MOODYS CORP /DE/ Form 10-Q July 30, 2012 Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# Form 10-Q

(Mark one)

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

Or

" TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-14037

# **Moody s Corporation**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State of Incorporation) 13-3998945 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

7 World Trade Center at

250 Greenwich Street, New York, N.Y. (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

10007 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code:

(212) 553-0300

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months, or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes " No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

**Title of Each Class**Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

Shares Outstanding at June 30, 2012 222.3 million

# MOODY S CORPORATION

# INDEX TO FORM 10-Q

	Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations	Page(s)
	PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Financial Statements	
Ittili I.	Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011	8
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and	Ü
	2011	9
	Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	10
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011	11
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	12-41
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	42
	The Company	42
	Critical Accounting Estimates	42-43
	Operating Segments	43-44
	Results of Operations	44-56
	Liquidity and Capital Resources	57-64
	2012 Outlook	65
	Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements	65
	Contingencies	66-69
	Regulation	69-71
	Forward-Looking Statements	71-72
Item 3.	<b>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</b>	72
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	72
	PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	73
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	73
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	73
Item 5.	Other Information	74
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	74
SIGNAT	URES	75
	Filed Herewith	
12	Statement of Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges	
18	Preferability Letter of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Regarding Change in Accounting Principle	
31.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
31.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
32.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	
32.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002	

#### GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

The following terms, abbreviations and acronyms are used to identify frequently used terms in this report:

TERM DEFINITION

ACNielsen Corporation a former affiliate of Old D&B

Analytics Moody s Analytics a reportable segment of MCO formed in January 2008, which includes the non-rating

commercial activities of MCO

AOCI Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss); a separate component of shareholders equity (deficit)

ASC The FASB Accounting Standards Codification; the sole source of authoritative GAAP as of July 1, 2009 except

for rules and interpretive releases of the SEC, which are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants

ASU The FASB Accounting Standards Update to the ASC. It also provides background information for accounting

guidance and the bases for conclusions on the changes in the ASC. ASUs are not considered authoritative until

codified into the ASC

B&H Barrie & Hibbert Limited, an acquisition completed in December 2011; part of the MA segment, a leading

provider of risk management modeling tools for insurance companies worldwide

Basel II Capital adequacy framework published in June 2004 by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision

Basel III A new global regulatory standard on bank capital adequacy and liquidity agreed by the members of the Basel

Committee on Banking Supervision. Basel III was developed in a response to the deficiencies in financial regulation revealed by the global financial crisis. Basel III strengthens bank capital requirements and introduces

new regulatory requirements on bank liquidity and bank leverage.

Board The board of directors of the Company

Bps Basis points

Canary Wharf Lease Operating lease agreement entered into on February 6, 2008 for office space in London, England, occupied by

the Company in the second half of 2009

CDOs Collateralized debt obligations

CFG Corporate finance group; an LOB of MIS

CMBS Commercial mortgage-backed securities; part of CREF

Cognizant Corporation a former affiliate of Old D&B; comprised the IMS Health and NMR businesses

Commission European Commission

Company Moody s Corporation and its subsidiaries; MCO; Moody s

Copal Partners; an acquisition completed in November 2011; part of the MA segment; leading provider of

outsourced research and analytical services to institutional investors

CP Commercial paper

CP Notes Unsecured commercial paper notes

CP Program The Company s commercial paper program entered into on October 3, 2007

CRAs Credit rating agencies

Table of Contents

**Table of Contents** 

TERM DEFINITION

CREF Commercial real estate finance which includes REITs, commercial real estate CDOs and mortgage-backed

securities; part of SFG

CSI Global Education, Inc.; an acquisition completed in November 2010; part of the MA segment; a provider of

financial learning, credentials, and certification in Canada

D&B Business Old D&B s Dun & Bradstreet operating company

DBPP Defined benefit pension plans

Debt/EBITDA Ratio of Total Debt to EBITDA

EBITDA Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization

ECAIs External Credit Assessment Institutions

ECB European Central Bank

EMEA Represents countries within Europe, the Middle East and Africa

EPS Earnings per share

ERS The enterprise risk solutions LOB within MA (formerly RMS); which offers risk management software products

as well as software implementation services and related risk management advisory engagements

ESMA European Securities and Market Authority

ESPP The 1999 Moody s Corporation Employee Stock Purchase Plan

ETR Effective tax rate
EU European Union

EUR Euros

Eurosystem The monetary authority of the Eurozone, the collective of European Union member states that have adopted the

euro as their sole official currency. The Eurosystem consists of the European Central Bank and the central banks

of the member states that belong to the Eurozone

Excess Tax Benefits The difference between the tax benefit realized at exercise of an option or delivery of a restricted share and the

tax benefit recorded at the time the option or restricted share is expensed under GAAP

Exchange Act The Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended

FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board

FIG Financial institutions group; an LOB of MIS

Financial Reform Act Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act

FSTC Financial Services Training and Certifications; a reporting unit within the MA segment that includes

classroom-based training services and CSI

FX Foreign exchange

GAAP U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

GBP British pounds

G-8 The finance minister and central bank governors of the group of eight countries consisting of Canada, France,

Germany, Italy, Japan, Russia, U.S. and U.K., that meet annually

Table of Contents

TERM DEFINITION

G-20 The G-20 is an informal forum of industrial and emerging-market countries on key issues related to global

economic stability. The G-20 is comprised of: Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, France, Germany, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Mexico, Russia, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, South Korea, Turkey, the U.K. and

the U.S. and The EU who is represented by the rotating Council presidency and ECB

IMS Health A spin-off of Cognizant; provides services to the pharmaceutical and healthcare industries

Indenture Indenture and supplemental indenture dated August 19, 2010, relating to the 2010 Senior Notes

Indicative Ratings These are ratings which are provided as of a point in time, and not published or monitored. They are primarily

provided to potential or current issuers to indicate what a rating may be based on business fundamentals and

financial conditions as well as based on proposed financings

IOSCO International Organization of Securities Commissions

IOSCO Code Code of Conduct Fundamentals for Credit Rating Agencies

IRS Internal Revenue Service

KIS Korea Investors Service; a leading Korean rating agency and consolidated subsidiary of the Company

KIS Pricing Korea Investors Service Pricing, Inc.; a Korean provider of fixed income securities pricing and consolidated

subsidiary of the Company

Legacy Tax Matter(s) Exposures to certain potential tax liabilities assumed in connection with the 2000 Distribution

LIBOR London Interbank Offered Rate

LOB Line of business

MA Moody s Analytics a reportable segment of MCO formed in January 2008, which includes the non-rating

commercial activities of MCO

Make Whole Amount The prepayment penalty amount relating to the Series 2005-1 Notes, Series 2007-1 Notes, and 2010 Senior

Notes which is a premium based on the excess, if any, of the discounted value of the remaining scheduled

payments over the prepaid principal

MCO Moody s Corporation and its subsidiaries; the Company; Moody s

MD&A Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

MIS Moody s Investors Service a reportable segment of MCO; consists of four LOBs SFG, CFG, FIG and PPIF

MIS Code Moody s Investors Service Code of Professional Conduct

Moody s Moody s Corporation and its subsidiaries; MCO; the Company

Net Income Net income attributable to Moody s Corporation, which excludes net income from consolidated noncontrolling

interests belonging to the minority interest holder

New D&B The New D&B Corporation which comprises the D&B business

NM Percentage change is not meaningful

NMR Nielsen Media Research, Inc.; a spin-off of Cognizant; a leading source of television audience measurement

services

NRSRO Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization

Table of Contents

**Table of Contents** 

TERM DEFINITION

Old D&B The former Dun and Bradstreet Company which distributed New D&B shares on September 30, 2000, and was

renamed Moody s Corporation

Post-Retirement Plans Moody s funded and unfunded pension plans, the post-retirement healthcare plans and post-retirement life

insurance plans

PPIF Public, project and infrastructure finance; an LOB of MIS

Profit Participation Plan Defined contribution profit participation plan that covers substantially all U.S. employees of the Company

RD&A Research, Data and Analytics; an LOB within MA that produces, sells and distributes research, data and related

content. Includes products generated by MIS, such as analyses on major debt issuers, industry studies, and commentary on topical credit events, as well as economic research, data, quantitative risk scores, and other

analytical tools that are produced within MA

Redeemable Represents minority shareholders interest in entities which are controlled but not

Noncontrolling Interest wholly-owned by Moody s and for which Moody s obligation to redeem the minority shareholders interest is in

the control of the minority shareholders

Reform Act Credit Rating Agency Reform Act of 2006

REITs Real estate investment trusts

RMBS Residential mortgage-backed security; part of SFG

RMS The Risk Management Software LOB within MA, which provides both economic and regulatory capital risk

management software and implementation services. Now referred to as ERS

S&P Standard & Poor s Ratings Services; a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.

SEC U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission

Securities Act Securities Act of 1933

Series 2005-1 Notes Principal amount of \$300 million, 4.98% senior unsecured notes due in September 2015 pursuant to the 2005

Agreement

Series 2007-1 Notes Principal amount of \$300 million, 6.06% senior unsecured notes due in September 2017 pursuant to the 2007

Agreement

SFG Structured finance group; an LOB of MIS SG&A Selling, general and administrative expenses

T&E Travel and entertainment expenses

Total Debt All indebtedness of the Company as reflected on the consolidated balance sheets, excluding current accounts

payable and deferred revenue incurred in the ordinary course of business

U.K. United KingdomU.S. United StatesUSD U.S. dollar

UTPs Unrecognized tax benefits
UTPs Uncertain tax positions

6

#### **Table of Contents**

**TERM** DEFINITION 2000 Distribution The distribution by Old D&B to its shareholders of all the outstanding shares of New D&B common stock on September 30, 2000 2000 Distribution Agreement governing certain ongoing relationships between the Company and New D&B after the 2000 Distribution including the sharing of any liabilities for the payment of taxes, penalties and interest resulting from Agreement unfavorable IRS rulings on certain tax matters and certain other potential tax liabilities 2005 Agreement Note purchase agreement dated September 30, 2005, relating to the Series 2005-1 Notes Note purchase agreement dated September 7, 2007, relating to the Series 2007-1 Notes 2007 Agreement 2007 Facility Revolving credit facility of \$1 billion entered into on September 28, 2007, expiring in 2012 2008 Term Loan Five-year \$150 million senior unsecured term loan entered into by the Company on May 7, 2008 2010 Senior Notes Principal amount of \$500 million, 5.50% senior unsecured notes due in September 2020 pursuant to the Indenture

2012 Facility Revolving credit facility of \$1 billion entered into on April 18, 2012, expiring in 2017

7WTC The Company s corporate headquarters located at 7 World Trade Center in New York, NY

7WTC Lease Operating lease agreement entered into on October 20, 2006

7

#### PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

#### **Item 1. Financial Statements**

#### MOODY S CORPORATION

# CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

(Amounts in millions, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Mont June	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Revenue	\$ 640.8	\$ 605.2	\$ 1,287.6	\$ 1,182.3
Expenses				
Operating	180.6	170.5	366.1	331.3
Selling, general and administrative	159.6	142.9	328.4	291.4
Depreciation and amortization	22.1	21.8	45.6	39.5
Restructuring		(0.1)		(0.1)
Total expenses	362.3	335.1	740.1	662.1
•				
Operating Income	278.5	270.1	547.5	520.2
r 8				
Non-operating (expense) income, net				
Interest expense, net	(16.6)	(14.1)	(26.9)	(32.3)
Other non-operating income (expense), net	2.7	8.2	2.6	11.5
outer non epotating meeme (enponse), not		U.2	2.0	1110
Total non-operating (expense) income, net	(13.9)	(5.9)	(24.3)	(20.8)
Total non-operating (expense) income, net	(13.9)	(3.9)	(24.3)	(20.8)
Income hefere previous for income toyee	264.6	264.2	523.2	499.4
Income before provisions for income taxes Provision for income taxes	<b>264.6</b> 88.9	73.5	172.0	151.6
Provision for income taxes	00.9	13.3	172.0	131.0
	1757	100.7	251.2	2.47.0
Net income	175.7	190.7	351.2	347.8
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	3.2	1.7	5.2	3.3
Net income attributable to Moody s	\$ 172.5	\$ 189.0	\$ 346.0	\$ 344.5
Earnings per share attributable to Moody s common shareholders				
Basic	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.83	\$ 1.55	\$ 1.51
Diluted	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.82	\$ 1.52	\$ 1.49
Weighted average number of shares outstanding				
Basic	223.9	228.2	223.7	228.6
Diluted	227.2	231.5	227.3	231.4
	1.5	231.3	221.3	231.1
Dividende deelered per chara attributable to Moody, a common charactelders	¢ 0.16	¢ 0.14	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.14
Dividends declared per share attributable to Moody s common shareholders	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.16	<b>э</b> 0.14

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

#### MOODY S CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

#### (Amounts in millions)

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Six Months Ended June 30,				
	201	2	20	)11	20	12	20	011
Net income		\$ 175.7		\$ 190.7		\$ 351.2		\$ 347.8
Foreign currency translation adjustments		(37.9)		13.3		(9.3)		36.2
Cash flow and net investment hedges, net of tax:								
Net unrealized losses on cash flow and net investment								
hedges (1)	(1.5)		(0.4)		(1.6)		(0.4)	
Reclassification of losses included in net income (2)	0.7	(0.8)	0.8	0.4	1.3	(0.3)	1.5	1.1
Pension and Other Post-Retirement Benefits, net of tax:								
Amortization of actuarial losses and prior service costs								
included in net income (3)	1.3		0.9		3.0		1.7	
Net actuarial losses and prior service costs (4)	(5.6)	(4.3)	(3.3)	(2.4)	(5.6)	(2.6)	(3.3)	(1.6)
Comprehensive income		132.7		202.0		339.0		383.5
Less: comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests		2.3		2.1		6.3		4.1
Comprehensive income attributable to Moody s		\$ 130.4		\$ 199.9		\$ 332.7		\$ 379.4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts are net of income taxes of \$1.0 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$1.1 million and \$0.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 respectively.

<sup>(2)</sup> Amounts are net of income taxes of \$0.4 million and \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$0.9 million and \$1.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 respectively.

<sup>(3)</sup> Amounts are net of income taxes of \$0.9 million and \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$2.0 million and \$1.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 respectively.

<sup>(4)</sup> Amounts are net of income taxes of \$3.9 million for both periods ending June 30, 2012 and \$2.4 million for both periods ending June 30, 2011.

# MOODY S CORPORATION

# CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

(Amounts in millions, expect share and per share data)

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 824.1	\$ 760.0
Short-term investments	15.4	14.8
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$30.0 in 2012 and \$28.0 in 2011	530.5	489.8
Deferred tax assets, net	39.6	82.2
Other current assets	109.8	77.6
Total current assets	1,519.4	1,424.4
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$286.6 in 2012 and \$258.2 in 2011	317.4	326.8
Goodwill	638.1	642.9
Intangible assets, net	238.0	253.6
Deferred tax assets, net	150.9	146.4
Other assets	100.1	82.0
Total assets	\$ 2,963.9	\$ 2,876.1
LIABILITIES, REDEEMABLE NONCONTROLLING INTEREST AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY(DEFICIT)		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 300.1	\$ 452.3
Unrecognized tax benefits	Ψ 200.1	90.0
Current portion of long-term debt	127.5	71.3
Deferred revenue	567.6	520.4
	20710	020
Total current liabilities	995.2	1,134.0
Non-current portion of deferred revenue	94.6	97.7
Long-term debt	1,110.8	1,172.5
Deferred tax liabilities, net	59.1	49.6
Unrecognized tax benefits	130.0	115.4
Other liabilities	395.4	404.8
Total liabilities	2,785.1	2,974.0
Contingencies (Note 14)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interest	70.5	60.5
Shareholders equity(deficit):		
Preferred stock, par value \$.01 per share; 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding		
Series common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and		
outstanding  Common stock, per value \$ 01 per shere: 1,000,000,000 sheres outhorized: 242,002,272 sheres issued at		
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share; 1,000,000,000 shares authorized; 342,902,272 shares issued at	2.4	2.4
June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.	3.4	3.4
Capital surplus	367.8	394.5
Retained earnings	4,486.3	4,176.1
Treasury stock, at cost; 120,619,040 and 120,462,232 shares of common stock at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	(4,637.6)	(4,635.5)

Edgar Filing: MOODYS CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(120.8)	(107.5)
Total Moody s shareholders equity (deficit)	99.1	(169.0)
Noncontrolling interests	9.2	10.6
Total shareholders equity (deficit)	108.3	(158.4)
Total liabilities, redeemable noncontrolling interest and shareholders equity (deficit)	\$ 2,963.9	\$ 2,876.1

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

# MOODY S CORPORATION

# CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

# (Amounts in millions)

	Six Monti June	
	2012	2011
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net income	\$ 351.2	\$ 347.8
Reconciliation of net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	45.6	39.5
Stock-based compensation expense	29.9	30.3
Deferred income taxes	47.5	5.8
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	(9.2)	(5.9)
Legacy Tax Matters		(6.4)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(40.5)	11.2
Other current assets	(32.4)	71.6
Other assets	(3.2)	(1.3)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(115.8)	(78.0)
Restructuring		(0.2)
Deferred revenue	45.6	20.5
Unrecognized tax benefits	(73.9)	6.4
Other liabilities	(9.3)	4.6
Net cash provided by operating activities	235.5	445.9
Cash flows from investing activities		
Capital additions	(21.9)	(35.6)
Purchases of short-term investments	(24.7)	(16.6)
Sales and maturities of short-term investments	24.2	18.9
Cash paid for acquisitions	(3.5)	(7.1)
Net cash used in investing activities	(25.9)	(40.4)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Repayments of notes	(7.5)	(3.8)
Net proceeds from stock-based compensation plans	34.9	35.1
Cost of treasury shares repurchased	(100.0)	(127.6)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans	9.2	5.9
Payment of dividends	(71.6)	(58.2)
Payment of dividends to noncontrolling interests	(4.5)	(4.5)
Contingent consideration paid	(0.5)	
Debt issuance costs and related fees	(2.5)	
Net cash used in financing activities	(142.5)	(153.1)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(3.0)	26.5
Enter of exchange face changes on cash and cash equivalents	(3.0)	20.3
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	64.1	278.9
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of the period	760.0	659.6
Cash and cash equivalents, end of the period	\$ 824.1	\$ 938.5

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

11

#### MOODY S CORPORATION

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

(tabular dollar and share amounts in millions, except per share data)

#### NOTE 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Moody s is a provider of (i) credit ratings, (ii) credit, capital markets and economic research, data and analytical tools, (iii) software solutions and related risk management services, (iv) quantitative credit risk measures, financial services training and certification services and (v) outsourced research and analytical services to institutional customers. Moody s has two reportable segments: MIS and MA.

MIS, the credit rating agency, publishes credit ratings on a wide range of debt obligations and the entities that issue such obligations in markets worldwide. Revenue is derived from the originators and issuers of such transactions who use MIS ratings in the distribution of their debt issues to investors.

The MA segment, which includes all of the Company s non-rating commercial activities, develops a wide range of products and services that support financial analysis and risk management activities of institutional participants in global financial markets. Within its RD&A business, MA distributes research and data developed by MIS as part of its ratings process, including in-depth research on major debt issuers, industry studies and commentary on topical credit-related events. The RD&A business also produces economic research as well as data and analytical tools such as quantitative credit risk scores. Within its ERS business (formerly referred to as RMS), MA provides software solutions as well as related risk management services. The professional services business provides outsourced research and analytical services along with financial training and certification programs.

The Company operated as part of Old D&B until September 30, 2000, when Old D&B separated into two publicly traded companies Moody s Corporation and New D&B. At that time, Old D&B distributed to its shareholders shares of New D&B stock. New D&B comprised the business of Old D&B s Dun & Bradstreet operating company. The remaining business of Old D&B consisted solely of the business of providing ratings and related research and credit risk management services and was renamed Moody s Corporation. For purposes of governing certain ongoing relationships between the Company and New D&B after the 2000 Distribution and to provide for an orderly transition, the Company and New D&B entered into various agreements including a distribution agreement, tax allocation agreement and employee benefits agreement.

These interim financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and should be read in conjunction with the Company s consolidated financial statements and related notes in the Company s 2011 annual report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 27, 2012. The results of interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year or any subsequent period. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows at the dates and for the periods presented have been included. The year-end consolidated balance sheet data was derived from audited financial statements, but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

#### NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following outlines changes to the Company s accounting policy regarding long-lived assets, including goodwill and other acquired intangible assets since the Company s last Form 10K filed with the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2011. All other provisions as outlined in the summary of significant accounting policies for this policy and all other significant accounting policies described in the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 remain unchanged.

#### Long-Lived Assets, Including Goodwill and Other Acquired Intangible Assets

Moody s has historically evaluated its goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level, defined as an operating segment or one level below an operating segment, annually as of November 30 or more frequently if impairment indicators arose in accordance with ASC Topic 350. In the second quarter of 2012, the Company changed the date of its annual assessment of goodwill impairment to July 31 of each year. This is a change in method of applying an accounting principle which management believes is a preferable alternative as the new date of the assessment is more closely aligned with the Company s strategic planning process. The change in the assessment date does not delay, accelerate or avoid a potential impairment charge. The Company has determined that it is impracticable to objectively determine projected cash flows and related valuation estimates that would have been used as of each July 31 of prior reporting periods without the use of hindsight. As such, the Company has prospectively applied the change in annual goodwill impairment testing date beginning in the second quarter of 2012.

At November 30, 2011, the date of the Company s last impairment assessment, Moody s had six primary reporting units: one in MIS that encompassed all of Moody s ratings operations and five reporting units within MA: RD&A, ERS (formerly RMS), training, CSI and Copal. The RD&A reporting unit encompasses the distribution of investor-oriented research and data developed by MIS as part of its ratings process, in-depth research on major debt issuers, industry studies, economic research and commentary on topical events and credit analytic tools. The ERS reporting unit consists of credit risk management and compliance software that is sold on a license or subscription basis as well as related advisory services for implementation and maintenance. The training reporting unit consisted of the portion of the MA business that offers both credit training as well as other professional development training. In November 2010, the Company acquired CSI, which was assessed separately as its own reporting unit for the annual goodwill impairment assessment as of November 30, 2011 as the entity had not yet been integrated with one of the aforementioned MA reporting units. CSI was integrated with the training reporting during the second quarter of 2012 to form the Financial Services Training and Certification reporting unit. In the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company acquired Copal and B&H, for which Copal is deemed to be a separate reporting unit and B&H is part of the ERS reporting unit.

#### NOTE 3. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Presented below is a summary of the stock-based compensation cost and associated tax benefit included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		hs Ended e 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Stock compensation cost	\$ 14.9	\$ 14.3	\$ 29.9	\$ 30.3
Tay benefit	\$ 51	\$ 56	\$ 10 8	\$ 11.2

During the first half of 2012, the Company granted 0.5 million employee stock options, which had a weighted average grant date fair value of \$15.19 per share based on the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The Company also granted 1.3 million shares of restricted stock in the first half of 2012, which had a weighted average grant date fair value of \$38.62 per share and generally vest ratably over a four-year period. Additionally, the Company granted approximately 0.3 million shares of restricted stock that contain a condition whereby the number of shares that ultimately vest are based on the achievement of certain non-market based performance metrics of the Company over a three-year period. The weighted average grant date fair value of these awards was \$36.78 per share.

