

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

SONO TEK CORP
Form 10KSB
May 26, 2006

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-KSB

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Fiscal Year ended: February 28, 2006

Commission File Number: 0-16035

CORPORATION
(Name of Small Business Issuer in its Charter)

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| NEW YORK (State or other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) | 14-1568099 (IRS Employer Identification Number) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|

| | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 2012 Route 9W, Milton, New York (Address of Principal Executive Offices) | 12547 (Zip Code) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (845) 795-2020

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock, \$.01 par value
(Title of Class)

Check whether the Issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-B is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO

The Issuer had revenues of \$6,871,069 for Fiscal Year ended February 28, 2006.

As of May 1, 2006 the aggregate market value of the Registrant's Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was approximately \$20,630,447 computed by reference to the average of the bid and asked prices of the Common Stock on said date, which average was \$1.75.

The Registrant had 14,449,828 shares of Common Stock outstanding as of May 1, 2006.

PART I

ITEM 1 BUSINESS

Organization and Business

Sono-Tek Corporation (the "Company", "Sono-Tek", "We" or "Our") was incorporated in New York on March 21, 1975 for the purpose of engaging in the development, manufacture and sale of ultrasonic liquid atomizing nozzles. Ultrasonic nozzle systems atomize low to medium viscosity liquids by converting electrical energy into mechanical motion in the form of high frequency ultrasonic vibrations that break liquids into minute drops that can be applied to surfaces at low velocity.

We operate in one business segment, spraying systems. The spraying systems business has had periods of sales growth and financial stability, but has had sales declines when the electronics industry, a principal market for our products, has had downturns due to lower levels of printed circuit boards being made. To offset this, we have diversified our product offerings to provide coating systems to medical device manufacturers, to provide spray drying systems for nanotechnology applications, and to provide wide area industrial precision coating equipment, including the manufacture of float glass.

Product Development

We have core technology and have developed the following products that have expanded our market opportunities:

1. SonoFlux 2000F - spray fluxer product - designed for high volume operations with standard width lines requiring low maintenance using a variety of solder fluxes, including rosin flux. It is designed to be used by electronic circuit board manufacturers to apply solder flux to fixed width circuit boards. The major customers for the SonoFlux 2000F are original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) that produce their own electronic circuit boards.
2. SonoFlux 2000FP and SonoFlux XL - spray fluxer product - apply solder flux to electronic printed circuit boards that vary from two inches to up to 24 inches in width in a cost-effective and uniform manner. They are designed to be used by either OEMs or contract manufacturers of electronic circuit assemblies.
3. MediCoat for stent coating - A table-top, fully-contained system designed to apply thin layers of polymer and drug coatings to arterial stents with high precision. The system incorporates motion control of the stent during the coating process and produces coatings having excellent uniformity. The MediCoat uses either the Accumist or MicroMist nozzle systems, which are precision nozzle configurations used in applications where precise patterns of lines and dots are required.

4. WideTrack - Wide area modular coating system - One module can cover substrates up to 24 inches wide. Much greater widths can be achieved by linking modules together. It uses non-clogging ultrasonic atomizing nozzles to produce a low velocity, highly controllable spray. It is designed to be used in applications that require

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

efficient web-coating or wide area spraying capability. The Widetrack System offers significant advantages over conventional pressure-spray methods in a broad range of applications such as non-woven fabrics, float glass, or odd-shaped industrial or consumer products. Waste is greatly reduced, since the ultrasonic spray can be easily controlled.

5. SonoDry - Spray drying nozzle for nanotechnology, pharmaceutical, ceramics, and other applications. It is incorporated into a laboratory sized spray dryer and marketed to research institutions and small volume producers of spray-dried materials.

Other Product Offerings

We have an exclusive distribution relationship with EVS International. Ltd. ("EVS"), a U.K. Company, to distribute EVS's line of solder recovery systems and spares parts. The territory for this distribution relationship is the United States and Canada. EVS manufactures the EVS6000 and the EVS3000 solder recovery systems which are used to reclaim solder from the dross which accumulates in the wave-solder equipment of circuit board manufacturers. The customer base for distribution of these systems is synergistic with Sono-Tek's existing customer base for spray fluxer sales. Sales of EVS products were approximately 6% of our total revenues for the current fiscal year ended February 28, 2006. We plan to continue the distribution of EVS's products.

We believe that our long-term growth and stability is linked to the development and release of products that provide solutions to customer needs across a wide spectrum of industries, while advancing the utility of our core technology. We expended approximately 9.4% and 8.9% of our total revenues for Fiscal Years 2006 and 2005, respectively, on new engineering and product development.

Manufacturing

We purchase circuit board assemblies and sheet metal components from outside suppliers. These materials are available from a wide range of suppliers throughout the world. All raw materials used in our products are readily available from many different domestic suppliers. We provide a limited warranty on all of our products covering parts and labor for a period of one year from the date of sale. We have a business and quality control system that meets the qualifications of ISO 9001/2000. We were ISO 9001 registered in September 1998 and we have been recertified annually since then.

3

Patents and Licenses

Our business is based in part on the technology covered by our United States patents. We have an unexpired patent on our ultrasonic nozzle designs which will expire in December 2007. We have recently applied for a patent covering a new design for our entire line of nozzle systems. In addition, we rely on unpatented know-how in the production of our nozzle systems. We have executed non-disclosure, non-compete agreements with all of our employees to safeguard our intellectual property. We execute reciprocal non-disclosure agreements with our key customers to safeguard any jointly developed know-how. We also have an exclusive license from Cornell University for a patented vacuum deposition system using our ultrasonic nozzles.

Marketing and Distribution

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

Our products are marketed and distributed through independent sales representatives or sales representative companies, OEMs and through an in-house direct sales force. Many of our sales leads are generated from our Internet web site and from attendance at major industry trade shows.

Competition

We operate in competitive markets in the electronics industry. We compete against global and regional electronics manufacturers based on price, quality, product features and follow up service. We maintain our competitive position by providing highly effective solutions that meet our customers' requirements and needs. In other markets, there is limited competition based on the uniqueness of the ultrasonic technology in these applications.

Significant Customers

Two customers accounted for 6.4% and 6%, of our sales for Fiscal Year ended February 28, 2006.

Foreign and Export Sales

During Fiscal Years 2006 and 2005, sales to foreign customers accounted for approximately \$3,037,000 and \$2,393,000, or 44% and 41% respectively, of total revenues.

Employees

As of February 28, 2006, we employed thirty-seven full-time employees and six part-time employees. We believe that relations with our employees are generally good.

4

Available Information

The public may read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20549. Information on the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file with the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Our Annual Reports on Form 10-KSB, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-QSB, Current Reports on Form 8-K, proxy statements and amendments to those reports, are also available free of charge on our internet website at <http://www.sono-tek.com> as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

ITEM 2 PROPERTIES

Our offices, product development, manufacturing and assembly facilities are located in Milton, New York. We presently lease a 13,000 square foot building on a month to month basis. Our current manufacturing areas consist of (i) a machine shop, (ii) a nozzle assembly/test area, (iii) an electronics assembly area, and (iv) a receiving and shipping area.

Due to the expiration of our lease on November 30, 2005 and our manufacturing growth, we are presently reviewing our facility requirements. We are considering

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

the construction of a new facility, leasing a larger facility or the purchase of a larger facility. The relationship with our present property owner is good and he is aware of our facility needs.

We also lease a storage building in Milton, New York, consisting of 2,400 square feet. The lease for the storage building expires October 31, 2006.

ITEM 3 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS - None.

ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

5

PART II

ITEM 5 MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDERS MATTERS AND SMALL BUSINESS ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

- (a) Our Common Stock trades in the over-the-counter market on the OTC Bulletin Board. The following table sets forth the range of high and low closing bid quotations for our Common Stock for the periods indicated.

| | YEAR ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2006 | | YEAR ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2005 | |
|----------------|------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|
| | HIGH | LOW | HIGH | LOW |
| First Quarter | \$2.92 | \$1.90 | \$1.65 | \$0.60 |
| Second Quarter | 2.55 | 2.07 | 1.26 | 0.94 |
| Third Quarter | 2.32 | 1.27 | 2.14 | 1.15 |
| Fourth Quarter | 2.15 | 1.20 | 3.00 | 2.10 |

The above quotations are believed to represent inter-dealer quotations without retail markups, markdowns or commissions and may not represent actual transactions. We believe that, although limited or sporadic quotations exist, there is no established public trading market for our Common Stock.

- (b) As of May 1, 2006, there were 294 shareholders of record of our Common Stock, according to our stock transfer agent. We estimate that there are between 1,000 and 1,400 total shareholders. The difference between the shareholders of record and the total shareholders, is due to stock being held in street names at our transfer agent.
- (c) We have not paid any cash dividends on our Common Stock since inception. We intend to retain earnings, if any, for use in our business and for other corporate purposes.
- (d) Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities - On May 3, 2005, we sold 125,000 shares of our common stock at \$2.30 per share and issued a warrant to purchase an additional 25,000 shares of common stock at \$2.45 per share to an institutional investor in a private placement. On May 9, 2005, a warrant for 50,000 shares was exercised for \$1.00 per share. On May 11, 2005 and January 4, 2006, two warrants for a total of 285,714 shares of our common stock were exercised at \$1.75 per share by Empire State Development Corporation, Small Business Technology Investment Fund. These

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

securities were offered and sold to "accredited investors" as defined in Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, in reliance on the exemption from the registration requirements under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 and/or Rule 506 of Regulation D.

