FIRST MARINER BANCORP Form 10-K March 14, 2008

Use these links to rapidly review the document

FIRST MARINER BANCORP Annual Report on Form 10-K December 31, 2007 TABLE OF CONTENTS

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C.

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ý ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

or

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from Commission file number 0-21815

FIRST MARINER BANCORP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland

(State of incorporation)

52-1834860

(IRS Employer Identification Number)

1501 S. Clinton Street, Baltimore, MD

(Address of principal executive offices)

21224

(zip code)

410-342-2600

(Telephone number) Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on which registered

Common Stock, par value \$0.05 per share The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC Securities registered under Section 12 (g) of the Exchange Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No ý

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No ý

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such report, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer ý Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No ý

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter was approximately \$65.013 million. Shares of Common Stock owned by each executive officer and directors have not been included as such persons are deemed to be affiliates.

The number of shares of	of common stock	outstanding as	of March 3.	. 2008 is 6.351	.611 shares.

Documents incorporated by reference:

Proxy Statement Part III.

FIRST MARINER BANCORP

Annual Report on Form 10-K December 31, 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
PART I		
Item 1	Business	3
Item 1A	Risk Factors	15
Item 1B	Unresolved Staff Comments	21
Item 2	Properties	21
Item 3	Legal Proceedings	25
Item 4	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	25
Item 4A	Executive Officers of the Registrant	25
DADEH		
PART II Item 5	Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity	
Item 5	Securities	26
Item 6	Selected Financial Data	29
Item 7	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	30
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	62
Item 8	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	63
Item 9	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosures	104
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures	104
Item 9B	Other Information	107
PART III		
Item 10	Directors and Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	107
Item 11	Executive Compensation	107
Item 12	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	107
Item 13	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence	108
Item 14	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	108
PART IV		
Item 15	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	109

PART I

ITEM 1 BUSINESS

General

First Mariner Bancorp ("First Mariner," on a parent only basis, and "the Company," "we," "our," or "us," on a consolidated basis) is a bank holding company whose business is conducted primarily through its wholly owned operating subsidiaries: First Mariner Bank (the "Bank"), Mariner Finance, LLC ("Mariner Finance"), and FM Appraisals, LLC ("FM Appraisals"). We were formed in 1995 and have total assets in excess of \$1.246 billion as of December 31, 2007. Our executive offices are located in the Canton area of Baltimore City at 1501 South Clinton Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21224. Our telephone number is (410) 342-2600. We maintain internet sites located at www.1stmarinerbank.com, www.1stmarinerbancorp.com, www.1stmarinerbance.com.

The Bank is our largest operating subsidiary with assets exceeding \$1.133 billion as of December 31, 2007. The Bank was formed in 1995 through the merger of several small financial institutions. The Bank's primary market area for its core banking operations, which consist of traditional commercial and consumer lending, as well as retail and commercial deposit operations, is central Maryland as well as portions of Maryland's eastern shore. First Mariner Bank is an independent community bank, and its deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC").

The Bank is engaged in the general commercial banking business, with particular attention and emphasis on the needs of individuals and small to mid-sized businesses, and delivers a wide range of financial products and services that are offered by many larger competitors. Products and services include traditional deposit products, a variety of consumer and commercial loans, residential and commercial mortgage and construction loans, money transfer services, nondeposit investment products, and Internet banking and similar services. Most importantly, the Bank provides customers with access to local Bank officers who are empowered to act with flexibility to meet customers' needs in an effort to foster and develop long-term loan and deposit relationships.

First Mariner Mortgage, a division of the Bank, engages in mortgage-banking activities, providing mortgages and associated products to customers and selling most of those mortgages into the secondary market. The sourcing of loans for First Mariner Mortgage is through a retail delivery channel comprised of retail loan offices and Bank branches. During 2006, First Mariner Mortgage originated loans through both its retail delivery channel and its wholesale division. The wholesale division sourced loans through relationships with mortgage brokers. First Mariner Mortgage expanded its secondary marketing activities significantly during 2006 by accumulating and selling groups of loans and began hedging the interest rate risk associated with mortgage-banking through the use of forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars. During 2007, such activity decreased significantly due to decreased loan originations and we returned to managing interest rate risk through the use of best efforts delivery commitments with our investors on a loan by loan basis. Our last bulk sale was done during the second quarter of 2007. During the third quarter of 2007, we closed the wholesale lending operations of First Mariner Mortgage. First Mariner Mortgage currently operates offices in Maryland, Delaware, Connecticut, Massachusetts, and North Carolina. First Mariner Mortgage originated \$965.865 million in loans in 2007.

Next Generation Financial Services ("NGFS"), a division of the Bank, engages in the origination of reverse and conventional mortgages, providing these products directly through commission based loan officers throughout the United States. NGFS originates reverse mortgages for sale to Fannie Mae and other private investors. The Bank does not originate any reverse mortgages for its portfolio and currently sells all of its originations into the secondary market. The Bank retains the servicing rights on

reverse mortgages sold to Fannie Mae. NGFS is one of the largest originators of reverse mortgages in the United States.

Mariner Finance engages in traditional consumer finance activities, making small direct cash loans to individuals, the purchase of installment loan sales contracts from local merchants and retail dealers of consumer goods, and loans to individuals via direct mail solicitations, as well as a relatively low volume of mortgage loans. Mariner Finance currently operates branches in Maryland, Virginia, New Jersey, Tennessee, and Delaware. Mariner Finance had total assets of \$80.312 million as of December 31, 2007. A substantial majority of those assets are comprised of loans to customer in Maryland and Delaware.

FM Appraisals is a residential real estate appraisal preparation and management company that is headquartered in Baltimore City. FM Appraisals offers appraisal services for residential real estate lenders, including appraisal preparation, the compliance oversight of sub-contracted appraisers, appraisal ordering and administration, and appraisal review services. FM Appraisals provides these services to First Mariner Mortgage.

We do not conduct any foreign operations.

Our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports are available, free of charge, in the investor relations section of our Internet site at www.1stmarinerbancorp.com as soon as reasonably practicable after we have filed them with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The information on the websites listed above is not and should not be considered part of this annual report on Form 10-K and is not incorporated by reference in this document.

Our Business Strategy

We are currently focused on growing assets and earnings by capitalizing on the broad network of Bank branches, mortgage offices, consumer finance offices, and ATMs that we established during our original infrastructure expansion phase.

To continue asset growth and profitability, our marketing strategy is targeted to:

Capitalize on our personal relationship approach that we believe differentiates us from our larger competitors;

Provide our customers with access to local executives who make key credit and other decisions;

Pursue commercial lending opportunities with small to mid-sized businesses that are underserved by our larger competitors;

Develop innovative financial products and services to generate additional sources of revenue;

Cross-sell our products and services to our existing customers to leverage relationships and enhance our profitability;

Review our branch performance to evaluate possible consolidations or relocations that may increase our efficiency; and

Adhere to rigorous credit standards to maintain good quality assets as we implement our growth strategy.

Financial Services We Provide

Commercial Banking. Our commercial loan unit focuses on loan originations from small and mid-sized businesses (generally up to \$20.0 million in annual sales) and such loans are usually accompanied by significant related deposits. Our commercial loan products include commercial

mortgage loans for the purchase or refinance of commercial properties; residential and commercial real estate construction and development loans; working capital loans and lines of credit; demand, term and time loans; and equipment, inventory and accounts receivable financing. We also offer an array of cash management services and deposit products to our commercial customers. Computerized on-line banking and remote deposit are currently available to our commercial customers.

Retail Banking. Our retail banking activities emphasize consumer deposit and checking accounts. We offer an extensive range of services to meet the varied needs of our customers from young persons to senior citizens. In addition to traditional products and services, we offer contemporary products and services, such as debit cards, mutual funds, annuities, insurance products, Internet banking, and electronic bill payment services. Our consumer loan products include home equity lines of credit, fixed rate second mortgages, new and used auto loans, new and used boat loans, overdraft protection, and unsecured personal credit lines.

Mortgage-Banking. Our mortgage-banking business is structured to provide a source of fee income largely from the process of originating residential mortgage loans for sale on the secondary market, as well as the origination of loans to be held in our loan portfolio. Mortgage-banking products include Federal Housing Administration ("FHA") and the federal Veterans Administration ("VA") loans, conventional and nonconforming first and second mortgages, reverse mortgages, and construction and permanent financing.

Community Reinvestment Act. We have a strong commitment to our responsibilities under the federal Community Reinvestment Act (the "CRA") and actively search for opportunities to meet the development needs of all members of the communities we serve, including persons of low to moderate income in a manner consistent with safe and sound banking practices. We currently fulfill this commitment by participating in loan programs sponsored or guaranteed by the FHA, the VA, the federally funded American Dream Downpayment Initiative, the Maryland Mortgage Program (CDA), the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta Closing Cost Assistance Program, the Section 8 to Home-Ownership Program and the Settlement Expense Loan Program.

Consumer Finance. We offer a wide variety of consumer finance products through Mariner Finance, which is focused on building market share by offering competitive products and services, delivered by experienced personnel who provide responsive service. Loan sizes are generally smaller than those originated by the Bank (approximately \$2,800). Mariner Finance currently serves approximately 27,000 customers in Maryland, Delaware, Virginia, New Jersey, and Tennessee.

Our Lending Activities

Loan Portfolio Composition. At December 31, 2007, our loan portfolio totaled \$854.920 million, representing approximately 68.6% of our total assets of \$1.247 billion. Our loans are generally secured by residential and commercial real estate, and over 79% of our total loans as of December 31, 2007 were secured by real estate. The majority of our lending activity is in the Mid-Atlantic region.

Real Estate Development and Construction Loans. We provide interim real estate acquisition development, and construction loans to builders, developers, and persons who will ultimately occupy their single-family dwellings. These loans are made within the Federal regulatory guidelines for maximum loan to value ratios. Generally, residential construction loans are made for up to 85% of the appraised value of the property, taking into consideration private mortgage insurance. Commercial real estate construction loans are generally made for 80% or less of the appraised value of the property. Development loans, made to improve raw land into lots on which structures may be built, are generally made for 75% or less of the appraised value of the property. Our real estate development and construction loan funds are disbursed periodically at pre-specified stages of completion. We carefully monitor these loans with on-site inspections and control of disbursements. Our real estate development

and construction loans are typical debt obligations of the borrowers and do not provide for our participation in residual profits or losses of the projects or involve equity positions through partnerships, joint ventures, or other similar structures.

Loans we provide to individuals for the construction of their primary residences are typically secured by the property under construction, frequently include additional collateral (such as second mortgage on the borrower's present home), and commonly have maturities of 9 to 12 months.

Loans provided by us to residential builders for the construction of residential homes require binding sales contracts on the property and the prospective buyers have been pre-qualified for permanent mortgage financing. Development loans are made only to developers with a proven track record. Generally, these loans are extended only when the borrower provides evidence that the lots under development will be sold to builders satisfactory to us.

