UNITED NATURAL FOODS INC Form 10-Q March 06, 2007

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended January 27, 2007

OR

 $_{\rm O}$ $\,$ Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities exchange act of 1934

Commission File Number: 000-21531

UNITED NATURAL FOODS, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware 05-0376157 (State or Other Jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Empl

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

260 Lake Road Dayville, CT06241(Address of Principal Executive Offices)(Zip Code)

Registrant s Telephone Number, Including Area Code(860) 779-2800

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days:




TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I.	Financial Information	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited)	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited)	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)	5
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	6
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	12
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	23
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	23
Part II.	Other Information	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	23
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	23
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	27
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	28
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	28
Item 5.	Other Information	28
Item 6.	Exhibits	28
	Signatures	20

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

UNITED NATURAL FOODS, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	Ja	nuary 27, 2007	,	July 29, 2006
<u>ASSETS</u>				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	17,066	\$	20,054
Accounts receivable, net		162,954		147,686
Notes receivable, trade, net		1,290		1,254
Inventories		288,989		257,259
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		18,546		12,596
Deferred income taxes		10,911		10,911
Total current assets		499,756		449,760
Property & equipment, net		161,476		163,247
Other assets:				
Goodwill		78,044		78,016
Notes receivable, trade, net		2,620		2,760
Intangible assets, net		187		251
Other		10,340		6,561
Total assets	\$	752,423	\$	700,595
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Notes payable	\$	123,000	\$	125,005
Accounts payable		116,272		102,146
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities		34,375		34,245
Current portion of long-term debt		5,552		5,433
Total current liabilities		279,199		266,829
Long-term debt, excluding current portion		66,666		59,716
Deferred income taxes		8,827		9,693
Other long-term liabilities		858		883
Total liabilities		355,550		337,121
Commitments and contingencies				
Stockholders equity:				
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 5,000 shares				
at January 27, 2007 and July 29, 2006, none issued and outstanding				
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, authorized 100,000 shares;				
43,013 issued and 42,785 outstanding shares at January 27, 2007;				
42,477 issued and 42,248 outstanding shares at July 29, 2006		430		425
Additional paid-in capital		161,212		149,840
Treasury stock		(6,092)		(6,092)
Unallocated shares of Employee Stock Ownership Plan		(1,298)		(1,380)
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income		(338)		1,047
Retained earnings		242,959		219,634
Total stockholders equity		396,873		363,474
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$	752,423	\$	700,595
• •		-		•

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

UNITED NATURAL FOODS, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share data)

		Three months ended		Six months ende		ed		
	Ja	nuary 27,	Ja	nuary 28,	Ja	nuary 27,	Ja	nuary 28,
		2007		2006		2007		2006
Net sales	\$	668,545	\$	601,082	\$	1,314,978	\$	1,176,722
Cost of sales		544,477		484,677		1,067,339		950,051
Gross profit		124,068		116,405		247,639		226,671
Operating expenses		101,739		96,057		202,018		191,570
Impairment on assets held for sale		756				756		
Amortization of intangibles		138		142		289		286
Total operating expenses		102,633		96,199		203,063		191,856
Operating income		21,435		20,206		44,576		34,815
Other expense (income):								
Interest expense		3,350		3,195		6,261		5,562
Interest income		(180)		(73)		(294)		(141)
Other, net		399		(63)		371		(123)
Total other expense		3,569		3,059		6,338		5,298
Income before income taxes		17,866		17,147		38,238		29,517
Provision for income taxes		6,968		6,516		14,913		11,216
Net income	\$	10,898	\$	10,631	\$	23,325	\$	18,301
Per share data (basic):								
Net income	\$	0.26	\$	0.26	\$	0.55	\$	0.44
Weighted average shares of common stock		42,438		41,406		42,299		41,395
Per share data (diluted):								
Net income	\$	0.25	\$	0.25	\$	0.55	\$	0.43
Weighted average shares of common stock		42,848		41,952		42,733		42,076

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

UNITED NATURAL FOODS, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

(In thousands)

Six months ended

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

UNITED NATURAL FOODS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

January 27, 2007 (Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

United Natural Foods, Inc. (the Company) is a distributor and retailer of natural and organic products. The Company sells its products primarily throughout the United States.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission for interim financial information, including the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures normally required in complete financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted. In our opinion, these financial statements include all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for interim periods, however, may not be indicative of the results that may be expected for a full year. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 29, 2006.

Net sales consist primarily of sales of natural and organic products to retailers adjusted for customer volume discounts, returns and allowances. Net sales also consist of amounts due to the Company from customers for shipping and handling and fuel surcharges. The principal components of cost of sales include the amounts paid to manufacturers and growers for product sold, plus the cost of transportation necessary to bring the product to the Company s distribution facilities. Cost of sales also includes amounts incurred by the Company for shipping and handling, depreciation for manufacturing equipment at the Company s manufacturing segment, Hershey Import Company, Inc. (Hershey Imports), and consideration received from suppliers in connection with the purchase or promotion of the suppliers products. Operating expenses include salaries and wages, employee benefits (including payments under the Company s Employee Stock Ownership Plan), warehousing and delivery, selling, occupancy, insurance, administrative, share-based compensation and amortization expense. Operating expenses also includes depreciation expense related to our wholesale and retail divisions. Other expenses (income) include interest on outstanding indebtedness, interest income, and miscellaneous income and expenses.

2. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has a share-based compensation program that provides our Board of Directors broad discretion in creating employee equity incentives. This program includes incentive and non-statutory stock options and nonvested stock awards (also known as restricted stock). These awards to employees are granted under various plans which are stockholder approved. Stock options are generally time-based, vesting 25% on each annual anniversary of the grant date over four years and generally expire ten years from the grant date. Nonvested stock awards to employees are generally time-based and vest 25% on each annual anniversary of the grant date over four years. As of January 27, 2007, we had approximately 1.1 million shares of common stock reserved for future issuance under our stock option plans.

Effective August 1, 2005, the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004)/hare-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)), using the modified-prospective transition method. Under this method, the provisions of SFAS 123(R) apply to all awards granted or modified after the date of adoption. In addition, the unrecognized expense of awards not yet vested at the date of adoption, determined under the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, are recognized in net earnings in the periods after the date of adoption. The Company recognizes share-based compensation expense over the requisite service period of the individual grants, which generally equals the vesting period. The Company recognized share-based compensation expense for the three months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006 of \$1.0 million and \$0.9 million, respectively. In the six months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006, the Company recognized \$2.0 million and \$3.5 million, respectively, of share-based

compensation expense. During the six months ended January 28, 2006, certain options were accelerated pursuant to the employment transition agreement the Company entered into during the first quarter of fiscal 2006 with Steven H. Townsend, former President and Chief Executive Officer. This acceleration resulted in \$1.0 million of share-based compensation expense for the quarter ended October 29, 2005. The Company recorded related tax benefits for the six months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006 of \$2.7 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. The effect on net income from recognizing share-based compensation for each of the three months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006 was \$0.6 million, or \$0.01 per basic and diluted share.

As of January 27, 2007, there was \$10.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to outstanding share-based compensation arrangements (including stock option and nonvested share awards). This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years.

The fair value of stock option awards was estimated using the Black-Scholes model with the following weighted-average assumptions for the three months and six months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006, respectively:

	Three months ended		Six mo	onths ended
	January 27, 2007	January 28, 2006	January 27, 2007	January 28, 2006
Expected volatility	34.6%	36.7%	34.6%	36.7%
Dividend yield	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Risk free interest rate	4.5%	4.4%	4.5%	4.4%
Expected life	3.0 years	3.0 years	3.0 years	3.0 years

Our computation of expected volatility for the quarter ended January 27, 2007 is based on a combination of historical and market-based implied volatility. Our computation of expected life is based on historical exercise patterns. The interest rate for periods within the contractual life of the award is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted during the six months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006 was \$10.55 and \$7.65, respectively.