6

ITEM 6 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

We discuss expectations regarding our future performance, such as our business outlook, in our annual and quarterly reports, press releases, and other written and oral statements. These "forward-looking statements" are based on currently available competitive, financial and economic data and our operating plans. They are inherently uncertain, and investors must recognize that events could turn out to be significantly different from our expectations. The following risks are by no means all inclusive but are designed to highlight what we believe are important factors to consider when evaluating our trends and future results.

- Our ability to respond to competition in national and global markets.
- General economic conditions in our markets.

We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement.

Overview

Sono-Tek has developed a unique and proprietary series of ultrasonic atomizing nozzles, which are being used in an increasing variety of electronic, medical, industrial, and nanotechnology applications. These nozzles are electrically driven and create a fine, uniform, low velocity spray of atomized liquid particles, in contrast to common pressure nozzles. These characteristics create a series of commercial applications that benefit from the precise, uniform, thin coatings that can be achieved. When combined with significant reductions in liquid waste and less overspray than can be achieved with ordinary pressure nozzle systems, there is lower environmental impact.

We have a well established position in the electronics industry with our SonoFlux spray fluxing equipment. It saves customers from 40% to 80% of the liquid flux required to solder printed circuit boards over more labor intensive methods, such as foam fluxing. Less flux equates to less material cost, fewer chemicals in the workplace, and less clean-up. Also, the SonoFlux equipment reduces the number of soldering defects, which reduces the level of rework. We experienced a dramatic recovery of this market towards the latter part of Fiscal Year 2004 and throughout Fiscal Year 2005, resulting in increased orders for our equipment.

In the past two years, we have focused engineering resources on the medical device market, with emphasis on providing coating solutions for the new generation of drug coated stents. We have sold a significant number of specialized ultrasonic nozzles and MediCoat stent coating systems to large medical device customers. Sono-Tek's stent coating systems are superior compared to pressure nozzles in their ability to uniformly coat the very small arterial stents without creating webs or gaps in the coatings. We sell a bench-top, fully outfitted stent coating system to a wide range of customers that are manufacturing stents and/or applying coatings to be used in developmental trials.

We have also committed engineering resources to develop a general industrial coating product, the WideTrack coating system, which is finding increasing applications in the glass, food and textile manufacturing industries. The WideTrack is saving customers money by reducing the use of materials and lessening the environmental impact by significantly reducing overspray, which is common with other types of coating systems.

One of the new markets we are participating in is nano-technology. We have been able to enter this market with our SonoDry nozzle spraying system and WideTrack technology.

In conclusion, our sales levels have increased as the result of an improved economy, product development efforts, and related marketing thrusts which have had the effects of improving operating and net income, reducing debt, and increasing shareholders' equity.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Working Capital - Our working capital increased \$2,032,000 from a working capital of \$1,667,000 at February 28, 2005 to \$3,699,000 at February 28, 2006. The increase in working capital was the result of our net income, cash proceeds from the issuance of stock and the exercise of stock options and warrants, the repayment of the outstanding line of credit and an increase in the current deferred tax asset. Our current ratio is 5.33 to 1 at February 28, 2006, as compared to 2.47 to 1 at February 28, 2005.

Accounts Receivable increased \$142,000 or 17% from \$814,000 at February 28, 2005 to \$956,000 at February 28, 2006. The increase in Accounts Receivable is due to the increase in net sales for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006. A majority of the Accounts Receivable balance is less than 60 days old and has been collected as of May 11, 2006.

Inventory increased \$182,000, or 14%, from \$1,338,000 at February 28, 2005 to \$1,520,000 at February 28, 2006. The increase in Inventory is due to the increase in net sales for the fiscal year ended February 28, 2006.

Stockholders' Equity - Stockholders' equity increased \$1,924,000 from \$2,306,000 at February 28, 2005 to \$4,230,000 at February 28, 2006. The increase in stockholders' equity is the result of net income of \$1,043,000, stock option and warrant exercises of \$594,000, and stock issuance of \$287,000.

Operating Activities - In 2006, our operations provided \$880,000 of cash compared to \$485,000 in the prior year, an increase of \$395,000 or 81%. The increase is primarily due to improved net income over last year which is offset by increases in Accounts Receivable of \$142,000 and Inventories of \$182,000.

Investing Activities - In 2006, we used \$196,000 primarily for the purchase of capital equipment and patent application costs.

Financing Activities - In 2006, the net cash provided by financing activities was \$636,000, resulting from: the issuance of stock for \$287,000, exercise of stock options and warrants for \$594,000; repayment of the outstanding line of credit of \$350,000 and the proceeds of notes payable to finance equipment purchases of \$116,000.

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

We currently have a revolving credit line of \$500,000 and a \$150,000 equipment purchase facility, both of these are with a bank. At February 28, 2006, there were no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit. The revolving credit line is collateralized by all of the assets of the Company and requires a 30 day annual payoff, which took place between April 12, 2005 and May 12, 2005. There have been no borrowings under the revolving credit line after it was paid off in May 2005.

We had outstanding borrowings of \$105,000 under the equipment facility at February 28, 2006. The borrowings have repayment terms which vary from 36 - 60 months and bear interest at rates from 6.2% - 6.6%.

Results of Operations

For the year ended February 28, 2006, our sales increased \$1,067,000 or 18% to \$6,871,000 as compared to \$5,804,000 for the year ended February 28, 2005. The increase was the result of an increase in nozzle-spraying system sales, medical coating systems and the addition of the EVS solder recovery line. Foreign sales levels increased to 44% in 2006 versus 41% in fiscal year 2005.

Our gross profit increased \$266,000, to \$3,429,000 for the year ended February 28, 2006 from \$3,163,000 for the year ended February 28, 2005. Our gross margin percentage decreased to 50% for the year ended February 28, 2006 from 54% for the year ended February 28, 2005. The decrease in gross margin is due to lower sales prices as we expand our business in overseas markets. In our continuing efforts to increase our market share overseas, a reduction in sales prices appeared to be necessary. During the year ended February 28, 2006, we increased our overseas sales by \$643,000, an increase of 27% over the year ended February 28, 2005. Our gross margin percentage was also affected by increases in both internal and external operating costs.

Marketing and selling costs increased \$96,000 to \$1,127,000 for the year ended February 28, 2006 from \$1,031,000, for the year ended February 28, 2005. The increase was principally a result of increased labor, commissions and fringe benefit costs. The increase was the direct result of increased sales levels during the current fiscal year.

General and Administrative expense remained flat at \$778,000 for the two fiscal years ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005.

Research and product development costs increased \$130,000 to \$648,000 for the year ended February 28, 2006 as compared to \$518,000 for the year ended February 28, 2005. The increase was principally due to an increase in engineering personnel and fringe benefit costs.

9

Our operating income increased \$40,000 to \$876,000 for the year ended February 28, 2006 as compared to \$836,000 for the year ended February 28, 2005. Net income increased \$248,000 to \$1,043,000 or \$.07 per share on a diluted basis for the year ended February 28, 2006 from \$795,000 or \$0.07 per share for the year ended February 28, 2005.

Other Income

As previously reported on Form 8-K, filed on July 5, 2005, the Company determined that a former employee had misappropriated approximately \$250,000 of the Company's monies, primarily through unauthorized check writing from the Company's accounts over a period of three calendar years. The Company had

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

previously expensed substantially all of the misappropriated funds over the years.

The Company recovered \$157,605 during the year ended February 28, 2006; this amount is recorded as other income. The Company is pursuing appropriate remedies to recover the balance of the funds. As previously discussed, the Company can offer no assurances that it will be successful in its attempt to collect the balance of the remaining restitution.

Critical Accounting Policies

The discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition and results of operations are based upon the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure on contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions.

Critical accounting policies are defined as those that are reflective of significant judgments and uncertainties, and may potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. The Company believes that its critical accounting policies are limited to the one described below. For a detailed discussion on the application of this and other accounting policies see note 2 to the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Accounting for Income Taxes

As part of the process of preparing the consolidated financial statements, the Company is required to estimate income taxes. Management judgment is required in determining the provision for the deferred tax asset. During the fourth quarter of the year ended February 29, 2004, the Company reduced the valuation reserve for the deferred tax asset resulting from the net operating losses carried forward due to the Company having demonstrated consistent profitable operations. In the event that actual results differ from these estimates, the Company may need to again adjust such valuation reserve.

10

Stock-Based Compensation

SFAS 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by SFAS 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-- Transition and Disclosure, encourages, but does not require, companies to record compensation cost for stock based employee compensation plans at fair value. The Company has chosen to continue, until March 1, 2006, to account for stock-based employee compensation using the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and Related Interpretations. Accordingly, compensation cost for stock options granted to employees is measured as the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of our stock at the date of the grant over the amount an employee must pay to acquire the stock.

Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements

FASB 123 (revised 2004) - Share-Based Payments

In December 2004, the FASB issued a revision to FASB Statement No. 123,

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

Accounting for Stock Based Compensation. This Statement supercedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and its related implementation guidance. This Statement establishes standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services. It also addresses transactions in which an entity incurs liabilities in exchange for goods or services that are based on the fair value of the entity's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of those equity instruments. This Statement focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. This Statement does not change the accounting guidance for share-based payment transactions with parties other than employees provided in Statement 123 as originally issued and EITF Issue No. 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services." This Statement does not address the accounting for employee share ownership plans, which are subject to AICPA Statement of Position 93-6, Employers' Accounting for Employee Stock Ownership Plans.

A nonpublic entity will measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of those instruments, except in certain circumstances.

A public entity will initially measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of liability instruments based on its current fair value; the fair value of that award will be re-measured subsequently at each reporting date through the settlement date. Changes in fair value during the requisite service period will be recognized as compensation cost over that period. A nonpublic entity may elect to measure its liability awards at their intrinsic value through the date of settlement.

