NUVEEN SELECT MATURITIES MUNICIPAL FUND Form N-CSRS December 08, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act file number 811-7056

Nuveen Select Maturities Municipal Fund (Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Kevin J. McCarthy
Nuveen Investments
333 West Wacker Drive
Chicago, IL 60606
(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (312) 917-7700

Date of fiscal year end: March 31

Date of reporting period: September 30, 2010

Form N-CSR is to be used by management investment companies to file reports with the Commission not later than 10 days after the transmission to stockholders of any report that is required to be transmitted to stockholders under Rule 30e-1 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (17 CFR 270.30e-1). The Commission may use the information provided on Form N-CSR in its regulatory, disclosure review, inspection, and policymaking roles.

A registrant is required to disclose the information specified by Form N-CSR, and the Commission will make this information public. A registrant is not required to respond to the collection of information contained in Form N-CSR unless the Form displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") control number. Please direct comments concerning the accuracy of the information collection burden estimate and any suggestions for reducing the burden to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, DC 20549-0609. The OMB has reviewed this collection of information under the clearance requirements of 44 U.S.C. ss. 3507.

ITEM 1. REPORTS TO STOCKHOLDERS.		

NUVEEN INVESTMENTS ANNOUNCES STRATEGIC COMBINATION WITH FAF ADVISORS

On July 29, 2010, Nuveen Investments, announced that U.S. Bancorp will receive a 9.5% stake in Nuveen Investments and cash consideration in exchange for the long-term asset business of U.S. Bancorp's FAF Advisors. Nuveen Investments is the parent of Nuveen Asset Management (NAM), the investment adviser for the Fund included in this report.

FAF Advisors, which currently manages about \$25 billion of long-term assets and serves as the advisor of the First American Funds, will be combined with NAM, which currently manages about \$75 billion in municipal fixed income assets. Upon completion of the transaction, Nuveen Investments, which currently manages about \$160 billion of assets across several high-quality affiliates, will manage a combined total of about \$185 billion in institutional and retail assets.

This combination will not affect the investment objectives, strategies or policies of the Fund in this report. Over time, Nuveen Investments expects that the combination will provide even more ways to meet the needs of investors who work with financial advisors and consultants by enhancing the multi-boutique model of Nuveen Investments, which also includes highly respected investment teams at Hyde Park, NWQ Investment Management, Santa Barbara Asset Management, Symphony Asset Management, Tradewinds Global Investors and Winslow Capital.

The transaction is expected to close late in 2010, subject to customary conditions.

Chairman's Letter to Shareholders

Dear Shareholder,

Recent months have revealed the fragility and disparity of the global economic recovery. In the U.S., the rate of economic growth has slowed as various stimulus programs wind down, exposing weakness in the underlying economy. In contrast, many emerging market countries are experiencing a return to comparatively high rates of growth. Confidence in global financial markets has been undermined by concerns about high sovereign debt levels in Europe and the U.S. Until these countries can begin credible programs to reduce their budgetary deficits, market unease and hesitation will remain. On a more encouraging note, while the global recovery is expanding existing trade imbalances, policy makers in the leading economies are making a sustained effort to create a global framework through which various countries can take complimentary actions that should reduce those imbalances over time.

The U.S. economy is subject to unusually high levels of uncertainty as it struggles to recover from a devastating financial crisis. Unemployment remains stubbornly high, due to what appears to be both cyclical and structural forces. Federal Reserve policy makers are implementing another round of quantitative easing, a novel approach to provide support to the economy. However, the high levels of debt owed both by U.S. consumers and the U.S. government limit the Fed's ability to engineer a stronger economic recovery.

The U.S. financial markets reflect the crosscurrents now impacting the U.S. economy. Today's historically low interest rates reflect the Fed's intervention in the financial markets and the demand for U.S. government debt by U.S. and overseas investors looking for a safe haven for investment. The continued corporate earnings recovery and recent electoral results are giving a boost to equity markets. Encouragingly, financial institutions are rebuilding their balance sheets and the financial reform legislation enacted last summer has the potential to address many of the most significant contributors to the financial crisis, although the details still have to be worked out.

In this difficult environment your Nuveen investment team continues to seek sustainable investment opportunities and, at the same time, remains alert for potential risks that may result from a recovery still facing many headwinds. As your representative, the Nuveen Fund Board monitors the activities of each investment team to assure that all maintain their investment disciplines. As always, I encourage you to contact your financial consultant if you have any questions about your investment in a Nuveen Fund.

On behalf of the other members of your Fund Board, we look forward to continuing to earn your trust in the months and years ahead.

Sincerely,

Robert P. Bremner Chairman of the Board November 22, 2010

Portfolio Manager's Comments

Nuveen Select Maturities Municipal Fund (NIM)

Portfolio manager Paul Brennan discusses key investment strategies and the performance of the Nuveen Select Maturities Municipal Fund for the six-month period ended September 30, 2010. With 19 years of investment experience, including 13 years with Nuveen, Paul has managed NIM since 2006.

What key strategies were used to manage NIM during the six-month reporting period ended September 30, 2010?

During this period, the combination of strong demand and tight supply of new tax-exempt municipal issuance continued to create favorable conditions that helped to support municipal bond prices. One reason for the decline in new tax-exempt supply was the considerable issuance of taxable municipal debt under the Build America Bond program. These bonds, first issued in April 2009, offer municipal issuers a federal subsidy equal to 35% of a security's interest payments, providing issuers with a attractive alternative to traditional tax-exempt municipal debt. For the six months ended September 30, 2010, taxable Build America Bond issuance totaled \$45.6 billion, representing approximately 23.5% of new bonds in the municipal marketplace nationwide. Since interest payments from Build America Bonds represent taxable income, we do not view these bonds as appropriate investment opportunities for NIM.

Despite the constrained issuance of tax-exempt municipal bonds, we continued to find attractive value opportunities, taking a bottom-up approach to discovering undervalued sectors and individual credits with the potential to perform well over the long term. While our focus generally remained on bonds in the mid- to lower-rated credit categories, we placed a little more emphasis on those rated AA and A. Overall, we slightly increased the credit quality of the Fund during this period, boosting our allocation to bonds rated AA to 12% from 7% over the six months. Many of the additions to our portfolio were purchased in the tax-supported sector, as we added general obligation bonds and other credits backed by government revenues, excise taxes, tolls and fees.

The impact of Build America Bonds was most evident in the area of longer-term issuance, as municipal issuers sought to take full advantage of the attractive financing terms offered by these bonds. Approximately 70% of Build America Bonds were issued with maturities of at least 30 years. Since NIM is an intermediate-term strategy Fund,1 the Build America Bond program had minimal impact on the Fund's ability to find and purchase bonds consistent with maintaining its duration and maturity.

Certain statements in this report are forward-looking statements. Discussions of specific investments are for illustration only and are not intended as recommendations of individual investments. The forward-looking statements and other views expressed herein are those of the portfolio manager as of the date of this report. Actual future results or occurrences may differ significantly from those anticipated in any forward-looking statements, and the views expressed herein are subject to change at any time, due to numerous market and other factors. The Fund disclaims any obligation to update publicly or revise any forward-looking statements or views expressed herein.

Any reference to credit ratings for portfolio holdings refers to the highest rating assigned by a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO") such as Standard & Poor's, Moody's, or Fitch. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings

are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below investment grade. Holdings and ratings may change over time.

1 In keeping with its investment parameters, NIM maintains an average effective maturity of 12 years or less for portfolio holdings.

Cash for new purchases during this period was generated primarily by the proceeds from called and maturing bonds.

How did the Fund perform?

Results for NIM, as well as relevant index information, are presented in the accompanying table.

Average Annual Total Returns on Net Asset Value* For periods ended 9/30/10

1	6-Month	1-Year	5-Year	10-Year
NIM	3.85%	5.39%	4.74%	4.01%
Standard & Poor's (S&P)				
Intermediate Municipal Bond Index2	5.72%	6.26%	5.60%	5.79%
Standard & Poor's (S&P) National				
Municipal Bond Index3	5.56%	5.85%	4.91%	5.71%

For the six months ended September 30, 2010, NIM's cumulative return on net asset value (NAV) underperformed the returns for the S&P Indexes.

Key management factors that influenced the Fund's return for this period included duration and yield curve positioning, credit exposure and sector allocation and individual security selection.

During this period, municipal bonds with longer maturities generally outperformed those with shorter maturities, with credits at the longest end of the municipal yield curve posting the strongest returns. However, the intermediate part of the curve also performed well, as Investors' searched for attractive yields while avoiding the relatively low yields of longer bonds. Overall, yield curve positioning and duration proved positive for the performance of NIM, as its strong allocation to the outperforming parts of the curve offset the impact of its exposure to the short end of the curve that produced weaker returns.

Credit exposure also played a role in performance. The demand for municipal bonds increased during this period driven by a variety of factors, including concerns about potential tax increases, the need to rebalance portfolio allocations and a growing appetite for additional risk for certain higher yielding bonds. Over time, this has caused credit spreads to narrow and the trend greatly helped our lower-rated positions, especially those bought at depressed values several years ago. At the same time, the supply of new tax-exempt municipal paper declined, due largely to the Build America Bond program. As investors bid up municipal bond prices, bonds rated A, BBB or below, and non-rated bonds generally outperformed those rated AAA or AA. In this environment, NIM's allocations of bonds rated A, BBB and below, and non-rated bonds, which comprised approximately 61% of its portfolio, was a slightly positive factor in its performance.

* Six-month returns are cumulative; all other returns are annualized.

Past performance is not predictive of future results. Current performance may be higher or lower than the data shown. Returns do not reflect the deduction of taxes that shareholders may have to pay on Fund distributions or upon the sale of Fund shares.

For additional information, see the Performance Overview page for NIM in this report.

- 2 The Standard & Poor's (S&P) Intermediate Municipal Bond Index is an unleveraged, market value-weighted index containing all of the bonds in the Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index with maturity dates between 3 and 14.999 years. The index does not reflect any initial or ongoing expenses and is not available for direct investment.
- 3 The Standard & Poor's (S&P) National Municipal Bond Index is an unleveraged, market value-weighted index designed to measure the performance of the tax-exempt, investment-grade U.S. municipal bond market. This index does not reflect any initial or ongoing expenses and is not available for direct investment.

Individual holdings that positively contributed to NIM's return during this period included health care and transportation bonds. Revenue bonds as a whole performed well, with special tax, leasing and education among the other sectors that outperformed the general municipal market. General obligation and other tax-supported bonds outpaced the market but, unfortunately, NIM was under-weighted here and that detracted from performance. While NIM had a good allocation to the health care sector, the Fund tended to be a little more heavily weighted in sectors that lagged the top performers and posted returns more in line with the general municipal market, such as industrial development revenue (IDR), utilities, and water and sewer bonds.

Among the poorest performers during this period were pre-refunded bonds, which are often backed by U.S. Treasury securities. While these securities continued to provide attractive tax-free income, the relative poor performance of these bonds can be attributed primarily to the price declines associated with their shorter effective maturities and higher credit quality. During this period, NIM was slightly overweighted in pre-refunded bonds relative to the market average, which detracted from its relative performance. Bonds backed by the 1998 master tobacco settlement agreement also generally posted relatively poor returns. As of September 30, 2010, NIM held approximately 4.5% of its portfolio in lower-rated tobacco bonds. While NIM's tobacco holdings outperformed the tobacco sector as a whole due to their shorter average lives, these bonds still hampered the Fund's overall performance relative to the indexes.

In addition, due to weakening credit fundamentals and other factors, a number of NIM's holdings did not perform as well as they had in the prior period. We generally remain favorable on these credits and continue to hold them in our portfolio. Additionally, the Fund's performance was hurt by bond calls during this period, as bonds with large coupons were refinanced and called away from our portfolios and short call dates on some credits limited their participation in the market rally.

Dividend and Share Price Information

The monthly dividend of NIM remained stable throughout the six-month reporting period ended September 30, 2010.