We secure development and construction loans with the properties under development or construction and we typically obtain personal guarantees from the principals. Further, to assure that we do not place reliance solely in the value of the underlying property, we consider the financial condition and reputation of the borrower and any guarantors, the amount of the borrowers' equity in the project, independent appraisals, costs estimates, and pre-construction sale information.

Residential Real Estate Mortgage Loans. We originate adjustable- and fixed-rate residential mortgage loans, including reverse mortgages. Our mortgage loans are generally originated under terms, conditions and documentation acceptable to the secondary mortgage market. With the current exception of reverse mortgages, we will place some of these loans into our portfolio, although the vast majority are ultimately sold to investors.

Commercial Real Estate Mortgage Loans. We originate mortgage loans secured by commercial real estate. These loans are primarily secured by office buildings, retail buildings, warehouses, and general-purpose business space. Although terms may vary, our commercial mortgages generally have maturities of ten years or less. It is our general policy to obtain personal guarantees from the principals of the borrowers and assignments of all leases related to the collateral.

Commercial Loans. We originate a variety of loans for business purposes. Less than one percent of all our commercial loans are unsecured. We make loans to provide working capital to businesses in the form of lines of credit, which may be secured by real estate, accounts receivable, inventory, equipment or other assets. The financial condition and cash flow of our commercial borrowers are closely monitored by the submission of corporate financial statements, personal financial statements and income tax returns. The frequency of submissions of required financial information depends on the size and complexity of the credit and the collateral that secures our loan. It is our general policy to obtain personal guarantees from the principals of our commercial loan borrowers.

Consumer Loans. The Bank and Mariner Finance offer a variety of consumer loans. Consumer loans originated by the Bank are typically secured by residential real estate or personal property, including automobiles and boats. Our home equity loans (closed-end and lines of credit) are typically made up to 80% of the appraised value, less the amount of any existing prior liens on the property and generally have maximum terms of 10 years. We do offer home equity products with loan to value ratios of up to 100%, and mitigate our risk of loss on higher loan to value products with private mortgage insurance. The interest rates on our closed-end home equity loans are generally fixed, while interest rates on our home equity lines of credit are variable. Consumer finance products offered through Mariner Finance include loans for the purchase of consumer goods, direct cash lending, loans for seasonal purposes, home improvement loans, first mortgage loans, closed-end second mortgages, and loans originated though direct mail solicitation. Loans made by Mariner Finance are generally for terms less than five years, carry a fixed rate of interest, and are generally secured by consumer goods,

including automobiles. Mariner Finance also originates a limited number of closed-end home equity loans.

See Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" for the year ended December 31, 2007 for more detailed information concerning our loan portfolio, the individual portfolio types, and their effect on 2007 operations.

Our Credit Administration Process

Our lending activities are subject to written policies approved by our Board of Directors to ensure proper management of credit risk. We make loans that are subject to a well defined credit process that includes credit evaluation of borrowers, risk-rating of credits, establishment of lending limits and application of lending procedures, including the holding of adequate collateral and the maintenance of compensating balances, as well as procedures for on-going identification and management of credit deterioration. We conduct regular portfolio reviews to identify potential under-performing credits, estimate loss exposure, geographic and industry concentrations, and to ascertain compliance with our policies. For significant problem loans, we review and evaluate the financial strengths of our borrower and the guarantor, the related collateral and the effects of economic conditions.

Commercial and mortgage loan officers have no individual lending authority. Our loan committee of the Board of Directors is authorized to approve loans up to our banking subsidiary's legal lending limit, which approximates \$14.5 million as of December 31, 2007. We have established an in-house limit of \$5.0 million, which is reviewed periodically by the Board of Directors, and do have loans to a limited number of customers in excess of that amount.

We generally do not make loans to be held in our loan portfolio outside our market area unless the borrower has an established relationship with us and conducts its principal business operations within our market area. Consequently, we and our borrowers are affected by the economic conditions prevailing in our market area. Approximately 77% of our residential real estate development and construction loan portfolio consisted of loans to Maryland customers; an additional 20% consisted of loans to customers in the surrounding states and the District of Columbia; and 3% consisted of loans to customers in other states in the country. Approximately 84% of our commercial loan portfolio (commercial, commercial real estate, and commercial construction) consisted of loans to Maryland customers with an additional 14% consisting of loans to customers in the surrounding states and the District of Columbia. Commercial and commercial real estate loans to customers in other states in the country amounted to approximately 2% of our portfolio.

Mariner Finance's lending activities are subject to written policies approved by our Board of Directors. These loans are subject to a well-defined credit process that includes a credit evaluation of the borrower and the adequacy of available collateral. Mariner Finance's loan policy provides various levels of individual lending authority. Mariner Finance purchases installment sales contracts from dealers applying the same criteria. Dealers are subject to pre-approval due diligence and must have a proven track record with management. The majority of Mariner Finance's loans are to customers in Maryland (78.7%) and Delaware (18.7%), with an increasing volume in Virginia, New Jersey, and Tennessee.

Market

We consider our core market area to be the communities within the Baltimore/Washington corridor, including the eastern shore of Maryland and particularly Baltimore City and the counties of Baltimore, Anne Arundel, Harford, and Howard. Lending activities are broader and include areas outside of our core market area such as other Maryland counties, the District of Columbia and certain markets in contiguous states, as well as certain regional and national markets.

Our Competition

Banking and consumer finance. We operate in a highly competitive environment, competing for deposits and loans with commercial banks, thrifts, credit unions, mortgage companies, finance companies, Internet-based financial companies, and other financial entities. Our principal competitors include other community commercial banks and larger financial institutions with branches in our market area. Numerous mergers and consolidations involving financial entities in our market area have occurred in recent years, requiring us to compete with banks and finance companies with greater resources.

The primary factors we face in competing for deposits are interest rates, personalized service, the quality and range of financial services, convenience of office locations, and office hours. Competition for deposits comes primarily from other commercial banks, savings associations, credit unions, money market funds, Internet based banks, and other investment alternatives. The primary factors in competing for loans are interest rates, loan origination fees, the quality and range of lending services, responsiveness, and personalized service. Competition for loans comes primarily from other commercial banks, savings associations, mortgage-banking firms, credit unions, finance companies, and other financial intermediaries. Many of the financial institutions operating in our market area offer certain services such as trust and international banking, which we do not offer, and have greater financial resources or have substantially higher lending limits.

To compete with other financial services providers, we principally rely upon local promotional activities, personal relationships established by our officers, directors and employees with our customers, and specialized services tailored to meet our customers' needs. In those instances where we are unable to accommodate a customer's needs, we will arrange for those services to be provided by other financial institutions with which we have a relationship.

Current banking laws facilitate interstate branching and merger activity among banks. This may result in an even greater degree of competition in the banking industry and we may be brought into competition with institutions with which we do not currently compete. As a result, intense competition in our market area may be expected to continue for the foreseeable future.

Mortgage-banking. Our mortgage-banking division also operates in an extremely competitive environment. In addition to competing with mortgage-banking divisions of other financial institutions, we compete with mortgage banking firms that are not under the same level of regulation as we are. Without similar regulatory constraints, these lesser regulated firms can be more responsive to customers. Additionally, competition in the mortgage-banking industry comes from the continuing evolution of the secondary mortgage market, the proliferation of mortgage products, increasing interest rate volatility, compounded by homeowners' increasing tendency to refinance their mortgages as the refinance process becomes more efficient and cost effective. These swings in mortgage origination volume have placed significant operational and financial pressures on mortgage lenders.

To compete effectively in this environment, we maintain a very high level of operational, technological, and managerial expertise, consistently offer a wide selection of mortgage loans through all marketing channels on a regional scale, provide high-quality service, and price our mortgage loans at competitive rates.

See Item 7 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Note 18 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" in this Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2007 for more detailed information concerning our mortgage-banking operations and its effect on our 2007 consolidated financial position and consolidated operations.

Supervision and Regulation

First Mariner and its subsidiaries are extensively regulated under federal and state law. Generally, these laws and regulations are intended to protect depositors and loan customers, not stockholders. The following is a summary description of certain provisions of certain laws that affect the regulation of bank holding companies, finance companies, and banks. The discussion is qualified in its entirety by reference to applicable laws and regulations. Changes in such laws and regulations may have a material effect on the business and prospects of First Mariner and its subsidiaries.

Federal Bank Holding Company Regulation and Structure. First Mariner is a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended, and as such, it is subject to regulation, supervision, and examination by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("Federal Reserve" or "FRB"). First Mariner is required to file annual and quarterly reports with the Federal Reserve and to provide the Federal Reserve with such additional information as the Federal Reserve may require. The Federal Reserve may conduct examinations of First Mariner and its subsidiaries.

With certain limited exceptions, First Mariner is required to obtain prior approval from the Federal Reserve before acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of any voting securities or substantially all of the assets of a bank or bank holding company, or before merging or consolidating with another bank holding company. In acting on applications for such approval, the Federal Reserve must consider various statutory factors, including among others, the effect of the proposed transaction on competition in the relevant geographical and product markets, each party's financial condition and management resources and record of performance under the CRA. Additionally, with certain exceptions any person proposing to acquire control through direct or indirect ownership of 25% or more of any voting securities of First Mariner is required to give 60 days written notice of the acquisition to the Federal Reserve, which may prohibit the transaction, and to publish notice to the public.

With prior approval of the Federal Reserve, First Mariner may acquire more than 5% of the assets or outstanding shares of a company engaging in nonbank activities determined by the Federal Reserve to be closely related to the business of banking or of managing or controlling banks. Under current Federal Reserve regulations, such permissible nonbank activities include mortgage-banking, equipment leasing, securities brokerage, and consumer and commercial finance company operations.

First Mariner's subsidiary bank is subject to certain quantitative and qualitative restrictions on extensions of credit to the bank holding company or its subsidiaries, investments in its securities, and the use of its securities as collateral for loans to any borrower. These regulations and restrictions may limit the ability to obtain funds from First Mariner's subsidiary bank for its cash needs including funds for the payment of dividends, interest and operating expenses. Further, a bank holding company and its subsidiaries are prohibited from engaging in certain tie-in arrangements in connection with any extension of credit, lease or sale of property, or furnishing of services. For example, a bank may not generally require a customer to obtain other services from itself or its affiliates, and may not require that a customer promise not to obtain other services from a competitor as a condition to an extension of credit to the customer. The Federal Reserve has ended the anti-tying rules for financial holding companies and their non-banking subsidiaries. Such rules were retained for bank holding companies and banks.

Under Federal Reserve policy, a bank holding company is expected to act as a source of financial strength to its subsidiary banks and to make capital injections into a troubled subsidiary bank, and the Federal Reserve may charge the bank holding company with engaging in unsafe and unsound practices for failure to commit resources to a subsidiary bank when required. A required capital injection may be called for at a time when the holding company does not have the resources to provide it. In addition, depository institutions insured by the FDIC can be held liable for any losses incurred by, or reasonably anticipated to be incurred by, the FDIC in connection with the default of, or assistance provided to, a commonly controlled FDIC-insured depository institution. Accordingly, in the event that any insured subsidiary of First Mariner causes a loss to the FDIC, other insured subsidiaries could be required to compensate the FDIC by reimbursing it for the estimated amount of such loss. Such cross guaranty liabilities generally are superior in priority to the obligations of the depository institution to its stockholders due solely to their status as stockholders and obligations to other affiliates.