The following weighted average assumptions were used in determining the fair value for options granted in 2012:

Expected dividend yield	1.66%
Expected stock volatility	44%
Risk-free interest rate	1.55%
Expected holding period	7.4 yrs
Grant date fair value	\$ 15.19

Unrecognized compensation expense at June 30, 2012 was \$19.4 million and \$75.9 million for stock options and nonvested restricted stock, respectively, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.4 years and 1.8 years, respectively. Additionally, there was \$18.0 million of unrecognized compensation expense relating to the aforementioned non-market based performance awards which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.0 years.

The following tables summarize information relating to stock option exercises and restricted stock vesting:

	Six Mont June	
	2012	2011
Stock option exercises:		
Proceeds from stock option exercises	\$ 46.7	\$ 39.6
Aggregate intrinsic value	\$ 26.6	\$ 19.3
Tax benefit realized upon exercise	\$ 10.1	\$ 7.7
	Six Mont	
	2012	2011
Restricted stock vesting:		
Fair value of shares vested	\$ 37.5	\$ 18.8
Tax benefit realized upon vesting	\$ 13.2	\$ 7.0

#### **NOTE 4. INCOME TAXES**

Moody s effective tax rate was 33.6% and 27.8% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively and 32.9% and 30.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in the effective tax was primarily due to a beneficial adjustment in UTPs in the second quarter of 2011 resulting from a foreign tax ruling, partially offset by a smaller tax benefit in 2012 resulting from tax audit settlements.

The Company classifies interest related to UTPs in interest expense, net in its consolidated statements of operations. Penalties, if incurred, would be recognized in other non-operating (expense) income, net. The Company had an overall increase in its UTPs of \$7.6 million (\$5.2 million net of federal tax benefit) during the second quarter of 2012 and an overall decrease in its UTPs during the first six months of 2012 of \$75.4 million (\$45.5 million net of federal benefits). The six month decrease is due to the settlement of income tax audits in the period.

Moody s Corporation and subsidiaries are subject to U.S. federal income tax as well as income tax in various state, local and foreign jurisdictions. The Company s U.S. federal income tax returns for the years 2008 and 2009 are under examination and its 2010 return remains open to examination. Tax filings in the U.K. remain open to examination for tax years 2007 through 2010.

For ongoing audits, it is possible the balance of UTBs could decrease in the next twelve months as a result of the settlement of these audits, which might involve the payment of additional taxes, the adjustment of certain deferred taxes and/or the recognition of tax benefits. It is also possible that new issues might be raised by tax authorities which could necessitate increases to the balance of UTBs. As the Company is unable to predict the timing or outcome of these audits, it is therefore unable to estimate the amount of changes to the balance of UTBs at this time. However, the Company believes that it has adequately provided for its financial exposure relating to all open tax years by tax jurisdiction in accordance with the applicable provisions of Topic 740 of the ASC regarding UTBs.

The following table shows the amount the Company paid for income taxes:

	Six Mont June	
	2012	2011
Income Taxes Paid *	\$ 235.0	\$ 115.8

<sup>\*</sup> Includes approximately \$92 million in payments for tax audit settlements in the first quarter of 2012.

#### NOTE 5. WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING

Below is a reconciliation of basic to diluted shares outstanding:

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		Six Months Ended	
	June	30,	June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Basic	223.9	228.2	223.7	228.6
Dilutive effect of shares issuable under stock-based compensation plans	3.3	3.3	3.6	2.8
Diluted	227.2	231.5	227.3	231.4
Anti-dilutive options to purchase common shares and restricted stock excluded from the table above	7.2	9.4	7.1	11.6

The calculation of diluted EPS requires certain assumptions regarding the use of both cash proceeds and assumed proceeds that would be received upon the exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock outstanding as of June 30, 2012 and 2011. These assumed proceeds include Excess Tax Benefits and any unrecognized compensation of the awards.

#### NOTE 6. SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS

Short-term investments are securities with maturities greater than 90 days at the time of purchase that are available for operations in the next twelve months. The short-term investments, primarily consisting of certificates of deposit, are classified as held-to-maturity and therefore are carried at cost. The remaining contractual maturities of the short-term investments were one month to eight months and one month to seven months as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Interest and dividends are recorded into income when earned.

#### NOTE 7. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company is exposed to global market risks, including risks from changes in FX rates and changes in interest rates. Accordingly, the Company uses derivatives in certain instances to manage the aforementioned financial exposures that occur in the normal course of business. The Company does not hold or issue derivatives for speculative purposes.

#### **Interest Rate Swaps**

In the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company entered into interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$300 million to convert the fixed interest rate on the Series 2005-1 Notes to a floating interest rate based on the 3-month LIBOR. The purpose of this hedge was to mitigate the risk associated with changes in the fair value of the Series 2005-1 Notes, thus the Company has designated these swaps as fair value hedges. The fair value of the swaps is reported in other assets at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 in the Company s consolidated balance sheets with a corresponding adjustment to the carrying value of the Series 2005-1 Notes. The changes in the fair value of the hedges and the underlying hedged item generally offset and the net cash settlements on the swaps are recorded each period within interest expense, net, in the Company s consolidated statement of operations.

In May 2008, the Company entered into interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$150 million to protect against fluctuations in the LIBOR-based variable interest rate on the 2008 Term Loan, further described in Note 13. These interest rate swaps are designated as cash flow hedges. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of these swaps are recorded to other comprehensive income or loss, to the extent that the hedge is effective, and such amounts are reclassified to earnings in the same period during which the hedged transaction affects income. The fair value of the swaps is reported in other liabilities in the Company s consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

#### Foreign Exchange Forwards and Options

The Company engaged in hedging activities to protect against FX risks from forecasted billings and related revenue denominated in the euro and the GBP. FX options and forward exchange contracts were utilized to hedge exposures related to changes in FX rates. As of December 31, 2011, these FX options and forward exchange contracts have matured and all realized gains and losses have been reclassified from AOCI into earnings. These FX options and forward exchange contracts were designated as cash flow hedges.

The Company also enters into foreign exchange forwards to mitigate the change in fair value on certain assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the entity s functional currency. These forward contracts are not designated as hedging instruments under the applicable sections of Topic 815 of the ASC. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of these contracts are recognized immediately in other non-operating (expense) income, net in the Company s consolidated statements of operations along with the FX gain or loss recognized on the assets and liabilities denominated in a currency other than the entity s functional currency. These contracts have expiration dates at various times through September 2012.

The following table summarizes the notional amounts of the Company s outstanding foreign exchange forwards:

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
Notional amount of Currency Pair:				
Contracts to purchase USD with euros	\$ 2	29.2	\$	27.5
Contracts to sell USD for euros	\$ 4	46.5	\$	47.7
Contracts to purchase USD with GBP	\$	3.3	\$	2.4
Contracts to sell USD for GBP	\$		\$	17.6
Contracts to purchase USD with other foreign currencies	\$	5.3	\$	3.2
Contracts to sell USD for other foreign currencies	\$	5.7	\$	7.6
Contracts to purchase euros with other foreign currencies	1	12.4		13.6
Contracts to purchase euros with GBP		1.6		1.6
Contracts to sell euros for GBP	1	14.6		7.2

16

#### Net Investment Hedges

In June 2012, the Company entered into foreign currency forward contracts to hedge the exposure related to non-U.S. Dollar net investments in certain foreign subsidiaries against adverse changes in foreign exchange rates. These forward contracts are designated as hedging instruments under the applicable sections of Topic 815 of the ASC. Hedge effectiveness is assessed based on the overall changes in the fair value of the forward contracts on a pre-tax basis. Any change in the fair value of these hedges that is the result of ineffectiveness would be recognized immediately in other non-operating (expense) income in the Company s consolidated statement of operations. As of June 30, 2012 the Company does not expect to incur any ineffectiveness. Accordingly, all gains and losses on these derivatives designated as net investment hedges are recognized in the currency translation adjustment component of AOCI. These contracts expire on September 4, 2012.

The following table summarizes the notional amounts of the Company s foreign exchange forward contracts that are designated as net investment hedges:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Notional amount of Currency Pair:		
Contracts to sell euros for USD	50.0	N/A

The table below shows the classification between assets and liabilities on the Company s consolidated balance sheets for the fair value of the derivative instruments:

	Fair Value of Derivative Instruments					
<b>Derivatives Instruments</b>	Balance Sheet Location	June 30, 2012			cember 31, 2011	
Assets:						
Derivatives designated as accounting hedges:						
Interest rate swaps	Other assets	\$	13.5	\$	11.5	
Total derivatives designated as accounting hedges			13.5		11.5	
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges:						
FX forwards on certain assets and liabilities	Other current assets		1.6		1.1	
Total assets		\$	15.1	\$	12.6	
Liabilities:						
Derivatives designated as accounting hedges:	A					
Interest rate swaps	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	2.5	\$	4.5	
FX forwards on net investment in certain foreign subsidiaries	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		1.5			
Total derivatives designated as accounting hedges			4.0		4.5	
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges:						
FX forwards on certain assets and liabilities	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities		0.3		2.3	
Total liabilities		\$	4.3	\$	6.8	

Derivatives in

Total

\$ (0.1)

\$ (0.4)

The following table summarizes the net gain (loss) on the Company s foreign exchange forwards which are not designated as hedging instruments as well as the gain (loss) on the interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedge:

		Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in In Six Month			
		Three Months Ended June 30,		ded Ended June 30,	
Derivatives designated as accounting hedges	<b>Location on Income Statement</b>	2012	2011	2012	2011
Interest rate swaps	Interest expense, net	\$ 0.9	\$ 1.0	\$ 1.7	\$ 2.1
Derivatives not designated as accounting hedges					
Foreign exchange forwards	Other non-operating (expense) income	\$ (1.4)	\$ (0.7)	\$ (0.4)	\$ 2.5

The following table provides information on gains/(losses) on the Company s cash flow hedges:

Derivatives in						Location of Gain/(Loss)	Gain/(Loss)	Recognized
Cash Flow						Recognized in Income	iı	n
Cush 110W	Amo	unt of	Location of Gain/(Loss	s) Amou	nt of	on Derivative	Income on	Derivative
Hedging	Gain/	(Loss)	Reclassified from	Gain/(	(Loss)	(Ineffective Portion and	(Ineffective	Portion and
Relationships	Derivative (Ef	in AOCI on fective Portion) Months	AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Reclassified fro Income (Effec Three M	ctive Portion)	Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	Amount Exc Effectivene	
	En	ded		End	led		Three Mon	ths Ended
	Jun 2012	e 30, 2011		June 2012	2011		June 2012	e 30, 2011
FX options	\$	\$	Revenue	\$	\$	Revenue	\$	\$
Interest rate swaps		(0.4)	Interest Expense	(0.7)	(0.6)	N/A		
Total	\$	\$ (0.4)		\$ (0.7)	\$ (0.6)		\$	\$
							Six M	
	2	ths Ended		Six Montl			Enc	
	Jun 2012	e 30, 2011		June 2012	2011		June 2012	e 30, 2011
FX options	\$	\$	Revenue	\$	\$ (0.2)	Revenue	\$	\$
Interest rate swaps		(0.4)	Interest Expense	(1.3)	(1.3)	N/A		

All gains and losses on derivatives designated as cash flow hedges are initially recognized through AOCI. Realized gains and losses reported in AOCI are reclassified into earnings (into revenue for FX options and into interest expense, net for the interest rate swaps) as the underlying transaction is recognized.

\$ (1.3)

\$ (1.5)

The following table provides information on gains/(losses) on the Company s net investment hedges:

						Location of Gain/(Loss)	Gain/(Loss)	Recognized
						Recognized in Income	iı	n
Derivatives in	Amount o	f	Location of Gain/(Los	s) Amoui	nt of	on Derivative	Income on	Derivative
Net Investment	Gain/(Los	s)	Reclassified from	Gain/(l	Loss)	(Ineffective Portion and	(Ineffective	Portion and
Hedging Relationships	Recognized in A Derivative (Effectiv Three Mon Ended June 30, 2012	ve Portion) ths	AOCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Reclassified fro Income (Effect Three M End June 2012	tive Portion) Ionths ed	Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)	Amount Exc Effectivene Three M Enc June 2012	ess Testing) Months ded
FX forwards	\$ (1.5)	\$	N/A	\$	\$	N/A	\$	\$
Total	<b>\$</b> (1.5)	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$
	Six Month Ended	ıs		Six Mo End			Six M Enc	
	June 30,			June			June	
EXT.C. 1	2012	2011	37/4	2012	2011	37/4	2012	2011
FX forwards	\$ (1.5)	\$	N/A	\$	\$	N/A	\$	\$
Total	<b>\$</b> (1.5)	\$		\$	\$		\$	\$

All gains and losses on derivatives designated as net investment hedges are recognized in the translation adjustment component of AOCI.

The cumulative amount of unrecognized hedge losses recorded in AOCI is as follows:

	Unrecognized Losses, net of tax			
	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011		
FX forwards on net investment hedges	<b>\$</b> (1.5)	\$		
Interest rate swaps (1)	(1.8)	(3.0)		
Total	\$ (3.3)	\$ (3.0)		

The unrecognized hedge losses relating to the cash flow hedges on the 2008 Term Loan are expected to be reclassified into earnings within the next twelve months as the underlying hedge ends with the full repayment of the Term Loan in the first half of 2013.

# NOTE 8. ACQUISITIONS

All of the acquisitions described below were accounted for under the purchase method of accounting whereby the purchase price is allocated first to the net assets of the acquired entity based on the fair value of its net assets. Any excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired is recorded to goodwill. These acquisitions are discussed below in more detail.

#### Barrie & Hibbert, Limited

On December 16, 2011, a subsidiary of the Company acquired Barrie & Hibbert Limited, a provider of risk management modeling tools for insurance companies worldwide. B&H operates within the ERS LOB of MA, broadening MA s suite of software solutions for the insurance and pension sectors.

19

The aggregate purchase price was \$79.5 million in cash payments to the sellers and was funded by using Moody s non-U.S. cash on hand.

Shown below is the purchase price allocation, which summarizes the fair values of the assets acquired, and liabilities assumed:

Current assets		\$ 15.2
Property and equipment, net		0.7
Intangible assets:		
Trade name (5 year weighted average life)	\$ 1.9	
Client relationships (18 year weighted average life)	8.3	
Software (7 year weighted average life)	16.8	
Other intangibles (2 year weighted average life)	0.1	
Total intangible assets (12 year weighted average life)		27.1
Goodwill		54.6
Liabilities assumed		(18.1)
Net assets acquired		\$ 79.5

Current assets include acquired cash of approximately \$10 million. Goodwill will not be deductible for tax. B&H operates within the ERS reporting unit and goodwill associated with the acquisition was part of the ERS reporting unit within the MA segment as of the acquisition date.

The near term impact to operations and cash flow from this acquisition is not expected to be material to the Company s consolidated financial statements.

#### **Copal Partners**

On November 4, 2011, subsidiaries of the Company acquired a 67% interest in Copal Partners Limited and a 100% interest in two related entities that were wholly-owned by Copal Partners Limited (together herein referred to as Copal). These acquisitions resulted in the Company obtaining an approximate 75% economic ownership interest in the Copal group of companies. Copal is a provider of outsourced research and consulting services to the financial services industry. Copal operates within the professional services LOB of MA and complements the other products and services offered by MA. The table below details the total consideration transferred to the sellers of Copal:

Cash paid	\$ 125.0
Put/call option for non-controlling interest	68.0
1	
Contingent consideration liability assumed	6.8
Total fair value of consideration transferred	\$ 199.8

In conjunction with the purchase, the Company and the non-controlling shareholders entered into a put/call option agreement whereby the Company has the option to purchase from the non-controlling shareholders and the non-controlling shareholders have the option to sell to the Company the remaining 33% ownership interest of Copal Partners Limited based on a strike price to be calculated on pre-determined formulas using a combination of revenue and EBITDA multiples when exercised. The value of the estimated put/call option strike price on the date of acquisition was based on a Monte Carlo simulation model. This model contemplated multiple scenarios which simulated certain of Copal s revenue, EBITDA margins and equity values to estimate the present value of the expected strike price of the option. The option is subject to a minimum exercise price of \$46 million. There is no limit as to the maximum amount of the strike price on the put/call option.

Additionally, as part of the consideration transferred, the Company issued a note payable of \$14.2 million to the sellers which is more fully discussed in Note 13. The Company has a right to reduce the amount payable under this note with payments that it may be required to make relating to certain UTPs associated with the acquisition. Accordingly, this note payable is not carried on the consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 in accordance with certain indemnification arrangements relating to these UTP s which are more fully discussed below.

Also, the purchase agreement contains several different provisions for contingent cash payments to the sellers valued at \$6.8 million at the acquisition date. A portion of the contingent cash payments are based on revenue and EBITDA growth for certain of the acquired Copal entities. This growth is calculated by comparing revenue and EBITDA in the year immediately prior to the exercise of the aforementioned put/call option to revenue and EBITDA in the year ended December 31, 2011. There are no limitations set forth in the acquisition agreement relating to the amount payable under this contingent payment arrangement. Payments under this arrangement, if any, would be made upon the exercise of the put/call option. Other contingent cash payments are based on the achievement of revenue targets for 2012 and 2013, with certain limits on the amount of revenue that can be applied to the calculation of the contingent payment. Each of these contingent payments has a maximum payout of \$2.5 million. Further information on the inputs and methodologies utilized to derive the fair value of these contingent consideration liabilities are discussed in Note 10.

Shown below is the purchase price allocation, which summarizes the fair values of the assets acquired, and liabilities assumed:

Current assets		\$ 15.5
Property and equipment, net		0.5
Intangible assets:		
Trade name (15 year weighted average life)	\$ 8.6	
Client relationships (16 year weighted average life)	66.2	
Other (2 year weighted average life)	4.4	
Total intangible assets (15 year weighted average life)		79.2
Goodwill		139.6
Indemnification asset		18.8
Other assets		6.6
Liabilities assumed		(60.4)
Net assets acquired		\$ 199.8

Current assets include acquired cash of approximately \$7 million. The acquired goodwill, which has been assigned to the MA segment, will not be deductible for tax.

In connection with the acquisition, the Company assumed liabilities relating to UTPs. These UTPs are included in the liabilities assumed in the table above. The sellers have contractually indemnified the Company against any potential payments that may have to be made regarding these UTPs. Under the terms of the acquisition agreement, a portion of the purchase price was remitted to an escrow agent for various uncertainties associated with the transaction of which a portion relates to these UTPs. Additionally, the Company is contractually indemnified for payments in excess of the amount paid into escrow via a reduction to the amount payable under the aforementioned note payable issued to the sellers. Accordingly, the Company carries an indemnification asset on its consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 for which a portion has been offset by the note payable in the amount of \$14.2 million.

As of June 30, 2012, Copal operates as its own reporting unit. Accordingly, goodwill associated with the acquisition is part of the Copal reporting unit within the MA segment. Copal will remain a separate reporting unit until MA management completes its evaluation of options for integrating the entity into the other MA reporting units.

The near term impact to operations and cash flow from this acquisition is not expected to be material to the Company s consolidated financial statements.

#### KIS Pricing, Inc.

On May 6, 2011, a subsidiary of the Company acquired a 16% additional direct equity investment in KIS Pricing, which is a consolidated subsidiary of the Company, from a shareholder with a non-controlling interest in the entity. The additional interest adds to the Company s existing indirect ownership of KIS Pricing through its controlling equity stake in Korea Investors Service (KIS). The aggregate purchase price was not material and the near term impact to operations and cash flow is not expected to be material. KIS Pricing is part of the MA segment.

#### NOTE 9. GOODWILL AND OTHER ACQUIRED INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The following table summarizes the activity in goodwill for the periods indicated:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012			Year ended December 31, 2011				
	MIS	MA	Con	solidated	MIS	MA	Con	solidated
Beginning Balance	\$ 11.0	\$ 631.9	\$	642.9	\$11.4	\$ 454.1	\$	465.5
Additions/adjustments		<b>(1.7)</b>		<b>(1.7)</b>		198.5		198.5
FX translation		(3.1)		(3.1)	(0.4)	(20.7)		(21.1)
Ending balance	\$ 11.0	\$ 627.1	\$	638.1	\$ 11.0	\$ 631.9	\$	642.9

The 2012 and 2011 additions/adjustments for the MA segment in the table above relate to the acquisitions of Copal and B&H in the fourth quarter of 2011, more fully discussed in Note 8.

22

Acquired intangible assets and related amortization consisted of:

	June 30, 2012	mber 31, 2011
Customer relationships	\$ 216.5	\$ 217.9
Accumulated amortization	(65.4)	(58.6)
Net customer relationships	151.1	159.3
Trade secrets	31.4	31.3
Accumulated amortization	(14.7)	(13.4)
Net trade secrets	16.7	17.9
Software	70.7	70.9
Accumulated amortization	(28.7)	(25.1)
Net software	42.0	45.8
Trade names	28.2	28.1
Accumulated amortization	<b>(9.6)</b>	(9.0)
Net trade names	18.6	19.1
Other	24.6	24.6
Accumulated amortization	(15.0)	(13.1)
Net other	9.6	11.5
Total acquired intangible assets, net	\$ 238.0	\$ 253.6

Other intangible assets primarily consist of databases and covenants not to compete.

Amortization expense relating to acquired intangible assets is as follows:

		nths Ended e 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Amortization expense	\$ 7.1	\$ 4.8	\$ 14.4	\$ 9.6

Estimated future amortization expense for acquired intangible assets subject to amortization is as follows:

Year Ending December 31,	
2012 (after June 30,)	\$ 13.9
2013	27.1
2014	22.0
2015	20.6
2016	19.3
Thereafter	135.1

Intangible assets are reviewed for recoverability whenever circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If the estimated undiscounted future cash flows are lower than the carrying amount of the related asset, a loss is recognized for the difference between the carrying amount and the estimated fair value of the asset. Goodwill is analyzed for impairment annually or more frequently if circumstances indicate the assets may be impaired. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, there were no impairments to goodwill or intangible assets.

## **NOTE 10 FAIR VALUE**

The table below presents information about items, which are carried at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	Fair Valu	ie Measurem	ent as of June 3	30, 2012
		Level	Level	Level
Description	Balance	1	2	3
Assets:				
Derivatives (a)	\$ 15.1	\$	\$ 15.1	\$
Total	\$ 15.1	\$	\$ 15.1	\$
Liabilities:				
Derivatives (a)	\$ 4.3	\$	\$ 4.3	\$
Contingent consideration arising from acquisitions (b)	6.4			6.4
Total	\$ 10.7	\$	\$ 4.3	\$ 6.4
	Fair Value		t as of Decembe	or 31 2011
Description	Ralanca	Level 1	Level	Level
Description A seets:	Balance	Level 1	Level 2	
Assets:	\$ 12.6			Level
Description Assets: Derivatives (a) Total		1	2	Level 3
Assets: Derivatives (a)	\$ 12.6	\$	\$ 12.6	Level 3
Assets: Derivatives (a)  Total  Liabilities:	\$ 12.6 \$ 12.6	\$	\$ 12.6 \$ 12.6	Level
Assets: Derivatives (a) Total	\$ 12.6	\$	\$ 12.6	Level

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents interest rate swaps and FX forwards on certain assets and liabilities as well as on certain non-U.S. dollar net investments in certain foreign subsidiaries as more fully described in Note 7 to the financial statements

<sup>(</sup>b) Represents contingent consideration liabilities pursuant to the agreements for certain MA acquisitions which are more fully discussed in Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements

The following table summarizes the changes in the fair value of the Company s Level 3 liabilities:

	Contingent Co Six Months Ende 2012	
Balance as of January 1	\$ 9.1	\$ 2.1
Purchases		
Issuances		
Settlements	(0.5)	
Total gains (realized and unrealized):		
Included in earnings	(2.5)	
Included in other comprehensive income		
Transfer in and/or out of Level 3		
Foreign currency translation adjustments	0.3	0.1
Balance as of June 30	\$ 6.4	\$ 2.2

The gains included in earnings in the table above are recorded within SG&A expenses in the Company s consolidated statement of operations. These gains relate to contingent consideration obligations outstanding at June 30, 2012.

