ARBOR REALTY TRUST INC Form 10-Q May 01, 2014 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2014

Or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from

to

Commission file number: 001-32136

Arbor Realty Trust, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland	20-0057959
(State or other jurisdiction of	(I.R.S. Employer
incorporation)	Identification No.)

333 Earle Ovington Boulevard, Suite 900

Uniondale, NY	11553
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

(516) 506-4200

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share: 50,136,308 outstanding (excluding 2,650,767 shares held in the treasury) as of May 1, 2014.

Table of Contents

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC.

FORM 10-Q

INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	2
Item 1. Financial Statements	2
Consolidated Balance Sheets at March 31, 2014 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2013	2
Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013	3
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013	4
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013	6
Notes to the Consolidated Statements (Unaudited)	8
Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	43
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	64
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	66
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	66
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	66
Item 1A. Risk Factors	67
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	67
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	67
Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures	67
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	67
Item 6. Exhibits	68
<u>Signatures</u>	69

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS

The information contained in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q is not a complete description of our business or the risks associated with an investment in Arbor Realty Trust, Inc. We urge you to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by us in this report.

This report contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements relate to, among other things, the operating performance of our investments and financing needs. Forward-looking statements are generally identifiable by use of forward-looking terminology such as may, will, should, potential, intend, continue or other similar words or expressions. Forward-looking statements are based on certain estimate. believe. could. project, predict, assumptions, discuss future expectations, describe future plans and strategies, contain projections of results of operations or of financial condition or state other forward-looking information. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, our actual results and performance could differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results in future periods to differ materially from forecasted results. Factors that could have a material adverse effect on our operations and future prospects include, but are not limited to, changes in economic conditions generally and the real estate market specifically; adverse changes in the financing markets we access affecting our ability to finance our loan and investment portfolio; changes in interest rates; the quality and size of the investment pipeline and the rate at which we can invest our cash; impairments in the value of the collateral underlying our loans and investments; legislative/regulatory changes; the availability and cost of capital for future investments; competition; and other risks detailed from time to time in our SEC reports. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any of these forward-looking statements, which reflect management s views as of the date of this report. The factors noted above could cause our actual results to differ significantly from those contained in any forward-looking statement. For a discussion of our critical accounting policies, see Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of Arbor Realty Trust, Inc. and Subsidiaries Significant Accounting Estimates and Critical Accounting Policies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. We are under no duty to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this report to conform these statements to actual results.

i

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	March 31, 2014 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2013
Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 32,704,172	\$ 60,389,552
Restricted cash (includes \$96,071,579 and \$54,051,439 from consolidated VIEs,		
respectively)	97,161,850	54,962,316
Loans and investments, net (includes \$1,034,066,873 and \$1,196,434,032 from consolidated		
VIEs, respectively)	1,574,832,799	1,523,699,653
Available-for-sale securities, at fair value	2,776,077	37,315,652
Investments in equity affiliates	4,680,306	4,680,306
Real estate owned, net (includes \$80,787,215 and \$80,787,215 from consolidated VIEs,		
respectively)	110,791,226	111,718,177
Real estate held-for-sale, net	11,444,812	11,477,676
Due from related party (includes \$0 and \$91,988 from consolidated VIEs, respectively)	281,462	98,058
Prepaid management fee related party	19,047,949	19,047,949
Other assets (includes \$18,907,215 and \$19,861,310 from consolidated VIEs, respectively)	46,589,572	54,083,143
Total assets	\$ 1,900,310,225	\$ 1,877,472,482
Liabilities and Equity:		
Repurchase agreements and credit facilities	\$ 248,206,026	\$ 159,125,023
Collateralized debt obligations (includes \$519,770,974 and \$639,622,981 from consolidated		
VIEs, respectively)	519,770,974	639,622,981
Collateralized loan obligations (includes \$264,500,000 and \$264,500,000 from consolidated		
VIEs, respectively)	264,500,000	264,500,000
Junior subordinated notes to subsidiary trust issuing preferred securities	159,423,385	159,291,427
Notes payable	17,498,874	2,500,000
Mortgage note payable real estate owned	42,745,650	42,745,650
Mortgage note payable real estate held-for-sale	11,005,354	11,005,354
Due to related party	1,140,910	2,794,087
Due to borrowers	32,656,568	20,326,030
Deferred revenue	77,123,133	77,123,133
Other liabilities (includes \$12,228,728 and \$13,944,737 from consolidated VIEs,		
respectively)	58,349,055	60,842,515
Total liabilities	1,432,419,929	1,439,876,200
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Arbor Realty Trust, Inc. stockholders equity:		
	89,295,905	67,654,655

Preferred stock, cumulative, redeemable, \$0.01 par value: 100,000,000 shares authorized; 8.25% Series A, \$38,787,500 aggregate liquidation preference; 1,551,500 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013; 7.75% Series B, \$31,500,000 aggregate liquidation preference; 1,260,000 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013; 8.50% Series C, \$22,500,000 aggregate liquidation preference; 900,000 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2014, no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013

=							
Common stock, \$0.01 par value: 500,000,000 shares authorized; 52,787,075 shares issued,							
50,136,308 shares outstanding at March 31, 2014 and 51,787,075 shares issued, 49,136,308							
shares outstanding at December 31, 2013		527,870	517,870				
Additional paid-in capital		630,644,261	623,993,245				
Treasury stock, at cost 2,650,767 shares at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013		(17,100,916)	(17,100,916)				
Accumulated deficit		(212,748,410)	(212,231,319)				
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(22,728,414)	(25,237,253)				
Total equity		467,890,296	437,596,282				
Total liabilities and equity	\$	1,900,310,225 \$	1,877,472,482				

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Unaudited)

		arch 31, 2013		
Interest income	\$	2014 24,911,855	\$	22,988,822
Interest expense		10,591,378	•	10,642,244
Net interest income		14,320,477		12,346,578
Other revenue:		, ,		, i
Property operating income		8,661,515		8,334,328
Other income, net		858,396		1,379,458
Total other revenue		9,519,911		9,713,786
Other expenses:				
Employee compensation and benefits		3,385,949		3,083,639
Selling and administrative		1,982,219		2,189,283
Property operating expenses		6,524,138		6,343,313
Depreciation and amortization		1,811,683		1,496,299
Impairment loss on real estate owned		250,000		
Provision for loan losses (net of recoveries)		134,344		2,500,155
Management fee - related party		2,450,000		2,800,000
Total other expenses		16,538,333		18,412,689
Income from continuing operations before gain on extinguishment of debt and income				
(loss) from equity affiliates		7,302,055		3,647,675
Gain on extinguishment of debt		.,,		3,763,000
Income (loss) from equity affiliates		40,048		(81,885)
Income from continuing operations		7,342,103		7,328,790
Income (loss) from discontinued operations		123,588		(101,572)
Net income		7,465,691		7,227,218
Preferred stock dividends		1,590,930		533,328
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest				53,651
Net income attributable to Arbor Realty Trust, Inc. common stockholders	\$	5,874,761	\$	6,640,239
Basic earnings per common share:				
Income from continuing operations, net of noncontrolling interest and preferred stock				
dividends	\$	0.12	\$	0.20
Income (loss) from discontinued operations				
Net income attributable to Arbor Realty Trust, Inc. common stockholders	\$	0.12	\$	0.20
Diluted earnings per common share:				
Income from continuing operations, net of noncontrolling interest and preferred stock	.	0.12	.	0.10
dividends	\$	0.12	\$	0.19
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	Φ.	0.10	Ф	0.10
Net income attributable to Arbor Realty Trust, Inc. common stockholders	\$	0.12	\$	0.19
Dividends declared per common share	\$	0.13	\$	0.12
Weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding:				
Basic		49,336,308		33,771,925
Diluted		49,752,813		34,236,689

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2014		2013	
Net income	\$ 7,465,691	\$	7,227,218	
Unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale, net	(58,789)			
Reclassification of unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale realized into earnings	(431,476)			
Unrealized loss on derivative financial instruments	(441,773)		(354,980)	
Reclassification of net realized loss on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges into				
earnings	3,440,877		3,495,764	
Comprehensive income	9,974,530		10,368,002	
Less:				
Preferred stock dividends	1,590,930		533,328	
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest			53,651	
Comprehensive income attributable to Arbor Realty Trust, Inc. common stockholders	\$ 8.383.600	\$	9,781,023	

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2014

	Preferred	Preferred	Common	Common Stock	Additional	Treasury			Accumulated	
	Stock Shares	Stock Value	Stock Shares	Par Value	Paid-in Capital	Stock Shares	Treasury Stock	Accumulated C Deficit	Other comprehensive Loss	Total
Balance January 1, 2014	2,811,500	\$ 67,654,655	51,787,075		_	(2,650,767)		\$ (212,231,319)\$		
Issuance of common stock			1,000,000	10,000	6,504,000					6,514,000
Issuance of 8.50% Series C preferred stock	900,000	21,641,250								21,641,250
Stock-based compensation	900,000	21,041,230			147,016					147,016
Distributions common stock								(6,387,720)		(6,387,720)
Distributions preferred stock								(1,590,930)		(1,590,930)
Distributions preferred stock of								(1,550,550)		(1,370,730)
private REIT Net income								(4,132)		(4,132) 7,465,691
Unrealized loss on securities								7,465,691		7,403,091
available-for-sale Reclassification of									(58,789)	(58,789)
unrealized gain on securities										
available-for- sale realized into earnings									(431,476)	(431,476)
Unrealized loss on derivative									(431,470)	(431,470)
financial instruments, net									(441,773)	(441,773)
Reclassification of net realized loss on derivatives designated as cash										
flow hedges into earnings									3,440,877	3,440,877
Balance March 31, 2014	3,711,500	\$ 89,295,905	52,787,075	\$ 527,870	\$ 630,644,261	(2,650,767)	\$ (17,100,916)	\$ (212,748,410)\$	\$ (22,728,414)\$	467,890,296

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

		Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 2013		
Operating activities:		2014		2015
Net income	\$	7,465,691	\$	7,227,218
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	φ	7,403,091	φ	1,221,210
Depreciation and amortization		1,811,683		1,632,131
Stock-based compensation		147,016		571,810
Gain on sale of securities		(518,640)		371,610
Gain on extinguishment of debt		(316,040)		(3,763,000)
Provision for loan losses (net of recoveries)		134,344		2,500,155
Impairment loss on real estate owned		250,000		2,300,133
Amortization and accretion of interest, fees and intangible assets, net		(1,393,654)		(214,661)
Change in fair value of non-qualifying swaps and linked transactions		46,071		(107,722)
(Loss) income from equity affiliates		(40,048)		81,885
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		(40,046)		01,003
Other assets		(1.427.250)		13,998
Distributions of operations from equity affiliates		(1,437,250) 40,048		24,365
		,		,
Other liabilities		83,686		(4,160,838)
Change in restricted cash		(179,394)		239,974
Due to/from related party	\$	(1,836,582)	\$	(2,189,651)
Net cash provided by operating activities	Þ	4,572,971	Þ	1,855,664
Investing activities:				
Loans and investments funded, originated and purchased, net		(268, 256, 928)		(101,354,622)
Payoffs and paydowns of loans and investments		228,173,239		34,733,621
Due to borrowers and reserves		(36,240)		(585,143)
Deferred fees		2,566,938		515,220
Purchase of securities, net				(20,500,000)
Principal collections on securities, net		663,684		7,615,742
Investment in real estate, net		(1,278,339)		(2,799,667)
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities		33,904,172		
Net cash used in investing activities	\$	(4,263,474)	\$	(82,374,849)
Financing activities:				
Proceeds from repurchase agreements, loan participations, credit facilities and notes				
payable		132,087,708		23,704,650
Paydowns and payoffs of repurchase agreements, loan participations and credit facilities		(28,007,831)		(104,962,456)
Proceeds from collateralized loan obligations		(==,==,,==)		177,000,000
Payoffs and paydowns of collateralized debt obligations		(119,668,296)		(58,130,055)
Change in restricted cash		(42,020,140)		(29,771,615)
Payments on financial instruments underlying linked transactions		(45,881,649)		(18,265,294)
Receipts on financial instruments underlying linked transactions		52,385,881		18,939,101
Payments on swaps and margin calls to counterparties		(347,106)		(20,644,853)
Receipts on swaps and margin calls from counterparties		3,646,010		22,551,317
Distributions paid to noncontrolling interest		3,010,010		(50,167)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock		6,800,000		91,696,328
Expenses paid on issuance of common stock		(206,000)		(2,974,812)
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock		22,500,000		38,787,500
Trocceus from issuance of preferred stock		22,500,000		30,707,300

Expenses paid on issuance of preferred stock	(764,553)	(1,329,526)
Distributions paid on common stock	(6,387,720)	(4,154,553)
Distributions paid on preferred stock	(1,410,305)	
Distributions paid on preferred stock of private REIT	(4,132)	(4,132)
Payment of deferred financing costs	(716,744)	(3,096,570)
Net cash (used in) / provided by financing activities	\$ (27,994,877)	\$ 129,294,863
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (27,685,380)	\$ 48,775,678
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	60,389,552	29,188,889
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 32,704,172	\$ 77,964,567

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (Continued)

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2014			2013
Supplemental cash flow information:				
Cash used to pay interest	\$	10,928,537	\$	13,094,185
Cash used for taxes	\$	6,706	\$	108,594
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:				
Distributions accrued on 8.25% Series A preferred stock	\$	266,664	\$	533,328
Distributions accrued on 7.75% Series B preferred stock	\$	203,438	\$	
Distributions accrued on 8.50% Series C preferred stock	\$	180,625	\$	
Accrued and unpaid expenses on preferred stock offerings	\$	94,197	\$	142,280
Accrued and unpaid expenses on common stock offerings	\$	80,000	\$	120,000

Table of Contents

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

Note 1 Description of Business

Arbor Realty Trust, Inc. (the Company) is a Maryland corporation that was formed in June 2003 to invest in a diversified portfolio of multi-family and commercial real estate related assets, primarily consisting of bridge loans, mezzanine loans, junior participating interests in first mortgage loans, and preferred and direct equity. The Company may also directly acquire real property and invest in real estate-related notes and certain mortgage-related securities. The Company conducts substantially all of its operations through its operating partnership, Arbor Realty Limited Partnership (ARLP), and ARLP s wholly-owned subsidiaries. The Company is externally managed and advised by Arbor Commercial Mortgage, LLC (ACM).

The Company organizes and conducts its operations to qualify as a real estate investment trust (REIT) for federal income tax purposes. A REIT is generally not subject to federal income tax on its REIT-taxable income distributed to its stockholders, provided that it distributes at least 90% of its REIT-taxable income and meets certain other requirements. Certain assets of the Company that produce non-qualifying income are owned by its taxable REIT subsidiaries, the income of which is subject to federal and state income taxes.

The Company s charter provides for the issuance of up to 500 million shares of common stock, with a par value of \$0.01 per share, and 100 million shares of preferred stock, with a par value of \$0.01 per share.

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP), for interim financial statements and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the consolidated financial statements prepared under GAAP have been condensed or omitted. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the Company s financial position, results of operations and cash flows have been included and are of a normal and recurring nature. The operating results presented for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the entire year. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, which was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of the Company, its wholly owned subsidiaries, partnerships or other joint ventures in which the Company owns a voting interest of greater than 50 percent, and Variable Interest Entities (VIEs) of which the Company is the primary beneficiary. VIEs are defined as entities in which equity investors do not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. A VIE is required to be consolidated by its primary beneficiary, which is the party that (i) has the power to control the activities that most significantly impact the VIE s economic performance and (ii) has the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Current accounting guidance requires the Company to present a) assets of a consolidated VIE that can be used only to settle obligations of the consolidated VIE, and b) liabilities of a consolidated VIE for which creditors (or beneficial interest holders) do not have recourse to the general credit of the primary beneficiary. As a result of this guidance, the Company has separately disclosed parenthetically the assets and liabilities of its three collateralized debt obligation (CDO) and two collateralized loan obligation (CLO) subsidiaries on its Consolidated Balance Sheets. Entities in which the Company has significant influence are accounted for primarily under the equity method.

The Company is organized and conducts its operations to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. As a REIT, the Company is generally not subject to federal income tax on its REIT taxable income that it distributes to its stockholders, provided that it distributes at least 90% of its REIT taxable income and meets certain other requirements. Also, under current federal tax law, the income and the tax on such income attributable to

Table of Contents

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

certain debt extinguishment transactions realized in 2009 or 2010 have been deferred to future periods at the Company s election. As of March 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company was in compliance with all REIT requirements and, therefore, has not provided for income tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013. Certain of the Company s assets that produce non-qualifying income are owned by its taxable REIT subsidiaries, the income of which is subject to federal and state income taxes. During the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company did not record any provision for income taxes for these taxable REIT subsidiaries.

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that could materially affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation. During the third quarter of 2013, the Company classified a real estate investment that was part of a portfolio of multifamily properties as held-for-sale, resulting in reclassifications of property operating activity and related depreciation to discontinued operations for all prior periods presented.

Significant Accounting Policies

As of March 31, 2014, the Company s significant accounting policies, which are detailed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, have not changed materially.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued updated guidance that changes the criteria for determining which disposals can be presented as discontinued operations and modifies related disclosure requirements. Under the new guidance, a discontinued operation is defined as a disposal of a component or group of components that is disposed of or is classified as held for sale and represents a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity s operations and financial results. The guidance is effective prospectively as of the first quarter of 2015, with early adoption permitted for new disposals or new classifications as held-for-sale. The Company early adopted this new guidance in the first quarter of 2014 and it did not have a material effect on the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements.

In July 2013, the FASB issued updated guidance that resolves the diversity in practice for the presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. This new accounting guidance requires the netting of

unrecognized tax benefits against a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward that would apply in settlement of an uncertain tax position. The guidance was effective as of the first quarter of 2014 and its adoption did not have a material effect on the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

Note 3 Loans and Investments

The following table sets forth the composition of the Company s loan and investment portfolio at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	March 31, 2014	Percent of Total	Loan Count	Wtd. Avg. Pay Rate (1)	Wtd. Avg. Remaining Months to Maturity	First Dollar LTV Ratio (2)	Last Dollar LTV Ratio (3)
Bridge loans	\$ 1,249,994,589	73%	100	5.23%	19.4	0%	73%
Mezzanine loans	100,597,621	6%	28	7.63%	64.5	61%	85%
Junior participation loans	247,495,215	15%	7	4.20%	16.6	60%	81%
Preferred equity investments	108,890,000	6%	14	5.97%	51.7	20%	35%
	1,706,977,425	100%	149	5.27%	23.7	14%	73%
Unearned revenue	(15,401,214)						
Allowance for loan losses	(116,743,412)						
Loans and investments, net	\$ 1,574,832,799						

	December 31, 2013	Percent of Total	Loan Count	Wtd. Avg. Pay Rate (1)	Wtd. Avg. Remaining Months to Maturity	First Dollar LTV Ratio (2)	Last Dollar LTV Ratio (3)
Bridge loans	\$ 1,171,783,914	71%	95	5.11%	18.5	0%	76%
Mezzanine loans	118,550,172	7%	27	7.02%	58.2	56%	83%
Junior participation loans	248,337,542	15%	7	4.21%	19.6	60%	81%
Preferred equity investments	121,523,673	7%	15	7.20%	45.5	58%	79%
	1,660,195,301	100%	144	5.26%	23.5	17%	77%
Unearned revenue	(14,218,237)						
Allowance for loan losses	(122,277,411)						
Loans and investments, net	\$ 1,523,699,653						

⁽¹⁾ Weighted Average Pay Rate is a weighted average, based on the unpaid principal balances of each loan in the Company s portfolio, of the interest rate that is required to be paid monthly as stated in the individual loan agreements. Certain loans and investments that require an additional rate of interest Accrual Rate to be paid at the maturity are not included in the weighted average pay rate as shown in the table.

⁽²⁾ The First Dollar LTV Ratio is calculated by comparing the total of the Company s senior most dollar and all senior lien positions within the capital stack to the fair value of the underlying collateral to determine the point at which the Company will absorb a total loss of its position.

(3) The Last Dollar LTV Ratio is calculated by comparing the total of the carrying value of the Company s loan and all senior lien positions within the capital stack to the fair value of the underlying collateral to determine the point at which the Company will initially absorb a loss.

Concentration of Credit Risk

The Company operates in one portfolio segment, commercial mortgage loans and investments. Commercial mortgage loans and investments can potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk. The Company is subject to concentration risk in that, as of March 31, 2014, the unpaid principal balance related to 29 loans with five different borrowers represented approximately 26% of total assets. At December 31, 2013, the unpaid principal balance related to 28 loans with five different borrowers represented approximately 30% of total assets. The Company measures its relative loss position for its mezzanine loans, junior participation loans, and preferred equity investments by determining the point where the Company will be exposed to losses based on its position in the capital stack as compared to the fair value of the underlying collateral. The Company determines its loss position on both a first dollar loan-to-value (LTV) and a last dollar LTV basis. First dollar LTV is calculated by comparing the total of the Company senior most dollar and all senior lien positions within the capital stack to the fair value of the underlying collateral to determine the point at which the Company will absorb a total loss of its

10

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

position. Last dollar LTV is calculated by comparing the total of the carrying value of the Company s loan and all senior lien positions within the capital stack to the fair value of the underlying collateral to determine the point at which the Company will initially absorb a loss.

The Company assigns a credit risk rating to each loan and investment. Individual ratings range from one to five, with one being the lowest risk and five being the highest. Each credit risk rating has benchmark guidelines that pertain to debt-service coverage ratios, LTV ratios, borrower strength, asset quality, and funded cash reserves. Other factors such as guarantees, market strength, remaining loan term, and borrower equity are also reviewed and factored into determining the credit risk rating assigned to each loan. This metric provides a helpful snapshot of portfolio quality and credit risk. Given the Company s asset management approach, however, the risk rating process does not result in differing levels of diligence contingent upon credit rating. That is because all portfolio assets are subject to the level of scrutiny and ongoing analysis consistent with that of a high-risk loan. All assets are subject to, at minimum, a thorough quarterly financial evaluation in which historical operating performance is reviewed, and forward-looking projections are created. Generally speaking, given the Company s typical loan and investment profile, a risk rating of three suggests that the Company expects the loan to make both principal and interest payments according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement, and is not considered impaired. A risk rating of four indicates the Company anticipates that the loan will require a modification of some kind. A risk rating of five indicates the Company expects the loan to underperform over its term, and that there could be loss of interest and/or principal. Ratings of 3.5 and 4.5 generally indicate loans that have characteristics of both the immediately higher and lower classifications. Further, while the above are the primary guidelines used in determining a certain risk rating, subjective items such as borrower strength, condition of the market of the underlying collateral, additional collateral or other credit enhancements, or loan terms, may result in a rating that is h

As a result of the loan review process at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company identified loans and investments that it considers higher-risk loans that had a carrying value, before loan loss reserves, of approximately \$188.1 million and \$187.5 million, respectively, and a weighted average last dollar LTV ratio of 92% and 93%, respectively.

