FINISAR CORP Form DEF 14A October 18, 2011

Use these links to rapidly review the document TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.

)

Filed by the Registrant ý

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- ý Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

Finisar Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- ý No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(4)

	(5)	Total fee paid:						
0	Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.							
o	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.							
	(1)	Amount Previously Paid:						
	(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:						
	(3)	Filing Party:						
	(4)	Date Filed:						

Table of Contents

1389 Moffett Park Drive Sunnyvale, California 94089

October 18, 2011

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend this year's annual meeting of stockholders of Finisar Corporation on Monday, November 28, 2011, at 10:00 a.m. local time. The meeting will be held at the offices of DLA Piper LLP (US), located at 2000 University Avenue, East Palo Alto, California 94303.

We are pleased to again take advantage of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission rule that allows companies to furnish proxy materials primarily over the Internet. We used this delivery process last year and found that it expedited stockholders' receipt of proxy materials and lowered the costs and reduced the environmental impact of distributing proxy materials for our annual meeting. On October 18, 2011, we mailed to our stockholders (other than those who previously requested electronic or paper delivery) a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") containing instructions on how to access our proxy materials, including our Proxy Statement and Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011, over the Internet. The Notice also provides instructions on how to vote online or by telephone and includes instructions on how to receive a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail. If you receive your annual meeting materials by mail, the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, Proxy Statement, Annual Report on Form 10-K and proxy card will be enclosed.

The matters to be acted upon are described in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement. Following the formal business of the meeting, we will report on our company's operations and respond to questions from stockholders.

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, your vote is very important and we encourage you to vote promptly. You may vote by proxy over the Internet or by telephone, or, if you received paper copies of the proxy materials by mail, you can also vote by mail by following the instructions on the proxy card. If you attend the meeting you will, of course, have the right to revoke the proxy and vote your shares in person. If you hold your shares through an account with a brokerage firm, bank or other nominee, please follow the instructions you receive from your brokerage firm, bank or other nominee to vote your shares.

W/e	look	forward	to	ceeina	VOIL 9	at the	anniial	meeting.
WE	IOOK	iorward	· w	Seeme	vou a	u une	aiiiiuai	meeumg.

Very truly yours,

JERRY S. RAWLS

Chairman of the Board

EITAN GERTEL
Chief Executive Officer

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD MONDAY, NOVEMBER 28, 2011

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Finisar Corporation, a Delaware corporation, will be held on Monday, November 28, 2011, at 10:00 a.m. local time, at the offices of DLA Piper LLP (US), 2000 University Avenue, East Palo Alto, California 94303, for the following purposes:

- To elect two Class III directors to hold office for a three-year term and until their respective successors are elected and qualified.
- To ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending April 30, 2012.
- 3. To hold an advisory vote on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers (as defined in the proxy statement).
- 4.

 To hold an advisory vote on the frequency of future advisory stockholder votes on the compensation of our Named Executive Officers.
- To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.

Stockholders of record at the close of business on October 4, 2011 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof. For ten days prior to the meeting, a complete list of stockholders entitled to vote at the meeting will be available for examination by any stockholder, for any purpose relating to the meeting, during ordinary business hours at our principal offices located at 1389 Moffett Park Drive, Sunnyvale, California 94089.

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares you own. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders, we urge you to vote and submit your proxy as promptly as possible in order to assure the presence of a quorum. You may vote by telephone, Internet or mail. If you vote by telephone or Internet, you do not have to mail in your proxy card. Voting in advance will not prevent you from voting in person at the meeting.

CHRISTOPHER E. BROWN Secretary

Sunnyvale, California October 18, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SOLICITATION AND VOTING PROPOSAL NO. 1 FLECTION OF DIRECTORS	_
PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	,
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	2
<u>CONFORATE GOVERNANCE</u>	,
Independence of Directors	7
independence of Directors	6
Board of Directors Leadership Structure	<u>.</u>
Board of Directors' Role in Risk Oversight	-
Executive Sessions	
Meetings of the Board of Directors and Committees	
<u>Director Nominations</u>	8
Communications by Stockholders with Directors	<u>{</u>
Director Attendance at Annual Meetings	10
Committee Charters and Other Corporate Governance Materials	<u>1(</u>
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	10
<u>DIRECTOR COMPENSATION</u>	
	1
PROPOSAL NO. 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	17
REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	13
REFORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	<u>15</u>
PROPOSAL NO. 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPENSATION OF	1.
OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	16
PROPOSAL NO. 4 ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE	_
ADVISORY STOCKHOLDER VOTES ON THE COMPENSATION OF	
OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	17
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND RELATED MATTERS	
	<u>18</u>
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	
	<u>18</u>
Report of the Compensation Committee	25 26 27
Summary Compensation Information	<u>20</u>
Grants of Plan-Based Awards Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End	28 28
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	<u>20</u>
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control	<u>30</u>
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	<u> </u>
	34
PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS AND SHARE OWNERSHIP BY	
MANAGEMENT	<u>35</u>
SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	
	<u>37</u>
EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION	
	<u>37</u>
Material Features of the 2001 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan	2.0
OTOCULIOLDED DDODOCALOTO DE DRECENTED ATMEVE ANALLA	<u>38</u>
STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS TO BE PRESENTED AT NEXT ANNUAL	20
MEETING OTHER MATTERS	39
OTTILIC WATTENO	39
	<u></u>

1389 Moffett Park Drive Sunnyvale, California 94089

PROXY STATEMENT FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

The board of directors of Finisar Corporation is soliciting your proxy for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on Monday, November 28, 2011, at 10:00 a.m. local time, or at any adjournment or postponement thereof, for the purposes set forth in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders. This proxy statement and related materials are first being made available to stockholders of the Company on or about October 18, 2011. References in this proxy statement to the "Company," "we," "our," "us" and "Finisar" are to Finisar Corporation, and references to the "annual meeting" are to the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. When we refer to the Company's fiscal year, we mean the annual period ending on April 30. This proxy statement covers our 2011 fiscal year, which was from May 1, 2010 through April 30, 2011 ("fiscal 2011").

SOLICITATION AND VOTING

Record Date. Our board of directors has fixed the close of business on October 4, 2011 as the record date for determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting and any adjournment thereof. As of the record date, 90,793,525 shares of common stock were outstanding and entitled to vote.

Internet Availability of Annual Meeting Materials. We are pleased to again take advantage of the rules adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") allowing companies to furnish proxy materials over the Internet to their stockholders rather than mailing paper copies of those materials to each stockholder. On October 18, 2011, we mailed to our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials directing stockholders to a web site where they can access our proxy statement for the annual meeting and our Annual Report for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011 and view instructions on how to vote via the Internet or by phone. If you would prefer to receive a paper copy of our proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials.

Quorum. A majority of the shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of the record date must be represented at the meeting, either in person or by proxy, to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the annual meeting. Your shares will be counted toward the quorum only if you submit a valid proxy (or one is submitted on your behalf by your broker or bank) or if you vote in person at the meeting. Abstentions and "broker non-votes" (shares held by a broker or nominee that does not have the authority, either express or discretionary, to vote on a particular matter) will each be counted as present for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

Vote Required to Adopt Proposals. Each share of our common stock outstanding on the record date is entitled to one vote on each of the two director nominees and one vote on each other matter. For the election of directors, the two director nominees receiving the highest number of "FOR" votes will be elected as Class III directors. With respect to each of the other proposals, approval of the proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present and entitled to vote either in person or by proxy.

Effect of Abstentions and Broker Non-Votes. Shares not present at the meeting and shares voted "Abstain" will have no effect on the election of directors. With respect to Proposal No. 4, the advisory vote on the frequency of holding future advisory votes on executive compensation, an abstention will have the same effect as a vote against all of the time periods presented. For each of the other proposals, abstentions will have the same effect as negative votes. If you are a beneficial owner and

Table of Contents

hold your shares in "street name," it is critical that you cast your vote if you want it to count in the election of directors and the executive compensation proposals. Under the rules governing banks and brokers who are voting with respect to shares held in street name, such banks and brokers have the discretion to vote on routine matters, but not on non-routine matters. Routine matters include the ratification of auditors. Non-routine matters include the election of directors and the executive compensation advisory proposals. Banks and brokers may not vote on these proposals if you do not provide specific voting instructions. Accordingly, we encourage you to vote promptly, even if you plan to attend the annual meeting. Proxies and ballots will be received and tabulated by the inspector of election for the annual meeting.

Voting Instructions. If you complete and submit your proxy card or voting instructions, the persons named as proxies will follow your instructions. If you are a stockholder of record and you submit a proxy card or voting instructions but do not direct how to vote on each item, the persons named as proxies will vote as the board recommends on each proposal.

Depending on how you hold your shares, you may vote in one of the following ways:

Stockholders of Record: You may vote by proxy or over the Internet or by telephone. Please follow the instructions provided in the Notice, or, if you requested printed copies of the proxy materials, on the proxy card you received, then sign and return it in the prepaid envelope. You may also vote in person at the annual meeting.

Beneficial Stockholders: Your bank, broker or other holder of record will provide you with a voting instruction card for you to use to instruct them on how to vote your shares. Check the instructions provided by your bank, broker or other holder of record to see which options are available to you. However, since you are not the stockholder of record, you may not vote your shares in person at the annual meeting unless you request and obtain a valid proxy from your bank, broker or other agent.

Votes submitted by telephone or via the Internet must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time, on November 25, 2011. Submitting your proxy by telephone or via the Internet will not affect your right to vote in person should you decide to attend the annual meeting.

If you are a stockholder of record, you may revoke your proxy and change your vote at any time before the polls close by returning a later-dated proxy card, by voting again by Internet or telephone as more fully detailed in your Notice or proxy card, or by delivering written instructions to the Corporate Secretary before the annual meeting. Attendance at the annual meeting will not in and of itself cause your previously voted proxy to be revoked unless you specifically so request or vote again at the annual meeting. If your shares are held by a bank, broker or other agent, you may change your vote by submitting new voting instructions to your bank, broker or other agent, or, if you have obtained a legal proxy from your bank, broker or other agent giving you the right to vote your shares, by attending the annual meeting and voting in person.

Solicitation of Proxies. We will bear the entire cost of soliciting proxies. In addition to soliciting stockholders by mail, we will request banks, brokers and other intermediaries holding shares of our common stock beneficially owned by others to obtain proxies from the beneficial owners and will reimburse them for their reasonable expenses in so doing. Solicitation of proxies by mail may be supplemented by telephone, by electronic communications and personal solicitation by our directors, officers and employees. No additional compensation will be paid to our directors, officers or employees for such solicitation.

Voting Results. We will announce preliminary voting results at the annual meeting. We will report final results in a Form 8-K report filed with the SEC.

PROPOSAL NO. 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Certificate of Incorporation provides that the authorized number of members of the board of directors shall be fixed from time to time by the board of directors and that the terms of office of the members of the board of directors will be divided into three classes. At each annual meeting of stockholders, directors from one of the three classes are elected for a term of three years to succeed those directors whose terms expire at the annual meeting. The authorized number of directors is currently set at seven, consisting of two classes of two members each and one class of three members.