Of the \$6.4 million in contingent consideration obligations as of June 30, 2012, \$0.3 million is classified within accounts payable and accrued liabilities with the remaining \$6.1 million classified in other liabilities within the Company s consolidated balance sheet.

The following are descriptions of the methodologies utilized by the Company to estimate the fair value of its derivative contracts and contingent consideration obligations:

#### **Derivatives:**

In determining the fair value of the derivative contracts in the table above, the Company utilizes industry standard valuation models. Where applicable, these models project future cash flows and discount the future amounts to a present value using spot rates, forward points, currency volatilities, interest rates as well as the risk of non-performance of the Company and the counterparties with whom it has derivative contracts. The Company established strict counterparty credit guidelines and only enters into transactions with financial institutions that adhere to these guidelines. Accordingly, the risk of counterparty default is deemed to be minimal.

#### **Contingent consideration:**

At June 30, 2012, the Company has contingent consideration obligations related to the acquisitions of CSI and Copal which are based on certain financial and non-financial metrics set forth in the acquisition agreements. These obligations are measured using Level 3 inputs as defined in the ASC. The Company recorded the obligations for these contingent consideration arrangements on the date of each respective acquisition based on management s best estimates of the achievement of the metrics and the value of the obligations are adjusted quarterly.

The contingent consideration obligation for CSI is based on the achievement of a certain contractual milestone by January 2016. The Company utilizes a discounted cash flow methodology to value this obligation. The future expected cash flow for this obligation is discounted using an interest rate available to borrowers with similar credit risk profiles to that of the

Company. The most significant unobservable input involved in the measurement of this obligation is the probability that the milestone will be reached by January 2016. At June 30, 2012, the Company expects that this milestone will be reached by the aforementioned date.

There are several contingent consideration obligations relating to the acquisition of Copal which are more fully discussed in Note 8. The Company utilizes discounted cash flow methodologies to value these obligations. The expected future cash flows for these obligations are discounted using a risk-free interest rate plus a credit spread based on the option adjusted spread of the Company s publicly traded debt as of the valuation date. The most significant unobservable input involved in the measurement of these obligations is the projected future financial results of the applicable Copal entities. Also, for the portion of the obligations which are dependent upon the exercise of the call/put option, the Company has utilized a Monte Carlo simulation model to estimate when the option will be exercised, thus triggering the payment of contingent consideration.

A significant increase or decrease in any of the aforementioned significant unobservable inputs related to the fair value measurement of the Company s contingent consideration obligations would result in a significantly higher or lower reported fair value for these obligations.

#### NOTE 11. OTHER BALANCE SHEET INFORMATION

The following tables contain additional detail related to certain balance sheet captions:

	June 30, 2012	), December 2011	
Other current assets:			
Prepaid taxes	\$ 63.2	\$	27.6
Prepaid expenses	39.0		44.6
Other	7.6		5.4
Total other current assets	\$ 109.8	\$	77.6

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	
Other assets:			
Investments in joint ventures	\$ 44.2	\$	37.2
Deposits for real-estate leases	11.7		12.2
Other	44.2		32.6
Total other assets	\$ 100.1	\$	82.0

26

	June 30, 2012	December 2011	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities:			
Salaries and benefits	<b>\$ 64.9</b>	\$	73.8
Incentive compensation	54.7		114.1
Profit sharing contribution			7.1
Customer credits, advanced payments and advanced billings	24.0		17.6
Dividends	2.5		38.2
Professional service fees	49.2		50.5
Interest accrued on debt	15.4		15.1
Accounts payable	18.0		16.4
Income taxes	18.7		23.4
Restructuring	0.2		0.2
Deferred rent-current portion	2.2		1.7
Pension and other post retirement employee benefits	3.8		3.8
Interest accrued on UTPs			29.7
Other	46.5		60.7
Total accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 300.1	\$	452.3

	June 30, 2012	- /	
Other liabilities:			
Pension and other post retirement employee benefits	\$ 189.1	\$	187.5
Deferred rent-non-current portion	109.4		108.8
Interest accrued on UTPs	8.0		11.8
Legacy and other tax matters	53.8		52.6
Other	35.1		44.1
Total other liabilities	\$ 395.4	\$	404.8

27

## **Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest:**

The following table shows changes in the redeemable noncontrolling interest related to the acquisition of Copal:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012		Ended er 31, 2011
(in millions)	Redeemable Non-	controlling	Interest
Balance January 1,	\$ 60.5	\$	
Fair value at date of acquisition			68.0
Adjustment due to right of offset for UTPs *	6.8		(6.8)
Net earnings	1.6		1.0
Distributions			
FX translation	1.6		(1.7)
Balance	\$ 70.5	\$	60.5

## **Noncontrolling Interests:**

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company s noncontrolling interests:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Non-Redeema	Decemb	r Ended per 31, 2011 trolling
(in millions)	Iı	nterest	
Balance January 1,	\$ 10.6	\$	11.2
Net Income	3.6		5.6
Dividends	(4.5)		(5.1)
Purchase of KIS Pricing shares from noncontrolling			
interest			(1.0)
Currency translation adjustment	(0.5)		(0.1)
Balance	\$ 9.2	\$	10.6

#### **AOCI:**

The following table summarizes the components of the Company s AOCI:

(in millions)	June 30, 2012	ember 31, 2011
Currency translation adjustments, net of tax	\$ (33.6)	\$ (23.3)
Net actuarial loses and net prior service cost related to Post-retirement		
plans, net of tax	(83.9)	(81.2)
Unrealized losses on cash flow and net investment hedges, net of tax	(3.3)	(3.0)

<sup>\*</sup> Relates to an adjustment for the right of offset pursuant to the Copal acquisition agreement whereby the amount due to the sellers under the put/call arrangement is reduced by the amount of UTPs that the Company may be required to pay. See Note 8 for further detail on this arrangement.

Total accumulated other comprehensive loss

**\$ (120.8)** 

\$ (107.5)

28

#### NOTE 12. PENSION AND OTHER POST-RETIREMENT BENEFITS

Moody s maintains funded and unfunded noncontributory Defined Benefit Pension Plans. The U.S. DBPPs provide defined benefits using a cash balance formula based on years of service and career average salary for its employees or final average pay for selected executives. The Company also provides certain healthcare and life insurance benefits for retired U.S. employees. The post-retirement healthcare plans are contributory with participants—contributions adjusted annually; the life insurance plans are noncontributory. Moody—s funded and unfunded U.S. pension plans, the U.S. post-retirement healthcare plans and the U.S. post-retirement life insurance plans are collectively referred to herein as the Post-Retirement Plans.

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company no longer offers DBPPs to U.S. employees hired or rehired on or after January 1, 2008. New U.S. employees will instead receive a retirement contribution of similar benefit value under the Company s Profit Participation Plan. Current participants of the Company s DBPPs continue to accrue benefits based on existing plan formulas.

The components of net periodic benefit expense related to the Post-Retirement Plans are as follows:

	Thr	Three Months Ended June 30,						
	Pension	Pension Plans		Re		Other Post- Retirement n Plans Plans		ement
	2012	2011	2012	2011				
Components of net periodic expense								
Service cost	\$ 5.0	\$ 3.9	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.3				
Interest cost	3.3	3.4	0.2	0.2				
Expected return on plan assets	(3.1)	(3.0)						
Amortization of net actuarial loss from earlier periods	2.1	1.4		0.1				
Amortization of net prior service costs from earlier periods	0.1	0.1						
•								
Net periodic expense	\$ 7.4	\$ 5.8	\$ 0.6	\$ 0.6				

	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	Pension Plans		Other Post Retiremen s Plans	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Components of net periodic expense				
Service cost	\$ 9.5	\$ 7.6	<b>\$ 0.7</b>	\$ 0.6
Interest cost	6.5	6.6	0.4	0.4
Expected return on plan assets	(6.2)	(6.0)		
Amortization of net actuarial loss from earlier periods	4.6	2.5	0.1	0.1
Amortization of net prior service costs from earlier periods	0.3	0.3		
Net periodic expense	\$ 14.7	\$ 11.0	\$ 1.2	<b>\$</b> 1.1

Siv Months Ended June 30

The Company contributed \$17.8 million to its U.S. funded pension plan and made payments of \$1.3 million related to its unfunded U.S. DBPPs and \$0.3 million to its U.S. other post-retirement plans, respectively during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The Company presently anticipates making additional payments of \$2.0 million related to its unfunded U.S. DBPPs and \$0.3 million to its U.S. other post-retirement plans during the remainder of 2012.

#### NOTE 13. INDEBTEDNESS

The following table summarizes total indebtedness:

	June 30, 2012	Dec	ember 31, 2011
2012 Facility	\$	\$	
Commercial paper			
Notes Payable:			
Series 2005-1 Notes, due 2015; which includes the fair value of interest			
rate swap of \$13.5 million at 2012 and \$11.5 million at 2011	313.5		311.5
Series 2007-1 Notes due 2017	300.0		300.0
2010 Senior Notes, due 2020, net of unamortized discount of \$2.7			
million in both 2012 and 2011	497.3		497.3
2008 Term Loan, various payments through 2013	127.5		135.0
Total debt	1,238.3		1,243.8
Current portion	(127.5)		(71.3)
Total long-term debt	\$ 1,110.8	\$	1,172.5

#### 2012 Facility

On April 18, 2012, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a \$1 billion five-year senior, unsecured revolving credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of \$1 billion that expires in April 2017. The 2012 Facility replaces the \$1 billion 2007 Facility that was scheduled to expire in September 2012. The proceeds from the 2012 Facility will be used for general corporate purposes, including, without limitation, support for the Company s \$1 billion commercial paper program, share repurchases and acquisition financings. Interest on borrowings under the facility is payable at rates that are based on LIBOR plus a premium that can range from 77.5 basis points to 120 basis points per annum of the outstanding amount, depending on the Company s Debt/EBITDA ratio. The Company also pays quarterly facility fees, regardless of borrowing activity under the 2012 Facility. These quarterly fees can range from 10 basis points of the facility amount to 17.5 basis points, depending on the Company s Debt/EBITDA Ratio.

The 2012 Facility contains covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries, without the approval of the lenders, to engage in mergers, consolidations, asset sales, transactions with affiliates and sale-leaseback transactions or to incur liens, as set forth in the facility agreement. The 2012 Facility also contains a financial covenant that requires the Company to maintain a Debt to EBITDA Ratio of not more than 4 to 1 at the end of any fiscal quarter. Upon the occurrence of certain financial or economic events, significant corporate events or certain other events constituting an event of default under the 2012 Facility, all loans outstanding under the facility (including accrued interest and fees payable thereunder) may be declared immediately due and payable and all commitments under the facility may be terminated.

## 2007 Facility

On September 28, 2007, the Company entered into a \$1.0 billion five-year senior, unsecured revolving credit facility, expiring in September 2012. The 2007 Facility served, in part, to support the Company s CP Program described below. Interest on borrowings was payable at rates that were based on LIBOR plus a premium that could range from 16.0 to 40.0 basis points of the outstanding borrowing amount depending on the Debt/EBITDA ratio. The Company also paid quarterly facility fees, regardless of borrowing activity under the 2007 Facility. The quarterly fees for the 2007 Facility ranged from 4.0 to 10.0 basis points per annum of the facility amount, depending on the Company s Debt/EBITDA ratio. The Company also paid a utilization fee of 5.0 basis points on borrowings outstanding when the aggregate amount

Table of Contents 37

30

outstanding exceeded 50% of the total facility. The 2007 Facility contained certain covenants that, among other things, restricted the ability of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries, without the approval of the lenders, to engage in mergers, consolidations, asset sales, transactions with affiliates and sale-leaseback transactions or to incur liens, as defined in the related agreement. The 2007 Facility also contained financial covenants that, among other things, required the Company to maintain a Debt/EBITDA ratio of not more than 4.0 to 1.0 at the end of any fiscal quarter. On April 18, 2012, the 2007 Facility was replaced by the 2012 Facility described above.

#### Commercial Paper

On October 3, 2007, the Company entered into a private placement commercial paper program under which the Company may issue CP notes up to a maximum amount of \$1.0 billion. Amounts available under the CP Program may be re-borrowed. The CP Program is supported by the Company s 2012 Facility. The maturities of the CP Notes will vary, but may not exceed 397 days from the date of issue. The CP Notes are sold at a discount from par or, alternatively, sold at par and bear interest at rates that will vary based upon market conditions at the time of issuance. The rates of interest will depend on whether the CP Notes will be a fixed or floating rate. The interest on a floating rate may be based on the following: (a) certificate of deposit rate; (b) commercial paper rate; (c) federal funds rate; (d) LIBOR; (e) prime rate; (f) Treasury rate; or (g) such other base rate as may be specified in a supplement to the private placement agreement. The CP Program contains certain events of default including, among other things: non-payment of principal, interest or fees; entrance into any form of moratorium; and bankruptcy and insolvency events, subject in certain instances to cure periods.

#### Notes Payable

On November 4, 2011, in connection with the acquisition of Copal, a subsidiary of the Company issued a \$14.2 million non-interest bearing note to the sellers which represented a portion of the consideration transferred to acquire the Copal entities. If a seller subsequently transfers to the Company all of its shares, the Company must repay the seller its proportion of the principal on the later of (i) the fourth anniversary date of the note or (ii) within a time frame set forth in the acquisition agreement relating to the resolution of certain income tax uncertainties pertaining to the transaction. Otherwise, the Company must repay any amount outstanding on the earlier of (i) two business days subsequent to the exercise of the put/call option to acquire the remaining shares of Copal or (ii) the tenth anniversary date of the issuance of the note. The Company has the right to offset payment of the note against certain indemnification assets associated with UTPs related to the acquisition, which are more fully discussed in Note 7. Accordingly, the Company has offset the liability for this note against the indemnification asset, thus no balance for this note is carried on the Company s consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. In the event that the Company would not be required to settle amounts related to the UTPs, the Company would be required to pay the sellers the principal in accordance with the note agreement. The Company may prepay the note in accordance with certain terms set forth in the acquisition agreement.

On August 19, 2010, the Company issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of unsecured notes in a public offering. The 2010 Senior Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 5.50% and mature on September 1, 2020. Interest on the 2010 Senior Notes will be due semi-annually on September 1 and March 1 of each year, commencing March 1, 2011. The Company may prepay the 2010 Senior Notes, in whole or in part, at any time at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount being prepaid, plus accrued and unpaid interest and a Make-Whole Amount. Additionally, at the option of the holders of the notes, the Company may be required to purchase all or a portion of the notes upon occurrence of a Change of Control Triggering Event, as defined in the Indenture, at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of purchase. The Indenture contains covenants that limit the ability of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries to, among other things, incur or create liens and enter into sale and leaseback transactions. In addition, the Indenture contains a covenant that limits the ability of the Company to consolidate or merge with another entity or to sell all or substantially all of its assets to another entity. The Indenture contains customary default provisions. In addition, an event of default will occur if the Company or certain of its subsidiaries fail to pay the principal of any indebtedness (as defined in the Indenture) when due at maturity in an aggregate amount of \$50 million or more, or

31

## Edgar Filing: MOODYS CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

#### **Table of Contents**

a default occurs that results in the acceleration of the maturity of the Company s or certain of its subsidiaries indebtedness in an aggregate amount of \$50 million or more. Upon the occurrence and during the continuation of an event of default under the Indenture, the notes may become immediately due and payable either automatically or by the vote of the holders of more than 25% of the aggregate principal amount of all of the notes then outstanding.

On September 7, 2007, the Company issued and sold through a private placement transaction, \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 6.06% Series 2007-1 Senior Unsecured Notes due 2017 pursuant to the 2007 Agreement. The Series 2007-1 Notes have a ten-year term and bear interest at an annual rate of 6.06%, payable semi-annually on March 7 and September 7. Under the terms of the 2007 Agreement, the Company may, from time to time within five years, in its sole discretion, issue additional series of senior notes in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$500.0 million pursuant to one or more supplements to the 2007 Agreement. The Company may prepay the Series 2007-1 Notes, in whole or in part, at any time at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount being prepaid, plus accrued and unpaid interest and a Make Whole Amount. The 2007 Agreement contains covenants that limit the ability of the Company, and certain of its subsidiaries to, among other things: enter into transactions with affiliates, dispose of assets, incur or create liens, enter into any sale-leaseback transactions, or merge with any other corporation or convey, transfer or lease substantially all of its assets. The Company must also not permit its Debt/EBITDA ratio to exceed 4.0 to 1.0 at the end of any fiscal quarter.

On September 30, 2005, the Company issued and sold through a private placement transaction, \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of its Series 2005-1 Senior Unsecured Notes due 2015 pursuant to the 2005 Agreement. The Series 2005-1 Notes have a ten-year term and bear interest at an annual rate of 4.98%, payable semi-annually on March 30 and September 30. Proceeds from the sale of the Series 2005-1 Notes were used to refinance \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of the Company s outstanding 7.61% senior notes which matured on September 30, 2005. In the event that Moody s pays all, or part, of the Series 2005-1 Notes in advance of their maturity, such prepayment will be subject to a Make Whole Amount. The Series 2005-1 Notes are subject to certain covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries, without the approval of the lenders, to engage in mergers, consolidations, asset sales, transactions with affiliates and sale-leaseback transactions or to incur liens, as defined in the related agreements.

#### 2008 Term Loan

On May 7, 2008, Moody s entered into a five-year, \$150.0 million senior unsecured term loan with several lenders. Proceeds from the loan were used to pay off a portion of the CP outstanding. Interest on borrowings under the 2008 Term Loan is payable quarterly at rates that are based on LIBOR plus a margin that can range from 125 basis points to 175 basis points depending on the Company s Debt/EBITDA ratio. The outstanding borrowings shall amortize in accordance with the schedule of payments set forth in the 2008 Term Loan outlined in the table below.

The 2008 Term Loan contains restrictive covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of the Company to engage or to permit its subsidiaries to engage in mergers, consolidations, asset sales, transactions with affiliates and sale-leaseback transactions or to incur, or permit its subsidiaries to incur, liens, in each case, subject to certain exceptions and limitations. The 2008 Term Loan also limits the amount of debt that subsidiaries of the Company may incur. In addition, the 2008 Term Loan contains a financial covenant that requires the Company to maintain a Debt/EBITDA ratio of not more than 4.0 to 1.0 at the end of any fiscal quarter.

32

The principal payments due on the Company s long-term borrowings for each of the next five years are presented in the table below:

Year Ended December 31,	2008 Te	rm Loan	Series 200	05-1 Notes	Total
2012 (after June 30,)	\$	63.7	\$		\$ 63.7
2013		63.8			63.8
2014					
2015				300.0	300.0
2016					
Total	\$	127.5	\$	300.0	\$ 427.5

In the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company entered into interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$300 million which converted the fixed rate of interest on the Series 2005-1 Notes to a floating LIBOR-based interest rate. Also, on May 7, 2008, the Company entered into interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$150 million to protect against fluctuations in the LIBOR-based variable interest rate on the 2008 Term Loan. Both of these interest rate swaps are more fully discussed in Note 7 above.

At June 30, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all covenants contained within all of the debt agreements. In addition to the covenants described above, the 2012 Facility, the 2007 Facility, the 2005 Agreement, the 2007 Agreement, the 2010 Senior Notes and the 2008 Term Loan contain cross default provisions. These provisions state that default under one of the aforementioned debt instruments could in turn permit lenders under other debt instruments to declare borrowings outstanding under those instruments to be immediately due and payable. As of June 30, 2012, there were no such cross defaults.

#### Interest expense, net

The following table summarizes the components of interest as presented in the consolidated statements of operations:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		hs Ended e 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Income	\$ 1.2	<b>\$</b> 1.3	\$ 2.5	\$ 2.3
Expense on borrowings	(16.4)	(16.3)	(32.8)	(32.7)
Income (expense) on UTPs and other tax related liabilities (a)	(1.5)	(3.4)	3.5	(7.0)
Legacy Tax (b)		3.7		3.7
Capitalized	0.1	0.6	(0.1)	1.4
Total	\$ (16.6)	\$ (14.1)	\$ (26.9)	\$ (32.3)

- (a) The six months ended June 30, 2012 amount contains a benefit of approximately \$7 million related to the settlement of state and local income tax audits.
- (b) The 2011 amounts represent a reversal of \$2.8 million of accrued interest expense relating to the favorable resolution of a Legacy Tax Matter and \$0.9 million of interest income related to a pre-spinoff tax year.

33

The following tables shows the cash paid for interest:

		ths Ended ne 30,
	2012	2011
Interest paid*	\$ 32.0	\$ 40.7

\* Interest paid includes net settlements on interest rate swaps more fully discussed in Note 7.

The Company s long-term debt, including the current portion, is recorded at cost except for the Series 2005-1 Notes which are carried at cost adjusted for the fair value of an interest rate swap used to hedge the fair value of the note. The fair value and carrying value of the Company s long-term debt as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	June	June 30, 2012			December 31, 2011		
	Carrying Amount		ted Fair due	Carrying Amount	Esti	nated Fair Value	
Series 2005-1 Notes*	\$ 313.5	\$	316.1	\$ 311.5	\$	316.5	
Series 2007-1 Notes	300.0		335.2	300.0		332.7	
2010 Senior Notes	497.3		540.6	497.3		525.6	
2008 Term Loan	127.5		127.5	135.0		135.0	
Total	\$ 1,238.3	\$	1,319.4	\$ 1,243.8	\$	1,309.8	

The fair value of the Company s 2010 Senior Notes is based on quoted market prices. The fair value of the remaining long-term debt, which is not publicly traded, is estimated using discounted cash flows with inputs based on prevailing interest rates available to the Company for borrowings with similar maturities.

#### **NOTE 14 CONTINGENCIES**

From time to time, Moody s is involved in legal and tax proceedings, governmental investigations, claims and litigation that are incidental to the Company s business, including claims based on ratings assigned by MIS. Moody s is also subject to ongoing tax audits in the normal course of business. Management periodically assesses the Company s liabilities and contingencies in connection with these matters based upon the latest information available. Moody s discloses material pending legal proceedings pursuant to SEC rules and other pending matters as it may determine to be appropriate.

Following the events in the U.S. subprime residential mortgage sector and the credit markets more broadly over the last several years, MIS and other credit rating agencies are the subject of intense scrutiny, increased regulation, ongoing investigation, and civil litigation. Legislative, regulatory and enforcement entities around the world are considering additional legislation, regulation and enforcement actions, including with respect to MIS s compliance with newly imposed regulatory standards. Moody s has received subpoenas and inquiries from states attorneys general and other governmental authorities and is responding to such investigations and inquiries.

