CONSOLIDATED EDISON INC Form DEF 14A April 05, 2012 Table of Contents

Eilad by the Desistment w

Soliciting Material Under §240.14a-12

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

THEC	toy the Registratic X		
Filed	by a party other than the Registrant "		
Check the appropriate box:			
	Preliminary Proxy Statement		
	Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))		
x	Definitive Proxy Statement		
	Definitive Additional Materials		

CONSOLIDATED EDISON, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

NOT APPLICABLE

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payı	ment o	of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):		
x	No fee required.			
" Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.				
	(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:		
	(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:		
	(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):		
	(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:		
	(5)	Total fee paid:		

" Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting for was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.			
(1)	Amount Previously Paid:		
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:		
(3)	Filing Party:		
(4)	Date Filed:		

Letter to

Stockholders

Notice of 2012

Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement

May 21, 2012

Con Edison Headquarters

4 Irving Place

New York, N.Y. 10003

Table of Contents			
	Consolidated Edison, Inc.		
	4 Irving Place		
	New York, NY 10003		
Vi. Dl.			
Kevin Burke			
Chairman of the Board			
	A 11.5 2012		
	April 5, 2012		
Dear Stockholders:			
Dear Stockholders:			
	Annual Meeting of Consolidated Edison, Inc. I hope that you will join the Board of Directors and the ny s Headquarters at 4 Irving Place, New York, New York, on Monday, May 21, 2012, at 10:00 a.m.		
The accompanying Proxy Statement contains information about matters to be considered at the Annual Meeting. At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will be asked to vote on the election of Directors, the ratification of the appointment of independent accountants for 2012, and the approval, on an advisory basis, of named executive officer compensation. In addition to the matters described above, stockholders will be asked to vote on a proposal submitted by an individual stockholder described in the attached Proxy Statement.			
Whether or not you plan to attend the A represented at the meeting.	nnual Meeting, please vote as soon as possible. It is very important that as many shares as possible be		
	Sincerely,		
	Kevin Burke		

Table of Conte	<u>ents</u>
	Consolidated Edison, Inc.
	4 Irving Place
	New York, NY 10003
NOTICE OF	ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
Dear Stockholde	rs:
	ting of Stockholders of Consolidated Edison, Inc. will be held at the Company s Headquarters, 4 Irving Place, New York, New y, May 21, 2012, at 10:00 a.m. for the following purposes:
a.	To elect as the members of the Board of Directors the twelve nominees named in the Proxy Statement (attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference);
b.	To ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as independent accountants for the year 2012;
c.	To approve, on an advisory basis, named executive officer compensation;
d.	To act on a stockholder proposal as set forth in the Proxy Statement; and
e.	To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting, or any adjournment or postponement of the meeting.
	you plan to attend the meeting in person, we urge you to vote your shares of Company Common Stock by telephone, by ompleting and returning a proxy card or a voter instruction form so that your shares will be represented at the Annual
By Order of the	

Carole Sobin

Vice President and Corporate Secretary

Dated: April 5, 2012

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE STOCKHOLDERS MEETING TO BE HELD ON MONDAY, MAY 21, 2012

The Company s Proxy Statement and Annual Report are available at

www.conedison.com/investorreports.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS	1
MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED AT THE ANNUAL MEETING	6
ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	6
RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS	7
ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION	8
STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL	9
THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS	10
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	23
BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES	25
REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	26
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION REPORT OF THE MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT AND COMPENSATION	
COMMITTEE	28
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS REPORT	28
COMPENSATION POLICIES AND PRACTICES AS THEY RELATE TO THE COMPANY S RISK MANAGEMENT	43
SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE	44
GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS TABLE	45
OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS TABLE	46
OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED TABLE	47
PENSION BENEFITS	48
NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION	50
POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT OR CHANGE OF CONTROL	52
CERTAIN INFORMATION AS TO INSURANCE AND INDEMNIFICATION	57
STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING	58
STOCKHOLDER I ROLOSALS FOR THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING	30

PROXY STATEMENT

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

PROXY MATERIALS

What are the proxy materials?

The proxy materials (Proxy Materials) include the following:

The Proxy Statement.

The Annual Report to Stockholders of Consolidated Edison, Inc. (Con Edison or the Company), which includes the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes for the year ended December 31, 2011, and other information relating to the Company s financial condition and results of operations.

If you received the Proxy Materials by mail, they also include a proxy card or a voter instruction form for use at the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting).

Why am I receiving the Proxy Materials?

The Proxy Materials are provided to stockholders of the Company on or about April 5, 2012, in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Con Edison for use at the Annual Meeting and any adjournments or postponements of the Annual Meeting. As a stockholder, you are invited to attend the Annual Meeting and to vote on the items of business described in this Proxy Statement. The Proxy Materials include information that we are required to provide to you under the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission. We are providing the Proxy Materials to our stockholders by mail, e-mail, or in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission s Notice and Access rule.

Why did I receive the Proxy Materials in the mail?

We are providing some of our stockholders, including stockholders who have previously requested to receive paper copies of the Proxy Materials, with paper copies of the Proxy Materials. You may also access the Proxy Materials and vote online at the Internet address provided on the proxy card or the voter instruction form. If you do not want to receive paper copies of proxy materials on an on-going basis, please follow the instructions for Internet voting on your proxy card or voter instruction form.

Why did I receive e-mail delivery of the Proxy Materials?

We are providing e-mail delivery of the Proxy Materials to those stockholders who have previously elected electronic delivery. Those stockholders should have received an e-mail containing a link to the website where those materials are available and a link to the proxy voting website.

Why did I receive a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials?

This year, to reduce the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting, we are pleased to furnish the Proxy Materials over the Internet. As a result, we are sending many of our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the Notice of Internet Availability) instead of a paper copy of the Proxy Materials. All stockholders receiving the Notice of Internet Availability will have the ability to access the Proxy Materials over the Internet and to request a paper copy of the Proxy Materials by mail. Instructions on how to access the Proxy Materials over the Internet, to vote online, and to request a paper copy may be found in the Notice of Internet Availability. In addition, the Notice of Internet Availability contains instructions on how you may request delivery of proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically on an ongoing basis.

1

Can I request a paper copy of the Proxy Statement and Annual Report?

The Company s Proxy Statement and Annual Report are available on our web site at www.conedison.com/investorreports. A copy of these materials is also available without charge upon written request to the Company s Vice President and Corporate Secretary, Con Edison, 4 Irving Place, New York, New York 10003.

I share an address with another stockholder, and we received only one copy of the Proxy Materials. How may I obtain an additional copy?

If you are a registered holder of Company Common Stock, Computershare (Computershare acquired BNY Mellon Shareowner Services effective December 31, 2011) may deliver only one copy of the Proxy Materials to multiple stockholders who share an address unless Computershare has received contrary instructions.

If you hold your Company Common Stock through a broker, bank, or other financial institution (broker), your broker may deliver only one copy of the Proxy Materials to multiple stockholders who share an address unless contrary instructions are received.

Stockholders who wish to receive additional copies of the Proxy Materials and stockholders who share an address and wish to receive a single copy of the Proxy Materials, should follow the instructions on the proxy card or the voter instruction form.

Who pays the cost of soliciting proxies for the Annual Meeting?

The Company will pay the expenses associated with the solicitation of proxies. The solicitation of proxies is being made by mail, telephone, the Internet, facsimile, electronic transmission, or overnight delivery. The expense associated with the solicitation of proxies will include reimbursement for postage and clerical expenses to brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees or fiduciaries for forwarding Proxy Material and other documents to beneficial owners of stock held in their names. Morrow & Co., LLC, 470 West Avenue, Stamford, CT 06902, has been retained to assist in the solicitation of proxies. The estimated cost of Morrow s services is \$21,000 plus out-of-pocket expenses.

VOTING AND RELATED MATTERS

What is the record date?

The Board of Directors has established March 26, 2012 as the record date for the determination of Con Edison s stockholders entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting.

How many votes do I have?

You are entitled to one vote on each proposal presented at the Annual Meeting for each outstanding share of Company Common Stock you owned on the record date.

How many votes can be cast by all stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

One vote on each proposal presented at the Annual Meeting for each of the 292,879,796 shares of Company Common Stock that were outstanding on the record date.

How many votes must be present to hold the Annual Meeting?

To constitute a quorum to transact business at the Annual Meeting, the holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, or 146,439,899, must be present in person or by proxy. We urge you to vote by proxy even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, so that we will know as soon as possible that enough votes will be present to hold the meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted in the determination of the quorum.

2

How does the Board of Directors recommend that I vote?

Item of Business	Board s Voting Recommendation
Election of the twelve Director nominees	FOR EACH NOMINEE
Ratification of the appointment of independent accountants	FOR
Advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation	FOR
Stockholder proposal	AGAINST

What vote is required to approve each item of business?

The twelve nominees for Director named in this Proxy Statement receiving a majority of the votes cast at the meeting in person or by proxy shall be elected (meaning the number of shares voted for a Director nominee must exceed the number of shares voted against that Director nominee), subject to the Board s policy regarding resignations by Directors who do not receive a majority of for votes.

In all other matters, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting, entitled to vote and voting on the subject matter, will be the act of the stockholders.

Abstentions and broker non-votes are voted neither for nor against, and have no effect on the vote, but are counted in the determination of the quorum.

How do I vote?

You can vote whether or not you attend the Annual Meeting. Stockholders have a choice of voting over the Internet, by telephone, by mail using a proxy card or voter instruction form, or in person at the Annual Meeting.

If you received a printed copy of the Proxy Materials, please follow the instructions on your proxy card or voter instruction form. Your proxy card or voter instruction form provides information on how to vote over the Internet, by telephone, or by mail.

If you received a Notice of Internet Availability, please follow the instructions on the notice. The Notice of Internet Availability provides information on how to vote over the Internet, by telephone, or by mail.

If you received an e-mail notification, please click on the link provided in the e-mail notification and follow the instructions on how to vote over the Internet or by telephone.

If you are a registered holder of the Company s Common Stock, you may also vote in person at the Annual Meeting.

To help us reduce the environmental impact of our meeting, we ask that you vote through the Internet or by telephone, both of which are available 24 hours a day. To ensure that your vote is counted, please remember to submit your vote by the date and time indicated on your Notice of Internet Availability, proxy card or voter instruction form, as applicable.

If my shares are held by my broker, can my shares be voted if I don t instruct my broker?

