NAVIGATORS GROUP INC Form 10-Q August 02, 2006

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Under Section 13 or 15(d)

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2006

Commission file number 0-15886

The Navigators Group, Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

13-3138397

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

One Penn Plaza, New York, New York

(Address of principal executive offices)

10119

(Zip Code)

(212) 244-2333

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report.)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x = No = o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large Accelerated Filer o Accelerated Filer x Non-Accelerated Filer o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

The number of common shares outstanding as of July 24, 2006 was 16,670,566.

INDEX

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

Item 1. Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets

June 30, 2006 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2005

Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005

Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity (Unaudited)

June 30, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005

Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Item 4. Submissions of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Item 5. Other Information

Item 6. Exhibits

Signature

Index of Exhibits

Part 1. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

THE NAVIGATORS GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(\$ in thousands, except share data)

	June 2006 (Una	,	Dec 200:	ember 31, 5
ASSETS	`	,		
Investments and cash:				
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale, at fair value				
(amortized cost: 2006, \$1,187,261; 2005, \$986,726)	\$	1,158,996	\$	984,113
Equity securities, available-for-sale, at fair value (cost: 2006, \$26,212; 2005, \$19,667)	27,6	68	20,9	911
Short-term investments, at cost which approximates fair value	107,	542	164	,047
Cash	14,3		13,	
Total investments and cash	1,30	8,551	1,18	32,236
Premiums in course of collection	227,	.121	155	,977
Commissions receivable	2,52	4	3,40	67
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	186,	854	136	,341
Reinsurance receivable on paid losses	86,3	58	37,0)55
Reinsurance receivable on unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	984,	887	979	,015
Net deferred income tax benefit	39,5	23	28,3	317
Deferred policy acquisition costs	43,3	58	29,0	597
Accrued investment income	12,7	62	10,2	297
Goodwill and other intangible assets	7,706			
Other assets	16,368			506
Total assets	\$	2,916,012	\$	2,583,249
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Liabilities:				
Reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$	1,618,783	\$	1,557,991
Unearned premium	423,	020	316	,516
Reinsurance balances payable	205,	184	180	,059
Senior notes	123,	506		
Federal income tax payable	1,55	8	1,2	74
Payable for securities purchased	3,68	1	823	
Accounts payable and other liabilities	49,8	05	56,3	348
Total liabilities	2,42	5,537	2,1	13,011
Stockholders equity:				
Preferred stock, \$.10 par value, authorized 1,000,000 shares, none issued				
Common stock, \$.10 par value, shares authorized: 50,000,000 for 2006 and 20,000,000 for 2005;				
issued and outstanding: 16,670,566 for 2006 and 16,616,781 for 2005.	1,66	7	1,60	52
Additional paid-in capital	284,		282	,463
Retained earnings	220,	524		,901
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)	(16,	129	(78	
Total stockholders equity	490,		_	,238
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$	2,916,012	\$	2,583,249
	-	,. ,, _	,	,,,

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(\$ and shares in thousands, except net income per share)

	June 2006	,	Endec	2005	;	
Gross written premium	\$	252,365		\$	190,133	
Revenues:						
Net written premium	\$	129,248		\$	93,257	
(Increase) in unearned premium	(14,	707)	(7,4	25)
Net earned premium	114,	,541		85,8		
Commission income	540			1,51	4	
Net investment income	14,0	03		8,96		
Net realized capital gains (losses).	(192	2)	416		
Other income	44			470		
Total revenues	128,	,936		97,1	93	
Operating expenses:						
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred	66,6	27		53.6	11	
Commission expense	13,6			9,24		
Other operating expenses	19,9			17,6		
Interest expense	1,82			17,0	20	
Total operating expenses	101,			80,4	.81	
Total operating expenses	101,	,,,,,,		00,	01	
Income before income tax expense	26,9	38		16,7	'12	
Income tax expense (benefit):						
Current	10,0			8,06	9	
Deferred	(1,19))	(2,6))
Total income tax expense	8,84	.0		5,43	2	
Net income	\$	18,098		\$	11,280	
Net income per common share:						
Basic	\$	1.09		\$	0.88	
Diluted	\$	1.08		\$	0.88	
Average common shares outstanding:						
Basic	16,6	668		12,7	63	
Diluted	16,7	91		12,8	53	
See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statement	š.					

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(\$ and shares in thousands, except net income per share)

	June 2000	Months End e 30, 6 audited)	led	2005	;	
Gross written premium	\$	516,149		\$	407,129	
Revenues:						
Net written premium	\$	272,674		\$	210,347	
(Increase) in unearned premium	(54,	411)	(46,	977)
Net earned premium	218	,263		163	,370	
Commission income	1,82			2,78	4	
Net investment income	26,5	553		16,5	83	
Net realized capital gains (losses)	(610	5)	583		
Other income	230			1,36		
Total revenues	246	,256		184	,687	
Operating expenses:						
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred	128	,744		99,8	-	
Commission expense	27,3			18,8		
Other operating expenses	38,3			35,0	72	
Interest expense	1,82					
Total operating expenses	196	,228		153	,750	
Income before income tax expense	50,0)28		30,9	37	
Income tax expense (benefit):						
Current	19,3			13,2		
Deferred	(2,9	61)	(3,2)	83)
Total income tax expense	16,4	105		9,95	8	
Net income	\$	33,623		\$	20,979	
Net income per common share:						
Basic	\$	2.02		\$	1.65	
Diluted	\$	2.00		\$	1.64	
Average common shares outstanding:						
Basic	16,6			12,7		
Diluted	16,8	339		12,8	315	

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(\$ in thousands)

	Jun 200	Months late 30, 6 haudited)		200	5
Preferred Stock					
Balance at beginning and end of period	\$			\$	
Common stock					
Balance at beginning of year	\$	1,662		\$	1,266
Shares issued under stock plans	5			12	
Balance at end of period	\$	1,667		\$	1,278
•					
Additional paid-in capital					
Balance at beginning of year	\$	282,46	53	\$	154,670
Effect of SFAS 123 for stock options	469)		517	
Shares issued under stock plans	1,4	81		1,87	72
Balance at end of period	\$	284,41	.3	\$	157,059
•					
Retained earnings					
Balance at beginning of year	\$	186,90)1	\$	163,337
Net income	33,	623		20,9	979
Balance at end of period	\$	220,52	24	\$	184,316
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)					
Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities, net of tax					
Balance at beginning of year	\$	(884)	\$	7,416
Change in period	(16	,542)	842	
Balance at end of period	(17	,426)	8,25	58
Cumulative translation adjustments, net of tax					
Balance at beginning of year	96			1,88	39
Net adjustment for period	1,2	01		(72	7)
Balance at end of period	1,2	97		1,16	52
Balance at end of period	\$	(16,12	9)	\$	9,420
		, ,			
Total stockholders equity at end of period	\$	490,47	15	\$	352,073

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(\$ in thousands)

	Jun 200	ee Months e 30, 6 audited)	Ende	d 2005	5
Net income	\$	18,098		\$	11,280
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on securities, net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$3,884) and					
\$3,947 in 2006 and 2005, respectively (1)	(7,2	12)	7,32	29
Change in foreign currency translation gains (losses), net of tax expense (benefit) of \$517 and (\$411)					
in 2006 and 2005, respectively	959			(839)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(6,2	53)	6,49	00
Comprehensive income	\$	11,845		\$	17,770
(1) Disclosure of reclassification amount, net of tax:					
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period	\$	(7,336)	\$	7,599
Less: reclassification adjustment for net gains (losses) included in net income	(12	24)	270	
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on securities	\$	(7,212)	\$	7,329

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(\$ in thousands)

	June 2006	Ionths E 30, udited)	Ended	2005	5
Net income	\$:	33,623		\$	20,979
Other comprehensive income (loss):					
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on securities, net of tax expense (benefit) of (\$8,908) and \$454 in					
2006 and 2005, respectively(1)	(16,5)	42)	842	
Change in foreign currency translation gains (losses), net of tax expense (benefit) of \$647 and (\$391) in					
2006 and 2005, respectively	1,201	l		(72)	7)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(15,3)	41)	115	
Comprehensive income	\$	18,282		\$	21,094
(1) Disclosure of reclassification amount, net of tax:					
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period	\$	(16,94	12)	\$	1,221
Less: reclassification adjustment for net gains (losses) included in net income	(40	0)	379	
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on securities	\$	(16,54	42)	\$	842

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

THE NAVIGATORS GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(\$ in thousands)

Operating activities:	Jun 200	Months En e 30, 6 audited)	ded	200	5
Net income	\$	33,623		\$	20,979
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:	Ψ	00,020		Ψ.	20,,,,,
Depreciation & amortization	1,23	38		800)
Net deferred income tax (benefit)	(2,9)	(3,2	
Net realized capital (gains) losses	616			(58	
Changes in assets and liabilities:					ĺ
Reinsurance receivable on paid and unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	(55.	,175)	(21	,284)
Reserve for losses and loss adjustment expenses	60,		ĺ	39,	
Prepaid reinsurance premiums		,513)		,037
Unearned premium		5,504		67,	
Premiums in course of collection		,144)	(2,1	
Commissions receivable	943		ĺ	(84	
Deferred policy acquisition costs	(13.	,661)		,802
Accrued investment income	(2,4)	(1,1	
Reinsurance balances payable	25,			17,	
Federal income tax	284			2,4	
Other	(16.	,534)	29,	
Net cash provided by operating activities	16,0		ĺ		5,906
Investing activities:					
Fixed maturities, available-for-sale					
Redemptions and maturities	83,8			16,	
Sales	92,2				,192
Purchases	(36)	7,296)	(25	9,847)
Equity securities, available-for-sale					
Sales	2,68			3,3	
Purchases	(8,8)	(2,8	
Change in payable for securities	2,83			3,4	
Net change in short-term investments	56,				,821)
Purchase of property and equipment	(1,5))	(1,3)	
Net cash (used in) investing activities	(13)	9,552)	(11	3,176)
Financing activities:					
Net proceeds from senior notes	123	,485			
Proceeds of stock issued from employee stock purchase plan	222			212	2
Proceeds of stock issued from exercise of stock options	353	}		1,1	66
Net cash provided by financing activities	124	,060		1,3	78
Increase in cash	1,18	80		4,10	08
Cash at beginning of year	13,	165		14,	676
Cash at end of period	\$	14,345		\$	18,784
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:					
Federal, state and local income tax paid	\$	17,356		\$	10,798
Issuance of stock to directors	140)		123	}

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1. Accounting Policies

The interim consolidated financial statements are unaudited but reflect all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary to provide a fair statement of the results of The Navigators Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries for the interim periods presented on the basis of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP or U.S. GAAP). All such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. The preparation of these financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. The results of operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year. The terms we , us , our and the Company as used herein mean The Navigators Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires. The term Parent or Parent Company is used to mean The Navigators Group, Inc. without its subsidiaries. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes contained in the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Certain amounts for the prior year have been reclassified or restated to conform to the current year s presentation.

Note 2. Reinsurance Ceded

The Company s ceded earned premiums were \$105.6 million and \$90.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and were \$194.5 million and \$173.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The Company s ceded incurred losses were \$61.7 million and \$30.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and were \$124.8 million and \$83.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Note 3. Segment Information

The Company s subsidiaries are primarily engaged in the underwriting and management of property and casualty insurance.

Effective in 2006, the Company classifies its business into two underwriting segments consisting of the Insurance Companies and the Lloyd's Operations, which are separately managed, and a Corporate segment. Segment data for each of the two underwriting segments include allocations of revenues and expenses of the Navigators Agencies and Parent Company's expenses and related income tax amounts previously reported separately. The Corporate segment consists of the Parent Company's investment income, interest expense and the related tax effect. Each segment maintains its own investments, on which it earns income and realizes capital gains or losses. Income tax expense is recorded based on the respective income of each segment. Segment data for 2005 and prior periods have been restated to reflect this change in segment reporting.

The Insurance Companies consist of Navigators Insurance Company with its U.K. Branch and NIC Insurance Company. They are primarily engaged in underwriting marine insurance and related lines of business, specialty lines of business including contractors—general liability insurance, commercial and personal umbrella and excess casualty businesses, and professional liability insurance. The Lloyd—s Operations primarily underwrite marine and related lines of business at Lloyd—s of London through Lloyd—s Syndicate 1221 (—Syndicate 1221—). The Navigators Agencies are underwriting management companies which produce, manage and underwrite insurance and reinsurance for the Company. All segments are evaluated based on their GAAP results.

The Insurance Companies and the Lloyd's Operations performances are measured based on underwriting results and the related combined ratio, which are both non-GAAP measures of underwriting profitability. Underwriting profit or loss is calculated from net earned premiums, less net losses and LAE, commission expense and other operating expenses related to underwriting activities. The combined ratio is derived by taking such net losses and LAE and operating expenses divided by net earned premiums. A combined ratio of less than 100% indicates an underwriting profit and over 100% indicates an underwriting loss.

Financial data by segment for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was as follows:

	Three Months E Insurance Companies (\$ in thousands)		Lloyd s Operations		Corpora	ite		Tota	al (1)	
Gross written premium	\$ 170,296		\$ 82,072					\$	252,365	
Net written premium	92,445		36,803					129	,248	
Net earned premium	78,488		36,053					114	,541	
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses	(47,676)	(18,951)				(66,	,627)
Commission expense	(7,912)	(5,712)				(13,	,624)
Other operating expenses	(13,966)	(5,961)				(19,	,927)
Commission income and other income (expense)	794		(210)				584		
Underwriting profit	9,728		5,219					14,9	947	
Investment income	12,023		1,667		\$ 31	3		14,0	003	
Net realized capital gains (losses)	(225)	33					(192	2)
Interest expense					(1,820)	(1,8	320)
Income before income taxes	21,526		6,919		(1,507)	26,9	938	
Income tax expense (benefit)	6,868		2,422		(450)	8,84	40	
Net income (loss)	\$ 14,658		\$ 4,497		\$ (1,	057)	\$	18,098	
Identifiable Assets	\$ 2,018,556		\$ 845,599		\$ 48	,563		\$	2,916,01	.2
Loss and loss expenses ratio	60.7	%	52.6	%				58.2	2	%
Commission expense ratio	10.1	%	15.8	%				11.9)	%
Other operating expense ratio (2)	16.8	%	17.1	%				16.9)	%
Combined ratio	87.6	%	85.5	%				87.0)	%

⁽¹⁾ Certain amounts included in the segment columns include inter-segment transactions causing certain rows not to crossfoot.

⁽²⁾ Includes other operating expenses and commission income and other income (expense).

