

InfuSystem Holdings, Inc
Form 10-K
March 22, 2017
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C., 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016

OR

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 001-35020

INFUSYSTEM HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Edgar Filing: InfuSystem Holdings, Inc - Form 10-K

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of

20-3341405
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Incorporation or Organization)

31700 Research Park Drive

Madison Heights, Michigan 48071

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, including Area Code:

(248) 291-1210

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on which Registered
Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share	NYSE MKT

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

(Title of Class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. YES NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods as the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: InfuSystem Holdings, Inc - Form 10-K

(check one)

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES NO

The aggregate market value of the registrant's voting equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the price at which the common stock was last sold as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, was \$50,821,129. In determining the market value of the voting equity held by non-affiliates, securities of the registrant beneficially owned by directors and officers of the registrant have been excluded. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes. The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of March 8, 2017 was 22,688,164.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the solicitation of proxies for its 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>		3
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	3
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>	14
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	26
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	26
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	26
Item 4.	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	27
<u>PART II</u>		28
Item 5.	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	28
Item 6.	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	29
Item 7.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	29
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u>	38
Item 8.	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	39
Item 9.	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures</u>	70
Item 9A.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	70
Item 9B.	<u>Other Information</u>	72
<u>PART III</u>		73
Item 10.	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	73
Item 11.	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	73
Item 12.	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	73
Item 13.	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	74
Item 14.	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	74
<u>PART IV</u>		75
Item 15.	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	75
Item 16.	<u>Form 10-K Summary</u>	75

Table of Contents

References in this Form 10-K to we , us , or the Company are to InfuSystem Holdings, Inc. (InfuSystem) and our wholly owned subsidiaries, as appropriate to the context.

Cautionary Statement About Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements contained in this Form 10-K are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act) and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). The words believe, may, will, estimate, continue, anticipate, intend, should, plan, expect, strategy, future, likely, variations of such words, expressions, as they relate to the Company, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. However, the absence of these words or similar expressions does not mean that a statement is not forward-looking. In connection with the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Company is identifying certain factors that could cause actual results to differ, perhaps materially, from those indicated by these forward-looking statements. InfuSystem does not intend, and does not undertake any obligation to update any forward looking statement to reflect future events or circumstances after the date of such statements. Important factors that could cause our actual results and financial condition to differ materially from the forward-looking statements include, without limitation, those described in Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Form 10-K, and the following:

our dependence on estimates of collectible revenue from third-party reimbursement;

litigation in which we may be involved from time to time;

risks associated with our recent identification of a material weakness in our control over financial reporting;

changes in third-party reimbursement processes, rates, contractual relationships and payor mix;

risks associated with the loss of a relationship with one or more third-party payors;

risks associated with a federal government shutdown;

risks associated with the federal government s sequestration;

our dependence on a limited number of third-party payors;

physicians acceptance of infusion pump therapy over alternative therapies and focus on early detection and diagnostics;

our dependence on our Medicare Supplier Number;

availability of chemotherapy drugs used in our infusion pump systems;

Edgar Filing: InfuSystem Holdings, Inc - Form 10-K

our expectations regarding enacted and potential legislative and regulatory changes impacting, among other things, the level of reimbursement received from the Medicare and state Medicaid programs including CMS competitive bidding;

our dependence upon our suppliers;

periodic reviews and billing audits from governmental and private payors;

risks associated with the collection of sales or consumption taxes;

our ability to implement, both internally and externally, information technology improvements and to respond to technological changes, interruptions and security breaches;

our ability to maintain controls and processes over billing and collection and the adequacy of our allowance for doubtful accounts;

our ability to comply with state licensure laws for DME suppliers;

risks associated with our allowance for doubtful accounts;

Table of Contents

our ability to execute our business strategies to grow our business, including our ability to introduce new products and services;

natural disasters affecting us, our customers or our suppliers;

industry competition;

compliance with regulatory guidelines affecting our billing practices;

defective products manufactured by third-party suppliers;

our ability to execute on acquisition and joint-venture opportunities and integrate any acquired businesses;

our ability to maintain relationships with health care professionals and organizations;

our ability to comply with changing health care regulations;

our ability to protect our intellectual property;

our ability to hire and retain key employees;

our ability to remain in compliance with our credit facility or future debt agreements;

general economic uncertainty;

volatility in the market price of our stock;

the future price our stock may be negatively affected by not paying dividends;

potential dilution to current stockholders from the issuance of equity awards; and

we may be subject to limitations on net operating loss carryforwards and certain built-in losses following an ownership change. These risks are not exhaustive. Other sections of this Form 10-K include additional factors which could adversely impact our business and financial performance. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for us to predict all risk factors, nor can we assess the impact of all factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements made in this Form 10-K speak only as of the date of this report. We do not intend, and do not undertake any obligation, to update any forward-looking statements to reflect future events or circumstances after the date of such statements, except as

Edgar Filing: InfuSystem Holdings, Inc - Form 10-K

required by law.

You should not rely upon forward-looking statements as predictions of future events. Our actual results and financial condition may differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements. We qualify all of our forward-looking statements by these cautionary statements. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward looking-statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. Therefore, you should not rely on any of the forward-looking statements. In addition, with respect to all of our forward-looking statements, we claim the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

Table of Contents

PART I

**Item 1. Business.
Background**

The Company is a Delaware corporation, which was formed in 2005. It operates through operating subsidiaries, including InfuSystem Holdings USA, Inc., a Delaware corporation (Holdings), InfuSystem, Inc., a California corporation (ISI), First Biomedical, Inc., a Kansas corporation (First Biomedical) and IFC, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (IFC).

Business Concept and Strategy

We are a leading provider of infusion pumps and related products and services for patients in the home, oncology clinics, ambulatory surgery centers, and other sites of care from five locations in the United States and Canada. We provide our products and services to hospitals, oncology practices and facilities and other alternate site health care providers. Headquartered in Madison Heights, Michigan, we deliver local, field-based customer support, and also operate pump service and repair Centers of Excellence in Michigan, Kansas, California, Texas, Georgia and Ontario, Canada. ISI is accredited by the Community Health Accreditation Program (CHAP) while First Biomedical is ISO certified.

Our core service is to supply electronic ambulatory infusion pumps and associated disposable supply kits to oncology clinics, infusion clinics and hospital outpatient chemotherapy clinics to be utilized in the treatment of a variety of cancers including colorectal cancer, pain management and other disease states (Oncology Business). Colorectal cancer is the third most prevalent form of cancer in the United States, according to the American Cancer Society, and the standard of care for the treatment of colorectal cancer relies upon continuous chemotherapy infusions delivered via ambulatory infusion pumps.

In addition, we sell or rent new and pre-owned pole mounted and ambulatory infusion pumps to, and provide biomedical recertification, maintenance and repair services for oncology practices as well as other alternate site settings including home care and home infusion providers, skilled nursing facilities, pain centers and others. We also provide these products and services to customers in the hospital market.

We purchase new and pre-owned pole mounted and ambulatory infusion pumps from a variety of sources on a non-exclusive basis. We repair, refurbish and provide biomedical certification for the devices as needed. The pumps are then available for sale, rental or to be used within our ambulatory infusion pump management service.

One aspect of our business strategy is to expand into treatment of other cancers. In 2016, our Oncology Business approximated 64% of our total revenues. In 2016, we generated approximately 40% of our total revenues from treatments for colorectal cancer and 24% of our revenues from treatments for non-colorectal disease states. There are a number of approved treatment protocols for pancreatic, head and neck, esophageal and other cancers, as well as other disease states which present opportunities for growth. There are also a number of other drugs currently approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (the FDA), as well as agents in the pharmaceutical development pipeline, which we believe could potentially be used with continuous infusion protocols for the treatment of diseases other than colorectal cancer. Additional drugs or protocols currently in clinical trials may also obtain regulatory approval over the next several years. If these new drugs or protocols obtain regulatory approval for use with continuous infusion protocols, we expect the pharmaceutical companies to focus their sales and marketing efforts on promoting the new drugs and protocols to physicians.

Another aspect of our business is to seek opportunities to leverage our extensive billing capabilities, pump resources and networks of oncology practices and insurers. This leverage may take the form of new products and/or services, strategic alliances, joint ventures and/or acquisitions. One of these is providing our ambulatory pumps, products, and services in the area of post-surgical peripheral nerve block. With regard to acquisitions, we

Table of Contents

believe there are additional opportunities, beyond our acquisition of Ciscura Holding Company, Inc., and its subsidiaries (Ciscura) that was made in April 2015, to acquire smaller, regional competitors, in whole or part that perform similar services to us but do not have the national market access, network of third-party payor contracts or operating economies of scale that we currently enjoy. We also plan to leverage our extensive networks of oncology practices and insurers by distributing complementary products, including pain management and smart pumps, and introducing key new information technology based services such as BlockPain Dashboard™, EXPRESS™, InfuBus™ or InfuConnect™, InfuTrack™ and Pump Portal™.

We face the risk that other competitors can provide the same services as we provide. That risk is currently mitigated and barriers to entry are created by our (i) growing number of third-party payor networks under contract, which exceeded 450 third-party payor networks for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016, an increase of 110 networks, or 32%, over the prior year period; (ii) economies of scale, which allow for predictable reimbursement and less costly purchase and management of the pumps, respectively; (iii) established, long standing relationships as a provider of pumps to outpatient oncology practices in the U.S.; (iv) established national presence with Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs); (v) pump fleet of ambulatory and large volume infusion pumps for rent and for sale, which may allow us to be more responsive to the needs of physicians, outpatient oncology practices, hospitals, outpatient surgery centers, homecare practices, patient rehabilitation centers and patients than a new market entrant; (vi) six geographic locations in the U.S. and Canada that allow for same day or next day delivery of pumps with plans for a seventh in the northeastern U.S.; and (vii) pump repair and service capabilities at all of these facilities. We do not perform any research and development on pumps, but we have made, and continue to make, significant investments in developing our information technology as described below.

Management is intent on extending its considerable breadth of payor networks under contract as patients move into different insurance coverages, including Medicaid and Insurance Marketplace products. In some cases, this may slightly reduce our aggregate billed revenues payment rate but result in an overall increase in collected revenues, as shown by a reduction in bad debt expense. Consequently, we are increasingly focused on net collected revenues less bad debt.

In the midst of changes in the healthcare arena, we believe that we will support our overall business strategy discussed above by (i) focusing on supporting recurring revenues by increasing our pump fleet; (ii) improving liquidity and strengthening the balance sheet by keeping debt levels comparable to our operations; (iii) improving internal operational efficiencies; (iv) increasing our product and services offerings; (v) enhancing our technology offerings to the patients and providers of care; and (vi) investigating synergistic acquisitions.

Continuous Infusion Therapy

Continuous infusion of chemotherapy involves the gradual administration of a drug via a small, lightweight, portable infusion pump over a prolonged period of time. A cancer patient can receive his or her medicine anywhere from one to 30 days per month depending on the chemotherapy regimen that is most appropriate to that individual's health status and disease state. This may be followed by periods of rest and then repeated cycles with treatment goals of progression-free disease survival. This drug administration method has replaced intravenous push or bolus administration in specific circumstances. The advantages of slow continuous low doses of certain drugs are well documented. Clinical studies support the use of continuous infusion chemotherapy for decreased toxicity without loss of anti-tumor efficacy. The 2015 National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) Guidelines recommend the use of continuous infusion for treatment of numerous cancer diagnoses. We believe that the growth of continuous infusion therapy is driven by three factors: evidence of improved clinical outcomes; lower toxicity and side effects; and a favorable reimbursement environment.

Significant recent progress has been made in the treatment of colorectal cancer due to advances in surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy. In the late 1990s, medical researchers discovered that the delivery method of the drug (or schedule) was a key component to drug availability, efficacy and tolerability. Schedule dependent anti-

Table of Contents

tumor activity and toxicity has resulted in continuous infusion 5-Fluorouracil being adopted as the standard of care. In 2000, the FDA approved Camptosar (the trade name for the generic chemotherapy drug Irinotecan), a drug developed by Pfizer, for first-line therapy in combination with 5-Fluorouracil for the treatment of colorectal cancer. In 2002, the FDA approved Eloxatin (the trade name for the generic chemotherapy drug Oxaliplatin), a drug developed by Sanofi-Aventis, for use in combination with continuous infusion 5-Fluorouracil for the treatment of colorectal cancer. FOLFIRI, the chemotherapy protocol which includes Camptosar in combination with continuous infusion 5-Fluorouracil and the drug Leucovorin, and FOLFOX, the chemotherapy protocol which includes Eloxatin in combination with continuous infusion 5-Fluorouracil and Leucovorin, have resulted in significantly improved overall survival rates for colorectal cancer patients at various stages of the disease state. We believe that Sanofi-Aventis and Pfizer have each dedicated significant resources to educating physicians and promoting the use of FOLFOX and FOLFIRI. Simultaneously, the NCCN has established these regimens as the standards of care for the treatment of colorectal cancer.

The use of continuous infusion has been demonstrated to decrease or alter the toxicity of a number of cytotoxic, or cell killing agents. Higher doses of drugs can be infused over longer periods of time, leading to improved tolerance and decreased toxicity. For example, the cardiotoxicity (heart muscle damage) of the chemotherapy drug Doxorubicin is decreased by schedules of administration according to The Chemotherapy Source Book by Michael C. Perry. Nausea, vomiting, diarrhea and decreased white blood cell and platelet counts are all affected by duration of delivery. Continuous infusion can lead to improved tolerance and patient comfort while enhancing the patient's ability to remain on the chemotherapy regimen. Additionally, the lower toxicity profile and resulting reduction in side effects enables patients undergoing continuous infusion therapy to continue a relatively normal lifestyle, which may include continuing to work, going shopping, and caring for family members. We believe that the partnering of physician management and patient autonomy provide for the highest quality of care with the greatest patient satisfaction.

We believe that oncology practices have a heightened sensitivity to whether and how much they are reimbursed for services. Simultaneously, the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) and private insurers are increasingly focusing on evidence-based medicine to inform their reimbursement decisions that is, aligning reimbursement with clinical outcomes and adherence to standards of care. Continuous infusion therapy is a main component of the standard of care for certain cancer types because clinical evidence demonstrates superior outcomes. Payors recognize this and it is reflected in favorable reimbursement for clinical services related to the delivery of this care.

Services

Our core service is our Oncology Business. After providing ambulatory pumps to oncology offices, infusion clinics and hospital and outpatient chemotherapy clinics, we then directly bill and collect payment from payors and patients for the use of these pumps. At any given time, our pumps are in the possession of these facilities, on a patient, in transport, or in our facilities for cleaning, calibration and storage as reserves for increased demand.

After a physician determines that a patient is eligible for ambulatory infusion pump therapy, the physician arranges for the patient to receive an infusion pump and provides the necessary chemotherapy drugs. The physician and nursing staff train the patient in the use of the pump and initiate service. The physician bills the payors, which include Medicare, Medicaid, third-party payor companies or patients for the physician's professional services associated with initiating and supervising the infusion pump administration, as well as the supply of drugs. We directly bill (i) payors and (ii) patients for copays and deductibles, for the use of the pump and related disposable supplies. Billing to payors requires coordination with patients and physicians who initiate the service, as physicians' offices must provide us with appropriate documentation (patient's insurance information, physician's order, an acknowledgement of benefits that shows receipt of equipment by the patient, and, in some cases, physician's progress notes) in order for us to submit a bill to the payors. We do provide assistance to those that cannot afford our pumps via our financial hardship program a program that usually matches what our physician practices provide as long as the uninsured patients meet certain criteria. This billing process is handled from our Madison Heights, Michigan location.

Table of Contents

In addition to providing high quality and convenient care, we believe that our business offers significant economic benefits for patients, providers and payors.

We provide patients with 24-hour by 7 days a week (24x7) service and support. We employ oncology, pain, and Intravenous Certified and Oncology Certified registered nurses trained on ambulatory infusion pump equipment who staff our 24x7 hotline to address questions that patients may have about their pump treatment, the infusion pumps or other medical or technical questions related to the pumps.

Physicians use our services to outsource the capital commitment, pump service, maintenance and billing and administrative burdens associated with pump ownership. Our services also allow the doctor to continue a direct relationship with the patient and to receive professional service fees for setting up the treatment and administering the drugs.

We provide methods for the physician offices to deliver the appropriate paperwork for billing through a number of electronic means including EXPRESS™ and InfuConnect™ reducing the required effort on the employees of the physician offices.

We believe our services are attractive to payors because such services are generally less expensive than hospitalization or home care. Other services we offer include the rental, sale or leasing of pole mounted and ambulatory infusion pumps to oncology practices, hospitals and other clinical settings. As of December 31, 2016, our rental fleet of pole mounted and ambulatory pumps had a historical gross cost of \$59.0 million, up from \$53.7 million from the end of 2015, and included approximately 70 makes and models of equipment dedicated to our rental services. These pumps are available for daily, weekly, monthly or annual rental periods. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, we had a fleet of new and used pole mounted and ambulatory pumps with a historical cost of \$1.6 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, for sale or lease.

In addition to sales, rental and leasing services, we also provide biomedical maintenance, repair and certification services for the devices we offer as well as for devices owned by customers but not acquired from us. We operate pump service and repair Centers of Excellence from all of our locations across the United States and Canada and employ a staff of highly trained technicians to provide these services. Our main Center of Excellence for service is our Lenexa, Kansas facility.

We also offer electronic ambulatory infusion pumps for post-operative pain management using our pumps along with a numbing agent and a continuous nerve block catheter continuous peripheral nerve block (CPNB). Using CPNB for the management of post-operative pain, which usually lasts two to three days after surgery, can result in reduced pain for the patient, increased satisfaction scores for the surgical center or hospital, and reduced need for post-operative medication.

Information Technology

The Company's first Chief Information Officer was hired in 2013 to transform the Company's Information Technology (IT) platform and enhance business processes beginning in 2014. IT refocused on not only supporting our internal IT needs to reduce our platforms and redundant systems from two IT platforms into a consolidated solution but also in supporting electronic medical record technology (EMR) to be used by medical facilities using the Company's infusion pumps and services via our solutions such as EXPRESS™ and InfuConnect™. This focus has enabled current billing information to be transferred to the Company from these facilities electronically and automatically, bypassing the current methods of mail, email, and/or facsimile. We expect that this new focus will continue to strengthen our relationships with our existing customers and result in additional investment in intangible software assets by the Company. Additional IT customer focused solutions are PumpPortal™, InfuTrack™ (Pump Fleet Lifecycle Management Solutions) and BlockPain Dashboard™. Our continued focus on IT efforts has resulted in the following new products:

Table of Contents

EXPRESS™, powered by InfuBus data integration platform, provides for paperless delivery of the appropriate information for InfuSystem to bill payors:

eliminating all paper;

providing an enhanced visibility as a result of real time status and reporting;

reducing risk of error;

automating treatment logs, pump assignments, tracking and physician's orders;

providing a secure scanner for easy pumps assignment to patients; and

removing interruptions from physician practices daily schedules, and standardizing data flow for clinics and hospitals with multiple locations

Pump Fleet Lifecycle Management Solutions, which provide interfaces for customers to keep their pump fleets right-sized and in good condition by:

scheduling service;

requesting a returned goods authorization;

approving price quotes;

printing shipping labels;

recertifying pumps annually;

accessing pump service and certification history;

tracking pumps by location;

accessing pump order and repair history; and

Edgar Filing: InfuSystem Holdings, Inc - Form 10-K

ordering rental pumps.

BlockPain Dashboard™, which supports our new product solutions in providing our ambulatory pumps, products, and services in the area of post-surgical peripheral nerve block by:

delivering patient real-time pain score reporting to the provider;

supporting high patient satisfaction; and

providing data online, anytime.

In 2016 and 2015, the Company capitalized in excess of \$3.3 million and \$5.6 million, respectively, into IT, with specific focus as discussed above, plus other internal operational efficiencies and new products and support.

Relationships with Physician Offices

As of December 31, 2016, we had business relationships with clinical oncologists in excess of 1,800 outpatient oncology clinics. Although this represents a substantial number of the oncologists in the United States, we believe we can continue to expand our network to further penetrate the oncology market. Based on our retention rates and the positive results of our professional customer satisfaction research, we believe our relationships with physician offices are strong.

We believe that, in general, we do not compete directly with hospitals and physician offices to treat patients. Rather, by providing products and services to hospitals and physician offices and other care facilities and providers, we believe that we assist other providers in meeting increasing patient demand and managing institutional constraints on capital and manpower due to the nature of limited resources in hospitals and physician offices.

Table of Contents

Physician practices in the oncology field are consolidating similar to healthcare practices in general. However, as of December 31, 2016, we had gained more facilities than we had lost. We expect this trend to continue in the near future.

Employees

As of December 31, 2016, we had 250 employees, including 238 full-time employees and 12 part-time or contract employees. None of our employees are unionized.

Material Suppliers

We supply a wide variety of pumps and associated equipment, as well as disposables and ancillary supplies. The majority of our pumps are electronic infusion pumps purchased from the following manufacturer, which supplies more than 10% of the ambulatory pumps purchased by us: Smiths Medical, Inc. The Company has a supply agreement in place with this supplier. Certain spot purchases are made on the open market subject to individual negotiation.

Seasonality

Our business rental activity is not subject to seasonality. Revenues from this activity, net of bad debt, may be seasonal due to the impact of co-pays and deductibles for patients insurance that traditionally reset each January. This has been further impacted by changes in the insurance industry as it responds to increased government regulation. Also, rental customers tend to make buy versus rent decisions late in the year as customer capital budgets are being finalized, impacting sales revenue in the second half of the year, predominantly in the fourth quarter. Furthermore, as the Company's liquidity has improved, opportunistic pump purchases are made from time to time. These opportunistic pump purchases also allow for opportunistic pump sales, which could be material. The timing of such purchases and sales vary within the course of a year.

Environmental Laws

We are required to comply with applicable federal, state and local environmental laws regulating the disposal of cleaning agents used in the process of cleaning our ambulatory infusion pumps, as well as the disposal of sharps and blood products used in connection with the pumps. We do not believe that compliance with such laws has a material effect on our business.

Significant Customers

We have sought to establish contracts with as many third-party payor organizations as commercially practicable, in an effort to ensure that reimbursement is not a significant obstacle for providers who recommend continuous infusion therapy and wish to utilize our services. A third-party payor organization is a health care payor or a group of medical services payors that contracts to provide a wide variety of health care services to enrolled members through participating providers such as us. A payor is any entity that pays on behalf of a member patient.

