FIDELITY D & D BANCORP INC Form 10-Q November 09, 2006

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2006

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number: 333-90273

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

STATE OF INCORPORATION: PENNSYLVANIA

IRS EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NO: 23-3017653

Address of principal executive offices:

BLAKELY & DRINKER ST.

DUNMORE, PENNSYLVANIA 18512

TELEPHONE:

570-342-8281

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subjected to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

x YES o NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

o YES x NO

The number of outstanding shares of Common Stock of Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. at October 31, 2006, the latest practicable date, was 2,053,205 shares.

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

Form 10-Q September 30, 2006

<u>Index</u>

Part I. Financial Information

<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Financial Statements:</u>

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2006

and December 31, 2005

Consolidated Statements of Income for the three
and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity

for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and 2005
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months

ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk</u>

<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>

Part II. Other Information

<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u>

<u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u>

<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>

<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>

<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>

<u>Item 5.</u> <u>Other Information</u>

Item 6. Exhibits

Signatures

Exhibit index

PART I Financial Information

Item 1: Financial Statements

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005

	September 30, 2006 (unaudited)	December 31, 2005 (audited)		
ASSETS	,	· ·		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 10,276,404	\$ 12,525,723		
Interest-bearing deposits with financial institutions	123,375	68,817		
Federal funds sold	10,433,000			
Total cash and cash equivalents	20,832,779	12,594,540		
Available-for-sale securities	100,019,927	95,681,654		
Held-to-maturity securities	1,720,419	1,996,919		
Federal Home Loan Bank Stock	3,259,400	4,628,200		
Loans and leases, net (allowance for loan losses of \$5,843,538 in 2006; \$5,984,649 in				
2005)	409,650,864	403,144,095		
Loans available-for-sale (fair value \$427,684 in 2006; \$434,272 in 2005)	421,189	428,584		
Bank premises and equipment, net	11,296,696	11,683,148		
Cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance	8,105,171	7,891,898		
Other assets	4,642,016	4,033,132		
Accrued interest receivable	2,760,173	1,959,826		
Foreclosed assets held for sale	131,849	18,702		
Total assets	\$ 562,840,483	\$ 544,060,698		
LIABILITIES				
Deposits:				
Non-interest-bearing	\$ 71,548,817	\$ 70,361,086		
Certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more	62,241,944	76,257,553		
Other interest-bearing deposits	285,939,026	232,880,001		
Total deposits	419,729,787	379,498,640		
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	3,730,970	3,238,844		
Short-term borrowings	22,826,739	28,772,997		
Long-term debt	65,590,062	83,704,188		
Total liabilities	511,877,558	495,214,669		
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES				
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINUENCIES				
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY				
Preferred stock authorized 5,000,000 shares with no par value; none issued				
Capital stock authorized 10,000,000 shares with no par value; issued and outstanding				
2,053,205 shares in 2006; 2,039,639 shares in 2005	18,560,899	10,594,901		
Retained earnings	33,544,484	39,363,461		
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,142,458) (1,112,333		
Total shareholders equity	50,962,925	48,846,029		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 562,840,483	\$ 544,060,698		

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Income

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006	September 30, 2005
Interest income:	Deptember 50, 2000	50ptcm501 50, 2005	September 50, 2000	50ptember 50, 2005
Interest and fees on loans and leases:				
Taxable	\$ 7,122,621	\$ 6,019,084	\$ 20,500,909	\$ 17,454,699
Nontaxable	104,193	120,630	357,813	335,159
Interest-bearing deposits with financial	,	,	,	,
institutions	2,045	3,263	6,821	12,234
Investment securities:	·	·	,	·
U.S. Government agency and				
corporations	918,070	861,777	2,789,335	2,693,019
States and political subdivisions				
(nontaxable)	149,611	156,931	440,929	397,491
Other securities	239,280	162,285	668,492	461,043
Federal funds sold	58,746	,	94,872	47,427
Total interest income	8,594,566	7,323,970	24,859,171	21,401,072
Interest expense:	-, ,	. ,	, , .	, , , , ,
Certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or				
more	692,888	722,680	2,029,353	2,096,344
Other deposits	2,439,514	1,023,540	6,250,072	2,881,871
Securities sold under repurchase	, ,-	, ,	., ,	, ,
agreements	177,668	148,677	476,543	486,794
Other short-term borrowings and	,	.,		
long-term debt	962,182	1,061,871	3,271,395	2,966,014
Other	8,817	4,946	22,578	13,840
Total interest expense	4,281,069	2,961,714	12,049,941	8,444,863
Net interest income	4,313,497	4,362,256	12,809,230	12,956,209
Provision for loan losses	75,000	300,000	325,000	680,000
Net interest income, after provision		,	,	,
for loan losses	4,238,497	4,062,256	12,484,230	12,276,209
Other income:	,,	, ,	, - ,	, , , , , , ,
Service charges on deposit accounts	698,839	689,435	1,965,620	1,961,966
Gain (loss) on:	,	,	, ,	, ,
Investment securities			(166) 2,643
Loans	20,707	8,468	62,550	1,011
Leased assets		22,626	15,154	(11,328
Disposal of premises and equpment	(16,334)	,) (589
Foreclosed assets held for sale	(4,416)	41,472	(3,795	33,861
Write-down lease residual		,		(220,000
Fees and other service charges	437,479	466,186	1,324,463	1,334,154
Total other income	1,136,275	1,228,187	3,349,052	3,101,718
Other expenses:				
Salaries and employee benefits	2,078,715	1,755,611	6,059,586	5,251,730
Premises and equipment	810,235	750,266	2,434,645	2,221,742
Advertising	164,855	127,709	453,132	407,517
Professional fees and services	287,989	290,619	909,163	1,086,537
Other	653,970	666,582	2,010,137	1,800,848
Total other expenses	3,995,764	3,590,787	11,866,663	10,768,374
Income before provision for income		,	,	
taxes	1,379,008	1,699,656	3,966,619	4,609,553
Provision for income taxes	349,032	405,311	966,392	1,160,011
	,	,	, and the second	

Net income	\$ 1,029,976	\$ 1,294,345	\$ 3,000,227	\$ 3,449,542
Per share data:				
Net income - basic	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.64	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.70
Net income - diluted	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.64	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.70
Dividends	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.60

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 and 2005

	Capital stock Shares *	Amo	ount.		nined nings		ımulated other prehensive	To	tal .
Balance, December 31, 2004	Silares	AIII	Juni	Carr	iings	1033		10	tai
(audited)	2,023,529	\$	10,072,134	\$	36,396,027	\$	(101.401) \$	46,366,760
Total comprehensive income:	, , , , , ,	•	.,,.	·				, .	-,,
Net income				3,44	9,542			3,4	49,542
Change in net unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, net of reclassification adjustment and tax effects						(611	,071) (61	1,071
Comprehensive income							,	/ \	38,471
Issuance of common stock through Employee Stock Purchase Plan	1,134	31,6	71					31,	671
Dividends reinvested through Dividend Reinvestment Plan	11,463	367,	367					36	7,367
Cash dividend declared				(1,2	17,039)		(1,	217,039
Balance, September 30, 2005 (unaudited)	2,036,126	\$	10,471,172	\$	38,628,530	\$	(712,472) \$	48,387,230

^{*} The number of shares has been adjusted to reflect the retroactive effect of a 10% stock dividend paid on February 15, 2006.

	Capital stock Shares	Amoi	Accumulated other Retained comprehensive unt earnings loss		Tot	al				
Balance, December 31, 2005										
(audited)	1,854,217	\$	10,594,901	\$	39,363,461	\$	(1,112,333) \$	48,846,029	
Total comprehensive income:										
Net income				3,00	0,227			3,00	00,227	
Change in net unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities, net of reclassification										
adjustment and tax effects						(30,1	.25) (30,)
Comprehensive income								2,97	70,102	
Issuance of common stock through										
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	1,571	48,15	1					48,1	151	
Dividends reinvested through										
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	12,023	427,0	03					427	,003	
Stock-based compensation expense		28,74	4					28,7	744	
Cash dividend declared				(1,34	19,656)		(1,3	49,656)
Stock dividend declared	185,394	7,462	,100	(7,46)	52,100)				
Cash paid for fractional shares on										
stock dividend				(7,44	18)		(7,4	48)
Balance, September 30, 2006										
(unaudited)	2,053,205	\$	18,560,899	\$	33,544,484	\$	(1,142,458) \$	50,962,925	

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited)

	Nine Months Ende September 30, 2000	September 30, 2005		
Cash flows from operating activities:	September 30, 2000	,	Septen	DC1 50, 2005
Net income	\$ 3,000,227	1	\$	3,449,542
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Depreciation	893,134		880,89	7
Amortization of securities (net of accretion)	58,190		180,44	
Provision for loan losses	325,000		680,00	0
Deferred income tax benefit	(27,073)	(184,9	
Stock-based compensation expense	28,744	ĺ	, ,	
Amortization of investment in limited partnership	67,500		67,500	
Proceeds from sale of loans available-for-sale	8,081,253		1,561,	
Originations of loans available for sale	(8,011,308)	(1,681	
Write-down of foreclosed assets held for sale	, ,		50,451	
Write-down lease residual			220,00	
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance	(213,273)	(202,8	
Loss (gain) on sale of investment securities	166		(2,643	
Gain on sale of loans	(62,550)	(1,011	
Loss (gain) on sale of foreclosed assets held-for-sale	3,795		(33,86	1
Loss on sale of leased assets	- /		11,328	
Loss on disposal of premises and equipment	14,774		589	
Amortization of loan servicing rights	54,537		71,871	
Change in:				
Accrued interest receivable	(800,347)	(236,8	54
Other assets	(688,329)	(928,8	
Accrued interest payable and other liabilities	493,341	,	221,49	
Net cash provided by operating activities	3,217,781		4,123,	
Cash flows from investing activities:	· · ·			
Held-to-maturity securities:				
Proceeds from maturities, calls and principal pay-downs	274,397		827,76	0
Available-for-sale securities:				
Proceeds from sales	1,537,338		19,282	.,027
Proceeds from maturities, calls and principal pay-downs	16,798,981		11,934	,551
Purchases	(22,776,489)	(24,20	
Net decrease in FHLB stock	1,368,800		158,90	
Net increase in loans and leases	(7,005,816)	(8,088	,646
Proceeds from sale of leased assets			766,59	
Acquisition of bank premises and equipment	(521,456)	(389,5	
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed assets held-for-sale	55,890		444,81	
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(10,268,355)	729,27	'8
Cash flows from financing activities:	` ' '	ĺ		
Net increase in non-interest-bearing deposits	1,187,731		4,974,	049
Net decrease in certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or more	(14,015,609)	(7,092	
Net increase in other interest-bearing deposits	53,059,025	·	5,919,	354
Net decrease in short-term borrowings	(5,946,258)	(6,507	
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	16,000,000			
Repayment of long-term debt	(34,114,126)	(582,6	02
Dividends paid, net of dividend reinvestment	(922,653)	(849,6	72
Proceeds from employee stock purchase plan	48,151		31,671	
Cash payments in lieu of fractional shares on stock dividend	(7,448)		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	15,288,813	,	(4,106	.910
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	8,238,239		745,42	
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning	12,594,540		10,216	
1	,- ,-		-,-10	,