	Ins Co	ree Months F surance mpanies in thousands)		Lloy			Cor	porate	Tota	al (1)	
Gross written premium	\$	130,680		\$	58,547				\$	190,133	
Net written premium	66	,675		26,5	82				93,2	257	
Net earned premium	\$	59,107		\$	26,725				\$	85,832	
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses	(38	3,682)	(14,	929)			(53	,611)
Commission expense	(4,	409)	(4,8	33)			(9,2)	242)
Other operating expenses	(12	2,316)	(5,3	12)			(17	,628)
Commission income and other income (expense)	1,6	524		360					1,98	34	
Underwriting profit	5,3	324		2,01	.1				7,33	35	
Investment income	7,4			1,45	54		\$	21	8,90	51	
Net realized capital gains (losses)	68	1		(265	5)			416		
Income before income taxes	13.	,491		3,20	00		21		16,	712	
Income tax expense	4,3	804		1,12	20		8		5,43	32	
Net income	\$	9,187		\$	2,080		\$	13	\$	11,280	
Identifiable Assets	\$	1,420,958	}	\$	570,647		\$	17,191	\$	1,927,872	1
Loss and loss expenses ratio	65.		%	55.9		%			62.5	5	%
Commission expense ratio	7.5	i	%	18.1		%			10.9	9	%
Other operating expense ratio (2)	18.		%	18.5		%			18.2		%
Combined ratio	91.	.0	%	92.5	i	%			91.0	5	%

⁽¹⁾ Certain amounts included in the segment columns include inter-segment transactions causing certain rows not to crossfoot.

⁽²⁾ Includes other operating expenses and commission income and other income (expense).

Financial data by segment for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 was as follows:

	Inst Con	Months End trance npanies n thousands)		Lloy	,		Cor	porate		Tota	al (1)	
Gross written premium	\$	332,543		\$	183,820					\$	516,149	
Net written premium	182	,089		90,5	85					272	,674	
Net earned premium	145	,840		72,4	23					218	,263	
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses	(87	,630)	(41,	114)				(12	3,744)
Commission expense	(15	,036)	(12,	293)				(27,	329)
Other operating expenses	(27,	,399)	(10,	936)				(38,	335)
Commission income and other income (expense)	2,00	00		56						2,05	56	
Underwriting profit	17,7	775		8,13	66					25,9	911	
Investment income	22,4	433		3,67	4		\$	446		26,5	553	
Net realized capital (losses)	(30	0)	(316	5)				(61	5)
Interest expense							(1,8	320)	(1,8)	20)
Income before income taxes	39,9	908		11,4	94		(1,3)	374)	50,0)28	
Income tax expense (benefit)	12,7	784		4,02	23		(40)	2)	16,4	105	
Net income	\$	27,124		\$	7,471		\$	(972)	\$	33,623	
Identifiable Assets	\$	2,018,556		\$	845,599		\$	48,563		\$	2,916,012	
Loss and loss expenses ratio	60.	1	%	56.8	}	%				59.0)	%
Commission expense ratio	10.3	3	%	17.0)	%				12.5	5	%
Other operating expense ratio (2)	17.4	4	%	15.0)	%				16.6	Ď	%
Combined ratio	87.8	8	%	88.8		%				88.		%

⁽¹⁾ Certain amounts included in the segment columns include inter-segment transactions causing certain rows not to crossfoot.

⁽²⁾ Includes other operating expenses and commission income and other income (expense).

	Insu Con	Months Ende crance npanies thousands)	ed Jui	Lloy			Cor	porate	Tota	al (1)	
Gross written premium	\$	259,968		\$	146,377				\$	407,129	
Net written premium	140	,256		70,0	91				210	,347	
Net earned premium	106	,470		56,9	000				163	,370	
Net losses and loss adjustment expenses	(67,	524)	(32,	308)			(99	,832	
Commission expense	(9,1)	32)	(9,7)	14)			(18	,846	
Other operating expenses	(24,	255)	(10,	817)			(35	,072	
Commission income and other income (expense)	2,89)5		1,25	6				4,1:	51	
Underwriting profit	8,45	54		5,31	7				13,	771	
Investment income	14,3	343		2,20	13		\$	37	16,	583	
Net realized capital gains (losses)	947			(364)			583		
Income before income taxes	23,7	'44		7,15	6		37		30,9	937	
Income tax expense	7,44	10		2,50	5		13		9,9	58	
Net income	\$	16,304		\$	4,651		\$	24	\$	20,979	
Identifiable Assets	\$	1,420,958		\$	570,647		\$	17,191	\$	1,927,872	
Loss and loss expenses ratio	63.4		%	56.8		%			61.	1 %	2
Commission expense ratio	8.6		%	17.1		%			11.:		
Other operating expense ratio (2)	20.1		%	16.8		%			19.0		
Combined ratio	92.1		%	90.7	,	%			91.0	5 %)

⁽¹⁾ Certain amounts included in the segment columns include inter-segment transactions causing certain rows not to crossfoot.

The Insurance Companies net earned premium includes \$13.1 million and \$12.3 million of net earned premium from the U.K. Branch for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$21.3 million and \$20.6 million of net earned premium from the U.K. Branch for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Note 4. Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income encompasses net income, net unrealized capital gains and losses on available for sale securities, and foreign currency translation adjustments, all of which are net of tax. Please refer to the *Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity* and the *Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income*, included herein, for the components of *accumulated other comprehensive income* (loss) and of *comprehensive income* (loss), respectively.

⁽²⁾ Includes other operating expenses and commission income and other income (expense).

Note 5. Stock-Based Compensation

Stock based compensation is expensed as the stock awards vest with the expense being included in *other operating expenses* for the periods indicated. The amount charged to expense for stock grants was \$900,000 and \$358,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$1,322,000 and \$736,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The amount charged to expense for stock options was \$228,000 and \$271,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$469,000 and \$517,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Stock appreciation rights resulted in a benefit of \$807,000 and expense of \$259,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and expense of \$22,000 and \$334,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

In addition, \$52,500 was expensed in each of the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 and \$87,500 was expensed in each of the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 for stock issued annually to non-employee directors as part of their directors compensation for serving on the Company s Board of Directors.

Note 6. Application of New Accounting Standards

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share Based Payment*, which is a revision of SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, eliminating the alternative use of APB 25. The adoption of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004) on January 1, 2006 had no material effect on the Company s results of operations or financial condition since the Company adopted the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 in 2003.

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48), an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. FIN 48 establishes the threshold for recognizing the benefits of tax-return positions in the financial statements as more-likely-than-not to be sustained by the taxing authorities, and prescribes a measurement methodology for those positions meeting the recognition threshold. The Company has not yet determined the estimated impact on its financial condition or results of operations, if any, of adopting FIN 48 which becomes effective in 2007.

Note 7. Lloyd s Syndicate

We record our pro rata share of Syndicate 1221 s assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, after making adjustments to convert Lloyd s accounting to U.S. GAAP. The most significant U.S. GAAP adjustments relate to income recognition. Lloyd s syndicates determine underwriting results by year of account at the end of three years. We record adjustments to recognize underwriting results as incurred, including the expected ultimate cost of losses incurred. These adjustments to losses are based on actuarial analysis of syndicate accounts, including forecasts of expected ultimate losses provided by the syndicates. At the end of the Lloyd s three year period for determining underwriting results for an account year, the syndicate will close the account year by reinsuring outstanding claims on that account year with the participants for the account s next underwriting year. The amount to close an underwriting year into the next year is referred to as the reinsurance to close. The reinsurance to close transaction is recorded in the fourth quarter as additional written and earned premium, losses incurred, loss reserves and receivables, all in the same amount. No gain or loss is recorded on the reinsurance to close transaction.

Syndicate 1221 s stamp capacity is £112.5 million (\$201.6 million) in 2006 compared to £135.0 million (\$246.0 million) in 2005. Stamp capacity is a measure of the amount of premium a Lloyd s syndicate is authorized to write based on a business plan approved by the Council of Lloyd s. Syndicate 1221 s capacity is expressed net of commission (as is standard at Lloyd s) of approximately 21%. The Syndicate 1221 premium recorded in the Company s financial statements is gross of commission. The Company participates for 100% and 97.5% of Syndicate 1221 s capacity for the 2006 and 2005 underwriting years, respectively. The Lloyd s

Operations included in the consolidated financial statements represent the Company s participation in Syndicate 1221.

The Company provides letters of credit to Lloyd s to support its Syndicate 1221 capacity. If the Company increases its participation or if Lloyd s changes the capital requirements, the Company may be required to supply additional letters of credit or other collateral acceptable to Lloyd s, or reduce the capacity of Syndicate 1221. The letters of credit are provided through a credit facility which the Company maintains with a consortium of banks. The credit facility agreement requires that the banks vote periodically whether or not to renew the credit facility. If the banks decide not to renew the credit facility, the Company will need to find other sources to provide the letters of credit or other collateral in order to continue to participate in Syndicate 1221. The renewal amendment executed in January 2005 renewed the letter of credit facility until June 30, 2007. The bank facility is collateralized by all of the common stock of Navigators Insurance Company.

Note 8. Income Tax - Valuation Allowance

The Company had state and local operating loss carryforwards amounting to potential future tax benefits of \$5.7 million and \$5.0 million at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. A valuation allowance was established for the full amount of these potential future tax benefits due to the uncertainty associated with their realization.

Note 9. Commitments and Contingencies

Except as follows, the Company is not a party to, or the subject of, any material legal proceedings which depart from the ordinary routine litigation incident to the kinds of business it conducts.

At the end of April 2006, the Company was served as a defendant in an action in the Northern District Court of Georgia captioned *New Cingular Wireless Headquarters, LLC, et al. v. Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc., et al.*, along with approximately 100 other defendants. While it is not possible at this very preliminary stage to predict the outcome of this litigation, the Company believes the allegations, which involve contingent commissions and bid-rigging in the insurance industry, to be without merit and intends to vigorously defend itself against them.

Note 10. Senior Notes Due May 1, 2016

On April 17, 2006, the Company completed a public debt offering of \$125 million principal amount of 7% senior unsecured notes due May 1, 2016 (the Senior Notes) and received net proceeds of \$123.5 million. The Company contributed \$100 million of the proceeds to the capital and surplus of Navigators Insurance Company and retained the remainder at the Parent Company for general corporate purposes. Interest will be paid on the Senior Notes each May 1 and November 1 with the first payment due on November 1, 2006. The effective interest rate related to the Senior Notes, based on the proceeds net of discount and all issuance costs, is approximately 7.17%.

The Senior Notes, the Company s only senior unsecured obligation, will rank equally with future senior unsecured indebtedness. The Company may redeem the Senior Notes at any time and from time to time, in whole or in part, at a make-whole redemption price. The terms of the Senior Notes contain various restrictive business and financial covenants typical for debt obligations of this type, including limitations on mergers, and liens or dispositions of the common stock of certain subsidiaries. As of June 30, 2006, the Company was in compliance with all such covenants.

The interest expense from April 17, 2006 to June 30, 2006 was \$1.8 million. The fair value, which is based on the quoted market price at June 30, 2006, was \$123.1 million.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Note on Forward-Looking Statements

Some of the statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q are forward-looking statements as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Whenever used in this report, the words estimate, expect, believe or similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are derived from information that we currently have and assumptions that we make. We cannot assure that anticipated results will be achieved, since results may differ materially because of both known and unknown risks and uncertainties which we face. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

- the effects of domestic and foreign economic conditions, and conditions which affect the market for property and casualty insurance;
- changes in the laws, rules and regulations which apply to our insurance companies;
- the effects of emerging claim and coverage issues on our business, including adverse judicial or regulatory decisions and rulings;
- the effects of competition from banks and other insurers and the trend toward self-insurance;
- risks that we face in entering new markets and diversifying the products and services we offer;
- unexpected turnover of our professional staff;
- changing legal and social trends and inherent uncertainties in the loss estimation process that can adversely impact the adequacy of loss reserves and the allowance for reinsurance recoverables, including our estimates relating to ultimate asbestos liabilities and related reinsurance recoverables;
- risks inherent in the collection of reinsurance recoverable amounts from our reinsurers over many years into the future based on their financial ability and intent to meet such obligations to the Company;
- risks associated with our continuing ability to obtain reinsurance covering our exposures at appropriate prices and/or in sufficient amounts and the related recoverability of our reinsured losses;
- weather-related events and other catastrophes (including acts of terrorism) impacting our insureds and/or reinsurers, including, without limitation, the impact of Hurricanes Katrina, Rita, and Wilma and the possibility that our estimates of losses from Hurricanes Katrina, Rita and Wilma will prove to be materially inaccurate;
- our ability to attain adequate prices, obtain new business and retain existing business consistent with our expectations;
- the possibility of downgrades in our claims-paying and financial strength ratings significantly adversely affecting us, including reducing the number of insurance policies we write generally, or causing clients who require an insurer with a certain rating level to use higher-rated insurers;
- the inability of our internal control framework to provide absolute assurance that all incidents of fraud or unintended material errors will be detected and prevented;

- the risk that our investment portfolio suffers reduced returns or investment losses which could reduce our profitability; and
- other risks that we identify in future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), including without limitation the risks described under the caption Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, any forward-looking events discussed in this Form 10-Q may not occur. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements, which speak only as of their respective dates.

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations contained herein should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes which appear elsewhere in this Form 10-Q. It contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Please see Note on Forward-Looking Statements for more information. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, including those discussed below and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q.

Overview

We are an international insurance holding company focusing on specialty products for niches within the overall property/casualty insurance market. The Company s underwriting segments consists of insurance company operations and operations at Lloyd s of London. Our largest product line and most long-standing area of specialization is ocean marine insurance. We have also developed specialty niches in professional liability insurance, and in specialty liability insurance primarily consisting of contractors liability coverages. We conduct operations through our insurance company subsidiaries, our operations at Lloyd s of London (the Lloyd s Operations) and our underwriting agencies (the Navigators Agencies). Our insurance company subsidiaries (the Insurance Companies) consist of Navigators Insurance Company, which includes a United Kingdom Branch (the U.K. Branch), and NIC Insurance Company, which underwrites specialty and professional liability insurance on an excess and surplus lines basis fully reinsured by Navigators Insurance Company. Our Lloyd s Operations include Navigators Underwriting Agency Ltd. (NUAL), a Lloyd s of London (Lloyd s) underwriting agency which manages Lloyd s Syndicate 1221 (Syndicate 1221). We participate in capacity of Syndicate 1221 through two wholly-owned Lloyd s corporate members. The Navigators Agencies consist of wholly-owned insurance underwriting agencies which produce, manage and underwrite insurance and reinsurance for the Company and are reimbursed for their costs by the Insurance Companies and the Lloyd s Operations.

While management takes into consideration a wide range of factors in planning the Company's business strategy and evaluating results of operations, there are certain factors that management believes are fundamental to understanding how the Company is managed. First, underwriting profit is consistently emphasized as a primary goal, above premium growth. Management s assessment of our trends and potential growth in underwriting profit is the dominant factor in its decisions with respect to whether or not to expand a business line, enter into a new niche, product or territory or, conversely, to contract capacity in any business line. In addition, management focuses on managing the costs of our operations. Management believes that careful monitoring of the costs of existing operations and assessment of costs of potential growth opportunities are important to our profitability. Access to capital also has a significant impact on management s outlook for our operations. The Insurance Companies operations and ability to grow their business and take advantage of market opportunities are particularly constrained by regulatory capital requirements and rating agency assessments of capital adequacy.