Stock option activity for the six months ended January 27, 2007, is as follows:

			Weighted	
		Weighted	Average	
		Average	Remaining	Aggregate
	Number	Exercise	Contractual	Intrinsic
	of Shares	Price	Term (Years)	Value
Outstanding at July 30, 2006	1,531,943	\$20.42		
Granted	315,540	\$36.49		
Exercised	(400,807)	\$16.98		
Canceled	(50,025)	\$25.23		
Outstanding at January 27, 2007	1,396,651	\$24.99	7.7	\$34,907,000
Exercisable at January 27, 2007	832,528	\$21.26	6.8	\$17,701,000

The following table summarizes our nonvested stock activity for the six months ended January 27, 2007:

		Weighted Average
	Number	Grant-Date
	of Shares	Fair Value
Nonvested at July 30, 2006	113,276	\$26.34
Granted	146,928	\$35.90
Vested	(40,456)	\$28.14
Canceled	(7,350)	\$25.37
Nonvested at January 27, 2007	212,398	\$32.57

The total fair value of shares vested during the six months ended January 27, 2007 was \$1.1 million.

3. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Following is a reconciliation of the basic and diluted number of shares used in computing earnings per share:

	Three mon	Six months ended		
(In thousands)	January	January	January	January
	27,	28,	27,	28,
	2007	2006	2007	2006
Basic weighted average shares outstanding Net effect of dilutive stock options based	42,438	41,406	42,299	41,395
upon the treasury stock method Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	410	546	434	681
	42,848	41,952	42,733	42,076

There were 307,540 and 16,050 anti-dilutive stock options outstanding for the three months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006, respectively. For the six months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006, there were 311,040 and 8,200 anti-dilutive stock options outstanding, respectively. These anti-dilutive stock options were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share.

4. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

On August 1, 2005, the Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement effective July 29, 2005. The agreement provides for the Company to pay interest for a seven-year period at a fixed rate of 4.70% on a notional principal amount of \$50 million while receiving interest for the same period at one-month LIBOR on the same notional principal amount. The swap has been entered into as a hedge against LIBOR interest rate movements on current variable rate indebtedness totaling \$50 million at one-month LIBOR plus 1.00%, thereby fixing its effective rate on the notional amount at 5.70%. The swap agreement qualified as an effective hedge under SFAS No. 133 counting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 133). One-month LIBOR was 5.32% and 5.40% as of January 27, 2007 and July 29, 2006, respectively.

The Company entered into commodity swap agreements to reduce price risk associated with anticipated purchases of diesel fuel. The outstanding commodity swap agreements hedge a portion of the Company s expected fuel usage for the periods set forth in the agreements. The Company monitors the commodity (NYMEX #2 Heating oil) used in its swap agreements to determine that the correlation between the

commodity and diesel fuel is deemed to be highly effective. At January 27, 2007, the Company had one outstanding commodity swap agreement which matures on June 30, 2007.

Interest rate swap and commodity swap agreements are designated as cash flow hedges and are reflected at fair value in the Company s consolidated balance sheet and related gains or losses, net of income taxes, are deferred in stockholders equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The Company does not enter into derivative agreements for trading purposes.

5. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Total comprehensive income for the three months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006 amounted to approximately \$11.3 million and \$10.8 million, respectively. Total comprehensive income for the six months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006 amounted to approximately \$21.9 million and \$18.7 million, respectively. Comprehensive income is comprised of net income plus the increase/decrease in the fair value of the interest rate swap agreement and the commodity swap agreement discussed in Note 4. For the three months ended January 27, 2007 and January 26, 2006, the change in fair value of these financial instruments was \$0.6 million pre-tax gain (\$0.4 million after-tax gain) and \$0.2 million pre-tax gain (\$0.1 million after-tax gain), respectively. The change in fair value of these derivative financial instruments was \$2.3 million pre-tax loss (\$1.4 million after-tax loss) and \$0.6 million pre-tax gain (\$0.4 million after-tax gain) for the six months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006, respectively.

6. ASSETS HELD FOR SALE

In November 2005, the Company transitioned all remaining operations at one of its two Auburn, California facilities to a new facility in Rocklin, California. As a result, the Company reclassified \$7.4 million of long-lived assets related to the Auburn facility that were previously included in property and equipment as held for sale, which was included in prepaid expenses and other current assets in the consolidated balance sheet. In June 2006, the Company sold a portion of these long-lived assets for less than \$0.1 million, resulting in a loss of \$0.5 million, which was recorded in operating expenses in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2006. In January 2007, the Company sold the remaining long-lived assets for \$5.4 million, resulting in a loss of \$1.5 million which was recorded in operating expenses in the second quarter of fiscal 2007.

In the quarter ended January 27, 2007, the Company transitioned its remaining Auburn, California operations to its Rocklin, California facility, reached a decision to sell the second Auburn, California facility and related assets and recorded an impairment loss of \$0.8 million. The impairment loss was recognized based on our estimate of fair value of the facility, less costs of disposal. As a result, the Company reclassified, to assets held for sale, \$5.9 million of long-lived assets, net of the \$0.8 million impairment loss, that were previously included in property and equipment in accordance with SFAS 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets*.

7. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

The Company has several operating divisions aggregated under the wholesale segment, which is the Company s only reportable segment. These operating divisions have similar products and services, customer channels, distribution methods and historical margins. The wholesale segment is engaged in national distribution of natural foods, produce and related products in the United States. The Company has additional operating divisions that do not meet the quantitative thresholds for reportable segments. Therefore, these operating divisions are aggregated under the caption of Other with corporate operating expenses that are not allocated to operating divisions. Non-operating expenses that are not allocated to the operating divisions are under the caption of Unallocated Expenses. Other includes a retail division, which engages in the sale of natural foods and related products to the general public through retail storefronts on the east coast of the United States, and a manufacturing division, which engages in importing, roasting and packaging nuts, seeds, dried fruit and snack items. Other also includes corporate expenses, which consist of salaries, retainers, and other related expenses of officers, directors, corporate finance (including professional services), governance, human resources and internal audit that are necessary to operate the Company's headquarters located in Dayville, Connecticut.

Following is business segment information for the periods indicated (in thousands):