The Securities and Exchange Commission has approved a New York Stock Exchange rule that affects the manner in which your broker may vote your shares. Your broker may not vote on your behalf for the election of directors or compensation-related matters unless you provide specific voting instructions to your broker. For your vote to be counted, you need to communicate your voting decisions to your broker, in the manner prescribed by your broker, before the date of the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

If you have any questions about this rule or the proxy voting process in general, please contact the broker where you hold your shares. The Securities and Exchange Commission also has a website (www.sec.gov/spotlight/proxymatters.shtml) with more information about your rights as a stockholder.

If I am a registered holder of Company Common Stock, what if I don t vote for one or more of the matters listed on my proxy card?

All shares represented by properly executed proxies received in time for the Annual Meeting will be voted at the Annual Meeting in the manner specified by the persons giving those proxies. If you return a signed proxy without indicating voting instructions your shares will be voted as follows:

for the election of the twelve Director nominees,

for the ratification of the appointment of independent accountants,

for the advisory vote to approve named executive officer compensation, and

against the stockholder proposal.

Can I change my vote?

Yes, depending on how your shares of Company Common Stock are held, you may change your vote by sending in a new, properly executed proxy card or voter instruction form with a later date, or by casting a new vote by Internet or telephone, or by sending a properly executed written notice of revocation to the Company s Vice President and Corporate Secretary at Con Edison, 4 Irving Place, New York, New York 10003. Check the instructions on your Notice of Internet Availability, proxy card or voter instruction form for information regarding your specific revocation options. If you are a registered holder of Company Common Stock, you may also change your vote by appearing at the Annual Meeting and voting in person. Attendance at the Annual Meeting without voting will not by itself revoke a proxy.

ANNUAL MEETING INFORMATION

What is the location, date, and time of the Annual Meeting?

The Annual Meeting will be held at the Company s principal executive offices at 4 Irving Place, New York, New York 10003, on Monday, May 21, 2012, at 10:00 a.m.

Where can	I find directions to the	Annual Meeting?	

Directions to the Annual Meeting are available on our website at www.conedison.com/investorreports.

Who can attend the Annual Meeting?

Attendance at the Annual Meeting will be limited to holders of Company Common Stock on March 26, 2012, the record date, the authorized representative (one only) of an absent stockholder, and invited guests of management.

Do I need a ticket to attend the Annual Meeting?

Yes, you will need an admission ticket or proof of ownership of Company Common Stock on the record date to enter the meeting.

If you received a printed copy of the Proxy Materials and you are a registered holder of Company Common Stock, your proxy card serves as your admission ticket to the Annual Meeting.

4

Table of Contents

If you received a printed copy of the Proxy Materials and you hold your shares through a broker, please bring to the Annual Meeting a copy of a brokerage or other statement reflecting your stock ownership as of the record date.

If you received a printed copy of the Proxy Materials and you hold your shares through an employee plan, please bring to the Annual Meeting a copy of a statement reflecting your stock ownership as of the record date.

If you received a Notice of Internet Availability, that Notice of Internet Availability serves as your admission ticket to the Annual Meeting.

If you received an e-mail notification, please access the Proxy Materials by clicking on the link provided in the e-mail notification and follow the instructions for downloading a copy of your admission ticket.

You may be asked to present valid picture identification to gain entrance to the Annual Meeting. Any person claiming to be an authorized representative of a stockholder must, upon request, produce written evidence of the authorization.

Are there any special attendance procedures?

In order to assure the holding of a fair and orderly meeting and to accommodate as many stockholders as possible who may wish to speak at the Annual Meeting, management will limit the general discussion portion of the meeting and permit only stockholders or their authorized representatives to address the meeting. In addition, management will require that all signs, banners, placards, handouts and similar materials be left outside the meeting room.

5

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED AT THE ANNUAL MEETING

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

(Proposal No. 1)

Twelve Directors are to be elected at the Annual Meeting to hold office until the next Annual Meeting and until their respective successors are elected and qualified. See *The Board of Directors* on pages 10 to 16. Directors are permitted to stand for election until they reach the mandatory retirement age of 72. Of the Board members standing for election, one (Kevin Burke) is a current officer of the Company. All of the nominees were elected Directors at the last Annual Meeting.

The Company s management believes that all of the nominees will be able and willing to serve as Directors of the Company. All of the Directors also serve as Trustees of Con Edison s subsidiary, Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc. (Con Edison of New York). Mr. Burke also serves on the Board of Con Edison s subsidiary, Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. (Orange & Rockland).

Shares represented by every properly executed proxy will be voted at the Annual Meeting for or against the election of the Director nominees as specified by the stockholder giving the proxy. If one or more of the nominees is unable or unwilling to serve, the shares represented by the proxies will be voted for any substitute nominee or nominees as may be designated by the Board.

The Board Recommends a Vote FOR Proposal No. 1.

Election of each of the twelve Director nominees requires the Director to receive a majority of the votes cast at the meeting in person or by proxy to be elected (meaning the number of shares voted for a Director nominee must exceed the number of shares voted against that Director nominee), subject to the Board s policy regarding resignations by Directors who do not receive a majority of for votes.

6

RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

(Proposal No. 2)

At the Annual Meeting, as a matter of sound corporate governance, stockholders will be asked to ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC) as independent accountants for the Company for the year 2012. If the selection of PwC is not ratified, the Audit Committee will take this into consideration in the future selection of independent accountants.

PwC has acted as independent accountants for the Company for many years. The Audit Committee s charter provides that at least once every five years, the Audit Committee will evaluate whether it is appropriate to rotate the Company s independent accountants.

The Audit Committee considered the firm s qualifications. This included a review of PwC s performance in prior years, as well as PwC s reputation for integrity and for competence in the fields of accounting and auditing. The Audit Committee also reviewed a report provided by PwC regarding its quality controls, inquiries or investigations by governmental or professional authorities and independence. See *Report of the Audit Committee* and *Fees Paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP* on pages 26 to 27.

Representatives of PwC will be present at the Annual Meeting and will be afforded the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and to respond to appropriate questions.

The Board Recommends a Vote FOR Proposal No. 2.

Ratification of Proposal No. 2 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Company Common Stock voted on the proposal at the Annual Meeting.

7

ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

(Proposal No. 3)

The Company values the opinions of our stockholders, and in accordance with Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the stockholders may vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers. The Board recommends that the stockholders vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company s Named Executive Officers, as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) section, and the related compensation disclosure tables on pages 28 to 57. The Company currently conducts such votes annually, and the next such vote will be at the Company s 2013 annual meeting of stockholders.

As discussed in the CD&A, the Company s executive compensation program is designed to assist in attracting and retaining key executives critical to the Company s long-term success, and to motivate these executives to create value for its stockholders and to provide reliable service for its customers. The Management Development and Compensation Committee (the Compensation Committee), with the assistance of its compensation consultant, seeks to provide base salary, target annual cash incentive compensation, long-term equity-based incentive compensation, and retirement and welfare benefits that are competitive with the level of compensation provided by the Company s compensation peer group (see *Compensation Peer Group* on page 32).

The Compensation Committee believes that variable compensation should represent the most significant portion of each Named Executive Officer's total direct compensation to motivate strong annual and multi-year Company performance. Additionally, the Compensation Committee believes that most of the variable performance-based compensation should be in the form of long-term, rather than annual incentives, to emphasize the importance of sustained Company performance. Each year, the Compensation Committee evaluates the level of compensation and the mix of base and variable compensation of each Named Executive Officer to ensure that it meets the Compensation Committee is objectives and is competitive with levels of compensation of the compensation peer group.

For the reasons highlighted above and more fully discussed in the CD&A, the Board recommends that the stockholders vote in favor of the following resolution:

RESOLVED, That the compensation paid to the Company s Named Executive Officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion is hereby approved.

The Board Recommends a Vote FOR Proposal No. 3.

Approval of Proposal No. 3 requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Company Common Stock voted on the proposal at the Annual Meeting.

The Board values the opinions of the Company s stockholders as expressed through their vote and other communications. Although the vote is on an advisory basis, the Board and its Compensation Committee will consider the voting results when making future compensation decisions for the Company s Named Executive Officers.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL

(Proposal No. 4)

Mrs. Evelyn Y. Davis, Watergate Office Building, 2600 Virginia Avenue, N.W., Suite 215, Washington, D.C. 20037, who owns 200 shares of Company Common Stock, has submitted the following proposal:

RESOLVED: That the stockholders recommend that the Board take the necessary steps that Con Edison specifically identify by name and corporate title in all future proxy statements those executive officers, not otherwise so identified, who are contractually entitled to receive in excess of \$500,000 annually as a base salary, together with whatever other additional compensation bonuses and other cash payments were due them.

The statement made in support of this proposal is as follows:

In support of such proposed Resolution it is clear that the stockholders have a right to comprehensively evaluate the management in the manner in which the Corporation is being operated and its resources utilized. At present only a few of the most senior executive officers are so identified, and not the many other senior executive officers who should contribute to the ultimate success of the Corporation. Through such additional identification the stockholders will then be provided an opportunity to better evaluate the soundness and efficacy of the overall management.

Last year the owners of approximately 15.8% of shares voting, voted FOR this proposal.

If you AGREE, please mark your proxy FOR this proposal.

The Board of Directors Recommends That You Vote AGAINST Proposal No. 4 for the Following Reasons:

Disclosure of executive compensation is governed by the Securities and Exchange Commission s proxy solicitation rules. In accordance with those rules, Con Edison currently provides information on pages 28 to 57 of the Proxy Statement concerning compensation for its highest paid executive officers.

The Board of Directors Recommends a Vote AGAINST Proposal No. 4.

Approval of the stockholder proposal (Proposal No. 4) requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Company Common Stock voted on the proposal at the Annual Meeting.

9

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Information About the Nominees

The Board and the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee consider the qualifications of Directors and Director candidates individually and in the broader context of the Board s overall composition and the Company s current and future needs. The Board believes that the Board, as a whole, should possess a combination of skills, professional experience, and diversity of backgrounds necessary to oversee the Company s business. The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines to assist it in exercising its responsibilities to the Company and its stockholders. In evaluating Director candidates and considering incumbent Directors for renomination to the Board, the Board and the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee consider various factors. Pursuant to the Guidelines, the Committee reviews with the Board the skills and characteristics of Director nominees, including independence, integrity, judgment, business experience, areas of expertise, availability for service, factors relating to the composition of the Board (including its size and structure) and the Company s principles of diversity. For incumbent Directors, the Committee also considers past performance of the Director on the Board.