During 2007, we entered into an agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond ("FRB-Richmond") to submit plans to improve our operating performance, reduce parent company leverage, enhance our enterprise-wide risk management, and enhance the effectiveness of our internal audit program. We have also agreed to give prior notice to the FRB-Richmond of any potential transaction involving significant capital expenditure. Management believes it has taken actions to address these issues and these agreements do not restrict or impede our ability to conduct normal business.

State Bank Holding Company Regulation. As a Maryland bank holding company, First Mariner is subject to various restrictions on its activities as set forth in Maryland law, in addition to those restrictions set forth in federal law. Under Maryland law, a bank holding company that desires to acquire a bank or bank holding company that has its principal place of business in Maryland must obtain approval from the Maryland Commissioner of Financial Regulation. Also, a bank holding company and its Maryland chartered bank or trust company cannot directly or indirectly acquire banking or nonbanking subsidiaries or affiliates until the bank or trust company receives the approval of the Maryland Commissioner.

Federal and State Bank Regulation. First Mariner's banking subsidiary is a Maryland chartered trust company, with all the powers of a commercial bank regulated and examined by the Maryland Commissioner and the FDIC. The FDIC has extensive enforcement authority over the institutions it regulates to prohibit or correct activities that violate law, regulation or written agreement with the FDIC. Enforcement powers also regulate activities that are deemed to constitute unsafe or unsound practices. Enforcement actions may include the appointment of a conservator or receiver, the issuance of a cease and desist order, the termination of deposit insurance, the imposition of civil money penalties on the institution, its directors, officers, employees and institution-affiliated parties, the issuance of formal and informal agreements, the removal of or restrictions on directors, officers, employees, and institution-affiliated parties, and the enforcement of any such mechanisms through restraining orders or other court actions.

In its lending activities, the maximum legal rate of interest, fees, and charges that a financial institution may charge on a particular loan depends on a variety of factors such as the type of borrower, the purpose of the loan, the amount of the loan, and the date the loan is made. Other laws tie the maximum amount that may be loaned to any one customer and the related interest to a financial institution's capital levels. The Bank is also subject to certain restrictions on extensions of credit to executive officers, directors, principal stockholders, or any related interest of such persons, which generally require that such credit extensions be made on substantially the same terms as are available to third persons dealing with the Bank and not involve more than the normal risk of repayment.

The CRA requires that, in connection with the examination of financial institutions within their jurisdictions, the FDIC evaluate the record of the financial institution in meeting the credit needs of their communities, including low and moderate income neighborhoods and families, consistent with the safe and sound operation of those banks. These factors are also considered by all regulatory agencies in evaluating mergers, acquisitions, and applications to open a branch or facility. As of the date of its most recent examination report, the Bank has a CRA rating of "Satisfactory."

Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 ("FDICIA"), each federal banking agency is required to prescribe, by regulation, noncapital safety and soundness standards for institutions under its authority. The federal banking agencies, including the FDIC, have adopted standards covering internal controls, information and internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth, compensation, fees, and benefits. FDICIA also imposed new capital standards on insured depository institutions. Institutions that fail to meet those standards may be required by the agency to develop a plan acceptable to the agency, specifying the steps that the institution will take to meet the standards. Failure to submit or implement such a plan may subject the institution to regulatory sanctions. Management believes the Bank meets substantially all standards which have been adopted.

Before establishing new branch offices, the Bank must meet certain minimum capital stock and surplus requirements. With each new branch located outside the municipal area of the Bank's principal banking office, these minimal levels increase by \$120,000 to \$900,000, based on the population size of the municipal area in which the branch will be located. Prior to establishment of the branch, the Bank must obtain Commissioner and FDIC approval. If establishment of the branch involves the purchase of a bank building or furnishings, the total investment in bank buildings and furnishings cannot exceed, with certain exceptions, 50% of the Bank's unimpaired capital and surplus.

Financial Services Modernization. Effective in pertinent part on March 11, 2000, the federal Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act ("GLBA") revises the federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 and repeals the affiliation provisions of the federal Glass-Steagall Act of 1933, which, taken together, limited the securities, insurance, and other non-banking activities of any company that controls an FDIC insured financial institution. Under the GLBA, bank holding companies can elect, subject to certain qualifications, to become a "financial holding company." The GLBA provides that a financial holding company may engage in a full range of financial activities, including insurance and securities sales and underwriting activities, real estate development, and, with certain exceptions, merchant banking activities, with new expedited notice procedures. The GLBA also permits certain qualified national banks to form "financial subsidiaries," which have broad authority to engage in all financial activities except insurance underwriting, insurance investments, real estate investment or development, and merchant banking, and expands the potential activities of subsidiaries of state banks, subject to applicable state law. The GLBA may increase the competition we encounter. First Mariner made an election to become a financial holding company in 2002, but withdrew that election in October, 2007.

Deposit Insurance. As an FDIC member institution, deposits of the Bank are currently insured to a maximum of \$100,000 per depositor through the Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF"), administered by the FDIC. The FDIC is required to establish the semi-annual assessments for DIF-insured depository institutions at a rate determined to be appropriate to maintain or increase the reserve ratio of the respective deposit insurance funds at or above 1.25% of estimated insured deposits or at such higher percentage that the FDIC determines to be justified for that year by circumstances raising significant risk of substantial future losses to the fund. Assessments are made on a risk-based premium system with nine risk classifications based on certain capital and supervisory measures. Financial institutions with higher levels of capital and involving a low degree of supervisory concern are assessed lower premiums than financial institutions with lower levels of capital or involving a higher degree of supervisory concern.

On February 8, 2007, the Federal Deposit Insurance Reform Act ("Reform Act") of 2007 was signed into law. The Reform Act increases the general limit on deposit insurance based on consumer price inflation, with the first increase taking effect on January 1, 2011, and additional adjustments made every five years thereafter. In addition, the Reform Act gives the FDIC the authority to manage its reserves more flexibly and over a longer time horizon by rescinding the automatic trigger of 1.25% of estimated insured deposits.

Limits on Dividends and Other Payments. First Mariner's current ability to pay dividends is largely dependent upon the receipt of dividends from its banking subsidiary. Both federal and state laws impose restrictions on the ability of the Bank to pay dividends. Federal law prohibits the payment of a dividend by an insured depository institution like the Bank if the depository institution is considered "undercapitalized" or if the payment of the dividend would make the institution "undercapitalized." See "Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991" below. We do not anticipate that such provisions will be applied to the Bank. The Federal Reserve has issued a policy statement which provides that, as a general matter, insured banks and bank holding companies may pay dividends only out of prior operating earnings. For a Maryland chartered bank or trust company, dividends may be paid out of undivided profits or, with the prior approval of the Commissioner, from surplus in excess of 100% of required capital stock. If however, the surplus of a Maryland bank is less than 100% of its required capital stock, cash dividends may not be paid in excess of 90% of net earnings. In addition to these specific restrictions, bank regulatory agencies also have the ability to prohibit proposed dividends by a financial institution which would otherwise be permitted under applicable regulations if the regulatory body determines that such distribution would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice.

Capital Requirements. The Federal Reserve and FDIC have adopted certain risk-based capital guidelines to assist in the assessment of the capital adequacy of a banking organization's operations for both transactions reported on the balance sheet as assets and items, such as letters of credit and recourse arrangements, which are recorded as off balance sheet items. Under these guidelines, nominal dollar amounts of assets and credit equivalent amounts of off balance sheet items are multiplied by one of several risk adjustment percentages, which range from 0% for assets with low credit risk, such as certain U.S. Treasury securities to 100% for assets with relatively high credit risk, such as business loans.

A banking organization's risk-based capital ratio is obtained by dividing its qualifying capital by its total risk adjusted assets. The regulators measure risk-adjusted assets, which include off balance sheet items, against both total qualifying capital (the sum of Tier 1 capital and limited amounts of Tier 2 capital) and Tier 1 capital. "Tier 1," or core capital, includes common equity, perpetual preferred stock (excluding auction rate issues), trust preferred securities (limited to one-third of other Tier 1 components), and minority interest in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries (less goodwill and other intangibles), subject to certain exceptions and limitations. "Tier 2," or supplementary capital, includes, among other things, limited-life preferred stock, hybrid capital instruments, mandatory convertible securities and trust preferred securities (above amounts not qualifying as Tier 1 capital), qualifying and subordinated debt, and the allowance for loan and lease losses, subject to certain limitations and less required deductions. The inclusion of elements of Tier 2 capital is subject to certain other requirements and limitations of the federal banking agencies. Banks and bank holding companies, subject to the risk-based capital guidelines are required to maintain a ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8%. The appropriate regulatory authority may set higher capital requirements when particular circumstances warrant. In addition to risk-based capital, banks and bank holding companies are required to maintain a minimum amount of Tier 1 capital to quarterly average assets, referred to as the leverage capital ratio, of at least 4%. First Mariner and the Bank maintained capital ratios that exceeded these minimum standards. See "Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and

Results of Operations," for the year ended December 31, 2007 for more detailed information concerning capital adequacy,

Federal banking agencies have adopted regulations specifying that the agencies will include, in their evaluations of a bank's capital adequacy, an assessment of the bank's interest rate risk ("IRR") exposure. The standards for measuring the adequacy and effectiveness of a banking organization's interest rate risk management includes a measurement of board of director and senior management oversight, and a determination of whether a banking organization's procedures for comprehensive risk management are appropriate to the circumstances of the specific banking organization. The Bank utilizes IRR models that are used to measure and monitor IRR. Additionally, the regulatory agencies have been assessing IRR on an informal basis for several years.

Failure to meet applicable capital guidelines could subject a banking organization to a variety of enforcement actions, including limitations on its ability to pay dividends, the issuance by the applicable regulatory authority of a capital directive to increase capital and, in the case of depository institutions, the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC, as well as the measures described under "Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991" below, as applicable to undercapitalized institutions. In addition, future changes in regulations or practices could further reduce the amount of capital recognized for purposes of capital adequacy. Such a change could affect the ability of the Bank to grow and could restrict the amount of profits, if any, available for the payment of dividends to First Mariner.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991. In December 1991, Congress enacted FDICIA, which substantially revised the bank regulatory and funding provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act and made significant revisions to several other federal banking statutes. FDICIA provides for, among other things, (i) publicly available annual financial condition and management reports for financial institutions, including audits by independent accountants, (ii) the establishment of uniform accounting standards by federal banking agencies, (iii) the establishment of a "prompt corrective action" system of regulatory supervision and intervention, based on capitalization levels with more scrutiny and restrictions placed on depository institutions with lower levels of capital, (iv) additional grounds for the appointment of a conservator or receiver, and (v) restrictions or prohibitions on accepting brokered deposits, except for institutions which significantly exceed minimum capital requirements. FDICIA also provides for increased funding of the FDIC insurance funds and the implementation of risked-based premiums.

