

Fidelity National Financial, Inc.
Form S-4
August 30, 2013
Table of Contents

Registration No. 333-

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 29, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form S-4
REGISTRATION STATEMENT
UNDER
THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

Fidelity National Financial, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

6361
(Primary Standard Industrial
Classification Code Number)
601 Riverside Avenue

16-1725106
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

Jacksonville, Florida 32204

(904) 854-8100

(Address, including ZIP code, and telephone number,
including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

Michael L. Gravelle

Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Fidelity National Financial, Inc.

601 Riverside Avenue

Jacksonville, Florida 32204

(904) 854-8100

(Name, address, including ZIP code, and telephone number,
including area code, of agent for service)

With Copies to:

Michael J. Aiello, Esq.

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

767 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10153

(212) 310-8000

Todd C. Johnson

Executive Vice President, General

Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Lender Processing Services, Inc.

601 Riverside Avenue

Jacksonville, Florida 32204

(904) 854-5100

Robert I. Townsend, Esq.

Damien R. Zoubek, Esq.

Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP

Worldwide Plaza

825 Eighth Avenue

New York, New York 10019

(212) 474-1000

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale of the securities to the public: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement becomes effective and upon completion of the merger described in the enclosed joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

If the securities being registered on this Form are being offered in connection with the formation of a holding company and there is compliance with General Instruction G, check the following box. "

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

If applicable, place an X in the box to designate the appropriate rule provision relied upon in conducting this transaction:

Accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Exchange Act Rule 13e-4(i) (Cross-Border Issuer Tender Offer)

Exchange Act Rule 14d-1(d) (Cross-Border Third-Party Tender Offer)

Table of Contents

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of Each Class of Securities to be Registered	Amount to be Registered	Proposed Maximum Offering Price Per Unit	Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price	Amount of Registration Fee
Class A Common Stock, par value \$0.0001 per share	45,797,156(1)	N/A	\$855,790,319(2)	\$116,730(3)

- (1) Represents the estimated maximum number of shares of the Registrant's common stock to be issued pursuant to the merger agreement described herein. The number of shares of common stock is based on the product obtained by multiplying (x) 88,071,454 shares of Lender Processing Services, Inc. common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, estimated to be outstanding immediately prior to the merger (which will be cancelled on completion of the merger) by (y) the exchange ratio of 0.52000.
- (2) Estimated solely for purposes of calculating the registration fee required by Section 6(b) of the Securities Act and calculated pursuant to Rules 457(f)(1) and 457(c) under the Securities Act. The proposed maximum aggregate offering price of the Registrant's common stock was calculated based upon the market value of shares of Lender Processing Services, Inc. common stock (the securities to be cancelled in the merger) in accordance with Rule 457(c) under the Securities Act as follows: (i) the product of (x) \$32.02, the average of the high and low prices per share of Lender Processing Services, Inc. common stock on August 28, 2013, as quoted on the New York Stock Exchange, multiplied by (y) 88,071,454, the estimated number of shares of Lender Processing Services, Inc. common stock to be exchanged pursuant to the merger, less (ii) \$1,964,257,638.56, which is the estimated maximum amount of cash to be paid by the Registrant pursuant to the merger.
- (3) Determined in accordance with Section 6(b) of the Securities Act at a rate equal to \$136.40 per \$1,000,000 of the proposed maximum aggregate offering price.

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such dates as the SEC, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Table of Contents

The information in this joint proxy statement/prospectus is not complete and may be changed. Fidelity National Financial, Inc. may not sell the securities offered by this joint proxy statement/prospectus until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This joint proxy statement/prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and is not soliciting an offer to buy securities in any jurisdiction where the offer or sale is not permitted.

PRELIMINARY SUBJECT TO COMPLETION DATED AUGUST 29, 2013

PROPOSED MERGER YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT

Lender Processing Services, Inc. (referred to as LPS) and Fidelity National Financial, Inc. (referred to as FNF) have entered into to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of May 28, 2013 (referred to as the merger agreement). Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, a subsidiary of FNF will merge with and into LPS (referred to as the merger), with LPS surviving the merger as a subsidiary of FNF.

If the merger is completed, holders of LPS common stock will be entitled to receive a certain number of shares of FNF Class A common stock (referred to as the FNF common stock) equal to the exchange ratio further discussed below and \$22.303 in cash, without interest, for each share of LPS common stock that they own. If the average of the volume weighted averages of the trading prices of FNF common stock during the ten trading day period ending on (and including) the third trading day prior to the closing of the merger (referred to as the average FNF stock price) is greater than \$26.763, then the exchange ratio will be an amount equal to the quotient of (a) (x) the product of (1) 0.65224 multiplied by (2) the average FNF stock price minus (y) \$5.678 divided by (b) the average FNF stock price. If the average FNF stock price is between \$24.215 and \$26.763, then the exchange ratio will be fixed at 0.42948. If the average FNF stock price is between \$20.000 and \$24.215, then the exchange ratio will adjust so that the value of the stock portion of the merger consideration is fixed (based on the average FNF stock price) at \$10.400 per share of LPS common stock. If the average FNF stock price is less than \$20.000, then the exchange ratio will be fixed at 0.52000. You should read the section entitled *The Merger Agreement Merger Consideration* beginning on page 146 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus for a more complete discussion of the exchange ratio adjustment mechanism, and for a discussion of, FNF's ability to increase the cash portion of the merger consideration pursuant to the merger agreement. Based on the closing price of FNF common stock on the New York Stock Exchange (referred to as the NYSE) on August 28, 2013, the latest practicable trading day before the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the merger consideration represented approximately \$32.70 in value for each share of LPS common stock (assuming an exchange ratio of 0.43261). LPS common stock is currently traded on the NYSE under the symbol LPS and FNF common stock is currently traded on the NYSE under the symbol FNF. **We urge you to obtain current market quotations of LPS common stock and FNF common stock.**

FNF and LPS will each hold special meetings of their respective stockholders in connection with the proposed merger. Under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, the approval of LPS stockholders must be obtained before effecting the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. Under the rules of the NYSE, FNF is required to obtain stockholder approval prior to issuing shares of FNF common stock to LPS stockholders pursuant to the merger agreement (referred to as the FNF stock issuance) if the number of such shares to be issued are or will be upon issuance equal to or more than 20% of the outstanding shares of FNF common stock before such issuance. Based on the estimated number of shares of LPS and FNF common stock that will be outstanding immediately prior to the closing of the merger, we estimate that, upon closing, existing FNF stockholders will own approximately 86% of the outstanding shares of FNF common stock and former LPS stockholders will own approximately 14% of the outstanding shares of FNF common stock.

At the special meeting of FNF stockholders, FNF stockholders will be asked to vote on (i) a proposal to approve the FNF stock issuance (referred to as the FNF stock issuance proposal) and (ii) a proposal to adjourn the FNF special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies if there are not sufficient votes to approve the FNF stock issuance (referred to as the FNF adjournment proposal). The FNF stock issuance proposal and the FNF adjournment proposal each require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of FNF common stock present in person or represented by proxy at the FNF special meeting and entitled to vote at the meeting.

At the special meeting of LPS stockholders, LPS stockholders will be asked to vote on (i) a proposal to adopt the merger agreement (referred to as the merger proposal), (ii) a non-binding, advisory proposal to approve the compensation that may become payable to LPS named executive officers in connection with the completion of the merger (referred to as the compensation proposal) and (iii) a proposal to adjourn the LPS special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies if there are not sufficient votes to approve the merger proposal (referred to as the LPS adjournment proposal). Approval of the merger proposal each requires the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of LPS common stock entitled to vote on the proposal. The compensation proposal and LPS adjournment proposal each require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of LPS common stock present in person or represented by proxy at the LPS special meeting and entitled to vote at the meeting.

We cannot complete the merger unless the LPS stockholders approve the merger proposal and the FNF stockholders approve the FNF stock issuance proposal. **Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares you own. Whether or not you expect to attend either special meeting in person, please submit a proxy to vote your shares as promptly as possible so that your shares may be represented and voted at the LPS or FNF special meeting, as applicable.**

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

The LPS board of directors (other than Mr. James Hunt, who recused himself from the meeting) has unanimously approved the merger agreement, declared it advisable and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders that LPS enter into the merger agreement and consummate the merger and all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and determined that the merger and the terms thereof, together with all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, are fair to, and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders. The LPS board of directors (with Mr. Hunt abstaining) accordingly unanimously recommends that LPS stockholders vote FOR each of the merger proposal, the compensation proposal and the LPS adjournment proposal.

The FNF board of directors (other than Messrs. Thomas Hagerty and Cary Thompson, who abstained from voting at the meeting) has unanimously approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby and declared that the FNF stock issuance as contemplated by the merger agreement is in the best interests of FNF and its stockholders. The FNF board of directors (with Messrs. Hagerty and Thompson abstaining) unanimously recommends that the FNF stockholders vote FOR each of the FNF stock issuance proposal and the FNF adjournment proposal.

The obligations of LPS and FNF to complete the merger are subject to the satisfaction or waiver of several conditions. The accompanying joint proxy statement/prospectus contains detailed information about LPS, FNF, the special meetings, the merger agreement and the merger. **You should read this joint proxy statement/prospectus carefully and in its entirety before voting, including the section entitled Risk Factors beginning on page 35.**

We look forward to the successful completion of the merger.

Sincerely,

George P. Scanlon

Hugh R. Harris

Chief Executive Officer

President and Chief Executive Officer

Fidelity National Financial, Inc.

Lender Processing Services, Inc.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of the securities to be issued under this joint proxy statement/prospectus or determined if this joint proxy statement/prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is dated [], 2013 and is first being mailed to LPS and FNF stockholders on or about [], 2013.

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

to be held on []

To the Stockholders of Lender Processing Services, Inc.:

We are pleased to invite you to attend the special meeting of stockholders of Lender Processing Services, Inc., (referred to as LPS) which will be held at [], on [], at [], local time, for the following purposes:

to consider and vote on a proposal to adopt the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of May 28, 2013, as may be amended from time to time (referred to as the merger agreement), among Fidelity National Financial, Inc. (referred to as FNF), Lion Merger Sub, Inc., a subsidiary of FNF, and LPS, a copy of which is included as Annex A to the joint proxy statement/prospectus of which this notice forms a part (referred to as the merger proposal);

to consider and vote on a non-binding, advisory proposal to approve the compensation that may become payable to LPS named executive officers in connection with the completion of the merger (referred to as the compensation proposal); and

to consider and vote on a proposal to adjourn the LPS special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies if there are not sufficient votes to approve the merger proposal (referred to as the LPS adjournment proposal).

LPS will transact no other business at the special meeting except such business as may properly be brought before the special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. Please refer to the joint proxy statement/prospectus of which this notice forms a part for further information with respect to the business to be transacted at the LPS special meeting.

The LPS board of directors (other than Mr. James Hunt, who recused himself from the meeting) has unanimously approved the merger agreement, declared it advisable and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders that LPS enter into the merger agreement and consummate the merger and all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and determined that the merger and the terms thereof, together with all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, are fair to, and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders. The LPS board of directors (with Mr. Hunt abstaining) accordingly unanimously recommends that LPS stockholders vote FOR each of the merger proposal, the compensation proposal and the LPS adjournment proposal.

The LPS board of directors has fixed the close of business on [] as the record date for determination of LPS stockholders entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the LPS special meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof. Only holders of record of LPS common stock at the close of business on the record date are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the LPS special meeting. Approval of the merger proposal requires the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of LPS common stock entitled to vote on the proposal. The compensation proposal and LPS adjournment proposal each require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of LPS common stock present in

Table of Contents

person or represented by proxy at the LPS special meeting and entitled to vote at the meeting. A list of stockholders of LPS will be available for review for any purpose germane to the special meeting at LPS executive offices and principal place of business at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida, 33204, during regular business hours for a period of ten days before the special meeting. The list will also be available at the special meeting for examination by any stockholder of record present at the special meeting.

Under Delaware law, LPS stockholders of record who do not vote in favor of the merger and properly make a demand for appraisal will be entitled to exercise appraisal rights and obtain payment in cash for the judicially-determined fair value of their shares of LPS common stock in connection with the merger if the merger is completed. The relevant provisions of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware (referred to as the DGCL) are included as Annex F to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See the section entitled *The Merger Appraisal Rights* beginning on page 139.

Your vote is very important. Whether or not you expect to attend the special meeting in person, we urge you to submit a proxy to vote your shares as promptly as possible so that your shares may be represented and voted at the special meeting. You may use one of the following three methods:

log onto the website indicated on the enclosed proxy card and follow the prompts using the control number located on the proxy card;

dial the telephone number indicated on the enclosed proxy card and follow the further directions using the control number located on the proxy card; or

mark, sign, date and promptly mail the enclosed proxy card to the address on the accompanying return envelope.

If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker, trust company or other nominee, please follow the instructions on the voting instruction card furnished by the record holder.

The enclosed joint proxy statement/prospectus provides a detailed description of the merger and the merger agreement. We urge you to read this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including any documents incorporated by reference, and the Annexes carefully and in their entirety. If you have any questions concerning the merger or this joint proxy statement/prospectus, would like additional copies or need help voting your shares of LPS common stock, please contact LPS proxy solicitor:

[LPS Proxy Solicitor]

[]

[]

[]

Sincerely,

Todd C. Johnson

Executive Vice President, General

Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Jacksonville, Florida

[], 2013

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

to be held on []

To the Stockholders of Fidelity National Financial, Inc.:

We are pleased to invite you to attend the special meeting of stockholders of Fidelity National Financial, Inc. (referred to as "FNF") which will be held at [], on [], at [], local time, to consider and vote on the following:

a proposal to approve the issuance of shares of FNF Class A common stock (referred to as the "FNF common stock") to Lender Processing Services, Inc. (referred to as "LPS") stockholders (referred to as the "FNF stock issuance") pursuant to the merger (referred to as the "merger") as contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of May 28, 2013, by and among FNF, LPS and Lion Merger Sub, Inc., a subsidiary of FNF, as may be amended from time to time (referred to as the "merger agreement"), a copy of which is included as Annex A to the joint proxy statement/prospectus of which this notice forms a part (referred to as the "FNF stock issuance proposal"); and

a proposal to adjourn the FNF special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies if there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the FNF stock issuance (referred to as the "FNF adjournment proposal"). FNF will transact no other business at the special meeting except such business as may properly be brought before the special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. Please refer to the joint proxy statement/prospectus of which this notice forms a part for further information with respect to the business to be transacted at the special meeting.

Completion of the merger is conditioned on, among other things, approval of the FNF stock issuance.

The FNF board of directors (other than Messrs. Thomas Hagerty and Cary Thompson, who abstained from voting at the meeting) has unanimously approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby and declared that the FNF stock issuance as contemplated by the merger agreement is in the best interests of FNF and its stockholders. The FNF board of directors unanimously (with Messrs. Hagerty and Thompson abstaining) recommends that FNF stockholders vote FOR the FNF stock issuance proposal and FOR the FNF adjournment proposal.

The FNF board of directors has fixed the close of business on [], 2013 as the record date for determination of FNF stockholders entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the FNF special meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof. Only FNF stockholders of record at the close of business on the record date are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the FNF special meeting. The FNF stock issuance proposal and the FNF adjournment proposal each require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of FNF common stock present in person or represented by proxy at the FNF special meeting and entitled to vote on such proposal. A list of the names of FNF stockholders of record will be available for inspection for any purpose germane to the special meeting during ordinary business hours at FNF's headquarters located at Fidelity National Financial, Inc., 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204, for ten days prior to the FNF special meeting. The FNF stockholder list will also be available at the FNF special meeting for examination by any stockholder present at such meeting.

Table of Contents

Your vote is very important. Whether or not you expect to attend the special meeting in person, we urge you to submit a proxy to vote your shares as promptly as possible so that your shares may be represented and voted at the special meeting. You may use one of the following three methods:

log onto the website indicated on the enclosed proxy card and follow the prompts using the control number located on the proxy card;

dial the telephone number indicated on the enclosed proxy card and follow the further directions using the control number located on the proxy card; or

mark, sign, date and promptly mail the enclosed proxy card to the address on the accompanying return envelope.

If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker, trust company or other nominee, please follow the instructions on the voting instruction card furnished by the record holder.

The enclosed joint proxy statement/prospectus provides a detailed description of the merger and the merger agreement as well as a description of the FNF common stock. We urge you to read this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including any documents incorporated by reference, and the Annexes carefully and in their entirety. If you have any questions concerning the merger or this joint proxy statement/prospectus, would like additional copies or need help voting your shares of FNF common stock, please contact FNF's proxy solicitor:

[FNF Proxy Solicitor]

[]

[]

[]

Sincerely,

Michael L. Gravelle
Executive Vice President, General Counsel

and Corporate Secretary

Jacksonville, Florida

[], 2013

Table of Contents

REFERENCES TO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

This joint proxy statement/prospectus incorporates important business and financial information about LPS and FNF from documents that are not included in or delivered with this joint proxy statement/prospectus. **This information is available to you without charge upon your request. You can obtain the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus free of charge by requesting them in writing or by telephone from the appropriate company at the following addresses and telephone numbers:**

Fidelity National Financial, Inc.

601 Riverside Avenue

Jacksonville, Florida 32204

(904) 854-8100

Lender Processing Services, Inc.

601 Riverside Avenue

Jacksonville, Florida 32204

(904) 854-5100

Attention: Corporate Secretary

Attention: Corporate Secretary

In addition, if you have questions about the merger or the special meetings, or if you need to obtain copies of the accompanying joint proxy statement/prospectus, proxy cards or other documents incorporated by reference in the joint proxy statement/prospectus, you may contact the appropriate contact listed below. You will not be charged for any of the documents you request.

If you are an FNF stockholder:

If you are an LPS stockholder:

[FNF Proxy Solicitor]

[LPS Proxy Solicitor]

[]

[]

[]

[]

Banks and Brokers Call: []

Banks and Brokers Call: []

or

or

Toll Free: []

Toll Free: []

If you would like to request any documents, please do so by [], 2013 in order to receive them before the respective special meetings.

For a more detailed description of the information incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and how you may obtain it, see *Where You Can Find More Information* beginning on page 213.

Table of Contents

ABOUT THIS JOINT PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS

This joint proxy statement/prospectus, which forms part of a registration statement on Form S-4 filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (referred to as the "SEC") by FNF, constitutes a prospectus of FNF under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (referred to as the "Securities Act"), with respect to the shares of FNF Class A common stock (referred to as the "FNF common stock") to be issued to LPS stockholders pursuant to the merger. This joint proxy statement/prospectus also constitutes a joint proxy statement for both LPS and FNF under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (referred to as the "Exchange Act"). It also constitutes a notice of meeting with respect to the special meeting of FNF stockholders and a notice of meeting with respect to the special meeting of LPS stockholders.

You should rely only on the information contained in or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. No one has been authorized to provide you with information that is different from that contained in, or incorporated by reference into, this joint proxy statement/prospectus. This joint proxy statement/prospectus is dated [], 2013, and you should assume that the information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus is accurate only as of such date. You should assume that the information incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus is only accurate as of the date of such information. Neither the mailing of this joint proxy statement/prospectus to LPS stockholders or FNF stockholders nor the issuance by FNF of shares of FNF common stock pursuant to the merger will create any implication to the contrary.

This joint proxy statement/prospectus does not constitute an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to buy, any securities, or the solicitation of a proxy in any jurisdiction to or from any person to whom it is unlawful to make any such offer or solicitation in such jurisdiction. Information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus regarding LPS has been provided by LPS and information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus regarding FNF has been provided by FNF.

All references in this joint proxy statement/prospectus to "LPS" refer to Lender Processing Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation; all references in this joint proxy statement/prospectus to "FNF" refer to Fidelity National Financial, Inc., a Delaware corporation; all references to "Merger Sub" refer to Lion Merger Sub, Inc., a Delaware corporation and a subsidiary of FNF formed for the purpose of effecting the merger, and, unless otherwise indicated or as the context requires, all references to the "merger agreement" refer to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of May 28, 2013, among LPS, FNF and Merger Sub, a copy of which is included as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

In addition, unless otherwise indicated or as the content otherwise requires, all references in this joint proxy statement/prospectus to "combined company" refer collectively to FNF and LPS, following completion of the merger; and all references in this joint proxy statement/prospectus to "we," "our," and "us" refer to FNF and LPS, collectively.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS</u>	1
<u>SUMMARY</u>	13
<u>The Companies</u>	13
<u>The Merger</u>	14
<u>The Special Meetings</u>	26
<u>Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data</u>	28
<u>Selected Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information of LPS and FNF</u>	31
<u>Unaudited Comparative Per Share Data</u>	32
<u>CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	34
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	35
<u>Risk Factors Relating to the Merger</u>	35
<u>Risk Factors Relating to FNF Following the Merger</u>	39
<u>Other Risk Factors of LPS and FNF</u>	42
<u>THE COMPANIES</u>	43
<u>THE LPS SPECIAL MEETING</u>	44
<u>THE FNF SPECIAL MEETING</u>	51
<u>THE MERGER</u>	57
<u>Effects of the Merger</u>	57
<u>Background of the Merger</u>	58
<u>LPS Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the LPS Board of Directors</u>	72
<u>Certain LPS Financial Projections</u>	77
<u>Opinions of LPS Financial Advisors</u>	81
<u>Interests of LPS Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger</u>	100
<u>FNF s Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of FNF s Board of Directors</u>	112
<u>Certain FNF Financial Projections</u>	113
<u>Opinions of FNF s Financial Advisors</u>	115
<u>Interests of FNF Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger</u>	133
<u>Historical Relationships Between FNF and LPS</u>	134
<u>Board of Directors Following the Merger</u>	135
<u>Regulatory Clearances Required for the Merger</u>	136
<u>Exchange of Shares in the Merger</u>	137
<u>Treatment of LPS Equity and Incentive Compensation Awards</u>	137
<u>Dividend Policy</u>	138
<u>Listing of Shares of FNF Common Stock</u>	139
<u>De-Listing and Deregistration of Shares of LPS Common Stock</u>	139

Table of Contents

<u>Appraisal Rights</u>	139
<u>Litigation Related to the Merger</u>	144
<u>THE MERGER AGREEMENT</u>	145
<u>The Merger</u>	145
<u>Completion of the Merger</u>	145
<u>Merger Consideration</u>	146
<u>Representations and Warranties</u>	147
<u>Conduct of Business</u>	150
<u>Solicitation of Alternative Proposals</u>	154
<u>Changes in Board Recommendations</u>	156
<u>Efforts to Obtain Required Stockholder Votes</u>	157
<u>Efforts to Complete the Merger</u>	158
<u>Governance Matters After the Merger</u>	159
<u>Employee Benefits Matters</u>	159
<u>Indemnification and Insurance</u>	160
<u>Treatment of LPS Equity Compensation Awards</u>	161
<u>Financing</u>	162
<u>Other Covenants and Agreements</u>	163
<u>Conditions to Completion of the Merger</u>	164
<u>Termination of the Merger Agreement</u>	165
<u>Termination Fees and Expenses: Liability for Breach</u>	166
<u>Amendments, Extensions and Waivers</u>	167
<u>No Third Party Beneficiaries</u>	168
<u>Specific Performance</u>	168
<u>DESCRIPTION OF THE DEBT FINANCING</u>	169
<u>DESCRIPTION OF THE EQUITY FINANCING</u>	171
<u>MATERIAL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES</u>	172
<u>ACCOUNTING TREATMENT</u>	174
<u>UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	175
<u>COMPARATIVE STOCK PRICE DATA AND DIVIDENDS</u>	189
<u>Stock Prices</u>	189
<u>DESCRIPTION OF FNF CAPITAL STOCK</u>	191
<u>Authorized Capital Stock</u>	191
<u>Common Stock</u>	191

Table of Contents

<u>Preferred Stock</u>	191
<u>COMPARATIVE RIGHTS OF FNF AND LPS STOCKHOLDERS</u>	193
<u>LEGAL MATTERS</u>	208
<u>EXPERTS</u>	209
<u>STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS</u>	210
<u>HOUSEHOLDING OF JOINT PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS</u>	211
<u>OTHER MATTERS</u>	212
<u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION</u>	213

ANNEX A	Agreement and Plan of Merger
ANNEX B	Opinion of Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC
ANNEX C	Opinion of Goldman Sachs & Co.
ANNEX D	Opinion of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated
ANNEX E	Opinion of J.P. Morgan Securities LLC
ANNEX F	Section 262 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following are some questions that you, as a stockholder of FNF or a stockholder of LPS, may have regarding the merger, the FNF stock issuance and the other matters being considered at the special meetings and the answers to those questions. LPS and FNF urge you to carefully read the remainder of this joint proxy statement/prospectus because the information in this section does not provide all the information that might be important to you with respect to the merger, the FNF stock issuance and the other matters being considered at the special meetings. Additional important information is also contained in the Annexes to and the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Q: Why am I receiving this joint proxy statement/prospectus?

A: You are receiving this document because you were a stockholder of record of LPS or FNF on the record date for the LPS special meeting or the FNF special meeting, respectively. LPS and FNF have agreed to a merger pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement that is described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. A copy of the merger agreement is included in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex A.

This joint proxy statement/prospectus serves as the proxy statement through which LPS and FNF will solicit proxies to obtain the necessary stockholder approvals for the proposed merger. It also serves as the prospectus by which FNF will issue its common shares as the merger consideration. This joint proxy statement/prospectus contains important information and you should read it carefully and in its entirety.

In order to complete the merger, among other things:

LPS stockholders must approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement; and

FNF stockholders must approve the issuance of shares of FNF common stock to LPS stockholders pursuant to the merger. LPS and FNF will hold separate special meetings of their respective stockholders to obtain these approvals. This joint proxy statement/prospectus, including its Annexes, contains and incorporates by reference important information about FNF and LPS, the merger, the FNF stock issuance and the stockholder meetings of FNF and LPS. You should read all the available information carefully and in its entirety. The enclosed proxy cards and instructions allow you to vote your shares without attending the special meetings, as applicable, in person.

Your vote is important. You are encouraged to vote as soon as possible.

Q: What will I receive in the merger?

A: *LPS Stockholders:* If the merger is completed, holders of LPS common stock will be entitled to receive a certain number of shares of FNF common stock equal to the exchange ratio further discussed below and \$22.303 in cash, without interest, for each share of LPS common stock that they own. If the average of the volume weighted averages of the trading prices of FNF common stock during the ten trading day period ending on (and including) the third trading day prior to the closing of the merger (referred to as the average FNF stock price) is greater than \$26.763, then the exchange ratio will be an amount equal to the quotient of (a) (x) the product of (1) 0.65224 multiplied by (2) the average FNF stock price minus (y) \$5.678 divided by (b) the average FNF stock price. If the average FNF stock price is between \$24.215 and \$26.763, then the exchange ratio will be fixed at 0.42948. If the average FNF stock price is between \$20.000 and \$24.215, then the exchange ratio will adjust so that the value of the stock portion of the merger consideration is fixed (based on the average FNF stock price) at \$10.400 per share of LPS common stock. If the average FNF stock price is less than \$20.000, then the exchange ratio will be fixed at 0.52000. You should read the section entitled *The Merger Agreement Merger Consideration* beginning on page 146 for a more complete discussion of the exchange ratio adjustment mechanism and for a discussion of FNF's ability to increase the cash portion of the merger consideration pursuant to the merger agreement.

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

LPS stockholders will not receive any fractional shares of FNF common stock in the merger. Instead, each LPS stockholder will be entitled to receive a cash payment in lieu of any fractional shares of FNF common

- 1 -

Table of Contents

stock it otherwise would have received pursuant to the merger equal to the product obtained by multiplying (A) the fractional share interest to which such holder would otherwise be entitled (taking into account all shares of LPS common stock held by such holder) by (B) the average FNF stock price.

FNF Stockholders: If the merger is completed, FNF stockholders will not receive any merger consideration and will continue to hold their shares of FNF common stock.

Q: If I am an LPS stockholder, how will I receive the merger consideration to which I am entitled?

A: After receiving the proper documentation from you, following the effective date of the merger, the exchange agent will forward to you the FNF common stock and cash to which you are entitled. More information on the documentation you are required to deliver to the exchange agent may be found under the caption *The Merger Exchange of Shares in the Merger* beginning on page 137.

Q: What is the value of the merger consideration?

A: The exact value of the merger consideration that LPS stockholders receive will depend on the average FNF stock price as well as the price per share of FNF common stock at the closing of the merger. Those prices will not be known at the time of the LPS special meeting or the FNF special meeting and may be less than the current price or the price at the time of the special meetings. Based on the closing price of FNF common stock on the New York Stock Exchange (referred to as the NYSE) on August 28, 2013, the latest practicable trading day before the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the merger consideration represented approximately \$32.70 in value for each share of LPS common stock (assuming an exchange ratio of 0.43261). We urge you to obtain current market quotations of FNF common stock and LPS common stock.

Q: When and where will the special stockholders meetings be held?

A: *LPS Stockholders:* The special meeting of LPS stockholders will be held at [], on [], at [], local time.

FNF Stockholders: The special meeting of FNF stockholders will be held at [], on [], at [], local time.

Q: What proposals will be considered at the special meetings?

A: *LPS Stockholders:* At the LPS special meeting, LPS stockholders will be asked to consider and vote on (i) the merger proposal, (ii) the compensation proposal and (iii) the LPS adjournment proposal. LPS will transact no other business at its special meeting except such business as may properly be brought before the LPS special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

FNF Stockholders: At the FNF special meeting, FNF stockholders will be asked to consider and vote on (i) the FNF stock issuance proposal and (ii) the FNF adjournment proposal. FNF will transact no other business at its special meeting except such business as may properly be brought before the FNF special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Q: Why are the merger agreement and the merger not being considered and voted upon by FNF stockholders?

A:

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

Under Delaware law, FNF stockholders are not required to approve the merger or adopt the merger agreement. FNF stockholders are being asked to vote on the issuance of FNF common stock in the merger.

Q: How does the LPS board of directors recommend that LPS stockholders vote?

A: The LPS board of directors (other than Mr. James Hunt, who recused himself from the meeting) has unanimously approved the merger agreement, declared it advisable and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders that LPS enter into the merger agreement and consummate the merger and all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and determined that the merger and the terms thereof,

- 2 -

Table of Contents

together with all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, are fair to, and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders. **The LPS board of directors accordingly unanimously (with Mr. Hunt abstaining) recommends that LPS stockholders vote FOR each of the merger proposal, the compensation proposal and the LPS adjournment proposal.**

Q: How does FNF's board of directors recommend that FNF stockholders vote?

A: The FNF board of directors (other than Messrs. Thomas Hagerty and Cary Thompson, who abstained from voting at the meeting) has unanimously approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby and declared that the FNF stock issuance as contemplated by the merger agreement is in the best interests of FNF and its stockholders. **The FNF board of directors accordingly unanimously (with Messrs. Hagerty and Thompson abstaining) recommends that FNF stockholders vote FOR each of the FNF stock issuance proposal and the FNF adjournment proposal.**

Q: How can I attend the meeting?

A: *LPS Stockholders:* All of LPS's stockholders are invited to attend the LPS special meeting. You may be asked to present valid photo identification, such as a driver's license or passport, before being admitted to the meeting. If you hold your shares in street name, you also may be asked to present proof of ownership to be admitted to the meeting. A brokerage statement or letter from your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee proving ownership of the shares on [], the record date for the LPS special meeting, are examples of proof of ownership.

To help LPS plan for the meeting, please indicate whether you expect to attend by responding affirmatively when prompted during internet or telephone proxy submission or by marking the attendance box on the proxy card.

FNF Stockholders: All of FNF's stockholders are invited to attend the FNF special meeting. You may be asked to present valid photo identification, such as a driver's license or passport, before being admitted to the meeting. If you hold your shares in street name, you also may be asked to present proof of ownership to be admitted to the meeting. A brokerage statement or letter from your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee proving ownership of the shares on [], the record date for the FNF special meeting, are examples of proof of ownership.

To help FNF plan for the meeting, please indicate whether you expect to attend by responding affirmatively when prompted during internet or telephone proxy submission or by marking the attendance box on the proxy card.

Q: Who is entitled to vote at the special stockholders meetings?

A: *LPS Stockholders:* The record date for the LPS special meeting is [] (referred to as the LPS record date). Only holders of record of issued and outstanding shares of LPS common stock as of the close of business on the LPS record date are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the LPS special meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the LPS special meeting.

FNF Stockholders: The record date for the FNF special meeting is [] (referred to as the FNF record date). Only holders of record of issued and outstanding shares of FNF common stock as of the close of business on the FNF record date are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the FNF special meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the FNF special meeting.

Q: How many votes do I have?

A: *LPS Stockholders:* Holders of LPS common stock are entitled to one vote for each share of LPS common stock owned as of the close of business on the LPS record date. As of the close of business on the LPS record date, there were [] shares of LPS common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the LPS special meeting.

Table of Contents

FNF Stockholders: Holders of FNF's common stock are entitled to one vote for each share of FNF common stock owned as of the close of business on the FNF record date. As of the close of business on the FNF record date, there were [] shares of FNF common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the FNF special meeting.

Q: What constitutes a quorum at the special stockholders meetings?

A: *LPS Stockholders:* Stockholders who hold shares representing at least a majority of the issued and outstanding stock entitled to vote at the LPS special meeting must be present in person or represented by proxy to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the LPS special meeting. Abstentions will, but broker non-votes will not, be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present. However, shares of LPS common stock held in treasury will not be included in the calculation of the number of shares of LPS common stock represented at the meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.

FNF Stockholders: Stockholders who hold shares representing at least a majority of the issued and outstanding shares entitled to vote at the FNF special meeting must be present in person or represented by proxy to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the FNF special meeting. Abstentions will, but broker non-votes will not, be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present. However, shares of FNF common stock held in treasury will not be included in the calculation of the number of shares of FNF common stock represented at the meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.

Q: What vote is required to approve each proposal?

A: *LPS Stockholders:* The approval of the merger proposal requires the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of LPS common stock entitled to vote at the meeting. Failures to vote, votes to abstain and broker non-votes will have the effect of a vote AGAINST the proposal.

The compensation proposal and LPS adjournment proposal each require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of LPS common stock present in person or represented by proxy at the LPS special meeting and entitled to vote on such proposal. Votes to abstain are treated the same as votes AGAINST these proposals. Broker non-votes and failures to vote will have no effect on either proposal, assuming a quorum is present.

FNF Stockholders: The FNF stock issuance proposal and the FNF adjournment proposal each require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of FNF common stock present in person or represented by proxy at the FNF special meeting and entitled to vote on such proposal. Votes to abstain are treated the same as votes AGAINST these proposals. Failures to vote and broker non-votes will have no effect on either proposal, assuming a quorum is present.

Q: How do I vote if I am a stockholder of record?

A: *LPS Stockholders.* If you are a stockholder of record of LPS as of the close of business on the LPS record date, you may vote in person by attending the LPS special meeting or, to ensure your shares are represented at the LPS special meeting, you may authorize a proxy to vote by:

logging onto the website indicated on the enclosed proxy card and following the prompts using the control number located on the proxy card;

dialing the telephone number indicated on the enclosed proxy card and following the further directions using the control number located on the proxy card; or

marking, signing, dating and promptly mailing the enclosed proxy card to the address on the accompanying return envelope.

- 4 -

Table of Contents

If you hold LPS shares in street name you can provide voting instructions for your shares in the manner prescribed by your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee. Your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee has enclosed or otherwise provided a voting instruction card for you to use in directing such broker, bank, trust company or other nominee how to vote your shares. Without instructions from you, your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee cannot vote your shares, which will have the effect described below.

FNF Stockholders. If you are a stockholder of record of FNF as of the close of business on the FNF record date, you may vote in person by attending the FNF special meeting or, to ensure your shares are represented at the FNF special meeting, you may authorize a proxy to vote by:

logging onto the website indicated on the enclosed proxy card and following the prompts using the control number located on the proxy card;

dialing the telephone number indicated on the enclosed proxy card and following the further directions using the control number located on the proxy card; or

marking, signing, dating and promptly mailing the enclosed proxy card to the address on the accompanying return envelope.

If you hold FNF shares in street name, you can provide voting instructions for your shares in the manner prescribed by your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee. Your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee has enclosed or otherwise provided a voting instruction card for you to use in directing such broker, bank, trust company or other nominee how to vote your shares. Without instructions from you, your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee cannot vote your shares, which will have the effect described below.

Q: What is the difference between a stockholder of record and a street name holder?

A: If your shares are registered directly in your name, you are considered the stockholder of record with respect to those shares. If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank, trust company or other nominee, then the broker, bank, trust company or other nominee is considered to be the stockholder of record with respect to those shares, while you are considered the beneficial owner of those shares. In the latter case, your shares are said to be held in street name.

Q: My shares are held in street name by my broker, bank, trust company or other nominee. Will my broker, bank, trust company or other nominee automatically vote my shares for me?

A: No. Your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee cannot vote your shares on non-routine matters, as described below in the section entitled *What will happen if I return my proxy card without indicating how to vote?* below, without instructions from you. You should instruct your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee as to how to vote your shares, following the directions your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee provides to you. Please check the voting form used by your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee. If you do not provide your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee with instructions and your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee submits an unvoted proxy, referred to as a broker non-vote, your shares will not be counted for purposes of determining a quorum and they will not be voted on any proposal on which your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee does not have discretionary authority. This is often called a broker non-vote. Please note that you may not vote shares held in street name by returning a proxy card directly to LPS or FNF or by voting in person at your special meeting unless you first obtain a proxy from your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee.

Q: What will happen if I fail to vote or I abstain from voting?

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

A: *LPS Stockholders*: If you are an LPS stockholder and fail to vote, fail to instruct your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee to vote, or mark your proxy or voting instructions to abstain, it will have the effect of a vote **AGAINST** the merger proposal. If you are an LPS stockholder and fail to instruct your

- 5 -

Table of Contents

broker, bank, trust company or other nominee to vote or fail to vote, it will have no effect on the compensation proposal or the LPS adjournment proposal, assuming a quorum is present. If you are an LPS stockholder and you mark your proxy or voting instructions to abstain, it will have the effect of a vote AGAINST the compensation proposal and LPS adjournment proposal.

FNF Stockholders: If you are an FNF stockholder and fail to vote or fail to instruct your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee to vote, it will have no effect on the FNF stock issuance proposal or the FNF adjournment proposal, assuming a quorum is present. If you are an FNF stockholder and you mark your proxy or voting instructions to abstain, it will have the effect of a vote AGAINST the FNF stock issuance proposal and FNF adjournment proposal.

Q: What will happen if I return my proxy card without indicating how to vote?

A: *LPS Stockholders:* If you are a stockholder of record and you submit your proxy by internet, telephone or mail but do not specify how you want to vote your shares on a particular proposal, LPS will vote your shares FOR each of the merger proposal, the compensation proposal and the LPS adjournment proposal.

If you are a street name holder and fail to instruct the broker, bank, trust company or other nominee that is the stockholder of record how you want to vote your shares on a particular proposal, those shares are considered to be uninstructed. Stockholders of record have the discretion to vote uninstructed shares on specified routine matters and do not have the authority to vote uninstructed shares on non-routine matters. Each of the merger proposal, the compensation proposal and the LPS adjournment proposal are non-routine matters and, therefore, the broker, bank, trust company or other nominee does not have discretionary voting power with respect to such proposals.

FNF Stockholders: If you are a stockholder of record and you submit your proxy by internet, telephone or mail but do not specify how you want to vote your shares on a particular proposal, FNF will vote your shares FOR each of the FNF stock issuance proposal and the FNF adjournment proposal.

If you are a street name holder and fail to instruct the broker, bank, trust company or other nominee that is the stockholder of record how you want to vote your shares on a particular proposal, those shares are considered to be uninstructed. Stockholders of record have the discretion to vote uninstructed shares on specified routine matters and do not have the authority to vote uninstructed shares on non-routine matters. Each of the FNF stock issuance proposal and the FNF adjournment proposal are non-routine matters and, therefore, the broker, bank, trust company or other nominee does not have discretionary voting power with respect to such proposals.

Q: Can I change my vote or revoke my proxy after I have returned a proxy or voting instruction card?

A: Yes. If you are the holder of record of either LPS or FNF common stock, you can change your vote or revoke your proxy at any time before your proxy is voted at the applicable special meeting. You can do this in one of four ways:

by submitting a later-dated proxy by internet or telephone before the deadline stated on the enclosed proxy card;

by submitting a later-dated proxy card;

by sending a signed, written notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary of LPS or FNF, as applicable, which must be received before the time of the applicable special meeting; or

by voting in person at the applicable special meeting.

If you are a street name holder, please refer to the voting instructions provided to you by your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee.

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

Any LPS stockholder or FNF stockholder entitled to vote in person at the LPS or FNF special meeting, respectively, may vote in person regardless of whether a proxy has been previously given, but simply attending such special meeting will not constitute revocation of a previously given proxy.

- 6 -

Table of Contents

Q: What does it mean if I only hold shares in LPS or FNF but I still receive more than one set of proxy materials?

A: If you only hold shares in LPS or FNF but you still receive more than one set of proxy materials or multiple control numbers for use in submitting your proxy, it means that you hold shares registered in more than one account. To ensure that all of your shares are voted, sign and return each proxy card or voting instruction card you receive or, if you submit your proxy by internet or telephone, submit a proxy once for each card or control number you receive.

Q: How are shares held in the Lender Processing Services, Inc. 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan voted?

A: Shares held in the Lender Processing Services, Inc. 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan (referred to as the LPS 401(k) Plan) for which voting instructions are timely received will be voted by the trustee in accordance with such voting instructions. Shares held in the LPS 401(k) Plan for which no instruction is provided will be voted proportionately in the same manner as those shares held in the LPS 401(k) Plan for which timely and valid voting instructions are received. Shares held in the LPS 401(k) Plan for which timely and valid voting instructions are not received will be considered to have been designated to be voted by the trustee proportionately in the same manner as those shares held in the LPS 401(k) Plan for which timely and valid voting instructions are received. The deadline for voting shares of LPS common stock held in the LPS 401(k) Plan electronically through the Internet or by telephone is [], local time, on [], 2013.

Q: How are shares held in the Fidelity National Financial, Inc. 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan voted?

A: Shares held in the Fidelity National Financial Group 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan (referred to as the FNF 401(k) Plan) for which voting instructions are timely received will be voted by the trustee in accordance with such voting instructions. Shares held in the FNF 401(k) Plan for which no instruction is provided will be voted proportionately in the same manner as those shares held in the FNF 401(k) Plan for which timely and valid voting instructions are received. Shares held in the FNF 401(k) Plan for which timely and valid voting instructions are not received will be considered to have been designated to be voted by the trustee proportionately in the same manner as those shares held in the FNF 401(k) Plan for which timely and valid voting instructions are received. The deadline for voting shares of FNF common stock held in the FNF 401(k) Plan electronically through the Internet or by telephone is [], local time, on [], 2013.

Q: Who is the inspector of election?

A: *LPS Stockholders:* The board of directors of LPS has appointed a representative of [] to act as the inspector of election at the LPS special meeting.

FNF Stockholders: The board of directors of FNF has appointed a representative of [] to act as the inspector of election at the FNF special meeting.

Q: Where can I find the voting results of the special meeting?

A: *LPS Stockholders:* The preliminary voting results will be announced at the LPS special meeting. In addition, within four business days following certification of the final voting results, LPS intends to file the final voting results with the SEC on Form 8-K.

FNF Stockholders: The preliminary voting results will be announced at the FNF special meeting. In addition, within four business days following certification of the final voting results, FNF intends to file the final voting results with the SEC on Form 8-K.

Table of Contents

Q: Will LPS be required to submit the merger agreement proposal to its stockholders even if LPS board of directors has withdrawn (or amended or modified in a manner adverse to FNF) its recommendation?

A: Yes, LPS is required to submit the merger agreement proposal to its stockholders even if LPS board of directors has withdrawn, modified or qualified its recommendation, unless LPS terminates the merger agreement. For more information regarding the ability of LPS and FNF to terminate the merger agreement, see the section entitled *The Merger Agreement Termination of the Merger Agreement*, beginning on page 165.

Q: Will FNF be required to submit the FNF stock issuance proposal to its stockholders even if FNF's board of directors has withdrawn (or amended or modified in a manner adverse to LPS) its recommendation?

A: Yes. FNF is required to submit the FNF stock issuance proposal to its stockholders even if FNF's board of directors has withdrawn, modified or qualified its recommendation.

Q: Do I need to do anything with my shares of common stock other than voting for the proposals at the special meeting?

A: *LPS Stockholders:* If you are an LPS stockholder, after the merger is completed, each share of LPS common stock you hold will be converted automatically into the right to receive the merger consideration. You will receive instructions at that time regarding exchanging your shares for shares of FNF common stock and cash. You do not need to take any action at this time. **Please do not send your LPS stock certificates with your proxy card.**

FNF Stockholders: If you are an FNF stockholder, after the merger is completed, you are not required to take any action with respect to your shares of FNF common stock. You will continue to hold your shares of FNF common stock.

Q: Are LPS stockholders or FNF stockholders entitled to appraisal rights?

A: *LPS Stockholders:* Yes. Under Delaware law, holders of shares of LPS common stock that meet certain requirements will have the right to obtain payment in cash for the fair value of their shares of LPS common stock, as determined by the Delaware Chancery Court, rather than the merger consideration. To exercise appraisal rights, LPS stockholders must strictly follow the procedures prescribed by Delaware law. These procedures are summarized under the section entitled *The Merger Appraisal Rights* beginning on page 139. In addition, the text of the applicable appraisal rights provisions of Delaware law is included as Annex F to this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

FNF Stockholders: No. If the merger is completed, FNF stockholders will not receive any merger consideration and will continue to hold their shares of FNF common stock. Under Delaware law, holders of shares of FNF common stock are not entitled to appraisal rights.

Q: What happens if I transfer my shares of LPS common stock before the LPS special meeting?

A: The record date for the LPS special meeting is earlier than both the date of the LPS special meeting and the date that the merger is expected to be completed. If you transfer your LPS shares after the LPS record date but before the LPS special meeting, you will retain your right to vote at the LPS special meeting, but will have transferred the right to receive the merger consideration in the merger. In order to receive the merger consideration, you must hold your shares through the effective date of the merger.

Table of Contents

Q: What are the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger to U.S. holders of LPS common stock?

A: The receipt of merger consideration for LPS common stock pursuant to the merger will be a taxable transaction for United States federal income tax purposes. In general, a U.S. person who receives merger consideration in exchange for LPS common stock pursuant to the merger will recognize capital gain or loss for United States federal income tax purposes equal to the difference, if any, between (i) the fair market value (as of the effective time of the merger) of the FNF common stock and the amount of cash received by such holder and (ii) the holder's adjusted tax basis in the shares of LPS common stock exchanged pursuant to the merger. You should carefully read the section entitled *Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences* beginning on page 172 for a more complete discussion of the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger. **Tax matters can be complicated, and the tax consequences of the merger to you will depend on your particular tax situation. You should consult your tax advisor to determine the tax consequences of the merger to you.**

Q: What if I hold shares in both LPS and FNF?

A: If you are a stockholder of both LPS and FNF, you will receive two separate packages of proxy materials. A vote cast as an FNF stockholder will not count as a vote cast as an LPS stockholder, and a vote cast as an LPS stockholder will not count as a vote cast as an FNF stockholder. Therefore, please separately submit a proxy for each of your LPS and FNF shares.

Q: What will happen if all of the proposals to be considered at the special meetings are not approved?

A: As a condition to completion of the merger, FNF's stockholders must approve the FNF stock issuance proposal and LPS stockholders must approve the merger proposal. Completion of the merger is not conditioned or dependent on LPS or FNF stockholder approval of any of the other proposals to be considered at the special meetings.

Q: Why are LPS stockholders being asked to consider and cast an advisory (non-binding) vote on the compensation that may be paid or become payable to LPS named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions?

A: In July 2010, the SEC adopted new rules that require LPS to seek a non-binding, advisory vote with respect to certain compensation that may be paid or become payable to LPS named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions (such payments referred to as change of control payments). For more information regarding the change of control payments, see the section entitled *The LPS Special Meeting Proposal No. 2 Advisory (Non-Binding) Vote on Compensation* beginning on page 49.

Q: What will happen if LPS stockholders do not approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the change of control payments?

A: The vote on the change of control payments is a vote separate and apart from the vote to approve the merger proposal. Accordingly, LPS stockholders may vote in favor of the merger proposal and not in favor of the compensation proposal, or vice versa. Approval of the change of control payments on an advisory (non-binding) basis is not a condition to consummation of the merger with FNF, and it is advisory in nature only, meaning it will not be binding on either LPS or FNF. Accordingly, because LPS is contractually obligated to pay the compensation, if the proposed merger with FNF is completed, the compensation will be payable, subject only to the conditions applicable to such compensation payments, regardless of the outcome of the advisory vote.

Table of Contents

Q: Will I still be paid dividends prior to the merger?

A: FNF and LPS have each historically paid quarterly dividends to their respective stockholders. LPS may continue to make their regular quarterly cash dividends consistent with past practices without FNF's consent, provided that such quarterly dividends may not exceed \$0.10 per share. FNF may continue to make its regular quarterly cash dividends consistent with past practices without LPS's consent, provided that such quarterly dividends may not exceed \$0.16 per share, subject to increase in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice. FNF and LPS have agreed in the merger agreement not to pay any interim or special dividends without the other party's written consent.

Q: When do you expect the merger to be completed?

A: FNF and LPS hope to complete the merger as soon as practicable and expect the closing of the transaction to occur in the fourth quarter of 2013. However, the merger is subject to various regulatory clearances and the satisfaction or waiver of other conditions, and it is possible that factors outside the control of FNF and LPS could result in the merger being completed at a later time or not at all. There may be a substantial amount of time between the FNF and LPS special meetings and the completion of the merger.

Q: What are the conditions to completion of the merger?

A: In addition to the approval of the FNF stock issuance proposal by FNF's stockholders and the approval of the merger proposal by LPS stockholders as described above, completion of the merger is subject to the satisfaction of a number of other conditions, including certain regulatory clearances. For additional information on the regulatory clearances required to complete the merger, please see the section entitled *The Merger Regulatory Clearances Required for the Merger* beginning on page 136. For further information on the conditions to completion of the merger, please see the section entitled *The Merger Agreement Conditions to Completion of the Merger* beginning on page 164.

Q: What will happen to outstanding LPS stock options in the merger?

A: Unless otherwise agreed between the applicable holder and FNF and with the prior written consent of LPS, each LPS stock option (referred to as "stock options") outstanding and unexercised immediately prior to the effective time of the merger with a per share exercise price that is less than the fair market value (as defined in LPS' 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of a share of LPS common stock as of such time, whether or not vested or exercisable, will be net exercised for whole and fractional shares of LPS common stock. The number of whole and fractional shares received upon net exercise of a stock option will be equal to (A) the number of shares of LPS common stock subject to the stock option immediately prior to the effective time of the merger minus (B) the number of shares of LPS common stock with a fair market value (as defined in LPS' 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger equal to the sum of (i) the aggregate exercise price of such stock option and (ii) any taxes required to be deducted and withheld in connection with such net exercise. Whole shares will be treated as issued and outstanding as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and converted into the right to receive the merger consideration. Any fractional shares will be paid to the holder in cash. Each stock option outstanding and unexercised immediately prior to the effective time of the merger with a per share exercise price that is equal to or greater than the fair market value (as defined in LPS' 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of a share of LPS common stock as of such time, will be canceled and terminated without consideration as of the effective time of the merger.

Q: What will happen to outstanding LPS restricted stock in the merger?

A: Unless otherwise agreed between the applicable holder and FNF and with the prior written consent of LPS, each share of LPS restricted stock (referred to as "restricted shares") outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger will vest in full and become free of

restrictions as of the effective time of the

Table of Contents

merger (assuming, in the case of restricted shares that are subject to performance-based vesting, attainment of all applicable performance goals at the target level). As of the effective time of the merger, each such restricted share will be canceled and converted into the right to receive (A) the merger consideration and (B) a cash amount equal to the accrued but unpaid dividends, if any, with respect to such restricted share (referred to as accumulated dividends).

Q: What will happen to the LPS employee stock purchase plan in the merger?

A: The LPS employee stock purchase plan (referred to as the LPS ESPP) will be terminated as of the effective time of the merger. However, prior to such termination, all accumulated participant contributions and employer matching contributions will be used to purchase shares of LPS common stock immediately prior to the effective time of the merger in accordance with the terms of the LPS ESPP, and such shares of LPS common stock will be canceled at the effective time of the merger and converted into the right to receive the merger consideration. Employer matching contributions that are payable with respect to participant contributions made prior to the effective time of the merger and that would otherwise have been credited to each participant s account following the effective time of the merger based on such participant s continued employment following the effective time of the merger will be paid to the participant in cash.

Q: What will happen to the LPS cash retention incentive awards?

A: Applicable performance goals in respect of LPS cash retention incentive awards (referred to as cash retention incentive awards) that were subject to performance-based vesting were previously determined to have been attained at maximum level, though such awards continue to be subject to service-based vesting. At the effective time of the merger, the service-based vesting conditions in respect of each such cash retention incentive award will be deemed to have been met and such award shall be canceled and the holder thereof will be entitled to receive a cash payment equal to the amount payable in respect thereof based on the previous attainment of the applicable performance objective at maximum level. Each cash retention incentive award that is, by its terms, subject only to service-based vesting will vest in full and be payable after the effective time of the merger.

Q: Are there any risks in the merger or the FNF stock issuance that I should consider?

A: Yes. There are risks associated with all business combinations, including the merger and the related FNF stock issuance. These risks are discussed in more detail in the section titled *Risk Factors* beginning on page 35.

Q: Where can I find more information about the parties to the merger?

A: You can find more information about FNF and LPS from the various sources described in the sections titled *Where You Can Find More Information* and *The Companies* beginning on pages 213 and 43, respectively.

Table of Contents

Q: Who can help answer my questions?

A: FNF stockholders or LPS stockholders who have questions about the merger, the FNF stock issuance, the other matters to be voted on at the special meetings, or how to submit a proxy or desire additional copies of this joint proxy statement/prospectus or additional proxy cards should contact:

If you are an FNF stockholder:

[]

[]

[]

Banks and Brokers Call: []

or

Toll Free: []

or

Fidelity National Financial, Inc.

601 Riverside Avenue

Jacksonville, Florida, 32204

Attention: Corporate Secretary

(904) 854-8100

If you are an LPS stockholder:

[]

[]

[]

Banks and Brokers Call: []

or

Toll Free: []

or

Lender Processing Services, Inc.

601 Riverside Avenue

Jacksonville, Florida, 32204

Attention: Corporate Secretary

(904) 854-5100

Table of Contents

SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and may not contain all the information that is important to you with respect to the merger, the FNF stock issuance and the other matters being considered at the LPS and FNF special stockholder meetings. LPS and FNF urge you to read the remainder of this joint proxy statement/prospectus carefully, including the attached Annexes, and the other documents to which we have referred you. See also the section entitled "Where You Can Find More Information" beginning on page 213. We have included page references in this summary to direct you to a more complete description of the topics presented below where appropriate.

The Companies

Lender Processing Services, Inc.

Lender Processing Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation, delivers comprehensive technology solutions and services, as well as powerful data and analytics, to the nation's top mortgage lenders, servicers and investors. As a proven and trusted partner with deep client relationships, LPS provides major U.S. banks and many federal government agencies with the technology and data needed to support mortgage lending and servicing operations, meet regulatory and compliance requirements and mitigate risk. These integrated solutions support origination, servicing, portfolio retention and default servicing. LPS servicing solutions include MSP, a leading loan servicing platform, which is used to service approximately 50% of all U.S. mortgages by dollar volume. LPS also provides proprietary data and analytics for the mortgage, real estate and capital markets industries. LPS is a Fortune 1000 company headquartered in Jacksonville, Florida, and employs approximately 7,200 professionals.

LPS common stock is listed on the NYSE under the symbol LPS.

The principal executive offices of LPS are located at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204, and its telephone number is (904) 854-5100.

Fidelity National Financial, Inc.

Fidelity National Financial, Inc., a Delaware corporation, is a leading provider of title insurance, mortgage services and diversified services. FNF is the nation's largest title insurance company through its title insurance underwriters - Fidelity National Title, Chicago Title, Commonwealth Land Title and Alamo Title - that collectively issue more title insurance policies than any other title company in the United States. FNF owns a 55% stake in American Blue Ribbon Holdings, LLC (referred to as ABRH), a family and casual dining restaurant owner and operator of the O Charley's, Ninety Nine Restaurant, Max & Erma's, Village Inn and Bakers Square concepts. ABRH also franchises O Charley's, Max and Erma's and Village Inn concepts. FNF also owns an 87% stake in J. Alexander's, LLC, an upscale dining restaurant owner and operator of the J. Alexander's and Stoney River Legendary Steaks concepts. In addition, FNF also owns a 51% stake in Remy International, Inc., a leading designer, manufacturer, remanufacturer, marketer and distributor of aftermarket and original equipment electrical components for automobiles, light trucks, heavy-duty trucks and other vehicles. FNF also owns a minority interest in Ceridian Corporation, a leading provider of global human capital management and payment solutions.

FNF's common stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol FNF.

The principal executive offices of FNF are located at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204 and its telephone number is (904) 854-8100.

Table of Contents

Lion Merger Sub, Inc.

Lion Merger Sub, Inc., a subsidiary of FNF, is a Delaware corporation that was formed on May 24, 2013 for the sole purpose of effecting the merger. In the merger, Merger Sub will be merged with and into LPS, with LPS continuing as the surviving corporation and a subsidiary of FNF. Merger Sub has not conducted any activities other than those incidental to its formation and the matters contemplated by the merger agreement.

The Merger

A copy of the merger agreement is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. LPS and FNF encourage you to read the entire merger agreement carefully because it is the principal document governing the merger and the FNF stock issuance. For more information on the merger agreement, see the section entitled *The Merger Agreement* beginning on page 145.

Form of the Merger (see page 145)

Subject to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, at the effective time of the merger, Merger Sub, a newly formed subsidiary of FNF, will be merged with and into LPS, with LPS continuing as the surviving corporation and a subsidiary of FNF.

Merger Consideration (see page 146)

LPS stockholders will have the right to receive a certain number of shares of FNF common stock equal to the exchange ratio further discussed below and \$22.303 in cash, without interest, for each share of LPS common stock that they own. If the average FNF stock price is greater than \$26.763, then the exchange ratio will be an amount equal to the quotient of (a) (x) the product of (1) 0.65224 multiplied by (2) the average FNF stock price minus (y) \$5.678 divided by (b) the average FNF stock price. If the average FNF stock price is between \$24.215 and \$26.763, then the exchange ratio will be fixed at 0.42948. If the average FNF stock price is between \$20.000 and \$24.215, then the exchange ratio will adjust so that the value of the stock portion of the merger consideration is fixed (based on the average FNF stock price) at \$10.400 per share of LPS common stock. If the average FNF stock price is less than \$20.000, then the exchange ratio will be fixed at 0.52000. You should read the section entitled *The Merger Agreement Merger Consideration* beginning on page 146 for a more complete discussion of the exchange ratio adjustment mechanism and for a discussion of FNF's ability to increase the cash portion of the merger consideration pursuant to the merger agreement. Based on the closing price of FNF common stock on the NYSE on August 28, 2013, the latest practicable trading day before the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the merger consideration represented approximately \$32.70 in value for each share of LPS common stock (assuming an exchange ratio of 0.43261). We urge you to obtain current market quotations of FNF common stock and LPS common stock.

Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences (see page 172)

The receipt of the merger consideration in exchange for shares of LPS common stock pursuant to the merger will be a taxable transaction for United States federal income tax purposes. In general, a U.S. person who receives merger consideration in exchange for shares of LPS common stock pursuant to the merger will recognize capital gain or loss for United States federal income tax purposes equal to the difference, if any, between (i) the fair market value (as of the effective time of the merger) of the FNF common stock and the amount of cash received by such holder and (ii) the holder's adjusted tax basis in the shares of LPS common stock exchanged pursuant to the merger. You should read the section entitled *Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences* beginning on page 172 for a more complete discussion of the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger. **Tax matters can be complicated, and the tax consequences of the merger to you will depend on your particular tax situation. You should consult your tax advisor to determine the tax consequences of the merger to you.**

Table of Contents

FNF's Reasons for the Merger (beginning on page 112)

In evaluating the merger, the FNF board of directors consulted with FNF's management, as well as FNF's outside advisors and, in reaching its decision to approve the merger agreement, the merger, the FNF stock issuance and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and declare that the FNF stock issuance is in the best interests of FNF and its stockholders, reviewed a significant amount of information and considered a number of factors, including those listed in *The Merger FNF's Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of FNF's Board of Directors* beginning on page 112.

LPS Reasons for the Merger (beginning on page 72)

In evaluating the merger, the LPS board of directors consulted with members of LPS management and LPS outside advisors and, in reaching its decision to approve the merger and the merger agreement, reviewed a significant amount of information and considered a number of factors, including, without limitation, those listed in *The Merger LPS Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the LPS Board of Directors* beginning on page 72.

Recommendation of the Board of Directors of LPS (see page 72)

After careful consideration, the LPS board of directors (other than Mr. James Hunt, who recused himself from the meeting) has unanimously approved the merger agreement, declared it advisable and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders that LPS enter into the merger agreement and consummate the merger and all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and determined that the merger and the terms thereof, together with all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, are fair to, and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders. **The LPS board of directors accordingly unanimously (with Mr. Hunt abstaining) recommends that LPS stockholders vote FOR each of the merger proposal, the compensation proposal and the LPS adjournment proposal.**

Recommendation of the Board of Directors of FNF (see page 112)

After careful consideration, the FNF board of directors (other than Messrs. Thomas Hagerty and Cary Thompson, who abstained from voting at the meeting) has unanimously approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby and declared that the FNF stock issuance as contemplated by the merger agreement is in the best interests of FNF and its stockholders. **The FNF board of directors unanimously (with Messrs. Hagerty and Thompson abstaining) recommends that FNF stockholders vote FOR each of the FNF stock issuance proposal and the FNF adjournment proposal.**

Opinions of LPS Financial Advisors (see page 81)

Opinion of Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC to the LPS Board of Directors

In connection with the merger, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (referred to as "Credit Suisse"), which is serving as financial advisor to LPS, delivered an opinion, dated May 27, 2013, to the LPS board of directors as to the fairness, from a financial point of view and as of the date of such opinion, of the merger consideration to be received by holders of LPS common stock. The full text of Credit Suisse's written opinion, dated May 27, 2013, is attached to this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex B and sets forth, among other things, the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken by Credit Suisse in connection with such opinion. **The description of Credit Suisse's opinion set forth in this joint proxy statement/prospectus is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of Credit Suisse's opinion. Credit Suisse's opinion was provided to the LPS board of directors (in its capacity as such) for its information in connection with its evaluation of the merger consideration from a financial point of view**

Table of Contents

and did not address any other aspect of the proposed merger, including the relative merits of the merger as compared to alternative transactions or strategies that might be available to LPS or the underlying business decision of LPS to proceed with the merger. The opinion should not be construed as creating any fiduciary duty on Credit Suisse's part to any party and does not constitute advice or a recommendation to any stockholder as to how such stockholder should vote or act on any matter relating to the proposed merger or otherwise.