The discussions that follow include tables, which contain both our consolidated and segment operating results for each of the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. In presenting our financial results we have discussed our performance with reference to underwriting profit or loss and the related combined

ratio, both of which are non-GAAP measures of underwriting profitability. We consider such measures, which may be defined differently by other companies, to be important in the understanding of our overall results of operations. Underwriting profit or loss is calculated from premiums earned, less net losses and loss adjustment expenses (LAE), commission expense and other operating expenses related to underwriting activities. The combined ratio is derived by taking such net losses and LAE and expenses divided by earned premiums. A combined ratio of less than 100% indicates an underwriting profit and over 100% indicates an underwriting loss.

Although not a financial measure, management s decisions are also greatly influenced by access to specialized underwriting and claims expertise in our lines of business. We have chosen to operate in specialty niches with certain common characteristics which we believe provide us with the opportunity to use our technical underwriting expertise in order to realize underwriting profit. As a result, we have focused on underserved markets for businesses characterized by higher severity and lower frequency of loss where we believe our intellectual capital and financial strength bring meaningful value. In contrast, we have avoided niches that we believe have a high frequency of loss activity and/or are subject to a high level of regulatory coverage requirements, such as workers compensation and personal automobile insurance, because we do not believe our technical expertise is of as much value in these types of businesses. Examples of niches that have the characteristics we look for include bluewater hull which provides coverage for physical damage to, for example, highly valued cruise ships, and directors and officers liability insurance (D&O) which covers litigation exposure of a corporation s directors and officers. These types of exposures require substantial technical expertise. We attempt to mitigate the financial impact of severe claims on our results by conservative and detailed underwriting, prudent use of reinsurance and a balanced portfolio of risks.

Our revenue is primarily comprised of premiums and investment income. The Insurance Companies derive their premiums primarily from business written by the Navigators Agencies. The Lloyd s Operations derive their premiums from business written by NUAL. Beginning in 2006, the Navigators Agencies produce and manage business only for the Insurance Companies and are reimbursed for actual costs. Prior to 2006, the Navigators Agencies received commissions and, in some cases, profit commissions on the business produced on behalf of the Insurance Companies and other unaffiliated insurers. NUAL is reimbursed for its actual costs and, where applicable, profit commissions on the business produced for Lloyd s Syndicate 1221.

Over the past three to four years, we have experienced generally beneficial market changes in our lines of business. As a result of several large industry losses in the second quarter of 2001, the marine insurance market began to experience diminished capacity and rate increases, initially in the offshore energy line of business. The marine rate increases began to level off in 2004 and into 2005. As a result of the substantial insurance industry losses resulting from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, the marine insurance market is experiencing diminished capacity and rate increases, particularly for the offshore energy risks located in the Gulf of Mexico. The 2006 second quarter and six month average renewal rate increases for all marine lines of business including offshore energy approximated 17% and 14%, respectively, in the Insurance Companies and 16% and 13%, respectively, in the Lloyd s Operations. Included in the marine rate increases for the first six months of 2006 are offshore energy rate increases of approximately 76% in the Insurance Companies and 47% in the Lloyd s Operations. Specialty liability losses over the past several years, particularly for our California construction liability business, also resulted in diminished capacity in the market in which we compete, as many former competitors who lacked the expertise to selectively underwrite this business have been forced to withdraw from the market resulting in approximate rate increases of 13% in 2004 and 49% in 2003. This was followed by a slight decline in rates of approximately 1% in 2005. Both the second quarter and six month renewal rates for the California construction liability business declined approximately 7% primarily due to additional competition in the marketplace. In the professional liability market, the enactment of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, together with financial and accounting scandals at publicly traded corporations and the increased frequency of securities-related class action litigation, has led to heightened interest in professional liability insurance generally. Average professional liability renewal premium rates increased approximately 3% in both the 2006 second quarter and six months, compared to relatively level rates in 2005 and a decrease of approximately 3% in 2004 which followed substantial rate increases in 2003 and 2002, particularly for D&O insurance. The D&O insurance premium rates

increased approximately 2% in both the 2006 second quarter and six months, after decreases of approximately 2% in 2005 and 10% in 2004.

Our business is cyclical and influenced by many factors. These factors include price competition, economic conditions, interest rates, weather-related events and other catastrophes including natural and man-made disasters (for example hurricanes and terrorism), state regulations, court decisions and changes in the law. The incidence and severity of catastrophes are inherently unpredictable. Although we will attempt to manage our exposure to such events, the frequency and severity of catastrophic events could exceed our estimates, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition. Additionally, because our insurance products must be priced, and premiums charged, before costs have fully developed, our liabilities are required to be estimated and recorded in recognition of future loss and settlement obligations. Due to the inherent uncertainty in estimating these liabilities, we cannot assure you that our actual liabilities will not exceed our recorded amounts.

Catastrophe Risk Management

Our Insurance Companies and Lloyd s Operations have exposure to losses caused by hurricanes and other natural and man-made catastrophic events. The frequency and severity of catastrophes are unpredictable.

The extent of losses from a catastrophe is a function of both the total amount of insured exposure in an area affected by the event and the severity of the event. We continually assess our concentration of underwriting exposures in catastrophe exposed areas globally and attempt to manage this exposure through individual risk selection and through the purchase of reinsurance. We also use modeling technologies and concentration management tools that allow us to better monitor and control our accumulations of potential losses from catastrophe exposures. Despite these efforts, there remains uncertainty about the characteristics, timing and extent of insured losses given the nature of catastrophes. The occurrence of one or more severe catastrophic events could have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

As a result of the offshore energy losses suffered by the marine and energy market in 2005 stemming from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, the 2006 marine reinsurance capacity has declined, reinsurance terms and conditions have tightened and reinsurance costs have dramatically increased, particularly for excess of loss reinsurance protection. As a result, through the first six months of 2006, the Company has achieved significant improvements in pricing, terms and conditions for the offshore energy business as it renews while reducing its aggregate loss exposures in the Gulf of Mexico. The Company will also have an increased net retention and increased reinstatement premium cost for a catastrophic offshore energy loss in 2006 compared to 2005 as a result of less available reinsurance protection at an increased reinsurance cost.

The occurrence of additional large loss events could reduce the reinsurance coverage that is available to us and could further weaken the financial condition of our reinsurers, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Although the reinsurance agreements make the reinsurers liable to us to the extent the risk is transferred or ceded to the reinsurer, ceded reinsurance arrangements do not eliminate our obligation to pay claims to our policyholders. Accordingly, we bear credit risk with respect to our reinsurers. Specifically, our reinsurers may not pay claims made by us on a timely basis, or they may not pay some or all of these claims. Either of these events would increase our costs and could have a material adverse effect on our business. We are required to pay the losses even if a reinsurer fails to meet its obligations under the reinsurance agreement. Hurricanes Katrina and Rita increased our reinsurance recoverables significantly, which increased our credit risk.

Corporate and Industry Investigations

Public companies have become the subject of increasing scrutiny regarding the timing and dating of employee stock option awards. The Securities and Exchange Commission and the U.S. Department of Justice have been investigating stock option award practices at a number of public companies.

As a matter of prudent corporate governance, the Company undertook its own internal review with respect to its stock option award practices. In this recently completed internal inquiry, the Company did not find any evidence that it has engaged in any of the stock option award practices that are at the center of these corporate investigations.

The insurance industry has become the subject of increasing scrutiny with respect to insurance broker and agent compensation arrangements, and certain sales practices. The New York State Attorney General and other state and federal regulators have commenced investigations and other proceedings relating to the payment of contingent commissions by insurance companies to insurance brokers and agents and the extent to which such compensation has been disclosed, and the solicitation and provision of fictitious or inflated quotes. These investigations and proceedings could result in legal precedents and new industry-wide practices or legislation, rules or regulations that could significantly affect our industry and may also cause stock price volatility for companies in the insurance industry.

The Company has completed its own internal review with respect to such contingent commission arrangements and the anti-competitive sales practices discussed above. In this internal inquiry, the Company did not find any evidence that it has engaged in the bid-rigging and price-fixing activities that are at the core of the industry investigations into these anti-competitive practices. The Company is supportive of industry efforts to encourage transparency in the disclosure of contingent commissions paid to brokers by insurers.

The reinsurance industry has also become the subject of increasing scrutiny with respect to the alleged improper use of reinsurance agreements to manipulate financial reporting results. The New York State Attorney General and other state and federal regulators have commenced investigations and other proceedings related to reinsurance agreements in which the insurance risk transferred between the ceding company and reinsurer may have been insufficient to properly account for such transactions as reinsurance in financial statements filed with insurance regulatory authorities and the SEC. Such transactions may include separate or side agreements that reduce, limit or mitigate the indemnification against loss or liability relating to the insurance risk transferred to the reinsurer in a reinsurance agreement.

As a result of these industry investigations, accounting and reporting requirements for reinsurance transactions, including risk transfer guidance used to determine when sufficient insurance risk has been transferred by the ceding company to properly account for such transactions as reinsurance, may change.

The Company believes that all of its reinsurance transactions meet the risk transfer requirements to be accounted for as prospective reinsurance in its financial statements, and also believes it has no separate side agreements that would limit the insurance risk transferred to the reinsurer under its reinsurance agreements. The Company is unable to determine any reinsurance industry financial reporting changes that could occur as a result of such investigations and the effects, if any, on its financial reporting of reinsurance transactions.

Critical Accounting Policies

It is important to understand our accounting policies in order to understand our financial statements. Management considers certain of these policies to be critical to the presentation of the financial results, since they require management to make estimates and assumptions. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses, and related disclosures at the financial reporting date and throughout the reporting period. Certain of the estimates result from judgments that can be subjective and complex, and consequently actual results may differ from these estimates, which would be reflected in future periods.

Our most critical accounting policies involve the reporting of the reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses (LAE) (including losses that have occurred but were not reported to us by the financial reporting date), reinsurance recoverables, written and unearned premium, the recoverability of deferred tax assets, the impairment of invested assets, accounting for Lloyd's results and the translation of foreign currencies.

Reserves for Losses and LAE. Reserves for losses and LAE represent an estimate of the expected cost of the ultimate settlement and administration of losses, based on facts and circumstances then known. Actuarial methodologies are employed to assist in establishing such estimates and include judgments relative to estimates of future claims severity and frequency, length of time to develop to ultimate, judicial theories of liability and other third party factors which are often beyond our control. Due to the inherent uncertainty associated with the reserving process, the ultimate liability may be different from the original estimate. Such estimates are regularly reviewed and updated and any resulting adjustments are included in the current year s results.

Reinsurance Recoverables. Reinsurance recoverables are established for the portion of the loss reserves that are ceded to reinsurers. Reinsurance recoverables are determined based upon the terms and conditions of reinsurance contracts which could be subject to interpretations that differ from our own based on judicial theories of liability. In addition, we bear credit risk with respect to our reinsurers which can be significant considering that certain of the reserves remain outstanding for an extended period of time. We are required to pay losses even if a reinsurer fails to meet its obligations under the applicable reinsurance agreement.

Written and Unearned Premium. Written premium is recorded based on the insurance policies that have been reported to us and the policies that have been written by agents but not yet reported to us. We must estimate the amount of written premium not yet reported based on judgments relative to current and historical trends of the business being written. Such estimates are regularly reviewed and updated and any resulting adjustments are included in the current year s results. An unearned premium reserve is established to reflect the unexpired portion of each policy at the financial reporting date.

Substantially all of our business is placed through agents and brokers. Since the vast majority of the Company s gross written premium is primary or direct as opposed to assumed, the delays in reporting assumed premium generally do not have a significant effect on the Company s financial statements, since we record estimates for both unreported direct and assumed premium. We also record the ceded portion of the estimated gross written premium and related acquisition costs. The earned gross, ceded and net premiums are calculated based on our earning methodology which is generally pro-rata over the policy period. Losses are also recorded in relation to the earned premium. The estimate for losses incurred on the estimated premium is based on an actuarial calculation consistent with the methodology used to determine incurred but not reported loss reserves for reported premiums.

A portion of the Company s premium is estimated for unreported premium, mostly for the marine business written by our U.K. Branch and Lloyd s Operations. We generally do not experience any significant backlog in processing premiums. Such premium estimates are generally based on submission data received from brokers and agents and recorded when the insurance policy or reinsurance contract is written or bound. The estimates are regularly reviewed and updated taking into account the premium received to date versus the estimate and the age of the estimate. To the extent that the actual premium varies from the estimates, the difference, along with the related loss reserves and underwriting expenses, is recorded in current operations.

Deferred Tax Assets. We apply the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes whereby deferred assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. In assessing the realization of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized.

Impairment of Investment Securities. Impairment of investment securities results in a charge to operations when a market decline below cost is other-than-temporary. Management regularly reviews our fixed maturity and equity securities portfolios to evaluate the necessity of recording impairment losses for other-than-temporary declines in the fair value of investments. In general, we focus our attention on those securities whose market value was less than 80% of their cost or amortized cost, as appropriate, for six or more consecutive months. Factors considered in evaluating potential impairment include, but are not limited to, the current fair value as compared to cost or amortized cost of the security, as appropriate, the length of time the investment has

been below cost or amortized cost and by how much, our intent and ability to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in value, specific credit issues related to the issuer and current economic conditions. Other-than-temporary impairment losses result in a permanent reduction of the cost basis of the underlying investment. Significant changes in the factors we consider when evaluating investments for impairment losses could result in a significant change in impairment losses reported in the consolidated financial statements.

As mentioned above, the Company considers its intent and ability to hold a security until the value recovers as part of the process of evaluating whether a security's unrealized loss represents an other-than- temporary decline. The Company's ability to hold such securities is supported by sufficient cash flow from its operations and from maturities within its investment portfolio in order to meet its claims payment and other disbursement obligations arising from its underwriting operations without selling such investments. With respect to securities where the decline in value is determined to be temporary and the security sales are made within the context of overall risk monitoring, changing information, market conditions and assessing value relative to other comparable securities. Management of the Company's investment portfolio is outsourced to third party investment managers. While these investment managers may, at a given point in time, believe that the preferred course of action is to hold securities with unrealized losses that are considered temporary until such losses are recovered, the dynamic nature of the portfolio management may result in a subsequent decision to sell the security and realize the loss, based upon a change in market and other factors described above. The Company believes that subsequent decisions to sell such securities are consistent with the classification of the Company's portfolio as available for sale.

