

THEGLOBE COM INC  
Form 10-Q  
July 31, 2009

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM \_\_\_\_\_ TO \_\_\_\_\_

COMMISSION FILE NO. 0-25053

THEGLOBE.COM, INC.  
(EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

STATE OF DELAWARE  
(STATE OR OTHER JURISDICTION OF  
INCORPORATION OR ORGANIZATION)

14-1782422  
(I.R.S. EMPLOYER  
IDENTIFICATION NO.)

110 EAST BROWARD BOULEVARD, SUITE 1400  
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301  
(ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES)

(954) 769 - 5900  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "small reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act

Edgar Filing: THEGLOBE COM INC - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
Yes  No

The number of shares outstanding of the Registrant's Common Stock, \$.001 par value (the "Common Stock") as of July 31, 2009 was 441,484,838.

---

THEGLOBE.COM, INC.  
FORM 10-Q

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
<b>Item 1. Financial Statements</b>	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2009 (unaudited) and December 31, 2008	1
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	2
Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	3
Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	4
<b>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</b>	13
<b>Item 4T. Controls and Procedures</b>	19
<b>PART II: OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
<b>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</b>	20
<b>Item 1A. Risk Factors</b>	20
<b>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</b>	24
<b>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</b>	24
<b>Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</b>	24
<b>Item 5. Other Information</b>	24
<b>Item 6. Exhibits</b>	24
<b>SIGNATURES</b>	25

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

THEGLOBE.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	June 30, 2009 (UNAUDITED)	DECEMBER 31, 2008
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current Assets:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 12,722	\$ 89,754
Accounts receivable from related parties	—	75,000
Prepaid expenses	9,811	19,576
<b>Total current assets</b>	<b>22,533</b>	<b>184,330</b>
<b>Other assets</b>	<b>40,000</b>	<b>40,000</b>
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 62,533</b>	<b>\$ 224,330</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</b>		
<b>Current Liabilities:</b>		
Accounts payable to related parties	\$ —	\$ 40,667
Accounts payable	191,036	200,385
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	508,992	567,182
Accrued interest due to related parties	48,027	23,233
Notes payable due to related parties	500,000	500,000
Net liabilities of discontinued operations	1,887,111	1,899,110
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<b>3,135,166</b>	<b>3,230,577</b>
<b>Stockholders' Deficit:</b>		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 500,000,000 shares authorized; 441,484,838 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008	441,485	441,485
Additional paid-in capital	294,301,845	294,298,990
Accumulated deficit	(297,815,963)	(297,746,722)
<b>Total stockholders' deficit</b>	<b>(3,072,633)</b>	<b>(3,006,247)</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' deficit</b>	<b>\$ 62,533</b>	<b>\$ 224,330</b>

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.



THEGLOBE.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(UNAUDITED)		(UNAUDITED)	
Net Revenue	\$	—	\$ 547,092	\$ 1,091,025
<b>Operating Expenses:</b>				
Cost of revenue		117,137		148,829
Sales and marketing		86,625		296,401
General and administrative	24,443	654,508	47,806	1,190,620
Related party transactions	60,000	129,918	120,000	283,382
Depreciation		10,867		21,577
Intangible asset amortization		39,512		79,024
Total Operating Expenses	84,443	1,038,567	167,806	2,019,833
Operating Loss from Continuing Operations	(84,443)	(491,475)	(167,806)	(928,808)
<b>Other Income (Expense), net:</b>				
Related party interest expense	(12,466)	(114,643)	(24,795)	(230,575)
Interest income (expense)	(116)	454	(140)	3,236
Related party other income	75,000	—	150,000	—
Other income		75	44	247
	62,418	(114,114)	125,109	(227,092)
Loss from Continuing Operations Before Income Tax	(22,025)	(605,589)	(42,697)	(1,155,900)
Income Tax Provision				
Loss from Continuing Operations	(22,025)	(605,589)	(42,697)	(1,155,900)
Discontinued Operations, net of tax:	(23,547)	20,995	(26,544)	21,960
Net Loss	\$ (45,572)	\$ (584,594)	\$ (69,241)	\$ (1,133,940)
<b>Loss Per Share:</b>				
<b>Basic and Diluted:</b>				
Continuing Operations	\$	—	\$	—
Discontinued Operations	\$	—	\$	—
Net Loss	\$	—	\$	—
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding	441,484,838	181,276,038	441,484,838	176,880,438

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.



THEGLOBE.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
	(UNAUDITED)	
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (69,241)	\$ (1,133,940)
Add back: (income) loss from discontinued operations	26,544	(21,960)
Net loss from continuing operations	(42,697)	(1,155,900)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss from continuing operations to net cash flows from operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	—	100,601
Employee stock compensation	2,429	15,216
Compensation related to non-employee stock options	426	852
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable from related parties	75,000	396,162
Accounts receivable	—	(1,621)
Prepaid and other current assets	9,765	(22,028)
Accounts payable to related parties	(40,667)	262,884
Accounts payable	(9,349)	14,150
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	(58,190)	(299,287)
Accrued interest due to related parties	24,794	230,575
Deferred revenue	—	(296,938)
Net cash flows from operating activities of continuing operations	(38,489)	(755,334)
Net cash flows from operating activities of discontinued operations	(38,543)	7,744
Net cash flows from operating activities	(77,032)	(747,590)
<b>Cash Flows from Investing Activities:</b>		
Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment of discontinued operations	—	7,000
Net cash flows from investing activities	—	7,000
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>		
Borrowing on Notes Payable	—	200,000
Net cash flows from financing activities	—	200,000
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(77,032)	(540,590)
Cash and Cash Equivalents, at beginning of period	89,754	631,198
Cash and Cash Equivalents, at end of period	\$ 12,722	\$ 90,608

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.





THEGLOBE.COM, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

DESCRIPTION OF THEGLOBE.COM

theglobe.com, inc. (the “Company” or “theglobe”) was incorporated on May 1, 1995 (inception) and commenced operations on that date. Originally, theglobe.com was an online community with registered members and users in the United States and abroad. However, due to the deterioration of the online advertising market, the Company was forced to restructure and ceased the operations of its online community on August 15, 2001. The Company then sold most of its remaining online and offline properties. The Company continued to operate its Computer Games print magazine and the associated CGOnline website, as well as the e-commerce games distribution business of Chips & Bits. On June 1, 2002, Chairman Michael S. Egan and Director Edward A. Cespedes became Chief Executive Officer and President of the Company, respectively. On November 14, 2002, the Company entered into the Voice over Internet Protocol (“VoIP”) business by acquiring certain VoIP assets.

On May 9, 2005, the Company exercised an option to acquire all of the outstanding capital stock of Tralliance Corporation (“Tralliance”), an entity which had been designated as the registry for the “.travel” top-level domain through an agreement with the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (“ICANN”).

As more fully discussed in Note 4, “Discontinued Operations,” in March 2007, management and the Board of Directors of the Company made the decision to cease all activities related to its computer games businesses, including discontinuing the operations of its magazine publications, games distribution business and related websites. In addition, in March 2007, management and the Board of Directors of the Company decided to discontinue the operating, research and development activities of its VoIP telephony services business. All of the remaining employees of these businesses were terminated.

On September 29, 2008, the Company sold its Tralliance business and issued 229,000,000 shares of its Common Stock to a company controlled by Michael S. Egan, the Company’s Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (see Note 3, “Sale of Tralliance and Share Issuance”). As a result of the sale of its Tralliance business, the Company became a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934) with no material operations or assets. The Company presently intends to continue as a public company and make all the requisite filings under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934. However, certain matters, as more fully discussed in Note 2, “Going Concern Considerations,” raise substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern.

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries from their respective dates of acquisition. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

UNAUDITED INTERIM CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company as of June 30, 2009 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 included herein have been prepared in accordance with the instructions for Form 10-Q under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Article 10 of Regulation S-X under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been

condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations relating to interim condensed consolidated financial statements.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial position of the Company at June 30, 2009 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008. The results of operations and cash flows for such periods are not necessarily indicative of results expected for the full year or for any future period.

#### USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. These estimates and assumptions relate to estimates of collectability of accounts receivable, the impairment of long-lived assets, accounts payable and accrued expenses and other factors. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, a significant portion of our net liabilities of discontinued operations relate to charges that have been disputed by the Company and for which estimates have been required. Our estimates, judgments and assumptions are continually evaluated based upon available information and experience. Because of estimates inherent in the financial reporting process, actual results could differ from those estimates.

## CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash equivalents consist of money market funds and highly liquid short-term investments with qualified financial institutions. The Company considers all highly liquid securities with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

## COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The Company reports comprehensive income (loss) in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income." Comprehensive income (loss) generally represents all changes in stockholders' equity during the year except those resulting from investments by, or distributions to, stockholders. The Company's comprehensive loss was approximately \$69 thousand and \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, which approximated the Company's reported net loss.

## REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Company's revenue from continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2008 consists principally of registration fees for Internet domain registrations earned prior to the sale of its Tralliance business. Such registration fees are reported net of transaction fees paid to an unrelated third party which served as the registry operator for the Company. Payments of registration fees had been deferred when initially received and recognized as revenue on a straight-line basis over the registrations' terms.