A summary of the loan portfolio s weighted average internal risk ratings and LTV ratios by asset class as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is as follows:

		Mar	ch 31, 2014		
Asset Class	Unpaid Principal Balance	Percentage of Portfolio	Wtd. Avg. Internal Risk Rating	First Dollar LTV Ratio	Last Dollar LTV Ratio
Multi-family	\$ 1,128,731,473	66.1%	3.2	9%	70%
Office	326,393,792	19.1%	3.2	34%	80%
Land	116,933,830	6.9%	4.0	3%	87%
Hotel	100,422,768	5.9%	3.7	19%	79%
Commercial	24,745,562	1.4%	3.0	3%	48%
Retail	6,750,000	0.4%	2.5	0%	65%
Condo	3,000,000	0.2%	2.5	28%	42%

Total \$ 1,706,977,425 100.0% 3.3 14% 73%

Asset Class	Unpaid Principal Balance	Decen Percentage of Portfolio	nber 31, 2013 Wtd. Avg. Internal Risk Rating	First Dollar LTV Ratio	Last Dollar LTV Ratio
Multi-family	\$ 1,068,529,815	64.4%	3.3	14%	75%
Office	358,832,526	21.6%	3.2	32%	82%
Land	116,751,563	7.0%	4.0	3%	88%
Hotel	69,181,252	4.2%	3.8	26%	84%
Commercial	24,900,145	1.5%	3.0	3%	49%
Condo	15,250,000	0.9%	3.7	41%	65%
Retail	6,750,000	0.4%	2.5	0%	63%
Total	\$ 1,660,195,301	100.0%	3.3	17%	77%

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

Geographic Concentration Risk

As of March 31, 2014, 31% of the outstanding balance of the Company s loans and investments portfolio had underlying properties in New York. As of December 31, 2013, 36% and 10% of the outstanding balance of the Company s loans and investments portfolio had underlying properties in New York and Texas, respectively.

Impaired Loans and Allowance for Loan Losses

The Company performs an evaluation of the loan portfolio quarterly to assess the performance of its loans and whether a reserve for impairment should be recorded. The Company considers a loan impaired when, based upon current information and events, it is probable that it will be unable to collect all amounts due for both principal and interest according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company determined that the fair value of the underlying collateral securing an impaired loan with a carrying value of \$4.8 million was less than the net carrying value of the loan, resulting in a \$1.0 million provision for loan loss. In addition, during the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company recorded \$0.9 million of net recoveries of previously recorded loan loss reserves resulting in a provision for loan losses, net of recoveries, of \$0.1 million. The \$1.0 million of loan loss reserve recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2014 was attributable to a loan on which the Company had previously recorded reserves and is now fully reserved.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company determined that the fair value of the underlying collateral securing two impaired loans with an aggregate carrying value of \$13.5 million was less than the net carrying value of the loans, resulting in a \$2.5 million provision for loan losses. In addition, during the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company recorded less than \$0.1 million of net recoveries of previously recorded loan loss reserves resulting in a provision for loan losses, net of recoveries, of \$2.5 million. The \$2.5 million of loan loss reserves recorded during the three months ended March 31, 2013 was attributable to two loans on which the Company had not previously recorded reserves.

There were no loans for which the value of the collateral securing the loan was less than the carrying value of the loan for which the Company had not recorded a provision for loan loss as of March 31, 2014 and 2013.

At March 31, 2014, the Company had a total of 14 loans with an aggregate carrying value, before loan loss reserves, of \$201.4 million for which impairment reserves have been recorded. At December 31, 2013, the Company had a total of 15 loans with an aggregate carrying value, before loan loss reserves, of \$207.5 million for which impairment reserves have been recorded. Additionally, the Company has seven loans with an unpaid principal balance totaling approximately \$111.6 million at March 31, 2014, which mature in September 2014, that are collateralized by a land development project. The loans do not carry a pay rate of interest, but four of the loans with an unpaid principal balance totaling approximately \$101.9 million entitle the Company to a weighted average accrual rate of interest of approximately 9.60%. During the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company suspended the recording of the accrual rate of interest on these loans, as these loans were impaired and management deemed the collection of this interest to be doubtful. The Company has recorded cumulative allowances for loan losses of \$43.7 million related to these loans as of March 31, 2014. The loans are subject to certain risks associated with a development project including, but not limited to, availability of construction financing, increases in projected construction costs, demand for the development s outputs upon completion of the project, and litigation risk. Additionally, these loans were not classified as non-performing as the borrower is in compliance with all of the terms and conditions of the loans.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, the Company wrote off a mezzanine loan with a carrying value of \$6.5 million and recorded a charge-off to previously recorded reserves of \$5.7 million, and recorded a cash recovery of \$0.8 million.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the Company wrote off a bridge loan, two mezzanine loans and a junior participation loan with a total carrying value of \$18.5 million and recorded a charge-off to previously recorded reserves of \$18.5 million as well as a cash recovery of less than \$0.1 million.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

A summary of the changes in the allowance for loan losses is as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013
Allowance at beginning of the period	\$ 122,277,411	\$ 161,706,313
Provision for loan losses	1,000,000	2,500,000
Charge-offs	(5,668,342)	(18,461,330)
Recoveries of reserves	(865,657)	(2,939)
Allowance at end of the period	\$ 116,743,412	\$ 145,742,044

A summary of charge-offs and recoveries is as follows:

	Three Months Ended							
	March 31, 2014		March 31, 2013					
Charge-offs:								
Multi-family	\$ (5,668,342)	\$	(4,789,815)					
Hotel			(3,671,515)					
Condo			(10,000,000)					
Total	\$ (5,668,342)	\$	(18,461,330)					
Recoveries:								
Multi-family	\$ (865,657)	\$	(2,939)					
Total	\$ (865,657)	\$	(2,939)					
Net Charge-offs	\$ (4,802,685)	\$	(18,458,391)					
Ratio of net charge-offs during the period to average								
loans and investments outstanding during the period	0.3%		1.2%					

A summary of the Company s impaired loans by asset class is as follows:

				Three Mont	hs Ended
		March 31, 2014		March 3	1, 2014
	Unpaid		Allowance	Average	Interest
	Principal	Carrying	for Loan	Recorded	Income
Asset Class	Balance	Value (1)	Losses	Investment (2)	Recognized

Multi-family	\$ 59,201,774	\$ 58,662,687	\$ 44,252,698 \$	62,468,774	\$ 213,741
Office	36,086,582	29,726,608	23,972,444	36,086,582	274,795
Land	116,443,178	113,059,998	48,518,270	116,264,564	
Total	\$ 211,731,534	\$ 201,449,293	\$ 116,743,412 \$	214,819,921	\$ 488,536

							Three Mon	ths E	nded		
				March 31, 2013							
	December 31, 2013 Unpaid Allowance					Allowance	Average	Interest			
		Principal		Carrying		for Loan	Recorded		Income		
Asset Class		Balance		Value (1)		Losses	Investment (2)		Recognized		
Multi-family	\$	65,735,773	\$	65,186,623	\$	50,786,697 \$	59,714,651	\$	949,080		
Office		36,086,582		29,474,065		23,972,444	42,562,808		472,311		
Land		116,085,950		112,810,558		47,518,270	139,050,225				
Total	\$	217,908,305	\$	207,471,246	\$	122,277,411 \$	241,327,684	\$	1,421,391		

⁽¹⁾ Represents the unpaid principal balance of impaired loans less unearned revenue and other holdbacks and adjustments by asset class.

As of March 31, 2014, four loans with an aggregate net carrying value of approximately \$10.2 million, net of related loan loss reserves of \$39.6 million, were classified as non-performing, all of which had loan loss reserves. Income from non-performing loans is generally recognized on a cash basis only to the extent it is received. Full

⁽²⁾ Represents an average of the beginning and ending unpaid principal balance of each asset class.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

income recognition will resume when the loan becomes contractually current and performance has recommenced. As of December 31, 2013, five loans with an aggregate net carrying value of approximately \$10.7 million, net of related loan loss reserves of \$39.6 million, were classified as non-performing, of which one loan with a carrying value of \$0.6 million did not have a loan loss reserve.

A summary of the Company s non-performing loans by asset class as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is as follows:

		March 31, 2014						December 31, 2013				
		Less Than		Greater Than 90				Less Than		Greater Than 90 Days Past		
Asset Class	Carrying Value	90 Days Past Due		Days Past Due		Carrying Value		90 Days Past Due		Due		
Multi-family	\$ 41,492,690	\$	\$	41,492,690	\$	42,054,539	\$	32,000,000	\$	10,054,539		
Office	8,277,821			8,277,821		8,277,844				8,277,844		
Total	\$ 49,770,511	\$	\$	49,770,511	\$	50,332,383	\$	32,000,000	\$	18,332,383		

At March 31, 2014, the Company had not refinanced and/or modified or extended any loans which were considered by the Company to be troubled debt restructurings. During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the Company did not refinance and/or modify any loans, however, two loans with a combined unpaid principal balance of \$14.6 million that were extended during the period were considered to be trouble debt restructurings. The Company had no unfunded commitments on the modified and extended loans which were considered troubled debt restructurings as of March 31, 2013.

A summary of loan modifications and extensions by asset class that the Company considered to be troubled debt restructurings during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2014								Three Months Ended March 31, 2013					
		Original		Modified	Modified Weighted			Original	Original Weighted		Modified	Modified Weighted		
Asset Class	Number of Loans	Unpaid Principal Balance	Original Rate of Interest	Unpaid Principal Balance	Average Rate of Interest	Number of Loans		Unpaid Principal Balance	Average Rate of Interest		Unpaid Principal Balance	Average Rate of Interest		
Multifamily		\$		\$		1	\$	6,192,666	5.96%	\$	6,192,666	5.96%		
Office						1		8,400,000	8.24%		8,400,000	8.24%		
Total		\$		\$		2	\$	14,592,666	7.27%	\$	14,592,666	7.27%		

There were no loans in which the Company considered the modifications to be troubled debt restructurings that were subsequently considered non-performing as of March 31, 2014 and 2013 and no additional loans were considered to be impaired due to the Company s troubled debt restructuring analysis for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013. These loans were modified to increase the total recovery of the combined principal and interest from the loan.

As of March 31, 2014, the Company had total interest reserves of \$15.6 million on 53 loans with an aggregate unpaid principal balance of \$749.5 million and had a non-performing loan with an unpaid principal balance of \$4.2 million with a funded interest reserve of \$0.1 million.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

Note 4 Securities

The following is a summary of the Company s securities classified as available-for-sale at March 31, 2014:

	Face Value	Amortized Cost	Cumulative Unrealized Gain	Carrying Value / Estimated Fair Value
Commercial mortgage-backed security (CMBS)	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 2,100,000	\$	\$ 2,100,000
Common equity securities		58,789	617,288	676,077
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 2,158,789	\$ 617,288	\$ 2,776,077

The following is a summary of the Company s securities classified as available-for-sale at December 31, 2013:

	Face Value	Amortized Cost	Cumulative Unrealized Gain	Cumulative Unrealized Loss	Carrying Value / Estimated Fair Value
Residential mortgage-backed security					
(RMBS)	\$ 39,013,690	\$ 34,049,310	\$ 437,774	\$ (6,298)	\$ 34,480,786
Commercial mortgage-backed security					
(CMBS)	2,100,000	2,100,000			2,100,000
Common equity securities		58,789	676,077		734,866
Total available-for-sale securities	\$ 41,113,690	\$ 36,208,099	\$ 1,113,851	\$ (6,298)	\$ 37,315,652

The following is a summary of the underlying credit rating of the Company s available-for-sale debt securities at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

		March 31, 2014			December 31, 2013	
		Amortized	Percent		Amortized	Percent
Rating (1)	#	Cost	of Total	#	Cost	of Total

AA+		\$		1	\$ 93,715	
CCC				1	18,417,402	51%
CCC-	1	2,100,000	100%	1	2,100,000	6%
NR				7	15,538,193	43%
	1	\$ 2,100,000	100%	10	\$ 36,149,310	100%

⁽¹⁾ Based on the rating published by Standard & Poor s for each security. NR stands for not rated.

In the first quarter of 2014, the Company sold all of its RMBS investments which had an aggregate carrying value of \$33.4 million, which is net of \$0.7 million of principal paydowns received during the quarter, for approximately \$33.9 million and recorded a net gain of \$0.5 million to other income, net on the Company s Consolidated Statement of Income, which includes the reclassification of a net unrealized gain of \$0.4 million from accumulated other comprehensive loss on the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheet. These RMBS investments were financed with two repurchase agreements totaling \$25.3 million which were repaid with the proceeds. See Note 7 Debt Obligations for further details.

Table of Contents

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

The Company owns a CMBS investment, purchased at a premium in 2010 for \$2.1 million, which is collateralized by a portfolio of hotel properties. The CMBS investment bears interest at a spread of 89 basis points over LIBOR, has a stated maturity of 6.2 years, but has an estimated life of 0.2 years based on the extended maturity of the underlying asset and a fair value of \$2.1 million at both March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

The Company owns 2,939,465 shares of common stock of CV Holdings, Inc., formerly Realty Finance Corporation, a commercial real estate specialty finance company, which had a fair value of approximately \$0.7 million at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

Available-for-sale securities are carried at their estimated fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss. The Company evaluates these securities periodically to determine whether a decline in their value is other-than-temporary, though such a determination is not intended to indicate a permanent decline in value. The Company s evaluation is based on its assessment of cash flows, which is supplemented by third-party research reports, internal review of the underlying assets securing the investments, levels of subordination and the ratings of the securities and the underlying collateral. The Company s estimation of cash flows expected to be generated by the securities portfolio is based upon an internal review of the underlying mortgage loans securing the investments both on an absolute basis and compared to the Company s initial underwriting for each investment and efforts are supplemented by third party research reports, third party market assessments and dialogue with market participants. Management closely monitors market conditions on which it bases such decisions. No impairment was recorded on the Company s available-for-sale securities for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

At December 31, 2013, the Company owned two RMBS investments with deteriorated credit quality that had a total aggregate carrying value of \$25.8 million. These investments were sold in the first quarter of 2014 for \$25.9 million.

The weighted average yield on the Company s CMBS and RMBS investments based on their face values was 2.25% and 4.49%, including the amortization of premium and the accretion of discount, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Note 5 Investments in Equity Affiliates

The following is a summary of the Company s investments in equity affiliates at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

Investment in Equity Affiliates at

Unpaid Principal Balance of Loans to Equity

Equity Affiliates	Mai	rch 31, 2014	Dec	ember 31, 2013	Affiliates at March 31, 2014
Lightstone Value Plus REIT L.P.	\$	1,894,727	\$	1,894,727	\$
West Shore Café		1,690,280		1,690,280	
Issuers of Junior Subordinated Notes		578,000		578,000	
JT Prime		425,000		425,000	
930 Flushing & 80 Evergreen		92,199		92,199	23,045,561
Lexford Portfolio		100		100	115,861,000
450 West 33rd Street					
Ritz-Carlton Club					
Total	\$	4,680,306	\$	4,680,306	\$ 138,906,561
	16				

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

The Company accounts for 450 West 33rd Street investments under the cost method of accounting and the remaining investments under the equity method.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, there was no material change in the Company s investments in equity affiliates.

Note 6 Real Estate Owned and Held-For-Sale

Real Estate Owned

	N	Multifamily]	March 31, 2014 Hotel]	Multifamily	De	ecember 31, 2013 Hotel	1	
		Portfolio		Portfolio		Total		Portfolio		Portfolio		Total
Land	\$	11,382,579	\$	10,893,651	\$	22,276,230	\$	11,382,579	\$	10,893,651	\$	22,276,230
Building and												
intangible assets		46,539,291		62,233,855		108,773,146		46,115,430		61,632,645		107,748,075
Less: accumulated												
depreciation and												
amortization		(9,506,047)		(10,752,103)		(20,258,150)		(8,598,915)		(9,707,213)		(18,306,128)
Real estate owned, net	\$	48,415,823	\$	62,375,403	\$	110,791,226	\$	48,899,094	\$	62,819,083	\$	111,718,177

As of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company s six multifamily properties (Multifamily Portfolio) had a weighted average occupancy rate of approximately 86% and 85%, respectively. During the first quarter of 2014, the Company determined that one of the properties exhibited indicators of impairment and performed an impairment analysis. As a result of this impairment analysis based on the indicators of value from the market participants, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$0.3 million in the Consolidated Statement of Income.

The Multifamily Portfolio had a mortgage note payable of \$42.7 million as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company s five hotel properties in Florida (Hotel Portfolio) had a weighted average occupancy rate of approximately 58% and 61%, respectively, a weighted average daily rate of approximately \$102 and \$94, respectively, and a weighted average revenue per available room of approximately \$59 and \$58, respectively.

The Company s real estate assets had restricted cash balances totaling \$1.1 million and \$0.9 million as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, due to escrow requirements.

Real Estate Held-For-Sale

The results of operations for properties classified as held-for-sale are reflected on the consolidated financial statements as discontinued operations and are summarized as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 2014						
Revenue:	2014		2013				
Property operating income	\$ 596,573	\$	561,106				
Expenses:							
Property operating expense	472,985		526,846				
Depreciation			135,832				
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ 123,588	\$	(101,572)				

During 2013, a property in the Multifamily Portfolio was classified as held-for-sale due to a proposed sale transaction. The corresponding results of operations were reclassified as discontinued operations for all prior periods presented. The property has a mortgage note payable of \$11.0 million at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

Note 7 Debt Obligations

The Company utilizes various forms of short-term and long-term financing agreements to finance certain of its loans and investments. Borrowings underlying these arrangements are primarily secured by a significant amount of the Company s loans and investments.

Repurchase Agreements and Credit Facilities

The following table outlines borrowings under the Company s repurchase agreements and credit facilities as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	Debt Carrying Value	Marc	h 31, 2014 Collateral Carrying Value	Weighted Average Note Rate	Debt Carrying Value	ecemb	per 31, 2013 Collateral Carrying Value	Weighted Average Note Rate
\$110.0 million warehousing credit								
facility	\$ 104,491,283	\$	129,885,000	2.44% \$	33,300,540	\$	45,705,813	2.46%
\$50.0 million								
warehousing credit facility	49,218,180		71,674,000	2.69%	30,838,180		46,774,000	2.70%
\$45.0 million	17,210,100		71,071,000	2.09 %	30,030,100		10,771,000	2.7070
warehousing credit	41 406 562		50 100 000	2.100	15.062.750		21 800 000	2 200
facility \$33.0 million	41,496,563		58,100,000	2.18%	15,063,750		21,800,000	2.20%
warehousing credit								
facility	33,000,000		55,000,000	2.69%	33,000,000		55,000,000	2.45%
\$20.0 million revolving credit facility	20,000,000			8.50%	20,000,000			8.50%
Repurchase agreement	20,000,000			0.0070	12,497,000		15,536,049	1.75%
Repurchase agreement					14,425,553		18,944,735	2.00%
Total repurchase agreements and credit								
facilities	\$ 248,206,026	\$	314,659,000	2.98% \$	159,125,023	\$	203,760,597	3.16%

At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the weighted average note rate for the Company s repurchase agreements and credit facilities was 2.98% and 3.16%, respectively. There were no interest rate swaps on these facilities at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013. Including certain fees and costs, the weighted average note rate was 3.26% and 3.57% at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

In July 2011, the Company entered into a two year, \$50.0 million warehouse facility with a financial institution to finance first mortgage loans on multifamily properties. In 2013, the Company amended the facility increasing the committed amount to \$75.0 million, decreased the rate of interest from 275 basis points over LIBOR to 225 basis points over LIBOR and decreased certain commitment, warehousing and non-use fees. In March 2014, the facility is committed amount was increased to \$110.0 million, which includes a temporary increase of \$10.0 million until June 2014 and requires a 0.13% commitment fee. The facility has a maximum advance rate of 75% and contains several restrictions including full repayment of an advance if a loan becomes 60 days past due, is in default or is written down by the Company. The facility has various financial covenants including a minimum liquidity requirement of \$20.0 million, minimum tangible net worth which includes junior subordinated notes as equity of \$150.0 million, maximum total liabilities less subordinate debt of \$2.0 billion, as well as certain other debt service coverage ratios and debt to equity ratios. The facility has a compensating balance requirement of \$50.0 million to be maintained by the Company and its affiliates. At March 31, 2014, the outstanding balance of this facility was \$104.5 million. In April 2014, the facility is \$10.0 million temporary increase was repaid as part of the issuance of the Company is third CLO.

In February 2013, the Company entered into a one year, \$50.0 million warehouse facility with a financial institution to finance first mortgage loans on multifamily properties. In April 2014, the Company amended the facility, increasing the committed amount to \$75.0 million. The facility bears interest at a rate of 225 basis points over LIBOR which was originally 250 basis points over LIBOR, upon closing, requires a 35 basis point commitment fee, which was originally 12.5 basis points, upon closing, matures in March 2015, has warehousing and non-use fees

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

and allows for an original warehousing period of up to 24 months from the initial advance on an asset. The facility has a maximum advance rate of 75% and contains certain restrictions including partial prepayment of an advance if a loan becomes 90 days past due or in the process of foreclosure, subject to certain conditions. The facility has various financial covenants including a minimum liquidity requirement of \$20.0 million, minimum tangible net worth which includes junior subordinated notes as equity of \$150.0 million, maximum total liabilities less subordinate debt of \$2.0 billion, as well as certain other debt service coverage ratios and debt to equity ratios. At March 31, 2014, the outstanding balance of this facility was \$49.2 million.

In June 2013, the Company entered into a one year, \$40.0 million warehouse facility with a financial institution to finance first mortgage loans on multifamily properties, including a \$10.0 million sublimit to finance retail and office properties. In February 2014, the Company amended the facility, increasing the committed amount to \$45.0 million, and in April 2014 the committed amount was raised to \$60.0 million. The facility bears interest at a rate of 200 basis points over LIBOR, matures in April 2015, has warehousing fees and allows for an original warehousing period of up to 24 months from the initial advance on an asset. The facility has a maximum advance rate of 70% or 75%, depending on the property type, and contains certain restrictions including prepayment of an advance if a loan becomes 60 days past due or in the process of foreclosure, subject to certain conditions. The facility has various financial covenants including a minimum liquidity requirement of \$20.0 million, minimum tangible net worth of \$150.0 million, as well as a minimum debt service coverage ratio. At March 31, 2014, the outstanding balance of this facility was \$41.5 million.

In December 2013, the Company entered into a \$33.0 million warehouse facility with a financial institution to finance the first mortgage loan on a multifamily property. The facility bears interest at a rate of 225 basis points over LIBOR which increased to 250 basis points over LIBOR in February 2014, requires up to a 45 basis point commitment fee and matures in November 2015 with a one year extension option. The facility has various financial covenants including a minimum liquidity requirement of \$20.0 million, minimum tangible net worth which includes junior subordinated notes as equity of \$150.0 million, maximum total liabilities less subordinate debt of \$2.0 billion, as well as certain other debt service coverage ratios and debt to equity ratios. At March 31, 2014, the outstanding balance of this facility was \$33.0 million. In April 2014, the facility was repaid in full as part of the issuance of the Company s third CLO.

In May 2012, the Company entered into a \$15.0 million committed revolving line of credit with a one year term maturing in May 2013, which is secured by a portion of the bonds originally issued by the Company s CDO entities that have been repurchased by the Company. This facility has a 1% commitment fee, a 1% non-use fee and pays interest at a fixed rate of 8% on any drawn portion of the line. The facility has a debt service coverage ratio requirement for the posting of collateral. In January 2013, the Company amended the facility, increasing the committed amount to \$20.0 million and a fixed rate of interest of 8.5% on any drawn portion of the \$20.0 million commitment. The amendment also included a one year extension option upon maturity in May 2013 and required a 1% commitment fee and a 1% non-use fee. In May 2013, the Company extended the facility to a maturity in May 2014 with a one year extension option and a 1% extension fee, as well as amended the facility to have an 8.5% non-use fee on the first \$5.0 million not borrowed and a 1% non-use fee on the remaining funds not borrowed. At March 31, 2014, the outstanding balance of this facility was \$20.0 million.

