 001-14428).
- (24) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-8 (Registration No. 333-90758) dated June 19, 2002.

Table of Contents

- (25) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2007, filed with the SEC on May 2, 2007.
- (26) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2008, filed with the SEC on October 30, 2008.
- (27) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, filed with the SEC on April 1, 2002.
- (28) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on February 4, 2003.
- (29) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 18, 2004.
- (30) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Form 8-A, filed with the SEC on December 14, 2006.
- (31) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed with the SEC on February 20, 2009.
- (32) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 11, 2010.
- (33) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on March 18, 2010.
- (34) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on April 27, 2010.
- (35) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the SEC on April 29, 2010.
- (36) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on June 11, 2010.
- (37) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on August 13, 2010.
- (38) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on September 23, 2010.
- (39) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 18, 2010.
- (40) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the Commission on April 8, 2010.

Edgar Filing: RENAISSANCERE HOLDINGS LTD - Form ARS

- (41) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on January 24, 2011.
- (42) Incorporated by reference to RenaissanceRe Holdings Ltd. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, filed with the SEC on February 19, 2010.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Table of Contents