## NET LOSS PER SHARE

The Company reports net loss per common share in accordance with SFAS No. 128, "Computation of Earnings Per Share." In accordance with SFAS 128 and the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 98, basic earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Common equivalent shares consist of the incremental common shares issuable upon the conversion of convertible notes (using the if-converted method), if any, and the shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options and warrants (using the treasury stock method). Common equivalent shares are excluded from the calculation if their effect is anti-dilutive or if a loss from continuing operations is reported.

Due to the anti-dilutive effect of potentially dilutive securities or common stock equivalents that could be issued, such securities were excluded from the diluted net loss per common share calculation for all periods presented. Such potentially dilutive securities and common stock equivalents consisted of the following for the periods ended June 30:

	2009	2008
Options to purchase common stock	13,597,000	15,601,000
Common shares issuable upon exercise of warrants	12,725,000	16,911,000
Common shares issuable upon conversion of Convertible Notes		— 153,000,000
Total	26,322,000	185,512,000

## RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, "Subsequent Events" ("SFAS 165"). SFAS 165 establishes principles and requirements for subsequent events. In particular, this Statement sets forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity shall evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which an entity shall recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and the disclosures that an entity shall

make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. SFAS 165 is effective for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. The adoption of this SFAS 165 did not have a material effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows; see Note 9 "Subsequent Events".

APB 14-1 "Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)" applies to convertible debt instruments that, by their stated terms, may be settled in cash (or other assets) upon conversion, including partial cash settlement, unless the embedded conversion options is required to be separately accounted for as a derivative under FASB Statement No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." APB 14-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The implementation of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

EITF 07-05, "Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock," applies to any freestanding financial instrument or embedded feature that has all the characteristics of a derivative in FAS Statement 133, for purposes of determining whether that instrument or embedded feature qualifies for the first part of the scope exception in paragraph 11 (a) of Statement 133. This issue also applies to any freestanding financial instrument that is potentially settled in an entity's own stock, regardless of whether the instrument has all the characteristics of a derivative in paragraphs 6-9 of Statement 133, for purposes of determining whether the instrument is within the scope of EITF 00-19. EITF 07-05 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The implementation of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 162, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles," ("SFAS 162"), which identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States (the GAAP hierarchy). SFAS No. 162 became effective on November 15, 2008. The implementation of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP SFAS No. 142-3, "Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets." 142-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The implementation of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," ("SFAS 161"). SFAS 161 has the same scope as Statement 133 and requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities and thereby improves the transparency of financial reporting. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early application encouraged. This Statement encourages, but does not require, comparative disclosures for earlier periods at initial adoption. This Statement changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. Entities are required to provide enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under Statement 133 and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. The adoption of SFAS 161 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 141R, "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141R") which requires an acquirer to recognize the assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date, measured at their fair values as of that date. SFAS 141R requires, among other things, that in a business combination achieved through stages (sometimes referred to as a "step acquisition") that the acquirer recognize the identifiable assets and liabilities, as well as the non-controlling interest in the acquiree, at the full amounts of their fair values (or other amounts determined in accordance with this Statement).

SFAS 141R also requires the acquirer to recognize goodwill as of the acquisition date, measured as a residual, which in most types of business combinations will result in measuring goodwill as the excess of the consideration transferred plus the fair value of any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date over the fair values of the identifiable net assets acquired. SFAS 141R applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS 141R did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 160, "Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements" ("SFAS 160"). This Statement changes the way the consolidated income statement is presented. SFAS 160 requires consolidated net income to be reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the

non-controlling interest. It also requires disclosure, on the face of the consolidated statement of income, of the amounts of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the non-controlling interest. Currently, net income attributable to the non-controlling interest generally is reported as an expense or other deduction in arriving at consolidated net income. It also is often presented in combination with other financial statement amounts. SFAS 160 results in more transparent reporting of the net income attributable to the non-controlling interest. This Statement is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS 160 did not have a material impact on its financial statements.

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued SFAS No. 159, “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities.” SFAS No. 159 expands the scope of what entities may carry at fair value by offering an irrevocable option to record many types of financial assets and liabilities at fair value. Changes in fair value would be recorded in an entity’s income statement. This accounting standard also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements that are intended to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 159 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. Earlier application is permitted under certain circumstances. The adoption of SFAS No. 159 did not have a material impact on the Company’s financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements." This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies to other accounting standards that require or permit fair value measurements. Accordingly, this statement does not require any new fair value measurement. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

## RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain amounts in the prior year financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

## (2) GOING CONCERN CONSIDERATIONS

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. Accordingly, the consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability of assets and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern. However, for the reasons described below, Company management does not believe that cash on hand and cash flow generated internally by the Company will be adequate to fund its limited overhead and other cash requirements beyond a short period of time. These reasons raise significant doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

During its recent past, the Company was able to continue operating as a going concern due principally to funding of \$500,000 received during 2008 under a Revolving Loan Agreement with an entity controlled by Michael S. Egan, its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (See Note 5, "Debt" for further details) and funding of \$225,000 received during the first half of 2009 under an Earn-out Agreement with an entity also controlled by Mr. Egan (See Note 3, "Sale of Tralliance and Share Issuance" for further details).

At June 30, 2009, the Company had a net working capital deficit of approximately \$3,113,000, inclusive of a cash and cash equivalents balance of approximately \$13,000. Such working capital deficit included (i) a total of approximately \$548,000 in principal and accrued interest owed under the aforementioned Revolving Loan Agreement to an entity controlled by Mr. Egan, and (ii) an aggregate of approximately \$2,600,000 in unsecured accounts payable and accrued expenses owed to vendors and other non-related third parties (of which approximately \$1,800,000 relates to liabilities of our VoIP telephony service discontinued business, with a significant portion of such liabilities related to charges which have been disputed by theglobe). theglobe believes that its ability to continue as a going concern for any significant length of time in the future will be heavily dependent, among other things, on its ability to prevail and avoid making any payments with respect to such disputed vendor charges and/or to negotiate favorable settlements (including discounted payment and/or payment term concessions) with the aforementioned creditors.

As more fully discussed in Note 3, "Sale of Tralliance and Share Issuance," on September 29, 2008, the Company (i) sold the business and substantially all of the assets of its Tralliance Corporation subsidiary to Tralliance Registry Management, and (ii) issued 229,000,000 shares of its Common Stock (the "Shares") to Registry Management (the "Purchase Transaction"). Tralliance Registry Management and Registry Management are entities controlled by Michael S. Egan. The closing of the Purchase Transaction resulted in the cancellation of all of the Company's remaining Convertible Debt, related accrued interest and rent and accounts payable owed to entities controlled by Mr. Egan as of the date of closing (totaling approximately \$6,400,000). However, the Company continues to be obligated to repay its principal borrowings totaling \$500,000, plus accrued interest at the rate of 10% per annum, due to an entity controlled by Mr. Egan under the aforementioned Revolving Loan Agreement. All unpaid borrowings under the Revolving Loan



Agreement, as amended on May 7, 2009 (See Note 5, "Debt"), including accrued interest, are due and payable by the Company in one lump sum on the earlier of (i) five business days following demand for payment, which demand can be made at anytime, or (ii) the occurrence of an event of default as defined in the Revolving Loan Agreement. The Company currently has no ability to repay this loan should a demand for payment be made by the noteholder. All borrowings under the Revolving Loan Agreement are secured by a pledge of all of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries. After giving effect to the closing of the Purchase Transaction and the issuance of the Shares thereunder, Mr. Egan now beneficially owns approximately 77% of the Company's issued and outstanding Common Stock.

As additional consideration under the Purchase Transaction, Tralliance Registry Management is obligated to pay an earn-out to theglobe equal to 10% (subject to certain minimums) of Tralliance Registry Management's net revenue (as defined) derived from ".travel" names registered by Tralliance Registry Management from September 29, 2008 through May 5, 2015 (the "Earn-out"). The minimum Earn-out payable by Tralliance Registry Management to theglobe will be at least \$300,000 in the first year, increasing by \$25,000 in each subsequent year (pro-rated for the final year of the Earn-out).

In connection with the closing of the Purchase Transaction, the Company also entered into a Master Services Agreement with an entity controlled by Mr. Egan whereby for a fee of \$20,000 per month (\$240,000 per annum) such entity will provide personnel and services to the Company so as to enable it to continue its existence as a public company without the necessity of any full-time employees of its own. Additionally, commensurate with the closing of the Purchase Transaction, Termination Agreements with each of its current executive officers, which terminated their previous and then existing employment agreements, were executed. Notwithstanding the termination of these employment agreements, each of our current executive officers and directors remain as executive officers and directors of the Company.

Immediately following the closing of the Purchase Transaction, theglobe became a shell company with no material operations or assets, and no source of revenue other than under the Earn-out. It is expected that theglobe's future operating expenses as a public shell company will consist primarily of expenses incurred under the aforementioned Master Services Agreement and other customary public company expenses, including legal, audit and other miscellaneous public company costs.

## MANAGEMENT'S PLANS

As a shell company, management believes that theglobe will most likely continue to incur net and cash flow losses for the foreseeable future. However, assuming that no significant unplanned costs are incurred, management believes that theglobe's future losses will be limited. Further, in the event that Registry Management is successful in substantially increasing net revenue derived from ".travel" name registrations (and as the result maximizing theglobe's Earn-out revenue) in the future, theglobe's prospects for achieving profitability will be enhanced. To date the Company has received only the minimum payments pursuant to the Earn-out.