The terms of the Class III directors will expire on the date of the upcoming annual meeting. The current Class III members of the board of directors are Eitan Gertel and Dominique Trempont. Mr. Trempont has advised the Nominating and Governance Committee and the board that he will be retiring from service on the board as of the date of the annual meeting and will not stand for re-election at the annual meeting. The Nominating and Governance Committee is conducting a search to identify candidates for one or more additional positions on the board, but it has not identified a candidate to nominate for election at the annual meeting to fill Mr. Trempont's seat. Accordingly, the board has determined to reduce the size of the board from seven to six members, effective immediately upon the election of Class III directors at the annual meeting. In connection with the reduction in the size of the board, the board has also determined to reconfigure the composition of the classes of the board in order to make the size of the three classes equal. In order to accomplish the reconfiguration of the board, the Nominating and Governance Committee recommended to the board that Thomas E. Pardun, currently a Class I director whose term expires at the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, stand for re-election as a Class III director at the annual meeting. Mr. Pardun has tendered his resignation as a Class I director to be effective immediately upon the election of Class III directors at the annual meeting.

Accordingly, two persons are to be elected to serve as Class III directors at the meeting. Management's nominees for election by the stockholders to those two positions are Mr. Pardun and Mr. Gertel, who currently serves as a Class III member of the board. If elected, each nominee will serve as a director until our annual meeting of stockholders in 2014 and until their respective successors are elected and qualified. If any of the nominees declines to serve or becomes unavailable for any reason, or if a vacancy occurs before the election (although we know of no reason to anticipate that this will occur), the proxies may be voted for such substitute nominees as we may designate. The proxies cannot vote for more than two persons. If a quorum is present and voting, the two nominees for Class III director receiving the highest number of votes will be elected as Class III directors.

The board of directors recommends a vote "FOR" the nominees named above.

The following table sets forth information regarding our current directors, including the nominees for Class III directors to be elected at the annual meeting, as of August 15, 2011.

Name	Position with Finisar	Age	Director Since
Michael C. Child	Director	56	2010
Roger C. Ferguson	Director	68	1999
Eitan Gertel	Chief Executive Officer and Director	49	2008
Thomas E. Pardun	Director	67	2009
Jerry S. Rawls	Chairman of the Board	67	1989
Robert N. Stephens	Director	66	2005
Dominique Trempont	Director	57	2005
		3	

Nominees for Election for a Three Year Term Expiring at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Eitan Gertel has served as our Chief Executive Officer and as a director since the completion of the Optium Corporation merger in August 2008. Mr. Gertel served as Optium's President and as a director from March 2001 and as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Optium from February 2004 through the completion of the merger. Mr. Gertel served as President and General Manager of the former transmission systems division of JDS Uniphase Corporation from 1995 to 2001. JDSU is a provider of broadband test and management solutions and optical products. Mr. Gertel holds a B.S.E.E. from Drexel University. As our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Gertel brings to the board significant senior leadership, industry and technical experience. As Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Gertel is in a position to provide the board with insight and information related to the Company's business and operations and to participate in the ongoing review of strategic issues.

Thomas E. Pardun has served as a member of our board of directors since December 2009. Mr. Pardun is currently the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Western Digital Corporation, a manufacturer of hard-disk drives for the personal computer and home entertainment markets. Mr. Pardun has served in this capacity from January 2000 to January 2002 and again since April 2007. Mr. Pardun was President of MediaOne International, Asia-Pacific (previously U.S. West International, Asia-Pacific, a subsidiary of U.S. West, Inc.), an owner/operator of international properties in cable television, telephone services and wireless communications companies, from May 1996 until his retirement in July 2000. Prior to 1996, Mr. Pardun served as President and CEO of U.S. West Multimedia Communications, a communications company. Before joining U.S. West, Mr. Pardun was President of the Central Group for Sprint, as well as President of Sprint's West Division, and Senior Vice President of Business Development for United Telecom, a predecessor company to Sprint. Mr. Pardun also held a variety of management positions during a 19-year tenure with IBM, concluding as Director of product-line evaluation. He is also a director of CalAmp Corporation, Calix Corporation and MaxLinear, Inc., and served as a director of Occam Networks, Inc. from September 2004 until February 2011 when it was acquired by Calix Corporation. Mr. Pardun holds a B.B.A. in Business Administration from the University of Iowa. Mr. Pardun brings to the board extensive management and operations experience in the computer and telecommunications industries, including marketing and product development expertise, as well as his service in senior management positions.

Directors Continuing in Office until the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Michael C. Child has served as a member of our board of directors since June 2010 and previously served on our board from November 1998 until October 2005. Mr. Child has been employed by TA Associates, Inc., a private equity firm, since 1982 where he currently serves as a Senior Advisor. Mr. Child served as a Managing Director of TA Associates from 1987 through 2010. Mr. Child also serves on the board of directors of IPG Photonics, which designs and manufactures high performance fiber lasers and amplifiers, and served on the board of directors of Eagle Test Systems, a manufacturer of high performance automated test equipment for the semiconductor industry, from 2003 until November 2008 when it was acquired by Teradyne, Inc. Mr. Child holds a B.S. in Electrical Engineering from the University of California at Davis and an M.B.A. from the Stanford Graduate School of Business. Mr. Child has more than 25 years' experience investing in and acquiring technology and technology-related companies and has served on the boards of directors of numerous public and private companies, including companies in the fiber optics and semiconductor industries. This broad financial and industry experience enables Mr. Child to make a valuable contribution to the board. He also brings significant knowledge regarding the Company and its operations from his previous years of service on our board.

Roger C. Ferguson has served as a member of our board of directors since August 1999. From June 1999 to December 2001, Mr. Ferguson served as Chief Executive Officer of Semio Corp., an early stage software company. Mr. Ferguson served as a principal in VenCraft, LLC, a venture capital partnership,

Table of Contents

from July 1997 to August 2002. From August 1993 to July 1997, Mr. Ferguson was Chief Executive Officer of DataTools, Inc., a database software company. From 1987 to 1993, Mr. Ferguson served as Chief Operating Officer of Network General Inc., a network analysis company. Mr. Ferguson holds a B.A. in Psychology from Dartmouth College and an M.B.A. from the Amos Tuck School at Dartmouth. Mr. Ferguson brings senior leadership experience and strategic and financial expertise to the board from his prior work as a senior executive of a public company and several private companies and as chief financial officer of a public company. Mr. Ferguson has extensive experience in both the hardware and software segments of the computer and telecommunications industries.

Directors Continuing in Office until the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Jerry S. Rawls has served as a member of our board of directors since March 1989 and as our Chairman of the Board since January 2006. Mr. Rawls served as our Chief Executive Officer from August 1999 until the completion of the Optium merger in August 2008. Mr. Rawls also served as our President from April 2003 until the completion of the Optium merger and previously held that title from April 1989 to September 2002. From September 1968 to February 1989, Mr. Rawls was employed by Raychem Corporation, a materials science and engineering company, where he held various management positions including Division General Manager of the Aerospace Products Division and Interconnection Systems Division. Mr. Rawls holds a B.S. in Mechanical Engineering from Texas Tech University and an M.S. in Industrial Administration from Purdue University. Mr. Rawls' tenure with Finisar since 1989, including 19 years as President and/or Chief Executive Officer, provides him personal knowledge of the Company's history since shortly after its founding. This experience, together with his management and industry experience, enables him to provide the board with a unique perspective on the Company's business and operations and strategic issues.

Robert N. Stephens has served as a member of our board of directors since August 2005 and as our Lead Director since March 2010. Mr. Stephens served as the Chief Executive Officer since April 1999 and President since October 1998 of Adaptec, Inc., a storage solutions provider, until his retirement in May 2005. Mr. Stephens joined Adaptec in November 1995 as Chief Operating Officer. Before joining Adaptec, Mr. Stephens was the founder and chief executive officer of Power I/O, a company that developed serial interface solutions and silicon expertise for high-speed data networking, that was acquired by Adaptec in 1995. Prior to founding Power I/O, Mr. Stephens was President and CEO of Emulex Corporation, which designs, develops and supplies Fibre Channel host bus adapters. Before joining Emulex, Mr. Stephens was Senior Vice President, General Manager, and founder of the Microcomputer Products Group at Western Digital Corporation. He began his career at IBM, where he served over 15 years in a variety of management positions. Mr. Stephens holds a B.A. in Philosophy and Psychology and an M.S. in Industrial Psychology from San Jose State University. Mr. Stephens brings to the board executive and industry experience in a number of strategic and operational areas through his service as Chief Executive Officer of Adaptec, Power I/O and Emulex and in executive roles at Western Digital.

Retiring Director

Dominique Trempont has served as a member of our board of directors since August 2005. Mr. Trempont is also a member of the board of directors of Energy Recovery, Inc., a manufacturer of efficient energy recovery devices utilized in the water desalination industry, RealNetworks, Inc., which provides products and services to enable consumers to save, store and access digital media on many different devices, the Daily Mail and General Trust, plc, a producer of content, information analytics and events for business and consumers, and on24, Inc., a leader in webcast and virtual events. Mr. Trempont served as a director of 3Com Corporation from 2006 until April 2010 when it was acquired by Hewlett-Packard Company. Mr. Trempont was CEO in residence at Battery Ventures from August 2003 until June 2004. Prior to joining Battery Ventures, Mr. Trempont was Chairman, President

Table of Contents

and Chief Executive Officer of Kanisa, Inc., a software company focused on enterprise self-service applications, from November 1999 to November 2002. Mr. Trempont was President and Chief Executive Officer of Gemplus Corporation, a smart card company, from May 1997 to June 1999. Prior to his employment at Gemplus, Mr. Trempont served as Chief Financial Officer and head of Operations at NeXT Software. Mr. Trempont began his career at Raychem Corporation. Mr. Trempont received an undergraduate degree in Economics from College Saint Louis (Belgium), a B.A., with high honors, in Business Administration and Software Engineering from the University of Louvain (Belgium), and a Master in Business Administration from INSEAD (France/Singapore). Mr. Trempont brings to the board broad executive and financial experience, including expertise in accounting and financial reporting, through his service as Chief Executive Officer of Kanisa and Gemplus, as Chief Financial Officer of NeXT, his service on the boards of other publicly-held technology companies and his service on the audit committees of Energy Recovery, RealNetworks, Inc. and 3Com Corporation.

There are no family relationships between any of our directors or executive officers.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Independence of Directors

The board of directors has determined that, other than Jerry S. Rawls, our Chairman of the Board, and Eitan Gertel, our Chief Executive Officer, each of the current members of the board is an "independent director" for purposes of the Nasdaq Listing Rules and Rule 10A-3(b)(1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as the term applies to membership on the board of directors and the various committees of the board of directors.

Board of Directors Leadership Structure

Jerry S. Rawls serves as Chairman of our board of directors, Eitan Gertel serves as our Chief Executive Officer and Messrs. Rawls and Gertel constitute our co-principal executive officers. The board believes that it is appropriate for Mr. Rawls to serve as Chairman given his long tenure with the Company and familiarity with our business strategy and our industry. The board also believes that having an executive officer serve as Chairman facilitates the flow of information between the board and management, thereby improving the board's ability to focus on key policy and operational issues and the long-term interests of our stockholders. In August 2008, on the recommendation of the Nominating and Governance Committee, the board established the position of Lead Director. Mr. Stephens currently serves in that position. The Lead Director serves as the principal liaison between the independent directors and the Chairman. In that capacity, the Lead Director presides over executive sessions of the independent directors, chairs board meetings in the Chairman's absence, and collaborates with the Chairman on agendas, schedules and materials for board meetings. The board believes that this leadership structure provides the appropriate balance of management and non-management oversight.