Opinion of Goldman Sachs & Co. to the LPS Board of Directors

Goldman, Sachs & Co. (referred to as Goldman Sachs) rendered its opinion to the LPS board of directors to the effect that, as of May 28, 2013, and based upon and subject to the factors and assumptions set forth in its written opinion, the Per Share Consideration to be paid to holders (other than FNF and its affiliates) of LPS common stock pursuant to the merger agreement was fair from a financial point of view to such holders. For purposes of Goldman Sachs' opinion, Per Share Consideration was defined as \$16.625 in cash and 0.65224 shares of FNF common stock (or, in the event that the average FNF share price over the period prior to closing specified in the merger agreement, is below \$24.215, a number of shares (or fraction thereof) of FNF common stock determined by dividing \$15.794 by the greater of such average FNF share price and \$20.00). The Per Share Consideration of \$16.625 in cash and 0.65224 shares of FNF common stock was used in Goldman Sachs' opinion because that was the consideration mix reflected in the merger agreement on May 28, 2013, before FNF increased the cash consideration to \$22.303 per share.

The full text of the written opinion of Goldman Sachs, dated May 28, 2013, which sets forth assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken in connection with the opinion, is attached as Annex C to this joint proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated herein by reference. **Goldman Sachs provided its opinion for the information and assistance of the LPS board of directors in connection with its consideration of the proposed transaction. The Goldman Sachs opinion is not a recommendation as to how any holder of LPS common stock should vote with respect to the merger or any other matter.**

Opinions of FNF's Financial Advisors (see page 115)*Opinion of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated to the FNF Board of Directors*

In connection with the merger, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (referred to as BofA Merrill Lynch) delivered to FNF's board of directors a written opinion, dated May 27, 2013, as to the fairness, from a financial point of view and as of the date of the opinion, of the consideration to be paid by FNF in the merger. The full text of the written opinion, dated May 27, 2013, of BofA Merrill Lynch, which describes, among other things, the assumptions made, procedures followed, factors considered and limitations on the review undertaken, is attached as Annex D to this joint proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety. **BofA Merrill Lynch provided its opinion to FNF's board of directors (in its capacity as such) for the benefit and use of FNF's board of directors in connection with and for purposes of its evaluation of the consideration to be paid by FNF in the merger from a financial point of view. BofA Merrill Lynch's opinion does not address any other aspect of the merger or the other transactions into which FNF is entering in connection with the merger (referred to as the related transactions) and no opinion or view was expressed as to the relative merits of the merger and the related transactions in comparison to other strategies or transactions that might be available to FNF or in which FNF might engage or as to the underlying business decision of FNF to proceed with or effect the merger or the related transactions. BofA Merrill Lynch's opinion does not address any other aspect of the merger and does not constitute a recommendation to any stockholder as to how to vote or act in connection with the proposed merger, the related transactions or any related matter.**

Table of Contents

Opinion of J.P. Morgan Securities LLC to the FNF Board of Directors

J.P. Morgan Securities LLC (referred to as "J.P. Morgan") delivered to FNF's board of directors a written opinion, dated May 27, 2013, that, as of such date and based upon and subject to the assumptions, procedures, factors, qualifications and limitations set forth therein, the consideration to be paid by FNF in the merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to FNF. The full text of the written opinion, dated May 27, 2013, of J.P. Morgan, which sets forth the assumptions made, procedures followed, factors considered and qualifications and limitations on the review undertaken, is attached as Annex E to this joint proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety. **The opinion of J.P. Morgan was directed to the FNF board of directors for the information and assistance of the FNF board of directors in connection with its evaluation of the merger and addressed only the fairness as of the date of the opinion, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to be paid by FNF in the merger. J.P. Morgan's opinion does not address any other aspect of the merger or the related transactions and no opinion or view was expressed as to the relative merits of the merger and the related transactions in comparison to other strategies or transactions that might be available to FNF or in which FNF might engage or as to the underlying business decision of FNF to proceed with or effect the merger or the related transactions. The opinion of J.P. Morgan was not intended to, and does not, constitute a recommendation to any holder of FNF stock as to how such stockholder should vote or act with respect to the merger or any other matter.**

Financing (see page 162)

FNF has obtained equity and debt financing commitments (referred to as the "financing commitments") for the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, the proceeds of which will be used by FNF to pay the cash portion of the aggregate merger consideration and the related costs, fees and expenses. In connection with the merger, FNF will contribute the business of its subsidiary ServiceLink, Inc. (referred to as "ServiceLink") to Black Knight Financial Services, Inc. (referred to as "BKFS") and each of ServiceLink and LPS will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of BKFS. Additionally, FNF will sell a minority equity interest in BKFS to funds affiliated with Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. (referred to as "THL") for an amount equal to the lesser of (i) 28.965% of the issued and outstanding common stock of BKFS and (ii) \$630 million, pursuant to an equity commitment letter among such THL affiliates and BKFS. FNF intends to use the proceeds of the equity commitment by THL to finance a portion of the aggregate merger consideration and related costs, fees and expenses.

Additionally, on July 11, 2013, FNF entered into a term loan credit agreement with Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent and the other financial institutions party thereto, pursuant to which the lenders have committed to provide a \$1.1 billion senior unsecured delayed draw term loan facility and, on June 25, 2013, FNF entered into an amendment to replace its existing senior unsecured revolving facility with a third amended and restated \$800 million senior unsecured revolving facility (together referred to as the "facilities"). The facilities replace the debt financing commitments described above and are intended to be used to finance a portion of the merger consideration and related costs, fees and expenses to the extent that FNF does not finance such consideration through (a) available cash on hand and/or (b) the issuance of unsecured debt and equity securities or other debt financing at or prior to the closing of the merger. For a full description of FNF's debt financing for the merger, see the section entitled *Description of the Debt Financing* beginning on page 169.

Interests of LPS Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger (see page 100)

In considering the recommendation of the LPS board of directors that LPS stockholders vote to approve the merger proposal, you should be aware that certain of LPS's directors and executive officers have financial interests in the merger that may be different from, or are in addition to, the interests of LPS stockholders generally. The members of the LPS board of directors were aware of these interests and considered them, among other matters, in evaluating and negotiating the merger agreement and the merger, and in recommending to the

Table of Contents

LPS stockholders that they approve the merger proposal. These interests include, among other things, (i) the accelerated vesting and settlement of LPS stock options, restricted shares, performance-based cash retention incentive awards and service-based cash retention incentive awards, (ii) eligibility to receive certain payments and benefits under executive officers' employment agreements upon certain types of termination of employment at or following the effective time of the merger, (iii) the receipt of merger consideration with respect to LPS common stock purchased using accumulated contributions pursuant to the LPS ESPP and the receipt of cash equal to certain LPS matching contributions, (iv) if closing occurs on or prior to December 31, 2013, eligibility to receive immediately prior to closing a pro-rata annual or quarterly bonus for the fiscal year or quarter in which closing occurs, (v) in the case of directors, distribution of account balances under LPS' non-qualified deferred compensation plan in the event of termination of certain service within 24 months after the effective time of the merger and (vi) the right to indemnification and directors' and officers' liability insurance that will survive completion of the merger. Please see the section entitled *The Merger Interests of LPS Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger* beginning on page 100 for additional information about these interests.

Interests of FNF Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger (see page 133)

In considering the recommendation of the FNF board of directors that FNF stockholders vote to approve the FNF stock issuance proposal, you should be aware that certain of FNF's directors and executive officers have financial interests in the merger that may be different from, or are in addition to, the interests of FNF stockholders generally. The members of the FNF board of directors were aware of these interests and considered them, among other matters, in evaluating and negotiating the merger agreement and the merger, and in recommending to the FNF stockholders that they approve the FNF stock issuance proposal. Please see the section entitled *The Merger Interests of FNF Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger* beginning on page 133 for additional information about these interests.

Board of Directors Following the Merger (see page 135)

The board of directors of the combined company following the merger will be the same as the FNF board of directors immediately prior to the merger.

Treatment of LPS Equity and Incentive Compensation Awards (see page 137)

Unless otherwise agreed between the applicable holder and FNF and with the prior written consent of LPS, each stock option outstanding and unexercised immediately prior to the effective time of the merger with a per share exercise price that is less than the fair market value (as defined in LPS' 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of a share of LPS common stock as of such time, whether or not vested or exercisable, will be net exercised for whole and fractional shares of LPS common stock. The number of whole and fractional shares received upon net exercise of a stock option will be equal to (A) the number of shares of LPS common stock subject to the stock option immediately prior to the effective time of the merger minus (B) the number of shares of LPS common stock with a fair market value (as defined in LPS' 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger equal to the sum of (i) the aggregate exercise price of such stock option and (ii) any taxes required to be deducted and withheld under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (referred to as, "Code") and other applicable laws in connection with such net exercise. Whole shares will be treated as issued and outstanding as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and converted into the right to receive the merger consideration, without interest. Any fractional shares will be paid to the holder in cash, without interest, based on the fair market value (as defined in LPS' 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of LPS common stock as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. Each stock option outstanding and unexercised immediately prior to the effective time of the merger with a per share exercise price that is equal to or greater than the fair market value (as defined in LPS' 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of a share of LPS common stock as of such time, shall be canceled and terminated without consideration as of the effective time of the merger.

Table of Contents

Unless otherwise agreed between the applicable holder and FNF and with the prior written consent of LPS, each restricted share outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger will vest in full and become free of restrictions as of the effective time of the merger (assuming, in the case of restricted shares that are subject to performance-based vesting, attainment of all applicable performance goals at the target level). As of the effective time of the merger, each such restricted share will be canceled and converted into the right to receive (A) the merger consideration (without interest) and (B) an amount equal to the accrued but unpaid dividends (referred to as accumulated dividends), if any, with respect to such restricted share. Accumulated dividends will be paid in cash (without interest and subject to applicable withholding taxes) by LPS to the holder thereof as promptly as practicable following, but in no event later than ten business days after, the effective time of the merger. No merger consideration will be payable with respect to restricted shares subject to performance-based vesting and with respect to which the applicable performance period shall have ended prior to, and without regard to the occurrence of, the effective time of the merger without attainment of applicable performance goals, and each such restricted share will be forfeited without consideration immediately prior to the effective time of the merger.

Accumulated participant contributions and matching contributions (as such terms are defined in the LPS ESPP) will be used to purchase shares of LPS common stock immediately prior to the effective time of the merger in accordance with the terms of the LPS ESPP, and such shares of LPS common stock will be canceled at the effective time of the merger and converted into the right to receive the merger consideration (without interest). Matching contributions that are payable with respect to participant contributions made prior to the effective time of the merger and that would otherwise have been credited to each participant's account following the effective time of the merger based on such participant's continued employment following the effective time of the merger will be paid to the participant in cash, without interest as promptly as practicable following, but in no event later than ten business days after, the effective time of the merger.

Each outstanding cash retention incentive award that is, by its terms, subject to performance-based and service-based vesting will vest in full immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and become free of restrictions. Applicable performance goals in respect of cash retention incentive awards that were subject to performance-based vesting were previously determined to have been attained at maximum level, though such awards continue to be subject to service-based vesting. At the effective time of the merger, the service-based vesting conditions in respect of each such cash retention incentive award will be deemed to have been met and such award shall be canceled and the holder thereof will be entitled to receive a cash payment equal to the amount payable in respect thereof based on the previous attainment of the applicable performance objective at maximum level. Such cash payment will be paid as promptly as practicable following, but in no event later than ten business days after, the effective time of the merger, without interest. Each cash retention incentive award that is, by its terms, subject only to service-based vesting will vest in full and be payable no later than thirty days after the effective time of the merger.

Regulatory Clearances Required for the Merger (see page 136)

LPS and FNF have each agreed to use their reasonable best efforts to obtain all regulatory approvals required to complete the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. These approvals include approvals from or notices to state insurance regulators, state financial institution regulators, state real estate regulators and various other federal and state regulatory authorities. The completion of the merger is subject to compliance with the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended (referred to as the HSR Act). The notifications required under the HSR Act to the U.S. Federal Trade Commission (referred to as the FTC) and the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice (referred to as the DOJ), were filed by each of LPS and FNF on June 12, 2013. Under the HSR Act, the merger may not be completed until the required 30-day HSR Act waiting period has expired or been terminated. On July 12, 2013, the waiting period was extended by the FTC's issuance of a request for additional information and documentary material, often referred to as a second

Table of Contents

request. The effect of the second request is to extend the waiting period imposed by the HSR Act until 30 days after LPS and FNF have substantially complied with the second request, unless that period is extended voluntarily by the parties or terminated sooner by the FTC. LPS and FNF are responding to the second request and are committed to working cooperatively with the FTC staff as it conducts its review of the proposed transaction. Although LPS and FNF believe that the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement do not raise regulatory concerns material to the transaction and that all requisite regulatory approvals can be obtained on a timely basis, LPS and FNF cannot be certain when or if these approvals will be obtained.

Completion of the Merger (see page 145)

LPS and FNF currently expect the closing of the merger to occur in the fourth quarter of 2013. However, the merger is subject to various regulatory clearances and the satisfaction or waiver of other conditions as described in the merger agreement, and it is possible that factors outside the control of LPS and FNF could result in the merger being completed at a later time or not at all.

Solicitation of Alternative Proposals (see page 154)

Under the terms of the merger agreement, until 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on July 7, 2013 (such period referred to as the go-shop period), LPS was permitted to:

solicit, initiate and encourage takeover proposals (as defined below, and with the percentage set forth in the definition thereof changed from 15% to 50%) from third parties, including by providing access to non-public information (subject to LPS entering into a customary confidentiality agreement containing confidentiality provisions no less favorable to LPS, in the aggregate, than those set forth in the confidentiality agreement between LPS and FNF with each recipient before providing such non-public information); provided, that LPS must concurrently provide to FNF any material non-public information concerning LPS or its subsidiaries that is to be provided to any person given such access which was not previously provided to FNF; and

enter into, engage in and maintain discussions or negotiations with any third party with respect to takeover proposals (with the percentage set forth in the definition thereof changed from 15% to 50%), or otherwise cooperate with or assist or participate in, or facilitate any such discussions or negotiations or the making of any such takeover proposal.

Upon the end of the go-shop period, LPS was required to immediately cease the activities described above and any discussions or negotiations with any person or group of persons that were ongoing with such person or group of persons with respect to any takeover proposal except that LPS was permitted to continue to engage in the foregoing activities with third parties that contacted LPS and made a bona fide takeover proposal after the execution of the merger agreement but prior to the end of the go-shop period, which the LPS board of directors determined constitutes or would reasonably be expected to result in a superior proposal.

Notwithstanding these restrictions, if at any time following the end of the go-shop period and prior to the LPS stockholders voting in favor of the merger proposal, LPS receives a bona fide written takeover proposal from a third party that the LPS board of directors determines would reasonably be expected to result in a superior proposal and, after consultation with its outside legal counsel, the LPS board of directors determines in good faith that failing to engage in discussions or negotiations with such third party would be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties, then LPS may, subject to compliance with certain obligations set forth in the merger agreement, furnish information with respect to LPS and its subsidiaries to the person making such takeover proposal and engage in discussions or negotiations with such person regarding such takeover proposal.

Table of Contents

LPS also agreed in the merger agreement to promptly, and in any event within 24 hours following the end of the go-shop period, reaffirm its recommendation that the LPS stockholders approve the merger proposal and notify FNF in the event that LPS, any of its subsidiaries or any of their respective representatives received a takeover proposal or any request for non-public information relating to LPS or any of its subsidiaries in furtherance of a takeover proposal. LPS has agreed to keep FNF reasonably informed on a prompt basis of the status of such takeover proposal or request (including the terms and conditions thereof) and any material developments, discussions and negotiations with respect to any such takeover proposal or request (including any material changes thereto).

The go-shop period ended at 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on July 7, 2013. On July 8, 2013, LPS announced that it did not receive any alternative takeover proposals during the go-shop period and that the LPS board of directors continues to recommend that LPS stockholders adopt the merger agreement with FNF.

Changes in Board Recommendations (see page 156)

The merger agreement provides that neither the LPS board of directors nor any committee thereof will (i) withdraw (or modify or qualify in a manner adverse to FNF), or publicly propose to withdraw (or modify or qualify in a manner adverse to FNF), the approval, recommendation or declaration of advisability by the LPS board of directors or any such committee of the merger agreement or the merger or recommend the approval or adoption of, or approve or adopt, declare advisable or publicly propose to recommend, approve, adopt or declare advisable, any takeover proposal or (ii) approve or recommend, or publicly propose to approve or recommend, or cause or permit LPS or any of its subsidiaries to execute or enter into, any letter of intent, memorandum of understanding, agreement in principle, merger agreement, acquisition agreement or other similar agreement related to any takeover proposal (other than a confidentiality agreement entered into pursuant to the solicitation provisions of the merger agreement). Notwithstanding the foregoing restrictions, at any time prior to obtaining the LPS stockholders' approval of the merger proposal, the LPS board of directors may, subject to compliance with certain obligations set forth in the merger agreement (including providing FNF with prior notice, allowing FNF the right under certain circumstances to negotiate with LPS to match the terms of any superior proposal and paying the applicable termination fee) (x) change its recommendation in response to a superior proposal or intervening event or (y) cause LPS to terminate the merger agreement if it determines in good faith (after consultation with LPS' financial advisors and outside legal counsel) that the failure to take such actions would be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties to stockholders under applicable law.

The merger agreement provides that the FNF board of directors may not (i) withdraw (or modify or qualify in a manner adverse to LPS) or publicly propose to withdraw (or modify or qualify in a manner adverse to LPS) its recommendation of the FNF stock issuance or (ii) make any other public statement in connection with the FNF special meeting inconsistent with such recommendation. Notwithstanding the foregoing restrictions, at any time prior to obtaining the FNF stockholders' approval of the FNF stock issuance proposal, the FNF board of directors may change its recommendation of the FNF stock issuance in response to an intervening event if it determines in good faith (after consultation with FNF's financial advisors and outside legal counsel) that the failure to take such action would be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties to stockholders under applicable law.

Conditions to Completion of the Merger (see page 164)

The obligations of each of LPS and FNF to effect the merger are subject to the satisfaction, or waiver, of the following conditions:

the approval of the merger proposal by holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of LPS common stock at the LPS special meeting;

Table of Contents

to the extent required by applicable law, the approval of the FNF stock issuance by holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of FNF common stock present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote thereon at the FNF special meeting;

the waiting period (and any extension thereof) applicable to the merger under the HSR Act having expired or been earlier terminated and LPS and FNF having received any applicable consents or approvals (i) from the New York State Department of Financial Services (referred to as NYSDFS), (ii) except to the extent that an applicable exemption applies or if National Title Insurance of New York, Inc. is not commercially domiciled in the state of California, the California Department of Insurance (referred to as CDI), (iii) Arizona and Idaho escrow company change of control approvals for LSI Title Agency, Inc. (iv) Idaho escrow company change of control approval for ServiceLink, (v) Texas title agency change of control approval for LSI Title Agency, Inc. and (vi) Form E filings and either (x) indication of no action by applicable state regulators or (y) lapse of waiting periods, in each case, without any adverse action by applicable state regulators in Arizona, Georgia, Indiana, New Jersey, Pennsylvania and Washington.

the absence of any law, order, injunction or other judgment by a court or other governmental entity that restrains, enjoins or otherwise prohibits the consummation of the merger;

the effectiveness of the registration statement of which this joint proxy statement/prospectus forms a part and the absence of a stop order or proceedings threatened or initiated by the SEC for that purpose; and

the shares of FNF common stock to be issued pursuant to the merger having been approved for listing on the NYSE.

In addition, the obligations of FNF and Merger Sub to effect the merger are subject to the satisfaction, or waiver, of the following additional conditions:

the representations and warranties of LPS relating to organization, capital structure, authority, state takeover statutes and advisors being true and correct in all material respects as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the date of the closing of the merger as though made on such date (except to the extent any of such representations and warranties speak as of an earlier date, in which case such representations and warranties must be true and correct in all material respects as of such earlier date);

each other representations and warranty of LPS being true and correct (disregarding all qualifications or limitations as to materiality, material adverse effect and words of similar import set forth therein) both as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the date of the closing of the merger as though made on such date (except to the extent any of such representations and warranties speak as of an earlier date, in which case such representations and warranties must be true and correct as of such earlier date), where the failure of such representations and warranties to be so true and correct would not, individually or in the aggregate, reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect; and

LPS having performed or complied with, in all material respects, all its obligations under the merger agreement at or prior to the consummation of the merger; and

receipt of a certificate executed by the chief financial officer of LPS certifying as to the satisfaction of the conditions described in the preceding three bullets.

Table of Contents

In addition, the obligations of LPS to effect the merger are subject to the satisfaction, or waiver, of the following additional conditions:

the representations and warranties of FNF and Merger Sub relating to organization, capital structure, authority, state takeover statutes and advisors being true and correct in all material respects as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the date of the closing of the merger as though made on such date (except to the extent any of such representations and warranties speak as of an earlier date, in which case such representations and warranties must be true and correct in all material respects as of such earlier date);

all other representations and warranties of FNF being true and correct (disregarding all qualifications or limitations as to materiality, material adverse effect and words of similar import set forth therein) both as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the date of the closing of the merger as though made on such date (except to the extent any of such representations and warranties speak as of an earlier date, in which case such representations and warranties must be true and correct as of such earlier date), where the failure of such representations and warranties to be so true and correct would not, individually or in the aggregate, reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect;

FNF and Merger Sub having performed or complied with, in all material respects, all its obligations the merger agreement at or prior to the consummation of the merger; and

receipt of a certificate executed by an executive officer of FNF certifying as to the satisfaction of the conditions described in the preceding three bullets.

Termination of the Merger Agreement (see page 165)

The merger agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the effective time of the merger, whether before or after the receipt of the required stockholder approvals, by delivery of written notice to the other parties to the merger agreement under the following circumstances:

by mutual written consent of FNF and LPS;

by either FNF or LPS:

if the merger is not consummated by March 31, 2014 (or the extended outside date, if applicable); provided, however, that this right to terminate the merger agreement will not be available to any party if the failure of such party (and in the case of FNF, Merger Sub) to perform any of its obligations under the merger agreement has been a principal cause of or resulted in the failure of the merger to be consummated on or before such date;

if any law, injunction, order or other judgment issued or entered by any court or other governmental entity of competent jurisdiction that restrains, enjoins or otherwise prohibits the closing of the merger becomes final and nonappealable; provided that the party seeking to terminate the merger agreement has provided the other parties with three business days prior written notice of its intent to terminate;

if the LPS stockholders fail to approve the merger proposal at the LPS special meeting or at any adjournment or postponement thereof; or

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

if the FNF stockholders fail to approve the FNF stock issuance proposal at the FNF special meeting or at any adjournment or postponement thereof.

- 23 -

Table of Contents

by LPS;

if FNF or Merger Sub has breached any of its representations or warranties or failed to perform any of its covenants or agreements set forth in the merger agreement such that the conditions of LPS obligations to complete the merger are not satisfied and such breach or failure to perform is incapable of being cured prior to March 31, 2014 (or the extended outside date, if applicable); provided that LPS does not have the right to terminate the merger agreement as a result of such breach if LPS is then in material breach of any of its own representations, warranties, covenants or agreements under the merger agreement;

if, at any time prior to obtaining the LPS stockholders approval of the merger proposal, the LPS board of directors determines in good faith (after consultation with LPS financial advisors and outside legal counsel) that the failure to terminate the merger agreement would be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties to stockholders under applicable law, so long as LPS has complied in all material respects with the non-solicitation obligations set forth in the merger agreement and has paid the applicable termination fee on the date of such termination;

to the extent FNF stockholders are required to approve the FNF stock issuance under applicable law, if (i) the board of directors of FNF fails to include its recommendation to the FNF stockholders for the approval of the FNF stock issuance proposal in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or an FNF adverse recommendation change has occurred or (ii) FNF fails to hold the FNF special meeting; or

if the closing conditions to the obligation of FNF and Merger Sub to consummate the merger have been satisfied or waived and the average FNF stock price is less than \$20.00.

by FNF:

if LPS has breached any of its representations or warranties or failed to perform any of its covenants or agreements set forth in the merger agreement such that the conditions of FNF's and Merger Sub's obligations to complete the merger are not satisfied and such breach or failure to perform is incapable of being cured prior to March 31, 2014 (or the extended outside date, if applicable); provided that FNF does not have the right to terminate the merger agreement as a result of such breach if either FNF or Merger Sub is then in material breach of any of its own representations, warranties, covenants or agreements under the merger agreement; or

if (i) the board of directors of LPS fails to include its recommendation to the LPS stockholders for the approval of the merger proposal in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or an LPS adverse recommendation change has occurred or (ii) LPS fails to hold the LPS special meeting.

Termination Fees and Expenses (see page 166)

Generally, all fees and expenses incurred in connection with the negotiation and completion of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement will be paid by the party incurring those expenses, subject to the specific exceptions discussed in the merger agreement. Upon termination of the merger agreement, under qualifying circumstances, LPS may be required to pay FNF a termination fee of \$74 million and, in some circumstances, all expenses of FNF in connection with the merger agreement. Additionally, FNF may be required to pay LPS a termination fee of \$74 million upon termination of the merger agreement under certain circumstances. See the section entitled *The Merger Agreement Termination Fees and Expenses; Liability for Breach* beginning on page 166 for a more complete discussion of the circumstances under which LPS or FNF may be required to pay the termination fee and expenses.

Table of Contents

Accounting Treatment (see page 174)

FNF prepares its financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (referred to as GAAP). The merger will be accounted for by FNF using the acquisition method of accounting.

Appraisal Rights (see page 139)

Under Delaware law, LPS stockholders of record who do not vote in favor of the merger and properly make a demand for appraisal will be entitled to exercise appraisal rights and obtain payment in cash for the judicially-determined fair value of their shares of LPS common stock in connection with the merger if the merger is completed. The relevant provisions of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware (referred to as the DGCL) are included as Annex F to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See the section entitled *The Merger Appraisal Rights* beginning on page 139.

Litigation Related to the Merger (see page 144)

On May 31, 2013, two putative LPS stockholders filed an amended complaint in a derivative action, captioned *Wheatley v. Carbiener, et al.*, Case No. 16-2011-CA-000925-XXXX-MA, pending in the Circuit Court of the Fourth Judicial Circuit in and for Duval County, Florida, to assert putative class action claims against LPS, the members of the LPS board of directors, FNF and THL (the Wheatley Action). Also on May 31, 2013, a second putative stockholder class action complaint, captioned *Orlando Police Pension Fund v. Kennedy, et al.*, Case No. 2013-CA-5607, was filed in the Circuit Court of the Fourth Judicial Circuit in and for Duval County, Florida against LPS, the members of the LPS board of directors, FNF and Merger Sub (the Orlando Police Action). On June 3, 2013, a third putative stockholder class action complaint, captioned *Pruitt v. Lender Processing Services, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 8622-VCL, was filed in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware against LPS, the members of the LPS board of directors, FNF and Merger Sub (the Pruitt Action). On August 7, 2013, a putative LPS stockholder filed an amended complaint in a derivative action, captioned *Hill v. Kennedy, et al.*, C.A. No. 8305-CS, pending in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, to assert putative class claims against LPS and the members of the LPS board of directors (the Hill Action). Each of the complaints in the Wheatley Action, the Orlando Police Action, the Pruitt Action and the Hill Action alleges among other things that the members of the LPS board breached their fiduciary duties to LPS stockholders by entering into the merger agreement, and the complaints in the Wheatley Action, the Orlando Police Action and the Pruitt Action further allege that FNF, Merger Sub and/or THL aided and abetted the members of the LPS board in breaching their fiduciary duties. All of the complaints seek, among other things, to enjoin the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

The defendants believe that each of the Wheatley Action, the Orlando Police Action, the Hill Action and the Pruitt Action are without merit and intend to vigorously defend them.

Comparison of Stockholder Rights and Corporate Governance Matters (see page 193)

LPS stockholders receiving the merger consideration will have different rights once they become stockholders of FNF due to differences between the governing corporate documents of LPS and the governing corporate documents of FNF. These differences are described in detail under the section entitled *Comparative Rights of FNF and LPS Stockholders* beginning on page 193.

Table of Contents

Listing of Shares of FNF Common Stock; Delisting and Deregistration of Shares of LPS Common Stock (see page 139)

It is a condition to the completion of the merger that the shares of FNF common stock to be issued to LPS stockholders pursuant to the merger be approved for listing on the NYSE, subject to official notice of issuance, at the effective time of the merger. Upon completion of the merger, shares of LPS common stock currently listed on the NYSE will cease to be listed on the NYSE and will subsequently be deregistered under the Exchange Act.

The Special Meetings

The LPS Special Meeting (see page 44)

The LPS special meeting will be held at [], on [], at [], local time. The special meeting of LPS stockholders is being held in order to consider and vote on:

the merger proposal;

the compensation proposal; and

the LPS adjournment proposal.

Completion of the merger is conditioned on approval of the merger proposal but approval of the compensation proposal is not a condition to the obligation of either FNF or LPS to complete the merger.

Only holders of record of issued and outstanding shares of LPS common stock as of the close of business on [], the record date for the LPS special meeting, are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the LPS special meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the LPS special meeting. You may cast one vote for each share of LPS common stock that you owned as of that record date.

Approval of the merger proposal requires the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of LPS common stock entitled to vote at the meeting. Failures to vote, votes to abstain and broker non-votes will have the effect of a vote AGAINST the proposal.

The compensation proposal and LPS adjournment proposal each require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of LPS common stock present in person or represented by proxy at the LPS special meeting and entitled to vote on such proposal. Votes to abstain are treated the same as votes AGAINST these proposals. Broker non-votes and failures to vote will have no effect on either proposal, assuming a quorum is present.

As of the close of business on [], the record date for the LPS special meeting, there were [] shares of LPS common stock outstanding and entitled to vote. As of the same date, the directors and executive officers of LPS as a group owned and were entitled to vote [] shares of LPS common stock, representing approximately []% of the total issued and outstanding shares of LPS common stock on that date. LPS currently expects that all directors and executive officers will vote their shares in favor of each of the proposals to be considered at the LPS special meeting, although none of them has entered into any agreement obligating them to do so.

The FNF Special Meeting (see page 51)

The FNF special meeting will be held at [], on [], at [], local time. The special meeting of FNF stockholders is being held to consider and vote on:

the FNF stock issuance proposal; and

the FNF adjournment proposal.

Table of Contents

Completion of the merger is conditioned on approval of the FNF stock issuance.

Only holders of record of issued and outstanding shares of FNF common stock as of the close of business on [], the record date for the FNF special meeting, are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the FNF special meeting or any adjournment or postponement of the FNF special meeting. You may cast one vote for each share of FNF common stock that you owned as of that record date.

The FNF stock issuance proposal and the FNF adjournment proposal each require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of FNF common stock present in person or represented by proxy at the FNF special meeting and entitled to vote on such proposal. Votes to abstain are treated the same as votes AGAINST these proposals. Failures to vote and broker non-votes will have no effect on either proposal, assuming a quorum is present.

As of the close of business on [], the record date for the FNF special meeting, there were [] shares of FNF common stock outstanding and entitled to vote. As of the same date, the directors and executive officers of FNF as a group owned and were entitled to vote [] shares of FNF common stock, representing approximately []% of the total issued and outstanding shares of FNF common stock on that date. FNF currently expects that all directors and executive officers will vote their shares in favor of each of the proposals to be considered at the FNF special meeting, although none of them has entered into any agreement obligating them to do so.

Table of Contents**Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data****Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data of LPS**

The selected historical consolidated financial data of LPS as of and for each of the five years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 have been derived from LPS' audited consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The selected financial data of LPS as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are derived from LPS' unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013, which is incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

The following selected historical consolidated financial data is being provided to assist you in your analysis of the financial aspects of the merger and the FNF stock issuance. The information set forth below is only a summary and is not necessarily indicative of the results of future operations of LPS or the combined company, and you should read the following information together with LPS' consolidated financial statements, the related notes and the sections entitled "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" contained in the LPS Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and in the LPS Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013, which are incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, see the section entitled "Where You Can Find More Information" beginning on page 213.

LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data**

In millions, except per share data

	Six months ended June 30,		2012	Year ended December 31,			2008
	2013	2012		2011 (Unaudited)		2010	
Results of Operations Data							
Revenues	\$ 941	\$ 999	\$ 1,998	\$ 1,983	\$ 2,197	\$ 2,095	\$ 1,613
Operating income (1)(2)	\$ 145	\$ 69	\$ 233	\$ 279	\$ 562	\$ 539	\$ 429
Net earnings (3)	\$ 73	\$ 9	\$ 70	\$ 97	\$ 302	\$ 276	\$ 231
Net earnings per share - basic from continuing operations	\$ 0.88	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.94	\$ 1.58	\$ 3.28	\$ 2.93	\$ 2.46
Net earnings per share - basic	\$ 0.86	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.83	\$ 1.13	\$ 3.25	\$ 2.88	\$ 2.42
Net earnings per share - diluted	\$ 0.86	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.83	\$ 1.13	\$ 3.23	\$ 2.87	\$ 2.41
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	85	85	85	86	93	96	95
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	85	85	85	86	94	96	96
Balance Sheet Data							
Total assets	\$ 2,339	\$ 2,316	\$ 2,446	\$ 2,245	\$ 2,252	\$ 2,197	\$ 2,104
Long-term debt, net of current portion	\$ 1,041	\$ 1,075	\$ 1,068	\$ 1,110	\$ 1,104	\$ 1,249	\$ 1,402
Other non-current liabilities	\$ 33	\$ 35	\$ 37	\$ 32	\$ 22	\$ 20	\$ 39

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

Total Lender Processing Services, Inc. stockholders equity	\$ 611	\$ 488	\$ 543	\$ 488	\$ 526	\$ 456	\$ 191
Cash dividends declared per share	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.20

- 28 -

Table of Contents

- (1) During 2012 and 2011, LPS recognized pre-tax legal and regulatory contingency charges of \$192 million and \$79 million, respectively, for estimated settlement and third-party legal expenses related to various ongoing legal and regulatory matters. During the six months ended June 30, 2013, LPS revised its legal and regulatory accrual and recorded an additional charge of \$52 million.
- (2) Exit costs, impairments and other charges represents certain lease exit charges, employee severance, impairments of long-lived assets, and other non-recurring charges. 2012 reflects the impact of various severance charges totaling \$9 million and asset impairment charges totaling \$2 million. 2011 includes severance charges for the departure of certain executives, including LPS former chief executive officer and former co-chief operating officer, as well as the impact of other personnel restructuring programs, which totaled \$33 million, as well as asset impairment and restructuring charges, which totaled \$24 million. 2010 reflects an immaterial error correction totaling \$10 million related to fiscal years 2007 and 2008, as well as restructuring charges for the departure of LPS former chief financial officer. 2009 reflects a \$9 million restructuring charge primarily related to the departure of three LPS directors, and 2008 reflects a \$5 million charge primarily related to restructuring charges as a result of LPS spin-off from FIS as of July 2, 2008.
- (3) During 2012, LPS recorded a charge totaling \$25 million related to the refinancing of LPS bonds and senior credit facilities. During 2011, LPS recorded a charge totaling \$8 million related to the write-off of certain debt issuance costs in connection with the refinancing of LPS senior credit facilities.

Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data of FNF

The selected historical consolidated financial data of FNF as of and for each of the five years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008 have been derived from FNF's audited consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The selected financial data of FNF as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are derived from FNF's unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013, which is incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

The following selected historical consolidated financial data is being provided to assist you in your analysis of the financial aspects of the merger. The information set forth below is only a summary and is not necessarily indicative of the results of future operations of FNF or the combined company, and you should read the following information together with FNF's consolidated financial statements, the related notes and the section entitled *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* contained in the FNF Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 and in the FNF Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013, which are incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, see the section entitled *Where You Can Find More Information* beginning on page 213.

Table of Contents**FIDELITY NATIONAL FINANCIAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Selected Historical Consolidated Financial Data**

In millions, except per share data

	Six months ended June 30,			Year ended December 31,			
	2013	2012	2012	2011	2010	2009(1)	2008(2)
Results of Operations Data							
Total revenues	\$ 4,320	\$ 2,907	\$ 7,166	\$ 4,799	\$ 5,395	\$ 5,521	\$ 3,936
Earnings (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes and equity earnings (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates	\$ 360	\$ 322	\$ 834	\$ 406	\$ 548	\$ 315	\$ (303)
Net earnings attributable to Fidelity National Financial, Inc. common shareholders	\$ 228	\$ 221	\$ 607	\$ 370	\$ 370	\$ 222	\$ (179)
Net earnings per share - basic from continuing operations	\$ 1.02	\$ 0.96	\$ 2.69	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.56	\$ 0.91	\$ (0.83)
Net earnings per share - basic	\$ 1.01	\$ 1.01	\$ 2.74	\$ 1.69	\$ 1.64	\$ 0.99	\$ (0.85)
Net earnings per share - diluted	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.99	\$ 2.68	\$ 1.66	\$ 1.61	\$ 0.97	\$ (0.85)
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic(3)	225	220	221	219	226	225	210
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	230	224	226	223	229	229	210
Balance Sheet Data							
Total investments(4)	\$ 3,981	\$ 4,120	\$ 4,053	\$ 4,052	\$ 4,359	\$ 4,685	\$ 4,377
Total assets	\$ 10,015	\$ 8,513	\$ 9,903	\$ 7,862	\$ 7,888	\$ 7,934	\$ 8,368
Notes payable	\$ 1,345	\$ 952	\$ 1,344	\$ 916	\$ 952	\$ 862	\$ 1,351
Reserve for title claim losses(5)	\$ 1,717	\$ 1,869	\$ 1,748	\$ 1,913	\$ 2,270	\$ 2,539	\$ 2,736
Total equity	\$ 4,834	\$ 4,053	\$ 4,749	\$ 3,656	\$ 3,444	\$ 3,345	\$ 2,857
Cash dividends declared per share	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.58	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.69	\$ 0.60	\$ 1.05

(1) FNF's financial results for the year ended December 31, 2009, include a decrease to FNF's provision for claim losses of \$74 million (\$47 million net of income taxes) as a result of favorable claim loss development on prior policy years, offset by an increase to the provision for claim losses of \$63 million (\$40 million net of income taxes) as a result of unfavorable developments in the third quarter on a previously recorded insurance receivable.

(2) FNF's financial results for the year ended December 31, 2008, include a charge to our provision for claim losses of \$262 million (\$154 million net of income taxes), which FNF recorded as a result of adverse claim loss development on prior policy years.

(3) Weighted average shares outstanding as of December 31, 2009 includes 18,170,000 shares that were issued as part of an equity offering by FNF on April 20, 2009.

(4)

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

Investments as of December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009, and 2008, include securities pledged to secured trust deposits of \$275 million, \$274 million, \$252 million, \$289 million, and \$383 million, respectively. Investments as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, include securities pledged to secured trust deposits of \$281 million and \$279 million, respectively.

- (5) As a result of favorable title insurance claim loss development on prior policy years, FNF recorded a credit in 2009 totaling \$74 million, or \$47 million net of income taxes, to FNF's provision for claims losses. As a result of adverse title insurance claim loss development on prior policy years, FNF recorded charges in 2008 totaling \$262 million, or \$154 million net of income taxes, to FNF's provision for claim losses. These credits/charges were recorded in addition to FNF's average provision for claim losses of 7.3% and 8.5% for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents**Selected Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information of LPS and FNF**

The following table presents selected unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information about FNF's consolidated balance sheet and statements of income, after giving effect to the merger with LPS. The information under *Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Balance Sheet Data* in the table below assumes the merger had been consummated on June 30, 2013. The information under *Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statement of Earnings Data* in the table below gives effect to the merger as if it had been consummated on January 1, 2012, the beginning of the earliest period presented. This unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information was prepared using the acquisition method of accounting with FNF considered the acquirer of LPS. See the section entitled *Accounting Treatment* beginning on page 174.

In addition, the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information includes adjustments which are preliminary and may be revised. There can be no assurance that such revisions will not result in material changes. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information is presented for illustrative purposes only and does not indicate the financial results of the combined company.

The information presented below should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements of FNF and LPS, including the related notes, filed by each of them with the SEC, and with the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements of FNF and LPS, including the related notes, appearing elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See the section entitled *Where You Can Find More Information* beginning on page 213 and *Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information* beginning on page 175. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information has been presented for informational purposes only. The pro forma information is not necessarily indicative of what the combined company's financial position or results of operations actually would have been had the merger been completed as of the dates indicated. In addition, the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information does not purport to project the future financial position or operating results of the combined company. Transactions between FNF and LPS during the periods presented in the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements have been eliminated. In addition, the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial information includes adjustments which are preliminary and may be revised. There can be no assurance that such revisions will not result in material changes.

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Balance Sheet Data:

	As of June 30, 2013
	(In millions)
Total assets	\$ 14,494
Total liabilities	\$ 8,149
Total equity	\$ 6,345
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 14,494

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statement of Earnings Data:

	Six Months Ended	Year Ended
	June 30,	December 31, 2012
	2013	December 31, 2012
	(In millions, except per share data)	
Total revenues	\$ 5,241	\$ 9,109
Total expenses	\$ 4,805	\$ 8,261
Net earnings	\$ 281	\$ 597
Net earnings from continuing operations attributable to FNF/LPS common shareholders	\$ 254	\$ 593

Table of Contents**Unaudited Comparative Per Share Data**

Presented below are FNF's and LPS' historical per share data and unaudited pro forma combined per share data for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and for the year ended December 31, 2012. This information should be read together with the consolidated financial statements and related notes of FNF and LPS that are incorporated by reference in this document and with the unaudited pro forma combined financial data included under *Selected Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information of LPS and FNF* beginning on page 31 and *Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information* beginning on page 175. The pro forma information is presented for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the operating results or financial position that would have occurred if the merger had been completed on January 1, 2012, the beginning of the earliest period presented, nor is it necessarily indicative of the future operating results or financial position of the combined company. The pro forma earnings per share of the combined company is computed by dividing the pro forma net earnings attributable to common shareholders by the pro forma weighted average number of shares outstanding.

Unaudited Pro Forma Per Share Data**For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013****(In millions, except per share data)**

	FNF	LPS	Pro Forma Adjustments	Pro Forma Combined
Net earnings per share basic, attributable to FNF/LPS common shareholders	\$ 1.01	\$ 0.86	\$ (0.90)	\$ 0.97
Weighted average shares outstanding basic	225	85	(47)(1)	263
Net earnings per share diluted, attributable to FNF/LPS common shareholders	\$ 0.99	\$ 0.86	\$ (0.90)	\$ 0.95
Weighted average shares outstanding diluted	230	85	(47)(1)	268

Unaudited Pro Forma Per Share Data**For the Year Ended December 31, 2012****(In millions, except per share data)**

	FNF	LPS	Pro Forma Adjustments	Pro Forma Combined
Net earnings per share basic, attributable to FNF/LPS common shareholders	\$ 2.74	\$ 0.83	\$ (1.28)	\$ 2.29
Weighted average shares outstanding basic	221	85	(47)(1)	259
Net earnings per share diluted, attributable to FNF/LPS common shareholders	\$ 2.68	\$ 0.83	\$ (1.26)	\$ 2.25
Weighted average shares outstanding diluted	226	85	(47)(1)	264

(1) The adjustment to weighted average shares outstanding basic and diluted is calculated as follows (in millions):

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012
Eliminate LPS shares	(85)	(85)
FNF common shares issued as consideration for acquisition of LPS	38	38
	(47)	(47)

- 32 -

Table of Contents

The unaudited pro forma combined basic and diluted earnings per share for the periods presented are based on the combined basic and diluted weighted-average shares outstanding. The historical basic and diluted weighted average shares of LPS were assumed to be replaced by the shares expected to be issued by FNF as part of the merger.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This joint proxy statement/prospectus and the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus contain a number of forward-looking statements that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Statements concerning projections or expectations of financial or operational performance, statements concerning future events or results and other statements that are not historical facts, including statements regarding expectations, hopes, intentions or strategies regarding the future are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are based on FNF or LPS management's beliefs, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, them. Because such statements are based on expectations as to future financial and operating results and are not statements of fact, actual results may differ materially from those projected. FNF and LPS undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In the event that we do update any forward-looking statements, no inference should be made that we will make additional updates with respect to that statement, related matters or any other forward-looking statements. The risks and uncertainties which forward-looking statements are subject to include, but are not limited to: the ability to consummate the proposed transaction; the ability to obtain requisite regulatory and stockholder approvals and the satisfaction of other conditions to the consummation of the proposed transaction; the ability of FNF to successfully integrate LPS operations and employees and realize anticipated synergies and cost savings; the potential impact of the announcement or consummation of the proposed transaction on relationships, including with employees, suppliers, customers and competitors; changes in general economic, business and political conditions, including changes in the financial markets; weakness or adverse changes in the level of real estate activity, which may be caused by, among other things, high or increasing interest rates, a limited supply of mortgage funding or a weak U.S. economy; FNF's dependence on distributions from its title insurance underwriters as a main source of cash flow; significant competition that FNF and LPS face; and compliance with extensive government regulation. These risks and uncertainties also include those set forth under *Risk Factors*, beginning on page 35.

Actual results may differ materially and reported results should not be considered an indication of future performance. Please reference the SEC filings of LPS and FNF, which are available on their respective web sites, for detailed descriptions of factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements. Information included on these websites is not incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

LPS and FNF caution that the foregoing list of factors is not exclusive. Additional information concerning these and other risk factors is contained in FNF's and LPS' most recently filed Annual Reports on Form 10-K, subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, recent Current Reports on Form 8-K, and other SEC filings, as such filings may be amended from time to time. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements concerning LPS, FNF, the proposed transactions or other matters and attributable to LPS or FNF or any person acting on their behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements above. Neither FNF nor LPS undertakes any obligation to update any of these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that may arise after the date hereof. In the event that we do update any forward-looking statements, no inference should be made that we will make additional updates with respect to that statement, related matters or any other forward-looking statements.

Table of Contents**RISK FACTORS**

*In addition to the other information included and incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including the matters addressed in the section entitled **Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements** beginning on page 34, you should carefully consider the following risk factors before deciding whether to vote for the merger proposal, in the case of LPS stockholders, or for the FNF stock issuance proposal, in the case of FNF stockholders. In addition, you should read and consider the risks associated with each of the businesses of LPS and FNF because these risks will relate to FNF following the completion of the merger. Descriptions of some of these risks can be found in the Annual Reports of FNF and LPS on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 and any amendments thereto, for each of LPS and FNF, as such risks may be updated or supplemented in each company's subsequently filed Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q or Current Reports on Form 8-K, which are incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. You should also consider the other information in this document and the other documents incorporated by reference into this document. See the section entitled **Where You Can Find More Information** beginning on page 213.*

Risk Factors Relating to the Merger

The merger and related transactions are subject to approval by the stockholders of both FNF and LPS.

In order for the merger to be completed, LPS stockholders must approve the merger proposal, which requires the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of LPS common stock entitled to vote thereon. In addition, while a vote of FNF stockholders is not required to approve the merger, FNF stockholder approval of the FNF stock issuance is required under applicable NYSE rules in order for FNF to be authorized to issue shares of FNF common stock as part of the merger consideration. Approval of the FNF stock issuance requires approval by holders of a majority in voting power of the shares of FNF common stock represented at the FNF special meeting (provided that at least a majority in voting power of shares of the FNF common stock are represented in person or in proxy at the FNF special meeting). There can be no assurance that these approvals will be obtained.

Because the market price of FNF common stock will fluctuate, LPS stockholders cannot be sure of the exact value of the merger consideration they will receive per share of LPS common stock and FNF stockholders cannot be sure of the exact number of shares of FNF common stock to be issued by FNF pursuant to the merger agreement. In addition, the market price of FNF common stock will continue to fluctuate following the merger.

Upon the effective time of the merger, each share of LPS common stock will be converted into the right to receive the merger consideration, which consists of shares of FNF common stock and cash, pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement. The value of the merger consideration to be received by LPS stockholders will be based on the exchange ratio, which, pursuant to the merger agreement, will be determined based on the average of the volume weighted averages of the trading prices of FNF common stock during the ten trading day period ending on (and including) the third trading day prior to the closing of the merger. This average price may vary from the market price of FNF common stock on the date the merger was announced, on the date that this joint proxy statement/prospectus is mailed to LPS and FNF stockholders, on the date that LPS and FNF stockholders submit a proxy card, on the date of the LPS special meeting or on the date of the FNF special meeting. Because the closing of the transaction may not occur for some period of time after the LPS special meeting or the FNF special meeting, at the time of the LPS special meeting or the FNF special meeting, LPS and FNF stockholders, respectively, may not know or be able to calculate the average FNF stock price or the number of shares of FNF common stock LPS stockholders would receive with respect to each share of LPS common stock on the closing date of the merger. Any change in the price of FNF common stock prior to the date that the exchange ratio is set will affect the value of the merger consideration that LPS stockholders will receive upon the effective time of the merger, as well as the number of shares of FNF common stock that will be issued by FNF pursuant to the merger agreement. In addition, the price of FNF common stock could fluctuate between the time that the exchange ratio

Table of Contents

is set and the time LPS stockholders actually receive their shares of FNF common stock as merger consideration, so LPS stockholders will be subject to the risk of a decline in the price of FNF common stock during that period. Stock prices may also fluctuate following the merger. Stock price fluctuations can result from a variety of factors (many of which may not be within FNF's or LPS' control) including:

general market and economic conditions;

changes in the businesses, operations and prospects of FNF or LPS;

investor behavior and strategies, including assessments as to whether and when the merger will be completed; and

governmental, litigation and/or regulatory developments or considerations.

Stockholders of FNF and LPS are urged to obtain current market quotations for FNF and LPS common stock.

FNF's stock price may be negatively impacted by risks and conditions that apply to FNF, which are different from the risks and conditions applicable to LPS.

Upon completion of the merger, LPS stockholders will become holders of FNF common stock. The businesses and markets of FNF and the other companies it has acquired and may acquire in the future are different from those of LPS. There is a risk that various factors, conditions and developments that would not affect the price of FNF's common stock could negatively affect the price of LPS' common stock. Please see FNF's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, as updated by subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q or Current Reports on Form 8-K, all of which were filed with the SEC and incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, and the section titled *Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements* beginning on page 34 for a summary of some of the key factors that might affect FNF and the prices at which FNF common stock may trade from time to time.

The merger is subject to the receipt of consents and clearances from regulatory authorities that may impose conditions that could have an adverse effect on FNF or LPS or that could delay or, if not obtained, could prevent completion of the merger.

Before the merger may be completed, applicable waiting periods must expire or terminate under antitrust laws and various approvals, consents or clearances may be required to be obtained from regulatory entities. In deciding whether to grant antitrust or other regulatory clearances, the relevant governmental entities will consider the effect of the merger on competition within their relevant jurisdictions. The terms and conditions of the approvals that are granted may impose requirements, limitations or costs or place restrictions on the conduct of FNF's business following the merger. There can be no assurance that regulators will not impose conditions, terms, obligations or restrictions and that such conditions, terms, obligations or restrictions will not have the effect of delaying completion of the merger or imposing additional material costs on or materially limiting the revenues of FNF following the merger. In addition, neither FNF nor LPS can provide assurance that any such conditions, terms, obligations or restrictions will not result in the delay or abandonment of the merger. For a more detailed description of the regulatory review process, see the section entitled *The Merger Regulatory Clearances Required for the Merger* beginning on page 136.

The merger will involve substantial costs.

FNF and LPS have incurred and expect to continue to incur substantial costs and expenses relating directly to the merger and the FNF stock issuance, including debt refinancing costs, fees and expenses payable to financial advisors, other professional fees and expenses, insurance premium costs, fees and costs relating to regulatory filings and notices, SEC filing fees, fees and costs in connection with the financing of the merger, printing and mailing costs and other transaction-related costs, fees and expenses.

Table of Contents

The pendency of the merger could adversely affect the future businesses and operations of FNF and LPS.

In connection with the pending merger, it is possible that some customers, suppliers and other persons with whom FNF or LPS have a business relationship may terminate such relationships or may delay or defer certain business decisions, which could negatively impact revenues, earnings and cash flows of FNF or LPS, as well as the market prices of FNF common stock or LPS common stock, regardless of whether the merger is completed.

Any delay in completing the merger may reduce or eliminate the expected benefits from the transaction.

In addition to the required regulatory clearances and approval of the merger proposal by the LPS stockholders and the approval of the FNF stock issuance proposal by the FNF stockholders, the merger is subject to a number of other conditions beyond FNF's and LPS' control that may prevent, delay or otherwise materially adversely affect its completion. FNF and LPS cannot predict whether and when these other conditions will be satisfied. Furthermore, the requirements for obtaining the required clearances and approvals could delay the completion of the merger for a significant period of time or prevent it from occurring. Any delay in completing the merger could cause FNF not to realize some or all of the synergies and other benefits that it expects to achieve if the merger is successfully completed within its expected time frame. See *The Merger Agreement - Conditions to Completion of the Merger* beginning on page 164.

Uncertainties associated with the merger may cause a loss of management personnel and other key employees of FNF or LPS which could adversely affect the future business and operations of the combined company following the merger.

FNF and LPS are dependent on the experience and industry knowledge of their officers and other key employees to execute their business plans. The combined company's success after the merger will depend in part upon its ability to retain key management personnel and other key employees of FNF and LPS. Current and prospective employees of FNF and LPS may experience uncertainty about their roles within the combined company following the merger, which may have an adverse effect on the ability of the combined company to retain key management and other key personnel. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that the combined company will be able to retain key management personnel and other key employees of FNF and LPS.

The merger is subject to conditions, including certain conditions that may not be satisfied, and may not be completed on a timely basis, or at all. Failure to complete the merger could have material and adverse effects on LPS and FNF.

The completion of the merger is subject to a number of conditions, including the approval of the merger proposal by the LPS stockholders and the approval of the FNF stock issuance proposal by the FNF stockholders, which make the completion and timing of the completion of the merger uncertain. See the section entitled *The Merger Agreement - Conditions to Completion of the Merger* beginning on page 164 for a more detailed discussion. Also, either LPS or FNF may terminate the merger agreement if the merger has not been completed by March 31, 2014 (or the extended outside date, if applicable), unless the failure of the merger to be completed has resulted from the failure of the party seeking to terminate the merger agreement to perform its obligations.

If the merger is not completed on a timely basis, or at all, FNF's and LPS' respective ongoing businesses may be adversely affected and, without realizing any of the benefits of having completed the merger, LPS and FNF will be subject to a number of risks, including the following:

LPS may be required, under certain circumstances, to pay FNF a termination fee of \$74 million and, in some cases, all transaction-related expenses of FNF if the merger is terminated under qualifying circumstances, as described in the merger agreement;

FNF may be required, under certain circumstances, to pay LPS a termination fee of \$74 million, as described in the merger agreement;

Table of Contents

LPS and FNF will be required to pay certain costs relating to the merger, whether or not the merger is completed, such as legal, accounting, financial advisor and printing fees;

under the merger agreement, each of FNF and LPS is subject to certain restrictions on the conduct of its business prior to completing the merger which may adversely affect its ability to execute certain of its business strategies;

time and resources committed by FNF and LPS respective management to matters relating to the merger could otherwise have been devoted to pursuing other beneficial opportunities;

the market price of FNF common stock or LPS common stock could decline to the extent that the current market price reflects a market assumption that the merger will be completed; and

if the merger agreement is terminated and LPS board of directors seeks another business combination, stockholders of LPS cannot be certain that LPS will be able to find a party willing to enter into a business combination or other strategic transaction on terms equivalent to or more attractive than the terms that FNF has agreed to in the merger agreement.

In addition, if the merger is not completed, FNF and/or LPS may experience negative reactions from the financial markets and from their respective customers and employees. FNF and/or LPS could also be subject to litigation related to any failure to complete the merger or to enforcement proceedings commenced against FNF or LPS to perform their respective obligations under the merger agreement. If the merger is not completed, FNF and LPS cannot assure their respective stockholders that the risks described above will not materialize and will not adversely affect the business, financial results and stock prices of FNF and/or LPS.

If FNF's financing for the merger is not funded, the merger may not be completed and FNF may be in breach of the merger agreement.

FNF intends to finance a majority of the cash required in connection with the merger, including for costs, fees and expenses incurred in connection with the merger, with debt financing. On July 11, 2013, FNF entered into a term loan credit agreement with Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent and the other financial institutions party thereto, pursuant to which the lenders have committed to provide a \$1.1 billion senior unsecured delayed draw term loan facility and, on June 25, 2013, FNF entered into an amendment to replace its existing senior unsecured revolving facility with a third amended and restated \$800 million senior unsecured revolving facility. The facilities are intended to be used to finance a portion of the merger consideration and related costs, fees and expenses to the extent that FNF does not finance such consideration through (a) available cash on hand and/or (b) the issuance of unsecured debt and equity securities or other debt financing at or prior to the closing of the merger. To the extent one or more of the lenders for such facilities is unwilling to, or unable to, fund its portion of the debt financing commitments, the other lenders are not obligated to assume the unfunded commitments and FNF may be required to seek alternative financing or fund the amount of such unfunded commitments itself.

The funding under the facilities is not a condition to the obligations of FNF under the merger agreement. Due to the fact that there is no funding condition in the merger agreement, if FNF is unable to obtain funding from its financing sources for the cash required in connection with the merger, FNF could be in breach of the merger agreement and LPS may be entitled to enforce specifically the performance of terms and provisions of the merger agreement. For a description of the debt financing, please refer to *Description of the Debt Financing* beginning on page 169.

LPS executive officers and directors have interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of LPS stockholders generally.

Executive officers of LPS negotiated the terms of the merger agreement with their counterparts at FNF, and the LPS board of directors determined that entering into the merger agreement was in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders, declared the merger agreement advisable and recommended that LPS stockholders approve

Table of Contents

the merger proposal. In considering these facts and the other information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, you should be aware that LPS' executive officers and directors may have financial interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of LPS stockholders. See the section entitled *The Merger Interests of LPS Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger* beginning on page 100 for a further description of these interests, including the aggregate cash payments that each director and executive officer is entitled to receive in connection with the completion of the merger.

Several lawsuits have been filed against LPS and FNF challenging the merger and one or more adverse rulings may prevent the merger from being completed.

LPS and FNF, as well as the members of LPS' board of directors, were named as defendants in several lawsuits brought by LPS stockholders challenging the proposed merger and seeking, among other things, injunctive relief to enjoin the defendants from completing the merger on the agreed-upon terms. Additional lawsuits may be filed against LPS, FNF and/or the directors of either company in connection with the merger. See *The Merger Litigation Related to the Merger* beginning on page 144 for more information about the lawsuits that have been filed related to the merger.

One of the conditions to the closing of the merger is that no order, injunction, decree or other legal restraint or prohibition shall be in effect that prevents completion of the merger. Consequently, if a resolution is not reached in the lawsuits referenced above and the plaintiffs secure injunctive or other relief prohibiting, delaying or otherwise adversely affecting the defendants' ability to complete the merger, then such injunctive or other relief may prevent the merger from becoming effective within the expected time frame or at all. There can be no assurance that LPS, FNF or any of the other defendants will be successful in the outcome of any of these pending or potential future lawsuits.

Risk Factors Relating to FNF Following the Merger

Although FNF expects that FNF's acquisition of LPS will result in cost savings, synergies and other benefits to FNF, FNF may not realize those benefits because of integration difficulties and other challenges.

The success of FNF's acquisition of LPS will depend in large part on the success of the management of the combined company in integrating the operations, strategies, technologies and personnel of the two companies following the completion of the merger. FNF may fail to realize some or all of the anticipated benefits of the merger if the integration process takes longer than expected or is more costly than expected. The failure of FNF to meet the challenges involved in successfully integrating the operations of LPS or to otherwise realize any of the anticipated benefits of the merger, including additional cost savings and synergies, could impair the operations of FNF. In addition, FNF anticipates that the overall integration of LPS will be a time-consuming and expensive process that, without proper planning and effective and timely implementation, could significantly disrupt FNF's business.

Potential difficulties the combined company may encounter in the integration process include the following:

the integration of management teams, strategies, technologies and operations, products and services;

the disruption of ongoing businesses and distraction of their respective management teams from ongoing business concerns;

the retention of and possible decrease in business from the existing clients of both companies;

the creation of uniform standards, controls, procedures, policies and information systems;

the reduction of the costs associated with each company's operations;

the consolidation and rationalization of information technology platforms and administrative infrastructures;

the integration of corporate cultures and maintenance of employee morale;

- 39 -

Table of Contents

the retention of key employees; and

potential unknown liabilities associated with the merger.

The anticipated cost savings, synergies and other benefits include the combination of offices in various locations and the elimination of numerous technology systems, duplicative personnel and duplicative market and other data sources. However, these anticipated cost savings, synergies and other benefits assume a successful integration and are based on projections, which are inherently uncertain, and other assumptions. Even if integration is successful, anticipated cost savings, synergies and other benefits may not be achieved.

The market price of FNF's common stock may decline in the future as a result of the merger.

The market price of FNF's common stock may decline in the future as a result of the merger for a number of reasons, including:

the unsuccessful integration of LPS and FNF (including for the reasons set forth in the preceding risk factor); or

the failure of FNF to achieve the perceived benefits of the merger, including financial results, as rapidly as or to the extent anticipated by financial or industry analysts.

These factors are, to some extent, beyond the control of FNF.

The merger may not be accretive and may cause dilution to FNF's earnings per share, which may negatively affect the market price of FNF common stock.

FNF currently anticipates that the merger will be accretive to earnings per share (on an adjusted earnings basis) during the first full calendar year after the merger. This expectation is based on preliminary estimates which may materially change. FNF could also encounter additional transaction-related costs or other factors such as the failure to realize all of the benefits anticipated in the merger. All of these factors could cause dilution to FNF's earnings per share or decrease or delay the expected accretive effect of the merger and cause a decrease in the market price of FNF common stock.

Current FNF stockholders and current LPS stockholders will have a reduced ownership and voting interest in the combined company after the merger and will exercise less influence over the combined company's management.

Current FNF stockholders currently have the right to vote in the election of FNF's board of directors and on other matters affecting FNF. Current LPS stockholders currently have the right to vote in the election of LPS' board of directors and on other matters affecting LPS. Immediately after the merger is completed, it is expected that current FNF stockholders will own approximately 86% of the outstanding shares of FNF common stock and current LPS stockholders will own approximately 14% of the outstanding shares of FNF common stock, respectively.

As a result of the merger, current FNF stockholders and current LPS stockholders will have less influence on the combined company's management and policies than they now have on the management and policies of FNF and LPS, respectively.

The combined company will have substantial indebtedness following the merger and the credit ratings of the combined company or its subsidiaries may be different from what FNF and LPS currently expect.

FNF expects to incur indebtedness in order to provide funds to pay a portion of the cash portion of the merger consideration and other costs and expenses incurred in connection with the merger. In addition, FNF will assume LPS' indebtedness upon consummation of the merger. As a result, following completion of the merger, the combined company will have substantial indebtedness and the credit ratings of the combined company or its subsidiaries may be different from what LPS and FNF currently expect.