Cash and cash equivalents, ending

\$ 20,832,779

\$ 10,961,818

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(unaudited)

1. Nature of operations and critical accounting policies

Nature of operations

Nature of operations 11

The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank (the Bank) is a commercial bank chartered in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc. (the Company or collectively, the Company). Having commenced operations in 1903, the Bank is committed to provide superior customer service, while offering a full range of banking products and financial and trust services, to both our consumer and commercial customers from its main office located in Dunmore and other branches throughout Lackawanna and Luzerne counties.

Principles of consolidation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company and the Bank have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to this Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnote disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of Management, all normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial condition and results of operations for the periods have been included. All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Prior period amounts are reclassified when necessary to conform to the current period s presentation.

During the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company changed its classification, within the consolidated statements of cash flows, of the activity associated with loans available-for-sale from investing activities to operating activities. Accordingly, the cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2005 have been reclassified to conform to the current year s presentation. The effect of the change decreased operating cash flows by \$120,000 and increased investing cash flows by \$120,000. The Company believes the change in classification of loans available-for-sale is preferable because it better reflects the primary business purpose of these transactions and complies with the requirements of SFAS 102, Statement of Cash Flows Exemption of Certain Enterprises and Classification of Cash Flows from Certain Securities Acquired for Resale.

On January 17, 2006, the Board of Directors declared a 10% stock dividend. The new common shares were distributed on February 15, 2006 to shareholders of record at the close of business on January 30, 2006. All common stock and per-share data, presented in the prior period, has been adjusted to reflect the retroactive effect of the 10% stock dividend.							

On January 17, 2006, the Board of Directors declared a 10% stock dividend. The new common shares weee distributions

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires Management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reported periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates. For additional information and disclosures required under GAAP, refer to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Management is responsible for the fairness, integrity and objectivity of the unaudited financial statements included in this report. Management prepared the unaudited financial statements in accordance with GAAP. In meeting its responsibility for the financial statements, Management depends on the Company s accounting systems and related internal controls. These systems and controls are designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the financial records accurately reflect the transactions of the Company, the Company s assets are safeguarded and that the financial statements present fairly the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

In the opinion of Management, the consolidated balance sheets as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 and the related consolidated statements of income for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 and changes in shareholders equity and cash flows for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 present fairly the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. All material adjustments required for a fair presentation have been made. These adjustments are of a normal recurring nature.

As indicated in Note 3, Stock Plans , included herein, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standard 123R, *Share-Based Payment*, during the first quarter of 2006. There have been no other material changes in accounting principles and practices or in the method of application. Other than the retroactive adjustment of 2005

common stock and the related per-share data, as a result of the 10% stock dividend noted above, there have been no other retroactive adjustments during these periods. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the entire year.

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company s audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005 and the notes included therein, included within the Company s Annual Report filed on Form 10-K.

Critical accounting policies

The presentation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires Management to make estimates and assumptions that affect many of the reported amounts and disclosures. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

A material estimate that is particularly susceptible to significant change is the determination of the allowance for loan losses (the allowance). Management believes that the allowance, as of September 30, 2006, is adequate and reasonable. Given the subjective nature of identifying and valuing loan losses, it is likely that well-informed individuals could make materially different assumptions, and could, therefore calculate a materially different allowance value. While Management uses available information to recognize losses on loans, changes in economic conditions may necessitate revisions in the future. In addition, various regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company s allowance. Such agencies may require the Company to recognize adjustments to the allowance based on their judgments of information available to them at the time of their examination.

Another material estimate is the calculation of fair values of the Company s investment securities. The Company receives estimated fair values of investment securities from an independent valuation service. In developing these fair values, the valuation service uses estimates of cash flows, based on historical performance of similar instruments in similar interest rate environments. Based on experience, Management is aware that estimated fair values of investment securities tend to vary among valuation services. Accordingly, when selling investment securities, Management may obtain price quotes from more than one source. Available-for-sale (AFS) securities are carried at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet, with unrealized gains and losses, net of income tax, reported separately within shareholders equity through accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

The fair value of residential mortgage loans, classified as AFS, is obtained from the Federal National Mortgage Association or the Pennsylvania Housing Finance Authority. To determine the fair value of student loans, classified as AFS, the Bank uses the pricing obtained from the most recent student loans sold from its AFS portfolio. From time-to-time, the Bank may originate Small Business Administration (SBA) loans AFS. The fair value of SBA loans, classified as AFS, is obtained from an outside pricing source. The market to which the Bank sells mortgage and other loans is restricted and price quotes from other sources are not typically obtained. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, the AFS loan portfolio consisted of residential mortgages and student loans.

2. Earnings per share

Basic earnings per share (EPS) is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common stock outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS is computed in the same manner as basic EPS but reflects the potential dilution that could occur if stock options to issue additional common stock were exercised, which would then result in additional stock outstanding to share in or dilute the earnings of the Company. The Company maintains two share-based compensation plans that may generate additional potential dilutive common shares. Generally, dilution would occur if Company-issued stock options were exercised and converted into common stock.

In the computation of diluted EPS, the Company uses the treasury stock method to determine the dilutive effect of its granted but unexercised stock options. Under this method, the assumed proceeds received from shares issued, in a hypothetical stock option exercise, are assumed to be used to purchase treasury stock. Pursuant to the guidance of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard (SFAS) No. 128, *Earning Per Share*, proceeds include: proceeds from the exercise of outstanding stock options; compensation cost for future service that the Company has not yet recognized; and any windfall tax benefits that would be credited directly to shareholders equity when the grant generates a tax deduction (or a reduction in proceeds if there is a charge to equity). For a further discussion on the Company s stock option plans, see note 3, below.

The following table illustrates the data used in computing basic EPS and a reconciliation to derive at the components of diluted EPS for the periods indicated:

Q

Nine months ended September 30,	2006		2005	
Basic EPS:				
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	3,000,227	\$	3,449,542
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	2,04	2,045,872 2,029,271		
Basic EPS	\$	1.47	\$	1.70
Diluted EPS:				
Net income available to common shareholders	\$	3,000,227	\$	3,449,542
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	2,04	5,872	2,02	9,271
Dilutive potential common shares	1,45	4	523	
Weighted-average common shares and dilutive potential shares	2,04	7,326	2,02	9,794
Diluted EPS	\$	1.47	\$	1.70

3. Stock plans

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standard Board issued SFAS 123R, *Share-Based Payment*, which replaces SFAS 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and supersedes Accounting Principles Bulletin Opinion 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. SFAS 123R requires that the cost of share-based payment transactions (including those with employees and non-employees) be recognized in the financial statements. SFAS 123R applies to all share-based payment transactions in which an entity acquires goods or services by issuing (or offering to issue) its shares, share options, or other equity instruments (except for those held by an ESOP) or by incurring liabilities (1) in amounts based (even in part) on the price of the entity s shares or other equity instruments, or (2) that require (or may require) settlement by the issuance of an entity s shares or other equity instruments.

On January 1, 2006, the Company implemented the provisions SFAS 123R using the Modified Prospective Application transition method (the MPA). The MPA requires the Company to apply the provisions of SFAS 123R to: (a) new awards granted after its adoption; (b) any awards that were granted after the first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 1994 that have not vested by the date the Company adopts SFAS 123R; and (c) any outstanding liability awards. Under the MPA, the Company is not required to adjust prior years financial statements. In addition, as of December 31, 2005, all of the Bank s stock option awards granted, prior to that date, were fully vested and therefore the Bank is not required to apply the provisions of SFAS 123R to these previously granted share-based awards. Therefore, the following discussion will be most applicable to share-based awards issued during 2006.

The Company has two stock-based compensation plans (the plans). The plans were shareholder-approved and permit the grant of share-based compensation awards to its directors, key officers and certain other employees. The Company believes that these plans better align the interest of its directors, key officers and employees with the interest of its shareholders. The Company further believes that the granting of share-based awards, under the provisions of the plans, is necessary to retain the knowledge base, continuity and expertise of its directors, key officers and certain employees.

The Company established the 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan and has reserved 55,000 shares of its un-issued capital stock for issuance under the plan. Under the 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan, each outside director is awarded stock options to purchase 500 shares of the Company s common stock on the first business day of January, each year, at the fair market value on date of grant. No stock options were awarded during the first nine months of 2006 or for each of the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003 due to the directors voluntary election to forego the award. At September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, there were 14,850 unexercised stock options outstanding under this plan.

The Company has also established the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan and has reserved 55,000 shares of its un-issued capital stock for issuance under the plan. Under the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, key officers and certain other employees are eligible to be awarded qualified stock options to

purchase the Company s common stock at the fair market value on the date of grant. During the first quarter of 2006, 2,200 stock options were issued under the 2000 Stock Incentive plan. No stock options were awarded for each of the years ended December 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003. As of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, there were 5,830 and 5,280, respectively, unexercised stock options outstanding under this plan.

Under both plans, options are granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company s stock at the date of grant. The awards vest based on six months of continuous service from the date of grant and have 10-year contractual terms. Generally, all shares that are granted become fully vested.