Board of Directors' Role in Risk Oversight

We face a number of risks, including general economic risks, operational risks, financial risks, competitive risks and reputational risks. Management is responsible for the day-to-day management of the risks that we face, while the board of directors, as a whole and through its committees, has responsibility for the oversight of risk management.

Table of Contents

While the full board of directors is charged with ultimate oversight responsibility for risk management, committees of the board also have responsibilities with respect to various aspects of our risk oversight. In particular, the Audit Committee plays a significant role in monitoring and assessing our financial and operational risks. The Audit Committee reviews and discusses with management areas of financial risk exposure and steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposure. The Audit Committee also is responsible for establishing and administering our code of ethics and reviewing and approving transactions between Finisar and any related parties. The Compensation Committee monitors and assesses risks associated with our compensation policies, and oversees the development of incentives that encourage a level of risk-taking consistent with our overall strategy. The Nominating and Governance Committee has oversight responsibility for corporate governance risks, including risks associated with director independence.

Our executive management meets regularly to discuss our strategy and the risks that we face. Senior officers attend board meetings where they are available to address questions or concerns raised by the board on risk management-related matters. In 2010, we instituted a comprehensive enterprise risk management ("ERM") program to assist management in identifying, assessing, monitoring and managing a broad range of risks. The ERM process is overseen by our Chief Financial Officer who periodically reports to the board on risk assessment and management's plans to manage or mitigate key risks. Our Internal Audit Department also plays an important role in risk management. Our Vice President of Internal Audit reports directly to the Audit Committee, has direct and unrestricted access to the Audit Committee and regularly meets with the Audit Committee in executive session.

Executive Sessions

Non-management directors generally meet in executive session without management present at each regularly scheduled meeting of the board. Mr. Stephens, in his capacity as Lead Director, presides at these executive sessions.

Meetings of the Board of Directors and Committees

The board of directors held ten meetings during the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011. The board of directors has three standing committees: an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee and a Nominating and Governance Committee. During the last fiscal year, no director attended fewer than 75% of the total number of meetings of the board and all of the committees of the board on which such director served during that period.

Audit Committee

The members of the Audit Committee during fiscal 2011 were Messrs. Child (beginning in August 2010), Ferguson, Pardun, Trempont and, until the annual meeting of stockholders in October 2010, Christopher J. Crespi, a former director who declined to stand for re-election. Messrs. Ferguson and Trempont have been designated as audit committee financial experts, as defined in the applicable rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The functions of the Audit Committee include oversight, review and evaluation of our financial statements, accounting and financial reporting processes, internal control functions and the audits of our financial statements. The Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of our independent registered public accounting firm, and establishing and observing complaint procedures regarding accounting, internal auditing controls and auditing matters. Additional information concerning the Audit Committee is set forth in the Report of the Audit Committee immediately following Proposal No. 2. The Audit Committee held ten meetings during the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee

The members of the Compensation Committee during fiscal 2011 were Messrs. Child (beginning in June 2010), Stephens, Trempont and, until the annual meeting of stockholders in October 2010, David C. Fries, a former director who declined to stand for re-election. The Compensation Committee approves the compensation and benefits of our executive officers, reviews and approves equity awards to our employees and establishes and reviews general policies relating to compensation and benefits of our employees. Additional information regarding the Compensation Committee is set forth in "Executive Compensation and Related Matters" Compensation Discussion and Analysis" below. The Compensation Committee held seven meetings during the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011.

Nominating and Governance Committee

The members of the Nominating and Governance Committee during fiscal 2011 were Messrs. Ferguson, Pardun, Stephens and, until the annual meeting of stockholders in October 2010, David C. Fries, a former director who declined to stand for re-election. The Nominating and Governance Committee identifies prospective candidates for appointment and nomination for election to the board of directors and makes recommendations to the board concerning such candidates, develops corporate governance principles for recommendation to the board of directors and oversees the evaluation of our directors. The Nominating and Governance Committee held four meetings during the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011.

Director Nominations

The Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for, among other things, the selection and recommendation to the board of directors of nominees for election as directors. When considering the nomination of directors for election at an annual meeting, the Nominating and Governance Committee reviews the needs of the board of directors for various skills, background, experience and expected contributions and the qualification standards established from time to time by the Nominating and Governance Committee. When reviewing potential nominees, including incumbents, the Nominating and Governance Committee considers the perceived needs of the board of directors, the candidate's relevant background, experience and skills and expected contributions to the board of directors. The Nominating and Governance Committee also seeks appropriate input from the Chairman of the Board, the Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers in assessing the needs of the board of directors for relevant background, experience and skills of its members.

The Nominating and Governance Committee's goal is to assemble a board of directors that brings to Finisar a diversity of experience at policy-making levels in business and technology, and in areas that are relevant to Finisar's global activities. Directors should possess the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity and values and be committed to representing the long-term interests of our stockholders. They must have an inquisitive and objective outlook and mature judgment. They must also have experience in positions with a high degree of responsibility and be leaders in the companies or institutions with which they are or have been affiliated. Director candidates must have sufficient time available in the judgment of the Nominating and Governance Committee to perform all board and committee responsibilities that will be expected of them. Members of the board of directors are expected to rigorously prepare for, attend and participate in all meetings of the board of directors and applicable committees. While we do not have a specific policy regarding diversity, when considering the nomination of directors, the Nominating and Governance Committee does consider the diversity of its directors and nominees in terms of knowledge, experience, background, skills, expertise and other demographic factors. Other than the foregoing, there are no specific minimum criteria for director nominees, although the Nominating and Governance Committee believes that it is preferable that a majority of the board of directors meet the definition of "independent director" set forth in Nasdaq and SEC rules. The Nominating and Governance Committee also believes it appropriate for one or

Table of Contents

more key members of the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer, to serve on the board of directors.

The Nominating and Governance Committee will consider candidates for directors proposed by directors or management, and will evaluate any such candidates against the criteria and pursuant to the policies and procedures set forth above. If the Nominating and Governance Committee believes that the board of directors requires additional candidates for nomination, the Nominating and Governance Committee may engage, as appropriate, a third party search firm to assist in identifying qualified candidates. All incumbent directors and nominees will be required to submit a completed directors' and officers' questionnaire as part of the nominating process. The process may also include interviews and additional background and reference checks for non-incumbent nominees, at the discretion of the Nominating and Governance Committee.

The Nominating and Governance Committee will also consider candidates for directors recommended by a stockholder, provided that any such recommendation is sent in writing to the board of directors, c/o Corporate Secretary, 1389 Moffett Park Drive, Sunnyvale, California 94089-1113; Fax: (408) 745-6097; Email address: corporate.secretary@finisar.com, at least 120 days prior to the anniversary of the date definitive proxy materials were mailed to stockholders in connection with the prior year's annual meeting of stockholders and contains the following information:

the candidate's name, age, contact information and present principal occupation or employment; and

a description of the candidate's qualifications, skills, background and business experience during at least the last five years, including his or her principal occupation and employment and the name and principal business of any company or other organization where the candidate has been employed or has served as a director.

The Nominating and Governance Committee will evaluate any candidates recommended by stockholders against the same criteria and pursuant to the same policies and procedures applicable to the evaluation of candidates proposed by directors or management.

In addition, stockholders may make direct nominations of directors for election at an annual meeting, provided the advance notice requirements set forth in our bylaws have been met. Under our bylaws, written notice of such nomination, including certain information and representations specified in the bylaws, must be delivered to our principal executive offices, addressed to the Corporate Secretary, at least 120 days prior to the anniversary of the date definitive proxy materials were mailed to stockholders in connection with the prior year's annual meeting of stockholders, except that if no annual meeting was held in the previous year or the date of the annual meeting has been advanced by more than 30 days from the date contemplated at the time of the previous year's proxy statement, such notice must be received not later than the close of business on the 10th day following the day on which the public announcement of the date of such meeting is first made.

Communications by Stockholders with Directors

Stockholders may communicate with the board of directors, or any individual director, by transmitting correspondence by mail, facsimile or email, addressed as follows: Board of Directors or individual director, c/o Corporate Secretary, 1389 Moffett Park Drive, Sunnyvale, California 94089-1113; Fax: (408) 745-6097; Email Address: corporate.secretary@finisar.com. The Corporate Secretary will maintain a log of such communications and will transmit as soon as practicable such communications to the board of directors or to the identified director(s), although communications that are abusive, in bad taste or that present safety or security concerns may be handled differently, as determined by the Corporate Secretary.

Table of Contents

Director Attendance at Annual Meetings

We attempt to schedule our annual meeting of stockholders at a time and date to accommodate attendance by directors, taking into account the directors' schedules. Directors are encouraged to attend our annual meeting of stockholders, but the board has not adopted a formal policy with respect to such attendance. Three directors attended our last annual meeting of stockholders.

Committee Charters and Other Corporate Governance Materials

We have a Code of Ethics, or the Code, that applies to all of our employees, officers and directors. The Code is available at http://investor.finisar.com/governance.cfm. If we make any substantive amendments to the Code or grant any waiver from a provision of the Code to any executive officer or director, we will promptly disclose the nature of the amendment or waiver on our website, as well as via any other means then required by Nasdaq listing standards or applicable law.

Our board of directors has adopted a written charter for each of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Governance Committee. Each charter is available on our website at http://investor.finisar.com/documents.cfm.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

None of the members of the Compensation Committee are or have been an officer or employee of Finisar. During fiscal 2011, no member of the Compensation Committee had any relationship with Finisar requiring disclosure under Item 404 of Regulation S-K. During fiscal 2011, none of Finisar's executive officers served on the compensation committee (or its equivalent) or board of directors of another entity any of whose executive officers served on Finisar's Compensation Committee or board of directors.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Under our current policy for the compensation of non-employee directors, which was in effect during fiscal 2011, non-employee directors are entitled to receive an annual retainer of \$50,000 that is payable quarterly. The Lead Director receives an additional amount of \$10,000 per year for serving in that capacity. In addition, members of the standing committees of the board are entitled to receive annual retainers, payable quarterly, in the following amounts:

		(Other
Committee	Chair	M	embers
Audit	\$ 16,000	\$	8,000
Compensation	10,000		5,000
Nominating and Governance	10,000		5,000

We also reimburse directors for their reasonable expenses incurred in attending meetings of the board and its committees.

In addition, all new non-employee directors are entitled to receive a restricted stock unit ("RSU") award with a value of \$100,000 upon their initial election to the board and an additional RSU award with a value of \$50,000 on an annual basis thereafter. The grant of the annual RSU awards is generally made at the first meeting of the board in each fiscal year. The initial RSU awards vest over a period of three years from the date of grant, and the annual RSU awards vest on the first anniversary of the date of grant. The number of shares subject to each RSU award is determined based on the per share value of our common stock on the date of grant.

The following table presents the compensation paid to our non-employee directors during or for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011:

Director Compensation Table

	Fee	s Earned or		Stock	Opti	ion All Othe	r	Total
Name	Pai	d in Cash	Aw	ards(1)(2)	Awards	s(1)(2)Compensat	tion Cor	npensation
Michael C. Child	\$	58,625	\$	99,997	\$	\$	\$	158,622
Christopher J. Crespi		39,000		49,990				88,990
Roger C. Ferguson		86,000		49,990				135,990
David C. Fries		49,250		49,990				99,240
Thomas E. Pardun		76,000		49,990				125,990
Robert N. Stephens		96,500		49,990				146,490
Dominique Trempont		77,875		49,990				127,865

Valuation based on the grant date fair value of the equity awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions used by us with respect to the valuation of option grants are set forth in "Finisar Corporation Consolidated Financial Statements Notes to Financial Statements Note 18 Stockholders' Equity" included in our annual report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2011.