It is the Company's preference to avoid filing for protection under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. However, based upon the Company's current financial condition as discussed above, management believes that additional debt or equity capital will need to be raised in order for theglobe to continue to operate as a going concern on a long-term basis. Such capital will be needed both to (i) fund its expected limited future net losses and (ii) repay the \$548,000 of secured debt and related accrued interest due under the Revolving Loan Agreement and a portion of the \$2,600,000 unsecured indebtedness (assuming theglobe is successful in favorably resolving and settling certain disputed and non-disputed vendor charges related to such unsecured indebtedness). Any such capital would likely come from Mr. Egan, or affiliates of Mr. Egan, as the Company currently has no access to credit facilities and had traditionally relied upon borrowings from related parties to meet short-term liquidity needs. Any such capital raised would likely result in very substantial dilution in the number of outstanding shares of the Company's Common Stock.

On a short-term liquidity basis, the Company must be successful in collecting the quarterly Earn-out payments contractually due from Tralliance Registry Management on a timely basis, and must receive the continued indulgence of substantially all of its creditors, in order to continue to operate as a going concern in the near term. Given theglobe's current financial condition and the state of the current United States capital markets and economy, it has no current intent to seek to acquire, or start, any other businesses.

### (3) SALE OF TRALLIANCE AND SHARE ISSUANCE

On September 29, 2008, theglobe closed upon a previously announced Purchase Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") dated as of June 10, 2008, by and between theglobe.com, its subsidiary, Tralliance, Registry Management and Tralliance Registry Management, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Registry Management. In connection with the closing, Registry Management assigned certain of its rights and obligations with respect to the purchased assets of Tralliance to Tralliance Registry Management. Pursuant to the provisions of the Purchase Agreement, theglobe (i) issued two hundred twenty nine million (229,000,000) shares of its Common Stock (the "Shares") (the "Share Issuance") and (ii) sold the business and substantially all of the assets of its subsidiary, Tralliance to Tralliance Registry Management (the "Asset Sale" and, together with the Share Issuance, the "Sale" or "Purchase Transaction") for (i) consideration totaling approximately \$6,409,800 and consisting of surrender to theglobe and satisfaction of secured demand convertible promissory notes issued by theglobe and held by the Registry Management in the aggregate principal amount of \$4,250,000, together with all accrued and unpaid interest of approximately \$1,290,300 through the date of the closing of the Purchase Transaction and satisfaction of approximately \$869,500 in outstanding rent and miscellaneous fees due and unpaid to Registry Management through the date of closing of the Purchase Transaction, and (ii) an earn-out equal to 10% of Tralliance Registry Management's "net revenue" (as defined) derived from ".travel" names registered by Tralliance Registry Management from September 29, 2008 through May 5, 2015 (the

“Earn-out”). Registry Management and Tralliance Registry Management are directly or indirectly controlled by Michael S. Egan, our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and principal stockholder and each of our two remaining Board members own a minority interest in Registry Management. After giving effect to the closing of the Purchase Transaction, and the issuance of the Shares thereunder, Mr. Egan now beneficially owns approximately 77% of the Company’s issued and outstanding Common Stock.

Due to various factors related to the collectability of Earn-out payments from Tralliance Registry Management, including the current weak financial condition of Tralliance Registry Management, the uncertainty of its ability to become profitable in the future, and the fact that such Earn-out payments are payable to theglobe over an extended period of time (approximately 6 ½ years), no portion of the Earn-out was included in the purchase price for the Purchase Transaction as of the closing of the transaction. Instead, the Company intends to recognize income related to the Earn-out on a prospective basis as and to the extent that future Earn-out payments are collected. During January 2009, the Company received its initial minimum Earn-out installment payment from Tralliance Registry Management in the amount of \$75,000, with such amount recognized as Other Income in the Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2008. During March 2009 and June 2009, the Company received additional minimum Earn-out installment payments from Tralliance Registry Management, both in the amount of \$75,000, which were each recorded as credits to Other Income in the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009. To date the Company has received only the minimum payments pursuant to the Earn-out.

Commensurate with the closing of the Purchase Agreement on September 29, 2008, the Company also entered into several ancillary agreements. These agreements included an Earn-out Agreement pursuant to which the aforementioned “net revenue” Earn-out would be paid (the “Earn-out Agreement”), and Termination Agreements with each of our executive officers (each a “Termination Agreement”). The minimum Earn-out amount payable under the Earn-out Agreement will be at least \$300,000 in the first year of the Earn-out Agreement increasing by \$25,000 in each subsequent year (pro-rated for the final year of the Earn-out) with incremental Earn-out payments to be determined and paid to the Company on an annual basis to the extent that 10% of Tralliance Registry Management’s “net revenue” (as defined) exceeds the minimum Earn-out amount payable for such year. Pursuant to the Termination Agreements, the Company’s employment agreements with each of Michael S. Egan, Edward A. Cespedes and Robin Segaul Lebowitz, the Company’s Chief Executive Officer, President and Vice President of Finance, all dated August 1, 2003, respectively, were terminated. Notwithstanding the termination of these employment agreements, each of Messrs. Egan, Cespedes and Ms. Lebowitz remains as an officer and director of the Company.

In connection with the closing of the Purchase Agreement, the Company also entered into a Master Services Agreement (“Services Agreement”) with Dancing Bear Investments, Inc. (“Dancing Bear”), which is controlled by Mr. Egan. Under the terms of the Services Agreement, for a fee of \$20,000 per month (\$240,000 per annum), Dancing Bear will provide personnel and services to the Company so as to enable it to continue its existence as a public company without the necessity of any full-time employees of its own. The Services Agreement has an initial term of one year and is subject to renewal or early termination under certain events. Services under the Services Agreement include, without limitation, accounting, assistance with financial reporting, accounts payable, treasury/financial planning, record retention and secretarial and investor relations functions. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, a total of \$120,000 related to such Services Agreement has been expensed.

After giving effect to the closing of the Purchase Transaction, theglobe has no material operations or assets and no source of revenue other than the Earn-out. The Purchase Transaction was not intended to result in theglobe “going private” and theglobe, subject to its financial wherewithal, presently intends to continue as a public company and make all requisite filings under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 to remain a public company.

#### (4) DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

In March 2007, management and the Board of Directors of the Company made the decision to cease all activities related to its Computer Games businesses, including discontinuing the operations of its magazine publications, games distribution business and related websites. The Company’s decision to shutdown its computer games businesses was based primarily on the historical losses sustained by these businesses during the recent past and management’s expectations of continued future losses. As of June 30, 2009, all significant elements of its computer games business shutdown plan have been completed by the Company, except for the resolution and payment of remaining outstanding accounts payables.

In addition, in March 2007, management and the Board of Directors of the Company decided to discontinue the operating, research and development activities of its VoIP telephony services business and terminate all of the remaining employees of the business. The Company’s decision to discontinue the operations of its VoIP telephony services business was based primarily on the historical losses sustained by the business during the past several years, management’s expectations of continued losses for the foreseeable future and estimates of the amount of capital required to attempt to successfully monetize its business. As of June 30, 2009, all significant elements of its VoIP telephony services business shutdown plan have been completed by the Company, except for the resolution of certain vendor disputes and the payment of remaining outstanding vendor payables.

Results of operations for the Computer Games and VoIP telephony services businesses have been reported separately as “Discontinued Operations” in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations for all periods presented. The

assets and liabilities of the computer games and VoIP telephony services businesses have been included in the captions, “Assets of Discontinued Operations” and “Liabilities of Discontinued Operations” in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The following is a summary of the assets and liabilities of the discontinued operations of the computer games and VoIP telephony services businesses as included in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. A significant portion of the net liabilities of discontinued operations at June 30, 2009 relate to charges that have been disputed by the Company and for which estimates have been required.

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
<b>Assets:</b>		
Computer Games	\$	\$
VoIP Telephony Services		—
<b>Total assets of discontinued operations</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>—\$</b>
	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
<b>Liabilities:</b>		
Computer Games		
Accounts payable	\$ 35,583	\$ 35,584
Subscriber liability, net	4,971	4,971
	40,554	40,555
VoIP Telephony Services		
Accounts payable	1,530,847	1,565,845
Other accrued expenses	228,710	228,710
	1,759,557	1,794,555
<b>Total liabilities of discontinued operations</b>	<b>\$ 1,800,111</b>	<b>\$ 1,835,110</b>

Total liabilities of discontinued operations at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 also include liabilities of \$87,000 and \$64,000, respectively, related to estimated income taxes and interest due in connection with an ongoing audit of a former subsidiary company.

Summarized results of operations financial information for the discontinued operations of our computer games and VoIP telephony services businesses was as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
<b>Computer Games:</b>				
Net revenue	\$ —	\$ 21,695	\$ —	\$ 21,695
Income (Loss) from operations, net of tax	\$ (397)	\$ 21,695	\$ (3,095)	\$ 17,789
<b>VoIP Telephony Services</b>				
Net revenue	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Income (Loss) from operations, net of tax	\$ (150)	\$ (700)	\$ (449)	\$ 4,171

The results of the Company's discontinued operations for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 also includes a charge for \$23,000 related to interest expenses accrued in connection with an ongoing prior year audit of a

former subsidiary company.