NIM seeks to pay stable dividends at rates that reflect the Fund's past results and projected future performance. During certain periods, NIM may pay dividends at a rate that may be more or less than the amount of net investment income actually earned by the Fund during the period. If the Fund has cumulatively earned more than it has paid in dividends, it holds the excess in reserve as undistributed net investment income (UNII) as part of its NAV. Conversely, if the Fund has cumulatively paid dividends in excess of its earnings, the excess constitutes negative UNII that is likewise reflected in its NAV. NIM will, over time, pay all of its net investment income as dividends to shareholders. As of September 30, 2010, NIM had a positive UNII balance, based upon our best estimate, for tax purposes and a positive UNII balance for financial reporting purposes.

SHARE REPURCHASES AND SHARE PRICE INFORMATION

Since the inception of the Fund's repurchase program, the Fund has not repurchased any of its outstanding shares.

As of September 30, 2010, the share price of NIM was trading at a (+) premium of (+) 6.06% to its NAV. The Fund's average (+) premium over the six-month reporting period was (+) 3.93%.

NIM	Nuveen Select
	Maturities
Performance	Municipal Fund
OVERVIEW	_

as of September 30, 2010

Fund	Sn	ıaı	OS	no	t
~-	_				

Share Price	\$11.03
Net Asset Value	
(NAV)	\$10.40
Premium/(Discount) to	
NAV	6.06%
Market Yield	3.81%
Taxable-Equivalent	
Yield1	5.29%
Net Assets (\$000)	\$129,198
Average Effective	
Maturity on Securities	
(Years)	9.41
Modified Duration	3.44

Average Annual Total

Return

(Inception 9/18/92)

	On Share	On
	Price	NAV
6-Month (Cumulative)	8.00%	3.85%
1-Year	11.42%	5.39%
5-Year	6.02%	4.74%
10-Year	5.72%	4.01%

States3

(as a % of total

investments)

mvestments)	
Illinois	14.3%
Colorado	12.4%
Pennsylvania	7.6%
New York	7.3%
Texas	6.9%
Florida	6.0%
South Carolina	5.7%
Wisconsin	3.8%
Arkansas	3.3%
Kansas	3.3%
Alabama	2.8%
Tennessee	2.1%
California	1.9%
North Carolina	1.7%

1.6%
1.5%
1.5%
1.4%
14.9%
18.3%
17.1%
16.2%
10.0%
9.2%
7.3%
5.3%
4.9%
11.7%

Credit Quality (as a % of total investments)2,3

Refer to the Glossary of Terms Used in this Report for further definition of the terms used within this Fund's Performance Overview page.

1 Taxable-Equivalent Yield represents the yield that must be earned on a fully taxable investment in order to equal the yield of the Fund on an after-tax basis. It is based on a

income tax rate of 28%. When comparing this Fund to investments that generate qualified dividend income, the Taxable-Equivalent Yield is lower.

2 Ratings shown are the highest of Standard & Poor's Group, Moody's Investor Service, Inc. or Fitch, Inc. AAA includes bonds with an implied AAA rating since they are backed by U.S. Government or agency securities. AAA, AA, A and BBB ratings are investment grade; BB, B, CCC, CC, C and D ratings are below-investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.

3							
9	Holdings	are	sub	iect	to	change	٠.

NIM Shareholder Meeting Report

The annual meeting of shareholders was held on July 27, 2010, in the Lobby Conference Room, 333 West Wacker Drive, Chicago, IL 60606; at this meeting the shareholders were asked to vote on the election of Board Members.

NIM

Approval of the Board Members

was reached as follows:

William C. Hunter	
For	10,611,054
Withhold	120,108
Total	10,731,162
Judith M. Stockdale	
For	10,596,353
Withhold	134,809
Total	10,731,162
Carole E. Stone	
For	10,591,003
Withhold	140,159
Total	10,731,162

Nuveen Select Maturities Municipal Fund Portfolio of Investments

NIM	Portfolio of Investments			
		September 3	0, 2010 (U	naudited)
Principal		Optional Call		
Amount		•	Ratings	
(000)	Description (1)	Provisions (2)	(3)	Value
(333)	Alabama – 2.7%	(2)	(-)	
	Alabama 21st Century Authority, Tobacco			
	Settlement Revenue Bonds, Series 2001:			
\$	Bettement Revenue Bonds, Berres 2001.			\$
2,000	5.750%, 12/01/17	12/11 at 101.00	Δ_	2,050,940
200	5.500%, 12/01/21	12/11 at 101.00		202,124
200	Birmingham Special Care Facilities Financing	12/11 at 101.00	71	202,124
180	Authority, Alabama, Revenue Bonds, Baptist Health	11/15 at 100.00	Baa2	168,493
100	System Inc., Series 2005A, 5.000%, 11/15/30	11/13 at 100.00	Daaz	100,773
	•			
500	Jefferson County, Alabama, Sewer Revenue	2/11 -+ 100 00	A A A	400 220
300	Refunding Warrants, Series 2003B, 5.250%, 2/01/12 –	2/11 at 100.00	AAA	488,220
	AGM Insured			
~ 00	Marshall County Healthcare Authority, Alabama,	142 101 00		7 46 7 00
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2002A, 6.250%, 1/01/22	1/12 at 101.00		516,780
3,380	Total Alabama			3,426,557
	Arizona – 1.0%			
	Salt Verde Financial Corporation, Arizona, Senior			
	Gas Revenue Bonds, Citigroup Energy Inc			
	Prepay Contract Obligations, Series 2007:			
100	5.000%, 12/01/17	No Opt. Call	A	104,663
85	5.250%, 12/01/19	No Opt. Call	A	88,374
35	5.000%, 12/01/32	No Opt. Call	A	33,593
380	5.000%, 12/01/37	No Opt. Call	A	362,079
	Surprise Municipal Property Corporation, Arizona,			
750	Wastewater System Revenue Bonds, Series	4/11 at 100.00	N/R	754,598
	2007, 4.500%, 4/01/17			
1,350	Total Arizona			1,343,307
	Arkansas – 3.2%			
	Jefferson County, Arkansas, Pollution Control			
1 500	Revenue Bonds, Entergy Arkansas Inc. Project,	6/11 at 100.00	A-	1,515,975
1,500	Series 2006, 4.600%, 10/01/17	0,11 at 100.00	7.	1,010,770
	Jonesboro, Arkansas, Industrial Development			
1.000	Revenue Bonds, Anheuser Busch Inc. Project, Series	No Opt. Call	RRR⊥	1,073,300
1,000	2002, 4.600%, 11/15/12	110 Opt. Cun	ושטטו	1,075,500
	North Little Rock, Arkansas, Electric Revenue			
1 290	Refunding Bonds, Series 1992A, 6.500%, 7/01/15 –	No Opt. Call	Λ	1,534,187
1,360	NPFG Insured	No Opt. Can	A	1,334,107
2 000				4 122 462
3,000	Total Arkansas California – 1.8%			4,123,462
400	California Health Facilities Financing Authority,	7/15 at 100.00	A	400 104
400	Revenue Bonds, Catholic Healthcare West,	7/15 at 100.00	A	422,184
	Series 2008H, 5.125%, 7/01/22			

California State, General Obligation Bonds, Various			
500 Purpose Series 2010, 5.500%, 3/01/40	3/20 at 100.00	A 1	529,165
Ceres Unified School District, Stanislaus County,			
1,000 California, General Obligation Bonds, Series	8/12 at 32.87	A+	266,410
2002B, 0.000%, 8/01/31 – FGIC Insured			
Golden State Tobacco Securitization Corporation,			
255 California, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed	6/17 at 100.00	BBB	228,414
Bonds, Series 2007A-1, 4.500%, 6/01/27			
Palomar Pomerado Health, California, General			
2,000 Obligation Bonds, Series 2009A, 0.000%, 8/01/25 –	No Opt. Call	AA+	924,420
AGC Insured			
4,155 Total California			2,370,593
Colorado – 11.9%			
Centennial Downs Metropolitan District, Colorado,			
2,895 General Obligation Bonds, Series 1999,	12/14 at 100.00	N/R	2,976,986
5.000%, 12/01/20 – AMBAC Insured			
Colorado Educational and Cultural Facilities			
1,140 Authority, Charter School Revenue Bonds, Douglas	7/12 at 100.00	BBB	1,152,392
County School District RE-1 – DCS Montessori			
School, Series 2002A, 6.000%, 7/15/22			
Colorado Educational and Cultural Facilities			
1,175 Authority, Revenue Bonds, Classical Academy	12/13 at 100.00	A	1,207,172
Charter School, Series 2003, 4.500%, 12/01/18 –			
SYNCORA GTY Insured			
Colorado Housing Finance Authority, Single Family			
185 Program Senior Bonds, Series 2000D-2,	10/10 at 105.00	AA	200,263
6.900%, 4/01/29 (Alternative Minimum Tax)			

⁸ Nuveen Investments

incipal mount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions	Ratings (3)	Value
()	Colorado (continued)	()	8 . (1)	
	Denver Health and Hospitals Authority, Colorado, Healthcare Revenue	12/11 at		\$
\$ 1,025	Bonds, Series 2001A,	100.00	N/R (4)	1,091,410
	6.000%, 12/01/23 (Pre-refunded 12/01/11)			
	Denver West Metropolitan District, Colorado, General Obligation	12/13 at		
1,465	Refunding and Improvement	100.00	N/R	1,457,792
	Bonds, Series 2003, 4.500%, 12/01/18 – RAAI Insured			
	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Revenue Bonds,	No Opt.		
1,500	Series 2007C-2, 5.000%,	Call	A	1,605,525
	9/01/39 (Mandatory put 9/01/13) – NPFG Insured			
	E-470 Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Toll Revenue Bonds,	No Opt.		
1,000	Series 2004B, 0.000%, 3/01/36 –	Call	A	184,660
	NPFG Insured			
	Northwest Parkway Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Revenue	6/11 at		
70	Bonds, Senior Series 2001A,	102.00	AAA	73,596
	5.250%, 6/15/41 (Pre-refunded 6/15/11) – AGM Insured			
	Northwest Parkway Public Highway Authority, Colorado, Senior Lien	6/11 at		
5,875	Revenue Bonds, Series 2001B,	38.04	N/R (4)	2,215,874
	0.000%, 6/15/27 (Pre-refunded 6/15/11) – AMBAC Insured			
	Regional Transportation District, Colorado, Denver Transit Partners	7/20 at		
200	Eagle P3 Project Private	100.00	Baa3	212,612
	Activity Bonds, Series 2010, 6.000%, 1/15/41			
	University of Colorado Hospital Authority, Revenue Bonds, Series	11/11 at		
2,845	2001A, 5.600%, 11/15/21	100.00	A3 (4)	3,015,046
	(Pre-refunded 11/15/11)			
19,375	Total Colorado			15,393,328
	Connecticut – 1.5%			
	Eastern Connecticut Resource Recovery Authority, Solid Waste			
	Revenue Bonds, Wheelabrator			
	Lisbon Project, Series 1993A:			
		1/11 at		
335	5.500%, 1/01/14 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	100.00	BBB	336,102
		1/15 at		
1,570	5.500%, 1/01/15 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	100.00	BBB	1,572,559
1,905	Total Connecticut			1,908,661
	Florida – 5.7%			
	Deltona, Florida, Utility Systems Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds,	10/13 at		
2,400	Series 2003, 5.250%,	100.00	A1	2,538,744
	10/01/17 – NPFG Insured			
	Florida Citizens Property Insurance Corporation, High Risk Account			
	Revenue Bonds, Series 2007A:			
		No Opt.		
1,000	5.000%, 3/01/15 – NPFG Insured	Call	A+	1,071,430
125	5.000%, 3/01/16 – NPFG Insured		A+	133,728

	No Opt. Call		
Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Florida Forever	7/17 at		
600 Revenue Bonds, Series 2007B,	101.00	AA-	682,278
5.000%, 7/01/19 – NPFG Insured			
JEA, Florida, Electric Revenue Certificates, Series 1973-2, 6.800%,	No Opt.		
30 7/01/12 (ETM)	Call	AAA	32,152
Orange County, Florida, Tourist Development Tax Revenue Bonds,	10/15 at		
2,000 Series 2005, 5.000%, 10/01/22 –	100.00	A+	2,155,620
AMBAC Insured			
Port Everglades Authority, Florida, Port Facilities Revenue Bonds,	No Opt.		
235 Series 1986, 7.125%,	Call	AAA	275,587
11/01/16 (ETM)			
South Miami Health Facilities Authority, Florida, Hospital Revenue,	8/17 at		
500 Baptist Health System	100.00	AA-	526,700
Obligation Group, Series 2007, 5.000%, 8/15/27			
6,890 Total Florida			7,416,239
Georgia – 0.3%			
Cherokee County Water and Sewerage Authority, Georgia, Revenue	8/22 at		
380 Bonds, Series 1995, 5.200%,	100.00	AAA	440,146
8/01/25 (Pre-refunded 8/01/22) – MBIA Insured			
Idaho – 0.1%			
Madison County, Idaho, Hospital Revenue Certificates of	9/16 at		
100 Participation, Madison Memorial	100.00	BBB-	90,782
Hospital, Series 2006, 5.250%, 9/01/37			