Three months ended January 27, 2007:	Wholesale	<u>Other</u>	Eliminations	Unallocated Expenses	Consolidated
Net sales	\$657,560	\$28,988	\$(18,003)		\$668,545
Operating income (loss)	22,490	1,058	(2,113)		21,435
Interest expense				\$3,350	3,350
Interest income				(180)	(180)
Other, net				399	399
Income before income taxes	4.505	•••			17,866
Depreciation and amortization	4,585	238			4,823
Capital expenditures Total assets	9,565	216	(7.627)		9,781
Total assets	681,598	78,452	(7,627)		752,423
Three months ended January 28, 2006:					
Net sales	\$590,799	\$18,526	\$(8,243)		\$601,082
Operating income (loss)	24,366	(4,252)	92		20,206
Interest expense				\$3,195	3,195
Interest income				(73)	(73)
Other, net				(63)	(63)
Income before income taxes					17,147
Depreciation and amortization	3,949	285			4,234
Capital expenditures	4,001	90	(2.750)		4,091
Total assets	661,031	66,320	(2,750)		724,601
	Wholesale	<u>Other</u>	Eliminations	Unallocated <u>Expenses</u>	Consolidated
Six months ended January 27, 2007:			' <u> </u>		
Net sales	\$1,293,330	\$52,969	\$ (31,321)		\$1,314,978
Net sales Operating income (loss)			' <u> </u>	<u>Expenses</u>	\$1,314,978 44,576
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense	\$1,293,330	\$52,969	\$ (31,321)	Expenses \$6,261	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income	\$1,293,330	\$52,969	\$ (31,321)	<u>Expenses</u> \$6,261 (294)	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261 (294)
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net	\$1,293,330	\$52,969	\$ (31,321)	Expenses \$6,261	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261 (294) 371
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes	\$1,293,330 45,256	\$52,969 1,423	\$ (31,321)	<u>Expenses</u> \$6,261 (294)	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261 (294) 371 38,238
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes Depreciation and amortization	\$1,293,330 45,256	\$52,969 1,423	\$ (31,321)	<u>Expenses</u> \$6,261 (294)	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261 (294) 371 38,238 9,378
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes Depreciation and amortization Capital expenditures	\$1,293,330 45,256 8,911 14,202	\$52,969 1,423 467 340	\$ (31,321) (2,103)	<u>Expenses</u> \$6,261 (294)	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261 (294) 371 38,238 9,378 14,542
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes Depreciation and amortization Capital expenditures Total assets Six months ended January 28, 2006:	\$1,293,330 45,256 8,911 14,202 681,598	\$52,969 1,423 467 340 78,452	\$ (31,321) (2,103) (7,627)	<u>Expenses</u> \$6,261 (294)	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261 (294) 371 38,238 9,378 14,542 752,423
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes Depreciation and amortization Capital expenditures Total assets Six months ended January 28, 2006: Net sales	\$1,293,330 45,256 8,911 14,202 681,598 \$1,156,696	\$52,969 1,423 467 340 78,452 \$37,452	\$ (31,321) (2,103) (7,627) \$ (17,426)	<u>Expenses</u> \$6,261 (294)	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261 (294) 371 38,238 9,378 14,542 752,423
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes Depreciation and amortization Capital expenditures Total assets Six months ended January 28, 2006: Net sales Operating income (loss)	\$1,293,330 45,256 8,911 14,202 681,598	\$52,969 1,423 467 340 78,452	\$ (31,321) (2,103) (7,627)	\$6,261 (294) 371	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261 (294) 371 38,238 9,378 14,542 752,423 \$1,176,722 34,815
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes Depreciation and amortization Capital expenditures Total assets Six months ended January 28, 2006: Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense	\$1,293,330 45,256 8,911 14,202 681,598 \$1,156,696	\$52,969 1,423 467 340 78,452 \$37,452	\$ (31,321) (2,103) (7,627) \$ (17,426)	\$6,261 (294) 371	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261 (294) 371 38,238 9,378 14,542 752,423 \$1,176,722 34,815 5,562
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes Depreciation and amortization Capital expenditures Total assets Six months ended January 28, 2006: Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income	\$1,293,330 45,256 8,911 14,202 681,598 \$1,156,696	\$52,969 1,423 467 340 78,452 \$37,452	\$ (31,321) (2,103) (7,627) \$ (17,426)	\$6,261 (294) 371 \$5,562 (141)	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261 (294) 371 38,238 9,378 14,542 752,423 \$1,176,722 34,815 5,562 (141)
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes Depreciation and amortization Capital expenditures Total assets Six months ended January 28, 2006: Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net	\$1,293,330 45,256 8,911 14,202 681,598 \$1,156,696	\$52,969 1,423 467 340 78,452 \$37,452	\$ (31,321) (2,103) (7,627) \$ (17,426)	\$6,261 (294) 371	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261 (294) 371 38,238 9,378 14,542 752,423 \$1,176,722 34,815 5,562 (141) (123)
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes Depreciation and amortization Capital expenditures Total assets Six months ended January 28, 2006: Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes	\$1,293,330 45,256 8,911 14,202 681,598 \$1,156,696 45,589	\$52,969 1,423 467 340 78,452 \$37,452 (10,590)	\$ (31,321) (2,103) (7,627) \$ (17,426)	\$6,261 (294) 371 \$5,562 (141)	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261 (294) 371 38,238 9,378 14,542 752,423 \$1,176,722 34,815 5,562 (141) (123) 29,517
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes Depreciation and amortization Capital expenditures Total assets Six months ended January 28, 2006: Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes Depreciation and amortization	\$1,293,330 45,256 8,911 14,202 681,598 \$1,156,696 45,589	\$52,969 1,423 467 340 78,452 \$37,452 (10,590)	\$ (31,321) (2,103) (7,627) \$ (17,426)	\$6,261 (294) 371 \$5,562 (141)	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261 (294) 371 38,238 9,378 14,542 752,423 \$1,176,722 34,815 5,562 (141) (123) 29,517 7,988
Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes Depreciation and amortization Capital expenditures Total assets Six months ended January 28, 2006: Net sales Operating income (loss) Interest expense Interest income Other, net Income before income taxes	\$1,293,330 45,256 8,911 14,202 681,598 \$1,156,696 45,589	\$52,969 1,423 467 340 78,452 \$37,452 (10,590)	\$ (31,321) (2,103) (7,627) \$ (17,426)	\$6,261 (294) 371 \$5,562 (141)	\$1,314,978 44,576 6,261 (294) 371 38,238 9,378 14,542 752,423 \$1,176,722 34,815 5,562 (141) (123) 29,517

8. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48). FIN 48 prescribes detailed guidance for the financial statement recognition, measurement and disclosure of uncertain tax positions recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Tax positions must meet a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the effective date to be recognized upon the adoption of FIN 48 and in subsequent periods. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 and the provisions of FIN 48 will be applied to all tax positions upon initial adoption of the Interpretation. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of this Interpretation will be reported as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings for that fiscal year. The Company will adopt FIN 48 in fiscal 2008 and is currently evaluating whether the adoption of FIN 48 will have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and requires enhanced disclosures about fair value measurements under other accounting pronouncements, but does not change the existing guidance as to whether or not an instrument is carried at fair value. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company will adopt SFAS 157 in fiscal 2008 and is currently evaluating whether the adoption of SFAS 157 will have a material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) CLOSsidering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements (SAB 108). SAB 108 requires that public companies utilize a dual-approach to assessing the quantitative effects of financial misstatements. This dual approach includes both an income statement focused assessment and a balance sheet focused assessment. The guidance in SAB 108 must be applied to annual financial statements for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating this staff accounting bulletin and its effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS No. 159). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value and establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 159 is effective for the Company beginning August 1, 2008. The Company has not yet determined the impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS No. 159.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of inancial Condition and Results of Operations

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements that involve substantial risks and uncertainties. In some cases you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, intend, may, should, similar words. You should read statements that contain these words carefully because they discuss future expectations, contain projections of future results of operations or of financial position or state other forward-looking information. The risk factors listed in Item 1A of Part II of this report, as well as any cautionary language elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, provide examples of risks, uncertainties and events that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the expectations described in these forward-looking statements. You should be aware that the occurrence of the events described in the risk factors in Item 1A of Part II of this report and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial position.

Any forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are not guarantees of future performance, and actual results, developments and business decisions may differ from those envisaged by such forward-looking statements, possibly materially. We do not undertake any obligation to update any information in this Report until the effective date of our future reports required by applicable laws. Any projections of future results of operations should not be construed in any manner as a guarantee that such results will in fact occur. These projections are subject to change and could differ materially from final reported results. We may from time to time update these publicly announced projections, but we are not obligated to do so.

Overview

We are a leading national distributor of natural and organic foods and related products in the United States. In recent years, our sales to existing and new customers have increased through the continued growth of the natural and organic products industry in general; increased market share through our high quality service and a broader product selection, and the acquisition of, or merger with, natural products distributors; the expansion of our existing distribution centers; the construction of new distribution centers; and the development of our own line of natural and organic branded products. We also own and operate 12 retail natural products stores, located primarily in Florida, through our subsidiary, the Natural Retail Group. We believe that our retail business serves as a natural complement to our distribution business because it enables us to develop new marketing programs and improve customer service. In addition, our subsidiary, Hershey Imports, specializes in the importing, roasting and packaging of nuts, seeds, dried fruits and snack items. Our operations are comprised of three principal divisions:

our wholesale division, which includes our broadline distribution business, Albert s Organics and Select Nutrition; our retail division, which consists of our 12 retail stores; and our manufacturing division, which is comprised of Hershey Imports.

In order to maintain our market leadership and improve our operating efficiencies, we continually seek to:

expand our marketing and customer service programs across regions; expand our national purchasing opportunities; offer a broader product selection; consolidate systems applications among physical locations and regions; increase our investment in people, facilities, equipment and technology; integrate administrative and accounting functions; and reduce geographic overlap between regions.

will.

Our continued growth has created the need for expansion of existing facilities to achieve maximum operating efficiencies and to assure adequate space for future needs. In February 2007, we announced plans to construct a new 237,000 square foot distribution center in Ridgefield, Washington, to serve as a regional distribution hub for customers in Portland, Oregon and other Northwest states. The distribution center is scheduled to commence operations in the late summer of 2007. In August 2006, we announced plans for new facilities in Florida and Texas in the succeeding 18 to 24 months and in February 2007, we announced that we have entered into a letter of intent to lease warehouse space in central Florida. We have made significant capital expenditures and incurred considerable expenses in connection with the opening and expansion of facilities. In October 2005, we opened our Rocklin, California distribution center and have moved our Auburn, California operations to this facility. The Rocklin distribution center is 487,000 square feet and serves as a distribution hub for customers in northern California and surrounding states. The Rocklin distribution center is the largest facility in our nationwide distribution network. In August 2005, we expanded our Midwest operations by opening a new 311,000 square foot distribution center in Greenwood, Indiana, which serves as a distribution hub for our customers in Illinois, Indiana, Ohio and other Midwest states.

