CACI INTERNATIONAL INC /DE/ Form 10-K August 28, 2012 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

X ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

(Mark One)

Commission File Number 001-31400

OR

CACI International Inc

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

54-1345888 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1100 North Glebe Road, Arlington, VA 22201

(Address of principal executive offices)

(703) 841-7800

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x. No ".

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes ". No x.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x. No ".

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x. No ".

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ". No x.

The aggregate market value of common shares held by non-affiliates of the registrant on December 31, 2011 was \$1,412,432,505, based upon the closing price of the registrant s common shares as quoted on the New York Stock Exchange composite tape on such date.

As of August 24, 2012, the registrant had 22,666,506 shares of common stock issued and outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Part III incorporates by reference certain information from the registrant s proxy statement for its 2012 annual meeting of stockholders. With the exception of the sections of the 2012 Proxy Statement specifically incorporated herein by reference, the 2012 Proxy Statement is not deemed to be filed as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Unless the context indicates otherwise, the terms we, our, the Company and CACI as used in Parts I, II and III include CACI International Inc and its subsidiaries and joint ventures that are more than 50 percent owned or otherwise controlled by it. The term the registrant as used in Parts I, II and III refers to CACI International Inc only.

INFORMATION RELATING TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain information included or incorporated by reference in this document and in press releases, written statements or other documents filed with the United States (U.S.) Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), or in the Company s communications and discussions through webcasts, telephone calls and conference calls, may not address historical facts and, therefore, could be interpreted to be forward-looking statements as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and other federal securities laws. All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including projections of financial performance; statements of plans, strategies and objectives of management for future operations; any statement concerning developments, performance or industry rankings relating to products or services; any statements regarding future economic conditions or performance; any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing; and any other statements that address activities, events or developments that CACI intends, expects, projects, believes or anticipates will or may occur in the future. Forward-looking statements may be characterized by terminology such as believe, anticipate, expect, should, intend, plan, will, estimates, projects, strategy and similar expressions are based on assumptions and assessments made by the Company's management in light of its experience and its perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors it believes to be appropriate. These forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that include but are not limited to the factors set forth under Item 1A, Risk Factors in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance, and actual results, developments and business decisions may differ materially from those envisaged by such forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements included herein speak only as of the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Company disclaims any duty to update such forward-looking statements, all of which are expressly qualified by the foregoing.

2

CACI International Inc

FORM 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I		
Item 1.	Business	4
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	14
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	26
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	26
Item 3.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	26
Item 4.	[Reserved]	27
PART II		
Item 5.	Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	28
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	30
Item 7.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition & Results of Operations	30
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk	40
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	40
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	41
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	41
PART III		
Item 10.	Officers, Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant	43
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	43
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	43
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	43
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services	43
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	44
SIGNATII	PES	Q/

3

PART I

Item 1. Business

Background

CACI International Inc was organized as a Delaware corporation under the name CACI WORLDWIDE, INC. on October 8, 1985. By a merger on June 2, 1986, the registrant became the parent of CACI, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and CACI N.V., a Netherlands corporation. Effective April 16, 2001, CACI, Inc. was merged into its wholly-owned subsidiary, CACI, INC.-FEDERAL, such that the registrant is now the corporate parent of CACI, INC.-FEDERAL, a Delaware corporation, and CACI N.V., a Netherlands corporation. The registrant is a holding company and its operations are conducted through subsidiaries, which are located in the U.S. and Europe, and a joint venture which is controlled by the registrant.

Our telephone number is (703) 841-7800 and our Internet page can be accessed at www.caci.com. We make our web site content available for information purposes only. It should not be relied upon for investment purposes, nor is it incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act are made available free of charge on our Internet website at www.caci.com as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. Documents filed by us with the SEC can also be viewed at www.sec.gov.

Overview

CACI founded its business in 1962 in simulation technology. With revenue for the year ended June 30, 2012 (FY2012) of \$3.8 billion, we serve clients in the U.S. federal government and commercial markets, primarily throughout North America and internationally on behalf of U.S. customers, as well as in the United Kingdom (U.K.) and the Netherlands. We deliver information solutions and services to our clients. Through our service offerings, we provide comprehensive and practical solutions by adapting emerging technologies and continually evolving legacy strengths. As a result of our diverse capabilities and client mission understanding, many of our client relationships have existed for ten years or more.

Our reliable and high-quality services have enabled us to successfully compete for and win repeat business, sustain long-term client relationships and compete effectively for new clients and new contracts. We seek competitive business opportunities and have designed our operations to support major programs through centralized business development and business alliances. We have structured our business development organization to respond to the competitive marketplace, particularly within the federal government, and support that activity with full-time marketing, sales, communications, and proposal development specialists.

Our primary customers are agencies of the U.S. government. Our services are primarily targeted to the areas of defense, intelligence, homeland security and information technology (IT) modernization. The demand for our services, in large measure, is created by the increasingly complex network, systems and information environments in which governments and businesses operate, and by the need to stay current with emerging technology while increasing productivity and, ultimately, improving performance.

At June 30, 2012, CACI had approximately 14,500 employees.

Domestic Operations

Our domestic operations are conducted through a number of subsidiaries and a joint venture which we control, and account for 100 percent of our U.S. government revenue and 40.6 percent of our commercial

4

Table of Contents

revenue. Some of the contracts performed by our domestic operations involve assignment of employees to international locations. At June 30, 2012, approximately 800 employees were on assignments in international locations. We provide the following information solutions and services to our domestic clients:

Enterprise IT solutions We support our clients critical networked operational missions by providing tailored, end-to-end, enterprise-wide information solutions and services for the design, development, integration, deployment, operations and management, sustainment, and security of our clients infrastructure. Our operational, analytic, consultancy, and transformational services make effective use of leading-edge practices, standards, and innovations to enable and optimize the full lifecycle of the enterprise IT environment improving the services, increasing the efficiency, and reducing the total cost and complexity of heterogeneous, networked, and geographically-dispersed operations. Our capabilities in network infrastructure design, deployment and management, data center design and management, cloud computing, virtualization, application development and hosting, mobility solutions, and advanced service desk management provide secure and efficient operational environments for our customers.

Knowledge management solutions We deliver a full spectrum of information solutions and services that automate the knowledge management lifecycle, from data capture through information analysis and understanding. We provide commercially-based products, custom solutions development, and operations and maintenance services that facilitate information access and sharing, foster innovation and learning, locate and leverage expertise, manage intellectual capital and assets, and help navigate from data to decision. Our information technology solutions are complemented by a suite of analytical expertise support offerings for our clients in the homeland security and intelligence communities, Department of Defense (DoD), Department of Justice (DoJ) and other federal agencies.

Business systems solutions We provide the full range of information solutions and services required to plan, manage, architect, develop, deploy, and sustain the complex, integrated system solutions that the DoD and federal civilian agencies need to accomplish their transformation goals and achieve ever-increasing efficiency and effectiveness in their mission functions and business operations. Working in the domains of procurement, financial management, human capital management, and logistics and supply chain management, we have implemented enterprise-level system solutions for over 100 federal agencies. From complex commercial-off-the-shelf enterprise resource planning integrations to custom service-oriented architecture-based solutions that address unique federal mission support needs, we bring disciplined industry best practices, advanced technology, and a deep understanding of federal processes and their unique compliance constraints.

Logistics and material readiness solutions and services We offer a full suite of solutions and service offerings that plan for, implement, and control the efficient, effective, and secure flow and storage of goods, services, and information in support of U.S. government agencies. We develop and manage logistics information systems, specialized simulation and modeling toolsets, and provide logistics engineering services. Our operational capabilities span the supply chain, including advanced logistics planning, demand forecasting, total asset visibility (including the use of Radio Frequency Identification technology), and life cycle support for weapons systems. Our logistics services are a critical enabler in support of defense readiness and combat sustainability objectives.

ISR solutions and services We provide a full-spectrum of Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) solutions and services in support of national defense, intelligence and homeland security missions. Our ISR solutions and services include systems engineering and integration, agile development and deployment and end-to-end life cycle planning and support services that enable complex, leading edge mission capabilities. We provide rapid-response services in support of military missions in a coordinated and controlled operational setting. We integrate sensors, intelligence information systems, data fusion and dissemination systems, and mission applications that connect with our clients fixed and mobile networked sites.

5

Table of Contents

Command and Control (C2) solutions and services We provide a broad range of leading edge information solutions and services that enable our clients to effectively and efficiently conduct mission operations and achieve information dominance. Our C2 offerings support military, homeland security, law enforcement, border security, emergency response and disaster relief missions. Our capabilities integrate the inputs from mission applications and ISR systems to provide comprehensive situational awareness, visualization, and tools to collaboratively plan, rehearse, support decision making, mission delivery, execution and sustainment. We deliver state of the art secure enterprise solutions that leverage advances in commercial networking and information technology, open standards architecture, and advanced security offerings.

<u>Cyberspace solutions</u> Our information solutions and services support the full lifecycle of preparing for, protecting against, detecting, reacting to, and actively responding to the full range of cyber threats. We achieve this through comprehensive, consistently managed, risk-based, and cost-effective capabilities, controls, and measures to protect information, systems, and networks operated by the U.S. government. We proactively support information operations and the operational use and availability/reliability of information.

Integrated security solutions Our integrated security solutions and services support the U.S. and our international partners and allies in mitigating and countering the effects of natural, technological, and man-made hazards which are unrestrained by political and geographical boundaries, elements of national power, and international law. Our security services and technical solutions assist clients in the development, integration, and sustainment of graduated, flexible capabilities that anticipate and address asymmetric and irregular threats and vulnerabilities. Sought by domestic and international clients for our ability to provide customer value often restricted by silo-centric systems, our services address security policy; definition and capacity building; risk management; critical infrastructure protection; consequence management; critical event and incident preparedness; and training.

Geospatial solutions We support the collection, processing, exploitation, analysis and dissemination of geospatial information relating to Defense, Intelligence, Homeland Security, and commercial applications. We use imagery and other collected data from government and commercial sources to produce hardcopy and digital maps, and other value added enhanced imagery and 3-dimensional products. Our geospatial solutions employ advanced analytical training, focused tools and applications development, and feature database extraction and maintenance. We provide time-proven expertise in multi-source data analysis and conflation, diverse sensor exploitation, intelligence analysis, and geographic information system (GIS) integration and deployment. We offer mobile solutions and secure web-based data accessibility and subscription services on an enterprise scale.

Investigation and litigation support solutions We support government investigations and litigations in support of the DoJ with full service technology solutions. Using comprehensive training to carefully honed processes and procedures, we help attorneys acquire, organize, develop, control, and present evidence throughout the course of litigations, from pre-filing investigation, through complaint, discovery, and trial, to post-trial briefs, review, and appeals. Our portfolio of legal-support offerings includes: cloud hosting (on-line, evidentiary information management to rapidly enable data storage and accessibility); e-discovery consulting and support; data forensic extraction and analysis; document/data capture and processing; database development, population, and maintenance; pre-trial, trial and post-trial support; case management; training; claims management; and Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) support.

Healthcare IT solutions We meet the steadily accelerating demand for new healthcare strategies and technology required by government, industry, and patients. We assist the federal medical community in focusing on the patient, ensuring that systems and processes at the backbone of health organizations are running efficiently. We provide both functional subject matter expertise and health IT services to the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Department of Defense Military Health System, and the Department of Health and Human Services. Our capabilities include medical logistics and facility management, design, development and integration of healthcare information technology systems, including virtual electronic health records, information assurance, and security of personally identifiable information.

6

Table of Contents

<u>Identity management solutions</u> We provide solutions that enable our clients to manage detect, and protect identities of individuals, entities, organizations, groups, nation states, networks, and associations in both the physical and digital worlds. Our solutions capitalize on our vast experience supporting the Intelligence Community, war fighters, and law enforcement in areas such as biometric collection and identification, human factors analysis, forensics, large-volume identity-related data exploitation and assessment, information management, and managed security services.

Mobility solutions and services Our mobility solutions and services provide end-to-end capability for the full lifecycle of mobility enablement, from development through sustainment. This includes a layered set of offerings within a framework that addresses back end provisioning through the cloud infrastructure through mission specific applications. We provide unique hardware and software mobility based solutions for the DoD, U.S. civilian agencies and the Intelligence Community. Our capabilities include end-to-end mobility architecture and design, cloud hosting, cloud provisioning and support, secure wireless transport, secure mobile device configuration and management of leading commercial smartphones and tablets, virtual desktops, and other mobile applications development, provisioning, delivery, and security vetting.

Program management and system engineering and technical assistance (SETA) services We support U.S. government Program Executive Offices and Program Management Offices via subject matter experts and comprehensive technical management processes that optimize program resources. This includes translating operational requirements into configured systems, integrating technical inputs, characterizing and managing risk, transitioning technology into program efforts, and verifying that designs meet operational needs, through the application of internationally recognized and accepted standards. Additionally, we provide SETA and advisory and assistance services that include contract and acquisition management, operations support, architecture and system engineering services, project and portfolio management, strategy and policy support, and complex trade analyses.

In developing solutions utilizing the technologies of each of these service offerings, we make extensive use of our wide array of modeling and simulation products and services, thereby enabling clients to visualize the impact of proposed changes or new technologies before implementation. Our simulation offerings address client needs in the areas of military training and war-gaming, logistics, manufacturing, wide area networks, including satellites and land lines, local area networks, the study of business processes, and the design of distributed computer systems architecture.

International Operations

Our international operations are conducted primarily through our operating subsidiaries in Europe, CACI Limited and CACI BV, and account for substantially all revenue generated from international clients and 59.4 percent of our commercial revenue. CACI s European operations are headquartered in London, England, and operate primarily in support of our knowledge management solutions, business systems solutions, and enterprise IT solutions lines of business.

Our international service offerings focus primarily on planning, designing, implementing and managing solutions that resolve specific technical or business needs for commercial and government clients in the telecommunications, education, financial services, healthcare services, logistics planning, digital marketing, and web-based data capture and forms processing areas. Our international operations also concentrate on combining data and technology in software products and services that provide strategic information on customers, buying patterns and market trends for clients who are engaged in retail sales of consumer products, direct marketing campaigns, franchise or branch site location projects, and similar endeavors.

7

Competition

We operate in a highly competitive industry that includes many firms, some of which are larger in size and have greater financial resources than we do. We obtain much of our business on the basis of proposals submitted in response to requests from potential and current customers, who may also receive proposals from other firms. Additionally, we face indirect competition from certain government agencies that perform services for themselves similar to those marketed by us. We know of no single competitor that is dominant in our fields of technology. We have a relatively small share of the available worldwide market for our products and services and intend to achieve growth and increasing market share both organically and through strategic acquisitions.

Strengths and Strategy

We offer substantially our entire range of information solutions and services and proprietary products to defense, intelligence and civilian agencies of the U.S. government. Our work for U.S. government agencies may combine a wide range of skills drawn from our service, solutions and product offerings. We occasionally contract through both our domestic and international operations to supply services, solutions and/or products to governments of other nations. As with other government contractors, our business is subject to government client funding decisions and actions that are beyond our control.

Although we are a supplier of proprietary computer-based technology products and marketing systems products, we are not primarily focused on being a software product developer-distributor (see discussion following under Patents, Trademarks, Trade Secrets and Licenses).

Our international commercial client base consists primarily of large enterprises in the U.K. and the Netherlands. This market is the primary target of our proprietary marketing systems software and database products.

In order to effectively perform on our existing client contracts and secure new client contracts within the U.S. government, we must maintain expert knowledge of agency policies, operations and challenges. We combine this comprehensive knowledge with significant expertise in the design, integration, development and implementation of advanced information technology and communications solutions. This capability provides us with opportunities either to compete directly for, or to support other bidders in competition for, multi-million dollar and multi-year award contracts from the U.S. government.

We have strategic business relationships with a number of companies associated with the information technology industry. These strategic partners have business objectives compatible with ours and offer products and services that complement ours. We intend to continue development of these kinds of relationships wherever they support our growth objectives.

Our marketing and new business development is conducted by virtually all of our officers and managers including the Chief Executive Officer, executive officers, vice presidents, and division managers. We employ marketing professionals who identify and qualify major contract opportunities, primarily in the federal government market. Our proprietary software and marketing systems are sold primarily by full-time sales people. We also have established agreements for the resale of certain third party software and data products.

Much of our business is won through submission of formal competitive bids. Government and commercial clients typically base their decisions regarding contract awards on their assessment of the quality of past performance, responsiveness to proposal requirements, price, and other factors. Commercial bids are frequently negotiated as to terms and conditions for schedule, specifications, delivery and payment. The terms, conditions and form of contract of government bids, however, are in most cases specified by the client. In situations in which the client-imposed contract type and/or terms appear to expose us to inappropriate risk or do not offer us a sufficient financial return, we may seek alternate arrangements or opt not to bid for the work. Essentially all contracts with the U.S. government, and many contracts with other government entities, permit the government

Table of Contents

client to terminate the contract at any time for the convenience of the government or for default by the contractor. Although we operate under the risk that such terminations may occur and have a material impact on operations, such terminations have been rare and, generally, have not materially affected operations.

Our contracts and subcontracts are composed of a wide range of contract types, including firm fixed-price, cost reimbursement, time-and-materials (T&M), indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity (IDIQ) and government wide acquisition contracts (known as GWACS) such as General Services Administration (GSA) schedule contracts. By company policy, significant fixed-price contracts require the approval of at least two of our senior officers.

At any one time, we may have several thousand separate active contracts and/or task orders. In FY2012, the ten top revenue-producing contracts accounted for 43.6 percent of our revenue, or \$1.6 billion.

In FY2012, 94.5 percent of our revenue came from U.S. government prime contracts or subcontracts consisting of 78.0 percent from DoD contracts and 16.5 percent from U.S. government civilian agency clients. The remaining 5.5 percent of revenue came from commercial businesses, both domestic and international, and state and local governments.

Industry Trends

The federal government is the largest consumer of information technology services and solutions in the United States. We believe that the following trends will impact the federal government s future spending on the types of services we provide:

Federal government budget trends, pressures and opportunities

<u>U.S. Fiscal Considerations</u> Weak tax revenues and spending programs, when combined with a weak economy, have produced historically high federal debt levels. The efforts to control deficits as well as last year s debt ceiling debate have compounded the need to reduce federal spending. These trends may limit funding of complex programs that have long payout periods.

Sequestration If the Executive and Legislative branches are unable to reach a debt reduction consensus by the end of calendar year 2012, significant and equal reductions in both security and non-security spending will be automatically triggered and will take effect in January 2013. The ensuing period may include procurement delays and, in some cases, cancellations, as agencies re-program their budgets for the government s fiscal year (GFY) 2013. Alignment with national priorities and mission critical solutions may shield us from some, but not all, of the government s debt reduction initiatives.

Most federal agencies are operating under a relatively normal GFY 2012 environment while anticipating changes emanating from the upcoming election and fiscal debate. Customers remain cautious about the continuation of funding and about initiating new program starts as GFY 2013 approaches. These concerns are causing procurement delays in the short term and are expected to impact us throughout the first half of our year ending June 30, 2013. However, over the longer term, the large market in which we operate continues to present many opportunities, both organic and acquired, for us to expand our presence in existing as well as new market segments.

Apart from the specifics of the debt reduction resolution mentioned above, we anticipate that federal budgets will begin contracting in GFY 2013 and, barring unforeseen circumstances, we do not expect that this contraction will level off prior to at least GFY 2015.

Macroeconomic and financial developments in the U.S., Europe and emerging markets will have a significant impact on U.S. Gross Domestic Product growth and, in turn, U.S. fiscal deficits for the foreseeable future. These circumstances also have the potential to affect stock prices, including ours, as investor enthusiasm may wane due to uncertainty in global markets.

9

Affordability will be a key procurement parameter of all U.S. government federal programs for the foreseeable future. Successful government contractors will have to devise business models to accommodate the government s desire for affordability.

Technology Market Drivers The widespread use and the complexity of technology and applications will continue to rapidly evolve. There are four categories of the most significant technologies and application areas that we expect to drive the markets in which we participate. These categories are C4ISR, intelligence expertise, cyber solutions, and federal healthcare IT.

Market Opportunities

Government Wide Market Opportunities As federal government agencies seek to make spending reductions, opportunities to achieve cost reductions through improved operational efficiency will receive higher priority. Many IT initiatives emerging in both DoD and Office of Management and Budget (OMB) directed programs for civilian agencies are based on infrastructure consolidation and cost effective upgrades. These initiatives include infrastructure modernization, adoption of innovative commercial applications, and increased use of commercially provided infrastructure.

We continue to expect to see a steady stream of funding for transformational activities that yield results in a shorter timeframe to maximize investments with more stable and predictable information system outcomes. As the amount of data and information grows, and persistent threats to our national security continue, the demands for applications will grow as well, putting a higher value on faster and more efficient/effective technologies. We expect this demand to result in an increasing need for rapid deployment of cyber solutions, cloud computing, and mobile applications. An additional area of cyber emphasis is the security of the supply chain. While technology provides part of the answer, the integration of processes and personnel using forward-looking systems and sound architectures is more likely to provide cost savings and performance efficiencies.

National Security Market Opportunities

Counterterrorism, counter proliferation, cyber security, and counterintelligence are at the immediate forefront of U.S. security concerns and they are all inextricably linked. Additionally, man-made and natural disasters are routinely addressed by applying the military and civilian resources of the U.S.

The world is increasingly interconnected and interdependent. Instability can arise quickly and spread rapidly beyond borders. This phenomenon is readily apparent in events in the Pacific Rim, the Middle East and North Africa. As the U.S. reduces its conventional defense forces, as expected, the need for intelligence and surveillance of potential adversaries of all types will continue or grow.

The Middle East will continue to be unstable even as U.S. national security organizations are executing a strategic shift toward Asia-Pacific. This shift will create opportunities as well as threats as the contractor community adapts to the changing needs of Defense, Intelligence, Homeland Security, State, and U.S. partners.

Funding to support the use of military and intelligence assets, particularly special operating forces, to combat these threats is not likely to change dramatically. There is little pressure to declare a peace dividend. While we expect that supplemental funding will ultimately decline, we do not expect it to materially impact our work with our Defense, Intelligence and Homeland Security customers. The base budgets and the government s dependence on contractors should continue to produce opportunities for us.

Logistics and force protection operations will continue. We anticipate a continuing need to re-set and modernize equipment and infrastructure as forces return from deployments.

Non-Security Market Opportunities

The intersection of law enforcement and intelligence is expected to continue to create opportunities in support of the Departments of Homeland Security, Justice, and State.

The intersection of compatible needs between the Veteran s Administration and the DoD should continue to fuel opportunities related to healthcare IT.

Federal Acquisition Policy The government has continued to change the manner in which it purchases goods and services. We believe the following aspects of this are most relevant to us.

The increased emphasis on the use of low priced, technically acceptable proposal evaluations represents a challenge to maintain value added differentiation to our solutions.

The use of T&M and sole-source contracts are now greatly reduced. The use of award fees is diminishing. In addition, more scrutiny is being placed on the amount of fee bid on cost reimbursable type contracts. Better requirements definition and value based solutions should allow for more fixed price contracts where the contractor assumes more of the risk. Our fixed priced risk review process and emphasis on qualified program managers should allow us to understand the risks and maintain margins. For cost reimbursable contracts, we may experience pricing pressures. Pricing is taking on an increasing role in best value determinations with more detailed pricing oversight.

Increased engagement by competition advocates maximizes the use of multiple-source, continuously competitive contracts.

Fees for subcontract management are being limited to reflect actual value provided, i.e., risk assumed by prime and continuous subcontractor risk reduction.

In many cases, periods of performance on task orders are being limited to two years and periods of performance for contracts are being limited to four years. Waivers are often required for contract ceilings above \$100 million.

Size thresholds for small business have been revised upward to include businesses that exceed the current revenue thresholds.

Oversight at the Congressional level and audit scrutiny at the agency level have increased with the increased use of government contractors since 2001. Some high profile cases of alleged and proven contractor fraud and abuse has placed greater emphasis on making programs transparent to avoid overspending and to focus on performance and best value. Added program oversight and transparency often delay procurements while the government evaluates program performance. Further, companies have increased costs associated with audits of business management systems. While delays are inevitable, and often costly, we believe they will result in better requirements definition, greater demand for stronger value-based solutions/services, and the diversion of spending from poorly performing areas to well performing areas.

We continue to experience a number of protests of contracts awarded to us, especially those involving large, multiple award, IDIQ contracts. The protest process causes delays in awarding contracts, and sometimes task orders, affecting our backlog and revenue. However, once awarded, these multiple award IDIQ contracts allow the government to issue task order requests to a selected group of qualified companies and, often, more rapidly award task orders.

Many of our federal government contracts require us to have security clearances and employ personnel with specific levels of education and work experience. Depending on the level, security clearances can be difficult and time-consuming to obtain, and competition for skilled personnel in the information technology services industry is intense.

As budgets are diverted from weapons systems platforms to system upgrades and services for the warfighter, increasing competitive pressures from large aerospace firms and traditional original equipment manufacturing companies are anticipated. We expect to continue to build scalable capabilities and functional solutions, to exhibit superior responsiveness and flexibility, and to selectively acquire companies whose presence will yield competitive advantage in targeted accounts.

A major national security or economic event could disrupt the opportunity pipeline. To mitigate this risk, we will continue to focus on enduring needs in both the mission and mission-enabling areas. These enduring needs are likely to be candidates for growth and programmatic emphasis.

Recent Significant Acquisitions

During the past three fiscal years, we completed a total of eleven acquisitions, seven in the U.S. and four in the U.K. including:

The October 3, 2011 acquisition of Advanced Programs Group, LLC, a provider of Oracle e-Business Services to the U.S. government, for \$66.0 million.

The September 1, 2011 acquisition of Paradigm Solutions Corporation, a provider of cybersecurity and enterprise IT solutions to clients in federal civilian agencies, the DoD, and the Intelligence Community, for \$61.5 million.

