#### APEX MUNICIPAL FUND INC

Form N-30D August 20, 2002

[LOGO] Merrill Lynch Investment Managers

Annual Report June 30, 2002

Apex Municipal Fund, Inc.

www.mlim.ml.com

APEX MUNICIPAL FUND, INC.

Managed Dividend Policy

The Fund's dividend policy is to distribute all or a portion of its net investment income to its shareholders on a monthly basis. In order to provide shareholders with a more consistent yield to the current trading price of shares of Common Stock of the Fund, the Fund may at times pay out less than the entire amount of net investment income earned in any particular month and may at times in any particular month pay out such accumulated but undistributed income in addition to net investment income earned in that month. As a result, the dividends paid by the Fund for any particular month may be more or less than the amount of net investment income earned by the Fund during such month. The Fund's current accumulated but undistributed net investment income, if any, is disclosed in the Statement of Assets, Liabilities and Capital, which comprises part of the financial information included in this report.

Proxy Results

During the six-month period ended June 30, 2002, Apex Municipal Fund, Inc.'s shareholders voted on the following proposal. The proposal was approved at a shareholders' meeting on April 8, 2002. A description of the proposal and number of shares voted are as follows:

		Shares Voted For	Sha
1. To elect the Fund's Board of Directors as follows:		17 552 620	
	Terry K. Glenn Roberta Cooper Ramo	17,553,638 17,516,061	
	Class II: James H. Bodurtha	17,509,631	
	Herbert I. London Stephen B. Swensrud	17,503,519 17,501,424	
	Class III: Andre F. Perold	17,505,259	
	Melvin R. Seiden	17,499,296	

Apex Municipal Fund, Inc., June 30, 2002

#### DEAR SHAREHOLDER

For the year ended June 30, 2002, Apex Municipal Fund, Inc. earned \$0.562 per share income dividends, which included earned and unpaid dividends of \$0.044. This represents a net annualized yield of 6.08%, based on a year-end net asset value of \$9.24 per share. Over the same period, the Fund's total investment return was +4.31%, based on a change in per share net asset value from \$9.45 to \$9.24 and assuming reinvestment of \$0.567 per share income dividends. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2002, the Fund's total investment return was +4.56%, based on a change in per share net asset value from \$9.13 to \$9.24, and assuming reinvestment of \$0.280 per share income dividends.

#### The Municipal Market Environment

During the six months ended June 30, 2002, the direction of long-term fixed income interest rates was driven as much by volatile U.S. equity markets and continued worldwide political tensions as by economic fundamentals. In early 2002, economic indicators were mixed, signaling some strength in consumer spending and housing-related industries but with continued declines in manufacturing employment. Interest rates remained in a narrow but volatile range as weak U.S. equity markets generally supported fixed income products. By the end of January 2002, the Federal Reserve Board ended its series of short-term interest rate reductions by maintaining its overnight rate target at 1.75%, a 40-year low. The Federal Reserve Board noted that while U.S. economic activity was beginning to strengthen, earlier weakness could easily resume should consumer spending falter. In recent months, however, the index of leading economic indicators has generally risen, suggesting that economic activity is likely to expand later this year. In its final revision, fourth quarter U.S. gross domestic product growth was revised higher to 1.7%, signaling improving economic conditions relative to earlier in 2001. By the end of February 2002, long-term U.S. Treasury bond yields stood at 5.42%.

In early March, a number of economic indicators, including surging existing home sales, solid consumer spending and positive nonfarm payroll growth following several months of job losses, suggested U.S. economic activity was continuing to strengthen. Also, in Congressional testimony, Federal Reserve Board Chairman Alan Greenspan was cautiously optimistic regarding future U.S. economic growth noting, while any increase in activity was likely to be moderate, "an economic expansion (was) well underway." These factors combined to push U.S. equity prices higher and bond prices sharply lower in expectation of a reversal of the Federal Reserve Board actions taken during the past 15 months. By the end of March 2002, long-term U.S. Treasury bond yields stood at 5.80%, their highest level in more than 18 months.

During the past few months, however, bond yields reversed their course to move sharply lower. First quarter 2002 gross domestic product activity was recently finalized at 6.1%, considerably above the level of economic growth seen in late 2001. A number of economic indicators, such as housing activity, consumer spending and recent employment trends have pointed to, at least, a moderate economic recovery for the remainder of 2002. Steady, dramatic declines in U.S. equity markets have led the majority of investors to conclude that the Federal Reserve Board is now unlikely to raise short-term interest rates for the remainder of 2002. U.S. Treasury issue prices were also boosted by erupting Middle East and India/Pakistan conflicts that led many international investors to seek the safe haven of U.S. Treasury securities. By the end of June 2002, long-term U.S. Treasury bond yields had declined to 5.50%. Over the last six months, long-term U.S. Treasury bond yields rose approximately 5 basis points (0.05%).

Municipal bond prices displayed a pattern similar to their taxable counterparts during the six-month period ended June 30, 2002. In early 2002, tax-exempt bond yields traded in a relatively narrow range as an increasingly positive technical position supported existing municipal bond prices. In March, however, increased economic activity and associated concerns regarding near-term Federal Reserve Board actions also pushed tax-exempt bond prices lower. By late March, long-term municipal revenue bond yields, as measured by the Bond Buyer Revenue Bond Index, rose to 5.67%, their highest level in more than a year. During the last three months, tax-exempt bond yields generally declined largely in response to the positive fixed income environment engendered by falling equity valuations. The municipal bond market's recent price advances also have been bolstered by the continued improvement in the tax-exempt market's technical position. Despite sizable advances in the rate of new municipal bond issuance, investor demand has increased in recent months, allowing tax-exempt bond prices to rise. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2002, long-term municipal revenue bond yields stood at 5.41%, a decline of almost 20 basis points.

