

FREESEAS INC.
Form F-1
October 22, 2014

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 22, 2014

Registration No. 333-

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM F-1

REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

FREESEAS INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Republic of the Marshall Islands **4412**

(State or other jurisdiction of

incorporation or organization)

Not Applicable

(Primary Standard Industrial (I.R.S. Employer

Classification Code Number) Identification Number)

10 Eleftheriou Venizelou Street

(Panepistimiou Ave.)

10671 Athens, Greece

011-30-210-452-8770

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of Registrant's principal executive offices)

Dimitris Papadopoulos, Chief Financial Officer

FreeSeas Inc.

10 Eleftheriou Venizelou Street

(Panepistimiou Ave.)

10671 Athens, Greece

011-30-210-452-8770

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

Copies to:

Marc J. Ross, Esq.

James M. Turner, Esq.

Sichenzia Ross Friedman Ference LLP

61 Broadway, 32nd Fl.

New York, New York 10006

(212) 930-9700

(212) 930-9725 (fax)

APPROXIMATE DATE OF PROPOSED SALE TO THE PUBLIC:

From time to time after this Registration Statement becomes effective.

If any of the securities being registered on this Form are to be offered on a delayed or continuous basis pursuant to Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, check the following box.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, please check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(c) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of Each Class Of Securities To Be Registered	Amount To Be Registered (1)	Proposed Maximum Offering Price Per Security (2)	Proposed Maximum Aggregate Offering Price	Amount Of Registration Fee
Common Stock, \$.001 par value per share (3)	17,500,000	\$ 0.17	\$2,975,000	\$ 345.70
Preferred Share Purchase Rights (4)	--	\$ --	\$--	\$ --
Total	17,500,000	\$ 0.17	\$2,975,000	\$ 345.70

(1) Pursuant to Rule 416, there are also being registered an indeterminable number of additional securities as may be issued to prevent dilution resulting from stock splits, stock dividends or similar transactions, but shall not apply to additional securities that may be issued as a result of any price protection provisions relating to the convertible preferred stock.

(2) Estimated solely for purposes of calculating the registration fee in accordance with Rule 457(c) under the Securities Act of 1933, using the average of the high and low price as reported on The NASDAQ Capital Market on October 20, 2014, which was \$0.17 per share.

(3) Represents shares of Common Stock issuable upon the exercise or exchange of warrants held by the selling stockholder.

(4) The preferred stock purchase rights are initially attached to and trade with the shares of our common stock registered hereby. Value attributed to such rights, if any, is reflected in the market price of the Registrant's common stock.

The registrant hereby amends this registration statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this registration statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 or until the registration statement shall become effective on such date as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. The selling stockholders may not sell these securities under this prospectus until the registration statement of which it is a part and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities and it is not soliciting an offer to buy these securities in any state where the offer or sale is not permitted.

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED OCTOBER 22, 2014

PROSPECTUS

FreeSeas Inc.

Up to 17,500,000 Shares of Common Stock

This prospectus relates to the resale of up to 17,500,000 shares of our common stock, \$0.001 par value (the “Common Stock”), by the selling stockholder named herein. These shares of Common Stock are issuable upon exercise or exchange of the Series A Warrants and Series B Warrants. For information about the selling stockholder, see “Selling Stockholders” on page 42.

The selling stockholder may sell shares of Common Stock from time to time in the principal market on which our Common Stock is quoted at the prevailing market price or in negotiated transactions. We are not selling any securities under this prospectus and will not receive any of the proceeds from the sale of Common Stock by the selling stockholder except for funds received from the exercise of the warrants held by the selling stockholder, if and when exercised for cash. We will pay the expenses of registering these shares of Common Stock, including legal and accounting fees. See “Plan of Distribution.”

Our common stock is currently quoted on The NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol “FREE.” On October 21, 2014, the closing price of our common stock was \$0.23 per share. You are urged to obtain current market quotations for the common stock.

We may amend or supplement this prospectus from time to time by filing amendments or supplements as required. You should read the entire prospectus and any amendments or supplements carefully before you make your investment decision.

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. Before making any investment in our common stock, you should read and carefully consider the risks described in this prospectus under “Risk Factors” beginning on page 7 of this prospectus.

To the best of our knowledge, no person has been engaged to facilitate the sale of shares of our stock in this offering. The Securities and Exchange Commission may take the view that, under certain circumstances, any broker-dealers or agents that participate with the selling stockholders in the distribution of the shares may be deemed to be “underwriters” within the meaning of the Securities Act. Commissions, discounts or concessions received by any such broker-dealer or agent may be deemed to be underwriting commissions under the Securities Act.

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus or any prospectus supplement or amendment thereto. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with different information.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any other regulatory body has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the accuracy or adequacy of this registration statement. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is , 2014.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	ii
<u>ENFORCEABILITY OF CIVIL LIABILITIES</u>	iii
<u>ABOUT THIS PROSPECTUS</u>	iii
<u>COMPANY INFORMATION</u>	1
<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	7
<u>PRICE RANGE OF OUR PUBLICLY TRADED SECURITIES</u>	25
<u>DIVIDEND POLICY</u>	26
<u>USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	26
<u>CAPITALIZATION</u>	26
<u>SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND OTHER DATA</u>	27
<u>OTHER INFORMATION ABOUT THE COMPANY</u>	30
<u>DESCRIPTION OF SECURITIES</u>	30
<u>MARSHALL ISLANDS COMPANY CONSIDERATIONS</u>	33
<u>TAXATION</u>	36
<u>SELLING STOCKHOLDERS</u>	42
<u>PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION</u>	43
<u>EXPENSES RELATING TO THIS OFFERING</u>	45
<u>LEGAL MATTERS</u>	45
<u>EXPERTS</u>	45
<u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u>	45
<u>INCORPORATION OF CERTAIN INFORMATION BY REFERENCE</u>	46

If it is against the law in any state to make an offer to sell these shares, or to solicit an offer from someone to buy these shares, then this prospectus does not apply to any person in that state, and no offer or solicitation is made by this prospectus to any such person.

You should rely only on the information contained in this prospectus. We have not authorized anyone to provide you with different information. If anyone provides you with different or inconsistent information, you should not rely on it. We are not making an offer to sell these securities in any jurisdiction where the offer and sale is not permitted. You should assume that the information appearing in this prospectus is accurate only as of the date on the front cover of this prospectus. Our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects may have changed since that date.

We obtained statistical data, market data and other industry data and forecasts used throughout this prospectus from publicly available information. While we believe that the statistical data, industry data, forecasts and market research are reliable, we have not independently verified the data, and we do not make any representation as to the accuracy of the information.

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus contains certain forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements include information about possible or assumed future results of our operations and our performance. Our forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements regarding us or our management's expectations, hopes, beliefs, intentions or strategies regarding the future and other statements other than statements of historical fact. In addition, any statements that refer to projections, forecasts or other characterizations of future events or circumstances, including any underlying assumptions, are forward-looking statements. The words "anticipates," "forecasts," "believe," "continue," "could," "estimate," "expect," "intends," "may," "might," "plan," "possible," "potential," "predicts," "project," "should," "would" and similar expressions may identify forward-looking statements, but the absence of these words does not mean that a statement is not forward-looking. Forward-looking statements in this prospectus may include, for example, statements about:

- our future operating or financial results;

- our financial condition and liquidity, including our ability to comply with our loan covenants, to repay our indebtedness and to continue as a going concern;

- potential liability from future litigation and incidents involving our vessels, including seizures by pirates, and our expected recoveries of claims under our insurance policies;

- our ability to comply with the continued listing standards on the exchange or trading market on which our common stock is listed for trading;

- our ability to find employment for our vessels;

- drybulk shipping industry trends, including charter rates and factors affecting vessel supply and demand;

- business strategy, areas of possible expansion, and expected capital spending or operating expenses and general and administrative expenses;

- the useful lives and value of our vessels;

- our ability to receive in full or partially our accounts receivable and insurance claims;

greater than anticipated levels of drybulk vessel new building orders or lower than anticipated rates of drybulk vessel scrapping;

- changes in the cost of other modes of bulk commodity transportation;

- availability of crew, number of off-hire days, dry-docking requirements and insurance costs;

changes in condition of our vessels or applicable maintenance or regulatory standards (which may affect, among other things, our anticipated dry-docking costs);

- competition in the seaborne transportation industry;

- global and regional economic and political conditions;

- fluctuations in currencies and interest rates;

- the overall health and condition of the U.S. and global financial markets;

- changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns;

- changes in governmental rules and regulations or actions taken by regulatory authorities;

- our ability to pay dividends in the future;

- acts of terrorism and other hostilities; and

- other factors discussed in the section titled “Risk Factors” in this prospectus.

The forward-looking statements contained in this prospectus are based on our current expectations and beliefs concerning future developments and their potential effects on us. There can be no assurance that future developments affecting us will be those that we have anticipated. These forward-looking statements involve a number of risks, uncertainties (some of which are beyond our control) or other assumptions that may cause actual results or performance to be materially different from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those factors described under the heading “Risk Factors.” Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should any of our assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary in material respects from those projected in these forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as may be required under applicable securities laws and/or if and when management knows or has a reasonable basis on which to conclude that previously disclosed projections are no longer reasonably attainable.

ENFORCEABILITY OF CIVIL LIABILITIES

FreeSeas Inc. is a Marshall Islands company and our executive offices are located outside of the United States in Athens, Greece. Some of our directors, officers and experts named in this prospectus reside outside the United States. In addition, a substantial portion of our assets and the assets of our directors, officers and experts are located outside of the United States. As a result, you may have difficulty serving legal process within the United States upon us or any of these persons. You may also have difficulty enforcing, both in and outside the United States, judgments you may obtain in U.S. courts against us or these persons in any action, including actions based upon the civil liability provisions of U.S. federal or state securities laws. Furthermore, there is substantial doubt that the courts of the Republic of the Marshall Islands or Greece would enter judgments in original actions brought in those courts predicated on U.S. federal or state securities laws.

ABOUT THIS PROSPECTUS

References in this prospectus to “FreeSeas,” “we,” “us,” “our” or “company” refer to FreeSeas Inc. and our subsidiaries, but, if the context otherwise requires, may refer only to FreeSeas Inc.

We use the term “deadweight tons,” or “dwt,” in describing the capacity of our drybulk carriers. Dwt, expressed in metric tons, each of which is equivalent to 1,000 kilograms, refers to the maximum weight of cargo and supplies that a vessel can carry. Drybulk carriers are generally categorized as Handysize, Handymax, Panamax and Capesize. The carrying capacity of a Handysize drybulk carrier typically ranges from 10,000 to 39,999 dwt and that of a Handymax drybulk carrier typically ranges from 40,000 to 59,999 dwt. By comparison, the carrying capacity of a Panamax drybulk carrier typically ranges from 60,000 to 79,999 dwt and the carrying capacity of a Capesize drybulk carrier typically is

80,000 dwt and above.

Unless otherwise indicated:

All references to “\$” and “dollars” in this prospectus are to U.S. dollars;

Financial information presented in this prospectus is derived from financial statements for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. Please see “Incorporation of Certain Information by Reference.” These financial statements were prepared in accordance with the U.S. generally accepted accounting principles;

potential liability from future litigation and incidents involving our vessels, including seizures by pirates and our expected recoveries of claims under our insurance policies; and

All references to dollar amounts in this prospectus are expressed in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for dollar amounts relating to this offering, the Investment Agreements with Dutchess Opportunity Fund, II, LP (“Dutchess”) and Granite State Capital, LLC (“Granite”) and the Standby Equity Distribution Agreement with YA Global Master SPV Ltd. (“YA Global”) and the Securities Purchase Agreement with Crede CG III, Ltd. (“Crede”).

All share-related and per share information in this prospectus have been adjusted to give effect to the one share for five share reverse stock split that was effective on October 1, 2010, the one share for ten share reverse stock split that was effective on February 14, 2013 and the one share for five share reverse stock split that was effective on December 2, 2013.

COMPANY INFORMATION

This summary highlights certain information appearing elsewhere in this prospectus. For a more complete understanding of this offering, you should read the entire prospectus carefully, including the risk factors and the financial statements.

Our Company

We are an international drybulk shipping company incorporated under the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands with principal executive offices in Athens, Greece. Our fleet currently consists of four Handysize vessels and one Handymax vessel that carry a variety of drybulk commodities, including iron ore, grain and coal, which are referred to as “major bulks,” as well as bauxite, phosphate, fertilizers, steel products, cement, sugar and rice, or “minor bulks.” As of October 15, 2014, the aggregate dwt of our operational fleet is approximately 173,089 dwt and the average age of our fleet is 17.1 years.

Our investment and operational focus has been in the Handysize sector, which is generally defined as less than 40,000 dwt of carrying capacity and the Handymax sector which is generally defined as between 40,000 dwt and 60,000 dwt. Handysize and Handymax vessels are, we believe, more versatile in the types of cargoes that they can carry and trade routes they can follow, and offer less volatile returns than larger vessel classes. We believe this segment also offers better demand and supply demographics than other drybulk asset classes. Due to the very adverse charter rate environment of the latest shipping cycle values of larger vessels have dropped to levels that constitute buying opportunities. We shall explore the possibility to expand into other segments of the dry-bulk sector.

We have contracted the management of our fleet to entities controlled (our Managers) by Ion G. Varouxakis, our Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, and one of our principal shareholders. Our Managers provide technical management of our fleet, commercial management of our fleet, financial reporting and accounting services and office space. While the Managers are responsible for finding and arranging charters for our vessels, the final decision to charter our vessels remains with us.

In their report dated March 24, 2014, our independent registered public accounting firm expressed substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern as we have incurred recurring operating losses, have a working capital deficiency, have failed to meet scheduled payment obligations under our loan facilities and have not complied with certain covenants included in our loan agreements with banks. For the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, we have incurred net losses of \$48,705, \$30,888 and \$88,196, respectively. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had working capital deficits of \$59,041 and \$70,973, respectively. We have failed to service our debt with Credit Suisse and received notices of default from National Bank of Greece (“NBG”) and Credit Suisse. Furthermore, if

we were forced to liquidate our assets, the amount realized could be substantially lower than the carrying value of these assets. Our ability to continue as a going concern is subject to our ability to obtain necessary funding from outside sources, including obtaining additional funding from the sale of our securities, obtaining loans from various financial institutions or lenders where possible and restructuring outstanding debt obligations that are currently in default. Our continued net operating losses increase the difficulty in meeting such goals and there can be no assurances that such methods will prove successful.