The Company does not have stock options that are traded on organized capital exchanges. As such, the estimated fair value of options awarded under its plans is determined, on the date of grant, using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Valuation Model. For the options granted during the first quarter of 2006, the model incorporated the assumptions noted in the following table:

Expected volatility	16.00	%
Expected volatility	10.00	%
Expected dividend	2.41	%
Risk-free interest rate	4.35	%
Expected term	5.25 years	

The expected volatility was determined based on the daily five-year historical volatility of the Company s stock. Management believes the five-year historical volatility measurement closely resembles the fluctuation of its stock under most economic conditions and cycles. Because of the relatively short vesting period, the model assumes that all options granted will fully vest. The risk-free rate is for the period within the expected term of the options based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve. The Company used the simplified method to determine the term in which options are expected to be outstanding.

A summary of the status of the Company s stock option plans as of September 30, 2006, December 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004 and changes during the periods is presented below:

	Options		Weighted- average exercise price *	Weighted- average remaining contractual term (yrs)	 gregate insic 1e
Outstanding, December 31, 2004	20,570		\$ 32.29	5.7	
Granted					
Exercised					
Forfeited or expired	(440)	34.09		
Outstanding, December 31, 2005	20,130		32.25	4.7	
Granted	2,200		36.59		
Exercised					
Forfeited or expired	(1,650)	33.07		
Outstanding, September 30, 2006	20,680		\$ 32.64	4.5	\$ 18,498
Exercisable, September 30, 2006	20,680		\$ 32.64	4.5	\$ 18,498

* Includes options with exercise prices ranging from \$28.18 to \$36.59 per share.

No options have been granted under the 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan since 2002 and all options previously granted and outstanding are fully vested. As of September 30, 2006, no options have been exercised under the provisions of this plan.

Under the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, the grant-date fair value of the options granted was determined to be \$6.21 per share. Approximately \$13,700 of stock-based compensation expense was recorded during the first half of 2006 and is included as a component of salaries and employee benefits in the consolidated income statement. Since the plan is a qualified option plan under the Internal Revenue Code, no tax benefit will be recorded until the shares are exercised by the recipients. No options were exercised during the periods presented. Since the Company adopted SFAS 123R on January 1, 2006, under the MPA, the comparable data for the previous periods is not required to be reported. As of September 30, 2006, all options issued under the plan were fully vested and therefore, there is no unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested share-based compensation agreements under the plan as of that date.

In addition to the two stock option plans above, the Company has established the 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the ESPP) and has reserved 110,000 shares of its un-issued capital stock for issuance under the plan. The plan was designed to promote broad-based employee ownership of the Company s stock. Under the 2002 ESPP, employees may have automatic payroll deductions to purchase the Company s capital stock at a discounted price based on the fair market value of the Company s capital stock on either the commencement date or termination date. At September 30, 2006, 6,051 shares have been issued under the plan. The ESPP is considered a compensatory plan and, as such, is required to comply with the provisions of SFAS 123R. The Company recognizes compensation expense on its ESPP Plan on the date the shares are purchased. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, compensation expense related to the ESPP approximated \$15,000 and is included as a component of salaries and employee benefits in the consolidated income statement.

4. Bank Premises and Equipment

During the second quarter of 2006, the Bank entered into a long-term facility lease agreement in concert with the construction and relocation of one of its branch offices. Under the lease agreement, the Bank has committed to an initial 15-year term with options to renew for two consecutive periods of five years each. Under this agreement, the Bank will recognize approximately \$36,000 of rent expense in 2006 and a total of \$1,384,000 through the expiration of the second renewal option on August 31, 2031.

Item 2: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following is Management s discussion and analysis of the significant changes in the consolidated financial condition of the Company as of September 30, 2006 compared to December 31, 2005 and the results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006 and September 30, 2005. Current performance may not be indicative of future results. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the Company s 2005 Annual Report filed on Form 10-K.

Forward looking statements

This Interim Report on Form 10-Q contains a number of forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act. These statements may be identified by the use of the words anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, intend, may, outlook, plan, poproject, should, will, would and similar terms and phrases, including references to assumptions. Forward looking statements include risks and uncertainties.

Forward-looking statements are based on various assumptions and analyses made by us in light of Management s experience and its perception of historical trends, current conditions and expected future developments, as well as other factors Management believes are appropriate under the circumstances. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors (many of which are beyond our control) that could cause actual results to differ materially from future results expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. These factors include, without limitation, the following:

- the timing and occurrence or non-occurrence of events may be subject to circumstances beyond our control;
- there may be increases in competitive pressure among financial institutions or from non-financial institutions;
- changes in the interest rate environment may reduce interest margins;
- changes in deposit flows, loan demand or real estate values may adversely affect our business;
- changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines may cause our financial condition to be perceived differently;
- general economic conditions, either nationally or locally in some or all areas in which we do business, or conditions in the securities markets or the banking industry may be less favorable than we currently anticipate;
- legislative or regulatory changes may adversely affect our business;

- technological changes may be more rapid, difficult or expensive than we anticipate;
- success or consummation of new business initiatives may be more difficult or expensive than we anticipate;
- acts of war or terrorism; or
- natural disaster.

Management cautions readers not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which reflect analyses only as of the date of this document. We have no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this document. Readers should review the risk factors described in other documents that we file or furnish, from time to time, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including Annual Reports to Shareholders, Annual Reports filed on Form 10-K and other current reports filed or furnished on Form 8-K.

General

The Company s principal revenues are derived from interest, dividends and fees earned on its interest-earning assets, which are comprised of loans, securities and other short-term investments. The Company s principal expenses consist of interest paid on its interest-bearing liabilities, which are comprised of deposits, short-and long-term borrowings and operating and general expenses. The Company s profitability depends primarily on its net interest income, which is the difference between interest income generated from its interest-earning assets and the interest expense incurred on its interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income is dependent upon the interest-rate spread, which is the difference between the average yield earned on interest-earning assets and the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. When interest-earning assets approximate or exceed interest-bearing liabilities, any positive rate spread will generate net interest income. The interest rate spread is significantly impacted by changes in interest rates and market yield curves and their related impact on cash flows from loans, investments, customer deposit flows and loan demand. The interest rate spread is further influenced by the composition and characteristics of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and by the competition in our marketplace. Additionally, the interest rate spread and the changes in the interest rate spread, from period-to-period, is affected by differences in the maturity and re-pricing characteristics of the assets compared to the maturity and re-pricing characteristics of the liabilities that fund them.

In addition to net interest income, profitability is affected by the level of its non-interest income and expenses, provision for loan losses and provision for income taxes. Non-interest income consists mostly of service charges on the Bank s loan and deposit products, trust and asset management service fees, increases in the cash surrender value of the bank owned life insurance (BOLI), net gains or losses from sales of leases, securities, loans AFS, sales of other real estate (ORE) properties and disposal of other bank owned assets. Non-interest expense consists of compensation and related employee benefit expenses, occupancy, equipment, data processing, advertising, marketing, professional fees, insurance and other operating overhead.

The Company s profitability is significantly affected by general economic and competitive conditions, changes in market interest rates, government policies and actions of regulatory authorities. The Company s loan portfolio is comprised principally of commercial and commercial real estate loans. The properties underlying the Company s mortgages are concentrated in Northeastern Pennsylvania. Credit risk, which represents the possibility of the Company not recovering amounts due from its borrowers, is significantly related to local economic conditions in the areas the properties are located as well as the Company s underwriting standards. Economic conditions affect the market value of the underlying collateral as well as the levels of adequate cash flow and revenue generation from income-producing commercial and multi-family properties.

COMPARISON OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THREE AND NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 2005

Overview

Net income for the third quarter of 2006 was \$1,030,000, a decrease of \$264,000 from the \$1,294,000 recorded in the same quarter in 2005. Diluted earnings per share was \$0.51 and \$0.64 for each of the respective periods. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, net income was \$3,000,000, or \$1.47 per diluted share, compared to \$3,450,000, or \$1.70 per diluted share for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The decline in net income in the third quarter was primarily the result of lower non-interest income and increased operating expenses. The decline in net income for the nine month comparison was due mostly to increased operating expenses. During both periods, the provision for loan losses decreased \$225,000 and \$355,000, respectively.

The decline in net income was the primary cause of the decline in return on average assets (ROA) and return on average shareholders equity (ROE) to 0.72% and 8.18%, respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared to 0.96% and 10.62, respectively, for the same period in 2005. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006 ROA and ROE were 0.71% and 8.12% compared to 0.86% and 9.73% for the nine months ended September 30, 2005.

During the third quarter of 2006, the Bank refinanced a portion of its long-term debt that was designed to reduce interest expense and thereby improve net interest income. For a further discussion on this transaction see the *Borrowings* section under *Comparison of Financial Condition*, below.

Net interest income and interest sensitive assets / liabilities

Net interest income decreased \$49,000 to \$4,313,000 for the third quarter of 2006, from \$4,362,000 recorded in the same period of 2005. The decrease was principally due to the effect rising interest rates had on the rates paid on average interest-bearing liabilities compared to interest-earning assets. Rates paid increased 99 basis points, to 3.83%, for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to 2.84% for the same 2005 period. In addition to the increase in rates paid, there was an increase in the average interest-bearing liabilities of \$29,350,000 for the comparable periods. The Bank has continued to deploy various marketing and rate enhancing techniques to enhance core deposit growth. From these efforts, average core deposits, which exclude time deposits of greater than \$100,000, increased approximately \$74,800,000 compared to the quarter ended September 30, 2005. As a result, interest expense on deposits increased \$1,319,000, or 45%, during the third quarter of 2006 compared to the third quarter of 2005. Though rates paid on borrowings increased 62 basis points, the related interest expense declined \$67,000 due to a decline in the average balance in the 2006 quarter compared to the 2005 quarter.

Interest income increased \$1,271,000, or 17%, partially offsetting the increase in interest expense during the third quarter of 2006 compared to the third quarter of 2005. This improvement was primarily due to a 59 basis point increase in the book yield earned on its average interest-earning assets which also experienced growth during the third quarter of 2006 compared to the third quarter of 2005. The quarter-to-quarter improvement in yield was due to higher market interest rates and was further bolstered by our progress in shifting non-performing loans to performing status. The loan portfolio grew by \$29,427,000 on average and yielded 57 basis points more during the current year quarter than in the same quarter of 2005.