Table of Contents

This substantial indebtedness may adversely affect the business, financial condition and operating results of the combined company, including:

making it more difficult for the combined company to satisfy its debt service obligations;

requiring a substantial portion of cash flows from operations for debt service payments, thereby reducing the availability of FNF's cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions, and other general corporate purposes;

limiting the ability of the combined company to obtain additional financing to fund its working capital requirements, capital expenditures, acquisitions, investments, debt service obligations and other general operating requirements;

limiting FNF's flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in its business and, consequently, negatively affecting FNF's competitive position;

restricting the combined company from making strategic acquisitions or taking advantage of favorable business opportunities;

negatively impacting FNF's existing credit ratings, including resulting in a downgrade or negative outlook;

placing the combined company at a relative competitive disadvantage compared to competitors that have less debt;

limiting flexibility to plan for, or react to, changes in the businesses and industries in which the combined company operates, which may adversely affect the combined company's operating results and ability to meet its debt service obligations;

increasing the vulnerability of the combined company to adverse general economic and industry conditions; and

limiting the ability of the combined company to refinance its indebtedness or increasing the cost of such indebtedness.

In addition, covenants in the debt instruments governing this indebtedness may limit how the combined company conducts its business following the merger. If the combined company incurs additional indebtedness following the merger, the risks related to the substantial indebtedness of the combined company may intensify.

The internal financial forecasts for LPS and FNF reflect management estimates and the unaudited pro forma financial data for FNF included in this document are preliminary, and LPS and FNF's actual performance may differ materially from the internal financial forecasts included in this document and FNF's actual financial position and operations after the merger may differ materially from the unaudited pro forma financial data included in this document.

The internal financial forecasts for LPS and FNF and the unaudited pro forma financial data for FNF included in this document are presented for illustrative purposes only and are not necessarily indicative of what LPS or FNF's actual performance may be or what FNF's actual financial position or operations would have been had the merger been completed on the dates indicated. LPS and FNF's actual performance may differ materially from the internal financial forecasts. FNF's actual results and financial position after the merger may differ materially and adversely from the unaudited pro forma financial data included in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. For more information, see the sections titled

Summary Selected Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information of LPS and FNF beginning on page 31, *Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information* beginning on page 175, *The Merger Certain LPS Financial Projections* beginning on page 77 and *The Merger Certain FNF Financial Projections* beginning on page 113.

Table of Contents

FNF's future results will suffer if the combined company does not effectively manage its expanded operations following the merger.

Following the merger, the size of the business of the combined company will increase significantly beyond the current size of either FNF's or LPS's current businesses. FNF's future success depends, in part, upon its ability to manage this expanded business, which may pose substantial challenges for management, including challenges related to the management and monitoring of new operations, including new international operations, and associated increased costs and complexity. There can be no assurances that FNF will be successful or that it will realize the expected operating efficiencies, cost savings, revenue enhancements and other benefits currently anticipated from the merger.

FNF is expected to incur substantial expenses related to the merger and the integration of LPS's business.

FNF is expected to incur substantial expenses in connection with the merger and the integration of LPS's business. There are a large number of processes, policies, procedures, operations, technologies and systems that must be integrated, including purchasing, accounting and finance, sales, payroll, pricing, revenue management, marketing and benefits. While FNF has assumed that a certain level of expenses would be incurred, there are many factors beyond its control that could affect the total amount or the timing of the integration expenses. Moreover, many of the expenses that would be incurred are, by their nature, difficult to estimate accurately. These expenses could, particularly in the near term, exceed the savings that FNF expects to achieve from the elimination of duplicative expenses and the realization of economies of scale and cost savings. These integration expenses likely will result in FNF taking significant charges against earnings following the completion of the merger, and the amount and timing of such charges are uncertain at present.

LPS is currently subject to, and after the transaction closes will likely continue to be subject to, pending and threatened litigation and regulatory actions relating to its default procedures, and if judgments were to be rendered against LPS in such litigation and regulatory actions, such judgments could adversely affect the combined company's financial condition and operating results following the merger.

LPS is involved in various pending and threatened litigation related to its default procedures, some of which include claims for punitive or exemplary damages. In addition, LPS has incurred substantial costs associated with its recent settlement of a number of inquiries made by governmental agencies and claims made by civil litigants concerning various past business practices in its default procedures, and LPS continues to litigate a complaint filed by the State of Nevada with respect to these matters. Specifically, in April 2011, following a review by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Office of Thrift Supervision (collectively referred to as the "banking agencies"), LPS entered into a consent order with the banking agencies pursuant to which LPS agreed, among other things, to engage an independent third party to conduct a risk assessment and review of its default management businesses and the document execution services LPS provided to servicers from January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2010. While LPS intends to vigorously defend all litigation and regulatory matters that are brought against it, there can be no assurance that LPS will not incur additional costs and expenses, including but not limited to fines or penalties and legal costs, or be subject to other remedies, in connection with the consent order or otherwise as a result of regulatory, legislative or administrative investigations or actions relating to civil litigation, which could materially adversely affect the financial condition and operating results of the combined company following the merger.

Other Risk Factors of LPS and FNF

FNF's and LPS's businesses are and will be subject to the risks described above. In addition, LPS and FNF are, and will continue to be, subject to the risks described in FNF's and LPS's Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, as updated by subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, all of which are filed with the SEC and incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See *Where You Can Find More Information* beginning on page 213 for the location of information incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Table of Contents

THE COMPANIES

Lender Processing Services, Inc.

Lender Processing Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation, delivers comprehensive technology solutions and services, as well as powerful data and analytics, to the nation's top mortgage lenders, servicers and investors. As a proven and trusted partner with deep client relationships, LPS provides major U.S. banks and many federal government agencies with the technology and data needed to support mortgage lending and servicing operations, meet regulatory and compliance requirements and mitigate risk. These integrated solutions support origination, servicing, portfolio retention and default servicing. LPS' servicing solutions include MSP, a leading loan servicing platform, which is used to service approximately 50% of all U.S. mortgages by dollar volume. LPS also provides proprietary data and analytics for the mortgage, real estate and capital markets industries. LPS is a Fortune 1000 company headquartered in Jacksonville, Florida, and employs approximately 7,200 professionals.

LPS' common stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol LPS.

The principal executive offices of LPS are located at 601 Riverside Avenue Jacksonville, Florida 32204, and its telephone number is (904) 854-5100. Additional information about LPS and its subsidiaries is included in documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See *Where You Can Find More Information* beginning on page 213.

Fidelity National Financial, Inc.

Fidelity National Financial, Inc., a Delaware corporation, is a leading provider of title insurance, mortgage services and diversified services. FNF is the nation's largest title insurance company through its title insurance underwriters Fidelity National Title, Chicago Title, Commonwealth Land Title and Alamo Title that collectively issue more title insurance policies than any other title company in the United States. FNF owns a 55% stake in ABRH, a family and casual dining restaurant owner and operator of the O'Charley's, Ninety Nine Restaurant, Max & Erma's, Village Inn, and Bakers Square concepts. ABRH also franchises O'Charley's Max and Erma's and Village Inn concepts. FNF also owns an 87% stake in J. Alexander's, LLC, an upscale dining restaurant owner and operator of the J. Alexander's and Stoney River Legendary Steaks concepts. In addition, FNF also owns a 51% stake in Remy International, Inc., a leading designer, manufacturer, remanufacturer, marketer and distributor of aftermarket and original equipment electrical components for automobiles, light trucks, heavy-duty trucks and other vehicles. FNF also owns a minority interest in Ceridian Corporation, a leading provider of global human capital management and payment solutions.

FNF's common stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol FNF.

The principal executive offices of FNF are located at 601 Riverside Avenue Jacksonville, Florida 32204 and its telephone number is (904) 854-8100. Additional information about FNF and its subsidiaries is included in documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See *Where You Can Find More Information* beginning on page 213.

Lion Merger Sub, Inc.

Lion Merger Sub, Inc., a subsidiary of FNF, is a Delaware corporation that was formed on May 24, 2013 for the sole purpose of effecting the merger. In the merger, Lion Merger Sub, Inc. will be merged with and into LPS, with LPS continuing as the surviving corporation and a subsidiary of FNF.

Table of Contents

THE LPS SPECIAL MEETING

General

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is being provided to the stockholders of LPS as part of a solicitation of proxies by LPS board of directors for use at LPS special meeting to be held at the time and place specified below, and at any properly convened meeting following an adjournment or postponement thereof. This joint proxy statement/prospectus provides stockholders of LPS with the information they need to know to be able to vote or instruct their vote to be cast at LPS special meeting.

Date, Time and Place

The LPS special meeting will be held at [], on [], at [], local time.

Purpose of the LPS Special Meeting

At the LPS special meeting, LPS stockholders will be asked to consider and vote on:

the merger proposal;

the compensation proposal; and

the LPS adjournment proposal.

Recommendation of the LPS Board of Directors

After careful consideration, the LPS board of directors (other than Mr. James Hunt, who recused himself from the meeting) has unanimously approved the merger agreement, declared it advisable and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders that LPS enter into the merger agreement and consummate the merger and all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and determined that the merger and the terms thereof, together with all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, are fair to, and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders. **The LPS board of directors accordingly unanimously (with Mr. Hunt abstaining) recommends that LPS stockholders vote FOR each of the merger proposal, the compensation proposal and the LPS adjournment proposal.**

Record Date; Stockholders Entitled to Vote

The LPS board of directors has fixed the close of business on [] as the record date (referred to as the LPS record date) for determination of LPS stockholders entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the LPS special meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof. Only holders of record of issued and outstanding LPS common stock at the close of business on the LPS record date are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the LPS special meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

At the close of business on the LPS record date, there were [] shares of LPS common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the LPS special meeting. LPS stockholders are entitled to one vote for each share of LPS common stock they owned as of the close of business on the LPS record date. A list of stockholders of LPS will be available for review for any purpose germane to the special meeting at LPS executive offices and principal place of business at 601 Riverside Avenue in Jacksonville, Florida 32204, during regular business hours for a period of ten days before the LPS special meeting. The list will also be available at the LPS special meeting for examination by any stockholder of record present at the special meeting.

Voting by LPS Directors and Executive Officers

At the close of business on the LPS record date, directors and executive officers of LPS and their affiliates were entitled to vote approximately [] shares of LPS common stock, or approximately []% of the shares of LPS common stock outstanding on that date. We currently expect that LPS directors and executive officers will vote their shares in favor of each of the proposals to be considered at the LPS special meeting,

although none of them has entered into any agreement obligating them to do so.

Table of Contents

Quorum

Stockholders who hold shares representing at least a majority of the issued and outstanding shares entitled to vote at the LPS special meeting must be present in person or represented by proxy to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the LPS special meeting. The holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote and present in person or represented by proxy at the LPS special meeting, whether or not a quorum is present, may adjourn the LPS special meeting to another time and place. At any adjourned meeting at which a quorum shall be present, any business may be transacted that might have been transacted at the original meeting. Notice of any adjourned meeting need not be given except by announcement at the meeting.

Abstentions will, but broker non-votes will not, be included in the calculation of the number of shares of LPS common stock represented at the special meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum has been achieved. However, shares of LPS common stock held in treasury will not be included in the calculation of the number of shares of LPS common stock represented at the special meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.

Required Vote

Approval of the merger proposal requires the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of LPS common stock entitled to vote on the proposal. The compensation proposal and LPS adjournment proposal each require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of LPS common stock present in person or represented by proxy at the LPS special meeting and entitled to vote at the meeting.

Failure to Vote, Broker Non-Votes and Abstentions

In accordance with the rules of the NYSE, brokers, banks, trust companies and other nominees who hold shares of LPS common stock in street name for their customers but do not have discretionary authority to vote the shares may not exercise their voting discretion with respect to the merger proposal. Accordingly, if brokers, banks, trust companies or other nominees do not receive specific voting instructions from the beneficial owner of such shares, they may not vote such shares with respect to the merger proposal.

If you fail to vote, fail to instruct your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee to vote, or mark your proxy or voting instructions to abstain, it will have the effect of a vote AGAINST the merger proposal.

If you fail to instruct your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee to vote or fail to vote, it will have no effect on the compensation proposal or the LPS adjournment proposal, assuming a quorum is present. If you mark your proxy or voting instructions to abstain, it will have the effect of a vote AGAINST the compensation proposal and LPS adjournment proposal.

Voting at the Special Meeting

Whether or not you plan to attend LPS special meeting, please vote your shares. If you are a registered or record holder, which means your shares are registered in your name with Computershare Investor Services, LPS transfer agent and registrar, you may vote in person at the special meeting or by proxy. If your shares are held in street name, which means your shares are held of record in an account with a broker, bank, trust company or other nominee, you must follow the instructions from your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee in order to vote.

Voting in Person

If you plan to attend LPS special meeting and wish to vote in person, you will be given a ballot at the special meeting. Please note, however, that if your shares are held in street name, and you wish to vote at the

Table of Contents

special meeting, you must bring to the special meeting a legal proxy executed in your favor from the record holder (your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee) of the shares authorizing you to vote at the special meeting.

In addition, if you are a registered stockholder, please be prepared to provide proper identification, such as a driver's license or passport. If you hold your shares in street name, you will need to provide proof of ownership, such as a recent account statement or letter from your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee proving ownership on the LPS record date, along with proper identification. Stockholders will not be allowed to use cameras, recording devices and other similar electronic devices at the meeting.

Voting of Proxies by Holders of Record

If you are a registered stockholder, a proxy card is enclosed for your use. LPS requests that you submit a proxy promptly by following the instructions on the enclosed proxy card to submit a proxy via internet, by telephone or by mail. When the accompanying proxy card is properly executed and submitted, the shares of LPS common stock represented by it will be voted at the LPS special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof in accordance with the instructions contained in the proxy card.

If a signed proxy card is returned without an indication as to how the shares of LPS common stock represented are to be voted with regard to a particular proposal, the LPS common stock represented by the proxy will be voted in accordance with the recommendation of the LPS board of directors and, therefore, FOR each of the proposals to be considered at the LPS special meeting. As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, LPS management has no knowledge of any business that will be presented for consideration at the special meeting and which would be required to be set forth in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or the related proxy card other than the matters set forth in LPS Notice of Special Meeting of Stockholders. If any other matter is properly presented at the special meeting for consideration, it is intended that the persons named in the enclosed form of proxy and acting thereunder will vote in accordance with their best judgment on such matter.

Your vote is important. Accordingly, please submit your proxy promptly using the enclosed proxy card to submit a proxy by telephone, by internet or by mail, whether or not you plan to attend the LPS special meeting in person.

Shares Held in Street Name

If you hold your shares in street name, you may provide voting instructions by mail by completing, signing and returning the voting instruction form provided by your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee. In addition to providing voting instructions by mail, a number of brokers, banks and trust companies participate in a program that also permits street name stockholders to direct their voting instructions by telephone or over the internet. If your shares are held in an account with a brokerage firm or bank that participates in such a program, you may provide voting instructions for those shares telephonically by calling the telephone number shown on the voting instruction form received from your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee, or by accessing the internet as described on the voting instruction form. Voting instructions directed by telephone or over the internet through such a program must be received by [], on [], 2013. Please follow the voting instructions provided by your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee. Please note that you may not vote shares held in street name by returning a proxy card directly to LPS or by voting in person at the LPS special meeting unless you have a legal proxy executed in your favor from your bank, broker, trust company or other nominee. Further, brokers who hold shares of LPS common stock on behalf of their customers may not give a proxy to LPS to vote those shares without specific instructions from their customers.

Shares Held in the LPS 401(k) Plan

If you are an employee who holds interests in LPS common stock through the LPS 401(k) Plan, a proxy card is enclosed for your use. LPS requests that you submit a proxy promptly by following the instructions on the

Table of Contents

enclosed proxy card to vote via internet, by telephone or by mail. When the proxy is properly submitted, the shares of LPS common stock represented by it will be voted at the LPS special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof in accordance with the instructions contained in the proxy.

Shares held in the LPS 401(k) Plan for which no voting instructions are received will be voted proportionately in the same manner as those shares held in the LPS 401(k) Plan for which timely and valid voting instructions are received. Shares held in the LPS 401(k) Plan for which timely and valid voting instructions are not received will be considered to have been designated to be voted by the trustee proportionately in the same manner as those shares held in the LPS 401(k) Plan for which timely and valid voting instructions are received.

The deadline for voting shares of LPS common stock held in the LPS 401(k) Plan electronically through the Internet or by telephone is [], local time, on [], 2013.

How Proxies are Counted

All shares represented by properly executed proxies received in time for the LPS special meeting will be voted at the meeting in the manner specified by the stockholders giving those proxies. Properly executed proxies that do not contain voting instructions will be voted FOR each of the proposals to be considered at the LPS special meeting.

Revocation of Proxies

If you are the record holder of stock, you can change your voting instructions or revoke your proxy at any time before your proxy is voted at the LPS special meeting. You can do this in one of four ways:

by submitting a later-dated proxy by internet or telephone before the deadline stated on the enclosed proxy card;

by submitting a later-dated proxy card;

by sending a signed, written notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary of LPS, which must be received before the time of the LPS special meeting; or

by voting in person at the LPS special meeting.

A stockholder of record may revoke a proxy by any of these methods, regardless of the method used to deliver the stockholder's previous proxy.

Written notices of revocation and other communications with respect to the revocation of proxies should be addressed as follows:

Lender Processing Services, Inc.

601 Riverside Avenue

Jacksonville, Florida 32204

Attention: Corporate Secretary

Please note that if your shares are held in street name through a broker, bank, trust company or other nominee, you may change your voting instructions by submitting new voting instructions to your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee in accordance with its established procedures. If your shares are held in the name of a broker, bank, trust company or other nominee and you decide to change your vote by attending the special meeting and voting in person, your vote in person at the special meeting will not be effective unless you have obtained and present a legal proxy executed in your favor from the record holder (your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee).

Table of Contents

Tabulation of Votes

LPS has appointed one or more representatives of [] to serve as the inspector of election for the LPS special meeting. The inspector of election will, among other matters, determine the number of shares represented at the LPS special meeting to confirm the existence of a quorum, determine the validity of all proxies and ballots and certify the results of voting on all proposals submitted to the stockholders.

Solicitation of Proxies

LPS is soliciting proxies for the LPS special meeting and, in accordance with the merger agreement, the cost of proxy solicitation will be borne by LPS. In addition to solicitation by use of mails, proxies may be solicited by LPS directors, officers and employees, some of whom may be considered a participant in this solicitation, in person or by telephone or other means of communication. These individuals will not be additionally compensated. Arrangements will also be made with custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for forwarding of proxy solicitation material to beneficial owners of LPS common stock, and LPS may reimburse these individuals for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. To help assure the presence in person or by proxy of the largest number of LPS stockholders possible, LPS has engaged [] (referred to as []), a proxy solicitation firm, to solicit proxies on LPS behalf. LPS has agreed to pay [] a proxy solicitation fee not to exceed \$[]. LPS will also reimburse [] for its reasonable out-of-pocket costs and expenses incurred in forwarding the proxy materials.

Adjournments

If a quorum is not present or represented, the stockholders entitled to vote at the LPS special meeting, present in person or represented by proxy, shall have power to adjourn the meeting from time to time, without notice other than announcement at the meeting, until a quorum is present or represented. If a quorum is present at the special meeting but there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the merger proposal, then LPS stockholders may be asked to vote on the LPS adjournment proposal. No notice of an adjourned meeting need be given unless the adjournment is for more than 30 days or, if after the adjournment, a new record date is fixed for the adjourned meeting, in which case a notice of the adjourned meeting will be given to each stockholder of record entitled to vote at the meeting. At any subsequent reconvening of the special meeting at which a quorum is present, any business may be transacted that might have been transacted at the original meeting and all proxies will be voted in the same manner as they would have been voted at the original convening of the special meeting, except for any proxies that have been effectively revoked or withdrawn prior to the time the proxy is voted at the reconvened meeting.

Assistance

If you need assistance in completing your proxy card or have questions regarding LPS special meeting, please contact [] at [], email at: [], call collect: [], or call toll-free: [].

Proposal No. 1 Adoption of the Merger Agreement

(Item 1 on the LPS proxy card)

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is being furnished to you as a stockholder of LPS as part of the solicitation of proxies by LPS board of directors for use at the LPS special meeting to consider and vote upon a proposal to adopt the merger agreement (which is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus).

The merger between Merger Sub and LPS cannot be completed without the approval of the merger proposal by the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of LPS common stock entitled to vote on the proposal. If you do not vote, the effect will be the same as a vote AGAINST the merger proposal.

Table of Contents

LPS urges you to read this entire joint proxy statement/prospectus carefully, including the merger agreement and other annexes and any documents incorporated by reference into this document. For a list of documents incorporated by reference into this document and information on how to obtain them, see the section entitled *Where You Can Find More Information* beginning on page 213.

The LPS board of directors (other than Mr. James Hunt, who recused himself from the meeting) has unanimously approved the merger agreement, declared it advisable and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders that LPS enter into the merger agreement and consummate the merger and all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and determined that the merger and the terms thereof, together with all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, are fair to, and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders.

The LPS board of directors accordingly unanimously (with Mr. Hunt abstaining) recommends that LPS stockholders vote FOR the proposal to adopt the merger agreement.

Proposal No. 2 Advisory (Non-Binding) Vote on Compensation

(Item 2 on the LPS proxy card)

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, enacted in July 2010, requires that LPS provide stockholders with the opportunity to cast a non-binding, advisory vote on the compensation that would be payable to LPS named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions, as disclosed in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including the disclosures set forth in *The Merger Interests of LPS Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger* beginning on page 100. This vote is commonly referred to as a golden parachute say on pay vote. This non-binding, advisory proposal relates only to already existing contractual obligations of LPS that may result in a payment to LPS named executive officers in connection with, or following, the consummation of the proposed transactions and does not relate to any new compensation or other arrangements between LPS named executive officers and FNF or, following the consummation of the proposed transactions, FNF, LPS and their respective affiliates. Further, it does not relate to any compensation arrangement with its directors or executive officers who are not named executive officers.

As an advisory vote, this proposal is not binding upon LPS or the board of directors of LPS, and approval of this proposal is not a condition to completion of the proposed transactions. The vote on executive compensation payable in connection with the proposed transactions is a vote separate and apart from the vote to approve the proposed transactions. Accordingly, you may vote to approve the proposed transactions and vote not to approve the executive compensation and vice versa. Because the vote is advisory in nature only, it will not be binding on LPS. Accordingly, to the extent that LPS is contractually obligated to pay the compensation, such compensation will be payable, subject only to the conditions applicable thereto, if the proposed transactions are consummated and regardless of the outcome of the advisory vote. The change of control payments are a part of LPS comprehensive executive compensation program and are intended to align LPS named executive officers interests with yours as stockholders by ensuring their continued retention and commitment during critical events such as the proposed transactions, which may create significant personal uncertainty for them.

Accordingly, LPS asks you to vote on the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the compensation that may be paid or become payable to LPS named executive officers in connection with, or following, the merger, as disclosed in the table entitled *Potential Change of Control Payments to Named Executive Officers and Other Executive Officers* beginning on page 109, and as further described in the associated narrative discussion, and the agreements or understandings pursuant to which such compensation may be paid or become payable, are hereby APPROVED.

Table of Contents

The LPS board of directors recommends a vote FOR the approval on an advisory (non-binding) basis of the compensation that may become payable to LPS named executive officers in connection with the completion of the merger, as disclosed in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Proposal No. 3 Possible Adjournment to Solicit Additional Proxies, if Necessary

(Item 3 on the LPS proxy card)

The LPS special meeting may be adjourned to another time and place to permit further solicitation of proxies, if necessary, to obtain additional votes to approve the merger proposal. LPS currently does not intend to propose adjournment of the LPS special meeting if there are sufficient votes to approve the merger proposal.

LPS is asking you to authorize the holder of any proxy solicited by LPS board of directors to vote in favor of any adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies if there are not sufficient votes to approve the merger proposal at the time of the LPS special meeting.

The LPS board of directors recommends that you vote FOR any adjournment of the LPS special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies if there are not sufficient votes to approve the merger proposal.

Table of Contents

THE FNF SPECIAL MEETING

General

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is being provided to the stockholders of FNF as part of a solicitation of proxies by FNF's board of directors for use at FNF's special meeting to be held at the time and place specified below, and at any properly convened meeting following an adjournment or postponement thereof. This joint proxy statement/prospectus provides stockholders of FNF with the information they need to know to be able to vote or instruct their vote to be cast at FNF's special meeting.

Date, Time and Place

The FNF special meeting will be held at [], on [], at [], local time.

Purpose of the FNF Special Meeting

At the FNF special meeting, FNF stockholders will be asked to consider and vote on:

the FNF stock issuance proposal; and

the FNF adjournment proposal.

Recommendation of the FNF Board of Directors

After careful consideration, the FNF board of directors (other than Messrs. Thomas Hagerty and Cary Thompson, who abstained from voting at the meeting) has unanimously approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby and declared that the FNF stock issuance as contemplated by the merger agreement is in the best interests of FNF and its stockholders. The FNF board of directors unanimously (with Messrs. Hagerty and Thompson abstaining) recommends that FNF stockholders vote FOR each of the FNF stock issuance proposal and the FNF adjournment proposal.

Record Date; Stockholders Entitled to Vote

The FNF board of directors has fixed the close of business on [] as the record date (referred to as the FNF record date) for determination of FNF stockholders entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the FNF special meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof. Only holders of record of issued and outstanding FNF common stock at the close of business on the FNF record date are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the FNF special meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

At the close of business on the FNF record date, there were [] shares of FNF common stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote at the FNF special meeting. FNF stockholders are entitled to one vote for each share of FNF common stock they owned as of the close of business on the FNF record date. A list of stockholders of FNF will be available for review for any purpose germane to the special meeting at FNF's executive offices and principal place of business at 601 Riverside Avenue in Jacksonville, Florida 32204, during regular business hours for a period of ten days before the FNF special meeting. The list will also be available at the FNF special meeting for examination by any stockholder of record present at the special meeting.

Voting by FNF's Directors and Executive Officers

At the close of business on the FNF record date, directors and executive officers of FNF and their affiliates were entitled to vote approximately [] shares of FNF common stock, or approximately []% of the shares of FNF common stock outstanding on that date. We currently expect that FNF's directors and executive officers will vote their shares in favor of each of the proposals to be considered at the FNF special meeting, although none of them has entered into any agreement obligating them to do so.

Table of Contents

Quorum

Stockholders who hold shares representing at least a majority of the issued and outstanding shares entitled to vote at the FNF special meeting must be present in person or represented by proxy to constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the FNF special meeting. The holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote and present in person or represented by proxy at the FNF special meeting, whether or not a quorum is present, may adjourn the FNF special meeting to another time and place. At any adjourned meeting at which a quorum shall be present, any business may be transacted that might have been transacted at the original meeting. Notice of any adjourned meeting need not be given except by announcement at the meeting.

Abstentions will, but broker non-votes will not, be included in the calculation of the number of shares of FNF common stock represented at the special meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum has been achieved. However, shares of FNF common stock held in treasury will not be included in the calculation of the number of shares of FNF common stock represented at the special meeting for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.

Required Vote

The FNF stock issuance proposal and the FNF adjournment proposal each require the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of FNF common stock present in person or represented by proxy at the FNF special meeting and entitled to vote on such proposal.

Failure to Vote, Broker Non-Votes and Abstentions

In accordance with the rules of the NYSE, brokers, banks, trust companies and other nominees who hold shares of FNF common stock in street name for their customers but do not have discretionary authority to vote the shares may not exercise their voting discretion with respect to the FNF stock issuance proposal. Accordingly, if brokers, banks, trust companies or other nominees do not receive specific voting instructions from the beneficial owner of such shares, they may not vote such shares with respect to the FNF stock issuance proposal.

If you fail to vote or fail to instruct your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee to vote, it will have no effect on the FNF stock issuance proposal or the FNF adjournment proposal, assuming a quorum is present.

If you mark your proxy or voting instructions to abstain, it will have the effect of a vote AGAINST the FNF stock issuance proposal and the FNF adjournment proposal.

Voting at the Special Meeting

Whether or not you plan to attend FNF's special meeting, please vote your shares. If you are a registered or record holder, which means your shares are registered in your name with Continental Stock Transfer & Trust Company, FNF's transfer agent and registrar, you may vote in person at the special meeting or by proxy. If your shares are held in street name, which means your shares are held of record in an account with a broker, bank, trust company or other nominee, you must follow the instructions from your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee in order to vote.

Voting in Person

If you plan to attend FNF's special meeting and wish to vote in person, you will be given a ballot at the special meeting. Please note, however, that if your shares are held in street name, and you wish to vote at the special meeting, you must bring to the special meeting a legal proxy executed in your favor from the record holder (your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee) of the shares authorizing you to vote at the special meeting.

Table of Contents

If you are a registered stockholder, please be prepared to provide proper identification, such as a driver's license or passport. If you hold your shares in street name, you will need to provide proof of ownership, such as a recent account statement or letter from your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee proving ownership on the FNF record date, along with proper identification. Stockholders will not be allowed to use cameras, recording devices and other similar electronic devices at the meeting.

Voting of Proxies by Holders of Record

If you are a registered stockholder, a proxy card is enclosed for your use. FNF requests that you submit a proxy promptly by following the instructions on the enclosed proxy card to submit a proxy via internet, by telephone or by mail. When the accompanying proxy card is properly executed and submitted, the shares of FNF common stock represented by it will be voted at the FNF special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof in accordance with the instructions contained in the proxy card.

If a signed proxy card is returned without an indication as to how the shares of FNF common stock represented are to be voted with regard to a particular proposal, the FNF common stock represented by the proxy will be voted in accordance with the recommendation of the FNF board of directors and, therefore, FOR each of the proposals to be considered at the FNF special meeting. As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, FNF management has no knowledge of any business that will be presented for consideration at the special meeting and which would be required to be set forth in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or the related proxy card other than the matters set forth in FNF's Notice of Special Meeting of Stockholders. If any other matter is properly presented at the special meeting for consideration, it is intended that the persons named in the enclosed form of proxy and acting thereunder will vote in accordance with their best judgment on such matter.

Your vote is important. Accordingly, please submit your proxy promptly using the enclosed proxy card to submit a proxy by telephone, by internet or by mail, whether or not you plan to attend the FNF special meeting in person.

Shares Held in Street Name

If you hold your shares in street name, you may provide voting instructions by mail by completing, signing and returning the voting instruction form provided by your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee. In addition to providing voting instructions by mail, a number of brokerage firms and banks participate in a program that also permits street name stockholders to direct their voting instructions by telephone or over the internet. If your shares are held in an account with a brokerage firm or bank that participates in such a program, you may provide voting instructions for those shares telephonically by calling the telephone number shown on the voting instruction form received from your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee, or by accessing the internet as described on the voting instruction form. Voting instructions provided by telephone or over the internet through such a program must be received by [], on [], 2013. Please follow the voting instructions provided by your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee. Please note that you may not vote shares held in street name by returning a proxy card directly to FNF or by voting in person at the FNF special meeting unless you have a legal proxy executed in your favor from your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee. Further, brokers who hold shares of FNF common stock on behalf of their customers may not give a proxy to FNF to vote those shares without specific instructions from their customers.

Shares Held in the FNF 401(k) Plan

If you are an employee who holds interests in FNF common stock through the FNF 401(k) Plan, a proxy card is enclosed for your use. FNF requests that you submit a proxy promptly by following the instructions on the enclosed proxy card to vote via internet, by telephone or by mail. When the proxy is properly submitted, the shares of FNF common stock represented by it will be voted at the FNF special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof in accordance with the instructions contained in the proxy.

Table of Contents

Shares held in the FNF 401(k) Plan for which no voting instructions are received will be voted proportionately in the same manner as those shares held in the FNF 401(k) Plan for which timely and valid voting instructions are received. Shares held in the FNF 401(k) Plan for which timely and valid voting instructions are not received will be considered to have been designated to be voted by the trustee proportionately in the same manner as those shares held in the FNF 401(k) Plan for which timely and valid voting instructions are received.

The deadline for voting shares of FNF common stock held in the FNF 401(k) Plan electronically through the Internet or by telephone is [], local time, on [], 2013.

How Proxies are Counted

All shares represented by properly executed proxies received in time for the FNF special meeting will be voted at the meeting in the manner specified by the stockholders giving those proxies. Properly executed proxies that do not contain voting instructions will be voted FOR each of the proposals to be considered at the FNF special meeting.

Revocation of Proxies

If you are the record holder of stock, you can change your voting instructions or revoke your proxy at any time before your proxy is voted at the FNF special meeting. You can do this in one of four ways:

by submitting a later-dated proxy by internet or telephone before the deadline stated on the enclosed proxy card;

by submitting a later-dated proxy card;

by sending a signed, written notice of revocation to the Corporate Secretary of FNF, which must be received before the time of the FNF special meeting; or

by voting in person at the FNF special meeting.

A stockholder of record may revoke a proxy by any of these methods, regardless of the method used to deliver the stockholder's previous proxy.

Written notices of revocation and other communications with respect to the revocation of proxies should be addressed as follows:

Fidelity National Financial, Inc.

601 Riverside Avenue

Jacksonville, Florida 32204

Attention: Corporate Secretary

Please note that if your shares are held in street name through a broker, bank, trust company or other nominee, you may change your voting instructions by submitting new voting instructions to your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee in accordance with its established procedures. If your shares are held in the name of a broker, bank, trust company or other nominee and you decide to change your vote by attending the special meeting and voting in person, your vote in person at the special meeting will not be effective unless you have obtained and present legal proxy executed in your favor from the record holder (your broker, bank, trust company or other nominee).

Table of Contents

Tabulation of Votes

FNF has appointed one or more representatives of [] to serve as the inspector of election for the FNF special meeting. The inspector of election will, among other matters, determine the number of shares represented at the FNF special meeting to confirm the existence of a quorum, determine the validity of all proxies and ballots and certify the results of voting on all proposals submitted to the stockholders.

Solicitation of Proxies

FNF is soliciting proxies for the FNF special meeting and, in accordance with the merger agreement, the cost of proxy solicitation will be borne by FNF. In addition to solicitation by use of mails, proxies may be solicited by FNF directors, officers and employees, some of whom may be considered a participant in this solicitation, in person or by telephone or other means of communication. These individuals will not be additionally compensated. Arrangements will also be made with custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for forwarding of proxy solicitation material to beneficial owners of FNF common stock, and FNF may reimburse these individuals for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses. To help assure the presence in person or by proxy of the largest number of FNF stockholders possible, FNF has engaged [] (referred to as []), a proxy solicitation firm, to solicit proxies on FNF's behalf. FNF has agreed to pay [] a proxy solicitation fee not to exceed \$[]. FNF will also reimburse [] for its reasonable out-of-pocket costs and expenses incurred in forwarding the proxy materials.

Adjournments

If a quorum is not present or represented, the stockholders entitled to vote at the FNF special meeting, present in person or represented by proxy, shall have power to adjourn the meeting from time to time, without notice other than announcement at the meeting, until a quorum is present or represented. If a quorum is present at the special meeting but there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the merger proposal, then FNF stockholders may be asked to vote on the FNF adjournment proposal. No notice of an adjourned meeting need be given unless the adjournment is for more than 30 days or, if after the adjournment, a new record date is fixed for the adjourned meeting, in which case a notice of the adjourned meeting will be given to each stockholder of record entitled to vote at the meeting. At any subsequent reconvening of the special meeting at which a quorum is present, any business may be transacted that might have been transacted at the original meeting and all proxies will be voted in the same manner as they would have been voted at the original convening of the special meeting, except for any proxies that have been effectively revoked or withdrawn prior to the time the proxy is voted at the reconvened meeting.

Assistance

If you need assistance in completing your proxy card or have questions regarding FNF's special meeting, please contact [] at [], email at: [], call collect: [], or call toll-free: [].

FNF Proposal 1 FNF Stock Issuance

(Item 1 on the FNF proxy card)

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is being furnished to you as a stockholder of FNF as part of the solicitation of proxies by FNF's board of directors for use at the FNF special meeting to consider and vote upon the FNF stock issuance proposal. For a summary and detailed information regarding this proposal, see the information about the issuance of FNF common stock in connection with the merger contained throughout this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including the information set forth in the sections entitled *The Merger* beginning on page 57 and *The Merger Agreement* beginning on page 145.

A copy of the merger agreement is attached to this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex A.

Table of Contents

Under the merger agreement, approval of this proposal is a condition to the completion of the merger. If the proposal is not approved, the merger will not be completed even if the other proposals related to the merger are approved.

Under the rules of the NYSE, FNF is required to obtain stockholder approval for the FNF stock issuance if the number of shares of FNF common stock to be issued are or will be upon such issuance equal to 20% or more of the outstanding shares of FNF common stock before such issuance.

FNF's board of directors recommends a vote FOR the approval of the FNF stock issuance pursuant to the merger agreement.

FNF Proposal 2 Possible Adjournment to Solicit Additional Proxies, if Necessary

(Item 2 on the FNF proxy card)

The FNF special meeting may be adjourned to another time and place to permit further solicitation of proxies, if necessary, to obtain additional votes in favor of the FNF stock issuance. FNF currently does not intend to propose adjournment of the FNF special meeting if there are sufficient votes to approve the FNF stock issuance proposal.

FNF is asking you to authorize the holder of any proxy solicited by FNF's board of directors to vote in favor of any adjournment of the special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies if there are not sufficient votes to approve the FNF stock issuance proposal at the time of the FNF special meeting.

The FNF board of directors recommends that you vote FOR any adjournment of the FNF special meeting, if necessary, to solicit additional proxies if there are not sufficient votes to approve the FNF stock issuance proposal.

Table of Contents

THE MERGER

Effects of the Merger

At the effective time of the merger, Merger Sub, a subsidiary of FNF that was formed for the purpose of effecting the merger, will merge with and into LPS, with LPS surviving the merger as a subsidiary of FNF.

In the merger, each outstanding share of LPS common stock (other than (i) shares owned by LPS, its subsidiaries, FNF or Merger Sub and (ii) shares in respect of which appraisal rights have been properly exercised and perfected under Delaware law) will be converted into the right to receive a certain number of shares of FNF common stock equal to the exchange ratio further discussed below and \$22.303 in cash, without interest, for each share of LPS common stock that they own. If the average of the volume weighted averages of the trading prices of FNF common stock during the ten trading day period ending on (and including) the third trading day prior to the closing of the merger (referred to as the average FNF stock price) is greater than \$26.763, then the exchange ratio will be an amount equal to the quotient of (a) (x) the product of (1) 0.65224 multiplied by (2) the average FNF stock price minus (y) \$5.678 divided by (b) the average FNF stock price. If the average FNF stock price is between \$24.215 and \$26.763, then the exchange ratio will be fixed at 0.42948. If the average FNF stock price is between \$20.000 and \$24.215, then the exchange ratio will adjust so that the value of the stock portion of the merger consideration is fixed (based on the average FNF stock price) at \$10.400 per share of LPS common stock. If the average FNF stock price is less than \$20.000, then the exchange ratio will be fixed at 0.52000. Based on the closing price of FNF common stock on the NYSE on August 28, 2013, the latest practicable trading day before the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the merger consideration represented approximately \$32.70 in value for each share of LPS common stock (assuming an exchange ratio of 0.43261). We urge you to obtain current market quotations of FNF common stock and LPS common stock.

On the date of the execution of the merger agreement, the cash portion of the merger consideration was \$16.625 per share of LPS common stock. On June 19, 2013, in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement, FNF notified LPS that it was exercising its option to increase the cash portion of the merger consideration from \$16.625 per share of LPS common stock to \$22.303 per share of LPS common stock and correspondingly decrease the stock portion of the merger consideration.

FNF has obtained the financing commitments for the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, the proceeds of which will be used by FNF to pay the cash portion of the aggregate merger consideration and the related costs, fees and expenses. In connection with the merger, FNF will contribute the business of its subsidiary ServiceLink to BKFS, and each of ServiceLink and LPS will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of BKFS. Additionally, FNF will sell a minority equity interest in BKFS to funds affiliated with THL for an amount equal to the lesser of (i) 28.965% of the issued and outstanding common stock of BKFS and (ii) \$630 million, pursuant to an equity commitment letter among such THL affiliates and BKFS. FNF intends to use the proceeds of the equity commitment by THL to finance a portion of the aggregate merger consideration and related costs, fees and expenses.

Additionally, on July 11, 2013, FNF entered into a term loan credit agreement with Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent and the other financial institutions party thereto, pursuant to which the lenders have committed to provide a \$1.1 billion senior unsecured delayed draw term loan facility and, on June 25, 2013, FNF entered into an amendment to replace its existing senior unsecured revolving facility with a third amended and restated \$800 million senior unsecured revolving facility. The facilities replace the debt financing commitments described above and are intended to be used to finance a portion of the merger consideration and related costs, fees and expenses to the extent that FNF does not finance such consideration through (a) available cash on hand and/or (b) the issuance of unsecured debt and equity securities or other debt financing at or prior to the closing of the merger. For a full description of FNF's debt financing for the merger, see the section entitled *Description of the Debt Financing* beginning on page 169.

Table of Contents

Background of the Merger

The LPS board of directors, together with senior management and with the assistance of LPS advisors, has periodically reviewed and considered various strategic opportunities available to LPS and ways to enhance LPS performance and prospects in light of competitive, macroeconomic and industry developments. These reviews and discussions have focused on, among other things, the business environment facing the transaction services and title insurance industries generally, as well as conditions in the real estate and debt financing markets. These reviews have also included discussions as to whether the continued execution of LPS strategy as a stand-alone company or the possible sale of LPS to, or a combination of LPS or certain of its businesses with, a third party offered the best avenue to enhance stockholder value, and the potential benefits and risks of any such transaction.

In that context, on two separate occasions in 2010 and 2011, LPS had discussions with FNF and/or certain financial sponsors working together with FNF (including THL, Financial Party A, Financial Party B and Financial Party C; all or some of such entities, together with FNF, being referred to as the FNF Group) about potential strategic transactions to effect a combination of LPS with FNF's ServiceLink business. In connection with the first of those discussions, LPS engaged Goldman Sachs on April 30, 2010 as its financial advisor, and entered into confidentiality agreements in 2010 with members of the FNF Group. Furthermore, FNF consulted with BofA Merrill Lynch and J.P. Morgan on a preliminary basis about potential strategic transactions.

None of these discussions with members of the FNF Group culminated in an agreement on a transaction, and each of those discussions were terminated, with the last such discussion being terminated in May 2011.

On October 5, 2011, Hugh R. Harris was appointed to serve as the President and Chief Executive Officer of LPS. Mr. Harris replaced Jeff Carbiener, who resigned as Chief Executive Officer of LPS on July 6, 2011.

In late November 2011, representatives of THL contacted Mr. Harris to express an interest in an acquisition of LPS in a transaction in which THL would partner with other financial sponsors and FNF would contribute its ServiceLink business to LPS. On December 6, 2011, members of LPS senior management met with representatives of THL to provide THL with an overview and update on LPS business.

Over the course of the next several weeks, there were various discussions between THL and LPS to review LPS financial performance and outlook. During this period, Mr. Harris and Lee A. Kennedy, then Executive Chairman of LPS, kept the members of the LPS board of directors apprised of these discussions.

On February 27, 2012, THL and Financial Party A sent Mr. Kennedy a letter containing a proposal to acquire LPS for \$26.50 per share in cash. While FNF did not submit the offer, the proposal from THL and Financial Party A stated that they were working with FNF on the transaction, and that FNF intended to contribute its ServiceLink business as part of any transaction.

On February 28, 2012, the LPS board of directors met by teleconference. Representatives of management as well as an outside counsel for LPS also participated. The outside counsel provided the LPS board of directors with a review of its fiduciary duties in connection with considering the proposal from THL and Financial Party A, and reviewed with the LPS board of directors the potential conflicts of interest or the appearance of a conflict of interest of James Hunt (in light of his executive position with an affiliate of THL), Mr. Harris (in light of his having acted as a consultant to the FNF Group in connection with the 2010 preliminary discussions with LPS; having common ownership of a piece of real property with Thomas M. Hagerty, a senior representative of THL leading the THL transaction team for this transaction and a member of the FNF board of directors; and his role as chief executive officer of LPS if the potential purchasers wanted to negotiate post-closing management and equity roll-over arrangements with Mr. Harris), and Mr. Kennedy (in light of his having formerly served on the board of directors of an affiliate to THL and having an executive role with LPS). After discussion, the LPS board of directors determined that, at this stage, James Hunt would not participate in future LPS board of directors meetings (or the portions of those meetings) concerning a potential sale transaction and Mr. Harris would limit his participation to sharing his views about LPS business, prospects and similar matters in his capacity as chief

Table of Contents

executive officer but would not participate in any deliberations or discussions by the LPS directors of any potential transaction, but that Mr. Kennedy would fully participate given that he had no continuing economic or other relationship with THL and was not interested in pursuing any management role post-transaction.

At the meeting, Thomas L. Schilling, LPS chief financial officer, reviewed certain financial aspects of the proposal. The LPS board of directors determined that it was interested in engaging in further discussions with THL and Financial Party A regarding a potential transaction. The LPS board of directors authorized Alvin R. (Pete) Carpenter, LPS lead director, to respond that LPS was interested in pursuing discussions, but would first need to understand THL and Financial Party A's willingness to increase their price following due diligence and to understand the nature of conditionality in their proposal. Mr. Carpenter was also asked to inquire as to the role that FNF would play in the transaction. The LPS board of directors also discussed the need for a financial advisor to assist them, and Mr. Schilling stated that he believed the engagement with Goldman Sachs was still active, and would confirm that for the LPS board of directors.

On February 28, 2012, Mr. Carpenter had a conversation with a representative of THL, who informed Mr. Carpenter that FNF would participate in any transaction by receiving an ownership interest in the entity formed to acquire LPS, or would enter into a joint venture that involved the combination of FNF's title business and LPS title business. Mr. Carpenter and the representative of THL also discussed how the LPS board of directors believed that there should be more value offered in the transaction, and that the LPS board of directors expected an increase in price once due diligence was undertaken. Finally, Mr. Carpenter explained that the LPS board of directors would be focused on deal certainty, in particular in light of the prior discussions with FNF, THL and Financial Party A that had not led to a transaction.

On March 1, 2012, the LPS board of directors met by teleconference. Representatives of management as well as an outside counsel for LPS also participated. Mr. Carpenter reported on his conversation with a representative of THL. Mr. Schilling then provided the directors with an overview of LPS management's then-current financial projections, reminding the directors that the mortgage refinance and default markets continued to be highly unpredictable. Mr. Schilling also noted the significant valuation uncertainties created by LPS outstanding legal and regulatory issues at that time, which included various civil and criminal inquiries, investigations, complaints, litigation and proceedings in connection with LPS default operations and relationships with foreclosure attorneys, as well as the consent order with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Office of Thrift Supervision.

The LPS directors discussed the timing of the proposal given the uncertainty around LPS core markets and the ongoing legal and regulatory issues it was facing at the time. The directors discussed whether there were other viable alternatives to a sale transaction that could enhance stockholder value. It was discussed that Goldman Sachs would continue to be engaged as financial advisor to LPS, as Goldman Sachs was very familiar with LPS and could undertake an analysis of LPS and the proposed transaction. The LPS board of directors authorized management to direct Goldman Sachs to undertake that review. The LPS directors then discussed with LPS management the diligence review that would be undertaken by THL, Financial Party A and FNF.

Over the course of the next several months, LPS and THL, Financial Party A and FNF and their respective advisors engaged in discussions regarding a potential transaction. During such time, THL, Financial Party A, FNF and their debt financing sources and their respective advisors conducted due diligence on LPS, which diligence included being given access to a data room and participating in various in-person and telephonic due diligence sessions with LPS and its advisors.

On March 23, 2012, the LPS board of directors met by teleconference. Representatives of LPS management and Goldman Sachs also participated. In addition, representatives of Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP (Cravath), outside counsel to LPS, participated. Mr. Schilling provided an overview of LPS management's financial forecasts and the assumptions underlying those forecasts. Representatives of Goldman Sachs reviewed certain financial information regarding LPS and the proposal that had been received.

Table of Contents

The LPS directors discussed the proposal from THL and Financial Party A and the information presented at the meeting, and determined to respond to THL and Financial Party A that LPS was interested in conducting further discussions regarding a potential transaction. After this meeting, LPS' interest in conducting further discussions was communicated to THL and Financial Party A.

In April 2012, THL and Financial Party A outlined a revised proposal to acquire LPS for \$28 per share, comprised of \$26 per share in cash and \$2 per share in FNF stock.

On April 25 and 26, 2012, the LPS board of directors had an in-person regular meeting. Representatives of management were in attendance. At this meeting, the LPS directors considered the discussions with THL and Financial Party A regarding a potential transaction. For this portion of the meeting, representatives of Goldman Sachs and Cravath joined. Representatives of Goldman Sachs reviewed financial information regarding LPS and the proposed transaction. Representatives of Cravath provided a review of the fiduciary duties of the LPS board of directors in considering the proposed transaction (including providing a reminder on disclosing all potential conflicts of interest), as well as the potential terms that might be included in a merger agreement for a sale of the company. The LPS board of directors determined that the revised proposal from THL and Financial Party A was not acceptable, and this view was expressed to THL following the meeting.

On May 11, 2012, THL and Financial Party A sent a letter to Mr. Kennedy increasing their proposal to \$29 per share, comprised of \$27 per share in cash and \$2 per share in FNF stock. As with the prior proposals, while FNF did not submit the offer, the proposal from THL and Financial Party A stated that FNF intended to contribute its ServiceLink business as part of the proposed transaction.

On May 15, 2012, the LPS board of directors met by teleconference to discuss the revised proposal from THL and Financial Party A. Representatives of LPS management, as well as Goldman Sachs and Cravath, participated in the meeting. Representatives of Goldman Sachs reviewed various financial information with respect to LPS and the proposal. LPS management shared their views as to their updated perspectives on the long-term prospects of LPS.

The LPS board of directors also discussed with Cravath the directors' duties in pursuing other potential sale alternatives and with Goldman Sachs whether there were other potential parties that might be interested in pursuing a transaction with LPS. Goldman Sachs reviewed with the LPS board of directors a list of potential strategic acquirers that might be interested in pursuing a transaction. The LPS board of directors considered the lack of interest it had received from strategic parties in the past regarding a potential acquisition of LPS. Goldman Sachs also expressed its view that, in light of LPS' size and the continued uncertainty in the mortgage servicing industry, it was unlikely that any of the companies identified would be interested in pursuing a transaction at that time. However, the LPS board of directors came to the conclusion that five strategic parties, referred to as Strategic Parties A, B, C, D and E, should be contacted if discussions with THL and Financial Party A were to proceed.

The LPS board of directors also discussed with Goldman Sachs whether there might be other potential private equity buyers interested in pursuing such a transaction, and Goldman Sachs expressed its view that there were a small number of private equity parties that it believed might be interested in a transaction based on their prior activity or investments in the space, in particular Financial Party B, which was part of the FNF Group with which LPS had preliminary discussions in 2010 but did not appear to be involved in the current proposal from THL and Financial Party A, as well as two private equity firms referred to as Financial Parties D and E. The LPS board of directors concluded that expanding a potential group of parties to contact beyond those identified by Goldman Sachs enhanced the risk of leaks, which could be damaging. The LPS board of directors and management discussed that, in light of those risks, overtures to other private equity firms should be limited to those identified by Goldman Sachs that had expressed prior interest in the mortgage servicing industry and that, in light of the uncertain financing markets at that time, had a track record of being able to obtain financing for transactions of comparable size.

Table of Contents

After excusing Mr. Kennedy and the rest of the LPS management team, the remaining directors further discussed the revised proposal, including in light of the continued regulatory uncertainties LPS was facing. After discussion, the LPS directors agreed that senior management should provide the LPS board of directors with an update on their long-term outlook for LPS at a future meeting, as well as whether there were any strategic alternatives or business initiatives that were viable and could be pursued to counter the significant pressure that was expected on LPS beginning in the 2014/2015 timeframe in light of anticipated macroeconomic trends (such as the anticipated decline in mortgage refinancings and mortgage foreclosure activity). The directors also asked Cravath to outline, for discussion at a future meeting, potential terms that could be proposed by LPS to THL and Financial Party A around closing conditions, material adverse effect exceptions, financing contingencies and reverse break-up fees and regulatory efforts covenants.

On May 17, 2012, the outside directors of the LPS board of directors met telephonically with representatives of Cravath to discuss the potential terms that could be proposed by LPS to THL and Financial Party A around closing conditions, material adverse effect exceptions, financing contingencies and reverse break-up fees, and regulatory efforts covenants, and provided guidance on these matters to Cravath in the event negotiations of a merger agreement were to occur.

On May 21, 2012, the LPS board of directors met telephonically to continue discussing the proposal from THL and Financial Party A. Representatives of LPS management, as well as Goldman Sachs and Cravath, also participated. Representatives of Cravath provided the LPS board of directors with a review of its fiduciary duties. As part of that review, Cravath discussed with the LPS board of directors (as it had in the prior preliminary discussions in 2010 and as had been discussed with the LPS board of directors in April 2012) that it had to be cognizant of any potential conflicts of interest or any appearance of conflict of interest that any directors may have in any potential transaction. In that regard, Cravath reviewed again the potential conflicts of interest or appearance of conflicts of interest involving James Hunt, Mr. Harris and Mr. Kennedy. The LPS board of directors reiterated its approach to exclude James Hunt entirely from any consideration of a sale transaction, to exclude Mr. Harris from any discussions or deliberations but to continue to obtain his views about LPS business, prospects and similar matters, and to allow Mr. Kennedy to participate in all aspects.

LPS management then provided the LPS board of directors with an update and review of LPS management's projections and outlook for LPS, as well as an overview of potential growth strategies that LPS was pursuing. The LPS board of directors asked LPS management for additional information regarding these matters at a future meeting.

The LPS board of directors again discussed potentially contacting other parties to evaluate whether there was interest from other potential bidders. Goldman Sachs reviewed with the LPS board of directors the proposed list of other potential bidders that might be contacted. After discussing the benefits of contacting the identified parties, and also discussing the potential downsides in approaching parties about a potential transaction (such as the risk of leaks and resultant market speculation that could occur, and the damage that could have on LPS and its relationships with its customers and employees), the LPS board of directors concluded that, were it to engage in discussions with THL and Financial Party A, it would also direct Goldman Sachs to contact both the strategic and private equity buyers that had been identified at the May 15, 2012 meeting to determine if any of them had an interest in pursuing an acquisition of LPS.

Finally, the LPS board of directors further reviewed with Cravath the potential terms that could be proposed by LPS to THL and Financial Party A around closing conditions, material adverse effect exceptions, financing contingencies and reverse break-up fees, and regulatory efforts covenants, which had been discussed at the meeting on May 17, 2012.

The LPS board of directors agreed to meet on the following day to further discuss the potential transaction, and to receive the additional information requested of LPS management.

Table of Contents

On May 22, 2012, the LPS board of directors met telephonically to continue discussing the proposal from THL and Financial Party A. Representatives of LPS management, as well as representatives of Goldman Sachs and Cravath, also participated. LPS management provided the LPS board of directors with updated information about LPS management's projections and outlook for LPS. Representatives of Cravath reviewed the potential terms that could be requested by LPS around closing certainty based on the prior discussions with the directors. The LPS board of directors concurred that LPS should communicate the following to THL and Financial Party A: (1) the per share price offered in THL and Financial Party A's initial proposal was inadequate and should be raised to a price in the \$30s, (2) deal certainty was of significant importance to the LPS board of directors and (3) any revised proposal should address not only value, but also deal certainty.

The LPS directors also discussed approaching other potential bidders, and the timing of doing so. The LPS directors recapped the discussions they had engaged in during prior meetings on the topic, and decided to solicit interest from the five strategic buyers and three private equity buyers that had been identified in prior meetings. The LPS board of directors also discussed that those parties should be given sufficient time to provide any indication of interest, and authorized Goldman Sachs to begin contacting those parties and to request a response in the mid-June 2012 timeframe. It was agreed that any of the potential bidders contacted would be permitted to conduct an appropriate level of due diligence (including an LPS management presentation) customary for this stage of the process, and would be required to execute a confidentiality agreement on terms comparable to those executed by THL, Financial Party A and FNF. Following the meeting, one of the LPS directors suggested that two other strategic parties, Strategic Parties F and G, be added to the list of parties to be contacted by Goldman Sachs.

Following the meeting, Messrs. Kennedy and Carpenter called a representative of THL to relay the LPS board of directors' response to the latest proposal from THL and Financial Party A. Representatives of THL and Financial Party A then told Messrs. Kennedy and Carpenter, and subsequently indicated to Goldman Sachs, that LPS should pursue whatever sale process it believed was appropriate with other parties, but that they would not participate and would wait until after that process had been completed to determine whether they would be interested in pursuing discussions.

During the week of May 28, 2012, representatives of Goldman Sachs contacted the three financial parties and seven strategic parties to solicit their interest in participating in discussions regarding a potential acquisition of LPS. Financial Parties D and E entered into confidentiality agreements with LPS on May 30, 2012 and June 1, 2012, respectively, and were given access to certain due diligence information on LPS and its outlook. The confidentiality agreements entered into contained one-year standstill provisions, which included don't ask/don't waive provisions. However, the standstill restrictions automatically lapsed if LPS were to enter into a definitive acquisition agreement with another party.

Financial Party B, which was part of the FNF Group with which LPS had preliminary discussions in 2010, informed Goldman Sachs that it was not interested in making a proposal to acquire the Company unless it was part of the THL/Financial Party A/FNF consortium, but that this consortium was not interested in expanding to include Financial Party B. Financial Party B also stated that they were not interested in joining up with any other potential parties to formulate an alternative consortium bid.

Strategic Parties A, B, C, E and F all declined to participate in the process, generally indicating to Goldman Sachs that they were not interested in acquiring LPS as a strategic matter or in making that size investment in LPS' industry. Strategic Parties D and G said to Goldman Sachs that they needed to discuss internally and would revert to Goldman Sachs with a response. On June 6, 2012, Financial Party E participated in a presentation with LPS management to review the information that Financial Party E had previously received. Thereafter, Goldman Sachs heard from Financial Party E on a preliminary basis that it believed it difficult to obtain an adequate return if it paid a premium to LPS' then current stock price. Financial Party E had asked for additional time to consider its interest, and discussed anticipated timing for its final response.

Table of Contents

On June 7, 2012, Financial Party D canceled a scheduled management presentation and withdrew from the process. Financial Party D informed Goldman Sachs that it was no longer pursuing a transaction because it would be difficult to obtain an adequate return if it paid a premium to LPS then current stock price.

Prior to the meeting of the LPS board of directors scheduled for June 8, 2012, Messrs. Kennedy and Carpenter had a conversation with a representative of THL in which they reiterated the LPS board of directors' messages concerning their proposal. The THL representative stated that THL and Financial Party A were not willing to increase their proposed purchase price above \$29.00 per share.

On June 8, 2012, the LPS board of directors met telephonically to discuss the process being undertaken regarding a potential sale of LPS. Representatives of LPS management, as well as Goldman Sachs and Cravath, also participated. Mr. Kennedy provided an update on various developments since the LPS board of directors' last meeting with respect to discussions with THL/Financial Party A and the various other potential bidders. Mr. Kennedy also reported that THL and Financial Party A stated that they were aware of the LPS board of directors' focus on deal certainty, and would discuss the LPS board of directors' requests on those points in the context of a negotiation around transaction terms generally, which would necessarily include input and acceptance from THL's and Financial Party A's financing sources. Mr. Kennedy related that THL and Financial Party A believed their proposal represented a strong bid that, in the absence of the anticipated synergies resulting from the proposed contribution by FNF of ServiceLink, would have been for a lower value.

Representatives of Goldman Sachs provided more detail to the LPS directors on the conversations and other interactions that had taken place with the potential alternative bidders contacted, including that five of the seven strategic bidders contacted were not interested in making a bid. In particular, the Goldman Sachs representative explained that the remaining two potential strategic bidders (Strategic Parties D and G) had indicated they needed additional time, and discussed the anticipated timing for responses from those parties. The Goldman Sachs representative informed the directors that neither Financial Party B nor D was interested in making a bid and their stated reasons for withdrawing. Finally, the Goldman Sachs representative provided an update on the status of Financial Party E's consideration of a potential deal, and its request for additional time to consider its interest in LPS.

The LPS board of directors and its advisors discussed whether any additional parties should be contacted, and the respective likely incremental benefits and risks of contacting additional parties, and determined that the risk in conducting further third-party solicitation efforts significantly outweighed the likely incremental benefit of such actions, particularly given the consistency of the feedback from the parties then contacted to date.

The LPS directors then discussed generally the process to date with Cravath and Goldman Sachs, and reviewed with Cravath and Goldman Sachs some of the more important terms of the draft merger agreement that Cravath had prepared, and provided guidance on those items. The LPS directors directed Cravath to finalize the draft merger agreement and disseminate it to representatives of THL and Financial Party A.

On June 12, 2012, a representative of Strategic Party G contacted Goldman Sachs and stated that while they might be interested in a transaction, LPS was too large of an acquisition for them and that they would like to partner with a financial buyer that they had partnered with in the past. Goldman Sachs responded that they would discuss with the LPS board of directors and respond in due course. However, on June 15, 2012, Strategic Party G contacted Goldman Sachs and stated that they were no longer interested in pursuing further the potential transaction.

On June 14, 2012, Cravath disseminated the draft merger agreement to counsel to THL and Financial Party A. In the following weeks, Cravath negotiated the principal terms of the merger agreement with counsel to THL and Financial Party A. In addition, from mid-June 2012 through July 2012, representatives of THL, Financial Party A, FNF, their debt financing sources, and their respective advisors continued to conduct a detailed due diligence review with LPS management of LPS, its operations and its legal and regulatory issues.

Table of Contents

Also, on June 14, 2012, Strategic Party D stated that it was interested in pursuing a potential transaction, and executed a confidentiality agreement dated June 14, 2012 which contained comparable standstill (including fall-away) provisions as the other confidentiality agreements entered into by other parties in the process.

On June 15, 2012, Financial Party E contacted Goldman Sachs and said that they were not going to pursue further a potential transaction with LPS, indicating it was difficult to see how to obtain an adequate return if it paid a premium to LPS then current stock price.

On June 21, 2012, Strategic Party D called Goldman Sachs and stated that it was withdrawing from the process citing LPS size, lack of strategic fit and legacy issues as key determinants.

On June 26, 2012, the LPS board of directors met telephonically to discuss the process being undertaken regarding a potential sale of LPS. Representatives of LPS management, as well as Goldman Sachs and Cravath, also participated. Goldman Sachs updated the LPS board of directors on the responses received from all of the other parties that had been contacted, informing the LPS board of directors that the remaining financial and strategic parties had withdrawn from the process. Goldman Sachs informed the LPS board of directors that THL, Financial Party A and FNF were working to finalize their due diligence, in particular with respect to LPS legal and regulatory matters, as well as to finalize their financing for the transaction. Cravath updated the LPS directors on the negotiation of the terms of the merger agreement, and discussed the key open issues with the LPS directors.

