ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC Form 10-Q August 10, 2010

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**DESCRIPTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934** 

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PUR	RSUANT TO SECTION	N 13 OR 15(d) OI	F THE SECURITIES
<b>EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934</b>			
For the transition period from	to		

Commission File Number 001-12647
Oriental Financial Group Inc.

Incorporated in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico,

IRS Employer Identification No. 66-0538893

<u>Principal Executive Offices:</u> 997 San Roberto Street

Oriental Center 10th Floor Professional Offices Park

San Juan, Puerto Rico 00926

Telephone Number: (787) 771-6800

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer o

Accelerated Filer b

Non-Accelerated Filer o

Smaller Reporting Company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

Number of shares outstanding of the registrant s common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 49,293,082 common shares (\$1.00 par value per share) outstanding as of July 31, 2010

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part I FINANCIAL INFORMATION:	Page
<u>Item 1 Financial Statements</u>	
Unaudited consolidated statements of financial condition at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	1
Unaudited consolidated statements of operations for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	2
Unaudited consolidated statements of changes in stockholders equity for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	3
Unaudited consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	4
Unaudited consolidated statements of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	5
Notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements	7
Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	48
Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	67
Item 4 Controls and Procedures	71
PART II OTHER INFORMATION:	
Item 1 Legal Proceedings	71
Item 1A Risk Factors	71
Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	74
Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities	74
Item 4 [Removed and Reserved by the SEC.]	74
Item 5 Other Information	
Item 6 Exhibits	74
<u>Signatures</u>	75
<u>Certifications</u>	

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

When used in this Form 10-Q or future filings by Oriental Financial Group Inc. (the Group ) with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ), in the Group s press releases or other public or shareholder communications, or in oral statements made with the approval of an authorized executive officer, the words or phrases would be, will allow, intends to, will likely result, are expected to, will continue, is anticipated, estimated, project, believe, expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

The future results of the Group could be affected by subsequent events and could differ materially from those expressed in forward-looking statements. If future events and actual performance differ from the Group's assumptions, the actual results could vary significantly from the performance projected in the forward-looking statements. The Group wishes to caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made and are based on management's current expectations, and to advise readers that various factors, including local, regional and national economic conditions, substantial changes in levels of market interest rates, credit and other risks of lending and investment activities, competitive, and regulatory factors, legislative changes and accounting pronouncements, could affect the Group's financial performance and could cause the Group's actual results for future periods to differ materially from those anticipated or projected. The Group does not undertake, and specifically disclaims, any obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect occurrences or unanticipated events or circumstances after the date of such statements.

#### ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC. UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION JUNE 30, 2010 AND DECEMBER 31, 2009

	June 30, 2010 (In thousands, e	December 31, 2009 except share data)
ASSETS		-
Cash and cash equivalents		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 430,498	\$ 247,691
Money market investments	42,137	29,432
Total cash and cash equivalents	472,635	277,123
Investments:		
Trading securities, at fair value with amortized cost of \$55 (December 31, 2009 \$522)	56	523
Investment securities available-for-sale, at fair value with amortized cost of		
\$4,913,939 (December 31, 2009 \$5,044,017)	4,941,528	4,953,659
Other investments	150	150
Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock, at cost	22,496	19,937
Total investments	4,964,230	4,974,269
Securities sold but not yet delivered	1,490	
Loans:		
Mortgage loans not-covered by FDIC shared-loss agreements held-for-sale, at lower of cost or fair value	27.510	27.261
Non-covered loans receivable, net of allowance for loan and lease losses of	27,519	27,261
\$28,002 (December 31, 2009 \$23,272)	1,109,585	1,112,808
Loans covered by FDIC shared loss agreements	809,895	1,112,000
Total loans, net	1,946,999	1,140,069
FDIC loss-share indemnification asset	517,695	
Covered foreclosed real estate	19,495	
Non-covered foreclosed real estate	12,277	9,347
Accrued interest receivable	34,672	33,656
Deferred tax asset, net	19,517	31,685
Premises and equipment, net	18,113	19,775
Core deposit intangible	1,399	
Servicing asset	9,285	7,120
Other assets	60,737	57,789
Total assets	\$ 8,078,544	\$ 6,550,833

### LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 8,078,544	\$ 6,550,833
Commitments and contingencies		
Total stockholders equity	746,042	330,166
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax of (\$2,402) (December 31, 2009 \$7,445)	25,362	(82,739)
shares)	(17,120)	(17,142)
Treasury stock, at cost 1,493,002 shares (December 31, 2009 1,504,309	·	•
Retained earnings	98,245	77,584
Legal surplus	48,325	45,279
Additional paid-in capital	288,749	213,445
Common stock, \$1 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized; 34,480,909 shares issued; 32,987,907 shares outstanding (December 31, 2009 25,739,397; 24,235,088)	34,481	25,739
Additional paid-in capital from beneficial conversion feature	22,711	
\$1,000 liquidation value	245,289	68,000
Stockholders equity: Preferred stock, \$1 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized; 1,340,000 shares of Series A and 1,380,000 shares of Series B issued and outstanding, \$25 liquidation value; 200,000 shares of Series C issued and outstanding,		
Total liabilities	7,332,502	6,220,667
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	56,683	31,650
Securities purchased but not yet received	533	413,359
Total borrowings	4,737,015	4,030,157
Subordinated capital notes	36,083	36,083
FDIC-guaranteed term notes	105,834	105,834
Purchase money note issued to the FDIC	711,076	•
Advances from FHLB	281,735	281,753
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	3,557,087	3,557,308
Borrowings: Federal funds purchased and other short-term borrowings	45,200	49,179
Total deposits	2,538,271	1,745,501
Certificates of deposit	1,448,187	965,203
Savings accounts	213,992	86,792
Demand deposits	\$ 876,092	\$ 693,506
Deposits:		

# ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC. UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE QUARTERS AND SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

			Six-month Period ended			
	_	ided June 30	June			
	2010	2009	2010	2009		
			except per share			
		da	ata)			
Interest income:						
Loans	\$ 31,065	\$ 18,707	\$ 48,663	\$ 37,027		
Mortgage-backed securities	41,519	51,721	85,113	102,429		
Investment securities and other	8,925	11,623	18,030	26,526		
Total interest income	81,509	82,051	151,806	165,982		
Interest expense:						
Deposits	11,927	14,149	23,170	27,972		
Securities sold under agreements to	11,727	17,177	23,170	21,712		
repurchase	25,487	27,929	50,772	63,728		
Advances from FHLB and other borrowings	3,053	3,075	6,065	6,171		
Purchase money note issued to the FDIC	1,064	3,073	1,064	0,171		
		1.021	2,042	1 122		
FDIC-guaranteed term notes	1,021	1,021	*	1,133		
Subordinated capital notes	305	389	603	825		
Total interest expense	42,857	46,563	83,716	99,829		
Net interest income	38,652	35,488	68,090	66,153		
Provision for loan and lease losses	4,100	3,650	8,114	6,850		
Net interest income after provision for						
loan and lease losses	34,552	31,838	59,976	59,303		
Non-interest income:	4.605	2.205	0.602	<i>(</i> 200		
Wealth management revenues	4,625	3,285	8,603	6,399		
Banking service revenues	2,797	1,602	4,444	2,995		
Investment banking revenues (losses)	34	8	34	(4)		
Mortgage banking activities	2,339	2,806	4,136	4,959		
Total banking and wealth management						
revenues	9,795	7,701	17,217	14,349		
Total loss other-than-temporarily impaired securities	(1,796)	(62,594)	(41,386)	(62,594)		
Portion of loss on securities recognized in other comprehensive income	0	58,178	38,958	58,178		

Other-than-temporary impairments on				
securities	(1,796)	(4,416)	(2,428)	(4,416)
Net gain (loss) on:	11.022	10.520	22.052	20.960
Sale of securities Derivatives	11,833	10,520	23,853	20,860
	(26,615) 1	19,408 12,959	(37,251)	19,842 12,932
Trading securities  Pargain purphase from EDIC assisted	1	12,939	(2)	12,932
Bargain purchase from FDIC-assisted acquisition	16,463		16,463	
Fair value adjustment on FDIC equity	10,403		10,403	
appreciation instrument	909		909	
Accretion of FDIC loss-share	707		707	
indemnification asset	1,444		1,444	
Foreclosed real estate	(26)	(136)	(143)	(298)
Other	295	15	318	28
Total non-interest income, net	12,303	46,051	20,380	63,297
Non-interest expenses:				
Compensation and employee benefits	10,427	8,020	18,677	15,744
Occupancy and equipment	4,601	3,758	8,195	7,247
Professional and service fees	3,920	2,394	6,073	5,002
Insurance	1,733	3,472	3,566	4,287
Advertising and business promotion	1,361	1,028	2,060	2,232
Taxes, other than payroll and income taxes	1,291	649	2,148	1,295
Electronic banking charges	1,113	596	1,791	1,136
Loan servicing expenses	452	388	879	771
Communication	740	402	1,082	781
Director and investors relations	388	332	703	681
Clearing and wrap fees expenses	342	237	639	567
Printing, postage, stationery and supplies	292	215	495	471
Foreclosure and repossession expenses	270	200	572	446
Training and travel	243	163	471	250
Other	699	360	914	577
Total non-interest expenses	27,872	22,214	48,265	41,487
Income before income taxes	18,983	55,675	32,091	81,113
Income tax expense	1,634	4,761	2,806	5,451
Net income	17,349	50,914	29,285	75,662
Less: Dividends on preferred stock Less: Allocation of undistributed earnings for	(1,733)	(1,201)	(2,934)	(2,401)
participating preferred shares	(3,104)		(3,104)	
Income available to common shareholders	\$ 12,512	\$ 49,713	\$ 23,247	\$ 73,261

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Income per common share: Basic	\$	0.38	\$ 2.05	\$ 0.79	\$ 3.02
Diluted	\$	0.38	\$ 2.04	\$ 0.79	\$ 3.02
Average common shares outstanding Average potential common shares-options		33,044	24,303 15	29,470 1	24,274 6
Average diluted common shares outstanding		33,053	24,318	29,471	24,280
Cash dividends per share of common stock	\$	0.04	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.08
See notes to unaudited consolidated financia	l stat	ements.			

# UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY FOR THE SIX-MONTH

PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

	Six-Month Period Ended June		
	2010	2009	
	(In thou	sands)	
CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:			
Preferred stock:			
Balance at beginning of period	68,000	68,000	
Issuance of preferred stock	177,289		
Balance at end of period	\$ 245,289	\$ 68,000	
Additional paid-in capital from beneficial conversion feature			
Balance at beginning of period			
Issuance of preferred stock beneficial conversion feature	22,711		
Balance at end of period	22,711		
Datance at end of period	22,711		
Common stock:	27.720	27.720	
Balance at beginning of period	25,739	25,739	
Issuance of common stock	8,740		
Exercised stock options	2		
Balance at end of period	34,481	25,739	
•	,	,	
Additional paid-in capital:			
Balance at beginning of period	213,445	212,625	
Issuance of common stock	90,896	212,028	
Exercised stock options	19		
Stock-based compensation expense	546	337	
Common stock issuance costs	(5,246)		
Preferred stock issuance costs	(10,911)		
Balance at end of period	288,749	212,962	
balance at the of period	200,747	212,702	
Legal surplus: Balance at beginning of period	45 270	42 D16	
Transfer from retained earnings	45,279 3,046	43,016 5,755	
Transici Itolii ictanicu carnings	3,040	3,133	
Balance at end of period	48,325	48,771	

Retained earnings:		
Balance at beginning of period	77,584	51,233
Cumulative effect on initial adoption of accounting principle		14,359
Net income	29,285	75,662
Cash dividends declared on common stock	(2,644)	(1,944)
Cash dividends declared on preferred stock	(2,934)	(2,401)
Transfer to legal surplus	(3,046)	(5,755)
Balance at end of period	98,245	131,154
Treasury stock:		
Balance at beginning of period	(17,142)	(17,109)
Stock purchased		(182)
Stock used to match defined contribution plan	22	139
Balance at end of period	(17,120)	(17,152)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:		
Balance at beginning of period	(82,739)	(122,187)
Cumulative effect on initial adoption of accounting principle		(14,359)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax	108,101	26,706
Balance at end of period	25,362	(109,840)
Total stockholders equity	\$ 746,042	\$ 359,634
See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.		

# UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME FOR THE QUARTERS AND SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six-Month Po June	
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	2010	2009	2010	2009
NY 4	<b>4.7.4</b> 0	*	chousands)	<b>. </b>
Net income	\$ 17,349	\$ 50,914	\$ 29,285	\$ 75,662
Other comprehensive income: Unrealized gain (loss) on securities	00.255	(4.995)	120 272	44,000
available-for-sale arising during the period	98,355	(4,885)	139,373	44,989
Realized gain on investment securities included in net income	(11,833)	(10,520)	(23,853)	(20,860)
Total loss on other- than-temporarily impaired securities	1,796	62,594	41,386	62,594
Portion of loss on securities		(58,178)	(38,958)	(58,178)
Income tax effect related to unrealized gain on securities available-for-sale	(6,368)	2,340	(9,847)	(1,839)
Other comprehensive income (loss) for the period	78,358	(8,649)	108,101	26,706
Comprehensive income	\$ 95,707	\$ 42,265	\$ 137,386	\$ 102,368
See notes to unaudited consolidated financial state	ements. 4			

#### ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC.

# UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS FOR THE SIX-MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

2010 2009 (In thousands) **Cash flows from operating activities:** \$ Net income 29,285 \$ 75,662 Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Amortization of deferred loan origination fees, net of costs 346 91 Amortization of premiums, net of accretion of discounts 5,084 13,426 Amortization of core deposit intangible assets 23 Accretion of FDIC loss-share indemnification asset (1,444)Amortization of accretable yield on loans covered by FDIC shared-loss agreements (13,439)Other-than-temporary impairments on securities 2,428 4,416 Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment 2,596 3,047 Deferred income tax expense 2,321 868 Provision for loan and lease losses 8.114 6,850 Stock-based compensation 546 337 Fair value adjustment of servicing asset (975)(3,115)Bargain purchase gain from FDIC assisted acquisition (16,463)(Gain) loss on: Sale of securities (23.853)(20.860)Sale of mortgage loans held for sale (2,104)(1,844)Derivatives 37,251 (19.842)Mortgage tax credits (2,153)Sale of foreclosed real estate 298 143 Sale of premises and equipment 1.865 (4) Originations and purchases of loans held-for-sale (56,332)(114,428)Proceeds from sale of loans held-for-sale 35,451 64,993 Net (increase) decrease in: Trading securities 467 (648)Accrued interest receivable (1.016)6.129 Other assets (8,762)(3,712)Net increase (decrease) in: Accrued interest on deposits and borrowings 1,553 (1,451)Accrued expenses and other liabilities 8,509 365 Net cash provided by operating activities 11,792 8,227

#### Cash flows from investing activities:

Purchases of:

Six-Month Period Ended June 30.

	(2.022.7 <b>.</b> 1)	( <b>7</b> 4 60 040)
Investment securities available-for-sale	(3,932,574)	(5,168,019)
FHLB stock	(2,560)	(13,355)
Equity options  Maturities and redemptions of	(1,110)	(2,965)
Maturities and redemptions of: Investment securities available-for-sale	1 257 026	2 200 262
FHLB stock	1,257,926 10,077	2,399,362 14,431
Proceeds from sales of:	10,077	14,431
Investment securities available-for-sale	2,466,565	2,815,099
Foreclosed real estate	2,481	4,561
Premises and equipment	(105)	92
Origination and purchase of loans, excluding loans held-for-sale	(111,112)	(44,219)
Principal repayment of loans	95,901	59,316
Additions to premises and equipment	(194)	(2,657)
Cash and cash equivalents received in FDIC-assisted transaction	89,777	(2,007)
•	•	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(124,928)	61,646
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net increase (decrease) in:		
Deposits	64,897	79,449
Federal funds purchased and other short term borrowings	(3,979)	(1,445)
Proceeds from:	(3,777)	(1,115)
Issuance of FDIC-guaranteed term notes		105,000
Advances from FHLB		761,380
Exercise of stock options	21	
Issuance of common stock, net	94,390	
Issuance of preferred stock, net	189,089	
Repayments of advances from FHLB	•	(788,080)
Repayments of advances from purchase money note issued to the FDIC	(5,433)	, , ,
Purchase of treasury stock	, ,	(182)
Termination of derivative instruments	(25,109)	19,040
Dividends paid on preferred stock	(2,934)	(2,401)
Dividends paid on common stock	(2,294)	(1,944)
Net cash provided by financing activities	308,648	170,817
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	195,512	240,690
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	277,123	66,372
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 472,635	\$ 307,062
See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.		
5		

#### ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC. UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONT.) FOR THE SIX-MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

	Six-Month Period Ended June 30,			
		2010		2009
		(In thou	sands)	
Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosure and Schedule of Non-cash Activities: Interest paid	\$	90,959	\$	101,279
Income taxes paid	\$	6,281	\$	
Mortgage loans securitized into mortgage-backed securities	\$	68,155	\$	61,676
Securities sold but not yet delivered	\$	1,490	\$	360,764
Securities purchased but not yet received	\$	533	\$	497,360
Transfer from loans to foreclosed real estate	\$	7,543	\$	4,871
Reclassification of loans held for investment portfolio to the held for sale portfolio	\$	48,236	\$	19,832
Supplemental Schedule of Non-cash Investing Activities: Acquisitions: Non-cash assets acquired:				
FHLB stock	\$	10,077		
Loans covered by FDIC shared-loss agreements	\$	836,474	\$	
Loans not covered by FDIC shared-loss agreements		3,009		
Foreclosed real estate covered by FDIC shared-loss agreements		17,527		
Other repossessed assets covered by FDIC shared-loss agreements		3,062		
FDIC loss-share indemnification asset		516,250		
Core deposit intangible		1,423		
Other assets		5,301		
Total non-cash assets acquired Liabilities assumed:		1,393,123		
Deposits		729,546		
Other liabilities		15,845		
Total liabilities assumed		745,391		
Net non-cash assets acquired		647,732		
Cash and cash equivalents received in the FDIC-assisted transaction		89,777		
Net assets acquired	\$	737,509	\$	

Consideration at fair value:		
Purchase money note issued to the FDIC	\$ 715,970	\$
Settlement payable to FDIC	10,590	
Equity appreciation instrument	909	
	727,469	
Net after tax bargain purchase gain from FDIC assisted acquisition	10,040	
	\$ 737,509	\$
See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.		
6		

# ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC. NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accounting and reporting policies of Oriental Financial Group Inc. (the Group or Oriental ) conform with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ) and to financial services industry practices.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. These unaudited statements are, in the opinion of management, a fair statement of the results for the periods reported and include all necessary adjustments, all of a normal recurring nature, for a fair statement of such results. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such SEC rules and regulations. Management believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. The results of operations and cash flows for the periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2009, included in the Group s 2009 annual report on Form 10-K.

#### Nature of Operations

The Group is a publicly-owned financial holding company incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico. It has four direct subsidiaries, Oriental Bank and Trust (the Bank), Oriental Financial Services Corp. (Oriental Financial Services), Oriental Insurance, Inc. (Oriental Insurance) and Caribbean Pension Consultants, Inc., which is located in Boca Raton, Florida. The Group also has a special purpose entity, Oriental Financial (PR) Statutory Trust II (the Statutory Trust II). Through these subsidiaries and its divisions, the Group provides a wide range of financial services such as mortgage, commercial and consumer lending, financial planning, insurance sales, money management and investment banking and brokerage services, as well as corporate and individual trust services. With the FDIC-assisted acquisition on April 30, 2010, the Group added leasing to the financial services the Group provides.

The main offices of the Group and its subsidiaries are located in San Juan, Puerto Rico. The Group is subject to examination, regulation and periodic reporting under the U.S. Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, which is administered by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

The Bank is subject to the supervision, examination and regulation of the Office of the Commissioner of Financial Institutions of Puerto Rico (OCFI) and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The Bank offers banking services such as commercial and consumer lending, saving and time deposit products, financial planning, and corporate and individual trust services, and capitalizes on its commercial banking network to provide mortgage lending products to its clients. Oriental International Bank Inc. (OIB), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank, operates as an international banking entity (IBE) pursuant to the International Banking Center Regulatory Act of Puerto Rico, as amended. OIB offers the Bank certain Puerto Rico tax advantages. OIB activities are limited under Puerto Rico law to persons and assets/liabilities located outside of Puerto Rico.

Oriental Financial Services is subject to the supervision, examination and regulation of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), the SEC, and the OCFI. Oriental Insurance is subject to the supervision, examination and regulation of the Office of the Commissioner of Insurance of Puerto Rico.

The Group s mortgage banking activities are conducted through a division of the Bank. The mortgage banking activities consist of the origination and purchase of residential mortgage loans for the Group s own portfolio and, if the conditions so warrant, the Group engages in the sale of such loans to other financial institutions in the secondary market. The Group originates Federal Housing Administration (FHA) insured and Veterans Administration (VA)-guaranteed mortgages that are primarily securitized for issuance of Government National Mortgage Association (GNMA) mortgage-backed securities which can be resold to individual or institutional investors in the secondary market. Conventional loans that meet the underwriting requirements for sale or exchange under standard Federal National Mortgage Association (the FNMA) or the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (the FHLMC) programs are referred to as conforming mortgage loans and are also securitized for issuance of FNMA or FHLMC mortgage-backed securities. The Group is an approved seller of FNMA, as well as FHLMC, mortgage loans for

issuance of FNMA and FHLMC mortgage-backed securities. The Group is also an approved issuer of GNMA mortgage-backed securities. The Group outsources the servicing of the GNMA, FNMA and FHLMC pools that it issues or originates and of its mortgage loan portfolio.

7

Effective April 30, 2010, the Bank assumed all of the retail deposits and other liabilities and acquired certain assets and substantially all of the operations of Eurobank from the FDIC as receiver for Eurobank, pursuant to the terms of a purchase and assumption agreement entered into by the Bank and the FDIC on April 30, 2010. The FDIC-assisted acquisition included all 22 branches of Eurobank. This transaction is referred to as the FDIC-assisted acquisition. Pursuant to a waiver granted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission) to the Group on May 28, 2010, and in accordance with the guidance provided in the SEC Staff Accounting Bulleting Topic 1.K, Financial Statements of Acquired Troubled Financial Institutions (SAB 1:K), the Group has omitted certain financial information of the FDIC-assisted acquisition otherwise required by Rule 3-05 of Regulation S-X. SAB 1:K provides relief from the requirements of Rule 3-05 of Regulation S-X under certain circumstances, including a transaction such as the Eurobank Acquisition, in which the registrant engages in an acquisition of a troubled financial institution for which audited financial statements are not reasonably available and in which federal assistance is so pervasive as to substantially reduce the relevance of such information to an assessment of future operations.

#### Significant Accounting Policies

The unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Group are prepared in accordance with GAAP as prescribed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) and with the general practices within the financial services industry. In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The Group believes that, of its significant accounting policies, the following may involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity.

#### Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

As a result of the FDIC-assisted acquisition, the Group has a class of loans called covered loans that are covered by the shared-loss agreements with the FDIC. Non-covered loans are loans not covered by the shared-loss agreements with the FDIC. Non-covered loans include any loans made outside of the FDIC shared-loss agreements before or after the April 30, 2010 FDIC-assisted acquisition. Non-covered loans also include credit cards acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition.

#### Non-covered loans

Non-covered loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or pay-off are reported at their outstanding unpaid principal balances adjusted for charge-offs, the allowance for non-covered loan and lease losses, unamortized discount related to mortgage servicing right sold and any deferred fees or costs on originated loans. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance. Loan origination fees and costs and premiums and discounts on loans purchased are deferred and amortized over the estimated life of the loans as an adjustment of their yield through interest income using the interest method. When a loan is paid off or sold, any unamortized deferred fee (cost) is credited (charged) to income.

Credit cards acquired as part of the FDIC-assisted acquisition are to be accounted for under the guidance of ASC 310-20, which requires that any differences between the contractually required loan payment receivable in excess of the Group's initial investment in the loans be accreted into interest income on a level-yield basis over the life of the loan. Loans accounted for under ASC 310-20 are placed on non-accrual status when past due in accordance with the Group's non-accruing policy and any accretion of discount is discontinued. These assets were written-down to their estimated fair value on their acquisition date, incorporating an estimate of future expected cash flows. To the extent actual or projected cash flows are less than originally estimated, additional provisions for loan and lease losses will be recognized.

Interest recognition is discontinued when loans are 90 days or more in arrears on principal and/or interest based on contractual terms, except for collateralized residential mortgage loans for which recognition is discontinued when they become 365 days or more past due based on contractual terms and are then written down, if necessary, based on the specific evaluation of the collateral underlying the loan. Loans for which the recognition of interest income has been discontinued are designated as non-accruing. Collections are accounted for on the cash method thereafter, until qualifying to return to accrual status. Such loans are not reinstated to accrual status until interest is received on a current basis and other factors indicative of doubtful collection cease to exist.

The Group follows a systematic methodology to establish and evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses to provide for inherent losses in the non-covered loan portfolio. This methodology includes the consideration of factors such as economic conditions, portfolio risk characteristics, prior loss experience, and results of periodic credit reviews of individual loans. The provision for loan and lease losses charged to current operations is based on such methodology. Loan and lease losses are charged and recoveries are credited to the allowance for loan and lease losses on non-covered loans.

Larger commercial loans that exhibit potential or observed credit weaknesses are subject to individual review and grading. Where appropriate, allowances are allocated to individual loans based on management s estimate of the borrower s ability to repay the loan given the availability of collateral, other sources of cash flow and legal options available to the Group.

8

Included in the review of individual loans are those that are impaired. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Group will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, or as a practical expedient, at the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent. Loans are individually evaluated for impairment, except large groups of small balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment, and loans that are recorded at fair value or at the lower of cost or fair value. The Group measures for impairment all commercial loans over \$250 thousand and over 90-days past-due. The portfolios of mortgage, leases and consumer loans are considered homogeneous, and are evaluated collectively for impairment.

The Group, using a rating system, applies an overall allowance percentage to each non-covered loan portfolio category based on historical credit losses adjusted for current conditions and trends. This calculation is the starting point for management s systematic determination of the required level of the allowance for loan and lease losses. Other data considered in this determination includes: the credit grading assigned to commercial loans, delinquency levels, loss trends and other information including underwriting standards and economic trends.

Loan loss ratios and credit risk categories are updated quarterly and are applied in the context of GAAP as prescribed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) and the importance of depository institutions having prudent, conservative, but not excessive loan allowances that fall within an acceptable range of estimated losses. While management uses current available information in estimating possible loan and lease losses, factors beyond the Group's control such as those affecting general economic conditions may require future changes to the allowance.

#### Covered loans

Covered loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition, except for revolving lines of credit, are accounted for under the provisions of ASC 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality (ASC 310-30). The Group accounts for loans under ASC 310-30 when (a) the Group acquires loans deemed to be impaired when there is evidence of credit deterioration and it is probable, at the date of acquisition, that the Group would be unable to collect all contractually required payments and (b) as a general policy election for non-impaired loans that the Group acquires.

The acquired covered loans were recorded at their estimated fair value at the time of acquisition. Fair value of acquired loans is determined using a discounted cash flow model based on assumptions about the amount and timing of principal and interest payments, estimated prepayments, estimated default rates, estimated loss severity in the event of defaults, and current market rates. Estimated credit losses are included in the determination of fair value; therefore, an allowance for loan and lease losses is not recorded on the acquisition date.

In accordance with ASC 310-30 and in estimating the fair value of covered loans at the acquisition date, the Group (a) calculated the contractual amount and timing of undiscounted principal and interest payments (the undiscounted contractual cash flows) and (b) estimated the amount and timing of undiscounted expected principal and interest payments (the undiscounted expected cash flows). The difference between the undiscounted contractual cash flows and the undiscounted expected cash flows is the non-accretable difference. The non-accretable difference represents an estimate of the loss exposure in the covered loan portfolio and such amount is subject to change over time based on the performance of the covered loans. The carrying value of covered loans is reduced by payments received and increased by the portion of the accretable yield recognized as interest income.

The excess of undiscounted expected cash flows at acquisition over the initial fair value of acquired loans is referred to as the accretable yield and is recorded as interest income over the estimated life of the loans using the effective yield method if the timing and amount of the future cash flows is reasonably estimable. Subsequent to acquisition, the Group aggregates loans into pools of loans with common risk characteristics to account for the acquired loans. Increases in expected cash flows over those originally estimated increase the accretable yield and are recognized as interest income prospectively. Decreases in expected cash flows compared to those originally estimated decrease the accretable yield and are recognized by recording a provision for loan and lease losses and establishing an allowance for loan and lease losses.

Loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 are generally considered accruing and performing loans as the loans accrete interest income over the estimated life of the loan when cash flows are reasonably estimable. Accordingly, acquired impaired loans that are contractually past due are still considered to be accruing and performing loans. If the timing and amount of cash flows is not reasonably estimable, the loans may be classified as nonaccrual loans and interest income may be recognized on a cash basis or as a reduction of the principal amount outstanding.

Under the accounting guidance of ASC 310-30 for acquired loans, the allowance for loan and lease losses on covered loans is measured at each financial reporting period, or measurement date, based on expected cash flows. Accordingly, decreases in expected cash flows on the acquired covered loans as of the measurement date compared to those initially estimated are recognized by recording a provision for credit losses on covered loans. The portion of the loss on covered loans reimbursable from the FDIC is recorded in noninterest income and increases the FDIC loss-share indemnification asset.