In July 2011, the Company entered into a repurchase agreement with a financial institution to finance the purchase of RMBS investments. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company paid off the remaining balance of \$12.5 million due to the sale of its RMBS investments as well as principal paydowns received. See Note 4 Securities for further details. The facility generally financed between 60% and

90% of the value of each investment, had a rolling monthly term, and bore interest at a rate of 125 to 200 basis points over LIBOR.

In June 2012, the Company entered into a repurchase agreement with a financial institution to finance the purchase of RMBS investments. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company paid off the remaining balance of \$14.4 million due to the sale of its RMBS investments as well as principal paydowns received. The facility generally financed between 75% and 80% of the value of the investment, had a rolling monthly term, and bore interest at a rate of 180 to185 basis points over LIBOR.

19

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

Collateralized Debt Obligations

The following table outlines borrowings and the corresponding collateral under the Company s collateralized debt obligations as of March 31, 2014:

	De	ebt		Lo					
	Face Value		Carrying Value	Unpaid Principal (1)	Carrying Value (1)	Restricted Cash (2)			Collateral At-Risk (3)
CDO I	\$ 95,135,611	\$	100,728,870	\$ 252,974,537	\$ 205,536,923	\$	265,957	\$	191,605,030
CDO II	194,230,380		199,976,397	290,482,463	241,289,151		71,571,783		123,050,208
CDO III	210,519,572		219,065,707	332,248,731	301,726,802		907,953		166,106,827
Total CDOs	\$ 499,885,563	\$	519,770,974	\$ 875,705,731	\$ 748,552,876	\$	72,745,693	\$	480,762,065

CDO I Issued four investment grade tranches in January 2005 with a reinvestment period through April 2009 and a stated maturity date of February 2040. Interest is variable based on three-month LIBOR; the weighted average note rate was 3.10%.

CDO II Issued nine investment grade tranches in January 2006 with a reinvestment period through April 2011 and a stated maturity date of April 2038. Interest is variable based on three-month LIBOR; the weighted average note rate was 3.37%.

CDO III Issued ten investment grade tranches in December 2006 with a reinvestment period through January 2012 and a stated maturity date of January 2042. Interest is variable based on three-month LIBOR; the weighted average note rate was 0.82%.

The following table outlines borrowings and the corresponding collateral under the Company s collateralized debt obligations as of December 31, 2013:

Debt	Collateral	
	Loans	Cash

	Face Value	Carrying Value	Unpaid Principal (1)	Carrying Restricted Value (1) Cash (2)			Collateral At-Risk (3)
CDO I	\$ 126,753,077	\$ 132,399,560	\$ 284,758,473	\$ 237,194,618	\$	79,986	\$ 179,466,954
CDO II	196,046,587	201,847,417	362,150,693	312,859,875		1,719,760	187,213,841
CDO III	296,754,194	305,376,004	395,783,494	365,236,505		23,607,813	240,503,823
Total CDOs	\$ 619,553,858	\$ 639,622,981	\$ 1,042,692,660	\$ 915,290,998	\$	25,407,559	\$ 607,184,618

⁽¹⁾ Amounts include loans to real estate assets consolidated by the Company that were reclassified to real estate owned and held-for-sale, net on the Consolidated Financial Statements.

At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the aggregate weighted average note rate for the Company s CDOs, including the cost of interest rate swaps on assets financed in these facilities, was 2.24% and 2.18%, respectively. Excluding the effect of swaps, the weighted average note rate at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was 0.88% and 0.83%, respectively. Including certain fees and costs, the weighted average note rate was 3.66% and 3.26% at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

As the CDOs are past the reinvestment period, investor capital is repaid quarterly from proceeds received from loan repayments held as collateral in accordance with the terms of the CDOs. Proceeds distributed are recorded as a reduction of the CDO liability.

⁽²⁾ Represents restricted cash held for principal repayments in the CDOs. Does not include restricted cash related to interest payments, delayed fundings and expenses.

⁽³⁾ Amounts represent the face value of collateral in default, as defined by the CDO indenture, as well as assets deemed to be credit risk. Credit risk assets are reported by each of the CDOs and are generally defined as one that, in the CDO collateral manager s reasonable business judgment, has a significant risk of declining in credit quality or, with a passage of time, becoming a defaulted asset.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

CDO III has a \$100.0 million revolving note class that provided a revolving note facility. The outstanding note balance for CDO III was \$219.1 million at March 31, 2014, which included \$21.9 million outstanding under the revolving note facility.

The following table sets forth the face amount and gain on extinguishment of the Company s CDO bonds repurchased in the following periods by bond class:

		Three M	onths Ende	ed March 31,		
		2014		20	13	
Class:	Face Amount	Gain		Face Amount		Gain
Н	\$	\$	\$	7,100,000	\$	3.763.000

In 2010, the Company re-issued its own CDO bonds it had acquired throughout 2009 with an aggregate face amount of approximately \$42.8 million as part of an exchange for the retirement of \$114.1 million of its junior subordinated notes. This transaction resulted in the recording of \$65.2 million of additional CDO debt, of which \$42.3 million represents the portion of the Company s CDO bonds that were exchanged and \$22.9 million represents the estimated interest due on the reissued bonds through their maturity, of which \$19.9 million remains at March 31, 2014. See Junior Subordinated Notes below for further details.

The Company accounts for these transactions on its Consolidated Balance Sheet as financing facilities. The Company s CDOs are VIEs for which the Company is the primary beneficiary and are consolidated in the Company s Financial Statements accordingly. The investment grade tranches are treated as secured financings, and are non-recourse to the Company.

Collateralized Loan Obligations

The following table outlines borrowings and the corresponding collateral under the Company s collateralized loan obligations as of March 31, 2014:

]	Debt	Collateral							
		Lo	ans	Cash					
Face	Carrying	Unpaid	Carrying	Restricted	Collateral				
Value	Value	Principal	Value	Cash (1)	At-Risk (2)				

CLO I	\$	87,500,000	\$	87.500,000 \$	113,961,304	\$	113,548,264	\$	11.125.346 \$
CLOII	Ψ	177,000,000	Ψ	177,000,000	259,638,391	Ψ	258,752,946	Ψ	92
Total		177,000,000		177,000,000	200,000,001		200,702,510		´-
CLOs	\$	264,500,000	\$	264.500,000 \$	373,599,695	\$	372.301.210	\$	11.125.438 \$

CLO I Issued two investment grade tranches in September 2012 with a replacement period through September 2014 and a stated maturity date of October 2022. Interest is variable based on three-month LIBOR; the weighted average note rate was 3.59%.

CLO II Issued two investment grade tranches in January 2013 with a replacement period through January 2015 and a stated maturity date of February 2023. Interest is variable based on three-month LIBOR; the weighted average note rate was 2.54%.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

The following table outlines borrowings and the corresponding collateral under the Company s collateralized loan obligations as of December 31, 2013:

		De	ebt				C			
		Face Value		Carrying Value	Lo Unpaid Principal	ans	Carrying Value	Cash Restricted Cash (1)	Collateral At-Risk (2)	
CLO I	\$	87,500,000	\$	87,500,000	\$	114,414,154	\$	113,940,857	\$ 10,672,496	\$
CLO II		177,000,000		177,000,000		255,016,564		253,989,391	4,621,675	
Total CLOs	\$	264,500,000	\$	264,500,000	\$	369,430,718	\$	367,930,248	\$ 15,294,171	\$

⁽¹⁾ Represents restricted cash held for principal repayments in the CLOs. Does not include restricted cash related to interest payments, delayed fundings and expenses.

In September 2012, the Company completed its first collateralized loan obligation, or CLO, issuing to third party investors two tranches of investment grade CLOs through two newly-formed wholly-owned subsidiaries. Initially, the notes are secured by a portfolio of loan obligations with a face value of approximately \$125.1 million, consisting primarily of bridge loans and a senior participation interest in a first mortgage loan that were contributed from the Company s existing loan portfolio. The financing has a two-year replacement period that allows the principal proceeds and sale proceeds (if any) of the loan obligations to be reinvested in qualifying replacement loan obligations, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the indenture. Thereafter, the outstanding debt balance will be reduced as loans are repaid. The aggregate principal amounts of the two classes of notes are \$75.0 million of Class A senior secured floating rate notes and \$12.5 million of Class B secured floating rate notes. The Company retained a residual interest in the portfolio with a notional amount of \$37.6 million. The notes have an initial weighted average interest rate of approximately 3.39% plus one-month LIBOR and interest payments on the notes are payable monthly. The Company incurred approximately \$2.4 million of issuance costs which is being amortized on a level yield basis over the average estimated life of the CLO. Including certain fees and costs, the initial weighted average note rate was 4.35%. The Company accounts for this transaction on its balance sheet as a financing facility.

In January 2013, the Company completed its second CLO, issuing to third party investors two tranches of investment grade CLOs through two newly-formed wholly-owned subsidiaries. As of the CLO closing date, the notes are secured by a portfolio of loan obligations with a face value of approximately \$210.0 million, consisting primarily of bridge loans and a senior participation interest in a first mortgage loan that were contributed from the Company s existing loan portfolio. The financing has a two-year replacement period that allows the principal proceeds and sale proceeds (if any) of the loan obligations to be reinvested in qualifying replacement loan obligations, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the indenture. Thereafter, the outstanding debt balance will be reduced as loans are repaid. Initially, the proceeds of the issuance of the securities also included \$50.0 million for the purpose of acquiring additional loan obligations for a period of up to 90 days from

⁽²⁾ Amounts represent the face value of collateral in default, as defined by the CLO indenture, as well as assets deemed to be credit risk. Credit risk assets are reported by each of the CLOs and are generally defined as one that, in the CLO collateral manager s reasonable business judgment, has a significant risk of declining in credit quality or, with a passage of time, becoming a defaulted asset.

the closing date of the CLO. Subsequently, the issuer owns loan obligations with a face value of approximately \$260.0 million. The aggregate principal amounts of the two classes of notes are \$156.0 million of Class A senior secured floating rate notes and \$21.0 million of Class B secured floating rate notes. The Company retained a residual interest in the portfolio with a notional amount of approximately \$83.0 million. The notes have an initial weighted average interest rate of approximately 2.36% plus one-month LIBOR and interest payments on the notes are payable monthly. The Company incurred approximately \$3.2 million of issuance costs which is being amortized on a level yield basis over the average estimated life of the CLO. Including certain fees and costs, the initial weighted average note rate was 3.00%. The Company accounts for this transaction on its balance sheet as a financing facility.

In April 2014, the Company completed its third CLO, issuing to third party investors three tranches of investment grade CLOs through two newly-formed wholly-owned subsidiaries. As of the CLO closing date, the

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

notes are secured by a portfolio of loan obligations with a face value of approximately \$307.3 million, consisting primarily of bridge loans that were contributed from the Company s existing loan portfolio. The financing has an approximate 2.5 year replacement period that allows the principal proceeds and sale proceeds (if any) of the loan obligations to be reinvested in qualifying replacement loan obligations, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the indenture. Thereafter, the outstanding debt balance will be reduced as loans are repaid. Initially, the proceeds of the issuance of the securities also included \$67.7 million for the purpose of acquiring additional loan obligations for a period of up to 120 days from the closing date of the CLO. Subsequently, it is expected that the issuer will own loan obligations with a face value of approximately \$281.3 million. The aggregate principal amounts of the three classes of notes are \$221.3 million of Class A senior secured floating rate notes, \$24.3 million of Class B secured floating rate notes and \$35.8 million of Class C secured floating rate notes. The Company retained a residual interest in the portfolio with a notional amount of approximately \$93.8 million. The notes have an initial weighted average interest rate of approximately 2.39% plus one-month LIBOR and interest payments on the notes are payable monthly. The Company expects to account for this transaction on its balance sheet as a financing facility.

The Company s CLO vehicles are VIEs for which the Company is the primary beneficiary and are consolidated in the Company s financial statements. The two investment grade tranches are treated as a secured financing, and are non-recourse to the Company.

At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the aggregate weighted average note rate for the Company s collateralized loan obligations was 2.89% and 2.91%, respectively. Including certain fees and costs, the weighted average note rate was 3.52% and 3.49% at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Junior Subordinated Notes

The carrying value of borrowings under the Company s junior subordinated notes was \$159.4 million and \$159.3 million at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, which is net of a deferred amount of \$16.4 million and \$16.6 million, respectively. These notes have maturities ranging from March 2034 through April 2037 and pay interest quarterly at a fixed or floating rate of interest based on three-month LIBOR and, absent the occurrence of special events, were not redeemable for the first two years. The current weighted average note rate was 3.01% at both March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, however, based upon the accounting treatment for the restructuring mentioned below, the effective rate was 3.04% and 3.06% at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Including certain fees and costs, the weighted average note rate was 3.17% and 3.18% at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The entities that issued the junior subordinated notes have been deemed VIEs. The impact of these variable interest entities with respect to consolidation is discussed in Note 9 Variable Interest Entities.

In 2009, the Company retired \$265.8 million of its then outstanding trust preferred securities, primarily consisting of \$258.4 million of junior subordinated notes issued to third party investors and \$7.4 million of common equity issued to the Company in exchange for \$289.4 million of newly issued unsecured junior subordinated notes, representing 112% of the original face amount. The notes bear interest equal to three month LIBOR plus a weighted average spread of 2.77%. The 12% increase to the face amount due upon maturity, which had a balance of \$16.4

million at March 31, 2014, is being amortized into interest expense over the life of the notes. The Company also paid transaction fees of approximately \$1.3 million to the issuers of the junior subordinated notes related to this restructuring which is being amortized into interest expense over the life of the notes.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

Notes Payable

The following table outlines borrowings under the Company s notes payable as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013:

	March 3	31, 2014	1	December	013	
	Debt Carrying Value		Collateral Carrying Value	Debt Carrying Value	ŕ	Collateral Carrying Value
Junior loan participation, maturity of March 2016, secured by the Company s interest in a bridge loan with a principal balance of \$70.1 million, participation interest is a weighted average variable interest rate of 7.20%	\$ 15,000,000	\$	15,000,000	\$	\$	
Junior loan participation, secured by the Company s interest in a first mortgage loan with a principal balance of \$1.3 million, participation interest was based on a portion of the interest received from the loan which has a fixed rate of 9.57%	1,300,000		1,300,000	1,300,000		1,300,000
Junior loan participation, maturity of October 2018, secured by the Company s interest in a mezzanine loan with a principal balance of \$3.0 million, participation interest is a fixed rate of 13.00%	748.874		748,874	750,000		750,000
Junior loan participation, maturity of June 2014, secured by the Company s interest in a mezzanine loan with a principal balance of \$3.0 million, participation interest is a fixed rate of 15.00%	450,000		450,000	450,000		450,000
Total notes payable	\$ 17,498,874	\$	17,498,874	\$ 2,500,000	\$	2,500,000

At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the aggregate weighted average note rate for the Company s notes payable was 6.86% and 4.26%, respectively. There were no interest rate swaps on the notes payable at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

In March 2014, the Company entered into non-recourse junior loan participations with ACM totaling \$15.0 million on a \$70.1 million bridge loan. In October 2013, the Company entered into a non-recourse junior loan participation for approximately \$0.8 million on a \$3.0 million

mezzanine loan. In September 2013, the Company entered into a non-recourse junior loan participation for approximately \$0.5 million on a \$3.0 million mezzanine loan. Interest expense is based on the portion of the interest received from the loan that is paid to the junior participant. The Company s obligation to pay interest on the participation is based on the performance of the related loan.

Mortgage Note Payable Real Estate Owned and Held-For-Sale

During 2011, the Company assumed a \$55.4 million interest-only first lien mortgage in connection with the acquisition of real property pursuant to bankruptcy proceedings for an entity in which the Company had a \$29.8 million loan secured by the Multifamily Portfolio. The mortgage bears interest at a variable rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.23% and in March 2014, the maturity date was extended to June 2014 with a one year extension option, subject to certain conditions. In 2011, one of the properties in the Multifamily Portfolio was sold to a third party for \$1.6 million and the proceeds were used to pay down the first lien mortgage to a balance of \$53.8 million. In September 2013, one of the properties in the Multifamily Portfolio was classified as held-for-sale and thus \$11.0 million of the first lien mortgage was classified as held-for-sale and the balance of \$42.7 million was classified as real estate owned at March 31, 2014.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

Debt Covenants

The Company s debt facilities contain various financial covenants and restrictions, including minimum net worth, minimum liquidity and maximum debt balance requirements, as well as certain other debt service coverage ratios and debt to equity ratios. The Company was in compliance with all financial covenants and restrictions at March 31, 2014.

The Company s CDO and CLO vehicles contain interest coverage and asset overcollateralization covenants that must be met as of the waterfall distribution date in order for the Company to receive such payments. If the Company fails these covenants in any of its CDOs or CLOs, all cash flows from the applicable CDO or CLO would be diverted to repay principal and interest on the outstanding CDO or CLO bonds and the Company would not receive any residual payments until that CDO or CLO regained compliance with such tests. The Company s CDOs and CLOs were in compliance with all such covenants as of March 31, 2014, as well as on the most recent determination date in April 2014. In the event of a breach of the CDO or CLO covenants that could not be cured in the near-term, the Company would be required to fund its non-CDO or non-CLO expenses, including management fees and employee costs, distributions required to maintain REIT status, debt costs, and other expenses with (i) cash on hand, (ii) income from any CDO or CLO not in breach of a covenant test, (iii) income from real property and loan assets, (iv) sale of assets, or (v) or accessing the equity or debt capital markets, if available. The Company has the right to cure covenant breaches which would resume normal residual payments to it by purchasing non-performing loans out of the CDOs or CLOs. However, the Company may not have sufficient liquidity available to do so at such time.

The chart below is a summary of the Company s CDO and CLO compliance tests as of the most recent determination dates in April 2014:

Cash Flow Triggers	CDO I	CDO II	CDO III	CLO I	CLO II
Overcollateralization (1)					
Current	184.35%	138.15%	108.74%	142.96%	146.89%
Limit	145.00%	127.30%	105.60%	137.86%	144.25%
Pass / Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Interest Coverage (2)					
Current	541.25%	457.31%	495.38%	232.75%	365.43%
Limit	160.00%	147.30%	105.60%	120.00%	120.00%
Pass / Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass

(1) The overcollateralization ratio divides the total principal balance of all collateral in the CDO and CLO by the total principal balance of the bonds associated with the applicable ratio. To the extent an asset is considered a defaulted security, the asset s principal balance for purposes of the overcollateralization test is the lesser of the asset s market value or the principal balance of the defaulted asset multiplied by the asset s recovery rate which is determined by the rating agencies. Rating downgrades of CDO and CLO collateral will generally not have a direct impact on the principal balance of a CDO and CLO asset for purposes of calculating the CDO and CLO overcollateralization test unless the rating downgrade is below a significantly low threshold (e.g. CCC-) as defined in each CDO and CLO vehicle.

(2) The interest coverage ratio divides interest income by interest expense for the classes senior to those retained by the Company.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

The chart below is a summary of the Company s CDO and CLO overcollateralization ratios as of the following determination dates:

Determination Date	CDO I	CDO II	CDO III	CLO I	CLO II
April 2014	184.35%	138.15%	108.74%	142.96%	146.89%
January 2014	167.15%	137.87%	107.80%	142.96%	146.89%
October 2013	166.88%	133.77%	106.64%	142.96%	146.89%
July 2013	176.69%	139.10%	106.61%	142.96%	146.89%
April 2013	174.76%	138.97%	106.56%	142.96%	146.89%

The ratio will fluctuate based on the performance of the underlying assets, transfers of assets into the CDOs and CLOs prior to the expiration of their respective replenishment dates, purchase or disposal of other investments, and loan payoffs. No payment due under the Junior Subordinated Indentures may be paid if there is a default under any senior debt and the senior lender has sent notice to the trustee. The Junior Subordinated Indentures are also cross-defaulted with each other.

Note 8 Derivative Financial Instruments

The following is a summary of the derivative financial instruments held by the Company as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 (dollars in thousands):

				Notion									
Designation\ Cash Flow	Derivative	Count	March 31, 2014		Count	Dec	cember 31, 2013	Expiration Date	Balance Sheet Location	March 31, 2014		Dec	cember 31, 2013
Non-	Basis								Other				
Qualifying	Swaps	1	\$	3,000	1	\$	11,600	2015	Assets	\$	4	\$	5
Non-	LIBOR								Other				
Qualifying	Cap	1	\$	71,701		\$		2015	Assets	\$		\$	
Qualifying	Interest Rate Swaps	12	\$	265,473	14	\$	297,532	2015 - 2017	Other Liabilities	\$	(21,921)	\$	(24,794)
	•												
Non- Qualifying	Forward Contracts	2	\$		8	\$		2019 - 2036	Other Assets	\$	2,930	\$	6,397

The Non-Qualifying Basis Swaps Hedges are used to manage the Company s exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks but do not meet hedge accounting requirements. The Company is exposed to changes in the fair value of certain of its fixed rate obligations due to changes in benchmark interest rates and uses interest rate swaps to manage its exposure to changes in fair value on these instruments attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate. These interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without the exchange of the underlying notional amount. The Non-Qualifying LIBOR Cap Hedge had a notional value of approximately \$71.7 million at March 31, 2014. The Company entered into this hedge in the first quarter of 2014 due to a loan agreement which required a LIBOR Cap of 6%. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the notional value on a basis swap decreased by approximately \$8.6 million pursuant to the contractual terms of the respective swap agreement. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, six basis swaps matured with a combined notional value of approximately \$464.4 million and the notional value of a basis swap decreased by approximately \$10.0 million pursuant to the contractual terms of the respective swap agreement. For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the change in fair value of the Non-Qualifying Basis Swaps was less than \$(0.1) million and \$(0.1) million, respectively, and was recorded in interest expense on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

The change in the fair value of Qualifying Interest Rate Swap Cash Flow Hedges was recorded in accumulated other comprehensive loss on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. These interest rate swaps are used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing variable-rate debt, and amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company's variable-rate debt. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, two interest swaps matured with a combined notional value of approximately \$32.0 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the notional value on an interest rate swap decreased by approximately \$14.5 million pursuant to the contractual terms of the respective swap agreement. As of March 31, 2014, the Company expects to reclassify approximately \$(11.9) million of other comprehensive loss from Qualifying Cash Flow Hedges to interest expense over the next twelve months assuming interest rates on that date are held constant. These swap agreements must be effective in reducing the variability of cash flows of the hedged items in order to qualify for the aforementioned hedge accounting treatment. Gains and losses on terminated swaps are being deferred and recognized in earnings over the original life of the hedged item. As of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company has a net deferred loss of \$1.4 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, in accumulated other comprehensive loss, related to these terminated swap agreements. The Company recorded \$0.2 million as additional interest expense related to the amortization of the loss for both the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, and \$0.1 million as a reduction to interest expense related to the accretion of the net gains for both the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013. The Company expects to record approximately \$0.4 million of net deferred loss to interest expense over the next twelve months.