Negotiations and discussions continued between LPS and THL, Financial Party A and FNF, their lenders and their respective advisors through July 2012. However, after several more weeks the parties were not able to finalize a transaction, with THL and Financial Party A citing concerns around the legal and regulatory issues facing LPS as the basis for needing to conduct continued due diligence in these areas and for negotiating certain closing conditions that the LPS board of directors believed presented undue risk to completing the transaction. On August 28, 2012, the LPS board of directors met telephonically to discuss the lack of progress over the past few weeks. After discussion, the directors concluded that discussions for the proposed transaction had been ongoing for several months and were diverting significant time and attention of the LPS management from the operations of LPS. The LPS directors also expressed concern that THL, Financial Party A and FNF would continue to have concern over legal and regulatory issues facing LPS, and so it would be in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders to terminate discussions. Later that day, Mr. Kennedy sent a letter to THL terminating discussions.

Following the termination of discussions with THL, Financial Party A and FNF in August 2012, LPS was able to successfully resolve many of the legal and regulatory issues facing LPS. By mid-February, 2013, LPS had entered into settlement agreements with the attorneys general of 49 states and the District of Columbia, which provided for aggregate payments by LPS of approximately \$128 million. LPS also entered into a non-prosecution agreement with the United States Department of Justice on February 15, 2013, under which LPS agreed to pay aggregate monetary penalties of \$35 million.

In addition, on January 28, 2013, LPS entered into a Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement resolving the securities class action litigation in connection with LPS disclosures relating to its default operations, which was subject to there being no material shareholder opt-outs and final court approval. Subsequently, on August 2, 2013, LPS received notice that an institutional investor had opted out of the agreement and filed a separate securities disclosure litigation complaint against LPS, triggering LPS right to terminate the settlement. LPS is currently evaluating whether to continue to go forward with the settlement.

On January 30, 2013, at a regular meeting of the FNF board of directors, the FNF directors discussed, among other things, the strategic rationale of a potential transaction with LPS. Representatives of FNF's management and FNF's financial advisors, BofA Merrill Lynch and J.P. Morgan, participated. At the meeting, representatives of FNF's management informed the FNF directors of the steps LPS had taken to mitigate several

Table of Contents

of the legal and regulatory issues that had led to the termination of the 2012 discussions between LPS and THL, Financial Party A and FNF regarding a possible transaction with LPS. Also at the meeting, representatives of BofA Merrill Lynch and J.P. Morgan discussed with the FNF directors the potential terms and structure of a transaction in which FNF and THL would acquire LPS. The FNF directors discussed, among other things, the transaction rationale, pro forma information and recent developments relating to LPS. Following discussion, the FNF board of directors authorized FNF to join THL in making an offer to purchase 100% of the outstanding common stock of LPS.

On January 31, 2013, LPS received a non-binding indication of interest from FNF and THL for a possible business combination between FNF and LPS for a price of \$30 per share of LPS common stock, of which 44% would be in cash and 56% would be in the form of FNF common stock, followed by a letter the next day from FNF and THL indicating that FNF and THL would be willing to consider increasing their offer of \$30 per share of LPS common stock based on the results of due diligence. The proposal indicated that THL would make an equity investment in the combined LPS/ServiceLink business.

On February 4, 2013, Mr. Harris met with the Chief Executive Officer of a strategic purchaser, referred to below as Strategic Party H. At that meeting, the Chief Executive Officer of Strategic Party H expressed interest in a potential acquisition of LPS. That day, LPS received a non-binding indication of interest from Strategic Party H for a possible purchase of LPS for \$31 per share, comprised of \$21.50 in cash and \$9.50 in Strategic Party H common stock.

On February 6, 2013, the LPS board of directors (other than Messrs. Hunt, Harris and Kennedy) met to discuss the indications of interest received from FNF and from Strategic Party H. Todd Johnson, Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of LPS, and a representative of Cravath also participated. The LPS directors first discussed potential conflicts of interest with respect to certain directors, which they had done on several occasions previously. The LPS board of directors agreed that James Hunt would continue to recuse himself from all LPS board of directors discussions regarding potential strategic alternative transactions with third parties. The LPS board of directors also discussed and agreed that Mr. Kennedy should continue to be able to fully participate in LPS board of director discussions regarding a transaction. It was further discussed that circumstances had changed sufficiently from 2012 such that Mr. Harris could fully participate in LPS board of directors discussions regarding a transaction, assuming that he was comfortable in doing so. In particular, Mr. Harris had informed the LPS board of directors that he would prefer to retire instead of participating in the management team of any combined company following a transaction. Further, Mr. Harris's involvement with the FNF Group in 2010 was now nearly three years prior, and Mr. Harris had been the chief executive officer of LPS for almost a year and a half at that point. Messrs. Kennedy and Harris and additional representatives of LPS management then joined the meeting. After discussion, the LPS board of directors decided to communicate to each of FNF and Strategic Party H that their offers did not represent appropriate value for LPS. In addition, the LPS directors discussed a desire to complete an ongoing strategic review that was being conducted by LPS management together with an outside consulting firm, and to consider the recommendations contained in that report as part of the LPS board of directors' consideration of whether to pursue a sale of the entire company. The LPS board of directors agreed to consider all of these matters further at a subsequent board meeting before making any further decisions regarding these offers or similar strategic alternatives. The LPS directors also discussed their desire to engage Credit Suisse as an additional financial advisor to LPS because they believed they would benefit from the advice, perspectives and experience of an additional financial advisor. The LPS directors instructed LPS management to engage Credit Suisse as an additional financial advisor to LPS and to request Credit Suisse's financial perspectives on potential strategic alternatives for LPS.

On February 8, 2013, and February 11, 2013, LPS sent separate letters to Strategic Party H and FNF, respectively, stating that the LPS board of directors did not believe that their offers represented appropriate value for LPS.

On February 26, 2013, FNF and THL sent LPS a revised non-binding indication of interest with a revised proposal for FNF to acquire LPS for \$32 per share, comprised of 54% in FNF common stock and 46% in cash.

Table of Contents

Thereafter, LPS received separate oral indications of interest from Financial Party F, Financial Party G and Strategic Party I for a possible acquisition or joint venture involving only LPS transaction services business.

On March 4, 2013, LPS entered into a confidentiality agreement with Financial Party F, and on March 5, 2013, LPS entered into a confidentiality agreement with Strategic Party I.

On March 6, 2013, the LPS board of directors met telephonically to receive an update on the status of the ongoing strategic review being performed by LPS management together with an outside consulting firm as well as the status of the inquiries received to date. A representative of Cravath was present at the meeting. Mr. Harris stated that the strategic review would be completed such that the results of that review could be presented at an upcoming board meeting scheduled for March 21, 2013. The LPS board of directors decided to defer taking action until the March 21, 2013 meeting of the LPS board of directors, at which representatives of Credit Suisse and Goldman Sachs would be present. At the meeting, the Cravath representative again reviewed with the directors their fiduciary duties, including in connection with their review of LPS strategic review and evaluating any indications of interest received. In addition, the LPS directors reviewed their prior discussions regarding potential conflicts of interest with respect to certain directors. As part of this discussion, Mr. Harris stated that he did not view his joint ownership of a piece of real property with a representative of THL as material or affecting his independent judgment as a director of LPS. The LPS board of directors discussed and agreed with that conclusion.

On March 15, 2013, LPS received an indication of interest from Financial Party G to acquire LPS transaction services business on a cash-free, debt-free basis for a purchase price of 5.0-5.5x normalized EBITDA. Also on that date, LPS received an indication of interest from Strategic Party I to combine LPS transaction services business with the comparable business of Strategic Party I in a joint venture.

On March 21, 2013, the LPS board of directors held an in-person meeting. Members of LPS management as well as representatives of Goldman Sachs, Credit Suisse (which had been engaged as an additional financial advisor on March 18, 2013) and Cravath were in attendance. The LPS directors received a presentation from LPS management concerning the strategic review of LPS business plan that had been conducted over the past several months by LPS management with the assistance of an outside consulting firm. LPS management and the outside consultant reviewed the business environment and anticipated challenges facing LPS through 2017. They reviewed the expected negative impact to LPS projected financial performance from macroeconomic trends affecting LPS business, including an expected decline in refinance volumes and a decrease in default volumes, all as reflected in financial projections for LPS prepared by LPS management. They reviewed various strategic initiatives that could be pursued to address these issues, as well as the current status, potential opportunities and challenges, markets and execution risks and costs. LPS management and the outside consultant also reviewed other potential strategic alternatives, including separating LPS technology and transaction services businesses, selling LPS transaction services business, acquisitions, joint ventures with LPS transaction services business and a sale of the company.

The Cravath representative reviewed with the LPS directors their fiduciary duties. Credit Suisse and Goldman Sachs reviewed financial information regarding LPS in the context of the strategic review as well as various potential strategic alternatives for LPS. The LPS board of directors also reviewed the indications of interest then received to date with LPS management and LPS advisors.

The LPS board of directors then discussed with LPS management, the outside consultant and LPS advisors the potential merits of soliciting and evaluating offers for a sale of LPS or its transaction services business in a sale process. After discussion, the LPS directors authorized Credit Suisse to contact prospective parties regarding a potential sale of LPS or its transaction services business. The directors requested that LPS advisors provide the directors with input regarding the process to be undertaken, including potential parties to be contacted and a proposed timetable.

Table of Contents

In teleconferences held following the March 21, 2013 meeting, LPS advisors, with input from LPS management, developed a process plan and timetable for a potential sale of LPS or its transaction services business. In addition, with LPS management's input, Credit Suisse and Goldman Sachs developed a list of potential parties to contact. These potential parties included FNF and THL, Strategic Party H, Strategic Party I, Strategic Party J, Financial Party F and Financial Party G. In developing the list, LPS management and LPS financial advisors took into consideration the strategic and financial parties that were contacted in 2012, their lack of interest in pursuing a transaction with LPS at that time and the stated reasons and, accordingly, the unlikelihood that those parties would now be interested in pursuing a transaction with LPS. Despite the indication of interest in the LPS transaction services business from Financial Party G, concern was expressed that Financial Party G might not be capable of consummating a transaction, and therefore Financial Party G was not included in the process. LPS financial advisors reviewed the proposed process and parties to be contacted with LPS management and LPS directors in teleconferences held following the March 21, 2013 meeting.

At the direction of the LPS board of directors, Credit Suisse began contacting prospective purchasers on behalf of LPS during the week of March 25, 2013.

On April 3, 2013, a representative of Strategic Party H informed Credit Suisse that it was not participating in the process, citing LPS exposure to refinancing origination volumes and overall default volumes.

On April 4, 2013, FNF and THL entered into an updated confidentiality agreement with LPS containing substantially similar terms as the prior confidentiality agreement that had been entered into with LPS. Also on April 4, 2013, Strategic Party J entered into a confidentiality agreement with LPS on substantially similar terms.

On April 8, 2013, Financial Party F entered into an updated confidentiality agreement with LPS, containing substantially similar terms to the prior agreement that had been entered into with LPS. Also on April 8, 2013, representatives of FNF management met with LPS management to conduct a due diligence review of LPS.

On April 9, 2013, Financial Party F attended a management presentation. Also on that date, Strategic Party J contacted Credit Suisse and canceled its scheduled management presentation for the following day and withdrew from the process. Strategic Party J stated that it would be interested in pursuing a business relationship of some form with LPS, but not an acquisition of LPS.

On April 16, 2013, FNF sent to LPS a list of principal open issues based on the merger agreement that had been substantially negotiated by LPS with THL, Financial Party A and FNF in 2012, as well as a proposal to resolve those open issues. That same day, representatives of FNF management met with members of LPS management to continue their due diligence review of LPS, and FNF, J.P. Morgan, BofA Merrill Lynch and members of LPS management participated in a teleconference to discuss certain tax matters relating to a potential acquisition of LPS by FNF.

Also on April 16, 2013, Strategic Party I executed an updated confidentiality agreement, containing substantially similar terms to the prior agreement that had been entered into with LPS.

On April 18, 2013, the LPS board of directors held an in-person meeting. Representatives of LPS management and LPS legal and financial advisors participated. Credit Suisse and Goldman Sachs summarized the parties that had been contacted, noting the parties that had declined to participate in the process. The LPS board of directors further discussed the process that had been followed to date and gave consideration to contacting other parties. Goldman Sachs reviewed for the LPS board of directors the strategic and financial parties that had been contacted in 2012, and summarized those parties' stated reasons for not pursuing a purchase of LPS. After discussion, the LPS directors determined that, given the lack of interest in 2012, the risk of leaks in contacting the strategic and financial firms that had been contacted in 2012 (or others) outweighed the potential benefits, given that there were already several bidders that remained interested in exploring the acquisition of LPS or its transaction services business. The LPS board of directors directed Credit Suisse and Goldman Sachs to

Table of Contents

continue the discussions with the three parties that remained interested, and to inquire if the parties interested in LPS transaction services business would also be interested in acquiring all of LPS. After this meeting, LPS received a letter from FNF dated April 17, 2013, in which FNF reiterated its offer of \$32 per share, with an adjustment in the proposed consideration mix to 50% cash and 50% FNF common stock.

Also on April 18, 2013, representatives of FNF management and members of LPS management participated in a teleconference to conduct an additional due diligence review of LPS, in which representatives of LPS financial advisors also participated.

On April 19, 2013, Strategic Party I attended an LPS management presentation.

On April 24, 2013, at a regular meeting of the FNF board of directors, the FNF directors discussed, among other things, the potential transaction with LPS. Representatives of FNF's management participated. At the meeting, representatives of FNF's management discussed with the FNF directors the proposed terms and structure of the potential transaction, including an equity investment by THL in FNF's ServiceLink business, which would be combined with LPS in a new consolidated holding company in connection with the potential transaction. Representatives of FNF's management also provided an update on legal and regulatory issues relating to LPS, pro forma information, structural considerations and projections relating to the potential transaction. Following discussion, the FNF board of directors authorized FNF management to negotiate the terms of and definitive documentation with respect to a potential transaction with LPS.

On May 2, 2013, Strategic Party I submitted an indication of interest to acquire LPS transaction services business for \$450-550 million in an all cash transaction. Strategic Party I said that it preferred a joint venture structure, in which case it believed it could offer materially more value than in an outright acquisition of the transaction services business. In a conversation with Credit Suisse, Strategic Party I indicated that it believed that a joint venture would warrant an approximate 15-20% increase in value ascribed to the transaction services business.

Also on May 2, 2013, Financial Party F submitted an indication of interest for a transaction in which LPS would spin-off its transaction services business, and Financial Party F would acquire a controlling stake in that business. Financial Party F indicated a value for that business of \$800 million. The indication of interest stated that Financial Party F expected LPS to retain the pre-closing liabilities of the transaction services business.

On May 3, 2013, the LPS board of directors held a telephonic meeting. Representatives of LPS management and LPS legal and financial advisors participated. Credit Suisse provided an update regarding the contacts and discussions with parties that had been invited to participate in the process and reviewed the indications of interest that had been received. Credit Suisse noted that only FNF had made a proposal to acquire all of LPS, and that the indications of interest from Strategic Party I and Financial Party F were only to acquire all or a portion of LPS transaction services business. Credit Suisse and Goldman Sachs reviewed financial information relating to LPS and the LPS board of directors reviewed projections prepared by LPS management that had been reviewed by the directors at prior meetings and shared with prospective purchasers. The directors reviewed slight modifications to the 2013 and 2014 projections that took into account LPS financial performance during the first quarter of 2013, which updates LPS financial advisors indicated were not expected to result in any meaningful impact on their review of the financial aspects of a proposed transaction. The LPS board of directors discussed the various indications of interest that had been received, and determined that a sale of LPS at an appropriate price would be more favorable to LPS stockholders than a sale of just the transaction services business. After this discussion, the LPS board of directors instructed Credit Suisse to revert to FNF with a proposal for FNF to acquire LPS at a price of \$34.50 per share, and with the condition that a collar be incorporated into the pricing mechanism to protect the value of the FNF stock component of the merger consideration. The directors also discussed that LPS would need to conduct due diligence on FNF in light of the stock component of the transaction. Following the meeting, Credit Suisse conveyed this proposal to FNF.

Table of Contents

On May 5, 2013, a representative of FNF called a representative of LPS to provide a revised proposal of \$33.25 per share of LPS common stock, which would be 50% in cash and 50% in the form of FNF common stock. Subsequently, FNF called Credit Suisse to convey that such revised proposal included a one-way collar that adjusted the number of FNF shares to be received after a 7.5% decline in the price of FNF stock below an agreed upon reference price, and to advise Credit Suisse that FNF wanted to preserve the ability to add cash to the transaction in order to manage the dilution that would occur to FNF as a result of issuing FNF stock in a transaction.

On May 6, 2013, the LPS board of directors held a telephonic meeting. Representatives of LPS management and LPS legal and financial advisors participated. Credit Suisse updated the directors on FNF's May 5, 2013 proposal, including the structure of the collar, noting that the one-way nature of the collar provided downside protection but would also preserve upside potential in the event FNF's stock increased in value. Credit Suisse also reported that FNF wanted to preserve the ability to add cash to the transaction, but suggested that there be a mechanism to ensure that LPS stockholders preserved any upside they would have received from FNF stock appreciation if the cash substitution had not been made. The LPS board of directors discussed pushing further on increasing the price per share of LPS common stock, and shrinking the size of the collar, while preserving the one-way nature of the proposed collar, and instructed Credit Suisse to continue negotiating with FNF.

After the meeting, and as directed by the LPS board of directors, Credit Suisse contacted FNF and requested an increase in the price per share of LPS common stock, a reduced collar size and to shorten the average period to be used to calculate the reference price (rather than a 20-trading day average as previously proposed by FNF). FNF responded by indicating that it would not increase the overall price of \$33.25, but that it was willing to use a 10-trading day average price for the reference price, and to improve the terms of the collar arrangement by reducing the bottom end of the collar, below which LPS stockholders would receive a fixed value, from 7.5% to 6%. FNF also agreed that if the average price at closing was more than 6% above the reference price and FNF substituted additional cash as part of the merger consideration, an adjustment would be made to deliver 100% of the upside that LPS stockholders would have received at closing if the cash substitution had not been made.

On May 7, 2013, the LPS board of directors held a telephonic meeting. Representatives of LPS management and LPS legal and financial advisors participated. Credit Suisse updated the LPS board of directors on the status of negotiations with FNF. Credit Suisse and Cravath described to the LPS board of directors the circumstances and timing under which the election to substitute additional cash could be made by FNF, and Credit Suisse described the potential effect of the new collar proposal using various assumed average closing prices of FNF stock. After discussing the FNF proposal, the LPS board of directors was of the view that the proposal in total represented a basis upon which to continue discussions by conducting due diligence on FNF and negotiating the terms of a merger agreement.

Also on May 7, 2013, the FNF board of directors held a special telephonic meeting to discuss the status of the proposed transaction with LPS. Representatives of FNF's management participated. At the meeting, representatives of FNF's management updated the FNF board of directors on the status of negotiations with LPS. The FNF directors and representatives of FNF's management discussed the proposed terms of the transaction, including, among other things, price, the mix of cash and stock being offered, the exchange ratio and collar mechanism and financing considerations. Following discussion, the FNF board of directors authorized management to continue negotiating the terms of the transaction and finalize the related transaction documents.

On May 9, 2013, Cravath distributed a draft merger agreement to FNF. From mid-May until May 27, 2013, representatives of Cravath and Weil, Gotshal and Manges LLP (Weil), counsel to FNF, negotiated the terms of the merger agreement and the debt and equity financing commitments for the transaction.

On May 10, 2013, a representative of FNF called Credit Suisse to indicate that, as a result of conversations with the FNF board of directors, FNF needed to make a change to its collar proposal to provide a lower bound to the fixed value portion. FNF proposed that below an average closing price of FNF stock of \$22.50, the exchange

Table of Contents

ratio would become fixed again and LPS stockholders would bear the risk of further downside movements in FNF stock. FNF had indicated that it would be willing to entertain a right of LPS to terminate the merger agreement and not proceed with the transaction in such event. Following this conversation with FNF, Credit Suisse consulted with members of LPS management and certain members of the LPS board of directors. Thereafter, between May 11 and May 14, 2013, based on conversations with LPS management and certain LPS directors, representatives of FNF and Credit Suisse had further discussions. FNF thereafter provided a revised proposal pursuant to which the collar size would be reduced from 6% to 5%, and the lower bound of fixed value protection, at which the exchange ratio would become fixed again and LPS stockholders would bear the risk of further decline in the value of FNF common stock, would be reduced from an average FNF closing stock price of \$22.50 to \$20; and LPS would have a one-way termination right in the event the price of FNF common stock fell to \$20 or lower.

On May 14, 2013, the LPS board of directors held a telephonic meeting. Representatives of LPS management and LPS legal and financial advisors participated. Credit Suisse provided an update on the status of negotiations with FNF and FNF's most recent revised proposal. Credit Suisse and Goldman Sachs described the potential financial effect of the revised collar mechanism, noting that the revised collar preserved the one-way nature of the collar, which provided downside protection while preserving potential upside benefits for LPS stockholders. The LPS board of directors discussed the FNF proposal and the consideration structure of the transaction and again authorized LPS management and its advisors to conduct reverse due diligence on FNF and instructed them to proceed to negotiate the terms of the merger agreement with FNF. Following the meeting and in accordance with the directives of the LPS board of directors, representatives of Credit Suisse communicated to FNF that the LPS board of directors believed that FNF's most recent revised proposal regarding pricing, consideration mix and the collar mechanism was an appropriate basis on which to proceed with subsequent negotiations and to conduct reverse due diligence on FNF.

On May 16, 2013, representatives of LPS management conducted a due diligence review of FNF with FNF management in which representatives of Credit Suisse and Goldman Sachs participated. This meeting was followed by a further due diligence review of FNF on May 19, 2013, in which representatives of LPS management, FNF management, Credit Suisse and Goldman Sachs participated. In addition, on May 20, 2013, representatives of LPS management and FNF management held a teleconference for LPS to conduct further due diligence on FNF, in which representatives of J.P. Morgan, BofA Merrill Lynch, Credit Suisse and Goldman Sachs also participated.

On May 22, 2013, the LPS board of directors held a telephonic meeting to receive a presentation from George Scanlon, Chief Executive Officer of FNF, providing an operational and financial overview of FNF's businesses as part of LPS due diligence review on FNF. Representatives of Credit Suisse, Goldman Sachs and Cravath also attended the meeting. After this presentation, Mr. Scanlon left the meeting, and LPS management and LPS advisors reviewed the results of the due diligence review of FNF. Credit Suisse and Goldman Sachs discussed preliminary financial information regarding FNF and financial aspects of the proposed transaction. Cravath then discussed with the LPS board of directors the material terms of the merger agreement being negotiated. The LPS board of directors instructed LPS management and its advisors to continue negotiating to finalize the terms of the merger agreement.

On May 27, 2013, the LPS board of directors held a telephonic meeting with representatives of LPS management, Cravath, Credit Suisse and Goldman Sachs participating. Cravath again reviewed with the LPS directors their fiduciary duties. Cravath reviewed the terms of the merger agreement that had not been finalized at the May 22, 2013, meeting of the LPS board of directors, as well as the terms of the debt and equity commitments received from FNF to finance a portion of the transaction. Cravath also reviewed with the directors the employee benefit related provisions of the merger agreement and the effects of the transaction on LPS employee benefit arrangements.

Table of Contents

Also at this meeting, Credit Suisse reviewed with the LPS board of directors its financial analysis of the merger consideration and rendered to the LPS board of directors an oral opinion, confirmed by delivery of a written opinion dated May 27, 2013, to the effect that, as of that date and based on and subject to various assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken, the merger consideration to be received by holders of LPS common stock was fair, from a financial point of view, to such holders. Goldman Sachs then delivered its oral opinion to the LPS board of directors, which opinion was later confirmed by delivery of a written opinion dated May 28, 2013, to the effect that, as of the date of the opinion, and based upon and subject to the factors and assumptions set forth in its written opinion, the per share merger consideration to be paid to the holders (other than FNF and its affiliates) of the outstanding shares of LPS common stock pursuant to the merger agreement was fair, from a financial point of view, to such holders.

The LPS board of directors (other than Mr. James Hunt, who was recused from the meeting) then determined, by unanimous vote, for the reasons detailed in *LPS Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the LPS Board of Directors* beginning on page 72, that the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement were advisable, fair to and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders and approved the merger agreement, and recommended that the stockholders of LPS vote in favor of adopting the merger agreement.

Also on May 27, 2013, the FNF board of directors held a special telephonic meeting to consider the proposed transaction with LPS. Representatives of FNF management, BofA Merrill Lynch and J.P. Morgan participated. Prior to the meeting, FNF's Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary distributed to members of the FNF board of directors summaries of the merger agreement and related transaction documents as well as discussion materials provided by each of BofA Merrill Lynch and J.P. Morgan. At the meeting, representatives of each of BofA Merrill Lynch and J.P. Morgan presented their financial analyses of the proposed transaction with LPS and delivered separate oral opinions to the FNF board of directors, which were confirmed by delivery of written opinions dated May 27, 2013 that, as of such date and based upon and subject to the assumptions and limitations set forth in each respective opinion, the merger consideration to be paid by FNF in the proposed transaction was fair, from a financial point of view, to FNF. Representatives of FNF's management then explained and discussed with the FNF board of directors its analysis of the proposed transaction and presented a summary of the key terms of the transaction. FNF's Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary then summarized the principle terms and conditions contained in the merger agreement and the other transaction documents. Following review and discussion among the members of the FNF board of directors, the directors unanimously (other than Mr. Hagerty, who abstained from voting at the meeting in light of his roles as a managing director of THL and as a senior representative of THL leading the transaction team for this transaction, and Mr. Thompson, who abstained from voting at the meeting in light of his role as Vice Chairman of Global Corporate and Investment Banking of BofA Merrill Lynch) approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, for the reasons detailed in *FNF's Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of FNF's Board of Directors* beginning on page 112, and declared that the FNF stock issuance as contemplated by the merger agreement was in the best interests of FNF and its stockholders.

On May 28, 2013, FNF and LPS executed the merger agreement and issued a joint press release announcing the execution of the merger agreement.

On June 19, 2013, FNF notified LPS that, pursuant to the merger agreement, it was exercising its option to increase the cash consideration from \$16.625 per share of LPS common stock to \$22.303 per share of LPS common stock and correspondingly decrease the stock consideration.

Under the terms of the merger agreement, until 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on July 7, 2013, LPS was permitted to solicit, initiate and encourage inquiries regarding potential takeover proposals from third parties, including by providing non-public information to third parties, and to enter into, engage in and maintain discussions and negotiations with third parties with respect to such proposals or otherwise cooperate with or assist or participate in such discussions or proposals. Following the announcement of the execution of the merger agreement on May 28, 2013, at the direction and under the supervision of the LPS board of directors, Credit Suisse began contacting potentially interested parties pursuant to the go shop process on behalf of LPS that

Table of Contents

were believed, based, among other things, on size, product and service offerings and general business interests, might be capable of and interested in pursuing a transaction with LPS. Credit Suisse contacted a total of 42 parties, comprised of 25 potential strategic acquirers and 17 potential private equity buyers, to solicit their interest in a possible alternative transaction. The parties contacted included Financial Party G and Strategic Party H. Of the 42 parties contacted, three parties requested and were provided with draft confidentiality agreements. Each of these parties, which included Strategic Party H and two potential private equity buyers, negotiated and entered into confidentiality agreements with LPS. These three parties were subsequently granted access to certain non-public information regarding LPS, including financial projections for LPS prepared by LPS management. None of these parties submitted an offer for LPS.

At 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on July 7, 2013, the go shop period ended with LPS not having received any alternative acquisition proposals. On July 8, 2013, LPS issued a press release announcing the results of the go shop process, including that, despite an active and extensive solicitation of potentially interested parties in connection with the go shop period, LPS did not receive any alternative acquisition proposals.

LPS Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the LPS Board of Directors

The LPS board of directors (other than Mr. James Hunt, who recused himself from the meeting) has unanimously approved the merger agreement, declared it advisable and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders that LPS enter into the merger agreement and consummate the merger and all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and determined that the merger and the terms thereof, together with all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, are fair to, and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders. **The LPS board of directors (with Mr. Hunt abstaining) accordingly unanimously recommends that LPS stockholders vote FOR each of the merger proposal, the compensation proposal and the LPS adjournment proposal.**

In evaluating the merger, the LPS board of directors consulted with LPS management and LPS legal and financial advisors and, in reaching its decision to approve the merger and the merger agreement, considered a variety of factors weighing in favor of or relevant to the merger, including, without limitation, those described below.

Strategic Considerations

The LPS board of directors considered a number of strategic aspects of the merger, including, but not limited to, the following factors:

LPS business, results of operations, financial condition, earnings and return to stockholders on a historical and prospective basis;

the projected financial results of LPS through 2017 as a stand-alone company and the uncertainty and unpredictability of industry volumes necessary to achieve those results;

FNF's business, results of operations, financial condition, earnings and return to stockholders on a historical and prospective basis, including, but not limited to, the potential for growth, development and profitability of the combined company, taking into account the results of LPS due diligence review of FNF;

the financial market conditions and historical market prices, volatility and trading information with respect to the common stock of each of LPS and FNF;

the fact that the combined company would have the backing of a strong, financially stable company;

the fact that the combined company would enhance operating efficiencies and generate meaningful synergies;

the anticipated customer and stakeholder reaction to the transaction;

Table of Contents

the expectation that the combined company will have a strengthened balance sheet and greater liquidity and resources to invest in future growth opportunities in comparison to LPS on a stand-alone basis;

the LPS board of directors' review of the nature and current state of, and prospects for, the industries in which LPS operates and LPS competitive position and prospects within those industries, as well as general economic and stock market conditions, including:

the uncertain outlook for the centralized refinance and default services market, and the related challenges for LPS and other related companies; and

long-term challenges that are likely to affect the industries in which LPS operates, and the centralized refinance and default services segments specifically, including increasing regulation and macroeconomic uncertainty;

the risks and challenges inherent in executing LPS' long-term business strategy of shifting its portfolio toward products and services that provide higher-margin and recurring revenue streams and, as part of this strategy, expanding LPS' TD&A business;

the strategic review process conducted by the LPS board of directors prior to entering into the merger agreement, which included:

a review of the strategic initiatives that could be pursued to address the challenges LPS was facing in its long-term business plan, including macroeconomic trends and an expected decline in refinance volumes and a decrease in default volumes;

a review of a variety of possible strategic alternatives other than a sale of LPS, including separating LPS' technology and transaction services businesses, selling LPS' transaction services business, acquisitions and joint ventures with LPS' transaction services business, as well as continuing to operate as a stand-alone company;

a review of the range of possible benefits to LPS' stockholders of these strategic alternatives, and the timing and the likelihood of accomplishing the goals of any of these alternatives; and

the assessment by the LPS board of directors, taking into account, among other things, its review of potential strategic alternatives with the assistance of LPS management and LPS' advisors, that none of these strategic alternatives was reasonably likely to present superior opportunities for LPS, or reasonably likely to create greater value for LPS' stockholders, than the merger;

the sale process conducted by LPS in both 2012 and 2013 with the assistance of LPS management and LPS' advisors prior to entering into the merger agreement, involving a broad group of potential acquirers (that included both strategic and financial parties), which did not result in any proposals to acquire LPS at a price higher than the merger consideration;

the fact that LPS engaged in, or attempted to initiate, discussions regarding a potential sale transaction with numerous strategic parties and financial sponsors, none of which were prepared to enter into a transaction as advantageous as the merger;

the fact that, although media reports of a possible transaction involving LPS and FNF had been first published in the press beginning May 22, 2013, no third party made a proposal or inquiry thereafter to acquire LPS before the execution of the merger agreement; and

the risk that prolonging the sale process further could have resulted in the loss of a favorable opportunity to consummate a transaction with FNF and distracted senior management from implementing LPS business plan.

- 73 -

Table of Contents

Financial Considerations

The LPS board of directors considered a number of financial aspects of the merger, including, but not limited to, the following factors:

the fact that the merger consideration as of May 28, 2013 represented an approximately 19% and 25% premium, respectively, to the prior 30-day and 60-day average closing prices for LPS common stock through May 22, 2013, the last trading day before media reports regarding a potential transaction between FNF and LPS, which were \$28.00 and \$26.55, respectively;

the opinion, dated May 27, 2013, of Credit Suisse to the LPS board of directors as to the fairness, from a financial point of view and as of the date of the opinion, of the merger consideration to be received by holders of LPS common stock, which opinion was based on and subject to the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken by Credit Suisse as more fully described below under the caption *Opinions of LPS Financial Advisors Opinion of Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC to the LPS Board of Directors* beginning on page 81;

the opinion, dated May 28, 2013, of Goldman Sachs to the LPS board of directors as to the fairness, from a financial point of view and as of the date of the opinion, of the per share merger consideration to be paid to the holders of LPS common stock (other than FNF and its affiliates) pursuant to the merger agreement, which opinion was based on and subject to the factors and assumptions set forth in Goldman Sachs opinion as more fully described below under the caption *Opinions of LPS Financial Advisors* beginning on page 81;

the fact that the cash and stock mix in the merger consideration to be paid to LPS stockholders affords LPS stockholders the opportunity to participate in the growth and opportunities of the combined company through the stock consideration and to receive some cash for the value of their shares through the cash consideration;

the fact that the exchange ratio adjustment mechanism affords LPS stockholders the opportunity to benefit from any increase in the trading price of FNF common stock above \$24.215 between the announcement of the merger and the completion of the merger;

prior to FNF increasing the cash consideration on June 19, 2013, the negotiated floor on the merger consideration of \$32.42 per share of LPS common stock when the FNF average stock price is between the floor price of \$24.215 and the lower floor price of \$20.00 would provide downside protection against a decrease in the value of shares of FNF common stock prior to the closing of the merger and far greater value certainty than would a fixed exchange ratio on the stock consideration that does not adjust to provide a value floor;

the fact that if FNF elects to further increase the cash consideration and further decrease the stock consideration, which will provide LPS stockholders with greater certainty of value, if the average FNF stock price is greater than \$26.763, then the exchange ratio will be further adjusted to reflect the increased value that would have been received at the closing of the merger had FNF not elected to alter the consideration mix; and

the anticipated market capitalization, liquidity and capital structure of the combined company.

Other Considerations

The LPS board of directors also considered a number of other aspects of the merger, including, but not limited to, the following factors:

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, including, but not limited to, the representations, warranties and covenants of the parties, the conditions to closing, the form and structure of the merger consideration, and the ability of LPS or the LPS board of directors, as the case may be, to solicit and entertain competing transaction proposals, withdraw its approval or recommendation with respect to

- 74 -

Table of Contents

the merger agreement or terminate the merger agreement in certain circumstances, subject, in each case, to compliance with certain procedural requirements, which may include the payment of a termination fee;

the fact that the merger agreement contains solicitation period provisions (as are more fully described under *The Merger Agreement Solicitation of Alternative Proposals* beginning on page 154) that are intended to help ensure that LPS stockholders receive the highest price per share reasonably attainable, including:

LPS right to solicit offers with respect to alternative takeover proposals during a 40-day solicitation period ending July 7, 2013, referred to as the go-shop period, and to continue discussions with certain third parties that make takeover proposals during the go-shop period until LPS stockholders approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement;

LPS right to terminate the merger agreement and accept a superior proposal prior to ten business days after the end of the go-shop period, subject to LPS paying FNF a termination fee of \$37 million if the termination is in connection with LPS entry into a definitive agreement within 10-business days from the end of the go-shop period with a person that made a takeover proposal during the go-shop period, which amount the LPS board of directors believed was reasonable in light of, among other matters, the benefit of the merger to the LPS stockholders, the typical size of such termination fees in similar transactions and the likelihood that a fee of such size would not be a meaningful deterrent to alternative takeover proposals, as more fully described under *The Merger Agreement Termination Fees and Expenses; Liability for Breach* beginning on page 166; and

LPS right, subject to certain conditions, to respond to and negotiate with respect to certain unsolicited takeover proposals made after the end of the go-shop period and prior to the time LPS stockholders approve the merger proposal, subject to LPS paying FNF a termination fee of \$74 million, which amount the LPS board of directors believed was reasonable in light of, among other matters, the benefit of the merger to the LPS stockholders, the typical size of such termination fees in similar transactions and the likelihood that a fee of such size would not be a meaningful deterrent to alternative takeover proposals, as more fully described under *The Merger Agreement Termination Fees and Expenses; Liability for Breach* beginning on page 166;

the fact that, subject to certain conditions in the merger agreement, LPS has the right to terminate the merger agreement if the average FNF stock price is less than \$20.00;

the terms of the merger agreement were the result of extensive negotiations between LPS, with the assistance of LPS management and LPS advisors, and FNF, with the assistance of FNF management and FNF's advisors;

the covenants contained in the merger agreement obligating each of the parties to use reasonable best efforts to cause the merger and all of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement to be consummated and to take all actions necessary to comply with all orders, decrees, and requests imposed by any Federal, state, local or foreign government, any court of competent jurisdiction, any administrative, regulatory (including any stock exchange) or other governmental agency, commission, branch or authority or other governmental entity or body (each of which we refer to as a governmental entity), and to obtain all necessary actions or nonactions, waivers, consents, authorizations, orders and approvals from such governmental entities in connection with these transactions;

LPS ability to seek specific performance to prevent breaches of the merger agreement and to enforce specifically the terms of the merger agreement;

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

the fact that resolutions approving the merger agreement were unanimously approved by the LPS board of directors (other than Mr. James Hunt, who recused himself from the meeting), which is comprised of a majority of independent directors who are not affiliated with FNF and are not employees of LPS or any of its subsidiaries;

- 75 -

Table of Contents

that some of LPS' directors and executive officers have interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, the interests of LPS stockholders generally, including the treatment of LPS stock options and restricted stock held by such directors and executive officers in the merger and FNF's agreement to indemnify LPS directors and officers against certain claims and liabilities;

the regulatory and other government approvals required in connection with the merger;

the likelihood that the merger would be consummated based on, among other things, the fact that FNF had obtained committed debt and equity financing for the merger, the limited number and nature of the conditions to the debt and equity financing, the reputation of the financing sources and the obligation of FNF to use reasonable best efforts to satisfy the conditions to the debt and equity commitments and, if such conditions are satisfied, to draw on those commitments, each of which, in the reasonable judgment of the LPS board of directors, increases the likelihood of such financings being completed;

the fact that if at the closing of the merger FNF does not have sufficient cash resources to fund the cash portion of the merger consideration and related payments, FNF shall take all actions within its control and otherwise use best efforts to obtain such cash resources as promptly as practicable. LPS has the right to specifically enforce such obligations;

the fact that the merger is not conditioned upon any member of LPS' management entering into any employment, equity contribution or other agreement or arrangement with FNF or LPS, and that no such agreement or arrangement existed as of the date of the merger agreement; and

the availability of appraisal rights under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware (referred to as the "DGCL") to holders of LPS common stock who comply with all of the required procedures under the DGCL, which allows such holders to seek appraisal of the fair value of their shares of LPS common stock as determined by the Delaware Court of Chancery.

Potential Risks

The LPS board of directors also identified and considered potential risks and disadvantages associated with the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by it, including, but not limited to, the following:

the fact that the merger may be delayed or not occur at all, due to a failure of certain conditions, including the approval of the transaction by governmental entities;

the risks and costs to LPS if the merger is delayed or does not occur at all, including the potential negative impact on LPS' ability to retain key employees, the diversion of LPS management and employee attention and the potential disruptive effects on LPS day-to-day operations and LPS' relationships with third parties;

the restrictions on the conduct of LPS' business during the period between execution of the merger agreement and the consummation of the merger;

the risk of incurring substantial expenses related to the merger;

the risk that the parties may incur significant costs and delays resulting from seeking governmental consents and approvals necessary for completion of the merger;

the possibility that, under certain circumstances under the merger agreement, LPS may be required to pay FNF a termination fee of \$37 million or \$74 million, as more fully described under *The Merger Agreement Termination Fees and Expenses; Liability for Breach* beginning on page 166, which could discourage other third parties from making an alternative acquisition proposal with respect to LPS, but which the LPS board of directors believes would not be a meaningful deterrent;

the restrictions in the merger agreement on LPS actively soliciting takeover proposals other than during the go-shop period;

Table of Contents

the challenges inherent in the combination of two businesses of the size and complexity of LPS and FNF, including unforeseen difficulties in integrating operations and systems and difficulties in integrating employees;

the possible disruption to LPS business that may result from announcement of the merger and the resulting distraction of LPS management's attention from the day-to-day operations of the business;

the risk that the pendency of the merger could adversely affect LPS relationships with its customers, suppliers and any other persons with whom LPS has a business relationship, or pose difficulties in attracting and retaining key employees;

the fact that the transaction would be taxable to LPS stockholders for U.S. Federal income tax purposes;

the fact that the FNF stock issuance is subject to approval by FNF's stockholders; and

the other potential risks described in the section titled *Risk Factors* beginning on page 35 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus. The above discussion of the information and factors considered by the LPS board of directors includes the principal information and factors, both positive and negative, considered by the LPS board of directors, but is not intended to be exhaustive and may not include all of the information and factors considered by the LPS board of directors. The above factors are not presented in any order of priority. In view of the variety of factors considered in connection with its evaluation, the LPS board of directors did not quantify or assign relative or specific weights to the factors considered in reaching its conclusion that the merger agreement is advisable and in the best interests of LPS and its stockholders. Rather, the LPS board of directors views its position and recommendation as being based on the totality of the information presented to and considered by it. In addition, individual members of the LPS board of directors may have given different weights to different factors. It should be noted that this explanation of the reasoning of the LPS board of directors and certain information presented in this section is forward-looking in nature and should be read in light of the factors discussed in the section titled *Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements* beginning on page 34 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Certain LPS Financial Projections

LPS does not as a matter of course make public forecasts as to future performance, earnings or other results, and LPS is especially reluctant to disclose forecasts due to the unpredictability of the underlying assumptions and estimates. However, LPS has included below certain information that was furnished to the LPS board of directors, LPS financial advisors, FNF and FNF's financial advisors in connection with the proposed merger.

The financial forecasts relating to LPS included information for the years 2013 through 2017. Additionally, the management of LPS provided to the LPS board of directors and LPS financial advisors financial forecasts for Fidelity National Title Group, which consists of the operations of FNF's title insurance underwriters and related businesses and provides title insurance and escrow and other title related services including collection and trust activities, trustee's sales guarantees, recordings and reconveyances, and home warranty insurance (referred to as FNTG) for the years 2013 through 2017, which were based on projections for FNTG provided to LPS by FNF.

Table of Contents*LPS Management's Projections for LPS*

The following table presents certain information included in the LPS forecasts:

	2013E	2014E	2015E	2016E	2017E
	(\$ in millions, except per share amounts)				
Revenue	\$ 1,868	\$ 1,789	\$ 1,670	\$ 1,696	\$ 1,739
Net earnings	\$ 228	\$ 224	\$ 199	\$ 221	\$ 248
EBITDA(1)	\$ 523	\$ 537	\$ 507	\$ 547	\$ 589
Adjusted net earnings per diluted share(2)	\$ 2.71	\$ 2.65	\$ 2.34	\$ 2.60	\$ 2.91

- (1) EBITDA is defined as earnings before interest expense, income taxes and depreciation and amortization. EBITDA includes non-cash stock-based compensation expenses. EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure and should not be considered as an alternative to operating income or net earnings as a measure of operating performance, or as an alternative to cash flows as a measure of liquidity. LPS management believes it is useful to exclude depreciation, amortization, income taxes and interest expense, as these are essentially fixed amounts that cannot be influenced by management in the short term. 2018E EBITDA of \$619 million was extrapolated per LPS management estimates and approved for Credit Suisse's use in connection with its financial analyses.
- (2) Adjusted earnings per diluted share is adjusted net earnings (which is GAAP net earnings plus the after-tax purchase price amortization of intangible assets added through acquisitions) divided by diluted weighted average shares. Adjusted earnings per diluted share is a non-GAAP financial measure and should not be considered as an alternative to GAAP earnings per share as a measure of financial performance. Amortization of intangible assets arises from the acquisition of intangible assets in connection with LPS business acquisitions. LPS excludes amortization of intangible assets from non-GAAP adjusted earnings per diluted share because it believes (i) the amount of such expenses in any specific period may not directly correlate to the underlying performance of LPS business operations and (ii) such expenses can vary significantly between periods as a result of new acquisitions and full amortization of previously acquired intangible assets. Readers of this joint proxy statement/prospectus should note that the use of these intangible assets contributes to revenue in the period presented as well as future periods and should also note that such expenses will recur in future periods. See below for a reconciliation of adjusted earnings per diluted share to net earnings.

Unlevered free cash flow estimates were derived by adjusting projections and estimates relating to LPS EBITDA to calculate LPS EBIT, or earnings before interest and taxes, which was further adjusted to add back depreciation and amortization and subtract capital expenditures, changes in working capital, and certain other non-recurring expenses as detailed below. The unlevered free cash flow estimates were based entirely on projections and estimates prepared by LPS and were reviewed and approved by LPS management for Credit Suisse's and Goldman Sachs' use in connection with their respective financial analyses. The unlevered free cash flow estimates are summarized below.

	Last 9 months of				
	2013E	2014E	2015E	2016E	2017E
	(\$ in millions)				
Unlevered free cash flow	\$ 277	\$ 307	\$ 308	\$ 314	\$ 335

Table of Contents

Reconciliations of non-GAAP financial measures to the most directly comparable GAAP measures are provided below.

EBITDA

	2013E	2014E	2015E	2016E	2017E
	(\$ in millions)				
Net earnings	\$ 228	\$ 224	\$ 199	\$ 221	\$ 248
Income Tax Expense	\$ 134	\$ 132	\$ 117	\$ 130	\$ 146
Interest Expense	\$ 53	\$ 54	\$ 52	\$ 45	\$ 35
Depreciation & Amortization	\$ 108	\$ 127	\$ 139	\$ 152	\$ 160
EBITDA	\$ 523	\$ 537	\$ 507	\$ 547	\$ 589

ADJUSTED EPS

	2013E	2014E	2015E	2016E	2017E
	(\$ in millions, except per share amounts)				
Net earnings	\$ 228	\$ 224	\$ 199	\$ 221	\$ 248
Purchase accounting amortization, net(1)	\$ 4	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 1
Adjusted net earnings	\$ 232	\$ 226	\$ 201	\$ 223	\$ 249
Weighted average shares outstanding diluted	86	86	86	86	86
Adjusted net earnings per diluted share	\$ 2.71	\$ 2.65	\$ 2.34	\$ 2.60	\$ 2.91

- (1) Purchase accounting amortization, net represents the periodic amortization of intangible assets acquired through business acquisitions primarily relating to customer lists, trademarks and non-compete agreements.

UNLEVERED FREE CASH FLOWS

	Last 9 months of				
	2013E	2014E	2015E	2016E	2017E
	(\$ in millions)				
EBITDA	\$ 397	\$ 537	\$ 507	\$ 547	\$ 589
Taxes(1)	\$ (117)	\$ (152)	\$ (136)	\$ (146)	\$ (159)
Additions to property and equipment	\$ (22)	\$ (27)	\$ (26)	\$ (29)	\$ (31)
Additions to capitalized software	\$ (60)	\$ (70)	\$ (69)	\$ (76)	\$ (80)
Changes in working capital and other(2)	\$ 128	\$ 30	\$ 33	\$ 18	\$ 15
Payment of legal & regulatory expenses and other(3)	\$ (50)	\$ (11)	\$	\$	\$
Unlevered free cash flow	\$ 277	\$ 307	\$ 308	\$ 314	\$ 335

- (1) Non-GAAP tax effect calculated by using a 37% tax rate for 2013-2017.
(2) Excludes accrual of bond interest, and includes investments in property records database, purchases of investments, proceeds from the sale of investments, the exercise of stock options and restricted stock vesting, contingent payments related to acquisitions, deferred income taxes (net), and a one-time non-recurring insurance claim recovery.

- (3) Reflects the impact of charges taken on various legal and regulatory matters, as well as severance and facility lease impairment charges.

Table of Contents*LPS Management's Projections for Fidelity National Title Group*

The following table presents certain information prepared by LPS management included in the LPS forecasts:

	2013E	2014E	2015E	2016E	2017E
	(\$ in millions)				
Revenue(1)	\$ 5,744	\$ 5,708	\$ 5,629	\$ 5,678	\$ 5,971
Pre-tax income	\$ 865	\$ 823	\$ 813	\$ 821	\$ 863

(1) 2018E revenue of \$6,280 million and 2018E net income of \$593 million extrapolated per LPS management estimates and approved for Credit Suisse's and Goldman Sachs' use in connection with their respective financial analyses.

The internal financial forecasts were not prepared with a view toward public disclosure, nor were they prepared with a view toward compliance with the published guidelines of the SEC, the guidelines established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants for preparation and presentation of prospective financial information or GAAP. The prospective financial information of LPS included in this document was prepared by, and is the responsibility of, LPS. The prospective financial information was, in the view of LPS management, prepared on a reasonable basis, reflected the best estimates and judgments available to the management of LPS at the time and presented, to the best of the knowledge and belief of the management of LPS, the expected course of action and the expected future financial performance of LPS as of the date such information was prepared. These financial forecasts include certain non-GAAP financial measures. Non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered in isolation from, or as a substitute for, financial information presented in compliance with GAAP, and non-GAAP financial measures as used by LPS may not be comparable to similarly titled amounts used by other companies. The footnotes to the tables above provide certain supplemental information with respect to the calculation of these non-GAAP financial measures. Certain of this supplemental information may not have been included in the financial forecasts. Neither LPS' independent registered public accountants, KPMG LLP, nor any other independent accountants, have compiled, examined or performed any procedures with respect to the prospective financial information contained herein, nor have they expressed any opinion or any other form of assurance on such information or its achievability, and assume no responsibility for, and disclaim any association with, the prospective financial information. The KPMG LLP report incorporated by reference in this document relates to LPS' historical financial information. It does not extend to the prospective financial information and should not be read to do so. The summary of these internal financial forecasts is not being included in this document to influence your decision on how to vote on any proposal, but because these internal financial forecasts were made available to the LPS board of directors, LPS' financial advisors, FNF and FNF's financial advisors.

These internal financial forecasts were based on numerous variables and assumptions that are inherently uncertain and may be beyond the control of LPS, including, among others, an assumption that LPS would continue to operate as an integrated, stand-alone company. Important factors that may affect actual results and cause the internal financial forecasts not to be achieved include, but are not limited to, risks and uncertainties relating to LPS' business (including its ability to achieve strategic goals, objectives and targets over applicable periods), industry performance, the regulatory environment, general business and economic conditions and other matters described under the heading *Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements* beginning on page 34 and *Risk Factors* beginning on page 35. The internal financial forecasts also reflect assumptions as to certain business decisions that are subject to change. As a result, actual results may differ materially from those contained in these internal financial forecasts. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the internal financial forecasts will be realized.

The inclusion of these internal financial forecasts in this joint proxy statement/prospectus should not be regarded as an indication that any of LPS, FNF or any of their respective affiliates, officers, directors, partners, advisors or other representatives considered the internal financial forecasts to be necessarily predictive of actual future events, and the internal financial forecasts should not be relied upon as such. None of LPS, FNF or any of their respective affiliates, officers, directors, partners, advisors or other representatives can give you any

Table of Contents

assurance that actual results will not differ from these internal financial forecasts, and none undertakes any obligation to update or otherwise revise or reconcile these internal financial forecasts to reflect circumstances existing after the date the internal financial forecasts were generated or to reflect the occurrence of future events. LPS and FNF do not intend to make publicly available any update or other revision to these internal financial forecasts. Further, the inclusion of the financial forecasts in this joint proxy statement/prospectus does not constitute an admission or representation by FNF or LPS that this information is material. None of LPS, FNF or their respective affiliates, officers, directors, partners, advisors or other representatives has made or makes any representation to any stockholder or other person regarding LPS' and, post-closing, FNF's ultimate performance compared to the information contained in these internal financial forecasts or that forecasted results will be achieved. Neither LPS nor FNF has made any representation to the other, in the merger agreement or otherwise, concerning these internal financial forecasts.

Opinions of LPS' Financial Advisors

Opinion of Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC to the LPS Board of Directors

LPS retained Credit Suisse to act as its financial advisor in connection with the merger. In connection with Credit Suisse's engagement, the LPS board of directors requested that Credit Suisse evaluate the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the merger consideration to be received by holders of LPS common stock. On May 27, 2013, at a meeting of the LPS board of directors held to evaluate the proposed merger, Credit Suisse rendered to the LPS board of directors an oral opinion, confirmed by delivery of a written opinion dated May 27, 2013, to the effect that, as of that date and based on and subject to various assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken, the merger consideration to be received by holders of LPS common stock was fair, from a financial point of view, to such holders.

The full text of Credit Suisse's written opinion, dated May 27, 2013, to the LPS board of directors, which sets forth, among other things, the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken by Credit Suisse in connection with such opinion, is attached to this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex B and is incorporated into this joint proxy statement/prospectus by reference in its entirety. The description of Credit Suisse's opinion set forth in this joint proxy statement/prospectus is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of Credit Suisse's opinion. Credit Suisse's opinion was provided to the LPS board of directors (in its capacity as such) for its information in connection with its evaluation of the merger consideration from a financial point of view and did not address any other aspect of the proposed merger, including the relative merits of the merger as compared to alternative transactions or strategies that might be available to LPS or the underlying business decision of LPS to proceed with the merger. The opinion should not be construed as creating any fiduciary duty on Credit Suisse's part to any party and does not constitute advice or a recommendation to any stockholder as to how such stockholder should vote or act on any matter relating to the proposed merger or otherwise.

In arriving at its opinion, Credit Suisse reviewed an execution version, made available to Credit Suisse on May 27, 2013, of the merger agreement and certain publicly available business and financial information relating to LPS and FNF. Credit Suisse also reviewed certain other information relating to LPS and FNF (including, in the case of FNF, information relating to entities in which FNF has an investment) provided to or discussed with Credit Suisse by LPS and FNF, including financial forecasts relating to LPS and FNF prepared by the managements of LPS and FNF, and met with the managements of LPS and FNF to discuss the businesses and prospects of LPS and FNF. Credit Suisse also considered certain financial and stock market data of LPS and FNF, and compared that data with similar data for publicly held companies in businesses it deemed similar to those of LPS and FNF, and Credit Suisse considered, to the extent publicly available, the financial terms of certain other business combinations and transactions which have been effected or announced. Credit Suisse also considered such other information, financial studies, analyses and investigations and financial, economic and market criteria which it deemed relevant.

Table of Contents

In connection with its review, Credit Suisse did not independently verify any of the foregoing information and Credit Suisse assumed and relied upon such information being complete and accurate in all material respects. With respect to the financial forecasts for LPS and FNF that Credit Suisse utilized in its analyses, the managements of LPS and FNF advised Credit Suisse, and Credit Suisse assumed, with the consent of LPS, that such forecasts were reasonably prepared on bases reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the managements of LPS and FNF as to the future financial performance of LPS and FNF. With respect to financial forecasts relating to FNTG, Credit Suisse relied upon financial forecasts prepared by the management of LPS and assumed, with the consent of LPS, that such forecasts were reasonably prepared on bases reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the management of LPS. With respect to estimates provided to Credit Suisse by the management of FNF regarding cost savings and synergies anticipated to result from the merger, FNF's management advised Credit Suisse, and Credit Suisse assumed, with the consent of LPS, that such estimates were reasonably prepared on bases reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of such management and that such cost savings and synergies would be realized in the amounts and at the times indicated. Credit Suisse relied, with the consent of LPS and without independent verification, upon the assessments of the managements of LPS and FNF as to (i) trends and recent developments in, and prospects for, the mortgage, real estate and insurance (including title insurance) industries, and market conditions and governmental and regulatory policies impacting such industries, (ii) the products, technology and intellectual property of LPS and FNF, including the validity of, and risks associated with, such products, technology and intellectual property, and (iii) the ability to integrate the operations of LPS and FNF. Credit Suisse assumed, with the consent of LPS, that there would be no developments with respect to any such matters that would be meaningful to Credit Suisse's analyses or opinion.

Credit Suisse assumed, with the consent of LPS, that, in the course of obtaining any regulatory or third party consents, approvals or agreements in connection with the merger, no delay, limitation, restriction or condition would be imposed that would have an adverse effect on LPS, FNF or the merger (including the contemplated benefits of the merger) and that the merger would be consummated in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement without waiver, modification or amendment of any material term, condition or agreement. Representatives of LPS advised Credit Suisse, and Credit Suisse also assumed, that the terms of the merger agreement, when executed, would conform in all material respects to the terms reflected in the execution version reviewed by Credit Suisse. In addition, Credit Suisse was not requested to make, and it did not make, an independent evaluation or appraisal of the assets or liabilities (contingent or otherwise) of LPS or FNF, nor was Credit Suisse furnished with any such evaluations or appraisals. Credit Suisse is not an actuary or expert in the evaluation of investment portfolios or insurance policies or related allowances for losses, and Credit Suisse's services did not include any actuarial determination or evaluation by Credit Suisse or any attempt to evaluate actuarial assumptions or related allowances for losses and, accordingly, Credit Suisse made no analysis of, and expressed no opinion as to, the adequacy or sufficiency of allowances or reserves for losses or any other related matters. Credit Suisse was advised and therefore assumed, with the consent of LPS and without independent verification, that such allowances and reserves were, and on a pro forma basis would be, in the aggregate appropriate to cover such losses. In addition, with the consent of LPS, Credit Suisse evaluated various entities in which FNF had an investment based on the assessments of the management of FNF, without regard to any rights, limitations or other aspects attributable to any securities held by or other interests of FNF in such entities with respect to liquidity, control, voting or otherwise which may have distinguished such securities or interests or any discount or premium for liquidity or control.

Credit Suisse's opinion addressed only the fairness, from a financial point of view and as of the date of its opinion, of the merger consideration to be received by holders of LPS common stock and did not address any other aspect or implication of the merger, including, without limitation, the form or structure of, or any adjustments to, the merger consideration, the form or structure of the merger or any other agreement, arrangement or understanding entered into in connection with the merger or otherwise. Credit Suisse's opinion also did not address the fairness of the amount or nature of, or any other aspect relating to, any compensation to any officers, directors or employees of any party to the merger, or class of such persons, relative to the merger consideration or otherwise. The issuance of Credit Suisse's opinion was approved by Credit Suisse's authorized internal committee.

Table of Contents

Credit Suisse's opinion was necessarily based on information made available to it as of the date of its opinion and financial, economic, market and other conditions as they existed and could be evaluated on that date. Credit Suisse did not express any opinion as to what the value of shares of FNF common stock actually would be when issued to holders of LPS common stock pursuant to the merger or the prices at which shares of LPS common stock or FNF common stock would trade at any time. Except as described in this summary, LPS imposed no other limitations on Credit Suisse with respect to the investigations made or procedures followed in rendering its opinion.

In preparing its opinion to the LPS board of directors, Credit Suisse performed a variety of financial and comparative analyses, including those described below. The summary of Credit Suisse's analyses described below is not a complete description of the analyses underlying Credit Suisse's opinion. The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process involving various determinations as to the most appropriate and relevant methods of financial analysis and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances and, therefore, a fairness opinion is not readily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. Credit Suisse arrived at its ultimate opinion based on the results of all analyses undertaken by it and assessed as a whole and did not draw, in isolation, conclusions from or with regard to any one factor or method of analysis. Accordingly, Credit Suisse believes that its analyses must be considered as a whole and that selecting portions of its analyses and factors or focusing on information presented in tabular format, without considering all analyses and factors or the narrative description of the analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the processes underlying its analyses and opinion.

In its analyses, Credit Suisse considered industry performance, general business, economic, market and financial conditions and other matters, many of which are beyond the control of LPS or FNF. No company, transaction or business used in Credit Suisse's analyses is identical to LPS, FNF or the proposed merger, and an evaluation of the results of those analyses is not entirely mathematical. Rather, the analyses involve complex considerations and judgments concerning financial and operating characteristics and other factors that could affect the acquisition, public trading or other values of the companies, business segments or transactions analyzed. The estimates contained in Credit Suisse's analyses and the ranges of valuations resulting from any particular analysis are not necessarily indicative of actual values or predictive of future results or values, which may be significantly more or less favorable than those suggested by the analyses. In addition, analyses relating to the value of businesses or securities do not purport to be appraisals or to reflect the prices at which businesses or securities actually may be sold or acquired. Accordingly, the estimates used in, and the results derived from, Credit Suisse's analyses are inherently subject to substantial uncertainty.

Credit Suisse was not requested to, and it did not, recommend the specific consideration payable in the proposed merger, which merger consideration was determined through negotiations between LPS and FNF, and the decision to enter into the merger agreement was solely that of the LPS board of directors. Credit Suisse's opinion and financial analyses were only one of many factors considered by the LPS board of directors in its evaluation of the proposed merger and should not be viewed as determinative of the views of the LPS board of directors or management with respect to the merger or the merger consideration.

The following is a summary of the material financial analyses reviewed with the LPS board of directors on May 27, 2013 in connection with Credit Suisse's opinion. **The financial analyses summarized below include information presented in tabular format. In order to fully understand Credit Suisse's financial analyses, the tables must be read together with the text of each summary. The tables alone do not constitute a complete description of the financial analyses. Considering the data in the tables below without considering the full narrative description of the financial analyses, including the methodologies and assumptions underlying the analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of Credit Suisse's financial analyses.** For purposes of the financial analyses summarized below, the term "implied merger consideration" refers to \$32.52 per share calculated based on the original merger consideration mix provided for in the merger agreement as (i) the cash consideration of \$16.625 per share and (ii) the implied value of the stock consideration of \$15.895 per share based on the 0.65224 exchange ratio and FNF's closing stock price of \$24.37

Table of Contents

per share on May 22, 2013 (the last trading day prior to publication of an article relating to the proposed merger). For purposes of the financial analyses summarized below under the caption *LPS Financial Analyses*, estimated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, referred to as EBITDA, included non-cash stock-based compensation expense.

LPS Financial Analyses

Selected Companies Analysis. Credit Suisse reviewed financial and stock market information of LPS and the following 18 selected publicly traded companies, five of which operate in the financial processing industry, referred to as the selected financial processors, six of which operate in the technology, data and analytics industry, referred to as the selected technology, data and analytics companies, three of which operate in the mortgage servicing industry, referred to as the selected mortgage servicers, and four of which operate in the government information technology industry, referred to as the selected government IT companies:

Selected Financial Processors

- Altisource Portfolio Solutions S.A.
- Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.
- Fiserv, Inc.
- Jack Henry & Associates, Inc.
- Total System Services, Inc.

Selected Mortgage Servicers

- Ocwen Financial Corporation
- Nationstar Mortgage Holdings Inc.
- Walter Investment Management Corp.

Selected Technology, Data & Analytics Companies

- CoreLogic, Inc.
- Ellie Mae, Inc.
- Equifax Inc.
- Experian Group Limited
- Fair Isaac Corporation
- Verisk Analytics, Inc.

Selected Government IT Companies

- Booz Allen Hamilton Holding Corporation
- CACI International Inc.
- ManTech International Corporation
- SAIC, Inc.