11

The grant-date fair value of employee share options and similar instruments will be estimated using the option-pricing models adjusted for the unique characteristics of those instruments (unless observable market prices for the same or similar instruments are available).

Excess tax benefits, as defined by this Statement, will be recognized as an addition to paid-in-capital. Cash retained as a result of those excess tax benefits will be presented in the statement of cash flows as financing cash inflows. The write-off of deferred tax assets relating to unrealized tax benefits associated with recognized compensation cost will be recognized as income tax expense unless there are excess tax benefits from previous awards remaining in paid-in capital to which it can be offset.

The notes to the financial statements of both public and nonpublic entities will disclose information to assist users of financial information to understand the nature of share-based payment transactions and the effects of those transactions on the financial statements.

For public entities that file as small business issuers the effective date will be as of the beginning of the first annual reporting period that begins after December 15, 2005, Management intends to comply with this Statement at the scheduled effective date for the relevant financial statements of the Company.

FASB Interpretation No. 47 - Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations

In March 2005, FASB Interpretation No.47 "FIN 47" was issued, which clarifies certain terminology as used in FASB Statement No. 143, Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations. In addition it clarifies when an entity would have

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

sufficient information to reasonably estimate the fair value of an asset retirement obligation. FIN 47 is effective no later than the end of fiscal years ending after December 15, 2005. Early adoption of FIN 47 is encouraged. Management believes the adoption of FIN 47 will have no impact on the financials of the Company, once adopted.

FASB 154 - Accounting Changes and Error Corrections

In May 2005, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 154, which replaces APB Opinion No.20 and FASB No. 3. This Statement provides guidance on the reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. It established, unless impracticable, retrospective application as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle in the absence of explicit transition requirements to a newly adopted accounting principle. The Statement also provides guidance when the retrospective application for reporting of a change in accounting principle is impracticable. The reporting of a correction of an error by restating previously issued financial statements is also addressed by this Statement. This Statement is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. Earlier application is permitted for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after the date of this Statement is issued. Management believes this Statement will have no impact on the financial statements of the Company once adopted.

12

FASB 155 - Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments

In February 2006, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 155, which is an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140. This Statement; a) permits fair value re-measurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, b) clarifies which interest-only strip and principal-only strip are not subject to the requirements of Statement 133, c) establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, d) clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives, e) amends Statement 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. This Statement is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. Earlier adoption of this Statement is permitted as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year, provided the entity has not yet issued any financial statements for that fiscal year. Management believes this Statement will have no impact on the financial statements of the Company once adopted.

FASB 156 - Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets

In March 2006, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 156, which amends FASB Statement No. 140. This Statement establishes, among other things, the accounting for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. This Statement amends Statement 140 to require that all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. This Statement permits, but does not require, the subsequent measurement of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities at fair value. An entity that uses derivative instruments to mitigate the risks inherent in servicing assets and servicing liabilities is required to account for those derivative instruments at fair value. Under this Statement, an entity can elect subsequent fair value measurement to account for its separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. By

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

electing that option, an entity may simplify its accounting because this Statement permits income statement recognition of the potential offsetting changes in fair value of those servicing assets and servicing liabilities and derivative instruments in the same accounting period. This Statement is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. Earlier adoption of this Statement is permitted as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year, provided the entity has not yet issued any financial statements for that fiscal year. Management believes this Statement will have no impact on the financial statements of the Company once adopted.

13

ITEM 7 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Our financial statements are presented on pages 25 to 44.

ITEM 8 CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE - None.

ITEM 8A CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

- (a) We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including our President & CEO (principal executive officer) and Chief Financial Officer (principal accounting officer), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, the Company's President and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of the period covered by this report. Disclosure controls and procedures are controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in Company reports filed or submitted under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by SEC rules and forms.
- (a) There were no changes in our internal control system over financial reporting in the last fiscal year that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 8B OTHER INFORMATION - None.

PART III

ITEM 9 DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH 16(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

(a) Identification of Directors

| Name | Age | Position with the Company |
|-------------------------|-----|---------------------------------------------------|
| ---- | --- | ----- |
| Harvey L. Berger | 67 | Chief Technology Officer and Director |
| Christopher L. Coccio | 65 | Chief Executive Officer, President and a Director |
| Edward J. Handler, Esq. | 69 | Director* |
| Donald F. Mowbray | 68 | Director* |
| Samuel Schwartz | 86 | Chairman and Director |

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

Philip A. Strasburg, CPA 67 Director*

* Member of the Audit Committee and Compensation Committee.

14

The Board of Directors is divided into two classes. The directors in each class serve for a term of two years. The terms of the classes are staggered so that only one class of directors is elected at each annual meeting of the Company. The terms of Dr. Mowbray, and Messrs. Handler and Schwartz run until the annual meeting to be held in 2006, and the terms of Drs. Berger and Coccio and Mr. Strasburg run until the annual meeting to be held in 2007, and in each case until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified.

Audit Committee

The Company's Board of Directors has an Audit Committee composed of Edward J. Handler, Donald F. Mowbray and Philip A. Strasburg, CPA, as Chairman of the Audit Committee. The "audit committee financial expert" designated by the Board is Philip A. Strasburg. Mr. Strasburg is not considered an independent Director under the NASDAQ rules as he is a former employee.

The Audit Committee is responsible for (i) selecting an independent public accountant for ratification by the stockholders, (ii) reviewing material accounting items affecting the consolidated financial statements of the Company, and (iii) reporting its findings to the Board of Directors.

Identification of Executive Officers

| Name | Age | Position with the Company |
|------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------|
| ---- | --- | ----- |
| Stephen J. Bagley, CPA | 43 | Chief Financial Officer |
| Harvey L. Berger | 67 | Chief Technology Officer and Director |
| Christopher L. Coccio | 65 | Chief Executive Officer, President and Director |
| Vincent F. DeMaio | 68 | Vice President |
| R. Stephen Harshbarger | 38 | Vice President |

The foregoing officers are elected for terms of one year or until their successors are duly elected and qualified or until terminated by the action of the Board of Directors. There are no arrangements or understandings between any executive officer and any other persons(s) pursuant to which he was or is to be selected as an officer.

Business Experience

STEPHEN J. BAGLEY, CPA was appointed Chief Financial Officer in June 2005. From 1987 to 1991 he worked in public accounting in various capacities. From 1992 to 2005, he has held various leadership positions as Controller, Chief Financial Officer and Vice President of Finance for companies with up to \$45,000,000 in revenues. Mr. Bagley earned a Bachelor of Science degree from The State University of NY - College at Oneonta and an MBA from Marist College. He was licensed as a CPA in 1990.

15

DR. HARVEY L. BERGER was appointed Chief Technologist in April 2001 and Chief

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

Technology Officer in August 2004 and has been a Director of the Company since June 1975. He was President of the Company from November 1981 to September 1984 and from September 1985 until April 2001. From September 1986 to September 1988, he also served as Treasurer. He was Vice Chairman of the Company from March 1981 to September 1985. Dr. Berger holds a Ph.D. in physics from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute and is a member of the Marist College Advisory Board.

DR. CHRISTOPHER L. COCCIO was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer of Sono-Tek on April 30, 2001 and has been a Director of the Company since June 1998. From 1964 to 1996, he held various engineering, sales, marketing and management positions at General Electric Company, with P&L responsibilities for up to \$100 million in sales and 500 people throughout the United States. His business experience includes both domestic and international markets and customers. He founded a management consulting business in 1996, and worked with the New York State Assembly's Legislative Commission on Science and Technology from 1996 to 1998. From 1998 to 2001, he worked with Accumetrics Associates, Inc., a manufacturer of digital wireless telemetry systems, as Vice President of Business Development and member of the Board of Advisors. Mr. Coccio received a B.S.M.E. from Stevens Institute of Technology, an M.S.M.E. from the University of Colorado, and a Ph.D. from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute in Chemical Engineering.

VINCENT F. DEMAIO has been Vice President of Manufacturing of the Company since March 2003. He joined the Company in August 1991 as Production Manager and has served as Field Service Manager and Director of Operations. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. DeMaio was an independent real estate developer from 1987 to 1991. From 1956 to 1987, Mr. DeMaio was employed by IBM Corporation in various manufacturing positions, the last being Manufacturing Supervisor over 600 employees.

EDWARD J. HANDLER, III, Esq., is a retired partner from Kenyon & Kenyon, a law firm that provided intellectual property advice to the Company. Mr. Handler became a Director of the Company on October 1, 2004, coincident with his retirement from his law firm. Mr. Handler has 40 years experience in all aspects of intellectual property, including patents, trade secrets, trademarks and copyrights, including litigation and other adversarial proceedings. He is a member of a number of intellectual property Bar Associations and general Bar Associations. Mr. Handler is President and CEO of Storm Bio, Inc., a private Delaware corporation active in the area of therapeutics for acute inflammatory conditions. Mr. Handler is past President of the West Point Society of New York and a past Trustee of the Association of Graduates, U.S. Military Academy. He holds a J.D. degree from the University of Virginia Law School and a B.S. in Engineering Science from the United States Military Academy.

R. STEPHEN HARSHBARGER has been Vice President of the Company since June 2000. He joined the Company in October 1993 as a Sales Engineer and served in various sales management capacities from 1997 to 2000. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Harshbarger was the Sales and Marketing Coordinator at Plasmaco, Inc., a developer and manufacturer of state-of-the-art flat panel displays. He is a graduate of Bentley College, with a major in Finance and a minor in Marketing.