NIM Nuveen Select Maturities Municipal Fund (continued) Portfolio of Investments September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

Principal		Optional Call		
Amount		Provisions		
(000) Description		(2)	Ratings (3)	Value
Illinois – 1				
_	llinois, Tax Increment Allocation Bonds, Irving/Cicero	1/11 at) I (D)	\$
	ment Project, Series	100.00	N/R	550,726
	00%, 1/01/14	12/15 of		
1,500 Obligation	nty Township High School District 208, Illinois, General	12/15 at 100.00	Λ 2.2	1,650,150
	00%, 12/01/21 – NPFG Insured	100.00	Aaz	1,030,130
	llinois, Special Service Area 9, Special Tax Bonds, Series	3/17 at		
2,000 2007, 5.10		100.00	AAA	2,133,900
AGC Inst				, ,
Illinois De	velopment Finance Authority, GNMA Collateralized	4/11 at		
4,780 Mortgage	Revenue Bonds, Greek	105.00	Aaa	5,484,998
	Nursing Home Committee, Series 2000A, 7.600%, 4/20/40			
	ucational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Art Institute	3/14 at		
2,000 of Chicago		102.00	A+	2,145,280
	50%, 3/01/34 (Mandatory put 3/01/15)	5/10		
	ucational Facilities Authority, Student Housing Revenue	5/12 at	A	1 107 (10
1,000 Bonds, Ed		101.00	Aaa	1,107,610
	ment Foundation Fund, University Center Project, Series 5%, 5/01/17			
	nded 5/01/12)			
	nance Authority, Revenue Bonds, Roosevelt University,	4/17 at		
	7, 5.250%, 4/01/22	100.00	Baa2	253,295
	alth Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Condell Medical	No Opt.		
20 Center, Se		Call	Aaa	21,521
5.250%,	5/15/12 (ETM)			
Illinois He	alth Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Lutheran General	No Opt.		
50 Health Sys		Call	AA+(4)	52,488
	.125%, 4/01/12 – AGM Insured (ETM)			
	alth Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Silver Cross	2/11 at	222	600.020
695 Hospital a		100.50	BBB	698,920
	Series 1999, 5.500%, 8/15/19 ate, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2007B,	No Ont		
30 5.000%, 1/		No Opt. Call	A+	33,264
	tte, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2008,	No Opt.	Ат	33,204
40 4.250%, 4		Call	A+	42,935
	ate, General Obligation Bonds, Refunding Series 2010,	No Opt.		,,,
1,165 5.000%, 1/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Call	A+	1,302,540
	tte, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2007A, 5.500%,	No Opt.		
25 6/01/15		Call	A+	28,253

Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Illinois FIRST Program, Series 75 2002, 5.250%, 12/01/19 –	12/12 at 100.00	AAA	80,039
AGM Insured			Í
Kane & DeKalb Counties, Illinois, Community United School District 1,355 301, General Obligation	No Opt. Call	A1	998,676
Bonds, Series 2006, 0.000%, 12/01/18 – NPFG Insured			
Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Dedicated State	6/13 at		60.004
55 Tax Revenue Bonds,	100.00	AAA	60,094
Series 2002, 5.375%, 6/01/15 – FGIC Insured	10/11		
Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue Bonds,	12/11 at	A A	202.764
200 McCormick Place Expansion Project, Series 1999A, 5.500%, 12/15/24 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	AA	202,764
Metropolitan Pier and Exposition Authority, Illinois, Revenue	12/10 at		
25 Refunding Bonds, McCormick Place	100.00	A	25,026
Expansion Project, Series 1996A, 5.250%, 6/15/27 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	71	23,020
Regional Transportation Authority, Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake,	No Opt.		
700 McHenry and Will Counties,	Call	AA	916,713
Illinois, General Obligation Bonds, Series 1994D, 7.750%, 6/01/19 –			Í
FGIC Insured			
16,515 Total Illinois		1	7,789,192
Indiana – 0.4%			
Indiana Finance Authority, Educational Facilities Revenue Bonds,	10/19 at		
250 Drexel Foundation For	100.00	BBB-	264,970
Educational Excellence, Inc., Series 2009A, 6.000%, 10/01/21			
Jasper County, Indiana, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding Bonds,	No Opt.		
250 Northern Indiana Public	Call	A	284,683
Service Company Project, Series 1994A Remarketed, 5.850%, 4/01/19 – MBIA Insured			
500 Total Indiana			549,653
Iowa – 1.2%			
Iowa Tobacco Settlement Authority, Tobacco Settlement	6/11 at		
1,520 Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds, Series	101.00	AAA	1,579,098
2001B, 5.300%, 6/01/25 (Pre-refunded 6/01/11)			

D.:	1		Optional		
Princ	_		Call Provisions		
	ount	Description (1)		Dotings (2)	Value
()	000)	Description (1) Kansas – 3.1%	(2)	Ratings (3)	v arue
		Wichita, Kansas, Hospital Facilities Revenue Refunding and	11/11 at		\$
\$ 3.	500	Improvement Bonds, Via Christi	101.00	Λ⊥	3,592,048
φ Э,	,500	Health System Inc., Series 2001-III, 5.500%, 11/15/21	101.00	Ат	3,392,046
		Wyandotte County-Kansas City Unified Government, Kansas, Sales	12/15 at		
	250	Tax Special Obligation Bonds,	100.00	N/R	262,390
	230	Redevelopment Project Area B, Series 2005, 5.000%, 12/01/20	100.00	11/10	202,390
		Wyandotte County-Kansas City Unified Government, Kansas, Sales	No Opt.		
	370	Tax Special Obligation Capital	Call	BBB	211,107
	370	Appreciation Revenue Bonds Redevelopment Project Area B – Major	Can	ррр	211,107
		Multi-Sport Athletic Complex			
		Project, Subordinate Lien Series 2010B, 0.000%, 6/01/21			
1	120	Total Kansas			4,065,545
4,	,120	Kentucky – 0.9%			4,005,545
		Kentucky Economic Development Finance Authority, Louisville	6/18 at		
	225	Arena Project Revenue Bonds,	100.00	AAA	361,660
	323	Louisville Arena Authority, Inc., Series 2008-A1, 5.750%, 12/01/28 –	100.00	AAA	301,000
		AGC Insured			
			1/15 at		
	025	Kentucky Housing Corporation, Housing Revenue Bonds, Series	1/13 at 100.60	A A A	015 111
	823	2005G, 5.000%, 7/01/30	100.00	AAA	845,444
1	150	(Alternative Minimum Tax)			1 207 104
1,	,150	Total Kentucky Louisiana – 1.4%			1,207,104
			7/14 at		
1	010	Louisiana Public Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Baton Rouge General Hospital, Series	100.00	۸	1 051 026
1,	,010	2004, 5.250%, 7/01/24 – NPFG Insured	100.00	A	1,051,026
		Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, Louisiana, Tobacco			
		Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds,			
		Series 2001B:			
		Selies 2001B.	5/11 of		
	510	5.500%, 5/15/30	5/11 at 101.00	BBB	512 /50
	510	5.500%, 5/15/50	5/11 at	ррр	513,458
	245	5.875%, 5/15/39	101.00	BBB	247,149
		Total Louisiana	101.00	DDD	1,811,633
1,	,703	Maryland – 0.9%			1,011,033
		Maryland Energy Financing Administration, Revenue Bonds, AES	9/10 at		
1	100	Warrior Run Project, Series 1995,	100.00	NI/D	1,100,682
1,	,100	7.400%, 9/01/19 (Alternative Minimum Tax)	100.00	11/10	1,100,002
		Massachusetts – 0.7%			
		Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, Revenue Bonds,	10/17 at		
	500	Orchard Cove, Series 2007,	100.00	N/R	468,585
	500	5.000%, 10/01/19	100.00	11/1	+00,505
		Massachusetts Port Authority, Special Facilities Revenue Bonds, Delta			
		Air Lines Inc.,			
		Series 2001A:			
		JULICO ZUULA.			

	1/11 at		
100 5.200%, 1/01/20 – AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	101.00	N/R	90,088
	1/11 at		
470 5.000%, 1/01/27 – AMBAC Insured (Alternative Minimum Tax)	101.00	N/R	387,219
1,070 Total Massachusetts			945,892
Michigan – 1.2%			
Cornell Township Economic Development Corporation, Michigan,	5/12 at		
1,000 Environmental Improvement	100.00	AAA	1,082,180
Revenue Refunding Bonds, MeadWestvaco Corporation-Escanaba			
Project, Series 2002,			
5.875%, 5/01/18 (Pre-refunded 5/01/12)			
Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority, Hospital Revenue	1/11 at		
445 Refunding Bonds, Sinai Hospital,	100.00	BB	445,165
Series 1995, 6.625%, 1/01/16			
1,445 Total Michigan			1,527,345
Minnesota – 1.5%			
Becker, Minnesota, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Northern States	8/12 at		
1,100 Power Company, Series	101.00	A1	1,251,162
1993A, 8.500%, 9/01/19			
Northern Municipal Power Agency, Minnesota, Electric System	No Opt.		
250 Revenue Bonds, Refunding Series	Call	AAA	284,695
2009A, 5.000%, 1/01/15 – AGC Insured			
White Earth Band of Chippewa Indians, Minnesota, Revenue Bonds,	No Opt.		
440 Series 2000A, 7.000%,	Call	N/R	448,862
12/01/11 – ACA Insured			
1,790 Total Minnesota			1,984,719

NIM Nuveen Select Maturities Municipal Fund (continued) Portfolio of Investments September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

Principal Amount		Optional Call Provisions		
	Description (1)		Ratings (3)	Value
	Mississippi – 0.6%	()	<i>2</i> ()	
	Mississippi Hospital Equipment and Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds, Baptist Memorial			
	Healthcare, Series 2004B-1:			
		No Opt.		
\$ 200	5.000%, 9/01/16	Call	AA\$	218,710
		9/14 at		
300	5.000%, 9/01/24	100.00	AA	313,209
	Warren County, Mississippi, Gulf Opportunity Zone Revenue Bonds,	8/11 at		
250	International Paper Company,	100.00	BBB	238,950
	Series 2006A, 4.800%, 8/01/30			
750	Total Mississippi			770,869
	Montana – 0.1%			
	University of Montana, Revenue Bonds, Series 1996D, 5.375%,	11/10 at		
70	5/15/19 – MBIA Insured (ETM)	100.00	AAA	83,117
	Nebraska – 0.9%			
	Dodge County School District 1, Nebraska, Fremont Public Schools,	12/14 at		
1,000	General Obligation Bonds,	100.00	Aa3	1,128,240
	Series 2004, 5.000%, 12/15/19 – AGM Insured			
	Nevada – 1.2%			
	Clark County, Nevada, Airport Revenue Bonds, Subordinate Lien	1/20 at		
1,000	Series 2010B, 5.750%, 7/01/42	100.00	Aa3	1,088,150
	Director of Nevada State Department of Business and Industry,			
	Revenue Bonds, Las Vegas			
	Monorail Project, First Tier, Series 2000:			
		No Opt.		
800	0.000%, 1/01/15 – AMBAC Insured	Call	D	132,832
		No Opt.		
35	0.000%, 1/01/16 – AMBAC Insured	Call	D	5,481
		No Opt.		
120	0.000%, 1/01/18 – AMBAC Insured	Call	D	16,708
		No Opt.		
50	0.000%, 1/01/20 – AMBAC Insured	Call	D	6,181
	Las Vegas Redevelopment Agency, Nevada, Tax Increment Revenue	6/19 at		
250	Bonds, Series 2009A,	100.00	A	298,690
	8.000%, 6/15/30			
2,255	Total Nevada			1,548,042
	New Jersey – 1.1%			
	Bayonne Redevelopment Agency, New Jersey, Revenue Bonds, Royal	No Opt.		
410	Caribbean Cruises Project,	Call	BB-	387,462