Our Fleet

The following table details the vessels in our fleet as of October 16, 2014:

Vessel Name	Type	Built	Dwt	Employment
<i>M/V Nemorino</i> <i>(ex Free Jupiter) See note (*) below</i>	Handymax	2002	47,777	Completing a time charter trip at \$4,250 per day till the end of October 2014.
<i>M/V Free Maverick</i>	Handysize	1998	23,994	About 40-45 day trip - time charter equivalent at \$4,850 per day through November 2014, with option of \$8,000 per day after 45 days.
<i>M/V Free Neptune</i>	Handysize	1996	30,838	Just completed a time charter trip at \$6,500 per day; awaiting orders.
<i>M/V Free Hero</i>	Handysize	1995	24,318	About 20-25 day trip - time charter equivalent at \$6,750 per day until mid of November 2014.
<i>M/V Free Goddess</i>	Handysize	1995	22,051	See note (**) below.

(*) The *M/V Free Jupiter* was sold for USD 12,250,000 to an unrelated third party and subsequently entered into a long term bareboat charter with the vessel's new owners. The vessel has been chartered by us for seven years at a rate of USD 5,325 per day on bareboat charter terms typical for this type of transaction which grant us the full commercial utilization of the vessel against payment of the charter rate to her owners. In addition, the terms of the charter afford a number of purchase options during its course. An amount of USD 3,750,000 was deposited by us as security for the fulfillment of the terms of the charter.

*(**) The M/V Free Goddess was hijacked by Somali pirates on February 7, 2012 while transiting the Indian Ocean eastbound. On October 11, 2012, we announced that all 21 crew members of the M/V Free Goddess were reported safe and well after the vessel's release by the pirates. At the time of the hijacking, the vessel was on time charter in laden condition. Since the release from the pirates, the vessel has been laying at the port of Salalah, Oman, undertaking repairs funded mostly by insurers. The repairs of the vessel were completed, and notice of readiness was tendered to her Charterers for the resumption of the voyage. The Charterers repudiated the Charter and we accepted Charterers' repudiation and terminated the fixture reserving our right to claim damages and other amounts due to us. The Tribunal previously constituted will hear our claim for (amongst others) unpaid hire and damages from the Charterers. In the meantime, cargo interests have commenced proceedings under the Bills of Lading although they have not yet particularized their claims. At the same time, all options are being explored for the commercial resolution of the situation arising from Charterers refusal to honor their obligations, including the further contribution by insurers and cargo interests towards the completion of the voyage and recovery of amounts due. The Company is working for a diligent solution in order to complete the voyage without further delays. In case no commercially reasonable solution may be found, the Company will explore its strategic alternatives with respect to this vessel.*

Securities Purchase Agreement with Crede

On November 3, 2013, we entered into a securities purchase agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") with Crede for an aggregate investment of \$10,000,000 into our Company through the private placement of two series of zero-dividend convertible preferred stock (collectively, the "Preferred Stock") and Series A Warrants and Series B Warrants (collectively, the "Warrants"), subject to certain terms and conditions.

At the first closing (the "Initial Closing"), which occurred on November 5, 2013, for \$1.5 million, we sold to Crede 15,000 shares of Series B Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Series B Preferred Stock"), together with the Warrants. The Series B Preferred Stock was convertible into shares of Common Stock at the lower of (i) \$2.00 and (ii) the closing bid price of our Common Stock on the first (1st) trading day immediately following the effective date of the Registration Statement described below.

The Series A Warrants are initially exercisable for 5,000,000 shares of our Common Stock at an initial exercise price of \$2.60 per share and have a 5-year term. The Series B Warrants are initially exercisable for 2,500,000 shares of our Common Stock at an initial exercise price of \$2.60 per share and will expire on November 5, 2014, the one year anniversary of the Initial Closing.

At the second closing, which occurred on December 30, 2013, the Company sold to Crede 85,000 shares of our Series C Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Series C Preferred Stock") for \$8,500. The Series C Preferred Stock was convertible into our Common Stock at the same price at which the Series B Preferred Stock was convertible.

Crede may exercise the Warrants by paying cash or electing to receive a cash payment from us equal to the negotiated Black Scholes value of the number of shares Crede elects to exercise. We may elect to treat such request for a cash payment as a cashless exercise of the Warrants so long as (i) we are in compliance in all material respects with our obligations under the transaction documents, (ii) the Registration Statement is effective and (iii) our Common Stock is listed or designated for quotation on an eligible market.

The convertibility of the Preferred Stock and the exercisability of the Warrants each may be limited if, upon conversion or exercise (as the case may be), the holder thereof or any of its affiliates would beneficially own more than 9.9% of our Common Stock. The Preferred Stock and the Warrants contain customary weighted-average anti-dilution protection.

The Preferred Stock will not accrue dividends, except to the extent dividends are paid on our Common Stock. Our Common Stock will be junior in rank to the Preferred Stock upon the liquidation, dissolution and winding up of our company. The Preferred Stock will generally have no voting rights except as required by law.

In addition, we reimbursed Crede for all costs and expenses incurred by it or its affiliates in connection with the transactions contemplated by the transaction documents in a non-accountable amount equal to \$75,000. In addition, we paid Crede an additional non-refundable amount equal to \$75,000 upon occurrence of the Initial Closing and will pay Crede \$425,000 upon occurrence of the second closing, in each case, as an unallocated expense reimbursement.

Crede has the right to participate on the same terms as other investors, up to 25% of the amount of any subsequent financing we enter into, for a period of (i) one year from the second closing or (ii) if parties' obligations to consummate the second closing are terminated pursuant to Section 8 of the Purchase Agreement, then (A) one year from the Initial Closing if we are not in material breach of our obligations under the transaction documents at the time of such termination or (B) two years from the Initial Closing if we are in material breach of our obligations under the transaction documents at the time of such termination.

Until one year after the second closing (provided, that, if parties' obligations to consummate the second closing are terminated pursuant to Section 8 of the Purchase Agreement, the restricted period shall be (i) one year from the Initial Closing if we are not in material breach of our obligations under the transaction documents at the time of such termination or (ii) two years from the Initial Closing if we are in material breach of our obligations under the transaction documents at the time of such termination), we are prohibited from entering into any transaction to (i) sell any convertible securities at a conversion rate or other price that is generally based on and/or varies with the trading prices of our Common Stock at any time after the initial issuance of such convertible securities or (ii) sell securities at a future determined price, including, without limitation, an "equity line of credit" or an "at the market offering."

Between December 30, 2013 and January 8, 2014, Crede converted all of the Series B Preferred Stock and Series C Preferred Stock into shares of Common Stock.

Recent Developments

On January 3, 2014, the Company issued to Crede 1,500,000 shares of common stock upon conversion of 30,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock.

On January 8, 2014, the Company issued to Crede 1,300,000 shares of common stock upon conversion of 26,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock.

On January 29, 2014, the Company entered into a deferral interest payment agreement with Credit Suisse, pursuant to which the interest payment of \$115 due on January 31, 2014 was deferred to February 28, 2014.

On January 30, 2014, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries entered into a term sheet with Credit Suisse in order to settle its obligations arising from the Loan Agreement with the Bank. Pursuant to the term sheet, Credit Suisse agreed to accept a cash payment of approximately \$22,000 in full and final settlement of all of the Company's obligations to Credit Suisse and Credit Suisse would forgive the remaining outstanding balance of approximately \$15,000. Upon payment, all of the existing corporate guarantees of the Company and its subsidiaries and the mortgages and security interests on its three vessels (*M/V Free Goddess*, *M/V Free Hero* and *M/V Free Jupiter*) as well as all assignments in favor of Credit Suisse will be released. The closing of such transaction is contingent upon the Company being able to raise capital towards making such payment.

On February 3, 2014, the Company paid the amount of \$201 to fully unwind the two interest rate swap agreements with Credit Suisse.

On February 6, 2014, the Company issued 67,476 shares of common stock to Asher Enterprises, Inc. ("Asher") upon conversion of \$75 principal of a convertible promissory note dated July 29, 2013, plus accrued interest.

On February 7, 2014, the Company issued 53,700 shares of common stock to Asher upon conversion of the remaining principal of \$53.5 convertible promissory note dated July 29, 2013, plus accrued interest.

On December 31, 2013, Charterers, Transbulk submitted a claim against the Company for a balance of hire of *M/V Free Knight* relating to alleged period of off-hire, other deductions from hire and various expenses incurred on Company's account in the sum of \$265 and obtained a court arrest order. On February 6, 2014, the Charterers agreed to accept \$200 all inclusive in full and final settlement of all claims under the Charterparty and *M/V Free Knight* was released.

On February 18, 2014, the Company sold the M/V *Free Knight*, a 1998-built, 24,111 dwt Handysize dry bulk carrier for a gross sale price of \$3.6 million and the vessel was delivered to her new owners. The Company recognized an impairment charge of \$24 million in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013, incorporated herein by reference.

On February 22, 2014, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries entered into terms with NBG for settlement of its obligations arising from the Loan Agreement with the Bank. Pursuant to the terms, NBG agreed to accept a cash payment of \$22,000 in full and final settlement of all of the Company's obligations to the NBG and NBG would forgive the remaining outstanding balance of approximately \$3,700. Upon payment, all of the existing corporate guarantees of the Company and its subsidiaries and the mortgages and security interests on its two vessels (M/V *Free Impala* and M/V *Free Neptune*) as well as all assignments in favor of NBG will be released. The closing of such transaction is contingent upon the Company being able to raise capital towards making such payment.

On February 28, 2014, pursuant to the deferral interest payment agreement with Credit Suisse, the Company paid the deferred interest of \$115.

On April 9, 2014 we filed a Registered Direct Public Offering with the SEC in the form of F-1, which was subsequently amended on May 1, 2014 (F-1/A1), May 19, 2014 (F-1/A2) and May 21, 2014 (F-1A/3), offering 250,000 units at a purchase price of \$100 per unit, with each unit consisting of one share of our Series D Convertible Preferred Stock, at par value \$0.001 per share, and Series C Warrants to purchase 200% of the shares of common stock underlying the Series D Preferred Stock, at an exercise price of 130% of the Series D Preferred Stock conversion price on the initial issuance date of the Series D Preferred Stock, rounded to the nearest cent.

On May 28, 2014 the Company closed on the \$25,000 offering of Series D Convertible Preferred Stock and Series C Warrants, following the execution of a Placement Agent agreement with Dawson James Securities, Inc. on May 21, 2014. The securities sold were 250,000 units, at a purchase price of \$100 per unit, with each unit consisting of one share of the Company's Series D Convertible Preferred Stock and 184 Series C Warrants, exercisable for five years at an initial price of \$1.42 per share. Each share of Series D Preferred Stock sold has a stated value of \$100 and is initially convertible into 92 shares of common stock at the initial conversion price of \$1.09.

On May 28, 2014, we concluded an agreement with Credit Suisse to pay approximately \$22,636 from the offering proceeds to eliminate all of our debt obligations owed to Credit Suisse, amounting to \$37,636 as of that date, and be discharged and released from any and all payment obligations (actual and contingent) owed and payable by the Company in respect of all amounts of principal, interest thereon, fees, costs and expenses under the credit Facility Agreement and Master Swap Agreement, both dated December 24, 2007 (as amended and/or supplemented and/or restated from time to time). Under the terms of this agreement Credit Suisse undertook, upon receipt of such payment, to cancel all the remaining debt of \$15,000 owed by the Company and to release (i) any and all liens it has on the assets of the Company and (ii) all corporate guarantees received from the Company's subsidiaries.

On May 30, 2014, having paid the amount of \$22,636 to Credit Suisse, as per the agreement above, we received the relative Waiver of Debt and Deed of Release and Reassignment, which included the release of all first preferred mortgages, general assignments of collateral and charter assignments (relating to our vessels M/V Free Jupiter, M/V Free Hero and M/V Free Goddess) together with each vessel's release and reassignment of insurance, as well as the release of all first priority account pledges and guarantee agreements executed by our subsidiaries owning these vessels.

On June 3, 2014, we issued an aggregate of 7,212,081 shares of common stock upon conversion of 78,612 shares of Series D Preferred Stock.

On June 18, 2014, we issued 2,800,000 shares of common stock to Crede upon conversion of 30,520 shares of Series D Preferred Stock.

On June 20, 2014, we issued an aggregate of 165,881 shares of common stock upon conversion of 1,808 shares of Series D Preferred Stock.

On July 1, 2014, we issued an aggregate of 9,176 shares of common stock upon conversion of 100 shares of Series D Preferred Stock.

On July 15, 2014, we received a letter from NASDAQ, notifying us that for the last 30 consecutive business days, the closing bid price of the Company's common stock has been below \$1.00 per share, the minimum closing bid price required by the continued listing requirements of NASDAQ set forth in Listing Rule 5550(a)(2). We have 180 calendar days, or until January 12, 2015, to regain compliance with Rule 5550(a)(2) (the "Compliance Period"). To regain compliance, the closing bid price of the Company's common stock must be at least \$1.00 per share for a minimum of 10 consecutive business days during the Compliance Period. The NASDAQ notification has no effect at

this time on the listing of the Company's common stock on The NASDAQ Capital Market.

On July 16, 2014, we received a letter from NASDAQ indicating that we were not in compliance with the applicable \$2.5 million stockholders' equity requirement, as set forth in Listing Rule 5550(b)(1) (the "Stockholders' Equity Requirement"). The letter indicated that we had 45 days, or until September 2, 2014, to submit a plan to regain compliance. If our plan is accepted, NASDAQ has the discretion to grant us up to 180 days from July 16, 2014 to regain compliance. If NASDAQ does not accept our plan, we would have the opportunity to appeal that decision to a hearings panel.