The July 1, 2011 acquisition of Pangia Technologies, LLC, a software engineering services company that provides technical solutions in the areas of computer network operations, information assurance, mission systems, software and systems engineering, and IT infrastructure support, for \$41.0 million.

The November 2010 acquisition of Applied Systems Research, Inc., a provider of technical services and products to the U.S. government, for \$25.1 million.

The November 2010 acquisition of TechniGraphics, Inc., a provider of imaging and geospatial services to the U.S. government, for \$104.6 million.

The February 2010 acquisition of SystemWare Incorporated, which provides signal acquisition and analysis systems for cyber security and counterintelligence application, for \$23.6 million.

The October 2009 acquisition of a business in the United States which provides commercial security technology services, for \$78.3 million.

Seasonal Nature of Business

Our business in general is not seasonal, although the summer and holiday seasons affect our revenue because of the impact of holidays and vacations on our labor and on product and service sales by our international operations. Variations in our business also may occur at the expiration of major contracts until such contracts are renewed or new business obtained.

The U.S. government s fiscal year ends on September 30 of each year. It is not uncommon for government agencies to award extra tasks or complete other contract actions in the weeks before the end of a fiscal year in order to avoid the loss of unexpended funds. Moreover, in years when the U.S. government does not complete the budget process for the next fiscal year before the end of September, government operations whose appropriations legislation has not been signed into law are funded under a continuing resolution that authorizes them to continue to operate, but traditionally does not authorize new spending initiatives.

12

CACI Employment and Benefits

Our employees are our most valuable resource. We are in continuing competition for highly skilled professionals in virtually all of our business areas. The success and growth of our business is significantly correlated with our ability to recruit, train, promote and retain high quality people at all levels of the organization. For these reasons, we endeavor to maintain competitive salary structures, incentive compensation programs, fringe benefits, opportunities for growth, and individual recognition and award programs. Fringe benefits are generally consistent across our subsidiaries, and include paid vacations, sick leave and holidays; medical, dental, disability and life insurance; tuition reimbursement for job-related education and training; and other benefits under various retirement savings and stock purchase plans.

We have published policies that set high standards for the conduct of our business. We require all of our employees, independent contractors working on client engagements, officers, and directors annually to execute and affirm to the code of ethics applicable to their activities. In addition, we require annual ethics and compliance training for all of our employees to provide them with the knowledge necessary to maintain our high standards of ethics and compliance.

Patents, Trademarks, Trade Secrets and Licenses

We own 11 patents and patent applications in the United States. While we believe our patents are valid, we do not consider that our business is dependent on patent protection in any material way. We claim copyright, trademark and other proprietary rights in a variety of intellectual property, including each of our proprietary computer software and data products and the related documentation. We presently own 22 registered trademarks and service marks and applications in the U.S. and 36 registered trademarks and service marks in other countries, primarily the U.K. All of our registered trademarks and service marks may be renewed indefinitely. In addition, we assert copyrights in essentially all of our electronic and hard copy publications, our proprietary software and data products and in software produced at the expense of the U.S. government, which rights can be maintained for up to 75 years. Because most of our business involves providing services to government entities, our operations generally are not substantially dependent upon obtaining and/or maintaining copyright or trademark protections, although our operations make use of such protections and benefit from them as discriminators in competition. We are also a party to agreements that give us the right to distribute computer software, data and other products owned by other companies, and to receive income from such distribution. As a systems integrator, it is important that we maintain access to software, data and products supplied by such third parties, but we generally have experienced little difficulty in doing so. The durations of such agreements vary according to the terms of the agreements themselves.

We maintain a number of trade secrets that contribute to our success and competitive distinction and endeavor to accord such trade secrets protection adequate to ensure their continuing availability to us. From time to time, we are required to assert our rights against former employees or other third parties who attempt to misappropriate our trade secrets and confidential information for their own personal or professional gain. We take such matters seriously and pursue claims against such individuals to the extent necessary to adequately protect our rights. While retaining protection of our trade secrets and vital confidential information is important, we are not materially dependent on maintenance of a specific trade secret.

Backlog

Our backlog as of June 30, 2012, which consists primarily of contracts with the U.S. government, was \$7.2 billion, of which \$2.0 billion was for funded orders. Total backlog as of June 30, 2011 was \$6.8 billion. We presently anticipate, based on current revenue projections, that the majority of the funded backlog as of June 30, 2012 will result in revenue during the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013.

Our backlog represents the aggregate contract revenue we estimate will be earned over the remaining life of our contracts. We include in estimated remaining contract value only the contract revenue we expect to earn over the remaining term of the contract, even in cases where more than one company is awarded work under a given

13

contract. Funded backlog is based upon amounts appropriated by a customer for payment for goods and services and as the U.S. government operates under annual appropriations, agencies of the U.S. government generally fund contracts on an incremental basis. As a result, the majority of our estimated remaining contract value is not funded backlog. The estimates used to compile remaining contract value are based on our experience under contracts, and we believe the estimates are reasonable. However, there can be no assurance that existing contracts will result in earned revenue in any future period or at all.

Business Segments, Foreign Operations, and Major Customers

Additional business segment, foreign operations and major customer information is provided in our Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Report. In particular, see Note 16, Business Segment, Customer and Geographic Information, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Revenue by Contract Type

The following information is provided on the amounts of our revenue attributable to cost reimbursable contracts, firm fixed-price contracts (including proprietary software product sales) and T&M contracts during each of the last three fiscal years:

	2012	Year ended June 30, 2011 (dollars in thousands)		2010		
Cost reimbursable	\$ 1,659,764	44.0%	\$ 1,277,326	35.7%	\$ 1,033,480	32.8%
Firm fixed-price	1,057,663	28.0	877,270	24.5	648,095	20.6
Time and materials	1,057,046	28.0	1,423,184	39.8	1,467,556	46.6
Total	\$ 3,774,473	100.0%	\$ 3,577,780	100.0%	\$ 3,149,131	100.0%

Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below, together with the information included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and other documents we file with the SEC. The risks and uncertainties described below are those that we have identified as material, but are not the only risks and uncertainties facing us. Our business is also subject to general risks and uncertainties that affect many other companies, such as overall U.S. and non-U.S. economic and industry conditions including a global economic slowdown, geopolitical events, changes in laws or accounting rules, fluctuations in interest and exchange rates, terrorism, international conflicts, major health concerns, natural disasters or other disruptions of expected economic and business conditions. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently believe are immaterial also may impair our business operations and liquidity.

We depend on contracts with the federal government for a substantial majority of our revenue, and our business could be seriously harmed if the government significantly decreased or ceased doing business with us.

We derived 94.5 percent of our total revenue in FY2012 and 94.9 percent of our total revenue in FY2011 from federal government contracts, either as a prime contractor or a subcontractor. We derived 78.0 percent of our total revenue in FY2012 and 79.9 percent of our total revenue in FY2011 from contracts with agencies of the DoD. We expect that federal government contracts will continue to be the primary source of our revenue for the foreseeable future. If we were suspended or debarred from contracting with the federal government generally, the General Services Administration, or any significant agency in the intelligence community or the DoD, or if our reputation or relationship with government agencies were to be impaired, or if the government otherwise ceased doing business with us or significantly decreased the amount of business it does with us, our business, prospects, financial condition and operating results could be materially and adversely affected.

14

Our business could be adversely affected by delays caused by our competitors protesting major contract awards received by us, resulting in the delay of the initiation of work.

It can take many months to resolve protests by one or more of our competitors of contract awards we receive. The resulting delay in the start up and funding of the work under these contracts may cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

Our business could be adversely affected by changes in budgetary priorities of the federal government.

Because we derive a substantial majority of our revenue from contracts with the federal government, we believe that the success and development of our business will continue to depend on our successful participation in federal government contract programs. Changes in federal government budgetary priorities could directly affect our financial performance. A significant decline in government expenditures, a shift of expenditures away from programs that we support or a change in federal government contracting policies could cause federal government agencies to reduce their purchases under contracts, to exercise their right to terminate contracts at any time without penalty or not to exercise options to renew contracts.

During 2011, the federal government was unable to reach agreement on budget reduction measures required by the Budget Control Act of 2011 (Budget Act) passed by Congress. Unless Congress and the Administration take further action, the Budget Act will trigger automatic reductions in both defense and discretionary spending in January 2013. While the impact of sequestration is yet to be determined, automatic across-the-board cuts would approximately double the \$487 billion top-line reduction already reflected in the defense funding over a ten-year period, with a \$52 billion reduction occurring in the government s fiscal year 2013. The resulting automatic across-the-board budget cuts in sequestration could have significant consequences to our business and industry. In December 2011, Congress passed an omnibus appropriations act for fiscal year 2012 to finance all federal government activities through September 30, 2012, the end of its fiscal year. This full year method of financing eliminated much of the uncertainty and inefficiency in procurement of products and services that characterized the government s first quarter of fiscal year 2012 when the operations of the federal government were financed through a series of continuing resolution temporary funding measures.

In years when Congress does not complete its budget process before the end of its fiscal year (September 30), government operations are funded through a continuing resolution (CR) that temporarily funds federal agencies. Recent CRs have generally provided funding at the levels provided in the previous fiscal year and have not authorized new spending initiatives. When the federal government operates under a CR, delays can occur in the procurement of products and services. Historically, such delays have not had a material effect on our business; however, should funding of the federal government by CR be prolonged or extended through the entire government 2013 fiscal year, and sequestration take place in January 2013 as part of the implementation of the Budget Act, it could have significant consequences to our business and our industry. At times, we may continue to work without funding, and use our funds, in order to meet our customer s desired delivery dates for products or services. It is uncertain at this time which of our programs funding could be reduced in future years or whether new legislation will be passed by Congress in the next fiscal year that could result in additional or alternative funding cuts.

Additionally, our business could be seriously affected if the demand for and priority of funding for combat operations in Afghanistan decreases which may reduce the demand for our services on contracts supporting some operations and maintenance activities in the DoD or if we experience an increase in set-asides for small businesses, which could result in our inability to compete directly for prime contracts.

Our federal government contracts may be terminated by the government at any time and may contain other provisions permitting the government not to continue with contract performance, and if lost contracts are not replaced, our operating results may differ materially and

adversely from those anticipated.

We derive substantially all of our revenue from federal government contracts that typically span one or more base years and one or more option years. The option periods typically cover more than half of the contract s

15

potential duration. Federal government agencies generally have the right not to exercise these option periods. In addition, our contracts typically also contain provisions permitting a government client to terminate the contract for its convenience. A decision not to exercise option periods or to terminate contracts for convenience could result in significant revenue shortfalls from those anticipated.

Federal government contracts contain numerous provisions that are unfavorable to us.

Federal government contracts contain provisions and are subject to laws and regulations that give the government rights and remedies, some of which are not typically found in commercial contracts, including allowing the government to:

cancel multi-year contracts and related orders if funds for contract performance for any subsequent year become unavailable;

claim rights in systems and software developed by us;

suspend or debar us from doing business with the federal government or with a governmental agency;

impose fines and penalties and subject us to criminal prosecution; and

control or prohibit the export of our data and technology.

If the government terminates a contract for convenience, we may recover only our incurred or committed costs, settlement expenses and profit on work completed prior to the termination. If the government terminates a contract for default, we may be unable to recover even those amounts, and instead may be liable for excess costs incurred by the government in procuring undelivered items and services from another source. Depending on the value of a contract, such termination could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated. Certain contracts also contain organizational conflict of interest (OCI) clauses that limit our ability to compete for or perform certain other contracts. OCIs arise any time we engage in activities that (i) make us unable or potentially unable to render impartial assistance or advice to the government; (ii) impair or might impair our objectivity in performing contract work; or (iii) provide us with an unfair competitive advantage. For example, when we work on the design of a particular system, we may be precluded from competing for the contract to develop and install that system. Depending upon the value of the matters affected, an OCI issue that precludes our participation in or performance of a program or contract could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

As is common with government contractors, we have experienced and continue to experience occasional performance issues under certain of our contracts. Depending upon the value of the matters affected, a performance problem that impacts our performance of a program or contract could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

If we fail to establish and maintain important relationships with government entities and agencies, our ability to successfully bid for new business may be adversely affected.

To facilitate our ability to prepare bids for new business, we rely in part on establishing and maintaining relationships with officials of various government entities and agencies. These relationships enable us to provide informal input and advice to government entities and agencies prior to the development of a formal bid. We may be unable to successfully maintain our relationships with government entities and agencies, and any failure to do so may adversely affect our ability to bid successfully for new business and could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

We derive significant revenue from contracts and task orders awarded through a competitive bidding process. If we are unable to consistently win new awards over any extended period, our business and prospects will be adversely affected.

Substantially all of our contracts and task orders with the federal government are awarded through a competitive bidding process. We expect that much of the business that we will seek in the foreseeable future will

16

continue to be awarded through competitive bidding. Budgetary pressures and changes in the procurement process have caused many government clients to increasingly purchase goods and services through IDIQ contracts, GSA schedule contracts and other government-wide acquisition contracts. These contracts, some of which are awarded to multiple contractors, have increased competition and pricing pressure, requiring that we make sustained post-award efforts to realize revenue under each such contract. In addition, in consideration of the practice of agencies awarding work under such contracts that is arguably outside the intended scope of the contracts, both the GSA and the DoD have initiated programs aimed to ensure that all work fits properly within the scope of the contract under which it is awarded. The net effect of such programs may reduce the number of bidding opportunities available to us. Moreover, even if we are highly qualified to work on a particular new contract, we might not be awarded business because of the federal government spolicy and practice of maintaining a diverse contracting base.

This competitive bidding process presents a number of risks, including the following:

we bid on programs before the completion of their design, which may result in unforeseen technological difficulties and cost overruns;

we expend substantial cost and managerial time and effort to prepare bids and proposals for contracts that we may not win;

we may be unable to estimate accurately the resources and cost structure that will be required to service any contract we win; and

we may encounter expense and delay if our competitors protest or challenge awards of contracts to us in competitive bidding, and any such protest or challenge could result in the resubmission of bids on modified specifications, or in the termination, reduction or modification of the awarded contract.

If we are unable to win particular contracts, we may be prevented from providing to clients services that are purchased under those contracts for a number of years. If we are unable to consistently win new contract awards over any extended period, our business and prospects will be adversely affected and that could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated. In addition, upon the expiration of a contract, if the client requires further services of the type provided by the contract, there is frequently a competitive rebidding process. There can be no assurance that we will win any particular bid, or that we will be able to replace business lost upon expiration or completion of a contract, and the termination or non-renewal of any of our significant contracts could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

Our business may suffer if we or our employees are unable to obtain the security clearances or other qualifications we and they need to perform services for our clients.

Many of our federal government contracts require us to have security clearances and employ personnel with specified levels of education, work experience and security clearances. Depending on the level of clearance, security clearances can be difficult and time-consuming to obtain. If we or our employees lose or are unable to obtain necessary security clearances, we may not be able to win new business and our existing clients could terminate their contracts with us or decide not to renew them. To the extent we cannot obtain or maintain the required security clearances for our employees working on a particular contract, we may not derive the revenue anticipated from the contract, which could cause our results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

We must comply with a variety of laws and regulations, and our failure to comply could cause our actual results to differ materially from those anticipated.

We must observe laws and regulations relating to the formation, administration and performance of federal government contracts which affect how we do business with our clients and may impose added costs on our business. For example, the Federal Acquisition Regulation and the industrial security regulations of the DoD and related laws include provisions that:

allow our federal government clients to terminate or not renew our contracts if we come under foreign ownership, control or influence;

17

Table of Contents

require us to divest work if an OCI related to such work cannot be mitigated to the government s satisfaction;

require us to disclose and certify cost and pricing data in connection with contract negotiations; and

require us to prevent unauthorized access to classified information.

Our failure to comply with these or other laws and regulations could result in contract termination, loss of security clearances, suspension or debarment from contracting with the federal government, civil fines and damages and criminal prosecution and penalties, any of which could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

The federal government may change its procurement or other practices in a manner adverse to us.

The federal government may change its procurement practices, such as in proposed acquisition reforms, or adopt new contracting rules and regulations, such as cost accounting standards. It could also adopt new contracting methods relating to GSA contracts or other government-wide contracts, or adopt new socio-economic requirements. In all such cases, there is uncertainty surrounding the changes and what actual impacts they may have on contractors. These changes could impair our ability to obtain new contracts or win re-competed contracts. Any new contracting methods could be costly or administratively difficult for us to satisfy and, as a result, could cause actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

Restrictions on or other changes to the federal government s use of service contracts may harm our operating results.

We derive a significant amount of revenue from service contracts with the federal government. The government may face restrictions from new legislation, regulations or government union pressures, on the nature and amount of services the government may obtain from private contractors (i.e., insourcing versus outsourcing). Any reduction in the government s use of private contractors to provide federal services could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

Our contracts and administrative processes and systems are subject to audits and cost adjustments by the federal government, which could reduce our revenue, disrupt our business or otherwise adversely affect our results of operations.

Federal government agencies, including the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA), routinely audit and investigate government contracts and government contractors—administrative processes and systems. These agencies review our performance on contracts, pricing practices, cost structure and compliance with applicable laws, regulations and standards. They also review our compliance with government regulations and policies and the adequacy of our internal control systems and policies, including our purchasing, accounting, estimating, compensation and management information processes and systems. Any costs found to be improperly allocated to a specific contract will not be reimbursed, and any such costs already reimbursed must be refunded and certain penalties may be imposed. Moreover, if any of the administrative processes and systems is found not to comply with requirements, we may be subjected to increased government scrutiny and approval that could delay or otherwise adversely affect our ability to compete for or perform contracts or collect our revenue in a timely manner. Therefore, an unfavorable outcome of an audit by the DCAA or another government agency could cause actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated. If a government investigation uncovers improper or illegal activities, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of contracts, forfeitures of profits, suspension of payments, fines and suspension or debarment

from doing business with the federal government. In addition, we could suffer serious reputational harm if allegations of impropriety were made against us. Each of these results could cause actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated. DCAA audits for costs incurred on work performed after June 30, 2005 have not yet been completed. In addition, DCAA audits for costs incurred by our recent

18

acquisitions for certain periods prior to acquisition have not yet been completed. We do not know the outcome of any existing or future audits and if any future audit adjustments significantly exceed our estimates our profitability could be adversely affected.

Failure to maintain strong relationships with other contractors could result in a decline in our revenue.

We derive substantial revenue from contracts in which we act as a subcontractor or from teaming arrangements in which we and other contractors bid on particular contracts or programs. As a subcontractor or teammate, we often lack control over fulfillment of a contract, and poor performance on the contract could impact our customer relationship, even when we perform as required. We expect to continue to depend on relationships with other contractors for a portion of our revenue in the foreseeable future. Moreover, our revenue and operating results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated if any prime contractor or teammate chose to offer directly to the client services of the type that we provide or if they team with other companies to provide those services.

We may not receive the full amounts authorized under the contracts included in our backlog, which could reduce our revenue in future periods below the levels anticipated.

Our backlog consists of funded backlog, which is based on amounts actually committed by a client for payment for goods and services, and unfunded backlog, which is based upon management s estimate of the future potential of our existing contracts and task orders, including options, to generate revenue. Our backlog may not result in actual revenue in any particular period, or at all, which could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

The maximum contract value specified under a government contract or task order awarded to us is not necessarily indicative of the revenue that we will realize under that contract. For example, we derive a substantial portion of our revenue from government contracts in which we are not the sole provider, meaning that the government could turn to other companies to fulfill the contract. We also derive revenue from IDIQ contracts, which do not require the government to purchase a pre-determined amount of goods or services under the contract. Action by the government to obtain support from other contractors or failure of the government to order the quantity of work anticipated could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

Without additional Congressional appropriations, some of the contracts included in our backlog will remain unfunded, which could significantly harm our prospects.

Although many of our federal government contracts require performance over a period of years, Congress often appropriates funds for these contracts for only one year at a time. As a result, our contracts typically are only partially funded at any point during their term, and all or some of the work intended to be performed under the contracts will remain unfunded pending subsequent Congressional appropriations and the obligation of additional funds to the contract by the procuring agency. Nevertheless, we estimate our share of the contract values, including values based on the assumed exercise of options relating to these contracts, in calculating the amount of our backlog. Because we may not receive the full amount we expect under a contract, our estimate of our backlog may be inaccurate and we may generate results that differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

Employee misconduct, including security breaches, could result in the loss of clients and our suspension or debarment from contracting with the federal government.

We may be unable to prevent our employees from engaging in misconduct, fraud or other improper activities that could adversely affect our business and reputation. Misconduct could include the failure to comply with federal government procurement regulations, regulations regarding the protection of classified information

19

and legislation regarding the pricing of labor and other costs in government contracts. Many of the systems we develop involve managing and protecting information involved in national security and other sensitive government functions. A security breach in one of these systems could prevent us from having access to such critically sensitive systems. Other examples of employee misconduct could include time card fraud and violations of the Anti-Kickback Act. The precautions we take to prevent and detect this activity may not be effective, and we could face unknown risks or losses. As a result of employee misconduct, we could face fines and penalties, loss of security clearance and suspension or debarment from contracting with the federal government, which could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

Our failure to attract and retain qualified employees, including our senior management team, could adversely affect our business.

Our continued success depends to a substantial degree on our ability to recruit and retain the technically skilled personnel we need to serve our clients effectively. Our business involves the development of tailored solutions for our clients, a process that relies heavily upon the expertise and services of our employees. Accordingly, our employees are our most valuable resource. Competition for skilled personnel in the information technology services industry is intense, and technology service companies often experience high attrition among their skilled employees. There is a shortage of people capable of filling these positions and they are likely to remain a limited resource for the foreseeable future. Recruiting and training these personnel require substantial resources. Our failure to attract and retain technical personnel could increase our costs of performing our contractual obligations, reduce our ability to efficiently satisfy our clients needs, limit our ability to win new business and cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

In addition to attracting and retaining qualified technical personnel, we believe that our success will depend on the continued employment of our senior management team and its ability to generate new business and execute projects successfully. Our senior management team is very important to our business because personal reputations and individual business relationships are a critical element of obtaining and maintaining client engagements in our industry, particularly with agencies performing classified operations. The loss of any of our senior executives could cause us to lose client relationships or new business opportunities, which could cause actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

Our markets are highly competitive, and many of the companies we compete against have substantially greater resources.

The markets in which we operate include a large number of participants and are highly competitive. Many of our competitors may compete more effectively than we can because they are larger, better financed and better known companies than we are. In order to stay competitive in our industry, we must also keep pace with changing technologies and client preferences. If we are unable to differentiate our services from those of our competitors, our revenue may decline. In addition, our competitors have established relationships among themselves or with third parties to increase their ability to address client needs. As a result, new competitors or alliances among competitors may emerge and compete more effectively than we can. There is also a significant industry trend towards consolidation, which may result in the emergence of companies which are better able to compete against us. The results of these competitive pressures could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

Our quarterly revenue and operating results could be volatile due to the unpredictability of the federal government s budgeting process and policy priorities.

Our quarterly revenue and operating results may fluctuate significantly and unpredictably in the future. In particular, if the federal government does not adopt, or delays adoption of, a budget for each fiscal year beginning on October 1, or fails to pass a continuing resolution, federal

agencies may be forced to suspend our contracts and delay the award of new and follow-on contracts and orders due to a lack of funding. Further, the

Table of Contents

rate at which the federal government procures technology may be negatively affected following changes in presidential administrations and senior government officials. Therefore, period-to-period comparisons of our operating results may not be a good indication of our future performance.

Our quarterly operating results may not meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors, which in turn may have an adverse effect on the market price of our common stock.

We may lose money or generate less than anticipated profits if we do not accurately estimate the cost of an engagement which is conducted on a fixed-price basis.

We perform a portion of our engagements on a variety of fixed-price contract vehicles. We derived 28.0 percent of our total revenue in FY2012 and 24.5 percent of our total revenue in FY2011 from fixed-price contracts. Fixed-price contracts require us to price our contracts by predicting our expenditures in advance. In addition, some of our engagements obligate us to provide ongoing maintenance and other supporting or ancillary services on a fixed-price basis or with limitations on our ability to increase prices. Many of our engagements are also on a T&M basis. While these types of contracts are generally subject to less uncertainty than fixed-price contracts, to the extent that our actual labor costs are higher than the contract rates, our actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

When making proposals for engagements on a fixed-price basis, we rely on our estimates of costs and timing for completing the projects. These estimates reflect our best judgment regarding our capability to complete the task efficiently. Any increased or unexpected costs or unanticipated delays in connection with the performance of fixed-price contracts, including delays caused by factors outside our control, could make these contracts less profitable or unprofitable. From time to time, unexpected costs and unanticipated delays have caused us to incur losses on fixed-price contracts, primarily in connection with state government clients. On rare occasions, these losses have been significant. In the event that we encounter such problems in the future, our actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

Our earnings and margins may vary based on the mix of our contracts and programs.

At June 30, 2012, our backlog included cost reimbursable, T&M and fixed-price contracts. Cost reimbursable and T&M contracts generally have lower profit margins than fixed-price contracts. Our earnings and margins may vary materially and adversely depending on the types of long-term government contracts undertaken, the costs incurred in their performance, the achievement of other performance objectives and the stage of performance at which the right to receive fees, particularly under incentive and award fee contracts, is finally determined.

Systems failures may disrupt our business and have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Any systems failures, including network, software or hardware failures, whether caused by us, a third party service provider, unauthorized intruders and hackers, computer viruses, natural disasters, power shortages or terrorist attacks, could cause loss of data or interruptions or delays in our business or that of our clients. In addition, the failure or disruption of our mail, communications or utilities could cause us to interrupt or suspend our operations or otherwise harm our business. Our property and business interruption insurance may be inadequate to compensate us for all losses that may occur as a result of any system or operational failure or disruption and, as a result, our actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

The systems and networks that we maintain for our clients, although highly redundant in their design, could also fail. If a system or network we maintain were to fail or experience service interruptions, we might experience loss of revenue or face claims for damages or contract termination. Our errors and omissions liability insurance may be inadequate to compensate us for all the damages that we might incur and, as a result, our actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

21

We may have difficulty identifying and executing acquisitions on favorable terms and therefore may grow at slower than anticipated rates.