Table of Contents

(2) The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the stock options and RSUs granted during the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011 to each non-employee member of our board of directors:

Name	Grant Date	Number of Shares of Common Stock Underlying Options and Stock Awards	Exercise Price of Options and Stock Awards (\$/Share)	Fair V	t Date Value of on and Awards
Michael C. Child	6/15/10	6,353(1		\$	99,997
Christopher J. Crespi	6/15/10	3,176(2		Ψ	49,990
Roger C. Ferguson	6/15/10	3,176(2	<i>'</i>		49,990
David C. Fries	6/15/10	3,176(2	,		49,990
Thomas E. Pardun	6/15/10	3,176(2	<i>'</i>		49,990
Robert N. Stephens	6/15/10	3,176(2	,		49,990
Dominique Trempont	6/15/10	3,176(2	·		49,990

(1) Initial RSU award granted upon Mr. Child's election to the board.

(2) RSU annual awards.

Our non-employee directors held the following numbers of stock options and unvested RSUs as of April 30, 2011.

Name	Stock Options Outstanding	Unvested Restricted Stock Units Outstanding
Michael C. Child		6,353
Roger C. Ferguson	22,709	4,426
Thomas E. Pardun	8,750	3,176
Robert N. Stephens	7,469	4,051
Dominique Trempont	23,833	4,051
		12

PROPOSAL NO. 2

RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of our board of directors has selected Ernst & Young LLP to serve as the independent registered public accounting firm to audit the consolidated financial statements of Finisar for the fiscal year ending April 30, 2012. Ernst & Young LLP has acted in such capacity since its initial appointment in fiscal 1999. A representative of Ernst & Young LLP is expected to be present at the annual meeting, with the opportunity to make a statement if the representative desires to do so, and is expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The following table sets forth the aggregate fees billed to Finisar for the fiscal years ended April 30, 2011 and April 30, 2010 by Ernst & Young LLP:

	 ear Ended ril 30, 2011	Year Ended April 30, 2010		
Audit fees(1)	\$ 1,836,552	\$	2,452,000	
Audit-related fees(2)	26,208			
Tax fees(3)	11,159		18,200	
Total Fees	\$ 1,873,919	\$	2,470,200	

- Audit fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for the audit of our annual consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, the review of our interim consolidated financial statements included in quarterly reports and services that are normally provided by Ernst & Young LLP in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, consultations in connection with acquisitions and issuances of auditor consents and comfort letters in connection with SEC registration statements and related SEC and non-SEC securities offerings.
- Audit-related fees consist of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our consolidated financial statements and are not reported under "Audit Fees." This category includes fees related to financial due diligence.
- Tax fees consist of fees billed for professional services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning (domestic and international). These services include assistance regarding federal, state and international tax compliance, acquisitions and international tax planning.

The Audit Committee has determined that all services performed by Ernst & Young LLP are compatible with maintaining the independence of Ernst & Young LLP. The Audit Committee has adopted a policy that requires advance approval of all audit, audit-related, tax and other services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. The policy provides for pre-approval by the Audit Committee of specifically defined audit and non-audit services. Unless the specific service has been pre-approved with respect to that year, the Audit Committee must approve the permitted service before the independent registered public accounting firm is engaged to perform it. The Audit Committee has delegated to the chair of the Audit Committee the authority to approve permitted services, provided that the chair reports any decisions to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The independent registered public accounting firm and management are required to periodically report to the Audit Committee regarding the extent of services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in accordance with this pre-approval process.

Table of Contents

Vote Required and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote at the annual meeting is required for approval of this proposal.

The board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote "FOR" the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending April 30, 2012.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Audit Committee currently consists of four directors, each of whom, in the judgment of the board of directors, is an "independent director" as defined in the listing standards for the Nasdaq Stock Market. The Audit Committee acts pursuant to a written charter that has been adopted by the board of directors. A copy of the charter is available on Finisar's website at http://investor.finisar.com/documents.cfm.

The Audit Committee oversees Finisar's financial reporting process on behalf of the board of directors. The Audit Committee is responsible for retaining Finisar's independent registered public accounting firm, evaluating its independence, qualifications and performance and approving in advance the engagement of the independent registered public accounting firm for all audit and non-audit services. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the financial reporting process, including internal control systems, and procedures designed to insure compliance with applicable laws and regulations. Finisar's independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, is responsible for expressing an opinion as to the conformity of our audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed Finisar's audited financial statements with management. The Audit Committee has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1, AU Section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T. In addition, the Audit Committee has met with the independent registered public accounting firm, with and without management present, to discuss the overall scope of the independent registered public accounting firm's audit, the results of its examinations, its evaluations of Finisar's internal controls and the overall quality of Finisar's financial reporting.

The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent registered public accounting firm's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence.

Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended to Finisar's board of directors that Finisar's audited financial statements be included in Finisar's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Roger C. Ferguson (Chair) Michael C. Child Thomas E. Pardun Dominique Trempont

The foregoing Audit Committee Report shall not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing of Finisar under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that Finisar specifically incorporates such information by reference.

PROPOSAL NO. 3

ADVISORY VOTE ON THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Background

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, or the Dodd-Frank Act, requires that our stockholders have the opportunity to vote to approve, on a non-binding or advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the "Executive Compensation" section of this proxy statement in accordance with SEC rules, commonly referred to as a "Say-on-Pay" vote.

As described in our Compensation Discussion and Analysis included elsewhere in this proxy statement, we seek to closely align the interests of our executive officers with the interests of our stockholders and to offer compensation that will enable us to attract and retain superior executive talent. Our compensation programs are designed to reward our executive officers for the achievement of our short-term and long-term strategic and operational goals and the achievement of increased total stockholder return, while avoiding the encouragement of unnecessary or excessive risk-taking. Please read the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section starting on page 17 of this proxy statement for a detailed discussion of our compensation philosophy and our executive compensation programs.

This advisory vote on executive compensation is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our Chairman of the Board, our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer and our three other most highly compensated executive officers, who are collectively referred to as our named executive officers, which is disclosed elsewhere in this proxy statement. The vote is advisory, and therefore is not binding on the Company, our board of directors or our Compensation Committee in any way.

Furthermore, because this non-binding, advisory resolution primarily relates to the compensation of our named executive officers that has already been paid or contractually committed, there is generally no opportunity for us to revisit these decisions. However, our board of directors and our Compensation Committee value the opinions of our stockholders and will take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation policies and decisions.

Stockholders will be asked at the annual meeting to approve the following resolution pursuant to this Proposal No. 3:

"RESOLVED, that the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed in the Company's definitive proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and related narrative discussion, is hereby approved."

Vote Required and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter is required for approval of this resolution.

The board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote "FOR" approval of the foregoing resolution.

PROPOSAL NO. 4

ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE ADVISORY STOCKHOLDER VOTES ON THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The Dodd-Frank Act also requires that we conduct a separate advisory non-binding stockholder vote on whether future Say-on-Pay votes should occur every one, two or three years. You have the option to vote for any one of the three options, or to abstain from voting on the matter.

Our board of directors believes that the Company's current executive compensation programs directly link executive compensation to our financial performance and align the interests of our executive officers with those of our stockholders. Our board of directors has determined that an advisory vote on executive compensation every year is the most appropriate option based on a number of considerations, including the following:

Annual votes will allow stockholders to provide the Company with their direct input on the compensation philosophy, policies and practices as disclosed in the proxy statement every year;

Annual votes are consistent with Company policies of annually seeking input from, and engaging in discussions with, the Company's stockholders on corporate governance matters and executive compensation philosophy, policies and practices; and

Less frequent votes could allow an unpopular pay practice to continue too long without timely feedback.

Stockholders are not voting to approve or disapprove the board's recommendation. Rather, stockholders are being provided with the opportunity to cast a non-binding, advisory vote on whether the stockholder advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers should occur once every (a) one year, (b) two years or (c) three years, or to abstain from voting on the matter.

The vote on the frequency of an advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers is advisory, and therefore is not binding on the Company, our board of directors or our Compensation Committee in any way. Our board of directors and our Compensation Committee value the opinions of our stockholders and will take into account the outcome of the vote in considering the frequency of future advisory votes on the compensation of our named executive officers.

Vote Required and Recommendation of the Board of Directors

The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter is required to approve the frequency of future Say-on-Pay votes. If a majority of the shares present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter do not vote in favor of one of the three frequencies, the frequency which receives the highest number of votes will be considered to be the frequency favored by stockholders.

The board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote for the option of every "One Year" as the frequency with which the compensation of our named executive officers will be submitted for an advisory non-binding stockholder vote.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION AND RELATED MATTERS

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview

The following discussion explains our compensation philosophy, objectives and procedures and describes the forms of compensation awarded to our Chairman of the Board, our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, and each of our three other most highly-compensated executives (determined as of April 30, 2011). We refer to these individuals as our "named executive officers." This discussion focuses on the information contained in the tables and related footnotes and narrative included below, primarily for our 2011 fiscal year, but also contains information regarding compensation actions taken before and after fiscal 2011 to the extent we believe such information enhances our executive compensation disclosure.

Philosophy, Objectives and Procedures

Our fundamental compensation philosophy is to align the compensation of our senior management with our annual and long-term business objectives and performance and to offer compensation that will enable us to attract, retain and appropriately reward executive officers whose contributions are necessary for our long-term success. We seek to reward our executive officers' contributions to achieving revenue growth, increasing operating income and controlling costs. We operate in a very competitive environment for executive talent, and we believe that our compensation packages must be competitive when compared to our peers and should also be aligned with our stockholders' short and long-term interests.

The Compensation Committee of our board of directors oversees the design and administration of our executive compensation program. The principal elements of the program are base salary, annual cash bonuses and equity-based incentives which, to date, have been in the form of stock options and restricted stock units, or RSUs. In general, the Compensation Committee's policy is that the total compensation paid to our executive officers should be fair and competitive, taking into account, among other factors, compensation paid by peer companies to officers with comparable responsibilities and our success in achieving our revenue and operating income goals. However, it is not the Compensation Committee's policy to adhere to a rigid formula or benchmark system and, for a variety of reasons, including our comparatively rapid recent growth, various elements of our executive compensation package may lag behind the median amounts paid by our peer companies.

Generally, the Compensation Committee reviews the compensation of our executive officers in the early part of each fiscal year and takes action at that time to award cash bonuses for the preceding fiscal year, to set base salaries and target bonuses for the current fiscal year and to consider long-term incentives in the form of equity-based awards. In setting our executive officers' total compensation, the Compensation Committee considers individual and company performance, as well as compensation surveys, including the Radford Executive Survey, and other market information regarding compensation paid by comparable companies, including our industry peers.

In its annual review of compensation for our executive officers, the Compensation Committee considers compensation data and analyses assembled and prepared by our Human Resources staff. In reviewing the performance of our Chairman of the Board and our Chief Executive Officer, the Committee solicits input from the other non-employee members of the board of directors. For the other executive officers, the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer provide the Compensation Committee with a review of each individual's performance and contributions over the past year and make recommendations regarding their compensation that the Compensation Committee considers.