We expect the efficiencies created by opening the Greenwood facility and by relocating from two facilities in Auburn, California into the Rocklin distribution center to continue to lower operating expenses relative to sales over the long-term. With the opening of the Greenwood and Rocklin facilities, we have added approximately 1,300,000 square feet to our distribution centers since fiscal 2002, representing a 71% increase in our distribution capacity. Our current capacity utilization is approximately 73%.

Our net sales consist primarily of sales of natural and organic products to retailers adjusted for customer volume discounts, returns and allowances. Net sales also consist of amounts due to us from customers for shipping and handling and fuel surcharges. The principal components of our cost of sales include the amounts paid to manufacturers and growers for product sold, plus the cost of transportation necessary to bring the product to our distribution facilities. Cost of sales also includes amounts incurred by us for shipping and handling, depreciation for manufacturing equipment at our manufacturing subsidiary, Hershey Imports, and consideration received from suppliers in connection with the purchase or promotion of the suppliers products. Total operating expenses include salaries and wages, employee benefits (including payments under our Employee Stock Ownership Plan), warehousing and delivery, selling, occupancy, insurance, administrative, share-based compensation, depreciation and amortization expense. Other expenses (income) include interest on our outstanding indebtedness, interest income and miscellaneous income and expenses. Our gross margin may not be comparable to other similar companies within our industry that may include all costs related to their distribution network in their costs of sales rather than as operating expenses. We include purchasing and outbound transportation expenses within our operating expenses rather than in our cost of sales.

On February 21, 2007, Whole Foods Market, Inc. (Whole Foods Market) and Wild Oats Markets, Inc. (Wild Oats Markets) announced that the two companies had entered into an agreement and plan of merger under which Whole Foods Market will commence an offer to purchase all the outstanding shares of Wild Oats Markets and following the tender offer, Wild Oats Markets will merge with and into a subsidiary of Whole Foods Market. As discussed below, sales to Wild Oats Markets accounted for approximately 8.8% and 9.0% of our net sales for the three and six-month periods ended January 27, 2007, respectively. Sales to Whole Foods Market accounted for approximately 27.4% and 26.5% of our net sales for the three and six-month periods ended January 27, 2007. At this time, we cannot accurately estimate the impact, if any, that this proposed merger, if completed, will have on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The SEC has defined critical accounting policies as those that are both most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results and require our most difficult, complex or subjective judgments or estimates. Based on this definition, we believe our critical accounting policies include the following: (i) determining our allowance for doubtful accounts, (ii) determining our reserves for the self-insured portions of our workers—compensation and automobile liabilities and (iii) valuing goodwill and intangible assets. For all financial statement periods presented, there have been no material modifications to the application of these critical accounting policies.

Allowance for doubtful accounts

We analyze customer creditworthiness, accounts receivable balances, payment history, payment terms and historical bad debt levels when evaluating the adequacy of our allowance for doubtful accounts. In instances where a reserve has been recorded for a particular customer, future sales to the customer are conducted using either cash-on-delivery terms, or the account is closely monitored so that as agreed upon payments are received, orders are released; a failure to pay results in held or cancelled orders. Our accounts receivable balance was \$163.0 million and \$147.7 million, net of the allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4.9 million and \$4.6 million, as of January 27, 2007 and July 29, 2006, respectively. Our notes receivable balances were \$3.9 million and \$4.0 million, net of the allowance of doubtful accounts of \$3.7 million and \$3.9 million, as of January 27, 2007 and July 29, 2006, respectively.

Insurance reserves

It is our policy to record the self-insured portions of our workers compensation and automobile liabilities based upon actuarial methods of estimating the future cost of claims and related expenses that have been reported but not settled, and that have been incurred but not yet reported. Any projection of losses concerning workers compensation and automobile liability is subject to a considerable degree of variability. Among the causes of this variability are unpredictable external factors affecting litigation trends, benefit level changes and claim settlement patterns. If actual claims incurred are greater than those anticipated, our reserves may be insufficient and additional costs could be recorded in the consolidated financial statements.

Valuation of goodwill and intangible assets

SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, requires that companies test goodwill for impairment at least annually and between annual tests if events occur or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. We have elected to perform our annual tests for indications of goodwill impairment during the fourth quarter of each year. Impairment losses are determined based upon the excess of carrying amounts over discounted expected future cash flows of the underlying business. The assessment of the recoverability of long-lived assets will be impacted if estimated future cash flows are not achieved. For reporting units that indicated potential impairment, we determined the implied fair value of that reporting unit using a discounted cash flow analysis and compared such values to the respective reporting units carrying amounts. Total goodwill as of January 27, 2007 and July 29, 2006 was \$78.0 million.

Results of Operations

The following table presents, for the periods indicated, certain income and expense items expressed as a percentage of net sales:

	Three months ended		Six mon	ths ended
	January 27, 2007	January 28, 2006	January 27, 2007	January 28, 2006
Net sales	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Cost of sales	81.4%	80.6%	81.2%	80.7%
Gross profit	18.6%	19.4%	18.8%	19.3%
Operating expenses	15.2%	16.0%	15.4%	16.3%
Impairment on assets held for sale	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Amortization of intangibles	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total operating expenses	15.4%*	16.0%	15.4%	16.3%
Operating income	3.2%	3.4%	3.4%	3.0%
Other expense (income):				
Interest expense	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
Interest income	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Other, net	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total other expense	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%
Income before income taxes	2.7	2.9%	2.9%	2.5%
Provision for income taxes	1.0%	1.1%	1.1%	1.0%
Net income	1.6%*	1.8%	1.8%	1.6%*

^{*} Total reflects rounding

Three Months Ended January 27, 2007 Compared To Three Months Ended January 28, 2006

Net Sales

Our net sales increased approximately 11.2%, or \$67.5 million, to \$668.5 million for the three months ended January 27, 2007, from \$601.1 million for the three months ended January 28, 2006. This increase was primarily due to organic growth in our wholesale division. Our organic growth is due to the continued growth of the natural products industry in general, increased market share through our focus on service and value added services, and the opening of new distribution centers, which allows us to carry a broader selection of products.

In the three months ended January 27, 2007, sales to Whole Foods Market comprised approximately 27.4% of net sales and sales to Wild Oats Markets comprised approximately 8.8% of net sales. In the three months ended January 28, 2006, sales to Whole Foods Market comprised approximately 27.2% of net sales and sales to Wild Oats Markets comprised approximately 9.7% of net sales. As discussed above under Overview, a merger between Whole Foods Market and Wild Oats Markets was announced in February 2007. At this time, we cannot accurately estimate the impact, if any, that this merger, if completed, will have on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The following table lists the percentage of sales by customer type for the three months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006:

<u>Customer type</u>	<u> </u>	Percentage of Net Sales
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>
Independently owned natural products retailers	45%	46%
Supernatural chains	36%	37%
Conventional supermarkets	15%	14%
Other	4%	3%

Sales by channel have been adjusted to properly reflect changes in customer types resulting from a review of our customer lists. As a result of this adjustment, sales to the independents sales channel decreased 0.9% for the quarter ended January 28, 2006 and sales to the supermarket sales channel increased 0.7% for the quarter ended January 28, 2006.

Gross Profit

Our gross profit increased approximately 6.6%, or \$7.7 million, to \$124.1 million for the three months ended January 27, 2007, from \$116.4 million for the three months ended January 28, 2006. Our gross profit as a percentage of net sales was 18.6% and 19.4% for the three months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006, respectively. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net sales was due primarily to missed forward buying opportunities because of our focus on transitioning into serving as the primary distributor for Whole Foods Market s Southern Pacific region, which we commenced in November 2006, the full quarter effect of new customer agreements and issues related to certain inventory at our Albert s Organics division that resulted in inventory adjustments during the quarter.