The fair value of Non-Qualifying Forward Contracts was \$2.9 million as of March 31, 2014 and was recorded in other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and consisted of \$16.6 million of RMBS investments, which is net of \$0.6 million of net unrealized losses in fair value, and \$13.7 million of repurchase financing. The fair value of Non-Qualifying Forward Contracts was \$6.4 million as of December 31, 2013 and was recorded in other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets and consisted of \$66.0 million of RMBS investments, which is net of \$1.5 million of net unrealized losses in fair value, and \$59.6 million of repurchase financing. The RMBS investments are financed with repurchase agreements and are accounted for as linked transactions, which are considered forward contracts. The repurchase agreements generally finance 80% - 90% of the purchase and bear interest at a rate of 125 to 175 basis points over LIBOR. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company received total principal paydowns on the RMBS of \$2.4 million and paid down the associated repurchase agreements by \$4.1 million, which includes a decreased in the amount financed on the repurchase agreements of \$1.7 million. In the first quarter of 2014, the Company sold six RMBS investments, which were accounted for as linked transactions, with an aggregate carrying value of \$47.8 million for approximately \$48.0 million and recorded a net gain of \$0.2 million related to the settlement of these linked transactions. The six RMBS investments were financed with repurchase agreements totaling \$41.8 million which were repaid with the proceeds. For the three months ended March 31, 2014, \$0.1 million of net interest income and a less than \$0.1 million decrease in fair value was recorded to other income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, \$0.5 million of net interest income and a \$0.2 million increase in fair value was recorded to other income in the Consolidated Statements of Income. The remaining RMBS investments bear interest at a weighted average fixed rate of 5.37%, have a weighted average stated maturity of 22.5 years, but have weighted average estimated lives of 3.0 years based on the estimated maturities of the RMBS investments.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

The following table presents the effect of the Company s derivative financial instruments on the Statements of Income as of March 31, 2014 and 2013 (dollars in thousands):

			Amount of Recogni Other Comp Los (Effective For the Three End-	zed i preh ss Port ee M	in ensive tion)		Amount Reclassif Accumula Comprehens Interest (Effective For the The	ied fi ited (ive L Expe Por ree N	rom Other loss into ense tion)	(1	Amoun Loss Reco n Interest Ineffective or the Thro Endo	ognize Expe Port ee Mo	ense ion)	(Loss) (ain l	Income ee Mon	e
Designation \Cash Flow	Derivative	ľ	March 31, 2014	N	Iarch 31, 2013]	March 31, 2014	N	Iarch 31, 2013		ech 31, 014		rch 31, 013	March 31 2014	•	Marc 20	ch 31, 13
Non- Qualifying	Basis Swaps	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	(2)	\$		\$	
Qualifying	Interest Rate Swaps	\$	442	\$	355	\$	(3,441)	\$	(3,496)	\$		\$:	\$		\$	
Non- Qualifying	Forward Contracts	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$:	\$ (4	! 5)	\$	182

The cumulative amount of other comprehensive loss related to net unrealized losses on derivatives designated as qualifying hedges as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 of approximately \$(23.3) million and approximately \$(26.3) million, respectively, is a combination of the fair value of qualifying cash flow hedges of \$(21.9) million and \$(24.8) million, respectively, deferred losses on terminated interest swaps of \$(1.7) million and \$(1.9) million, respectively, and deferred net gains on termination of interest swaps of \$0.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

The Company has agreements with certain of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where if the Company defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Company could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations. As of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest, was \$(12.7) million and \$(13.8) million, respectively. As of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had minimum collateral posting thresholds with certain of its derivative counterparties and had posted collateral of \$13.1 million and \$14.2 million, respectively, which is recorded in other assets in the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Note 9 Variable Interest Entities

The Company has evaluated its loans and investments, mortgage related securities, investments in equity affiliates, junior subordinated notes, CDOs and CLOs, in order to determine if they qualify as VIEs or as variable interests in VIEs. This evaluation resulted in the Company determining that its bridge loans, junior participation loans, mezzanine loans, preferred equity investments, investments in equity affiliates, junior subordinated notes, CDOs, CLOs and investments in mortgage related securities are potential VIEs.

The Company s involvement with VIEs primarily affects its financial performance and cash flows through amounts recorded in interest income, interest expense, provision for loan losses and through activity associated with its derivative instruments.

Consolidated VIEs

The Company consolidates its three CDO and two CLO subsidiaries, which qualify as VIEs, of which the Company is the primary beneficiary. These CDOs and CLOs invest in real estate and real estate-related securities and are financed by the issuance of CDO and CLO debt securities. The Company, or one of its affiliates, is named collateral manager, servicer, and special servicer for all CDO and CLO collateral assets which the Company believes gives it the power to direct the most significant economic activities of the entity. The Company also has

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

exposure to CDO and CLO losses to the extent of its equity interests and also has rights to waterfall payments in excess of required payments to CDO and CLO bond investors. As a result of consolidation, equity interests in these CDOs and CLOs have been eliminated, and the Consolidated Balance Sheets reflect both the assets held and debt issued by the CDOs and CLOs to third parties. The Company s operating results and cash flows include the gross amounts related to CDO and CLO assets and liabilities as opposed to the Company s net economic interests in the CDO and CLO entities.

Assets held by the CDOs and CLOs are restricted and can be used only to settle obligations of the CDOs and CLOs. The liabilities of the CDOs and CLOs are non-recourse to the Company and can only be satisfied from each CDOs and CLOs respective asset pool. Assets and liabilities related to the CDOs and CLOs are disclosed parenthetically, in the aggregate, in the Company s Consolidated Balance Sheets. See Note 7 Debt Obligations for further details.

The Company is not obligated to provide, has not provided, and does not intend to provide financial support to any of the consolidated CDOs and CLOs.

Unconsolidated VIEs

The Company determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of 25 VIEs in which it has a variable interest as of March 31, 2014 because it does not have the ability to direct the activities of the VIEs that most significantly impact each entity s economic performance. VIEs, of which the Company is not the primary beneficiary, have an aggregate carrying amount of \$561.6 million and exposure to real estate debt of approximately \$2.3 billion at March 31, 2014.

The following is a summary of the Company s variable interests in identified VIEs, of which the Company is not the primary beneficiary, as of March 31, 2014:

Туре	Carrying Amount (1)	Maximum Exposure to Loss (2)
Loans	\$ 414,291,044	\$ 414,291,044
Loans and equity investments	127,407,073	127,407,073
RMBS (3)	17,175,514	17,175,514
CMBS	2,100,000	2,100,000
Junior subordinated notes (4)	578,000	578,000

Total	\$	561,551,631	\$	561,551,631	
corresponding loan loss reserves of	of approximately \$		\$44.3	. At March 31, 2014, \$185.4 million o million of loans to VIEs were related t	
(2) The Company s maximum ex	posure to loss as o	f March 31, 2014 v	would	not exceed the carrying amount of its	investment.
(3) Represents RMBS securities of	lassified as forwar	d contract derivativ	ves.		
	nt that the investme	ent is considered to	be at	t appropriate to consolidate these entitirisk. Since the Company s investmen	
Note 10 Fair Value					
Fair Value of Financial Instrume	nts				
-	owing table summa	arizes the carrying		significant uncertainties resulting in vasa and the estimated fair values of the C	-
			29		

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

	March 31, 2014					December	013		
				Estimated				Estimated	
		Carrying Value		Fair Value	Carrying Value			Fair Value	
Financial assets:									
Loans and investments, net	\$	1,574,832,799	\$	1,613,964,268	\$	1,523,699,653	\$	1,550,248,793	
Available-for-sale securities		2,776,077		2,776,077		37,315,652		37,315,652	
Derivative financial instruments		2,933,914		2,933,914		6,402,336		6,402,336	
Financial liabilities:									
Repurchase agreements and credit facilities	\$	248,206,026	\$	247,682,372	\$	159,125,023	\$	158,735,570	
Collateralized debt obligations		519,770,974		407,937,829		639,622,981		521,938,885	
Collateralized loan obligations		264,500,000		265,636,250		264,500,000		266,436,250	
Junior subordinated notes		159,423,385		101,593,287		159,291,427		101,240,185	
Notes payable		17,498,874		17,394,375		2,500,000		2,487,287	
Mortgage note payable - real estate owned									
and held-for-sale		53,751,004		52,946,001		53,751,004		52,943,305	
Derivative financial instruments		21,921,045		21,921,045		24,794,051		24,794,051	

Fair Value Measurement

Fair value is defined as the price at which an asset could be exchanged in a current transaction between knowledgeable, willing parties. A liability s fair value is defined as the amount that would be paid to transfer the liability to a new obligor, not the amount that would be paid to settle the liability with the creditor. Where available, fair value is based on observable market prices or parameters or derived from such prices or parameters. Where observable prices or inputs are not available, valuation models are applied. These valuation techniques involve some level of management estimation and judgment, the degree of which is dependent on the price transparency for the instruments or market and the instruments complexity.

Assets and liabilities disclosed at fair value are categorized based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Hierarchical levels directly related to the amount of subjectivity associated with the inputs to fair valuation of these assets and liabilities are as follows:

• Level 1 Inputs are unadjusted and quoted prices exist in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date. The types of assets and liabilities carried at Level 1 fair value generally are government and agency securities, equities listed in active markets, investments in publicly traded mutual funds with quoted market prices and listed derivatives.

- Level 2 Inputs (other than quoted prices included in Level 1) are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability through correlation with market data at the measurement date and for the duration of the instrument's anticipated life. Level 2 inputs include quoted market prices in markets that are not active for an identical or similar asset or liability, and quoted market prices in active markets for a similar asset or liability. Fair valued assets and liabilities that are generally included in this category are non-government securities, municipal bonds, certain hybrid financial instruments, certain mortgage and asset-backed securities, certain corporate debt, certain commitments and guarantees, certain private equity investments and certain derivatives.
- Level 3 Inputs reflect management s best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. These valuations are based on significant unobservable inputs that require a considerable amount of judgment and assumptions. Consideration is given to the risk inherent in the valuation technique and the risk inherent in the inputs to the model. Generally, assets and liabilities carried at fair value and included in this category are certain mortgage and asset-backed securities, certain corporate debt, certain private equity investments, certain municipal bonds, certain commitments and guarantees and certain derivatives.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

Determining which category an asset or liability falls within the hierarchy requires significant judgment and the Company evaluates its hierarchy disclosures each quarter.

The following is a description of the valuation techniques used to measure fair value and the general classification of these instruments pursuant to the fair value hierarchy.

Loans and investments, net: Fair values of loans and investments that are not impaired are estimated using Level 3 inputs based on discounted cash flow methodology, using discount rates, which, in the opinion of management, best reflect current market interest rates that would be offered for loans with similar characteristics and credit quality. Fair values of loans and investments that are impaired are estimated using Level 3 inputs by the Company that require significant judgments, which include assumptions regarding discount rates, capitalization rates, leasing, creditworthiness of major tenants, occupancy rates, availability of financing, exit plan, loan sponsorship, actions of other lenders and other factors deemed necessary by management.

Available-for-sale securities: Fair values are approximated based on current market quotes received from active markets or financial sources that trade such securities. The fair values of available-for-sale equity securities traded in active markets are approximated using Level 1 inputs, while the fair values of available-for-sale debt securities that are approximated using current, non-binding market quotes received from financial sources that trade such investments are valued using Level 3 inputs. The fair values of RMBS investments at December 31, 2013 were approximated using Level 3 inputs that required significant judgments, and were used in internally developed valuation models, which were compared to current non-binding market quotes received from financial sources that trade such securities. The fair value of a CMBS security is estimated by the Company using Level 3 inputs that require significant judgments, which include assumptions regarding capitalization rates, leasing, creditworthiness of major tenants, occupancy rates, availability of financing, exit plan, loan sponsorship, actions of other lenders and other factors deemed necessary by management.

Derivative financial instruments: Fair values of interest rate swap derivatives are approximated using Level 2 inputs based on current market data received from financial sources that trade such instruments and are based on prevailing market data and derived from third party proprietary models based on well recognized financial principles including counterparty risks, credit spreads and interest rate projections, as well as reasonable estimates about relevant future market conditions. These items are included in other assets and other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments in the fair values of its derivative financial instruments to reflect counterparty nonperformance risk. The fair values of RMBS underlying linked transactions are estimated using Level 3 inputs based on internally developed valuation models, which are compared to broker quotations. The value of the underlying RMBS is then netted against the carrying amount (which approximates fair value) of the repurchase agreement borrowing at the valuation date. The fair value of linked transactions also includes accrued interest receivable on the RMBS and accrued interest payable on the underlying repurchase agreement borrowings.

Repurchase agreements, credit facilities, notes payable and mortgage notes payable: Fair values are estimated at Level 3 using discounted cash flow methodology, using discount rates, which, in the opinion of management, best reflect current market interest rates for financing with similar characteristics and credit quality.

Collateralized debt obligations and collateralized loan obligations: Fair values are estimated at Level 3 based on broker quotations, representing the discounted expected future cash flows at a yield that reflects current market interest rates and credit spreads.

Junior subordinated notes: Fair values are estimated at Level 3 based on broker quotations, representing the discounted expected future cash flows at a yield that reflects current market interest rates and credit spreads.

The Company measures certain financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis. The fair value of these financial assets and liabilities was determined using the following inputs as of March 31, 2014:

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

	Carrying Value	Fair Value		 lue Measuremen air Value Hierard Level 2	 Level 3
Financial assets:					
Available-for-sale securities (1)	\$ 2,776,077	\$ 2,776,077	\$ 676,077	\$	\$ 2,100,000
Derivative financial instruments (2)	2,933,914	2,933,914		3,922	2,929,992
Financial liabilities:					
Derivative financial instruments	\$ 21,921,045	\$ 21,921,045	\$	\$ 21,921,045	\$

⁽¹⁾ The Company s equity securities available-for-sale were measured using Level 1 inputs and the Company s CMBS investment available-for-sale was measured using Level 3 inputs.

(2) The Company s basis swap derivatives were measured using Level 2 inputs and the Company s forward contract derivatives were measured using Level 3 inputs.

The following roll forward table reconciles the beginning and ending balances of financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs:

	Available-for-sale Securities	Derivative Financial Instruments
Balance as of December 31, 2013	\$ 36,580,786	\$ 6,396,853
Adjustments to fair value:		
Paydowns (1)	(663,684)	1,694,167
Net changes in fair value (2)		(44,510)
Sales and settlements (3)	(33,817,102)	(5,116,518)
Balance as of March 31, 2014	\$ 2,100,000	\$ 2,929,992

⁽¹⁾ Includes an addition of \$1.7 million to the derivative financial instruments as a result of a decreased in the amount financed under the respective repurchase agreement.

⁽²⁾ Represents the net change in fair value recorded to other income during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

(3) Represents the sale of RMBS investments and the settlement of forward contract derivatives for which the Company recorded a gain of \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, to other income during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

The Company measures certain financial and non-financial assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. The fair value of these financial assets was determined using the following inputs as of March 31, 2014:

Fair Value Measurements	
Using Fair Value Hierarchy	

	Net			Csing Fair Value III	ci ai ciiy	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2		Level 3
Financial assets:						
Impaired loans, net (1)	\$ 84,705,881	\$ 84,705,881	\$	\$	\$	84,705,881
Non-financial assets:						
Impaired real estate owned (2)	3,151,646	3,151,646				3,151,646

⁽¹⁾ The Company had an allowance for loan losses of \$116.7 million relating to 14 loans with an aggregate carrying value, before loan loss reserves, of approximately \$201.4 million at March 31, 2014.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

(2) The Company recorded an impairment loss on one of the properties in its Multifamily Portfolio in the first quarter of 2014.

Loan impairment assessments: Loans held for investment are intended to be held to maturity and, accordingly, are carried at cost, net of unamortized loan origination costs and fees, loan purchase discounts, and net of the allowance for loan losses when such loan or investment is deemed to be impaired. The Company considers a loan impaired when, based upon current information and events, it is probable that it will be unable to collect all amounts due for both principal and interest according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The Company performs evaluations of its loans to determine if the value of the underlying collateral securing the impaired loan is less than the net carrying value of the loan, which may result in an allowance and corresponding charge to the provision for loan losses. These valuations require significant judgments, which include assumptions regarding capitalization rates, leasing, creditworthiness of major tenants, occupancy rates, availability of financing, exit plan, loan sponsorship, actions of other lenders and other factors deemed necessary by management. The table above includes all impaired loans, regardless of the period in which an impairment was recognized.

Real estate owned and land investments: The Company performs evaluations of its real estate owned and land investments to determine if the fair value of the property is less than the net carrying value, which may result in impairment. These valuations require significant judgments, which include assumptions regarding capitalization rates, leasing, creditworthiness of major tenants, occupancy rates, availability of financing, exit plan, comparable sales and other factors deemed necessary by management.

Quantitative information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements on a recurring and non-recurring basis:

		Marc	ch 31, 2014	
	Fair Value	Valuation Technique(s)	Unobservable Inputs	Range (Weighted Average)
Financial assets:				
Impaired loans (1):				
Multi-family	\$ 14,409,989	Direct capitalization analysis and discounted cash flows	Discount rate Capitalization rate Revenue growth rate	8.00% 6.75% to 8.25% (7.10%) 2.00%
Office	5,754,164	Discounted cash flows	Discount rate Capitalization rate Revenue growth rate	9.25% to 10.50% (9.66%) 8.00% to 8.50% (8.16%) 2.50% to 3.00% (2.96%)
Land	64,541,728	Discounted cash flows	Discount rate Capitalization rate Revenue growth rate	15.00% 7.25% 3.00%
CMBS	2,100,000	Discounted cash flows	Discount rate	17.17 %
Forward contract derivatives	2,929,992	Valuation models	Discount rate Loss severity Cumulative	(2) (2)

			default rate Voluntary prepayment rate	(2) (2)
Non-Financial assets:				
Impaired real estate	\$ 3,151,646	Discounted cash	Discount rate	10.00%
owned		flows	Cumulative default	8.00%
			rate Revenue growth	2.35%
			rate	

⁽¹⁾ Includes all impaired loans regardless of the period in which provision was recorded.

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

(2) Each forward contract derivative is associated with an underlying security that is individually modeled and valued based on the security s specific characteristics, which include current collateral composition, collateral performance projections, tranche credit enhancement and other market factors. Accordingly, as the range of the unobservable inputs used to value each individual security varies greatly, disclosing a range or weighted average of such inputs would not be meaningful. In the first quarter of 2014, the Company sold the majority of these forward contract derivatives.

The Company measures certain assets and liabilities for which fair value is only disclosed. The fair value of these assets and liabilities was determined using the following inputs as of March 31, 2014:

	Carrying	Fair		Fair Value Me Using Fair Valu		
	Value	Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 2	
Financial assets:						
Loans and investments, net	\$ 1,574,832,799	\$ 1,613,964,268	\$	\$	\$	1,613,964,268
Financial liabilities:						
Credit facilities	\$ 248,206,026	\$ 247,682,372	\$	\$	\$	247,682,372
Collateralized debt obligations	519,770,974	407,937,829				407,937,829
Collateralized loan obligation	264,500,000	265,636,250				265,636,250
Junior subordinated notes	159,423,385	101,593,287				101,593,287
Notes payable	17,498,874	17,394,375				17,394,375
Mortgage note payable real						
estate owned and held-for-sale	53,751,004	52,946,001				52,946,001

Note 11 Commitments and Contingencies

Contractual Commitments

The Company s debt facilities which include credit facilities, CDOs, CLOs, junior subordinated notes, notes payable and mortgage notes payable have approximate maturities of \$333.4 million in 2014, \$298.5 million in 2015, \$254.4 million in 2016, \$162.8 million in 2017, \$54.5 million in 2018 and \$175.9 million thereafter.

In accordance with certain loans and investments, the Company has outstanding unfunded commitments of \$6.3 million as of March 31, 2014, that the Company is obligated to fund as the borrowers meet certain requirements. Specific requirements include, but are not limited to, property renovations, building construction, and building conversions based on criteria met by the borrower in accordance with the loan agreements. In

relation to the \$6.3 million outstanding balance at March 31, 2014, the Company s restricted cash balance contained approximately \$5.4 million available to fund the portion of the unfunded commitments for loans financed by the Company s CDO and CLO vehicles.

Litigation

The Company currently is neither subject to any material litigation nor, to management s knowledge, is any material litigation currently threatened against the Company other than the following:

On June 15, 2011, three related lawsuits were filed by the Extended Stay Litigation Trust (the Trust), a post-bankruptcy litigation trust alleged to have standing to pursue claims that previously had been held by Extended Stay, Inc. and the Homestead Village L.L.C. family of companies (together ESI) (formerly Chapter 11 debtors, together the Debtors) that have emerged from bankruptcy. Two of the lawsuits were filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, and the third in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County. There were 73 defendants in the three lawsuits, including 55 corporate and partnership entities and 18 individuals. A subsidiary of the Company and certain other entities that are affiliates of the

34

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

Company are included as defendants. The New York State Court action has been removed to the Bankruptcy Court. The Company s affiliates filed a motion to dismiss the three lawsuits.

The lawsuits all allege, as a factual basis and background certain facts surrounding the June 2007 leveraged buyout of ESI from affiliates of Blackstone Capital. The Company is subsidiary, Arbor ESH II, LLC, had a \$115.0 million investment in the Series A1 Preferred Units of a holding company of Extended Stay, Inc. The New York State Court action and one of the two federal court actions name as defendants, Arbor ESH II, LLC, Arbor Commercial Mortgage, LLC and ABT-ESI LLC, an entity in which the Company has a membership interest, among the broad group of defendants. These two actions were commenced by substantially identical complaints. The defendants are alleged in these complaints, among other things, to have breached fiduciary and contractual duties by causing or allowing the Debtors to pay illegal dividends or other improper distributions of value at a time when the Debtors were insolvent. These two complaints also allege that the defendants aided and abetted, induced, or participated in breaches of fiduciary duty, waste, and unjust enrichment (Fiduciary Duty Claims) and name a director of the Company, and a former general counsel of Arbor Commercial Mortgage, LLC, each of whom had served on the Board of Directors of ESI for a period of time. The Company is defending these two defendants and paying the costs of such defense. On the basis of the foregoing allegations, the Trust has asserted claims under a number of common law theories, seeking the return of assets transferred by the Debtors prior to the Debtors bankruptcy filing.

In the third action, filed in Bankruptcy Court, the same plaintiff, the Trust, has named Arbor Commercial Mortgage, LLC and ABT-ESI LLC, together with a number of other defendants and asserts claims, including constructive and fraudulent conveyance claims under state and federal statutes, as well as a claim under the Federal Debt Collection Procedure Act.

On June 28, 2013, the Trust filed a motion to amend the lawsuits, to, among other things, (i) consolidate the lawsuits into one lawsuit, (ii) remove 47 defendants, none of whom are related to the Company, from the lawsuits so that there are 26 remaining defendants, including 16 corporate and partnership entities and 10 individuals, and (iii) reduce the counts within the lawsuits from over 100 down to 17. The remaining counts in the amended complaint against affiliates of the Company are principally state law claims for breach of fiduciary duties, waste, unlawful dividends and unjust enrichment, and claims under the Bankruptcy Code for avoidance and recovery actions, among others. The bankruptcy court granted the motion and the amended complaint has been filed. The amended complaint seeks \$139.0 million in the aggregate from director designees and affiliates of the Company. The Company has moved to dismiss the referenced actions and intends to vigorously defend against the claims asserted therein. During a status conference held on March 18, 2014, the Court heard oral argument on the motion to dismiss and adjourned the case pending a ruling.

The Company has not made a loss accrual for this litigation because it believes that it is not probable that a loss has been incurred and an amount cannot be reasonably estimated.

Note 12 Equity

Preferred Stock

In February 2014, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 900,000 shares of 8.50% Series C cumulative redeemable preferred stock with a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share, generating net proceeds of approximately \$21.6 million after deducting the underwriting discount and other offering expenses.