Covered revolving lines of credit acquired as part of the FDIC-assisted acquisition are to be accounted for under the guidance of ASC 310-20, which requires that any differences between the contractually required loan payment receivable in excess of the Group's initial investment in the loans be accreted into interest income on a level-yield basis over the life of the loan. Loans accounted for under ASC 310-20 are placed on non-accrual status when past due in accordance with the Group's non-accruing policy and any accretion of discount is discontinued. These FDIC covered assets were written-down to their estimated fair value on their acquisition date, incorporating an estimate of future expected cash flows. To the extent actual or projected cash flows are less than originally estimated, additional provisions for loan and lease losses on the covered loan portfolio will be recognized; however, these provisions would be mostly offset by a corresponding increase in the FDIC loss-share indemnification asset.

#### Financial Instruments

Certain financial instruments, including derivatives, trading securities and investment securities available-for-sale, are recorded at fair value and unrealized gains and losses are recorded in other comprehensive income or as part of non-interest income, as appropriate. Fair values are based on listed market prices, if available. If listed market prices are not available, fair value is determined based on other relevant factors, including price quotations for similar instruments. The fair values of certain derivative contracts are derived from pricing models that consider current market and contractual prices for the underlying financial instruments as well as time value and yield curve or volatility factors underlying the positions.

The Group determines the fair value of its financial instruments based on the fair value measurement framework, which establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs of valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

**Level 1** Level 1 asset and liabilities include equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market, as well as certain U.S. Treasury and other U.S. government agency securities that are traded by dealers or brokers in active markets. Valuations are obtained from readily available pricing sources for market transactions involving identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include (i) mortgage-backed securities for which the fair value is estimated based on valuations obtained from third-party pricing services for identical or comparable assets, (ii) debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and (iii) derivative contracts and financial liabilities (e.g. callable brokered CDs and medium-term notes elected for fair value option under the fair value measurement framework), whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.

**Level 3** Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

A financial instrument s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of any input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

#### Impairment of Investment Securities

The Group conducts periodic reviews to identify and evaluate each investment in an unrealized loss position for other-than-temporary impairments. ASC 320-10-65-1, changed the accounting requirements for other-than-temporary impairments for debt securities, and in certain circumstances, separates the amount of total impairment into credit and noncredit-related amounts. The corresponding review takes into consideration current market conditions, issuer rating changes and trends, the credit worthiness of the obligor of the security, current analysts evaluations, failure of the issuer to make scheduled interest or principal payments, the Group s intent to not sell the security or whether it is more-likely-than-not that the Group will be required to sell the debt security before its anticipated recovery, as well as other qualitative factors. The term other-than-temporary impairment is not intended to indicate that the decline is permanent, but indicates that the prospects for a near-term recovery of value is not favorable, or that there is a lack of evidence to support a realizable value equal to or greater than the carrying value of the investment. Any portion of a decline in value associated with credit loss is recognized in income with the remaining noncredit-related component being recognized in other comprehensive income. A credit loss is determined by assessing whether the amortized cost basis of the security will be recovered, by comparing the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the security, discounted at the rate equal to the yield used to accrete current and prospective beneficial interest for the security. The shortfall of the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected in relation to the amortized cost basis is considered to be the credit loss.

The Group s review for impairment generally entails:

intent to sell the debt security;

if it is more likely than not that the entity will be required to sell the debt securities before the anticipated recovery;

identification and evaluation of investments that have indications of possible other-than-temporary impairment;

periodic evaluation of investment in FHLB stock;

analysis of individual investments that have fair values less than amortized cost, including consideration of the length of time the investment has been in an unrealized loss position and the expected recovery period;

discussion of evidential matter, including an evaluation of factors or triggers that could cause individual investments to qualify as having other-than-temporary impairment and those that would not support other-than-temporary impairment.

#### FDIC loss-share indemnification asset

The Group has determined that the FDIC loss-share indemnification asset will be accounted for as an indemnification asset measured separately from the covered loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition as it is not contractually embedded in any of the covered loans. The loss-share indemnification asset related to estimated future loan and lease losses is not transferable should we sell a loan prior to foreclosure or maturity. The fair value of the loss-share indemnification asset represents the present value of the estimated cash payments expected to be received from the FDIC for future losses on covered assets, based on the credit adjustment estimated for each covered asset and the loss sharing percentages. These cash flows are then discounted at a market-based rate to reflect the uncertainty of the timing and receipt of the loss sharing reimbursements from the FDIC. The amount ultimately collected for this asset is dependent upon the performance of the underlying covered assets, the passage of time, and claims submitted to the FDIC. The time value of money incorporated into the present value computation is accreted into earnings over the shorter of the life of the shared-loss agreements or the holding period of the covered assets.

The FDIC loss-share indemnification asset will be reduced as losses are recognized on covered loans and loss sharing payments are received from the FDIC. Realized credit losses in excess of acquisition-date estimates will result in an

increase in the FDIC loss-share indemnification asset. Conversely, if realized credit losses are less than acquisition-date estimates, the FDIC loss-share indemnification asset will be reduced.

#### Core Deposit Intangible

Core deposit intangible ( CDI ) is a measure of the value of checking and savings deposits acquired in a business combination. The fair value of the CDI stemming from any given business combination is based on the present value of the expected cost savings attributable to the core deposit funding, relative to an alternative source of funding. CDI is amortized over the estimated useful lives of the existing deposit

11

relationships acquired, but do not exceed 10 years. The Group evaluates such identifiable intangibles for impairment when an indication of impairment exists. No impairment charges were required to be recorded in the quarter ended June 30, 2010. If an impairment loss is determined to exist in the future, the loss will be reflected as a non-interest expense in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the period in which such impairment is identified.

#### Foreclosed Real Estate and Other Repossessed Property

#### Non-covered Foreclosed Real Estate

Foreclosed real estate is initially recorded at the lower of the related loan balance or the fair value less cost to sell of the real estate at the date of foreclosure. At the time properties are acquired in full or partial satisfaction of loans, any excess of the loan balance over the estimated fair value of the property is charged against the allowance for loan and lease losses on non-covered loans. After foreclosure, these properties are carried at the lower of cost or fair value less estimated cost to sell, based on recent appraised values or options to purchase the foreclosed property. Any excess of the carrying value over the estimated fair value, less estimated costs to sell, is charged to non-interest expense. The costs and expenses associated to holding these properties in portfolio are expensed as incurred.

#### Covered Foreclosed Real Estate and Other Repossessed Property

Covered foreclosed real estate and other repossessed property were initially recorded at their estimated fair value on the acquisition date based on appraisal value less estimated selling costs. Any subsequent write downs due to declines in fair value are charged to non-interest expense with a partially offsetting non-interest income for the loss reimbursement under the FDIC shared-loss agreement. Any recoveries of previous write downs are credited to non-interest expense with a corresponding charge to non-interest income for the portion of the recovery that is due to the FDIC.

#### **Income Taxes**

In preparing the unaudited consolidated financial statements, the Group is required to estimate income taxes. This involves an estimate of current income tax expense together with an assessment of temporary differences resulting from differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The determination of current income tax expense involves estimates and assumptions that require the Group to assume certain positions based on its interpretation of current tax laws and regulations. Changes in assumptions affecting estimates may be required in the future and estimated tax assets or liabilities may need to be increased or decreased accordingly. The accrual for tax contingencies is adjusted in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the progress of tax audits, case law and emerging legislation. When particular matters arise, a number of years may elapse before such matters are audited and finally resolved. Favorable resolution of such matters could be recognized as a reduction to the Group s effective tax rate in the year of resolution. Unfavorable settlement of any particular issue could increase the effective tax rate and may require the use of cash in the year of resolution.

The determination of deferred tax expense or benefit is based on changes in the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities that generate temporary differences. The carrying value of the Group s net deferred tax assets assumes that the Group will be able to generate sufficient future taxable income based on estimates and assumptions. If these estimates and related assumptions change in the future, the Group may be required to record valuation allowances against its deferred tax assets resulting in additional income tax expense in the consolidated statements of operations. Management evaluates the realizability of the deferred tax assets on a regular basis and assesses the need for a valuation allowance. A valuation allowance is established when management believes that it is more likely than not that some portion of its deferred tax assets will not be realized. Changes in valuation allowance from period to period are included in the Group s tax provision in the period of change.

In addition to valuation allowances, the Group establishes accruals for uncertain tax positions when, despite the belief that the Group s tax return positions are fully supported, the Group believes that certain positions are likely to be challenged. The uncertain tax positions accruals are adjusted in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the progress of tax audits, case law, and emerging legislation. The Group s uncertain tax positions accruals are reflected as income tax payable as a component of accrued expenses and other liabilities. These accruals are reduced upon expiration of statute of limitations.

The Group follows a two-step approach for recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation process, if any. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement.

The Group s policy is to include interest and penalties related to unrecognized income tax benefits within the provision for income taxes on the consolidated statements of operations.

#### **Equity-Based Compensation Plans**

The Group's Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Performance Incentive Plan (the Omnibus Plan), provides for equity-based compensation incentives through the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and dividend equivalents, as well as equity-based performance awards. The Omnibus Plan was adopted in 2007 and amended and restated in 2008. It was further amended in 2010.

The purpose of the Omnibus Plan is to provide flexibility to the Group to attract, retain and motivate directors, officers, and key employees through the grant of awards based on performance and to adjust its compensation practices to the best compensation practice and corporate governance trends as they develop from time to time. The Omnibus Plan is further intended to motivate high levels of individual performance coupled with increased shareholder returns. Therefore, awards under the Omnibus Plan (each, an Award ) are intended to be based upon the recipient s individual performance, level of responsibility and potential to make significant contributions to the Group. Generally, the Omnibus Plan will terminate as of (a) the date when no more of the Group s shares of common stock are available for issuance under the Omnibus Plan, or, if earlier, (b) the date the Omnibus Plan is terminated by the Group s Board of Directors.

The Board's Compensation Committee (the Committee), or such other committee as the Board may designate, has full authority to interpret and administer the Omnibus Plan in order to carry out its provisions and purposes. The Committee has the authority to determine those persons eligible to receive an Award and to establish the terms and conditions of any Award. The Committee may delegate, subject to such terms or conditions or guidelines as it shall determine, to any employee or group of employees any portion of its authority and powers under the Omnibus Plan with respect to participants who are not directors or executive officers subject to the reporting requirements under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ). Only the Committee may exercise authority in respect of Awards granted to such participants.

The Omnibus Plan replaced and superseded the Group s 1996, 1998 and 2000 Incentive Stock Option Plans (the Stock Option Plans ). All outstanding stock options under the Stock Option Plans continue in full force and effect, subject to their original terms and conditions.

The expected term of stock options granted represents the period of time that stock options granted are expected to be outstanding. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatility of the Group s shares of common stock over the most recent period equal to the expected term of the stock options.

#### Subsequent Events

The Group has evaluated other events subsequent to the balance sheet date and prior to filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 and has adjusted and disclosed those events that have occurred that would require adjustment or disclosure in the consolidated financial statements.

#### Reclassifications

When necessary, certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to the current year presentation.

#### Recent Accounting Developments:

**Derivatives and Hedging** - In March 2010, FASB issued a clarification on the scope exception for embedded credit derivatives. The guidance eliminates the scope exception for bifurcation of embedded credit derivatives in interests in securitized financial assets, unless they are created solely by subordination of one financial debt instrument to another. The guidance is effective beginning in the first reporting period after June 15, 2010, with earlier adoption permitted for the quarter beginning after March 31, 2010. This clarification did not have a material impact on the Group s financial position or results of operations.

**Loan Modification** - In April 2010, FASB issued an update affecting accounting for loan modifications for those loans that are acquired with deteriorated credit quality and are accounted for on a pool basis. It clarifies that the modifications of such loans do not result in the removal of those loans from the pool even if the modification of those loans would otherwise be considered a troubled debt restructuring. An entity will continue to be required to consider whether the pool of assets in which the loan is included is impaired if expected cash flows for the pool change. The new guidance is effective prospectively for modifications occurring in the first interim or annual period ending on or after July 15, 2010. Early application is permitted. The Group will apply this guidance for loans acquired on the FDIC-assisted acquisition accounted for under ASC 310-30.

Credit Quality and Allowance for Credit Losses Disclosures In July 2010, FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, Disclosures about Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and Allowance for Credit Losses. The ASU requires a greater level of disaggregated information about the allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of financing receivables. The period-end balance disclosure requirements for loans and the allowance for loan and lease losses will be effective for reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010, while disclosures for activity during a reporting period that occurs in the loan and allowance for loan and lease losses accounts will be effective for reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010.

Other accounting standards that have been issued by FASB or other standards-setting bodies are not expected to have a material impact on the Group s financial condition, statement of operations or cash flows.

#### NOTE 2 FDIC-ASSISTED ACQUISITION

On April 30, 2010 the Bank acquired certain assets and assumed certain deposits and other liabilities of Eurobank from the FDIC as receiver of Eurobank San Juan, Puerto Rico. As part of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement between the Bank and the FDIC (the Purchase and Assumption Agreement ), the Bank and the FDIC entered into shared-loss agreements (each, a shared-loss agreement and collectively, the shared-loss agreements), whereby the FDIC will cover a substantial portion of any future losses on loans (and related unfunded loan commitments), foreclosed real estate and other repossessed properties. This acquisition was made to expand the Group s presence in Puerto Rico.

The acquired loans, foreclosed real estate, and other repossessed property subject to the shared-loss agreements are collectively referred as covered assets. Under the terms of the shared-loss agreements, the FDIC will absorb 80% of losses and share in 80% of loss recoveries on covered assets. The term for loss share on single family residential mortgage loans is ten years with respect to losses and loss recoveries, while the term for loss share on commercial loans is five years with respect to losses and eight years with respect to loss recoveries, from the April 30, 2010 acquisition date. The shared-loss agreements also provide for certain costs directly related to the collection and preservation of covered assets to be reimbursed at an 80% level.

Furthermore, in June 2020, approximately ten years following the acquisition date, the Bank may be required to make a true-up payment to the FDIC in the event that losses on covered assets under the shared-loss agreements have been less than estimates. The payment amount would be 50% of the excess, if any, of (i) \$181.2 million (or 20% of the intrinsic loss estimate of \$906.0 million) less (ii) the sum of (a) \$56.9 million (or 25% of the asset discount of \$227.5 million), plus (b) 25% of cumulative shared-loss payments, plus (c) the cumulative servicing amount. The cumulative servicing amount is 1% of the average asset for each year during the terms of the shared-loss agreements. As of June 30, 2010, the Bank estimates that there will be no liability under this provision of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement.

The FDIC has certain rights to withhold loss sharing payments if the Bank does not perform its obligations under the shared-loss agreement in accordance with their terms and to withdraw the loss share protection if certain significant

transactions are effected without FDIC consent, including certain business combination transactions and sales of shares by the Group s shareholders, some of which may be beyond the Group s control. Any of these actions will be reflected in the FDIC loss-share indemnification asset.

Under the terms of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement, the Bank acquired certain assets of Eurobank, including commercial, construction, one-to-four residential mortgage and other loans, and assumed certain liabilities, including insured and uninsured deposits, but excluding brokered deposits. Based on the closing with the FDIC as of April 30, 2010, the Bank (a) acquired at an estimated fair value of \$839.5 million in loans, \$20.6 million in foreclosed or repossessed assets, \$89.8 million in cash and cash equivalents, \$10.1 million in Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock, \$1.4 million in a core deposit intangible, and \$5.3 million in other assets, and (b) assumed \$729.5 million in deposits and \$15.8 million in other liabilities. These amounts are estimates and subject to adjustment based upon final settlement with the FDIC by April 30, 2011.

14

In consideration for the excess assets acquired over liabilities assumed, the Bank issued a money purchase note to the FDIC of \$715.5 million, a value appreciation instrument ( VAI ) valued at \$909 thousand and also agreed to a settlement payable to the FDIC of \$10.6 million.

The terms of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement provide for the FDIC to indemnify the Bank against claims with respect to liabilities of Eurobank not assumed by the Bank and certain other types of claims listed in the Purchase and Assumption Agreement.

The Group has determined that the acquisition of the net assets of Eurobank constitutes a business acquisition as defined by the FASB ASC Topic 805 ( Business Combinations ). Accordingly, the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of April 30, 2010 are presented at their relative fair values in the table below as required by that Topic. In many cases, the determination of these fair values required management to make estimates about discount rates, expected cash flows, market conditions and other future events that are highly subjective in nature and subject to change. These fair value estimates are subject to change for up to one year after the closing date of the FDIC-assisted acquisition as additional information relative to closing date fair values becomes available. The Bank and the FDIC are engaged in ongoing discussions that may impact which assets and liabilities are ultimately acquired or assumed by the Bank and/or the purchase price. However, the amount that the Group realizes on these assets could differ materially from the carrying value included in the unaudited consolidated statements of financial condition primarily as a result of changes in the timing and amount of collections on the acquired loans in future periods. Because of the shared-loss agreements with the FDIC on the covered assets, the Group does not expect to incur significant losses. To the extent the actual values realized for the acquired loans differ from the estimated amounts, the indemnification asset will generally be impacted in an offsetting manner due to the loss sharing support from the FDIC. The application of the acquisition method of accounting resulted in a bargain purchase gain of \$16.5 million, which increased the non-interest income for the quarter and the six-month period ended June 30, 2010. A summary of the net assets acquired from the FDIC and the estimated fair value adjustments resulting in the bargain purchase gain follows:

	<b>April 30, 2010</b> (in thousands)	
Eurobank s cost basis net assets on April 30, 2010, before fair value adjustments	\$	958,328
Fair value adjustments		
Loans		(701,208)
Foreclosed real estate and other repossessed property		(8,893)
FDIC loss-share indemnification asset		516,250
Core deposit intangible		1,423
Certificates of deposits		(7,104)
Other assets		(14,868)
		743,928
Consideration at fair value		
Purchase money note issued to the FDIC		(715,970)
Settlement payable to the FDIC		(10,590)
Equity appreciation instrument		(909)
		(727,469)
Pre-tax bargain from purchase gain on the FDIC-assisted acquisition		16,459
Deferred income tax liability, net		(6,419)
Net after-tax bargain purchase gain from the FDIC-assisted acquisition	\$	10,040

The bargain purchase gain represents the excess of the estimated fair value of the assets over the estimated fair value of the liabilities assumed and is influenced significantly by the FDIC-assisted transaction process, only certain assets and liabilities are transferred to the acquirer, and depending on the nature and amount of the acquirer s bid, the FDIC may be required to make a cash payment to the acquirer or request a payment from the acquirer.

Pursuant to the Purchase and Assumption Agreement, the FDIC granted to the Bank an exclusive 90-day option, commencing on the acquisition date, to purchase or lease, as applicable, any or all bank premises of Eurobank. Prior to expiration of the 90-day option, the Group notified the FDIC of its intention to renegotiate new lease agreements for some of the leased real estate. After recently receiving appraisals on owned bank premises, the Group is presently considering whether to exercise its option to purchase such premises.

The following table sets forth the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, at fair value, in the FDIC-assisted acquisition:

	April 30, 2010 (in thousands)	
Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	89,777
Federal Home Loan Bank stock		10,077
Loans covered by shared-loss agreements		836,474
Loans not covered by share-loss agreements		3,009
Foreclosed real estated covered by shared-loss agreements		17,527
Other repossessed properties covered by shared-loss agreements		3,062
FDIC loss-share indemnification asset		516,250
Core deposit intangible		1,423
Other assets		5,301
Total assets acquired	\$	1,482,900
Liabilities		
Deposits	\$	729,546
Other liabilities		15,845
Total liabilities assumed	\$	745,391
Net assets acquired	\$	737,509

#### Fair Value of Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date reflecting assumptions that a market participant would use when pricing an asset or liability. In some cases, the estimation of fair values requires management to make estimates about discount rates, future expected cash flows, market conditions and other future events that are highly subjective in nature and subject to change. The methods used to determine the fair values of the significant assets acquired and liabilities assumed are described below.

Cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents include cash and due from banks, and interest-earning deposits with banks and the Federal Reserve Bank. Cash and cash equivalents have a maturity of 90 days or less at the time of purchase. The fair value of financial instruments that are short-term or re-price frequently and that have little or no risk were considered to have a fair value that approximates to carrying value.

Federal Home Loan Bank stock The fair value of acquired FHLB stock was estimated to be its redemption value. Subsequent to April 30, 2010 the FHLB stock was redeemed at its carrying amount.

Loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition, excluding extensions of credit pursuant to a credit card plan, are referred as covered loans as the Bank will be reimbursed by the FDIC for a substantial portion of any future credit losses on them under the terms of the shared-loss agreements. At the April 30, 2010 acquisition date, the estimated fair value of the FDIC-assisted acquisition loan portfolio was \$839.5 million. Loans fair values were estimated by discounting the expected cash flows from the portfolio. In estimating such fair value and expected cash flows, management made several assumptions regarding prepayments, collateral cash flows, the timing of defaults, and the loss severity of defaults. Other factors expected by market participants were considered in determining the fair value of acquired loans, including loan pool level estimated cash flows, type of loan and related collateral, risk classification status (i.e. performing or nonperforming), fixed or variable interest rate, term of loan and whether or not the loan was amortizing and current discount rates.

The methods used to estimate fair value are extremely sensitive to the assumptions and estimates used. While management attempted to use assumptions and estimates that best reflected the acquired loan portfolios and current market conditions, a greater degree of subjectivity is inherent in these values than in those determined in active markets. Accordingly, readers are cautioned in using this information for purposes of evaluating the financial condition and/or value of the Group in and of itself or in comparison with any other company.

Key assumption used to measure fair value on loans are CPR 2.0 and discount rate 12.91%.

Foreclosed real estate and other repossessed properties - Foreclosed real estate and other repossessed properties (primarily vehicles) are presented at their estimated fair value and are also subject to the FDIC shared-loss agreements. The fair values were determined using expected selling price, less selling and carrying costs, discounted to present value.

FDIC loss-share indemnification asset The FDIC loss-share indemnification asset, also known as the indemnification asset, is measured separately from each of the covered asset categories as it is not contractually embedded in any of the covered asset categories. The \$516.3 million fair value of the FDIC loss-share indemnification asset represents the present value of the estimated cash payments (net of amount owed to the FDIC) expected to be received from the FDIC for future losses on covered assets based on the credit assumptions on estimated cash flows for each covered asset pool and the loss sharing percentages. The ultimate collectability of the FDIC loss-share indemnification asset is dependent upon the performance of the underlying covered loans, the passage of time and claims paid by the FDIC which are impacted by the Bank s adherence to certain guidelines established by the FDIC.

Core deposit intangible (CDI) CDI is a measure of the value of non-interest checking, savings, and NOW and money market deposits that are acquired in business combinations. The fair value of the CDI stemming from any given business combination was based on the present value of the expected cost savings attributable to the core deposit funding, relative to an alternative source of funding.

Deposit liabilities The fair values used for demand and savings deposits are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair values for time deposits were estimated using a discounted cash flow method that applies interest rates currently being offered on time deposits to a schedule of aggregated contractual maturities of such time deposits.

Deferred taxes Deferred income taxes relate to the differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in this transaction. The Group s effective tax rate used in measuring deferred taxes resulting from the FDIC-assisted acquisition is 39%.

Other assets and other liabilities Given the short-term nature of these financial instruments the carrying amounts reflected in the statement of assets acquired and liabilities assumed approximated fair value.

### NOTE 3 INVESTMENTS

### Money Market Investments

The Group considers as cash equivalents all money market instruments that are not pledged and that have maturities of three months of less at the date of acquisition. At June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009, cash equivalents included as part of cash and due from banks amounted to \$42.1 million and \$29.4 million, respectively.

### **Investment Securities**

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses, fair value, and weighted average yield of the securities owned by the Group at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, were as follows:

Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Losses	Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield
		(In thousands)		
\$ 600,827	\$ 2,908	\$	\$ 603,735	4.68%
71,299 61,723	69	3,277 20,117	68,091 41,606	5.37% 3.70%
733,849	2,977	23,394	713,432	
3,584,980	62,755		3,647,735	4.00%
288,844	14,793		303,637	4.72%
202,370	3,015	466	204,919	5.06%
103,896		32,091	71,805	5.09%
4,180,090	80,563	32,557	4,228,096	
\$4,913,939	\$ 83,540	\$ 55,951	\$ 4,941,528	4.21%
		•	9	
Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains		Fair Value	Weighted Average Yield
\$ 1,037,722	\$ 359	\$ 30,990	\$ 1,007,091	3.18%
71,537	9	6,181	65,365	5.37%
	\$ 600,827  71,299 61,723  733,849  3,584,980 288,844 202,370 103,896  4,180,090 \$ 4,913,939  Amortized Cost  \$ 1,037,722	Amortized Cost       Unrealized Gains         \$ 600,827       \$ 2,908         71,299       69         61,723       2,977         3,584,980       62,755         288,844       14,793         202,370       3,015         103,896         4,180,090       80,563         \$ 4,913,939       \$ 83,540         Amortized Cost       Unrealized Gains         \$ 1,037,722       \$ 359	Amortized Cost         Gross Unrealized Gains         Gross Unrealized Losses (In thousands)           \$ 600,827         \$ 2,908         \$           71,299         69         3,277 20,117           733,849         2,977         23,394           3,584,980 288,844         62,755 14,793           202,370         3,015         466           103,896         32,091           4,180,090         80,563         32,557           \$ 4,913,939         \$ 83,540         \$ 55,951           Amortized Cost         Gross Gross Unrealized Losses (In thousands)           \$ 1,037,722         \$ 359         \$ 30,990	Amortized Cost         Gross Unrealized Gains         Gross Unrealized Losses (In thousands)         Fair Value           \$ 600,827         \$ 2,908         \$ 603,735           71,299         69         3,277         68,091           61,723         20,117         41,606           733,849         2,977         23,394         713,432           3,584,980         62,755         3,647,735           288,844         14,793         303,637           202,370         3,015         466         204,919           103,896         32,091         71,805           4,180,090         80,563         32,557         4,228,096           \$4,913,939         \$ 83,540         \$ 55,951         \$ 4,941,528           Amortized Cost         Unrealized Gains Unrealized Losses (In thousands)         Value Value           \$1,037,722         \$ 359         \$ 30,990         \$ 1,007,091

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Structured credit investments	61,722		23,340	38,382	3.69%
<b>Total investment securities</b>	1,170,981	368	60,511	1,110,838	
FNMA and FHLMC certificates	2,766,317	22,154	24,298	2,764,173	4.62%
GNMA certificates CMOs issued by US Government	339,830	7,317	1,044	346,103	4.81%
sponsored agencies Non-agency collateralized mortgage	279,454	7,057	3	286,508	5.20%
obligations	487,435		41,398	446,037	5.78%
Total mortgage-backed-securities and CMOs	3,873,036	36,528	66,743	3,842,821	
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 5,044,017	,	,		4.48%
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 5,0 <del>44</del> ,017	,	\$ 127,254	\$ 4,953,659	4.40%
		18			

The amortized cost and fair value of the Group s investment securities at June 30, 2010, by contractual maturity, are shown in the next table. Securities not due on a single contractual maturity date, such as collateralized mortgage obligations, are classified in the period of final contractual maturity. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

	June 30, 2010 Available-for-sale Amortized		
	Cost	Fair Value	
Investment securities	(In tho	usands)	
Due after 5 to 10 years			
Obligations of US Government sponsored agencies	\$ 209,898	\$ 210,659	
Puerto Rico Government and agency obligations	14,024	12,988	
Structured credit investments	11,975	8,285	
	,-,-	2,22	
Total due after 5 to 10 years	235,897	231,932	
Due after 10 years			
Obligations of US Government sponsored agencies	390,929	393,076	
Puerto Rico Government and agency obligations	57,275	55,103	
Structured credit investments	49,748	33,321	
Total due after 10 years	497,952	481,500	
Total investment securities	733,849	713,432	
Mortgage-backed securities			
Due after 5 to 10 years			
FNMA and FHLMC certificates	15,780	16,707	
Total due after 5 to 10 years	15,780	16,707	
Due after 10 years			
CMOs issued by US Government sponsored agencies	202,370	204,919	
FNMA and FHLMC certificates	3,569,200	3,631,028	
GNMA certificates	288,844	303,637	
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	103,896	71,805	
Total due after 10 years	4,164,310	4,211,389	
Total mortgage-backed securities	4,180,090	4,228,096	
Total securities available-for-sale	\$ 4,913,939	\$ 4,941,528	

Keeping with the Group's investment strategy, during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, there were certain sales of available-for sale securities because the Group felt at the time of such sales that gains could be realized while at the same time having good opportunities to invest the proceeds in other investment securities with attractive yields and terms that would allow the Group to continue to protect its net interest margin. Also, the Group, as part of its asset and liability management, purchases agency discount notes close to their maturities as a short term vehicle to reinvest the proceeds of sale transactions until similar investment securities with attractive yields can be purchased. The discount notes are pledged as collateral for repurchase agreements. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2010, the Group sold \$160.0 million of discount notes with minimal aggregate gross gains which amounted to \$1 thousand and sold \$287.0 million of discounted notes with minimal aggregate gross losses amounted to less than \$1 thousand.

In December 2009, the Group made the strategic decision to sell \$116.0 million of collateralized debt obligations at a loss of \$73.9 million. For the same strategic reasons, in early January 2010, the Group sold \$374.3 million of non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations with a loss of \$45.8 million. This loss was accounted for as other-than-temporary impairment in the fourth quarter of 2009 and no additional gain or loss was realized on the sale in January 2010, since these assets were sold at the same value reflected at December 31, 2009.