On August 13, 2014, we received a letter from NASDAQ indicating that we had achieved compliance with the Stockholders' Equity Requirement for continued listing on The NASDAQ Capital Market and that the matter was now closed.

On August 27, 2014, the Company issued to Crede 2,000,000 shares of common stock upon conversion of 21,800 shares of Series D Preferred Stock.

On August 29, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 987,160 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 1,434,960 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On September 1, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 717,600 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 1,143,745 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On September 3, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 727,160 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 1,255,574 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On September 4, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 366,160 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 654,037 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On September 8, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 122,912 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 237,661 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On September 10, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 92,000 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 2,092,273 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On September 11, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 92,000 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 204,972 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On September 11, 2014, the Company issued to Crede 2,000,000 shares of common stock upon conversion of 21,800 shares of Series D Preferred Stock.

On September 12, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 598,000 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 1,512,513 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On September 15, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 202,400 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 537,657 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On September 16, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 187,680 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 511,540 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On September 16, 2014, the Company sold the M/V *Free Jupiter* for a gross sale price of \$12,250,000 and subsequently entered into a long term bareboat charter with the vessel's new owners. The vessel has been chartered by the Company for seven years at a rate of \$5,325 per day on bareboat charter terms typical for this type of transaction which grant the Company the full commercial utilization of the vessel against payment of the charter rate to its owners. In addition, the terms of the charter afford a number of purchase options during its course. An amount of \$3,750,000 was deposited by the Company as security for the fulfillment of the terms of the charter.

On September 17, 2014 the Company made a payment of \$2.7 million to reduce outstanding indebtedness with the NBG.

On September 17, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 46,000 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 158,853 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On September 18, 2014, the Company issued to Crede 4,000,000 shares of common stock upon conversion of 43,600 shares of Series D Preferred Stock.

On September 18, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 662,400 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 2,548,250 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On September 23, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 77,280 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 370,251 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On September 24, 2014, the Company sold the M/V *Free Impala*, a 1997-built, 24,111 dwt Handysize dry bulk carrier for a gross sale price of \$3.6 million and the vessel was delivered to her new owners. Substantially all the proceeds have been used to reduce outstanding indebtedness with the National Bank of Greece (NBG), which had a mortgage on the vessel.

On September 24, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 3,344,936 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 17,223,429 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On September 26, 2014, Crede exercised Series B Warrants for 449,903 shares of common stock pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrant, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 3,750,000 shares of common stock to Crede in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On October 6, 2014, the Company issued to Crede 4,000,000 shares of common stock upon conversion of 43,600 shares of Series D Preferred Stock.

On October 7, 2014, holders of the Series C Warrants exercised such warrants for 119,600 shares of common stock in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrants, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 826,038 shares of common stock in the aggregate to the holders thereof in respect thereof pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

On October 16, 2014, Crede exercised Series B Warrants for 263,358 shares of common stock pursuant to the cashless exercise provisions thereof. Pursuant to the terms of such warrant, the Company elected to issue, and has issued, 3,750,000 shares of common stock to Crede in the aggregate pursuant to the cashless exercise formula set forth therein.

Our Corporate History

We were incorporated on April 23, 2004 under the name “Adventure Holdings S.A.” pursuant to the laws of the Republic of the Marshall Islands to serve as the parent holding company of our ship-owning entities. On April 27, 2005, we changed our name to “FreeSeas Inc.”

We became a public reporting company on December 15, 2005, when we completed a merger with Trinity Partners Acquisition Company Inc., or Trinity, a blank check company formed to serve as a vehicle to complete a business combination with an operating business, in which we were the surviving corporation. At the time of the merger we owned three drybulk carriers. We currently own four vessels and control one additional vessel through a seven-year bareboat charter agreement.

In January 2007, Ion G. Varouxakis purchased all of the common stock owned by our two other co-founding shareholders. He simultaneously sold a portion of the common stock owned by him to FS Holdings Limited, an entity controlled by the Restis family, and to certain other investors. Immediately following these transactions, our Board of Directors appointed Ion G. Varouxakis Chairman of the Board and President, our two other co-founding shareholders and one other director resigned from the Board of Directors, and two new directors were appointed to fill the vacancies.

On September 30, 2010, our shareholders approved a one-for-five reverse split of our outstanding common stock effective October 1, 2010. On January 22, 2013, the Company’s Board of Directors authorized a reverse stock split at a ratio of 1 for 10, which was effective on February 14, 2013. On November 14, 2013, the Company’s Board of Directors authorized a reverse stock split at a ratio of 1 for five, which was effective on December 2, 2013.

As of October 21, 2014, we had outstanding 85,759,515 shares of our common stock.

Our common stock currently trades on The NASDAQ Capital Market under the trading symbol “FREE.”

Our Executive Offices

Our principal executive offices are located at 10, Eleftheriou Venizelou Street (Panepistimiou Ave.), 10671, Athens, Greece and our telephone number is 011-30-210-452-8770.

RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. In addition to those risks described in our Annual Report on Form 20-F for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 filed with the SEC, you should consider carefully the risks described below, together with the other information contained in this prospectus before making a decision to invest in our common stock.

Risk Factors Relating to FreeSeas

At June 30, 2014, FreeSeas' current liabilities exceeded its current assets, which could impair its ability to successfully operate its business and could have material adverse effects on its revenues, cash flows and profitability and its ability to comply with its debt covenants and pay its debt service and other obligations.

As a result of the historically low charter rates for drybulk vessels which have been affecting the Company for over four years, and the resulting material adverse impact on the Company's results from operations, the accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis. As of June 30, 2014, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$255 and based on its cash flow projections for the remaining of 2014, the Company will not be able to make scheduled debt repayments as of June 30, 2014, interest payments as well as cover operating expenses and capital expenditure requirements for at least twelve months from the balance sheet date.

As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had working capital deficits of \$31,698 and \$59,041, respectively. All of the above raises substantial doubt regarding the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management plans to continue to provide for its capital requirements by issuing additional equity securities and debt in addition to executing their business plan. The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to obtain the necessary financing to meet its obligations and repay its liabilities arising from normal course of business operations when they come due and to generate profitable operations in the future.

The Company has incurred net income of approximately \$570 and net loss of \$17,054 during the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. In addition, the Company has incurred net losses of approximately \$48,705, \$30,888 and \$88,196 during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As of June 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, the Company had working capital deficits of approximately \$31,698 and \$59,041, respectively. All of the above raises substantial doubt regarding the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management plans to continue to provide for its capital requirements by issuing additional equity securities and debt in addition to executing their business plan. The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to obtain the necessary financing to meet its obligations and repay its liabilities arising from normal course

of business operations when they come due and to generate profitable operations in the future.

In January and April 2013, the Company received notifications from FBB that the Company is in default under its loan agreements as a result of the breach of certain covenants and the failure to pay principal and interest due under the loan agreements. Effective May 13, 2013, the bank's deposits and loans other than the loans in definite delay and the bank's network of nineteen branches were transferred to the National Bank of Greece ("NBG"). The license of FBB was revoked and the bank was placed under special liquidation. The Company's loan facility and deposits have been transferred to NBG. In June 2014, the Company received notification from NBG that the Company has not paid the aggregate amount of \$12,381 constituting repayment installments and accrued interest due on June 16, 2014. The Company has entered into an agreement with the NBG to settle the outstanding payments upon a cash payment of a portion of the outstanding amount, whereby the NBG would forgive the remaining balance. The closing of such transaction is contingent upon the Company raising the necessary funds. See "Company Information - Recent Developments" for more information.

In 2013, the Company did not pay the interest due in an aggregate amount of \$354 or interest rate swap amounts in an aggregate of \$256 pursuant to the Credit Suisse facility. On February 3, 2014, the Company paid the amount of \$201 to fully unwind the two interest rate swap agreements with Credit Suisse. The Company received several reservation of right letters in 2013 stating that Credit Suisse may take any actions and may exercise all of their rights and remedies referred in the security documents. In May 2014, the Company entered into an agreement with Credit Suisse whereby the Company paid Credit Suisse \$22,600 in full settlement of the outstanding \$37,600 owed, and Credit Suisse forgave the remaining balance of approximately \$15,000.

If the Company is not able to raise the capital necessary to complete the agreement reached with the NBG or if the Company is unable to comply with its restructured loan terms, this could lead to the acceleration of the outstanding debt under its debt agreement. The Company's failure to satisfy its covenants under its debt agreements, and any consequent acceleration of its outstanding indebtedness would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Generally accepted accounting principles require that long-term debt be classified as a current liability when a covenant violation gives the lender the right to call the debt at the balance sheet date, absent a waiver. As a result of the actual breach existing under the Company's credit facility with NBG, acceleration of such debt by its lender could result. Accordingly, as of June 30, 2014, the Company is required to reclassify its long term debt as current liability on its consolidated balance sheet since the Company has not received waiver in respect of the breach discussed above.

Management is currently seeking and will continue to seek to restructure the Company's indebtedness and obtain waivers on covenant violations. If the Company is not able to obtain the necessary waivers and/or restructure its debt, this could lead to the acceleration of the outstanding debt under its debt agreements. The Company's failure to satisfy its covenants and payment obligations under its debt agreements, and any consequent acceleration and cross acceleration of its outstanding indebtedness would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business operations, financial condition and liquidity.

The Company is currently exploring several alternatives aiming to manage its working capital requirements and other commitments, including offerings of securities through structured financing agreements, disposition of certain vessels in its current fleet and additional reductions in operating and other costs.

The unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2014, incorporated herein by reference, were prepared assuming that the Company would continue as a going concern. Accordingly, the financial statements did not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts, the amounts and classification of liabilities, or any other adjustments that might result in the event the Company is unable to continue as a going concern, except for the current classification of debt and derivative financial instrument liability .

We received a report from our independent registered public accounting firm with an explanatory paragraph for the year ended December 31, 2013 with respect to our ability to continue as a going concern. The existence of such a report may adversely affect our stock price and our ability to raise capital. There is no assurance that we will not receive a similar report for our year ended December 31, 2014.

In their report dated March 24, 2014, our independent registered public accounting firm expressed substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern as we have incurred recurring operating losses, have a working capital deficiency, have failed to meet scheduled payment obligations under our loan facilities and have not complied with certain covenants included in our loan agreements with banks. Furthermore, if we were forced to liquidate our assets, the amount realized could be substantially lower than the carrying value of these assets. Our ability to continue as a going concern is subject to our ability to obtain necessary funding from outside sources, including obtaining additional funding from the sale of our securities, obtaining loans from various financial institutions or lenders where possible and restructuring outstanding debt obligations that are currently in default. Our continued net operating losses increase the difficulty in meeting such goals and there can be no assurances that such methods will prove successful.

We have been in breach of certain loan covenants contained in our loan agreement with NBG. If we are not successful in obtaining a waiver with respect to covenants breached, our lender may declare an event of default and accelerate our outstanding indebtedness under the agreement, which would impair our ability to continue to conduct our business, which raises substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Our loan agreement requires that we comply with certain financial and other covenants. As a result of the drop in our drybulk asset values, we were not in compliance with the NBG facility covenants relating to vessel values as of June 30, 2014. In addition, we were in breach of interest cover ratios, leverage and minimum liquidity covenants with the NBG facility not previously waived. A violation of these covenants constitutes an event of default under our credit facility, which would, unless waived by our lender, provide our lender with the right to require us to post additional collateral, increase our interest payments and/or pay down our indebtedness to a level where we are in compliance with our loan covenants. Furthermore, our lender may accelerate our indebtedness and foreclose its liens on our vessels, in which case our vessels may be auctioned or otherwise transferred which would impair our ability to continue to conduct our business. As a result of these breaches, our total indebtedness is presented within current liabilities in the June 30, 2014 interim condensed consolidated balance sheet.

In January and April 2013, the Company received notifications from FBB that the Company is in default under its loan agreements as a result of the breach of certain covenants and the failure to pay principal and interest due under the loan agreements. Effective May 13, 2013, the bank's deposits and loans other than the loans in definite delay and the bank's network of nineteen branches were transferred to NBG. The license of FBB was revoked and the bank was placed under special liquidation. The Company's loan facility and deposits have been transferred to NBG. In January 2014, the Company received notification from NBG that the Company has not paid the aggregate amount of \$12,381, constituting repayment installments and accrued interest due on June 16, 2014. The Company has entered into an agreement with the NBG to settle the outstanding payments upon a cash payment of a portion of the outstanding amount, whereby the NBG would forgive the remaining balance. The closing of such transaction is contingent upon the Company raising the necessary funds. See "Company Information - Recent Developments" for more information.

On January 31, 2013, the Company did not pay the interest due of \$124 and the interest rate swap amounts of \$52 and \$28 due on March 5, 2013 and April 2, 2013, respectively, with the Credit Suisse facility. On April 26, 2013, the Company paid the interest of \$124 due on January 31, 2013. On April 30 and July 31, 2013, the Company did not pay the interest due of \$117 and \$119, respectively. Also, the Company did not pay the interest rate swap amounts of \$48, \$25, \$43 and \$22 due on June 5, 2013, July 2, 2013, September 5, 2013, and October 2, 2013, respectively, with the Credit Suisse facility. In addition, on October 31, 2013, the Company did not pay the interest due of \$118 with the Credit Suisse facility. As well, the Company did not pay the interest rate swap amounts of \$38 due on December 5, 2013 and \$19 due on January 2, 2014 with the Credit Suisse facility. On February 3, 2014, the Company paid the amount of \$201 to fully unwind the two interest rate swap agreements with Credit Suisse. The Company received reservation of right letters on August 9, 2013, October 4, 2013, and November 1, 2013 stating that Credit Suisse may take any actions and may exercise all of their rights and remedies referred in the security documents. In May 2014, the Company entered into an agreement with Credit Suisse whereby the Company paid Credit Suisse \$22,600 in full settlement of the outstanding \$37,600 owed, and Credit Suisse forgave the remaining balance of approximately \$15,000.

If the Company is not able to raise the capital necessary to complete the agreement reached with the NBG or if the Company is unable to comply with its restructured loan terms, this could lead to the acceleration of the outstanding debt under its debt agreements. The Company's failure to satisfy its covenants under its debt agreement, and any consequent acceleration of its outstanding indebtedness would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Our loan agreements contain covenants that may limit our liquidity and corporate activities.