Solid investment demand during the past six months has allowed the tax-exempt market to outperform its taxable counterpart in recent months. Reports stated that thus far in 2002 municipal bond fund net cash flows remained very strong exceeding \$5.9 billion, up more than 40% compared to the same period in 2001. Additionally, in January and February 2002, investors received almost \$50 billion in investment proceeds from coupon income, bond maturities and early redemptions. Given the recent weakness in U.S. equity markets, much of this money was likely reinvested in tax-exempt products. Perhaps, more importantly, short-term municipal interest rates continued to move lower in response to Federal Reserve Board actions. In reaction to the Federal Reserve Board interest rate reductions, short-term municipal interest rates declined to the 1% - 1.5% range. As interest rates declined, investors extended maturities to take advantage of the steep municipal bond yield curve. Also, analysts forecast that investors will receive approximately an additional \$75 billion during June --August 2002 from bond maturities, proceeds from early bond redemptions and coupon income. Given the lack of strong investment alternatives, it is likely that this money will continue to support the municipal bond market's currently strong technical environment.

Interest rates are likely to remain near current levels as U.S. economic conditions are expected to remain relatively weak. However, going forward, business activity is likely to accelerate, perhaps significantly. Federal aid packages in response to the September 11, 2001 attacks in New York City and Washington, DC were sizable. Airline assistance packages, involving Federal grants and loan guarantees, also were substantial and are likely to continue. The military response to the initial terrorist attacks and ongoing military presence in the Middle East will continue to require significant increases in Defense Department spending. Eventually, this increased governmental spending should result in accelerated U.S. economic activity, especially in the construction and defense industries. This governmental stimulus, in conjunction with the monetary actions taken by the Federal Reserve Board, can be expected to generate significant increases in U.S. gross domestic product growth some time later in 2002.

As inflationary pressures are expected to remain well contained going forward, increased economic activity need not result in significant increases in long-term bond yields. Also, throughout much of 2001, the municipal bond market exhibited far less volatility than its taxable counterparts. Since the strong technical position that has supported the tax-exempt bond market's performance for much of this year can be expected to continue, any potential increases in municipal bond yields can also be expected to be limited.

Portfolio Strategy

During the 12 months ended June 30, 2002, the high-yield municipal bond market

was characterized by general improvement in relative valuation across a wide range of disparate credit sectors ranging from health care to various cyclical industrials. Perhaps the most glaring exception was the airline sector, which suffered significant price erosion in the aftermath of the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001. Widespread losses in the steel industry, along with revelations concerning fraudulent accounting and energy trading practices in the investor-owned utility industry have also pressured valuations for related securities. Nevertheless, the overall picture conveys an impression of recovery from depressed levels that prevailed throughout 1999 and 2000. To a degree, this was a reflection of

2 & 3

Apex Municipal Fund, Inc., June 30, 2002

developments in the taxable corporate bond market where credit spreads narrowed considerably since the Federal Reserve Board embarked on its campaign of monetary policy accommodation beginning in January 2001. In addition, the municipal market in particular benefited from improved cash flows as investors were drawn to the relative stability and predictability of recent bond market returns.

Despite lower performance during the first six months of the Fund's fiscal year, the Fund has experienced more favorable results in the last few months as contributions from a diverse group of current holdings demonstrated the broad-based nature of the improvement in credit spreads. Specifically, the Fund's exposure in the airline sector recovered substantially from losses incurred last fall, providing a welcome lift to relative performance since the beginning of January 2002.

Our portfolio strategy continued to reflect our efforts to utilize analytical resources as a means to discover undervalued investment opportunities in the marketplace. This resulted in sector concentrations that included health care and transportation, while increasing exposure to infrastructure-related tax-backed financing for residential communities during the period. Future activity will likely reflect measures designed to further diversify portfolio holdings within the context of desired sector concentrations. In the past, significant exposure to individual credits has occasionally contributed to heightened levels of volatility in the Fund's total return. By achieving greater diversification, we believe the Fund's returns should exhibit less volatility, as individual credit developments are less likely to significantly impact overall performance.

In Conclusion

We appreciate your ongoing interest in Apex Municipal Fund, Inc., and we look forward to serving your investment needs in the months and years to come.

Sincerely,

/s/ Terry K. Glenn

Terry K. Glenn President

/s/ Kenneth A. Jacob

Kenneth A. Jacob

Senior Vice President

/s/ John M. Loffredo

John M. Loffredo Senior Vice President

/s/ Theodore R. Jaeckel Jr.