Operating Expenses

Our total operating expenses, including special items, increased approximately 6.7%, or \$6.4 million, to \$102.6 million for the three months ended January 27, 2007, from \$96.2 million for the three months ended January 28, 2006. Total operating expenses, excluding special items, increased approximately 7.0%, or \$6.7 million, to \$102.6 million for the three months ended January 27, 2007, from \$95.9 million for the three months ended January 28, 2006. Special items are discussed below under Special Items. The increase in total operating expenses, excluding special items, for the three months ended January 27, 2007 was due to a loss of \$1.5 million related to the sale of one of our Auburn, California facilities, an impairment charge of \$0.8 million related to the reclassification of the remaining Auburn, California facility to held-for-sale, and \$0.4 million in termination fees related to the early termination of unused leased space at a facility in Minnesota. In addition, we successfully transitioned the primary supplier relationship in the Southern California region of Whole Foods Market to our facility located in Fontana, California and incurred approximately \$0.9 million in incremental expenses. Total operating expenses for the three months ended January 27, 2007 includes share-based compensation expense of \$1.0 million. Share-based compensation expense for the three months ended January 28, 2006 was \$0.9 million.

As a percentage of net sales, total operating expenses, both including and excluding special items, decreased to approximately 15.4% for the three months ended January 27, 2007, from approximately 16.0% for the three months ended January 28, 2006. The decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net sales was attributable to a combination of increased efficiencies due to our recent distribution facility openings, improved operations in our Select Nutrition division and improved operations at certain locations within our Albert s Organics division.

There were no special items for the three months ended January 27, 2007. As discussed below under Special Items, total operating expenses for the three months ended January 28, 2006 included special items related to incremental and redundant costs incurred during the transition from our former warehouses and outside storage facility in Auburn, California into our new larger facility in Rocklin, California of \$0.3 million.

_		-
(Inar	atina	Income
Onero	นเหย	mcome

Operating income, excluding the special items discussed below under Special Items, increased approximately 4.4%, or \$0.9 million, to \$21.4 million for the three months ended January 27, 2007, from \$20.5 million for the three months ended January 28, 2006. As a percentage of net sales, operating income, excluding special items, was 3.2% for the three months ended January 27, 2007, compared to 3.4% for the three months ended January 28, 2006. Operating income, including special items, increased approximately 6.1%, or \$1.2 million, to \$21.4 million, or 3.2% of net sales, for the three months ended January 27, 2007, from \$20.2 million, or 3.4% of net sales, for the three months ended January 28, 2006.

Other Expense (Income)

Other expense (income) increased \$0.5 million to \$3.6 million for the three months ended January 27, 2007 from \$3.1 million for the three months ended January 28, 2006. Interest expense for the three months ended January 27, 2007 increased to \$3.4 million from \$3.2 million in the three months ended January 28, 2006. The increase in interest expense was due to rising interest rates and higher average debt levels during the quarter ended January 27, 2007 than during the quarter ended January 28, 2006.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rate was 39.0% and 38.0% for the three months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006, respectively. The effective rate was higher than the federal statutory rate primarily due to state and local income taxes. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to share-based compensation for incentive stock options and the timing of disqualifying dispositions of certain share-based compensation awards. SFAS 123(R) provides that the tax effect of the book compensation cost previously recognized for an incentive stock option that an employee does not retain for the minimum holding period required by the Internal Revenue Code (disqualified disposition) is recognized as a tax benefit in the period the disqualifying disposition occurs. Our effective income tax rate will continue to be effected by the tax impact related to incentive stock options and the timing of tax benefits related to disqualifying dispositions.

Net Income

Net income, excluding special items, increased \$0.1 million to \$10.9 million, or \$0.25 per diluted share, for the three months ended January 27, 2007, compared to \$10.8 million, or \$0.26 per diluted share, for the three months ended January 28, 2006. Net income, including special items, increased \$0.3 million to \$10.9 million, or \$0.25 per diluted share, for the three months ended January 27, 2007, compared to \$10.6 million, or \$0.25 per diluted share, for the three months ended January 28, 2006.

Special Items

There were no special items for three months ended January 27, 2007. Special items for three months ended January 28, 2006 included incremental and redundant costs incurred during the transition from our former warehouses and outside storage facility in Auburn, California into our new facility in Rocklin, California.

The following table presents a reconciliation of net income and per share amounts, excluding special items (non-GAAP basis), to net income and per share amounts, including special items (GAAP basis), for the three months ended January 28, 2006:

Three Months Ended January 28, 2006	Pretax		Per diluted
(in thousands, except per share data)	<u>Income</u>	Net of Tax	<u>share</u>
Income, excluding special items:	\$17,398	\$10,787	\$0.26
Special items (Expense) Rocklin, CA facility relocation costs (included in operating expenses)	(251)	(156)	(0.00)
operating expenses)	(231)	(130)	(0.00)
Income, including special items: * Total reflects rounding	\$17,147	\$10,631	\$0.25*

A description of our use of non-GAAP information is provided under Use of Non-GAAP Results below.

Six Months Ended January 27, 2007 Compared To Six Months Ended January 28, 2006

Net Sales

Our net sales increased approximately 11.7%, or \$138.3 million, to \$1,315 million for the six months ended January 27, 2007, from \$1,177 million for the six months ended January 28, 2006. This increase was primarily due to organic growth in our wholesale division of 11.8%. Our organic growth is due to the continued growth of the natural products industry in general, increased market share through our focus on service and added value services, and the opening of new, and expansion of existing, distribution centers, which allows us to carry a broader selection of products.

In the six months ended January 27, 2007, sales to Whole Foods Market comprised approximately 26.5% of net sales and sales to Wild Oats Markets comprised approximately 9.0% of net sales. In the six months ended January 28, 2006, sales to Whole Foods Market comprised approximately 26.4% of net sales and sales to Wild Oats Markets comprised approximately 9.9% of net sales. As discussed above under Overview, a merger between Whole Foods Market and Wild Oats Markets was announced in February 2007. At this time, we cannot accurately estimate the impact, if any, that this merger, if completed, will have on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The following table lists the percentage of sales by customer type for the six months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006:

<u>Customer type</u>	<u>Pe</u>	Percentage of Net Sales	
	<u>2007</u>	<u>2006</u>	
Independently owned natural products retailers	45%	46%	
Supernatural chains	36%	36%	
Conventional supermarkets	15%	14%	
Other	4%	4%	

Sales by channel have been adjusted to properly reflect changes in customer types resulting from a review of our customer lists. As a result of this adjustment, sales to the independents sales channel decreased 0.8% for the six months ended January 28, 2006 and sales to the supermarket sales channel increased 0.7% for the six months ended January 28, 2006.

Gross Profit

Our gross profit increased approximately 9.3%, or \$21.0 million, to \$247.6 million for the six months ended January 27, 2007, from \$226.7 million for the six months ended January 28, 2006. Our gross profit as a percentage of net sales was 18.8% and 19.3% for the six months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006, respectively. The decrease in gross profit as a percentage of net sales was driven by low gross margin at our former Albert s Organics operations at our Greenwood, Indiana facility, which operations were transferred to the Albert s Organics facility in Minneapolis, Minnesota, effective October 31, 2006; missed forward buying opportunities because of our focus on transitioning into serving as the primary distributor for Whole Foods Market s Southern Pacific region, which we commenced in November 2006; the full quarter effect of new customer agreements and spoilage issues related to certain inventory at our Albert s Organics division; partially offset by the full implementation of our fuel surcharge program which passes to our customers the increased product costs and fuel surcharges we pay to vendors.

Operating Expenses

Our total operating expenses, including special items, increased approximately 5.8%, or \$11.2 million, to \$203.1 million for the six months ended January 27, 2007, from \$191.9 million for the six months ended January 28, 2006. Total operating expenses, excluding special items, increased approximately 8.4%, or \$15.8 million, to \$203.1 million for the six months ended January 27, 2007, from \$187.3 million for the six months ended January 28, 2006. Special items are discussed below under Special Items. The increase in total operating expenses, excluding special items, for the six months ended January 27, 2007 was due to the increase in our infrastructure to support our continued sales growth and share-based compensation expense, a loss of \$1.5 million related to the sale of one of our Auburn, California facilities, an impairment charge of \$0.8 million related to the reclassification of the remaining Auburn, California facility to held-for-sale, an operating loss of \$0.6 million recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 related to our former Albert s Organics operations in Greenwood, Indiana; and \$0.4 million of write-offs and termination fees related to the early termination of a facility lease in Minnesota. Total operating expenses for the first six months of fiscal 2007 includes share-based compensation expense, excluding special items, of \$2.0 million, compared to \$2.5 million in the first six months of 2006, resulting from the adoption of SFAS 123(R). See Note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

As a percentage of net sales, total operating expenses, excluding special items, decreased to approximately 15.4% for the six months ended January 27, 2007, from approximately 15.9% for the six months ended January 28, 2006. As a percentage of net sales, total operating expenses, including special items, decreased to approximately 15.4% for the six months ended January 27, 2007, from approximately 16.3% for the six months ended January 28, 2006. The decrease in operating expenses as a percentage of net sales was primarily attributable to increased efficiencies due to our recent distribution facility openings, offset by operating losses related to the Albert s Organics Greenwood, Indiana location (which operations were transferred to the Albert s Organics Minneapolis, Minnesota facility effective October 31, 2006) and improved operations in our Select Nutrition division.