One of our key growth strategies has been to selectively pursue acquisitions. Through acquisitions, we have expanded our base of federal government clients, increased the range of solutions we offer to our clients and deepened our penetration of existing markets and clients. We may encounter difficulty identifying and executing suitable acquisitions. To the extent that management is involved in identifying acquisition opportunities or integrating new acquisitions into our business, our management may be diverted from operating our core business. Without acquisitions, we may not grow as rapidly as the market expects, which could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated. We may encounter other risks in executing our acquisition strategy, including:

increased competition for acquisitions may increase the costs of our acquisitions;

our failure to discover material liabilities during the due diligence process, including the failure of prior owners of any acquired businesses or their employees to comply with applicable laws or regulations, such as the Federal Acquisition Regulation and health, safety and environmental laws, or their failure to fulfill their contractual obligations to the federal government or other customers; and

acquisition financing may not be available on reasonable terms or at all.

Each of these types of risks could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

We may have difficulty integrating the operations of any companies we acquire, which could cause actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

The success of our acquisition strategy will depend upon our ability to continue to successfully integrate any businesses we may acquire in the future. The integration of these businesses into our operations may result in unforeseen operating difficulties, absorb significant management attention and require significant financial resources that would otherwise be available for the ongoing development of our business. These integration difficulties include the integration of personnel with disparate business backgrounds, the transition to new information systems, coordination of geographically dispersed organizations, loss of key employees of acquired companies, and reconciliation of different corporate cultures. For these or other reasons, we may be unable to retain key clients of acquired companies. Moreover, any acquired business may fail to generate the revenue or net income we expected or produce the efficiencies or cost-savings we anticipated. Any of these outcomes could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

If our subcontractors fail to perform their contractual obligations, our performance as a prime contractor and our ability to obtain future business could be materially and adversely impacted and our actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

Our performance of government contracts may involve the issuance of subcontracts to other companies upon which we rely to perform all or a portion of the work we are obligated to deliver to our clients. A failure by one or more of our subcontractors to satisfactorily deliver on a timely basis the agreed-upon supplies, perform the agreed-upon services, or appropriately manage their vendors may materially and adversely impact our ability to perform our obligations as a prime contractor.

A subcontractor s performance deficiency could result in the government terminating our contract for default. A default termination could expose us to liability for excess costs of reprocurement by the government and have a material adverse effect on our ability to compete for future contracts and task orders. Depending upon the level of problem experienced, such problems with subcontractors could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

The federal government s appropriation process and other factors may delay the collection of our receivables, and our business may be adversely affected if we cannot collect our receivables in a timely manner.

We depend on the collection of our receivables to generate cash flow, provide working capital, pay debt and continue our business operations. If the federal government, any of our other clients or any prime contractor for whom we are a subcontractor fails to pay or delays the payment of their outstanding invoices for any reason, our business and financial condition may be materially and adversely affected. The government may fail to pay outstanding invoices for a number of reasons, including lack of appropriated funds or lack of an approved budget. In addition, the DCAA may revoke our direct billing privileges, which would adversely affect our ability to collect our receivables in a timely manner. Contracting officers have the authority to impose contractual withholdings, which can also adversely affect our ability to collect timely. A new Defense Federal Acquisition Regulations interim rule became effective May 18, 2011, applying to solicitations issued on or after that date, requiring DoD contracting officers to impose contractual withholdings at no less than certain minimum levels if a contracting officer determines that one or more of a contractor s business systems have one or more significant deficiencies. On February 24, 2012, a final DFARS rule became effective, with no substantive changes from the interim rule. Some prime contractors for whom we are a subcontractor have significantly less financial resources than we do, which may increase the risk that we may not be paid in full or payment may be delayed. If we experience difficulties collecting receivables, it could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

We have substantial investments in recorded goodwill as a result of prior acquisitions, and changes in future business conditions could cause these investments to become impaired, requiring substantial write-downs that would reduce our operating income.

Goodwill accounts for \$1.4 billion of our recorded total assets. We evaluate the recoverability of recorded goodwill amounts annually, or when evidence of potential impairment exists. The annual impairment test is based on several factors requiring judgment. Principally, a decrease in expected reporting unit cash flows or changes in market conditions may indicate potential impairment of recorded goodwill. If there is an impairment, we would be required to write down the recorded amount of goodwill, which would be reflected as a charge against operating income.

Our operations involve several risks and hazards, including potential dangers to our employees and to third parties that are inherent in aspects of our federal business (i.e., counterterrorism training services). If these risks and hazards are not adequately insured, it could adversely affect our operating results.

Our federal business includes the maintenance of global networks and the provision of special operations services (i.e., counterterrorism training) that require us to dispatch employees to various countries around the world. These countries may be experiencing political upheaval or unrest, and in some cases war or terrorism. It is possible that certain of our employees or executives will suffer injury or bodily harm, or be killed or kidnapped in the course of these deployments. We could also encounter unexpected costs for reasons beyond our control in connection with the repatriation of our employees or executives. Any of these types of accidents or other incidents could involve significant potential claims of employees, executives and/or third parties who are injured or killed or who may have wrongful death or similar claims against us.

We maintain insurance policies that mitigate against risk and potential liabilities related to our operations. This insurance is maintained in amounts that we believe are reasonable. However, our insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover those claims or liabilities, and we may be forced to bear significant costs from an accident or incident. Substantial claims in excess of our related insurance coverage could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

Our failure to adequately protect our confidential information and proprietary rights may harm our competitive position.

Our success depends, in part, upon our ability to protect our proprietary information and other intellectual property. Although our employees are subject to confidentiality obligations, this protection may be inadequate to deter misappropriation of our confidential information. In addition, we may be unable to detect unauthorized use of our intellectual property in order to take appropriate steps to enforce our rights. If we are unable to prevent third parties from infringing or misappropriating our copyrights, trademarks or other proprietary information, our competitive position could be harmed and our actual results could differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

We face additional risks which could harm our business because we have international operations.

We conduct the majority of our international operations in the United Kingdom and the Netherlands. Our U.K.-based operations comprised 3.0 percent of our revenue in FY2012 and 3.3 percent of our revenue in FY2011. Our U.K.-based operations are subject to risks associated with operating in a foreign country. These risks include fluctuations in the value of the British pound and the Euro, longer payment cycles, changes in foreign tax laws and regulations and unexpected legislative, regulatory, economic or political changes.

Our U.K.-based operations are also subject to risks associated with operating a commercial as opposed to a government contracting business, including the effects of general economic conditions in the United Kingdom on the telecommunications, computer software and computer services sectors and the impact of more concentrated and intense competition for the reduced volume of work available in those sectors. We are marketing our services to clients in industries that are new to us and our efforts in that regard may be unsuccessful. Other factors that may adversely affect our international operations are difficulties relating to managing our business internationally, integrating recent acquisitions, multiple tax structures and adverse changes in foreign exchange rates. Any of these factors could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.

Our business could be adversely affected by the outcome of the various investigations/proceedings regarding our interrogation services work in Iraq.

In May 2004, press accounts disclosed an internal U.S. government report, the Taguba Report, which, among other things, alleged that one of our employees was involved in the alleged mistreatment of Iraqi prisoners at the Abu Ghraib facility. Another government report, the Jones/Fay Report, alleged that three of our employees, including the employee identified in the Taguba Report, acted improperly in performing their assigned duties in Iraq. The Jones/Fay Report included a recommendation that the information in the report regarding these employees be forwarded to the General Counsel of the U.S. Army for determination of whether each of them should be referred to the U.S. Department of Justice for prosecution and to the contracting officer for appropriate contractual action. Our investigation into these matters has not to date confirmed the allegations of abuse contained in either the Taguba Report or the Jones/Fay Report. To date, no charges have been brought by the government against us or any of our employees in connection with the Abu Ghraib allegations.

The results of the investigations and proceedings regarding our interrogation services in Iraq could affect our relationships with our clients and could cause our actual results to differ materially and adversely from those anticipated.


Our senior secured credit facility (the Credit Facility) imposes certain restrictions on our ability to take certain actions which may have an impact on our business, operating results and financial condition.

The Credit Facility imposes certain operating and financial restrictions on us and requires us to meet certain financial tests. These restrictions may significantly limit or prohibit us from engaging in certain transactions, including the following:

incurring or guaranteeing certain amounts of additional debt;

24

Table of Contents



The failure to comply with any of these covenants would cause a default under the Credit Facility. A default, if not waived, could cause our debt to become immediately due and payable. In such situations, we may not be able to repay our debt or borrow sufficient funds to refinance it, and even if new financing is available, it may not contain terms that are acceptable to us.

Despite our outstanding debt, we may incur additional indebtedness.

The Credit Facility consists of a \$600.0 million revolving credit facility and a \$150.0 million term loan facility. At June 30, 2012, \$125.0 million was outstanding under the revolving credit facility and \$138.8 million was outstanding under the term loan. In addition, we have \$300.0 million outstanding under our convertible senior subordinated notes due 2014 (the Notes). We are able to incur additional debt in the future by drawing down on the unused portion of the revolving credit facility and have flexibility under the Credit Facility to increase the term loan facility or the revolving credit facility in an aggregate amount up to \$300.0 million with applicable lender approvals. In addition, the terms of the Credit Facility allow us to incur additional indebtedness from other sources so long as we satisfy the covenants in the agreement governing the Credit Facility. If new debt is added to our current debt levels, the risks related to our ability to service that debt could increase.

Servicing our debt requires a significant amount of cash, and we may not have sufficient cash flow from our business to pay our substantial debt.

Interest payments on the Notes are due each May and November and the outstanding principal amount comes due in May 2014. The Credit Facility expires in November 2016. Principal payments under the term loan facility are due in quarterly installments. Our business may not generate cash flow from operations sufficient to service our debt and make necessary capital expenditures. If we are unable to generate such cash flow, we may be required to adopt one or more alternatives, such as selling assets, restructuring debt or obtaining additional equity capital on terms that may be onerous or highly dilutive.

A change in control or fundamental change may adversely affect us.

The Credit Facility provides that certain change in control events with respect to us will constitute a default. Certain fundamental changes, as defined under the Notes, will constitute a change of control under the Credit Facility, and therefore will constitute a default under such facility. Furthermore, the fundamental change provisions, including the provisions requiring the increase to the conversion rate for conversions under the Notes in connection with certain fundamental changes, may in certain circumstances make more difficult or discourage a takeover of our company and the removal of incumbent management.

The conditional conversion features of the Notes, if triggered, may adversely affect our financial condition and operating results.

In the event the conditional conversion features of the Notes are triggered, holders of the Notes will be entitled to convert the Notes at any time during specified periods at their option. If one or more holders elect to

25

Table of Contents

convert their notes, we would be required to settle any converted principal through the payment of cash, which could adversely affect our liquidity. In addition, even if holders do not elect to convert their notes, we could be required under applicable accounting rules to reclassify all or a portion of the outstanding principal of the Notes as a current rather than long-term liability, which would result in a material reduction of our net working capital. As of June 30, 2012, we had \$475.0 million available under our revolving credit facility, which we could use to satisfy payment obligations arising from conversions of the Notes. However, there can be no assurance that all or any portion of this facility will be available at the time any such conversion obligations arise. Our failure to pay the required cash upon conversion as required under the Notes would constitute an event of default which, if not waived, would result in the immediate acceleration of our payment obligations under all of the Notes. Any such default would also result in an event of default under the Credit Facility. In such a situation, we may not be able to repay our debt or borrow sufficient funds to refinance it, and, even if new financing is available, it may be available on terms less favorable than the terms of our existing debt and, potentially, on terms that are unacceptable to us. A material deterioration in our financial condition or operating results could inhibit our access to additional investment capital and may cause the price of our common stock to decline.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments	
None.	

Item 2. Properties

As of June 30, 2012, we leased office space at 120 U.S. locations containing an aggregate of approximately 2.2 million square feet located in 30 states and the District of Columbia. In five countries outside the U.S., we leased office space at 16 locations containing an aggregate of approximately 83,000 square feet. Our leases expire primarily within the next five years, with the exception of seven leases in Northern Virginia and three leases outside of Northern Virginia, which will expire within the next six to 10 years. We anticipate that most of these leases will be renewed or replaced by other leases. All of our offices are in reasonably modern and well-maintained buildings. The facilities are substantially utilized and adequate for present operations.

We maintain our corporate headquarters in approximately 117,000 square feet of space at 1100 North Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia. See Note 14, Leases, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding our lease commitments.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Al Shimari, et al. v. L-3 Services, Inc. et al.

On June 30, 2008, Plaintiff Al Shimari filed a twenty-count complaint in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio. Plaintiff Al Shimari is an Iraqi who claims that he suffered significant physical injury and emotional distress while held at Abu Ghraib prison in Iraq. The lawsuit names CACI International Inc, CACI Premier Technology, Inc. and former CACI employee Timothy Dugan as Defendants, along with L-3 Services, Inc. The complaint alleges that the Defendants conspired with U.S. military personnel to engage in illegal treatment of Iraqi detainees. The complaint does not allege any interaction between Plaintiff Al Shimari and any CACI employee. Plaintiff Al Shimari seeks, inter alia, compensatory damages, punitive damages, and attorney s fees. On August 8, 2008, the court granted CACI s motion to transfer the action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. Thereafter, an amended complaint was filed adding three plaintiffs.

On September 12, 2008, Mr. Dugan was dismissed from the case without prejudice. On October 2, 2008, CACI filed a motion to dismiss the case. CACI also moved to stay discovery pending further proceedings. The court granted CACI s motion to stay discovery. On March 18, 2009, the court granted in part and denied in part CACI s motion to dismiss. On March 23, 2009, CACI filed a notice of appeal with respect to the March 18, 2009 decision. Plaintiffs filed a motion to strike CACI s notice of appeal and a motion to lift the stay on discovery. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia denied both motions. On April 27, 2009, Plaintiffs filed a motion to dismiss the appeal in the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit.

26

Table of Contents

The United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit deferred any ruling on Plaintiffs motion and issued a briefing schedule. Plaintiffs filed a notice of cross-appeal, which CACI moved to dismiss. The Court of Appeals dismissed the Plaintiffs cross-appeal. On October 26, 2010, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit heard oral argument in the appeal and took the matter under advisement. On September 21, 2011, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit reversed the decision of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia and remanded the action with instructions to dismiss the action. On October 5, 2011, Plaintiffs filed a petition for a rehearing en banc, which the Court of Appeals granted. The Court of Appeals also invited the United States to participate in the en banc rehearing of the appeal as amicus curiae. The United States participated in that capacity in the en banc rehearing. On January 27, 2012, the Court of Appeals, sitting en banc, heard oral argument. On May 11, 2012, the Court of Appeals, in a 11-3 decision, held that it lacked jurisdiction over the appeal and dismissed the appeal. The action has returned to the district court for further proceedings.

The Al Shimari case is the last of eight cases naming CACI as a defendant in lawsuits in which Plaintiffs have sought damages relating to alleged activities at the Abu Ghraib prison. All of the other cases have been dismissed.

We are vigorously defending the above-described legal proceeding, and, based on our present knowledge of the facts, believe the lawsuit is completely without merit.

Item 4. [Reserved.]

27

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol CACI.

The ranges of high and low sales prices of our common stock quoted on the New York Stock Exchange for each quarter during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	20	12	20	11
Quarter	High	Low	High	Low
1 st	\$ 66.49	\$ 46.63	\$ 48.70	\$ 40.00
2 nd	\$ 59.45	\$ 46.36	\$ 54.11	\$ 43.61
3 rd	\$ 63.11	\$ 54.95	\$ 62.75	\$ 50.91
4 th	\$ 63.02	\$41.29	\$ 64.40	\$ 58.15

We have never paid a cash dividend. Our present policy is to retain earnings to provide funds for the operation and expansion of our business. We do not intend to pay any cash dividends at this time. The Board of Directors will determine whether to pay dividends in the future based on conditions then existing, including our earnings, financial condition and capital requirements, as well as economic and other conditions as the board may deem relevant. In addition, our ability to declare and pay dividends on our common stock is restricted by the provisions of Delaware law and covenants in the Credit Facility.

As of August 24, 2012, the number of stockholders of record of our common stock was approximately 325. The number of stockholders of record is not representative of the number of beneficial stockholders due to the fact that many shares are held by depositories, brokers, or nominees.

The following table provides certain information with respect to our purchases of shares of CACI International Inc s common stock during the three months ended June 30, 2012:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Avera; Price Paid Per S)	Total Number of Shares Purchased As Part of Publicly Announced Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(1)
April 2012	Turchasea	\$	Jilai C	Trograms	1 rograms(1)
May 2012		Ψ			
June 2012	2,000,000	5	1.43	2,000,000	2,000,000
Total	2,000,000	\$ 5	1.43	2,000,000	, ,

(1) In June 2012, our Board of Directors authorized a stock repurchase program (the Program) under which we could repurchase up to 4 million shares of our common stock, where the total expenditure for the purchase of shares under the Program did not exceed \$240.0 million. The remaining 2 million shares as of June 30, 2012 were repurchased in July 2012. The average price for all 4 million shares repurchased under the Program was \$53.72 per share.

28

The following graph compares the cumulative 5-year total return to shareholders on CACI International Inc s common stock relative to the cumulative total returns of the Russell 1000 index and the Dow Jones U.S. Computer Services Total Stock Market index. The graph assumes that the value of the investment in our common stock and in each of the indexes (including reinvestment of dividends) was \$100 on June 30, 2007 and tracks it through June 30, 2012.

Comparison of Five Year Cumulative Total Returns

Performance Graph for

CACI International Inc

		June 30 ,					
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
CACI International Inc	100.00	93.69	87.44	86.96	129.12	112.61	
Russell 1000	100.00	87.64	64.25	74.03	97.12	101.37	
Dow Jones U.S. Computer Services Total Stock Market	100.00	106.38	93.19	114.46	160.45	179.71	

The stock price performance included in this graph is not necessarily indicative of future stock price performance.

29

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The selected financial data set forth below is derived from our audited financial statements for each of the fiscal years in the five year period ended June 30, 2012. This information should be read in conjunction with Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, included in Part II in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Income Statement Data

	Year ended June 30,									
		2012		2011 (amounts	2010 s in thousands, exc		2009 (As Adjusted(1)) rept per share data)		A	2008 (As .djusted(1))
Revenue	\$3	,774,473	\$ 3	,577,780	\$	3,149,131	\$	2,730,162	\$	2,420,537
Costs of revenue	3	,474,624	3	,326,379		2,954,349		2,546,048		2,257,708
Net income attributable to CACI		167,454		144,218		106,515		89,698		77,935
Earnings per common share and common share equivalent: Basic:										
Weighted-average shares outstanding		27,077		30,281		30,138		29,976		30,058
Earnings per share	\$	6.18	\$	4.76	\$		\$	2.99	\$	2.59
Diluted:										
Weighted-average shares and equivalent shares										
outstanding		28,111		31,300		30,676		30,427		30,606
Earnings per share	\$	5.96	\$	4.61	\$	3.47	\$	2.95	\$	2.55

Balance Sheet Data

	Year ended June 30,								
	2012	2011	2010	2009 (As Adjusted(1))	2008 (As Adjusted(1))				
			(amounts in thousa	nds)					
Total assets	\$ 2,392,876	\$ 2,320,131	\$ 2,244,766	\$ 2,006,079	\$ 1,892,222				
Long-term liabilities	743,502	573,294	413,188	658,567	642,886				
Working capital	200,863	344,857	182,323	406,928	312,555				
Shareholders equity	1,164,445	1,309,616	1,173,155	1,029,608	959,067				

⁽¹⁾ Certain amounts as of and for the years ended June 30, 2008 and June 30, 2009 have been adjusted to reflect the retroactive application of new accounting standards. See Note 3 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2011 for additional information.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition & Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is provided to enhance the understanding of, and should be read together with, our consolidated financial statements and the notes to those statements that appear elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Unless otherwise specifically noted, all years refer to our fiscal year which ends on June 30.

30

Overview

We are a leading provider of information solutions and services to the U.S. government. We derived 94.5 percent of our revenue during the year ended June 30, 2012 from contracts with U.S. government agencies, including 78.0 percent from DoD customers and 16.5 percent from U.S. federal civilian agency customers including the Department of Homeland Security. We also provide services to state and local governments and commercial customers.

For the year ended June 30, 2012, 88.4 percent of our revenue was from contracts where we were the lead, or prime, contractor. Our contract base has approximately 625 active contracts and 1,800 active task orders. We have a diverse mix of contract types, with 44.0 percent, 28.0 percent, and 28.0 percent of our revenue for the year ended June 30, 2012, derived from cost-reimbursable, T&M and fixed-price contracts, respectively. We generally do not pursue fixed-price software development contracts that may create financial risk.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties, and potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. Application of these policies is particularly important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations. The following are considered our critical accounting policies:

Revenue Recognition/Contract Accounting

We generate almost all of our revenue from three different types of contractual arrangements: cost-plus-fee contracts, T&M contracts, and fixed-price contracts. Revenue on cost-plus-fee contracts is recognized to the extent of allowable costs incurred plus an estimate of the applicable fees earned. We consider fixed fees under cost-plus-fee contracts to be earned in proportion to the allowable costs incurred in performance of the contract. For cost-plus-fee contracts that include performance based fee incentives, and that are subject to the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Section 605-35, *Revenue Recognition Construction-Type and Production-Type Contracts* (ASC 605-35), we recognize the relevant portion of the expected fee to be awarded by the customer at the time such fee can be reasonably estimated, based on factors such as our prior award experience and communications with the customer regarding performance. For such cost-plus-fee contracts subject to the provisions of ASC 605-10-S99, *Revenue Recognition SEC Materials* (ASC 605-10-S99), we recognize the relevant portion of the fee upon customer approval. Revenue on T&M contracts is recognized to the extent of billable rates times hours delivered for services provided, to the extent of material cost for products delivered to customers, and to the extent of expenses incurred on behalf of the customers. Shipping and handling fees charged to the customers are recognized as revenue at the time products are delivered to the customers.

We have four basic categories of fixed price contracts: fixed unit price, fixed price-level of effort, fixed price-completion, and fixed price-license. Revenue on fixed unit price contracts, where specified units of output under service arrangements are delivered, is recognized as units are delivered based on the specified price per unit. Revenue on fixed unit price maintenance contracts is recognized ratably over the length of the service period. Revenue for fixed price-level of effort contracts is recognized based upon the number of units of labor actually delivered multiplied by the agreed rate for each unit of labor.

A significant portion of our fixed price-completion contracts involve the design and development of complex client systems. For these contracts that are within the scope of ASC 605-35, revenue is recognized on the percentage of completion method using costs incurred in relation to total

estimated costs. For fixed price-completion contracts that are not within the scope of ASC 605-35, revenue is generally recognized ratably over the service period. Our fixed price-license agreements and related services contracts are primarily executed in our international operations. As the agreements to deliver software require significant production, modification or customization of software, revenue is recognized using the contract accounting guidance of ASC 605-35. For agreements to deliver data under license and related services, revenue is recognized as the data is delivered and

Table of Contents

services are performed. Except for losses on contracts accounted for under ASC 605-10-S99, provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are recorded in the period such losses are determined. Losses on contracts accounted for under ASC 605-10-S99 are recognized as the services and materials are provided.

Our contracts may include the provision of more than one of our services. In these situations, revenue recognition includes the proper identification of separate units of accounting and the allocation of revenue across all elements based on relative fair values, with proper consideration given to the guidance provided by other authoritative literature.

Contract accounting requires judgment relative to assessing risks, estimating contract revenue and costs, and making assumptions for schedule and technical issues. Due to the size and nature of many of our contracts, the estimation of total revenue and cost at completion is complicated and subject to many variables. Contract costs include material, labor, subcontracting costs, and other direct costs, as well as an allocation of allowable indirect costs. Assumptions have to be made regarding the length of time to complete the contract because costs also include expected increases in wages and prices for materials. For contract change orders, claims or similar items, we apply judgment in estimating the amounts and assessing the potential for realization. These amounts are only included in contract value when they can be reliably estimated and realization is considered probable. Incentives or penalties related to performance on contracts are considered in estimating sales and profit rates, and are recorded when there is sufficient information for us to assess anticipated performance. Estimates of award fees for certain contracts may also be a factor in estimating revenue and profit rates based on actual and anticipated awards.

Long-term development and production contracts make up a large portion of our business, and therefore the amounts we record in our financial statements using contract accounting methods are material. For our federal contracts, we follow U.S. government procurement and accounting standards in assessing the allowability and the allocability of costs to contracts. Due to the significance of the judgments and estimation processes, it is likely that materially different amounts could be recorded if we used different assumptions or if the underlying circumstances were to change. We closely monitor compliance with, and the consistent application of, our critical accounting policies related to contract accounting. Business operations personnel conduct periodic contract status and performance reviews. When adjustments in estimated contract revenue or costs are required, any significant changes from prior estimates are included in earnings in the current period. Also, regular and recurring evaluations of contract cost, scheduling and technical matters are performed by management personnel who are independent from the business operations personnel performing work under the contract. Costs incurred and allocated to contracts with the U.S. government are scrutinized for compliance with regulatory standards by our personnel, and are subject to audit by the DCAA.

From time to time, we may proceed with work based on client direction prior to the completion and signing of formal contract documents. We have a formal review process for approving any such work. Revenue associated with such work is recognized only when it can be reliably estimated and realization is probable. We base our estimates on previous experiences with the client, communications with the client regarding funding status, and our knowledge of available funding for the contract or program.

Costs of Revenue

Costs of revenue include all direct contract costs as well as indirect overhead costs and selling, general and administrative expenses that are allowable and allocable to contracts under federal procurement standards. Costs of revenue also include costs and expenses that are unallowable under applicable procurement standards, and thus are not allocable to contracts for billing purposes. Such costs and expenses do not directly generate revenue, but are necessary for business operations.