A central feature of FDICIA is the requirement that the federal banking agencies take "prompt corrective action" with respect to depository institutions that do not meet minimum capital requirements. Pursuant to FDICIA, the federal bank regulatory authorities have adopted regulations setting forth a five-tiered system for measuring the capital adequacy of the depository institutions that they supervise. Under these regulations, a depository institution is classified in one of the following capital categories: "well capitalized," "adequately capitalized," "undercapitalized," "significantly undercapitalized," and "critically undercapitalized." An institution may be deemed by the regulators to be in a capitalization category that is lower than is indicated by its actual capital position if, among other things, it receives an unsatisfactory examination rating with respect to asset quality, management, earnings, or liquidity.

FDICIA generally prohibits a depository institution from making any capital distribution (including payment of a cash dividend) or paying any management fees to its holding company if the depository institution would thereafter be undercapitalized. Undercapitalized depository institutions are subject to growth limitations and are required to submit capital restoration plans. If a depository institution fails to submit an acceptable plan, it is treated as if it is significantly undercapitalized. Significantly undercapitalized depository institutions may be subject to a number of other requirements and restrictions including orders to sell sufficient voting stock to become adequately capitalized and requirements to reduce total assets and stop accepting deposits from correspondent banks. Critically undercapitalized institutions are subject to the appointment of a receiver or conservator, generally within 90 days of the date such institution is determined to be critically undercapitalized.

FDICIA provides the federal banking agencies with significantly expanded powers to take enforcement action against institutions that fail to comply with capital or other standards. Such action may include the termination of deposit insurance by the FDIC or the appointment of a receiver or conservator for the institution. FDICIA also limits the circumstances under which the FDIC is permitted to provide financial assistance to an insured institution before appointment of a conservator or receiver.

Interstate Banking Legislation. The Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branching Efficiency Act of 1994 ("Riegle-Neal") was enacted into law on September 29, 1994. Riegle-Neal authorized federal banking agencies to approve interstate bank merger transactions even if such transactions are prohibited by the laws of a state. An exception to such authorization arises if the home state of one of the banks that is a party to the merger transaction opted out of the merger provisions of Riegle-Neal by adopting a law after the date of the enactment of the Riegle-Neal and prior to June 1, 1997. These laws must apply equally to all out-of-state banks and expressly prohibit merger transactions involving out-of-state banks. Riegle-Neal also permits interstate branch acquisitions if the laws of the state where the branch is located permits interstate branch acquisitions. The interstate merger and branch acquisitions permitted by Riegle-Neal are subject to nationwide and statewide insured deposit limitations as described in Riegle-Neal.

Riegle-Neal also authorizes the federal banking agencies to approve *de novo* interstate branching by national and state banks in states which specifically allow for such branching. To our knowledge, only two states, Texas and Montana, have opted out of the Riegle-Neal provisions relating to interstate mergers, acquisitions of branches and establishment of de novo branches. We anticipate that Riegle-Neal may increase competition within our market area, although we cannot predict the timing or the extent of such increased competition.

Privacy Legislation. Current Federal banking rules limit the ability of banks and other financial institutions to disclose non-public personal financial information about customers to non-affiliated third parties. Under these rules, financial institutions must provide initial notices to customers about their privacy policies that provide a description of the conditions under which they may disclose non-public personal information to non-affiliated third parties and affiliates. Institutions must also provide annual notices to current customers that provide a reasonable method for customers to "opt out" of disclosures to non-affiliated parties. These policies affect how customer information is transmitted through diversified financial companies and conveyed to third parties. We have implemented our privacy policies in accordance with the law.

USA Patriot Act. The USA Patriot Act of 2001 significantly increased the anti-money laundering and financial transparency laws to require additional due diligence for financial institutions. The law set standards for verifying customer information at account opening and maintenance of records, and created rules to promote cooperation among financial institutions, regulators, and law enforcement in identifying parties that may be involved in terrorism or money laundering. The law requires financial

businesses to report cash transactions in excess of \$10,000 to the U.S. Treasury Department, and also requires reporting of suspicious customer activities.

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 comprehensively revised the laws affecting corporate governance, accounting obligations, and corporate reporting for companies, such as First Mariner, with equity or debt securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. In particular, the Sarbanes-Oxley Act established, among other things: 1) new requirements for audit committees, including independence, expertise, and responsibilities; 2) additional responsibilities regarding financial statements for the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of the reporting company; 3) new standards for auditors and regulation of audits; 4) increased disclosure and reporting obligations for the reporting company and its directors and executive officers; and 5) new and increased civil and criminal penalties for violation of the securities laws.

Economic Monetary Policies and Economic Controls

We are affected by monetary policies of regulatory agencies, including the Federal Reserve Board, which regulates the national money supply in order to mitigate recessionary and inflationary pressures. Among the techniques available to the Federal Reserve Board are: engaging in open market transactions in U.S. Government securities, changing the discount rate on bank borrowings, changing reserve requirements against bank deposits, prohibiting the payment of interest on demand deposits, and imposing conditions on time and savings deposits. These techniques are used in varying combinations to influence the overall growth of bank loans, investments, and deposits. Their use may also affect interest rates charged on loans or paid on deposits. The effect of governmental policies on our earnings cannot be predicted. However, our earnings will be impacted by movement in interest rates, as discussed in Part II Item 7A- "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk."

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

Our Future Depends on the Successful Growth of the Bank and Mariner Finance

Our primary business activity for the foreseeable future will be to act as a bank holding company. Our future profitability will therefore depend on the success and growth of the Bank and Mariner Finance. Our growth will depend, in large part, on our ability to leverage our existing infrastructure. The inability of the Bank to expand its business without substantially increasing the number of branches, the inability of our mortgage divisions to grow their residential mortgage business without substantially increasing its number of offices, or the inability of Mariner Finance to grow its consumer portfolio without substantially increasing its number of offices may prevent us from realizing our growth objectives.

A Significant Amount of Our Business is Concentrated in Real Estate Lending, and Most of this Lending Involves Maryland Real Estate

Approximately 25% of our loan portfolio is comprised of commercial and consumer real estate development and construction loans, which are secured by the real estate being developed in each case. In addition to the risk that the market values of the real estate securing these loans may deteriorate, these loans are also subject to the development risks that the projects will not be completed in a timely manner, or according to original specifications. Real estate development and construction projects that are not completed in a timely manner, or according to original specifications, are generally less marketable than projects that are fully developed. The loans underlying such projects may be subject to greater losses in the event that the real estate collateral becomes the source of repayment.

In addition to the financial strength and cash flow characteristics of the borrower in each case, the Bank often secures its loans with real estate collateral. At December 31, 2007, approximately 79% of the Bank's loans have real estate as a primary, secondary, or tertiary component of collateral. The real

estate collateral in each case provides an alternate source of repayment in the event of default by the borrower and may deteriorate in value during the time the credit is extended. If we are required to liquidate the collateral securing a loan during a period of reduced real estate values to satisfy the debt, our earnings and capital could be adversely affected.

We Have A High Percentage Of Commercial, Commercial Real Estate, And Real Estate Acquisition And Development Loans In Relation To Our Total Loans And Total Assets

Our loan portfolio contains a high percentage of commercial, commercial real estate, and real estate acquisition and development loans in relation to our total loans and total assets. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, along with other federal banking regulators, issued final guidance on December 6, 2006 entitled, *Concentrations in Commercial Real Estate Lending, Sound Risk Management Practices*, directed at institutions that have particularly high concentrations of commercial real estate loans within their lending portfolios. These types of loans also typically are larger than residential real estate loans and other commercial loans. Because the loan portfolio contains a number of commercial and commercial real estate loans with relatively large balances, the deterioration of one or a few of these loans may cause a significant increase in nonperforming loans. An increase in nonperforming loans could result, and has resulted, in a loss of earnings from these loans, an increase in the provision for loan losses, or an increase in loan charge-offs, which could have an adverse impact on financial results.

This recent guidance suggests that institutions whose commercial real estate loans exceed certain percentages of capital should implement heightened risk management practices appropriate to their concentration risk and may be required to maintain higher capital ratios than institutions with lower concentrations in commercial real estate lending. Based on our commercial real estate concentration as of December 31, 2007, we may be subject to further supervisory analysis during future examinations. Although we continuously evaluate our concentration and risk management strategies, we cannot guarantee that any risk management practices we implement will be effective to prevent losses relating to our commercial real estate portfolio. Management cannot predict the extent to which this guidance will impact our operations or capital requirements.

Mortgage-Banking Activities Generate a Significant Portion of Our Noninterest Income

A significant portion of our business involves making residential mortgage loans through our mortgage division, which accounted for approximately 30% and 44% of our noninterest income for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Real estate loan origination activity, including refinancings, is generally greater during periods of low or declining interest rates and favorable economic conditions, which had been favorably affected by relatively lower market interest rates during the past three years. However, we did experience a deterioration in market conditions during 2007 and continued adverse changes in market conditions could have an adverse impact on our earnings through lower origination volumes.

We Experience Interest Rate Risk On Our Loans Held For Sale Portfolio

We are exposed to interest rate risk in both our pipeline of mortgage originations (loans that have yet to close with the borrower) and in our warehouse loans (those loans that have closed with the borrower but have yet to be funded by investors). We have managed this interest rate risk primarily in two ways. Currently, we enter into agreements to sell our loans through the use of best efforts forward delivery contracts. Under this type of agreement we commit to sell a loan at an agreed price to an investor at the point in time the borrower commits to an interest rate on the loan, with the intent that the buyer assumes the interest rate risk on the loan. During 2006, a portion of our mortgage loan pipeline and warehouse were hedged utilizing forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars for loans to be sold under mandatory delivery contracts on a pooled or bulk basis. We

expected that these derivative financial instruments (forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars) would experience changes in fair value opposite to the change in fair value of the derivative loan commitments and our warehouse. However, the process of selling loans on a bulk basis and use of forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars to hedge interest rate risk associated with customer interest rate lock commitments involved greater risk than selling loans on an individual basis through best efforts forward delivery commitments. Hedging interest rate risk in bulk sales required management to estimate the expected "fallout" (rate lock commitments with customers that do not complete the loan process). Additionally, the fair value of the hedge may not have correlated precisely with the change in fair value of the rate lock commitments with the customer due to changes in market conditions, such as demand for loan products, or prices paid for differing types of loan products. Variances from management's estimates for customer fallout or market changes making the forward sale of mortgage-backed securities and/or Eurodollars non-effective may have resulted in higher volatility in our profits from selling mortgage loans originated for sale. We engaged an experienced third party to assist us in managing our activities in hedging and marketing our bulk sales delivery strategy.

During 2007, we curtailed our forward loan commitments and the corresponding hedging using forward contracts to sell securities due to the significantly lower production volume of loans during the year. Most of the loans sold during 2007 were done so on a best efforts basis. We had no mandatory forward sales commitments or hedges as of December 31, 2007.