10

---

## (5) DEBT

Debt consists of note payable due to related parties, as summarized below:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
2008 Revolving Loan Note due to affiliates	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
LESS: Short-term portion	500,000	500,000
Long-term portion	\$ —	\$ —

On May 7, 2009, the Company entered into a Note Modification Agreement with Dancing Bear Investments, Inc. (“Dancing Bear”), which amended the repayment terms of the Revolving Loan Agreement dated June 6, 2008 by and between the Company and Dancing Bear (see Note 8, “Related Party Transactions”). Under the terms of the Note Modification Agreement, from and after June 6, 2009 (the original maturity date of the Revolving Loan Agreement), all amounts due under the Revolving Loan Agreement, including principal and accrued interest, will be due and payable on the earlier of (i) five (5) business days following any demand for payment, which demand can be made by Dancing Bear at any time; or (ii) the occurrence of an event of default, as defined in the Revolving Loan Agreement.

## (6) STOCK OPTION PLANS

We have several stock option plans under which nonqualified stock options may be granted to officers, directors, other employees, consultants and advisors of the Company. In general, options granted under the Company’s stock option plans expire after a ten-year period and generally vest no later than three years from the date of grant. Incentive options granted to stockholders who own greater than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of the Company must be issued at 110% of the fair market value of the stock on the date the options are granted. As of June 30, 2009, there were approximately 9,388,000 shares available for grant under the Company’s stock option plans.

There were no stock option grants or exercises during each of the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

Stock option activity during the six months ended June 30, 2009 was as follows:

	Total Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	\$ 14,963,660	\$ 0.33
Granted		
Exercised	—	
Canceled	(1,367,080)	1.74
Outstanding at June 30, 2009	13,596,580	0.18
Options exercisable at June 30, 2009	\$ 13,596,580	\$ 0.18

The weighted-average remaining contractual term of both stock options outstanding and stock options exercisable at June 30, 2009 was 5 years. The aggregate intrinsic value of both options outstanding and stock options exercisable at June 30, 2009 was \$0.



Stock compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. Stock compensation expense totaling \$2,855 was charged to operations during the six months ended June 30, 2009, including \$426 of expense resulting from the vesting of non-employee stock options. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, stock compensation expense of \$16,068 charged to operations included \$852 of expense related to the vesting of non-employee stock options.

At June 30, 2009, there was no unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested stock options.

The Company estimates the fair value of each stock option at the grant date by using the Black Scholes option-pricing model using the following assumptions: no dividend yield; a risk free interest rate based on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the time of grant; an expected option life based on historical and expected exercise behavior; and expected volatility based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock price, over a time period that is consistent with the expected life of the option.

(7) LITIGATION

On and after August 3, 2001 six putative shareholder class action lawsuits were filed against the Company, certain of its current and former officers and directors (the "Individual Defendants"), and several investment banks that were the underwriters of the Company's initial public offering and secondary offering. The lawsuits were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. A Consolidated Amended Complaint, which is now the operative complaint, was filed in the Southern District of New York on April 19, 2002.

The lawsuit purports to be a class action filed on behalf of purchasers of the stock of the Company during the period from November 12, 1998 through December 6, 2000. The purported class action alleges violations of Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "1933 Act") and Sections 10(b), Rule 10b-5 and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "1934 Act"). Plaintiffs allege that the underwriter defendants agreed to allocate stock in the Company's initial public offering and its secondary offering to certain investors in exchange for excessive and undisclosed commissions and agreements by those investors to make additional purchases of stock in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices. Plaintiffs allege that the Prospectuses for the Company's initial public offering and its secondary offering were false and misleading and in violation of the securities laws because it did not disclose these arrangements. The action seeks damages in an unspecified amount. On October 9, 2002, the Court dismissed the Individual Defendants from the case without prejudice. This dismissal disposed of the Section 15 and 20(a) control person claims without prejudice.

At the Court's request, plaintiffs selected six "focus" cases, which do not include the Company. The Court indicated that its decisions in the six focus cases are intended to provide strong guidance for the parties in the remaining cases. On August 14, 2007, the plaintiffs filed amended complaints in the six focus cases, and on September 27, 2007, the plaintiffs moved to certify a class in these cases. On November 14, 2007, the defendants in the six focus cases filed motions to dismiss. On March 26, 2008, the District Court dismissed the Section 11 claims of those members of the putative classes in the focus cases who sold their securities for a price in excess of the initial offering price and those who purchased outside the previously certified class period. With respect to all other claims, the motions to dismiss were denied. On October 10, 2008, at the request of the plaintiffs, the motion for class certification was withdrawn, without prejudice.

On April 3, 2009, the plaintiffs submitted to the Court a motion for preliminary approval of a settlement of the approximately 300 coordinated cases, which includes theglobe, the underwriter defendants in the Company's class action lawsuit, and the plaintiff class in the Company's class action lawsuit. The insurers for the issuer defendants in the coordinated cases will make the settlement payment on behalf of the issuers, including theglobe. On June 11, 2009, the Court issued an order preliminarily approving the proposed stipulation and agreement of settlement among the parties and certifying settlement classes. The settlement is subject to termination by the parties under certain circumstances, and final approval by the Court. The hearing on final approval is currently scheduled for September 10, 2009. There is no assurance that the Court will grant final approval.

Due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation, the Company cannot accurately predict the ultimate outcome of the matter. If the settlement is not approved and the Company is found liable, we are unable to estimate or predict the potential damages that might be awarded, whether such damages would be greater than the Company's insurance coverage, and whether such damages would have a material impact on our results of operations or financial condition in any future period.

The Company is currently a party to certain other claims and disputes arising in the ordinary course of business, including certain disputes related to vendor charges incurred primarily as the result of the failure and subsequent shutdown of its discontinued VoIP telephony services business. The Company believes that it has recorded adequate accruals on its balance sheet to cover such disputed charges and is seeking to resolve and settle such disputed charges

for amounts substantially less than recorded amounts. An adverse outcome in any of these matters, however, could materially and adversely effect our financial position and prospects, utilizing all or a significant portion of our limited cash resources, and adversely affect our ability to continue as a going concern (see Note 4, "Discontinued Operations").

#### (8) RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

On June 6, 2008, the Company entered into a Revolving Loan Agreement with Dancing Bear Investments, Inc. ("Dancing Bear"), pursuant to which Dancing Bear may loan up to \$500,000 to the Company on a revolving basis (the "Credit Line"). Dancing Bear is an entity controlled by Michael S. Egan, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. During 2008 the Company made borrowings totaling the full amount of the \$500,000 Credit Line. Accrued interest for the three and six periods ended June 30, 2009 was \$12,466 and \$24,795 respectively. All borrowings under the Credit Line, including accrued interest on borrowed funds at the rate of 10% per annum, were initially due and payable in one lump sum on the first anniversary of the Credit Line, or June 6, 2009, or sooner upon the occurrence of an event of default under the loan documentation. On May 7, 2009 such repayment terms were amended so as to require the Company to repay any or all amounts due under the Credit Line in one lump sum on the earlier of (i) five business days following demand for payment, which demand can be made at any time, or (ii) the occurrence of an event of default as defined in the Revolving Credit Agreement.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company received minimum Earn-out installment payments totaling \$225,000 from Tralliance Registry Management Company LLC ("Tralliance Registry Management") under an Earn-out Agreement entered into on September 29, 2008 by and between Tralliance Registry Management and the Company. Tralliance Registry Management is an entity controlled by Michael S. Egan, and each of our two remaining executive officers and Board members, Edward A. Cespedes, our President, and Robin S. Lebowitz, our Vice President of Finance, own minority interests in The Registry Management Company, LLC, the parent company of Tralliance Registry Management. In accounting for such proceeds, \$150,000 was recorded as Related Party Other Income in the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009, and \$75,000 served to reduce Account Receivables from Related Parties which had been recorded on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2008.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company paid management services fees totaling \$160,667 to Dancing Bear under a Master Services Agreement entered into on September 29, 2008 by and between Dancing Bear and the Company. In accounting for such payments, \$120,000 was recorded as Related Party Transactions Expense in the Company's Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and \$40,667 served to reduce Accounts Payable to Related Parties which had been recorded on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2008.

During 2008, an entity owned solely by the sister of the Company's President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer and Director provided certain administrative services to the Company. During the six month period ended June 30 2008, \$22,500 of expense related to these services was recorded.

During 2008, several entities controlled by the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer provided services to the Company, including the lease of office space and the outsourcing of customer services, human resources and payroll processing functions. During the six month period ended June 30, 2008, \$260,882 of expense related to these services was recorded.

#### (9) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

We have performed an evaluation of subsequent events through the close of business day on July 31, 2009. There were none.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws that relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology, such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "expect," "plan," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "project," "predict," "intend," "potential" or "continue" or the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology, although not all forward-looking statements contain such terms. In addition, these forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements regarding:

- the outcome of pending litigation;
- our ability to negotiate favorable settlements with unsecured creditors;
- our ability to successfully resolve disputed liabilities;
- our estimates or expectations of continued losses;
- our expectations regarding future income (and in particular, income from an earn-out due from an affiliate) and expenses;
- our ability to raise sufficient capital; and
- our ability to continue to operate as a going concern.

These statements are only predictions. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. We are not required to and do not intend to update any of the forward-looking statements after the date of this Form 10-Q or to conform these statements to actual results. In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, the forward-looking events discussed in this Form 10-Q might not occur. Actual results, levels of activity, performance, achievements and events may vary significantly from those implied by the forward-looking statements. A description of risks that could cause our results to vary appears under "Risk Factors" and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q. The following discussion should be read together in conjunction with the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto and the audited consolidated financial statements and notes to those statements contained in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

## OVERVIEW

As more fully discussed in Note 3, "Sale of Tralliance and Share Issuance" in the accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, on September 29, 2008, theglobe.com, inc. consummated the sale of the business and substantially all of the assets of its Tralliance Corporation subsidiary to Tralliance Registry Management Company, LLC, an entity controlled by Michael S. Egan, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. As a result of and on the effective date of the sale of its Tralliance business, which was theglobe's remaining operating business, theglobe became a "shell company," as that term is defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act, with no material operations or assets.