The current nominees bring to the Company the benefit of their qualifications, leadership, skills, and the diversity of their experience and backgrounds, as set forth below, which provide the Board, as a whole, with the skills and expertise that reflect the needs of the Company s regulated and unregulated businesses. We show below for each nominee, age as of the date of the Annual Meeting, business experience, period of service as a Director, public or investment company directorships during the past five years, and other directorships.

Eugene R. McGrath, 70

Mr. McGrath has leadership, engineering, and operations experience, and knowledge of the utility industry and the Company s business. Mr. McGrath s experience from his leadership positions at the Company and his service on other boards support the Board in its oversight of the Company s management, operations, engineering and strategic planning activities. Mr. McGrath was Chairman of the Board of Con Edison from October 1997 through February 2006, Chairman of Con Edison of New York from September 1990 through February 2006, President and Chief Executive Officer of Con Edison from October 1997 until September 2005, and Chief Executive Officer of Con Edison of New York from September 1990 to September 2005. Mr. McGrath has been a Trustee of Con Edison of New York since 1987, a Director of Con Edison since October 1997, and Chairman of the Board of Orange & Rockland from July 1999 until September 2005. Mr. McGrath serves as a Director of GAMCO Investors, Inc., Sensus (Bermuda 2) Ltd. and Sensus USA Inc., and certain of their affiliates, and, during the past five years, Mr. McGrath also served as a Director of Schering-Plough Corporation through November 2009. Mr. McGrath is also a Director of Associated Electric & Gas Insurance Services Limited.

10

Gordon J. Davis, 70

Mr. Davis has experience as an attorney in real estate, environmental, utilities and financial matters, and experience in government service. Mr. Davis legal experience and government service support the Board in its oversight of the Company s environmental, real estate, and financial activities. Mr. Davis is a Partner at Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP, Attorneys at Law, New York, NY, the firm resulting from the merger in October 2007 of LeBoeuf, Lamb, Greene & MacRae, LLP (LeBoeuf) and Dewey Ballantine LLP. Mr. Davis was a Partner at LeBoeuf from October 1994 to October 2007 (except during 2001 when he served as President of Lincoln Center Inc.). Previously, Mr. Davis served as the Commissioner of Parks and Recreation for the City of New York. Mr. Davis has been a Trustee of Con Edison of New York since 1989 and a Director of Con Edison since December 1997. Mr. Davis serves as a Director of the Dreyfus Funds, Groups III and IX, and Phoenix Companies, Inc. Mr. Davis is also a Director or Trustee of Central Park Conservatory, Jazz at Lincoln Center, Inc. (Founding Chairman Emeritus), John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts, The Municipal Art Society of New York, New York Public Library, New York Public Theater and the Studio Museum in Harlem.

Ellen V. Futter, 62

Ms. Futter has management and operations experience leading major New York not-for-profit entities that provide services to the public. Ms. Futter also has legal and financial experience. Ms. Futter s experience from her leadership positions at the American Museum of Natural History and Barnard College, her legal experience, and her service on other boards support the Board in its oversight of the Company s operations and planning activities and the Company s relationships with stakeholders. Ms. Futter has been the President of the American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY, since November 1993. Previously, Ms. Futter served as the President of Barnard College, New York, NY, and as the Chairman of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York, and was a corporate attorney at the law firm of Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy. Ms. Futter has been a Trustee of Con Edison of New York since 1989 and a Director of Con Edison since December 1997. Ms. Futter serves as a Director of JPMorgan Chase & Co., Inc., and, during the past five years, Ms. Futter also served as a Director of American International Group, Inc. through July 2008, and Viacom, Inc. through April 2007. Ms. Futter is also a Director or Trustee of NYC & Company and the Brookings Institution and a Manager at the Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center.

11

Sally H. Piñero, 59

Ms. Piñero has experience in business development and government service, and also has legal experience. Ms. Piñero s experience from her positions as an attorney and in government service supports the Board in its oversight of the Company s corporate governance, environmental, and planning activities and the Company s relationships with stakeholders. Ms. Piñero has been a hearing officer with the New York City Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings Health Tribunal since January 2012. Previously, Ms. Piñero was an attorney at law in Yonkers, NY from January 2008 through December 2011, President of East Harlem Business Capital Corporation, a non-profit corporation providing business services to promote economic development, from July 2006 to December 2007, and Executive Director of City Harvest, New York, NY, a non-profit corporation that collects and distributes food to community food programs, from August 2005 to July 2006. Ms. Piñero also served as the Managing Director of Fannie Mae American Communities Fund, Chairwoman of the New York City Housing Authority, Deputy Mayor for Finance and Economic Development, and Chairwoman of the Board of Directors of the Financial Services Corporation of New York City. Ms. Piñero has been a Trustee of Con Edison of New York since 1994 and a Director of Con Edison since December 1997.

Michael J. Del Giudice, 69

Mr. Del Giudice has experience in private equity, with a focus on the power and energy infrastructure market, as well as experience in government service. Mr. Del Giudice s experience from his investment activities and his government service support the Board in its oversight of the Company s corporate governance, financial, and strategic planning activities, and the Company s relationships with stakeholders. Mr. Del Giudice has been Senior Managing Director at Millennium Capital Markets LLC, New York, NY, an investment banking firm, since 1996, Senior Managing Director at MCM Securities LLC, New York, NY, a registered broker dealer, since 1996, and Chairman and Senior Managing Director of Rockland Capital, LLC, New York, NY, a private equity company focusing on power and energy infrastructure markets, since 2003. Previously, Mr. Del Giudice was a General Partner at the investment bank of Lazard Freres & Co. LLC, and served as Secretary to the New York State Governor and Chief of Staff to the New York State Assembly Speaker. Mr. Del Giudice has been a Director of Con Edison since July 1999 and a Trustee of Con Edison of New York since May 2002. Mr. Del Giudice serves as a Director of Fusion Telecommunications International, Inc., and Reis, Inc., and, during the past five years, Mr. Del Giudice also served as a Director of Barnes and Noble, Inc. through September 2010. Mr. Del Giudice is also a Director of Sabre Industries, Inc., and is Chairman of the Governor s Committee on Scholastic Achievement and Vice Chairman of the New York Racing Association.

12

George Campbell Jr., Ph.D., 66

Dr. Campbell has experience leading one of the nation s premiere colleges and a non-profit corporation, with a focus on engineering and science. Dr. Campbell also has experience in management and research and development at a public company. Dr. Campbell s experience from his leadership positions at The Cooper Union for the Advancement of Science and Art, AT&T Bell Laboratories, and NACME, Inc., and his service on other boards support the Board in its oversight of the Company s operations and management activities. Dr. Campbell, a physicist, was the President from July 2000 through June 2011, and is now President Emeritus of The Cooper Union for the Advancement of Science and Art, New York, NY, a college focusing primarily on engineering, architecture, and art. Previously, Dr. Campbell held various management positions at AT&T Bell Laboratories. Dr. Campbell has also served as President and Chief Executive Officer of NACME, Inc., a non-profit corporation focused on engineering education and science and technology policy. Dr. Campbell has been a Director of Con Edison and a Trustee of Con Edison of New York since February 2000. Dr. Campbell serves as a Director of Barnes and Noble, Inc. Dr. Campbell is also a Director or Trustee of the New York State Foundation for Science, Technology and Innovation, the Josiah Macy Foundation, The Mitre Corporation, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Montefiore Medical Center, the New York Hall of Science, and the Webb Institute of Naval Architecture.

Vincent A. Calarco, 69

Mr. Calarco has experience leading public companies, and has management and executive experience with manufacturing companies. Mr. Calarco s experience from his leadership positions and financial oversight experience in senior management roles at Newmont Mining Corporation and Crompton Corporation (now known as Chemtura Corporation), and his service on other boards support the Board in its oversight of the Company s management, financial, operations, and strategic planning activities. Mr. Calarco has been the Non-Executive Chairman of Newmont Mining Corporation, Denver, CO, a gold production company, since January 2008. Mr. Calarco was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Crompton Corporation (specialty chemicals, polymer products and equipment), Middlebury, CT from April 1985 to July 2004. Previously, Mr. Calarco held various management and executive positions at Uniroyal Chemical Company. Mr. Calarco has been a Director of Con Edison and a Trustee of Con Edison of New York since September 2001. Mr. Calarco serves as a Director of CPG International, Inc. Mr. Calarco is also a Director of Citadel Plastics Company and a Trustee of Saint Raphael Healthcare System.

13

Kevin Burke, 61

Mr. Burke has leadership, engineering, operations and legal experience, as well as knowledge of the utility industry and the Company s business. Mr. Burke s experience from his leadership positions at the Company, and his service on other boards supports the Board in its oversight of the Company s management, financial, operations, and strategic planning activities and the Company s relationships with stakeholders. Mr. Burke has been Chairman of the Board of Con Edison and Con Edison of New York since February 2006. Mr. Burke has been President and Chief Executive Officer of Con Edison and Chief Executive Officer of Con Edison of New York since September 2005. Previously, Mr. Burke was President and Chief Operating Officer of Con Edison of New York from September 2000 until September 2005. Mr. Burke has been a Director of Con Edison, a Trustee of Con Edison of New York and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Orange & Rockland since October 2005. Mr. Burke serves as a Director of Honeywell International Inc. Mr. Burke is also a Director or Trustee of American Gas Association, the Business Council of New York State, The Economic Club of New York, Edison Electric Institute, Institute for Electric Efficiency, Mayor s Fund to Advance New York City, New York Botanical Garden, New York State Energy Research and Development Authority, and Partnership for New York City.

L. Frederick Sutherland, 60

Mr. Sutherland has leadership experience at an international managed services company, including experience with financial reporting, internal auditing, mergers and acquisitions, financing, risk management, corporate compliance and corporate planning. Mr. Sutherland also has corporate banking experience. Mr. Sutherland s experience from his leadership positions at ARAMARK Corporation and Chase Manhattan Bank supports the Board in its oversight of the Company s financial reporting, auditing, and strategic planning activities. Mr. Sutherland has been the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of ARAMARK Corporation, Philadelphia, PA, a provider of services, facilities management and uniform and career apparel, since 1997, and assumed the added responsibilities as Group Executive in 2009. Prior to joining ARAMARK in 1980, Mr. Sutherland was Vice President in the Corporate Banking Department of Chase Manhattan Bank, New York, NY. Mr. Sutherland has been a Director of Con Edison and a Trustee of Con Edison of New York since April 2006. Mr. Sutherland is also the President of the Board of Trustees of People s Light and Theater and Vice Chairman of the Board of WHYY, a PBS affiliate.