Allowance For Doubtful Accounts

Management establishes bad debt reserves against certain billed receivables based upon the latest information available to determine whether invoices are ultimately collectible. Whenever judgment is involved in

32

determining the estimates, there is the potential for bad debt expense and the fair value of accounts receivable to be misstated. Given that we primarily serve the U.S. government and that, in our opinion, we have sufficient controls in place to properly recognize revenue, we believe the risk to be relatively low that a misstatement of accounts receivable would have a material impact on our financial results. Accounts receivable balances are written-off when the balance is deemed uncollectible after exhausting all reasonable means of collection.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of identifiable net assets acquired in business combinations. Goodwill and intangible assets acquired in a business combination and determined to have an indefinite useful life are not amortized, but instead tested for impairment at least annually or if impairment indicators are present. The evaluation includes comparing the fair value of the relevant reporting unit to the carrying value, including goodwill, of such unit. If the fair value exceeds the carrying value, no impairment loss is recognized. However, if the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the goodwill of the reporting unit may be impaired. Impairment is measured by comparing the derived fair value of the goodwill to its carrying value. Separately identifiable intangible assets with estimable useful lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values, and reviewed for impairment if impairment indicators are present.

We have two reporting units domestic operations and international operations. Our reporting units are the same as our operating segments. Approximately 94 percent of our goodwill is attributable to our domestic operations. We estimate the fair value of our reporting units using both an income approach and a market approach. The valuation process considers our estimates of the future operating performance of each reporting unit. Companies in similar industries are researched and analyzed and we consider the domestic and international economic and financial market conditions, both in general and specific to the industry in which we operate, prevailing as of the valuation date. The income approach utilizes discounted cash flows. We calculate a weighted average cost of capital for each reporting unit in order to estimate the discounted cash flows. We perform our annual testing for impairment of goodwill and other indefinite life intangible assets as of June 30 of each year. The fair value of each of our reporting units as of June 30, 2012 exceeded its carrying value. Changes in estimates and assumptions made in the goodwill assessment could effect the estimated fair value of one or both reporting units.

Stock-Based Compensation

Under our 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, we issue equity instruments on an annual basis to our directors and key employees. These instruments may take the form of, among others, shares of restricted stock, restricted stock units (RSUs), stock settled stock appreciation rights (SSARs) and non-qualified stock options (NQSOs). We also issue equity instruments in the form of RSUs under our Management Stock Purchase Plan and Director Stock Purchase Plan.

We account for share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock awards and purchases under employee stock purchase plans, in accordance with ASC 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation*, which requires that share-based payments (to the extent they are compensatory) be recognized in our consolidated statements of operations based on their fair values. We determine the fair value of our NQSOs and SSARs at the date of grant using option-pricing models such as the Black-Scholes or binomial lattice model. We determine the fair value of our market-based and performance-based RSUs at the date of grant using generally accepted valuation techniques and the closing market price of our stock. Stock-based compensation cost is recognized as expense over the requisite service period.

Under the terms of the various equity instrument agreements, vesting of awards may accelerate to varying degrees based on the age of the grantee and the type of equity instrument. Depending on the instrument, vesting may accelerate upon retirement at either age 62 or 65 with the

amount of acceleration based on the length of service provided.

33

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth the relative percentages that certain items of expense and earnings bear to revenue.

Consolidated Statements of Operations

Years ended June 30,

	2012	2011				Year to Year Ch								
	2012	Dollars	2010		ercentages	2010	Dollars	Percent	2010 to Dollars	Percent				
		2011415			mounts in t	thousands)		10100110	Domino	1 01 00110				
Revenue	\$ 3,774,473	\$ 3,577,780	\$ 3,149,131	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	\$ 196,693	5.5%	\$ 428,649	13.6%				
Costs of revenue														
Direct costs	2,598,890	2,528,660	2,207,574	68.9	70.7	70.1	70,230	2.8	321,086	14.5				
Indirect costs and selling expenses	819,772	741,652	693,736	21.7	20.7	22.0	78,120	10.5	47,916	6.9				
Depreciation and amortization	55,962	56,067	53,039	1.5	1.6	1.7	(105)	(0.2)	3,028	5.7				
Total costs of revenue	3,474,624	3,326,379	2,954,349	92.1	93.0	93.8	148,245	4.5	372,030	12.6				
Total costs of revenue	3,171,021	3,320,377	2,73 1,3 17	72.1	75.0	75.0	1 10,2 15	1.5	372,030	12.0				
Income from operations	299,849	251,401	194,782	7.9	7.0	6.2	48,448	19.3	56,619	29.1				
Interest expense and other, net	24,101	23,144	26,353	0.6	0.6	0.2	957	4.1	(3,209)					
interest expense and other, net	24,101	23,144	20,333	0.0	0.0	0.9	931	4.1	(3,209)	(12.2)				
	277.740	220 257	160 120	7.0	<i>C</i> 1	5.0	47, 401	20.0	50.020	25.5				
Income before income taxes	275,748	228,257	168,429	7.3	6.4	5.3	47,491	20.8	59,828	35.5				
Income taxes	107,537	83,105	61,171	2.9	2.4	1.9	24,432	29.4	21,934	35.9				
Net income including portion														
attributable to noncontrolling														
interest in earnings of joint														
venture	168,211	145,152	107,258	4.4	4.0	3.4	23,059	15.9	37,894	35.3				
Noncontrolling interest in earnings														
of joint venture	(757)	(934)	(743)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	177	(19.0)	(191)	25.7				
Net income attributable to CACI	\$ 167,454	\$ 144,218	\$ 106,515	4.4%	4.0%	3.4%	\$ 23,236	16.1%	\$ 37,703	35.4%				

Revenue

For FY2012, our total revenue increased by \$196.7 million, or 5.5 percent. Approximately 2.4 percent, or \$87.0 million, of revenue growth was organic and resulted from an increase in services and solutions provided to a broad base of DoD, intelligence, and federal civilian agency customers. The remaining 3.1 percent increase, or \$109.7 million, was from acquisitions completed in FY2012 and FY2011.

During FY2011, total revenue increased by \$428.6 million, or 13.6 percent. Approximately 11.6 percent, or \$364.5 million, of revenue growth was organic and resulted primarily from increases in services and solutions provided to our DoD, intelligence and federal civilian agency customers. The remaining 2.0 percent, or \$64.1 million, of the FY2011 revenue growth was generated by acquisitions completed in FY2011 and

FY2010.

Revenue generated from the date a business is acquired through the first anniversary of that date is considered acquired revenue. Our acquired revenue for FY2012 and FY2011 is as follows (in millions):

Business Acquired	2012	2011
Paradigm Solutions Corporation	\$ 27.5	\$
Advanced Programs Group, Inc.	26.7	
Pangia Technologies, LLC	21.8	
TechniGraphics, Inc	12.3	27.6
Applied Systems Research, Inc.	4.9	10.2
SystemWare Incorporated		15.6
Others	16.5	10.7
Total	\$ 109.7	\$ 64.1

The following table summarizes revenue earned by each of the customer groups for the three most recent fiscal years:

	2012	(Year ended J 2011 dollar amounts in	,	2010	
Department of Defense	\$ 2,944,924	78.0%	\$ 2,858,721	79.9%	\$ 2,450,463	77.8%
Federal civilian agencies	620,870	16.5	537,687	15.0	535,467	17.0
Commercial and other	193,840	5.1	166,966	4.7	146,839	4.7
State and local governments	14,839	0.4	14,406	0.4	16,362	0.5
Total	\$ 3,774,473	100.0%	\$ 3,577,780	100.0%	\$ 3,149,131	100.0%

Revenue from DoD customers increased 3.0 percent, or \$86.2 million, to \$2.9 billion for FY2012 as compared to FY2011. \$48.0 million of the increase was attributable to acquired DoD revenue and the remaining \$38.2 million of the increase was attributable to revenue from existing operations. DoD revenue includes that earned for services provided to the U.S. Army, our largest customer, where our services focus on supporting readiness, tactical military intelligence, and communications systems. DoD revenue also includes work with the U.S. Navy, such as services to support the Navy s automatic identification technologies and a mine countermeasure program that protects its fleet.

Revenue from DoD customers increased 16.7 percent, or \$408.3 million, to \$2.9 billion for FY2011 as compared to FY2010. \$40.5 million of the increase was attributable to acquired DoD revenue and the remaining \$367.8 million of the increase was attributable to revenue from existing operations.

Revenue from federal civilian agencies increased \$83.2 million, to \$620.9 million during FY2012 as compared to FY2011. Of the federal civilian agency revenue growth, \$40.1 million was attributable to acquisitions. Approximately 16.6 percent of federal civilian agency revenue for the year was derived from the Department of Justice (DoJ), for whom we provide litigation support services. Revenue from DoJ was \$102.8 million in FY2012 versus \$90.8 million in FY2011. Federal civilian agency revenue also includes services provided to non-DoD national intelligence agencies.

Revenue from federal civilian agencies increased \$2.2 million, to \$537.7 million during FY2011 as compared to FY2010. Of the federal civilian agency revenue growth, \$1.8 million was attributable to acquisitions. Approximately 16.9 percent of federal civilian agency revenue for the year was derived from DoJ. Revenue from DoJ was \$90.8 million in FY2011 versus \$79.8 million in FY2010.

Commercial and other revenue increased 16.1 percent, or \$26.9 million, to \$193.8 million in FY2012 as compared to FY2011. This revenue growth came from both acquisitions and a \$12.0 million product sale which was completed in the first quarter of the fiscal year. Commercial revenue is derived from both international and domestic operations. In FY2012, international operations accounted for 59.4 percent, or \$115.1 million, of the total commercial revenue, while domestic operations accounted for 40.6 percent, or \$78.7 million.

Commercial and other revenue increased 13.7 percent, or \$20.1 million, to \$167.0 million in FY2011 as compared to FY2010. This revenue growth came primarily from acquisitions. In FY2011, international operations accounted for 70.7 percent, or \$118.1 million, of the total commercial revenue, while domestic operations accounted for 29.3 percent, or \$48.9 million.

Revenue from state and local governments increased by 3.0 percent, or \$0.4 million during FY2012, as compared to FY2011. In FY2011 as compared to FY2010, revenue from state and local governments decreased by 12.0 percent, or \$2.0 million. Revenue from state and local governments represented less than one percent of our total revenue in each of FY2012, FY2011, and FY2010. Our continued focus on DoD and federal civilian agency opportunities has resulted in a relatively reduced emphasis on state and local government business.

Income from Operations

Income from operations increased 19.3 percent or \$48.4 million, in FY2012 as compared to FY2011. Our operating margin was 7.9 percent, up from 7.0 percent during the same period a year ago. Operating margin was favorably impacted by a changing mix of our direct costs, greater than expected profitability on a large fixed price contract, and the commercial product sale described previously. Income from operations increased 29.1 percent, or \$56.6 million, in FY2011 as compared to FY2010. Our operating margin in FY2011 of 7.0 percent increased from 6.2 percent in FY2010. The increase in margin rate related primarily to strong direct labor growth.

During the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010, as a percentage of revenue, total direct costs were 68.9 percent, 70.7 percent, and 70.1 percent, respectively. The year-to-year changes in direct costs as a percentage of revenue were driven primarily by a change in the mix of direct labor versus other direct costs (ODCs), primarily material purchases and subcontracted activities. ODCs are common in our industry and may vary from period to period.

The single largest component of direct costs, direct labor, was \$977.7 million, \$888.0 million and \$810.6 million in FY2012, FY2011, and FY2010, respectively. The increase in direct labor during the last three fiscal years is attributable to the organic growth in our federal government business, both in the DoD and federal civilian agencies, and to acquisitions. ODCs, which include subcontractor labor and materials along with equipment purchases and travel expenses, were \$1.6 billion, \$1.6 billion, and \$1.4 billion in FY2012, FY2011, and FY2010, respectively.

Indirect costs and selling expenses include fringe benefits (attributable to both direct and indirect labor), marketing and bid and proposal costs, indirect labor and other discretionary costs. As a percentage of revenue, indirect costs and selling expenses were 21.7 percent, 20.7 percent and 22.0 percent for FY2012, FY2011, and FY2010, respectively.

Indirect expense in FY2012, FY2011 and FY2010 reflected a reduction of expense associated with the reduction in the fair value of acquisition-related contingent consideration liabilities related to acquisitions completed in FY2010. The reduction recorded was \$0.4 million in FY2012, \$9.6 million in FY2011 and \$2.0 million in FY2010. Indirect expense in FY2010 also reflected the benefit of higher forfeitures available to offset Company contributions under our 401(k) Plan. This higher level of forfeitures resulted from an amendment to the 401(k) Plan during FY2010 that provided that non-vested balances are forfeited upon the earlier of a distribution being taken or December 31 of the year the participant terminates employment. Previously, non-vested balances were forfeited upon the earlier of a distribution being taken or December 31 following a five year break in service.

A component of indirect costs and selling expenses is stock compensation. Total stock compensation expense was \$15.5 million, \$17.9 million, and \$30.8 million for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010, respectively. The decrease in stock compensation expense from FY2010 to FY2011 was due primarily to a higher level of forfeitures in FY2011 and a decrease in stock compensation expense associated with the issuance of performance-based RSUs in FY2009 and FY2010. The decrease in stock compensation expense from FY2011 to FY2012 was due primarily to a higher level of forfeitures in FY2012 and a further decrease in stock compensation expense associated with the FY2009 and FY2010 performance-based RSUs.

Depreciation and amortization expense decreased \$0.1 million, or 0.2 percent, in FY2012 as compared to FY2011. The decrease was attributable to decreased amortization of intangible assets offset by increases in depreciation and amortization expense associated with our growing infrastructure. Software amortization on externally marketed software also increased in FY2012. In FY2011 as compared to FY2010, depreciation and amortization expense increased \$3.0 million, or 5.7 percent. The increase was attributable to depreciation and amortization of

both tangible and intangible assets, and included an increase in depreciation and leasehold amortization expense associated with a new lease in Northern Virginia. These costs were partially offset by a decrease in software amortization on externally marketed software.

Net interest expense and other increased \$1.0 million, or 4.1 percent in FY2012, as compared to FY2011 primarily as a result of an increase in interest expense related to higher outstanding debt which was partially offset by a decrease in amortization of deferred financing costs. Interest expense and other includes a reduction for our share of the net income of AC First, LLC, a joint venture between us and AECOM Government Services, Inc. of \$1.7 million in FY2012 and \$1.8 million in FY 2011. Net interest expense and other decreased \$3.2 million, or 12.2 percent, in FY2011 as compared to FY2010 primarily as a result of lower interest rates, lower days sales outstanding, and the prepayment of certain debt outstanding at the beginning of the year.

The effective income tax rates in FY2012, FY2011, and FY2010, were 39.1 percent, 36.6 percent, and 36.5 percent, respectively. The tax rate in each year benefitted from tax benefits related to deductions claimed for income from qualified domestic production activities and non-taxable gains on assets invested in corporate-owned life insurance (COLI) policies.

Quarterly Financial Information

Quarterly financial data for the two most recent fiscal years is provided in Note 25, Quarterly Financial Data, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Effects of Inflation

During FY2012, 44.0 percent of our business was conducted under cost-reimbursable contracts which automatically adjust revenue to cover costs that are affected by inflation. 28.0 percent of our revenue was earned under T&M contracts, where labor rates for many of the services provided are often fixed for several years. Under certain T&M contracts containing IDIQ procurement arrangements, we do adjust labor rates annually as permitted. The remaining portion of our business is fixed-price and may span multiple years. We generally have been able to price our T&M and fixed-price contracts in a manner that accommodates the rates of inflation experienced in recent years.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, our positive cash flow from operations and our available credit facilities have provided adequate liquidity and working capital to fund our operational needs. Cash flows from operations totaled \$266.7 million, \$226.0 million and \$209.3 million for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The Credit Facility is a \$750.0 million credit facility, which includes a \$600.0 million revolving credit facility (the Revolving Facility), and a \$150.0 million term loan (the Term Loan). The Revolving Facility has subfacilities of \$50.0 million for same-day swing line borrowings and \$25.0 million for stand-by letters of credit. At June 30, 2012, \$138.8 million was outstanding under the Term Loan, \$125.0 was outstanding under the Revolving Facility, we had no borrowings on the swing line and no letters of credit were outstanding. The Credit Facility has an accordion feature that will allow the facility to be expanded by an additional \$300.0 million with applicable lender approvals.

The Term Loan is a five-year secured facility under which principal payments are due in quarterly installments of \$1.9 million through September 30, 2015 and \$3.8 million thereafter through September 30, 2016, with the balance due in full on November 18, 2016.

The interest rates applicable to loans under the Credit Facility are floating interest rates that, at our option, equal a base rate or a Eurodollar rate plus, in each case, an applicable margin based upon our consolidated total leverage ratio.

The Credit Facility requires us to comply with certain financial covenants, including a maximum senior secured leverage ratio, a maximum total leverage ratio and a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio. The Credit Facility also includes customary negative covenants restricting or limiting our ability to guarantee or incur additional indebtedness, grant liens or other security interests to third parties, make loans or investments, transfer

Table of Contents

assets, declare dividends or redeem or repurchase capital stock or make other distributions, prepay subordinated indebtedness and engage in mergers, acquisitions or other business combinations, in each case except as expressly permitted under the Credit Facility. Since the inception of the Credit Facility, we have been in compliance with all of the financial covenants. A majority of our assets serve as collateral under the Credit Facility.

Effective May 16, 2007, we issued the Notes, which mature on May 1, 2014, in a private placement pursuant to Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. The Notes are subordinate to our senior secured debt, and interest on the Notes is payable on May 1 and November 1 of each year.

Holders may convert their notes at a conversion rate of 18.2989 shares of CACI common stock for each \$1,000 of note principal (an initial conversion price of \$54.65 per share) under the following circumstances: 1) if the last reported sale price of CACI stock is greater than or equal to 130 percent of the conversion price for at least 20 trading days in the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding fiscal quarter; 2) during the five consecutive business day period immediately after any ten consecutive trading day period (the note measurement period) in which the average of the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of convertible note was equal to or less than 97 percent of the average product of the closing price of a share of our common stock and the conversion rate of each date during the note measurement period; 3) upon the occurrence of certain corporate events, as defined; or 4) during the last three-month period prior to maturity. We are required to satisfy 100 percent of the principal amount of these notes solely in cash, with any amounts above the principal amount to be satisfied in common stock. As of June 30, 2012, none of the conditions permitting conversion of the Notes had been satisfied.

In the event of a fundamental change, as defined, holders may require us to repurchase the Notes at a price equal to the principal amount plus any accrued interest. Also, if certain fundamental changes occur prior to maturity, we will in certain circumstances increase the conversion rate by a number of additional shares of common stock or, in lieu thereof, we may in certain circumstances elect to adjust the conversion rate and related conversion obligation so that these notes are convertible into shares of the acquiring or surviving company. We are not permitted to redeem the Notes.

In connection with the issuance of the Notes, we purchased in a private transaction at a cost of \$84.4 million call options (the Call Options) to purchase approximately 5.5 million shares of our common stock at a price equal to the conversion price of \$54.65 per share. The Call Options allow us to receive shares of our common stock from the counterparties equal to the amount of common stock related to the excess conversion value that we would pay the holders of the Notes upon conversion. In addition, we sold warrants (the Warrants) to issue approximately 5.5 million shares of CACI common stock at an exercise price of \$68.31 per share. The proceeds from the sale of the Warrants totaled \$56.5 million. On a combined basis, the Call Options and the Warrants are intended to reduce the potential dilution of CACI s common stock in the event that the Notes are converted by effectively increasing the conversion price of these notes from \$54.65 to \$68.31. The Call Options and the Warrants are separate and legally distinct instruments that bind us and the counterparties and have no binding effect on the holders of the Notes.

Cash and cash equivalents were \$15.7 million and \$164.8 million as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents was primarily attributable to cash used for acquisitions and the repurchase of company stock. Working capital was \$200.9 million and \$334.9 million as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Our operating cash flow was \$266.7 million for FY2012, compared to \$226.0 million for the same period a year ago. The current year increase in operating cash flow results from profits earned during the year and differences in our year-end cash position. In the current year, we maintained lower cash balances as more cash was utilized for business acquisitions and share repurchases. As a result, outstanding checks were required to be classified within accounts payable, increasing operating cash flow by \$31.5 million. In prior years, when we maintained higher year-end cash balances, outstanding checks were recorded as a reduction of our cash balances. Days-sales outstanding were 58 at June 30, 2012, compared to 52 for the same period a year ago.

Table of Contents

We used \$204.4 million and \$149.2 million of cash in investing activities during FY2012 and FY2011, respectively. The increase in FY2012 was attributed primarily to the acquisitions completed during the year. Purchases of office and computer related equipment of \$18.3 million and \$14.4 million in FY2012 and FY2011, respectively, accounted for a majority of the remaining funds used in investing activities. Generally, we have relatively low capital expenditure requirements for our business, and expect these expenditures in the coming years to remain consistent with the levels reported in FY2012.

Cash flows used in financing activities were \$210.9 million during FY2012 and \$167.7 million during FY2011. During FY2011, we prepaid our then outstanding term loan in connection with entering into the Credit Facility and used \$50.0 million of cash to repurchase 1.0 million shares of our company stock. During FY2012, we paid \$21.6 million in settlement of contingent consideration for acquisitions that were completed during the year ended June 30, 2010. During the year ended June 30, 2012 we had net borrowings of \$125.0 million under the Revolving Facility. These borrowings along with our available cash balance and our operating cash flow funded our repurchase of 5.8 million shares of company stock for \$316.6 million and our acquisition program. Cash flows from financing activities continued to benefit from proceeds received from the exercise of stock options and purchases of stock under the CACI International Inc Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). Proceeds from these activities totaled \$11.6 million and \$26.2 million during FY2012 and FY2011, respectively.

We believe that the combination of internally generated funds, available bank borrowings, and cash and cash equivalents on hand will provide the required liquidity and capital resources necessary to fund on-going operations, customary capital expenditures, debt service obligations, and other working capital requirements over the next twelve months. Over the longer term, our ability to generate sufficient cash flows from operations necessary to fulfill the obligations under the Credit Facility and the Notes will depend on our future financial performance which will be affected by many factors outside of our control, including current worldwide economic conditions.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Contractual Obligations

We use off-balance sheet arrangements to finance the lease of operating facilities. We have financed the use of all of our current office and warehouse facilities through operating leases. Operating leases are also used to finance the use of computers, servers, phone systems, and to a lesser extent, other fixed assets, such as furnishings, that are obtained in connection with business acquisitions. We generally assume the lease rights and obligations of companies acquired in business combinations and continue financing equipment under operating leases until the end of the lease term following the acquisition date. We generally do not finance capital expenditures with operating leases, but instead finance such purchases with available cash balances. For additional information regarding our operating lease commitments, see Note 14 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The Credit Facility provides for stand-by letters of credit aggregating up to \$25.0 million that reduce the funds available under the Revolving Facility when issued. As of June 30, 2012, we had no outstanding letters of credit. We have no other material off-balance sheet financing arrangements.

39

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of June 30, 2012 that require us to make future cash payments:

	Payments Due By Period						
	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years ounts in thousa	3 to 5 years	More than 5 years		
Contractual obligations(1):		(*****					
Bank credit facility-term loan(2)	\$ 138,750	\$ 7,500	\$ 15,000	\$ 116,250	\$		
Convertible notes(2)	300,000		300,000				
Bank credit facility-revolver loan(2)	125,000			125,000			
Operating leases(3)	235,786	41,316	75,190	53,412	65,868		
Other long-term liabilities reflected on our balance sheet under generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP)							
Deferred compensation(4)	76,560	3,384	4,169	1,931	67,076		
Total	\$ 876,096	\$ 52,200	\$ 394,359	\$ 296,593	\$ 132,944		

- (1) The liability related to unrecognized tax benefits has been excluded from the contractual obligations table because a reasonable estimate of the timing and amount of cash out flows from future tax settlements cannot be determined. See Note 19 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for additional information regarding taxes and related matters.
- (2) See Note 13 to our consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding debt and related matters.
- (3) See Note 14 to our consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding operating lease commitments.
- (4) This liability is substantially offset by investments held by the plan provider to be reimbursed to us upon the payment of the liability to the plan participant. See Note 20 to our consolidated financial statements.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

The interest rates on both the Term Loan and the Revolving Facility are affected by changes in market interest rates. We have the ability to manage these fluctuations in part through interest rate hedging alternatives in the form of interest rate swaps. We have maintained hedging relationships with various counterparties in recent years, including two interest rate swap agreements that expired in December 2009 which allowed us to exchange a portion of our variable rate debt for fixed rate debt. In April 2012, we entered into floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements for an aggregate notional amount of \$100 million related to a portion of our floating rate indebtedness. The agreements are effective beginning July 1, 2013 and mature July 1, 2017. All outstanding balances under our Term Loan, and any amounts that may be borrowed under our Revolving Facility, are currently subject to interest rate fluctuations. With every one percent fluctuation in the applicable interest rates, interest expense on our variable rate debt for the year ended June 30, 2012 would have fluctuated by approximately \$2.9 million.

Approximately 3.0 percent and 3.3 percent of our total revenue in FY2012 and FY2011, respectively, was derived from our international operations headquartered in the U.K. Our practice in the U.K.-headquartered operation is to negotiate contracts in the same currency in which the predominant expenses are incurred, thereby mitigating the exposure to foreign currency exchange fluctuations. It is not possible to accomplish this in all cases; thus, there is some risk that profits will be affected by foreign currency exchange fluctuations. As of June 30, 2012, we held a combination of euros and pounds sterling in the U.K. and in the Netherlands equivalent to approximately \$9.1 million. This allows us to better utilize our cash resources on behalf of our foreign subsidiaries, thereby mitigating foreign currency conversion risks.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

The Consolidated Financial Statements of CACI International Inc and subsidiaries are provided in Part IV in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

40

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

We had no disagreements with our independent registered public accounting firm on accounting principles, practices or financial statement disclosure during and through the date of the consolidated financial statements included in this report.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

A. Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in the Exchange Act Ruling 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our periodic filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Our disclosure controls and procedures are also designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

The effectiveness of a system of disclosure controls and procedures is subject to various inherent limitations, including cost limitations, judgments used in decision making, assumptions about the likelihood of future events, the soundness of internal controls, and fraud. Due to such inherent limitations, there can be only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that any system of disclosure controls and procedures will be successful in detecting or preventing all errors or fraud, or in making all material information known in a timely manner to the appropriate levels of management.

We performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures under the supervision of the CEO and CFO, as of June 30, 2012. Based on the evaluation procedures, our management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level as of June 30, 2012.

B. Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of CACI International Inc is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f), and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

We maintain internal controls over financial reporting that are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting, and the preparation of financial statements. CACI International Inc s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that 1) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles; 2) ensure the maintenance of records that accurately and fairly reflect our transactions; 3) ensure that our receipts, expenditures and asset dispositions are made in accordance with director and management authorizations; and 4) provide reasonable assurance that our assets are properly safeguarded.

With the participation of our CEO and CFO, we performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to comply with the rules on internal control over financial reporting issued pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. In making this evaluation, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control Integrated Framework*. Based on the evaluation procedures, our management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that, as of June 30, 2012, our internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria. In addition, our independent registered public accounting firm evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Management s report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, and the independent auditors report on internal control over financial reporting, are included in Part IV of this report.

Table of Contents

C. Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, an evaluation was also performed of any changes in our internal control procedures over financial reporting that occurred during our last fiscal quarter. Based on this evaluation, management determined there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART III

The Information required by Items 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14 of Part III of Form 10-K has been omitted in reliance on General Instruction G(3) and is incorporated herein by reference to our proxy statement to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as set forth below:

Item 10. Officers, Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

Except for the specific disclosures below, the information required by this Item 10 is included under the headings Executive Officers and Corporate Governance in our 2012 Proxy Statement for the annual meeting to be held with respect to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 (2012 Proxy Statement) and is incorporated by reference.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and persons performing similar functions. That code, our Standards of Ethics and Business Conduct, is posted in the Investors section of our website at www.caci.com and a printed copy of such code will be furnished free of charge to any shareholder who requests a copy.

We intend to disclose any amendment to the Standards of Ethics and Business Conduct that relates to any element of the code of ethics definition enumerated in Item 406(b) of Regulation S-K, and any waiver from a provision of the Standards of Ethics and Business Conduct granted to any director, principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer, or any other executive officer of the Company, in the Investors section of our website at www.caci.com within four business days following the date of such amendment or waiver.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

We have adopted a set of corporate governance guidelines in accordance with the requirements of Section 303A of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual. Those guidelines can be found posted on our website at www.caci.com and a printed copy will be furnished free of charge to any shareholder who requests a copy.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this Item 11 is included in the text and tables under the headings Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Executive Compensation in our 2012 Proxy Statement and is incorporated by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership Of Certain Beneficial Owners And Management

The information required by this Item 12 is included under the headings Security Ownership of Directors, Executive Officers, Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Equity Compensation Plan Information in our 2012 Proxy Statement and is incorporated by reference.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information required by this Item 13 is included under the headings Corporate Governance , Compensation Discussion and Analysis and Executive Compensation in our 2012 Proxy Statement and is incorporated by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this Item 14 is included under the heading Independent Auditor Fees in our 2012 Proxy Statement and is incorporated by reference.

43

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

- (a) Documents filed as part of this Report
 - 1. Financial Statements
 - A. Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
 - B. Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
 - C. Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
 - D. Consolidated Statements of Operations for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010
 - E. Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and 2011
 - F. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010
 - G. Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010
 - H. Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010
 - I. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
 - 2. Supplementary Financial Data

Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010

All other schedules for which provision is made in the applicable accounting regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission are not required under the related instructions or are inapplicable and therefore have been omitted.

(b) Exhibits

		Filed with this		Incorporated by Reference	
Exhibit No.	Description	Form 10-K	Form	Filing Date	Exhibit No.
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of CACI International Inc, as amended to date.		10-K	September 13, 2006	3.1
3.2	Amended and Restated By-laws of CACI International Inc, amended as of March 5, 2008.		8-K	March 7, 2008	3.1
4.1	Clause FOURTH of CACI International Inc s Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated above as Exhibit 3.1.		10-K	September 13, 2006	4.1
4.2	The Rights Agreement dated July 11, 2003 between CACI International Inc and American Stock Transfer & Trust Company.		8-K	July 11, 2003	4.1

		Filed with this	Incorporated by Reference			
Exhibit No. 4.3	Description Indenture, dated as of May 16, 2007, between CACI International Inc and The Bank of New York, including the form of Note.	Form 10-K	Form 8-K	Filing Date May 16, 2007	Exhibit No. 4.1	
4.4	Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of May 16, 2007, among CACI International Inc and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Banc of America Securities LLC, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Raymond James & Associates, Inc., SunTrust Capital Markets, Inc. and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC.		8-K	May 16, 2007	4.2	
4.5	Letter Agreement re Call Option Transaction dated as of May 10, 2007, by and between CACI International Inc and Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc, as amended May 11, 2007.		8-K	May 16, 2007	4.3	
4.6	Letter Agreement re Warrants dated as of May 10, 2007, by and between CACI International Inc and Morgan Stanley & Co. International plc, as amended May 11, 2007.		8-K	May 16, 2007	4.4	
4.7	Letter Agreement re Call Option Transaction dated as of May 10, 2007, by and between CACI International Inc and J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, National Association, as amended May 11, 2007.		8-K	May 16, 2007	4.5	
4.8	Letter Agreement re Warrants dated as of May 10, 2007, by and between CACI International Inc and J.P. Morgan Chase Bank, National Association, as amended May 11, 2007.		8-K	May 16, 2007	4.6	
4.9	Letter Agreement re Call Option Transaction dated as of May 10, 2007, by and between CACI International Inc and Bank of America, N.A., as amended May 11, 2007.		8-K	May 16, 2007	4.7	

45

File with			Incorporated by Reference				
Exhibit No. 4.10	Description Letter Agreement re Warrants dated as of May 10, 2007, by and between CACI International Inc and Bank of America, N.A., as amended May 11, 2007.	Form 10-K	Form 8-K	Filing Date May 16, 2007	Exhibit No. 4.8		
10.1	The 1996 Stock Incentive Plan of CACI International Inc.*		S-8	February 15, 2005	4.3		
10.2	Form of Stock Option Agreement between CACI International Inc and certain employees.*		10-K	September 27, 2002	10.10		
10.3	Form of Performance Accelerated Stock Option Agreement between CACI International Inc and certain employees.*		10-K September 27, 2002		10.11		
10.4	The 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan of CACI International Inc, as amended.*		Def 14A	October 7, 2009	Appendix A		
10.5	Amended and Restated Management Stock Purchase Plan of CACI International Inc.*		10-K	August 27, 2008	10.5		
10.6	Amended and Restated Director Stock Purchase Plan of CACI International Inc.*		10-K	August 25, 2010	10.6		
10.7	Purchase Agreement, dated May 10, 2007, among CACI International Inc and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., Banc of America Securities LLC, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, Raymond James & Associates, Inc., SunTrust Capital Markets, Inc. and Wachovia Capital Markets, LLC.		8-K	May 16, 2007	10.1		
10.8	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated July 1, 2007 between J.P. London and CACI International Inc.*		10-K	August 29, 2007	10.21		
10.9	Employment Agreement dated July 1, 2007 between Paul M. Cofoni and CACI International Inc.*		10-K	August 29, 2007	10.22		

Table of Contents

	Fil			Incorporated by Reference				
Exhibit No. 10.10	Description Severance Compensation Agreement dated July 1, 2007 between William M. Fairl and CACI International Inc.*	with this Form 10-K	Form 10-K	Filing Date August 29, 2007	Exhibit No. 10.24			
10.11	Severance Compensation Agreement dated October 1, 2007 between Thomas A. Mutryn and CACI International Inc.*		S-1/A	October 9, 2007	10.25			
10.12	Severance Compensation Agreement dated June 16, 2008 between Gregory R. Bradford and CACI International Inc.*		10-K	August 27, 2008	10.23			
10.13	CACI International Inc 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended and restated.*		Def 14A	October 7, 2009	Appendix B			
10.14	Form of Performance Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement for Grantees Who are Grandfathered Executives.*		S-8	February 4, 2009	10.2			
10.15	Form of Performance Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement for Grantees who are Not Eligible for Grandfathered Retirement.*		S-8	February 4, 2009	10.3			
10.16	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement for Grantees Who are Grandfathered Executives.*		S-8	February 4, 2009	10.4			
10.17	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement for Grantees Who are Not Eligible for Grandfathered Retirement.*		S-8	February 4, 2009	10.5			
10.18	Form of Stock-Settled Stock Appreciation Rights Grant Agreement.*		S-8	February 4, 2009	10.6			
10.19	Form of Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement.*		S-8	February 4, 2009	10.7			
10.20	CACI International Inc Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan for Paul M. Cofoni, President and Chief Executive Officer.*		10-Q	February 5, 2009	10.1			

		Filed with this		Incorporated by Reference	
Exhibit No. 10.21	Description Amendment to the CACI International Inc 2006 Stock Incentive Plan dated June 23, 2010.*	Form 10-K	Form 10-K	Filing Date August 25, 2010	Exhibit No. 10.33
10.22	Amendment to the CACI International Inc Management Stock Purchase Plan dated June 23, 2010.*		10-K	August 25, 2010	10.34
10.23	Form of Indemnification Agreement between CACI International Inc and its directors and certain executive officers.		10-K	August 25, 2010	10.35
10.24	Credit Agreement by and among CACI International Inc as borrower; Bank of America, N.A. as administrative agent, swing line lender and L/C issuer; JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., as syndication agent; and each of the lenders named therein.*		10-Q	November 4, 2010	10.1
10.25	Form of Performance Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement between CACI International Inc and certain employees.*		10-Q	February 4, 2011	10.2
10.26	Form of Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement.*		10-Q	February 4, 2011	10.3
10.27	Form of Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement for Grantees enrolled in the Management Stock Purchase Plan of CACI International Inc.*		10-Q	February 4, 2011	10.4
10.28	Addendum to Employee Agreement and Severance Compensation Agreement dated December 3, 2010 between Randall C. Fuerst and CACI International Inc.*		10-Q	February 4, 2011	10.5
10.29	Form of CACI International Inc 2006 Stock Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) Grant Agreement.*		10-Q	May 6, 2011	10.1
10.30	Form of Non-Employee Director Restricted Stock Unit Grant Agreement.*		10-K	August 29, 2011	10.30

48

		Filed with this		Incorporated by Reference			
Exhibit No. 10.31	Description Severance Compensation Agreement between CACI International Inc and Daniel D. Allen dated October 3, 2011.*	Form 10-K	Form 10-Q	Filing Date November 7, 2011	Exhibit No. 10.1		
10.32	Amendment dated November 18, 2011 to the Credit Agreement dated October 21, 2010, between CACI International Inc, Bank of America, N.A. and a consortium of participating banks.*		8-K	November 22, 2011	10.3		
10.33	Form of Restricted Stock Unit (RSU) Agreement under CACI International Inc Management Stock Purchase Plan.*		S-8	February 6, 2012	10.13		
10.34	Form of Performance RSU Grant Agreement under CACI International Inc 2006 Stock Incentive Plan.*		S-8	February 6, 2012	10.14		
10.35	Form of Stock Grant Agreement under CACI International Inc Director Stock Purchase Plan.*		S-8	February 6, 2012	10.15		
10.36	Amended and Restated Director Stock Purchase Plan of CACI International Inc.*		10-Q	May 4, 2012	10.1		
10.37	CACI International Inc Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan for Daniel D. Allen	X					
10.38	Severance Compensation Agreement dated July 1, 2012 between John S. Mengucci and CACI International Inc.*	X					
10.39	Confirmation from Bank of America, N.A. to CACI International Inc dated August 24, 2011, regarding Issuer Forward Repurchase Transaction.	X					
10.40	Employment Agreement dated as of July 1, 2012 between Paul M. Cofoni and CACI International Inc.*	X					
21.1	Significant Subsidiaries of the Registrant.	X					
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.	X					

		Filed with this		Incorporated by Reference	
Exhibit No. 31.1	Description Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities and Exchange Commission.	Form 10-K X	Form	Filing Date	Exhibit No.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities and Exchange Commission.	X			
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.	X			
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.	X			
99.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Regulation 303A.12(b) of the New York Stock Exchange.	X			
101	The following materials from the CACI International Inc Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2012 formatted in eXtensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, (ii) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Shareholders Equity for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, (v) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.**				

^{*} Denotes a management contract, compensatory plan, or arrangement.

^{**} Submitted electronically herewith.

Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

August 28, 2012

To the Stockholders

CACI International Inc

The management of CACI International Inc is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for assessing the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Management maintains a comprehensive system of internal controls intended to ensure that transactions are executed in accordance with management s authorization, that assets are safeguarded, and that financial records are reliable. CACI International Inc s internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance to Company management and its Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Due to inherent limitations, internal control systems can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation, and may not prevent or detect financial statement misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of internal control effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that existing controls may become inadequate because of changing conditions, or that the degree of compliance with existing policies and procedures may deteriorate.

The Company s management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of CACI International Inc s internal control over financial reporting based on the framework and criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this evaluation, our management has concluded that CACI International Inc s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of June 30, 2012.

Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the Company s consolidated financial statements included herein and has reported on the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2012.

/s/ DANIEL D. ALLEN
Daniel D. Allen
President and
Chief Executive Officer

/s/ THOMAS A. MUTRYN
Thomas A. Mutryn
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

51

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Board of Directors and Stockholders

CACI International Inc

We have audited CACI International Inc s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). CACI International Inc s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, CACI International Inc maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2012, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of CACI International Inc as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders equity, cash flows, and comprehensive income for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2012 of CACI International Inc, and our report dated August 28, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

McLean, Virginia

August 28, 2012

52

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders

CACI International Inc

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of CACI International Inc as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders equity, cash flows, and comprehensive income for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2012. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of CACI International Inc at June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 30, 2012, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), CACI International Inc s internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated August 28, 2012, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

McLean, Virginia

August 28, 2012

53

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(amounts in thousands, except per share data)

	Fiscal year ended June 30,					
	201	2		2011		2010
Revenue	\$ 3,774	1,473	\$ 3	3,577,780	\$ 3	3,149,131
Costs of revenue:						
Direct costs	2,598	3,890	2	2,528,660	2	2,207,574
Indirect costs and selling expenses	819	,772		741,652		693,736
Depreciation and amortization	55	5,962		56,067		53,039
Total costs of revenue	3,474	1,624	3	3,326,379	2	2,954,349
Income from operations	299	,849		251,401		194,782
Interest expense and other, net	24	1,101		23,144		26,353
Income before income taxes	275	5,748		228,257		168,429
Income taxes	107	,537		83,105		61,171
Net income including portion attributable to noncontrolling interest in earnings of joint						
venture		3,211		145,152		107,258
Noncontrolling interest in earnings of joint venture		(757)		(934)		(743)
Net income attributable to CACI	\$ 167	,454	\$	144,218	\$	106,515
Basic earnings per share	\$	6.18	\$	4.76	\$	3.53
Diluted earnings per share	\$	5.96	\$	4.61	\$	3.47
Weighted-average basic shares outstanding	27	7,077		30,281		30,138
Weighted-average diluted shares outstanding	28	3,111		31,300		30,676

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(amounts in thousands, except per share data)

		June 30,
ACCETO	2012	2011
ASSETS		
Current assets:	\$ 15.74	0 0 164 917
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 15,740 628,842	. ,
Accounts receivable, net Deferred income taxes	16,74	
		,
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	24,46	26,139
Total current assets	685,79	2 782,078
Goodwill	1,406,95	3 1,266,285
Intangible assets, net	114,81	6 108,102
Property and equipment, net	67,449	9 62,755
Supplemental retirement savings plan assets	77,37	1 66,880
Accounts receivable, long-term	9,94	2 8,657
Other long-term assets	30,55	3 25,374
Total assets	\$ 2,392,87	\$ 2,320,131
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 7,50	0 \$ 7,500
Accounts payable	149,54	98,893
Accrued compensation and benefits	180,87	1 173,586
Other accrued expenses and current liabilities	147,00	9 157,242
Total current liabilities	484,929	9 437,221
Long-term debt, net of current portion	531,96	1 402,437
Supplemental retirement savings plan obligations, net of current portion	73,170	64,868
Deferred income taxes	86,414	4 68,123
Other long-term liabilities	51,95	1 37,866
Total liabilities	1,228,43	1,010,515
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders equity:		
Preferred stock \$0.10 par value, 10,000 shares authorized, no shares issued		
Common stock \$0.10 par value, 80,000 shares authorized, 40,626 and 40,273 shares issued, respectively	4,06	2 4,027
Additional paid-in capital	525,12	1 504,156
Retained earnings	1,105,94	9 938,495
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(7,83	
Treasury stock, at cost (15,988 and 10,077 shares, respectively)	(465,30	3) (136,631)
	•	
Total CACI shareholders equity	1,161,99	5 1,306,932
Noncontrolling interest in joint venture	2,450	
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2,13	2,001

Total shareholders equity	1,164,445	1,309,616
Treat liabilities and about allows assists	¢ 2 202 976	¢ 2 220 121
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 2,392,876	\$ 2,320,131

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(amounts in thousands)

	2012	Fiscal year ended June 30, 2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income including portion attributable to noncontrolling interest in earnings of joint			
venture	\$ 168,21	1 \$ 145,152	\$ 107,258
Reconciliation of net income including portion attributable to noncontrolling interest to net			
cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	55,962	2 56,067	53,039
Non-cash interest expense	12,02	4 11,235	10,499
Amortization of deferred financing costs	2,23	7 2,785	2,356
Stock-based compensation expense	15,499	9 17,915	30,750
Deferred income tax expense (benefit)	10,653	3 7,587	(4,703)
Undistributed earnings of unconsolidated joint venture	(1,72	8) (1,755)	
Other	1,322	2	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effect of business acquisitions:			
Accounts receivable, net	(33,919	9) (23,624)	(49,291)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(11,064	4) (18,391)	(11,628)
Accounts payable and other accrued expenses	41,879	9 (8,394)	49,910
Accrued compensation and benefits	(4,532	2) 13,085	9,423
Income taxes payable and receivable	930		3,288
Deferred rent	(2,87	8) 809	(145)
Supplemental retirement savings plan obligations and other long-term liabilities	12,092	2 14,903	8,588
Net cash provided by operating activities	266,688	8 225,964	209,344
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(10.00	4) (14.200)	(22,502)
Capital expenditures	(18,284	, , ,	(22,503)
Cash paid for business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(185,920		(87,943)
Investment in unconsolidated joint venture, net	(15)	(5,964)	(2,428)
Other	(15)	8) 798	(3)
Net cash used in investing activities	(204,36	8) (149,243)	(112,877)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from borrowings under bank credit facilities, net of financing costs	1,093,75	1 343,978	
Payments made under bank credit facilities	(977,500	0) (482,403)	(53,600)
Payment of contingent consideration	(21,61		(, , ,
Proceeds from employee stock purchase plans	4,09	, , , ,	4,501
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	7,460	5 22,077	5,589
Repurchases of common stock	(316,56)	3) (53,647)	(3,496)
Other	(584		(7)
Net cash used in financing activities	(210,940	5) (167,678)	(47,013)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(45)	1,231	(3,399)

Edgar Filing: CACI INTERNATIONAL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(149,077)	(89,726)	46,055
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	164,817	254,543	208,488
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 15,740	\$ 164,817	\$ 254,543
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION			
Cash paid for income taxes, net of refunds	\$ 94,994	\$ 65,875	\$ 66,713
Cash paid for interest	\$ 12,447	\$ 10,709	\$ 13,694
Non-cash financing and investing activities:			
Landlord-financed leasehold improvements	\$ 5,010	\$ 2,853	\$ 16,815

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(amounts in thousands)

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock			Accumulated Other Treasury Stock			Noncontrolling Total Interest			
	Sharesmount			Additional Paid-in Capital	C Retained Earnings	omprehensiv Income (Loss)	e Shares	Amount	CACI Shareholders Equity	in Joint Venture	Total Shareholders Equity
BALANCE,	Sharesinount	Shares	Amount	Сарпаі	Earnings	(LUSS)	Shares	Amount	Equity	venture	Equity
June 30, 2009	\$	39,091	\$ 3,909	\$ 425,993	\$ 687,762	\$ (3,248)	9,118	\$ (86,683)	\$ 1,027,733	1,875	\$ 1,029,608
Net income	Ψ	37,071	Ψ 3,707	Ψ 425,775	Ψ 007,702	ψ (3,240)	7,110	Ψ (00,003)	ψ 1,027,733	1,073	φ 1,025,000
attributable to											
CACI					106,515				106,515		106,515
Noncontrolling					11,2						11,7
interest in earnings											
of joint venture										743	743
Stock-based											
compensation											
expense				30,750					30,750		30,750
Exercise of stock											
options and vesting	5										
of restricted stock											
units		275	28	4,554					4,582		4,582
Adjustment for											
unrecognized tax											
benefit				7,775					7,775		7,775
Currency											
translation						(7.751)			(7.751)		(7.751)
adjustment						(7,751)			(7,751)		(7,751)
Change in fair value of interest											
rate swap											
agreements, net						1,045			1,045		1,045
Repurchases of						1,043			1,043		1,043
common stock							75	(3,496)	(3,496)		(3,496)
Treasury stock							,,,	(5,170)	(5,170)		(5,150)
issued under stock											
purchase plans				(113)			(76)	3,526	3,413		3,413
Post-retirement											
benefit costs						147			147		147
Net distributions to	•										
noncontrolling											
interest										(176)	(176)
BALANCE,											
June 30, 2010		39,366	3,937	468,959	794,277	(9,807)	9,117	(86,653)	1,170,713	2,442	1,173,155
Net income											
attributable to											
CACI					144,218				144,218		144,218
Noncontrolling											
interest in earnings											
of joint venture										934	934
Stock-based											
compensation											
expense		~ ~ =		17,915					17,915		17,915
		907	90	16,773					16,863		16,863

Exercise of stock										
options and vesting										
of restricted stock										
units										
Adjustment for										
unrecognized tax										
benefit			335					335		335
Currency			333					333		333
translation										
					6,716			6,716		6,716
adjustment					0,710			0,710		0,710
Repurchases of						4.044	(50 < 15)	(50 < 15)		(50 (45)
common stock						1,041	(53,647)	(53,647)		(53,647)
Treasury stock										
issued under stock										
purchase plans			174			(81)	3,669	3,843		3,843
Post-retirement										
benefit costs					(24)			(24)		(24)
Net distributions to										
noncontrolling										
interest									(692)	(692)
										,
DALANCE										
BALANCE,										
June 30, 2011	40,273	4,027	504,156	938,495	(3,115)	10,077	(136,631)	1,306,932	2,684	1,309,616
Net income										
attributable to										
CACI				167,454				167,454		167,454
Noncontrolling										
interest in earnings										
of joint venture									757	757
Stock-based										
compensation										
expense			15,499					15,499		15,499
Exercise of stock			,.,,					,.,,		,.,,
options and vesting										
of restricted stock										
units	353	35	1,170					1,205		1,205
Currency	333	33	1,170					1,203		1,203
•										
translation					(2.105)			(2.105)		(2.105)
adjustment					(3,105)			(3,105)		(3,105)
Change in fair										
value of interest										
rate swap										
agreements, net					(1,332)			(1,332)		(1,332)
Repurchases of										
common stock						6,000	(328,890)	(328,890)		(328,890)
Treasury stock										
issued under stock										
purchase plans			4,296			(89)	218	4,514		4,514
Post-retirement			, , ,			()		,-		,-
benefit costs					(282)			(282)		(282)
Net distributions to					(202)			(202)		(202)
noncontrolling										
interest									(991)	(991)
merest									(331)	(991)
BALANCE,										
June 30, 2012	\$ 40,626	\$ 4,062	\$ 525,121	\$ 1,105,949	\$ (7,834)	15,988	\$ (465,303)	\$ 1,161,995	\$ 2,450	\$ 1,164,445

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(amounts in thousands)

	Fisca	l year ended Jun	e 30,
	2012	2011	2010
Net income including portion attributable to noncontrolling interest in earnings of joint venture	\$ 168,211	\$ 145,152	\$ 107,258
Change in foreign currency translation adjustment	(3,105)	6,716	(7,751)
Effect of changes in actuarial assumptions and recognition of prior service cost	(282)	(24)	147
Change in fair value of interest rate swap agreements	(1,332)		1,045
Comprehensive income	\$ 163 492	\$ 151 844	\$ 100 699

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

58

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Business Activities

CACI International Inc, along with its wholly-owned subsidiaries and joint ventures that are more than 50 percent owned or otherwise controlled by it (collectively, the Company), is an international information solutions and services provider to its clients, primarily the U.S. government. Other customers include state and local governments, commercial enterprises and agencies of foreign governments.

The Company s operations are subject to certain risks and uncertainties including, among others, the dependence on contracts with federal government agencies, dependence on revenue derived from contracts awarded through competitive bidding, existence of contracts with fixed pricing, dependence on subcontractors to fulfill contractual obligations, dependence on key management personnel, ability to attract and retain qualified employees, ability to successfully integrate acquired companies, and current and potential competitors with greater resources.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and include the assets, liabilities, results of operations and cash flows for the Company, including its subsidiaries and joint ventures that are more than 50 percent owned or otherwise controlled by the Company. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Revenue Recognition

The Company generates almost all of its revenue from three different types of contractual arrangements: cost-plus-fee contracts, time and materials contracts, and fixed price contracts. Revenue on cost-plus-fee contracts is recognized to the extent of costs incurred plus an estimate of the applicable fees earned. The Company considers fixed fees under cost-plus-fee contracts to be earned in proportion to the allowable costs incurred in performance of the contract. For cost-plus-fee contracts that include performance based fee incentives, and that are subject to the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 605-35, *Revenue Recognition Construction-Type and Production-Type Contracts* (ASC 605-35), the Company recognizes the relevant portion of the expected fee to be awarded by the customer at the time such fee can be reasonably estimated, based on factors such as the Company s prior award experience and communications with the customer regarding

performance. For such cost-plus-fee contracts subject to the provisions of ASC 605-10-S99, *Revenue Recognition SEC Materials* (ASC 605-10-S99), the Company recognizes the relevant portion of the fee upon customer approval. Revenue on time and material contracts is recognized to the extent of billable rates times hours delivered for services provided, to the extent of material cost for products delivered to customers, and to the extent of expenses incurred on behalf of the customers. Shipping and handling fees charged to the customers are recognized as revenue at the time products are delivered to the customers.