If the drybulk market remains depressed or further declines, we may require further waivers and/or covenant amendments to our loan agreements relating to our compliance with certain covenants for certain periods of time. The waivers and/or covenant amendments may impose additional operating and financial restrictions on us and modify the terms of our existing loan agreements. Any such waivers or amendments, if needed, could contain such additional restrictions and might not be granted at all.

Our loan agreements require that we maintain certain financial and other covenants. The low drybulk charter rates and drybulk vessel values have previously affected, and may in the future affect, our ability to comply with these covenants. A violation of these covenants constitutes an event of default under our credit facilities and would provide our lenders with various remedies, including the right to require us to post additional collateral, enhance our equity and liquidity, withhold payment of dividends, increase our interest payments, pay down our indebtedness to a level where we are in compliance with our loan covenants, sell vessels in our fleet, or reclassify our indebtedness as current liabilities. Our lenders could also accelerate our indebtedness and foreclose their liens on our vessels. The exercise of any of these remedies could materially adversely impair our ability to continue to conduct our business. Moreover, our lenders may require the payment of additional fees, require prepayment of a portion of our indebtedness to them, accelerate the amortization schedule for our indebtedness and increase the interest rates they charge us on our outstanding indebtedness.

As a result of our loan covenants, our lenders have imposed operating and financial restrictions on us. These restrictions may limit our ability to:

- incur additional indebtedness;

- create liens on our assets;

- sell capital stock of our subsidiaries;

- make investments;

- engage in mergers or acquisitions;

- pay dividends;

- make capital expenditures;

- change the management of our vessels or terminate or materially amend our management agreements; and

- sell our vessels.

If we need covenant waivers, our lenders may impose additional restrictions and may require the payment of additional fees, require prepayment of a portion of our indebtedness to them, accelerate the amortization schedule for our indebtedness, and increase the interest rates they charge us on our outstanding indebtedness. We may be required to use a significant portion of the net proceeds from any future capital raising to repay a portion of our outstanding indebtedness. This provision does not apply to the proceeds from sales of our common stock under equity line facilities. These potential restrictions and requirements may limit our ability to pay dividends to you, finance our future operations, make acquisitions or pursue business opportunities.

We depend upon a few significant customers for a large part of our revenues. The loss of one or more of these customers could adversely affect our financial performance.

We have historically derived a significant part of our revenue from a small number of charterers. During the six months ended June 30, 2014, we derived approximately 54.8% of our gross revenue from two charterers, and during the same period in 2013, we derived approximately 39.2% of our gross revenues from two charterers. If we do remain dependent, in large part, on a small number of charterers, if one or more of our charterers is unable to perform under one or more charters with us, if we are not able to find appropriate replacement charterers, or if a charterer exercises certain rights to terminate its charter, we could suffer a loss of revenues that could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The international drybulk shipping industry is highly competitive, and we may not be able to compete successfully for charters with new entrants or established companies with greater resources.

We employ our vessels in a highly competitive market that is capital intensive and highly fragmented. Competition arises primarily from other vessel owners, some of which have substantially greater resources than we do. Competition for the transportation of drybulk cargo by sea is intense and depends on price, customer relationships, operating expertise, professional reputation and size, age, location and condition of the vessel. Due in part to the highly fragmented market, additional competitors with greater resources could enter the drybulk shipping industry and operate larger fleets through consolidations or acquisitions and may be able to offer lower charter rates than we are able to offer, which could have a material adverse effect on our fleet utilization and, accordingly, our profitability.

We currently rely on our Manager to manage and charter our fleet.

We currently have no employees and contract all of our financial, accounting, including our financial reporting and internal controls, and other back-office services, and the management of our fleet, including crewing, maintenance and repair, through Free Bulk, S.A., our Manager. We rely on our Manager to provide the technical management of our fleet and to attract charterers and charter brokers. The loss of its services or failure to perform its obligations could reduce our revenues and net income and adversely affect our operations and business if we are not able to contract with other companies to provide these services or take over these aspects of our business directly. FreeSeas has no control over our Manager. Our Manager is not liable to us for any losses or damages, if any, that may result from its management of our fleet unless the same shall have resulted from willful misconduct or gross negligence of our Manager or any person to whom performance of the management services has been delegated by our Manager. Pursuant to its agreement with us, our Manager's liability for such acts, except in certain limited circumstances, may not exceed ten times the annual management fee payable by the applicable subsidiary to our Manager. Although we may have rights against our Manager, if our Manager defaults on its obligations to us, we may have no recourse against our Manager. Further, we will need approval from our lenders if we intend to replace our Manager as our fleet manager.

We and one of our executive officers have affiliations with our Manager that could create conflicts of interest detrimental to us.

Our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President, Ion G. Varouxakis, is also the controlling shareholder and officer of our Manager. These dual responsibilities of our officer and the relationships between the two companies could create conflicts of interest between our Manager and us. Each of our operating subsidiaries has a nonexclusive management agreement with our Manager. Although our Manager currently serves as manager for vessels owned by us, our Manager is not restricted from entering into management agreements with other competing shipping

companies. Our Manager could also allocate charter and/or vessel purchase and sale opportunities to others. There can be no assurance that our Manager would resolve any conflicts of interest in a manner beneficial to us.

Management and service fees are payable to our Manager, regardless of our profitability, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The management and service fees due from us to our Manager are payable whether or not our vessels are employed, and regardless of our profitability. We have no ability to require our Manager to reduce the management fees and service fees if our profitability decreases, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our Manager is a privately held company, and there is little or no publicly available information about it.

The ability of our Manager to continue providing services for our benefit will depend in part on its own financial strength. Circumstances beyond our control could impair our Manager's financial strength. Because our Manager is privately held, it is unlikely that information about its financial strength would become public or available to us prior to any default by our Manager under the management agreement. As a result, an investor in us might have little advance warning of problems that affect our Manager, even though those problems could have a material adverse effect on us.

As part of its services to us, our Manager must continue to upgrade its operational, accounting and financial systems, and add more staff. If our Manager cannot upgrade these systems or recruit suitable additional employees, its services to us and, therefore, our performance may suffer.

Our current operating, internal control, accounting and financial systems are provided by our Manager and may not be adequate if our Manager's efforts to improve those systems may be ineffective. If our Manager cannot continue to upgrade its operational and financial systems effectively or recruit suitable employees, its services to us and, therefore, our performance may suffer and our ability to expand our business further will be restricted.

We and our Manager may be unable to attract and retain key executive officers with experience in the shipping industry, which may reduce the effectiveness of our management and lower our results of operations.

Our success depends to a significant extent upon the abilities and efforts of our and our Manager's executive officers. The loss of any of these individuals could adversely affect our business prospects and financial condition. Our success will depend on retaining these key members of our and our Manager's management team. Difficulty in retaining our

executive officers, and difficulty in our Manager retaining its executive officers, could adversely affect our results of operations and ability to pay dividends. We do not maintain “key man” life insurance on any of our officers.

We intend to continue to charter most of our vessels in the spot market, and as a result, we will be exposed to the cyclical and volatility of the spot charter market.

Since we intend to continue to charter our vessels in the spot market, we will be exposed to the cyclical and volatility of the spot charter market, and we may not have long term, fixed time charter rates to mitigate the adverse effects of downturns in the spot market. We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully charter our vessels in the future at rates sufficient to allow us to meet our obligations. The supply of and demand for shipping capacity strongly influences freight rates. Because the factors affecting the supply and demand for vessels are outside of our control and are unpredictable, the nature, timing, direction and degree of changes in industry conditions are also unpredictable.

Factors that influence demand for drybulk vessel capacity include:

- demand for and production of drybulk products;

- global and regional economic and political conditions including developments in international trade, fluctuations in industrial and agricultural production and armed conflicts;

- the distance drybulk cargo is to be moved by sea;

- environmental and other regulatory developments; and

- changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns.

The factors that influence the supply of drybulk vessel capacity include:

- the number of new building deliveries;

- port and canal congestion;

the scrapping rate of older vessels;

vessel casualties; and

the number of vessels that are out of service, i.e., laid-up, drydocked, awaiting repairs or otherwise not available for hire.

In addition to the prevailing and anticipated freight rates, factors that affect the rate of new building, scrapping and laying-up include new building prices, availability of financing for dry-bulk vessel acquisition and building, secondhand vessel values in relation to scrap prices, costs of bunkers and other operating costs, costs associated with classification society surveys, normal maintenance and insurance coverage, the efficiency and age profile of the existing fleet in the market and government and industry regulation of maritime transportation practices, particularly environmental protection laws and regulations. These factors influencing the supply of and demand for shipping capacity are outside of our control, and we may not be able to correctly assess the nature, timing and degree of changes in industry conditions.

We anticipate that the future demand for our drybulk carriers will be dependent upon economic growth in the world's major industrialized economies, as well as emerging economies including in particular China, Japan and India, seasonal and regional changes in demand, changes in the capacity of the global drybulk carrier fleet and the sources and supply of drybulk cargo to be transported by sea. The capacity of the global drybulk carrier fleet seems likely to increase, and we can provide no assurance as to the timing or extent of future economic growth. Adverse economic, political, social or other developments could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition. Should the drybulk market strengthen significantly in the future, we may enter into medium to long term employment contracts for some or all of our vessels.

We employ our vessels predominantly in the spot market with charters that typically last one to two months. If the rates in the charter market fall significantly during the remaining of 2014, it will affect the charter revenue we will receive from our vessels, which would have an adverse effect on our revenues, cash flows and profitability, as well as our ability to comply with our debt covenants.

When our charters in the spot market end, we may not be able to replace them promptly, and any replacement charters could be at lower charter rates, which may materially, adversely affect our earnings and results of operations.

We will generally attempt to recharter our vessels at favorable rates with reputable charterers. All of our vessels currently operate in the spot market. If the drybulk shipping market is in a period of depression when our vessels' charters expire, it is likely that we may be forced to re-charter them at reduced rates, if such charters are available at all. In the event charter rates fall below our costs to operate a vessel or for any other strategic or operational matter, we may determine not to recharter a vessel until such time as the charter rates increase or such strategic or operational matter ceases to exist. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain new charters at comparable or higher rates or with comparable charterers, that we will be able to obtain new charters at all or that we may decide not to charter a vessel at all. The charterers under our charters have no obligation to renew or extend the charters. We will generally attempt to recharter our vessels at favorable rates with reputable charterers as our charters expire. Failure to obtain replacement charters at rates comparable to our existing charters and our decision not to charter vessels will reduce or eliminate our revenue and will adversely affect our ability to service our debt. Further, we may have to incur lay-up expenses or reposition our vessels without cargo or compensation to deliver them to future charterers or to move vessels to areas where we believe that future employment may be more likely or advantageous. Laying up expenses and reactivating expenses would increase our vessel operating expenses. Repositioning our vessels would increase our vessel operating costs. If any of the foregoing events were to occur, our revenues, net income and earnings may be materially adversely affected.

Further declines in charter rates and other market deterioration could cause us to incur impairment charges.

We evaluate the recoverable amounts of our vessels to determine if events have occurred that would require an impairment of their carrying amounts. The recoverable amount of vessels is reviewed based on events and changes in circumstances that would indicate that the carrying amount of the assets might not be recovered. The review for potential impairment indicators and future undiscounted net operating cash flows related to the vessels is complex and requires us to make various estimates including future charter rates and earnings from the vessels which have been historically volatile.

When our estimate of future undiscounted net operating cash flows for any vessel is lower than the vessel's carrying value, the carrying value is written down, by recording a charge to operations, to the vessel's fair market value if the fair market value is lower than the vessel's carrying value. The carrying values of our vessels may not represent their fair market value because the market prices of secondhand vessels tend to fluctuate with changes in charter rates and the cost of new buildings. Any impairment charges incurred as a result of declines in charter rates could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

Our charterers may terminate or default on their charters, which could adversely affect our results of operations and cash flow.

The ability of each of our charterers to perform its obligations under a charter will depend on a number of factors that are beyond our control. These factors may include general economic conditions, the condition of the drybulk shipping industry, the charter rates received for specific types of vessels, hedging arrangements, the ability of charterers to obtain letters of credit from its customers, cash reserves, cash flow considerations and various operating expenses. Many of these factors impact the financial viability of our charterers. Charterers may not pay or may attempt to renegotiate charter rates. Should a charterer fail to honor its obligations under its agreement with us, it may be difficult for us to secure substitute employment for the affected vessel, and any new charter arrangements we secure in the spot market or on a time charter may be at lower rates.

We lose a charterer or the benefits of a charter if a charterer fails to make charter payments because of its financial inability, disagreements with us or otherwise, terminates the charter because we fail to deliver the vessel within the time specified in the charter, the vessel is lost or damaged beyond repair, there are serious deficiencies in the vessel or prolonged periods of off-hire, default under the charter or the vessel has been subject to seizure for more than a specified number of days.

Pursuant to a charterparty dated November 8, 2012, the Company chartered the M/V Free Neptune to Tramp Maritime Enterprises Ltd. (“TME”). TME failed to pay outstanding hire in the amount of US\$356. On April 2, 2013, the Company commenced arbitration proceedings against TME under the charterparty. TME’s failure to honor its obligations under the charterparty has resulted in the M/V Free Neptune being arrested by bunker suppliers in respect of bunkers previously supplied to the vessel, which were due and payable by TME under both the charterparty terms and the bunkers supply terms. The Company denies liability for the claim and is actively defending it. The arrest was lifted pursuant to an agreement between the bunker suppliers and the charterers of the vessel at the time of the arrest, under which, charterers made a part payment of the bunker suppliers claim. The bunker suppliers have maintained their claim for the balance. The Company subsequently agreed with the charterers that it would repay such amount only in the event of a final and unappealable judgment on the merits against the Company.