14

John F. Killian, 57

Mr. Killian has leadership experience at regulated consumer services companies, including experience with financial reporting and internal auditing. Mr. Killian s experience from his leadership positions at Verizon Communications, Inc., Bell Atlantic and NYNEX CableComms Limited supports the Board in its oversight of the Company s auditing, financial, operating, and strategic planning activities, and the Company s relationships with stakeholders. Mr. Killian was the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Verizon Communications Inc., a telecommunications company, from March 2009 to December 2010. Previously, Mr. Killian was the President of Verizon Business, Basking Ridge, NJ, from October 2005 until February 2009, the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Verizon Telecom from June 2003 until October 2005, and the Senior Vice President and Controller of Verizon Telecom from April 2002 until June 2003. Mr. Killian also served in executive positions at Bell Atlantic and was the President and Chief Executive Officer of NYNEX CableComms Limited (a telecommunications, information services and entertainment company in the United Kingdom). Mr. Killian has been a Director of Con Edison and a Trustee of Con Edison of New York since September 2007. Mr. Killian is also a Director of Houghton Mifflin Harcourt and a Trustee of Providence College.

Michael W. Ranger, 54

Mr. Ranger has investment experience focusing on the energy and power sector, investment banking experience in the energy and power sector, and experience as a member of a utility banking group. Mr. Ranger s experience from his investment activities in the energy and power sector supports the Board in its oversight of the Company s financial and strategic planning activities. Mr. Ranger has been Senior Managing Director of Diamond Castle Holdings LLC, New York, NY, a private equity investment firm, since 2004 and Non-Executive Chairman of KDC Solar LLC since 2010. Previously, Mr. Ranger was an investment banker in the energy and power sector for twenty years, including at Credit Suisse First Boston, Donaldson, Lufkin and Jenrette, DLJ Global Energy Partners, and Drexel Burnham Lambert. Mr. Ranger was also a member of the Utility Banking Group at Bankers Trust. Mr. Ranger has been a Director of Con Edison and a Trustee of Con Edison of New York since February 2008. During the past five years, Mr. Ranger also served as a Director of TXU Corp. through October 2007. Mr. Ranger is also a Director or Trustee of Beacon Health Holdings, LLC, Bonten Media Group, Managed Health Care Associates, Inc., Morristown-Beard School, Professional Direction Enterprise, Inc, The Seeing Eye, Inc., and St. Lawrence University.

15

John F. Hennessy III, 56

Mr. Hennessy has leadership experience at project performance consulting firms, and has engineering and construction industry experience. Mr. Hennessy s experience from his leadership positions with a project performance consulting firm supports the Board in its oversight of the Company s operations and financial activities. Mr. Hennessy has been a Partner in the firm of Hennessy & Williamson, a project performance consulting firm, New York, NY, since 2004, and since 2011, an advisor to Turnstone Energy Solutions, an energy management company. Previously, Mr. Hennessy was Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Syska Hennessy Group from 1989 to 2004. Mr. Hennessy has been a Director of Con Edison and a Trustee of Con Edison of New York since November 2008. Mr. Hennessy is also Chairman Emeritus of the Board of Directors of the New York Building Congress, Chairman Emeritus of the New York Building Foundation, and Chairman Emeritus of the American Council of Engineering Companies.

Meetings and Board Members Attendance

The Board of Directors held 11 meetings in 2011. At its meetings the Board considers a wide variety of matters involving such things as the Company's strategic planning, its financial condition and results of operations, its capital and operating budgets, personnel matters, succession planning, risk management, industry issues, accounting practices and disclosure, and corporate governance practices.

In accordance with the Company s Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Chair of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee (currently Mr. Del Giudice) serves as Lead Director and, as such, chairs the executive sessions of the non-management Directors and the independent Directors. The Company s independent Directors met once in executive session and the non-management Directors met 11 times in executive session during 2011.

During 2011, each incumbent member of the Board attended more than 75 percent of the combined meetings of the Board of Directors and the Board Committees on which he or she served.

Directors are expected to attend the Annual Meeting. All of the current Directors attended the 2011 annual meeting of stockholders.

Corporate Governance

Con Edison s corporate governance documents, including its Corporate Governance Guidelines, the charters of the Audit, Corporate Governance and Nominating, and Management Development and Compensation Committees, and the Code of Ethics are available on the Company s website at www.conedison.com/investor/governance_documents.asp. The Code of Ethics applies to all Directors, officers and employees. Con Edison intends to post on its website at www.conedison.com/investor/governance_documents.asp amendments to its Code of Ethics and a description of any waiver from a provision of the Code of Ethics granted by the Board to any Director or executive officer of Con Edison within four business days after such amendment or waiver.

Leadership Structure

The Company s leadership structure combines the roles of the chairman and chief executive officer. The Board believes that this leadership structure is appropriate for the Company due to Mr. Burke s knowledge of the

16

Company and the utility industry, and his extensive engineering, operations and legal experience. The Board consists of a substantial majority of Directors who are independent (see Board Members Independence on pages 18 to 19), the Board routinely holds executive sessions at which only non-management Directors are present, and the independent Directors meet in executive session at least once a year. Pursuant to the Company s Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board has oversight responsibility for reviewing the Company s strategic plans, objectives and risks. The Committees of the Board which administer the Board s risk oversight function are chaired by non-management Directors (see Standing Committees of the Board on pages 19 to 22). In addition, the Board has an independent Lead Director who is the Chair of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. The Lead Director: (i) acts as a liaison between the independent Directors and the Company s management; (ii) chairs the executive sessions of non-management and independent Directors and has the authority to call additional executive sessions as appropriate; (iii) chairs Board meetings in the Chairman s absence; (iv) coordinates with the Chairman on agendas and schedules for Board meetings, information flow to the Board, and other matters pertinent to the Company and the Board; and (v) is available for consultation and communication with major stockholders as appropriate.

Risk Oversight

The Board s primary function is one of oversight. In connection with its oversight function, the Board oversees the Company s policies and procedures for managing risk. The Board administers its risk oversight function primarily through its Committees which report to the Board. Board Committees have assumed oversight of various risks that have been identified through the Company s enterprise risk management program. The Audit Committee reviews the Company s risk assessment and risk management policies and the Audit Committee reports to the Board on the Company s risk management program. Management regularly provides reports to the Board and its Committees concerning risks identified through the Company s enterprise risk management program.

Related Person Transactions and Policy

The Company has adopted a written policy for approval of transactions between the Company and its Directors, Director nominees, executive officers, greater-than-five percent beneficial owners, and their respective immediate family members, where the amount involved in the transaction exceeds or is expected to exceed \$100,000 in a single calendar year.

The policy provides that the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee reviews certain transactions subject to the policy and determines whether or not to approve or ratify those transactions. In doing so, the Committee takes into account, among other factors it deems appropriate, whether the transaction is on terms that are no less favorable to the Company than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third-party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related person s interest in the transaction. In addition, the Board has delegated authority to the Chair of the Committee to pre-approve or ratify transactions where the aggregate amount involved is expected to be less than \$1.0 million. A summary of any new transactions pre-approved by the Chair will be provided to the full Committee for its review in connection with a regularly scheduled Committee meeting.

The Committee has considered and adopted standing pre-approvals under the policy for limited transactions with related persons. Pre-approved transactions include:

business transactions with other companies at which a related person s only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer), director or less-than-10 percent beneficial owner if the amount of business falls below the thresholds in the New York Stock Exchange s listing standards and the Company s Director independence standards; and

contributions to non-profit organizations at which a related person s only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer) or director if the aggregate amount involved is less than both \$1.0 million and two percent of the organization s consolidated gross annual revenues.

17

At least annually, a summary of new transactions covered by the standing pre-approvals described above will be provided to the Committee for its review.

In 2011, Ms. Futter s brother received approximately \$132,000 for providing legal services to Con Edison of New York and will provide such legal services in 2012. The provision of these services by Ms. Futter s brother was approved by the Committee.

Board Members Independence

The Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that the following Directors are independent as defined in the New York Stock Exchange s listing standards: Mr. Calarco, Dr. Campbell, Mr. Davis, Mr. Del Giudice, Mr. Hennessy, Mr. Killian, Ms. Piñero, Mr. Ranger, and Mr. Sutherland.

To assist it in making determinations of Director independence, the Board has adopted independence standards, which are set forth in its Corporate Governance Guidelines, available on the Company's website at www.conedison.com/investor/pdfs/Guidelines.pdf. Under these standards, the Board has determined that each of the relationships below is categorically immaterial and therefore, by itself, does not preclude a Director from being independent:

- (i) (a) the Director has an immediate family member who is a current employee of the Company s internal or external auditor, but the immediate family member does not personally work on the Company s audit; or (b) the Director or an immediate family member was, within the last three years, a partner or employee of such a firm but no longer works at the firm and did not personally work on the Company s audit within that time;
- (ii) the Director or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, employed at another company where any of the Company s present executive officers at the same time serves or served on that company s compensation committee, but the Director or the Director s immediate family member is not an executive officer of the other company and his or her compensation is not determined or reviewed by that company s compensation committee;
- (iii) the Director is a current employee, or an immediate family member is a current executive officer, of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in any of the last three fiscal years, but the total payments in each year were less than \$1.0 million, or two percent of such other company s consolidated gross revenues, whichever is greater;
- (iv) the Director is a partner or the owner of five percent or more of the voting stock of another company that has made payments to, or received payments from, the Company for property or services in any of the last three fiscal years, but the total payments in each year were less than \$1.0 million, or two percent of such other company s consolidated gross revenues, whichever is greater;
- (v) the Director is a partner, the owner of five percent or more of the voting stock or an executive officer of another company which is indebted to the Company, or to which the Company is indebted, but the total amount of the indebtedness in each of the last three fiscal years was less than \$1.0 million, or two percent of such other company s consolidated gross revenues, whichever is greater; and

(vi) the Director or an immediate family member is a director or an executive officer of a non-profit organization to which the Company has made contributions in any of the last three fiscal years, but the Company s total contributions to the organization in each year were less than \$1.0 million, or two percent of such organization s consolidated gross revenues, whichever is greater.