DR. DONALD F. MOWBRAY has been a Director since August 2003. He has been an independent consultant since August 1997. From September 1992 to August 1997 he was the Manager of the General Electric Company's Corporate Research and Development Mechanical Engineering Laboratory. From 1962 to 1992 he worked for the General Electric Company in a variety of engineering and managerial positions. Dr. Mowbray received a B.S. in Aeronautical Engineering from the University of Minnesota in 1960, a Master of Science in Engineering Mechanics

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

from the University of Minnesota in 1962 and a Ph.D. from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute in Engineering Mechanics in 1968.

SAMUEL SCHWARTZ has been a Director of the Company since August 1987, and was Chairman of the Board from February 1993 to May 1999. In April 2001, he accepted the position as Acting Chairman of the Board. He became Chairman in August 2001. From 1959 to 1992, he was the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Krystinel Corporation, a manufacturer of ceramic magnetic components used in electronic circuitry. He received a B.Ch.E. from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute in 1941 and an M.Ch.E. from New York University in 1948.

PHILIP STRASBURG, CPA, has been a Director since August 2004. He is a retired partner from the firm of Anchin Block and Anchin, LLP and has 40 years of experience in auditing. He served as Audit Committee Chairman from August 2004 until February 2005, when he was elected Treasurer. Mr. Strasburg was reappointed Audit Committee chairman in May 2005 concurrent with his resignation as Treasurer. He was the lead partner on the Sono-Tek account from Fiscal 1994 to Fiscal 1996. Mr. Strasburg is a certified public accountant in New York State. He has a Master of Science in economics from The London School of Economics and Political Science and a Bachelors of Science degree from Lehigh University, where he majored in business administration. He is a member of the Board of Directors of the Westchester Public/Private Partnership for Aging Services.

(b) Identification of Certain Significant Employees

Not applicable.

(c) Family Relationships

None.

(d) Involvement in certain legal proceedings

None.

17

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's Directors, executive officers and persons who own more than ten percent of the Company's common stock to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission initial reports of beneficial ownership and reports of changes of beneficial ownership of common stock. Such persons are also required by Securities and Exchange Commission regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all such reports. Based solely on a review of such filings, during the year ended February 28, 2006, all of the Company's Directors and executive officers and holders of more than ten percent of the Company's stock have made timely filings of such reports except as follows: one report by Harvey Berger relating to one transaction; one report by Vincent DeMaio relating to one transaction and one report by Edward Handler relating to one transaction.

Code of Ethics

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics for senior executives and financial officers. The Board intends that this Code satisfy the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission rules for a Code of Ethics that applies to senior management. A copy of the Company's Code of Ethics is posted on the "information for investors" web page located at

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

www.sono-tek.com/investors/IRcodeofethics.php and is available in print to any shareholder who requests a copy.

ITEM 10 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth the aggregate remuneration paid or accrued by the Company for the Fiscal Years ended February 28, 2006, 2005 and 2004, for each named officer of the Company. No other executive officer received aggregate remuneration that equaled or exceeded \$100,000 for the Fiscal Year ended February 28, 2006.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Annual Compensation | | All Other Compensation (\$) | Long Term Compensation Awards Securities Underlying Options (#) |
|---------------------------------------------------------|------|---------------------|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | | Salary (\$) | Bonus (\$) | | |
| Christopher L. Coccio CEO, President and Director | 2006 | \$160,169 | \$85,000 | \$2,779 | 0 |
| | 2005 | \$153,650 | \$60,000 | \$36,039(1) | 495,000 |
| | 2004 | \$129,970 | \$17,500 | \$1,244 | 0 |
| R. Stephen Harshbarger Vice-President | 2006 | \$137,985 | \$16,000 | \$2,316 | 0 |
| | 2005 | \$152,985 | \$15,000 | \$1,598 | 10,000 |
| | 2004 | \$105,854 | \$4,375 | \$927 | 0 |

(1) Dollar amounts for 2005 for Christopher L. Coccio include a non-cash gain on non-qualified stock option exercise of \$31,250, Company contributions under the Company's retirement plan and a partial reimbursement of country club membership fees. All other dollar amounts are for contributions under the Company's retirement plan.

18

The following table sets forth information regarding option exercises during the Fiscal Year ended February 28, 2006, as well as any unexercised options held as of February 28, 2006 by each named executive who received in excess of \$100,000 in salary and bonus.

Aggregate Option/SAR Exercises in Last Fiscal Year and Fiscal Year End Option/SAR Values

| Name | Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) | Value Realized (\$) | Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options at Fiscal Year End (#) | | Value In At Exerc |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| | | | Exercisable | Unexercisable | |
| Christopher Coccio | 0 | 0 | 485,000 | 10,000 | \$5, |
| R. Stephen Harshbarger | 0 | 0 | 12,500 | 5,000 | \$8, |

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

Compensation of Directors

Until May 2006, each non-employee director was entitled to 20,000 options upon election or re-election to a two year term of service on the board. These options vest at the rate of 10,000 per annum provided that the director continues to serve. All unvested options terminate when a director ceases to serve. Options were issued at the then market price upon issuance of such options.

ITEM 11 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following information is furnished as of May 2, 2006, to indicate beneficial ownership of the Company's Common Stock by each Director, by each named executive officer who has a salary and bonus in excess of \$100,000, by all Directors and executive officers as a group, and by each person known to the Company to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the Company's outstanding Common Stock. Such information has been furnished to the Company by the indicated owners. Unless otherwise indicated, the named person has sole voting and investment power.

| Name (and address if more than 5%) of Beneficial owner | Amount Beneficially Owned | Percent |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| ----- | | |
| Directors and Officers | | |
| *Harvey L. Berger | 379,418 (1) | 2.62% |
| *Christopher L. Coccio | 961,125 (2) | 6.44% |
| *Edward J. Handler | 107,508 (3) | ** |
| *R. Stephen Harshbarger | 90,000 (4) | ** |
| *Donald F. Mowbray | 57,500 (5) | ** |
| *Samuel Schwartz | 1,565,147 (6) | 10.79% |
| *Philip A. Strasburg | 30,000 (7) | ** |
| All Executive Officers and Directors as a Group | 3,277,251 (8) | 21.77% |
| Additional 5% owners | | |
| Herbert Spiegel 425 East 58th Street New York, NY 10022 | 756,931 | 5.24% |
| Norwood Venture Corporation 65 Norwood Avenue Montclair, NJ 07043 | 1,084,672 | 7.51% |

19

* c/o Sono-Tek Corporation, 2012 Route 9W, Milton, NY 12547.

** Less than 1%

- (1) Includes 65,500 shares in the name of Dr. Berger's wife and 5,000 options currently exercisable issued under the Company's Stock Incentive Plans.
- (2) Includes 3,000 shares owned jointly with Dr. Coccio's father, 2,000 shares in the name of Dr. Coccio's wife and 495,000 options currently exercisable issued under the Company's Stock Incentive Plans.
- (3) Includes 61,579 shares owned jointly with Mr. Handler's wife, 35,929 shares in the name of Mr. Handler's wife and 10,000 options currently exercisable issued under the Company's Stock Incentive Plans.

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

- (4) Includes 12,500 options currently exercisable under the Company's Stock Incentive Plans.
- (5) Includes 20,000 options currently exercisable issued under the Company's Stock Incentive Plans and 12,500 warrants.
- (6) Includes 50,000 options currently exercisable issued under the Company's Stock Incentive Plans.
- (7) Includes 10,000 options currently exercisable issued under the Company's Stock Incentive Plans.
- (8) The group total includes 603,750 options currently exercisable issued under the Company's Stock Incentive Plans and 12,500 warrants. The group total includes 75,303 shares held by Mr. DeMaio and 11,250 exercisable options held by Mr. Bagley.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans:

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

| | Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a) | Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights (b) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders: | | |
| 1993 Stock Incentive Plan | 126,500 | \$.72 |
| 2003 Stock Incentive Plan | 809,000 | \$1.75 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders: | | |
| Shares issued to individual for services rendered | 7,035 | \$0.39 |
| | ----- | |
| Total | 942,535 | |
| | ===== | |

20

Description of Equity Compensation Plans:

1993 Stock Incentive Plan

Under the 1993 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended ("1993 Plan"), options have been granted to officers, directors, consultants and employees of the Company and its subsidiaries to purchase the Company's common shares. Options granted under the 1993 Plan expire on various dates through 2013. There can be no further grants under the 1993 Plan.

Under the 1993 Stock Incentive Plan, option prices were at least 100% of the fair market value of the common stock at time of grant. For qualified employees,

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

except under certain circumstances specified in the 1993 plan or unless otherwise specified at the discretion of the Board of Directors, no option may be exercised prior to one year after date of grant, with the balance becoming exercisable in cumulative installments over a three year period during the term of the option.

2003 Stock Incentive Plan

Under the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended ("2003 Plan"), options can be granted to officers, directors, consultants and employees of the Company and its subsidiaries to purchase up to 1,500,000 of the Company's common shares.

The 2003 Plan supplemented and replaced the 1993 Plan. Under the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, option prices must be at least 100% of the fair market value of the common stock at time of grant. For qualified employees, except under certain circumstances specified in the 2003 plan or unless otherwise specified at the discretion of the Board of Directors, no option may be exercised prior to one year after date of grant, with the balance becoming exercisable in cumulative installments over a three year period during the term of the option.