We Experience Credit Risk Related To Our Residential Mortgage Production Activities

We also face credit risk related to our residential mortgage production activities. Credit risk is the potential for financial loss resulting from the failure of a borrower or an institution to honor its contractual obligations to us, including the risk that an investor will fail to honor its obligation under a best efforts forward delivery contract to purchase the loan from us. We manage mortgage credit risk principally by selling substantially all of the mortgage loans that we produce, limiting credit recourse to the Bank in those transactions, and by retaining high credit quality mortgages in our loan portfolio. We also limit our risk of loss on mortgage loan sales by establishing limits on activity to any one investor and by entering into contractual relationships with only those financial institutions that are approved by our Secondary Marketing Committee. The period of time between closing on a loan commitment with the borrower and funding by the investor ranges from between 15 and 90 days.

We Experience Risk Related To Covenants In Our Loan Sales Agreements With Investors

Our sales agreements with investors who buy our loans generally contain covenants which may require us to repurchase loans under certain provisions, including delinquencies, or return premiums paid by these investors should the loan be paid off early. Any loans we are required to repurchase may be considered impaired loans, with the potential for charge-offs and/or loss provision charges. The addition of these repurchased loans to our portfolio could adversely affect our earnings and asset quality ratios.

There may be certain loans in our portfolio that were originated for sale, but for various reasons, are unable to be sold. These loans are transferred to our loan portfolio at fair market value. Any deterioration in value of the loan during the period held in the portfolio is charged to the allowance for loan losses.

We May Experience Loan Losses in Excess of the Allowance

The risk of credit losses on loans varies with, among other things, general economic conditions, the type of loan being made, the creditworthiness of the borrower over the term of the loan and, in the case of a collateralized loan, the value and marketability of the collateral for the loan. Management

maintains an allowance for loan losses based upon, among other things, historical loss experience in the loan portfolios, the levels and trends in past-due and nonaccrual loans, the status of nonaccrual loans and other loans identified as having the potential for further deterioration, credit risk and industry concentrations, trends in loan volume, the effects of any changes in lending policies and procedures or underwriting standards, and a continuing evaluation of the economic environment.

Based upon such factors, management makes various assumptions and judgments about the ultimate collectibility of the loan portfolio and provides an allowance for loan losses based upon a percentage of the outstanding balances and for specific loans when their ultimate collectibility is considered questionable. If management's assumptions and judgments prove to be incorrect and the allowance for loan losses is inadequate to absorb future losses, or if regulatory authorities require the Bank or Mariner Finance to increase the allowance for loan losses as a part of their examination process, our earnings and capital could be significantly and adversely affected.

As of December 31, 2007, the allowance for loan losses was \$12.789 million, which represented 1.50% of outstanding loans, net of unearned income. At such date, we had nonaccruing loans totaling \$24.389 million. Management actively administers its nonaccruing loans in an effort to minimize credit losses. Although management believes that its allowance for loan losses is adequate, there can be no assurance that the allowance will prove sufficient to cover future loan losses. Further, although management uses the best information available to make determinations with respect to the allowance for loan losses, future adjustments may be necessary if economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used or adverse developments arise with respect to nonperforming or performing loans. Material additions to the allowance for loan losses would result in a decrease in net income and capital, and could have and have had a material adverse effect on us.

We currently hold a significant level of Bank Owned Life Insurance

We currently hold a significant level of Bank Owned Life Insurance on key employees and executives that have cash surrender values of \$34.931 million as of December 31, 2007. The eventual repayment of the cash surrender value is subject to the ability of various insurance companies to pay benefits in the event of the death of an insured employee, or return the cash surrender value to us in the event of our need for liquidity. We continuously monitor the financial strength of the various insurance companies with whom we carry policies. However, there is no assurance that one or more of these companies will not experience a decline in financial strength which could impair its ability to pay benefits or return our cash surrender value. Additionally, should we need to liquidate these policies for liquidity needs, we would be subject to taxation on the increase in cash surrender value as well as penalties for early termination of the insurance contracts. These events would have a negative impact on our earnings.

Economic Conditions and Monetary Policy

Our operating results will depend to a great extent upon the rate differentials between the yields earned on our loans, securities and other earning assets and the rates paid on our deposits and other interest-bearing liabilities. These rate differentials are highly sensitive to many factors beyond our control, including general economic conditions and the policies of various governmental and regulatory authorities, in particular the Federal Reserve Board. The makeup of our loan and deposit portfolios, in particular, determines our sensitivity to these factors. At December 31, 2007, we had a one year cumulative interest sensitivity gap of \$104.458 million. See Item 7A "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Interest Rate Sensitivity."

Like other depository institutions, the Company is affected by the monetary policies implemented by the Federal Reserve Board and other federal entities. A primary instrument of monetary policy employed by the Federal Reserve Board is the restriction or expansion of the money supply through

open market operations, including the purchase and sale of government securities and the adjustment of reserve requirements. These actions may at times result in significant fluctuations in interest rates, which could have adverse effects on our operations. In particular, our ability to make loans, attract deposits and realize gains on the sale of residential mortgage loans, as well as public demand for loans, could be adversely affected. See Item 1 "Business Economic Monetary Policies and Economic Controls."

Our Ability to Pay Cash Dividends is Limited

Holders of shares of our common stock are entitled to dividends if declared by our board of directors out of funds legally available for that purpose. Although the board of directors has declared cash dividends in the past, it has discontinued such payments to conserve cash and capital resources, and does not intend to declare cash dividends until current earnings are sufficient to generate adequate internal capital to support growth. Our current ability to pay dividends is largely dependent upon the receipt of dividends from the Bank. Federal and state laws impose restrictions on the ability of the Bank to pay dividends. Additional restrictions are placed upon us by the policies of federal regulators, including the FRB's November 14, 1985 policy statement, which provides that bank holding companies should pay dividends only out of the past year's net income, and then only if their prospective rate of earnings retention appears consistent with their capital needs, asset quality, and overall financial condition.

Our ability to pay dividends is further subject to our ability to make payments of interest under junior subordinated debentures due through 2035 held by our statutory trusts Mariner Capital Trust II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII ("the trusts"). These payments are necessary to fund the distributions that the trusts each must pay to holders of its trust preferred securities (collectively, the "Mariner Trust Preferred Securities"). If we are unable to make such payments, if we determine to defer such payments, or if we default under our other obligations in connection with the Mariner Trust Preferred Securities, we will not be permitted to pay dividends to holders of our common stock until such time as we recommence making payments or are not otherwise in default.

In general, future dividend policy is subject to the discretion of the Board of Directors and will depend upon a number of factors, including the future earnings, capital requirements, regulatory constraints, and our financial condition as well as that of the Bank and Mariner Finance.

Our Management Controls a Significant Percentage of Our Stock

At December 31, 2007, our directors and executive officers beneficially owned approximately 1,916,000 shares of our common stock (either directly or with options), or 30% of our outstanding shares of common stock. Edwin F. Hale, Sr., who is our Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and largest stockholder, beneficially owns 1,432,316 shares of common stock (with options), or 23% of our outstanding shares of common stock as of December 31, 2007. Because of the large percentage of stock held by our directors and executive officers, these persons could influence the outcome of any matter submitted to a vote of our stockholders.

Our Stock is Not Heavily Traded

The average daily trading volume of our shares on The Nasdaq National Market for the previous three months was approximately 8,000 shares. Thus, our common stock is not heavily traded and can be more volatile than stock trading in an active public market. Factors such as our financial results, the introduction of new products and services by us or our competitors, and various factors affecting the banking industry generally may have a significant impact on the market price of our common stock. We cannot predict the extent to which an active public market for our common stock will develop or be sustained. In recent years, the stock market has experienced a high level of price and volume volatility,

and market prices for the stock of many companies have experienced wide price fluctuations that have not necessarily been related to their operating performance. Therefore, our stockholders may not be able to trade large blocks of shares at the volumes, prices, or times that they desire.

Our Stock is Not Insured

Investments in the shares of our common stock are not deposits and are not insured against loss by the government.

We Operate in a Competitive Market

We operate in a competitive environment, competing for deposits, loans and customers with commercial banks, thrifts, finance companies, and other financial entities. Competition for deposits comes primarily from other commercial banks, savings associations, credit unions, money market, Internet-based deposit intermediaries, mutual funds, and other investment alternatives. Competition for loans comes primarily from other commercial banks, savings associations, mortgage-banking firms, consumer finance companies, credit unions, and other financial intermediaries. Many of the financial intermediaries operating in our market area offer certain services, such as trust, investment, and international banking services, which we do not offer. In addition, companies with a larger capitalization and financial intermediaries not subject to regulatory restrictions have larger lending limits, and are thereby able to serve the needs of larger customers.

In November 1999, the federal Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act ("GLBA") was signed into law. GLBA revised the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 and repealed the affiliation provisions of the Glass-Steagall Act of 1933, which, taken together, limited the securities, insurance, and other non-banking activities of any company that controls an FDIC insured financial institution. Under GLBA, a bank holding company can elect, subject to certain qualifications, to become a "financial holding company." GLBA provides that a financial holding company may engage in a full range of financial activities, including insurance and securities sales and underwriting activities, and real estate development, with new expedited notice procedures. First Mariner Bancorp has operated as a financial holding company since 2002, but recently withdrew that election. The termination of this financial holding company status may increase the competition we face in our market areas from bank holding companies that are also or may in the future become financial holding companies and from other entities that engage, or in the future may engage, in activities in which bank holding companies that are not also financial holding companies may not engage.

Finally, our continued growth and profitability will depend upon our ability to attract and retain skilled managerial, marketing, and technical personnel. Competition for qualified personnel in the banking industry is intense, and there can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting and retaining such personnel.

Contracts With Our Officers May Discourage a Takeover or Adversely Affect Our Takeover Value

We have entered into change in control agreements with nine of our officers. These agreements provide for a payment to each officer of a multiple (ranging from 1 to 2.99) of his or her salary and bonus upon the occurrence of either a change in control that results in the loss of employment or a significant change in his or her employment. Thus, we may be required to make significant payments in the event that the rights under these agreements are triggered by a change in control. As a result, these contracts may discourage a takeover, or adversely affect the consideration payable to stockholders in the event of a takeover.

Our Articles of Incorporation and Bylaws May Discourage a Corporate Takeover

Our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation ("Articles"), and Amended and Restated Bylaws ("Bylaws"), contain certain provisions designed to enhance the ability of the Board of Directors to deal with attempts to acquire control of the Company. These provisions provide for the classification of our Board of Directors into three classes; directors of each class serve for staggered three year periods. The Articles also provide for supermajority voting provisions for the approval of certain business combinations. Although these provisions do not preclude a takeover, they may have the effect of discouraging a future takeover attempt which would not be approved by our Board of Directors, but pursuant to which stockholders might receive a substantial premium for their shares over then-current market prices. As a result, stockholders who might desire to participate in such a transaction might not have the opportunity to do so. Such provisions will also render the removal of our Board of Directors and of management more difficult and, therefore, may serve to perpetuate current management. Further, such provisions could potentially adversely affect the market price of the common stock.

ITEM 1B UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

There were no unresolved comments from the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission at December 31, 2007.