Theodore R. Jaeckel Jr. Vice President and Portfolio Manager

August 7, 2002

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS

(in Thousands)

STATE	S&P Ratings	Moody's Ratings	Face Amount	Issue
Alabama0.6%	В	NR*	\$ 1,000	Brewton, Alabama, IDB, PCR, Refunding (Contai AmericaJefferson Smurfit Corp. Project), 8%
Alaska1.1%	NR*	NR*	2,000	Alaska Industrial Development and Export Auth (Williams Lynxs Alaska Cargoport), AMT, 8% du
Arizona2.7%	NR*	NR*	1,505	Maricopa County, Arizona, IDA, M/F Housing Re
	NR*	Caa2	4,000	King Apartments Project), Sub-Series C, 9.50% Phoenix, Arizona, IDA, Airport Facility Reven (America West Airlines Inc. Project), AMT, 6.
	B+	ВаЗ	1,585	(America west Affilines inc. Project), AMI, 6. Pima County, Arizona, IDA, Industrial Revenue (Tucson Electric Power Company Project), Seri
Colorado5.5%	NR*	Baa2	3,000	Denver, Colorado, Urban Renewal Authority, Ta
	NR*	NR*	2,800	Bonds (Pavilions), AMT, 7.75% due 9/01/2016 Elk Valley, Colorado, Public Improvement Reve Improvement Fee), Series A, 7.30% due 9/01/20
	NR*	NR*	1,640	Lincoln Park, Colorado, Metropolitan District 7.75% due 12/01/2026
	NR*	NR*	1,235	North Range, Colorado, Metropolitan District due 12/15/2031
	BB+	Ba1	1,145	Northwest Parkway, Colorado, Public Highway A First Tier, Sub-Series D, 7.125% due 6/15/204
Connecticut0.7%	NR*	B1	1,305	New Haven, Connecticut, Facility Revenue Bond Corporation Project), 9.25% due 5/01/2017
Florida12.0%	NR*	NR*	920	Arbor Greene Community Development District,
	NR*	NR*	1,370	Assessment Revenue Bonds, 7.60% due 5/01/2018 Bonnet Creek Resort, Florida, Community Devel
	NR*	NR*	1,175	Special Assessment Revenue Bonds, 7.50% due 5 Capital Projects Finance Authority, Florida, Retirement Revenue Bonds (Glenridge on Palmer
	NR*	NR*	2,500	8% due 6/01/2032 Hillsborough County, Florida, IDA, Exempt Fac (National Gypsum), AMT, Series A, 7.125% due

Al+ VMIG1@ 4,400 Jacksonville, Florida, Electric Authority, El Bonds, VRDN, Series C, 1.85% due 10/01/2030 (

#### Portfolio Abbreviations

To simplify the listings of Apex Municipal Fund, Inc.'s portfolio holdings in the Schedule of Investments, we have abbreviated the names of many of the securities according to the list at right.

AMT	Alternative Minimum Tax (subject to)
EDA	Economic Development Authority
GO	General Obligation Bonds
IDA	Industrial Development Authority
IDB	Industrial Development Board
IDR	Industrial Development Revenue Bonds
M/F	Multi-Family
PCR	Pollution Control Revenue Bonds
RIB	Residual Interest Bonds
VRDN	Variable Rate Demand Notes

4 & 5

Apex Municipal Fund, Inc., June 30, 2002

due 11/01/2035

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (continued)

(in Thousands)

STATE	S&P Ratings	Moody's Ratings	Face Amount	Issue
Florida (concluded)	NR*	NR*	\$ 925	Lakewood Ranch, Florida, Community Developmen Special Assessment Revenue Refunding Bonds, S due 5/01/2031
	NR*	NR*	3,100	Lee County, Florida, IDA, Health Care Facilit
	NR*	NR*	1,650	(Cypress Cove Healthpark), Series A, 6.375% d Orlando, Florida, Urban Community Development Improvement Special Assessment Bonds, Series
	NR*	NR*	3,580	Parkway Center, Florida, Community Developmen Assessment Refunding Bonds, Series B, 8% due
	NR*	NR*	3,125	Tampa Palms, Florida, Open Space and Transpor Development District Revenue Bonds, Capital I Place Project), 7.50% due 5/01/2018
Georgia3.7%	NR*	NR*	2 <b>,</b> 000	Atlanta, Georgia, Tax Allocation Revenue Bond Project), 7.90% due 12/01/2024
	NR*	NR*	3,680	Atlanta, Georgia, Urban Residential Finance A Revenue Bonds (Northside Plaza Apartments Pro due 11/01/2020
	BBB-	Ba1	1,000	Effingham County, Georgia, IDA, PCR, Refundin Corporation Project), 6.50% due 6/01/2031
Idaho0.8%	NR*	NR*	1,470	Idaho Health Facilities Authority, Revenue Re Vista Care Corporation), Series A, 7.75% due
Illinois3.8%	NR*	Caal	1,000	Chicago, Illinois, O'Hare International Airpo Revenue Bonds (United Airlines Project), AMT,

	BB-	B1	3,000	Chicago, Illinois, O'Hare International Airpo Revenue Refunding Bonds (American Airlines In due 12/01/2024
	NR*	NR*	3,190	Illinois Development Finance Authority Revenu Care Centers Facilities Acquisition Program),
Indiana2.5%	NR*	NR*	2,600	Indiana State Educational Facilities Authorit Bonds (Saint Joseph's College Project), 7% du
	NR*	NR*	1,925	Indianapolis, Indiana, M/F Revenue Bonds (Lak Project), Series B, 7.50% due 10/01/2029
Iowa6.6%	NR*	NR*	10,000	Iowa Finance Authority, Health Care Facilitie Bonds (Care Initiatives Project), 9.25% due 7
Louisiana3.1%	BB-	NR*	5 <b>,</b> 500	Port New Orleans, Louisiana, IDR, Refunding ( Company Project), 7.50% due 7/01/2013
Maryland2.0%	NR*	NR*	1,875	Anne Arundel County, Maryland, Special Obliga (Arundel Mills Project), 7.10% due 7/01/2029
	NR*	NR*	1,500	Maryland State Energy Financing Administratio Revenue Bonds (CogenerationAES Warrior Run) 9/01/2019
Massachusetts3.6%	BBB	NR*	825	Massachusetts State Development Finance Agenc Revenue Bonds (Ogden Haverhill Associates), A due 12/01/2014 Massachusetts State Development Finance Agenc Bonds (Eastern Nazarine College):
	BBB-	NR*	1,220	5.625% due 4/01/2029
	BBB- NR*	NR* Ba2	245 3,000	5.625% due 4/01/2019 Massachusetts State Health and Educational Fa
	IVIX	Daz	3,000	Revenue Refunding Bonds (Bay Cove Human Servi Series A, 5.90% due 4/01/2028
	NR*	NR*	1,700	Massachusetts State Industrial Finance Agency Facility (Resource Control Composting), AMT,
	BBB	NR*	500	Massachusetts State Industrial Financial Agen Revenue Refunding Bonds (Ogden Haverhill Proj 5.60% due 12/01/2019
Michigan0.5%	BBB-	Baa3	1,000	Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Re Bonds (Detroit Medical Center Obligation Grou 6.50% due 8/15/2018
Minnesota1.8%	NR*	NR*	3,180	Anoka, Minnesota, M/F Housing Revenue Bonds ( Apartments Project), 9.375% due 12/01/2024
Missouri0.8%	NR*	NR*	1,200	Fenton, Missouri, Tax Increment Revenue Refun Bonds (Gravois Bluffs), 7% due 10/01/2021
	A1+	VMIG1@	200	Missouri Development Finance Board, Cultural (Nelson Gallery Foundation), VRDN, Series B,
Nevada1.2%	BBB	Baa2	2,500	Henderson, Nevada, Health Care Facility Reven Healthcare WestSaint Rose Dominican Hospita
New Jersey12.6%	=		====	Camden County, New Jersey, Improvement Author (Holt Hauling & Warehousing), AMT, Series A (
	NR* NR*	NR* NR*	2,000 4,500	9.625% due 1/01/2011 9.875% due 1/01/2021
	CCC	B2	6,000	Camden County, New Jersey, Pollution Control Solid Waste Resource Recovery Revenue Refundi 7.50% due 12/01/2010
	BBB-	NR*	1,500	New Jersey EDA, First Mortgage Revenue Bonds

				Series C, 5.50% due 1/01/2028
	NR*	Ba3	1,500	New Jersey EDA, IDR, Refunding (Newark Airpor 7% due 10/01/2014
				New Jersey EDA, Retirement Community Revenue
	NR*	NR*	1,000	(Cedar Crest Village Inc. Facility), 7.25%
	NR*	NR*	3,700	(Seabrook Village Inc.), 8.125% due 11/15/2
	B+	В3	3,050	New Jersey EDA, Special Facility Revenue Bond
		- 1	1 110	Inc. Project), AMT, 6.625% due 9/15/2012
	NR*	Baa1	1,410	New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing A
				(South Jersey Hospital), 6% due 7/01/2026
New Mexico2.8%	B+	Ва3	5 <b>,</b> 000	Farmington, New Mexico, PCR, Refunding (Tucso San Juan Project), Series A, 6.95% due 10/01/
New York2.1%	BBB-	Ba2	1,730	New York City, New York, City IDA, Special Fa (British Airways PLC Project), AMT, 7.625% du Utica, New York, GO, Public Improvement:
	BB	Ba1	700	9.25% due 8/15/2004
	BB	Ba1	700	9.25% due 8/15/2005
	BB	Ba1	635	9.25% due 8/15/2006

6 & 7

Apex Municipal Fund, Inc., June 30, 2002

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS (concluded)

(in Thousands)

STATE	S&P Ratings	Moody's Ratings	Face Amount	Issue
North Carolina0.9%	NR*	NR*	\$ 1,600	North Carolina Medical Care Commission, Healt First Mortgage Revenue Refunding Bonds (Presb Project), 7% due 10/01/2031
Ohio1.4%	B+	в3	3 <b>,</b> 135	Cleveland, Ohio, Airport Special Revenue Refu (Continental Airlines Inc. Project), AMT, 5.7
Oregon0.6%	NR*	NR*	1,000	Western Generation Agency, Oregon, Cogenerati (Wauna Cogeneration Project), AMT, Series B,
Pennsylvania8.3%	NR*	NR*	750	Blair County, Pennsylvania, IDA, Revenue Bond Pennsylvania State Project), Series A, 7% due
	NR*	Ba2	2,000	Lehigh County, Pennsylvania, General Purpose Refunding Bonds (Kidspeace Obligation Group), Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, Higher Educa Authority, Revenue Refunding Bonds (Faulkeway
	BBB+	NR*	900	6.75% due 11/15/2024
	BBB+	NR*	925	6.75% due 11/15/2030
	AAA	Aaa	2,000	Pennsylvania State Higher Education Assistance Revenue Bonds, AMT, RIB, 6.173% due 3/01/2022 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Authority for IDR
	NR*	Ba1	1,600	(Air Cargo), Series A, 7.50% due 1/01/2025
	NR*	NR*	5,500	Commercial Development, 7.75% due 12/01/201
	A1+	VMIG1@	1,200	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Hospitals and Hig Facilities Authority, Hospital Revenue Bonds