Accounting for Lloyd s Results. We record our pro rata share of Syndicate 1221 s assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, after making adjustments to convert Lloyd s accounting to U.S. GAAP. The most significant GAAP adjustments relate to income recognition. Lloyd s syndicates determine underwriting results by year of account at the end of three years. We record adjustments to recognize underwriting results as incurred, including the expected ultimate cost of losses incurred. These adjustments to losses are based on actuarial analysis of syndicate accounts, including forecasts of expected ultimate losses provided by the syndicate. At the end of the Lloyd s three-year period for determining underwriting results for an account year, the syndicate will close the account year by reinsuring outstanding claims on that account year with the participants for the account s next underwriting year. The amount to close an underwriting year into the next year is referred to as the reinsurance to close (RITC). The RITC transaction is recorded in the fourth quarter as additional written and earned premium, losses incurred, loss reserves and receivables, all in the same amount. There are no gains or losses recorded on the RITC transaction.

Translation of Foreign Currencies. Financial statements of subsidiaries expressed in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollars in accordance with SFAS No. 52, *Foreign Currency Translation*, issued by the FASB. Under SFAS 52, functional currency assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars using period end rates of exchange and the related translation adjustments are recorded as a separate component of *accumulated other comprehensive income*. Functional currencies are generally the currencies of the local operating environment. Statement of income amounts expressed in functional currencies are translated using average exchange rates. Gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are recorded in *other income (expense)* in the Company s Consolidated Statements of Income.

Results of Operations and Overview

The following is a discussion and analysis of our consolidated and segment results of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2006 and 2005. All earnings per share data is presented on a per diluted share basis.

Net income for the three month period ended June 30, 2006 was \$18.1 million or \$1.08 per share compared to \$11.3 million or \$0.88 per share for the three month period ended June 30, 2005. Included in these

results were net realized capital gains (losses) of (\$0.01) per share and \$0.02 per share for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Net income for the six month period ended June 30, 2006 was \$33.6 million or \$2.00 per share compared to \$21.0 million or \$1.64 per share for the six month period ended June 30, 2005. Included in these results were net realized capital gains (losses) of (\$0.02) per share and \$0.03 per share for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Cash flow from operations was \$16.7 million for the six months of 2006 compared to \$115.9 million for the comparable period in 2005. The positive cash flow contributed to the growth in invested assets and net investment income. The 2006 cash flow was reduced by gross loss payments of approximately \$52.5 million for 2005 hurricane losses and \$14.0 million for settled asbestos claims of which \$47.8 million and \$8.4 million, respectively are expected to be collected from reinsurers in subsequent accounting periods. The 2005 cash flow included approximately \$24 million attributable to the settlement of the 2002 underwriting year reinsurance to close premium recorded by Syndicate 1221 in the 2004 fourth quarter.

Consolidated stockholders equity increased 4.3% to \$490.5 million or \$29.42 per share at June 30, 2006 compared to \$470.2 million or \$28.30 per share at December 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to net income of \$33.6 million for the first six months of 2006, partially offset by an increase of \$15.3 million in other comprehensive loss substantially all due to net unrealized losses in the investment portfolios due to rising interest rates.

On April 17, 2006, the Company completed a public debt offering of \$125 million principal amount of 7% senior unsecured notes due May 1, 2016 and received net proceeds of \$123.5 million of which \$100 million was contributed to the capital and surplus of Navigators Insurance Company.

On May 9, 2006, the Company announced the establishment of an Inland Marine Division focusing on traditional inland marine insurance products including builders—risk, contractors—tools and equipment, fine arts, EDP equipment and motor truck cargo. On May 17, 2006, the Company announced the establishment of a Primary Casualty Division focusing on primary casualty business produced by wholesale insurance brokers. The Primary Casualty Division writes construction business east of the Rocky Mountains and non-construction risks nationwide.

Revenues. Gross written premium increased to \$252.3 million and \$516.1 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2006, respectively, from \$190.1 million and \$407.1 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2005, increases of 33% and 27%, respectively. The growth in gross written premium reflects a combination of business expansion in both new and existing lines of business coupled with premium rate changes on renewal policies. The marine business experienced growth of 23% in the 2006 second quarter and 21% in the first six months of 2006 compared to the same periods in 2005 primarily as a result of significant rate increases in the offshore energy line of business coupled with a more modest net increase in premiums in the other classes of business. Gross written premium for professional liability business written both in the Insurance Companies and Lloyd s Operations increased 28% in the 2006 second quarter and 21% for the first six months of 2006 compared to the same periods in 2005 primarily as a result of diversification and expansion of the business written by our Lloyd s Operations. Specialty business grew 44% in both the second quarter and first six months of 2006 compared to the same periods in 2005 primarily due to continued product diversification and geographic expansion.

The premium rate changes discussed above and below for marine, specialty and professional liability are calculated primarily by comparing premium amounts on policies that have renewed. The premiums are judgmentally adjusted for exposure factors when deemed significant and sometimes represent an aggregation of several lines of business. The rate change calculations provide an indicated pricing trend and are not meant to be a precise analysis of the numerous factors that affect premium rates or the adequacy of such rates to cover all

underwriting costs and generate an underwriting profit. The calculation can also be affected quarter by quarter depending on the particular policies and the number of policies that renew during that period. Due to market conditions, these rate changes may or may not apply to new business which may be more competitively priced compared to renewal business. The following table sets forth our gross and net written premium and net earned premium by segment and line of business for the periods indicated:

	Three Months En 2006 Gross Written Premium (\$ in thousands)	nded Ju	ne 3	0, Net Written Premium	Net Earned Premium	2005 Gross Written Premium	%		Net Written Premium	Net Earned Premium
Insurance Companies:										
Marine	\$ 74,018	29.3	%	\$ 35,810	\$ 28,887	\$ 60,132	31.6	%	\$ 26,464	\$ 23,330
Specialty	72,037	28.6	%	42,804	39,765	50,198	26.4	%	33,280	29,442
Professional Liability	24,182	9.6	%	13,818	9,824	21,250	11.2	%	7,831	6,990
Assumed from Lloyd s	4	0.0	%	4	4	(930)	-0.5	%	(922)	(675)
Other	55	0.0	%	9	8	30	0.0	%	22	20
Insurance Companies Total	170,296	67.5	%	92,445	78,488	130,680	68.7	%	66,675	59,107
Lloyd s Operations:										
Marine	66,257	26.2	%	31,880	34,187	47,189	24.8	%	22,730	25,563
Professional Liability	5,069	2.0	%	2,116	364	1,587	0.8	%	624	166
Other	10,746	4.3	%	2,807	1,502	9,771	5.2	%	3,228	996
Lloyd s Operations Total	1 82,072	32.5	%	36,803	36,053	58,547	30.8	%	26,582	26,725
Intercompany elimination	(3)	0.0	%			906	0.5	%		
Total	\$ 252,365	100.0	%	\$ 129,248	\$ 114,541	\$ 190,133	100.0	%	\$ 93,257	\$ 85,832

	Six Months Ende 2006 Gross Written Premium (\$ in thousands)	ed June %	30,	Net Written Premium	Net Earned Premium	2005 Gross Written Premium	%		t ritten emium	Net Earned Premium
Insurance Companies:										
Marine	\$ 155,812	30.2	%	\$ 77,325	\$ 51,388	\$ 128,416	31.6 %	\$	56,811	\$ 43,209
Specialty	134,168	26.0	%	83,323	75,899	93,147	22.9 %	69,	678	49,464
Professional Liability	42,316	8.2	%	21,444	18,556	39,180	9.6 %	14,	510	13,707
Assumed from Lloyd s	220	0.0	%	17	17	(805)	-0.2 %	(79)6)	36
Other	27	0.0	%	(20)	(20)	30	0.0 %	53		54
Insurance Companies Total	332,543	64.4	%	182,089	145,840	259,968	63.9 %	140	0,256	106,470
Lloyd s Operations:										
Marine	157,112	30.4	%	83,283	69,903	121,120	29.7 %	62,	790	55,190
Professional Liability	7,089	1.4	%	2,945	605	1,587	0.4 %	624	4	166
Other	19,619	3.8	%	4,357	1,915	23,670	5.8 %	6,6	77	1,544
Lloyd s Operations Tota	1 183,820	35.6	%	90,585	72,423	146,377	35.9 %	70,	091	56,900
Intercompany elimination	(214)	0.0	%			784	0.2 %)		
Total	\$ 516,149	100.0	%	\$ 272,674	\$ 218,263	\$ 407,129	100.0 %	\$	210,347	\$ 163,370

Gross Written Premium

Insurance Companies Gross Written Premium

Marine Premium. The gross written premium for the first six months of 2006 consisted of 32% marine liability, 22% offshore energy, 10% transport, 10% protection and indemnity (P&I) and 8% bluewater hull with the remainder in several other marine related classes of business.

The marine gross written premium for the 2006 second quarter and first six months increased 23% and 21%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2005 reflecting growth across several lines of business including offshore energy, liability and P&I. The average renewal premium rates during the second quarter and first six months of 2006 increased by approximately 17% and 14%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2005. Navigators Insurance Company has in the past obtained its marine business through participation in the marine pool managed by the Navigators Agencies. Commencing in 2006, the marine pool has been eliminated and, therefore, all of the marine business generated by the Navigators Agencies is exclusively for the Insurance Companies. Navigators Insurance Company participated for 85% of the marine pool in 2005.

Specialty Premium. The gross written premium written in the first six months of 2006 consisted of 52% general liability business for small general and artisan contractors, 21% excess casualty business, 12% personal umbrella business and 15% other targeted commercial risks. The specialty gross written premium increased 44% for both the second quarter and first six months of 2006 compared to the same 2005 periods reflecting growth across all lines of business including premiums generated from our excess casualty business which started in the first quarter of 2005. The average renewal premium rates decreased by approximately 7% for the contractors liability business in both the 2006 second quarter and first six months compared to the same periods in 2005.

Professional Liability Premium. Our insurance company subsidiaries write professional liability insurance. The gross written premium for the first six months of 2006 consisted of 66% D&O liability coverage for privately held and publicly traded corporations, 27% professional liability coverage for lawyers and other professionals and 7% professional liability coverage for architects and engineers. In 2002, the professional liability business was expanded to include errors and omissions insurance and employment practices liability coverages. Commencing in October 2004, our U.K. Branch began writing professional liability coverages for U.K. solicitors and, in 2005, we began writing professional liability coverages for architects and engineers. The professional liability gross written premium for the 2006 second quarter and first six months increased 14% and 8%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2005. Approximately \$3.4 million of international professional liability business written by the Insurance Companies in 2005 has been renewed in the Lloyd s Operations in 2006. Average overall renewal premium rates for the professional liability business increased by approximately 3% in both the 2006 second quarter and first six months compared to the same periods in 2005. D&O rates, included in the overall professional liability rate change, increased by approximately 2% in both the 2006 second quarter and first six months compared to the same periods in 2005.

During the 2006 first quarter, the Company entered into an agreement to acquire the renewal rights to the D&O and employment practices liability policy portfolios of Genesis Professional Liability Managers, Inc. (Genesis). The transaction does not include any past or existing liabilities of the policy portfolios. The Company will pay an annual override or fee to Genesis based on gross written premium for a two year period to the extent the Genesis business is underwritten and renewed by the Company. The Company believes that it may renew between \$5 million to \$10 million of premium from such business over an annual period. However, the amount renewed may be a lesser or greater amount than expected.

Lloyd s Operations Gross Written Premium

Marine Premium. The gross written premium for the first six months of 2006 consisted of 31% cargo and specie, 24% offshore energy and 20% marine liability with the remainder in several other marine related classes of business.

Our gross written premium is based on the stamp capacity of Syndicate 1221 and the percentage of such stamp capacity we provide. Our percentage of participation in the stamp capacity is 100% for 2006 compared to 97.5% for 2005. Stamp capacity is a measure of the amount of premium a Lloyd s syndicate is authorized to write as determined based on a business plan approved by the Council of Lloyd s. The stamp capacity for Syndicate 1221 has been reduced to £112.5 million (\$201.6 million) in 2006 from £135 million (\$246.0 million) in 2005. The 2006 stamp capacity could be increased by the Company during the 2006 calendar year based on opportunities in the market place subject to approval by Lloyd s. The marine gross written premium for the 2006 second quarter and first six months increased 40% and 30%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2005 reflecting growth across several lines of business, but primarily in offshore energy. The average renewal premium rates during the second quarter and first six months of 2006 increased by approximately 16% and 13%, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2005.

Professional Liability Premium. Our Lloyd s Operations commenced writing international professional liability business during the 2005 second quarter and produced \$5.1 million and \$7.1 million of gross written premium in the 2006 second quarter and first six months, respectively, versus \$1.6 million for the second quarter and first six months of 2005.

Other Premium. Other premium consists of premium for engineering and construction business which provides coverage for construction projects including machinery, equipment and loss of use due to delays and of premium for onshore energy business which principally focuses on the oil and gas, chemical and petrochemical industries with coverages primarily for property damage and business interruption.

<u>Ceded Written Premium</u>. In the ordinary course of business, we reinsure certain insurance risks with unaffiliated insurance companies for the purpose of limiting our maximum loss exposure, protecting against catastrophic losses, and maintaining desired ratios of net premiums written to statutory surplus. The relationship of ceded to written premium varies based upon the types of business written and whether the business is written by the Insurance Companies or the Lloyd s Operations.

The following tables set forth our ceded written premium by segment and major lines of business for the periods indicated:

	Three 2006 Cedec Writt Prem (\$ in t	ed June	ne 30, % of Gross Written Premium		2005 Ceded Written Premium			% of Gross Written Premium		
Insurance Companies:										
Marine	\$	38,208		51.6	%	\$	33,668		56.0	%
Specialty	29,23	3		40.6	%	16,91	8		33.7	%
Professional Liability	10,36	4		42.9	%	13,419	9		63.1	%
Assumed from Lloyd s	(0))	NM		(8)	NM	
Other	46			NM		8			NM	
Subtotal	77,85	1		45.7	%	64,00	5		49.0	%
Lloyd s Operations:										
Marine	34,37	7		51.9	%	24,459	9		51.8	%
Professional Liability	2,953			58.3	%	963			NM	
Other	7,939			73.9	%	6,543			67.0	%
Subtotal	45,26	9		55.2	%	31,96	5		54.6	%
Intercompany elimination	(3)	NM		906			NM	
Total	\$	123,117		48.8	%	\$	96,876		51.0	%

NM = not meaningful

% of Gross Written Premium	
%	
%	
%	
%	
%	
%	
%	
%	
%	
%	

NM = not meaningful

The ratios of ceded written premium to gross written premium in the 2006 second quarter and six month periods were 48.8% and 47.2%, respectively, compared to the 2005 second quarter and six month periods of 51.0% and 48.3%, respectively. The decrease in the ratio of ceded written premium to gross written premium in the 2006 periods compared to the same periods in 2005 was due to a combination of factors.

Commencing with the 2006 underwriting year, the marine pool has been eliminated and therefore, all of the marine business generated by the Navigators Agencies is exclusively for the Insurance Companies. The 2005 underwriting year marine business was ceded 15% to pool members and 85% retained by the Insurance Companies. In 2005, members shared the 15% pro rata costs and benefits of all quota share and excess of loss reinsurance ceded by the pool which was also eliminated in 2006.