Warrants Issued to Individuals for Monies Loaned:

Warrants were issued in Fiscal Years February 28, 2000 and 2001, to five individuals, including officers and directors, who loaned monies to the Company and one individual who assisted in raising funds for the Company. These warrants are for terms of five years with exercise prices ranging from \$.30 per share to \$1.00 per share. Warrants for 600,000 shares were exercised during the year ended February 29, 2004 at an average issuance price of \$.30. 300,000 of these warrants were exercised by the Chairman of the Company. During the year ended February 28, 2004, warrants for 250,000 were exercised and one warrant for 5,000 shares expired in April 2004. The remaining warrant, held by one individual for 50,000 shares was exercised in May 2005.

Shares Issued to Individuals for Services Rendered:

The Company issued 7,035 shares of its common stock to an attorney for patent application work in lieu of fees for services rendered during the year ended February 28, 2005.

ITEM 12 CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Norwood loans -

On April 30, 2001, in order to induce the advance of an additional \$300,000 by Norwood, certain of the Company's directors, an officer and an affiliate of the Company participated in the amount of \$216,750 in the additional mezzanine financing. Interest expense of \$103,617 was paid to Norwood and \$26,571 was forwarded to these individuals during Fiscal Year 2004. During February 2004, an officer of the Company exercised 24,444 warrants to purchase the Company's common stock through Norwood. Coincident with this transaction \$10,000 of principal loaned by Norwood was repaid and was in turn repaid to this officer.

On December 3, 2004, the Company sold 76,750 units each consisting of four shares of common stock and one warrant to purchase one additional share of common stock at \$1.75 during the two year period ending December 3, 2006. Proceeds of this offering of \$530,000 were used to repay \$450,000 of Norwood Venture Corporation, a principal stockholder of the Company, outstanding debt and the balance was used for working capital.

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

On December 15, 2004, Norwood Venture Corporation, a principal stockholder of the Company, and the Company reached an agreement whereby the "Put" rights under the Norwood Loan and Warrant Agreement were terminated for a sum of \$188,000 paid by the Company to Norwood. Also, Norwood exercised all of its warrants to purchase the Company's stock, resulting in the issuance of 2,022,017 shares of common stock. The Chairman of the Company and a different principal stockholder of the Company were participants in the Norwood Loan and, accordingly, they each received 243,239 shares of the Company's common stock as the result of the warrant exercise. Also, they each received \$103,333 as part of the repayment of the principal of the Norwood Loans.

Equity issuance - related party

In December 2004, a director of the Company acquired 25,000 shares of common stock and 12,500 warrants to purchase common stock pursuant to a private placement for \$43,750.

ITEM 13 EXHIBITS, CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) (1) The consolidated financial statements and schedules listed in the accompanying "Index to Consolidated Financial Statements" are filed as a part of this annual report.

(2) See (a) (1) above.

22

(3) Exhibits

| Ex. No. | Description |
|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ----- | ----- |
| 2 | Asset Sale Agreement -- Selected Cleaning Systems assets, dated February 28, 2005. |
| 3(a) (1) | Certificate of Incorporation of the Company and all amendments thereto. |
| 3(b) (1) | By-laws of the Company as amended. |
| 4(b) | Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrants issued to Urban Development Corporation d/b/a Empire State Development, Small Business investment Fund, dated October 28, 2004. |
| 4(c) | Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant issued as part of private placement, dated December 3, 2004. |
| 4(d) (2) | Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement dated September 29, 1999 by and between the Company and Norwood Venture Corp. |
| 4(e) (2) | Note issued by the Company, dated September 29, 1999, in the principal sum of \$450,000. |
| 4(f) (2) | Common Stock Purchase Warrant, dated September 29, 1999, issued by the Company to Norwood Venture Corp. |
| 4(g) (2) | General Security Agreement, dated September 29, 1999, issued by the Company in favor of Norwood Venture Corp. |
| 4(h) (3) | Amended, Note and Warrant Purchase Agreements dated December 22, 2000 and April 30, 2001 by and between the Company and Norwood Venture Corp. |
| 4(i) (4) | Amended Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement dated October 24, 2001 between the Company and Norwood Venture Corp. |
| 4(j) | Agreement, dated December 9, 2004, between Norwood Venture Corporation and Sono-Tek Corporation which extinguishes Put Rights |

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

- under Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement of September 29, 1999, as amended.
- 10(a)(5) Lease for the Company's facilities in Milton, NY dated December 1, 1999.
 - 10(b)(1) Sono-Tek Corporation 1993 Stock Incentive Plan as amended.
 - 10(c)(1) Sono-Tek Corporation 2003 Stock Incentive Plan.
 - 10(d) Business Flex Line of Credit Note Agreement between Sono-Tek Corp. and M&T Bank, dated December 21, 2004.
 - 10(e) Equipment Line Credit Agreement between Sono-Tek Corporation and M&T Bank, dated March 24, 2005.
 - 10(f) General Security Agreement between Sono-Tek Corporation and M&T Bank, dated December 21, 2004.
 - 14(7) Code of Ethics.
 - 21(6) Subsidiaries of Small Business Issuer.
 - 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
 - 31.1 Rule 13a-14/15d - 14(a) Certification.
 - 31.2 Rule 13a-14/15d - 14(a) Certification.
 - 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
 - 32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

23

- (1) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-11913 on Form S-8 filed on February 18, 2004.
- (2) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-Q Quarterly Report for the quarter ended November 30, 1999.
- (3) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended February 28, 2001.
- (4) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-QSB quarterly report for the quarter ended November 30, 2001.
- (5) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended February 29, 2000.
- (6) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-KSB for the year ended February 28, 2003.
- (7) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-KSB for the year ended February 29, 2004.

ITEM 14 DISCLOSURE OF FEES PAID TO PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANTS

For the Fiscal Years ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005 the Company paid or accrued fees of approximately \$38,500 and \$32,000 for services rendered by Sherb & Co., LLP and Radin Glass & Co., LLP, respectively, its independent auditors. These fees included audit and review services.

For the Fiscal Years ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005 the Company paid or accrued tax preparation fees of approximately \$4,000 and \$4,000 for services rendered by Sherb & Co., LLP, its independent auditors.

There were no other fees for services rendered by Sherb & Co., LLP other than for services described above.

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

24

SONO-TEK CORPORATION

FORM 10-KSB

ITEM 7

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

FOR THE YEARS ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2006 and 2005

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

Consolidated Balance Sheet at February 28, 2006

Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the Years Ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

For the Years Ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the Years Ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

25

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Stockholders and Board of Directors
Sono-Tek Corporation
Milton, New York

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Sono-Tek Corporation as of February 28, 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years ended February 28, 2006 and 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Sono-Tek Corporation, as of

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

February 28, 2006 and the results of their operation and their cash flows for each of the years then ended February 28, 2006 and 2005 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

/S/ SHERB & CO., LLP

Certified Public Accountants
New York, New York
May 9, 2006

26

SONO-TEK CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

ASSETS

| | February 28, 2006 ----- |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Current Assets | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 1,740,804 |
| Accounts receivable (less allowance of \$18,500) | 955,094 |
| Inventories | 1,520,397 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 68,024 |
| Deferred tax asset | 270,000 |
| | ----- |
| Total current assets | 4,554,319 |
| | ----- |
| Equipment, furnishings and leasehold improvements (less accumulated depreciation of \$788,245) | 257,299 |
| Intangible assets, net | 29,922 |
| Other assets | 7,171 |
| Deferred tax asset | 315,000 |
| | ----- |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 5,163,711 ===== |

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Current Liabilities: | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 330,701 |
| Accrued expenses | 498,504 |
| Current maturities of long term debt | 25,415 |
| | ----- |
| Total current liabilities | 854,620 |
| Long term debt, less current maturities | 79,114 |
| | ----- |
| Total Liabilities | 933,734 |
| | ----- |
| Commitments and Contingencies | -- |
| Stockholders' Equity | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized, | |

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

| | |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 14,354,416 issued and outstanding | 143,545 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 8,247,091 |
| Stock subscription receivable | (15,750) |
| Accumulated deficit | (4,144,909) |
| | ----- |
| Total stockholders' equity | 4,229,977 |
| | ----- |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$ 5,163,711 |
| | ===== |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

27

SONO-TEK CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| | Years Ended | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | February 28, 2006 | February 28, 2005 |
| | ---- | ---- |
| Net Sales | \$ 6,871,069 | \$ 5,803,586 |
| Cost of Goods Sold | 3,442,501 | 2,640,373 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Gross Profit | 3,428,568 | 3,163,213 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Operating Expenses | | |
| Research and product development | 647,681 | 517,526 |
| Marketing and selling | 1,126,507 | 1,031,194 |
| General and administrative | 778,451 | 778,820 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Total Operating Expenses | 2,552,639 | 2,327,540 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Operating Income | 875,929 | 835,673 |
| Interest Expense | (6,008) | (93,032) |
| Interest Income | 15,611 | 6,882 |
| Other Income | 158,038 | 57,779 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Income before Income Taxes | 1,043,570 | 807,302 |
| Income Tax (Expense) | (250) | (12,000) |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Net Income | \$ 1,043,320 | \$ 795,302 |
| | ===== | ===== |
| Basic Earnings Per Share | \$.07 | \$.07 |
| | ===== | ===== |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share | \$.07 | \$.07 |
| | ===== | ===== |
| Weighted Average Shares - Basic | 14,156,972 | 11,708,331 |

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | ===== | ===== |
| Weighted Average Shares - Diluted | 14,274,493 | 12,006,170 |
| | ===== | ===== |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