				of Philadelphia Project), VRDN, Series A, 1.8
Rhode Island1.0%	ввв	Baa2	1 <b>,</b> 770	Rhode Island State Health and Educational Bui Hospital Financing Revenue Bonds (Lifespan Obdue 8/15/2032
South Carolina1.2%	BBB+	Baa2	1,100	Medical University, South Carolina, Hospital
	BBB-	NR*	1,000	Facility Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series A, 6 South Carolina Jobs, EDA, Revenue Bonds (Myrt Center), Series A, 6.625% due 4/01/2036
Texas6.3%	BBB-	Baa3	1,000	Austin, Texas, Convention Center Revenue Bond Enterprises Inc.), First Tier, Series A, 6.70 Bell County, Texas, Health Facilities Develop Hospital Revenue Bonds (Scott & White Memoria
	A1+	VMIG10	2,030	Series 2001-1, 1.85% due 8/15/2031
	A1+	VMIG10	1,300	Series 2001-2, 1.85% due 8/15/2031
	A	A3	2 <b>,</b> 500	Brazos River, Texas, Harbor Navigation Distri Environmental Revenue Refunding Bonds (Dow Ch AMT, Series A-7, 6.625% due 5/15/2033
	A1+	NR*	1,000	Harris County, Texas, Health Facilities Devel Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds (Methodist H due 12/01/2025 (c)
	BBB+	Baa1	3 <b>,</b> 500	Lower Colorado River Authority, Texas, PCR (S Semiconductor), AMT, 6.375% due 4/01/2027
Utah1.2%	NR*	NR*	2,240	Carbon County, Utah, Solid Waste Disposal Rev (Laidlaw Environmental), AMT, Series A, 7.45%
Virginia5.9%	NR*	NR*	2 <b>,</b> 500	Dulles Town Center, Virginia, Community Devel Assessment Tax (Dulles Town Center Project),
	NR*	NR*	3,000	Pittsylvania County, Virginia, IDA, Revenue F Exempt-Facility, AMT, Series A, 7.50% due 1/0 Pocahontas Parkway Association, Virginia, Tol
	NR*	Ba1	6,200	First Tier, Sub-Series C, 6.25%** due 8/15/
	NR*	Ba1	6,200	First Tier, Sub-Series C, 6.25%** due 8/15/
	BBB-	Baa3 =======	32 <b>,</b> 600	Senior Series B, 5.875%** due 8/15/2025
Washington1.3%	NR*	NR*	2,600	Port Seattle, Washington, Special Facilities (Northwest Airlines Project), AMT, 7.25% due
				Total Municipal Bonds (Cost\$184,814)98.6%
			======= Shares	
	===		Held	
Common Stocks1.1%			181 <b>,</b> 353	Horizon Natural Resources (d)(e)
		========		Total Common Stocks (Cost\$1,995)1.1%
				t\$186,809)99.7%
		Other Asset	s Less Liab	oilities0.3%

Net Assets--100.0%

\_\_\_\_\_\_

<sup>(</sup>a) AMBAC Insured.(b) The interest rate is subject to change periodically and inversely based

- upon prevailing market rates. The interest rate shown is the rate in effect at June 30, 2002.
- (c) The interest rate is subject to change periodically based upon prevailing market rates. The interest rate shown is the rate in effect at June 30, 2002.
- (d) Non-income producing security.
- (e) Represents entitlement received from a bankruptcy exchange for Peninsula Ports, 6.90% due 5/22/2022.
- \* Not Rated.
- \*\* Represents a zero coupon bond; the interest rate shown reflects the effective yield at the time of purchase by the Fund.
- @ Highest short-term rating by Moody's Investors Service, Inc.

Ratings of issues shown have not been audited by Deloitte & Touche LLP.

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Quality Profile (unaudited)

The quality ratings of securities in the Fund as of June 30, 2002 were as follows:

S&P Rating/Moody's Rating	Percent of Net Assets
AAA/Aaa A/A BBB/Baa BB/Ba B/B CCC/Caa NR(Not Rated) Other+	1.2% 1.4 16.0 14.6 7.5 1.3 51.0

+ Temporary investments in short-term municipal securities.

8 & 9

Apex Municipal Fund, Inc., June 30, 2002

STATEMENT OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND CAPITAL

	As of June 30, 2002
Assets:	Investments, at value (identified cost\$186,809,125)
	Prepaid expenses and other assets
	Total assets

Liabilities:	Payables: Securities purchased Investment adviser Dividends to shareholders
	Accrued expenses
Net Assets:	Net assets
Capital:	Common Stock, \$.10 par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized; 19,596,732 shares issued and outstanding Paid-in capital in excess of par Undistributed investment incomenet Accumulated realized capital losses on investmentsnet Unrealized depreciation on investmentsnet  Total accumulated lossesnet  Total capitalEquivalent to \$9.24 net asset value per share of Common Stock (market price\$8.39)
STATEMENT OF OPERAT	See Notes to Financial Statements.  IONS  For the Year Ended June 30, 2002
=======================================	
<pre>Investment Income:</pre>	Interest
Expenses:	Investment advisory fees Professional fees Accounting services Transfer agent fees Printing and shareholder reports Directors' fees and expenses Listing fees Custodian fees Pricing fees Other  Total expenses  Investment incomenet
Realized &	Realized loss on investmentsnet
Unrealized Loss on InvestmentsNet:	Change in unrealized depreciation on investmentsnet