In May 2013, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 1,200,000 shares of 7.75% Series B cumulative redeemable preferred stock with a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share, generating net proceeds of approximately \$28.9 million after deducting the underwriting discount and other offering expenses. Also in May 2013, the underwriters exercised a portion of their over-allotment option for 60,000 shares providing additional net proceeds of approximately \$1.5 million.

In February 2013, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 1,400,000 shares of 8.25% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred stock with a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share, generating net proceeds of approximately \$33.6 million after deducting the underwriting discount and other offering expenses. Also in February 2013, the underwriters exercised a portion of their over-allotment option for 151,500 shares providing additional net proceeds of approximately \$3.7 million.

Table of Contents

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

Common	Stock
Common	SIUCK

In February 2014, the Company entered into an At-The-Market (ATM) equity offering sales agreement with JMP Securities LLC (JMP) whereby, in accordance with the terms of the agreement, from time to time the Company may issue and sell through JMP up to 7,500,000 shares of its common stock. Sales of the shares are made by means of ordinary brokers transactions or otherwise at market prices prevailing at the time of sale, or at negotiated prices. As of March 31, 2014, the Company sold 1,000,000 shares for net proceeds of \$6.5 million.

In September 2013, the Company completed another public offering in which it sold 6,000,000 shares of its common stock for \$7.08 per share, and received net proceeds of approximately \$40.9 million after deducting the underwriting discount and other offering expenses. The Company intends to use the net proceeds from the offering to make investments, to repurchase or pay liabilities and for general corporate purposes.

In March 2013, the Company completed another public offering in which it sold 5,625,000 shares of its common stock for \$8.00 per share, and received net proceeds of approximately \$43.0 million after deducting the underwriting discount and other offering expenses.

In December 2012, the Company entered into an ATM equity offering sales agreement with JMP whereby, in accordance with the terms of the agreement, from time to time the Company could issue and sell through JMP up to 6,000,000 shares of its common stock. Sales of the shares were made by means of ordinary brokers transactions or otherwise at market prices prevailing at the time of sale, or at negotiated prices. As of March 15, 2013, the Company sold all of the 6,000,000 shares for net proceeds of \$45.6 million.

The Company used the net proceeds from its preferred and common offerings to make investments, to repurchase or pay liabilities and for general corporate purposes.

As of May 1, 2014, the Company has \$428.2 million available under its \$500.0 million shelf registration statement that was declared effective by the SEC in August 2013.

Distributions

The following table presents dividends declared (on a per share basis) for the three months ended March 31, 2014:

Common Stock					Preferred Stock						
D. L. of D.		D. L		G	Divi	dend (1)	Sawing C				
Declaration Date	Di	vidend	Declaration Date		Series A		Series B	Series C			
February 12, 2014	\$	0.13	February 3, 2014	\$	0.515625	\$	0.484375	N/A			

⁽¹⁾ The dividend declared on February 3, 2014 for the Series A and Series B preferred stock was for the period December 1, 2013 through February 28, 2014.

On April 29, 2014, the Board of Directors declared the following cash dividends:

Common Stock A cash dividend of \$0.13 per share of common stock. The dividend is payable on June 2, 2014 to common stockholders of record as the close of business on May 15, 2014.

Preferred Series A A cash dividend of \$0.51625 per share of 8.25% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred stock reflecting dividends from March 1, 2014 through May 31, 2014. The dividend is payable on June 2, 2014 to preferred stockholders of record on May 15, 2014. The Company accrued dividends of \$0.3 million in the first quarter of 2014.

Table of Contents

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

Preferred Series B A cash dividend of \$0.484375 per share of 7.75% Series B cumulative redeemable preferred stock reflecting dividends from March 1, 2014 through May 31, 2014. The dividend is payable on June 2, 2014 to preferred stockholders of record on May 15, 2014. The Company accrued dividends of \$0.2 million in the first quarter of 2014.

Preferred Series C A cash dividend of \$0.5549 per share of 8.50% Series C cumulative redeemable preferred stock reflecting dividends from February 25, 2014 (date of issuance) through May 31, 2014. The dividend is payable on June 2, 2014 to preferred stockholders of record on May 15, 2014. The Company accrued dividends of \$0.2 million in the first quarter of 2014.

Deferred Compensation

As of March 31, 2014, unvested restricted stock consisted of 40,918 shares granted to non-employees with a grant date fair value of \$0.3 million, which is subject to remeasurement each reporting period, and 23,002 shares granted to employees of the Company with a grant date fair value of \$0.2 million. Expense is recognized ratably over the vesting period in the Company s Consolidated Statements of Income in selling and administrative expense and employee compensation and benefits expense, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company recorded the ratable portion of the unvested restricted stock to employees as employee compensation and benefits for \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, and for non-employees to selling and administrative expense for \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively.

Vesting is dependent on a service requirement. Dividends paid on restricted shares are recorded as dividends on shares of the Company s common stock whether or not they are vested. For accounting purposes, the Company measures the compensation costs for these shares as of the date of the grant, with subsequent remeasurement for any unvested shares granted to non-employees of the Company with such amounts expensed against earnings, at the grant date (for the portion that vest immediately) or ratably over the respective vesting periods.

Warrants

In connection with a debt restructuring with Wachovia Bank in 2009, the Company issued Wachovia 1.0 million warrants at an average strike price of \$4.00. Of such warrants, 500,000 warrants are exercisable at a price of \$3.50, 250,000 warrants are exercisable at a price of \$4.00 and 250,000 warrants are exercisable at a price of \$5.00. All of the warrants are currently exercisable, expire in July 2015 and no warrants have been exercised to date.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

At March 31, 2014, accumulated other comprehensive loss was \$22.7 million and consisted of \$23.3 million of net unrealized losses on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges and a \$0.6 million unrealized gain related to available-for-sale securities. At December 31, 2013, accumulated other comprehensive loss was \$25.2 million and consisted of \$26.3 million of net unrealized losses on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges and a \$1.1 million unrealized gain related to available-for-sale securities.

Table of Contents

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

Reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive loss for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

Three Months Ended

	Marc		
	2014	2013	Statement of Income Caption
Net realized losses on derivatives designated as cash			
flow hedges:			
Interest Rate Swaps	\$ (3,440,877)	\$ (3,495,764)	Interest expense (1)
Net realized gain on sale of available-for-sale			
investments:			
RMBS investment	\$ 431,476	\$	Other income (2)

(1) See Note 8 Derivative Financial Instruments for additional details.

(2) See Note 4 Securities for additional details.

Noncontrolling Interest

The Company had a noncontrolling interest representing a third party s one third interest in the equity of a consolidated subsidiary that owns an investment that carried a note payable related to the exchange of a profits interest transaction. In the fourth quarter of 2013, the entity s operating agreement was amended to provide joint control to the members of the entity, and therefore, the entity was deconsolidated. Upon completion of this transaction, the Company deconsolidated the entity and noncontrolling interest was reduced to zero. For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company recorded income of \$0.1 million as well as distributions of \$0.1 million attributable to the noncontrolling interest.

Note 13 Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share (EPS) is calculated by dividing net income (loss) attributable to Arbor Realty Trust, Inc. by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each period inclusive of unvested restricted stock with full dividend participation rights. Diluted EPS is calculated by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding plus the additional dilutive effect of common stock equivalents during each period using the treasury stock method. The Company s common stock equivalents include the dilutive effect of warrants outstanding.

Table of Contents

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

The following is a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of the basic and diluted EPS computations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

	Three Mor March	 	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013			
	Basic	 Diluted	Basic		Diluted	
Income from continuing operations, net of noncontrolling interest and preferred stock						
dividends	\$ 5,751,173	\$ 5,751,173	\$ 6,741,811	\$	6,741,811	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	123,588	123,588	(101,572)		(101,572)	
Net income attributable to Arbor Realty						
Trust, Inc. common stockholders (1)	\$ 5,874,761	\$ 5,874,761	\$ 6,640,239	\$	6,640,239	
Weighted average number of common shares						
outstanding	49,336,308	49,336,308	33,771,925		33,771,925	
Dilutive effect of warrants		416,505			464,764	
Weighted average number of common shares						
outstanding	49,336,308	49,752,813	33,771,925		34,236,689	
Income from continuing operations, net of noncontrolling interest and preferred stock						
dividends, per common share	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.20	\$	0.19	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations						
per common share						
Net income attributable to Arbor Realty						
Trust, Inc. per common share (1)	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.20	\$	0.19	

⁽¹⁾ Net of noncontrolling interest and preferred stock dividends.

Note 14 Agreements and Transactions with Related Parties

Management Agreement

The Company, ARLP and Arbor Realty SR, Inc. have a management agreement with ACM, pursuant to which ACM provides certain services and the Company pays ACM a base management fee and under certain circumstances, an annual incentive fee.

The base management fee is an arrangement whereby the Company reimburses ACM for its actual costs incurred in managing the Company s business based on the parties agreement in advance on an annual budget with subsequent quarterly true-ups to actual costs. All origination fees on investments are retained by the Company.

The incentive fee is measured on an annual basis and is calculated as (1) 25% of the amount by which (a) the Company s funds from operations per share, adjusted for certain gains and losses including gains from the retirement and restructuring of debt and 60% of any loan loss reserve recoveries (spread over a three year period), exceeds (b) the product of (x) 9.5% per annum or the Ten Year U.S. Treasury Rate plus 3.5%, whichever is greater, and (y) the greater of \$10.00 or the weighted average of book value of the net assets contributed by ACM to ARLP per ARLP partnership unit, the offering price per share of the Company s common equity in the private offering on July 1, 2003 and subsequent offerings and the issue price per ARLP partnership unit for subsequent contributions to ARLP, multiplied by (2) the weighted average of the Company s outstanding shares.

The minimum return, or incentive fee hurdle to be reached before an incentive fee is earned, is a percentage applied on a per share basis to the greater of \$10.00 or the average gross proceeds per share. In addition, 60% of any loan loss and other reserve recoveries are eligible to be included in the incentive fee calculation, which recoveries are spread over a three year period.

Table of Contents

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

The management agreement also allows the Company to consider, from time to time, the payment of additional success-based fees to ACM for accomplishing certain specified corporate objectives; has a termination fee of \$10.0 million; and is renewable automatically for successive one-year terms, unless terminated with six months prior written notice. If the Company terminates or elects not to renew the management agreement without cause, it is required to pay the termination fee of \$10.0 million.

The following table sets forth the Company s base and incentive management fees for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended						
		Marc	h 31,				
Management Fees:		2014	2013				
Base	\$	2,450,000	\$	2,800,000			
Incentive							
Total management fee	\$	2,450,000	\$	2,800,000			

⁽¹⁾ Included in base management fees at March 31, 2014 and 2013, was \$1.2 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, that was included in due to related party.

Beginning January 1, 2014, the Company will directly compensate its chief executive officer \$1.0 million in annual base compensation as an employee. As such, this compensation will be recorded as employee compensation and benefits, which was previously recorded as part of the base management fee prior to 2014. For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, no success-based payments were made.

In 2007, ACM received an incentive fee installment totaling \$19.0 million which was recorded as a prepaid management fee related to the incentive fee on \$77.1 million of deferred revenue recognized on the transfer of control of the 450 West 33rd Street property, which is one of the Company's equity affiliates.

Other Related Party Transactions

Due from related party was approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, and consisted primarily of escrows held by ACM and its affiliates related to real estate transactions.

Due to related party was \$1.1 million at March 31, 2014 and consisted primarily of base management fees due to ACM, of which \$0.7 million will be remitted by the Company in the following quarter. At December 31, 2013, due to related party was \$2.8 million and consisted primarily of base management fees due to ACM that were remitted by the Company in the following quarter.

In March 2014, the Company originated a bridge loan to a third party borrower for a portfolio of properties with an unpaid principal balance of \$70.1 million, of which, \$15.0 million was financed with junior loan participations to ACM. The loan has a weighted average interest rate of 6.38% and a maturity date of March 2016. The participations have a weighted average interest rate of 7.20% and a maturity date of March 2016. Interest income recorded from this loan totaled approximately \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

The Company had two loans totaling \$22.4 million, which were secured by a property purchased in 2011 by a third party borrower from ACM. In the first quarter 2014, ACM purchased the property from the prior borrower subject to the Company s loans. In connection with this purchase, ACM paid down the loans by \$2.3 million and the Company restructured its remaining debt outstanding into a first mortgage of \$14.6 million with a maturity date of June 2014 and a second mortgage of \$5.1 million with a maturity date of April 2015, both with an interest rate of LIBOR plus 4.80%. Interest income recorded from these loans totaled approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

In October 2013, the Company purchased, at par, a \$3.0 million mezzanine loan from ACM who originated the loan in September 2013 to a third party entity. The loan has a fixed interest rate of 13.00% and a maturity date of October 2018. Interest income recorded from this loan was approximately \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

In June 2013, the Company s board of directors formed a Special Committee consisting of independent directors in connection with the exploration and evaluation of a potential transaction with the Company s manager involving the acquisition of its manager s Fannie Mae, DUS, FHA and CMBS platforms, as well as the internalization of the management of its current business. Although there have been preliminary discussions between the Special Committee and representatives of the Company s manager, it cannot provide any assurance regarding the timing, terms or form of any such transaction, including the amount or type of consideration (including the issuance of common stock) or related financing, or whether any transaction between the Company and its manager will occur at all. Also, in connection with evaluating a potential transaction with the Company s manager, the Special Committee engaged legal, financial and accounting advisors resulting in approximately \$1.4 million of advisory fees for the year ended December 31, 2013. No advisory fees were incurred during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

In April 2013, the Company originated six bridge loans totaling \$53.0 million for a portfolio of multifamily properties owned by a consortium of investors including Mr. Ivan Kaufman and his affiliates and Mr. Fred Weber, who together own an interest of approximately 19% in the borrowing entity. The loans had an interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 7.25% and a maturity date of April 2015, which were paid off in the fourth quarter of 2013. In November 2013, the Company originated a new bridge loan for \$2.0 million with an interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 5.50%. Interest income recorded from these loans totaled approximately \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

In April 2013, the Company also purchased, at par, a \$6.4 million bridge loan from ACM who originated the loan in March 2013 to a third party entity that acquired the property from an entity owned by Mr. Ivan Kaufman and his affiliates and Mr. Fred Weber, who together also provided a \$1.1 million preferred equity contribution to the overall transaction. Mr. Ivan Kaufman also provided a \$1.0 million personal guaranty on the bridge loan. The bridge loan bears interest at a rate of one-month LIBOR plus 5.00% for the first year then one-month LIBOR plus 6.00% thereafter and has a maturity date of March 2015 with three one year extension options. Interest income recorded from these loans totaled approximately \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

In January 2013, the Company originated a \$7.5 million bridge loan for a multifamily property in Charlotte, North Carolina. William C. Green, who serves on the Company s Board of Directors, holds a 6.6% partnership interest in the borrowing entity and is the chief financial officer of an affiliated entity that is a partner in, and the management company for, the borrowing entity. Mr. Green also provided a \$0.4 million personal guaranty on the bridge loan. The loan bore interest at a rate of one-month LIBOR plus 6.00%, had a maturity date of January 2015, and was paid off in the second quarter of 2014. Interest income recorded from this loan totaled approximately \$0.1 million for both the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

In December 2011, the Company completed a restructuring of a \$67.6 million preferred equity investment on the Lexford Portfolio (Lexford), which is a portfolio of multi-family assets. The Company, along with a consortium of independent outside investors, made an additional preferred equity investment of \$25.0 million in Lexford of which the Company held a \$10.5 million interest, and Mr. Fred Weber, the Company s executive vice president of structured finance, held a \$0.5 million interest, which was paid down to \$22.5 million in the third quarter of 2013, and then paid off in the fourth quarter of 2013. The original preferred equity investment now bears a fixed rate of interest of 2.36%, revised from an original rate of LIBOR plus 5.00% (the loan was paying a modified rate of LIBOR plus 1.65% at the time of the new investment). The original preferred equity investment matures in June 2020. Interest income recorded from the preferred equity investment totaled approximately \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The new preferred equity investment had a fixed interest rate of 12% and a maturity date in June 2020. The Company, along with the same outside investors, also made a \$0.1 million equity investment into Lexford, of which the Company held a \$44,000 noncontrolling interest, and does not have the power to control the significant activities of the entity. During the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company recorded losses

Table of Contents

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

March 31, 2014

from the entity against the equity investment, reducing the balance to zero. The Company records this investment under the equity method of accounting. In addition, under the terms of the restructuring, Lexford s first mortgage lender required a change of property manager for the underlying assets. The new management company is an affiliate of Mr. Ivan Kaufman, the Company s chairman and chief executive officer, and has a contract with the new entity for 7.5 years and will be entitled to 4.75% of gross revenues of the underlying properties, along with the potential to share in the proceeds of a sale or refinancing of the debt should the management company remain engaged by the new entity at the time of such capital event. In the first quarter of 2012, Mr. Fred Weber invested \$250,000 in the new management company and currently owns a 23.5% ownership interest. Mr. Ivan Kaufman and his affiliates currently own a 53.9% ownership interest. The Company has provided limited (bad boy) guarantees for certain debt controlled by Lexford. The bad boy guarantees may become a liability for the Company upon standard bad acts such as fraud or a material misrepresentation by Lexford or the Company. At March 31, 2014, this debt had an aggregate outstanding balance of \$734.4 million and is scheduled to mature between 2017 and 2023.

Interest income recorded from loans originated in 2012 or prior years with the Company s affiliates totaled \$0.5 million and \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The Company is dependent upon its manager, ACM, with whom it has a conflict of interest, to provide services to the Company that are vital to its operations. The Company s chairman, chief executive officer and president, Mr. Ivan Kaufman, is also the chief executive officer and president of ACM, and, the Company s chief financial officer and treasurer, Mr. Paul Elenio, is the chief financial officer of ACM. In addition, Mr. Kaufman and his affiliated entities (the Kaufman Entities) together beneficially own approximately 92% of the outstanding membership interests of ACM and certain of the Company s employees and directors also hold an ownership interest in ACM. Furthermore, one of the Company s former directors is general counsel to ACM and another of the Company s directors also serves as the trustee of one of the Kaufman Entities that holds a majority of the outstanding membership interests in ACM and co-trustee of another Kaufman Entity that owns an equity interest in ACM. ACM currently holds approximately 5.3 million of the Company s common shares, representing approximately 11% of the voting power of the Company s outstanding stock as of March 31, 2014. The Company s Board of Directors approved a resolution under the Company s charter allowing Ivan Kaufman and ACM, (which Mr. Kaufman has a controlling equity interest in), to own more than the 5% ownership interest limit of the Company s common stock stated in the Company s charter as amended.

Note 15 Due to Borrowers

Due to borrowers represents borrowers funds held by the Company to fund certain expenditures or to be released at the Company s discretion upon the occurrence of certain pre-specified events, and to serve as additional collateral for borrowers loans. While retained, these balances earn interest in accordance with the specific loan terms they are associated with.

7D 1	1			c.	\sim			
Tal	٦I	\boldsymbol{e}	\cap 1	1		۱n	tei	ntc

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated interim financial statements, and related notes included herein.

Overview

We invest in multi-family and commercial real estate-related bridge loans, junior participating interests in first mortgages, mezzanine loans, preferred and direct equity and, in limited cases, discounted mortgage notes and other real estate-related assets, which we refer to collectively as structured finance investments. We are organized and conduct our operations to qualify as a REIT and to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code. A REIT is generally not subject to federal income tax on its REIT taxable income that is distributed to its stockholders, provided that at least 90% of its REIT taxable income is distributed and provided that certain other requirements are met. We have also invested in mortgage-related securities. We conduct substantially all of our operations through our operating partnership and its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

Our operating performance is primarily driven by the following factors:

- Net interest income earned on our investments Net interest income represents the amount by which the interest income earned on our assets exceeds the interest expense incurred on our borrowings. If the yield earned on our assets decreases or the cost of borrowings increases, this will have a negative impact on earnings. However, if the yield earned on our assets increases or the cost of borrowings decreases, this will have a positive impact on earnings. Net interest income is also directly impacted by the size and performance of our asset portfolio.
- Credit quality of our assets Effective asset and portfolio management is essential to maximize the performance and value of a real estate/mortgage investment. Maintaining the credit quality of our loans and investments is of critical importance. Loans that do not perform in accordance with their terms may have a negative impact on earnings and liquidity.
- Cost control We seek to minimize our operating costs, which consist primarily of employee compensation and related costs, management fees and other general and administrative expenses. If there are increases in foreclosures and non-performing loans and investments, certain of these expenses, particularly employee compensation expenses and asset management related expenses, may increase.

Recent Developments

Loan and Investment Activity We originated 18 loans totaling \$274.9 million with a weighted average interest rate of 6.86%. We received full satisfaction of 12 loans totaling \$224.5 million with a weighted average interest rate of 6.15% and a partial paydown of \$2.3 million on a preferred equity investment with an interest rate of 8.51%.

Capital Raising Activities We raised \$21.6 million of capital through the issuance of 8.50% Series C cumulative redeemable preferred stock and \$6.5 million through an At-The-Market (ATM) common stock offering.

Financing Activities We expanded the capacity on two existing debt facilities by an aggregate of \$40.0 million, including a temporary increase on one of the facilities of \$10.0 million until June 2014. In April 2014, we closed our third CLO totaling approximately \$375.0 million of real estate related assets and cash. See Liquidity and Capital Resources below for further details.

Sale of Securities We sold the majority of our RMBS securities available-for-sale for \$33.9 million and recognized a net gain of \$0.5 million on these sales.

Table of Contents

Current Market Conditions, Risks and Recent Trends

Our ability to execute our business strategy, particularly the growth of our portfolio of loans and investments, is dependent on many factors, including our ability to access capital and financing on favorable terms. Although economic and market conditions in the United States have generally improved over the past several years, the overall market recovery remains uncertain. The impact of the previous economic downturn had a significant negative impact and continues to affect both us and our borrowers. Weak economic conditions may limit our options for raising capital and obtaining financing on favorable terms and may also adversely impact the creditworthiness of our borrowers which could result in their inability to repay their loans.

The capital markets began to substantially open up in 2012 and access to the equity and debt markets continued to improve through the first quarter of 2014. We rely on these markets to generate capital for financing the growth of our business. During the first quarter of 2014, we raised \$21.6 million of capital through a preferred stock offering and \$6.5 million through an ATM offering. While there can be no assurance that we will continue to have access to the equity and debt markets, we will continue to pursue these and other available market opportunities as means to increase our liquidity and capital base. If we were to experience another prolonged downturn in the stock or credit markets, it could cause us to seek alternative sources of potentially less attractive financing, and may require us to adjust our business plan accordingly.

The commercial real estate markets continue to improve, but uncertainty remains as a result of global market instability, the current political climate and other matters and their potential impact on the United States economy. If real estate values decline again, it may limit our new mortgage loan originations since borrowers often use increases in the value of their existing properties to support the purchase or investment in additional properties. Declining real estate values may also significantly increase the likelihood that we will incur losses on our loans in the event of default because the value of our collateral may be insufficient to cover our cost on the loan. Any sustained period of increased payment delinquencies, foreclosures or losses could adversely affect both our net interest income from loans as well as our ability to originate, sell and securitize loans, which would significantly impact our revenues, results of operations, financial condition, business prospects and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

During the first quarter of 2014 we recorded \$1.0 million of new provisions for loan losses due to declining collateral values and recorded net recoveries of reserves of \$0.9 million. During fiscal year 2013, we recorded \$6.5 million of new provisions for loan losses, due to declining collateral values, and \$2.2 million in net recoveries of reserves. In addition, during the first quarter of 2014 and fiscal year 2013 we recorded impairment losses on a real estate owned asset of \$0.3 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. We have made, and continue to make modifications and extensions to loans when it is economically feasible to do so. In some cases, a modification is a more viable alternative to foreclosure proceedings when a borrower cannot comply with loan terms. In doing so, lower borrower interest rates, combined with non-performing loans, would lower our net interest margins when comparing interest income to our costs of financing. However, since 2013, the level of modifications and extensions have declined and repayments of loans increased as borrowers access to financing improved. If trends were to deteriorate and another prolonged economic downturn were to occur, we believe there could be additional loan modifications and delinquencies, which may result in reduced net interest margins and additional losses throughout our sector.

Refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 for additional risk factors.

Primary Sources of Operating Revenues

We derive our operating revenues primarily through interest received from making real estate-related bridge, mezzanine and junior participation loans and preferred equity investments. For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, interest income earned on these loans and investments represented 72% and 68% of our total revenues, respectively.

Property operating income is derived from our hotel and multifamily real estate owned assets. For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, property operating income represented 25% and 26% of our total revenues,

44

Table of Contents

respectively. The operation of a portfolio of hotel properties that we own is seasonal with the majority of revenues earned in the first two quarters of the calendar year.

Changes in Financial Condition

Assets Comparison of balances at March 31, 2014 to December 31, 2013:

Our loan and investment portfolio balance, including our available-for-sale securities, was \$1.72 billion and \$1.67 billion at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, with a weighted average current interest pay rate of 5.26% and 5.21%, respectively. Including certain fees and costs associated with the loan and investment portfolio, the weighted average current interest rate was 5.79% and 5.69%, respectively. Advances on our financing facilities totaled \$1.21 billion and \$1.19 billion at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, with a weighted average funding cost of 3.16% and 3.03%, respectively, which excludes changes in the market value of certain interest rate swaps and financing costs. Including the financing costs, the weighted average funding rate was 3.52% and 3.34%, respectively.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$27.7 million primarily due to funding new loan originations and investments, payment of dividends and related party payables, net of proceeds received from our equity offerings in 2014, as well as increases to financing facilities, loan payoffs and interest from our investments.

Restricted cash increased \$42.2 million primarily due to payoffs of loans from our CDOs net of principal repayments. Restricted cash is kept on deposit with the trustees for our CDOs, all three of which have reached their respective replenishment dates, and primarily represents proceeds from loan payoffs and paydowns net of principal repayments to the CDO bondholders, as well as unfunded loan commitments and interest payments received from loans.

Loans and investments increased \$51.1 million. First quarter 2014 loan and investment activity was comprised of:

- Originated 18 loans totaling \$274.9 million with a weighted average interest rate of 6.86%.
- Received full satisfaction of 12 loans totaling \$224.5 million that had a weighted average interest rate of 6.15%
- Received a partial paydown of \$2.3 million on a preferred equity investment with an interest rate of 8.51%.
- Extended seven loans totaling \$35.2 million.

Our allowance for loan losses was \$116.7 million at March 31, 2014, a decrease of \$5.5 million from December 31, 2013. During the first quarter of 2014, we recorded charge-offs to our allowance totaling approximately \$5.7 million and recorded a provision for loan loss, net of

recoveries totaling \$0.1 million.
Available-for-sale securities decreased \$34.5 million primarily due to the sale of \$33.4 million of available-for-sale RMBS securities for \$33.9 million.
Liabilities Comparison of balances at March 31, 2014 to December 31, 2013:
Repurchase agreements and credit facilities increased \$89.1 million primarily due to an increase of outstanding amounts on amended credit facilities as a result of new loan originations, net of the payoff of our repurchase agreements due to the sale of the related available-for-sale securities.
Collateralized debt obligations decreased \$119.9 million primarily due to payments to investors due to runoff.
Notes payable increased \$15.0 million primarily due to junior loan participations to ACM on a loan for \$70.1 million in our portfolio.
Due to borrowers increased \$12.3 million due to an increase in unfunded commitments on the loans originated during the first quarter of 2014.
45

Table of Contents

Equity

In February 2014, we completed an underwritten public offering of 900,000 shares of 8.50% Series C cumulative redeemable preferred stock with a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share, generating net proceeds of approximately \$21.6 million after deducting the underwriting discount and other offering expenses.

In February 2014, we entered into an ATM equity offering sales agreement with JMP whereby, in accordance with the terms of the agreement, from time to time we may issue and sell through JMP up to 7,500,000 shares of our common stock. Sales of the shares are made by means of ordinary brokers transactions or otherwise at market prices prevailing at the time of sale, at prices related to prevailing market prices or at negotiated prices. As of March 31, 2014, we sold 1,000,000 shares for net proceeds of \$6.5 million.

We used the net proceeds from these offerings to make investments, to repurchase or pay liabilities and for general corporate purposes.

As of May 1, 2014, we have \$428.2 million available under our \$500.0 million shelf registration statement that was declared effective by the SEC in August 2013.

The following table presents dividends declared (on a per share basis) for the three months ended March 31, 2014:

Common Stock				Preferred Stock						
Declaration Date		Dividend	Declaration Date	Series A	Divi	idend (1) Series B	Series C			
Deciai ation Date		Dividend	Deciai ation Date	Series A		Series B	Series C			
February 12, 201	4 \$	0.13	February 3, 2014	\$ 0.515625	\$	0.484375	N/A			

⁽¹⁾ The dividend declared on February 3, 2014 for the Series A and Series B preferred stock was for the period December 1, 2013 through February 28, 2014.

On April 29, 2014, the Board of Directors declared the following cash dividends:

Common Stock A cash dividend of \$0.13 per share of common stock. The dividend is payable on June 2, 2014 to common stockholders of record as the close of business on May 15, 2014.

Preferred Series A A cash dividend of \$0.51625 per share of 8.25% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred stock reflecting dividends from March 1, 2014 through May 31, 2014. The dividend is payable on June 2, 2014 to preferred stockholders of record on May 15, 2014.

Preferred Series B A cash dividend of \$0.484375 per share of 7.75% Series B cumulative redeemable preferred stock reflecting dividends from March 1, 2014 through May 31, 2014. The dividend is payable on June 2, 2014 to preferred stockholders of record on May 15, 2014.

Preferred Series C A cash dividend of \$0.5549 per share of 8.50% Series C cumulative redeemable preferred stock reflecting dividends from February 25, 2014 (date of issuance) through May 31, 2014. The dividend is payable on June 2, 2014 to preferred stockholders of record on May 15, 2014.

In connection with a debt restructuring with Wachovia Bank in 2009, we issued Wachovia 1.0 million warrants at an average strike price of \$4.00. Of such warrants, 500,000 warrants are exercisable at a price of \$3.50, 250,000 warrants are exercisable at a price of \$4.00 and 250,000 warrants are exercisable at a price of \$5.00. All of the warrants are currently exercisable, expire in July 2015 and no warrants have been exercised to date.

Table of Contents

Comparison of Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014 and 2013

The following table sets forth our results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013:

	Three Mor	nths End	ed				
		ch 31,		Increase/(Decrease)			
	2014	124 . 10	2013	Amount	Percent		
	(Unau	idited)					
Interest income	\$ 24,911,855	\$	22,988,822	\$ 1,923,033	8%		
Interest expense	10,591,378		10,642,244	(50,866)	nm		
Net interest income	14,320,477		12,346,578	1,973,899	16%		
Other revenue:							
Property operating income	8,661,515		8,334,328	327,187	4%		
Other income, net	858,396		1,379,458	(521,062)	(38)%		
Total other revenue	9,519,911		9,713,786	(193,875)	(2)%		
Other expenses:							
Employee compensation and benefits	3,385,949		3,083,639	302,310	10%		
Selling and administrative	1,982,219		2,189,283	(207,064)	(9)%		
Property operating expenses	6,524,138		6,343,313	180,825	3%		
Depreciation and amortization	1,811,683		1,496,299	315,384	21%		
Impairment loss on real estate owned	250,000		, , , , , ,	250,000	100%		
Provision for loan losses (net of recoveries)	134,344		2,500,155	(2,365,811)	(95)%		
Management fee related party	2,450,000		2,800,000	(350,000)	(13)%		
Total other expenses	16,538,333		18,412,689	(1,874,356)	(10)%		
•							
Income from continuing operations before							
gain on extinguishment of debt and income							
(loss) from equity affiliates	7,302,055		3,647,675	3,654,380	100%		
Gain on extinguishment of debt			3,763,000	(3,763,000)	(100)%		
Income (loss) from equity affiliates	40,048		(81,885)	121,933	nm		
Income from continuing operations	7,342,103		7,328,790	13,313	nm		
	102 500		(101.570)	225 160			
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	123,588		(101,572)	225,160	nm		
Net income	7,465,691		7,227,218	238,473	3%		
Preferred stock dividends	1,590,930		533,328	1,057,602	198%		
Net income attributable to noncontrolling							
interest			53,651	(53,651)	(100)%		
			33,031	(55,051)	(100)70		
Net income attributable to Arbor Realty							
Trust, Inc. common stockholders	\$ 5,874,761	\$	6,640,239	\$ (765,478)	(12)%		
,	, . ,		, ,	,,	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		

nm not meaningful

Table of Contents

The following table presents the average balance of interest-earning assets and related interest-bearing liabilities, associated interest income and expense and the corresponding weighted average yields (dollars in thousands):

	Average Carrying Value (1)	2014 Interest Income/ Expense	Three Months En W/A Yield/ Financing Cost (2)	nded	March 31, Average Carrying Value (1)	2013 Interest Income/ Expense	W/A Yield/ Financing Cost (2)
Interest-earning assets:							
Bridge loans	\$ 1,141,767	\$ 18,119	6.44%	\$	1,095,219	\$ 14,869	5.51%
Mezzanine / junior	, ,,,,,,,	-, -			,,	,=	
participation loans	349,765	4,599	5.33%		339,539	5,284	6.31%
Preferred equity	·	·			·	·	
investments	116,376	2,080	7.25%		93,440	1,370	5.95%
Securities	9,362	52	2.25%		66,936	741	4.49%
Other investments					55,988	664	4.81%
Core interest-earning							
assets	1,617,270	24,850	6.23%		1,651,122	22,928	5.63%
Cash equivalents	161,119	62	0.16%		98,956	61	0.25%
Total interest-earning							
assets	\$ 1,778,389	24,912	5.68%	\$	1,750,078	22,989	5.33%
Interest-bearing liabilities:							
Warehouse lines	\$ 185,916	1,779	3.88%	\$	35,522	874	9.98%
CDO	532,164	5,023	3.83%		760,011	5,706	3.04%
CLO	264,500	2,311	3.54%		211,387	1,899	3.64%
Other non-recourse	4,663	64	5.57%		51,548	503	3.96%
Trust preferred	175,858	1,392	3.21%		175,858	1,480	3.41%
Securities financing	4,808	22	1.86%		43,301	180	1.69%
Total interest-bearing							
liabilities	\$ 1,167,909	10,591	3.68%	\$	1,277,627	10,642	3.38%
Net interest income		\$ 14,321				\$ 12,347	

⁽¹⁾ Based on unpaid principal balance for loans, amortized cost for securities and principal amount for debt.

Net Interest Income

Interest income increased \$1.9 million, or 8%, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. This increase was primarily due to an 11% increase in the average yield on core interest-earning assets from 5.63% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 to 6.23% for the three months ended March 31, 2014, due to higher interest rates on our net originations. The increase was net of a 2% decrease in our average core interest-earning assets from \$1.65 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2014, due to payoffs, net of originations.

⁽²⁾ Weighted average yield calculated based on annualized interest income or expense divided by average carrying value.

Interest expense decreased \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. The decrease was primarily due to a 9% decrease in the average balance of our interest-bearing liabilities from \$1.28 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2013 to \$1.17 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in the average balance was primarily due to a decrease in CDO debt due to runoff, net of an increase in our CLO financing facility as well as increased borrowing in our warehouse facilities. The decrease was net of a 9% increase in the average cost of these interest-bearing liabilities from 3.38% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 to 3.68% for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Table of Contents
Other Revenue
Other income, net decreased \$0.5 million, or 38%, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013, primarily due to a decrease of \$0.6 million in miscellaneous asset management fees and recuperations on our loan and investment portfolio, as well as a \$0.4 million decrease in net interest income, and is net of a \$0.5 million gain on the sale of RMBS investments held as available-for-sale.
Other Expenses
Employee compensation and benefits expense increased \$0.3 million, or 10%, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. An increase in staffing resulting from higher loan origination volume from 2013 to 2014 as well as our CEO s base salary being directly compensated by us effective January 1, 2014, contributed \$0.5 million of this increase, net of a decrease of \$0.2 million in stock-based compensation due to a portion of restricted common stock granted to certain employees in the first quarter of 2013 that immediately vested on the grant date.
Selling and administrative expense decreased \$0.2 million, or 9%, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. These costs include, but are not limited to, professional and consulting fees, marketing costs, insurance expense, travel and placement fees, director s fees, licensing fees and stock-based compensation relating to our directors and certain employees of our manager. This decrease was primarily due to a portion of restricted common stock granted to certain directors, and employees of our manager, in the first quarter of 2013 that immediately vested on the grant date.
Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$0.3 million, or 21%, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013, primarily due to an increase in capital expenditures associated with two real estate owned investments.
Impairment loss on real estate owned of \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 resulted from our determination of impairment based on the analysis of one of the properties in our Multifamily Portfolio in the first quarter of 2014.
Provision for loan losses (net of recoveries) totaled \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014, and \$2.5 million for the three

Provision for loan losses (net of recoveries) totaled \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014, and \$2.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. At March 31, 2014, we performed an evaluation of our loan portfolio and determined that the fair value of the underlying collateral securing an impaired loan with a carrying value of \$4.8 million was less than the net carrying value of the loan, resulting in us recording an additional \$1.0 million provision for loan losses. We also recorded \$0.9 million of recoveries of previously recorded loan loss reserves in the first quarter of 2014, netting the provision to \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014. At March 31, 2013, we determined that the fair value of the underlying collateral securing two impaired loans with an aggregate carrying value of \$13.5 million was less than the net carrying value of the loans, resulting in us recording an additional \$2.5 million provision for loan losses. We also recorded a recovery of less than \$0.1 million in the first quarter of 2013, netting the provision to \$2.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Management fees decreased \$0.4 million, or 13%, for the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. These amounts represent compensation in the form of base management fees, on a cost reimbursement basis. The decrease is primarily due to recording a portion of the management fee as employee compensation and benefits in connection with our CEO s base salary being directly compensated by us effective January 1, 2014. Including the portion of this fee that is recorded as employee compensation and benefits, we currently expect that the annual management fee for 2014 will approximate the 2013 fee paid to our manager.

Gain on Extinguishment of Debt

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, we purchased, at a discount, a \$7.1 million investment grade rated Class H note originally issued by our CDO III issuing entity from a third party investor and recorded a gain on early extinguishment of debt of \$3.8 million. There was no gain on extinguishment of debt during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Tab:	le o	f Co	ontents

Preferred Stock Dividends

Preferred stock dividends increased \$1.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2013. Dividends on our 7.75% Series B preferred stock that was issued in May 2013 contributed \$0.6 million of this increase while the full quarter impact of dividends on our 8.25% Series A preferred stock that was issued in February 2013 contributed an additional \$0.3 million. In addition, we accrued dividends of \$0.2 million on our 8.5% Series C preferred stock that was issued in February 2014.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Sources of Liquidity

Liquidity is a measurement of the ability to meet potential cash requirements. Our short-term and long-term liquidity needs include ongoing commitments to repay borrowings, fund future loans and investments, fund additional cash collateral from potential declines in the value of a portion of our interest rate swaps, fund operating costs and distributions to our stockholders as well as other general business needs. Our primary sources of funds for liquidity consist of proceeds from equity offerings, debt facilities and cash flows from our operations. Our equity sources, depending on market conditions, consist of proceeds from capital market transactions including the issuance of common, convertible and/or preferred equity securities. Our debt facilities include the issuance of floating rate notes resulting from our CDOs and CLOs, the issuance of junior subordinated notes and borrowings under warehousing facilities and a line of credit. Net cash flows from operations include interest income from our loan and investment portfolio reduced by interest expense on our debt facilities, cash from other investments reduced by expenses, repayments of outstanding loans and investments and funds from junior loan participation arrangements.

We believe our existing sources of funds will be adequate for meeting our short-term and long-term liquidity needs. A majority of our loans and investments are financed under existing debt obligations and their credit status is continuously monitored; therefore, these loans and investments are expected to generate a generally stable return. Our ability to meet our long-term liquidity and capital resource requirements is subject to obtaining additional debt and equity financing. Any decision by our lenders and investors to enter into such transactions with us will depend upon a number of factors, such as our financial performance, compliance with the terms of our existing credit arrangements, industry or market trends, the general availability of and rates applicable to financing transactions, such lenders—and investors—resources and policies concerning the terms under which they make such capital commitments and the relative attractiveness of alternative investment or lending opportunities.

While we have been successful in obtaining proceeds from equity offerings, CLOs and certain financing facilities from 2012 to date, current conditions in the capital and credit markets have and may continue to make certain forms of financing less attractive and, in certain cases, less available. Therefore we will continue to rely, in part, on cash flows provided by operating and investing activities for working capital.

To maintain our status as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, we must distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income. These distribution requirements limit our ability to retain earnings and thereby replenish or increase capital for operations. However, we believe that our capital resources and access to financing will provide us with financial flexibility and market responsiveness at levels sufficient to meet current and anticipated capital requirements.

Our cash flows from operating activities increased by \$2.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013 primarily due to a decrease in cash posted against our derivative instruments.

50

Table of Contents

Cash flows used in investing activities improved by \$78.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013 primarily due to a \$193.4 million increase in payoffs and paydowns, \$33.9 million from the proceeds from the sale of available-for-sale securities and a \$20.5 million decrease in the purchase of investments, net of principal collections, and is net of a \$166.9 million increase in the origination of loans, as compared to the same period in 2013.

Cash flows from financing activities decreased by \$157.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 compared to the same period in 2013. Our second CLO closed in the first quarter of 2013, resulting in additional proceeds of \$177.0 million in the comparative period, proceeds from our common and preferred stock offerings decreased by \$97.9 million, payoffs and paydowns of our CDO vehicles increased by \$61.5 million, use of restricted cash increased by \$12.2 million, dividends paid on our common and preferred stock increased by \$3.6 million. These decreases to cash flows from financing activities were partially offset by the increase in proceeds from repurchase agreements and credit facilities of \$108.4 million and an decrease in the repayment of repurchase agreements and credit facilities of \$77.0 million.

Cash Flow From Operations

We continually monitor our cash position to determine the best use of funds to both maximize our return on funds and maintain an appropriate level of liquidity. Historically, in order to maximize the return on our funds, cash generated from operations has generally been used to temporarily pay down borrowings under credit facilities whose primary purpose is to fund our new loans and investments. Consequently, when making distributions in the past, we have borrowed the required funds by drawing on credit capacity available under our credit facilities. Since the terms of our short-term debt have changed due to market conditions, we may have to maintain adequate liquidity from operations to make any future distributions.

Debt Facilities

We maintain various forms of short-term and long-term financing arrangements. Borrowings underlying these arrangements are primarily secured by a significant amount of our loans and investments. The following is a summary of our debt facilities as of March 31, 2014:

Debt Facilities	Commitment	March 31, 20 Debt Carrying Value	14	Available	Matu Dat	
Credit facilities	\$ 258,000,000	\$ 248,206,026	\$	9,793,974	2014	2015
Collateralized debt obligations						
(1)	519,770,974	519,770,974			2015	2016
Collateralized loan obligations						
(1)	264,500,000	264,500,000			201	16
Junior subordinated notes (2)	159,423,385	159,423,385			2034	2037
Notes payable	17,498,874	17,498,874			2014	2018
	\$ 1,219,193,233	\$ 1,209,399,259	\$	9,793,974		

⁽¹⁾ Maturity dates represent the weighted average remaining maturity based on the underlying collateral as of March 31, 2014.

(2) Represents a total face amount of \$175.9 million less a total deferred amount of \$16.4 million.

These debt facilities are described in further detail in Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Item 1 hereof. London inter-bank offered rate, or LIBOR, refers to one-month LIBOR unless specifically stated.

51

Tabl	le of	Contents

Credit Facilities

Warehouse Facilities. We utilize warehouse facilities to finance first mortgage loans on multifamily properties. At March 31, 2014, we have four warehouse facilities with outstanding debt balances totaling \$228.2 million. Our warehouse facilities are described below.

In July 2011, we entered into a two year, \$50.0 million warehouse facility with a financial institution to finance first mortgage loans on multifamily properties. In 2013, we amended the facility increasing the committed amount to \$75.0 million, decreased the rate of interest from 275 basis points over LIBOR to 225 basis points over LIBOR and decreased certain commitment, warehousing and non-use fees. In March 2014, the facility—s committed amount was increased to \$110.0 million, which includes a temporary increase of \$10.0 million until June 2014 and requires a 0.13% commitment fee. The facility has a maximum advance rate of 75% and contains several restrictions including full repayment of an advance if a loan becomes 60 days past due, is in default or is written down by us. The facility has various financial covenants including a minimum liquidity requirement of \$20.0 million, minimum tangible net worth, which includes junior subordinated notes as equity of \$150.0 million, maximum total liabilities less subordinate debt of \$2.0 billion, as well as certain other debt service coverage ratios and debt to equity ratios. The facility has a compensating balance requirement of \$50.0 million to be maintained by us and our affiliates. At March 31, 2014, the outstanding balance of this facility was \$104.5 million. In April 2014, the facility—s \$10.0 million temporary increase was repaid as part of the issuance of our third CLO.

In February 2013, we entered into a one year, \$50.0 million warehouse facility with a financial institution to finance first mortgage loans on multifamily properties. In April 2014, we amended the facility, increasing the committed amount to \$75.0 million. The facility bears interest at a rate of 225 basis points over LIBOR which was originally 250 basis points over LIBOR, upon closing, requires a 35 basis point commitment fee, which was originally 12.5 basis points, upon closing, matures in March 2015, has warehousing and non-use fees and allows for an original warehousing period of up to 24 months from the initial advance on an asset. The facility has a maximum advance rate of 75% and contains certain restrictions including partial prepayment of an advance if a loan becomes 90 days past due or in the process of foreclosure, subject to certain conditions. The facility has various financial covenants including a minimum liquidity requirement of \$20.0 million, minimum tangible net worth which includes junior subordinated notes as equity of \$150.0 million, maximum total liabilities less subordinate debt of \$2.0 billion, as well as certain other debt service coverage ratios and debt to equity ratios. At March 31, 2014, the outstanding balance of this facility was \$49.2 million.

In June 2013, we entered into a one year, \$40.0 million warehouse facility with a financial institution to finance first mortgage loans on multifamily properties, including a \$10.0 million sublimit to finance retail and office properties. In February 2014, we amended the facility, increasing the committed amount to \$45.0 million, and in April 2014 the committed amount was raised to \$60.0 million. The facility bears interest at a rate of 200 basis points over LIBOR, matures in April 2015, has warehousing fees and allows for an original warehousing period of up to 24 months from the initial advance on an asset. The facility has a maximum advance rate of 70% or 75%, depending on the property type, and contains certain restrictions including prepayment of an advance if a loan becomes 60 days past due or in the process of foreclosure, subject to certain conditions. The facility has various financial covenants including a minimum liquidity requirement of \$20.0 million, minimum tangible net worth of \$150.0 million, as well as a minimum debt service coverage ratio. At March 31, 2014, the outstanding balance of this facility was \$41.5 million.