As of December 31, 2016, we had contracts with more than 450 third-party payor networks, an increase of 110 networks, or 32%, over the prior year period. Material terms of contracts with third-party payor organizations are typically a set fee or rate, or a discount from billed charges for equipment provided. The majority of these contracts generally provide for a term of one year, with automatic one-year renewals, unless we or the contracted payor elect not to renew. For 2016 and 2015, our largest contracted payor was Medicare, which accounted for approximately 21% and 32% of our net revenue from our Oncology Business for 2016 and 2015, respectively, and approximately 13% and 19% of our total revenues for 2016 and 2015, respectively. Medicare represented 5% and 23% of our consolidated accounts receivable, net for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Table of Contents

Prior to July 1, 2016, our Oncology business model provided ambulatory pumps to oncology offices, infusion clinics and hospital and outpatient chemotherapy clinics and we would directly bill and collect payment from third party payors and patients for the use of these pumps. Effective July 1, 2016, we implemented Medical Learning Network (MLN) Matters Number SE1609 Medicare Policy Clarified for Prolonged Drug and Biological Infusions Started Incident to a Physician 's Service Using an External Pump clarification article (SE1609) from the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). The implementation of SE1609 resulted in our revenues being reduced by approximately \$2.6 million for the second half of 2016. For additional information regarding SE1609, see Recent Events in Our Business CMS in this Form 10-K.

For 2016 and 2015, our next largest contracted payor, was a national association comprised of multiple members, which, in the aggregate, accounted for approximately 19% and 18% of our net revenue from our Oncology Business for 2016 and 2015, respectively, and approximately 13% and 12% of our total revenues for 2016 and 2015, respectively. This same contracted payor represented 26% and 31% of our consolidated accounts receivable, net for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. We also contract with various other third-party payor organizations, Medicaid, commercial Medicare replacement plans, self-insured plans and numerous other insurance carriers. Other than the payors noted above, no other single payor represented more than 10% of third-party payor net revenue.

Competitors

We believe that our competition is primarily composed of regional durable medical equipment (DME) providers, hospital-owned DME providers, physician providers and home care infusion providers. An estimate of the number of competitors is not known or reasonably available, due to the wide variety in type and size of the market participants described below. We are not aware of any industry reports with respect to the competitive market described below. The description of market segments and business activities within those market segments is based on our experiences in the industry.

Regional DME Providers: Regional DME providers act as distributors for a variety of medical products. We believe regional DME provider sales forces generally consist of a relatively small number of salespeople, usually covering several states. Regional DME providers tend to carry a limited selection of infusion pumps and their salespeople generally have limited resources. Regional DME providers usually do not have 24x7 nursing services. We believe that regional DME providers have relatively few third-party payor contracts, which may prevent these providers from being paid at acceptable levels and may also result in higher out-of-pocket costs for patients.

Hospital-owned DME Providers: Many hospitals have in-house DME providers to supply basic equipment. In general, however, these providers have limited capital and tend to stock a small inventory of infusion pumps. We believe that hospital-owned providers have limited ability to grow because of limited patient populations. Growth from outside of the hospital may pose a challenge because hospitals typically will not provide referrals to competitors, instead preferring to offer patients a choice of non-hospital-affiliated DME providers.

Physician Providers: A limited number of physicians maintain an inventory of their own infusion pumps and provide them to patients for a fee. However, we believe that pump utilization in this area tends to be low and the costs associated with ongoing supplies, preventative maintenance and repairs can be relatively high. Moreover, we believe that a high percentage of DME claims by doctors are rejected by payors upon first submission, requiring a physician 's staff to spend significant time and effort to resubmit claims and receive payment for treatment. The numerous service and technical questions from patients may present another significant cost to a physician provider 's staff.

Home Care Infusion Providers: Home care infusion providers provide chemotherapy drugs and services to allow for in-home patient treatment. We believe that home care infusion treatment can be very costly and that many patients do not carry insurance coverage that covers home-based infusion services, resulting in larger out-of-pocket costs. Because home care treatments may take as long as six

Table of Contents

months, these costs can be high and can result in higher patient co-payments. We believe that home care providers may also be reluctant to offer 24x7 coverage or additional patient visits, due to capped fees.

Regulation of Our Business

Our business is subject to certain regulations. Specifically, as a Medicare supplier of DME and related supplies, we must comply with supplier standards established by CMS regulating Medicare suppliers of DMEPOS (DMEPOS Supplier Standards). The DMEPOS Supplier Standards consist of 30 requirements that must be met in order for a DMEPOS supplier to be eligible to receive payment for a Medicare-covered item. Some of the more significant DMEPOS Supplier Standards require us to (i) advise Medicare beneficiaries of their option to purchase certain equipment, (ii) honor all warranties under state law and not charge Medicare beneficiaries for the repair or replacement of equipment or for services covered under warranty, (iii) permit CMS agents to conduct on-site inspections to ascertain compliance with the DMEPOS Supplier Standards, (iv) maintain liability insurance in prescribed amounts, (v) refrain from contacting Medicare beneficiaries by telephone, except in certain limited circumstances, (vi) answer questions and respond to complaints of beneficiaries regarding the supplied equipment, (vii) disclose the DMEPOS Supplier Standards to each Medicare beneficiary to whom we supply equipment, (viii) maintain a complaint resolution procedure and record certain information regarding each complaint, (ix) maintain accreditation from a CMS approved accreditation organization, and (x) meet certain specified surety bond requirements.

We are also subject to the provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA), which are designed to protect the security and confidentiality of certain patient health information. Under HIPAA, we must provide patients access to certain records and must notify patients of our use of personal medical information and patient privacy rights. Moreover, HIPAA sets limits on how we may use individually identifiable health information and prohibits the use of patient information for marketing purposes. The adoption of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) includes a new breach notification requirement that applies to breaches of unsecured health information occurring on or after September 23, 2009. We are subject to regulations in the various states in which we operate. We believe we are in material compliance with all such regulations.

In addition, the ACA imposes a 2.3% excise tax on medical devices that applies to sales within the United States of a majority of our pump products that we purchase. This law imposes an excise tax on the first sale of medical devices by a manufacturer, producer, or importer equal to 2.3% of the sales price. This tax only applies directly to new pumps that we purchase from manufacturers. Taxable medical devices include any device as defined in Section 201(h) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act intended for humans, with the exception of eyeglasses, contact lenses, hearing aids and any other device determined by the Secretary of Health and Human Services to be a type which is generally purchased by the general public at retail for individual use. On December 18, 2015, under the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (Pub. L. 114-113), this excise tax was given a two-year moratorium on the medical device excise tax by Section 4191 of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code). Thus, the medical device excess tax does not apply to the sale of a taxable medical device by the manufacturer, producer, or importer of the device during the period beginning on January 1, 2016 and ending on December 31, 2017. The results of the November 8, 2016 U.S. presidential election creates uncertainty for the future of the ACA and other health care-related legislation. Future legislation could have a material effect on our business, cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.

Recent Events in Our Business

CMS

On April 25, 2016, CMS released SE1609. This clarification article is intended for all physicians and hospital outpatient departments submitting claims to Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs) for prolonged drug and biological infusions started incident to a physician s service using an external pump. It

Table of Contents

should be noted that this article does not apply to suppliers' claims submitted to Durable Medical Equipment (DME) MACs.

We interpret SE1609 as no longer permitting DME suppliers to submit billings to the DME MACs for the infusion pumps and supplies provided to Medicare patients in the circumstances described in SE1609. More particularly, SE1609 provides that when a drug is provided incident to physicians' services rendered to patients while in the physician's office or hospital outpatient department, the external pump is not separately billable as DME. This applies to any DME supplier, home infusion company, hospital outpatient clinic or physician office that provides ambulatory infusion pumps in a setting where treatment is initiated in the infusion setting prior to the patient being sent home for long term infusion. SE1609 states that an ambulatory infusion pump and supplies are not separately reimbursable as DME when a Medicare patient leaves an infusion setting and goes home with a pump. SE1609 indicates that the administration of the drug billed to the local Medicare Contractor should also include payment for the DME used in furnishing the service. Under existing laws, in order for Medicare to cover the cost of the drug and external pump, a physician, hospital, clinic, home infusion therapy company or DME supplier must incur a cost for the drug and pump.

We have historically submitted billings directly to DME MACs for our infusion pumps and portfolio of related services in the circumstances described above. In this regard, Medicare accounted for 21% and 32% of our total net revenues for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and 5% and 23% of our consolidated accounts receivable, net as of December 31, 2016 and as of December 31, 2015, respectively. As a result of SE1609, we now submit these billings, effective July 1, 2016, directly to physicians or hospitals who, in turn, will seek reimbursement from Medicare. In these cases, the providing physicians or hospitals, rather than Medicare, will be the primary obligor to us for payments for our infusion pumps and portfolio of related services.

It is important to note that SE1609 applies only to Medicare patients and, therefore, we currently expect that SE1609 will have no direct material impact on the majority of patients or our customers who are insured by private commercial carriers. In these cases, which currently represent a substantial majority of our revenues, we already submit billings directly to these private commercial carriers.

We estimate that the transition to the alternative direct billing arrangement and other recent announcements regarding commercial billing will in aggregate have a gross reduction to our net revenue due exclusively to the pricing impact of approximately \$1.3 million per quarter. However, our response to this change as well as the expected changes in the competitive dynamics in the marketplace stemming from this change could mitigate this decline in revenue. These include:

We are continuing to align processes with the new billing model, which we expect to reduce costs;

We expect our asset utilization to normalize;

We are continually pursuing additional strategies to further improve financial performance, including (i) conducting new analyses to identify facilities which may have unreported treatments, and (ii) implementing cost savings initiatives;

In the long term, SE1609 will not only impact us, but will reshape the entire market, which we expect to create additional opportunities; and

Our on-going third-party payor contracting effort has resulted in several contracts which we expect to materially improve collections on billings to these payors.

The ultimate impact of SE1609 will vary as we make internal changes in the short-term and as the market is reshaped in the long term. We believe that the reduction in revenue resulting from the pricing decrease could ultimately be mitigated by 2018 through our response as well as potential benefits gained from the competitive changes in the marketplace.

Table of Contents

In addition to restoring profitability, we intend to reduce debt through reduced capital investment in both IT systems and pump fleet.

Finally, however, there can be no assurance that SE1609 and the associated competitive dynamics in the marketplace will not further impact future revenues and net income or implicate other risks referred to in the Risk Factors section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2016. The estimates provided above are our best estimates of future events that may or may not be obtainable and there can be no assurance that we will achieve such results.

Restatement

As previously disclosed, we restated our consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015 and for each of the fiscal quarters ended March 31, 2015 through June 30, 2016 (the Restated Periods). The determination to restate the financial statements for the Restated Periods was made by our Audit Committee upon management's recommendation following the identification of errors principally related to an overstatement of estimated accounts receivable collections. Due to the errors, our management concluded that the Company's previously issued financial statements for the Restated Periods should no longer be relied upon. The Company filed amended reports for the Restated Periods with restated consolidated financial statements correcting the errors.

Material Weakness

In our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed on December 12, 2016, we reported a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting relating to the calculation error primarily related to our overstatement of estimated accounts receivable collections. With the oversight of our finance department and Audit Committee, we undertook significant efforts to remediate our material weakness in internal controls and as of December 31, 2016, we remediated the material weakness. During the fourth quarter of 2016, we designed, implemented and executed additional controls with regard to the calculation of our estimate of accounts receivable collections and have taken steps, including simplifying the calculation model, ensuring additional review of the calculation model by senior management and internal audit and involving outside accounting experts to review the model for accuracy and consistency, to assure that adequate procedures are in place on a go forward basis to remediate this weakness. These additional controls were tested as of December 31, 2016 and determined to be operating effectively. We will continue to refine and improve our design and operating effectiveness of internal control during 2017 and beyond.

Credit Facility

During the year ended December 31, 2015, we made optional pre-payments of \$4.8 million on its Term Loan A, which was applied against a future mandatory payment. Prepayments of \$1.9 million were applied to the September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2015 Term Loan A required principal payments and prepayments of \$2.9 million were applied to the March 31, 2016, June 30, 2016 and September 30, 2016 Term Loan A required principal payments.

Our financial restatement error that effected our 2015 Form 10-K and our Form 10-Q's for the quarters ended March 31, 2016 and June 30, 2016 along with our decision to prepay debt, resulted in the Company being non-compliant with its fixed charge coverage ratio covenant under its credit facility as of March 31, 2016, however, as of June 30, 2016, we would have been in compliance with this ratio covenant. As a result of our restatement of prior consolidated financial statements described herein, the following Events of Default occurred under the credit agreement governing the credit facility:

- (i) an Event of Default resulting from our breach of the Fixed Charge Coverage covenant as of March 31, 2016 as required under Section 6.12(b); and
- (ii) an Event of Default resulting from the unintentional misrepresentations made prior to the date of the First Amendment (as defined below) in connection with the certification as to the accuracy of the

Table of Contents

financial statements and compliance certificate delivered pursuant to Section 5.01 as they relate solely to the source of the error that has necessitated the restatement discussed herein.

We also experienced an Event of Default due to the delay in filing our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2016 because of our financial restatement.

In order to cure these violations, we entered into the first amendment to credit agreement and waiver (the First Amendment) on December 5, 2016. This First Amendment amends the credit agreement in the following material respects:

- (i) a waiver of the Event of Default that resulted from the failure to timely deliver the unaudited financial statements for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2016 as required under Section 5.01(b) and (c);
- (ii) a waiver of the Event of Default that resulted from breach of the Fixed Charge Coverage covenant as of March 31, 2016 as required under Section 6.12(b);
- (iii) a waiver of the Event of Default that resulted from the unintentional misrepresentations made prior to the date of the First Amendment in connection with the certification as to the accuracy of the financial statements and compliance certificate delivered pursuant to Section 5.01 as they relate solely to the source of the error that has necessitated the restatement discussed herein;
- (iv) a restructuring of the credit facility that effectively consolidated Term Loan A and Term Loan B into a single Term Loan resulting in a new total drawn amount of \$32 million under the Term Loan with the approximately \$5 million excess over the current aggregate drawn amounts under Term Loan A and Term Loan B to be available to reduce our drawings under the revolving credit line;
- (v) set the maturity of the new Term Loan described in item (iv) and the revolving credit line to five years from the effective date of the First Amendment;
- (vi) set the quarterly mandatory principal payment due on the Term Loan to \$1.3 million due on the last business day of each fiscal quarter with any remaining unpaid and outstanding amount due at maturity;
- (vii) amend the deadline for delivery of consolidated financial statements to allow for the delivery of such statements for the quarter ended September 30, 2016 by December 16, 2016;
- (viii) amend the deadline for delivery of our annual financial plan and forecast to 30 days after the end of each fiscal year;
- (ix) amend the Leverage Ratio covenant to provide for the following schedule of maximum permitted ratios: (i) 3.0 to 1.0 at any time on or after the effective date but prior to December 31, 2015, (ii) 2.75 to 1.0 at any time on or after December 31, 2015 but prior to March 31, 2017, (iii) 2.50 to 1.0 at any time on or after March 31, 2017 but prior to March 31, 2018 or (iv) 2.25 to 1.00 at any time on or after March 31, 2018;
- (x) amend the definition of EBITDA to provide for the exclusion of certain one-time expenses directly related to the financial restatement described herein; and

Edgar Filing: InfuSystem Holdings, Inc - Form 10-K

(xi) amend Section 8.01(a) to replace references to Jonathan Foster with Christopher Downs .

Available Information

Our Internet address is www.infusystem.com. On this Web site, we post the following filings as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"): our Annual Reports on Form 10-K; our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q; our Current Reports on Form 8-K; our proxy statements related to our annual stockholders' meetings; and any amendments to those reports or statements. All such filings are available on our Web site free of charge. The charters of our audit, nominating and governance and compensation committees and our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics Policy are also available on our Web site and in print to any stockholder who requests them. The content on our Web site is not incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K unless expressly noted.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

An investment in our securities involves a high degree of risk. You should consider carefully all of the material risks described below, together with the other information contained in this Form 10-K. If any of the following events occur, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows may be materially adversely affected.

RISK FACTORS RELATING TO OUR BUSINESS AND THE INDUSTRY IN WHICH WE OPERATE

Our business is substantially dependent on estimates of collectible revenue from third-party reimbursement.

Our revenues are substantially dependent on estimates of collectible revenue from third-party reimbursement. Due to the complex nature of third-party reimbursement for the use of continuous infusion equipment and related disposable supplies provided to patients, we must estimate, based upon historical averages, the amount of collectible revenue that may be derived from each patient treatment. If average reimbursement diverges from historical levels, the estimates of such revenue may diverge from actual collections.

We utilize statistical methods to account for such changes, but there can be no assurance that the revenue reported in any period will ultimately be collected. Any recognized revenue related to third-party reimbursement from prior periods, which remains uncollected until written off from accounts receivable, will negatively impact revenues in the period in which it is written off. Thus, over time, recognized revenue net of bad debt expense will approximate total collections.

We previously identified a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting which has resulted in, and, if our remediation plan is insufficient, in the future could result in, material misstatements in our financial statements. This material weakness, along with our restatement of our consolidated financial statements, could lead to additional uncertainties, including loss of investor confidence, stockholder litigation and negative impacts on our stock price.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over its financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act. As disclosed in Item 9A of Part II of this report, we identified a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting related to a calculation error in our statistical method of calculating collectible accounts receivable and corresponding revenue. A material weakness is defined as a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. As a result of this material weakness, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2015 or as of the end of the subsequent quarterly periods through September 30, 2016.

We developed a remediation plan designed to address this material weakness. As disclosed in Item 9A of Part II of this report, because of the material weakness identified by the Company, our consolidated financial statements contained material misstatements that required restatement of our financial results for the year ended December 31, 2015 and the first and second quarter of 2016, which also resulted in our inability to file our financial results in a timely manner for the third quarter of 2016. We believe the actions discussed in this report have remediated the identified material weakness. As we continue to evaluate and work to improve our internal controls over financial reporting, our senior management may determine to take additional measures to address control deficiencies or modify the remediation efforts described in this report. If in the future, the measures are insufficient to address the material weakness or if additional material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in the internal control are discovered or occur in the future, the consolidated financial statements may contain material misstatements and we could be required to restate our financial results, which could materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations or financial condition, restrict our ability to access the capital markets, require we expend significant resources to correct the weaknesses or deficiencies, subject us to

Table of Contents

finances, penalties or judgments, harm our reputation or otherwise cause a decline in investor confidence in our stock price. In addition, we are also the subject of stockholder litigation that has been filed relating to the restatement. We may incur substantial defense costs regardless of the outcome of such litigation. The time and attention of management may also be diverted because of this litigation. If we do not prevail in any such litigation, we could be required to pay substantial damages or settlement costs.

We may become subject to legal proceedings that could have a material adverse impact on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

From time to time and in the ordinary course of our business, we and certain of our subsidiaries may become involved in various legal proceedings. As discussed above, we are also the subject of stockholder litigation that has been filed relating to the restatement. All such legal proceedings are inherently unpredictable and, regardless of the merits of the claims, litigation may be expensive, time-consuming and disruptive to our operations and distracting to management. If resolved against us, such legal proceedings could result in excessive verdicts, injunctive relief or other equitable relief that may affect how we operate our business. Similarly, if we settle such legal proceedings, it may affect how we operate our business. Future court decisions, alternative dispute resolution awards, business expansion or legislative activity may increase our exposure to litigation and regulatory investigations. In some cases, substantial non-economic remedies or punitive damages may be sought. Although we maintain liability insurance coverage, there can be no assurance that such coverage will cover any particular verdict, judgment or settlement that may be entered against us, that such coverage will prove to be adequate or that such coverage will continue to remain available on acceptable terms, if at all. If we incur liability that exceeds our insurance coverage or that is not within the scope of the coverage in legal proceedings brought against us, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our business is substantially dependent on third-party reimbursement. Any change in the overall health care reimbursement system may adversely impact our business.

Our revenues are substantially dependent on third-party reimbursement. We are paid directly by private insurers and governmental agencies, often on a fixed fee basis, for the use of continuous infusion equipment and related disposable supplies provided to patients. If the average fees allowable by private insurers or governmental agencies were reduced, the negative impact on revenues could have a material effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Also, if amounts owed to us by patients and insurers are reduced or not paid on a timely basis, we may be required to increase our bad debt expense and/or decrease our revenues.

Changes in the health care reimbursement system often create financial incentives and disincentives that encourage or discourage the use of a particular type of product, therapy or clinical procedure. Such changes may be impacted by the growth in ACOs, reduction of providers by payors, the use of lower cost rental networks and other factors. Market acceptance of continuous infusion therapy may be adversely affected by changes or trends within the health care reimbursement system. Changes to the health care reimbursement system that favor other technologies or treatment regimens that reduce reimbursements to providers or treatment facilities, including increasing competitive pressures from home health care and other companies that use our services, may adversely affect our ability to market our services profitably. Overall, such dependency and potential changes could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

For additional information pertaining to CMS and risks relating to third-party reimbursement, refer to Item 1 Business Significant Customers and also Recent Events in Our Business.

The loss of a relationship with one or more third-party payors could negatively impact our business.

Our contracts for reimbursement with third-party payors are often for a term of one year, with automatic one-year renewals, unless we or the contracted payor elect not to renew. These evergreen contracts are subject to

Table of Contents

termination upon written notice. One or more terminations could have a material and adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Any federal government shutdown may adversely impact our business.

Our revenues are dependent on private insurers and governmental agencies. In the absence of any bipartisan agreement in the federal government with respect to payments from governmental agencies, our revenues could be reduced. In addition, any federal government shutdown could also have a material and adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our business has and may continue to be adversely impacted by the U.S. federal government's sequestration.

On March 1, 2013, most agencies of the U.S. federal government automatically reduced their budgets according to an agreement made by Congress in 2012 known as sequestration. Originally devised as an incentive to force Congressional agreement on budget issues, the sequestration order was approved on March 1, 2013 by the President of the United States. Beginning in 2013, we were impacted by the sequestration order, which effects Medicare payments. For the year ended December 31, 2016, the impact on our revenue was \$0.4 million, which was consistent with the same twelve-month period in 2015. As of the date of this report, it is our understanding that the mandatory payment reduction of 2% will continue until further notice. We also believe that the cuts will likely continue until definitive action is taken by the U.S federal government on this issue.

Payor concentration may adversely impact our business.

