ALFACELL CORP Form 10-K October 29, 2001

U. S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

July 31, 2001 For the fiscal year ended

 $\begin{array}{c} 0\text{-}11088 \\ \text{Commission file number} \end{array}$

ALFACELL CORPORATION (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

22-2369085 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

225 Belleville Avenue, Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (973) 748-8082

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$.001 par value (Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or any amendment to this Form 10-K. []

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share, held by non-affiliates based upon the average of the high and low sale prices as reported by the OTC Bulletin Board on October 25, 2001 was \$12,279,537. As of October 25, 2001 there were 19,971,501 shares of common stock, par value \$.001 per share, outstanding.

The Index to Exhibits appears on page 23.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

None

Table of Contents

PART	Ι			Page
	Item	1	Business	1
	Item	2	Properties	9
	Item	3	Legal Proceedings	10
	Item	4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	10
PART	II			
	Item	5.	Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	10
	Item	6.	Selected Financial Data	11
	Item	7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	12
	Item	7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk	15
	Item	8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	15
	Item	9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	15
PART	III			
	Item	10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	16
	Item	11.	Executive Compensation	18
	Item	12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	21
	Item	13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	22
PART	IV			
	Item	14.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules, and Reports on Form 8-K	23

The following trademark appear in this Annual Report: ONCONASE(R) is the registered trademark of Alfacell Corporation, exclusively for the anti-cancer indications.

2

Information contained herein contains, in addition to historical information, forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, regarding our financial position,

potential, business strategy, plans and objectives for future operations are "forward-looking statements." These statements are commonly identified by the use of forward-looking terms and phrases such as "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "may," "seeks," "should," or "will" or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy. Actual future results may vary from expectations set forth in these forward-looking statements. The matters set forth in Exhibit 99.1 hereto constitute cautionary statements identifying important factors with respect to these forward-looking statements, including certain risks and uncertainties, that could cause actual results to vary significantly from the future results indicated in these forward-looking statements. Other factors could also cause actual results to differ significantly from the future results indicated in these forward-looking statements.

Part I

Item 1. BUSINESS.

Overview

We are a biopharmaceutical company, organized in 1981, primarily engaged in the discovery and development of new drugs for the treatment of cancer and other pathological conditions. In 1987, we completed the molecular characterization (which is the determination of the molecular structure and other physical and chemical characteristics) of a specific protein, which we named P-30 Protein. In October 1998, the United States Adapted Names Council adapted the name ranpirnase as the United States adaptive name for P-30 Protein, which we have trademarked as ONCONASE (R).

ONCONASE(R), which has been isolated from the eggs of the leopard frog, is a novel ribonuclease that is unique among the superfamily of pancreatic ribonuclease. We have determined that, thus far, ranpirnase is the smallest known protein belonging to the superfamily of pancreatic ribonuclease. Ribonucleases are enzymes that break certain bonds of ribonucleic acids. Ribonucleases serve several important biological functions in nature, including regulation of angiogenesis, which is the formation of new blood vessels, anti-viral and anti-parasitic defenses, and restrictive pollination in plants. In addition to taking advantage of the natural biological functions of ribonucleases, frog ribonucleases may be more therapeutically effective in humans than human ribonuclease as they do not appear to be inhibited by human ribonuclease inhibitors. Therefore, the development of amphibian ribonucleases into therapeutics may result in a new class of compounds for the treatment of diseases such as cancer and AIDS.

Based on our preclinical and clinical testing, we believe that ${\tt ONCONASE}(R)$ and related compounds may have utility:

- o as a single agent,
- o in combination with other anti-cancer agents,
- o as the active ingredient in a targeted conjugate, which is a new compound resulting from chemically joining two different molecules with targeted specificity, and
- o in a variety of delivery systems.

During clinical trials, patients with advanced stages of solid tumors have been treated with ONCONASE(R) on a weekly basis. Data from these clinical trials show that the most significant clinical results to date have been observed in unresectable malignant mesothelioma, an inoperable cancer found in the lining of the lung and abdomen. Unresectable malignant mesothelioma is often linked to

asbestos exposure and afflicts approximately 3,500 to 5,000 newly diagnosed patients in the United States each year. Epidemiologists have predicted that over the next 35 years over 250,000 people will die from malignant mesothelioma in Europe alone. There is currently no standard approved drug for this disease. We have also conducted pilot clinical trials in non-small cell lung cancer,

3

metastatic breast cancer and renal cell cancer. We intend to initiate trials of ${\tt ONCONASE}\left(R \right)$ in other cancer indications.

Side effects associated with ONCONASE(R), as observed in over 700 patients treated to date, have been modest. The most significant side effect has been in kidney function, which has been observed to be reversible upon the reduction of dose or temporary or permanent discontinuation of treatment. Patients treated with ONCONASE(R) have shown little evidence of bone marrow suppression, hair loss or other severe organ damage frequently observed after treatment with most other chemotherapeutic drugs. This safety profile may result from the fact that ranpirnase is structurally similar to several human ribonucleases.

We are currently conducting a two-part Phase III clinical trial of ONCONASE(R) as a treatment for malignant mesothelioma. The first part compares ONCONASE(R) to doxorubicin in patients with unresectable malignant mesothelioma. Doxorubicin is considered by opinion leaders to be the most effective drug for the treatment of malignant mesothelioma. The second part of the trial compares the combination of ONCONASE(R) and doxorubicin versus doxorubicin by itself. The patient enrollment for the first part of the clinical trial has been completed however, the trial is still ongoing. The second part of the trial is still in the enrollment phase.

We have had a series of meetings with the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to establish mutually agreed upon parameters for the New Drug Application (NDA) to obtain marketing approval for ONCONASE(R). In order to file the NDA, we have to complete the current clinical trial, as well as provide the FDA with information regarding the methods used to manufacture ONCONASE(R), evaluation of the therapeutic and toxic doses of ONCONASE(R) in animals and studies regarding the detection of ONCONASE(R) in human blood and antibody formation. We cannot estimate if or when we will file the NDA. Even if we file an NDA, marketing approval for ONCONASE(R) as a treatment for malignant mesothelioma may not be granted by the FDA.

In February 2001, we received an Orphan Medicinal Product Designation for ONCONASE(R) from The European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products (EMEA). We are currently in discussions with the EMEA regarding the Marketing Authorization Application (MAA) registration requirements of ONCONASE(R) for the treatment of malignant mesothelioma. We must establish or designate a legal partner in the European Union (EU), which is considered to be a qualified pharmaceutical company (has qualified individuals) for at least three months prior to filing the MAA. We cannot predict whether this marketing approval will be granted.

We have established a scientific collaboration with the National Cancer Institute (NCI). This collaboration has produced a conjugate, or chemical construct, of ranpirnase with a monoclonal antibody that demonstrated activity in treating non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in preclinical studies. Additionally, preclinical studies are ongoing at the NCI in preparation for commencing clinical trials for the treatment of patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma with this new conjugate.

We believe that ranpirnase may also be used in the development of an anti-viral agent. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) have performed an independent in vitro screen of ONCONASE(R) against the HIV virus type 1. In vitro studies are those performed in artificial laboratory vessels. The results showed ONCONASE(R) to inhibit replication of HIV by up to 99.9% after a four-day incubation period at concentrations not toxic to uninfected cells. In vitro findings by the NIH revealed that ONCONASE(R) significantly inhibited production of HIV in several persistently infected human cell lines, preferentially breaking down viral RNA and cellular transfer RNA while not affecting normal cellular ribosomal RNA and messenger RNAs. Moreover, the NIH - Division of AIDS found that ONCONASE(R) has in vitro anti-viral effects. Subject to the availability of the required capital, we plan to conduct further research concerning anti-viral effects.

4

Other Products

We have also discovered another series of proteins that may have therapeutic uses. These proteins appear to be involved in the regulation of both early embryonic and malignant cell growth. However, it will require significant additional research and funding to develop these proteins into therapeutics. At this time, we are unable to fund such research and we do not know if we will be able to raise sufficient capital in the future for such research; however, we are in early discussions with potential collaborators for the development of these new compounds.

Research and Development Programs

Research and development expenses for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999 were \$1,901,000, \$1,880,000, and \$2,402,000, respectively. Our research and development programs focus primarily on the development of therapeutics from amphibian ribonucleases. Because ribonucleases have been shown to be involved in the regulation of cell proliferation, maturation, differentiation and programmed cell death known as apoptosis, ribonucleases may be ideal candidates for the development of therapeutics for the treatment of cancer and other life-threatening diseases, including HIV infection, that require anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic properties.

Our research and development programs relate to the development of drugs to treat the following cancers and other diseases:

- o unresectable malignant mesothelioma,
- o renal cell carcinoma,
- o other cancers (epithelial malignancies),
- o non-Hodgkin's lymphoma,
- o primary brain tumors,
- o viral diseases,
- o anti-inflammatory diseases, and
- o other pathological conditions such as organ transplantation.

We are pursuing some of these programs independently, while others are being undertaken in collaboration with the NIH and other institutions.

Clinical Development and Clinical Trials

ONCONASE(R) has been tested in Phase I, Phase II and Phase III clinical trials in more than 35 cancer centers across the United States and Europe, including major centers such as Columbia-Presbyterian, University of Chicago, M.D. Anderson and Cedars-Sinai Cancer Centers. Due to limited capital, we have been very selective in our product development strategy, which is focused on the use

of ONCONASE(R) alone or in combination with drugs which have shown evidence of preclinical and clinical efficacy on tumor types for which median survivals are typically less than a year and there are few or no approved treatments.

ONCONASE(R) has been tested as a single agent in patients with a variety of solid tumors and in combination with tamoxifen in patients with prostate cancer, advanced pancreatic cancer and renal cell carcinoma.

ONCONASE(R) is currently in a Phase III clinical trial for unresectable malignant mesothelioma, comparing ONCONASE(R) alone to doxorubicin and comparing ONCONASE(R) with doxorubicin to doxorubicin alone. The trial is a randomized, controlled study. No standard approved therapy exists to treat this deadly cancer, and most advanced, unresectable malignant mesothelioma patients die of progressive disease within six to 12 months of diagnosis.

5

We have had a series of meetings with the FDA to establish mutually agreed upon parameters for the NDA to obtain marketing approval for ONCONASE(R). In February 2001, we received an Orphan Medicinal Product Designation for ONCONASE(R) from the EMEA. We are currently in discussions with the EMEA on the MAA registration requirements for ONCONASE(R) for the malignant mesothelioma indication. We cannot predict whether marketing approval of ONCONASE(R) for the treatment of unresectable malignant mesothelioma will be granted by the FDA or foreign regulatory agencies.

ONCONASE(R) has demonstrated to be synergistic with tamoxifen in inhibiting tumor cell growth in prostate and renal cell cancers in in vitro tests. We completed Phase I/II clinical studies testing ONCONASE(R) in combination with tamoxifen in prostate cancer and renal cell carcinoma. Reported toxicities in these trials were primarily renal, dose-related and reversible. There has been little evidence of bone marrow suppression, hair loss or other severe organ damage frequently observed after treatment with most other chemotherapeutic drugs. We intend to proceed with prostate and renal cell cancer research in the future either on our own or in collaboration with others however, at this time we are not certain if we will have the financings for such research.

A collaboration with the NCI has produced a conjugate of ranpirnase with a monoclonal antibody which has been deemed active in vivo for non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. The conjugate is currently being evaluated by the NCI for clinical trials.

Preclinical Research

The results of our preclinical research have been presented at scientific meetings or have been published in peer-reviewed journals.

In Vitro Anti-Cancer Activity

ONCONASE(R) has demonstrated a broad spectrum of anti-tumor effects in vitro. The NCI Cancer Screen has determined that ONCONASE(R) kills cancer cells, therefore, was judged to be what is called an "active" drug in accordance with NCI criteria. Scientists at Harvard Medical School demonstrated in vitro that ONCONASE(R) interferes with new blood vessel formation in tumors.

In vitro, ONCONASE(R), in combination with other drugs shown below, has been shown to have a synergistic effect, which means that the effect of ONCONASE(R) with these drugs acting together is greater than if used alone with respect to the following:

Drug Combination

Cancer

ONCONASE(R) + Tamoxifen Prostatic, Ovarian, Renal Cell Carcinoma

ONCONASE(R) + Phenothiazine Non-Small Cell Lung Carcinoma

ONCONASE(R) + Lovastatin Non-Small Cell Lung Carcinoma, Ovarian

ONCONASE(R) + Cisplatin Ovarian

ONCONASE(R) + All-trans-retionoic acid Glioma

6

Drug Combination Cancer

ONCONASE(R) + Vincristine Colorectal, Breast

ONCONASE(R) + Doxorubicin Colorectal, Resistant Breast

ONCONASE(R) + Taxol Resistant Breast

In Vivo Anti-Cancer Activity

There is in vivo data which indicates that the use of ONCONASE(R) with the following drugs is synergistic:

- o vincristine,
- o doxorubicin, and
- o tamoxifen.

These synergisms suggest a potential for broader therapeutic utility of ONCONASE(R) in cancer treatments. The NCI reported the ability of ONCONASE(R) to overcome multiple drug resistance as well as other forms of drug resistance (referring to a drug that no longer kills cancer cells) both in vitro and in vivo.

 ${\tt ONCONASE}\,({\tt R}) \ \, {\tt as} \ \, {\tt a} \ \, {\tt Radiosensitizing} \ \, {\tt and} \ \, {\tt Anti-Angiogenic} \ \, {\tt Agent}$

Collaborative research at the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Camden and the University of Pennsylvania Medical Center, Department of Radiation Oncology, demonstrated that ranpirnase makes tumors more susceptible to be killed by radiation treatment and interferes with tumor blood vessel supply.

Ranpirnase Conjugates and Fusion Proteins

In addition to using it in its native form, we are conjugating, or chemically linking, ranpirnase with targeting molecules, resulting in various conjugates, to ensure its delivery to specific tissue targets. Several conjugates are being developed by the NIH in collaboration with our scientists and have demonstrated significant anti-tumor activity, reflecting significant prolongation of survival of treated animals as compared to untreated animals. In addition, we are synthesizing several genes of ranpirnase, its variants and other amphibian ribonucleases using recombinant, or cloning, technologies. We intend to use these genes to develop novel therapeutics that selectively target specific tumors. Production of these engineered genes and products may also lead to their use in gene therapy and other therapeutic applications in cancer and other diseases.

Ranpirnase Variant Conjugates

We have developed a variant of ranpirnase and conjugated, or linked, it to a variety of clinically important proteins and peptides. These conjugates are designed to specifically target selected molecular structures in the body. These conjugates may have therapeutic applications in the treatment of anti-inflammatory diseases, such as arthritis, and other autoimmune diseases.

Proteasome Inhibitors

Cyclins and cyclin-dependent kinases are two major groups of protein regulators of the cell cycle progression. This means that each dividing cell, such as a tumor (malignant) cell, undergoes cyclical metabolic and morphological (structural) changes which are defined as the cell cycle. Cancer can be defined as the uncontrolled growth and proliferation of cells often associated with a de-regulated pattern of cell growth maturation and division. In vitro

7

studies of ONCONASE(R) have shown its ability to interrupt cell cycle progression. Given that ONCONASE(R) and proteasome inhibitors both have been shown in vitro to modulate fundamental mechanisms governing tumor cell growth, proliferation and death, we are testing ONCONASE(R) and proteasome inhibitors in combination and have discovered synergistic anti-tumor effects. We believe that a new class of anti-cancer compounds can be developed combining ranpirnase and its variants with proteasome inhibitors.

HIV Infection

The drugs currently approved in the United States for the treatment of the HIV infection consist primarily of reverse transcriptase inhibitors and protease inhibitors. There is an extremely high rate of resistance developing to several currently available anti-viral drugs, primarily due to the exponentially increasing rate of mutations of HIV that occur during infection and to patients not taking drugs as prescribed.

Experimental data shows that anti-HIV effects of ONCONASE(R) are quite selective, resulting in a likelihood to inhibit replication of the different HIV-1 subtypes. In vitro studies have been performed by independent scientific collaborators, including the NIH - Division of AIDS. Ranpirnase is an enzyme highly specialized in the breakdown of RNA molecules and might be an effective anti-HIV agent, irrespective of viral mutations that render other antiviral agents ineffective.

We do not currently possess the funds necessary to conduct further research relating to most, if not all, of our preclinical research and cannot be certain that we will be able to obtain the financing to do so.

Raw Materials

The major active ingredient derived from leopard frog eggs is the protein ranpirnase. Although we currently acquire our natural source material from a single supplier, we believe that it is abundantly available from other sources. We have sufficient egg inventory on hand to produce enough ONCONASE(R) to complete the current Phase III clinical trial for malignant mesothelioma and supply ONCONASE(R) for up to two years after commercialization. In addition, we have successfully completed the cloning of the gene of the natural protein ranpirnase; however, the use of this recombinant technology may not be more cost

effective than the natural source.

Manufacturing

We have signed an agreement with Scientific Protein Laboratories, a subsidiary of a division of American Home Products Corp., which will perform the intermediary manufacturing process of purifying ranpirnase. Scientific Protein Laboratories sends the intermediate product to a contract filler for the final manufacturing step and vial filling. Other than these arrangements, we do not have specific arrangements for the manufacture of our product. Products manufactured for use in Phase III clinical trials and for commercial sale must be manufactured in compliance with Current Good Manufacturing Practices. Both Scientific Protein Laboratories and the contract filler to whom the intermediate product is sent, manufacture in accordance with Current Good Manufacturing Practices. For the foreseeable future, we intend to rely on these manufacturers, or substitute manufacturers, if necessary, to manufacture our product. We might not be able to find substitute manufacturers, if necessary. We are dependent upon our contract manufacturers to comply with Current Good Manufacturing Practices and to meet our production requirements. It is possible that our contract manufacturers may not comply with Current Good Manufacturing Practices or timely deliver sufficient quantities of our products.