Credit Suisse reviewed enterprise values of the selected companies, calculated as equity values based on closing stock prices on May 24, 2013 plus debt and minority interests less cash and cash equivalents, as a multiple of calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA. The overall low and high calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA multiples observed for the selected companies were 4.0x and 17.6x, respectively, with observed mean and median calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA multiples of 9.9x and 10.1x, respectively, for the selected financial processors, 12.3x and 12.0x, respectively, for the selected technology, data and analytics companies, 5.4x and 5.7x, respectively, for the selected mortgage servicers and 7.1x and 7.2x, respectively, for the selected government IT companies. Credit Suisse then applied a selected range of calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA multiples of 5.5x to 7.5x derived from the selected companies to corresponding data of LPS. Financial data of the selected companies were based on publicly available research analysts' estimates, public filings and other publicly available information. Financial data of LPS were based on internal estimates of the management of LPS. This analysis indicated the following approximate implied equity value per share reference range for LPS, as compared to the implied merger consideration:

Implied Equity Value Per Share	Implied Merger
Reference Range	Consideration

\$21.25	\$32.93	\$32.52
---------	---------	---------

Table of Contents

Selected Precedent Transactions Analysis. Credit Suisse reviewed publicly available financial information of the following 23 selected transactions involving companies with operations in the financial processing industry and technology, data and analytics industry:

Announcement Date	Acquiror	Target
01/31/13	ACI Worldwide, Inc.	Online Resources Corporation
01/14/13	Fiserv, Inc.	Open Solutions Inc.
02/20/12	Vista Equity Partners	Misys plc
09/16/11	GTCR, LLC	Fundtech Ltd.
01/11/11	CoreLogic, Inc.	RP Data Limited
09/15/10	Fifth Third Processing Solutions LLC	NPC Group, Inc.
07/01/10	Apollo Global Management, LLC	EVERTEC, Inc.
03/01/10	Total System Services, Inc.	First National Merchant Solutions, LLC
09/28/09	Xerox Corporation	Affiliated Computer Services, Inc.
06/29/09	The First American Corporation	First Advantage Corporation
04/01/09	Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.	Metavante Technologies, Inc.
03/30/09	Advent International Corporation	Fifth Third Processing Solutions LLC
05/13/08	Hewlett-Packard Company	Electronic Data Systems LLC
06/27/07	Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.	eFunds Corporation
12/20/06	M&F Worldwide Corp.	John H. Harland Company
10/15/06	Carlyle Group and Providence Equity Partners	Open Solutions Inc.
09/15/05	Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.	Certegy Inc.
09/15/05	Open Solutions Inc.	The BISYS Group, Inc. (information services business)
09/09/04	Fidelity National Financial, Inc.	InterCept, Inc.
02/09/04	Fidelity National Financial, Inc.	Aurum Technology, Inc.
09/04/03	The First American Corporation	Transamerica Finance Corporation (real estate tax service and flood hazard certification businesses)
07/11/03	Fidelity National Financial, Inc.	Fidelity National Information Solutions, Inc.
01/29/03	Fidelity National Financial, Inc.	Alltel Information Services, Inc.

Credit Suisse reviewed transaction values, calculated as the purchase prices paid for the target companies in the selected transactions plus debt and minority interests less cash and cash equivalents, as a multiple of such target companies' latest 12 months EBITDA. The overall low, mean, median and high latest 12 months EBITDA multiples observed for the selected transactions were 5.0x, 9.9x, 8.4x and 18.8x, respectively. Credit Suisse then applied a selected range of latest 12 months EBITDA multiples of 6.5x to 7.5x derived from the selected transactions to the average of the latest 12 months (as of March 31, 2013) EBITDA and calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA of LPS. Financial data of the selected transactions were based on publicly available research analysts' estimates, public filings and other publicly available information. Financial data of LPS were based on public filings and internal estimates of the management of LPS. This analysis indicated the following approximate implied equity value per share reference range for LPS, as compared to the merger consideration:

Implied Equity Value Per Share		Implied Merger
Reference Range		Consideration
\$27.81	\$33.67	\$32.52

Table of Contents

Discounted Cash Flow Analysis. Credit Suisse performed a discounted cash flow analysis of LPS to calculate the estimated present value of the standalone unlevered, after-tax free cash flow that LPS was forecasted to generate during the last nine months of the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013 through the full fiscal year ending December 31, 2017 based on internal estimates of the management of LPS. Credit Suisse calculated terminal values for LPS by applying to the estimated EBITDA of LPS for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018 a range of terminal value EBITDA multiples of 5.5x to 7.5x. The present value (as of April 1, 2013) of the cash flows and terminal values was then calculated using discount rates ranging from 8.0% to 10.0% derived from a weighted average cost of capital calculation. This analysis indicated the following approximate implied equity value per share reference range for LPS, as compared to the merger consideration:

Implied Equity Value Per Share		Implied Merger
Reference Range		Consideration
\$27.67	\$39.76	\$32.52

FNF Financial Analyses

Selected Companies Analysis. Credit Suisse performed a selected companies analysis of FNF on a consolidated basis by reviewing financial and stock market information of FNF and the following three selected publicly traded companies:

First American Financial Corporation

Old Republic International Corporation

Stewart Information Services Corporation

Credit Suisse reviewed equity values of the selected companies, based on closing stock prices on May 24, 2013, as multiples of calendar year 2013 estimated earnings per share, referred to as EPS, and book value per share as of the most recent publicly available period. The overall low, median and high multiples observed for the selected companies were 11.5x, 12.5x and 23.8x, respectively, for calendar year 2013 estimated EPS and 1.0x, 1.1x and 1.1x, respectively, for book value per share. Credit Suisse then applied selected ranges of calendar year 2013 estimated EPS multiples of 12.0x to 13.5x and book value per share multiples of 1.2x to 1.4x derived from the selected companies to FNF's calendar year 2013 estimated EPS and book value as of March 31, 2013. Financial data of the selected companies were based on publicly available research analysts estimates, public filings and other publicly available information. Financial data of FNF were based, in the case of book value, on FNF's public filings and, in the case of estimated EPS, both on publicly available Wall Street estimates and internal estimates of the management of FNF. This analysis indicated the following approximate implied equity value per share reference ranges for FNF, as compared to FNF's closing stock price on May 22, 2013 (the last trading day prior to publication of an article regarding the proposed merger):

Implied Equity Value Per Share

Reference Ranges Based on:

Book Value		Wall Street EPS		Management EPS		Closing Stock Price on May 22, 2013
\$22.41	\$26.06	\$23.52	\$26.46	\$24.36	\$27.41	\$24.37

Sum-of-the-Parts Analysis. Credit Suisse performed a sum-of-the-parts analysis of FNF by performing (i) based on internal estimates of the managements of LPS and FNF, a dividend discount analysis of FNTG and (ii) based on internal estimates of the management of FNF, separate selected companies analyses of FNF's other equity investments, including those in (a) American Blue Ribbon Holdings, LLC (referred to as ABRH) and J. Alexander's LLC (referred to as J. Alexander's) and, together with ABRH, referred to as the Restaurant

Table of Contents

Group), (b) Remy International, Inc. (referred to as Remy International) and (c) Ceridian Corporation (referred to as Ceridian). Financial data of the selected companies were based on publicly available research analysts' estimates, public filings and other publicly available information.

Dividend Discount Analysis – Fidelity National Title Group. In its dividend discount analysis of FNTG, Credit Suisse calculated a range of implied present values of the distributable cash flows that FNTG was forecasted to generate during the nine months ending December 31, 2013 through the full fiscal year ending December 31, 2017 based on internal estimates of the managements of LPS and FNF for fiscal years 2013 and 2014 and internal estimates of the management of LPS for fiscal years 2015 through 2018. Implied terminal values were derived by applying to FNTG's estimated earnings for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018 a range of terminal earnings multiples of 12.0x to 13.5x. Present values (as of April 1, 2013) of distributable cash flows and terminal values were then calculated using a discount rate range of 9.0% to 11.0%. This analysis indicated an approximate implied equity value reference range for FNTG of \$6.213 billion to \$7.273 billion.

Selected Companies Analysis – Restaurant Group. In its selected companies analysis of FNF's restaurant group investment, Credit Suisse reviewed financial information of ABRH and J. Alexander's and financial and stock market information of the following 15 selected publicly traded companies, 13 of which operate in the family and casual dining restaurant industry, referred to as the selected casual dining companies, and two of which operate in the fine dining restaurant industry, referred to as the selected fine dining companies:

Selected Casual Dining Companies

BJ's Restaurants, Inc.

Bloomin' Brands, Inc.

Bob Evans Farms, Inc.

Brinker International, Inc.

Buffalo Wild Wings, Inc.

CEC Entertainment, Inc.

Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc.

Darden Restaurants, Inc.

Ignite Restaurant Group, Inc.

Red Robin Gourmet Burgers, Inc.

Ruby Tuesday, Inc.

Texas Roadhouse, Inc.

The Cheesecake Factory Incorporated

Selected Fine Dining Companies

Del Frisco's Restaurant Group, Inc.

Ruth's Hospitality Group, Inc.

Credit Suisse reviewed enterprise values of the selected companies, calculated as equity values based on closing stock prices on May 24, 2013 plus debt and minority interests less cash and cash equivalents, as a multiple of calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA. The overall low, mean, median and high calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA multiples observed for the selected companies were 6.0x, 9.0x, 9.1x and 10.9x, respectively, with observed mean and median calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA multiples of 8.9x and 9.1x, respectively, for the selected casual dining companies and 9.7x and 9.7x, respectively, for the selected fine dining companies. Credit Suisse then applied a selected range of calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA multiples of 7.0x to 8.0x (in the case of ABRH) and 7.5x to 8.5x (in the case of J. Alexander's) derived from the selected companies to corresponding data of ABRH and J. Alexander's. This analysis indicated an approximate implied equity value reference range for FNF's restaurant group investment of \$307 million to \$358 million.

Table of Contents

Selected Companies Analysis – Remy International. In its selected companies analysis of Remy International, Credit Suisse reviewed financial information of Remy International and financial and stock market information of the following 22 selected publicly traded companies, 14 of which are light vehicle suppliers, referred to as the selected light vehicle suppliers, five of which are heavy vehicle suppliers, referred to as the selected heavy vehicle suppliers, and three of which are aftermarket automotive suppliers, referred to as the selected aftermarket suppliers:

Selected Light Vehicle Suppliers	Selected Heavy Vehicle Suppliers
American Axle & Manufacturing Holdings Inc.	Commercial Vehicle Group, Inc.
Autoliv, Inc.	Cummins Inc.
BorgWarner Inc.	Meritor, Inc.
Dana Holding Corporation	Modine Manufacturing Company
Delphi Automotive PLC	Stoneridge, Inc.
Lear Corporation	
Linamar Corporation	
Magna International Inc.	Selected Aftermarket Suppliers
Martinrea International Inc.	Dorman Products, Inc.
Superior Industries International, Inc.	Motorcar Parts of America, Inc.
Tenneco Inc.	Standard Motor Products, Inc.
Tower International, Inc.	
TRW Automotive Holdings Corp.	
Visteon Corporation	

Credit Suisse reviewed enterprise values of the selected companies, calculated as equity values based on closing stock prices on May 24, 2013 plus debt and minority interests less cash and cash equivalents, as a multiple of calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA. The overall low, mean, median and high calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA multiples observed for the selected companies were 4.3x, 6.3x, 5.5x and 11.5x, respectively, with observed mean and median calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA multiples of 5.7x and 5.2x, respectively, for the selected light vehicle suppliers, 7.0x and 6.5x, respectively, for the selected heavy vehicle suppliers and 8.1x and 7.7x, respectively, for the selected aftermarket suppliers. Credit Suisse then applied a selected range of calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA multiples of 5.5x to 6.5x derived from the selected companies to corresponding data of Remy International. This analysis indicated an approximate implied equity value reference range for FNF's investment in Remy International of \$233 million to \$296 million.

Selected Companies Analysis – Ceridian. In its selected companies analysis of Ceridian, Credit Suisse reviewed financial information of Ceridian and financial and stock market information of the following four selected publicly traded companies, two of which operate in the human capital management industry, referred to as the selected human capital management companies, and two of which operate in the fleet card processing industry, referred to as the selected fleet card processing companies:

Selected Human Capital Management Companies	Selected Fleet Card Processing Companies
Automatic Data Processing, Inc.	FleetCor Technologies, Inc.
Paychex, Inc.	WEX Inc.

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

Credit Suisse reviewed enterprise values of the selected companies, calculated as equity values based on closing stock prices on May 24, 2013 plus debt and minority interests less cash and cash equivalents, as a multiple of calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA. The overall low, mean, median and high calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA multiples observed for the selected companies were 9.8x, 12.9x, 12.9x and 16.0x, respectively, with an observed mean and median calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA multiple of 12.9x for both the selected human capital management companies and the selected fleet card processing companies. Credit

- 88 -

Table of Contents

Suisse then applied a selected range of calendar year 2013 estimated EBITDA multiples of 10.0x to 11.0x derived from the selected companies to corresponding data of Ceridian. This analysis indicated an approximate implied equity value reference range for FNF's investment in Ceridian of \$364 million to \$516 million.

The sum-of-the-parts dividend discount analysis and selected companies analysis above, after taking into account the purchase price paid by FNF for its investment in Digital Insurance and certain other equity investments, the unallocated corporate 2013 estimated unlevered net income of FNF capitalized at 12.0x to 13.5x and the net debt of FNF (excluding (i) the net debt of ABRH, J. Alexander's, Digital Insurance and Remy International and (ii) cash and investments held by FNTG) based on internal estimates of the management of FNF and public filings, indicated the following overall approximate implied equity value per share reference range for FNF, as compared to FNF's closing stock price on May 22, 2013 (the last trading day prior to publication of an article regarding the proposed merger):

Implied Equity Value		Closing Stock Price on
Per Share Reference Range		May 22, 2013
\$25.85	\$30.56	\$24.37

Other Information. Credit Suisse also noted for the LPS board of directors certain additional factors that were not considered part of Credit Suisse's financial analyses with respect to its opinion but were referenced for informational purposes, including the following:

historical trading prices of LPS common stock and FNF common stock during the 52-week period ended May 22, 2013 (the last trading day prior to publication of an article regarding the proposed merger), which indicated low and high closing stock prices for LPS common stock of approximately \$21.44 and \$30.63 per share and for FNF common stock of approximately \$18.35 to \$26.85 per share, respectively; and

stock price targets for LPS common stock and FNF common stock in publicly available Wall Street research analyst reports, which indicated low and high stock price targets for LPS of \$22.00 and \$36.00 per share and for FNF of approximately \$26.00 to \$28.00 per share, respectively.

Miscellaneous

LPS selected Credit Suisse to act as its financial advisor in connection with the merger based on Credit Suisse's qualifications, experience and reputation. Credit Suisse is an internationally recognized investment banking firm and is regularly engaged in the valuation of businesses and securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions, leveraged buyouts, negotiated underwritings, competitive biddings, secondary distributions of listed and unlisted securities, private placements and valuations for corporate and other purposes.

LPS has agreed to pay Credit Suisse for its financial advisory services to LPS in connection with the proposed merger an aggregate fee currently estimated to be approximately \$20 million, portions of which were payable during the course of Credit Suisse's engagement and upon delivery of Credit Suisse's opinion and approximately \$19 million of which is contingent upon completion of the merger. In addition, LPS has agreed to reimburse Credit Suisse for its expenses, including fees and expenses of legal counsel, and to indemnify Credit Suisse and related parties for certain liabilities and other items, including liabilities under the federal securities laws, arising out of or related to its engagement. Credit Suisse and its affiliates in the past have provided, currently are providing and in the future may provide services to certain entities in which FNF has an investment, including Ceridian, certain investment partners of FNF, including THL, and certain of their respective affiliates unrelated to the merger, for which services Credit Suisse and its affiliates have received, and expect to receive, compensation, including, among other things, having acted or acting in various roles in connection with securities offerings of Ceridian and certain of THL's portfolio companies, as financial advisor to THL and certain of its portfolio companies in connection with certain sale and acquisition transactions and as a lender in connection with credit facilities of certain such portfolio companies. In addition, Credit Suisse and certain of its

Table of Contents

affiliates, and certain of Credit Suisse s and its affiliates respective employees and certain investment funds affiliated or associated with Credit Suisse, have invested in investment funds managed or advised by THL. From January 1, 2011 through May 27, 2013, no investment banking services were provided by Credit Suisse to FNF for which Credit Suisse or its affiliates received fees. During such period, Credit Suisse and its affiliates received aggregate fees of approximately \$26 million for investment banking services to entities identified by THL as controlled portfolio companies of THL. Credit Suisse is a full service securities firm engaged in securities trading and brokerage activities as well as providing investment banking and other financial services. In the ordinary course of business, Credit Suisse and its affiliates may acquire, hold or sell, for Credit Suisse s and its affiliates own accounts and the accounts of customers, equity, debt and other securities and financial instruments (including bank loans and other obligations) of LPS, FNF and their respective affiliates and any other company that may be involved in the merger, as well as provide investment banking and other financial services to such companies.

Opinion of Goldman Sachs & Co. to the LPS Board of Directors

Goldman Sachs & Co. (Goldman Sachs) rendered its opinion to the LPS board of directors to the effect that, as of May 28, 2013, and based upon and subject to the factors and assumptions set forth in its written opinion, the Per Share Consideration to be paid to holders (other than FNF and its affiliates) of LPS common stock pursuant to the merger agreement was fair from a financial point of view to such holders. For purposes of Goldman Sachs opinion, Per Share Consideration was defined as \$16.625 in cash and 0.65224 shares of FNF common stock (or, in the event that the average FNF share price over the period prior to closing specified in the merger agreement, is below \$24.215, a number of shares (or fraction thereof) of FNF common stock determined by dividing \$15.794, by the greater of such average FNF share price and \$20.00). The Per Share Consideration of \$16.625 in cash and 0.65224 shares of FNF common stock was used in Goldman Sachs opinion because that was the consideration mix reflected in the merger agreement on May 28, 2013, before FNF increased the cash consideration to \$22.303 per share.

The full text of the written opinion of Goldman Sachs, dated May 28, 2013, which sets forth assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken in connection with the opinion, is attached as Annex C to this joint proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated herein by reference. Goldman Sachs provided its opinion for the information and assistance of the LPS board of directors in connection with its consideration of the merger. The Goldman Sachs opinion does not constitute a recommendation as to how any holder of shares of LPS should vote with respect to the merger or any other matter.

Under the terms of the merger agreement, under certain circumstances, FNF has the right to increase the amount of the cash consideration, in which case the share consideration would be subject to adjustment. Goldman Sachs did not express any opinion with respect to any such increase or adjustment.

In connection with rendering the opinion described above and performing its related financial analyses, Goldman Sachs reviewed, among other things:

the merger agreement;

annual reports to stockholders and Annual Reports on Form 10-K of LPS and FNF for the five fiscal years ended December 31, 2012, respectively;

certain interim reports to stockholders and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q of LPS and FNF, respectively;

certain other communications from LPS and FNF to their respective stockholders;

certain publicly available research analyst reports for LPS and FNF;

certain internal financial analyses and forecasts for LPS prepared by its management and for FNF prepared by its management, as adjusted by the management of LPS, in each case, as approved for Goldman Sachs use by LPS (the Forecasts); and

certain cost savings projected by the management of FNF to result from the merger, as approved for Goldman Sachs use by LPS (the Synergies).

Table of Contents

Goldman Sachs also held discussions with members of the senior managements of LPS and FNF regarding their assessment of the strategic rationale for, and the potential benefits of, the merger and the past and current business operations, financial condition and future prospects of their respective companies and with members of the senior management of LPS regarding their assessment of the past and current business operations, financial condition and future prospects of FNF. In addition, Goldman Sachs reviewed the reported price and trading activity for the shares of LPS and the shares of FNF common stock, compared certain financial and stock market information for LPS and FNF with similar information for certain other companies the securities of which are publicly traded, reviewed the financial terms of certain recent business combinations in the transaction processing industry and in other industries and performed such other studies and analyses, and considered such other factors, as it deemed appropriate.

For purposes of rendering the opinion described above, Goldman Sachs, with the LPS board of directors' consent, relied upon and assumed the accuracy and completeness of all of the financial, legal, regulatory, tax, accounting and other information provided to, discussed with or reviewed by, it, without assuming any responsibility for independent verification thereof. In that regard, Goldman Sachs assumed, with the LPS board of directors' consent, that the Forecasts and the Synergies were reasonably prepared on a basis reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the management of LPS. In addition, Goldman Sachs did not make an independent evaluation or appraisal of the assets and liabilities (including any contingent, derivative or off-balance-sheet assets and liabilities) of LPS or FNF or any of their respective subsidiaries, nor were they furnished with any such evaluation or appraisal. Goldman Sachs assumed that all governmental, regulatory or other consents and approvals necessary for the consummation of the merger will be obtained without any adverse effect on LPS or FNF or on the expected benefits of the merger in any way meaningful to its analysis. Goldman Sachs assumed that the merger will be consummated on the terms set forth in the merger agreement, without the waiver or modification of any term or condition the effect of which would be in any way meaningful to its analysis.

Goldman Sachs' opinion does not address the underlying business decision of LPS to engage in the merger, or the relative merits of the merger as compared to any strategic alternatives that may be available to LPS; nor does it address any legal, regulatory, tax or accounting matters. Goldman Sachs' opinion addresses only the fairness from a financial point of view to the holders (other than FNF and its affiliates) of LPS common stock, as of the date of the written opinion, of the Per Share Consideration to be paid such holders pursuant to the merger agreement. Goldman Sachs did not express any view on, and its opinion does not address, any other term or aspect of the merger agreement or the merger or any term or aspect of any other agreement or instrument contemplated by the merger agreement or entered into or amended in connection with the merger, including the fairness of the merger to, or any consideration received in connection therewith by, the holders of any other class of securities, creditors, or other constituencies of LPS; nor as to the fairness of the amount or nature of any compensation to be paid or payable to any of the officers, directors or employees of LPS, or class of such persons, in connection with the merger, whether relative to the Per Share Consideration to be paid to the holders (other than FNF and its affiliates) of LPS common stock pursuant to the merger agreement or otherwise. Goldman Sachs did not express any opinion as to the prices at which shares of FNF common stock will trade at any time or as to the impact of the merger on the solvency or viability of LPS or FNF or the ability of LPS or FNF to pay their respective obligations when they come due. Goldman Sachs' opinion was necessarily based on economic, monetary, market and other conditions as in effect on, and the information made available to it as of, the date of the opinion and Goldman Sachs assumed no responsibility for updating, revising or reaffirming its opinion based on circumstances, developments or events occurring after the date of its opinion. Goldman Sachs' opinion was approved by a fairness committee of Goldman Sachs.

The following is a summary of the material financial analyses presented by Goldman Sachs to the LPS board of directors in connection with rendering to the LPS board of directors the opinion described above. The following summary, however, does not purport to be a complete description of the financial analyses performed by Goldman Sachs, nor does the order of analyses described represent relative importance or weight given to those analyses by Goldman Sachs. Some of the summaries of the financial analyses include information

Table of Contents

presented in tabular format. The tables must be read together with the full text of each summary and are alone not a complete description of Goldman Sachs' financial analyses. Except as otherwise noted, the following quantitative information, to the extent that it is based on market data, is based on market data as it existed on or before May 24, 2013, and is not necessarily indicative of current market conditions.

Implied Transaction Premia and Multiples. Goldman Sachs calculated implied equity values for LPS applying both: (i) the closing price of the FNF common stock of \$26.18 on May 24, 2013, the last trading day prior to the announcement of the merger, and (ii) a share price for the FNF common stock of \$20.00, the bottom end of the collar in the merger (i.e., the FNF share price below which no increase in the exchange ratio would result).

Goldman Sachs calculated an implied equity value per share of LPS common stock of \$33.70 by multiplying the closing price of the FNF common stock of \$26.18 on May 24, 2013 by the unadjusted exchange ratio in the merger of 0.65224 and adding to the result the unadjusted cash consideration per share in the merger of \$16.625. Goldman Sachs then calculated an implied equity value for LPS (the Initial LPS Implied Equity Value) by multiplying the \$33.70 implied equity value per share of LPS common stock by the number of outstanding shares of LPS common stock on a fully diluted basis, as provided by LPS management. Goldman Sachs then calculated an implied enterprise value of LPS (the Initial LPS Implied Enterprise Value) by adding to the Initial LPS Implied Equity Value the amount of LPS' net debt (total debt plus a legal and regulatory reserve amount less cash) as of March 31, 2013, as provided by LPS management.

Goldman Sachs also calculated an implied equity value per share of LPS common stock of \$32.42 by multiplying a share price for the FNF common stock of \$20.00, the bottom end of the collar in the merger (i.e., the FNF share price below which no increase in the exchange ratio would result), by 0.78970, the exchange ratio that would be applicable if the FNF share price prior to closing was at \$20.00 or less (assuming no adjustment to the cash consideration), and adding to the result the unadjusted cash consideration per share in the merger of \$16.625. Goldman Sachs then calculated an implied equity value for LPS (the Adjusted LPS Implied Equity Value) by multiplying the \$32.42 implied equity value per share of LPS common stock by the number of outstanding shares of LPS common stock on a fully diluted basis, as provided by LPS management. Goldman Sachs then calculated an implied enterprise value of LPS (the Adjusted LPS Implied Enterprise Value) by adding to the Adjusted LPS Implied Equity Value the amount of LPS' net debt (total debt plus a legal and regulatory reserve amount less cash) as of March 31, 2013, as provided by LPS management.

With respect to the implied equity value per share of LPS common stock of \$33.70 calculated by Goldman Sachs based on the closing price of the FNF common stock of \$26.18 on May 24, 2013 and the implied equity value per share of LPS common stock of \$32.42 calculated by Goldman Sachs based on a share price for the FNF common stock of \$20.00, respectively, Goldman Sachs calculated the premia represented by each such amount to the following historical trading prices for the shares of LPS common stock:

the price on May 22, 2013, the date on which a media report was issued stating that FNF would acquire LPS,

the price on April 25, 2013, the day prior to the date during which a media report suggested that LPS was a textbook buyout candidate,

the volume-weighted average trading prices for shares of LPS common stock over the one-month, three-month and one-year periods ended May 22, 2013, and

closing high for the shares of LPS common stock during the 52-week period ended May 22, 2013.

Table of Contents

The results of these calculations are summarized in the following table:

Date/Time Period	Premium/(Discount) Based to Implied LPS Price Based on the Closing Price of FNF on May 24, 2013	Premium/(Discount) Based on the Implied LPS Price at an FNF Price of \$20.00
May 22, 2013	15.8%	11.4%
April 25, 2013	30.1%	25.1%
1-Month Volume Weighted Average	20.6%	16.0%
3-Month Volume Weighted Average	28.8%	23.9%
1-Year Volume-Weighted Average	32.7%	27.6%
52 Week High	10.0%	5.8%

Goldman Sachs calculated the Initial LPS Implied Enterprise Value and the Adjusted LPS Implied Enterprise Value as a multiple of earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA, for the twelve month period ended March 31, 2013, LPS management's estimated EBITDA for LPS for 2013 and 2014 as reflected in the Forecasts, and the median estimates of EBITDA for LPS for 2013 and 2014 most recently published by the Institutional Brokers Estimate System, or IBES, as of May 22, 2013. The results of these calculations are summarized in the following table:

Enterprise Value as a Multiple of EBITDA

Time Period	Based on Initial LPS Implied Enterprise Value	Based on Collar Adjusted LPS Implied Enterprise Value
Twelve months ended March 31, 2013	7.4x	7.2x
2013	7.6x (Mgmt)	7.4x (Mgmt)
	8.1x (IBES)	7.8x (IBES)
2014	7.4x (Mgmt)	7.2x (Mgmt)
	8.2x (IBES)	8.0x (IBES)

Goldman Sachs also calculated the Initial LPS Implied Equity Value and the Adjusted LPS Implied Equity Value as a multiple of LPS management's estimated earnings per share, or EPS, for LPS for 2013 and 2014 as reflected in the Forecasts, and the median estimates of EPS for LPS for 2013 and 2014 most recently published by IBES as of May 22, 2013. The results of these calculations are summarized in the following table:

Equity Value as a Multiple of EPS

Time Period	Based on the Initial LPS Implied Equity Value	Based on the Adjusted LPS Implied Equity Value
2013	12.4x (Mgmt)	12.0x (Mgmt)
	12.9x (IBES)	12.4x (IBES)

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

2014

12.7x (Mgmt)

12.3x (Mgmt)

12.7x (IBES)

12.2x (IBES)

- 93 -

Table of Contents

LPS Financial Analysis

Selected Companies Analysis. Goldman Sachs reviewed and compared certain financial information for LPS to corresponding financial information and public market multiples for CoreLogic Inc., or CoreLogic, and the following publicly traded corporations in the financial processing, mortgage servicer and title company industries:

Selected Financial Processing Companies

Fiserv, Inc.

Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.

Total System Services, Inc.

Jack Henry & Associates, Inc.

Altisource Portfolio Solutions S.A.

Selected Mortgage Servicer Companies

Ocwen Financial Corp.

Nationstar Mortgage Holdings Inc.

Walter Investment Management Corporation.

Selected Title Companies

Fidelity National Financial, Inc.

First American Financial Corporation

Old Republic International Corporation

Stewart Information Services Corporation

Although none of the selected companies is directly comparable to LPS, the companies included were chosen because they are publicly traded companies with operations that for purposes of analysis may, in certain respects, be considered similar to certain operations of LPS.

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

Goldman Sachs calculated for LPS, CoreLogic and the selected financial processor companies the multiples represented by their respective enterprise values over the period since the spin-off of LPS from Fidelity National Information Services in July 2008 as compared to median one-year forward estimates of EBITDA for those companies published by IBES over that period. The results of these calculations are summarized in the following table:

Enterprise Value as a Multiple of One Year Forward IBES Estimated EBITDA

	Since LPS Spin-off			1 Year	Average Since	
	High	Low	Median		LPS Spin-off	As of May 24, 2013
LPS	9.1x	4.7x	6.3x	6.2x	6.5x	7.2x (1)
CoreLogic Inc.	9.0x	5.0x	6.8x	7.4x	6.8x	7.0x
Financial Processors (median multiples)	10.0x	5.6x	8.3x	9.0x	8.1x	10.0x

- (1) With respect to LPS, share price data is as of May 22, 2013. After the close of trading on that day, a media report was issued stating that FNF was in discussions to acquire LPS.

Table of Contents

Based on the foregoing, and utilizing its professional judgment and experience, Goldman Sachs applied illustrative multiples ranging from 5.5x to 7.5x to the average of the median estimates of 2013 EBITDA for LPS most recently published by IBES as of March 24, 2013 and LPS management's estimated 2013 EBITDA for LPS as reflected in the Forecasts, to derive a range of implied enterprise values for LPS. Goldman Sachs then deducted LPS net debt as of March 31, 2013 from this range of implied enterprise values and divided the results by the number of fully diluted outstanding shares of LPS, as provided by LPS management to derive a range of implied values per share of LPS of \$20.35 to \$31.74.

Goldman Sachs calculated for LPS, CoreLogic and the selected financial processor, title insurer and mortgage services companies multiples represented by their respective share prices over the period since the spin-off of LPS from Fidelity National Information Services in July 2008 as compared to median one-year forward EPS estimates for those companies published by IBES over that period. The results of these calculations are summarized in the following table:

Share Price as a Multiple of Forward IBES Estimated EPS

	Since LPS Spin-off			1 Year	Average Since	
	High	Low	Median		LPS Spin-off	As of May 24, 2013(1)
LPS	14.8x	5.4x	9.7x	9.8x	9.8x	11.1x
CoreLogic Inc.	23.6x	8.3x	17.7x	17.8x	17.7x	14.9x
Financial Processors (median multiples)	19.1x	8.8x	14.5x	16.5x	14.7x	15.9x
Title Insurers (median multiples)	28.4x	6.8x	13.8x	11.5x	13.2x	12.5x
Mortgage Servicers (median multiples)	17.9x	7.4x	12.0x	12.9x	12.3x	9.6x

(1) With respect to LPS and the title insurers, share price data is as of May 22, 2013. After the close of trading on that day, a media report was issued stating that FNF was in discussions to acquire LPS.

Based on the foregoing, and utilizing its professional judgment and experience, Goldman Sachs applied illustrative multiples ranging from 9.0x to 12.0x to the average of the median estimates of 2013 EPS for LPS published by IBES and LPS management's estimated 2013 EPS for LPS as reflected in the Forecasts. This analysis yielded a range of implied values per share of LPS of \$23.96 to \$31.95.

Illustrative Discounted Cash Flow Analysis. Goldman Sachs performed an illustrative discounted cash flow analysis of LPS to determine a range of illustrative implied present values per share of LPS based on estimates of the unlevered free cash flows for LPS for the years ending December 31, 2013 through 2017 based on the Forecasts. Utilizing discount rates ranging from 8.5% to 10.0%, reflecting estimates of LPS weighted average cost of capital, Goldman Sachs derived a range of implied enterprise values for LPS by discounting to present value (i) estimates of the unlevered free cash flows for LPS during the nine-month period ended December 31, 2013 and for the years 2014-2017 based on the Forecasts and (ii) a range of illustrative terminal values for LPS as of December 31, 2017. Goldman Sachs derived the range of illustrative terminal values for LPS by applying illustrative EBITDA multiples ranging from 5.5x to 7.5x to LPS management's estimated 2017 EBITDA for LPS as reflected in the Forecasts. Goldman Sachs then deducted LPS net debt as of March 31, 2013 from the range of implied enterprise values it derived and divided the results by the number of fully diluted outstanding shares of LPS, as provided by LPS management, to derive a range of implied present values of \$26.50 to \$37.25 per share of LPS.

Selected Transactions Analysis. Goldman Sachs analyzed certain information relating to the following selected transactions involving companies in the transaction processing industry since 2005:

CGI Group Holdings Europe Limited's acquisition of Logica plc

Xerox Corporation's acquisition of Affiliated Computer Services, Inc.

Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.'s acquisition of Metavante Technologies, Inc.

Fidelity National Information Services, Inc. s acquisition of Certegy, Inc.

- 95 -

Table of Contents

Advent International's acquisition of Fifth Third Processing Solutions, LLC's

Hewlett-Packard Company's acquisition of Electronic Data Systems Corporation

M&F Worldwide Corp.'s acquisition of John H. Harland Company

While none of the companies that participated in the selected transactions is directly comparable to LPS and none of the transactions in the selected transactions analysis is directly comparable to the merger, Goldman Sachs selected these transactions because each of the target companies in the selected transactions was involved in the transaction processing industry. For each of the selected transactions, Goldman Sachs calculated and compared enterprise value as a multiple of the EBITDA of the target company over the last completed four quarter, or the LTM, period prior to the announcement of the applicable transaction, based on information in public filings, press releases and financial media reports relating to the applicable transaction. The results of these calculations are set forth below:

Enterprise Value as a Multiple of:	Selected Transactions	
	High-Low	Median
LTM EBITDA	5.7x-10.3x	7.5x

Based on the foregoing, and its professional judgment and experience, Goldman Sachs applied illustrative enterprise values to LTM EBITDA multiples ranging from 6.0x to 7.5x to LPS' EBITDA for the four quarter period ended March 31, 2013, to derive a range of implied enterprise values for LPS. Goldman Sachs then deducted LPS' net debt as of March 31, 2013 from this range of implied enterprise values and divided the results by the number of fully diluted outstanding shares of LPS, as provided by LPS management, to derive a range of implied values per share of LPS of \$25.42 to \$34.41.

Illustrative Present Value of Future Stock Price Analysis. Goldman Sachs performed an illustrative analysis of the implied present value of theoretical future share prices for LPS. This analysis is designed to provide an indication of the present value of a theoretical future value of a company's equity per share as a function of such company's estimated future EBITDA, illustrative multiples of the company's enterprise values to the company's LTM EBITDA. For this analysis, Goldman Sachs first calculated implied enterprise values of LPS as of December 31, 2013 through 2017 by multiplying illustrative enterprise value to LTM EBITDA multiples ranging from 5.5x to 7.5x to LPS management's estimates of EBITDA for each of 2013 to 2017 as reflected in the Forecasts and the median EBITDA estimates for LPS for each of 2013 and 2014 published by IBES, deducting management's estimate of LPS' net debt as of the end of each such year as reflected in the Forecast, and dividing the result by the number of fully diluted outstanding shares of LPS, as provided by LPS management. The range of illustrative enterprise value to LTM EBITDA multiples used was selected by Goldman Sachs utilizing its professional judgment and experience taking into account current and historical value to EBITDA multiples for LPS, CoreLogic and the selected financial processing companies referred to above under *Selected Companies Analysis*. Goldman Sachs then discounted those implied values per share of LPS using a discount rate of 12.5%, reflecting an estimate of LPS' cost of equity. This analysis resulted in a range of implied present values per share of LPS of \$21.32 to \$32.97.

FNF Financial Analysis

Selected Companies Analysis. Goldman Sachs reviewed and compared certain financial information for FNF to corresponding financial information and public market multiples for the following publicly traded title companies:

First American Financial Corporation (FAF)

Stewart Information Services Corporation (STC)

Old Republic International Corporation (ORI)

Table of Contents

Although none of the selected companies is directly comparable to FNF, the companies included were chosen because they are publicly traded companies with operations that for purposes of analysis may, in certain respects, be considered similar to certain operations of FNF.

Goldman Sachs calculated for FNF, FAF and STC multiples represented by their respective share prices over the two year period from May 24, 2011 through May 24, 2013 as compared to median one-year forward EPS estimates for those companies published by IBES over that period. The results of these calculations are summarized in the following table:

Price as a Multiple of Forward IBES Estimated EPS

	Since May 24, 2011			1 Year	Average Over Period 2	
	High	Low	Median		Years	As of May 24, 2013
FNF	16.8x	9.2x	13.1x	12.6x	12.9x	13.2x
FAF	29.1x	9.0x	13.3x	11.9x	15.1x	11.5x
STC	21.4x	8.7x	15.7x	13.8x	14.5x	12.5x

Based on the foregoing, and utilizing its professional judgment and experience, Goldman Sachs applied illustrative multiples ranging from 12.0x to 13.0x to the average of the median estimates of 2013 EPS for FNF published by IBES and FNF management's estimated 2013 EPS for FNF. This analysis yielded a range of implied values per share of FNF of 24.12 to \$26.13.

Goldman Sachs calculated for FNF, FAF, STC and ORI multiples represented by their respective equity market values (share price multiplied by fully diluted shares outstanding) over the two year period from May 24, 2011 through May 24, 2013 as compared to their respective trailing twelve month book values. The results of these calculations are summarized in the following table:

Equity Market Value as a Multiple of Trailing Book Value

	Since May 24, 2011			1 Year	Average Over Period 2	
	High	Low	Median		Years	As of May 24, 2013
FNF	1.4x	0.9x	1.1x	1.3x	1.1x	1.4x
FAF	1.2x	0.6x	0.9x	1.0x	0.9x	1.1x
STC	1.1x	0.4x	0.6x	0.9x	0.7x	1.1x
ORI	1.0x	0.5x	0.7x	0.7x	0.7x	1.0x

Based on the foregoing, and utilizing its professional judgment and experience, Goldman Sachs applied illustrative multiples ranging from 1.2x to 1.4x to the FNF's GAAP book value as of March 31, 2013 (excluding minority interest). This analysis yielded a range of implied values per share of FNF of 22.41 to \$26.06.

Sum-of-the Parts Analysis. Goldman Sachs performed a sum-of-the-parts analyses of FNF deriving implied values for the each of the following businesses and investments of FNF:

Fidelity National Title Group (FNTG)

Remy International, Inc. (Remy International)

American Blue Ribbon Holdings, LLC (ABRH)

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

J. Alexander s LLC (J. Alexander s)

Digital Insurance, Inc. (Digital Insurance)

Ceridian Corporation (Ceridian)

- 97 -

Table of Contents

Other equity investments of FNF

FNF corporate.

Goldman Sachs derived a range of implied values for FNTG by performing an illustrative dividend discount analysis. For purposes of this analysis, Goldman Sachs, applied discount rates ranging from 8.0% to 10.0%, reflecting estimates of FNF's cost of equity, to the estimates as reflected in the Forecasts of the dividends to be received by FNF from FNTG during the nine-month period ended December 31, 2013 and for the years 2014- 2017 and to a range of illustrative terminal values for the FNTG as of December 31, 2017. Goldman Sachs derived illustrative terminal values for the FNTG by applying multiples ranging from 12.0x -13.0x to the estimate of the 2018 net income from FNTG reflected in the Forecasts.

With respect to each of Remy International, ABRH, J. Alexander's and Ceridian, Goldman Sachs applied a range of multiples, determined based on its review of financial information, market multiples of selected publicly traded companies, and its professional judgment and experience, to FNF's management's estimates of each business's EBITDA for 2013 to derive ranges of implied enterprise values for each business. Goldman Sachs deducted from the range of the implied enterprise values it derived for each business the business's net debt and minority interests as of March 31, 2013 and multiplied the result by FNF's ownership of each business to derive a range of implied equity values for FNF's interest in each business.

With respect to Digital Insurance and the other equity investments of FNF, Goldman Sachs used FNF's book value as of March 31, 2013, as provided by FNF management for purpose of its analysis.

For purposes of this analysis, based on its professional judgment and experience, Goldman Sachs applied multiples ranging from 12.0x to 13.0x to the estimate of FNF's 2013 unlevered corporate net income as reflected in the Forecasts to derive a range of implied values for FNF corporate.

Goldman Sachs added together the ranges of implied values it derived based on the foregoing analysis to derive a range of implied enterprise values for FNF. Goldman Sachs reduced the range of implied enterprise values for FNF by FNF's corporate level net debt as of March 31, 2013 as provided by FNF management and divided the result by the number of FNF fully diluted shares outstanding as provided by FNF management to derive a range of implied values per share of FNF of \$26.82 to \$30.91.

The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process and is not necessarily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. Selecting portions of the analyses or of the summary set forth above, without considering the analyses as a whole, could create an incomplete view of the processes underlying Goldman Sachs' opinion. In arriving at its fairness determination, Goldman Sachs considered the results of all of its analyses and did not attribute any particular weight to any factor or analysis considered by it. Rather, Goldman Sachs made its determination as to fairness on the basis of its experience and professional judgment after considering the results of all of its analyses. No company (other than LPS) or transaction used in the above analyses as a comparison is directly comparable to LPS or the merger.

Goldman Sachs prepared these analyses for purposes of Goldman Sachs' providing its opinion to the LPS board of directors as to the fairness from a financial point of view of the Per Share Consideration to be paid to holders (other than FNF and its affiliates) of LPS shares pursuant to the merger agreement. These analyses do not purport to be appraisals nor do they necessarily reflect the prices at which businesses or securities actually may be sold. Analyses based upon forecasts of future results, including estimates of achievable synergies, are not necessarily indicative of actual future results, which may be significantly more or less favorable than suggested by these analyses. Because these analyses are inherently subject to uncertainty, being based upon numerous factors or events beyond the control of the parties or their respective advisors, none of LPS, Goldman Sachs or any other person assumes responsibility if future results are materially different from those forecast.

The Per Share Consideration to be paid pursuant to the merger agreement was determined through arm's-length negotiations between LPS and FNF and was approved by the LPS board of directors. Goldman Sachs provided advice to LPS during these negotiations. Goldman Sachs did not, however, recommend any amount of consideration to LPS or its board of directors or that any specific amount of consideration constituted the only appropriate amount of consideration for the merger.

Table of Contents

As described above, Goldman Sachs' opinion to the LPS board of directors was one of a number of factors taken into consideration by the LPS board of directors in making its determination to approve the merger agreement and the merger. The foregoing summary does not purport to be a complete description of the analyses performed by Goldman Sachs in connection with the fairness opinion and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the written opinion of Goldman Sachs attached as Annex C.

Goldman Sachs and its affiliates are engaged in advisory, underwriting and financing, principal investment, sales and trading, research, investment management and other financial and non-financial activities for various persons and entities. Goldman Sachs and its affiliates and employees, and funds or other entities in which they invest or with which they co-invest, may at any time purchase, sell, hold or vote long or short positions and investments in securities, derivatives, loans, commodities, currencies, credit default swaps and other financial instruments of LPS, FNF and any of their respective affiliates, including affiliates and portfolio companies of THL, affiliates of which are party to the Initial Equity Financing Letter (as defined in the merger agreement) and the Stock Purchase Agreement (as defined in the Initial Equity Financing Letter), or any currency or commodity that may be involved in the merger for the accounts of Goldman Sachs and its affiliates and employees and their customers. Goldman Sachs has acted as financial advisor to LPS in connection with, and has participated in certain of the negotiations leading to, the merger. Goldman Sachs expects to receive fees for its services in connection with the merger, the principal portion of which is contingent upon consummation of the merger, and LPS has agreed to reimburse Goldman Sachs' expenses arising, and indemnify Goldman Sachs against certain liabilities that may arise, out of the engagement. Goldman Sachs has provided certain investment banking services to LPS and its affiliates from time to time for which Goldman Sachs' Investment Banking Division has received, and may receive, compensation, including having acted as joint book-running manager with respect to a public offering of LPS' 5 3/4% Notes due April 2023 in September 2012 (\$600 million principal amount) and as a participant in LPS' revolving credit facility, Term Loan A and Term Loan B since August 2011 (\$1,185,000,000 aggregate facility amount). Goldman Sachs also has provided from time to time, and is currently providing, certain investment banking services to THL and its affiliates and portfolio companies for which Goldman Sachs' Investment Banking Division has received, and may receive, compensation, including having acted as financial advisor to Warner Music Group, a portfolio company of THL, in connection with its sale in July 2011, as a bookrunner with respect to the issuance by Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings, Inc., a portfolio company of THL, of its 7 5/8% Notes due March 2020 in February 2012, as joint-lead arranger with respect to term loans maturing in July 2016 provided to Aramark Corporation, a portfolio company of THL, (aggregate principal amount of \$3,286,495,000) in December 2012, as a bookrunner with respect to a public offering of 38,500,000 shares of common stock of Nielsen Holdings N.V., a portfolio company of THL, in February 2013, and as lead-bookrunner with respect to an initial public offering of 21,275,000 shares of common stock of West Corporation, a portfolio company of THL, in March 2013. Goldman Sachs may also in the future provide investment banking services to LPS, FNF and their respective affiliates and to THL and its affiliates and portfolio companies for which Goldman Sachs' Investment Banking Division may receive compensation. Affiliates of Goldman Sachs also may have co-invested with THL and its affiliates from time to time and may have invested in limited partnership units of affiliates of THL from time to time and may do so in the future. During the two year period ended May 28, 2013, the Investment Banking Division of Goldman Sachs has received compensation for financial advisory and underwriting services provided directly to THL and to its affiliates and portfolio companies (including companies which are not controlled by THL) of approximately \$97 million. During the two year period ended May 28, 2013, Goldman Sachs has not been engaged by FNF or its affiliates to provide financial advisory or underwriting services for which the Investment Banking Division of Goldman Sachs has received compensation.

The LPS board of directors selected Goldman Sachs as its financial advisor because it is an internationally recognized investment banking firm that has substantial experience in transactions similar to the merger and because of its particular prior experience advising LPS, familiarity with LPS' business and capital structure, and knowledge of and experience with the industries in which LPS and FNF operate. Pursuant to a letter agreement dated March 15, 2013, LPS engaged Goldman Sachs to act as its financial advisor in connection with the contemplated transaction. Pursuant to the terms of this engagement letter, LPS has agreed to pay Goldman Sachs

Table of Contents

a transaction fee of 0.50% of the aggregate consideration paid in connection with the merger, or approximately \$20 million, all of which will become payable upon consummation of the merger. In negotiating the fee payable to Goldman Sachs, LPS considered the fact that it intended to engage two financial advisors and, taking into account its financial analysis of the transaction, the fees that it expected should be payable to its financial advisors in the aggregate. In addition, LPS has agreed to reimburse Goldman Sachs for its expenses, including attorneys' fees and disbursements, and to indemnify Goldman Sachs and related persons against various liabilities, including certain liabilities under the federal securities laws.

Interests of LPS Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger

Overview

Certain executive officers and directors of LPS may be deemed to have interests in the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement that may be different from, or in addition to, those of LPS stockholders generally. In considering the recommendation of the LPS board of directors that you vote to approve the merger proposal and related proposals, you should be aware of these interests. The LPS board of directors was aware of these interests and considered them, among other matters, in evaluating and reaching the decision to approve the merger agreement, and in recommending that LPS stockholders vote in favor of adopting the merger agreement. See the sections entitled *Background of the Merger* and *LPS Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the LPS Board of Directors* above for further discussion of these matters. As described in more detail below, these interests include:

the accelerated vesting as of the effective time of the merger of shares of LPS restricted stock (referred to as restricted shares) subject to service-based vesting and performance-based vesting outstanding immediately prior to such effective time (with restricted shares subject to performance-based vesting being deemed to vest based on attainment of applicable performance goals at target level) and the conversion at the effective time of the merger of each such restricted share into the right to receive (A) the merger consideration and (B) cash equal to the accrued but unpaid dividends (referred to as accumulated dividends), if any, in respect of such restricted share;

the net exercise of vested and unvested LPS stock options (referred to as stock options) outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger with a per share exercise price that is less than the fair market value (as defined in LPS 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of a share of LPS common stock, and the conversion at the effective time of the merger of the shares of LPS common stock received upon such net exercise into the right to receive the merger consideration and, in the case of any fractional shares, cash;

(A) the purchase of shares of LPS common stock immediately prior to the effective time of the merger using accumulated contributions under the LPS Employee Stock Purchase Plan (referred to as the LPS ESPP) and the cancellation and conversion of such shares of LPS common stock into the right to receive the merger consideration and (B) the receipt after the effective time of the merger of a cash payment equal to LPS matching contributions payable with respect to participant contributions made prior to the effective time of the merger that would have been credited based on service after the effective time of the merger;

the accelerated vesting of outstanding cash retention incentive awards, whether subject to service-based or performance-based vesting (referred to as cash retention incentive awards) which will be payable after the effective time of the merger;

if the effective time of the merger occurs on or prior to December 31, 2013, eligibility to receive a pro-rata annual or quarterly incentive bonus, as applicable, for the fiscal year or fiscal quarter in which the effective time of the merger occurs (referred to as pro-rata incentive bonuses) under LPS annual and quarterly cash incentive plans based on a projection of the extent to which applicable performance goals thereunder would have been achieved (assuming that the level of performance during the period before the effective time of the merger would continue until the end of the applicable fiscal year or fiscal quarter);

Table of Contents

eligibility to receive certain payments and benefits under certain executive officers' employment agreements (referred to as employment agreements) upon certain types of terminations of employment at or following the effective time of the merger;

in the case of certain directors, distribution of account balances under LPS' deferred compensation plan in the event of termination of service within 24 months after the effective time of the merger; and

entitlement to the indemnification and exculpation benefits in favor of directors and officers of LPS described in more detail below under the heading *Other Payments under the Merger Agreement - Indemnification and Exculpation of Directors and Officers*.

For purposes of all of the agreements and plans described below, the consummation of the merger as contemplated by the merger agreement will constitute a change in control or change of control at the effective time of the merger.

Cash Consideration Payable for Shares under the Merger Agreement

The executive officers and directors of LPS will, to the extent they are also holders of LPS common stock, receive the same consideration on the same terms and conditions as the other stockholders of LPS for LPS common stock that they hold immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. As of August 14, 2013, the executive officers and directors of LPS beneficially owned, in the aggregate, 771,260 shares, excluding shares of LPS common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options or the vesting of restricted shares. If the executive officers and directors of LPS continue to own such shares as of the effective time of the merger, such shares will be converted in the merger into the right to receive merger consideration with an aggregate value equal to \$25,706,096 (assuming that the value of the merger consideration is based on the average closing price of LPS common stock over the first five business days following the public announcement of the merger, or \$33.33). For a description of the treatment of stock options and restricted shares held by the directors and executive officers of LPS, please see below under the heading *Treatment of Equity-Based Awards under the Merger Agreement*.

The table below sets forth the number of shares of LPS common stock beneficially owned as of August 14, 2013 by each of LPS' executive officers and directors, excluding shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options, the vesting of restricted shares, and the aggregate value of the merger consideration that would be payable for such shares.

Name	Shares Beneficially Owned (#)	Aggregate Merger Consideration (\$) ¹
Executive Officers		
Hugh Harris	73,942	\$ 2,464,487
Thomas Schilling	81,347	\$ 2,711,296
Daniel Scheuble	171,669	\$ 5,721,728
Joseph Nackashi	94,457	\$ 3,148,252
Todd Johnson	81,653	\$ 2,721,494
Christopher Breakiron	30,663	\$ 1,021,998
Lee Kennedy ²	151,600	\$ 5,052,828
Directors		
Dan Carmichael		\$
Alvin R. (Pete) Carpenter	27,949	\$ 931,540
Philip G. Heasley	10,871	\$ 362,330
David K. Hunt	13,817	\$ 460,521
James K. Hunt	13,821	\$ 460,654
Susan E. Lester	9,231	\$ 307,669
John Snow	10,240	\$ 341,299
Lee Kennedy ²		\$

Table of Contents

- ¹ Assumes that the value of the merger consideration received per share of LPS common stock is based on the average closing price of LPS common stock over the first five business days following the public announcement of the merger, or \$33.33. Therefore, actual payments may be greater or less than those shown above.
- ² Mr. Kennedy served as Executive Chairman of the LPS board of directors until he resigned as an employee of LPS on December 31, 2012. Mr. Kennedy currently serves as a director and non-Executive Chairman of the LPS board of directors. The aggregate merger consideration received by Mr. Kennedy for the shares of LPS common stock beneficially owned by him is set forth under the list of executive officers above.

Special Compensation

Other than as described below in this section (referred to as *Interests of LPS Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger*), no special compensation was paid to LPS executive officers or directors in connection with the transaction.

Treatment of Equity-Based Awards under the Merger Agreement

As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, all of LPS executive officers and directors held restricted shares and/or stock options (collectively, the equity-based awards).

Treatment of Restricted Shares

Pursuant to the merger agreement, unless otherwise agreed between the applicable holder and FNF and with the prior written consent of LPS, the restricted shares outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger will vest in full and become free of restrictions as of the effective time of the merger (assuming, in the case of restricted shares that are subject to performance-based vesting, attainment of all applicable performance goals at the target level). As of the effective time of the merger, each such restricted share will be canceled and converted into the right to receive (A) the merger consideration (without interest) and (B) an amount equal to the accumulated dividends, if any, with respect to such share. Accumulated dividends will be paid in cash (without interest and subject to applicable withholding taxes) by LPS to the holder thereof as promptly as practicable following, but in no event later than ten business days after, the effective time of the merger.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth, for each of LPS executive officers and directors holdings of restricted shares as of August 14, 2013, (A) the aggregate number of shares of LPS common stock that would vest as of the effective time of the merger (assuming, in the case of restricted shares that are subject to performance-based vesting, attainment of all applicable performance goals at target level), (B) the value of amounts payable in respect of such restricted shares on a pre-tax basis at the effective time of the merger (assuming that the effective time of the merger occurred on August 14, 2013), calculated by multiplying (i) the number of restricted shares by (ii) an amount equal to the value of the merger consideration and (C) the accumulated dividends accrued as of such date with respect to such restricted shares.

Name	Shares (#)	Restricted Shares	
		Value (\$)¹	Accumulated Dividends (\$)
Executive Officers			
Hugh Harris	457,189	\$ 15,238,109	\$ 186,353
Thomas Schilling	133,908	\$ 4,463,154	\$ 67,977
Daniel Scheuble	213,677	\$ 7,121,854	\$ 100,655
Joseph Nackashi	125,241	\$ 4,174,283	\$ 58,442
Todd Johnson	87,901	\$ 2,929,740	\$ 41,307
Christopher Breakiron	22,549	\$ 751,558	\$ 10,531
Lee Kennedy²	133,693	\$ 4,455,988	\$ 132,985
Directors			
Dan Carmichael	11,517	\$ 383,862	\$ 1,746
Alvin R. (Pete) Carpenter	12,524	\$ 417,425	\$ 5,844
Philip G. Heasley	9,409	\$ 313,602	\$ 4,412
David K. Hunt	9,409	\$ 313,602	\$ 4,412
James K. Hunt	9,409	\$ 313,602	\$ 4,412
Susan E. Lester	10,189	\$ 339,599	\$ 5,816
John Snow	5,570	\$ 185,648	\$ 557
Lee Kennedy²		\$	\$

¹ Assumes that the value of the merger consideration received per restricted share is based on the average closing price of LPS common stock over the first five business days following the public announcement of the merger, or \$33.33. Therefore, actual payments may be greater or less than those shown above.

² Mr. Kennedy served as Executive Chairman of the LPS board of directors until he resigned as an employee of LPS on December 31, 2012. Mr. Kennedy currently serves as a director and non-Executive Chairman of the LPS board of directors. All restricted shares held by Mr. Kennedy (and any related accumulated dividends) are reflected above under Mr. Kennedy's holdings of restricted shares as an executive officer.

Treatment of Stock Options

Pursuant to the merger agreement, unless otherwise agreed between the applicable holder and FNF and with the prior written consent of LPS, each stock option outstanding and unexercised immediately prior to the effective time of the merger with a per share exercise price that is less than the fair market value (as defined in LPS 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of a share of LPS common stock as of such time, whether or not vested or exercisable, will be net exercised for whole and fractional shares of LPS common stock. The number of whole and fractional shares received upon net exercise of a stock option will be equal to (A) the number of shares of LPS common stock subject to the stock option immediately prior to the effective time of the merger minus (B) the number of shares of LPS common stock with a fair market value (as defined in LPS 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger equal to the sum of (i) aggregate exercise price of such stock option and (ii) any taxes required to be deducted and withheld under the Code and other applicable laws in connection with such net exercise. Whole shares will be treated as issued and outstanding as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and converted into the right to receive the merger consideration. Any fractional shares will be paid to the holder in cash, without interest, based on the fair market value (as defined in LPS 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of LPS common stock as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger.

Table of Contents

Each stock option outstanding and unexercised immediately prior to the effective time of the merger with a per share exercise price that is equal to or greater than the fair market value (as defined in LPS 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of a share of LPS common stock as of such time, shall be canceled and terminated without consideration as of the effective time of the merger.

The table below sets forth, for each of LPS executive officers and directors holdings of vested and unvested stock options as of August 14, 2013, (A) the aggregate number of shares of LPS common stock subject to such stock options and (B) the value of amounts payable in respect of such stock options on a pre-tax basis at the effective time of the merger (assuming that the effective time of the merger occurred on August 14, 2013), calculated by (i) assuming that such aggregate number of shares was reduced by the number of shares of LPS common stock required to pay the aggregate exercise price of such stock option (the number of shares required to pay the aggregate exercise price was determined based on the closing price of a share of LPS common stock on August 14, 2013, or \$32.49, which is the fair market value of a share of LPS common stock as of such date under the terms of LPS 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) and (ii) treating whole shares of LPS common stock received as a result of the net exercise as converted into the right to receive merger consideration and settling fractional shares received for cash.

Name	Stock Options			
	Shares (#)	Vested Value (\$) ¹	Unvested Shares (#)	Unvested Value (\$) ¹
Executive Officers				
Hugh Harris	352,041	\$ 5,730,791	704,081	\$ 11,461,573
Thomas Schilling	138,181	\$ 772,249	111,560	\$ 770,393
Daniel Scheuble	841,334	\$ 2,021,494	141,932	\$ 1,064,495
Joseph Nackashi	301,464	\$ 766,254	82,893	\$ 623,646
Todd Johnson	264,774	\$ 591,946	58,404	\$ 438,153
Christopher Breakiron	117,357	\$ 185,266	14,892	\$ 112,130
Lee Kennedy ²	322,167	\$ 869,878	114,397	\$ 431,207
Directors				
Dan Carmichael		\$		\$
Alvin R. (Pete) Carpenter	29,532	\$ 100,064	8,262	\$ 62,246
Philip G. Heasley	31,458	\$ 114,499	6,213	\$ 46,757
David K. Hunt	19,758	\$ 35,886	6,213	\$ 46,757
James K. Hunt	58,114	\$ 75,192	6,213	\$ 46,757
Susan E. Lester	11,258	\$ 38,766	8,813	\$ 48,197
John Snow	21,341	\$ 122,651		\$
Lee Kennedy ²		\$		\$

¹ Assumes that the value of the merger consideration paid per share of LPS common stock received upon net exercise of the stock options is based on the average closing price of LPS common stock over the first five (5) business days following the public announcement of the merger, or \$33.33, and that fractional shares of LPS common stock received in connection with such net exercise were settled for cash based on such average closing price. Therefore, actual payments may be greater or less than those shown above.

² Mr. Kennedy served as Executive Chairman of the LPS board of directors until he resigned as an employee of LPS on December 31, 2012. Mr. Kennedy currently serves as a director and non-Executive Chairman of the LPS board of directors. All stock options held by Mr. Kennedy are reflected above under Mr. Kennedy's holdings of stock option as an executive officer.

Table of Contents

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Pursuant to the merger agreement, (A) all accumulated participant contributions and matching contributions (as such terms are defined in the LPS ESPP) (other than designated matching contributions, as defined below) will be used to purchase shares of LPS common stock immediately prior to the effective time of the merger in accordance with the terms of the LPS ESPP, and such shares of LPS common stock will be canceled at the effective time of the merger and converted into the right to receive the merger consideration (without interest) and (B) matching contributions that are payable with respect to participant contributions made prior to the effective time of the merger and that would otherwise have been credited to such participant's account following the effective time of the merger based on each participant's continued employment following the effective time of the merger (referred to as designated matching contributions) will be paid to the participant in cash, without interest as promptly as practicable following, but in no event later than ten business days after, the effective time of the merger.

Other Payments under the Merger Agreement

Pro-Rata Incentive Bonus. If the effective time of the merger occurs on or prior to December 31, 2013, then prior to the effective time of the merger, LPS is entitled to pay each participant in LPS' annual and quarterly cash incentive plans, including the executive officers, the pro-rata incentive bonus based on a projection of the extent to which performance goals thereunder would have been achieved (assuming that the level of performance during the pre-closing period would continue until the end of the applicable LPS fiscal year or fiscal quarter).

Cash Retention Incentive Awards. Pursuant to the merger agreement, each outstanding cash retention incentive award that is, by its terms, subject to performance-based and service-based vesting (collectively referred to as performance-based retention incentive awards) will vest in full immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and become free of restrictions. Applicable performance goals in respect of performance-based retention incentive awards were previously determined to have been attained at maximum level, though such awards continue to be subject to service-based vesting. At the effective time of the merger, the service-based vesting conditions in respect of each performance-based retention incentive award will be deemed to have been met and such award shall be canceled and the holder thereof will be entitled to receive a cash payment equal to the amount payable in respect thereof based on the previous attainment of the applicable performance objective at maximum level (the payment with respect to each such award, retention incentive award consideration). The retention incentive award consideration will be paid as promptly as practicable following, but in no event later than ten business days after, the effective time of the merger, without interest. Under the terms of each performance-based retention incentive award, applicable service-based vesting conditions will be met on September 30, 2013 without regard to the occurrence of the effective time of the merger and such award will be paid as promptly as practicable thereafter.