A substantial portion of our contracted payor revenues have been dependent on one payor or a limited concentration of payors. In particular, Medicare represented approximately 21% and 32% of our net revenue from our Oncology Business for 2016 and 2015, respectively, or approximately 13% and 19% of our total revenues for 2016 and 2015, respectively. Medicare represented 5% and 23% of our consolidated accounts receivable, net for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. For 2016 and 2015, our next largest contracted payor was a national association comprised of multiple members, which, in the aggregate accounted for approximately 19% and 18% of our net revenue for our Oncology Business for 2016 and 2015, respectively, and approximately 13% and 12% of our total revenues for 2016 and 2015, respectively. This same contracted payor represented 26% and 31% of our consolidated accounts receivable, net for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. We also contract with various other third-party payor organizations, Medicaid, commercial Medicare replacement plans, self-insured plans and numerous other insurance carriers. Other than the payors noted above, no other single payor represented more than 10% of third-party payor net revenue. To the extent such dependency continues, significant fluctuations in revenues, results of operations and liquidity could arise if any other significant contracted payor reduces its reimbursement for the services we provide.

Our billing process is dependent on meeting payor claims processing guidelines which are subject to change at the discretion of the payors. Such changes would materially impact our ability to bill and the timing of such billings, which could materially and adversely impact our revenues, bad debt expense and cash flows, which impact would be even greater if such changes are made by one of our larger payors.

The continued consolidation of physician practices, outpatient infusion clinics, oncology clinics, homecare providers and hospitals increases the concentration of decision makers whom either choose to use our ambulatory electronic pumps within our Oncology Business or directly rent, lease or purchase pumps or supplies directly from us.

While we make every effort to benefit from such concentration, such concentration could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents

Increased focus on early detection and diagnostics may adversely affect our business.

An increased focus on lowering health care spending via improved diagnostic testing (i.e., defensive medicine) and patient monitoring could materially and negatively affect our business. A large portion of our ambulatory infusion pumps are dedicated to a specific form of cancer (i.e., colorectal). As a result of rising health care costs, there may be a demand for more cost-effective approaches to disease management, specifically for colorectal cancer, as well as for emphasis on screening and accurate diagnostic testing to facilitate early detection of potentially costly, severe afflictions. Any change in the approach to treatment of colorectal cancer could have a material and adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

If future clinical studies demonstrate that oral medications or other therapies that do not use our electronic ambulatory pumps are at least as effective as continuous infusion therapy, our business could be adversely affected.

Numerous ongoing clinical trials are currently evaluating and comparing the therapeutic benefits of current continuous infusion-based regimens with various oral medication regimens. If these clinical trials demonstrate that oral medications provide equal or greater therapeutic benefits and/or demonstrate reduced side effects compared to prior oral medication regimens, our revenues and overall business could be materially and adversely affected. Additionally, if new oral medications or other therapies that do not utilize our ambulatory electronic pumps are introduced to the market that are superior to existing oral therapies, physicians' willingness to prescribe continuous infusion-based regimens could decline, which would materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We are dependent on our Medicare Supplier Number.

We are required to have a Medicare Supplier Number in order to bill Medicare for services provided to Medicare patients. Furthermore, all third-party and Medicaid contracts require us to have a Medicare Supplier Number. We are required to comply with Medicare DMEPOS Supplier Standards in order to maintain such number. If we are unable to comply with the relevant standards, we could lose our Medicare Supplier Number. The loss of such identification number for any reason would prevent us from billing Medicare for patients who rely on Medicare to pay their medical expenses and, as a result, we would experience a decrease in our revenues. Without such a number, we would be unable to continue our various third-party and Medicaid contracts. A significant portion of our revenues are dependent upon our Medicare Supplier Number, the loss of which would materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The CMS requires that all DME providers must be accredited by a CMS approved accreditation organization. On February 17, 2009, we initially received accreditation from the CHAP, and we have remained accredited to date. If we lost our accredited status, our business, financial condition, revenues and results of operations would be materially and adversely affected.

Our success is impacted by the availability of the chemotherapy drugs that are used in our continuous infusion pump systems.

We primarily derive our revenues from the rental of ambulatory infusion pumps to oncology patients through physicians' offices and chemotherapy clinics. A shortage in the availability of chemotherapy drugs that are used in the continuous infusion pump system, which has occurred in the past, could have a material and adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The impact of United States health care reform legislation on us remains uncertain.

The ACA has perpetuated the development of alternative provider payment models by CMS and the major national commercial payors. These payment models do not replace the current fee-for-service models nor replace current payor contracts, but rather provide additional financial incentives to certain accountable providers to improve quality and lower cost. The implications for the Company will come from the provider networks that are

Table of Contents

forming in order to integrate and coordinate care under these alternative models with CMS and the commercial payors. These provider networks include ACOs, patient-centered primary care medical homes, specialty medical homes, networks accepting bundled payment programs, and other performance networks that contract with CMS and commercial payors under alternative payment models that financially reward improved quality and lower medical cost. The relationship between us and our provider practices and facilities that are participating in these provider networks under alternative payment models will depend on (i) the extent to which these provider networks give priority to the medical cost associated with our DME services and (ii) whether our services are seen as part of a care delivery model that delivers higher value higher quality at a lower cost.

Our failure to perform under these alternative payment models, or under similar models or conditions introduced by future legislation, could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. In addition, the results of the November 8, 2016 U.S. presidential election creates uncertainty for the future of the ACA and other health care-related legislation.

We rely on independent suppliers for our products. Any delay or disruption in the supply of products, particularly our supply of electronic ambulatory pumps, may negatively impact our operations.

Our infusion pumps are obtained from outside vendors. The majority of our new pumps are electronic infusion pumps which are supplied to us by two major suppliers: Smiths Medical, Inc. and WalkMed Infusion, LLC. The loss or disruption of our relationships with outside vendors, including pump, parts, or supply recall or pump end of life announcements, could subject us to substantial delays in the delivery or service of pumps to customers. Significant delays in the delivery or service of pumps could result in possible cancellation of orders and the loss of customers. Our inability to provide pumps to meet delivery schedules could have a material adverse effect on our reputation in the industry, as well as on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We face periodic reviews and billing audits from governmental and private payors and these audits could have adverse results that may negatively impact our business.

As a result of our participation in the Medicare and Medicaid programs, we are subject to various governmental reviews and audits to verify our compliance with these programs and applicable laws and regulations. We also are subject to audits under various government programs in which third-party firms engaged by CMS conduct extensive reviews of claims data and medical and other records to identify potential improper payments under the Medicare program. Private pay sources also reserve the right to conduct audits. If billing errors are identified in the sample of reviewed claims, the billing error can be extrapolated to all claims filed which could result in a larger overpayment than originally identified in the sample of reviewed claims. Our costs to respond to and defend reviews and audits may be significant and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Moreover, an adverse review or audit could result in:

required refunding or retroactive adjustment of amounts we have been paid by governmental or private payors;

state or Federal agencies imposing fines, penalties and other sanctions on us;

loss of our right to participate in the Medicare program, state programs, or one or more private payor networks; or

damage to our business and reputation in various markets.

Any one of these results could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

We do not collect sales or consumption taxes in some jurisdictions.

Our core services are exempt from sales tax or its equivalent in many states. However, there are a several states that consider pump rentals, sales and services taxable regardless of method of payment. We are collecting sales tax or its equivalent in several jurisdictions. A successful assertion by one or more states or localities

Table of Contents

requiring us to collect taxes where we currently do not, could result in substantial tax liabilities, including for past sales, as well as penalties and interest.

If we are unsuccessful in our efforts to implement and support information technology improvements or respond to technological changes, our growth, prospects and results of operations could be adversely affected.

To remain competitive, we must continue to enhance and improve the functionality and features of our technology solutions and services. We have implemented a service to support EMR technology with some of our outpatient infusion practices that enables billing information to be transferred between us and medical facilities electronically and automatically, thus eliminating the current use of mail, email and/or faxes. We have also implemented a web portal that supports our rental and service customers. If these efforts cease to be successful, our reputation and ability to attract and retain customers and contributors will be adversely affected. Furthermore, we are likely to incur expenses in connection with continuously updating and improving our technology infrastructure and services. Without such improvements, our operations might suffer from unanticipated system disruptions, slow application performance or unreliable service levels, any of which could negatively affect our reputation and ability to attract and retain customers and contributors. We may face significant delays in introducing new services, products and enhancements.

If competitors introduce new products and services using new technologies or if new industry standards and practices emerge, our existing technology and systems may become obsolete or less competitive, and our business may be harmed. In addition, the expansion and improvement of our systems and infrastructure will require us to commit substantial financial, operational and technical resources, with no assurance that our business will improve.

All of these factors could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Cyber security risks and cyber incidents could adversely affect our business and disrupt operations.

Cyber incidents can result from deliberate attacks or unintentional events. These incidents can include, but are not limited to, gaining unauthorized access to digital systems for purposes of misappropriating assets or sensitive information, corrupting data, or causing operational disruption. The result of these incidents could include, but are not limited to, disrupted operations, misstated financial data, liability for stolen assets or information, increased cyber security protection costs, litigation and reputational damage adversely affecting customer or investor confidence. We have implemented systems and processes to focus on identification, prevention, mitigation and resolution. However, these measures cannot provide absolute security, and our systems may be vulnerable to cyber-security breaches such as viruses, hacking, and similar disruptions from unauthorized intrusions. In addition, we rely on third party service providers to perform certain services, such as payroll and tax services. Any failure of our systems or third party systems may compromise our sensitive information and/or personally identifiable information of our employees. While we have secured cyber insurance to potentially cover certain risks associated with cyber incidents, there can be no assurance the insurance will be sufficient to cover any such liability.

Technological interruptions or the efficiency of our website and technology solutions could damage our reputation and brand and adversely affect our results of operations.

The satisfactory performance, security, reliability and availability of our network infrastructure are critical to our reputation, our ability to attract and retain customers and our ability to maintain adequate customer service levels. Any system interruptions, outside intrusions, or security breaches could result in negative publicity, damage our reputation and brand or adversely affect our results of operations. We may experience temporary system interruptions for a variety of reasons, including security breaches and other security incidents, viruses, telecommunication and other network failures, power failures, software errors or data corruption. We rely upon third-party service providers, such as co-location and cloud service providers, for our data centers and application

Table of Contents

hosting, and we are dependent on these third parties to provide continuous power, cooling, internet connectivity and physical security for our servers. In the event that these third-party providers experience any interruption in operations or cease business for any reason, or if we are unable to agree on satisfactory terms for continued hosting relationships, our business could be harmed and we could be forced to enter into a relationship with other service providers or assume hosting responsibilities ourselves. Although we operate two data centers in an active/standby configuration for geographic and vendor redundancy and even though we maintain a third disaster recovery facility to back up our content collection, a system disruption at the active data center could result in a noticeable disruption of our services. Even a disruption as brief as a few minutes could have a negative impact on marketplace activities and could therefore result in a loss of revenues. Because some of the causes of system interruptions may be outside of our control, we may not be able to remedy such interruptions in a timely manner, or at all.

All of these factors could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Our failure to maintain controls and processes over billing and collecting could have a significant negative impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

The collection of accounts receivable is a significant challenge, and requires constant focus and involvement by management and ongoing enhancements to information systems and billing center operating procedures. If we are unable to properly bill and collect our accounts receivable, our results could be materially and adversely affected. While management believes that our controls and processes are satisfactory, there can be no assurance that accounts receivable collectability will remain at current levels.

State licensure laws for DME suppliers are subject to change. If we fail to comply with any state laws, we will be unable to operate as a DME supplier in such state and our business operations will be adversely affected.

As a DME supplier operating in all 50 states, we are subject to each state's licensure laws regulating DME suppliers. State licensure laws for DME suppliers are subject to change and we must ensure that we are continually in compliance with the laws of all 50 states. In the event that we fail to comply with any state's laws governing the licensing of DME suppliers, we will be unable to operate as a DME supplier in such state until we regain compliance. We may also be subject to certain fines and/or penalties and our business operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Our allowance for doubtful accounts may not be adequate to cover actual losses.

Our third-party payor contracts do not guarantee annual inflationary increases, typical of the DME payor contracting environment. Contracted reimbursement rates are either subject to increases or decreases in CMS program rates or if not indexed to government rates, are frozen until those payors contracts are reopened and renegotiated. While we monitor reimbursement levels to identify specific payor reimbursement rates that have eroded and renegotiate such rates, we may not be able to maintain or improve overall reimbursement levels, thereby compromising the adequacy of the predicted allowance for doubtful accounts.

We may also face reduced reimbursements from private third-party payors. As a result, our customers may be unable to make timely payments to us. Although we maintain allowances for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments, we cannot guarantee that we will continue to experience the same loss rates that we have in the past. If we begin to experience an increase in our loss rates in excess of our allowances for doubtful accounts it could materially and adversely impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents

Our growth strategy includes expanding into treatment for cancers other than colorectal. There can be no assurance that continuous infusion-based regimens for these other cancers will become standards of care for large numbers of patients or that we will be successful in penetrating these different markets.

An aspect of our growth strategy is to expand into the treatment of other cancers, such as head, neck and gastric. This population of patients will expand only if clinical trial results for new drugs and new combinations of drugs demonstrate superior outcomes for regimens that include continuous infusion therapy relative to alternatives. No assurances can be given that these new drugs and drug combinations will be approved or will prove superior to oral medication or other treatment alternatives. In addition, no assurances can be given that we will be able to penetrate successfully any new markets that may develop in the future or manage the growth in additional resources that would be required.

Our business may be subject to natural forces beyond our control.

Natural disasters, including hurricanes, earthquakes, floods, excessive snowfall and other unfavorable weather conditions, may affect our operations. Natural catastrophes may have a detrimental effect on our gross revenue, preventing many patients from visiting a facility to obtain our ambulatory infusion pumps or receive treatment. Similarly, such events could impact key suppliers or vendors, disrupting the services or materials they provide us. The severity of these occurrences, should they ever occur, will determine the extent to which and if our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows is materially and adversely affected.

The industry in which we operate is intensely competitive and ever-changing. If we are unable to successfully compete with our competitors, our business operations may suffer.

The drug infusion industry is highly competitive. Some of our competitors and potential competitors, including some of the practices that we service, have significantly greater resources than we do for information technology, marketing and sales. As a result, they may be better able to compete for market share, even in areas in which our services may be superior. The industry is subject to technological changes and such changes may put our current fleet of pumps, smart pump licensing, our information technology solutions or our other technological-based solutions at a competitive disadvantage. Furthermore, the healthcare industry, in general, is experiencing market consolidation, reducing the number of decision makers. If we are unable to effectively compete in our market, our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows may be materially and adversely affected.

Our industry is dependent on regulatory guidelines that affect our billing practices. If our competitors do not comply with these regulatory guidelines, our business could be adversely affected.

Aggressive competitors may not fully comply with rules regarding CMS and other payors' billing and documentation requirements. Competitors, who do not meet the same standards of compliance that we do with respect to billing regulations, may put us at a potential competitive disadvantage. We are a participating provider with Medicare and under contract with approximately 450 third-party payor networks, all of which have very stringent guidelines. If our competitors do not comply with these regulatory guidelines, we could be put at a potential competitive disadvantage and our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be material and adversely affected.

Although we do not manufacture the products we distribute, if one of the products distributed by us proves to be defective or is misused by a health care practitioner or patient, we may be subject to liability that could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Although we do not manufacture the pumps that we distribute, a defect in the design or manufacture of a pump distributed or serviced by us, or a failure of pumps distributed by us to perform for the use specified, could have a material and adverse effect on our reputation in the industry and subject us to claims of liability for injuries and otherwise. Misuse of the pumps distributed by us by a practitioner or patient that results in injury

Table of Contents

could similarly subject us to liability. Any substantial underinsured loss could have a material and adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Furthermore, any impairment of our reputation could have a material and adverse effect on our revenues and prospects for future business.

We intend to continue to pursue opportunities for the further expansion of our business through strategic alliances and/or joint ventures. Future strategic alliances and/or joint ventures may require significant resources and/or result in significant unanticipated costs or liabilities to us.

We intend to continue to pursue opportunities for the further expansion of our business through strategic alliances and/or joint ventures. Any future strategic alliances or joint ventures will depend on our ability to identify suitable partners, negotiate acceptable terms for such transactions and obtain financing, if necessary. These investments require significant managerial attention, which may be diverted from our other operations.

If we engage in strategic acquisitions, we may experience significant costs and difficulty in assimilating operations or personnel, which could threaten our future growth.

If we make any acquisitions, we could have difficulty assimilating operations, technologies and products and services. In addition, we could have difficulty integrating or retaining personnel and maintaining employee morale as we take steps to combine the personnel and business cultures of separate organizations into one and to eliminate duplicate positions and functions. It may also be difficult for us to preserve important relationships with others, such as strategic partners, customers, and suppliers, who may delay or defer decisions on agreements with us, or seek to change existing agreements with us, because of the acquisition. In addition, acquisitions may involve entering markets in which we have no or limited direct prior experience. The occurrence of any one or more of these factors could disrupt our ongoing business, distract our management and employees' attention from our ongoing business operations, result in decreased operating performance and increase our expenses. Moreover, our profitability may suffer because of acquisition-related costs or amortization of intangible assets. Furthermore, we may have to incur debt or issue equity securities in future acquisitions. The issuance of equity securities would dilute our existing stockholders.

We may be unable to maintain adequate working relationships with health care professionals.

We seek to maintain close working relationships with respected physicians and medical personnel in hospitals and universities. We rely on these professionals to assist us in the development of proprietary service and improvements to complement and expand our existing service and product lines. If we are unable to maintain these relationships, our ability to market and sell new and improved products and services could decrease and future operating results could be unfavorably affected.

If we fail to comply with applicable governmental or accrediting bodies' regulations, we could face substantial penalties and our business, operations and financial condition could be adversely affected.

Certain federal, state health care, and accreditation bodies' laws and regulations, including those pertaining to fraud and abuse and patients' rights are applicable to our business. The laws that affect our ability to operate include:

the federal health care program Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, soliciting, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, to induce (i) the referral of an individual, for an item or service, or (ii) the purchasing or ordering of a good or service, for which payment may be made under federal health care programs such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs;

federal false claims laws which prohibit, among other things, knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, claims for payment from Medicare, Medicaid, or other third-party payors that are false or fraudulent, and which may apply to entities like us that promote medical devices, provide medical device management services and may provide coding and billing advice to customers;

Table of Contents

HIPAA, which prohibits executing a scheme to defraud any health care benefit program or making false statements relating to health care matters and which also imposes certain requirements relating to the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information; and

state law equivalents of each of the above federal laws, such as anti-kickback and false claims laws that may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third-party payor, including commercial insurers, and state laws governing the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ in significant ways from state to state and often are not preempted by HIPAA, thus complicating compliance efforts.

If our operations are found to be in violation of any of the laws described above or any other regulations that apply to us, we may be subject to penalties, including civil and criminal penalties, damages, fines and the curtailment or restructuring of our operations. Any penalties, damages, fines, curtailment or restructuring of our operations could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Any action against us for violation of these laws, even if we successfully defend against it, could cause us to incur significant legal expenses and divert our management's attention from the operation of our business. Moreover, achieving and sustaining compliance with applicable federal and state privacy, security and fraud laws may prove costly.

Failure to protect our intellectual property could substantially harm our business and operating results.

In order to protect our trade secrets and other confidential information, we rely in part on confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants and third parties with whom we have relationships. These agreements may not effectively prevent disclosure of trade secrets and other confidential information and may not provide an adequate remedy in the event of misappropriation of trade secrets or any unauthorized disclosure of trade secrets and other confidential information. In addition, others may independently discover our trade secrets and confidential information, and in such cases we could not assert any trade secret rights against such parties. Costly and time-consuming litigation could be necessary to enforce or determine the scope of our trade secret rights and related confidentiality and nondisclosure provisions. Failure to obtain or maintain trade secret protection, or our competitors' acquisition of our trade secrets, could materially and adversely affect our competitive business position.

We are dependent upon executive officers and other key personnel. The loss of any of our executive officers or other key personnel could reduce our ability to manage our businesses and achieve our business plan, which could cause our sales to decline and our operating results and cash flows to suffer.

Our success is substantially dependent on the continued services of our executive officers and other key personnel who generally have extensive experience in our industry. Our future success also will depend in large part upon our ability to identify, attract and retain other highly qualified executive officers, managerial, finance, technical and sales and marketing personnel. Competition for these individuals is intense. The loss of the services of any executive officer or other key employee, or our failure to attract and retain other qualified and experienced personnel on acceptable terms, could have a material and adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Covenants in our current and any future debt agreement restrict our business.

Our credit agreement contains, and the agreements that govern our future indebtedness may contain, covenants that restrict our ability to and the ability of our subsidiaries to, among other things:

engage in a transaction that results in a change of control, as defined by the credit agreement governing the credit facility;

create, incur, assume or suffer to exist any lien upon any of our property, assets or revenues;

make certain investments or acquisitions;

Table of Contents

create, incur, assume or suffer to exist any indebtedness;

merge, dissolve, liquidate, consolidate or sell all or substantially all of our assets;

make any disposition or enter into any agreement to make any disposition;

repurchase outstanding stock from the open market; and

declare or make, directly or indirectly, any dividend or other restricted payment, or incur any obligation (contingent or otherwise) to do so.

These covenants may restrict our ability to operate our business. Our failure to comply with these covenants could result in an event of default that, if not cured or waived, could result in reduced liquidity for the Company and could have a material and adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Additionally, our ability to pay interest and repay the principal for our indebtedness is dependent upon our ability to manage our business operations, generate sufficient cash flows to service such debt and the other factors discussed in this section. Our credit agreement also contains certain financial covenants. As of December 31, 2016, we were in compliance with all such covenants, however, as a result of our restatement of prior consolidated financial statements described in this report, we would have been in violation of the Fixed Charge Ratio covenant as of March 31, 2016. In order to cure this violation, we entered into the First Amendment to Credit and Waiver Agreement on December 5, 2016. There can be no assurance that we will be able to manage any of the risks associated with debt agreements successfully.

Economic uncertainty or economic deterioration could adversely affect us.

While the global economy is improving, there are still uncertainties surrounding the strength of the recovery that may continue to drive stock market and interest rate volatility and adversely impact consumer confidence, product demand, and our ability to refinance our debt. Economic conditions, along with our operating performance, may also materially and adversely impact our ability to access the financial markets. Accordingly, our future business and financial results are subject to uncertainty. If economic conditions deteriorate in the future, our future revenues and financial results could be materially and adversely affected.

RISK FACTORS RELATING SPECIFICALLY TO OUR COMMON STOCK

The market price of our common stock has been, and is likely to remain, volatile, subject to low trading volume and may decline in value.