If our charterers fail to meet their obligations to us, we would experience material adverse effects on our revenues, cash flows and profitability and our ability to comply with our debt covenants and pay our debt service and other obligations. The actual or perceived credit quality of our charterers, and any defaults by them, may materially affect our ability to obtain the additional debt financing that we will require to acquire additional vessels or may significantly increase our costs of obtaining such financing. Our inability to obtain additional financing at all, or at a higher than anticipated cost, may materially impair our ability to implement our business strategy.

Charter rates are subject to seasonal fluctuations, which may adversely affect our operating results.

Our fleet consists of Handysize and Handymax drybulk carriers that operate in markets that have historically exhibited seasonal variations in demand and, as a result, in charter rates. This seasonality may result in quarter-to-quarter volatility in our operating results. The energy markets primarily affect the demand for coal, with increases during hot summer periods when air conditioning and refrigeration require more electricity and towards the end of the calendar year in anticipation of the forthcoming winter period. Grain shipments are driven by the harvest within a climate zone. Because three of the five largest grain producers (the United States, Canada and the European Union) are located in the northern hemisphere and the other two (Argentina and Australia) are located in the southern hemisphere, harvests occur throughout the year and grains require drybulk shipping accordingly. As a result of these and other factors, the drybulk shipping industry is typically stronger in the fall and winter months. Therefore, we expect our revenues from our drybulk carriers to be typically weaker during the fiscal quarters ending June 30 and September 30 and, conversely, we expect our revenues from our drybulk carriers to be typically stronger in fiscal quarters ending December 31 and March 31. Seasonality in the drybulk industry could materially affect our operating results.

The aging of our fleet may result in increased operating costs in the future, which could adversely affect our ability to operate our vessels profitably.

The majority of our vessels were acquired second-hand, and we estimate their useful lives to be 28 years from their date of delivery from the yard, depending on various market factors and management's ability to comply with government and industry regulatory requirements. As of June 30, 2014, the average age of the vessels in our current fleet was 16.8 years. Part of our business strategy includes the continued acquisition of second hand vessels when we find attractive opportunities.

In general, expenditures necessary for maintaining a vessel in good operating condition increase as a vessel ages. Second hand vessels may also develop unexpected mechanical and operational problems despite adherence to regular survey schedules and proper maintenance. Cargo insurance rates also tend to increase with a vessel's age, and older vessels tend to be less fuel-efficient than newer vessels. While the difference in fuel consumption is factored into the freight rates that our older vessels earn, if the cost of bunker fuels were to increase significantly, it could disproportionately affect our vessels and significantly lower our profits. In addition, changes in governmental regulations, safety or other equipment standards may require:

- expenditures for alterations to existing equipment;

- the addition of new equipment; or

- restrictions on the type of cargo a vessel may transport.

We cannot give assurances that future market conditions will justify such expenditures or enable us to operate our vessels profitably during the remainder of their economic lives.

Although we inspect the secondhand vessels that we acquire prior to purchase, this inspection does not provide us with the same knowledge about a vessel's condition and the cost of any required (or anticipated) repairs that we would have had if this vessel had been built for and operated exclusively by us. Generally, we do not receive the benefit of warranties on secondhand vessels.

Unless we set aside reserves or are able to borrow funds for vessel replacement, at the end of a vessel's useful life our revenue will decline, which would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Unless we maintain reserves or are able to borrow or raise funds for vessel replacement, we may be unable to replace the vessels in our fleet upon the expiration of their useful lives, which we expect to be 28 years from their date of delivery from the yard. Our cash flows and income are dependent on the revenues earned by the chartering of our vessels to customers. If we are unable to replace the vessels in our fleet upon the expiration of their useful lives, our business, results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay dividends will be materially and adversely affected. Any reserves set aside for vessel replacement may not be available for dividends.

If any of our vessels fail to maintain their class certification and/or fail any annual survey, intermediate survey, dry-docking or special survey, that vessel would be unable to carry cargo, thereby reducing our revenues and profitability and violating certain loan covenants of our third-party indebtedness.

The hull and machinery of every commercial vessel must be classed by a classification society authorized by its country of registry. The classification society certifies that a vessel is safe and seaworthy in accordance with the applicable rules and regulations of the country of registry of the vessel and the Safety of Life at Sea Convention, or SOLAS.

A vessel must undergo annual surveys, intermediate surveys, dry-dockings and special surveys. In lieu of a special survey, a vessel's machinery may be on a continuous survey cycle, under which the machinery would be surveyed periodically over a five-year period. Our vessels are on special survey cycles for hull inspection and continuous survey cycles for machinery inspection. Every vessel is also required to be dry-docked every two to three years for inspection of the underwater parts of such vessel.

If any vessel does not maintain its class and/or fails any annual survey, intermediate survey, dry-docking or special survey, the vessel will be unable to carry cargo between ports and will be unemployable and uninsurable, thereby reducing our revenues and profitability. That could also cause us to be in violation of certain covenants in our loan agreements. In addition, the cost of maintaining our vessels' classifications may be substantial at times and could result in reduced revenues.

Our vessels may suffer damage and we may face unexpected dry-docking costs, which could affect our cash flow and financial condition.

If our vessels suffer damage, they may need to be repaired at a dry-docking facility, resulting in vessel downtime and vessel off-hire. The costs of dry-dock repairs are unpredictable and can be substantial. We may have to pay dry-docking costs that our insurance does not cover. The inactivity of these vessels while they are being repaired and repositioned, as well as the actual cost of these repairs, would decrease our earnings. In addition, space at dry-docking facilities is sometimes limited and not all dry-docking facilities are conveniently located. We may be unable to find space at a suitable dry-docking facility or we may be forced to move to a dry-docking facility that is not conveniently located to our vessels' positions. The loss of earnings while our vessels are forced to wait for space or to relocate to dry-docking facilities that are farther away from the routes on which our vessels trade would also decrease our earnings.

Our growth depends on the growth in demand for and the shipping of drybulk cargoes.

Our growth strategy focuses on the drybulk shipping sector. Accordingly, our growth depends on growth in world and regional demand for and the shipping of drybulk cargoes, which could be negatively affected by a number of factors, such as declines in prices for drybulk cargoes or general political and economic conditions.

Reduced demand for and the shipping of drybulk cargoes would have a material adverse effect on our future growth and could harm our business, results of operations and financial condition. In particular, Asian Pacific economies and India have been the main driving force behind the past increase in seaborne drybulk trade and the demand for drybulk carriers. The negative change in economic conditions in any Asian Pacific country, but particularly in China or Japan, as well as India, may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, as well as our future prospects, by further reducing demand and resultant charter rates.

If we fail to manage our growth properly, we may not be able to successfully expand our market share.

We will continue exploring expansion opportunities as our financial resources permit. Our growth will depend on:

- locating and acquiring suitable vessels;

- placing new building orders and taking delivery of vessels;
- identifying and consummating acquisitions or joint ventures;
- integrating any acquired vessel successfully with our existing operations;
- enhancing our customer base;
- managing our expansion; and
- obtaining the required financing.

If our financial resources permit, we could face risks in connection with growth by acquisition, such as undisclosed liabilities and obligations and difficulty experienced in obtaining additional qualified personnel, managing relationships with customers and suppliers, and integrating newly acquired operations into existing infrastructures.

We cannot give any assurance that we will be successful in executing our growth plans or that we will not incur significant expenses and losses in connection with the execution of those growth plans.

Our ability to successfully implement our business plan depends on our ability to obtain additional financing, which may affect the value of your investment in us.

We plan to continue to explore expansion opportunities. We will require substantial additional financing to fund any acquisitions of additional vessels and to implement our business plan. We cannot be certain that sufficient financing will be available on terms that are acceptable to us or at all. If we cannot raise the financing we need in a timely manner and on acceptable terms, we may not be able to acquire the vessels necessary to implement our business plans and consequently you may lose some or all of your investment in us.

While we expect that a significant portion of the financing resources needed to acquire vessels, if any, will be through long-term debt financing, we may raise additional funds through additional equity offerings. New equity investors may dilute the percentage of the ownership interest of our existing shareholders. Sales or the possibility of sales of substantial amounts of shares of our common stock in the public markets could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

The market values of our vessels have declined and may further decrease, and we may incur losses when we sell vessels or we may be required to write down their carrying value, which may adversely affect our earnings and our ability to implement our fleet renewal program.

The market values of our vessels will fluctuate depending on general economic and market conditions affecting the shipping industry and prevailing charter hire rates, competition from other shipping companies and other modes of transportation, the types, sizes and ages of our vessels, applicable governmental regulations and the cost of new buildings.

If a determination is made that a vessel's future useful life is limited or its future earnings capacity is reduced, it could result in an impairment of its carrying amount on our financial statements that would result in a charge against our earnings and the reduction of our shareholders' equity. If for any reason we sell our vessels at a time when prices have fallen, the sale price may be less than the vessels' carrying amount on our financial statements, and we would incur a loss and a reduction in earnings. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we incurred an aggregate impairment loss of \$27,455 of which \$3,477 related to the vessels formerly "held for sale" (M/V *Free Hero*, M/V *Free Jupiter*, M/V *Free Impala* and M/V *Free Neptune*) subsequently classified to "held and used" as of December 31, 2013 and the amount of \$23,978 as a result of the sale of M/V *Free Knight* on February 18, 2014. We sold M/V *Free Impala* and M/V *Jupiter* on separate dates in September of 2014.

We have incurred secured debt under loan agreements for all of our vessels. The market value of our vessels is based, in part, on charter rates and the stability of charter rates over a period of time. As a result of global economic conditions, volatility in charter rates, generally declining charter rates, and other factors, we have recently experienced a decrease in the market value of our vessels. Due to the decline of the market value of our fleet, we were not in compliance with certain covenants of our existing loan agreements that relate to maintenance of asset values and, as a result, we may not be able to refinance our debt or obtain additional financing. There can be no assurances that charter rates will stabilize or increase, that the market value of our vessels will stabilize or increase or that we will regain compliance with the financial covenants in our loan agreements or that our lenders will agree to waivers or forbearances.

If we fail to sell our vessels at prices acceptable to us, it could have a material adverse effect on our competitiveness and business operations.

Maritime claimants could arrest our vessels, which could interrupt our cash flow.

Crew members, suppliers of goods and services to a vessel, shippers of cargo and other parties may be entitled to a maritime lien against a vessel for unsatisfied debts, claims or damages. In many jurisdictions, a maritime lien holder, such as our lenders, may enforce its lien by arresting a vessel through foreclosure proceedings. The arresting or attachment of one or more of our vessels could interrupt our cash flow and require us to pay large sums of funds to have the arrest lifted.

In addition, in some jurisdictions, such as South Africa, under the "associated vessel" theory of liability, a claimant may arrest both the vessel which is subject to the claimant's maritime lien and any "associated" vessel, which is any vessel owned or controlled by the same owner or managed by the same manager. Claimants could try to assert "associated vessel" liability against one of our vessels for claims relating to another of our vessels or a vessel managed by our Manager.

Economic conditions and regulatory pressures impacting banks in Greece may cause disruptions to one of our lenders, which may cause an increase in the cost of our borrowings from that lender.

On May 11, 2013, the Bank of Greece announced that the operating license of one of our Greek-based Lenders, FBB - First Business Bank had been revoked and that the bank would be split into a “good bank” to be absorbed by the National Bank of Greece and a “bad bank” consisting of the loans characterized under ‘permanent default’ to be handed over to a specially appointed liquidator for the purpose of the extraordinary liquidation of its assets. Effective May 13, 2013, the bank’s deposits and loans other than the loans ‘under permanent default’ as well as the bank’s network of nineteen branches were transferred to the NBG. As a result, the Company’s loan facility and deposits have been transferred to the NBG. We cannot estimate how this situation will affect our relationship with the bank and how cooperative it will be with regards to our non-compliance with financial and other covenants and our non-payment of overdue interest and principal.

The smuggling of drugs or other contraband onto our vessels may lead to governmental claims against us.

We expect that our vessels will call in ports in South America and other areas where smugglers are known to attempt to hide drugs and other contraband on vessels, with or without the knowledge of crew members. To the extent our vessels are found with contraband, whether inside or attached to the hull of our vessel and whether with or without the knowledge of any of our crew, we may face governmental or other regulatory claims which could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

Rising fuel prices may adversely affect our profits.

Upon redelivery of vessels at the end of a period time or trip time charter, we may be obligated to repurchase bunkers on board at prevailing market prices, which could be materially higher than fuel prices at the inception of the charter period. In addition, although we rarely deploy our vessels on voyage charters, fuel is a significant, if not the largest, expense that we would incur with respect to vessels operating on voyage charter. As a result, an increase in the price of fuel may adversely affect our profitability. The price and supply of fuel is volatile and fluctuates based on events outside our control, including geopolitical developments, supply and demand for oil and gas, actions by OPEC and other oil and gas producers, war and unrest in oil producing countries and regions, regional production patterns and environmental concerns and regulations.

We are subject to regulation and liability under environmental laws and the failure to comply with these regulations may subject us to increased liability, may adversely affect our insurance coverage and may result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports. This could require significant expenditures and reduce our cash flows and net income.

Our business and the operation of our vessels are materially affected by government regulation in the form of international conventions and national, state and local laws and regulations in force in the jurisdictions in which the vessels operate, as well as in the country or countries of their registration, including those governing the management and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes, the cleanup of oil spills and other contamination, air emissions, and water discharges and ballast water management. We are also required by various governmental and quasi-governmental agencies to obtain certain permits, licenses and certificates with respect to our operations. Because such conventions, laws, regulations and permit requirements are often revised, or the required additional measures for compliance are still under development, we cannot predict the ultimate cost of complying with such conventions, laws, regulations or permit requirements, or the impact thereof on the resale prices or useful lives of our vessels. Additional conventions, laws and regulations may be adopted which could limit our ability to do business or increase the cost of our doing business and which may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Environmental requirements can also affect the resale prices or useful lives of our vessels or require reductions in cargo capacity, ship modifications or operational changes or restrictions. Failure to comply with these requirements could lead to decreased availability of or more costly insurance coverage for environmental matters or result in the denial of access to certain jurisdictional waters or ports, or detention in certain ports. Under local, national and foreign laws, as well as international treaties and conventions, we could incur material liabilities, including cleanup obligations and claims for natural resource, personal injury and property damages in the event that there is a release of petroleum or other hazardous materials from our vessels or otherwise in connection with our operations. The 2010 explosion of the Deepwater Horizon and the subsequent release of oil into the Gulf of Mexico or similar events may result in further regulation of the shipping industry, including modifications to statutory liability schemes.