Total operating expenses for the six months ended January 28, 2006 included special items related to incremental and redundant costs incurred during the transition from our former warehouses and outside storage facility in Auburn, California into our new larger facility in Rocklin, California of \$0.9 million, certain incremental costs associated with the opening of our new Greenwood, Indiana facility of \$0.1 million and certain cash and non-cash expenses incurred in accordance with the employment transition agreement we entered into during the first quarter of fiscal 2006 with our former President and Chief Executive Officer of \$3.5 million.

Operating Income

Operating income, excluding the special items discussed below under Special Items, increased approximately 13.5%, or \$5.3 million, to \$44.6 million for the six months ended January 27, 2007 from \$39.3 million for the six months ended January 28, 2006. As a percentage of net sales, operating income, excluding special items, was 3.4% for the six months ended January 27, 2007, compared to 3.3% for the six months ended January 28, 2006. Operating income, including special items, increased approximately 28.0%, or \$9.8 million, to \$44.6 million, or 3.4% of net sales, for the six months ended January 27, 2007, from \$34.8 million, or 3.0% of net sales, for the six months ended January 28, 2006.

Other Expense (Income)

Other expense (income) increased \$1.0 million to \$6.3 million for the six months ended January 27, 2007, from \$5.3 million for the six months ended January 28, 2006. Interest expense for the six months ended January 27, 2007 increased to \$6.3 million from \$5.6 million in the six months ended January 28, 2006. The increase in interest expense was due to an increase in our short-term and long-term borrowings and effective interest rates.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rate was 39.0% and 38.0% for the six months ended January 27, 2007 and January 28, 2006, respectively. The effective rate was higher than the federal statutory rate primarily due to state and local income taxes. The increase in the effective tax rate was primarily due to share-based compensation for incentive stock options and the timing of disqualifying dispositions of certain share-based compensation awards. SFAS 123(R) provides that the tax effect of the book compensation cost previously recognized for an incentive stock option that an employee does not retain for the minimum holding period required by the Internal Revenue Code (disqualified disposition) is recognized as a tax benefit in the period the disqualifying disposition occurs. Our effective income tax rate will continue to be effected by the tax impact related to incentive stock options and the timing of tax benefits related to disqualifying dispositions.

Net Income

Net income, excluding special items, increased \$2.2 million to \$23.3 million, or \$0.55 per diluted share, for the six months ended January 27, 2007, compared to \$21.1 million, or \$0.50 per diluted share, for the six months ended January 28, 2006. Net income, including special items, increased \$5.0 million to \$23.3 million, or \$0.55 per diluted share, for the six months ended January 27, 2007, compared to \$18.3 million, or \$0.43 per diluted share, for the six months ended January 28, 2006.

Special Items

Special items for the six months ended January 28, 2006 included: (i) incremental and redundant costs incurred during the transition from our former warehouses and outside storage facility in Auburn, California into our new facility in Rocklin, California, (ii) certain costs associated with opening our Greenwood, Indiana facility, and (iii) non-recurring cash and non-cash expenses incurred in accordance with the employment transition agreement we entered into during the first quarter of fiscal 2006 with Steven H. Townsend.

The following table presents a reconciliation of net income and per share amounts, excluding special items (non-GAAP basis), to net income and per share amounts, including special items (GAAP basis), for the periods presented:

Six months Ended January 28, 2006	Pretax		Per diluted
(in thousands, except per share data)	<u>Income</u>	Net of Tax	<u>share</u>
Income, excluding special items:	\$34,043	\$21,107	\$0.50
Special items (Expense)			
Employment transition agreement costs (included in operating expenses)	(3,512)	(2,177)	(0.05)
Rocklin, CA facility relocation costs (included in operating expenses)	(925)	(574)	(0.01)
Greenwood, IN facility openings costs (included in			
operating expenses)	(89)	(55)	(0.00)
Income, including special items:	\$29,517	\$18,301	\$0.43*

^{*} Total reflects rounding

A description of our use of non-GAAP information is provided under Use of Non-GAAP Results below.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We finance operations and growth primarily with cash flows from operations, borrowings under our credit facility, operating leases, trade payables, bank indebtedness and the sale of equity and debt securities.

On April 30, 2004, we entered into an amended and restated four-year, \$250 million revolving credit facility with a bank group that was led by Bank of America Business Capital (formerly Fleet Capital Corporation) as the administrative agent. Our amended and restated credit facility provides for improved terms and conditions that provide us with more financial and operational flexibility, reduced costs and increased liquidity than did our prior credit facility. The credit facility replaced an existing \$150 million revolving credit facility. We further amended this facility effective as of January 1, 2006, reducing the rate at which interest accrues on LIBOR borrowings from one-month LIBOR plus 0.90% to one-month LIBOR plus 0.75%. Our amended and restated credit facility, which matures on March 31, 2008, supports our working capital requirements in the ordinary course of business and provides capital to grow our business organically or through acquisitions. As of January 27, 2007, our borrowing base, based on accounts receivable and inventory levels, was \$250.0 million, with remaining availability of \$114.9 million.

In April 2003, we executed a term loan agreement in the principal amount of \$30 million, secured by certain real property that was released from the lien under our amended and restated credit facility in accordance with an amendment to the loan and security agreement related to that facility. The term loan is repayable over seven years based on a fifteen-year amortization schedule. Interest on the term loan initially accrued at LIBOR plus 1.50%. In December 2003, we amended this term loan agreement by increasing the principal amount from \$30 million to \$40 million under the existing terms and conditions. On July 29, 2005, we entered into an amended term loan agreement which further increased the principal amount of this term loan from \$40 million to up to \$75 million and decreased the rate at which interest accrues to LIBOR plus 1.00%. As of January 27, 2007, \$69 million was outstanding under the term loan agreement.

We believe that our capital requirements for fiscal 2007 will be between \$40 and \$45 million. We will finance these requirements with cash generated from operations and the use of our existing credit facilities. These projects will provide both expanded facilities and technology that we believe will provide us with the capacity to continue to support the growth and expansion of our customer base. We believe that our future capital requirements will be similar to our anticipated fiscal 2007 requirements, as a percentage of net sales, as we plan to continue to invest in our growth by upgrading our infrastructure and expanding our facilities. Future investments in acquisitions that we may pursue will be financed through either equity or long-term debt negotiated at the time of the potential acquisition.

Net cash used in operations was \$9.5 million for the six months ended January 27, 2007, and was the result of net income of \$23.3 million and the change in cash collected from customers net of cash paid to vendors and a \$31.7 million investment in inventory. Days in inventory decreased to 47 days at January 27, 2007, compared to 48 days at January 28, 2006. Days sales outstanding improved to 22 days at January 27, 2007, compared to 24 days at January 28, 2006. Net cash provided by operations was \$2.9 million for the six months ended January 28, 2006, and was the result of net income and the change in cash collected from customers net of cash paid to vendors and a \$28.6 million investment in inventory. The increase in inventory levels primarily related to supporting increased sales with wider product assortment and availability. Working capital increased by \$37.6 million, or 20.6%, to \$220.6 million at January 27, 2007, compared to working capital of \$182.9 million at July 29, 2006. The loss on disposals of property and equipment for the six months ended January 27, 2007 relates primarily to the sale of one of our Auburn, California facilities. See Note 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Net cash used in investing activities decreased \$2.2 million to \$10.1 million for the six months ended January 27, 2007, compared to \$12.3 million for the same period in 2006. This decrease was primarily due to proceeds recorded in the quarter ended January 27, 2007 related to the sale of one of our Auburn, California facilities.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$16.7 million for the six months ended January 27, 2007, primarily due to \$10.0 million in proceeds received from the increase in borrowings under our term loan agreement, the increase in our bank overdraft and proceeds from, and the tax benefit due to, the exercise of stock options, partially offset by repayments of long-term debt. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$23.4 million for the six months ended January 28, 2006, primarily due to \$37.4 million in net borrowings under our amended and restated credit facility, proceeds from, and the tax benefit due to, the exercise of stock options, partially offset by purchases of treasury stock, repayments of long-term debt, a decrease in our bank overdraft and repayments of capital lease obligations.