The market price of our common stock has been and may continue to be volatile. Market prices for securities of health care services companies, including ours, have historically been volatile, and the market has from time to time experienced significant price and volume fluctuations that appear unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. The following factors, among others, can have a significant effect on the market price of our common stock:

announcements of technological innovations, new products, or clinical studies by others;

government regulation;

changes in the coverage or reimbursement rates of private insurers and governmental agencies;

announcements regarding new products or services;

announcements or speculation regarding strategic alliances, mergers, acquisitions or other transactions;

developments in patent or other proprietary rights;

the liquidity of the market for our common stock;

news of other healthcare events or announcements;

Table of Contents

changes in health care policies in the United States or globally;

global financial conditions; and

comments by securities analysts and general market conditions.

The realization of any risks described in these Risk Factors could also have a negative effect on the market price of our common stock.

We do not pay dividends and this may negatively affect the price of our stock.

Under the terms of our credit agreement, our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is limited and we do not anticipate paying dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. The future price of our common stock may be adversely impacted because we do not pay dividends.

Restricted stock and the exercise of stock options may depress our stock price and may result in dilution to our common stockholders.

There are a significant number of shares of restricted stock and outstanding options to purchase our stock. If the market price of our common stock rises above the exercise price of outstanding options, holders of those securities may be likely to exercise their options and sell the common stock acquired upon exercise in the open market. Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market by holders of options may depress the prevailing market price for our common stock and could impair our ability to raise capital through the future sale of our equity securities. Additionally, if the holders of outstanding options exercise those options, our common stockholders will incur dilution in their relative percentage ownership.

As of December 31, 2016, options to purchase 2.5 million shares of common stock were outstanding, at a weighted average exercise price of \$2.53 per share, of which 1.6 million were exercisable at a weighted average exercise price of \$2.89 per share. In addition, restricted stock of 0.1 million shares, with a weighted average grant date fair value of \$2.13 per share, were outstanding and were issuable upon the vesting of certain time restrictions.

We may be subject to limitations on net operating loss carryforwards and certain built-in losses following an ownership change.

If we experience an ownership change, either via a major transaction or a series of trades where a substantial percentage of our ownership changes, which may be less than a majority of our ownership in certain cases, we may be limited in our ability to use our deferred tax assets and may be required to record a valuation allowance against such assets.

During the fourth quarter of 2016, we completed an update to our analysis of past ownership (as defined under Section 382 of the Code), and as a result, we believe that, consistent with previously completed analyses, we have not experienced an ownership change from December 31, 2010 through the date of such updated analysis. We have undertaken a definitive analysis necessary to quantify the effect of ownership change as of December 31, 2010 on the net operating loss carryforwards generated prior to December 31, 2010. Based on the analysis, we are subject to an annual limitation of \$1.8 million on our use of remaining pre-ownership change net operating loss carryforwards of \$4.7 million (and certain other pre-change tax attributes). Our federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$24.1 million will begin to expire in various years beginning in 2028. There can be no assurance that we will not experience an ownership change in the future, in which case we may be limited in our ability to use our deferred tax assets and may be required to record a valuation allowance against such assets.

Table of Contents**Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.**

None.

Item 2. Properties.

We do not own any real property. We lease office and warehouse space at the following locations:

City	State/Country
Madison Heights	Michigan
Lenexa	Kansas
Houston	Texas
Santa Fe Springs	California
Mississauga	Ontario, Canada
Alpharetta	Georgia

We believe that such office and warehouse space is suitable and adequate for our business.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

From time to time in the ordinary course of our business, we may be involved in legal proceedings, the outcomes of which may not be determinable. The results of litigation are inherently unpredictable. Any claims against us, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, require significant amounts of management time and result in diversion of significant resources. We are not able to estimate an aggregate amount or range of reasonably possible losses for those legal matters for which losses are not probable and estimable, primarily for the following reasons: (i) many of the relevant legal proceedings are in preliminary stages, and until such proceedings develop further, there is often uncertainty regarding the relevant facts and circumstances at issue and potential liability; and (ii) many of these proceedings involve matters of which the outcomes are inherently difficult to predict. We have insurance policies covering potential losses where such coverage is cost effective.

As a result of the restatement of our financial statements as of December 31, 2015 and the first and second quarter of 2016, we are currently involved in a class-action lawsuit filed by shareholders. On November 8, 2016, a purported shareholder of the Company filed a putative class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California (the Court) (Case No. 2:16-cv-08295-ODW) against the Company and two individual defendants: Eric Steen, the Company's current Chief Executive Officer, President and director; and Jonathan Foster, the Company's former Chief Financial Officer. The complaint alleges that the defendants issued materially false and misleading statements in and/or omitted material facts from documents filed with the SEC between May 12, 2015 and November 7, 2016. The complaint asserts claims against all defendants under the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws and against Messrs. Steen and Foster as control persons. The complaint seeks compensatory damages for the putative class, prejudgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees and other costs. Two other shareholders subsequently filed motions for appointment as lead plaintiff and for appointment of their attorneys as lead counsel for the putative class. On February 17, 2017, the Court appointed a lead plaintiff for the putative class. The parties have entered into a stipulation, adopted by the Court, pursuant to which it is expected that the lead plaintiff will file a consolidated amended complaint that will be the operative complaint going forward.

The Company has not determined that losses related to the foregoing matters are probable. Because the allegations of the operative complaint are not yet known, together with the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of litigation generally, the Company does not have sufficient information to determine the amount or range of reasonably possible loss with respect to these matters. The Company's assessments are based on estimates and assumptions that have been deemed reasonable by management, but that may prove to be

Table of Contents

incomplete or inaccurate, and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur that might cause the Company to change those estimates and assumptions. The Company and the individual defendants intend to vigorously defend the claims asserted against them in these matters, but there can be no assurances as to the outcome for such matters.

We are not at this time involved in any additional legal proceedings that we believe could have a material effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

The following tables set forth, for the calendar quarter indicated, the quarterly high and low bid information of our common stock, respectively, as reported on the NYSE-MKT.

Common Stock

Quarter ended	High	Low
December 31, 2016	\$ 2.80	\$ 1.55
September 30, 2016	\$ 3.48	\$ 2.34
June 30, 2016	\$ 3.67	\$ 2.52
March 31, 2016	\$ 3.75	\$ 2.66
December 31, 2015	\$ 3.09	\$ 2.67
September 30, 2015	\$ 3.30	\$ 2.22
June 30, 2015	\$ 3.42	\$ 2.73
March 31, 2015	\$ 3.15	\$ 2.43

Holder of Common Equity

As of March 8, 2017, we had approximately 340 stockholders of record of our common stock. This does not include beneficial owners of our common stock. None of our preferred stock is issued or outstanding.

Dividends

We have not paid any dividends on our common stock in the two most recent fiscal years. The payment of dividends in the future will be contingent upon our revenues and earnings, if any, capital requirements and general financial condition. Under the terms of our credit facility, we are limited in our ability to pay dividends. It is the present intention of our Board of Directors to retain all earnings, if any, for use in our business operations and, accordingly, our Board of Directors does not anticipate declaring any dividends in the foreseeable future.

Common Share Repurchase Program

Stock repurchases may be made through open market transactions, negotiated purchases or otherwise, at times and in such amounts as we deem to be appropriate. The timing and actual number of shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors, including price, financing and regulatory requirements, as well as other market conditions. The program does not require us to repurchase any specific number of shares or to complete the program within a specific period of time. For the years ending December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, no shares were repurchased under this program.

Shares Forgone to Satisfy Minimum Statutory Withholdings

During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, shares of common stock were issued to employees and directors as their restricted stock awards vested or stock options were exercised. Under the terms of our stock plans, at the election of each employee, we can authorize a net settlement of distributable shares to employees after satisfaction of an individual employee's tax withholding obligations. For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, we received 0.1 million shares from employees for tax withholding obligations.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

We did not sell any unregistered securities during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

Equity Compensation Plan Information

See Part III, Item 12 to this Form 10-K for information relating to securities authorized for issuance under our equity compensation plans.

Stock Performance Graph

InFuSystem is a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act and is not required to provide the information required under this item.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

InFuSystem is a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act and is not required to provide the information required under this item.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto included in this Form 10-K. The forward-looking statements included in this discussion and elsewhere in this Form 10-K involve risks and uncertainties, including those set forth under Cautionary Statement About Forward-Looking Statements. Actual results and experience could differ materially from the anticipated results and other expectations expressed in our forward-looking statements as a result of a number of factors, including but not limited to those discussed in this Item and in Item 1A Risk Factors.

Overview

We are a leading provider of infusion pumps and related products and services for patients in the home, oncology clinics, ambulatory surgery centers, and other sites of care from five locations in the United States and Canada. We provide our products and services to hospitals, oncology practices and facilities and other alternate site health care providers. Headquartered in Madison Heights, Michigan, we deliver local, field-based customer support, and also operate pump service and repair Centers of Excellence in Michigan, Kansas, California, Texas, Georgia and Ontario, Canada. ISI is accredited by the CHAP while First Biomedical is ISO certified.

Our core service is to supply electronic ambulatory infusion pumps and associated disposable supply kits to oncology clinics, infusion clinics and hospital outpatient chemotherapy clinics to be utilized in the treatment of a variety of cancers including colorectal cancer and other disease states. Colorectal cancer is the third most prevalent form of cancer in the United States, according to the American Cancer Society, and the standard of care for the treatment of colorectal cancer relies upon continuous chemotherapy infusions delivered via ambulatory infusion pumps.

In addition, we sell or rent new and pre-owned pole mounted and ambulatory infusion pumps to, and provide biomedical recertification, maintenance and repair services for, oncology practices as well as other alternate site settings including home care and home infusion providers, skilled nursing facilities, pain centers and others. We also provide these products and services to customers in the small-hospital market.

We purchase new and pre-owned pole mounted and ambulatory infusion pumps from a variety of sources on a non-exclusive basis. We repair, refurbish and provide biomedical certification for the devices as needed. The pumps are then available for sale, rental or to be used within our ambulatory infusion pump management service.

We view our payor environment as changing. Management is intent on extending its considerable breadth of payor contracts as patients move into different insurance coverages, including Medicaid and Insurance Marketplace products. In some cases, this may slightly reduce our aggregate billed revenues payment rate but result in an overall increase in collected revenues, as shown by a reduction in bad debt expense. Consequently, we are increasingly focused on net collected revenues less bad debt.

Table of Contents

In the midst of changes in the healthcare arena, we believe that we will support our overall business strategy discussed above by (i) focusing on supporting recurring revenues by increasing our pump fleet; (ii) improving liquidity and strengthening the balance sheet by keeping debt levels comparable to our operations; (iii) improving internal operational efficiencies; (iv) increasing our product and services offerings; (v) enhancing our technology offerings to the patients and providers of care; and (vi) investigating synergistic acquisitions.

For additional information pertaining to CMS, refer to Item 1 Business Significant Customers and also Recent Events in Our Business.

Key Business Metrics

Our management monitors a number of financial and non-financial measures and ratios on a regular basis in order to track the progress of our business and make adjustments as necessary. We believe that the most important of these measures and ratios include net revenue, net rental revenue, net collected rental revenue, gross margin, operating margin, net income, return on invested capital, cash and cash equivalents, net working capital, and debt levels including available credit and leverage ratios. These measures and ratios are compared to standards or objectives set by management, so that actions can be taken, as necessary, in order to achieve the standards and objectives.

InfuSystem Holdings, Inc. Results of Operations for the Year ended December 31, 2016 compared to the Year ended December 31, 2015

Revenues

Net Revenues Net revenues for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016 were \$70.5 million, which was consistent with the prior year's net revenues of \$70.5 million, primarily due to an increase in Product Sales offset by a similar decrease in rentals.

Rentals Decreased \$0.7 million, or 1%, compared to the prior year. Effective July 1, 2016, the Company implemented SE1609 from CMS, which resulted in our rental revenues being reduced by approximately \$2.6 million for the second half of 2016, offset by increases of approximately \$2.5 million, primarily related to the addition of larger customers and increased penetration into our existing commercial payor base, which generally have higher net revenue rates than non-commercial payors. This is also evidenced by our increase in third-party payor networks from 340 to 450, or 32%, compared to prior year. We view our payor environment as changing. Management is intent on extending its considerable breadth of payor contracts as patients move into different insurance coverages, including Medicaid and Insurance Marketplace products. In some cases, this may slightly reduce our aggregate billed revenues payment rate but result in an overall increase in collected revenues.

Product Sales Increased \$0.7 million, or 9%, compared to the prior year. Excluding a large sale made in the third quarter of 2016 of \$0.7 million that included low margin, revenue from product sales would have been relatively flat compared to prior year, which is consistent with our objective to focus less on the sales of pumps compared to the rental of pumps, which tend to have higher margins.

A substantial portion of our contracted payor revenues have been dependent on one payor or a limited concentration of payors. In particular, Medicare represented approximately 21% and 32% of our net revenue from our Oncology Business for 2016 and 2015, respectively, or approximately 13% and 19% of our total revenues for both 2016 and 2015, respectively. Medicare represented 5% and 23% of our consolidated accounts receivable, net for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. For 2016 and 2015, our next largest contracted payor was a national association comprised of multiple members, which, in the aggregate accounted for approximately 19% and 18% of our net revenue from our Oncology Business for both 2016 and 2015, respectively, and approximately 13% and 12% of our total revenues for both 2016 and 2015, respectively. This same contracted payor represented 26% and 31% of our consolidated accounts receivable, net

Table of Contents

for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. We also contract with various other third-party payor organizations, Medicaid, commercial Medicare replacement plans, self-insured plans and numerous other insurance carriers. Other than the payors noted above, no other single payor represented more than 10% of third-party payor net revenue. To the extent such dependency continues, significant fluctuations in revenues, results of operations and liquidity could arise if any other significant contracted payor reduces its reimbursement for the services we provide.

Gross Profit Decreased \$4.9 million, or 10%, compared to the prior year, largely attributable to the increase in cost of revenues product, service and supply costs of \$2.4 million, broken down as supplies and material costs of \$0.8 million, service costs of \$0.8 million, disposable costs of \$0.6 million and freight of \$0.2 million, while the increase in cost of revenues pump depreciation, sales and disposals of \$2.4 million was due to \$1.5 million of additional pump depreciation as a result of the record number of pump deployments in 2016 and the remaining \$0.9 million due to the increased costs of pumps sold during 2016 with lower margin. Gross profit as a percentage of net revenues decreased to 63% compared to the prior year at 70%.

Provision for Doubtful Accounts Increased \$0.4 million, or 8%, compared to the prior year. For 2016, we had a general increase in bad debt due to a change in our billing structure in the second half of 2016 for a large payor, however, this increase was offset by the Company's increased number of third-party payor contracts, which have increased from 340 to 450, or 32%, that are now being billed at in-network rates with lower rates of bad debt, whereby previous insurance billings were billed at higher out-of-network rates and higher rates of bad debt. Bad debt is primarily associated with rental revenues.

We view our payor environment as rapidly changing. Management is intent on continuing to extend its considerable breadth of payor contracts as patients move into different insurance coverages, including Medicaid and Insurance Marketplace products. As of December 31, 2016, we had more than 450 third-party payor networks under contract. In some cases, this may slightly reduce our aggregate billed revenues payment rate but result in an overall increase in collected revenues, as shown by a reduction in bad debt expense. Consequently, we are increasingly focused on net collected revenues less bad debt.

Amortization of Intangible Assets Increased \$1.0 million compared to the prior year. This increase was largely attributable to the completion of several IT projects, in turn increasing the related amortization, and the acquisition of Ciscura in April 2015 and the related amortization of intangibles.

Selling and Marketing Expenses For the year ended December 31, 2016, our selling and marketing expenses decreased to \$9.7 million, or 7%, compared to December 31, 2015 and decreased as a percentage of net revenues to 14% compared to the prior year at 15%. The decrease of \$0.8 million was largely due to a reduction for salaries and benefits of \$0.5 million and travel expenses of \$0.2 million. Selling and marketing expenses during these years consisted of sales personnel salaries, commissions and associated fringe benefit and payroll-related items, marketing, share-based compensation, travel and entertainment and other miscellaneous expenses.

General and Administrative Expenses General and administrative (G&A) expenses during 2016 and 2015 consisted primarily of accounting, administrative, third-party payor billing and contract services, customer service, nurses on staff, new product services, and service center personnel salaries, fringe benefits and other payroll related items, professional fees, legal fees, stock-based compensation, insurance and other miscellaneous items. During the year ended December 31, 2016, our G&A expenses were \$24.6 million, an increase of 4% from \$23.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The increase in G&A expenses versus the same prior year period was mainly attributable to increases in spending on IT and pain management initiatives of \$1.7 million offset by decreases in compensation and employee personnel of \$0.9 million. The Company has brought in-house certain services previously performed by outside advisors and contractors, including tax, legal, information technology, internal audit and increased warehouse headcount in Atlanta and Houston over the prior year period.

Table of Contents

The following table includes additional details regarding our G&A expenses for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

	2016	2015	Difference
Strategic alternatives legal costs (a)	457	669	(212)
Restatement costs	394		394
Stock based compensation	462	996	(534)
Total	1,313	1,665	(352)
G&A other than one-time costs & stock based comp	23,316	22,113	1,203
G&A Total	\$ 24,629	\$ 23,778	\$ 851

(a) Strategic costs For 2016, we recorded expenses associated with the acquisition and integration of Ciscura of \$177 and \$280 was due to other strategic opportunity costs. Strategic costs for 2015 were attributable to the acquisition, transition and integration of Ciscura.

Other Income and Expenses During the year ended December 31, 2016, we recorded interest expense of \$1.3 million, compared to \$1.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. This is a direct result of the lower interest rates with our new credit facility. In addition, we had other expenses of \$1.6 million in 2015, primarily related to the write-off of deferred financing costs as a result of the early extinguishment of debt.

Provision for Income Taxes During the year ended December 31, 2016, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$0.1 million compared to an income tax expense of \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The effective tax rate for the year ended December 31, 2016 was 39.1% compared to 30.2% for the year ended December 31, 2015. The increase in effective tax rate was primarily due to permanent differences of \$0.1 million. Refer to the discussion under Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Income Taxes included in Note 2 and Income Taxes included in Note 9 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-K.

Inflation Management believes that there has been no material effect on our results of operations or financial condition as a result of inflation or changing prices of our ambulatory infusion pumps during the period from January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2016.

Liquidity and Capital Resources**Overview:**

We finance our operations and capital expenditures with internally generated cash from operations. As of December 31, 2016, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$3.4 million and \$9.9 million of availability on our Revolver compared to \$0.8 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$9.9 million of availability on our then existing revolving line-of-credit at December 31, 2015. Our liquidity and borrowing plans are established to align with our financial and strategic planning processes and ensure we have the necessary funding to meet our operating commitments, which primarily include the purchase of pumps, inventory, payroll and general expenses. We also take into consideration our overall capital allocation strategy which includes investment for future growth and acquisitions. We believe we have adequate sources of liquidity and funding available for at least the next year, however, there are a number of factors that may negatively impact our available sources of funds. The amount of cash generated from operations will be dependent upon factors such as the successful execution of our business plan and general economic conditions.

Long-Term Debt Activities:

On January 23, 2015, the Company entered into the third amendment (Third Amendment) to the credit agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo), as Administrative Agent, and Wells

Table of Contents

Fargo and funds managed by PennantPark Investment Advisers, LLC (PennantPark) as Lenders (the WF Credit Agreement). The WF Credit Agreement consisted of a \$12.0 million Term Loan A (provided by Wells Fargo), a \$14.5 million Term Loan B (provided by PennantPark) and a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility, all of which were scheduled to mature on November 30, 2016 (collectively the WF Credit Facility). This Third Amendment increased the maximum Leverage Covenant ratio for the period ending December 31, 2014 and all subsequent periods to 2.00:1.00. Prior to this amendment, the maximum Leverage Covenant ratio for the periods ending (a) December 31, 2014 through March 31, 2015 was 1.50:1.00, (b) June 30, 2015 through September 30, 2015 was 1.25:1.00, (c) December 31, 2015 through September 30, 2016 was 1.00:1.00.

On March 23, 2015, the Company and its direct and indirect subsidiaries entered into a credit agreement (the Chase Credit Agreement) with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as lender (the Lender). The borrowers under the Chase Credit Agreement are the Company, Holdings, ISI, First Biomedical and IFC LLC (collectively, the Borrowers). The Chase Credit Agreement consists of a \$27.0 million Term Loan A, up to \$8.0 million Term Loan B and a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility (the Revolver), all of which mature on March 23, 2020 (collectively, the Chase Credit Facility).

On March 23, 2015, the Borrowers drew \$27.0 million under the Term Loan A to repay and terminate the WF Credit Facility. Term Loan B was unfunded at closing and beginning on April 20, 2015, the Closing Date of the acquisition of the assets of Ciscura, the Borrowers drew on Term Loan B in several installments in accordance with the requirements of the asset purchase agreement governing the acquisition to fund the acquisition and associated expenses. As of December 31, 2015, a total of approximately \$6.3 million had been drawn on Term Loan B, with an additional \$1.7 million available to be drawn under certain conditions for acquisitions. The Company recorded \$1.6 million as loss on extinguishment of long-term debt in its consolidated statement of operations as of December 31, 2015 for the write-off of deferred financing costs associated with the WF Credit Facility.

Under the terms of the Chase Credit Agreement, principal payments equal to \$1.0 million are due on Term Loan A on the last business day of each quarter beginning with the last business day of September 2015 and are due until the maturity date of the Chase Credit Facility. Principal payments on Term Loan B are due on the last business day of each fiscal quarter beginning with the last business day of March 2016. The value of each principal payment due on Term Loan B shall be equal to 3.575% of the principal balance of Term Loan B as of the Term Loan B Draw Expiration Date for the first eight quarterly payments. Thereafter, the next eight principal payments shall be equal to 4.475% of the principal balance of Term Loan B as of the Term Loan B Draw Expiration Date. The entire outstanding balance of the revolver shall be due at the maturity of the Chase Credit Facility.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company made optional pre-payments of \$4.8 million on our Term Loan A, which was applied against a future mandatory payment. Prepayments of \$1.9 million were applied to the September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2015 Term Loan A required principal payments and prepayments of \$2.9 million were applied to the March 31, 2016, June 30, 2016 and September 30, 2016 Term Loan A required principal payments.