As part of the consideration for the sale of its Tralliance business, theglobe received earn-out rights from Tralliance Registry Management ("Earn-Out"), which constitutes the only source of revenue for theglobe as a shell company. theglobe's operating expenses as a shell company consist of customary public company expenses, including accounting, financial reporting, legal, audit and other related public company costs.

In connection with the sale of its Tralliance business and Share Issuance, the Company entered into a Master Services Agreement with Dancing Bear Investments, Inc., an entity which is controlled by Mr. Egan. Under the terms of the Services Agreement, for a fee of \$20 thousand per month (\$240 thousand per annum), Dancing Bear provides personnel and services to the Company so as to enable it to continue its existence as a public company without the necessity of any full-time employees of its own. Services under the Services Agreement include, without limitation, accounting, assistance with financial reporting, accounts payable, treasury/financial planning, record retention and secretarial and investor relations functions.

In March 2007, management and the Board of Directors of the Company made the decision to cease all activities related to its computer games and VoIP telephony services businesses. Results of operations for the computer games and VoIP telephony services businesses have been reported separately as "Discontinued Operations" in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations for all periods presented. The assets and liabilities of the computer games and VoIP telephony services businesses have been included in the captions, "Assets of Discontinued Operations" and "Liabilities of Discontinued Operations" in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

## BASIS OF PRESENTATION OF CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

We received a report from our independent registered public accountants, relating to our December 31, 2008 audited financial statements, containing an explanatory paragraph regarding our ability to continue as a going concern. As a shell company, management believes that theglobe will not be able to generate operating cash flows sufficient to fund its operations and pay its existing current liabilities (including those liabilities related to its discontinued operations) in the foreseeable future. Based upon our current limited cash resources and without the infusion of additional capital and/or the continued indulgence of its creditors, management does not believe the Company can operate as a going concern beyond a short period of time. See "Future and Critical Need for Capital" section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for further details.

Our condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. Accordingly, our condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability of assets and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

Due to the Sale of our Tralliance business and Share Issuance on September 29, 2008, we have no continuing business operations. Accordingly, the results of our operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 are not necessarily comparable.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009 COMPARED TO  
THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

CONTINUING OPERATIONS

NET REVENUE. Net revenue totaled \$0 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to \$547 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

COST OF REVENUE. Cost of revenue was \$0 for the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to approximately \$117 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

**SALES AND MARKETING.** Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries and related expenses of sales and marketing personnel, commissions, consulting, advertising and marketing costs, public relations expenses and promotional activities. Sales and marketing expenses totaled \$0 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 versus approximately \$87 thousand for the same period in 2008.

**GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.** Prior to the Sale of Tralliance, general and administrative expenses consisted primarily of salaries and other personnel costs related to management, finance and accounting functions, facilities, outside legal and audit fees, insurance, and general corporate overhead costs. Subsequent to the sale of Tralliance, general and administrative expenses include only those customary public company expenses, including outside legal and audit fees, insurance and other related public company costs. Expenses relating to management, finance and accounting functions that were previously included within the general and administrative expense caption are now included within the related party transactions expense caption. General and administrative expenses totaled approximately \$24 thousand in the second quarter of 2009 as compared to approximately \$655 thousand for the same quarter of the prior year.

**RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS.** Related party transaction expense totaled \$60 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to approximately \$130 thousand for the same period of 2008, a decrease of approximately \$70 thousand. Subsequent to the Sale of Tralliance, the Company's related party expenses consist of management services fees payable to Dancing Bear for accounting, finance, administrative and managerial support. During 2008, the Company's related party expenses consisted of related party charges for the leasing of office space, and the outsourcing of customer service, human resources and payroll processing functions.

**DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION.** Depreciation and amortization expense was \$0 for the three months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to \$50 thousand for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

**RELATED PARTY INTEREST EXPENSE.** Related party interest expense for the second quarter of 2009 was \$12 thousand as compared to approximately \$115 thousand for the same quarter of 2008, reflecting the decrease in outstanding related party debt resulting from the Sale of Tralliance and Share Issuance.

**INTEREST INCOME (EXPENSE).** Interest expense of \$116 was reported for the second quarter of 2009 compared to interest income of \$454 reported for the second quarter of the prior year.

**RELATED PARTY OTHER INCOME.** Related party other income consists of the minimum Earn-Out payable quarterly by Tralliance Registry Management to the Company as further discussed in Note 3, "Sale of Tralliance and Share Issuance" in the accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Related party other income for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was \$75 thousand.

**INCOME TAXES.** No tax benefit was recorded for the losses incurred during the second quarter of 2009 or the second quarter of 2008 as we recorded a 100% valuation allowance against our otherwise recognizable deferred tax assets due to the uncertainty surrounding the timing or ultimate realization of the benefits of our net operating loss carryforwards in future periods.

#### DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Discontinued operations generated a net loss of approximately \$24 thousand for the second quarter of 2009 as compared to a net income of \$21 thousand during the second quarter of 2008. The 2009 loss was due principally to interest expenses accrued in connection with an ongoing tax audit of a former subsidiary company. The 2008 income was due principally to revenue recognized upon the collection of old and outstanding accounts receivables related to the Company's former Computer Games business.



SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2009 COMPARED TO  
THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2008

CONTINUING OPERATIONS

**NET REVENUE.** Net revenue totaled \$0 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to 1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

**COST OF REVENUE.** Cost of revenue was \$0 for the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to approximately \$149 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

**SALES AND MARKETING.** Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of salaries and related expenses of sales and marketing personnel, commissions, consulting, advertising and marketing costs, public relations expenses and promotional activities. Sales and marketing expenses totaled \$0 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 versus approximately \$296 thousand for the same period in 2008.

**GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES.** Prior to the Sale of Tralliance, general and administrative expenses consisted primarily of salaries and other personnel costs related to management, finance and accounting functions, facilities, outside legal and audit fees, insurance, and general corporate overhead costs. Subsequent to the sale of Tralliance, general and administrative expenses include only those customary public company expenses, including outside legal and audit fees, insurance and other related public company costs. Expenses relating to management, finance and accounting functions that were previously included within the general and administrative expense caption are now included within the related party transactions expense caption. General and administrative expenses totaled approximately \$48 thousand through the second quarter of 2009 as compared to approximately \$1.2 million for the same period of 2008.

**RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS.** Related party transaction expense totaled \$120 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to approximately \$283 thousand for the same period of 2008, a decrease of approximately \$163 thousand. Subsequent to the Sale of Tralliance, the Company's related party expenses consist of management services fees payable to Dancing Bear for accounting, finance, administrative and managerial support. During 2008, the Company's related party expenses consisted of related party charges for the leasing of office space, and the outsourcing of customer service, human resources and payroll processing functions

**DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION.** Depreciation and amortization expense was \$0 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to \$101 thousand for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

**RELATED PARTY INTEREST EXPENSE.** Related party interest expense for the second quarter of 2009 was \$25 thousand as compared to approximately \$231 thousand for the same period of 2008, reflecting the decrease in outstanding related party debt resulting from the Sale of Tralliance and Share Issuance.

**INTEREST INCOME (EXPENSE).** Interest expense of \$140 was reported for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to interest income of approximately \$3 thousand reported through the second quarter of 2008.

**RELATED PARTY OTHER INCOME.** Related party other income consists of the minimum Earn-Out payable quarterly by Tralliance Registry Management to the Company as further discussed in Note 3, "Sale of Tralliance and Share Issuance" in the accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Related party other income for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$150 thousand.

**INCOME TAXES.** No tax benefit was recorded for the losses incurred during the first half of 2009 or the first half of 2008 as we recorded a 100% valuation allowance against our otherwise recognizable deferred tax assets due to the uncertainty surrounding the timing or ultimate realization of the benefits of our net operating loss carryforwards in future periods..

#### DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

The loss from discontinued operations, net of income taxes totaled approximately \$27 thousand in the first half of 2009 as compared to income of approximately \$22 thousand during the first six months of 2008. The 2009 loss was due principally to interest expenses accrued in connection with an ongoing tax audit of a former subsidiary company. The 2008 income was due principally to revenue recognized upon the collection of old and outstanding accounts receivables related to the Company's former Computer Games business.

#### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

##### CASH FLOW ITEMS

As of June 30, 2009, theglobe had approximately \$13 thousand in cash and cash equivalents as compared to approximately \$90 thousand as of December 31, 2008. Net cash flows used in operating activities of continuing operations totaled approximately \$38 thousand and \$755 thousand, for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, a decrease of approximately \$717 thousand. Such decrease was attributable primarily to a lower net loss from continuing operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008.

Approximately \$38 thousand in net cash flows were used in the operating activities of discontinued operations during the first half of 2009 as compared to approximately \$8 thousand of net cash flows provided by the operating activities of discontinued operations during the same period of the prior year.

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company borrowed \$200 thousand from Dancing Bear under the Revolving Loan Agreement.