Each cash retention incentive award that is, by its terms, subject only to service-based vesting will vest in full and be payable no later than thirty (30) days after the effective time of the merger.

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth for each executive officer his cash retention incentive award:

	Amount Payable
<i>Performance-Based Retention Incentive Awards^(a)</i>	
Thomas Schilling	\$ 633,333
Daniel Scheuble	\$ 800,000
Todd Johnson	\$ 506,667
Christopher Breakiron	\$ 340,000
<i>Service-Based Retention Incentive Awards</i>	
Joseph Nackashi	\$ 1,500,000

- (a) Performance-based vesting conditions were previously deemed met at the maximum level. Service-based vesting conditions will be met on September 30, 2013 without regard to the occurrence of the effective time of the merger and awards will be paid as promptly as practicable thereafter.

Employment Agreements. Each of the executive officers recently entered into a restated employment agreement with LPS, the terms of which were approved by the LPS board of directors' compensation committee in consultation with its independent compensation consultant.

The employment agreements provide for the following double-trigger payments and benefits to all executive officers in the event that the executive officer's employment is terminated by LPS other than for Cause (as described below) or by the executive officer for Good Reason (as described below), in each case prior to the expiration of the term of the employment agreement following the effective time of the merger:

a lump sum cash severance payment (less applicable withholdings) equal to:

In the case of Messrs. Harris, Schilling, and Scheuble, three (3) times the sum of (A) annual base salary in effect immediately prior to the date of termination plus (B) the greater of the highest bonus earned over the three (3) years prior to the year of termination or target bonus for the year of termination; and

In the case of Messrs. Nackashi, Johnson and Breakiron, two (2) times the sum of (A) annual base salary in effect immediately prior to the date of termination plus (B) the greater of the highest bonus earned over the three (3) years prior to the year of termination or target bonus for the year of termination; and

annual incentive bonus payable for the year of termination based on satisfaction of actual performance goals, pro-rated for the portion of the year prior to termination (however, to the extent that termination occurs in the year that the effective time of the merger occurs, no such pro-rata bonus will be payable to the extent that LPS pays the Pro-Rata Incentive Bonus, as described above under the heading, *Pro-Rata Incentive Bonus*);

a cash lump sum payment equal to the cost of 36 months of COBRA premiums;

a cash lump sum payment equal to the value of accrued vacation in accordance with LPS policy; and

vesting of equity-based awards that are outstanding immediately prior to termination of employment and subject to service-based vesting conditions (performance-based vesting conditions will continue to apply). Under the merger agreement, however, all currently outstanding equity-based awards (including those subject to performance-based vesting conditions) will have become

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

vested, and will be settled, at the effective time of the merger (as described above under the heading entitled *Treatment of Equity-Based Awards under the Merger Agreement*).

LPS may condition payment of the foregoing severance payments and benefits on the executive's execution and delivery of a release of claims in favor of LPS.

- 106 -

Table of Contents

In addition, the employment agreement entered into by Mr. Nackashi provides for single-trigger vesting and payment of his service-based cash retention incentive award at the effective time of the merger without regard to whether his employment is terminated by him or LPS.

For purposes of the employment agreements, Cause is generally defined to mean a termination by LPS based upon the executive's: (A) persistent failure to perform duties consistent with a commercially reasonable standard of care (other than due to a physical or mental impairment or due to an action or inaction directed by LPS that would otherwise constitute good reason), whether prior to or after the effective date of his employment agreement; (B) willful neglect of duties (other than due to a physical or mental impairment or due to an action or inaction directed by LPS that would otherwise constitute good reason), whether prior to or after the effective date of his employment agreement; (C) conviction of, or pleading nolo contendere to, criminal or other illegal activities involving dishonesty; (D) material breach of his employment agreement; or (E) failure to materially cooperate with or impeding an investigation authorized by the LPS board of directors.

For purposes of the employment agreements, Good Reason is generally defined to mean the occurrence of any of the following events: (A) a material diminution in the executive's position or title, or the assignment of duties to the executive that are materially inconsistent with the executive's position or title; (B) a material change in the geographic location of the executive's principal place of employment, which is currently Jacksonville, Florida (LPS has determined that a relocation of more than thirty-five (35) miles would constitute such a material change); (C) within six (6) months immediately preceding or within two (2) years immediately following a change in control: (i) a material adverse change in the executive's status, authority or responsibility (e.g., LPS has determined that a change in the department or functional group over which the executive has managerial authority would constitute such a material adverse change); (ii) a change in the position to whom the executive reports that results in a material adverse change to the executive's service relationship or the conditions under which the executive performs his duties; (iii) a material adverse change in the position to whom the executive reports or a material diminution in the authority, duties or responsibilities of that position; or (iv) a material diminution in the budget over which the executive has managing authority; (D) a material diminution in the executive's annual base salary or annual bonus opportunity; (E) a material breach by LPS of any of its obligations under the employment agreement; or (F) a written notice by LPS to the executive that his employment agreement will not be automatically renewed.

Under each employment agreement, on or after a change in control and following the executive's termination of employment with LPS, if either LPS or the executive finds it necessary to employ legal counsel or to bring an action at law or other proceedings against the other party to interpret or enforce any of the terms of such agreement, LPS shall pay (on an ongoing basis) to the executive to the fullest extent permitted by law, all legal fees, court costs and litigation expenses reasonably incurred by the executive or others on his behalf (such amounts collectively referred to as, reimbursed amounts). However, the executive is required to reimburse LPS for the reimbursed amounts if it is determined that a majority of the executive's claims or defenses were frivolous or without merit.

In addition, each executive agrees under his employment agreement that during his employment he will not engage in activity that is competitive with LPS and that for one year thereafter, he shall not: (1) become an employee, consultant, advisor, principal, partner or substantial stockholder of any firm or business that directly competes with LPS or its affiliates in their principal products and markets and (2) on behalf of any such competitive firm or business, solicit any person or business that was at the time of the executive's termination of employment (and is at the time of such solicitation) a customer or prospective customer, a supplier or prospective supplier, or an employee of LPS or an affiliate.

Deferred Compensation Plan. LPS maintains a deferred compensation plan (referred to as the DCP) pursuant to which participants may defer salary, bonus, commissions, director fees and other items of compensation determined by the compensation committee of the LPS board of directors as being eligible to be deferred under the DCP. Compensation deferred under the DCP, and any LPS matching contributions and

Table of Contents

earnings thereon, are distributed to participants upon the occurrence of distribution events specified under the DCP. The DCP provides that, in the event of a participant's termination of employment within 24 months of a change in control transaction such as the merger, the participant's account balance under the DCP will be distributed in a single lump sum.

While none of LPS's executive officers participate in the DCP, certain of LPS's directors have deferred director retainers and fees under the DCP. In the event that a director's service with LPS is terminated within 24 months of the merger, the value of the director's account under the DCP, which consists of deferred retainers and fees previously earned plus investment earnings thereon (but not LPS matching contributions), will be distributed to such director in a single lump sum.

The table below sets forth, for each director who is a participant in the DCP, an estimate of such director's account balance under the DCP as of August 14, 2013, which is the amount that would be distributed to such director from the DCP if the effective time of the merger were on August 14, 2013 and if his or her service with LPS were terminated as of such date.

Director	DCP Account Balance
David K. Hunt	\$ 727,541
James K. Hunt	\$ 1,199,738
Susan E. Lester	\$ 192,114

Quantification of Payments and Benefits. The table below entitled "Potential Change of Control Payments to Named Executive Officers and Other Executive Officers," along with its footnotes, shows the compensation that is based on or otherwise relates to the merger that is potentially payable to (i) LPS's named executive officers identified in the most recent proxy statement with respect to the 2013 annual meeting of LPS stockholders (*i.e.*, LPS's principal executive officer, the principal financial officer, and the three other most highly compensated executive officers as determined for LPS's most recent annual proxy statement), as required by Item 402(t) of Regulation S-K and (ii) LPS's other executive officers who are not named executive officers. Lee Kennedy, who served as Executive Chairman of the LPS board of directors until he resigned on December 31, 2012, was considered a named executive officer for the year ended December 31, 2012. Mr. Kennedy currently serves as director and non-Executive Chairman of the LPS board of directors and is not entitled to any additional payments in connection with the merger other than with respect to the restricted shares and stock options that he holds immediately prior to the effective time of the merger.

The table assumes that the effective time of the merger occurred on August 14, 2013 and the employment of the executive was terminated by LPS other than for Cause or by the executive for Good Reason on such date. Payments noted in the footnotes to the table below as "single-trigger" will become payable immediately at the effective time of the merger. Payments noted in the footnotes to the table below as "double-trigger" will not be paid to the executive unless the executive's employment is terminated by LPS without Cause or by the executive for Good Reason on or after the effective time of the merger. For a narrative description of the terms and conditions applicable to the payments quantified in the table below, see the headings entitled "Treatment of Equity-Based Awards under the Merger Agreement" and "Employment Agreements" above.

Table of Contents*Potential Change of Control Payments to Named Executive Officers and Other Executive Officers*

Name	Cash \$(1)	Equity\$(2)	Other \$(3)	Total (\$)
Named Executive Officers				
Hugh Harris	\$ 9,239,522	\$ 32,616,826	\$ 1,790,137	\$ 43,646,485
Thomas Schilling	\$ 3,626,419	\$ 6,073,773	\$ 1,237,218	\$ 10,937,410
Daniel Scheuble	\$ 5,514,495	\$ 10,308,498	\$ 1,833,245	\$ 17,656,238
Joseph Nackashi	\$ 2,573,585	\$ 5,622,625	\$ 2,145,284	\$ 10,341,494
Lee Kennedy		\$ 5,890,058		\$ 5,890,058
Other Executive Officers				
Todd Johnson	\$ 2,080,742	\$ 4,001,146	\$ 1,035,800	\$ 7,117,688
Christopher Breakiron	\$ 1,066,056	\$ 1,059,485	\$ 615,585	\$ 2,741,126

- (1) As described above, the cash payments for LPS executive officers consist of the sum of (A) a cash severance equal to three (3) times (in the case of Messrs. Harris, Schilling and Scheuble) or two (2) times (in the case of Messrs. Nackashi, Johnson and Breakiron) the sum of (i) annual base salary in effect immediately prior to termination plus (ii) the greater of the highest bonus earned during the three (3) years prior to termination or target bonus for the year of termination, (B) the cost for 36 monthly COBRA premiums under health and dental plans of LPS and (C) value of accrued vacation. The cash severance and COBRA premium amounts are generally payable on the 60th day following the date of termination. Accrued vacation will be payable as soon as practicable after the date of termination. Accordingly, these payments are double-trigger as they will only be payable in the event of a termination of employment.

Each executive officer's employment agreement also contemplates payment, in connection with termination of employment, of the executive's annual bonus (to the extent earned) for the year in which termination of employment occurs, pro-rated for the portion of the LPS fiscal year that elapses prior to the date of termination. Such pro-rata annual bonus, which would be paid no later than March 15th of the calendar year following the year in which the date of termination occurs, would be a double-trigger payment. Because LPS will, in connection with the merger, pay the executives a pro-rata annual bonus for the LPS fiscal year in which the effective time of the merger occurs, the cash payments in the table above (which assumes that each executive's employment terminates on the date that such effective time occurs) do not include a pro-rata annual bonus for the year of termination. The pro-rata bonuses that each executive would have received in connection with his termination of employment will instead have been paid by LPS in connection with the merger. Accordingly, such pro-rata annual bonuses are treated as single-trigger payments and reflected in the other payments and amounts column in the table above.

The above payments are based on the compensation and benefit levels in effect on August 14, 2013. Therefore, if compensation and benefit levels are increased after August 14, 2013, actual payments to an executive officer may be greater than those provided for above. Pursuant to the merger agreement, LPS is prohibited from increasing the compensation or severance levels for these executives without FNF's prior written consent.

Table of Contents

The cash severance payments described above consist of the following:

Name	Cash Severance ^(a)	Cost of 36 Monthly COBRA Premiums	Value of Accrued Vacation
Named Executive Officers			
Hugh Harris	\$ 9,147,864	\$ 46,515	\$ 45,143
Thomas Schilling	\$ 3,553,950	\$ 48,102	\$ 24,367
Daniel Scheuble	\$ 5,430,420	\$ 53,296	\$ 30,779
Joseph Nackashi	\$ 2,494,000	\$ 53,936	\$ 25,649
Lee Kennedy	\$	\$	\$
Other Executive Officers			
Todd Johnson	\$ 2,045,080	\$ 14,629	\$ 21,033
Christopher Breakiron	\$ 997,500	\$ 53,936	\$ 14,620

(a) The bonus component for the cash severance payment for Messrs. Harris, Schilling, Scheuble, Nackashi, and Johnson consist of the executives' 2012 bonuses (\$2,169,288 for Mr. Harris; \$709,650 for Mr. Schilling; \$1,210,140 for Mr. Scheuble; \$747,000 for Mr. Nackashi; and \$612,540 for Mr. Johnson). The bonus component for Mr. Breakiron's cash severance payment is his 2013 target bonus opportunity (\$213,750).

(2) As described above, the equity amounts consist of (A) the accelerated vesting of restricted shares and related accumulated dividends and (B) payments in respect of the net exercise and settlement of vested and unvested stock options. Payments in respect of restricted shares (as well as accumulated dividends) and stock options are single-trigger in that they will become payable immediately upon the effective time of the merger, whether or not employment is terminated. The following table shows the amounts attributable to the restricted shares and stock options.

Name	Value of Restricted Shares	Value of Accumulated Dividends	Value of Unvested Stock Options (\$)	Value of Vested Options (\$)
Named Executive Officers				
Hugh Harris	\$ 15,238,109	\$ 186,353	\$ 11,461,573	\$ 5,730,791
Thomas Schilling	\$ 4,463,154	\$ 67,977	\$ 770,393	\$ 772,249
Daniel Scheuble	\$ 7,121,854	\$ 100,655	\$ 1,064,495	\$ 2,021,494
Joseph Nackashi	\$ 4,174,283	\$ 58,442	\$ 623,646	\$ 766,254
Lee Kennedy	\$ 4,455,988	\$ 132,985	\$ 431,207	\$ 869,878
Other Executive Officers				
Todd Johnson	\$ 2,929,740	\$ 41,307	\$ 438,153	\$ 591,946
Christopher Breakiron	\$ 751,558	\$ 10,531	\$ 112,130	\$ 185,266

Because the value of the merger consideration is not fixed, the value of restricted shares and stock options is based on the average closing price of LPS common stock over the first five (5) business days following the public announcement of the merger, or \$33.33. Therefore, actual payments may be greater or less than those shown above. Depending on when the effective time of the merger occurs, certain restricted shares and stock options may become vested in accordance with their terms without regard to the merger.

(3) As described above, other payments or amounts consist of (A) cash retention incentive awards, (B) designated matching contributions, which are LPS matching contributions under the LPS ESPP that would have been credited to the executive officer's account following the effective time of the merger based on such participant's continued employment following the effective time of the merger and (C) pro-rata

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

incentive bonuses. The designated matching contributions and cash retention incentive awards are single trigger payments in that they will become payable immediately upon the effective time of the merger,

- 110 -

Table of Contents

whether or not employment is terminated and will be paid as soon as practicable but no later than ten days (in the case of Mr. Nackashi's cash retention incentive award, 30 days) after the effective time of the merger. Pro-rata incentive bonuses are single trigger payments that will become payable immediately upon the effective time of the merger, whether or not employment is terminated and will be paid immediately prior to the effective time of the merger.

The following table shows the amounts attributable to cash retention incentive awards and designated matching contributions and pro-rata incentive bonuses:

Name	Cash Retention Incentive Awards (\$)	Designated Matching Contributions(\$)	Pro-Rata Incentive Bonus
Named Executive Officers			
Hugh Harris	\$	\$	\$ 1,790,137
Thomas Schilling	\$ 633,333	\$ 18,269	\$ 585,616
Daniel Scheuble	\$ 800,000	\$ 34,615	\$ 998,630
Joseph Nackashi	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 28,846	\$ 616,438
Lee Kennedy	\$	\$	\$
Other Executive Officers			
Todd Johnson	\$ 506,667	\$ 23,654	\$ 505,479
Christopher Breakiron	\$ 340,000	\$ 12,058	\$ 263,527

Depending on when the effective time of the merger occurs, cash retention incentive awards and designated matching contributions may vest in accordance with their terms without regard to the merger.

Employee Matters. The merger agreement requires that, from the effective time of the merger and until the six-month anniversary thereof or, if later, June 30, 2014, FNF must provide each continuing employee with (A) annual base compensation and annual or quarterly (as applicable) cash incentive opportunities (as a percentage of base salary) that are no less favorable in the aggregate than the annual base compensation and cash incentive opportunities (other than equity compensation and defined benefit pension arrangements) provided to such continuing employees immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and (B) employee benefits that are substantially comparable in the aggregate to those provided to such continuing employees immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. In addition, FNF will cause LPS to honor all obligations under LPS compensation and benefit plans and agreements. Service with LPS prior to the effective time of the merger will be treated as service with FNF or LPS, as applicable, to the extent such service was recognized under a comparable LPS benefit plans immediately prior to the effective time of the merger, except for purposes of determining benefit accruals or to the extent that such credit would result in the duplication of benefits. Under the merger agreement, FNF has agreed that the merger will constitute a change in control or change of control under LPS benefit plans or LPS benefit agreements. Nothing in the merger agreement will require FNF to continue any specific plans or to continue the employment of any specific person following the completion of the merger.

Potential for Future Arrangements. To the best knowledge of LPS, except for certain agreements described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus between LPS and its executive officers, no employment or other agreement, arrangement or understanding between any executive officer or director of LPS, on the one hand, and FNF, Merger Sub or LPS, on the other hand, existed as of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, and neither the merger nor any related transaction is conditioned upon any executive officer or director of LPS entering into any such agreement, arrangement or understanding.

Indemnification and Exculpation of Directors and Officers. FNF has agreed to indemnify the officers and directors of LPS against certain liabilities to the extent LPS would have been legally required or permitted to do so if the merger had not taken place.

Table of Contents

FNF's Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of FNF's Board of Directors

At its meeting on May 27, 2013, the FNF board of directors (other than Messrs. Thomas Hagerty and Cary Thompson, who abstained from voting at the meeting) unanimously approved the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby and determined that the FNF stock issuance was in the best interests of FNF and its stockholders. **The FNF board of directors (with Messrs. Hagerty and Thompson abstaining) accordingly unanimously recommends that FNF stockholders vote FOR the FNF stock issuance proposal and the FNF adjournment proposal.**

In making this determination, the FNF board of directors consulted with FNF's management and with its financial and legal advisors, and considered a number of factors. The decision of the FNF board of directors was based upon a number of potential benefits of the merger and other factors that it believed would contribute to the success of the combined company, and thus benefit the FNF stockholders, including the following factors, the order of which does not necessarily reflect their relative significance:

Growth and Development Opportunities. The combination of FNF and LPS will create a larger, broader, more diversified and recurring revenue base for FNF and make it the nation's leading title insurance, mortgage technology and mortgage services provider.

Complimentary Assets. LPS's assets are complimentary to those of FNF, as FNF is principally a provider of title insurance and mortgage services, while LPS is primarily a provider of integrated technology, data transaction services, and analytics to the mortgage and real estate industries. The complimentary nature of FNF's and LPS's assets would provide an expanded combination of products and support services for FNF's customers.

Synergies. The combined company is expected to generate meaningful synergies through FNF's and LPS's similar businesses in centralized refinance and default related products, elimination of certain corporate and public company costs, and a shared corporate campus.

Accretive Impact. The merger is expected to deliver meaningful accretion to future earnings, which will add significant value to FNF stockholders.

Enhanced Relationship with Customers. The combination of FNF and LPS will allow FNF to leverage LPS's deep customer relationships with the nation's largest lenders and enhance FNF's ability to offer greater and more complete solutions to significant mortgage lenders across the country.

Opinions of Financial Advisors to FNF. The FNF board of directors took into account the opinions of BofA Merrill Lynch and J.P. Morgan to the effect that, as of May 27, 2013, and based upon and subject to the assumptions and limitations set forth therein, the consideration to be paid by FNF pursuant to the merger agreement was fair to FNF from a financial point of view, in each case, as more fully described under *Opinions of FNF's Financial Advisors.*

In addition, the FNF board of directors also identified and considered several potentially negative factors to be balanced against the positive factors listed above, including the following, the order of which does not necessarily reflect their relative significance:

that the pendency of the merger for an extended period of time following the announcement of the execution of the merger agreement could have an adverse impact on FNF or LPS;

the potential that the way in which the exchange ratio is calculated under the merger agreement could result in FNF delivering greater value to the LPS stockholders than had been anticipated by FNF should the value of the shares of FNF common stock

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

increase from the date of execution of the merger agreement;

the fact that, subject to certain conditions in the merger agreement, LPS has the right to terminate the merger agreement if the average FNF stock price is less than \$20.00;

- 112 -

Table of Contents

LPS' right, subject to certain conditions, to respond to and negotiate with respect to certain unsolicited takeover proposals made prior to the time LPS stockholders approve the merger proposal, subject to LPS paying FNF a termination fee of \$74 million;

the potential for diversion of management and employee attention during the period prior to completion of the merger, and the potential negative effects on FNF's and LPS' businesses;

the risk that potential benefits, synergies and cost savings sought in the merger may not be realized, or may not be realized within the expected time period, and the risks associated with integration of the operations of the two companies;

the risks that the regulatory approvals and clearances necessary to complete the merger might not be obtained, or that regulatory approvals may be delayed;

the merger agreement's restrictions on the conduct of FNF's and LPS' business during the period between execution of the merger agreement and the consummation of the merger;

the risk that, despite the efforts of FNF and LPS prior to the consummation of the merger, the combined company may lose key personnel;

the risk that additional debt incurred in connection with the merger could have a negative impact on FNF's ratings and operational flexibility;

the possibility that the combined company might not achieve its projected financial results; and

the other potential risks described in the section titled *Risk Factors* beginning on page 35 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus. In view of the variety of factors and the quality and amount of information considered, the FNF board of directors as a whole did not find it practicable to and did not quantify or otherwise assign relative weights to the specific factors considered in reaching its determination but conducted an overall analysis of the transaction. Individual members of the FNF board of directors may have given different relative considerations to different factors.

The explanation of the reasoning of the FNF board of directors and certain information presented in this section are forward-looking in nature and, therefore, the information should be read in light of the factors discussed in the sections entitled *Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements* beginning on page 34 of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Certain FNF Financial Projections

FNF does not as a matter of course make public forecasts as to future performance, earnings or other results, and FNF is especially reluctant to disclose forecasts due to the unpredictability of the underlying assumptions and estimates. However, FNF has included below certain information that was furnished to the FNF board of directors, FNF's financial advisors, LPS and LPS' financial advisors in connection with the proposed merger with LPS. FNF's management furnished the forecasts set forth below entitled *Mortgage Origination Forecast Case 1* to the FNF board of directors, FNF's financial advisors, LPS and LPS' financial advisors. FNF's management furnished the forecasts set forth below entitled *Mortgage Origination Forecast Case 2* to the FNF board of directors and FNF's financial advisors only.

For purposes of performing its financial analysis of FNF, BofA Merrill Lynch relied upon forecasts provided by FNF's management for 2013 through 2017 reviewed and approved by FNF's management for BofA Merrill Lynch's use in connection with its financial analyses and rendering its fairness opinion. These forecasts are set forth below.

Table of Contents

Mortgage Origination Forecast Case 1

	Forecasts				
	2013E	2014E	2015E	2016E	2017E
EPS	\$ 2.03	\$ 2.04	\$ 2.68	\$ 3.40	\$ 4.15

Mortgage Origination Forecast Case 2

	Forecasts				
	2013E	2014E	2015E	2016E	2017E
EPS	\$ 2.03	\$ 2.04	\$ 2.36	\$ 2.65	\$ 2.96

For purposes of performing its financial analysis of FNF, J.P. Morgan relied upon forecasts of FNF's title insurance business provided by FNF's management for 2013 through 2017 and extrapolated projections for 2018 through 2022 approved by FNF's management for J.P. Morgan's use in connection with its financial analyses and rendering its fairness opinion. These forecasts and projections are set forth below. Unlevered free cash flow estimates were derived from projections and estimates relating to dividends to be received by FNF from FNTG, less FNF's corporate expenses.

Mortgage Origination Forecast Case 1 (\$ in millions)

	4Q 2013E	2014E	Forecasts			Extrapolated Projections				
			2015E	2016E	2017E	2018E	2019E	2020E	2021E	2022E
Unlevered free cash flow for FNTG title insurance business ¹	\$ 75	\$ 395	\$ 546	\$ 726	\$ 912	\$ 957	\$ 986	\$ 1,006	\$ 1,026	\$ 1,046

Mortgage Origination Forecast Case 2 (\$ in millions)

	4Q 2013E	2014E	Forecasts			Extrapolated Projections				
			2015E	2016E	2017E	2018E	2019E	2020E	2021E	2022E
Unlevered free cash flow for FNTG title insurance business ¹	\$ 75	\$ 395	\$ 486	\$ 560	\$ 639	\$ 671	\$ 692	\$ 705	\$ 719	\$ 734

¹ Represents dividends from FNTG less FNF corporate expenses.

The internal financial forecasts were not prepared with a view toward public disclosure, nor were they prepared with a view toward compliance with the published guidelines of the SEC, the guidelines established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants for preparation and presentation of prospective financial information or GAAP. The prospective financial information of FNF included in this document was prepared by, and is the responsibility of, FNF. Neither FNF's independent accountants, KPMG LLP, nor any other independent accountants, have compiled, examined or performed any procedures with respect to the prospective financial information contained herein, nor have they expressed any opinion or any other form of assurance on such information or its achievability, and assume no responsibility for, and disclaim any association with, the prospective financial information. The KPMG LLP report incorporated by reference in this document relates to LPS and FNF's historical financial information. It does not extend to the prospective financial information and should not be read to do so. The summary of these internal financial forecasts is not being included in this document to influence your decision on how to vote on any proposal, but because these internal financial forecasts were made available to the FNF board of directors and FNF's financial advisors and, in the case of the forecasts set forth above entitled Mortgage Origination Forecast Case 1, LPS and LPS's financial advisors.

These internal financial forecasts were based on numerous variables and assumptions that are inherently uncertain and may be beyond the control of FNF's management, including, among others, an assumption that FNF would continue to operate as an integrated, stand-alone company. Important factors that may affect actual

Table of Contents

results and cause the internal financial forecasts not to be achieved include, but are not limited to, risks and uncertainties relating to FNF's business (including its ability to achieve strategic goals, objectives and targets over applicable periods), industry performance, the regulatory environment, general business and economic conditions and other matters described under the heading *Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements* beginning on page 34 and *Risk Factors* beginning on page 35. The internal financial forecasts also reflect assumptions as to certain business decisions that are subject to change. As a result, actual results may differ materially from those contained in these internal financial forecasts. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the internal financial forecasts will be realized.

The inclusion of these internal financial forecasts in this joint proxy statement/prospectus should not be regarded as an indication that any of FNF, LPS or any of their respective affiliates, officers, directors, partners, advisors or other representatives considered the internal financial forecasts to be necessarily predictive of actual future events, and the internal financial forecasts should not be relied upon as such. None of FNF, LPS or any of their respective affiliates, officers, directors, partners, advisors or other representatives can give you any assurance that actual results will not differ from these internal financial forecasts, and none undertakes any obligation to update or otherwise revise or reconcile these internal financial forecasts to reflect circumstances existing after the date the internal financial forecasts were generated or to reflect the occurrence of future events. FNF does not intend to make publicly available any update or other revision to these internal financial forecasts. None of FNF or its affiliates, officers, directors, partners, advisors or other representatives has made or makes any representation regarding FNF's ultimate performance compared to the information contained in these internal financial forecasts or that forecasted results will be achieved. FNF has made no representation to LPS, in the merger agreement or otherwise, concerning these internal financial forecasts.

Opinions of FNF's Financial Advisors***Opinion of Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated to the FNF Board of Directors***

FNF has retained BofA Merrill Lynch to act as a financial advisor to FNF in connection with the merger. BofA Merrill Lynch is an internationally recognized investment banking firm which is regularly engaged in the valuation of businesses and securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions, negotiated underwritings, secondary distributions of listed and unlisted securities, private placements and valuations for corporate and other purposes. FNF selected BofA Merrill Lynch to act as FNF's financial advisor in connection with the merger on the basis of BofA Merrill Lynch's experience in transactions similar to the merger, its reputation in the investment community and its familiarity with FNF and its business.

On May 27, 2013, at a meeting of the FNF board of directors held to evaluate the merger, BofA Merrill Lynch delivered to the FNF board of directors an oral opinion, which was confirmed by delivery of a written opinion dated May 27, 2013, to the effect that, as of the date of the opinion and based on and subject to various assumptions and limitations described in its opinion, the consideration to be paid by FNF in the merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to FNF. No limitations were imposed by the FNF board of directors upon BofA Merrill Lynch with respect to the investigations made or procedures followed by BofA Merrill Lynch in rendering its opinions.

The full text of BofA Merrill Lynch's written opinion to the FNF board of directors, which describes, among other things, the assumptions made, procedures followed, factors considered and limitations on the review undertaken, is attached as Annex D to this joint proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety. The following summary of BofA Merrill Lynch's opinion is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the opinion. BofA Merrill Lynch delivered its opinion to the FNF board of directors for the benefit and use of the FNF board of directors (in its capacity as such) in connection with and for purposes of its evaluation of the consideration to be paid by FNF in the merger from a financial point of view. BofA Merrill Lynch's opinion does not address any other aspect of the merger or the related transactions and no opinion or view was expressed as to the relative merits of the

Table of Contents

merger and the related transactions in comparison to other strategies or transactions that might be available to FNF or in which FNF might engage or as to the underlying business decision of FNF to proceed with or effect the merger or the related transactions. BofA Merrill Lynch's opinion does not address any other aspect of the merger and does not constitute a recommendation to any stockholder as to how to vote or act in connection with the proposed merger, the related transactions or any related matter.

In connection with rendering its opinion, BofA Merrill Lynch:

- (i) reviewed certain publicly available business and financial information relating to LPS and FNF;
- (ii) reviewed certain internal financial and operating information with respect to the business, operations and prospects of LPS furnished to or discussed with BofA Merrill Lynch by the management of LPS, including certain financial forecasts relating to LPS prepared by the management of LPS, referred to herein as LPS management forecasts;
- (iii) reviewed certain internal financial and operating information with respect to the business, operations and prospects of FNF furnished to or discussed with BofA Merrill Lynch by the management of FNF, including certain financial forecasts relating to FNF prepared by the management of FNF, referred to herein as FNF management forecasts;
- (iv) reviewed certain estimates as to the amount and timing of cost savings anticipated by the management of FNF to result from the merger, referred to herein as potential cost savings;
- (v) discussed the past and current business, operations, financial condition and prospects of LPS with members of senior managements of LPS and FNF, and discussed the past and current business, operations, financial condition and prospects of FNF with members of senior management of FNF;
- (vi) discussed with the management of FNF its assessments as to LPS' existing and future relationships, agreements and arrangements with, and FNF's ability to retain, key customers of LPS;
- (vii) discussed with the management of FNF various regulatory, legal and other matters that affect FNF and LPS;
- (viii) reviewed the potential pro forma financial impact of the merger on the future financial performance of FNF, including the potential effect on FNF's estimated earnings per share;
- (ix) reviewed the trading histories for LPS common stock and FNF common stock and a comparison of such trading histories with each other and with the trading histories of other companies BofA Merrill Lynch deemed relevant;
- (x) compared certain financial and stock market information of LPS and FNF with similar information of other companies BofA Merrill Lynch deemed relevant;
- (xi) compared certain financial terms of the merger to financial terms, to the extent publicly available, of other transactions BofA Merrill Lynch deemed relevant;

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

(xii) reviewed a draft, dated May 25, 2013, of the merger agreement (referred to as the draft agreement); and

(xiii) performed such other analyses and studies and considered such other information and factors as BofA Merrill Lynch deemed appropriate.

In arriving at its opinion, BofA Merrill Lynch assumed and relied upon, without independent verification, the accuracy and completeness of the financial and other information and data publicly available or provided to or otherwise reviewed by or discussed with it and relied upon the assurances of the managements of FNF and LPS that they were not aware of any facts or circumstances that would make such information or data inaccurate or misleading in any material respect. With respect to the LPS management forecasts, BofA Merrill Lynch was advised by LPS, and assumed, at the direction of FNF, that they were reasonably prepared on bases reflecting the

- 116 -

Table of Contents

best currently available estimates and good faith judgments of the management of LPS as to the future financial performance of LPS. With respect to the FNF management forecasts and the potential cost savings, BofA Merrill Lynch was advised by FNF, and assumed, at the direction of FNF, that they were reasonably prepared on bases reflecting the best currently available estimates and good faith judgments of the management of FNF as to the future financial performance of FNF and other matters covered thereby. BofA Merrill Lynch relied, at the direction of FNF, on the assessments of the management of FNF as to FNF's ability to achieve the potential cost savings and was advised by FNF, and assumed, at the direction of FNF, that such potential cost savings would be realized in the amounts and at the times projected. BofA Merrill Lynch also relied, at the direction of FNF, upon the assessments of the management of FNF as to LPS's existing and future relationships, agreements and arrangements with, and FNF's ability to retain, key customers of LPS and assumed, at the direction of FNF, that the merger would not adversely impact LPS's relationships, agreements or arrangements with such customers. BofA Merrill Lynch did not make or was not provided with any independent evaluation or appraisal of the assets or liabilities (contingent or otherwise) of LPS or FNF, nor did it make any physical inspection of the properties or assets of LPS or FNF. BofA Merrill Lynch did not evaluate the solvency or fair value of LPS or FNF under any state, federal or other laws relating to bankruptcy, insolvency or similar matters. BofA Merrill Lynch assumed, at the direction of FNF, that the merger would be consummated in accordance with its terms, without waiver, modification or amendment of any material term, condition or agreement and that, in the course of obtaining the necessary governmental, regulatory and other approvals, consents, releases and waivers for the merger, no delay, limitation, restriction or condition, including any divestiture requirements or amendments or modifications, would be imposed that would have an adverse effect on LPS, FNF or the contemplated benefits of the merger. BofA Merrill Lynch also assumed, at the direction of FNF, that there would be no developments that are material and adverse to the business of FNF and LPS as a result of the various regulatory, litigation and other matters affecting FNF and LPS. In addition, BofA Merrill Lynch assumed, at the direction of FNF, that the final executed merger agreement would not differ in any material respect from the draft agreement.

BofA Merrill Lynch expressed no view or opinion as to any terms or other aspects of the merger (other than the merger consideration to the extent expressly specified in its opinion), including, without limitation, the form or structure of the merger. BofA Merrill Lynch's opinion was limited to the fairness, from a financial point of view, to FNF of the consideration to be paid by FNF in the merger and no opinion or view was expressed with respect to any consideration received in connection with the merger by the holders of any other class of securities, creditors or other constituencies of any party. In addition, no opinion or view was expressed with respect to the fairness (financial or otherwise) of the amount, nature or any other aspect of any compensation to any of the officers, directors or employees of any party to the merger, or class of such persons, relative to the merger consideration. Furthermore, no opinion or view was expressed as to the relative merits of the merger in comparison to other strategies or transactions that might be available to FNF or in which FNF might engage or as to the underlying business decision of FNF to proceed with or effect the merger. BofA Merrill Lynch did not express any opinion as to what the value of FNF common stock actually would be when issued or the prices at which FNF common stock or LPS common stock would trade at any time, including following announcement or consummation of the merger. In addition, BofA Merrill Lynch expressed no opinion or recommendation as to how any stockholder should vote or act in connection with the merger or any related matter. In addition, BofA Merrill Lynch expressed no opinion on the various related transactions being entered into by FNF in connection with the merger, including the contribution of certain assets, issuances of equity of a subsidiary, issuances of intercompany debt and certain related transactions, all as more fully described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Except as described above, FNF imposed no other limitations on the investigations made or procedures followed by BofA Merrill Lynch in rendering its opinion.

BofA Merrill Lynch's opinion was necessarily based on financial, economic, monetary, market and other conditions and circumstances as in effect on, and the information made available to BofA Merrill Lynch as of, the date of its opinion. It should be understood that subsequent developments may affect its opinion, and BofA Merrill Lynch does not have any obligation to update, revise or reaffirm its opinion. The issuance of BofA Merrill Lynch's opinion was approved by BofA Merrill Lynch's Americas Fairness Opinion Review Committee.

Table of Contents

The following represents a brief summary of the material financial analyses presented by BofA Merrill Lynch to the FNF board of directors in connection with its opinion. **The financial analyses summarized below include information presented in tabular format. In order to fully understand the financial analyses performed by BofA Merrill Lynch, the tables must be read together with the text of each summary. The tables alone do not constitute a complete description of the financial analyses performed by BofA Merrill Lynch. Considering the data set forth in the tables below without considering the full narrative description of the financial analyses, including the methodologies and assumptions underlying the analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the financial analyses performed by BofA Merrill Lynch.**

LPS Financial Analyses

Selected Publicly Traded Companies Analysis. BofA Merrill Lynch reviewed publicly available financial and stock market information for LPS and the following eight publicly traded companies engaged in businesses which BofA Merrill Lynch judged to be generally comparable to LPS business:

Real Estate Services

CoreLogic, Inc.

Altisource Portfolio Solutions S.A.

Processing Services

Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.

Fiserv, Inc.

Jack Henry & Associates, Inc.

Information Services

Verisk Analytics, Inc.

Equifax Inc.

Fair Isaac Corporation

BofA Merrill Lynch reviewed, among other things, per share equity values, based on closing stock prices on May 22, 2013 (the last trading day before media reports of FNF's potential acquisition of LPS became public), of the selected publicly traded companies as a multiple of calendar year 2014 estimated earnings per share, commonly referred to as EPS. BofA Merrill Lynch also reviewed enterprise values of the selected publicly traded companies (calculated as equity values based on closing stock prices on May 22, 2013, plus debt, less cash) as a multiple of calendar year 2014 estimated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, referred to as EBITDA.

For purposes of determining EBITDA, BofA Merrill Lynch treated stock-based compensation as an expense. This analysis indicated the following implied mean and median multiples for the selected companies in the real estate services businesses and selected companies in the processing and information services businesses:

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

	Implied Multiples for Selected Companies			
	(Real Estate Services)		(Information and Processing Services)	
	Mean	Median	Mean	Median
Price / 2014E EPS	12.0x	11.1x	16.6x	14.9x
Enterprise Value / 2014E EBITDA	7.5x	7.4x	10.3x	9.5x

- 118 -

Table of Contents

Based on its professional judgment and after taking into consideration the observed multiples for the selected publicly traded companies, BofA Merrill Lynch then applied a selected range of calendar year 2014 EPS multiples of 10.0x to 14.0x derived from the selected publicly traded companies to LPS' calendar year 2014 estimated EPS and applied a selected range of calendar year 2014 EBITDA multiples of 6.0x to 8.0x derived from the selected publicly traded companies to LPS' calendar year 2014 estimated EBITDA. Estimated financial data of the selected publicly traded companies were based on publicly available research analysts' estimates, and estimated financial data of LPS were based on the LPS management forecasts and publicly available research analysts' estimates. This analysis indicated the following approximate implied per share equity value reference ranges for LPS, as compared to the merger consideration:

Implied Per Share Equity Value Reference Ranges for LPS		
2014E EPS	2014E EBITDA	Per Share Merger Consideration
\$26.50 - \$37.10	\$24.76 - \$36.96	\$33.25

No company used in this analysis is identical or directly comparable to LPS. Accordingly, an evaluation of the results of this analysis is not entirely mathematical. Rather, this analysis involves complex considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial and operating characteristics and other factors that could affect the public trading or other values of the companies to which LPS was compared.

Selected Precedent Transactions Analysis. BofA Merrill Lynch reviewed, to the extent publicly available, financial information relating to the following eight selected transactions, which transactions were selected because they involved companies engaged in processing or information services businesses that BofA Merrill Lynch judged to be generally comparable to LPS' business and were deemed by BofA Merrill Lynch to be relevant for purposes of evaluating the merger:

Announcement Date	Acquiror	Target
3/30/09	Advent International	Fifth Third Processing Solutions, LLC
4/1/09	Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.	Metavante Technologies, Inc.
9/28/09	Xerox Corporation	Affiliated Computer Services, Inc.
11/15/10	TPG Capital	Macdonald, Dettwiler and Associates Ltd. (Information Products business)
1/1/11	Total System Services, Inc.	First National Merchant Solutions, LLC
3/24/11	Davis + Henderson Corporation	Mortgagebot LLC
2/20/12	Vista Equity Partners	Misys Plc
1/14/13	Fiserv, Inc.	Open Solutions Inc.

BofA Merrill Lynch reviewed transaction values, calculated as the enterprise value implied for the target company based on the consideration payable in the selected transaction, as a multiple of the target company's last twelve months, or LTM, EBITDA. This analysis indicated the following implied mean and median multiples for the selected transactions:

	Transaction Value/LTM EBITDA
Mean	10.1x
Median	9.1x

Based on its professional judgment and after taking into consideration the observed multiples for the selected transactions, BofA Merrill Lynch then applied a selected range of LTM EBITDA multiples of 7.5x to 9.0x

Table of Contents

derived from the selected transactions to LPS LTM EBITDA as of March 31, 2013. Financial data of the selected transactions were based on publicly available information at the time of announcement of the relevant transaction. Financial data of LPS was provided by LPS management. This analysis indicated the following approximate implied per share equity value reference ranges for LPS, as compared to the merger consideration:

Implied Per Share Equity Value Reference Ranges for**LPS LTM EBITDA**

\$34.25 - \$43.47

**Per Share
Merger
Consideration**
\$33.25

No company, business or transaction used in this analysis is identical or directly comparable to LPS or the merger. Accordingly, an evaluation of the results of this analysis is not entirely mathematical. Rather, this analysis involves complex considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial and operating characteristics and other factors that could affect the acquisition or other values of the companies, business segments or transactions to which LPS and the merger were compared.

Discounted Cash Flow Analysis. BofA Merrill Lynch performed a discounted cash flow analysis of LPS, both with and without giving effect to potential cost savings, to calculate the estimated present value of the standalone unlevered, after-tax free cash flows that LPS was forecasted to generate during LPS calendar years 2013 through 2017 based on the LPS management forecasts. BofA Merrill Lynch calculated terminal values for LPS by applying to LPS calendar year 2017 estimated EBITDA a range of terminal forward multiples of 6.0x to 8.0x, which range was selected based on BofA Merrill Lynch's professional judgment and experience and after taking into consideration, among other things, the estimated EBITDA trading multiples of the selected publicly traded companies referred to above under the section entitled *LPS Financial Analyses Selected Publicly Traded Companies Analysis*. The cash flows and terminal values were then discounted to present value as of September 30, 2013 using discount rates ranging from 7.0% to 9.5%, which were based on an estimate of LPS weighted average cost of capital. For purposes of the discounted cash flow analysis, BofA Merrill Lynch treated stock-based compensation as an expense and assumed a tax rate of 37.0%. This analysis indicated the following approximate implied per share equity value reference ranges, both with and without potential cost savings, for LPS as compared to the merger consideration:

Implied Per Share Equity Value Reference Range for LPS**Implied Per Share Equity Value Reference Range for LPS****(Excluding Potential Cost Savings)**

\$29.50 - \$42.25

(Including Potential Cost Savings)

\$35.75 - \$50.50

**Per Share
Merger
Consideration**
\$33.25

FNF Financial Analyses

Selected Publicly Traded Companies Analysis. BofA Merrill Lynch reviewed publicly available financial and stock market information for FNF and the following six publicly traded companies engaged in businesses which BofA Merrill Lynch judged to be generally comparable to FNF's business, including LPS:

Title Companies

First American Financial Corporation

Stewart Information Services Corporation

Residential Real Estate Services

Realogy Holdings Corporation

CoreLogic, Inc.

Lender Processing Services, Inc.

Altisource Portfolio Solutions S.A.

- 120 -

Table of Contents

BofA Merrill Lynch reviewed, among other things, per share equity values, based on the closing stock prices of FNF and the selected publicly traded companies on May 22, 2013, as a multiple of calendar years 2013 and 2014 estimated EPS and book value per share as of March 31, 2013. BofA Merrill Lynch then compared these calendar years 2013 and 2014 estimated EPS and book value per share as of March 31, 2013 multiples derived for the selected companies to corresponding data for FNF based on the closing price of FNF common stock on May 22, 2013. Estimated financial data of the selected publicly traded companies were based on publicly available research analysts' estimates, and estimated financial data of FNF and LPS were based on the FNF and LPS management forecasts and publicly available research analysts' estimates. This analysis indicated the following implied mean and median multiples for the selected companies in the title company and residential real estate services businesses, as compared to corresponding multiples implied for FNF, based on the closing price of FNF common stock on May 22, 2013:

	Implied Multiples				Implied Multiples for FNF Based on Closing Stock Price on 5/22/2013
	for Selected Companies (Title Companies)		Implied Multiples for Selected Companies (Residential Real Estate)		
	Mean	Median	Mean	Median	
Price / Estimated EPS:					
2013E	11.9x	11.9x	18.6x	14.8x	12.2x
2014E	10.4x	10.4x	14.0x	12.7x	12.2x
Price / Book Value as of March 31, 2013	1.1x	1.1x			1.3x

For purposes of this analysis, BofA Merrill Lynch placed greater emphasis on the selected publicly traded companies in the title company business given that FNF's principal business is the provision of title insurance. During 2012, approximately 73% of FNF's total revenue was generated from its title insurance business. No company used in this analysis is identical or directly comparable to FNF. Accordingly, an evaluation of the results of this analysis is not entirely mathematical. Rather, this analysis involves complex considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial and operating characteristics and other factors that could affect the public trading or other values of the companies to which FNF was compared.

Pro Forma Accretion/Dilution Analysis. BofA Merrill Lynch reviewed the potential pro forma financial effect of the merger on FNF's estimated EPS for the twelve months ended September 30, 2013 and calendar years 2014 through 2017, giving effect to potential cost savings. Estimated financial data of FNF were based on the FNF management forecasts. Given the uncertainties relating to predicting the growth rate in purchase mortgage originations, the FNF management forecasts reflected two alternative scenarios. One scenario, referred to herein as Case 1, assumed a 15.0% growth rate in purchase mortgage originations during calendar years 2015 through 2017. The other scenario, referred to herein as Case 2, assumed a 7.5% growth rate in purchase mortgage originations during calendar years 2015 through 2017. BofA Merrill Lynch performed this pro forma financial analysis under each of Case 1 and Case 2. Based on the merger consideration, this analysis indicated that the merger could be (i) accretive to FNF's estimated EPS for the twelve months ended September 30, 2013 and calendar year 2014 and dilutive to FNF's estimated EPS for calendar years 2015 through 2017 under Case 1 and (ii) accretive to FNF's estimated EPS for the twelve months ended September 30, 2013 and calendar year 2014 and approximately neutral to FNF's estimated EPS for calendar years 2015 through 2017 under Case 2. The actual results achieved by the combined company may vary from projected results and the variations may be material.

Other Factors

In rendering its opinion, BofA Merrill Lynch also reviewed and considered other factors, including:

historical trading performance of LPS common stock and FNF common stock during the 52-week period ended May 22, 2013, which reflected low and high closing prices for LPS common stock during such period of \$21.14 and \$30.88, respectively, and low and high closing prices for FNF common stock during such period of \$18.07 and \$27.00, respectively;

Table of Contents

stock price targets for LPS common stock and FNF common stock in recently published, publicly available Wall Street research analyst reports, which indicated a range of stock price targets for LPS common stock of \$22.00 to \$36.00 and a range of stock price targets for FNF common stock of \$26.00 to \$28.00, which stock price targets, in each case, represented each analyst's estimate of the future public market trading prices of LPS common stock and FNF common stock and were not discounted to reflect present values;

a comparison of the historical ratios of enterprise value to next twelve months, or NTM, EBITDA and the historical ratios of stock price to NTM earnings per share for LPS and two selected publicly traded companies in the real estate services business during the period beginning January 4, 2010 and ending May 22, 2013, and the average of these ratios during the one-year and three-year periods ended May 22, 2013; and

a comparison of the historical ratios of stock price to book value per share and the historical ratios of stock price to NTM earnings per share for FNF and two selected publicly traded companies in the title company business during the three-year period ended May 22, 2013, and the average of these ratios during the one-year and three-year periods ended May 22, 2013.

In addition, BofA Merrill Lynch compared the equity value to FNF stockholders prior to completion of the merger and the related transactions to the equity value to FNF stockholders after giving effect to the merger and the related transactions. The related transactions included FNF's contribution of its ServiceLink business to a subsidiary of FNF, FNF's sale of a 19% interest in such affiliate to funds affiliated with Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P. and the resulting 81% pro forma ownership of FNF stockholders, and FNF's issuance of an intercompany note. The post-transaction equity value to FNF stockholders was considered both with and without giving effect to potential cost savings. BofA Merrill Lynch considered the following financial metrics (i) estimated 2014 earnings on a consolidated basis, referred to herein as 2014 consolidated earnings, (ii) estimated book value (excluding minority interests) as of September 30, 2013 on a consolidated basis, referred to herein as 2013 consolidated book value and (iii) estimated 2014 net income on a sum-of-the-parts basis (separately valuing FNF's title insurance business, ServiceLink business, Merger Sub, other investments, potential cost savings and the intercompany note), referred to herein as 2014 SOTP net income. Estimated financial data of FNF were based on the FNF management forecasts. Based on its professional judgment, BofA Merrill Lynch applied a selected range of multiples of 11.0x to 13.0x to 2014 consolidated earnings and 2014 SOTP net income and a selected range of 1.1x to 1.3x to 2013 consolidated book value. Based on the merger consideration (and assuming 50% stock and 50% cash consideration), and giving effect to potential cost savings, this comparison indicated a post-transaction increase in 2014 consolidated earnings, 2013 consolidated book value and 2014 SOTP net income at both the low and high end of the applicable range of multiples. Based on the merger consideration (and assuming 50% stock and 50% cash consideration), and without giving effect to potential cost savings, this comparison indicated (x) a post-transaction increase in 2013 consolidated book value at both the low and high end of the applicable range of multiples, (y) a post-transaction increase in 2014 consolidated earnings and 2014 SOTP net income at the high end of the applicable range of multiples and (z) a post-transaction decrease in 2014 consolidated earnings and 2014 SOTP net income at the low end of the applicable range of multiples. The actual results achieved by the combined company may vary from projected results and the variations may be material. This comparison was referenced for informational purposes only and was not considered part of BofA Merrill Lynch's financial analyses with respect to its opinion.

Miscellaneous

As noted above, the discussion set forth above is a summary of the material financial analyses presented by BofA Merrill Lynch to the FNF board of directors in connection with its opinion and is not a comprehensive description of all analyses undertaken by BofA Merrill Lynch in connection with its opinion. The preparation of a financial opinion is a complex analytical process involving various determinations as to the most appropriate and relevant methods of financial analysis and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances and, therefore, a financial opinion is not readily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. BofA

Table of Contents

Merrill Lynch believes that its analyses summarized above must be considered as a whole. BofA Merrill Lynch further believes that selecting portions of its analyses and the factors considered or focusing on information presented in tabular format, without considering all analyses and factors or the narrative description of the analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the processes underlying BofA Merrill Lynch's analyses and opinion. The fact that any specific analysis has been referred to in the summary above is not meant to indicate that such analysis was given greater weight than any other analysis referred to in the summary.

In performing its analyses, BofA Merrill Lynch considered industry performance, general business and economic conditions and other matters, many of which are beyond the control of FNF and LPS. The estimates of the future performance of FNF and LPS in or underlying BofA Merrill Lynch's analyses are not necessarily indicative of actual values or actual future results, which may be significantly more or less favorable than those estimates or those suggested by BofA Merrill Lynch's analyses. These analyses were prepared solely as part of BofA Merrill Lynch's analysis of the fairness, from a financial point of view, to FNF of the consideration to be paid by FNF in the merger and were provided to the FNF board of directors in connection with the delivery of BofA Merrill Lynch's opinion. The analyses do not purport to be appraisals or to reflect the prices at which a company might actually be sold or the prices at which any securities have traded or may trade at any time in the future. Accordingly, the estimates used in, and the ranges of valuations resulting from, any particular analysis described above are inherently subject to substantial uncertainty and should not be taken to be BofA Merrill Lynch's view of the actual values of LPS or FNF.

The type and amount of consideration payable in the merger was determined through negotiations between FNF and LPS, rather than by any financial advisor, and was approved by the FNF board of directors. The decision to enter into the merger agreement was solely that of the FNF board of directors. As described above, BofA Merrill Lynch's opinion and analyses were only one of many factors considered by the FNF board of directors in its evaluation of the proposed merger and should not be viewed as determinative of the views of the FNF board of directors or management with respect to the merger or the merger consideration.

FNF has agreed to pay BofA Merrill Lynch for its services in connection with the merger an aggregate fee of \$12.5 million, \$2.0 million of which was paid in connection with its opinion and payment of the balance is contingent upon the completion of the merger. FNF also has agreed to reimburse BofA Merrill Lynch for its expenses incurred in connection with BofA Merrill Lynch's engagement and to indemnify BofA Merrill Lynch, any controlling person of BofA Merrill Lynch and each of their respective directors, officers, employees, agents and affiliates against specified liabilities, including liabilities under the federal securities laws. In addition, BofA Merrill Lynch and certain of its affiliates also are participating in the financing for the merger, for which services BofA Merrill Lynch and its affiliates have received or will receive significant compensation, including acting as (i) administrative agent, joint lead arranger and joint book manager for, and lender under, a delayed-draw senior unsecured term loan facility, (ii) joint book-running lead underwriter, joint book-running lead initial purchaser or joint book-running lead placement agent in connection with the issuance, offer and/or sale for cash of senior unsecured notes and (iii) joint lead arranger and joint book manager in respect of certain amendments to the existing revolving credit facility and subject to certain conditions, joint lead arranger and administrative agent in connection with a replacement revolving facility.

BofA Merrill Lynch and its affiliates comprise a full service securities firm and commercial bank engaged in securities, commodities and derivatives trading, foreign exchange and other brokerage activities, and principal investing as well as providing investment, corporate and private banking, asset and investment management, financing and financial advisory services and other commercial services and products to a wide range of companies, governments and individuals. In the ordinary course of their businesses, BofA Merrill Lynch and its affiliates invest on a principal basis or on behalf of customers or manage funds that invest, make or hold long or short positions, finance positions or trade or otherwise effect transactions in the equity, debt or other securities or financial instruments (including derivatives, bank loans or other obligations) of FNF, LPS and certain of their respective affiliates.

Table of Contents

BofA Merrill Lynch and its affiliates in the past have provided, currently are providing, and in the future may provide investment banking, commercial banking and other financial services to FNF and have received or in the future may receive compensation for the rendering of these services, including (i) having acted or acting as a bookrunner on certain equity and debt offerings of FNF and its affiliates, (ii) having acted or acting as administrative agent, arranger and bookrunner for, and lender under, certain credit facilities, letters of credit and lease facilities of FNF, (iii) having provided or providing certain fixed income and foreign exchange trading services to FNF and (iv) having provided or providing certain treasury and management services and products to FNF. In addition, a Vice Chairman of Global Investment Banking at BofA Merrill Lynch is a member of the FNF board of directors.

In addition, BofA Merrill Lynch and its affiliates in the past have provided, currently are providing, and in the future may provide investment banking, commercial banking and other financial services to LPS and have received or in the future may receive compensation for the rendering of these services, including (i) having acted or acting as a bookrunner on certain debt offerings of LPS, (ii) having acted or acting as an arranger for, and lender, under certain credit facilities, letters of credit and lease facilities of LPS and (iii) having provided or providing certain treasury and management services and products to LPS. In addition, certain of BofA Merrill Lynch's affiliates maintain significant commercial (including vendor and/or customer) relationships with LPS.

In addition, as of the date of the opinion, there was a pending commercial dispute between certain of BofA Merrill Lynch's affiliates and each of FNF and LPS.

Opinion of J.P. Morgan Securities LLC to the FNF Board of Directors

Pursuant to an engagement letter dated May 27, 2013, FNF retained J.P. Morgan as a financial advisor in connection with the proposed merger.

At the meeting of the board of directors of FNF on May 27, 2013, J.P. Morgan rendered its oral opinion to the board of directors of FNF that, as of such date and based upon and subject to the factors, assumptions, qualifications and limitations set forth in its opinion, the consideration to be paid by FNF in the proposed merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to FNF. J.P. Morgan has confirmed its May 27, 2013 oral opinion by delivering its written opinion to the board of directors of FNF, dated May 27, 2013, that, as of such date, the consideration to be paid by FNF in the proposed merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to FNF. No limitations were imposed by the FNF board of directors upon J.P. Morgan with respect to the investigations made or procedures followed by it in rendering its opinions.

The full text of the written opinion of J.P. Morgan dated May 27, 2013, which sets forth the assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limits on the review undertaken, is attached as Annex E to this joint proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated herein by reference. FNF's stockholders are urged to read the opinion in its entirety. J.P. Morgan's written opinion is addressed to the board of directors of FNF in connection with and for the purposes of its evaluation of the transaction contemplated by the merger agreement, is directed only to the consideration to be paid in the merger and does not constitute a recommendation to any stockholder of FNF as to how such stockholder should vote at the FNF Special Meeting. The summary of the opinion of J.P. Morgan set forth in this joint proxy statement/prospectus is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of such opinion.

In arriving at its opinions, J.P. Morgan, among other things:

reviewed a draft dated May 25, 2013 of the merger agreement;

reviewed certain publicly available business and financial information concerning FNF and LPS and the industries in which they operate;

compared the proposed financial terms of the merger with the publicly available financial terms of certain transactions involving companies J.P. Morgan deemed relevant and the consideration received for such companies;

Table of Contents

compared the financial and operating performance of FNF and LPS with publicly available information concerning certain other companies J.P. Morgan deemed relevant and reviewed the current and historical market prices of FNF common stock and LPS common stock and certain publicly traded securities of such other companies;

reviewed certain internal financial analyses and forecasts prepared by the managements of FNF and LPS relating to their respective businesses, as well as the estimated amount and timing of cost savings and related expenses expected to result from the merger (referred to as the cost savings); and

performed such other financial studies and analyses and considered such other information as J.P. Morgan deemed appropriate for the purposes of its opinion.

J.P. Morgan also held discussions with certain members of the management of FNF and LPS with respect to certain aspects of the merger, and the past and current business operations of FNF and LPS, the financial condition and future prospects and operations of FNF and LPS, the effects of the merger on the financial condition and future prospects of FNF, and certain other matters J.P. Morgan believed necessary or appropriate to its inquiry. J.P. Morgan also discussed various regulatory, legal and other matters that affect FNF and LPS with the management of FNF.

In giving its opinion, J.P. Morgan relied upon and assumed the accuracy and completeness of all information that was publicly available or was furnished to or discussed with J.P. Morgan by FNF and LPS or otherwise reviewed by or for J.P. Morgan, and J.P. Morgan did not independently verify (nor did it assume responsibility or liability for independently verifying) any such information or its accuracy or completeness. J.P. Morgan did not conduct, nor was it provided with, any valuation or appraisal of any assets or liabilities, nor did J.P. Morgan evaluate the solvency of FNF or LPS under any state or federal laws relating to bankruptcy, insolvency or similar matters. In relying on financial analyses and forecasts provided to it or derived therefrom, including the cost savings, J.P. Morgan assumed that they were reasonably prepared based on assumptions reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments by management as to the expected future results of operations and financial condition of FNF and LPS to which such analyses or forecasts relate. J.P. Morgan expressed no view as to such analyses or forecasts (including the cost savings) or the assumptions on which they were based. J.P. Morgan also assumed that the merger will have the tax consequences described in discussions with, and materials furnished to J.P. Morgan by, representatives of FNF, and will be consummated as described in the merger agreement, and that the definitive merger agreement would not differ in any material respect from the draft thereof provided to J.P. Morgan. J.P. Morgan assumed that the representations and warranties made by FNF and LPS in the merger agreement and the related agreements were and will be correct in all respects material to J.P. Morgan's analysis. J.P. Morgan is not a legal, regulatory or tax expert and relied on the assessments made by advisors to FNF with respect to such issues. J.P. Morgan also relied as to all legal matters relevant to the rendering of its opinion upon the advice of counsel. J.P. Morgan assumed that there will be no developments that are material and adverse to the business of FNF and LPS as a result of the various regulatory, litigation and other matters affecting FNF and LPS. J.P. Morgan further assumed that all material governmental, regulatory or other consents and approvals necessary for the consummation of the merger will be obtained without any adverse effect on FNF or LPS or on the contemplated benefits of the merger.

In addition, J.P. Morgan expressed no opinion on the related transactions being entered into by FNF in connection with the merger, including the contribution of certain assets, issuances of equity of a subsidiary, issuances of intercompany debt and certain other transactions.

The projections furnished to J.P. Morgan for LPS were prepared by the management of LPS. FNF's management furnished to J.P. Morgan FNF's projections prepared under two different cases: Case 1 and Case 2 assuming different forecasts for mortgage originations, which have historically proven to be difficult to forecast. LPS and FNF do not publicly disclose internal management projections of the type provided to J.P. Morgan in connection with J.P. Morgan's analysis of the merger, and such projections were not prepared with a view toward public disclosure. These projections were based on numerous variables and assumptions that are inherently

Table of Contents

uncertain and may be beyond the control of the managements of LPS and FNF, including, without limitation, factors related to general economic and competitive conditions and prevailing interest rates. Accordingly, actual results could vary significantly from those set forth in such projections.

J.P. Morgan's opinion is based on economic, market and other conditions as in effect on, and the information made available to J.P. Morgan as of, the date of such opinion. Subsequent developments may affect J.P. Morgan's opinion, and J.P. Morgan does not have any obligation to update, revise, or reaffirm such opinion. J.P. Morgan's opinion is limited to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the consideration to be paid by FNF in the proposed merger, and J.P. Morgan has expressed no opinion as to the fairness of the merger to, or any consideration of, the holders of any class of securities, creditors or other constituencies of FNF or the underlying decision by FNF to engage in the merger. J.P. Morgan expressed no opinion with respect to the amount or nature of any compensation to any officers, directors, or employees of any party to the merger, or any class of such persons relative to the consideration to be paid by FNF in the merger or with respect to the fairness of any such compensation. Further, J.P. Morgan expressed no opinion as to the price at which FNF's common stock or LPS' common stock will trade at any future time, whether before or after the closing of the merger.

In accordance with customary investment banking practice, J.P. Morgan employed generally accepted valuation methods in reaching its opinion. The following is a summary of the material financial analyses utilized by J.P. Morgan in connection with providing its opinion.