The tables below present an analysis of the gross realized gains and losses by category for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

		Six	-Month Per	riod E	nded Ju	ne 30, 201	10		
	Face				Sale	Book	(	Gross	Gross
Description	Value	Cost	Sale P			alue	(	Gains	Losses
Gain on Sale of Securities Available-for-Sale Investment securities			(I	n thou	sands)				
Obligations of U.S. Government sponsored agencies	\$ 447,000	\$ 446,978	8 \$ 446	5,989	\$	446,988	\$	1	\$ 1
Total investment securities	447,000	446,978	8 446	5,989		446,988		1	1
Mortgage-backed securities and CMOs FNMA and FHLMC									
certificates	1,870,158	1,731,962			1,	,558,808		23,852	
GNMA certificates Non-agency collateralized mortgage	69,637	70,213	3 70	),191		70,190		1	
obligations	626,619	623,695	5 368	3,216		368,216			
Total mortgage-backed securities and CMOs	2,566,414	2,425,870	0 2,021	,067	1.	,997,214		23,853	
Total	\$ 3,013,414	\$ 2,872,848	8 \$ 2,468	3,056	\$ 2	,444,202	\$	23,854	\$ 1
		Face	Six-Mon	th Per	iod End	led June 3 Sale Bo		09 Gross	Gross
Description		Value	Cost		Price	Valu	e	Gains	Losses
Sale of Securities Availab Investment securities Puerto Rico Government at				(In	1 thousa	nds)			
obligations	nd agency	90,000	\$ 90,612	\$	90,000	\$ 90	,000	\$	\$
Obligations of U.S. Govern		, , , , , , ,	<del>, -</del>		,		, -	,	•
sponsored agencies		1,672,285	1,673,089	1,6	572,230	1,672	,081	162	2 13
Total investment securities	es	1,762,285	1,763,701	1,7	62,230	1,762	,081	162	2 13

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Mortgage-backed securities and						
CMOs						
FNMA and FHLMC certificates	783,722	797,092	730,841	716,588	14,253	
GNMA certificates	68,406	69,092	69,090	69,042	48	
CMOs issued by U.S. Government						
sponsored agencies	330,000	330,938	336,994	330,584	6,410	
Total mortgage-backed securities and CMOs	1,182,128	1,197,122	1,136,925	1,116,214	20,711	
Total	\$ 2,944,413	\$ 2,960,823	\$ 2,899,155	\$ 2,878,295	\$ 20,873	\$ 13
		20				

The following table shows the Group s gross unrealized losses and fair value of investment securities available-for-sale, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

## June 30, 2010 Available-for-sale (In thousands)

	Le	ess tha	n 12 mont	hs
	Amortized	Un	realized	Fair
	Cost		Loss	Value
CMOs issued by US Government sponsored agencies	\$ 109,716	\$	466	\$ 109,250
	109,716		466	109,250
			iths or moi	
	Amortized	_	realized	Fair
	Cost		Loss	Value
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	103,896		32,091	71,805
Puerto Rico Government and agency obligations	60,917		3,277	57,640
Structured credit investments	61,723		20,117	41,606
	226,536		55,485	171,051
			Гotal	
	Amortized	Un	realized	Fair
	Cost		Loss	Value
CMOs issued by US Government sponsored agencies	109,716		466	109,250
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	103,896		32,091	71,805
Puerto Rico Government and agency obligations	60,917		3,277	57,640
Structured credit investments	61,723		20,117	41,606
	\$ 336,252	\$	55,951	\$ 280,301

# December 31, 2009 Available-for-sale (In thousands)

	Less than 12 months				
	Amortized	Un	realized	Fair	
	Cost		Loss	Value	
FNMA and FHLMC certificates	\$ 1,772,575	\$	24,287	\$1,748,288	
Obligations of US Government sponsored agencies	602,926		30,990	571,936	
GNMA certificates	154,916		1,030	153,886	
CMOs issued by US Government sponsored agencies	2,701		3	2,698	
	2,533,118		56,310	2,476,808	

		12 months or mo	ore
	Amortized	Unrealized	Fair
	Cost	Loss	Value
FNMA and FHLMC certificates	605	11	594
GNMA certificates	350	14	336
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	113,122	41,398	71,724
Puerto Rico Government and agency obligations	71,155	6,181	64,974
Structured credit investments	61,722	23,340	38,382
	246,954	70,944	176,010
		Total	
	Amortized	Unrealized	Fair
	Cost	Loss	Value
FNMA and FHLMC certificates	1,773,180	24,298	1,748,882
Obligations of US Government sponsored agencies	602,926	30,990	571,936
GNMA certificates	155,266	1,044	154,222
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations	113,122	41,398	71,724
Puerto Rico Government and agency obligations	71,155	6,181	64,974
Structured credit investments	61,722	23,340	38,382
CMOs issued by US Government sponsored agencies	2,701	3	2,698
	\$ 2,780,072	\$ 127,254	\$ 2,652,818
	21		

The Group constantly monitors the non-agency mortgage-backed securities portfolio to measure the collateral performance and gauge trends for such positions, and the effect of collateral behavior on credit enhancements, cash flows, and fair values of the bonds. The Group also periodically monitors any rating migration, and takes into account the time lag between underlying performance and rating agency actions. The basis for the determination of other-than-temporary impairments on this security is an analysis that projects the future cash flows of the security considering the credit risk components of the underlying collateral (default, severity and prepayment estimates), and discounts such cash flows at the rate equal to the effective rate at the date of acquisition. The present value of the expected cash flows is compared to the current outstanding balance of the tranche to determine the ratio of the estimated present value of expected cash flows to the total current balance for the tranche. Any shortfall of the present value of the cash flows expected to be collected in relation to the amortized cost basis is considered to be the net credit-related impairment loss, and therefore recognized in earnings.

During the six-month period ended June 30, 2010, net credit-related impairment losses of \$2.4 million were recognized in earnings and \$32.1 million of noncredit-related impairment losses were recognized in other comprehensive income for a non-agency collateralized mortgage obligation pool not expected to be sold. Major inputs to measure the amount related to the credit losses were 11.45% of default rate, 44.85% of severity, and 13.49% for prepayment rate.

The following table summarizes other-than-temporary impairment losses (in thousands) on securities for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010:

	_	uarter Ended	Six-Month Period Ended June 30,	
	June	e <b>30, 2010</b>	2010	
Total loss other-than-temporarily impaired securities	\$	(1,796)	\$ (41,386)	
Portion of loss on securities recognized in other comprehensive income		0	38,958	
Net impairment losses recognized in earnings	\$	(1,796)	\$ (2,428)	

The Group does not intend to sell this security, and it is more likely than not, that it will not be required to sell this security prior to the recovery of its amortized cost basis less any current period credit losses.

The following table presents a summary of credit-related impairment losses recognized in earnings (in thousands) on the aforementioned security:

Credit-related impairment losses recognized in earnings in:

2008	\$ 21,080
2009	4,309
2010	2,428

Total credit related impairment losses recognized in earnings up to June 30, 2010

\$27.817

At June 30, 2010, the Group s portfolio of structured credit investments amounted to \$61.7 million (amortized cost) in the available-for-sale portfolio, with net unrealized losses of approximately \$20.1 million. The Group s structured credit investments portfolio consist of two types of instruments: synthetic collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) and collateralized loan obligations (CLOs).

The CLOs are collateralized mostly by senior secured (via first liens) middle market commercial and industrial loans, which are securitized in the form of obligations. The Group invested in three of such instruments in 2007, and as of June 30, 2010 have an aggregate amortized cost of \$36.2 million and unrealized losses of \$11.0 million. These investments are all floating rate notes, which reset quarterly based on the three-month Libor rate.

The basis for the determination of other-than-temporary impairments on these securities consists on a series of analyses that include: cash flows projections to determine recoverability of the principal invested in each deal and the impairment probability for each; the discounting of future cash flows to compare their present value to the instrument s amortized cost to determine any credit loss that may exist; the review of the trustee reports that are available for each deal; monitoring the subordination level for each deal; and the ongoing monitoring of each security s credit rating. The Group estimates that it will recover all interest and principal for the Group s specific tranches of these securities. This assessment is based on the cash flow analysis mentioned above in which the credit quality of the Group s positions was evaluated through a determination of the expected losses on the underlying collateral. The losses on the underlying corporate pools were inferred by observations on the credit ratings and credit spreads of the reference entities or market quotes used to derive the credit spreads. The spreads of the portfolios were converted to loss probabilities, and these were applied to a model that provided estimated projected losses for each security. The model results show that the estimated future collateral losses, if any, are lower than the Group's subordination levels for each one of these securities. Therefore, these securities are deemed to have sufficient credit support to absorb the estimated collateral losses.

The Group owns a corporate bond that is partially invested in a synthetic CDO with an amortized cost of \$25.5 million and unrealized losses of \$9.1 million. Due to the nature of this corporate bond deal, the Group s analysis focuses primarily on the CDO. The basis for the determination of other-than-temporary impairments on this security consists on a series of analyses that include: the ongoing review of the level of subordination (attachment and detachment) that the deal structure maintains at each quarter end to determine the level of protection that remains after events of default may affect any of the entities in the CDO s reference portfolio; simulations performed on such reference portfolio to determine the probability of default by any of the remaining entities; the review of the credit default spreads for each entity in the reference portfolio to monitor their specific performance; and the constant monitoring of the CDO s credit rating.

As a result of the aforementioned analysis, the Group estimates that it will recover all interest and principal invested in the bond. This is based on the results of the analysis mentioned above in which show that the subordination level (attachment/detachment) available under the structure of the CDO is sufficient to allow the Group to recover the value of its investment.

Other-than-temporary impairment analysis is based on estimates that depend on market conditions and are subject to further change over time. In addition, while the Group believes that the methodology used to value these exposures is reasonable, the methodology is subject to continuing refinement, including those made as a result of market developments. Consequently, it is reasonably possible that changes in estimates or conditions could result in the need

to recognize additional other-than-temporary impairment charges in the future.

Other securities in an unrealized loss position at June 30, 2010 are mainly composed of securities issued or backed by U.S. government agencies and U.S. government-sponsored agencies. These investments are primarily highly liquid securities that have a large and efficient secondary market. Valuations are performed on a monthly basis. The Group s management believes that the unrealized losses of such other securities at June 30, 2010, are also temporary and are substantially related to market interest rate fluctuations and not to deterioration in the

23

creditworthiness of the issuer or guarantor. At June 30, 2010, the Group does not have the intent to sell these investments in unrealized loss position.

### NOTE 4 PLEDGED ASSETS

At June 30, 2010, residential mortgage loans amounting to \$535.7 million were pledged to secure advances and borrowings from the FHLB. Investment securities with fair values totaling \$3.9 billion, \$53.5 million and \$50.6 million at June 30, 2010, were pledged to secure investment securities sold under agreements to repurchase, public fund deposits and other funds, respectively. Also, at June 30, 2010, investment securities with fair values totaling \$14.8 million were pledged against interest rate swaps contracts, while others with fair values of \$123 thousand were pledged to the OCFI.

As of June 30, 2010, investment securities available-for-sale not pledged amounted to \$923.6 million. As of June 30, 2010, mortgage loans not pledged amounted to \$388.8 million.

The Purchase Money Note issued to the FDIC is secured by the loans (other than consumer loans not secured by real estate and extensions of credit pursuant to credit card acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition and all proceeds derived from such loans.

# NOTE 5 LOANS RECEIVABLE AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES Loans Receivable Composition

The composition of the Group s loan portfolio at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was as follows:

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009		
	(In thousands)			
Non-covered loans:				
Loans secured by real estate:				
Residential - 1 to 4 family	\$ 881,581	\$ 898,790		
Home equity loans, secured personal loans and others	18,777	20,145		
Commercial	145,599	157,631		
Deferred loan fees, net	(3,414)	(3,318)		
	1,042,543	1,073,248		
Other loans:				
Commercial	65,379	40,146		
Personal consumer loans and credit lines	28,390	22,864		
Leasing	1,451	·		
Deferred loan fees, net	(176)	(178)		
	95,044	62,832		
Loans receivable	1,137,587	1,136,080		
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(28,002)	(23,272)		
Loans receivable, net	1,109,585	1,112,808		
Mortgage loans held-for-sale	27,519	27,261		
Total non-covered loans, net	1,137,104	1,140,069		
Covered loans				
Loans secured by residential properties	194,891			
Commercial and construction	473,288			
Leasing	120,003			

Consumer 21,713

Total covered loans 809,895

Total loans, net \$1,946,999 \$ 1,140,069

Covered loans refer to all loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition and are subject to the previously mentioned shared-loss agreements, except for credit cards. Non-covered loans refer to all loans in the Group s loan portfolio excluding covered loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition.

### Non-covered Loans

The Group s credit activities are mainly with customers located in Puerto Rico. The Group s loan transactions are encompassed within four main categories: mortgage, commercial, consumer and leases. The latter business was added to the Group s credit activities as part of the recent FDIC-assisted acquisition.

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Group had \$58.2 million and \$57.1 million, respectively, of non-accrual non-covered loans including revolving lines of credit accounted under ASC 310-20. Covered loans are considered to be performing due to the application of the accretion method under the ASC 310-30, as furthered discussed in Note 2, FDIC-assisted acquisition.

The following table presents information regarding the Group s non-performing loans at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

24

	June 30, 2010	D	ecember 31, 2009		
	(Dollars i	(Dollars in thousands			
Non-performing loans:					
Mortgage	\$ 94,942	\$	88,238		
Commercial, mainly real estate	14,220		15,688		
Consumer	866		445		
Total	\$ 110,028	\$	104,371		

In accordance with GAAP, the Group is required to account for certain loan modifications or restructurings as troubled debt restructurings. In general, a modification or restructuring of a loan constitutes a troubled debt restructuring if the Group grants a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulty. Loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring are placed on non-accrual status until the Group determines that future collection of principal and interest is reasonably assured. Loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring totaled \$10.3 million at June 30, 2010 (\$10.7 December 31, 2009).

### Loans Acquired in the FDIC-Assisted Acquisition

Loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition, except for credit cards and other revolving credit lines, are accounted for by the Group in accordance with ASC 310-30, Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality. Under ASC 310-30, these loans were aggregated into pools based on similar characteristics. Each loan pool is accounted for as a single asset with a single composite interest rate and an aggregate expectation of cash flows. The covered loans which are accounted for under ASC 310-30 by the Group are not reported as non-performing and will continue to have an accretable yield as long as there is a reasonable expectation about the timing and amount of cash flows expected to be collected.

Revolving lines of credit acquired as part of the FDIC-assisted acquisition are to be accounted for under the guidance of ASC 310-20, which requires that any differences between the contractually required loan payment receivable in excess of the Group's initial investment in the loans be accreted into interest income on a level-yield basis over the life of the loan. Loans accounted for under ASC 310-20 are placed on non-accrual status when past due in accordance with the Group's non-accruing policy and any accretion of discount is discontinued. These FDIC covered assets were written-down to their estimated fair value on their acquisition date, incorporating an estimate of future expected cash flows. To the extent actual or projected cash flows are less than originally estimated, additional provisions for loan and lease losses on the covered loan portfolio will be recognized; however, these provisions would be mostly offset by a corresponding increase in the FDIC loss share indemnification asset.

At April 30, 2010, loans under ASC 310-30 totaled \$824.3 million which represented unpaid balances of \$1.517 billion reduced by a discount of \$692.6 million resulting from acquisition date fair value adjustments. Other loans totaled \$15.2 million which represented unpaid balances of \$23.8 million reduced by a discount of \$8.6 million resulting from acquisition date fair value adjustments. The undiscounted contractual cash flows for the loans under ASC 310-30 are \$1.772 billion. The undiscounted estimated cash flows expected to be collected for loans under ASC 310-30 are \$1.022 billion. The non-accretable discount on loans under ASC 310-30 amounted to \$749.5 million. Loans under ASC 310-30 are those loans showing evidence of credit deterioration and it is probable, at the date of acquisition, that the Group will not collect all contractually required principal and interest payments. Generally, acquired loans that meet the Group s definition for nonaccrual status fall within the definition of loans under ASC 310-30 covered loans. Also, if a definitive conclusion cannot be reached based on information that is readily available as to whether it is probable that all of the contractual required payments will not be collected on a loan, an election was made to apply the accretable yield method, as a loan with credit deterioration and impairment. These loans are disclosed as a loan that was acquired with credit deterioration and impairment.

The accretable yield on loans represents the amount by which the undiscounted expected cash flows exceed the estimated fair value. At April 30, 2010, such accretable yield was approximately \$198.5 million, which is expected to amortize as income over the life of the loan. Loans under ASC 310-30are those loans showing evidence of credit deterioration and it is probable, at the date of acquisition, that the Group will not collect all contractually required principal and interest payments. Generally, acquired loans that meet the Group s definition for nonaccrual status fall within the definition of loans under ASC 310-30 covered loans.

Also, if a definitive conclusion cannot be reached based on information that is readily available as to whether it is probable that all of the contractual required payments will not be collected on a loan, an election was made to apply the accretable yield method, as a loan with credit deterioration and impairment. These loans are disclosed as a loan that was acquired with credit deterioration and impairment.

The following summarizes the accretable yield from the loans acquired during the six-month period ended June 30, 2010:

	Six-month per ended June 30, 201 (In thousands	
Accretable yield at beginning of period	\$	
Accretable yield determined at date of FDIC assisted acquisition		198,450
Accretable yield amortized to interest income during the period		(13,439)
Accretable yield at end of period	\$	185,011
25		

#### Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

### Non-Covered Loans and Loans under ASC 310-20

The Group maintains an allowance for loan and lease losses at a level that management considers adequate to provide for probable losses based upon an evaluation of known and inherent risks. The Group's allowance for loan and lease losses policy provides for a detailed quarterly analysis of probable losses. The allowance for loan and lease losses is assessed for non-covered loans and covered loans accounted under the provisions of ASC 310-20 as detailed above under the covered asset section of this Note. The analysis includes a review of historical loan loss experience, value of underlying collateral, current economic conditions, financial condition of borrowers and other pertinent factors. While management uses available information in estimating probable loan and lease losses, future additions to the allowance may be required based on factors beyond the Group's control.

The changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six-Month Period ended J 30,			led June
	2010	2009		2010		2009
	(In thou	isands)				
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 25,977	\$ 15,147	\$	23,272	\$	14,293
Provision for loan and lease losses	4,100	3,650		8,114		6,850
Net credit losses	(2,075)	(2,079)		(3,384)		(4,425)
Balance at end of period	\$ 28,002	\$ 16,718	\$	28,002	\$	16,718

The Group evaluates all loans, some individually and others as homogeneous groups, for purposes of determining impairment. The Group s recorded investment in commercial and mortgage loans that were individually evaluated for impairment, excluding FDIC covered loans, and the related allowance for loan and lease losses as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 follows:

		June	30, 2010		De	eceml	ber 31, 20	09
	Recorded Investment		pecific owance	Coverage (In the	Recorded Investment ousands)		pecific owance	Coverage
Impaired loans with specific allowance				(III till)	ousumus)			
Commercial	\$11,632	\$	2,305	20%	\$ 9,355	\$	709	8%
Mortgage Impaired loans with no specific allowance	10,318		700	7%	10,717		684	6%
Commercial	11,221				6,227			
Total investment in impaired loans	\$ 33,171	\$	3,005	9%	\$ 26,299	\$	1,393	5%
impan cu ivans	$\psi JJ, 1/1$	Ψ	5,005	9 /0	Ψ 40,499	Ψ	1,595	3 /0

The impaired commercial loans were measured based on the fair value of collateral. Impairment on mortgage loans assessed as troubled debt restructuring was measured using the present value of cash flows.

### Covered Loans under ASC 310-30

The covered loans were recognized at fair value as of April 30, 2010, which included the impact of expected credit losses and therefore, no allowance for credit losses was recorded at the acquisition date. To the extent credit deterioration occurs after the date of acquisition, the Group would record an allowance for loan and lease losses. Also,

the Group would record an increase in the FDIC loss-share indemnification asset for the expected reimbursement from the FDIC under the shared-loss agreements. Management determined that there was no need to record an allowance for loan and lease losses on the covered loans as of June 30, 2010. Considering the short period elapsed from the acquisition date, the Group does not believe that the difference between cash flows expected to be collected on the covered loans and those anticipated at April 30, 2010 need further assessment.

### NOTE 6 SERVICING ASSETS

The Group periodically sells or securitizes mortgage loans while retaining the obligation to perform the servicing of such loans. In addition, the Group may purchase or assume the right to service mortgage loans originated by others. Whenever the Group undertakes an obligation to service a loan, management assesses whether a servicing asset and/or liability should be recognized. A servicing asset is recognized whenever the compensation for servicing is expected to more than adequately compensate the servicer for performing the servicing. Likewise, a servicing liability would be recognized in the event that servicing fees to be received are not expected to adequately compensate the Group for its expected cost. Servicing assets are presented as other assets in the unaudited consolidated statements of financial condition.

All separately recognized servicing assets are recognized at fair value using the fair value measurement method. Under the fair value measurement method, the Group measures servicing rights at fair value at each reporting date and reports changes in fair value of servicing asset in earnings in the period in which the changes occur, and includes these changes, if any, with mortgage banking activities in the unaudited consolidated statement of operations. The fair value of servicing rights is subject to fluctuations as a result of changes in estimated and actual prepayment speeds and default rates and losses.

The fair value of servicing rights is estimated by using a cash flow valuation model which calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration actual and expected loan prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing costs, and other economic factors, which are determined based on current market conditions. At June 30, 2010 servicing assets are composed of \$8.1 million (\$5.2 million June 30, 2009) related to residential mortgage loans and \$1.2 million of other servicing assets mainly related to leases. Such servicing assets were acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition on April 30, 2010.

The following table presents the changes in servicing rights measured using the fair value method for the quarter and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six-Month Period Ended June 30,			
	2010	2009		2010	2	2009
	(In thou	usands)		(In tho	usands)	
Fair value at beginning of period	\$ 7,569	\$ 3,467	\$	7,120	\$	2,819
Acquisition of leasing servicing asset from FDIC						
assisted acquisition	1,190			1,190		
Servicing from mortgage securitizations or assets	·			•		
transfers	724	654		1,409		1,278
Changes due to payments on loans	(112)	(68)		(216)		(117)
Changes in fair value due to changes in valuation	, ,	, ,		, ,		, ,
model inputs or assumptions	(86)	1,189		(218)		1,262
Fair value at end of period	\$ 9,285	\$ 5,242	\$	9,285	\$	5,242

The following table presents key economic assumptions ranges used in measuring the mortgage related servicing assets fair value:

	Quarter Ende	ed June 30,	Six-Month Period Ended June 3			
	2010	2009	2010	2009		
Constant prepayment rate	9.20% - 31.32%	7.52% - 32.22%	8.40% - 31.32%	7.52% - 32.22%		
Discount rate	11.00% - 14.00%	10.50% - 13.50%	11.00% - 14.00%	10.00% - 13.50%		

Key economic assumptions ranges used in measuring the other servicing assets fair value at June 30, 2010 (these assets where acquired during the FDIC-assisted acquisition on April 30, 2010):

# Constant prepayment rate 11.19% - 16.49% Discount rate 8.98% - 10.06%

The sensitivity of the current fair value of servicing assets to immediate 10 percent and 20 percent adverse changes in the above key assumptions were as follow:

June 30, 2010 (in thousands) \$ 9.285

Constant prepayment rate		
Decrease in fair value due to 10% adverse change		\$ (301)
Decrease in fair value due to 20% adverse change		\$ (582)
Discount rate		
Decrease in fair value due to 10% adverse change		\$ (368)
Decrease in fair value due to 20% adverse change		\$ (705)
	27	

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should be used with caution. As the figures indicate, changes in fair value based on a 10 percent variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, in this table, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the retained interest is calculated without changing any other assumption.

In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another (for example, increases in market interest rates may result in lower prepayments), which may magnify or offset the sensitivities.

Mortgage banking activities, a component of total banking and wealth management revenues in the unaudited consolidated statements of operations, include the changes from period to period in the fair value of the servicing rights, which may result from changes in the valuation model inputs or assumptions (principally reflecting changes in discount rates and prepayment speed assumptions) and other changes, including changes due to collection/realization of expected cash flows.

Servicing fee income is based on a contractual percentage of the outstanding principal and is recorded as income when earned. Servicing fees on mortgage loans totaled \$572 thousand and \$692 thousand for the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. These fees totaled \$1.1 million and \$1.0 million for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. There were no late fees and ancillary fees recorded in such periods. Servicing fees on other loans amounted to \$238 thousand for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010 (\$0 both periods ended June 30, 2009).

### NOTE 7 PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Premises and equipment at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization as follows:

	Useful Life (Years)		June 30 2010		2010 2009	
				•	ousan	*
Land			\$	978	\$	978
Buildings and improvements	4	0		2,905		2,982
Leasehold improvements	5	10	1	9,128		19,198
Furniture and fixtures	3	7		8,693		8,527
Information technology and other	3	7	1	7,096		16,944
Vehicles				622		
			4	9,422		48,629
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization			(3	1,309)		(28,854)
			<b>\$</b> 1	8,113	\$	19,775

Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment for the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 totaled \$1.3 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, these expenses amounted to \$2.6 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. These are included in the unaudited consolidated statements of operations as part of occupancy and equipment expenses.

### NOTE 8 ACCRUED INTEREST RECEIVABLE AND OTHER ASSETS

Accrued interest receivable at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 consists of the following:

	June 30 2010	Decemb 31, 2009		
	(In th	(In thousand		
Loans	\$11,318	\$	10,888	
Investments	23,354		22,768	
	\$ 34,672	\$	33,656	

Other assets at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 consist of the following:

	June		
	30,	December 31	
	2010		2009
	(Iı	nds)	
Prepaid FDIC insurance	\$ 19,565	\$	22,568
Investment in equity indexed options	4,433		6,464
Mortgage tax credits	1,954		3,819
Other prepaid expenses	7,312		4,269
Debt issuance costs	2,915		3,531
Goodwill	2,006		2,006
Investment in Statutory Trusts	1,084		1,086
Forward settlement swaps			8,511
FDIC expense reimbursement receivable	985		
FDIC settlement claims in process	4,654		
Other repossessed assets (covered by FDIC shared-loss agreements)	3,091		
Third party servicing advances	2,543		
Accounts receivable and other assets	10,195		5,535
	\$ 60,737	\$	57,789

On November 12, 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule requiring insured depository institutions to prepay their estimated quarterly risk-based assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009, and for all of 2010, 2011, and 2012, on December 30, 2009, along with each institution s risk-based deposit insurance assessment for the third quarter of 2009. The prepayment of the assessment covering fiscal years 2010, 2011 and 2012 amounted to \$19.6 million and \$22.6 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

The Group offers its customers certificates of deposit with an option tied to the performance of the Standard & Poor s 500 stock market index. The Group uses option agreements with major broker-dealer companies to manage its exposure to changes in this index. Under the terms of the option agreements, the Group receives the average increase in the month-end value of the index in exchange for a fixed premium. The changes in fair value of the option agreements used to manage the exposure in the stock market in the certificates of deposit are recorded in earnings. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the purchased options used to manage the exposure to the stock market on stock indexed deposits represented an asset of \$4.4 million (notional amount of \$149.6 million) and \$6.5 million (notional amount of \$150.7 million), respectively. The options sold to customers embedded in the certificates of deposit and recorded as deposits in the unaudited consolidated statement of financial condition, represented at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 a liability of \$7.5 million (notional amount of \$144.3 million) and \$9.5 million

(notional amount of \$145.4 million), respectively and are included in other liabilities on the unaudited consolidated statements of financial condition.

In December 2007, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico established mortgage loan tax credits to financial institutions that provided financing for the acquisition of new homeowners for the period from December 2007 to December 2008 up to a maximum amount of \$220 million in tax credits overall. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Group s mortgage loan tax credits amounted to \$2.0 million and \$3.8 million, respectively.

In March 2009, the Group s banking subsidiary issued \$105 million in notes guaranteed under the FDIC Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program. Shortly after issuance of the notes, the Group paid \$3.2 million (equivalent to an annual fee of 100 basis points) to the FDIC to maintain the FDIC guarantee coverage until the

29

maturity of the notes. These costs have been deferred and are being amortized over the term of the notes. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, this deferred issue cost was \$2.9 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, there were open forward settlement swaps with an aggregate notional

amount of \$900 million. The forward settlement date of these swaps is December 28, 2011 with final maturities ranging from December 28, 2013 through December 28, 2014. A derivative liability of \$3.4 million and a derivative asset of \$8.5 million were recognized at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, related to the valuation of these swaps.

Other repossessed assets amounting to \$3.1 million represent covered assets under FDIC shared-loss agreements and are related to the Eurobank leasing portfolio acquired under the FDIC-assisted acquisition.

Third party servicing advances amounting to \$2.5 million at June 30, 2010 relates to advances made to commercial and construction loan as servicer for certain loans acquired from the FDIC-assisted acquisition.

30

### NOTE 9 DEPOSITS AND RELATED INTEREST

Total deposits as of June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009 consist of the following:

	June 30, 2010	D	December 31, 2009
	(Ii	n thousa	nds)
Non-interest bearing demand deposits	\$ 168,647	\$	73,548
Interest-bearing savings and demand deposits	921,437		706,750
Individual retirement accounts	337,141		312,843
Retail certificates of deposit	419,655		312,410
Total retail deposits	1,846,880		1,405,551
Institutional deposits	547,276		136,683
Brokered deposits	144,115		203,267
	\$ 2,538,271	\$	1,745,501

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the weighted average interest rate of the Group s deposits was 2.05%, and 3.13%, respectively, inclusive of non-interest bearing deposits of \$168.6 million, and \$73.5 million, respectively. Interest expense for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is set forth below:

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Six Months Period Ended Jun 30,			
	2010	2009		2010		2009
	(In tho	usands)		(In tho	usands)	ı
Demand and savings deposits	\$ 4,533	\$ 4,719	\$	8,437	\$	8,471
Certificates of deposit	7,394	9,430		14,733		19,501
	\$ 11,927	\$ 14,149	\$	23,170	\$	27,972

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, certificates of deposits in denominations of \$100 thousand or higher amounted to \$774.0 million and \$359.1 million, respectively, including public fund deposits from various local government agencies of \$71.2 million and \$63.4 million, which were collateralized with investment securities with fair value of \$53.5 million and \$72.6 million, respectively.