The operation of our vessels is affected by the requirements set forth in the International Safety Management, or ISM Code. The failure of a shipowner or bareboat charterer to comply with the ISM Code may subject such party to increased liability, may decrease available insurance coverage for the affected vessels, and/or may result in a denial of access to, or detention in, certain ports.

The European Union is currently considering proposals to further regulate vessel operations. Individual countries in the European Union may also have additional environmental and safety requirements. It is difficult to predict what legislation or regulation, if any, may be adopted by the European Union or any other country or authority.

The International Maritime Organization or other regulatory bodies may adopt additional regulations in the future that could adversely affect the useful lives of our vessels as well as our ability to generate income from them or resell them at attractive prices.

The United States Oil Pollution Act of 1990, or OPA, established an extensive regulatory and liability regime for the protection and clean-up of the environment from oil spills. Under OPA, vessel owners, operators and bareboat charterers are “responsible parties” and are jointly, severally and strictly liable (unless the spill results solely from the act or omission of a third party, an act of God or an act of war) for all containment and clean-up costs and other damages arising from discharges or threatened discharges of oil from their vessels, including bunkers (fuel).

Violations of, or liabilities under, environmental or other applicable laws and regulations can result in substantial penalties, fines and other sanctions, including, in certain instances, seizure or detention of our vessels. Events of this nature could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Technological innovation related to existing or new vessels could reduce the competitiveness of our older vessels and, therefore, the value of such vessels in the chartering and secondhand resale markets.

The charter hire rates and the value and operational life of a vessel are determined by a number of factors, including the vessel’s efficiency, operational flexibility and physical life. Efficiency includes speed, fuel economy and the ability to load and discharge cargo quickly. Flexibility includes the ability to enter harbors, utilize related docking facilities and pass through canals and straits. The length of a vessel’s physical life is related to its original design and construction, its maintenance and the impact of the stress of operations. If new drybulk carriers are built that are more efficient or more flexible or have longer physical lives than our older vessels, competition from these more technologically advanced vessels could adversely affect the competitiveness of our older vessels, and, in turn, the amount of charter hire payments we receive for our older vessels once their initial charters expire, and the resale value of our older vessels could significantly decrease.

Our vessels are exposed to inherent operational risks that may not be adequately covered by our insurance.

The operation of any vessel includes risks such as mechanical failure, collision, fire, contact with fixed or floating objects, cargo or property loss or damage and business interruption due to political circumstances in foreign countries, piracy, terrorist attacks, armed hostilities and labor strikes. With a drybulk carrier, the cargo itself and its interaction with the ship can be a risk factor. By their nature, drybulk cargoes are often heavy, dense, easily shifted, and react badly to water exposure. In addition, drybulk carriers are often subjected to battering treatment during unloading operations with grabs, jackhammers (to pry encrusted cargoes out of the hold) and small bulldozers. This treatment may cause damage to the vessel. Vessels damaged due to treatment during unloading procedures may be more susceptible to breach to the sea. Hull breaches in drybulk carriers may lead to the flooding of the vessels’ holds. If a drybulk carrier suffers flooding in its forward holds, the bulk cargo may become so dense and waterlogged that its pressure may buckle the vessel’s bulkheads leading to the loss of a vessel. If we are unable to adequately maintain our

vessels, we may be unable to prevent these events. Any of these circumstances or events could negatively impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Further, such occurrences could result in death or injury to persons, loss of property or environmental damage, delays in the delivery of cargo, loss of revenues from or termination of charter contracts, governmental fines, penalties or restrictions on conducting business, higher insurance rates and damage to our reputation and customer relationships generally. In the past, political conflicts have also resulted in attacks on vessels, mining of waterways and other efforts to disrupt international shipping, particularly in the Arabian Gulf region. Acts of terrorism and piracy have also affected vessels trading in regions such as the South China Sea and the Gulf of Aden and Indian Ocean off the coast of Somalia and Kenya. If these attacks and other disruptions result in areas where our vessels are deployed being characterized by insurers as “war risk” zones or Joint War Committee “war, strikes, terrorism and related perils” listed areas, as the Gulf of Aden currently is, premiums payable for such coverage could increase significantly and such insurance coverage may be more difficult or impossible to obtain. In addition, there is always the possibility of a marine disaster, including oil spills and other environmental damage. Although our vessels carry a relatively small amount of the oil used for fuel (“bunkers”), a spill of oil from one of our vessels or losses as a result of fire or explosion could be catastrophic under certain circumstances.

We may not be adequately insured against all risks, and our insurers may not pay particular claims. With respect to war risks insurance, which we usually obtain for certain of our vessels making port calls in designated war zone areas, such insurance may not be obtained prior to one of our vessels entering into an actual war zone, which could result in that vessel not being insured. Even if our insurance coverage is adequate to cover our losses, we may not be able to timely obtain a replacement vessel in the event of a loss. Under the terms of our credit facilities, we will be subject to restrictions on the use of any proceeds we may receive from claims under our insurance policies. Furthermore, in the future, we may not be able to maintain or obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable rates for our fleet. We may also be subject to calls, or premiums, in amounts based not only on our own claim records but also the claim records of all other members of the protection and indemnity associations through which we receive indemnity insurance coverage for tort liability. Our insurance policies also contain deductibles, limitations and exclusions which, although we believe are standard in the shipping industry, may nevertheless increase our costs in the event of a claim or decrease any recovery in the event of a loss. If the damages from a catastrophic oil spill or other marine disaster exceeded our insurance coverage, the payment of those damages could have a material adverse effect on our business and could possibly result in our insolvency.

In addition, we may not carry loss of hire insurance. Loss of hire insurance covers the loss of revenue during extended vessel off-hire periods, such as those that occur during an unscheduled drydocking due to damage to the vessel from accidents. Accordingly, any loss of a vessel or any extended period of vessel off-hire, due to an accident or otherwise, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may be subject to increased premium payments because we obtain some of our insurance through protection and indemnity associations.

We may be subject to increased premium payments, or calls, in amounts based not only on our and our Manager's claim records but also the claim records of other members of the protection and indemnity associations through which we receive insurance coverage for tort liability, including pollution-related liability. Our protection and indemnity associations may not have enough resources to cover claims made against them. Our payment of these calls could result in significant expense to us, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

Our operations expose us to global political risks, such as wars and political instability that may interfere with the operation of our vessels causing a decrease in revenues from such vessels.

We are an international company and primarily conduct our operations outside the United States. Changing economic, political and governmental conditions in the countries where we are engaged in business or where our vessels are registered will affect us. In the past, political conflicts, particularly in the Middle East, resulted in attacks on vessels, mining of waterways and other efforts to disrupt shipping in the area. For example, recent political and governmental instability in Egypt, Syria and Libya may affect vessels trading in such regions. In addition, future political and governmental instability, revolutions and wars in regions where our vessels trade could affect our trade patterns and adversely affect our operations by causing delays in shipping on certain routes or making shipping impossible on such routes, thereby causing a decrease in revenues.

During a period of war or emergency, a government could requisition for title or seize our vessels. Requisition for title occurs when a government takes control of a vessel and becomes the owner. A government could also requisition our vessels for hire, when a government takes control of a vessel and effectively becomes the charterer at dictated charter rates. Government requisition of one or more of our vessels could reduce our revenues and net income.

Because our seafaring employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements, failure of industry groups to renew those agreements may disrupt our operations and adversely affect our earnings.

All of the seafarers employed on the vessels in our fleet are covered by collective bargaining agreements that set basic standards. We cannot assure you that these agreements will prevent labor interruptions. Any labor interruptions could disrupt our operations and harm our financial performance.

Crew costs are a significant expense for us under our charters. Recently, the limited supply of and increased demand for well-qualified crew, due to the increase in the size of the global shipping fleet, has created upward pressure on crewing costs, which we generally bear under our period time and spot charters. Increases in crew costs may adversely affect our profitability.

Increases in interest rates would reduce funds available to purchase vessels and service debt.

We have purchased, and may purchase in the future, vessels with loans that provide for periodic interest payments based on indices that fluctuate with changes in market interest rates. If interest rates increase significantly, it would increase our costs of financing our acquisition of vessels, which could decrease the number of additional vessels that we could acquire and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations and may adversely affect our ability to service debt.

We may enter into derivative contracts to hedge our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates, which could result in higher than market interest rates and charges against our income.

We previously entered into two interest rate swaps for purposes of managing our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates applicable to indebtedness under two of our credit facilities with Credit Suisse, which provided for a floating interest rate based on LIBOR. On February 3, 2014, the Company paid the amount of \$201 to fully unwind the two interest rate swap agreements with Credit Suisse. In the future, we may enter into new interest rate swap or other derivative contracts to hedge against fluctuation in interest rates. Our hedging strategies, however, may not be effective and we may incur substantial losses if interest rates move materially differently from the fixed rates agreed to in our derivative contracts. Future derivative contracts may not qualify for treatment as hedges for accounting purposes; therefore, we would be required to recognize fluctuations in the fair value of such contracts in our income statement. In addition, our financial condition could be materially adversely affected since we currently do not hedge our exposure to interest rate fluctuations under our financing arrangements. Any hedging activities we engage in may not effectively manage our interest rate exposure or have the desired impact on our financial conditions or results of operations.

From time to time in the future, we may take positions in derivative instruments including freight forward agreements, or FFA's. FFA's and other derivative instruments may be used to hedge a vessel owner's exposure to the charter market by providing for the sale of a contracted charter rate along a specified route and period of time. Upon settlement, if the contracted charter rate is less than the average of the rates, as reported by an identified index, for the specified route and time period, the seller of the FFA's is required to pay the buyer an amount equal to the difference between the contracted rate and the settlement rate, multiplied by the number of days in the specified period. Conversely, if the contracted rate is greater than the settlement rate, the buyer is required to pay the seller the settlement sum. If we take

positions in FFA's or other derivative instruments and do not correctly anticipate charter rate movements over the specified route and time period, we could suffer losses in the settling or termination of the FFA. This could adversely affect our results of operation and cash flow. As of the date of this prospectus, we had no FFA's outstanding.

Because we generate all of our revenues in U.S. dollars but will incur a portion of our expenses in other currencies, exchange rate fluctuations could have an adverse impact on our results of operations.

We generate all of our revenues in U.S. dollars, but we expect that portions of our future expenses will be incurred in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. This difference could lead to fluctuations in our net income due to changes in the value of the dollar relative to the other currencies, in particular the Euro. Expenses incurred in foreign currencies against which the dollar falls in value can increase, decreasing net income. For the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013, the fluctuation in the value of the dollar against foreign currencies did not have a material impact on us.

We may have to pay tax on United States source income, which would reduce our earnings.

Under the laws of the countries of the Company and its subsidiaries incorporation and/or vessels' registration, the Company is not subject to tax on international shipping income; however, they are subject to registration and tonnage taxes, which have been included in Vessel operating expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. Pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code of the United States (the "Code"), U.S. source income from the international operations of ships is generally exempt from U.S. tax if the company operating the ships meets both of the following requirements, (a) the Company is organized in a foreign country that grants an equivalent exemption to corporations organized in the United States, and (b) either (i) more than 50% of the value of the Company's stock is owned, directly or indirectly, by individuals who are "residents" of the Company's country of organization or of another foreign country that grants an "equivalent exemption" to corporations organized in the United States (the "50% Ownership Test") or (ii) the Company's stock is "primarily and regularly traded on an established securities market" in its country of organization, in another country that grants an "equivalent exemption" to United States corporations, or in the United States (the "Publicly-Traded Test").

To complete the exemption process, the Company's shipowning subsidiaries must file a U.S. tax return, state the basis of their exemption and obtain and retain documentation attesting to the basis of their exemptions. The Company's subsidiaries completed the filing process for 2013 on or prior to the applicable tax filing deadline. All the Company's ship-operating subsidiaries currently satisfy the Publicly-Traded Test based on the trading volume and the widely-held ownership of the Company's shares, but no assurance can be given that this will remain so in the future, since continued compliance with this rule is subject to factors outside the Company's control. In addition, the Company's vessels did not call on any U.S. ports during the year ended December 31, 2013, and thus, the Company's shipowning subsidiaries have no tax obligations despite the exemptions. Based on its U.S. source Shipping Income for 2011 and 2012, the Company would be subject to U.S. federal income tax of approximately \$93 and \$25, respectively, in the absence of an exemption under Section 883.

U.S. tax authorities could treat us as a “passive foreign investment company,” which could have adverse U.S. federal income tax consequences to U.S. holders.

A foreign corporation will be treated as a “passive foreign investment company,” or PFIC, for U.S. federal income tax purposes if either (1) at least 75% of its gross income for any taxable year consists of certain types of “passive income” or (2) at least 50% of the average value of the corporation’s assets produce or are held for the production of those types of “passive income.” For purposes of these tests, “passive income” includes dividends, interest, and gains from the sale or exchange of investment property and rents and royalties other than rents and royalties which are received from unrelated parties in connection with the active conduct of a trade or business. For purposes of these tests, income derived from the performance of services does not constitute “passive income.” U.S. shareholders of a PFIC are subject to a disadvantageous U.S. federal income tax regime with respect to the income derived by the PFIC, the distributions they receive from the PFIC and the gain, if any, they derive from the sale or other disposition of their shares in the PFIC.

Based on our currently anticipated operations, we do not believe that we will be a PFIC with respect to any taxable year. In this regard, we intend to treat the gross income we derive or are deemed to derive from our time chartering activities as services income, rather than rental income. Accordingly, we believe that our time chartering activities does not constitute “passive income,” and the assets that we own and operate in connection with the production of that income do not constitute passive assets.

There is, however, no direct legal authority under the PFIC rules addressing our proposed method of operation, and a federal court decision has characterized income received from vessel time charters as rental rather than services income for U.S. tax purposes. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that the U.S. Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, or a court of law will accept our position, and there is a risk that the IRS or a court of law could determine that we are a PFIC. Moreover, no assurance can be given that we would not constitute a PFIC for any future taxable year if there were to be changes in the nature and extent of our operations.