Series 2006A, 4.750%, 11/01/16 (Alternative Minimum Tax)		
New Jersey Economic Development Authority, Cigarette Tax Revenue		
Bonds, Series 2004:		
	No Opt.	
100 5.375%, 6/15/14	Call	BBB 106,606
	No Opt.	
15 5.375%, 6/15/15 – RAAI Insured	Call	Baa3 16,103
New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, Transportation	No Opt.	
1,730 System Bonds, Capital	Call	AA- 496,683
Appreciation Series 2010A, 0.000%, 12/15/33		
Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, New Jersey, Tobacco	6/17 at	
425 Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds,	100.00	BBB 399,122
Series 2007-1A, 4.500%, 6/01/23		
2,680 Total New Jersey		1,405,976
New York – 7.1%		
Brooklyn Areba Local Development Corporation, New York, Payment	1/20 at	
220 in Lieu of Taxes Revenue	100.00	BBB- 237,530
Bonds, Barclays Center Project, Series 2009, 6.000%, 7/15/30		
Dormitory Authority of the State of New York, Revenue Bonds,	7/13 at	
1,000 Brooklyn Law School, Series	100.00	BBB+ 1,078,450
2003A, 5.500%, 7/01/15 – RAAI Insured		
New York City Industrial Development Agency, New York, Civic	No Opt.	
170 Facility Revenue Bonds, Special	Call	N/R 168,982
Needs Facilities Pooled Program, Series 2008A-1, 5.700%, 7/01/13	40440	
New York State Energy Research and Development Authority,	10/10 at	1 500 040
1,500 Facilities Revenue Bonds,	100.00	A- 1,502,340
Consolidated Edison Company Inc., Series 2001A, 4.700%, 6/01/36		
(Mandatory put 10/01/12)		
(Alternative Minimum Tax)		
New York State Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, Tobacco		
Settlement Asset-Backed and		
State Contingency Contract-Backed Bonds, Series 2003A-1:	12/10 at	
20 5.500%, 6/01/14	100.00	AA- 20,075
20 5.500%, 0/01/14	6/13 at	AA- 20,073
250 5.250%, 6/01/20 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	AA- 271,543
250 5.250 /0, 0/01/20 - / HVID/1C Histiled	6/13 at	111 2/1,545
590 5.250%, 6/01/22 – AMBAC Insured	100.00	AA- 635,943
1,100,000,000,000,000	200.00	1

D.:		Optional		
Principal		Call		
Amount		Provisions	Datings (2)	Value
(000)	Description (1) New York (continued)	(2)	Ratings (3)	Value
	New York (continued) New York State Telegrap Settlement Financing Corporation, Telegrap			
	New York State Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed and			
	State Contingency Contract-Backed Bonds, Series 2003B-1C:	6/12 of		\$
\$ 500	5 5000/ 6/01/21	6/13 at 100.00	A A	
\$ 500	5.500%, 6/01/21	6/13 at	AA-	- 342,333
65	5.500%, 6/01/22	100.00	AA-	70,480
03	Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, Special Project Bonds,	No Opt.	AA-	- 70,400
4 300	JFK International Air	Call	Λ	4,579,928
4,300	Terminal LLC, Sixth Series 1997, 7.000%, 12/01/12 – NPFG Insured	Call	A	4,379,920
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)			
8 615	Total New York			9,107,806
0,013	North Carolina – 1.6%			9,107,000
	Union County, North Carolina, Certificates of Participation, Series	6/13 at		
1 880	2003, 5.000%, 6/01/18 –	101.00	Δ 2.2	2,053,468
1,000	AMBAC Insured	101.00	Auz	2,033,400
	Ohio – 1.4%			
	Buckeye Tobacco Settlement Financing Authority, Ohio, Tobacco	6/17 at		
770	Settlement Asset-Backed Revenue	100.00	BBB	661,214
110	Bonds, Senior Lien, Series 2007A-2, 5.125%, 6/01/24	100.00	ВВВ	001,214
	Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, Ohio, Port Revenue Bonds,	No Opt.		
1 000	Cargill Inc., Series 2004B,	Call	Δ	1,084,260
1,000	4.500%, 12/01/15	Can	11	1,004,200
1 770	Total Ohio			1,745,474
1,770	Pennsylvania – 7.3%			1,715,171
	Luzerne County Industrial Development Authority, Pennsylvania,	12/19 at		
200	Guaranteed Lease Revenue Bonds,	100.00	N/R	204,020
	Series 2009, 7.750%, 12/15/27	100.00	11/21	20 1,020
	Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, College	No Opt.		
770	Revenue Bonds, Ninth Series	Call	Aaa	891,606
	1976, 7.625%, 7/01/15 (ETM)			,,,,,,,,
	Pennsylvania Higher Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue Bonds,	3/11 at		
225	University of the Arts,	100.00	A+(4)	256,597
	Series 1999, 5.150%, 3/15/20 – RAAI Insured (ETM)			•
	Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, Turnpike Revenue Bonds,	No Opt.		
580	Capital Appreciation Motor License	Call	AA	458,009
	Special Fund, Series 2010A-2, 0.000%, 12/01/34			
	Philadelphia Gas Works, Pennsylvania, Revenue Bonds, Eighteenth	8/14 at		
4,120	Series 2004, 5.000%, 8/01/15 –	100.00	BBB+	4,463,441
	AMBAC Insured			
	Philadelphia Gas Works, Pennsylvania, Revenue Bonds, Twelfth	No Opt.		
1,435	Series 1990B, 7.000%, 5/15/20 –	Call	Aaa	1,800,351
	MBIA Insured (ETM)			
250			BBB	250,090

Dhiladalphia Haspitals and Higher Education Escilities Authority	11/23 at		
Philadelphia Hospitals and Higher Education Facilities Authority, Pennsylvania, Hospital	100.00		
Revenue Bonds, Temple University Hospital, Series 1993A, 6.625%,	100.00		
11/15/23			
Pittsburgh School District, Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, General	No Opt.		
1,085 Obligation Bonds, Series	Call	Λο2	1,170,173
2006B, 5.000%, 9/01/12 – AMBAC Insured	Call	Aas	1,170,173
8,665 Total Pennsylvania			9,494,287
Puerto Rico – 0.7%			9,494,201
Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation, Sales Tax Revenue	8/26 at		
1,000 Bonds, First Subordinate Series	100.00	A+	864,100
2009A, 0.000%, 8/01/32	100.00	AT	00 4 ,100
Rhode Island – 0.8%			
Rhode Island Tobacco Settlement Financing Corporation, Tobacco			
Settlement Asset-Backed Bonds,			
Series 2002A:			
Selies 2002A.	6/12 at		
255 6.125%, 6/01/32	100.00	BBB	255,033
255 0.12570, 0/01/52	6/12 at	ррр	233,033
725 6.250%, 6/01/42	100.00	BBB	724,920
980 Total Rhode Island	100.00	ррр	979,953
South Carolina – 5.5%			919,933
Berkeley County School District, South Carolina, Installment Purchase	12/13 at		
750 Revenue Bonds, Securing	100.00	A1	800,835
Assets for Education, Series 2003, 5.250%, 12/01/19	100.00	AI	000,033
Piedmont Municipal Power Agency, South Carolina, Electric Revenue	No Opt.		
1,540 Bonds, Series 1991, 6.750%,	Call	ΔΔΔ	2,039,437
1/01/19 – FGIC Insured (ETM)	Can	АЛЛ	2,037,737
Piedmont Municipal Power Agency, South Carolina, Electric Revenue	No Opt.		
2,835 Bonds, Series 1991, 6.750%,	Call	Raa1	3,566,740
1/01/19 – FGIC Insured	Cail	Daal	5,500,740
1/01/17 – 1 GIC Insulcu			

NIM Nuveen Select Maturities Municipal Fund (continued) Portfolio of Investments September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

Principal Amount (000)	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions (2) I	Ratings (3)	Value
	South Carolina (continued) South Carolina JOBS Economic Development Authority, Economic	11/12 at		
\$ 5	Development Revenue Bonds, Bon	100.00	A3 (4)	\$ 5,528
	Secours Health System Inc., Series 2002A, 5.625%, 11/15/30 (Pre-refunded 11/15/12)			
	South Carolina JOBS Economic Development Authority, Economic	11/12 at		
20	Development Revenue Bonds, Bon	100.00	A-	20,345
	Secours Health System Inc., Series 2002B, 5.625%, 11/15/30			
	South Carolina JOBS Economic Development Authority, Hospital	No Opt.		
450	Revenue Bonds, Palmetto Health	Call	Baa2 (4)	455,562
	Alliance, Series 2000A, 7.000%, 12/15/10 (ETM)			
	Tobacco Settlement Revenue Management Authority, South Carolina,	5/11 at		
195	Tobacco Settlement	101.00	BBB (4)	201,412
	Asset-Backed Bonds, Series 2001B, 6.000%, 5/15/22 (Pre-refunded 5/15/11)			
5 705	5/15/11) Total South Carolina			7,089,859
3,173	South Dakota – 0.8%			7,007,037
	South Dakota Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue	5/17 at		
1 000	Bonds, Sanford Health,	100.00	Δ Δ_	1,031,060
1,000	Series 2007, 5.000%, 11/01/27	100.00	7171	1,031,000
	Tennessee – 2.0%			
	Shelby County Health, Educational and Housing Facilities Board,			
	Tennessee, Hospital Revenue			
	Bonds, Methodist Healthcare, Series 2002:			
		9/12 at		
750	6.000%, 9/01/17 (Pre-refunded 9/01/12)	100.00	AAA	828,975
		9/12 at		
1,250	6.000%, 9/01/17 (Pre-refunded 9/01/12)	100.00	AAA	1,381,625
	The Tennessee Energy Acquisition Corporation, Gas Revenue Bonds,	No Opt.		
400	Series 2006A, 5.000%, 9/01/13	Call	BB+	429,696
2,400	Total Tennessee			2,640,296
	Texas – 6.7%			
	Austin, Texas, General Obligation Bonds, Series 2004, 5.000%,	9/14 at		
1,055	9/01/20 – NPFG Insured	100.00	AAA	1,182,623
565	Bexar County Housing Finance Corporation, Texas, FNMA Guaranteed Multifamily Housing	No Opt. Call	N/R	598,397
	Revenue Bonds, Villas Sonterra Apartments Project, Series 2007A, 4.700%, 10/01/15			
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)			
25	Brazos River Authority, Texas, Collateralized Pollution Control Revenue Bonds, Texas Utilities	No Opt. Call	CCC	16,567

	E1			
	Electric Company, Series 2003D, 5.400%, 10/01/29 (Mandatory put 10/01/14)			
	Brazos River Authority, Texas, Collateralized Revenue Refunding	6/14 at		
2,000	Bonds, CenterPoint Energy	100.00	A3	2,047,540
	Inc., Series 2004B, 4.250%, 12/01/17 – FGIC Insured			
	Brazos River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding	No Opt.		
500	Bonds, TXU Electric	Call	CCC	476,540
	Company, Series 2001C, 5.750%, 5/01/36 (Mandatory put 11/01/11)			
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)			
	Brazos River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Refunding	No Opt.		
15	Bonds, TXU Energy Company	Call	CCC	11,580
	LLC, Series 2003A, 6.750%, 4/01/38 (Mandatory put 4/01/13)			
	(Alternative Minimum Tax)			
	Denton Independent School District, Denton County, Texas, General	8/16 at		
1,875	Obligation Bonds, Series	100.00	AAA	2,150,663
	2006, 5.000%, 8/15/20			
	Kerrville Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Revenue	No Opt.		
300	Bonds, Sid Peterson	Call	BBB-	292,947
	Memorial Hospital Project, Series 2005, 5.125%, 8/15/26			
	North Texas Thruway Authority, Second Tier System Revenue	1/18 at		
325	Refunding Bonds, Series 2008,	100.00	A3	346,359
	5.750%, 1/01/38	N. O.		
25	Sabine River Authority, Texas, Pollution Control Revenue Bonds,	No Opt.	CCC	02.027
25	TXU Energy Company LLC	Call	CCC	23,827
	Project, Series 2001B, 5.750%, 5/01/30 (Mandatory put 11/01/11)			
	(Alternative Minimum Tax) Toyon Municipal Cost Apprinting and Supply Comparation I. Cost	1/11 of		
1 500	Texas Municipal Gas Acquisition and Supply Corporation I, Gas Supply Revenue Bonds, Series	1/11 at 100.00	٨	1,331,955
1,500	2006B, 0.746%, 12/15/17	100.00	A	1,331,933
	Weslaco Health Facilities Development Corporation, Texas, Hospital	6/12 at		
145	Revenue Bonds, Knapp	100.00	N/R (4)	154,173
143	Medical Center, Series 2002, 6.000%, 6/01/17 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12)	100.00	11/11(4)	154,175
8 330	Total Texas			8,633,171
	Utah – 0.2%			0,022,171
	Bountiful, Davis County, Utah, Hospital Revenue Refunding Bonds,	No Opt.		
235	South Davis Community	Call	N/R	235,150
	Hospital Project, Series 1998, 6.000%, 12/15/10			
	Virgin Islands – 0.4%			
	Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, Matching Fund Loan Notes	10/20 at		
525	Revenue Bonds, Senior Lien	100.00	BBB	538,298
	Series 2010A, 5.000%, 10/01/29			