In addition, the Company is facing litigation from market participants relating to the performance of MIS rated securities. Although Moody s in the normal course experiences such litigation, the volume and cost of defending such litigation has significantly increased following the events in the U.S. subprime residential mortgage sector and the credit markets more broadly over the last several years.

<sup>\*</sup> The carrying amount includes an \$13.5 million and \$11.5 million fair value adjustment on an interest rate hedge at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Edgar Filing: MOODYS CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

34

On June 27, 2008, the Brockton Contributory Retirement System, a purported shareholder of the Company s securities, filed a purported shareholder derivative complaint on behalf of the Company against its directors and certain senior officers, and the Company as nominal defendant, in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York. The plaintiff asserts various causes of action relating to the named defendants oversight of MIS s ratings of RMBS and constant-proportion debt obligations, and their participation in the alleged public dissemination of false and misleading information about MIS s ratings practices and/or a failure to implement internal procedures and controls to prevent the alleged wrongdoing. The plaintiff seeks compensatory damages, restitution, disgorgement of profits and other equitable relief. On July 2, 2008, Thomas R. Flynn, a purported shareholder of the Company s securities, filed a similar purported shareholder derivative complaint on behalf of the Company against its directors and certain senior officers, and the Company as nominal defendant, in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, asserting similar claims and seeking the same relief. The cases have been consolidated and plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint in November 2008. The Company removed the consolidated action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in December 2008. In January 2009, the plaintiffs moved to remand the case to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, which the Company opposed. On February 23, 2010, the court issued an opinion remanding the case to the Supreme Court of New York. On October 30, 2008, the Louisiana Municipal Police Employees Retirement System, a purported shareholder of the Company s securities, also filed a shareholder derivative complaint on behalf of the Company against its directors and certain officers, and the Company as a nominal defendant, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. This complaint also asserts various causes of action relating to the Company's ratings of RMBS, CDO and constant-proportion debt obligations, and named defendants participation in the alleged public dissemination of false and misleading information about MIS s ratings practices and/or a failure to implement internal procedures and controls to prevent the alleged wrongdoing. On December 9, 2008, Rena Nadoff, a purported shareholder of the Company, filed a shareholder derivative complaint on behalf of the Company against its directors and its CEO, and the Company as a nominal defendant, in the Supreme Court of the State of New York. The complaint asserts a claim for breach of fiduciary duty in connection with alleged overrating of asset-backed securities and underrating of municipal securities. On October 20, 2009, the Company moved to dismiss or stay the action in favor of related federal litigation. On January 26, 2010, the court entered a stipulation and order, submitted jointly by the parties, staying the Nadoff litigation pending coordination and prosecution of similar claims in the above and below described federal derivative actions. On July 6, 2009, W. A. Sokolowski, a purported shareholder of the Company, filed a purported shareholder derivative complaint on behalf of the Company against its directors and current and former officers, and the Company as a nominal defendant, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint asserts claims relating to alleged mismanagement of the Company s processes for rating structured finance transactions, alleged insider trading and causing the Company to buy back its own stock at artificially inflated prices. On July 19, 2012, plaintiffs in the above shareholder derivative actions filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York a motion for preliminary approval of a proposed settlement that would resolve all pending shareholder derivative cases. The proposed settlement calls for Moody s to adopt and maintain certain corporate governance changes for a period of two years. In connection with the proposed settlement, the Company has agreed not to oppose an application for attorney s fees and costs in an amount not to exceed \$4.95 million. On July 20, 2012, the District Court granted preliminary approval of the proposed settlement and on July 23, 2012, the District Court scheduled a hearing on final approval for September 6,

Two purported class action complaints have been filed by purported purchasers of the Company s securities against the Company and certain of its senior officers, asserting claims under the federal securities laws. The first was filed by Raphael Nach in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on July 19, 2007. The second was filed by Teamsters Local 282 Pension Trust Fund in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York on September 26, 2007. Both actions have been consolidated into a single proceeding entitled In re Moody s Corporation Securities Litigation in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. On June 27, 2008, a

35

consolidated amended complaint was filed, purportedly on behalf of all purchasers of the Company's securities during the period February 3, 2006 through October 24, 2007. Plaintiffs allege that the defendants issued false and/or misleading statements concerning the Company's business conduct, business prospects, business conditions and financial results relating primarily to MIS's ratings of structured finance products including RMBS, CDO and constant-proportion debt obligations. The plaintiffs seek an unspecified amount of compensatory damages and their reasonable costs and expenses incurred in connection with the case. The Company moved for dismissal of the consolidated amended complaint in September 2008. On February 23, 2009, the court issued an opinion dismissing certain claims and sustaining others. On January 22, 2010, plaintiffs moved to certify a class of individuals who purchased Moody's Corporation common stock between February 3, 2006 and October 24, 2007, which the Company opposed. On March 31, 2011, the court issued an opinion denying plaintiffs' motion to certify the proposed class. On April 14, 2011, plaintiffs filed a petition in the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit seeking discretionary permission to appeal the decision. The Company filed its response to the petition on April 25, 2011. On July 20, 2011, the Second Circuit issued an order denying plaintiffs' petition for leave to appeal.

On August 25, 2008, Abu Dhabi Commercial Bank filed a purported class action in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York asserting numerous common-law causes of action against two subsidiaries of the Company, another rating agency, and Morgan Stanley & Co. The action relates to securities issued by a structured investment vehicle called Cheyne Finance (the Cheyne SIV) and seeks, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. The central allegation against the rating agency defendants is that the credit ratings assigned to the securities issued by the Cheyne SIV were false and misleading. In early proceedings, the court dismissed all claims against the rating agency defendants except those for fraud and aiding and abetting fraud. In June 2010, the court denied plaintiff s motion for class certification, and additional plaintiffs were subsequently added to the complaint. In January 2012, the rating agency defendants moved for summary judgment with respect to the fraud and aiding and abetting fraud claims. Also in January 2012, in light of new New York state case law, the court permitted the plaintiffs to file an amended complaint that reasserted previously dismissed claims against all defendants for breach of fiduciary duty, negligence, negligent misrepresentation, and related aiding and abetting claims. In May 2012, the court, ruling on the rating agency defendants motion to dismiss, dismissed all of the reasserted claims except for the negligent misrepresentation claim, which alleges that the credit ratings assigned to the Cheyne SIV had no reasonable basis. In the course of the proceedings, the 15 plaintiffs in this action have asserted that their total compensatory damages, consisting of alleged lost principal and lost interest, plus statutory interest, equal approximately \$811 million.

In October 2009, plaintiffs King County, Washington and Iowa Student Loan Liquidity Corporation each filed substantially identical putative class actions in the Southern District of New York against two subsidiaries of the Company and several other defendants, including two other rating agencies and IKB Deutsche Industriebank AG. These actions arise out of investments in securities issued by a structured investment vehicle called Rhinebridge plc (the Rhinebridge SIV ) and seek, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. Each complaint asserted a claim for common law fraud against the rating agency defendants, alleging, among other things, that the credit ratings assigned to the securities issued by the Rhinebridge SIV were false and misleading. The case is pending before the same judge presiding over the litigation concerning the Chevne SIV, described above. In April 2010, the court denied the rating agency defendants motion to dismiss. In June 2010, the court consolidated the two cases and the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint that, among other things, added Morgan Stanley & Co. as a defendant. In January 2012, in light of new New York state case law, the court permitted the plaintiffs to file an amended complaint that asserted claims against the rating agency defendants for breach of fiduciary duty, negligence, negligent misrepresentation, and aiding and abetting claims. In May 2012, the court, ruling on the rating agency defendants motion to dismiss, dismissed all of the new claims except for the negligent misrepresentation claim, which alleges that the credit ratings assigned to the Rhinebridge SIV had no reasonable basis, and a claim for aiding and abetting fraud. Plaintiffs have thus far not sought class certification. In the course of the proceedings, the two plaintiffs have asserted that their total compensatory damages, consisting of alleged lost principal and lost interest, plus statutory interest, equal approximately \$70 million. In June 2012, defendants IKB Deutsche Industriebank AG and IKB Credit Asset Management GmbH informed the court that they had executed a confidential settlement agreement with the plaintiffs.

36

For claims, litigation and proceedings not related to income taxes, where it is both probable that a liability is expected to be incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated, the Company records liabilities in the consolidated financial statements and periodically adjusts these as appropriate. In other instances, because of uncertainties related to the probable outcome and/or the amount or range of loss, management does not record a liability but discloses the contingency if significant. As additional information becomes available, the Company adjusts its assessments and estimates of such matters accordingly. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of litigation, regulatory, enforcement and similar matters and contingencies, particularly where the claimants seek large or indeterminate damages or where the parties assert novel legal theories or the matters involve a large number of parties, the Company cannot predict what the eventual outcome of the pending matters will be or the timing of any resolution of such matters. The Company also cannot predict the impact (if any) that any such matters may have on how its business is conducted, on its competitive position or on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows. As the process to resolve the pending matters referred to above progresses, management will continue to review the latest information available and assess its ability to predict the outcome of such matters and the effects, if any, on its operations and financial condition. However, in light of the large or indeterminate damages sought in some of them, the absence of similar court rulings on the theories of law asserted and uncertainties regarding apportionment of any potential damages, an estimate of the range of possible losses cannot be made at this time. For income tax matters, the Company employs the prescribed methodology of Topic 740 of the ASC which requires a company to first determine whether it is more-likely-than-not (defined as a likelihood of more than fifty percent) that a tax position will be sustained based on its technical merits as of the reporting date, assuming that taxing authorities will examine the position and have full knowledge of all relevant information. A tax position that meets this more-likely-than-not threshold is then measured and recognized at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely to be realized upon effective settlement with a taxing authority.

#### **Legacy Tax Matters**

Moody s continues to have exposure to potential liabilities arising from Legacy Tax Matters. As of June 30, 2012, Moody s has recorded liabilities for Legacy Tax Matters totaling \$55.8 million. This includes liabilities and accrued interest due to New D&B arising from the 2000 Distribution Agreement. It is possible that the ultimate liability for Legacy Tax Matters could be greater than the liabilities recorded by the Company, which could result in additional charges that may be material to Moody s future reported results, financial position and cash flows.

The following summary of the relationships among Moody s, New D&B and their predecessor entities is important in understanding the Company s exposure to the Legacy Tax Matters.

In November 1996, The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation separated into three separate public companies: The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, ACNielsen Corporation and Cognizant Corporation. In June 1998, The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation separated into two separate public companies: Old D&B and R.H. Donnelley Corporation. During 1998, Cognizant separated into two separate public companies: IMS Health Incorporated and Nielsen Media Research, Inc. In September 2000, Old D&B separated into two separate public companies: New D&B and Moody s.

Old D&B and its predecessors entered into global tax planning initiatives in the normal course of business. These initiatives are subject to normal review by tax authorities. Old D&B and its predecessors also entered into a series of agreements covering the sharing of any liabilities for payment of taxes, penalties and interest resulting from unfavorable IRS determinations on certain tax matters, and certain other potential tax liabilities, all as described in such agreements. Further, in connection with the 2000 Distribution and pursuant to the terms of the 2000 Distribution Agreement, New D&B and Moody s have agreed on the financial responsibility for any potential liabilities related to these Legacy Tax Matters

37

At the time of the 2000 Distribution, New D&B paid Moody s \$55.0 million for 50% of certain anticipated future tax benefits through 2012. In the event that these tax benefits are not claimed or otherwise not realized by New D&B, or there is an IRS audit of New D&B impacting these tax benefits, Moody s would be required to repay to New D&B an amount equal to the discounted value of its share of the related future tax benefits as well as its share of any tax liability incurred by New D&B. In June 2011, the statute of limitations for New D&B relating to the 2004 tax year expired. As a result, in the second quarter of 2011, Moody s recorded a reduction of accrued interest expense of \$2.8 million (\$1.7 million, net of tax) and an increase in other non-operating income of \$6.4 million, relating to amounts due to New D&B. As of June 30, 2012, Moody s liability with respect to this matter totaled \$53.7 million.

Additionally, in April 2011, Moody s received a refund of \$0.9 million (\$0.6 million, net of tax) for interest assessed related to pre-spinoff tax years.

In 2005, settlement agreements were executed with the IRS with respect to certain Legacy Tax Matters related to the years 1989-1990 and 1993-1996. With respect to these settlements, Moody s and New D&B believed that IMS Health and NMR did not pay their full share of the liability to the IRS under the terms of the applicable separation agreements between the parties. Moody s and New D&B subsequently paid these amounts to the IRS and commenced arbitration proceedings against IMS Health and NMR to resolve this dispute. Pursuant to these arbitration proceedings, the Company received \$10.8 million (\$6.5 million as a reduction of interest expense and \$4.3 million as a reduction of tax expense) in 2009. The aforementioned settlement payment resulted in net income benefits of \$8.2 million in 2009. The Company continues to carry a \$2.1 million liability for this matter.

#### NOTE 15. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company is organized into three operating segments: (i) MIS, (ii) MA and (iii) an immaterial operating segment that provides fixed income pricing services in the Asia Pacific region. This aforementioned immaterial operating segment has been aggregated with the MA operating segment based on the fact that it has similar economic characteristics to MA. Accordingly, the Company reports in two reportable segments: MIS and MA. The MIS segment is comprised of all of the Company s ratings activities. All of Moody s other non-rating commercial activities are included in the MA segment.

The MIS segment consists of four lines of business corporate finance, structured finance, financial institutions and public, project and infrastructure finance that generate revenue principally from fees for the assignment and ongoing monitoring of credit ratings on debt obligations and the entities that issue such obligations in markets worldwide.

The MA segment, which includes all of the Company s non-rating commercial activities, develops a wide range of products and services that support the risk management activities of institutional participants in global financial markets. The MA segment consists of three lines of business RD&A, enterprise risk solutions (formerly named risk management software) and professional services. Additionally, in the first quarter of 2012, a division within the professional services LOB which provided various financial modeling services was transferred to the enterprise risk solutions LOB. Accordingly, the prior year revenue by LOB for MA has been reclassified to reflect the transfer of this division.

In the fourth quarter of 2011, subsidiaries of the Company acquired Copal and B&H. Copal is an outsourced research and consulting business. B&H is a provider of insurance risk management tools. B&H and Copal are part of the MA segment and their revenue is included in the ERS and professional services LOB s within MA, respectively.

Revenue for MIS and expenses for MA include an intersegment royalty charged to MA for the rights to use and distribute content, data and products developed by MIS. Also, revenue for MA and expenses for MIS include an intersegment fee charged to MIS from MA for certain MA products and services utilized in MIS s ratings process. Additionally, overhead costs and corporate expenses of the Company which exclusively benefit only one segment, are fully charged to that segment. Overhead costs and corporate expenses of the Company which benefit both segments are

38

Operating income

allocated to each segment based on a revenue-split methodology. Overhead expenses include costs such as rent and occupancy, information technology and support staff such as finance, human resource and information technology. Beginning on January 1, 2012, the Company refined its methodology for allocating certain overhead departments to its segments to better align the costs allocated based on each segments usage of the overhead service. The refined methodology is reflected in the segment results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and accordingly, the segment results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 have been reclassified to conform to the new presentation. Eliminations in the table below represent intersegment revenue/expense.

Below is financial information by segment, MIS and MA revenue by line of business and consolidated revenue information by geographic area, each of which is for the three and six month period ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and total assets by segment as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

			2012	Three Months	Ended June	30,	2011	
	MIS	MA	Eliminations	Consolidated	MIS	MA	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenue	\$ 458.7	\$ 202.5	\$ (20.4)	\$ 640.8	\$ 454.2	\$ 169.8	\$ (18.8)	\$ 605.2
Expenses:								
Operating, SG&A	210.7	149.9	(20.4)	340.2	205.0	127.2	(18.8)	313.4
Depreciation and amortization	10.7	11.4		22.1	12.4	9.4		21.8
Restructuring					(0.1)			(0.1)
Total	221.4	161.3	(20.4)	362.3	217.3	136.6	(18.8)	335.1
Operating income	\$ 237.3	\$ 41.2	\$	\$ 278.5	\$ 236.9	\$ 33.2	\$	\$ 270.1
•								
				Six Months E	nded June 3	0,		
			2012				2011	
	MIS	MA	Eliminations	Consolidated	MIS	MA	Eliminations	Consolidated
Revenue	MIS \$ 928.5	MA \$ 399.6						Consolidated \$ 1,182.3
Revenue Expenses:			Eliminations	Consolidated	MIS	MA	Eliminations	
			Eliminations	Consolidated	MIS	MA	Eliminations	
Expenses:	\$ 928.5	\$ 399.6	Eliminations \$ (40.5)	Consolidated \$ 1,287.6	MIS \$ 882.8	<b>MA</b> \$ 336.9	Eliminations \$ (37.4)	\$ 1,182.3
Expenses: Operating, SG&A	\$ 928.5 431.6	\$ 399.6 303.4	Eliminations \$ (40.5)	Consolidated \$ 1,287.6	MIS \$ 882.8 405.6	MA \$ 336.9 254.5	Eliminations \$ (37.4)	\$ 1,182.3 622.7
Expenses: Operating, SG&A Depreciation and amortization	\$ 928.5 431.6	\$ 399.6 303.4	Eliminations \$ (40.5)	Consolidated \$ 1,287.6	MIS \$ 882.8 405.6 21.1	MA \$ 336.9 254.5	Eliminations \$ (37.4)	\$ 1,182.3 622.7 39.5
Expenses: Operating, SG&A Depreciation and amortization	\$ 928.5 431.6	\$ 399.6 303.4	Eliminations \$ (40.5) (40.5)	Consolidated \$ 1,287.6	MIS \$ 882.8 405.6 21.1	MA \$ 336.9 254.5	Eliminations \$ (37.4)	\$ 1,182.3 622.7 39.5
Expenses: Operating, SG&A Depreciation and amortization Restructuring	\$ 928.5 431.6 21.9	\$ 399.6 303.4 23.7	Eliminations \$ (40.5)	Consolidated \$ 1,287.6 694.5 45.6	MIS \$ 882.8 405.6 21.1 (0.1)	MA \$ 336.9 254.5 18.4	### (37.4)  ### (37.4)	\$ 1,182.3 622.7 39.5 (0.1)

547.5

\$ 456.2

\$ 64.0

520.2

\$475.0

\$ 72.5

# MIS and MA Revenue by Line of Business

The table below presents revenue by LOB within each reportable segment:

	Three Mo	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 2011		Ended June 30, 2011	
MIS:					
Corporate finance (CFG)	<b>\$ 191.</b>	<b>.5</b> \$ 200.1	\$ 392.0	\$ 381.9	
Structured finance (SFG)	90.	7 86.3	185.0	175.7	
Financial institutions (FIG)	77.	<b>.8</b> 79.1	156.6	156.0	
Public, project and infrastructure finance (PPIF)	81.	<b>2</b> 72.5	160.3	137.0	
Total external revenue	441.	<b>2</b> 438.0	893.9	850.6	
Intersegment royalty	17.	5 16.2	34.6	32.2	
Total MA:	458.	7 454.2	928.5	882.8	
Research, data and analytics (RD&A)	121.	<b>4</b> 111.0	240.9	220.6	
Enterprise risk solutions (ERS)	51.	<b>.5</b> 41.7	99.6	84.9	
Professional services	26.	7 14.5	53.2	26.2	
Total external revenue	199.	<b>.6</b> 167.2	393.7	331.7	
Intersegment revenue	2.	9 2.6	5.9	5.2	
Total	202.	5 169.8	399.6	336.9	
Eliminations	(20.	4) (18.8)	(40.5)	(37.4)	
Total MCO	\$ 640.	8 \$ 605.2	\$ 1,287.6	\$ 1,182.3	

# Consolidated Revenue Information by Geographic Area:

	Three Months 2012	Ended June 30, 2011	Six Months E	nded June 30, 2011
United States	\$ 343.8	\$ 315.0	\$ 687.8	\$ 616.4
International:				
EMEA	191.7	185.7	387.1	369.0
Other	105.3	104.5	212.7	196.9
Total International	297.0	290.2	599.8	565.9
Total	\$ 640.8	\$ 605.2	\$ 1,287.6	\$ 1,182.3

**Total Assets by Segment:** 

June 30, 2012 December 31, 2011

# Edgar Filing: MOODYS CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

			Corporate				Corporate	
			Assets				Assets	
	MIS	MA	(a)	Consolidated	MIS	MA	(a)	Consolidated
Total Assets	\$ 902.5	1,203,9	857.5	\$ 2,963,9	\$ 725.9	1.289.7	860.5	\$ 2.876.1

(a) Represents common assets that are shared between each segment or utilized by the corporate entity. Such assets primarily include cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, unallocated property and equipment and deferred taxes.

#### NOTE 16. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The objective of this ASU is to achieve common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The amendments in this ASU change the wording used to describe current requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for financial statement disclosure about fair value measurements. Some of the amendments in the ASU clarify the FASB s intent or change a particular principle or requirement pertaining to the application of existing fair value measurement requirements or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments in this ASU are required to be applied prospectively and are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company has conformed to the new disclosures required in this ASU in this Form 10Q for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income . Under the amendments in this ASU, an entity has two options for presenting its total comprehensive income: to show its components along with the components of net income in a single continuous statement, or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendments in this ASU are required to be applied retrospectively and are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-12, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income , which indefinitely defers the requirement in ASU No. 2011-05 to present on the face of the financial statements reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from OCI to net income in the statement(s) where the components of net income and the components of OCI are presented. All other provisions of this ASU, which are to be applied retrospectively, are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted all provisions that were not deferred as of March 31, 2012. The adoption of this ASU will not have any impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements other than revising the presentation of the components of comprehensive income.

#### NOTE 17. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 9, 2012, the Board approved the declaration of a quarterly dividend of \$0.16 per share of Moody s common stock, payable on September 10, 2012 to shareholders of record at the close of business on August 20, 2012.

41

#### Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the Moody s Corporation condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

This Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains Forward-Looking Statements. See Forward-Looking Statements commencing on page 71 for a discussion of uncertainties, risks and other factors associated with these statements.

#### The Company

Moody s is a provider of (i) credit ratings, (ii) credit and economic related research, data and analytical tools, (iii) software solutions and related risk management services, (iv) quantitative credit risk measures, financial services training and certification services and (v) outsourced research and analytical services to institutional customers. Moody s has two reportable segments: MIS and MA.

MIS, the credit rating agency, publishes credit ratings on a wide range of debt obligations and the entities that issue such obligations in markets worldwide. Revenue is derived from the originators and issuers of such transactions who use MIS ratings in the distribution of their debt issues to investors.

The MA segment, which includes all of the Company s non-rating commercial activities, develops a wide range of products and services that primarily support financial analysis and risk management activities of institutional participants in global financial markets. Within its RD&A business, MA distributes research and data developed by MIS as part of its ratings process, including in-depth research on major debt issuers, industry studies and commentary on topical credit-related events. The RD&A business also produces economic research as well as data and analytical tools such as quantitative credit risk scores. Within its enterprise risk solutions business (formerly referred to as RMS), MA provides software solutions as well as related risk management services. The professional services business provides outsourced research and analytical services and financial training and certification programs.