If the IRS were to find that we are or have been a PFIC for any taxable year, our U.S. shareholders will face adverse U.S. tax consequences. Under the PFIC rules, unless those shareholders make an election available under the Code (which election could itself have adverse consequences for such shareholders), such shareholders would be liable to pay United States federal income tax at the then prevailing income tax rates on ordinary income plus interest upon excess distributions and upon any gain from the disposition of our common stock, as if the excess distribution or gain had been recognized ratably over the shareholder’s holding period of our common stock.

Risk Factors Relating to the Drybulk Shipping Industry

The international drybulk shipping industry is cyclical and volatile, and charter rates have decreased significantly and may further decrease in the future, which may adversely affect our earnings, vessel values and results of operations.

The drybulk shipping industry is cyclical with volatility in charter hire rates and profitability. The degree of charter hire rate volatility among different types of drybulk vessels has varied widely. Since the middle of the third quarter of 2008, charter hire rates for drybulk vessels have decreased substantially, they may remain volatile for the foreseeable future and could continue to decline further. Additionally, charter rates have been particularly volatile. As a result, our charter rates could further decline significantly, resulting in a loss and a reduction in earnings.

We anticipate that the future demand for our drybulk vessels will be dependent upon existing conditions in the world's economies, seasonal and regional changes in demand, changes in the number of drybulk vessels being ordered and constructed, particularly if there is an oversupply of vessels, changes in the capacity of the global drybulk fleet and the sources and supply of drybulk cargo to be transported by sea. Adverse economic, political, social or other developments could have a further material adverse effect on drybulk shipping in general and on our business and operating results in particular.

Our ability to re-charter our drybulk vessels upon the expiration or termination of their current time charters, the charter rates payable under any renewal or replacement charters will depend upon, among other things, the current state of the drybulk shipping market. If the drybulk shipping market is in a period of depression when our vessels' charters expire, it is likely that we may be forced to re-charter them at reduced rates, including rates whereby we incur a loss, which may reduce our earnings or make our earnings volatile.

In addition, because the market value of our vessels may fluctuate significantly, we may incur losses when we sell vessels, which may adversely affect our earnings. If we sell vessels at a time when vessel prices have fallen and before we have recorded an impairment adjustment to our financial statements, the sale may be at less than the vessel's carrying amount on our financial statements, resulting in a loss and a reduction in earnings.

The drybulk carrier charter market remains significantly below its high in the middle of 2008 and the average rates achieved in the five prior years, which has and may continue to adversely affect our revenues, earnings and profitability and our ability to comply with our loan covenants and repay our indebtedness.

The drybulk shipping industry is cyclical with attendant volatility in charter hire rates and profitability. The degree of charter hire rate volatility among different types of dry bulk vessels has varied widely; however, the continued downturn in the drybulk charter market has severely affected the entire dry bulk shipping industry and charter hire rates for drybulk vessels have declined significantly from historically high levels. The Baltic Dry Index (the “BDI”), which is published daily by the Baltic Exchange Limited, a London-based membership organization that provides daily shipping market information to the global investing community, is a daily average of charter rates in selected shipping routes measured on a time charter and voyage basis covering Handysize, Supramax, Panamax and Capesize drybulk carriers. The BDI has long been viewed as the main benchmark to monitor the movements of the dry bulk vessel charter market and the performance of the entire drybulk shipping market. The BDI declined 94% in 2008 from a peak of 11,793 in May 2008 to a low of 663 in December 2008 and remained volatile during 2009, ranging from a low of 772 in January 2009 to a high of 4,661 in November 2009. The BDI continued its volatility in 2012 and 2013, reaching a high of 1,738 in January 2012 and a low of approximately 647 in February 2012 and a high of 2,337 in December 2013 and a low of 698 in January 2013.

As of October 15, 2014, the BDI was 935. The decline and volatility in charter rates has been due to various factors, including the lack of trade financing for purchases of commodities carried by sea, which had resulted in a significant decline in cargo shipments. The decline and volatility in charter rates in the drybulk market also affects the value of our drybulk vessels, which follows the trends of drybulk charter rates, and earnings on our charters, and similarly, affects our cash flows, our ability to repay our indebtedness and compliance with the covenants contained in our loan agreements.

An economic slowdown in the Asia Pacific region could exacerbate the effect of recent slowdowns in the economies of the European Union and may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We anticipate a significant number of the port calls made by our vessels will continue to involve the loading or discharging of drybulk commodities in ports in the Asia Pacific region. As a result, any negative changes in economic conditions in any Asia Pacific country, particularly in China, may exacerbate the effect of recent slowdowns in the economies of the European Union and may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, as well as our future prospects. Before the global economic financial crisis that began in 2008, China had one of the world’s fastest growing economies in terms of gross domestic product (“GDP”) which had a significant impact on shipping demand. The growth rate of China’s GDP decreased slightly to approximately 7.7% for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to approximately 7.8% for the year ended December 31, 2012, and continues to remain below pre-2008 levels. China has imposed measures to restrain lending, which may further

contribute to a slowdown in its economic growth. China and other countries in the Asia Pacific region may continue to experience slowed or even negative economic growth in the future. Moreover, the current economic slowdown in the economies of the United States, the European Union and other Asian countries may further adversely affect economic growth in China and elsewhere. Our earnings and ability to grow our fleet would be impeded by a continuing or worsening economic downturn in any of these countries. A decrease in the level of China's export of goods or an increase in trade protectionism could have a material adverse impact on our charterers' business and, in turn, could cause a material adverse effect on our earnings, financial condition and cash flows.

Our business, financial condition and results of operations, as well as our future prospects, will likely be materially and adversely affected by a further economic downturn in any of these countries.

Changes in the economic and political environment in China and policies adopted by the government to regulate its economy may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Chinese economy differs from the economies of most countries belonging to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, or OECD, in such respects as structure, government involvement, level of development, growth rate, capital reinvestment, allocation of resources, rate of inflation and balance of payments position. Since 1978, increasing emphasis has been placed on the utilization of market forces in the development of the Chinese economy. There is an increasing level of freedom and autonomy in areas such as allocation of resources, production, pricing and management and a gradual shift in emphasis to a "market economy" and enterprise reform. Although limited price reforms were undertaken, with the result that prices for certain commodities are principally determined by market forces, many of the reforms are experimental and may be subject to change or abolition. We cannot assure you that the Chinese government will continue to pursue a policy of economic reform. The level of imports to and exports from China could be adversely affected by changes to these economic reforms, as well as by changes in political, economic and social conditions or other relevant policies of the Chinese government, such as changes in laws, regulations or export and import restrictions, all of which could, adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

Risks involved with operating ocean-going vessels could affect our business and reputation, which may reduce our revenues.

The operation of an ocean-going vessel has inherent risks. These risks include the possibility of:

- crew strikes and/or boycotts;
- marine disaster;

- piracy;

- environmental accidents;

- cargo and property losses or damage; and

- business interruptions caused by mechanical failure, human error, war, terrorism, political action in various countries, labor strikes or adverse weather conditions.

The involvement of any of our vessels in an environmental disaster may harm our reputation as a safe and reliable vessel operator. Any of these circumstances or events could increase our costs or lower our revenues.

The M/V *Free Goddess* was hijacked by Somali pirates on February 7, 2012 while transiting the Indian Ocean eastbound. On October 11, 2012, we announced that all 21 crew members of the M/V *Free Goddess* were reported safe and well after the vessel's release by the pirates. At the time of the hijacking the vessel was on time charter in laden condition. Since the release from the pirates, the vessel has been laying at the port of Salalah, Oman, undertaking repairs funded mostly by Insurers. The repairs of the vessel were completed, and notice of readiness was tendered to her Charterers for the resumption of the voyage. The Charterers repudiated the Charter and we accepted Charterers' repudiation and terminated the fixture reserving our right to claim damages and other amounts due to us. The Tribunal previously constituted will hear our claim for (amongst others) unpaid hire and damages from the Charterers. In the meantime, cargo interests have commenced proceedings under the Bills of Lading although they have not yet particularized their claims. At the same time, all options are being explored for the commercial resolution of the situation arising from Charterers refusal to honor their obligations, including the further contribution by Insurers and cargo interests towards the completion of the voyage and recovery of amounts due. The Company is working for a diligent solution in order to complete the voyage without further delays. In case no commercially reasonable solution may be found the company will explore its strategic alternatives with respect to this vessel.

An oversupply of drybulk vessel capacity may lead to reductions in charter rates and profitability.

As of December 31, 2013, new building orders had been placed for an aggregate of approximately 17% of the total DWT of the then-existing global drybulk fleet, with deliveries expected mainly during the succeeding 36 months, although available data with regard to cancellations of existing new building orders or delays of new build deliveries are not always accurate. As of December 31, 2012, new building orders had been placed for an aggregate of

approximately 18% of the total DWT of the then-existing global drybulk fleet, with deliveries expected mainly during the succeeding 36 months, although available data with regard to cancellations of existing new build orders or delays of new build deliveries are not always accurate. An over-supply of drybulk carrier capacity may result in a reduction of charter hire rates. Because the factors affecting the supply and demand for vessels are outside of our control and are unpredictable, the nature, timing, direction and degree of changes in industry conditions are also unpredictable.

Factors that influence demand for vessel capacity include:

- supply and demand for energy resources, commodities, semi-finished and finished consumer and industrial products;
- changes in the exploration or production of energy resources, commodities, semi-finished and finished consumer and industrial products;
- the location of regional and global exploration, production and manufacturing facilities;
- the location of consuming regions for energy resources, commodities, semi-finished and finished consumer and industrial products;
 - the globalization of production and manufacturing; global and regional economic and political conditions, including armed conflicts, terrorist activities, embargoes and strikes;
- developments in international trade;
- changes in seaborne and other transportation patterns, including the distance cargo is transported by sea;
- environmental and other regulatory developments;
- currency exchange rates; and weather.

The factors that influence the supply of vessel capacity include:

- the number of new building deliveries;

- port and canal congestion;
- the scrapping rate of older vessels;
- vessel casualties; and
- the number of vessels that are out of service.

We anticipate that the future demand for our drybulk carriers will be dependent upon continued economic growth in the world's economies, including China and India, seasonal and regional changes in demand, changes in the capacity of the global drybulk carrier fleet and the sources and supply of drybulk cargoes to be transported by sea. The capacity of the global drybulk carrier fleet seems likely to increase and economic growth may not continue. Adverse economic, political, social or other developments could have a material adverse effect on our business and operating results.

Increased inspection procedures and tighter import and export controls could increase costs and disrupt our business.

International shipping is subject to various security and customs inspection and related procedures in countries of origin and destination. Inspection procedures can result in the seizure of the contents of our vessels, delays in the loading, offloading or delivery and the levying of customs duties, fines or other penalties against us.

Since the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001, there has been a variety of limitations intended to enhance vessel security.

Regulations by the U.S. Coast Guard ("USCG") and rules pursuant to the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea have imposed increased compliance costs on vessel owners and charterers. These costs include certification costs imposed by relevant agencies and bonding costs under U.S. Customs and Border Protection, as well as potential delays in transit due to increased security procedures regulating the entry into harbors or the discharge of cargo.

It is possible that changes to inspection procedures could impose additional financial and legal obligations on us. Furthermore, changes to inspection procedures could also impose additional costs and obligations on our customers and may, in certain cases, render the shipment of certain types of cargo uneconomical or impractical. Any such changes or developments may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, cash flows, financial condition and ability to pay dividends.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

The market price of our common stock has been and may in the future be subject to significant fluctuations.

The market price of our common stock has been and may in the future be subject to significant fluctuations as a result of many factors, some of which are beyond our control. Among the factors that have in the past and could in the future affect our stock price are:

- quarterly variations in our results of operations;
- our lenders' willingness to extend our loan covenant waivers, if necessary;
- changes in market valuations of similar companies and stock market price and volume fluctuations generally;
- changes in earnings estimates or publication of research reports by analysts;
- speculation in the press or investment community about our business or the shipping industry generally;
- strategic actions by us or our competitors such as acquisitions or restructurings;
- the thin trading market for our common stock, which makes it somewhat illiquid;
- the current ineligibility of our common stock to be the subject of margin loans because of its low current market price;
- regulatory developments;
- additions or departures of key personnel;

· general market conditions; and

· domestic and international economic, market and currency factors unrelated to our performance.

22

The stock markets in general, and the markets for drybulk shipping and shipping stocks in particular, have experienced extreme volatility that has sometimes been unrelated to the operating performance of individual companies. These broad market fluctuations may adversely affect the trading price of our common stock.

As long as our stock price remains below \$5.00 per share, our shareholders will face restrictions in using our shares as collateral for margin accounts.

The closing price of our common stock on the NASDAQ Capital Market on October 21, 2014 was \$0.23 per share. If the market price of our shares of common stock remains below \$5.00 per share, under Federal Reserve regulations and account maintenance rules of many brokerages, our shareholders will face restrictions in using such shares as collateral for borrowing in margin accounts. These restrictions on the use of our common stock as collateral may lead to sales of such shares creating downward pressure on and increased volatility in, the market price of our shares of common stock. In addition, many institutional investors will not invest in stocks whose prices are below \$5.00 per share.

If our common stock is delisted from The NASDAQ Stock Market, we would be subject to the risks relating to penny stocks.

If our common stock were to be delisted from trading on The NASDAQ Stock Market and the trading price of the common stock were below \$5.00 per share on the date the common stock were delisted, trading in our common stock would also be subject to the requirements of certain rules promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These rules require additional disclosure by broker-dealers in connection with any trades involving a stock defined as a “penny stock” and impose various sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell penny stocks to persons other than established customers and accredited investors, generally institutions. These additional requirements may discourage broker-dealers from effecting transactions in securities that are classified as penny stocks, which could severely limit the market price and liquidity of such securities and the ability of purchasers to sell such securities in the secondary market. A penny stock is defined generally as any non-exchange listed equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions.