Principal Amount	Description (1)	Optional Call Provisions	Ratings (3)	Value
(000)	•	(2)	Ratings (3)	v aruc
\$ 250	Virginia – 0.2% Virginia College Building Authority, Educational Facilities Revenue Refunding Bonds, Marymount	1/11 at 100.00	N/R \$	\$ 250,283
	University, Series 1998, 5.100%, 7/01/18 – RAAI Insured			
	Washington – 0.7%	N. 0		
295	Washington Public Power Supply System, Revenue Refunding Bonds, Nuclear Project 3, Series	No Opt. Call	Aaa	379,261
	1989B, 7.125%, 7/01/16 – NPFG Insured			
	Washington State Tobacco Settlement Authority, Tobacco Settlement	6/13 at		
520	Asset-Backed Revenue Bonds,	100.00	BBB	537,779
	Series 2002, 6.500%, 6/01/26			
815	Total Washington			917,040
	Wisconsin – 3.6%			
	Badger Tobacco Asset Securitization Corporation, Wisconsin,			
	Tobacco Settlement Asset-Backed			
	Bonds, Series 2002:			
		6/12 at		
465	6.125%, 6/01/27 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12)	100.00	AAA	497,406
		6/12 at		
1,480	6.375%, 6/01/32 (Pre-refunded 6/01/12)	100.00	AAA	1,622,998
	Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue	7/11 at		
1,000	Bonds, Agnesian Healthcare	100.00	A-	1,010,160
	Inc., Series 2001, 6.000%, 7/01/21			
	Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue	2/11 at		
1,150	Bonds, Aurora Health Care Inc.,	100.00	N/R	1,150,644
	Series 1999A, 5.500%, 2/15/20 – ACA Insured			
	Wisconsin Health and Educational Facilities Authority, Revenue			
	Bonds, Wheaton Franciscan			
	Healthcare System, Series 2006:			
		8/16 at		
200	5.250%, 8/15/18	100.00	BBB+	210,716
		8/16 at		
180		100.00	BBB+	171,976
	Total Wisconsin			4,663,900
\$ 125,880	Total Investments (cost \$118,566,652) – 96.2%		1	24,254,327
	Other Assets Less Liabilities – 3.8%			4,943,783
				\$
	Net Assets – 100%		1	29,198,110

⁽¹⁾ All percentages shown in the Portfolio of Investments are based on net assets.

(2)

Optional Call Provisions: Dates (month and year) and prices of the earliest optional call or redemption. There may be other call provisions at varying prices at later dates. Certain mortgage-backed securities may be subject to periodic principal paydowns.

- (3) Ratings: Using the highest of Standard & Poor's Group ("Standard & Poor's"), Moody's Investor Service, Inc. ("Moody's") or Fitch, Inc. ("Fitch") rating. Ratings below BBB by Standard & Poor's, Baa by Moody's or BBB by Fitch are considered to be below investment grade. Holdings designated N/R are not rated by any of these national rating agencies.
- Backed by an escrow or trust containing sufficient U.S. Government or U.S. Government agency securities, which ensure the timely payment of principal and interest. Such investments are normally considered to be equivalent to AAA rated securities.

N/R Not rated.

(ETM) Escrowed to maturity.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of Assets & Liabilities

September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

Assets	
Investments, at value (cost \$118,566,652)	\$124,254,327
Cash	3,190,074
Receivables:	
Interest	1,813,907
Investments sold	531,187
Other assets	2,303
Total assets	129,791,798
Liabilities	
Payables:	
Dividends	415,828
Investments purchased	69,837
Accrued expenses:	
Management fees	51,214
Other	56,809
Total liabilities	593,688
Net assets	\$129,198,110
Shares outstanding	12,422,049
Net asset value per share outstanding	\$10.40
Net assets consist of:	
Shares, \$.01 par value per share	\$124,220
Paid-in surplus	138,587,187
Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income	229,932
Accumulated net realized gain (loss)	(15,430,904)
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	5,687,675
Net assets	\$129,198,110
Authorized shares	Unlimited

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of Operations

Six Months Ended September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)

Investment Income		
Expenses		
Management fees		
Shareholders' servicing agent fees and expenses		
Custodian's fees and expenses		
Trustees' fees and expenses	1,529	
Professional fees	10,172	
Shareholders' reports – printing and mailing expenses	23,615	
Stock exchange listing fees	4,581	
Investor relations expense	5,056	
Other expenses		
Total expenses before custodian fee credit		
Custodian fee credit	(791)	
Net expenses	380,464	
Net investment income		
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)		
Net realized gain (loss) from investments	42,653	
Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments		
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss)		
Net increase (decrease) in net assets from operations		

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Statement of Changes in Net Assets (Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended 9/30/10	Year Ended 3/31/10
Operations		
Net investment income	\$2,717,183	\$5,463,824
Net realized gain (loss) from investments	42,653	65,469
Change in net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments	2,149,302	6,371,614
Net increase (decrease) in net assets from operations	4,909,138	11,900,907
Distributions to Shareholders		
From net investment income	(2,608,085)	(5,212,112)
Decrease in net assets from distributions to shareholders	(2,608,085)	(5,212,112)
Capital Share Transactions		
Net proceeds from shares issued to shareholders due to reinvestment of		
distributions	65,047	131,705
Net increase (decrease) in net assets applicable to shares from capital share		
transactions	65,047	131,705
Net increase (decrease) in net assets	2,366,100	6,820,500
Net assets at the beginning of period	126,832,010	120,011,510
Net assets at the end of period	\$129,198,110	\$126,832,010
Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income at the end of period	\$229,932	\$120,834

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Notes to Financial Statements(Unaudited)

1. General Information and Significant Accounting Policies

The fund covered in this report and its corresponding New York Stock Exchange symbol is Nuveen Select Maturities Municipal Fund (NIM) (the "Fund"). The Fund is registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, as a closed-end, registered investment company.

The Fund seeks to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax, consistent with the preservation of capital by investing in an investment-grade quality portfolio of municipal obligations with intermediate characteristics. In managing its portfolio, the Fund has purchased municipal obligations having remaining effective maturities of no more than fifteen years with respect to 80% of its total assets that, in the opinion of Nuveen Asset Management (the "Adviser"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Nuveen Investments, Inc. ("Nuveen"), represent the best value in terms of the balance between yield and capital preservation currently available from the intermediate sector of the municipal market. The Adviser will actively monitor the effective maturities of the Fund's investments in response to prevailing market conditions, and will adjust its portfolio consistent with its investment policy of maintaining an average effective remaining maturity of twelve years or less.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies followed by the Fund in the preparation of its financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP").

Investment Valuation

Prices of fixed-income securities are provided by a pricing service approved by the Fund's Board of Trustees. These securities are generally classified as Level 2. Prices of fixed-income securities are based on the mean between the bid and asked price. When price quotes are not readily available (which is usually the case for municipal bonds) the pricing service establishes a security's fair value using methods that may include consideration of the following: yields or prices of investments of comparable quality, type of issue, coupon, maturity and rating, market quotes or indications of value from security dealers, evaluations of anticipated cash flows or collateral, general market conditions and other information and analysis, including the obligor's credit characteristics considered relevant. In pricing certain securities, particularly less liquid and lower quality securities, the pricing service may consider information about a security, its issuer, or market activity, provided by the Adviser. These securities are generally classified as Level 2 or Level 3.

Temporary investments in securities that have variable rate and demand features qualifying them as short-term investments are valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value. These securities are generally classified as Level 1 or Level 2 (which is usually the case for municipal securities).

Certain securities may not be able to be priced by the pre-established pricing methods as described above. Such securities may be valued by the Fund's Board of Trustees or its designee at fair value. These securities generally include, but are not limited to, restricted securities (securities which may not be publicly sold without registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended) for which a pricing service is unable to provide a market price; securities whose trading has been formally suspended; debt securities that have gone into default and for which there is no current market quotation; a security whose market price is not available from a pre-established pricing source; a security with respect to which an event has occurred that is likely to materially affect the value of the security after the market has closed but before the calculation of the Fund's net asset value (as may be the case in non-U.S. markets on which the security is primarily traded) or make it difficult or impossible to obtain a reliable market quotation; and a security whose price, as provided by the pricing service, is not deemed to reflect the security's fair value. As a general principle, the fair value of a security would appear to be the amount that the owner might reasonably expect to receive

for it in a current sale. A variety of factors may be considered in determining the fair value of such securities, which may include consideration of the following: yields or prices of investments of comparable quality, type of issue, coupon, maturity and rating, market quotes or indications of value from security dealers, evaluations of anticipated cash flows or collateral, general market conditions and other information and analysis, including the obligor's credit characteristics considered relevant. These securities are generally classified as Level 2 or Level 3 depending on the priority of the significant inputs. Regardless of the method employed to value a particular security, all valuations are subject to review by the Fund's Board of Trustees or its designee.

Refer to Footnote 2 – Fair Value Measurements for further details on the leveling of securities held by the Fund as of the end of the reporting period.

Investment Transactions

Investment transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses from transactions are determined on the specific identification method. Investments purchased on a when-issued/delayed delivery basis may have extended settlement periods. Any investments so purchased are subject to market fluctuation during this period. The Fund has instructed the custodian to segregate assets with a current value at least

Notes to

Financial Statements (Unaudited) (continued)

equal to the amount of the when-issued/delayed delivery purchase commitments. At September 30, 2010, the Fund had no such outstanding purchase commitments.

Investment Income

Interest income, which reflects the amortization of premiums and includes accretion of discounts for financial reporting purposes, is recorded on an accrual basis. Investment income also reflects paydown gains and losses, if any.

Professional Fees

Professional fees presented on the Statement of Operations consist of legal fees incurred in the normal course of operations, audit fees, tax consulting fees and, in some cases, workout expenditures. Workout expenditures are incurred in an attempt to protect or enhance an investment, or to pursue other claims or legal actions on behalf of Fund shareholders.

Income Taxes

The Fund intends to distribute substantially all of its net investment income and net capital gains to shareholders and to otherwise comply with the requirements of Subchapter M of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies. Therefore, no federal income tax provision is required. Furthermore, the Fund intends to satisfy conditions that will enable interest from municipal securities, which is exempt from regular federal and designated state income taxes, to retain such tax-exempt status when distributed to shareholders of the Fund. Net realized capital gains and ordinary income distributions paid by the Fund are subject to federal taxation.

For all open tax years and all major taxing jurisdictions, management of the Fund has concluded that there are no significant uncertain tax positions that would require recognition in the financial statements. Open tax years are those that are open for examination by taxing authorities (i.e., generally the last four tax year ends and the interim tax period since then). Furthermore, management of the Fund is also not aware of any tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly change in the next twelve months.

Dividends and Distributions to Shareholders

Dividends from tax-exempt net investment income are declared monthly. Net realized capital gains and/or market discount from investment transactions, if any, are distributed to shareholders at least annually. Furthermore, capital gains are distributed only to the extent they exceed available capital loss carryforwards.