In assessing independence, the Board considered that during 2011, Dr. Campbell and Mr. Davis were affiliated with organizations that did business with Con Edison. Con Edison made payments to, or received payments from, these organizations for property or services in 2011. However, in each case, the payments made by or to Con Edison were less than one percent of the recipient organization s consolidated gross revenues and fell significantly below the thresholds in paragraphs (iii) and (iv) of Con Edison s Director independence standards disclosed above. In assessing independence, the Board also considered that a non-profit organization,

18

of which Dr. Campbell was an executive officer through June 2011, received contributions from Con Edison in 2011 of no more than \$350,000. Con Edison s relationship with such organization predates Dr. Campbell s service on the Board and Dr. Campbell s employment by such organization.

Standing Committees of the Board

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee, composed of four independent Directors (currently Mr. Calarco, Chair, Mr. Del Giudice, Mr. Killian, and Mr. Sutherland), is responsible for the appointment of the independent accountants for the Company, subject to stockholder ratification at the Annual Meeting. If the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is not ratified, the Audit Committee will take this into consideration in the future selection of independent accountants. The Committee meets with the Company s management, including Con Edison of New York s General Auditor, the General Counsel, and the Company s independent accountants, several times a year to discuss internal controls and accounting matters, the Company s financial statements, filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, earnings press releases and the scope and results of the auditing programs of the independent accountants and of Con Edison of New York s internal auditing department. The Committee also oversees the Company s risk assessment and risk management policies, and the Company s management of risks, relating to the Committee s duties and responsibilities that have been identified through the Company s enterprise risk management program. Each member of the Committee is independent as defined in the New York Stock Exchange s listing standards. Con Edison s Board of Directors has determined that each Director on the Audit Committee is an audit committee financial expert as such term is defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K and is independent as such term is defined in Rule 10A-3 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. The Audit Committee held seven meetings in 2011.

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, composed of four independent Directors (currently Mr. Del Giudice, Chair, Mr. Calarco, Dr. Campbell, and Ms. Piñero), annually evaluates each Director s individual performance when considering whether to nominate the Director for re-election to the Board and is responsible for recommending candidates to fill vacancies on the Board. In addition, the Committee assists with respect to the composition and size of the Board and of all Committees of the Board. The Committee also makes recommendations to the Board as to the compensation of Board members as well as other corporate governance matters, including Board independence criteria and determinations and corporate governance guidelines. Additionally, the Committee oversees the Company s management of risks, relating to the Committee s duties and responsibilities that have been identified through the Company s enterprise risk management program.

All of the members of the Committee are independent as defined in the New York Stock Exchange s listing standards. Con Edison s Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Board of Directors consists of a substantial majority of Directors who meet the New York Stock Exchange definition of independence, as determined by the Board in accordance with the standards described in the Guidelines under Board Members Independence beginning on page 18. Among its duties, the Committee reviews the skills and characteristics of Director candidates as well as their integrity, judgment, business experience, areas of expertise and availability for service, factors relating to the composition of the Board (including its size and structure) and the Company s principles of diversity.

The Committee has the authority under its charter to hire advisors to assist it in its decisions. The Committee retains a professional search firm to assist it in identifying director candidates. The search firm assists the Committee in developing criteria for potential Board members to

complement the Board s existing strengths. Based on such criteria, the firm also provides the Committee, for its review and consideration, lists of potential candidates with background information. After consulting with the Committee, the firm further screens and interviews candidates as directed by the Committee to determine their qualifications, interest and any potential conflicts of interest and provides its results to the Committee. The Committee also considers candidates

19

recommended by stockholders. There are no differences in the manner in which the Committee will evaluate candidates recommended by stockholders. The Committee will make an initial determination as to whether a particular candidate meets the Company s criteria for Board membership, and will then further consider candidates that do. Stockholder recommendations for candidates, accompanied by biographical material for evaluation, may be sent to the Vice President and Corporate Secretary of the Company. Each recommendation should include information as to the qualifications of the candidate and should be accompanied by a written statement (presented to the Vice President and Corporate Secretary of the Company) from the suggested candidate to the effect that the candidate is willing to serve.

The Committee has also retained Mercer (US) Inc. (Mercer) to provide information, analyses, and objective advice regarding director compensation. The Committee directs Mercer to: (i) assist the Committee by providing competitive market information on the design of the director compensation program, (ii) advise the Committee on the design of the director compensation program and also provide advice on the administration of the program, and (iii) brief the Committee on director compensation trends among the Company s compensation peer group and broader industry. The chief executive officer is the Chairman of the Board and together with the other Board members considers the recommendations of the Committee. The decisions may reflect factors and considerations in addition to the information and advice provided by Mercer.

The Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee held three meetings in 2011.

Environment, Health and Safety Committee

The Environment, Health and Safety Committee, composed of four non-management Directors (currently Ms. Futter, Chair, Mr. Davis, Mr. Hennessy, and Ms. Piñero), provides advice and counsel to the Company s management on corporate environment, health and safety policies and on such other environment, health and safety matters as from time-to-time the Committee deems appropriate, reviews significant issues relating to the Company s environment, health and safety programs and its compliance with environment, health and safety laws and regulations, and makes such other reviews and recommends to the Board such other actions as it may deem necessary or desirable to help promote sound planning by the Company with due regard to the protection of the environment, health and safety. Additionally, the Committee oversees the Company s management of risks, relating to the Committee s duties and responsibilities that have been identified through the Company s enterprise risk management program. The Environment, Health and Safety Committee held four meetings in 2011.

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee, composed of Mr. Burke, the Chairman of the Board and of the Committee, and three non-management Directors (currently Mr. Calarco, Mr. Del Giudice, and Mr. McGrath), may exercise, during intervals between the meetings of the Board, all the powers vested in the Board, except for certain specified matters. No meetings of the Executive Committee were held in 2011.

Finance Committee

The Finance Committee, composed of four independent Directors (currently Mr. Sutherland, Chair, Mr. Davis, Mr. Hennessy, and Mr. Ranger), reviews and makes recommendations to the Board with respect to the Company s financial condition and policies, capital and operating budgets, financial forecasts, major contracts and real estate transactions, financings, investments, bank credit arrangements, its dividend policy, strategic business plan and litigation and other financial matters. Additionally, the Committee oversees the Company s management of risks, relating to

the Committee s duties and responsibilities that have been identified through the Company s enterprise risk management program. The Finance Committee held eight meetings in 2011.

20

Management Development and Compensation Committee

The Management Development and Compensation Committee, composed of four independent Directors (currently Dr. Campbell, Chair, Mr. Calarco, Mr. Del Giudice, and Mr. Killian), makes recommendations to the Board relating to officer and senior management appointments. The Committee also establishes and oversees the Company s executive compensation and benefit plans and policies, administers its equity plans and annual incentive plan and reviews and approves annually all compensation relating to the Named Executive Officers under the Company s compensation program. Additionally, the Committee oversees the Company s management of risks, relating to the Committee s duties and responsibilities that have been identified through the Company s enterprise risk management program.

The Committee has the authority, under its charter, to engage the services of outside advisors, experts and others to assist it. The Committee engages Mercer to provide information, analyses, and objective advice regarding executive compensation. The Committee directs Mercer to: (i) assist the Committee in the development and assessment of the compensation peer group for the purposes of providing competitive market information for the design of the compensation program, (ii) compare the Company's chief executive officer s base salary, annual incentive and long-term incentive compensation to that of the chief executive officers of the identified compensation peer group and broader industry, (iii) advise the Committee on the officers base salaries and target award levels within the annual and long-term incentive plans, (iv) advise the Committee on the design of the Company's annual and long-term incentive plans and also provide advice on the administration of the plans, (v) brief the Committee on executive compensation trends among the Company's compensation peer group and broader industry, and (vi) assist with the preparation of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis Report for this Proxy Statement. The Committee held six meetings in 2011, of which Mercer attended five.

For a discussion of the role of the Committee and information about the Company s processes and procedures for the consideration and determination of executive compensation, see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis Report beginning on page 28 of the Proxy Statement.

In addition, the Committee also reviews and makes recommendations as necessary to provide for orderly succession and transition in the executive management of the Company and receives reports and makes recommendations with respect to minority and female recruitment, employment and promotion. It also oversees and makes recommendations to the Board with respect to compliance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), and reviews and makes recommendations with respect to benefit plans and plan amendments, the selection of plan trustees and the funding policy and contributions to the funded plans, and reviews the performance of the funded plans. Each of the members of the Committee is independent as defined in the New York Stock Exchange s listing standards, meets the outside director criteria of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, and the Non-Employee Director criteria of Rule 16b-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Operations Oversight Committee

The Operations Oversight Committee, composed of five non-management Directors (currently Mr. McGrath, Chair, Dr. Campbell, Ms. Futter, Mr. Hennessy, and Mr. Killian), oversees the Company s operation of its electric, gas and steam delivery systems and their impact on the customer. Additionally, the Committee oversees the Company s management of risks, relating to the Committee s duties and responsibilities that have been identified through the Company s enterprise risk management program. The Operations Oversight Committee held five meetings in 2011.

Planning Committee

The Planning Committee, composed of five non-management Directors (currently Ms. Piñero, Chair, Mr. Davis, Ms. Futter, Mr. McGrath, and Mr. Ranger), reviews and makes recommendations to the Board

21

Table of Contents

regarding long-range planning for the Company. Additionally, the Committee oversees the Company s management of risks, relating to the Committee s duties and responsibilities that have been identified through the Company s enterprise risk management program. The Planning Committee held three meetings in 2011.

Compensation Consultant Fee Disclosure

The Management Development and Compensation Committee has retained Mercer, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Marsh & McLennan, to assist the Committee with its responsibilities related to the Company s executive and Director compensation programs. Mercer s fees for executive and Director compensation consulting to the Committee in 2011 were approximately \$235,000.

During 2011, the Company retained Marsh & McLennan affiliates to provide services, unrelated to executive compensation. These services were approved by the Company s management. The aggregate fees paid for these other services, which include litigation and economic consulting, and a corporate membership for safety professionals, were approximately \$467,000.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Mr. Calarco, Dr. Campbell (Chair), Mr. Del Giudice and Mr. Killian were on Con Edison s Management Development and Compensation Committee during 2011. The Company believes that there are no interlocks with the members who serve on this Committee.

Communications with the Board of Directors

Interested parties may communicate directly with the members of the Board of Directors, including the non-management Directors as a group, by writing to them, care of the Company s Vice President and Corporate Secretary, Con Edison, 4 Irving Place, New York, New York 10003. The Vice President and Corporate Secretary will forward all communications to the Director or the Directors indicated.