Marketing

Neither we nor any of our officers or employees has pharmaceutical marketing experience. If we were to market our products ourselves, we would need significant additional expenditures and management resources to develop an internal sales force. We may not be able to successfully penetrate the markets for any products developed or develop internal marketing capabilities. We intend, in some instances, to enter into development and marketing

8

agreements with third parties. We expect that under such arrangements we would act as a co-marketing partner or would grant exclusive marketing rights to our corporate partners in return for possibly assuming further research and development cost, up-front fees, milestone payments and royalties on sales. Under these agreements, our marketing partner may have the responsibility for a significant portion of development of the product and regulatory approval. In the event that our marketing partner fails to develop a marketable product or fails to market a product successfully, our business may be adversely affected.

Government Regulation

The manufacturing and marketing of pharmaceutical products in the United States requires the approval of the FDA under the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act. Similar approvals by comparable regulatory agencies are required in most foreign countries. The FDA has established mandatory procedures and safety standards which apply to the clinical testing, manufacturing and marketing of pharmaceutical products. Obtaining FDA approval for a new therapeutic may take many years and involve substantial expenditures. State, local and other authorities also regulate pharmaceutical manufacturing facilities.

As an initial step in the FDA regulatory approval process, preclinical studies are conducted in laboratory dishes and animal models to assess the drug's efficacy and to identify potential safety problems. The results of these studies are submitted to the FDA as a part of the IND, which is filed to obtain approval to begin human clinical testing. The human clinical testing program typically involves up to three phases. Data from human trials as well as other regulatory requirements such as chemistry, manufacturing and controls, pharmacology and toxicology sections, are submitted to the FDA in an NDA or Biologics License

Application, or BLA. Preparing an NDA or BLA involves considerable data collection, verification and analysis. A similar process in accordance with EMEA regulations is required to gain marketing approval in Europe. Moreover, a commercial entity must be established and approved by the EMEA in a member state of the EU at least three months prior to filing the MAA in the EU in preparation for the commercialization of ONCONASE(R).

We have not received United States or other marketing approval for any of our product candidates and may not receive any approvals. We may encounter difficulties or unanticipated costs in our effort to secure necessary governmental approvals, which could delay or preclude us from marketing our products. With respect to patented products, delays imposed by the governmental approval process may materially reduce the period during which we may have the exclusive right to exploit them.

Patents

We believe it is important to develop new technology and to improve our existing technology. When appropriate, we file patent applications to protect inventions in which we have an ownership interest.

We own nine patents in the United States:

- O U.S. Patent No. 4,888,172, issued in 1989, which covers a pharmaceutical produced from fertilized frog eggs (Rana pipiens) and the methodology for producing it.
- O U.S. Patent No. 5,559,212, issued in 1996, which covers the amino acid sequence of ONCONASE(R).
- o U.S. Patents Nos. 5,529,775 and 5,540,925, issued in 1996, and U.S. Patent No. 5,595,734, issued in 1997, which cover combinations of ONCONASE(R) with certain other pharmaceuticals.
- o U.S. Patent No. 5,728,805, issued in 1998, which covers a family of variants of ONCONASE(R).
- o Patent No. US 6,175,003 B1, issued January 16, 2001, which covers the genes of ONCONASE(R) and a variant of ONCONASE(R).
- o Patent No. US 6,239,257 B1, issued on May 29, 2001, which covers a family of variants of ONCONASE(R).
- o Patent No. US 6,290,951 B1 issued in September 18, 2001, which covers alteration of the cell cycle in vivo, particularly for inducing apoptosis of tumor cells.

9

We own four European patents, which have been validated in certain European countries. These patents cover ONCONASE(R), a variant of ONCONASE(R), process technology for making ONCONASE(R), and combinations of ONCONASE(R) with certain other chemotherapeutics. We also have patent applications pending in the United States, Europe, and Japan. Additionally, we own one Japanese patent and have an undivided interest in two applications that are pending in the United States. Each of these applications relate to a Subject Invention (as that term is defined in CRADAs to which we and the NIH are parties).

The scope of protection afforded by patents for biotechnological inventions can be uncertain, and such uncertainty may apply to our patents as well. The patent applications we have filed, or that we may file in the future, may not result in patents. Our patents may not give us competitive advantages, may be wholly or partially invalidated or held unenforceable, or may be held uninfringed by products that compete with our products. Patents owned by others may adversely affect our ability to do business. Furthermore, others may independently develop products that are similar to our products or that duplicate our products, and may design around the claims of our patents. Although we believe that our

patents and patent applications are of substantial value to us, we cannot assure you that such patents and patent applications will be of commercial benefit to us, will adequately protect us from competing products or will not be challenged, declared invalid, or declared uninfringed. We also rely on proprietary know-how and on trade secrets to develop and maintain our competitive position. Others may independently develop or obtain access to such know-how or trade secrets. Although our employees and consultants having access to proprietary information are required to sign agreements which require them to keep such information confidential, our employees or consultants may breach these agreements or these agreements may be held to be unenforceable.

Competition

Currently, there are no approved systemic treatments for malignant mesothelioma. To our knowledge, no other company is developing a product with the same mechanism of action as ONCONASE(R). There are several companies, universities, research teams and scientists, both private and government-sponsored, which engage in research similar, or potentially similar, to that performed by us. These include Eli Lilly and Sugen which are developing agents for the malignant mesothelioma indication. Eli Lilly is conducting a Phase III trial of the combination of the multi-targeted antifolate (MTA) with cisplatin vs. cisplatin alone, and Sugen is conducting a Phase II trial of the VEGF antagonist. There is no comparable survival data available on the foregoing studies at this time.

Some of our competitors have far greater financial resources, larger research staffs and more extensive physical facilities. These competitors may develop products that are more effective than ours and may be more successful than us at producing and marketing their products. We are not aware, however, of any product currently being marketed that has the same mechanism of action as our proposed anti-tumor agent, ONCONASE(R). Search of scientific literature reveals no published information which would indicate that others are currently employing this method or producing such an anti-tumor agent. There are several chemotherapeutic agents currently used to treat the forms of cancer which ONCONASE(R) is being used to treat. ONCONASE(R) may not prove to be as safe and as effective as currently-used drugs. Others may develop new treatments which are more effective than ONCONASE(R).

Employees

As of October 26, 2001, we employed 14 persons, of whom 11 were engaged in research and development activities and three were engaged in administration and management. We have five employees who hold Ph.D. or M.D. degrees. All of our employees are covered by confidentiality agreements. We consider relations with our employees to be very good. None of our employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement.

10

Environmental Matters

Our operations are subject to comprehensive regulation with respect to environmental, safety and similar matters by the United States Environmental Protection Agency and similar state and local agencies. Failure to comply with applicable laws, regulations and permits can result in injunctive actions, damages and civil and criminal penalties. If we expand or change our existing operations or propose any new operations, we may need to obtain additional or amend existing permits or authorizations. We spend time, effort and funds in operating our facilities to ensure compliance with environmental and other regulatory requirements. Such efforts and expenditures are common throughout the biotechnology industry and generally should have no material adverse effect on

our financial condition. The principal environmental regulatory requirements and matters known to us requiring or potentially requiring capital expenditures by us do not appear likely, individually or in the aggregate, to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition. We believe that we are in compliance with all current laws and regulations.

Item 2. PROPERTIES.

We lease a total of approximately 17,000 square feet in an industrial office building located in Bloomfield, New Jersey. We lease the facility under a five-year operating lease which is due to expire December 31, 2001. We will be negotiating our new lease agreement under similar terms. The annual rental obligation is \$136,000. We believe that the facility is sufficient for our needs in the foreseeable future.

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

We are presently not involved in any legal proceedings.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

None.

Part II

Item 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

Our common stock is traded on the OTC Bulletin Board, or OTCBB, under the symbol "ACEL". At the close of business April 27, 1999, we were delisted from The Nasdaq SmallCap Market, or Nasdaq, for failing to meet the minimum bid price requirements set forth in the NASD Marketplace Rules. As of October 25, 2001, there were approximately 1,216 stockholders of record of our common stock.

The following table sets forth the range of high and low sale prices of our common stock for the two fiscal years ended July 31, 2001 and 2000. The prices were obtained from OTCBB and are believed to be representative of inter-dealer quotations, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

			High	Low
Year	Ended July 31,	2001:		
	First Quarter		\$1.56	\$0.75
	Second Quarter		1.38	0.53
	Third Quarter		2.19	0.72
	Fourth Quarter		1.59	0.81
Year	Ended July 31,	2000:		
	First Quarter		0.94	0.41
	Second Quarter		1.94	0.38
			1.1	
			11	
			High	Low

Year Ended July 31, 2000:

Third Quarter 3.88 0.72
Fourth Quarter 2.63 0.69

We have not paid dividends on our common stock since inception and we do not plan to pay dividends in the foreseeable future. Any earnings we may realize will be retained to finance our growth.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

In April and July 2001, we sold an aggregate of 529,999 shares of common stock to private investors at a price of \$0.90 per share resulting in gross proceeds of \$477,000. In addition, the private investors were granted three-year and five-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 529,999 shares of common stock at per share exercise prices ranging from \$1.50 to \$2.50. These transactions were consummated as a private sale pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

In July 2001, we issued 330,000 shares of common stock upon the conversion of convertible notes by related parties. In addition, upon conversion, the related parties were granted three-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 330,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.50 per share. These transactions were consummated as a private sale pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

In August 2001, we sold an aggregate of 115,000 shares of common stock to private investors at a price of \$0.90 per share resulting in gross proceeds of \$103,500. In addition, the private investors were granted five-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 103,500 shares of common stock at per share exercise price of \$1.50. These transactions were consummated as a private sale pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Item 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA.

Set forth below is the selected financial data for our company for the five fiscal years ended July 31.

				Year	Enc	led July 31	,			
		2001		2000		1999		1998		1997
Interest Income Net Loss (1) Net Loss Per Basic and Diluted Share Dividends	\$ \$(2, \$	•	\$(1,	•	\$ (3	168,372 3,156,636) (.18) None	\$ (6		\$ (5	•
		2001		 2000 		of July 31, 1999		 1998 		 1997

Total Assets \$ 201,609 \$ 488,099 \$ 1,728,648 \$ 5,516,678 \$ 8,034,954

Long-term Debt	\$ 23,663	\$ 30,251	None	\$	6,727	\$	15,902
Total Equity							
(Deficiency)	\$ (740 , 378)	\$ (131,860)	\$ 757 , 200	\$ 3	,691,838	\$ 5	,566,091

(1) Included in the net loss of \$2,294,936 for fiscal year ended July 31, 2001 and \$1,722,298 for fiscal year ended July 31, 2000 are tax benefits of \$451,395 and \$755,854, respectively related to the sale of certain state tax operating loss carryforwards.

12

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Overview

Since our inception, we have devoted the majority of our resources to the research and development of ${\tt ONCONASE}\left({\tt R} \right)$. After we obtained the results of our preliminary analysis of the Phase III clinical trial results for advanced pancreatic cancer, we closed the pancreatic cancer trials and redirected our resources towards the completion of the ongoing Phase III clinical trial for unresectable malignant mesothelioma. We have had a series of meetings and communications with the FDA and EMEA to establish mutually agreed upon parameters for the NDA/MAA submissions. We must complete the current clinical trial for the FDA filing, as well as provide the FDA and EMEA with information regarding the methods used to manufacture ONCONASE(R), evaluation of the therapeutic and toxic doses of ONCONASE(R) in animals and studies regarding the detection of ONCONASE(R) in human blood and antibody formation. Additionally, for the MAA submission, we must establish or designate a legal partner in the EU, which is considered to be a qualified pharmaceutical company (has qualified individuals) for at least three months prior to filing the MAA. We are also exploring various strategic alternatives for our business and our research and development operations.

We are currently funding the research and development of our products from cash receipts resulting from the private sales of our securities and from certain debt financings. The termination of the Phase III clinical trials for advanced pancreatic cancer had a significant and detrimental impact on the price of our common stock and our ability to raise additional capital for future operations. We may not have, or may not be able to obtain, the financial resources required to pay for all the associated costs of the malignant mesothelioma program to file a Unites States and/or foreign registration for the marketing approval of ONCONASE(R) for this indication.

Results of Operations

Fiscal Years Ended July 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999

Revenues

We are a development stage company as defined in the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 7. We are devoting substantially all our present efforts to establishing a new business and developing new drug products. Our planned principal operations of marketing and/or licensing of new drugs have not commenced and, accordingly, we have not derived any significant revenue from these operations. We focus most of our productive and financial resources on the development of ONCONASE(R). We did not have any sales in fiscal 2001, 2000 and 1999. Investment income for fiscal 2001 was \$13,000 compared to \$51,000 for fiscal 2000, a decrease of \$38,000. This

decrease was due to lower balances of cash and cash equivalents. Investment income for fiscal 2000 was \$51,000 compared to \$168,000 for fiscal 1999, a decrease of \$117,000. This decrease was due to lower balances of cash and cash equivalents.

Research and Development

Research and development expense for fiscal 2001 was \$1,901,000 compared to \$1,880,000 for fiscal 2000, an increase of \$21,000, or 1%. This increase was primarily due to an increase in costs in support of ongoing clinical trials and increase in costs related to ONCONASE(R) clinical supplies, both primarily due to the expansion of our Phase III clinical trials for malignant mesothelioma in Europe. These increases were offset by a decrease in expenses related to the NDA filing for ONCONASE(R) with the FDA.

Research and development expense for fiscal 2000 was \$1,880,000 compared to \$2,402,000 for fiscal 1999, a decrease of \$522,000, or 22%. This decrease was primarily due to an 80% decrease in costs in support of ongoing clinical trials, primarily due to lower clinical costs related to the Phase III clinical trials for malignant mesothelioma

13

and pancreatic cancer, an 82% decrease in costs related to the preclinical research studies of ONCONASE(R) and a 44% decrease in costs related to the manufacture of clinical supplies of ONCONASE(R). These decreases were offset by an increase in expenses in preparation of an NDA filing for ONCONASE(R) and an 82% increase in expenses associated with the new patent and trademark applications for ONCONASE(R).

General and Administrative

General and administrative expense for fiscal 2001 was \$706,000 compared to \$645,000 for fiscal 2000, an increase of \$61,000, or 9%. This increase was primarily due to a 58% increase in costs related to public relations activities, a 30% increase in non-cash expense relating to stock options issued for consulting services, a 12% increase in personnel costs and an 87% increase in costs associated with business development activities.

General and administrative expense for fiscal 2000 was \$645,000 compared to \$921,000 for fiscal 1999, a decrease of \$276,000, or 29%. This decrease was primarily due to a 45% reduction in administrative personnel costs, primarily due to the resignation of our chief financial officer, a 46% decrease in consulting fees and a 55% decrease in public relations expenses, offset by a \$20,000 increase in legal fees.

Interest

Interest expense for fiscal 2001 was \$153,000 compared to \$5,000 in fiscal 2000, an increase of \$148,000. The increase was primarily due to the interest expense on convertible notes and related warrants issued in April 2001 to related and unrelated parties. The interest expense was based on the value of the warrants using the Black-Scholes options-pricing model, amortized on a straight-line basis over the life of the notes.

Interest expense for fiscal 2000 was \$5,000 compared to \$2,000 in fiscal 1999, an increase of \$3,000. The increase was primarily due to the financing of office equipment during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2000.

Income Taxes

New Jersey has enacted legislation permitting certain corporations located in New Jersey to sell state tax loss carryforwards and state research and development credits or tax benefits. For the state fiscal year 2001 (July 1, 2000 to June 30, 2001), we have \$1,774,000 total available tax benefits of which \$602,000 was allocated to be sold between July 1, 2000 and June 30, 2001. In December 2000, we received \$451,000 from the sale of an aggregate of \$602,000 tax benefits which was recognized as a tax benefit for our fiscal 2001. In December 1999, we received \$756,000 from the sale of our tax benefits which was recognized as a tax benefit for our fiscal 2000. We will attempt to sell the remaining balance of our tax benefits in the amount of approximately \$1,172,000 between July 1, 2001 and June 30, 2002, subject to all existing laws of the State of New Jersey. However, we may not be able to find a buyer for our tax benefits or that such funds may not be available in a timely manner.

Net Loss

We have incurred net losses during each year since our inception. The net loss for fiscal 2001 was \$2,295,000 as compared to \$1,722,000 in fiscal 2000 and \$3,157,000 in fiscal 1999. The cumulative loss from the date of inception, August 24, 1981, to July 31, 2001 amounted to \$58,971,000. Such losses are attributable to the fact that we are still in the development stage and accordingly have not derived sufficient revenues from operations to offset the development stage expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have financed our operations since inception primarily through equity and debt financing, research product sales and interest income. During the fiscal year 2001, we had a net decrease in cash and cash equivalents of \$213,000.

14

This decrease primarily resulted from net cash used in operating activities of \$1,613,000 offset by net cash provided by financing activities in the amount of \$1,400,000, primarily from the private placement of common stock and warrants and proceeds from the exercise of stock options. Total cash resources as of July 31,2001 were \$45,000 compared to \$257,000 at July 31,2000.