Moody s purchased a majority stake in Copal in November 2011 which is currently a separate reporting unit within MA and for which revenues are reported within the professional services LOB. The Company also purchased B&H in December 2011, which is currently part of the ERS reporting unit and LOB within MA.

#### **Critical Accounting Estimates**

Moody s discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based on the Company s condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires Moody s to make estimates and judgments that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. These estimates are based on historical experience and on other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. On an ongoing basis, Moody s evaluates its estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, accounts receivable allowances, contingencies, restructuring, goodwill and acquired intangible assets, pension and other post-retirement benefits, stock-based compensation, and income taxes. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. Item 7, MD&A, in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, includes descriptions of some of the judgments that Moody s makes in applying its accounting estimates in these areas. Since the date of the annual report on Form 10-K, there have been no material changes to the Company s critical accounting estimates, except for an accounting policy change relating to a change in the date for the

42

Company s annual goodwill impairment assessment which is discussed below as well as the integration of the CSI reporting unit with the training reporting unit in the second quarter of 2012 to form the new Financial Services Training and Certification reporting unit. All other disclosures relating to the Company s critical accounting estimates pertaining to goodwill and other acquired intangible assets have not changed from the Form 10-K filed with the SEC for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## **Goodwill and Other Acquired Intangible Assets**

Moody s has historically evaluated its goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level, defined as an operating segment or one level below an operating segment, annually as of November 30 or more frequently if impairment indicators arose in accordance with ASC Topic 350. In the second quarter of 2012, the Company changed the date of its annual assessment of goodwill impairment to July 31 of each year. This is a change in method of applying an accounting principle which management believes is a preferable alternative, as the new date of the assessment is more closely aligned with the Company s annual strategic planning process. The change in the assessment date does not delay, accelerate or avoid a potential impairment charge. The Company has determined that it is impracticable to objectively determine projected cash flows and related valuation estimates that would have been used as of each July 31 of prior reporting periods without the use of hindsight. As such, the Company has prospectively applied the change in annual goodwill impairment testing date beginning in the second quarter of 2012.

At November 30, 2011, the date of the Company s last impairment assessment, Moody s had six primary reporting units: one in MIS that encompasses all of Moody s ratings operations and five reporting units within MA: RD&A, RMS, training, CSI and Copal. The RD&A reporting unit comprises the distribution of investor-oriented research and data developed by MIS as part of its ratings process, as well as economic research and related data and analytical tools, such as quantitative credit risk scores. The ERS reporting unit (formerly RMS) consists of risk management software that is sold on a license or subscription basis as well as related advisory services for implementation and maintenance. The training reporting unit consists of the portion of the MA business that offers training in credit and financial analysis as well as other professional skills. CSI, which was acquired in November 2010, was analyzed separately as its own reporting unit for the annual goodwill impairment assessment as of November 30, 2011 as the entity had not yet been integrated with one of the aforementioned MA reporting units. CSI was integrated with the training reporting unit in the second quarter of 2012 to form the Financial Services Training and Certification reporting unit. In the fourth quarter of 2011, the Company acquired Copal which is deemed to be separate reporting unit. Additionally, in December 2011, the Company acquired B&H which is part of the ERS reporting unit.

#### **Operating Segments**

The Company is organized into three operating segments: (i) MIS, (ii) MA and (iii) an immaterial operating segment that provides fixed income pricing services in the Asia Pacific region. The aforementioned immaterial operating segment has been aggregated with the MA operating segment based on the fact that it has similar economic characteristics to MA. Accordingly, the Company reports in two reportable segments: MIS and MA. The MIS segment is comprised of all of the Company s ratings activities. All of Moody s other non-rating commercial activities are included in the MA segment.

The MIS segment consists of four lines of business corporate finance, structured finance, financial institutions and public, project and infrastructure finance that generate revenue principally from fees for the assignment and ongoing monitoring of credit ratings on debt obligations and the entities that issue such obligations in markets worldwide.

The MA segment, which includes all of the Company s non-rating commercial activities, develops a wide range of products and services that support financial analysis and risk management activities of institutional participants in global financial markets. The MA segment consists of three lines of business RD&A, ERS (formerly RMS) and professional services. Additionally, in the first quarter of 2012, a division within the professional services LOB that provides various financial modeling services was transferred to the ERS LOB. Accordingly, the prior year revenue by LOB for MA has been reclassified to reflect this transfer.

43

In the fourth quarter of 2011, subsidiaries of the Company acquired Copal and B&H. Copal is an outsourced research and consulting business. B&H is a provider of insurance risk management tools. B&H and Copal are part of the MA segment and their revenues are included in the ERS and professional services LOB s within MA, respectively.

The following is a discussion of the results of operations of these segments, including the intersegment royalty revenue for MIS and expense charged to MA for the rights to use and distribute content, data and products developed by MIS. The discussion also includes intersegment fees charged to MIS from MA for the use of certain MA products and services in MIS s ratings process. Overhead charges and corporate expenses which exclusively benefit one segment are fully charged to that segment. Additionally, overhead costs and corporate expenses of the Company which benefit both segments are generally allocated to each segment based on a revenue-split methodology. Overhead expenses include costs such as rent and occupancy, information technology and support staff such as finance, human resource and information technology. Beginning on January 1, 2012, the Company refined its methodology for allocating certain overhead departments to its segments to better align the costs allocated based on each segments usage of the overhead service. The refined methodology is reflected in the segment results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and accordingly, the segment results for the prior year comparative periods have been reclassified to conform to the new presentation.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation.

#### **Results of Operations**

Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 compared with Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

**Executive Summary** 

Moody s revenue for the second quarter of 2012 totaled \$640.8 million, an increase of \$35.6 million compared to the same period in 2011, primarily reflecting growth across all LOBs within MA coupled with growth in PPIF and SFG within MIS. Excluding the unfavorable impact from changes in FX translation rates, revenue in the second quarter of 2012 increased \$53.8 million compared to 2011. Total expenses were \$362.3 million, an increase of \$27.2 million compared to the second quarter of 2011. The increase in total expenses primarily reflected both higher compensation and non-compensation costs due to the acquisition of Copal and B&H in the fourth quarter of 2011 as well as headcount growth in the Company s existing businesses. The expense growth over 2011 also reflects higher costs to support investment in the Company s IT infrastructure. Operating income of \$278.5 million in the second quarter of 2012 increased 3% compared to the same period in the prior year and resulted in an operating margin of 43.5% compared to 44.6% in the second quarter of 2011. Diluted EPS of \$0.76 for the second quarter of 2012 decreased \$0.06 over the prior year period, which included a \$0.06 favorable impact relating to a foreign tax ruling and a \$0.03 benefit related to favorable resolutions of Legacy Tax Matters. Excluding the aforementioned impact relating to the favorable resolutions of Legacy Tax Matters in the second quarter of 2011, diluted EPS in the second quarter of 2012 decreased \$0.03 compared to the same period in the prior year.

44

#### Moody s Corporation

Revenue: **United States** 

Net income attributable to Moody s

The table below provides a summary of revenue and operating results, followed by further insight and commentary:

Three Months 2012	Ended June 30, 2011	Favorable (Unfavorable)
\$ 343.8	\$ 315.0	9%
101 =	105.7	201

\$ 189.0

% Change

International:					
EMEA		191.7		185.7	3%
Other		105.3		104.5	1%
Total International		297.0		290.2	2%
Total		640.8		605.2	6%
Total		0.1010		003.2	070
Evnoncos					
Expenses: Operating		180.6		170.5	(6%)
SG&A		159.6			
				142.9	(12%)
Depreciation and amortization		22.1		21.8	(1%)
Restructuring				(0.1)	(100%)
Total		362.3		335.1	(8%)
Operating income	\$	278.5	\$	270.1	3%
operating income	Ψ	27010	Ψ	270.1	370
Interest expense, net	\$	(16.6)	\$	(14.1)	(18%)
Other non-operating income (expense), net	\$	2.7	\$	8.2	(67%)

Global revenue of \$640.8 million in the second quarter of 2012 increased \$35.6 million compared to the same period in 2011 reflecting strong growth in MA coupled with modest growth in MIS. The growth in MA revenue reflects increases across all three LOBs and includes revenue from Copal and B&H, which were acquired in the fourth quarter of 2011. The modest growth in ratings revenue compared to the prior year reflects changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases, growth in U.S. public finance rated issuance volumes as well as increases in rated issuance across most asset classes within U.S. SFG. These increases were partially offset by declines in rated issuance volumes for high-yield corporate debt and bank loans. Transaction revenue accounted for 47% of global MCO revenue in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 49% in the same period of the prior year. Transaction revenue in the MIS segment represents the initial rating of a new debt issuance as well as other one-time fees while relationship revenue represents the recurring monitoring of a rated debt obligation and/or entities that issue such obligations, as well as revenue from programs such as commercial paper, medium-term notes and shelf registrations. In the MA segment, relationship revenue represents subscription-based revenues and software maintenance revenue. Transaction revenue in MA represents software license fees and revenue from risk management advisory projects, training and certification services, and knowledge outsourcing engagements.

\$ 172.5

U.S. revenue increased \$28.8 million from the second quarter of 2011, primarily reflecting growth in SFG and PPIF within the ratings segment coupled with strong growth across all three LOBs within MA.

Non-U.S. revenue increased \$6.8 million compared to 2011, reflecting strong growth in MA being partially offset by declines across all LOBs within the ratings segment. The principal driver of the non-U.S. revenue growth in MA was the addition of revenue from Copal and B&H (which were acquired in the fourth quarter of 2011) coupled with modest growth in RD&A. These increases were partially offset by revenue declines within the base business for the ERS LOB. The declines in ratings revenue primarily relate to the EMEA region where macroeconomic uncertainties have resulted in declines in issuance for many fixed income securities.

# Edgar Filing: MOODYS CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

The table below shows Moody s global staffing by geographic area:

	June :	June 30,	
	2012	2011	
United States	2,531	2,349	8%
International	3,955	2,200	80%
Total	<b>6,486</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	4,549	43%

(1) Includes approximately 1,500 personnel related to Copal and B&H which were acquired in the fourth quarter of 2011, of which a majority are in low-cost jurisdictions.

Operating expenses were \$180.6 million in the second quarter of 2012, an increase of \$10.1 million from the same period in 2011 and reflected both higher compensation and non-compensation costs. Non-compensation costs have increased approximately \$5 million over the second quarter of 2011 reflecting the Company s ongoing investments in technology infrastructure. Compensation costs increased approximately \$5 million from the prior year reflecting higher salaries and employee benefits resulting from increases in headcount in both the MIS and MA segments coupled with annual merit increases. The increase in employee benefit costs also reflects higher pension expense resulting from a decrease in the discount rates used to value the Company s obligations and the amortization of prior years actuarial losses. Partially offsetting these increases was lower incentive compensation primarily due to lower full-year projected achievement against certain full-year targeted results compared to projected achievement against targets in the prior year period. Additionally, the increase in both compensation and non-compensation costs compared to the prior year reflects costs from Copal and B&H which were acquired in the fourth quarter of 2011.

SG&A expenses of \$159.6 million in the second quarter of 2012 increased \$16.7 million from the same period in 2011 reflecting increases in both compensation and non-compensation expenses. Compensation costs increased approximately \$7 million primarily due to higher salaries and employee benefits reflecting annual merit increases and headcount growth in the corporate support areas of compliance and IT. The increase in employee benefit costs also reflects higher pension expense resulting from a decrease in the discount rates used to value the Company s obligations and the amortization of prior years actuarial losses. Partially offsetting this increase was lower incentive compensation primarily due to lower full-year projected achievement against certain full-year targeted results compared to projected achievement against targets in the prior year period. Non-compensation expenses increased approximately \$10 million over the prior year primarily reflecting higher professional service costs to support IT infrastructure as well as higher variable costs to support business growth. Additionally, the increase in both compensation and non-compensation costs compared to the prior year reflects costs from Copal and B&H which were acquired in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Operating income of \$278.5 million increased 3% compared to the same period in 2011 reflecting the aforementioned increase in revenue in MIS and MA offset by higher operating and SG&A expenses from acquisitions and to support revenue growth as well as regulatory and compliance initiatives. The second quarter 2012 operating margin was 43.5% compared to 44.6% in the same period of 2011. Changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$11 million unfavorable impact on operating income in the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Interest expense, net for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was \$16.6 million, an increase in expense of \$2.5 million compared to 2011. The increase reflects a \$3.7 million benefit in the second quarter of 2011 relating to the favorable resolution of a Legacy Tax Matter, partially offset by lower interest on UTPs resulting from settlements of state and local tax audits in the first quarter of 2012.

Other non-operating income, net of \$2.7 million in the three months ended June 30, 2012 decreased \$5.5 million compared to the same period in the prior year and primarily reflects a \$6.4 million reversal of reserves in the second quarter of 2011 for the lapse of a statute of limitations related to a Legacy Tax Matter.

Moody s ETR was 33.6% in the second quarter of 2012, up from 27.8% in 2011. The increase was primarily due to a beneficial adjustment in UTPs in the second quarter of 2011 resulting from a foreign tax ruling.

Net Income for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was \$172.5 million, or \$0.76 per diluted share. This is a decline of \$16.5 million, or \$0.06 per diluted share, compared to the same period in 2011 when Net Income included an

46

approximate \$15 million benefit, or \$0.06 per diluted share, relating to a foreign tax ruling as well as a \$7.0 million net benefit, or \$0.03 per diluted share, relating to the favorable resolution of a Legacy Tax Matter. Excluding the net benefit from the favorable resolution of a Legacy Tax Matter in the prior year period, Net Income decreased \$9.5 million, or \$0.03 per diluted share, compared to the second quarter of 2011.

#### **Segment Results**

#### Moody s Investors Service

The table below provides a summary of revenue and operating results, followed by further insight and commentary:

			70 Change
	Three Months I 2012	Ended June 30, 2011	Favorable (Unfavorable)
Revenue:			
Corporate finance (CFG)	\$ 191.5	\$ 200.1	(4%)
Structured finance (SFG)	90.7	86.3	5%
Financial institutions (FIG)	77.8	79.1	(2%)
Public, project and infrastructure finance (PPIF)	81.2	72.5	12%
Total external revenue	441.2	438.0	1%
Intersegment royalty	17.5	16.2	8%
Total MIS Revenue	458.7	454.2	1%
Expenses:			
Operating and SG&A (including intersegment expenses)	210.7	205.0	(3%)
Depreciation and amortization	10.7	12.4	14%
Restructuring		(0.1)	(100%)
Total expenses	221.4	217.3	(2%)
•			
Operating income	\$ 237.3	\$ 236.9	

% Change

The following is a discussion of external MIS revenue and operating expenses:

Global MIS revenue of \$441.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 increased \$3.2 million compared to the same period in 2011, reflecting changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases, primarily within the U.S. Additionally, there was strong growth in rated issuance volumes for U.S. public finance as well as growth across most asset classes within U.S. SFG. These increases were partially offset by declines in rated issuance volumes for high-yield corporate debt and bank loans. Transaction revenue for MIS in the three months ended June 30, 2012 was 60% of total revenue compared to 61% in 2011.

In the U.S., revenue was \$258.0 million in the second quarter of 2012, an increase of \$13.0 million, or 5% compared to the same period in 2011 and reflected the aforementioned changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases. The increase also reflected strong growth in rated issuance volumes for U.S. public finance as well as growth across most asset classes with SFG. These increases were partially offset by declines in rated issuance volumes for high-yield corporate debt and bank loans as well as infrastructure finance related issuance.

Non-U.S. revenue was \$183.2 million in the second quarter of 2012 and decreased \$9.8 million, or 5% compared to the same period in the prior year. This decrease reflects declines across all LOBs, most notably in SFG and CFG,

where macroeconomic uncertainties in Europe resulted in declines in rated issuance volumes for many types of fixed income securities. Changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$15 million unfavorable impact on non-U.S. MIS revenue in the second quarter of 2012.

Global CFG revenue of \$191.5 million in the second quarter of 2012 decreased \$8.6 million from the same period in 2011, primarily reflecting declines in high-yield corporate debt and bank loan issuance volumes. These declines were partially offset by the favorable impacts from changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases as well as higher rated issuance volumes for investment grade corporate debt, which reflects issuers opportunistically coming to market in the low interest rate environment. Transaction revenue represented 70% of total CFG revenue in the second quarter of 2012, compared to 76% in the prior year period. In the U.S., revenue in the second quarter of 2012 was \$118.5 million, or \$5.3 million lower than the same period in 2011. The decrease is primarily due to lower rated issuance volumes for high-yield corporate debt and bank loans partially offset by the aforementioned favorable pricing impacts. Internationally, revenue of \$73.0 million in the second quarter of 2012 decreased \$3.3 million compared to the same period in 2011, reflecting declines in high-yield corporate debt rated issuance volumes across most regions partially offset by higher revenue for commercial paper and medium term note programs in the EMEA region. The aforementioned global declines in high-yield corporate bond and bank loan rated issuance volumes reflect an increase in credit spreads during the second quarter of 2012 resulting from macroeconomic uncertainties in the EMEA sovereign debt markets. Unfavorable changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$5 million impact on international CFG revenue in the second quarter of 2012.

Global SFG revenue of \$90.7 million in the second quarter of 2012 increased \$4.4 million compared to the same period in 2011, reflecting growth in most asset classes in the U.S., partially offset by declines in most asset classes internationally. Transaction revenue was 57% and 51% of total SFG revenue in the second quarter of 2012 and 2011, respectively. In the U.S., revenue of \$48.2 million increased \$8.6 million compared to the second quarter of 2011, reflecting strong growth in rated issuance volumes for collateralized loan obligations and consumer asset-backed securities. The growth in both of these asset classes reflects the continued low interest rate environment as well as increased investor demand for structured products. Non-U.S. revenue in the second quarter of 2012 was \$42.5 million and decreased \$4.2 million compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily reflecting declines across most asset classes within the EMEA region, most notably in the covered bond asset class. The decline in covered bonds revenue is primarily due to continued macroeconomic uncertainties in the EMEA region as well as European banks increasing their use of the ECB s Long-Term Refinancing Operations program. Unfavorable changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$5 million impact on international SFG revenue in the second quarter of 2012.

Global FIG revenue of \$77.8 million in the second quarter of 2012 decreased \$1.3 million compared to the same period in 2011 primarily reflecting unfavorable changes in FX translation rates of approximately \$3 million being partially offset by the favorable impact of changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases, primarily in the US. Transaction revenue was 35% of total FIG revenue in the quarter ended June 30, 2012, compared to 38% in same period in 2011. In the U.S., revenue of \$32.9 million in the second quarter of 2012 was flat compared to the prior year. Outside the U.S., revenue in the second quarter of 2012 was \$44.9 million, or 3% lower than in the prior year. The decline is primarily due to the aforementioned unfavorable changes in FX translation rates.

Global PPIF revenue was \$81.2 million in the second quarter of 2012, an increase of \$8.7 million compared to the same period in 2011, primarily reflecting the favorable impacts of the aforementioned changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and pricing increases, primarily in the U.S as well as higher rated issuance volumes in U.S. public finance. Revenue generated from new transactions was 62% and 59% of total PPIF revenue in the second quarter of 2012 and 2011, respectively. In the U.S., revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2012 of \$58.4 million increased \$9.7 million over the prior year. The increase in public finance rated issuance volumes reflects issuers refinancing existing debt in the current low interest rate environment coupled with a challenging prior year period where rated issuance volumes had declined significantly following the expiration of the Build America Bond Program in the fourth quarter of 2010. Outside

48

the U.S., PPIF revenue decreased 4% compared to the second quarter of 2011 due to declines in sovereign issuance volumes reflecting current macroeconomic uncertainties in Europe. Unfavorable changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$2 million impact on international PPIF revenue in the second quarter of 2012.

Operating and SG&A expenses in the second quarter of 2012 increased \$5.7 million compared to the same period in 2011 as higher non-compensation expenses of approximately \$12 million were partially offset by a \$6 million decrease in compensation expenses. The increase in non-compensation expenses primarily reflects higher professional service costs to support investment in IT infrastructure and regulatory and compliance initiatives as well as higher variable costs to support business growth. The decrease in compensation expenses is due to lower incentive compensation which reflects lower projected achievement against full-year 2012 targeted results through the second quarter of 2012 compared to projected achievement in the prior year period. This decrease in incentive compensation was partially offset by higher salaries and employee benefits resulting from annual merit increases and headcount growth in both the ratings LOBs as well as in support areas such as IT for which the costs are allocated to each segment based on a revenue-split methodology.

Operating income in the second quarter of 2012 of \$237.3 million, which includes intersegment royalty revenue and intersegment license expense, was flat compared to the same period in 2011.

#### Moody s Analytics

The table below provides a summary of revenue and operating results, followed by further insight and commentary:

	Three Months F	Three Months Ended June 30,		
	2012			
Revenue:				
Research, data and analytics (RD&A)	<b>\$ 121.4</b>	\$ 111.0	9%	
Enterprise risk solutions (ERS)	51.5	41.7	24%	
Professional services	26.7	14.5	84%	
Total external revenue	199.6	167.2	19%	
Intersegment revenue	2.9	2.6	12%	
incorsegment revenue	2.7	2.0	1270	
Total MA Revenue	202,5	169.8	19%	
	202.5	109.8	1970	
Expenses:  Operating and SG&A (including intersegment expenses)	149.9	127.2	(18%)	
	11.4	9.4	` ′	
Depreciation and amortization	11.4	9.4	(21%)	
Total expenses	161.3	136.6	(18%)	
Operating income	\$ 41.2	\$ 33.2	24%	

The following is a discussion of external MA revenue and operating expenses:

Global MA revenue increased \$32.4 million compared to the second quarter of 2011, and reflected revenue from Copal and B&H which were acquired in the fourth quarter of 2011. Recurring revenue comprised 80% and 84% of total MA revenue in the second quarter of 2012 and 2011, respectively.

In the U.S., revenue of \$85.8 million in the second quarter of 2012 increased \$15.8 million and reflected strong growth across all LOBs. International revenue of \$113.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was \$16.6 million higher than the same period in 2011, and reflected growth across all LOBs, most notably in ERS and professional services, which now include revenue from the fourth quarter 2011 acquisitions of B&H and Copal, respectively.

49

Global RD&A revenue, which comprised 61% and 66% of external MA revenue in the second quarter ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, increased \$10.4 million over the prior year. The growth was primarily due to sales of credit research via the Company s new CreditView product coupled with solid growth in data licensing arrangements. Global ERS revenue in the second quarter of 2012 increased \$9.8 million over the same period in 2011, due to revenue from the acquisition of B&H in the fourth quarter of 2011 and growth in the base business. Revenue from professional services increased \$12.2 million compared to the second quarter of 2011 due to the acquisition of Copal in the fourth quarter of 2011, partially offset by a small decline in revenue from training and certification services, which were adversely affected by delays in training engagements and staffing reductions in the financial services industry. Revenue in the ERS and professional services LOBs are subject to quarterly volatility resulting from the variable nature of project timing and the concentration of revenue in a relatively small number of engagements.