28

SONO-TEK CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
YEARS ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2006 AND FEBRUARY 28, 2005

| | Common Stock Par Value \$.01 | | Additional Paid-In Capital | Stock Subscription Receivable | Acco D |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| | Shares | Amount | | | |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Balance - February 29, 2004 | 10,494,156 | \$104,942 | \$6,465,436 | \$ 0 | \$ (5 |
| Stock Sold/Issued | 388,168 | 3,882 | 575,796 | (15,750) | |
| Exercise of warrants | 2,272,017 | 22,720 | 263,003 | -- | |
| Exercise of stock options | 671,299 | 6,713 | 66,998 | -- | |
| Net Income | -- | -- | -- | -- | |
| ----- | | | | | |
| Balance - February 28, 2005 | 13,825,640 | 138,257 | 7,371,233 | (15,750) | (5 |
| Non-employee stock options | 7,562 | 76 | 2,475 | -- | |
| Exercise of warrants | 345,714 | 3,457 | 564,043 | -- | |
| Stock Sold/Issued | 125,000 | 1,250 | 286,250 | -- | |
| Exercise of stock options | 50,500 | 505 | 23,090 | -- | |
| Net Income | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 |
| ----- | | | | | |
| Balance - February 28, 2006 | 14,354,416 | \$143,545 | \$8,247,091 | \$(15,750) | \$(4 |
| ===== | | | | | |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

29

SONO-TEK CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Years Ended | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | February 28, 2006 | February 28, 2005 |
| | ---- | ---- |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net Income | \$ 1,043,320 | \$ 795,302 |

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 72,155 | 53,092 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 377 | 3,077 |
| (Increase) Decrease in: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (141,768) | (2,946) |
| Inventories | (181,987) | (432,941) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 43,690 | (28,114) |
| Increase in: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 44,648 | 97,459 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 880,435 | 484,929 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Purchase of equipment, furnishings and leasehold improvements | (185,029) | (126,886) |
| Patent filing costs | (11,320) | (347) |
| Other assets | -- | (630) |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Net Cash Used In Investing Activities | (196,349) | (127,863) |
| | ----- | ----- |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of stock | 287,500 | 563,923 |
| Proceeds from exercise of warrants and options | 593,646 | 359,439 |
| Line of Credit Repayment | (350,000) | (312,000) |
| Loan Payments/ exchanges | (11,112) | (737,372) |
| Proceeds from Notes Payable | 115,641 | -- |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities | 635,675 | (126,010) |
| | ----- | ----- |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 1,319,761 | 231,056 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS: | | |
| Beginning of year | 421,043 | 189,987 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| End of year | \$ 1,740,804 | \$ 421,043 |
| | ===== | ===== |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

SONO-TEK CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
YEARS ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2006 AND FEBRUARY 28, 2005

NOTE 1: BUSINESS DESCRIPTION

The Company was incorporated in New York on March 21, 1975 for the purpose of engaging in the development, manufacture, and sale of ultrasonic liquid atomizing nozzles, which are sold world-wide. Ultrasonic nozzle systems atomize low to medium viscosity liquids by converting electrical energy into mechanical motion in the form of high frequency ultrasonic vibrations that break liquids into minute drops that can be applied to surfaces at low velocity.

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

NOTE 2: SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Consolidation - The accompanying consolidated financial statements of Sono-Tek Corporation, a New York corporation (the "Company"), include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, Sono-Tek Cleaning Systems, Inc., a New Jersey Corporation ("SCS"), which the Company acquired on August 3, 1999, whose operations have been discontinued. There have been no operations of this subsidiary since Fiscal Year Ended February 28, 2002. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents - Cash and cash equivalents consist of money market mutual funds, short term commercial paper and short-term certificates of deposit with original maturities of 90 days or less. The Company occasionally has cash or cash equivalents on hand in excess of the \$100,000 insurable limits at a given bank. At February 28, 2006, the Company had \$1,640,804 over the insurable limit.

Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosure -

| | Years Ended | |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | February 28, 2006 | February 28, 2005 |
| | ---- | ---- |
| Interest paid | \$6,008 | \$93,032 |
| | ===== | ===== |
| Income taxes paid | -- | -- |

Inventories - Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method for raw materials, subassemblies and work-in-progress and the specific identification method for finished goods.

Allowance for doubtful accounts - The Company records a bad debt expense/allowance based on managements estimate of uncollectible accounts. All outstanding accounts receivable accounts are reviewed for collectibility on an individual basis. The bad debt expense recorded for the year ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005 was \$3,862 and \$13,500 respectively.

31

Equipment, Furnishings and Leasehold Improvements - Equipment, furnishings and leasehold improvements are stated at cost. Depreciation of equipment and furnishings is computed by use of the straight-line method based on the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from three to five years.

Product Warranty - Expected future product warranty expense is recorded when the product is sold.

Intangible Assets -Include costs of patent applications which are deferred and charged to operations over seventeen years for domestic patents and twelve years for foreign patents and the unamortized portion of deferred financing costs. The accumulated amortization of patents is \$49,780 at February 28, 2006. Annual amortization expense of such intangible assets are expected to be \$4,272 per year for the next five years.

Research and Product Development Expenses - Research and product development expenses represent engineering and other expenditures incurred for developing new products, for refining the Company's existing products and for developing

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

systems to meet unique customer specifications for potential orders or for new industry applications and are expensed as incurred. Engineering costs directly applicable to the manufacture of existing products are included in cost of goods sold.

Income Taxes - The Company accounts for income taxes under the asset and liability method. Under this method, deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences of "temporary differences" by applying enacted statutory tax rates applicable to future years to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax basis of existing assets and liabilities. If it is more likely than not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized, a valuation allowance is recognized.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share - Basic earnings (loss) per share ("EPS") is computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. Stock options granted but not yet exercised under the Company's stock option plans are included for Diluted EPS calculations under the treasury stock method.

Shipping and Handling Costs - Shipping and handling costs are included in cost of sales in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Advertising Expenses - The Company expenses the cost of advertising in the period in which the advertising takes place. Advertising expenses for the year ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005 was \$100,205 and \$56,740, respectively.

32

Long-Lived Assets - The Company periodically evaluates the carrying value of long-lived assets, including intangible assets, when events and circumstances warrant such a review. The carrying value of a long-lived asset is considered impaired when the anticipated undiscounted cash flow from such asset is separately identifiable and is less than its carrying value. In that event, a loss is recognized based on the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair market value of the long-lived asset. Fair market value is determined primarily using the anticipated cash flows discounted at a rate commensurate with the risk involved.

Stock-Based Employee Compensation - The Company accounts for stock-based compensation plans utilizing the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 (APB 25), "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" and the Financial Accounting Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 and No. 148 (SFAS 123 and SFAS 148), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation". Under SFAS 123, the Company will continue to apply the provisions of APB 25 to its stock-based employee compensation arrangements, and is only required to supplement its financial statements with additional pro-forma disclosures. The Company has elected to provide the related pro-forma disclosures utilizing an intrinsic value method of accounting for such stock based compensation.

The estimated fair value of options granted during Fiscal Year 2006 was \$2.18 per share and the estimated fair value of options granted during Fiscal Year 2005 was \$1.64 per share. The Company applies Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations in accounting for the 1993 Plan. Had compensation cost for the Company's stock option plan been determined based on the intrinsic value at the option grant dates for awards in accordance with the accounting provisions of SFAS 123, the Company's net income and basic and diluted earnings per share for the years ended February 28, 2006 and February

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

28, 2005 would have been changed to the pro forma amounts indicated below:

| | Year Ended | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | February 28, 2006 ---- | February 28, 2005 ---- |
| Net income: | | |
| As reported | \$1,043,320 | \$ 795,302 |
| Deduct: Total stock based employee compensation under intrinsic value based method for all awards, net of tax effects | 117,181 | 746,818 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| Pro forma net income | \$ 926,139 | \$ 48,484 |
| | ===== | ===== |
| Basic earnings per share: | | |
| As reported | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.07 |
| Pro forma | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.00 |
| Diluted earnings per share: | | |
| As reported | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.07 |
| Pro forma | \$ 0.06 | \$ 0.00 |

33

The fair value of options granted under the Company's fixed stock option plans during Fiscal Years 2006 and 2005 were estimated on the dates of grant using the minimum value options-pricing models with the following weighted-average assumptions used: expected volatility of approximately 40% and 109% in Fiscal Years 2006 and 2005, respectively, risk-free interest rate of approximately 4.25% and 3.25% in Fiscal Years 2006 and 2005, and expected lives of option grants of approximately four years.

Recognition of Revenue - Sales are recorded at the time title passes to the customer, which, based on shipping terms, generally occurs when the product is shipped to the customer. Based on prior experience, the Company reasonably estimates its sales returns and warranty reserves. Sales are presented net of discounts and allowances.

Concentration of Credit Risk - The Company does not believe that it is subject to any unusual or significant risks, in the normal course of business. The Company does have cash in excess of the federal insurable limits as noted above. The Company also has two customers, which accounted for 6.4% and 6% of sales, respectively, during the year ended February 28, 2006. One customer accounted for 8% of the outstanding accounts receivables at February 28, 2006.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments - The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash, receivables, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value based on the short-term maturity of these instruments.

Management Estimates - The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

New Accounting Pronouncements-

FASB 123 (revised 2004) - Share-Based Payments

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

In December 2004, the FASB issued a revision to FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation. This Statement supersedes APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and its related implementation guidance. This Statement establishes standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services. It also addresses transactions in which an entity incurs liabilities in exchange for goods or services that are based on the fair value of the entity's equity instruments or that may be settled by the issuance of those equity instruments. This Statement focuses primarily on accounting for transactions in which an entity obtains employee services in share-based payment transactions. This Statement does not change the accounting guidance for share-based payment transactions with parties other than employees provided in Statement 123 as originally issued and EITF Issue No. 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services." This Statement does not address the accounting for employee share ownership plans, which are subject to AICPA Statement of Position 93-6, Employers' Accounting for Employee Stock Ownership Plans.