Distributions to shareholders of tax-exempt net investment income, net realized capital gains and/or market discount, if any, are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The amount and timing of distributions are determined in accordance with federal income tax regulations, which may differ from U.S. GAAP.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Fund is authorized to invest in futures, options, swaps and other derivative instruments. Although the Fund is authorized to invest in such financial instruments, and may do so in the future, it did not make any such investments during the six months ended September 30, 2010.

Zero Coupon Securities

The Fund is authorized to invest in zero coupon securities. A zero coupon security does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the security. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the security comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the security at issuance and the par value of the

security at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. The market prices of zero coupon securities generally are more volatile than the market prices of securities that pay interest periodically.

Custodian Fee Credit

The Fund has an arrangement with the custodian bank whereby certain custodian fees and expenses are reduced by net credits earned on the Fund's cash on deposit with the bank. Such deposit arrangements are an alternative to overnight investments. Credits for cash balances may be offset by charges for any days on which the Fund overdraws its account at the custodian bank.

Indemnifications

20 Nuveen Investments

Under the Fund's organizational documents, its officers and trustees are indemnified against certain liabilities arising out of the performance of their duties to the Fund. In addition, in the normal course of business, the Fund enters into contracts that provide general indemnifications to other parties. The Fund's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against the Fund that have not yet occurred. However, the Fund has not had prior claims or losses pursuant to these contracts and expects the risk of loss to be remote.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of increases and decreases in net assets from operations during the reporting period. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

2. Fair Value Measurements

In determining the fair value of the Fund's investments, various inputs are used. These inputs are summarized in the three broad levels listed below:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical securities.
- Level 2 Other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar securities, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.).
- Level 3 Significant unobservable inputs (including management's assumptions in determining the fair value of investments).

The inputs or methodologies used for valuing securities are not an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities. The following is a summary of the Fund's fair value measurements as of September 30, 2010:

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Investments:				
Municipal Bonds	\$ —	\$124,254,327	\$ —	\$124,254,327

3. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Fund records derivative instruments at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized on the Statement of Operations, when applicable. Even though the Fund's investments in derivatives may represent economic hedges, they are not considered to be hedge transactions for financial reporting purposes. The Fund did not invest in derivative instruments during the six months ended September 30, 2010.

4. Fund Shares

Transactions in shares were as follows:

	Six Months	Year
	Ended	Ended
	9/30/10	3/31/10
Shares issued to shareholders		
due to reinvestment of distributions	6,297	13,113

5. Investment Transactions

Purchases and sales (including maturities but excluding short-term investments) during the six months ended September 30, 2010, aggregated \$3,756,497 and \$6,655,250, respectively.

6. Income Tax Information

The following information is presented on an income tax basis. Differences between amounts for financial statement and federal income tax purposes are primarily due to timing differences in recognizing taxable market discount and timing differences in recognizing certain gains and losses on investment transactions. To the extent that differences arise that are permanent in nature, such amounts are reclassified within the capital accounts on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities presented in the annual report, based on their federal tax basis treatment; temporary differences do not require reclassification. Temporary and permanent differences do not impact the net asset value of the Fund.

At September 30, 2010, the cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

Cost of investments	\$118,443,482
Gross unrealized:	
Appreciation	\$6,925,698
Depreciation	(1,114,853)
Net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments	\$5,810,845

Notes to

Financial Statements (Unaudited) (continued)

Permanent differences, primarily due to taxable market discount, resulted in reclassifications among the Fund's components of net assets at March 31, 2010, the Fund's last tax year-end, as follows:

Undistributed (Over-distribution of) net investment income	\$166	
Accumulated net realized gain (loss)	(166)
Paid-in-surplus	_	

The tax components of undistributed net tax-exempt income, net ordinary income and net long-term capital gains at March 31, 2010, the Fund's last tax year end, were as follows:

Undistributed net tax-exempt income *	\$431,495
Undistributed net ordinary income **	4,924
Undistributed net long-term capital gains	_

^{*} Undistributed net tax-exempt income (on a tax basis) has not been reduced for the dividend declared on March 2, 2010, paid on April 1, 2010.

The tax character of distributions paid during the Fund's last tax year ended March 31, 2010, was designated for purposes of the dividends paid deduction as follows:

Distributions from net tax-exempt income	\$5,211,653
Distributions from net ordinary income **	
Distributions from net long-term capital gains	
** Not and in any in come consists of touchle modernt discount in come and not about town conital coince if	

^{**} Net ordinary income consists of taxable market discount income and net short-term capital gains, if any.

At March 31, 2010, the Fund's last tax year end, the Fund had unused capital loss carryforwards available for federal income tax purposes to be applied against future capital gains, if any. If not applied, the carryforwards will expire as follows:

Expiration:	
March	
31, 2011	\$ 6,500,491
March	
31, 2012	8,737,799
March	
31, 2013	4,977
March	
31, 2014	14,448
March	
31, 2015	11,084
March	
31, 2016	44,763

^{**}Net ordinary income consists of taxable market discount income and net short-term capital gains, if any.

March

31, 2017 148,403 Total \$15,461,965

7. Management Fees and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The Fund's management fee is separated into two components – a fund-level fee, based only on the amount of assets within the Fund, and a complex-level fee, based on the aggregate amount of all fund assets managed by the Adviser. This pricing structure enables Fund shareholders to benefit from growth in the assets within the Fund as well as from growth in the amount of complex-wide assets managed by the Adviser.

The annual fund-level fee, payable monthly, is calculated according to the following schedule:

	Fund-Level
Average Daily Net Assets*	Fee Rate
For the first \$125 million	.3000 %
For the next \$125 million	.2875
For the next \$250 million	.2750
For the next \$500 million	.2625
For the next \$1 billion	.2500
For net assets over \$2 billion	.2375

The annual complex-level fee, payable monthly, is calculated according to the following schedule:

	Effective Rate at
	Breakpoint
Complex-Level Managed Asset Breakpoint Level*	Level
\$55 billion	.2000 %
\$56 billion	.1996
\$57 billion	.1989
\$60 billion	.1961
\$63 billion	.1931
\$66 billion	.1900
\$71 billion	.1851
\$76 billion	.1806
\$80 billion	.1773
\$91 billion	.1691
\$125 billion	.1599
\$200 billion	.1505
\$250 billion	.1469
\$300 billion	.1445

* The complex-level fee is calculated based upon the aggregate daily managed assets of all Nuveen funds, with such daily managed assets defined separately for each fund in its management agreement, but excluding assets attributable to investments in other Nuveen funds. Managed assets include closed-end fund assets managed by the Adviser that are attributable to financial leverage. For these purposes, financial leverage includes the funds' use of preferred stock and borrowings and certain investments in the residual interest certificates (also called inverse floating rate securities) in tender option bond (TOB) trusts, including the portion of assets held by a TOB trust that has been effectively financed by the trust's issuance of floating rate securities, subject to an agreement by the Adviser as to certain funds to limit the amount of such assets for determining managed assets in certain circumstances. As of September 30, 2010, the complex-level fee rate was .1822%.

The management fee compensates the Adviser for overall investment advisory and administrative services and general office facilities. The Fund pays no compensation directly to those of its trustees who are affiliated with the Adviser or to its officers, all of whom receive remuneration for their services to the Fund from the Adviser or its affiliates. The Board of Trustees has adopted a deferred compensation plan for independent trustees that enables trustees to elect to defer receipt of all or a portion of the annual compensation they are entitled to receive from certain Nuveen advised funds. Under the plan, deferred amounts are treated as though equal dollar amounts had been invested in shares of select Nuveen advised funds.

8. New Accounting Standards

Fair Value Measurements

On January 21, 2010, Financial Accounting Standards Board issued changes to the authoritative guidance under U.S. GAAP for fair value measurements. The objective of which is to provide guidance on how investment assets and liabilities are to be valued and disclosed. Specifically, the amendment requires reporting entities to disclose Level 3 activity for purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the Level 3 roll-forward on a gross basis rather than as one net number. The effective date of the amendment is for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. At this time, management is evaluating the implications of this guidance and the impact it will have to the footnote disclosures, if any.

Financial Highlights(Unaudited)

Selected data for a Common share outstanding throughout each period:

		Inve	stment Oper Net		Less	Distr	ributions			
	Beginning	Net	Realized	,	N	Net			Ending Net	Ending
	Net Asset l	Investment	Unrealized Gain		Investme	ent	Capital		Asset	Market
	Value	Income	(Loss)	To	otal Inco	me	Gains	Tota	ıl Value	Value
Year										
Ended 3/31:										
2011(c)	\$ 10.22	\$.22	\$.17	\$.39	\$(.21)	\$—	\$(.21) \$10.40	\$11.03
2010	9.68	.44	.52	.96	(.42)	_	(.42) 10.22	10.42
2009	10.07	.43	(.38	.05	(.44)		(.44) 9.68	9.98
2008	10.19	.44	(.12	.32	(.44)	_	(.44) 10.07	9.80
2007	10.15	.46	.05	.51	(.47)	_	(.47) 10.19	9.94
2006	10.22	.48	(.07	.41	(.48)	_	(.48) 10.15	9.95

²⁴ Nuveen Investments

Ratios/Supplemental Data Ratios to Average

Total Returns			Net Assets(b)		
Based on	Based on	Ending		Net	Portfolio
Market	Net Asset	Net Assets		Investment	Turnover
Value(a)	Value(a)	(000)	Expenses	Income	Rate
8.00 %	3.85 %	\$129,198	.59 %*	4.24 %*	3 %
8.83	10.06	126,832	.59	4.38	5
6.53	.52	120,012	.61	4.43	8
3.18	3.18	124,831	.59	4.37	11
4.75	5.10	126,316	.62	4.44	15
12.21	4.02	125,857	.59	4.67	7

(a) Total Return Based on Market Value is the combination of changes in the market price per share and the effect of reinvested dividend income and reinvested capital gains distributions, if any, at the average price paid per share at the time of reinvestment. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending market price. The actual reinvestment for the last dividend declared in the period may take place over several days, and in some instances may not be based on the market price, so the actual reinvestment price may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

Total Return Based on Net Asset Value is the combination of changes in net asset value, reinvested dividend income at net asset value and reinvested capital gains distributions at net asset value, if any. The last dividend declared in the period, which is typically paid on the first business day of the following month, is assumed to be reinvested at the ending net asset value. The actual reinvest price for the last dividend declared in the period may often be based on the Fund's market price (and not its net asset value), and therefore may be different from the price used in the calculation. Total returns are not annualized.

Ratios do not reflect the effect of custodian fee credits earned on the Fund's net cash on deposit with the custodian (b)bank, where applicable.

(c) For the six months ended September 30, 2010.

*	A1	าทเเล	lızed

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process (Unaudited)

The Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act"), provides, in substance, that each investment advisory agreement between a fund and its investment adviser will continue in effect from year to year only if its continuance is approved at least annually by the fund's board members, including by a vote of a majority of the board members who are not parties to the advisory agreement or "interested persons" of any parties (the "Independent Board Members"), cast in person at a meeting called for the purpose of considering such approval. In connection with such approvals, the fund's board members must request and evaluate, and the investment adviser is required to furnish, such information as may be reasonably necessary to evaluate the terms of the advisory agreement. Accordingly, at a meeting held on May 25-26, 2010 (the "May Meeting"), the Board of Trustees (the "Board," and each Trustee, a "Board Member") of the Fund, including a majority of the Independent Board Members, considered and approved the continuation of the advisory agreement (the "Advisory Agreement") between the Fund and Nuveen Asset Management (the "Adviser") for an additional one-year period. In preparation for their considerations at the May Meeting, the Board also held a separate meeting on April 21-22, 2010 (the "April Meeting"). Accordingly, the factors considered and determinations made regarding the renewals by the Independent Board Members include those made at the April Meeting.