Edg	par Filing: APEX MUNICIPAL FUND INC - Form N-30D	
	Net Increase in Net Assets Resulting from Operations	
	See Notes to Financial Statements.	
STATEMENTS OF CHANG	ES IN NET ASSETS	
	Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets:	
Operations:	Investment incomenet	
	Realized loss on investmentsnet	
	Net increase in net assets resulting from operations	
Dividends to Shareholders:	Investment incomenet	
Sharehorders:	Net decrease in net assets resulting from dividends to sha	reholders
 Net Assets:	Total increase (decrease) in net assets	
	End of year*	
	* Undistributed investment incomenet	
	See Notes to Financial Statements.	
	10 & 11	
	Apex Municipal Fund, Inc., June 30, 200	2
FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHT	'S	
	The following per share data and ratios have been derived from information provided in the financial statements.	For the
		02 2001
Per Share Operating	, 3 3 4	9.45 \$ 9.33

Performance:	Investment incomenet		.58		.59
			(.22)		.13
	Total from investment operations		.36		.72
	Less dividends from investment incomenet		(.57)		(.60)
	Net asset value, end of year	\$	9.24	\$	9.45
	Market price per share, end of year		8.39 =====		9.10
Total Investment Return:*	Based on market price per share		====== (1.64%) ======		9.05% =====
	Based on net asset value per share	===	4.31%		8.48%
Ratios to Average Net Assets:	Expenses		.87% -====	=	.82%
Net Assets:	Investment incomenet		6.19%		6.35%
Supplemental	Net assets, end of year (in thousands)	\$18	====== 31 <b>,</b> 093	\$18	35,246
Data:	Portfolio turnover		25% =====		17% =====

\* Total investment returns based on market value, which can be significantly greater or lesser than the net asset value, may result in substantially different returns. Total investment returns exclude the effects of sales charges.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

See Notes to Financial Statements.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 1. Significant Accounting Policies:

Apex Municipal Fund, Inc. (the "Fund") is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 as a non-diversified, closed-end management investment company. The Fund's financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which may require the use of management accruals and estimates. The Fund determines and makes available for publication the net asset value of its Common Stock on a weekly basis. The Fund's Common Stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol APX. The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Fund.

(a) Valuation of investments—Municipal bonds are traded primarily in the over—the—counter markets and are valued at the most recent bid price or yield equivalent as obtained by the Fund's pricing service from dealers that make markets in such securities. Financial futures contracts and options thereon, which are traded on exchanges, are valued at their closing prices as of the close of such exchanges. Options written or purchased are valued at the last sale price in the case of exchange—traded options. In the case of options traded in the over—the—counter market, valuation is the last asked price (options written) or the last bid price (options purchased). Securities with remaining maturities of sixty days or less are valued at amortized cost, which

approximates market value. Securities and assets for which market quotations are not readily available are valued at fair value as determined in good faith by or under the direction of the Board of Directors of the Fund, including valuations furnished by a pricing service retained by the Fund, which may utilize a matrix system for valuations. The procedures of the pricing service and its valuations are reviewed by the officers of the Fund under the general supervision of the Board of Directors.

- (b) Derivative financial instruments—The Fund may engage in various portfolio investment strategies to increase or decrease the level of risk to which the Fund is exposed more quickly and efficiently than transactions in other types of instruments. Losses may arise due to changes in the value of the contract or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.
- o Financial futures contracts—The Fund may purchase or sell financial futures contracts and options on such futures contracts for the purpose of hedging the market risk on existing securities or the intended purchase of securities. Futures contracts are contracts for delayed delivery of securities at a specific future date and at a specific price or yield. Upon entering into a contract, the Fund deposits and maintains as collateral such initial margin as required by the exchange on which the transaction is effected. Pursuant to the contract, the Fund agrees to receive from or pay to the broker an amount of cash equal to the daily fluctuation in value of the contract. Such receipts or payments are known as variation margin and are recorded by the Fund as unrealized gains or losses. When the contract is closed, the Fund records a realized gain or loss equal to the difference between the value of the contract at the time it was opened and the value at the time it was closed.
- o Options—The Fund is authorized to write covered call options and purchase put options. When the Fund writes an option, an amount equal to the premium received by the Fund is reflected as an asset and an equivalent liability. The amount of the liability is subsequently marked to market to reflect the current market value of the option written.

When a security is purchased or sold through an exercise of an option, the related premium paid (or received) is added to (or deducted from) the basis of the security acquired or deducted from (or added to) the proceeds of the security sold. When an option expires (or the Fund enters into a closing transaction), the Fund realizes a gain or loss on the option to the extent of the premiums received or paid (or gain or loss to the extent the cost of the closing transaction exceeds the premium paid or received).

Written and purchased options are non-income producing investments.

(c) Income taxes——It is the Fund's policy to comply with the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to

12 & 13

Apex Municipal Fund, Inc., June 30, 2002

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (concluded)

regulated investment companies and to distribute substantially all of its taxable income to its shareholders. Therefore, no Federal income tax provision is required.

(d) Security transactions and investment income—Security transactions are recorded on the dates the transactions are entered into (the trade dates). Realized gains and losses on security transactions are determined on the

identified cost basis. Interest income is recognized on the accrual basis.

As required, effective July 1, 2001, the Fund has adopted the provisions of the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide for Investment Companies and began amortizing all premiums and discounts on debt securities. The cumulative effect of this accounting change had no impact on total net assets of the Fund, but resulted in a \$34,920 increase in cost of securities (which, in turn, results in a corresponding \$34,920 increase in net unrealized depreciation and a corresponding \$34,920 increase in undistributed net investment income), based on securities held by the Fund as of June 30, 2001.