The restatement error and our decision to prepay debt, would have resulted in the Company being non-compliant with its fixed charge coverage ratio covenant under its credit facility as of March 31, 2016, however, as of June 30, 2016, we would have been in compliance with this ratio covenant. As a result of our restatement of prior consolidated financial statements described herein, the following Events of Default occurred under the Chase Credit Agreement:

- (i) an Event of Default resulting from our breach of the Fixed Charge Coverage covenant as of March 31, 2016 as required under Section 6.12(b); and
- (ii) an Event of Default resulting from the unintentional misrepresentations made prior to the date of the First Amendment in connection with the certification as to the accuracy of the financial statements and

Table of Contents

compliance certificate delivered pursuant to Section 5.01 as they relate solely to the source of the error that has necessitated the restatement discussed herein.

We also experienced an Event of Default due to the delay in filing our Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2016 because of our financial restatement.

In order to cure these violations, we entered into the First Amendment on December 5, 2016. This First Amendment amends the Chase Credit Agreement in the following material respects:

- (i) a waiver of the Event of Default that resulted from the failure to timely deliver the unaudited financial statements for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2016 as required under Section 5.01(b) and (c);
- (ii) a waiver of the Event of Default that resulted from breach of the Fixed Charge Coverage covenant as of March 31, 2016 as required under Section 6.12(b);
- (iii) a waiver of the Event of Default that resulted from the unintentional misrepresentations made prior to the date of the First Amendment in connection with the certification as to the accuracy of the financial statements and compliance certificate delivered pursuant to Section 5.01 as they relate solely to the source of the error that has necessitated the restatement discussed herein;
- (iv) a restructuring of the credit facility that effectively consolidated Term Loan A and Term Loan B into a single Term Loan resulting in a new total drawn amount of \$32 million under the Term Loan with the approximately \$5 million excess over the current aggregate drawn amounts under Term Loan A and Term Loan B to be available to reduce the Company's drawings under the revolving credit line;
- (v) set the maturity of the new Term Loan described in item (iv) and the revolving credit line to five years from the effective date of the First Amendment;
- (vi) set the quarterly mandatory principal payment due on the Term Loan to \$1.3 million due on the last business day of each fiscal quarter with any remaining unpaid and outstanding amount due at maturity;
- (vii) amend the deadline for delivery of consolidated financial statements to allow for the delivery of such statements for the quarter ended September 30, 2016 by December 16, 2016;
- (viii) amend the deadline for delivery of the Company's annual financial plan and forecast to 30 days after the end of each fiscal year;
- (ix) amend the Leverage Ratio covenant to provide for the following schedule of maximum permitted ratios: (i) 3.0 to 1.0 at any time on or after the effective date but prior to December 31, 2015, (ii) 2.75 to 1.0 at any time on or after December 31, 2015 but prior to March 31, 2017, (iii) 2.50 to 1.0 at any time on or after March 31, 2017 but prior to March 31, 2018 or (iv) 2.25 to 1.00 at any time on or after March 31, 2018;
- (x) amend the definition of EBITDA to provide for the exclusion of certain one-time expenses directly related to the financial restatement described herein; and

(xi) amend Section 8.01(a) to replace references to Jonathan Foster with Christopher Downs .
As of December 31, 2016, interest on the Chase Credit Facility was payable at the Borrower's choice as a (i) Eurodollar Loan, which bears interest at a per annum rate equal to LIBOR, plus a margin ranging from 2.00% to 2.50% or (ii) CBFR Loan, which bears interest at a per annum rate equal to (a) the Lender's prime rate or (b) LIBOR for a 30-day interest period, plus 2.50%, in each case plus a margin ranging from -0.75% to -0.25%. The actual rate at December 31, 2016 was 3.27% (LIBOR of 0.77% plus 2.50%).

Table of Contents

The availability under the Revolver is based upon our eligible accounts receivable and eligible inventory and is computed as of December 31 as follows (in thousands):

	2016	2015
Gross availability	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
Outstanding draws		
Letter of credit		(81)
Landlord Reserves	(45)	(37)
Availability on Revolver	\$ 9,955	\$ 9,882

To secure repayment of the obligations of the Borrowers, each Borrower has granted to the Lender, for the benefit of various secured parties, a first priority security interest in substantially all of the personal property assets of each of the Borrowers. In addition, we have pledged the shares of Holdings and Holdings has pledged the shares of each of ISI and First Biomedical and the equity interests of IFC to the Lender, for the benefit of the secured parties, to further secure the obligations under the Chase Credit Agreement.

The Chase Credit Agreement contains certain affirmative and negative covenants typical for credit facilities of this type. These covenants (subject to certain agreed and customary exceptions set forth in the Chase Credit Agreement) restrict or limit subject to the Lender's prior consent, and in some cases prohibit, the Borrowers from engaging in certain actions, including its ability to, among other things: (i) incur indebtedness; (ii) create liens; (iii) engage in mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions; (iv) engage in acquisitions; (v) dispose of assets; (vi) pay dividends and distributions or repurchase capital stock or make other restricted payments; (vii) make investments, loans, guarantees or advances; (viii) engage in certain transactions with affiliates; (ix) enter into sale and leaseback transactions; (x) enter into hedging agreements; (xi) enter into agreements that restrict distributions from subsidiaries; and (xii) change their fiscal year.

In addition, the Chase Credit Agreement requires us to maintain the following financial covenant obligations:

- (i) a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.25:1.00;
- (ii) a maximum total leverage ratio ranging from 3.00:1.00 to 2.25:1.00 during specified periods; and
- (iii) a minimum net worth of \$37.5 million.

As of December 31, 2016, we were in compliance with all such covenants.

Acquisition:

On April 20, 2015, we acquired substantially all of the assets of Ciscura Holding Company, Inc., and its subsidiaries (Ciscura) for \$6.2 million in cash and recorded approximately \$0.7 million for integration, professional and other related expenses. See Note 3 Business Combinations of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-K for additional information pertaining to this acquisition.

Cash Flows:

Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$7.9 million compared to \$7.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The increase was primarily attributable to the cash flow effects of the changes in accounts receivable and the impact of non-cash transactions, including depreciation and loss on disposal of medical equipment.

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$5.3 million compared to \$11.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. The decrease was primarily related to our acquisition of Ciscura in 2015 for \$6.2 million, a decrease of \$2.2 million for the purchases of intangible assets offset by an increase in the purchases of medical equipment of \$0.9 million, and a decrease of \$0.7 million in

proceeds from the sale of medical equipment.

Table of Contents

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016 was \$0.0 million compared to \$5.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. This change is primarily attributable to the cash proceeds received as a result of our decision to refinance our debt in the first quarter of 2015 and draw downs on our Term Loan B for the acquisition of Ciscura for \$6.2 million.

We periodically enter into capital leases to finance the purchase of ambulatory infusion pumps. The pumps are capitalized into medical equipment in rental service at their fair market value, which equals the value of the future minimum lease payments and are depreciated over the useful life of the pumps. The weighted average interest rate under capital leases was 5.1% as of December 31, 2016.

Contractual Obligations

InfuSystem is a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act and is not required to provide this information.

Contingent Liabilities

We are not aware of any contingent liabilities.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements, including the notes thereto. We consider critical accounting policies to be those that require more significant judgments and estimates in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, including the following: revenue recognition, which includes contractual allowances; accounts receivable and allowance for doubtful accounts; sales return allowances; inventory reserves; long lived assets; intangible assets valuations; and income tax valuations. Management relies on historical experience and other assumptions believed to be reasonable in making its judgment and estimates. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Management believes its application of accounting policies, and the estimates inherently required therein, are reasonable. These accounting policies and estimates are periodically reevaluated, and adjustments are made when facts and circumstances dictate a change.

Our accounting policies are more fully described under the heading *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* in Note 2 to our Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-K. We believe the following critical accounting estimates are the most significant to the presentation of our financial statements and require the most difficult, subjective and complex judgments:

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue for selling, renting and servicing new and pre-owned infusion pumps and other medical equipment to oncology practices as well as other alternate site settings including home care and home infusion providers, skilled nursing facilities, pain centers and others, and billing the oncology practice, or the third-party payor (*TPP*) or alternative site setting when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; services have been rendered; the price to the customer is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured. Persuasive evidence of an arrangement is determined to exist, and collectability is reasonably assured, when the

Table of Contents

Company (i) receives a physician's written order and assignment of benefits, signed by the physician and patient, respectively, (ii) has verified actual pump usage via a patient treatment log (PTL) and insurance coverage and (iii) receives patient acknowledgement of assignment of benefits. We recognize rental revenue from electronic infusion pumps as earned, normally on a month-to-month basis. Pump rentals are billed at our established rates, which often differ from contractually allowable rates provided by third-party payors such as Medicare, Medicaid and commercial insurance carriers. All billings to third-party payors are recorded net of provision for contractual adjustments to arrive at net revenues while billings made directly to an oncology practice and alternative site setting are recorded at a pre-determined amount with any uncollectible amount is recorded as bad debt expense in general & administrative expenses. We perform an analysis to estimate sales returns and records an allowance for returns when the related sale is recognized. This estimate is based on historical sales returns

Due to the nature of the industry and the reimbursement environment in which we operate, certain estimates are required to record net revenues and accounts receivable at their net realizable values. Inherent in these estimates is the risk that they will have to be revised or updated as additional information becomes available. Specifically, the complexity of many third-party billing arrangements and the uncertainty of reimbursement amounts for certain services from certain payors may result in adjustments to amounts originally recorded. Due to continuing changes in the health care industry and third-party reimbursement, it is possible that management's estimates could change in the near term, which could have an impact on our results of operations and cash flows.

For 2016 and 2015, our largest contracted payor was Medicare, which accounted for approximately 21% and 32% of our net revenue from our Oncology Business for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Medicare represented 5% and 23% of our consolidated accounts receivable, net for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. For 2016 and 2015, our second largest contracted payor was a national association comprised of multiple members, which, in the aggregate, accounted for approximately 19% and 18% of our net revenue from our Oncology Business for years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. This same contracted payor represented 26% and 31% of our consolidated accounts receivable, net for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. We also contract with various other third-party payor organizations, commercial Medicare replacement plans, self-insured plans and numerous other insurance carriers. No individual payor, other than those listed above, accounted for greater than approximately 10% of our Oncology Business net revenue for 2016 or 2015.

Accounts Receivable, Allowance for Doubtful Accounts and Contractual Allowances

Due to the nature of the industry and the reimbursement environment in which we operate, certain estimates are required to record net revenues and accounts receivable at their net realizable value. Accounts receivable are reported at the estimated net realizable amounts from patients, third-party payors and other direct pay customers for goods provided and services rendered. We perform periodic analyses to assess the accounts receivable balances. We record an allowance for doubtful accounts and contractual allowance (to reduce gross billed charges to a contractual or estimated net realizable value from third-party payors) based on management's assessment of historical and expected estimated collectability of the accounts such that the recorded amounts reflect estimated net realizable value. Upon determination that an account is uncollectible, the account is written off and charged to the allowance for doubtful accounts for patients or the contractual allowance for third-party payors. Our allowance for doubtful accounts and contractual allowance are a reduction to accounts receivable on our consolidated financial position. Additions to the contractual allowance each period offset gross billed charges, which are not publicly reported in our filings, to arrive at net revenue, which is publicly reported in our consolidated results of operations. Additions to the allowance for doubtful accounts, however, impact the bad debt expense line item of our consolidated results of operations.

Due to continuing changes in the health care industry and third-party reimbursement, it is possible that management's estimates could change in the near term, which could have a material impact on our consolidated business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

We recognize deferred income tax liabilities and assets based on (i) the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities, using enacted tax rates in effect in the years the differences are expected to reverse and (ii) the tax credit carry forwards. Deferred income tax (expense) benefit results from the change in net deferred tax assets or deferred tax liabilities. A valuation allowance is recorded when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some or all of any deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Provisions for federal, state and foreign taxes are calculated based on reported pre-tax earnings based on current tax law and include the cumulative effect of any changes in tax rates from those used previously in determining deferred tax assets and liabilities. Certain items of income and expense are recognized in different time periods for financial reporting than for income tax purposes; thus, such provisions differ from the amounts currently receivable or payable.

We estimate the impact of uncertain income tax positions on the income tax return. These estimates impact income taxes receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities on the balance sheet and provision for income taxes on the income statement. We follow a two-step approach for recognizing uncertain tax positions. First, management evaluates the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates it is more-likely-than-not that the position will be sustained upon examination. Second, for positions that are determined are more-likely-than-not to be sustained, we recognize the tax benefit as the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being sustained. We establish a reserve for uncertain tax positions liability that is comprised of unrecognized tax benefits and related interest and penalties. We adjust this liability in the period in which an uncertain tax position is effectively settled, the statute of limitations expires for the relevant taxing authority to examine the tax position, or more information becomes available. For more information, refer to the *Income Taxes* discussion included in Note 8 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-K.

Intangible Asset Valuation

We evaluate the carrying value of long-lived assets for impairment by analyzing the operating performance and anticipated future cash flows for those assets, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of such assets may not be recoverable. We evaluate the need to adjust the carrying value of the underlying assets if the sum of the expected cash flows is less than the carrying value. Our projection of future cash flows, the level of actual cash flows, the methods of estimation used for determining fair values and salvage values can impact impairment. Any changes in management's judgments could result in greater or lesser annual depreciation and amortization expense or impairment charges in the future. Depreciation and amortization of long-lived assets is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets.

We performed our annual impairment analysis in October 2016 and determined that the fair value of all indefinite-lived assets was greater than the carrying value, resulting in no impairment of indefinite-lived assets.

For more information, refer to the *Intangible Assets* discussion included in Note 6 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-K.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk.

InFuSystem is a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act and is not required to provide the information required under this item.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

Index to Financial Statements

	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	40
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	41
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	42
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	43
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015</u>	44
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	46

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of

InfuSystem Holdings, Inc.

Madison Heights, Michigan

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of InfuSystem Holdings Inc., and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/BDO USA, LLP

Troy, Michigan

March 22, 2017

Table of Contents**INFUSYSTEM HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

<i>(in thousands, except share and per share data)</i>	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2015
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,398	\$ 818
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4,989 and \$4,737 at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively	11,581	12,622
Inventories	2,166	1,916
Other current assets	949	861
Deferred income taxes	2,675	2,743
Total Current Assets	20,769	18,960
Medical equipment held for sale or rental	1,642	2,277
Medical equipment in rental service, net of accumulated depreciation	28,036	27,837
Property & equipment, net of accumulated depreciation	1,997	2,370
Intangible assets, net	31,239	31,534
Deferred income taxes	12,436	12,128
Other assets	225	251
Total Assets	\$ 96,344	\$ 95,357
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 5,315	\$ 6,586
Capital leases	2,938	3,187
Current portion of long-term debt	5,314	1,842
Other current liabilities	2,872	3,641
Total Current Liabilities	16,439	15,256
Long-term debt, net of current portion	26,577	26,548
Capital leases	2,573	3,233
Other long-term liabilities	66	
Total Long-Term Liabilities	29,216	29,781
Total Liabilities	45,655	45,037
Stockholders' Equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.0001 par value: authorized 1,000,000 shares; none issued		
Common stock, \$.0001 par value: authorized 200,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 22,867,335 and 22,669,675, as of December 31, 2016 and issued and outstanding 22,739,550 and 22,541,890, as of December 31, 2015, respectively.	2	2
Additional paid-in capital	91,829	91,238
Retained deficit	(41,142)	(40,920)
Total Stockholders' Equity	50,689	50,320
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 96,344	\$ 95,357

Edgar Filing: InfuSystem Holdings, Inc - Form 10-K

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**INFUSYSTEM HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

<i>(in thousands, except share and per share data)</i>	Year Ended December 31, 2016	Year Ended December 31, 2015
Net revenues:		
Rentals	\$ 62,210	\$ 62,952
Product sales	8,287	7,589
Net revenues	70,497	70,541
Cost of revenues:		
Cost of revenues Product, service and supply costs	16,206	13,802
Cost of revenues Pump depreciation and loss on disposal	9,551	7,139
Gross profit	44,740	49,600
Selling, general and administrative expenses:		
Provision for doubtful accounts	5,631	5,234
Amortization of intangible assets	3,849	2,884
Selling and marketing	9,657	10,424
General and administrative	24,629	23,778
Total selling, general and administrative	43,766	42,320
Operating income	974	7,280
Other income (expense):		
Interest expense	(1,344)	(1,705)
Loss on extinguishment of long-term debt		(1,599)
Other income	6	13
Total other expense	(1,338)	(3,291)
Income before income taxes	(364)	3,989
Income tax benefit (expense)	142	(1,204)
Net (loss) income	\$ (222)	\$ 2,785
Net (loss) income per share:		
Basic	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.13
Diluted	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.12
Weighted average shares outstanding:		
Basic	22,617,901	22,414,587
Diluted	22,617,901	22,843,235

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

INFUSYSTEM HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF

STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

<i>(in thousands)</i>	Common Stock			Retained Deficit	Treasury Stock		Total Stockholders Equity (Restated)
	Shares	Par Value \$0.0001 Amount	Additional Paid in Capital		Shares	Amount	
Balances at January 1, 2015	22,506	\$ 2	\$ 90,155	\$ (43,705)	(198)	\$	\$ 46,452
Stock based shares issued upon vesting gross	155						
Stock-based compensation expense			996				996
Employee stock purchase plan	98		268				268
Cash proceeds other stock plans	25		38				38
Common stock repurchased to satisfy minimum statutory withholding on stock-based compensation	(44)		(219)				(219)
Net income				2,785			2,785
Balances at December 31, 2015	22,740	2	91,238	(40,920)	(198)		50,320
Stock based shares issued upon vesting gross	56						
Stock-based compensation expense			462				462
Employee stock purchase plan	88		204				204
Cash proceeds other stock plans							
Common stock repurchased to satisfy minimum statutory withholding on stock-based compensation	(17)		(75)				(75)
Net loss				(222)			(222)
Balances at December 31, 2016	22,867	\$ 2	\$ 91,829	\$ (41,142)	(198)	\$	\$ 50,689

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**INFUSYSTEM HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(in thousands)	Year Ended December 31, 2016	Year Ended December 31, 2015
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net (loss) income	\$ (222)	\$ 2,785
Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Loss on extinguishment of long-term debt		1,599
Provision for doubtful accounts	5,631	5,234
Depreciation	6,895	5,359
Loss/(gain) on disposal of medical equipment	641	591
Gain on sale of medical equipment	(1,231)	(2,441)
Amortization of intangible assets	3,849	2,884
Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs	31	127
Stock-based compensation expense	462	996
Deferred income tax expense	(240)	1,137
Changes in Assets (Increase)/Decrease:		
Accounts receivable	(4,589)	(7,556)
Inventories	(250)	(158)
Other current assets	(88)	(228)
Other assets	166	(497)
Changes in Liabilities Increase/(Decrease):		
Accounts payable and other liabilities	(3,146)	(2,778)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	7,909	7,054
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Acquisitions	(370)	(6,156)
Purchases of medical equipment	(5,101)	(4,198)
Purchases of property	(168)	(314)
Purchases of intangible assets	(3,526)	(5,733)
Proceeds from sale of medical equipment	3,821	4,494
NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(5,344)	(11,907)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Principal payments on term loans and capital lease obligations	(66,999)	(65,202)
Cash proceeds from bank loans and revolving credit facility	66,892	70,429
Debt Issuance Costs	(7)	(157)
Cash Proceeds Stock Plans	204	265
Common stock repurchased to satisfy taxes on stock based compensation	(75)	(179)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	15	5,156
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	2,580	303
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	818	515
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 3,398	\$ 818

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

The following table presents certain supplementary cash flow information for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

<i>(in thousands)</i>	2016	2015
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES		
Cash paid for interest	\$ 1,234	\$ 1,508
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 105	\$ 146
NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS		
Additions to medical equipment and property (a)	\$ 429	\$ 1,415
Medical equipment acquired pursuant to a capital lease	\$ 2,675	\$ 4,233

- (a) Amounts consist of current liabilities for medical equipment that have not been included in investing activities. These amounts have not been paid for as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, but will be included as a cash outflow from investing activities for purchases of medical equipment and property when paid.

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

INFUSYSTEM HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Basis of Presentation and Nature of Operations

InfuSystem Holdings, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries (the Company) are a leading provider of infusion pumps and related products and services for patients in the home, oncology clinics, ambulatory surgery centers, and other sites of care from five locations in the United States and Canada. The Company provides products and services to hospitals, oncology practices and facilities and other alternate site health care providers. Headquartered in Madison Heights, Michigan, the Company delivers local, field-based customer support, and also operate pump service and repair Centers of Excellence in Michigan, Kansas, California, Texas, Georgia and Ontario, Canada. InfuSystem Inc. (ISI), which is an operating subsidiary of the Company, is accredited by the Community Health Accreditation Program (CHAP) while First Biomedical, Inc. (First Biomedical), which is an operating subsidiary of the Company, is ISO certified.

The Company's core service is to supply electronic ambulatory infusion pumps and associated disposable supply kits to oncology clinics, infusion clinics and hospital outpatient chemotherapy clinics to be utilized in the treatment of a variety of cancers including colorectal cancer, pain management and other disease states. The majority of the Company's pumps are electronic infusion pumps purchased from the following manufacturers, each of which supplies more than 10% of the ambulatory pumps purchased by the Company: Smiths Medical, Inc. and WalkMed Infusion, LLC. The Company has supply agreements in place with one of these suppliers. Certain spot purchases are made on the open market subject to individual negotiation.

In addition, the Company sells or rents new and pre-owned pole mounted and ambulatory infusion pumps to, and provides biomedical recertification, maintenance and repair services for, oncology practices, as well as other alternate site settings including home care and home infusion providers, skilled nursing facilities, pain centers and others. The Company also provides these products and services to customers in the small-hospital market.

The Company purchases new and pre-owned pole mounted and ambulatory infusion pumps from a variety of sources on a non-exclusive basis. The Company repairs, refurbishes and provides biomedical certification for the devices as needed. The pumps are then available for sale, rental or to be used within the Company's ambulatory infusion pump management service.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Reclassifications

Certain prior period reclassifications were made to conform with the current period presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on reported (loss) income, cash flows, total assets, or stockholders' equity as previously reported.

Presentation in the Consolidated Statements

The Company rents and sells medical equipment. Management believes that the predominant source of revenues and cash flows from this medical equipment is from rentals and most equipment purchased is likely to be rented prior to being sold. Accordingly, the Company has concluded that (i) the assets specifically supporting its two primary revenue streams should be separately disclosed on the balance sheet; (ii) the purchase and sale of medical equipment should be classified solely in investing cash flows based on their predominant source; and (iii) other activities ancillary to the rental process should be consistently classified.