During the third quarter of 2006, the Bank s tax-equivalent margin and spread decreased 26 and 41 basis points, respectively, compared to the third quarter of 2005. The decline in margin and spread is from interest costs outpacing the yield earned on higher balances of interest-earning assets.

Similar to the third quarter comparisons, the Bank s tax-equivalent margin and spread decreased 22 and 38 basis points, respectively, during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same 2005 period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, net interest income declined \$147,000 to \$12,809,000 from \$12,956,000 recorded in the comparable 2005 period. The decrease was due to a combination of a net increase in average interest-bearing liabilities of \$28,129,000 and to a more significant degree, an increase in the average rate paid of 92 basis points. As a result, total interest expense increased \$3,605,000, or 43%, for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the same period ended in 2005.

Interest income increased \$3,458,000, or 16%, for the first nine months of 2006 compared to the first nine months of 2005. The dominant factor leading to the improvement was an increase in the book yield on average-earning assets of 53 basis points in addition to a \$30,758,000 increase in average interest-earning assets. The increased interest rate environment as well as the aforementioned reduction of non-performing loans, during the third quarter of 2006, helped improve the yield on the Bank s portfolios of interest-earning assets.

The increasing interest rate environment, that has dominated the economy for more than two years, and the more recent inverted treasury yield curve, continues to pressure the Bank s net interest income performance. However, our successful deposit gathering strategies, debt restructure and improved credit quality of the Bank s earning assets will help position the Bank s to optimize net interest income during this challenging rate environment and should be further enhanced when the shape of the yield curve returns to a more normal slope.

The following table sets forth, a comparison of average balance sheet amounts and their corresponding fully tax-equivalent (FTE) interest income and expense and annualized tax-equivalent yield and cost for the periods indicated (dollars in thousands):

		ee months end ember 30,		05			e months e ember 30,	nded	2005		
Average interest-earning assets:											
Loans and leases	\$	420,256	\$	390,829		\$	418,354		\$	387,754	
Investments	112,		11	3,632		116,	,262		115,	842	
Federal funds sold	4,41	4				2,61	8		2,45	1	
Interest-bearing deposits	181		40	00		221			650		
Total	\$	536,958	\$	504,861		\$	537,455		\$	506,697	
Average interest-bearing liabilities:		10====	4	400.000			407044		4	121052	
Other interest-bearing deposits	\$	197,727	\$	128,830		\$	185,014		\$	124,853	
Certificates of deposit	151,			0,893		154,			176,		
Borrowed funds	70,1			,309		82,3			78,9		
Repurchase agreements	23,7			,686		24,2			37,0		
Total	\$	443,068	\$	413,718		\$	445,564		\$	417,435	
Interest income (FTE):											
Loans and leases	\$	7,281	\$	6,202		\$	21.043		\$	17,963	
Investments	1,39			270		4,15	,		3,77		
Federal funds sold	59	3	1,	270		95	'4		47	3	
	2		3			7			12		
Interest-bearing deposits		0.725	-	7 475			25 200			21.705	
Total	\$	8,735	\$	7,475		\$	25,299		\$	21,795	
Interest symanses											
Interest expense: Other interest-bearing deposits	\$	1,572	\$	419		\$	3,947		\$	1,006	
	1,56	,		327					3,97		
Certificates of deposit Borrowed funds		U				4,33					
	971			067		3,29	4		2,98	U	
Repurchase agreements	178	4.201	14			477	10.050		487	0.445	
Total	\$	4,281	\$	2,962		\$	12,050		\$	8,445	
Net interest income (FTE)	\$	4,454	\$	4,513		\$	13,249		\$	13,350	
Yield on average interest-earning assets											
Loans and leases	6.87	%	6.	30	0%	6.73		%	6.19		%
Investments	4.93		4.			4.78		%	4.35		%
Federal funds sold	5.28		7.	T.J	70	4.84		%	2.59		%
Interest-bearing deposits	4.48		3.	24	0%	4.12		%	2.52		%
Total	6.45		5.			6.29		%	5.75		%
Total	0.15	70	٥.	07	70	0.27		70	3.73		70
Rates on average interest-bearing liabilities											
Other interest-bearing deposits	3.16	%	1.	29	%	2.85		%	1.08		%
Certificates of deposit	4.09			08		3.76		%	3.01		%
Borrowed funds	5.49		5.			5.35		%	5.05		%
Repurchase agreements	2.96			99		2.63		%	1.76		%
Total	3.83		2.			3.62		%	2.70		%
Net interest spread	2.62	%	3.	03	%	2.67	'	%	3.05		%
Net interest margin	3.29	%	3.	55	%	3.30)	%	3.52		%
Yield on average interest-earning assets	6.45	%	5.	87	%	6.29		%	5.75		%
Rate on average interest-bearing liabilities	3.83		2.			3.62		%	2.70		%
Net interest spread	2.62		3.			2.67		%	3.05		%
Net interest margin	3.29		3.			3.30		%	3.52		%
	0.27	70			, 0	2.20		, 0	2.02		, 0

In the table above, interest income was adjusted to a tax-equivalent basis to recognize the income from the various tax-exempt assets as if the interest was fully taxable. This treatment allows a uniform comparison among the yields on interest-earning assets. The calculations were computed on a fully tax-equivalent basis using the corporate federal tax rate of 34%. Net interest spread represents the difference between the yield on interest-earning assets and the rate on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest margin represents the ratio of net interest income to total average interest-earning assets.

Provision for loan losses

The provision for loan losses represents the necessary amount to charge against current earnings, the purpose of which is to increase the allowance for loan losses to a level that represents Management s best estimate of known and inherent losses in the Bank s loan and lease portfolio. Loans and leases determined to be uncollectible are charged-off against the allowance for loan losses.

The required amount of the provision for loan losses, based upon the adequate level of the allowance for loan losses, is subject to ongoing analysis of the loan portfolio. The Bank s Special Asset Committee meets periodically to review problem loans and leases. The committee is comprised of Bank Management, including the chief risk officer, loan workout officers and collection personnel. The committee reports quarterly to the Credit Administration Committee of the Board of Directors.

Management continuously reviews the risks inherent in the loan and lease portfolio. Specific factors used to evaluate the adequacy of the loan loss provision during the formal process include:

- Specific loans that could have loss potential
- Levels of and trends in delinquencies and non-accrual loans
- Levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries
- Trends in volume and terms of loans
- Changes in risk selection and underwriting standards
- Changes in lending policies, procedures and practices
- Experience, ability and depth of lending management
- National and local economic trends and conditions
- Changes in credit concentrations

The provision for loan losses was \$75,000, for the three months ended September 30, 2006, compared to \$300,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2005. The decrease in the provision for loan losses this quarter was driven by the reduced levels of criticized and non-performing loans. The balance of non-performing loans declined year-to-year by \$4,910,000 to \$5,079,000 at September 30, 2006 compared to \$9,989,000 at September 30, 2005. The non-accrual component of non-performing loans showed a \$5,253,000 decline in the same period as the Bank continues to make progress in moving non-performing loans to performing status.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the provision for loan losses was \$325,000, compared to \$680,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2005. As a result, after taking into account charge-offs and recoveries during the period, the allowance was \$5,844,000 at September 30, 2006 compared to \$6,035,000 at September 30, 2005. The decrease in the provision for loan losses was driven by the substantial decrease in the non-performing loans mentioned above. Our Special Assets Department continues to make progress and carry out exit strategies and/or resolution plans for each of the non-performing loans.

Other income

Total other (non-interest) income declined \$92,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2005. The 2005 quarter consisted of net gains from the sales of foreclosed assets that included insurance proceeds from a claim for damages on one of the properties, compared to net losses in 2006. The Bank s automobile lease finance business concluded in 2005 and during the quarter ended September 30, 2005, the Bank recognized \$23,000 of net gains from sales of previously leased vehicles which did not recur in the third quarter of 2006. Further, during the 2006 quarter, the Bank recognized a \$16,000 loss from the disposal of obsolete premises and equipment.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, other income increased \$247,000, or 8%, compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005. During 2005, the Bank recognized an estimated impairment charge of \$220,000 in anticipation of projected realized losses from the future sales of its leased vehicles. Because the Bank s automobile lease finance business concluded in 2005, similar impairment charges from this activity will not recur. During the nine months ended September 30, 2006, the Bank recognized \$15,000 of residual insurance claims from previously leased vehicles, compared to a net loss from their sales of \$11,000 for the same 2005 period. Finally, the Bank sold more loans into the secondary market resulting in gains of \$63,000 in the first nine months of 2006.

Other operating expenses

For the quarter ended September 30, 2006, other (non-interest) expenses increased \$405,000, or 11%, compared to the same 2005 quarter. Salary and employee benefits increased \$323,000, or 18%, due to an increase in the number of full-time

equivalent employees and merit pay increases. The increase in premises and equipment was due to more lease expense on long-term facility rental agreements and increases in equipment repair and maintenance and property insurance. The \$37,000 increase in advertising was caused by increased media advertising and direct mailing marketing techniques.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2006, other expenses increased \$1,098,000 compared to the same 2005 period. Salary and employee benefits increased \$808,000, or 15%, due to pay increases, increased number of full-time equivalent employees, one-time voluntary separation benefit payments and stock-based compensation expense (see footnote 3, Stock Plans, of the consolidated financial statements, included herein for a discussion on the Company s adoption of SFAS 123R). The \$213,000 increase in premises and equipment was caused by the aforementioned increase in lease expense and increased equipment repair and additional core processing maintenance costs. The decrease in professional service fees was caused mostly by non-recurring expenses associated with the 2005 Bank-wide participation in management skills development and sales training programs as well as a decrease in outside consulting fees for Sarbanes-Oxley compliance documentation procedures. Increased traditional and alternative media advertising caused advertising expense to increase 11% during the first nine months of 2006 compared to the same period in 2005. The net increase in the other component of operating expenses included higher spending for supplies, telephone, outside data processing services, ATM related expenses, charitable contributions, directors fees, employee travel, dues and subscriptions partially offset by lower spending for ORE and settlement expense.