Our current liabilities as of July 31, 2001 were \$918,000 compared to \$590,000 at July 31, 2000, an increase of \$328,000. The increase was primarily due to an increase in expenses related to the expansion of our Phase III clinical trials for malignant mesothelioma in Europe. As of July 31, 2001 our current liabilities exceeded our current assets and we had a working capital deficit of \$831,000.

New Jersey has enacted legislation permitting certain corporations located in New Jersey to sell state tax loss carryforwards and state research and development credits or tax benefits. For the state fiscal year 2001 (July 1, 2000 to June 30, 2001), we have \$1,774,000 total available tax benefits of which \$602,000 was allocated to be sold between July 1, 2000 and June 30, 2001. In December 2000, we received \$451,000 from the sale of an aggregate of \$602,000 tax benefits which was recognized as a tax benefit for our fiscal 2001. In December 1999, we received \$756,000 from the sale of our tax benefits which was recognized as a tax benefit for our fiscal 2000. We will attempt to sell the remaining balance of our tax benefits in the amount of approximately \$1,172,000 between July 1, 2001 and June 30, 2002, subject to all existing laws of the State of New Jersey. However, we may not be able to find a buyer for our tax benefits or that such funds may not be available in a timely manner.

Our continued operations will depend on our ability to raise additional funds through various potential sources such as equity and debt financing, collaborative agreements, strategic alliances, sale of tax benefits, revenues from the commercial sale of ONCONASE(R) and our ability to realize the full potential of our technology and our drug candidates. Such additional funds may not become available as we need them or be available on acceptable terms. To date, a significant portion of our financing has been through private placements of common stock and warrants, the issuance of common stock for stock options and warrants exercised and for services rendered, debt financing and financing provided by our Chief Executive Officer. Additionally, we have raised capital through the sale of our tax benefits. Until our operations generate significant revenues, we will continue to fund operations from cash on hand and through the sources of capital previously described. From August through October 2001, we received gross proceeds of approximately \$178,500 from the private placement of various individual investors and \$100,000 from a note payable upon demand from an unrelated party. After taking into account these net proceeds and the possible proceeds from the sale of the balance of our tax benefits, we believe that our cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs through January 2002. If we are unable to obtain funds from the sale of our tax benefits in a timely basis, our current cash reserves will be exhausted in December 2001. The report of our independent auditors on our financial statements includes an explanatory paragraph which states that our recurring losses, working capital deficit and limited liquid resources raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

We will continue to incur costs in conjunction with our U.S. and foreign registrations for marketing approval of ONCONASE(R). We are currently in discussions with several potential strategic alliance partners including major international biopharmaceutical companies to further the development and marketing of ONCONASE(R) and other related products in our pipeline. However, we cannot be certain that any such alliances will materialize.

Our common stock was delisted from The Nasdaq SmallCap Market effective at the close of business April 27, 1999 for failing to meet the minimum bid price requirements set forth in the NASD Marketplace Rules. Since April 28, 1999, our common stock has traded on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "ACEL". Delisting of our common stock from Nasdaq could have a material adverse effect on our ability to raise additional capital, our stockholders' liquidity and the price of our common stock.

The market price of our common stock is volatile, and the price of the stock could be dramatically affected one way or another depending on numerous factors. The market price of our common stock could also be materially affected by the marketing approval or lack of approval of ONCONASE(R).

15

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Not Applicable.

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

The response to this Item is submitted as a separate section of this report commencing on Page F-1.

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

On December 1, 1993, certain shareholders of Armus Harrison & Co., or AHC, terminated their association with AHC, or the AHC termination, and AHC ceased performing accounting and auditing services, except for limited accounting services to be performed on our behalf. In June 1996, AHC dissolved and ceased all operations. The report of KPMG LLP with respect to our financial statements from inception to July 31, 2000 is based on the report of AHC for the period from inception to July 31, 1992, although AHC has not consented to the use of such report herein and will not be available to perform any subsequent review procedures with respect to such report. Accordingly, investors will be barred from asserting claims against AHC under Section 11 of the Securities Act on the basis of the use of such report in any registration statement into which such report is incorporated by reference. In addition, in the event any persons seek to assert a claim against AHC for false or misleading financial statements and disclosures in documents previously filed by us, such claim will be adversely affected and possibly barred. Furthermore, as a result of the lack of a consent from AHC to the use of its audit report herein, or to its incorporation by reference into a registration statement, our officers and directors will be unable to rely on the authority of AHC as experts in auditing and accounting in the event any claim is brought against such persons under Section 11 of the Securities Act based on alleged false and misleading Financial Statements and disclosures attributable to AHC. The discussion regarding certain effects of the AHC termination is not meant and should not be construed in any way as legal advice to any party and any potential purchaser should consult with his, her or its own counsel with respect to the effect of the AHC termination on a potential investment in our common stock or otherwise.

Part III

Item 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT.

Name	Age	Director Since	Position with the Company
Kuslima Shogen	56	1981	Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Office Acting Chief Financial Officer
Stanislaw M. Mikulski, M.D.	57	1986	Executive Vice President, Medical Director and Director
Stephen K. Carter, M.D.(1)	63	1997	Director and Chairman of the Scientific Advis
Donald R. Conklin (1)(2)	65	1997	Director
Martin F. Stadler (1)(2)	59	1997	Director
		.=======	

⁽¹⁾ Member of Compensation Committee

16

Business Experience of Directors and Executive Officers

⁽²⁾ Member of Audit Committee

Kuslima Shogen has served as our Chief Executive Officer since September 1986, as Chairman of the Board since August 1996, as a Director since our inception and as Acting Chief Financial Officer since June 23, 1999. She also served as our Chief Financial Officer from September 1986 through July 1994 and as our President from September 1986 through July 1996. Ms. Shogen formed the company in 1981 to pursue research that she had initiated while a biology student in the University Honors Program at Fairleigh Dickenson University. Prior to our founding, from 1976 to 1981 she was founder and president of a biomedical research consortium specializing in Good Laboratory Practices and animal toxicology. During that time, she also served as a consultant for the Lever Brothers Research Group. Ms. Shogen has received numerous awards for achievements in biology, including the Sigma Xi first prize from the Scientific Research Society of North America in 1974 and first prize for the most outstanding research paper in biology at the Eastern College Science Conferences competitions in 1972, 1973, and 1974. She earned a B.S. degree in 1974 and an M.S. degree in 1976 in biology from Fairleigh Dickenson University, or FDU, and also completed graduate studies in 1978 in embryology. She is a Phi Beta Kappa graduate. In April 1998, Ms. Shogen received the Pinnacle Award from FDU, the highest honor the University bestows on its graduates.

Stanislaw M. Mikulski, M.D., F.A.C.P. has served as our Executive Vice President and Medical Director since 1987 and as a Director since 1986. Prior to his affiliation with us, Dr. Mikulski was Special Assistant to the Chief of the Investigational Drug Branch of the National Cancer Institute, and the Coordinator for Immunotherapy Trials in Cancer for the Division of Cancer Treatment. Prior to joining us, he maintained a private practice in medical oncology for over eight years. He is a diplomate of the American Board of Internal Medicine and Medical Oncology as well as a Fellow of the American College of Physicians and a member of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, The American Association for Cancer Research and the American Association for the Advancement of Science. Dr. Mikulski is currently a clinical assistant Professor of Medicine at the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey. He received his M.D. in 1967 from the Medical School of Warsaw, Poland and subsequently performed post-doctoral studies in human tumor immunology at the University of California in Los Angeles.

Stephen K. Carter, M.D. joined the Board of Directors in May 1997 and serves as Chairman of our Scientific Advisory Board. In addition to his positions with us, Dr. Carter also serves as a senior clinical consultant to Sugen, Inc. From 1995 through 1997, he served as Senior Vice President of Research and Development for Boehringer-Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals. Before this, Dr. Carter spent over 13 years with Bristol-Myers Squibb, an international leader in the development of innovative anti-cancer and anti-viral therapies. He held a variety of senior executive research and development positions while at Bristol-Myers, including serving for five years as Senior Vice President of worldwide clinical research and development of its Pharmaceutical Research Institute. From 1976 to 1982, he established and directed the Northern California Cancer Program. Prior to this, he held a number of positions during a nine-year tenure at the National Cancer Institute, including the position of Deputy Director at the National Institutes of Health. He has also been a member of the faculties of the medical schools of Stanford University, the University of California at San Francisco and New York University. Dr. Carter has published extensively on the development of anti-cancer drugs, was the co-founding editor of journals devoted to cancer therapeutics or immunology, and has served on the editorial boards of a number of additional journals dedicated to cancer treatment. He is a member of the American Society of Clinical Oncology, the American Association for Cancer Research, and the Society of Surgical Oncology, as well as several other medical societies. Dr. Carter earned his B.A. from Columbia University and his M.D. from New York Medical College. He currently serves on the Board of Directors of Allos Therapeutics.

Donald R. Conklin joined the Board of Directors in May 1997. Prior to his retirement in May 1997, Mr. Conklin was a senior executive with Schering-Plough, a major worldwide pharmaceutical firm. During his more than 35 years with Schering-Plough, he held a variety of key management positions within the firm. From 1986 to 1994, he served as President of Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals and Executive Vice-President of Schering-Plough Corporation. In this position, he was responsible for worldwide pharmaceutical operations, including the launch of INTRON A(R) (interferon alfa-2b). Prior to this, Mr. Conklin had served as President of Schering USA and had held

17

a variety of executive marketing positions in the United States, Europe, and Latin America. Immediately preceding his retirement, he was Chairman of Schering-Plough Health Care Products and an Executive Vice President of Schering-Plough Corporation. Mr. Conklin received his B.A. with highest honors from Williams College and his M.B.A. degree from the Rutgers University School of Business. He currently serves on the Board of Directors of Vertex Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and BioTransplant, Inc.

Martin F. Stadler joined the Board of Directors in November 1997. At the end of 1996, Mr. Stadler retired from Hoffmann La-Roche, Inc. after 32 years of pharmaceutical, chemical and diagnostic experience. Mr. Stadler served as senior vice president and chief financial officer, and was a member of the Hoffmann La-Roche, Inc. Board of Directors from 1985 through 1996. His responsibilities included finance, information technology, human resources, quality control and technical services. Prior to 1985, Mr. Stadler served as vice-president of strategic planning and business development. Mr. Stadler received his B.S. degree from Rutgers University and his M.B.A. from Fairleigh Dickenson University. In April 1999, he received the Pinnacle Award from FDU, the highest honor the University bestows on its graduates. Mr. Stadler is a member of the Finance Council of the American Management Association and a trustee of Fairleigh Dickenson University.

In March 1998 the SEC approved the settlement previously disclosed in our November 1997 Proxy Statement of allegations by the SEC of violations of Sections 13 and 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) by Kuslima Shogen, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Stanislaw Mikulski, Executive Vice President. Ms. Shogen and Dr. Mikulski agreed to the entry of a cease and desist order and the payment of monetary penalties totaling \$40,000 (payable by us under our indemnity agreements with these individuals) without admitting or denying any of the SEC's allegations concerning certain allegedly late filings required to be made by them pursuant to Sections 13 and 16 of the Exchange Act with respect to changes in beneficial ownership of our securities. With the exception of one late filing by Ms. Shogen in 1996, each of the allegedly unreported transactions occurred during the years 1983 to 1994. The alleged reporting violations relate solely to the filings of required forms. There was no allegation by the SEC of any fraudulent or willful misconduct. No action was brought against us.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Ownership of and transactions in our stock by our executive officers and directors and owners of 10% or more of our outstanding common stock are required to be reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2001, all reports required to be filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act were filed in a timely manner, except for Kuslima Shogen who filed two Form 4's late. One late filing by Ms. Shogen was due to an oversight when a Form 4 was filed in connection with the

exercise of options. The options were granted earlier in the year but the option grant was not required to be reported on Form 4. The exercise was required to be reported and this was done in a timely manner by filing a Form 4; however, in such Form 4, the grant of the options exercised should have been reported as well as the exercise itself. The grant was later reported by filing a Form 4. The other late filing was due to the failure of Ms. Shogen's brokerage firm to inform her in a timely manner of a sale of stock held in her account, which stock had originally been purchased by Ms. Shogen in the open market.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

Directors' Compensation

Directors receive no cash compensation in consideration for their serving on the Board of Directors.

In November 1993 and January 1994, the Board of Directors and the stockholders, respectively, approved our 1993 Stock Option Plan (1993 Plan) which, among other things, provides for automatic grants of options under a formula to non-employee directors or independent directors on an annual basis.

18

The formula provides that (i) on each December 31st each independent director receives automatically an option to purchase 15,000 shares of our common stock, or the regular grant; and (ii) on the date of each independent director's initial election to the Board of Directors, the newly elected independent director automatically receives an option to purchase the independent director's pro rata share of the regular grant which equals the product of 1,250 multiplied by the number of whole months remaining in the calendar year, or the pro rata grant. Each option granted pursuant to a regular grant and a pro rata grant vests and becomes exercisable on December 30th following the date of grant. An option will not become exercisable as to any shares unless the independent director has served continuously on the Board during the year preceding the date on which such options are scheduled to vest and become exercisable, or from the date the independent director joined the Board until the date on which the options are scheduled to vest and become exercisable. However, if an independent director does not fulfill such continuous service requirement due to the independent director's death or disability all options held by the independent director nonetheless vest and become exercisable as described herein. An option granted pursuant to the formula remains exercisable for a period of five years after the date the option first becomes exercisable. The per share exercise price of an option granted under the formula is equal to the average of the high and low trade prices of our common stock for the twenty (20) trading days preceding the date of grant.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2001, the following independent directors listed below were granted options under our 1997 Stock Option Plan (1997 Plan) pursuant to the same formula under the 1993 Plan as set forth above. The exercise prices of the options are equal to the formula set forth above.

Name	Number of Options	Exercise Price	Expiration
Stephen K. Carter	15,000	\$0.82	12/30/06
Donald R. Conklin	15,000	\$0.82	12/30/06
Martin F. Stadler	15,000	\$0.82	12/30/06

Additionally, in April 2001 our board of directors approved the issuance of 50,000 stock options under the 1997 Plan to Martin Stadler, which vested on the date of grant. The exercise price of the stock options was \$0.90 per share which was based on the average of the high and low trade prices of our common stock for the ten trading days preceding the date of grant.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2001, the members of our Board of Directors who served on the Compensation Committee were Stephen K. Carter, Donald R. Conklin and Martin F. Stadler, all of whom are non-employee directors. As more fully described under Item 13 "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions", Messrs. Conklin and Stadler were issued convertible notes which were converted into common stock and warrants to purchase common stock.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table provides a summary of cash and non-cash compensation for each of the last three fiscal years ended July 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 earned by our Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President or our executive officers during the last fiscal year.

19

		Annual	Compensation	1	Long Term Compensation
Name and Principal Position		(\$)	Bonus (\$)	Other Annual Compensation	Securities Underlying Options/SARs(#)
Kuslima Shogen	2001	\$150,000	- 0 -	- 0 -	115,000
Chief Executive	2000	150,000	- 0 -	- 0 -	215,000
Officer, Chairman of the Board of Directors and Acting Chief Financial Officer	1999	150,000	- 0 -	- 0 -	- 0 -
Stanislaw M. Mikulski	2001	\$130,000	- 0 -	- 0 -	55,000
Executive Vice	2000	130,000	- 0 -	- 0 -	130,000
President and Medical Director	1999	130,000	- 0 -	- 0 -	- 0 -

- (1) Excludes perquisites and other personal benefits which in the aggregate do not exceed 10% of our executive officers' total annual salary and bonus.
- (2) Consists of our contributions to a 401(k) plan.

Option Grants in Last Fiscal Year

The following table contains information concerning the grant of stock options to our executive officers during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2001:

	Ind	dividual Grants		:=======	Potentia Assumed Price Ap	Annu
Name	Number of Securities Underlying Options Granted (#)	% of Total Options Granted to Employees in Fiscal Year	Base Price	Expiration Date		
					0%(\$) 	
Kuslima Shogen	115,000(3)	32.67%	\$.85	(4)	-	\$
Stanislaw M. Mikulski	55,000(3)	15.63%	\$.85	(4)	-	\$

- (1) The exercise price of these options was based on the average of the high and low trade prices of our common stock for the twenty trading days preceding the date of grant.
- (2) The amounts set forth in the three columns represent hypothetical gains that might be achieved by the optionees if the respective options are exercised at the end of their terms. These gains are based on assumed rates of stock price appreciation of 0%, 5% and 10%. The 0% appreciation column

2.0

is included because the exercise prices of the options equal the market price of the underlying common stock on the date the options were granted, and thus the options will have no value unless our stock price increases above the exercise prices.

- (3) These options vested and became exercisable as to 20% of the shares on the date of the grant and 20% of the shares each year thereafter. An aggregate 23,000 options issued to Kuslima Shogen were exercised in March 2001.
- These options will expire five years after the vesting date.

Option Exercises and Fiscal Year-End Values

The following table sets forth the information with respect to our executive officers concerning the exercise of options during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2001 and unexercised options held as of July 31, 2001.

Number of Securities Value of Underlying Unexercised Options In-The-at Fiscal Vear-End (#) at Fiscal

Name	Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized (\$) (1)	Exercisable	Unexercisable	Exercisable
Kuslima Shogen	55,555	\$12,870	780,926	261,000	\$4,639
Stanislaw M. Mikulski	None	None	275,563	152,000	\$30 , 725

- (1) Based upon the fair market value of the purchased shares on the option exercise date less the exercise price paid for the shares.
- (2) The fair market value of the common stock at the fiscal year end was based on the average of the high and low trade prices (\$0.89) for the common stock obtained from the OTC Bulletin Board on the last trading day of the fiscal year July 31, 2001.