Operating and SG&A expenses in the second quarter of 2012, which include the intersegment royalty for the right to use and distribute content, data and products developed by MIS, increased \$22.7 million compared to the same period in 2011 reflecting both higher compensation and non-compensation costs of approximately \$18 million and \$4 million, respectively. The increase in compensation costs is primarily due to higher salaries and employee benefits reflecting annual merit increases coupled with an increase in headcount relating to the acquisitions of Copal and B&H in the fourth quarter of 2011 as well as increases to support business growth. The increase in non-compensation costs primarily reflects expenses related to the acquisitions of Copal and B&H which were acquired in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Depreciation and amortization of \$11.4 million in the second quarter of 2012 increased \$2.0 million from the same period in 2011 and reflected higher amortization related to intangible assets acquired as part of the fourth quarter 2011 acquisitions of Copal and B&H.

Operating Income of \$41.2 million in the second quarter of 2012, which includes the intersegment royalty expense, increased \$8.0 million compared to the same period in 2011.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 compared with Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

#### **Executive Summary**

Moody s revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2012 totaled \$1,287.6 million, an increase of \$105.3 million compared to the same period in 2011 and reflected growth in both reportable segments. Excluding the unfavorable impact from changes in FX translation rates, revenue in the six months ended June 30, 2012 increased \$127.4 million compared to 2011. Total expenses were \$740.1 million, and increased \$78.0 million compared to the first six months of 2011 with a majority of the increase resulting from higher headcount which reflects growth in the Company s base business as well as additional personnel from the fourth quarter 2011 acquisitions of Copal and B&H .. Operating income of \$547.5 million in the first six months of 2012 increased \$27.3 million compared to the same period in the prior year and resulted in an operating margin of 42.5% in 2012 compared to 44.0% in the prior year. Diluted EPS of \$1.52 for the first six months of 2012 increased \$0.03 over the prior year period, which included a \$0.06 favorable impact relating to a foreign tax ruling and a \$0.03 benefit related to the favorable resolution of Legacy Tax Matters. Excluding the aforementioned impact related to the favorable resolution of Legacy Tax Matters in 2011, diluted EPS in the first six months of 2012 increased \$0.06 per share compared to the same period in the prior year.

50

% Change

5%

17% (77%)

#### **Table of Contents**

### Moody s Corporation

Operating income

Interest expense, net

Other non-operating income (expense), net

Net income attributable to Moody's

The table below provides a summary of revenue and operating results, followed by further insight and commentary:

	Six months en 2012	ded June 30, 2011	Favorable (Unfavorable)	
Revenue:	2012	2011	(Cinavorabic)	
United States	\$ 687.8	\$ 616.4	12%	
International:				
EMEA	387.1	369.0	5%	
Other	212.7	196.9	8%	
Total International	599.8	565.9	6%	
Total	1,287.6	1,182.3	9%	
Expenses:				
Operating	366.1	331.3	(11%)	
SG&A	328.4	291.4	(13%)	
Depreciation and amortization	45.6	39.5	(15%)	
Restructuring		(0.1)	(100%)	
T-4-1	740.1	((2.1	(120%)	
Total	740.1	662.1	(12%)	

Global revenue of \$1,287.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012 increased \$105.3 million compared to the same period in 2011 reflecting growth in both segments. The increase in ratings revenue compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011 reflects growth across all ratings LOBs, most notably from within CFG and PPIF. The growth in MA revenue reflects higher revenue across all LOBs, most notably in professional services, which includes revenue from Copal, which was acquired in the fourth quarter of 2011. Transaction revenue accounted for 48% and 49% of global MCO revenue in the six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

\$ 547.5

\$ 346.0

(26.9)

2.6

\$ 520.2

\$

(32.3)

11.5

\$ 344.5

U.S. revenue of \$687.8 million increased \$71.4 million over 2011, primarily reflecting strong growth in PPIF and SFG in the ratings segment coupled with strong growth across all LOBs within MA. Also contributing to the growth were changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases.

Non-U.S. revenue increased \$33.9 million over 2011, reflecting strong growth in professional services and ERS revenue within MA, due to the acquisition of Copal and B&H in the fourth quarter of 2011 as well as higher revenue from rating investment-grade corporate debt. These increases were partially offset by declines in rated issuance volumes for speculative-grade corporate debt in the second quarter reflecting the widening of high-yield bond spreads as well as declines across most asset classes within SFG. Changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$22 million unfavorable impact on non-U.S. revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Operating expenses were \$366.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012, an increase of \$34.8 million from the same period in 2011 and reflected an increase in both compensation and non-compensation costs. The increase in compensation costs of approximately \$22 million reflects higher salaries and related employee benefits resulting from increases in headcount related to the fourth quarter 2011 acquisitions of Copal and B&H as well as higher headcount in both the MIS and MA base business, coupled with annual merit increases. The increase in

# Edgar Filing: MOODYS CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

non-compensation costs of approximately \$13 million is primarily due to higher costs to support investment in IT infrastructure and regulatory and compliance.

SG&A expenses of \$328.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012 increased \$37.0 million from the same period in 2011 and reflected increases in both compensation and non-compensation expenses. Compensation costs increased approximately \$18 million primarily due to higher salaries and related employee benefits which reflects annual merit increases and headcount growth in sales personnel within MA as well as in the support areas of compliance and IT.

51

Non-compensation expenses increased approximately \$19 million over the prior year primarily reflecting higher professional service costs to support investment in IT infrastructure as well as higher variable costs to support business growth.

Depreciation and amortization of \$45.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012 increased \$6.1 million from the same period in 2011 reflecting higher amortization of: i) internal use software; and ii) intangible assets acquired as part of the fourth quarter 2011 acquisitions of Copal and B&H.

Operating income of \$547.5 million increased \$27.3 million from the same period in 2011, reflecting the revenue growth of \$105.3 million partially offset by the 12% increase in total expenses. Changes in FX translation rates had a \$12 million unfavorable impact on operating income in the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Interest expense, net for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$26.9 million, a \$5.4 million decrease in expense compared to the same period in 2011. This decrease is primarily due to an approximate \$7 million reversal of interest on UTPs in 2012 related to the settlement of state and local tax audits, partially offset by a benefit of \$3.7 million in the prior year period related to the favorable resolution of a Legacy Tax Matter.

Other non-operating income (expense), net was \$2.6 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012, a decrease in income of \$8.9 million compared to the same period in 2011. The decrease is primarily due to a \$6.4 million reversal of reserves in the second quarter of 2011 for the lapse of a statute of limitations relating to a Legacy Tax Matter.

Moody s ETR was 32.9% in the six month period ended June 30, 2012, up from 30.4% in 2011. The increase was primarily due to a beneficial adjustment in UTPs in the second quarter of 2011 resulting from a foreign tax ruling. These items were partially offset by a smaller tax benefit in 2012 resulting from tax audit settlements.

Net Income for the first six months of 2012 was \$346.0 million, or \$1.52 per diluted share. This is an increase of \$1.5 million, or \$0.03 per diluted share, compared to the same period in 2011 when Net Income included an approximate \$13 million benefit, or \$0.06 per diluted share, relating to a foreign tax ruling as well as a \$7 million net benefit, or \$0.03 per diluted share, relating to the favorable resolution of a Legacy Tax Matter. Excluding the net benefit from the favorable resolution of a Legacy Tax Matter in the prior year period, Net Income in the first six months of 2012 increased \$8.5 million, or \$0.06 per diluted share, compared to the same period in the prior year.

#### **Segment Results**

#### Moody s Investors Service

The table below provides a summary of revenue and operating results, followed by further insight and commentary:

	Six months or	Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	Favorable (Unfavorable)
Revenue:			
Corporate finance (CFG)	\$ 392.0	\$ 381.9	3%
Structured finance (SFG)	185.0	175.7	5%
Financial institutions (FIG)	156.6	156.0	
Public, project and infrastructure finance (PPIF)	160.3	137.0	17%
Total external revenue	893.9	850.6	5%
Intersegment royalty	34.6	32.2	7%
Total MIS Revenue	928.5	882.8	5%
Expenses:			
Operating and SG&A (including intersegment expenses)	431.6	405.6	(6%)
Depreciation and amortization	21.9	21.1	(4%)
Restructuring		(0.1)	(100%)

Edgar Filing: MOODYS CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

Total expenses	453.5	426.6	(6%)
•			
Operating income	\$ 475.0	\$ 456.2	4%

The following is a discussion of external MIS revenue and operating expenses:

Global MIS revenue of \$893.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 increased \$43.3 million compared to 2011, reflecting growth in all ratings LOBs. The principal driver of the MIS revenue growth reflected changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases, primarily in the U.S. Transaction revenue for MIS was 61% and 62% in the six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

In the U.S., revenue was \$517.2 million in the first six months of 2012, an increase of \$42.2 million, or 9% compared to the same period in 2011. The increase reflects the aforementioned changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases as well as higher rated issuance volumes within public finance and most asset classes within SFG. These increases were partially offset by declines in rated issuance volumes for high-yield corporate debt and bank loans compared to the same period in 2011.

Non-U.S. revenue was \$376.7 million in the first six months of 2012, an increase of \$1.1 million compared to the same period in the prior year. The growth over the prior year period reflects higher rated issuance volumes for investment grade corporate debt, primarily from within the Asia and Latin America region, coupled with benefits from changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases. Partially offsetting these increases were declines across most asset classes within SFG. Changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$19 million unfavorable impact on non-U.S. MIS revenue in first six months of 2012.

Global CFG revenue of \$392.0 million in the first six months of 2012 increased \$10.1 million from the same period in 2011. The revenue growth reflected changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and certain pricing increases, primarily in the U.S. as well as strong growth in rated issuance volumes for investment-grade corporate bonds. The higher rated issuance volumes for investment-grade corporate debt largely reflected issuers taking advantage of the overall low interest rate environment to refinance existing borrowings. These increases were partially offset by declines in rated issuance volumes for high-yield corporate debt and bank loans reflecting continued macroeconomic uncertainties in Europe. Transaction revenue represented 72% of total CFG revenue in the six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to 76% in the prior year period. In the U.S., revenue in the first six months of 2012 was \$248.5 million, or \$4.0 million higher than the same period in 2011. Internationally, revenue of \$143.5 million in the first half of 2012 increased \$6.1 million compared to the same period in 2011. Unfavorable changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$7 million impact on international CFG revenue in the first six months of 2012.

Global SFG revenue of \$185.0 million in the first six months of 2012 increased \$9.3 million compared to the same period in 2011, primarily reflecting higher rated issuance volumes across most asset classes in the U.S. These increases were partially offset by declines across most asset classes in the EMEA region. The aforementioned increases in the U.S. resulted in transaction revenue increasing to 57% of total SFG revenue in the first half of 2012 compared to 52% in the prior year period. In the U.S., revenue of \$95.3 million increased \$14.7 million compared to the first half of 2011, reflecting strong growth in REIT, CMBS, collateralized loan obligation and consumer asset-backed securities rated issuance volumes. The growth in these asset classes reflects the current low interest rate environment and narrow credit spreads for these securities. The growth in CMBS rated issuance volumes also reflects increasing activity in bank conduit operations. Non-U.S. revenue in the six months ended

53

June 30, 2012 of \$89.7 million decreased \$5.4 million compared to the same period in the prior year. This decrease was primarily due to lower asset-backed securities issuance in EMEA reflecting a strong comparative prior year period when issuers were requesting a second rating for these securities in the first quarter of 2011, which was a new requirement by the ECB for existing asset-backed securities that could be used as collateral in Eurosystem credit operations. The decrease also reflects lower covered bond issuance in the EMEA region reflecting continued macroeconomic uncertainties in Europe as well as European banks increasing their use of the ECB s Long-Term Refinancing Operations program. Unfavorable changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$6 million impact on international SFG revenue in the first half of 2012.

Global FIG revenue of \$156.6 million in the first half of 2012 was flat compared to the same period in 2011 reflecting the favorable impact of changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and pricing increases, primarily in the U.S being offset by unfavorable changes in FX translation rates. Transaction revenue was 36% of total FIG revenue in the first six months of 2012, compared to 41% in same period in 2011. In the U.S. and internationally, revenue was \$64.5 million and \$92.1 million, respectively, for the first half of 2012 and were both flat compared to the same period in the prior year. Unfavorable changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$4 million impact on international FIG revenue in the first half of 2012.

Global PPIF revenue was \$160.3 million in the first half of 2012, an increase of \$23.3 million compared to the same period in 2011, primarily reflecting both increases in U.S. public finance rated issuance volumes as well as the favorable impact of the aforementioned changes in the mix of fee type, new fee initiatives and pricing increases, primarily in the U.S. Revenue generated from new transactions was 62% and 57% of total PPIF revenue in the first six months of 2012 and 2011, respectively. In the U.S., revenue for the first six months of 2012 was \$108.9 million and increased \$23.3 million compared to the prior year primarily due to the aforementioned pricing increases coupled with higher rated issuance volumes in PFG. The increase in rated issuance volumes within public finance reflects higher municipal bond issuance due to the resolution of many state budgets as well as a challenging prior year period when issuance had declined reflecting the expiration of the Build America Bond Program in the fourth quarter of 2010. Outside the U.S., PPIF revenue was flat compared to the first half of 2011 due to growth in infrastructure finance revenue in the Asia-Pacific region being offset by declines in sovereign issuance in the EMEA region. Unfavorable changes in FX translation rates had an approximate \$3 million impact on international PPIF revenue in the first six months of 2012.

Operating and SG&A expenses in the first half of 2012 increased \$26.0 million compared to the same period in 2011 and reflected increases in compensation and non-compensation costs of approximately \$6 million and \$19 million, respectively. The increase in compensation costs reflects higher salaries and employee benefits resulting from annual merit increases, headcount growth in the ratings LOBs as well as in support areas such as IT for which the costs are allocated to each segment based on a revenue-split methodology. This increase in salaries and related benefits was partially offset by lower incentive compensation which reflects lower projected achievement against full-year 2012 targeted results through the second quarter of 2012 compared to projected achievement in the prior year period. The increase in compensation costs also reflects higher pension expense resulting from a decrease in the discount rate used to value the Company s obligation. The increase in non-compensation expenses primarily reflected higher costs resulting from the Company s continued investment in improving IT infrastructure and regulatory and compliance initiatives coupled with higher variable costs reflecting improving business conditions.

Operating income in the first half of 2012 of \$475.0 million, which includes intersegment royalty revenue and intersegment expenses, increased \$18.8 million from the same period in 2011 and reflects the 5% increase in total MIS revenue outpacing the \$26.9 million increase in total expenses.

54

#### Moody s Analytics

The table below provides a summary of revenue and operating results, followed by further insight and commentary:

		Six months ended June 30,	
_	2012	2011	(Unfavorable)
Revenue:			
Research, data and analytics (RD&A)	\$ 240.9	\$ 220.6	9%
Enterprise risk solutions (ERS)	99.6	84.9	17%
Professional services	53.2	26.2	103%
Total external revenue	393.7	331.7	19%
Intersegment revenue	5.9	5.2	13%
Total MA Revenue	399.6	336.9	19%
Expenses:			
Operating and SG&A (including intersegment expenses)	303.4	254.5	(19%)
Depreciation and amortization	23.7	18.4	(29%)
Total expenses	327.1	272.9	(20%)
Operating income	<b>\$</b> 72.5	\$ 64.0	13%
	*	•	

The following is a discussion of external MA revenue and operating expenses:

Global MA revenue increased \$62.0 million compared to the first six months of 2011, with 53% of the growth generated internationally, and included revenue from Copal and B&H which were acquired in the fourth quarter of 2011. Recurring revenue comprised 80% of total MA revenue in the first half of 2012 compared to 83% in the same period of the prior year.

In the U.S., revenue of \$170.6 million in the first half of 2012 increased \$29.2 million, and reflected growth across all three LOBs. International revenue of \$223.1 million for the first six months of 2012 was \$32.8 million higher than the same period in 2011, and reflected growth across all LOBs, most notably in professional services which was primarily due to the Copal acquisition that was completed in the fourth quarter of 2011.

Global RD&A revenue, which comprised 61% and 67% of MA external revenue in the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, increased \$20.3 million over the prior year. The growth was primarily due to increased sales of credit research via the CreditView product and solid growth in data licensing arrangements. Global ERS revenue in the first half of 2012 increased \$14.7 million over the same period in 2011, primarily due to revenue from the acquisition of B&H in the fourth quarter of 2011. Revenue from the professional services LOB increased \$27.0 million compared to the same period in 2011, with over 90% of the growth reflecting the acquisition of Copal in the fourth quarter of 2011. Revenue in the ERS and professional services LOBs are subject to quarterly volatility resulting from the variable nature of project timing and the concentration of revenue in a relatively small number of engagements.

Operating and SG&A expenses in the first half of 2012, which include the intersegment royalty for the right to use and distribute content, data and products developed by MIS, increased \$48.9 million compared to the same period in 2011 reflecting both higher compensation and non-compensation costs of approximately \$33 million and \$16 million, respectively. The increase in compensation costs reflects an increase in headcount relating to the acquisitions of Copal and B&H in the fourth quarter of 2011 as well as to support business growth coupled with annual merit increases. The increase in non-compensation costs reflects expenses related to the acquisitions of Copal and B&H which were acquired in the fourth quarter of 2011, as well as increases in certain variable costs that are correlated with business growth.

55

Depreciation and amortization of \$23.7 million in the first six months of 2012 increased \$5.3 million from the same period in 2011 and reflected higher amortization related to intangible assets acquired as part of the fourth quarter 2011 acquisitions of Copal and B&H.

Operating Income of \$72.5 million in the first half of 2012, which includes intersegment revenue and expenses, increased \$8.5 million compared to the same period in 2011.

#### **Non-GAAP Financial Measures:**

In addition to its reported results, Moody s has included in this MD&A certain adjusted results that the SEC defines as non-GAAP financial measures. Management believes that such non-GAAP financial measures, when read in conjunction with the Company s reported results, can provide useful supplemental information for investors analyzing period to period comparisons of the Company s performance. This non-GAAP financial measure relates to benefits for Legacy Tax Matters as further discussed in Note 14 to the Company s consolidated financial statements. The table below shows Moody s consolidated results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 adjusted to exclude the impact of the aforementioned item:

Amounts in millions, except per share amounts	Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 Non-GAAP			
	As Reported	Legacy Tax (a)		nancial easures
Interest (expense), income, net	\$ (14.1)	\$ (0.9)	\$	(15.0)
Other non-operating (expense) income, net	\$ 8.2	\$ (6.4)	\$	1.8
Provision for income taxes	\$ 73.5	\$ (0.3)	\$	73.2
Net income attributable to Moody s Corporation	\$ 189.0	\$ (7.0)	\$	182.0
Earnings per share attributable to Moody s common shareholders				
Basic	\$ 0.83	\$ (0.03)	\$	0.80
Diluted	\$ 0.82	\$ (0.03)	\$	0.79
	Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 Non-GAAP As Legacy Financial Reported Tax (a) Measures			
	As	Legacy	Nor Fi	n-GAAP nancial
Interest (expense), income, net	As Reported \$ (32.3)	Legacy Tax (a) \$ (0.9)	Nor Fin Me	n-GAAP nancial easures (33.2)
Interest (expense), income, net Other non-operating (expense) income, net	As Reported	Legacy Tax (a)	Nor Fii Me	n-GAAP nancial easures
	As Reported \$ (32.3)	Legacy Tax (a) \$ (0.9)	Nor Fin Me	n-GAAP nancial easures (33.2)
Other non-operating (expense) income, net	As Reported \$ (32.3) \$ 11.5	Legacy Tax (a) \$ (0.9) \$ (6.4)	Nor Fin Me \$ \$	n-GAAP nancial easures (33.2) 5.1
Other non-operating (expense) income, net  Provision for income taxes  Net income attributable to Moody s Corporation	As Reported \$ (32.3) \$ 11.5	Legacy Tax (a) \$ (0.9) \$ (6.4) \$ (0.3)	Nor Fin Me \$ \$	n-GAAP nancial easures (33.2) 5.1 151.3

<sup>(</sup>a) To exclude benefits and related tax resulting from the resolution of certain legacy tax matters.

Table of Contents 70

56

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

#### Cash Flow

The Company is currently financing its operations, capital expenditures and share repurchases from operating cash flow. The following is a summary of the changes in the Company s cash flows followed by a brief discussion of these changes:

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 2011			\$ Change Favorable (Unfavorable)	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 235.5	\$ 445.9	\$	(210.4)	
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (25.9)	\$ (40.4)	\$	14.5	
Net cash used in financing activities	\$ (142.5)	\$ (153.1)	\$	10.6	

# Net cash provided by operating activities

The \$210.4 million decrease in net cash flows provided by operating activities reflected:

Payments of approximately \$121 million in the first quarter of 2012, reflecting the settlement of state and local tax audits.

An approximate \$100 million decrease in cash flows relating to higher prepaid tax balances in 2012 reflecting the timing of quarterly estimated tax payments;

A \$51.7 million decrease in cash flow from changes in accounts receivable balances primarily reflecting higher billings in both reportable segments. The higher accounts receivable balances in the ratings segment reflect higher investment-grade and public finance issuance compared to the prior year. The higher balances in MA primarily reflect the timing of billings for annual software maintenance fees. Approximately 27% and 26% of the Company s accounts receivable balance at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, represent unbilled receivables which primarily reflect certain annual fees in MIS which are invoiced in arrears;

An \$18 million contribution to the Company s U.S. funded pension plan; *Partially offset by:* 

A \$25.1 million increase in cash flow reflecting higher deferred revenue balances. The increase in deferred revenue reflects a new fee structure within MIS where fees for certain ratings for frequent issuers are billed at the beginning of a program year. The increase also reflects higher subscription renewals in MA compared to the prior year period;

A \$41.7 million increase related to a non-cash benefit from deferred income taxes primarily due to the settlement of certain state and local income tax audits in the first quarter of 2012;

The remaining increase in cash flow is due to changes in various other assets and liabilities.

Table of Contents 71

57

#### Net cash flows from investing activities

The \$14.5 million decrease in net cash used in investing activities primarily relates to lower cash paid for capital additions of \$13.7 million. This decrease in capital additions is primarily due to project phase timing of certain of the Company s IT infrastructure initiatives.

#### Net cash used in financing activities

The \$10.6 million decrease in cash used in financing activities was attributed to:

Treasury shares repurchased of \$100.0 million in the first half of 2012 compared to \$127.6 million in the same period in 2011; *Partially offset by*,

Higher dividends paid of \$13.4 million due to an increase in the 2012 payouts reflecting an increase in the Company s quarterly dividend from 11.5 cents and 14 cents in the first and second quarters of 2011, respectively, to 16 cents per share of Moody s common stock in both of the same periods of 2012.

### Cash held in non-U.S. jurisdictions

The Company s aggregate cash and cash equivalents of \$824.1 million at June 30, 2012 consisted of approximately \$694 million located outside of the U.S. The cash held in the Company s non-U.S. operations contains approximately \$582 million in entities whose undistributed earnings are indefinitely reinvested in the Company s foreign operations. Accordingly, the Company has not provided deferred income taxes on these indefinitely reinvested earnings. A future distribution or change in assertion regarding reinvestment by the foreign subsidiaries relating to these earnings could result in additional tax liability to the Company. It is not practicable to determine the amount of the potential additional tax liability due to complexities in the tax laws and in the hypothetical calculations that would have to be made. The Company manages both its U.S and international cash flow to maintain sufficient liquidity in all regions to effectively meet its operating needs.

#### **Future Cash Requirements**

The Company believes that it has the financial resources needed to meet its cash requirements and expects to have positive operating cash flow for the next twelve months. Cash requirements for periods beyond the next twelve months will depend, among other things, on the Company s profitability and its ability to manage working capital requirements. The Company may also borrow from various sources.