Excluding equity indexed options in the amount of \$7.5 million, which are used by the Group to manage its exposure to the Standard & Poor s 500 stock market index, and also excluding accrued interest of \$5.1 million, unamortized deposit premium in the amount of \$5.3 million and unamortized deposit discounts in the amount of \$13.4 million, the scheduled maturities of time deposit at June 30, 2010 are as follows:

	(In thousands)			
Within one year:				
Three (3) months or less	\$ 335,443			
Over 3 months through 1 year	630,873			
	966,316			
Over 1 through 2 years	136,498			
Over 2 through 3 years	246,868			
Over 3 through 4 years	30,769			
Over 4 through 5 years	63,474			

\$ 1,443,926

The aggregate amount of overdraft in demand deposit accounts that were reclassified to loans amounted to \$2.1 million as of June 30, 2010 (December 31, 2009 \$1.6 million).

### NOTE 10 BORROWINGS

## Federal Funds Purchased and Short Term Borrowings

At June 30, 2010, federal funds purchased and short term borrowings amounted to approximately \$45.2 million (December 31, 2009 \$49.2 million), which mainly consisted of federal funds purchased with a weighted average

rate of 0.55% (December 31, 2009 0.44%).

### Securities Sold under Agreements to Repurchase

At June 30, 2010, securities underlying agreements to repurchase were delivered to, and are being held by, the counterparties with whom the repurchase agreements were transacted. The counterparties have agreed to resell to the Group the same or similar securities at the maturity of the agreements.

At June 30, 2010, securities sold under agreements to repurchase (classified by counterparty), excluding accrued interest in the amount of \$7.1 million, were as follows:

	Fair Value Underlyii				
	Borrowing		•		
	Balance	(	Collateral		
	(In the	(In thousands)			
Citigroup Global Markets Inc.	\$ 1,700,000	\$	1,857,242		
Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC	1,250,000		1,326,335		
UBS Financial Services Inc.	500,000		595,761		
JP Morgan Chase Bank NA	100,000		121,500		
Total	\$3,550,000	\$	3,900,838		

The terms of the Group's structured repurchase agreements range between three and ten years, and the counterparties have the right to exercise at par on a quarterly basis put options before their contractual maturity from one to three years after the agreements settlement dates. The following table shows a summary of these agreements and their terms, excluding accrued interest in the amount of \$7.1 million, at June 30, 2010:

Weighted-Average									
Year of Maturity		orrowing Balance thousands)	Coupon	Settlement Date	<b>Maturity Date</b>	Next Put Date			
2010	\$	100,000	4.39%	08/14/2007	08/16/2010	08/16/2010			
		100,000							
2011									
		100,000	4.17%	12/28/2006	12/28/2011	09/28/2010			
		350,000	4.13%	12/28/2006	12/28/2011	09/28/2010			
		100,000 350,000	4.29% 4.25%	12/28/2006 12/28/2006	12/28/2011 12/28/2011	09/28/2010 09/28/2010			
		900,000							
2012									
		350,000	4.26%	05/09/2007	05/09/2012	08/09/2010			
		100,000	4.50%	08/14/2007	08/14/2012	08/16/2010			
		100,000	4.47%	09/13/2007	09/13/2012	09/13/2010			
		150,000	4.31%	03/06/2007	12/06/2012	09/07/2010			

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	700,000				
2014					
	100,000	4.72%	07/27/2007	07/27/2014	07/27/2010
	100,000				
2017	500,000	4 5 1 07	02/02/2007	02/02/2017	00/02/2010
2017	500,000	4.51%	03/02/2007	03/02/2017	09/02/2010
	250,000	0.25%	03/02/2007	03/02/2017	09/02/2010
	100,000	0.00%	06/06/2007	03/06/2017	09/07/2010
	900,000	0.00%	03/06/2007	06/06/2017	09/07/2010
	1,750,000				
	\$ 3,550,000	2.83%			

None of the structured repurchase agreements referred to above with put dates up to the date of this filing were put by the counterparties at their corresponding put dates. The repurchase agreements include \$1.25 billion, which reset at the put date at a formula which is based on the three-month LIBOR rate less fifteen times the difference between the ten-year SWAP rate and the two-year SWAP rate, with a minimum of 0.00% on \$1.0 billion and 0.25% on \$250 million, and a maximum of 10.6%. These repurchase agreements bear the respective minimum rates of 32

0.0% (from March 6, 2009) and 0.25% (from March 2, 2009) to at least their next put dates scheduled for September 2010.

### Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank

During 2007, the Group restructured most of its FHLB advances portfolio into longer-term, structured advances. The terms of these advances range between five and seven years, and the FHLB has the right to exercise at par on a quarterly basis put options before the contractual maturity of the advances from six months to one year after the advances settlement dates.

The following table shows a summary of these advances and their terms, excluding accrued interest in the amount of \$1.7 million, at June 30, 2010:

Weighted-Average										
	Bo	orrowing		Settlement	Maturity	<b>Next Put</b>				
Year of Maturity	I	Balance	Coupon	Date	Date	Date				
		(In								
	th	ousands)								
2012										
	\$	25,000	4.37%	05/04/2007	05/04/2012	08/04/2010				
		25,000	4.57%	07/24/2007	07/24/2012	07/26/2010				
		25,000	4.26%	07/30/2007	07/30/2012	07/30/2010				
		50,000	4.33%	08/10/2007	08/10/2012	08/10/2010				
		100,000	4.09%	08/16/2007	08/16/2012	08/16/2010				
		225,000								
2014										
		25,000	4.20%	05/08/2007	05/08/2014	08/09/2010				
		30,000	4.22%	05/11/2007	05/11/2014	08/11/2010				
		55,000								
	\$	280,000	4.24%							

None of the structured advances from the FHLB referred to above with put dates up to the date of this filing were put by the counterparty at their corresponding put dates.

### Subordinated Capital Notes

Subordinated capital notes amounted to \$36.1 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009.

In August 2003, the Statutory Trust II, special purpose entity of the Group, was formed for the purpose of issuing trust redeemable preferred securities. In September 2003, \$35.0 million of trust redeemable preferred securities were issued by the Statutory Trust II as part of pooled underwriting transactions. Pooled underwriting involves participating with other bank holding companies in issuing the securities through a special purpose pooling vehicle created by the underwriters.

The proceeds from this issuance were used by the Statutory Trust II to purchase a like amount of floating rate junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures (subordinated capital notes) issued by the Group. The subordinated capital note has a par value of \$36.1 million, bears interest based on 3-month LIBOR plus 295 basis points (3.49% at June 30, 2010; 3.20% at December 31, 2009), payable quarterly, and matures on September 17, 2033. The subordinated capital note purchased by the Statutory Trust II may be called at par after five years and quarterly thereafter (next call date September 2010). The trust redeemable preferred securities have the same maturity and call provisions as the

subordinated capital notes. The subordinated deferrable interest debentures issued by the Group are accounted for as a liability denominated as subordinated capital notes on the unaudited consolidated statements of financial condition. The subordinated capital notes are treated as Tier 1 capital for regulatory purposes. Under Federal Reserve Board rules, restricted core capital elements, which are qualifying trust preferred securities, qualifying cumulative perpetual preferred stock (and related surplus) and certain minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries, are limited in the aggregate to no more than 25% of a bank holding company s core capital elements (including restricted core capital elements), net of goodwill less any associated deferred tax liability.

### FDIC- Guaranteed Term Notes Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program

The Group s banking subsidiary issued in March 2009 \$105 million in notes guaranteed under the FDIC Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program. These notes are due on March 16, 2012, bear interest at a 2.75% fixed rate, and are backed by the full faith and credit of the United States. Interest on the notes is payable on the 16th of each March and September, beginning September 16, 2009. Shortly after issuance of the notes, the Group paid \$3.2 million (equivalent to an annual fee of 100 basis points) to the FDIC to maintain the FDIC guarantee coverage until the maturity of the notes. This cost has been deferred and is being amortized over the term of the notes.

### Purchase Money Note Issued to the FDIC

As part of the FDIC-assisted acquisition, the Bank issued to the FDIC a secured promissory note (the Note) in the amount of \$715.5 million. The Note is secured by the loans (other than certain consumer loans) acquired under the agreement and all proceeds derived from such loans. The entire outstanding principal balance of the Note is due one year from issuance, or such earlier date as such amount may become due and payable pursuant to the terms of the Note. The Bank may extend the Note s maturity date for up to four additional one-year periods, subject to the notice requirements set forth therein. The Bank must pay interest in arrears on the Note at the Note Interest Rate (defined below) on the twenty-fifth day of each month or, if such day is not a business day, the next succeeding day that is a business day, commencing June 25, 2010, on the principal amount of the Note outstanding from time to time. Interest will be calculated on the basis of a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months. Borrowings under the Note bear interest at the per annum rate of 0.881%, and with respect to any renewal period, shall equal the sum of (a) 0.50% plus (b) the rate, determined by the FDIC on the business day immediately preceding the commencement of such renewal period, equal to the rate on United States Treasury Bills with a maturity of one year (the Note Interest Rate ). Should the Bank fail to pay any interest as and when due under the Note, such interest will accrue interest at the Note Interest Rate plus 2.00% per annum. As of June 30, 2010, the balance of this note amounted to \$711.1 million.

### NOTE 11 DERIVATIVE ACTIVITIES

The Group may use various derivative instruments as part of its asset and liability management. These transactions involve both credit and market risks. The notional amounts are amounts on which calculations, payments, and the value of the derivatives are based. Notional amounts do not represent direct credit exposures. Direct credit exposure is limited to the net difference between the calculated amounts to be received and paid, if any. The actual risk of loss is the cost of replacing, at market, these contracts in the event of default by the counterparties. The Group controls the credit risk of its derivative financial instrument agreements through credit approvals, limits, monitoring procedures and collateral, when considered necessary.

Derivative instruments are generally negotiated over-the-counter (OTC) contracts. Negotiated OTC derivatives are generally entered into between two counterparties that negotiate specific contractual terms, including the underlying instrument, amount, exercise price, and maturity.

The Group generally uses interest rate swaps and options in managing its interest rate risk exposure. Under the swaps, the Group usually pays a fixed monthly or quarterly cost and receives a floating thirty or ninety-day payment based on LIBOR. Floating rate payments received from the swap counterparties partially offset the interest payments to be made. If market conditions warrant, the Group might terminate the swaps prior to their maturity. During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, losses of \$26.6 million were recognized and reflected as Derivatives in the unaudited consolidated statements of operations. These losses on derivative activities included realized losses of \$24.7 million due to the terminations of forward-settle swaps with a notional amount of \$900 million. These

terminations allowed Oriental to enter into new forward-settle swap contracts for the same notional amount and maturity, and effectively reduce the interest rate of the pay-fixed side of such deals from an average rate of 3.53% to an average rate of 2.45%. The remaining losses mainly represent unrealized losses on new interest rate swaps. The Group offers its customers certificates of deposit with an option tied to the performance of the Standard & Poor s 500 stock market index. The Group uses option agreements with major broker-dealer companies to manage its exposure to changes in this index. Under the terms of the option agreements, the Group receives the average increase in the month-end value of the index in exchange for a fixed premium. The changes in fair value of the option agreements used to manage the exposure in the stock market in the certificates of deposit are recorded in earnings.

There were no derivatives designated as a hedge as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the purchased options used to manage the exposure to the stock market on stock indexed deposits represented an asset of \$4.4 million (notional amount of \$149.6 million) and \$6.5 million (notional amount of \$150.7 million), respectively; the options sold to customers embedded in the certificates of

deposit and recorded as deposits in the unaudited consolidated statement of financial condition, represented a liability of \$7.5 million (notional amount of \$144.3 million) and \$9.5 million (notional amount of \$145.4 million), respectively, and are included in other liabilities on the unaudited consolidated statements of financial condition.

### NOTE 12 INCOME TAX

Under the Puerto Rico Code, all companies are treated as separate taxable entities and are not entitled to file consolidated returns. The Group and its subsidiaries are subject to Puerto Rico regular income tax or alternative minimum tax ( AMT ) on income earned from all sources. The AMT is payable if it exceeds regular income tax. The excess of AMT over regular income tax paid in any one year may be used to offset regular income tax in future years, subject to certain limitations. The Group maintained an effective tax rate lower than the maximum marginal statutory rate of 40.95% as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, mainly due to the income from the Bank's international banking entity whose tax rate is 5% on both periods, and interest income arising from investments exempt from Puerto Rico income taxes, net of expenses attributable to the exempt income. Exempt interest relates mostly to interest earned on obligations of the United States and Puerto Rico governments and certain mortgage-backed securities, including securities held by the Bank s international banking entity. Pursuant to the Declaration of Fiscal Emergency and Omnibus Plan for Economic Stabilization and Restoration of the Puerto Rico Credit Act of March 9, 2009, for tax years beginning after December 31, 2008, and ending before January 1, 2012, every taxable corporation engaged in trade or business in Puerto Rico, including banks and insurance companies are subject to an additional five percent (5%) surcharge on corporate income tax, increasing the maximum tax rate from 39% to 40.95%. Also, income earned by international banking entities, which was previously fully exempt, is subject to a 5% income tax during the same period. These temporary taxes were enacted as a measure to generate additional revenues to address the fiscal crisis that the government of Puerto Rico is currently facing.

The Group classifies unrecognized tax benefits in income taxes payable. These gross unrecognized tax benefits would affect the effective tax rate if realized. The balance of unrecognized tax benefits at June 30, 2010 was \$6.5 million (December 31, 2009 \$6.3 million), and variance is mainly associated with accrued interests. The tax periods from 2005 to 2009, remain subject to examination by the Puerto Rico Department of Treasury.

The Group s policy to include interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within the provision for taxes on the consolidated statements of operations did not change as a result of implementing these provisions. The Group had accrued \$2.3 million at June 30, 2010 (December 31, 2009 \$2.1 million) for the payment of interest and penalties relating to unrecognized tax benefits.

### NOTE 13 STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

### Treasury Stock

Under the Group s current stock repurchase program it is authorized to purchase in the open market up to \$15.0 million of its outstanding shares on common stock. The shares of common stock repurchased are to be held by the Group as treasury shares. There were no repurchases during the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The approximate dollar value of shares that may yet be repurchased under the program amounted to \$11.3 million at June 30, 2010. The activity in connection with common shares held in treasury by the Group for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is set forth below:

		onth Period		- ,		
			2009			
		Dollar		Dollar		
		Amount				
	(In th	(In thousands, except for share				
		amo	unts)			
Beginning of period	1,504	\$ 17,142	1,442	\$ 17,109		
Common shares repurchased /(used) to match defined contribution plan, net	(12)	(22)	67	43		
End of period	1,492	\$ 17,120	1,509	\$ 17,152		

### **Equity-Based Compensation Plans**

The Omnibus Plan was amended and restated in 2008. It was further amended in 2010. It provides for equity-based compensation incentives through the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units, and dividend equivalents, as well as equity-based performance awards. The Omnibus Plan replaced and superseded the Stock Option Plans. All outstanding stock options under the Stock Option Plans continue in full force and effect, subject to their original terms.

The activity in outstanding stock options for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 is set forth below:

	Six-Month P June 30	Period Ended 0, 2010 Weighted		
	Number Of	Average Exercise Price		
	Options			
Beginning of period	514,376	\$	16.86	
Options granted	132,700		11.50	
Options exercised	(1,512)		13.32	
Options forfeited				
End of period	645,564	\$	15.76	

The following table summarizes the range of exercise prices and the weighted average remaining contractual life of the options outstanding at June 30, 2010:

	Number	Average Contract		U	Exerc Number	cisable Weighted Average Exercise	
	of			Contract Life	of		
Range of Exercise Prices	Options		Price	(Years)	Options	]	Price
\$ 5.63 to \$ 8.45	22,502	\$	8.06	6.2	6,826	\$	7.55
8.45 to 11.27	3,000		10.29	7.1			
11.27 to 14.09	378,527		12.10	6.9	144,452		12.41
14.09 to 16.90	62,035		15.60	4.1	46,035		15.78
19.72 to 22.54	29,600		20.70	4.7	22,100		20.30
22.54 to 25.35	88,850		23.98	3.8	88,850		23.98
25.35 to 28.17	61,050		27.48	4.3	61,050		27.48
	645,564	\$	15.76	5.9	369,313	\$	18.49
Aggregate Intrinsic Value	\$ 365,105				\$ 95,777		

The average fair value of each stock option granted in 2010 was \$6.45. The average fair value of each stock option granted was estimated at the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model was developed for use in estimating the fair value of traded options that have no restrictions and are fully transferable and negotiable in a free trading market. Black-Scholes does not consider the employment, transfer or vesting restrictions that are inherent in the Group s employee stock options. Use of an option valuation

model, as required by GAAP, includes highly subjective assumptions based on long-term predictions, including the expected stock price volatility and average life of each option grant.

The activity in restricted units under the Omnibus Plan for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 is set forth below:

	Six-Month I		
	June 3	A	) eighted verage Grant
	Restricted	1	Date
	Units	Fai	r Value
Beginning of year	147,625	\$	14.64
Restricted units granted	53,500		10.40
Restricted units exercised			
Restricted units forfeited	(400)		21.86
End of year			
	200,725	\$	13.76

# Earnings per Common Share

The calculation of earnings per common share for the quarters and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

		O	Ouarter End	led .Jui	ne 30.	Six-Month Period Ended June 30,					
			010		2009		2010		2009		
		(In thousands, except per share data)					(In thousands, except p share data)				
Net income	9	\$	17,349	\$	50,914	\$	29,285	\$	75,662		
Less: Dividends on preferred stock Less: Allocation of undistributed e			(1,733)		(1,201)		(2,934)		(2,401)		
for participating preferred shares	C		(3,104)				(3,104)				
Income available to common share	eholders S	\$	12,512	\$	49,713	\$	23,247	\$	73,261		
Weighted average common shar share equivalents:	es and										
Average common shares outstandi	ing		33,044		24,303		29,470		24,274		
Average potential common shares	-options		9		15		1		6		
Total			33,053		24,318		29,471		24,280		
Earnings per common share b	asic S	\$	0.38	\$	2.05	\$	0.79	\$	3.02		
Earnings per common share d	iluted	\$	0.38	\$	2.04	\$	0.79	\$	3.02		

For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, weighted-average stock options with an anti-dilutive effect on earnings per share not included in the calculation amounted to 224,200 and 350,236, respectively, compared to

494,874 and 507,243 for the same periods in 2009. Also for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, the Group issued 200,000 shares of Mandatorily Convertible Non-Cumulative Non-Voting Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C, described below, which also had anti-dilutive effects on earnings per share for the periods presented.

### Legal Surplus

The Banking Act requires that a minimum of 10% of the Bank s net income for the year be transferred to a reserve fund until such fund (legal surplus) equals the total paid in capital on common and preferred stock. At June 30, 2010, legal surplus amounted to \$48.3 million (December 31, 2009 - \$45.3 million). The amount transferred to the legal surplus account is not available for the payment of dividends to shareholders. In addition, the Federal Reserve Board has issued a policy statement that bank holding companies should generally pay dividends only from operating earnings of the current and preceding two years.

#### **Preferred Stock**

On May 28, 1999, the Group issued 1,340,000 shares of 7.125% Noncumulative Monthly Income Preferred Stock, Series A, at \$25 per share. Proceeds from issuance of the Series A Preferred Stock, were \$32.4 million, net of \$1.1 million of issuance costs. The Series A Preferred Stock has the following characteristics: (1) annual dividends of \$1.78 per share, payable monthly, if declared by the Board of Directors; missed dividends are not cumulative, (2) redeemable at the Group s option beginning on May 30, 2004, (3) no mandatory redemption or stated maturity date and (4) liquidation value of \$25 per share.

37

On September 30, 2003, the Group issued 1,380,000 shares of 7.0% Noncumulative Monthly Income Preferred Stock, Series B, at \$25 per share. Proceeds from issuance of the Series B Preferred Stock, were \$33.1 million, net of \$1.4 million of issuance costs. The Series B Preferred Stock has the following characteristics: (1) annual dividends of \$1.75 per share, payable monthly, if declared by the Board of Directors; missed dividends are not cumulative, (2) redeemable at the Group s option beginning on October 31, 2008, (3) no mandatory redemption or stated maturity date, and (4) liquidation value of \$25 per share.

At the annual meeting of shareholders held on April 30, 2010, a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote approved an increase of the number of authorized shares of preferred stock, par value \$1.00 per share, from 5,000,000 to 10,000,000.

On April 30, 2010, the Group issued 200,000 shares of Mandatorily Convertible Non-Cumulative Non-Voting Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C, through a private placement. The preferred stock had a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. At a special meeting of shareholders of the Group held on June 30, 2010, the majority of the shareholders approved the issuance of 13,320,000 shares of the Group s common stock upon the conversion of the Series C Preferred Stock, which was converted on July 8, 2010 at a conversion price of \$15.015 per share. The difference between the \$15.015 per share conversion price and the market price of the common stock on April 30, 2010 (\$16.72) was considered a contingent beneficial conversion feature resolved on June 30, 2010. Such feature amounted to \$22.7 million at June 30, 2010 and was recorded as additional paid-in-capital which will amortize as a deemed dividend through retained earnings until the conversion to common stock on July 8, 2010.

The income available for common shareholders for the quarter and the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 has been reduced by \$3.1 million, representing the allocation of the net income that corresponds to the convertible preferred shares because of its participating rights. This did not affect total stockholders—equity or book value per common share, but it did reduce income per common share for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010.

#### Common Stock

On March 19, 2010, the Group completed the public offering of 8,740,000 shares of its common stock. The offering resulted in net proceeds of \$94.5 million after deducting offering costs.

At the annual meeting of shareholders held on April 30, 2010, a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to vote approved an increase of the number of authorized shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, from 40,000,000 to 100,000,000.

# Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax, as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 consisted of:

	June 30 2010	D	ecember 31, 2009
	(In th	<b>1ousan</b>	ds)
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available-for-sale which are not			
other-than-temporarily impaired	\$ 42,123	\$	(48,786)
Unrealized loss on securities available-for-sale which a portion of			
other-than-temporary impairment has been recorded in earnings	(14,359)		(41,398)
Tax effect of accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income	(2,402)		7,445
	\$ 25,362	\$	(82,739)

#### Regulatory Capital Requirements

The Group (on a consolidated basis) and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Group s and the Bank s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for

prompt corrective action, the Group and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of their assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors. Prompt corrective action provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act are not applicable to bank holding companies.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Group and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined in the regulations) and of Tier 1 capital to average assets (as

38

defined in the regulations). As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Group and the Bank met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the FDIC categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized, an institution must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the following tables.

The Group s and the Bank s actual capital amounts and ratios as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

		Minimum Capital			
	Actu	Require	ment		
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
		(Dollars in	thousands)		
Group Ratios					
As of June 30, 2010					
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$762,429	25.09%	\$243,132	8.00%	
Tier I Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$734,427	24.17%	\$121,566	4.00%	
Tier I Capital to Total Assets	\$734,427	9.26%	\$317,404	4.00%	
As of December 31, 2009					
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$437,975	19.84%	\$176,591	8.00%	
Tier I Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$414,702	18.79%	\$ 88,295	4.00%	
Tier I Capital to Total Assets	\$414,702	6.52%	\$254,323	4.00%	

	Actual		Minimum Require	-	Minimum to be Wood Capitalized Unde Prompt Corrective Action Provisions		
	Amount	Ratio	Amount (Dollar thousa		Amount	Ratio	
Bank Ratios							
As of June 30, 2010							
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$736,929	24.49%	\$240,705	8.00%	\$300,881	10.00%	
Tier I Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$708,928	23.56%	\$120,352	4.00%	\$180,529	6.00%	
Tier I Capital to Total Assets	\$708,928	9.09%	\$311,803	4.00%	\$389,753	5.00%	
As of December 31, 2009							
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$382,611	17.59%	\$174,042	8.00%	\$217,553	10.00%	
Tier I Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$359,339	16.52%	\$ 87,021	4.00%	\$130,532	6.00%	
Tier I Capital to Total Assets	\$359,339	5.78%	\$248,678	$\boldsymbol{4.00\%}$	\$310,847	5.00%	

The Group s ability to pay dividends to its stockholders and other activities can be restricted if its capital falls below levels established by the Federal Reserve Board s guidelines. In addition, any bank holding company whose capital falls below levels specified in the guidelines can be required to implement a plan to increase capital.

#### NOTE 14 FAIR VALUE

As discussed in Note 1, the Group follows the fair value measurement framework under GAAP.

#### Fair Value Measurement

The fair value measurement framework defines fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. This framework also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs previously described that may be used

#### Money market investments

The fair value of money market investments is based on the carrying amounts reflected in the consolidated statements of financial condition as these are reasonable estimates of fair value given the short-term nature of the instruments.

#### Investment securities

The fair value of investment securities is based on quoted market prices, when available, or market prices provided by recognized broker-dealers. If listed prices or quotes are not available, fair value is based upon externally developed models that use both observable and unobservable inputs depending on the market activity of the instrument. Structured credit investments and non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations are classified as Level 3. The estimated fair value of the structured credit investments and the non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations are determined by using a third-party cash flow valuation model to calculate the present value of projected future cash flows. The assumptions, which are highly uncertain and require a high degree of judgment, include primarily market discount rates, current spreads, duration, leverage, default, home price depreciation, and loss rates. The assumptions used are drawn from a wide array of data sources, including the performance of the collateral underlying each deal. The external-based valuation, which is obtained at least on a quarterly basis, is analyzed by management and its assumptions are evaluated and incorporated in either an internal-based valuation model when deemed necessary or compared to counterparties prices and agreed by management.

#### Derivative instruments

The fair values of the derivative instruments were provided by valuation experts and counterparties. Certain derivatives with limited market activity are valued using externally developed models that consider unobservable market parameters. Based on the valuation methodology, derivative instruments are classified as Level 3. The Group offers its customers certificates of deposit with an option tied to the performance of the Standard & Poor s 500 stock market index (S&P 500 Index), and uses equity indexed option agreements with major broker-dealer companies to manage its exposure to changes in this index. Their fair value is obtained through the use of an external based valuation that was thoroughly evaluated and adopted by management as its measurement tool for these options. The payoff of these options is linked to the average value of the S&P 500 Index on a specific set of dates during the life of the option. The methodology uses an average rate option or a cash-settled option whose payoff is based on the difference between the expected average value of the S&P 500 Index during the remaining life of the option and the strike price at inception. The assumptions, which are uncertain and require a degree of judgment, include primarily S&P 500 Index volatility, forward interest rate projections, estimated index dividend payout, and leverage.

#### Servicing assets

Servicing assets or rights do not trade in an active market with readily observable prices. Servicing rights are priced using a discounted cash flow model. The valuation model considers servicing fees, portfolio characteristics, prepayment assumptions, delinquency rates, late charges, other ancillary revenues, cost to service and other economic factors. Due to unobservable nature of certain valuation inputs, the servicing rights are classified as Level 3.

#### Loans receivable considered impaired that are collateral dependent

The impairment is measured based on the fair value of the collateral, which is derived from appraisals that take into consideration prices in observed transactions involving similar assets in similar locations, in accordance with the provisions of ASC 310-10-35. The associated loans considered impaired are classified as Level 3.

#### Foreclosed real estate

Foreclosed real estate includes real estate properties securing residential mortgage and commercial loans. The fair value of foreclosed real estate may be determined using an external appraisal, broker price option or an internal valuation. These foreclosed assets are classified as Level 3 given certain internal adjustments that may be made to external appraisals.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis including financial liabilities for which the Group has elected the fair value option, are summarized below:

		June 3	30, 2010	
		Fair Value N	<b>Aeasurements</b>	
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
		(In the	ousands)	
Investment securities available-for-sale	\$	\$4,828,117	\$113,411	\$4,941,528
Money market investments	42,137			42,137
Derivative assets			4,433	4,433
Derivative liabilities		(3,374)	(7,473)	(10,847)
Servicing assets			9,285	9,285
	\$42,137	\$4,824,743	\$ 119,656	\$ 4,986,536

The table below presents reconciliation for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the quarter ended June 30, 2010:

# Total Fair Value Measurements (Quarter ended June 30, 2010)

	( )	[	- <b>()</b> ()		
	Investment				
	securities	Derivative	Derivative	Se	rvicing
Level 3 Instruments Only	available-for-sale	asset	liability	assets	
		(In the	ousands)		
Balance at beginning of period	\$111,287	\$ 7,875	(10,931)	\$	7,569
Gains (losses) included in earnings	(1,796)	(3,694)	3,593		
Changes in fair value included in other comprehensive					
income	8,383				
New instruments acquired		539	(537)		1,190
Principal repayments and amortization	(4,463)	(287)	402		(112)
Change in fair value of servicing asset					724
Change in fair value					(86)
Balance at end of period	\$ 113,411	\$ 4,433	\$ (7.473)	\$	9,285
Datance at end of period	Ф 113,411	φ <b>+,+33</b>	φ (1,413)	Ф	7,400

The table below presents reconciliation for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010:

# Total Fair Value Measurements (Six-month period ended June 30, 2010)

	(SIA-I	monun perioa e	inaca June 30, 2	<i>(</i> 010 <i>)</i>
	Investment			
	securities			
	available-	Derivative	Derivative	Servicing
	for-sale	asset	liability	assets
Level 3 Instruments Only		(In tho	usands)	
Balance at beginning of period	\$110,106	\$ 6,464	(9,543)	\$ 7,120
Gains (losses) included in earnings	(2,428)	(2,569)	2,312	
Changes in fair value included in other comprehensive				
income	12,530			
New instruments acquired		866	(879)	1,190
Principal repayments and amortization	(6,797)	(328)	637	(216)
Change in fair value of servicing asset				1,409
Change in fair value				(218)
Balance at end of period	\$ 113,411	\$ 4,433	\$ (7,473)	\$ 9,285

There were no transfers into and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements during the six-month period ended June 30, 2010.