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT.

The following table sets forth certain information concerning stock ownership of each person who is the beneficial owner of five percent or more of our outstanding common stock, each of the current directors, each of our executive officers and all directors and executive officers as a group as of September 30, 2001. Except as otherwise noted, each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares shown as beneficially owned.

Directors, Officers or 5% Stockholders (1)	Number of Shares(2)	Percentage of Common Stock Outstanding(3)
Kuslima Shogen	2,295,546(4)	11.0%
Stanislaw M. Mikulski	658,813(5)	3.3%
Stephen K. Carter	128,750(6)	*

21

Directors, Officers or 5% Stockholders (1)	Number of Shares(2)	Percentage of Common Stock Outstanding(3)
Donald R. Conklin	414,250(7)	2.1%

Martin F. Stadler 441,250(8) 2.2%

All executive officers and directors as a group (five persons) 3,599,688(9) 18.1%

- * Less than one percent.
- (1) The address of all officers and directors listed above is in the care of the company.
- (2) All shares listed are common stock. Except as discussed below, none of these shares are subject to rights to acquire beneficial ownership, as specified in Rule 13d-3(d)(1) under the Exchange Act, and the beneficial owner has sole voting and investment power, subject to community property laws where applicable.
- (3) The percentage of stock outstanding for each stockholder is calculated by dividing (i) the number of shares of Common Stock deemed to be beneficially held by such stockholder as of September 30, 2001 by (ii) the sum of (A) the number of shares of common stock outstanding as of September 30, 2001 plus (B) the number of shares issuable upon exercise of options or warrants held by such stockholder which were exercisable as of September 30, 2001 or which will become exercisable within 60 days after September 30, 2001.
- (4) Includes 826,926 shares underlying options which were exercisable as of September 30, 2001 or which will become exercisable within 60 days after September 30, 2001 and 110,000 shares underlying warrants which were exercisable as of September 30, 2001 or which will become exercisable within 60 days after September 30, 2001.
- (5) Includes 297,563 shares underlying options which were exercisable as of September 30, 2001 or which will become exercisable within 60 days after September 30, 2001.
- (6) Includes 128,750 shares underlying options which were exercisable as of September 30, 2001 or which will become exercisable within 60 days after September 30, 2001.
- (7) Includes 73,750 shares underlying options which were exercisable as of September 30, 2001 or which will become exercisable within 60 days after September 30, 2001 and 110,000 shares underlying warrants which were exercisable as of September 30, 2001 or which will become exercisable within 60 days after September 30, 2001.
- (8) Includes 106,250 shares underlying options which were exercisable as of September 30, 2001 or which will become exercisable within 60 days after September 30, 2001 and 110,000 shares underlying warrants which were exercisable as of September 30, 2001 or which will become exercisable within 60 days after September 30, 2001.
- (9) Includes all shares owned beneficially by the directors and the executive officers named in the table.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS.

On July 23, 1991, the Board of Directors authorized us to pay Kuslima Shogen an amount equal to 15% of any gross royalties which may be paid to us from any license(s) with respect to our principal product, ONCONASE(R), or any other products derived from amphibian source extract, produced either as a natural, synthesized, and/or genetically engineered drug for which we own or are a co-owner of the patents, or acquire such rights in the future,

22

for a period not to exceed the life of the patents. If we manufacture and market the drugs ourselves, we will pay an amount equal to 5% of net sales from any products sold during the life of the patents. On April 16, 2001, this agreement was amended and clarified to provide that Ms. Shogen would receive the 15% royalty payment relating to licensees or the 5% fee relating to sales but not both, unless we and a licensee both market the licensed product.

In December 1999, our compensation committee approved the issuance of an aggregate total of 75,000 stock options to our outside board of directors, which vested on the date of grant. The exercise price of the stock options was \$0.47 per share which was based on the average of the high and low trade prices of our common stock for the twenty trading days preceding the date of grant. An aggregate \$0,000 of these options were exercised.

In April 2001, our board of directors approved the issuance of 50,000 stock options under the 1997 Plan to Martin Stadler, which vested on the date of grant. The exercise price of the stock options was \$0.90 per share which was based on the average of the high and low trade prices of our common stock for the ten trading days preceding the date of grant.

In April 2001, we issued convertible notes to Kuslima Shogen, our Chief Executive Officer and a director, two of our directors, Donald Conklin and Martin Stadler, and unrelated parties in the aggregate amount of \$366,993. Messrs. Conklin and Stadler are members of our Compensation Committee. The notes are due within ninety days unless the lenders elect to exercise an option to convert their note into common stock at the conversion price of \$0.90 per share. The related parties named above have elected to convert their notes into an aggregate 330,000 shares of common stock. In addition, upon conversion, they received three-year warrants to purchase an aggregate 330,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.50 per share that will expire on July 7, 2004. The notes issued to unrelated parties with an aggregate balance of \$69,993 were renewed for one hundred twenty (120) days for the same conversion price of \$0.90 per share. In addition, upon conversion, they will receive five-year warrants to purchase an aggregate 77,770 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.50 per share.

Part IV

Item 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

- (a)(1)and(2) The response to these portions of Item 14 is submitted as a separate section of this report commencing on page F-1.
- (a) (3) and (4) Exhibits (numbered in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K).

Exhibit

No. Item Title

3.1 Certificate of Incorporation

3.2 By-Laws

Exhi Incc by

- 3.3 Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation
- 3.4 Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation
- 4.1 Form of Convertible Debenture
- 10.1 Form of Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreements used in private placements completed in April 1996 and June 1996
- 10.2 Lease Agreement 225 Belleville Avenue, Bloomfield, New Jersey
- 10.3 Form of Stock Purchase Agreement and Certificate used in connection with various private placements
- 10.4 Form of Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement and Warrant Agreement used in Private Placement completed on March 21, 1994

23

Exhibit No.	Item Title
10.5	1993 Stock Option Plan and Form of Option Agreement
10.6	Debt Conversion Agreement dated March 30, 1994 with Kuslima Shogen
10.7	Accrued Salary Conversion Agreement dated March 30, 1994 with Kuslima Shogen
10.8	Accrued Salary Conversion Agreement dated March 30, 1994 with Stanislaw Mikulski
10.9	Option Agreement dated March 30, 1994 with Kuslima Shogen
10.10	Amendment No. 1 dated June 20, 1994 to Option Agreement dated March 30, 1994 with Kuslima Shogen
10.11	Form of Amendment No. 1 dated June 20, 1994 to Option Agreement dated March 30, 1994 with Kuslima Shogen
10.12	Form of Amendment No. 1 dated June 20, 1994 to Option Agreement dated March 30, 1994 with Stanislaw Mikulski
10.13	Form of Stock and Warrant Purchase Agreement and Warrant Agreement used in Private Placement completed on September 13, 1994
10.14	Form of Subscription Agreements and Warrant Agreement used in Private Placements closed in October 1994 and September 1995
10.15	1997 Stock Option Plan
10.16	Separation Agreement with Michael C. Lowe dated October 9, 1997
10.17	Form of Subscription Agreement and Warrant Agreement used in Private Placement completed on February 20, 1998

Exhi Inco

- 10.18 Form of Warrant Agreement issued to the Placement Agent in connection with the Private Placement completed on February 20, 1998
- 10.19 Placement Agent Agreement dated December 15, 1997
- 10.20 Separation Agreement with Gail Fraser dated August 31, 1999
- 10.21 Form of Subscription Agreement and Warrant Agreement used in Private Placements completed in February 2000
- 10.22 Form of Subscription Agreement and Warrant Agreement used in the August and September 2000 Private Placements
- 10.23 Form of Subscription Agreement and Warrant Agreement used in the April 2001 Private Placements
- 10.24 Form of Convertible Note entered into in April 2001
- 10.25 Form of Subscription Agreement and Warrant Agreement used in the July 2001 Private Placements
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of Registrant
- 23.1 Consent of KPMG LLP
- 99.1 Factors to Consider in Connection with Forward-Looking Statements
- * Previously filed as exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-18 (File No. 2-79975-NY) and incorporated herein by reference thereto.
- ** Previously filed as exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 31, 1993 and incorporated herein by reference thereto.

24

- *** Previously filed as exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended January 31, 1994 and incorporated herein by reference thereto.
- **** Previously filed as exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended April 30, 1994 and incorporated herein by reference thereto.
- *****Previously filed as exhibits to the Company's Registration Statement Form SB-2 (File No. 33-76950) and incorporated herein by reference thereto.
- + Previously filed as exhibits to the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 33-83072) and incorporated herein by reference thereto.
- ++ Previously filed as exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 31, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference thereto.
- +++ Previously filed as exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 31, 1998 and incorporated herein by reference thereto.

- ++++ Previously filed as exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 31, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference thereto.
- +++++Previously filed as exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 31, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference thereto.
- Previously filed as exhibits to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-38236) and incorporated herein by reference thereto.
- Previously filed as exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended July 31, 1995 and incorporated herein by reference thereto.
- Previously filed as exhibits to the Company's Registration statement on Form SB-2 (File No. 333-11575) and incorporated herein by reference thereto.
- ### Previously filed as exhibits to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended April 30, 1997 and incorporated herein by reference thereto.
- #### Previously filed as exhibits to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended July 31, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference thereto.
- ##### Filed herewith.
- (b) Reports on Form 8-K.

None

25

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ALFACELL CORPORATION

By: /s/ KUSLIMA SHOGEN Dated: October 29, 2001

> Kuslima Shogen, Chief Executive Officer, Acting Chief Financial Officer and Chairman of the Board

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Dated: October 29, 2001 /s/ KUSLIMA SHOGEN

> Kuslima Shogen, Chief Executive Officer, Acting Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Executive Officer, Principal Accounting Officer) and Chairman of the Board

Dated: October 29, 2001

/s/ STANISLAW M. MIKULSKI
Stanislaw M. Mikulski, M.D., Executive
Vice President and Director

Dated: October 29, 2001

/s/ STEPHEN K. CARTER
Stephen K. Carter, M.D., Director

Dated: October 29, 2001

/s/ DONALD R. CONKLIN
Donald R. Conklin, Director

Dated: October 29, 2001

/s/ MARTIN F. STADLER
Martin F. Stadler, Director

26

Index

	Page
Audited Financial Statements:	
Independent Auditors' Report of KPMG LLP	F-2
Independent Auditors' Report of Armus, Harrison & Co	F-3
Balance Sheets - July 31, 2001 and 2000	F-5
Statements of Operations - Years ended July 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999 and the Period from August 24, 1981	
(Date of Inception) to July 31, 2001	F-6
Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency) Period from August 24, 1981	
(Date of Inception) to July 31, 2001	F-7
Statements of Cash Flows - Years ended July 31, 2001, 2000, and 1999 and Period from August 24, 1981	
(Date of Inception) to July 31, 2001	F-12
Notesto Financial Statements - Years ended July 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 and the Period from August 24, 1981	
(Date of Inception) to July 31, 2001	F-15

F-1

The Stockholders and Board of Directors Alfacell Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Alfacell Corporation (a development stage company) as of July 31, 2001 and 2000, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' equity (deficiency), and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended July 31, 2001 and the period from August 24, 1981 (date of inception) to July 31, 2001. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. The financial statements of Alfacell Corporation for the period from August 24, 1981 to July 31, 1992 were audited by other auditors whose report dated December 9, 1992, except as to note 18 which is July 19, 1993 and note 3 which is October 28, 1993, expressed an unqualified opinion on those statements with an explanatory paragraph regarding the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, based on our audits and, for the effect on the period from August 24, 1981 to July 31, 2001 of the amounts for the period from August 24, 1981 to July 31, 1992, on the report of other auditors, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Alfacell Corporation as of July 31, 2001 and 2000, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended July 31, 2001 and the period from August 24, 1981 to July 31, 2001 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses from operations, has a working capital deficit and has limited liquid resources which raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 2. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Short Hills, New Jersey October 12, 2001

F-2

On December 1, 1993, certain shareholders of Armus Harrison & Co. ("AHC") terminated their association with AHC (the "AHC termination"), and AHC ceased

performing accounting and auditing services, except for limited accounting services to be performed on behalf of the Company. In June 1996, AHC dissolved and ceased all operations. The report of AHC with respect to the financial statements of the Company from inception to July 31, 1992 is included herein, although AHC has not consented to the use of such report herein and will not be available to perform any subsequent review procedures with respect to such report. Accordingly, investors will be barred from asserting claims against AHC under Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") on the basis of the use of such report in any registration statement of the Company into which such report is incorporated by reference. In addition, in the event any persons seek to assert a claim against AHC for false or misleading financial statements and disclosures in documents previously filed by the Company, such claim will be adversely affected and possibly barred. Furthermore, as a result of the lack of a consent from AHC to the use of its audit report herein, or, to its incorporation by reference into a registration statement, the officers and directors of the Company will be unable to rely on the authority of AHC as experts in auditing and accounting in the event any claim is brought against such persons under Section 11 of the Securities Act based on alleged false and misleading financial statements and disclosures attributable to AHC. The discussion regarding certain effects of the AHC termination is not meant and should not be construed in any way as legal advice to any party and any potential purchaser should consult with his, her or its own counsel with respect to the effect of the AHC termination on a potential investment in the Common Stock of the Company or otherwise.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

Board of Directors Alfacell Corporation Bloomfield, New Jersey

We have audited the balance sheets of Alfacell Corporation (a Development Stage Company) as of July 31, 1992 and 1991, as restated, and the related statements of operations, stockholders' deficiency, and cash flows for the three years ended July 31, 1992, as restated, and for the period from inception August 24, 1981 to July 31, 1992, as restated. In connection with our audit of the 1992 and 1991 financial statements, we have also audited the 1992, 1991 and 1990 financial statement schedules as listed in the accompanying index. These financial statements and financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion

In our opinion the financial statements referred to above present fairly in all material respects, the financial position of Alfacell Corporation as of July 31, 1992 and 1991, as restated, and for the three years ended July 31, 1992, as restated, and for the period from inception August 24, 1981 to July 31, 1992, as restated, and the results of operations and cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liability in the normal course of business. As shown in the statement of operations, the Company has incurred substantial losses in each year since its inception. In addition, the Company is a development stage company and its principal operation for production of income has not commenced. The Company's working capital has been reduced considerably by operating losses, and has a deficit net worth. These factors, among others, as discussed in Note 2 to the Notes of Financial Statements, indicates the uncertainties about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts and the amount of classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue its existence.

/s/ Armus, Harrison & Co.
Armus, Harrison & Co.

Mountainside, New Jersey
December 9, 1992
Except as to Note 18 which
is July 19, 1993 and Note 3
which is October 28, 1993

F-4

ALFACELL CORPORATION
(A Development Stage Company)

Balance Sheets

July 31, 2001 and 2000

		2001		2000
ASSETS Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Other assets	\$	44,781 42,933	\$	257,445 28,617
Total current assets		87 , 714		286,062
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$1,081,423 in 2001 and \$1,006,808 in 2000		67 , 555		142,170
Other assets		46,340		59,867
Total assets	\$ ===	201,609	\$ ===	488,099

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY

Current liabilities:				
Current portion of long-term debt	\$	7,057	\$	7,074
Note payable - convertible debt - unrelated party, less debt				
discount of \$34,511		35 , 482		
Accounts payable		409,972		170,788
Accrued expenses		465,813		411,846
Total current liabilities		918,324		589 , 708
Long-term debt, less current portion		23,663		30,251
Total liabilities		941,987		619,959
Stockholders' deficiency: Preferred stock, \$.001 par value. Authorized and unissued, 1,000,000 shares at July 31, 2001 and 2000 Common stock \$.001 par value. Authorized 40,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 19,802,245 shares and 18,431,559 shares at July 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively Capital in excess of par value	5	 19,802 8,211,335	5	6,526,288
Deficit accumulated during development stage	(5 	8,971,515) 	(5 	6,676,579)
Total stockholders' deficiency		(740,378)		(131,860)
Total liabilities and stockholders' deficiency		201,609		488 , 099

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

F-5

Statements of Operations

Years ended July 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, and the Period from August 24, 1981 (Date of Inception) to July 31, 2001

	August 24, 1981 (date of inception) to July 31, 2001	2001	2000
Revenues: Sales Investment income Other income	\$ 553,489 1,372,285 60,103	 13,121 	51 , 144
	1,985,877	13,121	51,144
Cost and expenses: Cost of sales	336 , 495		

Research and development General and administrative Interest:	37,869,035 20,865,393	1,900,678 705,745	1,879,728 644,588	2
Related parties Others		108,900 44,129	 4,980	
	62,164,641	2,759,452	2,529,296	 3
Net loss before state tax benefit	\$(60,178,764)	(2,746,331)	(2,478,152)	(3
State tax benefit	1,207,249	451 , 395	755 , 854	
Net loss	\$(58,971,515) =======	(2,294,936) =======	(1,722,298) =======	(3 ==
Loss per basic and diluted common share		\$ (0.12) ======	\$ (0.10) ======	\$ ==
Weighted average number of shares outstanding		18,927,000 ======	17,812,000	17

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

F-6

ALFACELL CORPORATION
(A Development Stage Company)

Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)