Also commencing with the 2006 underwriting year, our Lloyd s Operations is retaining more of Syndicate 1221 s business with the 2005 purchase of the 2.5% minority interest in Syndicate 1221 and the replacement of a 5% capacity provider with our own letter of credit funding.

Somewhat offsetting the reduction in ceded premiums due to the marine pool elimination and increased retention of business in Syndicate 1221 were changes in the 2006 reinsurance structure and amounts ceded under marine quota share and excess of loss agreements for the Insurance Companies and the Lloyd s Operations reflecting higher reinsurance costs resulting from the tightened market conditions for catastrophe loss protection as a result of the 2005 industry-wide hurricane losses.

Additionally, as the professional liability business we have been writing since 2001 matures, we have been retaining more of the business by increasing our net retentions on the professional liability pro rata reinsurance treaty renewals each April 1.

The net effects of the items discussed above on the decrease in the ratio of ceded written premium to gross written premium for the six months ended 2006 were somewhat offset as the 2005 first quarter ceded premium was reduced by approximately \$14.5 million resulting from the cancellation of a quota share reinsurance agreement for specialty business effective March 31, 2005. Such amount represented the unearned portion of the premium ceded to the reinsurance agreement that was retained. This retained amount was offset by \$8.2 million of premiums ceded to the reinsurance agreement during the 2005 first quarter. The 2005 six month ratio of ceded written premium to gross premium was 49.9% excluding these amounts

Net Written Premium. Net written premium increased 39% in the 2006 second quarter and increased 30% in the first six months of 2006 compared to the same periods in 2005. The increase in net written premium for the 2006 second quarter and six month period is principally due to business expansion in all of the Company s business units coupled with retaining more of the business written.

<u>Net Earned Premium</u>. Net earned premium, which generally lags the increase in net written premium, increased 33% in the 2006 second quarter and increased 34% in the first six months of 2006 compared to the same periods in 2005 as a result of the increased net written premium discussed above.

Commission Income. Commission income from unaffiliated business was \$0.5 million and \$1.8 million in the 2006 second quarter and six month periods, respectively, compared to \$1.5 million and \$2.8 million in the second quarter and first six months of 2005, respectively. The declines are primarily due to the Company eliminating the marine pool beginning with the 2006 underwriting year and therefore not receiving commission income from the unaffiliated pool members on the 2006 marine business.

Net Investment Income. Net investment income increased 56% in the 2006 second quarter and 60% in the first six months of 2006 compared to the same periods in 2005 due to the increase in invested assets as a result of the positive cash flow from the increased premium volume, the \$123.8 million of net proceeds from the October 2005 common stock offering and the \$123.5 million of net proceeds from the April 2006 7% Senior Notes offering.

Net Realized Capital Gains and Losses. Pre-tax net income included a net realized capital loss of \$192,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2006 compared to a net realized capital gain of \$416,000 for the 2005 second quarter. On an after-tax basis, the net realized capital loss was \$125,000 or \$0.01 per share compared to a net realized capital gain of \$270,000 or \$0.02 per share for the 2005 second quarter. Pre-tax net income included a net realized capital loss of \$616,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 compared to a net realized capital gain of \$583,000 for the first six months of 2005. On an after-tax basis, the net realized capital loss was \$400,000 or \$0.02 per share for the first six months of 2006 compared to a net realized capital gain of \$379,000 or \$0.03 per share for the first six months of 2005.

<u>Other Income/(Expense)</u>. Other income/(expense) for the second quarters and first six months of both 2006 and 2005 consisted primarily of foreign exchange gains and losses from our Lloyd s Operations and inspection fees related to the specialty insurance business.

Operating Expenses

Net Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses Incurred. The ratios of net losses and loss adjustment expenses incurred to net earned premium (loss ratios) for the 2006 and 2005 second quarters were 58.2% and 62.5%, respectively, and 59.0% and 61.1% for the first six months of 2006 and 2005, respectively. The 2006 second quarter and six month loss ratios were favorably impacted by 2.5 and 3.1 loss ratio points, respectively, resulting from a redundancy of prior year loss reserves.

The following tables set forth our net loss and LAE reserves by segment and line of insurance business and the total case reserves and incurred but not reported (IBNR) reserves as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

June 30, 2006 (\$ in thousands)		December 31, 2005	
\$	170,476	\$	162,644
221,46	66	193,75	5
42,482		33,133	i
926		1,218	
18,490)	19,613	
453,84	-0	410,36	3
164,74	15	161,26	52
15,311		7,351	
180,05	66	168,61	3
\$	633,896	\$	578,976
\$	232,281	\$	228,423
401,61	5	350,55	3
\$	633,896	\$	578,976
	2006 (\$ in th \$ 221,46 42,482 926 18,490 453,84 164,74 15,311 180,05 \$ \$	2006 (\$ in thousands) \$ 170,476 221,466 42,482 926 18,490 453,840 164,745 15,311 180,056 \$ 633,896 \$ 232,281 401,615	2006 (\$ in thousands) \$ 170,476

Our reserving practices and the establishment of any particular reserve reflect management s judgment concerning sound financial practice and do not represent any admission of liability with respect to any claims made against us. No assurance can be given that actual claims made and related payments will not be in excess of the amounts reserved. During the loss settlement period, it often becomes necessary to refine and adjust the estimates of liability on a claim either upward or downward. Even after such adjustments, ultimate liability may exceed or be less than the revised estimates.

There are a number of factors that could cause actual losses and loss adjustment expenses to differ materially from the amount that we have reserved for losses and loss adjustment expenses.

The process of establishing loss reserves is complex and imprecise as it must take into account many variables that are subject to the outcome of future events. As a result, informed subjective judgments as to our ultimate exposure to losses are an integral component of our loss reserving process.

IBNR loss reserves are calculated by the Company s actuaries using several standard actuarial methodologies, including the paid and incurred loss development and the paid and incurred Bornheutter-Ferguson loss methods. Additional analyses, such as frequency/severity analyses, are performed for certain books of business.

While an annual loss reserve study is conducted for each line of business, the timing of such studies varies throughout the year. Additionally, a review of the emergence of actual losses relative to expectations for each line of business is conducted each quarter. A separate analysis of our asbestos and environmental liability

exposures is also performed annually and updated quarterly. Any adjustments that result from this review are recorded in the quarter in which they are identified.

The actuarial methods generally utilize analysis of historical patterns of the development of paid and reported losses for each line of business by underwriting year. This process relies on the basic assumption that past experience, adjusted for the effects of current developments and likely trends, is an appropriate basis for predicting future outcomes. This basic assumption is particularly relevant for our marine and energy business written by our Insurance Companies and Lloyd s Operations where we generally rely on the substantial loss development data accumulated over many years to establish IBNR loss reserves for immature underwriting years.

For certain long tail classes of business where anticipated loss experience is less predictable because of the small number of claims and/or erratic claim severity patterns, estimates are based on both expected losses and actual reported losses. These classes include our California contractors liability business and directors and officers liability business, among others. For these classes, we set ultimate losses for each underwriting year reflecting several factors, including our evaluation of loss trends and the current risk environment. The expected ultimate losses are adjusted as the underwriting year matures.

While we have a significant amount of loss development data that is utilized by our actuaries to establish the IBNR loss reserves for our California contractors liability business, there have been significant changes relating to this product and its market that could affect the applicability of our data. For example, one factor that may affect reserves and claim frequency is legislation implemented in California, which generally provides consumers who experience construction defects a method other than litigation to obtain defect repairs. The law, which became effective July 1, 2002 with a sunset provision effective January 1, 2011, provides for an alternative dispute resolution system that attempts to involve all parties to the claim at an early stage. This legislation may impact claim severity, frequency and length of settlement assumptions underlying our reserves. Accordingly, our ultimate liability may exceed or be less than current estimates due to this variable, among others. There were approximately 987 specialty construction liability claims open at June 30, 2006 compared to 1,012 at December 31, 2005

The professional liability business generates third-party claims which also are longer tail in nature. The professional liability policies mostly provide coverage on a claims-made basis, whereby coverage is generally provided only for those claims that are made during the policy period. These claims often involve a lengthy litigation period after being reported. Our professional liability business is relatively immature, as we first began writing the business in late 2001. Accordingly, it will take some time to better understand the reserve trends on this business. Given the limited history of this business, the actuaries generally utilize industry data to initially establish IBNR loss reserves, which are subsequently adjusted based on actual and expected claim emergence as each underwriting year matures. There were approximately 859 professional liability claims open at June 30, 2006 compared to 638 at December 31, 2005.

At the start of each underwriting year, our actuaries and management determine an initial selected ultimate loss ratio for each line of business. Management participation generally includes the underwriter for the particular line of business, executive management, and claims and finance personnel. Generally, such determinations are based on prior year history modified where deemed appropriate for observed changes in premium rates, terms, conditions, exposures, and loss trends. Industry data is generally utilized for new lines of business.

As underwriting years age, for each subsequent quarter and following years, our actuaries, with management, continue to update and refine their estimates of selected ultimate loss ratios for each line of business, by underwriting year, using the actuarial methods referred to above and incorporating relevant factors that generally include actual loss development, recent claims activity, number and dollar amount of open claims, risk characteristics of the particular line of business, the potential effects of changes in underwriting and claims procedures, historic performance relative to expectations and the relationship of the IBNR reserve levels across underwriting years and between similar lines of business. The output of this process results in refinements to the

ultimate loss ratios. Such refinements to the ultimate loss ratios for the prior underwriting years generate prior year redundancies or deficiencies recorded in the year such refinements are made.

The following tables set forth our net loss and LAE reserves for our specialty liability and professional liability businesses as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

Type of Business	Net I Rese	30, 2006 Reported rves thousands)	Net IBNR		Total Net I Rese	JOSS	% of IBNR to Total Net Loss Reserves	
Specialty construction liability	\$	27,302	\$	157,774	\$	185,076	85.2	%
Professional liability	10,7	14	34,09	0	44,80	04	76.1	%
Total	\$	38,016	\$	191,864	\$	229,880	83.5	%
Type of		mber 31, 2005	Net		Total Net I		% of IBNR to Total Net	
Type of Business	Rese	Reported rves thousands)	IBNR		Rese		Loss Reserves	
Specialty construction liability	\$	25,329	\$	141,078	\$	166,407	84.8	%
Professional liability	6,142	2	28,07	9	34,22	21	82.1	%
Total.	\$	31,471	\$	169,157	\$	200,628	84.3	%

Asbestos Liability. Our exposure to asbestos liability principally stems from marine liability insurance written on an occurrence basis during the mid-1980s. In general, our participation on such risks is in the excess layers, which requires the underlying coverage to be exhausted prior to coverage being triggered in our layer. In many instances we are one of many insurers who participate in the defense and ultimate settlement of these claims, and we are generally a minor participant in the overall insurance coverage and settlement.

The reserves we have established for asbestos exposures at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 are for: (i) the 2005 fourth quarter settlements of two large claims aggregating approximately \$28 million for excess insurance policy limits exposed to class action suits against two insureds involved in the manufacturing or distribution of asbestos products, each settlement to be paid over two years; (ii) the 2004 settlement of a large claim approximating \$25 million exposed to a class action suit which settlement will be paid over seven years starting in June 2005; (iii) other insureds not directly involved in the manufacturing or distribution of asbestos products, but that have more than incidental asbestos exposure for their purchase or use of products that contained asbestos; and (iv) attritional asbestos claims that could be expected to occur over time.

The Company has now settled the four large asbestos claims where excess policy limits were exposed to class action suits which gave rise to the reserve action taken in the fourth quarter of 2003. The Company believes that there are no remaining known claims where it would suffer a material loss as a result of excess policy limits being exposed to class action suits for insureds involved in the manufacturing or distribution of asbestos

products. There can be no assurances, however, that material loss development may not arise in the future from existing asbestos claims or new claims given the evolving and complex legal environment that may directly impact the outcome of the asbestos exposures of our insureds.

Substantially all of our asbestos liability reserves are included in our marine loss reserves.

	June 30	nths Ended 0, 2006 ousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2005		
Gross of Reinsurance					
Beginning reserve	\$	56,838	\$	78,421	
Incurred losses & LAE	160		(17,409)
Calendar year payments	14,012	,	4,174		
Ending reserves	\$	42,986	\$	56,838	
Net of Reinsurance					
Beginning reserve	\$	30,372	\$	31,394	
Incurred losses & LAE	153		529		
Calendar year payments	5,601		1,551		
Ending reserves	\$	24,924	\$	30,372	

Hurricanes Katrina and Rita

During the 2005 third quarter, the Company recorded gross and net loss estimates of \$471 million and \$22.3 million, respectively, exclusive of \$14.5 million for the cost of excess of loss reinstatement premiums related to Hurricanes Katrina and Rita. Such estimates remain unchanged through June 30, 2006.

	Six Mont June 30, (\$ in thou		Year Ended December 31, 2005	
Gross of Reinsurance				
Beginning reserves	\$	465,728	\$	
Incurred loss & LAE			471,027	
Calendar year payments	52,458		5,299	
Ending reserves	\$	413,270	\$	465,728
Net of Reinsurance				
Beginning reserves	\$	17,800	\$	
Incurred loss & LAE			22,288	
Calendar year payments	4,699		4,488	
Ending reserves	\$	13,101	\$	17,800

Our management believes that the overall estimates for the reserves for losses and loss adjustment expenses are adequate to cover the ultimate cost of losses and loss adjustment expenses on reported and

unreported claims. However, it is possible that the ultimate liability may exceed or be less than such estimates. To the extent that reserves are deficient or redundant, the amount of such deficiency or redundancy is treated as a charge or credit to earnings in the period in which the deficiency or redundancy is identified.

Commission Expense. Commission expense paid to unaffiliated brokers and agents is generally based on a percentage of the gross written premium and is reduced by ceding commissions the Company may receive on the ceded written premium. Commissions are generally deferred and recorded as deferred policy acquisition costs to the extent that they relate to unearned premium. Commission expense as a percentage of earned premium in the 2006 second quarter and six month periods was 11.9% and 12.5%, respectively, compared to 10.8% and 11.5% for the 2005 comparable periods. The increase in the 2006 commission ratio principally reflects the reduction of ceding commissions from quota share reinsurance as more business written is being retained by the Company.

Other Operating Expenses. The 13% and 9% increase in other operating expenses in the second quarter and first six months of 2006, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2005 are attributable primarily to employee-related expenses resulting from expansion of the business. Included in the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 were \$1.9 million and \$1.8 million in the aggregate for employee stock options, stock grants and stock appreciation rights expense compared to \$0.9 million and \$1.6 million for the same periods in 2005.

<u>Income Taxes</u>. The income tax expense was \$8.8 million and \$5.4 million for the second quarters of 2006 and 2005, respectively. The effective tax rates for the 2006 and 2005 second quarters were 32.8% and 32.5%, respectively. The tax expense for the first six months of 2006 and 2005 was \$16.4 million and \$10.0 million, respectively, resulting in effective tax rates of 32.8% and 32.2%, respectively. As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the net deferred Federal, foreign, state and local tax assets were \$39.5 million and \$28.3 million, respectively.