The effect of this change for the year ended June 30, 2002 was to increase net investment income by \$61,069, increase net unrealized depreciation by \$87,598 and increase net realized capital losses by \$8,391. The statement of changes in net assets and financial highlights for prior periods have not been restated to reflect this change in presentation.

- (e) Dividends and distributions—Dividends from net investment income are declared daily and paid monthly. Distributions of capital gains are recorded on the ex-dividend dates.
- (f) Reclassification—Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that certain components of net assets be adjusted to reflect permanent differences between financial and tax reporting. Accordingly, the current year's permanent book/tax differences of \$2,776,491 have been reclassified between paid—in capital in excess of par and accumulated net realized capital losses, \$80,487 has been reclassified between paid—in capital in excess of par and undistributed net investment income and \$75,714 has been reclassified between undistributed net investment income and accumulated net realized capital losses. These reclassifications have no effect on net assets or net asset value per share.
- 2. Investment Advisory Agreement and Transactions with Affiliates:

The Fund has entered into an Investment Advisory Agreement with Fund Asset Management, L.P. ("FAM"). The general partner of FAM is Princeton Services, Inc. ("PSI"), an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. ("ML & Co."), which is the limited partner.

FAM is responsible for the management of the Fund's portfolio and provides the necessary personnel, facilities, equipment and certain other services necessary to the operations of the Fund. For such services, the Fund pays a monthly fee at an annual rate of .65% of the Fund's average weekly net assets.

For the year ended June 30, 2002, the Fund reimbursed FAM \$10,143\$ for certain accounting services.

Certain officers and/or directors of the Fund are officers and/or directors of FAM, PSI, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, and/or ML & Co.

#### 3. Investments:

Purchases and sales of investments, excluding short-term securities, for the year ended June 30, 2002 were \$45,049,177 and \$52,053,383, respectively.

Net realized losses for the year ended June 30, 2002 and net unrealized losses as of June 30, 2002 were as follows:

Realized	Unrealized
Losses	Losses

Long-term investments	\$(4,092,274)	\$(6,263,002)
Total	\$(4,092,274)	\$(6,263,002)
	========	========

As of June 30, 2002, net unrealized depreciation for Federal income tax purposes aggregated \$6,175,404, of which \$5,026,474 related to appreciated securities and \$11,201,878 related to depreciated securities. The aggregate cost of investments at June 30, 2002 for Federal income tax purposes was \$186,721,527.

#### 4. Common Stock Transactions:

At June 30, 2002, the Fund had one class of shares of Common Stock, par value \$.10 per share, of which 150,000,000 shares were authorized. Shares issued and outstanding during the years ended June 30, 2002 and June 30, 2001 remained constant.

#### 5. Distributions to Shareholders:

On July 9, 2002, an ordinary income dividend of 0.044277 was declared. The dividend was paid on July 30, 2002, to shareholders of record on June 18, 2002.

The tax character of distributions paid during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2002 and June 30, 2001 was as follows:

	6/30/2002	6/30/2001
Distributions paid from:		
Tax-exempt income	\$11,114,247	\$11,694,527
Total distributions	\$11 <b>,</b> 114 <b>,</b> 247	\$11 <b>,</b> 694 <b>,</b> 527
	========	========

As of June 30, 2002, the components of accumulated losses on a tax basis were as follows:

Undistributed tax-exempt incomenet	\$ 1,736,391 
Total undistributed earningsnet	(21,453,358)*
Total accumulated lossesnet	\$ (29,636,040) =======

- \* On June 30, 2002, the Fund had a net capital loss carryforward of \$21,453,358, of which \$1,754,099 expires in 2003, \$7,056,648 expires in 2004, \$1,311,769 expires in 2005, \$938,156 expires in 2006, \$2,975,000 expires in 2008, \$5,341,699 expires in 2009 and \$2,075,987 expires in 2010. This amount will be available to offset like amounts of any future taxable gains.
- \*\* The difference between book-basis and tax-basis net unrealized gains (losses) is attributable primarily to the difference between book and tax amortization methods for premiums and discounts on fixed income securities, the interest accrued on securities in default, the amortized

premium on securities in default and the deferral of post-October capital losses for tax purposes.

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

The Board of Directors and Shareholders, Apex Municipal Fund, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying statement of assets, liabilities and capital, including the schedule of investments, of Apex Municipal Fund, Inc. as of June 30, 2002, the related statements of operations for the year then ended and changes in net assets for each of the years in the two-year period then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the years presented. These financial statements and the financial highlights are the responsibility of the Fund's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and the financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned at June 30, 2002 by correspondence with the custodian and brokers; when replies were not received from brokers, we performed other auditing procedures. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such financial statements and the financial highlights present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Apex Municipal Fund, Inc. as of June 30, 2002, the results of its operations, the changes in its net assets, and the financial highlights for the respective stated periods in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Deloitte & Touche LLP New York, New York August 9, 2002

14 & 15

Apex Municipal Fund, Inc., June 30, 2002

IMPORTANT TAX INFORMATION (unaudited)

All of the net investment income distributions declared monthly by Apex Municipal Fund, Inc. during its taxable year ended June 30, 2002 qualify as tax-exempt interest dividends for Federal income tax purposes.

Please retain this information for your records.