Period from August 24, 1981 (Date of Inception) to July 31, 2001

Common Stock

	Number of Shares	Am 	nount		apital ess of Value
Issuance of shares to officers and stockholders for equipment, research and development, and expense					
reimbursement	712,500	\$	713	\$	212,
Issuance of shares for organizational legal service	50,000		50	•	4,
Sale of shares for cash, net	82,143		82		108,
Adjustment for 3 for 2 stock split declared					
September 8, 1982	422,321		422		(
Net loss					
Balance at July 31, 1982	1,266,964		1,267		325,

Issuance of shares for equipment	15,000	15	13,
Sale of shares to private investors	44,196	44	41,
Sale of shares in public offering, net	660,000	660	1,307,
Issuance of shares under stock grant program	20,000	20	109,
Exercise of warrants, net	1,165	1	3,
Net loss			
Balance at July 31, 1983	2,007,325	2,007	1,802,
Exercise of warrants, net	287 , 566	287	933,
Issuance of shares under stock grant program	· ·	20	101,
Issuance of shares under stock bonus plan for directors	100.050	1.01	005
and consultants Net loss	130 , 250 		385,
Balance at July 31, 1984		2 , 445	3,223,
Issuance of shares under stock grant program	48,332	48	478 ,
Issuance of shares under stock bonus plan for directors			
and consultants	99,163	99	879,
Shares canceled	(42,500)	(42)	(105,
Exercise of warrants, net	334 , 957	335	1,971,
Net loss			
Balance at July 31, 1985		2 , 885	6,445,
Issuance of shares under stock grant program	11,250	12	107,
Issuance of shares under stock bonus plan for directors			
and consultants	15 , 394		215,
Exercise of warrants, net	21,565		80,
Net loss			
Balance at July 31, 1986 (carried forward)	2,933,052	2,933	6,849,
		Deferred	То
		compensation,	Stockh
	Subscription	restricted	Eq
	Receivable	stock	(Defi
Issuance of shares to officers and stockholders for			
equipment, research and development, and expense			
reimbursement	\$	\$	\$ 2
Issuance of shares for organizational legal service			
Sale of shares for cash, net Adjustment for 3 for 2 stock split declared			1
September 8, 1982			
Net loss			(1
Balance at July 31, 1982			2
Issuance of shares for equipment			
Sale of shares to private investors			
Sale of shares in public offering, net			1,3
Issuance of shares under stock grant program			1
Exercise of warrants, net Net loss			/ 5
MCC TOSS			()

Balance at July 31, 1983	 	1,1
Exercise of warrants, net	 	9
Issuance of shares under stock grant program	 	1
Issuance of shares under stock bonus plan for directors		
and consultants	 	3
Net loss	 	(1,4
Balance at July 31, 1984	 	1,1
Issuance of shares under stock grant program Issuance of shares under stock bonus plan for directors	 	4
and consultants	 	8
Shares canceled	 	(1
Exercise of warrants, net	 	1,9
Net loss	 	(2,9
Balance at July 31, 1985	 	1,3
Issuance of shares under stock grant program Issuance of shares under stock bonus plan for directors	 	1
and consultants	 	2
Exercise of warrants, net	 	
Net loss	 	(2,1
Balance at July 31, 1986 (carried forward)	 	(3

F-7

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)

Period from August 24, 1981 (Date of Inception) to July 31, 2001

Common Stock

	Number of Shares	Amount	Capital Excess of Value
Balance at July 31, 1986 (brought forward)	2,933,052	\$ 2,933	\$ 6,849,
Exercise of warrants at \$10.00 per share Issuance of shares under stock bonus plan for directors	14,745	15	147,
and consultants	5,000	5	74,
Issuance of shares for services	250,000	250	499,
Sale of shares to private investors, net	5,000	5	24,
Net loss			
Balance at July 31, 1987	3,207,797	3,208	7,596,

Issuance of shares for legal and consulting services	206,429	207	724,
Issuance of shares under employment incentive program	700,000	700	2,449,
Issuance of shares under stock grant program	19,000	19	66,
Exercise of options at \$3.00 per share	170,000	170	509,
Issuance of shares for litigation settlement	12,500	12	31,
Exercise of warrants at \$7.06 per share	63,925	64	451,
Sale of shares to private investors	61,073	61	178,
Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock			,
Net loss			
Balance at July 31, 1988	4,440,724	4,441	12,006,
Sale of shares for litigation settlement	135,000	135	1,074,
Conversion of debentures at \$3.00 per share	133,333	133	399,
Sale of shares to private investors	105,840	106	419,
Exercise of options at \$3.50 per share	1,000	1	3,
Issuance of shares under employment agreement	750,000	750	3,749,
Issuance of shares under the 1989 Stock Plan	30,000	30	149,
Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock			
Net loss			
Balance at July 31, 1989	5,595,897	5 , 596	17,803,
Issuance of shares for legal and consulting services	52,463	52	258,
Issuance of shares under the 1989 Stock Plan	56,000	56	335,
Sale of shares for litigation settlement	50,000	50	351,
	105,989	106	345,
Exercise of options at \$3.00 - \$3.50 per share Sale of shares to private investors	89,480	90	354,
	750,000	750	
Issuance of shares under employment agreement	·	100	3,749,
Conversion of debentures at \$5.00 per share	100,000	100	499,
Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock Net loss			
Balance at July 31, 1990 (carried forward)	6,799,829	6,800	23,699,
	Subscription Receivable	Deferred compensation restricted stock	T , Stock E (Def
Balance at July 31, 1986 (brought forward)			\$ (
Exercise of warrants at \$10.00 per share			
Issuance of shares under stock bonus plan for directors			
and consultants			
Issuance of shares for services			
Sale of shares to private investors, net Net loss			(2,
NEC 1022			
Balance at July 31, 1987			(2,
Issuance of shares for legal and consulting services			
Issuance of shares for legal and consulting services Issuance of shares under employment incentive program		 (2,450,000))
Issuance of shares under employment incentive program)
Issuance of shares under employment incentive program Issuance of shares under stock grant program		(2,450,000))
Issuance of shares under employment incentive program Issuance of shares under stock grant program Exercise of options at \$3.00 per share		(2,450,000))
Issuance of shares under employment incentive program Issuance of shares under stock grant program		(2,450,000))

Sale of shares to private investors Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock Net loss	 449,167 	(3,
Balance at July 31, 1988	 (2,000,833)	(3,
Sale of shares for litigation settlement Conversion of debentures at \$3.00 per share Sale of shares to private investors Exercise of options at \$3.50 per share Issuance of shares under employment agreement Issuance of shares under the 1989 Stock Plan Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock Net loss	 (3,750,000) (150,000) 1,050,756	1, 1, (2,
Balance at July 31, 1989	 (4,850,077)	(3,
Issuance of shares for legal and consulting services Issuance of shares under the 1989 Stock Plan Sale of shares for litigation settlement Exercise of options at \$3.00 - \$3.50 per share Sale of shares to private investors Issuance of shares under employment agreement Conversion of debentures at \$5.00 per share Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock Net loss	 (336,000) (3,750,000) 3,015,561	3, (4,

F-8

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)

Period from August 24, 1981 (Date of Inception) to July 31, 2001

			С	0	m	m	mon Stock												
 	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_

	Number of Shares	Amount	Capital Excess of Value
Balance at July 31, 1990 (brought forward)	6,799,829	\$ 6,800	\$ 23,699,
Exercise of options at \$6.50 per share	16,720	16	108,
Issuance of shares for legal consulting services	87,000	87	358,
Issuance of shares under the 1989 Stock Plan	119,000	119	475,
Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock			
Net loss			

Balance at July 31, 1991	7,022,549	7,022	24,642,
Exercise of options at \$3.50 per share	1,000	1	3,
Sale of shares to private investors	70,731	71	219,
-			
Conversion of debentures at \$5.00 per share	94,000	94	469,
Issuance of shares for services	45,734	46	156,
Issuance of shares under the 1989 Stock Plan	104,000	104	285,
Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock			
Net loss			
Balance at July 31, 1992	7,338,014	7,338	25 , 778 ,
Sale of share to private investors	352,667	353	735 ,
		50	132,
Issuance of shares for legal services	49,600		
Issuance of shares for services	5,000	5	9,
Issuance of shares under the 1989 Stock Plan	117,000	117	233,
Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock			
Net loss			
Balance at July 31, 1993	7,862,281	7,863	26,890,
Conversion of debentures at \$2.75 per share to \$6.00 per			
share	425,400	425	1,701,
Sale of shares to private investors, net	743,000	743	1,710,
Conversion of short-term borrowings	72 , 800	73	181,
Issuance of shares for services	16,200	16	43,
Issuance of shares under the 1989 Stock Plan, for services	5,000	5	14,
Issuance of options to related parties upon conversion of	J , 555	J	
accrued interest, payroll and expenses			3,194,
Repurchase of stock options from related party			(198,
Issuance of options upon conversion of accrued interest			142,
			T44,
Common stock to be issued			
Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock			
Net loss			
Balance at July 31, 1994 (carried forward)	9,124,681	9,125	33,680,
		Deferred	To
		compensation,	Stockh
	Subscription	restricted	Eq
	Receivable	stock	(Defi
	Receivable	SLOCK	
Balance at July 31, 1990 (brought forward)	\$	\$(5,920,516)	\$(3,1
Exercise of options at \$6.50 per share			1
Issuance of shares for legal consulting services			3
	=		
Issuance of shares under the 1989 Stock Plan		(476,000)	
Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock		2,891,561	2,8
Net loss			(5,2
Polongo at Tuly 21 1991		(3,504,955)	(4,9
Balance at July 31, 1991		(3,304,333)	(4, 2
Exercise of options at \$3.50 per share			
Exercise of options at \$3.50 per share Sale of shares to private investors			2
Sale of shares to private investors	 		2
Sale of shares to private investors Conversion of debentures at \$5.00 per share	 		4
Sale of shares to private investors	 	 	2 4 1
Sale of shares to private investors Conversion of debentures at \$5.00 per share	 	 (286,000)	4
Sale of shares to private investors Conversion of debentures at \$5.00 per share Issuance of shares for services Issuance of shares under the 1989 Stock Plan	 	(286,000)	4 1
Sale of shares to private investors Conversion of debentures at \$5.00 per share Issuance of shares for services	 		4

Balance at July 31, 1992	 (744,229)	(5 , 8
Sale of share to private investors	 	7
Issuance of shares for legal services	 	1
Issuance of shares for services	 (10,000)	
Issuance of shares under the 1989 Stock Plan	 (234,000)	
Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock	 664 , 729	6
Net loss	 	(2,3
Balance at July 31, 1993	 (323,500)	(6 , 6
Conversion of debentures at \$2.75 per share to \$6.00 per		
share	 	1,7
Sale of shares to private investors, net	 	1,7
Conversion of short-term borrowings	 	1
Issuance of shares for services	 	
Issuance of shares under the 1989 Stock Plan, for services Issuance of options to related parties upon conversion of	 	
accrued interest, payroll and expenses	 	3,1
Repurchase of stock options from related party	 	(1
Issuance of options upon conversion of accrued interest	 	1
Common stock to be issued	 	
Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock	 265,000	2
Net loss	 	(2,2
Balance at July 31, 1994 (carried forward)	 (58,500)	(1,7

F-9

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)

Period from August 24, 1981 (Date of Inception) to July 31, 2001

Common Stock

			Capital
	Number		Excess of
	of Shares Amount		Value
Balance at July 31, 1994 (brought forward)	9,124,681	\$ 9,125	\$ 33,680,
Sale of shares to private investors, net	961,000	961	2,023,
Conversion of short-term borrowings	17,600	17	43,
Issuance of shares for services	30,906	31	77,
Exercise of options at \$2.27 - \$2.50 per share	185,000	185	437,
Common stock to be issued			
Common stock to be issued, for services			
Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock			

Net loss

1000				
Balance at July 31, 1995	10,319,187			36,262,
Sale of shares to private investors, net	2,953,327	2,953		8,969,
Issuance of shares for services	19,995	20		70,
Exercise of options at \$2.50 - \$3.87 per share	566,700	567		1,657,
Sale of warrants				12,
Issuance of options/warrants for services				50,
Common stock to be issued				50,
Subscription receivable				
Net loss				
NEC 1055				
Balance at July 31, 1996	13,859,209	13,859		47,023,
Sale of shares to private investors, net	112,000	112		503,
Issuance of options for services				76,
-	729,134			2,620,
Exercise of options at \$2.45 - \$4.00 per share, net		149		
Exercise of warrants at \$5.00 per share, net	147,450			737,
Net loss				
Balance at July 31, 1997	14,847,793			50,961,
Barance at only off, 1997,	11,011,133	11,010		30,301,
Sale of shares to private investors, net	2,337,150	2,337		4,199,
Issuance of options for services				199,
Exercise of warrants at \$2.20 - \$2.50 per share	4,950	5		11,
Issuance of shares for services, net	50,000			99,
Net loss				33,
Balance at July 31, 1998	17,239,893			55,472,
Issuance of options for services				205,
Issuance of shares for services, net	46,701	46		16,
Net loss				
Balance at July 31, 1999 (carried forward)	17,286,594	\$ 17,286	\$	55,694,
	Subscriptio Receivabl		ation, cted k	E (Def
Balance at July 31, 1994 (brought forward)	\$ -	- \$ (58	8,500)	\$(1,
Sale of shares to private investors, net	_	_		1,
Conversion of short-term borrowings	_	_		±,
Issuance of shares for services				
	_	_		
Exercise of options at \$2.27 - \$2.50 per share	_	_		
Common stock to be issued	-	_		
Common stock to be issued, for services	_	_		
Amortization of deferred compensation, restricted stock	-	- 58	B , 500	
Net loss		_		(1,
Balance at July 31, 1995		 -		
		 - -		(
Sale of shares to private investors, net		 - -	 	8,
Sale of shares to private investors, net Issuance of shares for services		 - - -	 	
Sale of shares to private investors, net	- - - - -	 - - -	 	8,

Issuance of options/warrants for services Common stock to be issued Subscription receivable Net loss	(2	 54,185) 	 	(2,
Balance at July 31, 1996	(2	54,185)		6,
Sale of shares to private investors, net Issuance of options for services Exercise of options at \$2.45 - \$4.00 per share, net Exercise of warrants at \$5.00 per share, net Net loss	2	 54 , 185 	 	2, (5,
Balance at July 31, 1997				5,
Sale of shares to private investors, net Issuance of options for services Exercise of warrants at \$2.20 - \$2.50 per share Issuance of shares for services, net Net loss		 	 	(6,
Balance at July 31, 1998			 	3,
Issuance of options for services Issuance of shares for services, net Net loss		 	 	(3,
Balance at July 31, 1999 (carried forward)	\$		\$ 	\$

F-10

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Statement of Stockholders' Equity (Deficiency)

Period from August 24, 1981 (Date of Inception) to July 31, 2001

Capital			
Excess of		Number	
Value	Amount.	of Shares	

Common Stock

	of Shares	Amount	Value
Balance at July 31, 1999 (brought forward)	17,286,594	\$ 17 , 286	\$ 55,694,
Sale of shares to private investors, net	875,000	875	547,
Exercise of options at \$0.43 - \$1.43 per share	95,000	95	45,
Issuance of shares for services, net	174,965	175	92 ,
Vesting of options previously issued for services			146,
Net loss			

18,431,559 18,431

56,526,

Sale of shares to private investors, net Exercise of options at \$0.29 - \$0.85 per share Issuance of shares for services, net Exercise of convertible debentures at \$0.90 per share Issuance of warrants with convertible debt Issuance of options for services Net loss Balance at July 31, 2001	863,331 165,555 11,800 330,000 19,802,245	863 166 12 330 \$ 19,802	955, 83, 10, 296, 178, 160,
Estance at only 51, 2001	========	======	=======
	Subscription Receivable		ion, Stock
Balance at July 31, 1999 (brought forward)	\$	\$	\$
Sale of shares to private investors, net Exercise of options at \$0.43 - \$1.43 per share Issuance of shares for services, net Vesting of options previously issued for services Net loss	 		 (1,
Balance at July 31, 2000			(
Sale of shares to private investors, net Exercise of options at \$0.29 - \$0.85 per share Issuance of shares for services, net Exercise of convertible debentures at \$0.90 per share Issuance of warrants with convertible debt Issuance of options for services Net loss	 		 (2,
Balance at July 31, 2001	\$	\$	\$ (

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

Balance at July 31, 2000

F-11

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Statements of Cash Flows

Years ended July 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, and the Period from August 24, 1981 (Date of Inception) to July 31, 2001

Cash flows from operating activities: Net loss \$(58,971,515) Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: Gain on sale of marketable securities (25,963) Depreciation and amortization 1,492,458 Loss on disposal of property and equipment 18,926	to 20
Net loss \$(58,971,515) Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: Gain on sale of marketable securities (25,963) Depreciation and amortization 1,492,458 Loss on disposal of property and equipment 18,926	
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: Gain on sale of marketable securities Depreciation and amortization Loss on disposal of property and equipment (25,963) 1,492,458	
operating activities: Gain on sale of marketable securities (25,963 Depreciation and amortization 1,492,458 Loss on disposal of property and equipment 18,926) \$(2 , 294
Depreciation and amortization 1,492,458 Loss on disposal of property and equipment 18,926	
Loss on disposal of property and equipment 18,926)
	74
Noncash operating expenses 5,824,106	304
Amortization of deferred compensation 11,442,000	
Amortization of organization costs 4,590	
Changes in assets and liabilities:	
(Increase) decrease in other current assets (102,800) (14
Decrease in other assets 49,711	. 13
Increase in loans and interest payable, related party 744,539	
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable 706,082	249
Increase in accrued payroll and expenses, related parties 2,348,145	
Increase (decrease) in accrued expenses 1,007,326	
Net cash used in operating activities (35,462,395	
Cash flows from investing activities:	
Purchase of marketable securities (290,420)
Proceeds from sale of marketable equity securities 316,383	
Purchase of property and equipment (1,406,836)
Patent costs (97,841	•
Net cash used in investing activities (1,478,714	