The Company has four basic categories of fixed price contracts: fixed unit price, fixed price-level of effort, fixed price-completion, and fixed price-license. Revenue on fixed unit price contracts, where specified units of output under service arrangements are delivered, is recognized as units are delivered based on the specified price per unit. Revenue on fixed unit price maintenance contracts is recognized ratably over the length of the service period. Revenue for fixed price-level of effort contracts is recognized based upon the number of units of labor actually delivered multiplied by the agreed rate for each unit of labor.

59

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

A significant portion of the Company s fixed price-completion contracts involve the design and development of complex client systems. For these contracts that are within the scope of ASC 605-35, revenue is recognized on the percentage-of-completion method using costs incurred in relation to total estimated costs. For fixed price-completion contracts that are not within the scope of ASC 605-35, revenue is generally recognized ratably over the service period. The Company s fixed price-license agreements and related services contracts are primarily executed in its international operations. As the agreements to deliver software require significant production, modification or customization of software, revenue is recognized using the contract accounting guidance of ASC 605-35. For agreements to deliver data under license and related services, revenue is recognized as the data is delivered and services are performed. Except for losses on contracts accounted for under ASC 605-10-S99, provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are recorded in the period such losses are determined. Losses on contracts accounted for under ASC 605-10-S99 are recognized as the services and materials are provided.

The Company s contracts may include the provision of more than one of its services. In these situations, and for applicable arrangements, revenue recognition includes the proper identification of separate units of accounting and the allocation of revenue across all elements based on relative fair values, with proper consideration given to the guidance provided by other authoritative literature.

Contract accounting requires judgment relative to assessing risks, estimating contract revenue and costs, and making assumptions for schedule and technical issues. Due to the size and nature of many of the Company's contracts, the estimation of total revenue and cost at completion is complicated and subject to many variables. Contract costs include material, labor, subcontracting costs, and other direct costs, as well as an allocation of allowable indirect costs. Assumptions have to be made regarding the length of time to complete the contract because costs also include expected increases in wages and prices for materials. For contract change orders, claims or similar items, the Company applies judgment in estimating the amounts and assessing the potential for realization. These amounts are only included in contract value when they can be reliably estimated and realization is considered probable. Incentives or penalties related to performance on contracts are considered in estimating sales and profit rates, and are recorded when there is sufficient information for the Company to assess anticipated performance. Estimates of award fees for certain contracts are also a factor in estimating revenue and profit rates based on actual and anticipated awards.

Long-term development and production contracts make up a large portion of the Company s business, and therefore the amounts recorded in the Company s financial statements using contract accounting methods are material. For federal government contracts, the Company follows U.S. government procurement and accounting standards in assessing the allowability and the allocability of costs to contracts. Due to the significance of the judgments and estimation processes, it is likely that materially different amounts could be recorded if the Company used different assumptions or if the underlying circumstances were to change. The Company closely monitors compliance with, and the consistent application of, its critical accounting policies related to contract accounting. Business operations personnel conduct thorough periodic contract status and performance reviews. When adjustments in estimated contract revenue or costs are required, any changes from prior estimates are generally included in earnings in the current period. Also, regular and recurring evaluations of contract cost, scheduling and technical matters are performed by management personnel who are independent from the business operations personnel performing work under the contract. Costs incurred and allocated to contracts with the U.S. government are scrutinized for compliance with regulatory standards by Company personnel, and are subject to audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA).

From time to time, the Company may proceed with work based on client direction prior to the completion and signing of formal contract documents. The Company has a formal review process for approving any such work. Revenue associated with such work is recognized only when it can be reliably estimated and realization is probable. The Company bases its estimates on previous experiences with the client, communications with the client regarding funding status, and its knowledge of available funding for the contract or program.

60

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company s U.S. government contracts (94.5 percent of total revenue in the year ended June 30, 2012) are subject to subsequent government audit of direct and indirect costs. Incurred cost audits have been completed through June 30, 2005. Management does not anticipate any material adjustment to the consolidated financial statements in subsequent periods for audits not yet started or completed.

Costs of Revenue

Costs of revenue include all direct contract costs as well as indirect overhead costs and selling, general and administrative expenses that are allowable and allocable to contracts under federal procurement standards. Costs of revenue also include costs and expenses that are unallowable under applicable procurement standards, and are not allocable to contracts for billing purposes. Such costs and expenses do not directly generate revenue, but are necessary for business operations.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all investments with an original maturity of three months or fewer on their trade date to be cash equivalents. The Company classifies investments with an original maturity of more than three months but fewer than twelve months on their trade date as short-term marketable securities.

Investments in Marketable Securities

From time to time, the Company invests in marketable securities that are classified as available-for-sale and are reported at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses as a result of changes in the fair value of the available-for-sale investments are recorded as a separate component within accumulated other comprehensive income in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. For securities classified as trading securities, unrealized gains and losses are reported in the consolidated statement of operations and impact net earnings.

The fair value of marketable securities is determined based on quoted market prices at the reporting date for those securities. The cost of securities sold is determined using the specific identification method. Premiums and discounts are amortized over the period from acquisition to maturity, and are included in investment income, along with interest and dividends.

Allowance For Doubtful Accounts

The Company establishes bad debt reserves against certain billed receivables based upon the latest information available to determine whether invoices are ultimately collectible. Whenever judgment is involved in determining the estimates, there is the potential for bad debt expense and the fair value of accounts receivable to be misstated. Given that the Company primarily serves the U.S. government and that, in management s opinion, the Company has sufficient controls in place to properly recognize revenue, the Company believes the risk to be relatively low that a misstatement of accounts receivable would have a material impact on its consolidated financial statements. Accounts receivable balances are written-off when the balance is deemed uncollectible after exhausting all reasonable means of collection.

Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market using the specific identification cost method, and are recorded within prepaid expenses and other current assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

61

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of costs over the fair value of assets of businesses acquired. Goodwill and intangible assets acquired in a business combination and determined to have an indefinite useful life are not amortized, but instead tested for impairment at least annually or if impairment indicators are present. The evaluation includes comparing the fair value of the relevant reporting unit to the carrying value, including goodwill, of such unit. If the fair value exceeds the carrying value, no impairment loss is recognized. However, if the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the goodwill of the reporting unit may be impaired. Impairment is measured by comparing the derived fair value of the goodwill to its carrying value.

The Company has two reporting units domestic operations and international operations. Its reporting units are the same as its operating segments. Approximately 94 percent of the Company s goodwill is attributable to its domestic operations. The Company estimates the fair value of its reporting units using both an income approach and a market approach. The valuation process considers management s estimates of the future operating performance of each reporting unit. Companies in similar industries are researched and analyzed and management considers the domestic and international economic and financial market conditions, both in general and specific to the industry in which the Company operates, prevailing as of the valuation date. The income approach utilizes discounted cash flows. The Company calculates a weighted average cost of capital for each reporting unit in order to estimate the discounted cash flows. The Company performs its annual testing for impairment of goodwill and other indefinite life intangible assets as of June 30 of each year. The fair value of each of the Company s reporting units as of June 30, 2012 exceeded its carrying value.

Long-Lived Assets (Excluding Goodwill)

Long-lived assets such as property and equipment and intangible assets subject to amortization are reviewed for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be fully recoverable. An impairment loss would be recognized if the sum of the long-term undiscounted cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the long-lived asset being evaluated. Any write-downs are treated as permanent reductions in the carrying amount of the assets. Property and equipment is recorded at cost. Depreciation of equipment and furniture has been provided over the estimated useful life of the respective assets (ranging from three to eight years) using the straight-line method. Leasehold improvements are generally amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining lease term or the useful life of the improvements, whichever is shorter. Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred. Separately identifiable intangible assets with estimable useful lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives to their estimated residual values. The Company believes that the carrying values of its long-lived assets as of June 30, 2012 and 2011 are fully realizable.

External Software Development Costs

Costs incurred in creating a software product to be sold or licensed for external use are charged to expense when incurred as indirect costs and selling expenses until technological feasibility has been established for the software. Technological feasibility is established upon completion of a detailed program design or, in its absence, completion of a working software version. Thereafter, all such software development costs are

capitalized and subsequently reported at the lower of unamortized cost or estimated net realizable value. Capitalized costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining estimated economic life of the product.

Supplemental Retirement Savings Plan

The Company maintains the CACI International Inc Group Executive Retirement Plan (the Supplemental Savings Plan) and maintains the underlying assets in a Rabbi Trust. The Supplemental Savings Plan is a

62

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

non-qualified defined contribution supplemental retirement savings plan for certain key employees whereby participants may elect to defer and contribute a portion of their compensation, as permitted by the plan. Each participant directs his or her investments in the Supplemental Savings Plan (see Note 20).

A Rabbi Trust is a grantor trust established to fund compensation for a select group of management. The assets of this trust are available to satisfy the claims of general creditors in the event of bankruptcy of the Company. The assets held by the Rabbi Trust are invested in both corporate owned life insurance (COLI) products and in non-COLI products. The COLI products are recorded at cash surrender value in the consolidated financial statements as supplemental retirement savings plan assets and the non-COLI products are recorded at fair value in the consolidated financial statements as supplemental retirement savings plan assets. The amounts due to participants are based on contributions, participant investment elections, and other participant activity and are recorded as supplemental retirement savings plan obligations.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for using the asset and liability method whereby deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the consolidated financial statement carrying amounts of assets and liabilities, and their respective tax bases, and operating loss and tax credit carry forwards. The Company accounts for tax contingencies in accordance with updates made to ASC 740-10-25, *Income Taxes Recognition*. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities due to a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Estimates of the realizability of deferred tax assets are based on the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies. Any interest or penalties incurred in connection with income taxes are recorded as part of income tax expense for financial reporting purposes.

Costs of Acquisitions

Costs associated with legal, financial and other professional advisors related to acquisitions, whether successful or unsuccessful, are expensed as incurred.

Foreign Currency Translation

The assets and liabilities of the Company s foreign subsidiaries whose functional currency is other than the U.S. dollar are translated at the exchange rate in effect on the reporting date, and income and expenses are translated at the weighted-average exchange rate during the period. The Company s primary practice is to negotiate contracts in the same currency in which the predominant expenses are incurred, thereby mitigating the exposure to foreign currency fluctuations. The net translation gains and losses are not included in determining net income, but are

accumulated as a separate component of shareholders equity. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses are included in determining net income, but are insignificant. These costs are included as indirect costs and selling expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share excludes dilution and is computed by dividing income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock but not securities that are anti-dilutive, including stock options and stock settled stock appreciation rights

63

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

(SSARs) with an exercise price greater than the average market price of the Company s common stock. Using the treasury stock method, diluted earnings per share includes the incremental effect of SSARs, stock options, restricted shares, and those restricted stock unit (RSUs) that are no longer subject to a market or performance condition. When applicable, diluted earnings per share reflects the dilutive effects of shares issuable under the Company s \$300.0 million of 2.125 percent convertible senior subordinated notes that were issued on May 16, 2007 and mature on May 1, 2014 (the Notes), and warrants to issue 5.5 million shares of CACI common stock at an exercise price of \$68.31 per share that were issued in May 2007. Information about the weighted-average number of basic and diluted shares is presented in Note 23.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and amounts included in other current assets and current liabilities that meet the definition of a financial instrument approximate fair value because of the short-term nature of these amounts.

The fair value of the Company s debt under its bank credit facility approximates its carrying value at June 30, 2012. The fair value of the Company s debt under its bank credit facility was estimated using market data on companies with a corporate rating similar to CACI s that have recently priced credit facilities. The fair value of the Notes is based on quoted market prices using Level 1 inputs (see Notes 13 and 22).

Concentrations of Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to credit risk include accounts receivable and cash equivalents. Management believes that credit risk related to the Company s accounts receivable is limited due to a large number of customers in differing segments and agencies of the U.S. government. Accounts receivable credit risk is also limited due to the credit worthiness of the U.S. government. Management believes the credit risk associated with the Company s cash equivalents is limited due to the credit worthiness of the obligors of the investments underlying the cash equivalents. In addition, although the Company maintains cash balances at financial institutions that exceed federally insured limits, these balances are placed with high quality financial institutions.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is the change in equity of a business enterprise during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources. Other comprehensive income refers to revenue, expenses, and gains and losses that under U.S. GAAP are included in comprehensive income, but excluded from the determination of net income. The elements within other comprehensive income consist of foreign currency translation adjustments; the changes in the fair value of interest rate swap agreements, net of tax; and differences between actual amounts and estimates based on actuarial assumptions and the effect of changes in actuarial assumptions made under the Company s post-retirement benefit plans, net of tax (see Note 15).

As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, accumulated other comprehensive loss included a loss of \$5.5 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, related to foreign currency translation adjustments and a loss of \$1.0 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, related to unrecognized post-retirement medical plan costs. Accumulated other comprehensive loss as of June 30, 2012 also included \$1.3 million of losses related to the fair value of its interest rate swaps agreements.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and

64

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

expenses during the reported periods. The significant management estimates include estimated costs to complete fixed-price contracts, estimated award fees for contracts accounted for under ASC 605-35, amortization periods for long-lived intangible assets, recoverability of long-lived assets, reserves for accounts receivable, reserves for contract related matters, reserves for unrecognized tax benefits, and loss contingencies. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Commitments and Contingencies

Liabilities for loss contingencies arising from claims, assessments, litigation, fines and penalties and other sources are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the assessment and/or remediation can be reasonably estimated.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior years financial statements in order to conform to the current presentation.

NOTE 3. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-05, *Presentation of Comprehensive Income* (ASU 2011-05) which amends ASC Topic 220, *Comprehensive Income*. This accounting update requires companies to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 is effective for the Company beginning July 1, 2012. The adoption of ASU 2011-05 will impact disclosures only and will not impact the Company s financial position or results of operations.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, *Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Goodwill for Impairment* (ASU 2011-08), which simplifies how an entity tests goodwill for impairment. The amendments permit an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test. Accordingly, an entity will no longer be required to calculate the fair value of a reporting unit in the step one test unless the entity determines, based on a qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. ASU 2011-08 is effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of this ASU is not expected to significantly impact the Company s consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 4. ACQUISITIONS

Year Ended June 30, 2012

During the year ended June 30, 2012, the Company completed acquisitions of five businesses that have added to the Company s portfolio of cyber security and information technology modernization solutions, three in the United States and two in Europe, as follows:

On July 1, 2011, the acquisition of 100 percent of Pangia Technologies, LLC (Pangia), a United States-based company that provides technical solutions in the areas of computer network operations, information assurance, mission systems, software and systems engineering, and IT infrastructure support to the US government;

65

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

On September 1, 2011, the acquisition of 100 percent of Paradigm Holdings, Inc., the parent of Paradigm Solutions Corporation (Paradigm), a United States-based company that provides cybersecurity and enterprise IT solutions to clients in federal civilian agencies, the Department of Defense, and the Intelligence Community;

On October 3, 2011, the acquisition of 100 percent of Advanced Programs Group, LLC (APG), a United States-based company that provides Oracle e-Business Services in the Federal market;

On February 1, 2012, the acquisition of 100 percent of Tomorrow Communications Ltd (TCL), a United Kingdom company specializing in the design, implementation and on-going management and support of data networks operated by large commercial companies; and

On May 25, 2012, the acquisition of 100 percent of PSB Informatiesystemen BV (PSB), a Dutch company that sells and maintains its proprietary OSIRIS student administration system used throughout the Dutch higher education sector.

The combined initial purchase consideration paid to acquire these five businesses was approximately \$187.1 million, of which \$10.0 million was deposited into escrow accounts pending final determination of the net worth of the assets acquired and to secure the sellers indemnification obligations for the United States-based acquisitions and approximately \$2.4 million was retained by the Company to secure the European-based sellers indemnification obligations (collectively, Indemnification Amounts). Remaining Indemnification Amounts, if any, at the end of the indemnification periods will be distributed to the sellers. All remaining Indemnification Amounts, if any, are expected to be distributed to the sellers by May 2014.

Subsequent to the dates of the acquisitions, the Company and the sellers of each company agreed on the net worth of the assets acquired in each acquisition and, as a result, the Company paid an additional \$6.1 million of purchase consideration. In addition, the Company may be required to pay to the sellers of TCL additional consideration of up to approximately \$6.2 million based upon events to occur in the first year subsequent to the acquisition date. The acquisition date fair value of the contingent consideration was \$5.9 million.

The Company has completed its detailed valuations of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Based on the Company s valuations, the total consideration of \$199.1 million has been allocated to assets acquired, including identifiable intangible assets and goodwill, and liabilities assumed, as follows (in thousands):

Cash	\$ 8,136
Accounts receivable	20,856
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	7,374
Property and equipment	617
Customer contracts, customer relationships, non-compete agreements	43,166
Goodwill	142,163
Other assets	51
Accounts payable	(3,482)

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	(11,626)
Long-term deferred taxes	(8,202)
Total consideration paid	\$ 199,053

The value attributed to customer contracts, customer relationships and non-compete agreements is being amortized on an accelerated basis over periods ranging from four to 15 years. The weighted average amortization period is 10 years.

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

During the year ended June 30, 2012, these five businesses generated \$89.6 million of revenue from the dates of acquisition through the Company s fiscal year end.

Year Ended June 30, 2011

During the year ended June 30, 2011, the Company completed acquisitions of three businesses, two in the United States and one in the United Kingdom. The total consideration recorded to acquire these three businesses, including the amounts paid at closing, and additional payments made subsequent to closing based on the final agreed net worth of the assets acquired in each acquisition, was approximately \$134.6 million. The Company recognized fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and allocated \$98.8 million to goodwill and \$37.9 million to other intangible assets, primarily customer relationships, with the balance allocated to net tangible assets and liabilities assumed. These fair values represent management s calculations of the fair values as of the acquisition dates and are based on analysis of supporting information.

Year Ended June 30, 2010

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company completed acquisitions of three businesses, two in the United States and one in the United Kingdom. The total consideration recorded to acquire these three businesses, including the amounts paid at closing, additional payments made subsequent to closing based on the final agreed net worth of the assets acquired in each acquisition, and the fair value at the date of each acquisition attributable to contingent consideration which may have been paid to the sellers of each acquisition based on events to occur in the first two years subsequent to each acquisition date, was approximately \$129.1 million. The Company recognized fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed and allocated \$83.0 million to goodwill and \$48.2 million to other intangible assets, primarily customer relationships and acquired technologies, with the balance allocated to net tangible assets and liabilities assumed. These fair values represented management s calculations of the fair values as of the acquisition dates and were based on analysis of supporting information.

The maximum contingent consideration that could have been paid in connection of all three acquisitions was \$49.0 million, and the combined acquisition date fair value was \$35.8 million. During the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, \$20.3 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, of contingent consideration was earned and paid in connection with these acquisitions. No further consideration will be paid for these acquisitions. See Note 22 for additional information.

NOTE 5. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents consisted of the following (cost approximates fair value) (in thousands):

	Jı	June 30,	
	2012	2011	
Cash	\$ 12,815	\$ 163,788	
Money market funds	2,925	1,029	
Total cash and cash equivalents	\$ 15,740	\$ 164,817	

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 6. ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Total accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$3.6 million and \$3.7 million at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Billed receivables	\$ 481,268	\$ 452,533
Billable receivables at end of period	84,243	66,587
Unbilled receivables pending receipt of contractual documents authorizing billing	63,331	53,922
Total accounts receivable, current	628,842	573,042
Unbilled receivables, retainages and fee withholdings expected to be billed beyond the next 12		
months	9,942	8,657
Total accounts receivable	\$ 638,784	\$ 581,699

NOTE 7. GOODWILL

For the year ended June 30, 2012, goodwill increased \$140.7 million, consisting of \$142.2 million attributable to current year acquisitions (see Note 4) and \$0.9 million attributable to an acquisition made during the year ended June 30, 2009, offset by a \$2.4 million foreign currency translation adjustment. Of the \$140.7 million net change, \$125.7 million related to the Company s domestic operations and \$15.0 million related to the Company are structured in a manner whereby goodwill is deductible for income tax purposes. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had \$520.5 million of goodwill which is deductible for income tax purposes, of which \$88.3 million related to acquisitions completed in the year ended June 30, 2012.

NOTE 8. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Intangible assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June	June 30,	
	2012	2011	
Customer contracts and related customer relationships	\$ 331,548	\$ 291,174	

Edgar Filing: CACI INTERNATIONAL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Acquired technologies	27,177	27,177
Covenants not to compete	3,401	3,070
Other	1,639	1,637
Intangible assets	363,765	323,058
Less accumulated amortization	(248,949)	(214,956)
Total intangible assets, net	\$ 114,816	\$ 108,102

Intangible assets are primarily amortized on an accelerated basis over periods ranging from 12 to 120 months. The weighted-average period of amortization for customer contracts and related customer relationships as of June 30, 2012 is 8.7 years, and the weighted-average remaining period of amortization is 7.3 years. The weighted-average period of amortization for acquired technologies as of June 30, 2012 is 6.7 years, and the weighted-average remaining period of amortization is 5.7 years.

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Amortization expense for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$35.1 million, \$38.8 million, and \$37.2 million, respectively. Accumulated amortization as of June 30, 2012 for customer contracts and related customer relationships and for acquired technologies was \$227.4 million and \$18.0 million, respectively. Expected amortization expense for each of the fiscal years through June 30, 2017 and for periods thereafter is as follows (in thousands):

	Amount
Year ending June 30, 2013	\$ 28,561
Year ending June 30, 2014	23,539
Year ending June 30, 2015	18,121
Year ending June 30, 2016	13,377
Year ending June 30, 2017	11,378
Thereafter	19,840
Total intangible assets, net	\$ 114,816

NOTE 9. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Equipment and furniture	\$ 82,367	\$ 76,233
Leasehold improvements	66,572	57,889
Property and equipment, at cost	148,939	134,122
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(81,490)	(71,367)
Total property and equipment, net	\$ 67,449	\$ 62,755

Depreciation expense, including amortization of leasehold improvements, was \$19.1 million, \$16.6 million and \$13.9 million for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

NOTE 10. CAPITALIZED EXTERNAL SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT COSTS

A summary of changes in capitalized external software development costs, including costs capitalized and amortized during each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2012, is as follows (in thousands):

	Year ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	2010
Capitalized software development costs, beginning of year	\$ 4,049	\$ 1,315	\$ 2,001
Costs capitalized	4,216	3,358	1,230
Amortization	(1,817)	(624)	(1,916)
Capitalized software development costs, end of year	\$ 6,448	\$ 4,049	\$ 1,315

Capitalized software development costs are presented within other current assets and other long-term assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 11. ACCRUED COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS

Accrued compensation and benefits consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Accrued salaries and withholdings	\$ 102,345	\$ 102,116
Accrued leave	66,362	60,437
Accrued fringe benefits	12,164	11,033
Total accrued compensation and benefits	\$ 180,871	\$ 173,586

NOTE 12. OTHER ACCRUED EXPENSES AND CURRENT LIABILITIES

Other accrued expenses and current liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Jun	June 30,	
	2012	2011	
Vendor obligations	\$ 100,914	\$ 84,434	
Deferred revenue	28,358	34,127	
Deferred acquisition consideration	4,385	24,779	
Other	13,352	13,902	
Total other accrued expenses and current liabilities	\$ 147,009	\$ 157,242	

The deferred acquisition consideration of \$4.4 million as of June 30, 2012 includes \$3.1 million of contingent consideration associated with the TCL acquisition in the U.K. (see Note 4) and \$1.3 million related to amounts retained by the Company to secure the Seller s indemnification obligations in connection with two past U.K. acquisitions.

NOTE 13. LONG TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Convertible notes payable	\$ 300,000	\$ 300,000
Bank credit facility term loans	138,750	146,250
Bank credit facility revolver loans	125,000	
Principal amount of long-term debt	563,750	446,250
Less unamortized discount	(24,289)	(36,313)
Total long-term debt	539,461	409,937
Less current portion	(7,500)	(7,500)
Long-term debt, net of current portion	\$ 531,961	\$ 402,437

70

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Bank Credit Facility

The Company has a \$750.0 million credit facility (the Credit Facility), which consists of a \$600.0 million revolving credit facility (the Revolving Facility) and a \$150.0 million term loan (the Term Loan). The Revolving Facility has subfacilities of \$50.0 million for same-day swing line loan borrowings and \$25.0 million for stand-by letters of credit. The Credit Facility was entered into on October 21, 2010 and replaced the Company s then outstanding term loan and revolving credit facility.

Subsequent to entering into the Credit Facility, CACI amended the Credit Facility to increase its ability to do share repurchases, modify the margins applicable to the determination of the interest rate and the unused fees under the Credit Agreement, extend the maturity date of the Credit Facility from October 21, 2015 to November 18, 2016, and increase from \$200.0 million to \$300.0 million the permitted aggregate amount of incremental facilities that may be added by amendment to the Credit Facility.

The Revolving Facility is a secured facility that permits continuously renewable borrowings of up to \$600.0 million. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had \$125.0 million outstanding under the Revolving Facility, no borrowings on the swing line and no outstanding letters of credit. The Company pays a quarterly facility fee for the unused portion of the Revolving Facility.

The Term Loan is a five-year secured facility under which principal payments are due in quarterly installments of \$1.9 million through September 30, 2015 and \$3.8 million thereafter until September 30, 2016, with the balance due in full on November 18, 2016.