LPS Public Trading Multiples Analysis. Using publicly available information, J.P. Morgan compared selected financial data of LPS with similar data for selected publicly traded companies engaged in businesses which J.P. Morgan judged to be analogous to LPS' business. These companies were selected, among other reasons, because they are publicly traded companies with operations and businesses that, for purposes of J.P. Morgan's analysis, may be considered similar to those of LPS. However, none of the companies selected is identical or directly comparable to LPS and certain of the companies may have characteristics that are materially different from those of LPS. Accordingly, J.P. Morgan used judgments and assumptions concerning differences in financial and operating characteristics of the selected companies and other factors that could affect the public trading value of the selected companies.

In its analysis, J.P. Morgan identified three sets of comparable companies:

Direct Peers

CoreLogic, Inc.

Altisource Portfolio Solutions S.A.

Processors

Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.

Fiserv, Inc.

Total System Services, Inc.

Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc.

DST Systems Inc.

Information Servicers

Verisk Analytics, Inc.

Equifax Inc.

Solera Holdings Inc.

Dun & Bradstreet, Inc.

Fair Isaac Corporation

- 126 -

Table of Contents

For each selected company, J.P. Morgan calculated such company's firm value divided by estimated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (referred to in this section of the joint proxy statement/prospectus as EBITDA), or FV/EBITDA, at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2014, respectively. For purposes of determining EBITDA, J.P. Morgan treated stock-based compensation as an expense. For purposes of this analysis, a company's firm value was calculated as the market value of such company's common stock (as of May 22, 2013, the last trading day before media reports of FNF's potential acquisition of LPS became public) plus the value of such company's indebtedness and minority interests and preferred stock, minus such company's cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. For each of the analyses performed by J.P. Morgan, estimated financial data for the selected companies were based on the selected companies' filings with the SEC (or other public securities filings for public companies listed outside the United States) and publicly available research analysts' consensus estimates.

The following table represents the results of this analysis:

Peer Group	Range		Median	
	2013	2014	2013	2014
Direct Peers	7.1x-7.1x	7.1x-7.6x	7.1x	7.4x
Processors	7.8x-10.1x	7.0x-9.4x	8.7x	8.0x
Information Servicers	9.1x-15.1x	8.6x-13.8x	11.3x	10.5x

Based on the results of this analysis and other factors, including financial and operating metrics, that J.P. Morgan considered appropriate, J.P. Morgan determined a FV/EBITDA for LPS of 6.5x-8.5x for 2013 and of 6.0x-8.0x for 2014. This resulted in an implied equity value per share of LPS common stock ranging from \$28.00 to \$39.50 based on 2013 FV/EBITDA and ranging from \$26.00 to \$37.80 based on 2014 FV/EBITDA.

LPS Selected Transaction Analysis. Using publicly available information, J.P. Morgan examined the following selected transactions involving businesses that J.P. Morgan judged to be sufficiently analogous to LPS' business and relevant for purposes of an evaluation of the merger. The transactions considered and the month and year each transaction was announced are as follows.

Category/Date Announced	Acquiror	Target
Information Servicers August 2012	Verisk Analytics, Inc.	Argus Information & Advisory Services, LLC
February 2012	Advent International Corporation, GS Capital Partners	TransUnion LLC
April 2011	Solera Holdings, Inc.	Explore Information Services, LLC
November 2010	TPG Capital, L.P.	MDA Information Products
May 2010	Silver Lake, Warburg Pincus LLC	Interactive Data Corporation

Category/Date Announced	Acquiror	Target
Information Servicers April 2010	Madison Dearborn Partners, LLC	TransUnion LLC
March 2010	CCMP Capital Advisors, LLC	InfoGroup
March 2010	MSCI Inc.	RiskMetrics Group
November 2009	TPG Capital, L.P., CPP Investment Board	IMS Health Incorporated
February 2008	Reed Elsevier	ChoicePoint
Transaction Processing February 2013	Total System Services, Inc.	NetSpend Holdings Inc
April 2009	Fidelity National Information Services, Inc.	Metavante Corporation

Table of Contents

For each of the selected transactions, to the extent information was publicly available, J.P. Morgan calculated and compared the transaction value against the target's estimated EBITDA for the last twelve months as of the quarter ended immediately prior to the respective transaction (LTM EBITDA), or Transaction value/LTM EBITDA Multiple. This analysis indicated the following implied multiples based on the selected transactions:

	Transaction Value/LTM EBITDA Multiple
High	17.2
Low	7.0
Median	10.8

Based on the results of this analysis and other factors that J.P. Morgan considered appropriate, J.P. Morgan derived a Transaction Value/LTM EBITDA Multiple of 8.5x-10.5x to LPS EBITDA for the last twelve month period ending September 30, 2013, as forecasted by LPS management. This resulted in an implied equity value per share of LPS common stock ranging from \$39.80 to \$51.40.

LPS Discounted Cash Flow Analysis. J.P. Morgan conducted a discounted cash flow analysis for the purpose of determining the fully diluted equity value per share of LPS common stock. A discounted cash flow analysis is a method of evaluating an asset using estimates of the future unlevered free cash flows generated by the asset and taking into consideration the time value of money with respect to those future cash flows by calculating their present value. Present value refers to the current value of one or more future cash payments, which, as used herein refer to cash flows estimated to be generated by LPS, and is obtained by discounting those cash flows back to the present using a discount rate that takes into account macro-economic assumptions and estimates of risk, the opportunity cost of capital, capitalized returns and other appropriate factors.

Terminal value refers to the capitalized value of all cash flows from an asset for periods beyond the final forecast period.

J.P. Morgan calculated the unlevered free cash flows that LPS is expected to generate during fiscal years 2013 through 2022 based upon financial projections prepared by the management of LPS for fiscal years 2013 through 2017 and extrapolated projections for 2018 through 2022 approved by FNF's management. J.P. Morgan also calculated a range of terminal values of LPS at the end of the period ending 2022 by applying a perpetual growth rate ranging from 1.5% to 2.5% of the unlevered free cash flow of LPS during the terminal period of the projections, which range was based on J.P. Morgan's long-term views regarding expected GDP growth. The unlevered free cash flows and the range of terminal values were then discounted to present values using a range of discount rates from 8.5% to 9.5%, which were based on an estimate of LPS weighted average cost of capital. For purposes of the discounted cash flow analysis, J.P. Morgan treated stock-based compensation as an expense and assumed a tax rate of 37.0%. The present value of the unlevered free cash flows and the range of terminal values were then adjusted for LPS estimated September 30, 2013 excess cash, option exercise proceeds and total debt. Based on the foregoing, the discounted cash flow analysis indicated a range of equity values of between \$32.80 and \$45.00 per share of LPS common stock on a stand-alone basis (i.e., without cost savings).

LPS Historical Stock Price Information. J.P. Morgan also reviewed the trading range of LPS intraday stock price for the 52 weeks ended May 22, 2013. The intraday trading range was \$21.14 to \$30.88 for that period.

J.P. Morgan noted that historical stock trading analyses are not valuation methodologies but were presented merely for informational purposes.

FNF Public Trading Multiples Analysis. Using publicly available information, J.P. Morgan compared selected financial data of FNF with similar data for selected publicly traded companies engaged in businesses which J.P. Morgan judged to be analogous to FNF's business. These companies were selected, among other reasons, because they are publicly traded companies with operations and businesses that, for purposes of J.P. Morgan's analysis, may be considered similar to those of FNF. However, none of the companies selected is identical or directly comparable to FNF and certain of the companies may have characteristics that are materially

Table of Contents

different from those of FNF. Accordingly, J.P. Morgan used judgments and assumptions concerning differences in financial and operating characteristics of the selected companies and other factors that could affect the public trading value of the selected companies.

In its analysis, J.P. Morgan identified the following comparable companies:

First American Financial Corporation

Old Republic International Corporation

Stewart Information Services Corporation

For each selected company, J.P. Morgan calculated such company's price-to-book value as of May 22, 2013.

The following table represents the results of this analysis:

	Price-to-book value
High	1.12x
Low	0.99x
Median	1.06x

Based on the results of this analysis and other factors that J.P. Morgan considered appropriate, J.P. Morgan determined a price-to-book value multiple range of 1.00x-1.30x. This resulted in an implied equity value per share of FNF common stock of \$21.70 to \$28.00.

FNF Discounted Cash Flow Analysis. J.P. Morgan also conducted a discounted cash flow analysis for the purpose of determining the fully diluted equity value per share for FNF common stock.

J.P. Morgan calculated the unlevered free cash flows that FNF's title insurance business is expected to generate during fiscal years 2013 through 2022 based upon financial projections prepared by the management of FNF. J.P. Morgan also calculated a range of terminal values of FNF at the end of the period ending 2022 by applying a perpetual growth rate ranging from 1.5% to 2.5% of the unlevered free cash flow of FNF during the terminal period of the projections, which range was based on J.P. Morgan's long-term views regarding expected GDP growth. The unlevered free cash flows and the range of terminal values were then discounted to present values using a range of discount rates from 10.0% to 11.0%, which were based on an estimate of FNF's weighted average cost of capital. For purposes of the discounted cash flow analysis, J.P. Morgan treated stock-based compensation as an expense and assumed a tax rate of 35.0%. The present value of the unlevered free cash flows and the range of terminal values for FNF's title insurance business were then adjusted for FNF's estimated September 30, 2013 excess cash, option exercise proceeds, total debt and estimated equity value of its other principal investments, including: Remy International, the Restaurant Group and Ceridian.

J.P. Morgan used the following methodologies for the other investments:

Business Segment	Methodology Applied	FV/EBITDA for 2013
Remy International, Inc.	Public Trading Multiple	6.0x
Restaurant Group	Public Trading Multiple	9.0x
Ceridian Corporation	Carrying Value	\$335 million

Using publicly available information, J.P. Morgan determined the FV/EBITDA multiple for Remy International by comparing selected financial and market data of Remy International with similar data for the following companies:

Table of Contents

Aftermarkets Focused Suppliers

Federal-Mogul Corporation

Standard Motor Products, Inc.

Motorcar Parts of America, Inc.

Light-duty OE Suppliers

Magna International Inc.

TRW Automotive Holdings Corp.

Autoliv, Inc.

Lear Corp.

Dana Holding Corporation

Tenneco Inc.

American Axle & Manufacturing Holdings Inc.

Commercial Vehicle OE Suppliers

Meritor, Inc.

Modine Manufacturing Company

Stoneridge Inc.

Commercial Vehicle Group Inc.

Hybrids

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

Saft Groupe S.A.

The following table represents the results of this analysis:

Peer Group	FV/EBITDA for 2013
	Median
Aftermarkets Focused Suppliers	7.4x
Light-duty OE Suppliers	5.6x
Commercial Vehicle OE Suppliers	6.4x
Hybrids	5.8x

Using publicly available information, J.P. Morgan determined the FV/EBITDA multiple for the Restaurant Group by comparing selected financial and market data of the Restaurant Group with similar data for the following companies:

Traditional Restaurants

Darden Restaurants, Inc.

Brinker International, Inc.

Bloomin' Brands, Inc.

Cracker Barrel Old Country Store, Inc.

- 130 -

Table of Contents

DineEquity, Inc.

Bob Evans Farms, Inc.

Ruby Tuesday, Inc.

Specialty Restaurants

The Cheesecake Factory Incorporated

Texas Roadhouse, Inc.

BJ's Restaurants, Inc.

Ignite Restaurant Group, Inc.

The following table represents the results of this analysis:

Peer Group	FV/EBITDA for 2013
	Median
Traditional Restaurants	9.0x
Specialty	10.2x

Based on the foregoing, the discounted cash flow analysis indicated a range of equity values of between \$40.10 and \$48.50 per share of FNF common stock on a stand-alone basis (i.e., without cost savings) for Case 1 and between \$29.90 and \$35.50 for Case 2.

FNF Historical Stock Price Information. J.P. Morgan also reviewed the trading range of FNF's intraday stock price for the 52 weeks ended May 22, 2013. The intraday trading range was \$18.07 to \$27.00 for that period.

J.P. Morgan noted that historical stock trading analyses are not valuation methodologies but were presented merely for informational purposes.

Relative Value Analysis. Based upon the implied valuations for each of FNF and LPS derived above under *LPS Public Trading Multiples Analysis*, *LPS Discounted Cash Flow Analysis*, *FNF Public Trading Multiples Analysis* and *FNF Discounted Cash Flow Analysis*, J.P. Morgan calculated a range of implied exchange ratios of a share of LPS common stock, adjusting for the \$16.625 per share of cash consideration, to a share of FNF common stock, and then compared that range of implied exchange ratios to the equivalent exchange ratio in the merger of 0.652 shares of FNF common stock per share of LPS common stock.

For each of the analyses referred to above, J.P. Morgan calculated the ratio implied by dividing the low end of each implied equity value of LPS by the high end of each implied equity value of FNF. J.P. Morgan also calculated the ratio implied by dividing the high end of each implied equity value of LPS by the low end of each implied equity value of FNF. J.P. Morgan assumed, in each case, that \$16.625 of the per share merger consideration would be cash consideration.

This analysis indicated the following implied exchange ratios, compared to each case in the equivalent exchange ratio in the merger of 0.652 shares of FNF common stock per share of LPS common stock:

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

Comparison	Range of Implied Exchange Ratios
Public Trading Multiples Analysis	0.334-1.055
Discounted Cash Flow Analysis Case 1	0.333-0.708
Discounted Cash Flow Analysis Case 2	0.455-0.949

- 131 -

Table of Contents

Value Creation Analysis. J.P. Morgan prepared a value creation analysis that compared the equity value of FNF (based on the discounted cash flow analysis) to FNF shareholders' ownership in the pro forma combined company equity value without giving effect to the impact of the related transactions.

J.P. Morgan determined the pro forma combined equity value by calculating (x) the sum of (i) the equity value of FNF using the midpoint value determined in J.P. Morgan's discounted cash flow analysis described above, (ii) the equity value of LPS using the midpoint value determined in J.P. Morgan's discounted cash flow analysis described above and (iii) the estimated present value of cost savings, net of restructuring charges, as estimated by FNF management, less (y) the sum of (i) estimated transaction expenses and (ii) the cash consideration to be received by the holders of LPS common stock in the merger.

The results of J.P. Morgan's value creation analysis are summarized below:

	Current Equity Value of FNF (per share)	Pro forma Equity Value of FNF (per share)	Value Impact
Case 1	\$ 43.84	\$ 44.45	1.2%
Case 2	\$ 32.42	\$ 35.26	8.8%

The actual results achieved by the combined company may vary from projected results described above and such variations may be material.

The foregoing summary of certain material financial analyses does not purport to be a complete description of the analyses or data presented by J.P. Morgan. The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process and is not necessarily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. J.P. Morgan believes that the foregoing summary and its analyses must be considered as a whole and that selecting portions of the foregoing summary and these analyses, without considering all of its analyses as a whole, could create an incomplete view of the processes underlying the analyses and its opinion. In arriving at its opinion, J.P. Morgan did not attribute any particular weight to any analyses or factors considered by it and did not form an opinion as to whether any individual analysis or factor (positive or negative), considered in isolation, supported or failed to support its opinion. Rather, J.P. Morgan considered the totality of the factors and analyses performed in determining its opinion. Analyses based upon forecasts of future results are inherently uncertain, as they are subject to numerous factors or events beyond the control of the parties and their advisors. Accordingly, forecasts and analyses used or made by J.P. Morgan are not necessarily indicative of actual future results, which may be significantly more or less favorable than suggested by those analyses. Moreover, J.P. Morgan's analyses are not and do not purport to be appraisals or otherwise reflective of the prices at which businesses actually could be bought or sold. None of the selected companies reviewed as described in the above summary is identical to LPS or FNF, and none of the selected transactions reviewed was identical to the merger. However, the companies selected were chosen because they are publicly traded companies with operations and businesses that, for purposes of J.P. Morgan's analysis, may be considered similar to those of LPS or FNF, as applicable. The transactions selected were similarly chosen because their participants, size and other factors, for purposes of J.P. Morgan's analysis, may be considered similar to the merger. The analyses necessarily involve complex considerations and judgments concerning differences in financial and operational characteristics of the companies involved and other factors that could affect the companies compared to LPS or FNF, as applicable, and the transactions compared to the merger.

As a part of its investment banking business, J.P. Morgan and its affiliates are continually engaged in the valuation of businesses and their securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions, investments for passive and control purposes, negotiated underwritings, secondary distributions of listed and unlisted securities, private placements, and valuations for estate, corporate and other purposes. J.P. Morgan was selected to advise FNF with respect to the merger on the basis of such experience and its familiarity with FNF.

For services rendered in connection with the merger, FNF has agreed to pay J.P. Morgan a fee of \$12.5 million, \$2.0 million of which was payable in connection with its opinion and payment of the balance is

Table of Contents

contingent upon the completion of the merger. In addition, FNF has agreed to reimburse J.P. Morgan for its expenses incurred in connection with its services, including the fees and disbursements of counsel, and will indemnify J.P. Morgan against certain liabilities, including liabilities arising under the Federal securities laws. In addition, J.P. Morgan and certain of its affiliates also are participating in the financing for the merger, for which services J.P. Morgan and its affiliates have received or will receive significant compensation, including acting as (i) joint lead arranger in connection with a delayed-draw senior unsecured term loan facility, (ii) joint book-running lead underwriter, joint book-running lead initial purchaser or joint book-running lead placement agent in connection with the issuance, offer and/or sale for cash of senior unsecured notes and (iii) joint lead arranger and joint book manager in respect of certain amendments to the existing revolving credit facility and subject to certain conditions, joint lead arranger in connection with a replacement revolving facility.

In addition, during the two years preceding the date of the fairness opinion, J.P. Morgan and its affiliates have had commercial or investment banking relationships with FNF and LPS for which J.P. Morgan and such affiliates have received customary compensation. Such services during such period have included acting as joint bookrunner on FNF's convertible notes offering in July 2011 and on its investment grade debt securities offering in August 2012, acting as joint bookrunner on LPS's high yield notes offering in September 2012 and acting as lead arranger for LPS revolving credit facility and term loan facility in August 2011. In addition, J.P. Morgan's commercial banking affiliate is an agent bank and a lender under the above-referenced credit facilities of LPS and a lender and provider of treasury and securities services to FNF, for which such affiliate receives customary compensation or other financial benefits. LPS also provides mortgage processing services to certain of J.P. Morgan's affiliates engaged in the mortgage origination business on customary financial terms. It is expected that J.P. Morgan and its commercial bank affiliate will also arrange and/or provide financing to FNF in connection with the merger for customary compensation. In the ordinary course of their businesses, J.P. Morgan and its affiliates may actively trade the debt and equity securities of FNF or LPS for their own accounts or for the accounts of customers and, accordingly, they may at any time hold long or short positions in such securities.

Interests of FNF Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger

Certain executive officers and directors of FNF may have interests in the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement that may be different from, or in addition to, those of FNF's stockholders generally. In considering the recommendation of the FNF board of directors that you vote to approve the FNF stock issuance proposal and related proposals, you should be aware of these interests. The FNF board of directors was aware of these interests and considered them, among other matters, in evaluating and reaching the decision to approve the merger agreement, and in recommending that FNF's stockholders vote in favor of approving the FNF stock issuance proposal. See the sections entitled *Background of the Merger* and *FNF's Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of FNF's Board of Directors* above for further discussion of these matters.

Cash Consideration Payable for Shares under the Merger Agreement

The executive officers and directors of FNF will, to the extent they are holders of LPS common stock, receive the same consideration on the same terms and conditions as the other stockholders of LPS for LPS common stock that they hold immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. As of August 14, 2013, the executive officers and directors of FNF beneficially owned, in the aggregate, 54,892 shares of LPS common stock. If the executive officers and directors of FNF continue to own such shares as of the effective time of the merger, such shares will be converted in the merger into the right to receive merger consideration with an aggregate value equal to \$1,829,551 (assuming that the value of the merger consideration is based on the average closing price of LPS common stock over the first five business days following the public announcement of the merger, or \$33.33).

Table of Contents

The table below sets forth the number of shares of LPS common stock beneficially owned as of August 14, 2013 by each of FNF's executive officers and directors and the aggregate value of the merger consideration that would be payable for such shares.

Name	Shares Beneficially Owned (#)	Aggregate Merger Consideration (\$) ¹
Douglas K. Ammerman		\$
Brent B. Bickett		\$
Willie D. Davis	1,575	\$ 52,495
William P. Foley, II		\$
Michael L. Gravelle		\$
Thomas M. Hagerty	2,084	\$ 69,460
Daniel D. (Ron) Lane	21,566	\$ 718,795
Richard N. Massey	29,500	\$ 983,235
Anthony J. Park		\$
Raymond R. Quirk		\$
John D. Rood		\$
Peter T. Sadowski	98	\$ 3,266
George P. Scanlon	69	\$ 2,300
Peter O. Shea, Jr.		\$
Cary H. Thompson		\$
Frank P. Willey	8,588	\$ 286,238

¹ Assumes that the value of the merger consideration is based on the average closing price of LPS common stock over the first five business days following the public announcement of the merger, or \$33.33. Therefore, actual payments may be greater or less than those shown above.

Historical Relationships Between FNF and LPS

LPS was once a subsidiary of Fidelity National Information Services, Inc. (referred to as FIS), an entity that was previously controlled by FNF's senior management. Prior to October 2006, FNF owned both FIS and LPS. In November 2006, FNF completed a spin-off of FIS to its shareholders and LPS was a subsidiary of FIS at that time. In July 2008, FIS completed a spin-off of LPS into a separate publicly traded company. Several of LPS' directors are currently serving on or have served on FNF's or FIS' board of directors or executive management team. These include:

Lee A. Kennedy, a director and Chairman of the Board of LPS, served as President and CEO of FIS from February 2006 until October 2009, and as Executive Vice Chairman of FIS from October 2009 until March 2010.

James K. Hunt, a director of LPS, served on the board of directors of FIS from April 2006 until July 2008. In addition, Mr. Hunt has served as Chairman of the Board, CEO and Chief Investment Officer of THL Credit, Inc. and of THL Credit Advisors since April 2010. Mr. Hunt has also served as CEO and Chief Investment Officer of THL Credit Group, L.P. THL Credit, Inc., THL Credit Advisors and THL Credit Group, L.P. are each affiliates of Thomas H. Lee Partners, L.P., which will acquire, through its affiliates, a 28.965% minority equity interest in BKFS, the holding company that will own LPS.

Philip G. Heasley, a director of LPS, served on the board of directors of FNF from October 2005 until March 2009.

David K. Hunt, a director of LPS, is currently on the board of directors of FIS, where he serves as a member of the audit, risk and compensation committees.

Hugh R. Harris, a director and President and Chief Executive Officer of LPS, served as President of the Financial Services Technology division of FNF and then FIS from April 2003 until July 2007.

- 134 -

Table of Contents

In addition, William P. Foley, II, Daniel D. (Ron) Lane and Cary H. Thompson, all directors of FNF, were directors of LPS at the time FIS completed its spin-off of LPS in 2008 until their respective resignations from the LPS board of directors in March 2009.

FNF has historically conducted business with LPS and its subsidiaries. A summary of the agreements and transactions for the year ending December 31, 2012, is as follows:

Title agency services by LPS. Title agency services agreements between FNF and LPS allow LPS to provide services to existing customers through loan facilitation transactions, primarily with large national lenders. The arrangement involves the provision of title agency services by LPS, which results in the issuance of title policies on behalf of title insurance underwriters owned by FNF. Under these agreements, LPS retains commissions of the total title premium from title policies that LPS places with certain of FNF's subsidiaries. LPS also performs similar functions in connection with trustee sale guarantees, a form of title insurance that the FNF subsidiaries issue as part of the foreclosure process on a defaulted loan.

Information Technology (IT), data processing services and software development services from LPS. LPS provides certain IT support services and software development services to FNF, primarily consisting of infrastructure support and data center management. LPS also provides certain services related to software application development services and other IT-related services for FNF.

Administrative corporate support services. FNF has provided certain administrative corporate support services such as corporate aviation and other administrative support services to LPS.

Other real estate, tax, and title support related services. FNF pays LPS for providing certain real estate related services to FNF, which consist primarily of real estate, tax data and title related data services required by FNF's title insurance operations and flood zone determination and reporting services used by FNF's title insurers in connection with properties that may be located in special flood hazard areas.

Title plant access and title production services. FNF's title insurers provide LPS with title plant access for real property located in various states, including online database access, physical access to title records, use of space, image system use, and use of special software, as well as other title production services.

Revenues and expenses related to these agreements and transactions were as follows for the six months ending June 30, 2013 and the year ending December 31, 2012.

June 30, 2013 (in millions)

	FNF	LPS
Revenues	\$ 7	\$ 13
Expenses	14	4
Total	\$ (7)	\$ 9

December 31, 2012 (in millions)

	FNF	LPS
Revenues	\$ 30	\$ 40
Expenses	44	8
Total	\$ (14)	\$ 32

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

As of August 28, 2013, FNF owned 7,543 shares of LPS common stock. Also, during 2012, LPS sold its SoftPro business to FNF for \$16 million. LPS recorded a pre-tax gain on the disposal of \$8 million.

Board of Directors Following the Merger

The board of directors of the combined company following the merger will be the same as the FNF board of directors immediately prior to the merger.

- 135 -

Table of Contents

Regulatory Clearances Required for the Merger

LPS and FNF have each agreed to use reasonable best efforts to obtain all regulatory approvals required to complete the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. The following is a summary of the material regulatory requirements for completion of the transactions.

U.S. Antitrust Clearance. Under the HSR Act and the rules promulgated thereunder by the FTC, the merger may not be consummated until HSR notification forms have been submitted to the FTC and the DOJ and specified waiting period requirements have been satisfied. LPS and FNF filed the requisite HSR Act notification forms on June 12, 2013. On July 12, 2013, the waiting period was extended by the FTC's issuance of a request for additional information and documentary material, often referred to as a second request. The effect of the second request is to extend the waiting period imposed by the HSR Act until 30 days after LPS and FNF have substantially complied with the second request, unless that period is extended voluntarily by the parties or terminated sooner by the FTC. LPS and FNF are responding to the second request and are committed to working cooperatively with the FTC staff as it conducts its review of the proposed transaction. Both before and after the expiration of the waiting period, the FTC and the DOJ retain the authority to challenge the merger on antitrust grounds.

In addition, the merger may be reviewed by the state attorneys general in the various states in which LPS and FNF operate. While LPS and FNF believe there are substantial arguments to the contrary, these authorities may claim that there is authority, under the applicable state and federal antitrust laws and regulations, to investigate and/or disapprove the merger under the circumstances and based on the review set forth in applicable state laws and regulations. There can be no assurance that one or more state attorneys general will not attempt to file an antitrust action to challenge the merger. As of the date of this document, the Attorney General's Office of the State of Florida has indicated an interest in reviewing the merger. Neither FNF nor LPS has been notified by any other state attorney general indicating that they plan to review the merger.

In addition, because both FNF and LPS have at least one licensed title insurance company, the merger is subject to notification filings (Form E) with the insurance regulators in certain states with respect to whether it may cause anti-competitive effects in the title insurance markets of such states. While FNF and LPS believe that there are substantial arguments that there is no such anti-competitive effect, one or more of these insurance commissioners may find that such an effect exists and may exercise his or her authority under the state's the Form E statute to issue a cease and desist order preventing one or more involved title insurance companies from doing business in such state.

Other Requisite U.S. State Approvals, Notices and Consents. Notifications and/or applications requesting approval must be submitted to various regulators in connection with the merger, including (i) the New York State Department of Financial Services (referred to as NYDFS), (ii) except to the extent that an applicable exemption applies or if National Title Insurance of New York, Inc. is not commercially domiciled in the state of California, CDI, and (iii) certain other state regulatory authorities. FNF and LPS will file the applications and notifications required to obtain these necessary approvals.

Timing. There can be no assurances that all of the regulatory approvals described above will be obtained and, if obtained, there can be no assurances as to the timing of any approvals, FNF's and LPS's ability to obtain the approvals on satisfactory terms or the absence of any litigation challenging such approvals.

FNF and LPS believe that the merger does not raise substantial antitrust or other significant regulatory concerns and that FNF and LPS can obtain all requisite regulatory approvals on a timely basis without the imposition of any condition or restriction that would have a material adverse effect on FNF or LPS. The parties' obligation to complete the merger is conditioned on the receipt of certain regulatory approvals.

It is presently contemplated that if any governmental approvals or actions are required beyond those listed above, such approvals or actions will be sought. There can be no assurance, however, that any additional

Table of Contents

approvals or actions will be obtained. The parties are required to use their reasonable best efforts to file all the necessary documentation and obtain all consents of third parties that are necessary to complete the merger and to comply with the terms and conditions of all consents, approvals and authorizations of any third party or governmental entity. However, the foregoing obligations are subject to certain exceptions and limitations, including that neither LPS nor any of its subsidiaries shall, without FNF's written consent, and neither FNF nor any of its affiliates shall be required to, agree to divest, sell, dispose of, hold separate or otherwise take or commit to take any action that limits its freedom of action with respect to, or FNF's or the surviving corporation's or any of their subsidiaries' ability to retain any of the business or assets of FNF or any of its subsidiaries that are material to FNF and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, or any businesses or assets of LPS or any of its subsidiaries that would reasonably be expected to, individually or in the aggregate, result in a material adverse effect on LPS and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole.

Exchange of Shares in the Merger

Prior to the effective time of the merger, FNF will appoint an exchange agent reasonably acceptable to LPS to handle the exchange of shares of LPS common stock for the merger consideration. At the effective time of the merger, each share of LPS common stock (other than (i) shares owned by LPS, its subsidiaries, FNF or Merger Sub and (ii) shares in respect of which appraisal rights have been properly exercised and perfected in accordance with the DGCL) will be converted into the right to receive the merger consideration without the need for any action by the holders of LPS common stock.

As promptly as practicable after the effective time of the merger (and in no event later than two business days after such time) FNF will cause the exchange agent to mail to each holder of an LPS common stock certificate a letter of transmittal specifying, among other things, that delivery will be effected, and risk of loss and title to any certificates representing LPS shares shall pass, upon proper delivery of such certificates to the exchange agent. The letter will also include instructions explaining the procedure for surrendering LPS stock certificates, if any, in exchange for cash and shares of FNF common stock.

LPS stockholders will not receive any fractional shares of FNF common stock in the merger. Instead, each LPS stockholder will be entitled to receive a cash payment in lieu of any fractional shares of FNF common stock it otherwise would have received pursuant to the merger equal to the product obtained by multiplying (A) the fractional share interest to which such holder would otherwise be entitled (taking into account all shares of LPS common stock held by such holder) by (B) the average FNF stock price.

After the effective time of the merger, shares of LPS common stock will no longer be outstanding, will be canceled and retired and will cease to exist and each certificate, if any, that previously represented shares of LPS common stock will represent only the right to receive the merger consideration as described above. With respect to the merger consideration deliverable upon the surrender of LPS stock certificates, until holders of such LPS stock certificates have surrendered such stock certificates to the exchange agent for exchange, those holders will not receive dividends or distributions with respect to such shares of FNF common stock with a record date after the effective time of the merger. However, upon the surrender of such stock certificates, such holders will receive the amount of dividends or other distributions with respect to such shares of FNF common stock theretofore paid with a record date after the effective time of the merger.

FNF stockholders need not take any action with respect to their certificates for shares of FNF common stock.

Treatment of LPS Equity and Incentive Compensation Awards

Stock Options. Unless otherwise agreed between the applicable holder and FNF and with the prior written consent of LPS, each stock option outstanding and unexercised immediately prior to the effective time of the merger with a per share exercise price that is less than the fair market value (as defined in LPS' 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of a share of LPS common stock as of such time, whether or not vested or exercisable, will be net exercised for whole and fractional shares of LPS common stock. The number of whole and fractional shares

Table of Contents

received upon exercise of a stock option will be equal to (A) the number of shares of LPS common stock subject to the stock option immediately prior to the effective time of the merger minus (B) the number of shares of LPS common stock with a fair market value (as defined in LPS 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger equal to the sum of (i) the aggregate exercise price of such stock option and (ii) any taxes required to be deducted and withheld under the Code and other applicable laws in connection with such net exercise. Whole shares will be treated as issued and outstanding as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and converted into the right to receive the merger consideration, without interest. Any fractional shares will be paid to the holder in cash, without interest, based on the fair market value (as defined in LPS 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of LPS common stock as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. Each stock option outstanding and unexercised immediately prior to the effective time of the merger with a per share exercise price that is equal to or greater than the fair market value (as defined in LPS 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of a share of LPS common stock as of such time, shall be canceled and terminated without consideration as of the effective time of the merger.

Restricted Stock. Unless otherwise agreed between the applicable holder and FNF and with the prior written consent of LPS, each restricted share outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger will vest in full and become free of restrictions as of the effective time of the merger (assuming, in the case of restricted shares that are subject to performance-based vesting, attainment of all applicable performance goals at the target level). As of the effective time of the merger, each such restricted share will be canceled and converted into the right to receive (A) the merger consideration (without interest) and (B) an amount equal to the accumulated dividends with respect to such restricted share. Accumulated dividends will be paid in cash (without interest and subject to applicable withholding taxes) by LPS to the holder thereof as promptly as practicable following, but in no event later than ten business days after, the effective time of the merger.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan. All accumulated participant contributions and matching contributions (as such terms are defined in the LPS ESPP) will be used to purchase shares of LPS common stock immediately prior to the effective time of the merger in accordance with the terms of the LPS ESPP, and such shares of LPS common stock will be canceled at the effective time of the merger and converted into the right to receive the merger consideration (without interest). Matching contributions that are payable with respect to participant contributions made prior to the effective time of the merger and that would otherwise have been credited to each participant's account following the effective time of the merger based on such participant's continued employment following the effective time of the merger will be paid to the participant in cash, without interest as promptly as practicable following, but in no event later than ten business days after, the effective time of the merger.

Cash Retention Incentive Awards. Each outstanding cash retention incentive award that is, by its terms, subject to performance-based and service-based vesting will vest in full immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and become free of restrictions. Applicable performance goals in respect of cash retention incentive awards that were subject to performance-based vesting were previously determined to have been attained at maximum level, though such awards continue to be subject to service-based vesting. At the effective time of the merger, the service-based vesting conditions in respect of each cash retention incentive award will be deemed to have been met and such award shall be canceled and the holder thereof will be entitled to receive a cash payment equal to the amount payable in respect thereof based on the previous attainment of the applicable performance objective at maximum level. Such cash payment will be paid as promptly as practicable following, but in no event later than ten business days after, the effective time of the merger, without interest. Each cash retention incentive award that is, by its terms, subject only to service-based vesting will vest in full and be payable no later than thirty days after the effective time of the merger.

Dividend Policy

FNF and LPS have historically paid quarterly dividends to their respective stockholders. LPS may continue to make its regular quarterly cash dividends consistent with past practices without FNF's consent, provided that such quarterly dividends may not exceed \$0.10 per share. FNF may continue to make its regular quarterly cash

Table of Contents

dividends consistent with past practices without LPS consent, provided, that such quarterly dividends may not exceed \$0.16 per share, subject to increase in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice. FNF and LPS have agreed in the merger agreement not to pay any interim or special dividends without the other party's written consent.

Listing of Shares of FNF Common Stock

It is a condition to the completion of the merger that the shares of FNF common stock to be issued to LPS stockholders pursuant to the merger be approved for listing on the NYSE, subject to official notice of issuance, at the effective time of the merger.

De-Listing and Deregistration of Shares of LPS Common Stock

Upon completion of the merger, shares of LPS common stock currently listed on the NYSE will cease to be listed on the NYSE and will subsequently be deregistered under the Exchange Act.

Appraisal Rights

If a holder of LPS common stock does not vote for the merger proposal at the LPS special meeting, and makes a written demand for appraisal prior to the taking of the vote on the adoption of the merger agreement and otherwise complies with the applicable statutory procedure of Section 262 of the DGCL (referred to as Section 262), summarized herein, such holder may be entitled to appraisal rights under Section 262. In order to exercise and perfect appraisal rights, a record holder of shares of LPS common stock must follow the steps summarized below properly and in a timely manner.

Section 262 of the DGCL is reprinted in its entirety as Annex F to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Set forth below is a summary description of Section 262. The following summary describes the material aspects of Section 262 and the law relating to appraisal rights, and is qualified in its entirety by reference to Annex F. All references in Section 262 and this summary to stockholder are to the record holder of shares of LPS common stock. Any holder of LPS common stock who wishes to exercise appraisal rights, or who wishes to preserve such holder's right to do so, should review the following discussion and Annex F carefully because failure to comply strictly with the procedures set forth in Section 262 will result in the loss of appraisal rights. The following summary does not constitute any legal or other advice nor does it constitute a recommendation that stockholders exercise their appraisal rights under Section 262.

Under the DGCL, holders of LPS common stock who follow the procedures set forth in Section 262 of the DGCL will be entitled, in lieu of receiving the merger consideration, to have the fair value of their shares at the effective time of the merger (exclusive of any element of value arising from the accomplishment or expectation of the merger) determined by the Delaware Court of Chancery and paid to them in cash together with a fair rate of interest, if any, to be paid on the amount determined to be the fair value, unless the Delaware Court of Chancery in its discretion determines otherwise for good cause shown, by complying with the provisions of Section 262.

Under Section 262, when a merger agreement relating to a proposed merger is to be submitted for adoption at a meeting of stockholders, as in the case of the LPS special meeting, the corporation, not less than 20 days prior to such meeting, must notify each of its stockholders who was a stockholder on the record date for notice of such meeting with respect to such shares for which appraisal rights are available that appraisal rights are so available, and must include in each such notice a copy of Section 262. This joint proxy statement/prospectus constitutes such notice to the holders of LPS common stock and Section 262 is attached to this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex F and incorporated herein by reference. Any stockholder who wishes to exercise such appraisal rights or who wishes to preserve his or her right to do so should review the following discussion and Annex F carefully, because failure to timely and properly comply with the procedures specified will result in

Table of Contents

the loss of appraisal rights under the DGCL. Moreover, because of the complexity of the procedures for exercising the right to seek appraisal of shares of LPS common stock, LPS believes that if a stockholder considers exercising such rights, such stockholder should seek the advice of legal counsel.

Stockholders of record who desire to exercise their appraisal rights must satisfy all of the following conditions:

A stockholder who desires to exercise appraisal rights must (i) not vote in favor of the merger proposal, (ii) deliver in the manner set forth below a written demand for appraisal of the stockholder's shares of LPS common stock to the Secretary of LPS before the vote on the merger proposal at the LPS special meeting at which the merger proposal will be submitted to the LPS stockholders and (iii) continuously hold such stockholder's shares of LPS common stock through the effective time of the merger.

If a stockholder signs and returns a proxy card or submits a proxy via the internet or telephone without abstaining or expressly directing that his, her or its shares of LPS common stock be voted against the merger proposal, such stockholder will effectively waive his, her or its appraisal rights with respect to shares represented by the proxy because such shares will be voted in favor of the merger proposal. Accordingly, if a stockholder desires to exercise and perfect appraisal rights with respect to any of his, her or its shares of LPS common stock, such stockholder must either (1) refrain from voting in person or executing and returning the enclosed proxy card, or submitting a proxy via the internet or by telephone, in each case in favor of the proposal to adopt the merger agreement or (2) vote in person or check either the against or the abstain next to the proposal on such card or by submitting a proxy via the internet or by telephone in each case against the proposal or register in person an abstention with respect thereto. A vote or proxy against the merger proposal will not, in and of itself, constitute a demand for appraisal.

A demand for appraisal will be sufficient if it reasonably informs LPS of the identity of the stockholder and that such stockholder intends thereby to demand appraisal of such stockholder's shares of LPS common stock. This written demand for appraisal must be separate from any proxy or vote abstaining from or voting against the merger proposal.

Only a holder of record of LPS common stock is entitled to assert appraisal rights for the shares of LPS common stock registered in that holder's name, and such holder must continue to hold such shares through the effective time of the merger. A demand for appraisal must be executed by or on behalf of the stockholder of record, fully and correctly, as the stockholder's name appears on the certificates representing shares or, in the case of uncertificated shares, as the stockholder's name appears on the stockholder register, and must state that such person intends thereby to demand appraisal of his or her shares. If the shares are owned of record in a fiduciary capacity, such as by a broker, bank, trustee, guardian, custodian or other nominee, such demand must be executed by the fiduciary in its capacity as such. If shares are owned of record by more than one person, as in a joint tenancy or tenancy in common, the demand must be executed by or on behalf of all joint owners. An authorized agent, including an agent of two or more joint owners, may execute the demand for appraisal on behalf of a stockholder of record; however, the agent must identify the record owner or owners and expressly disclose that, in executing the demand, the agent is acting as agent for such owner or owners. In addition, the stockholder must continuously hold the shares of record from the date of making the demand through the effective time of the merger since appraisal rights will be lost if the shares are transferred prior to the effective time of the merger.

A record owner, such as a broker, bank, trust or other nominee who holds shares as a nominee for several beneficial owners may exercise appraisal rights with respect to the shares of LPS common stock held for one or more beneficial owners while not exercising such right with respect to the shares held for other beneficial owners. In such case, the written demand must set forth the number of shares as to which appraisal is sought. If the number of shares of LPS common stock is not expressly stated, the demand will be presumed to cover all shares held in the name of the record owner.

Beneficial owners who are not record owners and who intend to exercise appraisal rights should instruct the record owner to comply strictly with the statutory requirements with respect to the exercise of appraisal rights

Table of Contents

before the vote on the approval of the merger proposal at the LPS special meeting. A holder of shares held in street name who desires appraisal rights with respect to those shares must take such actions as may be necessary to ensure that a timely and proper demand for appraisal is made by the record owner of the shares. Shares held through brokerage firms, banks and other financial institutions are frequently deposited with and held of record in the name of a nominee of a central security depository, such as Cede & Co., The Depository Trust Company's nominee. Any holder of shares desiring appraisal rights with respect to such shares who held such shares through a brokerage firm, bank or other financial institution is responsible for ensuring that the demand for appraisal is made by the record holder. The stockholder should instruct such firm, bank or institution that the demand for appraisal must be made by the record holder of the shares, which might be the nominee of a central security depository if the shares have been so deposited. Holders of shares in street name who wish to exercise appraisal rights are urged to consult with their broker, bank, trust or other nominee to determine the appropriate procedures for the making of a demand for appraisal.

As required by Section 262, a demand for appraisal must be in writing and must reasonably inform LPS of the identity of the record holder (which might be a nominee as described above) and of such holder's intention to seek appraisal of such shares.

All written demands for appraisal of shares of LPS common stock must be mailed or delivered to LPS Corporate Secretary at LPS principal executive offices at 601 Riverside Avenue, Jacksonville, Florida 32204, Attention: Corporate Secretary, prior to the vote on the adoption of the merger agreement.

The written demand for appraisal should specify the stockholder's name and mailing address, the number of shares owned, and that the stockholder is demanding appraisal of his, her or its shares. The written demand must be received by LPS prior to the taking of the vote on the merger proposal at the LPS special meeting. Neither voting (in person or by proxy) against, abstaining from voting on or failing to vote on the merger proposal will alone suffice to constitute a written demand for appraisal within the meaning of Section 262. In addition, the stockholder must not vote its shares of common stock in favor of the merger proposal. Because a proxy that does not contain voting instructions will, unless revoked, be voted in favor of the merger proposal, it will constitute a waiver of the stockholder's right of appraisal and will nullify any previously delivered written demand for appraisal. Therefore, a stockholder who votes by proxy and who wishes to exercise appraisal rights must vote against the merger agreement or abstain from voting on the merger agreement.

Within ten days after the effective time of the merger, LPS, as the surviving corporation in the merger, will notify each LPS stockholder who has properly asserted appraisal rights under Section 262 and has not voted for the merger proposal of the effective date of the merger. Within 120 days after the effective time of the merger, but not thereafter, either LPS, as the surviving corporation in the merger, or any stockholder who has timely and properly demanded appraisal of such stockholder's shares and who has complied with the requirements of Section 262 and is otherwise entitled to appraisal rights may commence an appraisal proceeding by filing a petition in the Delaware Court of Chancery demanding a determination of the fair value of the shares of LPS common stock of all stockholders who have properly demanded appraisal. If no such petition is filed, appraisal rights will be lost for all stockholders who had previously demanded appraisal of their shares. LPS is not under any obligation, and has no present intention, to file a petition with respect to appraisal of the value of the shares. Accordingly, if a stockholder wishes to exercise its appraisal rights, it should regard it as its obligation to take all steps necessary to perfect its appraisal rights in the manner prescribed in Section 262 (including filing such a petition with the Delaware Court of Chancery). Within 120 days after the effective date of the merger, any holder of LPS common stock who has complied with the provisions of Section 262 will be entitled, upon written request, to receive from LPS, as the surviving corporation, a statement setting forth the aggregate number of shares of LPS common stock not voted in favor of the merger proposal and with respect to which demands for appraisal have been received and the aggregate number of holders of such shares. Such statement must be mailed within ten days after a written request therefor has been received by LPS, as the surviving corporation, or within ten days after the expiration of the period for delivery of demands for appraisal, whichever is later. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a person who is the beneficial owner of shares of LPS common stock held either in a voting trust or by a broker, bank, trust or other nominee on behalf of such person may, in such person's own name, file an appraisal petition or request from LPS, as the surviving corporation, the statement described in this paragraph.

Table of Contents

If a petition for an appraisal is timely filed and a copy thereof is served upon LPS, as the surviving corporation, LPS, as the surviving corporation, will then be obligated, within 20 days, to file with the Delaware Register in Chancery a duly verified list containing the names and addresses of all stockholders who have demanded an appraisal of their shares and with whom agreements as to the value of their shares have not been reached. After notice to the stockholders as required by the Delaware Court of Chancery, the Delaware Court of Chancery is empowered to conduct a hearing on such petition to determine those stockholders who have complied with Section 262 and who have become entitled to appraisal rights thereunder. The Delaware Court of Chancery may require the stockholders who demanded appraisal rights and who hold stock certificates representing shares of LPS common stock to submit their stock certificates to the Register in Chancery for notation thereon of the pendency of the appraisal proceeding; and if any stockholder fails to comply with such direction, the Delaware Court of Chancery may dismiss the proceedings as to such stockholder.

If a petition for an appraisal is timely filed, after a hearing on such petition, the Delaware Court of Chancery will determine which stockholders are entitled to appraisal rights. Thereafter, the appraisal proceeding will be conducted in accordance with the rules of the Delaware Court of Chancery, including any rules specifically governing appraisal proceedings. Through such proceeding, the Delaware Court of Chancery will determine the fair value of the shares exclusive of any element of value arising from the accomplishment or expectation of the merger, together with interest, if any, to be paid upon the amount determined to be the fair value. Unless the Delaware Court of Chancery in its discretion determines otherwise for good cause shown, interest from the effective date of the merger through the date of payment of the judgment shall be compounded quarterly and shall accrue at 5% over the Federal Reserve discount rate (including any surcharge) as established from time to time during the period between the effective date of the merger and the date of payment of the judgment. In determining fair value, the Delaware Court of Chancery is to take into account all relevant factors. In *Weinberger v. UOP, Inc.*, et al., the Delaware Supreme Court discussed the considerations that could be considered in determining fair value in an appraisal proceeding, stating that proof of value by any techniques or methods which are generally considered acceptable in the financial community and otherwise admissible in court should be considered and that [f]air price obviously requires consideration of all relevant factors involving the value of a company. The Delaware Supreme Court stated in *Weinberger* that in making this determination of fair value the court must consider market value, asset value, dividends, earnings prospects, the nature of the enterprise and any other facts which were known or which could be ascertained as of the date of merger which throw any light on future prospects of the merged corporation. The Delaware Supreme Court construed Section 262 to mean that elements of future value, including the nature of the enterprise, which are known or susceptible of proof as of the date of the merger and not the product of speculation, may be considered. However, in *Weinberger*, the Delaware Supreme Court construed Section 262 as providing that fair value is to be determined exclusive of any element of value arising from the accomplishment or expectation of the merger. In *Cede & Co. v. Technicolor, Inc.*, the Delaware Supreme Court stated that such exclusion is a narrow exclusion [that] does not encompass known elements of value, but which rather applies only to the speculative elements of value arising from such accomplishment or expectation. In addition, the Delaware courts have decided that the statutory appraisal remedy, depending on factual circumstances, may or may not be a dissenter's exclusive remedy.

Stockholders considering seeking appraisal should bear in mind that the fair value of their shares of LPS common stock as determined under Section 262 could be more than, the same as, or less than the merger consideration they are entitled to receive pursuant to the merger agreement if they do not seek appraisal of their shares, and that opinions of investment banking firms as to the fairness from a financial point of view of the consideration payable in a transaction such as the merger are not opinions as to, and do not in any way address, fair value under Section 262. Although LPS believes that the merger consideration is fair, no representation is made as to the outcome of the appraisal of fair value as determined by the Delaware Court of Chancery, and stockholders should recognize that such an appraisal could result in a determination of a value higher or lower than, or the same as, the merger consideration. Neither FNF nor LPS anticipate offering more than the applicable merger consideration to any LPS stockholder exercising appraisal rights, and reserve the right to assert, in any appraisal proceeding, that for purposes of Section 262, the fair value of a share of LPS common stock is less than the applicable merger consideration.

Table of Contents

The Delaware Court of Chancery will direct the payment of the fair value of the shares of LPS common stock for which appraisal rights have been properly exercised and perfected, together with interest, if any, by LPS, as the surviving corporation, to the stockholders entitled thereto. The Delaware Court of Chancery will determine the amount of interest, if any, to be paid on the amounts to be received by persons whose shares of LPS common stock have been appraised. The costs of the action (which do not include attorneys' fees or the fees and expenses of experts) may be determined by the Delaware Court of Chancery and charged upon the parties as the Delaware Court of Chancery deems equitable in the circumstances. Upon application of a stockholder seeking appraisal rights, the Delaware Court of Chancery may also order that all or a portion of the expenses incurred by such stockholder in connection with the appraisal, including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and the fees and expenses of experts utilized in the appraisal proceeding, be charged *pro rata* against the value of all shares entitled to appraisal. In the absence of such a determination of assessment, each party bears its own expenses.

From and after the effective time of the merger, no stockholder who has duly demanded and perfected an appraisal in compliance with Section 262 shall be entitled to vote any shares subject thereto for any purpose or receive dividends or other distributions thereon (except dividends or other distributions payable to the stockholders of record at a date prior to the effective time of the merger).

At any time within 60 days after the effective time of the merger, any stockholder who has not commenced an appraisal proceeding or joined that proceeding as a named party may withdraw such stockholder's demand for appraisal and accept the cash and FNF common stock to which the stockholder is entitled pursuant to the merger agreement by delivering to LPS, as the surviving corporation, a written withdrawal of the demand for appraisal. After this period, a stockholder may withdraw such stockholder's demand for appraisal and accept the cash and FNF common stock to which the stockholder is entitled pursuant to the merger only with the written consent of LPS, as the surviving corporation in the merger. If no petition for appraisal is filed with the Delaware Court of Chancery within 120 days after the effective time of the merger, a stockholder's rights to appraisal shall cease and such stockholder shall be entitled to receive only the cash and FNF common stock as provided for in the merger agreement as if such stockholder had not demanded appraisal of the shares of LPS common stock. Inasmuch as the parties to the merger agreement have no obligation to file such a petition, and have no present intention to do so, any stockholder who desires that such petition be filed is advised to file it on a timely basis. No petition timely filed in the Delaware Court of Chancery demanding appraisal shall be dismissed as to any stockholders without the approval of the Delaware Court of Chancery, and such approval may be conditioned upon such terms as the Delaware Court of Chancery deems just; provided, however, that any stockholder who has not commenced an appraisal proceeding or joined that proceeding as a named party may withdraw his, her or its demand for appraisal and accept the merger consideration offered pursuant to the merger agreement within 60 days after the effective time of the merger. If LPS, as the surviving corporation in the merger, does not approve a request to withdraw a demand for appraisal when that approval is required, or, except with respect to any stockholder who withdraws such stockholder's right to appraisal in accordance with the first sentence of this paragraph, if the Delaware Court of Chancery does not approve the dismissal of an appraisal proceeding, the stockholder will be entitled to receive only the appraised value determined in any such appraisal proceeding, which value could be less than, equal to or more than the consideration being offered pursuant to the merger agreement.

Stockholders who properly demand appraisal of their shares of LPS common stock under Section 262 and fail to perfect, or effectively withdraw or lose, their right to appraisal as provided in the DGCL, will have their shares of LPS common stock converted into the right to receive the merger consideration pursuant to the merger agreement. Stockholders will fail to perfect, or effectively lose or withdraw, their right to appraisal if, among other things, no petition is filed within 120 days after the effective date of the merger. In addition, a stockholder may withdraw his or her demand for appraisal in accordance with Section 262 and accept the merger consideration offered pursuant to the merger agreement as described above.

Table of Contents

If a holder of LPS common stock desires to exercise appraisal rights in accordance with Section 262, such stockholder must not vote in favor of the merger proposal and must strictly comply with the procedures set forth in Section 262. Failure to comply with all of the procedures set forth in Section 262 will result in the loss of a stockholder's statutory appraisal rights. In view of the complexity of Section 262, stockholders who wish to dissent from the merger and pursue appraisal rights should consult their legal advisors.

The foregoing is a brief summary of Section 262 that sets forth the procedures for demanding statutory appraisal rights. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to Section 262, a copy of the text of which is attached hereto as Annex F.

Litigation Related to the Merger

On May 31, 2013, two putative LPS stockholders filed an amended complaint in a derivative action, captioned *Wheatley v. Carbiener, et al.*, Case No. 16-2011-CA-000925-XXXX-MA, pending in the Circuit Court of the Fourth Judicial Circuit in and for Duval County, Florida, to assert putative class action claims against LPS, the members of the LPS board of directors, FNF and THL (the "Wheatley Action"). Also on May 31, 2013, a second putative stockholder class action complaint, captioned *Orlando Police Pension Fund v. Kennedy, et al.*, Case No. 2013-CA-5607, was filed in the Circuit Court of the Fourth Judicial Circuit in and for Duval County, Florida against LPS, the members of the LPS board of directors, FNF and Merger Sub (the "Orlando Police Action"). On June 3, 2013, a third putative stockholder class action complaint, captioned *Pruitt v. Lender Processing Services, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 8622-VCL, was filed in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware against LPS, the members of the LPS board of directors, FNF and Merger Sub (the "Pruitt Action"). On August 7, 2013, a putative LPS stockholder filed an amended complaint in a derivative action, captioned *Hill v. Kennedy, et al.*, C.A. No. 8305-CS, pending in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware, to assert putative class claims against LPS and the members of the LPS board of directors (the "Hill Action"). Each of the complaints in the Wheatley Action, the Orlando Police Action, the Pruitt Action and the Hill Action alleges among other things that the members of the LPS board breached their fiduciary duties to LPS stockholders by entering into the merger agreement, and the complaints in the Wheatley Action, the Orlando Police Action and the Pruitt Action further allege that FNF, Merger Sub and/or THL aided and abetted the members of the LPS board in breaching their fiduciary duties. All of the complaints seek, among other things, to enjoin the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

The defendants believe that each of the Wheatley Action, the Orlando Police Action, the Hill Action and the Pruitt Action are without merit and intend to vigorously defend them.

Table of Contents

THE MERGER AGREEMENT

The following describes the material provisions of the merger agreement, which is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus and incorporated by reference herein. The description in this section and elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus is qualified in its entirety by reference to the merger agreement. This summary does not purport to be complete and may not contain all of the information about the merger agreement that is important to you. FNF and LPS encourage you to carefully read the merger agreement in its entirety before making any decisions regarding the merger, including approval of the merger proposal and/or approval of the FNF stock issuance proposal, as it is the legal document governing the merger.

The merger agreement and this summary of its terms have been included to provide you with information regarding the terms of the merger agreement. Factual disclosures about FNF, LPS or any of their respective subsidiaries or affiliates contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or their respective public reports filed with the SEC may supplement, update or modify the factual disclosures about FNF, LPS or their respective subsidiaries or affiliates contained in the merger agreement and described in this summary. The representations, warranties and covenants made in the merger agreement by FNF, LPS and Merger Sub were qualified and subject to important limitations agreed to by FNF, LPS and Merger Sub in connection with negotiating the terms of the merger agreement. In particular, in your review of the representations and warranties contained in the merger agreement and described in this summary, it is important to bear in mind that the representations and warranties were negotiated with the principal purposes of allocating risk between the parties to the merger agreement, rather than establishing matters as facts. The representations and warranties may also be subject to a contractual standard of materiality different from those generally applicable to stockholders and reports and documents filed with the SEC and in some cases were qualified by confidential disclosures that were made by each party to the other, which disclosures are not reflected in the merger agreement or otherwise publicly disclosed. Moreover, information concerning the subject matter of the representations and warranties may have changed since the date of the merger agreement and subsequent developments or new information qualifying a representation or warranty may have been included in this proxy statement/prospectus. For the foregoing reasons, the representations, warranties and covenants or any descriptions of those provisions should not be read alone.

The Merger

The merger agreement provides that, on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the merger agreement and in accordance with the DGCL, at the effective time of the merger, Merger Sub, a Delaware corporation and newly formed subsidiary of FNF, will merge with and into LPS. Following the effective time of the merger, the separate corporate existence of Merger Sub will cease, and LPS will continue as the surviving corporation in the merger as a subsidiary of FNF.

Completion of the Merger

Unless the parties agree otherwise, the closing of the merger will take place on the second business day after the satisfaction or waiver of all of the closing conditions set forth in the merger agreement, other than those conditions that, by their terms, are to be satisfied at the closing of the merger (but subject to the satisfaction or waiver of those conditions); provided that, notwithstanding the satisfaction or waiver of all of the closing conditions, if the fifteen-business day marketing period provided for by the terms of the merger agreement has not ended at the time of the satisfaction or waiver of all of the closing conditions, the closing of the merger will take place on the earlier to occur of any business day during such marketing period specified by FNF on not less than two business days' notice to LPS or the first business day following the final day of such marketing period, or on such other date agreed to by the parties. The merger will be effective when the parties file a certificate of merger with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware, or at such later time as the parties agree and specify in the certificate of merger.

Table of Contents

Merger Consideration

Under the terms of the merger agreement it was agreed that, at the effective time of the merger, each outstanding share of LPS common stock (other than (i) shares owned by LPS, its subsidiaries, FNF or Merger Sub and (ii) shares in respect of which appraisal rights have been properly exercised and perfected under Delaware law) would be converted into the right to receive (i) \$16.625 in cash (as the same may be increased according to the terms set forth below), without interest, and (ii) the number of shares of FNF common stock equal to the exchange ratio (as defined below).

Under the terms of the merger agreement, FNF has the option, by providing written notice (referred to as the adjustment notice) to LPS on or before the date that is three trading days prior to the anticipated effective date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus (referred to as the adjustment determination date) to increase the cash portion of the merger consideration by up to \$16.625 (the amount by which FNF elects to increase the cash portion of the merger consideration is referred to as the increased cash consideration) with a corresponding decrease in the stock portion of the merger consideration. The adjustment notice must include, on a per share of LPS common stock basis, the amount of the increased cash consideration, FNF's calculation of the exchange ratio (as of the date of the adjustment notice) and evidence reasonably satisfactory to LPS that the conditions set forth below have been satisfied. The adjustment notice must be accompanied by a certificate signed on behalf of FNF by an executive officer thereof to the effect that the conditions set forth below have been satisfied.

On June 19, 2013, FNF notified LPS pursuant to an adjustment notice that it was exercising its option to increase the cash portion of the merger consideration by \$5.678 per share of LPS common stock, or from \$16.625 per share of LPS common stock to \$22.303 per share of LPS common stock, and correspondingly decrease the stock portion of the merger consideration.

The ability of FNF to increase the cash portion of the merger consideration is subject to the satisfaction of either of the following conditions:

(1) FNF must have delivered to LPS true and complete copies of one or more commitment letters and any related documents or agreements from reputable financial institutions or other persons, in each case reasonably acceptable to LPS, to provide, subject to the terms and conditions therein (which may not contain additional or adversely modified conditions or other contingencies to the availability of the additional financing (as defined below) relative to those contained in the applicable initial financing commitments as of the date of the merger agreement and must otherwise be no less favorable to FNF and LPS, when taken as a whole, than the terms and conditions of the applicable initial financing commitments), debt or equity financing (referred to as the additional financing) in an aggregate amount at least equal to the aggregate increased cash consideration (referred to as the additional financing commitments), (2) FNF or Merger Sub must have fully paid any and all commitment fees or other fees in connection with such financing commitments that are payable on or prior to the date of the adjustment notice and (3) the representations and warranties of FNF and Merger Sub with respect to the additional financing and the additional financing commitments set forth in the merger agreement shall be true and correct in all material respects; or

FNF either must have (1) received financing (other than the financing provided for under the initial financing commitments) after the date of the merger agreement and on or before the date of the adjustment notice in an aggregate amount at least equal to the aggregate increased cash consideration, the proceeds of which financing must have been deposited in an escrow account or other segregated account on terms, and subject to appropriate documentation, reasonably satisfactory to LPS or (2) cash on hand in readily available funds in an aggregate amount at least equal to the aggregate increased cash consideration, and evidence of such funds must have been provided to LPS in a form reasonably satisfactory to LPS.

After giving effect to the increase in the cash portion of the merger consideration to \$22.303 per share of LPS common stock and the related decrease in the stock portion of the merger consideration, if the average of the

Table of Contents

volume weighted averages of the trading prices of FNF common stock during the ten trading day period ending on (and including) the third trading day prior to the closing of the merger (which we refer to as the average FNF stock price) is:

- (i) greater than \$26.763, the exchange ratio will be an amount equal to the quotient of (a) (x) the product of (1) 0.65224 multiplied by (2) the average FNF stock price minus (y) \$5.678 divided by (b) the average FNF stock price;
- (ii) between \$24.215 and \$26.763, the exchange ratio will be 0.42948;
- (iii) between \$20.000 and \$24.215, the exchange ratio will adjust so that the value of the stock portion of the consideration is fixed (based on the average FNF stock price) at \$10.400 per share of LPS common stock; and
- (iv) less than \$20.000, the exchange ratio will be 0.52000.

In addition, prior to mailing this joint proxy statement/prospectus, FNF can elect to further alter the consideration mix by further increasing the cash portion of the merger consideration such that the total of all such increases do not exceed \$16.625 per share of LPS common stock, in which event there would be further corresponding decreases in the stock portion of the merger consideration. If FNF elects to further increase the cash portion of the merger consideration and further decrease the stock portion of the merger consideration, the exchange ratio will be further adjusted to reflect the new consideration mix. However, if FNF elects to further increase the cash portion of the merger consideration and the average FNF stock price is greater than \$26.763, then the exchange ratio will be further adjusted to reflect the increased value that would have been received at the closing of the merger had FNF not elected to alter the consideration mix.

FNF will not issue fractional shares of FNF common stock pursuant to the merger agreement. Instead, each LPS stockholder will be entitled to receive a cash payment in lieu of any fractional shares of FNF common stock it otherwise would have received pursuant to the merger equal to the product obtained by multiplying (A) the fractional share interest to which such holder would otherwise be entitled (taking into account all shares of LPS common stock held by such holder) by (B) the average FNF stock price.