F-12

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Statements of Cash Flows, Continued

	ust 24, 198 (date of ception) to July 31,	
	 2001	 2(
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from short-term borrowings	\$ 849,500	\$
Payment of short-term borrowings	(623,500)	
Increase in loans payable, related party, net Proceeds from bank debt and other long-term debt, net of	2,628,868	

deferred debt costs Reduction of bank debt and long-term debt Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net Proceeds from exercise of stock options and warrants, net Proceeds from issuance of convertible debentures, related party Proceeds from issuance of convertible debentures, unrelated party	2,452,460 (2,935,848) 28,310,163 5,590,254 297,000 416,993		(6 956 83 297 69
Net cash provided by financing activities	36,985,890	-	1,400
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	44,781		(212 257
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 44,781	\$	4 4 =====
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information - interest paid	1,662,446 ======		8
Noncash financing activities: Issuance of convertible subordinated debenture for loan payable	2,725,000	\$ ===	
Issuance of common stock upon the conversion of convertible subordinated debentures, related party	3,242,000	\$ ===	297 =====
Conversion of short-term borrowings to common stock	226,000	\$	
Conversion of accrued interest, payroll and expenses by related parties to stock options	3,194,969 	\$ ===	
Repurchase of stock options from related party	(198,417)	\$	
Conversion of accrued interest to stock options	142,441	\$	
Conversions of accounts payable to common stock	296 , 200	\$	10
Conversion of notes payable, bank and accrued interest to long-term debt	\$ 1,699,072	\$	

F-13

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Statements of Cash Flows, Continued

August 24, 1981 (date of inception) to

	July 31, 2001	20
Conversion of loans and Interest Payable, related party and		
accrued payroll and expenses, related parties to long-term accrued payroll and other, related party	\$ 1,863,514	l \$ = ======
Issuance of common stock upon the conversion of convertible subordinated debentures, other	\$ 127,000 ======) \$ = ======
Issuance of common stock for services rendered	\$ 2,460) \$ = ======

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

F - 14

ALFACELL CORPORATION
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements

Years ended July 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 and the Period From August 24, 1981 (Date of Inception) to July 31, 2001

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Business Description

Alfacell Corporation (the "Company") was incorporated in Delaware on August 24, 1981 for the purpose of engaging in the discovery, investigation and development of a new class of anti-cancer drugs and anti-viral agents. The Company is a development stage company as defined in the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 7. The Company is devoting substantially all of its present efforts to establishing its business. Its planned principal operations have not commenced and, accordingly, no significant revenue has been derived therefrom.

The Company's current operations encompass all the risks inherent in discovering and developing a new drug, including: an uncertainty regarding the timing and amount of future revenues to be derived from the Company's technology; obtaining future capital as needed; attracting and retaining key personnel; and a business environment with heightened competition, rapid technological change and strict government regulations.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts and disclosures in these financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets ranging from three to seven years. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is included in operations for the period.

The cost of repairs and maintenance is charged to operations as incurred; significant renewals and betterments are capitalized.

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less, at the time of purchase, to be cash equivalents.

Research and Development

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

For all financial instruments, their carrying value approximates fair value due to the short maturity of those instruments. The debt has been issued at rates which represent prevailing market rates for similar financings.

F-15

ALFACELL CORPORATION
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, (Continued)

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The net loss of \$2,295,000, \$1,722,000 and \$3,157,000, recorded for the years ended July 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively, is equal to the comprehensive loss for those periods in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income.

Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share

"Basic" earnings per common share equals net income divided by weighted average common shares outstanding during the period. "Diluted" earnings per common share equals net income divided by the sum of weighted average common shares outstanding during the period plus common stock equivalents. The Company's Basic and Diluted per share amounts are the same since the Company is in a loss position and the assumed exercise of stock options and warrants would be all anti-dilutive. The number of outstanding options and warrants that could dilute earnings per share in future periods was 6,445,748, 6,156,195 and 5,894,875 at July 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Long-Lived Assets

The Company reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances occur that indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. The Company assesses the recoverability of long-lived assets held and to be used based on undiscounted cash flows, and measures the impairment, if any, using discounted cash flows. SFAS No. 121 has not had a material impact on the Company's financial position, operating results or cash flows.

Stock Option Plans

Stock based compensation is recognized using the intrinsic value method. For disclosure purposes, proforma net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share data are provided in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" as if the fair value method had been applied.

The Company records compensation expense equal to the value of stock options granted for consulting services rendered to the Company by non-employees. The value of the options granted to non-employees is determined by the Black Scholes option pricing model.

(2) Liquidity

The Company has reported net losses of \$2,295,000, \$1,722,000, and \$3,157,000 for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. The loss from date of inception, August 24, 1981, to July 31, 2001 amounts to \$58,971,000. Also, the Company has a working capital deficit and limited liquid resources. These factors raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of reported asset amounts or the amounts or classification of liabilities which might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

F-16

ALFACELL CORPORATION
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(2) Liquidity, (Continued)

The Company's continued operations will depend on its ability to raise additional funds through various potential sources such as equity and debt financing, collaborative agreements, strategic alliances, sale of tax benefits, revenues from the commercial sale of ONCONASE(R) and its ability to realize the full potential of its technology and its drug candidates. Such additional funds may not become available or be available on acceptable terms. To date, a significant portion of the Company's financing has been through private placements of common stock and warrants, the issuance of common stock for stock options and warrants exercised and for services rendered, debt financing and financing provided by the Company's Chief Executive Officer. Additionally, the Company raised capital through the sale of a portion of its tax benefits. Until the Company's operations generate significant revenues, the Company will continue to fund operations from cash on hand and through the sources of capital previously described. From August through October 4, 2001, the Company received gross proceeds of approximately \$178,500 from the private placement of various individual investors and \$100,000 note payable upon demand from an unrelated party. No

assurances can be provided that the additional capital will be sufficient to meet the Company's needs.

The Company will continue to incur costs in conjunction with its U.S. and foreign registrations for marketing approval of ONCONASE(R). The Company is currently in discussion with several potential strategic alliance partners including major international biopharmaceutical companies to further the development and marketing of ONCONASE(R) and other related products in its pipeline. However, there can be no assurance that any such alliances will materialize. The Company intends to seek foreign marketing approvals for ONCONASE(R) for the treatment of malignant mesothelioma. Therefore, the Company expanded its ongoing clinical trial internationally. The Company's ability to raise funding at this time may be dependent upon other factors including, without limitation, market conditions, and such funds may not be available or be available on acceptable terms.

The Company's common stock was delisted from The Nasdaq SmallCap Market effective at the close of business April 27, 1999 for failing to meet the minimum bid price requirements set forth in the NASD Marketplace Rules. As of April 28, 1999, the Company's common stock trades on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol "ACEL". Delisting of the Company's common stock from Nasdaq could have a material adverse effect on its ability to raise additional capital, its stockholders' liquidity and the price of its common stock.

(3) Property and Equipment

Property and equipment, at cost, consists of the following at July 31:

	2001	2000
Laboratory equipment Office equipment Leasehold improvements	\$ 755,040 296,105 97,833	755,040 296,105 97,833
Total Less accumulated depreciation	1,148,978 1,081,423	1,148,978 1,006,808
Property and equipment, net	\$ 67,555 =======	142 , 170

F-17

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(4) Long-term Debt

Long-term debt consists of the following at July 31:

	2001	2000
Note payable, in monthly installments of \$1,459, including principal and interest commencing April 2000 and each		
month thereafter until March 2005, secured by equipment	\$30 , 720	\$37,325
Less current portion	7,057	7,074

\$23,663 \$30,251 ====== ====

(5) Note Payable - Convertible Note

In April 2001, the Company entered into convertible notes payable with certain related and unrelated parties in the aggregate amount of \$366,993. The notes were due within ninety (90) unless the lenders elect to exercise an option to convert the note into Alfacell common stock, par value \$.001 per share at a conversion price of \$0.90 per share (the estimated fair market value of the stock based on the average of the high and low trade prices of the Company's common stock for the ten (10) trading days preceding the loan date). In addition, upon conversion, the lender would receive a three-year warrant for each share of converted Alfacell common stock at an exercise price of \$2.50 per share that will expire on July 7, 2004. The estimated value of the warrants of \$133,793, using the Black-Scholes options-pricing model, was recorded as interest expense over the ninety day note term. In July 2001, an aggregate of \$297,000 note payables were converted which resulted in the issuance of 330,000 shares of the Company's common stock. In addition, upon conversion, the Company issued the agreed to three-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 330,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.50 per share. An aggregate balance of the convertible notes in the amount of \$69,993 was renewed for one hundred twenty (120) days for the same conversion price of \$0.90 per share. In addition, upon conversion, the lender would receive a five-year warrant for each share of converted Alfacell common stock at an exercise price of \$1.50 per share. The estimated value of the warrants of \$45,000, using the Black-Scholes options-pricing model, was treated as a debt discount which will accrete as interest expense over the one hundred twenty day note term through October 31, 2001.

(6) Leases

The Company leases its facility under a five-year operating lease which is due to expire on December 31, 2001 and will be negotiating a new lease agreement under similar terms. The annual rental obligation, which commenced January 1, 1997, is \$96,775 and is subject to annual escalation amounts. Rent expense charged to operations was \$136,000, \$127,000, and \$108,000 in 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Future minimum lease payments under noncancellable leases for the next year ending July 31 are as follows:

Operating leases

2002 56,667

F-18

ALFACELL CORPORATION
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(7) Stockholders' Equity

On September 1, 1981, the Company issued 712,500 shares of common stock (1,068,750 shares adjusted for the stock split on September 8, 1982) to

officers and stockholders in exchange for equipment, research and development services, stock registration costs, reimbursement of expenses and other miscellaneous services. The common stock issued for services was recorded at the estimated fair value of services rendered based upon the Board of Directors' determination and ratification of the value of services. Equipment received in exchange for common stock was recorded at the transferor's cost. Common stock issued for reimbursement of expenses was recorded based upon expenses incurred. All values assigned for expenses and services rendered have been charged to operations except for stock registration costs which were charged against proceeds.

On July 30, 1982, the Company sold 82,143 shares of common stock (123,214 shares adjusted to reflect the stock split on September 8, 1982) to a private investor at a price of \$1.40 per share, resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$108,500.

On September 8, 1982, the Company declared a 3-for-2 stock split. Shares previously issued by the Company have been restated in accordance with the stock split.

On September 8, 1982, the Company issued 15,000 shares of common stock to an officer and stockholder in exchange for equipment. The equipment received in exchange for the common stock was recorded at the transferor's cost.

On November 1, 1982 and January 3, 1983, the Company sold 28,125 and 16,071 shares of common stock, respectively, to private investors at \$.93 per share, resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$41,250.

On January 17, 1983, the Company sold 660,000 shares of its common stock and 330,000 common stock purchase warrants in a public offering at a price of \$2.50 per share, resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$1,308,446. The warrants were to expire 12 months after issuance; however, the Company extended the expiration date to July 16, 1984. During the fiscal years ended July 31, 1983 and 1984, the net proceeds to the Company from the exercise of the warrants amounted to \$934,000. Each common stock purchase warrant was not detachable from its common stock or exercisable until six months after the issuance date of January 17, 1983. Each warrant entitled the holder to purchase one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.00 after six months and prior to nine months after issuance. The exercise price increased to \$3.50 after nine months and prior to 12 months after issuance.

In connection with the public offering, the Company sold 60,000 five-year purchase warrants to the underwriters at a price of \$.001 per warrant. Each warrant entitled the holder to purchase one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.00. Pursuant to the antidilution provisions of the warrants, the underwriters received warrants to purchase 67,415 shares at an exercise price of \$2.67 per share. As of July 31, 1986, all such warrants were exercised and the Company received proceeds of approximately \$180,000.

On February 22, 1984, the Company filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the issuance of two series of new warrants, each to purchase an aggregate of 330,000 shares (hereinafter referred to as one-year warrants and two-year warrants). The one-year warrants had an exercise price of \$6.50 per share and expired July 17, 1985. The two-year warrants had an exercise price of \$10.00 per share and were to expire July 17, 1986. However, the Company extended the expiration date to August 31, 1987. The one-year warrants and two-year warrants were issued as of July 17, 1984 on a one-for-one basis to those public offering warrant holders who exercised their original warrants, with the right to

oversubscribe to any of the warrants not exercised. During the fiscal years ended July 31, 1985, 1986, 1987 and 1988, the Company received net proceeds of approximately \$2,471,000 as a result of the exercise of the warrants.

F-19

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(7) Stockholders' Equity, (Continued)

On January 2, 1987, the Company issued 250,000 shares of common stock to officers and stockholders, including the President and Chief Executive Officer, in recognition of services performed for the Company. The fair value of such shares was recorded as compensation expense.

On February 3, 1987, the Company sold 5,000 shares of common stock to a private investor for \$5.00 per share, resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$25,000.

On September 1, 1987, the Board of Directors approved new wage contracts for three officers. The contracts provided for the issuance of 700,000 shares of common stock as an inducement for signing. The fair value of these shares was recorded as deferred compensation and was amortized over the term of the employment agreements. The contracts also provided for the issuance of 1,500,000 shares of common stock in 750,000 increments upon the occurrence of certain events. These shares were issued during the fiscal years ended July 31, 1989 and 1990 and the fair value of such shares was recorded as deferred compensation and was amortized over the remaining term of the employment agreements. The contracts also provided for five-year options to purchase 750,000 shares of common stock at \$3.00 per share; options for the purchase of 170,000 shares were exercised on June 16, 1988 and the remaining options for the purchase of 580,000 shares expired on September 2, 1992.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1988, the Company issued 206,429 shares of common stock for payment of legal and consulting services. The fair value of such shares was charged to operations.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1988, the Company issued 12,500 shares of common stock in connection with the settlement of certain litigation. The fair value of these shares was charged to operations.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1988, the Company sold 61,073 shares of common stock to private investors at \$2.92 per share resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$178,133.

On September 21, 1988, the Company entered into a stipulation of settlement arising from a lawsuit wherein it agreed to pay a total of \$250,000 in 12 monthly installments. Under the agreement, the Company authorized the issuance on September 7, 1988 and October 18, 1988 of 85,000 and 50,000 shares, respectively, to an escrow account to secure payment of the \$250,000 due under the stipulation of settlement. During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1989, the Company issued and sold the 135,000 shares of common stock for \$1,074,838. On February 14, 1989, the Board of Directors authorized the issuance of an additional 50,000 shares. During the year ended July 31, 1990, the shares were sold for \$351,117. The proceeds from the above transactions were used to pay the settlement and related legal

costs, reduce loans from and interest due to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, and for working capital.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1989, the Company sold 105,840 shares of common stock to private investors at \$3.97 per share resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$420,000.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1990, the Company issued 52,463 shares of common stock for payment of legal and consulting services. The fair value of the common stock was charged to operations.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1990, the Company issued 50,000 shares of common stock in connection with the settlement of certain litigation. The fair value of the common stock was charged to operations.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1990, the Company sold 89,480 shares of common stock to private investors at \$3.97 per share resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$355,080.

F-20

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(7) Stockholders' Equity, (Continued)

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1991, the Company issued 87,000 shares of common stock for payment of legal and consulting services. The fair value of the common stock was charged to operations.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1992, the Company sold 70,731 shares of common stock to private investors at \$2.75 to \$3.50 per share resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$219,900.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1992, the Company issued 45,734 shares of common stock as payment for services rendered to the Company. The fair value of the common stock was charged to operations.

During the fiscal years ended July 31, 1992 and 1990, 94,000 and 50,000 shares of common stock, respectively, were issued to the Company's Chief Executive Officer upon the conversion of outstanding debentures.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1993, the Company sold 352,667 shares of common stock to private investors at prices ranging from \$2.00 to \$3.00 per share resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$735,500. In addition, the private investors were granted options to purchase common stock totaling 587,167 shares at prices ranging from \$3.00 to \$7.00. During the fiscal years ended July 31, 1995 and 1996, 322,500 and 228,833 options expired, respectively. A total of 42,167 options due to expire on July 31, 1995 were extended to July 31, 1996 and their exercise price was reduced to \$2.50. During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1996, 35,834 options were exercised resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$89,600.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1993, the Company issued 54,600 shares of common stock as payment for legal and other services performed for the Company. The fair value of 49,600 shares was charged to operations. The remaining 5,000 shares were recorded as deferred compensation and were

amortized over a one-year period, beginning in February 1993, in accordance with the agreement entered into with the recipient.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1994, the Company issued 7,000 shares of common stock as payment for services performed for the Company. The fair value of the common stock was charged to operations.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1994, the Company sold 25,000 shares of common stock to a private investor at \$2.00 per share resulting in net proceeds to the Company of \$50,000. In addition, the private investor was granted options to purchase common stock totaling 25,000 shares at \$4.00 per common share. These options were exercised in September 1996 resulting in net proceeds to the Company of \$100,000.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1994, the Company sold 800,000 shares of common stock to private investors at \$2.50 per share resulting in net proceeds to the Company of \$1,865,791. In addition, the private investors were granted warrants to purchase common stock totaling 800,000 shares at \$5.00 per common share. Warrants for the purchase of 147,450 shares were exercised during fiscal 1997 resulting in net proceeds to the Company of \$737,250. The remaining 652,550 warrants expired during fiscal 1997.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1994, 400,000 shares of common stock were issued to the Company's Chief Executive Officer upon the conversion of outstanding debentures.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1994, 25,400 shares of common stock were issued upon the conversion of other outstanding debentures.