The Company remains committed to using its strong cash flow to create value for shareholders by investing in growing areas of the business, reinvesting in ratings quality initiatives, making selective acquisitions in related businesses, repurchasing stock and paying a dividend, all in the manner consistent with maintaining sufficient liquidity. In July of 2012, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.16 per share of Moody s common stock, payable on September 10, 2012 to shareholders of a record at the close of business on August 20, 2012. The continued payment of dividends at this rate, or at all, is subject to the discretion of the Board. Additionally, the Company expects to continue share repurchases in 2012 subject to available cash flow, market conditions and other capital allocation decisions. As of June 30, 2012, Moody s had \$0.8 billion of share repurchase authority remaining under its current program, which does not have an established expiration.

As part of the Copal acquisition in November 2011, Moody s and the non-controlling shareholders entered into a put/call arrangement whereby the noncontrolling shareholders have the option to sell the portion of Copal that Moody s does not currently own and Moody s has the option to purchase this portion from the noncontrolling shareholders. The exercise price of this option was valued at \$68 million at the time of acquisition and will fluctuate based the financial

results of Copal subject to a floor exercise price of approximately \$46 million. The carrying value of this redeemable noncontrolling interest was \$70.5 million at June 30, 2012. There is no limit as to the amount of the strike price on the put/call option. It is estimated that the exercise of the put/call arrangement will take place in the next three to six years based on a Monte Carlo simulation. This put/call arrangement expires on the sixth anniversary date of the acquisition.

At June 30, 2012, Moody s had \$1.2 billion of outstanding debt, which is further described in the Indebtedness section of this MD&A below, with \$1.0 billion of additional capacity available. Principal payments on the 2008 Term Loan commenced in September 2010 and will continue through its maturity in accordance with the schedule of payments outlined in the Indebtedness section of this MD&A below.

On February 6, 2008, the Company entered into a 17.5 year operating lease agreement to occupy six floors of an office tower located in the Canary Wharf district of London, England. The total base rent of the Canary Wharf Lease over its 17.5-year term is approximately 134 million GBP, and the Company began making base rent payments in 2011. In addition to the base rent payments the Company will be obligated to pay certain customary amounts for its share of operating expenses and tax obligations. The total remaining lease payments as of June 30, 2012 are approximately GBP 126.9 million, of which approximately GBP 7.5 million will be paid in the next twelve months.

On October 20, 2006, the Company entered into an operating lease agreement with 7 World Trade Center, LLC for 589,945 square-feet of an office building located at 7WTC at 250 Greenwich Street, New York, New York, which is serving as Moody's headquarters. The 7WTC Lease has an initial term of 21 years with a total of 20 years of renewal options. The total base rent of 7WTC Lease over its initial 21-year term is approximately \$536 million including rent credits from the World Trade Center Rent Reduction Program promulgated by the Empire State Development Corporation. On March 28, 2007, the 7WTC lease agreement was amended for the Company to lease an additional 78,568 square-feet at 7WTC. The additional base rent is approximately \$106 million over a 20-year term. The total remaining lease payments as of June 30, 2012, including the aforementioned rent credits, are approximately \$522 million, of which approximately \$30 million will be paid during the next twelve months.

### Indebtedness

The following table summarizes total indebtedness:

	June 30, 2012	Dec	ember 31, 2011
2012 Facility	\$	\$	
Commercial paper			
Notes Payable:			
Series 2005-1 Notes, due 2015; which includes the fair value of interest			
rate swap of \$13.5 million at 2012 and \$11.5 million at 2011	313.5		311.5
Series 2007-1 Notes due 2017	300.0		300.0
2010 Senior Notes, due 2020, net of unamortized discount of \$2.7			
million in both 2012 and 2011	497.3		497.3
2008 Term Loan, various payments through 2013	127.5		135.0
Total debt	1,238.3		1,243.8
Current portion	(127.5)		(71.3)
T . 11 11.	¢ 1 110 0	ф	1 170 5
Total long-term debt	\$ 1,110.8	\$	1,172.5

59

### 2012 Facility

On April 18, 2012, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a \$1 billion five-year senior, unsecured revolving credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of \$1 billion that expires in April 2017. The 2012 Facility replaces the \$1 billion 2007 Facility that was scheduled to expire in September 2012. The proceeds from the 2012 Facility will be used for general corporate purposes, including, without limitation, support for the Company s \$1 billion commercial paper program, share repurchases and acquisition financings. Interest on borrowings under the facility is payable at rates that are based on LIBOR plus a premium that can range from 77.5 basis points to 120 basis points per annum of the outstanding amount, depending on the Company s Debt/EBITDA ratio. The Company also pays quarterly facility fees, regardless of borrowing activity under the 2012 Facility. These quarterly fees can range from 10 basis points of the facility amount to 17.5 basis points, depending on the Company s Debt/EBITDA Ratio.

The 2012 Facility contains covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of the Company and its subsidiaries, without the approval of the lenders, to engage in mergers, consolidations, asset sales, transactions with affiliates and sale-leaseback transactions or to incur liens, as set forth in the facility agreement. The 2012 Facility also contains a financial covenant that requires the Company to maintain a Debt to EBITDA Ratio of not more than 4 to 1 at the end of any fiscal quarter. Upon the occurrence of certain financial or economic events, significant corporate events or certain other events constituting an event of default under the 2012 Facility, all loans outstanding under the facility (including accrued interest and fees payable thereunder) may be declared immediately due and payable and all commitments under the facility may be terminated.

#### 2007 Facility

On September 28, 2007, the Company entered into a \$1.0 billion five-year senior, unsecured revolving credit facility, expiring in September 2012. The 2007 Facility served, in part, to support the Company s CP Program described below. Interest on borrowings was payable at rates that were based on LIBOR plus a premium that could range from 16.0 to 40.0 basis points of the outstanding borrowing amount depending on the Debt/EBITDA ratio. The Company also paid quarterly facility fees, regardless of borrowing activity under the 2007 Facility. The quarterly fees for the 2007 Facility ranged from 4.0 to 10.0 basis points per annum of the facility amount, depending on the Company s Debt/EBITDA ratio. The Company also paid a utilization fee of 5.0 basis points on borrowings outstanding when the aggregate amount outstanding exceeded 50% of the total facility. The 2007 Facility contained certain covenants that, among other things, restricted the ability of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries, without the approval of the lenders, to engage in mergers, consolidations, asset sales, transactions with affiliates and sale-leaseback transactions or to incur liens, as defined in the related agreement. The 2007 Facility also contained financial covenants that, among other things, required the Company to maintain a Debt/EBITDA ratio of not more than 4.0 to 1.0 at the end of any fiscal quarter. On April 18, 2012, the 2007 Facility was replaced by the 2012 Facility described above.

### Commercial Paper

On October 3, 2007, the Company entered into a private placement commercial paper program under which the Company may issue CP notes up to a maximum amount of \$1.0 billion. Amounts available under the CP Program may be re-borrowed. The CP Program is supported by the Company s 2012 Facility. The maturities of the CP Notes will vary, but may not exceed 397 days from the date of issue. The CP Notes are sold at a discount from par or, alternatively, sold at par and bear interest at rates that will vary based upon market conditions at the time of issuance. The rates of interest will depend on whether the CP Notes will be a fixed or floating rate. The interest on a floating rate may be based on the following: (a) certificate of deposit rate; (b) commercial paper rate; (c) federal funds rate; (d) LIBOR; (e) prime rate; (f) Treasury rate; or (g) such other base rate as may be specified in a supplement to the private placement agreement. The CP Program contains certain events of default including, among other things: non-payment of principal, interest or fees; entrance into any form of moratorium; and bankruptcy and insolvency events, subject in certain instances to cure periods.

60

Notes Payable

On November 4, 2011, in connection with the acquisition of Copal, a subsidiary of the Company issued a \$14.2 million non-interest bearing note to the sellers which represented a portion of the consideration transferred to acquire the Copal entities. If a seller subsequently transfers to the Company all of its shares, the Company must repay the seller its proportion of the principal on the later of (i) the fourth anniversary date of the note or (ii) within a time frame set forth in the acquisition agreement relating to the resolution of certain income tax uncertainties pertaining to the transaction. Otherwise, the Company must repay any amount outstanding on the earlier of (i) two business days subsequent to the exercise of the put/call option to acquire the remaining shares of Copal or (ii) the tenth anniversary date of the issuance of the note. The Company has the right to offset payment of the note against certain indemnification assets associated with UTPs related to the acquisition, which are more fully discussed in Note 8. Accordingly, the Company has offset the liability for this note against the indemnification asset, thus no balance for this note is carried on the Company s consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. In the event that the Company would not be required to settle amounts related to the UTPs, the Company would be required to pay the sellers the principal in accordance with the note agreement. The Company may prepay the note in accordance with certain terms set forth in the acquisition agreement.

On August 19, 2010, the Company issued \$500 million aggregate principal amount of unsecured notes in a public offering. The 2010 Senior Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 5.50% and mature on September 1, 2020. Interest on the 2010 Senior Notes will be due semi-annually on September 1 and March 1 of each year, commencing March 1, 2011. The Company may prepay the 2010 Senior Notes, in whole or in part, at any time at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount being prepaid, plus accrued and unpaid interest and a Make-Whole Amount.

Additionally, at the option of the holders of the notes, the Company may be required to purchase all or a portion of the notes upon occurrence of a Change of Control Triggering Event, as defined in the Indenture, at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of purchase. The Indenture contains covenants that limit the ability of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries to, among other things, incur or create liens and enter into sale and leaseback transactions. In addition, the Indenture contains a covenant that limits the ability of the Company to consolidate or merge with another entity or to sell all or substantially all of its assets to another entity. The Indenture contains customary default provisions. In addition, an event of default will occur if the Company or certain of its subsidiaries fail to pay the principal of any indebtedness (as defined in the Indenture) when due at maturity in an aggregate amount of \$50 million or more, or a default occurs that results in the acceleration of the maturity of the Company s or certain of its subsidiaries indebtedness in an aggregate amount of \$50 million or more. Upon the occurrence and during the continuation of an event of default under the Indenture, the notes may become immediately due and payable either automatically or by the vote of the holders of more than 25% of the aggregate principal amount of all of the notes then outstanding.

On September 7, 2007, the Company issued and sold through a private placement transaction, \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of its 6.06% Series 2007-1 Senior Unsecured Notes due 2017 pursuant to the 2007 Agreement. The Series 2007-1 Notes have a ten-year term and bear interest at an annual rate of 6.06%, payable semi-annually on March 7 and September 7. Under the terms of the 2007 Agreement, the Company may, from time to time within five years, in its sole discretion, issue additional series of senior notes in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$500.0 million pursuant to one or more supplements to the 2007 Agreement. The Company may prepay the Series 2007-1 Notes, in whole or in part, at any time at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount being prepaid, plus accrued and unpaid interest and a Make Whole Amount. The 2007 Agreement contains covenants that limit the ability of the Company, and certain of its subsidiaries to, among other things: enter into transactions with affiliates, dispose of assets, incur or create liens, enter into any sale-leaseback transactions, or merge with any other corporation or convey, transfer or lease substantially all of its assets. The Company must also not permit its Debt/EBITDA ratio to exceed 4.0 to 1.0 at the end of any fiscal quarter.

On September 30, 2005, the Company issued and sold through a private placement transaction, \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of its Series 2005-1 Senior Unsecured Notes due 2015 pursuant to the 2005 Agreement. The

61

Series 2005-1 Notes have a ten-year term and bear interest at an annual rate of 4.98%, payable semi-annually on March 30 and September 30. Proceeds from the sale of the Series 2005-1 Notes were used to refinance \$300.0 million aggregate principal amount of the Company s outstanding 7.61% senior notes which matured on September 30, 2005. In the event that Moody s pays all, or part, of the Series 2005-1 Notes in advance of their maturity, such prepayment will be subject to a Make Whole Amount. The Series 2005-1 Notes are subject to certain covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries, without the approval of the lenders, to engage in mergers, consolidations, asset sales, transactions with affiliates and sale-leaseback transactions or to incur liens, as defined in the related agreements.

#### 2008 Term Loan

On May 7, 2008, Moody s entered into a five-year, \$150.0 million senior unsecured term loan with several lenders. Proceeds from the loan were used to pay off a portion of the CP outstanding. Interest on borrowings under the 2008 Term Loan is payable quarterly at rates that are based on LIBOR plus a margin that can range from 125 basis points to 175 basis points depending on the Company s Debt/EBITDA ratio. The outstanding borrowings shall amortize in accordance with the schedule of payments set forth in the 2008 Term Loan outlined in the table below.

The 2008 Term Loan contains restrictive covenants that, among other things, restrict the ability of the Company to engage or to permit its subsidiaries to engage in mergers, consolidations, asset sales, transactions with affiliates and sale-leaseback transactions or to incur, or permit its subsidiaries to incur, liens, in each case, subject to certain exceptions and limitations. The 2008 Term Loan also limits the amount of debt that subsidiaries of the Company may incur. In addition, the 2008 Term Loan contains a financial covenant that requires the Company to maintain a Debt/EBITDA ratio of not more than 4.0 to 1.0 at the end of any fiscal quarter.

The principal payments due on the Company s long-term borrowings for each of the next five years are presented in the table below:

Year Ended December 31,	2008 T	erm Loan	Series 2	005-1 Notes	Total
2012 (after June 30,)	\$	63.7	\$		\$ 63.7
2013		63.8			63.8
2014					
2015				300.0	300.0
2016					
Total	\$	127.5	\$	300.0	\$ 427.5

In the fourth quarter of 2010, the Company entered into interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$300 million which converted the fixed rate of interest on the Series 2005-1 Notes to a floating LIBOR-based interest rate. Also, on May 7, 2008, the Company entered into interest rate swaps with a total notional amount of \$150 million to protect against fluctuations in the LIBOR-based variable interest rate on the 2008 Term Loan. Both of these interest rate swaps are more fully discussed in Note 7 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

At June 30, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all covenants contained within all of the debt agreements. In addition to the covenants described above, the 2012 Facility, the 2007 Facility, the 2005 Agreement, the 2007 Agreement, the 2010 Senior Notes and the 2008 Term Loan contain cross default provisions. These provisions state that default under one of the aforementioned debt instruments could in turn permit lenders under other debt instruments to declare borrowings outstanding under those instruments to be immediately due and payable. As of June 30, 2012, there were no such cross defaults.

### Interest expense, net

The following table summarizes the components of interest as presented in the consolidated statements of operations:

		Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Income	\$ 1.2	<b>\$</b> 1.3	\$ 2.5	\$ 2.3	
Expense on borrowings	(16.4)	(16.3)	(32.8)	(32.7)	
Income (expense) on UTPs and other tax related liabilities (a)	(1.5)	(3.4)	3.5	(7.0)	
Legacy Tax (b)		3.7		3.7	
Capitalized	0.1	0.6	(0.1)	1.4	
Total	<b>\$ (16.6)</b>	\$ (14.1)	\$ (26.9)	\$ (32.3)	

The following table shows the cash paid for interest:

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2012	2011		
Interest paid*	\$ 32.0	\$ 40.7		

<sup>\*</sup> Interest paid includes net settlements on interest rate swaps more fully discussed in Note 7.

The Company s long-term debt, including the current portion, is recorded at cost except for the Series 2005-1 Notes which are carried at cost adjusted for the fair value of an interest rate swap used to hedge the fair value of the note. The fair value and carrying value of the Company s long-term debt as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 is as follows:

	June 3	30, 2012 Estimated	December 31, 2011 Estimated	
	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value
Series 2005-1 Notes*	\$ 313.5	\$ 316.1	\$ 311.5	\$ 316.5
Series 2007-1 Notes	300.0	335.2	300.0	332.7
2010 Senior Notes	497.3	540.6	497.3	525.6
2008 Term Loan	127.5	127.5	135.0	135.0
Total	\$ 1,238.3	\$ 1,319.4	\$ 1,243.8	\$ 1,309.8

<sup>(</sup>a) The six months ended June 30, 2012 amount contains a benefit of approximately \$7 million related to the settlement of state and local income tax audits.

<sup>(</sup>b) The 2011 amounts represent a reversal of \$2.8 million of accrued interest expense relating to the favorable resolution of a Legacy Tax Matter and \$0.9 million of interest income related to a pre-spinoff tax year.

# Edgar Filing: MOODYS CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

The carrying amount includes an \$13.5 million and \$11.5 million fair value adjustment on an interest rate hedge at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The fair value of the Company s 2010 Senior Notes is based on quoted market prices. The fair value of the remaining long-term debt, which is not publicly traded, is estimated using discounted cash flows with inputs based on prevailing interest rates available to the Company for borrowings with similar maturities.

63

Management may consider pursuing additional long-term financing when it is appropriate in light of case requirements for operations, share repurchases and other strategic opportunities, which would result in higher financing costs.

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

At June 30, 2012, Moody s did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as special purpose of variable interest entities where Moody s is the primary beneficiary, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As such, Moody s is not exposed to any financing, liquidity market or credit risk that could arise if it had engaged in such relationships.

### **Contractual Obligations**

The following table presents payments due under the Company s contractual obligations as of June 30, 2012:

	Payments Due by Period				
		Less Than			
		1			
(in millions)	Total	Year	1 - 3 Years	3 -5 Years	Over 5 Years
Indebtedness <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,609.3	\$ 188.6	\$ 116.2	\$ 399.1	\$ 905.4
Operating lease obligations	831.2	72.6	133.2	107.9	517.5
Purchase obligations	119.5	64.4	54.8	0.3	
Contingent consideration related to acquisitions <sup>(2)</sup>	2.6	0.3		2.3	
Pension obligations <sup>(3)</sup>	106.1	21.3	9.8	11.4	63.6
Total <sup>(4)</sup>	\$ 2,668.7	\$ 347.2	\$ 314.0	\$ 521.0	\$ 1,486.5

- (1) Reflects principal payments, related interest and applicable fees due on the 2008 Term Loan, the Series 2005-1 Notes, the Series 2007-1 Notes, the 2010 Senior Notes, borrowings under the CP Program and the 2012 Facility, as described in Note 13 to the condensed consolidated financial statements
- (2) Reflects a \$2.3 million contingent cash payment related to the November 18, 2010 acquisition of CSI Global Education, Inc. The cash payment is dependent upon the achievement of a certain contractual milestone by January 2016. Also includes \$0.3 million in contingent consideration payments related to the November 2011 acquisition of Copal.
- (3) Reflects projected benefit payments for the next ten years relating to the Company s U.S. unfunded Post-Retirement Benefit Plans described in Note 11 to the condensed consolidated financial statements
- (4) The table above does not include the Company s net long-term tax liabilities of \$185.8 million relating to UTP and Legacy Tax Matters, since the expected cash outflow of such amounts by period cannot be reasonably estimated. This amount is excluded as the exact amount of the payment is still uncertain. In addition, the table above does not include the following relating to the acquisition of Copal; (i) the \$14.2 million note payable as described in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements, (ii) approximately \$4 million in contingent consideration payments (iii) the \$70.5 million Redeemable Noncontrolling Interest, as the expected cash outflow of such amounts by period cannot be reasonably estimated

### **Dividends**

On July 9, 2012, the Board approved the declaration of a quarterly dividend of \$0.16 per share of Moody s common stock, payable on September 10, 2012 to shareholders of record at the close of business on August 20, 2012.

#### 2012 Outlook

Moody s outlook for 2012 is based on assumptions about many macroeconomic and capital market factors, including interest rates, corporate profitability and business investment spending, merger and acquisition activity, consumer borrowing and securitization, and the amount of debt issued. There is an important degree of uncertainty surrounding these assumptions, especially as they relate to Europe, and, if actual conditions differ, Moody s results for the year may differ materially from the current outlook. The Company s guidance assumes foreign currency translation at end-of-quarter exchange rates.

Moody s is reaffirming its EPS guidance range for the full-year 2012 of \$2.62 to \$2.72 and still expects to be toward the upper end of the range. However, certain components of 2012 guidance have been modified to reflect Moody s current view of credit market conditions. For Moody s overall, the Company still expects full-year 2012 revenue to grow in the low-double-digit percent range. Full-year 2012 expenses are still projected to increase in the low-double-digit percent range. Full-year 2012 operating margin is still projected to be approximately 39 percent. The effective tax rate is still expected to be approximately 33 percent.

For the global MIS business, revenue for full-year 2012 is still expected to increase in the mid- to high-single-digit percent range. Within the U.S., MIS revenue is still expected to increase in the low-double-digit percent range, while non-U.S. revenue is still expected to increase in the low-single-digit percent range. Corporate finance revenue is now projected to grow in the high-single-digit to low-double-digit percent range. Revenue from each of structured finance and financial institutions is still expected to be flat to slightly up, while public, project and infrastructure finance revenue is still expected to increase in the mid-teens percent range.

For MA, full-year 2012 revenue is still expected to increase in the high-teens percent range. Within the U.S., MA revenue is now expected to increase in the high-teens to 20 percent range, while non-U.S. revenue is still expected to increase in the high-teens percent range. Revenue growth is still projected in the mid-single-digit percent range for research, data and analytics and in the low 20 s percent range for enterprise risk solutions, reflecting the December 2011 acquisition of Barrie & Hibbert as well as growth in the base business. Professional services revenue is now projected to grow by approximately 75%, inclusive of revenue from the late 2011 acquisition of a majority stake in Copal Partners and growth in MA s existing financial training and certification business.

# **Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The objective of this ASU is to achieve common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. The amendments in this ASU change the wording used to describe current requirements in U.S. GAAP for measuring fair value and for financial statement disclosure about fair value measurements. Some of the amendments in the ASU clarify the FASB s intent or change a particular principle or requirement pertaining to the application of existing fair value measurement requirements or for disclosing information about fair value measurements. The amendments in this ASU are required to be applied prospectively and are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company has conformed to the new disclosures required in this ASU in this Form 10Q for the three months ended March 31, 2012.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income . Under the amendments in this ASU, an entity has two options for presenting its total comprehensive income: to show its components along with the components of net income in a single continuous statement, or in two separate but consecutive statements. The amendments in this ASU are required to be applied retrospectively and are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-12, Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income , which indefinitely defers the requirement in ASU No. 2011-05 to present on the face of the financial statements reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from OCI to net income in the statement(s) where the components of net income and the components of OCI are presented. All other provisions of this ASU, which are to be applied retrospectively, are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted all provisions that were not deferred as of March 31, 2012. The adoption of this ASU will not have any impact on the Company s consolidated financial statements other than revising the presentation of the components of comprehensive income.

65

### **Contingencies**

From time to time, Moody s is involved in legal and tax proceedings, governmental investigations, claims and litigation that are incidental to the Company s business, including claims based on ratings assigned by MIS. Moody s is also subject to ongoing tax audits in the normal course of business. Management periodically assesses the Company s liabilities and contingencies in connection with these matters based upon the latest information available. Moody s discloses material pending legal proceedings pursuant to SEC rules and other pending matters as it may determine to be appropriate.

Following the events in the U.S. subprime residential mortgage sector and the credit markets more broadly over the last several years, MIS and other credit rating agencies are the subject of intense scrutiny, increased regulation, ongoing investigation, and civil litigation. Legislative, regulatory and enforcement entities around the world are considering additional legislation, regulation and enforcement actions, including with respect to MIS s compliance with newly imposed regulatory standards. Moody s has received subpoenas and inquiries from states attorneys general and other governmental authorities and is responding to such investigations and inquiries.