The table below presents a detail of investment securities available-for-sale classified as Level 3 at June 30, 2010:

				Ju	ne 30, 2010	Wai abtad	
	Amortized	Un	Unrealized Fair		Weighted Average	Principal	
Туре	Cost		Losses (In		Value	Yield	Protection
Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations		tho	ousands)				
Alt-A Collateral	\$ 103,896	\$	32,091	\$	71,805	5.09%	1.43%
Structured credit investments							
CDO	25,548		9,111		16,437	5.80%	6.61%
CLO	15,000		4,836		10,164	2.59%	7.64%
CLO	11,975		3,690		8,285	1.83%	26.18%
CLO	9,200		2,480		6,720	2.12%	21.01%
	61,723		20,117		41,606	3.70%	
	\$ 165,619	\$	52,208	\$	113,411	4.57%	

Additionally, the Group may be required to measure certain assets at fair value in periods subsequent to initial recognition on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. The adjustments to fair value usually result from the application of lower of cost or fair value accounting, identification of impaired loans requiring specific reserves under ASC 310-10-35 or write-downs of individual assets.

42

The following table presents financial and non-financial non-covered assets that were subject to a fair value measurement on a nonrecurring basis during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 and which were still included in the unaudited consolidated statement of financial condition as such date. The amounts disclosed represent the aggregate of the fair value measurements of those assets as of the end of the reporting period.

Carı	ying valı	ie at
June		
30,	Dece	ember 31,
2010		2009
Level 3	L	evel 3
(In		
thousands)	(In t	housands)
\$11,632	\$	9,355
31,772		9,347
\$ 43,404	\$	18,702

Impaired commercial loans Foreclosed real estate

Impaired commercial loans relates mostly to certain impaired collateral dependent loans. The impairment of commercial loans was measured based on the fair value of collateral, which is derived from appraisals that take into consideration prices on observed transactions involving similar assets in similar locations, in accordance with provisions of ASC 310-10-35.

Foreclosed real estate represents the fair value of foreclosed real estate (including those covered under FDIC shared-loss agreements) that was measured at fair

value less estimated costs to sell.

Impaired commercial loans, which are measured using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans, had a carrying amount of \$11.6 million and \$9.4 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, with a valuation allowance of \$2.3 million and \$709 thousand at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

# Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The information about the estimated fair value of financial instruments required by GAAP is presented hereunder. The aggregate fair value amounts presented do not necessarily represent management s estimate of the underlying value of the Group.

The estimated fair value is subjective in nature and involves uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and, therefore, cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could affect these fair value estimates. The fair value estimates do not take into consideration the value of future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not financial instruments. Other significant tangible and intangible assets that are not considered financial instruments are the value of long-term customer relationships of the retail deposits, and premises and equipment.

The estimated fair value and carrying value of the Group s financial instruments at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 is as follows:

	June 3	0, 2010	<b>December 31, 2009</b>		
	Fair	Carrying	Fair	Carrying	
	Value	Value	Value	Value	
Financial Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 472,635	\$ 472,635	\$ 277,123	\$ 277,123	
Trading securities	56	56	523	523	
Investment securities available-for-sale	4,941,528	4,941,528	4,953,659	4,953,659	
FHLB stock	22,496	22,496	19,937	19,937	
Securities sold but yet not delivered	1,490	1,490			
Total loans (including loans held-for-sale)	1,955,702	1,946,999	1,150,340	1,140,069	
Investment in equity indexed options	4,433	4,433	6,464	6,464	
FDIC loss-share indemnification asset	517,695	517,695			
Accrued interest receivable	34,672	34,672	33,656	33,656	
Derivative asset			8,511	8,511	
Servicing asset	9,285	9,285	7,120	7,120	
Financial Liabilities:					
Deposits	2,539,377	2,538,271	1,741,417	1,745,501	
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	3,867,627	3,557,087	3,777,157	3,557,308	
Advances from FHLB	309,358	281,735	301,004	281,753	
FDIC-guaranteed term notes	105,365	105,834	111,472	105,834	
Purchase money note issued to the FDIC	701,560	711,076			
Subordinated capital notes	36,083	36,083	36,083	36,083	
Federal funds purchased and other short term					
borrowings	45,200	45,200	49,179	49,179	
Securities and loans purchased but not yet					
received	533	533	413,359	413,359	
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	56,683	56,683	31,650	31,650	

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values of significant financial instruments at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

Cash and cash equivalents, money market investments, time deposits with other banks, securities sold but not yet delivered, accrued interest receivable and payable, securities and loans purchased but not yet received, federal funds purchased, accrued expenses and other liabilities have been valued at the carrying amounts reflected in the consolidated statements of financial condition as these are reasonable estimates of fair value given the short-term nature of the instruments.

Investments in FHLB stock are valued at their redemption value.

The fair value of investment securities is based on quoted market prices, when available, or market prices provided by recognized broker dealers. If listed prices or quotes are not available, fair value is based upon externally developed models that use both observable and unobservable inputs depending on the market activity of the instrument. The estimated fair value of the structured credit investments and the non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations are determined by using a third-party cash flow valuation model to calculate the present value of projected future cash flows. The assumptions used, which are highly uncertain and require a high degree of judgment, include primarily market discount rates, current spreads, duration,

leverage, default, home price depreciation, and loss rates. The assumptions used are drawn from a wide array of data sources, including the performance of the collateral underlying each deal. The external-based valuation, which is obtained at least on a quarterly basis, is analyzed and its assumptions are evaluated and incorporated in either an internal-based valuation model when deemed necessary or compared to counterparties prices and agreed by management.

FDIC loss-share indemnification asset The FDIC loss-share indemnification asset is measured separately from each of the covered asset categories as it is not contractually embedded in any of the covered asset categories. The \$517.7 million fair value of the FDIC loss-share indemnification asset represents the present value of the estimated cash payments (net of amount owed to the FDIC) expected to be received from the FDIC for future losses on covered assets based on the credit assumptions on estimated cash flows for each covered asset pool and the loss sharing percentages. The ultimate collectability of the FDIC loss-share indemnification asset is dependent upon the performance of the underlying covered loans, the passage of time and claims paid by the FDIC which are impacted by the Bank s adherence to certain guidelines established by the FDIC.

The fair values of the derivative instruments are provided by valuation experts and counterparties. Certain derivatives with limited market activity are valued using externally developed models that consider unobservable market parameters. The Group offers its customers certificates of deposit with an option tied to the performance of the S&P 500 Index, and uses equity indexed option agreements with major broker-dealer companies to manage its exposure to changes in this index. Their fair value is obtained through the use of an external based valuation that was thoroughly evaluated and adopted by management as its measurement tool for these options. The payoff of these options is linked to the average value of the S&P 500 Index on a specific set of dates during the life of the option. The methodology uses an average rate

option or a cash-settled option whose payoff is based on the difference between the expected average value of the S&P 500 Index during the remaining life of the option and the strike price at inception. The assumptions, which are uncertain and require a degree of judgment, include primarily S&P 500 Index volatility, forward interest rate projections, estimated index dividend payout, and leverage.

The fair value of the loan portfolio (including loans held-for-sale) is estimated by segregating by type, such as mortgage, commercial, consumer and leases. Each loan category is further segmented into fixed and adjustable interest rates and by performing and non-performing categories. The fair value of performing loans is calculated by discounting contractual cash flows, adjusted for prepayment estimates, if any, using estimated current market discount rates that reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan, which is not currently an indication of an exit price. An exit price valuation approach could result in a different fair value estimate.

The fair value of demand deposits and savings accounts is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is based on the discounted value of the contractual cash flows, using estimated current market discount rates for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

For short-term borrowings, the carrying amount is considered a reasonable estimate of fair value. The subordinated capital note has a par value of \$36.1 million, bears interest based on 3-month LIBOR plus 295 basis points (3.49% at June 30, 2010; 3.20% at December 31, 2009), payable quarterly. The fair value of long-term borrowings is based on the discounted value of the contractual cash flows, using current estimated market discount rates for borrowings with similar terms and remaining maturities and put dates.

The fair value of the Purchase Money Note issued to the FDIC is also based on the discounted value of the contractual cash flows, using current estimated market discount rates for borrowings with similar terms.

The fair value of commitments to extend credit and unused lines of credit is based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties credit standings.

The fair value of servicing assets is estimated by using a cash flow valuation model which calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration actual and expected loan prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing costs, and other economic factors, which are determined based on current market conditions.

#### NOTE 15 SEGMENT REPORTING

The Group segregates its businesses into the following major reportable segments of business: Banking, Wealth Management, and Treasury. Management established the reportable segments based on the internal reporting used to evaluate performance and to assess where to allocate resources. Other factors such as the Group sorganization, nature of its products, distribution channels and economic characteristics of the products were also considered in the determination of the reportable segments. The Group measures the performance of these reportable segments based on pre-established goals of different financial parameters such as net income, net interest income, loan production, and fees generated. The Group somethodology for allocating non-interest expenses among segments is based on several factors such as revenues, employee headcount, occupied space, dedicated services or time, among others. These factors are reviewed on a periodical basis and may change if the conditions warrant.

Banking includes the Bank s branches and mortgage banking, with traditional banking products such as deposits and mortgage, commercial leasing and consumer loans. Mortgage banking activities are carried out by the Bank s mortgage banking division, whose principal activity is to originate mortgage loans for the Group s own portfolio. As part of its mortgage banking activities, the Group may sell loans directly into the secondary market or securitize conforming loans into mortgage-backed securities.

Wealth Management is comprised of the Bank s trust division (Oriental Trust), the broker dealer subsidiary (Oriental Financial Services Corp.), the insurance agency subsidiaries (Oriental Insurance, Inc. and EuroSeguros, Inc.), and the pension plan administration subsidiary (Caribbean Pension Consultants, Inc.). The core operations of this segment are financial planning, money management and investment banking, brokerage services, insurance sales activity, corporate and individual trust and retirement services, as well as pension plan administration services. The Treasury segment encompasses all of the Group s asset and liability management activities such as: purchases and sales of investment securities, interest rate risk management, derivatives, and borrowings. Intersegment sales and transfers, if any, are accounted for as if the sales or transfers were to third parties, that is, at current market prices. The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in the Summary of Significant Accounting Policies included Group s annual report on Form 10-K.

Following are the results of operations and the selected financial information by operating segment as of and for the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

Quarter ended	H	Banking	Wealth nagement	Т	reasury (In the	S	Total Major egments nds)	Eli	minations	Co	onsolidated Total
June 30, 2010											
Interest income Interest expense	\$	31,065 (10,217)	\$ 3	\$	50,441 (32,640)	\$	81,509 (42,857)	\$		\$	81,509 (42,857)
Net interest income Provision for loan		20,848	3		17,801		38,652				38,652
and lease losses Non-interest income		(4,100)					(4,100)				(4,100)
(loss) Non-interest expenses Intersegment		24,423 (20,733)	4,414 (4,824)		(16,534) (2,315)		12,303 (27,872)				12,303 (27,872)
revenues Intersegment		376	(59)				317		(317)		
expenses			(264)		(53)		(317)		317		
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$	20,814	\$ (730)	\$	(1,101)	\$	18,983	\$		\$	18,983
Total assets as of June 30, 2010	\$3	3,792,632	\$ 10,963	\$ 5	5,022,752	\$	8,826,347	\$	(747,803)	\$	8,078,544
Quarter ended June 30, 2009											
Interest income Interest expense	\$	18,709 (9,240)	\$ 19	\$	63,323 (37,323)	\$	82,051 (46,563)	\$		\$	82,051 (46,563)
Net interest income Provision for loan		9,469	19		26,000		35,488				35,488
and lease losses Non-interest income		(3,650)					(3,650)				(3,650)
(loss)		4,300	3,325		38,426		46,051				46,051
Non-interest expenses Intersegment		(13,226)	(4,566)		(4,422)		(22,214)				(22,214)
revenues Intersegment		348					348		(348)		
expenses			(295)		(53)		(348)		348		

	Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q	
,		

				4	-6					
Total assets as of June 30, 2009	<b>\$1</b> ,	627,447	\$ 8,980	\$5	,648,349	\$ 7	7,284,776	\$ (334,472)	\$ 6,950,304	
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$	(2,759)	\$ (1,517)	\$	59,951	\$	55,675	\$	\$ 55,675	

Following are the results of operations and the selected financial information by operating segment as of and for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

	В	Banking	Wealth nagement	Т	reasury (In tho	Total Major Segments nds)	Eli	iminations		Total
Six-month period ended June 30, 2010										
Interest income Interest expense	\$	48,663 (18,488)	\$ 7	\$	103,136 (65,228)	\$ 151,806 (83,716)	\$		\$	151,806 (83,716)
Net interest income Provision for loan and		30,175	7		37,908	68,090				68,090
lease losses Non-interest income		(8,114) 26,945	9,217		(15,782)	(8,114) 20,380				(8,114) 20,380
Non-interest expenses Intersegment		(33,926)	(8,024)		(6,315)	(48,265)				(48,265)
revenues Intersegment		720	763			1,483		(1,483)		
expenses			(1,400)		(83)	(1,483)		1,483		
Income before income taxes	\$	15,800	\$ 563	\$	15,728	\$ 32,091	\$		\$	32,091
Total assets as of June 30, 2010	\$3	3,792,632	\$ 10,963	\$ 5	5,022,752	\$ 8,826,347	\$	(747,803)	\$8	8,078,544
Six-month period ended June 30, 2009										
Interest income Interest expense	\$	37,027 (17,553)	\$ 34	\$	128,921 (82,276)	\$ 165,982 (99,829)	\$		\$	165,982 (99,829)
Net interest income Provision for loan and		19,474	34		46,645	66,153				66,153
lease losses Non-interest income		(6,850)				(6,850)				(6,850)
(loss) Non-interest expenses		7,683 (28,841)	6,414 (7,187)		49,200 (5,459)	63,297 (41,487)				63,297 (41,487)
Intersegment revenues		682	(7,107)		(3,437)	682		(682)		(41,407)
Intersegment expenses			(575)		(107)	(682)		682		
	\$	(7,852)	\$ (1,314)	\$	90,279	\$ 81,113	\$		\$	81,113

**Income (loss) before** income taxes

### NOTE 16 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On April 30, 2010, the Group issued 200,000 shares of Mandatorily Convertible Non-Cumulative Non-Voting Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C, through a private placement. The Series C Preferred Stock had a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. At a special meeting of shareholders of the Group held on June 30, 2010, the majority of the shareholders approved the issuance of 13,320,000 shares of the Group s common stock upon the conversion of the Series C Preferred Stock, which converted on July 8, 2010 at a conversion price of \$15.015 per share.

47

ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA
FOR THE QUARTERS AND SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)

		Quar	ter en	ded June	30,	Six months ended June 30,				
	2	2010	2	2009	<b>%</b>		2010		2009	<b>%</b>
EARNINGS DATA:	Φ.0	1.500	Φ.	00.051	0.50	ф	151 006	Φ.	165.000	0.50
Interest income		1,509		32,051	-0.7%	\$	151,806	\$	165,982	-8.5%
Interest expense	4	2,857	2	16,563	-8.0%		83,716		99,829	-16.1%
Net interest income	3	8,652	3	35,488	8.9%		68,090		66,153	2.9%
Provision for loan and lease										
losses		4,100		3,650	12.3%		8,114		6,850	18.5%
Net interest income after provision for loan and										
lease losses	3	4,552	3	31,838	8.5%		59,976		59,303	1.1%
Non-interest income	1	2,303	2	16,051	-73.3%		20,380		63,297	-67.8%
Non-interest expenses	2	7,872	2	22,214	25.5%		48,265		41,487	16.3%
Income before taxes	1	8,983	5	55,675	-65.9%		32,091		81,113	-60.4%
Income tax expense		1,634		4,761	-65.7%		2,806		5,451	-48.5%
Net Income Less: dividends on preferred	1	7,349	5	50,914	-65.9%		29,285		75,662	-61.3%
stock Less: allocation of undistributed earnings for participating preferred	(	(1,733)		(1,201)	44.3%		(2,934)		(2,401)	22.2%
shares	(	(3,104)			100.0%		(3,104)			100.0%
Income available to common shareholders	<b>\$1</b>	2,512	\$ 4	19,713	-74.8%	\$	23,247	\$	73,261	-68.3%
PER SHARE DATA:										
Basic	\$	0.38	\$	2.05	-81.5%	\$	0.79	\$	3.02	-73.9%
Diluted	\$	0.38	\$	2.04	-81.5%	\$	0.79	\$	3.02	-73.9%
Average common shares	2	2.044		24 202	26.00		20.470		24.274	21.40
outstanding Average potential common	3	3,044	<u> </u>	24,303	36.0%		29,470		24,274	21.4%
share-options		9		15	-40.0%		1		6	-83.3%
Average shares and shares equivalents	3	3,053	2	24,318	35.9%		29,471		24,280	21.4%

Book value per common share	\$ 14.49	\$	12.04	20.3%	\$	14.49		\$ 12.04	20.3%
Market price at end of period	\$ 12.66	\$	9.70	30.5%	\$	12.66		\$ 9.70	30.5%
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.04	\$	0.04	0.0%	\$	0.08		\$ 0.08	0.0%
Cash dividends declared on common shares	\$ 1,322	\$	972	36.0%	\$	2,644		\$ 1,944	36.0%
Return on average assets (ROA)	0.88%	)	3.05%	-71.1%		0.41%		2.30%	-82.2%
Return on average common equity (ROE)	9.87%	)	80.89%	-87.8%		5.67%		66.98%	-91.5%
Equity-to-assets ratio	9.23%	)	5.17%	78.6%		9.23%		5.17%	78.6%
Efficiency ratio	57.53%	)	51.43%	11.9%		56.58%		51.54%	9.8%
Expense ratio	1.07%	)	0.94%	-12.3%		0.90%		0.88%	-11.8%
Interest rate spread	2.76%	)	2.17%	27.19%		2.19%		1.98%	10.6%
Interest rate margin	2.65%	)	2.29%	15.7%		2.31%		2.13%	8.45%
PERIOD END BALANCES A	AND CAPI	ΓAL F	RATIOS:		June 3	•	Ι	December 31, 2009	%
Investments securities				\$	4,964	1,230	\$	4,974,269	-0.2%
Loans and leases non-covered	-		-		1,137	,104		1,140,069	-0.3%
Loans and leases covered by F		_	reements, n	et		,895			100.0%
Securities and loans sold but no	ot yet delive	ed			1	,490			100.0%
Deposits and borrowings				\$	6,912	2,719	\$	6,114,338	13.1%
Deposits and borrowings  Deposits				\$	2,538	3 271	\$	1,745,501	45.4%
Securities sold under agreemen	its to repurch	nase		Ψ	3,557		Ψ	3,557,308	0.0%
Purchase money note issued to	•					,076		, ,- 00	100.0%
Other borrowings						3,852		472,849	-0.8%
Securities purchased but not ye	et received					533		413,359	-99.9%
Stockholders equity				\$	7,275	5,819	\$	6,189,017	17.6%

Preferred stock		245,289	68,000	260.8%
Additional paid-in capital from beneficial conversion feature		22,711	,	100.0%
Common stock		34,481	25,739	34.0%
Additional paid-in capital		288,749	213,445	35.3%
Legal surplus		48,325	45,297	6.8%
Retained earnings		98,245	77,584	26.7%
Treasury stock, at cost		(17,120)	(17,142)	0.1%
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		25,362	(82,739)	130.7%
	\$	746,042	\$ 330,166	126.0%
Capital ratios				
Leverage capital		9.26%	6.52%	43.7%
Tier 1 risk-based capital		24.17%	18.79%	28.6%
Total risk-based capital		25.09%	19.84%	26.5%
Trust assets managed	\$	1,859,941	\$ 1,818,498	2.3%
Broker-dealer assets gathered		1,347,224	1,269,284	6.1%
Assets managed		3,207,165	3,087,782	3.9%
Assets owned		8,078,544	6,550,833	23.3%
Total financial assets managed and owned	<b>\$</b> :	11,285,709	\$ 9,638,615	17.1%
48				

#### **OVERVIEW OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE**

#### Introduction

The Group s diversified mix of businesses and products generates both the interest income traditionally associated with a banking institution and non-interest income traditionally associated with a financial services institution (generated by such businesses as securities brokerage, fiduciary services, investment banking, insurance and pension administration). Although all of these businesses, to varying degrees, are affected by interest rate and financial markets fluctuations and other external factors, the Group s commitment is to continue producing a balanced and growing revenue stream.

From time to time, the Group uses certain non-GAAP measures of financial performance to supplement the financial statements presented in accordance with GAAP. The Group presents non-GAAP measures when its management believes that the additional information is useful and meaningful to investors. Non-GAAP measures do not have any standardized meaning and are therefore unlikely to be comparable to similar measures presented by other companies. The presentation of non-GAAP measures is not intended to be a substitute for, and should not be considered in isolation from, the financial measures reported in accordance with GAAP. The Group s management has reported and discussed the results of operations herein both on a GAAP basis and on a pre-tax operating income basis. The Group s management believes that, given the nature of the items excluded from the definition of pre-tax operating income, it is useful to state what the results of operations would have been without them so that investors can see the financial trends from the Group s continuing business.

The FDIC-assisted acquisition on April 30, 2010, resulted in benefits to the Group affecting the performance from the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010.

# Profit and Loss highlights of the quarter ended June 30, 2010 compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2009 include:

Income available to common shareholders For the quarter ended June 30, 2010, the Group's income available to common shareholders totaled \$12.5 million, or \$0.38 per basic and diluted earnings per common share. This compares to \$49.7 million in income available to common shareholders, or \$2.05 and \$2.04 per basic and diluted earnings per common share, respectively for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The fluctuation against the prior year quarter is mainly attributed to gains of \$29.9 million recorded in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 related to the investment and derivative activities. During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, the Group recorded losses amounting to \$14.8 million on investment and derivative activities, the income available for common shareholders for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 has also been affected by a \$3.1 million allocation of the net income that corresponds to the convertible preferred shares, which have participating rights. This did not affect total stockholders equity or book value per common share, but it did reduce income per common shareholders for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. This quarter also is benefitted by a bargain purchase gain on the FDIC-assisted acquisition of \$16.5 million.

**ROE** and ROA - Return on average common equity (ROE) for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 was 9.87%, down from 80.89% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. Return on average assets (ROA) for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 was 0.88%, down from 3.05% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. Decreases in these ratios are related to a 65.9% decrease in net income from \$50.9 million on June 30, 2009 to \$17.3 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2010. As previously mentioned, these decreases are mainly related to gains recorded in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 related to the investment and derivative activities. During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, the Group recorded losses amounting to \$14.8 million on investment and derivative activities.

**Net interest income** Net interest income increased 9.0% from \$35.5 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$38.7 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. The main increase was in interest income from loans from \$18.7 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 to \$31.1 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2010, offset with a decline in interest income for investment securities. Increased interest income is mainly due to the addition of the former Eurobank loans. Interest income from the Eurobank loans accounted for \$13.5 million of interest income during this quarter ended June 30, 2010. The interest spread expanded to 2.76% during the quarter ended June 30, 2010, compared to 2.17% in the same quarter last year.

**Provision for loan and lease losses** - The provision for loan and lease losses increased \$450 thousands or 12.3% from \$3.7 million to \$4.1 million from the quarter ended June 30, 2009 to this quarter ended June 30, 2010. This increase is the product of increased non-performing loans from quarter to quarter and continuing macro-economic conditions on Puerto Rico which is continuing to affect the overall credit conditions on the Group s loan portfolios.

**Banking and Wealth Management revenues** - These revenues increased from \$7.7 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 to \$9.8 million for this quarter ended June 30, 2010, primarily reflecting increased fees

from the former Eurobank customers. Additionally brokerage activities revenues increased 66% from \$1.2 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 to \$2.0 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. Electronic banking fees also contributed to the quarter revenues by increasing from \$739 thousands during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 to \$1.4 million for the same period this year.

Non-Interest income - Total non-interest income decreased from \$46.1 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 to \$12.3 million in this quarter. This decrease is mainly related to gains recorded in the quarter ended June 30, 2009 related to the investment and derivative activities such as \$10.5 million gain on sale of securities, \$19.4 million gain on derivative asset valuation and \$13.0 million gain in trading securities. Included in non-interest income during this quarter ended June 30, 2010 is a bargain purchase gain resulting from the FDIC-assisted acquisition amounting to \$16.5 million and gains on sale of securities of \$11.8 million, offset by realized and unrealized losses on derivative valuations of interest rate swaps amounting to \$26.6 million. These losses on derivative activities included realized losses of \$24.7 million due to the termination of forward-settle swaps with a notional amount of \$900 million. These terminations allowed the Group to enter into new forward-settle swap contracts for the same notional amount, and effectively reduce the interest rate of the pay-fixed side of such deals from an average rate of 3.53% to an average rate of 2.45%. The remaining losses mainly represent unrealized losses on new interest rate swaps.

**Non-Interest expense** - Non-Interest expense increased by \$5.6 million or 25.5% from \$22.2 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 to \$27.9 for the same quarter ended 2010. The increase is mainly due to higher compensation and employee benefits and higher professional and service fees whose increases are due to the FDIC-assisted acquisition. For the quarter ended June 30, 2010 the non-interest expenses related to Eurobank aggregated to \$5.4 million. Out of this amount, approximately \$1.0 million represented one-time professional service expenses related to the transition process.

# Profit and Loss highlights of the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 compared to the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 include:

**Income available to common shareholders** - For the six-month period ended June 30, 2010, the Group's income available to common shareholders totaled \$23.2 million, or \$0.79 per basic and diluted earnings per common share. This compares to \$73.3 million in income available to common shareholders, or \$3.02 per basic and diluted earnings per common share, respectively for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The decrease in income available to common shareholders against the prior year period is attributed gains of \$40.7 million recorded in the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 related to the investment and derivative activities. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 the Group recorded losses amounting to \$13.4 million on investment and derivative activities, the income available for common shareholders for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 has also been affected by a \$3.1 million allocation of the net income that corresponds to the convertible preferred shares, which have participating rights. This did not affect total stockholders equity or book value per common share, but it did reduce income per common shareholders for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010. This period 2010 is also benefitted by a bargain purchase gain of \$16.5 million on the FDIC-assisted acquisition.

ROE and ROA - Return on average common equity (ROE) for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 was 5.67%, down from 66.98% from the same period ended June 30, 2009. Return on average assets (ROA) for the six-month period June 30, 2010 was 0.41%, down from 2.30% for the six-month period ended June 30, 2009. Decreases in these ratios are related to decreases in net income for the reasons explained above, from \$75.7 million on the period ended June 30, 2009 to \$29.3 million in the six-month period ended June 30, 2010. Net interest income Net Interest Income increased from \$66.1 million during the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 to \$68.1 million for the same period 2010. This increase was mainly attributable to the FDIC-assisted acquisition. Interest income from loans increased from \$37.0 million on the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 to \$48.7 million the same period this year. The increase included \$13.5 million of interest income related to the FDIC-assisted acquisition. The net interest income also benefitted from a reduction in the interest expense with reductions of \$13.0 million in securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and \$4.8 million on deposits. The reduction in the securities sold under agreements to repurchase was mainly attributable to two events that occurred in 2009: a) the cancellation of \$200.0 million in securities sold under

agreements to repurchase that occurred in July 2009; and b) the effect of the rate structure under which repurchase agreements of \$1.0 billion and \$250.0 million bear minimum interest rate of 0.00% and 0.25%, respectively, since March 2009. The reduction in deposit expense is related to reduce interest rates on deposits and the amortization of discounts on acquired deposits from Eurobank.

**Provision for loan and lease losses** - The provision for loan and lease losses increased \$1.3 million or 18.5% from \$6.9 million to \$8.1 million from the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 to this

50

year s same period. This increase is the product of higher non-performing loans from June 30, 2010 to June 30, 2009 of \$20.2 million reflecting continuing macro-economic conditions on Puerto Rico that have an effect on the overall credit conditions of the Group s loan portfolios.

**Banking and Wealth Management revenues** - These revenues increased from \$14.4 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 to \$17.2 million for this year s six-month period, primarily reflecting increased fees from the former Eurobank customers. Additionally brokerage activities revenues increased 63.6% from \$2.2 million during the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 to \$3.6 million for the same period of 2010. Also, electronic banking fees contributed to this year s six-month period by increasing 76.9% from \$1.3 million during 2009 to \$2.3 million in 2010.