22

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Those members of the Board who are not employees of the Company or its subsidiaries were paid an annual retainer of \$75,000. The Lead Director received an additional annual retainer of \$20,000. Effective April 1, 2012, each non-employee member of the Board received an annual retainer of \$90,000 and the Lead Director received an additional annual retainer of \$35,000.

In 2011, the Chairs of the Environment, Health and Safety, Finance, Operations Oversight and Planning Committees each received an additional annual retainer of \$5,000. The Chairs of the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and Management Development and Compensation Committee each received an additional annual retainer of \$10,000. The Audit Committee Chair received an additional annual retainer of \$20,000, and each Audit Committee member received an additional annual retainer of \$10,000 and a fee of \$2,000 for each meeting of the Audit Committee attended. Members of the other Committees of the Board or of the Boards of its subsidiaries received a fee of \$1,500 for each meeting of a Committee attended. The Acting Chair of any Board Committee, at meetings where the regular Chair is absent, was paid an additional meeting fee of \$200 for any Committee meeting at which he or she presided.

In 2011, the Company reimbursed Board members who were not currently officers of the Company for reasonable expenses incurred in attending Board and Committee meetings. No person who served on both the Con Edison Board and on the Board of its subsidiary, Con Edison of New York, and corresponding Committees, was paid additional compensation for concurrent service. Members of the Board who were officers of the Company or its subsidiaries received no retainer or meeting fees for their service on the Board.

The Company has stock ownership guidelines for Directors under which each Director is to own shares with a value equal to three times the annual director retainer (not including committee and/or committee chair fees) paid to such Director during the previous fiscal year.

Members of the Board participate in the long term incentive plan. Pursuant to the long term incentive plan, each non-management Director was allocated an annual award of \$90,000 of deferred stock units following the 2011 Annual Meeting. Effective April 1, 2012, on the first business day following each annual meeting, each non-management Director will be allocated an annual award of \$105,000 of deferred stock units. If a non-management Director was first appointed to the Board after an annual meeting, his or her first annual award will be pro rated. Settlement of the 2011 annual awards of stock units were deferred until the Director's termination of service from the Board of Directors. Each Director may elect to defer all or a portion of his or her 2011 retainers and meeting fees into additional deferred stock units, which are deferred until the Director's termination of service. Dividend equivalents are payable on 2011 deferred stock units in the amount and at the time that dividends are paid on Company Common Stock and are credited in the form of additional deferred stock units which are fully vested as of the date the dividends would have been paid to the Director or, at the Director's option, are paid in cash. All payments on account of deferred stock units will be made in shares of Company Common Stock. The long term incentive plan provides that cash compensation deferred into stock units, the annual stock unit awards, and the dividend equivalents granted to non-management Directors that are credited in the form of additional deferred stock units, are fully vested, and payable in a single one-time payment of whole shares (rounded to the nearest whole share) within sixty days following separation from Board service.

Directors are eligible to participate in the stock purchase plan, which is described in Note M to the financial statements in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Director Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the compensation for the members of the Company s Board of Directors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

Director Compensation

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾ (\$)	ll Other pensation ⁽²⁾ (\$)	Total (\$)
Kevin Burke ⁽³⁾				
Vincent A. Calarco	\$ 130,000	\$ 90,000		\$ 220,000
George Campbell, Jr.	\$ 112,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 10,500	\$ 212,500
Gordon J. Davis	\$ 97,700	\$ 90,000	\$ 8,000	\$ 195,700
Michael J. Del Giudice	\$ 153,000	\$ 90,000		\$ 243,000
Ellen V. Futter	\$ 99,500	\$ 90,000	\$ $15,000^{(4)}$	\$ 204,500
John F. Hennessy III	\$ 103,500	\$ 90,000		\$ 193,500
John F. Killian	\$ 129,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 10,500	\$ 229,500
Eugene R. McGrath	\$ 95,000	\$ 90,000		\$ 185,000
Sally H. Piñero	\$ 95,000	\$ 90,000		\$ 185,000
Michael W. Ranger	\$ 97,500	\$ 90,000		\$ 187,500
L. Frederick Sutherland	\$ 122,000	\$ 90,000		\$ 212,000

Footnotes:

- (1) On May 17, 2011, each of the Directors, except Mr. Burke, received a grant of 1,687 stock units. The stock units were valued at \$53.35 per share and are the equivalent of \$90,000. The stock units are fully vested at the time of grant. Pursuant to the Company s long term incentive plan, and as indicated in Note M to the financial statements in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, the stock units are valued in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718.
- (2) This column includes matching contributions made by the Company to qualified educational institutions under its matching gift program. All directors and employees are eligible to participate in this program. The Company matches up to a total of \$10,500 per eligible participant to qualified educational institutions per calendar year. Gifts of up to \$3,000 are matched by the Company on a two-for-one basis and gifts that are greater than \$3,000 are matched by the Company on a one-for-one basis (up to the \$7,500 maximum).
- (3) Mr. Burke did not receive any director compensation because he is an officer of the Company and only non-management Directors receive director compensation.
- (4) Includes amounts matched by the Company in 2010 and paid in 2011.

24

BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES

Directors and Executive Officers

The following table includes information, as of February 29, 2012, with respect to the beneficial ownership of shares of the Company by each Director, each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table, and by all Directors and executive officers of the Company as a group. Each executive officer and member of the Board held his or her shares with sole voting power and sole investment power, except for the restricted stock units and deferred stock units (the holders of which have no voting rights or investment power) and shares as to which voting power, or investment power, or both, were shared with a spouse or a relative of such person. As of February 29, 2012, such ownership was, in each case, less than one percent of the outstanding 292,931,898 shares.

	Amount and Nature of		
Beneficial Owner	Beneficial Ownership ⁽¹⁾	Other Stock- Based Holdings ⁽²⁾	Total Number of Shares
Vincent A. Calarco	19,166	Dasca Holanigs	19,166
George Campbell, Jr.	20,290	7,113	27,403
Gordon J. Davis	24,165	.,	24,165
Michael J. Del Giudice	28,841		28,841
Ellen V. Futter	15,567	6,000	21,567
John F. Hennessy III	6,028		6,028
John F. Killian	3,360	4,829	8,189
Eugene R. McGrath	60,696		60,696
Sally H. Piñero	24,806	1,500	26,306
Michael W. Ranger	16,505		16,505
L. Frederick Sutherland	20,537		20,537
Kevin Burke	231,479	25,936	257,415
Robert Hoglund	19,116	15,000	34,116
Craig Ivey	7,853		7,853
William Longhi	24,264		24,264
Elizabeth D. Moore	1,331		1,331
Directors and Executive Officers as a group, including			
the above-named persons			
(22 persons)	626,424	65,956	692,380

Footnotes:

⁽¹⁾ Includes for the Directors, stock units that were deferred until the respective Director's separation from the Board of Directors (DSUs): Mr. Calarco 18,766; Dr. Campbell 15,015; Mr. Davis 24,163; Mr. Del Giudice 27,324; Ms. Futter 13,621; Mr. Hennessy 6,028; Mr. Killian 3,360; Mr. McGrath 13,170; Ms. Piñero 24,468; Mr. Ranger 16,505; and Mr. Sutherland 16,537. Includes for the Named Executive Officers vested restricted stock units the receipt of which the officer deferred until the officer's separation from the Company, for: Mr. Burke restricted stock units: 142,528; Mr. Hoglund restricted stock units: 15,000; Mr. Ivey restricted stock units: 0; Mr. Longhi restricted stock units: 13,532; and Ms. Moore restricted stock units: 0. These officers had no other restricted stock units that were to vest, and no exercisable or unexercisable stock options that were to become exercisable, within 60 days of February 29, 2012.

⁽²⁾ Represents shares, stock units or DSUs that have been deferred more than 60 days after February 29, 2012.

The following table provides, as of December 31, 2011, information with respect to persons who are known to the Company to beneficially own more than five percent of Company Common Stock:

Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class
18,445,649 ⁽¹⁾	6.3%
16 119 297 ⁽²⁾	5.5%
	Common Stock Beneficially Owned

40 East 52nd Street

New York, NY 10022

Footnotes:

- (1) State Street Corporation stated in its Schedule 13G, filed on February 9, 2012 with the Securities and Exchange Commission, that it has shared voting and dispositive power for 18,445,649 shares of Company Common Stock.
- (2) BlackRock, Inc. stated in its Schedule 13G, filed on February 9, 2012 with the Securities and Exchange Commission, that it has sole voting and dispositive power for 16,119,297 shares of Company Common Stock.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Directors and executive officers of the Company to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership of the equity securities of the Company and its subsidiaries with the Securities and Exchange Commission and to furnish copies of these reports to the Company, within specified time limits. Based upon its review of the reports furnished to the Company for 2011 pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Act, the Company believes that all of the reports were filed on a timely basis, except for one report, which was filed late: for Michael W. Ranger (Director), relating to 371 deferred stock units acquired pursuant to a voluntary deferral of meeting and retainer fees on December 30, 2011.

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Company s Audit Committee consisted of four independent Directors in 2011. Each member of the Audit Committee meets the qualifications required by the New York Stock Exchange and Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the audited financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2011. The Audit Committee has also discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PwC), the Company s independent public accountants, the matters required to be discussed under the rules adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (PCAOB).

The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from PwC required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB regarding PwC s communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence. The Audit Committee has discussed with PwC its independence and qualifications. The Audit Committee also has considered whether the provision of limited non-audit services to the Company is compatible with the independence of PwC and concluded that it was.

Based on the Audit Committee s review and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Company s audited financial statements be included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Audit Committee:

Vincent A. Calarco (Chair)

Michael J. Del Giudice

John F. Killian

L. Frederick Sutherland

26

Fees Paid to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Fees paid or payable to PwC for services related to 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

	2011	2010
Audit Fees	\$ 4,016,555	\$ 4,523,348
Audit-Related Fees ^(a)	\$ 727,446	\$ 71,823
Tax Fees	\$	\$
All Other Fees	\$	\$
TOTAL FEES	\$ 4,744,001	\$ 4,595,171

Footnote:

(a) Relates to assurance and related service fees that are reasonably related to the performance of the annual audit or quarterly reviews of the Company s financial statements that are not specifically deemed Audit Services. The major items included in Audit-Related Fees in 2011 are fees for a review of the Company s implementation of a new financial and supply-chain enterprise resource planning system, and for compliance audits of certain smart electric grid projects for which the Company is receiving grants from the U.S. Department of Energy, and in 2010 fees for a review of the Company s enterprise risk management program.