We are subject to the tax regulations of the United States and foreign countries in which we operate. The Company files a consolidated federal tax return, which includes all domestic subsidiaries and the U.K. Branch. The income from the foreign operations is designated as either U.S connected income or non-U.S. connected income. Lloyd s is required to pay U.S. income tax on U.S. connected income (U.S. source income) written by Lloyd s syndicates. Lloyd s and the IRS have entered into an agreement whereby the amount of tax due on U.S. connected income is calculated by Lloyd s and remitted directly to the IRS. These amounts are then charged to the corporate members in proportion to their participation in the relevant syndicates. The Company s corporate members are subject to this agreement and will receive U.K. tax credits for any U.S. income tax incurred up to the U.K. income tax charge on the U.S. income. The non-U.S. connected insurance income would generally constitute taxable income under the Subpart F income section of the Internal Revenue Code since less than 50% of the Company s premium is derived within the U.K. and would therefore be subject to U.S. taxation when the Lloyd s year of account closes. Taxes are accrued at a 35% rate on our foreign source insurance income and foreign tax credits, where available, are utilized to offset U.S. tax as permitted. U.S. taxes are not accrued on the earnings of the Company s foreign agencies as these earnings are not subject to the Subpart F tax regulations. These earnings are subject to taxes under U.K. tax regulations at a 30% rate.

We have not provided for U.S. deferred income taxes on the undistributed earnings of approximately \$16.0 million of our non-U.S. subsidiaries since these earnings are intended to be permanently reinvested in the foreign subsidiary. However, in the future, if such earnings were distributed to the Company, taxes of approximately \$0.8 million would be payable on such undistributed earnings and would be reflected in the tax provision for the year in which these earnings are no longer intended to be permanently reinvested in the foreign subsidiary assuming all foreign tax credits are realized.

The Company had state and local operating loss carryforwards amounting to potential future tax benefits of \$5.7 million and \$5.0 million at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. A valuation allowance was established for the full amount of these potential future tax benefits due to the uncertainty associated with their realization. The Company s state and local tax carryforwards at June 30, 2006 expire from 2021 to 2026.

In July 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* (FIN 48), an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109. FIN 48 establishes the threshold for recognizing the benefits of tax-return positions in the financial statements as more-likely-than-not to be sustained by the taxing authorities, and prescribes a measurement methodology for those positions meeting the recognition threshold. The Company has not yet determined the estimated impact on its financial condition or results of operations, if any, of adopting FIN 48.

Segment Information

Effective in 2006, the Company classifies its business into two underwriting segments consisting of the Insurance Companies and the Lloyd s Operations, which are separately managed, and a Corporate segment. Segment data for each of the two underwriting segments include allocations of revenues and expenses of Navigators Agencies and the Parent Company s expenses and related income tax amounts previously reported separately. The Corporate segment consists of the Parent Company s investment income, interest expense and the related tax effect. Segment data for 2005 and prior periods have been restated to reflect this change in segment reporting.

We evaluate the performance of each segment based on its underwriting and net income results. The Insurance Companies and the Lloyd s Operations results are measured by taking into account net premiums earned, incurred losses and loss adjustment expenses, commission expense and other underwriting expenses. The Corporate segment consists of the Parent Company s investment income and related tax effect. Each segment also maintains its own investments, on which it earns income and realizes capital gains or losses. Our underwriting performance is evaluated separately from the performance of our investment portfolios.

Following are the financial results of the Company s two underwriting segments.

Insurance Companies

Our Insurance Companies consist of Navigators Insurance Company, including its U.K. Branch, and NIC Insurance Company. Navigators Insurance Company is our largest insurance subsidiary and has been active since 1983. It specializes principally in underwriting marine insurance and related lines of business, specialty liability insurance and professional liability insurance. NIC Insurance Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of Navigators Insurance Company, began operations in 1990. It underwrites specialty and professional liability insurance on an excess and surplus lines basis fully reinsured by Navigators Insurance Company. The Navigators Agencies produce business for the Insurance Companies.

Following are the results of operations for the Insurance Companies for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

	June 2006	e Months Endo 30, thousands)	ed	2005			Six M June 2006	Ionths Ended 30,		2005		
Gross written premium	\$	170,296		\$	130,680		\$	332,543		\$	259,968	
Net written premium	92,44	15		66,6	75		182,0)89		140,	256	
•												
Net earned premium	\$	78,488		\$	59,107		\$	145,840		\$	106,470	
Net losses and LAE	(47,6	76)	(38,	582)	(87,6	30)	(67,5	524)
Commission expense	(7,91	2)	(4,40)9)	(15,0	36)	(9,13)	32)
Other operating expenses	(13,9	66)	(12,	316)	(27,3	99)	(24,2	255)
Commission income and other												
income	794			1,62	4		2,000)		2,89	5	
Underwriting profit	9,728	3		5,32	4		17,77	75		8,45	4	
Investment income	12,02	23		7,48	6		22,43	33		14,3	43	
Net realized capital gains (losses)	(225)	681			(300)	947		
Income before income taxes	21,52	26		13,4	91		39,90)8		23,7	44	
Income tax expense	6,868			4,30	4		12,78	34		7,44	0	
Net income	\$	14,658		\$	9,187		\$	27,124		\$	16,304	
Loss and LAE ratio	60.7		%	65.4		%	60.1		%	63.4		%
Commission expense ratio	10.1		%	7.5		%	10.3		%	8.6		%
Other operating expense ratio (1)	16.8		%	18.1		%	17.4		%	20.1		%
Combined ratio	87.6		%	91.0		%	87.8		%	92.1		%

⁽¹⁾ Includes other operating expenses and commission income and other income (expense).

Following are the underwriting results of the Insurance Companies for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

Three Months Ended June 30, 2006	
(\$ in thousands)	

Net Earned Premium	Losses and LAE Incurred	Underwriting Expenses	Underwriting Profit(Loss)	Combined Ratio Loss	Expense	Total
\$ 28,887	\$ 17,045	\$ 7,296	\$ 4,546	59.0 %	25.3 %	84.3 %
39,765	23,804	11,075	4,886	59.9 %	27.9 %	87.8 %
9,824	6,989	2,750	85	71.1 %	28.0 %	99.1 %
4	(221)	(2)	227	NM	NM	NM
8	59	(35)	(16)	NM	NM	NM
\$ 78,488	\$ 47,676	\$ 21,084	\$ 9,728	60.7 %	26.9 %	87.6 %
	\$ 28,887 39,765 9,824	Net Earned Premium Losses and LAE Incurred \$ 28,887 \$ 17,045 39,765 23,804 9,824 6,989 4 (221 8 59	Net Earned Premium Losses and LAE Incurred Underwriting Expenses \$ 28,887 \$ 17,045 \$ 7,296 39,765 23,804 11,075 9,824 6,989 2,750 4 (221) (2) 8 59 (35)	Net Earned Premium Losses and LAE Incurred Underwriting Expenses Underwriting Profit(Loss) \$ 28,887 \$ 17,045 \$ 7,296 \$ 4,546 39,765 23,804 11,075 4,886 9,824 6,989 2,750 85 4 (221) (2) 227 8 59 (35) (16)	Net Earned Premium Losses and LAE Incurred Underwriting Expenses Underwriting Profit(Loss) Combined Ratio Loss \$ 28,887 \$ 17,045 \$ 7,296 \$ 4,546 59.0 % 39,765 \$ 23,804 \$ 11,075 \$ 4,886 59.9 % 9,824 6,989 2,750 85 71.1 % 4 (221) (2) 227 NM 8 59 (35) (16) NM	Net Earned Premium Losses and LAE Incurred Underwriting Expenses Underwriting Profit(Loss) Combined Ratio Loss Expense \$ 28,887 \$ 17,045 \$ 7,296 \$ 4,546 59.0 % 25.3 % 39,765 23,804 11,075 4,886 59.9 % 27.9 % 9,824 6,989 2,750 85 71.1 % 28.0 % 4 (221) (2) 227 NM NM 8 59 (35) (16) NM NM

Three Months	Ended	June 30,	2005
--------------	-------	----------	------

	(\$ in thousands) Net	Losses					
	Earned	and LAE	Underwriting	Underwriting	Combined Ratio		
	Premium	Incurred	Expenses	Profit(Loss)	Loss	Expense	Total
Insurance							
Companies:							
Marine	\$ 23,330	\$ 15,868	\$ 4,462	\$ 3,000	68.0 %	19.1 %	87.1 %
Specialty	29,442	18,922	9,172	1,348	64.3 %	31.2 %	95.5 %
Professional							
Liability	6,990	5,031	2,180	(221)	72.0 %	31.2 %	103.2 %
Assumed from							
Lloyd s	(675)	(888)	(18)	231	NM	NM	NM
Other	20	(251)	(695)	966	NM	NM	NM
	\$ 59,107	\$ 38,682	\$ 15,101	\$ 5,324	65.4 %	25.6 %	91.0 %

Six Months	Ended	June	30,	2006
(\$ in thousa	nds)			

	Net Earned Premium	Losses and LAE Incurred	Underwriting Expenses	Underwriting Profit(Loss)	Combined Ratio	Expense	Total
Insurance							
Companies:							
Marine	\$ 51,388	\$ 30,545	\$ 13,342	\$ 7,501	59.4 %	26.0 %	85.4 %
Specialty	75,899	44,961	21,599	9,339	59.2 %	28.5 %	87.7 %
Professional							
Liability	18,556	12,298	5,461	797	66.3 %	29.4 %	95.7 %
Assumed from							
Lloyd s	17	(206)	(9)	232	NM	NM	NM
Other	(20)	32	42	(94)	NM	NM	NM
Total	\$ 145,840	\$ 87,630	\$ 40,435	\$ 17,775	60.1 %	27.7 %	87.8 %

Six Months	Ended	June	30,	2005
------------	-------	------	-----	------

	(\$ in thousands) Net Earned	Losses and LAE	Underwriting	Underwriting	Combined Ratio		T. 4.1
Insurance	Premium	Incurred	Expenses	Profit(Loss)	Loss	Expense	Total
Companies:							
Marine	\$ 43,209	\$ 28,611	\$ 10,591	\$ 4,007	66.2 %	24.5	% 90.7 %
Specialty	49,464	30,455	16,144	2,865	61.6 %	32.6	% 94.2 %
Professional							
Liability	13,707	9,471	4,093	143	69.1 %	29.9	% 99.0 %
Assumed from							
Lloyd s	36	(315)	303	48	NM	NM	NM
Other	54	(698)	(639)	1,391	NM	NM	NM
	\$ 106,470	\$ 67.524	\$ 30.492	\$ 8,454	63.4 %	28.7	% 92.1 %

Net earned premiums of the Insurance Companies increased 33% and 34% in the 2006 second quarter and six month periods, respectively, compared to the same periods last year reflective of business expansion in all business units coupled with increased retention of the business written.

Underwriting results generally reflect the favorable industry market conditions over the last three to four years coupled with satisfactory loss trends in the aforementioned periods. The 2006 second quarter and six month loss ratios were favorably impacted by prior year loss reserve redundancies of \$0.6 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, or 0.8 and 3.0 loss ratio points, respectively.

The approximate annualized pre-tax yields on the Insurance Companies investment portfolio, excluding net realized capital gains and losses, for the 2006 second quarter and six month period were 4.8% and 4.6%, respectively, compared to 4.3% and 4.2% for the comparable 2005 periods. Net investment income increased in the 2006 second quarter and six month period compared to the same periods in 2005 due to the increase in the yield and the positive cash flows resulting in a larger investment portfolio including the statutory surplus contributions of \$120 million from the net proceeds of our October 2005 equity offering and \$100 million from the net proceeds of our April 2006 7% Senior Notes offering, in an environment of gradually increasing interest rates as monetary policy tightened.

Lloyd s Operations

The Lloyd s Operations consist of NUAL, which manages Lloyd s Syndicate 1221, Millennium Underwriting Ltd. and Navigators Corporate Underwriters Ltd. Both Millennium Underwriting Ltd. and Navigators Corporate Underwriters Ltd. are Lloyd s corporate members with limited liability and provide capacity to Lloyd s Syndicate 1221. NUAL owns Navigators Underwriting Ltd., an underwriting managing agency with its principal office in Manchester, England, which underwrites cargo and engineering business for Lloyd s Syndicate 1221. In January 2005, we formed Navigators NV in Antwerp, Belgium, a wholly owned subsidiary of NUAL. Navigators NV produces transport liability, cargo and marine liability premium on behalf of Syndicate 1221. The Lloyd s Operations and Navigators Management (UK) Limited, a Navigators Agency which produces business for the U.K. Branch, are subsidiaries of Navigators Holdings (UK) Limited located in the United Kingdom.

Syndicate 1221 has stamp capacity of £112.5 million (\$201.6 million) in 2006 compared to £135 million (\$246.0 million) in 2005. Stamp capacity is a measure of the amount of premium a Lloyd s syndicate is authorized to write based on a business plan approved by the Council of Lloyd s. We provide 100% and 97.5% of Syndicate 1221 s total stamp capacity in 2006 and 2005, respectively. In September 2005, the Company purchased the remaining outstanding minority interest of the Syndicate 1221 s capacity for the 2006 underwriting year.

Syndicate 1221 s stamp capacity is expressed net of commission (as is standard at Lloyd s) of approximately 21%. The Syndicate 1221 premium recorded in the Company s financial statements is gross of commission. The Lloyd s marine business had been subject to deteriorating pricing beginning in the mid-1990 s. The pricing competition showed some signs of stabilizing in 2000 and prices increased from 2001 through 2003. The marine rate increases began to level off in 2004 and into 2005. As a result of substantial insurance industry losses resulting from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in August and September 2005, the global marine insurance market is experiencing diminished capacity and rate increases, particularly for the Gulf of Mexico offshore energy lines of business. Lloyd s presents its results on an underwriting year basis, generally closing each underwriting year after three years. We make estimates for each underwriting year and timely accrue the expected results. Our Lloyd s Operations included in the consolidated financial statements represent our participation in Syndicate 1221.

Lloyd s syndicates report the amounts of premiums, claims, and expenses recorded in an underwriting account for a particular year to the companies or individuals that participate in the syndicates. The syndicates generally keep accounts open for three years. Traditionally, three years have been necessary to report substantially all premiums associated with an underwriting year and to report most related claims, although claims may remain unsettled after the underwriting year is closed. A Lloyd s syndicate typically closes an underwriting year by reinsuring outstanding claims on that underwriting year with the participants for the next underwriting year. The ceding participants pay the assuming participants an amount based on the unearned premiums and outstanding claims in the underwriting year at the date of the assumption. Our participation in Lloyd s Syndicate 1221 is represented by and recorded as our proportionate share of the underlying assets and liabilities and results of operations of the syndicate since (i) we hold an undivided interest in each asset, (ii) we are proportionately liable for each liability and (iii) Syndicate 1221 is not a separate legal entity. At Lloyd s, the amount to close an underwriting year into the next year is referred to as the reinsurance to close (RITC) transaction. The RITC amounts represent the transfer of the assets and liabilities from the participants of a closing underwriting year to the participants of the next underwriting year. To the extent our participation in the syndicate changes, the RITC amounts vary accordingly. The RITC transaction is recorded in the fourth quarter as additional written and earned premium, losses incurred, loss reserves and receivables, all in the same amount. There are no gains or losses recorded on the RITC transaction.