#### FUTURE AND CURRENT CRITICAL NEED FOR CAPITAL

For the reasons described below, Company management does not believe that cash on hand and cash flows generated internally by the Company will be adequate to fund the operation of its business beyond a short period of time. Additionally, we have received a report from our independent registered public accountants, relating to our December 31, 2008 audited financial statements, containing an explanatory paragraph stating that our recurring losses from operations and our accumulated deficit raise substantial doubts about our ability to continue as a going concern.

During its recent past, the Company was able to continue operating as a going concern due principally to funding of \$500 thousand received during 2008 under a Revolving Loan Agreement with an entity controlled by Michael S. Egan, its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (See Note 5, "Debt" in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details) and funding of \$225 thousand received during the first half of 2009 under an Earn-out Agreement with an entity also controlled by Mr. Egan (See Note 3, "Sale of Tralliance and Share Issuance" in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details).

At June 30, 2009, the Company had a net working capital deficit of approximately \$3.1 million, inclusive of a cash and cash equivalents balance of approximately \$13 thousand. Such working capital deficit included (i) a total of approximately \$548 thousand in principal and accrued interest owed under the aforementioned Revolving Loan Agreement to an entity controlled by Mr. Egan, and (ii) an aggregate of approximately \$2.6 million in unsecured accounts payable and accrued expenses owed to vendors and other non-related third parties (of which approximately \$1.8 million relates to liabilities of our VoIP telephony service discontinued business, with a significant portion of such liabilities related to charges which have been disputed by theglobe). theglobe believes that its ability to continue as a going concern for any significant length of time in the future will be heavily dependent, among other things, on its ability to prevail and avoid making any payments with respect to such disputed vendor charges and/or to negotiate favorable settlements (including discounted payment and/or payment term concessions) with the aforementioned creditors.

As more fully discussed in Note 3, "Sale of Tralliance and Share Issuance" on September 29, 2008, the Company (i) sold the business and substantially all of the assets of its Tralliance Corporation subsidiary to Tralliance Registry Management, and (ii) issued 229 million shares of its Common Stock (the "Shares") to Registry Management (the "Purchase Transaction"). Tralliance Registry Management and Registry Management are entities controlled by Michael S. Egan. The closing of the Purchase Transaction resulted in the cancellation of all of the Company's remaining Convertible Debt, related accrued interest and rent and accounts payable owed to entities controlled by Mr. Egan as of the date of closing (totaling approximately \$6.4 million). However, the Company continues to be obligated to repay its principal borrowings totaling \$500 thousand, plus accrued interest at the rate of 10% per annum, due to an entity controlled by Mr. Egan under the aforementioned Revolving Loan Agreement. All unpaid borrowings under the Revolving Loan Agreement, as amended on May 7, 2009, including accrued interest, are due and payable by the Company in one lump sum on the earlier of (i) five business days following demand for payment, which demand can be made at anytime, or (ii) the occurrence of an event of default as defined in the Revolving Loan Agreement. The Company currently has no ability to repay this loan should a demand for payment be made by the noteholder. All borrowings under the Revolving Loan Agreement are secured by a pledge of all of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries. After giving effect to the closing of the Purchase Transaction and the issuance of the Shares thereunder, Mr. Egan now beneficially owns approximately 77% of the Company's issued and outstanding Common Stock.

As additional consideration under the Purchase Transaction, Tralliance Registry Management is obligated to pay an earn-out to theglobe equal to 10% (subject to certain minimums) of Tralliance Registry Management's net revenue (as defined) derived from ".travel" names registered by Tralliance Registry Management from September 29, 2008 through May 5, 2015 (the "Earn-out"). The minimum Earn-out payable by Tralliance Registry Management to theglobe will be at least \$300 thousand in the first year, increasing by \$25 thousand in each subsequent year (pro-rated for the final year of the Earn-out).

In connection with the closing of the Purchase Transaction, the Company also entered into a Master Services Agreement with an entity controlled by Mr. Egan whereby for a fee of \$20 thousand per month (\$240 thousand per annum) such entity provides personnel and services to the Company so as to enable it to continue its existence as a public company without the necessity of any full-time employees of its own. Additionally, commensurate with the closing of the Purchase Transaction, Termination Agreements with each of its current executive officers, which terminated their previous and then existing employment agreements, were executed. Notwithstanding the termination

of these employment agreements, each of our current executive officers and directors remain as executive officers and directors of the Company.

Immediately following the closing of the Purchase Transaction, theglobe became a shell company with no material operations or assets, and no source of revenue other than under the Earn-out. It is expected that theglobe's future operating expenses as a public shell company will consist primarily of expenses incurred under the aforementioned Master Services Agreement and other customary public company expenses, including legal, audit and other miscellaneous public company costs.

As a shell company, management believes that theglobe will most likely continue to incur net and cash flow losses for the foreseeable future. However, assuming that no significant unplanned costs are incurred, management believes that theglobe's future losses will be limited. Further, in the event that Registry Management is successful in substantially increasing net revenue derived from ".travel" name registrations (and as the result maximizing theglobe's Earn-out revenue) in the future, theglobe's prospects for achieving profitability will be enhanced. To date theglobe has received only minimum payments under the Earn-out.

It is the Company's preference to avoid filing for protection under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. However, based upon the Company's current financial condition as discussed above, management believes that additional debt or equity capital will need to be raised in order for theglobe to continue to operate as a going concern on a long-term basis. Such capital will be needed both to (i) fund its expected limited future net losses and (ii) repay the \$548 thousand of secured debt and related accrued interest due under the Revolving Loan Agreement and a portion of the \$2.6 million unsecured indebtedness (assuming theglobe is successful in favorably resolving and settling certain disputed and non-disputed vendor charges related to such unsecured indebtedness). Any such capital would likely come from Mr. Egan, or affiliates of Mr. Egan, as the Company currently has no access to credit facilities and had traditionally relied upon borrowings from related parties to meet short-term liquidity needs. Any such capital raised would likely result in very substantial dilution in the number of outstanding shares of the Company's Common Stock.

On a short-term liquidity basis, the Company must be successful in collecting the quarterly Earn-out payments contractually due from Tralliance Registry Management on a timely basis, and must receive the continued indulgence of substantially all of its creditors, in order to continue to operate as a going concern during the remainder of fiscal 2009. Given theglobe's current financial condition and the state of the current United States capital markets and economy, it has no current intent to seek to acquire, or start, any other businesses.

#### EFFECTS OF INFLATION

Management believes that inflation has not had a significant effect on our results of operations during 2009 and 2008.

#### MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The preparation of our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, a significant portion of our net liabilities of discontinued operations relate to charges that have been disputed by the Company and for which estimates have been required. Our estimates, judgments and assumptions are continually evaluated based on available information and experience. Because of the use of estimates inherent in the financial reporting process, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Certain of our accounting policies require higher degrees of judgment than others in their application. These include revenue recognition, valuation of accounts receivables and payables and valuation of other long-lived assets.

#### IMPACT OF RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, "Subsequent Events" ("SFAS 165"). SFAS 165 establishes principles and requirements for subsequent events. In particular, this Statement sets forth the period after the balance sheet date during which management of a reporting entity shall evaluate events or transactions that may occur for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements, the circumstances under which an entity shall recognize events or transactions occurring after the balance sheet date in its financial statements, and the disclosures that an entity shall make about events or transactions that occurred after the balance sheet date. SFAS 165 is effective for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009, and shall be applied prospectively. The adoption of this SFAS 165 did not have a material effect on the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows; see Note 9 "Subsequent Events."

APB 14-1 "Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion (Including Partial Cash Settlement)" applies to convertible debt instruments that, by their stated terms, may be settled in cash (or

other assets) upon conversion, including partial cash settlement, unless the embedded conversion options is required to be separately accounted for as a derivative under FASB Statement No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." APB 14-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The implementation of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

EITF 07-05, "Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock," applies to any freestanding financial instrument or embedded feature that has all the characteristics of a derivative in FAS Statement 133, for purposes of determining whether that instrument or embedded feature qualifies for the first part of the scope exception in paragraph 11 (a) of Statement 133. This issue also applies to any freestanding financial instrument that is potentially settled in an entity's own stock, regardless of whether the instrument has all the characteristics of a derivative in paragraphs 6-9 of Statement 133, for purposes of determining whether the instrument is within the scope of EITF 00-19. EITF 07-05 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The implementation of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 162, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles," ("SFAS 162"), which identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States (the GAAP hierarchy). SFAS No. 162 became effective on November 15, 2008. The implementation of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP SFAS No. 142-3, "Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets." 142-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The implementation of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," ("SFAS 161"). SFAS 161 has the same scope as Statement 133 and requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities and thereby improves the transparency of financial reporting. This Statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early application encouraged. This Statement encourages, but does not require, comparative disclosures for earlier periods at initial adoption. This Statement changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. Entities are required to provide enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under Statement 133 and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. The adoption of SFAS 161 did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 141R, "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141R") which requires an acquirer to recognize the assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date, measured at their fair values as of that date. SFAS 141R requires, among other things, that in a business combination achieved through stages (sometimes referred to as a "step acquisition") that the acquirer recognize the identifiable assets and liabilities, as well as the non-controlling interest in the acquiree, at the full amounts of their fair values (or other amounts determined in accordance with this Statement).