34

A nonpublic entity will measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of those instruments, except in certain circumstances.

A public entity will initially measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of liability instruments based on its current fair value; the fair value of that award will be re-measured subsequently at each reporting date through the settlement date. Changes in fair value during the requisite service period will be recognized as compensation cost over that period. A nonpublic entity may elect to measure its liability awards at their intrinsic value through the date of settlement.

The grant-date fair value of employee share options and similar instruments will be estimated using the option-pricing models adjusted for the unique characteristics of those instruments (unless observable market prices for the same or similar instruments are available).

Excess tax benefits, as defined by this Statement, will be recognized as an addition to paid-in-capital. Cash retained as a result of those excess tax benefits will be presented in the statement of cash flows as financing cash inflows. The write-off of deferred tax assets relating to unrealized tax benefits associated with recognized compensation cost will be recognized as income tax expense unless there are excess tax benefits from previous awards remaining in paid-in capital to which it can be offset.

The notes to the financial statements of both public and nonpublic entities will disclose information to assist users of financial information to understand the nature of share-based payment transactions and the effects of those transactions on the financial statements.

For public entities that file as small business issuers the effective date will be as of the beginning of the first interim or annual reporting period that begins after December 15, 2005, Management intends to comply with this Statement at the scheduled effective date for the relevant financial statements of the Company.

FASB 154 - Accounting Changes and Error Corrections

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

In May 2005, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 154, which replaces APB Opinion No.20 and FASB No. 3. This Statement provides guidance on the reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. It established, unless impracticable, retrospective application as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle in the absence of explicit transition requirements to a newly adopted accounting principle. The Statement also provides guidance when the retrospective application for reporting of a change in accounting principle is impracticable. The reporting of a correction of an error by restating

35

previously issued financial statements is also addressed by this Statement. This Statement is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. Earlier application is permitted for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after the date of this Statement is issued. Management believes this Statement will have no impact on the financial statements of the Company once adopted.

FASB 155 - Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments

In February 2006, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 155, which is an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140. This Statement; a) permits fair value re-measurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, b) clarifies which interest-only strip and principal-only strip are not subject to the requirements of Statement 133, c) establishes a requirement to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments that contain an embedded derivative requiring bifurcation, d) clarifies that concentrations of credit risk in the form of subordination are not embedded derivatives, e) amends Statement 140 to eliminate the prohibition on a qualifying special-purpose entity from holding a derivative financial instrument that pertains to a beneficial interest other than another derivative financial instrument. This Statement is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. Earlier adoption of this Statement is permitted as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year, provided the entity has not yet issued any financial statements for that fiscal year. Management believes this Statement will have no impact on the financial statements of the Company once adopted.

FASB 156 - Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets

In March 2006, the FASB issued FASB Statement No. 156, which amends FASB Statement No. 140. This Statement establishes, among other things, the accounting for all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. This Statement amends Statement 140 to require that all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. This Statement permits, but does not require, the subsequent measurement of separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities at fair value. An entity that uses derivative instruments to mitigate the risks inherent in servicing assets and servicing liabilities is required to account for those derivative instruments at fair value. Under this Statement, an entity can elect subsequent fair value measurement to account for its separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities. By electing that option, an entity may simplify its accounting because this Statement permits income statement recognition of the potential offsetting changes in fair value of those servicing assets and servicing liabilities and derivative instruments in the same accounting period. This Statement is effective for financial statements for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. Earlier adoption of this Statement is permitted as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year, provided the entity has not yet issued any financial

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

statements for that fiscal year. Management believes this Statement will have no impact on the financial statements of the Company once adopted.

36

NOTE 3: SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company currently operates in one business segment, spraying systems and is primarily engaged in the business of developing, manufacturing, selling, installing and servicing ultrasonic spray equipment.

NOTE 4: SALE OF CLEANING SYSTEM BUSINESS:

The Company sold the assets and rights to its Cleaning Systems business on February 28, 2005. The assets, which included certain inventories, drawings and patents were sold for \$60,000. Other income of \$41,224 was recognized in the financial statements as a result of this sale. An additional contingent payment of up to \$22,500 may be due based on future sales of Cleaning Systems products.

NOTE 5: INVENTORIES

Inventories consist of the following:

| | February 28, 2006 |
|-----------------|----------------------|
| ----- | |
| Raw Materials | \$ 584,484 |
| Work-in-process | 709,099 |
| Consignment | 12,222 |
| Finished Goods | 453,361 |
| ----- | |
| Totals | 1,759,166 |
| Less: Allowance | (238,769) |
| ----- | |
| | \$ 1,520,397 |
| ===== | |

NOTE 6: EQUIPMENT, FURNISHINGS AND LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS

Equipment, furnishings and leasehold improvements consist of the following:

| | February 28, 2006 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| ----- | |
| Laboratory equipment | \$ 210,857 |
| Machinery and equipment | 346,873 |
| Leasehold improvements | 45,913 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 441,901 |
| ----- | |
| Totals | 1,045,544 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (788,245) |
| ----- | |
| | \$ 257,299 |
| ===== | |

Depreciation expense for the years ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005 was \$67,682 and \$44,590, respectively.

NOTE 7: ACCRUED EXPENSES

Accrued expenses consist of the following:

| | February 28, 2006 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| | ----- |
| Accrued compensation | \$321,873 |
| Accrued marketing expense | 1,880 |
| Estimated warranty costs | 27,075 |
| Accrued commissions | 55,893 |
| Professional fees | 18,566 |
| Customer deposits | 68,179 |
| Other accrued expenses | 5,038 |
| | ----- |
| | \$498,504 |
| | ===== |

NOTE 8: REVOLVING LINE OF CREDIT

The Company has a \$500,000 revolving line of credit at prime which was 7.5% at February 28, 2006. The loan is collateralized by all of the assets of the Company. The line of credit is payable on demand and must be retired for a 30 day period once annually. As of February 28, 2006, the Company had no outstanding borrowings under the revolving line of credit.

NOTE 9: LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following:

| | February 28, 2006 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | ----- |
| Equipment loan, bank, collateralized by related production equipment, payable in monthly installments of principal and interest of \$832. Interest rate 6.51%. 60 month term. | \$ 35,603 |
| Equipment loan, bank, collateralized by related office equipment, payable in monthly installments of principal and interest of \$1,039. Interest rate 6.21%. 36 month term. | 29,641 |
| Equipment loan, bank, collateralized by related engineering equipment, payable in monthly installments of principal and interest of \$770. Interest rate 6.54%. 60 month term. | 39,285 |
| | ----- |
| Total long term debt | 104,529 |
| Due within one year | 25,415 |
| | ----- |
| Due after one year | \$ 79,114 |
| | ===== |

Long-term debt is payable as follows:

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

Fiscal Year ending February 28,

| | |
|------|----------|
| 2008 | \$27,373 |
| 2009 | 23,881 |
| 2010 | 17,853 |
| 2011 | 10,007 |

NOTE 10: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Leases - Total rent expense was approximately \$94,595 and \$84,677, for the two years ended February 28, 2006 and February 29, 2005, respectively.

The Company presently leases its office and production facilities on a month to month basis.

The Company has \$10,800 in future minimum obligations under the lease for its storage facility, which expires November 30, 2006.

NOTE 11: INCOME TAXES

The annual provision (benefit) for income taxes differs from amounts computed by applying the maximum U.S. Federal income tax rate to pre-tax income (loss) as follows:

| | February 28, ----- | | February 28, ----- | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------|-----------------------|--------|
| | 2006 | % | 2005 | % |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Computed tax at maximum rate | \$ 365,000 | 35.0 | \$ 311,000 | 38.5 |
| Franchise taxes due, net of federal benefit | 43,000 | 4.5 | 54,000 | 6.7 |
| Temporary Difference - Depreciation | (34,000) | (3.3) | -- | -- |
| Utilization or change in valuation allowance for tax effect of operating loss carryforwards | (374,000) | (36.2) | (353,000) | (43.7) |
| | ----- | ----- | ----- | ----- |
| Income tax (benefit) | \$ 0 | 0 | \$ 12,000 | 1.5 |
| | ===== | ===== | ===== | ===== |

39

The net deferred tax asset is comprised of the following:

| | February 28, 2006 ----- |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 7,000 |
| Inventory | 96,000 |
| Accrued expenses | 139,000 |
| Depreciation | (72,000) |
| Net operating losses and other carryforwards | 830,000 |
| | ----- |
| Net deferred tax assets before valuation allowance | 1,000,000 |
| Deferred tax asset valuation allowance | (415,000) |

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

| | |
|------------------------|------------|
| Net deferred tax asset | \$ 585,000 |
|------------------------|------------|

The change in the valuation allowance was \$384,000 for the year ended February 28, 2006. This represents a \$374,000 decrease in the net operating loss valuation allowance offset by a \$10,000 increase in the timing difference of accrued expense accruals. A \$585,000 tax benefit has been reflected as a tax asset in the financial statements, of which \$270,000 is a current asset.

At February 28, 2006, the Company has available net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$2,075,000 for income tax purposes, which expire between fiscal 2006 and fiscal 2022. The Company also has research and development credits of approximately \$136,000, which expire between fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2021. The net operating loss and credit carryforwards generated by a subsidiary are subject to limitations under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code.