We provide letters of credit to Lloyd s to support our participation in Syndicate 1221 s stamp capacity as discussed below under the caption *Liquidity and Capital Resources*.

Whenever a member of Lloyd s is unable to pay its debts to policyholders, such debts may be payable by the Lloyd s Central Fund. If Lloyd s determines that the Central Fund needs to be increased, it has the power to assess premium levies on current Lloyd s members up to 3% of a member s underwriting capacity in any one year. The Company does not believe that any assessment is likely in the foreseeable future and has not provided any allowance for such an assessment. However, based on the Company s 2006 capacity at Lloyd s of £112.5 million, the June 30, 2006 exchange rate of £1 equals \$1.85 and in the event of a maximum 3% assessment, the Company would be assessed approximately \$6.2 million. In addition, beginning with the 2005 underwriting year, Lloyd s added a second tier of assets to the existing central fund. This second tier is being built up through a compulsory interest bearing loan to the Society of Lloyd s from the Lloyd s members based on the stamp capacity of each syndicate for the respective underwriting year. The funds are invested in assets eligible for Society of Lloyd s solvency. The loans will be repaid on a rolling year basis as each year closes. At June 30, 2006, the Company had \$3.4 million of assets loaned to this fund.

Following are the results of operations of the Lloyd s Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Month June 30, 2006 (\$ in thousan		2005		Six Months En June 30, 2006	nded	2005	
Gross written premium	\$ 82,072		\$ 58,547		\$ 183,820		\$ 146,37	7
Net written premium	36,803		26,582		90,585		70,091	
Net earned premium	36,053		26,725		72,423		56,900	
Net losses and LAE	(18,951)	(14,929)	(41,114)	(32,308)
Commission expense	(5,712)	(4,833)	(12,293)	(9,714)
Other operating expenses	(5,961)	(5,312)	(10,936)	(10,817)
Commission income and other income (expense)	(210)	360		56		1,256	
Underwriting profit	\$ 5,219		\$ 2,011		\$ 8,136		\$ 5,317	
Investment income	1,667		1,454		3,674		2,203	
Net realized capital gains (losses)	33		(265)	(316)	(364)
Income before income taxes	6,919		3,200		11,494		7,156	
Income tax expense	2,422		1,120		4,023		2,505	
Net income	\$ 4,497		\$ 2,080		\$ 7,471		\$ 4,651	
Loss and LAE ratio	52.6	%	55.9	%	56.8	%	56.8	%
Commission expense ratio	15.8	%	18.1	%	17.0	%	17.1	%
Other operating expense ratio (1)	17.1	%	18.5	%	15.0	%	16.8	%
Combined ratio	85.5	%	92.5	%	88.8	%	90.7	%

⁽¹⁾ Includes other operating expenses and commission income and other income (expense).

The Lloyd s Operations have been experiencing business expansion coupled with improving underwriting results as a result of the generally favorable market conditions for marine and energy business from

late 2001 through 2003, and continuing to a lesser extent in 2004. Premium rate increases occurred in 2005 and continued into 2006 following Hurricanes Katrina and Rita, particularly in the offshore energy business. Net earned premium ceded to the Insurance Companies in the first six months of 2006 and 2005 was \$17,000 and \$36,000, respectively.

The 2006 second quarter and six month loss ratios were favorably impacted by 6.4 and 3.2 loss ratio points, respectively, from a \$2.3 million redundancy of prior year loss reserves recorded in the 2006 second quarter.

The approximate annualized pre-tax yields on the Lloyd s Operations investment portfolio, excluding net realized capital gains and losses, for the 2006 second quarter and six month period were 3.0% and 3.3%, respectively, compared to 2.7% and 2.2% for the comparable 2005 periods. Generally, funds invested at Lloyd s have been invested with a relatively short average duration in order to meet liquidity needs. The average duration of our Lloyd s Operations invested assets at June 30, 2006 was 1.4 years. Such yields are net of interest credits to certain reinsurers for funds withheld by our Lloyd s Operations.

Off-Balance Sheet Transactions

There have been no material changes in the information concerning off-balance sheet transactions as stated in the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Tabular Disclosure of Contractual Obligations

There have been no material changes in the operating lease or capital lease information concerning contractual obligations as stated in the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Total reserves for losses and LAE were \$1.6 billion at both June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005. There were no significant changes in the Company s lines of business or claims handling that would create a material change in the percentage relationship of the projected payments by period to the total reserves.

Investments

The objective of the Company s investment policy, guidelines and strategy is to maximize total investment return in the context of preserving and enhancing shareholder value and statutory surplus of the Insurance Companies. Secondarily, an important consideration is to optimize the after-tax book income.

The investments are managed by outside professional fixed-income and equity portfolio managers. The Company seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing in cash equivalents and money market funds, municipal bonds, U.S. Government bonds, U.S. Government agency guaranteed and non-guaranteed securities, corporate bonds, mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities and common and preferred stocks. Our investment guidelines require that the amount of the consolidated fixed-income portfolio rated below A- by Standard & Poor s (S&P) or A3 by Moody s shall not exceed 20% of the statutory surplus of the Insurance Companies. Securities rated below BBB- by S&P or Baa3 by Moody s are not eligible to be purchased. Up to 15% of the statutory surplus of the Insurance Companies may be invested in equity securities that are actively traded on major U.S. stock exchanges. Our investment guidelines prohibit investments in derivatives other than as a hedge against foreign currency exposures or the writing of covered call options on the equity portfolio.

The Insurance Companies investments are subject to the direction and control of each company s Board of Directors and our Finance Committee. The investment portfolio and the performance of the investment managers are reviewed quarterly. These investments must comply with the insurance laws of New York State, the domiciliary state of Navigators Insurance Company and NIC Insurance Company. These laws prescribe the type, quality and concentration of investments which may be made by insurance companies. In general, these

laws permit investments, within specified limits and subject to certain qualifications, in Federal, state and municipal obligations, corporate bonds, preferred stocks, common stocks, mortgages and real estate.

The Lloyd s Operations investments are subject to the direction and control of the Board of Directors and the Investment Committee of NUAL, as well as the Company s Board of Directors and Finance Committee, and represent our share of the investments held by Syndicate 1221. These investments must comply with the rules and regulations imposed by Lloyd s and by certain overseas regulators. The investment portfolio and the performance of the investment managers are reviewed quarterly.

The majority of the investment income of the Navigators Agencies is derived from fiduciary funds invested in accordance with the guidelines of various state insurance departments. These guidelines typically require investments in short-term instruments. This investment income is paid to Navigators Insurance Company.

All fixed maturity and equity securities are carried at fair value. The fair value is based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes provided by independent pricing services. The following tables show our cash and investments as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

June 30, 2006	Fair Value (\$ in thousands)		Gross Unrealized Gains		Gro Unr (Los	ealized		Cost or Amortized Cost	
Fixed maturities:									
U.S. Government Treasury Bonds, GNMAs and foreign government bonds States, municipalities and political subdivisions Mortgage- and asset-backed securities (excluding GNMAs). Corporate bonds.	\$ 317, 427, 205,	588	\$ 523 162 547	420	\$ (6,0) (11,	393)	\$ 322, 438, 210,	819
Total fixed maturities (1)	1,15	8,996	1,65	2	(29,)	1,18	7,261
Equity securities - common stocks	27,6	68	2,17	0	(714	1)	26,2	12
Cash and short-term investments.	121,	887						121,	887
Total.	\$	1,308,551	\$	3,822	\$	(30,631)	\$	1,335,360

⁽¹⁾ Approximately 5.1% and 16.1% of total fixed maturities investments are direct and collateralized obligations, respectively, of FNMA and FHLMC.

December 31, 2005	Fair Value (\$ in thousands)		Gross Unrealized Gains		Gros Unre (Los	alized		Cost or Amortized Cost		
Fixed maturities:										
U.S. Government Treasury Bonds, GNMAs and foreign government bonds States, municipalities and political subdivisions Mortgage- and asset-backed securities	\$ 216,	244,890 345	\$ 1,56	2,432	\$ (2,11)	(2,249 15)	\$ 216,	244,707 897	
(excluding GNMAs)	358,	062	758		(3,68	32)	360,	986	
Corporate bonds.	164,	816	2,11	5	(1,43	35)	164,	136	
Total fixed maturities (1)	984,	113	6,86	8	(9,48	31)	986,	726	
Equity securities - common stocks	20,9	11	1,89	3	(649)	19,6	67	
Cash and short-term investments.	177,	212						177,	212	
Total.	\$	1,182,236	\$	8,761	\$	(10,130)	\$	1,183,605	

⁽¹⁾ Approximately 5.6% and 10.7% of total fixed maturities investments are direct and collateralized obligations, respectively, of FNMA and FHLMC.

At June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, all fixed-maturity and equity securities held by us were classified as available-for-sale.

We regularly review our fixed maturity and equity securities portfolios to evaluate the necessity of recording impairment losses for other-than-temporary declines in the fair value of investments. In general, we focus our attention on those securities whose market value was less than 80% of their cost or amortized cost, as appropriate, for six or more consecutive months. Other factors considered in evaluating potential impairment include the current fair value as compared to cost or amortized cost, as appropriate, our intent and ability to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in value, specific credit issues related to the issuer and current economic conditions.

As mentioned above, the Company considers its intent and ability to hold a security until the value recovers as part of the process of evaluating whether a security s unrealized loss represents an other-than-temporary decline. The Company s ability to hold such securities is supported by sufficient cash flow from its operations and from maturities within its investment portfolio in order to meet its claims payment and other disbursement obligations arising from its underwriting operations without selling such investments. With respect to securities where the decline in value is determined to be temporary and the security s value is not written down, a subsequent decision may be made to sell that security and realize a loss. Subsequent decisions on security sales are made within the context of overall risk monitoring, changing information, market conditions and assessing value relative to other comparable securities. Management of the Company s investment portfolio is outsourced to third party investment managers. While these investment managers may, at a given point in time, believe that the preferred course of action is to hold securities with unrealized losses that are considered temporary until such losses are recovered, the dynamic nature of the portfolio management may result in a subsequent decision to sell the security and realize the loss, based upon a change in market and other factors described above. The Company believes that subsequent decisions to sell such securities are consistent with the classification of the Company s portfolio as available for sale.

When a security in our investment portfolio has an unrealized loss that is deemed to be other-than-temporary, we write the security down to fair value through a charge to operations. Significant changes in the factors we consider when evaluating investments for impairment losses could result in a significant change in impairment losses reported in the consolidated financial statements. There were no impairment losses recorded in our fixed maturity or equity securities portfolios in the first six months of 2006 or 2005.

The following table summarizes all securities in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, showing the aggregate fair value and gross unrealized loss by the length of time those securities have continuously been in an unrealized loss position:

	June 30, 2006 Fair Value (\$ in thousands)	Gross Unrealized Loss	December 31, 2005 Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Loss	
Fixed Maturities:					
U.S. Government Treasury Bonds, GNMAs and					
foreign government bonds	Φ ((010	Ф 2.000	Ф ((220	Ф 770	
0-6 Months	\$ 66,212	\$ 2,000	\$ 66,229	\$ 772	
7-12 Months	20,949	1,171	52,994	690	
> 12 Months	84,672	3,525	51,234	787	
Subtotal	171,833	6,696	170,457	2,249	
States, municipalities and political subdivisions					
0-6 Months	156,600	2,196	82,167	696	
7-12 Months	15,027	321	43,875	776	
> 12 Months	119,521	3,577	24,786	643	
Subtotal	291,148	6,094	150,828	2,115	
Subtotal	291,140	0,094	130,020	2,113	
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities (excluding GNMAs)					
0-6 Months	176,074	2,911	184,145	1,847	
7-12 Months	81,473	3,061	53,485	942	
> 12 Months	140,176	5,421	52,115	893	
Subtotal	397,723	11,393	289,745	3,682	
Corporate bonds					
0-6 Months	105,988	2,500	45,995	576	
7-12 Months	17,652	819	9,109	202	
> 12 Months	56,696	2,415	26,904	657	
Subtotal	180,336	5,734	82,008	1,435	
Total Fixed Maturities	\$ 1,041,040	\$ 29,917	\$ 693,038	\$ 9,481	
Equity securities - common stocks					
0-6 Months	\$ 5,327	\$ 315	\$ 2,200	\$ 167	
7-12 Months	1,263	158	3,120	235	
> 12 Months	2,315	241	756	247	
Total Equity Securities	\$ 8,905	\$ 714	\$ 6,076	\$ 649	

We analyze the unrealized losses quarterly to determine if any are other-than-temporary. The above unrealized losses have been determined to be temporary and generally result from changes in market conditions.

The following table shows the composition by National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) rating and the generally equivalent Standard & Poor s (S&P) and Moody s ratings of the fixed maturity securities in our portfolio with gross unrealized losses at June 30, 2006. Not all of the securities are rated by S&P and/or Moody s.

NAIC Rating	Equivalent S&P Rating	Equivalent Moody s Rating	Gross Unrea Amou	lized Loss	Percent of Total (\$ in thous	sands)	Fair \		Percent of Total	
1	AAA/AA/A	Aaa/Aa/A	\$	27,653	92	%	\$	984,470	95	%
2	BBB	Baa	2,256		8	%	56,43	33	5	%
3	BB	Ba	8		0	%	137		0	%
4	В	В								
5	CCC or lower	Caa or lower								
6	N/A	N/A								
		Total	\$	29,917	100	%	\$	1.041.040	100	%

At June 30, 2006, the gross unrealized losses in the table directly above are related to fixed maturity securities that are rated investment grade, except for \$137,000 rated below investment grade. Investment grade is defined as a security having a NAIC rating of 1 or 2, a S&P rating of BBB or higher, or a Moody s rating of Baa3 or higher. Unrealized losses on investment grade securities principally relate to changes in interest rates or changes in sector-related credit spreads since the securities were acquired. Any such unrealized losses are recognized in income, if the securities are sold, or if the decline in fair value is deemed other-than-temporary.

The scheduled maturity dates for fixed maturity securities in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2006 are shown in the following table:

	Gross Unrealized Loss Amount	Percent of Total		Fair Value	Percent of Total	
	(\$ in thousands)					
Due in one year or less	\$ 661	2	%	\$ 58,601	6	%
Due after one year through five years	5,211	17	%	228,262	22	%
Due after five years through ten years.	4,723	16	%	153,793	15	%
Due after ten years	7,929	27	%	202,661	19	%
Mortgage- and asset-backed securities (excluding GNMAs)	11,393	38	%	397,723	38	%
Total fixed income securities	\$ 29,917	100	%	\$ 1,041,040	100	%

Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. Due to the periodic repayment of principal, the mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities are estimated to have an effective maturity of approximately 4.9 years.