As a foreign private issuer whose shares are listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market, we may follow certain home country corporate governance practices instead of certain NASDAQ requirements and are not required to obtain shareholder approval for the sale of shares under the Investment Agreement.

As a foreign private issuer whose shares are listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market, we are permitted to follow certain home country corporate governance practices instead of certain requirements of the NASDAQ Marketplace Rules. For example, we may follow home country practice with regard to, among other things, the composition of the board of directors, compensation of officers, director nomination process and quorum at shareholders' meetings. In addition, we may follow home country practice instead of the NASDAQ requirement to obtain shareholder approval for certain dilutive events, such as for the establishment or amendment of certain equity-based compensation plans, a stock issuance that will result in a change of control of the company, certain transactions other than a public offering involving issuances of a 20% or more interest in the company and certain acquisitions of the stock or assets of another company. In particular, we are not required to obtain shareholder approval for our sale of shares pursuant to the Investment Agreement, which may result in the issuance of shares totaling more than 20% of our outstanding shares. Accordingly, our shareholders may not be afforded the same protections as provided under NASDAQ's corporate governance rules.

Future sales or issuances of our stock could cause the market price of our common stock to decline.

Issuance of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in public or private offerings, including pursuant to the Investment Agreement, or in payment of obligations due, or the perception that these issuances could occur, may depress the market price for our common stock. These issuances could also impair our ability to raise additional capital through the sale of our equity securities in the future. We may issue additional shares of our common stock in the future and our shareholders may elect to sell large numbers of shares held by them from time to time. Also, we may need to raise additional capital to achieve our business plans.

Because the Republic of the Marshall Islands, where we are incorporated, does not have a well-developed body of corporate law, shareholders may have fewer rights and protections than under typical United States law, such as Delaware, and shareholders may have difficulty in protecting their interest with regard to actions taken by our Board of Directors.

Our corporate affairs are governed by amended and restated articles of incorporation and by-laws and by the Marshall Islands Business Corporations Act, or BCA. The provisions of the BCA resemble provisions of the corporation laws of a number of states in the United States. However, there have been few judicial cases in the Republic of the Marshall Islands interpreting the BCA. The rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under the law of the Republic of the Marshall Islands are not as clearly established as the rights and fiduciary responsibilities of directors under statutes or judicial precedent in existence in certain U.S. jurisdictions. Shareholder rights may differ as well. For example, under Marshall Islands law, a copy of the notice of any meeting of the shareholders must be given not less than 15 days before the meeting, whereas in Delaware such notice must be given not less than 10 days before the meeting. Therefore, if immediate shareholder action is required, a meeting may not be able to be convened as quickly as it can be convened under Delaware law. Also, under Marshall Islands law, any action required to be taken by a meeting of shareholders may only be taken without a meeting if consent is in writing and is signed by all of the shareholders entitled to vote, whereas under Delaware law action may be taken by consent if approved by the number of shareholders that would be required to approve such action at a meeting. Therefore, under Marshall Islands law, it may be more difficult for a company to take certain actions without a meeting even if a majority of the shareholders approve of such action. While the BCA does specifically incorporate the non-statutory law, or judicial case law, of the State of Delaware and other states with substantially similar legislative provisions, public shareholders may have more

difficulty in protecting their interests in the face of actions by the management, directors or controlling shareholders than would shareholders of a corporation incorporated in a U.S. jurisdiction.

It may not be possible for investors to enforce U.S. judgments against us.

We, and all our subsidiaries, are or will be incorporated in jurisdictions outside the U.S. and substantially all of our assets and those of our subsidiaries will be located outside the U.S. In addition, most of our directors and officers are or will be non-residents of the U.S., and all or a substantial portion of the assets of these non-residents are or will be located outside the U.S. As a result, it may be difficult or impossible for U.S. investors to serve process within the U.S. upon us, our subsidiaries, or our directors and officers, or to enforce a judgment against us for civil liabilities in U.S. courts. In addition, you should not assume that courts in the countries in which we or our subsidiaries are incorporated or where our or the assets of our subsidiaries are located would enforce judgments of U.S. courts obtained in actions against us or our subsidiaries based upon the civil liability provisions of applicable U.S. federal and state securities laws or would enforce, in original actions, liabilities against us or our subsidiaries based on those laws.

We can issue shares of preferred stock without shareholder approval, which could adversely affect the rights of common shareholders.

Our articles of incorporation permit us to establish the rights, privileges, preferences and restrictions, including voting rights, of future series of our preferred stock and to issue such stock without approval from our stockholders. The rights of holders of our common stock may suffer as a result of the rights granted to holders of preferred stock that we may issue in the future. In addition, we could issue preferred stock to prevent a change in control of our company, depriving common shareholders of an opportunity to sell their stock at a price in excess of the prevailing market price.

Our stockholder rights plan may discourage a takeover.

In January 2009, our Board of Directors authorized shares of Series A Participating Preferred Stock in connection with its adoption of a stockholder rights plan, under which we issued rights to purchase Series A Preferred Stock to holders of our common stock. Upon certain triggering events, each Right entitles the registered holder to purchase from us one one-thousandth of a share of Preferred Stock at an exercise price of \$90.00, subject to adjustment. Our stockholder rights plan may generally discourage a merger or tender offer involving our securities that is not approved by our Board of Directors by increasing the cost of effecting any such transaction and, accordingly, could have an adverse impact on stockholders who might want to vote in favor of such merger or participate in such tender offer. Our stockholder rights plan expires in January 2019.

Provisions in our organizational documents, our management agreement and under Marshall Islands corporate law could make it difficult for our shareholders to replace or remove our current Board of Directors or have the effect of discouraging, delaying or preventing a merger or acquisition, which could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Several provisions of our amended and restated articles of incorporation and by-laws, and certain provisions of the Marshall Islands corporate law, could make it difficult for our shareholders to change the composition of our Board of Directors in any one year, preventing them from changing the composition of management. In addition, these provisions may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or acquisition that shareholders may consider favorable. These provisions include:

- authorizing our Board of Directors to issue “blank check” preferred stock without shareholder approval;
- providing for a classified Board of Directors with staggered, three year terms;
- prohibiting cumulative voting in the election of directors;
- authorizing the removal of directors only for cause and only upon the affirmative vote of the holders of a two-thirds majority of the outstanding shares of our common shares, voting as a single class, entitled to vote for the directors;
- limiting the persons who may call special meetings of shareholders;
- establishing advance notice requirements for election to our Board of Directors or proposing matters that can be acted on by shareholders at shareholder meetings; and
- limiting our ability to enter into business combination transactions with certain shareholders.

Pursuant to the terms of our management agreement, our Manager is entitled to a termination fee if such agreement is terminated upon a “change of control,” which term includes, but is not limited to, the election of a director not recommended by the then-current Board of Directors, any person or entity or group of affiliated persons or entities that becomes a beneficial owner of 15% or more of our voting securities, a merger of FreeSeas where less than a majority of the shares of the resulting entity are held by the FreeSeas shareholders or the sale of all or substantially all of FreeSeas’ assets. The termination fee as of June 30, 2014 would have been \$84,834. In addition, we have implemented a shareholder rights plan pursuant to which the holders of our common stock receive one right to purchase one one-thousandth of a share of our Series A Participating Preferred Stock at an exercise price of \$90.00 per share, subject to adjustment. The rights become exercisable upon the occurrence of certain change in control events.

These provisions and our shareholder rights plan could substantially impede the ability of public shareholders to benefit from a change in control and, as a result, may adversely affect the market price of our common shares and your ability to realize any potential change of control premium.

Risks Related to This Offering

There are a large number of shares underlying the Warrants that may be available for future sale and the sale of these shares may depress the market price of our common stock.

As of October 21, 2014, we had 85,759,515 shares of common stock issued and outstanding. The outstanding Series A and Series B Warrants held by Crede may be (i) exercised for cash for 6,786,739 shares of Common Stock or (ii) exchanged for approximately 68 million shares of Common Stock as of October 21, 2014, which may ultimately be sold, although the current blocker provisions contained in the Series A and Series B Warrants would currently prevent Crede from exercising and/or exchanging for such numbers at one time. The sale of these shares may adversely affect the market price of our Common Stock.

The exercise of the Warrants may encourage investors to make short sales in our common stock, which could have a depressive effective on the price of our common stock, and make it more difficult for us to raise additional funds.

The downward pressure on the price of the common stock as Crede exercises and/or exchanges the Warrants and sells material amounts of common stock could encourage short sales by investors. Short sales by investors could place further downward pressure on the price of the common stock. Crede could sell common stock into the market in anticipation of covering the short sale by converting their securities, which could cause the further downward pressure on the stock price. In addition, not only the sale of shares issued upon exercise of Warrants, but also the mere perception that these sales could occur, may adversely affect the market price of the common stock. A lower price of our stock would make it more difficult for us to raise additional funds.

The issuance of shares upon exercise and/or exchange of Warrants may cause immediate and substantial dilution to our existing stockholders.

The issuance of shares upon exercise and/or exchange of the Warrants may result in substantial dilution to the interests of other stockholders since the investors may ultimately convert and sell the full amount issuable upon exercise and/or exchange. Although the investors may not exercise or exchange their Warrants, if such exercise or exchange would cause them to own more than 9.9% of our outstanding common stock, this restriction does not prevent the investors from exercising or exchanging some of their holdings and then converting, exercising and/or exchanging additional holdings. In this way, Crede could sell more than this limit while never holding more than this limit.

PRICE RANGE OF OUR PUBLICLY TRADED SECURITIES

Our common stock began trading on the NASDAQ Capital Market on February 19, 2013, under the trading symbol "FREE." Prior to that time, our common stock was traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol "FREE."

The high and low sales prices of our common stock as reported by the NASDAQ Stock Market, for the years, quarters and months indicated, are as follows (adjusted to give effect of our one share for five share reverse stock split that was effective on October 1, 2010, our one share for ten share reverse split that was effective on February 14, 2013 and our one share for five share reverse stock split that was effective on December 2, 2013):

	Common Stock	
For the Years Ended:	High	Low
December 31, 2009	\$872.50	\$292.50
December 31, 2010	397.50	180.50
December 31, 2011	194.50	20.00
December 31, 2012	92.50	3.50
December 31, 2013	29.00	0.85

	Common Stock	
For the Quarters Ended:	High	Low
December 31, 2012	\$12.00	\$3.50
March 31, 2013	29.00	3.10
June 30, 2013	7.20	1.70
September 30, 2013	4.65	0.85
December 31, 2013	4.20	1.00
March 31, 2014	2.44	1.55
June 30, 2014	1.77	0.56
September 30, 2014	0.91	0.21

For the Months Ended:	Common Stock	
	High	Low
April 30, 2014	\$ 1.77	\$ 1.16
May 31, 2014	1.45	1.10
June 30, 2014	1.42	0.56
July 31, 2014	0.78	0.42
August 31, 2014	0.91	0.46
September 30, 2014	0.57	0.21

DIVIDEND POLICY

In light of a lower freight environment and a highly challenging financing environment, which has adversely affected our results of operations and our compliance with our debt obligations, our Board of Directors, beginning in February 2009, suspended the cash dividend on our common stock. Our dividend policy will be assessed by our Board of Directors from time to time; however, it is not likely that we will reinstate the payment of dividends until market conditions significantly improve. In addition, our loan agreements do not allow us to pay dividends without the prior written approval of our lenders. Therefore, there can be no assurance that, if we were to determine to resume paying cash dividends, our lenders would provide any required consent.

USE OF PROCEEDS

We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares by the selling stockholders. We may receive funds from the exercise of the Warrants held by the selling stockholder, if and when exercised for cash, although the Warrants may be exchanged on a cashless basis. Upon the exercise and/or exchange of the Warrants, the common stock may be sold, in which case all net proceeds from the sale of such common stock covered by this prospectus will go to the selling stockholder.

CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth our consolidated capitalization as of June 30, 2014. You should read the following table in conjunction with other disclosures included elsewhere in this prospectus relating to changes in capitalization since June 30, 2014.

(U.S. dollars in
thousands,

	except share amounts)
Debt:	
Long-term debt, current portion	\$ 23,237
Long-term debt, net of current portion	-
Total debt	\$ 23,237
Shareholders' equity:	
Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized, Series B Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value, 15,000 shares designated, no shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2014	\$ -
Series C Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value, 85,000 shares designated, no shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2014	\$ -
Series D Convertible Preferred Stock, \$0.001 par value, 250,000 shares designated, 138,960 issued and outstanding at June 30, 2014	\$ -
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 250,000,000 shares authorized, 35,862,182 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2014	\$ 36
Additional paid-in capital	209,194
Accumulated deficit	(171,667)
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 37,563
Total capitalization	\$ 60,800

SELECTED HISTORICAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND OTHER DATA

The following summary financial information and data were derived from our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the six months ended June 30, 2014 and 2013 and our audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011, 2010 and 2009. The information is only a summary and should be read in conjunction with our historical consolidated financial statements and related notes and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” incorporated herein by reference. The historical data included below and elsewhere in this prospectus are not necessarily indicative of our future performance.

All amounts in the tables below are in thousands of U.S. dollars, except for share data, per share data and per diem amounts.

	Six Months Ended June		Year Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2013	2012	2011	2010	2009
Statement of Operations Data:							
Operating revenues	\$ 2,240	\$ 2,693	\$6,074	\$14,260	\$29,538	\$57,650	\$57,533
Voyage expenses	(445)	(890)	(2,669)	(2,835)	(807)	(1,887)	(1,394)
Commissions	(133)	(295)	(517)	(874)	(1,777)	(3,357)	(3,089)
Vessel operating expenses	(10,698)	(6,432)	(10,865)	(10,868)	(14,563)	(18,607)	(17,813)
Depreciation expense	(2,573)	(2,840)	(5,728)	(5,729)	(8,664)	(15,365)	(16,006)
Amortization of deferred charges	-	(79)	(199)	(988)	(915)	(1,888)	(1,742)
Management fees to a related party	(841)	(1,107)	(1,490)	(2,404)	(1,900)	(1,978)	(1,874)
General and administrative expenses	(1,758)	(2,102)	(3,904)	(4,443)	(4,734)	(4,494)	(4,156)