Table of Contents

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all wholly owned organizations. All intercompany transactions and account balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Segments

The Company operates in one reportable segment based on management's view of its business for purposes of evaluating performance and making operating decisions.

The Company utilizes shared services including but not limited to, human resources, payroll, finance, sales, pump repair and maintenance services, as well as certain shared assets and selling, general and administrative costs. The Company's approach is to make operational decisions and assess performance based on delivering products and services that together provide solutions to its customer base, utilizing a functional management structure and shared services where possible. Based upon this business model, the chief operating decision maker only reviews consolidated financial information.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates, assumptions and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements, including the notes thereto. The Company considers critical accounting policies to be those that require more significant judgments and estimates in the preparation of its consolidated financial statements, including the following: revenue recognition, which includes contractual adjustments, accounts receivable and allowance for doubtful accounts, sales return allowances, inventory reserves, long lived assets, intangible assets valuations and income tax valuations. Management relies on historical experience and other assumptions believed to be reasonable in making its judgment and estimates. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Business Combinations

The Company accounts for all business combinations using the acquisition method of accounting, which allocates the fair value of the purchase consideration to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values. The excess of the purchase consideration over the fair values of these identifiable assets and liabilities is recorded as goodwill. When determining the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed, management makes significant estimates and assumptions. The Company may utilize third-party valuation specialists to assist the Company in the allocation. Initial purchase price allocations are subject to revision within the measurement period, not to exceed one year from the date of acquisition. Acquisition-related expenses and transaction costs associated with business combinations are expensed as incurred.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents primarily with two financial institutions and is insured with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Accounts Receivable, Allowance for Doubtful Accounts and Contractual Allowances

Due to the nature of the industry and the reimbursement environment in which the Company operates, certain estimates are required to record net revenues and accounts receivable at their net realizable value. Accounts receivable are reported at the estimated net realizable amounts from patients, third-party payors and other direct pay customers for goods provided and services rendered. The Company performs periodic analyses

Table of Contents

to assess the accounts receivable balances. The Company records an allowance for doubtful accounts and contractual allowance (to reduce gross billed charges to a contractual or estimated net realizable value from third-party payors) based on management's assessment of historical and expected estimated collectability of the accounts such that the recorded amounts reflect estimated net realizable value. Upon determination that an account is uncollectible, the account is written-off and charged to the allowance for doubtful accounts for patients or the contractual allowance for third-party payors. The Company's allowance for doubtful accounts and contractual allowance are a reduction to accounts receivable on the Company's consolidated financial position. Additions to the contractual allowance each period offset gross billed charges, which are not publicly reported in the Company's filings, to arrive at net revenue, which is publicly reported in the Company's consolidated results of operations. Additions to the allowance for doubtful accounts, however, impact the bad debt expense line item of the Company's consolidated results of operations.

Due to continuing changes in the health care industry and third-party reimbursement, it is possible that management's estimates could change in the near term, which could have a material impact on the Company's consolidated business, financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

Following is an analysis of the allowance for doubtful accounts for the Company for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

		Balance at beginning of Year	Charged to costs and expenses	Deductions (1)	Balance at end of Year
Allowance for doubtful accounts	2016	\$ 4,737	\$ 5,631	\$ (5,379)	\$ 4,989
Allowance for doubtful accounts	2015	\$ 4,739	\$ 5,234	\$ (5,236)	\$ 4,737

(1) Deductions represent the write-off of uncollectible account receivable balances.

Inventories

The Company's inventories consist of disposable products and related parts and supplies used in conjunction with medical equipment and are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out basis) or market. The Company periodically performs an analysis of slow moving inventory and records a reserve based on estimated obsolete inventory, which was \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Medical Equipment

Medical Equipment (ME) consists of equipment that the Company purchases from third-parties and is 1) held for sale or rent, and 2) used in service to generate rental revenue. ME, once placed into service, is depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the equipment which is typically seven years. The Company does not depreciate ME held for sale or rent. When ME in Rental Service assets are sold, or otherwise disposed, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and a sale is recorded in the current period. The Company periodically performs an analysis of slow moving ME held for sale or rent and records a reserve based on estimated obsolescence, which was \$0.6 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at acquired cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, ranging from three to seven years. Externally purchased information technology software and hardware are depreciated over three years. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the life of the asset or the remaining term of the lease, whichever is shorter. Maintenance and minor repairs are charged to operations as incurred. When assets are sold, or otherwise disposed of, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is recorded in the current period.

Table of Contents

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist of trade names, physician and customer relationships, non-compete agreements and software. The physician and customer relationships and non-compete agreements arose primarily from the acquisitions of ISI and First Biomedical in 2010 and the acquisition of assets from Ciscura Holding Company, Inc. and its subsidiaries (Ciscura) in 2015. The Company amortizes the value assigned to the physician and customer relationships on a straight-line basis over the period of expected benefit, which ranges from fifteen to twenty years. The acquired physician and customer relationship base represents a valuable asset of the Company due to the expectation of future business opportunities to be leveraged from the existing relationship with each physician and customer. The Company has long-standing relationships with numerous oncology clinics, physicians, home care and home infusion providers, skilled nursing facilities, pain centers and others. The useful lives of these relationships are based on minimal attrition experienced to date by the Company and expectations of continued minimal attrition. Non-compete agreements are amortized on a straight-line basis with the amortization periods ranging from two to five years and acquired software is amortized on a straight-line basis over three years. Trade names associated with the original acquisition of InfuSystem are not amortized while trade names from the Ciscura asset acquisition in 2015 are amortized over one year.

Management tests trade names for impairment annually or as often as deemed necessary. The impairment test for intangible assets with indefinite lives consists of a comparison of the fair value of the intangible assets with their carrying amounts. If the carrying value of the intangible assets exceeds the fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess. The Company determines the fair value of the reporting unit for goodwill impairment testing based on a discounted cash flow model. The Company determines the fair value of its intangibles assets with indefinite lives (trade names) through the royalty relief income valuation approach. The Company performed its annual impairment analysis as of October 2016 and determined that the fair value of the intangible assets with indefinite lives (trade names) was greater than their carrying value, resulting in no impairment.

Software Capitalization and Depreciation

We capitalize certain costs incurred in connection with obtaining or developing internal-use software, including payroll and payroll-related costs for employees who are directly associated with the internal-use software project, external direct costs of materials and services and interest costs while developing the software. Capitalized software costs are included in intangible assets, net and are amortized using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of three to five years. Capitalization of such costs ceases when the project is substantially complete and ready for its intended purpose. Costs incurred during the preliminary project and post-implementation stages, as well as software maintenance and training costs, are expensed in the period in which they are incurred. The company capitalized \$3.5 million and \$5.7 million of internal-use software for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Amortization expense for capitalized software was \$1.7 million in 2016 and \$0.4 million in 2015.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets held for use, which includes property and equipment and amortizable intangible assets, are reviewed for impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying value may not be recoverable. If an impairment indicator exists, the Company assesses the asset or asset group for recoverability. Recoverability of these assets is determined based upon the expected undiscounted future net cash flows from the operations to which the assets relate, utilizing management's best estimates, appropriate assumptions and projections at the time. If the carrying value is determined not to be recoverable from future operating cash flows, the asset is deemed impaired and an impairment loss would be recognized to the extent the carrying value exceeded the estimated fair market value of the asset or asset group. The Company did not record any impairment related expenses for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Table of Contents

Operating and Capital Leases

Leases for all of our corporate and other operating locations are under operating leases and the Company recognizes rent expense on a straight-line basis over the lease terms. Rent holidays and rent escalation clauses, which provide for scheduled rent increases during the lease term, are taken into account in computing straight-line rent expense included in our consolidated statements of operations. The difference between the rent due under the stated periods of the leases compared to that of the straight-line basis is recorded as a component of other long-term liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. Landlord funded lease incentives, including tenant improvement allowances provided for our benefit, are recorded as leasehold improvement assets and as deferred rent in the consolidated balance sheets and are amortized to depreciation expense and as rent expense credits, respectively. The Company periodically enters into capital leases to finance the purchase of ambulatory infusion pumps. The pumps are capitalized into medical equipment in rental service at their fair market value, which equals the value of the future minimum lease payments, and are depreciated over the useful life of the pumps. Under the terms of all such capital leases, the Company does not hold title to these pumps and will not obtain title until such time as the capital lease obligations are settled in full. The weighted average interest rate under capital leases was 5.1% as of December 31, 2016.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenue for selling, renting and servicing new and pre-owned infusion pumps and other medical equipment to oncology practices as well as other alternate site settings including home care and home infusion providers, skilled nursing facilities, pain centers and others, and billing the oncology practice, or the third-party payor (TPP) or alternative site setting when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; services have been rendered; the price to the customer is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured. Persuasive evidence of an arrangement is determined to exist, and collectability is reasonably assured, when the Company (i) receives a physician's written order and assignment of benefits, signed by the physician and patient, respectively, (ii) has verified actual pump usage via a patient treatment log (PTL) and insurance coverage and (iii) receives patient acknowledgement of assignment of benefits. The Company recognizes rental revenue from electronic infusion pumps as earned, normally on a month-to-month basis. Pump rentals are billed at the Company's established rates, which often differ from contractually allowable rates provided by third-party payors such as Medicare, Medicaid and commercial insurance carriers. All billings to third-party payors are recorded net of provision for contractual adjustments to arrive at net revenues while billings made directly to an oncology practice and alternative site setting are recorded at a pre-determined amount with any uncollectible amount is recorded as bad debt expense in general and administrative expenses. The Company performs an analysis to estimate sales returns and records an allowance for returns when the related sale is recognized. This estimate is based on historical sales returns.

Due to the nature of the industry and the reimbursement environment in which the Company operates, certain estimates are required to record net revenues and accounts receivable at their net realizable values. Inherent in these estimates is the risk that the estimates will have to be revised or updated as additional information becomes available. Specifically, the complexity of many third-party billing arrangements and the uncertainty of reimbursement amounts for certain services from certain payors may result in adjustments to amounts originally recorded. Due to continuing changes in the health care industry and third-party reimbursement, it is possible that management's estimates could change in the near term, which could have a material impact on the Company's results of operations and cash flows.

For 2016 and 2015, the Company's largest contracted payor was Medicare, which accounted for approximately 21% and 32% of our net revenue from our Oncology Business for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Medicare represented 5% and 23% of the Company's consolidated accounts receivable, net for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. For 2016 and 2015, the Company's second largest contracted payor was a national association comprised of multiple members, which, in the aggregate, accounted for approximately 19% and 18% of our net revenue from our Oncology Business for

Table of Contents

the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. This same contracted payor represented 26% and 31% of the Company's consolidated accounts receivable, net for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The Company also contracted with various other third-party payor organizations, commercial Medicare replacement plans, self-insured plans and numerous other insurance carriers. No individual payor, other than those listed above, accounted for greater than approximately 10% of the Company's Oncology Business net revenue for 2016 or 2015.

Income Taxes

The Company recognizes deferred income tax liabilities and assets based on: (1) the differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect in the years the differences are expected to reverse and (2) the tax credit carry forwards. Deferred income tax (expense) benefit results from the change in net deferred tax assets or deferred tax liabilities. A valuation allowance is recorded when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some or all of any deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Provisions for federal, state and foreign taxes are calculated based on reported pre-tax earnings based on current tax law and include the cumulative effect of any changes in tax rates from those used previously in determining deferred tax assets and liabilities. Certain items of income and expense are recognized in different time periods for financial reporting than for income tax purposes; thus, such provisions differ from the amounts currently receivable or payable.

The Company follows a two-step approach for recognizing uncertain tax positions. First it evaluates the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon examination. Second, for positions that are determined to be more-likely-than-not to be sustained, it recognizes the tax benefits as the largest benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being sustained. The Company establishes a reserve for uncertain tax positions liability that is comprised of unrecognized tax benefits and related interest and penalties. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in the provision of income taxes.

Share Based Payments

The determination of the fair value of stock option awards on the date of grant using option-pricing models is affected by the Company's stock price, as well as assumptions regarding a number of other inputs using the Black-Scholes pricing model. These variables include the Company's expected stock price volatility over the expected term of the awards, actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors, risk-free interest rates and expected dividends. The expected volatility is based on the historical volatility. The Company uses historical data to estimate stock option exercise and forfeiture rates. The expected term represents the period over which the share-based awards are expected to be outstanding. The dividend yield is an estimate of the expected dividend yield on the Company's stock. The risk-free rate is based on U.S. Treasury yields in effect at the time of the grant for the expected term of the stock options. All stock option awards are amortized based on their graded vesting over the requisite service period of the awards. Compensation costs are recognized over the requisite service period using the accelerated method and included in selling expenses and general and administrative expenses, based upon the department to which the associated employee or non-employee resides.

Deferred Debt Issuance Costs

Capitalized debt issuance costs as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 relate to the Chase Credit Facility. The Company classified the costs related to the agreement as non-current liabilities and amortizes them using the interest method through the maturity date of the underlying debt.

Table of Contents*Earnings Per Share*

The Company reports its earnings per share in accordance with the Earnings Per Share topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC), which requires the presentation of both basic and diluted earnings per share on the statements of operations. The diluted weighted average common shares include adjustments for the potential effects of outstanding stock options but only in the periods in which such effect is dilutive under the treasury stock method. Included in our basic and diluted weighted average common shares are those stock options and common stock shares due to participants granted from the 2014 stock incentive plan. Antidilutive stock awards are comprised of stock options and unvested share awards, which would have been antidilutive in the application of the treasury stock method in accordance with Earnings Per Share topic of FASB ASC.

In accordance with this topic, the following table reconciles income and share amounts utilized to calculate basic and diluted net (loss) income per common share (in thousands, except shares):

	2016	2015
Numerator:		
Net (loss) income <i>(in thousands)</i>	\$ (222)	\$ 2,785
Denominator:		
Weighted average common shares outstanding:		
Basic	22,617,901	22,414,587
Dilutive effect of restricted shares, options and non-vested share awards		428,648
Diluted	22,617,901	22,843,235

Antidilutive awards: 90,715 43,215
 Stock options of 0.1 million were not included in the calculation for both of the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, because they would have an anti-dilutive effect.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015 for cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value because of the short-term nature of these instruments (Level I). The carrying value of the Company's long-term debt with variable interest rates approximates fair value based on instruments with similar terms (Level II).

The Company has adopted ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for assets and liabilities being measured and reported at fair value and appends disclosures about fair value measurements.

For financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, fair value is the price the Company would receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction with a market participant at the measurement date. A three-level fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value as follows:

Level I: quoted prices in active markets for identical instruments;

Level II: quoted prices in active markets for similar instruments, quoted prices for identical instruments in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable data for substantially the full term of the instrument; and

Level III: significant inputs to the valuation model are unobservable.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements and Developments

In August 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2016-15 Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments. The amendments in this ASU introduce clarifications to the presentation of certain cash receipts and cash payments in the statement of cash flows. The primary updates include additions and clarifications of the classification of cash flows related to certain debt repayment activities, contingent consideration payments related to business combinations, proceeds from insurance policies, distributions from equity method investees and cash flows related to securitized receivables. This update is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption of this ASU is permitted, including in interim periods. The ASU requires retrospective application to all prior periods presented upon adoption. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that the adoption of this guidance will have on its cash flows and/or disclosures, however, the Company does not anticipate that the adoption of this new standard will have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or statements of cash flow upon adoption.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-13, Financial Instruments (Topic 326) Credit Losses. ASU 2016-13 changes the impairment model for most financial assets and certain other instruments. Under the new standard, entities holding financial assets and net investment in leases that are not accounted for at fair value through net income are to be presented at the net amount expected to be collected. An allowance for credit losses will be a valuation account that will be deducted from the amortized cost basis of the financial asset to present the net carrying value at the amount expected to be collected on the financial asset. ASU 2016-13 is effective as of January 1, 2020. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of ASU 2016-13. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements or footnote disclosures.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718) (ASU 2016-09). The guidance changes how companies account for certain aspects of equity-based payments to employees. Entities will be required to recognize income tax effects of awards in the income statement when the awards vest or are settled. The guidance also allows an employer to repurchase more of an employee's shares than it can under current guidance for tax withholding purposes providing for withholding at the employee's maximum rate as opposed to the minimum rate without triggering liability accounting and to make a policy election to account for forfeitures as they occur. The updated guidance is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. Under today's guidance, the Company does not recognize the income tax effects of awards that have vested or are settled until they actually reduce taxes payable. This standard will require the Company to recognize these effects when they are vested or are settled. The adoption of this standard is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or statements of cash flows upon adoption.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842) (ASU 2016-02). Under ASU 2016-02, an entity will be required to recognize right-of-use assets and lease liabilities on its balance sheet and disclose key information about leasing arrangements. ASU 2016-02 offers specific accounting guidance for a lessee, a lessor and sale and leaseback transactions. Lessees and lessors are required to disclose qualitative and quantitative information about leasing arrangements to enable a user of the financial statements to assess the amount, timing and uncertainty of cash flows arising from leases. For public companies, ASU 2016-02 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within that reporting period, and requires a modified retrospective adoption, with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of this guidance will have on its financial position, results of operations, cash flows and/or disclosures. However, the Company expects that the adoption of the provisions of ASU 2016-02 will have a material impact on its consolidated balance sheet, as currently most of its real estate is leased via operating leases.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-03, Interest – Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs (ASU 2015-03), and, in August 2015, the FASB issued

Table of Contents

ASU No. 2015-15, Interest Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to Staff Announcement at June 18, 2015 EITF Meeting (ASU 2015-15). ASU 2015-03 requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. ASU 2015-15 then clarified that debt issuance costs related to a line-of-credit arrangement can be presented as an asset on the balance sheet, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the line-of-credit arrangement. These ASUs are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company adopted this guidance as of January 1, 2016, and as a result, has recast the December 31, 2015 consolidated balance sheet to conform to the current period presentation. The adoption of this standard reduced previously presented other assets and long-term debt by \$0.1 million, based upon the balance of unamortized debt issuance costs relating to its credit facility as of December 31, 2015.

On May 28, 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (ASU 2014-09), which requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. ASU 2014-09 will supersede the existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective and permits the use of either a retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14, deferring the effective date of ASU 2014-09 for public companies to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. Early adoption is permitted but only beginning after December 15, 2016. The Company plans to adopt ASU 2014-09 on January 1, 2018. The Company is evaluating the effect that ASU 2014-09 will have on its financial position, results of operations, cash flows and/or disclosures and has not yet selected a transition method.

3. Business Combinations

Acquisitions Accounted for Using the Purchase Method

On April 20, 2015 (the Closing Date), the Company acquired substantially all of the assets of Ciscura for \$6.2 million in cash, based on the final number of pumps acquired and the associated treatments, which were generated during the 90-day period post-closing from the approximately 100 medical facility relationships Ciscura had prior to the acquisition. The Company acquired approximately 1,800 infusion pumps, its four-person field sales team, as well as its facilities management personnel, which have become the foundation of the Company's new Southeast facility. Ciscura, based in Alpharetta, GA, was a privately-held Southeastern regional provider of ambulatory infusion pumps and services to medical facilities and will provide the Company with a new regional warehouse and service facility that will be in close proximity to a number of our largest existing customers, in addition to new customers previously serviced by Ciscura, enabling same day service for equipment and supplies to much of the Southeast region. The Company used available borrowings under our credit facility to finance the acquisition and associated expenses. As of December 31 2015, \$6.2 million of the purchase price was paid in cash and approximately \$0.7 million for integration, professional and other related expenses were expensed as incurred and are included in General and Administrative expenses in the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The Company did not disclose the revenue and income of Ciscura for the period from the acquisition date through December 31, 2015 as it was not practical due to the fact that the operations were substantially integrated. See Note 7 Debt of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Form 10-K for additional information pertaining to this funding.

Table of Contents*Final Purchase Price Allocation*

Pursuant to ASC 805, *Business Combinations*, the final purchase price was allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their fair values as of the Closing Date. The final purchase price allocation was primarily based upon a valuation using income and cost approaches and management's estimates and assumptions. The allocation of the final purchase price to the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the Closing Date is presented below (in thousands):

	Amount
Medical equipment in rental service	\$ 2,289
Trade names and Trademarks	23
Customer relationships	3,393
Furniture and fixtures	20
Leasehold improvements	185
Non-competition agreements	246
Total final purchase price	\$ 6,156

Acquired property and equipment are being depreciated on a straight-line basis with estimated remaining lives ranging from 1 year to 7 years.

4. Medical Equipment

Medical equipment consisted of the following as of December 31 (in thousands):

	2016	2015
Medical Equipment held for sale or rental	\$ 1,642	\$ 2,277
Medical Equipment in rental service	59,034	53,681
Medical Equipment in rental service pump reserve	(551)	(232)
Accumulated depreciation	(30,447)	(25,612)
Medical Equipment in rental service net	28,036	27,837
Total	\$ 29,678	\$ 30,114

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$6.3 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, which were recorded in cost of revenues pump depreciation and loss on disposal.

5. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consisted of the following as of December 31 (in thousands):

	Gross Assets	2016 Accumulated Depreciation	Total
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment	\$ 3,809	\$ (3,071)	\$ 738
Automobiles	129	(83)	46
Leasehold improvements	2,177	(964)	1,213

Edgar Filing: InfuSystem Holdings, Inc - Form 10-K

Total		\$ 6,115	\$ (4,118)	\$ 1,997
-------	--	----------	------------	----------

Table of Contents

	Gross Assets	2015 Accumulated Depreciation	Total
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment	\$ 2,330	\$ (1,382)	\$ 948
Automobiles	84	(76)	8
Leasehold improvements	2,240	(826)	1,414
Total	\$ 4,654	\$ (2,284)	\$ 2,370

Depreciation expense for each of the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$0.6 million and was recorded in general and administrative expenses.

6. Intangible Assets

The carrying amount and accumulated amortization of intangible assets as of December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

	Gross Assets	2016 Accumulated Amortization	Net
Nonamortizable intangible assets			
Trade names	\$ 2,000	\$	\$ 2,000
Amortizable intangible assets			
Trade names	23	23	
Physician and customer relationships	36,534	19,427	17,107
Non-compete agreements	1,136	1,064	72
Software	13,745	1,685	12,060
Total nonamortizable and amortizable intangible assets	\$ 53,438	\$ 22,199	\$ 31,239

	Gross Assets	2015 Accumulated Amortization	Net
Nonamortizable intangible assets			
Trade names	\$ 2,000	\$	\$ 2,000
Amortizable intangible assets			
Trade names	23	15	8
Physician and customer relationships	36,258	17,049	19,209
Non-compete agreements	1,094	930	164
Software	11,942	1,789	10,153
Total nonamortizable and amortizable intangible assets	\$ 51,317	\$ 19,783	\$ 31,534

The weighted average remaining lives of physician and customer relationships, non-compete agreements and software are 8-years, 1-year and 3-years, respectively, as of December 31, 2016.