Our realized capital gains and losses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005 were as follows:

	Three Mon June 30, 2006 (\$ in thousa		2005		Six Months June 30, 2006	End	ed 2005
Fixed maturities:							
Gains	\$ 69		\$ 546		\$ 341		\$ 1,259
(Losses)	(241)	(411)	(1,407)	(957)
	(172)	135		(1,066)	302
Equity securities:							
Gains	3		415		484		415
(Losses)	(23)	(134)	(34)	(134)
	(20)	281		450		281
Net realized capital gains (losses)	\$ (192)	\$ 416		\$ (616)	\$ 583

The following table details realized losses in excess of \$250,000 from sales and impairments during the first six months of 2006 and 2005 and the related circumstances giving rise to the loss:

Description	Date of Sale (\$ in thousands)	 ceeds n Sale	(Lo Sal	oss) on le		Impairment	Holdings at June 30, 2006	Net Unrealized (Loss)	# of Months Unrealized Loss Exceeded 20% of Cost or Amortized Cost
Six months ended June 30, 2006:									
TIPS (1)	3/31/2006	\$ 15,418	\$	(305)				
Six months ended June 30, 2005:									
None									

⁽¹⁾ Treasury inflation protection securities (TIPS) were sold during the 2006 first quarter due to the widening breakeven yield spread between TIPS and Treasuries.

Reinsurance Recoverables

We utilize reinsurance principally to reduce our exposure on individual risks, to protect against catastrophic losses, to maintain desired ratios of net written premium to statutory surplus and to stabilize loss ratios and underwriting results. Although reinsurance makes the reinsurer liable to us to the extent the risk is transferred or ceded to the reinsurer, ceded reinsurance arrangements do not eliminate our obligation to pay claims to our policyholders. Accordingly, we bear credit risk with respect to our reinsurers. Specifically, our reinsurers may not pay claims made by us on a timely basis, or they may not pay some or all of these claims.

Either of these events would increase our costs and could have a material adverse effect on our business. We are required to pay the losses even if the reinsurer fails to meet its obligations under the reinsurance agreement. Hurricanes Katrina and Rita increased our reinsurance recoverables significantly which increased our credit risk.

We are protected by various treaty and facultative reinsurance agreements. Our exposure to credit risk from any one reinsurer is managed through diversification by reinsuring with a number of different reinsurers, principally in the United States and European reinsurance markets. To meet our standards of acceptability, when the reinsurance is placed, a reinsurer generally must have an A.M. Best Company and/or Standard & Poor s rating of A or better, or equivalent financial strength if not rated, plus at least \$250 million in policyholders surplus. Our Reinsurance Security Committee monitors the financial strength of our reinsurers and the related reinsurance receivables and periodically reviews the list of acceptable reinsurers. The reinsurance is placed either directly by us or through reinsurance intermediaries. The reinsurance intermediaries are compensated by the reinsurers.

Charges (recoveries) for uncollectible reinsurance amounts, all of which were recorded to incurred losses, were \$153,000 and (\$420,000) for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$299,000 and \$613,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The Company continues to periodically monitor the financial condition and ongoing activities of its reinsurers, in order to assess the adequacy of its allowance for uncollectible reinsurance.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash flow provided by operations was \$16.7 million and \$115.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The positive operating cash flow in the first six months of 2006 was primarily due to the increase in net written premium. Operating cash flow was used primarily to acquire additional investment assets.

The cumulative inception to date payment of gross losses from Hurricanes Katrina and Rita at June 30, 2006 approximated \$57.8 million of which \$52.5 million was paid in 2006. Such payments reduce cash flow for the gross amount paid until the ceded portion of the loss is collected from the reinsurers.

Investments and cash increased to \$1,309 million at June 30, 2006 from \$1,182 million at December 31, 2005. The increase was due to the positive cash flow and the \$123.5 million of net proceeds from the 7% Senior Notes offering completed in April 2006. Net investment income was \$14.0 million and \$9.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$26.6 million and \$16.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The approximate annualized pre-tax investment yields of the portfolio, excluding net realized capital gains and losses, for both the 2006 and 2005 second quarters were 4.5% and 3.9%, respectively. The increase in the pre-tax investment yield was due to the increase in interest rates as monetary policy continued to tighten and an increase in the duration of the portfolio from 3.7 years at June 30, 2005 to 4.1 years at June 30, 2006. The positive cash flow along with the proceeds from maturities, calls and redemptions were reinvested at the higher prevailing interest rates. As of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, all fixed maturity securities and equity securities held by us were classified as available-for-sale.

At June 30, 2006, the weighted average rating of our fixed maturity investments was AA by Standard & Poor's and Aa by Moody's. We believe that we have no significant exposure to credit risk since the fixed maturity investment portfolio consists of investment-grade bonds. At June 30, 2006, our portfolio had an average maturity of 5.4 years and duration of 4.1 years. Management continually monitors the composition and cash flow of the investment portfolio in order to maintain the appropriate levels of liquidity in an effort to ensure our ability to satisfy claims.

We have a credit facility provided through a consortium of banks. The credit facility was amended in January 2005 to increase the letters of credit available under the facility from \$80 million to \$115 million and to add a \$10 million line of credit facility. The expiration of the credit facility was also extended from November 10, 2005 to June 30, 2007. If at that time the banks do not renew the credit facility, we will need to find other sources to provide the letters of credit or other collateral in order to continue our participation in Syndicate 1221. The credit facility, which is denominated in U.S. dollars, is utilized primarily by Navigators Corporate Underwriters Ltd. and Millennium Underwriting Ltd. to fund our participation in Syndicate 1221 which is denominated in British pounds. At June 30, 2006, letters of credit with an aggregate face amount of \$85.9 million were issued under the credit facility. The credit line was unused at June 30, 2006.

The credit facility is collateralized by all of the common stock of Navigators Insurance Company. The credit agreement contains covenants common to transactions of this type, including restrictions on indebtedness and liens, limitations on mergers and the sale of assets, maintaining certain consolidated tangible net worth, statutory surplus and other financial ratios. Cash dividends to shareholders are limited to \$5.0 million per year. No dividends have been declared or paid through June 30, 2006. At June 30, 2006, we were in compliance with all covenants.

An amendment to the credit facility was approved by the bank consortium in April 2006 for the primary purpose of permitting the Company to issue \$125 million of senior unsecured notes. The public debt offering of the senior notes was completed on April 17, 2006.

At June 30, 2006, our consolidated stockholders equity was \$490.5 million or \$29.42 per share compared to \$470.2 million or \$28.30 per share at December 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to net income of \$33.6 million for the first six months of 2006 partially offset by an increase of \$15.3 million in other comprehensive loss substantially all due to net unrealized losses in the investment portfolios due to rising interest rates.

Our reinsurance has been placed with various U.S. and foreign insurance companies and with selected syndicates at Lloyd s. Pursuant to the implementation of Lloyd s Plan of Reconstruction and Renewal, a portion of our recoverables are now reinsured by Equitas (a separate United Kingdom authorized reinsurance company established to reinsure outstanding liabilities of all Lloyd s members for all risks written in the 1992 or prior years of account).

Time lags do occur in the normal course of business between the time gross losses are paid by the Company and the time such gross losses are billed and collected from reinsurers. Recoverable amounts at June 30, 2006 are anticipated to be billed and collected over the next several years as gross losses are paid by the Company.

Generally, for pro-rata or quota share reinsurers, including pool participants, the Company issues quarterly settlement statements for premiums less commissions and paid loss activity, which are expected to be settled by the end of the subsequent quarter. The Company has the ability to issue cash calls requiring such reinsurers to pay losses whenever paid loss activity for a claim ceded to a particular reinsurance treaty exceeds a predetermined amount (generally not more than \$1 million) as set forth in the pro-rata treaty. For the Insurance Companies, cash calls must generally be paid within 30 calendar days. There is generally no specific settlement period for the Lloyd s Operations cash call provisions, but such billings are usually paid within 45 calendar days.

Generally, for excess of loss reinsurers the Company pays monthly or quarterly deposit premiums based on the estimated subject premiums over the contract period (usually one year) which are subsequently adjusted based on actual premiums determined after the expiration of the applicable reinsurance treaty. Paid losses subject to excess of loss recoveries are generally billed as they occur and are usually settled by reinsurers within 30 calendar days for the Insurance Companies and 30 business days for the Lloyd s Operations.

The Company sometimes withholds funds from reinsurers and may apply ceded loss billings against such funds in accordance with the applicable reinsurance agreements.

At June 30, 2006, ceded asbestos paid and unpaid losses recoverable were \$26.2 million of which \$14.2 million was due from Equitas. We expect that approximately 74% of the gross asbestos reserves will be paid by the Company over the next two years. The Company generally experiences significant collection delays for a large portion of such balances given that certain reinsurers are in run-off or otherwise no longer active in the reinsurance business. Such circumstances are considered in the Company s ongoing assessment of such reinsurance recoverables.

The Company believes that it has adequately managed its cash flow requirements related to reinsurance recoveries from its positive cash flows and the use of available short-term funds when applicable. However, there can be no assurances that the Company will be able to continue to adequately manage such recoveries in the future or that collection disputes or reinsurer insolvencies will not arise that could materially increase the collection time lags or result in recoverable write-offs causing additional incurred losses and liquidity constraints to the Company. The payment of gross claims and related collections from reinsurers with respect to Hurricanes Katrina and Rita could significantly impact the Company s liquidity needs. However, we expect to pay the 2005 hurricane losses over a period of years from cash flow and, if needed, short-term investments and expect to collect our paid reinsurance recoverables generally under the terms described above.

We primarily rely upon dividends from our subsidiaries to meet our holding company obligations. The dividends have historically come primarily from Navigators Insurance Company. At March 31, 2006, the maximum amount available for the payment of dividends by Navigators Insurance Company without prior regulatory approval was \$37.4 million. No dividends have been paid by Navigators Insurance Company in 2006.

We believe that the cash flow generated by the operating activities of our subsidiaries will provide sufficient funds for us to meet our liquidity needs over the next twelve months. Beyond the next twelve months, cash flow available to us may be influenced by a variety of factors, including general economic conditions and conditions in the insurance and reinsurance markets, as well as fluctuations from year to year in claims experience.

In April 2006, the Company completed a public debt offering of \$125 million 7% senior unsecured notes due May 1, 2016 and contributed \$100 million of the proceeds to Navigators Insurance Company. The remaining net proceeds were retained in the Parent Company for general corporate purposes.

Condensed Parent Company balance sheets as of June 30, 2006 (unaudited) and December 31, 2005 are shown in the table below:

	June 30, 2006 (\$ in thousands)	December 31, 2005
Cash and investments	\$ 38,249	\$ 16,926
Investments in subsidiaries	572,721	453,023
Goodwill and other intangible assets	2,534	2,534
Other assets	7,781	3,695
Total assets	\$ 621,285	\$ 476,178
Accounts payable and other liabilities	\$ 1,528	\$ 1,800
Accrued interest payable	1,799	
Deferred compensation payable	3,977	4,140
7% Senior Notes due May 1, 2016	123,506	
Total liabilities	130,810	5,940
Stockholders equity	490,475	470,238
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 621,285	\$ 476,178

At June 30, 2006 approximately \$4.1 million of investments are held in a tax escrow account on behalf of Navigators Insurance Company until the two-year tax loss carryback periods expire.

Deferred compensation payable represents accrued costs for employee stock appreciation rights which are paid by the operating subsidiaries when such stock appreciation rights are exercised.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

There have been no material changes in the information concerning market risk as stated in the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

- (a) The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the Company have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)), as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that as of the end of such period the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective in identifying, on a timely basis, material information required to be disclosed in our reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act.
- (b) There have been no changes during our second fiscal quarter in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

Part II - Other Information

Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>

Except as follows, the Company is not a party to, or the subject of, any material legal proceedings which depart from the ordinary routine litigation incident to the kinds of business it conducts.

At the end of April 2006, the Company was served as a defendant in an action in the Northern District Court of Georgia captioned *New Cingular Wireless Headquarters, LLC, et al. v. Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc., et al.*, along with approximately 100 other defendants. While it is not possible at this very preliminary stage to predict the outcome of this litigation, the Company believes the allegations, which involve contingent commissions and bid-rigging in the insurance industry, to be without merit and intends to vigorously defend itself against them.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors as previously disclosed in the Company s 2005 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>

None.

Item 4. Submissions of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

On May 31, 2006, the Company s stockholders voted for the following matters at the annual stockholders meeting:

a) The election of nine (9) directors to serve until the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until their respective successors have been duly elected and qualified. The results of the voting were as follows:

Name	For	Withheld
H. J. Mervyn Blakeney	14,992,216	5 1,110,373
Peter A. Cheney	15,008,191	1,094,398
Terence N. Deeks	14,287,453	1,815,136
Robert W. Eager, Jr.	15,008,191	1,094,398
Stanley A. Galanski	14,461,347	1,641,242
Leandro S. Galban, Jr.	13,972,176	2,130,413
John F. Kirby	14,992,216	1,110,373
Marc M. Tract	13,564,514	2,538,075
Robert F. Wright	14,069,550	2,033,039

- b) The amendment to the Company's restated Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of the Company's common stock from 20,000,000 shares to 50,000,000 shares was approved with 12,629,636 votes for, 3,472,853 votes against and 100 votes abstaining.
- c) The appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company s independent auditors for 2006 was ratified with 16,069,083 votes for, 33,406 votes against and 100 votes abstaining.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit	
3-1	Certificate of Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation	*
11-1	Statement re Computation of Per Share Earnings	*
31-1	Certification of CEO per Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act	*
31-2	Certification of CFO per Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act	*
32-1	Certification of CEO per Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act	*
32-2	(This exhibit is intended to be furnished in accordance with Regulation S-K item 601(b)(32)(ii) and shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference). Certification of CFO per Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (This exhibit is intended to be furnished in accordance with Regulation S-K item 601(b)(32)(ii) and shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference).	*

^{*} Included herein.

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

The Navigators Group, Inc. (Registrant)

Date: August 1, 2006

/s / Paul J. Malvasio Paul J. Malvasio Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit	
3-1	Certificate of Amendment to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation	*
11-1	Statement re Computation of Per Share Earnings	*
31-1	Certification of CEO per Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act	*
31-2	Certification of CFO per Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act	*
32-1	Certification of CEO per Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act	*
	(This exhibit is intended to be furnished in accordance with Regulation S-K item 601(b)(32)(ii) and shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference).	
32-2	Certification of CFO per Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (This exhibit is intended to be furnished in accordance with Regulation S-K item 601(b)(32)(ii) and shall not be deemed to be filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference).	

^{*} Included herein.