Income tax provision

Compared to 2005, income before provision for income taxes for the third quarter and first nine months of 2006 decreased \$56,000 and \$194,000, respectively resulting in lower income tax provisions in the comparable periods. The effective federal income tax rate was 25.3% and 24.4% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2006, compared to 23.8% and 25.2% for each of the respective 2005 periods. The effective tax rate variances are attributable to a combination of relative levels of pre-tax earnings and the amount of tax-free interest income from municipal securities, tax-free loans and tax-free earnings from the BOLI.

COMPARISON OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2006 AND DECEMBER 31, 2005

Overview

Consolidated assets increased \$18,780,000, or 3%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 to \$562,840,000. The net asset increase resulted from an 11% increase in total deposits of \$40,231,000 partially offset by a net decrease in combined short- and long-term borrowings of \$24,060,000. Total investments, net loans and federal funds sold increased \$4,062,000, \$6,499,000 and \$10,400,000, respectively, since December 31, 2005.

Investment securities

At the time of purchase, the Bank classifies investment securities into one of three categories: trading, AFS or held-to- maturity (HTM). To date, Management has not purchased any securities for trading purposes. Management classifies most securities as AFS even though it has no immediate intent to sell them. The AFS designation affords Management the flexibility to sell securities and adjust the balance sheet in response to capital levels, liquidity needs, structuring strategies and/or changes in market conditions. Securities AFS are carried at net fair market value in the consolidated balance sheet with an adjustment to stockholders equity, net of tax, which is presented under the caption Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Securities designated as HTM are carried at amortized cost.

At September 30, 2006, the carrying value of investment securities totaled \$101,740,000, or 18% of total assets compared to \$97,679,000 or 18%, as of December 31, 2005. At September 30, 2006, approximately 37% of the carrying value of the investment portfolio was comprised of mortgage-backed securities that amortize and provide monthly cash flow. Also, at September 30, 2006, agency and municipal bonds comprised 38% and 10%, respectively, of the investment portfolio.

As illustrated in the following table of amortized cost and fair market value of investment securities, the portfolio is comprised of HTM and AFS securities with carrying values of \$1,720,000 and \$100,020,000, respectively. At September 30, 2006, the AFS debt securities were recorded with a net unrealized loss in the amount of \$1,912,000 and equity securities were recorded with an unrealized gain of \$181,000:

(dollars in thousands)	Amort	tized	Gross unrealized gains		Gross unrealized losses		Fair value	
Held-to-maturity securities:								
Mortgage-backed securities	\$	1,720	\$	28	\$		\$	1,748
Available-for-sale securities:								
U.S. government agencies and								
corporations	\$	39,956	\$		\$	867	\$	39,089
Obligations of states and municipal								
subdivisions	14,60	4	154		2		14,75	6
Corporate bonds	10,00	8	48				10,05	6
Mortgage-backed securities	36,90	4			1,245		35,65	9
Total debt securities	101,4	72	202		2,114		99,56	0
	,				,		,	
Equity securities	279		181				460	
1								
Total available-for-sale	\$	101,751	\$	383	\$	2,114	\$	100,020

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities at September 30, 2006 by contractual maturity are as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Amortized cost		Market value	
Held-to-maturity securities:				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$	1,720	\$	1,748
Available-for-sale securities:				
Debt securities:				
One year or less	\$	3,995	\$	3,967
One through five years	10,994		10,818	
Five through ten years	22,402		21,876	
Over ten years	27,177		27,240	
Subtotal	64,568		63,901	
Mortgage-backed securities	36,904		35,659	
Total available-for-sale debt securities	\$	101,472	\$	99,560

Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers and borrowers may have the right to call or repay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalty. Federal agency and municipal securities are included based on their original stated maturity. Mortgage-backed securities, which are based on weighted-average lives and subject to monthly principal pay-downs, are listed in total.

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment on a quarterly basis or more frequently when economic conditions or market conditions warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to (1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than cost, (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and (3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

At September 30, 2006, the AFS debt securities portfolio was carried at a net unrealized loss of \$1,912,000 compared to a

net unrealized loss of \$1,859,000 at December 31, 2005. Compared to the end of the second quarter of 2006, the net unrealized loss approximated \$3,735,000, or an improvement of \$1,823,000 during the 2006 third quarter. A large portion of the unrealized losses was for a continuous period of more than 12 months. Management believes that the cause of these unrealized losses is directly related to changes in market interest rates. In general, as interest rates rise, the fair value of fixed rate securities will decrease; as interest rates fall, their fair values will increase. During the current rising rate interest rate cycle, that largely began in 2004, the Bank has experienced a steady decline in the value of its investment portfolio. As of September 30, 2006, however, most all of the deterioration of the AFS debt portfolio is from investments that are guaranteed by the U.S. government or one of its agencies. In analyzing an issuer s financial condition, Management considers whether the securities are issued by the U.S. government, its agencies or other governments, whether downgrades by bond rating agencies have occurred and, if necessary, reviews of the issuer s financial condition. Because the decline in market values is attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality, and the Company has the ability and intent to hold those securities until recovery of fair value, which may be maturity, Management views these unrealized losses to be temporary.

Loans available - for- sale (AFS)

Generally, upon origination, certain residential mortgages, the guaranteed portions of Small Business Administration loans and student loans are classified as AFS. In the event of market rate increases, fixed-rate loans and loans not immediately scheduled to re-price would no longer produce yields consistent with the current market. In a declining interest rate environment, the Bank would be exposed to prepayment risk and, as rates on adjustable rate loans decrease, interest income would be negatively affected. To better manage prepayment and interest rate risk, loans that meet these conditions may be considered for sale in the secondary market. Consideration is also given to the Company's current liquidity position and expected future liquidity needs. Loans AFS are carried at the lower of cost or estimated fair value. If the fair market values of these loans fall below their amortized cost, the loans are written down by the difference with a corresponding charge to current earnings. Subsequent appreciation, if any, is credited to current earnings but only to the extent of previous write-downs.

At September 30, 2006, loans AFS amounted to \$421,000 with a corresponding fair value of \$428,000, compared to \$429,000 and \$434,000, respectively, at December 31, 2005. For the third quarter of 2006, residential mortgage and student loans with principal balances of \$3,131,000 were sold into the secondary market with combined net gains of approximately \$21,000 recognized.

Loans and leases

The Bank originates commercial and industrial (commercial) and commercial real estate loans, residential, consumer, home equity and construction loans. The relative volume of originations is dependent upon customer demand, current interest rates and the perception and duration of future interest levels. The Bank continues to focus its efforts on the expansion of variable-rate commercial loan portfolios and origination of fixed-rate residential mortgage and consumer loans. The broad spectrum of products provides diversification which helps manage, to an extent, interest rate risk and credit concentration risk. Credit risk is further managed through underwriting policies and procedures and loan monitoring practices. Interest rate risk is managed using various asset/liability modeling techniques and analyses. The interest rates on most commercial loans are adjustable with reset intervals of five years or less.

The majority of our loan portfolio is collateralized, at least in part, by real estate in the greater Lackawanna and Luzerne Counties of Pennsylvania. Commercial lending activities generally involve a greater degree of credit risk than residential lending because they typically have larger balances and are more affected by adverse conditions in the economy. Because payments on commercial loans depend upon the successful operation and management of the properties and the businesses which operate from within them, repayment of such loans may be affected by factors outside the borrower s control. Such factors may include adverse conditions in the real estate market, the economy, the industry or changes in government regulations. As such, commercial loans require more ongoing evaluation and monitoring which occurs with the Bank s credit administration and outsourced loan review functions.

Gross loans, of \$415,494,000 at September 30, 2006 increased from \$409,129,000 at December 31, 2005. Residential real estate loan growth as well as that of consumer and home equity loans remained reasonably strong due to the continued market demand for residential and consumer loan originations and successful sales campaigns. The decrease in commercial and commercial real estate lending is principally due to normal run off together with several payoffs.

The composition of the loan portfolio at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, is summarized as follows:

	September 30, 2006		December 31, 2005	
	Amount	%	Amount	%
Commercial and commercial				
real estate	\$ 212,146,977	51.1	\$ 216,288,597	52.9
Residential real estate	113,273,908	27.3	103,920,613	25.4
Consumer and home equity	76,507,776	18.4	74,070,328	18.1
Real estate construction	12,961,746	3.1	14,198,858	3.4
Direct financing leases	603,995	0.1	650,348	0.2
Gross loans	415,494,402	100.0	409,128,744	100.0
Allowance for loan losses	(5,843,538)		(5,984,649)	
Net loans	\$ 409.650.864		\$ 403.144.095	

Allowance for loan losses

Management continually evaluates the credit quality of the Bank s loan and lease portfolio and performs a formal review of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses (the allowance), on a quarterly basis. The allowance reflects Management s best estimate of the amount of credit losses in the loan portfolio. Management s judgment is based on the evaluation of individual loans, past experience, the assessment of current economic conditions and other relevant factors including the amounts and timing of cash flows expected to be received on impaired loans. Those estimates may be susceptible to significant change. The provision for loan losses represents the amount necessary to maintain an appropriate allowance. Loan losses are charged directly against the allowance when loans are deemed to be uncollectible. Recoveries from previously charged-off loans are added to the allowance when received.

Management applies two primary components during the loan review process to determine proper allowance levels. The two levels are specific loan loss allocation for loans that are deemed impaired and a general loan loss allocation for those loans not specifically allocated. The methodology to analyze the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is as follows:

- Identification of specific problem loans by loan category;
- Calculation of specific allowances required based on collateral and objective and quantifiable evidence;
- Determination of homogenous pools by loan category and eliminating loans with specific allocations;
- Application of historical loss percentages (three-year average) to pools to determine the allowance allocation; and
- Application of qualitative factor adjustment percentages to historical losses for trends or changes in the loan portfolio.

Allocation of the allowance for different categories of loans is based on the methodology as explained above. A key element of the methodology to determine the allowance is the Company s credit risk evaluation process, which includes credit risk grading of individual commercial loans. Commercial loans are assigned credit risk grades based on the Company s assessment of conditions that affect the borrower s ability to meet its contractual obligations under the loan agreement. That process includes reviewing borrowers current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information and other information specific to each individual borrower. The changes in the allocations from period to period are based upon the credit risk grading assigned from periodic reviews of the loan and lease portfolios.