In addition, the Company is facing litigation from market participants relating to the performance of MIS rated securities. Although Moody s in the normal course experiences such litigation, the volume and cost of defending such litigation has significantly increased following the events in the U.S. subprime residential mortgage sector and the credit markets more broadly over the last several years.

On June 27, 2008, the Brockton Contributory Retirement System, a purported shareholder of the Company s securities, filed a purported shareholder derivative complaint on behalf of the Company against its directors and certain senior officers, and the Company as nominal defendant, in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York. The plaintiff asserts various causes of action relating to the named defendants oversight of MIS s ratings of RMBS and constant-proportion debt obligations, and their participation in the alleged public dissemination of false and misleading information about MIS s ratings practices and/or a failure to implement internal procedures and controls to prevent the alleged wrongdoing. The plaintiff seeks compensatory damages, restitution, disgorgement of profits and other equitable relief. On July 2, 2008, Thomas R. Flynn, a purported shareholder of the Company s securities, filed a similar purported shareholder derivative complaint on behalf of the Company against its directors and certain senior officers, and the Company as nominal defendant, in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, asserting similar claims and seeking the same relief. The cases have been consolidated and plaintiffs filed an amended consolidated complaint in November 2008. The Company removed the consolidated action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in December 2008. In January 2009, the plaintiffs moved to remand the case to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, which the Company opposed. On February 23, 2010, the court issued an opinion remanding the case to the Supreme Court of New York, On October 30, 2008, the Louisiana Municipal Police Employees Retirement System, a purported shareholder of the Company s securities, also filed a shareholder derivative complaint on behalf of the Company against its directors and certain officers, and the Company as a nominal defendant, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. This complaint also asserts various causes of action relating to the Company's ratings of RMBS, CDO and constant-proportion debt obligations, and named defendants participation in the alleged public dissemination of false and misleading information about MIS s ratings practices and/or a failure to implement internal procedures and controls to prevent the alleged wrongdoing. On December 9, 2008, Rena Nadoff, a purported shareholder of the Company, filed a shareholder derivative complaint on behalf of the Company against its directors and its CEO, and the Company as a nominal defendant, in the Supreme Court of the State of New York. The complaint asserts a claim for breach of fiduciary duty in connection with alleged overrating of asset-backed securities and underrating of municipal securities. On October 20, 2009, the Company moved to dismiss or stay the action in favor of related federal litigation. On January 26, 2010, the court entered a stipulation and order, submitted jointly by the parties,

66

staying the Nadoff litigation pending coordination and prosecution of similar claims in the above and below described federal derivative actions. On July 6, 2009, W. A. Sokolowski, a purported shareholder of the Company, filed a purported shareholder derivative complaint on behalf of the Company against its directors and current and former officers, and the Company as a nominal defendant, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint asserts claims relating to alleged mismanagement of the Company s processes for rating structured finance transactions, alleged insider trading and causing the Company to buy back its own stock at artificially inflated prices. On July 19, 2012, plaintiffs in the above shareholder derivative actions filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York a motion for preliminary approval of a proposed settlement that would resolve all pending shareholder derivative cases. The proposed settlement calls for Moody s to adopt and maintain certain corporate governance changes for a period of two years. In connection with the proposed settlement, the Company has agreed not to oppose an application for attorney s fees and costs in an amount not to exceed \$4.95 million. On July 20, 2012, the District Court granted preliminary approval of the proposed settlement and on July 23, 2012, the District Court scheduled a hearing on final approval for September 6, 2012.

Two purported class action complaints have been filed by purported purchasers of the Company s securities against the Company and certain of its senior officers, asserting claims under the federal securities laws. The first was filed by Raphael Nach in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on July 19, 2007. The second was filed by Teamsters Local 282 Pension Trust Fund in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York on September 26, 2007. Both actions have been consolidated into a single proceeding entitled In re Moody s Corporation Securities Litigation in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. On June 27, 2008, a consolidated amended complaint was filed, purportedly on behalf of all purchasers of the Company s securities during the period February 3, 2006 through October 24, 2007. Plaintiffs allege that the defendants issued false and/or misleading statements concerning the Company s business conduct, business prospects, business conditions and financial results relating primarily to MIS s ratings of structured finance products including RMBS, CDO and constant-proportion debt obligations. The plaintiffs seek an unspecified amount of compensatory damages and their reasonable costs and expenses incurred in connection with the case. The Company moved for dismissal of the consolidated amended complaint in September 2008. On February 23, 2009, the court issued an opinion dismissing certain claims and sustaining others. On January 22, 2010, plaintiffs moved to certify a class of individuals who purchased Moody s Corporation common stock between February 3, 2006 and October 24, 2007, which the Company opposed. On March 31, 2011, the court issued an opinion denying plaintiffs motion to certify the proposed class. On April 14, 2011, plaintiffs filed a petition in the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit seeking discretionary permission to appeal the decision. The Company filed its response to the petition on April 25, 2011. On July 20, 2011, the Second Circuit issued an order denying plaintiffs petition for leave to appeal.

On August 25, 2008, Abu Dhabi Commercial Bank filed a purported class action in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York asserting numerous common-law causes of action against two subsidiaries of the Company, another rating agency, and Morgan Stanley & Co. The action relates to securities issued by a structured investment vehicle called Cheyne Finance (the Cheyne SIV) and seeks, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. The central allegation against the rating agency defendants is that the credit ratings assigned to the securities issued by the Cheyne SIV were false and misleading. In early proceedings, the court dismissed all claims against the rating agency defendants except those for fraud and aiding and abetting fraud. In June 2010, the court denied plaintiff s motion for class certification, and additional plaintiffs were subsequently added to the complaint. In January 2012, the rating agency defendants moved for summary judgment with respect to the fraud and aiding and abetting fraud claims. Also in January 2012, in light of new New York state case law, the court permitted the plaintiffs to file an amended complaint that reasserted previously dismissed claims against all defendants for breach of fiduciary duty, negligence, negligent misrepresentation, and related aiding and abetting claims. In May 2012, the court, ruling on the rating agency defendants motion to dismiss, dismissed all of the reasserted claims except for the negligent misrepresentation claim, which alleges that the credit ratings assigned to the Cheyne SIV had no reasonable basis. In the course of the proceedings, the 15 plaintiffs in this action have asserted that their total compensatory damages, consisting of alleged lost principal and lost interest, plus statutory interest, equal approximately \$811 million.

67

In October 2009, plaintiffs King County, Washington and Iowa Student Loan Liquidity Corporation each filed substantially identical putative class actions in the Southern District of New York against two subsidiaries of the Company and several other defendants, including two other rating agencies and IKB Deutsche Industriebank AG. These actions arise out of investments in securities issued by a structured investment vehicle called Rhinebridge plc (the Rhinebridge SIV ) and seek, among other things, compensatory and punitive damages. Each complaint asserted a claim for common law fraud against the rating agency defendants, alleging, among other things, that the credit ratings assigned to the securities issued by the Rhinebridge SIV were false and misleading. The case is pending before the same judge presiding over the litigation concerning the Cheyne SIV, described above. In April 2010, the court denied the rating agency defendants motion to dismiss. In June 2010, the court consolidated the two cases and the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint that, among other things, added Morgan Stanley & Co. as a defendant. In January 2012, in light of new New York state case law, the court permitted the plaintiffs to file an amended complaint that asserted claims against the rating agency defendants for breach of fiduciary duty, negligence, negligent misrepresentation, and aiding and abetting claims. In May 2012, the court, ruling on the rating agency defendants motion to dismiss, dismissed all of the new claims except for the negligent misrepresentation claim, which alleges that the credit ratings assigned to the Rhinebridge SIV had no reasonable basis, and a claim for aiding and abetting fraud. Plaintiffs have thus far not sought class certification. In the course of the proceedings, the two plaintiffs have asserted that their total compensatory damages, consisting of alleged lost principal and lost interest, plus statutory interest, equal approximately \$70 million. In June 2012, defendants IKB Deutsche Industriebank AG and IKB Credit Asset Management GmbH informed the court that they had executed a confidential settlement agreement with the plaintiffs.

For claims, litigation and proceedings not related to income taxes, where it is both probable that a liability is expected to be incurred and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated, the Company records liabilities in the consolidated financial statements and periodically adjusts these as appropriate. In other instances, because of uncertainties related to the probable outcome and/or the amount or range of loss, management does not record a liability but discloses the contingency if significant. As additional information becomes available, the Company adjusts its assessments and estimates of such matters accordingly. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of litigation, regulatory, enforcement and similar matters and contingencies, particularly where the claimants seek large or indeterminate damages or where the parties assert novel legal theories or the matters involve a large number of parties, the Company cannot predict what the eventual outcome of the pending matters will be or the timing of any resolution of such matters. The Company also cannot predict the impact (if any) that any such matters may have on how its business is conducted, on its competitive position or on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows. As the process to resolve the pending matters referred to above progresses, management will continue to review the latest information available and assess its ability to predict the outcome of such matters and the effects, if any, on its operations and financial condition. However, in light of the large or indeterminate damages sought in some of them, the absence of similar court rulings on the theories of law asserted and uncertainties regarding apportionment of any potential damages, an estimate of the range of possible losses cannot be made at this time. For income tax matters, the Company employs the prescribed methodology of Topic 740 of the ASC which requires a company to first determine whether it is more-likely-than-not (defined as a likelihood of more than fifty percent) that a tax position will be sustained based on its technical merits as of the reporting date, assuming that taxing authorities will examine the position and have full knowledge of all relevant information. A tax position that meets this more-likely-than-not threshold is then measured and recognized at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than fifty percent likely to be realized upon effective settlement with a taxing authority.

### **Legacy Tax Matters**

Moody s continues to have exposure to potential liabilities arising from Legacy Tax Matters. As of June 30, 2012, Moody s has recorded liabilities for Legacy Tax Matters totaling \$55.8 million. This includes liabilities and accrued

68

# Edgar Filing: MOODYS CORP /DE/ - Form 10-Q

### **Table of Contents**

interest due to New D&B arising from the 2000 Distribution Agreement. It is possible that the ultimate liability for Legacy Tax Matters could be greater than the liabilities recorded by the Company, which could result in additional charges that may be material to Moody s future reported results, financial position and cash flows.

The following summary of the relationships among Moody s, New D&B and their predecessor entities is important in understanding the Company s exposure to the Legacy Tax Matters.

In November 1996, The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation separated into three separate public companies: The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, ACNielsen Corporation and Cognizant Corporation. In June 1998, The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation separated into two separate public companies: Old D&B and R.H. Donnelley Corporation. During 1998, Cognizant separated into two separate public companies: IMS Health Incorporated and Nielsen Media Research, Inc. In September 2000, Old D&B separated into two separate public companies: New D&B and Moody s.

Old D&B and its predecessors entered into global tax planning initiatives in the normal course of business. These initiatives are subject to normal review by tax authorities. Old D&B and its predecessors also entered into a series of agreements covering the sharing of any liabilities for payment of taxes, penalties and interest resulting from unfavorable IRS determinations on certain tax matters, and certain other potential tax liabilities, all as described in such agreements. Further, in connection with the 2000 Distribution and pursuant to the terms of the 2000 Distribution Agreement, New D&B and Moody s have agreed on the financial responsibility for any potential liabilities related to these Legacy Tax Matters.

At the time of the 2000 Distribution, New D&B paid Moody s \$55.0 million for 50% of certain anticipated future tax benefits through 2012. In the event that these tax benefits are not claimed or otherwise not realized by New D&B, or there is an IRS audit of New D&B impacting these tax benefits, Moody s would be required to repay to New D&B an amount equal to the discounted value of its share of the related future tax benefits as well as its share of any tax liability incurred by New D&B. In June 2011, the statute of limitations for New D&B relating to the 2004 tax year expired. As a result, in the second quarter of 2011, Moody s recorded a reduction of accrued interest expense of \$2.8 million (\$1.7 million, net of tax) and an increase in other non-operating income of \$6.4 million, relating to amounts due to New D&B. As of June 30, 2012, Moody s liability with respect to this matter totaled \$53.7 million.

Additionally, in April 2011, Moody s received a refund of \$0.9 million (\$0.6 million, net of tax) for interest assessed related to pre-spinoff tax years.

In 2005, settlement agreements were executed with the IRS with respect to certain Legacy Tax Matters related to the years 1989-1990 and 1993-1996. With respect to these settlements, Moody s and New D&B believed that IMS Health and NMR did not pay their full share of the liability to the IRS under the terms of the applicable separation agreements between the parties. Moody s and New D&B subsequently paid these amounts to the IRS and commenced arbitration proceedings against IMS Health and NMR to resolve this dispute. Pursuant to these arbitration proceedings, the Company received \$10.8 million (\$6.5 million as a reduction of interest expense and \$4.3 million as a reduction of tax expense) in 2009. The aforementioned settlement payment resulted in net income benefits of \$8.2 million in 2009. The Company continues to carry a \$2.1 million liability for this matter.

#### Regulation

MIS and many of the securities that it rates are subject to extensive regulation in both the U.S. and in other countries (including by state and local authorities). Thus, existing and proposed laws and regulations can impact the Company s operations and the markets for securities that it rates. Additional laws and regulations have been adopted but not yet implemented or have been proposed or are being considered. Each of the existing, adopted, proposed and potential laws and regulations can increase the costs and legal risk associated with the issuance of credit ratings and may negatively impact Moody s operations or profitability, the Company s ability to compete, or result in changes in the demand for credit ratings, in the manner in which ratings are utilized and in the manner in which Moody s operates.

69

In the EU, in 2009, the European Parliament passed a new regulation ( EU Regulation ) that establishes an oversight regime for the CRA industry in the European Union. The framework for the EU Regulation requires the registration, formal regulation and periodic inspection of CRAs operating in the EU. The EU Regulation also sets out specific requirements for the use of ratings that are produced outside of the EU and are used for regulatory purposes in the EU. Among these is a requirement for the relevant competent authority in the EU and the competent authority of the non-EU jurisdiction where that rating has been produced to enter into a cooperation agreement containing provisions related to the exchange of information and the coordination of supervisory activities. The EU Regulation became fully effective in September 2010. MIS applied for registration in August 2010 and was granted registration in October 2011. In addition, the European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA) was established in January 2011 and has had direct supervisory responsibility for the registered CRA industry in the EU since July 2011. In March 2012, ESMA published a report on its supervision of CRAs, which summarized the results of ESMA s first examinations of MIS, Fitch Ratings and S&P. ESMA s report includes a series of recommendations for enhanced or changed practices among all of the examined CRAs. The report did not publicly link any specific CRA with respect to particular findings by ESMA. As of the date of its report, ESMA had not determined whether any of its observations constituted a breach of the provisions of the EU Regulation, ESMA reserved its right to identify specific regulatory breaches at a later stage.

The regulatory framework of the CRA industry continues to be discussed in the European Union.

In November 2011, the European Commission issued proposed amendments to its existing regulations. If enacted as proposed, the revised regulations, among other things, would:

impose various additional procedural requirements with respect to ratings of sovereign issuers;

require member states to adopt laws imposing liability on CRAs for an intentional or grossly negligent failure to abide by the applicable regulations;

potentially impose a greater burden of proof on CRAs accused of failing to abide by applicable regulations;

impose mandatory rotation requirements on CRAs hired by issuers of securities, thereby limiting the number of years a CRA can issue ratings for securities of a particular issuer;

enhance ESMA s role in reviewing rating methodologies;

impose additional procedural and substantive requirements on the pricing of services; and

restrict a CRA from rating any issuer or security held by any entity that owns, directly or indirectly, 10% or more of the CRA. The proposal is now under discussion by both the European Parliament and The Council of the European Union. Both bodies have concluded their debates and have introduced amendments to the text. The next stage of the legislative process is generally referred to as the trialogues, during which the Parliament, Council and Commission must confer and agree on a final compromise text. The Company anticipates this process to conclude by year-end 2012, during which time Moody s will continue to consult with relevant authorities and market participants as to the impact of the specific proposals on the financial markets, issuers and investors.

70

Other legislation and regulation relating to credit rating and research services is being considered by local, national and multinational bodies and this type of activity is likely to continue in the future. In addition, in certain countries, governments may provide financial or other support to locally-based rating agencies. For example, governments may from time to time establish official rating agencies or credit ratings criteria or procedures for evaluating local issuers. If enacted, any such legislation and regulation could change the competitive landscape in which MIS operates. The legal status of rating agencies has been addressed by courts in various decisions and is likely to be considered and addressed in legal proceedings from time to time in the future. Management of MIS cannot predict whether these or any other proposals will be enacted, the outcome of any pending or possible future legal proceedings, or regulatory or legislative actions, or the ultimate impact of any such matters on the competitive position, financial position or results of operations of Moody s.

### **Forward-Looking Statements**

Certain statements contained in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q are forward-looking statements and are based on future expectations, plans and prospects for the Company s business and operations that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Such statements involve estimates, projections, goals, forecasts, assumptions and uncertainties that could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those contemplated, expressed, projected, anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements. Those statements appear at various places throughout this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, including in the sections entitled 2012 Outlook and Contingencies under Item 2. MD&A, commencing on page 42 of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, under Legal Proceedings in Part II, Item 1, of this Form 10-Q, and elsewhere in the context of statements containing the words believe, expect, anticipate, intend, plan, will, predict, potential, continue, strateg forecast, project, estimate, should, could, may and similar expressions or words and variations thereof relating to the Company s views of events, trends and contingencies. Stockholders and investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements and other information are made as of the date of this annual report on Form 10-K, and the Company undertakes no obligation (nor does it intend) to publicly supplement, update or revise such statements on a going-forward basis, whether as a result of subsequent developments, changed expectations or otherwise. In connection with the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Company is identifying examples of factors, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ, perhaps materially, from those indicated by these forward-looking statements.

Those factors, risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, the current world-wide credit market disruptions and economic slowdown, which is affecting and could continue to affect the volume of debt and other securities issued in domestic and/or global capital markets; other matters that could affect the volume of debt and other securities issued in domestic and/or global capital markets, including credit quality concerns, changes in interest rates and other volatility in the financial markets; the uncertain effectiveness and possible collateral consequences of U.S. and foreign government initiatives to respond to the economic slowdown; concerns in the marketplace affecting our credibility or otherwise affecting market perceptions of the integrity or utility of independent agency ratings; the introduction of competing products or technologies by other companies; pricing pressure from competitors and/or customers; the impact of regulation as an NRSRO, the potential for new U.S., state and local legislation and regulations, including provisions in the recently enacted Financial Reform Act and anticipated regulations resulting from that law; the potential for increased competition and regulation in the EU and other foreign jurisdictions; exposure to litigation related to our rating opinions, as well as any other litigation to which the Company may be subject from time to time; provisions in the Financial Reform Act legislation, and potential EU regulations, modifying the pleading and liability standards applicable to credit rating agencies in a manner adverse to rating agencies; the possible loss of key employees; failures or malfunctions of our operations and infrastructure; the outcome of any review by controlling tax authorities of the Company s global tax planning initiatives; the outcome of those Legacy Tax Matters and legal contingencies that relate to the Company, its predecessors and their affiliated companies for which Moody s has assumed portions of the financial responsibility; the ability of the Company to successfully integrate acquired businesses; currency and foreign exchange volatility; and a decline in the demand for credit risk management tools by financial institutions. These factors, risks and uncertainties

71

well as other risks and uncertainties that could cause Moody s actual results to differ materially from those contemplated, expressed, projected, anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements are described in greater detail under Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of the Company s annual report on Form 10-K, and in other filings made by the Company from time to time with the SEC or in materials incorporated herein or therein. Stockholders and investors are cautioned that the occurrence of any of these factors, risks and uncertainties may cause the Company s actual results to differ materially from those contemplated, expressed, projected, anticipated or implied in the forward-looking statements, which could have a material and adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations and financial condition. New factors may emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for the Company to predict new factors, nor can the Company assess the potential effect of any new factors on it

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

There was no material change in the Company s exposure to market risk since December 31, 2011. For a discussion of the Company s exposure to market risk, refer to Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk, contained in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures: The Company carried out an evaluation, as required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act, as of the end of the period covered by this report (the Evaluation Date ). Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that, as of the Evaluation Date, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the communication to the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

In addition, the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has determined that there were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonable likely to materially affect, these internal controls over financial reporting during the period covered by the report.

72

#### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

See the discussion of legal matters under Part I, Item 2. MD&A Contingencies , commencing on page 66 of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, which is incorporated into this Item 1 by reference.

### Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes since December 31, 2011 to the significant risk factors and uncertainties known to the Company that, if they were to occur, could materially adversely affect the Company s business, financial condition, operating results and/or cash flow. For a discussion of the Company s risk factors, refer to Item 1A. Risk Factors, contained in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

### Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

### MOODY S PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

### For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	]	verage Price per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program	Shai Puro	mate Dollar Value of res That May Yet be chased Under the Program (2)
April 1 - 30	15,023	\$			\$	873.8 million
May 1 - 31	1,547,868	\$	37.47	1,547,868	\$	815.8 million
June 1 - 30	1,156,555	\$	36.33	1,156,219	\$	773.8 million
Total	2,719,446	\$	36.98	2,704,087		

During the second quarter of 2012, Moody s issued 0.3 million shares under employee stock-based compensation plans.

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes the surrender to the Company of 15,023 shares of common stock in April and 336 shares of common stock in June to satisfy tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of restricted stock issued to employees

<sup>(2)</sup> As of the last day of each of the months. On July 30, 2007, the Company s Board authorized a \$2.0 billion share repurchase program which the Company began utilizing in January 2008 upon completion of the June 2006 authorization. There is no established expiration date for the remaining authorization.

# **Item 5. Other Information**

# Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
3	ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION AND BY-LAWS
.1	Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant dated June 15, 1998, as amended effective June 30, 1998, as amended effective October 1, 2000, and as further amended effective April 26, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant file number 1-14037, filed October 4, 2000, and Exhibit 3.1 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, file number 1-14037, filed April 27, 2005).
.2	Amended and Restated By-laws of the Registrant (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 of the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant, file number 14-14037, filed February 25, 2008).
10	
.1	Five-Year Credit Agreement dated as of April 18, 2012, among Moody s Corporation, the Borrowing Subsidiaries Party Hereto, the Lenders Party Thereto, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent, Bank of America, N.A. and Citibank, N.A. as Co-Syndication Agents, and RBS Citizens, N.A. and The Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi UFJ, Ltd., as Co-Documentation Agents (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Report on Form 8-K of the Registrant file number 1-14037, filed April 24, 2012).
12*	Statement of Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges
18*	Preferability Letter from Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Regarding Change in Accounting Principle
31	CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
.1*	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
.2*	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	CERTIFICATIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002
.1*	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (The Company has furnished this certification and does not intend for it to be considered filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or incorporated by reference into future filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.)
.2*	Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. (The Company has furnished this certification and does not intend for it to be considered filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or incorporated by reference into future filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.)
101.DEF*	XBRL Definitions Linkbase Document
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels Linkbase Document
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

<sup>\*</sup> Filed herewith.

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MOODY S CORPORATION

By: /s/ LINDA S. HUBER
Linda S. Huber
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(principal financial officer)

Date: July 30, 2012

(principal accounting officer)

75