ITEM 2 PROPERTIES

We lease our executive offices located at 1501 South Clinton Street, Baltimore, Maryland. This location also houses a headquarters satellite branch office. We occupy approximately 75,500 square feet at this location, which is adjacent to our former headquarters building.

We own our former headquarters branch office located at 3301 Boston Street, Baltimore, Maryland. This location houses drive-up banking and customer parking facilities, as well as other administration offices.

We operate retail bank branches at the following locations:*

Maryland:

Annapolis(2)

161 A Jennifer Road Annapolis, MD 21401

Arbutus(2)

3720 Washington Blvd., Suite 100 Baltimore, MD 21227

Bel Air(3)

12 A Bel Air South Parkway Bel Air, MD 21015

Canton(1)

3301 Boston Street Baltimore, MD 21224

Canton Tower/Headquarters(2)(4)

1501 South Clinton Street Baltimore, MD 21224

Carroll Island(2)

176 Carroll Island Road Baltimore, MD 21220

Cockeysville(3)

9840 York Road Cockeysville, MD 21030

Columbia (2)

8835 Centre Park Drive, Suite 100 Columbia, MD 21045

Crofton(3)

1049 MD Route 3 Gambrills, MD 21054

Downtown Baltimore(2)

300 N. Charles Street Baltimore, MD 21201

Dundalk(2)

7860 Wise Avenue Baltimore, MD 21222

Easton(1)

8662 Alicia Drive Easton, MD 21601

Ellicott City(3)

10065 Baltimore National Pike Ellicott City, MD 21042

Glen Burnie(2)

305 South Crain Highway Glen Burnie, MD 21061

Hickory(3)

1403 Conowingo Road Belair, MD 21014

Loch Raven(1)

1641 East Joppa Road Baltimore, MD 21286

Lutherville/Timonium(2)

1738 York Road Lutherville, MD 21093

Ocean City(2)

12505 Coastal Highway Ocean City, MD 21842

Odenton(1)

1600 Annapolis Road Odenton, MD 21113

Owings Mills(3)

4800 Painters Mill Road Owings Mills, MD 21117

Perry Hall(1)

8843 Bel Air Road Perry Hall, MD 21236

Pikesville(1)

1013 Reisterstown Road Baltimore, MD 21208

Pikesville Drive-Thru(2)(4)

1100 Reisterstown Road Baltimore, MD 21208

Severna Park(2)

366A Gov Ritchie Highway Severna Park, MD 21146

Towson(1)

115 East Joppa Road Baltimore, MD 21286

Westminster(1)(5)

1010 Baltimore Boulevard Westminster, MD 21157

White Marsh(1)

10101 Philadelphia Road White Marsh, MD 21237

22

Woodlawn(3)

7007 Security Boulveard Baltimore, MD 21244

Pennsylvania:

Shrewsbury(2)

Market Square Shopping Center 549 South Main Street Shrewsbury, PA 17361

For our branch hours and remote ATM locations, please refer to our website at www.lstmarinerbank.com.

Company owns branch

Company leases branch

Company owns branch, but leases related land

Office is a satellite branch

Projected branch opening in fall of 2008

For more information on our lease commitments and costs see Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, included in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of this Form 10-K.

We operate mortgage offices at the following locations:

Maryland:

Annapolis(2)

2086 Generals Highway, 2nd Floor Annapolis, MD 21401

Canton/Headquarters(1)

3301 Boston Street Baltimore, MD 21224

Cambridge(2)

108 Dorchester Avenue Cambridge, MD 21613

Dunkirk(2)

10351 Southern Maryland Blvd. Dunkirk, MD 20754

Ellicott City(3)

10065 Baltimore National Pike Ellicott City, MD 21042

Rockville(2)

15722 Crabbs Branch Way Rockville, MD 20855

Salisbury(2)

309 East Main Street, Suite 100 Salisbury, MD 21801

Severna Park(2)

838 Ritchie Highway Severna Park, MD 21146

White Marsh(1)

10101 Philadelphia Road White Marsh, MD 21237

Connecticut:

Hamden(2)

2337 Whitney Avenue Hamden, CT 06518

Delaware:

Seaford(2)

604 North Porter Street Seaford, DE 19973

Wilmington(2)

3301 Lancaster Pike, Suite 5B Wilmington, DE 19805

Massachusetts:

Andover(2)

206 Andover Street Andover, MA 01810

Metro Boston(2)

160 Gould Street, Ste 102 Needham, MA 02494

South Shore(2)

200 Cordwainer Drive Norwell, MA 02061

North Carolina:

VA Mortgage(2)

203 Wolf Creek Professional Center Havelock, NC 28532

- (1) Company owns office
- (2) Company leases office
- (3) Company owns office, but leases related land

23

We operate consumer finance offices at the following locations which are leased by Mariner Finance:

Maryland:

Bel Air

225 Briarhill Place, Suite I-1 Bel Air, MD 21015

Bowie

3440 Crain Highway Bowie, Md 20716

Canton/Headquarters/Central Approval

3301 Boston Street Baltimore, MD 21224

Cumberland

1050 West Industrial Blvd., Unit 7 Cumberland, MD 21502

Dundalk

1770 Merritt Boulevard Baltimore, MD 21222

Easton

8223-17 Elliott Road Easton, MD 21601

Essex

511A Eastern Boulevard Essex, MD 21221

Frederick

454 Prospect Boulevard Frederick, MD 21702

Germantown

19705 North Frederick Road Germantown, MD 20876

Glen Burnie

7400 Ritchie Highway, Suite E Glen Burnie, Md 21061

Hagerstown

1423 Dual Highway Hagerstown, MD 21740

Laurel

3421 Fort Meade Road Laurel, MD 20707

North East

113 North East Plaza North East, MD 21901

Overlea

7682 Belair Road

Baltimore, MD 21236

Randallstown

3537 Brenbrook Drive Randallstown, MD 21133

Salisbury

319 B Civic Avenue Salisbury, MD 21804

Waldorf

2084 Crain Highway Waldorf, MD 20610

Westminster

625 Baltimore Boulevard Westminster, MD 21157

Woodlawn

6666 Security Blvd., Suite 16 Baltimore, MD 21207

Delaware:

Bear

1831 Pulaski Highway Bear, DE 19701

Dover

222 South Dupont Hwy, Suite 101 Dover, DE 19901

Milford

975A Dupont Boulevard Milford, DE 19963

Seaford

1026 West Stein Highway Seaford, DE 19973

New Jersey:

Turnersville

5851 Ft. 42 Plaza 42 Turnersville, NJ 08012

Tennessee:

Lawrenceburg(1)

221 E. Gaines Street Lawrenceburg, TN 38464

Murfreesboro

2805 Old Fort parkway Suite K Murfreesboro, TN 37128

Nashville

4907 Nolensville Road Nashville, TN 37211

Smyrna

639 President Place Smyrna, TN 37167

Virginia:

Chester

12654 Jefferson Davis Highway Chester, VA 23831

Mechanicsville(1)

7445 Lee Davis Road Suite 106 Mechanicsville, VA 23111

Woodbridge

1979 Daniel Stuart Square Woodbridge, VA 22191

(1)

Projected office opening in spring of 2008

Our bank branches range in total size from 2,000 to 4,000 square feet, mortgage offices generally range from 1,200 to 2,000 square feet and our Mariner Finance offices from 800 to 1,600 square feet. All of our locations are suitable and adequate to conduct business and support growth in customer and transaction volume.

ITEM 3 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are party to legal actions that are routine and incidental to our business. In management's opinion, the outcome of these matters, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material effect on our results of operations or financial position.

ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There was no submission of matters to a vote of securities holders during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2007.

ITEM 4A EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Edwin F. Hale, Sr. (age 61) has been Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of First Mariner and of the Bank since 1995. Joseph A. Cicero (age 63) has been the President of First Mariner and Chief Operating Officer of the Bank since 1996. George H. Mantakos (age 65) has been Executive Vice President of First Mariner, and the President of the Bank since 1995. Mark A. Keidel (age 46) has been Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of First Mariner and the Bank since June 2000.

PART II

ITEM 5 MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market for Common Stock

Our common stock trades on The NASDAQ National Market under the symbol "FMAR." The table below sets forth for the periods indicated the low and high market prices of our common stock as reported on The Nasdaq National Market. These over-the-counter market quotations reflect inter-dealer prices and do not include retail mark-up, mark-down or commissions, and they may not necessarily represent actual transactions. We currently have approximately 2,600 stockholders, and we did not pay a cash dividend in 2007 or 2006. See Item 1 "Business Supervision and Regulation" and Item 1A "Risk Factors Our Ability to Pay Cash Dividends is Limited" in this Form 10-K for information on dividend restrictions.

		Low		High	
	_		_		
2007 Quarter ended:					
Fourth quarter	\$	4.89	\$	10.40	
Third quarter		7.95		13.05	
Second quarter		12.00		15.20	
First quarter		15.00		18.61	
2006 Quarter ended:					
Fourth quarter	\$	18.36	\$	20.45	
Third quarter		18.51		19.50	
Second quarter		18.30		19.60	
First quarter		17.26		19.34	

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth the securities authorized for issuance under the Company's equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2007:

Plan category	(A) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	ı 	(B) Weighted-average exercise orice of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(C) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (A))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	813,788	\$	12.47	154,457
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	015,700	Ψ	12,	13 1,137
T 1	012.700	ф	10.47	154.457
Total	813,788	\$	12.47	154,457
	2	26		

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities(1)

The following table sets forth the Company's purchases of its Common Stock for the most recent fiscal quarter:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Plan	Maximum Number of Shares Yet to Purchase Under Plan
October 2007		\$	282,925	17,075
November 2007			282,925	17,075
December 2007			282,925	17,075

On July 18, 2006, the Company announced that its Board of Directors approved an extension to its share repurchase program, originally approved on July 20, 2004, of up to 300,000 shares (approximately 5%) of our outstanding common stock, which provides for open market or private purchases of stock until July 18, 2008. During the year ended December 31, 2007, the Company repurchased a total of 119,600 shares of our common stock at an approximate cost of \$1.162 million.

Performance Graph

The following graph compares the performance of the Company's Common Stock, with the performance of a broad market index and a nationally-recognized industry standard assuming in each case both an initial \$100 investment on December 31, 2002 and reinvestment of dividends as of the end of the Company's last five fiscal years. The Company has selected the Nasdaq Market Index as the relevant broad market index because prices for the Company's Common Stock are quoted on Nasdaq National Market. Additionally, the Company has selected the Nasdaq Bank Index as the relevant industry standard because such index consists of financial institutions which the Company believes generally possess assets, liabilities and operations more similar to the Company than other publicly-available indices. However, given the short history of the Company's operations and its rapid growth, the Company believes no truly appropriate comparative index exists.