The merger consideration will be adjusted appropriately to reflect the effect of any stock split, reverse stock split, stock dividend, extraordinary cash dividends, reorganization, recapitalization, reclassification, combination, exchange of shares or other similar event with respect to the shares of either FNF common stock or LPS common stock prior to the effective time of the merger.

Representations and Warranties

The merger agreement contains representations and warranties made by LPS to FNF and Merger Sub and by FNF and Merger Sub to LPS. Certain of the representations and warranties in the merger agreement are subject to materiality or material adverse effect qualifications (that is, they will not be deemed to be untrue or incorrect unless their failure to be true or correct is material or would result in a material adverse effect). In addition, certain of the representations and warranties in the merger agreement are subject to knowledge qualifications, which means that those representations and warranties would not be deemed untrue, inaccurate or incorrect as a result of matters of which certain officers of the party making the representation did not have actual knowledge.

The merger agreement provides that a material adverse effect means, with respect to a party, any change, effect, event, occurrence, circumstance or state of facts that, individually or in the aggregate, is or would reasonably be expected to be materially adverse to the business, financial condition or results of operations of such party and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, or that prevents or materially impairs or delays the ability of such party to consummate the merger or the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. However, no change, effect, event, occurrence, circumstance or state of facts relating to the following will be taken into account in determining whether there has been a material adverse effect:

the economy in general;

Table of Contents

the economic, business, industry or financial environment generally affecting the industry in which such party operates;

the securities, credit, financial or other capital markets generally in the United States or elsewhere in the world;

any change in a such party's stock price or trading volume or any failure, in and of itself, to meet internal or published projections, forecasts or estimates in respect of revenues, earnings, cash flow or other financial or operating metrics for any period (provided that the facts or causes underlying or contributing to such change or failure may be considered in determining whether a material adverse effect has occurred unless otherwise excluded from the definition of material adverse effect);

changes following the date of the merger agreement in law, legislative or political conditions or policy or practices of any governmental entity;

changes following the date of the merger agreement in applicable accounting regulations or principles or interpretations thereof;

any effects arising from the consent order, dated April 13, 2011 by and among the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Office of Thrift Supervision, LPS, LPS Default Solutions, Inc. and DocX, LLC, or any pending litigation, regulatory or enforcement proceeding, investigations or similar matters disclosed in any report, schedule, form, statement or other document filed with, or furnished to, the SEC since January 1, 2011 by such party and publicly available prior to the date of the merger agreement, in either case including the outcome or settlement of any of the foregoing;

an act of terrorism or an outbreak or escalation of hostilities or war (whether declared or not declared) or any natural disasters or any national or international calamity or crisis;

the announcement or pendency of the merger agreement or the closing of the merger; or

actions (or omissions) taken (or not taken) with the consent of the other party or as required to comply with the merger agreement. except, with respect to the first, second, fifth and eighth bullet points above, to the extent that any such change, effect, event, occurrence, circumstance or state of facts has had or would reasonably be expected to have a disproportionate effect on such party and its subsidiaries relative to other companies in the same industry as such party. Further, any effects arising from the consent order referenced above are not exempted from a determination of a material adverse effect with respect to FNF.

In the merger agreement, LPS has made representations and warranties regarding, among other things:

due organization, valid existence, good standing and corporate power;

ownership of subsidiaries;

capitalization and indebtedness, including the number of shares of LPS common stock, stock options and other equity-based awards outstanding;

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

corporate authority to execute and deliver, to perform LPS obligations under, and to consummate the transactions contemplated by, the merger agreement, and the enforceability of the merger agreement against LPS;

the declaration of advisability of the merger agreement by the LPS board of directors, and the approval of the merger agreement and the merger by the LPS board of directors;

the absence of violations of, or conflicts with, organizational documents, applicable law and certain contracts as a result of LPS entering into the merger agreement and consummating the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;

- 148 -

Table of Contents

the governmental consents and approvals required in connection with the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;

the required vote of LPS stockholders to adopt the merger agreement;

the proper filing of documents with the SEC since January 1, 2011 and the accuracy of the information contained in those documents;

the conformity with generally accepted accounting principles of LPS financial statements filed with the SEC since January 1, 2011 and the absence of undisclosed liabilities;

internal controls over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures;

accuracy of information supplied or to be supplied for inclusion in this joint proxy statement/prospectus;

the conduct of business in all material respects in the ordinary course of business since March 31, 2013, and the absence of a material adverse effect or other certain changes since March 31, 2013;

absence of certain litigation and governmental orders;

compliance with certain material contracts;

compliance with applicable laws and permits;

labor and employment matters;

employee benefit matters, including matters related to employee benefit plans;

tax matters;

title to owned and leased real property

intellectual property;

environmental matters;

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

insurance coverage;

inapplicability of state takeover statutes to the merger agreement and the merger;

broker's fees payable in connection with the merger;

the receipt of opinions from LPS' financial advisors; and

matters relating to LPS' title insurance business;

In the merger agreement, FNF and Merger Sub have made representations and warranties regarding, among other things:

due organization, valid existence, good standing and corporate power;

capitalization and indebtedness, including the number of shares of FNF common stock, stock options and other equity-based awards outstanding;

corporate authority to execute and deliver, to perform FNF's obligations under, and to consummate the transactions contemplated by, the merger agreement, and the enforceability of the merger agreement against FNF;

the declaration of advisability of the merger agreement by the FNF board of directors, and the approval of the merger agreement and the merger by the FNF board of directors;

the absence of violations of, or conflicts with, organizational documents, applicable law and certain contracts as a result of FNF entering into the merger agreement and consummating the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;

Table of Contents

the governmental consents and approvals required in connection with the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;

the proper filing of documents with the SEC since January 1, 2011 and the accuracy of the information contained in those documents;

the conformity with generally accepted accounting principles of FNF's financial statements filed with the SEC since January 1, 2011 and the absence of undisclosed liabilities;

internal controls over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures;

accuracy of information supplied or to be supplied for inclusion in this joint proxy statement/prospectus;

the conduct of business in all material respects in the ordinary course of business since March 31, 2013, and the absence of a material adverse effect since March 31, 2013;

the validity and enforceability of the debt and equity commitment letters FNF entered into in connection with the merger and the sufficiency of funds to consummate the merger;

ownership, operations and assets of Merger Sub;

absence of ownership of LPS common stock;

absence of certain litigation and governmental orders;

compliance with applicable laws and permits;

voting requirements with respect to the FNF stock issuance;

inapplicability of state takeover statutes to the merger agreement or merger;

broker's fees payable in connection with the merger;

the receipt of opinions from J.P. Morgan and BofA Merrill Lynch; and

the solvency of FNF and the surviving corporation after the closing of the merger;

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

the absence of certain arrangements between FNF and Merger Sub, on the one hand, and LPS management or directors, on the other hand.

Conduct of Business

Each of LPS and FNF has agreed to certain covenants in the merger agreement restricting the conduct of its business between the date of the merger agreement and the effective time of the merger.

Prior to the closing of the merger, subject to certain agreed upon exceptions or except as consented to in writing by FNF (which consent may not be unreasonably withheld or delayed), LPS has agreed to and has agreed to cause each of its subsidiaries to (i) conduct its business in the ordinary course, (ii) use its reasonable best efforts to preserve its business organizations intact, (iii) keep available the services of its current officers and employees and (iv) preserve its relationships with significant customers, suppliers, licensors, licensees, distributors, lessors and other significant business associates.

In addition, prior to the closing of the merger, subject to certain agreed upon exceptions or except as consented to in writing by FNF (which consent may not be unreasonably withheld or delayed), LPS has agreed not to and has agreed to cause each of its subsidiaries not to:

declare, set aside or pay any dividends on or make any other distributions (whether in cash, stock or property) in respect of any of its capital stock, other than (i) dividends or distributions of a wholly owned subsidiary to its parent and (ii) regular quarterly cash dividends on LPS common stock not to exceed \$0.10 per share of LPS common stock;

- 150 -

Table of Contents

split, combine or reclassify any of its capital stock or issue or authorize the issuance of any other securities in respect of, in lieu of or in substitution for shares of its capital stock;

purchase, redeem or otherwise acquire any shares of its or its subsidiaries' capital stock or other securities or any rights, warrants or options to acquire any such shares or other securities, other than (i) the acquisition by LPS of shares of LPS common stock in connection with the surrender of shares of LPS common stock by holders of stock options in order to pay the exercise price of such LPS stock options, (ii) the withholding of shares of LPS common stock to satisfy tax obligations with respect to awards granted pursuant to LPS stock plans, (iii) the acquisition by the trustee of the LPS 401(k) plan of shares of LPS common stock to satisfy participant elections under the LPS 401(k) plan, (iv) the acquisition by LPS of LPS stock options and shares of LPS restricted stock in connection with the forfeiture of such awards and (v) in connection with the exercise of rights under the LPS ESPP, in each case that are outstanding as of the date of the merger agreement and in accordance with their present terms;

issue, deliver, sell, pledge, dispose of, encumber or subject to any lien any shares of its capital stock or other equity interests (or any securities convertible into, exercisable or exchangeable for equity interests) to acquire any such equity interests, other than (i) upon the exercise of LPS stock options that are outstanding as of the date of the merger agreement, and (ii) (x) rights under the LPS ESPP to cause LPS to acquire shares of LPS common stock and (y) rights of participants under the LPS 401(k) plan to cause the trustee thereof to acquire shares of LPS common stock to satisfy participant elections thereunder, in each case in accordance with their present terms;

amend the certificate of incorporation or bylaws of LPS or the comparable organizational documents of any subsidiary;

merge or consolidate with, or purchase an equity interest in or a substantial portion of the assets of, any person or any division or business thereof, if (i) the aggregate amount of the consideration paid or transferred by LPS and its subsidiaries in connection with all such transactions would exceed \$15 million, other than any such action solely between or among LPS and its subsidiaries or (ii) such action involves the acquisition of a title plant from a third party;

sell, license, lease, transfer, assign or otherwise dispose of any of its properties, rights or assets (including capital stock of any subsidiary), other than (i) sales or other dispositions of inventory and other assets in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice, (ii) the non-exclusive licensing or sublicensing of intellectual property in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice, (iii) leases and subleases of owned and leased real property, and voluntary terminations or surrenders of real property leases, in each case, in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice and (iv) the settlement of claims permitted under the merger agreement;

pledge, encumber or otherwise subject to a lien (except as otherwise permitted by the merger agreement) any of its properties, rights or assets (including capital stock of any subsidiary), other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice under LPS' existing credit facilities;

(i) incur, redeem, prepay, defease, cancel, or, in any material respect, modify any indebtedness for borrowed money, issue or sell any debt securities or warrants or other rights to acquire any of the debt securities of LPS or any of its subsidiaries, agree to pay deferred purchase price for any property (other than extensions of trade credit to customers of LPS and its subsidiaries in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice), guarantee, assume or endorse or otherwise as an accommodation become responsible for any such indebtedness or any debt securities or other financial obligations of another person or enter into any "keep well" or other agreement to maintain any financial statement condition of another person other than (x) borrowings in the ordinary course of business under LPS' existing credit facilities and in respect of letters of credit and (y) prepayments of indebtedness in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice without prepayment premium or penalty; or (ii) make any loans, advances or capital contributions to, or investments in, any

Table of Contents

other person, other than (x) to any of LPS subsidiaries, (y) as permitted by the merger agreement or (z) advances of business and travel expenses to employees or advances to customers in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice;

make any capital expenditures that, in the aggregate, exceed 105% of the aggregate amount of expenditures provided for in the LPS 2013 capital expenditures plan provided to FNF in writing prior to the date of the merger agreement; provided that LPS shall not take any action that is intended to materially accelerate the timing of any such expenditures from one fiscal quarter to an earlier fiscal quarter;

settle any material claim, investigation, proceeding or litigation, in each case made or pending against LPS or any of its subsidiaries, other than settlements of claims, investigations, proceedings or litigation (other than those initiated by a governmental entity) in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice for an amount not in excess of the amount reflected or reserved therefor in the most recent financial statements (or the notes thereto) of LPS included in LPS filed SEC documents;

make any non-monetary settlement of claims, investigations, proceedings or litigation, other than settlements that would not (i) materially impair the operations of LPS and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, and (ii) after the closing of the merger, would not reasonably be expected to materially impair the operations of LPS and its subsidiaries or the operations of FNF and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole; provided, however, that prior to entering into any non-monetary settlement, LPS shall provide FNF prior notice and an opportunity to comment on such settlement;

except as required pursuant to the terms of any LPS benefit plan or LPS benefit agreement, in each case, in effect on the date of the merger agreement or entered into, amended or modified after the date of the merger agreement in a manner not in contravention of the merger agreement:

grant to any current or former director, officer or employee of LPS or any of its subsidiaries any increase in compensation, other than (i) increases in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice to active employees (other than employees with annual target cash compensation in excess of \$250,000) that are not material on an individual basis or (ii) the payment of earned but unpaid bonuses;

grant to any current or former director, officer or employee of LPS or any of its subsidiaries any increase in severance or termination pay or enter into any severance, termination or similar agreement with any such director, officer or employee;

enter into any employment, consulting or similar agreement with any current or former director, officer or employee of LPS or any of its subsidiaries with annual target cash compensation in excess of \$250,000;

establish, adopt, enter into or amend in any material respect any collective bargaining agreement, benefit plan or benefit agreement or any plan, agreement, program, policy, trust, fund or other arrangement that would be benefit plan or benefit agreement if it were in existence as of the date of the merger agreement, in any case other than as would be permitted to be entered into pursuant to the merger agreement;

take any action to accelerate the time of payment or vesting of any compensation or benefits under any benefit plan or benefit agreement;

loan or advance any money or other property to any current or former director, officer or employee of LPS or any of its subsidiaries (other than advances of business and travel expenses); or

hire any new employee into or promote any active employee to, in either case, a position that would constitute an executive officer position at LPS or any of its subsidiaries (or would otherwise entitle the employee to a target cash compensation level in excess of \$400,000), except as permitted by the merger agreement;

- 152 -

Table of Contents

make any material change in accounting methods, principles or practices affecting the consolidated assets, liabilities or results of operations, other than as required by GAAP or any similar organization, or by law;

make any material tax election, file any material amended tax return, change any annual tax accounting period, enter into any closing agreement, settle or compromise any proceeding with respect to any material tax claim or assessment relating to LPS or any of its subsidiaries, or surrender any right to claim a material refund of taxes, other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice;

enter into any new line of business;

materially amend or modify its risk management policies other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice;

amend, cancel, terminate or waive any material provision of any contract specified in the merger agreement, other than in the ordinary course of business, or enter into or renew any agreement or binding obligation, that (i) would be a contract specified in the merger agreement, other than in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice, or (ii) is with any broker, investment banker, financial advisor or other advisor described in the merger agreement;

agree or consent to any agreement or material modifications of any existing agreements with any governmental entity that materially impairs the operations of LPS and its subsidiaries taken as a whole;

adopt or enter into a plan of complete or partial liquidation, dissolution, merger, consolidation, restructuring, recapitalization or other reorganization;

let lapse, fail to maintain, abandon or cancel any material intellectual property; or

authorize any of, or commit or agree to take any of the actions prohibited by any of the conduct of business covenants described above.

Prior to the closing of the merger, subject to certain agreed upon exceptions or except as consented to in writing by LPS (which consent may not be unreasonably withheld or delayed), FNF has agreed to and has agreed to cause each of its subsidiaries to (i) conduct its business in the ordinary course, (ii) use its reasonable best efforts to preserve its business organizations intact, (iii) keep available the services of its current officers and employees and (iv) preserve its relationships with significant customers, suppliers, licensors, licensees, distributors, lessors and other significant business associates.

In addition, prior to the closing of the merger, subject to certain agreed upon exceptions or except as consented to in writing by LPS (which consent may not be unreasonably withheld or delayed), FNF has agreed not to and has agreed to cause each of its subsidiaries not to:

declare, set aside or pay any dividends or make any other distributions in respect of its capital stock, other than (i) dividends or distributions of a wholly owned subsidiary to its parent and (ii) regular quarterly cash dividends on FNF common stock not to exceed \$0.16 per share of FNF common stock;

split, combine or reclassify any of its capital stock or issue or authorize the issuance of any other securities in respect of, in lieu of or in substitution for shares of its capital stock;

issue, deliver, sell, pledge, dispose of, encumber or subject to any lien any shares of its capital stock or other equity interests (or any securities convertible into, exercisable or exchangeable for equity interests) to acquire, any such equity interests, other than (i) upon the exercise of FNF stock options that are outstanding as of the date of the merger agreement, and (ii) (x) rights under the FNF employee stock purchase plan to cause FNF to acquire shares of FNF common stock and (y) rights of participants under the FNF 401(k) Plan to cause the trustee thereof to acquire shares of FNF common stock to satisfy participant elections thereunder, in each case in accordance with their present terms, and (iii) the issuance or grant of FNF stock options and FNF restricted stock in the ordinary course of business;

- 153 -

Table of Contents

amend the certificate of incorporation or bylaws of FNF or the comparable organizational documents of any subsidiary of FNF, except in the case of any the organizational documents of Merger Sub, to the extent any such amendment is necessary to consummate the merger;

make any material change in accounting methods, principles or practices affecting the consolidated assets, liabilities or results of operations of FNF, other than as required by GAAP or any similar organization or by law;

adopt or enter into a plan of complete or partial liquidation, dissolution, merger, consolidation, restructuring, recapitalization or other reorganization; or

authorize any of, or commit agree to take any of the actions prohibited by any of the conduct of business covenants described above.

Solicitation of Alternative Proposals

Under the terms of the merger agreement, until 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on July 7, 2013 (such period referred to as the go-shop period), LPS was permitted to:

solicit, initiate and encourage takeover proposals (as defined below, and with the percentage set forth in the definition thereof changed from 15% to 50%) from third parties, including by providing access to non-public information (subject to LPS entering into a customary confidentiality agreement containing confidentiality provisions no less favorable to LPS, in the aggregate, than those set forth in the confidentiality agreement between LPS and FNF with each recipient before providing such non-public information); provided, that LPS must concurrently provide to FNF any material non-public information concerning LPS or its subsidiaries that is to be provided to any person given such access which was not previously provided to FNF; and

enter into, engage in and maintain discussions or negotiations with any third party with respect to takeover proposals (with the percentage set forth in the definition thereof changed from 15% to 50%), or otherwise cooperate with or assist or participate in, or facilitate any such discussions or negotiations or the making of any such takeover proposal.

The merger agreement further provides that, except with respect to a takeover proposal from an excluded party (as defined below) received prior to the end of the go-shop period, from and after the end of the go-shop period and until the effective time of the merger, LPS may not, and must cause its subsidiaries and their respective representatives not to, directly or indirectly:

solicit, initiate or knowingly encourage the submission of any takeover proposal;

engage in any discussions or negotiations with respect to any takeover proposal; or

otherwise cooperate with or assist or participate in, or facilitate any takeover proposal.

Except as permitted with respect to an excluded party or by the terms of the merger agreement described below, upon the end of the go-shop period LPS was required to (i) immediately cease and cause to be terminated any solicitation, knowing encouragement, discussion or negotiation of or with, or cooperation with, or assistance or participation in, or facilitation of (including by way of providing access to non-public information), any such inquiries, proposals, discussions or negotiations with any person or group of persons previously conducted by LPS, its subsidiaries or any of their respective representatives with respect to any takeover proposal and (ii) use commercially reasonable efforts to cause all confidential information provided by or on behalf of LPS, any of its subsidiaries or any of their respective representatives to such person or group of persons be returned or destroyed.

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

Notwithstanding these restrictions, the merger agreement provides that, if at any time following the end of the go-shop period and prior to the LPS stockholders voting in favor of the merger proposal, (i) LPS receives a written takeover proposal from a third party that the LPS board of directors determines in good faith to be bona fide, (ii) the LPS board of directors determines in good faith, after consultation with LPS financial advisor and

- 154 -

Table of Contents

outside legal counsel, that such takeover proposal constitutes or would reasonably be expected to result in a superior proposal (as defined below), (iii) after consultation with its outside legal counsel, the LPS board of directors determines in good faith that failing to take such action would be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties to stockholders under applicable law and (iv) there has been no material breach of the non-solicitation obligations set forth in the merger agreement in connection with such takeover proposal, then LPS may furnish information with respect to LPS and its subsidiaries to the person making such takeover proposal and engage in discussions or negotiations with such person regarding such takeover proposal; provided, that LPS (a) may not, and may not allow its subsidiaries or its or their representatives to, disclose any non-public information to such person without first entering into a customary confidentiality agreement containing confidentiality provisions no less favorable to LPS, in the aggregate, than those set forth in the confidentiality agreement between LPS and FNF with such person, and (b) will concurrently provide to FNF any material non-public information concerning LPS or its subsidiaries to be provided to such other person which was not previously provided to FNF.

The merger agreement provides that, as promptly as practicable after the end of the go-shop period, but in no event more than 24 hours following the end of the go-shop period, the LPS board of directors must reaffirm its recommendation that the LPS stockholders adopt the merger agreement and LPS must (i) notify FNF in writing of the identity of each person, if any, that the LPS board of directors has determined to be an excluded party and (ii) provide FNF with the material terms and conditions of each takeover proposal received from any excluded party. From and after the end of the go-shop period, LPS must, as promptly practicable, but in any event within 24 hours, notify FNF, orally and in writing, in the event that LPS, any of its subsidiaries or any of their respective representatives receives (A) any takeover proposal or (B) any request for non-public information relating to LPS or any of its subsidiaries in furtherance of a takeover proposal and, in each case, must include in such notice a copy of such takeover proposal or request (or, where no such copy exists or is available, a written description thereof that includes the material terms and conditions thereof), including the identity of the person making such takeover proposal or request. LPS has agreed to keep FNF reasonably informed on a prompt basis of the status of any such takeover proposal or request (including the terms and conditions thereof) and any material developments, discussions and negotiations with respect to any such takeover proposal or request (including any material changes thereto).

For purposes of the merger agreement, takeover proposal means any inquiry, proposal or offer from any person or group of persons relating to (i) any direct or indirect acquisition or purchase in any manner of 15% or more of the consolidated total assets of LPS and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole or 15% or more of outstanding shares of LPS common stock, (ii) any tender offer or exchange offer, that, if consummated, would result in any person or group or persons owning, directly or indirectly, 15% or more of outstanding shares of LPS common stock or (iii) any merger, consolidation, business combination, recapitalization, reorganization, liquidation, dissolution, share exchange or similar transaction involving LPS or any of its subsidiaries that would result in any person or group (or the stockholders of any person) owning, directly or indirectly, (a) 15% or more of any class of equity securities of LPS or of the surviving entity in a merger or the resulting direct or indirect parent of LPS or such surviving entity or (b) businesses or assets that constitute 15% or more of the consolidated revenues, net income or total assets of LPS and its subsidiaries.

For purposes of the merger agreement, excluded party means any person or group of persons, together with any other persons that join such person or group of persons, provided that such persons who were members of such group immediately prior to the end of the go-shop period constitute at least 50% of the equity financing of such group at all times following the end of the go-shop period, (1) from whom LPS has received, after the execution of the merger agreement and prior to the end of the go-shop period, a bona fide written takeover proposal (with the percentage set forth in the definition thereof changed from 15% to 50%), and (2) with respect to which (a) the LPS board of directors has determined in good faith that such takeover proposal is bona fide and (b) the LPS board of directors has determined in good faith, after consultation with LPS financial advisor and outside legal counsel, that such takeover proposal constitutes or would reasonably be expected to result in a superior proposal (as defined below).

Table of Contents

For purposes of the merger agreement, *superior proposal* means any bona fide takeover proposal (with the percentages set forth in the definition thereof changed from 15% to 50%) made in writing that the LPS board of directors determines in good faith is reasonably likely to be consummated and that is on terms which the LPS board of directors determines in good faith (after consultation with LPS financial advisor and outside counsel) would be more favorable to the stockholders of LPS from a financial point of view than the merger, taking into account all financial, legal, regulatory, financing, certainty and timing of consummation and other aspects of such proposal and of the merger agreement (including any changes to the financial and other terms of the merger agreement proposed by FNF to LPS within five business days of FNF's receipt of notice from LPS of such proposal in response to such proposal or otherwise).

Changes in Board Recommendations

Change in LPS Board Recommendation

LPS has agreed under the merger agreement to, through the LPS board of directors, recommend to LPS stockholders the adoption of the merger agreement, include such recommendation in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and use its reasonable best efforts to obtain from LPS stockholders the approval of the merger agreement.

LPS has also agreed under the merger agreement, subject to certain exceptions described below, that neither the LPS board of directors nor any committee thereof will (i) withdraw (or modify or qualify in a manner adverse to FNF), or publicly propose to withdraw (or modify or qualify in a manner adverse to FNF), the approval, recommendation or declaration of advisability by the LPS board of directors or any such committee of the merger agreement or the merger or recommend the approval or adoption of, or approve or adopt, declare advisable or publicly propose to recommend, approve, adopt or declare advisable, any takeover proposal (any such action referred to as an *LPS adverse recommendation change*) or (ii) approve or recommend, or publicly propose to approve or recommend, or cause or permit LPS or any of its subsidiaries to execute or enter into, any letter of intent, memorandum of understanding, agreement in principle, merger agreement, acquisition agreement or other similar agreement related to any takeover proposal (other than a confidentiality agreement entered into pursuant to the solicitation provisions of the merger agreement).

Notwithstanding the foregoing restrictions, at any time prior to obtaining the LPS stockholders' approval of the merger proposal, the LPS board of directors may, if it determines in good faith (after consultation with LPS financial advisor and outside legal counsel) that the failure to take such action would be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties to stockholders under applicable law, (x) make an LPS adverse recommendation change in response to a superior proposal or intervening event (as defined below) or (y) so long as LPS has complied in all material respects with the non-solicitation obligations set forth in the merger agreement, cause LPS to terminate the merger agreement and, concurrently with or immediately after such termination, cause LPS to enter into an alternative acquisition agreement. However, (1) no LPS adverse recommendation change may be made and (2) no termination of the merger agreement pursuant to the preceding sentence may be made, until after the fifth business day following FNF's receipt of written notice from LPS advising FNF that the LPS board of directors intends to (x) in the case of clause (1) above, make an LPS adverse recommendation change (referred to as a *notice of adverse recommendation*) or (y) in the case of clause (2) above, terminate the merger agreement pursuant to the preceding sentence (referred to as a *notice of superior proposal*) and specifying the reasons therefor, including, (i) if the basis of the proposed action by the LPS board of directors is a superior proposal, the material terms and conditions of such superior proposal (including the identity of the person making such superior proposal and a copy of the then-current forms of all of the relevant proposed transaction documents related thereto, including definitive agreements with respect to such superior proposal and the documents with respect to any financing of such superior proposal) or (ii) if the basis of the proposed action by the LPS board of directors is an intervening event, a description of the intervening event and, unless LPS has:

during the five business day period after providing such notice and prior to taking such action (and any additional period related to a revision to the superior proposal, as provided below), negotiated, and caused its financial and legal advisors to negotiate, with FNF in good faith (to the extent FNF desires to negotiate) with respect to proposed adjustments to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement so

Table of Contents

that such superior proposal ceases to constitute a superior proposal (or, in the case of a notice of adverse recommendation that is not related to a superior proposal, so that the failure to make such LPS adverse recommendation change is no longer inconsistent with the LPS board of directors' fiduciary duties to stockholders under applicable law); and

prior to or concurrently with a termination of the merger agreement pursuant to clause (y) above, paid the applicable termination fee described under *Termination Fees and Expenses; Liability for Breach* below.

In the event of any revisions to the superior proposal, LPS must deliver a new notice of superior proposal to FNF and to again comply with the requirements set forth above with respect to such new superior proposal (except that references to the five business day period will, after the expiration of the initial five business day period, be deemed to be references to a two business day period). LPS has agreed that any violation of the non-solicitation obligations set forth in the merger agreement by LPS, any of its subsidiaries or any of their respective representatives will be deemed to be a material breach of the merger agreement by LPS. In determining whether to make an LPS adverse recommendation change or to cause LPS to so terminate the merger agreement pursuant to the terms set forth above, the LPS board of directors must take into account any changes to the financial and other terms of the merger agreement proposed by FNF to LPS in response to a notice of adverse recommendation or notice of superior proposal.

For purposes of the merger agreement, an *intervening event* means, with respect to either LPS or FNF, a material event or circumstance that was not known or reasonably foreseeable to the board of directors of such party on the date of the merger agreement (or if known, the consequences of which were not known to or reasonably foreseeable by such board of directors on the date of the merger agreement), which event or circumstance, or any consequence thereof, becomes known to such board of directors prior to the time at which such party receives the relevant approval of its stockholders in connection with the merger agreement. The following shall not constitute an intervening event: (1) any failure to arrange or receive the financing, or (2) any change in, or event or condition generally affecting, the industry in which LPS or FNF operates.

Change in FNF Board Recommendation

FNF has agreed under the merger agreement to, through its board of directors, recommend to FNF stockholders approval of the FNF stock issuance, include such recommendation in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and use its reasonable best efforts to obtain from its stockholders approval of the FNF stock issuance.

FNF has also agreed under the merger agreement that the FNF board of directors will not (i) withdraw (or modify or qualify in a manner adverse to LPS) or publicly propose to withdraw (or modify or qualify in a manner adverse to LPS) such recommendation or (ii) make any other public statement in connection with the FNF special meeting inconsistent with such recommendation (any such action referred to as an *FNF adverse recommendation change*). Notwithstanding the foregoing restrictions, at any time prior to obtaining the FNF stockholders' approval of the FNF stock issuance, the FNF board of directors may, if it determines in good faith (after consultation with FNF's financial advisors and outside legal counsel) that the failure to take such action would be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties to stockholders under applicable law, make an *FNF adverse recommendation change* in response to an intervening event.

Efforts to Obtain Required Stockholder Votes

LPS must, as promptly as reasonably practicable following the effectiveness of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, establish a record date for, duly call, give notice of, convene and hold the LPS special meeting and submit the merger agreement to its stockholders for adoption, for the purpose of obtaining the merger approval; provided that LPS shall be under no obligation to take such actions prior to the end of the go-shop period. Subject to the ability of the LPS board of directors to make an LPS adverse recommendation change, LPS is required to, through the LPS board of directors, recommend to its stockholders adoption of the merger agreement and include

Table of Contents

such recommendation in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and use reasonable best efforts to obtain from the LPS stockholders the approval of the merger proposal. Under the terms of the merger agreement, LPS must adjourn or postpone the LPS special meeting (i) after consultation with FNF, to the extent necessary to ensure that any required supplement or amendment to this joint proxy statement/prospectus is provided to the LPS stockholders within a reasonable amount of time in advance of the LPS special meeting or (ii) if, as of the date of the LPS special meeting, there are insufficient shares of LPS common stock represented (either in person or by proxy) to constitute a quorum necessary to conduct the business of the LPS special meeting. Unless the merger agreement is validly terminated in accordance with its terms, LPS must submit the merger agreement to its stockholders for adoption at the LPS special meeting even if the LPS board of directors has made an LPS adverse recommendation change.

FNF must, as promptly as reasonably practicable following the effectiveness of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, establish a record date for, duly call, give notice of, convene and hold the FNF special meeting for the purpose of obtaining approval of the FNF stock issuance. Subject to the ability of the FNF board of directors to make an FNF adverse recommendation change, FNF is required to, through the FNF board of directors, recommend to its stockholders approval of the FNF stock issuance and include such recommendation in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and use reasonable best efforts to obtain from the FNF stockholders the approval of the FNF stock issuance. Under the terms of the merger agreement, FNF must adjourn or postpone the FNF special meeting (i) after consultation with LPS, to the extent necessary to ensure that any required supplement or amendment to this joint proxy statement/prospectus is provided to the FNF stockholders within a reasonable amount of time in advance of the FNF special meeting or (ii) if, as of the date of the FNF special meeting, there are insufficient shares of FNF common stock represented (either in person or by proxy) to constitute a quorum necessary to conduct the business of the FNF special meeting.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, if (i) FNF elects to pay all of the merger consideration in cash in accordance with terms set forth in the merger agreement or (ii) FNF elects to pay a greater portion of the merger consideration in cash in accordance with terms set forth in the merger agreement, and as a result FNF and LPS each agree (as reflected in writing) that the approval of the FNF stock issuance by the FNF stockholders will thereafter not be required, then FNF will have no obligation to hold the FNF special meeting and FNF and LPS will be required to take all actions reasonably necessary to convert this joint proxy statement/prospectus to a proxy statement relating to the adoption by the LPS stockholders of the merger proposal; provided, that if FNF and LPS agree not to hold the FNF special meeting pursuant to clause (ii) above, then thereafter FNF and its subsidiaries may not purchase, redeem or otherwise acquire any shares of FNF common stock if, as a result of such purchases, redemptions and other acquisitions (individually or in the aggregate), the approval of the FNF stock issuance by the FNF stockholders would be required by applicable law.

Both LPS and FNF are required to use their reasonable best efforts to hold the LPS special meeting and the FNF special meeting on the same day at the same time.

Efforts to Complete the Merger

LPS and FNF have each agreed to use their reasonable best efforts to, and to cause their respective affiliates to use their reasonable best efforts to:

take all actions necessary to cause the closing conditions in the merger agreement to be satisfied as promptly as practicable;

take all actions necessary to comply with all orders, decrees and requests imposed by governmental entities in connection with the merger;

obtain all necessary actions or nonactions, waivers, consents, authorizations, orders and approvals from governmental entities and make all necessary registrations, declarations and filings (including filings under the HSR Act) applicable to the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and other registrations, declarations and filings with, or notices to, governmental entities (including (i) the NYSDFS, (ii) except to the extent that an applicable exemption applies, CDI, and (iii) certain other

Table of Contents

state regulatory authorities and take all steps as may be necessary to obtain an approval or waiver from, or to avoid a claim, action, suit, proceeding or investigation by, any governmental entity;

subject to certain exceptions, obtain all consents, approvals and waivers from third parties reasonably requested by FNF in connection with the merger;

execute and deliver any additional instruments necessary to consummate the merger;

respond to any request for additional information received by any of the parties from any governmental entity in connection with the merger as promptly as reasonably practicable;

take all action necessary to ensure that no state takeover statute is or becomes applicable to the merger agreement or the merger, and if any state takeover statute becomes applicable, take all action necessary to minimize the effect of such statute or regulation and ensure that the merger may be consummated as promptly as practicable on the terms contemplated by the merger agreement; and

keep each other reasonably apprised of the status of matters relating to the completion of the merger, including promptly furnishing the other with copies of notices or other substantive communications received from any third party or governmental entity with respect to the regulatory consents described above and, to the extent reasonably practicable, permit the other party to review in advance any proposed substantive written communication by such party to any governmental entity with respect to such consents or approvals, and to consider in good faith the other party's reasonable comments on any such proposed substantive written communications prior to their submission.

In connection with the agreements described above, LPS, FNF and Merger Sub have each agreed to use its reasonable best efforts to resolve or eliminate objections or impediments asserted by a governmental entity or other person with respect to the merger.

The foregoing obligations are subject to certain exceptions and limitations, including that neither LPS nor any of its subsidiaries shall, without FNF's written consent, and neither FNF nor any of its affiliates shall be required to, agree to divest, sell, dispose of, hold separate or otherwise take or commit to take any action that limits its freedom of action with respect to, or FNF's or the surviving corporation's or any of their subsidiaries' ability to retain any of the business or assets of FNF or any of its subsidiaries that are material to FNF and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, or any businesses or assets of LPS or any of its subsidiaries that would reasonably be expected to, individually or in the aggregate, result in a material adverse effect on LPS and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole.

Governance Matters After the Merger

As of the effective time of the merger, the directors of Merger Sub immediately prior to the effective time will be the directors of LPS, and the officers of LPS immediately prior to the effective time will be the officers of LPS.

Employee Benefits Matters

The merger agreement requires that, from the effective time of the merger and until the six-month anniversary thereof or, if later, June 30, 2014, FNF must provide each continuing employee with (A) annual base compensation and annual or quarterly (as applicable) cash incentive opportunities (as a percentage of base salary) that are no less favorable in the aggregate than the annual base compensation and cash incentive opportunities (other than equity compensation and defined benefit pension arrangements) provided to such continuing employees immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and (B) employee benefits that are substantially comparable in the aggregate to those provided to such continuing employees immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. Notwithstanding the foregoing, FNF will not have any obligation to issue, or to adopt any plans or arrangements providing for the issuance of, shares of capital stock or any other rights in respect of any shares of capital stock of any entity. FNF and LPS have agreed that, from and after the effective time of the merger, FNF will honor all obligations under employee benefit plans maintained by LPS, including all of LPS

Table of Contents

employment, severance, retention and termination plans, programs, policies and arrangements, in each case, in accordance with their terms as in effect immediately prior to the effective time of the merger, including with respect to any payments, benefits or rights arising as a result of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement (either alone or in combination with any other event). The merger agreement will not be construed as (i) as a guarantee of continued employment of any employee of LPS, (ii) to prohibit FNF from having the right to terminate the employment of any employee of LPS, (iii) to prevent the amendment, modification or termination of any employee benefit plan maintained by LPS after the closing of the merger (in each case in accordance with the terms of the applicable employee benefit plan) or (iv) as an amendment or modification of the terms of any employee benefit plan of LPS.

FNF and LPS have also agreed that, with respect to each continuing employee:

with respect to all employee benefit plans maintained by FNF (including any vacation, paid time-off and severance plans), for all purposes, including determining eligibility to participate, level of benefits, and vesting (but excluding benefit accruals), each continuing employee's prior service with LPS or any of its subsidiaries will be treated as service with FNF, to the extent such service was recognized under the comparable LPS employee benefit plan immediately prior to the closing; provided, however, that such service need not be recognized to the extent that such recognition would result in any duplication of benefits for the same period of service;

for purposes of each welfare benefit plan maintained by FNF in which any such continuing employee or his or her eligible dependents is eligible to participate after the merger, (i) FNF will waive any pre-existing condition limitations, exclusions, actively-at-work requirements and waiting periods, except to the extent that such pre-existing condition limitations, exclusions, actively-at-work requirements and waiting periods would not have been satisfied or waived under the comparable LPS benefit plan immediately prior to the merger and (ii) FNF will recognize or cause to be recognized the dollar amount of all co-payments, deductibles and similar expenses incurred by each continuing employee and his or her eligible dependents during the calendar year in which the merger occurs for purposes of satisfying such year's deductible and co-payment limitations; and

for purposes of any of LPS's benefit plans and arrangements containing a definition of change in control or change of control, the closing of the merger will be deemed to constitute a change in control or change of control (except as would result in the imposition of additional taxes under Code Section 409A).

Indemnification and Insurance

The indemnification rights of any director, officer, employee or agent of LPS or any of its subsidiaries or predecessors provided for in any organizational document or other agreement that existed at or prior to the merger will survive the merger. The merger agreement requires FNF and the surviving corporation to jointly and severally indemnify any person who is or was an officer or director of LPS or any of its subsidiaries or predecessors for a period of six years after the merger.

LPS may (or at the request of FNF shall use commercially reasonable efforts to) obtain prepaid directors' and officers' liability insurance policies with respect to acts or omissions occurring at or prior to the merger for six years from the merger, on terms with respect to coverage and amounts no less favorable than those of LPS's current policies. However, LPS, without the consent of FNF, may not expend greater than 250% of the annual premiums currently paid by LPS. If LPS does not obtain such a tail insurance policy, the merger agreement requires FNF to maintain for a period of six years after completion of the merger LPS's current directors' and officers' liability insurance policies, or policies on terms with respect to coverage and amounts no less favorable than those of the current policies, with respect to acts or omissions occurring at or prior to completion of the merger. However, FNF will not be obligated to pay for annual coverage in excess of 250% of the annual premiums currently paid by LPS, but will still be required to provide such coverage as may be obtained without exceeding the cap.

Table of Contents**Treatment of LPS Equity and Incentive Compensation Awards**

Stock Options. Unless otherwise agreed between the applicable holder and FNF and with the prior written consent of LPS, each stock option outstanding and unexercised immediately prior to the effective time of the merger with a per share exercise price that is less than the fair market value (as defined in LPS 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of a share of LPS common stock as of such time, whether or not vested or exercisable, will be net exercised for whole and fractional shares of LPS common stock. The number of whole and fractional shares received upon exercise of a stock option will be equal to (A) the number of shares of LPS common stock subject to the stock option immediately prior to the effective time of the merger minus (B) the number of shares of LPS common stock with a fair market value (as defined in LPS 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger equal to the sum of (i) the aggregate exercise price of such stock option and (ii) any taxes required to be deducted and withheld under the Code and other applicable laws in connection with such net exercise. Whole shares will be treated as issued and outstanding as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and converted into the right to receive the merger consideration, without interest. Any fractional shares will be paid to the holder in cash, without interest, based on the fair market value (as defined in LPS 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of LPS common stock as of immediately prior to the effective time of the merger. Each stock option outstanding and unexercised immediately prior to the effective time of the merger with a per share exercise price that is equal to or greater than the fair market value (as defined in LPS 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan) of a share of LPS common stock as of such time, shall be canceled and terminated without consideration as of the effective time of the merger.

Restricted Stock. Unless otherwise agreed between the applicable holder and FNF and with the prior written consent of LPS, each restricted share outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger will vest in full and become free of restrictions as of the effective time of the merger (assuming, in the case of restricted shares that are subject to performance-based vesting, attainment of all applicable performance goals at the target level). As of the effective time of the merger, each such restricted share will be canceled and converted into the right to receive (A) the merger consideration (without interest) and (B) an amount equal to the accumulated dividends with respect to such restricted share. Accumulated dividends will be paid in cash (without interest and subject to applicable withholding taxes) by LPS to the holder thereof as promptly as practicable following, but in no event later than ten business days after, the effective time of the merger. No merger consideration will be payable with respect to restricted shares subject to performance-based vesting and with respect to which the applicable performance period shall have ended prior to, and without regard to the occurrence of, the effective time of the merger without attainment of applicable performance goals, and each such restricted share will be forfeited without consideration immediately prior to the effective time of the merger.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan. All accumulated participant contributions and matching contributions (as such terms are defined in the LPS ESPP) will be used to purchase shares of LPS common stock immediately prior to the effective time of the merger in accordance with the terms of the LPS ESPP, and such shares of LPS common stock will be canceled at the effective time of the merger and converted into the right to receive the merger consideration (without interest). Matching contributions that are payable with respect to participant contributions made prior to the effective time of the merger and that would otherwise have been credited to each participant's account following the effective time of the merger based on such participant's continued employment following the effective time of the merger will be paid to the participant in cash, without interest as promptly as practicable following, but in no event later than ten business days after, the effective time of the merger.

Cash Retention Incentive Awards. Each outstanding cash retention incentive award that is, by its terms, subject to performance-based and service-based vesting will vest in full immediately prior to the effective time of the merger and become free of restrictions. Applicable performance goals in respect of cash retention incentive awards that were subject to performance-based vesting were previously determined to have been attained at maximum level, though such awards continue to be subject to service-based vesting. At the effective time of the merger, the service-based vesting conditions in respect of each cash retention incentive award will be deemed to have been met and such award shall be canceled and the holder thereof will be entitled to receive a cash payment

Table of Contents

equal to the amount payable in respect thereof based on the previous attainment of the applicable performance objective at maximum level. Such cash payment will be paid as promptly as practicable following, but in no event later than ten business days after, the effective time of the merger, without interest. Each cash retention incentive award that is, by its terms, subject only to service-based vesting will vest in full and be payable no later than thirty days after the effective time of the merger.

Financing

FNF and Merger Sub have agreed to use their reasonable best efforts to take, or cause to be taken, all actions and to do, or cause to be done, all things necessary, proper or advisable to consummate and obtain the financing for the merger as promptly as reasonably practicable on the terms and conditions described in the financing commitments, including using reasonable best efforts to (i) negotiate and enter into definitive agreements with respect to the financing contemplated by the debt commitment letter (referred to herein as "definitive agreements"), (ii) satisfy on a timely basis (or obtain waiver of) all conditions applicable to FNF or Merger Sub in the financing commitments or the definitive agreements, (iii) enforce its rights under the debt commitment letter at or prior to the closing and (iv) maintain in effect the financing commitments in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in the financing commitments.

FNF has agreed to refrain from taking any action that would reasonably be expected to result in the failure of any of the conditions contained in the financing commitments or in any definitive agreement related to the debt financing. Furthermore, FNF's obligation to consummate the merger is not conditioned upon the availability or consummation of the financing, the availability of any replacement financing or receipt of the proceeds therefrom. As such, FNF is obligated to close the merger even if the financing contemplated by the financing commitments is not available at the time of the closing.

Without the prior written consent of LPS, FNF may not enter into alternative financing arrangements for all or any portion of the financing contemplated by the financing commitments from the same and/or alternative financing sources if such alternative financing (i) contains additional or adversely modified conditions or other contingencies to the availability of the financing relative to those set forth in the financing commitments as of the date of the merger agreement (or, solely with respect to any revolving credit facility, to those set forth in FNF's existing revolving credit facility or those set forth in the financing commitments in each case as of the date of the merger agreement), (ii) would otherwise reasonably be expected to prevent or materially impair or delay the funding of the financing on the closing date or the closing of the merger, (iii) adversely impact the ability of FNF or Merger Sub to enforce its rights against the other parties to the financing commitments or any definitive agreements or (iv) reduces the aggregate amount of equity financing set forth in the equity commitment letter or the aggregate amount of the debt financing set forth in the debt commitment letter, in each case as of the date of the merger agreement unless replaced with an amount of new equity or debt financing on conditions no less favorable to LPS than the terms set forth in the financing commitments as of the date of the merger agreement (or, solely with respect to any revolving credit facility, to those set forth in FNF's existing revolving credit facility or those set forth in the financing commitments in each case as of the date of the merger agreement).

LPS has agreed to provide, and to cause its subsidiaries to provide, and to request that their respective representatives provide, cooperation reasonably requested by FNF in connection with the arrangement of the debt financing, including, but not limited to, using reasonable best efforts to:

furnish information relating to LPS and its subsidiaries to FNF, Merger Sub and the financing sources (including information regarding the business, operations, financial projections and prospects of the surviving corporation and its subsidiaries customary for such financing or reasonably necessary for the completion of the financing by the financing sources);

prior to and during the marketing period, participate in a reasonable number of requested meetings (including customary one-on-one meetings with the parties acting as lead arrangers for the financing and senior management and representatives of LPS), presentations, road shows, drafting sessions, due diligence sessions and sessions with rating agencies in connection with the debt financing;

Table of Contents

in advance of the marketing period, assist with the preparation of customary materials for rating agency presentations, offering documents, public and private bank information memoranda and similar documents reasonably required in connection with the debt financing;

cause its independent accountants to provide reasonable assistance to FNF consistent with their customary practice (including to provide and consent to the use of their audit reports relating to LPS and any necessary comfort letters), in each case, on customary terms and consistent with their customary practice in connection with the debt financing);

take all corporate actions, subject to the occurrence of the effectiveness of the merger, reasonably requested by FNF to permit the consummation of the debt financing;

execute and deliver customary closing certificates and documents as may be reasonably requested by FNF;

facilitate the execution and delivery at the closing of definitive documents (including loan agreements, customary guarantee and collateral documentation and other applicable loan documents) related to the debt financing on the terms contemplated by the debt commitment letter;

cooperate reasonably with the debt financing sources due diligence, to the extent customary and reasonable;

arrange for customary payoff letters, lien terminations and instruments of discharge to be delivered at closing providing for the payoff, discharge and termination on the closing date of all indebtedness contemplated by the debt financing commitment to be paid off, discharged and terminated on the closing date;

obtain a certificate from a senior financial officer of LPS with respect to solvency matters;

provide authorization letters to the debt financing sources authorizing the distribution of information to prospective lenders containing a representation to the debt financing sources that the information provided by LPS for inclusion in the public side versions of such documents, if any, does not include material non-public information about LPS or its subsidiaries or securities; and

provide all documentation and other information required by regulatory authorities with respect to LPS under applicable know your customer and anti-money laundering rules and regulations.

FNF has also agreed to reimburse LPS for all reasonable out-of-pocket costs and expenses and to indemnify and hold harmless LPS, its subsidiaries, and their respective representatives from and against any and all losses, damages, claims, costs or expenses suffered or incurred by any of them in connection with the arrangement of the financing and any information used in connection with the financing, except with respect to any historical information provided by LPS or any of its subsidiaries.

Other Covenants and Agreements

The merger agreement contains certain other covenants and agreements, including covenants relating to:

cooperation between the parties in the preparation of this joint proxy statement/prospectus;

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

confidentiality and access obligations by each party with respect to certain information about the other party during the period prior to the effective time of the merger;

the obligation, subject to certain exceptions described below, of each party to pay the fees and expenses incurred by such party in connection with the merger;

cooperation between the parties in the defense or settlement of any stockholder litigation relating to the merger;

cooperation between the parties in connection with public announcements;

- 163 -

Table of Contents

causing any dispositions of LPS equity securities resulting from the merger and any acquisitions of FNF common stock resulting from the merger by each individual who may become subject to reporting requirements under the securities laws to be exempt from Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act;

FNF causing any LPS common stock it beneficially owns or has voting power over and Merger Sub to vote in favor of or consent to the merger;

FNF causing Merger Sub and the surviving corporation to fully comply with the merger agreement;

cooperation between the parties to de-list LPS securities from the NYSE and de-register LPS securities under the Exchange Act;

FNF's compliance with any requirements or obligations of the consent order, dated April 13, 2011, by and among the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Office of Thrift Supervision, LPS, LPS Default Solutions, Inc. and DocX, LLC;

the listing of FNF common stock issued in connection with the merger on the NYSE; and

cooperation of LPS in connection with any change of control offer required under LPS 5.75% Senior Notes due 2023.

Conditions to Completion of the Merger

The obligations of each of LPS and FNF to effect the merger are subject to the satisfaction, or waiver, of the following conditions:

the approval of the merger proposal by holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of LPS common stock entitled to vote thereon at the LPS special meeting;

to the extent required by applicable law, the approval of the FNF stock issuance by the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of FNF common stock present in person or represented by proxy at the FNF special meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal;

the waiting period (and any extension thereof) applicable to the merger under the HSR Act having expired or been earlier terminated and LPS and FNF having received any of the following applicable consents or approvals: (i) from the NYSDFS, (ii) except to the extent that an applicable exemption applies, CDI, and (iii) from certain other state regulatory authorities;

the absence of any law, order, injunction or other judgment by a court or other governmental entity that restrains, enjoins or otherwise prohibits the closing of the merger;

the effectiveness of the registration statement of which this joint proxy statement/prospectus forms a part and the absence of a stop order or proceedings seeking a stop order by the SEC; and

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

the shares of FNF common stock to be issued in the merger having been approved for listing on the NYSE, subject to official notice of issuance.

In addition, the obligations of FNF and Merger Sub to effect the merger are subject to the satisfaction, or waiver, of the following additional conditions:

the representations and warranties of LPS relating to organization, standing and corporate power, capital structure, authority and non-contravention, state takeover statutes and brokers and other advisors being true and correct in all material respects as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the date of the closing of the merger as though made on such date (except to the extent any of such representations and warranties speak as of an earlier date, in which case such representations and warranties must be true and correct in all material respects as of such earlier date);

each other representations and warranty of LPS being true and correct in all respects (disregarding all qualifications or limitations as to materiality, material adverse effect and words of similar import set forth therein) as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the date of the closing of the merger

- 164 -

Table of Contents

as though made on such date (except to the extent any of such representations and warranties speak as of an earlier date, in which case such representations and warranties must be true and correct as of such earlier date), except where the failure of such representations and warranties to be so true and correct would not, individually or in the aggregate, reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect;

LPS having performed or complied with, in all material respects, all its obligations under the merger agreement at or prior to the closing of the merger; and

receipt by FNF of a certificate executed by the chief financial officer of LPS certifying as to the satisfaction of the conditions described in the preceding three bullets.

In addition, the obligations of LPS to effect the merger are subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the following additional conditions:

the representations and warranties of FNF and Merger Sub relating to organization, standing and corporate power, capital structure, authority and noncontravention, state takeover statutes and brokers and other advisors being true and correct in all material respects as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the date of the closing of the merger as though made on such date (except to the extent any of such representations and warranties speak as of an earlier date, in which case such representations and warranties must be true and correct in all material respects as of such earlier date);

all other representations and warranties of FNF being true and correct in all respects (disregarding all qualifications or limitations as to materiality, material adverse effect and words of similar import set forth therein) as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the date of the closing of the merger as though made on such date (except to the extent any of such representations and warranties speak as of an earlier date, in which case such representations and warranties must be true and correct as of such earlier date), except where the failure of such representations and warranties to be so true and correct would not, individually or in the aggregate, reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect;

FNF and Merger Sub having performed or complied with, in all material respects, all its obligations the merger agreement at or prior to the closing of the merger; and

receipt by LPS of a certificate executed by an executive officer of FNF certifying as to the satisfaction of the conditions described in the preceding three bullets.

Termination of the Merger Agreement

The merger agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the effective time of the merger, whether before or after the receipt of the required stockholder approvals, by delivery of written notice to the other parties to the merger agreement under the following circumstances:

by mutual written consent of FNF and LPS;

by either FNF or LPS:

if the merger is not consummated by March 31, 2014 (or the extended outside date, if applicable); provided, however, that this right to terminate the merger agreement will not be available to any party if the failure of such party (and in the case of FNF, Merger Sub) to perform any of its obligations under the merger agreement has been a principal cause of or resulted in the failure of the merger to be consummated on or before such date;

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

if any law, injunction, order or other judgment issued or entered by any court or other governmental entity of competent jurisdiction that restrains, enjoins or otherwise prohibits the closing of the merger becomes final and nonappealable; provided that the party seeking to terminate the merger agreement has provided the other parties with three business days prior written notice of its intent to terminate;

if the LPS stockholders fail to approve the merger proposal at the LPS special meeting or at any adjournment or postponement thereof; or

- 165 -

Table of Contents

if the FNF stockholders fail to approve the FNF stock issuance, if required, at the FNF special meeting or at any adjournment or postponement thereof.

by LPS;

if FNF or Merger Sub has breached any of its representations or warranties or failed to perform any of its covenants or agreements set forth in the merger agreement such that the conditions of LPS obligations to complete the merger are not satisfied and such breach or failure to perform is incapable of being cured prior to March 31, 2014 (or the extended outside date, if applicable); provided that LPS does not have the right to terminate the merger agreement as a result of such breach if LPS is then in material breach of any of its own representations, warranties, covenants or agreements under the merger agreement (referred to as an LPS termination for FNF breach);

if, at any time prior to obtaining the LPS stockholders approval of the merger proposal, the LPS board of directors determines in good faith (after consultation with LPS financial advisors and outside legal counsel) that the failure to terminate the merger agreement would be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties to stockholders under applicable law, so long as LPS has complied in all material respects with the non-solicitation obligations set forth in the merger agreement and has paid the applicable termination fee on the date of such termination (referred to as an LPS termination to enter into a superior proposal);

to the extent FNF stockholders are required to approve the FNF stock issuance under applicable law, if (i) the board of directors of FNF fails to include its recommendation to the FNF stockholders for the approval of the FNF stock issuance in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or an FNF adverse recommendation change has occurred or (ii) FNF fails to hold the FNF special meeting; or

if the closing conditions to the obligation of FNF and Merger Sub to consummate the merger have been satisfied or waived and the average FNF stock price is less than \$20.00.

by FNF:

if LPS has breached any of its representations or warranties or failed to perform any of its covenants or agreements set forth in the merger agreement such that the conditions of FNF s and Merger Sub s obligations to complete the merger are not satisfied and such breach or failure to perform is incapable of being cured prior to March 31, 2014 (or the extended outside date, if applicable); provided that FNF does not have the right to terminate the merger agreement as a result of such breach if either FNF or Merger Sub is then in material breach of any of its own representations, warranties, covenants or agreements under the merger agreement (referred to as an FNF termination for LPS breach); or

if (i) the board of directors of LPS fails to include its recommendation to the LPS stockholders for the approval of the merger proposal in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or an LPS adverse recommendation change has occurred or (ii) LPS fails to hold the LPS special meeting.

Termination Fees and Expenses; Liability for Breach

LPS will be required to pay a termination fee of \$74,000,000 to FNF if:

an LPS termination to enter into a superior proposal has occurred; provided, that such termination fee will be \$37,000,000 in the event an LPS termination to enter into a superior proposal has occurred in connection with a transaction with an excluded party with

Edgar Filing: Fidelity National Financial, Inc. - Form S-4

respect to which a definitive agreement in respect of a superior proposal has been entered into prior to or within ten business days following the end of the go-shop period;

(A) after the date of the merger agreement, a takeover proposal is made publicly to LPS or directly made to the LPS stockholders generally or otherwise becomes publicly known; and (B) thereafter, the merger agreement is terminated (1) by either LPS or FNF because LPS stockholders failed to approve

- 166 -

Table of Contents

the merger proposal, (2) by FNF if the merger is not consummated by March 31, 2014 (or the extended outside date, if applicable) and the LPS special meeting has not been held by such date, or (3) by FNF if an FNF termination for LPS breach has occurred; and (C) within 12 months after such termination LPS enters into a definitive agreement to consummate or consummates the transactions contemplated by any takeover proposal; or

FNF terminates the merger agreement because (i) the board of directors of LPS failed to include its recommendation to the LPS stockholders for the approval of the merger proposal in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or an LPS adverse recommendation change occurred or (ii) LPS failed to hold the LPS special meeting.

If the merger agreement is terminated pursuant to the first or third bullets above, the termination fee must be paid on the date of termination of the merger agreement. If the termination is made pursuant to the second bullet above, the termination fee must be paid on the earlier of (i) the date of entry into a definitive agreement with respect to a takeover proposal or (ii) the date of consummation of the definitive agreement that was entered into within 12 months after such termination.

FNF will be obligated to pay a termination fee of \$74,000,000 to LPS if LPS terminates the merger agreement because (i) FNF failed to include its recommendation to the FNF stockholders for the approval of the FNF stock issuance, if required, in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or an FNF adverse recommendation change occurred or (ii) FNF fails to hold the FNF special meeting.

LPS will be required to reimburse FNF for all reasonable and documented out-of-pocket fees and expenses incurred by FNF, Merger Sub and their respective affiliates in connection with the merger agreement if the merger agreement is terminated (i) by either FNF or LPS because the merger is not consummated by March 31, 2014 (or the extended outside date, if applicable) and the LPS special meeting has not been held by such date or (ii) by FNF because LPS breached a representation, warranty, covenant or agreement such that the conditions to FNF's obligations to complete the merger are not satisfied and such breach is incapable of being cured prior to March 31, 2014 (or the extended outside date, if applicable), and, in either case, at such time FNF is not in material breach of the merger agreement and is not entitled to receive payment of the termination fee from LPS. The payment of such expenses by LPS will not relieve LPS of any obligation to pay the termination fee, but any termination fee payable by LPS will be offset by the amount of any of FNF or Merger Sub's expenses previously reimbursed pursuant to the merger agreement.

Except as discussed above, each party shall pay all fees and expenses incurred by it in connection with the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, whether or not such transactions are consummated.

If LPS or FNF, as the case may be, fails promptly to pay any of the foregoing fees, and, in order to obtain such payment, LPS or FNF, as the case may be, commences a suit that results in a judgment against the other party for the payment of such fees, such paying party must pay to the other party or parties, as applicable, its costs and expenses (including attorneys' fees and expenses) in connection with such suit, together with interest on the amount of such amount from the date such payment was required to be made until the date of payment at the prime rate as published in *The Wall Street Journal* in effect on the date such payment was required to be made.

Amendments, Extensions and Waivers

The merger agreement may be amended by the parties at any time before or after the receipt of the approvals of the LPS or FNF stockholders required to consummate the merger. However, after such stockholder approvals have been obtained, there may not be, without further approval of LPS stockholders or FNF stockholders, as applicable, any amendment of the merger agreement for which applicable law requires such further stockholder approval.

Table of Contents

At any time prior to the effective time of the merger, FNF, Merger Sub and LPS may (i) extend the time for performance of any obligations or other acts of the other parties, (ii) waive any inaccuracies in the representations and warranties of the other parties contained in the merger agreement and (iii) waive compliance by the other party with any of the agreements or conditions contained in the merger agreement.

No Third Party Beneficiaries

The merger agreement is not intended to and does not confer upon any person other than the parties thereto any legal or equitable remedies, except for

the indemnification rights of the directors and officers of LPS;

certain rights of any of LPS former, current and future direct or indirect equity holders, stockholders, directors, officers, employees, agents, affiliates, financing sources or assignees with respect to liability for losses relating to termination of the merger agreement; and

certain rights of the financing sources as set forth in the merger agreement.

Additionally, following the effective time of the merger, certain provisions relating to the payment of the merger consideration and certain other provisions shall be enforceable by LPS stockholders.

Specific Performance

The parties have agreed in the merger agreement that irreparable damage would occur and that the parties would not have any adequate remedy at law in the event that any of the provisions of the merger agreement were not performed in accordance with their specific terms or were otherwise breached, and that monetary damages, even if available, would not be an adequate remedy for such occurrence. The parties have agreed that they will be entitled to seek an injunction or injunctions to prevent breaches of the merger agreement and to enforce specifically the performance of terms and provisions of the merger agreement without proof of actual damages. The parties have further agreed not to assert that a remedy of specific enforcement is unenforceable or contrary to law, and not to assert that a remedy of monetary damages would provide an adequate remedy or that there is otherwise an adequate remedy at law. The merger agreement specifically provides that (1) if at the closing of the merger FNF does not have sufficient cash resources to fund the cash portion of the merger consideration and related payments, FNF shall take all actions within its control to obtain such cash resources as promptly as practicable and (2) LPS has the right to specifically enforce FNF's obligation under the foregoing clause (1).

Table of Contents

DESCRIPTION OF THE DEBT FINANCING

Overview

The debt financing in connection with the merger could take any of several forms or any combination of them, including but not limited to the following: (i) FNF may issue and sell senior notes in the public and/or private capital markets or incur additional bank credit facilities in the syndicated loan market; (ii) FNF may borrow up to \$1.1 billion under its new senior unsecured delayed draw term loan facility (referred to as the term loan facility); and (iii) FNF may borrow under its existing third amended and restated revolving credit facility (referred to as the revolving credit facility).

Term Loan Facility

FNF has entered into a term loan credit agreement, dated July 11, 2013, with Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent and the other financial institutions party thereto. Pursuant to the terms of the term loan credit agreement, the proceeds of the new senior unsecured delayed draw term loan facility will be used to fund, in part, the cash consideration for the acquisition and pay certain costs, fees and expenses in connection with the merger.

The term loan facility matures on the fifth anniversary of the date that the term loans are funded and amortizes in installments equal to 0% in the first year after the funding date, 10% in the second year after the funding date, 15% in the third year after the funding date, 20% in each of the fourth and fifth years after the funding date and the remaining balance on the final maturity date.

Interest Rate

Borrowings under the term loan facility bear interest, at FNF's option, at a rate equal to either (a) the highest of (i) the Bank of America prime rate, (ii) the federal funds effective rate from time to time plus 0.5% and (iii) the one month adjusted London interbank offered rate plus 1.0% plus the appl