ABOUT INVERSE FLOATERS

As a part of its investment strategy, the Fund may invest in certain securities whose potential income return is inversely related to changes in a floating interest rate ("inverse floaters"). In general, income on inverse floaters will decrease when short-term interest rates increase and increase when short-term interest rates decrease. Investments in inverse floaters may be characterized as

derivative securities and may subject the Fund to the risks of reduced or eliminated interest payments and losses of invested principal. In addition, inverse floaters have the effect of providing investment leverage and, as a result, the market value of such securities will generally be more volatile than that of fixed rate, tax-exempt securities. To the extent the Fund invests in inverse securities, the market value of the Fund's portfolio and the net asset value of the Fund's shares may also be more volatile than if the Fund did not invest in these securities.

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

		Position(s) Held with Fund	of Time Served	Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years
Interested	d Director 			
Terry K. Glenn*	P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 61	President and Director	present and 1989 to	Chairman, Americas Region since 2001, Executive Vice President since 198 of Fund Asset Management, L.P. ("FAM") and Merril Lynch Investment Managers, L.P. ("MLIM"); President Merrill Lynch Mutual Funds since 1999; President of FAM Distributors, Inc. ("FAMD") since 1988 and Director there since 1991; Executive Vice President and Director of Prince Services, Inc. ("Princeton Service since 1993; Preside of Princeton Administrators, L.I since 1988; Directo of Financial Data Services, Inc. since 1985.

<sup>\*</sup> Mr. Glenn is a director, trustee or member of an advisory board of cer which FAM or MLIM acts as investment adviser. Mr. Glenn is an "interest Investment Company Act, of the Fund based on his positions as Chairman Vice President of FAM and MLIM; President of FAMD; Executive Vice President of Princeton Administrators, L.P. The Director's term is unlaresignation, removal or death, or until December 31 of the year in whith Mr. Glenn serves at the pleasure of the Board of Directors.

\_\_\_\_\_\_

	with Fund	Served*	Principal Occupatio During Past 5 Years
rectors			
Princeton, NJ 08543-9011	Director		Director and Execut Vice President, The China Business Grou Inc. since 1996.
Princeton, NJ 08543-9011	Director		Member of Committee Investment of Emplo Benefit Assets of t Association for Financial Professio since 1986.
	P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 58 P.O. Box 9011	P.O. Box 9011 Director Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 58  P.O. Box 9011 Director Princeton, NJ 08543-9011	P.O. Box 9011 Director 2002 to Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 present  P.O. Box 9011 Director 1994 to Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 present

16 & 17

Apex Municipal Fund, Inc., June 30, 2002

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS (concluded)

Name	Address & Age	Position(s) Held with Fund	of Time	Principal Occupation During Past 5 Years
Independent	Directors (concluded)			
Herbert I. London	P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 62	Director		John M. Olin Profes of Humanities, New York University sin 1993.
Andre F. Perold	P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 49	Director	2002 to present	George Gund Profess of Finance and Banking, Harvard Business School sin 2000; Finance Area since 1996.
Roberta Cooper Ramo	P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 59	Director	2002 to present	Shareholder, Modral Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A. since 1993.
Robert S. Salomon, Jr.	P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 65	Director		Principal of STI Management since 19
Melvin R. Seiden	P.O. Box 9011	Director	1989 to	Director, Silbanc

290.	5			
	Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 71			Properties, Ltd. (restate, investment, consulting) since I Chairman and Presid of Seiden & de Cuev Inc. (private investirm) from 1964 to
Stephen B. Swensrud	P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 69	Director	1989 to	Chairman, Fernwood Advisors since 1996
	* The Director's term is unl December 31 of the year in			until their resignat
Name	Address & Age	Position(s) Held with Fund	Length of Time Served*	Principal Occu
Fund Officers	======================================		======	
Donald C. Burke	P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 42	Vice President and Treasurer	present and 1999 to	First Vice Presiden Treasurer thereof s and Treasurer of Pr President of FAMD s and MLIM from 1990 MLIM since 1990.
Kenneth A. Jacob	P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 50	Senior Vice President		First Vice Presiden President thereof f FAM since 1984.
John M. Loffredo	P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 38	Senior Vice President		Managing Director of President of MLIM f MLIM from 1991 to 1 MLIM since 1997.
Theodore R. Jaeckel Jr.	P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 42	Vice President and Portfolio Manager		Director (Municipal MLIM since 1997; Vi
Stephen M. Benham	P.O. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011 Age: 42	Secretary	2002 to present	Vice President of E since 2000; Associa 1997 to 2000.
	* Officers of the Fund s			
	Custodian & Transfer Agent	NYSE Syn	nbol	
	The Bank of New York 100 Church Street	APX		

New York, NY 10286

[LOGO] Merrill Lynch Investment Managers

[GRAPHIC OMITTED]

Apex Municipal Fund, Inc. seeks to provide shareholders with high current income exempt from Federal income taxes by investing primarily in a portfolio of medium-to-lower grade or unrated municipal obligations, the interest on which is exempt from Federal income taxes in the opinion of bond counsel to the issuer.

This report, including the financial information herein, is transmitted to shareholders of Apex Municipal Fund, Inc. for their information. It is not a prospectus. Past performance results shown in this report should not be considered a representation of future performance. Statements and other information herein are as dated and are subject to change.

Apex Municipal Fund, Inc. Box 9011 Princeton, NJ 08543-9011

[RECYCLE LOGO] Printed on post-consumer recycled paper #10955--6/02