NOTE 12: STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Stock Options - The Company has two stock option plans, the 1993 Stock Incentive Plan, as Amended ("1993 Plan") and the 2003 Stock Incentive Plan ("2003 Plan"). Under each Plan, options can be granted to officers, directors, consultants and employees of the Company and its subsidiaries to purchase up to 1,500,000 of the Company's common shares. Options granted under the 1993 Plan expire on various dates through 2013. The 1993 Plan expired in October 2003 and no further options can be granted under the 1993 Plan. A total of 126,500 options remain outstanding under the 1993 Plan. Under the 2003 Plan options expire at various dates through 2015. A total of 809,000 options are outstanding under the 2003 Plan. During Fiscal Year 2006 the Company granted options for 25,000 shares exercisable at \$2.25 to an officer of the Company, options for 40,000 shares exercisable at prices from \$1.06 to \$2.43 to directors of the Company and options for 37,500 shares exercisable at prices from \$1.42 to \$2.95 to employees of the Company. During Fiscal Year 2006, no compensation expense was recognized based on the fair value of any options granted.

40

During Fiscal Year 2005, the Company granted options for 540,000 shares exercisable at prices from \$.95 to \$1.75 to officers of the Company, options for 40,000 shares exercisable at \$1.06 to directors of the Company, options for 144,000 shares exercisable at prices from \$.95 to \$2.30 to employees of the Company, and options for 50,000 shares exercisable at prices from \$1.06 to \$1.95 to consultants to the Company. During Fiscal Year 2005, compensation expense of \$ 19,801 was recognized based on the fair value of the options granted to two consultants.

Under both the 1993 Plan and the 2003 Plan, options are granted at prices that are at least 100% of the fair market value of the common stock at time of grant. For qualified employees, except under certain circumstances specified in both Plans or unless otherwise specified at the discretion of the Board of Directors, no option may be exercised prior to one year after date of grant, with the balance becoming exercisable in cumulative installments over a three year period during the term of the option, and terminate at a stipulated period of time after an employee's termination of employment.

A summary of the activity of both plans for the years ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005 is as follows:

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

| | Stock Options | | Weighted Average Exercise Price | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | Outstanding | Exercisable | Outstanding | Exercisable |
| Balance - February 29, 2004 | 961,046 | 890,171 | .32 | .29 |
| Granted Fiscal Year 2005 | 774,000 | | 1.64 | |
| Exercised Fiscal Year 2005 | (792,484) | | (.26) | |
| Canceled Fiscal Year 2005 | (1,500) | | (.30) | |
| | ----- | | ----- | |
| Balance - February 28, 2005 | 941,062 | 642,062 | 1.46 | 1.47 |
| Granted Fiscal Year 2006 | 102,500 | | 2.18 | |
| Exercised Fiscal Year 2006 | (58,062) | | (.51) | |
| Canceled Fiscal Year 2006 | (50,000) | | (1.63) | |
| | ----- | | ----- | |
| Balance - February 28, 2006 | 935,500 | 762,425 | 1.61 | 1.83 |
| | ===== | ===== | ===== | ===== |

Information, at date of issuance, regarding stock option grants for the years ended February 28, 2006 and February 28, 2005:

| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Fair Value |
|------------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Year ended February 28, 2006: | | | |
| Exercise price exceeds market price | -- | -- | -- |
| Exercise price equals market price | 102,500 | \$ 2.18 | \$.74 |
| Exercise price is less than market price | -- | -- | -- |

41

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding and exercisable at February 28, 2006:

| Range of exercise prices: | Number Outstanding | Weighted-Average Remaining Life in Years | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Number Exercisable |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| \$.09 to \$.50 | 61,500 | 6.6 | \$.30 | 61,500 |
| \$.51 to \$1.00 | 89,000 | 6.3 | \$.96 | 54,300 |
| \$1.01 to \$1.75 | 610,000 | 8.5 | \$1.64 | 559,625 |
| \$1.76 to \$2.30 | 132,500 | 9.2 | \$2.19 | 85,875 |
| \$2.31 to \$3.00 | 42,500 | 9.4 | \$2.48 | 1,125 |

Warrants -

On February 15, 2000, the Company entered into a 90 day \$100,000 subordinated convertible loan with a non-affiliated individual convertible into common stock at \$1.00 per share. The loan and related interest of 8 % was repaid upon maturity, May 15, 2000. As part of the loan agreement, the lender was eligible to receive a warrant to purchase 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock, if the loan was not converted to equity or was not repaid. When the loan was

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

repaid, the lender received a five-year warrant to purchase 50,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$1.00 per share in accordance with the provisions of the agreement. This warrant was exercised on May 9, 2005.

In May and August 2004, the Company issued 49,133 shares of common stock for the conversion of indebtedness in the amount of \$20,636, issued 7,035 shares of common stock for services valued at \$2,814 and sold 25,000 shares of common stock for \$23,500.

On October 28, 2004, the Company issued two one year warrants each to purchase 142,857 shares of the Company's common stock at \$1.75 per share to a New York State Agency, Empire State Development Corporation, Small Business Technology Investment Fund. These warrants were issued at fair-market value to encourage additional equity investment in the Company.

On December 3, 2004, in conjunction with a private offering of 307,000 shares of the Company's common stock, the Company issued two year warrants to purchase 76,750 shares of the Company's common stock at \$1.75 per share to eight accredited investors. In April 2005, 10,000 of these warrants were exercised.

42

On May 3, 2005, the Company sold 125,000 shares of its common stock at \$2.30 per share and issued a warrant to purchase an additional 25,000 shares of common stock at \$2.45 per share to an institutional investor in a private placement. On May 9, 2005, a warrant for 50,000 shares was exercised for \$1.00 per share. On May 11, 2005 and January 4, 2006, two warrants for a total of 285,714 shares of the Company's common stock were exercised at \$1.75 per share by Empire State Development Corporation, Small Business Technology Investment Fund.

NOTE 13: EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

| | February 28, 2006 ---- | February 28, 2005 ---- |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Numerator for basic and diluted | | |
| Earnings per share | \$ 1,043,320 ===== | \$ 795,302 ===== |
| Denominator: | | |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share-weighted average shares | 14,156,972 | 11,708,331 |
| Effects of dilutive securities: | | |
| Warrants | 0 | 22,619 |
| Stock options for employees, directors and outside consultants | 117,521 ----- | 275,220 ----- |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per share | 14,274,493 ===== | 12,006,170 ===== |
| Basic Earnings Per Share | \$.07 ===== | \$.07 ===== |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share | \$.07 ===== | \$.07 ===== |

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

NOTE 14: RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Norwood loans - On April 30, 2001, in order to induce the advance of an additional \$300,000 by Norwood Venture Corp. ("Norwood"), certain of the Company's directors, an officer and an affiliate of the Company participated in the amount of \$216,750 in the additional mezzanine financing. Interest expense of \$8,821 and \$26,571 was paid to Norwood and forwarded to these individuals during Fiscal Years 2005 and 2004, respectively.

On December 15, 2004, Norwood Venture Corporation and the Company reached an agreement whereby the "Put" rights under the Norwood Loan and Warrant Agreement were terminated for a sum of \$188,000 paid by the Company to Norwood. Also, Norwood exercised all of its warrants to purchase the Company's stock, resulting in the issuance of 2,022,017 shares of common stock. The Chairman of the Company and an over 5% owner of the Company were participants in the Norwood Loan and, accordingly, they each received 243,239 shares of the Company's common stock as the result of the warrant exercise. Also, they each received \$103,333 as part of the repayment of the principal of the Norwood Loans.

43

NOTE 15: SIGNIFICANT CUSTOMERS AND FOREIGN SALES

One customer accounted for 6.4% of the Company's sales for Fiscal Year ended February 28, 2006.

Export sales to customers located outside the United States were approximately as follows:

| | February 28, 2006 ---- | February 28, 2005 ---- |
|----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Western Europe | \$ 839,000 | \$ 482,000 |
| Far East | 1,021,000 | 986,000 |
| Other | 1,176,000 | 925,000 |
| | ----- | ----- |
| | \$3,036,000 | \$2,393,000 |
| | ===== | ===== |

During Fiscal Years 2006 and 2005, sales to foreign customers accounted for approximately \$3,036,000 and \$2,393,000, or 44% and 41% respectively, of total revenues.

NOTE 16: OTHER INCOME

As previously reported on Form 8-K, filed on July 5, 2005, the Company determined that a former employee had misappropriated approximately \$250,000 of the Company's monies, primarily through unauthorized check writing from the Company's accounts over a period of three calendar years. The Company has previously expensed substantially all of the misappropriated funds over the years.

The Company recovered \$157,605 during the year ended February 28, 2006; this amount is recorded as other income. The Company is pursuing appropriate remedies to recover the balance of the funds. As previously discussed, the Company can offer no assurances that it will be successful in its attempt to collect the balance of the remaining restitution.

Edgar Filing: SONO TEK CORP - Form 10KSB

44

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the Registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: May 25, 2006
Sono-Tek Corporation
(Registrant)

By: /s/ Dr. Christopher L. Coccio

Dr. Christopher L. Coccio,
Chief Executive Officer and President

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Dr. Christopher L Coccio May 25, 2006

Christopher L. Coccio
Chief Executive Officer, President and Director

/s/ Samuel Schwartz May 25, 2006

Samuel Schwartz
Chairman and Director

/s/ Stephen J. Bagley May 25, 2006

Stephen J. Bagley
Chief Financial Officer

/s/ Dr. Harvey L Berger May 25, 2006

Dr. Harvey L. Berger
Chief Technology Officer and Director

/s/ Edward J. Handler, III May 25, 2006

Edward J. Handler, III
Director

/s/ Philip A. Strasburg May 25, 2006

Philip A. Strasburg
Director

/s/ Dr. Donald F. Mowbray May 25, 2006

Donald F. Mowbray
Director

45