In some years, the Compensation Committee retains compensation consultants to assist it in its review of executive officer compensation. The Compensation Committee engaged Assets

Table of Contents

Unlimited, Inc., a compensation consulting firm, in connection with its reviews at the beginning of fiscal 2011 and fiscal 2012. The Compensation Committee reviewed cash and equity compensation analyses prepared by Assets Unlimited, Inc. and met with a representative of that firm.

Components of Compensation

In order to align executive compensation with our compensation philosophy, our executive officer compensation package contains three primary elements: base salary, annual cash bonuses and long-term equity incentives. In addition, we provide to our executive officers a variety of benefits that are available generally to other salaried employees. The basic elements of our executive compensation package are generally the same among all of our named executive officers.

Fiscal 2011 Base Salaries

Base salaries for our executive officers are initially set based on negotiation with the individual executive officer at the time of his or her recruitment and with reference to salaries for comparable positions in the fiber optics industry for individuals of similar education and background to those of the executive officer being recruited. We also give consideration to the individual's experience, track record of contribution in his or her industry and expected contributions to Finisar. Salaries are reviewed annually by the Compensation Committee, typically at the beginning of the fiscal year, and adjustments are made based on (i) salary recommendations of our Chairman of the Board and our Chief Executive Officer, (ii) the Compensation Committee's assessment of the individual performance of the executive officers during the previous fiscal year, (iii) Finisar's financial results for the previous fiscal year and (iv) changes in competitive pay levels, based on compensation data and analyses assembled and prepared by our Human Relations staff and, in years when a compensation consultant is engaged to assist the Compensation Committee, reports by such consultant.

The Compensation Committee engaged Assets Unlimited, Inc., a compensation consulting firm, to assist in its review of executive compensation for fiscal 2011. Assets Unlimited, Inc. prepared a report including a summary of compensation data for the following companies, including our industry peers and similarly-sized companies in our broader industry group (the "Peer Companies"):

Applied Micro CircuitsNetgearQLogicAtheros CommunicationsNovellusQuantum Corp.Cadence DesignOclaroSmart ModularCoherentOmnivisionTriquintEquinixOpnextVarian

Intersil Plantronics
MRV Communications PMC Sierra

In considering executive compensation levels for fiscal 2011, the Compensation Committee took into account its general compensation philosophy, as described above, and various other considerations, including the following:

the officers' salary history, including the fact that there had been no increases in base salaries during fiscal 2010 and an across-the-board salary reduction had been in effect during the first half of fiscal 2010;

specific contributions of individual officers during fiscal 2010, changes in their duties and responsibilities and their expected contributions during fiscal 2011;

the report of Assets Unlimited, Inc. on Peer Company compensation and other available compensation data for comparable companies, taking into account differences between the

Table of Contents

actual responsibilities of the Finisar officers and those typical for the generic categories listed in the reports; and

Finisar's financial performance during fiscal 2010 and the then-current outlook for fiscal 2011.

On the basis of its review, in June 2010, the Compensation Committee set new base salaries for our executive officers for fiscal 2011, with increases of between 4% and 5% over the levels in effect during fiscal 2009 and 2010 (excluding the period in fiscal 2010 during which the temporary salary reduction was in force). The fiscal 2011 base salaries of the named executive officers and data on base salaries of officers of comparable companies reviewed by the Compensation Committee in June 2010 are as follows:

				Median	Median	
	Fi	scal 2011	Pee	r Company	Radford	
Name	Ba	Base Salary		se Salary(1)	Ba	se Salary(2)
Jerry S. Rawls	\$	461,760	\$	531,692	\$	603,000
Eitan Gertel	\$	461,760	\$	531,692	\$	603,000
Joseph A. Young	\$	369,200	\$	304,750	\$	334,400
Kurt Adzema	\$	294,000	\$	318,327	\$	330,000
Mark Colyar	\$	293,436	\$	304,750	\$	334,400
Todd Swanson	\$	284,031	\$	306,923	\$	350,000

- (1)

 Based on data compiled by Assets Unlimited, Inc. in June 2010 for cash payments to officers with comparable duties at the Peer Companies.
- (2)
 Based on data from the Radford Executive Survey compiled in June 2010 for cash payments to officers with comparable duties at companies with annual revenues of between \$500 million and \$1 billion.

Fiscal 2011 Cash Bonuses

Under our compensation policy, a substantial component of each executive officer's potential annual compensation takes the form of a performance-based cash bonus. The amounts of cash bonuses paid to our executive officers, other than the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer, are determined by the Compensation Committee, in consultation with the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, based on Finisar's financial performance and the achievement of the officer's individual performance objectives. The amount of cash bonuses paid to the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer are determined by the Compensation Committee, without participation by the Chairman or the Chief Executive Officer, based on the same factors.

In June 2010, the Compensation Committee adopted an executive bonus plan for the fiscal ended April 30, 2011 (the "2011 Plan"). Under the 2011 Plan, the aggregate target bonuses for Messrs. Rawls and Gertel were 100% of their annual base salary, and the aggregate target bonus for each of the other named executive officers was 60% of their annual base salary. The aggregate bonus for each executive officer under the 2011 Plan was to be based 70% on Finisar's achievement of the pre-bonus non-GAAP operating income called for by its fiscal 2011 operating plan and 30% on a discretionary determination by the Compensation Committee of the applicable executive officer's performance and achievement of individual goals for the fiscal year. Finisar was required to achieve at least 58% of its pre-bonus non-GAAP operating income target before a portion of the quantitative bonus would be earned; the amount of the bonus would increase on a linear basis thereafter, with no limit on the amount of the quantitative bonus that could be earned. Our pre-bonus non-GAAP operating income during fiscal 2011 increased by approximately 308% over the previous fiscal year and substantially exceeded our fiscal 2011 operating plan. Accordingly, bonuses earned by our executive officers under the quantitative component of the 2011 Plan substantially exceeded target levels. In light of these results, and taking

into account our operating results for the fourth quarter of fiscal 2011, the Compensation Committee determined that no portion of the discretionary component of the 2011 Plan would be awarded. Original target bonuses for each of the named executive officers under the 2011 Plan, bonuses actually paid under the plan for their services during fiscal 2011 and data on bonuses and non-equity compensation paid by comparable companies reviewed by the Compensation Committee in June 2010 are as follows:

	Fis	scal 2011	Fi	scal 2011		Median r Group Non- iity Incentive	Median adford Non- uity Incentive
Name	Tar	get Bonus	Bor	nus Paid(1)	•	npensation(2)	mpensation(3)
Jerry S. Rawls	\$	461,760	\$	538,505	\$	271,531	\$ 483,000
Eitan Gertel	\$	461,760	\$	538,505	\$	271,531	\$ 483,000
Joseph A. Young	\$	221,520	\$	258,329	\$	73,959	\$ 167,000
Kurt Adzema	\$	176,400	\$	205,712	\$	99,631	\$ 239,920
Mark Colyar	\$	176,002	\$	205,317	\$	73,959	\$ 167,000
Todd Swanson	\$	170,419	\$	199,834	\$	264,201	\$ 213,200

- (1) Mr. Swanson's bonus for fiscal 2011 was paid through a combination of \$100,000 in cash and the grant of RSUs with a value of \$99,834 on the date of grant. All other bonuses were paid in cash.
- (2)
 Based on data compiled by Assets Unlimited, Inc. in June 2010 for bonuses and other non-equity payments to officers with comparable duties at the Peer Companies.
- Based on data from the Radford Executive Survey compiled in June 2010 for bonuses and other non-equity payments to officers with comparable duties at companies with annual revenues of between \$500 million and \$1 billion.

Equity-based Incentives

Longer term incentives are provided through equity-based awards granted under Finisar's 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, which reward executives and other employees through the growth in value of our stock. To date, these awards have been in the form of stock options and RSUs. The Compensation Committee believes that employee equity ownership is highly motivating, provides an important incentive for employees to build stockholder value and provides each executive officer with a significant incentive to manage Finisar from the perspective of an owner with an equity stake in the company.

All stock option awards to our employees, including executive officers, are granted at fair market value on the date of grant, and will provide value to the executive officers only when the price of our common stock increases over the exercise price. We have established a policy whereby stock options and other equity awards to our employees, including executive officers, are generally granted by the Compensation Committee at regular quarterly meetings with an effective date that is the later of the third trading day following the public announcement of Finisar's financial results for the preceding quarter or the date of the meeting at which the grant is approved.

The vesting of stock options and RSUs held by our named executive officers is subject to acceleration pursuant to the terms of the Finisar Executive Retention and Severance Plan described below and, with respect to one stock option held by each of Eitan Gertel, our Chief Executive Officer, and Mark Colyar, our Senior Vice President, Operations and Engineering, pursuant to the applicable stock option agreement as described below.

Table of Contents

The size of the stock option and RSU awards granted to each executive officer during fiscal 2011 was set by the Compensation Committee at levels that were intended to create a meaningful opportunity for stock ownership based upon the individual's current position, the individual's personal performance in recent periods, the individual's potential for future responsibility and promotion over the option term, comparison of award levels in prior years and comparison of award levels earned by executives at our peer companies and similarly-sized companies in our broader industry group. The Compensation Committee also took into account the number of unvested options and RSUs held by the executive officer in order to maintain an appropriate level of retention value for that individual. The relative weight given to each of these factors varies from individual to individual.

In fiscal 2011, our executive officers received an annual grant of RSUs in June 2010 based on the factors described above, with the relative weight given to each of these factors varying from individual to individual. The RSUs vest in annual installments over a four-year period, subject to the officers' continued service. The numbers of shares of our common stock underlying the RSUs granted to the named executive officers were as follows:

Name	RSU Shares
Jerry S. Rawls	114,140
Eitan Gertel	114,140
Joseph A. Young	42,872
Kurt Adzema	32,000
Mark Colyar	32,000
Todd Swanson	32,000

Other Benefits and Perquisites

Our named executive officers and other executives are generally eligible to receive the same health and welfare benefits offered to all employees in the geographic area in which they are based. We also offer participation in our defined contribution 401(k) plan. We currently provide no other perquisites to our named executive officers or other executive officers.

During fiscal 2011, personal benefits accounted for less than 2% of the total compensation of our Chairman, our Chief Executive Officer and our other named executive officers.

Changes in Executive Compensation for Fiscal 2012; Fiscal 2012 Executive Bonus Plan

For fiscal 2012, the Compensation Committee conducted its annual review of executive compensation. The Compensation Committee again engaged Assets Unlimited, Inc. to assist in its review. Assets Unlimited, Inc. prepared a report including a summary of compensation data for the following companies, including our industry peers and similarly-sized companies in our broader industry group:

Brocade Communications	JDS Uniphase	PMC Sierra
Cadence Design	Netgear	QLogic
Coherent	Novellus	Quantum Corp.
Cypress Semiconductor	Oclaro	Smart Modular
Equinix	Omnivision	Triquint
Fairchild Semiconductor	Opnext	Trimble Navigation
Intersil	Plantronics	
	22	

Table of Contents

In considering executive compensation levels for fiscal 2012 the Compensation Committee took into account its general compensation philosophy, as described above and various other considerations, including the following:

the officers' salary history;

specific contributions of individual officers during fiscal 2011 and their expected contributions during fiscal 2012;

the report of Assets Unlimited, Inc. on peer company compensation and other available compensation data for comparable companies, taking into account differences between the actual responsibilities of the Finisar officers and those typical for the generic categories listed in the reports; and

Finisar's financial performance during fiscal 2011 and the then-current outlook for fiscal 2012.