Net charge-offs for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 were \$466,000, compared to \$633,000 for the same period in 2005. Net charge-offs of commercial loans were \$289,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to \$588,000 in the nine months of 2005. Gross commercial charge-offs were \$591,000 less for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2005. The September 30, 2005 amount included one large commercial charge-off in the amount of \$318,000 taken in the second quarter of 2005. Real estate mortgage net charge-offs were \$65,000 in the first nine months of 2006 as opposed to \$11,000 in the first nine months of 2005. Consumer loan net charge-offs were \$112,000 in the first nine months ended September 30, 2006 as compared to \$34,000 for the same nine months of 2005. There were no lease financing charge-offs during the nine months ended September 30, 2006 and lease

recoveries posted were nominal.

Management believes that the current balance in the allowance for loans losses of \$5,844,000 is sufficient to withstand the identified potential credit quality issues that may arise and are inherent to the portfolio. Currently, Management is unaware of any potential problem loans that have not been reviewed. Potential problem loans are those where there is known information that leads Management to believe repayment of principal and/or interest is in jeopardy and the loans are neither on non-accrual status nor past due 90 days or more. However, there could be instances which become identified over the year that may require additional charge-offs and/or increases to the allowance. The ratio of allowance for loan losses to total loans was 1.41% at September 30, 2006 compared to 1.46% at December 31, 2005 and 1.52% at September 30, 2005.

The following tables set forth the activity in the allowance for loan losses and certain key ratios for the period indicated:

	nine	and for the months ended ember 30, 2006	year	and for the ended mber 31, 2005	nine	and for the months ended ember 30, 2005
Balance at beginning of period	\$	5,984,649	\$	5,987,798	\$	5,987,798
Provision charged to operations	325,0	000	830,	000	680,	000
Charge-offs:						
Commercial	350,	203	1,07	5,721	940,	911
Real estate	65,73	59	20,8	75	20,8	75
Consumer	226,	812	287,	287,676		659
Lease financing			8,37	3		
Total	642,	774	1,39	3,645	1,14	5,445
Recoveries:						
Commercial	60,73	32	395,	162	352,	413
Real estate	502		10,6	77	9,69	8
Consumer	115,	229	154,	154,557		085
Lease financing	200		100	100		
Total	176,	563	560,	196	512,	196
Net charge-offs	466,	111	833,	149	633,	249
Balance at end of period	\$	5,843,538	\$	5,984,649	\$	6,034,549
Total loans, end of period	\$	415,494,402	\$	409,128,744	\$	397,070,309

	nine m	and for the nonths ended nber 30, 2006		year er	nd for the nded ber 31, 2005		nine m	nd for the onths ended ober 30, 2005	
Net charge-offs to:									
Loans, end of period	0.11		%	0.20		%	0.16		%
Allowance for loan losses	7.98		%	13.92		%	10.49		%
Provision for loan losses	1.43		X	1.00		X	0.93		X
Allowance for loan losses to:									
Total loans	1.41		%	1.46		%	1.52		%
Non-accrual loans	1.43		X	0.63		X	0.65		X
Non-performing loans	1.15		X	0.62		X	0.60		X
Net charge-offs	12.54		х	7.18		х	9.53		X
Ç									
Loans 30-89 days past due and still accruing	\$	2,525,382		\$	1,608,970		\$	2,396,798	
Loans 90 days past due and accruing	\$	997,148		\$	196,928		\$	654,084	
Non-accrual loans	\$	4,082,222		\$	9,452,788		\$	9,335,011	
Allowance for loan losses to loans 90 days or more past due									
and accruing	5.86		X	30.39		X	9.23		X

Non-performing assets

The Bank defines non-performing assets as accruing loans past due 90 days or more, non-accrual loans, restructured loans, other real estate owned and repossessed assets. As of September 30, 2006, non-performing assets represented 0.93% of total assets compared to 1.78% at December 31, 2005 and 1.87% at September 30, 2005.

The non-accrual loans declined by \$5,371,000 during the first nine months of 2006 to \$4,082,000. There were additions to the non-accrual loans totaling \$1,491,000 during the first nine months of 2006. These additions were offset by payoffs or pay downs of \$2,130,000, \$4,035,000 of loans returned to accruing status, charge offs of \$522,000 and \$175,000 of loans that were transferred to other real estate owned.

At September 30, 2006 the 90-day past due loans were \$997,000, compared to \$197,000 at December 31, 2005 and \$654,000 at September 30, 2005. This increase was primarily due to \$962,000 that had been over 90 days delinquent at the current quarter-end. However, payment was made subsequent to quarter-end to bring the amount contractually current.

Other real estate owned at September 30, 2006 consisted of four residential properties that were foreclosed upon and transferred from loans. The total of these four properties was \$132,000 at period end September 30, 2006. Two of the properties are listed for sale with a realtor, and the other two properties are under contracts for sale. There were no repossessed assets at September 30, 2006.

Non-performing loans were \$5,079,000 at September 30, 2006, a decline of \$4,570,000 from year-end 2005, as well as a decline of \$4,910,000 compared to September 30, 2005. Although the decline occurred substantially in the commercial loans, reductions occurred in the real estate and consumer portfolios as well. The ratio of non-performing loans to net loans declined by 115 basis points to 1.24%, during the nine month period ended September 30, 2006. At September 30, 2005, the ratio of non-performing loans to net loans was 2.55%. The ratio of non-performing assets to total assets decreased to 0.93% at September 30, 2006, down from 1.78% at year-end 2005 and down from 1.87% September 30, 2005. This decline is mainly the result of the reduced levels of non-accrual loans.

The following table sets forth non-performing assets data as of the period indicated:

	Septer	nber 30, 2006	Decem	aber 31, 2005	Septen	nber 30, 2005	
Loans past due 90 days or more and accruing	\$	997,148	\$	196,928	\$	654,084	
Non-accrual loans	4,082	,222	9,452,	788	9,335,	011	
Total non-performing loans	5,079	,370	9,649,	716	9,989,	095	
Other real estate owned	131,8	49			77,750)	
Repossessed assets			18,702	2	25,632	2	
Total non-performing assets	\$	5,211,219	\$	9,668,418	\$	10,092,477	
Net loans including AFS	\$	410,072,053	\$	403,572,679	\$	391,035,760	
Total assets	\$	562,840,483	\$	544,060,698	\$	538,434,511	
Non-accrual loans to net loans	1.00		% 2.34		% 2.39		%
Non-performing assets to net loans, foreclosed real estate							
and repossessed assets	1.27		% 2.40		% 2.58		%
Non-performing assets to total assets	0.93		% 1.78		% 1.87		%
Non-performing loans to net loans	1.24		% 2.39		% 2.55		%

Accrued interest receivable and other assets

The increase in accrued interest receivable of \$800,000 or 41%, from December 31, 2005 to September 30, 2006 was principally due to higher average balances of interest-earning assets. The increase in other assets of \$609,000, or 15%, for the same period, was due mostly to capitalized construction costs for the Bank s branch expansion projects.

Deposits

The Bank is a community-based commercial financial institution and offers a variety of deposit accounts with a range of interest rates and terms. Deposit products include non-interest bearing, savings, NOW, money market, and certificates of deposit accounts. The flow of deposits is significantly influenced by general economic conditions, changes in prevailing interest rates, pricing and competition. Most of the Bank s deposits are obtained from the communities surrounding its 12 branch offices. We attempt to attract and retain deposit customers via sales and marketing efforts with new products, quality service, competitive rates and maintaining long-standing customer relationships. To determine deposit product interest rates, the Bank considers local competition, market yields and the rates charged for alternative sources of funding such as borrowings. Although we continue to experience intense competition for deposits, we have not increased rates above market rates, as we only consider cost effective strategies in all interest rate environments.

Compared to December 31, 2005, total deposits increased \$40,231,000, or 11%, during the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The increase in total deposits was primarily due to a \$24,462,000, or 49%, increase in NOW accounts, much of which stems from our continued positive relationship with our public funds sector customers. The \$20,139,000, or 39%, increase in money market accounts was due to success in the implementation of deposit-gathering strategies in conjunction with increased short-term promotional interest rates and transfers from less attractive time deposits. Though the Bank has introduced some promotional strategies for shorter-term time deposits, Management did not aggressively pursue time deposits; rather, most of the efforts were targeted to attracting transactional, no-term core deposits such as NOW and money market accounts. Though non-interest bearing deposits increased modestly compared to December 31, 2005, the Bank continues to experience growth from its personal relationship customers. Prospectively, Management will continue to develop strategies and implement campaigns to attract this all-important customer base in order to grow this cost-lowering funding source.

The following table represents the major components of deposits as of September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005:

	Septen	ber 30, 2006		Dece		
	Amour	ıt	%	Amo	ount	%
Money market	\$	71,722,007	17.1	\$	51,583,473	13.6
NOW	74,510	,049	17.8	50,0	48,331	13.2
Savings and club	50,479	,486	12.0	46,4	34,150	12.3
Certificates of deposit less than \$100,000	89,227,484		21.3	84,8	14,047	22.3
Certificates of deposit of \$100,000 or						
more	62,241	,944	14.8	76,2	57,553	20.1
Total interest-bearing	348,18	0,970	83.0	309,	137,554	81.5
Non-interest bearing	71,548	,817	17.0	70,3	61,086	18.5
Total deposits	\$	419,729,787	100.0	\$	379,498,640	100.0

Borrowings

Borrowings are used as a complement to deposit generation as an alternative funding source whereby the Bank will borrow under customer repurchase agreements (REPOs) in the local market and advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh (FHLB) for asset growth and liquidity needs. Borrowings included \$65,590,000 and \$83,704,000 in long-term advances from the FHLB at September 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. Also included in borrowings were REPO agreements of \$21,811,000 and \$26,913,000, as of the respective periods. REPOs are non-insured interest-bearing liabilities that have a security interest in qualified investment securities of the Bank. REPOs offered are either fixed-term or a daily-sweep product of the Bank. The balance in customer REPOs can fluctuate daily because the daily-sweep product is dependent on the level of available funds in depositor accounts. In addition, short-term borrowings may include overnight balances which the Bank may require from time-to-time to fund daily liquidity needs. As of September 30, 2006, the Bank had no balance in this account compared to \$769,000 at December 31, 2005.