Non-Interest income Non-interest income decreased from \$63.3 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 to \$20.4 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010. This decrease is mainly related to gains recorded in the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 related to the investment and derivative activities such as \$20.8 million gain sale of securities, \$19.8 million gain on derivative asset valuation and \$13.0 million gain in trading securities. Included in non-interest income during this six-month period ended June 30, 2010 is a bargain purchase gain resulting from the FDIC-assisted acquisition amounting to \$16.5 million and gains on sale of securities of \$23.9 million, offset by realized and unrealized losses on derivative valuations of interest rate swaps amounting to \$37.3 million. These losses on derivative activities included realized losses of \$24.7 million due to the termination of forward-settle swaps with a notional amount of \$900 million. These terminations allowed the Group to enter into new forward-settle swap contracts for the same notional amount, and effectively reduce the interest rate of the pay-fixed side of such deals from an average rate of 3.53% to an average rate of 2.45%. The remaining losses mainly represent unrealized losses on new interest rate swaps.

**Non-Interest expense** - Non-Interest expense increased by \$6.8 million or 16.3% from \$41.5 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 to \$48.3 million for the same period in 2010. The increase is mainly due to higher compensation and employee benefits and due to higher professional and service fees. These increases are mainly the result of the FDIC-assisted acquisition. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 the non-interest expenses related to Eurobank aggregated to \$5.4 million. Approximately \$1.0 million represented one-time professional service expenses related to the transition process. Additional payroll expenses from former Eurobank employees amounted to \$1.0 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010.

#### Balance Sheet and other highlights at June 30, 2010 compared to December 31, 2009 include:

The investment portfolio amounted to \$4.964 billion at June 30, 2010, as compared to \$4.974 billion at December 31, 2009. The size of the investment securities portfolio remained stable during the six-month period, reflecting the reinvestment of net proceeds of approximately \$374.3 million from the sale of non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations in January 2010.

With the FDIC-assisted acquisition on April 30, 2010, the Group added total loans with a fair value of \$839.5 million. In addition to these loans, the Group acquired \$10.1 million in Federal Home Loan Bank stock, foreclosed real estate and other repossessed properties of \$20.6 million and recorded an FDIC loss-share indemnification asset of \$517.7 million.

Net total loans not covered by FDIC shared-loss agreements at \$1.14 billion, remained flat from \$1.14 billion at December 31, 2009, reflecting pay down of residential mortgages and an increase in commercial loans. The non-covered mortgage loan portfolio totaled \$900.4 million at June 30, 2010, a 4.9% decrease from \$918.9 million at December 31, 2009. Mortgage loan production for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, totaled \$58.4 million, which represents a decrease of 3.1% from the preceding year quarter. The Group sells most of its conforming mortgages, which represented approximately 90% of its production, into the secondary market, and retains servicing rights.

Total loan production and purchases of \$89.3 million for the quarter represents an increase of \$15.8 million compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The Group continues its prudent lending policies and added leasing as an additional lending channel obtained from the recent FDIC-assisted acquisition. Also, the acquired Eurobank branches serve as added distribution channels for consumer lending. The average FICO score was 726 and the average loan to value ratio was 84% on residential mortgage loans originated in the quarter. The new leasing channel contributed \$1.8 million in production. Also, the consumer lending production increased by \$1.4 million during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 and \$2.4 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same periods of 2009.

Non-performing loans increased \$5.7 million from December 31, 2009. The Group's non-performing loans generally reflect the economic environment in Puerto Rico. The Group does not expect non-performing loans to result in significantly higher losses as most are well-collateralized with adequate loan-to-value ratios. Net credit losses remained low. Such losses amounted to \$2.1 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 remaining steady when compared to the same quarter of last year. Same losses amounted to \$3.4 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$4.4 million for the same period last year. Total investments of \$4.9 billion at June 30, 2010 declined 0.20% from December 31, 2009, reflecting the sales of structured credit investments and non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations in January 2010. Approximately 96% of the Group's portfolio consists of fixed-rate mortgage-backed securities or notes, guaranteed or issued by FNMA, FHLMC or GNMA, and U.S. agency senior debt obligations, backed by a U.S. government-sponsored entity or the full faith and credit of the U.S. government.

Core retail deposits of \$1.85 billion rose 31.5% from December 31, 2009, reflecting growth from both Group customers and core deposits assumed on the FDIC-assisted acquisition. As part of this acquisition, the Group assumed deposits with a fair value of \$729.6 million.

As part of the FDIC-assisted acquisition, the Group entered into a Purchase Money Note with the FDIC whose balance at June 30, 2010 was \$711.1 million.

Assets managed by the trust division, the pension plan administration subsidiary, and the broker-dealer subsidiary increased from \$3.088 billion as of December 31, 2009 to \$3.207 billion as of June 30, 2010. This increase is related to \$140 million in trust assets attributed to the FDIC-assisted acquisition. The Group s trust division offers various types of IRA accounts and manages 401(K) and Keogh retirement plans and custodian and corporate trust accounts, while Caribbean Pension Consultants, Inc. ( CPC ) manages the administration of private pension plans. At June 30, 2010, total assets managed by the Group s trust division and CPC amounted to \$1.860 billion, compared to \$1.819 billion at December 31, 2009. This increase is mainly related to the aforementioned trust assets acquired on the FDIC-assisted acquisition. The Group s broker-dealer subsidiary offers a wide array of investment alternatives to its client base, such as tax-advantaged fixed income securities, mutual funds, stocks, bonds and money management wrap-fee programs. At June 30, 2010, total assets gathered by the broker-dealer from its customer investment accounts increased to \$1.347 billion, compared to \$1.269 billion at December 31, 2009.

The Group maintains regulatory capital ratios well above the requirements for a well-capitalized institution. At June 30, 2010, the Leverage Capital Ratio was 9.26%, Tier-1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio was 24.17%, and Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio was 25.09%.

Book value of \$14.49 per common share at June 30, 2010 increased 34.0% from December 31, 2009, reflecting significant improvement in the valuation of the investment securities portfolio and increased retained earnings. At June 30, 2010, the Group s total stockholders equity was \$746.0 million, a 126% increase, when compared to \$330.2 million at December 31, 2009. This increase reflects issuances of common and preferred stock, the net income for the six-month period, and an improvement of approximately \$117.9 million in the fair value of the investment securities portfolio.

On March 19, 2010, the Group completed the public offering of 8,740,000 shares of its common stock. The offering resulted in net proceeds of \$94.5 million after deducting offering costs.

On April 30, 2010, the Group issued 200,000 shares of Mandatorily Convertible Non-Cumulative Non-Voting Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C. At the special meeting of shareholders of the Group held on June 30, 2010, the majority of the shareholders approved the issuance of shares of the Group's common stock upon the conversion of the Series C Preferred Stock. The Series C Preferred Stock was converted into shares of common stock at a conversion price of \$15.015 per share. The difference between the \$15.015 per share conversion price and the market price of the common stock on April 30, 2010 (\$16.72) is considered a beneficial conversion feature. Such feature amounted to \$22.7 million at June 30, 2010 and was recorded as additional paid-in-capital from the beneficial conversion feature.

#### SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On April 30, 2010, the Group issued 200,000 shares of Mandatorily Convertible Non-Cumulative Non-Voting Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C, through a private placement. The Series C Preferred Stock had a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. At a special meeting of shareholders of the Group held on June 30, 2010, the majority of the shareholders approved the issuance of 13,320,000 shares of the Group s common stock upon the conversion of the Series C Preferred Stock, which converted on July 8, 2010 at a conversion price of \$15.015 per share.

TABLE 1 QUARTERLY ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST INCOME AND CHANGES DUE TO VOLUME/RATE

FOR THE QUARTERS PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009 (Dollars in thousands)

	Int	erest	Averag	e rate	Average balance			
	June 2010	June 2009	June 2010	June 2009	June 2010	June 2009		
A TAX EQUIVALENT SPREAD								
Interest-earning assets Tax equivalent	\$ 81,509	\$ 82,051	5.24%	5.30%	\$6,735,087	\$6,192,317		
adjustment	26,577	27,063	1.58%	1.75%				
Interest-earning assets tax equivalent Interest-bearing	108,086	109,114	6.82%	7.05%	6,735,087	6,192,317		
liabilities	42,857	46,563	2.48%	3.13%	7,031,457	5,959,343		
Tax equivalent net interest income / spread	\$ 65,229	\$ 62,551	4.34%	3.92%	\$ (296,370)	\$ 232,974		
spreau	\$ 03,229	\$ 02,331	4.34 70	3.94 70	\$ (290,370)	\$ 232,91 <b>4</b>		
Tax equivalent interest rate margin			4.23%	4.04%				
B NORMAL SPREAD								
Interest-earning assets: Investments:								
Investment securities	\$ 50,300	\$ 62,183	4.29%	5.19%	\$4,694,849	\$4,793,808		
Trading securities  Money market	2	912	6.84%	6.87%	117	53,126		
investments	142	249	0.93%	0.66%	60,905	151,987		
	50,444	63,344	4.24%	5.07%	4,755,871	4,998,921		
Loans not covered by FDIC shared-loss agreements:								
Mortgage	14,164	15,538	6.12%	6.35%	925,018	978,855		
Commercial	2,920	2,679	5.86%	5.51%	199,246	194,311		
Leasing	24		13.22%	_	726			
Consumer	543	490	8.37%	9.69%	25,937	20,230		

	17,651	18,707	6.13%	6.27%	1,150,927	1,193,396
Loans covered by FDIC shared-loss agreements:						
Loans secured by residential properties Commercial and	4,078		13.98%		174,997	
construction Leasing Consumer	6,424 2,320 592		7.61% 11.13% 16.48%		506,675 125,070 21,547	
	13,414		9.72%		828,289	
	31,065	18,707	7.63%	6.27%	1,979,216	1,193,396
	81,509	82,051	5.24%	5.30%	6,735,087	6,192,317
Interest-bearing liabilities: Deposits:						
Non-interest bearing deposits Now Accounts	3,831	4,514	2.24%	3.16%	127,676 687,407	42,715 570,877
Savings and money market Certificates of deposit	702 7,394	205 9,430	1.55% 2.19%	1.38% 3.52%	205,542 1,374,849	59,482 1,070,725
	11,927	14,149	2.03%	3.25%	2,395,474	1,743,799
Borrowings: Securities sold under agreement to						
repurchase	25,487	27,929	2.87%	2.98%	3,556,044	3,750,000
Advance from FHLB and other borrowings	3,053	3,075	3.81%	3.84%	320,937	320,615
FDIC-guaranteed term notes	1,021	1,021	3.68%	3.75%	111,000	108,846
Purchase money note issued to the FDIC Subordinated capital	1,064		1.04%		611,919	
notes	305	389	3.38%	4.31%	36,083	36,083
	30,930	32,414	2.71%	3.08%	4,635,983	4,215,544
	42,857	46,563	2.48%	3.13%	7,031,457	5,959,343

Net interest income / spread	\$ 38,	652	\$	35,488	2.76%	2.17%		
Interest rate margin					2.65%	2.29%		
Excess of average interest-earning assets over average interest-bearing liabilities							\$ (296,370)	\$ 232,974
Average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities ratio							95.79%	103.91%
C Changes in net inter	rest inco	me due	to:					
						Volume	Rate	Total
Interest Income: Investments Loans						\$ (3,080) 12,748 <b>9,668</b>	\$ (9,820) (390) (10,210)	\$(12,900) 12,358 ( <b>542</b> )
Interest Expense: Deposits Repurchase agreements Other borrowings						87 (1,445) 1,088 (270)	(2,309) (997) (130) (3,436)	(2,222) (2,442) 958 (3,706)
Net Interest Income					53	\$ 9,938	\$ (6,774)	\$ 3,164

# TABLE 1 YEAR-TO-DATE ANALYSIS OF NET INTEREST INCOME AND CHANGES DUE TO VOLUME/RATE

FOR THE SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009 (Dollars in thousands)

	Int	erest	Averag	e rate	Average 1	
	June 2010	June 2009	June 2010	June 2009	June 2010	,
QUIVALENT SPREAD						
rning assets		\$165,982			\$6,410,133	\$
ent adjustment	49,771	54,735	1.44%	1.77%		
rning assets tax equivalent	201,577	•		7.13%		
ring liabilities	83,716	99,829	2.50%	3.38%	6,231,043	
lent net interest income / spread	\$117,860	\$120,888	4.12%	3.75%	\$ 179,090	\$
lent interest rate margin			4.10%	3.90%		
IAL SPREAD						
rning assets: s:						
securities		\$127,609			\$4,873,645	\$
urities	3	928		6.87%	243	
ket investments	184	418	0.65%	0.73%	56,248	
	103,143	128,955	4.18%	5.17%	4,930,136	
covered by FDIC shared-loss agreements:						
	28,651	31,036		6.28%	•	
	5,647	4,988		5.27%		
	24 927	1,003	6.61% 7.98%	9.71%	726 23,230	
	721	1,003	7.50%	J.1170	23,230	
	35,249	37,027	6.17%	6.18%	1,142,596	
red by FDIC shared-loss agreements:						
ed by residential properties	4,078		8.57%		71,381	
l and construction	6,424		4.66%		206,670	
	2,320		6.88%		50,585	
	592		10.13%		8,765	
	13,414		5.98%		337,401	
	48,663	37,027	7.48%	6.18%	1,479,997	

151,806 165,982 4.95% 5.36% 6,410,133

	,			- 1 1-	-,,	
aring liabilities:						
t bearing deposits					83,133	
nts	7,326	8,106	2.24%	3.19%	654,369	
money market	1,111	365	1.55%	1.31%	143,225	
of deposit	14,733	19,501	2.73%	3.51%	1,079,644	
	23,170	27,972	2.08%	3.26%	1,960,371	
s:						
old under agreement to repurchase	50,772	63,728		3.40%		•
om FHLB and other borrowings	6,065	6,171	3.78%	3.71%	,	
inteed term notes	2,042	1,133	3.69%	3.41%	•	
oney note issued to the FDIC	1,064		0.64%		749,598	
d capital notes	603	825	3.34%	4.57%	36,083	
	60,546	71,857	2.86%	3.43%	4,270,672	
	83,716	99,829	2.76%	3.38%	6,231,043	;
t income / spread	\$ 68,090	\$ 66,153	2.19%	1.98%		
e margin			2.31%	2.13%		
average interest-earning assets over average interest-bearing liabilities					\$ 179,090	\$

# C Changes in net interest income due to:

terest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities ratio

	Volume	Rate	Total
Interest Income:			
Investments	\$ (1,538)	\$(24,274)	\$(25,812)
Loans	11,686	(50)	11,636
	10,148	(24,324)	(14,176)
Interest Expense:			
Deposits	(338)	(4,464)	(4,802)
Repurchase agreements	(3,386)	(9,571)	(12,957)

102.87%

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL			) Faum 10 O
FOOST FIIING OBJEINTAL	FINANCIAL (	いろいいと かい	, - FORM 10-C

Other borrowings	1,677	(32)	1,645
	(2,047)	(14,067)	(16,114)
Net Interest Incom	ne \$12,195	\$(10,257)	\$ 1,938
54			

Net interest income is a function of the difference between rates earned on the Group s interest-earning assets and rates paid on its interest-bearing liabilities (interest rate spread) and the relative amounts of its interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities (interest rate margin). The Group constantly monitors the composition and re-pricing of its assets and liabilities to maintain its net interest income at adequate levels.

For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, net interest income amounted to \$38.7 million and \$68.1 million, respectively, an increase of 8.9% and 2.9% from \$35.5 million and \$66.2 million in the same periods of 2009. These increases reflect a 8.0% and 16.1% reduction in interest expense for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, respectively, primarily the result of a decrease of \$3.4 million and \$14.1 million in rate variance, respectively, combined with a decrease of \$270 thousand and \$2.0 million, respectively, in volume variance. The decrease of 0.7% and 8.5% in interest income for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, respectively, was primarily the result of a decrease of \$10.2 million and \$24.3 million, respectively, in rate variance, partially offset by an increase of \$9.7 million and \$10.2 million, respectively, in volume variance.

Interest rate spread increased 59 basis points to 2.76% for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 from 2.17% in June 30, 2009 quarter, and increased 21 basis points to 2.19% for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 from 1.98% for the same period in 2009. These increases reflect a 65 basis points decrease in the average cost of funds to 2.48% in the quarter ended June 30, 2010 from 3.13% in June 30, 2009 quarter, partially offset by a 6 basis point decrease in the average yield of interest earning assets to 5.24% in the quarter ended June 30, 2010 from 5.30% in June 30, 2009 quarter; and a 62 basis point decrease in the average cost of funds to 2.76% in the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 from 3.38% for the year ago period, partially offset by a 41 basis point decrease in the average yield of interest earning assets to 4.95% in the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 from 5.36% for the year ago period, as further explained below.

For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, the average balances of total interest-earnings assets were \$6.735 billion and \$6.410 billion, respectively, a 8.8% and 3.6% increase from the same periods in 2009. The increase in the quarterly and year-to-date average balance of interest-earnings assets was mainly attributable to the contribution made to average balances by the \$828.3 million in covered loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition, net of fair value adjustments, partially offset by a 4.9% and 3.6% decrease in averaged investments and non-covered loans, respectively, on a linked-quarter basis, and a 1.2% and 4.7% decrease in averaged investments and non-covered loans, respectively, on a linked- year-to-date basis. The decline in the average volume of non-covered mortgage loans listed in the preceding table was principally influenced by lower origination activity. In December 2009 and January 2010, the Group sold certain non-agency securities. Rather than reinvesting all of the proceeds in the purchase of new, long-term securities, the Group has been building up its cash position. As of June 30, 2010, the Group had \$472.6 million in cash versus \$277.1 million as of December 31, 2009 and \$307.1 million as of June 30, 2009. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, the average yield on interest-earning assets was 5.24% and 4.95%, respectively, compared to 5.30% and 5.36% for the same periods last year. These decreases were mainly due to lower average yields in the investment portfolio, mainly due to aforementioned sale transactions and higher cash positions, which were partially offset by higher yields contributed by the recently acquired covered loan portfolio, as previously mentioned.

Interest income on investments decreased 20.4% to \$50.4 million and 20.0% to \$103.1 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, respectively, compared to \$63.3 million and \$129.0 million for the same periods in 2009, reflecting the decrease in yield. The investment portfolio yield decreased to 4.24% and 4.18% in the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, versus 5.07% and 5.17% in the same periods last year. Interest income from loans increased 66.1% to \$31.1 million and 31.4% to \$48.7 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, respectively, mainly due to the contribution of loans acquired. Considering covered loans, the loan portfolio yield increased to 7.63% and 7.48% in the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, compared to 6.27% and 6.18% for the same periods in 2009.

For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, the average balances of total interest-bearing liabilities were \$7.031 billion and \$6.231 billion, respectively, a 18.0% and 5.5% increase from the same periods in 2009. The increase in the quarterly and year-to-date average balance of interest-bearing liabilities was mainly attributable to the funding of the FDIC loss-share indemnification asset of \$517.7 million, which is being funded with interest-bearing

liabilities, particularly the Purchase Money Note issued to the FDIC and deposits assumed in the FDIC-assisted acquisition.

Interest expense decreased 8.0% and 16.1%, to \$42.9 million and \$83.7 million, for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, respectively, from \$46.6 million and \$99.8 million for the same periods of 2009. These decreases are due to a significant reduction in cost of funds, which decreased 65 basis points on a linked-quarter basis, from 3.13% to 2.48%, and by 62 basis points on a linked- year-to-date basis, from 3.38% to 2.76%. Reduction in the cost of funds is mostly due to a reduction in the rate paid on deposits, mainly due to the

premium amortization on certificates of deposit assumed in the FDIC-assisted acquisition, and the structured repurchase agreements amounting to \$1.25 billion we entered during the first quarter of 2009, which reset at the put date at a formula which is based on the three-month LIBOR rate less fifteen times the difference between the ten-year SWAP rate and the two-year SWAP rate, with a minimum of 0.00% on \$1.0 billion and 0.25% on \$250 million, and a maximum of 10.6%. These repurchase agreements bear the respective minimum rates of 0.0% (from March 6, 2009) and 0.25% (from March 2, 2009) to at least their next put dates scheduled for September 2010. For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, the cost of deposits decreased 122 basis points and 87 basis points, to 2.03% and 2.39%, respectively, compared to 3.25% and 3.26% for the same periods of 2009.

TABLE 2 NON-INTEREST INCOME SUMMARY
FOR THE QUARTERS AND SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

	Quarter ended June 30, Variance			Six-month period ended June 30, Variance				
	2010	2009	%	2010	2009	<b>%</b>		
	(Do	(Dollars in thousands)			ollars in thousa	nds)		
Wealth management	<b>4.605</b>	ф. 2.20 <b>5</b>	40.00	Φ 0.603	Φ 6200	24.48		
revenues	\$ 4,625	\$ 3,285	40.8%	\$ 8,603	\$ 6,399	34.4%		
Banking service revenues Investment banking	2,797	1,602	74.6%	4,444	2,995	48.4%		
revenues (losses)	34	8	325.0%	34	(4)	-950.0%		
Mortgage banking activities	2,339	2,806	-16.6%	4,136	4,959	-16.6%		
	,	,		,	,			
Total banking and wealth								
management revenues	9,795	7,701	27.2%	17,217	14,349	20.0%		
Total								
other-than-temporarily								
impaired securities	(1,796)	(62,594)	-45.9%	(41,386)	(62,594)	-44.9%		
Portion of loss on securities	(1,70)	(02,0)	,	(11,000)	(0=,0)	, 76		
recognized in other								
comprehensive income	0	58,178	-44.8%	38,958	58,178	-44.8%		
-								
Other-than-temporary								
impairments on securities	(1,796)	(4,416)	59.3%	(2,428)	(4,416)	-45.0%		
Net gain (loss) on:	11.022	10.700	10 = ~	22.022	• • • • •	110~		
Sale of securities	11,833	10,520	12.5%	23,853	20,860	14.3%		
Derivatives	(26,615)	19,408	-237.1%	(37,251)	19,842	-287.7%		
Trading securities	1	12,959	-100.0%	(2)	12,932	-100.0%		
Bargain purchase from	16 462		100.00/	16 462		100.0%		
FDIC assisted acquisition Fair value adjustment on	16,463		100.0%	16,463		100.0%		
FDIC equity appreciation								
instrument	909		100.0%	909		100.0%		
Accretion of FDIC	909		100.070	909		100.0 /6		
loss-share indemnification								
asset	1,444		100.0%	1,444		100.0%		
Foreclosed real estate	(26)	(136)	80.9%	(143)	(298)	52.0%		
Other	295	15	1866.7%	318	28	1035.7%		
- · · ·	-/-			213		- 30070		

Total non-interest income	\$ 12,303	\$ 46 051	-73 3%	\$ 20 380	\$ 63 297	-67.8%

-93.5%

3,163

48,948

-93.5%

38,350

2,508

Non-interest income is affected by the amount of securities, derivatives and trading transactions, the level of trust assets under management, transactions generated by the gathering of financial assets by the securities broker-dealer subsidiary, the level of investment and mortgage banking activities, and the fees generated from loans, deposit accounts, and insurance activities. Non-interest income totaled \$12.3 million and \$20.4 million for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, a decrease of 73.3% and 67.8% when compared to \$46.1 million and \$63.3 million during the same periods last year.

Wealth management revenues, consisting of commissions and fees from fiduciary activities, from securities brokerage and from insurance activities, increased 40.8%, to \$4.6 million and 34.4% to \$8.6 million in the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, from \$3.3 million and \$6.4 million in the same periods of 2009. Banking service revenues, consisting primarily of fees generated by deposit accounts, electronic banking services, and customer services, increased 74.6% to \$2.8 million and 48.4% to \$4.4 million in the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, from \$1.6 million and \$3.0 million in the same periods of 2009. These increases are attributable to increases in electronic banking service fees and the fees generated from the customers of the former Eurobank banking business.

Income generated from mortgage banking activities decreased 16.6% to \$2.3 million and 16.6% to \$4.1 million in the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, from \$2.8 million and \$5.0 million in the same periods of 2009, mainly the result of a decrease in the securitizations and sale of mortgage loans held-for-sale into the secondary market.

For the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010, gains from securities, derivatives, trading activities and other investment activities was \$2.5 million and \$3.2 million respectively, compared to \$38.4 million and \$48.9 million for the same periods of 2009. Decrease was mostly due to losses of \$26.6 million in derivatives during the quarter and \$37.3 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010, compared with gains of \$19.4 million and \$19.8 million respectively for the same periods in 2009.

Losses on derivative activities for the quarter and the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 included realized losses of \$24.7 million due to the termination of forward-settle swaps with a notional amount of \$900 million. These terminations allowed the Group to enter into new forward-settle swap contracts for the same notional amount, and effectively reduce the interest rate of the pay-fixed side of such deals from an average rate of 3.53% to an average rate of 2.45%. The remaining losses mainly represent unrealized losses on new interest rate swaps. These losses realization and the actual valuation results reversed the valuation gains from the preceding quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2009 of \$19.4 million and \$19.8 million, respectively.

Keeping with the Group's investment strategy, during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, there were certain sales of available-for-sale securities because the Group felt at the time of such sales that gains could be realized while at the same time having good opportunities to invest the proceeds in other investment securities with attractive yields and terms that would allow the Group to continue to protect its net interest margin. Sale of securities available-for-sale, which generated gains of \$11.8 million for the quarter and \$23.9 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010, increased 12.5% and 14.4% when compared to \$10.5 million and \$20.9 million for the same periods a year ago. Benefitting from the strategic positioning of its investment securities portfolio, in January 2010, the Group made the strategic decision to sell \$374.3 million of non-agency CMOs which contemplated a loss of \$45.8 million. This loss was accounted for as other-than-temporary impairment in the fourth quarter of 2009 and no additional gain or loss was realized on the sale in January 2010, since these assets were sold at the same value reflected at December 31, 2009. During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, a gain of \$1 thousand was recognized in trading securities, compared to a gain of \$13.0 million in the previous year.

During the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010 the Group recorded other-than-temporary impairment losses of \$1.8 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, compared to losses of \$4.4 million, for the same periods of 2009.

TABLE 3 NON-INTEREST EXPENSES SUMMARY
FOR THE QUARTERS AND SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

	Quarter Ended June 30, Variance			Six-Month Period Ended June 30, Variance			
	2010	2009	%	2010	2009	%	
	(D	(Dollars in thousands)			ollars in thousan	ds)	
Compensation and							
employee benefits	\$ 10,427	\$ 8,020	30.0%	\$ 18,677	\$ 15,744	18.6%	
Occupancy and							
equipment	4,601	3,758	22.4%	8,195	7,247	13.1%	
Professional and service							
fees	3,920	2,394	63.7%	6,073	5,002	21.4%	
Insurance	1,733	3,472	-50.1%	3,566	4,287	-16.8%	
Advertising and business							
promotion	1,361	1,028	32.4%	2,060	2,232	-7.7%	
Taxes, other than payroll							
and income taxes	1,291	649	98.9%	2,148	1,295	65.9%	
Electronic banking							
charges	1,113	596	86.7%	1,791	1,136	57.7%	
Loan servicing expenses	452	388	16.5%	879	771	14.0%	
Communication	740	402	84.1%	1,082	781	38.5%	
Director and investors							
relations	388	332	16.9%	703	681	3.2%	
Clearing and wrap fees	342	237	44.3%	639	567	12.7%	
Other operating expenses	1,504	938	60.3%	2,452	1,744	40.6%	
	\$ 27,872	\$ 22,214	25.5%	\$ 48,265	\$41,487	16.3%	

# Total non-interest expenses

Relevant ratios and data:				
Efficiency ratio	57.53%	51.43%	56.58%	51.54%
Expense ratio	1.07%	0.94%	0.90%	0.88%
Compensation and benefits to non-interest expense	37.4%	36.1%	38.7%	37.9%
Compensation to total assets	0.52%	0.46%	0.92%	45.00%
Average compensation per employee	\$ 54.5	\$ 57.8	\$ 56.6	\$ 56.8
Average number of employees	765	555	660	554
Assets owned per average employee	<b>\$ 10,560</b>	\$ 12,523	<b>\$ 12,240</b>	\$ 12,546

Non-interest expenses for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 increased 25.5% to \$27.9 million, compared to \$22.2 million for the same period of 2009. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 non-interest expense reached \$48.3 million representing an increase of 16.3% compared to \$41.5 million for the same period of 2009. The increase in non-interest expense is primarily driven by higher compensation and employees benefits and by higher professional and service fees.

Compensation and employee benefits increased 30.0% to \$10.4 million from \$8.0 million in the quarter ended June 30, 2010. The increase is mainly driven by the integration of the employees of Eurobank since April 30, 2010. This factor represented an increase of approximately \$1.0 million in payroll for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. The increase against the six-month period ended June 30, 2009 is also affected by the integration of former Eurobank s employees.

Professional and service fees for the quarter increased 63.7% mainly due to one-time professional expenses as part of the FDIC-assisted acquisition. Such one-time fees amounted to approximately \$1.0 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010. The fluctuation for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 is also affected by these figures. Insurance expenses decreased from \$3.5 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 to \$1.7 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 million. This decrease is mainly due to the reduced re-assessment of the FDIC due to lower overall risk categorization of the Bank. This re-assessment also positively impacts the fluctuation between the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 against 2009.

Increases in taxes, other than payroll and income taxes for the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2010 as compared to same period of 2009, are principally due to increase in municipal license tax, based on business volume and assets, which increased compared to previous year period. The increase in overall business volume and assets is also related to the addition of new branches and the assets acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition.

Increases in electronic banking charges for both the quarter and the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 against the same period of 2009, are mainly due to increase in POS transactions, in addition to Eurobank s increased transactions a result of the Group s commercial POS cash management business.