On December 1, 2004, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50 million of common stock from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. As part of the stock repurchase program, we have purchased 228,800 shares of our common stock for our treasury at an aggregate cost of approximately \$6.1 million. All shares were purchased at prevailing market prices. We may continue or, from time to time, suspend repurchases of shares under our stock repurchase program, depending on prevailing market conditions, alternate uses of capital and other factors. Whether and when to initiate and/or complete any purchase of common stock and the amount of common stock purchased will be determined in our complete discretion.

In August 2005, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement effective July 29, 2005. This agreement provides for us to pay interest for a seven-year period at a fixed rate of 4.70% on a notional principal amount of \$50 million while receiving interest for the same period at one-month LIBOR on the same notional principal amount. The swap has been entered into as a hedge against LIBOR movements on current variable rate indebtedness totaling \$50 million at one-month LIBOR plus 1.00%, thereby fixing our effective rate on the notional amount at 5.70%. One-month LIBOR was 5.32% as of January 27, 2007. The swap agreement qualifies as an effective hedge under SFAS 133.

We entered into commodity swap agreements to reduce price risk associated with anticipated purchases of diesel fuel. The outstanding commodity swap agreements hedge a portion of our expected fuel usage for the periods set forth in the agreements. We monitor the commodity (NYMEX #2 Heating oil) used in our swap agreements to determine that the correlation between the commodity and diesel fuel is deemed to be highly effective. At January 27, 2007, we had one outstanding commodity swap agreement which matures on June 30, 2007.

There have been no material changes to our commitments and contingencies from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 29, 2006.

SEASONALITY

Generally, we do not experience any material seasonality. However, our sales and operating results may vary significantly from quarter to quarter due to factors such as changes in our operating expenses, demand for natural products, supply shortages and general economic conditions.

RECENTLY ISSUED FINANCIAL ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48). FIN 48 prescribes detailed guidance for the financial statement recognition, measurement and disclosure of uncertain tax positions recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. Tax positions must meet a more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the effective date to be recognized upon the adoption of FIN 48 and in subsequent periods. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006 and the provisions of FIN 48 will be applied to all tax positions upon initial adoption of the Interpretation. The cumulative effect of applying the provisions of this Interpretation will be reported as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings for that fiscal year. We will adopt FIN 48 in fiscal 2008 and are currently evaluating whether the adoption of FIN 48 will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. (SFAS) 15#air Value Measurements (SFAS 157). SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and requires enhanced disclosures about fair value measurements under other accounting pronouncements, but does not change the existing guidance as to whether or not an instrument is carried at fair value. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We will adopt SFAS 157 in fiscal 2008 and currently are evaluating whether the adoption of SFAS 157 will have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the SEC staff issued SAB 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements. SAB 108 requires that public companies utilize a dual-approach to assessing the quantitative effects of financial misstatements. This dual approach includes both an income statement focused assessment and a balance sheet focused assessment. The guidance in SAB 108 must be applied to annual financial statements for fiscal years ending after November 15, 2006. We are currently evaluating this staff accounting bulletin and its effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS No. 159). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value and establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 159 is effective beginning August 1, 2008. We have not yet determined the impact, if any, of the adoption of SFAS No. 159.

Use of Non-GAAP Results

Financial measures included in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations that are not in accordance with GAAP are referred to as non-GAAP financial measures. To supplement our financial statements presented on a GAAP basis, we use non-GAAP financial measures of operating results, net income and earnings per share adjusted to exclude special charges and/or share-based compensation. We believe that the use of these additional measures is appropriate to enhance an overall understanding of our past financial performance and also our prospects for the future as these special charges are not expected to be part of our ongoing business. The adjustments to our GAAP results are made with the intent of providing both management and investors with a more complete understanding of the underlying operational results and trends and our marketplace performance. For example, these adjusted non-GAAP results are among the primary indicators our management uses as a basis for our planning and forecasting of future periods. The presentation of this additional information is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for net earnings or diluted earnings per share prepared in accordance with GAAP. A comparison and reconciliation from non-GAAP to GAAP results is included in the tables under Special Items above.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our exposure to market risks results primarily from fluctuations in interest rates on our borrowings and price increases in diesel fuel. As more fully described in Note 4 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, we use interest rate swap agreements to modify variable rate obligations to fixed rate obligations for a portion of our debt, and commodity swap agreements to hedge a portion of our expected diesel fuel usage. There have been no material changes to our exposure to market risks from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 29, 2006.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

- (a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q (the Evaluation Date). Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely reporting material information required to be included in our periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- (b) Changes in internal controls. There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of 2007 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Not applicable.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The statements in this item describe the major risks to our business and should be considered carefully. We provide the following cautionary discussion of risks, uncertainties and possibly inaccurate assumptions relevant to our business. These are factors that, individually or in the aggregate, we think could cause our actual results to differ materially from expected and historical results. Our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected by any of these risks.

We note these factors for investors as permitted by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. You should understand that it is not possible to predict or identify all such factors. Consequently, you should not consider the following to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties. See Part I. Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

We depend heavily on our principal customers

Our ability to maintain close, mutually beneficial relationships with our two largest customers, Whole Foods Market and Wild Oats Markets, is an important element to our continued growth. In October 2006, we announced a seven-year distribution agreement with Whole Foods Market, which commenced on September 26, 2006, under which we will continue to serve as the primary U.S. distributor to Whole Foods Market in the regions where we previously served. In November 2006, we entered into an amendment to that distribution agreement, under which we were named the primary wholesale natural grocery distributor to Whole Foods Market s Southern Pacific region, which includes Southern California, Arizona and Southern Nevada, and is a region we did not previously serve. Whole Foods Market accounted for approximately 27.5% of our net sales in the quarter ended January 27, 2007. In January 2004, we entered into a five-year primary distribution agreement with Wild Oats Markets. We had previously served as primary distributor for Wild Oats Markets through August 2002. Wild Oats Markets accounted for approximately 8.8% of our net sales in the quarter ended January 27, 2007. As a result of this concentration of our customer base, the loss or cancellation of business from either of these customers including from increased distribution to their own facilities, could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

As discussed above under Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Overview, Whole Foods Market and Wild Oats Markets announced on February 21, 2007 that the two companies have entered into a merger agreement. If the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement are consummated, Wild Oats Markets will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of Whole Foods Market. We cannot assure you that this merger, if consummated, will not have any impact on our future sales to Wild Oats Markets, on our margins, our financial condition or our results of operations.

We sell products under purchase orders, and we generally have no agreements with or commitments from our customers for the purchase of products. We cannot assure you that our customers will maintain or increase their sales volumes or orders for the products supplied by us or that we will be able to maintain or add to our existing customer base.

Our profit margins may decrease due to consolidation in the grocery industry

The grocery distribution industry generally is characterized by relatively high volume with relatively low profit margins. The continuing consolidation of retailers in the natural products industry and the growth of supernatural chains may reduce our profit margins in the future as more customers qualify for greater volume discounts, and we experience pricing pressures from both ends of the supply chain.

Acquisitions

We continually evaluate opportunities to acquire other companies. We believe that there are risks related to acquiring companies, including overpaying for acquisitions, losing key employees of acquired companies and failing to achieve potential synergies. Additionally, our business could be adversely affected if we are unable to integrate our acquisitions and mergers.

A significant portion of our historical growth has been achieved through acquisitions of or mergers with other distributors of natural products. Successful integration of merger partners is critical to our future operating and financial performance. Integration requires, among other things:

maintaining the customer base;

optimizing of delivery routes;

coordinating administrative, distribution and finance functions; and

integrating management information systems and personnel.

The integration process has and could divert the attention of management and any difficulties or problems encountered in the transition process could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. In addition, the process of combining companies has caused and could cause the interruption of, or a loss of momentum in, the activities of the respective businesses, which could have an adverse effect on their combined operations. We cannot assure you that we will realize any of the anticipated benefits of mergers.