F-21

ALFACELL CORPORATION
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(7) Stockholders' Equity, (Continued)

In September 1994, the Company completed a private placement resulting in the issuance of 288,506 shares of common stock and three-year warrants to purchase 288,506 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$5.50 per share. The warrants expired during fiscal 1998. The common stock and warrants were sold in units consisting of 20,000 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock. The price per unit was \$50,000. The Company received proceeds of approximately \$545,000, net of costs associated with the placement of approximately \$55,000 and the conversion of certain debt by creditors of \$121,265 into equivalent private placement units of 17,600 shares for conversion of short-term borrowings and 30,906 shares issued for services rendered. In October 1994, an additional two units at \$50,000 per unit were sold to a private investor under the same terms as the September 1994 private placement resulting in the issuance of 40,000 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 40,000 shares of common stock. The warrants expired during fiscal 1998.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1995, 185,000 shares of common stock were issued upon the exercise of stock options by unrelated parties resulting in net proceeds to the Company of \$437,200. The exercise prices of the options ranged from \$2.27 to \$2.50, which had been reduced from \$3.50 and \$5.00, respectively, during fiscal 1995.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1995, the Company sold 681,000 shares of common stock to private investors resulting in net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$1,379,000. The shares were sold at prices ranging from \$2.00 to \$2.25.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1995, the Company sold 139,080 shares of common stock and 47,405 three-year warrants to purchase shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.00 per share to private investors. The stock and warrants were sold at prices ranging from \$2.25 to \$2.73 per share and resulted in net proceeds to the Company of \$343,808, of which \$4,800 was for services rendered. The common shares were issued to the investors subsequent to July 31, 1995.

On August 4, 1995, the Company issued 6,060 shares of common stock as payment for services rendered to the Company. The fair value of the common stock was charged to operations.

On September 29, 1995, the Company completed a private placement resulting in the issuance of 1,925,616 shares of common stock and three-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 55,945 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.00 per share. Of these shares 1,935 were issued for services rendered to the Company. The common stock was sold alone at per share prices ranging from \$2.00 to \$3.70, and in combination with warrants at per unit prices ranging from \$4.96 to \$10.92, which related to the number of warrants contained in the unit. The Company received proceeds of approximately \$4.1 million, including \$1,723,000 for approximately 820,000 shares received during the fiscal year ended July 31, 1995. The warrants expired in October 1998.

As consideration for the extension of the Company's term loan agreement with its bank, the Company granted the bank a warrant to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.19. The warrants were issued as of October 1, 1995 and expired on August 31, 1997.

In June 1996, the Company sold in a private placement 1,515,330 shares of common stock and three-year warrants to purchase 313,800 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$7.50 per share. Of these shares, 12,000 were issued for services rendered to the Company. The common stock was sold alone at a per share price of \$3.70, in combination with warrants at a per unit price of \$12.52 and warrants were sold alone at a per warrant price of \$1.42. Each unit consisted of three shares of common stock and one warrant. The Company received proceeds of approximately \$5.7 million. The warrants expired during the fiscal 2000.

F-22

ALFACELL CORPORATION
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(7) Stockholders' Equity, (Continued)

In June 1996, the Company issued 10,000 five-year stock options as payment for services rendered. The options vested immediately and have an exercise price of \$4.95 per share. The Company recorded research and development expense of \$28,260 which was the fair value of the stock options on the date of issuance. The options expired during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2001.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1996, 207,316 shares of common stock were sold from October 1995 to April 1996 at per share prices ranging from \$3.60 to \$4.24 resulting in proceeds of approximately \$808,000.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1996, 656,334 stock options were exercised by both related and unrelated parties resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$1.9 million to the Company. Of these shares, 89,634 were issued subsequent to July 31, 1996. The exercise prices of the options ranged from \$2.50 to \$3.87 per share.

In August 1996, the Company issued 10,000 stock options with an exercise price of \$4.69 per share exercisable for five years as payment for services to be rendered. An equal portion of these options vested monthly for one year commencing September 1, 1996. The Company recorded general and administrative expense of \$27,900 which was the fair value of the stock options on the date of issuance. Of these options, an aggregate total of 1,666 expired in September and October 2001.

In March 1997, the Company issued 112,000 shares of common stock at \$4.50 per share in a private placement to a single investor resulting in net proceeds of \$504,000 to the Company.

In May 1997, the Company issued 100,000 stock options to a director with an exercise price of \$5.20 per share as payment for serving as Chairman of the Scientific Advisory Board (the "SAB"). These options will vest as follows provided the director is then serving as Chairman of the SAB at the time of vesting: 10,000 vested immediately, 10,000 after one full calendar year, 10,000 annually for each of the following three years and 50,000 on May 13, 2002. The vesting of the 50,000 options which vest in May 2002 may be accelerated upon the occurrence of the following events: 25,000 options upon the good faith determination by the Company's Board of Directors that a substantive collaborative agreement with a major biopharmaceutical company was a result of Dr. Carter's efforts and 25,000 options upon the good faith determination by the Company's Board of Directors that Dr. Carter made a material contribution towards the approval by the United States Food and Drug Administration of a New Drug Application for the marketing of ONCONASE(R) in the United States. The Company recorded research and development expense of \$326,500 which was the fair value on the date of issuance of that portion of the stock options that had vested as of July 31, 2001.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1997, 639,500 stock options were exercised by both related and unrelated parties resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$2.6 million to the Company. The exercise prices of the options ranged from \$2.45 to \$4.00 per share.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1997, 147,450 warrants were exercised by both related and unrelated parties resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$737,250 to the Company. The exercise price of the warrants was \$5.00 per share.

F-23

ALFACELL CORPORATION
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(7) Stockholders' Equity, (Continued)

In October 1997, the Company issued 75,000 stock options to a director with an exercise price of \$3.66 per share as payment for non-board related services to be rendered. These options will vest as follows provided he has been serving continuously on the Company's board of directors at the time of vesting: 10,000 vested immediately; 10,000 after one full calendar year; 10,000 annually for each of the following three years; and 25,000 on October 31, 2002. The vesting and exercisability of the 25,000 options which vest in October 2002 may be accelerated upon the good faith determination of the Company's Board of Directors that a substantive collaborative agreement with a major pharmaceutical/biotechnology company was a direct result of the director's efforts. A total general and administrative expense of \$185,600 is being amortized over a five-year period which commenced in October 1997. As of July 31, 2001, the Company recorded general and administrative expense of \$162,500, based upon the fair value of such 75,000 options on the date of issuance, amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the grant.

In October 1997, the Company issued 12,000 five-year stock options to a consultant with an exercise price of \$3.91 per share as payment for services to be rendered. An equal portion of these options vest monthly and are to be amortized over a one-year period which commenced in October 1997. In May 1998, the Company terminated the services of the consultant which resulted in the cancellation of 5,000 options. The Company recorded a total research and development expense for the remaining 7,000 options in the amount of \$15,800, based upon the fair value of such options on the date of issuance, amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the grant.

On December 9, 1997, the stockholders authorized the amendment of the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock, par value \$.001 from 25,000,000 shares to 40,000,000 shares.

On December 9, 1997, the stockholders approved the 1997 Stock Option Plan (the "1997 Plan"). The total number of shares of common stock authorized for issuance upon exercise of options granted under the 1997 Plan is 2,000,000. Options are granted at fair market value on the date of the grant and generally are exercisable in 20% increments annually over five years starting one year after the date of grant and terminate five years from their initial exercise date.

On January 23, 1998 the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") declared $\,$ effective a registration $\,$ statement on Form S-3 for the offer and sale by certain stockholders of up to 3,734,541 shares of common stock. Of these shares (i) an aggregate of 2,737,480 shares were issued to private placement investors in private placement transactions which were completed during the period from March 1994 through March 1997 (the "Earlier Private Placements"), (ii) an aggregate of 409,745 shares are issuable upon exercise of warrants which were issued to private placement investors in the Earlier Private Placements and (iii) an aggregate of 587,316 shares may be issued, or have been issued, upon exercise of options which were issued to option holders in certain other private transactions. As a result of the delisting of the Company's Common Stock from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market, the Company no longer qualified for the use of a Form S-3 registration statement for this offering when it filed its Annual Report on Form 10-Kfor the fiscal year ended July 31, 1999 and thus, this registration statement was no longer effective. The Company filed a registration statement on Form S-1 to register these shares, which has not yet been declared effective.

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(7) Stockholders' Equity, (Continued)

In February 1998, the Company completed the February 1998 Private Placement primarily to institutional investors which resulted in the issuance of 1,168,575 units at a unit price of \$4.00. Each unit consisted of two (2) shares of the Company's common stock, par value \$.001 per share and one (1) three-year warrant to purchase one (1) share of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.50 per share. The Company received proceeds of approximately \$4,202,000, net of costs associated with the private placement of approximately \$472,000. The placement agent also received warrants to purchase an additional 116,858 units comprised of the same securities sold to investors at an exercise price of \$4.40 per unit as part of its compensation. In May 2001, the expiration date of these warrants was extended from May 19, 2001 to August 17, 2001. The warrants expired on August 17, 2001.

In March 1998, the Company entered into a conversion agreement with one of its raw material suppliers (the "Supplier") for the conversion of an outstanding payable (the "Conversion Agreement") into 50,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. Pursuant to the Conversion Agreement, the Company issued 50,000 shares of Common Stock to the Supplier. The fair value of the Common Stock approximated the outstanding payable amount of \$100,000.

In March 1998, the Company issued 75,000 stock options to a director with an exercise price of \$2.80 per share as payment for non-board related services to be rendered. These options will vest as follows provided he has been serving continuously on the Company's board of directors at the time of vesting: 10,000 vested immediately; 10,000 after one full calendar year; 10,000 annually for each of the following three years; and 25,000 on March 24, 2003. The vesting and exercisability of the 25,000 options which vest in March 2003 may be accelerated upon the good faith determination of the Company's Board of Directors that a substantive collaborative agreement and licensing or financing arrangement with a major pharmaceutical/biotechnology company was a direct result of the director's efforts. A total general and administrative expense of \$138,100 is being amortized over a five-year period which commenced in March 1998. As of July 31, 2001, the Company recorded general and administrative expense of \$109,300, based upon the fair value of such 75,000 options on the date of issuance, amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the grant.

On April 20, 1998 the SEC declared effective a registration statement on Form S-3 for the offer and sale by certain stockholders of up to 3,918,299 shares of common stock. Of these shares (i) an aggregate of 2,337,150 shares of Common Stock were issued to the private placement investors in the February 1998 Private Placement, (ii) an aggregate of 1,168,575 shares may be issued upon exercise of the Warrants which were issued to the private placement investors in the February 1998 Private Placement, (iii) 350,574 shares may be issued upon the exercise of the Placement Agent Warrant which was issued to the placement agent in the February 1998 Private Placement and the Warrants issuable upon exercise of the Placement Agent Warrant, (iv) 50,000 shares of Common Stock were issued to a Supplier in connection with conversion of an outstanding accounts payable, and (v) 12,000 shares may be issued upon the exercise of options which were issued as payment for services to be rendered. As a result of the delisting of the

Company's Common Stock from the Nasdaq SmallCap Market, the Company no longer qualified for the use of a Form S-3 registration statement for this offering when it filed its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 1999 and thus, this registration statement was no longer effective. The Company filed a registration statement on Form S-1 to register these shares, which has not yet been declared effective.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1998, the Company issued 833 three-year stock options as payment for services rendered in August 1997. The options vested thirty days from the issuance date and have an exercise price of \$4.47 per share. The total general and administrative expense recorded for these options was \$1,700, based upon the fair value of such options on the date of issuance. These options expired in August 2000.

F-25

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(7) Stockholders' Equity, (Continued)

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1998, the Company issued 15,000 three-year stock options with an exercise price of \$4.15 per share as payment for services to be rendered. An equal portion of these options vest monthly and a total general and administrative expense of \$30,000 is being amortized over a one-year period which commenced September 1997. The Company also issued 5,000 three-year stock options with an exercise price of \$4.15 per share as payment for services to be rendered. Of these options, 833 vested monthly for five months commencing September 30, 1997 and 835 vested on the last day of the sixth month. Total general and administrative expense of \$9,700 was amortized over a six-month period which commenced September 1997. As of July 31, 1998, the Company recorded general and administrative expense of \$37,100, based upon the fair value of the 20,000 stock options on the date of the issuance, amortized on a straight-line basis over the vesting periods of the grants. These options expired three years after it vested.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1998, 4,950 shares of Common Stock were issued upon the exercise of warrants by unrelated parties resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$11,100\$ to the Company. The exercise prices of the warrants ranged from \$2.20\$ to \$2.50 per share.

On October 1, 1998 (the "Effective Date"), the Company entered into an agreement with a consultant (the "Agreement"), resulting in the issuance of 200,000 five-year stock options with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share as payment for services to be rendered. These options will vest as follows: an aggregate of 20,000 shall vest on October 1, 1999 or upon signing of the first corporate partnering deal, whichever shall occur first; an aggregate of 2,500 of such options shall vest on the last day of each month over the first twelve months after the Effective Date of the Agreement; the remaining 150,000 options will vest on the third anniversary of the Effective Date of the Agreement provided that the consultant is still providing consulting services to the Company under the Agreement at that time. The vesting of such remaining options shall be accelerated as follows: 50,000 of such options or the remainder of the unvested options, whichever is less, shall vest upon the signing of each corporate partnering deal in which the total consideration provided in the Agreement is less

than \$5,000,000; 100,000 of such options or the remainder of the unvested options, whichever is less, shall vest upon the signing of each corporate partnering deal in which the total consideration provided in the Agreement is greater than \$5,000,000 but less than \$10,000,000; 200,000 of such options or the remainder of the unvested options, whichever is less, shall vest upon the signing of each corporate partnering deal in which the total consideration provided in the Agreement is greater than \$10,000,000. Should the Company sell a controlling interest in its assets and/or equity at any time after the signature of the Agreement, all options will vest. The Company has recorded approximately \$49,300 of general and administrative expense based upon the fair value of the vested options through July 31, 2000. Additional expense will be recorded in subsequent periods through October 1, 2001 as the remainder of the options vest. During the fiscal year ended July 31 2000, the Agreement was terminated which resulted in the cancellation of 150,000 options.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1999, the Company issued 5,000 three-year stock options as payment for services rendered. The options vested immediately and have an exercise price of \$1.43 per share. The total general and administrative expense recorded for these options was \$4,200, based upon the fair value of such options on the date of issuance.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1999, the Company issued 40,701 shares of common stock for payment of legal services. The fair value of the common stock in the amount of \$16,631 was charged to operations.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 1999, the Company issued 6,000 shares of common stock for payment of services rendered. The fair value of the common stock in the amount of \$2,460 was charged to operations.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2000, the Company issued 174,965 shares of common stock for payment of services rendered. The fair value of the common stock in the amount of \$92,184 was charged to operations.

F-26

ALFACELL CORPORATION
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(7) Stockholders' Equity, (Continued)

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2000, the Company issued 95,000 shares of common stock upon the exercise of stock options by unrelated parties which resulted in gross proceeds of \$45,850\$ to the Company. The exercise prices of the options ranged from \$0.43\$ to \$1.43.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2000, the Company sold an aggregate of 875,000 shares of common stock to private investors at prices ranging from \$0.50 to \$1.00 per share resulting in net proceeds of \$548,300 to the Company. In addition, the private investors were granted warrants to purchase an aggregate of 875,000 shares of common stock, inclusive of additional warrants issued so that all investors in the private placements received substantially the same securities, at per share exercise prices ranging from \$1.03 to \$4.55. The warrants will expire during the period commencing May 2003 and ending in May 2005.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2001, the Company issued 11,800 shares of common stock for payment of services rendered. The fair value of

the common stock in the amount of \$10,030 was charged to operations.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2001, the Company sold an aggregate of 863,331 shares of common stock to private investors at prices ranging from \$0.90 to \$1.50 per share resulting in net proceeds of \$956,000 to the Company. In addition, the private investors were granted warrants to purchase an aggregate of 696,665 shares of common stock at per share exercise prices ranging from \$1.50 to \$3.00. The warrants will expire during the period commencing July 2004 and ending in October 2006.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2001, the Company issued 165,555 shares of common stock upon the exercise of stock options by related parties which resulted in gross proceeds of \$83,700 to the Company. The per share exercise prices of the options ranged from \$0.29 to \$0.85.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2001, the Company issued 50,000 five-year stock options to a director as payment for non-board related services. These options vested immediately and have an exercise price of \$0.90 per share. The Company recorded general and administrative expense of \$31,600 which was the fair market value of the options, using the Black-Scholes options-pricing model, on the date of issuance. In addition, the director will receive a contingent award of 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock should the Company complete a strategic partnership or receive an investment from the prospective partner or its affiliates.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2001, the Company issued 330,000 shares of common stock upon the conversion of convertible notes from related parties. In addition, upon conversion, the related parties were granted three-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 330,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$2.50 per share. The estimated value of these warrants in the amount of \$108,900 was recorded by the Company as interest expense during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2001.