The non-interest expense results reflect an efficiency ratio of 57.53% for the quarter ended June 30, 2010, compared to 51.43% for the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The efficiency ratio measures how much of a company s revenue is used to pay operating expenses. The Group computes its efficiency ratio by dividing non-interest expenses by the sum of its net interest income and non-interest income, but excluding gains on sale of investments securities, derivatives gains or losses, credit-related other-than-temporary impairment losses, and other income that may be considered volatile in nature. Management believes that the exclusion of those items permit greater comparability. Amounts presented as part of non-interest income that are excluded from the efficiency ratio computation amounted to \$2.5 million and \$38.4 million for quarters ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

TABLE 4 ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES SUMMARY (NON-COVERED LOANS) FOR THE QUARTERS AND SIX-MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

	Quarter Ended June 30,		Variance	Six-Month Period Ended Variance June 30,					
	2010	2009	<b>%</b>	1 \	2010	,	2009	%	
Balance at beginning of			(In thousan	as)					
period	\$ 25,977	\$ 15,147	71.5%	\$	23,272	\$	14,293	62.8%	
Provision for loan and									
lease losses	4,100	3,650	12.3%		8,114		6,850	18.5%	
Net credit losses see									
Table 5	(2,075)	(2,079)	-0.2%		(3,384)		(4,425)	-23.5%	
Balance at end of									
period	\$ 28,002	\$16,718	67.5%	\$	28,002	\$	16,718	67.5%	

TABLE 5 NET CREDIT LOSSES STATISTICS: FOR THE QUARTERS AND SIX-MONTH PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009

		Six-Month Period Ended						
Quarter Ended June 30,	Variance	June 30,	Variance					

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	2010	2009	% (In thousands)	2010	2009	<b>%</b>
Mortgage Charge-offs Recoveries	\$ (1,343) 76	\$ (789) 22	70.2% 245.5%	\$ (2,439) 76	\$ (2,201) 39	10.8% 94.9%
	(1,267)	(767)	65.2%	(2,363)	(2,162)	9.3%
Commercial						
Charge-offs Recoveries	(391) 11	(1,116) 18	-65.0% -38.9%	(500) 22	(1,732) 36	-71.1% -38.9%
	(380)	(1,098)	-65.4%	(478)	(1,696)	-71.8%
Consumer						
Charge-offs Recoveries	(481) 53	(284) 70	69.4% -24.3%	(668) 124	(676) 126	-1.2% -1.6%
	(428)	(214)	100.0%	(544)	(550)	-1.1%
Net credit losses Total charge-offs	(2,215)	(2,189)	1.2%	(3,607)	(4,609)	-21.7%
Total recoveries	140	110	27.3%	223	201	10.9%
	(2,075)	(2,079)	-0.2%	(3,384)	(4,408)	-23.2%
Net credit losses to average loans outstanding:						
Mortgage	0.55%	0.31%		0.51%	0.44%	
Commercial	0.76%	2.26%		0.50%	1.79%	
Leasing	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%	
Consumer	6.60%	4.23%		4.26%	5.33%	
Total	0.72%	0.70%		0.59%	0.74%	
Recoveries to charge-off s	-6.32%	-5.03%		-6.15%	-4.36%	
Average loans: Mortgage Commercial Leasing	\$ 925,018 199,246 726	\$ 978,855 194,311	-5.5% 2.5% 100.0%	\$ 926,289 192,351 726	\$ 988,626 189,262	-6.3% 1.6% 100.0%
Consumer	25,937	20,230	28.2%	25,550	20,649	23.7%

Total \$1,150,927 \$1,193,396 -3.6% \$1,144,916 \$1,198,537 -4.5%

#### TABLE 6 ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES BREAKDOWN (NON-COVERED LOANS)

	June 30, 2010	D	Variance %	June 30, 2009	
Mortgage	\$ 19,237	\$	<b>2009</b> 15,044	27.9%	\$ 10,186
Commercial	6,502	Ψ	7,112	-8.6%	4,534
Consumer	897		864	3.8%	1,529
Leasing	99		00-1	100.0%	1,32)
Unallocated allowance	1,267		252	402.8%	469
	\$ 28,002	\$	23,272	20.3%	\$ 16,718
Allowance composition:					
Mortgage	68.7%		64.6%		64.3%
Commercial	23.2%		30.6%		24.8%
Consumer	3.2%		3.7%		10.0%
Leasing	0.4%		0.0%		0.0%
Unallocated allowance	4.5%		1.1%		0.9%
	100.0%		100.0%		100.0%
Allowance coverage ratio at end of period					
applicable to:					
Mortgage	2.08%		1.60%		0.97%
Commercial	3.08%		3.60%		1.93%
Consumer	3.16%		3.76%		6.59%
Leasing	6.82%		0.00%		0.00%
Unallocated allowance to total loans and leases	0.11%		0.02%		0.01%
Total allowance to total loans	2.46%		2.00%		1.23%
Other selected data and ratios:					
Allowance coverage ratio to: Non-performing loans	25.5%		22.0%		18.6%
Non-mortgage non-performing loans	185.6%		144.2%		216.7%

The provision for loan and lease losses for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$4.1 million, a 12.3% increase from the \$3.7 million reported for the same quarter in 2009, mainly due to a deteriorating macroeconomic environment. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 amounted to \$8.1 million or 18.5% higher than the \$6.9 million recorded for the same period 2009. This increase is the result of higher non-performing loans mainly in the Group s mortgage and commercial portfolios. Non-performing loans of \$110.0 million at June 30, 2010, were 5.4% higher than the \$104.4 million at December 31, 2009 and the \$90.0 million reported on June 30, 2009. Compared to

December 31, 2009, non-performing mortgage loans increased 15.6% and non-performing commercial loans increased 107.0%. These increases have not resulted in increased losses but represent credit deteriorations that are being reflected in the increases in the Group s provision for loan and lease losses.

Net credit losses remained similar for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 at \$2.1 million when compared to the same period of 2009. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2010, net credit losses reached \$3.4 million compared to \$4.4 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2009. Higher losses during 2009 were the result of increases in losses in the commercial portfolios as a result of specific loans with impairments during that period.

The Group maintains an allowance for loan and lease losses at a level that management considers adequate to provide for probable losses based upon an evaluation of known and inherent risks. The Group s allowance for loan and lease losses policy provides for a detailed quarterly analysis of probable losses. Based on an analysis of the credit quality and the composition of the Group s loan portfolio, management determined that the provision for 2010 was adequate in order to maintain the allowance for loan and lease losses at an adequate level.

The Group follows a systematic methodology to establish and evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses to provide for inherent losses in the loan portfolio. This methodology includes the consideration of factors such as economic conditions, portfolio risk characteristics, prior loss experience, and results of periodic credit reviews of individual loans. The provision for loan and lease losses charged to current operations is based on such methodology. Loan and lease losses are charged and recoveries are credited to the allowance for loan and lease losses. Principal factors that contributed to the increase in provision from December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010 were the continuing deterioration in the net charge-off trend in the mortgage loan portfolio; the continuing increased trend in non-performing loans and the continuing recessionary economical conditions in Puerto Rico.

Larger commercial loans that exhibit potential or observed credit weaknesses are subject to individual review and grading. Where appropriate, allowances are allocated to individual loans based on management s estimate of the borrower s ability to repay the loan given the availability of collateral, other sources of cash flow and legal options available to the Group.

Included in the review of individual loans are those that are impaired. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Group will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, or as a practical expedient, at the observable market price of the loan or the fair value of the collateral, if the loan is collateral dependent. Loans are individually evaluated for impairment, except large groups of small balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment, and loans that are recorded at fair value or at the lower of cost or market. The portfolios of mortgage and consumer loans are considered homogeneous, and are evaluated collectively for impairment. For the commercial loans portfolio, all loans over \$250 thousand and over 90-days past due are evaluated for impairment. At June 30, 2010, the total investment in impaired loans was \$33 million, compared to \$26.3 million at December 31, 2009. Impaired commercial loans are measured based on the fair value of collateral method, since all impaired loans during the period were collateral dependant. The valuation allowance for impaired commercial loans amounted to approximately \$2.3 million and \$709 thousand at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. At June 30, 2010, the total investment in impaired mortgage loans was \$10.3 million, compared to \$10.7 million at December 31, 2009. Impairment on mortgage loans assessed as troubled debt restructuring was measured using the present value of cash flows. The valuation allowance for impaired mortgage loans amounted to approximately \$700 thousand and \$684 thousand at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The Group, using a rating system, applies an overall allowance percentage to each loan portfolio category based on historical credit losses adjusted for current conditions and trends. This calculation is the starting point for management s systematic determination of the required level of the allowance for loan and lease losses. Other data considered in this determination includes: the credit grading assigned to commercial loans, delinquency levels, loss trends and other information including underwriting standards and economic trends.

Loan loss ratios and credit risk categories are updated quarterly and are applied in the context of GAAP and the Joint Interagency Guidance on the importance of depository institutions having prudent, conservative, but not excessive loan loss allowances that fall within an acceptable range of estimated losses. While management uses available information in estimating probable loan and lease losses, future changes to the allowance may be necessary, based on factors beyond the Group s control, such as factors affecting general economic conditions.

In the current quarter, the Group has not changed in any material respect its overall approach in the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses. There have been no material changes in criteria or estimation techniques as compared to prior periods that impacted the determination of the current period allowance for loan and lease losses. The covered loans were recognized at fair value as of April 30, 2010, which included the impact of expected credit losses, and therefore, no allowance for credit losses was recorded at the acquisition date. To the extent credit deterioration occurs after the date of acquisition, the Group would record an allowance for loan and lease losses.

TABLE 7 HIGHER RISK RESIDENTIAL MORTGAGE LOANS AS OF JUNE 30, 2010

	Junio			Higher-F			tial ]			ı-to-Val	ue (LTV) I	Ratio	,	
	Mort	igage	S	Interest (	Jilly	Loans	T	TV 90%	Mortga	LTV Over 100%				
	Carrying			Carrying				arrying	10 1	100 /0	Carrying			
	Value	Allo	wance		All	owance (In thou	1	Value	All	owance		Allo	wance	
Delinquency:						(111 1110)		<b>(45)</b>						
Up to 90 days	\$ 22,806	\$	254	\$ 36,152	\$	1,002	\$ 1	13,112	\$	1,623	\$ 6,603	\$	116	
91- 120 days	374	4	8	495	4	28	Ψ.	494	Ψ	11	111	4	5	
121 - 180 days	269		12	995		112		1,791		129				
181- 365 days	852		37	2,001		226		4,399		214				
Over 365 days	2,182		210	3,310		840		7,856		1,198				
Total	\$ 26,483	\$	521	\$ 42,953	\$	2,208	\$ 1	27,652	\$	3,175	\$ 6,714	\$	121	
Percentage of total loans not covered by FDIC shared-loss														
agreements	2.33%	Ó		3.78%	)			11.23%			0.59%			
Refinanced or Modified Loans: Amount	\$ 768	\$	14	\$	\$		\$	5,605	\$	81	\$ 2,412	\$	31	
Percentage of Higher-Risk Loan Category	2.90%	ó						4.39%			35.93%			
Current Loan-to-Value: Under 70% 70%- 79% 80% - 89% 90% - 100%	\$ 20,362 3,534 1,935 651	\$	402 90 21 17	\$ 4,231 8,385 10,827 17,008	\$	330 504 504 800	\$	27,651	\$	3,174	\$	\$		
Over 100%	0.51		1/	2,502		71	1	127,031		3,1/4	6,714		121	
	\$ 26,482	\$	530	\$ 42,953	\$	2,209	\$ 1	27,651	\$	3,174	\$6,714	\$	121	

### TABLE 8 NON-PERFORMING NON-COVERED ASSETS

	June 30, 2010		31,	%	June 30,
(Dollars in thousands)			2009	Variance	2009
Non-performing assets:					
Non-accruing					
Troubled Debt Restructuring (TDR) loans	\$	\$	53	-100.0%	\$
Other loans	58,284		57,015	2.2%	40,344
Accruing					
Troubled Debt Restructuring (TDR) loans	1,024		443	131.2%	
Other loans	50,720		46,860	8.2%	49,533
Total non-performing loans	110,028		104,371	5.4%	89,877
Foreclosed real estate	12,277		9,347	31.3%	9,174
	\$ 122,305	\$	113,718	7.6%	\$ 99,051
Non-performing assets to total assets	1.51%		1.74%		1.43%
	60				

<sup>\*</sup> Loans may be included in more than one higher-risk loan category

TABLE 9 NON-PERFORMING NON-COVERED LOANS AS OF JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009 AND DECEMBER 31, 2009

	December						
	June 30,		31,	Variance	June 30,		
NI	2010		2009	%	2009		
Non-performing loans:	¢ 04.042	\$	00 220	7.60	¢ 92 162		
Mortgage	\$ 94,942	Э	88,238	7.6%	\$ 82,162		
Commercial, mainly real estate Consumer	14,220 866		15,688 445	-9.4% 94.6%	6,868 847		
	800		443	94.0% 0.0%	847		
Leasing				0.0%			
Total	\$ 110,028	\$	104,371	5.4%	\$ 89,877		
Non-performing loans composition							
percentages:							
Mortgage	86.3%		84.5%		91.4%		
Commercial, mainly real estate	12.9%		15.0%		7.6%		
Consumer	0.8%		0.4%		0.9%		
Total	100.0%		100.0%		100.0%		
Non-performing loans to:							
Total loans (excluding loans covered by FDIC shared-loss agreements)	9.44%		8.97%	4.8%	7.47%		
Total assets (excluding assets covered by FDIC							
shared-loss agreements)	1.52%		1.59%	-14.5%	1.29%		
Total capital	16.39%		31.61%	-53.3%	24.99%		

Total non-performing loans as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 amounting to \$110.0 million and \$104.4 million do not consider loans classified as current and modified under troubled debt restructuring programs. Total investment in mortgage loans with troubled debt restructuring amounted to \$10.3 million as of June 30, 2010 and \$10.7 million as of December 31, 2009. Out of these amounts, a total of \$7.7 million and \$9.7 million were not included in the aforementioned non-performing loan amounts because the loans were current in their payment schedules. Also, for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 and the year ended December 31, 2009 a total of \$3.6 million and \$436,000, respectively, in commercial loans have been modified of which \$3.3 million and \$106,000 are not considered in the non-performing loan amounts because the loans are current.

Detailed information concerning each of the items that comprise non-performing assets follows:

<u>Mortgage loans</u> are placed on a non-accrual basis when they become 365 days or more past due and are written-down, if necessary, based on the specific evaluation of the collateral underlying the loan. At June 30, 2010, the Group s non-performing mortgage loans totaled \$94.9 million (86% of the Group s non-performing loans), a 7.6% increase from the \$88.2 million (84.6% of the Group s non-performing loans) reported at December 31, 2009. Non-performing loans in this category are primarily residential mortgage loans.

<u>Commercial loans</u> are placed on non-accrual status when they become 90 days or more past due and are written-down, if necessary, based on the specific evaluation of the underlying collateral, if any. At June 30, 2010,

the Group s non-performing commercial loans amounted to \$14.2 million (13% of the Group s non-performing loans), a 9.4% decrease when compared to non-performing commercial loans of \$15.7 million reported at December 31, 2009 (15.0% of the Group s non-performing loans). Most of this portfolio is collateralized by commercial real estate properties.

<u>Consumer loans</u> are placed on non-accrual status when they become 90 days past due and written-off when payments are delinquent 120 days in personal loans and 180 days in credit cards and personal lines of credit. At June 30, 2010, the Group s non-performing consumer loans amounted to \$866 thousand (1% of the Group s total non-performing loans), a 94.6% increase from the \$445 thousand reported at December 31, 2009 (0.4% of total non-performing loans).

**Foreclosed real estate** is initially recorded at the lower of the related loan balance or fair value less cost to sell, at the date of foreclosure. Any excess of the loan balance over the fair value of the property is charged against the allowance for loan and lease losses. Subsequently, any excess of the carrying value over the estimated fair value less disposition cost is charged to operations. Net losses on the sale of foreclosed real estate for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 amounted to \$26 thousand compared to \$136 thousand on the

quarter ended June 30, 2009. For the six-month period, net losses on foreclosed real estate amounted to \$143 thousand compared to \$298 thousand for the same period of 2009.

# TABLE 10 ASSETS SUMMARY AND COMPOSITION AS OF JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009, AND DECEMBER 31, 2009 (Dollars in thousands)

	June 30,	31,	Variance	June 30,
Investments:	2010	2009	%	2009
FNMA and FHLMC certificates	\$ 3,647,735	\$ 2,764,173	32.0%	\$ 2,768,465
Obligations of US Government sponsored				
agencies	603,735	1,007,091	-40.1%	921,247
Non-agency collateralized mortgage				
obligations	71,805	446,037	-83.9%	476,192
CMO s issued by US Government sponsored agencies	204,919	286,509	-28.5%	319,091
GNMA certificates	303,637	346,103	-12.3%	258,721
Structured credit investments	41,606	38,383	8.4%	143,823
Puerto Rico Government and agency	,			-,-
obligations	68,091	65,732	3.6%	63,835
FHLB stock	22,496	19,937	12.8%	19,937
Other investments	206	304	-43.4%	200
	4,964,230	4,974,269	-0.2%	4,971,511
	4,704,230	7,217,202	-0.2 /0	4,771,511
Loans:	1 125 505	1.126.000	0.10	1 162 006
Loans receivable	1,137,587	1,136,080	0.1%	1,162,906
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(28,002)	(23,272)	20.3%	(16,718)
Loans receivable, net	1,109,585	1,112,808	-0.3%	1,146,188
Mortgage loans held for sale	27,519	27,261	0.9%	40,886
	4.427.404	4.440.050	0.0~	4 40= 0= 4
Total non-covered loans, net Total covered loans	1,137,104 809,895	1,140,069	-0.3% 100.0%	1,187,074
Total covered loans	009,093		100.0%	
Total loans, net	1,946,999	1,140,069	70.8%	1,187,074
Securities sold but not yet delivered	1,490		100.0%	360,764
·	,			,
Total securities and loans	6,912,719	6,114,338	13.1%	6,519,349
rotal securities and idans	0,714,717	0,114,330	13.1 /0	U,J17,J <b>7</b> 7
Other assets:	420 400	247.601	76.00	102 240
Cash and due from banks	430,498 42,137	247,691 29,432	76.0% 24.8%	103,249 203,813
Money market investments	42,137	29,432	24.8%	203,813

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Accrued interest receivable	34,672	33,656	3.0%	37,785
Deferred tax asset, net	19,517	31,685	-38.4%	25,756
Premises and equipment, net	18,113	19,775	-8.4%	20,706
FDIC loss-share indemnification asset	517,695		100.0%	
Core deposit intangible	1,399		100.0%	
Foreclosed real estate	31,772	9,347	239.9%	9,174
Servicing asset	9,285	7,120	30.4%	5,242
Other assets	60,737	57,789	5.1%	25,230
Total other assets	1,165,825	436,495	167.1%	430,955
Total assets	\$ 8,078,544	\$ 6,550,833	23.3%	\$ 6,950,304
Investments portfolio composition:				
FNMA and FHLMC certificates	73.5%	55.5%		55.7%
Obligations of US Government sponsored				
agencies	12.2%	20.2%		18.5%
Non-agency collateralized mortgage				
obligations	1.4%	9.0%		9.6%
CMO s issued by US Government sponsored				
agencies	4.1%	5.8%		6.4%
GNMA certificates	6.1%	7.0%		5.2%
Structured credit investments	0.8%	0.8%		2.9%
Puerto Rico Government and agency				
obligations	1.4%	1.3%		1.3%
FHLB stock	0.5%	0.4%		0.4%
	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%

At June 30, 2010, the Group s total assets amounted to \$8.079 billion, an increase of 23.3% when compared to \$6.551 billion at December 31, 2009, and interest-earning assets reached \$6.913 billion, up 13.1%, versus \$6.114 billion at December 31, 2009.

Investments principally consist of money market instruments, U.S. government and agency bonds, mortgage-backed securities and Puerto Rico government and agency bonds. At June 30, 2010, the investment portfolio decreased 0.2% from \$4.974 billion to \$4.964 billion. This decrease is mostly due to a decrease of \$403.4 million or 40.1% in U.S. Government sponsored agencies bonds and a decrease of \$374.2 million or 83.9% in Non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations, partially offset by an increase of \$883.6 million or 32.0% in FMNA and FHLMC certificates, when compared to December 31, 2009.

The Group s loan portfolio is mainly comprised of residential loans, home equity loans, commercial loans collateralized by mortgages on real estate located in Puerto Rico, and leases, the latter were added as part of the recent FDIC-assisted acquisition. At June 30, 2010, the Group s loan portfolio, the second largest category of the Group s interest-earning assets, amounted to \$1.947 billion, an increase of 70.8% when compared to the \$1.140 billion at December 31, 2009. The loan portfolio increase was mainly attributable to the \$839.5 million in loans acquired in the Eurobank s FDIC-assisted acquisition. At June 30, 2010, the balance of these loans amounted to \$809.9 million. The following table includes a composition of the loans acquired, their respective unpaid principal balances, and the fair value recorded when acquired on April 30, 2010:

Covered loans:	Unpaid Principal Balance	At April 30, Fair Value Adjustment (In thousa	Fair Value	Total Mark
Loans secured by residential properties	\$ 387,423	\$ (183,027)	\$ 204,396	-47.24%
Commercial and construction	953,153	(474,418)	478,735	-49.77%
Leasing	160,492	(30,437)	130,055	-18.96%
Consumer	35,316	(12,028)	23,288	-34.06%
	1,536,384	(699,910)	836,474	-45.56%
Non-covered loans:				
Credit cards	4,307	(1,298)	3,009	-30.14%
Total loans acquired in the FDIC-assisted transaction	\$ 1,540,691	<b>\$</b> (701,208)	\$ 839,483	-45.51%

The mortgage loan portfolio amounted to \$927.9 million or 81.6% of the non-covered loan portfolio as of June 30, 2010, compared to \$946.2 million or 83.0% of the non-covered loan portfolio at December 31, 2009. Mortgage production and purchases of \$58.4 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 decreased 3.1%, from \$60.3 million, when compared to the quarter ended June 30, 2009. The Group sells most of its conforming mortgages into the secondary market, retaining servicing rights.

The second largest component of the Group s loan portfolio is commercial loans. At June 30, 2010, the commercial loan portfolio totaled \$211.0 million (18.5% of the Group s total non-covered loan portfolio), in comparison to \$197.8 million at December 31, 2009 (17.3% of the Group s total non-covered loan portfolio). The increase of \$13.2 million in the portfolio as compared to December 31, 2009 is mainly related to the origination of new credit relationships. Commercial loan production increased 169.2% to \$20.2 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 from \$7.5 million in the same period of 2009.

The consumer loan portfolio totaled \$28.4 million (2.4% of total non-covered loan portfolio at June 30, 2010), in comparison to \$22.9 million at December 31, 2009 (2.0% total non-covered loan portfolio at such date).

TABLE 11 LIABILITIES SUMMARY AND COMPOSITION AS OF JUNE 30, 2010 AND 2009 AND DECEMBER 31, 2009 (Dollars in thousands)

	June 30,	31,	Variance	<b>June 30,</b>
	2010	2009	<b>%</b>	2009
Deposits:				
Non-interest bearing deposits	\$ 168,647	\$ 73,548	129.3%	\$ 61,878
Now accounts	707,427	619,947	14.1%	620,499
Savings accounts	213,984	86,791	146.6%	62,613
Certificates of deposit	1,443,066	961,344	50.1%	1,099,584
	2,533,124	1,741,630	45.4%	1,844,574
Accrued interest payable	5,147	3,871	33.0%	7,872
	2,538,271	1,745,501	45.4%	1,852,446
Borrowings:				
Federal funds purchases and other short term				
borrowings	45,200	49,179	-8.1%	27,748
Securities sold under agreements to	,_ ,_ ,_ ,	.,,_,,	0.2,5	_,,,,,,
repurchase	3,557,087	3,557,308	0.0%	3,757,510
Advances from FHLB	281,735	281,753	0.0%	281,718
Purchase Money Note issued to the FDIC	711,076	,	100.0%	,
FDIC-guaranteed term notes	105,834	105,834	0.0%	105,834
Subordinated capital notes	36,083	36,083	0.0%	36,083
	4,737,015	4,030,157	17.5%	4,208,893
Total deposits and borrowings	7,275,286	5,775,658	26.0%	6,061,339
FDIC payable on non-acquired investment				
portfolio	17,528		100.0%	
Derivative liability	3,374		100.0%	
Securities and loans purchased but not yet	3,371		100.070	
received	533	413,359	-99.9%	497,360
Other liabilities	35,781	31,650	13.1%	31,971
Total liabilities	\$7,332,502	\$ 6,220,667	17.9%	\$ 6,590,670
Deposits portfolio composition percentages:				
Non-interest bearing deposits	( <b>7</b> 01	4.007		2.407
Now accounts	6.7%	4.2%		3.4%

Edgar Filing: ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Savings accounts	8.4%		5.0%	3.4%
Certificates of deposit	57.0%		55.2%	59.6%
	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%
<b>Borrowings portfolio composition</b>				
percentages:				
Federal funds purchases and other short term				
borrowings	1.0%		1.2%	0.7%
Securities sold under agreements to				
repurchase	75.1%		88.3%	89.3%
Advances from FHLB	5.9%		7.0%	6.7%
Purchase money note issued to the FDIC	15.0%		0.0%	0.0%
FDIC-guaranteed term notes	2.2%		2.6%	2.5%
Subordinated capital notes	0.8%		0.9%	0.8%
	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%
Securities sold under agreements to				
repurchase Amount outstanding at year-end	\$ 3,557,087	\$	3,557,308	\$3,757,510
Amount outstanding at year-end	\$ 3,337,007	Ф	3,337,306	\$ 3,737,310
Daily average outstanding balance	\$3,553,038	\$	3,659,442	\$ 3,752,395
Maximum outstanding balance at any month-end	\$ 3,566,588	\$	3,762,353	\$ 3,779,627

At June 30, 2010, the Group's total liabilities reached \$7.333 billion, 17.9% higher than the \$6.221 billion reported at December 31, 2009. This increase is mostly due to an increase of \$792.8 million in deposits and the Purchase Money Note issued to the FDIC amounting to \$711.1 million in June 30, 2010, both resulting from the FDIC-assisted acquisition. Deposits and borrowings, the Group's funding sources, amounted to \$7.275 billion at June 30, 2010 versus \$5.776 billion at December 31, 2009, a 26.0% increase. Borrowings represented 66.7% of interest-bearing liabilities and deposits represented 33.3%. The increase was partially upset by securities purchased but not yet received at December 31, 2009 amounting to \$413.4 million compared to \$533 thousands reported in June 30, 2010. Borrowings consist mainly of funding sources through the use of repurchase agreements, FHLB advances, FDIC-guaranteed term notes, subordinated capital notes, and other borrowings, which include the Purchase Money Note issued to the FDIC. At June 30, 2010, borrowings amounted to \$4.737 billion 17.5% higher than the \$4.030 billion recorded at December 31, 2009. Repurchase agreements as of June 30, 2010 amounted to \$3.557 billion and remain the same as of December 31, 2009.

The Purchase Money Note was issued to the FDIC as part of the FDIC-assisted acquisition, in the amount of \$715.5 million. The Note is secured by the loans (other than consumer loans not secured by real estate and extensions of credit pursuant to credit card or debit card plans) acquired from Eurobank under the agreement and all proceeds derived from such loans. The entire outstanding principal balance of the Note is due one year from issuance, or such earlier date as such amount may become due and payable pursuant to the terms of the Note. The Bank may extend the Note s maturity date for up to four additional one-year periods, subject to the notice requirements set forth therein. The Bank must pay interest in arrears on the Note at the Note Interest Rate (defined below) on the twenty-fifth day of each month or, if such day is not a business day, the next succeeding day that is a business day, commencing June 25, 2010, on the principal amount of the Note outstanding from time to time. Interest will be calculated on the basis of a 360-day year consisting of twelve 30-day months. Borrowings under the Note bear interest at the per annum rate of 0.881%, and with respect to any renewal period, shall equal the sum of (a) 0.50% plus (b) the rate, determined by the

FDIC on the business day immediately preceding the commencement of such renewal period, equal to the rate on United States Treasury Bills with a maturity of one year (the Note Interest Rate ). Should the Bank fail to pay any interest as and when due under the Note, such interest will accrue interest at the Note Interest Rate plus 2.00% per annum. As of June 30, 2010, the balance of this note amounted to \$711.1 million.

The FHLB system functions as a source of credit for financial institutions that are members of a regional Federal Home Loan Bank. As a member of the FHLB, the Group can obtain advances from the FHLB, secured by the FHLB stock owned by the Group, as well as by certain of the Group s mortgage loans and investment securities.

Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank amounted to \$281.7 million as of June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009. These advances mature from May 2012 through May 2014.

The Group s banking subsidiary issued in March 2009 \$105.0 million in notes guaranteed under the FDIC Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program. These notes are due on March 16, 2012, bear interest at a 2.75% fixed rate, and are backed by the full faith and credit of the United States. Interest on the note is payable on the 16<sup>th</sup> of each March and September, beginning September 16, 2009. Shortly after issuance of the notes, the Group paid \$3.2 million (equivalent to an annual fee of 100 basis points) to the FDIC to maintain the FDIC guarantee coverage until the maturity of the notes. This cost has been deferred and is being amortized over the term of the notes. The total cost of the notes for 2009, including the amount of the debt issuance costs, was 3.58%.

At June 30, 2010, deposits, the second largest category of the Group s interest-bearing liabilities reached \$2.538 billion, up 45.4% from \$1.746 billion at December 31, 2009. This increase is mainly attributed to the acquisition of core deposits as part of the FDIC-assisted acquisition. Higher cost brokered deposits decreased \$266.1 million or 65.0%.