On the basis of its review, in June 2011, the Compensation Committee set new base salaries for our executive officers for fiscal 2012, with increases of between 3% and 12% over the levels in effect during fiscal 2011. The fiscal 2012 base salaries of the named executive officers and data on base salaries of officers of comparable companies reviewed by the Compensation Committee in June 2011 are as follows:

			Median		Median		
		Fiscal 2012		Peer Company		Radford	
Name	Ba	Base Salary		Base Salary(1)		Base Salary(2)	
Jerry S. Rawls	\$	500,000	\$	599,383	\$	685,000	
Eitan Gertel	\$	500,000	\$	599,383	\$	685,000	
Joseph A. Young	\$	380,300	\$	308,014	\$	293,558	
Todd Swanson	\$	320,000	\$	324,244	\$	338,475	
Kurt Adzema	\$	310,000	\$	340,000	\$	397,560	
Mark Colyar	\$	303,000	\$	296,436	\$	355,000	

- (1)

 Based on data compiled by Assets Unlimited, Inc. in June 2011 for cash payments to officers with comparable duties at the Peer Companies.
- (2)
 Based on data from the Radford Executive Survey compiled in June 2011 for cash payments to officers with comparable duties at companies with annual revenues of between \$1 billion and \$3 billion.

In June 2011, the Compensation Committee also adopted an executive bonus plan for the fiscal year ending April 30, 2012 (the "2012 Plan"). Under the 2012 Plan, the aggregate target bonuses for Messrs. Rawls and Gertel are 100% of their annual base salary, and the aggregate target bonus for each of the other named executive officers is 60% of their annual base salary. The aggregate bonus for each executive officer under the 2012 Plan will be based 50% on Finisar's achievement of pre-bonus non-GAAP operating income targets and 50% on a discretionary determination by the Compensation Committee taking into account the Company's overall financial performance, the applicable executive officer's performance for the fiscal year and such other factors as the Compensation Committee deems appropriate. The maximum total bonus payable to each officer under the formula and discretionary components of the 2012 Plan is two times the officer's target bonus. Any bonus amounts earned under the 2012 Plan are expected to be paid in cash. The Compensation Committee believes that achieving the formula-based portion of the target bonuses will be difficult. Achieving or exceeding the pre-bonus non-GAAP operating income targets will be dependent upon realizing revenue and operating income growth in the face of operational challenges and an environment of economic uncertainty. It will also be dependent on increased sales of our customers' products over which we have no control. Finisar has

Table of Contents

achieved or exceeded the non-GAAP operating income called for in its original annual operating plan in two of its last five fiscal years.

In connection with its review of executive officer compensation in June 2011, the Compensation Committee also granted RSUs to each of our executive officers. The RSUs vest in annual installments over a four-year period, subject to the officers' continued service. The number of shares of our common stock underlying the RSUs granted to the named executive officers were calculated by dividing the following dollar values by the greater of (i) the closing sale price of our common stock on the date of grant and (ii) \$15.00, with such amount rounded to the nearest whole share:

	Va	Value of RSU			
Name		Shares			
Jerry S. Rawls	\$	1,800,000			
Eitan Gertel	\$	1,800,000			
Joseph A. Young	\$	643,000			
Kurt Adzema	\$	480,000			
Mark Colyar	\$	480,000			
Todd Swanson	\$	480,000			

Executive Retention and Severance Plan

Our executive officers and certain other key executives designated by the Compensation Committee are eligible to participate in the Finisar Executive Retention and Severance Plan adopted by the Compensation Committee in February 2003. The Compensation Committee determined to provide change in control arrangements in order to mitigate some of the risk that exists for executives working in an environment where there is a meaningful possibility that Finisar could be acquired or the subject of another transaction that would result in a change in its control. Finisar's change in control and severance arrangements are intended to attract and retain qualified executives who may have attractive alternatives absent these arrangements. The change in control arrangements are also intended to mitigate potential disincentives to the consideration and execution of an acquisition or similar transaction, particularly where the services of these executive officers may not be required by the acquirer. We believe that our change in control benefits are comparable to the provisions and benefit levels of other companies in our industry which disclose similar plans in their public filings.

Participants in this plan who are executive officers are entitled to receive cash severance payments equal to two years base salary and health and medical benefits for two years in the event their employment is terminated in connection with a change in control of Finisar. In addition, in the event of a change in control, vesting of stock options held by participants in the plan will be accelerated by one year, if the options are assumed by the acquiring company. If the options are not assumed by the acquirer, or if the participant's employment is terminated in connection with the change in control, vesting of the options will be accelerated in full. Upon any other termination of employment, participants are entitled only to accrued salary and any other vested benefits through the date of termination.

Our executive officers who were former officers of Optium are parties to employment agreements and equity incentive agreements that they entered into with Optium and that were assumed by Finisar in connection with the Optium merger. See "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control" below. Benefits to these officers under the Executive Retention and Severance Plan will be reduced by the amount of comparable benefits to which they are entitled under such agreements.

Accounting for Executive Compensation

We account for equity compensation paid to our employees under the rules of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, which require us to

Table of Contents

measure and record an expense over the service period of the award. Accounting rules also require us to record cash compensation as an expense at the time the obligation is incurred.

Tax Considerations

The Compensation Committee intends to consider the impact of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code in determining the mix of elements of future executive compensation. This section limits the deductibility of non-performance based compensation paid to each of Finisar's named executive officers to \$1 million annually. The equity awards granted to our executive officers are intended to be treated as performance-based compensation, which is exempt from the limitation on deductibility under current federal tax law. The Compensation Committee reserves the right to provide for compensation to executive officers that may not be fully deductible.

Report of the Compensation Committee

We have reviewed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis and discussed it with management. Based on such review and discussions, we have recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this annual report.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Michael C. Child (Chair) Robert N. Stephens Dominique Trempont 25

Summary Compensation Information

The following table presents certain summary information concerning compensation paid or accrued by us for services rendered in all capacities during the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011 for (i) our Chairman of the Board, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer and (ii) our three other most highly compensated executives (determined as of April 30, 2011) (collectively, the "named executive officers"):

Summary Compensation Table for Fiscal 2011

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Salary Bonus Compensation		Equity Awards(1)	Total(1)	
Jerry S. Rawls(2)	2011	\$ 459.711	\$	\$ 538,505	\$ 1,796,564	\$ 2,794,780
Chairman of the Board	2010	420,092	Ψ	133,200	1,063,108	1,616,400
	2009	438,881			367,950	806,831
		·			ŕ	·
Eitan Gertel(3)	2011	459,711		538,505	1,796,564	2,794,780
Chief Executive Officer	2010	420,092		133,200	621,496	1,174,789
	2009	293,516			263,052	556,568
V	2011	202 205		205 712	502 (00	1 001 777
Kurt Adzema(4) Executive Vice President.	2011	292,385		205,712	503,680	1,001,777
Finance	2010	264,923		84,000	247,589	596,512
and Chief Financial Officer	2010	201,923		01,000	217,307	370,312
Mark Colyar(5)	2011	292,134		205,317	503,680	1,001,131
Senior Vice President,						
Operations	2010	266,957		84,645	410,757	762,359
and Engineering	2009	185,062			135,703	320,765
T 110 (6)	2011	204.021		100.024	502 (00	007.545
Todd Swanson (6) Executive Vice President,	2011	284,031		199,834	503,680	987,545
Sales	2010	257,354		81,600	470.624	809,578
and Marketing	2010	257,554		81,000	470,024	607,576
and Marketing						
Joseph A. Young	2011	367,562		258,329	674,805	1,300,696
Executive Vice President,						
Global	2010	335,885		106,500	471,978	914,363
Operations	2009	344,548			173,938	518,486

Includes stock option and RSU awards. Valuation based on the grant date fair value of the equity awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions used by us with respect to the valuation of option grants are set forth in "Finisar Corporation Consolidated Financial Statements Notes to Financial Statements Note 18 Stockholders' Equity" included in our annual report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2011. As a result of changes in SEC disclosure rules, amounts reported in the table for equity awards in fiscal 2009 differ from amounts previously reported in the Summary Compensation Table for the same person for that year.

⁽²⁾Mr. Rawls also served as our President and Chief Executive officer until the completion of the Optium merger in August 2008.

⁽³⁾ Mr. Gertel became our Chief Executive Officer upon the completion of the Optium merger in August 2008.

⁽⁴⁾Mr. Adzema became our Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer in March 2010 and has served as our Executive Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer since January 2011.

Table of Contents

- (5)
 Mr. Colyar became our Senior Vice President, Operations and Engineering upon the completion of the Optium merger in August 2008.
- (6)
 Mr. Swanson's bonus for fiscal 2011 was paid through a combination of \$100,000 in cash and the grant of 6,656 RSUs with a value of \$99,834 on the date of grant.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to options and RSUs granted during or for the year ended April 30, 2011 to each of our named executive officers.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in or for Fiscal 2011

	Under N	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)		All Other Exercise Option or Awards: Base Number Price of of ecurities Option nderlyingAwards	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option
Name	Grant Date Threshold	Target Maximu	m Units (Options (\$/Share)	Awards (\$)
Jerry S. Rawls	6/15/2010	\$ 461,760	114,140		\$ 1,796,564
Eitan Gertel	6/15/2010	461,760	114,140		1,796,564
Kurt Adzema	6/15/2010	176,400	32,000		503,680
Mark Colyar	6/15/2010	176,062	32,000		503,680
Todd Swanson	6/15/2010	170,419	32,000		503,680
Joseph A. Young	6/15/2010	221,520	42,872		674,805

Represents the dollar value of the applicable range (threshold, target and maximum amounts) of potential cash bonuses payable to each named executive officer for fiscal 2011 under the executive officer bonus plan for fiscal 2011 (the "2011 Plan"). There was not an established maximum bonus under the 2011 Plan. If the Company exceeded certain targets the amount of the quantitative bonus could exceed the aggregate target bonus for each named executive officer. Additional information regarding the 2011 Plan is set forth above under "Compensation Discussion and Analysis." The actual amount paid to each executive officer for fiscal 2011 is set forth in the Summary Compensation Table under the heading "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation."

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

The following table summarizes the number of securities underlying outstanding equity awards for each of our named executive officers as of the end of our fiscal year on April 30, 2011. Unless otherwise specified, options vest at a rate of 20% over five years from the date of grant. Market value for RSUs is determined by multiplying the number of shares by the closing price of Finisar common stock on the Nasdaq Global Select Market on the last trading day of the fiscal year (\$28.09 on April 29, 2011).