In addition, in evaluating the Advisory Agreement, the Independent Board Members reviewed a broad range of information relating to the Fund and the Adviser, including absolute and comparative performance, fee and expense information for the Fund (as described in more detail below), the profitability of Nuveen for its advisory activities (which includes its wholly owned subsidiaries), and other information regarding the organization, personnel, and services provided by the Adviser. The Independent Board Members also met quarterly as well as at other times as the need arose during the year and took into account the information provided at such meetings and the knowledge gained therefrom. Prior to approving the renewal of the Advisory Agreement, the Independent Board Members reviewed the foregoing information with their independent legal counsel and with management, reviewed materials from independent legal counsel describing applicable law and their duties in reviewing advisory contracts, and met with independent legal counsel in private sessions without management present. The Independent Board Members considered the legal advice provided by independent legal counsel and relied upon their knowledge of the Adviser, its services and the Fund resulting from their meetings and other interactions throughout the year and their own business judgment in determining the factors to be considered in evaluating the Advisory Agreement. Each Board Member may have accorded different weight to the various factors in reaching his or her conclusions with respect to the Fund's Advisory Agreement. The Independent Board Members did not identify any single factor as all-important or controlling. The Independent

Board Members' considerations were instead based on a comprehensive consideration of all the information presented. The principal factors considered by the Board and its conclusions are described below.

A. Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

In considering renewal of the Advisory Agreement, the Independent Board Members considered the nature, extent and quality of the Adviser's services, including advisory services and administrative services. The Independent Board Members reviewed materials outlining, among other things, the Adviser's organization and business; the types of services that the Adviser or its affiliates provide and are expected to provide to the Fund; the performance record of the Fund (as described in further detail below); and any initiatives Nuveen had taken for the applicable fund product line, including continued activities to refinance auction rate preferred securities, manage leverage during periods of market turbulence and implement an enhanced leverage management process, modify investment mandates in light of market conditions and seek shareholder approval as necessary, maintain the fund share repurchase program and maintain shareholder communications to keep shareholders apprised of Nuveen's efforts in refinancing preferred shares. In addition to the foregoing, the Independent Board Members also noted the additional services that the Adviser or its affiliates provide to closed-end funds, including, in particular, Nuveen's continued commitment to supporting the secondary market for the common shares of its closed-end funds through a variety of programs designed to raise investor and analyst awareness and understanding of closed-end funds. These efforts include maintaining an investor relations program to provide timely information and education to financial advisers and investors; providing marketing for the closed-end funds; maintaining and enhancing a closed-end fund website; participating in conferences and having direct communications with analysts and financial advisors.

As part of their review, the Independent Board Members also evaluated the background, experience and track record of the Adviser's investment personnel. In this regard, the Independent Board Members considered any changes in the personnel, and the impact on the level of services provided to the Fund, if any. The Independent Board Members also reviewed information regarding portfolio manager compensation arrangements to evaluate the Adviser's ability to attract and retain high quality investment personnel, preserve stability, and reward performance but not provide an incentive for taking undue risks.

In addition to advisory services, the Independent Board Members considered the quality of administrative services provided by the Adviser and its affiliates including product management, fund administration, oversight of service providers, shareholder services, administration of Board relations, regulatory and portfolio compliance and legal support. Given the importance of compliance, the Independent Board Members also considered the Adviser's compliance program, including the report of the chief compliance officer regarding the Fund's compliance policies and procedures.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members found that, overall, the nature, extent and quality of services provided (and expected to be provided) to the Fund under the Advisory Agreement were satisfactory.

Nuveen Investmen	ts 27
------------------	-------

Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process (Unaudited) (continued)

B. The Investment Performance of the Fund and the Adviser

The Board considered the performance results of the Fund over various time periods. The Board reviewed, among other things, the Fund's historic investment performance as well as information comparing the Fund's performance information with that of other funds (the "Performance Peer Group") based on data provided by an independent provider of mutual fund data and with recognized and/or customized benchmarks. In this regard, the Board reviewed the Fund's total return information compared to its Performance Peer Group for the quarter, one-, three- and five-year periods ending December 31, 2009 and for the same periods ending March 31, 2010. In addition, the Board reviewed the Fund's total return information compared to recognized and/or customized benchmarks for the quarter, one- and three-year periods ending December 31, 2009 and for the same periods ending March 31, 2010. Moreover, the Board reviewed the peer ranking of the Nuveen municipal funds advised by the Adviser in the aggregate. The Independent Board Members also reviewed historic premium and discount levels. This information supplemented the Fund performance information provided to the Board at each of its quarterly meetings.

In reviewing peer comparison information, the Independent Board Members recognized that the Performance Peer Group of certain funds may not adequately represent the objectives and strategies of the funds, thereby limiting the usefulness of comparing a fund's performance with that of its Performance Peer Group. In this regard, the Independent Board Members considered that the Performance Peer Groups of certain funds (including the Fund) were classified as having significant differences from such funds based on considerations such as special fund objectives, potential investable universe and the composition of the peer set (e.g., the number and size of competing funds and number of competing managers).

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members determined that the Fund's investment performance over time had been satisfactory. In this regard, the Independent Board Members noted that the Fund underperformed its benchmark in the three-year period but outperformed the performance of its benchmark in the one-year period.

C. Fees, Expenses and Profitability

1. Fees and Expenses

The Board evaluated the management fees and expenses of the Fund reviewing, among other things, the Fund's gross management fees, net management fees and net expense ratios in absolute terms as well as compared to the fee and expenses of a comparable universe of funds based on data provided by an independent fund data provider (the "Peer Universe") and in certain cases, to a more focused subset of funds in the Peer Universe (the "Peer Group") and any expense limitations.

The Independent Board Members further reviewed the methodology regarding the construction of the applicable Peer Universe and/or Peer Group. In reviewing the comparisons of fee and expense information, the Independent Board Members took into account that in certain instances various factors such as: the asset level of a fund relative to peers; the limited size and particular composition of the Peer Universe or

Peer Group; the investment objectives of the peers; expense anomalies; changes in the funds comprising the Peer Universe or Peer Group from year to year; levels of reimbursement; the timing of information used; and the differences in the type and use of leverage may impact the comparative data, thereby limiting the ability to make a meaningful comparison with peers, including for the Fund.

In reviewing the fee schedule for the Fund, the Independent Board Members also considered the fund-level and complex-wide breakpoint schedules (described in further detail below) and any fee waivers and reimbursements provided by Nuveen (applicable, in particular, for certain closed-end funds launched since 1999). In their review, the Independent Board Members noted that although the Fund had net management fees above the peer average and the available peer set was limited, its net expense ratio was below or near the peer average.

Based on their review of the fee and expense information provided, the Independent Board Members determined that the Fund's management fees were reasonable in light of the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Fund.

2. Comparisons with the Fees of Other Clients

The Independent Board Members further reviewed information regarding the nature of services and fee rates offered by the Adviser to other clients, including municipal separately managed accounts and passively managed municipal bond exchange traded funds (ETFs) that are sub-advised by the Adviser. In evaluating the comparisons of fees, the Independent Board Members noted that the fee rates charged to the Fund and other clients vary, among other things, because of the different services involved and the additional regulatory and compliance requirements associated with registered investment companies, such as the Fund. Accordingly, the Independent Board Members considered the differences in the product types, including, but not limited to, the services provided, the structure and operations, product distribution and costs thereof, portfolio investment policies, investor profiles, account sizes and regulatory requirements. The Independent Board Members noted, in particular, that the range of services provided to the Fund (as discussed above) is much more extensive than that provided to separately managed accounts. Given the inherent differences in the products, particularly the extensive services provided to the Fund, the Independent Board Members believe such facts justify the different levels of fees.

3. Profitability of Nuveen

In conjunction with its review of fees, the Independent Board Members also considered the profitability of Nuveen for its advisory activities (which incorporated Nuveen's wholly-owned affiliated sub-advisers) and its financial condition. The Independent Board Members reviewed the revenues and expenses of Nuveen's advisory activities for the last two years, the allocation methodology used in preparing the profitability data and an analysis of the key drivers behind the changes in revenues and expenses that impacted profitability in 2009. The Independent Board Members noted this information supplemented the profitability information requested and received during the year to help keep them apprised of developments affecting profitability (such as changes in fee waivers and expense reimbursement commitments). In this regard, the Independent Board Members

nuveen mvesiments 25	Nuveen	Investments	29
----------------------	--------	-------------	----

Annual Investment Management Agreement Approval Process (Unaudited) (continued)

noted that they had also appointed an Independent Board Member as a point person to review and keep them apprised of changes to the profitability analysis and/or methodologies during the year. The Independent Board Members also considered Nuveen's revenues for advisory activities, expenses, and profit margin compared to that of various unaffiliated management firms with similar amounts of assets under management and relatively comparable asset composition prepared by Nuveen.

In reviewing profitability, the Independent Board Members recognized the subjective nature of determining profitability which may be affected by numerous factors including the allocation of expenses. Further, the Independent Board Members recognized the difficulties in making comparisons as the profitability of other advisers generally is not publicly available and the profitability information that is available for certain advisers or management firms may not be representative of the industry and may be affected by, among other things, the adviser's particular business mix, capital costs, types of funds managed and expense allocations. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Independent Board Members reviewed Nuveen's methodology and assumptions for allocating expenses across product lines to determine profitability. In reviewing profitability, the Independent Board Members recognized Nuveen's investment in its fund business. Based on their review, the Independent Board Members concluded that Nuveen's level of profitability for its advisory activities was reasonable in light of the services provided.

In evaluating the reasonableness of the compensation, the Independent Board Members also considered other amounts paid to the Adviser by the Fund as well as any indirect benefits (such as soft dollar arrangements, if any) the Adviser and its affiliates receive, or are expected to receive, that are directly attributable to the management of the Fund, if any. See Section E below for additional information on indirect benefits the Adviser may receive as a result of its relationship with the Fund. Based on their review of the overall fee arrangements of the Fund, the Independent Board Members determined that the advisory fees and expenses of the Fund were reasonable.

D. Economies of Scale and Whether Fee Levels Reflect These Economies of Scale

With respect to economies of scale, the Independent Board Members have recognized the potential benefits resulting from the costs of a fund being spread over a larger asset base, although economies of scale are difficult to measure and predict with precision, particularly on a fund-by-fund basis. One method to help ensure the shareholders share in these benefits is to include breakpoints in the advisory fee schedule. Generally, management fees for funds in the Nuveen complex are comprised of a fund-level component and a complex-level component, subject to certain exceptions. Accordingly, the Independent Board Members reviewed and considered the applicable fund-level breakpoints in the advisory fee schedules that reduce advisory fees as asset levels increase. Further, the Independent Board Members noted that although closed-end funds may from time-to-time make additional share offerings, the growth of their assets will occur primarily through the appreciation of such funds' investment portfolio.

In addition to fund-level advisory fee breakpoints, the Board also considered the Fund's complex-wide fee arrangement. Pursuant to the complex-wide fee arrangement, the fees of the funds in the Nuveen complex are generally reduced as the assets in the fund complex reach certain levels. The complex-wide fee arrangement seeks to provide the benefits of economies of scale to fund shareholders when total fund complex assets increase, even if assets of a particular fund are unchanged or have decreased. The approach reflects the notion that some of Nuveen's costs are attributable to services provided to all its funds in the complex and therefore all funds benefit if these costs are spread over a larger asset base.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members concluded that the breakpoint schedules and complex-wide fee arrangement were acceptable and reflect economies of scale to be shared with shareholders when assets under management increase.

E. Indirect Benefits

In evaluating fees, the Independent Board Members received and considered information regarding potential "fall out" or ancillary benefits the Adviser or its affiliates may receive as a result of its relationship with the Fund. In this regard, the Independent Board Members considered any revenues received by affiliates of the Adviser for serving as agent at Nuveen's trading desk and as co-manager in initial public offerings of new closed-end funds.

In addition to the above, the Independent Board Members considered whether the Adviser received any benefits from soft dollar arrangements whereby a portion of the commissions paid by the Fund for brokerage may be used to acquire research that may be useful to the Adviser in managing the assets of the Fund and other clients. The Independent Board Members noted that the Adviser does not currently have any soft dollar arrangements; however, to the extent certain bona fide agency transactions that occur on markets that traditionally trade on a principal basis and riskless principal transactions are considered as generating "commissions," the Adviser intends to comply with the applicable safe harbor provisions.

Based on their review, the Independent Board Members concluded that any indirect benefits received by the Adviser as a result of its relationship with the Fund were reasonable and within acceptable parameters.