#### Stockholders Equity

On March 19, 2010, the Group completed an underwritten public offering of 8,740,000 shares of its common stock. The offering resulted in net proceeds of \$94.5 million after deducting offering costs. The net proceeds of this offering were intended for general corporate purposes, which included funding organic acquisition and acquisition growth opportunities, including the participation in government assisted transactions in Puerto Rico. It also contributed a portion of the net proceeds in the form of capital to the Group s banking subsidiary, which used such amount to bolster its regulatory capital needs and general corporate purposes.

On April 30, 2010, the Group issued 200,000 shares of Mandatorily Convertible Non-Cumulative Non-Voting Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C, through a private placement. The preferred stock had a liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share. At a special meeting of shareholders of the Group held on June 30, 2010, the majority of the shareholders approved the issuance of 13,320,000 shares of the Group s common stock upon the conversion of the Series C Preferred Stock, which converted on July 8, 2010 at a conversion price of \$15.015 per share.

The difference between the \$15.015 per share conversion price and the market price of the common stock on April 30, 2010 (\$16.72) is considered a beneficial conversion feature. Such feature amounted to \$22.7 million at June 30, 2010 and was recorded as additional paid-in-capital from contingent beneficial conversion feature which will amortize as a deemed dividend through retained earnings until the conversion to common stock on July 8, 2010.

At June 30, 2010, the Group s total stockholders equity was \$746.0 million, a 126.0% increase, when compared to \$330.2 million at December 31, 2009. This increase reflects the aforementioned issuance of stock, the net income for the quarter, and an improvement of approximately \$117.9 million in the fair value of the investment securities portfolio.

The Group maintains capital ratios in excess of regulatory requirements. At June 30, 2010, Tier 1 Leverage Capital Ratio was 9.26% (2.32 times the requirement of 4.00%), Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio was 24.17% (6.00 times the requirement of 4.00%), and Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio was 25.09% (3.13 times the requirement of 8.00%).

The following are the consolidated capital ratios of the Group at June 30, 2010 and 2009, and December 31, 2009: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/journal.com/">TABLE 12 CAPITAL, DIVIDENDS AND STOCK DATA</a>
(In thousands, except for per share data)

	J	une 30, 2010	Γ	December 31, 2009	Variance %	J	June 30, 2009
Capital data: Stockholders equity	\$	746,042	\$	330,166	126.0%	\$	359,634
Regulatory Capital Ratios data: Leverage Capital Ratio		9.26%		6.52%	42.0%		7.31%
Minimum Leverage Capital Ratio Required		4.00%		4.00%			4.00%
Actual Tier 1 Capital	\$	734,427	\$	414,702	77.1%	\$	477,913
Minimum Tier 1 Capital Required	\$	317,404	\$	254,323	24.8%	\$	216,547
Excess over regulatory requirement	\$	417,033	\$	160,379	160.0%	\$	261,366
Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio		24.17%		18.79%	28.6%		14.62%
Minimum Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio Required		4.00%		4.00%			4.00%
Actual Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital	\$	734,427	\$	414,702	77.1%	\$	477,913
Minimum Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Required	\$	121,566	\$	88,295	37.7%	\$	130,793
Excess over regulatory requirement	\$	612,861	\$	326,407	87.8%	\$	347,120
Risk-Weighted Assets	\$3	3,039,153	\$	2,207,383	37.7%	\$3	3,269,349
Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio		25.09%		19.84%	26.5%		15.13%
Minimum Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio Required		8.00%		8.00%			8.00%
Actual Total Risk-Based Capital	\$	762,429	\$	437,975	74.1%	\$	494,631
Minimum Total Risk-Based Capital Required	\$	243,132	\$	176,591	37.7%	\$	261,586
Excess over regulatory requirement	\$	519,297	\$	261,384	98.7%	\$	233,045

Risk-Weighted Assets	\$3	3,039,153	\$ 2,207,383	37.7%	\$3	3,269,349
Tangible common equity (1) to total assets		5.88%	3.97%	48.1%		4.17%
Tangible common equity to risk-weighted assets		15.62%	11.79%	32.5%		8.86%
Total equity to total assets		9.23%	5.04%	83.1%		5.17%
Total equity to risk-weighted assets		24.55%	14.96%	64.1%		11.00%
Stock data: Outstanding common shares, net of treasury		32,988	24,235	36.1%		24,230
Book value per common share	\$	14.49	\$ 10.82	33.9%	\$	12.04
Market price at end of period	\$	12.66	\$ 10.80	17.2%	\$	9.70
Market capitalization	\$	417,628	\$ 261,738	59.6%	\$	235,031
	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2010	June 30, 2009		Variance %
Common dividend data: Cash dividends declared		\$ 1,322	\$ 3,888	\$ 1,944		-32.0%
Cash dividends declared per share		\$ 0.08	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.08		0.0%
Payout ratio		10.13%	21.33%	2.65%		282.3%
Dividend yield		1.26%	1.48%	1.65%		-23.6%

(1) Tangible common equity consists of common equity less goodwill.

The following provides the high and low prices and dividend per share of the Group s stock for each quarter of the last three years:

	Pri	ce		Cash ridend
	High	Low	Per	share
<b>2010</b> June 30, 2010	\$ 16.72	\$ 12.49	\$	0.04
March 31, 2010	\$ 14.09	\$ 10.00	\$	0.04

<b>2009</b> December 31, 2009		\$ 13.69	\$ 9.43	\$ 0.04
September 30, 2009		\$ 15.41	\$ 7.48	\$ 0.04
June 30, 2009		\$ 11.27	\$ 4.88	\$ 0.04
March 31, 2009		\$ 7.38	\$ 0.91	\$ 0.04
<b>2008</b> December 31, 2008		\$ 18.56	\$ 5.37	\$ 0.14
September 30, 2008		\$ 20.99	\$ 14.21	\$ 0.14
June 30, 2008		\$ 20.57	\$ 14.26	\$ 0.14
March 31, 2008		\$ 23.28	\$ 12.79	\$ 0.14
	66			

The Bank is considered well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. The table below shows the Bank s regulatory capital ratios at June 30, 2010 and 2009, and at December 31, 2009:

(Dollars in thousands)	June 30, 2010				June 30, 2009	
Oriental Bank and Trust Regulatory Capital Ratios: Total Tier 1 Capital to Total Assets	9.09%		5.78%	57.3%	6.35%	
Actual Tier 1 Capital	\$ 708,928	\$	359,339	97.3%	\$ 390,632	
Minimum Capital Requirement (4%)	\$311,803	\$	248,671	25.4%	\$ 246,191	
Minimum to be well capitalized (5%)	\$ 389,753	\$	310,839	25.4%	\$ 307,739	
Tier 1 Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	23.56%		16.52%	42.6%	12.60%	
Actual Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital	\$ 708,928	\$	359,339	97.3%	\$ 390,632	
Minimum Capital Requirement (4%)	\$ 120,352	\$	87,021	38.3%	\$ 123,978	
Minimum to be well capitalized (6%)	\$ 180,529	\$	130,532	38.3%	\$ 185,966	
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	24.49%		17.59%	39.2%	13.14%	
Actual Total Risk-Based Capital	\$736,929	\$	382,611	92.6%	\$407,350	
Minimum Capital Requirement (8%)	\$ 240,705	\$	174,042	38.3%	\$ 247,955	
Minimum to be well capitalized (10%)	\$ 300,881	\$	217,553	38.3%	\$ 309,944	

The Group s common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol OFG. At June 30, 2010, the Group s market capitalization for its outstanding common stock was \$417.6 million (\$12.66 per share). The Oriental Financial Group Inc. Amended and Restated 2007 Omnibus Performance Incentive Plan (the Omnibus Plan ), provides for equity-based compensation incentives through the grant of stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock, restricted stock units and dividend equivalents, as well as equity-based performance awards. The Omnibus Plan was adopted in 2007 and amended and restated in 2008. It was further amended in 2010.

# ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK RISK MANAGEMENT

#### **Background**

The Group s risk management policies are established by its Board of Directors (the Board ), implemented by management, through the adoption of a risk management program, which is overseen and monitored by the Chief Risk Officer and the Risk Management Committee (RMC). The Group has continued to refine and enhance its risk management program by strengthening policies, processes and procedures necessary to maintain effective risk management.

All aspects of the Group s business activities are susceptible to risk. Consequently, risk identification and monitoring are essential to risk management. As more fully discussed below, the Group s primary risks exposure include, market, interest rate, credit, liquidity, operational and concentration risks.

#### **Market Risk**

Market risk is the risk to earnings or capital arising from adverse movements in market rates or prices, such as interest rates or prices. The Group evaluates market risk together with interest rate risk (See Interest Rate Risk below). The Group s financial results and capital levels are constantly exposed to market risk. The Board and management are primarily responsible for ensuring that the market risk assumed by the Group complies with the guidelines established by Board approved policies. The Board has delegated the management of this risk to the Asset and Liability Management Committee ( ALCO ) which is composed of certain executive officers from the business, treasury and finance areas. One of ALCO s primary goals is to ensure that the market risk assumed by the Group is within the parameters established in the policies adopted by the Board.

#### **Interest Rate Risk**

Interest rate risk is the exposure of the Group s earnings or capital to adverse movements in interest rates. It is a predominant market risk in terms of its potential impact on earnings.

The Group manages its asset/liability position in order to limit the effects of changes in interest rates on net interest income. ALCO is responsible for monitoring compliance with the market risk policies approved by the Board and adopting interest risk management strategies. In that role, ALCO oversees interest rate risk, liquidity management and other related matters.

In discharging its responsibilities, ALCO examines current and expected conditions in world financial markets, competition and prevailing rates in the local deposit market, liquidity, unrealized gains and losses in securities, recent or proposed changes to the investment portfolio, alternative funding sources and their costs, hedging and the possible purchase of derivatives such as swaps and caps, and any tax or regulatory issues which may be pertinent to these areas.

Each quarter, the Group performs a net interest income simulation analysis on a consolidated basis to estimate the potential change in future earnings from projected changes in interest rates. These simulations are carried out over a one-year time horizon, assuming gradual upward and downward interest rate movements of 200 basis points, achieved during a twelve-month period. Simulations are carried out in two ways:

- (1) using a static balance sheet as the Group had on the simulation date, and
- (2) using a growing balance sheet based on recent growth patterns and business strategies.

The balance sheet is divided into groups of assets and liabilities detailed by maturity or re-pricing and their corresponding interest yields and costs. As interest rates rise or fall, these simulations incorporate expected future lending rates, current and expected future funding sources and cost, the possible exercise of options, changes in prepayment rates, deposits decay and other factors which may be important in projecting the future growth of net interest income.

The Group uses a software application to project future movements in the Group s balance sheet and income statement. The starting point of the projections generally corresponds to the actual values of the balance sheet on the date of the simulations.

These simulations are highly complex, and use many simplifying assumptions that are intended to reflect the general behavior of the Group over the period in question. There can be no assurance that actual events will match these assumptions in all cases. For this reason, the results of these simulations are only approximations of the true sensitivity of net interest income to changes in market interest rates. The following table presents the results of the simulations at June 30, 2010, assuming a one-year time horizon:

	Net Interest Income Risk (one year projection)							
	Static Bala	<b>Growing simulation</b>						
Change in interest rate	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent				
(Dollars in thousands)	Change	Change	Change	Change				
+ 200 Basis points	\$ 24,476	12.15%	\$ 27,216	14.19%				
+ 100 Basis points	\$ 17,980	8.92%	\$ 19,613	10.22%				
- 100 Basis points	\$ (30,853)	-15.31%	\$ (31,508)	-16.43%				
- 200 Basis points	\$ (41,190)	-20.44%	\$ (41,141)	-21.45%				

Future net interest income could be affected by the Group s investments in callable securities, prepayment risk related to mortgage loans and mortgage-backed securities, and its structured repurchase agreements and advances from the FHLB. As part of the strategy to limit the interest rate risk and reduce the re-pricing gaps of the Group s assets and liabilities, the maturity and the re-pricing frequency of the liabilities has been extended to longer terms.

The Group uses derivative instruments and other strategies to manage its exposure to interest rate risk caused by changes in interest rates beyond management s control. The following summarizes strategies, including derivative activities, used by the Group in managing interest rate risk:

Interest rate swaps Interest rate swap agreements generally involve the exchange of fixed and floating-rate interest payment obligations without the exchange of the underlying principal. The interest rate swaps have been utilized to convert short term repurchase agreements into fixed rate to better match the re-pricing nature of these borrowings. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 there were open forward settled swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$900 million. The forward settle date of these swaps is December 28, 2011 with final maturities ranging from December 28, 2013 through December 28, 2014. A derivative liability of \$3.4 million and a derivative asset of \$8.5 million was recognized in the unaudited consolidated statement of financial condition related to the valuation of these swaps at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

<u>Structured borrowings</u> The Group uses structured repurchase agreements and advances from FHLB, with embedded put options, to reduce the Group s exposure to interest rate risk by lengthening the contractual maturities of its liabilities.

The Group offers its customers certificates of deposit with an option tied to the performance of the Standard & Poor s 500 stock market index. At the end of five years, the depositor receives a minimum return or a specified percentage of the average increase of the month-end value of the stock index. The Group uses option agreements with major money center banks and major broker-dealer companies to manage its exposure to changes in those indexes. Under the terms of the option agreements, the Group receives the average increase in the month-end value of the corresponding index in exchange for a fixed premium. The changes in fair value of the options purchased and the options embedded in the certificates of deposit are recorded in earnings.

Derivatives instruments are generally negotiated over-the-counter ( OTC ) contracts. Negotiated OTC derivatives are generally entered into between two counterparties that negotiate specific agreement terms, including the underlying instrument, amount, exercise price and maturity.

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the fair value the purchased options used to manage the exposure to the stock market on stock indexed deposits represented an asset of \$4.4 million, and \$6.5 million, respectively; and the options sold to customers embedded in the certificates of deposit represented a liability of \$7.5 million and \$9.5 million, respectively, recorded in deposits.

#### **Credit Risk**

Credit risk is the possibility of loss arising from a borrower or counterparty in a credit-related contract failing to perform in accordance with its terms. The principal source of credit risk for the Group is its lending activities. The Group manages its credit risk through a comprehensive credit policy which establishes sound underwriting standards, by monitoring and evaluating loan portfolio quality, and by the constant assessment of reserves and loan concentrations. The Group also employs proactive collection and loss mitigation practices.

The Group may also encounter risk of default in relation to its securities portfolio. The securities held by the Group are principally mortgage-backed securities and U.S. Treasury and agency securities. Thus, a substantial portion of these instruments are guaranteed by mortgages, a U.S. government-sponsored entity or the full faith and credit of the U.S. government, and are deemed to be of the highest credit quality. The available-for-sale securities portfolio also includes approximately \$71.8 million in non-government agency pass-through collateralized mortgage obligations and \$41.6 million in structured credit investments that are considered of a higher credit risk than agency securities. Management s Credit Committee, composed of the Group s Chief Executive Officer, Chief Credit Risk Officer and other senior executives, has primary responsibility for setting strategies to achieve the Group s credit risk goals and objectives. Those goals and objectives are set forth in the Group s Credit Policy.

#### **Liquidity Risk**

Liquidity risk is the risk of the Group not being able to generate sufficient cash from either assets or liabilities to meet obligations as they become due, without incurring substantial losses. The Group s cash requirements principally consist of deposit withdrawals, contractual loan funding, repayment of borrowings as they mature, and funding of new and existing investment as required.

The Group s business requires continuous access to various funding sources. While the Group is able to fund its operations through deposits as well as through advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York and other alternative sources, the Group s business is significantly dependent upon other wholesale funding sources, such as repurchase agreements and brokered deposits. While most of the Group s repurchase agreements have been structured with initial terms to maturity of between three and ten years, the counterparties have the right to exercise put options before the contractual maturities.

Brokered deposits are typically sold through an intermediary to small retail investors. The Group's ability to continue to attract brokered deposits is subject to variability based upon a number of factors, including volume and volatility in the global securities markets, the Group's credit rating and the relative interest rates that it is prepared to pay for these liabilities. Brokered deposits are generally considered a less stable source of funding than core deposits obtained through retail bank branches. Investors in brokered deposits are generally more sensitive to interest rates and will generally move funds from one depository institution to another based on small differences in interest rates offered on deposits.

Although the Group expects to have continued access to credit from the foregoing sources of funds, there can be no assurance that such financing sources will continue to be available or will be available on favorable terms. In a period of financial disruption such as the one currently being experienced in the U.S. financial system, or if negative developments occur with respect to us, the availability and cost of the Group s funding sources could be adversely affected. In that event, the Group s cost of funds may increase, thereby reducing its net interest income, or the Group may need to dispose of a portion of its investment portfolio, which, depending upon market conditions, could result in realizing a loss or experiencing other adverse accounting consequences upon the dispositions. The Group s efforts to monitor and manage liquidity risk may not be successful to deal with dramatic or unanticipated changes in the global securities markets or other reductions in liquidity driven by us or market related events. In the event that such sources of funds are reduced or eliminated and the Group is not able to replace them on a cost-effective basis, the Group may be forced to curtail or cease its loan origination business and treasury activities, which would have a material adverse effect on its operations and financial condition.

The Purchase Money Note issued to the FDIC is secured by the loans (other than consumer loans not secured by real estate and extensions of credit pursuant to credit card or debit card plans) acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition and all proceeds derived from such loans.

As of June 30, 2010, the Group had approximately \$923.6 million in investment securities and \$388.8 million in mortgage loans available to cover liquidity needs.

The terms of the Group s structured repurchase agreements range between three and ten years, and the counterparties have the right to exercise at par on a quarterly basis put options before their contractual maturity from one to three years after the agreements settlement date.

#### **Operational Risk**

Operational risk is the risk of loss from inadequate or failed internal processes, personnel and systems or from external events. All functions, products and services of the Group are susceptible to operational risk.

The Group faces ongoing and emerging risk and regulatory pressure related to the activities that surround the delivery of banking and financial products. Coupled with external influences such as market conditions, security risks, and legal risk, the potential for operational and reputational loss has increased. In order to mitigate and control operational risk, the Group has developed, and continues to enhance, specific internal controls, policies and procedures that are designed to identify and manage operational risk at appropriate levels throughout the organization. The purpose of these policies and procedures is to provide reasonable assurance that the Group s business operations are functioning within established limits.

The Group classifies operational risk into two major categories: business specific and corporate-wide affecting all business lines. For business specific risks, a risk assessment group works with the various business units to ensure consistency in policies, processes and assessments. With respect to corporate wide risks, such as information security, business recovery, legal and compliance, the Group has specialized groups, such as Information Security, Corporate Compliance, Information Technology and Operations. These groups assist the lines of business in the development and implementation of risk management practices specific to the needs of the business groups. All these matters are reviewed and discussed in the IT Steering Committee.

The Group is subject to extensive regulation in the different jurisdictions in which it conducts its business, and this regulatory scrutiny has been significantly increasing over the last several years. The Group has established and continues to enhance procedures based on legal and regulatory requirements that are reasonably designed to ensure compliance with all applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. The Group has a corporate compliance function, headed by a Compliance Director who reports to the Chief Risk Officer and is responsible for the oversight of regulatory compliance and implementation of an enterprise-wide compliance program.

#### **Concentration Risk**

Substantially all of the Group's business activities and a significant portion of its credit exposure are concentrated in Puerto Rico. As a consequence, the Group's profitability and financial condition may be adversely affected by an extended economic slowdown, adverse political or economic developments in Puerto Rico or the effects of a natural disaster, all of which could result in a reduction in loan originations, an increase in non-performing assets, an increase in foreclosure losses on mortgage loans, and a reduction in the value of its loans and loan servicing portfolio. The Commonwealth of Puerto Rico government is currently facing a significant fiscal deficit. The Commonwealth's access to the municipal bond market and its credit ratings depend, in part, on achieving a balanced budget. In March 2009, the Legislature passed, and Governor signed, laws to reduce spending by 10% in an attempt to control expenditures, including public-sector employment, raise revenues through selective tax increases, and stimulate the economy. It is not possible to determine the impact on the economy of these measures at this time.

#### Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### **Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of the Group s management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and the Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Group s disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based upon such evaluation, the CEO and the CFO have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Group s disclosure controls and procedures are effective in recording, processing, summarizing and reporting, on a timely basis, information required to be disclosed by the Group in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act.

#### **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

The internal control over financial reporting of the acquired assets and assumed liabilities in the FDIC-assisted acquisition was excluded from the evaluation of effectiveness of the Group s disclosure controls and procedures as of the period end covered by this report because of the timing of the acquisition. As a result of the acquisition, the Group will be evaluating changes to processes, information technology systems, and other components of internal control over financial reporting as part of its integration process.

There was no change in the Group s internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined on rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended June 30, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Group s internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II OTHER INFORMATION

#### **Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The Group and its subsidiaries are defendants in a number of legal proceedings incidental to their business. The Group is vigorously contesting such claims. Based upon a review by legal counsel and the development of these matters to date, management is of the opinion that the ultimate aggregate liability, if any, resulting from these claims will not have a material adverse effect on the Group s financial condition or results of operations.

#### **Item 1A. RISK FACTORS**

In addition to other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risk factors included in the Group s Annual Report on Form 10-K, as updated by this report and other filings the Group makes with the SEC under the Exchange Act. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us at this time or that the Group currently deems immaterial may also adversely affect the Group s business, financial condition or results of operations.

#### Risks Related to the Group s Business

### The Group may fail to realize the anticipated benefits of the FDIC-assisted acquisition.

The success of the FDIC-assisted acquisition will depend on, among other things, the Group s ability to realize anticipated cost savings and to integrate the acquired Eurobank assets and operations in a manner that permits growth opportunities and does not materially disrupt the Group s existing customer relationships or result in decreased revenues resulting from any loss of customers. If the Group is not able to successfully achieve these objectives, the anticipated benefits of the acquisition may not be realized fully or at all or may take longer to realize than expected. Additionally, the Group made fair value estimates of certain assets and liabilities in recording the acquisition. Actual values of these assets and liabilities could differ from the Group s estimates, which could result in not achieving the anticipated benefits of the acquisition.

The Group cannot assure you that the FDIC-assisted acquisition will have positive results, including results relating to: correctly assessing the asset quality of the assets acquired; the total cost of integration, including management attention and resources; the time required to complete the integration successfully; the amount of longer-term cost savings; being able to profitably deploy funds acquired in the transaction; or the overall performance of the combined business.

The Group s future growth and profitability depends, in part, on the ability to successfully manage the combined operations. Integration of an acquired business can be complex and costly, sometimes including combining relevant accounting and data processing systems and management controls, as well as managing relevant relationships with employees, clients, suppliers and other business partners. Integration efforts could divert management attention and resources, which could adversely affect the Group s operations or results. The loss of key employees in connection with this acquisition could adversely affect our ability to successfully conduct the combined operations.

Given the continued economic recession in Puerto Rico, notwithstanding the loss-sharing arrangements with the FDIC with respect to certain Eurobank assets that we acquired, we may continue to experience increased credit costs or need to take additional markdowns and make additional provisions to the allowances for loan and lease losses on the assets and loans acquired that could adversely affect the Group s financial condition and results of operations in the future. There is no assurance that as the integration efforts continue in connection with this transaction, other unanticipated costs, including the diversion of personnel, or losses, will not be incurred.

The FDIC-assisted acquisition may also result in business disruptions that cause us to lose customers or cause customers to move their accounts or business to competing financial institutions. It is possible that the integration process related to this acquisition could disrupt the Group s ongoing business or result in inconsistencies in customer service that could adversely affect the Group s ability to maintain relationships with clients, customers, depositors and employees.

Loans that the Group acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition may not be covered by the shared-loss agreements if the FDIC determines that the Group have not adequately performed under these agreements or if the shared-loss agreements have ended.

Although the FDIC has agreed to reimburse us for 80% of qualifying losses on covered loans, the FDIC has the

right to refuse or delay payment for loan and lease losses if the shared-loss agreements are not performed by the Group in accordance with their terms. Additionally, the shared-loss agreements have limited terms. Therefore, any charge-offs that the Group experience after the terms of the shared-loss agreements have ended would not be recoverable from the FDIC.

Certain provisions of the shared-loss agreements entered into with the FDIC may have anti-takeover effects and could limit the Group s ability to engage in certain strategic transactions that the Group s Board of Directors believes would be in the best interests of shareholders.

The FDIC s agreement to bear 80% of qualifying losses on single family residential loans for ten years and commercial loans for five years is a significant asset of the Group and a feature of the FDIC-assisted acquisition without which we would not have entered into the transaction. The Group s agreement with the FDIC requires that the Group receive prior FDIC consent, which may be withheld by the FDIC in its sole discretion, prior to us or our shareholders engaging in certain transactions. If any such transaction is completed without prior FDIC consent, the FDIC would have the right to discontinue the loss sharing arrangement.

Among other things, prior FDIC consent is required for (a) a merger or consolidation of the Group with or into another company if the Group's shareholders will own less than 2/3 of the combined company and (b) a sale of shares by one or more of our shareholders that will effect a change in control of Oriental Bank, as determined by the FDIC with reference to the standards set forth in the Change in Bank Control Act (generally, the acquisition of between 10% and 25% the Group's voting securities where the presumption of control is not rebutted, or the acquisition of more than 25% the Group's voting securities). Such a sale by shareholders may occur beyond our control. If the Group or any shareholder desired to enter into any such transaction, there can be no assurances that the FDIC would grant its consent in a timely manner, without conditions, or at all. If one of these transactions were to occur without prior FDIC consent and the FDIC withdrew its loss share protection, there could be a material adverse impact on the Group.

#### Risks Related to Bank Regulatory Matters

#### The Group is subject to extensive regulation which could adversely affect our business.

The Group s operations are subject to extensive regulation by federal, state and local governmental authorities and are subject to various laws and judicial and administrative decisions imposing requirements and restrictions on part or all of the Group s operations. Because the Group s business is highly regulated, the laws, rules and regulations applicable to us are subject to regular modification and change. For example, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, was recently signed into law. The Dodd-Frank Act will have a broad impact on the financial services industry, including significant regulatory and compliance changes, such as: (1) enhanced resolution authority of troubled and failing banks and their holding companies; (2) enhanced lending limits strengthening the existing limits on a depository institution s credit exposure to one borrower; (3) increased capital and liquidity requirements; (4) increased regulatory examination fees; (5) changes to assessments to be paid to the FDIC for federal deposit insurance; (6) prohibiting bank holding companies, such as us, from including in regulatory Tier 1 capital future issuances of trust preferred securities or other hybrid debt and equity securities; and (7) numerous other provisions designed to improve supervision and oversight of, and strengthening safety and soundness for, the financial services sector. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act establishes a new framework for systemic risk oversight within the financial system to be distributed among new and existing federal regulatory agencies, including the Financial Stability Oversight Council, the Federal Reserve Board, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the FDIC. Further, the Dodd-Frank Act addresses many corporate governance and executive compensation matters that will affect most U.S. publicly traded companies, including us. Many of the requirements called for in the Dodd-Frank Act will be implemented over time and most will be subject to implementing regulations over the course of several years. Given the uncertainty associated with the manner in which the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act will be implemented by the various regulatory agencies and through regulations, the full extent of the impact such requirements will have on the Group s operations is unclear. The changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act may impact the profitability of the Group's business activities, require changes to certain of the Group's business practices, impose upon us more stringent capital, liquidity and leverage ratio requirements or otherwise adversely affect the Group s business. In particular, the potential impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on the Group s operations and activities, both currently and prospectively, include, among others:

a reduction in the Group s ability to generate or originate revenue-producing assets as a result of compliance with heightened capital standards;

increased cost of operations due to greater regulatory oversight, supervision and examination of banks and bank holding companies, and higher deposit insurance premiums;

the limitation on the Group s ability to raise capital through the use of trust preferred securities as these securities may no longer be included as Tier 1 capital going forward; and

the limitation on the Group s ability to expand consumer product and service offerings due to anticipated stricter consumer protection laws and regulations.

Further, the Group may be required to invest significant management attention and resources to evaluate and make necessary changes in order to comply with new statutory and regulatory requirements. Failure to comply with the new requirements may negatively impact the Group s results of operations and financial condition. While the Group cannot predict what effect any presently contemplated or future changes in the laws or regulations or their interpretations would have on us, these changes could be materially adverse to the Group s investors.

#### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Information as to the sale of the Series C Preferred Stock was furnished in the Group s current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 3, 2010. The shares of Series C Preferred Stock were purchased by accredited investors as defined in Rule 501(a) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

#### **Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None.

#### Item 4. [Removed and Reserved by the SEC.]

#### Item 6. Exhibits

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation.
- 3.2 Certificate of Designations of Mandatorily Convertible Non-Cumulative Non-Voting Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C. (1)
- 4.1 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of April 23, 2010, between the Group and each of the purchasers of the Series C Preferred Stock.
- 10.1 Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of April 23, 2010, between the Group and each of the purchasers of the Series C Preferred Stock.
- 10.2 Purchase and Assumption Agreement Whole Bank, All Deposits, dated as of April 30, 2010, among the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Receiver of Eurobank, San Juan, Puerto Rico, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and Oriental Bank and Trust. (2)
- 10.3 Omnibus Asset Servicing Agreement, dated as of June 9, 2010, between Oriental Bank and Trust and Bayview Loan Servicing LLC. (3)
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (1) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Group s current report on Form 8-K

filed with the

SEC on May 3, 2010.

- (2) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Group s current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 6, 2010.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Group s current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 14, 2010

#### **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

# ORIENTAL FINANCIAL GROUP INC. (Registrant)

By: /s/ José Rafael Fernández Date: August 9, 2010

José Rafael Fernández President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Norberto González Date: August 9, 2010

Norberto González Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer