

CENTENE CORP
Form 10-Q
April 28, 2009

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-31826

CENTENE CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

7711 Carondelet Avenue
St. Louis, Missouri

42-1406317
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

63105

Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-Q

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(314) 725-4477

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days: T Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). ☐ Yes ☐ No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "small reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Large accelerated filer T Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ (do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes ☐ No T

As of April 17, 2009, the registrant had 43,050,831 shares of common stock outstanding.

CENTENE CORPORATION
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | PAGE |
|----------|---|------|
| | Part I | |
| | Financial Information | |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements | |
| | <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 (unaudited)</u> | 1 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (unaudited)</u> | 2 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity as of March 31, 2009 (unaudited)</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (unaudited)</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | 5 |
| Item 2. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 8 |
| Item 3. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 12 |
| Item 4. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 13 |
| | Part II | |
| | Other Information | |
| Item 1. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 14 |
| Item 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 14 |
| Item 2. | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 19 |
| Item 6. | <u>Exhibits</u> | 20 |
| | <u>Signatures</u> | 21 |

Table of Contents

PART I

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Financial Statements.

CENTENE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share data)

| | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 (Unaudited) |
|---|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents of continuing operations | \$ 334,623 | \$ 370,999 |
| Cash and cash equivalents of discontinued operations | 7,606 | 8,100 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 342,229 | 379,099 |
| Premium and related receivables, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$138 and \$595, respectively | 147,899 | 92,531 |
| Short-term investments, at fair value (amortized cost \$74,780 and \$108,469, respectively) | 75,400 | 109,393 |
| Other current assets | 63,497 | 75,333 |
| Current assets of discontinued operations other than cash | 8,226 | 9,987 |
| Total current assets | 637,251 | 666,343 |
| Long-term investments, at fair value (amortized cost \$416,265 and \$329,330, respectively) | 422,873 | 332,411 |
| Restricted deposits, at fair value (amortized cost \$12,660 and \$9,124, respectively) | 12,774 | 9,254 |
| Property, software and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$80,742 and \$74,194, respectively | 176,719 | 175,858 |
| Goodwill | 218,216 | 163,380 |
| Intangible assets, net | 23,603 | 17,575 |
| Other long-term assets | 34,077 | 59,083 |
| Long-term assets of discontinued operations | 27,317 | 27,248 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,552,830 | \$ 1,451,152 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Medical claims liability | \$ 372,522 | \$ 373,037 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 194,132 | 219,566 |
| Unearned revenue | 63,336 | 17,107 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 20,608 | 255 |
| Current liabilities of discontinued operations | 30,865 | 31,013 |
| Total current liabilities | 681,463 | 640,978 |
| Long-term debt | 269,711 | 264,637 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 51,434 | 43,539 |
| Long-term liabilities of discontinued operations | 700 | 726 |
| Total liabilities | 1,003,308 | 949,880 |

Commitments and contingencies

Stockholders' equity:

| | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Common stock, \$.001 par value; authorized 100,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 43,159,131 and 42,987,764 shares, respectively | 43 | 43 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 227,327 | 222,841 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income: | | |
| Unrealized gain on investments, net of tax | 5,136 | 3,152 |
| Retained earnings | 293,694 | 275,236 |
| Total Centene stockholder's equity | 526,200 | 501,272 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 23,322 | — |
| Total stockholders' equity | 549,522 | 501,272 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,552,830 | \$ 1,451,152 |

The accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

CENTENE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except share data)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| Revenues: | | |
| Premium | \$ 885,006 | \$ 736,814 |
| Premium tax | 23,580 | 21,884 |
| Service | 23,849 | 20,530 |
| Total revenues | 932,435 | 779,228 |
| Expenses: | | |
| Medical costs | 739,340 | 609,374 |
| Cost of services | 15,962 | 16,176 |
| General and administrative expenses | 122,279 | 95,493 |
| Premium tax | 23,942 | 21,884 |
| Total operating expenses | 901,523 | 742,927 |
| Earnings from operations | 30,912 | 36,301 |
| Other income (expense): | | |
| Investment and other income | 3,613 | 7,582 |
| Interest expense | (3,986) | (3,994) |
| Earnings from continuing operations, before income tax expense | 30,539 | 39,889 |
| Income tax expense | 10,845 | 14,956 |
| Earnings from continuing operations, net of income tax expense | 19,694 | 24,933 |
| Discontinued operations, net of income tax (benefit) expense of \$(160) and \$264 | (449) | 690 |
| Net earnings | 19,245 | 25,623 |
| Less: Noncontrolling interest | 787 | |
| Net earnings attributable to Centene Corporation | \$ 18,458 | \$ 25,623 |
| Amounts attributable to Centene Corporation common shareholders: | | |
| Earnings from continuing operations, net of income tax expense | 18,907 | 24,933 |
| Discontinued operations, net of income tax (benefit) expense | (449) | 690 |
| Net earnings | \$ 18,458 | \$ 25,623 |
| Net earnings (loss) per share attributable to Centene Corporation: | | |
| Basic: | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.57 |
| Discontinued operations | (0.01) | 0.02 |
| Earnings per common share | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.59 |
| Diluted: | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.56 |
| Discontinued operations | (0.01) | 0.01 |
| Earnings per common share | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.57 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding: | | |
| Basic | 43,067,992 | 43,538,207 |
| Diluted | 44,238,863 | 44,742,893 |

The accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

CENTENE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands, except share data)

(Unaudited)

| | Common Stock | | Centene Stockholders' | | Accumulated | | Non | | |
|--|--------------|-------|-----------------------|----|---------------|------------|-------------|----|---------|
| | \$.001 Par | | Additional | | Other | | controlling | | |
| | Value | Amt | Paid-in | | Comprehensive | Retained | Interest | | Total |
| | Shares | | Capital | | Income | Earnings | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2008 | 42,987,764 | \$ 43 | \$ 222,841 | \$ | 3,152 | \$ 275,236 | \$ — | \$ | 501,272 |
| Consolidation of Access Health Solutions | — | — | — | — | — | — | 29,144 | | 29,144 |
| Comprehensive Earnings: | | | | | | | | | |
| Net earnings | — | — | — | — | — | 18,458 | 787 | | 19,245 |
| Change in unrealized investment gains, net of \$1,132 tax | — | — | — | — | 1,984 | — | — | | 1,984 |
| Total comprehensive earnings | | | | | | | | | 21,229 |
| Common stock issued for stock options and employee stock purchase plan | 190,852 | — | 1,121 | — | — | — | — | | 1,121 |
| Common stock repurchases | (19,485) | — | (407) | — | — | — | — | | (407) |
| Stock compensation expense | — | — | 3,789 | — | — | — | — | | 3,789 |
| Excess tax benefits from stock compensation | — | — | (17) | — | — | — | — | | (17) |
| Conversion fee ⁽¹⁾ | — | — | — | — | — | — | (5,428) | | (5,428) |
| Dividend paid to noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | — | — | (1,181) | | (1,181) |
| Balance, March 31, 2009 | 43,159,131 | \$ 43 | \$ 227,327 | \$ | 5,136 | \$ 293,694 | \$ 23,322 | \$ | 549,522 |

(1) Conversion fee represents additional purchase price to noncontrolling holders of Access for the transfer of membership to the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary, Sunshine State Health Plan, Inc.

The accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

CENTENE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In thousands)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 2008 (Unaudited) | |
|---|--|------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 19,245 | \$ 25,623 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 10,233 | 7,798 |
| Stock compensation expense | 3,789 | 4,013 |
| Loss on sale of investments, net | 439 | 28 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,282 | 9,472 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities — | | |
| Premium and related receivables | (39,396) | 8,612 |
| Other current assets | (1,397) | (2,634) |
| Other assets | (497) | (1,031) |
| Medical claims liabilities | (1,232) | 11,608 |
| Unearned revenue | 44,507 | (41,788) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (15,277) | 4,489 |
| Other operating activities | 722 | 526 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 23,418 | 26,716 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (11,157) | (19,879) |
| Purchases of investments | (292,964) | (86,025) |
| Sales and maturities of investments | 224,312 | 70,888 |
| Investments in acquisitions, net of cash acquired, and investment in equity method investee | (5,191) | (2,194) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (85,000) | (37,210) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 890 | 1,148 |
| Proceeds from borrowings | 108,000 | 26,005 |
| Payment of long-term debt | (82,573) | (17,148) |
| Dividend to noncontrolling interest | (1,181) | |
| Excess tax benefits from stock compensation | (17) | 2,638 |
| Common stock repurchases | (407) | (6,953) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 24,712 | 5,690 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (36,870) | (4,804) |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 379,099 | 268,584 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 342,229 | \$ 263,780 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | |
| Interest paid | \$ 724 | \$ 463 |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 18,602 | \$ 792 |

The accompanying notes to the consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

CENTENE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Dollars in thousands, except share data)

1. Organization and Operations

Centene Corporation, or Centene or the Company, is a multi-line healthcare enterprise operating in two segments: Medicaid Managed Care and Specialty Services. Centene's Medicaid Managed Care segment provides Medicaid and Medicaid-related health plan coverage to individuals through government subsidized programs, including Medicaid, the State Children's Health Insurance Program, or CHIP, Foster Care, Medicare Special Needs Plans and the Supplemental Security Income Program, also known as the Aged, Blind or Disabled program, or ABD. The Company's Specialty Services segment provides specialty services, including behavioral health, life and health management, long-term care programs, managed vision, telehealth services and pharmacy benefits management, to state programs, healthcare organizations, employer groups, and other commercial organizations, as well as to the Company's own subsidiaries. The Company's Specialty Services segment also provides a full range of healthcare solutions for individuals and the rising number of uninsured Americans.

2. Basis of Presentation

The unaudited interim financial statements herein have been prepared by the Company pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The accompanying interim financial statements have been prepared under the presumption that users of the interim financial information have either read or have access to the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008. Accordingly, footnote disclosures, which would substantially duplicate the disclosures contained in the December 31, 2008 audited financial statements, have been omitted from these interim financial statements where appropriate. In the opinion of management, these financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, which are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of the interim periods presented.

Beginning January 1, 2009, we have presented our investment in Access Health Solutions LLC, or Access, as a consolidated subsidiary in our financial statements. Prior to January 1, 2009, Access had been recorded under the equity method of accounting. We recently determined that we should have accounted for our investment in Access as a consolidated subsidiary since July 1, 2007. The impact of the difference in presentation is not material to our financial statements for any prior period. Due to the presentation of Access as a consolidated subsidiary beginning January 1, 2009, we increased cash flows from investing activities in our cash flow statement by \$4,839 to reflect the cash held by Access on January 1, 2009. In accordance with FASB Statement No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, the noncontrolling interest of Access is presented within stockholders' equity.

Certain 2008 amounts in the consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2009 presentation. These reclassifications have no effect on net earnings or stockholders' equity as previously reported.

3. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted FASB Statement No.141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations. The purpose of the statement is to replace current guidance in FASB Statement No.141, to better represent the economic value of a business combination transaction. The changes from the previous guidance include, but are not limited to: (1) acquisition costs are recognized separately from the acquisition; (2) known contractual contingencies at the time of the acquisition are considered part of the liabilities acquired and measured at their fair value; all other contingencies are part of the liabilities acquired and measured at their fair value only if it is more likely than not that they meet the definition of a liability; (3) contingent consideration based on the outcome of future events is recognized

and measured at the time of the acquisition; (4) business combinations achieved in stages (step acquisitions) recognize the identifiable assets and liabilities, as well as noncontrolling interests, in the acquiree, at the full amounts of their fair values; and (5) a bargain purchase (defined as a business combination in which the total acquisition-date fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired exceeds the fair value of the consideration transferred plus any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree) requires that excess to be recognized as a gain attributable to the acquirer.

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted FASB Statement No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, which was issued to improve the relevance, comparability, and transparency of financial information provided to investors by requiring all entities to report noncontrolling (minority) interests in subsidiaries in the same way, that is, as equity in the consolidated financial statements. Moreover, FASB Statement No. 160 eliminates the diversity that currently exists in accounting for transactions between an entity and noncontrolling interests by requiring they be treated as equity transactions. As discussed in Note 2, Basis of Presentation, the noncontrolling interest of Access is presented within stockholders' equity.

In April 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued FASB Staff Position, or FSN, No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments, or the FSP. The FSP is intended to provide greater clarity to investors about the credit and noncredit component of an other-than-temporary impairment event and to more effectively communicate when an other-than-temporary impairment event has occurred. The FSP applies to fixed maturity securities only and requires separate display of losses related to credit deterioration and losses related to other market factors. When an entity does not intend to sell the security and it is more likely than not that an entity will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis, it must recognize the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment in earnings and the remaining portion in other comprehensive income. In addition, upon adoption of the FSP, an entity will be required to record a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the beginning of the period of adoption to reclassify the noncredit component of a previously recognized other-than-temporary impairment from retained earnings to accumulated other comprehensive income. The FSP will be effective for the Company for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting the FSP.

The Company has determined that all other recently issued accounting pronouncements will not have a material impact on its consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows, or do not apply to its operations.

4. Discontinued Operations: University Health Plans

In November 2008, the Company announced its intention to sell certain assets of its New Jersey health plan, University Health Plans, Inc, or UHP. The assets, liabilities and results of operations of UHP were classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented beginning in December 2008. UHP was previously reported in the Medicaid Managed Care segment. The Company expects the sale to be completed within 12 months. The total revenue associated with UHP included in results from discontinued operations was \$37.0 million and \$37.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Additional information regarding the sale of UHP is included in Note 10, Contingencies.

In 2008, the Company conducted an impairment analysis of the assets of UHP. The impairment analysis resulted in an impairment charge for fixed assets of \$2,546. During the year ended December 31, 2008, the Company incurred exit costs consisting primarily of lease termination fees and employee severance. During the three months ending March 31, 2009, the Company incurred additional exit costs consisting primarily of additional employee retention programs. In total, the Company has incurred \$1,832 of exit costs. The change in exit cost liability for UHP is summarized as follows:

| | |
|----------|----------|
| Balance, | |
| December | |
| 31, 2008 | \$ 1,110 |

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| Incurred | 722 |
| Paid | (133) |
| Balance, March 31, 2009 | \$ 1,699 |

5. Acquisitions

2009 Acquisitions

- **Access.** In July 2007, the Company acquired a 49% ownership interest in Access, a Medicaid managed care entity in Florida. Under the terms of the transaction, the Company has an option to acquire the remaining interest in Access at a future date. The Company accounted for its investment in Access using the equity method of accounting through December 31, 2008. During the quarter ended March 31, 2009, the Company began presenting its investment in Access as a consolidated subsidiary in our financial statements. The consolidation of Access resulted in goodwill of approximately \$44,600, and other identified intangible assets of approximately \$5,400.
- **Other 2009 Acquisitions.** The Company acquired assets of the following entities: Pediatric Associates, effective February 2009; and AMERIGROUP South Carolina, effective March 2009. The Company paid a total of approximately \$10,000 in cash for these acquisitions. Goodwill of approximately \$8,500 and other identifiable intangible assets of approximately \$1,500 were allocated to the Medicaid Managed Care segment, all of which is deductible for income tax purposes. Pro forma disclosures related to these acquisitions have been excluded as immaterial.

Table of Contents

2008 Acquisitions

- Celtic Insurance Company. On July 1, 2008, the Company acquired Celtic Insurance Company, or Celtic. The Company paid approximately \$82,100 in cash and related transaction costs, net of unregulated cash acquired. In conjunction with the closing of the acquisition, Celtic paid to the Company an extraordinary dividend of \$31,411 in July 2008. During the quarter we finalized our allocation of total consideration paid to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on our estimates of fair value. The final purchase price allocation resulted in goodwill and identifiable intangible assets of \$24,300 and \$8,600, respectively.

6. Goodwill

The following table summarizes the changes in goodwill by operating segment:

| | Medicaid Managed Care | Specialty Services | Total |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2008 | \$ 51,548 | \$ 111,832 | \$ 163,380 |
| Acquisitions | 53,049 | 1,787 | 54,836 |
| Balance as of March 31, 2009 | \$ 104,597 | \$ 113,619 | \$ 218,216 |

Goodwill additions in 2009 were related to the presentation of our investment in Access as a consolidated subsidiary and the acquisitions discussed in Note 5, Acquisitions.

7. Fair Value

The Company adopted FASB Statement No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, for financial assets and liabilities on January 1, 2008. FASB Statement No. 157 defines fair value and establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with existing GAAP, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets are categorized based upon the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value. Level inputs, as defined by FASB Statement No.157, are as follows:

| Level Input: | Input Definition: |
|--------------|--|
| Level I | Inputs are unadjusted, quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets at the measurement date. |
| Level II | Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level I that are observable for the asset or liability through corroboration with market data at the measurement date. |
| Level III | Unobservable inputs that reflect management's best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. |

Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-Q

The following table summarizes fair value measurements by level at March 31, 2009 for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

| | Level I | Level II | Level III | Total |
|---|------------|----------|-----------|------------|
| Investments available for sale: | | | | |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies | \$ 6,395 | \$ | \$ | \$ 6,395 |
| Corporate securities | 34,993 | | | 34,993 |
| State and municipal securities | 437,481 | | | 437,481 |
| Equity securities | 2,987 | | | 2,987 |
| Total | \$ 481,856 | \$ | \$ | \$ 481,856 |

8. Earnings Per Share

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted net earnings per common share:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|------------------------------|------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Earnings (loss) attributable to Centene Corporation common shareholders: | | |
| Earnings from continuing operations, net of tax | \$ 18,907 | \$ 24,933 |
| Discontinued operations, net of tax | (449) | 690 |
| Net earnings | \$ 18,458 | \$ 25,623 |
| Shares used in computing per share amounts: | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 43,067,992 | 43,538,207 |
| Common stock equivalents (as determined by applying the treasury stock method) | 1,170,871 | 1,204,686 |
| Weighted average number of common shares and potential dilutive common shares outstanding | 44,238,863 | 44,742,893 |
| Net earnings (loss) per share attributable to Centene Corporation: | | |
| Basic: | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.57 |
| Discontinued operations | (0.01) | 0.02 |
| Earnings per common share | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.59 |
| Diluted: | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.56 |
| Discontinued operations | (0.01) | 0.01 |
| Earnings per common share | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.57 |

The calculation of diluted earnings per common share for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 excludes the impact of 2,594,786 and 2,784,900 shares, respectively, related to anti-dilutive stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units.

9. Stockholders' Equity

In October 2008, the Company's board of directors extended the November 2005 stock repurchase program, authorizing the Company to repurchase up to 4,000,000 shares of common stock from time to time on the open market or through privately negotiated transactions. The repurchase program expires October 31, 2009, but the Company reserves the right to suspend or discontinue the program at any time. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, the Company repurchased 19,485 shares at an average price of \$20.89 and an aggregate cost of \$407.

Table of Contents

10. Contingencies

On January 8, 2009, the Company filed a complaint in the Chancery Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey, asserting a breach of contract claim against AMERIGROUP New Jersey, or AGPNJ, and a tortious interference with contract claim against AMERIGROUP Corporation, in connection with AGPNJ's refusal to proceed to closing under its contract to purchase certain assets of UHP's business. In December 2008, AGPNJ sent the Company a termination notice claiming that a material adverse effect had occurred under the contract and attempted to terminate the contract. The Company is contesting whether a material adverse effect occurred and correspondingly the propriety and validity of the purported termination, and is seeking to obtain specific performance of the contract and damages.

On April 20, 2009, AMERIGROUP Corporation and AGPNJ answered the complaint and filed a counterclaim alleging that there had been misrepresentations and/or omissions of material fact made by or on behalf of UHP and the Company. The Company believes that the counterclaim is without merit. While the results of litigation cannot be predicted with certainty, the Company believes that the final outcome of the counterclaim will not have a material adverse effect on its financial condition, results of operation or liquidity.

In May 2008, the Internal Revenue Services, or IRS, began an audit of the Company's 2006 and 2007 tax returns. As a result of this audit, the IRS has initially denied the \$34,856 tax benefit the Company recognized for the abandonment of the FirstGuard stock in 2007. The Company is proceeding with the appeals process and believes that it is more likely than not that the Company's tax position will be upheld. Accordingly, the Company has not made any adjustments to the FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, reserve for this position.

The Company is routinely subjected to legal proceedings in the normal course of business. While the ultimate resolution of such matters is uncertain, the Company does not expect the results of any of these matters discussed above individually, or in the aggregate, to have a material effect on its financial position or results of operations.

11. Segment Information

Centene operates in two segments: Medicaid Managed Care and Specialty Services. The Medicaid Managed Care segment consists of Centene's health plans including all of the functions needed to operate them. The Specialty Services segment consists of Centene's specialty companies including behavioral health, individual health, life and health management, long-term care, managed vision, telehealth services and pharmacy benefits management functions.

Factors used in determining the reportable business segments include the nature of operating activities, existence of separate senior management teams, and the type of information presented to the Company's chief operating decision maker to evaluate all results of operations.

Segment information for the three months ended March 31, 2009, follows:

| | Medicaid Managed Care | Specialty Services | Eliminations | Consolidated Total |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Revenue from external customers | \$ 818,667 | \$ 113,768 | \$ — | \$ 932,435 |
| Revenue from internal customers | 15,674 | 134,076 | (149,750) | — |
| Total revenue | \$ 834,341 | \$ 247,844 | \$ (149,750) | \$ 932,435 |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 16,743 | \$ 14,169 | \$ — | \$ 30,912 |

Segment information for the three months ended March 31, 2008, follows:

| | Medicaid Managed Care | Specialty Services | Eliminations | Consolidated Total |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| Revenue from external customers | \$ 708,679 | \$ 70,549 | \$ — | \$ 779,228 |
| Revenue from internal customers | 14,678 | 106,636 | (121,314) | — |
| Total revenue | \$ 723,357 | \$ 177,185 | \$ (121,314) | \$ 779,228 |
| Earnings from operations | \$ 30,237 | \$ 6,064 | \$ — | \$ 36,301 |

12. Comprehensive Earnings

Differences between net earnings and total comprehensive earnings resulted from changes in unrealized gains on investments available for sale, as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net earnings | \$ 19,245 | \$ 25,623 |
| Reclassification adjustment, net of tax | (107) | (66) |
| Change in unrealized gain on investments, net of tax | 2,091 | 1,605 |
| Total change | 1,984 | 1,539 |
| Comprehensive earnings | 21,229 | 27,162 |
| Less: Comprehensive income attributable to the noncontrolling interest | 787 | — |
| Comprehensive earnings attributable to Centene Corporation | \$ 20,442 | \$ 27,162 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this filing, and in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. The discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, including those set forth under "Item 1A. Risk Factors."

OVERVIEW

We are a multi-line healthcare enterprise operating in two segments. Our Medicaid Managed Care segment provides Medicaid and Medicaid-related programs to organizations and individuals through government subsidized programs, including Medicaid, the State Children's Health Insurance Program, or CHIP, and, Supplemental Security Income including Aged, Blind or Disabled programs, or ABD. Our Specialty Services segment provides specialty services, including behavioral health, life and health management, long-term care programs, managed vision, telehealth services and pharmacy benefits management, to state programs, healthcare organizations, employer groups and other commercial organizations, as well as to our own subsidiaries. Our Specialty Services segment also provides a full range of healthcare solutions for individuals and the rising number of uninsured Americans.

During 2008, we announced our intention to sell certain assets of University Health Plans, Inc, or UHP, our New Jersey health plan. Unless specifically noted, the discussions below are in the context of continuing operations, and therefore, exclude our New Jersey health plan, UHP. The results of operations for UHP are classified as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

The first quarter of 2008 included \$20.8 million of premium revenue for the Georgia premium rate increase for July 1, 2007 through December 31, 2007. All 2008 ratios and year over year changes discussed below are inclusive of this revenue. Our first quarter performance for 2009 is summarized as follows:

| | |
|---|---|
| — | Quarter-end Medicaid and Medicare Managed Care at-risk membership of 1,231,800. |
| — | Total revenues of \$932.4 million. |
| — | Health Benefits Ratio, or HBR, of 83.5%. |
| — | General and Administrative, or G&A, expense ratio of 13.5%. |
| — | Operating earnings of \$30.9 million. |
| — | Diluted earnings per share of \$0.43. |
| — | Operating cash flows of \$23.4 million. |

The following new contracts and acquisitions contributed to our growth over the last year:

~~In~~ March 2009, we completed the previously announced acquisition of certain assets of AMERIGROUP Community Care of South Carolina. We now serve 48,500 at-risk members in South Carolina at March 31, 2009.

~~In~~ February 2009, we began converting managed care membership in Florida from Access Health Solutions, LLC, or Access, on a non-risk basis to our new subsidiary, Sunshine State Health Plan on an at-risk basis. We previously acquired a 49% ownership interest in Access in July 2007. At March 31, 2009, we served 29,100 members on an at-risk basis while Access continued to serve 92,200 members on a non-risk basis. Beginning January 1, 2009, we have presented our investment in Access as a consolidated subsidiary.

~~In~~ October 2008, we began operating under our contract in Arizona to provide Acute Care services in Yavapai county, with 15,500 members at March 31, 2009.

~~Effective~~ July 1, 2008, we completed the previously announced acquisition of Celtic, a health insurance carrier focused on the individual health insurance market.

In April 2008, we began operating under our new contract in Texas to provide statewide managed care services to participants in the Texas Foster Care program, with 31,800 members at March 31, 2009.

We have a new opportunity to continue our growth through the following:

~~In~~ March 2009, we were awarded a contract to manage health care services for Massachusetts residents who lack access to traditional public or private health insurance. This contract will be operated through a joint venture between our subsidiary, Celtic, and Caritas Christi Health Care. Effective July 1, 2009, the two entities will serve the Central, Northern, Boston and Southern regions operating as CeltiCare Health Plan of Massachusetts.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND KEY METRICS

Summarized comparative financial data are as follows (\$ in millions, except share data):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | % Change 2008-2009 |
| Premium | \$ 885.0 | \$ 736.8 | 20.1% |
| Premium tax | 23.6 | 21.9 | 7.7% |
| Service | 23.8 | 20.5 | 16.2% |
| Total revenues | 932.4 | 779.2 | 19.7% |
| Medical costs | 739.3 | 609.4 | 21.3% |
| Cost of services | 16.0 | 16.2 | (1.3)% |
| General and administrative expenses | 122.3 | 95.4 | 28.1% |
| Premium tax expense | 23.9 | 21.9 | 9.4% |
| Earnings from operations | 30.9 | 36.3 | (14.8)% |
| Investment and other income, net | (0.4) | 3.6 | (110.4)% |
| Earnings from continuing operations, before income tax expense | 30.5 | 39.9 | (23.4)% |
| Income tax expense | 10.8 | 15.0 | (27.5)% |
| Earnings from continuing operations, net of income tax expense | 19.7 | 24.9 | (21.0)% |
| Discontinued operations, net of income tax (benefit) expense of \$(0.2) and \$0.3 respectively | (0.4) | 0.7 | (165.1)% |
| Net earnings | 19.3 | 25.6 | (24.9)% |
| Less: Noncontrolling interest | 0.8 | | % |
| Net earnings attributable to Centene Corporation | \$ 18.5 | \$ 25.6 | (28.0)% |
| Diluted earnings per common share attributable to Centene Corporation: | | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.56 | (23.2)% |
| Discontinued operations | (0.01) | 0.01 | % |
| Total diluted earnings per common share | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.57 | (26.3)% |

Revenues and Revenue Recognition

Our Medicaid Managed Care segment generates revenues primarily from premiums we receive from the states in which we operate health plans. We receive a fixed premium per member per month pursuant to our state contracts. We generally receive premium payments during the month we provide services and recognize premium revenue during the period in which we are obligated to provide services to our members. In some instances, our base premiums are subject to an adjustment, or risk score, based on the acuity of our membership. Generally, the risk score is determined by the state analyzing encounter submissions of processed claims data to determine the acuity of our membership relative to the entire state's Medicaid membership. Some states enact premium taxes or similar assessments, collectively, premium taxes, and these taxes are recorded as a component of revenues as well as operating expenses. Some contracts allow for additional premium associated with certain supplemental services provided such as maternity deliveries. Revenues are recorded based on membership and eligibility data provided by the states, which may be adjusted by the states for updates to this data. These eligibility adjustments have been immaterial in relation to total revenue recorded and are reflected in the period known.

Our Specialty Services segment generates revenues under contracts with state programs, healthcare organizations, and other commercial organizations, as well as from our own subsidiaries. Revenues are recognized when the related services are provided or as ratably earned over the covered period of services.

Premium and service revenues collected in advance are recorded as unearned revenue. For performance-based contracts, we do not recognize revenue subject to refund until data is sufficient to measure performance. Premium and service revenues due to us are recorded as premium and related receivables and are recorded net of an allowance based on historical trends and our management's judgment on the collectability of these accounts. As we generally receive payments during the month in which services are provided, the allowance is typically not significant in comparison to total revenues and does not have a material impact on the presentation of our financial condition or results of operations.

Our total revenue increased in the three months ended March 31, 2009 over the previous year primarily through 1) membership growth, 2) premium rate increases, and 3) growth in our Specialty Services segment.

1. Membership growth

From March 31, 2008 to March 31, 2009, we increased our at-risk managed care membership by 16.6%. The following table sets forth our membership by state for our managed care organizations:

| | March 31, | |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Arizona | 15,500 | — |
| Florida | 29,100 | — |
| Georgia | 289,300 | 282,700 |
| Indiana | 179,100 | 161,300 |
| Ohio | 137,000 | 131,100 |
| South Carolina | 48,500 | 2,200 |
| Texas | 421,100 | 365,500 |
| Wisconsin | 127,700 | 126,900 |
| Total at-risk membership | 1,247,300 | 1,069,700 |
| Non-risk membership | 96,000 | 30,600 |
| Total | 1,343,300 | 1,100,300 |

The following table sets forth our membership by line of business:

| | March 31, | |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Medicaid | 921,100 | 802,400 |
| CHIP & Foster Care | 256,900 | 206,300 |
| ABD & Medicare | 69,300 | 61,000 |
| Total at-risk membership | 1,247,300 | 1,069,700 |
| Non-risk membership | 96,000 | 30,600 |
| Total | 1,343,300 | 1,100,300 |

From March 31, 2008 to March 31, 2009, our membership increased as a result of growth in Florida, Indiana, South Carolina and Texas. In Texas, we increased our membership through organic growth of CHIP, especially in the EPO market. In addition, we increased Texas membership through our new Foster Care program, with 31,800 members at March 31, 2009. We increased our membership in Indiana through temporary eligibility determinations and network expansions. In South Carolina, we continue to add at-risk membership as additional counties convert, with 48,500

at-risk members at March 31, 2009. Our membership in South Carolina also increased as a result of our acquisition of AMERIGROUP South Carolina. In Florida, we began converting Access members from non-risk to at-risk members under our Sunshine State Health Plan during February 2009. At March 31, 2009, we had converted 29,100 members to at-risk, while Access continued to serve 92,200 members on a non-risk basis.

Table of Contents

2. Premium rate increases

During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we received premium rate increases in some markets which yield a 1.2% composite increase across all of our markets. During the three months ended March 31, 2008, we received premium rate increases in some markets which yield a 2.4% composite increase across all of our markets.

In November 2007, we received a contract amendment from the State of Georgia providing for an effective premium rate increase in Georgia of approximately 3.8% effective July 1, 2007. The state also mandated service changes, retroactively recalculated certain rate cells and adjusted for duplicate member issues. We executed this amendment on November 16, 2007. The State of Georgia returned the fully executed contract in January 2008 and, accordingly, we recorded the additional revenue, retroactive to July 1, 2007, in the first quarter of 2008. The premium revenue, related to the period from July 1, 2007 to December 31, 2007, totals approximately \$20.8 million. Approximately \$7.3 million of this amount is related to the mandated services, rate cell changes and duplicate member issues, the remaining \$13.5 million yields the calculated 3.8% increase.

3. Specialty Services segment growth

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, Specialty Services segment revenue from external customers was \$113.8 million, compared to \$70.5 million for the same prior year period. The increase is primarily attributable to the commencement of our acute care business under Bridgeway, the acquisition of Celtic as well as increased membership in our behavioral health company, Cenpatico.

The following table sets forth our membership by line of business in our Specialty Services segment:

| | March 31, 2009 | 2008 |
|--------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Cenpatico | | |
| Behavioral Health: | | |
| Arizona | 104,700 | 97,900 |
| Kansas | 40,600 | 39,400 |
| Bridgeway: | | |
| Long-term Care | 2,300 | 1,700 |

Medical Costs

Our medical costs include payments to physicians, hospitals, and other providers for healthcare and specialty services claims. Medical costs also include estimates of medical expenses incurred but not yet reported, or IBNR, and estimates of the cost to process unpaid claims. We use our judgment to determine the assumptions to be used in the calculation of the required IBNR estimate. The assumptions we consider include, without limitation, claims receipt and payment experience (and variations in that experience), changes in membership, provider billing practices, health care service utilization trends, cost trends, product mix, seasonality, prior authorization of medical services, benefit changes, known outbreaks of disease or increased incidence of illness such as influenza, provider contract changes, changes to Medicaid fee schedules, and the incidence of high dollar or catastrophic claims.

Our development of the IBNR estimate is a continuous process which we monitor and refine on a monthly basis as claims receipts and payment information becomes available. As more complete information becomes available, we adjust the amount of the estimate, and include the changes in estimates in medical expense in the period in which the changes are identified.

Additionally, we contract with independent actuaries to review our estimates on a quarterly basis. The independent actuaries provide us with a review letter that includes the results of their analysis of our medical claims liability. We do not solely rely on their report to adjust our claims liability. We utilize their calculation of our claims liability only as additional information, together with management's judgment to determine the assumptions to be used in the calculation of our liability for medical costs.

While we believe our IBNR estimate is appropriate, it is possible future events could require us to make significant adjustments for revisions to these estimates. Accordingly, we can not assure you that healthcare claim costs will not materially differ from our estimates.

Our results of operations depend on our ability to manage expenses associated with health benefits and to accurately predict costs incurred. Our health benefits ratio, or HBR, represents medical costs as a percentage of premium revenues (excluding premium taxes) and reflects the direct relationship between the premium received and the medical services provided. The table below depicts our HBR for our external membership by member category:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Medicaid and CHIP | 84.8% | 79.2% |
| ABD and Medicare | 81.4 | 97.5 |
| Specialty Services | 78.3 | 84.1 |
| Total | 83.5 | 82.7 |

Our consolidated HBR for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was 83.5%, an increase of 0.8% over 2008. The increase is a result of the effect of recording the Georgia premium rate increase retroactive to July 1, 2007 during the first quarter of 2008. The retroactive Georgia premium rate increase in the first quarter of 2008 had the effect of decreasing the HBR for this period by 2.4%. Adjusting for the impact due to the Georgia rate increase, our HBR decreased from 85.1% in 2008 to 83.5% in 2009. This is due to a decrease in respiratory illness as a result of a lighter cold and flu season. Sequentially, our consolidated HBR increased from 82.3% in the 2008 fourth quarter to 83.5% as a result of normal seasonality.

Cost of Services

Our cost of services expense includes the pharmaceutical costs associated with our pharmacy benefit manager's external revenues. Cost of services also includes costs associated with providing service to our non-risk members as well as all direct costs to support the functions responsible for generation of our services revenues. These expenses consist of the salaries and wages of the professionals and teachers who provide the services and expenses associated with facilities and equipment used to provide services.

General and Administrative Expenses

Our general and administrative expenses, or G&A, primarily reflect wages and benefits, including stock compensation expense, and other administrative costs associated with our health plans, specialty companies and centralized functions that support all of our business units. Our major centralized functions are finance, information systems and claims processing.

Our G&A expense ratio represents G&A expenses as a percentage of the sum of Premium revenues and Service revenues, and reflects the relationship between revenues earned and the costs necessary to earn those revenues. The

Edgar Filing: CENTENE CORP - Form 10-Q

consolidated G&A expense ratio for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 were 13.5% and 12.6%, respectively. The ratio in 2008 reflects a 0.4% decrease due to the effect of recording the Georgia premium rate increase retroactive to July 1, 2007 during the first quarter of 2008. The G&A expense ratio increased as a result of new business initiatives including the acquisition of Celtic and the consolidation of Access.

Other Income (Expense)

The following table summarizes the components of other income (expense), net (in millions):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Investment income | \$ 3.6 | \$ 5.2 |
| Earnings from equity method investee | — | 2.4 |
| Interest expense | (4.0) | (4.0) |
| Other income (expense), net | \$ (0.4) | \$ 3.6 |

Other income (expense) consists principally of investment income from our cash and investments, our equity in earnings of investments, and interest expense on our debt. Investment income decreased \$1.6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2009, over the comparable period in 2008. The decrease in 2009 was due to an overall decline in market interest rates. Earnings from equity method investee decreased due to the presentation of our investment in Access as a consolidated subsidiary beginning in 2009.

Table of Contents

Income Tax Expense

Our effective tax rate in the first quarter of 2009 was 35.5% compared to 37.5% in 2008. The decrease was primarily due to lower state taxes and the adoption of FASB Statement No. 160 since Access is taxed as a partnership and does not provide for income taxes under FASB Statement No. 109.

Discontinued Operations

In November 2008, we announced our intention to sell certain assets of University Health Plans, Inc, or UHP, our New Jersey health plan. Accordingly, the results of operations for UHP are reported as discontinued operations for all periods presented. UHP was previously reported in the Medicaid Managed Care segment. In November 2008, we announced a definitive agreement to sell certain assets of our New Jersey health plan to AMERIGROUP New Jersey, or AGPNJ. In December 2008, AGPNJ sent us a termination notice. We have filed a complaint seeking specific performance of the contract and damages. Additional information regarding this matter is included in "Item 1. Legal Proceedings" included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Net earnings (losses) from discontinued operations were a net loss of \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 compared to earnings of \$0.7 million in the same period of 2008. The assets and liabilities of the discontinued business are segregated in the consolidated balance sheet.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Shown below is a condensed schedule of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, that we use throughout our discussion of liquidity and capital resources (in millions).

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 23.4 | \$ 26.7 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (85.0) | (37.2) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 24.7 | 5.7 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | \$ (36.9) | \$ (4.8) |

We finance our activities primarily through operating cash flows and borrowings under our revolving credit facility. Our total operating activities provided cash of \$23.4 million in the three months ended March 31, 2009 compared to \$26.7 million in the comparable period in 2008. The decrease was primarily due to the recognition and receipt of the \$20.8 million Georgia premium rate increase effective July 1, 2007 during the first quarter of 2008 offset by increased profitability in 2009.

Our investing activities used cash of \$85.0 million in the three months ended March 31, 2009 compared to \$37.2 million in the comparable period in 2008. Net cash provided by and used in investing activities will fluctuate from year to year due to the timing of investment purchases, sales and maturities. Our investment policies are designed to provide liquidity, preserve capital and maximize total return on invested assets within our investment guidelines. As of March 31, 2009, our investment portfolio consisted primarily of fixed-income securities with an average duration of 3.0 years. Cash is invested in investment vehicles such as municipal bonds, corporate bonds, instruments of the

U.S. Treasury, insurance contracts, commercial paper and equity securities. These securities generally are actively traded in secondary markets and the reported fair market value is determined based on recent trading activity and other observable inputs. The states in which we operate prescribe the types of instruments in which our regulated subsidiaries may invest their cash.

We spent \$11.2 million and \$19.9 million in the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, on capital assets consisting primarily of property, software and hardware upgrades, furniture, equipment, and leasehold improvements associated with office and market expansions. The expenditures in 2008 include the cost of property purchased contiguous to our corporate headquarters as part of our plan to expand our corporate headquarters to accommodate future company growth. Exclusive of our real estate development discussed below, we anticipate spending an additional \$18 million on capital expenditures in 2009 primarily associated with system enhancements and market expansions.

In 2009, our capital expenditures included \$2.8 million for costs associated with the construction of a real estate development on the property adjoining our corporate office, which we believe is necessary to accommodate our growing business. We are currently negotiating our arrangement as a joint venture partner in an entity that will develop the properties. If the Company is unable to complete the development or if the Company delays or abandons the real estate project, it may have an adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Our operations and efficiency could also be impacted if the development is not completed as there is limited office space for us to expand in the market near our existing headquarters as our business continues to grow.

Our financing activities provided cash of \$24.7 million and \$5.7 million in the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. During 2009 and 2008, our financing activities primarily related to proceeds from borrowings under our \$300 million credit facility and stock repurchases.

At March 31, 2009, we had working capital, defined as current assets less current liabilities, of \$(44.2) million, as compared to \$25.4 million at December 31, 2008. Our working capital was negative at March 31, 2009 due to our efforts to increase investment returns through purchases of investments that have maturities of greater than one year and, therefore, were classified as long-term. In addition, our \$20.5 million Revolving Loan Agreement expires on January 1, 2010, resulting in a reclassification of \$20.4 million from long-term debt to the current portion of long-term debt. We manage our short-term and long-term investments with the goal of ensuring that a sufficient portion is held in investments that are highly liquid and can be sold to fund short-term requirements as needed.

Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments were \$410.0 million at March 31, 2009 and \$480.4 million at December 31, 2008. Long-term investments were \$435.6 million at March 31, 2009 and \$341.7 million at December 31, 2008, including restricted deposits of \$12.8 million and \$9.3 million, respectively. At March 31, 2009, cash and investments held by our unregulated entities totaled \$28.9 million while cash and investments held by our regulated entities totaled \$816.8 million. Additionally, we held regulated cash and investments of \$29.6 million from discontinued operations. Upon completion of the sale of assets of UHP and the subsequent payment of medical claims liabilities and other liabilities at the closing date, substantially all of the remaining regulated cash of UHP will be transferred to our unregulated cash.

We have a \$300 million Revolving Credit Agreement. Borrowings under the agreement bear interest based upon LIBOR rates, the Federal Funds Rate or the Prime Rate. There is a commitment fee on the unused portion of the agreement that ranges from 0.15% to 0.275% depending on the total debt to EBITDA ratio. The agreement contains non-financial and financial covenants, including requirements of minimum fixed charge coverage ratios, maximum debt to EBITDA ratios and minimum net worth. The agreement will expire in September 2011. As of March 31, 2009, we had \$88.5 million in borrowings outstanding under the agreement and \$24.2 million in letters of credit outstanding, leaving availability of \$187.3 million. As of March 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all covenants.

In 2007, we issued \$175 million aggregate principal amount of our 7 ¹/₄% Senior Notes due April 1, 2014, or the Notes. The Notes were registered under the Securities Act of 1933, pursuant to a registration rights agreement with the initial purchasers. The indenture governing the Notes contains non-financial and financial covenants, including requiring a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio. Interest is paid semi-annually in April and October. As of March 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all covenants.

We have a stock repurchase program authorizing us to repurchase up to four million shares of common stock from time to time on the open market or through privately negotiated transactions. In October 2008, the repurchase program was extended through October 31, 2009, but we reserve the right to suspend or discontinue the program at any time. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we repurchased 19,485 shares at an average price of \$20.89. We have established a trading plan with a registered broker to repurchase shares under certain market conditions.

There were no other material changes outside the ordinary course of business in lease obligations or other contractual obligations in the three months ended March 31, 2009. Based on our operating plan, we expect that our available cash, cash equivalents and investments, cash from our operations and cash available under our credit facility will be sufficient to finance our operations and capital expenditures for at least 12 months from the date of this filing.

REGULATORY CAPITAL AND DIVIDEND RESTRICTIONS

Our operations are conducted through our subsidiaries. As managed care organizations, these subsidiaries are subject to state regulations that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of statutory capital, as defined by each state, and restrict the timing, payment and amount of dividends and other distributions that may be paid to us. Generally, the amount of dividend distributions that may be paid by a regulated subsidiary without prior approval by state regulatory authorities is limited based on the entity's level of statutory net income and statutory capital and surplus.

Our subsidiaries are required to maintain minimum capital requirements prescribed by various regulatory authorities in each of the states in which we operate. As of March 31, 2009, our subsidiaries, including UHP, had aggregate statutory capital and surplus of \$420.9 million, compared with the required minimum aggregate statutory capital and surplus requirements of \$261.0 million and we estimate our Risk Based Capital, or RBC, percentage to be 355% of the Authorized Control Level.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners has adopted rules which set minimum risk-based capital requirements for insurance companies, managed care organizations and other entities bearing risk for healthcare coverage. As of March 31, 2009, each of our health plans were in compliance with the risk-based capital requirements enacted in those states.

Table of Contents

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted FASB Statement No.141 (revised 2007), Business Combinations. The purpose of the statement is to replace current guidance in FASB Statement No.141, to better represent the economic value of a business combination transaction. The changes from the previous guidance include, but are not limited to: (1) acquisition costs are recognized separately from the acquisition; (2) known contractual contingencies at the time of the acquisition are considered part of the liabilities acquired and measured at their fair value; all other contingencies are part of the liabilities acquired and measured at their fair value only if it is more likely than not that they meet the definition of a liability; (3) contingent consideration based on the outcome of future events is recognized and measured at the time of the acquisition; (4) business combinations achieved in stages (step acquisitions) recognize the identifiable assets and liabilities, as well as noncontrolling interests, in the acquiree, at the full amounts of their fair values; and (5) a bargain purchase (defined as a business combination in which the total acquisition-date fair value of the identifiable net assets acquired exceeds the fair value of the consideration transferred plus any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree) requires that excess to be recognized as a gain attributable to the acquirer.

Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted FASB Statement No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, which was issued to improve the relevance, comparability, and transparency of financial information provided to investors by requiring all entities to report noncontrolling (minority) interests in subsidiaries in the same way, that is, as equity in the consolidated financial statements. Moreover, FASB Statement No. 160 eliminates the diversity that currently exists in accounting for transactions between an entity and noncontrolling interests by requiring they be treated as equity transactions. As discussed in Part I, Item 1. Financial Statements, Note 2, Basis of Presentation, the noncontrolling interest of Access is presented within stockholders' equity.

In April 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, issued FASB Staff Position, or FSN, No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments, or the FSP. The FSP is intended to provide greater clarity to investors about the credit and noncredit component of an other-than-temporary impairment event and to more effectively communicate when an other-than-temporary impairment event has occurred. The FSP applies to fixed maturity securities only and requires separate display of losses related to credit deterioration and losses related to other market factors. When an entity does not intend to sell the security and it is more likely than not that an entity will not have to sell the security before recovery of its cost basis, it must recognize the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment in earnings and the remaining portion in other comprehensive income. In addition, upon adoption of the FSP, an entity will be required to record a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the beginning of the period of adoption to reclassify the noncredit component of a previously recognized other-than-temporary impairment from retained earnings to accumulated other comprehensive income. The FSP will be effective for us for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting the FSP.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

All statements, other than statements of current or historical fact, contained in this filing are forward-looking statements. We have attempted to identify these statements by terminology including "believe," "anticipate," "plan," "expect," "estimate," "intend," "seek," "target," "goal," "may," "will," "should," "can," "continue" and other similar words or expressions in connection with, among other things, any discussion of future operating or financial performance. In particular, these statements include statements about our market opportunity, our growth strategy, competition, expected activities and future acquisitions, investments and the adequacy of our available cash resources. These statements may be found in the various sections of this filing, including those entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," Part II, Item 1A. "Risk Factors," and Part I, Item 1 "Legal Proceedings." Readers are cautioned that matters subject to forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, including economic, regulatory, competitive and other factors that may cause our or our industry's actual results, levels

of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions.

All forward-looking statements included in this filing are based on information available to us on the date of this filing. Actual results may differ from projections or estimates due to a variety of important factors, including:

- our ability to accurately predict and effectively manage health benefits and other operating expenses;
 - competition;
 - changes in healthcare practices;
- changes in federal or state laws or regulations;
 - inflation;
 - provider contract changes;
 - new technologies;
- reduction in provider payments by governmental payors;
 - major epidemics;
- disasters and numerous other factors affecting the delivery and cost of healthcare;
- the expiration, cancellation or suspension of our Medicaid managed care contracts by state governments;
 - availability of debt and equity financing, on terms that are favorable to us; and
 - general economic and market conditions.

Item 1A “Risk Factors” of Part II of this filing contains a further discussion of these and other important factors that could cause actual results to differ from expectations. We disclaim any current intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Due to these important factors and risks, we cannot give assurances with respect to our future premium levels or our ability to control our future medical costs.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

INVESTMENTS

As of March 31, 2009, we had short-term investments of \$75.4 million and long-term investments of \$435.6 million, including restricted deposits of \$12.8 million. The short-term investments generally consist of highly liquid securities with maturities between three and 12 months. The long-term investments consist of municipal, corporate and U.S. Agency bonds, life insurance contracts, U.S. Treasury investments and equity securities and have maturities greater than one year. Restricted deposits consist of investments required by various state statutes to be deposited or pledged to state agencies. Due to the nature of the states’ requirements, these investments are classified as long-term regardless of the contractual maturity date. Our investments are subject to interest rate risk and will decrease in value if market rates increase. Assuming a hypothetical and immediate 1% increase in market interest rates at March 31, 2009, the fair value of our fixed income investments would decrease by approximately \$11.5 million. Declines in interest rates over time will reduce our investment income. For a discussion of the interest rate risk that our investments are subject to, see “Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Business.” Our investment portfolio may suffer losses from reductions in market interest rates and changes in market conditions which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations or liquidity.

INFLATION

While the inflation rate in 2008 for medical care costs was slightly less than that for all items, historically inflation for medical care costs has generally exceeded that for all items. We use various strategies to mitigate the negative effects of healthcare cost inflation. Specifically, our health plans try to control medical and hospital costs through our state savings initiatives and contracts with independent providers of healthcare services. Through these contracted care

providers, our health plans emphasize preventive healthcare and appropriate use of specialty and hospital services.

While we currently believe our strategies to mitigate healthcare cost inflation will continue to be successful, competitive pressures, new healthcare and pharmaceutical product introductions, demands from healthcare providers and customers, applicable regulations or other factors may affect our ability to control the impact of healthcare cost increases.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures - Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2009. The term “disclosure controls and procedures,” as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2009, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting - No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings.

On January 8, 2009, we filed a complaint in the Chancery Division of the Superior Court of New Jersey, asserting a breach of contract claim against AMERIGROUP New Jersey, or AGPNJ, and a tortious interference with contract claim against AMERIGROUP Corporation, in connection with AGPNJ's refusal to proceed to closing under its contract to purchase certain assets of University Health Plan's or, UHP's, business. In December 2008, AGPNJ sent us a termination notice claiming that a material adverse effect had occurred under the contract and attempted to terminate the contract. We are contesting whether a material adverse effect occurred and correspondingly the propriety and validity of the purported termination, and are seeking to obtain specific performance of the contract and damages.

On April 20, 2009, AMERIGROUP Corporation and AGPNJ answered the complaint and filed a counterclaim alleging that there had been misrepresentations and/or omissions of material fact made by or on behalf of UHP and us. We believe that the counterclaim is without merit. While the results of litigation cannot be predicted with certainty, we believe that the final outcome of the counterclaim will not have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

In May 2008, the Internal Revenue Services, or IRS, began an audit of our 2006 and 2007 tax returns. As a result of this audit, the IRS has initially denied the \$34,856 tax benefit we recognized for the abandonment of the FirstGuard stock in 2007. We are proceeding with the appeals process and believe that it is more likely than not that our tax position will be upheld. Accordingly, we have not made any adjustments to our FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, or FIN 48, reserve for this position.

We routinely are subjected to legal proceedings in the normal course of business. While the ultimate resolution of such matters is uncertain, we do not expect the results of any of these matters individually, or in the aggregate, to have a material effect on our financial position or results of operations.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors.

FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS AND THE
TRADING PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK

You should carefully consider the risks described below before making an investment decision. The trading price of our common stock could decline due to any of these risks, in which case you could lose all or part of your investment. You should also refer to the other information in this filing, including our consolidated financial statements and related notes. The risks and uncertainties described below are those that we currently believe may materially affect our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties that we are unaware of or that we currently deem immaterial also may become important factors that affect our Company.

Risks Related to Being a Regulated Entity

Reduction in Medicaid, CHIP and ABD funding could substantially reduce our profitability.

Most of our revenues come from Medicaid, CHIP and ABD premiums. The base premium rate paid by each state differs, depending on a combination of factors such as defined upper payment limits, a member's health status, age, gender, county or region, benefit mix and member eligibility categories. Future levels of Medicaid, CHIP and ABD funding and premium rates may be affected by continuing government efforts to contain healthcare costs and may further be affected by state and federal budgetary constraints. Additionally, state and federal entities may make changes to the design of their Medicaid programs resulting in the cancellation or modification of these programs.

For example, in August 2007, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, or CMS, published a final rule regarding the estimation and recovery of improper payments made under Medicaid and CHIP. This rule requires a CMS contractor to sample selected states each year to estimate improper payments in Medicaid and CHIP and create national and state specific error rates. States must provide information to measure improper payments in Medicaid and CHIP for managed care and fee-for-service. Each state will be selected for review once every three years for each program. States are required to repay CMS the federal share of any overpayments identified.

The American Reinvestment and Recovery Act of 2009, which was signed into law on February 17, 2009, provides \$87 billion in additional federal Medicaid funding for states' Medicaid expenditures between October 1, 2008 and December 31, 2010. Under this Act, states meeting certain eligibility requirements will temporarily receive additional money in the form of an increase in the federal medical assistance percentage (FMAP). Thus, for a limited period of time, the share of Medicaid costs that are paid for by the federal government will go up, and each state's share will go down. We cannot predict whether states are, or will remain, eligible to receive the additional federal Medicaid funding, or whether the states will have sufficient funds for their Medicaid programs.

States also periodically consider reducing or reallocating the amount of money they spend for Medicaid, CHIP, Foster Care and ABD. The current adverse economic conditions have, and are expected to continue to, put pressures on state budgets as tax and other state revenues decrease while the Medicaid eligible population increases, creating more need for funding. We anticipate this will require government agencies with whom we contract to find funding alternatives, which may result in reductions in funding for current programs and program expansions, contraction of covered benefits, limited or no premium rate increases or premium decreases. In recent years, the majority of states have implemented measures to restrict Medicaid, CHIP, Foster Care and ABD costs and eligibility. If any state in which we operate were to decrease premiums paid to us, or pay us less than the amount necessary to keep pace with our cost trends, it could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and operating results.

Changes to Medicaid, CHIP, Foster Care and ABD programs could reduce the number of persons enrolled in or eligible for these programs, reduce the amount of reimbursement or payment levels, or increase our administrative or healthcare costs under these programs, all of which could have a negative impact on our business. We believe that reductions in Medicaid, CHIP, Foster Care and ABD payments could substantially reduce our profitability. Further, our contracts with the states are subject to cancellation by the state after a short notice period in the event of unavailability of state funds.

If CHIP is not reauthorized or states face shortfalls, our business could suffer.

Federal support for CHIP has been authorized through 2013. We cannot be certain that CHIP will be reauthorized when current funding expires in 2013, and if it is, what changes might be made to the program following reauthorization. Thus, we cannot predict the impact that reauthorization will have on our business.

States receive matching funds from the federal government to pay for their CHIP programs, which matching funds have a per state annual cap. Because of funding caps, there is a risk that these states could experience shortfalls in future years, which could have an impact on our ability to receive amounts owed to us from states in which we have CHIP contracts.

If any of our state contracts are terminated or are not renewed, our business will suffer.

We provide managed care programs and selected services to individuals receiving benefits under federal assistance programs, including Medicaid, CHIP and ABD. We provide those healthcare services under contracts with regulatory entities in the areas in which we operate. Our contracts with various states are generally intended to run for one or two years and may be extended for one or two additional years if the state or its agent elects to do so. Our current contracts are set to expire or renew between June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2010. When our contracts expire, they may be opened for bidding by competing healthcare providers. There is no guarantee that our contracts will be renewed or extended. For example, on August 25, 2006, we received notification from the Kansas Health Policy Authority that FirstGuard Health Plan Kansas, Inc.'s contract with the State would not be renewed or extended, and as a result, our contract ended on December 31, 2006. Further, our contracts with the states are subject to cancellation by the state after a short notice period in the event of unavailability of state funds. For example, the Indiana contract under which we operate can be terminated by the State without cause. Our contracts could also be terminated if we fail to perform in accordance with the standards set by state regulatory agencies. If any of our contracts are terminated, not renewed, renewed on less favorable terms, or not renewed on a timely basis, our business will suffer, and our financial position, results of operations or cash flows may be materially affected.

If we are unable to participate in CHIP programs, our growth rate may be limited.

CHIP is a federal initiative designed to provide coverage for low-income children not otherwise covered by Medicaid or other insurance programs. The programs vary significantly from state to state. Participation in CHIP programs is an important part of our growth strategy. If states do not allow us to participate or if we fail to win bids to participate, our growth strategy may be materially and adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Changes in government regulations designed to protect the financial interests of providers and members rather than our investors could force us to change how we operate and could harm our business.

Our business is extensively regulated by the states in which we operate and by the federal government. The applicable laws and regulations are subject to frequent change and generally are intended to benefit and protect the financial interests of health plan providers and members rather than investors. The enactment of new laws and rules or changes to existing laws and rules or the interpretation of such laws and rules could, among other things:

- force us to restructure our relationships with providers within our network;
- require us to implement additional or different programs and systems;
- mandate minimum medical expense levels as a percentage of premium revenues;
- restrict revenue and enrollment growth;
- require us to develop plans to guard against the financial insolvency of our providers;
- increase our healthcare and administrative costs;
- impose additional capital and reserve requirements; and
- increase or change our liability to members in the event of malpractice by our providers.

For example, Congress has previously considered various forms of patient protection legislation commonly known as the Patients' Bill of Rights and such legislation may be proposed again. We cannot predict the impact of any such legislation, if adopted, on our business.

Regulations may decrease the profitability of our health plans.

Certain states have enacted regulations which require us to maintain a minimum health benefits ratio, or establish limits on our profitability. Other states require us to meet certain performance and quality metrics in order to receive our full contractual revenue. In certain circumstances, our plans may be required to pay a rebate to the state in the event profits exceed established levels. These regulatory requirements, changes in these requirements or the adoption of similar requirements by other regulators may limit our ability to increase our overall profits as a percentage of revenues. Certain states, including but not limited to Georgia, Indiana, New Jersey, Texas and Wisconsin have implemented prompt-payment laws and are enforcing penalty provisions for failure to pay claims in a timely manner. Failure to meet these requirements can result in financial fines and penalties. In addition, states may attempt to reduce their contract premium rates if regulators perceive our health benefits ratio as too low. Any of these regulatory actions could harm our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Certain states also impose marketing restrictions on us which may constrain our membership growth and our ability to increase our revenues.

We face periodic reviews, audits and investigations under our contracts with state government agencies, and these audits could have adverse findings, which may negatively impact our business.

We contract with various state governmental agencies to provide managed healthcare services. Pursuant to these contracts, we are subject to various reviews, audits and investigations to verify our compliance with the contracts and applicable laws and regulations. Any adverse review, audit or investigation could result in:

- cancellation of our contracts;
- refunding of amounts we have been paid pursuant to our contracts;
- imposition of fines, penalties and other sanctions on us;
- loss of our right to participate in various markets;
- increased difficulty in selling our products and services; and
- loss of one or more of our licenses.

Failure to comply with government regulations could subject us to civil and criminal penalties.

Federal and state governments have enacted fraud and abuse laws and other laws to protect patients' privacy and access to healthcare. In some states, we may be subject to regulation by more than one governmental authority, which may impose overlapping or inconsistent regulations. Violation of these and other laws or regulations governing our operations or the operations of our providers could result in the imposition of civil or criminal penalties, the cancellation of our contracts to provide services, the suspension or revocation of our licenses or our exclusion from participating in the Medicaid, CHIP, Foster Care and ABD programs. If we were to become subject to these penalties or exclusions as the result of our actions or omissions or our inability to monitor the compliance of our providers, it would negatively affect our ability to operate our business.

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, or HIPAA, broadened the scope of fraud and abuse laws applicable to healthcare companies. HIPAA created civil penalties for, among other things, billing for medically unnecessary goods or services. HIPAA established new enforcement mechanisms to combat fraud and abuse, including civil and, in some instances, criminal penalties for failure to comply with specific standards relating to the privacy, security and electronic transmission of most individually identifiable health information. It is possible that Congress may enact additional legislation in the future to increase penalties and to create a private right of action under HIPAA, which could entitle patients to seek monetary damages for violations of the privacy rules.

We may incur significant costs as a result of compliance with government regulations, and our management will be required to devote time to compliance.

Many aspects of our business are affected by government laws and regulations. The issuance of new regulations, or judicial or regulatory guidance regarding existing regulations, could require changes to many of the procedures we currently use to conduct our business, which may lead to additional costs that we have not yet identified. We do not know whether, or the extent to which, we will be able to recover from the states our costs of complying with these new regulations. The costs of any such future compliance efforts could have a material adverse effect on our business. We have already expended significant time, effort and financial resources to comply with the privacy and security requirements of HIPAA. We cannot predict whether states will enact stricter laws governing the privacy and security of electronic health information. If any new requirements are enacted at the state or federal level, compliance would likely require additional expenditures and management time.

In addition, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as well as rules subsequently implemented by the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange, or the NYSE, have imposed various requirements on public companies, including requiring changes in corporate governance practices. Our management and other personnel will continue to devote time to these compliance initiatives.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires, among other things, that we maintain effective internal control over financial reporting. In particular, we must perform system and process evaluation and testing of our internal control over financial reporting to allow management to report on the effectiveness of our internal control over our financial reporting as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Our testing, or the subsequent testing by our independent registered public accounting firm, may reveal deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting that are deemed to be material weaknesses. Our compliance with Section 404 causes us to incur substantial expense and management effort. Moreover, if we are not able to comply with the requirements of Section 404, or if we or our independent registered public accounting firm identifies deficiencies in our internal control over financial reporting that are deemed to be material weaknesses, the market price of our stock could decline and we could be subject to sanctions or investigations by the NYSE, SEC or other regulatory authorities, which would require additional financial and management resources.

Changes in healthcare law and benefits may reduce our profitability.

Numerous proposals relating to changes in healthcare law have been introduced, some of which have been passed by Congress and the states in which we operate or may operate in the future. Changes in applicable laws and regulations are continually being considered, and interpretations of existing laws and rules may also change from time to time. We are unable to predict what regulatory changes may occur or what effect any particular change may have on our business. For example, these changes could reduce the number of persons enrolled or eligible to enroll in Medicaid, reduce the reimbursement or payment levels for medical services or reduce benefits included in Medicaid coverage. We are also unable to predict whether new laws or proposals will favor or hinder the growth of managed healthcare in general. Legislation or regulations that require us to change our current manner of operation, benefits provided or our contract arrangements may seriously harm our operations and financial results.

If a state fails to renew a required federal waiver for mandated Medicaid enrollment into managed care or such application is denied, our membership in that state will likely decrease.

States may administer Medicaid managed care programs pursuant to demonstration programs or required waivers of federal Medicaid standards. Waivers and demonstration programs are generally approved for two year periods and can be renewed on an ongoing basis if the state applies. We have no control over this renewal process. If a state does not renew such a waiver or demonstration program or the Federal government denies a state's application for renewal, membership in our health plan in the state could decrease and our business could suffer.

Table of Contents

Changes in federal funding mechanisms may reduce our profitability.

Changes in funding for Medicaid may affect our business. For example, on May 29, 2007, CMS issued a final rule that would reduce states' use of intergovernmental transfers for the states' share of Medicaid program funding. By restricting the use of intergovernmental transfers, this rule may restrict some states' funding for Medicaid, which could adversely affect our growth, operations and financial performance. On May 25, 2007, President Bush signed an Iraq war supplemental spending bill that included a one-year moratorium on the effectiveness of the final rule. On May 23, 2008, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia vacated the final rule as improperly promulgated. On June 30, 2008, President Bush signed another Iraq war supplemental spending bill that extended the moratorium on taking any actions to finalize the final rule until April 1, 2009. We cannot predict whether the rule will ever be finalized or otherwise implemented and if it is, what impact it will have on our business.

Recent legislative changes in the Medicare program may also affect our business. For example, the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement and Modernization Act of 2003 revised cost-sharing requirements for some beneficiaries and requires states to reimburse the federal Medicare program for costs of prescription drug coverage provided to beneficiaries who are enrolled simultaneously in both the Medicaid and Medicare programs. In addition, the Medicare prescription drug benefit interrupted the distribution of prescription drugs to many beneficiaries simultaneously enrolled in both Medicaid and Medicare, prompting several states to pay for prescription drugs on an unbudgeted, emergency basis without any assurance of receiving reimbursement from the federal Medicaid program. These expenses may cause some states to divert funds originally intended for other Medicaid services which could adversely affect our growth, operations and financial performance.

If state regulatory agencies require a statutory capital level higher than the state regulations, we may be required to make additional capital contributions.

Our operations are conducted through our wholly owned subsidiaries, which include health maintenance organizations, or HMOs, and managed care organizations, or MCOs. HMOs and MCOs are subject to state regulations that, among other things, require the maintenance of minimum levels of statutory capital, as defined by each state. Additionally, state regulatory agencies may require, at their discretion, individual HMOs to maintain statutory capital levels higher than the state regulations. If this were to occur to one of our subsidiaries, we may be required to make additional capital contributions to the affected subsidiary. Any additional capital contribution made to one of the affected subsidiaries could have a material adverse effect on our liquidity and our ability to grow.

If state regulators do not approve payments of dividends and distributions by our subsidiaries to us, we may not have sufficient funds to implement our business strategy.

We principally operate through our health plan subsidiaries. If funds normally available to us become limited in the future, we may need to rely on dividends and distributions from our subsidiaries to fund our operations. These subsidiaries are subject to regulations that limit the amount of dividends and distributions that can be paid to us without prior approval of, or notification to, state regulators. If these regulators were to deny our subsidiaries' request to pay dividends to us, the funds available to us would be limited, which could harm our ability to implement our business strategy.

Risks Related to Our Business

Ineffectiveness of state-operated systems and subcontractors could adversely affect our business.

Our health plans rely on other state-operated systems or sub-contractors to qualify, solicit, educate and assign eligible members into the health plans. The effectiveness of these state operations and sub-contractors can have a material

effect on a health plan's enrollment in a particular month or over an extended period. When a state implements new programs to determine eligibility, new processes to assign or enroll eligible members into health plans, or chooses new contractors, there is an increased potential for an unanticipated impact on the overall number of members assigned into the health plans.

Failure to accurately predict our medical expenses could negatively affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Our medical expense includes claims reported but not yet paid, or inventory, estimates for claims incurred but not reported, or IBNR, and estimates for the costs necessary to process unpaid claims at the end of each period. Our development of the medical claims liability estimate is a continuous process which we monitor and refine on a monthly basis as claims receipts and payment information becomes available. As more complete information becomes available, we adjust the amount of the estimate, and include the changes in estimates in medical expense in the period in which the changes are identified.

We can not be sure that our medical claims liability estimates are adequate or that adjustments to those estimates will not unfavorably impact our results of operations. For example, in the three months ended June 30, 2006 we adjusted IBNR by \$9.7 million for adverse medical costs development from the first quarter of 2006.

Additionally, when we commence operations in a new state or region, we have limited information with which to estimate our medical claims liability. For example, we commenced operations in South Carolina in December 2007 and began our Foster Care program in Texas in April 2008. For a period of time after the inception of business in these states, we based our estimates on state provided historical actuarial data and limited actual incurred and received claims.

From time to time in the past, our actual results have varied from our estimates, particularly in times of significant changes in the number of our members. The accuracy of our medical claims liability estimate may also affect our ability to take timely corrective actions, further harming our results.

Receipt of inadequate or significantly delayed premiums would negatively affect our revenues and profitability.

Our premium revenues consist of fixed monthly payments per member and supplemental payments for other services such as maternity deliveries. These premiums are fixed by contract, and we are obligated during the contract periods to provide healthcare services as established by the state governments. We use a large portion of our revenues to pay the costs of healthcare services delivered to our members. If premiums do not increase when expenses related to medical services rise, our earnings will be affected negatively. In addition, our actual medical services costs may exceed our estimates, which would cause our health benefits ratio, or our expenses related to medical services as a percentage of premium revenue, to increase and our profits to decline. In addition, it is possible for a state to increase the rates payable to the hospitals without granting a corresponding increase in premiums to us. If this were to occur in one or more of the states in which we operate, our profitability would be harmed. In addition, if there is a significant delay in our receipt of premiums to offset previously incurred health benefits costs, our earnings could be negatively impacted.

In some instances, our base premiums are subject to an adjustment, or risk score, based on the acuity of our membership. Generally, the risk score is determined by the State analyzing encounter submissions of processed claims data to determine the acuity of our membership relative to the entire state's Medicaid membership. The risk score is dependent on several factors including our providers' completeness and quality of claims submission, our processing of the claim, submission of the processed claims in the form of encounters to the states' encounter systems and the states' acceptance and analysis of the encounter data. If the risk scores assigned to our premiums that are risk adjusted are not adequate or do not appropriately reflect the acuity of our membership, our earnings will be affected negatively.

Failure to effectively manage our medical costs or related administrative costs would reduce our profitability.

Our profitability depends, to a significant degree, on our ability to predict and effectively manage expenses related to health benefits. We have less control over the costs related to medical services than we do over our general and administrative expenses. Because of the narrow margins of our health plan business, relatively small changes in our health benefits ratio can create significant changes in our financial results. Changes in healthcare regulations and practices, the level of use of healthcare services, hospital costs, pharmaceutical costs, major epidemics, new medical technologies and other external factors, including general economic conditions such as inflation levels, are beyond our control and could reduce our ability to predict and effectively control the costs of providing health benefits. We may not be able to manage costs effectively in the future. If our costs related to health benefits increase, our profits could be reduced or we may not remain profitable.

Our investment portfolio may suffer losses from reductions in market interest rates and changes in market conditions which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations or liquidity.

As of March 31, 2009, we had \$410.0 million in cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments and \$435.6 million of long-term investments and restricted deposits. We maintain an investment portfolio of cash equivalents and short-term and long-term investments in a variety of securities which may include commercial paper, certificates of deposit, money market funds, municipal bonds, corporate bonds, instruments of the U.S. Treasury, insurance contracts and equity securities. These investments are subject to general credit, liquidity, market and interest rate risks. Substantially all of these securities are subject to interest rate and credit risk and will decline in value if interest rates increase or one of the issuers' credit ratings is reduced. As a result, we may experience a reduction in value or loss of liquidity of our investments, which may have a negative adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition. For example, in the third quarter of 2008, we recorded a loss on investments of approximately \$4.5 million due to a loss in a money market fund.

Table of Contents

Our investments in state and municipal securities are not guaranteed by the United States government which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations or liquidity.

As of March 31, 2009, we had \$437.5 million of investments in state and municipal securities. These securities are not guaranteed by the United States government. State and municipal securities are subject to additional credit risk based upon each local municipality's tax revenues and financial stability. As a result, we may experience a reduction in value or loss of liquidity of our investments, which may have a negative adverse effect on our results of operations, liquidity and financial condition.

Difficulties in executing our acquisition strategy could adversely affect our business.

Historically, the acquisition of Medicaid and specialty services businesses, contract rights and related assets of other health plans both in our existing service areas and in new markets has accounted for a significant amount of our growth. Many of the other potential purchasers have greater financial resources than we have. In addition, many of the sellers are interested either in (a) selling, along with their Medicaid assets, other assets in which we do not have an interest or (b) selling their companies, including their liabilities, as opposed to the assets of their ongoing businesses.

We generally are required to obtain regulatory approval from one or more state agencies when making acquisitions. In the case of an acquisition of a business located in a state in which we do not currently operate, we would be required to obtain the necessary licenses to operate in that state. In addition, even if we already operate in a state in which we acquire a new business, we would be required to obtain additional regulatory approval if the acquisition would result in our operating in an area of the state in which we did not operate previously, and we could be required to renegotiate provider contracts of the acquired business. We cannot provide any assurance that we would be able to comply with these regulatory requirements for an acquisition in a timely manner, or at all. In deciding whether to approve a proposed acquisition, state regulators may consider a number of factors outside our control, including giving preference to competing offers made by locally owned entities or by not-for-profit entities.

We also may be unable to obtain sufficient additional capital resources for future acquisitions. If we are unable to effectively execute our acquisition strategy, our future growth will suffer and our results of operations could be harmed.

Execution of our growth strategy may increase costs or liabilities, or create disruptions in our business.

We pursue acquisitions of other companies or businesses from time to time. Although we review the records of companies or businesses we plan to acquire, even an in-depth review of records may not reveal existing or potential problems or permit us to become familiar enough with a business to assess fully its capabilities and deficiencies. As a result, we may assume unanticipated liabilities or adverse operating conditions, or an acquisition may not perform as well as expected. We face the risk that the returns on acquisitions will not support the expenditures or indebtedness incurred to acquire such businesses, or the capital expenditures needed to develop such businesses. We also face the risk that we will not be able to integrate acquisitions into our existing operations effectively without substantial expense, delay or other operational or financial problems. Integration may be hindered by, among other things, differing procedures, including internal controls, business practices and technology systems. We may need to divert more management resources to integration than we planned, which may adversely affect our ability to pursue other profitable activities.

In addition to the difficulties we may face in identifying and consummating acquisitions, we will also be required to integrate and consolidate any acquired business or assets with our existing operations. This may include the integration of:

- additional personnel who are not familiar with our operations and corporate culture;
- provider networks that may operate on different terms than our existing networks;
- existing members, who may decide to switch to another healthcare plan; and
- disparate administrative, accounting and finance, and information systems.

Additionally, our growth strategy includes start-up operations in new markets or new products in existing markets. We may incur significant expenses prior to commencement of operations and the receipt of revenue. As a result, these start-up operations may decrease our profitability. In the event we pursue any opportunity to diversify our business internationally, we would become subject to additional risks, including, but not limited to, political risk, an unfamiliar regulatory regime, currency exchange risk and exchange controls, cultural and language differences, foreign tax issues, and different labor laws and practices.

Accordingly, we may be unable to identify, consummate and integrate future acquisitions or start-up operations successfully or operate acquired or new businesses profitably.

Acquisitions of unfamiliar new businesses could negatively impact our business.

We are subject to the expenditures and risks associated with entering into any new line of business. Our failure to properly manage these expenditures and risks could have a negative impact on our overall business. For example, effective July 2008, we completed the previously announced acquisition of Celtic Group, Inc., the parent company of Celtic Insurance Company, or Celtic. Celtic is a national individual health insurance provider that provides health insurance to individual customers and their families. While we believe that the addition of Celtic will be complementary to our business, we have not previously operated in the individual health care industry.

If competing managed care programs are unwilling to purchase specialty services from us, we may not be able to successfully implement our strategy of diversifying our business lines.

We are seeking to diversify our business lines into areas that complement our Medicaid business in order to grow our revenue stream and balance our dependence on Medicaid risk reimbursement. In order to diversify our business, we must succeed in selling the services of our specialty subsidiaries not only to our managed care plans, but to programs operated by third-parties. Some of these third-party programs may compete with us in some markets, and they therefore may be unwilling to purchase specialty services from us. In any event, the offering of these services will require marketing activities that differ significantly from the manner in which we seek to increase revenues from our Medicaid programs. Our inability to market specialty services to other programs may impair our ability to execute our business strategy.

Failure to achieve timely profitability in any business would negatively affect our results of operations.

Start-up costs associated with a new business can be substantial. For example, in order to obtain a certificate of authority in most jurisdictions, we must first establish a provider network, have systems in place and demonstrate our ability to obtain a state contract and process claims. If we were unsuccessful in obtaining the necessary license, winning the bid to provide service or attracting members in numbers sufficient to cover our costs, any new business of ours would fail. We also could be obligated by the state to continue to provide services for some period of time without sufficient revenue to cover our ongoing costs or recover start-up costs. The expenses associated with starting up a new business could have a significant impact on our results of operations if we are unable to achieve profitable operations in a timely fashion.

Adverse credit market conditions may have a material adverse affect on our liquidity or our ability to obtain credit on acceptable terms.

The securities and credit markets have been experiencing extreme volatility and disruption over the past year. The availability of credit, from virtually all types of lenders, has been severely restricted. Such conditions may persist throughout 2009 and beyond. In the event we need access to additional capital to pay our operating expenses, make payments on our indebtedness, pay capital expenditures, including costs related to our corporate headquarters' project, or fund acquisitions, our ability to obtain such capital may be limited and the cost of any such capital may be significant, particularly if we are unable to access our existing credit facility.

Our access to additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as prevailing economic and credit market conditions, the general availability of credit, the overall availability of credit to our industry, our credit ratings and credit capacity, and perceptions of our financial prospects. Similarly, our access to funds may be impaired if regulatory authorities or rating agencies take negative actions against us. If a combination of these factors were to occur, our internal sources of liquidity may prove to be insufficient, and in such case, we may not be able to successfully obtain additional financing on favorable terms or at all. We believe that if credit could be obtained, the terms and costs of such credit could be significantly less favorable to us than what was obtained in our most recent financings.

We derive a majority of our premium revenues from operations in a small number of states, and our financial position, results of operations or cash flows would be materially affected by a decrease in premium revenues or profitability in any one of those states.

Operations in a few states have accounted for most of our premium revenues to date. If we were unable to continue to operate in any of our current states or if our current operations in any portion of one of those states were significantly curtailed, our revenues could decrease materially. For example, our Medicaid contract with Kansas, which terminated December 31, 2006, together with our Medicaid contract with Missouri, accounted for \$317.0 million in revenue for the year ended December 31, 2006. Our reliance on operations in a limited number of states could cause our revenue and profitability to change suddenly and unexpectedly depending on legislative or other governmental or regulatory actions and decisions, economic conditions and similar factors in those states. Our inability to continue to operate in any of the states in which we operate would harm our business.

Table of Contents

Competition may limit our ability to increase penetration of the markets that we serve.

We compete for members principally on the basis of size and quality of provider network, benefits provided and quality of service. We compete with numerous types of competitors, including other health plans and traditional state Medicaid programs that reimburse providers as care is provided. Subject to limited exceptions by federally approved state applications, the federal government requires that there be choices for Medicaid recipients among managed care programs. Voluntary programs and mandated competition may limit our ability to increase our market share.

Some of the health plans with which we compete have greater financial and other resources and offer a broader scope of products than we do. In addition, significant merger and acquisition activity has occurred in the managed care industry, as well as in industries that act as suppliers to us, such as the hospital, physician, pharmaceutical, medical device and health information systems businesses. To the extent that competition intensifies in any market that we serve, our ability to retain or increase members and providers, or maintain or increase our revenue growth, pricing flexibility and control over medical cost trends may be adversely affected.

In addition, in order to increase our membership in the markets we currently serve, we believe that we must continue to develop and implement community-specific products, alliances with key providers and localized outreach and educational programs. If we are unable to develop and implement these initiatives, or if our competitors are more successful than we are in doing so, we may not be able to further penetrate our existing markets.

If we are unable to maintain relationships with our provider networks, our profitability may be harmed.

Our profitability depends, in large part, upon our ability to contract favorably with hospitals, physicians and other healthcare providers. Our provider arrangements with our primary care physicians, specialists and hospitals generally may be cancelled by either party without cause upon 90 to 120 days prior written notice. We cannot provide any assurance that we will be able to continue to renew our existing contracts or enter into new contracts enabling us to service our members profitably.

From time to time providers assert or threaten to assert claims seeking to terminate non-cancelable agreements due to alleged actions or inactions by us. Even if these allegations represent attempts to avoid or renegotiate contractual terms that have become economically disadvantageous to the providers, it is possible that in the future a provider may pursue such a claim successfully. In addition, we are aware that other managed care organizations have been subject to class action suits by physicians with respect to claim payment procedures, and we may be subject to similar claims. Regardless of whether any claims brought against us are successful or have merit, they will still be time-consuming and costly and could distract our management's attention. As a result, we may incur significant expenses and may be unable to operate our business effectively.

We will be required to establish acceptable provider networks prior to entering new markets. We may be unable to enter into agreements with providers in new markets on a timely basis or under favorable terms. If we are unable to retain our current provider contracts or enter into new provider contracts timely or on favorable terms, our profitability will be harmed.

We may be unable to attract and retain key personnel.

We are highly dependent on our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel to operate and expand our business. If we lose one or more members of our senior management team, including our chief executive officer, Michael F. Neidorff, who has been instrumental in developing our business strategy and forging our business relationships, our business and financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be harmed. Our ability to replace any departed members of our senior management or other key employees may be difficult and may take an extended

period of time because of the limited number of individuals in the Medicaid managed care and specialty services industry with the breadth of skills and experience required to operate and successfully expand a business such as ours. Competition to hire from this limited pool is intense, and we may be unable to hire, train, retain or motivate these personnel.

Negative publicity regarding the managed care industry may harm our business and financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The managed care industry has received negative publicity. This publicity has led to increased legislation, regulation, review of industry practices and private litigation in the commercial sector. These factors may adversely affect our ability to market our services, require us to change our services, and increase the regulatory burdens under which we operate. Any of these factors may increase the costs of doing business and adversely affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Claims relating to medical malpractice could cause us to incur significant expenses.

Our providers and employees involved in medical care decisions may be subject to medical malpractice claims. In addition, some states, including Texas, have adopted legislation that permits managed care organizations to be held liable for negligent treatment decisions or benefits coverage determinations. Claims of this nature, if successful, could result in substantial damage awards against us and our providers that could exceed the limits of any applicable insurance coverage. Therefore, successful malpractice or tort claims asserted against us, our providers or our employees could adversely affect our financial condition and profitability. Even if any claims brought against us are unsuccessful or without merit, they would still be time consuming and costly and could distract our management's attention. As a result, we may incur significant expenses and may be unable to operate our business effectively.

Loss of providers due to increased insurance costs could adversely affect our business.

Our providers routinely purchase insurance to help protect themselves against medical malpractice claims. In recent years, the costs of maintaining commercially reasonable levels of such insurance have increased dramatically, and these costs are expected to increase to even greater levels in the future. As a result of the level of these costs, providers may decide to leave the practice of medicine or to limit their practice to certain areas, which may not address the needs of Medicaid participants. We rely on retaining a sufficient number of providers in order to maintain a certain level of service. If a significant number of our providers exit our provider networks or the practice of medicine generally, we may be unable to replace them in a timely manner, if at all, and our business could be adversely affected.

Growth in the number of Medicaid-eligible persons during economic downturns could cause our financial position, results of operations or cash flows to suffer if state and federal budgets decrease or do not increase.

Less favorable economic conditions may cause our membership to increase as more people become eligible to receive Medicaid benefits. During such economic downturns, however, state and federal budgets could decrease, causing states to attempt to cut healthcare programs, benefits and rates. We cannot predict the impact of changes in the United States economic environment or other economic or political events, including acts of terrorism or related military action, on federal or state funding of healthcare programs or on the size of the population eligible for the programs we operate. If federal funding decreases or remains unchanged while our membership increases, our results of operations will suffer.

Growth in the number of Medicaid-eligible persons may be countercyclical, which could cause our financial position, results of operations or cash flows to suffer when general economic conditions are improving.

Historically, the number of persons eligible to receive Medicaid benefits has increased more rapidly during periods of rising unemployment, corresponding to less favorable general economic conditions. Conversely, this number may grow more slowly or even decline if economic conditions improve. Therefore, improvements in general economic conditions may cause our membership levels to decrease, thereby causing our financial position, results of operations or cash flows to suffer, which could lead to decreases in our stock price during periods in which stock prices in general are increasing.

If we are unable to integrate and manage our information systems effectively, our operations could be disrupted.

Our operations depend significantly on effective information systems. The information gathered and processed by our information systems assists us in, among other things, monitoring utilization and other cost factors, processing provider claims, and providing data to our regulators. Our providers also depend upon our information systems for membership verifications, claims status and other information.

Our information systems and applications require continual maintenance, upgrading and enhancement to meet our operational needs and regulatory requirements. Moreover, our acquisition activity requires frequent transitions to or from, and the integration of, various information systems. We regularly upgrade and expand our information systems' capabilities. If we experience difficulties with the transition to or from information systems or are unable to properly maintain or expand our information systems, we could suffer, among other things, from operational disruptions, loss of existing members and difficulty in attracting new members, regulatory problems and increases in administrative expenses. In addition, our ability to integrate and manage our information systems may be impaired as the result of events outside our control, including acts of nature, such as earthquakes or fires, or acts of terrorists.

Table of Contents

We rely on the accuracy of eligibility lists provided by state governments. Inaccuracies in those lists would negatively affect our results of operations.

Premium payments to us are based upon eligibility lists produced by state governments. From time to time, states require us to reimburse them for premiums paid to us based on an eligibility list that a state later discovers contains individuals who are not in fact eligible for a government sponsored program or are eligible for a different premium category or a different program. Alternatively, a state could fail to pay us for members for whom we are entitled to payment. Our results of operations would be adversely affected as a result of such reimbursement to the state if we had made related payments to providers and were unable to recoup such payments from the providers.

We may not be able to obtain or maintain adequate insurance.

We maintain liability insurance, subject to limits and deductibles, for claims that could result from providing or failing to provide managed care and related services. These claims could be substantial. We believe that our present insurance coverage and reserves are adequate to cover currently estimated exposures. We cannot provide any assurance that we will be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage in the future at acceptable costs or that we will not incur significant liabilities in excess of policy limits.

From time to time, we may become involved in costly and time-consuming litigation and other regulatory proceedings, which require significant attention from our management.

We are a defendant from time to time in lawsuits and regulatory actions relating to our business. Due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation and regulatory proceedings, we cannot accurately predict the ultimate outcome of any such proceedings. An unfavorable outcome could have a material adverse impact on our business and financial position, results of operations or cash flows. In addition, regardless of the outcome of any litigation or regulatory proceedings, such proceedings are costly and time consuming and require significant attention from our management. For example, we have in the past, or may be subject to in the future, securities class action lawsuits, IRS examinations or similar regulatory actions. Any such matters could harm our business and financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

An unauthorized disclosure of sensitive or confidential member information could have an adverse effect on our business.

As part of our normal operations, we collect, process and retain confidential member information. We are subject to various federal and state laws and rules regarding the use and disclosure of confidential member information, including HIPAA and the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 further expands the coverage of HIPAA by, among other things, extending the privacy and security provisions, mandating new regulations around electronic medical records, expanding enforcement mechanisms, allowing the state Attorneys General to bring enforcement actions and increasing penalties for violations. Despite the security measures we have in place to ensure compliance with applicable laws and rules, our facilities and systems, and those of our third party service providers, may be vulnerable to security breaches, acts of vandalism, computer viruses, misplaced or lost data, programming and/or human errors or other similar events. Any security breach involving the misappropriation, loss or other unauthorized disclosure or use of confidential member information, whether by us or a third party, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations.

If we are unable to complete the previously announced sale of certain of assets of our New Jersey health plan in a timely manner, our business could suffer.

On November 20, 2008, we announced that we had entered into an agreement with AMERIGROUP Corporation, or AMERIGROUP, to sell certain assets of our subsidiary University Health Plan, Inc. in the State of New Jersey to AMERIGROUP. The agreement contains a number of conditions to closing, including (i) the approval of regulators in New Jersey, (ii) the lack of a material adverse effect, and (iii) other customary conditions. On December 31, 2008, we announced that we had received a termination notice from AMERIGROUP relating to the New Jersey transaction. As we have previously stated, we do not believe that there is cause to terminate the New Jersey agreement and are prepared to pursue all available means to bring this transaction to closure. To this end, on January 8, 2009, we announced that, in response to AMERIGROUP's purported termination of this agreement, we had filed a lawsuit against AMERIGROUP in the Superior Court of New Jersey Chancery Division. Nonetheless, if we are unable to close the New Jersey transaction in a timely manner, our results of operations could be negatively impacted.

Risks related to our corporate headquarters' project could harm our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In 2008, our capital expenditures included \$27.0 million for land and fees associated with the construction of a real estate development on the property adjoining our corporate office, which we believe is necessary to accommodate our growing business. We are currently negotiating our arrangement as a joint venture partner in an entity that will develop the properties. Due to the global financial crisis and disruptions in the capital and credit markets, we may be unable to complete this project under economically feasible terms. If the Company is unable to complete the development or if the Company delays or abandons the real estate project, it may have an adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. For example, in 2007 we abandoned a previously planned redevelopment project and recorded a pre-tax impairment charge of \$7.2 million. Our operations and efficiency could also be impacted if the development is not completed as there is limited office space for us to expand in the market near our existing headquarters as our business continues to grow.

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities 1 First Quarter 2009

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|---|
| January 1 – January 31, 2009 | — | \$ — | — | 1,934,481 |
| February 1 – February 28, 2009 | 18,885 2 | 21.08 | — | 1,934,481 |
| March 1 – March 31, 2009 | 600 | 15.03 | 600 | 1,933,881 |
| TOTAL | 19,485 | \$ 20.89 | 600 | 1,933,881 |

(1) Our Board of Directors adopted a stock repurchase program of up to 4,000,000 shares, which extends through October 31, 2009.

(2) Shares acquired in February 2009 represent shares relinquished to the Company by certain employees for payment of taxes upon vesting of restricted stock units.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. Exhibits.

Exhibits.

EXHIBIT NUMBER

DESCRIPTION

| | |
|------|---|
| 10.1 | Amendment L (Version 1.12) to Contract between the Texas Health and Human Services Commission and Superior HealthPlan, Inc. |
| 10.2 | Amendment No. 2 to Executive Employment Agreement between Centene Corporation and Michael F. Neidorff. |
| 12.1 | Computation of ratio of earnings to fixed charges. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13(a)-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized as of April 28, 2009.

CENTENE CORPORATION

| | |
|-----|--|
| By: | /s/ MICHAEL F. NEIDORFF Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer) |
| By: | /s/ ERIC R. SLUSSER Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer) |
| By: | /s/ JEFFREY A. SCHWANEKE Vice President, Corporate Controller and Chief Accounting Officer (principal accounting officer) |