During the third quarter of 2006, the Bank refinanced a portion of its long-term debt, with the FHLB, in order to reduce interest expense. The repaid \$16,000,000 of convertible select 6.22% advances scheduled to mature in 2010. Simultaneously, the Bank entered into a new FHLB \$16,000,000 convertible select 5.26% advance with a scheduled maturity in 2016. The immediate effect of the 96 basis point decrease in rate was to reduce interest expense by approximately \$154,000 per annum.

Combined short- and long-term borrowings amounted to \$88,417,000 at September 30, 2006 compared to \$112,477,000 at December 31, 2005, or a decrease of \$24,060,000, or 21%. The decrease was the result of increased deposit generation that was used to repay FHLB advances during the second and third quarters of 2006.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Management of interest rate risk and market risk analysis

The Company is subject to the interest rate risks inherent in our lending, investing and financing activities. Fluctuations of interest rates will impact interest income and interest expense along with affecting market values of all interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, except for those assets or liabilities with a short-term remaining to maturity. Interest rate risk management is an integral part of the asset/liability management process. The Company has instituted certain procedures and policy guidelines to manage the interest rate risk position. Those internal policies enable the Company to react to changes in market rates to protect net interest income from significant fluctuations. The primary objective in managing interest rate risk is to minimize the adverse impact of changes in interest rates on net interest income along with creating an asset/liability structure that maximizes earnings.

Asset/Liability Management. One major objective of the Company when managing the rate sensitivity of its assets and liabilities is to stabilize net interest income. The management of and authority to assume interest rate risk is the responsibility of the Company s Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO), which is comprised of Senior Management and members of the Board of Directors. ALCO meets quarterly to monitor the relationship of interest sensitive assets to interest sensitive liabilities. The process to review interest rate risk is a regular part of managing the Company. Consistent policies and practices of measuring and reporting interest rate risk exposure, particularly regarding the treatment of non-contractual assets and liabilities, are in effect. In addition, there is an annual process to review the interest rate risk policy with the Board of Directors which includes limits on the impact to earnings from shifts in interest rates.

Interest Rate Risk Measurement. Interest rate risk is monitored through the use of three complementary measures: static gap analysis, earnings at risk simulation and economic value at risk simulation. While each of the interest rate risk measurements has limitations, taken together they represent a reasonably comprehensive view of the magnitude of interest rate risk in the Company and the distribution of risk along the yield curve, the level of risk through time and the amount of exposure to changes in certain interest rate relationships.

Static Gap. The ratio between assets and liabilities re-pricing in specific time intervals is referred to as an interest rate sensitivity gap. Interest rate sensitivity gaps can be managed to take advantage of the slope of the yield curve as well as forecasted changes in the level of interest rate changes.

To manage this interest rate sensitivity gap position, an asset/liability model called cumulative gap analysis is used to monitor the difference in the volume of the Company's interest sensitive assets and liabilities that mature or re-price within given periods. A positive gap (asset sensitive) indicates that more assets re-price during a given period compared to liabilities, while a negative gap (liability sensitive) has the opposite effect. The Company employs computerized net interest income simulation modeling to assist in quantifying interest rate risk exposure. This process measures and quantifies the impact on net interest income through varying interest rate changes and balance sheet compositions. The use of this model assists the ALCO to gauge the effects of the interest rate changes on interest sensitive assets and liabilities in order to determine what impact these rate changes will have upon the net interest spread. At September 30, 2006, the Company maintained a negative one-year cumulative gap of \$55,675,000 or -9.9% of total assets. The effect of this negative gap position provided a mismatch of assets and liabilities, which may expose the Bank to interest rate risk during a period of rising interest rates. Conversely, in a declining interest rate environment, net interest income could be positively impacted because more liabilities than assets will re-price downward during a one-year period.

Certain shortcomings are inherent in the method of analysis discussed above and presented in the next table. Although certain assets and liabilities may have similar maturities or periods of re-pricing, they may react in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. The interest rates on certain types of assets and liabilities may fluctuate in advance of changes in market interest rates, while interest rates on other types of asset and liabilities may lag behind changes in market interest rates. Certain assets, such as adjustable-rate mortgages, have features which restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. In the event of a change in interest rates, prepayment and early withdrawal levels may deviate significantly from those assumed in calculating the table. The ability of many borrowers to service their adjustable-rate debt may decrease in the event of an interest rate increase.

The following table illustrates the Company	s interest sensitivity gan r	position at September 30	0. 2006 (dollars in thousands

_

	3 months or less	3 through 12 months	1 through 3 years	Over 3 years	Total
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 10,616	\$	\$	\$ 10,217	\$ 20,833
Investment securities (1)(2)	15,725	9,232	23,581	56,462	105,000
Loans (2)	104,945	63,841	101,043	140,243	410,072
Fixed and other assets		8,105		18,830	26,935
Total assets	\$ 131,286	\$ 81,178	\$ 124,624	\$ 225,752	\$ 562,840
Total cumulative assets	\$ 131,286	\$ 212,464	\$ 337,088	\$ 562,840	
Non-interest bearing transaction deposits (3)	\$	\$ 7,154	\$ 19,675	\$ 44,720	\$ 71,549
Interest-bearing transaction deposits (3)	103,892		37,335	55,485	196,712
Time deposits	34,930	87,739	17,289	11,511	151,469
Repurchase agreements	21,643	169			21,812
Short-term borrowings	1,015				1,015
Long-term debt	5,825	5,772	993	53,000	65,590
Other liabilities				3,731	3,731
Total liabilities	\$ 167,305	\$ 100,834	\$ 75,292	\$ 168,447	\$ 511,878
Total cumulative liabilities	\$ 167,305	\$ 268,139	\$ 343,431	\$ 511,878	
Interest sensitivity gap	\$ (36,019)	\$ (19,656)	\$ 49,332	\$ 57,305	
Cumulative gap	\$ (36,019)	\$ (55,675)	\$ (6,343)	\$ 50,962	
					-
Cumulative gap to total assets	-6.40	-9.89 %	5 -1.13	% 9.05	%

⁽¹⁾ Includes FHLB stock and the net unrealized gains/losses on securities AFS.

- (2) Investments and loans are included in the earlier of the period in which interest rates were next scheduled to adjust or the period in which they are due. In addition, loans are included in the periods in which they are scheduled to be repaid based on scheduled amortization. For amortizing loans and mortgage-backed securities, annual prepayment rates are assumed reflecting historical experience as well as Management sknowledge and experience of its loan products.
- (3) The Bank's demand and savings accounts are generally subject to immediate withdrawal. However, Management considers a certain amount of such accounts to be core accounts having significantly longer effective maturities based on the retention experiences of such deposits in changing interest rate environments. The effective maturities presented are the recommended maturity distribution limits for non-maturing deposits based on historical deposit studies.

Earnings at Risk and Economic Value at Risk Simulations. The Company recognizes that more sophisticated tools exist for measuring the interest rate risk in the balance sheet beyond static re-pricing gap analysis. Although it will continue to measure its re-pricing gap position, the Company utilizes additional modeling for identifying and measuring the interest rate risk in the overall balance sheet. The ALCO is responsible for focusing on earnings at risk and economic value at risk, and how both relate to the risk-based capital position when analyzing the interest rate risk.

Earnings at Risk. Earnings at risk simulation measures the change in net interest income and net income should interest rates rise and fall. The simulation recognizes that not all assets and liabilities re-price one-for-one with market rates (e.g., savings rate). The ALCO looks at earnings at risk to determine income changes from a base case scenario under an increase and decrease of 200 basis points in interest rate simulation models.

Economic Value at Risk. Earnings at risk simulation measures the short-term risk in the balance sheet. Economic value (or portfolio equity) at risk measures the long-term risk by finding the net present value of the future cash flows from the Company s existing assets and liabilities. The ALCO examines this ratio quarterly utilizing an increase and decrease of 200 basis points in interest rates simulation model. The ALCO recognizes that, in some instances, this ratio may contradict the earnings at risk ratio.

The following table illustrates the simulated impact of 200 basis points upward or downward movement in interest rates on net interest income, net income and the change in economic value (portfolio equity). This analysis assumed that interest-earning asset and interest-bearing liability levels at September 30, 2006 remained constant. The impact of the rate movements was developed by simulating the effect of rates changing over a twelve-month period from the September 30, 2006 levels:

	Rates +200	Rates -200
Earnings at risk:		
Percent change in:		
Net interest income	(5.4)% 2.2 %
Net income	(16.6) 6.3
Economic value at risk:		
Percent change in:		
Economic value of equity	(23.9) 5.4
Economic value of equity as a percent of book assets	(2.6) 0.6

Economic value has the most meaning when viewed within the context of risk-based capital. Therefore, the economic value may normally change beyond the Company s policy guideline for a short period of time as long as the risk-based capital ratio (after adjusting for the excess equity exposure) is greater than 10%. At September 30, 2006, the Company s risk-based capital ratio was 13.7%.

The table below summarizes estimated changes in net interest income over a twelve-month period beginning October 1, 2006, under alternate interest rate scenarios using the income simulation model described above (dollars in thousands):

Change in interest rates	Net interest income	Dollar variance	Percent variance
+200 basis points	\$ 17,073	\$ (978) (5.4)%
+100 basis points	17,588	(463) (2.6
Flat rate	18,051		
-100 basis points	18,442	391	2.2
-200 basis points	18,443	392	2.2

Simulation models require assumptions about certain categories of assets and liabilities. The models schedule existing assets and liabilities by their contractual maturity, estimated likely call date or earliest re-pricing opportunity. Mortgage-backed securities and amortizing loans are scheduled based on their anticipated cash flow including estimated prepayments. For investment securities, we use a third party service to provide cash flow estimates in the various rate environments. Savings accounts, including passbook, statement savings, money market and interest checking accounts do not have a stated maturity or re-pricing term and can be withdrawn or re-priced at any time. This may impact the margin if more expensive alternative sources of deposits are required to fund loans or deposit run-off. Management projects the re-pricing characteristics of these accounts based on historical performance and assumptions that it believes reflect their rate sensitivity. The consulting model reinvests all maturities, repayments and prepayments for each type of asset or liability into the same product for a new like-term at current rates provided my Management. As a result, the mix of interest-earning assets and interest bearing-liabilities is held constant.