The Audit Committee, or as delegated by the Audit Committee, the Chair of the Committee, approves in advance each auditing service and non-audit service permitted by applicable laws and regulations, including tax services, to be provided to the Company and its subsidiaries by its independent accountants.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION REPORT OF THE MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT AND

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

The Management Development and Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company (the Committee) is composed of four independent Directors. The Committee establishes, reviews and administers the Company s executive compensation program for the Chief Executive Officer and the other members of senior management, including the Named Executive Officers listed on the Summary Compensation Table, and determines the compensation of such officers.

The Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis Report (the CD&A) for 2011 with management of the Company. Based on this review and discussion, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the CD&A be included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and this Proxy Statement.

Management Development and Compensation Committee:

George Campbell, Jr. (Chair)

Vincent A. Calarco

Michael J. Del Giudice

John F. Killian

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS REPORT

Introduction

This section of the proxy statement provides management s discussion and analysis of the Company s 2011 executive compensation program (the compensation program). The compensation program covers the Company s officers, including the Named Executive Officers. The discussion and analysis addresses:

- I. Executive summary of the compensation program;
- II. Compensation program overview, philosophy and objectives;
- III. Role of the Committee and others in determining executive compensation;

IV. Committee actions with respect to executive compensation;
V. Retirement and other benefits;
VI. Stock ownership guidelines;
VII. Recoupment policy; and
VIII. Tax deductibility of pay.

I. Executive Summary of the Compensation Program

The Company s compensation program is designed to assist in attracting and retaining key executives critical to the Company s long-term success, and to motivate these executives to create value for its stockholders and to provide safe, reliable, and efficient service for its customers. The Committee seeks to provide base salary, and performance-based variable compensation, including target annual cash incentive compensation and target long-term equity-based incentive compensation, that is competitive with the median level of compensation provided by the Company s compensation peer group (see Compensation Peer Group on page 32).

The Committee believes that variable compensation should represent the most significant portion of each Named Executive Officer starget total direct compensation to motivate strong annual and multi-year Company performance. Additionally, the Committee believes that most of the variable compensation should be in the form of long-term, rather than annual incentives, to emphasize the importance of sustained Company performance. Each year, the Committee evaluates the level of compensation and the mix of base and variable compensation of

28

Table of Contents

each Named Executive Officer to ensure that it meets the Committee s objectives and is competitive with levels of compensation of the compensation peer group.

Key features of the compensation program include:

Base salary: recognizes individual responsibility and performance.

Variable compensation:

- Annual incentive compensation: recognizes achievement of financial and operating objectives for which the Named Executive Officers have individual and collective responsibility.
- Long-term incentive compensation: recognizes achievement, over a three-year period, of financial and operating objectives critical to the performance of the Company s business and its total shareholder return relative to its compensation peer group.

The following governance features assist in aligning the compensation program with the long-term interests of the Company s stockholders:

The Committee retains a compensation consultant to provide information, analyses, and objective advice regarding executive compensation, and to annually evaluate the Company s compensation-related risk management (see Compensation Policies and Practices as They Relate to the Company s Risk Management on page 43);

The Company s compensation recoupment policy applies to all officers of the Company and its subsidiaries, and is intended to reduce potential risks associated with our compensation program, and align the long-term interests of the officers and stockholders (see Recoupment Policy on page 42); and

Stock ownership guidelines for the Named Executive Officers and certain senior officers encourage a long-term commitment to the Company s sustained performance and align the interests of the senior officers with stockholders (see Stock Ownership Guidelines on page 42).

In 2011, the Company held a say-on-pay vote to approve the Company s Named Executive Officer compensation, as set forth in the 2011 proxy statement, and 92.04% of the shares voted were voted for the proposal. The Committee considered the results of the vote and continued to apply the same compensation program, philosophy and objectives in determining the amounts and types of Named Executive Officer compensation. In 2011, the Company also held a frequency-of-say-on-pay vote. The Company s stockholders indicated a preference for annual say-on-pay votes to approve the Company s named executive officer compensation, with 98.22% of the shares voted voting for the proposal. The Company will follow that preference and conduct annual say-on-pay votes.

II. Compensation Program Overview, Philosophy and Objectives

A. Compensation Program Overview

The compensation program consists of the following components:
Base salary;
Annual incentive compensation;
Long-term incentive compensation;
Retirement and welfare benefits; and
Perquisites and personal benefits.
The Company s Named Executive Officers are:
Kevin Burke, Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer;
Robert Hoglund, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer;
29

Craig Ivey, President of Con Edison of New York;

William Longhi, President and Chief Executive Officer, Orange & Rockland; and

Elizabeth D. Moore, General Counsel.

B. Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

The Committee has established the following philosophy and objectives to govern the development and implementation of the Company s compensation program. There are no material differences in the Company s compensation policies for each Named Executive Officer.

(i) Competitive Positioning Attraction and Retention

The Company s compensation program is designed to assist in attracting and retaining key executives critical to the Company s long-term success. The Committee seeks to provide base salary, target annual incentive awards, and target long-term incentive award values that are competitive with levels of compensation provided by the Company s compensation peer group. The Company also seeks to provide retirement and welfare benefits, perquisites, and personal benefits that are competitive with those provided by the compensation peer group.

In 2011, the Named Executive Officers target total direct compensation compared to the Company s compensation peer group median was as follows:

Company Target Compensation as a Percentage of **Peer Group Median Target Target Total** Cash Compensation **Target** (Base Salary + Long-Term **Target Total Direct Target** Incentive **Principal Position Base Salary Annual Incentive)** Compensation Compensation Chief Executive Officer 97% 94% 90% 89% Other Named Executive Officers (Average)(1) 95% 89% 83% 83%

Footnote:

(1) Based on comparisons to compensation for functionally comparable positions at the Company's compensation peer group companies for the positions held by the chief financial officer, the president of Con Edison of New York, the president and chief executive officer of Orange & Rockland, and the general counsel.

(ii) Pay-Performance Alignment and Target Total Direct Compensation Mix

The Company s compensation program is designed to motivate its officers to create value for its stockholders and provide safe, reliable and efficient service for its customers. The Committee seeks to ensure that the target total direct compensation of each Named Executive Officer is balanced appropriately between base salary (fixed compensation) and annual incentive and long-term incentive compensation (variable compensation). The Committee believes that fixed compensation should recognize each Named Executive Officer s individual responsibility and performance. The Committee believes that variable compensation should represent the most significant portion of each Named Executive Officer s target total direct compensation to motivate strong annual and multi-year performance. The Committee also believes that most of the total variable compensation targeted to each Named Executive Officer should be in the form of long-term, rather than annual, incentives, to emphasize the importance of sustained Company performance. The target annual incentive and target long-term incentive grants made to each Named Executive Officer by the Committee reflect the Committee s desired balance between these elements, relative to the base salary paid to each executive. Awards under the Company s annual incentive plan are based on achieving financial and operating objectives critical to the performance of the Company s businesses. Awards of performance restricted stock units under the Company s long-term incentive plan are based on achieving financial and operating objectives and the Company s total shareholder return relative to the total shareholder return for the Company s compensation peer group over a three-year period.

Table of Contents

As shown below for 2011, the mix of target total direct compensation for the Named Executive Officers meets the Committee s objectives: each is weighted heavily towards performance-based variable compensation, with the largest portion delivered in long-term incentives. As each element of compensation for the chief executive officer and the other Named Executive Officers as a group is set at median levels for chief executive officers and other named executive officers (based on comparisons to compensation for functionally comparable positions) of the compensation peer group, we believe the target total direct compensation mix of the Named Executive Officers is in line with that of the compensation peer group.

The following charts illustrate the average mix of target total direct compensation for the chief executive officer and for chief executive officers in our compensation peer group for 2011:

The following charts illustrate the average mix of target total direct compensation for the other Named Executive Officers and other named executive officers (based on comparisons to compensation for functionally comparable positions) in our compensation peer group for 2011:

III. Role of the Committee and Others in Determining Executive Compensation

A. Committee s Role

The role of the Committee is to establish and oversee the Company s executive compensation and benefit plans and policies, administer its equity plans and annual incentive plan and review and approve annually all compensation relating to the Named Executive Officers. All of the decisions with respect to determining the amount or form of compensation of the Named Executive Officers under the Company s compensation program are made by the Committee.

B. Management s Role

The role of the Company s chief executive officer with respect to determining the amount or form of the compensation of the other Named Executive Officers is to provide his recommendations to the Committee. The

31

Table of Contents

chief executive of	officer is not	present when the	Committee	determines his	compensation.	The chief	executive	officer of	considers	the foll	owing in
making his recor	mmendations	S:									

Individual performance of the other Named Executive Officers;

The other Named Executive Officer s contribution towards the Company s long-term performance;

The scope of their individual responsibilities; and

Compensation peer group proxy data provided by the Committee s compensation consultant.

The Company s Human Resources department also supports the Committee in its work.

C. Compensation Consultant s Role

The Committee has the authority under its charter to hire advisors to assist it in its compensation decisions. It has retained Mercer as its compensation consultant to provide information, analyses, and objective advice regarding executive compensation. The Committee periodically meets with Mercer in executive session to discuss compensation matters. The Committee s decisions reflect factors and considerations in addition to the information and advice provided by Mercer. A discussion of Mercer s role as the Committee s compensation consultant is set forth in the section titled Standing Committees of the Board on pages 19 to 22.

IV. Committee Actions with Respect to Executive Compensation

A. Compensation Peer Group

For 2011, the Committee used a compensation peer group of 20 publicly-traded utility companies of comparable size and scope to that of the Company. The companies in the compensation peer group have revenues that range from approximately 50 percent below to approximately 30 percent above the revenues of the Company. The purpose of the compensation peer group, which is reviewed annually by the Committee, is to provide benchmark information on compensation levels provided to the Company s officers, as well as measuring relative total shareholder returns for the vesting of performance restricted stock unit awards.

For 2011, the Company s compensation peer group consisted of the following companies:

American Electric Power Company, Inc.

FirstEnergy Corp. NextEra Energy, Inc.

CenterPoint Energy, Inc.
Constellation Energy Group, Inc.
Dominion Resources, Inc.
DTE Energy Company
Duke Energy Corporation
Edison International
Entergy Corporation
Exelon Corporation

NiSource Inc.
Pepco Holdings, Inc.
PG&E Corporation
PPL Corporation
Progress Energy, Inc.
Sempra Energy
The Southern Company
Xcel Energy Inc.

B. Base Salary

A portion of each officer s annual cash compensation is paid in the form of base salary. Base salary is reviewed on an annual basis to recognize individual performance, as well as at the time of a promotion or other change in responsibilities.