Amortization expense for intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$3.8 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, which was recorded in operating expenses. Expected annual amortization expense for the next five years for intangible assets recorded as of December 31, 2016 are as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: InfuSystem Holdings, Inc - Form 10-K

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022 and thereafter
Amortization expense	\$ 5,649	\$ 5,274	\$ 4,841	\$ 4,298	\$ 3,943	\$ 5,234

Table of Contents
7. Debt

On January 23, 2015, the Company entered into the third amendment (Third Amendment) to the credit agreement with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (Wells Fargo), as Administrative Agent, and Wells Fargo and funds managed by PennantPark Investment Advisers, LLC (PennantPark) as Lenders (the WF Credit Agreement). The WF Credit Agreement consisted of a \$12.0 million Term Loan A (provided by Wells Fargo), a \$14.5 million Term Loan B (provided by PennantPark) and a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility, all of which were scheduled to mature on November 30, 2016 (collectively the WF Credit Facility). This Third Amendment increased the maximum Leverage Covenant ratio for the period ending December 31, 2014 and all subsequent periods to 2.00:1.00. Prior to this amendment, the maximum Leverage Covenant ratio for the periods ending (a) December 31, 2014 through March 31, 2015 was 1.50:1.00, (b) June 30, 2015 through September 30, 2015 was 1.25:1.00, (c) December 31, 2015 through September 30, 2016 was 1.00:1.00.

On March 23, 2015, the Company and its direct and indirect subsidiaries entered into a credit agreement (the Chase Credit Agreement) with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as lender (the Lender). The borrowers under the Chase Credit Agreement are the Company, InfuSystem Holdings USA, Inc. (Holdings), ISI, First Biomedical and IFC LLC (collectively, the Borrowers). The Chase Credit Agreement consists of a \$27.0 million Term Loan A, up to \$8.0 million Term Loan B and a \$10.0 million revolving credit facility (the Revolver), all of which mature on March 23, 2020, (collectively, the Chase Credit Facility).

On March 23, 2015, the Borrowers drew \$27.0 million under the Term Loan A to repay and terminate the WF Credit Facility. Term Loan B was unfunded at closing and beginning on April 20, 2015, the Closing Date of the acquisition of the assets of Ciscura, the Borrowers drew on Term Loan B in several installments in accordance with the requirements of the asset purchase agreement governing the acquisition to fund the acquisition and associated expenses. As of December 31, 2015, a total of approximately \$6.3 million had been drawn on Term Loan B, with an additional \$1.7 million available to be drawn under certain conditions for acquisitions. The Company recorded a \$1.6 million as loss on extinguishment of long-term debt in its consolidated statement of operations as of December 31, 2015 for the write-off of deferred financing costs associated with the WF Credit Facility.

Under the terms of the Chase Credit Agreement, principal payments equal to \$1.0 million are due on Term Loan A on the last business day of each quarter beginning with the last business day of September 2015 and are due until the maturity date of the Chase Credit Facility. Principal payments on Term Loan B are due on the last business day of each fiscal quarter beginning with the last business day of March 2016. The value of each principal payment due on Term Loan B shall be equal to 3.575% of the principal balance of Term Loan B as of the Term Loan B Draw Expiration Date for the first eight quarterly payments. Thereafter, the next eight principal payments shall be equal to 4.475% of the principal balance of Term Loan B as of the Term Loan B Draw Expiration Date. The entire outstanding balance of the revolver shall be due at the maturity of the Chase Credit Facility.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company made optional pre-payments of \$4.8 million on our Term Loan A, which was applied against a future mandatory payment. Prepayments of \$1.9 million were applied to the September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2015 Term Loan A required principal payments and prepayments of \$2.9 million were applied to the March 31, 2016, June 30, 2016 and September 30, 2016 Term Loan A required principal payments.

The restatement error and the Company's decision to prepay debt, would have resulted in the Company being non-compliant with its fixed charge coverage ratio covenant under its credit facility as of March 31, 2016, however, as of June 30, 2016, the Company would have been in compliance with this ratio covenant. As a result of the Company's restatement of prior consolidated financial statements described herein, the following Events of Default occurred under the Chase Credit Agreement:

- (i) an Event of Default resulting from our breach of the Fixed Charge Coverage covenant as of March 31, 2016 as required under Section 6.12(b); and

Table of Contents

- (ii) an Event of Default resulting from the unintentional misrepresentations made prior to the date of the First Amendment in connection with the certification as to the accuracy of the financial statements and compliance certificate delivered pursuant to Section 5.01 as they relate solely to the source of the error that has necessitated the restatement discussed herein.

The Company also experienced an Event of Default due to the delay in filing its Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2016 because of its financial restatement.

In order to cure these violations, the Company entered into the first amendment to credit agreement and waiver (First Amendment) on December 5, 2016. This First Amendment amends the Chase Credit Agreement in the following material respects:

- (i) a waiver of the Event of Default that resulted from the failure to timely deliver the unaudited financial statements for the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2016 as required under Section 5.01(b) and (c);
- (ii) a waiver of the Event of Default that resulted from breach of the Fixed Charge Coverage covenant as of March 31, 2016 as required under Section 6.12(b);
- (iii) a waiver of the Event of Default that resulted from the unintentional misrepresentations made prior to the date of the First Amendment in connection with the certification as to the accuracy of the financial statements and compliance certificate delivered pursuant to Section 5.01 as they relate solely to the source of the error that has necessitated the restatement discussed herein;
- (iv) a restructuring of the credit facility that effectively consolidated Term Loan A and Term Loan B into a single Term Loan resulting in a new total drawn amount of \$32 million under the Term Loan with the approximately \$5 million excess over the current aggregate drawn amounts under Term Loan A and Term Loan B to be available to reduce the Company's drawings under the revolving credit line;
- (v) set the maturity of the new Term Loan described in item (iv) and the revolving credit line to five years from the effective date of the First Amendment;
- (vi) set the quarterly mandatory principal payment due on the Term Loan to \$1.3 million due on the last business day of each fiscal quarter with any remaining unpaid and outstanding amount due at maturity;
- (vii) amend the deadline for delivery of consolidated financial statements to allow for the delivery of such statements for the quarter ended September 30, 2016 by December 16, 2016;
- (viii) amend the deadline for delivery of the Company's annual financial plan and forecast to 30 days after the end of each fiscal year;
- (ix) amend the Leverage Ratio covenant to provide for the following schedule of maximum permitted ratios: (i) 3.0 to 1.0 at any time on or after the effective date but prior to December 31, 2015, (ii) 2.75 to 1.0 at any time on or after December 31, 2015 but prior to March 31, 2017, (iii) 2.50 to 1.0 at any time on or after March 31, 2017 but prior to March 31, 2018 or (iv) 2.25 to 1.00 at any time on or after March 31, 2018;
- (x)

Edgar Filing: InfuSystem Holdings, Inc - Form 10-K

amend the definition of EBITDA to provide for the exclusion of certain one-time expenses directly related to the financial restatement described herein; and

(xi) amend Section 8.01(a) to replace references to Jonathan Foster with Christopher Downs .

As of December 31, 2016, interest on the Chase Credit Facility was payable at the Borrower's choice as a (i) Eurodollar Loan, which bears interest at a per annum rate equal to LIBOR, plus a margin ranging from 2.00% to 2.50% or (ii) CBFR Loan, which bears interest at a per annum rate equal to (a) the Lender's prime rate or (b) LIBOR for a 30-day interest period, plus 2.50%, in each case plus a margin ranging from -0.75% to -0.25%. The actual rate at December 31, 2016 was 3.27% (LIBOR of 0.77% plus 2.50%).

Table of Contents

The availability under the Revolver is based upon the Borrower's eligible accounts receivable and eligible inventory and is computed as of December 31 as follows (in thousands):

	2016	2015
Gross availability	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
Outstanding draws		
Letter of credit		(81)
Landlord Reserves	(45)	(37)
Availability on Revolver	\$ 9,955	\$ 9,882

To secure repayment of the obligations of the Borrowers, each Borrower has granted to the Lender, for the benefit of various secured parties, a first priority security interest in substantially all of the personal property assets of each of the Borrowers. In addition, the Company has pledged the shares of Holdings and Holdings has pledged the shares of each of ISI and First Biomedical and the equity interests of IFC LLC to the Lender, for the benefit of the secured parties, to further secure the obligations under the Chase Credit Agreement.

The Chase Credit Agreement contains certain affirmative and negative covenants typical for credit facilities of this type. These covenants (subject to certain agreed and customary exceptions set forth in the Chase Credit Agreement) restrict or limit subject to the Lender's prior consent, and in some cases prohibit, the Borrowers from engaging in certain actions, including its ability to, among other things: (i) incur indebtedness; (ii) create liens; (iii) engage in mergers, consolidations, liquidations or dissolutions; (iv) engage in acquisitions; (v) dispose of assets; (vi) pay dividends and distributions or repurchase capital stock or make other restricted payments; (vii) make investments, loans, guarantees or advances; (viii) engage in certain transactions with affiliates; (ix) enter into sale and leaseback transactions; (x) enter into hedging agreements; (xi) enter into agreements that restrict distributions from subsidiaries; and (xii) change their fiscal year.

In addition, the Chase Credit Agreement requires the Borrowers to maintain the following financial covenant obligations:

- (i) a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.25:1.00;
- (ii) a maximum total leverage ratio ranging from 3.00:1.00 to 2.25:1.00 during specified periods; and
- (iii) a minimum net worth of \$37.5 million.

As of December 31, 2016, the Company was in compliance with all such covenants.

The Company had approximate future maturities of loans and capital leases as of December 31, 2016 as follows (in thousands):

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	Total
Term Loan A	\$ 5,336	\$ 5,336	\$ 5,336	\$ 5,336	\$ 10,656	\$ 32,000
Unamortized value of the debt issuance costs (a)	(22)	(22)	(22)	(22)	(21)	(109)
Total	\$ 5,314	\$ 5,314	\$ 5,314	\$ 5,314	\$ 10,635	\$ 31,891

- (a) Includes the reclassification of the debt issuance costs as a result of the Company adopting ASU 2015-03 (see Note 2)

Table of Contents

The following is a breakdown of the Company's current and long-term debt (including capital leases) as of December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

	December 31, 2016				December 31, 2015		
	Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	Long-Term Debt	Total		Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	Long-Term Debt	Total
Term Loans	\$ 5,336	\$ 26,664	\$ 32,000	Term Loans	\$ 1,873	\$ 26,651	\$ 28,524
Unamortized value of the debt issuance costs (a)	(22)	(87)	(109)	Unamortized value of the debt issuance costs (a)	(31)	(103)	(134)
Total	\$ 5,314	\$ 26,577	\$ 31,891	Total	\$ 1,842	\$ 26,548	\$ 28,390

(a) Includes the reclassification of the debt issuance costs as a result of the Company adopting ASU 2015-03 (see Note 2)

8. Income Taxes

The following table summarizes (loss) income before income taxes for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

	2016	2015
U.S (loss) income	\$ (600)	\$ 3,752
Non-U.S. income	236	237
(Loss) income before income taxes	\$ (364)	\$ 3,989

The following table summarizes the components of the consolidated provision for income taxes for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

	2016	2015
U.S Federal income tax benefit (expense)		
Current	\$	\$
Deferred	157	(970)
Total U.S. Federal income tax benefit (expense)	157	(970)
State and local income tax (expense) benefit		
Current	(58)	(101)
Deferred	83	(168)
Total state and local income tax benefit (expense)	25	(269)
Foreign income tax (expense) benefit		
Current	(40)	35
Total income tax benefit (expense)	\$ 142	\$ (1,204)

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes a reconciliation of the effective income tax rate to the U.S. federal statutory rate for the years ended December 31:

	2016	2015
Income tax expense at the statutory rate	34.00%	34.00%
State and local income tax expense	9.16%	5.81%
Foreign income tax	(3.79%)	(0.33%)
Permanent differences	(38.40%)	1.05%
Research & Development Credits	37.81%	(10.47%)
Other adjustments	0.31%	0.14%
Effective income tax rate	39.09%	30.20%

The following table summarizes the temporary differences and carryforwards that give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities as of December 31 (in thousands):

	2016	2015
Deferred Federal tax assets		
Bad debt reserves	\$ 1,710	\$ 1,612
Stock based compensation	668	636
Net operating loss	8,184	5,649
Accrued compensation	280	624
Alternative minimum tax credit	73	73
Inventories	69	52
Accrued rent	46	51
Goodwill and intangible assets	6,675	7,919
Research & Development Credits	534	418
Other Credits	5	3
Other	189	79
Total deferred Federal tax assets	18,433	17,116
Deferred Federal tax liabilities		
Depreciation and asset basis differences	(4,725)	(3,358)
Other	(157)	(364)
Total deferred Federal tax liabilities	(4,882)	(3,722)
Net deferred Federal tax assets	13,551	13,394
Net deferred state and local tax assets	1,560	1,477
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 15,111	\$ 14,871

The classification of net deferred income taxes as of December 31, 2016 is summarized (in thousands):

	Current	Long-term	Total
Deferred tax assets	\$ 2,675	\$ 19,067	\$ 21,742
Deferred tax liabilities		(6,631)	(6,631)

Net deferred tax assets	\$ 2,675	\$ 12,436	\$ 15,111
-------------------------	----------	-----------	-----------

Table of Contents

The classification of net deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2015 is summarized (in thousands):

	Current	Long-term	Total
Deferred tax assets	\$ 2,743	\$ 17,278	\$ 20,021
Deferred tax liabilities		(5,150)	(5,150)
Net deferred income taxes	\$ 2,743	\$ 12,128	\$ 14,871

As of December 31, 2016 the Company recognized a benefit of \$0.6 million for research and development credits pertaining to the Company's development of software that enables third parties to interact, initiate functions or review data on the Company's system.

As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company had federal net operating loss carryforwards remaining of approximately \$24.1 million and \$15.5 million, respectively.

The Company's deferred tax asset related to net operating losses (NOLs) is less than the actual NOLs available for tax return filings. The U.S. Federal NOL carryforwards include \$0.6 million relating to deductions taken with respect to stock option exercises in excess of amounts recognized for financial reporting purposes for which a benefit would be recorded in APIC when realized. This portion of the NOL carryforwards is not included as a component of the Company's deferred tax asset. Therefore, the Company did not recognize the benefit of tax deductions allowed for stock option exercises in excess of compensation expense recognized for financial reporting purposes, because the Company has NOL carryforwards available and it did not reduce income tax payable.

The Company's federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$24.1 million will begin to expire in various years beginning in 2028. The state net operating losses of approximately \$0.8 million can be used for a period of 5 to 20 years and vary by state, and if unused, begin to expire in 2017, though a substantial portion expires beyond 2017. Tax benefits of operating loss and tax credit carryforwards are evaluated on an ongoing basis, including a review of historical and projected future operating results, the eligible carryforward period, and other circumstances. The Company expects to be able to utilize these net operating loss carryforwards and therefore has not recorded a valuation allowance which is described in more detail below.

The Company's realization of its deferred tax assets is dependent upon many factors, including, but not limited to, the Company's ability to generate sufficient taxable income. Management assesses the available positive and negative evidence to estimate if sufficient future taxable income will be generated to use the existing deferred tax assets. Based on historical performance, sufficient earnings history exists to support the realization of the deferred tax assets. In addition, the Company has significant future reversals of taxable temporary differences such as depreciation and amortization that will provide a source of taxable income. The evidenced ability to generate sufficient taxable income such as cumulative earnings in recent years and significant future reversals of taxable temporary differences is the basis for the Company's assessment that the deferred tax assets are more likely than not to be realized.

The Company had no uncertain tax positions for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015.

The Company is subject to taxation for Federal and various state jurisdictions in the United States and Canada. The Federal income tax returns of the Company for the years 2013 through 2016 are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service. The state income tax returns and other state tax filings of the Company are subject to examination by the state taxing authorities, for various periods generally up to four years after they are filed. Canadian income tax returns of the Company for the years 2012 through 2016 are subject to examination by the Canada Revenue Agency.

The Company completed an update to its analysis of past ownership (as defined under Section 382 of the Code), and as a result, the Company believes that, consistent with previously completed analyses, it has not experienced an ownership change since December 31, 2010. The Company has undertaken a definitive analysis

Table of Contents

necessary to quantify the effect of ownership change as of December 31, 2010 on the net operating loss carryforwards generated prior to December 31, 2010. Based on the analysis, the Company is subject to an annual limitation of \$1.8 million on its use of remaining pre-ownership change net operating loss carryforwards of \$4.7 million (and certain other pre-change tax attributes).

9. Commitments and Contingencies

From time to time in the ordinary course of its business, the Company may be involved in legal proceedings, the outcomes of which may not be determinable. The results of litigation are inherently unpredictable. Any claims against the Company, whether meritorious or not, could be time consuming, result in costly litigation, require significant amounts of management time and result in diversion of significant resources. The Company is not able to estimate an aggregate amount or range of reasonably possible losses for those legal matters for which losses are not probable and estimable, primarily for the following reasons: (i) many of the relevant legal proceedings are in preliminary stages, and until such proceedings develop further, there is often uncertainty regarding the relevant facts and circumstances at issue and potential liability; and (ii) many of these proceedings involve matters of which the outcomes are inherently difficult to predict. The Company has insurance policies covering potential losses where such coverage is cost effective.

As a result of the restatement of the Company's financial statements as of December 31, 2015 and the first and second quarter of 2016, the Company is currently involved in a class-action lawsuit filed by shareholders. On November 8, 2016, a purported shareholder of the Company filed a putative class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California (the Court) (Case No. 2:16-cv-08295-ODW) against the Company and two individual defendants: Eric Steen, the Company's current Chief Executive Officer, President and director; and Jonathan Foster, the Company's former Chief Financial Officer. The complaint alleges that the defendants issued materially false and misleading statements in and/or omitted material facts from documents filed with the SEC between May 12, 2015 and November 7, 2016. The complaint asserts claims against all defendants under the antifraud provisions of the federal securities laws and against Messrs. Steen and Foster as control persons. The complaint seeks compensatory damages for the putative class, prejudgment and post-judgment interest, attorneys' fees and other costs. Two other shareholders subsequently filed motions for appointment as lead plaintiff and for appointment of their attorneys as lead counsel for the putative class. On February 17, 2017, the Court appointed a lead plaintiff for the putative class. The parties have entered into a stipulation, adopted by the Court, pursuant to which it is expected that the lead plaintiff will file a consolidated amended complaint that will be the operative complaint going forward.

The Company has not determined that losses related to the foregoing matters are probable. Because the allegations of the operative complaint are not yet known, together with the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of litigation generally, the Company does not have sufficient information to determine the amount or range of reasonably possible loss with respect to these matters. The Company's assessments are based on estimates and assumptions that have been deemed reasonable by management, but that may prove to be incomplete or inaccurate, and unanticipated events and circumstances may occur that might cause the Company to change those estimates and assumptions. The Company and the individual defendants intend to vigorously defend the claims asserted against them in these matters, but there can be no assurances as to the outcome for such matters.

The Company is not at this time involved in any additional legal proceedings that the Company believes could have a material effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

10. Leases

The Company leases office space, service facility centers and equipment under non-cancelable capital and operating lease arrangements. The Company periodically enters into capital leases to finance the purchase of ambulatory infusion pumps. The pumps are capitalized into medical equipment in rental service at their fair

Table of Contents

market value, which equals the value of the future minimum lease payments and are depreciated over the useful life of the pumps. The weighted average interest rate under capital leases was 5.1% as of December 31, 2016. The leases for office space and service facility centers used in the Company's logistics operations are operating leases. In most cases, we expect our facility leases will be renewed or replaced by other leases in the ordinary course of business.

Future minimum rental payments pursuant to leases that have an initial or remaining non-cancelable lease term in excess of one year as of December 31, 2016 are as follows (in thousands):

	Capital Leases	Operating Leases	Total
2017	\$ 3,114	\$ 990	\$ 4,104
2018	1,826	831	2,657
2019	571	562	1,133
2020	274	178	452
2021		181	181
Thereafter		938	938
Total require payments	\$ 5,785	\$ 3,680	\$ 9,465
Less amounts representing interest (3.1% to 10.5%)		(274)	
Present value of minimum lease payments	5,511		
Less current maturities		(2,938)	
Long-term capital lease liability	\$ 2,573		

At December 31, 2016 and 2015, pump assets obtained under capital leases, had a cost of approximately \$13.9 million and \$11.2 million, respectively, and accumulated depreciation of \$3.9 million and \$2.4 million, respectively. Included in depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015 was \$6.3 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, which were recorded in cost of revenues - pump depreciation and loss on disposal.

The Company had minimum future operating lease commitments, mainly related to its leased facilities. Related rental expense for facilities and other equipment from third parties under operating leases approximated \$1.0 million.

11. Share-based Compensation

All stock option awards are amortized based on their graded vesting over the requisite service period of the awards. Compensation costs are recognized over the requisite service period using the accelerated method and included in selling expenses and general and administrative expenses, based upon the department to which the associated employee or non-employee resides.

Stock Incentive Plan

The Company has various stock option and stock-based incentive plans and agreements whereby stock options and restricted stock awards were made available to certain employees, directors and others approved by the Company's Board of Directors (the Board) or Compensation Committee. Stock options are granted at, or above, fair market value and generally expire in three to ten years from the grant date. Restricted stock awards are granted at the fair market value on the date of grant and generally become exercisable over a period of up to four years. Awards typically vest and are issued only if the participants remain employed by the Company through the vesting date. Stock options and restricted stock awards are issued from shares under one of the Company's plans described below. Grants may be made in the form of stock options, restricted stock units or unrestricted common stock.

Table of Contents

On April 23, 2014, the Company's Board adopted the 2014 Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan (the "2014 Plan"). The 2014 Plan was approved by the Company's shareholders at the 2014 Annual Meeting and became effective as of the date it was adopted by the Board of Directors. The 2014 Plan provides for the issuance of a maximum of 2.0 million shares of common stock in connection with the grant of stock-based or stock-denominated awards. As of December 31, 2016, a total of 0.7 million common shares remained available for future grant under the 2014 Plan. The 2014 Plan replaced our 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (the "Plan") and provided for the issuance of a maximum of 2.0 million shares of common stock in connection with the grant of stock-based or stock-denominated awards. On May 27, 2011, the Company's stockholders approved the reservation of an additional 3.0 million shares to be issued under the Plan. The Plan is no longer in effect other than for stock options that were previously granted and remain outstanding. Options representing approximately 0.5 million and rights representing less than 0.1 million remain outstanding under this plan. Restricted stock awards currently outstanding under the Plan will remain outstanding in accordance with the terms of that plan.