SFAS 141R also requires the acquirer to recognize goodwill as of the acquisition date, measured as a residual, which in most types of business combinations will result in measuring goodwill as the excess of the consideration transferred plus the fair value of any non-controlling interest in the acquiree at the acquisition date over the fair values of the identifiable net assets acquired. SFAS 141R applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS 141R did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 160, "Non-controlling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements" ("SFAS 160"). This Statement changes the way the consolidated income statement is presented. SFAS 160 requires consolidated net income to be reported at amounts that include the amounts attributable to both the parent and the non-controlling interest. It also requires disclosure, on the face of the consolidated statement of income, of the amounts of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the non-controlling interest. Currently, net income attributable to the non-controlling interest generally is reported as an expense or other deduction in arriving at consolidated net income. It also is often presented in combination with other financial statement amounts. SFAS 160 results in more transparent reporting of the net income attributable to the non-controlling interest. This Statement is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS 160 did not have a material impact on its financial statements.

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities." SFAS No. 159 expands the scope of what entities may carry at fair value by offering an irrevocable option to record many types of financial assets and liabilities at fair value. Changes in fair value would be recorded in an entity's income statement. This accounting standard also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements that are intended to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 159 is effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. Earlier application is permitted under certain circumstances. The adoption of SFAS No. 159 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.



In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements." This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies to other accounting standards that require or permit fair value measurements. Accordingly, this statement does not require any new fair value measurement. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

#### ITEM 4T. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure (1) that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's ("SEC") rules and forms, and (2) that this information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2009. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in alerting them in a timely manner to material information regarding us (including our consolidated subsidiaries) that is required to be included in our periodic reports to the SEC.

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated any change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting, and have determined there to be no reportable changes.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Note 7, "Litigation," of the Financial Statements included in this Report.

### ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information in this report and the risk factors set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, the following factors should be carefully considered in evaluating our business and prospects.

## RISKS RELATING TO OUR BUSINESS GENERALLY

### WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO CONTINUE AS A GOING CONCERN.

For the reasons described below, Company management does not believe that cash on hand and cash flow generated internally by the Company will be adequate to fund the operation of its business beyond a short period of time. Additionally, we have received a report from our independent registered public accountants, relating to our December 31, 2008 audited financial statements, containing an explanatory paragraph stating that our recurring losses from operations and our accumulated deficit raise substantial doubts about our ability to continue as a going concern.

During its recent past, the Company was able to continue operating as a going concern due principally to funding of \$500 thousand received during 2008 under a Revolving Loan Agreement with an entity controlled by Michael S. Egan, its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer (See Note 5, "Debt" in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details) and funding of \$225 thousand received during the first half of 2009 under an Earn-out Agreement with an entity also controlled by Mr. Egan (See Note 3, "Sale of Tralliance and Share Issuance" in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further details).

At June 30, 2009, the Company had a net working capital deficit of approximately \$3.1 million, inclusive of a cash and cash equivalents balance of approximately \$13 thousand. Such working capital deficit included (i) a total of approximately \$548 thousand in principal and accrued interest owed under the aforementioned Revolving Loan Agreement to an entity controlled by Mr. Egan, and (ii) an aggregate of approximately \$2.6 million in unsecured accounts payable and accrued expenses owed to vendors and other non-related third parties (of which approximately \$1.8 million relates to liabilities of our VoIP telephony service discontinued business, with a significant portion of such liabilities related to charges which have been disputed by theglobe). theglobe believes that its ability to continue as a going concern for any significant length of time in the future will be heavily dependent, among other things, on its ability to prevail and avoid making any payments with respect to such disputed vendor charges and/or to negotiate favorable settlements (including discounted payment and/or payment term concessions) with the aforementioned

creditors.

As more fully discussed in Note 3, “Sale of Tralliance and Share Issuance” on September 29, 2008, the Company (i) sold the business and substantially all of the assets of its Tralliance Corporation subsidiary to Tralliance Registry Management, and (ii) issued 229 million shares of its Common Stock (the “Shares”) to Registry Management (the “Purchase Transaction”). Tralliance Registry Management and Registry Management are entities controlled by Michael S. Egan. The closing of the Purchase Transaction resulted in the cancellation of all of the Company’s remaining Convertible Debt, related accrued interest and rent and accounts payable owed to entities controlled by Mr. Egan as of the date of closing (totaling approximately \$6.4 million). However, the Company continues to be obligated to repay its principal borrowings totaling \$500 thousand, plus accrued interest at the rate of 10% per annum, due to an entity controlled by Mr. Egan under the aforementioned Revolving Loan Agreement. All unpaid borrowings under the Revolving Loan Agreement, as amended on May 7, 2009, including accrued interest, are due and payable by the Company in one lump sum on the earlier of (i) five business days following demand for payment, which demand can be made at anytime, or (ii) the occurrence of an event of default as defined in the Revolving Loan Agreement. The Company currently has no ability to repay this loan should a demand for payment be made by the noteholder. All borrowings under the Revolving Loan Agreement are secured by a pledge of all of the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries. After giving effect to the closing of the Purchase Transaction and the issuance of the Shares thereunder, Mr. Egan now beneficially owns approximately 77% of the Company’s issued and outstanding Common Stock.

As additional consideration under the Purchase Transaction, Tralliance Registry Management is obligated to pay an earn-out to theglobe equal to 10% (subject to certain minimums) of Tralliance Registry Management's net revenue (as defined) derived from ".travel" names registered by Tralliance Registry Management from September 29, 2008 through May 5, 2015 (the "Earn-out"). The minimum Earn-out payable by Tralliance Registry Management to theglobe will be at least \$300 thousand in the first year, increasing by \$25 thousand in each subsequent year (pro-rated for the final year of the Earn-out).

In connection with the closing of the Purchase Transaction, the Company also entered into a Master Services Agreement with an entity controlled by Mr. Egan whereby for a fee of \$20 thousand per month (\$240 thousand per annum) such entity will provide personnel and services to the Company so as to enable it to continue its existence as a public company without the necessity of any full-time employees of its own. Additionally, commensurate with the closing of the Purchase Transaction, Termination Agreements with each of its current executive officers, which terminated their previous and then existing employment agreements, were executed. Notwithstanding the termination of these employment agreements, each of our current executive officers and directors remain as executive officers and directors of the Company.

Immediately following the closing of the Purchase Transaction, theglobe became a shell company with no material operations or assets, and no source of revenue other than under the Earn-out. It is expected that theglobe's future operating expenses as a public shell company will consist primarily of expenses incurred under the aforementioned Master Services Agreement and other customary public company expenses, including legal, audit and other miscellaneous public company costs.

As a shell company, management believes that theglobe will most likely continue to incur net and cash flow losses for the foreseeable future. However, assuming that no significant unplanned costs are incurred, management believes that theglobe's future losses will be limited. Further, in the event that Registry Management is successful in substantially increasing net revenue derived from ".travel" name registrations (and as the result maximizing theglobe's Earn-out revenue) in the future, theglobe's prospects for achieving profitability will be enhanced. To date the Company has received only the minimum payments pursuant to the Earn-out.

It is the Company's preference to avoid filing for protection under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. However, based upon the Company's current financial condition as discussed above, management believes that additional debt or equity capital will need to be raised in order for theglobe to continue to operate as a going concern on a long-term basis. Such capital will be needed both to (i) fund its expected limited future net losses and (ii) repay the \$548 thousand of secured debt and related accrued interest due under the Revolving Loan Agreement and a portion of the \$2.6 million unsecured indebtedness (assuming theglobe is successful in favorably resolving and settling certain disputed and non-disputed vendor charges related to such unsecured indebtedness). Any such capital would likely come from Mr. Egan, or affiliates of Mr. Egan, as the Company currently has no access to credit facilities and had traditionally relied upon borrowings from related parties to meet short-term liquidity needs. Any such capital raised would likely result in very substantial dilution in the number of outstanding shares of the Company's Common Stock.

On a short-term liquidity basis, the Company must be successful in collecting the quarterly Earn-out payments contractually due from Tralliance Registry Management on a timely basis, and must receive the continued indulgence of substantially all of its creditors, in order to continue to operate as a going concern in the near term. Given theglobe's current financial condition and the state of the current United States capital markets and economy, it has no current intent to seek to acquire, or start, any other businesses.

**WE MAY NOT BE SUCCESSFUL IN SETTLING DISPUTED VENDOR CHARGES.**

Our balance sheet at June 30, 2009 includes certain material estimated liabilities related to disputed vendor charges incurred primarily as the result of the failure and subsequent shutdown of our discontinued VoIP telephony services business. Although we are seeking to resolve and settle these disputed charges for amounts substantially less than recorded amounts, there can be no assurances that we will be successful in this regard. Additionally, the legal and administrative costs of resolving these disputed charges may be expensive. An adverse outcome in any of these matters could materially and adversely affect our financial position, utilize a significant portion of our cash resources and/or require additional capital to be infused into the Company and adversely affect our ability to continue to operate as a going concern. See Note 4, "Discontinued Operations" in the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for future details.

**OUR NET OPERATING LOSS CARRYFORWARDS MAY BE SUBSTANTIALLY LIMITED.**

As of December 31, 2008, we had net operating loss carryforwards which may be potentially available for U.S. tax purposes of approximately \$166 million. These carryforwards expire through 2028. The Tax Reform Act of 1986 imposes substantial restrictions on the utilization of net operating losses and tax credits in the event of an "ownership change" of a corporation. Due to various significant changes in our ownership interests, as defined in the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, that occurred prior to December 31, 2008, we have substantially limited the availability of our net operating loss carryforwards. We believe that we have sufficient net operating loss carryforwards available to offset taxable income generated during the year ended December, 2008 (except for approximately \$15 thousand in federal alternative minimum taxes that we paid in March 2009).