Period Ending

	12/31/2002		12/31/2003	12/31/2004 12/31/2005 12/31/2006		12/31/2006	12/31/2007			
First Mariner Bancorp	\$ 10	00 \$	168.94	\$	159.49	\$ 158.95	\$	168.48	\$	51.50
NASDAQ Bank Index	10	00	129.93		144.21	137.97		153.15		119.35
NASDAQ Market Index	10	00	150.01		162.89	165.13		180.85		198.60
			28							

ITEM 6 SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

	 2007	2006			2005		2004		2003
	(dollars in thousands, except per share data)								
Consolidated Statement of Operations Data:									
Net interest income	\$ 44,680	\$	49,266	\$	47,723	\$	42,441	\$	34,411
Provision for loan losses	8,915		2,315		3,287		2,243		2,536
Noninterest income	24,100		23,767		23,015		19,190		21,086
Noninterest expense	78,238		69,159		56,340		50,926		45,883
Income tax (benefit) expense	(8,310)		(365)		3,289		2,361		1,771
Net (loss) income	(10,063)		1,924		7,822		6,101		5,307
Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition Data:									
Total assets	\$ 1,246,822	\$	1,263,290	\$	1,362,478	\$	1,250,531	\$	1,057,853
Loans receivable, net	842,131		854,060		839,843		736,566		601,155
Deposits	904,953		924,938		876,010		825,417		747,733
Long-term borrowings	155,130		132,557		131,000		134,369		110,000
Junior subordinated deferrable interest									
debentures	73,724		73,724		73,724		58,249		47,939
Stockholders' equity	64,570		78,629		72,375		64,314		58,434
Per Share Data:									
Number of shares of common stock									
outstanding at year end	6,351,611		6,427,725		6,262,442		5,826,011		5,693,637
Net (loss) income per common share:									
Basic	\$ (1.57)	\$	0.30	\$	1.28	\$	1.06	\$	0.97
Diluted	(1.57)		0.29		1.20		0.96		0.88
Cash dividends declared									
Performance and Capital Ratios:									
Return on average assets	(0.81)		0.14%		0.59%		0.54%		0.57%
Return on average equity	(13.83)9		2.53%		11.44%		10.11%		9.76%
Net interest margin	4.07%		3.96%		3.88%		4.06%		3.99%
Average equity to average assets	5.85%		5.59%		5.13%		5.36%		5.83%
Year-end Tier 1 leverage ratio	7%		8%		7%		7%		8%
Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets	8%		10%		10%		9%		10%
Total capital to risk-weighted assets	14%	ר	16%	פ	15%	9	14%	ס	15%
Asset Quality Ratios:									
Nonperforming assets to total assets	3.48%)	0.52%	,	0.29%	Ó	0.38%	,	0.48%
Allowance for loan losses at year-end to:						,			
Total loans, net of unearned income	1.50%		1.43%	2	1.38%	0	1.28%)	1.43%
Nonperforming assets and 90 day	25.55~		26.61		04444	,	150.010		110.61~
past-due loans	27.57%)	36.61%	2	244.14%	0	150.84%)	118.61%
Net charge-offs to average total loans, net of unearned income	1.01%)	0.19% 29	, D	0.14%	'n	0.21%)	0.19%

ITEM 7 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Forward-Looking Statements

This annual report on Form 10-K may contain forward-looking language within the meaning of The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Statements may include expressions about our confidence, policies, and strategies, provisions and allowance for loan losses, adequacy of capital levels, and liquidity. All statements included or incorporated by reference in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, other than statements that are purely historical, are forward-looking statements. Statements that include the use of terminology such as "anticipates," "expects," "intends," "plans," "believes," "estimates," and similar expressions also identify forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are based on our current intent, belief, and expectations. Forward-looking statements in this Annual Report on Form 10-K include, but are not limited to, statements of our plans, strategies, objectives, intentions, including, among other statements, statements involving our projected loan and deposit growth, loan collateral values, collectibility of loans, anticipated changes in other operating income, payroll and branching expenses, branch, office and product expansion of the Company and its subsidiaries, and liquidity and capital levels. Such forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties, including general economic conditions, competition in the geographic and business areas in which we operate, inflation, fluctuations in interest rates, legislation, and government regulation. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that are difficult to predict. For a more complete discussion of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in the forward looking statements, see "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of this Form 10-K. Except as required by applicable laws, we do not intend to publish updates or revisions of any forward-looking statements we make to reflect new information, future events,

The Company

The Company is a bank holding company incorporated under the laws of Maryland and registered under the federal Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. The Company began conducting business as First Mariner Bancorp in May 1995. Since 1995, the Company's strategy has involved building a network of banking branches, ATMs, and other financial services outlets to capture market share and build a community franchise for stockholders, customers, and employees. The Company is currently focused on growing assets and earnings by capitalizing on the broad network of bank branches, mortgage offices, consumer finance offices, and ATMs established during its infrastructure expansion phase. First Mariner is currently the fourth largest publicly traded bank holding company based in Maryland, with total assets of \$1.247 billion at December 31, 2007.

The Company's business is conducted primarily through its wholly owned subsidiaries: First Mariner Bank (the "Bank"), Mariner Finance, LLC ("Mariner Finance"), and FM Appraisals, LLC ("FM Appraisals"). First Mariner Bank is the largest operating subsidiary of the Company with assets exceeding \$1.133 billion as of December 31, 2007. The Bank was formed in 1995 through the merger of several small financial institutions. The Bank's primary market area for its core banking operations, which consist of traditional commercial and consumer lending, as well as retail and commercial deposit operations, is central Maryland as well as portions of Maryland's eastern shore. The Bank opened its first branch in Pennsylvania during 2007. The Bank is an independent community bank, and its deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC").

The Bank is engaged in the general commercial banking business, with particular attention and emphasis on the needs of individuals and small to mid-sized businesses, and delivers a wide range of financial products and services that are offered by many larger competitors. Products and services include traditional deposit products, a variety of consumer and commercial loans, residential and

commercial mortgage and construction loans, wire transfer services, nondeposit investment products, and Internet banking and similar services. Most importantly, the Bank provides customers with access to local Bank officers who are empowered to act with flexibility to meet customers' needs in an effort to foster and develop long-term loan and deposit relationships.

First Mariner Mortgage, a division of the Bank, engages in mortgage-banking activities, providing mortgages and associated products to customers and selling most of those mortgages into the secondary market. The sourcing of loans for First Mariner Mortgage is through a retail delivery channel comprised of retail loan offices and Bank branches. During 2006, First Mariner Mortgage originated loans through both its retail delivery channel and its wholesale division. The wholesale division sourced loans through relationships with mortgage brokers. First Mariner Mortgage expanded its secondary marketing activities significantly during 2006 by accumulating and selling groups of loans and began hedging the interest rate risk associated with mortgage-banking through the use of forward sales of mortgage-backed securities and Eurodollars. During 2007, such activity decreased significantly due to decreased loan originations and we returned to managing interest rate risk through the use of best efforts delivery commitments with our investors on a loan by loan basis. Our last bulk sale was done during the second quarter of 2007. During the third quarter of 2007, we closed the wholesale lending operations of First Mariner Mortgage. First Mariner Mortgage currently operates offices in Maryland, Delaware, Connecticut, Massachusetts, and North Carolina. First Mariner Mortgage originated \$965.865 million in loans in 2007.

Next Generation Financial Services ("NGFS"), a division of the Bank, engages in the origination of reverse and conventional mortgages, providing these products directly through commission based loan officers throughout the United States. NGFS originates reverse mortgages for sale to Fannie Mae and other private investors. The Bank does not originate any reverse mortgages for its portfolio and currently sells all of its originations into the secondary market. The Bank retains the servicing rights on reverse mortgages sold to Fannie Mae. NGFS is one of the largest originators of reverse mortgages in the United States.

Mariner Finance engages in traditional consumer finance activities, making small direct cash loans to individuals, the purchase of installment loan sales contracts from local merchants and retail dealers of consumer goods, and loans to individuals via direct mail solicitations, as well as a relatively low volume of mortgage loans. Mariner Finance currently operates branches in Maryland, Virginia, New Jersey, Tennessee, and Delaware. Mariner Finance had total assets of \$80.312 million as of December 31, 2007. A substantial majority of those assets are comprised of loans to customers in Maryland and Delaware.

FM Appraisals is a residential real estate appraisal preparation and management company that is headquartered in Baltimore City. FM Appraisals offers appraisal services for residential real estate lenders, including appraisal preparation, the compliance oversight of sub-contracted appraisers, appraisal ordering and administration, and appraisal review services. FM Appraisals provides these services to First Mariner Mortgage.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and follow general practices within the industry in which it operates. Application of these principles requires management to make estimates, assumptions, and judgments that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates, assumptions, and judgments are based on information available as of the date of the consolidated financial statements; accordingly, as this information changes, the consolidated financial statements could reflect different estimates, assumptions, and judgments. Certain policies inherently have a greater reliance on the use of estimates,

assumptions, and judgments and, as such, have a greater possibility of producing results that could be materially different than originally reported. Estimates, assumptions, and judgments are necessary when assets and liabilities are required to be recorded at fair value, when a decline in the value of an asset not carried on the consolidated financial statements at fair value warrants an impairment write-down or valuation reserve to be established, or when an asset or liability needs to be recorded contingent upon a future event. Carrying assets and liabilities at fair value inherently results in more financial statement volatility. When applying accounting policies in such areas that are subjective in nature, management must use its best judgment to arrive at the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. Below is a discussion of our critical accounting policies.

Allowance for loan losses

A variety of estimates impact the carrying value of the loan portfolio including the calculation of the allowance for loan losses, valuation of underlying collateral, and the timing of loan charge-offs.

The allowance is established and maintained at a level that management believes is adequate to cover losses resulting from the inability of borrowers to make required payments on loans. Estimates for loan losses are arrived at by analyzing risks associated with specific loans and the loan portfolio. Current trends in delinquencies and charge-offs, the views of Bank regulators, changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio, and peer comparisons are also factors. The analysis also requires consideration of the economic climate and direction and change in the interest rate environment, which may impact a borrower's ability to pay, legislation impacting the banking industry, and environmental and economic conditions specific to the Bank's service areas. Because the calculation of the allowance for loan losses relies on estimates and judgments relating to inherently uncertain events, results may differ from our estimates.

Securities

Securities available for sale are evaluated periodically to determine whether a decline in their value is other than temporary. The term "other than temporary" is not intended to indicate a permanent decline in value. Rather, it means that the prospects for near term recovery of value are not necessarily favorable, or that there is a lack of evidence to support fair values equal to, or greater than, the carrying value of the investment. Management reviews criteria such as the magnitude and duration of the decline, as well as the reasons for the decline, to predict whether the loss in value is other than temporary. Once a decline in value is determined to be other than temporary, the value of the security is reduced and a corresponding charge to earnings is recognized.

Deferred income taxes

Under the liability method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities. Deferred tax assets are subject to management's judgment based upon available evidence that future realization is more likely than not.

Loan income recognition

Interest income on loans is accrued at the contractual rate based on the principal outstanding. Loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are deferred and amortized as a yield adjustment over the contractual loan terms. Accrual of interest is discontinued when its receipt is in doubt, which typically occurs when a loan becomes 90 days past due as to principal or interest. Any interest accrued to income in the year when interest accruals are discontinued is generally reversed. Management may elect to continue the accrual of interest when a loan is in the process of collection and the estimated fair value of the collateral is sufficient to satisfy the principal balance and accrued

interest. Loans are returned to accrual status once the doubt concerning collectibility has been removed and the borrower has demonstrated the ability to pay and remain current. Payments on nonaccrual loans are generally applied to principal.