We may have difficulty in managing our growth

The growth in the size of our business and operations has placed and is expected to continue to place a significant strain on our management. Our future growth is limited in part by the size and location of our distribution centers. We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully expand our existing distribution facilities or open new distribution facilities in new or existing markets to facilitate growth. In addition, our growth strategy to expand our market presence includes possible additional acquisitions. To the extent our future growth includes acquisitions, we cannot assure you that we will successfully identify suitable acquisition candidates, consummate and integrate such potential acquisitions or expand into new markets. Our ability to compete effectively and to manage future growth, if any, will depend on our ability to continue to implement and improve operational, financial and management information systems on a timely basis and to expand, train, motivate and manage our work force. We cannot assure you that our personnel, systems, procedures and controls will be adequate to support our operations. Our inability to manage our growth effectively could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We have significant competition from a variety of sources

We operate in competitive markets, and our future success will be largely dependent on our ability to provide quality products and services at competitive prices. Our competition comes from a variety of sources, including other distributors of natural products as well as specialty grocery and mass market grocery distributors. We cannot assure you that mass market grocery distributors will not increase their emphasis on natural products and more directly compete with us or that new competitors will not enter the market. These distributors may have been in business longer than us, may have substantially greater financial and other resources than us, and may be better established in their markets. We cannot assure you that our current or potential competitors will not provide products or services comparable or superior to those provided by us or adapt more quickly than we do to evolving industry trends or changing market requirements. It is also possible that alliances among competitors may develop and rapidly acquire significant market share or that certain of our customers will increase distribution to their own retail facilities. Increased competition may result in price reductions, reduced gross margins and loss of market share, any of which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. We cannot assure you that we will be able to compete effectively against current and future competitors.

Our operations are sensitive to economic downturns

The grocery industry is also sensitive to national and regional economic conditions and the demand for our products may be adversely affected from time to time by economic downturns. In addition, our operating results are particularly sensitive to, and may be materially adversely affected by:

difficulties with the collectibility of accounts receivable;

difficulties with inventory control;

competitive pricing pressures; and

unexpected increases in fuel or other transportation-related costs.

We cannot assure you that one or more of such factors will not materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Increased Fuel Costs

Increased fuel costs may have a negative impact on our results of operations. The high cost of diesel fuel can also increase the price we pay for products as well as the costs we incur to deliver products to our customers. These factors, in turn, may negatively impact our net sales, margins, operating expenses and operating results. To manage this risk, we have in the past periodically entered, and may in the future periodically enter, into heating oil derivative contracts to hedge a portion of our projected diesel fuel requirements. Heating crude oil prices have a highly correlated relationship to fuel prices, making these derivatives effective in offsetting changes in the cost of diesel fuel. We do not enter into fuel hedge contracts for speculative purposes.

Our operating results are subject to significant fluctuations

Our net sales and operating results may vary significantly from period to period due to:

demand for natural products;

changes in our operating expenses, including in fuel and insurance;

management s ability to execute our business and growth strategies;

changes in customer preferences and demands for natural products, including levels of enthusiasm for health, fitness and environmental issues;

fluctuation of natural product prices due to competitive pressures;

personnel changes;

supply shortages;

general economic conditions;

lack of an adequate supply of high-quality agricultural products due to poor growing conditions, natural disasters or otherwise;

volatility in prices of high-quality agricultural products resulting from poor growing conditions, natural disasters or otherwise; and

future acquisitions, particularly in periods immediately following the consummation of such acquisition transactions while the operations of the acquired businesses are being integrated into our operations.

Due to the foregoing factors, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our operating results may not necessarily be meaningful and that such comparisons cannot be relied upon as indicators of future performance.

Access to capital and the cost of that capital

We have an amended and restated \$250 million secured revolving credit facility, under which borrowings accrue interest at one-month LIBOR plus 0.75%, which matures on March 31, 2008. As of January 27, 2007, our borrowing base, based on accounts receivable and inventory levels, was \$250 million, with remaining availability of \$114.9 million. In April 2003, we executed a term loan agreement in the principal amount of \$30 million secured by certain real property that was released from the lien under our amended and restated credit facility in accordance with an amendment to the loan and security agreement related to that facility. The term loan is repayable over seven years based on a fifteen-year amortization schedule. Interest on the term loan initially accrued at one-month LIBOR plus 1.50%. In December 2003, we amended this term loan agreement by increasing the principal amount from \$30 million to \$40 million under the existing terms and conditions. In July 2005, we further amended the term loan agreement, which further increased the principal amount from \$40 million to a maximum of up to \$75 million and which reduced the interest rate on borrowings to one-month LIBOR plus 1.00%. The term loan is repayable over seven years from the date of amendment, based on a fifteen-year amortization schedule, and the amendment did not affect any of the other terms and conditions under the loan agreement. As of January 27, 2007, \$69 million was outstanding under the term loan agreement.

In order to maintain our profit margins, we rely on strategic investment buying initiatives, such as discounted bulk purchases, which require spending significant amounts of working capital. In the event that our cost of capital increases or our ability to borrow funds or raise equity capital is limited, we could suffer reduced profit margins and be unable to grow our business organically or through acquisitions, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We are subject to significant governmental regulation

Our business is highly regulated at the federal, state and local levels and our products and distribution operations require various licenses, permits and approvals. In particular:

our products are subject to inspection by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration;

our warehouse and distribution facilities are subject to inspection by the U.S. Department of Agriculture and state health authorities; and

the U.S. Department of Transportation and the U.S. Federal Highway Administration regulate our trucking operations.

The loss or revocation of any existing licenses, permits or approvals or the failure to obtain any additional licenses, permits or approvals in new jurisdictions where we intend to do business could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We are dependent on a number of key executives

Management of our business is substantially dependent upon the services of Richard Antonelli (Executive Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and President of Distribution), Daniel V. Atwood (Executive Vice President, Chief Marketing Officer, and President of United Natural Brands), Michael D. Beaudry (President of the Eastern Region), Thomas A. Dziki (National Vice President of Real Estate and Construction), Michael S. Funk (President and Chief Executive Officer), Gary A. Glenn (Vice President of Information Technology), Randle Lindberg (President of the Western Region), Mark E. Shamber (Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer), and other key management employees. Loss of the services of any officers or any other key management employee could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Union-organizing activities could cause labor relations difficulties

As of January 27, 2007, we had approximately 4,600 full and part-time employees. An aggregate of approximately 7% of our total employees, or approximately 330 of the employees at our Auburn, Washington, Iowa City, Iowa and Edison, New Jersey facilities, are covered by collective bargaining agreements. The Edison, New Jersey and Auburn, Washington agreements expire in June 2008 and February 2009, respectively. The Iowa City, Iowa agreement expires in July 2009. We have in the past been the focus of union-organizing efforts. As we increase our employee base and broaden our distribution operations to new geographic markets, our increased visibility could result in increased or expanded union-organizing efforts. Although we have not experienced a work stoppage to date, if additional employees were to unionize or we are not successful in reaching agreement with these employees, we could be subject to work stoppages and increases in labor costs, either of which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

	registered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proce	cee
--	--	-----

N	<u>_</u>	ne	

	•	•	
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Secu	ırities		

n of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
ting of Stockholders of the Company held on December 7, 2006, the stockholders of the Company considered and voted on
tors. The stockholders elected Richard Antonelli and Joseph M. Cianciolo to serve as Class III directors for the ensuing three office as directors of Michael S. Funk, James P. Heffernan, Gordon D. Barker, Gail A. Graham and Thomas B. Simone Annual Meeting. The stockholders voted in the following manner:
Votes FOR Votes WITHHELD
38,364,940 554,970 o 38,346,299 573,611
itor. The stockholders ratified the appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting ded July 28, 2007. The stockholders voted in the following manner: (i) 38,341,797 votes were cast FOR the proposal; (ii) cast AGAINST the proposal; and (iii) 414,027 votes were cast to ABSTAIN from the proposal.
rmation
Description Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 CEO Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act

Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act

32.1

of 2002 CEO

32.2	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 CFO
* * *	
We would be please	d to furnish a copy of this Form 10-Q to any stockholder who requests it by writing to:
United Natural Food	ls, Inc.
Investor Relations	
260 Lake Road	
Dayville, CT 06241	
28	

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

UNITED NATURAL FOODS, INC.

/s/ Mark E. Shamber
Mark E. Shamber
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Dated: March 6, 2007