At any time and so long as no default has occurred, the Company has the right to increase the Term Loan or Revolving Facility in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$300.0 million with applicable lender approvals. The Credit Facility is available to refinance existing indebtedness and for general corporate purposes, including working capital expenses and capital expenditures.

The interest rates applicable to loans under the Credit Facility are floating interest rates that, at the Company s option, equal a base rate or a Eurodollar rate plus, in each case, an applicable margin based upon the Company s consolidated total leverage ratio. As of June 30, 2012, the effective interest rate, excluding the effect of amortization of debt financing costs, for the outstanding borrowings under the Credit Facility was 1.74 percent.

The Credit Facility requires the Company to comply with certain financial covenants, including a maximum senior secured leverage ratio, a maximum total leverage ratio and a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio. The Credit Facility also includes customary negative covenants restricting or limiting the Company s ability to guarantee or incur additional indebtedness, grant liens or other security interests to third parties, make loans or investments, transfer assets, declare dividends or redeem or repurchase capital stock or make other distributions, prepay subordinated indebtedness and engage in mergers, acquisitions or other business combinations, in each case except as expressly permitted under

the Credit Facility. Since the inception of the Credit Facility, the Company has been in compliance with all of the financial covenants. A majority of the Company s assets serve as collateral under the Credit Facility.

The Company capitalized \$7.3 million of debt issuance costs associated with the origination and amendment of the Credit Facility. All debt issuance costs are being amortized from the date incurred to the expiration date of the Credit Facility. The unamortized balance of \$5.0 million at June 30, 2012 is included in other assets.

71

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Convertible Notes Payable

Effective May 16, 2007, the Company issued the Notes in a private placement. The Notes were issued at par value and are subordinate to the Company's senior secured debt. Interest on the Notes is payable on May 1 and November 1 of each year.

Holders may convert their notes at a conversion rate of 18.2989 shares of CACI common stock for each \$1,000 of note principal (an initial conversion price of \$54.65 per share) under the following circumstances: 1) if the last reported sale price of CACI stock is greater than or equal to 130 percent of the applicable conversion price for at least 20 trading days in the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding fiscal quarter; 2) during the five consecutive business day period immediately after any ten consecutive trading day period (the note measurement period) in which the average of the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of convertible note was equal to or less than 97 percent of the average product of the closing price of a share of the Company s common stock and the conversion rate of each date during the note measurement period; 3) upon the occurrence of certain corporate events constituting a fundamental change, as defined in the indenture governing the Notes; or 4) during the last three-month period prior to maturity. CACI is required to satisfy 100 percent of the principal amount of these notes solely in cash, with any amounts above the principal amount to be satisfied in common stock. As of June 30, 2012, none of the conditions permitting conversion of the Notes had been satisfied.

In the event of a fundamental change, as defined in the indenture governing the Notes, holders may require the Company to repurchase the Notes at a price equal to the principal amount plus any accrued interest. Also, if certain fundamental changes occur prior to maturity, the Company will in certain circumstances increase the conversion rate by a number of additional shares of common stock or, in lieu thereof, the Company may in certain circumstances elect to adjust the conversion rate and related conversion obligation so that these notes are convertible into shares of the acquiring or surviving company. The Company is not permitted to redeem the Notes.

The Company separately accounts for the liability and the equity (conversion option) components of the Notes and recognizes interest expense on the Notes using an interest rate in effect for comparable debt instruments that do not contain conversion features. The effective interest rate for the Notes excluding the conversion option was determined to be 6.9 percent.

The fair value of the liability component of the Notes was calculated to be \$221.9 million at May 16, 2007, the date of issuance. The excess of the \$300.0 million of gross proceeds over the \$221.9 million fair value of the liability component, or \$78.1 million, represents the fair value of the equity component, which was recorded, net of income tax effect, as additional paid-in capital within shareholders equity. This \$78.1 million difference represents a debt discount that is amortized over the seven-year term of the Notes as a non-cash component of interest expense. The components of interest expense related to the Notes were as follows (in thousands):

	•	Year Ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	2010	
Coupon interest	\$ 6,375	\$ 6,375	\$ 6,375	
Non-cash amortization of discount	12,024	11,235	10,499	

Amortization of issuance costs	820	820	820
Total	\$ 19,219	\$ 18,430	\$ 17,694

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The balance of the unamortized discount as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, was \$24.3 million and \$36.3 million, respectively. The discount will continue to be amortized as additional, non-cash interest expense over the remaining term of the Notes (through May 1, 2014) using the effective interest method as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal year ending June 30,	Amount Amortized During Period
2013 2014	\$ 12,868 11,421
	\$ 24,289

The fair value of the Notes as of June 30, 2012 was \$342.4 million based on quoted market values.

The contingently issuable shares that may result from the conversion of the Notes were included in CACI s diluted share count for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 because CACI s average stock price during the third quarter of the year ended June 30, 2012 and the third and fourth quarters of the year ended June 30, 2011 was above the conversion price of \$54.65 per share. The contingently issuable shares were not included in CACI s diluted share count for the year ended June 30, 2010 because CACI s average stock price during each three month period in that year was below the conversion price. Of total debt issuance costs of \$7.8 million, \$5.8 million is being amortized to interest expense over seven years. The remaining \$2.0 million of debt issuance costs attributable to the embedded conversion option was recorded in additional paid-in capital. Upon closing of the sale of the Notes, \$45.5 million of the net proceeds was used to concurrently repurchase one million shares of CACI s common stock.

In connection with the issuance of the Notes, the Company purchased in a private transaction at a cost of \$84.4 million call options (the Call Options) to purchase approximately 5.5 million shares of its common stock at a price equal to the conversion price of \$54.65 per share. The cost of the Call Options was recorded as a reduction of additional paid-in capital. The Call Options allow CACI to receive shares of its common stock from the counterparties equal to the amount of common stock related to the excess conversion value that CACI would pay the holders of the Notes upon conversion.

For income tax reporting purposes, the Notes and the Call Options are integrated. This created an original issue discount for income tax reporting purposes, and therefore the cost of the Call Options is being accounted for as interest expense over the term of the Notes for income tax reporting purposes. The associated income tax benefit of \$32.8 million to be realized for income tax reporting purposes over the term of the Notes was recorded as an increase in additional paid-in capital and a long-term deferred tax asset. The majority of this deferred tax asset is offset in the Company s balance sheet by the \$30.7 million deferred tax liability associated with the non-cash interest expense to be recorded for financial reporting purposes.

In addition, the Company sold warrants (the Warrants) to issue approximately 5.5 million shares of CACI common stock at an exercise price of \$68.31 per share. The proceeds from the sale of the Warrants totaled \$56.5 million and were recorded as an increase to additional paid-in capital.

On a combined basis, the Call Options and the Warrants are intended to reduce the potential dilution of CACI s common stock in the event that the Notes are converted by effectively increasing the conversion price of these notes from \$54.65 to \$68.31. The Call Options are anti-dilutive and are therefore excluded from the calculation of diluted shares outstanding. The Warrants will result in additional diluted shares outstanding if CACI s average common stock price exceeds \$68.31. The Call Options and the Warrants are separate and legally distinct instruments that bind CACI and the counterparties and have no binding effect on the holders of the Notes.

73

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Cash Flow Hedges

The Company periodically uses derivative financial instruments as part of a strategy to manage exposure to market risks associated with interest rate fluctuations. On April 5, 2012, the Company entered into two floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements for an aggregate notional amount of \$100.0 million (\$50.0 million for each agreement) related to a portion of the Company s floating rate indebtedness. The agreements are effective beginning July 1, 2013 and mature July 1, 2017. The Company designated the interest rate swap agreements as cash flow hedges. As cash flow hedges, unrealized gains are recognized as assets while unrealized losses are recognized as liabilities. The interest rate swap agreements are highly correlated to the changes in interest rates to which the Company is exposed. Unrealized gains and losses on these swaps are designated as effective or ineffective. The effective portion of such gains or losses is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income or loss, while the ineffective portion of such gains or losses will be recorded as a component of interest expense. Future realized gains and losses in connection with each required interest payment will be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income or loss to interest expense.

In 2007, the Company entered into two interest rate swap agreements and in 2008, the Company entered into an interest rate cap agreement. Both agreements qualified as effective hedges and both expired during the Company s fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. The Company does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes.

The effect of derivative instruments in the consolidated statements of operations and accumulated other comprehensive loss for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010 is as follows (in thousands):

	Inter	Interest Rate Swaps		
	2012	2011	2010	
(Loss) gain recognized in other comprehensive income	\$ (1,332)	\$	\$ 1,045	
Loss reclassified to earnings from accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$	\$	\$ (1,817)	

The aggregate maturities of long-term debt at June 30, 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

Year ending June 30,	
2013	\$ 7,500
2014	307,500
2015	7,500
2016	13,125
2017	228.125

Principal amount of long-term debt Less unamortized discount	563,750 (24,289)
Less unamortized discount	(24,209)
Total long-term debt	\$ 539,461

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 14. LEASES

The Company conducts its operations from leased office facilities, all of which are classified as operating leases and expire over the next 10 years. Future minimum lease payments due under non-cancelable leases as of June 30, 2012, are as follows (in thousands):

Year ending June 30:	
2013	\$ 41,316
2014	38,567
2015	36,623
2016	29,527
2017	23,885
Thereafter	65,868
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 235,786

The minimum lease payments above are shown net of sublease rental income of \$0.2 million scheduled to be received over the next 31 months under non-cancelable sublease agreements.

Rent expense incurred under operating leases for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010 totaled \$46.4 million, \$45.9 million, and \$43.0 million, respectively.

NOTE 15. OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

Other long-term liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Deferred rent, net of current portion	28,113	25,983
Reserve for unrecognized tax benefits	6,245	5,095
Deferred revenue	5,533	119
Deferred acquisition and contingent consideration	4,760	526
Accrued post-retirement obligations	4,143	3,447
Interest rate swap agreements	2,196	

Other	961	2,696
Total other long-term liabilities	\$ 51,951	\$ 37,866

Deferred rent liabilities result from recording rent expense and incentives for tenant improvements on a straight-line basis over the life of the respective lease.

The deferred acquisition and contingent consideration of \$4.8 million at June 30, 2012 is related to acquisitions made by the Company during the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 and consists of \$3.0 million of contingent consideration and \$1.8 million related to amounts retained by the Company to secure the seller s indemnification obligations in connection with two U.K. acquisitions.

Accrued post-retirement obligations include projected liabilities for benefits the Company is obligated to provide under a long-term care, a group health, and an executive life insurance plan, each of which is unfunded. Plan benefits are provided to certain current and former executives, their dependents and other eligible

75

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

employees, as defined. The post-retirement obligations also include accrued benefits under supplemental retirement benefit plans covering certain executives. The costs under these plans were \$0.4 million during the year ended June 30, 2012.

On April 15, 2012, the Company entered into two floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements related to a portion of the Company s floating rate indebtedness (see Note 13). The fair value of the swap agreements as of June 30, 2012 is a liability of \$2.2 million.

NOTE 16. BUSINESS SEGMENT, CUSTOMER AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

Segment Information

The Company reports operating results and financial data in two segments: domestic operations and international operations. Domestic operations provide information solutions and services to its customers. Its customers are primarily U.S. federal government agencies. The Company does not measure revenue or profit by its major service offerings, either for internal management or external financial reporting purposes, as it would be impractical to do so. In many cases more than one offering is provided under a single contract, to a single customer, or by a single employee or group of employees, and segregating the costs of the service offerings in situations for which it is not required would be difficult and costly. The Company also serves customers in the commercial and state and local governments sectors and, from time to time, serves agencies of foreign governments. The Company places employees in locations around the world in support of its clients. International operations offer services to both commercial and non-U.S. government customers primarily through the Company s knowledge management solutions, business systems solutions, and enterprise IT solutions lines of business. The Company evaluates the performance of its operating segments based on net income attributable to CACI. Summarized financial information concerning the Company s reportable segments is shown in the following tables.

76

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

	Domestic Operations	International Operations (in thousands)	Total
Year Ended June 30, 2012			
Revenue from external customers	\$ 3,659,367	\$ 115,106	\$ 3,774,473
Net income attributable to CACI	159,421	8,033	167,454
Net assets	1,061,360	103,085	1,164,445
Goodwill	1,325,814	81,139	1,406,953
Total long-term assets	1,605,380	101,704	1,707,084
Total assets	2,238,134	154,742	2,392,876
Capital expenditures	16,613	1,671	18,284
Depreciation and amortization	52,865	3,097	55,962
Year Ended June 30, 2011			
Revenue from external customers	\$ 3,459,715	\$ 118,065	\$ 3,577,780
Net income attributable to CACI	135,158	9,060	144,218
Net assets	1,211,517	98,099	1,309,616
Goodwill	1,200,091	66,194	1,266,285
Total long-term assets	1,457,505	80,548	1,538,053
Total assets	2,176,380	143,751	2,320,131
Capital expenditures	13,264	1,124	14,388
Depreciation and amortization	53,179	2,888	56,067
Year Ended June 30, 2010			
Revenue from external customers	\$ 3,032,341	\$ 116,790	\$ 3,149,131
Net income attributable to CACI	98,649	7,866	106,515
Net assets	1,090,795	82,360	1,173,155
Goodwill	1,105,055	56,806	1,161,861
Total long-term assets	1,333,876	70,144	1,404,020
Total assets	2,122,510	122,256	2,244,766
Capital expenditures	20,954	1,549	22,503
Depreciation and amortization	50,095	2,944	53,039

Interest income and interest expense are not presented above as the amounts attributable to the Company s international operations are insignificant.

Customer Information

The Company earned 94.5 percent, 94.9 percent and 94.8 percent of its revenue from various agencies and departments of the U.S. government for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Revenue by customer sector was as follows (dollars in thousands):

Year ended June 30, 2012 % 2011 % 2010 %

Edgar Filing: CACI INTERNATIONAL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Department of Defense	\$ 2,944,924	78.0%	\$ 2,858,721	79.9%	\$ 2,450,463	77.8%
Federal civilian agencies	620,870	16.5	537,687	15.0	535,467	17.0
Commercial and other	193,840	5.1	166,966	4.7	146,839	4.7
State and local governments	14,839	0.4	14,406	0.4	16,362	0.5
Total revenue	\$ 3,774,473	100.0%	\$ 3,577,780	100.0%	\$ 3,149,131	100.0%

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Geographic Information

Revenue and net assets are attributed to geographic areas based on the location of the reportable segment s management and are disclosed above.

NOTE 17. INVESTMENTS IN JOINT VENTURES

AC FIRST LLC

In July 2009, the Company entered into a joint venture with AECOM Government Services, Inc. (AGS), a division of AECOM Technology Corporation, called AC FIRST LLC (AC FIRST). The companies partnered in the venture to jointly pursue work under a U.S. Army contract. The Company owns 49 percent of AC FIRST and AGS owns 51 percent. The Company accounts for its interest in AC FIRST using the equity method of accounting. The Company s investment in AC FIRST as of June 30, 2012 and 2011 was \$11.9 million and \$10.1 million, respectively, and is included in other long-term assets on the Company s consolidated balance sheets. The Company s maximum exposure to loss cannot be determined as any losses incurred by AC FIRST would be allocated to each partner based on the joint venture agreement, however, AC FIRST has not experienced any losses to date. During the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company s share of the net income of AC FIRST was \$1.7 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. These amounts are included in interest expense and other, net on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The Company has determined that the primary beneficiary of AC FIRST is AGS as AGS owns the majority of AC FIRST and controls its operations. The Company made no contributions in cash to AC FIRST during the year ended June 30, 2012.

eVenture Technologies LLC

eVenture Technologies LLC (eVentures) is a joint venture between the Company and ActioNet, Inc. (ActioNet), and is the entity through which work is being performed on a contract awarded in January 2007 by the United States Navy. The Company owns 60 percent of eVentures and ActioNet owns the remaining 40 percent. eVentures was funded through capital contributions made by the Company and by ActioNet. As the Company owns and controls more than 50 percent of eVentures, the Company s results include those of eVentures. ActioNet s share of eVentures assets, liabilities, results of operations, and cash flows have been accounted for as a noncontrolling interest.

NOTE 18. OTHER COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

General Legal Matters

The Company is involved in various lawsuits, claims, and administrative proceedings arising in the normal course of business. Management is of the opinion that any liability or loss associated with such matters, either individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s operations and liquidity.

Iraq Investigations

On April 26, 2004, the Company received information indicating that one of its employees was identified in a report authored by U.S. Army Major General Antonio M. Taguba as being connected to allegations of abuse of Iraqi detainees at the Abu Ghraib prison facility. To date, despite the Taguba Report and the subsequently-issued Fay Report addressing alleged inappropriate conduct at Abu Ghraib, no present or former employee of the Company has been officially charged with any offense in connection with the Abu Ghraib allegations.

The Company does not believe the outcome of this matter will have a material adverse effect on its financial statements.

78

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Government Contracting

Payments to the Company on cost-plus-fee and time-and-materials contracts are subject to adjustment upon audit by the DCAA. The DCAA is currently in the process of auditing the Company s incurred cost submissions for the years ended June 30, 2006 and 2007. In the opinion of management, audit adjustments that may result from audits not yet completed or started are not expected to have a material effect on the Company s financial position, results of operations, or cash flows as the Company has accrued its best estimate of potential disallowances. Additionally, the DCAA continually reviews the cost accounting and other practices of government contractors, including the Company. In the course of those reviews, cost accounting and other issues are identified, discussed and settled.

In December 2010, the Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA) issued a letter to the Company with its determination that the Company improperly allocated certain legal costs incurred in connection with the Iraq investigations described above. The Company does not agree with the DCMA s findings and, on March 9, 2011, filed a Notice of Appeal in the Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals. The Company s appeal is pending. The Company has not accrued any liability for this matter, as based on its present knowledge of the facts, it does not believe an unfavorable outcome on the matter of allocability is probable.

On March 26, 2012, the Company received a subpoena from the Defense Criminal Investigative Service seeking documents related to one of the Company s contracts for the period of January 1, 2007 through March 26, 2012. The Company is providing documents responsive to the subpoena and cooperating fully with the government s investigation. The Company has accrued its current best estimate of the potential outcome within its estimated range of \$0.8 million to \$1.8 million.

On April 9, 2012, the Company received a letter from the Department of Justice (DoJ) informing the Company that the DoJ is investigating whether the Company violated the civil False Claims Act by submitting false claims to receive federal funds pursuant to a GSA contract. Specifically, the DoJ is investigating whether the Company failed to comply with contract requirements and applicable regulations by improperly billing for certain contracting personnel under the contract. The Company is reviewing this matter and has not accrued any liability as based on its present knowledge of the facts, it does not believe an unfavorable outcome is probable.

German Value-Added Taxes

The Company is under audit by the German tax authorities for issues related to value-added tax returns. At this time, the Company has not been assessed any deficiency and, based on sound factual and legal precedent, believes it is in compliance with the applicable value-added tax regulations. The Company has not accrued any liability for this matter because an unfavorable outcome is not considered probable. The Company estimates the range of reasonably possible losses to be between \$1.5 million and \$3.5 million.

NOTE 19. INCOME TAXES

The domestic and foreign components of income before provision for income taxes are as follows (in thousands):

		Year ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	2010		
Domestic	\$ 263,790	\$ 215,200	\$ 156,024		
Foreign	11,201	12,123	11,662		
Income before income taxes	\$ 274,991	\$ 227,323	\$ 167,686		

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The components of income tax expense are as follows (in thousands):

Year ended June 30,		
2012	2011	2010
\$ 76,874	\$ 59,095	\$ 51,572
16,678	13,578	11,155
3,332	2,845	3,147
96,884	75,518	65,874
9,000	6,175	(4,082)
1,458	1,194	(820)
195	218	199
10,653	7,587	(4,703)
,	,	():)
\$ 107,537	\$ 83,105	\$61,171
	\$ 76,874 16,678 3,332 96,884 9,000 1,458 195	2012 2011 \$ 76,874 \$ 59,095 16,678 13,578 3,332 2,845 96,884 75,518 9,000 6,175 1,458 1,194 195 218 10,653 7,587

Income tax expense differs from the amounts computed by applying the statutory U.S. income tax rate of 35 percent as a result of the following (in thousands):

	Year ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	2010
Expected tax expense computed at federal rate	\$ 96,247	\$ 79,563	\$ 58,690
State and local taxes, net of federal benefit	11,788	9,602	6,759
Nondeductible (nonincludible) items	2,065	(1,965)	(861)
Incremental effect of foreign tax rates	(1,026)	(914)	(830)
Other	(1,537)	(3,181)	(2,587)
Total income tax expense	\$ 107,537	\$ 83,105	\$61,171

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to deferred taxes are presented below (in thousands):

June 30, 2012 2011

Edgar Filing: CACI INTERNATIONAL INC /DE/ - Form 10-K

Deferred tax assets:		
Deferred compensation and post-retirement obligations	\$ 31,880	\$ 27,977
Reserves and accruals	28,289	29,945
Stock-based compensation	26,682	28,768
Deferred rent	3,130	2,929
Original issue discount related to the Notes	486	883
Other	4,217	1,323
Total deferred tax assets	94,684	91,825
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Goodwill and other intangible assets	(143,616)	(121,842)
Unbilled revenue	(9,448)	(11,758)
Prepaid expenses	(4,313)	(4,011)
Other	(6,974)	(6,257)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(164,351)	(143,868)
Net deferred tax liability	\$ (69,667)	\$ (52,043)

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The Company is subject to income taxes in the U.S. and various state and foreign jurisdictions. Tax statutes and regulations within each jurisdiction are subject to interpretation and require the application of significant judgment. The Company s consolidated federal income tax returns through June 30, 2008 are no longer subject to audit. The Company is currently under examination by three state jurisdictions and one foreign jurisdiction for years ended June 30, 2003 through June 30, 2009. The Company does not expect the resolution of these examinations to have a material impact on its results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

During the years ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011, the Company s income tax expense was favorably impacted by non-taxable gains on assets invested in corporate-owned life insurance (COLI) policies, tax benefits related to deductions claimed for income from domestic production activities and interest earned from refunds due on prior year tax returns.

In connection with the issuance of the Notes referred to in Note 13, there was original issue discount (OID) created for income tax purposes. Over the term of the Notes, this OID will generate additional interest expense for income tax reporting purposes.

U.S. income taxes have not been provided for with respect to undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries that have been permanently reinvested outside the United States. As of June 30, 2012, the estimated deferred liability associated with these undistributed earnings is approximately \$6.7 million.

The Company s total liability for unrecognized tax benefits as of June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$7.0 million, \$5.9 million and \$5.2 million, respectively. Of the \$7.0 million unrecognized tax benefit at June 30, 2012, \$2.4 million, if recognized, would impact the Company s effective tax rate. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized benefits is shown in the table below (in thousands):

	Y	Year ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	2010	
Beginning of year	\$ 5,897	\$ 5,189	\$ 11,945	
Additions based on current year tax positions	1,181	2,711	1,323	
Reductions based on prior year tax positions		(2,003)	(7,332)	
Lapse of statute of limitations	(65)		(630)	
Settlements with taxing authorities			(117)	
End of year	\$ 7,013	\$ 5,897	\$ 5,189	

The Company recognizes net interest and penalties as a component of income tax expense. During the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company s income tax expense was reduced by \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, related to interest earned in connection with amended returns and carryback claims filed by the Company related to prior years. Over the next 12 months, the Company does not expect a significant increase or decrease in the unrecognized tax benefits recorded at June 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2012, \$6.2 million of the unrecognized tax benefits are included in other long-term liabilities, with the remainder included in other balance sheet accounts.

NOTE 20. RETIREMENT SAVINGS PLANS

401(k) Plan

The Company maintains a defined contribution plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, the CACI \$MART Plan (the 401(k) Plan). Employees can contribute up to 75 percent (subject to certain statutory limitations) of their total cash compensation. The Company provides matching contributions equal to 50 percent

81

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

of the amount of salary deferral employees elect, up to 6 percent of each employee s total calendar year cash compensation, as defined. The Company may also make discretionary profit sharing contributions to the 401(k) Plan. Employee contributions vest immediately. Employer contributions vest in full after three years of employment. Total Company contributions to the 401(k) Plan for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010 were \$26.1 million, \$21.6 million, and \$17.4 million, respectively. During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company amended the 401(k) Plan to provide that non-vested balances are forfeited upon the earlier of a distribution being taken or on December 31 of the year the participant terminated employment at the Company. Previously, non-vested balances were forfeited upon the earlier of a distribution being taken or on December 31 following a five year break in service. This change increased the amount of forfeitures available to offset Company contributions during the year ended June 30, 2010.

U.K. Defined Contribution Plan

The Company maintains a defined contribution plan in the U.K. Under the plan, employees can elect the amount of pension contributions that they wish to make out of their flexible benefit entitlements subject to certain U.K. tax limits. The contributions are deemed to be company contributions and vest immediately. Employees may also elect to make personal contributions into the plan. Contributions to this plan and its predecessor plans for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010 were \$1.8 million, \$1.5 million, and \$1.5 million, respectively.

Supplemental Savings Plan

The Company maintains the Supplemental Savings Plan through which, on a calendar year basis, officers at the director level and above can elect to defer for contribution to the Supplemental Savings Plan up to 50 percent of their base compensation and up to 100 percent of their bonuses and commissions. Prior to January 1, 2011, officers at the vice president level and above were eligible to participate. During the year ended June 30, 2011, the Supplemental Savings Plan was amended to allow employees at the director level to participate. The Company provides a contribution of 5 percent of compensation for each participant s compensation that exceeds the limit as set forth in IRC 401(a)(17) (currently \$250,000 per year). The Company also has the option to make annual discretionary contributions. Company contributions vest over a 5-year period, and vesting is accelerated in the event of a change of control of the Company. Participant deferrals and Company contributions will be credited with the rate of return based on the investment options and asset allocations selected by the Participant. Participants may change their asset allocation as often as daily, if they so choose. A Rabbi Trust has been established to hold and provide a measure of security for the investments that finance benefit payments. Distributions from the Supplemental Savings Plan are made upon retirement, termination, death, or total disability. The Supplemental Savings Plan also allows for in-service distributions.