The Company granted restricted shares and stock options under the Plan and 2014 Plan during the year ended December 31, 2015 and stock options under the 2014 Plan during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Shares Forgone to Satisfy Minimum Statutory Withholdings

During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, shares of common stock were issued to employees and directors as their restricted stock awards vested or stock options were exercised. Under the terms of the Company's stock plans, at the election of each employee, the Company can authorize a net settlement of distributable shares to employees after satisfaction of an individual employee's tax withholding obligations. For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, the Company received 0.1 million shares from employees for tax withholding obligations.

Restricted Shares

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company did not grant any restricted shares. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company granted 0.1 million restricted shares, which vest over a three- or four-year period only if the participants remain employed by the Company through the vesting date. Restricted shares entitle the holder to receive, upon meeting certain vesting criteria, a specified number of shares of the Company's common stock. Stock-based compensation cost of restricted shares is measured by the market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. Compensation cost associated with certain restricted share grants also takes into account market conditions in its measurement.

The following table summarizes restricted share activity, excluding the Company's employee stock purchase plan, for the years ended December 31:

	Number of shares	Weighted average grant date fair value	Aggregate fair value
Unvested at December 31, 2014	256,003	\$ 1.78	
Granted	61,663	2.60	
Vested	(83,029)	1.53	\$ 244,319
Vested shares forgone to satisfy minimum statutory withholding	(24,031)	2.96	\$ 71,445
Forfeitures	(39,774)	1.79	
Unvested at December 31, 2015	170,832	2.09	
Granted			
Vested	(64,182)	1.74	\$ 236,161
Vested shares forgone to satisfy minimum statutory withholding	(16,484)	2.81	\$ 49,592
Forfeitures	(32,833)	2.18	
Unvested at December 31, 2016	57,333	\$ 2.21	

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2016, there was less than \$0.1 million of pre-tax total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted shares, which will be adjusted for future forfeitures, if any. The Company expects to recognize such cost over the period ending in 2019.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In May 2014, the Company received approval from stockholders to adopt an employee stock purchase plan (ESPP) effective October 2014 (collectively the Original ESPP). Under the Original ESPP, 200,000 shares of common stock are authorized for purchase by eligible employees at a 15% discount through payroll deductions during the six month offering periods. Shares were purchased in whole numbers and generally would be the last day of the offering period. On September 7, 2016, the Company received approval from shareholders for an additional 350,000 shares. No employee may purchase more than \$25,000 worth of fair market value shares in any calendar year. As allowed under the ESPP, a participant may elect to withdraw from the plan, effective for the purchase period in progress at the time of the election with all accumulated payroll deductions returned to the participant at the time of withdrawal. As of December 31, 2016, there were 363,821 shares remaining available for future issuance. The following table summarizes the activity relating to the Company's ESPP program for the years ended December 31:

	2016	2015
Compensation expense	\$ 113,531	\$ 40,233
Shares of stock sold to employees	88,109	98,070
Weighted average fair value per ESPP award	\$ 2.73	\$ 2.73

Stock Options

The Company calculates the fair value of stock option awards using the Black-Scholes option pricing model, which incorporates various assumptions including volatility, expected term, risk-free interest rates and dividend yields. The expected volatility assumption is based on historical volatility of the Company's common stock over the most recent period commensurate with the expected life of the stock option granted. The Company uses historical volatility because management believes such volatility is representative of prospective trends. The risk-free interest rate assumption is based upon observed interest rates appropriate for the expected life of the stock option awarded. The Company determines expected lives as the average of the vesting period and the contractual period. Dividend yields have not been a factor in determining fair value of stock options granted as the Company has never issued cash dividends and does not anticipate issuing cash dividends in the future.

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2016, the Company granted 0.6 million stock options, none of which were issued to Board members. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company granted 0.5 million stock options, of which 0.2 million were issued to Board members, at exercise prices which were a preceding five-day average price on the date of grant and a vesting period of 12-months. The following table details the various stock option and inducement stock option activity for the years ended December 31:

	Number of Authorized Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (in Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
2007 Plan (Options)				
Outstanding at December 31, 2014	595,000	\$ 2.19	1.51	\$ 571,717
Granted				
Exercised	(52,030)	1.64		140,368
Exercised shares forgone to satisfy minimum statutory withholding	(7,688)	1.76		
Cashless exercise	(41,950)	1.70		
Forfeited	(5,000)	1.93		
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	488,332	\$ 2.31	0.41	\$ 348,415
Granted				
Exercised				
Exercised shares forgone to satisfy minimum statutory withholding				
Cashless exercise				
Forfeited				
Outstanding at December 31, 2016	488,332	\$ 2.31	0.25	\$ 118,899
Exercisable at December 31, 2016	483,332	\$ 2.29		

Aggregate Intrinsic Value = Excess of market value over the option exercise price of all in-the-money stock options.

	Number of Authorized Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (in Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
2014 Plan (Options)				
Outstanding at December 31, 2014	530,000	\$ 2.69	5.00	\$ 243,800
Granted	470,000	\$ 2.90	3.25	\$
Exercised				
Exercised shares forgone to satisfy minimum statutory withholding				
Forfeited	(30,000)	2.69		
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	970,000	\$ 2.79	3.58	\$ 222,200
Exercisable at December 31, 2015	410,903	\$ 2.85		
Granted	600,000	2.76	4.60	
Exercised	(1,866)	2.69		
Exercised shares forgone to satisfy minimum statutory withholding	(798)	2.69		

Edgar Filing: InfuSystem Holdings, Inc - Form 10-K

Cashless exercise	(12,614)		2.69		
Forfeited	(304,723)		2.70		
Outstanding at December 31, 2016	1,249,999	\$	2.80	4.26	\$
Exercisable at December 31, 2016	616,597	\$	2.87		

Table of Contents

Aggregate Intrinsic Value = Excess of market value over the option exercise price of all in-the-money stock options.

	Number of Authorized Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Inducement Options				
Outstanding at December 31, 2014	800,000	\$ 2.25	3.89	\$ 720,000
Granted				
Exercised				
Forfeited				
Outstanding at December 31, 2015	800,000	\$ 2.25	2.90	\$ 616,000
Granted				
Exercised				
Forfeited				
Outstanding at December 31, 2016	800,000	\$ 2.25	2.26	\$ 240,000
Exercisable at December 31, 2016	756,250	\$ 2.25		

Aggregate Intrinsic Value = Excess of market value over the option exercise price of all in-the-money stock options.

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2016:

2007 Plan (Options):	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number of Shares Outstanding	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares Exercisable	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Range of Exercise Prices					
\$1.50 - \$1.75	110,000		\$ 1.51	110,000	\$ 1.51
\$1.76 - \$2.00	91,666		1.93	91,666	1.70
\$2.01 - \$3.00	286,666	0.25	2.71	281,666	2.71
Outstanding at December 31, 2016	488,332	0.25	\$ 2.31	483,332	\$ 2.29

2014 Plan (Options):	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number of Shares Outstanding	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares Exercisable	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Range of Exercise Prices					
\$2.01 - \$3.00	1,249,999	4.26	\$ 2.80	616,597	\$ 2.87
Outstanding at December 31, 2016	1,249,999	4.26	\$ 2.80	616,597	\$ 2.87

Edgar Filing: InfuSystem Holdings, Inc - Form 10-K

Inducement Options:

	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable	
	Number of Shares Outstanding	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares Exercisable	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Range of Exercise Prices					
\$1.50 - \$1.75	400,000	2.26	\$ 1.75	381,250	\$ 1.75
\$2.26 - \$2.75	400,000	2.26	2.75	375,000	2.75
Outstanding at December 31, 2016	800,000	2.26	\$ 2.25	756,250	\$ 2.25

Table of Contents

The following is the average fair value per share estimated on the date of grant and the assumptions used for options granted during the years ended December 31:

Stock Options:	2016	2015
Expected volatility	35%	50% to 54%
Risk free interest rate	0.69%	0.25% to 0.66%
Expected lives at date of grant (in years)	5.01	4.43
Weighted average fair value of options granted	\$ 2.76	\$ 2.90

Stock-based compensation expense

The following table presents the total stock-based compensation expense, which is included in selling, general and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31 (in thousands):

	2016	2015
Restricted share expense	\$ 151	\$ 107
Stock option expense	311	889
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 462	\$ 996

Common Share Repurchase Program

Stock repurchases may be made through open market transactions, negotiated purchases or otherwise, at times and in such amounts as our management deems to be appropriate. The timing and actual number of shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors, including price, financing and regulatory requirements, as well as other market conditions. The program does not require us to repurchase any specific number of shares or to complete the program within a specific period of time. During the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company did not repurchase any shares in the open market.

12. Employee Benefit Plans

The Company has defined contribution plan in which the Company makes matching contributions for a certain percentage of employee contributions. For both of the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, the Company's matching contributions were \$0.7 million. The Company does not provide other post-retirement or post-employment benefits to its employees.

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Overview

As previously reported on its Form 10-K/A and 10-Q/A s filed on December 12, 2016 with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), the Audit Committee of the Company s Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Company s management, determined that its originally filed audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 on March 9, 2016 and its unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the quarters ended March 31, 2016 and June 30, 2016, and the related quarters in 2015, as reported in its Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q originally filed on August 10, 2016 and May 10, 2016, respectively, should no longer be relied upon because of calculation errors primarily related to the Company s overstatement of estimated accounts receivable collections, and the Company restated these financial statements.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and our Chief Financial Officer (CFO) evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of December 31, 2016. The term disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives. Based on this evaluation, management, including our CEO and CFO, concluded as of December 31, 2016 that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). The Company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP).

Internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act, is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the CEO and CFO and is effected by the Board of Directors, management and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with US GAAP. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with US GAAP, and that the receipts and expenditures of the

Table of Contents

Company are being made only in accordance with appropriate authorization of management and the board of directors; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

Based on our evaluation under the criteria set forth in Internal Control Integrated Framework (2013), our management concluded that, as of December 31, 2016, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

Remediation of a Previously Identified Material Weakness

As discussed above, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2015 filed on December 12, 2016 with the SEC, we reported a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting relating to the calculation error primarily related to the Company's overstatement of estimated accounts receivable collections. With the oversight of the Company's finance department and Audit Committee, the Company undertook significant efforts to correct its material weakness in internal controls and during the fourth quarter of 2016, the Company designed, implemented and executed additional controls with regard to the calculation of the Company's estimate of accounts receivable collections and has taken the following measures to remediate the previously identified material weakness:

Engaged outside accounting experts to review the calculation model accuracy;

Simplified the model by reducing the amount of inputs, thereby, reducing the potential for errors;

Implemented a detailed monthly review of the model by additional members of our senior management;

Implemented a detailed quarterly review of the model by internal audit and other departments; and

Implemented new electronic controls to limit accessibility.

In addition, our CEO and CFO have placed an emphasis on internal controls with all levels of executive management.

Management believes that the implementation of these measures have remediated the material weakness described above.

These additional controls were tested as of December 31, 2016 and determined to be operating effectively. The Company will continue to refine and improve its design and operating effectiveness of internal control during 2017 and beyond.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting because that requirement under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 was permanently removed for smaller reporting companies pursuant to the provisions of Section 989G(a) set forth in the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act enacted into federal law in July 2010.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Other than the previously identified material weakness described above, there have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the

Table of Contents

Exchange Act, during the quarter ended December 31, 2016 identified in connection with our evaluation that has materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

Table of Contents**PART III****Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

The information required by Part III, Item 10 is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement relating to the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by Part III, Item 11 is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement relating to the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters
Equity Compensation Plan Information**

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2016 with respect to compensation plans, including individual compensation arrangements, under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance (in thousands):

Plan Category:	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options and rights (a)	Weighted Average Exercise Price of options and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))(3) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders: (1)			
2007 Plan *	514,418	\$ 2.28	
2014 Plan	1,281,247	2.80	718,753
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders (2)	800,000	2.25	
Total	2,595,665	\$ 2.52	718,753

* As of December 31, 2015, this plan is no longer in effect other than for stock options and rights that were previously granted and remain outstanding. Options representing approximately 488k and rights representing approximately 26k remain outstanding under this plan.

- (1) This amount includes 0.1 million shares of common stock issuable upon the vesting of certain time restricted stock awards (the Restricted Stock Awards) and 1.7 million shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of vested stock option awards.
- (2) We issued inducement stock options to purchase 700,000 shares of our Common Stock to our Chief Executive Officer (CEO), pursuant to the terms of an Inducement Stock Option Agreement effective April 1, 2013 pursuant to which (i) 300,000 options have an exercise price of \$1.75 and 400,000 options have an exercise price of \$2.75, (ii) all of the options vest over a four-year period, with 25% vesting on the first anniversary of the grant date and the remaining options vesting pro rata monthly in the 36 months thereafter, and (iii) the options will expire on the tenth anniversary of their grant date. Under the terms of our CEO s Employment Agreement, effective as of April 1, 2013, as

Edgar Filing: InfuSystem Holdings, Inc - Form 10-K

amended January 18, 2016, in the event that our CEO is involuntarily terminated by us without cause or our CEO resigns for good reason, in each case, within two months prior to, or 12 months following, a change in control of the Company, his

Table of Contents

options will immediately vest and become exercisable. Further, we issued inducement stock options to purchase 100,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock to our Chief Information Officer (CIO) pursuant to the terms of an Employment Agreement effective April 29, 2013 pursuant to which (i) the options have an exercise price of \$1.75 per share, (ii) vest one-third on each of the next three anniversaries of the grant date, provided that our CIO is employed by us on each of these dates, (iii) the options will expire on the seventh anniversary of their grant date, and (iv) in the event that our CIO is involuntarily terminated by us without cause within six months of a change in control of the Company, his options will immediately accelerate and become exercisable, and otherwise by us without cause, his options will vest pro rata based on the length of his service in the year of the termination of his employment.

- (3) Includes 2.0 million shares authorized as part of our 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders held in May 2014 less 1.3 million shares that were made available to certain employees, directors and others.

The other information required by Part III, Item 12 is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement relating to the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by Part III, Item 13 is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement relating to the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by Part III, Item 14 is incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement relating to the 2017 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed or furnished as part of this Form 10-K:

1. Financial Statements

Reference is made to the Index to Financial Statements under Item 8, Part II hereof.

2. Financial Statement Schedules

The Financial Statement Schedules have been omitted either because they are not required or because the information has been included in the financial statements or the notes thereto included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

3. Exhibits

Reference is made to the accompanying Exhibit Index immediately following the signature page to this Form 10-K. Pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Company has filed, furnished or incorporated by reference the documents referenced in the accompanying Exhibit Index as exhibits to this Form 10-K. The documents include agreements to which the Company is a party or has a beneficial interest. The agreements have been filed to provide investors with information regarding their respective terms. The agreements are not intended to provide any other factual information about the Company or its business or operations. In particular, the assertions embodied in any representations, warranties and covenants contained in the agreements may be subject to qualifications with respect to knowledge and materiality different from those applicable to investors and may be qualified by information in confidential disclosure schedules not included with the exhibits. These disclosure schedules may contain information that modifies, qualifies and creates exceptions to the representations, warranties and covenants set forth in the agreements. Moreover, certain representations, warranties and covenants in the agreements may have been used for the purpose of allocating risk between the parties, rather than establishing matters as facts. In addition, information concerning the subject matter of the representations, warranties and covenants may have changed after the date of the respective agreement, which subsequent information may or may not be fully reflected in the Company's public disclosures. Accordingly, investors should not rely on the representations, warranties and covenants in the agreements as characterizations of the actual state of facts about the Company or its business or operations on the date hereof. The Company will furnish to any stockholder, upon written request, any exhibit listed in the accompanying Exhibit Index upon payment by such stockholder of the Company's reasonable expenses in furnishing any such exhibit.

Item 16. 10-K Summary

None.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

INFUSYSTEM HOLDINGS, INC.

Date: March 22, 2017

By: /s/ ERIC K. STEEN
Eric K. Steen
Chief Executive Officer, President and Director

(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacity and on the dates indicated.

Date: March 22, 2017

By: /s/ ERIC K. STEEN
Eric K. Steen
Chief Executive Officer, President and Director

(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: March 22, 2017

/s/ CHRISTOPHER DOWNS
Christopher Downs
Chief Financial Officer (Interim)

(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: March 22, 2017

/s/ TRENT N. SMITH
Trent N. Smith
Chief Accounting Officer

(Principal Accounting Officer)

Date: March 22, 2017

/s/ GREGG LEHMAN
Gregg Lehman
Chairman of the Board

Director

Date: March 22, 2017

/s/ DAVID DREYER
David Dreyer
Director

Date: March 22, 2017

/s/ RYAN MORRIS
Ryan Morris
Director

Date: March 22, 2017

/s/ SCOTT SHUDA
Scott Shuda
Director

Date: March 22, 2017

/s/ JOSEPH WHITTERS
Joseph Whitters

Table of Contents**Exhibit Index**

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-35020) filed on May 12, 2014).
3.2	Amended and Restated By-Laws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-35020) filed on May 31, 2012).
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-129035) filed on March 3, 2006).
10.1**	InfuSystem Holdings, Inc. 2007 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-150066) filed on April 3, 2008).
10.2	Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of October 17, 2007 by and among InfuSystem Holdings, Inc., Wayne Yetter, John Voris, Jean-Pierre Millon, Erin Enright, Sean McDevitt, Pat LaVecchia and Great Point Partners LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 0-51902) filed on March 3, 2009).
10.3	Fifth Amendment to Credit Agreement, dated as April 24, 2012, by and among InfuSystem Holdings, Inc., InfuSystem, Inc., and First Biomedical, Inc., Bank of America, N.A. as Administrative Agent and Lender and Keybank National Association as Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-35020) filed on April 26, 2012).
10.4	Credit Agreement by and among InfuSystem Holdings, Inc., InfuSystem, Inc., and First Biomedical, Inc., with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as Administrative Agent and Lender and PennantPark Investment Corporation, PennantPark Credit Opportunities Fund, L.P. and PennantPark Floating Rate Capital Ltd as Lenders, dated as of November 30, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 1-35020) filed on March 28, 2013).
10.5	Amendment Number One to Credit Agreement by and among InfuSystem Holdings, Inc., InfuSystem Holdings USA, Inc., InfuSystem, Inc., and First Biomedical, Inc., with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as Administrative Agent and Lender and PennantPark Investment Corporation, PennantPark Credit Opportunities Fund, L.P. and PennantPark Floating Rate Capital Ltd as Lenders, dated as of April 18, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-35020) filed on May 20, 2014).
10.6	Amendment Number Two to Credit Agreement by and among InfuSystem Holdings, Inc., InfuSystem Holdings USA, Inc., InfuSystem, Inc., and First Biomedical, Inc., with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association as Administrative Agent and Lender and PennantPark Investment Corporation, PennantPark Credit Opportunities Fund, L.P. and PennantPark Floating Rate Capital Ltd as Lenders, dated as of May 19, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-35020) filed on May 20, 2014).
10.7	Credit Agreement by and among InfuSystem Holdings, Inc., its subsidiaries and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., dated as of March 23, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 1-35020) filed on May 12, 2015).

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.8	Pledge and Security Agreement by and among InfuSystem Holdings, Inc., its subsidiaries and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., dated as of March 23, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 1-35020) filed on May 12, 2015).
10.9	Patent and Trademark Agreement by and among InfuSystem Holdings, Inc., its subsidiaries and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., dated as of March 23, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 1-35020) filed on May 12, 2015).
10.10	First Amendment to Credit Agreement and Waiver, dated as of December 5, 2016, among the InfuSystem Holdings, Inc., and its direct and indirect subsidiaries, with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. as Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-35020) filed on December 9, 2016).
10.11**	First Amended and Restated Employment Agreement by and between Jan Skonieczny and InfuSystem Holdings, Inc., effective January 2, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 1-35020) filed on March 28, 2013).
10.12**	Employment Agreement by and between InfuSystem Holdings, Inc. and Jonathan P. Foster, dated and effective as of July 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-32050) filed on July 8, 2013).
10.13**	Employment Agreement by and between InfuSystem Holdings, Inc. and Eric K. Steen, effective April 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-35020) filed on March 19, 2013).
10.14**	Amendment to Employment Agreement by and between InfuSystem Holdings, Inc. and Erik K. Steen, effective January 18, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-35020) filed on January 21, 2016).
10.15**	Inducement Stock Option Agreement by and between InfuSystem Holdings, Inc. and Eric K. Steen, dated as of April 1, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 1-35020) filed on March 19, 2013).
10.16**	Stock Option Award Agreement by and between InfuSystem Holdings, Inc. and Eric K. Steen, dated as of March 6, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 1-35020) filed on May 5, 2014).
10.17	Lease Agreement by and between Research Park Development Co, LLC and InfuSystem, Inc., dated September 13, 2012, for facilities located at 31700 Research Park Drive, Madison Heights, Michigan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 1-35020) filed on March 28, 2013).
10.18	First Amendment to Lease by and between College K, LLC and First Biomedical, Inc., dated June 25, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 1-35020) filed on August 1, 2014).
10.19**	Form of Stock Option Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 1-35020) filed on November 10, 2014).
10.20**	Inducement Stock Option Agreement by and between InfuSystem Holdings, Inc., and Mike McReynolds, dated as of April 29, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-196369) filed on May 29, 2014).

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.21**	InfuSystem Holdings, Inc. 2014 Equity Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-196369) filed on May 13, 2014.
10.22**	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 1-35020) filed on May 12, 2015).
10.23**	Amendment to Employment Agreement by and between InfuSystem Holdings, Inc. and Jonathan P. Foster, effective March 8, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 1-35020) filed on March 9, 2016)..
10.24* **	Composite copy of InfuSystem Holdings, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended.
14.1	Code of Ethics (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1/A (File No. 333-129035) filed on January 17, 2006).
21.1*	Subsidiaries of InfuSystem Holdings, Inc.
23.1*	Consent of BDO USA, LLP
31.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
31.2*	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
32.1*	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2*	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document*
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document*
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document*
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document*
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document*
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document*

* Filed herewith

** Management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement. Portions of this exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to a confidential treatment request under Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.