Loan Repurchases

Our sales agreements with investors who buy our loans generally contain covenants which may require us to repurchase loans under certain provisions, including delinquencies, or return premiums paid by those investors should the loan be paid off early. These covenants are usual and customary within the mortgage-banking industry. We maintain a reserve (included in other liabilities) for potential losses relating to these sales covenants.

Loans repurchased are accounted for under AICPA Statement of Position ("SOP") 03-3, Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer. Under the SOP, loans repurchased must be recorded at market value at the time of repurchase with any deficiency for recording the loan compared to proceeds paid charged to earnings. Repurchased loans are carried on the balance sheet in the loan portfolio. Any further change in the underlying risk profile or further impairment is recorded as a specific reserve in the allowance for loan losses through the provision for loan losses.

Repurchased loans which are foreclosed upon are transferred to Real Estate Acquired Through Foreclosure at the time of ratification of foreclosure and recorded at estimated fair value. These assets remain in Real Estate Acquired Through Foreclosure until their disposition. Any declines in value during this time reduce the carrying amounts through a charge to noninterest expense.

Real Estate Acquired Through Foreclosure

We record foreclosed real estate assets at the lower of cost or estimated fair value on their acquisition dates and at the lower of such initial amount or estimated fair value less estimated selling costs thereafter. Estimated fair value is based upon many subjective factors, including location and condition of the property and current economic conditions. Because the calculation of fair value relies on estimates and judgments relating to inherently uncertain events, results may differ from our estimates.

Write-downs subsequent to transfer are included in our noninterest expenses, along with operating income, net of related expenses of such properties and gains or losses realized upon disposition.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS AND FINANCIAL CONDITION

The following discussion compares our financial condition at December 31, 2007 to the financial condition at December 31, 2006 and results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005. This discussion should be read in conjunction with our accompanying financial statements and related notes as well as statistical information included in this report.

Overview

Cumulative Effect of Accounting Change

We adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*, effective January 1, 2007. The effect of adopting

this statement on existing eligible items at the time of adoption is recorded as a cumulative effect of accounting change in the financial statements through retained earnings and is detailed as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	Janua	ance Sheet ary 1, 2007 to Adoption	 et Loss Adoption	Balance Sheet January 1, 2007 After Adoption of Fair Value Option			
Investment trading securities	\$	42,569	\$ (1,618)	\$	40,951		
Long-term debt		60,000	(2,038)		62,038		
Pretax cumulative effect of adoption of the fair value			(2.656)				
option Increase in deferred tax assets			(3,656) 1,412				
Cumulative effect of adoption of the fair value option (charge to retained earnings)			\$ (2,244)				

We also adopted Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") Issue No. 06-4, *Accounting for Deferred Compensation and Postretirement Benefit Aspects of Endorsement Split-Dollar Life Insurance Arrangements*, effective January 1, 2007 for our bank owned life insurance ("BOLI"). The cumulative effect of the accounting change recorded as a reduction to retained earnings was \$135,000, which recognized the cumulative liability for payments owed to employees from the Company upon their death.

See Note 22 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 8 "Financial Statements and Supplementary Data" of this Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 for additional information about our adoption of SFAS No. 159 and EITF Issue No. 06-4.

Performance Overview

Our results for 2007 were significantly impacted by persistent negative trends in the residential real estate markets, which began in the later portion of 2006 and worsened considerably in 2007. Since our inception in 1995, we have originated and sold in excess of \$7 billion of loans into the secondary market under normal and customary recourse provisions, with little repurchase activity or credit related losses. During 2007, repurchased recourse demands increased dramatically as more of our loans experienced early payment defaults. The loans requiring repurchase were high loan-to-value ratio/low documentation ("ALT A") loans that were primarily originated in 2006 through our wholesale division and were repurchased due to delinquent payments by the borrower within the first 90 days of the loans term. During the first two quarters of 2007, the Company repurchased the majority of the anticipated buybacks and progressed through the collection process. As declines in real estate values have continued, the Company provided for additional reserves throughout 2007 for the anticipated loss exposure. Our results for 2007 included \$15.601 million in pretax losses relating to its exposure to ALT A residential loans originated by its wholesale division. These charges included \$4.477 million for the writedown of foreclosed assets awaiting sales or assets sold at a loss, \$3.934 million for write-downs on loans placed into the Company's loan portfolio during the year or settled repurchase claims, and approximately \$7.190 million in charges related to chargeoffs of existing loans and increases in loan loss reserve levels for ALT A loans which remain in the portfolio. In addition to these losses, earnings were further impacted by the loss of interest income on loans placed on nonaccrual status, the cost of carrying foreclosed properties, and higher legal and collection expenses relating to these loans.

As of December 31, 2007, the Company had a total \$11.4 million (49 properties) of the repurchased loans in real estate acquired through foreclosure awaiting sale that have been written down to approximately 59% of their original appraised values. Approximately 60% (25 properties) are single family residential properties located in Northern Virginia, with the remainder made up of single

family properties in various other states. Additionally, we have \$9.203 million of ALT A nonperforming loans in our loan portfolio with specific reserves totaling \$1.349 million.

Management discontinued its offering of ALT A loans through its wholesale lending division at the end of 2006, and believes its exposure to and resolution of its repurchase provisions for these products is substantially complete. Originations of loans through our retail delivery channel performed well and we experienced minimal repurchase and credit losses from retail originated loans. The Company closed its wholesale lending operation entirely in July of 2007. No loans were repurchased in the fourth quarter of 2007. Management has renegotiated a significant portion of its covenants with its investors to substantially reduce our repurchase exposure in 2008.

We recorded a net loss of \$10.063 million for 2007 compared to net income of \$1.924 million for 2006, with diluted losses per share totaling \$(1.57) for 2007 compared to diluted earnings per share of \$0.29 in 2006. The decline in net income and earnings per share was a result of decreased gross revenue (net interest income and noninterest income) of \$4.253 million or 5.8%, and increased noninterest expenses and provision for loan losses of \$15.679 million or 21.9%. We recorded an income tax benefit of \$8.310 million in 2007 compared to \$365,000 in 2006.

Our largest category of revenue, net interest income, declined \$4.586 million or 9.3% due to lower levels of average earning assets, which decreased from \$1.243 billion in 2006 to \$1.099 billion in 2007. This decline, coupled with a substantial increase in loans placed on nonaccrual status, more than offset the increase in the net interest margin from 3.96% in 2006 to 4.07% in 2007. Noninterest income increased \$333,000 or 1.4% due to higher levels of commissions on sales of nondeposit investment products ("brokerage fees"), BOLI income, and insurance sales commissions. Gains realized on sales of investment securities were \$943,000 in 2007, compared to losses realized of \$3.037 million in 2006. These increases in noninterest income were largely offset by a decrease in mortgage-banking revenue of \$3.360 million.

Our increase in total expenses resulted from higher noninterest expenses (+ \$9.079 million) and an increase in our provision for loan losses of \$6.600 million. Noninterest expense growth was primarily the result of \$8.411 million in secondary marketing valuations for repurchases and anticipated repurchases of loans and write-downs on real estate acquired through foreclosure, as well as higher salaries and benefit costs and higher occupancy costs. The increase in the provision for loan losses was mainly due to increased charge-offs of ALT A mortgage loans. The provision for loan losses recorded resulted in an increase in the allowance for loan losses to 1.50% of total loans as of December 31, 2007 from 1.43% as of December 31, 2006. We recorded an income tax benefit of \$8.310 million in 2007 compared to \$365,000 in 2006 due to the significant pretax loss and an increase in the state income tax rate, which increased the value of deferred income taxes.

The return on average assets was (0.81)% for 2007 compared to 0.14% for 2006. The return on average equity for 2007 was (13.83)% compared to 2.53% for 2006. Average equity to average assets was 5.85% for 2007 compared to 5.59% for 2006. The decline in both the return on average assets and the return on average equity was the result of the lower net income.

Our total assets decreased by \$16.468 million or 1.3%, reflecting the weakened demand for real estate related lending. Earning assets decreased \$44.801 million or 4.0% from \$1.121 billion in 2006 to \$1.076 billion in 2007. Deposits decreased by \$19.985 million from the 2006 level of \$924.938 million to \$904.953 million in 2007. Stockholders' equity decreased \$14.059 million or 17.9% reflecting the 2007 loss, a decrease in other comprehensive income due to the decrease in the market value of securities classified as available for sale, and by shares repurchased under our stock repurchase plan. These items were offset somewhat by shares sold and issued upon the exercise of options and warrants and in connection with our employee stock purchase plan.

As a result of weakened residential real estate conditions, our asset quality deteriorated substantially due to weakened markets for residential real estate, which impacted all of our asset quality measures. We increased our allowance for loan losses to \$12.789 million, which totaled 29.5% of nonperforming assets as of December 31, 2007, compared to 187.9% as of December 31, 2006, as our level of nonperforming assets to total assets increased to 3.48% at December 31, 2007 from 0.52% at December 31, 2006. Our level of loans 90 days delinquent and still accruing interest decreased to \$3.019 million from \$27.274 million in 2006. Many of those loans that were delinquent in 2006 moved to nonperforming loans and subsequently to real estate acquired through foreclosure in 2007. Our ratio of net chargeoffs to average total loans was 1.01% in 2007 compared to 0.19% in 2006.

Capital adequacy levels continued to exceed the levels required to maintain "well-capitalized" status as defined by banking regulation. December 31, 2007 ratios for our capital leverage, Tier 1 capital to risk weighted assets, and total capital to risk weighted assets were 6.9%, 8.2%, and 14.2%, respectively, compared to 7.8%, 10.0%, and 15.6%, respectively, at December 31, 2006. Our regulatory capital levels decreased due to the decline in stockholders' equity.

Results of Operations

Net Interest Income/Margins

Our primary source of earnings is net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income we earn on interest-earning assets, such as loans and investment securities, and the interest expense we pay on interest-bearing sources of funds, such as deposits and borrowings. The level of net interest income we earn is determined mostly by the average balances ("volume") and the rate spreads between our interest-earning assets and our funding sources.

Net interest income for 2007 decreased by \$4.586 million to \$44.680 million compared to \$49.266 million for 2006, primarily due to lower levels of earning assets and higher levels of nonperforming assets, which more than offset an increase in the net interest margin. The yield on average earning assets increased from 7.70% for 2006 to 8.06% for 2007 and the rates on average interest-bearing liabilities increased from 4.24% for 2006 to 4.39% for 2007. Rates on both earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities increased due to increased market interest rates. Although market interest rates declined over the last half of 2007, they were still higher on average than for the same time in 2006. Earning asset rate increases were also favorably impacted by a higher mix of higher yielding consumer loans as a percentage of earning assets. Average earning assets decreased from