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End 2011

		Option Av	Stock Awards Equity Incentive			
Name		Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) Unexercisable	Exercise Price per Share	Expiration Date	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	Plan Awards: Market Value or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested
Jerry S. Rawls	124,999 25,000 50,000 62,499 40,000 30,000 118,909 14,063 11,719	(1) 9,999(2) 20,000(3) 40,199(4) 30,937(5) 19,531(6)	\$ 13.84 15.60 15.36 9.76 37.04 21.68 3.36 8.29 8.29	6/7/2012 8/27/2013 6/2/2014 6/8/2015 6/6/2016 9/7/2017 12/12/2018 12/8/2019	30,937(7) 19,531(8) 114,140(16)	\$ 869,020 548,626 3,206,193
Eitan Gertel	205,308 47,768 97,843 32,614 88,841 80,124 4,164 11,719	(9) (9) (9) (10) 31,250(4) 9,162(5) 20,404(6)	1.36 6.88 7.36	4/30/2013 6/22/2015 2/13/2016 3/13/2016 2/28/2017 12/12/2018 12/8/2019 12/8/2019	9,162(7) 19,531(8) 114,140(16)	548,626
Kurt Adzema	12,499 9,374 543 7,500 1 1	(11 135(12) 5,000(3) 1,406(13) 4,710(4) 3,615(5) 7,813(6)	14.08) 24.80 25.68 21.68 10.08 3.36 8.29 8.29	11/23/2015 9/8/2016 3/8/2017 9/7/2017 9/11/2018 12/12/2018 12/8/2019 12/8/2019	1,314(14) 3,615(7) 7,812(8) 32,000(16)	101,545 219,439

	Option Awards				Stock Awards Equity			
Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) U		Exercise Price per Share	Expiration Date	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	Incentive Plan Awards: Market Value or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested		
Mark Colyar	4,622	(10)		2/28/2017				
	12,107 4,519	12,106(4) 9,942(5)	3.36 8.29	12/12/2018 12/8/2019				
	5,626	9,374(6)	8.29	12/8/2019				
					9,942(7)			
					9,375(8) 32,000(16	263,344 898,880		
Todd Swanson Joseph A.	6,250 6,249 3,750 544 1,801 450 563 15,877 1,110 19,687	(11) 135(12) 1,198(3) 300(15) 337(13) 8,414(4) 2,442(5) 32,813(6)	\$ 14.32 14.08 24.80 25.68 21.68 14.88 10.08 3.36 8.29 8.29	8/25/2013 11/23/2015 9/8/2016 3/8/2017 9/7/2017 12/10/2017 9/11/2018 12/12/2018 12/8/2019 12/8/2019	318(14 2,442(7) 9,375(8) 32,000(16	68,596 263,344		
Young	49,999 25,000 20,001 543 15,001 56,989 4,719 7,032	(1) 4,999(2) 135(12) 9,999(3) 15,506(4) 10,383(5) 11,718(6)	\$ 11.76 9.76 37.04 25.68 21.68 3.36 8.29 8.29	10/29/2014 6/8/2015 6/6/2016 3/8/2017 9/7/2017 12/12/2018 12/8/2019	10,383(7) 11,718(8) 42,872(16	329,159		

⁽¹⁾ The option was granted on June 8, 2005 and became fully vested on June 8, 2010.

(4)

⁽²⁾ The option was granted on June 2, 2006 and became fully vested on June 2, 2011.

⁽³⁾ The option was granted on September 7, 2007 and will become fully vested on September 7, 2012, assuming continued employment with Finisar.

The option was granted on December 12, 2008. The option became exercisable as to 25% of the shares on August 12, 2009 and vests with respect to an additional 6.25% of the shares on each of the next 12 quarterly anniversaries thereafter, to be fully vested on August 12, 2012, assuming continued employment with Finisar.

- (5)
 The option was granted on December 8, 2009. The option became exercisable as to 25% of the shares on December 8, 2010 and vests with respect to an additional 6.25% of the shares on each of the next 12 quarterly anniversaries thereafter, to be fully vested on December 8, 2013, assuming continued employment with Finisar.
- (6)
 The option was granted on December 8, 2009. The option became exercisable as to 25% of the shares on September 1, 2010 and vests with respect to an additional 6.25% of the shares on each of the next 12 quarterly anniversaries thereafter, to be fully vested on September 1, 2013, assuming continued employment with Finisar.
- The RSU was granted on December 8, 2009. The RSU vested as to 25% of the shares on December 8, 2010 and vests with respect to an additional 6.25% of the shares on each of the next 12 quarterly anniversaries thereafter, to be fully vested on December 8, 2013, assuming continued employment with Finisar.

Table of Contents

- (8)

 The RSU was granted on December 8, 2009. The RSU vested as to 25% of the shares on September 1, 2010 and vests with respect to an additional 6.25% of the shares on each of the next 12 quarterly anniversaries thereafter, to be fully vested on September 1, 2013, assuming continued employment with Finisar.
- (9)
 The option was granted by Optium and was assumed by Finisar upon the closing of the Optium merger.
- (10)
 The option was granted by Optium, was assumed by Finisar upon the closing of the Optium merger and became fully vested on March 1, 2011.
- (11) The option was granted on September 8, 2006 and became fully vested on September 8, 2010.
- (12)
 The option was granted on March 8, 2007 and will become fully vested on March 8, 2012, assuming continued employment with Finisar.
- (13) The option was granted on September 11, 2008. The option became exercisable as to 25% of the shares on September 11, 2009 and vests with respect to an additional 6.25% of the shares on each of the next 12 quarterly anniversaries thereafter, to be fully vested on September 11, 2012, assuming continued employment with Finisar.
- The RSU was granted on September 11, 2008. The RSU vested as to 25% of the shares on September 11, 2009 and vests with respect to an additional 6.25% of the shares on each of the next 12 quarterly anniversaries thereafter, to be fully vested on September 11, 2012, assuming continued employment with Finisar.
- (15)

 The option was granted on December 10, 2007 and will become fully vested on December 10, 2012, assuming continued employment with Finisar.
- The RSU was granted on June 15, 2010. The RSU vested as to 25% of the shares on June 23, 2011 and vests with respect to an additional 25% of the shares on each of the next three yearly anniversaries thereafter, to be fully vested on June 23, 2014, assuming continued employment with Finisar.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table provides information on stock option exercises by our named executive officers and vesting of RSUs held by them during the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal 2011

	Option A	Awards	Restricted Stock Unit Awards			
	Number of		Number of			
	Shares	Value	Shares	Value		
	Acquired	Realized	Acquired	Realized		
Name	on Exercise (#)	on Exercise(1)	on Vesting (#)	on Vesting(2)		
Jerry S. Rawls			25,782	\$ 602,304		
Eitan Gertel	33,678	\$ 866,825	15,883	333,048		
Kurt Adzema	59,983	725,178	7,206	149,886		
Mark Colyar	210,458	3,481,382	10,144	228,407		
Todd Swanson	9,247	184,789	6,943	139,779		
Joseph A. Young			11,751	260,245		

- (1) Based on the difference between the closing sale price of Finisar's common stock on the date of exercise and the exercise price.
- (2) Based on the closing sale price of Finisar's common stock on the vesting date.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

Cash Payments and/or Acceleration of Vesting Following Certain Termination Events

We have employment agreements with Eitan Gertel and Mark Colyar that provide for cash payments following certain termination events. Except as described below and in " Executive Retention and Severance Plan," no named executive officer is entitled to any cash payments and/or acceleration of vesting following a change in control of Finisar unless a termination event also occurs.

Table of Contents

The tables below set forth the cash payments that Messrs. Gertel and Colyar would be entitled to receive in the event that such executive officer (i) had been terminated by us without cause on April 30, 2011, (ii) had resigned following a demotion, reduction in base salary or involuntary relocation, referred to as a resignation for good reason, on April 30, 2011 or (iii) had been terminated as the result of death or disability. In each case, the amounts set forth in the tables below are subject to any deferrals required under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Internal Revenue Code"), and do not include any life insurance proceeds in the event of death or disability benefits in the event of disability.

Eitan Gertel. Mr. Gertel, our Chief Executive Officer, executed an employment agreement with Optium on April 14, 2006, which was assumed by us at the time of the Optium merger and was amended and restated effective December 31, 2008. The initial term of the agreement was three years, provided that the term of the agreement is automatically extended for an additional term of one year on the third anniversary and each subsequent anniversary of the commencement date unless either party gives not less than 90 days notice prior to the expiration of the term that it does not wish to extend the agreement. The agreement entitles Mr. Gertel to a base salary of \$461,760, subject to adjustment as provided in the agreement, and other incentive compensation as determined by the board of directors. In the event that Mr. Gertel is terminated without cause or if we give notice that we do not intend to extend the employment agreement, we will be obligated to pay him one year severance, which in all cases includes base salary, bonus as calculated in the agreement and accrued paid time off. In addition, if he resigns for good reason, we will be obligated to pay him one year severance.

Payments and benefits	Voluntary Involuntary termination termination for without cause good reason			Termination Termination upon upon death disability			upon	
Cash severance	\$	807,772	\$	807,772	\$		\$	
Health care benefits		20,229		20,229		20,229		20,229
Total	\$	828,001	\$	828,001	\$	20,229	\$	20,229

Mark Colyar. Mr. Colyar, our Senior Vice President, Operations and Engineering, executed an employment agreement with Optium on April 14, 2006, which was assumed by us at the time of the Optium merger and was amended and restated effective December 31, 2008. The initial term of the agreement was two years, provided that the term of the agreement is automatically extended for an additional term of one year on the second anniversary and each subsequent anniversary of the commencement date unless either party gives not less than 90 days notice prior to the expiration of the term that it does not wish to extend the agreement. The agreement entitles Mr. Colyar to a base salary of \$293,436, subject to adjustment as provided in the agreement, and other incentive compensation as determined by the board of directors. In the event that Mr. Colyar is terminated without cause or if we give notice that we do not intend to extend the employment agreement, we will be obligated to pay him one year severance, which in all cases includes base salary, bonus as calculated in the agreement and accrued paid time off.

Payments and benefits	termination		tei	Voluntary termination for good reason		Termination upon death		Termination upon disability	
Cash severance	\$	424,516	\$	424,516	\$		\$		
Health care benefits		20,229		20,229		20,229		20,229	
Total	\$	444,745	\$	444,745	\$	20,229	\$	20,229	

31

Table of Contents

Executive Retention and Severance Plan

Our executive officers, including our named executive officers, are eligible to participate in the Finisar Executive Retention and Severance Plan. This plan provides that in the event of a qualifying termination each of the participating executives will be entitled to receive (i) a lump sum payment equal to two years' base salary (excluding bonus) and (ii) medical, dental and insurance coverage for two years, or reimbursement of premiums for COBRA continuation coverage during such period. A qualifying termination is defined as an involuntary termination other than for cause or a voluntary termination for good reason upon or within 18 months following a change in control, as such terms are defined in the plan. In addition, the plan provides that the vesting of stock options and RSUs held by eligible officers will be accelerated as follows: (i) one year of accelerated vesting upon a change of control, if the options are assumed by a successor corporation, (ii) 100% accelerated vesting if the options are not assumed by a successor corporation, and (iii) 100% accelerated vesting upon a qualifying termination. In the event the employment of any of our named executive officers were to be terminated without cause or for good reason, within 18 months following a change in control of Finisar, each as of April 30, 2011, the named executive officers would be entitled to payments in the amounts set forth opposite their name in the following table:

Name	Cash Severance
Jerry S. Rawls	\$39,476 per month for 24 months
Eitan Gertel	\$40,166 per month for 24 months
Kurt Adzema	\$25,961 per month for 24 months
Mark Colyar	\$26,139 per month for 24 months
Todd Swanson	\$24,992 per month for 24 months
Joseph A. Young	\$32,227 per month for 24 months

Benefits to Messrs. Gertel and Colyar under the Executive Retention and Severance Plan will be reduced by the amount of comparable benefits to which they are entitled under the employment agreements described above.

We are not obligated to make any cash payments to these executives if their employment is terminated by us for cause or by the executive other than for good reason. No severance or benefits are provided for any of the executive officers in the event of death or disability. A change in control does not affect the amount or timing of these cash severance payments.