Derivative Financial Instruments. As part of the Bank s overall interest rate risk management strategy, the Company has adopted a policy whereby the Bank may periodically use derivative instruments to minimize significant fluctuations in earnings caused by interest rate volatility. This interest rate risk management strategy entails the use of interest rate floors, caps and swaps. In October 2006, the Bank entered into an interest rate floor derivative agreement on \$20,000,000 notional value of its prime-based loan portfolio. The purpose of the hedge is to help protect the Bank s interest income in the event interest rates decline below a pre-determined contractual interest rate. The strategy is reflected in the scenarios for earnings and economic value at risk and the net interest income in the two immediately preceding tables.

Liquidity

Liquidity management ensures that adequate funds will be available to meet customers needs for borrowings, deposit withdrawals and maturities and normal operating expenses of the Bank. Current sources of liquidity are cash and cash equivalents, asset maturities and pay downs within one year, loans and investments AFS, growth of core deposits, growth of repurchase agreements, available fed fund lines and other borrowed funds from correspondent banks and issuance of capital stock. Although regularly scheduled investment and loan payments are dependable sources of daily funds, the sales of both loans and investments AFS, deposit activity, and investment and loan prepayments are significantly influenced by general economic conditions and the level of interest rates.

As of September 30, 2006, the Company maintained \$12,833,000 in cash and cash equivalents, \$100,020,000 of investments AFS and \$421,000 of loans AFS. In addition, as of September 30, 2006, the Company had approximately \$130,788,000 available to borrow from the FHLB and \$10,000,000 available from another correspondent Bank. This combined total of \$254,062,000 represented 45% of total assets at September 30, 2006. Management believes this level of liquidity to be strong and adequate to support current operations.

Capital

Shareholders equity increased from net income, stock issuance from the Company s various sponsored stock plans partially offset by an increase in the unrealized loss on AFS securities and the declaration of cash dividends. The decline in the market value of securities AFS is due to the predominant increasing interest rate environment which largely began in 2004. The Company is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possible additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company s assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company s capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk-weightings and other factors.

Under these guidelines, assets and certain off-balance sheet items are assigned to broad risk categories, each with appropriate weights. The resulting capital ratios represent capital as a percentage of total risk-weighted assets and certain off-balance sheet items. The guidelines require all banks and bank holding companies to maintain a minimum ratio of total risk-based capital to total risk-weighted assets (Total Risk Adjusted Capital) of 8%, including Tier I capital to total risk-weighted assets (Tier I Capital) of 4% and Tier I capital to average total assets (Leverage Ratio) of at least 4%.

As of September 30, 2006, the Company met all capital adequacy requirements to which it was subject. The following table depicts the capital amounts and ratios of the Company and the Bank as of September 30, 2006:

	tual tount	For capital adequacy purposes Ratio Amount		Ratio	Ratio			
Total capital								
(to risk-weighted assets)								
Consolidated	\$ 57,382,652	13.74 %	≥ \$	33,406,107	≥ 8.00 % ≥	N/A		≥ N/A
Bank	\$ 57,077,057	13.67 %	≥ \$	33,400,372	≥ 8.00 % ≥	\$	41,750,465	≥ 10.00 %
Tier I capital								
(to risk-weighted assets)								
Consolidated	\$ 52,073,739	12.47 %	≥ \$	16,703,053	≥ 4.00 % ≥	N/A		≥ N/A
Bank	\$ 51,843,829	12.42 %	≥ \$	16,700,186	≥ 4.00 % ≥	\$	25,050,279	≥ 6.00 %
Tier I capital								
(to average assets)								
Consolidated	\$ 52,073,739	9.21 %	≥ \$	22,608,975	≥ 4.00 % ≥	N/A		≥ N/A
Bank	\$ 51,843,829	9.18 %	≥ \$	22,593,881	≥ 4.00 % ≥	\$	28,242,351	≥ 5.00 %

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Based upon their evaluation of those controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers of the Company concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were adequate.

The Company assesses the adequacy of its internal control over financial reporting quarterly and enhances its controls in response to internal control assessments and internal and external audit and regulatory recommendations. Based on this assessment, the Company s Management concluded that there have been no changes in the Company s internal controls or in other factors that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II - Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The nature of the Company s business generates some litigation involving matters arising in the ordinary course of business. However, in the opinion of the Company, after consulting with legal counsel, no legal proceedings are pending, which, if determined adversely to the Company or the Bank, would have a material effect on the Company s undivided profits or financial condition. No legal proceedings are pending other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the business of the Company and the Bank. In addition, to Management s knowledge, no governmental authorities have initiated or contemplated any material legal actions against the Company or the Bank.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Management of the Company does not believe there have been any material changes in the risk factors that were disclosed in the Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 28, 2006.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Item 3. Default Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits

- *Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Registrant.* Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i) to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-90273 on Form S-4/A, filed with the SEC on November 3, 1999 and as amended on April 6, 2000.
- *Bylaws of Registrant.* Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(ii) to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-90273 on Form S-4/A, filed with the SEC on November 3, 1999 and as amended on April 6, 2000.

- 10.1 1998 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan of The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, as assumed by Registrant. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-90273 on Form S-4/A, filed with the SEC on November 3, 1999 and as amended on April 6, 2000.
- 10.2 1998 Stock Incentive Plan of The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, as assumed by Registrant. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-90273 on Form S-4/A, filed with the SEC on November 3, 1999 and as amended on April 6, 2000.
- 10.3 Form of Deferred Compensation Plan of The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Registrant s Registration Statement No.333-45668 on Form S-1/A, filed with

the SEC on September 12, 2000 and as amended on October 11, 2000.

- *Registrant s* 2000 Dividend Reinvestment Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-45668 on Form S-1/A, filed with the SEC on September 12, 2000 and as amended by Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 on October 11, 2000, by Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 on May 30, 2001 and by Post-Effective Amendment No 2 on July 7, 2005.
- 10.5 Registrant s 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-64356 on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on July 2, 2001.
- 10.6 Registrant s 2000 Stock Incentive Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-64356 on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on July 2, 2001.
- 10.7 Registrant s 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-113339 on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on March 5, 2004.
- 10.8 Employment Agreement between Registrant, The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank and Steven C. Ackmann, dated June 21, 2004. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 24, 2004.
- 10.9 Complete Settlement Agreement and General Release between Michael F. Marranca, Registrant and The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, dated July30, 2004. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 10, 2004.
- 10.10 Change of Control and Severance Agreement between James T. Gorman, Registrant and The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, dated September 19, 2005. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 22, 2005.
- 10.11 Amendment and Termination of the Deferred Compensation Agreement with Joseph J. Earyes, Daniel Santaniello, Registrant and The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, dated November 1, 2005. Incorporated by reference to Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 3, 2005.
- Amendment to the Complete Settlement Agreement and General Release between Michael F. Marranca, Registrant and The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, dated November 4, 2005. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 9, 2005.
- 10.13 Change of Control Agreements with Daniel J. Santaniello, Salvatore R. DeFrancesco, James T. Gorman, Registrant and The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, dated March 21, 2006. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1, 99.2 and 99.3, respectively, to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 27, 2006.
- 11 Statement regarding computation of earnings per share. Included herein in Note 2 Earnings per Share, contained within the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, and incorporated herein by reference.
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer, filed herewith.

- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer, filed herewith.
- 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.
- 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, filed herewith.

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FIDELITY D & D BANCORP, INC.

Date: November 7, 2006 /s/ Steven C. Ackmann

Steven C. Ackmann,

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: November 7, 2006 /s/ Salvatore R. DeFrancesco, Jr.

Salvatore R. DeFrancesco, Jr.,

Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT INDEX

	Page
<i>3(i)</i> Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Registrant. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(i) to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-90273 on Form S-4/A, filed with the SEC on November 3, 1999 and as amended on April 6, 2000.	*
3(ii) Bylaws of Registrant. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 (ii) to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-90273 on Form S-4/A, filed with the SEC on November 3, 1999 and as amended on April 6, 2000.	*
10.1 1998 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan of The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, as assumed by Registrant. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-90273 on Form S-4/A, filed with the SEC on November 3, 1999 and as amended on April 6, 2000.	*
10.2 1998 Stock Incentive Plan of The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, as assumed by Registrant. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-90273 on Form S-4/A, filed with the SEC on Nov. 3, 1999 and as amended on April 6, 2000.	*
10.3 Form of Deferred Compensation Plan of The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-45668 on Form S-1/A, filed with the SEC on September 12, 2000 and as amended on October 11, 2000.	*
10.4 Registrant s 2000 Dividend Reinvestment Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4 to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-45668 on Form S-1/A, filed with the SEC on September 12, 2000 and as amended by Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 on October 11, 2000, by Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 on May 30, 2001 and by Post-Effective Amendment No 2 on July 7, 2005.	*
10.5 Registrant s 2000 Independent Directors Stock Option Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-64356 on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on July 2, 2001.	*
10.6 Registrant s 2000 Stock Incentive Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-64356 on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on July 2, 2001.	*
10.7 Registrant s 2002 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Registrant s Registration Statement No. 333-113339 on Form S-8 filed with the SEC on March 5, 2004.	*
10.8 Employment Agreement between Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc., The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank and Steven C. Ackmann, dated June 21, 2004. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 24, 2004.	*
10.9 Complete Settlement Agreement and General Release between Michael F. Marranca, Registrant and The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, dated July 30, 2004. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 10, 2004.	*
10.10 Change of Control and Severance Agreement between James T. Gorman, Registrant and the Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, dated September 19, 2005. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on September 22, 2005.	*

10.11 Amendment and Termination of the Deferred Compensation Agreement with Joseph J. Earyes, Daniel Santaniello, Registrant and The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, dated November 1, 2005. Incorporated by reference to Exhibits 99.1 and 99.2 to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 3, 2005.

Page

10.12 Amendment to the Complete Settlement Agreement and General Release between Michael F. Marranca, Registrant and The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, dated November 4, 2005. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to	*
Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on November 9, 2005.	
10.13 Change of Control Agreements with Daniel J. Santaniello, Salvatore R. DeFrancesco, James T. Gorman, Registrant and The Fidelity Deposit and Discount Bank, dated March 21, 2006. Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1, 99.2 and 99.3, respectively, to Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 27, 2006.	*
11 Statement regarding computation of earnings per share. Included herein.	8
31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer.	33
31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer.	34
31	

32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

35

32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

36

* Incorporated by Reference