In setting base salary for the Company s Named Executive Officers, including the chief executive officer, the Committee considers various factors, including:

Recommendations from the chief executive officer for the other Named Executive Officers;

32

Table of Contents

A general assessment of each Named Executive Officer s performance of his or her responsibilities; and

The level of base salary compared to executives holding equivalent positions in the Company s compensation peer group.

Effective March 1, 2011, base salary merit increases for the Named Executive Officers as a group increased by an average of 3.7 percent to align the Named Executive Officers base salaries with the market median for equivalent positions in the Company's compensation peer group. The 2011 base salary of each Named Executive Officer is set forth in the Salary column of the Summary Compensation Table on page 44.

C. Annual Incentive Compensation

(i) Awards

A significant portion of the annual cash compensation paid to the officers is directly related to the Company s financial and operating performance, factors that the Committee believes influence stockholder value.

Individual performance is taken into consideration in setting annual incentive compensation through the establishment by the Committee of financial and operating objectives for which the Named Executive Officers have individual and collective responsibility.

(ii) Potential Awards

For 2011, the Committee set the range of the award that each Named Executive Officer was eligible to receive under the annual incentive plan after considering various factors, including:

Recommendations from the chief executive officer for the other Named Executive Officers;

A general assessment of each Named Executive Officer s performance of his or her responsibilities; and

The level of annual incentive compensation compared to executives holding functionally comparable positions in the Company s compensation peer group.

The range of awards included threshold, target and maximum levels reflecting differing levels of achievement of the various financial and operating objectives. Awards are scaled to reflect relative levels of achievement of the objectives between the threshold, target and maximum levels. The range of each Named Executive Officer s potential award is set forth on the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 45. Awards under the annual incentive plan are designed to provide a competitive level of compensation if the officers achieve the target financial and operating objectives. Over the past three years, the aggregate actual awards to the Named Executive Officers ranged from 105.5 percent to 156.7 percent of aggregate target annual incentive awards. Pursuant to the terms of the annual incentive plan, the Committee has the discretion to

adjust (upward or downward) the annual incentive awards to be paid to an officer.

Awards under the annual incentive plan are calculated as follows:

Base Salary X Target Percentage X Weighting Earned

Base Salary is the annual base salary of the officer as of the end of the year to which the annual incentive award relates, and is determined as discussed under the caption Base Salary on pages 32 to 33.

Target Percentage is a percentage of base salary that varies based on an officer s position. The chief executive officer s target is 100 percent; the target for the president of Con Edison of New York and the president and chief executive officer of Orange & Rockland is 80 percent; and the target for the chief financial officer and the general counsel is 50 percent.

33

Weighting Earned is the sum of the weightings earned for the financial and operating objectives. For each officer, target weightings totaling 100 percent are assigned to each of the officer s objectives. Weightings earned reflect achievement of the objectives and may vary from zero to 200 percent. If actual results are between performance targets, weightings earned are interpolated.

(iii) Financial Objectives

The financial objectives under the annual incentive plan were selected as those most indicative of the Company s success during the year. For 2011, the financial objectives consisted of adjusted net income and other financial performance components.

The *adjusted net income* component, reflecting the financial results of the Company s business for which its officers are responsible and accounting for 50 percent of each Named Executive Officer s potential annual incentive award, was: the adjusted Company net income, for the chief executive officer, the chief financial officer and the general counsel; the adjusted regulated net income (the total adjusted net income for Con Edison of New York and Orange & Rockland) for the president of Con Edison of New York; and, 70 percent of Orange & Rockland s adjusted net income and 30 percent of Con Edison of New York s adjusted net income for the president and chief executive officer of Orange & Rockland. Adjusted net income excludes the net mark-to-market effects of the Company s competitive energy businesses and any extraordinary non-recurring items identified by the Company after the performance target had been determined. No non-recurring items were excluded from adjusted net income with respect to the 2011 annual incentive awards.

2011 target adjusted net income and actual adjusted net income were:

	Target (in mi	Actual llions)	Performance Relative to Targets
Adjusted Company net income	\$ 1,037.6	\$ 1,064.1	102.6%
Adjusted Con Edison of New York net income	\$ 962.3	\$ 978.1	101.6%
Adjusted Orange & Rockland net income	\$ 46.5	\$ 53.2	114.4%
Adjusted Regulated net income	\$ 1,008.8	\$ 1,031.3	102.2%

If actual adjusted net income for 2011 had been less than or equal to 90 percent of the target adjusted net income, no annual incentive awards would have been made.

The weightings earned for the 50 percent adjusted net income component were determined based upon the following scale:

Performance Relative

to Targets	Weighting Earned
³ 110%	100%
107.5%	87.5%
105%	75.0%
102.5%	62.5%

(Target) 100%	50.0%
97.5%	37.5%
95%	25.0%
< 92.5%	12.5%
£ 90%	0%

The *other financial performance* component, reflecting the responsibilities of the Named Executive Officer and accounting for 20 percent of each Named Executive Officer s potential annual incentive award, as

shown on the Achievement of 2011 Financial and Operating Objectives table on page 37, was comprised of one or more of the Con Edison of New York and Orange & Rockland budgets, or objectives for the competitive energy businesses relating to operations and maintenance expense, capital expenditures, dividend payout and value at risk exposure. Effective January 1, 2011, the Committee changed Con Edison of New York s other financial performance component from 20 percent operating budget performance to 10 percent capital budget performance and 10 percent operating budget performance, subject to a 25 percent upward or downward adjustment based on certain performance criteria.

2011 target budgets and actual expenditures were:

	Target	Actual	Weightings	Performance Relative to Targets
	(in mil	nons)		
Con Edison of New York				
Operating Budget	\$ 1,316.5	\$ 1,265.5	10%	96.1%
Capital Budget	\$ 1,799.0	\$ 1,737.0	10%	96.6%
Orange & Rockland				
Operating Budget	\$ 204.4	\$ 192.7	20%	94.3%

Weightings earned for the other financial performance component are based on the Company s business for which each Named Executive Officer is responsible. For the chief executive officer, chief financial officer, and the general counsel other financial performance weighting earned is allocated 10 percent for Con Edison of New York s capital budget, eight percent for Con Edison of New York s operating budget, one percent for Orange & Rockland s operating budget, and one percent for the competitive energy businesses objectives. For the president of Con Edison of New York, other financial performance weighting earned is 10 percent for each of Con Edison of New York s operating budget and capital budget. For the president and chief executive officer of Orange & Rockland, other financial performance weighting earned is 20 percent for Orange & Rockland s operating budget.

The weightings earned for Con Edison of New York s and Orange & Rockland s other financial performance component were determined based upon the following scales:

Performance Relative to the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Weighting Earned for Financial Officer, and the the President of	Con Edison of New York	Weighting Earned for	
Operating Budget Target General Counsel £93.75% Con Edison of New York 20%	Performance Relative to	the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, the Chief	8 8
	Operating Budget Target	,	Con Edison of New York
95.00% 14.4% 18%	£93.75%	16.0%	20%
	95.00%	14.4%	18%
96.25% 12.8% 16%	96.25%	12.8%	16%
97.50% 11.2% 14%	97.50%	11.2%	14%
98.75% 9.6% 12%	98.75%	9.6%	12%
(Target) 100% 8.0% 10%	(Target) 100%	8.0%	10%
101.25% 6.4% 8%	101.25%	6.4%	8%
102.50% 4.8% 6%	102.50%	4.8%	6%
103.75% 3.2%	103.75%	3.2%	4%
105.00% 1.6% 2%	105.00%	1.6%	2%
3106.25% 0%	3106.25%	0%	0%

Con Edison of New York Weighting Earned for the Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer,

Performance Relative to the Chief Financial Officer, the President of Con Edison of New York, and the **Capital Budget Target General Counsel** $\pounds 95.00\%$ 20% 96.00% 18% 97.00% 16% 98.00% 14% 99.00% 12% (Target) 100% 10%101.00% 8% 102.00% 6% 103.00% 4% 104.00% 2%

0%

Orange & Rockland

3105.00%

Weighting Earned for the Chairman, President and Chief	Weighting Earned
Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, and the General Counsel	for the President and Chief Executive Officer of Orange & Rockland
2.0%	40%
1.8%	36%
1.6%	32%
1.4%	28%
1.2%	24%
1.0%	20%
0.8%	16%
0.6%	12%
0.4%	8%
0.2%	4%
0%	0%
	Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, and the General Counsel 2.0% 1.8% 1.6% 1.4% 1.2% 1.0% 0.8% 0.6% 0.4% 0.2%

(iv) Operating Objectives

The operating objectives, reflecting the responsibilities of the Named Executive Officers and accounting for 30 percent of each Named Executive Officer s potential annual incentive award, were designed to encourage sustained or improved performance regarding specific matters that are important to day-to-day operations of the Company s businesses. There were numerous objectives for:

Employee safety;

Electric, gas and steam system performance;

Customer service;

Environmental performance; and

Employee development.

For the competitive energy businesses, there were other objectives including gross margins, retail sales and collections, and financial, regulatory controls, and business development goals.

The operating objectives chosen represent a number of key safety and performance indicators that guide the Company to serve its customers in a safe, effective, and efficient manner. These measures are recognized across the Company s compensation peer group and industry.

36

(v) Achievement of 2011 Financial and Operating Objectives

The following table shows, for each Named Executive Officer, the target weightings assigned to the financial and operating objectives and the weightings earned based on achievement of those objectives. The weightings of the Company s subsidiaries for the chief executive officer, the chief financial officer, the president of Con Edison of New York, the president and chief executive officer of Orange & Rockland, and the general counsel were based on each subsidiary s relative contribution to the Company s net income.

	Chief Executive Officer		Chief Financial Officer		President of Con Edison of New York		President and Chief Executive Officer of Orange & Rockland		General Counsel	
Objectives	Target	Earned	Target	Earned	Target	Earned	Target	Earned	Target	Earned
Net Income										
Adjusted net income	50%	62.8%	50%	62.8%	50%	61.2%	50%	87.5%	50%	62.8%
Other Financial										
Con Edison of New York ⁽¹⁾										
Operating Budget	8%	14.9%	8%	14.9%	10%	18.6%			8%	14.9%
Capital Budget	10%	19.8%	10%	19.8%	10%	19.8%			10%	19.8%
Orange & Rockland										
Operating Budget	1%	1.9%	1%	1.9%			20%	38.3%	1%	1.9%
Competitive Energy Businesses	1%									