In December 2013, we entered into a \$33.0 million warehouse facility with a financial institution to finance the first mortgage loan on a multifamily property. The facility bears interest at a rate of 225 basis points over LIBOR which increased to 250 basis points over LIBOR in February 2014, requires up to a 45 basis point commitment fee and matures in November 2015 with a one year extension option. The facility has various financial covenants including a minimum liquidity requirement of \$20.0 million, minimum tangible net worth which includes junior subordinated notes as equity of \$150.0 million, maximum total liabilities less subordinate debt of \$2.0 billion, as well as certain other debt service coverage ratios and debt to equity ratios. At March 31, 2014, the outstanding balance of this facility was \$33.0 million. In April 2014,

the facility was repaid in full as part of the issuance of our third CLO.

Table of Contents

Line of Credit. In May 2012, we entered into a \$15.0 million committed revolving line of credit with a one year term maturing in May 2013, which is secured by a portion of the bonds originally issued by our CDO entities that have been repurchased by us. This facility has a 1% commitment fee, a 1% non-use fee and pays interest at a fixed rate of 8% on any drawn portion of the line. The facility has a debt service coverage ratio requirement for the posting of collateral. In January 2013, we amended the facility, increasing the committed amount to \$20.0 million and a fixed rate of interest of 8.5% on any drawn portion of the \$20.0 million commitment. The amendment also included a one year extension option upon maturity in May 2013 and required a 1% commitment fee and a 1% non-use fee. In May 2013, we extended the facility to a maturity in May 2014 with a one year extension option and a 1% extension fee, as well as amended the facility to have an 8.5% non-use fee on the first \$5.0 million not borrowed and a 1% non-use fee on the remaining funds not borrowed. At March 31, 2014, the outstanding balance of this facility was \$20.0 million.

Repurchase Agreements. We have utilized repurchase agreements to finance the purchase of RMBS investments, which were paid off at March 31, 2014. The first repurchase agreement generally financed between 60% and 90% of the value of each non-linked and linked RMBS investment, had a rolling monthly term, and bore interest at a rate of 125 to 200 basis points over LIBOR. This facility also included a minimum net worth covenant of \$100.0 million. The second repurchase agreement generally financed between 75% and 90% of the value of each non-linked and linked RMBS investment, had a rolling monthly term, and bore interest at a rate of 165 to 185 basis points over LIBOR.

CDOs

We completed the formation of three separate CDO entities since 2005 by issuing to third party investors, tranches of investment grade CDOs through newly-formed wholly-owned subsidiaries. The issuers hold assets, consisting primarily of real-estate related assets and cash, which serve as collateral for the CDOs. The assets pledged as collateral for the CDOs were contributed from our portfolio of assets. By contributing these real estate assets to the various CDOs, these transactions resulted in a decreased cost of funds relating to the corresponding CDO assets and created capacity in our debt facilities.

The CDOs could be replenished with substitute collateral for loans that are repaid during the first four years for CDO I and the first five years for CDO III and CDO III, subject to certain customary provisions. Thereafter, the outstanding debt balance is reduced as loans are repaid. All three CDOs have reached their respective replenishment dates and thus proceeds from the repayment of assets, which serve as collateral for the CDOs, must be retained in its structure as restricted cash and therefore is not available to fund current cash needs. Investor capital will be repaid quarterly from proceeds received from loan repayments held as collateral in accordance with the terms of the CDO. Proceeds distributed will be recorded as a reduction of the CDO liability. Our CDO vehicles are VIEs for which we are the primary beneficiary and are consolidated in our financial statements.

The following table outlines borrowings and the corresponding collateral under our CDOs as of March 31, 2014:

		De	ebt					Collateral			
						Loans				Cash	
		Face		Carrying		Unpaid		Carrying		Restricted	Collateral
		Value		Value		Principal (1)		Value (1)		Cash (2)	At-Risk (3)
CDO I	\$	95,135,611	\$	100,728,870	\$	252,974,537	\$	205,536,923	\$	265,957	\$ 191,605,030
CDO II		194,230,380		199,976,397		290,482,463		241,289,151		71,571,783	123,050,208

CDO III	210,519,572	219,065,707	332,248,731	301,726,802	907,953	166,106,827
Total CDOs	\$ 499,885,563	\$ 519,770,974 \$	875,705,731	\$ 748,552,876	\$ 72,745,693 \$	480,762,065

CDO I Issued four investment grade tranches in January 2005 with a reinvestment period through April 2009 and a stated maturity date of February 2040. Interest is variable based on three-month LIBOR; the weighted average note rate was 3.10%.

CDO II Issued nine investment grade tranches in January 2006 with a reinvestment period through April 2011 and a stated maturity date of April 2038. Interest is variable based on three-month LIBOR; the weighted average note rate was 3.37%.

Table of Contents

CDO III Issued ten investment grade tranches in December 2006 with a reinvestment period through January 2012 and a stated maturity date of January 2042. Interest is variable based on three-month LIBOR; the weighted average note rate was 0.82%.

- (1) Amounts include loans to real estate assets consolidated by us that were reclassified to real estate owned and held-for-sale, net on the Consolidated Financial Statements.
- (2) Represents restricted cash held for principal repayments in the CDOs. Does not include restricted cash related to interest payments, delayed fundings and expenses.
- (3) Amounts represent the face value of collateral in default, as defined by the CDO indenture, as well as assets deemed to be credit risk. Credit risk assets are reported by each of the CDOs and are generally defined as one that, in the CDO collateral manager s reasonable business judgment, has a significant risk of declining in credit quality or, with a passage of time, becoming a defaulted asset.

At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the aggregate weighted average note rate for our CDOs, including the cost of interest rate swaps on assets financed in these facilities, was 2.24% and 2.18%, respectively. Excluding the effect of swaps, the weighted average note rate at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 was 0.88% and 0.83%, respectively. Including certain fees and costs, the weighted average note rate was 3.67% and 3.26% at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

The following table sets forth the face amount and gain on extinguishment of our CDO bonds repurchased in the following periods by bond class:

		Three M	Ionths Ended	March 31,		
		2014		20	13	
	Face			Face		
Class:	Amount	Gain	A	Amount		Gain
Ц	\$	\$	•	7 100 000	¢	3 763 000

In 2010, we re-issued our own CDO bonds we had acquired during 2009 with an aggregate face amount of \$42.8 million, as well as CDO bonds from other issuers acquired in 2008 with an aggregate face amount of \$25.0 million and a carrying value of \$0.4 million, and \$10.5 million in cash, as part of an exchange for the retirement of \$114.1 million of our junior subordinated notes. The transaction resulted in the recording of \$65.2 million of additional CDO debt, of which \$42.3 million represents the portion of our CDO bonds that were exchanged and \$22.9 million represents the estimated interest due on the reissued bonds through their maturity, of which \$19.9 million remains at March 31, 2014.

CLOs

The following table outlines borrowings and the corresponding collateral under our CLOs as of March 31, 2014:

Debt Collateral

			Loans			Cash	
	Face Value	Carrying Value	Unpaid Principal		Carrying Value	Restricted Cash (1)	Collateral At-Risk (2)
CLO I	\$ 87,500,000	\$ 87,500,000	\$ 113,961,304	\$	113,548,264	\$ 11,125,346	\$
CLO II	177,000,000	177,000,000	259,638,391		258,752,946	92	
Total CLOs	\$ 264,500,000	\$ 264,500,000	\$ 373,599,695	\$	372,301,210	\$ 11,125,438	\$

CLO I Issued two investment grade tranches in September 2012 with a replacement period through September 2014 and a stated maturity date of October 2022. Interest is variable based on three-month LIBOR; the weighted average note rate was 3.59%.

CLO II Issued two investment grade tranches in January 2013 with a replacement period through January 2015 and a stated maturity date of February 2023. Interest is variable based on three-month LIBOR; the weighted average note rate was 2.54%.

⁽¹⁾ Represents restricted cash held for principal repayments in the CLOs. Does not include restricted cash related to interest payments, delayed fundings and expenses.

Table of Contents

(2) Amounts represent the face value of collateral in default, as defined by the CLO indenture, as well as assets deemed to be credit risk. Credit risk assets are reported by each of the CLOs and are generally defined as one that, in the CLO collateral manager s reasonable business judgment, has a significant risk of declining in credit quality or, with a passage of time, becoming a defaulted asset.

In September 2012, we completed our first collateralized loan obligation, or CLO, issuing to third party investors two tranches of investment grade CLOs through two newly-formed wholly-owned subsidiaries. Initially, the notes are secured by a portfolio of loan obligations with a face value of approximately \$125.1 million, consisting primarily of bridge loans and a senior participation interest in a first mortgage loan that were contributed from our existing loan portfolio. The financing has a two-year replacement period that allows the principal proceeds and sale proceeds (if any) of the loan obligations to be reinvested in qualifying replacement loan obligations, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the Indenture. Thereafter, the outstanding debt balance will be reduced as loans are repaid. The aggregate principal amounts of the two classes of notes are \$75.0 million of Class A senior secured floating rate notes and \$12.5 million of Class B secured floating rate notes. We retained a residual interest in the portfolio with a notional amount of \$37.6 million. The notes have an initial weighted average interest rate of approximately 3.39% plus one-month LIBOR and interest payments on the notes are payable monthly, beginning on November 15, 2012, to and including October 15, 2022, the stated maturity date of the notes.

In January 2013, we completed our second CLO, issuing to third party investors two tranches of investment grade CLOs through two newly-formed wholly-owned subsidiaries. Initially, the notes are secured by a portfolio of loan obligations with a face value of approximately \$210.0 million, consisting primarily of bridge loans and a senior participation interest in a first mortgage loan that were contributed from our existing loan portfolio. The financing has a two-year replacement period that allows the principal proceeds and sale proceeds (if any) of the loan obligations to be reinvested in qualifying replacement loan obligations, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the indenture. Thereafter, the outstanding debt balance will be reduced as loans are repaid. Initially, the proceeds of the issuance of the securities also included \$50.0 million for the purpose of acquiring additional loan obligations for a period of up to 90 days from the closing date of the CLO. Subsequently, the issuer owns loan obligations with a face value of approximately \$260.0 million. The aggregate principal amounts of the two classes of notes are \$156.0 million of Class A senior secured floating rate notes and \$21.0 million of Class B secured floating rate notes. We retained a residual interest in the portfolio with a notional amount of approximately \$83.0 million. The notes have an initial weighted average interest rate of approximately 2.36% plus one-month LIBOR and interest payments on the notes are payable monthly, through February 15, 2023, the stated maturity date of the notes.

In April 2014, we completed our third CLO, issuing to third party investors three tranches of investment grade CLOs through two newly-formed wholly-owned subsidiaries. As of the CLO closing date, the notes are secured by a portfolio of loan obligations with a face value of approximately \$307.3 million, consisting primarily of bridge loans that were contributed from the Company's existing loan portfolio. The financing has an approximate 2.5 year replacement period that allows the principal proceeds and sale proceeds (if any) of the loan obligations to be reinvested in qualifying replacement loan obligations, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the indenture. Thereafter, the outstanding debt balance will be reduced as loans are repaid. Initially, the proceeds of the issuance of the securities also included \$67.7 million for the purpose of acquiring additional loan obligations for a period of up to 120 days from the closing date of the CLO. Subsequently, it is expected that the issuer will own loan obligations with a face value of approximately \$281.3 million. The aggregate principal amounts of the three classes of notes are \$221.3 million of Class A senior secured floating rate notes, \$24.3 million of Class B secured floating rate notes and \$35.8 million of Class C secured floating rate notes. We retained a residual interest in the portfolio with a notional amount of approximately \$93.8 million. The notes have an initial weighted average interest rate of approximately 2.39% plus one-month LIBOR and interest payments on the notes are payable monthly. We expect to account for this transaction on its balance sheet as a financing facility.

At March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the aggregate weighted average note rate for our CLOs was 2.89% and 2.91%, respectively. Including certain fees and costs, the weighted average note rate was 3.52% and 3.49% at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

Our CLO vehicles are VIEs for which we are the primary beneficiary and are consolidated in our financial statements. The two investment grade tranches are treated as a secured financing, and are non-recourse to us.

Table of Contents
Junior Subordinated Notes
At March 31, 2014, the aggregate carrying value of borrowings under our junior subordinated notes was \$159.4 million, which is net of a deferred amount of \$16.4 million being amortized into interest expense over the life of the notes, with a current weighted average pay rate of 3.01%, however, based upon the accounting treatment for a prior year restructuring, the effective rate was 3.04%. See Note 7 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements set forth in Item 1 hereof for a further description of this transaction.
The junior subordinated notes are unsecured, have maturities of 25 to 28 years, pay interest quarterly at a floating rate of interest based on three-month LIBOR and, absent the occurrence of special events, were not redeemable during the first two years.
Notes Payable
At March 31, 2014, notes payable consisted of four junior loan participations with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$17.5 million.
Mortgage Note Payable Real Estate Owned and Held-For-Sale
During 2011, we assumed a \$55.4 million interest-only first lien mortgage in connection with the acquisition of real property pursuant to bankruptcy proceedings for an entity in which we had a \$29.8 million loan secured by our Multifamily Portfolio. The mortgage bears interest at a variable rate of one-month LIBOR plus 1.23% and in March 2014, the maturity date was extended to June 2014 with a one year extension option, subject to certain conditions. In September 2013, one of the properties in the Multifamily Portfolio was classified as held-for-sale and thus \$11.0 million of the first lien mortgage was classified as held-for-sale and the balance of \$42.7 million remained classified as real estate owned at March 31, 2014.
Restrictive Covenants
Our debt facilities contain various financial covenants and restrictions, including minimum net worth, minimum liquidity and maximum debt balance requirements, as well as certain other debt service coverage ratios and debt to equity ratios. We were in compliance with all financial covenants and restrictions at March 31, 2014.
Our CDO and CLO vehicles contain interest coverage and asset over collateralization covenants that must be met as of the waterfall distribution date in order for us to receive such payments. If we fail these covenants in any of our CDOs or CLOs, all cash flows from the applicable CDO or CLO would be diverted to repay principal and interest on the outstanding CDO or CLO bonds and we would not receive any residual payments until that CDO or CLO regained compliance with such tests. Our CDOs and CLOs were in compliance with all such covenants as of

March 31, 2014 as well as on the most recent determination date in April 2014. In the event of a breach of the CDO or CLO covenants that

could not be cured in the near-term, we would be required to fund our non-CDO or non-CLO expenses, including management fees and employee costs, distributions required to maintain REIT status, debt costs, and other expenses with (i) cash on hand, (ii) income from any CDO or CLO not in breach of a covenant test, (iii) income from real property and loan assets, (iv) sale of assets, or (v) or accessing the equity or debt capital markets, if available. We have the right to cure covenant breaches, which would resume normal residual payments to us by purchasing non-performing loans out of the CDOs or CLOs. However, we may not have sufficient liquidity available to do so at such time.

Table of Contents

The chart below is a summary of our CDO and CLO compliance tests as of the most recent determination dates in April 2014:

Cash Flow Triggers	CDO I	CDO II	CDO III	CLO I	CLO II
Overcollateralization (1)					
Current	184.35%	138.15%	108.74%	142.96%	146.89%
	4.5000	10= 000	405 600	12= 0.6%	444.57
Limit	145.00%	127.30%	105.60%	137.86%	144.25%
Pass / Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
1 455 / 1 411	1 ass	1 ass	1 ass	1 455	1 ass
Interest Coverage (2)					
Ŭ .					
Current	541.25%	457.31%	495.38%	232.75%	365.43%
Limit	160.00%	147.30%	105.60%	120.00%	120.00%
	_	_	_	_	_
Pass / Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass

⁽¹⁾ The overcollateralization ratio divides the total principal balance of all collateral in the CDO and CLO by the total principal balance of the bonds associated with the applicable ratio. To the extent an asset is considered a defaulted security, the asset s principal balance for purposes of the overcollateralization test, is the lesser of the asset s market value or the principal balance of the defaulted asset multiplied by the asset s recovery rate which is determined by the rating agencies. Rating downgrades of CDO and CLO collateral will generally not have a direct impact on the principal balance of a CDO and CLO asset for purposes of calculating the CDO and CLO overcollateralization test unless the rating downgrade is below a significantly low threshold (e.g. CCC-) as defined in each CDO and CLO vehicle.

(2) The interest coverage ratio divides interest income by interest expense for the classes senior to those retained by us.

The chart below is a summary of our CDO and CLO overcollateralization ratios as of the following determination dates:

Determination Date	CDO I	CDO II	CDO III	CLO I	CLO II
April 2014	184.35%	138.15%	108.74%	142.96%	146.89%
January 2014	167.15%	137.87%	107.80%	142.96%	146.89%
October 2013	166.88%	133.77%	106.64%	142.96%	146.89%
July 2013	176.69%	139.10%	106.61%	142.96%	146.89%
April 2013	174.76%	138.97%	106.56%	142.96%	146.89%

The ratio will fluctuate based on the performance of the underlying assets, transfers of assets into the CDOs and CLOs prior to the expiration of their respective replenishment dates, purchase or disposal of other investments, and loan payoffs. No payment due under the Junior Subordinated Indentures may be paid if there is a default under any senior debt and the senior lender has sent notice to the trustee. The Junior Subordinated Indentures are also cross-defaulted with each other.

Table of Contents

Contractual Commitments

As of March 31, 2014, we had the following material contractual obligations (dollars in thousands):

			Pay	ments	Due by Perio	d (1)				
Contractual Obligations	2014	2015	2016		2017		2018	7	Thereafter	Total
Credit facilities	\$ 61,497	\$ 186,709	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$ 248,206
Collateralized debt obligations										
(2)	174,364	81,059	183,182		81,166					519,771
Collateralized loan obligations										
(3)	42,081	30,769	56,200		81,657		53,793			264,500
Junior subordinated									155.050	177.050
notes (4)	1.750		15,000				7.40		175,858	175,858
Notes payable	1,750		15,000				749			17,499
Mortgage note payable real estate owned and										
held-for-sale	53,751									53,751
Outstanding unfunded										
commitments (5)	3,292	2,435	527		43				22	6,319
Totals	\$ 336,735	\$ 300,972	\$ 254,909	\$	162,866	\$	54,542	\$	175,880	\$ 1,285,904

- (1) Represents principal amounts due based on contractual maturities. Does not include total projected interest payments on our debt obligations of \$23.8 million in 2014, \$22.8 million in 2015, \$16.5 million in 2016, \$9.7 million in 2017, \$6.7 million in 2018 and \$85.1 million thereafter based on current LIBOR rates.
- (2) Comprised of \$100.7 million of CDO I debt, \$200.0 million of CDO II debt and \$219.1 million of CDO III debt with a weighted average contractual maturity of 0.83, 1.82 and 1.40 years, respectively, as of March 31, 2014. The balance of estimated interest due through maturity on CDO bonds reissued in 2010, which is included in the carrying values of the CDOs, totaled \$19.9 million at March 31, 2014.
- (3) Represents \$87.5 million of CLO I debt and \$177.0 million of CLO II debt with a weighted average contractual maturity of 2.46 and 2.56 years, respectively, as of March 31, 2014.
- (4) Represents the face amount due upon maturity. The carrying value is \$159.4 million, which is net of a deferred amount of \$16.4 million at March 31, 2014.
- (5) In accordance with certain loans and investments, we have outstanding unfunded commitments of \$6.3 million as of March 31, 2014, that we are obligated to fund as the borrowers meet certain requirements. Specific requirements include, but are not limited

to, property renovations, building construction, and building conversions based on criteria met by the borrower in accordance with the loan agreements. In relation to the \$6.3 million outstanding balance at March 31, 2014, our restricted cash balance contained approximately \$5.4 million available to fund the portion of the unfunded commitments for loans financed by our CDO and CLO vehicles.

Management Agreement

We, ARLP and Arbor Realty SR, Inc. have a management agreement with ACM, pursuant to which ACM provides certain services and we pay ACM a base management fee and under certain circumstances, an annual incentive fee.

The base management fee is an arrangement whereby we reimburse ACM for its actual costs incurred in managing our business based on the parties agreement in advance on an annual budget with subsequent quarterly true-ups to actual costs. All origination fees on investments are retained by us.

58

Table of Contents

We incurred \$2.5 million and \$2.8 million of base management fee for services rendered in the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The incentive fee is measured on an annual basis and is calculated as (1) 25% of the amount by which (a) our funds from operations per share, adjusted for certain gains and losses including gains from the retirement and restructuring of debt and 60% of any loan loss reserve recoveries (spread over a three year period), exceeds (b) the product of (x) 9.5% per annum or the Ten Year U.S. Treasury Rate plus 3.5%, whichever is greater, and (y) the greater of \$10.00 or the weighted average of book value of the net assets contributed by ACM to ARLP per ARLP partnership unit, the offering price per share of our common equity in the private offering on July 1, 2003 and subsequent offerings and the issue price per ARLP partnership unit for subsequent contributions to ARLP, multiplied by (2) the weighted average of our outstanding shares.

The minimum return, or incentive fee hurdle to be reached before an incentive fee is earned, is a percentage applied on a per share basis to the greater of \$10.00 or the average gross proceeds per share. In addition, 60% of any loan loss and other reserve recoveries are eligible to be included in the incentive fee calculation, which recoveries are spread over a three year period. For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, no incentive fee payments were made.

The management agreement also allows us to consider, from time to time, the payment of additional success-based fees to ACM for accomplishing certain specified corporate objectives; has a termination fee of \$10.0 million; and is renewable automatically for successive one-year terms, unless terminated with six months prior written notice. If we terminate or elect not to renew the management agreement without cause, we are required to pay the termination fee of \$10.0 million. For the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, no success-based payments were made.

In 2007, ACM received an incentive fee installment totaling \$19.0 million which was recorded as a prepaid management fee related to the incentive fee on \$77.1 million of deferred revenue recognized on the transfer of control of the 450 West 33rd Street property, which is one of our equity affiliates.

Related Party Transactions

Due from related party was approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, and consisted primarily of escrows held by ACM and its affiliates related to real estate transactions.

Due to related party was \$1.1 million at March 31, 2014 and consisted primarily of base management fees due to ACM, of which \$0.7 million will be remitted by us in the following quarter. At December 31, 2013, due to related party was \$2.8 million and consisted primarily of base management fees due to ACM that were remitted by us in the following quarter.

In March 2014, we originated a bridge loan to a third party borrower for a portfolio of properties with an unpaid principal balance of \$70.1 million, of which, \$15.0 million was financed with junior loan participations to ACM. The loan has a weighted average interest rate of 6.38% and a maturity date of March 2016. The participations have a weighted average interest rate of 7.20% and a maturity date of March 2016.