F. Other Considerations

The Independent Board Members did not identify any single factor discussed previously as all-important or controlling. The Board Members, including the Independent Board Members, unanimously concluded that the terms of the Advisory Agreement are fair and reasonable, that the Adviser's fees are reasonable in light of the services provided to the Fund and that the Advisory Agreement be renewed.

Reinvest Automatically Easily and Conveniently

Nuveen makes reinvesting easy. A phone call is all it takes to set up your reinvestment account.

Nuveen Closed-End Funds Dividend Reinvestment Plan

Your Nuveen Closed-End Fund allows you to conveniently reinvest dividends and/or capital gains distributions in additional Fund shares.

By choosing to reinvest, you'll be able to invest money regularly and automatically, and watch your investment grow through the power of tax-free compounding. Just like dividends or distributions in cash, there may be times when income or capital gains taxes may be payable on dividends or distributions that are reinvested.

It is important to note that an automatic reinvestment plan does not ensure a profit, nor does it protect you against loss in a declining market.

Easy and convenient

To make recordkeeping easy and convenient, each month you'll receive a statement showing your total dividends and distributions, the date of investment, the shares acquired and the price per share, and the total number of shares you own.

How shares are purchased

The shares you acquire by reinvesting will either be purchased on the open market or newly issued by the Fund. If the shares are trading at or above net asset value at the time of valuation, the Fund will issue new shares at the greater of the net asset value or 95% of the then-current market price. If the shares are trading at less than net asset value, shares for your account will be purchased on the open market. If the Plan Agent begins purchasing Fund shares on the open market while shares are trading below net asset value, but the Fund's shares subsequently trade at or above their net asset value before the Plan Agent is able to complete its purchases, the Plan Agent may cease open-market purchases and may invest the uninvested portion of the distribution in newly-issued Fund shares at a price equal to the greater of the shares' net asset value or 95% of the shares' market value on the last business day immediately prior to the purchase date. Dividends and distributions received to purchase shares in the open market will normally be invested shortly after the dividend payment date. No interest will be paid on dividends and distributions awaiting reinvestment. Because the market price

of the shares may increase before purchases are completed, the average purchase price per share may exceed the market price at the time of valuation, resulting in the acquisition of fewer shares than if the dividend or distribution had been paid in shares issued by the Fund. A pro rata portion of any applicable brokerage commissions on open market purchases will be paid by Plan participants. These commissions usually will be lower than those charged on individual transactions.

Flexible

You may change your distribution option or withdraw from the Plan at any time, should your needs or situation change.

You can reinvest whether your shares are registered in your name, or in the name of a brokerage firm, bank, or other nominee. Ask your investment advisor if his or her firm will participate on your behalf. Participants whose shares are registered in the name of one firm may not be able to transfer the shares to another firm and continue to participate in the Plan.

The Fund reserves the right to amend or terminate the Plan at any time. Although the Fund reserves the right to amend the Plan to include a service charge payable by the participants, there is no direct service charge to participants in the Plan at this time.

Call today to start reinvesting dividends and/or distributions

For more information on the Nuveen Automatic Reinvestment Plan or to enroll in or withdraw from the Plan, speak with your financial advisor or call us at (800) 257-8787.

Glossary of Terms Used in this Report

- Auction Rate Bond: An auction rate bond is a security whose interest payments are adjusted periodically through an auction process, which process typically also serves as a means for buying and selling the bond. Auctions that fail to attract enough buyers for all the shares offered for sale are deemed to have "failed," with current holders receiving a formula-based interest rate until the next scheduled auction.
- Average Annual Total Return: This is a commonly used method to express an investment's performance over a particular, usually multi-year time period. It expresses the return that would have been necessary each year to equal the investment's actual cumulative performance (including change in NAV or market price and reinvested dividends and capital gains distributions, if any) over the time period being considered.
- Average Effective Maturity: The weighted average of the effective maturity dates of the fixed-income securities in the portfolio. A bond's effective maturity takes into account the possibility that it may be called by the issuer before its stated maturity date. In this case, the bond trades as though it had a shorter maturity than its stated maturity.
- Leverage-Adjusted Duration: Duration is a measure of the expected period over which a bond's principal and interest will be paid, and consequently is a measure of the sensitivity of a bond's or bond Fund's value to changes when market interest rates change. Generally, the longer a bond's or Fund's duration, the more the price of the bond or Fund will change as interest rates change. Leverage-adjusted duration takes into account the leveraging process for a Fund and therefore is longer than the duration of the Fund's portfolio of bonds.
- Market Yield (also known as Dividend Yield or Current Yield): An investment's current annualized dividend divided by its current market price.
- Net Asset Value (NAV): A Fund's NAV per share is calculated by subtracting the liabilities of the Fund from its total assets and then dividing the remainder by the number of shares outstanding. Fund NAVs are calculated at the end of each business day.

- Pre-refunding: Pre-refunding, also known as advanced refundings or refinancings, is a procedure used by state and local governments to refinance municipal bonds to lower interest expenses. The issuer sells new bonds with a lower yield and uses the proceeds to buy U.S. Treasury securities, the interest from which is used to make payments on the higher-yielding bonds. Because of this collateral, pre-refunding generally raises a bond's credit rating and thus its value.
- Taxable-Equivalent Yield: The yield necessary from a fully taxable investment to equal, on an after-tax basis, the yield of a municipal bond investment.
- Zero Coupon Bond: A zero coupon bond does not pay a regular interest coupon to its holders during the life of the bond. Tax-exempt income to the holder of the bond comes from accretion of the difference between the original purchase price of the bond at issuance and the par value of the bond at maturity and is effectively paid at maturity. The market prices of zero coupon bonds generally are more volatile than the market prices of bonds that pay interest periodically.

Notes		
36 Nuveen Investments		

Other Useful Information

Board of Trustees
John P. Amboian
Robert P. Bremner
Jack B. Evans
William C. Hunter
David J. Kundert
William J. Schneider
Judith M. Stockdale
Carole E. Stone
Terence J. Toth

Fund Manager Nuveen Asset Management 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

Custodian State Street Bank & Trust Company Boston, MA

Transfer Agent and Shareholder Services State Street Bank & Trust Company Nuveen Funds P.O. Box 43071 Providence, RI 02940-3071 (800) 257-8787

Legal Counsel Chapman and Cutler LLP Chicago, IL

Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Ernst & Young LLP Chicago, IL

Quarterly Portfolio of Investments and Proxy Voting Information

You may obtain (i) the Fund's quarterly portfolio of investments, (ii) information regarding how the Fund voted proxies relating to portfolio securities held during the most recent twelve-month period ended June 30, and (iii) a description of the policies and procedures that the Fund used to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities without charge, upon request, by calling Nuveen Investments toll-free at (800) 257-8787 or on Nuveen's website at www.nuveen.com.

You may also obtain this and other Fund information directly from the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The SEC may charge a copying fee for this information. Visit the SEC on-line at http://www.sec.gov or in person at the SEC's Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C. Call the SEC at (202) 942-8090 for room hours and operation. You may also request Fund information by sending an e-mail request to publicinfo@sec.gov or by writing to the SEC's Public References Section at 100 F Street NE, Washington, D.C. 20549.

CEO Certification Disclosure

The Fund's Chief Executive Officer has submitted to the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) the annual CEO certification as required by Section 303A.12(a) of the NYSE Listed Company Manual.

The Fund has filed with the SEC the certification of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer required by Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

Common Share Information

The Fund intends to repurchase shares of its own common stock in the future at such times and in such amounts as is deemed advisable. During the period covered by this report, the Fund repurchased shares of its common stock as shown in the accompanying table.

	Common
	Shares
Fund	Repurchased
NIM	_

Any future repurchases will be reported to shareholders in the next annual or semi-annual report.

Nuveen Investments: Serving Investors for Generations

Since 1898, financial advisors and their clients have relied on Nuveen Investments to provide dependable investment solutions through continued adherence to proven, long-term investing principles. Today, we offer a range of high quality equity and fixed-income solutions designed to be integral components of a well-diversified core portfolio.

Focused on meeting investor needs.

Nuveen Investments is a global investment management firm that seeks to help secure the long-term goals of institutions and high net worth investors as well as the consultants and financial advisors who serve them. We market our growing range of specialized investment solutions under the high-quality brands of HydePark, NWQ, Nuveen, Santa Barbara, Symphony, Tradewinds and Winslow Capital. In total, Nuveen Investments managed more than \$160 billion of assets on September 30, 2010.

Find out how we can help you.

To learn more about how the products and services of Nuveen Investments may be able to help you meet your financial goals, talk to your financial advisor, or call us at (800) 257-8787. Please read the information provided carefully before you invest. Investors should consider the investment objective and policies, risk considerations, charges and expenses of any investment carefully. Where applicable, be sure to obtain a prospectus, which contains this and other relevant information. To obtain a prospectus, please contact your securities representative or Nuveen Investments, 333 W. Wacker Dr., Chicago, IL 60606. Please read the prospectus carefully before you invest or send money.

Learn more about Nuveen Funds at: www.nuveen.com/cef

Nuveen makes things e-simple.

It only takes a minute to sign up for e-Reports. Once enrolled, you'll receive an e-mail as soon as your Nuveen Investments Fund information is ready—no more waiting for delivery by regular mail. Just click on the link within the e-mail to see the report and save it on your computer if you wish.

Free e-Reports right to your e-mail!

www.investordelivery.com

If you receive your Nuveen Fund distributions and statements from your financial advisor or brokerage account.

OR

www.nuveen.com/accountaccess

If you receive your Nuveen Fund distributions and statements directly from Nuveen.

Distributed by Nuveen Investments, LLC 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 www.nuveen.com

ESA-A-0910D

ITEM 2. CODE OF ETHICS.

Not applicable to this filing.

ITEM 3. AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT.

Not applicable to this filing.

ITEM 4. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES.

Not applicable to this filing.

ITEM 5. AUDIT COMMITTEE OF LISTED REGISTRANTS.

Not applicable to this filing.

ITEM 6. SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENTS.

- (a) See Portfolio of Investments in Item 1.
- (b) Not applicable.

ITEM 7. DISCLOSURE OF PROXY VOTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

Not applicable to this filing.

ITEM 8. PORTFOLIO MANAGERS OF CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES.

Not applicable to this filing.

ITEM 9. PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES BY CLOSED-END MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANY AND AFFILIATED PURCHASERS.

Not applicable.

ITEM 10. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant's Board of Directors or Trustees implemented after the registrant last provided disclosure in response to this Item.

ITEM 11. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

(a) The registrant's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, have concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the "1940 Act") (17 CFR 270.30a-3(c))) are effective, as of a date within 90 days of the filing date of this report that includes the disclosure required by this paragraph, based on their evaluation of the controls and procedures required by Rule 30a-3(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(b)) and Rules 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")(17

CFR 240.13a-15(b) or 240.15d-15(b)).

(b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-3(d)) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 12. EXHIBITS.

File the exhibits listed below as part of this Form.

- (a)(1) Any code of ethics, or amendment thereto, that is the subject of the disclosure required by Item 2, to the extent that the registrant intends to satisfy the Item 2 requirements through filing of an exhibit: Not applicable to this filing.
- (a)(2) A separate certification for each principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant as required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(a)) in the exact form set forth below: See Ex-99.CERT attached hereto.
- (a)(3) Any written solicitation to purchase securities under Rule 23c-1 under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.23c-1) sent or given during the period covered by the report by or on behalf of the registrant to 10 or more persons: Not applicable.
- (b) If the report is filed under Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, provide the certifications required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the 1940 Act (17 CFR 270.30a-2(b)); Rule 13a-14(b) or Rule 15d-14(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13a-14(b) or 240.15d-14(b)), and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code (18 U.S.C. 1350) as an exhibit. A certification furnished pursuant to this paragraph will not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. 78r), or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates it by reference: See Ex-99.906 CERT attached hereto.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

(Registrant) Nuveen Select Maturities Municipal Fund

By (Signature and Title) /s/ Kevin J. McCarthy Kevin J. McCarthy (Vice President and Secretary)

Date: December 8, 2010

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By (Signature and Title) /s/ Gifford R. Zimmerman Gifford R. Zimmerman Chief Administrative Officer (principal executive officer)

Date: December 8, 2010

By (Signature and Title) /s/ Stephen D. Foy Stephen D. Foy Vice President and Controller (principal financial officer)

Date: December 8, 2010