In the event the employment of any of our named executive officers were to be terminated without cause or for good reason within 18 months following a change in control of Finisar, each as of

Table of Contents

April 30, 2011, the named executives would be entitled to accelerated vesting of their outstanding stock options and RSUs as described in the following table:

Name	Value of Equity Awards:(1)
Jerry S. Rawls	Accelerated vesting of 120,666 options with a value of \$2,121,588 and 164,608 RSUs with a value of \$4,623,839.
Eitan Gertel	Accelerated vesting of 59,943 options with a value of \$1,340,934 and 142,833 RSUs with a value of \$4,012,179.
Kurt Adzema	Accelerated vesting of 22,679 options with a value of \$400,450 and 44,741 RSUs with a value of \$1,256,775.
Mark Colyar	Accelerated vesting of 31,422 options with a value of \$681,838 and 51,317 RSUs with a value of \$1,441,495.
Todd Swanson	Accelerated vesting of 45,639 options with a value of \$924,164 and 44,135 RSUs with a value of \$1,239,752.
Joseph A. Young	Accelerated vesting of 64,973 options with a value of \$885,482 and 64,973 RSUs with a value of \$1,825,092.

(1)
Potential incremental gains are net values based on (i) the aggregate difference between the respective exercise prices of options and the closing sale price of Finisar common stock on April 29, 2011 (\$28.09 per share) and (ii) the number of shares underlying RSUs multiplied by the closing sale price of Finisar common stock on April 29, 2011.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Pursuant to our Code of Ethics, our executive officers, directors and employees are to avoid conflicts of interest, except with the approval of the board of directors. A related party transaction would be a conflict of interest. The board has delegated to the Audit Committee the authority to review and approve related party transactions. In approving or rejecting a proposed transaction, the Audit Committee will consider the relevant facts and circumstances and, if applicable, the impact of the proposed transaction on the director's independence. The Audit Committee will approve only those transactions that, in light of known circumstances, are in, or are not inconsistent with, our best interests, as the Audit Committee determines in the good faith exercise of its discretion.

Other than as described below and the compensation arrangements and other arrangements described in "Director Compensation" and "Executive Compensation and Related Matters" above, in our fiscal year ended April 30, 2011 there were no transactions, and there is not currently proposed any transaction or series of similar transactions to which we were or will be a party, in which the amount involved exceeded or will exceed \$120,000 in which any director, any executive officer, any holder of 5% or more of our capital stock or any member of their immediate family had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

Guy Gertel, the brother of Eitan Gertel, our Chief Executive Officer, provided sales and marketing services to Optium through GHG Technologies, a company he owns. Subsequent to the Optium merger in August 2008, GHG Technologies has continued to provide such services to Finisar. For services rendered during fiscal 2011, we paid GHG Technologies \$181,528 in cash compensation. In addition, Finisar granted to Guy Gertel, for no additional consideration, 2,150 restricted stock units with a fair market value of \$33,841, which vest as follows: 25% on June 23, 2011 and an additional 25% on each of the next three anniversaries thereafter, to be fully vested on June 23, 2014, subject to Mr. Gertel's continuing to provide services to Finisar. We believe that the cash payments to GHG were fair and reasonable and were comparable to amounts that would have been paid to an unaffiliated party in an arms' length transaction. The restricted stock unit awards to Mr. Gertel were consistent with the type and size of grants made to our other sales professionals.

PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS AND SHARE OWNERSHIP BY MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth information known to us regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of September 30, 2011 by:

each of our directors;

each of our executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table for fiscal 2011 in "Executive Compensation and Related Matters" above; and

all of our executive officers and directors as a group.

To our knowledge, there are no stockholders who beneficially own more than 5% of our common stock.

	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned(1)		
Name of Beneficial Owner(1)	Number	Percentage	
Directors			
Jerry S. Rawls(2)	1,285,674	1.39%	
Eitan Gertel(3)	824,105	*	
Michael C. Child(4)	8,097	*	
Roger C. Ferguson(5)	33,463	*	
Thomas E. Pardun(6)	7,343	*	
Robert N. Stephens(7)	10,386	*	
Dominique Trempont(8)	29,807	*	
Named Executive Officers :			
Kurt Adzema(9)	45,775	*	
Mark Colyar(10)	89,649	*	
Todd Swanson(11)	76,036	*	
Joseph A. Young(12)	217,139	*	
All executive officers and directors as a group (13 persons)(13)	2,727,335	2.95%	

Less than 1%.

(1)

ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. All shares of common stock subject to options exercisable within 60 days following September 30, 2011 and restricted stock units ("RSUs") that vest within that period are deemed to be outstanding and beneficially owned by the person holding those options for the purpose of computing the number of shares beneficially owned and the percentage of ownership of that person. They are not, however, deemed to be outstanding and beneficially owned for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any

options for the purpose of computing the number of shares beneficially owned and the percentage of ownership of that person. They are not, however, deemed to be outstanding and beneficially owned for the purpose of computing the percentage ownership of any other person. Accordingly, percent ownership is based on 90,793,229 shares of common stock outstanding as of September 30, 2011 plus any shares issuable pursuant to options held by the person or group in question which may be exercised within 60 days following September 30, 2011 and RSUs that vest within that period. Except as indicated in the other footnotes to the table and subject to applicable community property laws, based on information provided by the persons named in the table, these persons have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of the common stock shown as beneficially owned by them.

The address of each of the named individuals is: c/o Finisar Corporation, 1389 Moffett Park Drive, Sunnyvale, CA 94089. Beneficial

Table of Contents

- (2) Includes 346,648 shares held by The Rawls Family, L.P. Mr. Rawls is the president of the Rawls Management Corporation, the general partner of The Rawls Family, L.P. Includes 534,980 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days following September 30, 2011. (3) Includes (a) 596,248 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days following September 30, 2011 and (b) 31,907 shares issuable upon exercise of a warrant that is currently exercisable. (4) Includes 5,061 shares held by the Child Family Trust. (5) Includes 21,537 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days following September 30, 2011. (6) Includes 2,917 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days following September 30, 2011. (7) Includes 6,626 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days following September 30, 2011. (8) Includes 22,964 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days following September 30, 2011. (9) Includes 38,247 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days following September 30, 2011. (10)Includes (a) 40,655 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days following September 30, 2011 and (b) 5,676
- (11) Includes 68,920 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days following September 30, 2011.

shares issuable upon exercise of a warrant that is currently exercisable.

- (12) Includes 206,402 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days following September 30, 2011.
- (13) Includes (a) 1,636,341 shares issuable upon exercise of options exercisable within 60 days following September 30, 2011 and (b) 37,583 shares issuable upon exercise of warrants that are currently exercisable.

SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our executive officers, directors and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of our common stock to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC. Such persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish us copies of all Section 16(a) forms filed by such person.

Based solely on our review of such forms furnished to us, and written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that all filing requirements applicable to our executive officers, directors and more than 10% stockholders during the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011 were satisfied.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

We currently maintain three compensation plans that provide for the issuance of our common stock to officers, directors, other employees or consultants. These consist of the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, the 2009 Employee Stock Purchase Plan and the 2009 International Employee Stock Purchase Plan, each of which has been approved by our stockholders. We previously maintained the 2001 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan, or the 2001 Plan, which was adopted in February 2001 and was not approved by our stockholders. No additional options will be granted under the 2001 Plan. The following table sets forth information regarding outstanding options and shares reserved for future issuance under the foregoing plans as of April 30, 2011:

Plan Category	Number of Shares to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (a)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights (b)	Rumber of Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Shares Reflected in Column (a)) (c)
8 .	` '	. ' '	1 // 1
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	6,822,071	\$ 12.70	10,186,474(1)
Equity compensation plan not approved by stockholders(2)(3)	38,818	\$ 21.07	

- Consists of shares available for future issuance under the plans. In accordance with the terms of the 2009 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, the number of shares available for issuance under the 2009 Employee Stock Purchase Plan and the 2009 International Employee Stock Purchase Plan will increase by 125,000 shares on May 1 of each calendar year until and including May 1, 2015. In accordance with the terms of the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, the number of shares of our common stock available for issuance under the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan will increase on May 1 of each calendar year until and including May 1, 2015 by an amount equal to five percent (5%) of the number of shares of our common stock outstanding as of the preceding April 30.
- Excludes options assumed by us in connection with acquisitions of other companies. As of April 30, 2011, 778,746 shares of our common stock were issuable upon exercise of these assumed options, at a weighted average exercise price of \$11.15 per share. No additional awards may be granted under the plans pursuant to which these assumed equity rights were granted.
- (3) A total of 731,250 shares of our common stock have been reserved for issuance under the 2001 Plan. As of April 30, 2011, a total of 276,251 shares of common stock had been issued upon the exercise of options granted under the 2001 Plan.

Number of Chance

Table of Contents

Material Features of the 2001 Nonstatutory Stock Option Plan

As of April 30, 2011, 38,818 shares of our common stock were reserved for issuance under the 2001 Plan. The 2001 Plan was adopted by our board on February 16, 2001 and provided for the grant of nonstatutory stock options to employees and consultants with an exercise price per share not less than 85% of the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. However, no person was eligible to be granted an option under the 2001 Plan whose eligibility would have required approval of the 2001 Plan by our stockholders. Options granted under the 2001 Plan generally have a ten-year term and vest at the rate of 20% of the shares on the first anniversary of the date of grant and 20% of the shares each additional year thereafter until fully vested. Some of the options that have been granted under the 2001 Plan are subject to full acceleration of vesting in the event of a change in control of Finisar. The term of the 2001 Plan expired in February 2011 and no additional options will be granted under the 2001 Plan.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS TO BE PRESENTED AT NEXT ANNUAL MEETING

Stockholder proposals may be included in our proxy materials for an annual meeting so long as they are provided to us on a timely basis and satisfy the other conditions set forth in applicable SEC rules. For a stockholder proposal to be included in our proxy materials for the 2012 annual meeting, the proposal (in addition to compliance with applicable SEC rules) must be received at our principal executive offices, addressed to the Secretary, not later than June 20, 2012. The advance notice provision in our bylaws states that in order for stockholder business to be properly brought before a meeting by a stockholder, such stockholder must have given timely notice thereof in writing to our Secretary. To be timely, a stockholder proposal must be received at our principal executive offices not less than 120 calendar days in advance of the one year anniversary of the date our proxy statement was released to stockholders in connection with the previous year's annual meeting of stockholders; except that (i) if no annual meeting was held in the previous year, (ii) if the date of the annual meeting has been changed by more than 30 calendar days from the date contemplated at the time of the previous year's proxy statement, or (iii) in the event of a special meeting, then notice must be received not later than the close of business on the tenth day following the day on which notice of the date of the meeting was mailed or public disclosure of the meeting date was made. Stockholder business that is not intended for inclusion in our proxy materials may be brought before the annual meeting so long as we receive notice of the proposal as specified by our bylaws, addressed to the Secretary at our principal executive offices, not later than the above date. All stockholder proposals should be marked for the attention of the Secretary of Finisar Corporation at 1389 Moffett Park Drive, Sunnyvale, California 94089.

OTHER MATTERS

At the date of this proxy statement, the board of directors knows of no other business that will be conducted at the annual meeting of stockholders of Finisar other than as described in this proxy statement. If any other matter or matters are properly brought before the meeting, or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying form of proxy to vote the proxy on such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

CHRISTOPHER E. BROWN Secretary

October 18, 2011

39