Interest income recorded from this loan totaled approximately \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

We had two loans totaling \$22.4 million, which were secured by a property purchased in 2011 by a third party borrower from ACM. In the first quarter 2014, ACM purchased the property from the prior borrower subject to the Company s loans. In connection with this purchase, ACM paid down the loans by \$2.3 million and the Company restructured its remaining debt outstanding into a first mortgage of \$14.6 million with a maturity date of June 2014 and a second mortgage of \$5.1 million with a maturity date of April 2015, both with an interest rate of LIBOR plus 4.80%. Interest income recorded from these loans totaled approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Table of Contents

In October 2013, we purchased, at par, a \$3.0 million mezzanine loan from ACM who originated the loan in September 2013 to a third party entity. The loan has a fixed interest rate of 13.00% and a maturity date of October 2018. Interest income recorded from this loan was approximately \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

In April 2013, we originated six bridge loans totaling \$53.0 million for a portfolio of multifamily properties owned by a consortium of investors including Mr. Ivan Kaufman and his affiliates and Mr. Fred Weber, who together own an interest of approximately 19% in the borrowing entity. The loans had an interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 7.25% and a maturity date of April 2015, which were paid off in the fourth quarter of 2013. In November 2013, we originated a new bridge loan for \$2.0 million with an interest rate of one-month LIBOR plus 5.50%. Interest income recorded from these loans totaled approximately \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

In June 2013, our board of directors formed a Special Committee consisting of independent directors in connection with the exploration and evaluation of a potential transaction with our manager involving the acquisition of our manager s Fannie Mae, DUS, FHA and CMBS platforms, as well as the internalization of the management of our current business. Although there have been preliminary discussions between the Special Committee and representatives of our manager, we cannot provide any assurance regarding the timing, terms or form of any such transaction, including the amount or type of consideration (including the issuance of common stock) or related financing, or whether any transaction between us and our manager will occur at all. Also, in connection with evaluating a potential transaction with our manager, the Special Committee engaged legal, financial and accounting advisors resulting in approximately \$1.4 million of advisory fees for the year ended December 31, 2013. No advisory fees were incurred during the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

In April 2013, we purchased, at par, a \$6.4 million bridge loan from ACM who originated the loan in March 2013 to a third party entity that acquired the property from an entity owned by Mr. Ivan Kaufman and his affiliates and Mr. Fred Weber, who together also provided a \$1.1 million preferred equity contribution to the overall transaction. Mr. Ivan Kaufman also provided a \$1.0 million personal guaranty on the bridge loan. The bridge loan bears interest at a rate of one-month LIBOR plus 5.00% for the first year then one-month LIBOR plus 6.00% thereafter and has a maturity date of March 2015 with three one year extension options. Interest income recorded from this loan totaled approximately \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

In January 2013, we originated a \$7.5 million bridge loan for a multifamily property in Charlotte, North Carolina. William C. Green, who serves on our Board of Directors, holds a 6.6% partnership interest in the borrowing entity and is the chief financial officer of an affiliated entity that is a partner in, and the management company for, the borrowing entity. Mr. Green also provided a \$0.4 million personal guaranty on the bridge loan. The loan bore interest at a rate of one-month LIBOR plus 6.00%, had a maturity date of January 2015, and was paid off in the second quarter of 2014. Interest income recorded from this loan totaled approximately \$0.1 million for both the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013.

In December 2011, we completed a restructuring of a \$67.6 million preferred equity investment on the Lexford Portfolio (Lexford), which is a portfolio of multi-family assets. We, along with a consortium of independent outside investors, made an additional preferred equity investment of \$25.0 million in Lexford of which we held a \$10.5 million interest, and Mr. Fred Weber, our executive vice president of structured finance, held a \$0.5 million interest, which was paid down to \$22.5 million in the third quarter of 2013, and then paid off in the fourth quarter of 2013. The original preferred equity investment now bears a fixed rate of interest of 2.36%, revised from an original rate of LIBOR plus 5.00% (the loan was paying a modified rate of LIBOR plus 1.65% at the time of the new investment). The original preferred equity investment matures in June 2020. Interest income recorded from the preferred equity investment totaled approximately \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The new preferred equity investment had a fixed interest rate of 12% and a maturity date in June 2020. We, along with the same outside investors, also made a \$0.1 million equity investment into Lexford, of which we held a \$44,000 noncontrolling interest, and do not have the power to control the significant activities of the entity. During the fourth quarter of 2011, we recorded losses from the entity against the equity investment, reducing the balance to zero. We record this investment under the equity method of accounting. In addition, under the terms

of the restructuring, Lexford s first mortgage lender required a change of property manager for the underlying assets. The new management company is an affiliate of Mr. Ivan Kaufman, our chairman and chief executive officer, and has a

Table of Contents

contract with the new entity for 7.5 years and will be entitled to 4.75% of gross revenues of the underlying properties, along with the potential to share in the proceeds of a sale or refinancing of the debt should the management company remain engaged by the new entity at the time of such capital event. In the first quarter of 2012, Mr. Fred Weber invested \$250,000 in the new management company and currently owns a 23.5% ownership interest. Mr. Ivan Kaufman and his affiliates currently own a 53.9% ownership interest. We have provided limited (bad boy) guarantees for certain debt controlled by Lexford. The bad boy guarantees may become a liability for us upon standard bad acts such as fraud or a material misrepresentation by Lexford or us. At March 31, 2014, this debt had an aggregate outstanding balance of \$734.4 million and is scheduled to mature between 2017 and 2023.

Interest income recorded from loans originated in 2012 or prior years with our affiliates totaled \$0.5 million and \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

We are dependent upon our manager, ACM, with whom we have a conflict of interest, to provide services to us that are vital to our operations. Our chairman, chief executive officer and president, Mr. Ivan Kaufman, is also the chief executive officer and president of our manager, and, our chief financial officer and treasurer, Mr. Paul Elenio, is the chief financial officer of our manager. In addition, Mr. Kaufman and his affiliated entities (the Kaufman Entities) together beneficially own approximately 92% of the outstanding membership interests of ACM and certain of our employees and directors also hold an ownership interest in ACM. Furthermore, one of our former directors is general counsel to ACM and another of our directors also serves as the trustee of one of the Kaufman Entities that holds a majority of the outstanding membership interests in ACM and co-trustee of another Kaufman Entity that owns an equity interest in our manager. ACM currently holds approximately 5.3 million of our common shares, representing approximately 11% of the voting power of our outstanding stock as of March 31, 2014. Our Board of Directors approved a resolution under our charter allowing Ivan Kaufman and ACM, (which Mr. Kaufman has a controlling equity interest in), to own more than the 5% ownership interest limit of our common stock as stated in our charter as amended.

Critical Accounting Policies

Please refer to the section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013 entitled Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Significant Accounting Estimates and Critical Accounting Policies for a discussion of our critical accounting policies. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, there were no material changes to these policies.

Hedging Activities and Derivatives

We recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities at fair value and these amounts are recorded in other assets or other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Additionally, the fair value adjustments will affect either accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until the hedged item is recognized in earnings, or net income (loss) depending on whether the derivative instrument qualifies as a hedge for accounting purposes and, if so, the nature of the hedging activity. We use derivatives for hedging purposes rather than speculation. Fair values are approximated based on current market data received from financial sources that trade such instruments and are based on prevailing market data and derived from third party proprietary models based on well recognized financial principles and reasonable estimates about relevant future market conditions.

We record all derivatives on the Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value. Additionally, the accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether a company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk in a fair value hedge or the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge. We may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge certain of our risks, even though hedge accounting does not apply or we elect not to apply hedge accounting.

Table of Contents

In the normal course of business, we may use a variety of derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risk. These derivative financial instruments must be effective in reducing its interest rate risk exposure in order to qualify for hedge accounting. When the terms of an underlying transaction are modified, or when the underlying hedged item ceases to exist, all changes in the fair value of the instrument are marked-to-market with changes in value included in net income for each period until the derivative instrument matures or is settled. Any derivative instrument used for risk management that does not meet the hedging criteria is marked-to-market with the changes in value included in net income. In cases where a derivative financial instrument is terminated early, any gain or loss is generally amortized over the remaining life of the hedged item.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014 we entered into a LIBOR Cap with a notional value of approximately \$71.7 million that was not designated as cash flow hedge, the notional value on a basis swap decreased by approximately \$8.6 million pursuant to the contractual terms of the respective swap agreement and two interest rate swaps matured with a combined notional value of approximately \$32.0 million. Gains and losses on terminated swaps are deferred and recognized in interest expense over the original life of the hedged item. The fair value of our qualifying hedge portfolio has decreased by approximately \$2.9 million from December 31, 2013 as a result of the amortized notional value of swaps. During the three months ended March 31, 2013 we entered into a LIBOR Cap with a notional value of approximately \$6.0 million that was not designated as cash flow hedge. In certain circumstances, we have financed the purchase of RMBS investments through a repurchase agreement with the same counterparty which qualified as a linked transaction. If both transactions are entered into contemporaneously or in contemplation of each other, the transactions are presumed to be linked transactions and we account for the purchase of such securities and the repurchase agreement on a combined basis as a forward contract derivative at fair value which is reported in other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets with changes in the fair value of the assets and liabilities underlying linked transactions and associated interest income and expense reported in other income on the Consolidated Statements of Income. The analysis of transactions under these rules requires management s judgment and experience. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, we sold six RMBS investments, which were accounted for as linked transactions, with an aggregate carrying value of \$47.8 million for approximately \$48.0 million and recorded a net gain of \$0.2 million related to the settlement of these linked transactions. The six RMBS investments were financed with repurchase agreements totaling \$41.8 million which were repaid with the proceeds. The RMBS investments, net of their respective financing, had a total fair value at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 of \$2.9 million and \$6.4 million, respectively, which is recorded in other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Because the valuations of our derivatives are based on estimates, the fair value may change if our estimates are inaccurate. For the effect of hypothetical changes in market interest rates on our interest rate swaps, see Interest Rate Risk in Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, set forth in Item 3 hereof.

Table of Contents

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Funds from Operations

We present funds from operations (FFO) because we believe it to be an important supplemental measure of our operating performance in that it is frequently used by analysts, investors and other parties in the evaluation of REITs. The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, defines FFO as net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (losses) from sales of depreciated real properties, plus impairments of depreciated properties and real estate related depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated ventures. We consider gains and losses on the sales of undepreciated real estate investments to be a normal part of our recurring operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be excluded when calculating FFO. In accordance with the revised white paper, losses from discontinued operations are not excluded when calculating FFO.

FFO is not intended to be an indication of our cash flow from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP) or a measure of our liquidity, nor is it entirely indicative of funding our cash needs, including our ability to make cash distributions. Our calculation of FFO may be different from the calculation used by other companies and, therefore, comparability may be limited.

FFO for the three months ended March 31, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

	Three Months E	Ended Ma	rch 31, 2013
Net income attributable to Arbor Realty Trust, Inc. common	201.		2010
stockholders	\$ 5,874,761	\$	6,640,239
Add:			
Impairment loss on real estate owned	250,000		
Depreciation real estate owned and held-for-sale (1)	1,811,683		1,632,131
Depreciation investment in equity affiliates	69,370		22,599
Funds from operations (FFO)	\$ 8,005,814	\$	8,294,969
Diluted FFO per common share	\$ 0.16	\$	0.24
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	49,752,813		34,236,689

⁽¹⁾ Includes discontinued operations.

Adjusted Book Value per Common Share

We believe that adjusted book value per common share is an additional appropriate measure given the magnitude and the deferral structure of the 450 West 33rd Street transaction from 2007, as well as the significance of the unrealized gain and/or loss position of our qualifying derivative instruments. Adjusted book value per common share currently reflects the future impact of the 450 West 33rd Street transaction, as

well as the removal of the temporary nature of unrealized gains or losses as a component of equity from qualifying interest rate swaps on our financial position. Over time, as these qualifying interest rate swaps reach their maturity, the fair value of these swaps will return to their original par value. We consider this non-GAAP financial measure to be an effective indicator of our financial condition for both us and our investors. We do not advocate that investors consider this non-GAAP financial measure in isolation from, or as a substitute for, financial measures prepared in accordance with GAAP. In addition, GAAP book value per common share and adjusted book value per common share calculations do not take into account any dilution from the potential exercise of the warrants issued to Wachovia as part of the 2009 debt restructuring.

Table of Contents

GAAP book value per share and adjusted book value per share as of March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013 is as follows:

	March 31, 2014	Γ	December 31, 2013
GAAP Arbor Realty Trust, Inc. Stockholders Equity	\$ 467,890,296	\$	437,596,282
Subtract: 8.25% Series A, 7.75% Series B and 8.50% Series C cumulative redeemable preferred stock	(89,295,905)		(67,654,655)
GAAP Arbor Realty Trust, Inc. Common Stockholders Equity	\$ 	\$	369,941,627
Add: 450 West 33rd Street transaction deferred revenue	77,123,133		77,123,133
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments	21,921,045		24,794,051
Subtract: 450 West 33rd Street transaction prepaid management fee	(19,047,949)		(19,047,949)
Adjusted Arbor Realty Trust, Inc. Common Stockholders Equity	\$ 458,590,620	\$	452,810,862
Adjusted book value per common share	\$ 9.15	\$	9.22
GAAP book value per common share	\$ 7.55	\$	7.53
Common shares outstanding	50,136,308		49,136,308

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the exposure to loss resulting from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, commodity prices, equity prices and real estate values. The primary market risks that we are exposed to are real estate risk and interest rate risk.

Market Conditions

We are subject to market changes in the debt and secondary mortgage markets. These markets have experienced disruptions in the past, which have and may in the future have an adverse impact on our earnings and financial condition.

In general, credit markets have experienced difficulty over the past several years. However, of late, we have been able to access equity and debt markets through equity offerings and the issuance of CLOs. While there can be no assurance that we will continue to have access to the equity and debt markets, we will continue to pursue these and other available market opportunities as means to increase our liquidity and capital base.

Real Estate Risk

Commercial mortgage assets may be viewed as exposing an investor to greater risk of loss than residential mortgage assets since such assets are typically secured by larger loans to fewer obligors than residential mortgage assets. Multi-family and commercial property values and net operating income derived from such properties are subject to volatility and may be affected adversely by a number of factors, including, but not limited to, events such as natural disasters including hurricanes and earthquakes, acts of war and/or terrorism and others that may cause unanticipated and uninsured performance declines and/or losses to us or the owners and operators of the real estate securing our investment; national, regional and local economic conditions (which may be adversely affected by industry slowdowns and other factors); local real estate conditions (such as an oversupply of housing, retail, industrial, office or other commercial space); changes or continued weakness in specific industry segments; construction quality, construction delays, construction cost, age and design; demographic factors; retroactive changes to building or similar codes; and increases in operating expenses (such as energy costs). In the event net operating income decreases, a borrower may have difficulty repaying our loans, which could result in losses to us.

Table of Contents

In addition, decreases in property values reducing the value of collateral, and a lack of liquidity in the market, could reduce the potential proceeds available to a borrower to repay our loans, which could also cause us to suffer losses. Even when the net operating income is sufficient to cover the related property s debt service, there can be no assurance that this will continue to be the case in the future.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is highly sensitive to many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors beyond our control.

Our operating results will depend in large part on differences between the income from our loans and our borrowing costs. Most of our loans and borrowings are variable-rate instruments, based on LIBOR. The objective of this strategy is to minimize the impact of interest rate changes on our net interest income. In addition, we have various fixed rate loans in our portfolio, which are financed with variable rate LIBOR borrowings. We have entered into various interest swaps to hedge our exposure to interest rate risk on our variable rate LIBOR borrowings as it relates to our fixed rate loans. Certain of these swaps are scheduled to mature on the original maturity dates of their corresponding loans. However, loans are sometimes extended and, consequently, do not pay off on their original maturity dates. If a loan is extended, whether it is through an existing extension option or a modification, our exposure to interest rate risk may be increased. In these instances, we could have a fixed rate loan in our portfolio financed with variable debt and, since the corresponding interest swap already matured, a portion of our debt is no longer protected against interest rate risk. Some of our loans and borrowings are subject to various interest rate floors. As a result, the impact of a change in interest rates may be different on our interest income than it is on our interest expense.

We have utilized interest rate swaps to limit interest rate risk. Derivatives are used for hedging purposes rather than speculation. We do not enter into financial instruments for trading purposes.

The following table projects the potential impact on interest income and interest expense for a 12-month period, and the potential change in fair value of our derivative financial instruments as of March 31, 2014, assuming an instantaneous increase or decrease of both 25 and 50 basis points in LIBOR and forward interest rate curves, adjusted for the effects of our interest rate hedging activities.

	Assets (Liabilities) Subject to Interest Rate Sensitivity (1)	25 Basis Point Increase	25 Basis Point Decrease (2)	50 Basis Point Increase	50 Basis Point Decrease (1)
Interest income from loans and investments	\$ 1,712,425,103	\$ 2,329,028	\$ (791,267)	\$ 5,182,655	\$ (791,267)
Interest expense from debt obligations	(1,205,948,461)	2,294,941	(1,395,324)	4,589,881	(1,395,324)
Total net interest income (expense)		\$ 34,087	\$ 604,057	\$ 592,773	\$ 604,057
Fair value of derivative financial instruments	\$ (18,987,131)	\$ 1,325,395	\$ (1,328,072)	\$ 2,641,521	\$ (2,509,444)

- (1) Represents the unpaid principal balance of our loan portfolio and the net fair value of our derivative financial instruments, which includes interest rate swaps, basis swaps, LIBOR caps and forward contracts.
- (2) Assumes the LIBOR rate will not decrease below zero. The quoted one-month LIBOR rate was 0.15% as March 31, 2014.

65

Table of Contents

In the event of a significant rising interest rate environment and/or economic downturn, defaults could increase and result in credit losses to us, which could adversely affect our liquidity and operating results. Further, such delinquencies or defaults could have an adverse effect on the spreads between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

Certain of our interest rate swaps, which are designed to hedge interest rate risk associated with a portion of our loans and investments, could require the funding of additional cash collateral for changes in the market value of these swaps. Due to the prolonged volatility in the financial markets that began in 2007, the values of these interest rate swaps have declined substantially. As a result, at March 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, we funded approximately \$13.1 million and \$14.2 million, respectively, in cash related to these swaps. If we continue to experience significant changes in the outlook of interest rates, these contracts could continue to decline in value, which would require additional cash to be funded. However, at maturity the value of these contracts return to par and all cash will be recovered. If we do not have available cash to meet these requirements, this could result in the early termination of these interest rate swaps, leaving us exposed to interest rate risk associated with these loans and investments, which could adversely impact our financial condition.

Our hedging transactions using derivative instruments also involve certain additional risks such as counterparty credit risk, the enforceability of hedging contracts and the risk that unanticipated and significant changes in interest rates will cause a significant loss of basis in the contract. The counterparties to our derivative arrangements are major financial institutions with high credit ratings with which we and our affiliates may also have other financial relationships. As a result, we do not anticipate that any of these counterparties will fail to meet their obligations. There can be no assurance that we will be able to adequately protect against the foregoing risks and will ultimately realize an economic benefit that exceeds the related amounts incurred in connection with engaging in such hedging strategies.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures at March 31, 2014. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2014.

No change in internal control over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2014 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not involved in any material litigation nor, to our knowledge, is any material litigation threatened against us other than the following:

On June 15, 2011, three related lawsuits were filed by the Extended Stay Litigation Trust (the Trust), a post-bankruptcy litigation trust alleged to have standing to pursue claims that previously had been held by Extended Stay, Inc. and the Homestead Village L.L.C. family of companies (together ESI) (formerly Chapter 11 debtors, together the Debtors) that have emerged from bankruptcy. Two of the lawsuits were filed in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York, and the third in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County. There were 73 defendants in the three lawsuits, including 55 corporate and partnership entities and 18 individuals. A subsidiary of ours and certain other entities that are affiliates of ours are included as defendants. The New York State Court action has been removed to the Bankruptcy Court. Our affiliates filed a motion to dismiss the three lawsuits.

Table of Contents

The lawsuits all allege, as a factual basis and background, certain facts surrounding the June 2007 leveraged buyout of ESI from affiliates of Blackstone Capital. Our subsidiary, Arbor ESH II, LLC, had a \$115.0 million investment in the Series A1 Preferred Units of a holding company of Extended Stay, Inc. The New York State Court action and one of the two federal court actions name as defendants, Arbor ESH II, LLC, Arbor Commercial Mortgage, LLC and ABT-ESI LLC, an entity in which we have a membership interest, among the broad group of defendants. These two actions were commenced by substantially identical complaints. The defendants are alleged in these complaints, among other things, to have breached fiduciary and contractual duties by causing or allowing the Debtors to pay illegal dividends or other improper distributions of value at a time when the Debtors were insolvent. These two complaints also allege that the defendants aided and abetted, induced, or participated in breaches of fiduciary duty, waste, and unjust enrichment (Fiduciary Duty Claims) and name a director of ours, and a former general counsel of Arbor Commercial Mortgage, LLC, each of whom had served on the Board of Directors of ESI for a period of time. We are defending these two defendants and paying the costs of such defense. On the basis of the foregoing allegations, the Trust has asserted claims under a number of common law theories, seeking the return of assets transferred by the Debtors prior to the Debtors bankruptcy filing.

In the third action, filed in Bankruptcy Court, the same plaintiff, the Trust, has named Arbor Commercial Mortgage, LLC and ABT-ESI LLC, together with a number of other defendants and asserts claims, including constructive and fraudulent conveyance claims under state and federal statutes, as well as a claim under the Federal Debt Collection Procedure Act.

On June 28, 2013, the Trust filed a motion to amend the lawsuits, to, among other things, (i) consolidate the lawsuits into one lawsuit, (ii) remove 47 defendants, none of whom are related to us, from the lawsuits so that there are 26 remaining defendants, including 16 corporate and partnership entities and 10 individuals, and (iii) reduce the counts within the lawsuits from over 100 down to 17. The remaining counts in the amended complaint against affiliates of ours are principally state law claims for breach of fiduciary duties, waste, unlawful dividends and unjust enrichment, and claims under the Bankruptcy Code for avoidance and recovery actions, among others. The bankruptcy court granted the motion and the amended complaint has been filed. The amended complaint seeks \$139.0 million in the aggregate from director designees and affiliates of ours. We have moved to dismiss the referenced actions and intend to vigorously defend against the claims asserted therein. During a status conference held on March 18, 2014, the Court heard oral argument on the motion to dismiss and adjourned the case pending a ruling.

We have not made a loss accrual for this litigation because we believe that it is not probable that a loss has been incurred and an amount cannot be reasonably estimated.

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes to the risk factors set forth in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

Item 3.	DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES
None.	
Item 4 MI	NE SAFETY DISCLOSURES
100m 4. WH	AL SALETT DISCESSEES
None.	
Item 5.	OTHER INFORMATION
None.	
	67

Table of Contents

Item 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description
10.1	Indenture, dated April 28, 2014, by and between Arbor Realty Collateralized Loan Obligation 2014-1, Ltd., Arbor Realty
10.1	Collateralized Loan Obligation 2014-1, LLC, Arbor Realty SR, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association.
10.2	Loan Obligation Purchase Agreement, dated April 28, 2014, by and between Arbor Realty SR, Inc. and Arbor Realty
	Collateralized Loan Obligation 2014-1, Ltd.
10.3	Lead Placement Agreement, dated April 17, 2014, by and between Arbor Realty Collateralized Loan Obligation 2014-1, Ltd.,
	Arbor Realty Collateralized Loan Obligation 2014-1, LLC and Sandler O Neill & Partners, L.P.
10.4	European Co-Placement Agreement, dated April 17, 2014, by and between Arbor Realty Collateralized Loan Obligation
	2014-1, Ltd., Arbor Realty Collateralized Loan Obligation 2014-1, LLC and Chalkhill Partners LLP.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the
	Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the
	Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.1	Financial statements from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Arbor Realty Trust, Inc. for the quarter ended March 31,
	2014, filed on May 1, 2014, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of
	Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) the Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity,
	(v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (vi) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized:

ARBOR REALTY TRUST, INC.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ Ivan Kaufman

Name: Ivan Kaufman Title: Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Paul Elenio

Name: Paul Elenio

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 1, 2014

69