Supplemental Savings Plan obligations due to participants totaled \$76.6 million at June 30, 2012, of which \$3.4 million is included in accrued compensation and benefits in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. Supplemental Savings Plan obligations increased by \$9.3 million during the year ended June 30, 2012, consisting of \$1.4 million of investment gains, \$12.2 million of participant compensation deferrals, and \$1.4 million of Company contributions, offset by \$5.7 million of distributions.

The Company maintains investment assets in a Rabbi Trust to offset the obligations under the Supplemental Savings Plan. The value of the investments in the Rabbi Trust was \$77.4 million at June 30, 2012. Investment gains were \$1.2 million for the year ended June 30, 2012.

Contribution expense for the Supplemental Savings Plan during the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010, was \$1.2 million, \$1.2 million, and \$0.9 million, respectively.

82

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 21. STOCK PLANS AND STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

For stock options, SSARs and non-performance-based RSUs, stock-based compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis ratably over the respective vesting periods. For RSUs subject to graded vesting schedules for which vesting is based on achievement of a performance metric in addition to grantee service (performance-based RSUs), stock-based compensation expense is recognized on an accelerated basis by treating each vesting tranche as if it was a separate grant. A summary of the components of stock-based compensation expense recognized during the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010, together with the income tax benefits realized, is as follows (in thousands):

	Year ended June 30,		
	2012	2011	2010
Stock-based compensation included in indirect costs and selling expense:			
SSARs and non-qualified stock option expense	\$ 1,973	\$ 3,714	\$ 8,484
Restricted stock and RSU expense	13,526	14,201	22,266
Total stock-based compensation expense	\$ 15,499	\$ 17,915	\$ 30,750
Income tax benefit recognized for stock-based compensation expense	\$ 6,062	\$ 6,549	\$ 11,218

The Company recognizes the effect of expected forfeitures of equity grants by estimating an expected forfeiture rate for grants of equity instruments. Amounts recognized for expected forfeitures are subsequently adjusted periodically and at major vesting dates to reflect actual forfeitures.

The incremental income tax benefits realized upon the exercise or vesting of equity instruments are reported as financing cash flows. During the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010, the Company recognized \$0.4 million, \$2.2 million, and \$0.2 million of excess tax benefits, respectively, which have been reported as financing cash inflows in the accompanying consolidated statements of cash flows.

Equity Grants and Valuation

Under the terms of its 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan), the Company may issue, among others, non-qualified stock options, restricted stock, RSUs, SSARs, and performance awards, collectively referred to herein as equity instruments. During the periods presented, all equity instrument grants were made in the form of RSUs. Annual grants under the 2006 Plan are generally made to the Company s key employees during the first quarter of the Company s fiscal year and to members of the Company s Board of Directors during the second quarter of the Company s fiscal year. With the approval of its Chief Executive Officer, the Company also issues equity instruments to strategic new hires and to employees who have demonstrated superior performance.

On September 1, 2011, the Company made its annual grant to key employees, in the form of performance-based RSUs. The initial number of RSUs granted was 721,540 representing the maximum numbers of RSUs that could be earned. The final number of such performance-based RSUs which will vest is based on the achievement of an increased net after tax profit (NATP) for the year ended June 30, 2012 as compared to NATP for the year ended June 30, 2011 (this objective was satisfied during the fourth quarter of the year ended June 30, 2012) and on the average share price of Company stock for the 90 day period ending September 1, 2012 as compared to the average share price for the 90 day period ended September 1, 2011. Once the final number of RSUs has been determined, one-half of the RSUs will vest three years from the grant date and one-half will vest four years from the grant date, subject to continued service by the grantee.

83

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

On September 1, 2010, the Company made its annual grant to key employees, in the form of performance-based RSUs. The initial number of RSUs granted was 727,880 representing the maximum numbers of RSUs that could be earned. The final number of such performance-based RSUs which were earned based on the achievement of an increased NATP for the year ended June 30, 2011 as compared to NATP for the year ended June 30, 2010 and on the average share price of Company stock for the 90 day period ended September 1, 2011 as compared to the average share price for the 90 day period ended September 1, 2010 was 557,865. One-half of the RSUs will vest three years from the grant date and one-half will vest four years from the grant date, subject to continued service by the grantee.

For purposes of determining whether the performance metric was achieved, NATP for each of the three years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was the same as the Company s net income attributable to CACI as reported on the consolidated statements of operations for the same year.

The Company also issues equity instruments in the form of RSUs under its Management Stock Purchase Plan (MSPP) and Director Stock Purchase Plan (DSPP). In addition, annual grants are made to members of the Company s Board of Directors in the form of a set dollar value of RSUs. Grants to members of the Board of Directors vest based on the passage of time and continued service as a Director of the Company.

Upon the exercise of stock options and SSARs and the vesting of restricted shares and RSUs, the Company fulfills its obligations under the equity instrument agreements by either issuing new shares of authorized common stock or by issuing shares from treasury. The total number of shares authorized by shareholders for grants under the 2006 Plan and its predecessor plan was 12,450,000 as of June 30, 2012. The aggregate number of grants that may be made may exceed this approved amount as forfeited SSARs, stock options, restricted stock and RSUs, and vested but unexercised SSARs and stock options that expire, become available for future grants. As of June 30, 2012, cumulative grants of 12,306,409 equity instruments underlying the shares authorized have been awarded, and 2,569,634 of these instruments have been forfeited.

Non-qualified stock options granted prior to January 1, 2004 lapse and are no longer exercisable if not exercised within ten years of the date of grant. Equity instruments granted on or after January 1, 2004 have a term of seven years. For SSAR and stock option awards, grantees whose employment has terminated have 60 days after their termination date to exercise vested SSARs and stock options, or they forfeit their right to the instruments. Grantees whose employment is terminated due to death or permanent disability will vest in 100 percent of their equity instrument grants. Also, effective for grants made on or after July 1, 2004, grantees who were age 62 on or before July 1, 2008 who retire on or after age 65 will vest in 100 percent of their equity instrument grants upon retirement, with the exception of performance-based RSUs, which must be held at least until the measurement period is complete. Grantees who were not age 62 on or before July 1, 2008, who retire on or after age 62, vest in a prorated portion of their equity instrument grants upon retirement, based upon their service during the vesting period.

Stock options vest ratably over a three, four, or five year period, depending on the year of grant. Restricted shares and non-performance-based RSUs vest in full three years from the date of grant. SSARs granted in prior years as part of the Company s then customary annual award vest ratably over a five year period in a manner consistent with the vesting of stock options.

Other than performance-based RSUs which contain a market-based element, the fair value of restricted shares and RSUs is determined based on the closing price of a share of the Company s common stock on the date of grant. The fair value of RSUs with market-based vesting features is

also measured on the grant date, but is done so using a binomial lattice model. The weighted-average fair value of RSUs granted during the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010, was \$47.34, \$43.79, and \$46.01, respectively. No stock options or SSARs were granted during the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 or 2010.

84

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Activity for all outstanding SSARs and stock options, and the corresponding exercise price and fair value information, for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010, is as follows:

			Weighted	Weighted
			Average	Average
	Number of Shares	Exercise Price	Exercise Price	Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding, June 30, 2009	3,379,045	\$ 9.25 - \$65.04	\$ 47.76	\$ 18.84
Exercisable, June 30, 2009	1,335,207	9.25 - 65.04	40.22	16.03
Exercised	(191,337)	9.25 - 46.37	29.21	11.17
Forfeited	(56,667)	45.77 - 62.48	51.10	19.55
Expired	(44,613)	11.19 - 64.36	60.59	23.44
Outstanding, June 30, 2010	3,086,428	9.94 - 65.04	48.66	19.23
	, ,			
Exercisable, June 30, 2010	1,455,220	9.94 - 65.04	44.99	18.08
	, , -			
Exercised	(791,722)	9.94 - 62.48	36.36	14.82
Forfeited	(85,460)	45.77 - 54.39	49.47	18.88
Expired	(98,942)	48.83 - 63.20	58.61	22.09
•	, , ,			
Outstanding, June 30, 2011	2,110,304	34.10 - 65.04	52.78	20.77
<i>C</i> , ,	, ,			
Exercisable, June 30, 2011	1,177,209	34.10 - 65.04	55.19	22.17
	-,,,-			
Exercised	(365,306)	34.10 - 62.48	48.72	19.10
Forfeited	(32,630)	45.77 - 54.39	48.64	17.95
Expired	(28,670)	48.83 - 62.48	60.20	19.19
1				
Outstanding, June 30, 2012	1,683,698	34.10 - 65.04	53.62	21.21
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-,,			
Exercisable, June 30, 2012	1,362,451	\$ 34.10 - \$65.04	\$ 54.79	\$ 22.01
Entertailed, value ou, burb	1,502,151	φ 2 1.10 φ 02.01	Ψ 31.77	Ψ 22.01

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Changes in the number of unvested SSARs and stock options and in unvested restricted stock and RSUs during each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2012, together with the corresponding weighted-average fair values, are as follows:

	SSAR Stock (Number of Shares		Restricted S Restricted S Number of Shares	
Unvested at June 30, 2009	2,043,838	\$ 20.67	578,814	\$ 49.37
Granted Vested Forfeited	(355,963) (56,667)	22.73 19.55	499,466 (101,715) (26,935)	46.01 51.56 48.13
Unvested at June 30, 2010	1,631,208	20.26	949,630	47.41
Granted Vested Forfeited	(612,653) (85,460)	22.38 18.88	800,112 (357,954) (69,687)	43.79 47.87 45.01
Unvested at June 30, 2011	933,095	18.99	1,322,101	45.23
Granted Vested Forfeited	(579,218) (32,630)	19.72 17.95	817,918 (266,658) (222,040)	47.34 48.09 46.59
Unvested at June 30, 2012	321,247	\$ 17.80	1,651,321	\$ 45.97

Information regarding the cash proceeds received, and the intrinsic value and total tax benefits realized resulting from stock option exercises is as follows (in thousands):

	Y	Year ended June 30,			
	2012	2011	2010		
Cash proceeds received	\$ 7,466	\$ 22,077	\$ 5,589		
Intrinsic value realized	\$ 3,865	\$ 14,561	\$ 1,557		
Income tax benefit realized	\$ 1,521	\$ 5,731	\$ 612		

The total intrinsic value of RSUs that vested during the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, and 2010 was \$13.4 million, \$15.4 million and \$4.5 million, respectively, and the tax benefit realized for these vestings was \$5.3 million, \$6.1 million and \$1.7 million, respectively.

The grant date fair value of stock options that vested during each of the years in the three-year period ended June 30, 2012 was \$11.4 million, \$13.7 million, and \$8.1 million, respectively.

86

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Outstanding SSAR and Stock Option Information

Information regarding the SSARs and stock options outstanding and exercisable as of June 30, 2012, is as follows (intrinsic value in thousands):

	SSA	ARs and Optio	ns Outstanding Weighted		SSA	ARs and Option	ons Exercisable Weighted	
Range of exercise Price	Number of Instruments	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Average Remaining Contractual Life	Intrinsic Value	Number of Instruments	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Average Remaining Contractual Life	Intrinsic Value
\$30.00-\$39.99	105,878	\$ 34.54	1.05	\$ 2,168	103,318	\$ 34.46	0.99	\$ 2,124
\$40.00-\$49.99	576,666	48.70	2.42	3,647	257,979	48.66	2.38	1,641
\$50.00-\$59.99	436,040	52.20	1.58	1,298	436,040	52.20	1.58	1,298
\$60.00-\$69.99	565,114	63.31	0.15		565,114	63.31	0.15	
	1,683,698	\$ 53.62	1.35	\$ 7,113	1,362,451	\$ 54.79	1.09	\$ 5,063

As of June 30, 2012, there was \$0.9 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to SSARs and stock options scheduled to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.0 years, and \$23.8 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock and RSUs scheduled to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.6 years.

Stock Purchase Plans

The Company adopted the 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP), MSPP and DSPP in November 2002, and implemented these plans beginning July 1, 2003. There are 1,000,000, 500,000, and 75,000 shares authorized for grants under the ESPP, MSPP and DSPP, respectively.

The ESPP allows eligible full-time employees to purchase shares of common stock at 95 percent of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the last day of the quarter. The maximum number of shares that an eligible employee can purchase during any quarter is equal to two times an amount determined as follows: 20 percent of such employee s compensation over the quarter, divided by 95 percent of the fair market value of a share of common stock on the last day of the quarter. The ESPP is a qualified plan under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code and, for financial reporting purposes, was amended effective July 1, 2005 so as to be considered non-compensatory. Accordingly, there is no stock-based compensation expense associated with shares acquired under the ESPP. As of June 30, 2012, participants have purchased 860,008 shares under the ESPP, at a weighted-average price per share of \$45.90. Of these shares, 67,828 were purchased by employees at a weighted-average price per share of \$54.48 during the year ended June 30, 2012. To satisfy its obligations under the ESPP, the Company can purchase shares in the open market, issue shares previously acquired and held in treasury or issue authorized but unissued shares. During the year ended June 30, 2012, the Company issued shares held in treasury to fulfill the employees share purchases.

The MSPP provides those senior executives with stock holding requirements a mechanism to receive RSUs in lieu of up to 100 percent of their annual bonus. For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, RSUs awarded in lieu of bonuses earned are granted at 85 percent of the closing price of a share of the Company s common stock on the date of the award, as reported by the New York Stock Exchange. RSUs granted under the MSPP vest at the earlier of 1) three years from the grant date, 2) upon a change of control of the Company, 3) upon a participant s retirement at or after age 65, or 4) upon a participant s death or permanent disability. Vested RSUs are settled in shares of common stock. The Company recognizes the value of the discount applied to RSUs granted under the MSPP as stock compensation expense ratably over the three-year vesting period.

87

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The DSPP allows directors to elect to receive RSUs at the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of the award in lieu of up to 100 percent of their annual retainer fees. Vested RSUs are settled in shares of common stock.

Activity related to the MSPP and the DSPP during the year ended June 30, 2012 is as follows:

	MSPP	DSPP
RSUs outstanding, June 30, 2011	77,492	668
Granted	10,309	943
Issued	(32,960)	(1,209)
Forfeited	(4,478)	
RSUs outstanding, June 30, 2012	50,363	402
Weighted average grant date fair value as adjusted for the applicable discount	\$ 42.61	
Weighted average grant date fair value		\$ 57.53

NOTE 22. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability between market participants in an orderly transaction. The market in which the reporting entity would sell the asset or transfer the liability with the greatest volume and level of activity for the asset or liability is known as the principal market. When no principal market exists, the most advantageous market is used. This is the market in which the reporting entity would sell the asset or transfer the liability with the price that maximizes the amount that would be received or minimizes the amount that would be paid. Fair value is based on assumptions market participants would make in pricing the asset or liability. Generally, fair value is based on observable quoted market prices or derived from observable market data when such market prices or data are available. When such prices or inputs are not available, the reporting entity should use valuation models.

The Company s financial assets and liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis are categorized based on the priority of the inputs used to measure fair value. The inputs used in measuring fair value are categorized into three levels, as follows:

Level 1 Inputs unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Inputs unadjusted quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable, and inputs derived from or corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3 Inputs amounts derived from valuation models in which unobservable inputs reflect the reporting entity s own assumptions about the assumptions of market participants that would be used in pricing the asset or liability.

As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company s financial instruments measured at fair value included non-COLI money market investments and mutual funds held in the Company s supplemental retirement savings plan (the Supplemental Savings Plan), interest rate swaps and contingent consideration in connection with business combinations. Contingent consideration recorded at June 30, 2012 related to the February 1, 2012 acquisition of TCL (see Note 4). Contingent consideration recorded as of June 30, 2011 related to three acquisitions completed during the year ended June 30, 2010.

88

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The following table summarizes the financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the level they fall within the fair value hierarchy (in thousands):

			_	une 30,
	Financial		2012	2011
	Statement	Fair Value		
Description of Financial Instrument	Classification	Hierarchy	Fair	Value
Non-COLI assets held in connection with the Supplemental Savings				
Plan	Long-term asset	Level 1	\$ 6,123	\$ 6,514
Contingent Consideration	Current liability	Level 3	\$ 3,055	\$ 20,839
Contingent Consideration	Other long-term liabilities	Level 3	\$ 2,942	\$
Interest rate swap agreements	Other long-term liabilities	Level 2	\$ 2,196	\$

Changes in the fair value of the assets held in connection with the Supplemental Savings Plan are recorded in indirect costs and selling expenses.

Contingent consideration at June 30, 2012 and 2011 related to the requirement that the Company pay contingent consideration in the event the acquired businesses achieved certain specified earnings results during the specified periods subsequent to each acquisition (one year in the case of TCL and two years in the case of the three acquisitions completed during the year ended June 30, 2010). The Company determines the fair value of contingent consideration as of each acquisition date using a valuation model which includes the evaluation of all possible outcomes and the application of an appropriate discount rate. At the end of each reporting period, the fair value of the contingent consideration is remeasured and any changes are recorded in indirect costs and selling expenses. During the year ended June 30, 2012, this remeasurement resulted in a \$0.4 million decrease to the liability recorded. During the year ended June 30, 2011, this remeasurement resulted in a \$9.6 million decrease in the liability recorded. The maximum contingent consideration associated with the TCL acquisition is approximately \$6.2 million. During the year ended June 30, 2012, the contingent consideration obligations for all three of the acquisitions completed during the year ended June 30, 2010 were fixed, with payments of \$20.3 million made in settlement of earned contingent consideration in connection with two of the acquisitions and the determination that no further payments were due in connection with the third acquisition.

During the year ended June 30, 2012, the Company entered into two interest rate swap agreements to manage its interest rate risk. The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves. To comply with the provisions of ASC 820, the Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty s nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements.

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 23. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Earnings per share and the weighted-average number of diluted shares are computed as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	Year ended June 30,					
	2	012	2	2011		2010
Net income attributable to CACI	\$ 16	7,454	\$ 14	44,218	\$ 1	06,515
Weighted-average number of basic shares outstanding during the period	2	27,077	3	30,281		30,138
Dilutive effect of SSARs/stock options and RSUs/restricted shares after application of treasury stock						
method		879		816		538
Dilutive effect of the Notes		111		203		
Dilutive effect of accelerated share repurchase agreement		44				
Weighted-average number of diluted shares outstanding during the period	2	28,111	3	31,300		30,676
Basic earnings per share	\$	6.18	\$	4.76	\$	3.53
Diluted earnings per share	\$	5.96	\$	4.61	\$	3.47

The total number of weighted-average common stock equivalents excluded from the diluted per share computations due to their anti-dilutive effects for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010, were 0.7 million, 1.9 million, and 2.4 million, respectively. The shares underlying the performance-based RSUs granted in September 2011 are included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the year ended June 30, 2012, as the NATP performance metric associated with the shares was met and as if the performance metric based on the share price was computed as of June 30, 2012. The shares earned under the performance-based RSUs granted in September 2010 are included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the year ended June 30, 2012, as all performance metrics associated with the shares were met and the final share calculation was determined. The shares underlying the performance-based RSUs granted in September 2010 are included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the year ended June 30, 2011, as the NATP performance metric associated with the shares was met during that period and as if the performance metric based on the share price was computed as of June 30, 2011. The contingently issuable shares that may result from the conversion of the Notes were included in CACI s diluted share count for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 because CACI s average stock price during the third quarter of the year ended June 30, 2012 and the third and fourth quarters of the year ended June 30, 2011 was above the conversion price of \$54.65 per share. The contingently issuable shares were not included in CACI s diluted share count for the year ended June 30, 2010 because CACI s average stock price during each three month period in that year was below the conversion price. The Warrants were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because the Warrants exercise price of \$68.31 was greater than the average market price of a share of Company common stock during the peri

On August 29, 2011, the Company entered into an accelerated share repurchase agreement with Bank of America N.A. (BofA) under which it paid an initial \$209.7 million for 4 million shares of the Company s common stock. The Company settled the accelerated share repurchase agreement in May 2012 by paying BofA an additional \$16.3 million. The Company recorded the total amount paid to BofA of \$226.0 million as treasury stock in its consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2012. This represents an average price of \$56.51 per share under the accelerated

share repurchase agreement.

In June 2012, the Company s Board of Directors approved a share repurchase program of up to 4 million shares of CACI s common stock. The Company entered into two 10b5-1 plans under which the Company repurchased 2 million shares of CACI s common stock at an average price of \$51.43 per share as of June 30, 2012. The Company completed the purchase of the remaining 2 million shares in July 2012.

90

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

During the year ended June 30, 2012, the Company repurchased 6 million shares of its common stock under these two programs for \$328.9 million, of which 0.2 million shares purchased for \$12.3 million were settled and paid for in the first quarter of the year ending June 30, 2013.

Shares outstanding during the year ended June 30, 2012, reflect the repurchase of shares of CACI s common stock under the accelerated share repurchase agreement and the 10b5-1 plans described above. Shares outstanding during the years ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 reflect the repurchase of shares under other approved share repurchase programs.

NOTE 24. COMMON STOCK DATA (UNAUDITED)

The ranges of high and low sales prices of the Company s common stock as reported by the New York Stock Exchange for each quarter during the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	20	2012		
Quarter	High	Low	High	Low
1 st	\$ 66.49	\$ 46.63	\$ 48.70	\$ 40.00
2 nd	\$ 59.45	\$ 46.36	\$ 54.11	\$ 43.61
3 rd	\$ 63.11	\$ 54.95	\$ 62.75	\$ 50.91
4 th	\$ 63.02	\$ 41.29	\$ 64.40	\$ 58.15

NOTE 25. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

This data is unaudited, but in the opinion of management, includes and reflects all adjustments that are normal and recurring in nature, and necessary, for a fair presentation of the selected data for these interim periods. Quarterly condensed financial operating results of the Company for the years ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, are presented below (in thousands except per share data).

	Year ended June 30, 2012			
	First	Second	Third	Fourth
Revenue	\$ 924,395	\$ 973,243	\$ 927,962	\$ 948,873
Income from operations	\$ 75,654	\$ 74,706	\$ 72,781	\$ 76,708
Net income attributable to CACI	\$ 42,140	\$ 41,061	\$ 40,856	\$ 43,397
Basic earnings per share	\$ 1.46	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.54	\$ 1.64
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 1.41	\$ 1.51	\$ 1.45	\$ 1.59
Weighted-average shares outstanding:				
Basic	28,915	26,450	26,537	26,407
Diluted	29,842	27,270	28,086	27,247

	Year ended June 30, 2011			
	First	Second	Third	Fourth
Revenue	\$ 833,971	\$ 867,278	\$ 913,369	\$ 963,162
Income from operations	\$ 52,097	\$ 59,435	\$ 61,785	\$ 78,084
Net income attributable to CACI	\$ 28,655	\$ 33,235	\$ 36,427	\$ 45,901
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.95	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.52
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.92	\$ 1.08	\$ 1.16	\$ 1.44
Weighted-average shares outstanding:				
Basic	30,304	30,288	30,373	30,162
Diluted	31,102	30,906	31,300	31,895

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

NOTE 26. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 2, 2012, the Company completed its transaction to acquire Delta Solutions and Technologies, Inc. (Delta), for \$42.5 million. Delta is a provider of financial management and business services to the federal government. This acquisition expands CACI s presence in the business system solutions and government transformation arenas and complements its 2011 acquisition of the Oracle-based Advanced Programs Group.

92

SCHEDULE II

CACI INTERNATIONAL INC

VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

FOR YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012, 2011 AND 2010

(in thousands)

	Balance at Beginning of Period	Additions at Cost	Deductions	Other Changes	Balance at End of Period
2012					
Reserves deducted from assets to which they apply:					
Allowances for doubtful accounts	\$ 3,738	\$ 2,583	\$ (2,689)	\$ (42)	\$ 3,590
2011 Reserves deducted from assets to which they apply: Allowances for doubtful accounts	\$ 3,212	\$ 1,802	\$ (1,383)	\$ 107	\$ 3,738
2010					
Reserves deducted from assets to which they apply:					
Allowances for doubtful accounts	\$ 3,501	\$ 1,285	\$ (1,394)	\$ (180)	\$ 3,212

Items included as Other Changes include acquisition date reserves of acquired businesses and foreign currency exchange differences.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, hereunto duly authorized, on the 28th day of August 2012.

CACI International Inc Registrant

Date: August 28, 2012 By:

/s/ DANIEL D. ALLEN **Daniel D. Allen**

President

Chief Executive Officer and Director

(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signatures	Title	Date
/s/ DANIEL D. ALLEN	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	August 28, 2012
Daniel D. Allen	•	
/s/ THOMAS A. MUTRYN	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial	August 28, 2012
Thomas A. Mutryn	Officer)	
/s/ CAROL P. HANNA	Senior Vice President, Corporate Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)	August 28, 2012
Carol P. Hanna		
/s/ DR. J. P. LONDON	Chairman of the Board, Executive Chairman	August 28, 2012
Dr. J. P. London		
/s/ PAUL M. COFONI	Director	August 28, 2012
Paul M. Cofoni		
/s/ JAMES S. GILMORE, III	Director	August 28, 2012
James S. Gilmore, III		

/s/ GREGORY G. JOHNSON Director August 28, 2012

Adm Gregory G. Johnson, USN (Ret.)

/s/ DR. RICHARD L. LEATHERWOOD Director August 28, 2012

Dr. Richard L. Leatherwood

/s/ JAMES L. PAVITT Director August 28, 2012

James L. Pavitt

94

Table of Contents

Signatures		Title	Date
/s/ DR. WARREN R. PHILLIPS	Director		August 28, 2012
Dr. Warren R. Phillips			
/s/ CHARLES P. REVOILE	Director		August 28, 2012
Charles P. Revoile			
/s/ WILLIAM S. WALLACE	Director		August 28, 2012
Gen William S. Wallace, USA (Ret.)			

95