(8) Common Stock Warrants

During the fiscal years 1988 and 1991, the Board of Directors granted stock purchase warrants to acquire a maximum of 400,000 shares of common stock at \$5.00 per share which were not exercised and expired.

The following table summarizes the activity of common stock warrants issued in connection with the Private Placements completed in fiscal years 1994 through 2001:

F-27

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(8) Common Stock Warrants, (Continued)

	Warrants	Exercise Price	
Sold in March 1994 Private Placement	800,000	\$ 5.00	
Outstanding at July 31, 1994	800,000	5.00	

3/

3/

Sold in September 1994 Private Placement Sold in October 1994 Private Placement Sold in September 1995 Private Placement	288,506 40,000 47,405	5.50 5.50 4.00	12/
Outstanding and exercisable at July 31, 1995	1,175,911	4.00 - 5.50	3/
Issued to bank in connection with an amendment to the Company's term loan Sold in September 1995 Private Placement	10,000 8,540	4.19 4.00	
Outstanding and exercisable at July 31, 1996	1,508,251	4.00 - 7.50	3/
Exercised Expired	147,450 652,550	5.00 5.00	3/ 3/
Outstanding and exercisable at July 31, 1997	708,251	4.00 - 7.50	12
Sold in February 1998 Private Placement Issued to the Placement Agent in connection with	1,168,575	2.50	
the February 1998 Private placement (see note 7) Exercised Expired	350,574 4,950 338,506	2.20 - 2.50 2.20 - 2.50 4.19 - 5.50	8/31
Outstanding and exercisable at July 31, 1998	1,883,944	2.20 - 7.50	10/1
Expired	55 , 945	4.00	
Sold in February 2000 Private Placement Expired	875,000 313,800	\$ 1.03 - 4.55 7.50	5/28 8/30
Outstanding and exercisable at July 31, 2000	2,389,199	1.03 - 4.55	5/19
Sold in various private placements Issued to related parties upon conversion of note	696,665	1.50 - 3.00	7/07
payable	330,000	2.50	
Outstanding at July 31, 2001	3,415,864	1.03 - 4.55	8/17

F-28

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(9) Stock Options

1993 Stock Option Plan

The Company's stockholders approved the 1993 stock option plan totaling 3,000,000 shares, which provide that options may be granted to employees,

directors and consultants. Options are granted at market value on the date of the grant and generally are exercisable in 20% increments annually over five years starting one year after the date of grant and terminate five years from their initial exercise date.

1997 Stock Option Plan

The Company's stockholders approved the 1997 stock option plan totaling 2,000,000 shares, which provide that options may be granted to employees, directors and consultants. Options are granted at market value on the date of the grant and generally are exercisable in 20% increments annually over five years starting one year after the date of grant and terminate five years from their initial exercise date.

The following table summarizes stock option activity for the period August 1, 1994 to July 31, 2001:

	Shares Available for Grant 		Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share
Balance August 1, 1994 Granted	1,926,841	5,935,337 818,850	\$ 3.76 2.60
Exercised Canceled		(1,897,500)	4.30
Balance July 31, 1995 Granted	 1,107,991 (296,205)	4,671,687 296,205 (656,334)	3.39
Exercised Canceled		(656, 334) (235, 333)	4.89
Balance July 31, 1996 1997 Plan	818,286	4,076,225 932,500	3.43
Granted Exercised Canceled		932,500 (639,500) (484,845)	3.82
Balance July 31, 1997 Granted Canceled	2,370,631 (234,333) 91,100	3,884,380 234,333 (91,100)	3.56 3.31 3.81
Balance July 31, 1998 Granted Canceled	2,227,398 (595,000) 443,934	(555,737)	3.54 0.62 3.97
Balance July 31, 1999 Granted Exercised Canceled	2,076,332 (827,000) 638,395	827,000 (95,000) (1,031,880)	3.05 0.52 0.48 2.73
Balance July 31, 2000 Granted Exercised Canceled	774,315	447,000 (165,555) (1,018,557)	2.65 0.85 0.51 3.42
Balance July 31, 2001	2,215,042 ======	3,029,884 ======	2.24

F-29

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(9) Stock Options, (Continued)

The stock options granted in fiscal year ended July 31, 2000 included an aggregate total of 75,000 stock options issued to the Company's outside board of directors and an aggregate total of 350,000 stock options issued to the employees of the Company, which will vest and become exercisable upon certain milestones, or these options will terminate, and the employees must be actively employed by Alfacell through the date of the approval. Compensation expense, if any, will be determined based on the Company's stock price on the vesting date relative to the options exercise price. No compensation expense was issued in 2000 and 2001. An aggregate 50,000 options issued to the Company's outside board of directors were exercised during the fiscal year 2001. The options outstanding at July 31, 2001 will expire between August 1, 2001 and August 21, 2009.

The weighted-average fair value per option at the date of grant for options granted during the fiscal years 2001, 2000 and 1999 were \$0.74, \$0.45 and \$0.36, respectively. The fair value was estimated using the Black-Scholes options pricing model based on the following assumptions:

	2001	2001 2000	
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%
Risk-free interest rate	5.50%	6.00%	6.00%
Expected stock price volatility	104.25%	114.50%	93.99%
Expected term until exercise (years)	6.00	6.37	5.59

Pro forma net loss and loss per share reflecting approximate compensation cost for the fair value of stock options awarded are as follows:

	2001	2000	1999
Net Loss:			
As reported	\$ (2,294,936)	\$ (1,722,298)	\$ (3,156,636)
Pro forma	(2,522,656)	(1,956,667)	(3,429,057)
Loss per common share:			
As reported	\$ (0.12)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.18)
Pro forma	(0.13)	(0.11)	(0.20)

The following table summarizes information concerning options outstanding at July 31, 2001:

Options Outstanding		Options Exercisable			
Range of Exercise Prices	Shares	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
\$0.00 - 1.99 2.00 - 2.99	1,404,445 96,550	5.66 5.14	\$0.62 2.73	528,645 41,550	\$0.61 2.80

	=======			=======	
	3,029,884			2,014,084	
		====	=====		=====
6.00 - 6.99	45,000	1.42	6.97	45,000	6.97
5.00 - 5.99	167,500	3.38	5.17	117,500	5.16
4.00 - 4.99	183,595	1.78	4.60	183,595	4.60
3.00 - 3.99	1,132,794	1.57	3.20	1,097,794	3.19

F-30

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(9) Stock Options, (Continued)

Stock option activity prior to adoption of SFAS No. 123 is as follows:

1981 Non-Qualified Stock Option Plan

In 1981, the Board of Directors adopted a non-qualified stock option plan and had reserved 300,000 shares for issuance to key employees or consultants. Options were nontransferable and expired if not exercised within five years. Option grants of 60,000 shares expired unexercised by July 31, 1991.

Non-Qualified Stock Options

The Board of Directors issued non-qualified stock options which were not part of the 1981 non-qualified stock option plan or the 1989 Stock Plan as follows:

	Shares	Price Range
Granted	1,782,000	\$ 3.00-3.87
Exercised	(276 , 989)	3.00-3.50
Canceled	(106,000)	3.00-3.50
Expired	(649,011)	3.00-3.50
Granted pursuant to conversion of certain liabilities:		
Related party	1,324,014	3.20
Unrelated party	73,804	3.20
Repurchased stock options	(102,807)	3.20
Balance at July 31, 1994	2,045,011	3.20-3.87

In connection with certain private placements, the Board of Directors had included in the agreements, options to purchase additional shares of the Company's common stock as follows:

	Shares	Price Range
Granted (42,167 options were repriced and extended	894,887	\$2.50-7.00
as described in note 9)		
Exercised	(81,000)	3.97-6.50
Expired	(201,720)	3.97-6.50
Balance at July 31, 1994	612,167	2.50-7.00
		========

All of the above options are expired as of July 31, 2001.

1989 Stock Plan

On February 14, 1989, the Company adopted the Alfacell Corporation 1989 Stock Plan (the "1989 Stock Plan"), pursuant to which the Board of Directors could issue awards, options and grants. The maximum number of shares of common stock that could have been issued pursuant to the option plan was 2,000,000.

No more options are being granted pursuant to this plan. The per share option exercise price was determined by the Board of Directors. All options and shares issued upon exercise were nontransferable and forfeitable in the event employment was terminated within two years of the date of hire. In the event the option was exercised and said shares were forfeited, the Company would return to the optionee the lesser of the current market value of the securities or the exercise price paid.

F-31

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(9) Stock Options, (Continued)

The stock option activity is as follows:

	Shares	Price Range
Granted, February 14, 1989	3,460,000	\$3.50-5.00
Options issued in connection with share purchase	36,365	2.75
Expired	(1,911,365)	2.75-5.00
Canceled	(10,000)	5.00
Balance at July 31, 1994	1,575,000	3.50-5.00
	========	========

As of fiscal year ended July 31, 1994, 1,703,159 options were granted under the 1993 stock option plan.

(10) Stock Grant and Compensation Plans

The Company had adopted a stock grant program effective September 1, 1981, and pursuant to said plan, had reserved 375,000 shares of its common stock for issuance to key employees. The stock grant program was superseded by the 1989 Stock Plan and no further grants will be given pursuant to the grant plan. The following stock transactions occurred under the Company's stock grant program:

Year ended July 31,	Shares	Fair Value	Amount of Compensation
1983	20,000	\$ 5.50	\$110 , 000
1984	19,750	5.125	101,219
1985	48,332	5.125-15.00	478,105
1986	11,250	5.125-15.00	107,032
1988	19,000	3.50	6,500

===== ====

On January 26, 1984, the Company adopted a stock bonus plan for directors and consultants. The plan was amended on October 6, 1986, to reserve 500,000 shares for issuance under the plan and to clarify a requirement that stock issued under the Plan could not be transferred until three years after the date of the grant. The stock bonus plan for directors and consultants was superseded by the 1989 Stock Plan and no further grants will be given pursuant to the stock bonus plan for directors and consultants. The following stock transactions occurred under the Company's stock bonus plan:

Year ended		Fair	Amount of
July 31,	Shares	Value	Compensation
1984	130,250	\$ 2.50-3.88	\$ 385 , 917
1985	99,163	3.50-15.00	879 , 478
1985	(42,500)	2.50	(105,825)*
1986	15,394	9.65-15.00	215,400
1987	5,000	15.00	75,000

 $^{^{\}star}$ Shares granted in 1984 were renegotiated in 1985 and canceled as a result of the recipient's termination.

F-32

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(9) Stock Grant and Compensation Plans, (Continued)

1989 Stock Plan

Under the 1989 Stock Plan, one million shares of the Company's common stock were reserved for issuance as awards to employees. The 1989 Stock Plan also provides for the granting of options to purchase common stock of the Company (see note 8). In addition, the 1989 Stock Plan provided for the issuance of 1,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock as grants. To be eligible for a grant, grantees must have made substantial contributions and shown loyal dedication to the Company.

Awards and grants were authorized under the 1989 Stock Plan during the following fiscal years:

Year ended July 31,	Shares	Fair Value	Amount of Compensation
1989	30,000	\$ 5.00	\$150 , 000
1990	56,000	6.00	336,000
1991	119,000	4.00	476,000
1992	104,000	2.75	286,000
1993	117,000	2.00	234,000

Compensation expense is recorded for the fair value of all stock awards and grants over the vesting period. The 1994 stock award was immediately vested. There were no stock awards in fiscal 2001, 2000 or 1999.

(11) Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes under the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" (SFAS No. 109). Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement carrying amounts and tax bases of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for all years in which the temporary differences are expected to reverse.

New Jersey has enacted legislation permitting certain corporations located in New Jersey to sell state tax loss carryforwards and state research and development credits or tax benefits. For the state fiscal year 2001 (July 1, 2000 to June 30, 2001), the Company had \$1,774,000 total available tax benefits of which \$602,000 was allocated to be sold between July 1, 2000 to June 30, 2001. In December 2000, the Company received \$451,000 from the sale of an aggregate of \$602,000 tax benefits which was recognized as a tax benefit for the fiscal year 2001. In December 1999, the Company received \$756,000 from the sale of its allocated tax benefits which was recognized as a tax benefit for the fiscal year 2000. The Company will attempt to sell the remaining balance of its tax benefits in the amount of approximately \$1,172,000 between July 1, 2001 and June 30, 2002, subject to all existing laws of the State of New Jersey. However, there is no assurance that the Company will be able to find a buyer for its tax benefits or that such funds will be available in a timely manner.

F-33

ALFACELL CORPORATION (A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(11) Income Taxes, (Continued)

At July 31, 2001 and 2000, the tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to the deferred tax assets are as follows:

		2001		2000
Deferred tax assets:				
Excess of book over tax depreciation				
and amortization	\$	83,946	\$	72,248
Accrued expenses		131,098		171,916
Federal and state net operating				
loss carryforwards	1	4,666,868	1	4,838,624
Research and experimentation and				
investment tax credit carry forwards		1,203,536		922 , 785
Total gross deferred tax assets	1	6,085,448	1	6,005,573
Valuation allowance	(1	6,085,448)	(1	6,005,573)
Net deferred tax assets	\$		\$	

A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The tax benefit assumed using the federal statutory tax rate of 34% has been reduced to an actual benefit of zero due principally to the aforementioned valuation allowance.

At July 31, 2001, the Company has federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$40,185,440 that expire in the years 2002 to 2021. The Company also has investment tax credit carryforwards of \$17,719 and research and experimentation tax credit carryforwards of \$913,149 that expire in the years 2002 to 2021. Ultimate utilization/availability of such net operating losses and credits may be significantly curtailed if a significant change in ownership occurs in accordance with the provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1986.

(12) Other Financial Information

Accrued expenses as of July 31, consist of the following:

	2001	2000
Payroll and payroll taxes	\$ 43,876	\$ 34,926
Professional fees	50 , 690	51,007
Clinical trial grants	327,745	308,070
Other	43,502	17,844
	\$465 , 813	\$411 , 847
		======

Other current assets as of July 31, consist of the following:

	2001	2000
Prepaid insurance Other	\$35,380 7,553	\$15,963 12,654
	\$42,933	\$28,617
	======	======

F-34

ALFACELL CORPORATION
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(13) Commitments and Contingencies

On July 23, 1991, the Board of Directors authorized the Company to pay Kuslima Shogen an amount equal to 15% of any gross royalties which may be paid to the Company from any license(s) with respect to the Company's principal product, ONCONASE(R), or any other products derived from amphibian source extract, produced either as a natural, synthesized, and/or genetically engineered drug for which the Company is the owner or co-owner of the patents, or acquires such rights in the future, for a period not to exceed the life of the patents. If the Company manufactures and markets its

own drugs, then the Company will pay an amount equal to 5% of net sales from any products sold during the life of the patents. On April 16, 2001, this agreement was amended and clarified to provide that Ms. Shogen would receive the 15% royalty payment relating to licensees or the 5% fee relating to sales but not both, unless the Company and the licensee both market the licensed product.

The Company has product liability insurance coverage in the amount of \$6,000,000 for clinical trials in the U.S. Additionally, the Company also maintains product liability insurance in Europe in the amount of DM20,000,000. No product liability claims have been filed against the Company. If a claim arises and the Company is found liable in an amount that significantly exceeds the policy limits, it may have a material adverse effect upon the financial condition of the Company.

(14) Research and Development Agreement

In November 1992, the Company entered into a CRADA with the NIH. In accordance with this CRADA, the NIH performed research for the Company on potential uses for its drug technology. During the term of this research and development agreement, which expired in July 31, 1999, the Company was obligated to pay approximately \$5,300 per month to the NIH. Total research and development expenses under this arrangement amounted to \$64,000 for the year ended July 31, 1999.

In August 1995, the Company entered into a CRADA with the NCI. In accordance with this CRADA, the NCI performed research for the Company on potential uses for its drug technology. During the term of this research and development agreement, which expired in August 1999, the Company was obligated to pay approximately \$5,200 per month to the NCI. In September 1999, this research and development agreement was amended to expire in August 2000 and in June 2000 the expiration was extended to expire in August 2001. Both extensions were without additional cost for the Company. Total research and development expenses under this arrangement amounted to \$5,200 and \$62,400 for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

(15) 401 (K) Savings Plan

Effective October 1, 1998, the Company adopted a 401(K) Savings Plan (the "Plan"). Qualified employees may participate by contributing up to 6% of their gross earnings to the Plan subject to certain Internal Revenue Service restrictions. The Company will match an amount equal to 50% of the first 6% of each participant's contribution. The Company's contribution is subject to a vesting schedule of 0%, 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% for employment of less than one year, one year, two years, three years and four years, respectively, except for existing employees which vesting schedule was based from the date the Plan was adopted. For the fiscal years ended July 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, the Company's contribution to the Plan amounted to \$23,826,\$21,714 and \$16,052, respectively.

F - 35

ALFACELL CORPORATION
(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements, Continued

(16) Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	First	Second	2001 Third	Fourth	Totals	First	Second
Interest income	\$3.8	\$3.0	\$1.5	\$4.8	\$13.1	\$15.0	\$11.3
Operating loss	(511.9)	(688.4)	(762.2)	(783.8)	(2,746.3)	(714.2)	(731.5)
Net income (loss)	(60.5)	(688.4)	(762.2)	(783.8)	(2,294.9)	(714.2)	24.3
Loss per share - basic and diluted	\$0.00	\$(0.04)	\$(0.04)	\$(0.04)	\$(0.12)	\$(0.04)	\$0.00