OUR OFFICERS, INCLUDING OUR CHAIRMAN AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND PRESIDENT HAVE OTHER INTERESTS AND TIME COMMITMENTS; WE HAVE CONFLICTS OF INTEREST WITH OUR DIRECTORS; ALL OF OUR DIRECTORS ARE EMPLOYEES OR STOCKHOLDERS OF THE COMPANY OR AFFILIATES OF OUR LARGEST STOCKHOLDER.

Our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Michael Egan, is an officer or director of other companies. Mr. Egan became our Chief Executive Officer effective June 1, 2002. Mr. Egan is also the controlling investor of The Registry Management Company, LLC, Dancing Bear Investments, Inc., E&C Capital Partners LLLP, and E&C Capital Partners II, LLC, which are our largest stockholders. Mr. Egan is also the controlling investor of Certified Vacations Group, Inc. and Labigroup Holdings, LLC, entities which have had various ongoing business relationships with the Company. Additionally, Mr. Egan is the controlling investor of Tralliance Registry Management Company, LLC, an entity which has recently acquired our Tralliance business (see Note 3, "Sale of Tralliance and Share Issuance" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further details).

Our President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer and Director, Mr. Edward A. Cespedes, is also an officer, director or shareholder of other companies, including E&C Capital Partners LLLP, E&C Capital Partners II, LLC, Labigroup Holdings LLC and The Registry Management Company, LLC. Accordingly, we must compete for his time.

Our Vice President of Finance and Director, Ms. Robin Lebowitz is also an officer of Dancing Bear Investments, Inc. and director of Certified Vacations Group, Inc. She is also an officer, director or shareholder of other companies or entities controlled by Mr. Egan and Mr. Cespedes, including The Registry Management Company, LLC.

Due to the relationships with his related entities, Mr. Egan will have an inherent conflict of interest in making any decision related to transactions between the related entities and us. Furthermore, the Company's Board of Directors presently is comprised entirely of individuals which are executive officers of theglobe, and therefore are not "independent."

WE CURRENTLY HAVE NO BUSINESS OPERATIONS AND ARE A SHELL COMPANY.

Immediately following the closing of the Purchase Transaction, theglobe became a shell company with no material operations or assets, and no source of revenue other than under the "net revenue" earn-out arrangement with Tralliance Registry Management. It is expected that theglobe's future operating expenses as a public shell company will consist primarily of expenses incurred under the aforementioned Master Services Agreement and other customary public company expenses, including legal, audit and other miscellaneous public company costs. Given theglobe's current financial condition and the state of the current United States capital markets and economy, the Company has no current intent to seek to acquire, or start, any other business.

#### RISKS RELATING TO OUR COMMON STOCK

WE ARE CONTROLLED BY OUR CHAIRMAN.

On September 29, 2008, in connection with the closing of the Purchase Transaction more fully described in Note 3, "Sale of Tralliance and Share Issuance," in the accompanying Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company issued 229 million shares of its Common Stock to Registry Management, an entity controlled by Michael S. Egan, its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Previously on June 10, 2008, Dancing Bear Investments, Inc., also an entity controlled by Mr. Egan, converted an aggregate of \$400 thousand of outstanding convertible secured promissory notes due to them by the Company into 40 million shares of our Common Stock. As a result of the issuance of the 269 million shares under the transactions described above, Mr. Egan's beneficial

ownership has been increased to approximately 77% of the Company's issued and outstanding Common Stock. Accordingly, Mr. Egan is now in a position to control the vote on all corporate actions in the future.

**DELISTING OF OUR COMMON STOCK MAKES IT MORE DIFFICULT FOR INVESTORS TO SELL SHARES. THIS MAY POTENTIALLY LEAD TO FUTURE MARKET DECLINES.**

The shares of our Common Stock were delisted from the NASDAQ national market in April 2001 and are now traded in the over-the-counter market on what is commonly referred to as the electronic bulletin board or "OTCBB." As a result, an investor may find it more difficult to dispose of or obtain accurate quotations as to the market value of the securities. The delisting has made trading our shares more difficult for investors, potentially leading to further declines in share price and making it less likely our stock price will increase. It has also made it more difficult for us to raise additional capital. We may also incur additional costs under state blue-sky laws if we sell equity due to our delisting.

**OUR COMMON STOCK IS SUBJECT TO CERTAIN "PENNY STOCK" RULES WHICH MAY MAKE IT A LESS ATTRACTIVE INVESTMENT.**

Since the trading price of our Common Stock is less than \$5.00 per share and our net tangible assets are less than \$2.0 million, trading in our Common Stock is subject to the requirements of Rule 15g-9 of the Exchange Act. Under Rule 15g-9, brokers who recommend penny stocks to persons who are not established customers and accredited investors, as defined in the Exchange Act, must satisfy special sales practice requirements, including requirements that they make an individualized written suitability determination for the purchaser; and receive the purchaser's written consent prior to the transaction. The Securities Enforcement Remedies and Penny Stock Reform Act of 1990 also requires additional disclosures in connection with any trades involving a penny stock, including the delivery, prior to any penny stock transaction, of a disclosure schedule explaining the penny stock market and the risks associated with that market. Such requirements may severely limit the market liquidity of our Common Stock and the ability of purchasers of our equity securities to sell their securities in the secondary market. For all of these reasons, an investment in our equity securities may not be attractive to our potential investors.

**AS A RESULT OF THE CLOSING OF THE PURCHASE AGREEMENT, WE ARE A SHELL COMPANY AND ARE SUBJECT TO MORE STRINGENT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS AND CERTAIN RULE 144 RESTRICTIONS.**

As a result of the consummation of the Purchase Transaction, we have no or nominal operations and assets, and pursuant to Rule 405 and Exchange Act Rule 12b-2, we are a shell company. Applicable securities rules prohibit shell companies from using a Form S-8 to register securities pursuant to employee compensation plans. However, the rules do not prevent us from registering securities pursuant to certain other registration statements. Additionally, Form 8-K requires shell companies to provide more detailed disclosure upon completion of a transaction that causes it to cease being a shell company. To the extent we acquire a business in the future, we must file a current report on Form 8-K containing the information required in a registration statement on Form 10, within four business days following completion of the transaction together with financial information of the private operating company. In order to assist the SEC in the identification of shell companies, we are also required to check a box on Form 10-Q and Form 10-K indicating that we are a shell company. To the extent that we are required to comply with additional disclosure because we are a shell company, we may be delayed in executing any mergers or acquiring other assets that would cause us to cease being a shell company. In addition, the SEC adopted amendments to Rule 144 effective February 15, 2008, which do not allow a holder of restricted securities of a "shell company" to resell their securities pursuant to Rule 144. Preclusion from any prospective purchaser using the exemptions from registration afforded by Rule 144 may make it more difficult for us to sell equity securities in the future.

**RISK FACTORS RELATING TO THE PURCHASE TRANSACTION AND THE DISPOSITION OF THE TRALLIANCE BUSINESS**

**THE ANTICIPATED BENEFITS OF THE PURCHASE TRANSACTION MAY NOT BE REALIZED; WE WILL CONTINUE TO HAVE A NEED FOR CAPITAL.**

As a result of the closing of the Purchase Transaction, the Company has been relieved of over \$6.4 million of obligations under convertible secured demand promissory notes and unsecured accounts payables. Additionally, the Company received Earn-out rights equal to 10% (subject to certain minimums) of Tralliance Registry Management's "net revenue" (as defined) derived from ".travel" names registeted by Tralliance Registry Management from September 29, 2008 through May 5, 2015. The minimum Earn-out payable by Tralliance Registry Management to theglobe will be at least \$300 thousand in the first year, increasing by \$25 thousand in each subsequent year (pro-rated for the final year of the Earn-out).



However, notwithstanding the fact that the Company's total liabilities have been significantly reduced as a result of the consummation of the Purchase Transaction, the Company's remaining liabilities and obligations are expected to significantly exceed its assets for the foreseeable future. Additionally, although the consummation of the Purchase Transaction is expected to significantly reduce our future losses, we expect to continue to incur operating and cash flow losses for the foreseeable future, and be dependent upon our ability to raise equity or borrow funds in order to remain in business. There can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in raising equity or borrowing funds in order to continue as a going concern. Further, as a result of the sale of its Tralliance business, the Company no longer has any active business operations and is a shell company with no present ability to generate future revenue or profits other than through the Earn-out arrangement with Tralliance Registry Management.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(a) Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities.

None.

(b) Use of Proceeds From Sales of Registered Securities.

Not applicable.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 10.1 Note Modification Agreement dated as of May 7, 2009 by and between Dancing Bear Investments, Inc. and theglobe.com (1).
- 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a).
- 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

(1) Incorporated by reference from our Form 10-Q filed on May 8, 2009.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

theglobe.com, inc.

Dated : July 31, 2009

By: /s/ Michael S. Egan  
Michael S. Egan  
Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Dated : July 31, 2009

By: /s/ Edward A. Cespedes  
Edward A. Cespedes  
President and Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

EXHIBIT INDEX

- 10.1 Note Modification Agreement dated as of May 7, 2009 by and between Dancing Bear Investments, Inc. and theglobe.com (1).
  - 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a).
  - 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a).
  - 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
  - 32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- (1) Incorporated by reference from our Form 10-Q filed on May 8, 2009.